# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM)  Appellant	Supreme Ct No. District Ct No.	83416 CR 20-7157 Electronically Filed Sep 24 2021 09:44 a.m.
vs.	- 4	Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
STATE OF NEVADA	<b>L</b> . (**)	
Respondent )	£a,	

# **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

Appeal from Judgment of Conviction Sixth Judicial District Court, County of Humboldt The Honorable Michael Montero

### ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

Humboldt County Public Defender Humboldt County DA Matt Stermitz, NSB # 3610 Drawer 309 Winnemucca, Nevada 89445 775-623-6550

Michael Macdonald Drawer 909 Winnemucca, Nevada 89445 775-623-6363

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Judgement of Conviction	1
Amended Judgment of Conviction	5
Notice of Appeal	12
Case Summary	14
Rough Draft Transcript	16

SIXTH JUDICIAL
DISTRICT COURT

Case No. CR 20-7157

Dept. No. 2

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CH ED

AND DEC 21 AHIO.

# IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT.

-oOo-

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

### JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM,

Defendant. /

WHEREAS, on the 1<sup>st day</sup> of December, 2020, the Defendant entered her plea of no contest to the charge of CONSPIRACY TO POSSESS A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE, a Gross Misdemeanor, and the matter having been submitted before the Honorable Michael R. Montero.

At the time Defendant entered the plea of no contest, this Court informed the Defendant of the privilege against compulsory self-incrimination, the right to a speedy trial, the right to a trial by jury, the right to compulsory process to compel witnesses to testify on behalf of the Defendant and the right to confront the accusers. That after being so advised, the Defendant stated that these rights were understood and still desired this Court to accept the plea of guilty.

The parties stipulated to waive the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report and requested to proceed to sentencing. Upon stipulation of the parties the Court waived the requirement of the Pre-Sentence Investigation and proceeded to sentencing on the 15th day of December 2020.

Furthermore, at the time Defendant entered the plea of no contest and at the time of sentencing, Defendant was represented by attorney, MATT STERMITZ, Humboldt County Public Defender; also present in Court were TAMI RAE SPERO, Humboldt County Court Clerk or her designated agent; and MICHAEL MACDONALD, Humboldt County District Attorney or his designated agent, representing the State of Nevada.

The Defendant having appeared on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2020, represented by counsel and Defendant having been given the opportunity to exercise the right of allocution and having shown no legal cause why judgment should not be pronounced at this time.

The above-entitled Court having pronounced the Defendant, SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM, guilty of CONSPIRACY TO POSSESS A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE a Gross Misdemeanor, in violation of NRS 199.480, 193.140 and 453.336 on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2020, thereby ordered the Defendant to pay a \$25.00 administrative assessment fee, a \$3.00 DNA collection fee, and a \$60.00 forensic fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court. Further, the Defendant is ordered to serve three hundred and sixty-four (364) days in the Humboldt County Detention Center with credit for time served of ninety-two (92) days. Said sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on court supervised probation for a period of fourteen (14) days, with the following conditions:

- 1. That the Defendant remain in contact with Denni Byrd of Pretrial Services;
- 2. That the Defendant provide proof of her residency in Utah to the Court within fourteen (14) days of the Defendant's court supervised probation grant;
- 3. That the Defendant pay a \$3.00 DNA collection fee, a \$25.00 administrative assessment fee, and a \$60.00 forensic fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court within thirty (30) days of the Defendant's court supervised probation grant; Furthermore, bail, if any, is hereby exonerated.

MATT STERMITZ, Humboldt County Public Defender, represented the Defendant 000002

during all stages of the proceedings;

MICHAEL MACDONALD, Humboldt County District Attorney or his designated agent, represented the State of Nevada at all stages of these proceedings.

Therefore, the clerk of the above-entitled Court is hereby directed to enter this Judgment of Conviction as a part of the record in the above-entitled matter.

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned hereby affirms this document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 21 day of December, 2020, in the City of Winnemucca, County of Humboldt, State of Nevada.

MICHAEL R. MONTERO DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Sixth Judicial District Court, and that on the 21 day of December, 2020, I delivered at Winnemucca, Nevada, by the following means, a copy of the JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION to:

> Humboldt County District Attorney's Office 501 S. Bridge Street Winnemucca, Nevada 89445 (DCT Box)

MATT STERMITZ Humboldt County Public Defender P.O. Box 309 Winnemucca, Nevada 89446 (DCT Box)

> Formly Judicial Assistant

Case No.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CR 2007157

Dept. No.

II

2021 AUG 13 AM 11: 35

N Day of the

# IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT.

-000-

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

VS.

SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM,

Defendant. /

WHEREAS, on the 1st day of December, 2020, the Defendant entered her plea of NO CONTEST to the charge of CONSPIRACY TO POSSESS A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE, a Gross Misdemeanor, and the matter having been submitted before the Honorable Michael R. Montero.

At the time Defendant entered the plea of no contest, this Court informed the Defendant of the privilege against compulsory self-incrimination, the right to a speedy trial, the right to a trial by jury, the right to compulsory process to compel witnesses to testify on behalf of the Defendant and the right to confront the accusers. That after being so advised, the Defendant stated that these rights were understood and still desired this Court to accept the plea of guilty.

The parties stipulated to waive the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report and requested to proceed to sentencing. Upon stipulation of the parties the Court waived the requirement of the Pre-Sentence Investigation and proceeded to sentencing on the 15th day of December, 2020.

Furthermore, at the time Defendant entered the plea of no contest and at the time 05

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

sentencing, Defendant was represented by attorney, MATT STERMITZ, Humboldt County Public Defender; also present in Court were TAMI RAE SPERO, Humboldt County Court Clerk or her designated agent; and MICHAEL MACDONALD, Humboldt County District Attorney or his designated agent, representing the State of Nevada.

