IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER E. PIGEON, Appellant,	Electronically Filed Nov 17 2021 07:24 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
v. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.	Case No. 83232

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX Volume 1

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar #000854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 624 South 9th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0001 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Office of the Clark County District Attorney Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar #0007704 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

INDEX

Volume & Document	Page No.
Vol. 2, Court Minutes: defendant's Motion to Vacate or Reduce Habitual Sentence, filed 4/12/21	243
Vol. 2, Opening Brief (No. 67083) filed 3/12/15	288-335
Vol. 2, Special Findings, filed 5/16/18	283-287
Vol. 2, State's Sentencing Memorandum, filed 3/29/18	244-282
Vol. 1, Trial Transcript of 8/4/14 (Jury Trial – Day 1) Filed 1/13/15	1-177
Vol. 1, Trial Transcript of 8/5/14 (Jury Trial – Day 2) Filed 1/13/15	178-242

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on November 17, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. Counsel for Appellant

KAREN MISHLER Chief Deputy District Attorney

BY /s/ E. Davis Employee, District Attorney's Office

KM/Corey Hallquist/ed

Electronically Filed 01/13/2015 02:54:10 PM

Alm & Lemm

CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

VS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 |

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

Flamun

CHRISTOPHER EDWARD PIGEON,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

MONDAY, AUGUST 4, 2014

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JURY TRIAL

DAY 1 - VOLUME I

For the State: ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

CASE NO. C290261

DEPT. VIII

MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: PRO SE

RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: BRITTANY MANGELSON, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

WITNESS INDEX

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2	STATE'S WITNESSES	VOLUME	<u>PAGE</u>
3	JOHN BRYANT		
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Mercer	1	77
_	Cross-Examination by Defendant Redirect Examination by Ms. Mercer	1	90 91
5	_		
6	JERI FISCHER Direct Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	1	92
7	Direct Examination by Wir. Schilalacqua	'	92
8	OFFICER TROY GIVENS	_	
	Direct Examination by Ms. Mercer Cross-Examination by Defendant	1	98 105
9	Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	i	106
10	KATURYALUERNAANDET		
11	KATHRYN HERNANDEZ Direct Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	1	106
12	Cross-Examination by Defendant	i	110
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	I	111
13	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		
14	Direct Examination by Ms. Mercer	I	112
15	Cross-Examination by Defendant		130
16	Cross-Examination by Defendant (cont.) Redirect Examination by Ms. Mercer	ì	133 134
	Recross-Examination by Defendant	i	134
17	Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	ļ	135
18	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Mercer Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	i	136 136
19		·	
20	CECILIA GALLARZO Direct Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	1	142
	Cross-Examination by Defendant	İ	147
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	1	147
22	JASON LAFRENIERE		
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	1	150
24	Cross-Examination by Defendant	l	168
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	ı	174
25			

1	STATE'S W	VITNESSES	VOLUME	PAGE
2	CHRI	STOPHER E. PIGEON		
3		Testimony of Christopher E. Pigeon Cross-Examination by Mr. Schifalacqua	{{ 	27 36
4		Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	11	40
5		EXHIBIT INDEX		
6	NUMBER		VOLUME	PAGE
7			VOLUME	<u>PAGE</u>
8	STATE'S E			
	'	Aerial photograph - incident occurred.	ı	87
9	3	Screenshot - Mr. Pigeon		-
10	2	entering the mini mart.	.	97
11	4	Aerial photograph - Area of victim's home.	1	108
12	33	Copy of Fitness for \$10	•	100
	24	Membership agreemen	it. I	144
13	34	Audio recording of Mr. Pigeon's interview	ı	162
14	4 - 32	Photographs taken of		102
15		Mr. Pigeon's locker an Storage unit.	d I	165
16		Storage unit.		105
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	MONDAY, AUGUST 4, 2014 AT 9:45 A.M.
2	
3	[Outside the presence of the prospective jury]
4	THE COURT: This is Case C290261, State of Nevada versus Christopher
5	Pigeon.
6	State's ready?
7	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon, you're ready?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I have a couple questions before we start.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Before we get started, are you ready?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I am ready for the jury.
12	THE COURT: All right. Before you can ask questions, I want to read
13	something to you.
14	Mr. Pigeon, under the Constitution of the United States and under the
15	Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot be compelled to testify in this case
16	Do you understand that?
17	THE DEFENDANT: I cannot be compelled to testify?
18	THE COURT: Cannot be compelled to testify.
19	THE DEFENDANT: That's not a requirement in the Constitution.
20	THE COURT: You cannot be forced to testify.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Oh. Can't be forced. Yes, I understand that.
22	THE COURT: That's what compel means.
23	THE DEFENDANT: I do understand that.
24	THE COURT: You may, at your own request, give up that right and take the
25	witness stand and testify. If you do, you'll be subject to cross-examination by the

deputy District Attorneys. And anything that you say may be -- may it be on direct or cross-examination will be subject to fair comment when the deputy District Attorneys speak to the jury in their final argument.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the Court will not permit the deputy District Attorneys to make any comments to the jury because you have not testified.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, I will give a jury instruction that reads something to the effect -- it'll probably read exactly to the effect.

Quote: The law does not compel a Defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify. And no presumption may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from the failure of the Defendant to testify.

Do you have any questions about your rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir

THE COURT: All right. And you had two questions before the State -- the State wants to put something on the record. What were your two questions?

THE DEFENDANT: Can we -- do we need to talk about the instructions now or can that wait until after the jury?

THE COURT: Oh. You can give me what you think the instructions are. I will ask the jury to give me a copy; you give me a copy, what you think the instructions are and we'll deal with it later.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. It concerns some of the charges. Carl versus

State and Larson versus State both --

THE DEFENDANT: -- prohibited acts by a sex offender, which are both the

same -- for the same incident.

THE COURT: Okay. That will -- we'll have to bifurcate that. They don't get to hear that at the first because they have -- once -- that would be prejudicial if they heard that you had a prior sexual conviction. So, what we'll do -- do you have a copy of the -- of this one -- that she's going to read?

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yeah. I put it on his desk.

THE COURT: Okay. And it will be -- six counts will be read to them.

THE DEFENDANT: This is reduced to six counts?

THE COURT: It's not reduced, it's just bifurcated. If they find you guilty of a sex crime, then the other crimes will then have to be decided by the jury.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: That's right, Judge. And we have Defendant's statement that we intend to play. We've redacted anything that talks about him being a prior sex offender. So the first portion of the trial, jury will not know that he is a convicted sex offender. It would just be on the counts one through six. And then after the verdict on those counts would be the -- likely, a little bit of additional evidence and then the deliberations on the other two.

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: What --

THE COURT: And I will instruct the jury, when they come in, that you have the right -- under the Constitution you have the right to an attorney, but you waived that -- or gave that right up in representing yourself.

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm concerned about gross lewdness -- are you bringing up the old gross lewdness charges?

12 13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Not in the first portion. The first portion is really just going to deal with the incident between Mr. Pigeon and the named victim. So, we're not going to get into that --

THE COURT: And C

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes. We're not going to get into any of that conviction during the first presentation of the evidence here. So that won't be something we bring up.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions you wish me to ask the jury in voir dire?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, I had a couple other very brief things if that's okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: We provided the Defendant on Friday all the photos in the case. We gave that to him so he does have the photographs. There was a still photo from the video taken in this case. There's no actual video that's going to be played, just the still photograph, but I did provide that to Mr. Pigeon. So, he's got all the visual evidence.

As far as -- we did file a notice of habitual treatment and I just wanted to make a record of the potential punishments, make sure Mr. Pigeon understands that as well. Again, this would only be in case of a conviction. And certainly it's discretionary on the Court whether or not to sentence Mr. Pigeon. He does have three prior felony convictions from 2000, 2006, and 2012.

The penalties under the small habitual are between 5 and 20. And the

MS. MERCER: She's not --

21

22

23

24

25

Ryan Bashor. You pled guilty. You were sentenced to 36 to 14 months -- 14 to 36 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. With 720 days -- 28 days. It is certified raised. Probably should staple that, please.

Okay. The second one they handed me is C216699, entered a plea -you had pled guilty to that one, I assume, because then you had gone to trial having
been found guilty of the crime of open or gross lewdness, a Category D felony.

Mark Cichoski was your public defender.

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

THE COURT: And --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I remember those charges.

THE COURT: And you were sentenced to 19 to 48 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. And that's a felony raised. That's a certified copy. So there's two felonies.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Both of those were raised from misdemeanors.

THE COURT: And then a Texas case, October 3rd, 2000. Bill Cox was your attorney.

THE DEFENDANT: It was Craig Davis also.

THE COURT: Okay. Hold on, hold on.

THE DEFENDANT: And I represented myself.

THE COURT: Okay. You keep interrupting me. I'm trying to read.

You pled guilty to the charge agreed to by Counsel and the State.

Thereupon Defendant was admonished by the Court of the consequences of his plea and you persisted in pleading guilty. And this is a certified copy of a district court. It is a forgery.

THE DEFENDANT: Those are forgeries of my parent's checks.

three prior convictions. Do you understand that?

24

25

understand, if you're convicted of a felony here and they enhance it that they have

THE COURT: Okay. But do you understand the ramifications?

THE DEFENDANT: I do understand.

THE COURT: And if you're convicted, that's the range of punishments. I mean, the Court could find -- not find the habitual, or -- and then you would be sentenced on whatever you were found guilty of. Or the Court could find you of the small habitual and sentence you as a small habitual, from 5 to 20. Or the large habitual, which is -- the maximum would be life without. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do understand that. But I'd like to comment when you're finished, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. But I just want you to tell me that you understand and you want to go forward anyway.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand and I'd like to go forward representing myself.

THE COURT: All right. So now what do you want to say?

THE DEFENDANT: What I was trying to say before is he says there's not -there's not -- there are eight charges on here. And -- not counting the open and
gross lewdness, and not counting the prohibited acts by a sex offender, there are
five charges all for the same incident.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

THE DEFENDANT: I think that's ridiculous when four of them are Class -- or are Category B felonies. I think we can reduce that to two Category B felonies.

THE COURT: Okay. They are charging you with first degree kidnapping, a Category B felony; aggravated stalking, Category B felony; luring children with intent to engage in sexual conduct, Category B felony; burglary, Category B felony; and open or gross lewdness --

THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not. I think I can handle it myself.

has that right. He has waived that right and has asked to represent himself. And

 you should have -- you should take no offense to that; to the State or to Mr. Pigeon.

I'll -- again, my name is Doug Smith. The marshal is Tom Lemke.

Louisa Garcia and Jill Jacoby. Louisa is my court clerk. You'll see a young man come in. His name is Alan. He is my law clerk, Alan Miller. Does anyone know me or my staff? No hands.

There are a couple questions that I need to ask and then we'll shortly begin the process of selecting a jury to sit and decide this case. It'll probably go until Wednesday. Before we do that, speak up because the court recorder records everything that takes place in this courtroom. Please don't say uh-huh or uh-uh. It's yes or no. Because you can't -- it's hard to transcribe the uh-uh and the uh-huh's.

If we ask you to identify yourself, you can use the last three numbers of your badge and your name. If you need to communicate with the Court, you'll do that through the marshal, Tom. There may be other marshals that come or go if Tom has other assignments that he must do. They'll be in uniform. You'll be able to identify them.

You'll come to know the court personnel a little bit through coming and going every day. They are under orders not to talk to you, to say good morning, to speak to the jury at all. It's not that they are anti-social, but if we talk to someone, even saying could you push 16 to come up to this floor, please don't do that. Just reach over and push it. That's not going to be considered rude by them. But if you see us, don't -- please don't talk to us because it would have the appearance that maybe you could be unduly being influenced by one of the court staff.

I need to ask a couple questions. They'll -- some of our questions seem to be personal. I don't intend it to be personal, but I have to ask a couple questions. First of all, if anyone is not a citizen of the United States, I need to know

that. You cannot sit as a juror. If you're not a citizen, please raise your hand.

Seeing no hands. Or if you're a convicted felon that have not had their civil rights restored, I need you to raise your hand because you can't sit as a juror. Thank you.

I'll ask the State then to identify themselves, and their potential witnesses, and tell a little bit about the case.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, everyone. My name is Marc Schifalacqua and this is Elizabeth Mercer. We're Chief Deputy DA's here in Clark County and we represent the people of the State of Nevada in this criminal prosecution. In this case Mr. Pigeon is being charged with some acts that occurred in May of 2013. And to be very brief, it involves stalking a 12 year old girl, trying to lure her away from her parents for sexual purposes, and then also masturbating while he was watching her. So that is in 2013 -- May 2013.

The charges are attempt first degree kidnapping, aggravated stalking, luring children with the intent to engage in sexual conduct, burglary, open and gross lewdness, and unlawful contact with the child. Below -- I'm just going to read off the witness list in this case. We very well may not call all of these witnesses. I just -- they're on the list just in case you know somebody, I'll read that off. Okay. I appreciate that.

Some members of the Metropolitan Police Department, including Brian Wyche, Randall McPhail, Roberto Juarez, Cheryl Hooten, Ryan Jaeger, Troy Givens, David Prichard, and Jason Lafreniere. The minor, who's the alleged victim in this case, her name is Caralla Caralla Kathryn Hernandez, John Bryant.

Some custodian of records or manager folks from the Bargain Motel, Edwards Mini Storage, Ten Dollar Fitness, and Mona Lisa Carillo. So those are the witnesses --

potential witnesses in this case. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you. Do any of the jurors know those witnesses? Or any of the names from the DA's? Thank you. Mr. Pigeon, if you'll identify yourself and identify any witnesses that you may call.

THE DEFENDANT: My name is Christopher Pigeon. I'd like to remind you that these acts by --

THE COURT: No, no, no, no. Identify any witnesses you may call. It's not time to do an opening statement.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. I just have myself as a witness.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead and have a seat, Mr. Pigeon.

All right. Tom, get the --

We have to select 12 jurors that'll be -- will be fair and impartial both to the Defendant and the State of Nevada. As the Defendant sits here right now, he's innocent of all charges because no one has heard any evidence. Does that bother anyone that they have to hear the evidence? Not that the evidence is ugly. It's that you'll hear the evidence before you'll make a decision. In the back. Thomas.

THE COURT: Identify yourself and your last three numbers of your badge, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 518: Brianna Maldonado, 518.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 518: Because of a past experience, I would biased towards this case.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll have to ask some questions in a little bit. Okay? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 518: Okay.

THE COURT: I appreciate you -- we don't mean to be prying, but we have a

1	right to ask you some questions and we have to ask questions.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 518: Okay.
3	THE COURT: I understand.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: I have a family member that have
5	a some a familiar case open that we can
6	THE COURT: Okay. Your name and your badge number, please.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: Landaro.
8	THE COURT: And your badge number.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: 614.
10	THE COURT: Okay. You have a family member that has similar charges
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: Is a victim.
12	THE COURT: Is the victim?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: Uh-huh.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Just remind me if we call you. Actually it'll go this way
15	down that line. So
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 678: Lilia Espinosa.
17	THE COURT: just remind me.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 678: Badge 7 678.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 678: Personal I've gone through simila
21	stuff like that. So
22	THE COURT: Okay. Just remind me when if we call you up into the jury
23	box. All right.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Was that the same juror?
) a	THE COURT: No

1	THE DEFENDANT: Land what was the name?
2	THE COURT: Did you identify I'm sorry. What's your name and your
3	badge number, ma'am?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: 614.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Name?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 614: Landaro.
8	THE DEFENDANT: Oh.
9	THE COURT: Landaro.
10	THE DEFENDANT: That was the same person. Thank you. Appreciate that.
11	THE COURT: All right. Tell me your name. You can stay seated.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Amber Floyd, Badge Number 313.
13	THE COURT: Do you work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Yes, I do.
15	THE COURT: What do you do?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: I'm a custodian.
17	THE COURT: Where?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Courtney Junior High School.
19	THE COURT: Are you married?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: No.
21	THE COURT: Do you have children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Their ages?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Ten.
25	THE COURT: And one child?

1	THE COURT: Okay. When did that happen?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: It happened about ten years ago in
3	Los Angeles.
4	THE COURT: Not here in Las Vegas.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: No.
6	THE COURT: Police called?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: Yes, they were.
8	THE COURT: And did they come?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: Yes, they did.
10	THE COURT: Was there anything about that that would prejudice you agains
11	the State or the Defendant in this case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: No, because totally unrelated type
13	cases.
14	THE DEFENDANT: What was your name again, ma'am?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: Dorothy Shuler.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I appreciate that.
17	THE COURT: Okay. You'll address the Court, please, Mr. Pigeon as we've
18	ins I've instructed you.
19	THE DEFENDANT: All right, Your Honor.
20	[Colloquy between the Court and the Marshal]
21	THE COURT: All right. Then pass that down. Who else raised their hand?
22	Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: It's Sheri Harris, Badge Number 356
24	[Colloquy between the Court and the Clerk]
25	THE COURT: Tell me about the incident.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: The incident was when I was in fifth grade and I was followed by a man for several days. He had waited at my bus stop and there was masturbation involved and the police were called.

THE COURT: And did you go to trial and testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Yes.

THE COURT: And where did that happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Pennsylvania.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I may ask you some more questions in a minute. If you'll pass that mic back.

Do you have any feeling one way or another -- no. All the way back.

All the way. On whether a grown male or female would have a dating relationship or intimate relationship with a teenager.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Can you repeat that again? I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Do you have any feeling one way or the other if a male or a female -- if it's appropriate for a grown male or female to have a dating or an intimate relationship with a teenager?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: No. It's not appropriate. And I wouldn't feel that way for the fact that I am around pre-teens. So, I would feel that that's not, you know, comfortable or -- what's the word I'm looking for?

THE COURT: Now, you bring your everyday, common sense --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- to the courtroom. We're not asking that. You won't hold anything against the Defendant or the State because you have a daughter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 313: No, I wouldn't.

Grove minister.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work? Do you work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: No. I'm on disability.
3	THE COURT: And what did you do before you were put on disability?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: The last job I had, I was a janitor in a
5	homeless shelter.
6	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: No, I do not.
8	THE COURT: Are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you ever been the
11	victim of a crime? Other than what you've talked to us.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: As I mentioned before I was mugged
13	and a
14	THE COURT: Other than that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: And my aunt was murdered.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You wouldn't hold that against the Defendant.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: No.
18	THE COURT: You'll listen to all of the evidence
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: Yes.
20	THE COURT: before you make a decision?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Any way you or anyone closely associated with you been
23	accused of a crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 337: When during the time when I was
25	homeless, I was accused of shoplifting.

