

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Electronically Filed
11/17/2021 11:35 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RICKY NOLAN, PLAINTIFF

vs
MILEY & STEWART - KATHY BERRY
STEVEN B. WOLFSON, MARY KAY HOLMES
JAMES R. SWEENEY, SARAH OVERTON
FRANK COGRIPPO, CLARK COUNTY
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE -
STATE OF NEVADA, et al
DEFENDANTS

A.C.

A-20-820190-C

Electronically Filed
Nov 22 2021 09:56 a.m.

NOT Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPEAL

Pursuant to Rule 406-5d
BY a FORMAL CONSENT, AND
DISTRICT PRISON MAIL BOX
Rule

notice is hereby given that Ricky Nolan Bobz, Plaintiff
above named, hereby Appeals to the Court of Appeals
for the State of Nevada, from a Judgment/Order
on 6/15/2021 - A PARTIAL RULING dismissing
only 2 defendants. And the district court
failing to Rule on the Remaining 8-
defendants in case, See ABOVE Caption.
Entered on this 7th day of November 2021
dated this 7th day of November 2021

BRASS Stamp

Ricky Nolan Bobz
Appellant - pro per
E.S.P.
P.O. BOX 19309
ELV, NV, 89301

RECEIVED

NOV 16 2021

CLERK OF THE COURT

Certificate of Service By mail
was duly served upon defendants
dated this 7th day of November, 2021

Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV, 89701

district attorney office
P.O. Box 552212
Las Vegas, NV,
89101

Riz Mhu
Riz Mhu -
ESP
P.O. Box 1989
ELI, NV 89301

RECEIVED

NOV 16 2021

CLERK OF THE COURT

Ricky Nolan 8062


ESN

P.O. Box - 1989

FBI - W, 64301

LAS VEGAS NV 890

8 NOV 2021 PM 4 L




DISPATCH COURT

CLERK OF THE COURT

200 Lewis Avenue 3rd floor

Lts Vegas, NV - 89155-1600

89104-282300



NOV 11 2021
FBI - W



1 ASTA

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE**
7 **STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR**
8 **THE COUNTY OF CLARK**
9

10 RICKY NOLAN,

11 Plaintiff(s),

12 vs.

13 STEVEN B. WOLFSON; MARY KAY HOLTHUS;
14 JAMES R. SWEETIN; SARAH OVERLY; CLARK
15 COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE;
STATE OF NEVADA; FRANK LOGRIPPO,

16 Defendant(s),
17

Case No: A-20-820190-C

Dept No: VIII

18
19 **CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

20 1. Appellant(s): Ricky Nolan

21 2. Judge: Jessica K. Peterson

22 3. Appellant(s): Ricky Nolan

23 Counsel:

24 Ricky Nolan #80612
25 P.O. Box 1989
26 Ely, NV 89301

27 4. Respondent (s): Steven B. Wolfson; Mary Kay Holthis; James R. Sweetin; Sarah Overly;
Clark County District Attorney Office; State of Nevada; Frank Logrippo

28 Counsel:

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney
500 S. Grand Center Pkwy., 5th Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89155-2215

5. Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A
Permission Granted: N/A

Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes
Permission Granted: N/A

6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No

7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A

8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: Yes, August 27, 2020
***Expires 1 year from date filed* (Expired)
Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: No
Date Application(s) filed: N/A

9. Date Commenced in District Court: August 26, 2020

10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: TORT - Intentional Misconduct

Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Dismissal

11. Previous Appeal: Yes

Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 83616

12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A

13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown

Dated This 18 day of November 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

/s/ Heather Ungermann

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk
200 Lewis Ave
PO Box 551601
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601
(702) 671-0512

cc: Ricky Nolan

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

§
§
§
§
§
§

Location: **Department 8**
 Judicial Officer: **Peterson, Jessica K.**
 Filed on: **08/26/2020**
 Case Number History:
 Cross-Reference Case Number: **A820190**
 Supreme Court No.: **83616**

CASE INFORMATION

Statistical Closures

08/11/2021 Motion to Dismiss by the Defendant(s)

Case Type: **Intentional Misconduct**

Case Status: **08/11/2021 Dismissed**

DATE

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number A-20-820190-C
 Court Department 8
 Date Assigned 01/04/2021
 Judicial Officer Peterson, Jessica K.

PARTY INFORMATION

		<i>Lead Attorneys</i>
Plaintiff	Nolan, Ricky	Pro Se
Defendant	Brady, Katlyn Removed: 06/15/2021 Dismissed	Guy, Christopher M <i>Retained</i> 702-486-0661(W)
	Clark County District Attorney Office Removed: 08/11/2021 Dismissed	Browning, Joel K. <i>Retained</i> 702-455-4761(W)
	Holthus, Mary Kay	
	Logrippo, Frank Removed: 08/11/2021 Dismissed	
	Miley, Stefany	Guy, Christopher M <i>Retained</i> 702-486-0661(W)
	Nevada State of Removed: 08/11/2021 Dismissed	Browning, Joel K. <i>Retained</i> 702-455-4761(W)
	Overly, Sarah Removed: 08/11/2021 Dismissed	Browning, Joel K. <i>Retained</i> 702-455-4761(W)
	Sweetin, James R Removed: 08/11/2021 Dismissed	Browning, Joel K. <i>Retained</i> 702-455-4761(W)
	Wolfson, Steven Removed: 08/11/2021 Dismissed	Browning, Joel K. <i>Retained</i> 702-455-4761(W)














CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-20-820190-C**

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

DATE













INDEX

EVENTS

08/26/2020	 Inmate Filed - Complaint With Jury Demand <i>[1] Complaint</i>
08/26/2020	 Motion <i>[2] Motion for Leave to Exceed the Page Limitations to add exhibits Showing the Existence of Genetic Materials for Testing that would Exonerate the Plaintiff who is actually Innocence and other Factual Evidence</i>
08/26/2020	 Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis <i>[3] Application to Proceed in forma Pauperis</i>
08/27/2020	 Order to Proceed In Forma Pauperis <i>[4] Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis</i>
09/23/2020	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[5] Motion for Reassignment and/or Recusal of Judge Jim Crockett due to Systematic Racism/Racial In Justice / Racial Discrimination Who denied the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discover and Granted Defendants Motion to Dismiss in Case A-20-813173-C Conflict of Interest with the Clark County District Attorneys Office on 9/9/2020 Attorney General Office</i>
09/23/2020	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[6] Plaintiff's Motion/ Request for Court Order to Compel Discovery /Extension of Time to Complete Discovery and Parties Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order</i>
09/23/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[7] Notice of Hearing</i>
09/23/2020	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[8] Plaintiff's Motion /Request for Mediation Settlement Conference</i>
09/23/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[9] Notice of Hearing</i>
10/14/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[10] Plaintiff's Supplement to Add Defendants and (1) Claim</i>
10/21/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[11] Plaintiff's Supplement to Add Claim</i>
10/29/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[12] Plaintiff's Supplement to Add Defendants and (1) Claim</i>
11/04/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[13] Plaintiff's Supplement to Add Defendants and (1) Claim</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

11/12/2020	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[15] Motion/Request for Court Order to Provide the Petitioner Address and Names of the License Process Server to Determine the Defendants was Served with Summons/Complaint</i>
11/12/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[16] Notice of Hearing</i>
11/17/2020	 Notice of Change of Hearing <i>[17] Notice of Change of Hearing</i>
11/19/2020	 Motion for Clarification Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[18] Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification to Determine Whether the Clerk's Office or License Process Server Issued Service of Complaint and Summons Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015</i>
11/19/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[19] Notice of Hearing</i>
11/19/2020	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[20] Motion for Extension of Time of 120 Days to Serve the Defendants</i>
11/19/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[21] Notice of Hearing</i>
12/08/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[22] Plaintiff's Supplement to Add Defendant and (1) Claim</i>
12/10/2020	 Proof of Service <i>[23] Proof of Service</i>
12/22/2020	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[24] Plaintiff's - Emergency Hearing for Injunctive Order Due to Racial Discrimination by c/g Lyons Ely Srare Prison Legal Mail Delivery Officer Who Has Refused to Deliver Nolan's Legal Mail Causing Him to Miss Several Court Filing Dates by Destroying His Legal Mail</i>
12/22/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[25] Notice of Hearing</i>
01/04/2021	Case Reassigned to Department 8 <i>Judicial Reassignment to Judge Jessica K. Peterson</i>
01/20/2021	 Order <i>[26] Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reassignment and/or Recusal of Judge Jim Crockett Due To Systematic Racism/Racial in Justice / Racial Discrimination Who Denied the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery and Granted Defendant's Motion to Dismiss In Case A-20-813173-C Conflict Of Interest with the Clark County District Attorney's Office on 9/9/2020 Attorney General Office Plaintiff's Motion; Request for Court Order to Compel Discovery /Extension of Time to Complete Discovery and Parties Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order; Plaintiff's Motion /Request for Mediation Settlement Conference</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

02/04/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[27] Motion Defendant's Refused to Accept Service of Complaint and Summons After it was Served on the Attorney General Office by the US Postal Service and Filed proof of Service in the Clerk's office to Make it Appear That They Were Not Served in Order for Judge Crockett to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct

02/04/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[28] Plaintiff's Request /Motion to Grant Complaint due to the Defendants Failure to Respond to the Complaint and Summons After is was Served Upon the Attorney General Office and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office Defendants are in Default by Refusing to Accept Service of Complaint

02/04/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[29] Motion for Reconsideration Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Plaintiff was Granted Infroma Pauperis Status on 8/27/2020 in Which States the Clerk of the Court Must File or Issue any Necessary Writ, Pleadings or Papers Without Charge (3) that the Sheriff or Other Appropriate Officer with the State Shell Make Personal Service of Any Necessary Writ, Pleadings or Papers Without Charge. The Defendants

02/04/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[30] Notice of Hearing

02/08/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[31] Motion / Request for Sanctions and Financial Compensation

02/08/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[32] Notice of Hearing

02/22/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[33] Motion

02/22/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[34] Notice of Hearing

03/04/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[35] Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Person to Order the Attorney Generals Office to File Answer, Serve Response to the State Civil Rights Complaint Filed on 8/27/2020 by the Plaintiff, Pursuant to His Constitutional Rights to Due Process and Equal Process of the Law

03/04/2021



Motion to Reconsider

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[36] Motion for Reconsideration Pursuant to NRS 12.015

03/04/2021



Request

Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[37] Plaintiff's Request / Motion

03/04/2021



Notice of Intent

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

[38] Notice of Intent to File a Federal 1983 Civil Rights Complaint in the United States District Court Against Jessica K Peterson

03/04/2021



Notice of Intent

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[39] Notice of Indent to File a Federal 1983 Civil Rights Complaint in the United States District Court Against the Office of the Attorney General

03/04/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[40] Plaintiff's Motion /Request for Reconsideration Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Failure by the Clerk's Office to Serve the Plaintiff's with Legal Mail and Ruling by Judge Crockett

03/04/2021



Declaration

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[41] Declaration of Plaintiff Ricky Nolan 80612 Regarding of His Official Service of Complaint and Summons Upon the Attorney General Office on 11/30/2020 and Proof of Such Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 in Underlying Cause of Action

03/10/2021



Notice of Change of Hearing

[42] Notice of Change of Hearing

03/15/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[43] Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Order the Office of the Attorney General to Answer/ Respond/Reply to the Complaint and Summons Filed on 8/27/2020

03/15/2021



Motion to Reconsider

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[44] Motion for Recondideration Pursuant to NRS 12.018

03/15/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[45] Notice of Hearing

03/19/2021



Order

[46] Order

03/22/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[47] Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint (1) Judge Peterson Disqualified herself from the Plaintiffs Case Without Affidavit from a party. (2) All Time Limits for the State of Nevada Office of Attorney General to filed Answer to the Complaint has Expired Defenders are in Default. Pursuant to NRCF NRS 1.235-NRS 12.015, 28, 1445-455 A Violating the Plaintiff s...

