## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ASHLEE JACKSON, Appellant, vs. JESUS ZUNIGA, Respondent. No. 82444-COA

MAR 2 3 2022

EUZABETH A. BROWN
LERK OF SUPREME COURT
S. YOUR SEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND VACATING ORAL ARGUMENT

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a motion to modify child custody. Both parties' counsel have filed notices informing the court that the parties have now married and therefore the case may be moot. Accordingly, appellant shall have seven days to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed as moot or to file a notice of voluntary dismissal after obtaining appellant's consent. See Personhood Nev. v. Bristol, 126 Nev. 599, 602, 245 P.3d 572, 574 (2010) ("[A] controversy must be present through all stages of the proceeding, and even though a case may present a live controversy at its beginning, subsequent events may render the case moot." (citations omitted)); NRAP 42(b). Respondent shall have seven days to file a reply to appellant's response, if applicable.

Additionally, in light of the above, the oral argument currently scheduled for March 29, 2022, at 11:00 a.m. is hereby vacated.

It is so ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.

cc: Alex B. Ghibaudo, PC.
Evenson Law Office

Alexander Falconi, Our Nevada Judges

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947B

20-09089