а

1	THE COURT: Can you tell me your education background?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: 14 years.
3	THE COURT: Do you have children?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: No.
5	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you been the
6	victim of a crime?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: No.
8	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: No.
10	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
11	ethnicity?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: No.
13	THE COURT: Can you fair and impartial to both parties in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Do you ride the city bus?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: No.
17	THE COURT: You'll listen to all of the evidence before you make up your
18	mind in this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And you'll be fair and impartial to both parties?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 349: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Next. Pass that over. All right. Tell me your name again. I'm
23	sorry.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: It's Sherri Harris, Juror
25	THE COURT: Do

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: 356.
2	THE COURT: Do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Bartender.
6	THE COURT: Where?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Paris Hotel.
8	THE COURT: Are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Single.
10	THE COURT: Do you have children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you or someone close to you ever been the victim of a
13	crime? Other than what you've told us.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: No.
15	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: No.
17	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
18	ethnicity?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: No.
20	THE COURT: You'll be fair and impartial to both parties in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Do you ride the city bus?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 356: No.
24	THE COURT: All right. If you'll pass that mic over. Tell me your name.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Susan Purcell.

1	THE COURT: Do you work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Yes.
3	THE COURT: What do you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: I work for the State of Nevada.
5	THE COURT: What in what
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Office of Consumer Health
7	Assistance.
8	THE COURT: Are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: No.
10	THE COURT: Do you have children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Yes.
12	THE COURT: How ages and how many?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Two; 29 and 27.
14	THE COURT: What is their gender?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Male, 29. Female, 27.
16	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
17	ethnicity?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: No.
19	THE COURT: You'll listen to all of the evidence before you make up your
20	mind in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Do you ever ride the city bus?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 361: No.
24	THE COURT: If you'll pass that. Tell me your name.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Gigi Kennamer, Badge Number 364.

1	THE COURT: Do you work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
3	THE COURT: What do you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Make-up artist.
5	THE COURT: Where?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: MAC.
7	THE COURT: MAC. What's that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: It's a cosmetics company. I'm sure
9	every woman in here probably knows what it is. No offense.
10	THE COURT: All right. Sorry. Are you married?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Spouse work?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
14	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: He's IT for Caesar's Corporation.
16	THE COURT: Educational background.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: High school and make-up artist
18	school.
19	THE COURT: Do you have children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: No.
21	THE COURT: You or anyone closely associated with you been the victim of a
22	crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: No.
24	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: No.

1	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
3	THE COURT: I forgot to ask these anybody have been that we've already
4	asked?
5	PREVIOUS PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Where?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Here. Las Vegas
8	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: It was a coroner's inquest.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So it wasn't a jury like this?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: It was a jury.
12	THE COURT: But it but it was a coroner's inquest rather than a trial
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
14	THE COURT: It's a bit different. 12 of you go back in. All 12 of you have to
15	be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt of the charges.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
18	How long ago were you on that coroner's inquest?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: I believe four years ago.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And that was here in Las Vegas?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
23	ethnicity?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: No.
25	THE COURT: Do you ever ride the city bus?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 364: No.
2	THE COURT: All right. If you'd pass that mic over. Tell me your name.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Karen Socha-Smith, 372.
4	THE COURT: Do you work?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: I'm a landlord.
6	THE COURT: Are you married?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Spouse work?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Yes.
10	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Pharmacist.
12	THE COURT: What's your educational background?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Post-graduate.
14	THE COURT: Do you have children?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Two.
16	THE COURT: Ages and gender?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: 30 and 28.
18	THE COURT: Gender?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Female. Both.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you been the victim of a
21	crime?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Yes.
23	THE COURT: What kind?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Assault and battery.
25	THE COURT: Who?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Grandmother.
2	THE COURT: Where at?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Michigan.
4	THE COURT: And were you did you follow that crime? I mean, were you
5	there and go to court with her?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Are you generally satisfied how it was handled?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Is there any bias with that against the State or the
10	Defendant?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: No.
12	THE COURT: Do you have any bias of on race, religion, or gender, or
13	ethnicity?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: No.
15	THE COURT: And do you ever ride the city bus?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 372: No.
17	THE COURT: Okay. You could just tell me your name. You don't need to
18	give me your badge number yet.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Shirley Shaffer.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: No. I'm retired.
22	THE COURT: Retired from what?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Purchasing at the hotels.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
25	DPOSDECTIVE ILIDOD NI IMPED 272: No

1	THE COURT: Do you have children?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Ages and gender.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: The boy is a man, 45 and the
5	daughter is 42.
6	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of or anyone closely
7	associated with you been a victim of a crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. What crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Stalking.
11	THE COURT: Who?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: And the boyfriend's the girlfriend
13	of my husband.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: And her boyfriend.
16	THE COURT: Stalked whom? You?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Stalked me.
18	THE COURT: And did you call the police on that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And did they respond?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And how did you feel about that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 373: That it was handled well.
24	THE COURT: Okay. You don't have any bias to the Defendant or the State
25	with that?

1	ethnicity?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 378: No.
3	THE COURT: Do you ride the city bus?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 378: I did today for the first time in six
5	years.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Can you think of any reason you would not be fair and
7	impartial?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 378: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
10	UNKNOWN PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Pass that to the gentleman. Tell me your name.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Kent Bates.
13	THE COURT: Do you work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: No.
15	THE COURT: You retired?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Retired.
17	THE COURT: From what?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Pipe fitter.
19	THE COURT: Are you married?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Spouse work?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: No. She's retired.
23	THE COURT: Retired from what?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: An accountant.
25	THE COURT: Where?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Through temp agencies here and in
2	Michigan.
3	THE COURT: Have you your educational background is?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: A BBA.
5	THE COURT: Do you have children?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: One stepson.
7	THE COURT: How old?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: 46.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you been the
10	victim of a crime?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: No.
12	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Accused. But the accusations were
14	later dropped. So I don't know if that counts.
15	THE COURT: Who?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: By Las Vegas Metro.
17	THE COURT: Who was accused? You?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: I was. Yes.
19	THE COURT: Of what kind of crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Domestic battery.
21	THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about that that would prejudice you
22	against the State or the Defendant?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you ever ridden the city bus?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: No.

1	THE COURT: Can you fair and impartial to both parties in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 382: Yes.
3	THE COURT: If you'll pass that to the gentleman. Tell me your name.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: Justin.
5	THE COURT: Do you work?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What do you do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: U.S. Army National Guard. But I'm
9	mostly a college student.
10	THE COURT: Where at?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: UNLV.
12	THE COURT: What are you studying?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: Computer engineering.
14	THE COURT: Are you married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: No.
16	THE COURT: Do you have children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you been the
19	victim of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: I have a cousin who was kidnapped
21	and murdered. I have another cousin who was murdered. And then I have my
22	daughter's neighbor was sexually assaulted.
23	THE COURT: When? Where and about how long ago?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: All of them.
25	THE COURT: All yeah. Were they here in Las Vegas?

1	gender, or ethnicity?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you ever ridden the city bus?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: Yes, as a kid.
5	THE COURT: Pardon?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: As a kid, yes.
7	THE COURT: But you don't now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: No.
9	THE COURT: How old are you again?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: 22.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Can you think of any reason you wouldn't fair and
12	impartial to both parties in this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: Other than
14	THE COURT: Other than what you've already told us.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: No.
18	THE COURT: If you'll pass that to the young lady. Tell me your name.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: My name is Susan Black.
20	THE COURT: Do you work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: I'm retired.
22	THE COURT: From what?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: I worked in education and for most
24	of it I counseled children from the ages of 5 to 12 years of age.
25	THE COURT: What's your educational background?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: I have a master's degree.
2	THE COURT: In what?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: Counseling.
4	THE COURT: Are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: I'm divorced.
6	THE COURT: And what did your husband do when he worked?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: He was a superintendent of schools.
8	THE COURT: And were you here in Las Vegas?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: No, we were not.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Where did you counsel children at?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: I guess you can't tell from my
12	accent. I'm from New York.
13	THE COURT: I have to ask the question the tape sometimes can't. Do you
14	have children?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: No, I don't.
16	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you been the
17	victim of a crime?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: When I was a child, our apartment
19	was robbed.
20	THE COURT: That was a couple years ago.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: More than a couple.
22	THE COURT: Is there anything about that that would prejudice you at all in
23	this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: Not at all.
25	THE COURT: Either you or anyone closely associated with you been

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 412: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you been the
3	victim of a crime?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 412: No.
5	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 412: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
8	ethnicity?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 412: No.
10	THE COURT: Ever ride the city bus?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 412: When I was younger. Not anymore
12	THE COURT: All right. Thanks. If you'll pass that to the gentleman. Your
13	name?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 416: Christopher Eason, 416.
15	THE COURT: Do you work?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 416: Yes, I do.
17	THE COURT: What do you do?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 416: I work at the VA Hospital as a
19	supervisor in cleaning the hospital. I'm also a naval reservist, 13 years.
20	THE COURT: Are you married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 416: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 416: No.
24	THE COURT: What's your educational background?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 416: 15 plus years, child education.

1	THE COURT: All right. Your last name is
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 385: Cox, C-O-X.
3	THE COURT: Cox. All right.
4	Mr. Cox, you're excused. If you'll go down to the Jury Commissioner of
5	the third floor and let her know.
6	And Dorothy Shuler, you're excused as well. Thank you.
7	Mark this is as Defense's Defendant's. And then fill those two spots.
8	THE CLERK: Badge Number 418, William Tipton.
9	THE MARSHAL: William, right up here, please.
10	THE CLERK: Badge Number 431, Bryan Kneiding.
11	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tipton, is that right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Do you work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: I do.
15	THE COURT: What do you do?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: I'm a part-time dealer at the Golden
17	Nugget.
18	THE COURT: Are you married?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: I am.
20	THE COURT: Your spouse work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: She does.
22	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: She's a dealer also.
24	THE COURT: What is your educational background?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418. I graduated high school and the

1	military.
2	THE COURT: What did you do in the military?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: I was in the Navy.
4	THE COURT: What's your ed have you or anybody closely associated with
5	you been the victim of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
7	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
9	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
10	ethnicity?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
12	THE COURT: You will listen to the evidence and make a decision from what
13	you see and hear in this courtroom?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: Do you have children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Ages and gender?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: Male, 37. Female, 31.
19	THE COURT: Do you have a background in law or law enforcement?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
21	THE COURT: Do you ever ride the city bus?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever been followed?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
25	THE COURT: Could you be fair and impartial to both parties in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: There was an incident with a family
2	member, my wife
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: growing up, as a kid, almost into
5	here teenage years where she was molested and sexually abused by a family
6	member.
7	THE COURT: Can you set that aside and be fair and impartial? You wouldn
8	hold that against the Defendant or the State?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: I would do my best.
10	THE COURT: All right. If you'll hand this to this gentleman down here. Oh.
11	Have you ever sat as a juror before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 418: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to write that down so I don't forget that
14	question. All right. Your name.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Bryan Kneiding.
16	THE COURT: Do you work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: What do you do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: I'm a real estate agent and I also se
20	cars. And I'm working my way back into being a photo journalist.
21	THE COURT: And what's your educational background?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Political Science major.
23	THE COURT: You've graduated?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: Have you Are you married?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: No, sir
4	THE COURT: Been a victim anyone you or anyone closely associated
5	with you been the victim of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: Who?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: My grandparents were murdered.
9	THE COURT: Where?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Southern California.
11	THE COURT: Nothing about that will prejudice you against the State or the
12	Defendant?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: I'll do my best. Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: How about accused of a crime? Anybody
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: No, sir.
16	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yeah. It's been 20 plus years.
18	THE COURT: Where?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Southern California.
20	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: I don't remember.
22	THE COURT: You understand the difference. I've kind of explained it.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Three-quarters have to decide the civil. But, beyond a
25	reasonable doubt to the 12 jurors. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You will listen to all of the testimony and look at the exhibits to make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you ever ride the city bus?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: No.

THE COURT: You ever been followed before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 431: Yeah. But it wasn't anything serious.

THE COURT: Any challenges for cause, write them down, please.

Okay. We're going to start now what they -- we call peremptory challenges. That means that -- you guys have five?

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Each have five peremptory challenges. They could not like your shirt. Once, when I was a trial judge, I didn't -- or a trial attorney, I didn't like the books someone came in with. Of course, I had it -- I had one incident where I thought this young man would be very liberal. He came in on a skateboard, real long hair. All right. I was passing judgment. He turned out -- and I didn't like other people more than I didn't like him. He ended up being my foreperson and the most conservative on the jury.

So, there are reasons we think that we don't want somebody on a jury.

Mr. Pigeon will have five. The State will have five. There'll be a paper passed back and forth between them and we'll do that one at a time.

[Peremptory Challenges]

THE COURT: While they're marking that, I'll read you some instructions.

If any juror discovers during the trial, or after the jury has retired to deliberate that they have personal knowledge of any fact or controversy in this case, you'll disclose that situation to myself in the absence of other jurors. That means that if you learn during the course of the trial that you are acquainted with the facts of this case, or the witnesses that you have not previously told me of that relationship, you must, as a juror, declare that fact to me. And you communicate that through the marshal.

We would thank and excuse juror -- or Kent Bates. Thank you so much for your time. If you'll check in with the Jury Commissioner.

THE CLERK: Badge Number 432, Hong Li.

THE MARSHAL: Right up here, please.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Li, do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 432: Yes.

THE COURT: Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 432: Dealer.

THE COURT: Is English your first language?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 432: Casino dealer.

THE COURT: Why don't you go -- does either party have a problem -- he's going to have a problem understanding what's going on here. Either party have a problem with me releasing him.

THE DEFENDANT: No.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you check in with the Jury Commissioner?

THE CLERK: Badge Number 434, Dwayne Harper.

THE MARSHAL: Okay, Dwayne, right over here, please.

1	THE COURT: Harper, do you work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: What do you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Retail for Albertsons.
5	THE COURT: Are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: Spouse work?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Same. Retail for Albertsons.
9	THE COURT: What's your educational background?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Just high school through high
11	school.
12	THE COURT: Do you have children?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: How many? And what's their gender?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Two; ten or nine, boy. And then a
16	7-month old little girl.
17	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you been the
18	victim of a crime?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: No.
20	THE COURT: Accused of a crime?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever sat as a juror before?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you have any bias based on race, religion, or gender, or
25	ethnicity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: No.

THE COURT: You'll be -- you'll listen to all of the evidence, make a decision from what you see and hear in this courtroom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You'll be fair and impartial to both parties?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you ever ride the city bus?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: No, sir.

THE COURT: Have you ever been followed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 434: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

[Peremptory Challenges]

The way you communicate with the Court will be through the marshal. You'll notify the marshal, write it down -- you'll have a jury packet and you'll write down any questions you have. I'll bring it up with the parties outside of your presence. Additionally, if the -- you're admonished if something should arise, you're not to relate to any fellow jurors any of the facts relating to the case that are within your own knowledge. Likewise, if you discover that any juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, you'll disclose that situation to myself in the absence of other jurors

Once again, you communicate with the Court through the marshal.

Don't talk to other jurors about any of the facts that you tell the marshal and write it down and the marshal will bring it to me. You will also recall that during the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, the parties, the witnesses, and court personnel, other than the marshal are not permitted to talk to you. As I previously

stated, these individuals are not being anti-social, they're just bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

So, if you talk to anyone in the courthouse, talk to somebody that has a blue badge on. You'll have a blue badge if you're selected as a juror. Only talk to them because then you know they're not a witness in another case, they're only jurors. You're admonished that you're not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences made mention of during this trial, unless specifically directed to do so by the Court. Please don't investigate this case, or anyone who has anything to do with it on your own. Don't undertake any legal or factual research on your own.

Okay. We have a jury. And if the rest of you will go down to the third floor.

[In the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. If the jury will stand and raise your right hand. Swear or affirm.

[The Clerk swears in the jury]

THE COURT: Do you guys need a -- you can be seated. Do you guys need a break or anything yet?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 411: I -- yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a five-minute recess.

During this recess you're not to talk or discuss the case with anybody. Okay? Go with Tom.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: You guys will -- we'll read the indictment. Are you ready for your opening statement?

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: We could -- yes, we could probably do that before

1	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Just so we're clear. I know you said the prohibited
2	acts, Mr. Pigeon. We're not getting into that during this opening statement so
3	the
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: So don't I'm not bringing it up. You know, we're not
6	bringing it up during this portion. The jury can't know that right now.
7	THE COURT: Use the restrooms
8	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Okay.
9	THE COURT: if you need to use the restroom.
10	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE DEFENDANT: It's only on prohibited acts then?
13	MS. MERCER: No. We're not talking about prohibited acts in this part of the
14	trial.
15	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
16	MS. MERCER: He's warning you not to bring it up because then you're going
17	to cause a mistrial.
18	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
19	[Recess taken at 11:06 a.m.]
20	[Trial resumed at 11:13 a.m.]
21	[Outside the presence of the jury]
22	THE COURT: Your jury ready?
23	THE MARSHAL: They're all back now. I already told them about the
24	notepads, and the pens, and the [indiscernible].
25	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to finish reading them the law. Then we'll

THE DEFENDANT: So, they're going to actually decide on my guilt or

innocence on the first six charges before we even talk about the prohibited acts?

24

25

23

24

25

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: That's correct.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: That's correct.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: It's too prejudicial to do that. Otherwise -- you don't want that to happen first of all. It always happens that way in this type of case.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury in.

[In the presence of the jury]

THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.

And be seated.

THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Jury is seated. All right. Let me read these general instructions to you on the law. I'll finish reading that. I will give you written instructions at the conclusion. You must base your decision on the law as I state it. It would be a violation of your oath to base it on anything other than what I tell you.

You are the deciders of the facts. Both parties in this case are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration from the jury. What I tell you now is not a substitute for a detailed instructions on the law, it's to give you a general instruction on the law. This is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada, which I may sometimes refer to as the State, against Christopher Pigeon. The case is based upon an indictment. The Clerk will read that to you in just a minute. In fact, she can read it to you right now.

Go ahead. Sorry.

[The Clerk reads the Indictment]

THE COURT: This case is based on that indictment, which has been read to you. You should distinctly understand the indictment is simply a charge and that it is not, in any sense, evidence of the allegation that it contains.

