### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Feb 23 2022 02:15 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

TONEY ANTHONY WHITE, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s),

Case No: C-16-313216-2 *Related Case A-20-824261-W* Docket No: 82889-COA

# RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME 7

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT TONEY WHITE # 1214172, PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

VOLUME:	PAGE NUMBER:
1	1 - 240
2	241 - 480
3	481 - 720
4	721 - 960
5	961 - 1200
6	1201 - 1259
7	1260 - 1270

<u>VOL</u>	DATE	<u>PLEADING</u>	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
2	02/20/2019	AMENDED INDICTMENT	355 - 360
2	04/01/2019	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	401 - 402
5	05/07/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	1149 - 1150
5	05/14/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	1153 - 1154
7	02/23/2022	CERTIFICATION OF COPY AND TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD	
2	03/25/2019	CRIMINAL ORDER TO STATISTICALLY CLOSE CASE	395 - 395
5	11/08/2019	CRIMINAL ORDER TO STATISTICALLY CLOSE CASE	980 - 980
1	05/18/2016	DEFENDANT WHITE'S APPLICATION TO RECUSE COUNSEL AND FOR APPOINTMENT FOR ALTERNATIVE COUNSEL; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	149 - 154
1	06/15/2016	DEFENDANT WHITE'S APPLICATION TO RECUSE COUNSEL AND FOR APPOINTMENT FOR ALTERNATIVE COUNSEL; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	155 - 161
1	03/27/2017	DEFENDANT WHITE'S MOTION FOR TRIAL EXTENSION FOR 180 DAYS; MOTION TO RECUSE COUNSEL AND APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN PROPRIA PERSONAM	199 - 208
2	01/09/2018	DEFENDANT WHITE'S MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF NEW COUNSEL OR ALTERNATIVELY TO PROCEED IN PRO PER.; STATEMENT OF FACTS	277 - 287
2	01/09/2018	DEFENDANT WHITE'S MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF NEW COUNSEL OR ALTERNATIVELY TO PROCEED IN PRO PER.; STATEMENT OF FACTS	288 - 299
2	01/12/2018	DEFENDANT WHITE'S MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF NEW COUNSEL OR ALTERNATIVELY TO PROCEED IN PRO PER.; STATEMENT OF FACTS	300 - 323
1	05/03/2017	DEFENDANT WHITE'S OBJECTION TO COURTS DENIAL OF MOTION FILED 03/27/17.	209 - 209
5	06/26/2020	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR COURT ORDER DIRECTING PREPARATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 18, 2017 HEARING.	1007 - 1010

<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NUMBER:</u>
5	06/19/2020	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RELIEVE APPELLATE COUNSEL TERRENCE M. JACKSON AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF PCR COUNSEL PURSUANT TO NRS 34.720 THRU 34.80.	1004 - 1006
5	08/19/2020	DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PCR COUNSEL	1022 - 1027
1	12/28/2016 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECUSE COUNSEL AND PROCEED IN PROPRIA PERSONAM IN LIGHT OF COUNSELS DEMONSTRATED INEFFECTIVENESS AND CASE NEGLECT AND IN LIGHT OF EXISTING CONFLICT.		193 - 198
6	05/27/2021	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES	1205 - 1259
7	02/23/2022	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES	1270 - 1270
5	05/27/2021	DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS (UNFILED) (CONTINUED)	1155 - 1200
6	05/27/2021	DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS (UNFILED) (CONTINUATION)	1201 - 1204
1	10/21/2016	EX PARTE MOTION AND ORDER RELEASING ALL MEDICAL RECORDS	181 - 182
5	04/08/2021	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	1056 - 1100
2	10/19/2017	GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT	255 - 263
1	03/09/2016	INDICTMENT	3 - 8
1	03/11/2016	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN (REDACTED VERSION)	9 - 10
1	03/09/2016	INDICTMENT WARRANT; WARRANT FOR ARREST	1 - 2
2	03/27/2019	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)	396 - 398
2	02/20/2019	JURY LIST	354 - 354
4	08/30/2019	MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION AND REQUEST FOR REMAND; HEARING REQUESTED	956 - 960
5	07/13/2020	MOTION FOR ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS	1019 - 1021
5	09/14/2020	MOTION FOR ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS	1039 - 1042
5	07/06/2020	MOTION TO OBTAIN A COPY OF A SEALED RECORD (PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT - NRS 176.156) ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME	1013 - 1018
2	04/15/2019	MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	403 - 410
1	11/22/2016	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	183 - 186

<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NUMBER:</u>
5	06/11/2020	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL; HEARING REQUESTED	986 - 988
2	09/05/2018	MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA	337 - 348
4	07/26/2019	MOTION TO WITHDRAW PLEA OF GUILTY; HEARING	920 - 954
5	06/16/2020	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/REMITTITUR JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	990 - 997
2	03/28/2019	NOTICE OF APPEAL	339 - 400
5	04/12/2021	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	1101 - 1146
4	07/30/2019	NOTICE OF HEARING	955 - 955
5	09/04/2019	NOTICE OF HEARING	961 - 961
5	09/05/2019	NOTICE OF HEARING	962 - 962
5	06/12/2020	NOTICE OF HEARING	989 - 989
5	08/19/2020	NOTICE OF HEARING	1028 - 1028
2	10/12/2018	NOTICE OF HEARING DATE CHANGE	349 - 350
1	10/18/2016	NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK PUNISHMENT AS A HABITUAL CRIMINAL	179 - 180
5	09/20/2019	ORDER	963 - 963
5	07/02/2020	ORDER	1011 - 1012
2	05/21/2019	ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	441 - 441
5	10/31/2020	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR CREDIT FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS	1052 - 1055
5	08/26/2020	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS	1029 - 1031
5	09/15/2020	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PCR COUNSEL	1043 - 1045
2	04/30/2019	ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE TONEY WHITE, BAC #1214172	411 - 412
5	09/20/2019	ORDER TO TRANSPORT	964 - 965
1	05/03/2017	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (CONTINUED)	210 - 240
2	05/03/2017	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (CONTINUATION)	241 - 247

<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NUMBER:</u>
2	04/30/2019	PETITIONER'S MOTION TO REDUCE AND/OR QUASH RESTITUTION	413 - 414
5	05/13/2021	PETITIONER'S SECOND NOTICE OF APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ON APPEAL	1151 - 1152
5	05/06/2021	PETITIONER/DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF APPEAL PURSUANT TO NRAP R. 4.	1147 - 1148
2	12/01/2017	PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (UNFILED) CONFIDENTIAL	264 - 276
1	12/06/2016	PRETRIAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	187 - 192
2	06/04/2019	REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS	450 - 452
2	03/15/2019	SENTENCING MEMORANDUM	376 - 394
1	10/11/2016	STATE'S NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)]	162 - 178
2	02/12/2019	STATE'S NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(A)]	351 - 353
5	09/23/2020	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR CREDIT FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS	1046 - 1051
2	06/03/2019	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REDUCE OR QUASH RESTITUTION	446 - 449
5	10/07/2019	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA	966 - 973
5	09/02/2020	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PCR COUNSEL	1032 - 1038
2	05/08/2017	STATE'S SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)]	248 - 254
2	03/11/2019	SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (UNFILED) CONFIDENTIAL	361 - 375
7	02/22/2022	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON APRIL 18, 2017	1260 - 1269
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON APRIL 24, 2018	497 - 499
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON APRIL 5, 2018	495 - 496
2	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON DECEMBER 13, 2016	466 - 469
2	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON DECEMBER 14, 2017	470 - 471
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON DECEMBER 20, 2018	524 - 527
3	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 15, 2018	489 - 491

