

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARK J. GARDBERG, ESQ., IN HIS
CAPACITY AS RECEIVER FOR, AND
ACTING ON BEHALF OF, FLAMINGO-
PECOS SURGERY CENTER, LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY,

Appellant,

vs.

WILLIAM D. SMITH, M.D., AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND SHELDON
FREEDMAN, M.D., AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondents.

No. 83556

FILED

FEB 28 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Vassily
DEPUTY CLERK

MARK J. GARDBERG, ESQ., IN HIS
CAPACITY AS RECEIVER FOR, AND
ACTING ON BEHALF OF, FLAMINGO-
PECOS SURGERY CENTER, LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY,

Appellant,

vs.

WILLIAM D. SMITH, M.D., AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND SHELDON
FREEDMAN, M.D., AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondents.

No. 83805

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This court previously entered an order directing appellant to show cause why these appeals should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In particular, it appeared that the district court had not yet entered a final judgment appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1) because appellant did not identify any written, file-stamped order that finally resolves the causes of action against respondents that were not resolved in the orders challenged on appeal or the findings of fact, conclusions of law

entered on August 5, 2021. In response, appellant asserts that these claims were resolved in a November 29, 2017, minute order. However, a minute order is insufficient to resolve a claim. *See State, Div. of Child & Family Servs. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 445, 454, 92 P.3d 1239, 1245 (2004) (“[D]ispositional court orders that are not administrative in nature, but deal with the procedural posture or merits of the underlying controversy, must be written, signed, and filed before they become effective”). Accordingly, appellant fails to demonstrate that the district court has entered a final judgment.

Appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order to show cause why these appeals should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In responding to this order, in addition to points and authorities, appellant should provide this court with a copy of any **written, file-stamped district court order** finally resolving the other claims against respondents. Respondents may file any reply within 14 days of service of appellant’s response. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of these appeals.

The deadlines to file documents in these appeals remain suspended pending further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Iqbal Law, PLLC
Cook & Kelesis