## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 12 Tel. 702.384-5563 | Fax. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

TROY WHITE,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

S.C. CASE NO. 82798

Electronically Filed Sep 02 2021 05:16 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION) EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT THE HONORABLE JUDGE RONALD J. ISRAEL, PRESIDING

# APPELLANT'S APPENDIX TO THE OPENING BRIEF VOLUME I

# ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No. 004349 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-5563

#### ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

STEVE WOLFSON District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 001565 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

	1		IN THE SUPREME	COURT OF NEVADA	
	2	TROY WE	HITE,	CASE NO. 82798	
	3		Appellant,		
	4	VS.			
	5	THE STAT	ΓE OF NEVADA		
	6		Respondent.		
	7	-			
	8	-	OPENING BR	IEF APPENDIX	
	9	<u>VOLUME</u>	<b>PLEADING</b>		PAGE NO
	11	1	AMENDED INFORMATIC (FILED MARCH 24, 2015)	N	21-24
<b>D.</b> Floor 0623	12	10	AMENDED JUDGMENT O (FILED FEBRUARY 05, 20	OF CONVICTION 016)	1597-1599
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. CUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	13 14	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUT JUNE 30, 2015	,	1566
CHRISTOPHER R. OF SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   LAS VEGAS, NEVAI 702.384-5563   FAX	15	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUT JANUARY 17, 2016	TE ORDER	1595
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	16 17	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUT JANUARY 27, 2016	TE ORDER	1596
25	18	11	FINDINGS OF FACT, CON LAW, AND ORDER	ICLUSIONS OF	
	19		(FILED APRIL 13, 2021)		1765-1809
	20		INFORMATION (FILED DECEMBER 27, 20	012)	1-5
	21 22	10	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE (FILED APRIL 17, 2015)	JURY	1511-1552
	23	10	JUDGMENT OF CONVICT (FILED JULY 24, 2015)	TION	1588-1590
	<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	1	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED MARCH 27, 2013)		19-20
	26	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL		1501 1504
	27	11	(FILED AUGUST 12, 2015) NOTICE OF APPEAL	)	1591-1594
	28	11	(FILED APRIL 16, 2021)		1836-1837

	1 2	11	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER (FILED APRIL 15, 2021)	1810-1835
	3	11	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	1010-1033
	4		(POST-CONVICTION) (FILED APRIL 24, 2018)	1600-1607
	5	11	REPLY TO THE STATE'S RESPONSE TO	
	6		DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	
	7		CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (FILED APRIL 24, 2019)	1666-1753
	8	11	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
	9		SEPTEMBER 02, 2020 (FILED SEPTEMBER 17, 2020)	1754-1769
	10 11	11	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
OOR			PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MARCH 04, 2021 (FILED MARCH 26, 2021)	1770-1784
, LTD. COND FL 9101	5.974-06 13	10		1770 1701
<b>L. ORAM</b> EET   SEG EVADA 8	X 14		RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS SENTENCING JULY 20, 2015 (FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2015)	1567-1587
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	TEL. 702.384-5693   FAX. 702.974-0633   13	10	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE: JUNE 23, 2015	
CHRIST SOUTH LAS V	16		(FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2015)	1556-1565
520 8		1	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE: TRIAL SETTING	
	18 19		MARCH 27, 2015 (FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2015)	25-28
	20	2	SECOND AMENDED INFORMATION (FILED APRIL 06, 2015)	264-267
	21		(TEED / H RIE 00, 2013)	201 207
	22	11	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF	
	23		HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (FILED DECEMBER 20, 2018)	1608-1639
	24	4	STATE'S BENCH BRIEF REGARDING THE	
	25		ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF TRAITS OF CHARACTER OF THE VICTIMS (FILED APRIL 08, 2015)	498-506
	26 27	11	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S	
	28		PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND MOTION TO OBTAIN EXPERT AND PAYMENT FOR FEES	
	20		(FILED MARCH 26, 2019)	1640-1665

	1 2 3	1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MARCH 27, 2013 (FILED APRIL 03, 2013)	6-18
	4 5	1-2	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 1 APRIL 06, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	29-263
	6 7	3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 2 APRIL 07, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	268-497
	8	4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 3 APRIL 08, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	507-684
	10 11	5-6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 4 APRIL 09, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	685-965
. <b>TD.</b> ND FLOOR 01 774-0623	12 13	7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 5 APRIL 13, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	966-1180
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 L. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	14 15	8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 6 APRIL 14, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	1181-1379
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	16 17	9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 7 APRIL 16, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	1380-1500
520 TE	17 18 19	10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL-DAY 8 APRIL 17, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 15, 2015)	1501-1510
	20	10	VERDICT (FILED APRIL 17, 2015)	1553-1555
	21 22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			

# CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court 2<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

BY:

/s/ Nancy Medina
An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.

Electronically Filed 12/27/2012 07:36:38 AM

1	INFM STEVEN B. WOLFSON		Alun D. Chum	
2	Clark County District Attorney		CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	Nevada Bar #001565 LIZ MERCER			
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #10681			
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212			
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff			
7	I.A. 01/02/2013 DISTRIC			
8	1:30 PM CLARK COUN PD	TY, NEVADA		
9				
0	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO:	C-12-286357-1	
1	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.	C-12-260557-1	
2	-VS-	DEPT NO:	IX	
3	TROY RICHARD WHITE,			
4	#1383512	INFO	RMATION	
5	Defendant.			
6				
7	STATE OF NEVADA ) ss.			
8	COUNTY OF CLARK			
	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark Cou	ınty District Attorne	y within and for the County	
9	of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and	by the authority of t	he State of Nevada, informs	
20	the Court:			
21	That TROY RICHARD WHITE, th	e Defendant(s) above	e named, having committed	
22	the crimes of BURGLARY WHILE IN	POSSESSION OF A	A FIREARM (Category B	
23	Felony - NRS 205.060); MURDER WITH	USE OF A DEADI	LY WEAPON (Category A	
24	Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165	); ATTEMPT MU	RDER WITH USE OF A	
2.5	DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felon	y - NRS 200.010, 2	200.030, 193.330, 193.165),	
26	CARRYING A CONCEALED FIRE	ARM OR OTHE	R DEADLY WEAPON	
7	(Category C Felony - NRS 202.350(1)(c	d)(3)), and CHILD	ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR	
28	ENDANGERMENT (Category B Felony	- NRS 200.508(1)),	on or about the 27th day of	
	CH	ROGRAM FILES/NEEVIA.COM	#IDOCUMENT CONVERTER/TEMP/3773406	4448

July, 2012, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

#### COUNT 1 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM

did, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, while in possession of a firearm, with intent to commit a felony, to-wit: murder and/or battery and/or assault, that certain building occupied by ECHO LUCAS WHITE, located at 325 Altamira Road, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, the Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a firearm during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure.

#### COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did, then and there willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with malice aforethought, kill ECHO LUCAS WHITE, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said ECHO LUCAS WHITE, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, said killing having been willful, premeditated and deliberate and/or committed during the perpetration or the attempted perpetration of a burglary, to-wit: by the defendant entering 325 Altamira Road, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada with the intent to assault and/or batter and/or kill the said ECHO LUCAS WHITE and/or JOSEPH AVERMAN.

#### COUNT 3 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did, then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill JOSEPH AVERMAN, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said JOSEPH AVERMAN, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm.

#### COUNT 4 - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON

did, then and there, wilfully, intentionally, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to-wit: a Black Taurus PT 92C 9mm semi-automatic handgun bearing Ser. No. TOA33791.

### COUNT 5- CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, to-

wit: JODEY WHITE, being approximately 9 (nine) year(s) of age, to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JODEY WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White, failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JODEY WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 6- CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JESSE WHITE, being approximately 5 (five) year(s) of age, to suffer unjustifiable
physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JESSE
WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain
or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's
home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White,
failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JESSE
WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 7- CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JAYCE WHITE, being approximately 8 (eight) year(s) of age, to suffer unjustifiable
physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JESSE
WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain
or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's
home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White,
failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JODEY
WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 8- CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JAZZY WHITE, being approximately 6 months year(s) of age, to suffer unjustifiable

physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JAZZY WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White, failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JODEY WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 9- CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JETT WHITE, being approximately 2 (two) year(s) of age, to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JETT WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White, failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JODEY WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

19

24

27

28 (TK4) STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ LIZ MERCER

LIZ MERCER Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #10681

DA#12F12500X/da LVMPD EV#1207271826

1	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this			
2	Information are as follows:			
3	NAME	ADDRESS		
4	AVERMAN, JOSEPH	1678 SHERWIN LN LV NV		
5	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	CCDC		
6	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS		
7	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	LVMPD RECORDS		
8	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	GUN REGISTRATION		
9	GAINES, AMBER	3601 E. WYOMING AVE #504 LV NV		
10	KNEPP, ELAINE/OR DESIGNEE	D.A. INVESTIGATOR		
11	LUCAS, DONALD	5129 MEADOW ROCK AVE LV NV		
12	SANBORN, TATE	LVMPD #5450		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

## ORIGINAL

TRAN

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CLERK OF THE COURT

22

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TROY RICHARD WHITE,

Defendant.

Transcript of Proceedings

CASE NO. C286357

DEPT. XI

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 27, 2013

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

SCOTT L. COFFEE, ESQ. DAVID LOPEZ-NEGRETE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

RECORDED BY: JILL HAWKINS, COURT RECORDER

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 27, 2013, 10:34 A.M.

(Court was called to order)

THE COURT: All right. Anybody else before I go to Mr. Coffee's case, which is page 13? I felt like I was in business court when I was thinking about this. It's a very interesting legal issue as to whether you can burgle your own house.

MR. COFFEE: Scott Coffee for the Clark County Public

Defender's office on behalf of Mr. White, along with my co-counsel,

David Negrete.

MS. MERCER: And Liz Mercer for the State, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And I've been hyping your case all day. I was hoping people would stay for CLE credit on this very interesting legal issue that both of you have briefed very well. It's your motion.

MR. COFFEE: I agree with the Court that it's a very interesting legal issue. I think it's important to note at the onset that there's no Nevada case on point. I know the State cites to <a href="Chappell">Chappell</a>. I'll get to that in a moment as to why it's not directly on point.

I'm going to start with the common law, which we don't hear about that much anymore because we've litigated so many things in the past and we have so many directions from the Nevada Supreme Court, but at common law you couldn't be guilty of burglarizing your own house. And it was very simple just because it is a property right. A person has an absolute right to their own

property. You can't be guilty of burglarizing your own house under the common law, for the same reason that you can buy a new pair of jeans and cut holes in them, for the same reason that you can rent a frontend loader and tear down a structure on your property if you have the appropriate permits. It is your property, you can do with it as you see fit. And this is really a property right situation. This is not -- and the State mentions some cases like Adams and Hernandez that talk about consent and license. It's not a consent or license situation. In fact, those cases I think argue in our favor.

If you look at <u>Hernandez</u>, if you look at <u>Adams</u>, in these cases they are situations where somebody enters into a public structure with some kind of limited license. I go into Walmart, Walmart allows me, they give me permission to enter into Walmart, but that permission is somewhat limited. They don't allow me to enter Walmart to steal things. We've seen several people charged this morning with exactly that, stealing things from Walmart. That's not part of the permission. I've stepped outside the permission, outside the consent, and the rationale makes sense. If I go beyond the consent to enter, I may have been guilty of burglary, if I entered with the intent initially, at least, to do some kind of illegal activity. The idea is people don't allow me to enter to steal. That's not part of this license.

But it's different when we're talking about our own property. Again, you have an absolute right to do as you see fit

with your own property.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You take a look at cases, and I think the seminal case on this is a case called Gauze of out California that goes through this very methodical -- and California statute, by the way, we've put it in our moving papers, but California statute mirrors Nevada's. Nevada has recognized that California's statute mirrors Nevada's. And the Gauze court comes to the conclusion that you can't be guilty of burglarizing your own property. Now, we'll say that Gauze, and we don't mention this in the moving papers because I don't know that it's applicable here, has been limited in certain circumstances. The circumstance where Gauze has been limited have been situations where, for example, a person is guilty of a battery domestic violence and there's a court order that says, do not go back into that house. . So they might be under a court order, or there is evidence that they have completely vacated the premises, they have given up their possessions, they don't hold things there, they don't have a key to the premises.

Which brings me to <a href="Chappell">Chappell</a> that I mentioned at the top of our discussion. The State points to <a href="Chappell">Chappell</a> and they say <a href="Chappell's">Chappell's</a> a situation, and I'm quoting directly from the State, "upheld a burglary conviction where defendant claimed," and this is the important part, "claimed" always sets off those little hairs on the back of my neck, because I know there's something else going on, "claimed that he lived at the house of his murdered exgirlfriend which he entered to murder." When you read <a href="Chappell">Chappell</a>,

Chappell's very interesting, because Chappell gets on the -- on the witness stand and it says that, Chappell says some other things.

Chappell, I don't have a key to the home. Chappell admits to entering the home through a window. It's clear that we don't -- there's no evidence in the Chappell case anyplace that I can see that we have a situation like what we've got here where Chappell is the owner of the trailer in question. Chappell simply says, I felt like it was my home, if you read the case.

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, it's interesting that he felt like it was his home. It's clear that the jury disregarded what Mr. Chappell had to say from the stand, because Chappell also said, once I entered what I felt like was my home I had consensual sex with my ex-girlfriend inside. The jury convicted Chappell of sexual assault. So they weren't buying much what Mr. Chappell had to say. But there were parts left out of Chappell. and there was something factually that concerned me about Chappell. Luckily, I know Howard Brooks, who is the defense attorney who tried the Chappell case, and I called Mr. Brooks this morning. And I asked him, I said, what was really going on with Chappell, because there's something factually missing in Chappell that I don't see. And we talked about the facts of it, and he came up with something that was very fascinating. Mr. Chappell had been out of the residence for months, doing time on a battery domestic violence. It doesn't show up in the printed facts of the Nevada Supreme Court, but Mr. Chappell had been out of custody for three months, four months, doing time on a domestic

violence when he showed up at the home without a key. There had been contact back and forth with this woman, there had been threats made from the detention center, it was pretty clear he wasn't walking around the house, and that's when he went into the window. There had not been contact in between those times. So you've got a situation that's factually very different than what we've got here.

Mr. White owns this home; the title is in Mr. White's name. Mr. White has never vacated the premises of this home. He has items there, as best we can tell. He stays there on weekends. Interestingly, in fact, the day that this happens is a Friday, and it's a day that Mr. White would normally show up to stay with his children on the weekend at what is his home legally by virtue of title and by virtue of the fact that he has not vacated the premises.

So the question is simple. Can you burglarize your own home? If you look at the burglary statute, it is listed as a crime against property. In the NRS that is the chapter that it is under, because you cannot commit a crime against your own property, just like you cannot trespass into your own home. I do not think that it is appropriate to charge Mr. White with burglary. And, interestingly, there's not a Nevada case directly on point. And these statutes have been in place for over 50 years. In essence, the Nevada statute has been in place for over 50 years. Why is there not a case on point that says simply -- I mean, if that's what the Supreme Court wants to say, you can't burglarize your own

home, why don't we have a case that says you can't burglarize your own home? They've never wanted to address the issue. There's no public -- published opinions that come to that conclusion. And I think it's because of these property rights. I think most of the time the State doesn't choose to charge these sorts of things; because if they'd been charged, you would think we'd have a decision at this point. I think the State has stretched the definition of burglary beyond what it was intended to cover. And for that reason I don't think that the burglary charge is well taken. I think the burglary charge should be dismissed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MERCER: Your Honor, while I can certainly understand Mr. Coffee's argument, the fact of the matter is he hasn't cited this Court to any binding authority that would allow the Court to dismiss the burglary count. He cited to a bunch of persuasive authority out of California, but our Supreme Court has repeatedly noted how expansive our burglary statute is.