03/22/2021



Objection

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[48] Plaintiff's Objection to Judge Peterson Disqualified Herself...

03/22/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[49] Notice of Hearing

03/22/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[50] Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Civil Contempt Sanctions of \$4,000.00 Compensate the Plaintiff who is an Injured Party...

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

03/22/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[51] Plaintiffs Motion/ Request for a Settlement Conference

03/22/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[52] Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Enter Judgment Pursuant to NRCP Against Defendants...

03/22/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[53] Notice of Hearing

03/29/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[54] Plaintiffs Motion/ Request to Grant Complaint due to the Defenders Failure to Respond/ Answer, Reply to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Up the Office of the Attorney General on 11/30/2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail Room "Via " US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-11-2020 Pursuant to NRS 12.015 NRS 1.235 Defenders are in Default by Refusing to Answer or Accept Service of Complaint Time Limit to Answer the Complaint has Passed

03/29/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[55] Plaintiffs Motion -Defenders Refused to Accept Service of the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office on 11-30-2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail "Via " US Postal Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-10-2020, to make it Appear Defenders where not Served an Order for Judge Crockett and Person to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct

03/29/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[56] Notice of Hearing

03/31/2021



Objection

[57] Plaintiff's Objection to Judge Peterson disqualified herself from Plaintiff Case Without previous Affidavit Showing Pursuant Judicial etc.

03/31/2021



Objection

[58] Plaintiff's Objection denied of Plaintiff's Constitutional Rights to Notary Authorization by case workers 1 Rivera 2 Moner of ELV etc.

03/31/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[59] Plaintiff's Motion for Court Ordered Service , Pursuant to NRS 12.01, NRCP 4.2- 4.3 Rule 4.4 A- 27 A - Rule 4.4 (d), NRS 1.235 on 12-1-2020- Esp Legal Mail Room Served the Complaint and Summons Upon the Office of the Attorney General by Mail US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 - In Forma Paupers Granted

03/31/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[60] Notice of Hearing

04/13/2021



Objection

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[61] Plaintiff's Objection to Judge Peterson Order Filed

04/13/2021



Motion

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[62] Plaintiffs Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 for Judge Peterson to Issue Court Order

04/13/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[63] Notice of Hearing

04/13/2021



Motion to Reconsider

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[64] Motion for Reconsideration of Order Filed 3/19/2021/ at Motions #1/#9 #11 #7

04/13/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[65] Notice of Hearing

04/13/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[66] Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Error in the Order Filed on 3-19-2021 Pursuant to NRS 12.015

04/13/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[67] Notice of Hearing

04/13/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[68] Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint and all Relief the Plaintiff is Seeking

04/13/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[69] Notice of Hearing

04/13/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[70] Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Judge Peterson and State Officials Violated the Conditions of Order Granting In Forma Pauperis by Judge Linda Bell

04/13/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[71] Notice of Hearing

04/15/2021



Motion to Dismiss

Filed By: Defendant Miley, Stefany; Defendant Brady, Katlyn

[72] Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice

04/15/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[73] Notice of Hearing

04/22/2021



Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky

[74] Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of Summons, Complaint, Service of Process upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.05

04/22/2021



Clerk's Notice of Hearing

[75] Notice of Hearing

04/29/2021


















Objection

[76] Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants Motion to Dismiss















CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

05/06/2021	 Reply Filed by: Defendant Miley, Stefany; Defendant Brady, Katlyn <i>[77] Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss</i>
05/10/2021	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[78] Plaintiff's Motion;</i>
05/10/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[79] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/11/2021	 Notice of Change of Hearing <i>[80] Notice of Change of Hearing</i>
05/11/2021	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[81] Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Person to Please Review the Exhibits #1-2 ...</i>
05/11/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[82] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/11/2021	 Response Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[83] Plaintiff's Response/ Reply to Defendants Motion to Dismiss Filed 4/15/2021</i>
05/13/2021	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[84] Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment oral Argument Requested via Video/ Telephonic Hearing at Ely State Prison</i>
05/13/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[85] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/13/2021	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[86] Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief/ Order for Defendants to Release Genetic DNA Materials for Testing</i>
05/13/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[87] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/18/2021	 Objection <i>[88] Plaintiffs Objection to Defendants Reply to Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss Filed on 5/6/21</i>
05/18/2021	 Notice of Change of Hearing <i>[89] Notice of Change of Hearing</i>
05/18/2021	 Notice of Change of Hearing <i>[90] Notice of Change of Hearing</i>
05/20/2021	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky














CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

	<i>[91] Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss; Plaintiffs' Motion</i>
05/20/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[92] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/27/2021	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Defendant Miley, Stefany; Defendant Brady, Katlyn <i>[93] Opposition to Plaintiff Ricky Nolan S Motion for Preliminary Injunction</i>
05/27/2021	 Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment Filed By: Defendant Miley, Stefany; Defendant Brady, Katlyn <i>[94] Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff Ricky Nolan's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
06/02/2021	 Request <i>[95] Request to Waive Service of Summons/Complaint Upon defendants Rule- 4-1(a)</i>
06/03/2021	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[96] Plaintiff's Motion for a Settement Conference</i>
06/03/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[97] Notice of Hearing</i>
06/15/2021	 Order <i>[98] Order</i>
06/16/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[99] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice Defendants Stefany Miley and Katlyn Brady</i>
06/17/2021	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[100] Plailntiff's Reply to Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
06/17/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[101] Notice of Hearing</i>
06/17/2021	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[102] Plaintiffs Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief</i>
06/17/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[103] Notice of Hearing</i>
06/17/2021	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[104] Plaintiff's Motion Seeking a Order for Alternative Service Methods Pursuant to Rule 4.4, 4.2, 4.4, 4.4 (a)</i>
06/17/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[105] Notice of Hearing</i>
06/17/2021	 Motion

CASE SUMMARY














CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

	<p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[106] Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time or 120 days to Complete Service</i></p>
06/17/2021	<p> Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[107] Plaintiff's Objection to Dismissal of Complaint by Judge Peterson on 6/9/2021</i></p>
06/17/2021	<p> Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[108] Plaintiffs Objection to Defendants Opposition to the Plaintiffs Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion for Injunctive Order</i></p>
06/17/2021	<p> Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[109] Notice of Hearing</i></p>
06/23/2021	<p> Motion to Reconsider Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[110] Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Dismissing Complaint on 6-9-2021.</i></p>
06/23/2021	<p> Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[111] Notice of Hearing</i></p>
06/23/2021	<p> Consent to Service By Electronic Means Filed By: Defendant Wolfson, Steven; Defendant Sweetin, James R; Defendant Overly, Sarah; Defendant Clark County District Attorney Office; Defendant Logrippo, Frank <i>[112] Consent to Service by Electronic Means</i></p>
06/23/2021	<p> Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Defendant Wolfson, Steven; Defendant Sweetin, James R; Defendant Overly, Sarah; Defendant Clark County District Attorney Office; Defendant Logrippo, Frank <i>[113] Clark County District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint</i></p>
06/24/2021	<p> Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[114] Notice of Hearing</i></p>
07/01/2021	<p> Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[115] Plaintiff's Objection to the Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing Complaint</i></p>
07/01/2021	<p> Motion to Reconsider Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[116] Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing the Complaint</i></p>
07/01/2021	<p> Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[117] Notice of Hearing</i></p>
07/01/2021	<p> Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[118] Plaintiff's Objection to the Order Defendants Motion to Dismiss</i></p>
07/01/2021	<p> Motion for Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[119] Plaintiff's Motion for Judge Peterson to Issue a Order for the Attorney General to File a</i></p>











CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

Answer on Remains 8- defendants Pursuant to Rule 4.2

07/01/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[120] Notice of Hearing</i>
07/01/2021	 Motion for Appointment of Attorney Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[121] Plaintiff's motion for Appointment of Counsel</i>
07/01/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[122] Notice of Hearing</i>
07/01/2021	 Motion to Compel Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[123] Plaintiff's Motion for Court Order to Compel Discovery/ Extension of Time to Complete Discovery Fed R. Civ P. (a)(30)(B) -Rule 16.1</i>
07/01/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[124] Notice of Hearing</i>
07/08/2021	 Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[125] Plaintiff's Objection to Defendants Motion to Dismiss Filed 6-23-2021</i>
07/08/2021	 Declaration Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[126] Declaration of Ricky Nolan 80612 Regarding His Official Service of Complaint and Summons upon the Attorney Generals Office on 6/22/2021 and Service of Complaint and Summons Upon the District Attorney Office on 6-27-2021 Pursuant to Rule 4.2 Service within Nevada</i>
07/08/2021	 Proof of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[127] Plaintiff's Filing of His Proof of Service Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRCP 47-2</i>
07/08/2021	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[128] Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss</i>
07/12/2021	 Notice of Change of Hearing <i>[129] NOTICE OF CHANGE OF HEARING</i>
07/13/2021	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Defendant Miley, Stefany <i>[130] SPECIALLY APPEARING DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED JULY 1, 2021</i>
08/11/2021	 Order <i>[131] Order on Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Motion for Reconsideration and District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss</i>
08/19/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Wolfson, Steven; Defendant Sweetin, James R; Defendant Overly, Sarah; Defendant Clark County District Attorney Office; Defendant Logrippo, Frank <i>[132] Notice of Entry of Order</i>

CASE SUMMARY
CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

10/04/2021	 Notice of Appeal <i>[133] Notice of Appeal</i>
10/06/2021	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[134] Case Appeal Statement</i>
10/11/2021	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[135] Notice of Appeal</i>
10/13/2021	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[136] Case Appeal Statement</i>
10/18/2021	 Notice of Appeal <i>[139] Notice of Appeal Due to a Clerical Error by the Clerks Office Pursuant to NR.S. 12.015 - Active Forma Pauperis Status -</i>
10/25/2021	 Notice of Appeal <i>[137] Notice of Appeal</i>
10/26/2021	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[138] Case Appeal Statement</i>
11/02/2021	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>[140] Case Appeal Statement</i>
11/17/2021	 Notice of Appeal <i>[141] Notice of Appeal</i>
11/18/2021	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nolan, Ricky <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>

DISPOSITIONS




06/15/2021	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) Debtors: Ricky Nolan (Plaintiff) Creditors: Stefany Miley (Defendant), Katlyn Brady (Defendant) Judgment: 06/15/2021, Docketed: 06/17/2021
08/11/2021	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) Debtors: Ricky Nolan (Plaintiff) Creditors: Stefany Miley (Defendant), Steven Wolfson (Defendant), James R Sweetin (Defendant), Sarah Overly (Defendant), Clark County District Attorney Office (Defendant), Nevada State of (Defendant), Frank Logrippo (Defendant) Judgment: 08/11/2021, Docketed: 08/12/2021

HEARINGS

11/05/2020	Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim) 11/05/2020, 12/17/2020 <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Reassignment and/or Recusal of Judge Jim Crockett due to Systematic</i>
------------	---

CASE SUMMARY





CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

	<p><i>Racism/Racial In Justice / Racial Discrimination Who denied the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discover and Granted Defendants Motion to Dismiss in Case A-20-813173-C Conflict of Interest with the Clark County District Attorneys Office on 9/9/2020 Attorney General Office</i></p> <p>Matter Continued; Denied; Matter Continued; Denied;</p>
11/05/2020	<p>Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim) 11/05/2020, 12/17/2020</p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion/ Request for Court Order to Compel Discovery /Extension of Time to Complete Discovery and Parties Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order</i></p> <p>Matter Continued; Denied; Matter Continued; Denied;</p>
11/05/2020	<p>Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim) 11/05/2020, 12/17/2020</p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion /Request for Mediation Settlement Conference</i></p> <p>MINUTES</p> <p>Matter Continued; Denied; Matter Continued; Denied;</p> <p>SCHEDULED HEARINGS</p> <p> All Pending Motions (12/17/2020 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim)</p>
11/05/2020	<p> All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim)</p> <p>Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REASSIGNMENT AND/OR RECUSAL OF JUDGE JIM CROCKETT DUE TO SYSTEMATIC RACISM / RACIAL IN JUSTICE / RACIAL DISCRIMINATION WHO DENIED THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVER AND GRANTED DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS CASE A-20-813173-C CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE ON 9/9/2020 ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ... PLAINTIFF'S MOTION / REQUEST FOR COURT ORDER TO COMPEL DISCOVERY / EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY AND PARTIES STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER ... PLAINTIFF'S MOTION / REQUEST FOR MEDIATION SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE COURT NOTED there was no indication that there had been any service for any of the parties in the case. COURT FURTHER NOTED Mr. Nolan has not complied with NRS 1.235 and ORDERED all matters CONTINUED. CONTINUED TO: 12/17/2020 9:00 AM CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /rl 11/19/2020;</i></p>
12/17/2020	<p> All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim)</p> <p>Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REASSIGNMENT AND/OR RECUSAL OF JUDGE JIM CROCKETT DUE TO SYSTEMATIC RACISM/RACIAL IN JUSTICE / RACIAL DISCRIMINATION WHO DENIED THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVER AND GRANTED DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS IN CASE A-20-813173-C CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE ON 9/9/2020 ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION/ REQUEST FOR COURT ORDER TO COMPEL DISCOVERY /EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY AND PARTIES STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER PLAINTIFF'S MOTION /REQUEST FOR MEDIATION SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE No parties appeared. Court noted that the Pltf's motions are procedurally improper with no indication any part of the law suit has been served on anyone; there were no required affidavits attached; the matter was not ripe; previously entered did not comply with</i></p>

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C


	<i>NRS 1.235. COURT ORDERED, MOTIONS DENIED for failure to comply with NRS 1.235 and failure to serve any party. Court will issue the order.;</i>
01/14/2021	Motion for Clarification (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification to Determine Whether the Clerk's Office or License Process Server Issued Service of Complaint and Summons Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015</i> No Ruling;
01/14/2021	Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time of 120 Days to Serve the Defendants</i> No Ruling;
01/14/2021	 All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) No Ruling; Journal Entry Details: <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification to Determine Whether the Clerk's Office or License Process Server Issued Service of Complaint and Summons Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015....Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time of 120 Days to Serve the Defendants Court noted there are no parties present and the Court will issue a minute order.;</i>
01/19/2021	 Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's - Motion/Request for Court Order to Provide the Petitioner Address and Names of the License Process Server to Determine the Defendants was Served with Summons/Complaint</i> Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>There being no parties present. Court to prepare a minute order from Chambers.;</i>
02/02/2021	CANCELED Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Crockett, Jim) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Plaintiff's Plaintiff's - Emergency Hearing for Injunctive Order Due to Racial Discrimination by c/g Lyons Ely Srare Prison Legal Mail Delivery Officer Who Has Refused to Deliver Nolan's Legal Mail Causing Him to Miss Several Court Filing Dates by Destroying His Legal Mail</i>
03/09/2021	 Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Minute Order: Motion to Disqualify TRANSFERRED to Dept. 7</i> <i>Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Minute Order: Motion to Disqualify TRANSFERRED to Dept. 7</i> Journal Entry Details: <i>COURT ORDERED, Motion to Disqualify TRANSFERRED to Dept. 7; all pending motions VACATED. 3/12/2021 10:30 AM PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY (DEPT. 7)</i> <i>CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to: Ricky Nolan #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301;</i>
03/09/2021	 Minute Order (2:20 PM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie) Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Journal Entry Details: <i>Mr. Nolan's 2/22/2021 Motion was transferred to Department 7 to consider a motion for disqualification. The Motion filed is a motion requesting reconsideration: "for Judge Peterson to reverse her ruling on 1/20/2021 based on the plaintiff's in forma pauperis status." The motion is returned to Department 8 for consideration, along with any other pending motion. The department is reminded that any matter not decided on the day of hearing MUST be flagged as under advisement until a decision is rendered. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to: Ricky Nolan #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301;</i>
03/11/2021	CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Plaintiff's -Motion Defendant's Refused to Accept Service of Complaint and Summons After it was Served on the Attorney General Office by the US Postal Service and Filed proof of Service in the Clerk's office to Make it Appear That They Were Not Served in Order for Judge Crockett</i>

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct

03/11/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Request /Motion to Grant Complaint due to the Defendants Failure to Respond to the Complaint and Summons After is was Served Upon the Attorney General Office and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office Defendants are in Default by Refusing to Accept Service of Complaint</i></p>
03/11/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's - Motion for Reconsideration Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Plaintiff was Granted Infroma Pauperis Status on 8/27/2020 in Which States the Clerk of the Court Must File or Issue any Necessary Writ, Pleadings or Papers Without Charge (3) that the Sheriff or Other Appropriate Officer with the State Shell Make Personal Service of Any Necessary Writ, Pleadings or Papers Without Charge. The Defendants</i></p>
03/11/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated</i></p> <p><i>Motion / Request for Sanctions and Financial Compensation</i></p>
03/12/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)</p> <p><i>Vacated</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion to Disqualify</i></p>
03/23/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated - Moot</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion Re: Defendant's Refused to Accept Service of Complaint and Summons After it was Served on the Attorney General Office by the US Postal Service and Filed Proof of Service in the Clerk's Office to Make it Appear That They Were Not Served in Order for Judge Crockett to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct</i></p>
03/23/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated - Moot</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Request / Motion to Grant Complaint Due to the Defendants Failure to Respond to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk's Office Defendants are in Default by Refusing to Accept Service of Complaint</i></p>
03/23/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated - Moot</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Plaintiff was Granted In Forma Pauperis Status on 8/27/2020 in Which States the Clerk of the Court Must File or Issue any Necessary Writ, Pleadings or Papers Without Charge (3) that the Sheriff or Other Appropriate Officer with the State Shall Make Personal Service of Any Necessary Writ, Pleadings or Papers Without Charge the Defendants</i></p>
03/23/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated - Moot</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Sanctions and Financial Compensation</i></p>
04/15/2021	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p><i>Vacated - Moot</i></p> <p><i>Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Order the Office of the Attorney General to Answer/ Respond/Reply to the Complaint and Summons Filed on 8/27/2020</i></p>
04/20/2021	<p> Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p> <p>Minute Order - No Hearing Held;</p> <p>Journal Entry Details:</p> <p><i>Due to judicial economy, COURT ORDERED, all future hearings scheduled for April 22, 2021 and May 4, 2021 RESET to May 18, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. CLERK'S NOTE: This minute order mailed to the following: Ricky Nolan, #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, Nevada</i></p>

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

89301 Plaintiff, Pro Se Further, this minute order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Natalie Ortega, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve and/or served via facsimile. ndo/04/20/21;

05/18/2021



Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

*COURT ORDERED, all pending motions CONSOLIDATED to be heard on June 9, 2021 at 10:00 am. The hearing will be held via Blue Jeans. Appearance information is below. Meeting URL https://bluejeans.com/857875530?src=join_info Meeting ID 857 875 530 Want to dial in from a phone? Dial one of the following numbers: +1.408.419.1715 (United States(San Jose)) +1.408.915.6290 (United States(San Jose)) (see all numbers - <https://www.bluejeans.com/numbers>) Connecting from a room system? Dial: bjn.vc or 199.48.152.152 and enter your meeting ID **CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve and mailed to Plaintiff Ricky Nolan (# 80612 PO Box 1989 Ely NV 89301).** /rl 5/18/2021;*

05/18/2021

CANCELED Motion to Dismiss (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

Vacated - Set in Error

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice

06/09/2021

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

06/09/2021, 07/20/2021

Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint (1) Judge Peterson Disqualified Herself from the Plaintiff's Case...

Matter Continued;

Moot;

Matter Continued;

Moot;

06/09/2021

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

06/09/2021, 07/20/2021

Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Civil Contempt Sanctions of \$4,000.00 Compensate the Plaintiff who is an Injured Party...

Matter Continued;

Moot;

Matter Continued;

Moot;

06/09/2021

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

06/09/2021, 07/20/2021

Plaintiffs Motion/ Request for a Settlement Conference

Matter Continued;

Moot;

Matter Continued;

Moot;

06/09/2021

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

06/09/2021, 07/20/2021

Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Enter Judgment Pursuant to NRCP Against Defendants...

Matter Continued;

Moot;

Matter Continued;

Moot;

06/09/2021

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

06/09/2021, 07/20/2021

Plaintiffs Motion -Defenders Refused to Accept Service of the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office on 11-30-2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

	<p><i>"Via " US Postal Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-10-2020, to make it Appear Defenders where not Served an Order for Judge Crockett and Person to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct</i></p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiffs Motion/ Request to Grant Complaint due to the Defenders Failure to Respond/ Answer, Reply to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Up the Office of the Attorney General on 11/30/2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail Room "Via " US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-11-2020 Pursuant to NRS 12.015 NRS 1.235 Defenders are in Default by Refusing to Answer or Accept Service of Complaint Time Limit to Answer the Complaint has Passed</i></p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Court Ordered Service , Pursuant to NRS 12.01, NRCP 4.2- 4.3 Rule 4.4 A- 27 A - Rule 4.4 (d), NRS 1.235 on 12-1-2020- Esp Legal Mail Room Served the Complaint and Summons Upon the Office of the Attorney General by Mail US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 - In Forma Paupers Granted</i></p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiffs Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 for Judge Peterson to Issue Court Order</i></p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion to Reconsider (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Filed 3/19/2021/ at Motions #1/#9 #11 #7</i></p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Error in the Order Filed on 3-19-2021 Pursuant to NRS 12.015</i></p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p> <p>Matter Continued;</p> <p>Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)</p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

	<p>06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint and all Relief the Plaintiff is Seeking</i> Matter Continued; Moot; Matter Continued; Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Judge Peterson and State Officials Violated the Conditions of Order Granting In Forma Pauperis by Judge Linda Bell</i> Matter Continued; Moot; Matter Continued; Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion to Dismiss (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice</i> Granted;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of Summons, Complaint, Service of Process Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015</i> Matter Continued; Moot; Matter Continued; Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion</i> Matter Continued; Moot; Matter Continued; Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Person to Please Review the Exhibits #1-2 ...</i> Matter Continued; Moot; Matter Continued; Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment oral Argument Requested via Video/ Telephonic Hearing at Ely State Prison</i> Matter Continued; Moot; Matter Continued; Moot;</p>
06/09/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) 06/09/2021, 07/20/2021 <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief/ Order for Defendants to Release Genetic DNA Materials for Testing</i> Matter Continued;</p>


CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

Moot;
Matter Continued;
Moot;

06/09/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)
06/09/2021, 07/20/2021

Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Motion
Matter Continued;
Moot;
Matter Continued;
Moot;

06/09/2021  **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)

Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Civil Contempt Sanctions of \$4,000.00 Compensate the Plaintiff who is an Injured Party... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint (1) Judge Peterson Disqualified Herself from the Plaintiff's Case... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request for a Settlement Conference... Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Enter Judgment Pursuant to NRCP Against Defendants... Plaintiffs Motion -Defenders Refused to Accept Service of the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office on 11-30-2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail "Via " US Postal Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-10-2020, to make it Appear Defendants where not Served an Order for Judge Crockett and Person to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request to Grant Complaint due to the Defendants Failure to Respond/ Answer, Reply to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Up the Office of the Attorney General on 11/30/2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail Room "Via " US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-11-2020 Pursuant to NRS 12.015 NRS 1.235 Defendants are in Default by Refusing to Answer or Accept Service of Complaint Time Limit to Answer the Complaint has Passed... Plaintiff's Motion for Court Ordered Service , Pursuant to NRS 12.01, NRCP 4.2- 4.3 Rule 4.4 A- 27 A - Rule 4.4 (d), NRS 1.235 on 12-1-2020- Esp Legal Mail Room Served the Complaint and Summons Upon the Office of the Attorney General by Mail US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 - In Forma Paupers Granted... Plaintiffs Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 for Judge Peterson to Issue Court Order... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Filed 3/19/2021/ at Motions #1/#9 #11 #7... Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Error in the Order Filed on 3-19-2021 Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint and all Relief the Plaintiff is Seeking... Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Judge Peterson and State Officials Violated the Conditions of Order Granting In Forma Pauperis by Judge Linda Bell... Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice... Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Motion... Plaintiff's Motion... Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief/ Order for Defendants to Release Genetic DNA Materials for Testing... Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of Summons, Complaint, Service of Process Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Person to Please Review the Exhibits #1-2 ...Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment oral Argument Requested via Video/ Telephonic Hearing at Ely State Prison Joel Browning Esq. present on behalf of Steve Wolfson, James Sweetin, Sarah Overly, Clark County District Attorney Office and Kay Holthus. Upon the Court's inquiry Mr. Browning stated the District Attorneys office has not received service on the complaint. Colloquy regarding service, Court notes Mr. Browning did not waive his right to service. COURT reviewed the procedural history of the case and ORDERED all motions that were filed by Mr. Nolan seeking a default or a default judgement related to the Attorney General's Office are all MOOT due to failure of service, the motions as they pertain to the District Attorney's Office will be CONTINUED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, any motions by Mr. Nolan asking for reconsideration are DENIED. COURT stated findings and FURTHER ORDERED, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss GRANTED as it pertains to Stefany Miley and Katlyn Brady; Mr. Guy to prepare and submit the Order. COURT ORDERED, status check SET 7/14/21 10:00 AM STATUS CHECK... OMNIBUS CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to: Ricky Nolan #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301. rl 7/28/21;

07/14/2021 **CANCELED Status Check** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)
Vacated
Status Check: Filing of Order granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

07/20/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for a Settlement Conference</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion Seeking a Order for Alternative Service Methods Pursuant to Rule 4.4, 4.2, 4.4, 4.4 (a)</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time or 120 days to Complete Service</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion to Reconsider (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Dismissing Complaint on 6-9-2021.</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion to Dismiss (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) Events: 06/23/2021 Motion to Dismiss <i>Clark County District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint</i> Granted;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion to Reconsider (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing the Complaint</i> Denied;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion for Order (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Judge Peterson to Issue a Order for the Attorney General to File a Answer on Remains 8- defendants Pursuant to Rule 4.2</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion for Appointment of Attorney (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel</i> Denied;</p>
07/20/2021	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Court Order to Compel Discovery/ Extension of Time to Complete Discovery Fed R. Civ P. (a)(30)(B) -Rule 16.1</i> Moot;</p>
07/20/2021	<p> All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing the Complaint... Clark County District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint... Plaintiff's Motion for Judge Peterson to Issue a Order for the Attorney General to File a Answer on Remains 8- defendants Pursuant to Rule 4.2... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint (1) Judge Peterson Disqualified Herself from the Plaintiff's Case...Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Civil Contempt Sanctions of \$4,000.00 Compensate the Plaintiff who is an Injured Party... Plaintiff's Motion/ Request for a Settlement Conference... Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Enter Judgment Pursuant to NRCP Against Defendants...Plaintiff's Motion -Defenders Refused to Accept Service of the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office on 11-30-2020 by Ely State</i></p>

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-20-820190-C

Prison Legal Mail "Via " US Postal Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-10-2020, to make it Appear Defendants where not Served an Order for Judge Crockett and Person to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request to Grant Complaint due to the Defendants Failure to Respond/ Answer, Reply to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Up the Office of the Attorney General on 11/30/2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail Room "Via " US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-11-2020 Pursuant to NRS 12.015 NRS 1.235 Defendants are in Default by Refusing to Answer or Accept Service of Complaint Time Limit to Answer the Complaint has Passed... Plaintiff's Motion for Court Ordered Service , Pursuant to NRS 12.01, NRCP 4.2- 4.3 Rule 4.4 A- 27 A - Rule 4.4 (d), NRS 1.235 on 12-1-2020- Esp Legal Mail Room Served the Complaint and Summons Upon the Office of the Attorney General by Mail US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 - In Forma Paupers Granted... Plaintiffs Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 for Judge Peterson to Issue Court Order... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Filed 3/19/2021/ at Motions #1/#9 #11 #7... Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Error in the Order Filed on 3-19-2021 Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint and all Relief the Plaintiff is Seeking... Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Judge Peterson and State Officials Violated the Conditions of Order Granting In Forma Pauperis by Judge Linda Bell... Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of Summons, Complaint, Service of Process Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Peterson to Please Review the Exhibits #1-2... Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment oral Argument Requested via Video/ Telephonic Hearing at Ely State Prison... Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief/ Order for Defendants to Release Genetic DNA Materials for Testing... Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Motion... Plaintiff's Motion for a Settlement Conference... Plaintiff's Motion for Court Order to Compel Discovery/ Extension of Time to Complete Discovery Fed R. Civ P. (a)(30(B) -Rule 16.1... Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time or 120 days to Complete Service... Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment... Plaintiffs Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief... Plaintiff's Motion Seeking a Order for Alternative Service Methods Pursuant to Rule 4.4, 4.2, 4.4, 4.4 (a)... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Dismissing Complaint on 6-9-2021 Joel Browning Esq. present on behalf of the State of Nevada. COURT stated findings and ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing the Complaint DENIED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED Clark County District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint ADVANCED and GRANTED rendering all other motions on calendar MOOT; Mr. Browning to prepare and submit the order. COURT NOTED once Mr. Browning's order is received and signed this matter will be closed. COURT ORDERED any further filings on behalf Mr. Nolan in this matter will result in this Court noticing this matter and filing a motion to declare him as a vexatious litigant. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to: Ricky Nolan #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301. rl 9/1/21;

09/01/2021

CANCELED Status Check (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Peterson, Jessica K.)
Vacated
Status Check: Filing of Order from the 7/20 hearing

DATE

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Defendant Miley, Stefany

Total Charges

0.00

Total Payments and Credits

0.00

Balance Due as of 11/18/2021

0.00

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

Clerk County, Nevada
 Case No. _____
 (Assigned by Clerk's Office)

CASE NO: A-20-820190-C
 Department 24

I. Party Information (provide both home and mailing addresses if different)

Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):

RICKY NOLON - ESP

P.O. Box 1984, Ely, NV 89301

775-239-1256

Defendant(s) (name/address/phone):

MIKEY A. SWEENEY, KATHY V. M. GRADY, FRANK

LORENZO MARY LEE HOLMES, JAMES R SWANSON

STEVEN B WOLFSEI, SARAH, OLEAF, CLARK

County District Attorney's Office, State of Nevada

Attorney (name/address/phone):

Attorney (name/address/phone):

II. Nature of Controversy (please select the one most applicable filing type below)**Civil Case Filing Types**


Real Property Landlord/Tenant <input type="checkbox"/> Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Other Landlord/Tenant Title to Property <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Other Title to Property Other Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Condemnation/Eminent Domain <input type="checkbox"/> Other Real Property	Negligence <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Premises Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Other Negligence Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/Dental <input type="checkbox"/> Legal <input type="checkbox"/> Accounting <input type="checkbox"/> Other Malpractice	Torts Other Torts <input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intentional Misconduct <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Other Tort
Probate Probate (select case type and estate value) <input type="checkbox"/> Summary Administration <input type="checkbox"/> General Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Special Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Set Aside <input type="checkbox"/> Surviving Spouse <input type="checkbox"/> Trust/Conservatorship <input type="checkbox"/> Other Probate Estate Value <input type="checkbox"/> Greater than \$300,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$200,000-\$300,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001-\$199,999 <input type="checkbox"/> \$25,001-\$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$20,001-\$25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,501-\$20,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,500 or less	Construction Defect & Contract Construction Defect <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 40 <input type="checkbox"/> Other Construction Defect Contract Case <input type="checkbox"/> Uniform Commercial Code <input type="checkbox"/> Building and Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Carrier <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> Collection of Accounts <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Other Contract	Judicial Review/Appeal Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure Mediation Case <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Seal Records <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Competency Nevada State Agency Appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Department of Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Worker's Compensation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Nevada State Agency Appeal Other <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal from Lower Court <input type="checkbox"/> Other Judicial Review/Appeal
Civil Writ Civil Writ <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Habeas Corpus <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Quo Warrant <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Prohibition <input type="checkbox"/> Other Civil Writ		Other Civil Filing Other Civil Filing <input type="checkbox"/> Compromise of Minor's Claim <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Other Civil Matters

Business Court filings should be filed using the Business Court civil coversheet.

Date

Signature of initiating party or representative

See other side for family-related case filings.


CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
Christopher M. Guy (Bar No. 15239)
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Office of the Attorney General
555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 486-3326 (phone)
(702) 486-3773 (fax)
cguy@ag.nv.gov

*Attorneys for Defendants
Stefany Miley and Katlyn M. Brady*

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Ricky Nolan,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Stephany Miley, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. A-20-820190-C
Dept. No. VIII

Hearing Date: June 9, 2021
Hearing Time: 10:00 AM

ORDER

On August 26, 2020, Plaintiff, Ricky Nolan, (Nolan) filed a Civil Rights Complaint naming Judge Stefany Miley and Senior Deputy Attorney General (SDAG) Katlyn Brady (collectively, the “Defendants”) as Defendants. On April 15, 2021, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss with prejudice. Plaintiff filed an opposition on April 29, 2021. Defendants replied on May 6, 2021. In respect to Judge Stefany Miley and SDAG Katlyn Brady, this Court now **grants** Defendants’ motion to dismiss with prejudice.¹

///

¹ This Court takes judicial notice of the orders and motions filed in Nolan’s criminal matter. *See Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp.*, 109 Nev. 842, 847, 858 P.2d 1258, 1261 (1993) (holding a court may consider matters of public record in ruling on a motion to dismiss).

CONCLUSIONS OF FACT

The current Complaint focuses on Nolan's criminal case, specifically Defendant Judge Stefany Miley's judicial act of issuing an order.

On March 28, 2018, Nolan filed two separate "Postconviction Petitions Requesting a Genetic Marker Analysis of Evidence within the Possession or Custody of the State of Nevada." While these petitions were pending, Nolan filed a Motion to Modify and/or Correct an Illegal Sentence. On May 11, 2018, the Defendant Miley entered a written order denying the Petitions Requesting a Genetic Marker Analysis.

Nolan's appeal of his Motion to Modify his sentence was assigned appeal number 76572. On October 3, 2018, Nolan submitted a letter to the Nevada Supreme Court asking whether his appeal included both the denial of his post-conviction petitions requesting genetic marker analysis and the denial of his motion for a sentence modification. Nolan argued the petitions and motions were denied by the District Court and further stated he wished to address the petitions in his opening brief. The Nevada Supreme Court entered an order stating the appeal addressed both the denial of his petitions requesting genetic marker analysis and the denial of his motion for modification of his sentence.

Pursuant to NRAP 17(b), the Nevada Supreme Court transferred Nolan's appeal to the Nevada Court of Appeals. On August 27, 2019, the Nevada Court of Appeals entered an order affirming the District Court's order denying the Petitions for Genetic Marker Analysis. Subsequently, Nolan filed a civil complaint, assigned case no. A-20-813173-C (the Court of Appeals Complaint). The Court of Appeals Complaint named the Honorable Chief Judge Michael Gibbons, Judge Bonnie Bulla, and Judge Jerome Tao (the "Judicial Defendants") as defendants.

Relevant to this matter, the Court of Appeals Complaint alleged the Judicial Defendants did not have jurisdiction to consider Nolan's appeal of Judge Miley's previous order denying Nolan's petitions for genetic marker analysis. Specifically, Nolan argued Judge Miley did not enter a written order denying the petitions and thus the Court of Appeals exceeded its jurisdiction by ruling on the appeal.

1 Pursuant to NRS 41.0339, the Judicial Defendants received legal representation
2 from the Nevada Attorney General's Office (OAG). Senior Deputy Attorney General, Katlyn
3 M. Brady was assigned to defend that matter.

4 On July 20, 2020, the Judicial Officers, through Defendant Brady, filed a motion to
5 dismiss the Court of Appeals Complaint with prejudice. Attached to the motion to dismiss
6 was a copy of the May 11, 2018, order denying Nolan's petition for genetic marker testing.
7 The Honorable Judge Crockett dismissed the Court of Appeals Complaint with prejudice
8 after finding the Judicial Defendants were entitled to absolute judicial immunity.

9 In this matter, Nolan argues that Defendant Judge Stefany Miley's May 11, 2018
10 Order, attached to the Judicial Defendants' motion to dismiss, which was filed by
11 Defendant SDAG Brady, was fabricated by Defendants Judge Stefany Miley and SDAG
12 Brady.²

13 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

14 A pleading is subject to certain rules; primary among them is that a plaintiff's
15 complaint must adhere to Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a), which provides:

16 A pleading which sets forth a claim for relief [. . .] shall contain
17 (1) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the
18 pleader is entitled to relief; and (2) a demand for judgment for the
relief the pleader seeks. Relief in the alternative or of several
different types may be demanded.

19 The State of Nevada follows a notice pleading standard as to Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a) and the
20 sufficiency of the complaint. *See Crucil v. Carson City*, 95 Nev. 583, 585, 600 P.2d 216, 217
21 (1979) ("[T]he pleading of [a] conclusion, either of law or fact, is sufficient so long as the
22 pleading gives fair notice of the nature and basis of the claim."). Nevertheless, even under
23 the notice pleading standard, "[a] complaint must set forth sufficient facts to establish all
24 necessary elements of a claim for relief [. . .] so that the adverse party has adequate notice of

25 ² This Court notes that the Complaint also named several other defendants. At the
26 June 9, 2021 hearing, Deputy District Attorney Joel Browning specially appeared.
27 Browning noted that his office was notified the morning of June 9, 2021, of Nolan's
28 Complaint. Browning also noted that according to the information then known to him, the
Complaint had not been properly served on any defendants. Browning stated that he was
not able to accept service on behalf of any defendant at this time. This Court scheduled a
status check for July 14, 2021, as to the status of the remaining defendants.

1 the nature of the claim and relief sought.” *Hay v. Hay*, 100 Nev. 196, 198, 678 P.2d 672, 674
2 (1984) (internal citations omitted).

3 Nev. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(5) allows this Court to dismiss a complaint for “failure to state
4 a claim upon which relief can be granted.” When reviewing a motion to dismiss under Nev.
5 R. Civ. P. 12(b)(5), this Court “must construe the pleadings liberally and accept all factual
6 allegations in the complaint as true.” *Blackjack Bonding v. City of Las Vegas Mun. Court*,
7 116 Nev. 1213, 1217, 14 P.3d 1275, 1278 (2000). In doing so, “this [C]ourt must draw every
8 fair inference in favor of the non-moving party.” *Id.* “A complaint will not be dismissed
9 for failure to state a claim unless it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff could prove
10 no set of facts which, if accepted by the trier of fact, would entitle him or her to relief.” *Id.*
11 (quoting *Simpson v. Mars Inc.*, 113 Nev. 188, 190, 929 P.2d 966, 967 (1997)).

12 “As a general rule, the court may not consider matters outside the pleading being
13 attacked.” *Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp.*, 109 Nev. 842, 847, 858 P.2d 1258, 1261
14 (1993). “However, the court may take into account matters of public record, orders, items
15 present in the record of the case, and any exhibits attached to the complaint when ruling
16 on a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.” *Id.*
17 Additionally, “a document is not outside the complaint if the complaint specifically refers
18 to the document and if its authenticity is not questioned.” *Branch v. Tunnell*, 14 F.3d 449,
19 454 (9th Cir.1994) *overruled on other grounds by Galbraith v. Cnty. of Santa Clara*, 307
20 F.3d 1119, 1125–26 (9th Cir.2002).

21 Dismissing a complaint with prejudice is appropriate when it is clear that allowing
22 a plaintiff an opportunity to amend would be futile. *See Lucas v. Dep’t of Corr.*, 66 F.3d 245,
23 248-49 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam) (holding a district court does not abuse its discretion by
24 dismissing a pro se complaint if it is clear that the deficiencies cannot be cured by
25 amendment); *see also Cook, Perkiss & Liehe, Inc. v. N. Cal. Collection Serv., Inc.*, 911 F.2d
26 242, 247 (9th Cir. 1990).

27 Judicial immunity is immunity from suit, not just from ultimate assessment of
28 damages.” *Mireless v. Waco*, 502 U.S. 9, 11 (1991). “Absolute immunity is a broad grant of

1 immunity not just from the imposition of civil damages, but also from the burdens of
2 litigation.” *State v. Second Judicial Dist.*, 118 Nev. 609, 615, 232 P.3d 420, 424 (2002).
3 Judicial immunity serves “as a device for discouraging collateral attacks and thereby
4 helping to establish appellate procedures as the standard system for correcting judicial
5 error[.]” *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219, 225 (1998). A judge lacks immunity only “where
6 he acts in the clear absence of all jurisdiction or performs an act that is not judicial in
7 nature.” *Ashelman v. Pope*, 793 F.2d 1072, 1075 (9th Cir. 1986) (internal quotation and
8 citation omitted).

9 Nevada law has long recognized the common law rule that communications uttered
10 or published in the course of judicial proceedings are absolutely privileged, rendering those
11 who made the communications immune from civil liability. *Greenberg Traurig v. Frias*
12 *Holding Co.*, 130 Nev. 627, 630, 331 P.3d 901, 903 (2014) citing *Fink v. Oshins*, 118 Nev.
13 428, 432–33, 49 P.3d 640, 643 (2002) (quotations omitted). “The policy behind the
14 [litigation] privilege, as it applies to attorneys participating in judicial proceedings, is to
15 grant them ‘as officers of the court the utmost freedom in their efforts to obtain justice for
16 their clients.’” *Id.* at 433, 49 P.3d at 643 (quoting *Bull v. McCuskey*, 96 Nev. 706, 712, 615
17 P.2d 957, 961 (1980) *abrogated on other grounds by Ace Truck & Equip. Rentals, Inc. v.*
18 *Kahn*, 103 Nev. 503, 746 P.2d 132 (1987), *abrogated by Bongiovo v. Sullivan*, 122 Nev. 556,
19 138 P.3d 433 (2006)).

20 The privilege applies so long as the statements are “in some way pertinent to the
21 subject of the controversy.” *Id.* at 433, 49 P.3d at 644 (internal quotation omitted). The
22 privilege is almost absolute, in that it applies even if the communications were made with
23 knowledge and malice, *id.* at 433, 49 P.3d at 643. *But see Bull*, 96 Nev. at 712, 615 P.2d at
24 962 (stating that litigation privilege does not shield an attorney from bar discipline
25 stemming from the attorney's misconduct); *but see also Greenberg Traurig*, 130 Nev. At
26 631, 331 P.3d at 904 (noting that Nevada recognizes a legal-malpractice exception).

27 ///

28 ///

1 This Court finds that Judge Stefany Miley is entitled to absolute immunity. As
2 alleged, Judge Stefany Miley acted within the course and scope of her judicial duties by
3 entering her May 11, 2018 Order. Under no set of facts, would the allegations and claims
4 in the Complaint prevail over the absolute immunity Judge Stefany Miley is entitled to
5 receive. Therefore, dismissal with prejudice is appropriate, as amendment would be futile.

6 This Court further finds that Defendant SDAG Brady is entitled to immunity under
7 the litigation privilege. Defendant SDAG Brady's acts were clearly done for the purpose of
8 litigation and defense of her clients. Under no set of facts, would the allegations and claims
9 in the Complaint prevail over the litigation privilege Defendant SDAG Brady is entitled to
10 receive. Therefore, dismissal with prejudice is appropriate, as amendment would be futile.

11 **ORDER**

12 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice is
13 **GRANTED** in full as to Defendants.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH**
15 **PREJUDICE** as to Defendants. **

16 DATED this _____ day of June, 2021.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2021

17 
18 _____
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

19 Submitted by:

20 AARON D FORD
21 Attorney General

358 E6D C194 0B5F
Jessica K. Peterson
District Court Judge

22 By: /s/ Christopher M. Guy
23 Christopher M. Guy (Bar No. 15239)
Deputy Attorney General

24 *Attorneys for Defendants*

25 ** As indicated during the Hearing, the Court will issue a separate Order as it
26 pertains to multiple Motions filed by Mr. Nolan, that are not moot as a result of this
27 Order.
28

1 **CSERV**

2
3 DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4
5
6 Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-20-820190-C

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 8

8 Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

9
10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District
12 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all
13 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 6/15/2021

15 Christopher Guy

cguy@ag.nv.gov


16 Joel Browning

joel.browning@clarkcountyda.com

17 Christine Wirt

christine.wirt@clarkcountyda.com

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



NEOJ
AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
CHRISTOPHER M. GUY (Bar No. 15239)
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Office of the Attorney General
555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 486-3326 (phone)
(702) 486-3773 (fax)
Email: cguy@ag.nv.gov

Attorneys for Defendants
Stefany Miley and Katlyn M. Brady

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Ricky Nolan,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Stephany Miley,
Defendants.

Case No. A-20-820190-C
Dept. No. VIII

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS
STEFANY MILEY AND KATLYN BRADY**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION
TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS STEFANY MILEY AND KATLYN
BRADY in the above-captioned matter was entered on the 15th day of June, 2021. A true
and correct copy of this Order is attached hereto.

DATED this 16th day of June, 2021.

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General

By: /s/ Christopher M. Guy
CHRISTOPHER M. GUY (Bar No. 15239)
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Defendants


- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Ricky Nolan, #80612
Ely State Prison
P.O. Box 1989
Ely, Nevada 89301
Email: ESP_LawLibrary@doc.nv.gov
Plaintiff Pro Se

Page 2 of 2

ATTACHMENT

ATTACHMENT


CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
Christopher M. Guy (Bar No. 15239)
Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Office of the Attorney General
555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 486-3326 (phone)
(702) 486-3773 (fax)
cguy@ag.nv.gov

Attorneys for Defendants
Stefany Miley and Katlyn M. Brady

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Ricky Nolan,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Stephany Miley, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. A-20-820190-C
Dept. No. VIII

Hearing Date: June 9, 2021
Hearing Time: 10:00 AM

ORDER

On August 26, 2020, Plaintiff, Ricky Nolan, (Nolan) filed a Civil Rights Complaint naming Judge Stefany Miley and Senior Deputy Attorney General (SDAG) Katlyn Brady (collectively, the “Defendants”) as Defendants. On April 15, 2021, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss with prejudice. Plaintiff filed an opposition on April 29, 2021. Defendants replied on May 6, 2021. In respect to Judge Stefany Miley and SDAG Katlyn Brady, this Court now **grants** Defendants’ motion to dismiss with prejudice.¹

///

¹ This Court takes judicial notice of the orders and motions filed in Nolan’s criminal matter. *See Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp.*, 109 Nev. 842, 847, 858 P.2d 1258, 1261 (1993) (holding a court may consider matters of public record in ruling on a motion to dismiss).

CONCLUSIONS OF FACT

The current Complaint focuses on Nolan's criminal case, specifically Defendant Judge Stefany Miley's judicial act of issuing an order.

On March 28, 2018, Nolan filed two separate "Postconviction Petitions Requesting a Genetic Marker Analysis of Evidence within the Possession or Custody of the State of Nevada." While these petitions were pending, Nolan filed a Motion to Modify and/or Correct an Illegal Sentence. On May 11, 2018, the Defendant Miley entered a written order denying the Petitions Requesting a Genetic Marker Analysis.

Nolan's appeal of his Motion to Modify his sentence was assigned appeal number 76572. On October 3, 2018, Nolan submitted a letter to the Nevada Supreme Court asking whether his appeal included both the denial of his post-conviction petitions requesting genetic marker analysis and the denial of his motion for a sentence modification. Nolan argued the petitions and motions were denied by the District Court and further stated he wished to address the petitions in his opening brief. The Nevada Supreme Court entered an order stating the appeal addressed both the denial of his petitions requesting genetic marker analysis and the denial of his motion for modification of his sentence.

Pursuant to NRAP 17(b), the Nevada Supreme Court transferred Nolan's appeal to the Nevada Court of Appeals. On August 27, 2019, the Nevada Court of Appeals entered an order affirming the District Court's order denying the Petitions for Genetic Marker Analysis. Subsequently, Nolan filed a civil complaint, assigned case no. A-20-813173-C (the Court of Appeals Complaint). The Court of Appeals Complaint named the Honorable Chief Judge Michael Gibbons, Judge Bonnie Bulla, and Judge Jerome Tao (the "Judicial Defendants") as defendants.

Relevant to this matter, the Court of Appeals Complaint alleged the Judicial Defendants did not have jurisdiction to consider Nolan's appeal of Judge Miley's previous order denying Nolan's petitions for genetic marker analysis. Specifically, Nolan argued Judge Miley did not enter a written order denying the petitions and thus the Court of Appeals exceeded its jurisdiction by ruling on the appeal.

1 Pursuant to NRS 41.0339, the Judicial Defendants received legal representation
2 from the Nevada Attorney General's Office (OAG). Senior Deputy Attorney General, Katlyn
3 M. Brady was assigned to defend that matter.

4 On July 20, 2020, the Judicial Officers, through Defendant Brady, filed a motion to
5 dismiss the Court of Appeals Complaint with prejudice. Attached to the motion to dismiss
6 was a copy of the May 11, 2018, order denying Nolan's petition for genetic marker testing.
7 The Honorable Judge Crockett dismissed the Court of Appeals Complaint with prejudice
8 after finding the Judicial Defendants were entitled to absolute judicial immunity.

9 In this matter, Nolan argues that Defendant Judge Stefany Miley's May 11, 2018
10 Order, attached to the Judicial Defendants' motion to dismiss, which was filed by
11 Defendant SDAG Brady, was fabricated by Defendants Judge Stefany Miley and SDAG
12 Brady.²

13 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

14 A pleading is subject to certain rules; primary among them is that a plaintiff's
15 complaint must adhere to Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a), which provides:

16 A pleading which sets forth a claim for relief [. . .] shall contain
17 (1) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the
18 pleader is entitled to relief; and (2) a demand for judgment for the
relief the pleader seeks. Relief in the alternative or of several
different types may be demanded.

19 The State of Nevada follows a notice pleading standard as to Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a) and the
20 sufficiency of the complaint. *See Crucil v. Carson City*, 95 Nev. 583, 585, 600 P.2d 216, 217
21 (1979) ("[T]he pleading of [a] conclusion, either of law or fact, is sufficient so long as the
22 pleading gives fair notice of the nature and basis of the claim."). Nevertheless, even under
23 the notice pleading standard, "[a] complaint must set forth sufficient facts to establish all
24 necessary elements of a claim for relief [. . .] so that the adverse party has adequate notice of

25 ² This Court notes that the Complaint also named several other defendants. At the
26 June 9, 2021 hearing, Deputy District Attorney Joel Browning specially appeared.
27 Browning noted that his office was notified the morning of June 9, 2021, of Nolan's
28 Complaint. Browning also noted that according to the information then known to him, the
Complaint had not been properly served on any defendants. Browning stated that he was
not able to accept service on behalf of any defendant at this time. This Court scheduled a
status check for July 14, 2021, as to the status of the remaining defendants.

1 the nature of the claim and relief sought.” *Hay v. Hay*, 100 Nev. 196, 198, 678 P.2d 672, 674
2 (1984) (internal citations omitted).

3 Nev. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(5) allows this Court to dismiss a complaint for “failure to state
4 a claim upon which relief can be granted.” When reviewing a motion to dismiss under Nev.
5 R. Civ. P. 12(b)(5), this Court “must construe the pleadings liberally and accept all factual
6 allegations in the complaint as true.” *Blackjack Bonding v. City of Las Vegas Mun. Court*,
7 116 Nev. 1213, 1217, 14 P.3d 1275, 1278 (2000). In doing so, “this [C]ourt must draw every
8 fair inference in favor of the non-moving party.” *Id.* “A complaint will not be dismissed
9 for failure to state a claim unless it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff could prove
10 no set of facts which, if accepted by the trier of fact, would entitle him or her to relief.” *Id.*
11 (quoting *Simpson v. Mars Inc.*, 113 Nev. 188, 190, 929 P.2d 966, 967 (1997)).

12 “As a general rule, the court may not consider matters outside the pleading being
13 attacked.” *Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp.*, 109 Nev. 842, 847, 858 P.2d 1258, 1261
14 (1993). “However, the court may take into account matters of public record, orders, items
15 present in the record of the case, and any exhibits attached to the complaint when ruling
16 on a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.” *Id.*
17 Additionally, “a document is not outside the complaint if the complaint specifically refers
18 to the document and if its authenticity is not questioned.” *Branch v. Tunnell*, 14 F.3d 449,
19 454 (9th Cir.1994) *overruled on other grounds by Galbraith v. Cnty. of Santa Clara*, 307
20 F.3d 1119, 1125–26 (9th Cir.2002).

21 Dismissing a complaint with prejudice is appropriate when it is clear that allowing
22 a plaintiff an opportunity to amend would be futile. *See Lucas v. Dep’t of Corr.*, 66 F.3d 245,
23 248-49 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam) (holding a district court does not abuse its discretion by
24 dismissing a pro se complaint if it is clear that the deficiencies cannot be cured by
25 amendment); *see also Cook, Perkiss & Liehe, Inc. v. N. Cal. Collection Serv., Inc.*, 911 F.2d
26 242, 247 (9th Cir. 1990).

27 Judicial immunity is immunity from suit, not just from ultimate assessment of
28 damages.” *Mireless v. Waco*, 502 U.S. 9, 11 (1991). “Absolute immunity is a broad grant of

1 immunity not just from the imposition of civil damages, but also from the burdens of
2 litigation.” *State v. Second Judicial Dist.*, 118 Nev. 609, 615, 232 P.3d 420, 424 (2002).
3 Judicial immunity serves “as a device for discouraging collateral attacks and thereby
4 helping to establish appellate procedures as the standard system for correcting judicial
5 error[.]” *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219, 225 (1998). A judge lacks immunity only “where
6 he acts in the clear absence of all jurisdiction or performs an act that is not judicial in
7 nature.” *Ashelman v. Pope*, 793 F.2d 1072, 1075 (9th Cir. 1986) (internal quotation and
8 citation omitted).

9 Nevada law has long recognized the common law rule that communications uttered
10 or published in the course of judicial proceedings are absolutely privileged, rendering those
11 who made the communications immune from civil liability. *Greenberg Traurig v. Frias*
12 *Holding Co.*, 130 Nev. 627, 630, 331 P.3d 901, 903 (2014) citing *Fink v. Oshins*, 118 Nev.
13 428, 432–33, 49 P.3d 640, 643 (2002) (quotations omitted). “The policy behind the
14 [litigation] privilege, as it applies to attorneys participating in judicial proceedings, is to
15 grant them ‘as officers of the court the utmost freedom in their efforts to obtain justice for
16 their clients.’” *Id.* at 433, 49 P.3d at 643 (quoting *Bull v. McCuskey*, 96 Nev. 706, 712, 615
17 P.2d 957, 961 (1980) *abrogated on other grounds by Ace Truck & Equip. Rentals, Inc. v.*
18 *Kahn*, 103 Nev. 503, 746 P.2d 132 (1987), *abrogated by Bongiovo v. Sullivan*, 122 Nev. 556,
19 138 P.3d 433 (2006)).

20 The privilege applies so long as the statements are “in some way pertinent to the
21 subject of the controversy.” *Id.* at 433, 49 P.3d at 644 (internal quotation omitted). The
22 privilege is almost absolute, in that it applies even if the communications were made with
23 knowledge and malice, *id.* at 433, 49 P.3d at 643. *But see Bull*, 96 Nev. at 712, 615 P.2d at
24 962 (stating that litigation privilege does not shield an attorney from bar discipline
25 stemming from the attorney's misconduct); *but see also Greenberg Traurig*, 130 Nev. At
26 631, 331 P.3d at 904 (noting that Nevada recognizes a legal-malpractice exception).

27 ///

28 ///

1 This Court finds that Judge Stefany Miley is entitled to absolute immunity. As
2 alleged, Judge Stefany Miley acted within the course and scope of her judicial duties by
3 entering her May 11, 2018 Order. Under no set of facts, would the allegations and claims
4 in the Complaint prevail over the absolute immunity Judge Stefany Miley is entitled to
5 receive. Therefore, dismissal with prejudice is appropriate, as amendment would be futile.

6 This Court further finds that Defendant SDAG Brady is entitled to immunity under
7 the litigation privilege. Defendant SDAG Brady's acts were clearly done for the purpose of
8 litigation and defense of her clients. Under no set of facts, would the allegations and claims
9 in the Complaint prevail over the litigation privilege Defendant SDAG Brady is entitled to
10 receive. Therefore, dismissal with prejudice is appropriate, as amendment would be futile.

11 **ORDER**

12 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice is
13 **GRANTED** in full as to Defendants.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH**
15 **PREJUDICE** as to Defendants. **

16 DATED this _____ day of June, 2021.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2021

17 
18 _____
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

19 Submitted by:

20 AARON D FORD
21 Attorney General

358 E6D C194 0B5F
Jessica K. Peterson
District Court Judge

22 By: /s/ Christopher M. Guy
23 Christopher M. Guy (Bar No. 15239)
Deputy Attorney General

24 *Attorneys for Defendants*

25 ** As indicated during the Hearing, the Court will issue a separate Order as it
26 pertains to multiple Motions filed by Mr. Nolan, that are not moot as a result of this
27 Order.
28

1 **CSERV**

2
3 DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4
5
6 Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-20-820190-C

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 8

8 Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

9
10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District
12 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all
13 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 6/15/2021

15 Christopher Guy

cguy@ag.nv.gov

16 Joel Browning

joel.browning@clarkcountyda.com

17 Christine Wirt

christine.wirt@clarkcountyda.com

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

November 05, 2020

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

November 05, 2020 9:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Crockett, Jim

COURTROOM: Phoenix Building 11th Floor
116

COURT CLERK: Rem Lord

RECORDER: Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REASSIGNMENT AND/OR RECUSAL OF JUDGE JIM CROCKETT DUE TO SYSTEMATIC RACISM / RACIAL INJUSTICE / RACIAL DISCRIMINATION WHO DENIED THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND GRANTED DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS CASE A-20-813173-C CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE ON 9/9/2020 ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE ... PLAINTIFF'S MOTION / REQUEST FOR COURT ORDER TO COMPEL DISCOVERY / EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY AND PARTIES STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER ... PLAINTIFF'S MOTION / REQUEST FOR MEDIATION SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

COURT NOTED there was no indication that there had been any service for any of the parties in the case. COURT FURTHER NOTED Mr. Nolan has not complied with NRS 1.235 and ORDERED all matters CONTINUED.

CONTINUED TO: 12/17/2020 9:00 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey

A-20-820190-C

File & Serve. /rl 11/19/2020

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

December 17, 2020

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

December 17, 2020 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Crockett, Jim **COURTROOM:** Phoenix Building 11th Floor
116

COURT CLERK: Jill Chambers

RECORDER: Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REASSIGNMENT AND/OR RECUSAL OF JUDGE JIM CROCKETT DUE TO SYSTEMATIC RACISM/RACIAL IN JUSTICE / RACIAL DISCRIMINATION WHO DENIED THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND GRANTED DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS IN CASE A-20-813173-C CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE ON 9/9/2020 ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION/ REQUEST FOR COURT ORDER TO COMPEL DISCOVERY /EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY AND PARTIES STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER PLAINTIFF'S MOTION /REQUEST FOR MEDIATION SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

No parties appeared.

Court noted that the Pltff's motions are procedurally improper with no indication any part of the law suit has been served on anyone; there were no required affidavits attached; the matter was not ripe; previously entered did not comply with NRS 1.235. COURT ORDERED, MOTIONS DENIED for failure to comply with NRS 1.235 and failure to serve any party. Court will issue the order.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

January 14, 2021

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

January 14, 2021 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K. **COURTROOM:** Phoenix Building 11th Floor
116

COURT CLERK: Teri Berkshire

RECORDER: Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff's Motion for Clarification to Determine Whether the Clerk's Office or License Process Server Issued Service of Complaint and Summons Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015....Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time of 120 Days to Serve the Defendants

Court noted there are no parties present and the Court will issue a minute order.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

January 19, 2021

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

January 19, 2021 9:00 AM Motion

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K. **COURTROOM:** Phoenix Building 11th Floor
116

COURT CLERK: Alice Jacobson

RECORDER: Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- There being no parties present. Court to prepare a minute order from Chambers.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

March 09, 2021

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

March 09, 2021

3:00 AM

Minute Order

**Minute Order:
Motion to Disqualify
TRANSFERRED to
Dept. 7**

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K.

COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK:

Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, Motion to Disqualify TRANSFERRED to Dept. 7; all pending motions VACATED.