The Defendant having appeared on the 15th day of December, 2020, represented by counsel and Defendant having been given the opportunity to exercise the right of allocution and having shown no legal cause why judgment should not be pronounced at this time.

The above-entitled Court having pronounced the Defendant, SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM, guilty of CONSPIRACY TO POSSESS A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE a Gross Misdemeanor, in violation of NRS 199.480, 193.140 and 453.336 on the 15th day of December, 2020, thereby ordered the Defendant to pay a \$25.00 administrative assessment fee, a \$3.00 DNA collection fee, and a \$60.00 forensic fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court. Further, the Defendant is ordered to serve three hundred and sixty-four (364) days in the Humboldt County Detention Center with credit for time served of ninety-two (92) days. Said sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on court supervised probation for a period of fourteen (14) days, with the following conditions:

- 1. That the Defendant remain in contact with Denni Byrd of Pretrial Services;
- 2. That the Defendant provide proof of her residency in Utah to the Court within fourteen (14) days of the Defendant's court supervised probation grant;
- 3. That the Defendant pay a \$3.00 DNA collection fee, a \$25.00 administrative assessment fee, and a \$60.00 forensic fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court within thirty (30) days of the Defendant's court supervised probation grant; Furthermore, bail, if any, is hereby exonerated.

The Defendant having appeared on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2021 for a Show Cause Hearing before the Honorable Michael R. Montero and in regards to an Order to Show Cause entered by this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Court on April 20, 2021 for Defendant's failure to appear for a status hearing scheduled for April 20, 2021 and an Order to Show Cause entered by this Court on June 24, 2021 for Defendant's failure to appear for a status hearing scheduled for May 18, 2021, and the Court having found Defendant in violation of the terms of probation outlined above, Defendant stipulated to amending her Judgment of Conviction entered on December 21, 2020 to include an additional ninety (90) days credit for time served, twelve (12) months of formal probation, and completion of the Mental Health Court program if Defendant qualifies.

The Court thereby ordered the Judgment of Conviction to be amended to read as follows:

The above-entitled Court having pronounced the Defendant, SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM, guilty of CONSPIRACY TO POSSESS A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE a Gross Misdemeanor, in violation of NRS 199.480, 193.140 and 453.336 on the 15th day of December, 2020, thereby ordered the Defendant to pay a \$25.00 administrative assessment fee, a \$3.00 DNA collection fee, and a \$60.00 forensic fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court. Defendant is ordered to serve three hundred and sixty-four (364) days in the Humboldt County Detention Center with credit for time served of one hundred eighty-two (182) days. Said sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period of twelve (12) months, with the following conditions:

- That the Defendant completely abstain from the use, consumption, purchase or 1. possession of alcoholic beverages or controlled substances, to include marijuana in any form, whatsoever;
- That the Defendant completely abstain from huffing, sniffing, or snorting any 2. inhalants, chemicals or substances that alters or impairs their mind or body functioning;
- That the Defendant completely abstain from the use, consumption, purchase, or 3. possession of any drug, inhalant, chemical, poison, or solvent, with the intent to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

induce	euphoria,	hallucinations,	or	impairs	mind	or	hod!!	6
whatso	ever;			• 4885.74		OI	bodily	functioning

- That the Defendant completely abstain from being present in any cocktail lounge, 4. bar, liquor store, casino or any establishment where alcoholic beverages are the primary source of revenue, whatsoever;
- That the Defendant completely abstain from being present in any smoke shop, vape 5. shop, or marijuana dispensary, whatsoever;
- That the Defendant completely abstain from the use, consumption, purchase or 6. possession of any over-the-counter medications that contain alcohol or narcotics, unless prescribed by a licensed medical professional, and with prior approval of the Defendants supervising officer and Mental Health Court personnel;
- That the Defendant complete a mental health evaluation, and if diagnosed with a 7. mental health disorder, that Defendant successfully complete the Humboldt County Mental Health Court Program. The Defendant shall be subject to the terms and conditions of the Mental Health Court, which shall include, but are not limited to the following;
  - Random testing of the Defendant's blood, breath, a. saliva, hair, or urine to detect the presence of controlled substances and/or alcohol;
  - Participation in an inpatient or an outpatient program b. of treatment and rehabilitation, which may include hospitalization, group and individual counseling, and self-help meetings;
  - Participation in a program of education, job skills C. training and/or personal counseling; d.
  - Mandatory attendance in Mental Health Court hearings as ordered by the Court to monitor the Defendant's compliance with all conditions of the program.

Noncompliance by the Defendant with any condition of the Mental Health Court program may result in the following consequences, as deemed appropriate in the discretion of the Court;

i. Modification of the program to include as appropriate

800000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

different or additional conditions;

ii. Imposition of sanctions, including by not limited to jail time. Furthermore, any jail sanction shall not be considered by the Court as credit for time served should the Defendant be terminated from the program and sentenced to serve time in prison or jail; iii.

House arrest, community service, travel or other Personal restrictions; iv.

Termination from the Mental Health Court program.

The Defendant shall fully execute a Mental Health Court Agreement and Waivers as required by the Mental Health Court Judge, and shall follow all terms and conditions as contained

- 8. That the Defendant pay a \$3.00 DNA collection fee, a \$25.00 administrative assessment fee and a \$60.00 forensic fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court within thirty (30) days of her grant of probation;
- That the Defendant submit a biological specimen, under the Direction of the 9. Division of Parole and Probation, and pay a \$150.00 DNA fee, payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of the Court within ninety (90) days of Defendant's probation grant;
- That the Defendant pay a \$250.00 public defender fee, payable to the Humboldt 10. County Clerk of the Court within six (6) months of her grant of probation;
- That the Defendant not be granted any good time credits or an early release from 11. probation unless all court-ordered and supervision fines and fees are paid in full and all conditions of probation are met;
- That the Defendant resolve her outstanding warrants in West Wendover, Nevada 12. and provide proof of the resolution to her probation officer;
- That the Defendant pay a \$150.00 fine payable to the Humboldt County Clerk of 13. the Court within twelve (12) months of her grant of probation.

Furthermore, bail, if any, is hereby exonerated.

MATT STERMITZ, Humboldt County Public Defender, represented the Defendant during all stages of the proceedings;

MICHAEL MACDONALD, Humboldt County District Attorney or his designated agent, represented the State of Nevada at all stages of these proceedings.

Therefore, the clerk of the above-entitled Court is hereby directed to enter this Amended Judgment of Conviction as a part of the record in the above-entitled matter.

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned hereby affirms this document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 12 day of August, 2021, in the City of Winnemucca, County of Humboldt, State of Nevada.

MICHAEL R. MONTERO DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

# SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT HUMBOLDT COUNTY, NEVADA MICHAEL R. MONTERO

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Sixth Judicial District Court, and that on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2021, I delivered at Winnemucca, Nevada, by the following means, a copy of the AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION to:

Humboldt County District Attorney's Office 501 S. Bridge Street Winnemucca, Nevada 89445 (DCT Box)

MATT STERMITZ Humboldt County Public Defender P.O. Box 309 Winnemucca, Nevada 89446 (DCT Box)

> ELISHA FORMBY Judicial Assistant

26

27

28

CR 20-7157

Dept. No. 2

Case No.

FUED

2021 AUG 24 AH 8: 45

IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

VS.

SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Samantha Marie Graham, defendant, by and through her attorney, the Humboldt County Public Defender, appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court from the Amended Judgment of Conviction filed herein on the 13th day of August, 2021, and attached hereto.

Dated this 23 day of August, 2021.

Matt Stermitz, Bar # 03610

Humboldt County Public Defender

Drawer 309

Winnemucca, Nevada 89445

775-623-6550

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to applicable appellate rules, on the 23 day of August, 2021, the undersigned mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, addressed to: Humboldt County District Attorney, Drawer 909, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445 and Attorney General, 100 N. Carson Street, Carson City, NV 89701, and Samantha Graham, c/o HCDC, 801 E. Fairgrounds Road, Winnemucca, NV 89445.

Matt Stermitz

Sixth Judicial District Court - Humboldt County

Run: 08/24/2021

Case Summary

9:38:02 Page 1

Case #:

CR2007157

Judge:

MONTERO, MICHAEL R.

Date Filed:

02/25/2020

Department:

Case Type:

DRUG CHARGE

Plaintiff(s)

Attorney(s)

NEVADA, THE STATE

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Defendant(s)

Attorney(s)

GRAHAM, SAMANTHA MARIE

PUBLIC DEFENDER

Fees:

otal 0.00	Paid \$25.00 \$0.00	<b>Waived</b> \$0.00 \$0.00	Outstanding \$0.00 \$250.00
.00		\$25.00	\$25.00 \$0.00

Charge:

NRS 199.480 & 453.336 CONSPIRACY TO POSSESS A CS

G/ Count 1

Sent:

Disp/Judgment:

Date:

Hearings:

Date	Time	Hearing
04/07/2020	9:15AM	ARRAIGNMENT
05/19/2020	9:00AM	ARRAIGNMENT
12/01/2020	9:00AM	ARRAIGNMENT
12/15/2020	9:00AM	SENTENCING HEARING
01/12/2021	9:00AM	HEARING
04/20/2021	9:00AM	HEARING
05/18/2021	9:00AM	SHOW CAUSE HEARING
08/03/2021	9:00AM	SHOW CAUSE HEARING
		OHOL GHODE HEARTING

Filings:

Date	Filing
02/25/2020 02/25/2020 02/25/2020 02/25/2020 02/25/2020	PROSECUTOR: DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASSIGNED DEFENSE ATTORNEY: PUBLIC DEFENDER ASSIGNED JUDGE MONTERO, MICHAEL R.: ASSIGNED JUSTICE COURT PROCEEDINGS INFORMATION
02/26/2020 02/26/2020	PLEA AGREEMENT WRITTEN NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR TREATMENT
02/27/2020	AMENDED INFORMATION
04/02/2020	ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 04/07/2000 PT 0 1-

ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 04/07/2020 AT 9:15 AM IN Cl/, JDG: MONTERO,

05/14/2020 ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 05/19/2020 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/ , JDG: MONTERO,

05/19/2020	MINUTES-ARRAIGNMENT (FTA)
10/12/2020	BENCH WARRANT RETURNED (DAY AND NIGHT)
11/05/2020	ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 12/01/2020 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/, JDG: MONTERO,
12/01/2020	SENTENCING HEARING SET FOR 12/15/2020 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/, JDG:
12/01/2020	MINUTES - ARRAIGNMENT HEARING
12/15/2020	MINUTES-SENTENCING HEARING
12/21/2020	HEARING SET FOR 01/12/2021 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/, JDG: MONTERO,
12/21/2020 12/21/2020	ORDER TO RELEASE DEFENDANT ON CONTRACT
01/12/2021	MINUTES - STATUS HEARING
01/15/2021	
03/09/2021	BENCH WARRANT (DAY AND NIGHT)
03/22/2021	HEARING SET FOR 04/20/2021 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/, JDG: MONTERO,
03/31/2021	MOTION TO RELEASE
04/05/2021	STATE OF NEVADA'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RELEASE
04/20/2021	SHOW CAUSE HEARING SET FOR 05/18/2021 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/, JDG:
04/20/2021 04/20/2021	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE MINUTES-HEARING
05/18/2021	MINUTES-SHOW CAUSE HEARING (FTA)
05/19/2021	BENCH WARRANT ATTESTED
06/03/2021	BENCH WARRANT (DAY AND NIGHT)
06/22/2021	SHOW CAUSE HEARING SET FOR 07/27/2021 AT 9:30 AM IN C1/, JDG:
06/22/2021	CONTINUED
06/24/2021	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
07/16/2021	NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF ATTACHED LETTER
07/22/2021	SHOW CAUSE HEARING SET FOR 08/03/2021 AT 9:00 AM IN C1/, JDG:
07/22/2021	AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
08/06/2021	ORDER TO RELEASE AND REPORT TO HUMBOLDT COUNTY SPECIALTY COURTS COORDINATOR
08/13/2021	AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION
08/16/2021	PAYMENT \$18.00 RECEIPT #2630
08/20/2021	PAYMENT \$20.00 RECEIPT #2647
08/24/2021 08/24/2021 08/24/2021 08/24/2021	NOTICE OF APPEAL REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT CASE APPEAL STATEMENT
	NOTICE OF APPEAL PACKET

1	
2	
3	IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
4	
	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
5	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT
6	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL MONTERO, DISTRICT JUDGE
7	-000-
8	
9	
10	STATE OF NEVADA,
11	Plaintiff, Case No. CR 20-7157
12	V. Dept. No. II
13	SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM,
14	Defendant.
15	
16	
17	Transcript of proceedings
18	Order to Show Cause
19	
20	
21	August 3, 2021 Winnemucca, Nevada
22	
23	Transcribed By: Kathy Jackson, CSR - (775) 745-2327
24	
L	Nevada Dictation-(775)745-2327

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For the Plaintiff: Kevin Pasquale, Esq.
4	Humboldt County District Attorney's Office
5	P.O. Box 909 Winnemucca, Nevada 89445
6	For the Defendant: Matt Stermitz, Esq.
7	Humboldt County Public Defender Drawer 309
8	Winnemucca, Nevada 89445
9	-000-
10	-000-
11	I N D E X
12	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: PAGE
13	None.
14	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
15	None.
16	EXHIBITS: MARKED ADMITTED
17	Defendant's A Document 6 Defendant's B Letter 25 25
18	25 25
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
Ĺ	Nevada Dictation-(775)745-2327
	2

### AUGUST 3, 2021, WINNEMUCCA, NEVADA

-000-

THE COURT: We're on the record in Case

CR20-7157. The case caption, State of Nevada, plaintiff

versus Samantha Marie Graham, defendant. The record this

morning will reflect the presence of the defendant,

Ms. Graham, appearing via Zoom from the Humboldt County

Detention Center represented by counsel, Mr. Matthew

Stermitz, who is present here in the courtroom. Mr. Kevin

Pasquale on behalf of the State. Also Ms. Byrd with pretrial

services. And Ms. Okuma with division of parole and

probation.

This matter is on the Court's calendar for a show cause or a hearing on an order to show cause why the Court should not hold Ms. Graham in contempt for failing to follow this Court's order and that order is -- the order is the -- back up here a little bit. The judgment of conviction, it was filed back on December 21st of 2020.

At that time of sentencing the defendant, if I recall correctly, had made some arrangements for some mental health treatment in the State of Utah. And so the Court was willing to -- willing to suspend the defendant's sentence of 364 days in county jail. Of course, there was request for time served of 92 days if she followed through with her --

followed through with her agreement to provide this Court with proof of residency in Utah within 14 days of that grant of supervised probation.

While -- while Ms. Graham was waiting in jail for some arrangements to be made to transfer to Utah the jail released her on a medical with -- with -- the Court was informed, but there was a medical where she was I believe care-flighted to Reno.

And then Renown hospital called Ms. Byrd with pretrial services, I recall this very vividly, and basically accused Humboldt County of dumping Ms. Graham off on Washoe County and Renown because when she arrived there they apparently, and I'm not a doctor, but this is what was relayed to us, found nothing wrong with her. So it was -- it was as though she was released from jail and shipped to Washoe County as some form of a conspiracy by the Court. I was -- I was very offended by that.

But all of that resulted in Ms. Graham being released from custody, pretty convenient. And -- and then Ms. Graham never followed through with her promise to this Court that she would establish residency in Utah and undergo some mental health treatment.

Now, I think since that time, if I recall correctly, we've had a number of hearings scheduled.

Ms. Graham has failed to appear. So I think we've also got a 1 2 failure to appear issue. I don't know if that's resulted in 3 any new charges, but I do see that she's back in custody today. I -- I don't know why she's in custody. I don't -- I 4 5 don't want to presume that it has anything to do with this 6 case, but that may be something the attorneys can explain to 7 me. 8 But what I want to know is why Ms. Graham should not serve her 364 days in county jail because it appears to 9 this Court that she's never established residency in Utah as 10 11 she promised this Court and as the Court order reflects. 12

So, Mr. Pasquale, do you wish, does the State wish to respond, comment or offer suggestions?

MR. PASQUALE: Very very briefly, Your Honor. There were very few conditions that the Court imposed on the defendant, that she was supposed to stay in contact with Ms. Byrd. She didn't do that. She was supposed to provide proof of her residency in Utah. She didn't do that. And she was supposed to pay her fees and she didn't do that. Judge, I think the 364 imposed is appropriate to give her back to do this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Stermitz?

MR. STERMITZ: If I may.

THE COURT: You may.

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 MR. PASQUALE: Your Honor, I've reviewed the 2 exhibit that the defense just handed me. I have no 3 objection. 4 MR. STERMITZ: Your Honor, what that is going to 5 show is shortly after the defendant was entered here she did go down to Renown in Reno and was actually tested positive 6 7 for COVID on the -- I think on the 17th of December 2020, and there's also some indications there that she's had some other 8 9 medical difficulties and has been treated various times at Humboldt General Hospital for (unintelligible), but we would 10 11 ask to admit those. 12 THE COURT: Hearing no objection from the State 13 the Exhibit A will be admitted. 14 MR. STERMITZ: And I don't have anymore evidence. 15 I think Ms. Graham wants to speak and explain this order to 16 show cause. 17 THE COURT: Yeah, hold on. I just want to look 18 at these, and I think also I need to -- I need to also 19 indicate some further procedural history for the record. 20 MR. STERMITZ: As far as I know Ms. Graham is 21 only in custody on this case because I haven't been appointed 22 to represent her in anything else. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. That's good for me to 24 I wasn't sure. It looks like the -- I think I also

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

need to add this. The sentencing, it looked like we went from arraignment to sentencing I think all in one, the same day because there was this plan for her to engage in some treatment in Utah, and that judgment of conviction indicates sentencing on December 15th. So I'm looking at that sentencing date, the minutes, court minutes. At that time there was a plea agreement made reference to -- reference to mental health court.

At that time the Court did not have a mental health assessment giving any diagnosis from a physician, and it was at that point the discussion shifted to Ms. Graham's request to move to Utah where she was going to engage in some treatment there, mental health treatment. So then we have this issue which was December 15th. We have a medical record here from December 16th which is consistent with what I recall the jail asking that she be care-flighted or something to Reno for some emergency medical need.

That's interesting, Mr. Stermitz, that this has a positive detected. Are you telling me that this says she was -- she tested positive?

MR. STERMITZ: That's how Ms. Graham has explained it. I'm no doctor nor am I an expert in medical records review.

THE COURT: And it looks like it was a sample

24

collected.

MR. STERMITZ: If I misspoke it wasn't on purpose.

THE COURT: No, that's fine. I mean, I'm trying to understand it myself. It looks like she was maybe tested. It says important information regarding results and instructions can be found at website after your COVID-19 test. Now, it's a little difficult to read and understand but I don't -- I don't know that I interpret that to be confirmation to a COVID test.

But, anyway, let's go back to the procedural history here. So then we had a hearing on April 20th and this was a hearing on this issue of Ms. Graham returning to Winnemucca apparently after her release from Renown. And the Court wanted an update as to why she had not followed through with her promise to engage in treatment services in Utah.

And it looks like Ms. Graham failed to appear at that hearing on April 20th. So it was set for a show cause May 18th, and at that time Ms. Graham didn't appear again. It's now April 20th, May 18th, two no shows. The -- there was a warrant issued for her arrest in -- and that was returned in June, so that's in this file too. So you may be correct, Mr. Stermitz, it may be that she's still in custody on that executed bench warrant for her failure to appear in

court on two occasions and then set for another show cause for today.

And so Ms. Graham is in custody. Ms. Graham,
Mr. Stermitz indicates that you may wish to address the Court
in regards to this matter and to be able to show cause why
you should not be detained for the full 364 days.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to say that when we had discussed Utah I had every intention of going. I did not intend to end up life-flighted to Renown. I had a partially -- I have a mass growing on my throat that's four inches by four inches and that's why I had been life-flighted because it's compromising my airway. And Mr. Stermitz has proof that I have a mass in my throat. I gave him medical records. At the time I could not have it removed due to the fact that I had tested positive for COVID.

And, yes, Your Honor, I did return to the county, but I returned to the county because I couldn't go anywhere. The social worker had told me -- from Renown told me she contacted Denni. But they tried to tell me to go to Utah and lie about having COVID, and I told them that I couldn't do that because I didn't want to face other charges. I was already in enough trouble.

So I don't know what the misunderstanding or what they are talking about me being dumped there, whatever. I'm

not sure what they all said to Denni because I wasn't part of that conversation, Your Honor.

But I have been trying to do what I can and I did get notified of the two court hearings. I had got arrested and I was in jail during April. But I ended up getting life-flighted a second time because I had a heart attack in the jail. So I'm still suffering heart issues, and I have a large mass in my throat which I need a surgeon for.

I never tried to disrespect your court, sir. I just -- I've just had a lot of issues, and I just want to -- thank you. I've been seeking mental health services on my own through Health Psychology Associates in Reno. I've been going there for ten months which I have proof. They never got it faxed to Mr. Stermitz, but I have proof of it right here in my hand. I've been going there since -- I've been seeing them since October since I wasn't able to go to Utah because of my health conditions, and my doctors are here right now. My doctors are mainly in Reno.

And I just -- I'm asking you to please just allow me to go get out and continue to go to my doctors and then try to work to pay my court costs and stuff. I know I didn't pay my court costs. I admit to that, the \$88, but I've been trying to -- I've been in and out of the hospital so much I just didn't have the money right then, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Ms. Graham, let me stop you a minute.
2	I know this is somewhat emotional for you. But sometimes I
3	would like to just find a practical resolution of this.
4	So, Ms. Graham, you said that you you
5	acknowledge that you didn't go to Utah and follow through
6	with getting mental health services, but you're telling me
7	today that you've engaged in some mental health services in
8	Reno.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I have proof of
10	in that my in hand.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Graham?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Just listen for a second. When I'm
14	ready for you to answer I'll ask you a question, okay?
15	THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry.
16	THE COURT: Just listen for a second. You're
17	telling me that you've engaged in some mental health services
18	in Reno. What I want to know, I don't want no record that I
19	can't read. I want a mental health assessment with a
20	diagnosis. Do you have that?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I do right here
22	in my hand.
23	THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Hold that up to
24	hold that up next to the camera. Okay. So you're telling

1 me that's a mental health assessment? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, and it's signed in three 3 places right here on the back, Your Honor, by all three of 4 the psychology people. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Licensed psychologist, okay. Okay. I see that now. Now hold up the section that says 6 7 diagnosis. 8 THE DEFENDANT: It's right here on the lower 9 It says -part. 10 THE COURT: No, don't read it. Just --11 THE DEFENDANT: With regards to diagnosis, the 12 first line. 13 THE COURT: The first line, okay. Okay. Thank 14 you. 15 Yeah, Mr. Stermitz, I think that would be an important document to see. And, Mr. Stermitz, I want to 16 17 offer a solution here. 18 Originally this was a request for mental health 19 It was a request for mental health court, but we didn't have a diagnosis. Ms. Graham may have a diagnosis 20 now. And Ms. Graham is claiming that she engaged in some 21 services in Reno. Maybe it's a good time to -- are you 22 planning on if you get out of jail to live in Winnemucca? 23 24 THE DEFENDANT: I was planning to stay close to

1	my boys who are in the courtroom right now, my grand kids.
2	THE COURT: You didn't answer my question.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I would like to
4	do that.
5	THE COURT: Okay. You would like to be in
6	Winnemucca?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: You don't want to be you want to
9	be in Winnemucca?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: And it appears that you have a mental
12	health diagnosis here.
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: I've actually seen this document
15	other than what you just showed me on Zoom.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Right. And I have the dates and
17	times. I received therapy at the time.
18	THE COURT: Oh, oh. You keep wanting to talk
19	over me.
20	THE DEFENDANT: Sorry.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And then I get frustrated. I
22	don't want that.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Okay. I want to I want to

consider reevaluating her original request to be admitted into our mental health court so that someone can supervise this treatment and medication if needed because what's happening is you're getting out of the hospital. You're getting out of jail and then you're ending up back in jail. This revolving door doesn't make any of us very happy. So we need to break that chain, that cycle. 

Maybe if we can get you into mental health court and get you on some treatment and services that are monitored, maybe that would make -- make this work a little better. We're looking at possession of a controlled substance, a category E felony, pled down to it looks like amended information conspiracy to possess a controlled substance, a gross misdemeanor, and that's what you pled no contest to.

I see no reason why if you're going to live here in Winnemucca that you shouldn't be under some greater level of supervision rather than what you've been doing is rotating in and out of the Humboldt County Jail.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from the attorneys because this is kind of a major diversion from what we probably started out today talking about.

Ms. Graham, do you want to talk with Mr. Stermitz about that some more?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. I have no problem doing the mental health diversion. I just want to be able to get out of jail and work at doing something better with my life because I had hit rock bottom and I admit to that, and I want to do better. I just -- I just -- my resources for medical and for health, mental health and stuff is limited from inside the jail, but I have also got myself on anxiety medication also.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stermitz?

MR. STERMITZ: That's what her wish is and I think that's what I would be bound to advocate for. I don't know if we can go back and whether this is a condition of a probation that's going to be extended or whether we're going to withdraw her plea and start all over on the -- on the diversion. But either way we get the same. The point of that is getting her treatment and supervision, so I'm advocating for either.

THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you.

MR. STERMITZ: Condition of probation or withdraw her plea. I think the probation period has to be extended at the Court's convenience.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I'm actually thinking of formal probation for 12 months which would be the gross misdemeanor probation term 12 months. A condition of that be

1	Humboldt County Mental Health Court and that, you're right,
2	Mr. Stermitz, considering that we're here on a show cause it
3	may make more sense if we make this formal with a new
4	sentencing which only can be accomplished I think with a
5	withdrawal of the plea. I mean, it sounds like she's want t
6	be here. I mean, she's got family here today. She wants to
7	be here. This whole grand scheme or plan that she had to go
8	to Utah, you know, I mean, you know, isn't going to happen
9	now.
10	And if she's going to be a member of our
11	community and she needs services I don't think that
12	Ms. Graham, this is my opinion, is equipped to handle this
13	without some supervision.
14	So, Mr. Pasquale, your thoughts?
15	MR. PASQUALE: Thank you, judge. I like for the
16	Court to have a copy of what Ms. Graham was showing here.
17	THE COURT: Sure.
18	MR. PASQUALE: That you can evaluate whether it
19	meets the criteria to get her into
20	THE COURT: And if not, I'm thinking of ordering
21	a mental health assessment. And maybe if this one that we
22	have isn't doesn't meet the requirements that maybe we
23	have that done while she's in custody.
24	MR. PASQUALE: I would agree, Your Honor. I

don't know that we have to reinvent the wheel. I think the 1 Court could modify the judgment of conviction at this point 2 from the violation of the terms that are in there and add 3 4 these additional terms. 5 THE COURT: I'm comfortable doing that, but I don't want to be subject to collateral attack later when she, 6 7 you know, if Ms. Graham doesn't perform, so. 8 MR. STERMITZ: So I guess my proposal and I think 9 Ms. Graham needs to be part of this is that we stipulate to 10 extending the term of her probation to a year and that is 11 going to be formal probation. 12 THE COURT: Ms. Graham, do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: It's extended for a year? 14 THE COURT: Yeah. You would be placed on formal 15 probation with the division of parole and probation for one 16 year because this is a gross misdemeanor. And during that 17 one year as a condition of your probation you'll enroll in and successfully complete the Humboldt County Mental Health 18 19 Court Program if you qualify. 20 THE DEFENDANT: What about my 100 -- okay. 21 I've done 182 days on this charge already where does that 22 play in? 23 THE COURT: That's credit for time served against

24

your 364 days.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.					
2	THE COURT: You have 364 days sentence.					
3	THE DEFENDANT: Right.					
4	THE COURT: You have 100, whatever that amount					
5	is. I don't know. Whatever your credit for time served is					
6	I'll give you credit for time served, but you'll be placed on					
7	formal probation for 12 months, and there will be some other					
8	conditions. But one of those conditions is that you enter					
9	into and successfully complete mental health court.					
10	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. But does it mean I get to					
11	go home to my family?					
12	THE COURT: Where are you going to live if you're					
13	out of jail?					
14	THE DEFENDANT: I have been staying on Ridge					
15	Drive with an elderly couple I help and I'm not sure. I may					
16	be able to stay with my son that's sitting in the courtroom.					
17	THE COURT: Well, this is exactly why I want					
18	THE DEFENDANT: Because I have not been staying					
19	on the streets, Your Honor. I'm no longer staying on the					
20	streets.					
21	THE COURT: This is exactly why I want you in					
22	mental health court. Then there's the ability to help with					
23	housing if needed and to monitor your housing so that we know					
24	where you're at.					

1 THE DEFENDANT: Right.

THE COURT: So you're not living on the streets untreated.

THE DEFENDANT: I've been staying at 7205 Moose Drive, but I would like to probably stay with my son if I'm able, but I have been staying off the streets. I've been staying in a house.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Graham, let's make sure I understand. Are you willing to as Mr. Stermitz suggested as a -- as a way to resolve this, stipulate to amending your judgment of conviction to include 12 months of probation and mental health court if you qualify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I just want to go home. I've done too much time here. I just want to go be with my family, and I'll agree to that because I want to make my life better for them.

THE COURT: Okay. I think this is a good way to help make your life better.

So the defendant has stipulated to the amending of her judgment of conviction to include whatever credit for time served she has. I think we need to calculate that. So we will give her her credit for time served, and we will place her on formal probation for one year. One condition is going to be mental health court and, of course, all of the

1	other standard conditions are going to apply as well, okay?					
2	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.					
3	MR. STERMITZ: If we could have the jail fax over					
4	that assessment so everybody has got it for Ms. Graham.					
5	THE COURT: Yes, we would like Ms. Graham, I					
6	would like that to be sent over and we would like to examine					
7	that to see if that would be in fact, I'm going to kind of					
8	trail this on the calendar, I think we have one other case or					
9	maybe two, so that we can look at that and then and then					
10	determine if that's a sufficient mental health assessment for					
11	this mental health diversion, and if not we're going to have					
12	a conversation about getting an evaluation done, okay?					
13	THE DEFENDANT: So does that mean I get to leave					
14	today?					
15	THE COURT: Well, it kind of depends on what that					
16	paperwork says, okay. So the jail is going to send it over					
17	to Mr. Stermitz's office, okay?					
18	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.					
19	MR. STERMITZ: If she is released we probably					
20	want her meeting with Denni Byrd.					
21	THE COURT: Yes.					
22	MR. STERMITZ: Today before 5:00.					
23	THE COURT: Yes. Absolutely.					
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can do that.					

1	THE COURT: And if you don't				
2	THE DEFENDANT: I'll get picked back up.				
3	THE COURT: Exactly. Ms. Graham, you got it.				
4	You know what happens, okay.				
5	MR. STERMITZ: Has she ever been over here?				
6	THE COURT: Ms. Graham, have you met with				
7	Ms. Byrd before?				
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.				
9	THE COURT: Where at?				
10	THE DEFENDANT: At the courthouse on the second				
11	floor.				
12	THE COURT: You know where to go then, okay.				
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.				
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pasquale?				
15	MR. PASQUALE: And as this is going to be a				
16	formal probation I think we need to get the department of P				
17	and P involved too.				
18	THE COURT: Yeah. Ms Ms. Okuma, you're				
19	listening in, correct?				
20	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.				
21	THE COURT: Okay. And so I think as long as the				
22	division understands that we're amending the judgment of				
23	conviction to include this formal probation.				
24	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. Is this				

1	going to be on a diversion or an actual conviction of the				
2	gross misdemeanor?				
3	THE COURT: You know what, I think we already				
4	have, let's take a look.				
5	MR. STERMITZ: Plea agreements.				
6	THE COURT: Yeah, there is a JOC. So it's a				
7	conviction.				
8	THE PROBATION OFFICER: And, Your Honor, what is				
9	the charge?				
10	THE COURT: It is the amended information is				
11	conspiracy to possess a controlled substance. Are there				
12	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you.				
13	THE COURT: Is there any other information you				
14	think would be important at this juncture?				
15	THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, Your Honor. If she's				
16	being placed on formal probation then just let the division				
17	know that. Can you hear me?				
18	THE COURT: You broke up just a little bit at the				
19	end there. Let the division what?				
20	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Just the other special				
21	conditions that you would like to, for the division to put on				
22	the agreement.				
23	THE COURT: Okay. I will include those and they				
24	are going to be pretty much the standards, no alcohol, no				

1	drugs, no prescription medication containing narcotics					
2	without permission, no bars, liquor stores, casinos. You					
3	know, it will be kind of the standard stuff. I don't I					
4	don't anticipate anything. I think there will be under this					
5	term of probation on a gross misdemeanor, I believe there is					
6	the Court has the ability to extend that probation to					
7	successfully complete the program if needed.					
8	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, that's correct.					
9	THE COURT: Okay. Okay.					
10	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor?					
11	THE COURT: Yes.					
12	THE PROBATION OFFICER: I'm sorry, when is the					
13	THE COURT: And the payment of fees and fines					
14	which are in the judgment of conviction, those will remain					
15	the same.					
16	THE PROBATION OFFICER: And, Your Honor, one					
17	suggestion.					
18	THE COURT: Yes.					
19	THE PROBATION OFFICER: That the defendant take					
20	all medications as prescribed by her treating physician, her					
21	medical professional.					
22	THE COURT: Yes, that sounds good. We'll include					
23	that too.					
24	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you.					

1	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you for that input.					
2	Okay. So we're going to get that we're going					
3	to get that medical report. As soon as we've had an					
4	opportunity to review that that will determine if Ms. Graham					
5	is released today. And then if she's released, when she's					
6	released, whether it be today or at another date, she'll					
7	immediately report to Ms. Byrd for further instructions on					
8	enrolling in Humboldt County Mental Health Court, okay?					
9	Anything else? Ms. Graham, any questions about					
10	that?					
11	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.					
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate your					
13	cooperation today. This has been I think a good resolution.					
14	Mr. Stermitz, any questions?					
15	MR. STERMITZ: No.					
16	THE COURT: Mr. Pasquale?					
17	MR. PASQUALE: No, Your Honor.					
18	THE COURT: We have a question from the back, but					
19	I'm not going to take that on the record, okay.					
20	Thank you. We're going to go off the record.					
21	(After other matters on calendar were heard the					
22	following proceedings were had:)					
23	THE COURT: So we're on the record again in					
24	CR20-7157, State of Nevada, plaintiff versus Samantha Marie					
- 1						

Graham, defendant, in the presence of counsel, without the presence of the defendant.

And, Mr. Stermitz, we can ask the jail to include her if we need at any time at your request. The Court has received, and I would like to have this marked as Defendant's Exhibit B. I think the State has been provided a copy. This was the letter that she was showing us through Zoom earlier. And, Mr. Stermitz, would you have any objection to that being admitted?

MR. STERMITZ: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Pasquale?

MR. PASQUALE: No, Your Honor.

as exhibit -- Defendant's Exhibit B. It's helpful. Thank you. Ms. Deandri (phonetic) and Ms. Byrd informed me that -- that they had -- were apparently aware that this is the mental health provider for the detention center. And -- and so what they had asked for, and I think it's a legitimate request is that if we have Ms. Graham sign an ROI, a release of information, we can contact Health Psychologist Associates in Reno and ask them for that, the original assessment.

Because they indicate, but this is just a letter, to whom it may concern, and it's a faxed copy multiple times over it looks like. Maybe we can get the original assessment that

1	has that diagnosis. I would be more comfortable with that.				
2	Mr. Stermitz, any concerns?				
3	MR. STERMITZ: None.				
4	THE COURT: Mr. Pasquale?				
5	MR. PASQUALE: No, Your Honor.				
6	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll pursue that approach.				
7	We'll have I think maybe Ms. Diandri has already sent over				
8	or she was contacting the jail to send over an ROI.				
9	Ms. Graham signs that. We get this directly from Health				
10	Psychology Associates in Reno and we release her and we get				
11	her involved in treatment pursuant to the amended judgment of				
12	conviction.				
13	Anything else today?				
14	MR. STERMITZ: No, judge.				
15	MR. PASQUALE: No, Your Honor.				
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If there's any				
17	problem in obtaining the original assessment we'll reconvene				
18	on the record. Thank you. We'll be in recess.				
19	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)				
20	THE COURT: I'm back on the record again in Case				
21	CR20-7157, State of Nevada, plaintiff versus Samantha Marie				
22	Graham, defendant.				
23	Ms. Okuma has informed the Court, and I want to				
24	inform you that Ms. Graham has, apparently has two				

1 outstanding warrants in Wendover. Is that Wendover, Nevada, 2 Ms. Okuma, or Wendover, East Wendover, Utah? 3 THE PROBATION OFFICER: It is -- well, it says 4 West Wendover Police Department. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 THE PROBATION OFFICER: So it's Nevada I believe. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, that's Nevada. And you just 8 indicated as I went off the record moments ago that something 9 about transport. Do you want to share? 10 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. It says 11 both -- it says transportation, Elko will transport to West 12 Wendover. So if the division transports her over to Elko 13 then they would transport her from there. 14 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Well, that's out of my 15 control again. I mean, I'm going to do what I can do here in 16 Winnemucca. If we get an evaluation that is -- that provides 17 a diagnosis that it appears it will from this letter, I still 18 want to amend her judgment of conviction and grant her 19 probation here. Then that would release her in Humboldt 20 County and whatever Elko has to do or decides to do is up to 21 them. 22 Mr. Pasquale? 23 MR. PASQUALE: I was interested if Ms. Okuma 24 knows what the felony and misdemeanor is.

1 THE COURT: Ms. Okuma, you indicated one is a 2 gross misdemeanor? 3 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, I believe so. The 4 charge is torture, injure, abandon, starve animal, first 5 offense, and I do believe that that is a gross misdemeanor. 6 I'm not positive. 7 THE COURT: Yeah. 8 MR. PASQUALE: When did she first show up here? 9 She came to Wendover at one point. 10 THE COURT: Does it indicate how old it is or 11 does that -- can you tell from what you have, Ms. Okuma? 12 THE PROBATION OFFICER: One of them was issued 13 February 28th, 2017, and that's the one I just spoke about. 14 And then the misdemeanor was issued January 5th, 2017 so it's 15 been since 2017. 16 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Okay. Okay. Thank you 17 for sharing that. 18 Any other questions? Not much I can do about 19 that, so. Okay. It's on the record. I appreciate it. 20 We'll go -- we'll be in recess again. 21 22 23 24

1	STATE OF NEVADA, )			
2	CARSON CITY. )			
3				
4	I, KATHY JACKSON, do hereby certify:			
5	That on August 3, 2021, an order to show cause			
6	was held in the within-entitled matter in the Humboldt			
7	County, Nevada District Court, Department No. 2;			
8	That said hearing was recorded by a recording			
9	system, and said recording was delivered to me for			
10	transcription;			
11	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of			
12	pages 1 through 29 is a full, true and correct transcript of			
13	said recording performed to the best of my ability.			
14				
15	Dated at Carson City, Nevada, this 13th day of			
16	September, 2021.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	/s/ Kathy Jackson KATHY JACKSON, CCR			
22	Nevada CCR #402			
23				
24				
	Nevada Dictation-(775)745-2327			

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SAMANTHA MARIE GRAHAM	)	Supreme Ct. No.	83416
	)	District Ct. No.	CR 20-7157
Appellant	)		
vs.	)		
STATE OF NEVADA	)		
Respondent	)		

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to applicable appellate rules, on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021, the undersigned, an employee of the Humboldt County Public Defender's Office, delivered a copy of the Appellant's Appendix to Humboldt County District Attorney, P.O. Box 909, Winnemucca, NV 89446 and mailed a copy to Samantha Graham c/o General Delivery, 295 Old Hwy 40 E., Golconda, NV 89414.

Maureen Macdonald

Maureen Macdonald