The Defendant has pled not guilty to the indictment. The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. As the Defendant sits here now, he is not guilty. He could sit there and read Sports Illustrated and not do a thing, not ask a question, not admit any evidence, and if the State can't meet the burden, it would be your responsibility to come back with a not guilty verdict. Does everybody understand that? All right.

The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden. It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts.

Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and other evidence including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence.

The trial will begin with opening statements. The District Attorneys will make an opening statement if they so desire, which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the Defendant, if he desires, may make an opening statement. But he is not in -- required to. Opening statements are -- serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove, but they are not evidence.

Next, the State will commence its case in chief. This is the State's opportunity to present its evidence. It consists of calling a witnesses and production

1 of 2 Cc 3 cr 4 De 5 De

of physical items of evidence, such as documents or photographs, and the like.

Counsel for the Defendant may cross-examine -- excuse me. The Defendant may cross-examine the State's witnesses. Following the State's case in chief, the Defendant may present evidence and the District Attorneys may cross-examine the Defense witnesses. However, as I said, the Defendant is not obligated to present any evidence.

There are two kinds of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof. If you prove a particular fact, which, if proven, you may infer the existence of a second fact. If we came in today and there's no snow on the ground and we go out at the end of the trial and there's three feet of snow across the valley you didn't see it snow, but you can infer the fact that it snowed. That's circumstantial evidence.

But if we were out there and the snow fell on us, and we saw the snow come down, we know it's snowing. That is direct evidence. But you can use the same -- them the same. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both. But it is for you to decide how much weight to be -- given any evidence. Anything you may have seen or heard or -- outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded.

It is the duty of the attorney to object to any evidence which they may feel may not properly be brought before the jury. At times I may sustain the objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

 When witnesses are testifying and consider the weight and the value of the testimony of the witness, you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness; the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, if any; the relation of the witness to the Defendant or the State; the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not; and the probability or improbability of the witness's statements and all the facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus, she may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value as you believe the testimony of the witness is entitled.

If the Defendant presents evidence, the State will have the opportunity to present rebuttal evidence. And the Defendant may have an opportunity to present surrebuttal evidence. After all the evidence has been presented to you, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side will have an opportunity to present closing arguments. What is said in closing argument is not evidence, just like opening statements are not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence, while discussing with you how to apply the law to the particular facts of the case.

Since the State has the burden of proof, the Defendant -- proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close arguments. It means that the State will make a closing argument, followed by a closing argument from the Defense, and then a -- the State may make a rebuttal closing argument. After the arguments have been completed, you will deliberate your verdict.

Again, let me remind you, do not talk to each other about the case or anyone else who has anything to do with the case until the case is finally submitted to you and you're in the jury room. Likewise, do not talk to anyone else about the

case or anyone who has anything to do with the case until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you're a juror in a criminal case. Please don't tell them anything about the case until after you've been discharged by myself. Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, tell the marshal and I'll deal with it.

Do not read any newspapers, stories, articles, or listen to any radio or television or reports about the case or anyone who has anything to do with it. Especially don't *AskJeeves*. Don't get on the computer and say, well, I want to know this. You may know somebody that you think is an expert in the area. You can't call them and say, hey, you're the expert in this area, what really happened at this in case -- in court. Just listen to the evidence, testimony, look at the exhibits, make a decision. Do not visit the scene of any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake an investigation on your own.

Now, after each witness, I will ask you if you have any questions of this witness. That's a little different than normal. Write your questions down with your seat number. One is in the very back. There will be 14 of you and put your seat number and your name and the question. I'll read it, I may review it with the parties, or I may ask the question, or may not. If I ask the question or if I don't ask the question don't take any -- don't say, oh, that must have been a great question because I asked it. Or oh, that's a terrible question, he didn't ask it. It may ask for evidence that is not admissible in court. So -- but, I'll give you that opportunity.

State ready?

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE

BY MR. SCHIFALACQUA:

Ladies and gentleman, this case is about a 12 year old named C last May, was a student at Hyde Park Middle School. Hyde Park is pretty much close to the intersections of Charleston and Valley View if you're familiar with that area of town. It's a middle school and she was in seventh grade at the time. And Calling lives with her grandmother. She's raised her since really an infant. She refers to her as her mom. They live together.

And the way Case gets to school is via the bus, the public bus. And she gets on near her house and it routes her through the downtown terminal. You may know right around here, a few blocks from here, there's kind of a major bus terminal that opened not too long ago, a few years back. Routes her through that bus terminal, as a lot of busses do, and then she gets a transfer bus to her school at Hyde Park. And that was her routine. She would wake up in the morning, get on her bus, get her transfer, and then go to school. And she did that in May of last year on a daily basis.

Now, when she's at the bus terminal -- the one right downtown here a few blocks away, a man sees her there. And Carried is by herself. She doesn't -- she's not with her grandma, she's just by herself. And the man who sees her there you'll learn is Christopher Pigeon. Christopher Pigeon immediately takes interest in this girl. Christopher Pigeon, you'll learn, is approximately 50 years old at the time of this offense. And he gets on the bus after her and follows her to her school.

Now, she gets off the bus right on that intersection of Valley View and Charleston. There's a bus stop right there and then she just walks a block or so to her school, Hyde Park. There's -- it's a Sinclair gas station there. And a lot of the kids, you'll hear, from Hyde Park, they go in there to buy soda or chips on their way to and from school and Carrow is no different. Carrow stops into CJ's -- it's called CJ's Mini Mart -- gas station, mini mart, to buy some things. And the Defendant, you'll learn, in the middle of May, follows her in there and starts -- has his gaze completely fixed upon her.

You'll learn on May 15th that kind of under his -- and through his pants and underneath his clothing he's masturbating his penis while he's looking at her and you'll hear about that. And this continues. This wasn't the only day this occurred. You'll hear about the following day where Carrow, again, does the same routine. She wakes up, goes to school, takes the bus. He gets on the bus again at that bus terminal downtown here and follows her to her school. And goes into CJ's Mini Mart again, his gaze completely fixed upon her.

You'll hear about on a day where he actually corners Carolina. There's a Sonio's Café, it's a restaurant that's open just very close to this, and corners her. Tells her that she looks nice, she looks pretty, reaches out for her, makes contact with her arm or her hand. Carolina is afraid. She does not know this person, clearly, and runs away to the mini mart. The Defendant then you'll hear enters in the mini mart after her. Again, watches her. She leaves and then he follows her out to her school.

You'll learn on the -- another following day, it's the same routine.

Getting on the bus after of the same in the minimant. Now on this day -- this is May 17th. There is a clerk who works there, his name is John Bryant. And he

knows a lot of the middle school kids who go in there and he knows Carrows. And the Defendant goes up to Carrows and states something that he -- you know, she looks pretty today and John overhears this and thinks it's strange. Carrows doesn't say anything in return.

And then the Defendant goes to the back of the store and again just watches her kind of from where the slot machines are. You'll hear about that. John thinks this is strange. Of the leaves the store rather quickly and the Defendant immediately bolts out of the store and begins to run after Office.

Compared is going as fast as she can to her school, to Hyde Park, and is able to get there without the Defendant getting ahold of her at that point. But he does chase her out of the store.

John Bryant -- this doesn't feel right to him. He feels like something could be going on here. He certainly knows who Carrow is and doesn't know this person. And knows that they are in no way affiliated with each other. These aren't relatives or friends or anything like that. And he -- there's a police officer you'll hear, Troy Givens. He's a patrol officer in the neighborhood and he goes into the mini mart sometimes for a soda or a water or whatever on his shift. And Mr. Bryant brings it up to him. You know, this doesn't feel right to me. Could you look into this? So they start to look into this.

A detective from the sex assault unit, Jason Lafreniere begins to investigate. They look at the different video showing the Defendant in the acts that I talked about. And so they thought that he would take a look, Jason Lafreniere, the detective, at Hyde Park and perhaps the Defendant, Mr. Pigeon, would be there. And he was. Right around the time school was letting out around 2:30 there's a little park right across the street from Hyde Park Middle School and the Defendant is

seen by the detective sitting on one of the benches, his gaze just fixed upon the school, rocking back and forth, and bouncing his legs, clearly waiting for Cypulli hear.

He then tries to go on to school property, the Defendant, and he's ultimately taken into custody by the police detective at that point. In interviews conducted with Mr. Pigeon -- you'll hear some of that interview. He corroborates a lot of things that Carroborates as a said as far as that he does get on this bus with -- when she does, and follows her to the school. He says that sometime -- that he was sexually interested in her and that he would like to marry her with her parent's permission.

He admits that he does -- that his penis has been erect while he stares at her on the bus and that she is interested in him based upon her body language. He does talk again about -- you'll hear about -- with her parent's permission what he would like to do with her sexually. He does say that he did run after her on the 17th, kind of like how Calling and John Bryant said. He does admit on the day with Sonio's, stopping her, reaching out for her, telling her he loves her, and wants to be with her. There's ultimately a search warrant done at where the Defendant is staying at a storage facility -- or a storage unit.

Well, ladies and gentleman, that's generally the facts of the case as you'll hear them. We'll be presenting to you the Defendant stalked a 12 year old girl, tried to make contact with her for sexual reasons. And at the end of this we'll ask that you find him guilty of the counts that were just read to you a moment ago. We want to thank you very much and we'll present the evidence a little bit this afternoon to you.

THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon.

 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

OPENING STATEMENT BY DEFENDANT

BY THE DEFENDANT:

Hi.

THE COURT: Stay at the podium, please.

THE MARSHAL: It's so we have you on the mic.

THE COURT: Thank you. We have to have the microphone.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

I've been in Las Vegas for 15 years. I do have some prior lewdness charges, but they are very minor I thought. Mostly good-natured. The State had a Grand Jury back in June of 2013, a month after the arrests -- or less than a month after the arrests. And I was originally indicted for three charges. Primarily just two. That would be open or gross lewdness and unlawful contact with a minor, which is a misdemeanor crime. The open and gross lewdness charge is technically a misdemeanor, but the State automatically enhances it to a lesser felony.

I would like to mention that there were four charges added at the Grand Jury hearing without proper discussion of those charges being highlighted in terms of the elements of the crimes necessary for them to be a crime -- or necessary for them to even be valid crimes at the Grand Jury. So they're, in my opinion, improper indictments. But what I have to do for the jury is prove that there are no elements of those crimes even in existence. Those crimes are the burglary charge, the luring children charge, the aggravated stalking charge, and the attempted kidnapping charge; all Class B felonies, which can carry up to 15 years each.

I think this is all just a simple misunderstanding in that sense. I had no intention of kidnapping anyone and that's what I hope to prove by the end of the

trial. Carl versus State says that every single element of a --

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pigeon, you're arguing the case. Tell us what you think your evidence is going to prove.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

I will try to prove that they didn't have all the elements of any of these crimes, actually. Except for perhaps a misdemeanor, unlawful contact with the child. If Carpenter actually says she was scared -- I didn't really think she was scared. However, I'll -- I will also add this that there are no -- for the open and gross lewdness charge, there are witnesses for that charge. It was only a police officer who subsequently viewed the tape, who claims he saw masturbation there. However, there is no film for that. They don't have a copy of it. So.

Although that was an original charge, I think you'll find that that's hearsay. There is no witnesses claiming that there is any masturbation at all. That's what I hope to prove as well. Thank you. I appreciate it.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to take a break for lunch. My staff has been doing this -- I've been here since 5:30 so -- my staff has been here since about 6:00. Why don't we come back here at 1:00? That'll give you some time to have lunch. And Tom will help you find places.

Now, I have to read this to you every time you leave now. We're going to take a -- our noon recess. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without

1	limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an
2	opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to
3	you.
4	See you back here at 1:00.
5	[Outside the presence of the jury]
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Okay. See you guys at 1:00. Or I think the jury will take a
9	little bit longer, but if you can get the witnesses here at 1:00 that would be good.
10	told you 1:15, but
11	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: We'll call everybody.
12	[Recess taken at 11:43 a.m.]
13	[Trial resumed at 1:07 p.m.]
14	[Outside the presence of the jury]
15	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: We have one thing, Judge.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Just are we on the record?
17	THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, State.
19	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: We have Can the named victim testify this
20	afternoon. You know, it's a little unusual in cases for Defendant obviously cross-
21	examining her, but we can't get around that.
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: However, are there going to be any rules with
24	approaching, perhaps, the
25	THE COURT: No. He can sit – I want you to do your examination sitting righ

THE DEFENDANT: I'd say yes.

25

1	THE COURT: Yeah. You can look over and make sure they're there.
2	THE DEFENDANT: They're not there.
3	THE COURT: No. Not right now.
4	[In the presence of the jury]
5	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
6	And be seated.
7	THE COURT: All right. Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
8	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Call your first witness, State.
11	MS. MERCER: Your Honor, the State calls John Bryant.
12	JOHN BRYANT
13	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
14	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state and spell your full name for the
15	record.
16	THE WITNESS: It's John Bryant. J-O-H-N, B-R-Y-A-N-T.
17	THE DEFENDANT: This is not the same gentleman that was in the store.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Just have a seat.
19	THE DEFENDANT: I just wanted to point that out.
20	THE COURT: Okay. But you're testifying now. You can ask questions. You
21	can't testify. Okay?
22	MS. MERCER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
25	BY MS. MERCER:

1	Q	Sir, I want to direct your attention to May 15th, 16th, and 17th of 2013. At
2	that time, v	vhere were you employed?
3	A	I was in the CJ's Mini Mart.
4	Q	And where is that located?
5	Α	4030 West Charleston.
6	Q	What is the closest major cross street to that location?
7	A	Valley View.
8	Q	Valley View? And it is a gas station as well as a mini mart?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	How were you employed there at the time?
11	A	Self-employed. Just, you know, walked in, asked for an application,
12	and I was e	employed.
13	Q	Okay. Were you in a managerial position or were you a cashier?
14	Α	Cashier.
15	Q	The week of May 15th, 16th, and 17th, what shift were you working?
16	A	The morning shift. I
17	Q	The morning shift.
18	A	It was 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.
19	Q	Okay. With respect to May 15 th of 2013, a Wednesday, did you go in
20	later that da	ay?
21	A	No.
22	Q	You went in at 7:30 as well?
23	A	[Nods head].
24	Q	Okay. Do you frequently see school children come into the store prior
25	to the bell r	inging?

1	Q	You indicated that you saw a male that came in around the same that
2	she did.	
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Do you see that male in the courtroom today?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	Could you please point to him and identify an article of clothing that he
7	wearing to	day?
8	Α	Him [pointing at the Defendant] with a flannel dress shirt.
9	THE	COURT: Let the record reflect he's identified the Defendant.
10	MS.	MERCER: Thank you.
11	BY MS. ME	ERCER:
12	Q	Let's start with May 15th, that Wednesday. When Carrette entered the
13	store that n	norning before school, how soon after she entered the store did this man
14	come in?	
15	A	Ten, fifteen seconds later.
16	Q	Okay. Did it appear as if he was following her?
17	A	On the first day, no. I did it didn't inquire to me that she he was.
18	But then th	e following day he you know, I noticed the same situation.
19	Q	Okay. And the following day would have been Thursday
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	the 16th. Did he behave in a manner towards her that caused you to
22	have conce	erns about her safety?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And how?
25	A	Well, when he walked in after ten, fifteen seconds later, you know,

1	(Q	Could you see where he was looking?
2	/	A	Yes.
3	(Q	Where was he looking?
4	/	4	At her.
5		Q	Each time that Canada and the Defendant entered the store on
6	May 15	5 th , Ma	ay 16th, and May 17th, how long did the two of them spend inside of the
7	store?		
8	1	4	Not more than ten minutes.
9		Q.	And each time the Defendant's attention was fixed solely on C
10	<i>F</i>	4	Yes.
11	٦	THE (COURT: Did they come in together or did one come in and then the
12	other o	ne?	
13	ר	THE V	WITNESS: She came in by herself and then about 15 seconds later, he
14	came ii	n.	
15	ר	THE (COURT: Okay.
16	BY MS	. MEF	RCER:
17		2	Okay. You indicated that on the last day, May 17th of 2013, Officer
18	Givens	Tro	by Givens reviewed some surveillance footage. Is that accurate?
19	ļ A	A	Yes.
20)	How many cameras are in the store?
21	<u> </u>	A	16.
22	C	Q	Do you recall what the Defendant was wearing on that last day, May
23	17 th ?		
24	Δ	\	He was wearing a white t-shirt with either a American stamp on it or
25	some ty	pe of	f print design on there. But I know it was a white t-shirt.

1	that screen	. Let me make sure it's the right yes, it is.		
2	MS. MERCER: And if you need me to			
3	THE	THE COURT: You can draw on that screen and it'll appear on the		
4	MS.	MERCER: If you want me to turn it, just tell me.		
5	BY MS. ME	ERCER:		
6	Q	On this diagram, can you put an "X" where your store would be		
7	located?			
8		Okay. And where is Hyde Park Middle School in relation to that? If you		
9	could place	another "X" there.		
10	THE	COURT: Mr. Pigeon, you can see it right on your computer screen.		
11	BY MS. ME	ERCER:		
12	Q	Okay. After you had contact with Officer Givens, were you at some		
13	point later t	hat day taken to do what's referred to as a one-on-one with someone		
14	that they ha	ad in custody? Were you asked to identify the suspect		
15	A	Yes.		
16	Q	later on that day?		
17	_ A	Yes.		
18	Q	And that was the same day, May 17th of 2013?		
19	A	Yes.		
20	Q	Who took you there?		
21	A	That was		
22	Q	Was it a Detective Prichard?		
23	A	Yes.		
24	Q	Where did he take you?		
25	Α	He took me to the front he drove me into the front of the middle		

1	school.	
2	Q	Of Hyde Park Middle School?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	And were you able to make an identification?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And did you identify the suspect the Defendant?
7	A	Yes.
8	MS. I	MERCER: Court's indulgence.
9	BY MS. ME	RCER:
10	Q	When Call left May 17th, 2013 after the Defendant told her she
11	looked pretty, how quickly after she walked out of the store did the Defendant	
12	follow?	
13	Α	No more than three steps behind her.
14	Q	And how quickly was he walking when he exited the store?
15	A	Rapidly.
16	Q	Rapidly?
17	A	Yeah. Like he like fast walking.
18	Q	As if chasing her?
19	A	Almost.
20	MS. N	MERCER: No further questions.
21	THE	COURT: Do you have any questions of the witness, sir?
22	THE	DEFENDANT: Yes. I do have a couple.
23		Give me one minute, Your Honor.
24	THE	COURT: You can stay sit sat right there.
25	THE	DEFENDANT: All right.

1	she looked beautiful. I told you that I that she was an acquaintance of mine or		
2	that we were acquaintances, and I bought one item from you. And other than that I		
3	didn't touch her or I didn't do anything unusual. Is that correct?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q All right. So the only thing we're looking at here, I'd like to say to the		
6	jury		
7	THE COURT: No, no, no. You have to ask questions. That's testifying. You		
8	have a questions of this witness.		
9	BY THE DEFENDANT:		
10	Q I'll just say the only thing that C may have felt is maybe she was		
11	a little scared. That's all I'd like to say. Do you agree?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q All right. Thank you. I appreciate that.		
14	THE DEFENDANT: That's it.		
15	THE COURT: Any further questions?		
16	MS. MERCER: Just one.		
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE		
18	BY MS. MERCER:		
19	Q Mr. Bryant, when the Defendant was in the store on the 15 th , 16 th , and		
20	17th with Carry, could you tell where his hands were primarily?		
21	A In his pockets.		
22	Q In his pockets. Did that strike you as odd?		
23	A Yeah.		
24	Q Could you tell what he was doing with his hands?		
25	A Well, where I was standing from I mean, he was kind of at a blocking		
- 1	I and the second se		

1	position where I couldn't fully see where his hands are, but I knew his hands were
2	on his pockets.
3	MS. MERCER: No further questions.
4	THE COURT: Any further questions, Mr. Pigeon.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Nope. That should do it, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You can go.
7	Oh, wait. Jury, do you have any questions of this witness? Not seeing
8	any hands. Thank you. You can go.
9	Call your next witness, State.
10	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Jeri Fischer.
11	JERI FISCHER
12	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
13	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name.
14	THE WITNESS: Jeri Fischer. It's J-E-R-I. Last name is F-I-S-C-H-E-R.
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
16	BY MR. SCHIFALACQUA:
17	Q Ma'am, how are you employed?
18	A I own a CJ's Mini Mart and Sinclair station.
19	Q Okay. And you're the actual owner of that business?
20	A Yes, I am.
21	Q Where's that located?
22	A We're at 4030 West Charleston.
23	Q Okay.
24	THE COURT: Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?
25	THE WITNESS: Las Vegas Yes. Las Vegas, Nevada.

1	A	Absolutely.
2	Q	Now how long is it saved?
3	A	It's saved for two weeks.
4	Q	So after two weeks is it redubbed over?
5	Α	Redubs. Correct.
6	Q	I want to talk to you about May of last year, 2013. You were still the
7	owner of C	J's at that time. Right?
8	A	Yes. I was
9	Q	Okay. And did there become an incident that John Bryant brought to
10	your attention?	
11	A	Yes, there was.
12	Q	And is Mr. Bryant the gentleman who was just in the court a moment
13	ago?	
14	A	Yes, he was.
15	Q	And is he an employee of yours?
16	A	Yes, he is.
17	Q	What shift was he working during that time?
18	A	He was working first shift.
19	Q	Okay. When does that start?
20	A	It starts at 7:00 a.m.
21	Q	And without getting into what he told you, did he bring to you attention
22	that there m	nay have been an issue with a gentleman in the store
23	A	Yes, he
24	Q	during the last couple days?
25	A	Yes, he did.

	(I	
1	Q	So you were there in the back?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. And that was from May 17th, 2013, from your store. Is that right?
4	Α	That's correct.
5	Q	And do you know Carrows Carrows?
6	Α	Just from seeing her a few times, but I didn't know her by name. John
7	did because	e he was there earlier
8	Q	I see.
9	A	when she went to school.
10	Q	Do you have your own office there?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And is that where you typically work out of?
13	Α	Yes.
14	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: If I could approach your clerk, one moment.
15		May I approach, Your Honor.
16	THE	COURT: Yes.
17	BY MR. SC	HIFALACQUA:
18	Q	I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit
19	Number 3.	And just see if you recognize this picture and this store?
20	A	Yes, I do.
21	Q	And what is that?
22	A	That's the picture of Mr. Pigeon entering the store. And we had the
23	time and da	te of it. And I had actually stopped the camera on that so that Troy
4	could take t	he picture to the office.
5	Q	I see. Does this screenshot from the video is the video from the

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't believe so. It's nice to hear from you, ma'am		
2	Nice to see you. But I have no questions, Your Honor.		
3	THE COURT: Thank you. Jury have any questions of this witness?		
4	Thank you, ma'am. You're free to go. Thank you.		
5	Call your next witness.		
6	MS. MERCER: Your Honor, the State calls Officer Troy Givens.		
7	OFFICER TROY GIVENS		
8	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]		
9	THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your full name for the		
10	record.		
11	THE WITNESS: Troy Givens. T-R-O-Y.		
12	Last name, G-I-V, as in Victor, E-N-S.		
13	MS. MERCER: May I proceed, Your Honor?		
14	THE COURT: Yes.		
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE		
16	Q Sir, where are you currently employed?		
17	A I'm currently employed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police		
18	Department.		
19	Q In what capacity?		
20	A Patrol.		
21	Q How long have you been employed with Metro?		
22	A 16 years.		
23	Q And as a patrol officer, are you assigned to as what's referred to as an		
24	Area Command?		
25	A Yes.		

MS. MERCER: Your Honor, permission to publish State's Exhibit 3.		
THE COURT: Have you shown that to the Defendant?		
MS. MERCER: It's previously been admitted.		
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.		
THE COURT: All right.		
MS. MERCER: May I publish, Your Honor?		
THE COURT: Yes.		
BY MS. MERCER:		
Q	Officer Givens, is this the still photograph of the suspect that you	
obtained when you reviewed that surveillance footage		
Α	Yes.	
Q	at CJ's? And it's the photograph that you provided to Detective	
Lafreniere?		
A	Yes.	
Q	How do you provide it him?	
A	I took a picture of it on my iPad, and then I e-mailed it to my work	
e-mail, and e-mailed to La Detective Lafreniere		
Q	Okay. So you didn't have any personal contact with Lafreniere prior to	
that?		
А	No.	
Q	Do you know whether or not he responded?	
A	After I called, he said he was going to try to come out.	
Q	Okay.	
MS. MERCER: Court's indulgence, Your Honor.		
	No further questions.	
	TH MATH MATH MATH MATH MATH MATH MATH MA	

1	THE COURT: Do you have any questions of this witness, Mr. Pigeon?		
2	THE DEFENDANT: Just a couple, Your Honor.		
3	THE COURT: Okay.		
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	BY THE DEFENDANT:		
6	Q	Officer Givens, you never saw me in person, correct? You did not	
7	make the arrest?		
8	Α	No, I did not.	
9	Q	All right. That's all I wanted to clarify. And you were just an officer who	
10	was reporting the crime for the store.		
11	Α	That is correct.	
12	Q	Of course it's not really a crime, it was more a concern. Wouldn't you	
13	say?		
14	A	Yes. I would say a concern.	
15	Q	All right. And were you a police officer before you were in Las Vegas?	
16	A	No.	
17	Q	All right. Just curious.	
18		You from Las Vegas originally?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	All right.	
21	THE	DEFENDANT: I think that's good enough, Your Honor. Thank you. I	
22	appreciate that, Mr. Givens.		
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have any further questions, State?		
24	MS. MERCER: No, Your Honor.		
25	THE COURT: Jury have any questions? Write it down and you're seat		

1	number 7, 8, 9, 10, 11.
2	EXAMINATION BY COURT [JURY QUESTIONS]
3	BY THE COURT:
4	Q Did you attempt to copy the video footage? Or was that another
5	officer?
6	A I did not make an attempt to make a copy.
7	Q You don't know.
8	A No. I don't know.
9	Q Okay.
10	THE COURT: Any questions based upon that question, State?
11	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Nothing further, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, Officer. You can go.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
17	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Kathryn Hernandez.
18	KATHRYN HERNANDEZ
19	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state and spell your full name.
21	THE WITNESS: My name is Kathryn Hernandez. K-A-T-H-R-Y-N,
22	H-E-R-N-A-N-D-E-Z.
23	THE COURT: Go ahead.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
25	BY MR. SCHIFALACOUA:

1	Q	Ms. Hernandez, do you live here in Las Vegas?
2	A	Yes, I do.
3	Q	And how long have you lived here?
4	Α	I was born here.
5	Q	Do you know a young lady by the name of C
6	A	Yes, I do.
7	Q	And who is Carrent?
8	Α	She's actually my granddaughter. But I have custody of her.
9	Q	Okay. Have you raised Carrier?
10	Α	Yes. Since she was born.
11	Q	Does she refer to you as her grandmother or her
12	A	Mom.
13	Q	mother?
14	Α	Mom.
15	Q	And where were you living in May of 2013? So a year ago in May?
16	A	That was at 925 Sierra Vista Drive.
17	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, may I approach?
18	THE	COURT: Yes.
19	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you.
20	BY MR. SC	CHIFALACQUA:
21	Q	I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit
22	Number 2.	I'll show you an overhead map. Do you see it marked here at 925 Sierra
23	Vista?	
24	A	Right.
25	Q	And is that the location you were living at the time?
- 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Q	Okay. Was that the downtown terminal just a few
2	Α	Right.
3	Q	blocks from here?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	So she'd take two busses to get to school in the morning?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Same coming home?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And do you remember what about time she starts school when she was
10	a seventh	grader?
11	A	She started at like 7:20-something. But she would get up early and
12	catch the b	ous. Like 6-something.
13	Q	So sometime before 8:00 she starts. Right?
14	Α	Oh yeah.
15	Q	Now, when she would take the bus to school, would she travel by
16	herself?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Did she have a bus pass?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	At the time, going to May 17 th of last year, did you have any type of a
21	police office	er or a police detective call you about C
22	A	Yes, he did.
23	Q	Okay. I'm not going to get into everything he said to you, but do you
24	did you hav	ve a conversation about C perhaps being a victim of a crime?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Did you at the time, did you know anyone by the name of Christophe
2	Pigeon?	
3	Α	No.
4	Q	And to be clear, at the time, the gentleman to my left here in the black
5	plaid shirt,	did you know him?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Is he in anyway affiliated with your family?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Did you ever give him any type of permission to speak, or touch, or tak
10	C	anywhere?
11	A	No.
12	Q	And did you ever give C permission to hang around with him or
13	hang out with him in any way?	
14	Α	Not at all.
15	Q	And you did not give permission in this case to him
16	A	No.
17	Q	or to her. Is that correct?
18	A	No.
19	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Judge. I pass the witness.
20	THE	COURT: Do you have any questions of this witness, sir?
21		CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY THE D	EFENDANT:
23	Q	Hello, Ms. Hernandez, it's nice to meet you. I'm Christopher Pigeon. I
24	apologize.	I didn't mean to cause your family or your daughter that much trouble.
25	THE	COURT: Okay. We don't need to testify.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, understood.
2	THE COURT: You need to ask a question.
3	THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
4	BY THE DEFENDANT:
5	Q Was C ever seemingly upset about seeing me for the couple of
6	days that's claimed that she saw me?
7	A Of course.
8	Q She was a little upset?
9	A Oh yeah.
10	Q All right. Well, I apologize for that as well. I mean, she still was able to
11	do homework and stuff. Right? Of course they don't have much homework in the
12	seventh grade. I guess that's it. I just wanted to apologize and ask if she felt that
13	badly at times. I don't think she felt too badly. I don't think she
14	THE COURT: Okay. Now, don't testify. Just ask questions.
15	THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well, I wanted her specifically to hear that if
16	that's okay.
17	THE COURT: All right.
8	BY THE DEFENDANT:
9	Q I just thought she enjoyed my company some, but apparently she was
20	slightly emotional that one day. That's all I'll say, but thank you very much. I
21	appreciate it. It's nice meeting you.
22	THE COURT: Any further questions of the State?
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
24	BY MR. SCHIFALACQUA:
5	Q Ms. Hernandez, you said that she was upset afterwards. Could you

1	A	Hyde Park.
2	Q	And is that a magnet school?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	What kind of magnet school?
5	A	Math and science.
6	Q	Did you also attend Hyde Park for sixth grade?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	And did you attend it this last year for eighth grade?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Where is Hyde Park Middle School?
11	A	Valley View and Charleston.
12	Q	How did you get there back in May of 2013?
13	A	The bus. The public bus.
14	Q	The city bus?
15	A	Yeah.
16	Q	Back in May of 2013 you were living on Sierra Vista.
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Where would you catch the bus at home?
19	A	The streets?
20	Q	Was it on Sierra Vista or was it on a different street?
21	A	It was close to Twain.
22	Q	And when you would catch the bus in front of your home, about what
23	time would	you catch the bus?
24	A	About 6:39.
25	Q	6:39?

	TI.	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	
	A	Is that in the morning? Yes.
3		
4	Q	When you would get on that bus, where would that bus take you?
5	A	To the transit center.
6	Q	Downtown?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	And when you got off the bus in downtown, would you get on a different
9	bus?	
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Where did that bus take you?
12	Α	To the school.
13	Q	Where was the bus stop?
14	A	Valley View and Charleston.
15	Q	Okay. Back in May of 2013, were you living with your grandmother?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	And that's Kathryn Hernandez?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	She's also raised you. Correct?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	When you were riding the bus to school back in May of 2013, were you
22	riding the b	ous alone or did you have a friend or family member with you?
23	A	Alone.
24	Q	I want to direct your attention to around May 15th of 2013. At that time,
25	did you not	ice someone that caused you to become uncomfortable?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And was the first time that you noticed him on the 15th? Or was it
3	earlier?	
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	It was the 15 th ?
6	A	[Nods head yes].
7	Q	Where did you first notice him?
8	A	The transit center downtown.
9	Q	Downtown? Where was he when you noticed him?
10	A	The inside the transit center.
11	Q	What made you notice him on the 15 th ?
12	A	I don't know. I just noticed him.
13	Q	Was he looking at you?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Did it make you uncomfortable?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	When you noticed him, did you also notice him getting on the same bus
18	as you?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Where did he get off the bus?
21	A	The same stop.
22	Q	The same stop as you got off?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Back in May of 2013, were you in the habit of going to a place called
25	CJ's Mini I	Mart before school?

1		Q	And that's kind of at the intersection of Charleston and Valley View.
2	Corre	ect?	
3		Α	Yes.
4		Q	Do you see that man in the courtroom today, Carrell?
5		Α	Yes.
6		Q	Could you please point to him and describe an article of clothing that
7	he's \	wearin	g today?
8		Α	[Points at Defendant]. A plaid shirt.
9		THE	COURT: The record will reflect she's identified the Defendant.
10		MS. I	MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	BY M	S. ME	RCER:
12		Q	Did you know this man prior to May 15th of 2013?
13		Α	No.
14		Q	When he got on the bus with you on May 15th of 2013, did you notice
15	where	e he sa	at?
16		Α	It was on the bottom floor.
17		Q	And where did you sit?
18		Α	The top. I'm pretty sure.
19		Q	So, you were in completely separate sections of the bus?
20		Α	Yes.
21		Q	So, you would not have had occasion to strike up a conversation with
22	him?		
23		Α	No.
24		Q	When you noticed that he got off at the same bus stop as you at
25	Charle	eston a	and Valley View, did you have any sort of conversation with him at that

1	point?	
2	Α	No.
3	Q	Were you doing anything to initiate any sort of contact with him?
4	A	No.
5	Q	When he followed you into the store, could you tell what he was doing
6	inside of th	ne store?
7	A	I think he bought something.
8	Q	Did he continue to look at you while you were in the store?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Did it continue to make you uncomfortable?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	Did he ever say anything to you when you were inside of the store on
13	the 15 th ?	
14	A	No.
15	Q	Did you purchase anything inside of the store on the 15 th ?
16	A	Yeah.
17	Q	Do you remember what you purchased?
18	A	I think I got a pack of gum. Yeah.
19	Q	After you bought the pack of gum, did you immediately leave the store
20	and head t	owards school?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Did you notice whether or not the Defendant followed you out of the
23	store?	
24	Α	I wasn't sure because the bell rang before I got there.
25	Q	Okay. So you could hear the bell ringing as you were walking to
- 1	I	

1	school?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	And when you heard that bell ring, did you get concerned that you were
4	going to be	e late for school?
5	Α	Yeah. I was in a rush.
6	Q	So, you just started running to class; didn't really attention to what was
7	behind you	u?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Did you see him after school that day?
10	Α	No.
11	Q	Did you think it was odd that the Defendant got off at the same bus stop
12	as you and	that he followed you to the store?
13	Α	Yeah.
14	Q	Were you did it cause you to be worried? Or concerned?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	I want to fast forward to the following day, which would have been
17	Thursday,	May 16 th .
18	Α	Uh-huh. Yeah.
19	Q	Did you see him again on that date?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Where did you see him?
22	A	Same place. At the transit center.
23	Q	Downtown?
24	A	Downtown.
25	Q	And when you saw him at the transit center downtown, was he inside
- 1	1	,

1	again or was he outside?		
2	Α	Inside.	
3	Q	Inside of the transit center?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Did you notice him looking at you again on the 16th on Thursday?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	When he was looking at you, was he staring at you or would he just	
8	glance in y	our direction for a few seconds and then look away and then glance back	
9	for a few m	nore seconds?	
10	A	Glance.	
11	Q	Did you when you saw him at the bus station on the 16th, did you ever	
12	try to strike	up a conversation with him?	
13	A	No.	
14	Q	Were you trying to stay clear of him?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. You got to speak up. You can't shake your head.		
17	Okay?		
18	THE	WITNESS: Okay.	
19	BY MS. MERCER:		
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	You were trying to stay clear of him?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	Because he concerned you?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	Did he once again get on the same bus as you?	