3/12/2021 10:30 AM PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY (DEPT. 7)

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to:

Ricky Nolan #80612
Ely State Prison
P.O. Box 1989
Ely, NV 89301

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

March 09, 2021

A-20-820190-C	Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
	vs.
	Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

March 09, 2021	2:20 PM	Minute Order
-----------------------	----------------	---------------------

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie	COURTROOM: No Location
------------------------------------	-------------------------------

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Nolan's 2/22/2021 Motion was transferred to Department 7 to consider a motion for disqualification. The Motion filed is a motion requesting reconsideration: "for Judge Peterson to reverse her ruling on 1/20/2021 based on the plaintiff's in forma pauperis status." The motion is returned to Department 8 for consideration, along with any other pending motion. The department is reminded that any matter not decided on the day of hearing MUST be flagged as under advisement until a decision is rendered.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to:

Ricky Nolan #80612
Ely State Prison
P.O. Box 1989
Ely, NV 89301

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

April 20, 2021

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

April 20, 2021 3:00 AM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K.

COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Natalie Ortega

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Due to judicial economy, COURT ORDERED, all future hearings scheduled for April 22, 2021 and May 4, 2021 RESET to May 18, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.

CLERK'S NOTE: This minute order mailed to the following:

Ricky Nolan, #80612
Ely State Prison
P.O. Box 1989
Ely, Nevada 89301
Plaintiff, Pro Se

Further, this minute order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Natalie Ortega, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve and/or served via facsimile. ndo/04/20/21

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

May 18, 2021

A-20-820190-C	Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
	vs.
	Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

May 18, 2021

3:00 AM

Minute Order

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K.

COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Rem Lord

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, all pending motions CONSOLIDATED to be heard on June 9, 2021 at 10:00 am.
The hearing will be held via Blue Jeans. Appearance information is below.

Meeting URL

https://bluejeans.com/857875530?src=join_info

Meeting ID

857 875 530

Want to dial in from a phone?

Dial one of the following numbers:

+1.408.419.1715 (United States(San Jose))

+1.408.915.6290 (United States(San Jose))

(see all numbers - <https://www.bluejeans.com/numbers>)

Connecting from a room system?

Dial: bjn.vc or 199.48.152.152 and enter your meeting ID

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve and mailed to Plaintiff Ricky Nolan (# 80612 PO Box 1989 Ely NV 89301). /rl 5/18/2021

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

June 09, 2021

A-20-820190-C	Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
	vs.
	Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

June 09, 2021	10:00 AM	All Pending Motions
----------------------	-----------------	----------------------------

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K.	COURTROOM: Phoenix Building 11th Floor 116
---------------------------------------	--

COURT CLERK: Rem Lord

RECORDER: Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:	Browning, Joel K.	Attorney
	Guy, Christopher M	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Civil Contempt Sanctions of \$4,000.00 Compensate the Plaintiff who is an Injured Party... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint (1) Judge Peterson Disqualified Herself from the Plaintiff's Case... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request for a Settlement Conference... Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Enter Judgment Pursuant to NRCP Against Defendants...Plaintiffs Motion -Defenders Refused to Accept Service of the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office on 11-30-2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail "Via " US Postal Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-10-2020, to make it Appear Defendants where not Served an Order for Judge Crockett and Person to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request to Grant Complaint due to the Defenders Failure to Respond/ Answer, Reply to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Up the Office of the Attorney General on 11/30/2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail Room "Via " US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-11-2020 Pursuant to NRS 12.015 NRS 1.235 Defenders are in Default by Refusing to Answer or Accept Service of Complaint Time Limit to Answer the Complaint has Passed... Plaintiff's Motion for Court Ordered Service , Pursuant to NRS 12.01, NRCP 4.2- 4.3 Rule 4.4 A- 27 A - Rule 4.4 (d), NRS 1.235 on 12-1-2020- Esp Legal Mail Room Served the Complaint and Summons Upon the Office of the Attorney General by Mail US Postal Service and Proof of Service

was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 - In Forma Paupers Granted... Plaintiffs Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 for Judge Peterson to Issue Court Order... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Filed 3/19/2021/ at Motions #1/ #9 #11 #7... Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Error in the Order Filed on 3-19-2021 Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint and all Relief the Plaintiff is Seeking... Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Judge Peterson and State Officials Violated the Conditions of Order Granting In Forma Pauperis by Judge Linda Bell... Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice... Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Motion... Plaintiff's Motion... Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief/ Order for Defendants to Release Genetic DNA Materials for Testing... Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of Summons, Complaint, Service of Process Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Person to Please Review the Exhibits #1-2 ...Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment oral Argument Requested via Video/ Telephonic Hearing at Ely State Prison

Joel Browning Esq. present on behalf of Steve Wolfson, James Sweetin, Sarah Overly, Clark County District Attorney Office and Kay Holthus.

Upon the Court's inquiry Mr. Browning stated the District Attorneys office has not received service on the complaint. Colloquy regarding service, Court notes Mr. Browning did not waive his right to service.

COURT reviewed the procedural history of the case and ORDERED all motions that were filed by Mr. Nolan seeking a default or a default judgement related to the Attorney General's Office are all MOOT due to failure of service, the motions as they pertain to the District Attorney's Office will be CONTINUED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, any motions by Mr. Nolan asking for reconsideration are DENIED.

COURT stated findings and FURTHER ORDERED, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss GRANTED as it pertains to Stefany Miley and Katlyn Brady; Mr. Guy to prepare and submit the Order. COURT ORDERED, status check SET

7/14/21 10:00 AM STATUS CHECK... OMNIBUS

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to: Ricky Nolan #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301. rl 7/28/21

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Intentional Misconduct

COURT MINUTES

July 20, 2021

A-20-820190-C Ricky Nolan, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Stefany Miley, Defendant(s)

July 20, 2021 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Peterson, Jessica K.

COURTROOM: Phoenix Building 11th Floor
116

COURT CLERK: Rem Lord

RECORDER: Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing the Complaint... Clark County District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint... Plaintiff's Motion for Judge Peterson to Issue a Order for the Attorney General to File a Answer on Remains 8- defendants Pursuant to Rule 4.2... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint (1) Judge Peterson Disqualified Herself from the Plaintiff's Case...Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Civil Contempt Sanctions of \$4,000.00 Compensate the Plaintiff who is an Injured Party... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request for a Settlement Conference... Plaintiff's Motion for Judge to Enter Judgment Pursuant to NRCP Against Defendants...Plaintiffs Motion -Defenders Refused to Accept Service of the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Upon the Attorney General Office on 11-30-2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail "Via " US Postal Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-10-2020, to make it Appear Defendants where not Served an Order for Judge Crockett and Person to Dismiss the Complaint for Failure to Serve Committing Misconduct... Plaintiffs Motion/ Request to Grant Complaint due to the Defendants Failure to Respond/ Answer, Reply to the Complaint and Summons After it was Served Up the Office of the Attorney General on 11/30/2020 by Ely State Prison Legal Mail Room "Via " US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerks Office on 12-11-2020 Pursuant to NRS 12.015 NRS 1.235 Defendants are in Default by Refusing to Answer or Accept Service of Complaint Time Limit to Answer the Complaint has Passed... Plaintiff's Motion for

Court Ordered Service , Pursuant to NRS 12.01, NRCP 4.2- 4.3 Rule 4.4 A- 27 A - Rule 4.4 (d), NRS 1.235 on 12-1-2020- Esp Legal Mail Room Served the Complaint and Summons Upon the Office of the Attorney General by Mail US Postal Service and Proof of Service was Filed in the Clerk 's Office on 12-10-2020 - In Forma Paupers Granted... Plaintiffs Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 for Judge Peterson to Issue Court Order... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Filed 3/19/2021/ at Motions #1/ #9 #11 #7... Plaintiff's Motion to Correct the Error in the Order Filed on 3-19-2021 Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion to Grant Complaint and all Relief the Plaintiff is Seeking... Plaintiff's Motion Pursuant to NRS 12.015 Judge Peterson and State Officials Violated the Conditions of Order Granting In Forma Pauperis by Judge Linda Bell... Plaintiff's Motion for Issuance of Summons, Complaint, Service of Process Upon Defendants Pursuant to NRS 12.015... Plaintiff's Motion / Request for Judge Peterson to Please Review the Exhibits #1-2... Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment oral Argument Requested via Video/ Telephonic Hearing at Ely State Prison... Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief/ Order for Defendants to Release Genetic DNA Materials for Testing... Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff's Motion... Plaintiff's Motion for a Settlement Conference... Plaintiff's Motion for Court Order to Compel Discovery/ Extension of Time to Complete Discovery Fed R. Civ P. (a)(30(B) -Rule 16.1... Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time or 120 days to Complete Service... Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment... Plaintiffs Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief... Plaintiff's Motion Seeking a Order for Alternative Service Methods Pursuant to Rule 4.4, 4.2, 4.4, 4.4 (a)... Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Dismissing Complaint on 6-9-2021

Joel Browning Esq. present on behalf of the State of Nevada.

COURT stated findings and ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for Order Filed on 6-15-2021 Dismissing the Complaint DENIED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED Clark County District Attorney Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint ADVANCED and GRANTED rendering all other motions on calendar MOOT; Mr. Browning to prepare and submit the order. COURT NOTED once Mr. Browning's order is received and signed this matter will be closed. COURT ORDERED any further filings on behalf Mr. Nolan in this matter will result in this Court noticing this matter and filing a motion to declare him as a vexatious litigant.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was mailed to: Ricky Nolan #80612 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301. rl 9/1/21



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY
ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

RICKY NOLAN #80612
P.O. BOX 1989
ELY, NV 89301

DATE: November 18, 2021
CASE: A-20-820190-C

RE CASE: RICKY NOLAN vs. STEVEN B. WOLFSON; MARY KAY HOLTHUS; JAMES R. SWEETIN; SARAH OVERLY; CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE; STATE OF NEVADA; FRANK LOGRIPPO

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: November 17, 2021

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- ☒ \$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
 - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- ☒ \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- ☒ \$500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
 - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
 - *Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.*
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- ☐ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. **The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing**, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

*****Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.***

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL PURSUANT TO RULE 406-5-D BY A INMATE
CONFINED IN A [ILLEGIBLE]. PRISON MAIL BOX RULE; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT;
DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF
ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS
STEFANY MILEY AND KATLYN BRADY; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF
DEFICIENCY

RICKY NOLAN,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON; MARY KAY
HOLTHUS; JAMES R. SWEETIN; SARAH
OVERLY; CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY OFFICE; STATE OF NEVADA;
FRANK LOGRIPPO,

Defendant(s),


Case No: A-20-820190-C

Dept No: VIII

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada
This 18 day of November 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court



Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk