1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2 3 4 5 6 7	MINH LUONG, Appellant, vs. JAMES VAHEY, Respondent. Appellant, Lizabeth A. Brown Case No.: 83929 Dist. Court Case No Case No.: 83929 Dist. Court Case No Electronically Filed May 18 2022 02:14 Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme C	i p.m.
8 9 10 11	APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO SUBMIT HER REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO ACCEPT A LATE FILING	
12	Appellant, MINH LUONG, by and through her counsel, Fred Page, Esq.	
13 14	hereby submits her Motion to Extend Time in Which to Submit Request for	
15	Transcripts or in the Alternative to Accept a Late Filing.	
16 17	DATED this 18 th day of May 2022	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	PAGE LAW FIRM FRED PAGE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6080 6930 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 (702) 823-2888 Attorney for Appellant	
	1 Docket 83929 Document 2022-15766	

•

I. Appellant Should Receive an Extension of Time in Which to File Her Request for Transcript

Appellant, MINH LUONG, respectfully requests that she receive an extension of time in which to file her Request for Transcripts. The case was originally in the settlement program. The transcript from the October 18, 2021, which is now on appeal had been obtained on October 31, 2021. However, the filing was overlooked through an administrative oversight.

Counsel for Appellant is a sole practitioner with an extremely busy litigation practice and the filing of the Request for Transcripts was overlooked. Appellant's Docketing Statement is completed. The Case Appeal Statement has been filed. The settlement conference was vacated by the settlement judge. There is no undue prejudice to anyone. The filing date for the Opening Brief will be met.

II. GOVERNING LAW AND ARGUMENT

NRAP 31 provides for extensions of time. Under NRAP 31, the Court will grant an initial motion for extension of time for filing a brief only upon a clear showing of good cause. No prior Motion has been filed. No prior requests for an extension have been made.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held repeatedly that matters should be decided on their merits. There are a wealth of cases that stand for this proposition, particularly for a domestic relations case such as this one. *See Price v. Dunn*, 106

Nev. 100, 787 P.2d 785, (1990) (1990) (Nevada's policy favoring decisions on the merits is heightened in cases involving domestic relations matters); *Dagher v. Dagher*, 103, Nev. 26, 28, 731 P.2d 1329, 1330 (1997) (same). *See also, Leslie v. Leslie*, 1 113 Nev. 727, 941 P.2d 451 (1997); *Kahn v. Orme*, 108, Nev. 510, 516, 835 P.2d 790, 794 (1992)); *Hotel Last Frontier v. Frontier Prop.*, 79 Nev. 150, 155, 380 P.2d 295 (1963).

As indicated, Appellant's Docketing Statement is completed. The Case Appeal Statement has been filed. The settlement conference was scheduled and then was vacated. The filing deadline for the Opening Brief will be met.

Based upon the foregoing, Respondent respectfully requests that Respondent be granted an extension *nunc pro tunc* to April 29, 2022, or a date the Court believes is just and equitable, or in the alternative to accept a late filing.

DATED this 18th day May 2022

PAGE LAW FIRM

FRED PAGE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6080 6930 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 (702) 823-2888 Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Page Law Firm and that on May 18, 2022, I electronically filed with the Supreme Court a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing **APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO ACCEPT A LATE FILING**. I further certify that on May 18, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing **APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO ACCEPT A LATE FILNG** via e-service and U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following: Robert Dickerson, Esq.

Dickerson Karacsonyi Law Group 1645 Village Center Circle, Suite 291 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Attorney for Respondent

An employee of Page Law Firm