	<u> </u>		
<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>PLEADING</u>	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
3	07/12/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 19, 2019 (CONTINUED)	540 - 720
4	07/12/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 19, 2019 (CONTINUATION)	721 - 737
4	07/12/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 20, 2019	738 - 892
4	07/12/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2019	893 - 919
3	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 6, 2018	482 - 488
2	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JANUARY 18, 2018	472 - 479
2	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JANUARY 30, 2018 (CONTINUED)	480 - 480
3	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JANUARY 30, 2018 (CONTINUATION)	481 - 481
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JUNE 28, 2018	508 - 518
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JUNE 5, 2018	503 - 507
2	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON JUNE 9, 2016	463 - 465
2	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MARCH 17, 2016	453 - 462
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MARCH 19, 2019	528 - 539
3	06/21/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MARCH 29, 2018	492 - 494
1	03/25/2016	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MARCH 8, 2016	11 - 148
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON MAY 10, 2018	500 - 502
2	05/17/2018	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON OCTOBER 19, 2017	324 - 326
3	07/10/2019	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2018	519 - 523
2	05/02/2019	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ON APPEAL. AND W/UNFILED COPY OF POST-CONVICTION / SENTENCE MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA PURSUANT TO NRS 176.165; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.	417 - 429
2	06/03/2019	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED MOTION FOR COURT ORDER DIRECTING RELEASE OF PROPERTY	442 - 445

<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NUMBER:</u>
5	12/02/2019	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED MOTION FOR ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS.	981 - 985
2	05/13/2019	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED POST-CONVICTION / SENTENCE MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA PURSUANT TO NRS 176.165; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.	430 - 440
5	10/18/2019	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED REQUEST FOR RECORDS/COURT CASE DOCUMENTS AND W/COPY OF UNFILED NOTICE OF MOTION	974 - 979
5	06/18/2020	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - ATTORNEY LETTER W/COPY OF UNFILED REQUESTED MOTION FOR ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL COURT RECORDS.	998 - 1003
2	04/30/2019	WRIT OF REPLIVIN AND FOR COURT ORDER DIRECTING RETURN OF PROPERTY	415 - 416

Electronically Filed 2/22/2022 9:56 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUF

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK	COUNTY, NEVADA
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  Plaintiff,  vs.	) ) CASE NO. C-16-313216-2 ) DEPT. NO. XII )
TONEY ANTHONY WHITE	1

TONEY ANTHONY WHITE,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, APRIL 18, 2017

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
DEFENDANT WHITE'S PRO PER MOTION FOR TRIAL EXTENSION FOR 180
DAYS; MOTION TO RECUSE COUNSEL AND APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN
PROPRIA PERSONAM

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: HARVEY GRUBER, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: KRISTINE SANTI, COURT RECORDER

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 18, 2017, 8:51 A.M.

\* \* \* \* \*

THE COURT: Page 7, State of Nevada versus Toney White, C313216, he's present. He's in custody. I had a chance to read your motion again. Again, I don't believe that there's any legal basis, you know, we've talked about some of these motions and, you know, things that you think your attorney should have brought, I just want to remind you again, your attorney cannot raise somebody else's Fourth Amendment rights. Okay. So I know you keep bringing that up that you think the search of that car --

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I --

THE COURT: -- was illegal and somehow that -- you can't raise that issue. So is there anything else?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I just don't believe that it's proper that the individual that was driving my vehicle had standing to authorize a search when he didn't -- he didn't --

THE COURT: It doesn't matter what you think. It matters what the law is. You can't raise somebody else -- you have no standing. So you understand it because you even used the term.

THE DEFENDANT: I -- well, when I researched, I have standing, so.

THE COURT: You don't have standing. Okay. So just -- and, again, I just want to remind you that your attorney cannot raise issues that are frivolous. So I don't know -- I mean, you keep wanting to dismiss your attorney because he won't file a motion that I've told you would be inappropriate.

THE DEFENDANT: Right. It's more than a motion that I'm concerned with. I've been -- I've been incarcerated with my codefendants for a year.

 THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Over a year. I have -- I have none of the discovery. I mean, I have the discovery that he provided, but I don't have all the discovery. And if there's [indiscernible] amount of time --

THE COURT: Okay. So, here's the thing, I'm not sure what you think, but the discovery, I mean, you think your attorney has certain discovery and he's not giving it to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I don't know if the glitch is on his side or if the glitch is on the prosecution's side, but I know that, like, my interrogation --

THE COURT: What glitch do you think there is?

THE DEFENDANT: There's a glitch somewhere because we don't have the discovery. We don't have all the discovery.

THE COURT: What is it?

THE DEFENDANT: The pictures.

THE COURT: I mean, have you turned over the discovery?

MR. SCHWARTZER: I've turned over everything to Mr. Gruber.

THE COURT: Have you turned over everything to your client that the State's provided?

MR. GRUBER: Everything that I have, I've turned over. In fact, there was one day I went over and brought my whole file and went through everything with him. Since then I've gotten additional stuff and forwarded it on to him.

THE COURT: Okay. So is there something you think exists that the State should have turned over? Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, yeah, we went to the grand -- they took the case to the grand jury for an indictment, all the evidence that was admitted before the grand

jury, we've gotten none of that. I don't understand how you can present the evidence to the grand jury and we're not provided any copies. I don't know if they did a <a href="Marcum">Marcum</a> notice, I don't know what's going on.

MR. SCHWARTZER: First off, for the record, he was served <u>Marcum</u> notice in court. His attorneys were served <u>Marcum</u> notice through email and Mr. White was actually served a <u>Marcum</u> notice in court, so I know he's received a <u>Marcum</u> notice.

THE COURT: Okay. Is it -- what is it you think that they introduced at the grand jury that you don't have?

THE DEFENDANT: There was a total of 24 pictures. There was a total of --

THE COURT: How do you know you don't have them?

THE DEFENDANT: Because they're not in my possession.

THE COURT: Pardon?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't have them -- I don't have them in my possession.

MR. GRUBER: Judge, I will recheck my file for a third time and make sure he has everything.

THE COURT: For photos.

MR. GRUBER: If there is --

THE COURT: So you think you're missing photos?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm missing photos, I'm missing the transcription of my interrogation with Henderson Police Department. I have all the transcriptions of my codefendants.

THE COURT: You gave a recorded statement?

THE DEFENDANT: I gave a recorded statement. And I don't know if they're holding that back as a tactical advantage over the case. I don't know what's going

on with that. But I know that I got all the transcriptions of my codefendants and all the victims and all that, but I don't have mine.

THE COURT: Have you provided him with his recorded transcript?

MR. GRUBER: I don't --

THE COURT: Recorded statement transcript?

MR. GRUBER: Judge, I'm not exaggerating when I say this file's at least four inches thick. I -- I --

THE COURT: Okay. But you've seen it, right?

MR. GRUBER: I've seen it. I'm pretty sure he has it or he had it at one point.

I will endeavor to get it to him again.

THE COURT: Okay. So, there's some photos you think you don't have and a copy of your statement to the police. And I've told your attorney, even if he's provided it, to provide that to you again.

Is there anything else?

THE DEFENDANT: No, that's it.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to say about your motion?

THE DEFENDANT: No, that's just my concern, the discovery and I'm not, yeah, I'm not being issued a lot of the stuff that they have in their possession.

THE COURT: Okay. You've told me two things. There's a set of photos you think you haven't gotten and a copy of a recorded statement, a transcript of a recorded statement, which I've told your attorney that if he has not provided to you, he needs to provide to you right away. Is there anything else?

THE DEFENDANT: And there -- yeah, there's also reports of, like, different officers that made reports that are mentioned in my report, I don't have copies of none of those narrative reports.

THE COURT: Okay. You're going to have to be more specific than that.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. There's -- there's reports of seven officers and they're Nielson [phonetic], Niswonger, Nichols [phonetic], Ebert, Wadford, Leinan.

THE COURT: Why do you think they all made separate reports?

THE DEFENDANT: Because all these reports are mentioned in my report, so.

THE COURT: Okay. Read it to me. Read it to me where you think that there's --

THE DEFENDANT: My report? This is the motion.

THE COURT: No, because generally there's not seven different reports.

Generally, there's a police officer that's in charge and that officer prepares a report.

THE DEFENDANT: There's one -- you're right. There's one report of the crime, but that report refers me to other reports. See Officer Such-and-such's report.

THE COURT: Like what? Read it -- read it to me so I know.

THE DEFENDANT: This is the motion. I didn't bring the report with me. I just brought the motions.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I don't know, just because you think that there's other reports does not mean that there are. Okay. Are there seven other -- I would find that to be unusual if there were -- was the main report plus seven other reports, does that exist in this case?

MR. SCHWARTZER: I mean, I don't know exactly how many reports there are. There are a lot of reports, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: If you -- I guess if you include all the C.S.A. reports, it

could be up to seven. But all that has been provided.

THE COURT: Oh, you mean he's -- he's -- oh, okay, you mean, like reports -- THE DEFENDANT: It's not the C.S.A. report I'm concerned with. I have that report, the crime scene analysis report, I have that one. I'm concerned with the officers' reports because -- and my report is saying, like, all these officers had different roles in my arrest, and the roles that they played in my arrest, they documented those roles. But all those roles aren't in the -- the --

THE COURT: Are you telling me that a police report you've read refers and references seven different police reports? Because I would find that to be unusual.

THE DEFENDANT: It does, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. When I'm done with court today I'll read that police report and -- and if those seven reports exist, you're entitled to them.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: Okay. But, again, just because you think they exist doesn't mean they exist.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't see any logic in the officers referencing some reports that don't exist.

THE COURT: Yeah. I agree.

THE DEFENDANT: See Officer --

THE COURT: I agree. If they reference other reports and they're about this case or you're entitled to them, I'll make sure you get them.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: Anything else?

THE DEFENDANT: That's it.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time I'm going to deny the motion.

THE COURT: -- turn that over without a request.

25

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I understand that. Now, there is -- I don't know -- I asked my attorney why, like, certain evidence haven't been handed over, he's telling me that they only have to hand over the evidence that they're going to use in trial. Well, that puts me at a disadvantage because --

THE COURT: Well, that's somewhat true.

THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me?

THE COURT: That's somewhat true. They're required, the statute requires them to turn over evidence that they're going to use in their case-in-chief, but they're also required to turn over anything that is exculpatory.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. And it just limits my, like, defenses. I don't even --we don't even know what the defense is for trial yet and trial is less than two months
away.

THE COURT: Okay. And, again, I found that to be kind of an unusual argument that you want to build your defense based on -- I don't really understand that. I don't -- I don't follow your argument.

THE DEFENDANT: This -- this is, like -- that's part of the reason why we were uncomfortable with the, like, the -- the negotiations, we were uncomfortable with that because we don't know the strengths and the weaknesses of the case to take that in consideration as to, you know what I'm saying?

THE COURT: Who's "we"? Who's "we"?

THE DEFENDANT: Me and my codefendant.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I feel pretty confident your attorney knows the strengths and weaknesses.

THE DEFENDANT: That's not being shared with me. I'm not -- there's no open line of communication there. I don't -- I don't know what we're doing in trial,

1	ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Just for the record, you're talking to your client, right?
3	MR. GRUBER: I'm talking to my client over the phone. I haven't visited him
4	in a while. Since the bar complaint, everything is done in writing and over the phone
5	with someone in my office with me and he's met with my investigator.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Is there any other discovery? Anything else you want to talk about?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No, that's it.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. White.
10	MR. GRUBER: Thank you, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 9:01 A.M.
13	*****
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audiovideo recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.
23	Jun Bihardon
24	SARA RICHARDSON
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber

### C-16-313216-2

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	June 03, 2021	
C-16-313216-2	State of Nevada vs Toney White			
June 03, 2021	11:00 AM	Motion for Appointment of Attorney	Motion for Appointment of Attorney for Appeal	
HEARD BY:	Leavitt, Michelle	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 14D	
COURT CLERI	K: Haly Pannullo			
RECORDER: Sara Richardson				
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	Brooks, Parker State of Nevada	Attorney Plaintiff		

### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Defendant not present. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED as the Defendant is not entitled to appointed counsel; State to prepare the Order. State inquired as to the Order including that the same motion was previously denied in the C case. COURT SO ORDERED.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 02/23/2022 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: June 03, 2021

# **Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record**

State of Nevada	7	SS
County of Clark	}	33

Pursuant to the Court of Appeals order dated February 18, 2022, I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the supplemental trial court record for the case referenced below. The record comprises volume seven with pages numbered 1260 through 1270.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

TONEY ANTHONY WHITE,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: C-16-313216-2

Related Case A-20-824261-W

Dept. No: XII

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 23 day of February 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk