IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 **Electronically Filed** 3 **NECHOLE GARCIA,** Supreme Court Case 4603 \$ 32021 11:32 a.m. 4 Ělizabeth Á. Brown Appellant, Clerk of Supreme Court 5 VS. 6 **EVGENY SHAPIRO** 7 Respondent. 8 APPELLANT'S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 9 10 APPELLANT 1. NAME **OF FILING** THIS CASE APPEAL **STATEMENT:** 11 12 Nechole Garcia 13 2. IDENTIFY THE JUDGE ISSUING THE DECISION, JUDGMENT, 14 OR ORDER APPEALED FROM: 15 The Notice of Entry of the Decision and Order filed on December 16, 2021. 16 17 3. IDENTIFY EACH APPELLANT AND THE NAME AND ADDRESS 18 OF COUNSEL FOR EACH APPELLANT: 19 Appellant: Nechole Garcia 20 21 Appellate Counsel: Molly S. Rosenblum, Esq. Sheila Tajbakhsh, Esq. 22 Rosenblum Allen Law Firm 23 376 East Warm Springs Rd, Ste. 140 Las Vegas Nevada 89119 24 25 District Court Counsel: Molly S. Rosenblum, Esq. 26 Rosenblum Allen Law Firm 27 376 East Warm Springs Rd, Ste. 140

Las Vegas Nevada 89119

4. IDENTIFY EACH RESPONDENT AND THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPELLATE COUNSEL, IF KNOWN, FOR EACH RESPONDENT (IF THE NAME OF A RESPONDENT'S APPELLATE COUNSEL IS UNKNOWN, INDICATE AS MUCH AND PROVIDE THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THAT RESPONDENT'S TRIAL COUNSEL):

Respondent: Evgeny ("Eugene") Shapiro

Appellate Counsel: Unknown

District Court Counsel: Jennifer Isso, Esq.

Isso & Hughes Law Firm

2470 Saint Rose Pkwy Ste 306F

Henderson, NV 89074

5. INDICATE WHETHER ANY ATTORNEY IDENTIFIED ABOVE IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION 3 OR 4 IS NOT LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW IN NEVADA AND, IF SO, WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT GRANTED THAT ATTORNEY PERMISSION TO APPEAR UNDER SCR 42 (ATTACH A COPY OF ANY DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING SUCH PERMISSION):

N/A

6. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT WAS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL IN THE DISTRICT COURT:

Retained counsel.

7. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT IS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL ON APPEAL:

Retained counsel.

8. INDICATE WHETHER APPELLANT WAS GRANTED LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND THE DATE OF ENTRY OF THE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING SUCH LEAVE:

N/A

9. INDICATE THE DATE THE PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED IN THE DISTRICT COURT (E.G., DATE COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, INFORMATION, OR PETITION WAS FILED):

The case was originally opened with a Complaint for Custody filed on August 7, 2020.

The Evidentiary Hearing lasted two (2) full days and took place on November 3, 2021 and November 5, 2021.

The Decision and Order was issued by the Court on December 15, 2021, and the Notice of Entry of the Decision and Order was field on December 16, 2021.

10. PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF THE ACTION AND RESULT IN THE DISTRICT COURT, INCLUDING THE TYPE OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER BEING APPEALED AND THE RELIEF GRANTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT:

NATURE OF THE ACTION: The parties engaged in lengthy, protracted litigation regarding custody of the minor child they have in common, which ultimately resulted in the parties coming before the District Court for a two (2) day custody trial. This matter involves a minor child with an ASD diagnoses and significant medical needs. This litigation involved multiple expert witnesses.

RESULT IN THE DISTRICT COURT: Based on the Decision and Order filed by the District Court, the parties were granted joint legal and physical custody of the minor child, subject to a rotating 2-2-3 schedule, a holiday and vacation

schedule that deviated from the department standard; the Defendant/Mom being required to pay Plaintiff/Dad child support; and a denial of the Defendant's request for a finding of willful underemployment on the part of the Plaintiff.

TYPE OF ORDER BEING APPEALED: The order regarding the regular custodial schedule, the order regarding holidays and vacations, and the child support order issued.

RELIEF GRANTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT:

- a. The Court granted joint physical custody subject to a rotating 2-2-3 schedule, granting Plaintiff/Dad 55% of time as follows:
 - i. Weeks 1 & 3 and any 5th weeks:
 - Monday Tuesday: Plaintiff/Dad picks up child at 7:00
 a.m. on Monday
 - 2. Wednesday Thursday: Defendant/Mom picks up the child at 7:00 a.m. on Wednesday
 - 3. Friday Saturday Sunday: Plaintiff/Dad picks up child at 7:00 a.m. on Friday.
 - ii. Weeks 2 & 4
 - Monday Tuesday: Defendant/Mom picks up child at 7:00 a.m. on Monday
 - 2. Wednesday Thursday: Plaintiff/Dad picks up child at 7:00 am on Wednesday
 - 3. Friday Saturday Sunday: Defendant/Mom picks up the child at 7:00 a.m. on Friday
 - iii. The weeks are to be defined by the first Monday of the month.
- b. The Court issued the following Holiday and Vacation Schedule:
 - i. The Court issued the Standard Department N holiday and vacation schedule;

- ii. The Court limited Summer Vacation time to one (1) week per party;
- iii. The Court divided Winter Break into two (2) Segments; with Segment 1 being defined as Christmas Even (December 24th) at 12:00 p.m. until Christmas Day (December 25th) at 12:00 p.m.; and Segment 2 being defined as Christmas Day at 12:00 p.m. until December 27th at 12:00 p.m.
 - 1. Plaintiff/Dad is to receive Segment 1 in odd years and Defendant/Mom would receive Segment 1 in even years.
- iv. The Court denied Defendant's request to limit Easter/Spring Break.
- c. The Court ordered Defendant/Mom to pay \$882.67 in child support, and only granted a downward deviation for ½ of the cost of health insurance for the child, without factoring in the significant costs associated with the child's medical needs.
- d. The Court denied Defendant/Mom's request for a finding of willful underemployment on the part of the Plaintiff/Dad.
- 11.INDICATE WHETHER THE CASE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN THE SUBJECT OF AN APPEAL TO OR ORIGINAL WRIT PROCEEDING IN THE SUPREME COURT AND, IF SO, THE CAPTION AND SUPREME COURT DOCKET NUMBER OF THE PRIOR PROCEEDING:

None.

12.INDICATE WHETHER THIS APPEAL INVOLVES CHILD CUSTODY OR VISITATION:

This appeal involves child custody and visitation.

13.IF THIS IS A CIVIL CASE, INDICATE WHETHER THIS APPEAL INVOLVES THE POSSIBILITY OF SETTLEMENT:

At this time, there is no possibility of settlement.

Dated this 27th day of December, 2021.

Submitted by: **ROSENBLUM ALLEN LAW FIRM**

MOLLY ROSENBLUM, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 08242

SHEILA TAJBAKHSH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 15343 376 East Warm Springs Rd., Ste 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorney for Appellant

6

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the <u>31st</u> day of <u>December</u>, <u>2021</u>, I served APPELLANT'S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT in the above-entitled matter electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list maintained by the Clerk of the Supreme Court, to the Parties listed below:

Jennifer Isso, Esq. 2470 Saint Rose Parkway #306F Henderson, NV 89074 Attorney for Plaintiff/Respondent

An Employee of Rosenblum Allen Law Firm