THE COURT: So how can you burglarize your own house?

How can I steal money from myself?

MS. MERCER: Because burglary - well, you can't, it's your money. But that's different.

THE COURT: But it's my house.

MS. MERCER: I understand, but you're not stealing -like, if it's your money, you are not committing a crime. Here he
burglarized the house by entering with a firearm. A backpack was

found in the driveway with a --

MS. MERCER: I'm just pointing to the evidence that he entered the house with the intent to commit assault and/or battery and/or murder. He left a backpack in the driveway with an empty gun holster in it. He concealed that firearm on his person when he entered the home. The children let him into the home, and then he immediately confronts her and shoots her and her then boyfriend. He was not residing in the home. They were separated; they had been separated for two months. He only lived at the house on the weekends, and he exercised his visitation after he got off work. On this particular day he showed up three to four hours early. It was not his time for visitation, and preceding that he'd showed up at the house at approximately 2:00 in the morning, banging on the windows, demanding to be let inside. So it's clear that his intent when he went into the house was to murder her.

Furthermore, the <u>Barrett</u> case that I cited to in my brief appears to be directly on point. In that case there was evidence that the defendant had resided in the apartment, that he paid rent, money toward the bills, and the court said, no, it doesn't matter, he still committed a crime, he still committed burglary.

And I disagree with Mr. Coffee in his assessment that

Chappell is distinguishable from this case, because, as I pointed

out, the defendant had not resided in that house for at least two

months. And when he did stay there it was only on the weekends to

take care of the children, because there were five kids and it was too difficult to shuffle them back and forth. This was again a day and time that he was not supposed to be there exercising visitation.

In addition, if the legislature had meant to limit the application of the burglary statute, they certainly could have done so, as they did with the home invasion statute, which specifically prohibits somebody from being charged with home invasion for kicking down their own front door. They chose not to limit it.

Therefore, it's proper to charge burglary.

In addition, Mr. Coffee said, well the State doesn't charge burglary in these cases. That's absolutely incorrect. I mean if you look at all the cases that I cited, there were burglaries charged, there were burglaries as aggravators. I'm on the Domestic Violence Unit; I've been there for over three years. We've consistently charged burglary when it's their own home and they go in with the intent to batter, such as in cases where there's a heated argument, the defendant leaves, victim doesn't want to open the door because she knows that it's going to escalate, he comes in and batters her.

THE COURT: But here --

MS. MERCER: It's a burglary.

THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand the facts correct. Because I looked through the transcript, and I just want to make sure I understand. There is no legal restriction to his

access to the home. There's no TPO, there's no Family Court order, there's no property settlement agreement that restricts his access to the home. There's no legal impediment.

MS. MERCER: There's not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MERCER: But that's not a requirement for burglary.

I mean, consent, permission, et cetera, those are not defenses to burglary.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to tell me?
MS. MERCER: No.

THE COURT: All right. The writ is granted. The Court does not understand how you can burgle your own house. At common law you couldn't burgle your own house. While I certainly understand the definition by the legislature in the current version of the statute may seem to indicate you can burgle your own house, I don't know how legally you can burgle your own house where there is no legal impediment such as a TPO, a restraining order of some sort, a court order from Family Court, a property settlement agreement, a child visitation agreement, that would otherwise limit the ability of an owner to access their own property.

MS. MERCER: And, Your Honor, if I could just ask for clarification.

THE COURT: Count 1, only.

MR. COFFEE: Understood.

MS. MERCER: Which case was it -- or statute cited by the

defense upon which the Court is ruling? Because access is not -legal access isn't a defense to burglary, so I'm -- I just need
to --

THE COURT: It's called the common law.

MS. MERCER: Okay. So you're basing it on the common law. And, Your Honor, can we get a two-week status check, please, because we may intend to take this up?

THE COURT: No, I think you might.

MS. MERCER: Okay.

THE COURT: It's an important issue. That's why I've been hyping this case so everybody would stay for CLE credit.

The statute says, "A person who by day or night enters any house," and then I'll skip ahead, --

MS. MERCER: Any house.

THE COURT: -- skip ahead for a long time, "with the intent to commit grand or petit larceny, assault, battery on any person or to obtain money or property for false pretenses is guilty of burglary." That's the statute.

And, yeah, it can't be your own house, though, because you can enter your own house anytime you want without restricting that property right unless there's some other legal impediment. I certainly understand that the State may want to challenge that issue, I think it's an important one to address; but remember, you've got three other counts with very serious charges in this case.

MS. MERCER: Well, I understand that, Your Honor. I'd 1 just like time to speak with the appellate unit, if we can get a 2 3 status check in two weeks. THE COURT: I understand. Two weeks? Okay. Why do you 4 5 need a status check? MS. MERCER: So that I -- because I have to first come in 6 here and ask you for a stay if we're going to take it up. 7 THE COURT: So if you're going to do that, file a motion. 8 9 MS. MERCER: Okay. THE COURT: Right? 10 MS. MERCER: If that's how the Court wishes to proceed, 11 that's fine. 12 MR. COFFEE: Understood. Or even if she just orally 13 asked for a stay --14 THE COURT: I don't care. 15 MR. COFFEE: -- at this point, your record's protected 16 and they will take a look at it. 17 THE COURT: Do you want a stay? I'll grant you a stay 18 any day of the week. 19 20 MS. MERCER: Okay. THE COURT: All you've got to do is ask me. 21 MS. MERCER: Okay. 22 THE COURT: I'm really good at appellate procedure. 23 MS. MERCER: I'll file a motion, then --24

THE COURT: Okay.

25

1	MS. MERCER: once I speak to them. Thank you, Your
2	Honor.
3	THE COURT: And I want to compliment both of you. You
4	guys both did a great job on the briefing.
5	MS. MERCER: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
6	MR. COFFEE: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: It's not often I get to say that on my
8	criminal day.
9	MR. COFFEE: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Have a nice day.
11	THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:48 A.M.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
21	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	f, 1
23	Lue Lawkins
24	JILL/HAWKINS Court Recorder/Transcriber

1	NOAS
2	Clark County District Attorney CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar #001565 STEVEN S. OWENS
4.	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352
5	200 Lewis Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff
7	DISTRICT COURT
8.	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
10	Plaintiff,
11	v. Case No. 12-C286357-1 Dept. No. XI
12	TROY RICHARD WHITE,
13	Defendant(s).
14	TO: TROY RICHARD WHITE, Defendant; and
15	
16	TO: SCOTT L. COFFEE, Deputy Public Defender for Defendant and
17	TO: ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, District Judge, Eighth Judicial District Court, Dept. No. XI
18	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff in the
19	above entitled matter, appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada, pursuant to NRS
20	177.015(1)(b) from the district court's dismissal of a Count of Burglary on March 27, 2013.
21	Dated this 27th day of March, 2013.
22	STEVEN B. WOLFSON,
23	Clark County District Attorney
24	BY THE WAY
25	STEVEN S. OWENS
26	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352
27	
28	

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL was made this 27th day of March, 2013, by depositing a copy in the U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

SCOTT L. COFFEE Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street, Ste. 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

ELIZABETH GONZALEZ Eighth Judicial District Court, Dept. XI Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

BY Ether Waris

Employee, District Attorney's Office

SSO/ed

Ö

Electronically Filed 03/24/2015 02:12:23 PM

1	AINF		Alun S. Lunn
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar #001565 LIZ MERCER		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010681		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212		
	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
6	DISTRICT		
7	CLARK COUN	III, NEVADA	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO:	C-12-286357-1
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.	C-12-200337-1
0	-vs-	DEPT NO:	IX
1			
2	TROY RICHARD WHITE, #1383512	AM	ENDED
3	Defendant.		RMATION
4		12	
5	STATE OF NEVADA )		
6	COUNTY OF CLARK ss.		
7	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark Count	ty District Attorney	within and for the County of
8	Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the	he authority of the S	State of Nevada, informs the
9	Court:		
20	That TROY RICHARD WHITE, the	Defendant above na	med, having committed the
21	crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DE	ADLY WEAPON	(Category A Felony - NRS
22	200.010, 200.030, 193.165); ATTEMPT	MURDER WITH	H USE OF A DEADLY
2.3	WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.	010, 200.030, 193.3	30, 193.165), CARRYING
24	A CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER	R DEADLY WEAR	ON (Category C Felony -
25	NRS 202.350(1)(d)(3)), and CHILD AB	USE, NEGLECT,	OR ENDANGERMENT
26	(Category B Felony - NRS 200.508(1)), on	or about the 27th d	ay of July, 2012, within the
27	County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary t	to the form, force a	nd effect of statutes in such
28	cases made and provided, and against the pea	ce and dignity of the	e State of Nevada,

W:\2012F\125\00\12F\2500-AINF-(WHITE\_TROY)-001.DOCX

2

4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

#### COUNT 1 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with malice aforethought, kill ECHO LUCAS WHITE, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said ECHO LUCAS WHITE, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, said killing having been willful, premeditated and deliberate.

#### COUNT 2 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill JOSEPH AVERMAN, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said JOSEPH AVERMAN, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm.

#### COUNT 3 - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, wilfully, intentionally, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to-wit: a Black Taurus PT 92C 9mm semiautomatic handgun bearing Serial No. TOA33791.

#### COUNT 4 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JODEY WHITE, being approximately nine (9) years of age, to suffer unjustifiable
physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JODEY
WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain
or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's
home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White,
failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JODEY
WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 5 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JESSE WHITE, being approximately five (5) years of age, to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JESSE WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain or mental

suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White, failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JESSE WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 6 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JAYCE WHITE, being approximately eight (8) years of age, to suffer unjustifiable
physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JAYCE
WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain
or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's
home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White,
failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JAYCE
WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 7 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JAZZY WHITE, being approximately six (6) months of age, to suffer unjustifiable
physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JAZZY
WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain
or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's
home within close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White,
failing to seek assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JAZZY
WHITE was coming in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.

#### COUNT 8 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, towit: JETT WHITE, being approximately two (2) years of age, to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, and/or cause the said JETT WHITE to be placed in a situation where he might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain or mental

1	suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, by discharging a firearm inside the child's home within
2	close proximity to the child and/or shooting the child's mother, Echo White, failing to seek
3	assistance for Echo White, and allowing her to die while the said JETT WHITE was coming
4	in and out of the room and/or was in the near vicinity.
5	CTEVEN D. WOLECON
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
7	Nevada Bar #001565
8	BY /s/ LIZ MERCER
9	LIZ MERCER Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #10681
10	Nevada Bai #10001
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	DA#12F12500X/mmw/GCU LVMPD EV#1207271826
28	(TK4)
-0	

RTRAN 1 CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 8 CASE#: C286357-1 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT. XI 10 VS. 11 TROY RICHARD WHITE. 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 FRIDAY, MARCH 27, 2015 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE: TRIAL SETTING 17 APPEARANCES: 18 ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ. For the State: 19 Deputy District Attorney 20 SCOTT L. COFFEE, ESQ. For the Defendant: Deputy Public Defender 21 22 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: JILL HAWKINS, COURT RECORDER

the Friday of that week.

25

THE COURT: The 10<sup>th</sup>? MS. MERCER: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MS. MERCER: I don't -- I mean, I don't want to inconvenience the Court or --MR. COFFEE: We don't have any objection to taking the Friday off. I'm pretty sure we can get it done in two weeks even taking the Friday off. THE COURT: And I have to go up to Carson City on the 15th. But I think we'll be able to get it done in the two weeks. As you know, I'm a harsh taskmaster. All right. Then we'll plan to start on the 6th. Is there anything else we need to do in preparation? MS. MERCER: No. But do you have any idea of the Court's schedule for those two weeks just so that we can try to line up witnesses? THE COURT: Monday and Wednesday we'll start at 10; Tuesday, Thursday we'll start at nine; Friday I try and start at nine too. MS. MERCER: Okay. Perfect. MR. COFFEE: Yeah. THE COURT: We break from 12 to 1:15. MS. MERCER: Twelve to 1:15 is lunch? THE COURT: Yep. And then we break at five. MR. COFFEE: Okay. Yeah, I think we can get it knocked out in the eight 20 days pretty easy. 21 THE COURT: Yeah, I didn't have any doubt at all. I know that my trial day is 22 more than other people's trial day. 23

MS. MERCER: Yeah.

MR. COFFEE: Yeah.

THE COURT: I need the State's jury instructions on the morning of trial. If you can email them to us in Word format then I can start working on them and Mr. Coffee we'll get yours as the case goes on.

MS. MERCER: Okay.

MR. COFFEE: Okay. Very good.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. COFFEE: No; and I'll talk to Liz here in a minute about jury instructions and stuff because a lot of them will just be -- she'll have some specials but a lot of them will just be things that they need to include in their pack.

THE COURT: Yes. It's not going to be that complicated.

MR. COFFEE: Yeah.

MS. MERCER: Great.

THE COURT: All right. For any reason, are you guys going to need a jury questionnaire from the commissioner?

MR. COFFEE: No, I don't think so.

MS. MERCER: I don't think so either.

THE COURT: All right. Well then I will see you at 10 o'clock on the 6th.

MR. COFFEE: Thank you.

MS. MERCER: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Have a nice day.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:03 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

PATRICIA SLATTERY

Court Transcriber

Alun to Chim

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Plaintiff . CASE NO. C-286357

vs.

. DEPT. NO. XI

TROY RICHARD WHITE

Defendant . Transcript of Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, APRIL 6, 2015

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: ELIZABETH MERCER

JEFFREY S. ROGAN

Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: SCOTT L. COFFEE

DAVID LOPEZ-NEGRETE Deputy Public Defenders

COURT RECORDER: TRANSCRIPTION BY:

DEBRA WINN FLORENCE HOYT

District Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, APRIL 6, 2015, 11:02 A.M.

(Court was called to order)

(Prospective jurors not present)

THE COURT: So -- going to have two alternates, so that means I'm going to have 24 people in that box area. You guys four preempts in this case?

MR. COFFEE: Eight.

THE COURT: Eight. Well, that screws it up. Hold on a second. Let me do math.

MR. COFFEE: Unless they would agree to not seek life without. Then we could agree to four.

THE COURT: So that means I need 28 people in the box. We're good. Okay. So we're going to have 28 people or so in the box area.

I usually have my alternates be blind. We draw the number ahead of time of which of the 14 seats will be alternates, and you'll know and the defendant will know which those are, but we won't tell them till after closings. The way that I do it, it is whoever is left in that number after the execution of the challenges. So who your alternates are you won't know till the last peremptory challenge is executed, because Seat 3 may move if somebody executes a peremptory challenge on Seat 2, because that'll then change what Seat 3 is. Seat 3 will be Seat 2.

