## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

HOLGA FLORES-REYES, AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND ANTHONY VERDON,
AN INDIVIDUAL,
Appellants,
vs.
EDEL RAMIREZ-NAVARRETE, AN
INDIVIDUAL,
Respondent.

No. 82455

Electronically Filed May 12 2021 11:42 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

## SETTLEMENT PROGRAM EARLY CASE ASSESSMENT REPORT

make	After conducting a premediation conference with counsel pursuant to NRAP 16(b), I the following recommendation to the court regarding this appeal:
	This case is appropriate for the program and a mediation session will be scheduled/has been scheduled for:
	This case is not appropriate for mediation and should be removed from the settlement program. But see comments below — Delays + lad Faith
	The premediation conference has not been conducted or is continued because:
Comments: Although Appellant did minimally participate in ECA	
communications, ST was then informed that there will be a new	
(third) law firm on this case however a new notice of appearance has not been seen by Sto Appellant (through the counsel)	
has had a history of either Thouse Teulist 5-12-21	
minimal or no participation Settlement Judge	
cc: Al	1 Counsel Court to District Court Records and
oc: All Counsel or no feet menged to District Court Records and in proceedings according to District Court Records and	
their request for rual as me Recommend Appellant be	
was stucken as a sanction, with all dead lives, with no, of	
In proceedings according to only in this reather small case their request for trual de novo in this reather small case their request for trual de novo in this reather small case was stucken as a sanction, Recommend Appellant be was stucken as as sanction, with all deadlines, with no made to strictly couply with all deadlines, with no made to strictly couply with all proceeded \$7455. Document 2021, 13652	