Volume I - Page 120

1	leave me a	alone and then I went to the CJ's Mini Mart.
2	Q	Okay. Prior to him grabbing your hand kind of, did he do anything to
3	kind of stop	you or get your attention?
4	Α	Oh yeah. He kind of I was walking on the like the staircases and then
5	I tried to go	down and then he blocked me from going.
6	Q	He blocked you on the staircase?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	So did he stand in the staircase then?
9	Α	I think he was on the bottom of the staircase.
10	Q	And I'm sorry. What did he say to you?
11	A	He said well I'm not oh yeah. He said you look nice today and I
12	just kind of	ignored and then I just went.
13	Q	Did you say anything to him?
14	Α	No.
15	Q	At any point when the Defendant was following you and making you
16	uncomfortable, did you ask him to leave you alone?	
17	A	When he did like that against my hand then I told him to leave me
18	alone.	
19	Q	When he grabbed your hand?
20	A	Yeah.
21	Q	Did he leave you alone?
22	A	No. He kept following me to the mini mart.
23	Q	Okay. Did you go to the CJ's Mini Mart because you felt unsafe?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And you knew that there would be people at CJ's?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	When you asked him to leave you alone, and you went towards CJ's
3	Mini Mart,	did you kind of run away from him or were you just walking normally?
4	A	I like I was walking fast and then like yeah. Well, at first I started to
5	run and the	en I just was walking fast.
6	Q	And did he say anything to you as you were trying to get away from
7	him?	
8	A	I don't recall.
9	Q	Once you got to CJ's, did you actually go inside CJ's?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Did the Defendant also enter CJ's?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Could you see where he was inside of CJ's?
14	Α	He sat at the machines. Like the
15	Q	The slot machines.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	When he seated at the slot machines, could you see in what direction
18	he was looking?	
19	A	No, I didn't really pay attention to him.
20	Q	Were you trying to ignore him?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Did you end up making a purchase that day?
23	A	Yes. I think I got Gatorades.
24	Q	And then did you leave the store immediately after that?
25	A	Well, I put them in my backpack and then yeah, I left.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And
3	A	Well, the morning. Not the afternoon.
4	Q	Okay. I'm just talking about the afternoon of the 16th. Did you ever see
5	him outsid	e of the school after that?
6	Α	No.
7	Q	Okay. Now I'm going ahead to May 17th. You saw him again?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Where did you see him on the 17th?
10	Α	Same place. The transit center downtown.
11	Q	Did he get on the same bus as you again?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	When you saw him at the transit center, did you have any sort of
14	conversation	on with him?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Were you trying to ignore him again?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Where did you ride on the bus that day?
19	Α	Like where to?
20	Q	On the top or bottom?
21	A	I think that day I stood at the bottom because there was no seats at the
22	top.	
23	Q	The bus was full?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Where did he sit?

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	Does that refresh your recollection?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And what was he wearing that day?
5	Α	An American flag t-shirt with tan khaki's and black tennis shoes.
6	Q	And was he wearing any glasses that day?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	What kind of glasses?
9	A	Circular prescription.
10	Q	Okay. When you got off the bus that day did you go back to CJ's
11	again?	
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	And do you remember having a conversation with the clerk that was
14	working the	e cash register that morning?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	What did he ask you?
17	Α	He asked if it was my dad and I said no.
18	Q	While you were inside of the store that morning, did the Defendant say
19	anything to you?	
20	A	He said you look nice again.
21	Q	When he said that to you what did you do?
22	A	I ignored him and I walked away.
23	Q	When you walked away, did you walk out of the store or to a different
24	part of the	store?
25	A	I well, we have to put our backpacks down. So I walked over to

1	where we put the backpacks and I put my stuff in my backpack and then I left.		
2	Q	Okay. When you say that you have to put your backpacks down, you	
3	have to pu	t them by the front door of the business?	
4	Α	Yes.	
5	Q	And that's just so that people don't shoplift?	
6	Α	Yes.	
7	Q	And so you made a purchase and you went to put your items in your	
8	backpack and then you left the store?		
9	Α	Yes.	
10	Q	Did you notice whether or the not the Defendant followed you out of the	
11	store that r	morning?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Did he follow you?	
14	A	Yes. I'm pretty sure he did.	
15	Q	Were you walking pretty quickly to get to school?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Were you afraid?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	Were you creeped out?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Later on that day did a police officer visit you at school?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	And that's when you provided him that written voluntary statement?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	Did you also have contact with a Detective Lafreniere later on?	

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And you did a tape recorded statement that time. Correct?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And these three days that you saw the Defendant in the morning at th
5	bus station,	you never saw him after school. Correct?
6	A	No.
7	Q	And you never asked him to walk you to school or walk you to the gas
8	station or a	nything like that. Correct?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Did you do your best to avoid him?
11	A	Yes.
12	MS. N	MERCER: Court's indulgence.
13		I have no further questions, Your Honor.
14	THE	COURT: Do you have any questions of this witness?
15	THE	DEFENDANT: Oh, of course, Your Honor.
16	THE	COURT: You can sit down. Please sit.
17	THE	DEFENDANT: Oh.
18	THE	COURT: Thank you.
19		CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY THE DE	FENDANT:
21	Q	Hello, Caused you any
22	emotional tr	ouble. You look nice, of course.
23	THE	COURT: That's testifying.
24	THE	DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
5	THE	COURT: That is not asking a question

25

1	there right away. I came a little bit later. Do you think it's possible that I was just		
2	trying to make sure you were okay?		
3	A	No.	
4	Q	I was just trying to make sure you were okay. But that's all I'll say.	
5	Thank you.	I appreciate your time, Carrelle. It's nice to see you.	
6	THE	DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.	
7	THE	COURT: State have any questions?	
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE		
9	BY MS. ME	RCER:	
10	Q	Carry, you testified that you were afraid for your safety.	
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	Were you afraid that he might try to kidnap you?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	Were you afraid that he might try to harm you?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	Did you think that it was odd that a 40-something year old man was	
17	trying to hit on you at 12 years old?		
18	Α	Yes.	
19	MS. I	MERCER: No further questions.	
20	THE DEFENDANT: I never said there is one more thing, C		
21		RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
22	BY THE DE	FENDANT:	
23	Q	I never said anything inappropriate to you, did I?	
24	A	Well it weirded me out.	
25	Q	Weirded you out. All right. But I just said you looked beautiful and it	

1	was nice to see you.		
2		One more thing. I never you never saw me with anything to transport	
3	you with or	anything. Correct? It was just me walking with you and	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	It was just the bus. And I didn't have any other implements with me.	
6	My hands	were empty. I mean, I had nothing in my hands.	
7	Α	Yes.	
8	Q	All right. Thank you. I appreciate that.	
9		EXAMINATION BY COURT [JURY QUESTIONS]	
10	BY THE COURT:		
11	Q	Were there any other preteens around before or after school at CJ's?	
12	A	After school. Yes.	
13	THE COURT: Any questions by the State?		
14	MS. MERCER: No, Your Honor.		
15	THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Pigeon?		
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm okay.		
17	THE	COURT: Based on that question.	
18	THE	DEFENDANT: Pardon me?	
19	THE	COURT: Based on that question, do you have any	
20	THE	DEFENDANT: No.	
21	THE	COURT: questions?	
22	THE DEFENDANT: I have nothing further.		
23	BY THE COURT:		
24	Q	Did you have a cell phone at the time of those in those days?	
25	Α	Yes.	

the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Take five minutes, please.

24

25

THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and start the -- have your detective

25

1	come. We'll examine the detective when he gets here. We'll play the tape. This wi
2	be marked, not as an exhibit, but as a court exhibit, Mr. Pigeon. So that it's not
3	disclosed to the jury. The statement. It's a it's not going to be disclosed to the
4	jury.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Which statement is that now?
6	THE COURT: The printed statement of where the made the redactions,
7	where they took out stuff about your past.
8	THE DEFENDANT: All right. That's Lafreniere's statement?
9	THE COURT: Your I don't
10	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
13	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: With you. Correct.
14	THE COURT: Your statement to him.
15	THE DEFENDANT: They'll
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. They've already heard some of that though, haven't
18	they?
19	MS. MERCER: No. They heard it in opening statements. That's not
20	evidence.
21	THE COURT: They
22	THE DEFENDANT: That's what I mean.
23	THE COURT: That's not
24	THE DEFENDANT: Opening statement.
5	THE COURT: That's not in evidence. They have to prove it now.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Well, can we say that in your instruction?
2	THE COURT: Yeah. We can work through that.
3	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
4	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Okay, Judge. So, hopefully I'll call this one custodial
5	we'll start the tape, but the detective will be here afterwards to talk about the whole
6	interview and have be subject to cross.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. MERCER: Your Honor, do you mind if I just check the hallway to see if
9	she's here yet?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	[Pause in proceedings]
12	MS. MERCER: She's here, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Are we ready?
14	MS. MERCER: I believe so.
15	THE COURT: Where is Schifalacqua?
16	MS. MERCER: He's with the wit
17	THE COURT: Okay. Go
18	MS. MERCER: He's just with the witness, giving her something.
19	THE COURT: Bring the jury in, Tom.
20	[In the presence of the jury]
21	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please
22	And be seated.
23	THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
24	MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor.
5 II	THE COLIRT: All right. Call your payt witness

1	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Cecilia Gallarzo.		
2	CECILIA GALLARZO		
3	THE	CLERK: Please state and spell your full name.	
4	THE	WITNESS: Cecilia Gallarzo.	
5	THE	CLERK: Please spell it.	
6	THE	WITNESS: Oh. C-E-C-I-L-I-A, G-A-L-L-A-R-Z-O.	
7	THE	COURT: Go ahead.	
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE	
9	BY MR. SC	CHIFALACQUA:	
10	Q	Ma'am, how are you employed?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	And how are you employed? Where do you work?	
13	A	Oh, how are you? I'm employed at Fitness for \$10. It's a	
14	Q	What is Fitness for \$10?	
15	A	It's a gym just down the street on Sahara.	
16	Q	Okay. So a workout facility. Treadmills, weights, all that type of stuff.	
17	Right?		
18	A	Correct.	
19	Q	Okay. Do you have locker rooms there?	
20	A	Yes, we do.	
21	Q	And do you have showers there as well?	
22	A	Yes, we do.	
23	Q	Okay. And in the locker rooms, are there actual lockers?	
24	A	Yes, there are.	
25	Q	Okay. Tell me about that.	

	II'		
1	THE COL	URT: Yes.	
2	BY MR. SCHIFALACQUA:		
3	Q An	d I'm just showing you three pages here. Do you recognize this	
4	document?		
5	A Ye	S.	
6	Q Wh	nat is this?	
7	A Th	at's our membership agreement. It's the copy we hand out to the	
8	members or e-r	mail them.	
9	Q Ok	ay. And is the one that's been filled out by a member?	
10	A We	e do it electronically. They just digitally sign.	
11	Q Ise	ee. And is this a fair and accurate copy of an application that was	
12	submitted with y	your company?	
13	A Co	rrect.	
14	MR. SCH	IFALACQUA: Okay. I'd ask for the admission of State's Proposed	
15	33.		
16	THE COL	JRT: Objection? Be admitted.	
17		[STATE'S EXHIBIT 33 ADMITTED]	
18	MR. SCH	IFALACQUA: Thank you, Your Honor.	
19	BY MR. SCHIF	ALACQUA:	
20	Q Let	's put it on the screen so everybody can see. So this is your logo,	
21	kind of your title up there. Right? Fitness for \$10.		
22	A Co	rrect.	
23	Q And	d your address?	
24	A Yes	3.	
25	Q 472	20 West Sahara, Las Vegas, Nevada. Right?	

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Okay. And who is the member who signed up here?
3	Α	Christopher Pigeon.
4	Q	And does it say how it was done? What does this source mean I
5	guess?	
6	Α	That's just how they heard about us.
7	Q	And it's got a date of birth on here. Is that right?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Date of birth. What is it?
10	A	Oh. It's zoomed out. I can't read it.
11	Q	It's not on there?
12	A	No. It's zoomed in too far.
13	Q	Oh, okay. Can you you know what, you can step down actually and
14	maybe just	look on the TV here.
15	A	Oh.
16	Q	What does it say?
17	Α	[Indiscernible]
18	Q	Okay. Where my finger is here
19	A	Oh.
20	Q	Is that it?
21	A	August 31st, 1962.
22	Q	Okay. All right. I thought I was losing my mind there. Okay.
23	A	I was looking at the different part.
24	Q	Okay. Is that the birth date and that type of thing, is that provided by
25	the member	r when they're signing up?
- 11		

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. That's something that you come up with. Is that a no?
3	A	No. Sorry.
4	Q	This is probably obvious, but the dues are \$10 a month. Right?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. And this started, am I right, April 5th of 2013?
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	Okay. So this individual would have been a member from April on, until
9	the memb	pership ended. Is that right?
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	Okay. But was a membership in April and May. Right?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Okay. Were you present when the police came and did a search
14	warrant or	n a locker in connection with this case?
15	A	Yes, I was.
16	Q	Okay. You were actually working there?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And did you help the police in doing that? Or at least pointing
19	out where	this was?
20	A	Yeah. I just pointed out the locker room towards to them.
21	Q	Was it the men's or the woman's?
22	A	The men's.
23	Q	And then the police took it from there?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Okay.

1	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Your Honor. I pass the witness.
2	THE	COURT: Do you have any questions of this witness?
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY THE D	EFENDANT:
5	Q	Nice to see you, Cecilia.
6	A	Hi.
7	Q	Hi. I exercised there regularly for about a month and a half. Correct?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Or two months. Maybe it was three months, almost.
10	A	Around there I think.
11	Q	Somewhere in there.
12	A	Yeah.
13	Q	I usually use the lockers. Right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you didn't know that for certain, but perhaps the fitness people
16	knew that.	Do you still have my lock my backpack?
17	A	No.
18	Q	No. Did the police take that?
19	Α	I'm not certain.
20	Q	All right. Thank you very much. I appreciate it. That's all I have, Your
21	Honor.	
22		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
23	BY MR. SC	CHIFALACQUA:
24	Q	If a lock is left on overnight, is it immediately cut or does it take a little
25	while to do	something like that?

25

can.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you.

[Tape of Christopher Pigeon's Interview Played]

THE COURT: All right. Let's take a five minute recess. Ladies and gentleman, during this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Take five minutes, please.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. Take five minutes.

[Recess taken at 3:50 p.m.]

[Trial resumed at 3:59 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. Jury ready?

THE MARSHAL: Yeah. They're all back.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's go. Bring them in.

Get me your jury instructions if you can tonight.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Sure.

THE COURT: Get them to him tomorrow morning.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Okay.

THE COURT: Or if you can get them over to him tonight, let him review them.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Sure, Judge.

THE COURT: We'll talk about jury instructions. We'll get the jury --

1	[In the presence of the jury]
2	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
3	THE COURT: to come in a little later so we can do jury instructions. So
4	we'll start at 10:00. That way we can do jury instructions.
5	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
6	THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
7	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness, State.
9	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Jason Lafreniere.
10	THE COURT: Remember to lay a foundation on that
11	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: CD.
13	DETECTIVE JASON LAFRENIERE
14	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name for the record.
15	THE WITNESS: My name is Jason Lafreniere. First name is J-A-S-O-N.
16	Last name, L-A-F-R-E-N-I-E-R-E.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE STATE
18	BY MR. SCHIFALACQUA:
19	Q Sir, how are you employed?
20	A I'm a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
21	Q And are assigned to any particular unit with Metro?
22	A Yes, sir. With the Sexual Assault Unit.
23	Q In the Sexual Assault Unit, does that investigate other crimes than
24	simple sexual assault or what we commonly know as rape?
25	A Yes. That's correct.

pretty well-versed in computers and I asked him if he was able to open it and he was

not either. And from there I -- re-gave it to my -- back to the video analyst.

24

25

	H		
1	Q	Do you see him here at court?	
2	Α	I do. Yes, sir.	
3	Q	Could you identify something he's wearing today and please point to	
4	him?		
5	Α	Yes. [Pointing at the Defendant]. He's the gentleman over here with	
6	a looks li	ke gray and black checkered button-up shirt.	
7	THE	COURT: Record will reflect he's identified the Defendant.	
8	THE	DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'd also like to note that this is not the same	
9	detective the	nat interviewed me.	
10	THE	COURT: Okay. Thank you.	
11	BY MR. SO	CHIFALACQUA:	
12	Q	Did you interview Mr. Pigeon?	
13	A	Yes, sir, I did.	
14	Q	Face to face?	
15	A	Yes, sir.	
16	THE	DEFENDANT: I think I have a better photographic memory than that.	
17	THE	COURT: Okay. Mr. Pigeon, I'm going to ask that you not out have	
18	any outbursts again. You've made your		
19	THE	DEFENDANT: I just disagree with this portion of the questioning.	
20	THE	COURT: You've made your objection. It's noted for the record. Thank	
21	you.		
22	BY MR. SC	HIFALACQUA:	
23	Q	On the first portion of May 15th, could you see anything that the	
24	Defendant was doing after he entered the store after C ?		
25	Α	Yes, sir. On that date I saw the Defendant place his hands in his	

1	pockets an	d pull at his genitals and his groin area while he was staring in the
2	direction of	Carrier .
3	Q	Did he appear taking any doing anything specific?
4	Α	It appeared to me as though he was masturbating.
5	Q	And where was he looking at this time?
6	A	He was always looking in the direction of C Well, I can't say
7	always, but	the majority of the time he was looking in the direction of C
8	Q	And specifically when he was taking those motions towards his
9	genitals?	
10	A	Yes, sir. That's correct.
11	Q	Did Called leave the store?
12	A	Caracter did. Yes.
13	Q	And then how about Mr. Pigeon?
14	A	And he exited the store shortly after as well.
15	Q	Now the following day, Thursday, May 16th, did you see a similar type of
16	event as far	as Casassand Mr. Pigeon going into the store?
17	Α	I saw them yes, enter the store again.
18	Q	Who was first?
19	Α	entered the store first. And shortly after Mr. Pigeon followed.
20	Q	And how about after who left first then?
21	Α	Again, Call left and then Mr. Pigeon left as well.
22	Q	Short in time thereafter.
23	Α	Yes, yes. Very short in time. I believe that that instance thought
24	happened that they both entered and exited the store about 15 minutes later than	
25	the previous day.	

	II .	
1	Q	Still in the morning.
2	Α	Yes, sir. It was.
3	Q	And lastly, on May 17th, did you see Carron enter the store around
4	7:30?	
5	Α	Yes, sir, I did.
6	Q	And anyone enter after her?
7	A	Mr. Pigeon entered almost immediately after.
8	Q	And about ten minutes later do you see C exit?
9	A	Yes, sir. That's correct.
10	Q	And how about Mr. Pigeon?
11	A	And then Mr. Pigeon then exits as well.
12	Q	Can you describe his rate of speed when he was leaving?
13	A	Yes. Mr. Pigeon seemed hurried. Running through the parking lot
14	after. And t	they had outdoor surveillance which I was able to actually able to
15	observe hin	n run across the parking lot in the same direction that Came had left.
16	Q	After you viewed that, where did you go next?
17	A	While I was there viewing the video, I was advised by Hyde Park
8	that by the	eir staff that school was dismissed at about 2:00. So at about 1:30 I
19	just I drov	ve over to the Hyde Park is located hoping to see the Defendant or Mr.
20	Pigeon in th	ne area.
21	Q	And when you got to that location the Hyde Park school, you're
22	driving in a	car?
23	Α	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Okay.
5	Α	Unmarked detective vehicle.

1	Q	And in fact, are you the person on that tape?
2	A	I am.
3	Q	And was there another detective in there as well?
4	Α	Yes, there was. There was a second detective present.
5	Q	Who was that?
6	A	Detective Prichard. Dave Prichard
7	Q	Did you read Mr. Pigeon his Miranda Rights?
8	A	I did. Yes, sir.
9	Q	Okay. And did Mr. Pigeon acknowledge that he understood his rights
10	before you	spoke to him.
11	A	He did. Yes.
12	Q	And that was an audio-recorded interview?
13	Α	That is correct.
14	Q	Okay.
15	MR. S	SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, I'm going to reoffer State's Exhibit 34, which
16	was the inte	rview we just listened to after the testimony.
17	THE	COURT: It'll be admitted.
18		[STATE'S EXHIBIT 34 ADMITTED]
19	BY MR. SCI	HIFALACQUA:
20	Q	The jury just heard the tape and so I'm not going to go through the
21	whole thing.	l just have a couple very brief follow-ups on it.
22	Α	Yes, sir.
23	Q	Did you talk to her whether or not he was following C
24	Α	Yes, I asked him.
25	Q	And what was his general response to that?

	H	
1	locations a	nd saw what you saw.
2	Α	Yes, sir.
3	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Okay. I'd ask that the admission of State's
4	Proposed 4	4 through 32.
5	THE	COURT: You've given those to Mr. Pigeon?
6	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor. He has him in his stack right here
7	THE	COURT: All right. Hearing no objection. They'll be admitted.
8		[STATE'S EXHIBITS 4 THROUGH 32 ADMITTED]
9	THE	DEFENDANT: Yes. That's all right, Your Honor. Although, I think I
10	might be m	issing four of them. Because the officer's in the module took four of my
11	photograph	ns from me.
12	MR.	SCHIFALACQUA: Those are not in here, but I'll put
13	BY MR. SC	CHIFALACQUA:
14	Q	This is Number 4. Is that just that Fitness for \$10, you were saying?
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	Okay. Is there a men's locker room there?
17	A	There is.
18	Q	Is it 5? Is that what we're looking at?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	How did you determine which one belonged to Mr. Pigeon? This is
21	State's 6.	
22	A	Well, I process of elimination. I first went in there, I counted the
23	number of I	ockers. The number of lockers that had some type of mechanism where
24	it could be	affixed or locked. From there I counted the number that actually had key
25	locks on the	em. During my interview with Mr. Pigeon he told me he had the key for

1	Q	And you learn that he was renting a storage unit. Is that correct?
2	Α	Yes, sir.
3	Q	And the warrant covered that as well?
4	Α	It did.
5	Q	Inside this 18, is there a bed in there and some other personal items?
6	Α	Yes, sir.
7	Q	20. Just a different angle of some of the personal items.
8	Α	Yes, sir. Excuse me.
9	Q	Was there some identifying paper work?
10	A	Yes, there was.
11	Q	31. Is that a letter addressed to him?
12	Α	Yes, sir.
13	Q	And 32, an ID with Mr. Pigeon?
14	Α	Yes, sir.
15	Q	On the video that you saw, how long did it appear that the Defendant
16	was mastur	bating?
17	Α	I couldn't give an exact amount of time, I'm sorry. But it was at least it
18	was for mul	tiple a few seconds at least. But I couldn't give an exact amount of
19	time.	
20	Q	Cheyenne and Rancho. How far is that from the downtown bus depot?
21	Do you kno	w?
22	A	I don't know an exact distance. No, sir.
23	Q	Okay. Could it be walked or driven? Do you know? I mean, obviously
24	driven.	
25	A	I'm walking would take an extended amount of time. I would imagine

1	driven or a bus.
2	Q Okay.
3	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Judge. I pass the witness.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any questions of this detective, Mr. Pigeon?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. A few, Your Honor.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY THE DEFENDANT:
8	Q How is it you found out about this incident at all? We had Officer
9	Givens on earlier testify that there was nothing really going on. They were just
10	concerned about the fact that I was following a young girl.
11	A Okay. I was notified by Officer Givens of the situation and kind of the
12	suspiciousness of the situation. And I responded once he called me.
13	Q All right. There's no mention of a masturbation by anyone, except for
14	you. You're the only one that mentioned it. Is there reason for that?
15	A Because it appeared that your were masturbating.
16	Q It's probably an exaggeration though, isn't it?
17	A I do not believe it is. No.
18	Q Would you say it's a lot or just a little?
19	A It's masturbation.
20	Q I'd say there was no masturbation. I'd say you were lying just for the
21	case. And I'd like to state that for the record.
22	A Okay.
23	THE COURT: You're not supposed to testify, but you've already said it.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Exactly.
25	BY THE DECENDANT:

1	to a Class B felony. And then you also arrested me for unlawful contact with a child.
2	Is that correct?
3	A If I I would have to look at my booking paperwork, but I do know
4	that did you say open and gross lewdness? Is that what you said?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'd like to say that this isn't the same
6	detective. I said that earlier and that's what I believe.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you ask a question and don't testify.
8	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
9	BY THE DEFENDANT:
10	Q Well, I think we covered that enough. That's what the original arrest
11	was.
12	THE DEFENDANT: Are you going to agree with me, Mr. Schifalacqua?
13	THE COURT: He's not
14	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I can't
15	THE COURT: witness.
16	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I can't testify to that.
17	THE COURT: He's not a witness. And you got to ask a question. Do you
18	have another question for this detective?
19	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't asked a question. He didn't answer it.
20	THE COURT: Well
21	THE DEFENDANT: He says he doesn't remember.
22	THE WITNESS: I will answer it.
23	THE COURT: He says he doesn't remember.
24	THE DEFENDANT: That makes him a weak witness in my opinion.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you

Q

The point is there is nothing there for them to have added the other

 MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, we have no more further witnesses tonight.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to take our evening recess, ladies and gentleman. You'll be back tomorrow at 10:00. I'm sorry. I have a civil calendar in the morning.

During the recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Tom will tell you where you can park. You get to park across the street now. So.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The jury has left the courtroom. In the morning we'll go -- the reason I put it at 10:00 is so we can go over jury instructions in the morning. Can you have your investigator or somebody take those over -- can he get the jury instructions in the jail?

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yeah. He can have them.

THE COURT: And you -- okay. So make sure --

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yeah. What I will do, Judge, is I will e-mail them to your chambers tonight and my investigator goes home at 5:00, but 8:00 in the morning we'll have them brought over so he can read them before he comes to court.

THE COURT: Okay. They're going to have you over at 8:00, Mr. Pigeon, so you can review the jury instructions.

1	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you, Judge.
2	MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	[Evening recess at 4:33 p.m.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Sucrimy-
24	Brittany Mangelson Independent Transcriber
25	писреписть папастрег

Electronically Filed 01/13/2015 02:56:47 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2 || 3 ||

1

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C290261

Plaintiff, DEPT. VIII

9 || vs.

CHRISTOPHER EDWARD PIGEON,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2014

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JURY TRIAL

DAY 2 - VOLUME II

For the State: ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: PRO SE

RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: BRITTANY MANGELSON, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

Volume II - Page 1

1	TUESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2014 AT 10:07 A.M.
2	
3	[Outside the presence of the jury]
4	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor. Can I
7	THE COURT: Good morning. Have you had enough time to read through the
8	jury instructions?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I disagree with a lot of it.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll go through that, but have you had a chance
11	to read through them?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Almost all of it. Not yet.
13	THE COURT: Why don't you finish reading it right there then?
14	THE DEFENDANT: All right. Can I get a couple of pencils?
15	[Pause in proceedings]
16	THE COURT: All right. Have you had a chance to read through them now,
17	Mr. Pigeon?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm not done.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	THE DEFENDANT: I'll need probably about 20 minutes.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	[Pause in proceedings]
23	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, on one of them I noticed kind of a typo error.
24	Can I go back and print off a better one with your [indiscernible].
25	THE COURT: Go see Alan. Alan can

1	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Okay.
2	THE COURT: He is marvelous on the computer.
3	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: All right. It's just one.
4	THE COURT: I just have to change the first page too. I screwed that up. I've
5	got it written on in ink.
6	[Pause in proceedings]
7	THE COURT: How are we doing, Mr. Pigeon? We're getting close?
8	THE DEFENDANT: I'm going -
9	THE COURT: I just have a jury that's been sitting out there for 40 minutes.
10	THE DEFENDANT: It's going to be a while longer, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	[Pause in proceedings]
13	THE DEFENDANT: You got a statute which dictates what intent is?
14	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Just like defining intent?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Because this is way off this intent
16	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I can look, but I don't think
17	THE DEFENDANT: instruction.
18	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I don't think there is.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Now is not the time to argue that. When you're done
20	reading it
21	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
22	THE COURT: then we will argue it. And you'll put it on the record.
23	THE DEFENDANT: I wasn't arguing. I was asking if he had a specific
24	reference for it.
25	THE COURT: Oh. Perfect. That's a perfect argument. And he can provide

1	that.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
3	THE COURT: You do have the citation numbers on those? On the one set?
4	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I think he was asking on a couple. I'll get those
5	citations right now, Judge.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	Here's how we handle this Mr. Pigeon, is I will read it and number it and
8	if you have an objection, then you make the objection, on the record.
9	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
10	THE COURT: And then we will ask for citations. That's how we do that. But I
11	want you to read and be comfortable with what you've read before we get started.
12	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
13	[Pause in proceedings]
14	THE COURT: Are you ready with jury instructions, Mr. Pigeon?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I need about five or ten more minutes. It's
16	taking a long time, but I got up here late, unfortunately.
17	THE COURT: I understand. I understand.
18	[Pause in proceedings]
19	THE DEFENDANT: Did you find the battery reference?
20	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes. It's Statute Numbers 200.481.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Can we get a copy of that?
22	MS. MERCER: No. We don't have our printer.
23	THE DEFENDANT: I don't think that's sufficient. You're going to have to at
24	least read it to me.
25	MS. MERCER: The Court has the ability to look at the statute itself and

1	determine whether or not we're making accurate representations.
2	THE DEFENDANT: We'll see. We'll talk to the Judge about it I guess.
3	[Pause in proceedings]
4	THE COURT: You wanted to know battery 200.481?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. That's correct, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: NRS 200.481. I'm reading from the battery from the Nevada
7	Revised Statutes, subsection 1: As used in this section, subsection A), Battery
8	means any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person of another.
9	B), Child means a person less than 18 years of age.
10	THE DEFENDANT: What does it say about a child, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: A person less than 18 years of age.
12	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. In here well, we can talk about it when we get to
13	it, but I have a problem with the wording. That's all it says in there?
14	THE COURT: That's it.
15	MS. MERCER: The other part is from Hobbs versus State.
16	THE COURT: Pardon?
17	MS. MERCER: The problem he he wants to argue about it now. I'd prefer
18	he just get through all of his
19	THE COURT: Yeah. I want you to read through, that you understand it.
20	Time to argue
21	THE DEFENDANT: Well this says: Battery means any willful and unlawful
22	use of force.
23	MS. MERCER: Are you done with the instructions? Are you done going
24	through them?
25	THE DEFENDANT: I

MS. MERCER: Because we can argue about them one by one when you're done going through them.

THE DEFENDANT: I have to go -- no, I'm not done because I have to go through these -- all these statute ones real quick. I know the statutes rather well so that's not going to be a major issue.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I understand.

THE DEFENDANT: I have them right -- I have all the statutes here except for battery.

THE COURT: I just read to you the battery instruction -- or the battery law.

THE DEFENDANT: Yep. Right.

THE COURT: We have a jury that's been out there for over an hour waiting for you and I understand. If you want me to have them take a break -- tell me how long you're going to be reading through these.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, you can have them take a break if you want.

THE COURT: Well, I understand I can do what I want. I want you to tell me how long it will be as you read through these.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, the kidnapping one is way off.

THE COURT: Okay. You're arguing about each individual. I want you to have read them and then we'll go through them and number them and you can -- we can argue about them as you go through them. Have you read through all of them?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, give me a few minutes for the kidnapping one and I'll be ready.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I still need like about five minutes.

THE COURT: All right. I'll -- I can give you five minutes.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It's missing part of the statute. It says you have

1

4

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

THE COURT: Okay. Now are you listening to me, Mr. Pigeon? I'm really trying to be patient with you. You've got to listen to me. That's a part of the sentence I just read to you. I'm trying to figure out where your objection starts.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm reading at 200 dash -- I mean, dot 310 here; the statute. It says: A person who willfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, decoys, et cetera. Or carries away a person by any means with the intent to hold, detain, or who holds or detains, the person for ransom, or for reward, or for the purpose of committing sexual assault, extortion or robbery upon the person, or for the purpose of killing the person or inflicting substantial harm -- bodily harm upon the person.

But then it goes on to say: And a person who leads, takes.

Now see what he's done is start after that and. He skipped all that other stuff. That's got to be in there.

THE COURT: All right. Let me --

THE DEFENDANT: It has to be both of those. Not --

THE COURT: No, it doesn't. But let me hear --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it does. According to the statute, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon, just wait a minute. Let me hear from the State.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: First of all, Judge, on this instruction this is just a cut and paste from the indictment. So this is what was read to the jury. I understand his objection may be more with the kidnapping instruction in general. There's two parts to the kidnapping law. One of an adult and that would require one of the predicate felonies to --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: -- you know, carry him away for the purpose of

THE COURT: Next?

as stated. It's aggravated stalking. It will be given over your objection. You've

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 made your objection. Move on to the next one.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. There's no -- when you enter in burglary you have to enter it without permission. Or you have to enter by breaking in. You can't iust walk into a open --

THE COURT: That's the common law.

THE DEFENDANT: You can't just walk into a store. I --

THE COURT: No. That's breaking the plane. That's the common law. You're -- what you're arguing is the common law. There used to have to be a breaking. You had to break in somehow. You don't have to break in anymore. The common law was breaking in. This is an exact definition for burglary. Your record -- your -- you've made the record on burglary. Move on to the next one.

THE DEFENDANT: An open and gross lewdness charge of course.

does not claim there was any masturbation and neither --

THE COURT: Okay. That's --

THE DEFENDANT: -- does anyone else.

THE COURT: That's argument. This is law. Now, of course the jury is the one that decides whether the facts meet. They can believe the officer or they can disregard the officer. But that's your argument. That meets the definition of open and gross lewdness, count 5. Now, move on.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm done with that count, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Jury Instruction Number 4: It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in these instructions to the facts of the case.

Jury Instruction Number 5: Every --

THE DEFENDANT: I don't have 4 yet. Hold on one second. I'm still trying to find it. All -- it is the duty -- rules of law one? To --

THE COURT: I believe that's case law, but --

THE COURT: Yep. You get to argue that.

THE COURT: It was in a public place. It was in that parking lot.

there must exist -- I should -- I would like to include both on union or joint operation

1	of an act forbidden by law beyond the intent. And then and an intent to do that.
2	THE COURT: No. Not beyond the intent. Here's what it is. You have to
3	have an actus reus. You have to have the mens rea. You have to have an act and
4	you have to have the intent to commit the act. That's what that's instructing. That's
5	a general instruction. That's not even a specific instruction. That will be given
6	over
7	THE DEFENDANT: Well, I disagree with the line that says: Intent refers only
8	to the state of mind with which the act was done.
9	That's not enough, I don't think. He has to show some other inclination
10	or some other fact that indicates there was an intent there. These are pretty serious
11	charges and I think that's a little weak.
12	THE COURT: All right. That will be given over the objection of the Defense.
13	THE DEFENDANT: What number is that?
14	THE COURT: 14.
15	THE DEFENDANT: I object to that a lot.
16	THE COURT: Right.
17	15: Defendant is presumed innocent. You want that one given or not?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I object to the line doubt on line 9: Doubt to be
19	reasonable must be actual, not mere possible or speculation.
20	Because I think it weakens it too much.
21	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, this is the statutory definition and the statute
22	says that we cannot give any other definition of reasonable doubt.
23	THE COURT: That has to be given that way. That's
24	THE DEFENDANT: Is that a statute?
25	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I can look it up, but this is

another one. In your deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of

1	would be a gross misdemeanor. So, it's just the sentencing. It's a B if it's for sexual
2	conduct. If it's for just whatever purpose then it would be a gross misdemeanor.
3	THE DEFENDANT: So you don't agree with me, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: I don't have a position. What do you want to do?
5	THE DEFENDANT: I wanted to take off with the intent to engage in sexual
6	conduct.
7	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Like I said, we can't take it off because that's the
8	charge. The Defendant could ask for a less
9	THE DEFENDANT: Well it would have to be changed in the indictment as
10	well and in the
11	THE COURT: No. And it's not going to be changed.
12	THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well then objection overruled, apparently.
13	THE COURT: All right. Make 19 copies of this.
14	All right. Do you have any objections or anything else you would like to
15	put on the record, Mr. Pigeon?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. The State is familiar with Jury Instructions 1 through
18	22?
19	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Do you have any are you satisfied with them?
21	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Do you have any more you wish to propose?
23	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: You've reviewed the verdict form.
25	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I have.

	II
1	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with it?
2	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: And no further objections.
5	THE COURT: Go see if your jury's back yet, Tom.
6	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, the State intends to rest when the jury comes
7	back in.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: We don't have any further witnesses. Mr. Pigeon, I
10	believe, said he would like to testify.
11	THE COURT: Do you still intend to testify, Mr. Pigeon?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Hopefully, briefly.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: I wanted to let him know he the two priors would be
15	subject to cross-examination of the open or gross lewdness. I would not bring in the
16	forgery because
17	THE COURT: Forgery.
18	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: it's outside the ten years.
19	THE COURT: He's already told the jury when I told him not to tell the jury,
20	he's already told them that he's been convicted of those two anyway.
21	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: That is true.
22	THE COURT: He sort of opened the door, but
23	All right. So, they're going to rest.
24	THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to take a restroom break, hopefully, real quick.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Before Tom comes well, he'll come back in. Why

1	don't you take him to the restroom, if you don't mind? Everybody
2	THE DEFENDANT: I'll only be a second.
3	THE COURT: Everybody, if you need to go to the restroom, go to the
4	restroom.
5	[Recess taken at 11:42 a.m.]
6	[Trial resumed at 11:51 a.m.]
7	[Outside the presence of the jury]
8	THE COURT: Once they're admitted into
9	THE DEFENDANT: Well, how about I not make an exhibit and just show
10	them briefly, like this, that I do draw for a living.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	We're waiting for the jury to get back.
15	[Pause in proceedings]
16	THE DEFENDANT: Am I allowed to bring up a case?
17	THE COURT: Where how
18	THE DEFENDANT: During testimony?
19	THE COURT: Huh?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Am I allowed to bring up a case during testimony?
21	THE COURT: What do you want to say?
22	THE DEFENDANT: I want to just reemphasize something that's stated in an
23	instruction.
24	THE COURT: No, no. That's argument. Your testimony should be your
25	testimony, not argument. That you can bring up in argument to the jury when you

```
argue. You kept slipping in arguments in the trial. I -- and you'll notice I let that go.
 1
          THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciated that.
 2
          THE COURT: Well, you need to stick with --
 3
          THE DEFENDANT: Can I mention one thing from Colosimo versus State? It
 4
    talks about when considering someone's guilt in a crime --
 5
          THE COURT: In your arg --
 6
          THE DEFENDANT: -- or the elements of the crime.
 7
          THE COURT: In your argument.
 8
          THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 9
          THE COURT: Not in your testimony.
10
11
          THE DEFENDANT: Oh, okay. Argument being my closing statement.
          THE COURT: Yes.
12
13
          THE DEFENDANT: Okay. [Indiscernible]. That's all right with me.
          THE COURT: Okay. You ready for the jury?
14
15
          THE DEFENDANT: I think I'm ready.
          THE COURT: Bring them in.
16
17
                              [In the presence of the jury]
          THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
18
19
          THE DEFENDANT: Can I testify from here, Your Honor?
          THE COURT: Yeah.
20
          THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
21
          THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
22
          MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Yes, Your Honor.
23
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
         THE COURT: State?
25
```

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, I took a look. All of the exhibits we're intending on offering have been admitted and so at this point State rests.

THE COURT: All right. State's rested their case in chief. Do you have any witnesses to call?

THE DEFENDANT: Just myself, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You'll raise your right hand. You can just sit right there and testify.

CHRISTOPHER E. PIGEON

[Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name for the record.

THE COURT: You can be seated. You don't have to stand.

THE DEFENDANT: Christopher E. Pigeon. That's C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R. Middle initial, E, period. And then the last name's P-I-G-E-O-N.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Pigeon.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

TESTIMONY OF CHRISTOPHER E. PIGEON

BY THE DEFENDANT:

Welcome back, everyone. It's nice to see you this morning. Hopefully everything is fine. I want to start with a brief bio for character. I'm the oldest of five children. I was born in 1962 in Albany, New York. My dad was a courier military officer. He primarily served in the adjutant general core, which is personnel and administration. I moved -- I lived in -- also in Germany, Cape Cod, and Georgia for a long time and then my dad settled finally in El Paso, Texas where he was at Fort Bliss for a good many years.

And that's where I went to school from fourth grade to twelfth grade. I

4 5

8 9

7

11 12

10

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25

was a good student. Graduated number 27 in my class out of 550 students. I got accepted to the University of Notre Dame and received an Army ROTC scholarship -- full scholarship to Notre Dame. I studied business administration there with a concentration in management information systems. And I had a minor in music theory and composition. So I'm also a composer, a business major, and I graduated from there as a distinguished military graduate in 1984.

Then I served four years in the army. At Fort Hood for two years and in downtown Philadelphia with an entrance processing station for new recruits; that was another two years. And I got out of the army in 1988. And while I was still in the army I started attending Drexel University, which is in downtown Philadelphia. I studied architecture there. I actually was in the army for a year and a half while I was attending night school at Drexel in architecture. It's a five year degree. I went on to finish that degree in seven years part-time at night.

It's one of only two architectural degrees you can have at night -- or you can achieve at night -- or take at night. The other one is Boston Architectural College and then -- the other one's in Philadelphia, of course; Drexel University, I didn't quite well there. I knew quite a lot of the professionals in Philadelphia. That's where I conducted my internship. I worked at three architectural firms and one construction management company. I worked on all sorts of projects, including office buildings, a city hall, an airport project, a YMCA fitness facility, and there were a few other lesser projects; some houses. But that's what I did primarily there.

I was married during that time from 1980 -- I graduated in 1984 from Notre Dame. Graduated in 1993 from Drexel University. I was married from 1990 -- 1985 to 1992. We were in Philadelphia for most of that time. A little bit of time in central Texas at Fort Hood. I have three children and their ages are 28, 26,

 and 24. Two boys and -- two girls and a boy. My ex-wife still lives in North Carolina and the kids live there, I think, primarily.

Since then I've worked some, but not extensively. Sort of a very qualified starving artist slash architect, who's had trouble keeping long-term work. Not due to ability. I think it's not due to inability. Due to too much ability. I think once you reach a certain point as an architect -- I think this true of even doctors and accountants. Once you get too valuable you either become a partner in a firm or you start your own firm. And that's not necessarily easy to do because you have to acquire your own clients.

I'm a theoretician. I draw a lot.

THE DEFENDANT: Can I approach the jury, Your Honor, a little bit?

THE COURT: No. You can just show them from there.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I --

THE COURT: That's fine. Have Tom show them. Tom?

BY THE DEFENDANT:

I have almost 300 drawings. These are not going to be admitted as evidence or as an exhibit. But I do draw extensively while I'm locked up. And I do this outside. I draw on the computer on AutoCAD also. This is all for character.

THE MARSHAL: Would you like me to just pass them around or just hold them up?

THE COURT: No. Just show them. Walk in front.

Go ahead.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

I should also mention I played trombone while I was in grade and high school. And started playing the guitar when I was 18 and I'm also a guitar player

and a piano player and like I said, a composer. Primarily concentrating on architecture, however, at the moment. I have worked at other architecture firms. I worked at PSWC Architecture here in Las Vegas for about four or five months. But that was back in 1999. I've interviewed with many firms in town here, but have never actually been hired.

I should also mention I'm a pretty good chess player. I was the best chess player in every module I've been in so far. So I'm considered a master chess player. There are very good chess players in the jail. I have been incarcerated in the jail for these crimes since May of 2013. So I've been locked up for almost 15 months.

THE DEFENDANT: I think, Judge, I'd like to just reshow three exhibits we already have.

THE COURT: Tom.

THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to show -- reshow these three exhibits we already have.

THE COURT: Okay. Take them from him, Tom.

THE DEFENDANT: Can I show them?

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, he'll show them and then you can talk about them. BY THE DEFENDANT:

Yesterday during the tape and during Officer Lafreniere's -- or Detective Lafreniere's testimony, he claims he may have found cum on my shorts. But if you look carefully those shorts are very clean. There's nothing there. I just wanted to mention, he argued that I was homeless, which is true. I was homeless at the time. I am normally on social security since I haven't worked in a while. Social security is for depression and over-achiever syndrome. It's not major disability, but it's minor

disability and I do receive income from it on occasion.

But I was living in this box briefly from March to May. This is my storage box, which I might add I've had since 2001. So I've had it for 13 years. I haven't stayed there that often. Just on occasion when I've been homeless. I have lived in many different apartments. Well, I shouldn't say many. A few different apartments in Las Vegas. Most of them are downtown that I've lived. And the most recent one of course is 200 South 8th Street, which is called the Bargain Motel. I just wanted to point out this is relatively neat and well-kept. So I am a well-organized person.

Briefly, we mentioned I have prior charges at the beginning of this -- at the opening arguments of this trial. Those were in 2002, 2006, 2009, and then again in 2012. I will say all of those if they were my first charges would have been misdemeanors. So they're all misdemeanor lewdness charges. One of them, as I mentioned earlier, was for touching a waitress in the back at Treasure Island Casino. That one was reduced to a misdemeanor.

Another one was for having my hand in my pocket. And then there are two more that are, I think, were very mild. I don't think it was that serious an issue. However, I did spend time in prison. Two years, the once, which I spent mostly in the County Jail. And another time I spent two years and nine months; six months in County Jail and two years and three months in the prison system at both High Desert and Lovelock for that crime.

three days, but I think I actually saw her for longer than that. I stated that in my own interview that I saw her for a couple of months. So we were -- I mean, we were around each other quite a lot. It wasn't something that just happened out of the blue. I just wanted to mention that. We did say hello a couple times.

I want to mention, with respect to -- I was originally arrested for just open and gross lewdness one count, which is originally misdemeanor lewdness; however, they automatically enhance it to a felony, lewdness since I already have a prior charge. But we've established that there are no wit -- there are absolutely no witnesses for that crime. So I don't really think that there's any val -- validity to it. There was a tape that they don't have that they claim they saw something on. And it's only Detective Lafreniere that claimed he saw anything on it. And he just claims I had my hand in my pocket, touching myself. I don't think -- that constitutes hearsay in my opinion and it means there are no witnesses and there's no crime there.

With respect to burglary, you'll -- you will find that there's an instruction, which talks about what burglary is.

THE COURT: Okay. You're arguing now. You're just supposed to say -- I told you, you would have another time to argue.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well, I have to argue at the closing argument then. I'll say that to the members of the jury.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

The other -- I'll just mention the crimes in general. I won't talk specifically. The other crimes of course are burglary, luring children, aggravated stalking, and attempted kidnapping. Those crimes have different elements to them and we have to prove all those elements. And there's not just one aspect of the

crime that has to be there. It has to be all the aspects of the crime that have to be proven. And I don't think you'll find that there's a crime there. I just was very enamored with a young girl, who was probably 12 and a half back then. She's now 13.

I don't normally chase girls who are 12 years old. Normally I chase girls that are waitresses, actually, perhaps. Or sometimes maybe a girl in high school or college. I don't often talk to young girls, but I find this particular girl very nice, bright, interesting. I thought she was a nice specimen. I like her being slimmer. I just sort of fell in the first stages of love with her and was trying to get to know her over the summer. There were only two weeks before school was out so I was really trying to get to -- get her to let me meet her mom or her dad or maybe I could have come over for dinner or something over the summer. It would have been nice.

My intention was to marry her if I could have met her mom and she would have agreed. So I really had good intentions I'd say. I mean, obviously I was somewhat sexually attracted to her. But I didn't exercise any of that formally.

THE COURT: Okay. You're arguing again.

THE DEFENDANT: All right, Your Honor. Noted.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

I will say the charges that I talked about attempted kidnapping, aggravated stalking, luring children, and burglary were added later. Those were not the original arrests. There's somewhat of an argument and dispute about whether or not the original charges are even valid. Including unlawful contact with a child. It's just --

THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon, you're arguing again. You need to not argue to the jury right now. You'll have an opportunity.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

Well, those charges were added later. And the -- I just wanted to point out that the interview you heard on tape yesterday from me was recorded before they added these more serious Class B felonies that we mentioned. So there were just two charges at that point. That interview was conducted under those circumstances. I also want to remind you that C C C didn't complain about me at all. It was the store clerk, John Bryant that said something.

I would also like to add that I've written in the past 15 months -- I've written four motions to drop charges due to improper indictment --

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pigeon, that's argument. You need to tell us --

THE DEFENDANT: Well, that's going to be a long closing sentence, if you're saying that's argument.

THE COURT: That's argument. You can't argue right now. You just tell your story.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, it'll be a longer closing sentence, but I can do that.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. But you have to do when the time comes for that as I've mentioned in the past.

THE DEFENDANT: But that hasn't been established as fact.

THE COURT: That's argument.

THE DEFENDANT: No. Argument would be if I claimed something about it.

THE COURT: Now you're arguing with me. Just --

THE DEFENDANT: Well, that's correct, Your Honor.

Your Honor, does the jury get to review the transcript, thus far, when they deliberate?

THE COURT: No.

	4H	
1	talk to her	for a minute. I told her I loved her and I said I think you're beautiful. That
2	was the se	econd time I said actually it was the first time I'd said I think you're
3	beautiful, l	but I said that twice to her during those three days. She was walking north
4	on Valley	View in the parking lot there and she we had both just gotten off the bus
5	The bus is	right there perhaps only about 20 25 yards away.
6		She walked straight up the steps and then came down took a right
7	down som	e steps and I just walked this way out in front of her at an angle outward
8	and I stopr	ped and she actually kept going a couple of more steps. So I didn't really
9	block her.	I mean, she was able to run. And what she did is after I told her that I
10	reached fo	or her arm right here and she said leave me alone and then she picked up
11	her my hand was there and she just kind of did that with her arm and then she ran	
12	off. So tha	at's how it happened. That was the touch. It was just kind of that.
13	Q	Why did you take the bus route from central station to Charleston and
14	Valley Viev	w?
15	Α	Well, I always I rode the bus with her on purpose. It was to be with
16	her.	
17	Q	Where were you going?
18	A	I walked her to school.
19	Q	Were you only following Carrier?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Do you still love Care ?
22	A	Yes, I do.
23	Q	Were you happy to see her again in Court?
24	A	Yes, I was.
25	Q	Do you hope to see Carata again someday?

State ready?

25

2

MS. MERCER: Yes. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

3

MS. MERCER: Can I get the monitor switched over, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Pardon?

5

4

THE MARSHAL: She's doing it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. MERCER:

Good afternoon, ladies and gentleman. In this case the Defendant committed the six crimes charged in the amended indictment between May 15th and May 17th of 2013. Between those dates he attempted the first degree kidnapping of Committed the aggravated stalking against C Carpenter, he attempted to lure her with the intent to commit to sexual conduct, he committed the crime of burglary, he committed the crime of open and gross

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

lewdness, and he committed unlawful contact with a child. As the Court just explained to you, at this point I'm going to go through

the charges in this case and help you apply the facts of the case to the legal elements. And help you understand why he's guilty of those charges.

The crime of attempt first degree kidnapping. In order for there to be an attempt, you have to find that he had the intent to commit the crime; that he took some act towards the commission of that crime, and he failed to actually complete the crime. Which is why it's an attempt first degree kidnapping versus an actual kidnapping. The elements of kidnapping are that every person who leads, takes, entices, or carries away or detains any minor. Carries away or detains any minor. minor. She is 12 years old.

With the intent to keep, imprison, or confine him from his parents or

The evidence that supports the charges in this case are his conduct. The fact that he followed her for three days straight; May 15th, May 16th, May 17th. On May 17th he blocks her. She tells him to leave me alone. Instead of leaving her alone, he actually accelerates his conduct. He then starts hitting on her in front of people. He becomes more brazen. Waits for her outside of school on a Friday, which is significant because he knew he might lose the opportunity to get her. He knew that she was becoming frightened. She had told him, leave me alone.

He didn't wait for her on any other day of the week except Friday because he knew she would go home to her family that weekend. And what does he do? He actually starts going into the school. And he told you with his own mouth this morning why he went into that school. He went into that school to get C

Detective Lafreniere testified that when he observed the Defendant sitting on that park bench he was giddy, he was excited, his legs were shaking. He -- the Defendant looked excited for his encounter with C that afternoon. He watched to see what he would do and then he entered the school. He told the detective, he told you today that he wanted to marry her. He still wants to marry her. He wants to see her again if he gets out.

Because of all of that conduct and because of the fact that he

1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | tt | 7 | tt | 8 | h

attempted to grab her on the 16th. Because of the fact that -- and the conduct on the 16th I point to because it illustrates what he would have done on the 17th. That coupled with the fact that he waited for her to get out of school; that he went -- made sure that he was back there in time to get her. Made sure that he went into the school so that she couldn't exit the school before he found her. All of that illustrates that when he went to the school on the 17th, he intended to take her. He intended to take her so he could have sex with her. He intended to take her so he could marry her. This 50 year old man. A 12 year old girl.

Aggravated stalking: Willfully or maliciously engaging in a course of conduct, as opposed to accidental. This wasn't accidental. So that element's pretty clear. That would have caused a reasonable person to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, harassed and actually causes those same reactions. And he must have done it with the intent that the person be placed in fear. A course of conduct means a pattern of conduct which consists of a series of acts over time that evidences a continuity of purpose. In others words, in this case his conduct spanned over those three days, which is indicative of his intention. It was a course of conduct as opposed to just one single encounter.

Again, he was 50 years old and she was 12 years old. Any reasonable 12 year old girl who is walking to school, minding her own business would feel threatened, terrorized, all of those things when a 50 year old man follows them not just to the school, but from the bus station to Charleston and Valley View. She tries to get away from him. He follows her into the gas station. He tried to block her. She ran into the gas station. And that's significant because it shows that she actually was placed in fear.

I asked her, why did you run to the gas station when you weren't

planning on going there in the first place? She said, because there were people in 2 there and I knew I would be safe. Some of you asked the guestion why didn't she call the police. She's 12 years old. She's not thinking like an adult. She's not 3 thinking man, this guy might kidnap and do something really bad to me. She's thinking hopefully this guy will get the message that I just gave him, leave me alone 5 and he'll actually leave me alone. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Those are all lies, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Noted your objection. You can argue in a minute.

THE DEFENDANT: I would think you'd calm her down a little bit.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. MERCER:

In addition to the fact that she went to the store to get help, she told you that she was frightened. She told the detective that she was frightened. She told the police officer that was frightened. She is a 12 year old girl. Of course she was frightened by this man following her around and professing his love to her. And when she asks him to leave her alone he -- again -- I'm going to point to this again. he accelerated his conduct. He became more aggressive with her. He began hitting on her in front of the store clerk. It was at that point -- had John Bryant not observed that contact between the two of them, he probably still would have continued to harass her. The police wouldn't have intervened.

The luring a child in this case is very simple. He knowingly contacted a 12 year old girl. He knew she was 12. He told the detective she was 12. He just told you he -- well, I knew she was junior high student. There's by and large very few people in junior high over the age of 13 or 14. She -- he also -- because he told the detective that he knew she was 12, he was very obviously aware that she was

 under 16. And there's very clearly a larger age gap than five years between a 50 year old and 12 year old. He was obviously attempting to lure her away. He told the detective that. He told you all that. I wanted to marry her. I wanted to get her parent's permission, allegedly.

Without the express consent of a parent or guardian. He knew that it was without the consent of a parent or guardian in this case. Because if he actually intended to get the permission from a parent or guardian, he knew what bus she rode. He could have followed her to the house and knocked on the door and said hey, I'd like to date your daughter. Is that okay? He wasn't going to get permission because he knew it wasn't right. A 50 year old man doesn't date a 12 year old girl.

With the intent to engage in sexual conduct. He's already told you he intended to have sex with her. That count's easy.

Burglary is a little bit tricky because a lot of us think burglary is only at a home and there has to be a breaking and entering. But as the instruction tells you, a burglary occurs anytime a person enters a store with the intent to commit a battery or felony. In this case it's specifically alleged that he entered the store with the intent to batter her.

And I'll explain why that's pled that way. Because the grabbing of on the 16th actually constitutes a battery. A battery is any unlawful use of force or violence on the person of another, however slight. So that's why we alleged that because he entered the store with the intent to further engage in conduct with and with the intent to grab her.

He also entered the store with the intent to commit the kidnapping that's alleged in count one. He entered into the store with the intent to commit the luring a minor, which is charged in, I believe, count four. So, that's why he's charged with

burglary in this case and that's why he's guilty of burglary in this case. Because he entered that store with that felonious intent.

And open or gross lewdness. It sounds confusing, but it's really not.

Open is used to modify the term lewdness. It include acts which are committed in a private place of which are committed in an open, as opposed to secret, manner. It includes an act done in an open fashion, clearly intending that the act could be offensive to the victim. The term gross is defined as being indecent, obscene, or vulgar. Lewdness is any act of a sexual nature, which the actor knows is likely to be observed by the victim, who would be affronted by the act.

The test -- the evidence in this case established that on May 15th of 2013, the Defendant entered the store. He makes a purchase, he's watching all along. If you'll recall John Bryant testified that every time the Defendant was in the store, his hands were in his pocket. He makes that purchase and he goes and sits at the slot machine. Detective Lafreniere, a sex assault -- a sex assault detective for, I believe the testimony was about five years, watches the video and can clearly tell what's going on at this point.

The Defendant is masturbating his penis while watching Carried, while sitting at the slot machine. The Defendant, in his interview with the Defendant and in his testimony this morning tried to minimize that conduct and tell you oh, I was just adjusting myself; that never happened. But Detective Lafreniere told you no, he was absolutely masturbating. His hand was on his penis a lot longer than it would have taken to adjust himself.

He was obviously enamored with C He told you during his interview with the detective that his penis would become erect when he'd see her; that he would be aroused by her. So we all know what happened in that store. He

was aroused and he was masturbating because he saw C

Unlawful conduct with a child. It's pretty much the same elements as the luring a child except that it doesn't have the sexual element. It says that a person who willfully and maliciously engages in a course of conduct with a child who's under 16 years of age and at least five years younger than the suspect.

Obviously that age difference is met again and actually causes the child to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, or harassed. Obviously he's guilty of that count.

Classification to the first that she was worried that he might kidnap her; that she was worried that he might kidnap her; that she was worried that he might harm her. He obviously committed that crime.

In light of all of the evidence in this case, in light of the Defendant's testimony in this case, the State is going to ask that you convict the Defendant on all six counts in the amended indictment.

THE COURT: Mr. Pigeon.

THE DEFENDANT: All right, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: You can sit right there and argue your case.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENDANT

BY THE DEFENDANT:

Well, that was awfully harsh closing argument in my opinion. She claimed many things that weren't even mentioned or claimed in the trial; even by questioning. I'd like to mention one thing. The kidnapping charge is actually missing half of the statute. There's an end portion which I objected to and the Judge overruled my objection and decided to leave that out. So there is actually a kidnapping portion -- there are aspects of kidnapping missing from the instruction that you have there. I just wanted to point that out.

I think kidnapping is a pretty serious charge. I had no automobile, no implements. I was out for a jog. She claimed -- the DA claims I went there to kidnap her or to block her again as she put it. However, there was only one block and that was on the first date; on the 15th. And I had just run and had belongings at my fitness club, you know, probably about two or three miles away. I guess it's a mile and a half or two actually.

So, I don't think there's any attempt -- I had no intent to collect a ransom. I had no intent to keep her anyway. I don't have any place I could have kept her. I wasn't well off at the time. I was unemployed. So I didn't really have money for that sort of thing. I don't think there was any intention there to kidnap at all.

She says I plan to see her again on the outside. That's not true. I'm not going to chase her unless they get -- had -- unless they had already given me permission to see her. I won't see her without permission. I -- I mean, I think she's very nice. I still love her some. But I won't see her if I don't have permission.

Keep in mind -- the aggravated stalking charge, if you read the instruction that talks about the statute and the elements of the crime there, it claims that you -- it claims that you can have misdemeanor stalking. There are two types of stalking there. There's misdemeanor stalking and there's aggravated stalking.

Misdemeanor stalking is a penalty up to a year. The aggravated stalking can be up to 15 years. But if you read case law concerning aggravated stalking, there are instances of aggravated stalking where there's guns involved --

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, I have to object. He's really redoing the instructions that have already been settled.

THE COURT: Yeah. Just stay to the instructions as we've said it. You can

argue that you don't meet those -- that law. But you can't bring in new facts. Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: It's not a new fact.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

What I'm saying is there are many, many severe instances of aggravated stalking which aren't even close to this. I mean, maybe you can argue I had a very, very, very minor misdemeanor stalking. But I don't even think I -- I don't even think that's valid, personally. And in order to be aggravated stalking you have to place the person in reasonable fear of death or substantially bodily harm. It's not just bodily harm, it's substantial bodily harm. So it has to be a pretty serious instance. And there was no act that imitated that -- or that approximated that at all.

there was a light touch on her hand. And I want to remind you she never complained to anyone. It was only the store manager that said something to the police officer that walked into the store. So it wasn't immediate. It was some time later. So it wasn't anything urgent at any point. Even though that same store manager had seen me in that store two out of the three days. There was a different store manager for the first day.

She also claims to be weirded out in her original statement, not scared. And she admitted to that on the stand. I want to remind you of that. Also, I asked her -- during her testimony I asked her about whether or not I ever said anything inappropriate to her or whether or not I ever threatened her. And she says no, she never -- I never did that for her. There was never -- never anything inappropriate being stated, never anything claimed. There was never a threat of any kind at all.

The DA argues that blocking her -- I think that was about as mild of

definition as block as you can get because I was probably six feet from the stairs and she came down the stairs. So she had a full six feet between the stairway and where I was at. So it wasn't really blocking. I don't think that constitutes bodily harm or any sort of an attempt to do anything related to kidnapping or aggravated stalking. That's all I have for that one.

Concerning luring children, that's a tough one. It's perhaps the only valid crime they could have added in order to make this case a little more difficult for me as a Defendant and a little more favorable for the DA. So that -- in my opinion, of the five charges that they added at the Grand Jury -- and keep in mind that there are five charges all for this same relative three-group of -- group of three incidents.

In a word, constitute luring children, you have to have -- you have to prove that I intended to transport her somewhere. And at the same time you have to prove that I wanted to do this with the -- without the intent -- well, they -- they did prove that I didn't have consent; however, they have to prove that I had an intent to avoid the consent of the parent or guardian. And I don't think they can prove that. And they have to prove that I had the intent to engage in sexual conduct. You have to prove all three of those elements there. And I don't think any of those apply there.

I mean, I don't think the crime applies because I don't think you can prove even one of those really. Not beyond a reasonable doubt. And there are no comments or no testimony that indicate that it -- any of my actions or any of the situation was severe enough to claim that I intended to transport, or I intended to avoid the consent of the parents, or I intended to engage in sexual conduct.

Burglary I think's extreme. Really what burg -- the burglary charge in this case is the other charges -- a substitute for the other charges. But I don't think

that's a valid charge here because there's really no battery. It does say you have to prove violence or force. And I don't think blocking or the light touch on the hand, which she claimed -- she claims it was a light touch. So did I claim it was a friendly touch in my own interview. I don't think you can claim that there was any substantial -- there was enough force or violence there at all to qualify as burglary.

For open and gross lewdness it says -- they have here: As such it includes acts which are committed in a private place. But that's for a specific crime and I don't think that even applies. It's actually supposed to be in public and in direct view of witnesses. And by witnesses who would be offended. But as I've said earlier, no one claimed that there was any masturbation except someone who claims there was a video. But they have no video, I don't think. I mean, I don't think there even was a video to begin with. I think they just claimed that. That's my opinion however.

Unlawful contact with the child. I think you could argue there was a very mild case of that. That's the misdemeanor. But as -- if there's a second charge of that, it becomes a Class C felony, which is one to six years. It's a misdemeanor now. I mean, you might be able to argue that one and you might be able to argue the very simple misdem -- gross misdemeanor stalking, but I think not both. I think it's one or the other. And in the case of unlawful contact with the child, it says here: Which would cause a reasonable child of like age to feel terrorized, frightened, intimidated, or harassed. Those are all pretty strong words.

I repeat, she does claim she was weirded out in her original statement and later she claims she was scared. I don't think she was too scared. I don't think she seemed too nervous or scared on the stand either. I just really loved the girl some, I mean. You know, as far as first stages of love go. And obviously there's a

little bit of lust and I admit she was a little young. But I have good intentions. I'm a Notre Dame grad and a Catholic by religion and I think I'm a pretty good person.

That leads me to a brief case reference. Colosimo versus State. And Colosimo versus State they --

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Judge, I would object. This is really more case law interpreting so --

THE COURT: I told him he could read it.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Oh, okay, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

In *Colosimo versus State* there are five aspects -- five elements they look at in order for someone to evaluate whether someone might be guilty of luring children. In this instance it was over the internet. So three of those five elements don't apply. But two of those elements I think apply to all crimes, just not luring children.

THE COURT: Is that a Nevada case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 2006.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: It's 142 P. 3d 352.

BY THE DEFENDANT:

It says you have to evaluate the person's character. And keep in mind this is something that applies to a lot of crimes but was originally the luring children crime over the internet. And the other issue is the Defendant's propensity to commit the crime. I think if you look at that, I don't really qualify as being guilty of any of these charges, even the misdemeanors. Even though -- even when you reduce the aggravated stalking to stalking, I think I don't really have a propensity to commit that

crime.

I was just trying to get to know her before the summer. The summer was coming quick and I was kind of eager about getting to know her or something and seeing her over the summer. So I think if you consider my character, which I think is pretty high, my propensity to commit the crime would be almost none, I think; even though you might say I'm questionable even thinking about being around a 12 or even maybe a 15 year old girl. But I -- again, I think she was a wonderful girl. And I enjoyed seeing her.

Keep in mind I was originally indicted for two of the charges, not all six. The interview tape which you heard the other day, that -- I'm going to repeat. I repeated this earlier. The interview date was before I knew that they were going to add the four Class B felonies of attempted kidnapping, aggravated stalking, luring children, and burglary. I only had an open and gross lewdness and unlawful contact with a child. So I was being honest then. So that was heartfelt.

Keep in mind I'm an architect. I've lived -- I didn't mention, but I've lived in Las Vegas since 1999. I did leave for about a year. I went out east and then I came back to Texas and then from Texas came back to here. So I've spent a lot of time here. I read the newspaper. I write letters to the editor. I'm especially interested in things like development and design. I have a lot of opinions about design and architecture. Rather opinionated in that case.

I also enjoy sports. So I -- I spend some time in sport's books, especially on Saturdays. I don't bet a lot, but I like to follow college sports especially. So I enjoy Las Vegas in that sense. I've recently written articles to the UNLV committee that's trying to design a football stadium for UNLV. And I haven't gotten any letters back, but I've been keeping in touch with them and also the

1 || ne

newspaper. I hope to maybe pursue an opportunity to design that football stadium. We'll see. Just thought I'd mention that.

You know, I hope you all enjoyed yourselves here. Consider me -- well, I think we have another trial after this one actually. There's two more counts of something. But thank you for your time and I hope you rule for me. In favor of me. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Rebuttal.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: Thank you.

REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

BY MR. SCHIFALACQUA:

Ladies and gentleman, you've been very patient these last couple of days. If you could just give five minutes then the case will be yours. I appreciate it.

The Defendant talks about that he just didn't have the intent to do these crimes. However, when we're looking at intent, just look at his actions and you also look at what he says. When he says, I didn't intend to kidnap her, that's just not true. Kidnapping a child, the instruction tells you, there doesn't need to be an element of force. It's not like an adult where you have to take someone and put them in the trunk of your car. Could that have happened here? Certainly.

But children is a little different. Children can be manipulated and led away from parents because of their age. The laws are there to protect them. So when we're looking at that count, ladies and gentleman, he says well, I didn't have a car or you know, some type of weapon. That's not needed when we're dealing with kidnapping a child. Leading away a child for an unlawful purpose, that's what a kidnap is and that's what he was doing here on the 17th.

And you know what he was going to try and do because it was getting

1 W 2 N 3 W 4 W 5 G Y

worse. If you look at what happens on the 15th, 16th, and 17th everything kind of notches up a little bit. The 15th we have the following of her and he's masturbating while he's looking at her, but not a ton of interaction. The 16th, the confrontation where he's blocking her, she runs away, leave me alone. And now the 17th we've got her actually -- him actually at the school, going on to school property to get her. You heard him a few minutes ago, I was going to go over to that hallway to find her.

You know, the question I had -- the reason why it's an attempted is because he never finally go there. But the question is simple, does anybody think this was going to end well for Officer ? Of course not. This was not going to end. You heard him say he was going to keep communicating with her; keep contacting her. The conduct was getting worse every day. So we know what his intentions are. We know what he was thinking. He told you that. He's certainly guilty of trying to lead her away for an unlawful purpose, being a sexual relationship.

And the aggravated stalking. He certainly did place her in fear of getting hurt. You heard C. She didn't call the police right away. You probably know why. She's 12. Everybody in this room has been 12 at some point. We don't always think the same way as a child as we do now. Or -- we may call the police now, we may not when we were kids. But that doesn't mean she wasn't scared. Sometimes hindsight's better and certainly she understands the gravity of the situation; how scared she really is of what happened. But she was just trying to deal with it the best she could. But that doesn't mean she wasn't scared for her safety. She certainly was.

You know, the luring. He did try to, again, take her away or lead her away or persuade her to have sex with her, to lead her away from -- without the parental consent. That's all that crime is. Whether or not someone ultimately has

sex, that's not needed to show, just that the intent is to do that. He's certainly guilty of that.

And he talks about the open and gross count that he didn't, you know, masturbate. You know, I'd be the first one to say I would have loved to have showed the video for you. Unfortunately, you heard a little bit of that. It just dubbed over. Those things happened. That being said, ladies and gentleman, you know, crimes happen a lot that aren't on video. They happen behind closed doors. Just look at all the circumstances and you'll know what happened.

You know that he was sexually attracted to her. He said that. He's getting an erection by thinking about her, by looking about -- looking at her. He's trying to have sex with her. He's really going in on that one day for no other purpose than to stare at her. You know what happened. I know we don't have the video, but -- it would be nice, certainly, but it doesn't mean the crime didn't occur. A lot of crimes happen that aren't on tape.

He says that he mean -- meant her no harm and he said that to her while he cross-examined her yesterday. I'd ask you to take a look at that statement and just take a look that it's really not supported by the facts of this case. He's following her, he's chasing her, he's going up to the school to get her. He did mean her harm. He was not going to take no for an answer. He'd still talk to her right now and pursue her if he could. His intent to do -- to mean her harm was there.

You know, the Defendant's representing himself. You're not supposed to take any look into that. He certainly had a right to a lawyer, but it was his choice to give that up. So, you know, I'd ask that you not hold that against anyone in particular and particularly not us, as the prosecutors. That was not certainly our decision just so everyone on the jury knows.

Ladies and gentleman, he tried to have sex with a 12 year old, to start a
relationship with her. He followed her every day to do that. That's what these
crimes are. And it wasn't going to get any better without intervention. And it wasn't
going to stop. We know what his intent was and it was to do each and every crime.
You know, when you got this case originally, you didn't know a lot about it, you didn't
know the facts. He's certainly presumed innocent. But now you have heard all the
facts, you have heard all the evidence, and you know what happened.
All I'm asking you to do is to deliberate, talk amongst yourselves

All I'm asking you to do is to deliberate, talk amongst yourselves.

Whoever's the foreperson, mark guilty on these counts and let the Defendant know that you know he did these crimes. He already knows what he did, Carrier knows what he did, and now you guys do too. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you. Swear the two officers in.

Ladies and gentleman, I can tell you now. 13, Ms. Balingit -- is it Balingit?

JUROR NUMBER 13: Yeah.

THE COURT: And Mr. Eason are alternates. Swear them in.

[The Clerk swore in the officers to take charge of jury during deliberations]

THE COURT: And you'll go with Susanne and Susanne will take you to a room.

The rest of you will pick up your property and go with Tom.

[The jury retired to deliberate at 1:18 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The door's closed. I'm going to have -- feed the jury, but I anticipate that they'll be back within a half hour. Would you keep him here for a half hour? Could you do that?

And that was you had -- in your opening statement you told them you had been

25

convicted of a couple lewdness's after the Court instructed you not to do it. I believe the DA's said don't talk about that. You did it anyway, which that's -- that's problematic when someone represents themselves.

And then you talked about an officer in your module. I don't know if they know what a module is, but they can probably assume it. It took four of your photographs. And then you talked -- twice you mentioned a Grand Jury testimony of the detective. And then you said today -- oh, you did a lot of -- you were referring to your architectural drawings as you're locked up for 15 months. The statement was by the -- that the State played was supposed to be redacted completely. There was one reference to your record. Not saying you had been convicted of anything, but they were familiar with your record.

I think it was harmless error. I don't think it was something that they caught or relied on. If -- I don't know what the verdict is, but I just wanted to put that on the record. And then you told them that you've been locked up for 15 months. You calculated the time since May of 2013. You talked about your prior charges being 2002, 2006, 2009, 2012. And you told them all should have been misdemeanors. You told them you'd been in prison two years. And you talked about your arrest on your priors.

And then in your closing remarks you told them there would be a new trial on a couple other counts, which you weren't supposed to talk about. Now they're aware of it. So, I just wanted to put that on the record that that one reference to your record was after you made comments yourself in your opening statement about your prior convictions. The detective didn't say anything about convictions, just your record; that he knew your record. That should have been --

THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Schifalacqua said something though.

follows:

25

1	THE JURY: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: So say you one, so say you all.
3	[Trial concluded at 3:25 p.m.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	Quilliang-
24	Brittany Mangelson Independent Transcriber
25	independent transcriber