Do you understand? You're looking at me funky, Mr.

```
Coffee. Did you understand it, or do I need to say it a
 1
 2
   different way?
 3
             MR. COFFEE: No. I'm sorry. Okay. We're filling
 4
   in chairs from the audience.
 5
              THE COURT: Yes. With anybody excused either on
   hardship or cause.
 6
 7
             MR. COFFEE: All right. And when we're going to
   flip the ball or do whatever to do pick the alternates --
 8
9
              THE COURT: We do that before anybody hits here.
   We're going to do that as soon as I have the defendant. Dulce
10
   has a cool little coffee can that's going to have 14 poker
11
   chips in it.
12
             MR. COFFEE: Okay. And it's going to be that chair
13
14
   that's excluded, not the person that's excused?
             MS. MERCER: That's the alternate.
15
             MR. ROGAN: It's the alternate.
16
17
             THE COURT: That will be the alternate.
18
             MR. COFFEE: Okay. That's what I mean, not excused.
19
              THE COURT: It won't be the chair. It won't be the
   chair, it will be the order on the sheet of the Arizona
20
21
   method. When we execute the challenges --
22
             MR. COFFEE: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- you will excuse based upon that.
23
24
             MR. COFFEE: Okay.
25
             THE COURT: And if the first three people are
```

```
excused on that list, Number 1 will be the first juror who
 1
    wasn't excused, Number 2 will be the second. So you won't
 2
 3
    know who they are till you execute all your peremptory
 4
    challenges.
 5
             MR. COFFEE: Okay.
              THE COURT: Not making sense still.
 6
 7
             MR. COFFEE: That's okay.
              THE COURT: It's not the chair.
 8
 9
              MR. COFFEE: I'll figure it out.
              THE COURT: It's an order.
10
             MR. COFFEE: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: It's who in order.
12
13
             MR. COFFEE: Okay.
14
              THE COURT: So the fourth person -- if, for
    instance, the defendant draws --
15
16
              MR. COFFEE: I got you.
17
              THE COURT: -- Poker Chip Number 4 out --
18
             MR. COFFEE: I got you.
19
              THE COURT: -- it will be the fourth person left on
    the list after the execution of all the peremptory challenge.
20
21
    Did I say it a better way?
              MR. COFFEE: I've got it. I've got it.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
24
             MR. COFFEE: Work from the list, not the chair, but
25
    say like --
```

THE COURT: Well, chairs are how I've got to sit 1 2 them. 3 MR. COFFEE: I get it. 4 THE COURT: And when you start on the list they will 5 be in the order they are sitting in the chairs. 6 MR. COFFEE: Right. But that'll go away very 7 quickly. THE COURT: It will change very quickly. 8 9 MR. COFFEE: Okay. THE COURT: I have the technology to record bench 10 conferences. It is not perfect technology. So I will tell 11 you that every time you have a bench conference, if you want 12 13 to make sure that your record is pristine, it is better to at 14 our next break to make a better record. I will try and 15 remember on issues that I think are important to remind you to 16 make that record, and then we can state whatever we need to. But I will tell you I don't always think the same things are 17 18 important that you do. So if you think something is 19 important, it's incumbent upon you to make an additional 20 record. But we do have the capability to record at the bench, 21 but it's not perfectly understandable and transcribable. 22 Okay. What else? 23 MR. COFFEE: Just so the Court's aware, I have got a cold and have taken some cold medicine to get things, so my 24 25 hearing isn't perfect today.

THE COURT: Do you need coffee, hot tea? I've got allergies, and the wind has really knocked me out. I'm actually surprised I've made it this far today.

MR. COFFEE: And it may be allergies. I thought it was a cold. I hope it was a cold because of the back of my throat, but it may be allergies. I'm okay for the time being, but I just -- if I seem a little confused at times, it's -- or more than normally confused, it's because of the medication, probably. I feel fine to go forward, though. I mean, I'm not trying to make that sort of record.

THE COURT: No. That's fine. But you're just saying that we may have to repeat things because your ears are clogged up, too.

MR. COFFEE: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Before I start asking questions of jurors I will have each of you -- the State will read their list of witnesses and make a brief factual statement.

I will ask the defendant if you have any additional witnesses. Do you want to make a factual statement?

MR. COFFEE: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Then I will give you that opportunity, as well.

And then if I run out of all these people and I've got to bring the second group up, we'll have to start that

process over, because the new people won't have been exposed 1 to us. And given my CityCenter accommodations, I can't very 2 many people in the audience. 3 4 MR. COFFEE: Very good. We appreciate the opportunity for you asking that, Judge. Because it's the 5 first time I've ever been asked if I want to make a factual 6 7 statement. Usually we just have to get up and do it. THE COURT: Well, I've had a couple of defendants 8 9 who didn't want to, and I'd rather have you tell me you don't want to so I don't ask in front of everybody and have all of 10 us be embarrassed. 11 12 Anything else you want to tell me? Anybody planning 13 on using a PowerPoint as their opening? 14 MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor. The State does intend to use PowerPoint. 15 16 THE COURT: You will need to give me either a copy 17 on an electronic storage device or a print copy. Have you shown it to Mr. Coffee? 18 19 MS. MERCER: No, I haven't, Your Honor. THE COURT: Will you show it to him. You don't have 20 21 to show it to him yet, but before you make it I need you to show it to him. 22 23 MS. MERCER: Okay. THE COURT: Just in case there's an issue. 24

Are you going to use technology?

25

MR. COFFEE: Probably. We haven't put it together 1 2 yet. 3 THE COURT: If you're going to use a PowerPoint as 4 any part of the presentation, I need an electronic storage device. 5 MR. COFFEE: Sure. 7 THE COURT: Because I mark them as a Court's exhibit, because there's no good way for me to make a record 8 9 of what's being shown on the PowerPoint without it. 10 MR. COFFEE: Sure. MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: Your Honor, do you prefer it on 11 a flash drive, or should we email it to your clerk? Does it 12 13 matter? 14 THE COURT: I don't want you to email it, because I can't put the email in a vault. 15 16 MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: All right. 17 THE COURT: I can put a thumb drive in a vault, and I can put print in a vault. And I can also put those really 18 19 cool T drives in a vault. Which is why CityCenter fits in the vault. 20 What else? 21 That's it. 22 MR. COFFEE: 23 MS. MERCER: I think that's it. 24 MR. ROGAN: Nothing until the defendant arrives. 25 THE COURT: Try not to make speaking objections. Ι

8

know sometimes it's hard as lawyers not to. But try to avoid 1 it. If there's something you need to talk to me about that's 2 a little beyond, I'd rather you come on up to the bench and do 3 4 it. 5 What else? Any issues about me, concerns? I'm 6 going to tell the jury we'll be off Friday and then next 7 Wednesday, and we'll be done by a week from Friday. coming Friday they will not be in session. 8 9 Right? You had a conflict. MS. MERCER: I do, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And then I have a conflict next 11 12 Wednesday. 13 Anything else you want to tell me? Got your 14 exhibits marked? Got any questions for me? MR. ROGAN: We have additional exhibits that we'd 15 16 like to present to the clerk, but I just need to --17 THE COURT: How mean are you, Judge? Come on. Are 18 the rumors I've heard about you true, Judge? 19 MR. COFFEE: I do have a question. And it has to do 20 with the introductions. Because we're going to lose I would 21 imagine because of the length of the trial several people, the hardship and whatever else. When we fill the room back up do 22 we do the introductions a second time or how does that work? 23 24 THE COURT: Yes, we do. 25 MR. COFFEE: Okay.

THE COURT: I start just like they hadn't been here.

MR. COFFEE: Okay. So we try to clear out -- we're not bringing them in one at a time where we have to do it like 20 times?

THE COURT: No.

MR. COFFEE: That sounds like a silly question, but --

THE COURT: Well, because I've had to do a lot of
this with my most recent seven-week jury selection, I've got
the hang of it. I send those people somewhere else, and I
fill up this room with the new people. I do it once, and then
I send them to the hallway, bring the old people back in, and
then we start filling it in.

MR. COFFEE: Perfect.

THE COURT: I had the advantage when I did the seven-week jury selection of using either this courtroom or that courtroom the entire time. I don't know that I'm going to have that today. That was just dumb luck last time.

What else do you want to ask me? Okay. Then do your exhibit stuff.

I didn't get your jury instructions yet.

MR. ROGAN: That's because we do have a motion to amend the information, and so it just depends on that, our last final jury instructions.

THE COURT: Yeah. Right. Let me know when you're

ready for me. 1 (Court recessed at 11:11 a.m., until 11:28 a.m.) 2 (Prospective jurors not present) 3 4 MR. COFFEE: Now that I've got my thoughts together a little better, is the Court going to inquire as to whether 5 or not anybody's seen news coverage concerning this? This did 6 7 hit the papers at some point. THE COURT: I will. I will add that to my list 8 right here. Do you want me to -- this is what I've done in 9 10 the past. If they raise their hands if they have yes, then I usually examine them separately. 11 MR. ROGAN: 12 Sure. 13 MS. MERCER: That's fine. 14 MR. COFFEE: That makes sense. THE COURT: It takes way longer, but you avoid the 15 16 contamination. I'm going to wait till they're in the box, 17 though, to ask the guestion. 18 MR. COFFEE: Sure. And the other thing, Judge, is 19 the relationship of the two folks involved here, three folks involved all centers around the Potter's House Church. 20 21 THE COURT: Who's? MR. COFFEE: Potter's House --22 23 THE COURT: Potter's House Church? 24 MR. COFFEE: -- Church. We should probably ask a generic question as to whether anybody's a member of Potter's 25

```
House, because I guarantee there was scuttlebutt around the
 1
    church after it happened.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
 4
              MR. COFFEE: Even -- not just do they know --
 5
              THE COURT: I'm writing it down.
             MR. COFFEE: Yeah.
 6
              THE COURT: Anyone attend, how's that --
              MR. COFFEE: That'll be fine.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: -- Potter House Church. Is it Potter's
   House?
10
             MR. ROGAN: Attend or have attended.
11
12
             MR. COFFEE: Yeah.
13
              THE COURT: Atend or, yeah, attended Potter's House.
14
             MR. COFFEE: Very good.
              THE COURT: Usually I ask the generic question,
15
16
    anybody know anything about this case other than what you've
   heard here in court as a wrap, too. So --
17
18
                      (Pause in the proceedings)
19
              THE COURT: Good morning, sir. How are you doing
    today?
20
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Good morning, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Dulce, have you got your 14 poker chips?
23
              THE CLERK:
                         Yes, Judge.
24
              THE COURT: Once Mr. Coffee gets his tie on, have
25
   him pull one number out.
```

MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: One number, Judge? 1 THE COURT: One first. When he gets his tie on, 2 3 have him pull a number out. And then Mr. Rogan gets to pull 4 the other one. 5 (Pause in the proceedings) THE COURT: Which exhibits are stipulated to? 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Your Honor, if I could approach your 8 clerk just to verify before we make that record. 9 THE COURT: Sure. I'm just looking for your numbers. We're on the record. 10 Okay. Which exhibits are stipulated to? 11 12 MR. ROGAN: Your Honor, it's going to be State's 13 Exhibits 1 through 82. 14 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Coffee? MR. ROGAN: And then --15 16 MR. COFFEE: If he says so. 17 MR. ROGAN: Yes, it is. And then 84 and 85. 18 THE COURT: So 1 through 82 and 84 through 85 will 19 be admitted. (State's Exhibits 1 through 82 and 84 and 85 admitted) 20 21 THE COURT: What's the poker chip the defendant 22 pulled for the first alternate? 23 THE MARSHAL: It's Number 9, Judge. 24 THE COURT: So the person remaining as the ninth 25 person on the list after the execution of all peremptory

challenges will be your first alternate.

Mr. Rogan if you could pull Alternate Number 2.

THE MARSHAL: 14.

THE COURT: Number 14 will be -- the person remaining in the fourteenth position after the execution of all peremptory challenges will be your second alternate.

All right. Is there anything else outside the presence? I know there were some issues about an amended information, as well as some document related to the offers that you wanted to make before we proceeded.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Before we do that, there's some further stipulations of the parties that we entered into during the preparation of trial. The first is with regard to chain of custody of the decedent, Echo White, that her body bag was sealed and transported to the autopsy without that seal being broken. Secondly was chain of custody of all the DNA swabs that were taken in this particular case, as well as the chain of custody of the bullet that was removed from the decedent, Echo White.

THE COURT: Those correct, Mr. Coffee?

MR. COFFEE: Those are correct, Judge.

MR. ROGAN: The offer that was conveyed in this particular case was for the defendant to plead guilty to two different counts, the first count being first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon. The State would stipulate on

that count to 28 years to life. That's including the enhancement for use of a deadly weapon.

Additionally, he'd plead guilty to attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon, and both parties would retain the right to argue with regard to the penalty for the attempt murder.

That offer was rejected by the defendant.

THE COURT: Sir, and you've had an opportunity to discuss those offers with your counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you've made the determination that it's in your best interests to go ahead and proceed to trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

MR. COFFEE: Just briefly, Judge. We also agreed to stipulate to authenticity on some records. There's a Facebook custodian that we're not going to require the State to bring in. I think both parties are going to stipulate to the authenticity of the Facebook records that were obtained by the State.

Similarly, there was a phone that was examined. And
if there's anything concerning bringing in a custodian from
Sprint or something like that, we're going to stipulate that
the text messages were kept in the ordinary course of
business, the aware found on the phone that was retained from

Echo Lucas, that it was her phone. And again those are going 1 2 to be stipulated. We're not going to debate authenticity on 3 that. 4 MR. ROGAN: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Coffee. THE COURT: And do those have exhibit numbers? 5 MR. ROGAN: Currently they do. 6 7 The Facebook or the phone? THE COURT: MR. ROGAN: We have several different exhibits 8 9 [inaudible] if I can approach your clerk. 10 THE COURT: 86 through 91? MR. ROGAN: Yes, State's Exhibit 86 through 91, 11 12 which are currently marked as proposed. Those are the 13 Facebook status updates and Facebook messages. 14 State's Exhibit 84 and 85 relate to the cell phone 15 that Mr. Coffee was referring to, and those are admitted by 16 stipulation. 17 THE COURT: So are you going to offer the Facebook now, or do you want to wait till later to deal with it? 18 19 MR. ROGAN: I believe Mr. Coffee just needs to 20 review the Facebook messages on his own before he's going to 21 decide whether to stipulate or to challenge them during the 22 course of the trial. 23 THE COURT: Okay. They're stipulated to authenticity. I've written that note. And if we get to an 24 25 issue about relevance or other admissibility, Mr. Coffee will

address that.

MR. COFFEE: That'd be fine. And I believe the State's stipulating, also, that the document that they provided is authentic.

MR. ROGAN: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. ROGAN: Finally, Your Honor, the State does have a second amended information that we're asking leave of Court to file. In preparing the jury instructions for this case the State came across a recent decision from July of 2013, which was after this case --

THE COURT: That's not really very recent.

MR. ROGAN: No, it's not. However, it is in between the time of the filing of this case and of course today's trial. And based upon our reading of <u>Clay v. State</u> we feel out of an abundance of caution that we should amend the information to include the theory of child abuse that we're proceeding on. By way of brief background, under the child abuse statute, which is NRS 200.508(1), there's five different presumable methods of abuse. And after reading <u>Clay v. State</u> we just want to put on notice in the amended -- second amended information that we're proceeding on a theory of negligent treatment or maltreatment. So we're narrowing it down from five possible theories that we could have argued at trial to one. And I don't think there was any dispute about that,

because the remaining were not implicated by the facts of this 1 case. There's nothing about actual physical abuse, there's 2 nothing about sexual exploitation or sexual abuse. It was 3 4 always under a theory of negligent treatment or maltreatment. And so that's what our second information -- amended 5 information would do, is just put that specifically --6 That's the only change? THE COURT: 8 MR. ROGAN: It is the only change. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Coffee. MR. COFFEE: I have reviewed the change. I think 10 11 that is the only change. I think they're tightening up the language on the information. Obviously it's concerning when 12 13 we get an information the morning that trial's going to start 14 or Friday afternoon, and it's a change that could have been made beforehand. I would submit that if it was not legally 15 16 pled beforehand in the previous information, if it wasn't sufficient to support a charge, then they shouldn't be allowed 17 18 to amend at this point. But we'll submit to the Court's 19 discretion. I don't have any further -- I think it's in good 20 faith, too. I don't think there was any gamesmanship here. 21 THE COURT: I'm going to allow the amendment, 22 because it merely fits the charge to the facts of the case.. 23 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. May I approach your clerk, 24 Your Honor?

You may.

THE COURT:

25

MR. ROGAN: And that's all the matters the State has 1 2 outside the presence, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Coffee, since you had the 4 opportunity to review this most recent amended information, are there any portions of the amended information you do not 5 wish the clerk to read when she reads the information to the 6 7 jury? I ask this for a reason. MR. COFFEE: Yes. And that's --THE COURT: Because, remember, I'm a paranoid judge. 9 So you want to come up and look at it real quick and see if 10 there's any part that we need to redact from reading. 11 MR. COFFEE: Can I have 20 seconds with my client? 12 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 (Pause in the proceedings) MR. COFFEE: We're okay with reading it as is. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. Anything else outside the 17 presence? 18 MR. ROGAN: Not from the State, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Kevin, if you would bring the jurors in in their numerical order. 20 21 It is my practice to stand when they enter and leave 22 the courtroom. Please remember when we get to the cause 23 portion I have those made out loud unless you believe it is a

sensitive issue that needs to be made outside the presence of

24

25

the other jurors.

(Prospective jurors are present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. First I want to apologize for the delay in getting you.

Counsel, you may be seated.

I had an emergency matter on one of my civil cases that showed up unexpectedly this morning, and for some reason those lawyers couldn't say what they should in 10 minutes. It took them about an hour. So that has thrown me off this morning, and I apologize for being delayed in getting to you.

This is the time set for trial of Case Number C-286357, State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus Troy Richard White, the defendant. The record will reflect the presence of the defendant, his counsel, counsel for the State, all officers of the court.

Parties ready to proceed?

MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. COFFEE: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you are in

Department 11 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. My name
is Elizabeth Gonzalez. I am the presiding judge in this
department. I want to apologize to all of you for the
condition of my courtroom. We were able to take it apart so I
could try the CityCenter case in this, but, unfortunately, I
can't get anybody to put my courtroom back together. So for
those of you who are sitting in the folding chairs, I

apologize. We will try and get through the preliminaries and break for lunch fairly quickly.

Let me take this opportunity to introduce the court staff with whom you may be coming into contact. Jill Hawkins, on my far left, is the court recorder. She is the individual who makes a digital audio-video recording of everything that happens in the courtroom. For that reason if you need to answer any of the questions as we go through the process, it is important that you give me the last three digits of your badge number, as well as your name. That way if she ever has to make a record about anything that occurred during the proceedings, she will be able to properly identify who was speaking. Since most normal human beings don't identify themselves with a number, if you forget, we will try and remind you.

To my immediate left I have Dulce Romea. She is the court clerk. She swears the witnesses, marks the exhibits, and keeps track of evidence and prepares the official record of the court.

Kevin Eckhart, who you've already met, is the marshal. He's the individual with whom you will have the most contact during the proceedings. You may also see my law clerk and my judicial executive assistant in the courtroom or assisting us at some points in time.

On behalf of the State would you please like to

introduce yourself, your co-counsel, and make a brief statement of the nature of the case and state the names of any of the witnesses you may call in this case.

MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is

Chief Deputy District Attorney Liz Mercer. I have with me my

co-counsel, Chief Deputy District Attorney Jeff Rogan. We are

prosecuting the case of State of Nevada versus Troy White.

The specific allegations in this case are that on July 27th of 2012 at 325 Altimira, which is in the vicinity of Alta between Buffalo and Rainbow. For those of you not familiar with that area of town I apologize, but that's the best description I can give you. That's the residence where the defendant was residing with his wife and five children prior to their separation.

The allegations are that on that date the defendant entered the home and shot and killed Echo White, resulting in a charge of murder with use of a deadly weapon, and that he shot Joseph Averman, resulting in a charge of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon, and that all of those crimes were committed in the presence of the five children, resulting in five counts of child abuse, neglect, or endangerment.

In addition there is an allegation of carrying a concealed weapon, because at the time he entered the home that weapon was concealed. Thank you.

The State's witnesses -- and just -- I'm going to list several witnesses. Many of these may not be called, but please listen carefully. It's Joseph Averman; Jayce White; Jodey White; Robert Wilson; Wanda Wilson; Amber Gaines; Mike Gaines; Fernando Diaz; Shayna Break [phonetic], who is a Yavapai County Sheriff's Office deputy, that's in Prescott, Arizona; James Jaeger, who is also with the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office; Herman Allen; Michael Montalto; Bradley Marshall; Clyde Bentley, who is a retired member of the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office; Lieutenant Bolt, also with that office; and then local law enforcement witnesses include potentially Detective Travis Ivey with the Homicide Unit; Officer David Herwitz, he's a patrol officer; Darren Martine, who is also a patrol officer; Matthew O'Brien, who is also a patrol officer; Michael Tortuously, who is also a patrol officer; Sergeant John Scott; Chris O'Brien, who was a detective on the Homicide Unit on the date of this offense but is now a sergeant; Matthew Gillis, who is also a Homicide detective; and Tate Sanborn, who is also a Homicide detective. In addition, you may hear from several crime scene analysts with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

analysts with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Those witnesses include Terry Martine or Martin; Louise

Renhart; Jeffrey Scott; Danielle -- and I can't pronounce her

last name, so I'll spell it for you, it's

C-A-R-V-O-U-N-I-A-R-I-S; Tracy Kruze, formerly Tracy Brownly;

Jennifer Riner; Moretta McIntire. And then you may hear from some people who are employed with the Forensics Lab at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, as well. Those witnesses include Julie Marchiner, who is a DNA analyst; Ana Lester, formerly Ana Sago, who is a firearms and ballistics examiner; Marnie Carter, who examines fingerprints and does analysis; and Brad Burghius, who is now an officer at the airport, but at the time he was a forensic examiner, meaning computer equipment and electronics, things of that nature.

In addition, you will hear from Dr. Lisa Gavin. She's a medical examiner with the Clark County Coroner's Office.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Coffee, would you like to introduce yourself, your client, your co-counsel, make a brief factual statement, and identify any additional anticipated witnesses the defense intends to call.

MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is

David Lopez-Negrete. My co-counsel is Mr. Scott Coffee. And

this is Mr. Troy White. He's our -- we're representing him,

and through the course of this trial you'll get to meet him,

and you'll see that he was a family man, happily married for

eight years with Echo White and their five children, and at

one point one of his closest friends, Joseph Averman, betrayed

him, had an affair with his wife. Mr. White was desperate to keep his family together and to save it. And on that fateful day, July 27th, 2012, emotion got the better of him. But he is not a murderer.

Mr. Coffee will now read to you the witnesses that we intend to call.

MR. COFFEE: The State has listed a number of witnesses for you. If for some reason they decide that they do not want to call one of those witnesses, we might. Also, additionally, the witnesses that they're going to call we're going to ask questions of if we feel it's important.

In addition to those witnesses we have some other witnesses we may be calling. They are as follows. Sam Bakke, Rachel Brooks, Dena Cabral, Ruben Garcia, Pete Gullo, Tim Henderson, Roger Hosford, Dorothy Long, Cory Robinson, Misty Todd, and Terry Todd. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Clerk, if you would please call the roll of panel of prospective jurors.

Ladies and gentlemen, when your name is called please answer "present" or "here."

(Prospective jury roll called)

THE COURT: Is there anyone in my group of prospective jurors whose name was not called?

Could you all please stand and raise your right hand

to be sworn, please.

(Prospective jurors sworn)

THE COURT: You may be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are about to commence the jury selection process. During this process you will be asked questions bearing upon your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors in this particular case. To accomplish this questions will be asked of you both by myself and counsel for the parties. On occasion some of the questions may seem somewhat personal. I will tell you they're not intended to embarrass you. They are simply intended for us to be able to make an informed decision about whether you could serve as a fair and impartial juror. If you feel for any reason that an answer to a question needs to be given in private, please let us know. We will try and accommodate that request.

It important you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all the questions we are about to ask you. Please do not try to hide or withhold anything which might indicate bias or prejudice of any sort. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict.

I'm going to conduct a general examination of all of you. After those general questions I will then ask some followup questions of those individuals seated in the box

area.

At some point during the process of selecting a jury the attorneys will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. These requests are called challenges. Please do not be offended should you be excused by one of the challenge procedures. They are simply one of the mechanisms under our criminal justice system to insure that everyone is confident that the jury who actually hears the case is one who is fair and impartial.

If you wish to respond to a question, please remember I need the last three digits of your badge number and then your name so I can make an adequately record.

First, have any of my potential jurors been convicted of a felony?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative response.

Are any of my potential jurors not United States citizens?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative response.

Is there anyone who has such a sympathy, prejudice, or bias relating to age, religion, race, gender, sexual orientation, or national origin that you feel would affect your ability to be an open-minded, fair and impartial juror?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative

response. 1 2 Are any of you acquainted with the defendant or his 3 attorneys? Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative 4 5 response. Are any of you acquainted with either of the Deputy 6 7 District Attorneys? Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative 8 9 response. Are any of you acquainted with any of the witnesses 10 whose names were previously mentioned by the attorneys? 11 12 Seeing no hands -- yes, ma'am. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I work for the Las 13 14 Vegas Metropolitan --THE COURT: I need your badge number. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Barbara Ruckle. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Ruckles. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I'm familiar with 18 19 some of the names of the LVMPD people, because I work for the Department as a civilian. 20 THE COURT: And what do you do as a civilian Metro 21 employee? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I'm a supply 24 specialist. I handle the copiers and forms. 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: You're welcome. 1 2 THE COURT: Anybody else think you recognize the names of any of the witnesses who were read by either parties? 3 4 This case is expected to last eight days. We have two days that we will be off during that period of time, and 5 we will finish next Friday, April 17th. Does serving that 6 7 time act as an undue hardship upon any of you? 8 If you could go to the box area, stop at the top 9 row. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: Mark Marinelli. I 11 just have a transportation problem. My wife's the only one 12 that works, and I'm trying to seek employment right now. 13 THE COURT: So are you telling me you wouldn't be 14 able to make it to court? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: It would be really 15 16 hard. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Why? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: I only got the truck 19 today and that's it. And then she's the only -- we only have one vehicle. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 066: I'm the only person 23 that work in my household, and I have to pay all my bills by myself. I just started a job at Walmart six months ago, and I 24

don't have no transportation. I have to take the bus.

25

THE COURT: All right. So Walmart pays you while 1 2 you're on jury service, though; right? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 066: Yeah. They said they 4 would. THE COURT: Okay. So you're concerned you wouldn't 5 be able to make it to court? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 066: It would be hard 8 every day, because I have to take two buses. 9 THE COURT: So you have to take two buses to get 10 here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 066: To get here. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you'd pass 12 the mike. 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Jonathan Leavelle, 15 067. My wife works three days a week graveyard, and she 16 doesn't get home until 7:00 a.m., and my children are home schooled, so I assist in that. But I don't know what time 17 18 that the case starts in the morning, so I don't know what time 19 I would have to be here. 20 THE COURT: We go from 10:00 to 5:00 with a break 21 about an hour and 15 minutes at lunch. Would that work out with your schedule? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Brian K. Robinson,

069. I am a project manager and general manager of a company 1 called On Stage, and I pretty much run the whole company. 2 3 Tomorrow morning we're doing a load-in at the Aria. We have 4 people from out of town. And for me to be here for those many days -- I'll still get paid, but my job won't be done. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of load-in are you 7 doing at the Aria? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Oh. 8 I'm sorry. 9 do A-V so we load in everything, all the gear and put on the 10 show for the Winnebago Company. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 070: Hi. I'm Vania 13 Ramirez, 070, and I have to watch my nieces this entire week. 14 I'm the only one at the house. THE COURT: And how old are your nieces? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 070: Six and nine months. 17 THE COURT: And does the six-year-old go to school? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 070: Yes. And I have to 18 19 pick her up from school. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 071: My name is Odalys, 22 and I am 071. I'm the only one working in my home right now, 23 and I'm paying the bills for my mom. And I work at a kiosk, and they don't pay me if I'm not working. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 073: 073, Susan Haase. I 1 2 would be out a flight -- the cost of a flight. I'm scheduled 3 to go to Milwaukee. So I would be out of that. 4 I also have a house closing on the 17th. 5 THE COURT: And when are you travelling to Milwaukee? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 073: On the 16th. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: Hi. My name's Joerg Kleutgens, 083. I had surgery two weeks ago, and I have three 10 post-op doctor's appointments this week and physical therapy. 11 I had another injury last week, work related, which I'm going 12 13 to see a doctor about, too, within the next week. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And when are your medical appointments this week that you have? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: The first one is 17 tomorrow at 9:00, the second one, the day after, at 10:00. 18 And then I'm supposed to get a call from the third doctor for 19 this week. 20 THE COURT: And what time are your physical therapy 21 appointment scheduled for? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: They will be 22 23 scheduled by the doctor tomorrow. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 083: They're usually in

between 10:00 and 12:00 in the morning. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 077: Hi. Shirley Hampton, 3 4 077. Savage-Hampton. I have to care for a mentally disabled 5 brother. And I'm the only one caring for him. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Hi. 075, Rizza 8 Tawatao. I have a mandatory class that I'm required to take 9 on Friday, the 17th, to keep my job at the hospital I work 10 for. THE COURT: Which hospital do you work for? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Summerlin Hospital 13 and Southern Hills Hospital. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 074: 074. My name is Lynn 15 16 White. I am the organizing director for California Tribal 17 Gaming, and I work primarily out of town. I have a couple of 18 campaigns that are going on right now that are about to go 19 public. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So what does that mean about your 21 travel schedule or your work schedule? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 074: My travel schedule, 22 23 I'm scheduled to leave again tomorrow morning to Sacramento, California. I have postponed it because I was under the 24 25 impression that I thought the -- it would be three to five

days, so I thought possibly just for the week. But next week 1 2 I have some public campaigns going on in Ontario, so I won't be able to be here. 3 4 THE COURT: So Ontario, California? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 074: Ontario next week, 5 6 yes. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 090: 090, Ramiro 9 Rodriquez-Alvarez. I'm -- I work graveyard the whole week. 10 I'm paying all my bills -- like I pay all the bills on my house, so I'm not sure if the company will pay, because like I 11 get like a salary. I'm a technician. 12 13 THE COURT: Where do you work, sir? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 090: Carmax. And I also like have -- it would be hard for me. Like have to come like 15 16 after work, after working all night and then after that come 17 to the court. So --18 THE COURT: And do you feel that you would have to 19 work at your job even though we were in trial and so you 20 wouldn't be able to pay attention when you got here? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 090: Yeah. Because I 22 actually get off like at 6:00 a.m., and then it would be --23 I'll be really tired and also have trouble sleeping. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 091: Clara Hernandez-

```
Gonzalez. I have a six-month-old baby with whom I am breast
 1
   feeding right now, and I have a three-year-old son who goes to
 2
   school. I would have big problems having somebody to take
 3
 4
   care of them.
              THE COURT: And do you work outside the home, ma'am?
 5
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 091: No, I do not. My
7
   husband just started working, so --
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 091: -- I have them with
   me today.
10
              THE COURT: There was somebody else in that row,
11
12
   Kevin.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 094: Hi, Your Honor. I'm
14
   Roxann Green, 094. I teach nursing at Nevada State College,
15
   and I have two clinicals this week on Wednesdays and Fridays
16
   that there is not anybody to take place. If you can, that
   would be great. I'd love to be here. But it's just not
17
18
   possible.
19
              THE COURT: And what time are your clinical
   sessions?
20
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 094: 7:00 to 7:00.
22
   a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
23
              THE COURT: So they're full days?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 094: Yes.
25
             THE COURT: Thank you.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 094: Thank you.
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 095: Hello. I'm 095, Mark
 2
 3
   Dilisio. I just will have a conflict on Wednesdays to
 4
   Fridays, because I work graveyard and my wife works day shift,
   12:00 to 8:00, and I've got two children. And my daughter's
 5
   out on track break, so I have nobody to watch them.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: So you have childcare obligations for
8
   one of your children?
9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 095: Yeah. Actually both.
              THE COURT: And how old --
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 095: My son's at school.
11
12
   He gets home at like 3:30.
13
              THE COURT: And your daughter who's on track break,
14
   how old?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 095: She's seven.
15
16
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 096: Hello. My name is
   Nancy. I'm 096. I won't be able to do the jury just because
18
19
    I'm leaving to Colorado this Thursday 4:00 p.m.
20
              THE COURT: So you're moving away?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 096: No. I'm just going
22
   for four days.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. So what time do you leave on
24
   Thursday?
25
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 096: 4:00 p.m.
```

THE COURT: Thank you. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 096: You're welcome. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 098: My name is Elizabeth 3 4 Krein. I'm 098. I have two special needs children that I adopted from the State, and one of them is not doing well 5 right now. It's an option of hospitalizing him or doing 6 7 weekly doctor visits. So it depends on what day you're going 8 to be off. He has an appointment this Wednesday. 9 THE COURT: We were going to be off this Friday, the 10th, and next Wednesday, the 15th. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 098: Okay. 11 12 THE COURT: So if you have an appointment this 13 Wednesday, what time is it? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 098: It's at 2:00, so --15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 100: Hi. I'm Cathlyn 17 Dettrey, Number 100. I home school my 11-year-old son and my 18 10-year-old daughter, and there's really no one to take my 19 place if I'm not there. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: I'm Abriella Stone, 22 101, and I'm a clinical research coordinator, and I have 23 patients for the next few days. And if I'm not there, there's 24 no one else to see them. 25 THE COURT: And who's your employer, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Jerry Whitson 1 2 Dermatology & Associates. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 103: I'm Badge 103, Al Kopatschek. And basically I drive a truck, and I get paid by 5 the mile. So I can probably stay here for a week and maybe 6 7 collect a vacation pay, but that's about all. I sure wasn't expecting to be here for two weeks. 9 THE COURT: So you don't get paid unless you drive a 10 truck? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 103: Yeah. Right. 11 12 Exactly. 13 THE COURT: You drive locally, or over the road? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 103: Over the road. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: 104, Dana Espiritu. 17 I watch a friend's baby who is five months old, and she 18 wouldn't have anyone to watch him. 19 THE COURT: And what hours do you watch the baby? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 104: 7:30 to 4:00. 20 21 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 106: 106, Peter Thompson. 22 23 I drive for Whittlesea Taxi, and I wouldn't get paid if I'm --24 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 108: Malka Yogel, 108. My husband just had open heart surgery. He was 13 days in intensive care. He's not driving now. Now he's all by himself because I'm here. I have to take him to a lot of test. In the end of the month he's having another two surgeries, and there is a lot of test before it. I have all the documents here.

THE COURT: Okay. If you would hand those to the marshal, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: 110, Steve Oliai. I work for a software development company, and this would put a few projects in jeopardy for us.

THE COURT: And what do you do for them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: I'm the senior director of our data services group, so I manage teams of architects and database engineers.

THE COURT: And what's the name of the company you work for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: EBIX, E-B-I-X, Incorporated.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 112: Hi. My badge number is 112. Kevin Lieu. I actually run a neurology clinic for a doctor. I'm the office manager, but I also do all the diagnostic testing in the office, so I'm not sure if he -- we would be able to reschedule all of our patients for the next

two weeks. I actually had to reschedule everybody for today, 1 2 so --THE COURT: You rescheduled everybody even though 3 4 you were here and the doctor is there? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 112: We had to reschedule 6 everybody today because I'm not there to do the testing. I do 7 nerve tests, so -- I do nerve conduction studies. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So like EMG testing? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 112: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 113: Holly Harris, 113. I 11 just started my job on Thursday. I work full time from 9:00 12 13 to -- from 9:00 to 5:00, and my schedule varies. They're 14 going to -- I just finished training, so they want to start me tomorrow from -- I guess from 12:00 to close -- or 2:00 to 15 close. And I have a two-month-old newborn that I'm breast 16 feeding now, so I'm away from her enough as it is. 17 18 THE COURT: Who do you work for, ma'am? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 113: DiGucci Development. 20 THE COURT: And what do you do? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 113: I'm a sales associate. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 114: Hi. Badge 114. Angelita Fairbanks. I'm one of the CEOs of Action Coach 25

Business Coaching, a multi-international company, which we're 1 running a business excellence forum in Portugal from the 11th 2 to the 27th, so I'll be travelling to Portugal. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Is there anyone who believes for any other reason 5 than what I've heard so far that you couldn't serve on this 6 7 jury for through next Friday? 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 073: 073, Susan Haase. don't feel I could honestly do this. I've been through a 10 situation where I left my first husband after being beaten, 11 and I would not be impartial. 12 13 THE COURT: All right, ma'am. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yes. This is B.K. 15 Robinson, 069. I have to be honest. From hearing what the 16 case was about and I probably would have a bias based on -- do 17 I have to say it? 18 THE COURT: Well, you've got to tell me why, sir. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Well, because I kind of -- I guess I can say I empathize or sympathize with the 20 21 defendant. 22 THE COURT: All right. We may ask you some more 23 questions in a little bit without the other jurors, okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anybody else want to tell me something

25

that you feel like I need to know before I get to the next -Yes, ma'am. Ms. Diaz.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 096: 096. I wouldn't be able to stay here just 'cause I'm very emotional to hear this.

THE COURT: Okay. My job as a judge in a case -Yes, ma'am. Ms. Ruckles.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: 102, Barbara Ruckle. Working with the police department and coming from -- my father was also an investigator, I don't think I could be impartial. When we get to this point I think the damage is done. That's just my opinion.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: 101, Abriella Stone.

And I feel as though I couldn't -- I couldn't be impartial

about it because I've kind of already made my decision without

even hearing anything, which is not so good. And I don't know

if I would change that.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 106: Peter Thompson. I was involved in a domestic situation. I don't think I'd be impartial.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

All right. My job as the judge in any case is to give you the instructions on the law as it applies to a particular case. If you're chosen as a juror is there anyone

who believes you would not be able to follow the law as I give it to you in the jury instructions?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative response.

Your job as a juror if you're selected is to make determinations about the facts. That means you listen to the witnesses who sit in the witness stand and testify, look at the exhibits that are admitted, and then along with the other jurors who are chosen make a determination about what actually happened. Does anyone have a problem acting as a fact finder?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: 087, Joseph
Rodriguez. I just -- I go off what I hear. I don't really
look at the facts that well. I'm just -- yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

Anybody else think they might have problems acting as a fact finder, listening to the evidence and making a decision with the other jurors?

Under our system certain principles of law apply in every criminal trial. There are that the information filed in the case is a mere accusation and is not evidence of guilt, that the defendant is presumed innocent, and the State must prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Does anyone not believe in those basic precepts of our justice system?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative

response.

Can you wait in forming your opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until all of the evidence has been heard and after you receive instructions from me?

Anybody have a problem with that?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally positive response.

Does anyone know anything about this case other than what's been stated in the courtroom? And if you raise your hand, I'm just going to get your number for now. Anybody know anything about this case?

I've got Seat Number 62, Mr. Marinelli.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 062: Yes, 062.

THE COURT: All right. Hold on, sir. We're not going to ask any questions yet. I'm just going to write a note.

Anybody else think they know anything about this case?

All right. Counsel, can I see you at the bench, please.

Ladies and gentlemen, you're going to hear some white noise. The reason you hear this white noise is I can do some work with counsel without sending you out and then bringing you back in, which will take a half hour.

(Bench Conference)

THE COURT: Okay. Usually in a case that is like this I will excuse those people who have a financial hardship, as well as childcare and school issues. Does anyone have a problem with that?

MR. ROGAN: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me go through the ones I think that -- and then we also have some transportation issues that I'm concerned about.

MR. COFFEE: I'm concerned about one who'd possibly show up.

Number 73 is a travel. 74 is a travel. Badge Number 77 is a child care. Badge Number 83 is a health issue. Badge Number 91 was a childcare. 95 is a childcare. 94 is a school issue. 96 is a travel issue. 98 is a childcare issue. 100 is a childcare issue. 104 is a childcare issue. 108 is a medical issue for the husband. If anybody wants to look at the documents, she brought it, but I believe her. And 114 was a travel issue. Those are all of mine without going into the pay missed. Does anybody have a concern with any of those being excused?

MR. COFFEE: No.

MR. ROGAN: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me go to the ones who think they aren't -- I have two who say they can't get here because

they've got transportation problems. That's 62 and 66. 1 2 MR. COFFEE: First time it's ever happened to me, by 3 the way. 4 THE COURT: What? MR. COFFEE: That they said I've got a bus issue. 5 THE COURT: Oh, I've had a lot. I actually had a 6 7 marshal one day who would get the guy to and from the bus because he -- we were concerned. It was when he had to go to the [inaudible]. 10 Any concern with those two who have transportation issues being excused? They're the only two who've mentioned 11 12 it, which meant that apparently nobody else is smart enough to 13 pick up on that as a reason. 14 Badge Number 71 is a financial hardship. If we go 15 down that path, I'm going to excuse all the people with 16 financial hardships. But I've got another 45. Remember, I've 17 got a total of 90. 18 MR. ROGAN: How many financial hardships do we think 19 we have? THE COURT: I think I have four. 20 21 MR. COFFEE: I'm okay with letting them go. 22 MR. ROGAN: So that's Badge Numbers 69, 71. THE COURT: 23 And then I've got 103 is a financial. 24 MR. ROGAN: All right. And then on the last one 25 106.

THE COURT: And we have a taxi driver who's 106 1 who's financial. 2 3 MR. COFFEE: Who's the fourth financial? 4 THE COURT: We only have three. 5 MR. COFFEE: Oh. Great. Okay. THE COURT: Any problem excusing those folks I just 6 7 discussed? 8 MR. ROGAN: No. 9 MR. COFFEE: No. THE COURT: All right. Were there -- let me give 10 you those numbers, and then you can tell me if there's any 11 12 others you just want to get rid of and you both agree. The total -- and this is all of them we're excusing 13 14 on hardship or financial, transportation, childcare, things like that. Badge 62, Mr. Marinelli; 66, Ms. Maddox; 70, Ms. 15 16 Ramirez; 71, Ms. Castillo; 73, Ms. Haase; 74; Ms. White; 77, 17 Ms. Savage; 83, Mr. Kleutgens; 91, Ms. Hernandez; 94, Ms. 18 Green; 95, Mr. Diliso; 96, Ms. Diaz; 98, Ms. Krein; 100, Ms. 19 Dettry; 103, Mr. Kopatschek; 104, Ms. Espiritu; 106, Mr. 20 Thompson; 108, Ms. Yogel; 114, Ms. Fairbanks. 21 MR. ROGAN: We just want to inquire further of those 22 people that they some special bias. 23 THE COURT: Well, are there any others that both of you want to get rid of, like the lady from Metro, either of 24 25 the people who've already said they have a bias?

```
MR. ROGAN: I think we need to question them
 1
 2
   further.
 3
             MR. COFFEE: Yeah. That's fine. I guess somebody's
 4
   got three doctor's appointment this week, 83.
              THE COURT: I'm letting him go.
 5
             MR. ROGAN: Yeah.
 6
 7
             MR. COFFEE: Oh. I'm sorry.
              THE COURT: He's not -- he's [inaudible].
 8
 9
             MR. ROGAN: Okay. There's one that's not up here
         It's on Stephen Stubbs, the attorney. It's Badge Number
10
   yet.
   171.
11
12
              THE COURT: I think we should make him suffer
13
   through sitting in the back row for three days with his bow
14
   tie on.
             MR. ROGAN: As much as I would like to do that --
15
16
   it's up to the Court. We don't care.
17
              THE COURT: Do you think he's ready to go?
             MR. COFFEE: I dealt with him at the legislature
18
19
   last Friday, and I'm fine with him going.
             MR. ROGAN: Our office prosecuted him last week,
20
21
   so --
              THE COURT: No. Wasn't it longer than that? Was it
22
23
   just last week.
24
             MR. ROGAN: He was exonerated. That's correct.
                                                               He
25
   may hold some hard feelings.
```

THE COURT: But they don't have him coming -- which 1 number is he? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: 171. 4 THE COURT: He's not coming back till 2:00. They 5 gave them lunch. MR. ROGAN: 6 Okay. 7 THE COURT: But when they see him they'll let him know he's excused. 8 9 Any others that you want to talk about excusing, Mr. Coffee, based on their responses? 10 MR. COFFEE: I'm just running down my notes one more 11 12 time. THE COURT: For those people who said they're 13 14 biased, if you want me to ask them questions individually, or 15 just have them as a group, the people who've said they're 16 biased, I'm happy to keep them together. I can excuse everybody but those. 17 18 Yeah, that's a good idea. MR. ROGAN: 19 THE COURT: Because they've figured out how to get out of jury duty. They're just very good at it yet. Okay? 20 21 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Kevin, may I see you. 23 (End of bench conference) 24 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm 25 going to excuse the following jurors on hardship. What that

means is you're being excused today, but you're going to be 1 2 subject to recall sooner than those jurors who stay with me for the rest of the process. So when I read your name and 3 4 number wait till I've finished, and then go down to the third floor, tell them you've been excused on hardship, and they'll 5 put you back in the system to get recalled. 6 7 So the following individuals are excused on 8 hardship. Badge Number 62, Mark Marinelli; Badge Number 66, 9 Rita Maddox; Badge Number 70, Vania Ramirez; Badge Number 71, Odalys Castillo; Badge Number 73, Susan Haase; Badge Number 10 74, Lynn White; Badge Number 77, Shirley Savage-Hampton; Badge 11 12 Number 83, Joerg Kleutgens; Badge Number 91, Clara Hernandez-13 Gonzalez; Badge Number 94, Roxann Green; Badge Number 95, Mark 14 Dilisio; Badge Number 96, Nancy Diaz; Badge Number 98, Elizabeth Krein; Badge Number 100, Cathlyn Dettry; Badge 15 16 Number 103, Alberto Kopatschek; Badge 104, Dana Espiritu; Badge Number 106, Peter Thompson; Badge Number 108, Malka 17 18 Yogel; and Badge Number 114, Angelita Fairbanks. 19 If you would all go down to the third floor and tell 20 them you have been excused on hardship. 21 MR. ROGAN: Your Honor, may we approach briefly? 22 THE COURT: Yes. (Bench conference) 23 24 THE COURT: Yes? 25 Also agreeing on Badge 113, Holly MR. ROGAN:

Harris. She's the woman that started a new job and is breast feeding her newborn.

THE COURT: Okay.

(End of bench conference)

THE COURT: And I missed one. Ms. Harris, Badge Number 113. You go down to the third floor and process out.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to read something I wouldn't usually read you until further along. But since I'm a little behind schedule, I'm going to read this to you now, and then I'm going to have you leave and come back at 1:30.

During the course of this trial the attorneys for both sides, the parties, the witnesses, and court personnel, other than the marshal, are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial; they are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

You are admonished additionally that you are not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences made mention of during this trial unless specifically directed to do so by the Court. Please don't investigate this case or anyone who has anything to do with this case on your own, which includes Internet research. Do not undertake any legal or factual research of any sort or tweet or blog or text about this case during our break.

During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, social media, texts, newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

If you could come back at 1:30. Please remember where you're sitting, and meet the marshal outside Courtroom 14A, which is the courtroom at the other end of the hallway. We'll see you at 1:30.

I would like, please, to have Badge Numbers 69, 101, and 102 please remain.

(Outside the presence of prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, you indicated earlier -and you're Badge Number 69 -- that you might have a bias or
sympathize with the defendant in this case. Can you tell us a
little bit more about that so we can make a decision about
what we should do on our side.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yes. First of all, for the Court, I mean, I'm not trying to shirn [sic] my responsibility, because I think it's something we all should do. In this case I've been I want to say part of it, not

personally, but I've had family members that were on both sides of a situation like this. And one of them was a pretty close friend of mine, and he was in a situation pretty much of what happened with our defendant here to where he was, you know, married and a friend of his basically took his wife and family. And I was one of the guys sitting with him trying to talk him -- do I need to say the whole thing? It's okay?

THE COURT: Yeah. I think you told -- tell us as much as you feel comfortable. We may have to ask you some more questions, but I'm just trying to get the flavor of it from you so we get an idea about what we should do on our side.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: I just wanted to be honest that I really did -- I felt for him, because I was the guy sitting there crying with my friend, you know, while he was telling me this and while I was telling him to not do what he was saying he's going to do. And, of course, he didn't, and his life spiraled to nothing. He's no longer with us today basically from the residuals of that. And I kinda feel I'm not responsible. I'm glad I told him what I told him, but I was there. I kinda don't want to relive it. And I really felt -- I felt that he should have done something, but I'm glad he didn't. And I'm just being honest about it, that's all.

THE COURT: So do you think you could set aside

those personal experiences that you had and listen to this 1 2 case without worrying about what happened with your friend? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Okay. Yes, I know I 3 4 can. I thought about that, because I think every case is 5 different. And I'm a pretty fairly intelligent and, you know, well-read guy, so I'm fair and honest. But for me personally 6 7 I kinda feel -- I feel that there's an injustice that was done 8 to the defendant, and I would have to be -- it'd have to be 9 proven to me that it wasn't. You know. THE COURT: Well, and that's what the standard is. 10 You know, the State has that burden already. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Right. 13 THE COURT: But I wanted to ask you these questions outside the presence of the other jurors because you seemed a 14 little uncomfortable when I asked. 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yeah. I didn't --17 THE COURT: It's okay. I can accommodate it. It's not easy but I can accommodate it. So we just wanted to ask 18 19 you those questions outside the presence of the other jurors. 20 Does the State want to inquire on any issues related 21 to this outside the presence of the other jurors? 22 MR. ROGAN: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Robinson, so you had a situation

where a close friend was essentially cheated on and that

25

caused his life to spiral into nothing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Actually more than once.

MR. ROGAN: More than once.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yeah.

MR. ROGAN: The same guy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: More than one -- no. Separate.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. All right. So you've just mentioned to the Court that you could put that aside and evaluate the facts and circumstances of this case independent of that person's experience.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yeah. And the emotional ties I can [inaudible].

MR. ROGAN: Yet you felt you had a need to bring it to the Court's attention.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yeah. Because when

-- once again, since we're being honest here, and I have to
be, when the attorney spoke of what he said in his opening,
which wasn't argument, of course, his statement, and then
right then I shook. I didn't plan on coming here and saying
that. And then once I heard him say what he said I was like,
you know, almost like yeah. I mean, honestly and nothing
against the person who this happened to, I don't thing no one
should be killed, whatever, but I felt, yeah. Like yeah. I

couldn't say that I wouldn't do it. 2 MR. ROGAN: All right. Now, you understand that the 3 scales of justice are equal. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yes. MR. ROGAN: Do you think based upon your experience that the scales of justice are in favor of the defendant just 6 because of your past experience? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: No. The way I feel 9 about that is I feel the scales of justice they always tilt. You know, I'm just looking at it honestly, they always 10 tipping. Sometime you can be guilty and be found not guilty 12 or not guilty and found guilty. And in this case who's to say 13 what the guilt is? I mean, I'm not a lawyer here, but I feel 14 if I'm a juror my opinion would matter. And I don't want to 15 be sitting here in the jury room with the rest of the jurors 16 having a bias, saying to myself, well, I think the guy did 17 right, and then I'm in here trying to convince these guys of 18 that. I don't think that would be right for me to do. 19 MR. ROGAN: Okay. So in your mind right now do you 20 have a bias in favor of the defendant like you said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Kind of. MR. ROGAN: Kind of. And you can't put that aside? 22 23

1

4

5

7

11

21

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: I don't think I can honestly say that.

MR. ROGAN: Judge, I'd move for cause.

THE COURT: Did you want to ask any questions of Mr. 1 2 Robinson? 3 MR. COFFEE: If I might. 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 MR. COFFEE: Understand that we have to bring people in with a variety of life experience. That's the idea of the 6 7 jury system. And we're going to have people who've been in situations that may be similar. You understand that, also? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yeah. 10 MR. COFFEE: But you wanted to make sure everybody was aware of it? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yeah, I wanted to. 13 And I didn't want to say it front of the other jurors just in 14 case you guys -- oh. I'm sorry. I didn't want to say it in 15 front of the other jurors just in case I got picked, you know. 16 I didn't want them to be looking at me, like, hey, this guy is 17 thinking this way. 18 MR. COFFEE: Understood. But let me ask you this. 19 You've heard that the State has charged Mr. White here with first degree murder. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yes. 21 22 MR. COFFEE: They charged him by way of 23 deliberation, premeditation --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yes. 25 MR. COFFEE: -- malice aforethought.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Yes.

MR. COFFEE: If they come in and prove that beyond a reasonable doubt, to your satisfaction, would you convict him of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: I don't think so.

MR. COFFEE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: And I'm just -- once again, please, I'm being honest.

THE COURT: That's what we want you to do. That's why we're doing this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: And I can answer why, if you'd like me to.

MR. COFFEE: Yes, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Because of being a man, of having those emotions felt of the betrayal of it.

We're people, so we handle situations differently. And who's to say that I wouldn't? And I couldn't see myself convicting a man of something I might have done myself. And I'm a lawabiding guy. I mean, I got kids, I got a family. I don't know what I would do if that situation came upon me. So I don't think I'm a fair guy to pass judgment on.

MR. COFFEE: You bring up an interesting question, because emotional state does matter in these things. Or it might matter. The Judge will tell us about that later. The point being you're saying emotions may come into things and

that may cause you to think something different than first 1 degree murder? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Exactly. 4 MR. COFFEE: But, again, let's assume the State proves the case, that we don't have one of those reduced 5 emotional states. Follow me? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Uh-huh. 8 MR. COFFEE: That we don't have heat of passion, 9 that we have just a case where he plans it and he does it. Plans it. In that case you wouldn't convict on first degree 10 murder? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: No. Because -- yeah, 13 to me --14 MR. COFFEE: No more questions. And thank you, sir. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. We appreciate your 16 honesty. I know how hard it is to talk about it. The 17 challenge for cause is granted. 18 Sir, I'm going to excuse you and ask you to go to 19 the third floor. They may have another trial they need you 20 in, although I doubt it at this point in the day. But thank 21 you very much for your honesty. Have a nice day. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Okay. I'm really --22 23 I'm willing to come to another panel. 24 THE COURT: I know, sir. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Totally willing.

THE COURT: I understand that. Thank you very much. 1 MR. COFFEE: Thank you, sir. 2 THE COURT: So if we could please now, Ms. Stone. 3 4 Stay where you are. We're going to hand you the microphone. 5 And, sir, you can go. Thank you very much. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 069: Thank you, ma'am. 7 I'm sorry for wasting the Court's time. 8 THE COURT: Oh, you weren't wasting my time. 9 you for your honesty, sir. 10 Ma'am, you indicated that because of a personal situation that you'd had you thought you might have a bias in 11 favor of one side or the other. Can you tell me a little bit 12 13 more about that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Sure. So I thought 14 15 it was kind of unfair that right off the bat of hearing the 16 case in my head I already made a decision. And because of my background, I'm a medical student, soon to be a physician, and 17 I took the Hippocratic Oath saying that I believe in 18 19 prolonging life and I -- I mean, I don't believe in murder in 20 any sort of shape, way, or form. It's just not in my belief 21 system. So I don't think that I'm a fair juror. Just being 22 honest. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Does the State wish to inquire of 24 Ms. Stone? 25 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes.

Ms. Stone, I think most people don't believe in 1 murder, whether you're a physician or a store clerk. Isn't 2 3 that fair to say? So what is your bias, then? I'm a little 4 bit unclear as to that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Well, I think my bias 5 is that I've just -- I've already made a decision without 6 7 hearing things, which is really unfair. Like if I was the defendant, I would want someone who wasn't already decided 9 before even hearing my case. And I kind of already am. 10 MR. ROGAN: And you made your decision based upon what? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Just your partner who 13 spoke of the situation. 14 MR. ROGAN: All right. So is it fair to say that 15 you're biased in favor of the State of Nevada and against the 16 defendant? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Yes. 18 MR. ROGAN: And you've already made up your mind 19 that he's guilty of first degree murder? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Yes. 20 21 MR. ROGAN: You don't even -- you don't even know 22 what the elements of first degree murder are right now; right? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: Yeah. And it's unfair. I'm -- I understand the point that you're getting at. 24 25 But yes.

MR. ROGAN: So is there any possibility that you could wait until you hear all the evidence? I mean, there might be something that comes in that sways your mind. Isn't that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 101: I think -- I think you want me to say yes, but my answer is still kind of no.

MR. ROGAN: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Coffee?

MR. COFFEE: Judge, we would challenge for cause.

THE COURT: Challenge for cause granted.

Ma'am, thank you again for your honesty. Go down to the third floor. They may have another trial they need you on. Thank you very much.

Ms. Ruckle.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Because of where you work I'm guessing you think you might have a bias. Tell me a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I -- my father in the CID for the Army, so I've heard a lot of stories from him growing up. And then I went into law enforcement as a civilian, and I talk at the officers. And basically in my mind once you get to a certain point -- I know our officers do a lot of hard work before they bring somebody in, so if you've gotten this far, then case closed in my mind.

THE COURT: Even though you haven't heard any of the 1 evidence at all? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I believe there's 3 4 murder of some sort. And whether it was, you know, 5 premeditated or anything like that I don't know. But obviously there was a murder, and the police -- and to me it's 6 7 already closed my mind. It may be a different range of the guiltiness, but there's guilt. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 Would the State like to inquire? 11 MR. ROGAN: That may be all that you're here to 12 decide, though. Do you understand that? You may not be here 13 to decide whether Mr. White did it. You may just be here to 14 decide whether this is a crime or whether it's first degree 15 murder or second degree murder, voluntary manslaughter or 16 involuntary manslaughter. Could you do that after listening 17 to all the evidence? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yeah, I could do 19 that. 20 MR. ROGAN: Okay. All right. But right now you 21 feel as though because the defendant was arrested that he must have committed some crime. 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Correct. 24 MR. ROGAN: And there's no way to disabuse you of

25

that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Nope.
2	MR. ROGAN: So do you think that police sometimes
3	arrest people who turn not to be turn out to be innocent?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Oh, yeah. Oh, yeah.
5	MR. ROGAN: Okay. And that could be the situation;
6	right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: A 1 percent chance.
8	MR. ROGAN: Right. I mean, it's very few that that
9	actually happens; correct? So this could be that 1 percent
10	chance?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: It could be.
12	MR. ROGAN: And you have to wait and listen based
13	upon the evidence to determine whether in fact he is guilty or
14	innocent.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: That's correct.
16	MR. ROGAN: And you could do that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I could do that.
18	MR. ROGAN: All right. Nothing further.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Coffee.
20	MR. COFFEE: talk to you about one of the basic
21	precepts, innocent till proven guilty. You heard that.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yeah.
23	MR. COFFEE: We're playing a different game here,
24	though.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Right. Right. I

know.

MR. COFFEE: The game you want to play is guilty unless I can prove that he's innocent.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Correct.

MR. COFFEE: So all things being out there, the evidence comes to an end, it's kind of wishy-washy, we're not sure, too bad, Mr. White, guilty. Is that kind of the attitude that you've got?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Well, with today's technology, DNA and everything, it's kind of hard to -- I know it's possible. Very remote. It's not like the '70s, you know. But --

MR. COFFEE: You understand my point, though, which is I'm going to have to prove that he's innocent to you, as opposed to State having to prove it? It kind of shifts the burden a little bit, doesn't it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: It does.

MR. COFFEE: And if you were sitting in the spot that Mr. White's sitting in, my guess is you probably wouldn't want 12 jurors like yourself sitting on a panel judging you, would you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Darn right. That's why I'm letting you know.

MR. COFFEE: Judge, I can go further, if you'd like, but we'd challenge for cause.

THE COURT: No. We appreciate your time. 1 Would you like to traverse? 2 MR. ROGAN: Can you be fair and impartial, ma'am? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yes, I can. MR. ROGAN: And you heard Mr. Coffee talk about the 5 burden of proof. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yes. 8 MR. ROGAN: Do you know what that is right now? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: No, I understand what it is. Right now -- the burden of proof right now is on you 10 guys to show that he did do it. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: And some people come in and they don't 13 know that, and they expect the defense to put up a case. And 14 that's not what the law says. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Right. 16 MR. ROGAN: And you understand that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: I understand. 18 MR. ROGAN: So if you're instructed that the burden 19 of proof is the State has to prove its case beyond a 20 reasonable doubt, will you do that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Anything else? 23 MR. ROGAN: I'm sorry. One more thing, Judge. 24 And if you heard no evidence -- like right now 25 you've heard no evidence, what would your verdict be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: With no evidence I 1 2 would say guilty. 3 MR. ROGAN: Why? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Because I have a lot 5 of faith in the law enforcement community. It's not just one person who made that decision to arrest him. Teams of people 6 7 made the decision to arrest him, and they have -- you know, you don't just go arrest somebody on a whim. You have to have evidence, you have to have information. 9 10 MR. ROGAN: And that leads to probable cause to 11 arrest; right? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Yes. 13 MR. ROGAN: Okay. But right now we're determining 14 whether there's proof beyond a reasonable doubt that he committed the offense. If you heard no evidence of that, what 15 16 would your verdict have to be now that you know what the burden of proof is? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 102: Well, I'd have to say 19 not guilty. 20 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: The challenge for cause I granted. 22 Thank you very much, ma'am, for your honesty. They 23 may have another jury that they need you to serve on. 24 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right, guys. We're shooting for

1:30. My problem is I'm only going to fill seats back up to
12 until my jurors come back from lunch. And the Jury
Commissioner told them not to come back till 2:00, which
creates an issue for me, but I can start with the -- we can
start with the individual questioning. We have 12 spots in a
row that'll be -- wait. We have 14 spots in a row that will
have jurors sitting in them.

MR. COFFEE: Okay.

MR. COFFEE: Judge, does the Court do panel method, or do we do individual voir dire?

THE COURT: I use a mixed method --

MR. COFFEE: Okay.

THE COURT: -- which is I'm going to ask a bunch of questions -- well, not a bunch, but I will ask several questions to the group which include the Potter's House issue, the media coverage. I tried to sort of hit the media coverage without asking it directly. But then Kevin has a card he passes to each juror individually to give us a little bit of background information from them. And so they will then tell us a little bit about their education, employment, their spouse and what kind of other stuff they've done, if they've ever served on a jury. And then we may ask some additional followups. I'm going to ask about their involvement with either law enforcement, victims of crime, and things like that, as well.

MR. COFFEE: Okay. And then when you turn it over 1 to us we can address the panel and focus down, or --2 3 THE COURT: However you feel appropriate. 4 MR. COFFEE: Perfect. 5 MR. ROGAN: This might be a stupid question, but are we qualifying for penalty? 6 7 THE COURT: There are no stupid questions. 8 MR. ROGAN: Are we qualifying for penalty, or is the 9 Court going to handle that? 10 THE COURT: I think you should. What else? 11 MR. COFFEE: Nothing. I was going to say I think we 12 should. 13 14 THE COURT: I think you should. I'm not going to do 15 it. 16 Anything else? 17 MR. COFFEE: Thank you, Judge. 18 THE COURT: See you about 1:30, which is a little 19 more than a half hour. Maybe if you're here at 1:40 we'll be okay, but I know the jurors won't all be here on time, because 20 21 they never are. (Court recessed at 12:50 p.m., until 1:33 p.m.) 22 23 (Prospective jurors not present) 24 THE COURT: Anything outside the presence while we 25 wait for one missing juror?

ı	
1	MR. COFFEE: Not from the defense.
2	MS. MERCER: I'm sorry. What was the question, Your
3	Honor?
4	THE COURT: Anything outside the presence?
5	MS. MERCER: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. ROGAN: I do have something just for the record.
8	I did run into Mr. Stubbs on the elevator. He started
9	speaking with me. I told him he was a panelist on our jury
10	and it was agreed to pick him. And he we stopped talking
11	at that point. But I just wanted to make that record.
12	THE COURT: I was glad he stopped talking to you at
13	that point.
14	MR. ROGAN: Well
15	THE COURT: Soon as we get him up here with the next
16	group we'll handle that issue.
17	MR. ROGAN: Thank you.
18	(Pause in the proceedings)
19	THE COURT: Kevin, do I have all my people that are
20	left?
21	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge.
22	THE COURT: Bring them in and ask them to sit in the
23	chairs they were in before.
24	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.
	70

(Prospective jurors reconvened at 1:39 p.m.) 1 THE COURT: Counsel, you may be seated. 2 Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for coming back. 3 Ιf 4 I could ask Guy Haney, Badge Number 99, to go sit in Chair 5 Number 1 on the top row, please. 6 And Sarah Ingmanson, Badge Number 105, if you could 7 sit in Chair Number 5 on the top row. Mark Thompson, Badge Number 107, if you could sit in 8 9 Chair Number 8 on the top row. 10 Robert O'Grady, Badge Number 109, if you could go sit in Seat Number 9 on the top row. 11 12 Steven Oliai, Badge Number 110, if you could sit 13 Seat Number 10, please. 14 Wilfredo Navarro, if you could sit in Seat Number 15 11, Badge Number 111. 16 And Kevin Lieu, if you could come sit in Seat Number 17 12, which is the end on the second row here. 18 Now, ladies and gentlemen, you will see there are a 19 number of gaps in between you. We are waiting for our next 20 group of jurors to get back from the lunch break the Jury 21 Commissioner sent them on. As soon as they're back I'm going 22 to send you on a break while I do the introductory things that 23 we did with you and then fill in the spaces. In the meantime, Kevin, do you have your microphone? 24 25 THE MARSHAL: I do, Judge.

THE COURT: And do you have your handy card? If you would please hand your card to Mr. Haney.

Mr. Haney, you have -- are the guinea pig. This is the easiest way I've found to find out background information from jurors. So you have the microphone. If you could tell us a little bit about yourself using the items on that card as a guide. And if you miss anything, I'll follow up with you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 99: I've lived in Clark

County for one and a half years. Before this I lived in

Omaha, Nebraska. I have a nursing degree, 20 years of

college. I am employed at St. Rose DeLima Hospital. I'm an

RN. I'm single. I don't have any children. I've not served

as a juror before. And I don't know of any reason why I

couldn't be completely fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. If you would pass the microphone to Ms. Means.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: My name is Debra
Means, and I've lived in Clark County for going on eight
years. We moved here from West Virginia. I have a -- I'm
sorry. I have a degree in cosmetology. At this time I'm not
employed. I had -- for 15 years had a wedding video business
that I did with my cousin back home. I'm married. I have
three children, and two of them live out here with us, and a
grandson. And they're both self employed, the ones that live
out here. My daughter is a manager at a Kroger. I have not

served on a jury before, and I have no reason why I can't be 1 2 fair or impartial. 3 THE COURT: Ma'am, you said you were married. 4 Before you pass the mike, what does your husband do for a living? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: At this time he's 6 7 working for an HOA, but until a couple months ago he was 8 working for Caesars Palace until they did away with their 9 concierge department. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry. You said two of your kids live out here with you and they're self employed. 11 What kind of work do they do? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: My son does booking 14 for nightclubs and things like that. He had previously worked 15 for a bigger company out here. And my other son is in real 16 estate. And then, like I said, my daughter's a manager at a Kroger's, like a Smith's. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: But she's not living out here. She's still in West Virginia. 20 21 THE COURT: And you said you had one grandchild. Does that grandchild still go to school, or do they w? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: Oh, he's a baby. 24 THE COURT: All righty. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: Yeah. He just turned

one.

THE COURT: And you have never served on a jury before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 063: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Thank you. If you would please pass the mike to Mr. Martin.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 064: I've lived here 29 years. I've just finished high school. I worked at Caesars Palace in Facilities. I am married. My wife watches people's animals while they go on vacation. She's a petsitter. I have three kids. Two of them are still with me. The other one's in the Coast Guard. I have not been selected to be on a jury before. And, no, I don't know any reason why I won't be fair.

THE COURT: And you said you had three kids. One was in the Coast Guard. What do the other two do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 064: One of them just goes to school and works part time at a shoe place. And my other daughter works at Supreme Lobster.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd pass the mike to Ms. Albietz.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: I've lived in Clark
County for 25 years. I have undergraduate in nursing and a
Masters degree in hospital administration. I am the CEO of a
hospital here in town, Kindred Hospital. And my late husband
was a Metro police officer. And so I'm widowed and not

married. I have two adult children. One lives in Scottsdale, going to school at ASU, and sells State Farm Insurance. My other son is also a student. He lives with me at the moment and also goes to ASU aerospace engineering. And, yes, I've served as a juror before several times, and, yes, a verdict was reached, and I was not the foreperson. But I have other criminal legal experience due to a situation with my husband.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's set that aside for a minute and let's talk about your prior jury service.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you serve on any civil juries?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: It was criminal.

THE COURT: So how many times did you serve on criminal juries?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: Once.

THE COURT: Okay. And would you be able to follow the instructions I give you in this case even though they may be different than the instructions you received in that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Before you pass the mike tell me a little bit about your exposure to the criminal justice system because of your husband's work.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: My husband was actually killed by a drunk driver, and it was a long criminal proceedings, and he was convicted of that crime and sent to

jail. And I was a witness in that case several times.

THE COURT: And did the Clark County District Attorney's Office prosecute that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: Yes, ma'am, they did.

THE COURT: And that case was prosecuted here in the Eighth Judicial District Court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 065: Yes, ma'am, it was.

THE COURT: All right. We may ask you some more questions about that in a minute. If you'd please pass the mike.

And Ms. Ingmanson.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 105: Yes. Hi. I've lived in Clark County for nine years. My undergraduate degrees are in quantitative economics and international relations, and my graduate degrees are in business administration and international affairs. I'm currently partially employed. I am a group fitness instructor at LVAC and U.S. Fitness. I was recently laid off from Morgan Stanley. I am divorced. I do not have any adult children. I have two smaller children, so they do not work. One is in high school, and one is 11 months old. I have served as a juror before, but it was in San Francisco, where I lived prior to this. And it was a civil case, and a verdict was reached.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand -- hold on a second. Do you understand that the burden of proof in a civil

case is different than that in a criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 105: I understand that.

THE COURT: Will you be able to follow the instructions I give you in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 105: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Sorry for interpreting you.

If you could keep going.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 105: I think that's -- I
was not the foreperson. There's nothing in that experience
that would affect my ability to sit here as a fair and
impartial juror. And I cannot think of any other reason why I
couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror if selected.

THE COURT: What'd you do at Morgan Stanley?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 105: I was an investment banker specializing in Japan.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. If you could please pass the microphone to Mr. Leavelle.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: I've lived in Clark
County 20-plus years. I was second year CSN for international
business. I'm employed with Cornerstone Protection Services.
It like a security specialist, personal security, martial
arts. I am married. My wife is a respiratory therapist. We
have five children. They're home schooled, so they're not
adults yet. I've never served as a juror. I don't think -there's not any reason that I couldn't be a completely fair

and impartial juror if I was selected. 1 THE COURT: What's your class schedule at CSN? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: I'm no longer --4 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: I'm no longer 5 enrolled. I went up to the second year. 6 7 THE COURT: So you've finished that part of your 8 schooling? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: No. I'm going to go 10 back. THE COURT: Oh. You're going to go back. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: Yes, ma'am. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. But you're not going this 14 semester? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 067: No, ma'am. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, sir. If you could pass the mike. 17 Ms. Luciano. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 068: Hi. My name's Diane Luciano. I've lived here my entire life. I was born and 20 21 raised, live in Henderson. If you want to know how many 22 years, I can tell you, but it's over 40. I just have my high 23 school diploma. I work for the Clark County School District. I'm a senior claims examiner in the property, crime, and 24 25 liability section. I am divorced with two daughters. One is

a sophomore at the University of Arizona in Tucson, the other 1 one is a junior in high school. I have not served as a juror 2 before, and I see no reason why I couldn't be a completely 3 4 fair and impartial juror. 5 THE COURT: Thank you very much, ma'am. If you could please pass the mike. 6 7 And you're Mr. Thompson? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: My name is Mark Thompson. I'm born and raised here. Lived here 43 years. 11 I'm just a high school graduate. I work for Century Link. 12 13 I've been with them for 21 years. I install phone systems for 14 business, government, Internet. I'm not legally married. I've been domestically partnered for five years in a 14-year 15 16 relationship. No children. Never served as a juror before. I don't know of any reason why I wouldn't be impartial. 17 THE COURT: And what kind of work does your domestic 18 19 partner do? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: He also works at 21 Century Link. 22 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 107: He's a warehouse 23 24 supervisor. 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, sir.

If you'd please pass the mike to Mr. O'Grady.

Colorado.

I've lived here 20 years. I do have a high school degree, one year of college. And, fortunately, I retired two weeks ago, so I'm unemployed. And been married for 42 years, and my other half, she's a sales manager at a furniture store here in town. And I have two adult children. One's in Colorado. That's my son. And then my daughter lives out here with her husband and a grandson. And I've never been on a jury before. And I see no reason to where -- I've got nothing to be impartial about.

THE COURT: Sir, what did you do before you retired?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 109: I was in the concrete construction field. I was a dispatcher here in the valley for 20 years. The last two and a half I've been in California as a batch man and dispatcher. And finally was time to give it up.

THE COURT: All right. And then you said you have two adult children who live in town. What do they do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 109: One lives in Denver,

THE COURT: Oh. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 109: That's the oldest.

And my daughter lives here, her and her husband. She works

for a tax accounting firm in Henderson, and I hate to say it,

he's Metro, and my grandson's just a pain in the butt. 1 THE COURT: And your son who lives in Denver, what 2 kind of work does he do? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 109: He's a computer tech, computer geek is what we call him. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. If you 7 could please pass the mike. Thank you, sir. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: Yeah. I've lived in 8 Clark County for six years. Before that various parts of Los 9 Angeles, Glendora, Pasadena area and the San Fernando Valley, 10 as well. Some college. Never got a degree. Dropped out 11 senior year. Working for a software development company. 12 13 Been there for 16 years. I'm married. My wife is a cage 14 cashier. No kids. I've never been a juror. And I don't see any reason why I wouldn't be impartial. 15 16 THE COURT: Before you pass the mike let me ask a 17 couple questions. You mentioned earlier that you had some 18 projects that were being worked on. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: Right. THE COURT: If you're chosen to sit on the jury how 20 21 would that impact the work obligations you have? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: I would be working at 22 23 night. 24 THE COURT: So you'd have to go in to work and --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: I work from home.

THE COURT: -- work at night even though you were chosen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: Yeah. My company is based in Atlanta. My home office is based in Pasadena. And I

6 night.

THE COURT: So you'd go home, work on the projects that you're trying to get finished until you finish whatever hour that is --

work from home. So I would be working from my home office at

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- get whatever sleep you can, and then come back in to us?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 110: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. If you could please pass the mike.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: I'm Alfredo Navarro,
Number 111. I live here for seven years already. But I live
in San Francisco for around 25 years. I finish my degree as a
engineer, mechanical engineer. I'm retired already. I work
for Sheraton Fishermans Wharf for 25 years. I'm married for
40 years. My wife is an RN. We got two adult children, and I
got two grandson. I serve as a juror twice already in San
Francisco. I don't see any reason why I should be unfair, and
I think that's it.

THE COURT: Let me go back and ask you about your

kids. Your two adult kids, what kind of work do they do? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: My eldest, he lives in San Francisco, and he also is a mechanical engineer and 3 4 work for Holiday Inn as stationary engineer, like me. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And then your other child? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: Oh. My other child 6 7 is here, and he's employed also as a -- what they call this 8 one -- x-ray tech. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And then you sat on a jury in San Francisco two times? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: Two times already. 11 12 THE COURT: Did you do any civil cases, or were they all criminal? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: I think it was 15 criminal, also. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So did you sit on one of each? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. Will you be able to follow the 18 19 jury instructions that I give you in this case even if they're different from the instructions you received in San Francisco? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 111: I believe I could. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. If you 23 could hand the mike to the marshal. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 112: Hi. My name is Kevin 25 Lieu, Badge Number 112. I've lived in Clark County for a

little bit over nine years. And before living here I was living in Pasadena, California. I have a degree in nursing. I'm currently working for a neurologist as the nurse, the office manager, the technician, et cetera. And I am not legally married, but I do have a domestic partner for eight years. Don't have any children. And I have never served as a juror before. And I don't see any reason why I couldn't be fair or impartial.

THE COURT: And what kind of work does your domestic partner do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 112: Nail technician.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. If you could possess the mike to Ms. Tawatao. Did I get it close?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: My name is Rizza

Tawatao. 075. I've lived in Clark County for 19 years. I

used to live in San Diego. I am -- I have my Associates

degree in nursing. I'm currently at Nevada State College to

get my Bachelors degree. I am employed as an RN. I am a NICU

nurse. I am married. My husband is also a nurse. I don't

have any adult children. I have three children. Two are in

school, and a three-year-old. Never served as a juror. And I

don't have any reason why I can't be fair or impartial.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you a couple

questions. You said you're going to Nevada State College to 1 2 get your Bachelors in nursing? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Yes, ma'am. 4 THE COURT: What is your class schedule? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: I'm currently online, 5 but I am in my last semester, so I do have community service 6 7 hours that I need to do. THE COURT: So there's not a set time you have to 8 9 sign in to take the classes? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: No, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. And the community services 11 hours, when do you have to have those completed? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: I have to have them 13 14 completed before I believe the 26th of this month. 15 THE COURT: Okay. And how many more hours do you 16 have to go? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: I have -- I believe I have three more scheduled dates with the American Lung 18 19 Association. THE COURT: So are those 8-hour scheduled dates? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: They're 4 to 6 hours. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So three more sessions --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Yes. 24 THE COURT: -- of volunteer service to do. And you 25 mentioned earlier you have a class at your employer that you

need to take. What is that class?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: It's the neonatal resuscitation. I was recently on leave for two months, and when I came back to sign up for the class I found out that they only offer the class once a month now, which happens to fall on April 17th. My other employer doesn't offer it until April 30th, but my primary employer is requiring me to have it done by the 23rd.

THE COURT: Okay. And how many hours is that class?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: It is three hours.

It's a recertification for neonatal resuscitation.

THE COURT: And when during the day does that class go?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: It's between -- it's scheduled for 9:00 to 12:00.

THE COURT: And which hospital does your husband work at?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 075: Valley Hospital.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you could please pass the microphone to Mr. Bosshardt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 076: I'm a native Las

Vegan. I've lived here 63 years. I went to high school. No

college. I'm retired. I worked as an engineer at Caesars

Palace, and 20 years as a pipefitter. I am married. My wife

works for the School District. She works for the juvenile

1 court system. 2 THE COURT: So is she in the truancy program? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 076: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 076: I have one daughter. 5 She's a schoolteacher, [unintelligible] Downey. I've never 6 7 served as a juror before. And there's no reason why I would 8 be impartial [inaudible]. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, sir. And you're Mr. Reid? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 081: Yes, sir, I am. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 081: I was born and raised 13 14 in Clark County. I only went through high school. Employed. I'm a UPS driver. I am married. My wife is a homemaker. We 15 16 have three kids, two are in school, and one stays at home. I have served as a juror one time. A criminal case. Yes, they 17 18 reached a verdict. I was not the foreperson. And I don't see 19 any reason I wouldn't be fair or impartial. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And is there anything about the 21 instructions that were given in that case that would cause you to believe you couldn't follow the instructions I give you in 22 23 this case? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 081: No. 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. If you

could please pass the mike to Mr. Hendricks.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 082: Yeah. Juror Number 82. And just with respect to the Court, I contacted my employer while I was on break, and they don't pay while we serve. I work for Preventative Pest Control.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 082: I've lived in Clark

County for over 20 years. Undergrad was in accounting. Like

I said, I'm employed for a pest control company. I also do

marketing for a startup company. I am divorced. I do not

have any children. I've never served on a jury before. And

there's no reason why I would be -- not be impartial or not be

fair if I was selected in the case.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 082: You're welcome.

THE COURT: And are you Ms. Bonilla?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: Okay. Jury 0084.

I've lived here for approximately 10 years. I moved from

Vista, Georgia. I have three and a half years in college for

22 business administration. I go online, so I won't miss

23 anything. I am employed. I work for MGM Resorts as a

manager. I do have a domestic partner. I have two children

25 that are not in school, they're three and four. And I've

never been a juror. I don't see any reason that I won't be 1 2 fair or impartial. THE COURT: What kind of work does your domestic 3 4 partner do? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: He's a bellman. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And what area do you manage for 7 MGM Resorts? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 084: I'm housekeeping. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Can you pass the mike to Mr. Bosnic -- or, I'm sorry. Mr. Rodriguez. 10 Sorry. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: Juror Number 087. 13 I've lived in Clark County for nine years. Before moving here 14 I lived in California. I have some college under me, three semesters. I am employed. I work at David Wilson's Las Vegas 15 16 Toyota. I asked them, as well. They don't pay my -- for me being here. I'm not married. I do not have any children, I 17 18 hope. And I have never served as a juror before. 19 THE COURT: Any reason you couldn't be fair and 20 impartial, sir? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. You mentioned earlier that it's 22 23 hard for you to look at evidence, but you listen to it, you hear orally, but not visually. 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you tell me a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: I kinda just -- when
I hear something I kind of [inaudible]. I'm easily persuaded
or indecisive about things, so [inaudible] beneficial to the
case or anything like that. [Inaudible].

THE COURT: So if we have a bunch of different people come and testify from the witness stand are you going to be able to listen to all of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: Probably not.

THE COURT: Okay. Why not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 087: Like I said, I'm really indecisive. I'll probably listen and then I'll this kind of has a good point, this kind of has a good point, and won't be able to like, oh, this is right, this is wrong.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. If you'd hand the microphone to the marshal, please, who will then take it down to Mr. Bosnic.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 089: My name is Zoran
Bosnic, Badge Number 089. I live in Clark County for 21 year.
Before that formerly Yugoslavia, or exactly Bosnia
[unintelligible]. I got a college degree, three years over
there in Europe. I work now for Monte Carlo for 18 years as a
bellman. I'm married, no kids. She works at the Sam's Club
maintenance. Never served as a juror. And not any reason to
be unfair or impartial.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir. If you'd please pass the microphone.

And Mr. Rodriguez.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 090: Yes. My badge number is 090. I live in Clark County for about 13 years. Before that I live in Mexico. I have an Associates degree in automotive technology. I'm an automotive technician at Carmax. There for like three years. I'm marry. And I have a seven-months daughter. And I never serve as a juror before. And I don't have any reason why I could be completely fair and impartial juror if settled in this case.

THE COURT: And, sir, are you aware of whether Carmax pays while you're on jury duty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 090: I'm not sure about it, but I'm pretty sure they don't pay for it.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a break now, because I have my next group of jurors up here. While we're on our break could you please call your HR department, Mr. Rodriguez, and find out if they pay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 090: Yes, I'll call them.

THE COURT: All right. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of

information, including, without limitation, social media, 1 texts, newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio, or 2 form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the 3 4 trial until the case is finally submitted to you. John's going to switch with Kevin, so Kevin's going 5 to take you outside for a short break, a restroom break. If 6 7 you would like to go downstairs and get some coffee, the cafeteria down there is open until 3:00 o'clock. Please come 8 9 right back up, because Kevin's going to have you sit in the courtroom next door so that you're in a more comfortable 10 location than the hallway. So we'll see you in a little bit. 11 12 (Prospective jurors recessed at 2:12 p.m.) 13 (Pause in the proceedings) 14 THE COURT: Do you want me to let Mr. Stubbs know he's excused as soon as we've taken roll? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Yes, please. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 (Pause in the proceedings) 19 (Second batch of prospective jurors is present) THE COURT: Counsel, you can be seated. 20 21 We are waiting on one more, Kevin. So when they come in just stick them at the end there. 22 23 Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. First I want to apologize for the delay in getting to you. And for those 24

of you sitting in the really uncomfortable chairs I apologize

25

for the condition of my courtroom. I have been unable to get 1 it put back together after CityCenter. They were able to rip 2 it apart to try that case, but they can't get it back 3 4 together. So I apologize. My name is Elizabeth Gonzalez, and I am the 5 presiding judge here in Department 11 of the Eighth Judicial 6 7 District Court. This is the time set for the trial of Case Number 8 9 C-286357, State of Nevada versus Troy Richard White. The record will reflect the presence of the defendant, his 10 counsel, counsel for the State, and all officers of the court. 11 12 Are the parties ready to proceed? MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor. 13 14 MR. COFFEE: Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Yes. 15 16 MR. COFFEE: Just a logistical accommodation. 17 THE COURT: It's okay. 18 (Pause in the proceedings) 19 MR. COFFEE: Ready to proceed, Judge. THE COURT: Are the parties ready to proceed? 20 21 MR. COFFEE: Yes. MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Ladies and gentlemen, let me take this opportunity 25 to introduce the court staff with whom you may be coming into

contact. To my far left is Jill Hawkins. She is the court recorder. She takes down everything that occurs on an audio-video digital recording system. For that reason it is very important that if you need to answer a question that you give me the last three digits of your badge number, as well as your name. That way if she ever has to make a transcription of what actually happened in the courtroom she will be able to properly identify the individual speaking.

To my near left is Dulce Romea. She is the court clerk. She swears the witnesses, marks exhibits, keeps track of the evidence, and prepares the official record of the court.

Kevin Eckhardt is the marshal, and John is our marshal from next door. They are the individuals with whom you will be having the most contact during this trial.

On behalf of the State could you please introduce yourself, your co-counsel, make a brief statement of the nature of the case, and state the names of the witnesses you anticipate will be called.

MS. MERCER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is
Chief Deputy District Attorney Liz Mercer. My co-counsel is
Chief Deputy District Attorney Jeff Rogan. We represent the
State of Nevada in State of Nevada versus Troy White.

The crimes alleged in this case occurred at 325

Altimira. That is in a neighborhood off of Alta between Buffalo and Rainbow.

The specific allegations in this case are that on July 27th of 2012 the defendant, Troy White, went to the home of -- well, it was the family home at some point. But he and his wife were separated. The wife was in the home on the date of the offense with the five children and her new boyfriend.

On July 27th, 2012, he's alleged to have gone to the home and shot and killed Echo White. And for that he is charged with murder with use of a deadly weapon.

In addition, he shot Joseph Averman, and for that crime he's charged with attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon.

Both of those crimes were committed in front of the five children that were in the home at the time of the offenses, and for those facts he is charged with child abuse and neglect or endangerment as to each of the children.

In addition, he was in possession of a concealed firearm just before this offense and when he entered the home. For that he is charged with carrying a concealed weapon.

The witnesses that the State may call, you're not likely to hear from all of these people, but please listen closely to their names to see if you recognize any of them.

Joseph Averman; Jayce White; Jodey White; Robert Wilson; Wanda Wilson; Amber Gaines; Mike Gaines; Fernando Diaz; Shayna

Break, she's with the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office in Prescott, Arizona; James Jaeger, he's also with the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office; Herman Allen; Michael Montalto; Bradley Marshall; Clyde Bentley, also with the Yavapai County Sheriff's Office; Lieutenant Bolt, also with that office.

The local law enforcement witnesses that you may hear from are with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

Department and include Homicide Detective Travis Ivey; Patrol Officer David Herwitz; Patrol Officer Darren Martine; Patrol Officer Matthew O'Brien; Patrol Officer Michael Tortuously; Sergeant John Scott, who at the time of this offense was on the Homicide Unit, as well; Chris O'Brien, who was at the time assigned to the Homicide Unit as detective, but is now a sergeant; Detective Matthew Gillis; and Detective Tate

Sanborn. Both of them are with the Homicide Unit, as well.

In addition, you may hear from several crime scene analysts employed by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Their names include Terry Martin, Louise Renhart, Jeffrey Scott, Danielle -- and I'm going to spell her last name, because I have a really difficult time pronouncing it, and it's C-A-R-V-O-U-N-I-A-R-I-S, Tracy Kruze, formerly Tracy Brown, Jennifer Riner, Moretta McIntire, and Jay Smith.

You may also hear from some people who are employed with the Forensics Lab at Metro, and they include Julie Marchiner, who is a DNA analyst; Ana Lester, formerly Ana

Sago, she is a firearms examiner; Marnie Carter, she is in

Latent Print Detail; and Brad Burghius, who was at the time a

computer forensics person, he analyzed cell phones, computers,

things of that nature. He's now assigned to the airport.

Last you will hear from Dr. Lisa Gavin. She is with the Clark County Medical -- Clark County Coroner's Office, and she's employed as a medical examiner.

THE COURT: Thank you.

On behalf of the defense please introduce yourself, your co-counsel, your client, make a brief statement of the case, and identify any additional witnesses you intend to call.

MR. COFFEE: Thank you, Judge.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This is Troy
White. My co-counsel is David Lopez-Negrete, and I am Scott
Coffee.

You've heard a bit about what the State expects to present. We expect this is going to be a case where you're going to see that Mr. White was a dedicated family man, somebody willing to do anything he could to save his family, that his wife had taken up with one of his best friends, and eventually his emotions got the better of him. It's not a case of murder. We're going to be arguing this is a case of heat of passion.

We've got some witnesses we're going to call. Mr.

Negrete's going to read those in just a moment. The State 1 gave you a list of witnesses --2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 122: Argue that it's a 4 case of what? MR. COFFEE: The heat of passion. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 122: Oh. 6 7 MR. COFFEE: Heat of passion. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 122: Thank you. 9 MR. COFFEE: Yes. Mr. Negrete's going to read those. In addition to 10 anything that he reads we might call some of the witnesses 11 that the State listed, and we're going to ask those witnesses 12 13 questions. I'm going to let Mr. Negrete read the additional 14 witnesses now. MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: Thank you. 15 16 Sam Bakke, Rachel Brooks, Dena Cabral, John Dumas, 17 Pete Gullo, Melissa Henderson, Tim Henderson, Dorothy Long, 18 Joanna Rens, Cory Robinson, Misty Todd, and Terry Todd. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Ladies and gentlemen, the clerk is now going to call 21 the roll of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name is called if you will please answer "present" or "here." And 22 23 I apologize in advance if we mispronounce your name. Please 24 feel free to correct us. 25 (Prospective juror roll called)

THE COURT: Any of my prospective jurors, did we not call your name? And your name is, Miss?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 126: Jesenia Coomer.

THE COURT: And you're Badge Number 126?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 126: 126.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Would you all please rise and raise your right hand.

(Prospective jury panel sworn)

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are about to commence the jury selection process. During this process you will be asked questions bearing upon your ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror in this particular case. To accomplish this I will ask you some questions and counsel for both parties will have the opportunity to ask you questions. On occasion some of the questions may seem somewhat personal. I will tell you they're not intended to embarrass you. They are simply to insure that the jury that's actually selected in this case is one that can serve fairly and impartially. If for any reason you believe that the answer to a particular question needs to be given in private, please let me know, and we will try and accommodate your request.

It important you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all the questions we are about to ask you. Please do not try to hide or withhold anything

which might indicate bias or prejudice of any sort. If you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict.

I'm going to conduct a general examination of all of you, and then I'm going to combine you with my group of jurors who we've been talking to since this morning.

At some point during the process of selecting a jury the attorneys will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. This process is called a challenge. Please do not be upset if you are excused by one of the challenge processes. It is simply part of our system to insure that everyone is confident that the jury that is actually seated in this case is one who can serve fairly and impartially.

Remember, if you wish to respond to a question, it's important you give me the last three digits of your badge number. If you forget, I will try and remind you.

First, has anyone in my group of jurors been convicted of a felony?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative response.

Is anyone in my group of jurors not a United States citizen?

Seeing no hands, I have a universally negative