

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN FLOYD VOSS,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 81472

FILED

OCT 18 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

This is a direct appeal from a judgment of conviction. Appellant has filed a fourth motion to discharge his appointed counsel and to proceed pro se. Appellant asserts that counsel has failed to communicate with him and has not addressed the issues he wants addressed. The decision as to what issues to raise on appeal resides within counsel's professional judgment, and appellant has no right to insist that counsel raise specific issues "if counsel, as a matter of [her] professional judgment, decides not to present those [issues]." *Jones v. Barnes*, 463 U.S. 745, 751-54 (1983). Moreover, appellant has no right proceed pro se on direct appeal from a judgment of conviction. *See Blandino v. State*, 112 Nev. 352, 356, 914 P.2d 624, 627 (1996); *see also Martinez v. Court of Appeal of Cal., Fourth Appellate Dist.*, 528 U.S. 152, 163-64 (2000). The motion is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

[Signature], C.J.

cc: Steven Floyd Voss
Tracie Lindeman
Oldenburg Law Office
Attorney General/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney