Kirsty E Pickering, Esq.
457 5th Street
Ely, NV 89301
State Bar No. 9295
(775) 2893333

Attorney for Petitioner

Electronically Filed
Aug 302021 04:59 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALAN JOSEPH DAVID HONEYESTEWA<br>Appellant,<br>Case No. 80477<br>v.<br>THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

## APPELLANT'S ADDENDUM - PART XI

APPEAL FROM TRIAL CONVICTION
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO

KIRSTY E PICKERING
Nev. Bar \#009295
Attorney At Law a
Professional Corporation
457 5th Street
Ely, Nevada 89301
Attorney for Appellant

AARON FORD
Attorney General of the
State of Nevada
100 North Carson Street
Carson City NV 89701
CHAD THOMPSON ESQ.
Elko County District Attorney
540 Court Street, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor
Elko NV 89801






| 628:22, 643:5, | 558:2, 558:16, | 598:23, 598:24, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 646:11, 646:14, | 558:20, 560:11, | 599:3, 601:14, |
| 646:18, 647:16, | 560:23, 561:22, | 601:15, 602:15, |
| 647:19, 674:8, | 563:12, 563:21, | 602:20, 603:5, |
| 679:18, 680:2, | 563:22, 563:24, | 706:15, 706:17, |
| 700:24, 701:3, | 563:25, 564:14, | 706:22 |
| 701:12, 701:17, | 564:19, 564:20, | Wounds [1] - 563:14 |
| 701:20, 703:8, | 564:22, 564:25, | Wrangler [2] - |
| 703:11, 714:15, | 565:5, 566:1, 566:4, | 691:18, 691:20 |
| 714:25, 715:3 | 566:8, 566:13, | wrapped [1] - 660:4 |
| witnesses [2] - | 566:14, 566:19, | wrapping [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 702: 9,705: 15 \\ & \text { wonder [3] - 572:14, } \\ & 688: 5,701: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 566:23, 567:1, 567:4, | 619:22 |
|  | 567:6, 567:9, 56 | wraps [1] - 669:12 |
|  | 567:13, 567:16, | wrench [1] - 568:5 |
| 688:5, 701:6 WOODBURY [75] - | 568:4, 568:7, 568:13, | wrench-shaped [1] - |
| 543:8, 544:11, | 568:14, 569:19, | 568:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 544: 17,550: 20, \\ & 556: 17,557: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $569: 24,570: 7,570: 9$ | wrote [1] - 649:20 |
|  | 570:21, 570:22, |  |
| 557:21, 558:10, 559:1, 559:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 571:7, 571:9, 571:10, } \\ & 571: 12,573: 20, \end{aligned}$ | X |
| 560:17, 561:4, | 573:23, 574:4, 574:5, |  |
| $561: 16,562: 3$ $572: 14,572: 25$ | 574:22, 575:11, | X-rayed [3] - 599:17, 599.18, 599.19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 572: 14,572: 25, \\ & 579: 9,585: 12,586: 2, \\ & 586: 24,587: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 575:12, 575:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 599:18, 599:19 } \\ & \text { X-rays [4] - } 553: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 575: 15,575: 18, \\ & 575: 20,576: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X-rays [4] - } 553: 1, \\ & 553: 6,553: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 588: 5,588: 8,589: 3 \\ & 589: 23,605: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 576:18, 577:11, |  |
|  | 577:19, 578:13, | $Y$ |
| 605:23, 606:12, |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 607:12, 607:25, } \\ & 608: 4,608: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 579: 24,580: 1,580: 4, \\ & 580: 8,580: 21, \end{aligned}$ | year [4]-546:12, |
| 611:18, 611:24, | 580:23, 580:25, | 546:16, 590:17, |
|  | 591:5, 598:7, 598:9, | 681:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 612:19, 612:24, } \\ & \text { 613:1, 615:14, } \end{aligned}$ | 598:20, 599:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { years [14]-546:11, } \\ & 554: 14.614: 25 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 624:16, 626:16, | 599:10, 599:11, | 554:14, 614:25, <br> 615:1, 621:13, |
| 626:19, 628:24, <br> 642:3, 643:4, 645:17, <br> 646:1, 646:7, 647:7, | 600:4, 600:5, 601:1, | 648:13, 680:17, |
|  | 601:6, 601:7, 601:21, | 681:9, 690:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 646:1, 646:7, 647:7, } \\ & \text { 657:14, 657:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 672:15 } \\ & \text { wounds [62] - 549:9, } \end{aligned}$ | 690:22, 698:14, |
|  | 555:10, 555:20, | 700:5, 715:20 |
| 662:4, 662:7, 662:16, | 555:21, 555:25, | yellow [1] - 590:16 |
| 662:18, 662:19, | 556:2, 556:3, 556:10, | yellow/purple [1] - |
| 674:7, 674:12, | 556:24, 557:13, | 590:15 York [1]-547.16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 675: 10,675: 14, \\ & 679: 9,679: 14,688: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 559:7, 559:20, | York [1] - 547:16 |
|  | 561:10, 563:6, | young [1] - 593:3 |
|  | 563:18, 564:7, 564:9, | yourself [3] - 594:13, 658:24, 663:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 701: 6,703: 3,713: 25, \\ & 714: 5,714: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 565:8, 565:9, 565:10, |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 565: 13,565: 15, \\ & 565: 22.565: 23 . \end{aligned}$ | Z |
| $722: 10,722: 17$ | 565:22, 565:23, | 2 |
| Woodbury [11] - | 568:12, 568:19, |  |
| 544:10, 544:16, | 568:24, 568:25, | Zoom [3] - 543:16, |
| $550: 19,585: 11$, $607: 9,612: 25$ | 570:14, 576:6, | $646: 17,701: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 607:9, 612:25 } \\ & \text { 643:15, 658:25 } \end{aligned}$ | 578:15, 579:15, |  |
| 661:1, 700:22, 722:16 | 579:17, 581:17, |  |
| words [2] - 550:6, | 584:1, 589:24, 590:2, |  |
| 583:18 | 591:7, 591:11, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { workings }[1]-650: 2 \\ & \text { world }[3]-599: 14 \text {, } \\ & 666: 14,717: 21 \\ & \text { wound }[89]-558: 1 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 591:13, 591:14, |  |
|  | 591:16, 591:17, |  |
|  | 591:25, 592:4, |  |
|  | 593:10, 596:20, |  |
|  | 597:7, 597:10, |  |

```
CASE NO. CR-FP-18-5961
```

DEPT. NO. 1
THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO
BEFORE THE HONORABLE NANCY PORTER
DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
PLAINTIFF,
v.
ALAN JOSEPH EDWARD HONEYESTEWA,
DEFENDANT.
$\qquad$ /
TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDING
JURY TRIAL
October 14, 2020
ELKO, NEVADA
VOLUME 5
Pages 724-856

```
APPEARANCES:
```

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
TYLER J. INGRAM and
MARK S. MILLS
Elko County District Attorney and
Deputy District Attorney
540 Court Street, 2nd Floor
Elko, Nevada 89801
FOR THE DEFENDANT:
GARY WOODBURY
1053 Idaho Street
Elko, Nevada 89801


| 1 | INDEX PAGE (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | STATE'S <br> WITNESSES: |  | PAGE: |
|  | WILLIAMS, Calvern | Direct | 373 |
|  | BRALEY, Shaun | Direct | 395 |
| 5 |  | Cross | 467 |
|  |  | Redirect | 484 |
| 6 | EHUD MOON, Jenae | Voir Dire | 490 |
| 7 |  | Voir Dire | 492 |
|  |  | Direct | 496 |
| 8 |  | Cross | 514 |
|  |  | Redirect | 519 |
| 9 | MOON, Hope | Direct | 523 |
| 10 |  | Juror Questions | 527 |
|  |  | Redirect | 528 |
| 11 |  | Recross | 528 |
| 12 | KNIGHT, Laura M. D. | Direct | 545 |
|  |  | Cross | 588 |
| 13 |  | Redirect | 605 |
|  |  | Juror Questions | 606 |
| 14 |  | Further Redirect | 607 |
|  |  | Recross | 607 |
| 15 |  | Direct | 610 |
| 16 |  |  |  |
|  | JONES, Chelsea | Direct | 614 |
| 17 |  | Cross | 626 |
|  |  | Redirect | 642 |
| 18 |  | Recross | 645 |
| 19 | KEEMA, Michael |  | 648 |
|  |  | Cross | 662 |
| 20 |  | Redirect | 675 |
| 21 | STEINBACH, Amy | Direct | 680 |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |



| 1 | INDEX PAGE (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | WITNESSES: |  | PAGE: |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 | STAKE, Nicholas | Cross | 1133 |
|  |  | Redirect | 1193 |
| 5 |  | Recross | 1200 |
|  |  | Further Redirect | 1214 |
| 6 |  | Further Recross | 1215 |
| 7 | SHINMEI, Steve | Direct | 1076 |
|  |  | Cross | 1115 |
| 8 |  | Redirect | 1118 |
|  |  | Juror Questions | 1212 |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 | CHILION, Brittney | Cross | $1250$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |
|  | DEFENDANT'S |  |  |
| 12 | WITNESSES: |  | PAGE: |
| 13 | GREEN, Karen | Direct | 1020 |
|  |  | Cross | 1053 |
| 14 |  | Juror Questions | 1072 |
|  |  | Redirect | 1073 |
|  | LOPEZ, Tieres | Direct | 1304 |
| 16 |  | Cross | 1310 |
| 17 | FRANCIS, Susan | Direct | 1313 |
|  |  | Cross | 1320 |
| 18 |  | Redirect | 1323 |
|  |  | Recross | 1325 |
|  | STEINBACH, Amy | Direct | 1327 |
| 20 |  | Cross | 1343 |
| 21 | HONEYESTEWA, Alan | Direct | 1346 |
|  |  | Cross | 1420 |
| 22 |  | Juror Questions | 1457 |
|  |  | Recross | 1461 |
| 23 |  |  |  |
|  |  | COURT |  |  |
| 24 | WITNESS: |  | PAGE: |
| 25 | GARCIA, Juan | Voir Dire | 1286 |


| 1 | INDEX PAGE (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | EXHIBITS: | MARKED: | ADMITTED: |
| 3 | Exhibit No. 2 |  | 1217 |
| 4 | Exhibit No. 3 |  | 961 |
| 5 | Exhibit No. 4 |  | 961 |
| 6 | Exhibit No. 5-C |  | 964 |
| 7 | Exhibit No. 7 |  | 877 |
| 8 | Exhibit No. 7-A |  | 883 |
| 9 | Exhibit No. 30 |  | 43 |
| 10 | Exhibit No. 42 |  | 585 |
| 11 | Exhibit No. 43 |  | 1217 |
| 12 | Exhibit No. 49 |  | 970 |
| 13 | Exhibit No. 59 |  | 39 |
| 14 | Exhibit No. 64-A |  | 394 |
| 15 | Exhibit No. 65-A |  | 39 |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 65-B |  | 586 |
| 17 | Exhibit No. 65-C |  | 587 |
| 18 | Exhibit No. 65-D |  | 587 |
| 19 | Exhibit No. 66 |  | 560 |
| 20 | Exhibit No. 66-A |  | 587 |
| 21 | Exhibit No. 67-A |  | 559 |
| 22 | Exhibit No. 68-A |  | 559 |
| 23 | Exhibit No. 69-A |  | 562 |
| 24 | Exhibit No. 70-A |  | 557 |
| 25 | Exhibit No. 71 |  | 556 |



| 1 |  | INDEX PAGE (Continued) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | EXHIBITS: | MARKED: ADMITTED: |
| 3 | Exhibit No. 106 | 1092 |
| 4 | Exhibit No. 107 | 1092 |
| 5 | Exhibit No. 108 | 1093 |
| 6 | Exhibit No. 109 | 1093 |
| 7 | Exhibit No. 110 | 1114 |
| 8 | Exhibit No. 111 | 1094 |
| 9 | Exhibit No. 112 | 1095 |
| 10 | Exhibit No. 115 | 1095 |
| 11 | Exhibit No. 116 | 144 |
| 12 | Exhibit No. 118 | 1096 |
| 13 | Exhibit No. 119 | 1096 |
| 14 | Exhibit No. 123 | 1096 |
| 15 | Exhibit No. 127 | 1097 |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 131 | 933 |
| 17 | Exhibit No. 133 | 933 |
| 18 | Exhibit No. 136 | 22 |
| 19 | Exhibit No. 137 | 72 |
| 20 | Exhibit No. 138 | 951 |
| 21 | Exhibit No. 139 | 60 |
| 22 | Exhibit No. 141 | 950 |
| 23 | Exhibit No. 142 | 949 |
| 24 | Exhibit No. 143 | 949 |
| 25 | Exhibit No. 144 | 244 |





THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: If you are a witness in this case, you're absolutely prohibited from watching this trial unless you've been given specific permission by the Court that includes watching the trial on Zoom. If you do so, you may be held in contempt of court, punishment of for which could include a jail sentence. Log in information for all of those who log in to watch this trial on Zoom shall be maintained and filed in this action.

All spectators are prohibited from recording this trial in any manner or distributing it on social media or in any manner on the internet. Violation of this order could result in a finding that you are in contempt of court, punishment for which could include a jail sentence.

Next witness for the prosecution?
MR. MILLS: The State calls Joshua Bogdon.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bogdon is going to be testifying today by what's called video court call. The attorneys have agreed that he can testify in that way.

Do we have him ready to go?
MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Because of current technology or our technology in the courtroom, we are not able to broadcast this testimony by Zoom. We can't have both of these programs running at one time.

Officer Bogdon, will you please raise your right hand.
(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Thank you.
Please state your name.
THE WITNESS: Joshua Bogdon. J-o-s-h-u-a.
Bogdon, $B-o-g-d-o-n$.
And I apologize, the audio seems to be cutting in and out. I can only hear some of what you guys are saying.

THE COURT: We're kind of having that same experience here. Can we get the moderator from court call on the line to help us?

THE CLERK: Court Call, are you there with us, please?

THE MODERATOR: Yes. This is the moderator. I do seem to be getting a little bit of echo from Bogdon's line. And $I$ think it was mainly with the judge's audio. We have (inaudible).

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to step off the bench. I think we're having the same trouble we had before when we're trying to combine JAVS with this on-line presentation. Do $I$ need to step off for you to turn off JAVS, or can you just turn it off, or how do you want to do this?

THE CLERK: We have to record with JAVS unless we want to convert quickly to Zoom, which is my personal --

THE MODERATOR: I apologize, Your Honor. I'm having a hard time hearing specifically your microphone.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to step out. And the court recorder is going to figure out how to handle this to make it work. So just please stay on the line, and we will get these issues straightened out. Will you all just remain in place, and we'll get this straightened out.

Thank you.
THE MODERATOR: Understood.
(Recess.)

THE BAILIFF: Can you hear us?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE BAILIFF: Does it sound clear, or is it cutting off?

THE WITNESS: It's a little quiet. I'm going to have my wife bring me my ear buds, and I'm hoping that can fix it.
(Recess.)
THE CLERK: The witness is waiting for ear buds. He can hear us. We took out court call because court call isn't (inaudible) moderator. So we don't have a moderator now, but the echo is gone. We can communicate direct. So I think we'll be okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a moment, Mr. Mills.
I've been working in the time that I've been the judge here to improve the courtroom technology. I've received -- applied for and received $\$ 35,000$ in grants, so it's a lot better than it was. We still don't have it where we want it to be. I just applied for and received a grant for $\$ 65,000$ from the federal government that should bring our technology to state of the art.

So while it isn't going to help during this trial, it will be installed the first week of January, and hopefully we will have all of the bugs out of this.

That money comes from money that was made available because of coronavirus. I received an e-mail about applying for it, and $I$ thought, $I$ can't think of anything $I$ could use it for. And a couple of weeks later, it hit me to apply for it for court technology. And to my surprise, we were awarded it. That's 100 percent of the cost to upgrade it, so none of it is coming out of county funds. And in January, we should really have a good system.

All right. Officer Bogdon, I know you were waiting for ear buds.

Do you have those now?
THE WITNESS: I don't yet, no. I can keep you on speaker phone and hold you up to my ear, though. THE COURT: Okay. Can all of you in the jury, can you hear him all right? All of you, even in the back?

All right. I will try to speak loudly when I'm talking. Mr. Mills and Mr. Woodbury, do your best to speak up. And hopefully -- I see you holding the phone right to your ear. Are you able to hear me well enough?

THE WITNESS: I can hear you okay, yes, ma'am. THE COURT: All right. We'll now --

Go ahead, Mr. Mills.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLS:
Q Good morning, Officer Bogdon.
A Good morning, sir.
Q Can you hear me okay?
A Still very quiet, but $I$ can at least hear you. I may need you to repeat a few things, but I'm good right now.

THE COURT: All right. Just a moment, Mr. Mills. We're going to try something different to see if we can make this any better.

THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Bogdon, can you hear me okay? Okay. This is what we're gonna do. We are going to cut the Court Call. I'm gonna -- I'm gonna log out. I'm getting out of there. I'm going to start an instant meeting.

No. It's just gonna be you. There's nobody else in this meeting. So I'm gonna give you the login information right now.

All right. So you want to be sure to sign in with computer audio as opposed to phone. And I'm gonna
give you the connection right now. Give me a second.
Yeah. Get out of Court Call. Yeah. It's not my favorite anyway, I'll be honest with you.

THE COURT: How lucky am $I$ to have her? I don't even understand what she's saying.

THE CLERK: Okay. So, all right (inaudible).
No, this is going to be Zoom. So you're gonna go to Zoom. You're gonna join a meeting. This will be private, though. There's nobody else. It's just us, the court and you.

Court Call is a little more antiquated, but this will work perfect, $I$ promise you. So you'll be able to hear and see a lot better.

Okay. Tell me when you're ready and I'll (inaudible) the number. Okay. (Inaudible). Can you repeat that back to me?

Perfect. The pass code is 01 -- so your pass code is 0176 (inaudible) 7. And I'm gonna watch for you now, and I'll get you admitted. And we are ready to go. I can stay on with you for just a second while I look for you.

There you are. Okay. Mr. Bogdon, I'm admitting you now. You did choose to join with computer audio, right? Okay.

Okay. So hang on just a second. If not, you
know what? If not, we can always run it through the phone, too. It does show your audio. Give me one second.

Okay. Hang on one moment.
All right. Judge, can you speak with
Mr. Bogdon and make sure he can hear you?
THE COURT: Can you hear me Officer Bogdon?
Okay. We can't hear you, however.
THE CLERK: Okay. Let me hang up. Let's try -- he's not muted. Give me a second. Let's go in here. Go to Zoom. Let me double-check the audio connection.

THE COURT: These are trying times for all of us.

THE CLERK: No, that's not it. (Inaudible).
So right here (inaudible). All right. I don't
know. This happened with Callie just a minute ago.
I don't see his microphone. Yeah. Is he muted? See his microphone, it's not --

THE COURT: Are you muted, Officer Bogdon? We're not hearing you.

THE CLERK: I'm calling, Judge.
No, I can't. I can see your microphone twitching, I just can't hear you.

So let's do this: Let's go ahead and sign back
in. And when you sign back in, make sure you connect with your audio. I'm just gonna see if $I$ can edit it while you're on. Just a second.

Which one do you want? (Inaudible).
No problem. No, no. That's okay. So since you closed out -- let's do this: So I'm gonna end the meeting, leave the meeting, and then we go back into the meeting.

Actually, so what we're gonna do, I'm going to start a brand new meeting. And when you join, it's gonna give you the option where it says "join with computer audio," click on join with computer audio.

All right. I'm gonna give you a brand new set of numbers. Are you ready? Okay. One second. All right.

MR. INGRAM: (Inaudible) Judge, we're prepared with other witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll just give him a couple more minutes. We used Zoom for a hearing at nine o'clock and didn't have these issues. So I don't know why we're having them now.

THE CLERK: (Inaudible).
Oh. Make sure, make absolutely sure you are closed out of Court Call, like it's gone off your screen, everything. That can be what's holding it up.

Yeah. Get completely out of that. Get it off your screen. That might be the problem. It may be -- yeah. And then the pass code.

Absolutely. Absolutely. It's 86833593948.
And then the pass code, 566642. And I'm looking for
you right now. And make sure you choose computer audio. Yeah. I think Court Call is -- all right. Mr. Bogdon, here we go.

All right.
THE WITNESS: Computer audio. All right.
THE COURT: Can you hear me all right?
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay. Good job, Mercedes.
THE CLERK: Thank you. I'm sorry.
It was Court Call, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mills, go ahead.
BY MR. MILLS:

Q Officer Bogdon, can you hear me okay?
A Yes, sir.
Q Great. Good morning.
What is your occupation?
A (Inaudible) for the City of Elko.
Q You're cutting in and out there. You're a what
for the City of Elko?
A A police officer for the City of Elko.

Q Okay. How long have you been a police officer for the City of Elko?

A Four years.
Q Okay. And so were you employed by the Elko Police Department back in July of 2018?

A Yes.
Q I'm going to direct your attention to the evening of July 7th, 2018.

Were you on duty that evening?
A Yes.
Q And did you respond to the scene of a possible shooting in the Wrangler Circle area?

A I did, yes.
Q About what time did you arrive there?
A I can't recall the exact time, but $I$ arrived just moments after Officer O'Farrell and Corporal Daz.

Q Okay. And what do you remember going on when you arrived there?

A When I arrived, I saw a residence that was on the north side of the street. The front door was open, and there was a body in the doorway lying face up on his back.

Q Did that body appear to be injured in any way?
A Yes. There was significant amount of blood on and around him, and he had several bullet holes in his
abdomen area.
Q So who else do you remember being on scene at that point?

A At that point, it was myself, Officer Michael O'Farrell and Shane Daz. At some point, Sergeant Matthew Locuson arrived also.

Q And aside from officers, were there any civilians on scene when you arrived?

A There was one inside the residence.
Q Okay. Who was that?
A It -- to my understanding, it was the girlfriend of the male victim.

Q Okay. And did you speak to her or hear her in any way?

A I could hear her screaming inside, but I never spoke to her.

Q What was she screaming, if you recall, or how was she screaming?

A She sounded just terrified. It was a scream of horror, really. Not like she was in pain, just terrified.

Q And how long did you remain on scene at the Wrangler Circle scene?

A I would estimate maybe just over an hour, maybe a little bit longer.

Q And where did you go from there?
A When I left Wrangler Circle, I responded to the Upper Colony, Bohobi Street, I believe it's called.

Q And what led you to go to that location?
A We were advised that there was a male gunshot victim at a residence there.

Q And what did you observe when you arrived at that location?

A When I arrived there, a woman came from the residence in question. She said, He's over there, in reference to a male that was on a trampoline. He was being held up by two other people. And he sounded like he was in agony also.

Q Did you go over to the trampoline?
A Yes.
Q And so tell us what you can remember about the person, the injured person, on the trampoline.

How was he positioned and what was he doing?
A He was lying face up on the trampoline, towards the edge. He appeared to have been shot, from what $I$ can see, in the left arm, and he had significant amount of blood on him, and he was just groaning in agony.

Q Okay. Do you recall who the people were that were with him on the trampoline?

A I don't recall the people with him on the
trampoline, no.
Q Okay. Did you have any conversations with him?
A With the gunshot victim on the trampoline or the people around him?

Q Yes. Sorry. That was an unclear question. Did you have any conversations with the gunshot victim?

A I asked him how he got there, and he said he had walked.

Q Okay. So where did -- well, what did you do at that point with the gunshot victim?

A Prior to me speaking with him, I had just asked him to show me his hands, which he didn't. When $I$ approached him, I was able to get control of his hands, just to ensure that he didn't have any weapons with him. And I just pat him down for weapons, also.

Q And how long did he remain on the trampoline?
A I don't recall how long. It wasn't for a great period of time. Less than ten minutes.

Q And how was he transported off the trampoline?
Did emergency personnel show up or what
happened with the -- with the wounded person?
A Ultimately, emergency personnel arrived and he was placed in the ambulance and transported.

Q So that evening, after your involvement there
at the Bohobi scene, did you have any other involvement that evening in this case?

A I briefly looked over a vehicle that was parked nearby that had blood inside of it, some rags. I also spoke to a woman who described how she encountered the male gunshot victim.

Q Okay. And did you -- was there -- were there any other officers with you when you examined that vehicle, or did you communicate that information to anyone?

A Yes. That information was actually communicated to me. I just looked inside of the vehicle from the outside.

Q Okay. So aside from your conversation with that lady you mentioned and your examination of the vehicle, did you do anything else in the -- with that investigation that evening?

A No.
Q Okay. So now, Officer Bogdon, I want to switch gears here a little bit and fast forward about 11 days, to July -- or 11,12 days to July 19th, 2018.

Were you on duty on that occasion?
A Yes.
Q And did you effect a traffic stop on that occasion?

A Yes.
Q And who did you conduct that traffic stop on?
A Michael Overholser.
Q And what information did you have about him that led you to be interested in him?

A I had received information that he may have been involved in various drug transactions occurring throughout the city.

Q And were you able to locate him that day?
A Yes.
Q Where did you locate him at?
A I located him inside of a vehicle during the traffic stop in the area of the Calvary Baptist Church located on approximately Walnut and Fifth Street.

Q And why did you pull him over that day?
A He had a shattered front windshield and no license plate.

Q So after you pulled him over, tell us about what happened in the traffic stop.

What happened next?
A After I pulled him over, I asked for Sergeant Fisher from the Elko County Sheriff's Office to respond with his canine in order to utilize his dog.

While speaking with Mr. Overholser, I asked him to step out. I asked Sergeant Fisher to (inaudible)
around the vehicle. Sergeant Fisher told me there's a positive alert on the vehicle which gave me probable cause to be able to search it.

Q And did you, in fact, search the vehicle?
A Yes.
Q Did you find any weapons in the vehicle?
A Yes.
Q So tell us -- tell us about that.
A Underneath the driver's seat $I$ found a Taurus 9 millimeter handgun, black in color, and it was wrapped in a green cloth.

Q Okay. And at that point in time when you discovered that gun, did you have any reason to believe that it might be connected to the shooting that had happened 11 days prior?

A No.
Q Do you recall what the serial number of that gun was?

A I'd have to refer to my report. I know it starts with a T.

Q Do you have your report there?
A Yes.

Q Okay. Would it refresh your memory to review that portion of your report to see what the serial number was of the gun?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Could you please do that?
A Okay.
Q So what was the serial number?

A Serial number was TCWO275.
Q Yeah. That's correct.
Do you want to double-check and make sure
that's what it says?
A Yes.
Q Why don't you just go ahead and read the serial number from your report?

A Thank you.
TCW0 275.
Q Okay. Thank you.
What did you do with that firearm after
recovering it?
A I seized it for evidence.
Q And, then, so describe that process a little bit.

When you seize an item for evidence or when you seized this item for evidence, what did you actually do with it? How did you package it? And where did you take it? What did you do with it?

A For firearms, I take it back to the Elko Police Department. I would photograph it. I remove the
magazine from the firearm, and ammunition in the magazines are stored separately from the firearm in a separate bag. The ammunition and magazine would be placed in a bag, sealed with evidence tape. And I put my initials and a date on the bag of the firearm -would be to ensure that it's unloaded. I generally place a zip tie through the barrel and through the firing chamber, secure it to a box, close the box, and then those two items are to be entered as evidence. I place them into a locker that's accessible from the outside by me. I place the items inside, press a button that locks it from the side that I'm on. And then later evidence technicians are able to recover the item on the other side through a separate door.

Q Okay. Thank you.
I'm now going to show you what's marked as State's Exhibit 312.

MR. MILLS: Mr. Bailiff, can $I$ get some scissors?
(Bailiff complied.)

MR. MILLS: I'm going to show this exhibit first to defense counsel.

Q BY MR. MILLS: Officer Bogdon, can you hear me?
A Yes.
Q Can you see what is exhibited to you on the

> screen?

A Yes.
Q Does this look like the evidence box with the firearm in it?

A Yes.
Q I'm gonna open up the box and show you what's inside. And if you could, tell us if you recognize what's inside of this box.

And before I do that, just showing you this, does this box appear to be sealed?

A Yes. The handwriting on the front is my handwriting. That evidence tape appears to be placed there by somebody else, though, which for packaging firearms isn't uncommon.

Q Okay. That is your handwriting on the front, however?

A It appears to be my handwriting underneath the tape that $I$ can see, $I$ think.

Q Okay. Can you see what's inside that box?
A Yes.
Q Can you see that okay now?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And what does that -- what is that that you're looking at there?

A That is the firearm that $I$ seized from Michael

Overholser.
Q Okay. Thank you.
Does that firearm appear to you to be in approximately the same condition as when you put it in the box?

A Yes.
MR. MILLS: The State will offer State's 312 into evidence at this time.

THE COURT: The gun is Exhibit 312?
MR. MILLS: Yes.
THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 312 is admitted. (Exhibit 312 admitted.)

Q BY MR. MILLS: Officer Bogdon, at any point in time did you become aware of the fact that that firearm was under investigation for having been involved in the shooting at Wrangler Circle?

A Yes. Sometime later I was told by -- I believe, it was Detective Steve Spring.

Q And was it him that kind of took it from there and investigated, I guess, the chain of custody on the gun and where it came from?

A I'm not sure if he took the investigation. But the way he told me was -- seemed to be just notifying
me that it was involved, but $I$ didn't investigate any further.

Q Okay. Did you do anything else to investigate the gun or anything else in this investigation?

A No.
MR. MILLS: Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:

Q Mr. Bogdon, at the time that you arrived at Wrangler Circle on the late evening hours of July 7th, there were other officers there already?

A I'm sorry, sir. I believe I heard your question, but $I$ can't hear you very clearly. I don't want to misspeak.

Q I want you to know that I have my head turned to the microphone like I'm supposed to.

Were there other officers present when you arrived at Wrangler Circle on the night of July 7th?

A Yes, sir.
Q And who were they?

A Officer Michael O'Farrell and Shane Daz.
Q And were you part of the clearing process at the house?

A No. Other officers went inside, and I remained at the doorway securing the front yard.

Q Did you run into some dogs up there?
A Not that $I$ can recall.
Q Okay. Would you recall if you had met up with some dogs?

A I'm really not -- I'm really not sure. I don't recall any dogs.

Q Okay. Then you didn't actually go in the residence?

A I stepped inside the front area briefly. Yes, I did go inside.

Q There was blood on the floor --
A Excuse me? I'm sorry, sir. Can you repeat that, please?

Q There was blood on the floor of the entryway; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you step in the blood?
A I'm sorry? Did I step in blood?
Q Did you step in the blood?
A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Was the person laying on the floor being given medical treatment at that time or had it not yet started?

A When $I$ had gone inside, he was getting medical treatment, yes.

Q Okay. And can you describe the location of where he was and the location of the people providing the medical treatment?

A Yes. The victim was laying just inside the doorway by a few feet. He was laying on his back. One person giving medical treatment was standing on the side of him that would be further inside the house. There was another individual that was standing closer to his feet; however, I'm not sure if he was giving medical treatment. And a third giving medical treatment was me, standing on the side of him, closer to the doorway.

Q Okay. Thank you.
Then when you went up to Bohobi Drive, your purpose in going there was what?

A Because there was a male gunshot victim. My understanding was that medical personnel wouldn't respond without first having the scene secured.

Q All right. There is some kind of conflict or difficulty with Elko City police officers responding to
the Elko Indian Colony?
A Yes. The conflict is that while there, we can't necessarily always take policing action. We have to wait for approval from the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Q And you would not be a part of that getting permission from the Bureau of Indian Affairs?

A No, sir.
Q And when you got there, was there other officers there?

A Yes. They approached with me.
Q Okay. How many of you approached?
A It was at least myself and one other. I can't recall if there were more, how many more, or who they were. But $I$ know that it was at least myself and Sergeant Matthew Locuson.

Q Okay. And the -- you went down to where the trampoline was?

A Yes.
Q And you mentioned on direct examination that Mr. Honeyestewa was being held up by two people.

How did that work? Can you describe that?
A I didn't specifically say it was
Mr. Honeyestewa, but -- to my recollection, saying that now was Mr. Honeyestewa. He was lying with his feet more towards the center of the trampoline, his head on
the edge. And to my recollection, it was two people on either side of him sort of propping him up.

Q Propping him up?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. Do you know Mr. Honeyestewa?
A I've never met him prior, no.
Q Okay. So you just have to accept my word that it was Mr. Honeyestewa.

A I'm sorry. Can you say that again, please?
Q You would just have to accept my word that it was Mr. Honeyestewa.

A No, sir. I learned it was Mr. Honeyestewa later on on scene. And then $I$ saw him in the video, and $I$ recognize him as being the same person that $I$ saw on the trampoline.

Q Okay. And other than ask him how he got to the trampoline, and you said he walked?

A Yes. That's what he told me.
Q Okay. And that didn't seem truthful to you?
A I wasn't sure. I'm sure he walked there at some point, but $I$ did not believe that he walked a great deal of distance from his condition.

Q Okay. And then you asked him to show you -you asked him to show you his hands?

A Yes, sir. I actually asked him to show me his
hands previously before having those conversations with him.

Q Okay. And was there other conversation, other than show me your hands and how did you get here?

A I may have asked him if he had any weapons. I can't recall any specific line of questioning aside from that. I would note it in my report if it was noteworthy.

Q Okay. And where were his hands as he was laying -- he was laying essentially face down on the trampoline?

A No, sir. He was lying face up.
Q Face up.
And where were his hands when you --
A Yes, sir.
Q -- when you asked to see them?
A I don't recall where his hands were, but I could not see them.

Q Okay. And how many -- were there civilian people around? There were two people holding him up, or whatever they were doing, but they were female?

A I don't recall if they were male or female.
Q Okay. And this thing with Michael Overholser, you knew who Michael Overholser was prior to stopping him up there at the Calvary Baptist Church?

A I knew of him, yes.
Q Yeah. You had had interactions with him as a police officer?

A I don't recall if $I$ had had interactions with him previously before this, but $I$ knew of him, though.

Q And knowing of him is probably a consequence of police officers discussing various cases together?

A Yes.
Q Yeah. And was Mr. Overholser relatively widely known in the community or police officers in Elko?

A Yes.
Q And who was with Mr. Overholser?
A Nobody was with him.
Q And was the car that he was in registered to him?

A The car had no license plates. To my knowledge, it wasn't registered to anybody.

Q All right. Mr. Overholser was -- you checked to see if he had a prior felony conviction?

A Yes.
Q And that's what led to the seizure of the gun?
A Yes.

Q Okay. And that is, I guess, for the jury's
education you can tell them edification, you can tell them, is it against the law for a person who has a
felony conviction to have a gun?
A Yes. Roughly.
MR. WOODBURY: Okay. Thank you.
I have nothing else.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: Nothing on redirect, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do you need this witness retained?
MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: The defense does not.
THE COURT: Thank you, Officer Bogdon. You are excused from further attendance at this trial. Do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

Thank you. You are excused.
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Mills?
MR. MILLS: Steven Spring.
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: Please state and spell your first and last names.

THE WITNESS: Steven Spring. S-t-e-v-e-n, $S-p-r-i-n-g$.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Mills.
STEVEN SPRING,
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as
follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MILLS:

Q What is your occupation?
A I'm a detective for the City of Elko Police Department.

Q How long have you been a detective with the Elko PD?

A I've been employed since November of 1999.
Q (Inaudible) PD?
A With Elko Police Department.
Q With the Elko Police Department?
A Yeah.
Q And how long have you been a detective during that time frame?

A I've been a detective for almost two-and-a-half years.

Q I want to direct your attention back to August of 2018 .

Did you have occasion to become involved in this case?

A Yes.
Q And specifically with regards to investigating the Taurus 9 millimeter handgun?

A Yes.
Q How did you -- how did this come to your attention or how did you make the connection between that gun and the shooting that happened at Wrangler Circle?

A Originally $I$ was assigned to investigate the origin of a handgun that had been obtained through a traffic stop from a prohibited person. In my investigation, $I$ spoke with two different people for which the handgun was originally sold to. The original owner had sold it to another individual, and then that individual had sold it to the defendant in this case.

Q Now, just more specifically, with regards to the first part of what you said, you know, a traffic stop and a prohibited person, was that Officer Bogdon's traffic stop of Michael Overholser?

A Yes.
Q So you were investigating the chain of custody or chain of possession of that firearm that was recovered in that traffic stop?

A Yes.
Q Now, is there a way to -- through like the ATF or something, can you do a firearm's trace?

Is that something you can do?
A Yes.
Q Tell the jury about that. What is a firearm's trace?

A Our evidence technician when they take in a firearm will typically do what's called an E-trace, and that's where they'll submit to the ATF for a trace of the firearm through its make, model and serial number.

Q The ATF is the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. It's a federal agency; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q I'm gonna show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 353. We'll show it first to defense counsel.

THE COURT: 253, Mr. Mills?
MR. MILLS: 353.
Q BY MR. MILLS: Showing you State's 353, if you would review that for a second, and tell us if you recognize it.

A Yes.
Q Okay. Is that the firearm's trace for the gun in this case?

A Yes, it is.
Q What is the serial number of that Taurus 9 millimeter?

A TCW0275.

Q And who was the owner according to that trace?
Who was it sold to?
A A Mr. Saul Marin, Jr.
Q Okay. Thank you.
Did you follow up with Mr. Saul Marin, Jr.?
A Yes.
Q And what did he tell you about the firearm?
A He told me that he purchased it and then that sometime a few years later he sold it to Dusty Decker.

Q Did you follow up with Dusty?
A Yes.
Q And what did he tell you?
A Dusty told me that he had sold the gun to Alan Honeyestewa about a week before the homicide occurred. MR. MILLS: Okay. Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Where did you contact Mr. Marin at?
A Excuse me?

Q Where did you contact Mr. Marin at?
A I attempted to contact him at his residence --
Q I don't want to know where you attempted to contact him. I asked you where you contacted him at.

A At the police department.
Q Thank you.
And on what day did that conversation occur?
A I believe that was August 28th.
Q Of what year?
A 2018 .

Q Okay. And then it's simply a matter, then, of having -- he bought that -- where does that indicate that Mr. Marin bought the firearm that's Exhibit 255?

MR. MILLS: 353.
THE WITNESS: It shows that the dealer for this transaction was Ruby Mountain Pawn.

Q By Mr. Woodbury: All right. And that may -that would suggest that Ruby Mountain Pawn had owned it and then sold it to Mr. Marin?

A Correct.
Q Thank you.

And is there a value placed on the weapon?
A It doesn't appear there's a value on this form.
Q And then you followed up by having a conversation with -- after the Marin conversation, then you had a conversation with Mr. Decker?

A Yes.
Q And how long after the Marin conversation did you have the Decker conversation?

A It was the following day.
Q Okay. Where did you have that conversation?
A At the Elko Police Department.
Q Who all was present for it?
A Mr. Decker and myself.
Q And during the course of the -- during the course of these conversations, did you learn the value of the weapon?

A Did I learn what?
Q The value that was being placed on the weapon.
A No.
Q And when the weapon -- when you opened the weapon up at -- you discovered that the weapon was in the hands of the Elko Police Department, did you also determine whether or not it had a magazine?

A I don't recall.
Q All right. So you don't know if it had one or
if the magazine was even loaded?
A I don't recall. I reviewed the pictures of the gun, but $I$ don't recall if there was a magazine with it or not at the time.

Q Okay. How long did the conversation with
Mr. Decker take?
A I don't know specifically how long it took.
Q And when was the conversation in terms of the date? Do you know?

A It would have been -- I would have to look at my report to get you the exact date.

Q Do you have your report with you?
A I have a copy, yes.
Q Why don't you look at it and see if it helps?
A I stand corrected from my previous comment. It was two days after my conversation with Mr. Marin. It would have been 8-30 of 2018.

Q Did you know Mr. Decker from before?
A Yes.
Q And how did you know him?
A We played city league basketball together for several years, typically against each other, not on the same team.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: Nothing from the State on Redirect.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do you need this witness retained?
MR. MILLS: The State does not.
MR. WOODBURY: The defense does not.
THE COURT: Detective, you are excused from further appearance at this trial. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys. Thank you.

Why don't we fit in a morning break right here? (Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: We'll be in recess for 20 minutes. (Recess.)

THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Before we call the next witness, I just want to thank you all for being patient and cooperative with the masks. I don't like wearing one either, but one of my jobs is to keep everyone in the
courtroom safe. Until seven months ago, I didn't know that meant keeping everybody safe from a deadly virus, but it does now. That's part of the reason.

The other part of the reason is we really need to get this trial all the way to its conclusion and a verdict. The Nevada Supreme Court says that the same judge has to hear a jury trial all the way through. So if $I$ get it, we're done. I have to declare a mistrial.

As you can see, Mr. Honeyestewa has one attorney. If Mr. Woodbury gets it, I'd have to declare a mistrial. If more than two of you get it, I would have to declare a mistrial.

So there is a reason here beyond concern about getting sick. So I appreciate all of you wearing your masks and being patient and being cooperative with this so we can get this case to a conclusion. If this were a three-day trial, $I$ would still be making you wear your masks, but $I$ wouldn't be as worried about winding it up. But with three weeks, that's a long time.

The numbers of people getting it in this community are on the rise. If you saw the newspaper this morning, there are six jail inmates that have it, so it's just really important we keep doing this. So thank you for your willingness to do it.

Your next witness, Mr. Mills.

MR. MILLS: Saul Marin.
MR. WOODBURY: Your Honor, I hesitate to say this, but $I$ don't think you asked for the lawyers to stipulate that the jurors are here.

THE COURT: Oh, I thought I did. All right. Are the jurors present, counsel?

MR. MILLS: Yes.
MR. WOODBURY: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Woodbury. (Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: I recognize that there is some risks when $I$ have the witnesses remove their masks, but my law clerk and I did some research before the trial started, that there's a federal appeals court ruling that said the witnesses' mask should come off so the jurors can observe the witness. The defendant has a constitutional right to confrontation of the witnesses against him, so that's why I'm asking the witnesses to remove their masks.

So please remove your mask, Mr. Marin.
And that's one of the reasons why we have the witness over there, so we can get as much distance as we can for the protection of the witness and the rest of us. So that's why the witnesses are removing their masks.

Would you please state and spell your first and last names.

THE WITNESS: My name is Saul Marin. S-a-u-l. M-a-r-i-n. And there's a junior at the end.

THE COURT: Junior. Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Mills.
SAUL MARIN, JR.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLS:
Q Mr. Marin, are you a resident of Elko County?
A (Inaudible).
Q How long have you lived here in Elko County?
A I have pretty much my whole life.
Q I want to direct your attention back to -- back
in 2012, about eight years ago.
Did you possess a 9 millimeter Taurus firearm at that time?

A I don't know if it was at that time. I want to say it was earlier than that. But, yeah, (inaudible).

Q So around that time?
A Yeah.
Q Did you possess a firearm?

A Yeah. Yes.
Q Okay. And do you recall where you -- where you got it from?

A Gun World here in Elko.

Q And what did you do with it?
A I sold it to my friend.
Q And who is your friend?
A Dusty Decker.
Q Okay. Mr. Marin, I'm going to show you what's been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 312.

Opening up the box and showing it to you, do you recognize what's in that box?

A Yeah.
Q What is it?
A That Taurus gun that I owned, the Taurus 9 millimeter.

Q Is that the gun that you sold to Dusty?
A Yes.
MR. MILLS: Okay. Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Mr. Marin, you can recognize that gun simply by looking at it?

A Yes.
Q It's different than other 9 millimeter guns?
A I just recognize the gun $I$ owned.
Q Is there something that is scratched on there or makes a difference from other 9 millimeters of the same kind?

A I have no idea. I don't know (inaudible) in there.

Q You said you owned that since 2012?
A I feel like I bought it in 2010 .
Q Okay. And when did you sell it to Mr. Decker?
A Within the next year or two. I wasn't sure. I wasn't working at the time, so it had to be in the next year or two that $I$ sold it to Decker.

Q So that would be in 2012 or 2014 ?
A No. Like between 2010 -- 2010 to 2012 sometime.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: Nothing based on that.

THE COURT: Are there any juror questions for this witness?

Do any of you need the witness retained?
MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: Defense does not.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Marin. You are excused from further attendance at this trial. You cannot discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys. Thank you.
(The witness left the stand.)
THE COURT: Your next witness?
MR. MILLS: Dustin Decker.
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: You can have a seat right there,
sir. Please remove your mask.
Would you please state your name?
THE WITNESS: Dusty Decker.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Mills.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLS:
Q Mr. Decker, good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Mr. Decker, did you used to own a 9 millimeter handgun?

A Yes, sir.
Q And where did you get it from?
A I had bought it from Saul Marin.
Q And how do you know Saul?
A Childhood buddy.
Q And do you remember approximately when that was that you obtained it from him?

A Shoot. It was approximately two weeks or three weeks before $I$ had heard this occurrence happening.

Q All right. So Saul Marin sold the gun to you?
A Yes, sir. He sold that prior, years prior, you know, to me coming up hard on money to sell it to somebody else. But it was just sitting in my dad's safe. And, like I said, I came hard up on money. I needed to pay my electrical bill and --

Q So what did you do with it then?
A At that moment, $I$ kind of asked around if somebody would like a 9 millimeter, you know, kind of displayed it. And not, you know, too hastily. And it was -- it was bought.

Q Okay. And who did you sell it to?
A Alan Honeyestewa.
Q Okay. So do you see the person that you sold the gun to in the courtroom today?

A Yes, sir.
Q Could you point to him and describe what he's wearing for the judge?

A He's in the white shirt.
THE COURT: Can you point to him, please? I
know our mamas told us not to point, but --
THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: -- we've got to do it for these purposes.

MR. MILLS: It's something we do for court.
So may the record reflect the identification of the defendant?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
Q BY MR. MILLS: And when did you sell the gun to him?

A Like I said, about two, three weeks prior to
this occurrence.
Q To the -- the shooting at Wrangler Circle --
A Yes, sir.
Q -- the incident in this case?
A Yes, sir.
Q Two weeks prior?
A Which I had only heard about it, you know. I was -- I was aware of it happening, because as soon as it happened, I mean, I was told, you know. And right away I thought, okay, you know, darn it. But then again, you know, it was out of my hands. I had sold it. And, you know, what he wanted to do with it is what he wanted to do with it.

Q How did you know Mr. Honeyestewa?
A A childhood friend, basketball, growing up together, hunting together, you know, the whole nine, you know.

Q And how did it come about that he was the one that bought the gun from you?

A I had -- like I said, I had asked people, you know, just around. I kinda told them my situation, what was going on. You know, me being jobless at the time, I had asked around, you know, like would you guys be interested in, you know, buying a firearm.

And, of course, to the people that are legal
and, like, of age, because I wasn't -- you know, I'm not like that. I'm not that kind of guy, do that type of thing. And $I$ had asked, and he had raised his hand and showed me interest. And, you know, he came up with some money. And that's how sales happen, I guess.

Q So did you approach him about selling the gun, or did he come to you?

A It was -- we met in a neutral place. It was -like I said, I was interested in selling it. He was interested in buying it. So we met up. Of course, he examined the firearm. And, you know, I told him it fires, you know, and just what a salesman would do, you know. And that's how it went.

Q How much did he buy it for?
A The original price that $I$ was asking for was about 400 bucks. And he had asked me if he could make prior -- payments to me, which $I$ was okay with. And he had made a $\$ 140$ payment to me which, you know, like $I$ said, helped me keep my lights on.

Q Yeah.
A And like I said, I have a child of my own that I have to take care of, so that was the main reason why I, you know --

Q And the plan was for him to make other payments?

A Yes, yes, yes.
Q Okay. I'm gonna show you what's been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 312.

Do you recognize the item that's in this box?
A Yes.
Q What is that?
A A 9 millimeter.
Q Is that -- does that appear to be the same gun that you sold to the defendant?

A Yes, sir.
Q Mr. Honeyestewa?
A Yes, sir.
MR. MILLS: I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Mr. Decker, is there something specific about that in your mind that differentiates it from other guns, 9 millimeter guns, the same brand?

A No, sir. I mean, not --
Q It looks identical to the one that you sold Mr. Honeyestewa, right?

A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

Q But as far as remembering the serial number or anything like that --

A No, I didn't remember that. Like I said, it had been in my father's safe since I -- like I said, I came up hard on needing some money, so that's where it sat until I had made the sale.

MR. WOODBURY: I don't have any other questions.

THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: Nothing based on that, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do you need this witness retained?
MR. MILLS: The State does not.
MR. WOODBURY: We do not.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Decker. You're excused from further attendance at this trial. You cannot discuss your testimony with anyone, other than the attorneys.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(The witness left the stand.)
THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Mills.
MR. MILLS: Billy Hood.
(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Please state your name.
THE WITNESS: Billy Hood.
THE COURT: Thank you.

Go ahead, Mr. Mills.

## BILLY HOOD,

the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLS:
Q What is your profession?
A I'm a sergeant with the Elko County Sheriff's Department.

Q And how long have you been a sergeant?
A Since 2016.
Q I want to direct your attention back to the summer of 2018.

What was your position with the sheriff's office at that time?

A I was a sergeant for our investigations.
Q And did you come to be involved in the investigation of the shooting that happened on Wrangler Circle on July 7th?

A I did.
Q During the course of that investigation, did
you interview a person by the name of Amy Steinbach?
A Yes, I did.
Q And just generally, what was the nature of that interview?

What was the purpose in interviewing her?
A I was contacted by Sergeant Czegledi who told me that she wanted to come back in -- because he had already talked to her once -- and tell the truth.

Q Okay. And did you have a discussion with her about a time that she had been over at Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith's residence about a week prior to the shooting?

A Yes, I did.
Q You talked to her about that?
A Yes, I did.
Q As well as about, you know, the other people who were there at the time that she was there?

A Yes.
Q Did you have any discussions with her about firearms, either being looked at or discussed at that time that they hung out at Ms. Stanger's house the week prior?

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. Now, did you have a chance to review the transcript of that interview this morning?

A I did.
Q And were there, in fact, some things that Ms. Steinbach said about those guns?

A Yes, there was.
Q Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 354.

I'll show it first to defense counsel.
I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 354.

Is this a copy of the transcript or a portion of the transcript of the interview with you and Ms. Steinbach?

A Yes, it is.
Q I want to direct you to page 5 of that transcript and ask you to read into the record your question to Ms. Steinbach about -- about showing Speedy and Tyrell the -- Bradley's gun collection.

So it's this question starting on line 215. If you want to read your question and then her answer, which will take you through line 222.

A Okay. I asked:
"Okay. Now, there was another night you were there -- or the same night that she showed Speedy and Tyrell and you -- well, $I$ keep forgetting his name -Mr. Bradley's gun collection.
"Okay. Yeah, I think -- she didn't show me or Tyrell; she showed Speedy. She gave Speedy the keys, and he -- I'm pretty sure, he opened it. I don't know because $I$ wasn't around there. I was sitting in the kitchen."

Q Okay. Thank you.
So Ms. Steinbach stated she was pretty sure that Stanger had shown Speedy the guns and something about giving Speedy keys and he opened it?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, did she also make a comment about Speedy saying something about -- about the guns, after they left, after Ms. Steinbach and Speedy and Tyrell left, and there was a comment made about the guns.

Do you remember that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So I'll direct your attention to -- this is page 6 now, starting on line 253, where you asked Steinbach, What did Speedy say about the guns. If you can read that portion of the conversation from there until page 7, his answer at line 273, at the back of the page.

A Start on 253?
Q Yes.
A Okay. "Question: Okay. What did Speedy say
about the guns? I know he had to have talked -- talked to him if you looked at them.
"Hmm, that was between him and Tyrell. I don't know, honestly.
"Well, you're in the car. You didn't hear anything?
"I wasn't listening cause $I$ didn't want to hear it. Like -- and I told them, I said, 'No more, I don't want to hear it. You guys just leave her alone.'
"What did you hear, though? I'm trying to help you here, because, uhm, I -- nothing really. Because you were there.
"So he just said -- and then I said, 'I'm kinda' -- and then she said, 'There was a lot of them in there.'"

And that was it.
Q That's it.

So Ms. Steinbach told you that Speedy had made a comment about there being a lot of guns in there?

A Yes.
MR. MILLS: Okay. I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross examination?

MR. WOODBURY: May I have the exhibit?
MR. MILLS: Yes.
(Counsel complied.)

MR. WOODBURY: Your Honor, my evidence list only goes to 352. This appears to be 354 . Did I miss something?

THE COURT: That's all the farther my list from the clerk's office goes, as well, Mr. Woodbury. I don't know if these were submitted to the clerk this morning.

MR. MILLS: They were.
THE COURT: Have you seen this before in discovery, Mr. Woodbury?

MR. WOODBURY: I have seen it before in discovery, yes, Your Honor. I just didn't know it was going to be an exhibit.

THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know either. It has not been offered at this point.

MR. WOODBURY: Have not, although he has had him read from it.

THE COURT: Yes. And it's not in evidence, other than what he read.

Mr. Mills?
MR. MILLS: Yeah. So what the State was doing there, Your Honor, Ms. Steinbach testified yesterday that she couldn't remember making those statements. So, in essence, those particular statements become admissible as a prior inconsistent statement under the
hearsay stamp, as well as under Crowley. And so those specific statements are relevant for that purpose. They're admissible.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, do you want to be heard any further on that?

MR. WOODBURY: I don't.
THE COURT: If you'd like, we can recess for lunch early, come back at one o'clock, and that would give you some time to go over it to prepare your cross examination. Would you like to do that?

MR. WOODBURY: I would.
THE COURT: All right. We're going to be in recess, then, until one o'clock so Mr. Woodbury can have time to review that.

Sergeant Hood, please don't discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys. You will need to be back here at one o'clock.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: Please be back in the jury room by one o'clock. We're in recess.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. MILLS: Yes.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: It's your cross examination,
Mr. Woodbury.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:

Q Detective Hood, your participation in this investigation is considerably broader than you testified about today, correct?

A Yeah, it was.
Q And at the time -- does the sheriff's office divide these responsibilities among the lead detectives or things like that?

A Yes, they do.
Q Could you explain that, kind of, to the jury?
A Well, at the time, Detective Stake was on call. I was actually in Idaho --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Detective. Do you have your mic on?

THE WITNESS: Detective Stake was the lead on this. I was in Idaho when it all happened, the incident. I got called at six o'clock the next morning.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Oh, okay. And this interview that you had with Ms. Steinbach took place on July 11?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And the transcript that you were showed and read from consisted of six pages. Did you know that?

A I know it's considered (inaudible).
Q But your actual interview transcript was 49 pages, wasn't it?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And how did you come to have this interview with Ms. Steinbach?

A I was contacted by Sergeant Czegledi, because he interviewed her the first time. He was busy, and he asked me if $I$ could conduct the interview because she wanted to come back in, stated that she wanted to tell the truth this time.

Q Okay. And you were under the impression that she had said to the other detective that she had not been truthful previously?

A That's what $I$ was told, yes.
Q Okay. Did you know Ms. Amy Steinbach from before?

A I heard her name around. I didn't know her personally.

Q Okay. And as a detective at that time, you're not necessarily involved strictly with just narcotics violations?

A No.

Q Okay. Did Ms. Steinbach tell you how she came to go up to the residence at 2821 Wrangler Circle on the week before?

A I believe she said she was invited up by Jennifer Stanger.

Q Well, actually, didn't she say that Jennifer Stanger was begging her to come up?

A Well, same thing.
Q Begging and asking are the same thing?
A I don't know.
Q Did Ms. Steinbach tell you who she went there with?

A Tyrell Holley and Speedy.
Q And Speedy?
A Speedy.
Q You don't actually have knowledge of what Speedy's actual name is?

A Yes, I do.
Q What is it? How about Terrence Lopez?
A Terrence Lopez, yeah. I just had the Terrence, the first part.

Q And you knew of Mr. Holley and Mr. Lopez?
A I had information on them, yes.
Q Yeah. And that was as a consequence of prior work as a sheriff's office detective?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did Ms. Steinbach tell you that she had been at Ms. Stanger's house previously?

A I believe she had been there before.
Q Excuse me?
A Yes.
Q The week before?
A Yes, the week before.
Q And did Ms. Steinbach discuss the question of whether Ms. Stanger was under the influence of alcohol or something?

A She said she didn't know if she was drunk or high, I believe.

Q Okay. But the impression was she was one or the other or both?

A She wasn't acting normal.
Q And did Ms. Steinbach tell you how Ms. Stanger was clothed?

A I believe in her underwear.
Q She said literally in her underwear?
A Yeah.

Q Did Ms. Steinbach tell you there was a needle on the counter?

A Yes.
Q And do you know what needles are used for?
A They are used to shoot up narcotics. And I guess if you're a diabetic, you use them too.

Q Okay. Did Ms. Steinbach tell you why she had Mr. Lopez and Mr. Holley with her?

A She mentioned something about they're with her because she was feeding them.

Q Okay. Did she discuss with you or did you ask her a question about what happened with a laptop?

A I did.
Q And can you describe for the jury what that means?

A I guess a laptop came up missing, and she says she don't know who took it. And she asked Mr. Holley and Mr. Lopez, and they denied taking it. And she said she never seen it.

Q Okay. And did she inform -- did she tell you that she informed Mr. Holley and Mr. Lopez that if they had it, they needed to give that back?

A Yes.
Q Did Ms. Steinbach tell you what she advised Ms. Stanger to do about the laptop?

A I don't remember.
Q Did Mr. -- did she say that Mr. Holley had told her she needed to get ahold of Speedy?

A If that's in the transcripts, yes, but I don't remember.

Q Okay. And then did you ask her if anything was going on with drugs that day, and she responded that Jennifer was looking for a shard?

A A shard, yeah.
Q And what is a shard?
A It would be a piece of meth.
Q And did Ms. Steinbach say that Jennifer appeared to be out of control looking for it?

A Yes.
Q And then Ms. Steinbach described, did she not, of Ms. Stanger showing Speedy the gun?

A Yes.
Q And did Ms. Steinbach claim that Ms. Stanger hadn't shown Tyrell the gun, she simply showed Speedy the gun?

A I believe somewhere in there it states she brought it out, because Steinbach said she seen the gun also because she told her to go put it away. So I don't know if Tyrell saw it or not.

Q Did she say that Stanger gave Speedy the keys?

A Yes.
Q What did you understand giving him the keys to mean?

A To the gun safe.
Q I'm sorry?
A The gun safe.
Q And did she tell you that she was pretty sure that Speedy had opened it?

A Yes.
Q But she didn't know?
A Yeah. She said she didn't know. She was in the kitchen at that time.

Q Did Ms. Steinbach inform you that she became aware that Ms. Stanger had known Speedy from before?

A Yes.
Q And did she inform you that at a previous time? Ms. Stanger had asked Ms. Steinbach to take Speedy and Tyrell out to her residence sometime before this?

A Yes.
Q Concerning a time when her sister called the cops on her?

A Yes.

Q Okay. What did you understand that to mean?
A I have no idea.
Q Okay. And did Ms. Stanger -- or Ms. Steinbach
inform you that she had looked in, quote, "her backpack"?

A I don't know if she said her backpack, but she said she looked in a backpack. It might have been her's.

Q But she said her backpack, or at least the transcript says that?

A That's what she said then.
Q And then there was nothing.
And do you have any idea who "her" would be?
A $\quad$ In her backpack"?
Q Yeah.
A Probably her own backpack.
Q And did you ask her if it was true that Tyrell
was the ringleader of the group?
A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. And she declined to answer. She said she did not know; is that right?

A Yes.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Redirect?

BY MR. MILLS:
Q Sergeant Hood, so it was your understanding that Ms. Steinbach wanted to come to you to tell the truth?

A Yes.
Q Because she had spoken to another officer previously, correct?

A Yes.
Q And she told that -- or did you know what she wanted to tell the truth about, which part of her statement?

A I believe it was about who picked up her vehicle at the Stockmen's was the main part.

Q Isn't it true that she initially had stated that it was Speedy?

A Yes.
Q But it turns out that it was Tyrell Holley that she gave the truck to or loaned the truck to that evening?

A Yes.
Q And did she tell you that Tyrell had -- that physically, she was afraid of him. He threatened her, and that's why she gave the false statement about that particular thing initially?

A Yes.
Q And that she wanted to come clean with you and tell you that it was really Tyrell Holley?

A Yes.
MR. MILLS: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Recross?

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q I want to clarify that, that there was a period of time when the Elko County sheriff's detectives had been under the impression from Ms. Steinbach, by a written statement, that her car, on the night of July 7th, had been taken, loaned, to Mr. Lopez?

A I never seen the statement, but that's what I was told by Detective Czegledi.

Q Okay. And she clarified you during this conversation -- clarified to you during the conversation that Mr. Holley had, in fact, been the person who went and borrowed her car?

A Yes.
Q And that doesn't appear in the first seven pages of the interview that you just read -- or do you know whether that did -- or the interview that was marked into evidence?

A I don't believe it was in that one, no. I know it's in there somewhere.

Q I'm sorry?
A Somewhere in the transcripts, but --
Q You recall it. All right.
And then she had indicated that Mr. Holley had threatened her with physical harm if she hadn't lied to the cops?

A Yes.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have nothing further on that.

THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do you need this witness retained?
MR. WOODBURY: The defense does, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sergeant Hood, you are free to leave now. You may be called back to testify later, and you'll need to return. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone, other than the attorneys. Thank you.
(The witness left the stand.)
THE COURT: Your next witness?
MR. MILLS: Ronnie Sorensen. (Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Please state and spell your first
and last names.
THE WITNESS: Can $I$ put this back on?
THE COURT: No. You need to leave it off while you testify, please.

THE WITNESS: Ronnie Sorensen.
S-o-r-e-n-s-e-n. Yeah.
THE COURT: And first name is $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{e}$ ?
THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Mills.

RONNIE SORENSEN,
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MILLS:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Sorensen.
Do you know a person by the name of
Bradley Smith?
A Yes, I do.
Q And how did you know that person?
A He was a friend.

Q How long had you known him before he passed?
A I would say maybe two years, maybe a year or two and a half.

THE COURT: Mr. Bailiff, is that microphone on?
There you go. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Two years probably, a year-and-a-half, two years.

Q BY MR. MILLS: So you had known him for a year-and-a-half or two years before he passed?

A Yeah. Yes, sir.
Q And so how did you guys meet, or how did you know each other?

A I -- I was kinda seeing a friend that was friends with (inaudible) the couple. So (inaudible).

Q And who was that friend?
A It was Angela Sherman.
Q Okay. And a couple of years ago, back when Bradley passed in the summer of 2018 , where were you living at that time?

A I was living right down the street, probably not even a block away from him, in my mother's house.

Q So you were living at your mother's home down the street from Bradley?

A Yes. That's correct.
Q How often would you see Bradley?
A I would say a few times a week, probably, I would talk to him.

Q Would you go over there, or would he come over
to your place?
A I would usually go over there. Every now and then he would stop over, but $I$ would always call before I would go to his place. I didn't like going (inaudible).

Q Was there anyone else living with Bradley at his place at that time?

A That would be Jennifer Stanger or Stanger.
Q How well did you know her?
A Like I said, about the same time as Brad.
Q I want to talk about a time about a week before Bradley was killed.

Were you over at Bradley's and Jennifer's place about a week before?

A Yes. That's correct. That's correct.
Q So what do you remember about that? Let me be more specific.

How did you -- or why were you over there? What were you doing?

A The purpose $I$ was over there for was $I$ was using his garage and his power tools, because $I$ didn't have the equipment outside to do it. So I did it indoors. And he was allowing me to use his -- his tools.

Q Was Bradley there that day?

A No, not that day.
Q Was Jennifer there?
A Yes. Yes.
Q Did anyone else come over that day?
A That day, I think there was two people there.
Q And who --
A And a third person -- well, a girl and two guys that were there.

Q A girl with two guys.
Do you know who those people were?
A I'm not really sure on the names. But, I mean, I've seen them, but not really associated, like, acquaintances with them, or whatever you want to call them.

Q Do you know Speedy Lopez?
A Yes, I do.
Q Do you know Tyrell Holley?
A At the time, I didn't. But then as I got -met him, that's how I met him.

Q Did you meet him that day, or had you met him before?

A I would say that was probably the first time -I probably heard of him, but $I$ never really met him in person.

Q But that day, though, you did already know

Speedy Lopez?
A Yeah. Yes. Yes.
Q Was he one of the two guys that came over with the girl that day?

A Yes, that's fair.
Q And was Mr. Holley the other guy?
A Yes.
Q Did you know the girl they came over with?
A I do not. I don't know her name. I've -- I didn't know her.

Q Okay. And so after those three people came over, what did they do?

A At that time, around there, a laptop was stolen. And then $I$ went back and she was crying, saying they took the laptop or something.

Q She, who, was crying?
A Jennifer was stating that. And they were gone and she was crying. And all of the lights were off. So I was, like, what's going on here?

Q So did you leave at some point and then come back?

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. So when did you leave?
A I was only gone for probably not even five minutes, maybe, at the tops, maybe.

Q Were Speedy and Tyrell and the girl there when you left?

A Yes, they were.
Q Were they there when you went back?
A No.
Q So they left in between?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q And is that when Jennifer told you that she suspected them of stealing a laptop?

A Yes. That's correct.
Q So while -- you know, before you left, and then they left, and while you were there at the same time that they were there, did you see any guns or hear anybody talk about guns?

A I heard them talk about it. And then I noticed -- well, $I$ seen both of them come out of the room with keys to the gun cabinets.

Q Who did you see coming out of the room with the keys?

A The two -- whatever his name is and Speedy.
Q So Tyrell Holley and Speedy?
A Yes.

Q Do you remember which of them had the keys?
A As far as I remember, I thought one of them -each had one. As far as $I$ could -- I didn't see them
with them in their hands. But $I$ was -- each of them had the key to each safe.

Q So what led you to conclude that those keys were to a gun safe?

A I asked Jennifer why they were in that room and why they got keys in the gun cabinet in their room.

Q And what did she tell you when you asked her that?

A There was a gun -- guns or something.
Q Okay.
A To that (inaudible).
Q Did you personally see any of the guns?
A Not at that moment. I mean, I shot guns with him before at the shooting range, but that was only a few times. But none of his guns, at that time, that I was aware of that was his, but I did see one gun.

Q Okay. So what was the gun you saw?
A I don't know what the caliber on it was. It might have been -- maybe a 22 or -- you know, I'm not really sure.

Q A handgun or a rifle?
A Yeah, a handgun.
Q A handgun.
So again, to clarify, what were the circumstances -- or when and where did you see that gun
and who had it?
A Holley or Tyrell had a gun that he had with his backpack. And he kept holding it, and he was showing me. And I said, I didn't want to touch it. I didn't want nothing to do with it.

Q So was that a gun that he had brought with him, or was that one of Bradley and Jennifer's gun?

A I'm sure -- I'm assuming that he brought it with him. I'm not sure if it was one of them or not. (Inaudible).

Q All right. So focusing on Bradley's guns, did you ever see any of those guns on that date that you were all hanging out together?

A No, I did not.
Q You just heard them talk about the guns?
A Yes.
Q And saw them with the keys to the gun safe?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So I want to now talk about something that happened the day before Bradley was killed.

Did you run into speedy that day?
A Yes, I did. That morning.
Q Where did you run into him at?
A Right around Stockmen's (inaudible).
Q Okay. Did you have a conversation with him?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what did you guys talk about?
A Well, he was just talking about how he and -well, they were going to go back and rob him, his guns and his tools.

Q Speedy told you they were gonna go back for the guns and the tools?

A Yeah, he told me that. And I told him no, he better not. I said, That's stupid. Don't even do that. It's not a good idea. And he apparently didn't listen. And he didn't have a care in the world, so...

Q Did the subject of Bradley's work schedule come up in the course of that conversation?

Did you guys talk about that?
A Yeah. He brought it up and asked me if I knew.
And I never -- I never worried about a schedule or needing a schedule. I talked to him, said he was off, if not, I wouldn't go over there (inaudible).

MR. MILLS: Okay. Thank you. I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Mr. Sorensen, what is your age?
A Excuse me?

Q How old are you?
A 45 .
Q How many?
A 45 .

Q And where are you presently employed?
A I'm not employed at this moment.
Q And back on July 7th, 2018, were you employed?
A Yeah. I was starting to go back to work at
Khoury's Marketplace (inaudible).
Q Well, you testified at the preliminary
examination regarding this matter with Mr. Honeyestewa.
A I believe so. I'm not really sure.
Q Were you provided with the transcript of your testimony?

A Yes, I did.
Q And you read it?
A I did not look over it, but I was -- I was in the presence of it. But $I$ didn't bring it or $I$ didn't look it over before $I$ came, no.

Q And you also testified at the preliminary hearing of Mr. Lopez, Speedy?

A Yes, I did.
Q And were you also provided a transcript of that testimony?

A Yes, I was.
Q Okay. Did you read it?
A Just what I remember telling you guys. Yes, I -- what $I$ remember telling you, yes.

Q You're talking awful low and $I$ can't hear you.
A As I remember, yes, I did went over it to -- in my head and in my mind. I did not -- or I didn't read the transcript before $I$ came. No, I did not.

Q Okay. Do you recall testifying at your preliminary hearing that you had known Jennifer Stanger for a substantially longer period of time than Mr. Smith?

A I may have. I'm not really -- I couldn't pinpoint it. I mean, I really don't pay attention to how many years $I$ know a person or...

Q Okay. And you were living at whose house in those days?

A My mother's.
Q Okay. Were you also having some trouble with controlled substances at that time?

A I wasn't, no.
Q None?

A $\quad$ No.
Q And the lady that you met Ms. Stanger through, did she have a controlled substance problem?

A You're saying the girl that was there at the home?

Q Angela?
A Or Angela? Was she?
Q Yeah.
A She had in the past, yes.
Q Well, she was on her way to prison or just got out of prison for controlled substances?

A She should have been.
Q You don't know?

A I don't know. I couldn't tell you, sir.
Q I thought you were in a romantic relationship with her.

A I was -- hangout and seen her. I don't know if you call that romantic. Would you?

Q How did you -- well, let me ask it this way: What time was it that -- on the week or so before when Mr. Smith was killed, what time was it that you went over to Ms. Stanger's house?

A It wasn't the night, but afternoon, but night, night.

Q It was night?

A Yes, it was.
Q Was it as late as 8:00 or 9:00?
A Yes, it was.
Q And you were going over there to do some work on something?

A Yes. A project (inaudible).
Q I'm sorry?
A Yes. I was doing a -- I was working on a project $I$ was doing and making sure it was cleaned up before Brad got there.

Q Okay. And how did you come to -- well, ultimately you went inside the residence, not the garage, but where the dining room and the kitchen and everything is, right?

A Yes.
Q All right. And were you -- did you go over after Mr. Lopez and Ms. Steinbach and Mr. Holley arrived or were they already there?

A They were already there when $I$ was there, when I showed up.

Q Okay. And what were they doing?
A If $I$ remember correctly, the girl and Jennifer were trying on clothes, cleaning the room or something to that effect. And the other two were talking, walking out of Brad's room (inaudible).

Q Do you happen to recall how Ms. Stanger was dressed?

A I do not recall. I mean, she was dressed, I can tell you that.

Q She's a pretty modest dresser?
A I -- she dresses normal, I guess. No -- yeah.
Q Well, you don't recall her being literally in
her underwear, do you?
A No, I didn't.
Q You would notice that?
A I'm pretty sure I would.
Q Were there any controlled substances being used in the residence?

A Not that $I$ was aware of, no.
Q Uh-huh.
And so when you went in, what did you do?
A I sat in the kitchen, in the breakfast part area. And then $I$ walked into the front room to see what they were coming out of the room for.

Q All right. And did you have some interest in being there, as opposed to working on the project?

A Other than that, no.
Q And had you been invited by someone to sit in and have a conversation?

A Well, when $I$ went there, the real reason why I
was going there was to clean up, make sure the garage -- the area $I$ was cleaning and all his tools were there and everything was in order. That's why I was there.

Q Well, $I$ know why you went over, but you didn't do anything with the project that you had.

What you did was you sat with the four of them in the -- in the residence, right?

A Not sat with them, no, I did not. I mean, I did go to the -- arrange the (inaudible). I went to the garage before I left.

Q Yeah.
A I came back.
Q Then there came a time -- I guess you left twice?

A One time.
Q Why did you testify at the preliminary hearing that you went once to go change your pants?

A (Inaudible) I had shorts or something on. But at the same time, $I$ was only gone not even --

Q Well, if you testified that you went and changed your pants -- out of shorts and into pants --

A It takes not five minutes to change your pants, sir.

Q That wasn't what $I$ asked you, how long it took.

What I asked you, sir, is did you leave one time to go change your pants?

A If $I$ had, it had to have been the same time frame.

Q Okay. And then you left the second time to go get some batteries?

A (Inaudible).
Q Who were the batteries for?
A Jennifer just needed batteries for a flashlight or some -- I can't really remember exactly why. But I said $I$ have some new batteries at the house, so she told me to go grab them, so I left. I said, All right, I'll be right back. Walked there and walked right back.

Q Did you testify at the preliminary hearing that Mr. Honeyestewa -- you went to get batteries for Mr. Holley?

A I don't recall, but it was for Jennifer, whoever needed batteries in the home.

Q Okay. And that was a pretty generous thing for you to do.

A Well, I -- I always help a person that $I$ can help, so...

Q And then Mr. Holley and Mr. Lopez and Ms. Steinbach left the house?

A Yes. (Inaudible) back to come back -- where the batteries $I$ left for, they were gone. And she was the only one at that residence with the lights off.

Q Jennifer was?
A Yes. Jennifer was.
Q And there had been some conversation between you and Ms. Stanger over whether she had seen anybody take the laptop?

A Yes. She asked if $I$ saw anyone take it or -and then she said, $I$ know you didn't take it. And I'm all, why would I steal a laptop? I mean, it wasn't me. So she -- on the assumption that one of those three had taken it.

Q Yeah. And you had been out to the garage smoking a cigarette when they left?

A That's when I left to go get the batteries. They -- I was in there doing my arranging in the garage. And they came walking out into the garage, out of the mud room, or whatever you want to call it, walking, and they didn't see me because $I$ was on the other side. And that's when they saw me smoking a cigarette and I left.

And then when $I$ came back, everyone was gone, but Jennifer was the only one there present.

Q And did you ever tell anybody you saw bullets
from the pocket?
A No, I did not.
Q You didn't know who took the laptop?
A I knew it wasn't me. But I did not know who took it.

Q I didn't ask you if you took it. I said, you don't know who took it?

A No, I do not.
Q Okay. And Ms. Stanger confronted you about that?

A She -- not me, she confronted me, did $I$ see any of those guys take it or her. And I replied, no, and it wasn't me. So then she tried to call them or get ahold of them to bring the laptop back.

Q And did you go in the house and look to see where the laptop had been?

A All -- it was all dark and stuff at that nature night. And, I mean, I'm not gonna -- like, where the laptop was, it wasn't, like, in the breakfast bar area, the top of the table there (inaudible).

Q And then were you present when Ms. Stanger made her efforts to call Ms. Steinbach about who took the laptop?

A Yeah. In the beginning $I$ was, but then $I$ left.
Q Okay. And how did Ms. Stanger accomplish that?

A As far as $I$ know or heard or whatever, she did it all over the phone.

Q And did she appear to have -- was she texting or talking?

A I believe she tried calling over and over and over and then tried texting, and then went back to calling, if $I$ remember correctly.

Q Okay. And that took a while?
A Yeah. I would say it -- I'm not sure on how long it took her. But like I said, I left after I -- I couldn't give her any more information or anything.

Q Okay. Then did you have conversations with Ms. Stanger the next few days on and off about the laptop?

A She -- yeah, I believe so, I did. And then they were talking about she -- she was talking, like, they admitted to taking it and then they wanted money for it. And then they upped the amount, the money to put the laptop back.

Q And you didn't have any conversations with Mr. Smith about the missing laptop?

A Not at that moment, no. That was between her, Jennifer, and Brad.

Q Okay. But the answer is not at the moment --
A Not at that moment.

Q Wait.
The answer is between Sunday and the next Saturday, you never had any conversations with Mr. Smith about the missing laptop?

A No, I did not.
Q How come?
A I -- I had -- all this I had -- I told her.
So, I mean, she knew everything I told her.
Q Well, the fact was that you were under the impression that Mr. Smith was the primary owner of the laptop?

A Yes.
Q And you didn't discuss with him who Speedy was or who Holley was or who Steinbach was and what you knew about them?

A Not at the -- I don't recall. When all of that went down, he came back. And that was between them two, which -- what was going on with that, how (inaudible).

Q But you knew who Mr. Lopez was from prior knowledge?

A Yes.

Q And you didn't want to tell him you knew who Mr. Lopez was?

A I didn't really. They already admitted to
taking it, so $I$ had no reason to even comment on why or who or...

Q Okay. So now we go down a week to, I guess, late Friday night or early Saturday morning. Saturday morning would be on July 7th.

And you ran into Speedy?
A Yes, I did.
Q And where was that at?
A Like I said, I ran into him at Stockmen's.
Q Did you get in the car with him?
A Yes.
Q And who was in the car?
A Him, a girl, and $\operatorname{l}$ believe -- I'm not really sure on exactly who was in the vehicle. I think there was -- I'm thinking maybe two girls and him and me. I don't really know how it went down with that, but --

Q Were you intoxicated at that time?
A Not intoxicated, no.
Q Were you under the influence of controlled substances?

A No, I was not.
Q Did you meet Speedy and go up to the car where he was at, or did you meet him outside of a car?

A No. We were outside of the car and got in, and that's when he started talking about being the -- going
back and taking the guns and all the tools. That's when I told him, No, don't do it. That's stupid. Don't.

Q My understanding from your preliminary hearing testimony was that you initiated the conversation with Mr. Lopez by saying that you were being accused of the theft of the laptop and you wanted him --

A No. I - -
Q Just a minute.
That you wanted him to give it back.
A You're correct on that. But when $I$ talked to him about that, I did it alone as me and him, so it was no one else. Because I asked him why they did it and blaming me.

Q And you were angry and ready to fight?
A I mean, I wasn't -- I mean, if that came down to it, it would have come down to it. But it didn't happen, so --

Q Well, $I$ realize it didn't happen, but that's what you testified to, was that you were --

A Yeah. I was pretty mad because I was accused of something I didn't do.

Q Okay. Who was accusing you?
A The three of those people that were mentioned by -- that were mentioned at that residence.

Q How would you know if they were accusing you if you hadn't seen them or even talked to them --

A Word of mouth.
Q I'm sorry?
A Word of mouth.
Q Word of mouth? Whose mouth did those words come out?

A Pretty much anybody that knew about the situation.

Q Knew about the laptop missing?
A Yes.
Q But it wasn't Brad Smith?
A $\quad$ No.

Q And it wasn't Jennifer Stanger?
A $\quad$ No.
Q And so the conversation progressed from a confrontation about you being accused of being the thief, and what was the next part of the conversation?

A That's when he was saying that he was gonna go back and grab the guns and the tools.

Q Did Mr. Lopez appear to be under the influence of alcohol?

A Yes.
Q Did he appear to be under the influence of narcotics?

A I don't -- I didn't see with my own eyes if he had been or $I$ don't know how he is or it affects him. I don't really hang out with him that much.

Q There are characteristics of people who use drugs that are somewhat similar, aren't they?

A Well, I -- I guess. I mean, I'm not really -I don't know, to be honest.

Q What about Angela? Did you watch her when you were romantically involved with her?

A That wasn't really the top thing of my mindset. But, I mean, if it was done, it was done behind my knowledge.

Q So basically, were Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith your closest personal friends at that time?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Who was?
A I don't -- I mean, I got a lot of personal friends. I can name them off here all week long. I don't really know where that person -- I don't know how to answer that question.

Q You had close friends?
A I had close friends, yes.
Q But Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith weren't part of that group?

A They were close. I had a lot of respect for
them. And I (inaudible) I considered them a friend. I mean, if someone was gonna do that to even a neighbor that $I$ didn't know, $I$ still would go and, Hey, this is -- if at least let them know. It's kind of -- may happen.

Q And Mr. Smith allowed you to use his tools and equipment in his garage?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So when Mr. Lopez -- well, let me go back.

Did you ever talk to Ms. Stanger about what the heck was going on when she was showing the gun to Mr. Lopez?

A Yeah. I kinda accused to say that they wanted to see what kind of guns he had. And that's when I was, like, they shouldn't be going out of Brad's room with keys with (inaudible).

Q And did you ask her why in heaven's name she had given the keys to them?

A In the same question, she was saying, It's okay, it's all right, $I$ trust them, they're just looking at them, and that was it.

Q But she didn't just let them look at them. She gave them the keys.

A That's correct.

Q And didn't that strike you as very, very (inaudible)?

A That's why $I$ was asking her what the heck is going on. I mean, Brad would not like this at all.

Q And what did she tell you?
A That is the thing, that she trusted them and it's all right.

Q I'm sorry. I didn't understand you.
A She said it was okay, she trusted them. And it was okay, that she trusted them, that they were able to do that.

Q Okay. And had you known Ms. Stanger to have a friendship with Mr. Lopez from previous times?

A I didn't know at that time. After, there was talk about it. But like $I$ say, $I$ couldn't tell you if they were or not or they were. I didn't see any proof to that. So, I mean, I don't --

Q Did you know anything about Ms. Stanger's relationship with Mr. Holley before that?

A No, I did not.
Q And when you talked to her about the keys and she said it's all right, did she explain to you that she knew him from prior times?

A No. She just said he was a good -- a friend of hers that she trusted, and that it's okay.

Q Okay. So now going back to the early morning hours of July 7th, you had a conversation with Speedy, and he ultimately told you he was going back to get some guns, get those guns?

A Yes, he did.
Q Okay. And who all was present when that conversation took place?

A I -- at that moment, we were kinda alone. But then there was that one girl that might have overheard. But she was up front, or the wheel. And then he just kept rattling on about it, that he was gonna do it. But I just kept telling him, Don't do it. And I took it to my own -- tell Brad when $I$ saw him.

Q Well, did you give Mr. Lopez some advice about there being cameras in the house?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what did he respond?
A Pretty much they already saw it (inaudible) there anyways but it shouldn't matter. Something to that affect.

Q And Mr. Lopez asked you for Mr. Smith's work schedule?

A Yes. He asked me if $I$ knew if -- what days was he off, long change or days off, or nights, days, and $I$ told him $I$ did not know that kind of information.

Q Did he ask you for any other information?
A Not that $I$ am aware of, but -- that $I$ can think of now.

Q How did the conversation end?
A That $I$ wouldn't do it. You're stupid if you are. I mean, you're probably gonna get -- excuse my language -- shot or something is gonna happen, somebody is gonna get hurt (inaudible).

Q Well, did you tell him that you were pretty close friends or a friend, at least, of Mr. Smith's, and you were gonna go tell him that Speedy was up -what he was up to?

A Pretty much. Yeah. He didn't want to believe me, so -- well, he believes me now.

Q So then after you had your conversation with Mr. Lopez, where did you go?

A I believe I went home after that.
Q Did he give you a ride home?
A No.
Q How did you get a ride home?
A I had a friend pick me up.
Q And who was that?

A I can't really recall who it was, but it was -I don't know really right now (inaudible).

Q Okay. And so you get back home. And then did
you get in contact, again, with Ms. Stanger?
A Say that again.
Q Did you subsequently get in contact with Ms. Stanger?

A No. I did at the beginning. And I figured I'd try to tell her when Brad was off, I need to talk to him. And then he was off days -- or night shift, and $I$ went to the residence and told him (inaudible).

Q But first you told Jennifer, right?
A Yeah. I told her that $I$ needed -- I'm planning on telling him, so I'm coming over. So...

Q Well, actually, she came to your house, right?
You called her and asked her to come over --
A I didn't ask her to come over.
Q -- so you can tell her about this?
A No, I did not. If I talked to her, it was over the phone or saying I'm going over to talk to Brad when he's off work to let him know so he gets a heads up. Well, I didn't know that he wanted to do it. But I didn't know if he was telling the truth or if he was just talking out of his -- talking nonsense or whatever he was doing. But $I$ thought it would be a good idea for him to know if it was gonna happen.

Q Right. But didn't you testify previously that you told Jennifer all about it first?

A I didn't tell her. She was aware of it. I'm not, like -- I'm not remembering exactly how -- the day or (inaudible) or what. But she did know what was gonna happen when Brad got off work that day.

Q All right. Did you have a romantic relationship with Jennifer?

A No, I did not.
Q Now, I want to clarify. Prior to talking to Jennifer, either personally or on the phone, you hadn't -- you had no reason to believe that she was aware that Mr. Lopez was coming to steal the guns?

A I wasn't aware of any of that, any of that happened, no.

Q So then you testified -- you told her about what Mr. Lopez had said about stealing the guns, correct?

A Yes, I did.
Q And then you and she discussed telling Mr. Smith?

A I didn't discuss it. There was nothing to discuss. I told her $I$ was telling him point-blank. She couldn't do nothing about it. So it was happening. So...

Q Okay. And Mr. Smith said, We're gonna steal the guns -- or, excuse me, Mr. Lopez said, quote, "We
are gonna steal the guns"?
A He said we are going back. I -- when he said that, he might have been referring to the same ones that were there that night, that $I$ was aware of at that residence.

Q And then you went over to where Mr. Smith was working that day?

A No. I went to his -- I went to his home when he got off of work, probably like 7:30 or 8:00 in the morning. He was just getting off of night shift. And then $I$ knocked on the door. They were talking, kinda in an argument, kind of in the breakfast bar area. I walked in. After that, they kinda did a break from whatever they were talking about. And I told Brad I needed to talk to him in the garage, and it's a man-to-man conversation.

And $I$ went to the garage and waited for him to come to the garage, and that's when I told him.

Q And Ms. Stanger was there during the conversation?

A Yeah. She heard -- overheard me talking about it. And then she came in as we were talking about what I told him about what happened -- gonna happen, or was gonna happen. And then they were still arguing over something about when another girl or something had
happened prior to when she went to jail. She might have had something -- hung out with a girl or something to that effect. And they were kinda arguing over that. But other than that, I left after I told him that.

Q And when you say "they," Mr. -- Mr. Smith and Ms. Stanger were arguing over Mr. Smith having had something to do with another, quote, girl, closed quote, when Jennifer was in jail?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And do you know when that was?
A What was that again?
Q When was she in jail. Do you know?
A I'm not sure of the dates. I think she went to jail on a child endangerment and a DUI, something like that. Something like to that affect.

Q And you knew that Jennifer was on her way to go to rehabilitation?

A I did not know that at that time, no. That was news to me, so I didn't know that.

Q When did you learn that?
A After it was all -- the incident had happened.
Q When you had left Speedy, did you have an idea when it was that he was gonna be coming home?

A No, I didn't.
Q Okay. And the nature of the conversation
between Jennifer and Mr. Smith didn't concentrate too much on the potential theft that was gonna happen. What that conversation was about was Mr. Smith's behavior while she was in jail?

A Yeah. You're probably right on that. It was kind of a mix between the both of them, because what $I$ presented to him was more of a man-to-man thing. Whatever he did on his -- that was between him and her. I had no -- nothing to do with that situation. I had no real say. That conversation never really -- it kind of balanced out because I left. I didn't want to -any more problems. I said, I didn't come over here to make you guys fight even more or -- I just wanted to let you know.

Q Did Mr. Smith appear to be under the influence of alcohol at that time?

A Yes, he was.
Q All right. And that would have been earlier in the morning?

A Yes, it was.
Q And did Ms. Stanger appear to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?

A No (inaudible).
Q Okay. And was there any discussion about how Mr. Smith and Ms. Stanger might react to this gun theft
prospect?
A No. I -- like I said, it didn't matter how it was gonna go. He's gonna know about it. I mean, I'm not going to hide nothing from my friend. So...

Q I'm not asking whether he knew about it. I'm asking whether there was some conversation or advice you gave him that -- about how he might handle it?

A He didn't say what? I said, I don't know what you want to do about it, take care of it yourself, or do whatever you want, or call the cops, or do something about it, or -- it's up to you. And he was, like, Don't worry. I'll take care of it.

Q Actually, you previously testified that you even offered to come over and help him?

A Oh, yeah, Dude. He needs someone to stand up and back him up. I'm not saying a gun fight or anything, but $I$ was, like, asking him, Hey, man. What the heck, man? Like, a witness to what was going to occur or what had happened.

Q I'm not clear what, "Hey, man, what the heck, man" means. Is that --

A Well, no. To Speedy and Tyrell, confront them in person, too, with him, say, okay, well, at least he had more confidence in himself to do something, like stand up and ask, Hey, what are you guys -- you know,

Here's your plans. What are you doing or why?
Q And did you give him advice?
A Yeah. I said, If you need me, call me.
Q You didn't tell him to call the cops?
A I told him to call the cops numerous times. I said, $I$ don't know what you want to do, call the cops. I mean, $I$ don't know what he was gonna tell them. But at least they're aware of it.

Q So did you tell him that you knew that Mr. Lopez had been under the influence of alcohol and might be a problem, might do something really stupid?

A Yeah. He knew that he was -- had been intoxicated and -- back whenever they had a conversation. I don't know if he was telling the truth. I don't if it's a story or whatever he wants to play, whatever he's doing, just plan his own --

Q Okay. Did you ever tell Mr. Smith about Ms. Stanger, how foul it was that Mr. Lopez would come to the home about the guns in the house?

A I don't -- if I did, it had to have been just thrown in there. That wasn't really the issue on that part. It was just the part of me telling what $I$ heard, what was -- was going to happen to him.

Q Did you tell him that Ms. Stanger had shown and given possession of the keys to the gun safe to

Mr. Lopez and Mr. Holley?
A I may have. I don't -- I'm not sure at this moment. I mean, I can't honestly tell you that right now.

Q Well, you had a chance to have a man-to-man talk with him before Ms. Stanger got out there, right?

A Well, if you call it -- yes, I had -- I might have said something in the process of telling him that. I mean, but that was all in the mix of where they were going with the argument that they were having, with the friend or whatever Brad had and tell him that.

So there was a lot of conversations going back and forth between the whole scenario. So I don't --

Q Okay.
A And most people that knew Brad knew that he -he was a gun person. He had a lot of guns. So, I mean, he was known for his guns. So he was aware of that. He knew that.

Q Okay. But having his live-in girlfriend showing males her -- his guns might have been of considerable interest to him, wouldn't it?

A You -- you would think and you would hope. So I couldn't really honestly tell you if that was to him at that moment and at that time.

Q And at least at that time, you didn't know
anything about the rehabilitation?
You still hadn't heard about going to rehabilitation?

A No. I did not even -- that was news to me. After the fact of the matter (inaudible) I heard about it.

Q And during the time that you were telling Mr. Smith and/or Ms. Stanger about somebody coming over to take those guns, they never mentioned to you there was gonna be a period of time they were going to be out of town?

A No, they did not.
Q Would there be some reason to keep that a secret from them?

A If they did, I never heard anything of it. I have no knowledge of any of that.

Q Then after you finished the conversation with Mr. Smith, where did you go and what did you do?

A I went home.
Q Okay. And did what?
A I don't recall that day. I probably had stuff to do around the home.

Q Okay. And did you have any more conversations with Mr. Smith that day?

A No, I did not.

Q And did you have any more conversations with Ms. Stanger that day?

A No, I didn't. No, I don't recall that I did. No.

Q All right. Did you see them or -- where you were living, could you see the -- Mr. Smith and Ms. Stanger's residence from where you lived?

A They meet at the right angle, but, yeah, it's possible you could. I don't think I did. I mean, it's if you get high enough over the trees, maybe. But other than that, $I$ never tried looking over any of that.

Q Did you ever testify that you were gonna housesit for them while they were -- while Mr. Smith took her down to rehabilitation?

A I don't recall. I mean, if they would have said something, he would say, like, if $I$ do leave -- or if $I$ ever would -- I don't recall. No, I don't.

Q And you don't ever recall telling law enforcement about that?

A About what?
Q About housesitting while he took her down to rehabilitation?

A No. I -- because like I said, when all of that happened, whatever, $I$ get a phone call that he had an
accident, that he was in the hospital. So I was wondering what had happened. And then next thing I know, he passed away in the hospital. So I was -- I didn't know anything of it.

Q Right.
But this would have been before. As I
understand, you're saying that you didn't know --
A If the housesitting --
Q No. Just wait a minute.
You didn't know he was gonna take her down to Carson City to a rehabilitation center. And I asked you the question of whether or not you told law enforcement or testified previously that, in fact, you did know it, and you were gonna housesit for them while they were gone?

A If that would -- it might have got confused with him being out of town to housesit with him out of town. That would be the only thing I can think of why I would be housesitting. I mean, I didn't know anything about that.

Q You knew they were gonna be gone. You just didn't know he was taking her to rehabilitation?

A I didn't know -- as far as $I$ knew, Brad worked -- was working. I didn't know she was going anywhere.

Q Well, if he was working night shift, you saw him and he hadn't gone to bed yet?

A That's not -- he's not leaving town if he's at work.

Q But the fact is that you knew he was off work because he hadn't gone to bed after night shift, right?

A Yeah. Yes, that's correct.
Q Thank you.
A But some people don't sleep right after they get off of work.

Q But you knew he did?
A I didn't know that he did, so I didn't know.
Q You knew that if he was working, he wasn't gonna be drinking alcohol?

A I don't know what he did on his free time on the days he worked. So I do not know.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I think that's all
the questions $I$ have for the moment.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: No questions on redirect, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Counsel, I'm gonna have the bailiff show this to you. If you have no objection, just say no
objection. If you have an objection, I'll hear that outside the presence of the jury.
(Bailiff complied.)
MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Mr. Sorensen, were you with Speedy at the Sagecrest Apartments at any time in the early morning of July 7, 2018, before the incident?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I was.
THE COURT: All right. Tell me about that.
THE WITNESS: They give me a ride. I was supposed to get -- whatever happened at Stockmen's, I couldn't -- I'm really not aware of that one. But I got a ride, and they said they can drop me off, and then they went to that apartment complex. And then that's when he started talking about it, doing the stuff to the stealing and the guns and the tools and stuff like that at that (inaudible).

THE COURT: So --
THE WITNESS: That's where that (inaudible).
THE COURT: Were there two different
conversations, one at the Stockmen's and one at the apartment?

THE WITNESS: And then the same kind of -- that same period of time.

THE COURT: So did you have a conversation in the car with speedy at Stockmen's first about he was planning this?

THE WITNESS: It was on the way over, if I remember correctly. I mean, I didn't know they were stopping at that apartment complex. We were at the apartments all the way by Smith's.

THE COURT: Okay. So then you rode with -- you met up with speedy at the Stockmen's, you were with him in his car with him, and then he drove you to the Sagecrest Apartments?

THE WITNESS: He didn't. He wasn't driving. It was a girl that lived in the apartments that was driving.

THE COURT: But in the same car with Speedy?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And then you got to those
apartments and he -- was he then continuing to talk about this?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: Do you have any follow-up on that, Mr. Mills?

MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, any follow-up?

MR. WOODBURY: No.

THE COURT: Do either of you need this witness retained?

MR. WOODBURY: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Sorensen, you may be called back to testify some more. If you're called back, you do need to return. In the meantime, you cannot discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys. But you can leave the courthouse today.
(The witness left the stand.)

THE COURT: Thank you.

Your next witness?

MR. INGRAM: Tyrell Holley.
(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: You may have a seat.

All right. Can you reach up and remove your mask?

Please state and spell your first and last name.

THE WITNESS: Tyrell, T-y-r-e-l-l. Holley, H-o-l-l-e-y.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you pull that mask? There you go. Go ahead, Mr. Ingram.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Mr. Holley, do you know a person by the name of Tieres Lopez?

A Yes.

Q Do you know him by the name of Speedy?
A Yes.
Q How long have you known Speedy for?
A A couple of years.
Q A couple of years from today?
A Yeah.
Q Okay. Did you know Speedy prior to
July 7th, 2018?
A I don't remember (inaudible).
Q I'm sorry?
A I don't remember when $I$ was around him.
Q You don't remember what?
A What was going on then.
Q You don't remember hanging out with Speedy?
A Yeah, I remember.
Q So you do know what was going on?

A With what?
Q With Speedy.
When is the first time you met Speedy?
A (Inaudible) a couple of years.
Q A couple of years?
A Yeah.
Q Did you have any conversation -- do you know why you're here today, sir?

A Not a clue.
Q Okay. Do you know anything about the killing of Brad Smith on Wrangler Circle in 2018?

A Yes.
Q So did you know Speedy at that time?
A Yes.
Q Did you know Amy Steinbach at that time?
A Yes.
Q Did you borrow a vehicle from Amy Steinbach on the 7th of July, 2018?

A If that's the date, yeah.
Q I'm just asking you, sir.
A Well, $I$ don't know the date. Same one.
Q Did you -- do you recall borrowing a vehicle from Amy Steinbach just prior to that shooting on Wrangler Circle?

A (Inaudible) yes.

Q Okay. And how did it come about that you borrowed that vehicle from Amy Steinbach?

A She had to go to work, and (inaudible). I needed it to run errands, so...

Q And did you run errands with the vehicle?
A $\quad$ No.
Q What did you do?
A Come back to my house.
Q So you borrowed the vehicle and took it back to your house?

A Yeah, because $I$ had to call my friend back. Nobody answered so I just went out there and was waiting for her to get off work.

Q Do you know about what time that was?
A Not a clue.
Q At what point did you meet up with speedy that day?

A He lived with me, pretty much (inaudible).
Q He lived with you?
A Yes. (Inaudible).
Q Did he live with you during that time that you met up with him when you had Amy's car?

A Yeah.
Q Where did you guys live?
A (Inaudible).

Q Is that here in the Elko County area?
A That's what they got in the newspaper, yeah.
Q Okay. Was Speedy in that vehicle with you, Ms. Steinbach's vehicle?

A I don't remember.
Q Do you remember having an interview with Detective Stake on November 7th, 2018?

A I'm not good at dates. So if you say I did, I did.

Q This is not my testimony, sir. I'm asking you. Do you remember having an interview with Nick Stake on November 7th?

A No, I don't.
Q Did there come a time on the 7th of July, 2018, when you no longer had Ms. Steinbach's vehicle?

A I don't recall dates.
Q Okay. Well, you've only testified about one time that you had Ms. Steinbach's vehicle, correct?

A Yeah.
Q So that one time, that one time that we've talked about this entire testimony, did there come a time when you no longer had Amy's vehicle?

A Can't say, because $I$ don't have the date.
Q Are you refusing to answer my question, sir?
A Yes, sir.

Q Why?
A This thing is ruining my life, and I'm done with it. So...

Q So you're just refusing to answer my question?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Judge, will you direct the witness to answer my question, please?

THE COURT: Mr. Holley, are you concerned that you're gonna incriminate yourself by answering this question?

THE WITNESS: I can't give my life (inaudible) so --

THE COURT: Mr. Holley, unless you are claiming that you're concerned that you could incriminate yourself, in other words, say something that could potentially could get you in trouble for a crime, unless you're concerned about that, you need to answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Are you going to answer the question?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: All right. I can hold you in contempt, Mr. Holley. I don't know why you're in custody right now. But you could be held in custody
for refusing to comply with my order that you answer the question when you're not telling me it's going to incriminate you.

I can try to contact an attorney and have an attorney come talk to you about this.

Would you like me to do that?
THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
THE COURT: All right. We're gonna be in
recess. I'm going to see if $I$ can contact an attorney to come speak to Mr. Holley.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: Mr. Holley, do you have an attorney
right now for what you're doing in jail?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Who is it?
THE WITNESS: Kump.
THE COURT: All right. I'll see if Mr. Kump is available. We will be in recess. (Recess.)

THE COURT: You may be seated.
The defendant and counsel are present. We're outside the presence of the jury. Mr. Holley consulted with his attorney and has declined to testify.

Mr. Ingram, do you have any other questions on direct for Mr. Holley?

MR. INGRAM: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, any cross examination?

MR. WOODBURY: No, Your Honor. But I would like to go on record to explain why.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you.

Pardon me for being a little bit disjointed, because it's a very complicated question. There is a requirement under the state code that lawyers be honest and fair. And $I$ am clearly of the opinion that Mr. Holley has a ton of things that he would testify to if he testified across the board that would implicate him in a half dozen crimes, period.

What the prosecution has done in this case is they put us in a position -- this isn't the first time, it kind of happened with Ms. Steinbach, too. They put us in a position where they ask a few questions and get the little tiny things they want out before they get into questions that will be incriminating.

So here I am, knowing full well that $I$ either violate the state bar code, or somehow that I limit myself to some of the questions that they ask, so $I$ can cross examine on -- without going beyond my -- beyond the scope of the direct examination. And I'm stuck.

I'm stuck.
As I've indicated to you, there's no question in my mind that Amy Steinbach's testimony that Speedy texted or called Mr. Holley after she picked him up the night or the early morning hours of the 8th of July, implicate him in, at a very, very minimum, in a conspiracy.

We think that the evidence would also show that Mr. Holley not only did that, he also told Ms. Steinbach that he would do some serious physical injury to her if she said that he was the guy that borrowed the car.

I will tell you also that there's a lady out there named Joyce Romaine that would testify that Mr. Holley told her that she would be in a whole lot of physical trouble if she didn't testify that she took him down to Crescent Valley on the night of the 7th so he possibly couldn't be in town for all of that. That's just a moderate amount of the information that we have that says that Mr. Holley could implicate himself much further.

I have no idea at this point how far he's implicated himself, other than $I$ guess to be -- in my understanding, the next thing that's gonna happen is Nick Stake is going to be invited up to give his
version of the conversation that he had with Mr. Holley.

So in the final analysis, I can't comply with the law if $I$ cross examine him. In my own mind, I can't. And it seems to me that the appropriate thing to do is whatever the Court does, just say that I do not want the jury to be told that Mr. Woodbury doesn't have any cross examination of him, because $I$ most certainly do.

But I would like to know, I would like to know that the Court will simply say that Mr. Holley is in potential danger of incriminating himself if he testifies further, and has so advised the court, and that's the end of that.

THE COURT: I'm fine with that.
Mr. Ingram?
MR. INGRAM: Judge, I'll start off by saying that I'm also fine with the Court informing the jury of that, and $I$ have no objection to it.

I guess I take some objection to the fact that the State has put Mr. Woodbury into this position. We have alleged in the Criminal Information that this was a conspiracy, and specifically, we named Tyrell Holley. And if we want to elicit that testimony from Tyrell Holley, that is our choice to do so, and it's not
unethical to do so. He is not a charged defendant in this case. It's Mr. Honeyestewa and Mr. Lopez.

So as far as $I$ know, unless there's some ethics opinion that $I$ 'm not aware of, I had no responsibility to Mr. Holley. I expected he would do exactly what he did, not because I'm a mind reader, but because I know the person that Mr. Holley is.

And so we didn't intentionally put anybody into any sort of position. We're simply trying to prove our case, just like we're entitled to do.

THE COURT: All right. We'll get the jury back in here. I'm going to instruct them that Mr. Holley has declined to testify because he's in a position that he might incriminate himself. And we'll move on to your next witness.

Do you have another witness for this afternoon?
MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. We'll give them that instruction and let them go for the day.

We don't have any hearings in the morning, do we, Mercedes?

THE CLERK: One minute, Judge.
No, Judge, do we do not.
THE COURT: All right. So we'll start up at 9:00 tomorrow. So let's get them back in here so 1 can
tell them that, and then let them go.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: You may be seated.
The defendant and counsel are present. Counsel will stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Mr. Holley has declined to testify because he's in a position that he might incriminate himself, so $I$ have excused him from further testimony.

The prosecution does not have another witness
lined up for today, so we are done for today. We will start at 9:00 tomorrow morning.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: Court is in recess until 9:00
tomorrow morning.
(Evening recess.)
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THE COURT: The defendant and counsel are present. Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Mills?
MR. MILLS: Kevin McKinney.
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: Have a seat, please. Would you
remove your mask, please?
Please state your name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Kevin McKinney. M-c-K-i-n-n-e-y.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Mills.

KEVIN MCKINNEY,
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MILLS:
Q What is your occupation?

A I work for the Elko County Sheriff's Office.
Q And in what capacity? What is your job there currently?

A I'm currently the lieutenant in charge of the law enforcement division.

Q How long have you been a lieutenant?
A I've been a lieutenant for seven years now.
Q And how long have you worked for the sheriff's office all together?

A 21 years.
Q I'm going to direct your attention back to July 7th, 2018.

Were you serving as lieutenant at that time?
A Yes, sir.
Q And were you aware of a shooting, slash, home invasion that had occurred over on Wrangler Circle?

A Yes, sir.
Q Where were you, and what were you doing when you were notified?

A Of?
Q Of -- just the night of -- that it happened.
A I was at home when $I$ was notified of the incident and responded from my house.

Q And when did you respond to the scene?
A Oh, it was about -- I believe about 11:30 p.m.

Q So this very same night that this occurred is when you responded?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And in the course of your investigation -- I'm going to fast forward a few days to July 10th.

Were you still involved in the investigation on that date?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. Now, did you have contact with a person by the name of Jenae Moon?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And for state of mind purposes, what did she tell you, and what did that lead you to do in the course of your investigation?

A During my contact with her, we discussed where she had -- she had gone down to a car and had found Alan Honeyestewa shot.

Q Okay. And did she show you the location where that was?

A Yeah, she took us to it.
Q And approximately where was that location that she took you to?

A It was about a half mile from her house. It was -- oh, I can't remember the street. But it's --
you -- there's a stop that goes to -- I can't remember the street name now. But it's on the backside of the subdivision where University Court is and things like that. And it's back up in the -- in the hills there.

Q Okay. Does she live on, I think, Bohobi? Is that --

A Yeah.
Q Does that ring a bell?
A Yeah, on the Colony.
Q And so what was the -- could you describe the area where you searched, $I$ guess, as far as what was it? Was it a field? Was it a yard? A street? What was it?

A It was just a hilly area, a desert -- out in the desert.

Q Okay. And did you find anything of evidentiary value in the vicinity of where she showed you where the vehicle had been?

A Yeah. While we were searching, we found a couple of backpacks. We found some shirts, masks, some beanies that were laying in the area where she said the car was.

Q Okay. And did you find any masks?
A Yes. We found, I believe -- I believe -- I believe we found one mask out laying on the ground,
because the other mask was actually in the car that had been already searched.

Q Okay. And did you find any sweatshirts?
A Yes. I found a sweatshirt that had some holes in it. It looked like it had been shot and had some blood. It looked like blood on it.

Q Okay. And who was involved in the search of that area that you're talking about? Who else was with you?

A It was myself, Detective Billy Hood, Detective John Gaylor, and Detective Mike Keema.

Q Okay. I'm gonna show you a couple of exhibits.
Lieutenant McKinney, I am gonna show you first what has been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 350. If you could take out what's inside of that and (inaudible).

A That's the sweatshirt that we found under the sagebrush there near where the car had been located by Jenae. I recognize it from the bullet holes in the sleeves and the blood.

Q Okay. Thank you.
Could you go ahead and package that back up the way it was?

A (Witness complied.)
Q If you could put on a fresh pair of gloves,
because we'll be handling a different exhibit at this point.

A Thank you.
Q Lieutenant, I am now going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 324.

Do you recognize what that is?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is that?
A That's the -- a neoprene mask that we found just up the hill from the car where Jenae Moon showed us she had found Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q Where was that neoprene mask found in relation to the sweatshirt that you just talked about?

A The sweatshirt was -- based upon her description, the sweatshirt was found almost directly to the driver's side, of the driver's side front door, just off the roadway, while this mask was maybe 20 feet up the hill.

Q Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: What exhibit number was that, Mr. Mills?

MR. MILLS: That's 324.
Q BY MR. MILLS: Well, does that mask, does it appear to you to be in the same condition as it was when you put it in there?

A Other than some marks it looks like the lab has put on there, yes.

MR. MILLS: Okay. The State is gonna offer 324 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: None.
THE COURT: Exhibit 324 is admitted. (Exhibit 324 admitted.)

Q BY MR. MILLS: I'll take those from you.
Lieutenant McKinney, is it part of your
responsibilities as an employee of the sheriff's office to interview witnesses and suspects in cases?

A Yes, sir.
Q Did you do that in this case?
A Yes.
Q Is one of the people that you interviewed the defendant, Alan Honeyestewa?

A Yes, sir.
Q So tell us about how that came about. How did you come to interview him, and where did that take place and when?

A He had been released from the hospital. And so I believe he was transported back to Elko at that point. And so then $I$ was contacted by Detective Gaylor. He left me a message saying Alan Honeyestewa
wanted to speak with me. So I responded that evening to the sheriff's office, where I met with

Mr. Honeyestewa and interviewed him there at the sheriff's office in the interview room.

Q Okay. And this person that you're referring to, this Alan Honeyestewa, do you see him in the courtroom today?

A Yes.
Q Could you point to him and describe what he's wearing for the Court?

A He's wearing a vest and a gray shirt at the defendant's table.

MR. MILLS: Okay. May the record reflect the identification of the defendant?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
Q BY MR. MILLS: So that's the person you interviewed?

A Yes.
Q Did you record the interview?
A Yes.
Q Have you had a chance to review a recording of that interview before today?

A I watched it last night, yes.
Q And was that, in fact, the interview that you conducted with him?

A Yes, sir.
MR. MILLS: Your Honor, the State is gonna offer into evidence State's Exhibit No. 7, which is a recording of the interview with Mr. Honeyestewa.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 7 is admitted.
(Exhibit 7 admitted.)
MR. MILLS: Permission to publish that to the jury at this time?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
Do you want to play the whole video?
MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: About how long is this?
MR. MILLS: About an hour and 40 minutes.
THE COURT: Okay. So, Lieutenant McKinney, will you mask up while we're playing the video?

Thank you.
(Video played.)
THE COURT: Put a lapel mic on that speaker. That might broadcast better.

THE BAILIFF: We can try that.
(Video played.)
Q BY MR. MILLS: Detective McKinney, or Lieutenant McKinney, I'm going to pause it right there
to ask you a question or two about that.
So you're having a conversation with him about the guns, correct?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Mills, you're not mic'ed right
now, so I don't know if the jury can hear you.
MR. MILLS: There $I$ go, I think.
Q BY MR. MILLS: Lieutenant McKinney, were you having a conversation --

THE COURT: Not on. I don't think it's on. Yeah, the light is on.

MR. MILLS: The light's on.
THE BAILIFF: He turned it down a little bit.
Q BY MR. MILLS: There. That works a little better.

So, Lieutenant McKinney, you had a conversation with him about the firearms that were used in the home invasion, correct?

A Yes.
Q And was there a discussion about the 40 caliber?

A Yes.
Q The subcompact?
A Yes, sir.
Q And what did he have to say about that one?

A He said the subcompact 40 was his.
Q Okay. And was there, in fact, a 40 caliber subcompact found at the scene?

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you have knowledge of where that was found, or did you have any involvement with that, or was that someone else in the investigation that recovered that?

A I was with the Washoe County forensic team when they did their evidence collection. I believe that it was in the front porch area, sidewalk area, is where it was located.

Q Okay. What did he have to say about the 9 millimeter?

A He said that Speedy had the 9 millimeter.
MR. MILLS: Thank you. We'll continue now. (Videotape played.)

MR. MILLS: For the record, I have paused the video at 51 minutes and 20 seconds.

Q BY MR. MILLS: So this is a little hard to understand, so I'll follow up with you about it.

So did you have a conversation with him about whether he fired or not?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what did he say to that?
A At that point, he said that he had fired after
he had been shot, he had pulled out his gun and shot, he didn't know how many times.

Q Okay. Thank you.
Just one follow-up. Did he tell you what position he was in when he fired?

A He said he was laying down at the time.
(Videotape played.)
THE COURT: We're gonna take our morning recess.
(Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: We'll be in a 20 -minute recess.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: You may be seated.
The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Before we start that, Mr. Mills, I need to read the order $I$ usually read at the start of the day.

If you are a witness in this case, you are absolutely prohibited from watching this trial unless you've been given specific permission by the Court. If
you do, you may be held in contempt of court, punishment for which could include a jail sentence. Log-in information for all of those who log in to watch this trial on Zoom shall be maintained and filed in this action.

All spectators are prohibited from recording this trial in any manner, or distributing it on social manner, or in any manner on the internet. Violation of this order could result in a finding that you are in contempt of court, punishment for which could include a jail sentence.

Go ahead, Mr. Mills.
(Videotape played.)
MR. MILLS: For the record, I have paused this at 1 minute and -- 22 minutes and 28 seconds.

Q BY MR. MILLS: Lieutenant McKinney, so on that video there is some dings, and it looks like you're receiving some messages from somebody.

What's going on there?
A I had requested some photos from Detective Gaylor.

Q Photos of what?
A That sweatshirt that $I$ was just talking to him about.

Q Did he send you those photos?

A Yes.
Q Did you show those to the defendant?
A Yes, that is what $I$ was showing to him.
Q And this last part we watched, was he able to identify the sweatshirt as his?

A Yeah, he acknowledged that it was his.
Q Thank you.
Just one more question about that.
Was that sweatshirt that you showed him on those photos and that you just testified to, is that the same one that you saw in court earlier today?

A Yes, sir.
MR. MILLS: Okay. Thank you.
(Videotape played.)
Q BY MR. MILLS: Lieutenant, was that the interview you had with the defendant in this case?

A Yes.
Q Now, did you have a chance to review a transcript of that interview?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did that appear to you to be a transcription of the interview that we just watched?

A Yeah.
MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I'm gonna offer into evidence State's Exhibit 7-A, which is a transcript of
that interview.
I'll show it first to Mr. Woodbury, if he wants to review it.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: So long as I'm assured it is the same transcript without any changes being made that was provided to us in discovery, 1691 to 1772.

THE COURT: Can you state that, Mr. Mills?
MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Exhibit 7-A is admitted.
(Exhibit 7-A admitted.)
MR. MILLS: We'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross examination.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Detective McKinney -- Lieutenant McKinney, you responded from your residence about 11:30 at night to 2821 Wrangler Circle?

A I believe so, yes.
Q And at the time, would you have been in charge of the investigation?

A No.
Q How does that work with the sheriff's office?
A Usually, the initial responding detective is
the lead detective on the case, which in this instance, my understanding, it was Detective Stake.

Q Okay. And then what is the arrangement between you and the -- you run -- you were the boss of the detectives essentially, right?

A Yeah. I run the law enforcement division, which includes the detectives, yes.

Q And what is your responsibility with respect to running it and finding out and knowing what the detectives are doing or not doing?

A As far as in -- in each individual
investigation we -- we try and roundtable as much as we can so everybody knows what everybody is doing, try to avoid duplicate effort.

Q Okay. And does a detective routinely write reports that you read or --

A Yes.
Q So you were pretty familiar with things?
A Uh-huh.
Q Okay. And you were the -- how long did you stay there at the 2821 Wrangler Circle when you went up that night?

A I was back and forth. I went and did a couple of interviews, did some other things, went up to Bohobi -- I don't know if I'm pronouncing that right --
and then was back. So $I$ was there 12, 14 hours total, but I was back and forth.

I believe the Washoe lab arrived early the next morning, about 7:00.

Q So you stayed up all night?
A Uh-huh. Yes, sir.
Q And who did you conduct interviews of?
A What's that?
Q Who did you conduct interviews of that night?
A Jennifer Stanger was one of the initial
interviews.
Q Did you interview Mr. Sorensen?
A Who?

Q Mr. Sorensen?
A That wasn't until later.
Q Okay.
A That wasn't that day, no.
Q And how -- how was it determined that you would be the person interviewing Ms. Stanger?

A Mainly because convenience; I was there. The scene was secured by Sergeant Hawkins and Deputy Williams. And so $I$ was available to take her down to the sheriff's office and do an interview.

Q Okay. And did you know Ms. Stanger from previous?

A No. I never met her before.
Q And were you able to discern whether Ms. Stanger appeared to be under the influence of alcohol or narcotics?

A She was hysterical. I don't know whether it was the trauma, or whether it was, you know, chemical substances. I couldn't make that determination.

Q Okay. And at what time did you interview her, or do you recall?

A Oh, it was around 1:00, 1:30.
Q So this incident happened at 11:00?
A Yeah. I believe we were called around 11:00, initially.

Q And she had been essentially in police custody for two-and-a-half hours?

A Yes, sir.
Q And she was still hysterical?
A What -- yes.
Q She was still hysterical?
A Yes.
Q The next morning when the Washoe County Crime Lab got there, folks, what did you do with respect to their presence?

A Got out of the way. Tried to let them do their job.

Q And did you photograph, take some photographs?
A Yeah. I probably did take some photographs and follow-up photographs. They did -- they primarily took photographs. But some points of interest that we thought, you know, we might not want to wait until we get their pictures, $I$ took some pictures of.

Q Okay. And the placards that were put up, did you -- did the Elko County Sheriff's Office put out placards?

A No. That would have been Washoe.
Q Okay. There came a time in the interview with Mr. Honeyestewa that you said there was a bullet hole in the back bedroom wall?

A I believe there were several bullet holes in the back bedroom wall, from my understanding.

Q So you went in the garage and looked for those bullets?

A Not myself, no. That would have been the Washoe County Crime Lab.

Q And do you know why they didn't go in there?
A No. I wouldn't know why.
Q But --
A I thought they would.
Q Yeah.
But you were essentially following them as they
went around and did the photographs?
A Yes, sir.
Q And somehow it just worked out that didn't happen (inaudible)?

A Yeah, possibly. I don't know.
Q Did there come a time -- were you also present when the decision started to be made as to evidence collection from the residence?

A No. No. I mean, I was there. Washoe County Crime Lab collected the bulk of the evidence, I believe.

Q You became aware of a subsequent conversation between Detective -- Sergeant Hood, who told Washoe County they didn't need to collect certain evidence?

A No, I'm not aware of that. No, I'm not. I don't recall that.

Q Would that be --
A Would it be something -- I'm not sure. Would it something specific or -- I don't know.

Q Well, or bullets that were apparently lodged here and there in the house, and Detective Hood told them to don't bother getting it if it would destroy any part of the house?

A Okay. I found out about that much later, yes. I did find out about that much later in the
investigation.
Q Is that consistent policy -- or policy that typically (inaudible)?

A I would say, no. But I'm not sure what the circumstances were at the time that Detective Hood was looking at. I mean, I would have personally probably got it.

MR. WOODBURY: Okay. All right. Excuse me. I've (inaudible) here.

I apologize, Judge. I've lost my trial
notebook for reasons I can't fathom.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: You also conducted an interview of Mr. Lopez, Tieres Lopez?

A Yes, sir.
Q And he's better known as Speedy?
A Yes, sir.
Q When did you conduct that interview?
A I believe the day after the initial call, or two days after the initial call.

Q Okay. And did Mr. Lopez, as a consequence of that interview -- I'm not asking you to quote what he said. But did he lay out the things that he said happened at this incident?

A Yeah. He provided me a statement of what -- of what he said happened.

Q Okay. Was the statement as lengthy as the interview of what you did with Mr. Honeyestewa?

A Roughly, yes.
Q Did you ask Mr. Lopez about a conversation you had with Mr. Sorensen on July 6th or 7 th, about stealing guns?

A I may have. I can't recall specifically, no. I may have. If $I$ had -- if $I$ had information about it, I probably would have, yes.

Q And did you ask Mr. Lopez about ill feelings between he and Mr. Honeyestewa?

A I don't know. I don't know. I can't recall exactly.

Q Okay. Okay. In any event, you were, at the time you conducted this interview with Mr. Honeyestewa on -- what day was it? Do you recall?

A I believe it was July 17th.
Q Okay. So it would have been approximately ten days after the incident?

A Yes, sir. About that.
Q And do you know where -- Mr. Honeyestewa hadn't been arrested up to that point, right? The interview took place on the day he was arrested?

A Yes, sir. I believe so, yes.
Q And where was he arrested at?

A I'm not sure if he was arrested -- I mean, without looking back at the reports, he was in the hospital for several days and was released. I'm not sure if he came home and got -- was arrested there, or if he was arrested at the hospital. I wasn't one of the arresting officers, so I'm not sure how that took place.

Q If I tell you that he was arrested at the Northeastern Nevada Regional Medical Center, does that square up with your recollection?

A That would -- I would trust your -- you have more info there than $I$ do, so $I$ would say, yes.

Q All right. And did you have an occasion during the interview, or before it, to ask what kind of medications he had?

A No, I don't think I asked that question.
Q Was -- did you know Mr. Honeyestewa from before?

A No.
Q And did he appear to be talking awful rapidly that day?

A At some points, sure.
Q Well, it's evident there in the video, isn't it?

A Yes.

Q And did he just display any other characteristics to suggest that he might be medicated?

A Nothing very obvious to me. I -- not knowing him, I kinda don't have really a baseline. But I didn't see anything obvious to me.

Q And there's a kind of procedure that you go through when you interview witnesses or suspects?

A I'm not sure what you mean.
Q Well, you've been interviewing suspects for an awful lot of years, right?

A That's right.
Q And you have attended training classes on how to do that properly?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And that's become a significant issue in police detective work, is how to conduct these interviews, right?

A Yeah. It's been challenged.
Q And so you began this interview essentially with allowing Mr. Honeyestewa, or inducing him into giving you a description of the things that he thought was important?

A No. Initially -- initially -- the initial part of the interview, he was pretty much given free reign to tell me what he thought was important.

Q Exactly. And that's in accord -- yeah, that's what I'm -- that's what $I$ meant to say, if I didn't get it out right.

A Okay. I thought you said what I thought was important.

Q No.
But that's part of the procedure, is to give them an opportunity to state to you what they think is important, right?

A Yes, sir.
Q And the response of -- your response, the interviewee's response to what's being said is mostly, "uh-huh," or, "okay," or something like that?

A Yeah. To try to encourage them to keep talking, yes.

Q And the idea is that if they keep talking long enough -- well, there are a lot of possible results from that, right? They might say something stupid or incriminating? And they might say something that appears to be true or untrue?

A That's totally up to them at that point.
Q Yeah. That's the idea, is to give them the opportunity to talk?

A To talk. To give their side of the story.
Q Yeah. And in the interview, what did it appear
to you that Mr. Honeyestewa thought was important in the beginning part?

A Well, in the beginning, I mean, he emphasized his relationship with, like, Lopez and some confrontations they had, to kind of give context to what -- you know, how they interacted with each other.

Q And was it more clear than that, that as you listened to it, it became clear that he was trying to persuade you, or at least inform you that this had been a setup?

A I had gotten that inference, yeah.
Q Well, it was more than an inference, he --
A Well, yeah, he stated it at that couple of points.

Q He actually stated it repeatedly?
A Yeah.
Q And by the time he was telling you this, were you aware that there were other -- there was other information that suggested that Ms. Stanger had, in fact, set this up?

A Yeah. We had received information of that possibility, yes. We were pursuing it.

Q Yeah.
And you had, by that time, interviewed -- not you, but the sheriff's office -- had interviewed

Amy Steinbach?
A Who? I'm sorry?
Q Amy Steinbach.
A Possibly. Possibly by then, yes. I'm not -- I can't remember all of the individual interviews, but I'm not sure who Eva Steinbach is.

Q Amy.
A Amy?
Q Yeah.
A I'm not sure who that is.
Q Okay. Do you know whose car was involved in the incident?

A Not at that point. Not when I interviewed Mr. Honeyestewa. I didn't know at that point.

Q But you guys had seized the car and searched it?

A Yes.
Q All right. And you didn't know whose car it was?

A I didn't have that information myself, no.
Q So as Mr. Honeyestewa was telling you about this setup, you were not responding with -- at least inside yourself, internally responding, this sounds like something that might have happened?

A Oh, no, I was listening. And it was a
possibility that we had -- we had considered, yes.
Q Yeah. And part of the consideration was Ms. Steinbach having told a detective that, in fact, the -- Jennifer Stanger had showed Mr. Lopez guns the week before and given him the keys to a safe and so on?

A Yes, we did have that information about that, yes, that that had occurred.

Q And you didn't ask Mr. Honeyestewa if he had that information?

A Oh, we talked about it. He said he had never had a layout of the house, didn't know what was in there.

Q And you didn't have any contrary information?
A About him, about Mr. Honeyestewa specifically?
Q Being in the house or knowing what was in
there.
A No, I didn't have anything contrary to that.
Q And is there a part of this interview technique that allows you to tell fibs?

A It's not prohibited.
Q Well, you do it fairly regularly in this interview, right?

A No.
Q You didn't tell him that you had a video of the three of them on the front porch?

A Yes, $I$ told him we had a video.
Q You didn't though, did you?
A My understanding was we did.
Q But that turned out to be dead wrong?
A Yes. I guess so. I don't know. I know that -- I'm sorry, I wanted to -- I had been told about a video from -- during briefings and things like that. And they were trying to obtain the video, actually. And I -- from my understanding, it never got obtained ultimately.

Q Because it didn't exist?
A I take faith in that my detectives weren't making it up, that they saw this video. It was a home video system, and they watched it. And they tried to obtain it.

Q From Mr. Aguirre?
A Huh?
Q The neighbor?
A Yes. I believe so.
Q What detective was that?
A I think it was -- I was thinking it was either Detective Stake had told me about it or Detective Keema.

We did several canvases of the neighborhood at different times, different days, and with different
detectives. So I can't be sure who told me about it, but they were -- they described it to me.

Q You were -- you were having some physical problems, as well, during that time?

A I had my knee replaced.
Q And you were substantially past that at that point, or that had been relatively recently?

A I'm sorry?
Q Had that been relatively recently when --
A Yeah. It had just been a month or two before.
Q Okay.
A I was still on light duty.
Q Yeah. And there wouldn't be any reason that the detectives would withhold this video if they actually had one?

A I wouldn't see any reason why they would.
Q Yeah.
Did you know the relationship between Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith at the time of the interview with Mr. Honeyestewa?

A Between Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith?
Q Yes.
A Yeah, based upon what Ms. Stanger had told me.
Q And did she inform you that they were married?
A No. I believe they were just living together.

Q Okay. And did Mr. Honeyestewa, in his interview, repeatedly refer to them as man and wife?

A He may have, yes.
Q Well, if $I$ tell you there isn't a single instance where he said they were boyfriend and girlfriend, or anything even approaching that, and every time you mentioned it to him it was man and wife, you would have no reason to squall with that, right?

A It never occurred to me to correct that. I mean, they were living together. A lot of times that is considered man and wife.

Q Did it occur to you if they were just simply living together, that Ms. Stanger had the authority to invite Mr. Lopez into the house?

A I would assume so, yes.
Q Yeah.
And so I assume that that would have been a big issue with the detective figuring out if there had been an entry into that house against her will; true or not true?

A I'm not sure $I$ understand the question.
Q Well, Mr. Honeyestewa is sitting here charged with a burglary of somebody else's house. He's sitting here charged with home invasion. And from all -- it just seems to me that would have been a big issue for a
sheriff's office to see if those kind of things were true.

A Yes. And I believe we did pursue that.
Q You did?

A Yes, sir.
Q And you found out what?
A We didn't find anything conclusive or that really corroborated that she -- that statement, that she had set it up with Speedy to do this. It was a rumor, and we pursued it. But we weren't able to corroborate that.

Q Okay. You listened to jailhouse conversations between people?

A On occasions, yes.
Q And your involvement in this case has since become significantly less than it was in the beginning?

A Yeah. It was -- mine was the first two weeks, probably. After that, I had to resume to my normal duties.

Q Okay. And so Detective Stake will have answers to those kinds of questions?

A I hope so. I believe he will be able to clear those up.

Q But in any event, Mr. Honeyestewa was making that claim, right?

A Yes.
Q Yeah.
And if she had given permission to Mr. Lopez to come into the house, there might not have been a crime, right?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, I'm gonna object. It calls for him to reach a legal conclusion.

MR. WOODBURY: I didn't say he concluded, I just said he might not.

MR. INGRAM: That's exactly what a conclusion is.

THE COURT: Repeat your question, Mr. Woodbury.
MR. WOODBURY: If Ms. Stanger had invited Mr. Lopez into the house, there might not have been a crime, right?

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You can go ahead and answer that.

THE WITNESS: I mean, it depends on what happened. I don't -- I mean, there's still a homicide. There's still a murder.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Really?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Did Mr. Honeyestewa tell you that he was aware that Mr. Smith would be in the house?

A No.

Q As a matter of fact, he recited to you, didn't he, that Mr. Smith wasn't there, wasn't supposed to be there, correct?

A Correct.
Q And that is why they were being invited over to have alcohol and the dope?

A Correct.
But that contradicts other information we have, as well.

Q Could you tell me what that other information is?

A Well, from my interview with Ms. Stanger, I was told that no one was gonna be at that house that night, that she was gonna be checked into rehab that day.

Q Yeah.
A And apparently, Mr. Smith had to work late that night, and so he didn't get off work in time to actually make the trip. So they decided to stay another night and go the next day to Carson City.

Q That is what you were informed by Ms. Stanger?
A Yes.
Q And so if the evidence before the court here is that Mr. Smith had worked a night shift that ended on Saturday morning, and that they were scheduled to go -to leave for Reno, but there had been fighting between
them, they were quarreling, and there had been an assertion by Mr. Sorensen that these folks were coming over to -- some folks, not these folks, some folks were coming over to steal guns, and that's why they stayed home, that wasn't consistent with what you understood?

A That wasn't consistent with who?
Q What you understood.
A Well, that contradicts, basically, both stories.

Q Okay. Did you look into and investigate the question of whether or not Ms. Smith -- Ms. Smith -Ms. Stanger had the authority to grant permission to take Mr. Smith's guns --

A I --

Q -- if she was married to him?
A No. I did not personally. I don't know if anybody looked into a power of attorney, or a marriage license, or anything like that, no.

Q No.
But you did, the sheriff's office did recognize this is a community property state and that --

A Yes, sir.
Q -- and each party has control of the other party's --

A Yes.

Q And Mr. Honeyestewa was very straightforward in informing you that, in fact, his understanding was that Mr. Smith was not gonna be home?

A Yeah.
Q And you say you have evidence that contradicts that, that he knew Mr. Smith was there?

A No. No.
Q Okay.
A I said that the stories don't quite match up.
Q What story?
A Well --
Q The Stanger story?
A The Stanger story, the Sorensen story, they're not 100 percent consistent.

Q And did you become aware that Mr. Sorensen and Ms. Stanger both had controlled substance problems?

A Uh-huh. Yes, sir.
Q And that is a -- that world that they live in with controlled substances, whether it's
methamphetamine or marijuana, gets pretty complicated and confusing, right?

A Yes, sir.
THE COURT: We're gonna take our lunch recess. (Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: Court will resume at 1:30.

Lieutenant McKinney, please do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

We are in recess until 1:30.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: We're on your cross examination, Mr. Woodbury.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: In the interview, Detective McKinney, Lieutenant McKinney, the defendant failed to acknowledge that he knew the person that was with he and Mr. Lopez was initially?

A Not initially, no.
Q Well, initially you asked, and he said he didn't know because he had a bandana on or something?

A Yeah. He said -- he said he didn't know. He said he was in the front seat and he didn't know who he was.

Q Yeah. Did you engage in a technique, or a typical technique, to get him to tell you?

A I'm sorry?
Q Did you engage in a typical interview technique to get him to tell you?

A I confronted him about that, yeah.
Q Yeah.
And wasn't your point that you expressed with him, that if you don't tell me the truth, if $I$ only believe -- can only believe a part of what you're telling me, it's hard to believe any of what you're telling me?

A Well, that's -- yeah.
Q And that's a very standardized procedure for detective interviews; is it not?

A A lot of times, yes.
Q Yeah.
How long did it take you to get him to admit that he knew who it was?

A After $I$ confronted him about it?
Q Yeah.
A Just a few minutes.
Q Two pages of transcript, right? Well, you probably don't know.

A I don't know.
Q Yeah.
Anyway, until you did acknowledge that it was

Tyrell -- excuse me, Tyrell, Taylor Miller, right?
A Yes, sir.
Q And your point was that you thought that talking to Taylor Miller, your point, at least to Mr. Honeyestewa was, that talking to Taylor Miller would be of significant value to you in determining what actually had happened, right?

A Yes, sir.
Q And I have the impression from the interview that you knew Taylor Miller some way or another?

A No. I don't know him personally, no. I know of -- I know who he is, based upon the investigation.

Q Okay. But that's all you knew?
A Uh-huh.
Q And so when you told Mr. Honeyestewa that you knew things about Taylor that made it improbable that he would engage in illegal behavior, that would be something in the nature of an exaggeration, because you didn't know him at all, if that appears in the transcript?

A I'm sorry, I don't understand.
Q All right. Well, so if you told the defendant, Mr. Honeyestewa, that you knew Mr. Miller and you knew that he wouldn't be a guy that would engage in too bad of behavior, that would not be true; you didn't know
him at all?
A I just knew from the investigation. I knew what he was involved in, or at least suspected of being involved in.

Q Yeah.
A But that's all -- so that's all I knew, yeah.
Q Okay. And then what was the procedure to get Mr. Honeyestewa to talk about the mask being his?

A The procedure?
Q Yeah.
A Well, just confront him with the evidence and, you know, let him know that, you know, if he was -- I mean, just to let him know that we had collected it and that we would be running an analysis on it, and that if he didn't -- if he wasn't being truthful with us, that it would -- you know, it wouldn't benefit him in the long run.

Q Yeah. And wasn't the idea behind the mask question a suggestion that nobody ought to be wearing a mask if all they're doing is gonna go to a house where they got permission to enter and smoke or drink?

A I believe $I$ made that statement, yes.
Q Yeah.
And that's true, right?
A Yeah. I would say so, yes.

Q Yeah.
And it would also be equally true, would it not, that if a person was gonna go there and steal guns and no one was gonna be home, or the person who had given you permission to steal the guns was there, a mask would kind of be a useless piece of equipment, correct?

A Well, $I$ would disagree with that, because especially at this house, there was a video camera that was attached to the doorbell.

Q Uh-huh.
But the video camera didn't work, right?
A It didn't work, but it was still there. I mean, so the -- I guess the assumption would be that if it was -- if it was work -- if it was working, then the video -- I mean, the masks would hide their faces.

Q But that would presume that Ms. Stanger and Mr. Lopez hadn't discussed the video camera?

A Well, $I$ mean, if they discussed it at all.
Q And it was -- actually, the video camera was very clearly unplugged or --

A I'm sorry?
Q The video camera in front was pretty clearly unplugged?

A As far as $I$ knew, yes. It wasn't functioning.

Q So any rational person looking at it would assume it wasn't working?

A I'm sorry?
Q Any rational person looking at it would assume it wasn't working?

A I don't know if you could assume it wasn't working just by looking at it.

Q But the fact that it was unplugged?
A I don't think it plugs externally. I think it's wired into -- I think it's wired into the doorbell.

Q Okay. And when you told Mr. Honeyestewa about the mask, you told him it was right next to the sweatshirt, did you not?

A Yes, sir.
Q And it wasn't, it was 20 feet away?
A Relatively, yeah. I mean, it was about 20 feet away maybe.

Q How closely did you ever examine the sweatshirt?

A I'm sorry?
Q How closely did you examine the sweatshirt?
A How closely?
Q Yeah.
A When we collected it, I looked at it briefly.

I didn't -- I mean, I'm not a forensic guy, so I didn't -- I didn't, like, do any analysis or anything like that. I just examined it. We photographed it and collected it.

Q Okay. So you don't know there's a hole in the stomach area?

A I didn't see a hole initially.
Q And you didn't see one here when you looked at it in court?

A I did see one here when $I$ looked at it in court.

Q In the stomach?
A Yeah, like in the -- yeah, like in the waist, waistband area, yeah.

Q Okay. And then you saw three of the holes in the arm band?

A Yes, sir.
Q And the fact is that you had initially identified this sweatshirt as being black, and Mr. Honeyestewa said it wasn't black.

But ultimately, it turned out the sweatshirt is white and gray?

A Dark gray, yes.
Q All right. Mr. Honeyestewa was straightforward about that?

A Yes, sir.
Q (Inaudible.)
A Once I showed him, yeah, he acknowledged, yeah.
Q Then again, the sweatshirt was found on the driver's side of the car, pretty close to the car?

A I believe so. About -- yeah, from the driver's side, about six, eight feet away. Just off the edge of the trail.

Q Okay. Did you ever have occasion to talk to Mr. Miller, David Miller?

A No, sir -- well, I take that back. I did receive a phone message from him.

Q When was that?
A Oh, it was several weeks later. I received a phone message from him saying he was not going to come in and talk to me.

Q Okay. He actually had been available to you otherwise, right, at the Elko County --

A I've never been able -- I haven't been able to locate him. We haven't been able to locate him, no.

Q He's not been in the jail?
A That, I do not know.
Q Well, you guys kind of keep track of that from your (inaudible)?

A He may have been, but $I$ don't know.

Q Okay. Is it your view that Mr. Honeyestewa ultimately admitted to knowing they were going in there to get guns?

A I'm not sure. I mean, an overt admission is quite right. But when $I$ described my theory, he said that seems pretty accurate.

Q All right. And when you were describing your theory, it had to do with what Mr. Lopez was determining as he stood there at the front door, right?

A That was a potential scenario, yeah.
Q And it did not ever come to a point where you said, well, Mr. Honeyestewa, did Mr. Lopez say that to you, that theory that I'm expressing to you?

A Oh, I think -- I think I said it at some point. Lopez may have said it and he went along with it.

Q Uh-huh.
And then didn't Mr. Honeyestewa say it wasn't like that?

A What's that?
Q Did Mr. Honeyestewa say it wasn't like that, that --

A It wasn't his fault? Was that your question?
Q Wasn't what?
A I'm sorry, I did not exactly hear what your last part of that question.

Q And didn't Mr. Honeyestewa, when you voiced your theory about what Mr. Lopez had said or concluded, didn't Mr. Honeyestewa tell you it wasn't like that?

A Well, he said a couple of times that several things that $I$ had passed out weren't quite like that, yes.

Q Yeah. Okay.
There was some discussion about Mr. Smith being shot in the bedroom. Did you have evidence of that?

A I -- I -- I believe I said that I didn't know where -- where he was standing when he was shot. I said he could have been shot in the bedroom, because there was several bullet holes back against the back wall of the bedroom. I also said there was, you know, bullet holes in the living room that, you know, he could have been shot at any point. Because he was exiting the bedroom as -- as -- and he actually ended up in the living room.

So I can't -- I mean, without the forensic people, $I$ can't make that determination.

Q Thank you.
But in any event, when you were going around and watching the photographs being taken, did you see any evidence of any kind of blood in the living room -excuse me, bedroom?

A I don't remember necessarily seeing any in the bedroom. I can't recall. I can't recall.

Q That would have been a significant issue on your mind, though, while you were accompanying the photographer around?

A Yeah.
Q And then with respect to how Ms. Stanger told you that she recognized Mr. Torres -- Mr. Lopez to be the person standing at the bedroom door, she told you she recognized his voice, right?

A Uh-huh.
Q And she said she saw his face or recognized him?

A No, I don't believe so.
Q And --
A I believe that, if $I$ recall correctly, I think she said it was really too dark to see.

Q Yeah.
But there was, in fact, a light on in the closet of the bedroom, was there not?

A That, $I$ can't -- $I$ can't tell you.
Q Did any experimentation ever take place as to how much light that might have cast?

A No. No. Because I'm not aware of the light being on.

Q Okay. Did Mr. Honeyestewa talk to you about his ability to recall all of the events that took place that evening?

A Well, yeah. We talked about it at length. We talked about things happening so fast, and him being under the influence. Yeah, we discussed that as being hindrances to his recollection in certain details.

Q And that's your experience, is that people who have consumed too much alcohol and get involved in a series of very fast moving events do have some recollect -- recollection problems?

A Plus being shot, you know, very traumatic.
Q You indicated to Mr. Honeyestewa in the interview that you were aware that he had been laying on the floor, or at least you thought the evidence suggested that he had been laying on the floor when he was shot?

A Yes, sir.
Q And it was also your view that you -- if you fired the 40 caliber subcompact in response, he was laying on the floor when that happened, right?

A Yes.
Q And can you tell the jury what you were basing that view on?

A One of the forensic crime scene people were --
did some -- used some trajectory arrows, rods, and put the hole in the mirror and one of the holes in the wall. And it looked like it was an upward trajectory from a -- like I said, a seated or a kneeling position, or a laying down position.

Q Yeah.
And then -- then he drew a diagram of that and submitted it to the sheriff's office ultimately, or did you ever see that?

A I never saw it, you know, afterwards. But I know they submitted a report.

Q And were you present when he was putting rods in the wall or (inaudible)?

A Yes, sir.
Q And did you have a discussion with him about it?

A He was -- he was -- he was showing it, them, to me. Yeah. We were talking about it.

Q And did he report there's a plus or minus five degrees possible error in the conclusions that he was reaching using just the rods?

A I mean, I believe there would be room for error in anything, just because when a bullet hits sheetrock like that, it can deflect and change angles.

Q Okay. So I guess you concluded, at least
initially, that Mr. Honeyestewa was probably being truthful about laying on the floor?

A Yeah. I believe at one point he was laying on the floor. And, I mean, based upon the video evidence that $I$ was told about, he was drug out of the house. So I believe, yeah, $I$ believe he was down on the ground at one point and was unable to get back up.

Q Were you able to determine whether he was on his back or on his stomach?

A Not with any -- not with any -- not conclusively.

Q Okay. Did you have any trouble getting Mr. Honeyestewa to admit that he owned the 40 caliber pistol?

A Once I mentioned it to him, he -- he told me that the 40 subcompact was his.

Q His.
Apparently without you having to do anything to cause him to say that, other than just mention, whose gun was it, it was yours?

A No. Yeah, we discussed it and, yeah, he acknowledged that it was his.

Q And you saw, did you not, you saw the drug marks of what appeared to be a person being drug in front of the house?

A Yes, sir.
Q Did you question -- Mr. Honeyestewa told you that Speedy had picked the gun up, picked his subcompact 40 caliber up?

A Yes, sir.
Q And did he inform you that he had dropped his gun when he got blasted?

A He mentioned that when he got shot, he dropped the gun and that Speedy, or Mr. Lopez, picked it up.

Q And do you recall Mr. Lopez telling you, "And it's weird, as soon as he said, 'Fucking stop,' the shit stopped"? Did you understand -- understand what he was saying?

A Yeah. Yeah, to some degree, yes.
Q And what was your understanding?
A Well, that probably -- I mean, I would have to make an assumption. But $I$ would think that Lopez might be saying "Stop" because Mr. Honeyestewa had got shot.

Q And would you -- yeah.
Did you conclude that he was saying the words "Stop" to Mr. Smith to stop shooting?

A I mean, that's, you know -- based on Mr. Honeyestewa's statement, I would say that that was -- probably Mr. Lopez was yelling that.

Q Does that seem reasonable and logical to you,
that Mr. Smith would have stopped based on what Mr. Lopez said to him, yelled at him?

A You know, a lot of people hesitate when they hear, you know, yelling to "Stop." I would -- it wouldn't be beyond the realm of possibility.

Q Okay.
A I mean, it's --
Q Were you aware that Mr. Aguirre said that there was -- initially there were a whole bunch of shots shot very rapidly, and then a period of time, while he was on the phone with 9-1-1, and no shots were fired, and then the shots resumed, but not as rapid of a pace, and that there were fewer the second time?

A No, I wasn't -- no, I don't recall that. I didn't interview Mr. Aguirre.

Q Okay. But it would have been part of the roundtable discussion of the detectives as to how that came -- come to pass?

A And it may have come up, and I may not have recollected that. I just might not of...

Q In the interview, you mentioned to
Mr. Honeyestewa that you weren't asking him to rat on his buddy.

What does that mean?
A To rat would be to, you know, to squeal or to,
you know, tell on him. You know, to sell him out, throw him under the bus. I guess there's several terms for it. I'm not sure what the definition means. They all mean the same to me.

Q But that, in the world of people who were charged with crimes and go to prison, that becomes a really big deal, right?

A Yes, sir.
Q And can you describe to the jury how big a deal it is?

A Well, rat -- typically, if you're labeled a rat in jail or prison, you're in for a hard time there. You're subject to, you know, oftentimes, physical assaults or death threats and things like that. And it's not a -- a healthy situation to be in.

Q And then there's the -- I assume there is a relatively usual social and moral value about squealing on your friends, in any event?

A Yeah. There's a certain code of honor.
Q Then did you ask Mr. -- well, there was a discussion, was there not, in the interview with Mr. Honeyestewa about whether he had fired the subcompact pistol?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And did Mr. Honeyestewa discuss with you
how many bullets he thought were in the gun?
A He said that -- he said that the magazine wasn't full, but he never gave me a specific number. So --

Q He did say seven or eight, didn't he?
A He may have. He may have at some point.
Q And it turns out that that can't be so, right, because you found 12 sub -- 12 shell casings belonging to that subcompact?

A I didn't see the lab report. You know, we recovered, $I$ believe, 25 or 26 shell casings. I don't know which ones came from Mr. Smith's gun, or what ones came from Mr. Honeyestewa's.

Q Okay. You did find one 9 millimeter shell casing?

A Possibly.
Q Okay. In the logically reasonable world of police detectives, that's not very many shells to take to a robbery if you were gonna commit one, right?

A I wouldn't think so.
Q And did that indicate to you that maybe, at least, Mr. Lopez did not think Mr. Smith would be home and would be confronted in this theft of guns?

A Well, I don't know what -- I didn't look at the gun, the 9 millimeter we recovered. I don't know what
the situation was with that. I mean, if they only recovered one shell casing, I mean, any number of things could have happened. It could have jammed, it could have -- I don't know. I don't know the condition of the gun.

Q So if $I$ tell you that the magazine was empty --
A Well, I --
Q -- well, no, $I$ can't tell you that. I'm sorry. I pretty near lied to you. I can't tell you that.

A I do not know that, no.
Q The -- Mr. Honeyestewa talked to you about -repeatedly about a couple of videos, or audio tapes, or audio recordings that would tend to exonerate him?

A I don't know if it will exonerate him, but it will provide much valuable information to the investigation. I don't know about exoneration.

I don't know what the exact contents of those videos that he described to me were, other than saying that the -- a woman had talked about taking -- taking the guns out of the house.

Q And that those videos or audios never came into your possession?

A No, sir. No, sir, I tried. But --
Q Okay. And that subcompact pistol was found on the sidewalk outside of the house?

A I believe so. I believe so. Yes, sir.
Q And while you were looking at those trajectories that were being measured, did you notice any changes in trajectory from the gunshots that appeared to be coming from the floor area near the entryway?

A Any changes?
Q Yeah.
A Well, those rods only went through two points of contact, which would have been the sheetrock. It really doesn't show a change in direction, because it just -- it goes in one point of contact and out the other. So it -- really only two points. It can't really indicate a change of direction.

Q But it also measures the degree from right to left, but you didn't ever see that?

A Well, there were two holes, and they were in two different directions.

Q Right. And these were in the wall, west wall of the residence, right?

A Yes, sir.
Q And then when the rod comes through, it is calculated that there's a trajectory left to right, it travels from left to right at this angle, and up and down?

A Yeah. That's right.
Q All right. And did you ever involve yourself in looking at any of that?

A Not -- not from a -- I mean, just from a -more of a, I guess, general investigative, not really from the scientific side of it. I'm not -- I'm not really a ballistics guy or a forensics guy, you know, per se. But as an investigator, you know, it's good information.

Q Yeah.
A I mean, I can't -- I can't testify to any of the scientific or the specifics of it.

Q No.
But you could, if you saw there had been a change in trajectory of the bullet holes that impacted two spots so the trajectory could be determined, it changed from one point to the next point, showing that the fired gun was being fired and the bullet was being fired from a gun that was moving?

A Yes.
Q That would be some consideration to a detective, right?

A Yeah. I mean, you could see, you know, maybe -- you know, if he was following the path of Mr. Smith moving or something like that, or he's moving
himself. Of course, the angle of the -- the angle of the -- you know, what would change.

MR. WOODBURY: Okay. Thank you. I don't think we have anything further.

THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: Nothing based on that, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do you need this witness retained?
MR. INGRAM: We would like him under subpoena, Your Honor, but be allowed to leave. It won't be this week.

THE COURT: Okay. You are free to leave the courthouse. You may be called back to testify.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Again, do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

Thank you.
Your next witness?
MR. INGRAM: Nick Stake, please. (Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Please state and spell your name.
THE WITNESS: Nick Stake. N-i-c-k. S-t-a-k-e.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Ingram.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Your Honor.
Just one moment, please.

NICK STAKE,
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:

Q How are you employed, sir?
A I'm a detective sergeant with the Elko County Sheriff's Office.

Q And how long have you been the detective sergeant?

A I've been the sergeant since July 13th of this year, sir.

Q And how long have you been with the sheriff's department in total?

A With the sheriff's department, since 2004. So approximately 16 years.

Q And specifically, how long have you been assigned to the detective unit?

A Just over five years.
Q Let me direct your attention to the 7th of July, 2018.

Were you assigned to the detective unit during that time period?

A I was.
Q And were you the supervisor of the detectives, or the sergeant at that time?

A I was not.
Q Okay. Who was?
A Billy Hood.
Q Were you assigned to participate in an investigation regarding a possible homicide at 2821 Wrangler Circle?

A I was.
Q Is that in Elko County, Nevada?
A It is.
Q And, in fact, did you become the lead detective on that case, essentially?

A Eventually, I did. Yes, sir.
Q And can you please tell the jury, while you're the lead detective on the case, are there a number of other people doing other things?

A That's correct.
Q Explain that a little bit for us, would you?
A Once $I$ was placed in the lead detective position, basically $I$ was tasked with overseeing a number of investigators who were (inaudible) in various
activities, such as interviews, collecting evidence, processing scenes, that kind of thing.

Q And at least at the time, so back in July 7th, 2018, when you were investigating this case, was this the most complex investigation that you had handled?

A It was.
Q Had you ever handled a homicide case quite like this before?

A I had not.
Q When did you -- I'm talking about approximately what time on the 7th of July, 2018, did you become involved in this investigation?

A It would have been approximately 11:30 p.m., I believe.

Q And around that time, or sometime after, did you have occasion to respond to the hospital here in Elko?

A I did.
Q What was your reason for going there?
A I had been informed by then-Detective Sergeant Hood that there had been a shooting at 2821 Wrangler Circle. And Deputy Hawkins was in the process of investigating that scene. And then $I$ called Detective Hawkins to find out what was going on, and he said that a subject had been transported from the
residence to Northeastern Nevada Regional Hospital with some gunshot wounds and was currently located there.

Q Did you know the name of the person there?
A At that time, I don't believe so.
Q Did you later learn it?
A I did.
Q Did you learn his name at the hospital?
A I did.
Q And, then, did you actually get an opportunity to observe that person?

A I did.
Q And what was that person's name?
A Bradley Smith.
Q Where was Bradley at the time?
A He was in one of the emergency rooms that's just inside of the ambulance receiving doors, laying on a gurney.

Q Did he appear to be alive at that time?
A He did not.
Q I'm gonna show you what's been admitted already as Exhibit 59.

Do you recognize that photo, sir?
A I do.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A Bradley Smith.

Q Do you recall what Bradley Smith was wearing when you saw him at the hospital?

A He had several medical devices attached to him. And he was wearing dark colored underwear, briefs, I believe.

Q And at that time, was he wearing any socks?
A He was not, no.
Q And on that same date, at the same hospital, did you have any contact with a person by the name of Alan Honeyestewa?

A Yes.
Q Tell me how that came about, please.
A Shortly after $I$ had taken some photos of Bradley Smith, the medical staff at the hospital, as well as Deputy Reed, said a subject believed to have been involved in the incident on Wrangler Circle was being transported via ambulance to the hospital.

Q And did you actually see that person that you knew to be Alan Honeyestewa at the hospital?

A I did.
Q Did you take some photographs of him?
A I did.
Q And where was that person specifically within the hospital when you saw him?

A As $I$ was facing the room that Bradley Smith was
located in, he would have been to the room immediately to the left of that.

Q Were you able to make any general observations about Mr. Honeyestewa at that point?

A I was.
Q And what were those?
A He appeared to be moving in quite a bit of pain. He was moaning and groaning. There was a lot of medical procedures being done to him at that point. And I observed some injuries and the blood to his person.

Q Did those injuries appear to be consistent with gunshot wounds?

A From the ones I saw, yes, sir.
Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 131 and 133. Excuse me.

Do you recognize those, sir?
A I do.
Q What do you recognize those to be?
A Those appear to be the photos $I$ took of
Mr. Honeyestewa.
Q Fair and accurate pictures?
A They are.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 131 and 133, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibits 131 and 133 are admitted.
(Exhibits 131 and 133 admitted.)
THE WITNESS: May I see those one more time?
MR. INGRAM: You bet.
(Counsel complied.)
THE WITNESS: Sir, I believe these were taken on a different day.

Q BY MR. INGRAM: Okay. Do you know what day they were taken?

A I believe they were taken on the 11th.
Q Of?
A Of July.
Q And was that because you responded to a hospital in Utah where Mr. Honeyestewa was?

A That's correct.
So my prior testimony, I did not take those photos.

Q Thank you.
But is it true that you did take photos of Honeyestewa at the hospital in Elko?

A I did.
Q Okay. If you saw Mr. Honeyestewa again, would you recognize him?

A I would.
Q Do you see him in the courtroom today?
A I do. He's seated almost directly in front of me, wearing a black vest, black tie, and a gray shirt.

MR. INGRAM: Your Honor, will the record reflect the ID, please?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: While at the hospital, sir, did you collect any items of potential evidence from Mr. Honeyestewa?

A I did.
Q Can you please tell us what those were?
And if you can't remember all of them, please ask and we can show you a copy of your report.

A I did collect a gunshot residue kit, along with several items of clothing. I don't recall all the items of clothing that $I$ (inaudible).

Q Did you note those in a report?
A I did.
Q And would seeing that report refresh your recollection?

A It would.
MR. INGRAM: Can $I$ have just one moment, Your Honor, please?

Ms. Clerk, have you got item 20? And also,
please, item 47.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'm gonna show you what's previously been marked as State's 20.

Can you take a look at that first page there and let me know when you're done?

A (Inaudible).
Q Is that your report that you generated in connection with the items that you collected at the hospital from Mr. Honeyestewa?

A It is.
Q And can you please read for us those items that you collected?

A With the item numbers, sir?
Q Yes, please.
A 1NS: Gray tennis shoe, right, Avia. 2NS:
Gray tennis shoe, left, Avia. 3NS: One black sock. 4NS: One black sock. 5NS: One pair of blue patterned boxers, Hanes. 6NS: One pair of black Nike sweatpants. 7NS: One red short-sleeved T-shirt. 8NS: One blue towel.

Q Can you please tell us what the "NS" stands for?

A That's my personal item number. So it would be whatever the sequential number is, plus my initials, Nick Stake, or Nicholas Stake.

Q And after you left the hospital, what, if anything, did you do with those items that you just named for us?

A I maintained custody of them until I placed them into an evidence dryer that we commonly use at the sheriff's office for clothes, clothing, that is contaminated with biological material, or wet, so we can dry it out prior to booking it into the evidence system.

Q And eventually, were those items booked into the evidence system?

A They were.
Q Okay. You mentioned collection of a gunshot residue kit. Can you please explain to the jury what that is?

A A gunshot residue kit is a small box that contains several vials. Those vials have some stubs on the inside that have a small adhesive surface, similar to packing tape, that is used to dab over the surface of the hands, face, or any other object that we may need to collect potential gunshot residue from a person or an object.

Q And, sir, are you aware what crime lab Elko County is contracted with to perform forensic service analysis?

A In the past I've used Sacramento District Attorney's Office Crime Lab for those test kits.

Q I guess what I'm asking is, who does Elko County normally use for analysis of their evidence?

A Oh, evidence as a whole?
Q Evidence as a whole, yes, sir.
A Washoe County Crime Lab.
Q And are you aware whether Washoe County Crime Lab will essentially test gunshot residue kits?

A They will not.
Q And you mentioned something about Sacramento. Have you had opportunities to send stuff to Sacramento for gunshot residue analysis?

A I have.
Q Okay. Is there a cost associated with that?
A There is.
Q What is it?
A I believe the last kit that $I$ sent off was a total of -- just over $\$ 1,700$ per kit.

Q And are you familiar with what analysis of a gunshot residue kit can tell us?

A $\quad$ I am.
Q What is that?
A Basically, if gunshot residue from the primer of the cartridge is either present or not on the stubs
that are submitted to the crime lab.
Q Can a gunshot residue kit actually tell you if the individual it was collected from fired a firearm?

A They cannot.
Q And why is that?
A Because the test it's done on is through a scanning electron microscope. So it's my understanding that a technician is basically counting up the number of potential gunshot residue particles on those stubs, quantify them, and just give you a result that they are either present or not present on the stubs.

Q And, if you know, is it your understanding that simply being in the presence of gunshot fire can actually deposit residue on somebody's hands?

A That's correct.
Q As an investigative tool, when do you typically use the full analysis of a gunshot residue kit?

A I usually only have used that in the past when either somebody has denied being in the area of a gunshot, or things of that nature.

Q Okay. Would it be helpful in a suicide?
A It would be.
Q And why is that?
A If somebody was claiming not to be there, and they had gunshot residue, and the suicide was the
result of a gunshot, that could give us a lead to investigate, not necessarily conclusive evidence that they were or were not there, or had fired a gun or had not, but definitely give us a reason to pursue that.

Q In this particular case, were you given information that Mr. Honeyestewa actually admitted to firing a firearm in this case?

A Eventually, I was, yes.
Q And did you have an interview with
Mr. Honeyestewa?
A I did.
Q And was it during that interview that he admitted that he fired a gun?

A $\quad \mathrm{He}$ did.

Q So based on your knowledge that Mr. Honeyestewa fired a gun, did you see any value in sending the gunshot residue kit for analysis?

A At that point I did not, no.
Q And are those for the same reasons that you just described for us?

A It is.
Q Did you have any contact with an individual by the name of Jennifer Stanger at the hospital around the same time as Mr. Honeyestewa?

A I did.

Q And tell us about that, please.
A Just briefly, after contacting Mr. Honeyestewa, I learned that Lieutenant McKinney had transported Jennifer Stanger to the hospital, and she was currently located in the lobby. So I left the emergency room, went out to the lobby. And if I recall, I met with her in the chapel of the hospital.

Q And can you please describe for the jury what her emotions were like?

A Her emotions were very erratic. She was talking rather loud and animated. She couldn't sit still, rocking back and forth, crying at times.

Q Are you familiar with persons who use controlled substances and the behaviors that they exhibit?

A Generally, yes.
Q Specifically, methamphetamine?
A I have in the past, yes.
Q And were Jennifer Stanger's movements and emotions and behavior, in general, consistent with somebody who uses methamphetamine?

A I'm not a DRE, so I couldn't make that conclusion. But --

Q Was she able to communicate with you?
A She was.

Q
Did you make any observations about anything on her person, like her body?

A I basically did a quick visual search of her person, and I didn't observe anything, as far as any injuries, or obvious items of evidence, or anything like that.

Q And as a detective, why would you be concerned with that?

A Because it was my understanding that she was present at the 2821 Wrangler Circle during the incident.

Q Did you observe any blood?
A I did not, no.
Q Were you able to collect gunshot residue or perform a gunshot residue kit on Ms. Stanger?

A I was not.
Q And how come?
A When $I$ was processing Mr. Honeyestewa, the old kits that we used to have had a limited number of daubers. So they only had a vile for the right hand, left hand, and I believe the face. And then they had a control vile.

From my past knowledge and experience in dealing with gunshot residue kits, I would open up two kits. So when I would process the hands, I would be
able to have one for the back of the hand and one for the front of the hand. So during my initial contact with Mr. Honeyestewa, I had instinctively just cut open two kits. Those were the only two gunshot residue kits I had.

And then when $I$ opened them up, I found out there was basically all the vials $I$ needed contained in one of the kits. So the second one, I had broke the seal and potentially contaminated that after I left it -- after I finished with Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q And by contamination, do you mean that possibly would give you bad results?

A Correct. Just the sheer fact that $I$ carry a firearm, I have to be careful how I handle those. Because $I$ could inadvertently transfer gunshot residue from my firearm, or my person, to those kits once they're opened and left unattended.

Q And throughout the course of your investigation in this case, have you ever uncovered any evidence to suggest that Ms. Stanger fired a firearm on that night?

A I have not, no.
Q Did you have an occasion to respond to an address on Bohobi on the Colony here in Elko?

A I did.
Q When did you go there, approximately?

A I don't recall the exact time. I believe -- I want to say 2:00 in the morning, but I'm not for certain.

Q So in any event, would that have been the early morning hours of the 8 th of July?

A It would have.
Q 2018?
A That's correct.
Q And what was your reasoning for responding to that address?

A I had been informed, when $I$ was at the hospital, that that was the address that Mr. Honeyestewa had been located at.

Q And did you observe a green Ford Explorer near that address?

A I did.
Q And did you have an opportunity to look at it at all in any detail at that time?

A Not with any detail, but I did have a chance to look at it.

Q What did you notice about it?
A As $I$ was walking past, I believe that it was the rear passenger window was open. And when I looked inside from the exterior of the vehicle, $I$ could see what appeared to me to be blood in several areas of the
interior of the vehicle, as well as, $I$ believe, a black mask and a cell phone sitting on one of the passenger seats.

Q So while you were at the Wrangler Circle address -- excuse me, the Bohobi address, were you at some point called back to the Wrangler Circle address, or go back to the Wrangler Circle address?

A From the Bohobi address to the Wrangler was actually the first time $I$ had been to Wrangler.

Q Okay. Yeah, excuse me. Thank you. Thanks for correcting me.

And what was your reason for responding to the Wrangler Circle address in the first instance?

A In the first instance, I had learned that there was two deputies there, Deputy Williams and Deputy Hawkins, and there was also two dogs that were located at the crime scene that were running around. They were trying to contain those dogs and get them into their units to be removed.

Q Okay. When you said the "crime scene," can you generally describe the area that you consider to be the crime scene at that Wrangler Circle address?

A When I arrived at Wrangler Circle, I observed some areas -- first off, the residence was located in a cul-de-sac on Wrangler. So when I arrived, I seen some
crime scene tape that had been placed around the front of the residence and throughout the cul-de-sac. So my initial impression was where the crime scene tape was located within that cul-de-sac.

Q And just to be clear, that was more area than just the interior of the house, correct?

A That's correct.
Q Did it encompass the yard?
A It did.
Q Did you see where the dogs were located when you arrived there?

A When I arrived, I believe that -- I recall one being in the driveway and that front portion of the front yard driveway, roadway area.

Q Okay. Did you ever observe a dog inside of the house?

A I did not, no.
Q What did you guys do with the dogs?
A One of the dogs had been removed by
Deputy Williams, $I$ believe, and he transported it to the animal shelter. The second dog, if I recall, Deputy Hawkins and $I$ tried to contain the dog and remove it from the scene, as well. But that dog pretty much stayed in the driveway the remainder of the time that I was there.

There was one occasion where the dog got up and moved toward the house, and I entered the crime scene to try to stop the dog from going into the house. But the dog didn't even go in that direction, it went into the front yard, kind of circled back, and I believe came back to the driveway.

Q And were you aware that Deputy Hawkins was eventually able to secure that dog and take it away from the scene?

A I don't recall specifically who took care of that dog.

Q At that point in time, did you actually go up to the front door of that residence?

A At that point, $I$ walked towards it, but not up to it, no.

Q Okay. Did there come a time during your investigation when you actually entered that house while there was still blood on the floor?

A No. I never entered the house when there was blood on the floor.

Q Thank you.
When you responded to the Wrangler Circle address, did you have an occasion to walk around the back of the house?

A I did.

Q What was your reason for doing that?
A I had been informed by Deputy Hawkins that the front of the house had been taped off, but the Elko Police Department, who had also responded to the incident, had (inaudible) the house. And he was unsure how exactly, if at all, the crime scene had been taped off in the back. So $I$ went back there to evaluate that.

Q And what did you look for to evaluate whether anybody had entered the scene back there?

A Once I got close enough, or in a position that I could see there was no crime scene tape, I got some crime scene tape from my unit, and I walked around the rear of the fence, from one side of the fence line to the other, to include a portion of the rear field that was located behind the house.

And as I did that, I looked on the ground to see if I could see any obvious signs of footprints or any apparent pieces of evidence that were laying back there, any signs that anybody had been back there recently, and I didn't locate any.

Q Okay. Did there come a time, at some point during your investigation, when you actually took photographs from inside Mr. Aguirre's residence and then the outside of Mr. Aguirre's residence?

A I did.
Q What was your reasoning for doing that?
A It was my understanding that he was a witness in the case, and so $I$ waited for daylight hours to basically take a picture from his point of view from inside the residence by the bay area -- or the bay window area in his house. That was my understanding that he observed everything from. So I went inside to take those, as well as picture on the exterior facing his house to depict that and capture that, as well.

MR. INGRAM: Here in a moment I'll show you some exhibits.

Judge, what time do you intend to break?
THE COURT: 2:45.
MR. INGRAM: Thank you.
THE COURT: Do you need a break now?
MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor. I'll just have a different topic coming up here shortly, so that might be a good time.
(Inaudible) last one (inaudible).
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you State's 143, can you please tell me what that photograph is?

A It appears to me to be a picture $I$ took in the front yard of the residence.

Q What residence?

A I believe this was Mr. Aguirre's residence. I don't recall the numbers.

Q Okay. Fair and accurate picture?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: I move for the admission of 143.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Exhibit 143 is admitted.
(Exhibit 143 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: 142 I'm showing you, what is that?

A This appears to me to be a picture from the interior of the window of Mr. Aguirre's residence.

Q Fair and accurate picture?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 142.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: 142 is admitted.
(Exhibit 142 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: 141 I'm showing you, what is that?

A This is a picture in the front yard of Mr. Aguirre's residence facing the 2821 Wrangler address.

Q Fair and accurate picture?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 141.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Exhibit 141 is admitted.
(Exhibit 141 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 139, do you recognize that?

A I do.
Q What is it?
A This is a picture of the bay area windows, as I described, in Mr. Aguirre's house several feet back from the windows.

Q And are you inside Mr. Aguirre's house at that point?

A $\quad$ I am.
Q Fair and accurate picture?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 139.
THE COURT: I have that it's already in.
MR. INGRAM: Okay. Then my work is done.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Exhibit 138, do you recognize that photo?

A $\quad$ I do.

Q And what do you recognize that to be?
A This is a picture $I$ took from the front yard area of 2821 Wrangler Circle facing Mr. Aguirre's residence.

Q Fair and accurate picture?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: Move for its admission.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: 138 is admitted.
(Exhibit 138 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 137, do you recognize that, sir?

A I do.
Q And what do you recognize that to be?
A This is another perspective from the -- of the
picture that $I$ took from the front yard of 2821
Wrangler Circle, again facing Mr. Aguirre's residence.
MR. INGRAM: Thank you. I believe that's already admitted.

THE COURT: It is.
MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Judge.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 136, already admitted, is that the Wrangler Circle address that we've been talking about?

A It is.
Q Mr. Smith's house?
A That's correct.
Q At some point around this time frame, where you had gone back -- excuse me, gone to the Wrangler Circle address for the first time, did you direct anybody to secure the SUV that was on the Bohobi Street?

A At that particular point in time, my first appearance at the Bohobi address? Is that what you're asking me for?

Q Yes, sir.
A At that particular time, $I$ don't believe I directed anybody to secure it.

Q At some point, did you?
A I did.
Q And who did you tell to secure that?
A Deputy Steinfeld.
Q I'm going to show you already admitted 98 and 99.

Do you recognize those photos, sir?
A $\quad$ I do.
Q What are they?
A They are photos that were taken of the green Ford Explorer at the Bohobi address.

Q And that's the same one we had just been
talking about?
A That's correct.
Q I'm gonna direct your attention to the loth of July, 2018.

Did you have occasion to apply for a search warrant for Mr. Lopez's DNA?

A I did.
Q And do you know Mr. Lopez by a nickname?
A $\quad$ I do.
Q What is that?
A Speedy.
Q Okay. Can you tell us why you were getting a search warrant for Speedy's DNA?

A It's my understanding that he was also involved in the incident at Wrangler Circle, so $I$ was applying for a buccal swab for DNA comparisons for evidentiary purposes.

Q And did you collect those?
A I did.
Q How is that done?
A Basically, two cotton-tipped applicators, like medical sterile swabs were used. And I place the swabs on the interior of the person's cheek, and move them around on the side of the cheek, swabbing that area for approximately 40 seconds, put that swab into an
envelope, and then take out another one --
correction -- take that swab, secure that. Pull out another swab, use it on the other side of the person's cheek, swab it for approximately the same time, and then secure that.

Q Did you follow that procedure in this particular instance?

A I did.
Q After you collected it, what did you do?
A I booked it into the Elko County Sheriff's Office evidence system.

Q And at some point, did you cause that to be sent to the crime lab for analysis?

A I did.
Q And specifically, were you requesting that be analyzed against other pieces of evidence you collected?

A I did -- or it was.
Q Okay. I've shown you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 327.

Do you recognize that, sir?
A I do.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A That is the Washoe County Sheriff's Office examination request form that $I$ filled out for that
item of evidence.
Q Okay. And on the box, itself, attached to that, does that identify what that item is?

A It does.
Q What is it?
A It says it's a swab kit from the Tieres Lopez.
Q Are your initials on there?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of State's 327, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Exhibit 327 is admitted.
(Exhibit 327 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'll direct your attention to the 11th of July, 2018 .

Did you have occasion to respond to a hospital in Utah in connection with this case?

A I did.
Q What was the reason for that?
A To serve a search warrant on Mr. Honeyestewa to obtain what Salt Lake County, or Salt Lake City, refers to as major case prints, a buccal swab, and clothes of his person.

Q And were you able to execute that search
warrant?
A I was.
Q And did you follow that same procedure that you described for us in collecting the buccal swabs?

A I personally did not, because I could not directly execute that search warrant since I'm not a peace officer in Utah. So the homicide detective in Utah, Detective Mount, applied for the search warrant, assisted in serving it. And his forensic team actually did all the forensic work with Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q Were you present for that?
A I was.
Q And was it substantially the same procedure that you used for Mr. Lopez's?

A Essentially, yes.
Q And were those swabs then handed over to you?
A The next day they were, yes, sir.
Q And what did you do with them?
A I booked them into our evidence system, and also sent them to the Washoe County Crime Lab, as well.

Q Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit 327. Will you look that over and tell us what that is?

A This is the packaging that $I$ placed the buccal swab kit from Mr. Honeyestewa into, as well as the -- a copy of the examination request form for the Washoe

County Sheriff's Office that I completed on it.
Q And is that sealed currently?
A It is.
Q Okay. I'm gonna open that.
THE COURT: Is that 326 , Mr. Ingram?
MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'm opening up the envelope.
Do you recognize that?
A I do.
Q What is it?
A That's the evidence packaging from the Salt Lake forensic team.

Q Okay. Is that the buccal swab in there (inaudible)?

A It is.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 326,
please.
THE COURT: Any objection --
MR. WOODBURY: Give me a second, Judge.
No.
THE COURT: Exhibit 326 is admitted.
(Exhibit 326 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Did you cause that to be sent anywhere?

A I did.

Q Where?
A To the Washoe County Crime Lab, as well. MR. INGRAM: Judge, I'm at a breaking point, if you would like to break.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: We will be in recess for 20
minutes. Detective Sergeant, please do not discuss the case with anyone other than the attorneys.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: You may be seated.
The record will so reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, please stipulate to the presence of the jury.

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Ingram.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Detective Stake, I'm gonna direct your attention to the 19th of July, 2018.

Did you have an occasion to conduct an interview with Mr. Honeyestewa?

A I did.
Q Where did that interview take place?
A The Elko County Jail interview room.

Q And how was it that that interview came about?
A That evening, $I$ was conducting my duties as the range master for the Department of Elko County Range, and I received a call from then-Detective Sergeant Hood that Mr. Honeyestewa wanted to talk to somebody involved in the case.

Q And was that person you?
A Eventually, yes, it was.
MR. INGRAM: Okay. Judge, I'm going to ask to admit State's Exhibits 3 and 4. Those are CDs of the interview.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury, to the admission of Exhibits 3 and 4?

MR. WOODBURY: Your Honor, Mr. Ingram and I have discussed the potential piece of evidence in the interview that should not be in there, and I'm assuming it's not.

THE COURT: $4-A$ is the redacted version; is that what you mean to use, Mr. Ingram?

MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So the portion that Mr. Woodbury is talking about, has that been redacted from these?

MR. INGRAM: Is that what you and I just talked about?

MR. WOODBURY: Yes.

MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor. These are
unredacted. That's what we were told we were supposed to use. So if we want to have a hearing outside the presence of the jury to take care of that, that's fine. But this is a completely unredacted copy.

THE COURT: Okay. It sounds like that's what we need to do, then.

Mr. Woodbury, you're objecting to a portion of it?

MR. WOODBURY: Ten words. It looks to me like he could probably start it after. It's right at the beginning.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. INGRAM: Can you show me what part you're talking about, Gary?
(Counsel complied.)
MR. INGRAM: Judge, $I$ have no problem with starting it after that point. I'll just need to be able to get to that point. And I'll have to do that --

THE COURT: -- outside the presence of the jury? Is that what you're saying?

MR. INGRAM: Yeah. I was trying to put it nicer than that, but, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, we're going to take another brief recess. (Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: Sounds like this will only take a few minutes, so don't go far. We're in recess.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: Defendant and counsel are present. Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, are you --
MR. WOODBURY: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- are you satisfied now with where
this is going to start?
MR. WOODBURY: Yes.
THE COURT: So you have no objection to the admission of Exhibits 3 and 4; is that correct?

MR. WOODBURY: We do not.
THE COURT: Exhibits 3 and 4 are admitted. (Exhibits 3 and 4 admitted.)

Q BY MR. INGRAM: Detective Stake, can you see the interview room depicted in your screen right in front of you?

A I can.
Q And closest to the bottom of the screen, there appears to be a document. Do you know what that is?

A That is a Miranda waiver form that we utilize
at the sheriff's office.
Q Essentially, what is that?
A It's the individual's Miranda rights, as well as a couple of questions that they understand (inaudible).

Q Okay. I'm gonna show you a portion of 5-C. Particularly, just that front page there.

Can you please take a look at that and let me know if you recognize it?

A I do.
Q What is that?
A This is the form that $I$ filled out during this interview with Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q And did Mr. Honeyestewa actually execute any part of that form? Has he signed any part of that form?

A He did.
Q And is that -- does that appear to be a copy of the form that appears in that video there?

A That is correct.
Q (Inaudible) agree to talk to you?
A I'm sorry?
Q Did Mr. Honeyestewa agree to talk to you?
A $H e$ did.
MR. INGRAM: Okay. Judge, for the record, I'm
starting this exhibit at 2 minutes and 54 seconds.
THE COURT: Is that 3 or 4 ?
MR. INGRAM: That's 3. Specifically, the first part of number 3. It's divided into two parts.
(Videotape played.)
THE COURT: I don't think that's amplified. Can you all hear that? No.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Give it a shot. (Videotape played.)

THE COURT: Can you hear it?
(Videotape played.)
THE COURT: When you deliberate, you will have these recordings. So you can play them back if you want to. I know some of it is kind of hard to hear, hard to understand. So you will have those if you want to play them back.
(Videotape played.)
MR. INGRAM: This will be the start of Exhibit 4.
(Videotape played.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: During the course of your interview that we just watched, it appears that you had Honeyestewa draw or write a few different things. I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit 5-C again. You've identified that first page.

But can you identify that second page for us there?

A The second page is the drawing of the knife that I asked Mr. Honeyestewa to complete during our interview. And, I'm sorry, did you say just the second page?

Q No. Keep going.
A The third page is the humanoid diagram that $I$ had him indicate where he had been shot, from Mr. Honeyestewa. This is actually the back number that would appear on that page that $I$ showed him, with the drawing of the humanoid shape. And this is the diagram that he drew explaining the inside of the residence where Mr. Smith was standing, Taylor Miller, and himself, and Speedy.

Q And are those accurate, as far as you know?
A They are.
MR. INGRAM: I move for the admission of State's 5-C.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: 5-C is admitted.
(Exhibit 5-C admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: During the course of that interview, Mr. Honeyestewa mentioned on several
occasions about a video. Do you recall that?
A I do.
Q Actually, to be more specific, two 30-minute videos; is that true?

A That is.
Q And is it your understanding that
Mr. Honeyestewa was interested in those videos because they would have depicted someone talking about -- not someone, Jennifer Stanger, talking about setting this whole shooting up, or stealing of the guns, something like that; is that your understanding?

A It is.
Q And during the course of your investigation -let me ask you this: How long did this investigation occur for you, or is it still ongoing?

A It's still ongoing.
Q And if information was brought to you to this day, would you follow up on it?

A I would.
Q Did you have an occasion to follow up on the videos that Mr. Honeyestewa was talking about in his interview?

A I did.
Q What did you find?
A I met with an individual identified as

Joyce Romaine, who described the videos. I also met with Bradley Smith's mother, Sue Francis, who actually provided me with, $I$ believe, three audio or video files via my department e-mail that seemed to match the description of what Mr. Honeyestewa was describing, in terms of videos from somebody's cell phone.

Q And did you have an opportunity to listen to those videos?

A I did.
Q Did you provide those videos to my office?
A I did.
Q And anywhere on those videos, is there any indication that Jennifer set this up?

A There is not.
Q Besides those videos, have you ever been able to recover any other videos of Jennifer talking about setting this up for insurance money or for any other purpose?

A I have not.
Q Besides Mr. Honeyestewa's allegation that Jennifer did this, have you ever found any evidence, besides video or audio, to support the fact that Jennifer set this up?

A I have not, no.
Q Did you look?

A I did.
Q Did there come a time during your investigation that you were informed about a missing laptop?

A I was.

Q What was your understanding about how that laptop came to be missing?

A It was my understanding that the laptop had been taken sometime prior to the shooting incident at Wrangler Circle from that residence. I don't recall the exact time frame. I want to say one week.

Q And who did that laptop belong to?
A Bradley Smith, I believe.
Q And during the course of your investigation, did you attempt to figure out what happened to the laptop?

A I did.
Q And to this day, have you been able to figure that out?

A I have not, no.
Q I want to direct your attention to the $22 n d$ of August, 2018.

Did you have an occasion to go over to
Mr. Woodbury's law office?
A I did.
Q What was the purpose for you going there?

A I was asked to stop by the office because an Apple laptop had been dropped off to his office by an individual. And since it was an item of evidence in the investigation, it was turned over to the sheriff's office.

Q During the course of your investigation, were you able to identify that laptop as belonging to Brad Smith?

A I was not.
Q Were you aware that Jennifer Stanger had a cell phone?

A I was.
Q And are you aware that that cell phone was actually downloaded and the information extracted from that?

A I was.
Q Did that include photographs?
A It did.
Q And did you search those photographs in an attempt to find a picture of the laptop that Bradley owned?

A I did.
Q And did you find anything?
A In terms of Bradley's laptop?
Q Yeah. Did you find any pictures of the laptop?

A I did find pictures of the laptop, yes.
Q Okay. And did you compare those pictures of the laptop that you found to the laptop that you retrieved from Mr. Woodbury's office?

A I did.
Q And did you come to any conclusions?
A The laptop that $I$ was provided by
Mr. Woodbury's office did not appear to me to match any of the video or audio -- or video or photographs that I observed in the downloaded -- Ms. Stanger's cell phone.

Q I want to direct your attention to the 10 th of September, 2018.

Did you have an occasion to meet with a person by the name of Cheri Thornton at the Newmont HR offices?

A I did.
Q What was your reason for going there?
A I went to the Newmont office to obtain Bradley Smith's work schedule for the time period of the alleged incident. And I also went to get his employee file.

Q Were you able to get the schedule?
A I was.
MR. INGRAM: Ms. Clerk, can $I$ have item 49, please?

Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 40 -- excuse me, Exhibit 49. Can you take a look at those pages, and please let me know when you're done.

A I'm done.
Q What are those?
A These are the two work schedule papers that Ms. Thornton provided to me; one for June of 2018 and one for July of 2018.

Q Whose work schedule?
A Bradley Smith's.
Q Were you provided anybody else's work schedule at that time?

A I was not.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of State's 49, please.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 49 is admitted.
(Exhibit 49 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: During your investigation, did you attempt to find out whether Jennifer Stanger was a beneficiary of Brad Smith's life insurance policies?

A I did.
Q What did you find out?

A I was provided with -- by the family with at least three different insurance policy letters from different companies. And Jennifer Stanger was not listed on any of those as the beneficiary.

Q Were Jennifer and Brad married?
A They were not.
MR. INGRAM: Your Honor, the next portion of my questioning will take quite a bit of time.

THE COURT: All right. It's been a long day. We'll call it quits.
(Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: We'll be in recess until 9:00 tomorrow morning.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\text { Evening recess.) } \\
-- \text { o०O००-- }
\end{gathered}
$$



|  |  | ```belong [1] - 967:11 belonging [2] - 922:8, 968:7 beneficiary [2] - 970:23, 971:4 benefit [1]-908:16 bet [1] - 933:6 better [3]-877:21, 878:15, 889:15 between [7] - 884:3, 888:13, 890:11, 898:18, 898:21, 900:13, 902:25 beyond [1] - 920:5 big [4]-899:17, 899:25, 921:7, 921:9 Billy [2]-873:10, 928:8 biological \({ }_{[1]}\) - 936:7 bit [4] - 878:13, 928:22, 932:7, 971:8 black [8] - 911:19, 911:20, 934:4, 935:16, 935:17, 935:18, 944:1 blasted [1] - 919:7 blood [9] - 873:6, 873:20, 914:24, 932:10, 941:12, 943:25, 946:18, 946:20 blue [2]-935:17, 935:20 body [1] - 941:2 Bohobi [8]-872:5, 884:25, 942:23, 944:5, 944:8, 952:7, 952:9, 952:24 booked [3] - 936:10, 954:10, 956:19 booking [1] - 936:8 boss [1] - 884:4 bother [1] - 888:22 bottom [1] - 961:23 box [2]-936:16, 955:2 boxers [1] - 935:18 boyfriend [1] - 899:5 Brad [3]-968:8, 970:23, 971:5 Bradley [11] - 930:13, 930:14, 930:25, 931:1, 931:14, 931:25, 966:2, 967:12, 968:20, 969:19, 970:11 Bradley's [1] - 968:24 break [3] - 948:13,``` | ```948:16, 958:4 breaking [1] - 958:3 brief [1] - 960:25 briefings \([1]-897: 7\) briefly [2] - 910:25, 940:2 briefs [1] - 931:4 broadcast [1] - 877:21 broke [1] - 942:8 brought [1] - 965:17 buccal [5] - 953:16, 955:23, 956:4, 956:23, 957:13 buddy [1] - 920:23 bulk [1] - 888:10 bullet [8]-873:19, 887:12, 887:14, 914:13, 914:15, 917:23, 925:15, 925:18 bullets [3]-887:17, 888:20, 922:1 bunch [1] - 920:9 burglary [1] - 899:23 bus [1] - 921:2 BY [34]-869:24, 874:23, 875:9, 876:16, 877:24, 878:8, 878:14, 879:19, 881:16, 882:15, 883:16, 889:12, 901:21, 905:14, 927:9, 933:10, 934:8, 935:2, 948:21, 949:10, 949:21, 950:8, 950:23, 951:12, 951:23, 955:15, 957:7, 957:23, 958:19, 961:19, 963:21, 964:24, 970:1, 970:21``` 924:23 caliber [5] - 878:21, 879:2, 916:20, 918:13, 919:4 camera [5] - 909:9, 909:12, 909:18, 909:20, 909:23 cannot [1] - 938:4 canvases [1] - 897:24 capacity [1] - 870:2 capture [1] - 948:10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 944:13, 944:22 | complete [1] - 964:4 | c | 880:16, 905:6, 905:7, | 961:17, 963:2, 963:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 944:23, 946:22 | ed | 875 | 958:13, 958:14, | 963:10, 963:12, |
| 951:3, 951:18 | 957 | acting | 961:5, 961: | $964: 20,964: 2$ |
| 951:24, 952 | pletel | 940 | 2] - 933: | 970:17, 970:19, |
| 953:15, 967:9 | 960: | contain [2] - 944:18, | 960 | :9, 971:12 |
| circled [1] - 94 | mplex [1] - 929: | $945: 22$ | counting [1] - 938:8 | Court [5] - 872: |
| circum | 904 | ained [1] - 942:7 | C | 76:10, 880:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 889:5 } \\ & \text { City [2] - } 902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 904:20 } \\ \text { com } \end{gathered}$ | contaminated [2] | $887: 19,88$ | courthouse [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 955:22 } \\ & \text { claim [1] - 900:25 } \\ & \text { claiming [1] - 938:24 } \\ & \text { classes [1] - 892:12 } \\ & \text { clear [4]-894:7, } \\ & \text { 894:8, } 900: 22,945: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 873:24, 933:7, 960:16 } \\ & \text { concerned }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 936:7, 942:9 } \\ & \text { contamination }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ 942:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 888:14, } 912: 18, \\ & 927: 11,928: 13, \\ & 936: 24,937: 4,937: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 926: 14 \\ & \text { courtroom [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 941: 7 \\ & \text { conclude }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 942:11 } \\ & \text { contempt }[2]-881: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 936:24, 937:4, 937:7, } \\ & 937: 8,954: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 876:7, 934:2 } \\ & \text { crime [15]-901:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | concluded [3] | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 881: 10 \\ \text { contents }[1]-923: 17 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | $901: 8,914: 2,917: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { context }[1]-894: 5 \\ & \text { continue }[1]-879: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $958: 25,959: 3$ | $944: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { clearly [2]-909:21, } \\ & \text { 909:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 901:8, 914:2, 917:25 } \\ \text { conclusion [3] - } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { couple }[7]-872: 20, \\ & 873: 12,884: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 944: 22,945: 1,945: 3, \\ & 946: 2,947: 6,947: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| 969:24 | conclusions [2] - | 936:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 894:13, } 914: \\ & 923: 12,962: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 947: 13,954: 13 \\ \text { Crime [8] - } 886 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 947:11 } \\ & \text { closely }[3]-910: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 917:20, 969:6 conclusive [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 902: 8,903: 8,904: 5 \\ & \text { contrary }[2]-896: 13, \end{aligned}$ | course [9]-871: | 887:19, 888:10, |
|  | conclusively |  | $942: 18,963: 2$ | $956: 20,95 \varepsilon$ |
| $910: 22,910: 23$ | 918:11 | control [2] - 903:23, | 964:24, 965:13 | crimes [1] - 921: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 874: 24,923: 4 \\ & \text { conduct }[5]-885: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 941:22 } \\ & \text { controlled }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $967: 13,968: 6$ | cross [2] - 883:13, $905: 11$ |
| clothes [2] - 936: |  |  | court [5]-881: <br> 881:10, 882:11 | 905:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 955:23 } \\ & \text { clothing [3] - 934:16, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 904: 16,904 \\ & 940: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 881: 10,882: 11 \\ & 911: 9,911: 11 \end{aligned}$ | CROSS [1] - 883:15 crying [1] - 940:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { clothing [3]-934:16, } \\ & \text { 934:17, } 936: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 885:9, 889:17, <br> 892:16, 958:21 | 940:14 <br> convenience [1] - | COURT [83] - 869:3, | cul [3]-94 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 934:17, 936:6 } \\ & \text { code }[1]-921: 19 \\ & \text { collect }[6]-888: 14, \\ & 934: 9,934: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 892: 16,958: 21 \\ \text { conducted [3] } \end{gathered}$ | 885:20 <br> conversation [6] - | 869:8, 869:11, 869:16, 874:20, | $945: 2,945: 4$ |
|  | 876:25, 889:12, $890 \cdot 15$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 869: 16,874: 20, \\ & 875: 5,875: 7,876: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cul-de-sac [3] - } \\ 944: 25,945: 2,945: 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 934: 9,934: 15 \\ & 936: 21,941: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 890:15 <br> conducting [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 878: 2,878: 9,878: 16 \\ & 879: 21,888: 12,890: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 877:5, 877:7, 877:11, | 944:25, 945:2, 945:4 <br> custody [2] - 886:14, |
| 953:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 959:2 } \\ & \text { confront }[1]-908: 11 \\ & \text { confrontations }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 879:21, 888:12, } 890: 4 \\ \text { conversations [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 877:14, 877:16 877:20, 878:5, 878:10, 880:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 936: 4 \\ & \text { cut }[1]-942: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 888:10, 908:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 894:5 } \\ & \text { confronted [3] - } \\ & 906: 4,906: 18,922: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 956: 25,960: 5,962: 18 \\ \text { correct [18] - 878:3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 880: 11,880: 13, \\ & 880: 20,883: 4,883: 8, \\ & 883: 10,883: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $954: 17$ <br> collecting [2] - | 906:4, 906:18, 922:23 confusing [1] - | 902:4, 902:7, 909:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 883: 10,883: 13 \\ & 901: 12,901: 16 \\ & 904: 23,904: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dab [1] - 936:19 } \\ & \text { dark [3] - 911:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $929: 1,956: 4$ | $904: 21$ | 928:21, 933:17, | $905: 5,905: 11,926: 5$ | $915: 17,931: 4$ |
| 879:9, 888:8, 936:13 | $935: 8,955: 18$ consequence [1] - | 943:8, 945:6, 945:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 926: 7,926: 13 \\ & 926: 16,926: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { date [2]-871:8 } \\ & 931: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Colony [2] - 872:9, |  | 952:3, 953:2, 961:15, | $926: 24,933: 1,933: 3,$ | daubers [1] - 941: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 942:23 } \\ & \text { colored }[1]-931: 4 \\ & \text { coming }[4]-903: 2, \\ & 903: 4,924: 5,948: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 889:20 <br> consider [1] - 944:21 <br> consideration [2] - | correcting [1] 944:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 934:7, 948:14, } \\ & \text { 948:16, 949:6, 949:8, } \\ & \text { 949:17, 949:19, } \end{aligned}$ | David [1] - 912:10 <br> daylight [1] - 948:4 <br> days [5]-871:5, |
| commit [1] - 922:19 | 896:2, 925:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 954:2 } \\ & \text { correctly }[1]-915: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $950: 4,950: 6,950: 21$ | 889:19, 890:19, |
| commonly | 896:1, 899:11 |  | 51:8, 951:10, | 891:3, 897:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 936:5 } \\ & \text { communicate [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | consistent [6] - | corroborate [1] - | 955:13, 957:5, | $945: 2,945: 4$ |
|  | $889: 2,903: 5,903: 6$ | $900: 11$ | 957: | $\text { dead [1] }-897$ |
| 940:24 | 940:20 |  | 958:5, 958:7, 958:11, | deal [2] - 921:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 903:21 } \\ & \text { companies [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 958:18, 959:1 | 921:9 |
|  | 916 | cost [1] - 937:15 | $959: 18,959: 2$ | dealing [1] - 941:24 |
| 971:3 | contact [7] - 871:10, | cotton-tipped [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 960: 6,960: 13 \\ & 960: 20,960: 2 \end{aligned}$ | death [1] - 921:14 <br> decided [1] - 902:18 |
| comparisons [1] - | 871:16, 924:10, $924: 12,931 \cdot 9$, | $953: 21$ | 961:2, 961:5, 961:9, | decision [1] - 888:7 |
|  | 924:12, 931:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { counsel [10]- 869:3, } \\ & \text { 869:4, 880:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 961:2, 961:5, 961:9, } \\ & 961: 11,961: 14, \end{aligned}$ | defendant [11] - |


| 869:3, 875:1 | 884:15, 892:16, | 884:6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 876:14, 880:15, | 89 | [3] - 953:6, |  | 887:25, 892:19, |
| 882:2, 882:16, 905:6, | 899:18, 906:13 | 953:13, 953:16 | E | 937:9, |
| 905:15, 907:22, | 925:22, 927:11, | , |  | , 962: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 958:13, 961:5 } \\ & \text { defendant's }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ 876:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 927:13, 927:22, } \\ & \text { 928:1, 928:15, } \\ & \text { 928:19, 928:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 961:24 } \\ & \operatorname{dog}_{[9]}-945: 15, \end{aligned}$ 945:21, 945:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e-mail [1] - 966:4 } \\ & \text { early [2] - 885:3, } \end{aligned}$ | Eva [1] - 895:6 evaluate [2]-947:7, |
| definitely [1] - 939:4 <br> definition [1] - 921:3 <br> deflect [1]-917:24 <br> degree [2]-919:14, | 941:7, 956:7, 958:8 detectives [9]884:5, 884:7, 884:10, 897:12, 898:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 945:23, 946:1, 946:3, } \\ & \text { 946:4, 946:8, 946:11 } \\ & \text { dogs [5]-944:16, } \\ & 944: 18,945: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 943:4 } \\ & \text { edge }[1]-912: 7 \\ & \text { effort }[1]-884: 14 \\ & \text { eight }[2]-912: 7 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | ```Evening [1] - 971:14 evening[3] - 876:1, 916:3, 959:2 event [5] - 890:14,``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 924:15 } \\ & \text { degrees }[1]-917: 20 \\ & \text { deliberate }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 898:14, 920:17, } \\ & \text { 922:18, 928:4 } \\ & \text { determination [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 945: 18,945: 19 \\ & \text { done }[7]-932: 9, \\ & 935: 5,938: 6,950: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 922:5 } \\ & \text { either [4]-897:21, } \\ & \text { 937:25, 938:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 900: 24,914: 22, \\ & \text { 921:18, } 943: 4 \\ & \text { events [2] - } 916: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 963:12 denied [1] - 938:19 Department [2] - | 886:7, 914:20 <br> determine [1] - 918:8 determined [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 953:20, } 970: 4,970: 5 \\ \text { door }[4]-874: 16, \\ 913: 9,915: 9,946: 13 \end{gathered}$ | 938:19 <br> electron [1] - 938:7 <br> Elko [15] - 870:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 916:10 } \\ & \text { eventually }[5] \text { - } \\ & 928: 17,936: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 947:4, 959:3 } \\ & \text { department [3]- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 885:18, 925:16 } \\ & \text { determining }{ }_{[2]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { doorbell [2] - 909:10, } \\ & 910: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 875:23, 887:8, } \\ & 912: 18,927: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 939:8, 946:8, 959:8 } \\ & \text { evidence [34] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 927:18, 927:19, 966:4 } \\ & \text { depict }[1]-948: 10 \\ & \text { depicted }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 907:6, 913:9 } \\ & \text { devices }[1]-931: 3 \\ & \text { diagram }[3]-917: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { doors }[1]-930: 16 \\ & \text { dope }[1]-902: 6 \\ & \text { down }[7]-871: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 928:13, 929:17, } \\ & \text { 933:22, 936:23, } \\ & 937: 3,942: 23,947: 3, \end{aligned}$ | 875:4, 877:3, 879:9, 882:25, 888:7, <br> 888:10, 888:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 961:20, } 965: 8 \\ & \text { deposit }[1]-938: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 964:8, } 964: 12 \\ & \text { different [10] - 874:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 878:13, 880:6, } \\ & 885: 22,917: 5,918 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 954:10, 958:25, 959:3 } \\ & \text { emergency [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 902: 22,904: 5, \\ & \text { anc.11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| deputies [1] - 944:15 <br> deputy [1] - 952:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 897:25, 924:18, } \\ & \text { 933:9, 948:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 924: 25 \\ \text { downloaded [2] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 930:15, } 940: 5 \\ & \text { emotions }[3]-940: 9, \\ & 940: 10,940: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 914:24, 916:15, } \\ & 918: 4,929: 1,934: 9, \end{aligned}$ 936:5, 936:8, 936:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deputy }[9]-885: 21 \text {, } \\ & 929: 22,931: 15 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 963:23, 971:2, 971:3 <br> dings [1] - 881:17 <br> DIRECT [2]-869:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 968:14, 969:10 } \\ & \text { draw [1] - } 963: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { emphasized }[1] \text { - } \\ & 894: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 936: 5,936: 8,936: 11, \\ & 937: 4,937: 5,937: 6, \\ & 939: 2,941: 5,942: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| 944:15, 945:20, 945:22, 946:7, | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{D} \\ 92 \end{array}$ | drawing [2] - 964:3 964:12 | employed [1] - | 947:19, 954:11, |
| ```describe [5] - 872:10, 876:9, 921:9,``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { direct }[8]-870: 11, \\ 927: 24,952: 6,953: 3, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DRE }_{[1]}-940: 22 \\ & \text { drew [2] - } 917: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 927:10 employee [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 954:16, 955:1, } \\ & \text { 956:19, 957:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 940:8, 944:21 } \\ & \text { described }[7] \text { - } \\ & \text { 898:2, 913:5, 923:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 955:15, 958:20, } \\ & \text { 967:20, 969:11 } \\ & \text { directed }[1]-952: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 964: 13 \\ \text { drink }_{[1]}-908: 21 \\ \text { driver's }[4]-874: 16, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 875:11, } 969: 21 \\ & \text { empty }[1]-923: 6 \\ & \text { encompass }[1]- \\ & 945: 8 \end{aligned}$ | ```959:15, 966:21, 968:3 evident [1]- 891:23 evidentiary [2] - 872:16, 953:16``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 939:20, } 950: 13, \\ & \text { 956:4, } 966: 1 \\ & \text { describing [2] } \end{aligned}$ | direction [3] - $\begin{aligned} & \text { 924:11, 924:14, 946:4 } \\ & \text { directions [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 912:5, 912:6 driveway [4] 945:13, 945:14, | encourage [1] - 893:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 872:16, 953:16 } \\ & \text { exact }[3]-923: 17, \\ & 943: 1,967: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 913:7, 966:5 } \\ & \text { description [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 924:18 } \\ & \text { directly }[3]-874: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 945:24, 946:6 } \\ & \text { dropped }[3]-919: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ended [2]-902:23, } \\ & \text { 914:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exactly }[5]-890: 13, \\ & \text { 893:1, } 901: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 874:15, 892:21, 966:5 } \\ \text { desert [2] - 872:14, } \end{gathered}$ | 934:3, 956:6 disagree [1] - 909:8 <br> discern [1]-886.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 919:8, } 968: 2 \\ & \text { drug [3] - } 918: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { enforcement }[2] \text { - } \\ & 870: 5,884: 6 \\ & \text { engage }[4]-905: 24 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 913:24, 947:6 } \\ & \text { exaggeration [1] - } \end{aligned}$ 97:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 872: 15 \\ & \text { destroy }{ }_{[1]}-888: 22 \\ & \text { detail }[2]-943: 18, \end{aligned}$ | discern [1] - 886:2 <br> discovery [1] - 883:7 <br> discuss [4] - 905:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 918: 23,918: 24 \\ & \text { dry }[1]-936: 8 \\ & \text { dryer }[1]-936: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { engage }[4]-905: 24, \\ 906: 2,907: 17,907: 24 \\ \text { enter }[1]-908: 21 \\ \text { entered }[4]-946: 2, \end{gathered}$ | 907:18 <br> EXAMINATION [3] - <br> 869:23, 883:15, 927:8 <br> examination [4] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 943:19 } \\ & \text { details }[1]-916: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 921: 25, ~ 926: 16, ~ 958: 8 ~ \\ \text { discussed [6] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { duly }[2]-869: 20, \\ & 927: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 946:17, 946:19, | examination [4] - 883:13, 905:11, |
| Detective [19] | 871:16, 909:18 | duplicate [1] | 947:10 <br> entry ${ }^{[1]}$ - 899:19 | $954: 25,956: 25$ |
| 873:10, 873:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 909:19, 916:6, } \\ & 918: 21,959: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 884:14 | entry [1] - 899:19 <br> entryway [1] - 924:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { examine [2]- } \\ 910: 19,910: 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 875: 24,881: 20, \\ & 884: 2,888: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 918: 21,959: 15 \\ \text { discussion [5] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { during [19]-871:16, } \\ 891: 13,897: 7,898: 4, \end{array}$ | envelope [2] - 954:1, | 910:19, 910:22 examined [1] - 911:3 |
| 888:21, 889:5, | 878:20, 914:8, | 928:1, 939:12, | 957:7 | excuse [9]-889:8, |
| 897:22, 897:23, | 917:15, 920:17 | $941: 10,942: 2,$ | equally [1] - 909:2 | 907:1, 914:25, |
| $900: 20,905: 14$ <br> 929.20, 929.24 | 921:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 946: 16,947: 23 \\ & 962: 12,963: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { equipment }[1] \text { - } \\ & 909: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 932:16, 944:5, } \\ & \text { 944:10, 952:5, 970:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 956:8, 958:19, 959:4, | distributing [1] - | $964: 4,964: 24,$ | erratic [1] - 940:10 $\text { error }[2] \text { - 917:20 }$ | $970: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 961:19 } \\ & \text { detective }[21] \text { - } \\ & \text { 877:24, 883:17, } \\ & \text { 883:25, 884:1, } \end{aligned}$ | 881:7 <br> District ${ }_{[1]}$ - 937:1 <br> divided [1] - 963:4 <br> division [2] - 870:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 965:13, 967:2, } \\ & \text { 967:13, 968:6, 970:21 } \\ & \text { duties [2]-900:19, } \\ & 959: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { error }[2]-917: 20, \\ & \text { 917:22 } \\ & \text { especially }[1]-909: 9 \\ & \text { essentially }[8]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { execute }[3]-955: 25 \text {, } \\ & \text { 956:6, 962:14 } \\ & \text { Exhibit }[32]-873: 14 \text {, } \\ & 874: 5,875: 7,875: 8 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |



| 929:7, 956:7 | hospital [21] - | inadvertently [1] - | 948:17, 948:21, | 883:1, 885:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Honeyestewa [81] - | 875:22, 891:3, 891:5, | 942: | 949:5, 949:10, | 885:23, 886:8, |
| 871:18, 874:11, | 929:16, 930:7, 931:2, | inaudible [11] 888:4, 889:3, 88 | 949:16, 949:21, 950:3, 950:8, 950:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 887:11, 889:13, } \\ & 889: 17,889: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 876:3, 876:6, 877:4, | 931:17, 931:19, | 912:2, 912:24, | 950:22, 950:23, | 890:2, 890:15, |
| 887:12, 890:2, | 931:24, 933:16, | 917:13, 928:25, | 951:7, 951:12, | 890:22, 891:14, |
| 890:11, 890:15, | 933:22, 934:8, 935:9, | 947:5, 948:20, | 951:19, 951:22, | 892:7, 892:19, |
| 890:21, 891:17, | 936:1, 939:23, 940:4, | 957:14, 962:21 | 951:23, 955:9, | 892:24, 893:25, |
| 892:20, 894:1, | 940:7, 943:12, 955:17 | naudible) [5] - | 955:15, 957:6, 957:7, | 896:18, 896:22, |
| 895:14, 895:21, | hour [1] - 877:15 | 873:16, 934:17, | 957:16, 957:23, | 898:19, 899:2, |
| 896:8, 896:14, | hours [4]-885:1, | 935:6, 948:20, 962:5 | 958:3, 958:16, | 902:12, 905:14, |
| 898:20, 899:1, | 886:15, 943:5, 948:4 | incident [11] - | 958:19, 959:9, | 906:2, 907:9, 916:14, |
| 899:22, 900:24, | house [34]-870:23, | 870:23, 886:11, | 959:20, 959:23, | 920:15, 920:21, |
| 901:23, 904:1, 907:5, | 871:24, 888:21, | 889:23, 890:19, | 960:1, 960:14, | 921:21, 939:9, |
| 907:15, 907:23, | 888:23, 896:11 | 895:12, 931:16, | 960:17, 960:22, | 939:12, 958:22, |
| 908:8, 910:12, | 896:15, 899:14, | 941:11, 947:5, | 961:8, 961:19, | 958:24, 958:25, |
| 911:20, 911:24, | 899:19, 899:23, | 953:15, 967:8, 969:20 | 962:25, 963:3, | 959:1, 959:11, |
| 913:1, 913:12, | 901:4, 901:14, | include [4]-881:2, | 963:18, 963:21, | 959:16, 961:20, |
| 913:17, 913:20, | 901:24, 902:13, | 881:10, 947:15, | 964:18, 964:24, | 962:13, 963:22, |
| 914:1, 914:3, 916:1, 916:13, 918:1 | 908:20, 909:9, 918:5, | 968:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 969:24, 970:1, } \\ & 970: 15,970: 21,971: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $964: 5,964: 25,965: 22$ |
| 918:13, 919:2, | 923:25, 945:6, | incriminating [1] - | Ingram [5] - 926:25, | 875:16, 876:3, |
| 919:18, 920:22, | 945:16, 946:2, 946:3, | 893:19 | 957:5, 958:18, | 876:17, 894:24, |
| 921:22, 921:25, | 946:17, 946:19, | indicate [3]-922:21, | 959:14, 959:19 | 894:25, 895:13 |
| 923:11, 931:10, | 946:24, 947:3, 947:5, | 924:14, 964:9 | initial [7]-883:25, | interviewee's [1] - |
| 931:19, 932:4, | 947:16, 948:7, | indicated [1] | 885:10, 889:18, | 893:12 |
| 932:21, 933:16, | 948:10, 950:13, | 916:13 | 889:19, 892:23, | interviewing [2] - |
| 933:22, 933:24, | 950:15, 952:2 | indication [1] - | 942:2, 945:3 | 885:19, 892:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 934:10, 935:9, 939:6, } \\ & \text { 939:10, 939:15, } \end{aligned}$ | HR [1] - 969:14 | 966:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { initials [2]-935:24, } \\ & 955: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { interviews [8] - } \\ 884: 24,885: 7,88 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 939: 24,940: 2, \\ & 941: 18,942: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $964: 8,964: 12$ | 884:11, 895:5, 938:3, $939 \cdot 22,965 \cdot 25,968 \cdot 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { injuries [3]-932:10, } \\ & \text { 932:12, } 941: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 885: 11,892: 17, \\ 895: 5,906: 13,929: 1 \end{array}$ |
| 942:10, 943:13, <br> 955:21, 956:10, | 886:5, 886:17, 886:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 939:22, 965:25, 968:3 } \\ & \text { individual's }[1] \text { - } \\ & 962: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inside [11] - 873:15, } \\ & \text { 895:23, 930:16, } \end{aligned}$ | invasion [3] 870:16, 878:18, |
| 956:24, 958:22, | I | inducing [1] - 892:20 | 936:18, 943:24, | 899:24 |
| 959:5, 962:13, |  | inference [2] - | 945:15, 947:24, | investigate [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 962: 14,962: 23, \\ & 963: 23,964: 4, \\ & 964: 10,964: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ID }[1]-934: 6 \\ & \text { idea }[3]-893: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 894:11, 894:12 } \\ & \text { influence [2] - 886:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 948: 6,948: 8,950: 15, \\ & 964: 13 \\ & \text { instance }[5]-884: 1 . \end{aligned}$ | 903:10, 939:2 investigating [2] 929:4, 929:23 |
| 965:7, 965:21, 966:5 Honeyestewa's [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 893:22, 908:18 } \\ & \text { identification }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 916:6 info [1] - 891:12 | 899:5, 944:13, <br> 944:14, 954:7 | investigation [23] - <br> 871:5, 871:7, 871:15 |
| 919:23, 922:13, | 876:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inform [3]-894:9, } \\ & \text { 898:24, 919:6 } \end{aligned}$ | instinctively [1] | 879:7, 883:22, |
| 966:20 | identified $[3]$ 911:19, 963:25 | information [15] - | 942:3 | 884:12, 889:1, <br> 907:12, 908.2 |
| Honor [22] - 869:6, | $965: 25$ | 881:3, 890:8, 894:19, | insurance [3] - 966:17, 970:23, | 907:12, 908:2, 923:16, 928:10, |
| 877:2, 877:13, | identify [4] - 882:5, | 896:6. 896:9. 896:13. | intend [1] - 948:13 | 929:5, 929:12 |
| 880:18, 882:24, | 955:3, 964:1, 968:7 | 902:8, 902:10, | interacted [1] - 894:6 | 942:18, 946:17, |
| 883:9, 905:9, 905:13, | ill [1] - 890:10 | 923:15, 925:9, 939:6, | interest [1] - 887:4 | 947:23, 965:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 926:6, 926:11, 927:1, } \\ & 934: 5,934: 24, \end{aligned}$ | illegal [1] - 907:17 immediately [1] - | $965: 17,968: 14$ | interested [1] - 965:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 965: 14,967: 2, \\ & 967: 13,968: 4,968: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 948:17, 957:6, | \|932:1 | informed [5] - 902:20, 929:20, | $\begin{gathered} \text { interior [4]-944:1, } \\ \text { 945:6, 949:13, 953:23 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 967:13, } \\ & 970: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 958:16, 959:14, | impacted [1] - | $943: 11,947: 2,967: 3$ | internally ${ }_{[1]}$ - | investigative [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 959:20, 960:1, 961:8, } \\ & 961: 10,971: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 925:15 important [5] - | informing [1] - 904:2 <br> INGRAM [61] - 869:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 895:23 } \\ & \text { internet }[1]-881: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 925:5, 938:16 investigator [1] - |
| Hood [7]-873:10, 888:13, 888:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 892:22, 892:25, } \\ & \text { 893:5, 893:9, 894:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 901:6, 901:10, | interview [52] - | 925:8 |
| 889:5, 928:8, 929:21, | impression [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 926:10, 926:20, } \\ & \text { 927:1, 927:9, 932:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 875:12, 875:20, } \\ & \text { 876:4, 876:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { investigators [1] - } \\ & 928: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 959:4 } \\ & \text { hope }[1]-900: 22 \\ & \text { Hospital }{ }_{[1]}-930: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 907:9, 945:3 } \\ & \text { improbable }[1] \text { - } \\ & 907: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 933:6, 933:10, 934:5, 934:8, 934:23, 935:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 876:22, 876:24, } \\ & 877: 4,882: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { invite }[1]-899: 14 \\ & \text { invited }[2]-901: 13, \\ & 902: 5 \end{aligned}$ |







| 880:16, 905:7, | supervisor [1] - | 960:10 | third [1]-964:8 | tried [4]-886:24, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 958:14, } 961: 6 \\ \text { stipulated }[4] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 928:4 } \\ & \text { support }[1]-966: 22 \end{aligned}$ | tend [1] - 923:13 <br> tennis [2]-935:15, | Thornton [2] 969:14, 970:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 897: 14,923: 23, \\ & 945: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 869:7, 880:19, | supposed [2] - | 935:1 | threats [1] - 921:1 | 1] - 902:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 905:10, 958:17 } \\ & \text { stomach }[3]-911: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 902:2, 960:2 } \\ & \text { surface }[2]-936: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { terms [3]-921:2, } \\ & 966: 6,968: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { three }[4]-896: 25, \\ 911: 15,966: 3,971: 2 \end{gathered}$ | trouble [1] - 918:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 911:12, 918:9 } \\ & \text { stood }[1]-913: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 936:19 } \\ & \text { suspected }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { test }[3]-937: 2 \\ & 937: 9,938: 6 \end{aligned}$ | throughout [2] 942:18, 945:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 899:19, 899:20, } \\ & 900: 2,907: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| Stop [3] - 919:18, | 908:3 | testified [3]-869:20, | throw [1] - 921:2 | 908:24, 909:2, |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 919: 21,920: 4 \\ \text { stop }[5]-872: 1, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suspects }[3] \text { - } \\ & 875: 12,892: 7,892: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 882:10, } 927: 5 \\ & \text { testify }[2]-925: 11 \end{aligned}$ | tie [1] - 934:4 <br> Tieres [2] - 889: | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 933: 21,965: 4 \\ \text { trust }[1]-891: 1 \end{array}$ |
| 919:11, 919:21, | SUV [1] - 952:7 | 926:14 | 955 | th [1] - 906:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 946:3, } 968: 1 \\ & \text { stopped [2] - 919:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { swab [9]-953:16, } \\ 953: 25,954: 2,954: 3, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { testimony }[3]- \\ & 905: 2,926: 17,933: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tipped }[1]-953: 21 \\ & \text { today }[4]-876: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { truthful }[2]-908: 15 \text {, } \\ & 918: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 920:1 } \\ & \text { stories [2] - 903:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 954:4, 955:6, 955:23, } \\ & 956: 24,957: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THE [91] - 869:3, } \\ & \text { 869:8, 869:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 876:22, 882:11, 934:2 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { try }[5]-877: 22, \\ 884: 12,884: 13, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 904: 9 \\ & \text { story }[5]-893 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { swabbing }{ }_{[1]} \\ & 953: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 869: 15,869: 16 \\ & 874: 20,875: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 898: 25,899: 10, \\ & 899: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $893: 14,946: 3$ |
| 904:10, 904:12, | swabs [4] - 953:22 | 876:15, 877:5, 877:7, | tomorrow [1] | $897: 8,944: 18,960: 22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 904:13 } \\ & \text { straightforward }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 956:4, 956:16 } \\ & \text { sweatpants } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 877: 11,877: 14, \\ & \text { 877:16, 877:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 971:13 } \\ & \text { took }[13]-871: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { turned }[4]-878: 13, \\ 897: 4,911: 21,968: 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 904:1, } 911: 24 \\ & \text { Street }[1]-952: 7 \\ & \text { street }[3]-871: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 935:19 | 877:22, 878:5, <br> 878:10, 878:13, | 871:23, 887:3, 887:6, $890 \cdot 23 \text { 891.6 916.2 }$ | $\text { turns }[1] \text { - } 922: 7$ two [20] - 878:1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sweatshirt [14] - } \\ & 873: 4,873: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 878:10, 878:13, } \\ & \text { 880:8, 880:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 890:23, 891:6, 916:2, } \\ & 932: 20,946: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { two [20]-878:1, } \\ \text { 886:15, 889:19, } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 872:2, } 872: 12 \\ & \text { stubs }[4]-936: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 874:13, 874:14, 874:15, 881:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 880: 13,880: 20, \\ & 883: 4,883: 8,883: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 947: 23,948: 23 \\ & 951: 2,951: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 898: 10,900: 17, \\ & 906: 21,924: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 937:25, 938:9, 938:11 } \\ & \text { stuff }[1]-937: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 882:5, 882:9, 910:14, | 883:13, 901:12, | tool [1] - 938:1 | 924:13, 924:17 |
|  | 910:20, 910:22 | 901:16, 901:1 | topic [1] -948:18 | 924:18, 925:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { stupid }[1]-893: 18 \\ & \text { sub }_{[1]}-922: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 911:19, } 911: 21,912: 4$ <br> sweatshirts [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 904:23, 904:25, } \\ & 905: 5,905: 11,926: 5, \end{aligned}$ | Torres [1] - 915:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 941: 24,942: 4, \\ & 944: 15,944: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| subcompact [9] - | 873: | 926:7, 926:13, | $927: 18,937: 19$ | 953:21, 963:4, 965:3, |
|  | sworn [4]-869 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 926: 15,926: 16 \\ 926: 22, ~ 926: 23 \end{array}$ | totally [1] - 893:21 | $970: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 916: 20,918: 16, \\ & 919: 4,921: 23,922: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 869:20, 926:21, 927:5 <br> system [5] - 897:14, | 926:24, 933:1, 933:3, | toward [1] - 946:2 <br> towards [1] - 946: | two-and-a-half [1] - 886:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 923:24 } \\ & \text { subdivision [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 936: 9,936: 11, \\ & 954: 11,956: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 933:5, 933:8, 934:7 } \\ & 948: 14,948: 16, \end{aligned}$ | towel $[1]$ - 935:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { typical }[2]-905: 25, \\ & 906: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 872:3 } \\ & \text { subject }[3]-921: 13, \end{aligned}$ |  | 949:6, 949:8, 949:17, | track [1] - 912:23 | typically [3]-889:3, |
|  | T | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 949:19, 950:4, 950:6, } \\ & 950: 21,951: 8, \end{aligned}$ | raining $[1]-892: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 921:11, 938:16 } \\ & \text { Tyrell }[2]-907: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 917:8, 917:11, } 938: 1 \\ & \text { subpoena }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | T-shirt [1] - 935:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 955:11, } 955: 13 \\ & 957: 5,957: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 924:3 } \\ & \text { trajectory [6] - 917:1, } \end{aligned}$ | U |
| $\begin{aligned} & 926: 10 \\ & \text { subsequent }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | tape [5] - 936:19, | $\text { 958:11, } 958: 18$ | 925:15, 925:16 | ultimately [4] - |
| 888:12 <br> substance [1] - | 945:1, 945:3, 947:12, 947:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 959: 12,959: 18 \\ & 959: 21,960: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 882:19, 882:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 897: 10,911: 21, \\ & 913: 2,917: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 904:16 <br> substances [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 947:6 } \\ & \text { tapes }[1]-923: 12 \\ & \text { tasked }[1]-928: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 960: 13,960: 20, \\ & 960: 24,961: 2,961: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 883:6, 906:21, 907:20 } \\ & \text { TRANSCRIPT }[1]- \\ & \text { 869:1 } \end{aligned}$ | unable [1]-918:7 unattended [1] - |
| 886:7, 904:19, 940:14 substantially [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tasked }[1]-928: 24 \\ & \text { Taylor }[6]-907: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 961:9, 961:11, } \\ & \text { 961:14, 961:17, } \end{aligned}$ | transcription [] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 942:17 } \\ & \text { uncovered }[1] \end{aligned}$ |
| substantially [2] -$\begin{aligned} & \text { 898:6, 956:13 } \\ & \text { Sue }[1]-966: 2 \\ & \text { suggest }[2]-892: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 907: 4,907: 5,907: 10 \\ & 907: 16,964: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 963:2, 963:6, 963:10, } \\ & 963: 12,964: 20, \end{aligned}$ | transfer [1]-942:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 942:19 } \\ & \text { under [5] - 873:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 956:9, 957:12 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 964: 22,970: 17, \\ 970: 19,971: 9,971: 12 \end{array}$ | 875:23, 929:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 886: 3,916: 6,921: 2, \\ & 926: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 942: 20 \\ \text { suggested }[2]- \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { technician }[1] \text { - } \\ & 938: 8 \end{aligned}$ | theft [1] - 922:23 then-Detective [2] - | $\begin{gathered} 931: 17,940: 3,945: 20 \\ \text { trauma [1] - 886:6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yzo:10 } \\ & \text { understood [2]- - } \\ & 903: 5,903: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { suggested [2] - } \\ \text { 894:19, 916:16 } \\ \text { suggestion }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | technique [4] 896:18, 905:24, 905:25, 906:2 ten [2]-890:18, | 929:20, 959:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { traumatic [1] - } \\ & 916: 12 \end{aligned}$ | underwear [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggestion }[1] \text { - } \\ & 908: 19 \\ & \text { suicide }[2]-938: 21, \\ & 938: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | theory [4] - 913:5, 913:8, 913:13, 914:2 thinking [1] - 897:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { travels }[1]-924: 24 \\ & \text { trial }[4]-880: 24, \\ & 881: 4,881: 7,889: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 931:4 } \\ & \text { UNIDENTIFIED }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 963: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
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THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: If you are a witness in this case, you are absolutely prohibited from watching this trial, unless you've been given specific permission by the Court to do so. If you do, you may be held in contempt of court, punishment for which could include a jail sentence.

Log-in information for all of those who log in to watch this trial on Zoom shall be maintained and filed in this action. All spectators are prohibited from recording this trial in any manner, or distributing it on social media, or in any manner on the internet. Violation of this order could result in a fine and that you are in contempt of court, punishment of which could include a jail sentence.

Mr. Ingram, we were on your direct examination.
MR. INGRAM: Thank you.

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Detective Stake, you're still under oath, correct?

A I am.
Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 355. Can you please take a look at those pages, and let me know when you're done.

A I'm done.
Q What is that?
A This is a narrative of a report that I completed for a search warrant that $I$ served on the 15th of October, 2019 .

Q And would having that report in front of you assist in your testimony regarding that topic?

A It would.
Q Okay. So let me direct your attention to the 15th of October, 2019 .

Did you have an occasion to respond to that 2521 -- now $I$ can't remember the address -- to the Wrangler Circle address?

A That's correct. 2821 Wrangler Circle.
Q $\quad 2821$.
And what was your reason for responding there over a year later?

A To serve a search warrant to recover any possible fired bullets, or bullet fragments, or potentially any (inaudible).

Q And what's your understanding of the circumstances of why some of those potential (inaudible) of evidence were left in the house?

A On July 8th of 2018, when Washoe County FIS was processing the house, it was my understanding that Detective Sergeant Hood, at the time, told FIS to leave some of the bullets in the residence because he was afraid there might be excessive damage to the structure of the house or integrity of the house if those bullets were removed.

Q As far as you know, Detective, from the 7th of July, 2018, until the date you went back over a year later to collect potential additional evidence, was the house vacated?

A It was.
Q And when you arrived at that residence, how did you have to gain entry?

A I -- during the service of the search warrant, I gained access to the realtor lockbox that had a set of keys on the inside. And I used those keys to open the front door.

Q And was the -- how was the interior of the
house different than it was, you know, the July prior?
A The carpet in the living room had been removed. I don't recall if the carpet in the bedroom had been removed as well. And, of course, all the items on the inside were removed, as well; personal effects belonging to Mr. Smith.

Q And were you able to still observe the defects in the walls and the floors and such?

A I was.
Q What does trajectory mean to you?
A It's the path of a projectile through the environment.

Q Have you received any training in trajectory analysis?

A I have.
Q And what is that?
A In 2010, I completed three training modules regarding trajectory and bullet ricochet phenomenon through the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center Bureau of Police Institute. I don't recall the amount of hours that each module contained. But those modules covered recording trajectories and things of that nature.

Q And just generally, how do you go about trajectory analysis, at least in the field?

A Trajectory analysis can be done several different ways. One of the ways is using trajectory rods, which are basically straight sections of fiberglass rod that are placed through defects that you find, that you believe to be bullet -- caused by bullets. You place those trajectory rods through the defects and then record the angles.

I, myself, use a simple protractor for the horizontal angles. And then there's an inclinometer, which is basically an angle measurement device to measure the vertical angles according to gravity, so the vertical angles.

Q When you went to this residence to perform the additional analysis, did somebody accompany you in order to essentially dismantle various parts of the house?

A There was, yes.
Q And who was that?
A Dave Armuth with Buildings and Grounds of Elko County.

Q I'm gonna show you --
MR. INGRAM: Could I please have the overhead set up? Thank you.

Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'm showing you now on the screen Exhibit 307 . Do you recognize that, sir?

A I do.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A That is a placard diagram that I completed during the processing of the house.

Q And generally, is that a diagram of the house in general?

A It is a general floor plan, yes.
Q And then throughout that diagram, there appears to be several numbers. What are those numbers associated with?

A While I'm photographing various items of evidence, or in this case, defects that $I$ found, I place a placard that has a number on there to reference -- it's basically a number that allows me to reference that photograph at a location inside the residence. So that's what those numbers are.

Q And did your numbers there in this diagram -did your numbers in this diagram correlate to any numbers that the Washoe County Crime Lab had assigned to defects?

A They did.
Q Okay. And in your report, sir, that you have in front of you, did you, in fact, note the association between the numbers and letters?

$$
\text { A } \quad \text { I did. }
$$

Q All right. Let's start with your defect number 1. Is that associated with an Elko County -- I'm sorry, a Washoe County defect?

A It is.
Q All right. So I'm going to direct your attention to that defect right there.

Is that number 1, sir?
A It is.
Q And what did you do with that defect?
A On that defect, I placed a trajectory rod through it to see the general path of the bullet. And if $I$ recall, that one exited the house on the front of the residence, which would be right in front of the right -- or right in front of the left side of the front door of the residence. And I attempted to find a bullet in that area.

Q Did you, in fact, find the bullet in that area?
A I did not.
Q Showing you State's Exhibit No. 309-B, "B," as in "boy," do you recognize that photograph, sir?

A $\quad$ I do.
Q What do you recognize that as?
A It's one of the photos $I$ took of the interior of the front door of the residence, featuring placard number 1, as well as placard number 23 with a
trajectory rod in the floor.
Q Is placard number 1 the same placard that you were just describing?

A It is.
Q All right. I'm showing you State's Exhibit 309-C. Do you recognize that, sir?

A I do.
Q What is that?
A That is a photograph that I took after Mr. Armuth cut a section of the drywall by defect number 1. I placed a trajectory rod in the hole to help me better find where that terminated on the exterior of the residence.

Q So how would you describe the trajectory of that rod?

A Generally, in a downward angle towards the front of the house.

Q And both the pictures, 309-C and 309-B, fair and accurate?

A They are.
MR. INGRAM: Move for their admission, please.
THE COURT: $309-B$ and $309-C$ is what $I$ thought you said?

MR. INGRAM: $309-\mathrm{C}$ and 309-B.
THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?

MR. WOODBURY: No, Judge.
THE COURT: Exhibits 309-B and 309-C are admitted.
(Exhibits 309-B and 309-C admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: And going back to 307, your defect number 6, is that defect number 6?

A That's correct.
Q And there appears behind that a placard 22. Is that in the correct position?

A It is not.
Q Can you please explain that for us?
A That placard number 22 is located in the garage of the residence. And $I$ erroneously put it behind number 6. It should be behind -- in this diagram where placard $N$ is, that 22 should be in the position in the garage, just to the left of placard N.

Q So I'm going to write "22" there and "X" that out; is that fair?

A That's correct.
Q So, again, let's focus on number 6. What can you tell us about number 6?

A Number 6 was a defect in the drywall in that location in the bedroom. We removed the drywall, as well as the fiberglass insulation behind it to check to see if that was what was a defect, which I believed to
be caused by a bullet. And after removing that section of drywall and examining the fiberglass insulation behind it, it appeared to me not to be a defect caused by a bullet.

Q Move onto defect 22 .
Is that defect 22 behind $N$ ?
A It is.
Q What can you tell us about that?
A That was what appeared to be a defect caused by a bullet on the garage side of that wall contained in drywall or -- yeah, it was drywall.

Q And were you able to recover a bullet there?
A I'm sorry? What was that?
Q Were you able to recover a bullet out of that defect?

A I was not. It was a (inaudible).
Q And what Washoe County defect letter was that associated with?

We're still talking about number 22 .
A It would be defect $N$.
Q All right. Defect number 7, is that number 7, sir?

A It is.
Q And where is that located at within the house?
A That was located in the -- it would be the, I
believe, north wall of the master bedroom's closet.
Q What can you tell us about that defect?
A That defect was basically penetrating the wall into a wood edge support structure in that wall of the closet. And after I located that, we were able to cut into the wall and remove that section of the wooden structure that contained what $I$ believed to be a bullet.

Q And did you collect that bullet?
A I did.
Q And you did not do a trajectory analysis for that particular defect; is that true?

A That's correct.
Q Why not?
A Because at the same time $I$ was processing the house, I also had Washoe County's report. And if that defect had already been processed by them and had the corresponding angles or anything of that nature, I did not reproduce that after $I$ recovered the bullet from that defect.

Q Did you assign the bullet that you recovered from defect number 7 an item number?

A I did.
Q And what is that item number?
A $\quad 79 \mathrm{NS}$.

Q Moving onto defect 8 .
Is that defect 8 there, sir?
A It is.
Q And where within the house is that defect?
A That is on the, I believe, west wall of the living room, at the base of that wall, in the location where number 8 is located.

Q And what can you tell us about that defect?
A That defect appeared to penetrate the wall, and it appeared to travel into the kitchen area, which corresponded to a defect on the backside of that wall where the stove is located in this diagram.

In that area, once the stove was moved, I found a dent on the backside of the stove, as well as a bullet laying on the floor of the kitchen in that area.

Q Did you assign that bullet an item number, and what is it?

A I did. It's item number $74 N S$.
Q Defect 10 right there, what can you tell us about the placards that are located within this box here, sir?

A That box basically indicates that they're associated with that wall of the residence. Due to the number of the -- which you can see by the little arrow moving from the box to the west wall of the living
room. Those placards were -- there were so many on that wall, $I$ felt it would kind of clutter the diagram, so I just included them there and said they were associated with that wall.

Q Okay. And this arrow is a little tough to see, so I'm gonna trace it.

Did I trace that arrow accurately?
A You did.
Q So 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 are associated with that wall where 8 appears?

A That's correct.
Q And what can you tell us about defect number 10?

A It was located, as $I$ said, in the west wall of the living room. I examined that defect contained in 10 and 11. I removed the drywall section of each of the corresponding studs and the defects all the way to the floor boards.

As $I$ was examining the base plate of the framing, $I$ found a bullet laying on the bottom of the wall, at the top of the floor plate of the wall material.

Q Did you collect it?
A I did.
Q Did you assign it an item number?

A I did.
Q What is that?
A $\quad 80 \mathrm{NS}$.
Q Defect number 11, is that from that same general area?

A It is.
Q What can you tell us about that?
A It was associated with Washoe county FIS defect B and, again, was located in the west wall of the living room.

On this particular one, I looked in that defect and I could observe what appeared to be a bullet at the terminal point of that defect. I took photographs of it, and we attempted to cut the material out around that defect. During that time, the bullet was dislodged from the drywall, and I collected that bullet as evidence.

Q Did you assign it an item number?
A I did.
Q What is it?
A Item number 81NS.
Q Defect 12, again, that same general area as 10 and 11, what can you tell us about that?

A Again, it was located on the west wall of the living room. We removed the drywall containing defect
number 12. We observed a non-penetrating defect in the drywall behind where that defect was located.

And so, again, we looked all the way down at the bottom of that section of wall, and I located a bullet on top of the base plate of the wall material, photographed it and collected it.

Q What item number did you assign to it?
A $\quad 82 \mathrm{NS}$.
Q Defect 15, again in that same general area. Were you able to collect a bullet from that location?

A I was. I believe that one was contained in the wooden studs of the wall.

Q Did something happen to that bullet when trying to remove it?

A It did. Due to the nature of the trajectory of that, I kind of had to guesstimate where to tell Mr. Armuth to cut the studs. And he cut -- I believe he uppercut that. We actually cut through the bullet.

Q And did you collect both parts of the bullet, or all of the bullet?

A $\quad$ We did.
Q Did you assign a number to that?
A I did.
Q What is it?

A The bullet that was contained in the wood was 83NS. And the small fragment that we had erroneously cut off was 83-A NS.

Q Defect 21 -- is that defect 21?
A It is.
Q And in what location was that?
A That was located in the ceiling above the dining room area of the residence.

Q And did you actually -- were you able to perform a trajectory analysis on that one?

A I did. I placed a trajectory rod in that defect, and we also cut some witness holes in the drywall to see where that terminated inside the roof. And $I$ was able to find, near where the trajectory rod was originally positioned inside, $I$ was able to find the defect on the joist inside of the roof.

So I was better able to locate another defect or point of that trajectory. So I lined the trajectory rod up with that secondary defect.

Q And where did that defect terminate?
A Well, it was a -- if $I$ recall, that was a -kind of a ricochet defect that was contained in the joist. So as far as the terminal point, we did find a bullet -- well, as you're looking at it, it would be to the right, which is where placard number 28 is located.

Q And did you collect that bullet?
A I did.
Q Did you assign a number to it?
A I did.
Q What is it?
A $\quad 84 \mathrm{NS}$.
Q And what can you tell us about the trajectory of that?

A When $I$ measured that trajectory after finding the secondary defect in the joist, it had a vertical angle of 15 degrees and a horizontal angle of, I believe, 130 degrees. And that was measuring it from right to left on the protractor.

Q I guess in more simple terms, can you describe the angle without using those degrees?

A Yes. It was basically, as you're looking at that number 21 , it would be from kind of the lower six or seven o'clock position on that 21 placard on my diagram to the upper one o'clock position of that, generally in an east-to-west type trajectory.

Q Defect number 20. Is that defect number 20?
A It is.
Q And where, generally, was that located in the house?

A That was located in the kitchen, also in the
ceiling.
Q Okay. What can you tell us about that?
A I placed a trajectory rod in that defect to try and find a terminal point somewhere in the roof. We cut witness holes, as well, in the drywall of the ceiling to try and find any secondary defects. And in this particular one, $I$ don't believe $I$ was able to.

Q Defect number 26 ; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And generally, where at in the house is that?
A That was on the kitchen side of the west wall that's depicted there in the living room. It was also in the ceiling.

Q Okay. What can you tell us about that?
A That particular defect, I located a defect in the ceiling, as well as a defect exiting the residence in the roof.

Q Were you able to get a trajectory on that?
A I was.
Q And what was it?
A It was 77 degrees horizontal, and it was 35 degrees vertical. And also reviewing my report, I see that $I$ erroneously put that that angle was taken with respect to the west wall, which would be the wall that the sink was on. I (inaudible) actually standing on
the counter and taking the measurement. So it would have been to the west wall of the living room.

Q Okay. And, again, in case I missed it, were you able to collect a bullet associated with that defect 26?

A I was not.
Q Defect number 2, that placard right there, where was that defect located?

A That was located in the floor of the living room near the east side of the master bedroom doorjamb.

Q And what can you tell us about that?
A I placed a trajectory rod through that defect and found the angle to be a negative 62 degrees and a horizontal angle of 65 degrees.

That trajectory rod also appeared to me to line up with a Washoe County previously processed defect number K . And it appeared to terminate somewhere in the crawl space of the residence.

Q Showing you 309-A, does that depict placard number 2 and that trajectory rod?

A It does.
Q Is that the green rod?
A That's correct.
Q Were you able to recover a bullet?
A On that one, I do not believe so. No, sir.

Q And did you try?
A I did.
Q Showing you 307 again, defect number 3. What can you tell us about that?

A That was located in the living room near the west side of the master bedroom doorjamb. The trajectory had a vertical angle of negative 45 degrees and a horizontal angle of 50 degrees. After examining the floor joists underneath, I discovered that the bullet had terminated into a one-by-six piece of -- or I should actually read that as two-by-six piece of wood frame.

He made, Mr. Armuth, made cuts on each side of that terminate -- or the termination of that trajectory. And we removed that piece of wood that contained what $I$ believe to be a bullet.

Q Did you collect it?
A I did.
Q Did you assign an item number to it?
A I did. That was item number 89 NS .
Q Defect number 4.
Is that defect number 4 right there?
A It is.
Q And generally, where was that located at within the house?

A It was located in the living room floor near the center of the master bedroom door.

The path of that trajectory had a vertical angle of negative 57 degrees and a horizontal angle of 67 degrees. That also appeared to me to terminate somewhere in the crawl space of the residence, which $I$ later examined trying to find the bullets.

Q Did you?
A I did.
Q Did you collect it?
A I did.
Q Did you assign it an item number?
A I did.
Q What is it?
A $\quad 90 N S$.
Q Defect number 5 right here, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Where is that in the house?
A That was located in the doorjamb on the west side of the bedroom, master bedroom of the residence. And it was approximately two 2 feet, 4.75 inches from the floor.

Q Were you able to recover a bullet?
A I was.
Q Did you collect it?

A I did.
Q Did you assign it a number, and what is it?
A I did. It's 88NS.
Q Okay. Defect 23. Who is that -- right there?
A That's correct.
Q Where is that in the house?
A That was located near the front door area of the residence. And it was associated with Washoe County FIS defect $Q$.

Q Were you able to find the bullet?
A On that particular one, I don't believe so, sir.

Q Did you try?
A I did.
Q Defect 24 right there; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q Where at in the house was that?
A That's on the northwest side of the residence, or north side of the residence, in, kind of, the family room area.

Q Were you able to determine whether that was a defect caused by a bullet or not?

A After examining it, it did not appear to me to be a defect associated with a bullet.

Q So no bullet was collected?

A No.
Q Okay. Defect number 25. That right there, sir?

A That's right.
Q And where is that at?
A That's also near the front door of the living room area of the residence.

Q And what can you tell us about that?
A I processed that with a trajectory rod and found an angle of negative 50 degrees, which indicates a downward angle, and a horizontal angle of 158 degrees. I also examined the terminal end of that trajectory rod in the crawl space and located a bullet in a slight depression underneath the floor.

Q Did you collect it?
A I did.
Q Did you assign it a number?
A I did.
Q What is it?
A $\quad 91 \mathrm{NS}$.
Q I'm going to show you 309-A again;
specifically, placard number 25 there.
Does that depict the trajectory you just
described for us?
A It does.

Q Is that a fair and accurate picture?
A It is.
MR. INGRAM: And $I$ can't remember if it's been admitted or not, but if it hasn't, I'll offer 309-A. THE COURT: It has not.

Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: I have no objection.
THE COURT: 309-A is admitted.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you.
(Exhibit 309-A admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: All of the item numbers that you collected, did you cause those to be sent to the Washoe County Crime Lab?

A I did.
Q What was the purpose of that?
A To compare with other items of evidence that had previously been submitted in this case.

MR. INGRAM: Item 307, I move for its admission, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 307 is admitted.
(Exhibit 307 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'm showing you Exhibit 309.
Do you recognize that, sir?

A I do.
Q And $I$ know that's difficult for the jury to see. I'm hoping we can describe it.

What is this diagram, generally?
A That is the trajectory diagram of the approximate representation of where the trajectory rods that $I$ placed in the house were located.

Q Okay. And is this a fair and accurate diagram?
A From what $I$ can see on the screen, yes, sir.
Q Okay. So if you don't mind watching while I trace these arrows to make sure I'm accurate.

Do they appear to be accurate?
A They do.
Q And obviously, the end of the arrow, the arrow tip, is that pointing the direction of the trajectory that the bullet took?

A That's correct.
Q And the other side of that arrow, what does that represent?

A That would indicate the area that the bullet came from.

Q All right. So is it fair to say that 23 and 25 were coming from closer to the bedroom outward towards the front door?

A Yes, sir.

Q And then these two arrows here, 3 and 4, from the bedroom outward?

A That's correct.
Q And then 26 , from the front door direction through that wall into the kitchen?

A Well, it was in the ceiling above the wall.
Q Excuse me. Yeah.
A But, yes, sir.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 309, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: None.
THE COURT: Exhibit 309 is admitted.
(Exhibit 309 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you State's Exhibit 340 .

Can you please take a look at that and tell me what that is, or what you think it is?

A This is a section of gray paneling that is associated with a defect from the garage area of 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q Showing you on the overhead previously admitted 307, where at did that panel come from, sir?

A It would have been where placard number 22 is located.

Q Why did you take that panel from the house?
A Because it contained a defect which I believed to be a -- associated with a bullet.

Q Do you recognize that?
A I do.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A That's the gray panel section that $I$ just previously described.

Q Okay. Does it appear to be in the same or potentially the same condition as when you took it?

A It does.
MR. INGRAM: All right. I move for the
admission of State's Exhibit 340 .
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Exhibit 340 is admitted. (Exhibit 340 admitted.)

Q BY MR. INGRAM: Did you cause that item to be sent to the Washoe County Crime Lab?

A I did.
Q And what was the purpose of that?
A To have the Washoe County Crime Lab to see -see if they could determine if that was, in fact, a defect caused by a bullet, as well as what caliber may have caused that defect.

Q Where you aware that there was some sort of a stove in that residence?

A I was.
Q And why was that stove of any interest to you?
A Because that stove is associated placard number 8. Washoe County had previously recorded a trajectory that had passed through the stove that $I$ believed to be associated with that placard number 8.

Q Were you trying to track down a bullet associated with that?

A I was.
Q And what happened to that stove, if you know?
A That stove was transferred to an individual after an estate sale of Mr. Smith's property.

Q Did you try to track down that person?
A I did not, no.
Q Okay. Did you ever find the bullet associated with that stove?

A I believe the bullet, according to their report, was a perforation, which means that it passed through that stove all the way. So based on the crime scene photos that I looked at, as well as the processing that $I$ had done in that house, I concluded that that bullet was actually associated with the bullet that $I$ found behind the stove.

Q Okay. And do you know Ray Smith to be Brad Smith's brother?

A $\quad$ I do.
Q Did you ever follow up with him about any bullets that he may have located in the garage or elsewhere?

A I did.
Q And what happened there?
A He indicated that they had removed all the property from the house. And he, nor anybody else in the family that he was aware of, located any bullets in any of the items.

Q I want to direct your attention to the $19 t h$ of November, 2018.

Did you have occasion to accompany
Mr. Honeyestewa to a hospital to have some bullets removed?

A I did.
Q Tell us about that, please.
A I had applied for an order to have it removed from his -- to have two bullets removed from Mr. Honeyestewa's back. And so we went to the Northeastern Nevada Regional Hospital to have that executed.

Q What was your understanding of how many bullets
were located in Mr. Honeyestewa's body?
A I believe there was actually three at that point.

Q How many bullets were actually recovered from Mr. Honeyestewa's body?

A Two.
Q What is your understanding as to how come the third one wasn't collected?

A I don't recall the exact nature of that, but I believe they told me it might have caused extra damage to Mr. Honeyestewa. That's why it was left inside. But I'm not certain on the exact of that.

Q I'm gonna show you -- were you actually present when bullets were removed from Mr. Honeyestewa's body?

A I was.
Q And where were those bullets located from?
A One was -- came from his upper back area. And the other one was in his lower back area.

Q I'm gonna show you what's been marked as State's 78 and 79.

Do you recognize those?
A I do.
Q What are those?
A Those are photographs I took of the bullets that were removed from Mr. Honeyestewa's back.

Q Fair and accurate pictures?
A They are.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 78 and 79.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibits 78 and 79 are admitted. (Exhibit 78 and 79 admitted.)

MR. INGRAM: I'd like to show the jury, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. INGRAM: I'll show them 79 and 78.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you State's Exhibit 317.

Can you please take a look at that package and let me know when you're done and familiar with it?

A Okay. I'm done.
Q And what is that?
A This is item number 55NS. It's the bullet that I recovered, or that was recovered, from the left shoulder area of Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q And do your initials appear on that?
A They do, yes.
Q And was there a plastic window on that where you can actually see the item?

A There is.
Q What's it contained in?
A At the present time it's contained in a large plastic jar with a white lid and a -- the bullet is also inside of that with -- it looks like a clear plastic baggy around it.

Q And did you cause that to be sent somewhere?
A I did.
Q Where to?
A The Washoe County Crime Lab.
Q What was the purpose of that?
A To have that examined and compared to other evidence that was submitted to the crime lab in the case.

MR. INGRAM: Okay. Move for the admission of 317.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 317 is admitted.
(Exhibit 317 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 318, what is that?
A This is my evidence item number 56 NS . And it's
a bullet from Mr. Honeyestewa's right lower back.
Q Can you see the bullet in there, as well?
A I can.

MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 318, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 318 is admitted.
(Exhibit 318 admitted.)
MR. INGRAM: I just need a brief moment, Your Honor, please.

Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you Exhibit 329, what is that?

A This is one bullet that I collected, number 91NS.

Q And is that an item number that you previously testified about today?

A It is.
Q Showing you 330, what is that?
A This is item number 90 NS. And it is a bullet that I collected on the 15th of October, 2019 .

Q Is that one you testified about already?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Showing you 331, what is that?
A This is item number 88NS. It's a bullet in a wooden section that $I$ collected from 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q Exhibit 332, what is that?

A This is item number $84 N S$. Also one bullet that I collected from 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q Exhibit 333, what is that?
A Item number 83-A NS. And it's one bullet fragment that we collected that -- that's the bullet that we had cut in half.

Q Exhibit 335, what is that?
A This is item number $82 N S$. It's one bullet that we recovered from the 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q 336, what is it?
A It's item number 81NS. And it's also one bullet that was recovered from 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q 337 ?
A This is item number $80 N S$. And it's one bullet that was also collected at 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q $\quad 338$ ?
A Evidence item number 79NS. And it's one bullet that I collected from 2821 Wrangler Circle.

Q 339 ?
A This is item number 74NS. And it's one bullet that $I$ collected from 2821 Wrangler Circle.

MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 329, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.

THE COURT: Exhibit 329 is admitted.
(Exhibit 329 admitted.)
MR. INGRAM: Offer 330.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: Yes, Your Honor. I note for the record that we have no objection to any of the items that were just shown that are bullets taken by -- July 7, 2018, from the residence.

THE COURT: Exhibits 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337, 338 and 339, I assume you want to offer all of those?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, please.
THE COURT: They're all admitted.
(Exhibits 330, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337, 338 and 339 admitted.)

MR. INGRAM: Pass the witness, Judge.
THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. INGRAM: I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Your Honor, we've made an agreement that Ms. Green, who is a witness for the defense, will be allowed to testify before $I$ cross examine Detective Stake.

MR. INGRAM: That's correct.
THE COURT: All right. You are excused for
now. It sounds like you're gonna be called back for cross examination. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Do we have Ms. Green? Is she
scheduled for today, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Is Ms. Green scheduled for today?
MR. WOODBURY: She's scheduled for right now.
THE COURT: Right now. All right.
So, Mr. Bailiff, would you call Karen Green, please?

Karen Green is Mr. Woodbury's witness. We're taking her out of order before the State has rested its case because she can't be here then. So Mr. Woodbury will be conducting a cross examination, and this is his witness.

Just a moment. The bailiff will help you. There you go.

Please raise your right hand.
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: You can have a seat.
Please state your name.
THE WITNESS: I am Karen Green.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

KAREN GREEN,
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Ms. Green, where do you live? Not your address, but your city.

A I live in Tacoma, Washington.
Q And do you know why you're here testifying today?

A I do.
Q And could you tell the jury why?
A I was hired by Gary Woodbury to assess the events that happened at 2821 Wrangler Circle on July 7th, 2018, and provide a crime scene analysis and reconstruction to the level $I$ was able to, given the available physical evidence.

Q And do you have training with respect to that?
A I do.
Starting with my basic educational background,

I graduated from Washington State University in 1995 with a bachelor of science in biology. Shortly thereafter, I was hired as a forensic scientist. So I've been a forensic scientist for 25 years now. For 16 of those years, it was with state police crime laboratories. I started for three years in Texas, and then the other 13 years were with the Washington State Patrol.

While $I$ was working in the crime lab, I had bench level duties in the crime lab. My area of expertise is DNA analysis. But both in Washington State and Texas, I also had additional crime scene investigative responsibilities. So to perform those duties, $I$ received additional training in all aspects of crime scene investigation; bloodstain pattern analysis, trajectory analysis, basic fingerprinting impression work, collection of trace evidence. Really all the training $I$ would need so that when I'm at a crime scene, when $I$ see evidence, I'm able to identify, collect, and properly preserve it so it can be sent into the crime lab for analysis.

So for both my DNA training and my privacy training, I've had multiple hours of, as I said, bloodstain pattern analysis, crime scene reconstruction, shooting (inaudible) reconstruction, et
cetera.
Q Is one of the things that you do known, generally speaking, as being a crime scene reconstructionist?

A Yes. I'm actually a member and past president of the Association for Crime Scene Reconstruction, which deals specifically with reconstructing events at crime scenes.

Q And have you testified in other courts as a crime scene -- an expert in crime scene reconstruction?

A Yes, I have testified as to reconstruction elements in crime scenes.

MR. WOODBURY: We would like to have the Court recognize her as an expert in the area of crime scene reconstruction.

THE COURT: Mr. Ingram?
MR. INGRAM: No objection.
THE COURT: The witness may testify.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Can you be a little more clear, Ms. Green, what crime scene reconstruction consists of? What are you trying to accomplish?

A So with the crime scene reconstruction, we're trying to look at all of the physical evidence in a case and develop a factual analysis of what happened out at a particular incident.

So we look at, as I said, the physical
evidence, the lab reports that are generated from that evidence. I do look at witness statements, but I don't carry that weight as heavily as the forensic facts. That's what I'm trying to base my reconstruction on.

So if I can develop a series of events that happened out at a crime scene, or incident scene, I will try and define that. And then if $I$ can help determine in what order certain events happened, I will try to put that together to identify facts that happened at the scene.

Q And determining what the sequence -- what the sequence was, does that depend in some measure, perhaps not as great as physical evidence, but in some measure on witness statements and things like that?

A I don't think the sequence of events depends on witness statements. I believe you can use the crime scene reconstruction to then look at witness statements and either support or refute things that have been said.

I have seen instances when eyewitnesses to scenes give a detailed account of what happened, but when you assess the forensic facts of the scene, it simply doesn't line up.

Q All right. Do you remember what you looked at
in this case? Were you provided documentation about the physical evidence that had been collected in the examination that had been done by Elko County Sheriff's Office and the Washoe County Forensic Science division?

A Yes. And in this case, I did not actually go to the crime scene and collect evidence. I was provided with forensic lab reports from the county crime lab, a few police reports from the incident, some statements. I have a list of all of the evidence that was collected from the scene.

Q And in the beginning, the evidence you had did not include the October research of the residence at 2821 Wrangler Circle, that came later?

A The work performed by Detective Stake?
Q Yes.
A Yes, that came after $I$ became involved in the case.

Q All right. Did that happen after you recognized that it was gonna be pretty difficult to reconstruct the crime scene without the additional evidence that hadn't been preserved?

A That is correct. As I assessed the evidence as I knew it, one of my jobs, certainly in a shooting incident, is try to correlate all fired cartridge cases that are found on scene and try to match that up to a
fired bullet.
So if $I$ have 12 fired cartridge cases from a specific weapon, $I$ would want to try to say, I have 12 fired bullets now from this. And in the initial information that $I$ received, many of the bullets from the residence had not been recovered. And so the absence of that, and the absence of the laboratory exam saying this bullet was fired from this weapon, there was very little that $I$ could say about the event.

Q And since that time, have you and $I$ had a conversation in which you were informed that a -- let me ask it the other direction.

How many weapons did you ascertain were involved in the crime scene of events?

A From the information that was provided to me, there were three weapons. There were three different types of fired cartridge cases recovered inside the scene.

Q Okay. Now, do you remember what the calibers were or anything like that?

A It was two 40 caliber and a 9 millimeter.
THE BAILIFF: Do you have it on, Mr. Woodbury?
Could you turn it on?
MR. WOODBURY: It is on.
THE COURT: Can everybody hear Mr. Woodbury?

No. Okay. I think you want them to hear you.
MR. WOODBURY: Perhaps some don't wanna. You never know.

I'm gonna move this thing down a little bit. Okay.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: In a conversation with me, were you informed that a witness had testified here at trial that an additional firearm, a fourth firearm that she had seen, on a front room floor?

A I was recently made aware of that fact, yes.
Q And in your analysis and everything else that you looked at at the residence at 2821 Wrangler Circle, did you see any evidence that there was a fourth firearm involved?

A I did not. There was one fired projectile recovered from the ceiling of the dining room. And a lab analyst said, this shares the same characteristics, it has a lot of the same markings. But due to bullet damage, he couldn't absolutely say it came from this one weapon. But it shared the same -- it was the same type. It was similar.

So I included that into the -- the bullets that I believe were fired from one sort of weapon. So fired cartridge cases, $I$ only saw three different type.

Q Were you also informed that a medic had
testified here at trial that a single shell casing had likely been wrapped up with the decedent when he was placed on a gurney and transported to the hospital?

A I was recently made aware of that, yes.
Q And is that consistent or inconsistent with the other determination you made, other determinations you've made about the kinds of weapons and the shell casings and the number of bullets recovered?

A It certainly adds a wrinkle. As I authored my report, I do have to make certain assumptions. So in this case, $I$ specifically wrote that it is assumed that all fired cartridge cases associated with this event were recovered.

And $I$ do that because in this particular instance, there were two weapons. They were both determined to have a capacity of 12 cartridges. So if they're fully loaded, and $I$ have 12 cartridge cases from each weapon, then $I$ have recovered 12 fired cartridge cases from the scene.

But it can be assumed or suggested that a weapon could be what we call 12 plus 1. So the magazine is loaded, inserted into the weapon, the slide is pulled back, the round is chambered, and that they add another cartridge in the magazine.

So potentially, each of these weapons could
have actually held 13. But to write that out in the report and say if this, then that, it does become a little complicated.

So for purposes of this report, I assumed there were 12 hard cartridge cases. When I am made aware of a 13th fired cartridge case, then it makes me wonder, I thought that $I$ had most of the bullets recovered from this scene. So it did -- it introduced additional speculation on an additional bullet at the scene.

Q Okay. Were there -- in the discussions that you had with me and what $I$ was asking you to do, were there a couple of specific points $I$ was asking you about?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And can you tell the jury what they were?

A Specific points were some analysis of the bloodstains that were located in the residence, and what analysis $I$ could make based on travel or anything about the blood that we saw. And then also the specific position of someone that could have fired the shots that we were seeing into the west living room wall, or the location.

Q And more specifically, was -- well, let me ask it this way: Did you know where the decedent,

Mr. Smith, started out at the beginning of the event? Are you satisfied that you know where it is?

A That is simply based on statements and scenarios that I've been told, that he was originally in the bedroom, in the -- but there was no -- there was no forensic support for me to know that. That's simply information that $I$ was provided.

Q Okay. And I take it as a scientist, you're somewhat reluctant to simply accept a witness statement that that was the beginning point?

A I take it with a grain of salt, yes.
Q And in your examination of blood evidence, the blood evidence, I take it you were provided photographs and -- and a DNA analysis with blood in various parts of the house?

A Yes. I probably forgot to mention the photographs. I was provided with all of the photographs taken by the crime scene analyst out on scene, as well as the resulting DNA results that came from the crime lab.

Q Okay. And you were provided with a report concerning any blood that had been found on the floor or on the carpet or on the wall?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you recall whether there was any
blood found by the experts in the master bedroom?
A In the crime scene investigation report, there was no mention of blood in the master bedroom.

Q Even though there appeared to have been bullet firing within the master bedroom?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And in the crime scene investigation by the experts and the photographs that you were provided, did they report finding any blood -- well, let me go back and ask you first to describe the residence.

You had a drawing and a photograph of the residence?

A I was provided a sketch and photographs, yes.
Q And were you informed of which wall was -which way was west and which way was east, and which way was north, and which way was south?

A Yes.
Q And with respect to the residence, the master bedroom is which direction?

A South.
Q Okay. And when you come out of the -- were you provided a photograph, and did you learn where the master bedroom door was?

A Yes.
Q And when you come out of the master bedroom,
you come into another room.
Were you informed and get a photograph of what room that was?

A Yes. That appeared to be the living room.
Q Okay. And from the bedroom doors you come out and to your left, or to the west, is there a wall?

A There is.
Q And where does it go?
A So if I can just use this room as I'm seated here, this would be south. Say this area would be the master bedroom. The door would be over towards that wall. So then you travel towards the north. The west wall would be over here. It ran the length of the living room to the end. And then it would open up into an open dining room area.

Q And when you got to the dining room area, were you provided photographs and reports about blood on the floor in the dining room area?

A I was, yes.
Q And were you provided information about whose blood that was from the DNA analysis?

A It was reported to match Bradley Smith.
Q And as you go along what you described, I guess, as the west wall from the bedroom, near the bedroom door to the dining room area, was there any
blood on the floor just immediately or roughly adjacent to the west wall?

A Immediately next to the west wall? The photographs did not show obvious bloodstains.

Q And would it be a fair -- is it fair to say that you might discern from a photograph what might not have been evidence to a person who was present at the scene in looking at it?

A Given the fact that $I$ have unlimited time to look at these photographs and certainly blow it up, it does happen that $I$ am able to see things that the initial responders on scene didn't see. But $I$ think this carpet was fairly light, so $I$ would like to think they would have seen something there, as well.

Q So you did not see any blood immediately adjacent to the west wall on the -- on the floor?

A Immediately next to the wall, I did not.
Q And $I$ guess we probably better define
"immediately."
How far is it?
A In the description you're offering to me, I'm seeing it as right up against the wall. The area, itself, is not terribly large. Maybe four feet, from what $I$ can discern, from the sketch that $I$ was given.

So when you're saying immediate, I'm thinking
right up against the wall. A few feet out from the wall you can see spatter traveling along the carpet. And, sorry, I'll get to spatter stains in a little bit.

Q And is spatter kind of hard to pick up on a carpet? Not pick up, but kind of hard to discern?

A To visualize? Depending on the size of the originating stain, it can be hard to see if the bloodstain is not that big.

This carpet was light, so it's not quite as hard, but...

Q Okay. And as you move away from the west wall toward the east, are you saying there was obvious blood spatter out there, or blood -- you don't talk about it always in terms of spatter, right? You have different words for different kinds of bloodstains?

A We do. And just because we're saying it, in the bloodstain terminology world, we use "spatter" to define any bloodstain that we think was in free flight before it impacted the surface.

So if I have blood on my hand and I touched this chair, that's a transfer stain. But if $I$ have blood on my hand and I provide motion and the blood impacts the chair, then, broadly, I'm going to characterize that as spatter.

So in the path that you would travel from the
living room towards the dining room on the floor, there are multiple type -- spatter-type stains that can be seen.

Q And as a part of your expertise as a reconstructionist, is spatter a common outcome from being shot with a bullet and having no clothing over the top of your body?

A Yes.
Q And you have been provided photographs of Mr. Smith, or at least a description of what he was wearing?

A Yes.
Q And what was he wearing, as you understood it?
A Just -- no shirt with some short-type material. There were some photographs initially with the EMTs that $I$ saw that depicted him wearing some socks. But there was no shirt on top.

Q And the testimony from at least a couple of people is that he did not have socks on ever during that incident.

Are you confident that you saw socks?
A Yes. And what made me look to see, is that as I was looking at his body, as $I$ was seeing other photographs from the hospital, $I$ noticed a line of demarcation where there should be blood, and then it
had just ended. And I thought, Gosh, why is there no blood flowing down here? So I revisited those, and you can see.

Q Okay. Was it possible from the bloodstains you saw along the west -- let me ask it this way: Where did the bloodstains first show up as you traveled down the west wall, bloodstains on the floor?

A Well, immediately as you enter the residence, which would be essentially me going through the front door into the entryway, that entire area is bloodstained. And then as you travel a little bit farther east, there were large, what $I$ call, saturation stains on the carpet. And then I don't have the exact length of space from when $I$ first started to see spatter-type stains on the carpet.

But there was a fireplace along the west wall. And just out from that, and more towards the north, you can see the spatter stains.

Q And that -- you were also provided, I assume, with a description and trajectories of various items, bullets, that appeared to have hit the west wall?

A Yes, I was provided with the crime scene report from Mr. Shinmei. They had reported the trajectories of all of the impacts that they had recorded in the house. And then $I$ also received an additional report
from Detective Stake, the additional impacts that he had found and recorded the trajectories. And that's what I used to assess my reconstruction.

Q So it was from the bedroom door to the fireplace, I guess you said. They're along the west wall within $a$ foot or 18 to 20 inches of it.

There were no bloodstains on the carpet until you got to a certain point, right?

A I don't recall seeing bloodstains immediately there above the door, correct.

Q And then you also looked, or did you also look, at the various defects as you moved along to see if they appeared to have any bloodstains or anything that suggested that a bullet had hit Mr. Smith and had spattered something on the impact area?

A I did look at that, again, as part of my shooting reconstruction. I wanted to see if $I$ could determine, maybe, where someone was at the time of a bullet impact. I know that Mr. Smith had exiting wounds from his body. And these bullets had to go somewhere into that home. And so I did zoom in on some of those impacts to see if maybe the bullet looked like it had been destabilized. So that is somewhat tumbling through the air as it impacts a wall.

And on the mirror that was on the west wall
above the fireplace, around impact $F$, $I$ did notice some, what $I$ would call, red-brown stains, some tiny spatter material that $I$ thought possibly could be biological. But there was no testing done on that. It was just an observation $I$ made around that impact.

Q And is there a way to -- and that would be at impact $F$ on the west wall where the -- along the west wall?

A Into the mirror, correct.
Q Yeah.
And as you said, it appears that neither Washoe County or Elko County appeared to pick that up as spatter or some material from a bullet having hit Mr. Smith?

A I didn't see any notes or documentation suggesting that they had noted or tested that.

Q Okay. Is there any confidence level you have with respect to that being actually evidence of a person's blood or skin being on that -- back there?

A I cannot put a percentage on the level of confidence on that. In the absence of testing out on scene, the best $I$ can say is I, as a DNA analyst who also works with blood, I saw some staining around that hole, that had I saw it on scene, I would have tested it to see if it was potentially blood. But that's as
confident as $I$ can get with that.
Q Okay. And that was at impact $F$ ?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Is it possible to look at the staining that appears in the dining room floor and discuss any direction of travel of the person, presumably Mr. Smith, that made the blood look like it did?

A So $\quad$ can't use that determining direction of travel of Mr. Smith. But the pattern, itself, I describe it as a radiating pattern, going from the southeast towards the northwest. So that would be me looking this way.

When we look at the bloodstains, we look at the shape of the stains, the size of the stains, the overall shape of the pattern. All of those things help me try and determine the actual mode of -- of a particular pattern.

So this pattern, all of the stains that $I$ saw were traveling in this direction. So $I$ know that that's the direction the blood was deposited. I can't then correlate to say Mr. Smith was traveling all the way into the dining room, because that's where the pattern was created.

Q So if the blood doesn't continue, it means either he stopped there, or he stopped bleeding and
dropping blood on the floor?
A Absent a -- someway to stop the blood from flowing and dripping, it doesn't appear that he traveled farther than that particular threshold, based on the photos I saw. I didn't see additional type spatter farther into the kitchen, then, in other words.

Q And, then, was there an ottoman that you saw photographs of that was reported to be in the initial living room area?

A Yes, there was.
Q And did that appear -- was that reported to have had staining on it, blood staining?

A Yes. I believe that was listed at placard 25, blood staining on the ottoman.

Q And did it appear there was a handprint there, or something like a handprint?

A I characterized that as a transfer stain, which would be a blood-bearing object coming into contact with another object.

Q All right. Was the direction of the stain, or anything about the stain, indicative of the direction of the object that transferred the blood onto it?

A I didn't see anything in that stain that would allow me to say absolutely someone was traveling this way, versus north versus south, or south versus north.

Q Well, actually, you know that the swab from the ottoman blood was -- contained DNA of Mr. Smith, right?

A It was matched to Bradley Smith, correct.
Q And now going to the front doorway, can you -where the front door was, can you describe for the jury -- first of all, you saw a photograph of the area?

A I did, yes.
Q And can you describe for the jury what you saw there in the front door area?

A The front door area had multiple bloodstains. It was a -- not a tile, like, a fake-type wood floor there. So we had a different surface from the carpeted area of the living room. But most of that area was completely bloodstained there. There was some, what I identified as trickle stains, stains that drips from gravity down to the ground on the actual threshold of the door. I believe that was placard 5. That was also identified as Bradley Smith's blood.

There was some fired cartridge cases in that particular area, and there was also one bullet defect, identified as bullet $Q$, that was going down into the entryway floor.

Q And so if there's a gravity blood spot, that would tend to mean that the blood would have had to have fallen a certain distance?

A Yes.
Q Can you tell how far from the size or the nature of the spatter, how far it fell?

A No, I cannot.
Q Did you -- were you provided photographs, and did you do any kind of thinking about the location of the shell cartridges?

A I was provided photographs and a diagram of the location of the fired cartridge cases. And I did try to assess, or were discussing, an ejection-type pattern.

Q And are you familiar with the ejection pattern of semi-automatic Smith \& Wesson 40 caliber pistol?

A From the (inaudible) reading, yes, I believe it ejects to the right and somewhat backwards.

Q And the shell expended cartridges were located principally where in the living room area?

A So principally, as you walk through where I am at the front door, in the area between that $I$ called the fake wood floor and the master bedroom door of the -- the bulk of the fired cartridge cases. There were two as you walk into the door, just to the -- to the north, sitting on that wood-type floor. The bulk were in that carpeted area between the bedroom and the entry door.

Q Now, were you provided a description of the claimed location of Mr. Honeyestewa as he fired shots from the living room -- living room?

A In discussions with Mr. Woodbury, yes, I was provided with that information.

Q And what were you informed?
A That he was lying on the ground near the entryway.

Q And was he lying on his back or on his front?
A I believe he was on his back with his feet toward the west.

Q Okay. And in looking at the trajectory of the bullets that traveled from the west toward the -toward the front door, what were the general trajectories of those bullets?

A So into the west wall there were multiple impacts. All of those impacts traveled from down to my left. So as we're doing trajectory analysis, we take angle finders and attempt to determine the angle that the bullet actually impacted the wall. And then using that angle, $I$ track back to see if $I$ can come up with an area that $I$ think the shots could have originated.

So all of those shots going into the west wall were going upward.

Q And the reverse, the bullets that were fired
into the area around the front door, could you tell the jury generally about the trajectory of those bullets?

A There were shots fired from the west towards the east. Just so I'm keeping it clear in my head, they were A and R, two through the open front door; Q through the entryway. And then Detective Stake discovered another impact that $I$ call Stake 25, also near the entryway. And all of those shots were through a severe downward angle into the floor.

THE COURT: We're going to take our morning recess.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: Ms. Green, please do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys. And we will be in recess for 20 minutes.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Ms. Green, did we also discuss the question of Mr. Smith, the number of bullets that hit Mr. Smith, and the location of where
they wound up?
A There was discussion of that, yes.
Q All right. And was there -- you were somewhat concerned with the count of bullets that were found inside him?

A There was some confusion regarding bullets that were recovered. I was just, two days ago, provided with a report that said there was an additional bullet that remained in his leg.

Photographs that $I$ have seen, and previous discussions that $I$ thought that we had had, suggested to me that it was actually a perforated wound. And when $I$ say perforating, it means a bullet that goes into one side and actually comes all the way out the other. If it was penetrating, it would go in and simply remain. And $I$ was under the impression that that impact to the right side had perforated the leg.

Q Okay. And with respect to Mr. Smith, the bullets in Mr. Smith, was there some discussion about there being more entry wounds than exit wounds and the physician finding only one (inaudible) inside of him?

A I was interested in the autopsy report. As I had mentioned previously, one of my jobs is try to reconcile fired cartridge cases with recovered bullets. And so when $I$ received the autopsy and $I$ see that there
are four entrance wounds on one side of the body and two exits, then $I$ would think that there would be two bullets left in there.

So the question became, did two fired bullets go out the exact same hole, or somehow was a bullet left behind? So when $I$ do my final bullet count, I have to consider that final bullet as possibly just lost. Because at this point, $I$ just don't have a definitive answer.

If two bullets exited out the same hole, then they are in the scene, and they are part of my 11 count. But I, at this point, am still hazy on that answer.

Q And you read the autopsy report of Dr. Knight?
A I did.
Q And was there an explanation given for apparently one bullet possibly still remaining in Mr. Smith?

A I am not an expert in autopsy reports. I saw one mention to the potential of two perforations in a particular area that was leading to exit I. But in my reading of it, and again as a lay person, I didn't see anything that was saying, I think this bullet track continues, and that two bullets exited out of this particular wound. But I'm not an autopsy expert.

Q Now, going back to the location of
Mr. Honeyestewa on the floor of the entryway, are the directions of the trajectories of the bullets fired from at or near the bedroom door and the west wall, are they consistent with the bullets being fired at someone on the floor?

A So the recovered projectiles that were recovered from, let's say the $X D 40$ weapon, the one that was found under the dining room table, all of the trajectories that were associated with that are fired down into the ground. So that doesn't tell me intent. I can't say, yes, this was fired into the floor because there was somebody there. But it does make you question all of these shots going down into the entryway floor.

Q Okay. And did you review the trajectory reports that were filed by Washoe County?

A I did, yes.
Q And I guess you could tell the jury, if you understand, what you understand the determination of the trajectories to have been based on? What do you have to do to get a trajectory?

A So there are multiple ways to measure trajectory. And when we're talking about trajectory, we're essentially talking about the flight of a
projectile.
So if directly ahead of me this is what I believe to be a bullet hole, one of the simple ways we can do it, and $I$ believe the way Washoe County did it, is you can take what's called a trajectory rod, a perfectly straight rod, and you can put it into the bullet hole.

Now, to do that trajectory analysis, you do need two points of impact. For example, if a bullet just went through a window, again, just stick a rod through that and get a trajectory, because there's no second point for me to secure the two points along that line.

So there were some impacts into the west wall of the living room that they weren't able to get a trajectory for, because they did not have a second point of impact. But for the ones that you can, you put the rod through your two points. You take something called an angle finder, and you can place it on the rod. And you can determine, just by moving the angle finder, if the bullet has struck the wall directly perpendicular into the wall, if there's some angle associated with it, up and down. And then we do what's called a horizontal angle.

So $I$ want to know at what angle the bullet hit
the wall here, but $I$ would also like to determine the angle that it came in on this regard.

So same thing with our trajectory rod, you can stick that in the wall. You can take your protractor, and then you can see where the protractor crosses, or the trajectory rod crosses your protractor, and get the angle of impact for that.

So just again, as an example, if this is my west wall and $I$ have a trajectory rod going in like this, I'm going to start here at zero with my protractor. And I'm going to come around to the trajectory rod.

So as an example, impact $B$ into the west wall is measured at 83 degrees. So if you start from zero and come 83 degrees this way, then you can get a general direction of fire that that bullet impacted the wall.

We do include what we call a plus or minus five degree error. Washoe County mentioned that. I mentioned that in my report. And that is just to acknowledge that what we're doing is not 100 percent accurate.

So this is a trajectory I measured. This is probably pretty accurate. But I'm going to give it some give on both sides, plus or minus five degrees.

Q And what is that plus or minus five percent mean? Does it mean if $I$ say the angle is 40 degrees, does it mean it's between 45 and 35?

A So it's plus or minus five degrees, not percent. So, yes, if $I$ measure the angle to be a vertical angle upwards of 10 , then for my cone, my window, that it can be anywhere from 5 to 15. And we consider that as we do our analysis.

Q Do you happen to remember the up and down angle and the horizontal angle for defect $D$ in the west wall?

A I do. But I'm just going to refer to my report to make sure I'm absolutely accurate on that.

Q That would be fine, if you would like to refresh.

A I'm put my reading glasses on.
So defect $D$ into the west wall of the living room, there was a fired bullet recovered from that. And that was identified as being from the subcompact weapon that was found outside the home. So we take that into consideration.

And the measured trajectory was recorded to be a horizontal angle of 85 degrees. So we just have the example starting from 0 , coming to 85, and a vertical angle upwards of 23 degrees.

Q So 85 degrees would for sure be between 80 and
90.

And the upward angle you said?
A 23 degrees.
Q All right. So it would be between 18 and 28 for sure?

A I'm not going to say for sure. But that is the accepted value range that we have for trajectory.

Q And do you recall a trajectory that was related to defect $F$ ?

A I do.
Q And what was it?
A So trajectory $F$, as we previously discussed, with the possible biologic material around it, that was in a mirror that was above the fireplace along the west wall. So that was recorded to be an upward vertical angle of 24 degrees. And the horizontal angle of that was actually 113 degrees.

Again, as we start at 0 , we come around, 90 would be directly into the wall. And then 113. So now it's actually changed directions from what we were previously discussing. It was going in that direction. And I step back quickly and actually not finish that (inaudible).

Q I'm sorry?
A It was an error in my file. I don't need to
(inaudible) that. Your term.
Q Oh.
So the trajectory difference between $D$ and $F$ horizontally is how much?

A D was 85 degrees, and $F$ was 113 degrees.
Q And it is potentially a difference of 80 to 118, or the 90 to 108 , right?

A On the 113?
Q 113 --
A I still have that plus or minus 5 degree range.
Q Is that a great distance?
A The farther away you get from the impact, the greater the distance becomes. I have this 10 degrees right here, this difference isn't so great. But as I get farther and farther away, then that cone is going to become (inaudible).

Q And as those degrees were configured in the report from Washoe County, a person lying on his back, shooting at the west wall, would be required on his back to move the shot, the position from which the shot happened, to his left and slightly up?

A So when $I$ analyze the trajectories as they were reported to me, I attempt to identify an area in which the shot could have been fired.

So when you look at the diagrams that Washoe

County had created, they did create some trajectory diagrams. And you can see that $I$ believe there were five impacts, six total in the house, but five into the west wall that they were able to create trajectories for. And when you draw all of those lines back along their reported trajectories, they did all come to what I call kind of an area of convergence.

So trajectory analysis is not going to allow me to say absolutely the weapon was right here at the time it was fired. What $I$ try and do is come up with this general area. So there's about a three- to four-foot area where it appears that all of the shots in that room could have originated from. And then also you have to acknowledge anywhere along that path towards -towards the west.

So, Mr. Woodbury, in your question of how the body would have to move, again, it's very difficult to say absolutely someone would have to move their arm and do this. There's so many different ways you can hold a gun. I can't identify that. But when posed with the question of, you know, could this have come from somebody lying on the ground, I did work through that.

And truly, in a laying-down position, when $I$ try to put a weapon that can create trajectories for B and D, and then also not moving, try and create
trajectories that had to create $F$ and $G$, which was another trajectory in the wall, simply lying like that and moving my arm, $I$ was not able to create those -those angles.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. INGRAM: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Ms. Green, you testified about relying on various other reports to essentially create your report and come to your conclusions correct?

A That is correct. I'm sorry. I don't (inaudible).

Q I'll just move over here.
And those reports include the various law enforcement reports, correct?

A I received law enforcement reports. Clearly, I didn't receive all of the law enforcement reports. But the ones I did, I did take those into consideration, yes.

Q And you did receive an autopsy report?
A I did, yes.

Q And you received some trajectory reports or diagrams from the Washoe County Crime Lab?

A Yes.
Q And you used all of those to base your findings, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And you have no reason to disagree with any of those reports?

A I have no reason to disagree with the findings that were reported. There were a couple of questions that I had about what was in some of the reports, but I see nothing that would make me disagree with (inaudible) trajectory or bullet identification, et cetera.

Q And it's true that based on your analysis, you can't tell us the order in which the various bullets were fired, correct?

A Correct. There's nothing forensically that $I$ saw that allows an order of impact to be assigned.

Q And likewise, you can't tell us which specific firearm fired first or last, for example?

A Forensically, $I$ cannot say that, correct.
Q And while you're able to try to replicate certain trajectories, you can't, for certain, tell us where individuals in the house involved in this gunfire
were located, correct?
A Can you repeat that?
Q Sure.
Well, you testified earlier that you tried to replicate the various trajectories on the west wall, and you did so by actually lying on the ground.

And you weren't able to create all four of those trajectories; is that true?

A Yes. And just to clarify --
Q Sure.
A I mean, I just didn't lay on the ground. I did recreate with strings set up to the angles of impact that were in the wall, and recreated as $I$ could each trajectory line. So then I just -- I laid in the area of convergence that $I$ could see where all of those shots were. But that's just based on trajectory work.

I can't say with 100 percent certainty that that is where someone was lying. I chose that area, because that's where all of the trajectories came back to.

Q And you can't say for certain where Mr. Smith was when he was shot, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And you can't say for certain where Mr. Honeyestewa was when he was shot, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And based on the reports that you reviewed in connection with creating your report, you were made aware that no cartridges were actually found outside of the residence, meaning just outside the front door?

A Wasn't specifically told no cartridges were found, but $I$ did not see anything in the materials that I received that identified a cartridge outside of the (inaudible).

Q Well, had some cartridges been found outside of the door, that would have been important for you, correct?

A And just to clarify when you're saying "cartridge," you're meaning an intact, unfired bullet?

Q Excuse me. I'll be more specific. A fired cartridge.

A So a fired cartridge case, there was one that was reported to me that was recovered at a later date that had been fired from a 9 millimeter. But $I$ was not made aware of any fired cartridge cases that were outside of the entrance of the home. And had $I$ known that, I would have taken that also into consideration.

Q And the 9 millimeter that you just referenced, that fired cartridge, you were aware that that was found at a different residence actually across the
city, correct?
A The fired cartridge case that was recovered, yes, I was told that was found at a different location. There was one other fired cartridge case, 9 millimeter, in the residence. And the second was found at a different location.

Q How many total bullets are you aware of that were recovered in connection with this scene?

A So again, I'm just going to refer to my final count.

So just for ease in my initial assessment, I had 12 fired cartridge cases, from what I've been calling the subcompact, the weapon from outside the house. I identified what $I$ believed to be 11 fired bullets that could have come from that weapon.

There were no bullets, projectiles, that $I$ was made aware of that were recovered from a 9 millimeter. And then the remaining weapon, the, I'll say XD 40 that was found under the dining room table, at the time that I wrote my report, I had what I believed to be 12, and I do still have 12 fired bullets that were recovered from the scene that can be traced back, or projectiles that can be traced back, to that weapon, or at least bullets that are accounted for.

And now recently $I$ was made aware of one, an
additional bullet potentially in Mr. Honeyestewa's leg. So that would, if we assume it's coming from that weapon, make 13, which if, in fact, a fired cartridge case left the scene on the gurney with Mr. Smith, perhaps those two go together.

But this information had just been given to me two days ago, so I didn't --

Q So you're at possibly 13 bullets at this point, correct? That's what you just accounted for?

A If there is a remaining fired bullet which appears to be in that leg, then there would be 13 fired bullets associated with the XD 40 .

Q And in your report you --
A Can I step back? I'm sorry. I'm saying bullets and accounted for. And apart of that, what $I$ mean is if the bullet went out that window right there and goes out into the world, I'm not recovering that. But $I$ know that it exited the area. And so I'm accounting for that.

So there were some impacts that went down through the floor under the house that were not able to be actually found, but $I$ did count them as apart of that final count. So I apologize if $I$ was misspoken.

Q So the number of bullets -- how many bullets in total were recovered?

A From the XD 40, the weapon under the table, I have 9. And then from the subcompact, I had reported 11.

Q So how many is that total?
A 20. From --

Q So you're at 20 bullets at this point.
And we know we have a total of 26 cartridges, correct, collected entirely throughout this entire investigation, correct?

A And a fired cartridge cases.
Q Cases?
A Yes.
Q So if you use that math right now, we're short six bullets, correct?

A Using that math, we are. I'm still just kind of double-checking my accounted-for bullets versus actual bullets.

And, sorry, with that count, that was before I was made aware of the other bullet in the leg. So I guess that adds up to 21, if -- so at the time of my report, 20 , with that bullet in the leg, 21.

Q And we know that one went through the front door of the residence that was never recovered, correct?

A There was an impact that went through. It
perforated the front door. And then there was an impact into the east wall. And there was no projectile recovered for that, because it went into the wall.

Q And the same as the defect that went into the garage wall, correct?

A Correct. There was an impact called impact $N$ that was fired through the south wall of the bedroom that I believe then carried into the garage. And there was no projectile associated with that that was recovered.

Q Now we're up to 23?
A Okay.
Q Only 21 of which were recovered?
A So we're accounting for bullets that I think came from a weapon but weren't found.

Q Sure. Yes. Yes. Okay. All right.
And then you have one bullet that remains in
Mr. Honeyestewa's leg. Now we're up to 23?
A Okay.
Q Only 21 of which were recovered?
A So we're accounting for bullets that, $I$ think, came from a weapon but weren't found.

Q Sure. Yes. Yes. Okay. All right.
And then you have one bullet that remains in Mr. Honeyestewa's leg?

A (Inaudible), yes.
Q And then we have the one bullet that came from Brad Smith, correct?

A There was one bullet recovered from him, yes.
Q So out of the 26 known fired cartridges that were collected, you would agree with me that a great percentage of those bullets coming from those fired cartridges were actually collected as evidence?

A Ultimately, yes. I was thankful for Detective Stake going back out to the scene and collecting, I believe, up to 11 additional bullets. So I think for 26 shots fired, there were -- the vast majority of those bullets were recovered, yes.

Q There was some talk on your direct examination about a fourth firearm.

Can you tell me about a fourth firearm?
A I cannot, other than what was presented to me as a witness saying perhaps there was another firearm on the living room floor. I don't know of any other firearm.

Q So if $I$ told you that all of the testimony in evidence so far was that there was only one firearm located on the floor inside the residence, would that surprise you?

A If that firearm is the weapon located under the
dining room table, that was my understanding of the scene.

Q So I'm still confused. If that's the only -and, yes, to answer your question, yes, that's the only firearm in the residence.

What were you told about a fourth firearm inside the residence and where it was located?

A I was told nothing, other than recently someone had testified that there was a weapon on the living room floor.

Q But you have no idea where that came from?
A I have not heard any previous or seen other information regarding an additional weapon on the living room floor.

Q So please tell us about the three firearms that we know to have been involved in this case. As far as you know, what are they?

A So as far as $I$ know, there was two 40 caliber weapons. One was found on the floor of the dining room. They're both Springfield Armory XD 40. One is a subcompact, and one is not. So the one under the -- or on the patio on the outside porch is the one I've been referring to as the subcompact. And the one under the dining room table.

And then there are two fired cartridge cases
that were identified as having been fired from a 9 millimeter Taurus. That weapon was not recovered on scene, but the fired cartridge case in the scene suggests that something from that weapon was fired in the house.

Q So you're aware that the two fired cartridges from the 9 millimeter were actually traced back to that 9 millimeter, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you're aware that 12 of the recovered fired cartridges trace back to the Springfield XD, correct? And you're aware that the remaining 12 , the only remaining 12 cartridges that were collected trace back to the Springfield $X D$ subcompact, correct?

A The fired cartridge cases, yes.
Q So based on just the fired cartridges alone, you would agree with me that there's not a fourth firearm?

A I have not seen fired cartridge evidence that suggests a fourth firearm was fired at the scene.

MR. INGRAM: Ms. Clerk, could I have Exhibit 116, please?

Q BY MR. INGRAM: Now, I'm gonna show you what's been marked as Exhibit 113 .

Do you recognize that area of the Wrangler

Circle address?
MR. WOODBURY: Your Honor, I was told this was Exhibit 116.

MR. INGRAM: 113.
THE COURT: You said 116. 116 is in evidence. 113 is not. So which one are we doing here?

MR. INGRAM: 113. I have both of those, but I'm just showing her 113.

THE WITNESS: The image $I$ was handed appears to be in the master -- or in the bedroom, facing towards the door, looking at a trajectory rod that was identified as trajectory $K$ through the east wall of the master bedroom doorjamb.

Q BY MR. INGRAM: And specifically, Ms. Green, placard number 21 , do you see that?

A I do see that, yes.
Q And are you aware that at or near placard 21, there was several fired cartridges found?

A I believe there were five fired cartridge cases associated with placard 21.

Q And that was inside the master bedroom, correct?

A Just inside the door, yes.
Q And based on your previous testimony that a semi-automatic handgun will generally eject bullets to
the right and slightly back, would you agree with me that at least as those cartridges lied inside the master bedroom, that we would have to presume that the gun was fired from within the master bedroom?

A I believe, yes. And fired cartridge cases, there are a number of variables that can affect that; the way you're holding the gun, how much the gun is actually loaded.

So ejection pattern analysis, I back off from somewhat. But for those fired cartridge cases to be in the bedroom, yes, I believe the weapon, itself, was in the bedroom.

Q And you're aware that those cartridges, all five of them, were associated with Mr. Smith's gun, correct?

A All five of those fired cartridge cases, yes, were identified as having been fired in the XD 40, Mr. Smith's room.

Q You were asked some questions about blood in the master bedroom, were you not?

A Yes, I was.
Q Okay. And I believe your testimony, but obviously correct me if I'm wrong, that you didn't observe any blood in the master bedroom?

A From the photographs I saw, I did not see
something that $I$ would consider a good choice for being blood.

Q And based on the lack of blood in that master bedroom, you cannot tell us one way or the other whether Mr. Smith was in his bedroom when he first got shot, correct?

A That's correct. I have not made that assumption based on not seeing blood in those photographs.

Q Because it's entirely possible to be shot and not immediately bleed?

A Not immediately bleed, not bleed enough that it would immediately drop onto the carpet.

Q And you were asked some questions about Mr. Smith wearing socks or not.

How does that affect anything that you were asked to do?

A It doesn't necessarily affect anything, it's just an observation that $I$ made as $I$ was going through trying to assess the bloodstain analysis.

Q And based on the photographs that you were provided, were you able to see bloody footprints walking about the house?

A Not walking about. I did -- again, as I looked at the photographs of Mr. Smith, and the bottoms of his
feet are almost entirely covered in blood. So my question becomes, where did he get that much blood on his feet? There has to be a source on the floor to put that blood on his feet.

So now, naturally, I'm drawn to the entryway. And that is where the majority of the blood deposits that should be responsible for that were. And on the entryway floor, $I$ saw a shape very similar in size and shape to what can be a foot.

So one of my opinions was that he was, at some point, standing there. But I did not -- I was unable to see anywhere else in the residence what $I$ would consider to be impressions of tracking around the scene.

Q Perhaps $I$ just missed it, but what was it made you believe that he was standing where, at the doorway?

A Simply on the entryway floor, again, both of the bottoms of his feet were covered in blood. So that has to come from somewhere. So when $I$ went to the entryway floor and looked, $I$ seen an outline of something that's vividly similar in shape and size to the bottom of his foot. So that was my opinion, that that's where he would have picked up that blood.

Q Other people were in the house, though, were they not?

A There were other people in the house, yes.
Q So you can't tell us definitively that it was Mr. Smith's footprint that you saw near that entryway, correct?

A Definitively, no. I did look -- I saw a report that said Ms. Stanger was also in the house, and she did have bare feet. But there was a note that she did not have any blood on the bottom of her feet.

If any of the other people that entered the house that night were also barefoot, then I'm not identifying that impression as absolutely Mr. Smith's footprint.

Q Do you know where Ms. Stanger was when they observed no blood on her feet?

A I do not recall that off the top of my head.
Q So as far as you know, that could have been after she left the residence?

A I can double-check the incident report quickly and check.

Q Yes, please.
A Yes, it appears to be at the emergency room.
Q So you can't rule out the fact that that footprint can be Ms. Stanger's, can you?

A I guess I can't say 100 percent that she didn't leave the scene and wash her feet, although they did
appear quite dirty in the images. If she left the scene and then cleaned all the blood off the bottom of her feet and then had the visual images, then, yes, I would accept that as a possibility.

Q Thank you.
And one of your -- one of your goals in
analyzing the evidence in this case was to, at least, to determine locations of people within the house when this incident occurred, correct?

A Attempt to, yes.
Q And you testified that you at least give some weight, although not the greatest weight, to statements from witnesses who say where people were, correct?

A I will consider them as I go through the analysis, yes.

Q Okay. And did you ever watch the two interviews with law enforcement that Mr. Honeyestewa gave?

A I did not watch interviews. I was provided a transcript.

Q Of the interviews?
A Yes. But I'm going to double-check again if I -- I believe I have one. I was provided a transcript from an interview from July 17th of 2018, with Alan Honeyestewa.

Q And who was the detective that conducted that interview? Just so we make sure we're talking about the right interview here.

A I believe it was McKinney, but I can go back and check that, as well.

Yes, McKinney.
Q So as you sit here today, you've never analyzed Mr. Honeyestewa's statements about his location within the house from the interview he did with Detective Stake, correct?

A I don't believe I was provided that interview, so, no.

Q Well, you weren't provided the interview. But did you otherwise learn that Mr. Honeyestewa, himself, admitted that he actually went through the door, peeked into the bedroom, master bedroom, so much so that he was able to tell law enforcement where the nightstand and bed was within that bedroom? Were you aware of that?

A I believe I was, but I don't remember necessarily the statement about the bed stand, but that he had made it to the bedroom door (inaudible).

Q Had you had known that information, would that have changed anything about your analysis about where Mr. Honeyestewa could have been within that residence?

A To be fair, when I'm saying I'm trying to identify where people were, $I$ can only do that based on trajectories at the time that shots were fired. So I can't answer if just at any point in the scene Mr. Honeyestewa walked into the bedroom, or maybe walked all the way into the kitchen, unless there was a blood deposit or something that would lead me to that.

Q Okay. Were you aware that during
Mr. Honeyestewa's first interview, he indicated that he had maybe five or six bullets in his firearm when he got to that location?

A I believe I heard that, yes.
Q And then were you ever made aware that during the second interview, he said he had seven or eight bullets in his firearm when he got to that location?

A Again, $I$ do not have a second interview.
Q Would you agree with me that based upon the other reports that you relied on to draft your report, that that math doesn't add up?

A From what I have seen, there were 12 fired cartridge cases in this weapon, which means the magazine was loaded to capacity, as well.

MR. INGRAM: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. WOODBURY: We have none.

THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Show it to the attorneys, please.
Counsel, if you have no objections, say so. If you have an objection, we'll have a hearing outside the presence of the jury.

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Could the trajectory change with respect to Defendant's Exhibit D and Defendant's Exhibit $F$ if a person went from a kneeling position to laying on the ground? Would it lie in the degree of error presented?

THE WITNESS: I believe, yes. I believe someone in either a kneeling position or even sitting upright, those angles with the degree of error are easier to obtain without a significant (inaudible).

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, any follow-up on that?

MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Mr. Ingram?

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Ms. Green, you would agree with me that at least part of that analysis would have to include the position that the gun was being held in, either from the kneeling or laying down position, correct?

A Yes. Of course, being farther upright with the gun can be held in multiple ways.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you.
That's all I have.
THE COURT: Do either of you need this witness retained?

MR. INGRAM: No.
MR. WOODBURY: The defense does not.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Green. You're
excused from further attendance at this trial. Again, do not discuss this case with anyone other than the attorneys.

Thank you.
So, Counsel, at this point we're gonna break for lunch. And you'll be putting Mr. Stake back on for Mr. Woodbury to cross examine; is that the plan?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, because we have experts from Washoe County here, we've agreed to do their testimony next and get to Mr. Stake on Tuesday.

THE COURT: All right.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: We will resume at 1:30. We're in recess until then.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: You may be seated.
The defendant and counsel are present. We're outside the presence of the jury.

Mr. Ingram, you have an issue?
MR. INGRAM: Not a very big one, Judge.
THE COURT: Good.
MR. INGRAM: We are prepared to call Nicole Rapino (phonetic) as our next witness. Mr. Woodbury pointed out to me on the break that she didn't make the witness list, so $I$ figure the jury wasn't informed about her, potentially somebody knows her. It would just cause all sorts of issues. So we chose to release her as a witness and will not be calling her. I was informed that Gary would have objected, for the record. THE COURT: What was she supposed to testify about?

MR. INGRAM: Pretty benign fingerprints. It really has not a lot to do with -- it doesn't add a lot to the State's case.

THE COURT: Okay. So who do we have this
afternoon? Steve Shinmei?
MR. INGRAM: That's it.
THE COURT: That's it. And then if we get done with him, are you gonna bring Nick Stake back, or are you calling it a day?

MR. INGRAM: I prefer to call it a day, Judge, only because $I$ already told Nick Stake that we were not going to get to him today.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. INGRAM: But if you would like to press on, I would be happy to call him.

THE COURT: No. That's fine with me. It's kind of a long week.

MR. INGRAM: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Ingram?
MR. INGRAM: Steve Shinmei, please.
(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Please remove your mask.
Will you please state and spell your name.
THE WITNESS: Steve Shinmei. S-h-i-n-m-e-i.
THE COURT: S-h-i- -- say that -- I'm sorry.
THE WITNESS: S-h-i-n, as in "Nancy," "M," as in "Mary," e-i.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Go ahead, Mr. Ingram.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you, Your Honor.

## STEVE SHINMEI,

the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Mr. Shinmei, where do you work?
A I work at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office in the forensic science division.

Q Do you have any specific assignments in that?
A Yes. I am in the firearm tool mark section.
Q Tell us what that is, please.
A The firearm tool mark section, basically, is we examine firearm components, basically, and determine if they were fired from a specific firearm.

Q And specifically, what firearm components?
A Specifically, we're talking about fired bullets and fired cartridge cases.

Q And do you do anything in regards to trajectory analysis?

A Yes. We also do trajectory analysis, as well.
Q And what is that?
A It's describing bullet defects and bullet paths, and putting numbers to those.

Q Numbers, like degrees?
A Yes.
Q And can you please tell us your education and background?

A Yes. I have a bachelor's degree in physiological science from UCLA. I also -- the actual training program for firearm and tool mark examination at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office is a two-year program. It includes training within the sheriff's Office, as well as outside the sheriff's office.

My particular training, $I$ attended the National
Firearms Examiners Academy, which is a year long training program put on by the ATF that included four months of training at ATF's national laboratory in Maryland.

After I completed the Academy, I returned to
the sheriff's office and did another year of exercising with my trainer.

Q And how long have you been doing your job?
A I've been there a total of ten years, with the first two years being trained.

MR. INGRAM: Judge, I would like to ask that Mr. Shinmei be allowed to testify in the area of firearm examination and trajectory analysis, and be allowed to be given -- excuse me, allow him to give his opinion in that area.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: The witness may testify.
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Did there come an occasion, sir, when you responded to a residence on Wrangler Circle here in Elko?

A Yes.
Q Was that about the 8th of July, 2018?
A Yes, it was.
Q Who did you go to that scene with?
A I was there with Shaun Braley, a criminalist in our section, as well as, I believe Madison Dalquist was there as well, and Renee Armstrong.

Q And what was Shaun Braley's job?
A Shaun Braley's job, he works in our forensic
investigation section, and his job was to photograph the scene and also collect evidence.

Q So was your duty to collect any evidence?
A No.
Q And did you repair -- excuse me -- prepare a report in connection with your trajectory analysis of that location?

A Yes, I did.
Q I'm gonna show you what's been marked already as State's 301.

Would you please take a look at that, sir?
A Yes. This is the report $I$ prepared for this particular case.

MR. INGRAM: Judge, I would like to move to admit that report and ask he be allowed to use it in his testimony.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 301 is admitted.
(Exhibit 301 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Can you please generally tell
us, sir, what you did at that residence as far as trajectory analysis?

A I examined each of the bullet defects as they were described by Criminalist Braley. I also looked
for additional bullet defects. I located where those defects were in the residence, as well as tried to put numbers, like $I$ said, to the trajectory paths for each of those defects.

Q And how did you label the defects?
A I labeled the defects from A through R. Or, actually, $I$ believe, Criminalist Braley labeled most of them, so I just used his -- I may have used the same letters that he had.

Q Okay. And did you attempt to locate all of the defects that you could visibly see?

A Yes.
Q And that was your goal, correct?
A Yes.
Q All right. And as far as trajectory goes, please tell us how you actually determined trajectory as to those defects, the ones that you could, at least?

A Generally, we will try to insert a trajectory rod into a hole. And from that rod, we will ascertain both horizontal and vertical angle measurements.

Q And let's start with defect A.
Roughly, where was that in the house, sir?
A That was in the front door.
Q Okay. And what can you tell us about the trajectory of that defect?

A The trajectory of the defect has a horizontal angle of 18 degrees. And what I'm using as a reference to that, I believe, was the south wall in the living room. And it also had a vertical angle of minus 27 degrees.

Q May I see your report, sir?
A (Witness complied.)
Q Showing you page 4 of 5 of Exhibit 301 , can you please tell the jury what that is?

A This is a drawing by Shaun Braley, Criminalist Braley, where $I$ have superimposed the -- my findings for trajectories for the defects.

Q And, sir, is that there, the letter A that you're referring to?

A Yes.
Q And is it -- is it your testimony that that bullet was generally traveling from the living room towards the front door?

A Yes.
Q And generally, is that direction going down or up?

A That had a downward trajectory.
Q Okay. And defect B, as in "boy," does that appear to be B2?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And I'll hand you back your -- and what can you tell us about the trajectory of that defect?

A That defect was in the west wall of the living room, or the wall between the living room and the kitchen. It had a horizontal angle of 83 degrees and a vertical angle of positive 23 degrees.

Q So if you walk into the front door, is that bullet going up or down?

A That bullet is going in an upward trajectory.
Q Okay. And generally, is that bullet, if you're walking in the front door, is it going from -- to the left or to the right?

A 90 degrees would be directly perpendicular to that wall. I did all of my measurements from right to left. So a number less than 90 degrees would be coming in from the right, and a number greater than 90 degrees would be coming from the left. Therefore, this being 83 degrees, it would be slightly off center, but from the right.

Q Okay. And this is the same diagram from Exhibit 304, correct?

A Correct.
Q And again, this is B?
A Yes.
Q And just generally, sir, that bullet would be
traveling, or that projectile would be traveling from -- towards the bottom, where the "B" is, upwards, correct?

A The arrows don't just -- are just there for direction. I'm not trying to say the originality of where the end of the arrow is. So don't take that as where I believe that bullet path began from. It's just showing direction. But, yes, in that general direction.

Q Okay. Thank you. Defect C. What can you tell us about defect C?

A Defect $C$ was also in the living room wall, but there was no specific secondary impact point. Basically, what that means is, $I$ could not get a trajectory rod into that to measure.

I did, therefore, just make a general
description of it traveling from the east to the west, or traveling towards that wall.

Q And because we've had so many directions thrown out here, we have a key here. And that's pointing north, correct?

A Yes.
Q So would you agree with me that where $I$ just wrote that in is north?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And then would you agree that this would be east?

A Yes.
Q I just drew an "E."
And would you agree, then, that this is south?
A Yes.
Q And then this would be west, right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Defect D, what can you tell us about that one?

A Defect $D$ was also in the living room wall, the wall between the living room and the kitchen. And (inaudible), please, the horizontal angle was 85 degrees. So, again, almost perpendicular to that wall. And with a vertical angle of 23 degrees.

Q Okay. So is that bullet, as you stand in the front door, that projectile, excuse me, as you're standing in the front door, is that bullet traveling in an upward angle or downward angle?

A It's traveling upwards.
Q Defect E, what can you tell us about that?
A Defect $E$ was in the mirror that was hanging on the wall. This was another defect that $I$ could not put a trajectory rod through. Therefore, I could not determine accurate horizontal and vertical angle.

And again, $I$ gave it a general direction of traveling from east to west.

Q And was the reason why you didn't include it on this diagram that you're seeing right now, because you weren't able to actually get a trajectory on it?

A I could have. I could have put a dot somewhere on the wall where approximately it was. I (inaudible) particular diagram.

Q Okay. What can you tell us about defect F?
A Defect $F$ actually perforated that mirror, which means it actually went through the mirror, but also went through the living room wall and went into the kitchen. It had a horizontal angle of 113 degrees and a vertical angle of 24 degrees.

Q So as you're standing there in the front door, is that bullet path traveling upwards or downwards?

A It is traveling upwards.
Q It is -- if you're facing the front door towards that -- excuse me, looking from the front door towards that wall by the stove, is it traveling to the left or to the right?

A It is coming to that wall from the left.
Q Thank you.
Defect $G$, what can you tell us about that?
A Defect $G$ perforated the mirror, or went through
the mirror, as well as the living room wall, the wall between the living room and the kitchen. It went through cabinets in the kitchen above the kitchen counter. And then it struck the ceiling at another defect that was described as defect I.

It had a horizontal angle of 118 degrees, and a vertical angle of 26 degrees.

Q So if you're facing the mirror, is the bullet going up or down?

A It is going up.
Q Left or right?
A It is coming towards the wall from the left.
Q Defect H, please.
A Defect $H$ was a bullet that struck the living room wall. Again, $I$ could not insert a trajectory rod in this, therefore $I$ just gave it a general direction of traveling from east to the west.

Q Defect I?
A Defect I, as I mentioned, was a continuation of defect $G$, and it is in the ceiling in the kitchen.

Q Defect J?
A Defect $J$ was in the -- above the dining area. This penetrated and went up into the ceiling. I did not see if it actually went totally through or outside the roof. I got a trajectory rod in there as far as I
could.
It has a horizontal angle of 140 degrees and a vertical angle of 15 degrees.

Q Okay. Now, I'm assuming if you're standing at the door, that's an upward trajectory course?

A Yes.
Q Defect K, please.
A Defect $K$, we're moving over to the doorjamb of the -- or the doorway of the master bedroom. And it is a bullet grazing to that doorjamb. It has a horizontal angle of 88 degrees with respect to the north wall of the master bedroom. So it's actually a different wall than I'm talking about, and has a vertical angle of minus 7 degrees.

Q So if you're standing in the living room facing into the bedroom, is that bullet going down or up?

A That bullet is going downwards.
Q Defect L?
A Defect $L$ was a bullet that went through the door of the master bedroom. And then it went through a west wall, kind of next to a closet area, and then into that closet.

It has a horizontal bullet path of 59 degrees. I used the west wall of the bedroom as the reference. And it has a vertical angle of 20 degrees.

Q Again, if you're in the living room facing into the bathroom, is the direction of travel towards the bedroom?

A Yes.
Q And is the direction of travel to the right, from left to right?

A It's coming in from the right.
Q Excuse me. Thank you.
Defect M?
A Defect $M$ was another defect that -- or bullet path that went through the living room -- or the door to the master bedroom, struck the wall next to the closet, and then went into the closet, as well. It had a horizontal angle of 116 degrees, and a vertical angle of minus 14 degrees.

Q And is that one generally traveling from the southeast to the northwest?

A Yes.
Q Excuse me.
Defect $N$, please.
A Defect $N$ is to the south wall of the master bedroom. It has a horizontal angle of 70 degrees and a vertical angle of minus 3 degrees.

Q Again, if you're looking into the master bedroom from the living room, is that bullet going up,
or down, or straight?
A It's going slightly downwards.
Q Defect O?
A Defect $O$ was two irregular shaped defects on the south wall, sort of adjacent to where defect $M$ was. I didn't think these were actually from a fired projectile.

Q Defect $P$ as in "Paul"?
A Defect $P$ was to the corner of a fireplace that's on that south wall in the living room. It has a horizontal angle of 133 degrees, and a vertical angle of minus 32 degrees.

Q So if you're at the front door looking towards that fireplace, is that bullet going up or down?

A It is going down.
Q And again, from that same position, is it traveling from left to right, or right to left?

A If you're facing that fireplace, it's coming in from the left.

Q Thank you.
Defect $Q$ ?
A Defect $Q$ was a defect to the floor towards the front door of the residence. It has a horizontal angle of 78 degrees -- or 79 degrees, excuse me, and a vertical angle of minus 48 degrees.

Q If you're standing near the bedroom door there by $P$ and looking towards the front door of the residence, is that projectile traveling up or down?

A It's traveling down.
Q And finally, defect R?
A Defect $R$ is very similar to defect A. It's a bullet path that went through the front door, and then struck the east wall of the living room, sort of behind the front door as it was fully open. It has a horizontal measurement of 16 degrees, and a vertical angle of minus 22 degrees.

Q I'm gonna show you a series of photographs that I've previously shown Mr. Woodbury.

Exhibit 105, what are we looking at there, sir?
A This is the bullet that struck the ceiling above the dining room area.

Q And does that depict the trajectory rod?
A Yes.
Q Fair and accurate picture?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 105.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 105 admitted.
(Exhibit 105 admitted.)

Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 104, what is that, sir?

A These are the two bullet defects to the front door, and the one to the floor near the front door.

Q Okay. And are there three trajectory rods there?

A Yes.
Q And what defects are those rods associated with?

A The two in the door are $A$ and $R$. And $I$ believe the one on the floor is $Q$.

Q Fair and accurate pictures?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 104.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 104 is admitted.
(Exhibit 104 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 106 , what is that?
A I believe this is the one to the master bedroom.

Q Is this the one that you associated to be caused by a projectile, or the other one?

A No, this is the one with projectile.
Q Fair and accurate picture?

A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 106 .
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 106 is admitted.
(Exhibit 106 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 107, is that a zoomed-out photograph of the same?

A Yes.
Q Fair and accurate?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 107.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 107 is admitted.
(Exhibit 107 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: 108, what is that?
A This, $I$ believe, is defect $M$ that goes through
the door from the master bedroom and into the closet.
Q Okay. I'm showing you a diagram --
A Excuse me. Excuse me. That was L. That one was L.

Q Okay. You saved me.
So is that a fair and accurate picture?
A Yes.

MR. INGRAM: Move for its admission, please. THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 108 is admitted.
(Exhibit 108 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Exhibit 109, what is that?
A I believe this is the end point of that same bullet path. It's either L or M. I'm not quite sure which one this one is. I believe it's L.

Q Okay. Let's just be sure.
Showing you again 110, which you identified as
L, does that help you at all?
A Yes, $I$ believe this is the termination of the bullet path of L .

Q Fair and accurate?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 109.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: 109 is admitted.
(Exhibit 109 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: 111, what is that?
A I believe this is the bullet path to L. I believe we're still on L.

Q Well, I'll let you tell me, just so I don't
tell you how to testify.
You can look at the picture, itself.

A Yes.
Q I'll show you. That's probably easier. I'm showing you 111.

A Yes, I believe this is bullet path L.
Q And just so we're clear, that -- that door is in its open position, is it not?

A Yes, it is.
Q And how did you determine that the door was open in order to stick that trajectory rod through it? What tells you that?

A There's a perforation in the door, itself, as well as the wall behind it. And for the trajectory rod to align with both of those, the door has to be in the fully open position.

MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 111.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: 111 is admitted.
(Exhibit 111 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 112. What is 112?
A This is bullet path $K$ on the doorjamb of the master bedroom.

Q Fair and accurate picture?

A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 112.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: 112 is admitted.
(Exhibit 112 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 115, what is that a picture of?

A This shows several bullet paths to the wall of the living room, as well as to the fireplace.

Q Fair and accurate picture?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 115.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: 115 is admitted.
(Exhibit 115 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 118, what is that?
A Again, these are the bullet paths that went through the mirror in the living room.

Q Fair and accurate?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Exhibit 118.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.

THE COURT: 118 is admitted.
(Exhibit 118 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: 119, what is that?
A These are the two bullet paths that went through the wall of the living room.

Q Fair and accurate?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Exhibit 119.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: 119 is admitted.
(Exhibit 119 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Showing you 123, what is that?
A This is bullet path $P$ to the fireplace.
Q Fair and accurate?
A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: 123, please.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: 123 is admitted.
(Exhibit 123 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: 127, what is it?
A This is a view from the front door looking into the residence. And you see two bullet paths to the front door, and the one to the floor.

Q You're standing outside of that door looking into the house. Are those projectiles coming toward you?

A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 127.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 127 is admitted.
(Exhibit 127 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Sir, are you aware that at the scene there, that a number of bullets were recovered by Shaun Braley?

A Yes, I do.
Q And are you able to tell us what bullet caused what defect?

A No, I'm not.
Q And why is that?
A Generally, I don't associate a particular bullet with a particular defect. It's very difficult to do that.

Q What about if a particular -- what if a bullet is found within a defect?

A If it's within the defect, and it's within that bullet path, then, yes, we can associate it with that bullet path.

Q And is it your understanding that the bullets that Mr. Braley collected were not actually removed from a particular defect?

A Yes.
Q Okay. All right. I'm gonna show you Exhibit No. 300 .

Can you please take a look at that, and let me know what that is.

A Yes. This is a report I generated from the firearm examination portion of this -- of the first firearm examination for this case.

MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 300 , please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Exhibit 300 is admitted.
(Exhibit 300 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: Sir, were you provided with some firearms in connection with this case?

A Yes, I was.
Q And what firearms were those?
A I was -- three firearms were submitted. And it was a Springfield -- an Armory XD 40 subcompact pistol. There was a Springfield Armory XD 40 pistol, and a Taurus 9 millimeter pistol.

MR. INGRAM: Okay. Ms. Clerk, may I have the three firearms, please? Thank you.

Q BY MR. INGRAM: I'm gonna show you Exhibit 312.
Do you recognize that, sir?
A Yes, I do.
Q What do you recognize that as?
A That's one of the firearms, or the box that contains a firearm that $I$ examined for this case.

Q Opening 312, what firearm is that, sir?
A That is the Taurus 9 millimeter firearm.
Q Is that the one you examined in connection with this case?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Showing you 311, do you recognize this?
A Yes, I do.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A This is the box that contains one of the firearms that $I$ examined for this case.

Q Okay. Opening it up. What is that?
A It's the Taurus XD -- I believe this is the full size.

Q You said Taurus?
A Excuse me, the Springfield Armory XD pistol.
Q Full size?
A I believe so, yes.

Q I'm showing you 310. Do you know what that is?
A Yes. This is one of the boxes containing a firearm that $I$ examined for this case.

Q Opening it up.
A Springfield Armory XD 40 subcompact pistol that I examined for this case.

Q So now that you know that one is the subcompact, can you be sure what Exhibit 311 is?

A Yes. That is the XD pistol that I examined for this case.

Q What do you do first when examining firearms?
A Generally, $I$ will take the demographic information out of it; its make, its model, and serial number. And $I$ will proceed with looking at the safety functions of it and make sure it's going to be safe to test fire.

Q And were all three of those firearms safe to test fire?

A Yes, they were.
Q Were they all functioning properly?
A Yes, they were.
Q And did you test fire all three of those?
A Yes.
Q And what is the purpose of that?
A It's to generate exemplar or reference samples
so I can bear evidence to it. So therefore, I created test fire cartridge cases and test fired bullets.

Q And did you do that for all three?
A Yes.
Q Okay. I'm gonna direct your attention to your report there, the first page.

Items 5.1 through 5.12, what are those?
A Those are fired 40 caliber cartridge cases.
Q And are each of those associated with a placard number?

A Yes, they are.
Q And were you able to determine what firearm fired those cartridges?

A Yes, I did.
Q Can you please tell us how you do that?
A The very first thing $I$ do is after $I$ test fire the firearm, $I$ will take two of the test fired cartridge cases and put them on what's called a comparison microscope. A comparison microscope is basically two microscopes connected together. And when I look through the eye pieces, half of the image is of one cartridge case, and half of the image is another. I can move those around, align them, to see if they're fired from the same firearm.

What I'm looking for when I'm doing my test
comparison is are there marks that are reproducing on those that $I$ can use to identify evidence cartridge cases to. Once I'm satisfied with that, I'll remove one of the test cartridge cases and put one of the evidence cartridge cases on the microscope and do the same comparison.

And in this case, $I$ found that for those cartridge cases, they all identified to the Springfield Armory XD pistol.

Q Is that the full size?
A Yes. That would be item 7.1.
Q Okay. And direct your attention to items 5.13 through 5.24. Did you engage in the same process that you just described?

A Yes, I did.
Q And were you able to identify those fired cartridges to a particular firearm?

A Yes, I was.
Q What firearm?
A All of those 12 cartridge cases identified to the Springfield Armory $X D 40$ subcompact pistol.

Q Item 5.25, what is that?
A Item 5.25 was a fired 9 millimeter cartridge case.

Q And were you able to conduct the same process
that you just described for us for that fired cartridge?

A Yes.
Q And what did you find?
A That particular fired cartridge case identified to the Taurus PT 111 pistol.

Q Just generally, what is a bullet? How do you define a bullet versus a bullet fragment?

A A bullet would be more intact, where a bullet fragment may be a part of the fired bullet.

Q What is a jacket?
A A jacket would be just the outside portion of it. When we're talking about a jacket bullet, a jacket bullet would therefore have a core and then a separate material jacketing the outside of it.

Q Item 6.1, what was that?
A Item 6.1 was a fired bullet.
Q Were you able to determine what firearm fired that bullet?

A Yes, I was.
Q What is that?
A That was the Springfield Armory XD pistol, item 7.1 .

Q And are all of these items, likewise, associated with the placard number?

A Yes, they were.
Q What about items 6.2 and 6.3?
A Item 6.2 and 6.3 were -- let me -- they were identified to the Springfield Armory XD 40 pistol.

Q Okay. 6.4, what is that?
A Item 6.4 is a fired bullet core.
Q Okay. And what can you tell us about making some conclusion as to what firearm fired a core?

A Because the core is actually not in direct contact with the firearm, it does not possess any microscopic marks that $I$ could use to identify to a specific firearm.

Q So are you not able to do that in 6.4?
A That's correct.
Q What about 6.5?
A $\quad 6.5$ is a fired bullet jacket.
Q And were you able to identify what firearm fired that one?

A Yes. That was fired by the Springfield Armory XD 40 subcompact pistol.

Q Okay. And 6.6 and 6.7, what are those?
A $\quad 6.6$ is a fired bullet, and a 6.7 is a fired bullet jacket.

Q And what firearm fired those?
A They were fired by the Springfield Armory XD 40

```
pistol.
```

Q And 6.8, were you able to come to any conclusions about that one?

A No, I was not.
Q Why not?
A Again, it is just a fired bullet core.
Q 6.9, what is that?
A $\quad 6.9$ is a fired bullet.
Q And what conclusion did you come to regarding that one?

A I identified that fired bullet as having been fired from the Springfield Armory XD 40 subcompact pistol.

Q Item 25, what is it?
A Item 25 is a 9 millimeter Luger fired cartridge case.

Q And were you able to determine if the 9 millimeter that you just identified for us in court fired that cartridge?

A Yes, I did.
Q And?
A I identified that fired cartridge case as being fired from the Taurus PT 111 pistol.

Q Sir, I'm gonna show you what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 316.

Can you please familiarize yourself with that and let me know when you're done.

A Yes.
Q And what do you recognize that to be?
A This is a fired bullet that $I$ examined for this particular case.

Q And do you know where that bullet was recovered from?

A It was reported as coming from the left upper quadrant of abdomen of decedent, Bradley Smith.

Q And were you able to determine what firearm fired that particular bullet?

A Yes, I was.
Q And what was that?
A That particular bullet $I$ identified to the Springfield Armory XD 40 subcompact pistol.

Q I'm showing you 317 and 318.
Can you look at those and familiarize yourself?
A Yes.
Q And what are those, sir?
A These are fired bullets that $I$ examined for this particular case.

Q And are you aware of where they were recovered from?

A One was reported from the right lower back of
subject Alan Honeyestewa. And the other was reported from the left shoulder of subject Alan Honeyestewa.

Q And were you able to make a determination as to which firearm fired those bullets?

A Yes, I was.
Q And what was that?
A I identified both of those bullets as having been fired from the Springfield Armory XD 40 pistol.

Q So, sir, can $I$ see your report that you're testifying from?

A Yes.
Q Thank you.
That's Exhibit 300. Based on the analysis in your report in Exhibit 300 , how many total cartridges did you examine?

A Fired cartridge cases, I examined 26.
Q And how many bullet -- bullet fragment and bullet core jackets did you examine?

A I believe it was 12 total.
Q Did there come a point in time when you were provided additional evidence in this case to analyze?

A Yes, I was.
Q Did you prepare a report in connection with that?

A Yes, I did.

Q Okay. I'm gonna show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 305.

What is that, sir?
A This is the second report for fired identification for this particular case.

MR. INGRAM: We move for the admission of 305 , please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 305 is admitted.
(Exhibit 305 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: During this analysis, were you asked to analyze any additional cartridges?

A Fired cartridge cases?
Q Yes.
A No, I was not.
Q Okay. What were you asked to analyze?
A I was asked to analyze fired bullets and bullet fragments.

Q And are those bullets and bullet fragments associated with placards?

A Yes, they were.
Q And did you, in fact, analyze all of those?
A Yes, I did.
Q How many in total, just in this round?

A I believe it was 12.
Q Okay. And how many out of those 12 were you able to identify as being fired from the Springfield XD full size?

A Five fired bullets -- yeah, five fired bullets were identified as having been fired from the Springfield Armory XD 40 pistol.

Q Okay. And in this round of analysis, how many of those bullets or fragments were you able to identify as being fired from the subcompact?

A Five fired bullets, also from the subcompact.
Q Were there any that you were not able to come to a conclusion about?

A Yes, there were two.
Q And why weren't you able to do that?
A One of them, item 43, was a small bullet fragment that didn't have any microscopic evidence on it.

And the other, due to its damage, I couldn't conclusively determine if it was fired from one of the pistols.

Q Okay. Did you examine a panel of wood in connection with this case?

A Yes, I did.
Q I'm gonna show you Exhibit 340 .
Do you recognize that, sir?

A Yes, I do.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A This is a panel that $I$ examined for this particular case.

Q How did you go about examining that?
A What $I$ was asked to do is if $I$ could determine what caliber of bullet that actual defect was. I asked for an additional piece of wood so $I$ could do some test fires. I believe that's what you have there.

I used a 40 caliber bullet, as well as 9
millimeter, fired several shots at this test panel, and did a visual comparison against the evidence panel.

Q I'm showing you Exhibit 341.
Do you recognize this, sir?
A Yes, I do.
Q Will you please describe that for the jury?
A That was the panel that $I$ was supplied with, and I fired test shots into those to compare with the evidence.

Q And there's two rows here, is there not?
A Yes, there are.
Q And what caliber is the bottom row?
A The bottom row is a 40 Smith \& Wesson.
Q What caliber is the top row?

A The top row is 9 millimeter.
Q And based on your analysis, were you able to determine the caliber of bullet which was -- or the projectile through 340 here?

A No, I was not.
Q Can you please tell us about that?
A I did a visual examination, but the difference between a 9 millimeter and a 40 Smith \& Wesson is very, very close. They're only about one millimeter difference in their diameter. Therefore, I could not conclusively say that hole in the evidence panel was from a 9 milimeter or from a 40.

MR. INGRAM: Move for the admission of 341, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 341 is admitted.
(Exhibit 341 admitted.)
Q BY MR. INGRAM: What happens to the slide, so the top part of a handgun, when it's fired, if there are no more bullets in it?

A The slide will reciprocate back and forth until it is empty.

Q And if it's empty, what happens to the slide then?

A Generally, if the magazine will hold the slide lock back, the slide lock will open.

Q Did you find, sir, that there was a color, a certain color of the fired cartridges associated with the full size XD?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what color was that?
A The full size XD, I believe, were the silver -let me check that. I believe it's in the other report.

Q Okay. What report do you have there, sir?
A The second round of fire identification.
Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 300 .
Let me know when you're done refreshing your memory there.

A Okay.
Q Did that refresh your memory?
A Yes.
Q And what color of casing, fired casing, were associated with the full size?

A They were the silver-colored casings.
Q And what about the subcompact?
A The subcompact were the yellow-colored casings.
Q Thank you.
Sir, I'm showing you what's been previously admitted as Exhibit 315.

Can you please take a look at the items in 315, and let me know what those are. What are those, sir?

A Fired bullets and fired bullet fragments.
Q Are those the ones that you analyzed and you testified to, about, today?

A Yes.
Q I'm gonna ask the same question about each of these exhibits that I'm handing you.

Exhibit 329, is that one that you analyzed and testified to, about, today?

A Yes.
Q Exhibit 330 , same question -- questions.
A Yes.
Q Exhibit 332, same questions.
A Yes.
Q Exhibit 333, same questions.
A Yes.
Q Exhibit 335, same.
A Yes.
Q 336 , same.
A Yes.
Q 337 , same.
A Yes.
Q 338, same.
A Yes.

Q 339 , same.
A Yes.
Q In general, sir, on a semi-automatic gun, firearm, similar to the ones that you testified to, about, today, what direction are the bullets ejected from?

A Well, first, these are semi-automatic firearms, not automatic.

Q Excuse me.
A And generally, they're designed to eject the cartridge to the right and to the rear of the shooter. MR. INGRAM: Judge, $I$ just need one moment to make sure that I'm done.

THE COURT: You talked about 110, Mr. Ingram, but I don't have that ever offered and admitted. I don't know if you wanted that in.

MR. INGRAM: 110?
THE COURT: It's a photo, a trajectory photo. MR. INGRAM: Yeah. I move for its admission, please.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: None.
THE COURT: 110 is admitted.
(Exhibit 110 admitted.)
MR. INGRAM: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Mr. Shinmei, I take it as part of your examination of the firearms that you made sure that they're, at least the 40 caliber, the regular one and the subcompact, ejected the shells exactly like most of them do, right?

A When I test fire them, I'm in sort of a net to catch the cartridge cases. So in that instance, all -about what $I$ did is they ejected from the firearm correctly. As far as seeing where they landed, I did not -- I did not actually examine that.

Q But a more truthful version of where they eject is more to the right and slightly to the rear, right?

A Yes. If you're shooting directly ahead and level, yes.

Q Yeah.
So theoretically, a person standing in an open doorway could shoot through and have the bullet eject on the opposite side of the doorway, right? Does that make any sense to you?

A It would be -- yes, sir -- how they would be
holding the firearm, yes.
Q And when you were giving the percentage or the angles of the trajectories that you were able to complete, and when you said that they were 113 degrees horizontal, that -- was that not part of a -- is there an error possibility in there?

A Yes. I give a plus or minus five degree range for each of those measurements.

Q Okay. And the -- so if it was 113 degrees for item $F$, it could range, horizontally, it can range from 118 to 108?

A Yes.
Q Yeah.
And did you do any calculations as to how -- I assume that the -- well, let me do it this way: By doing a trajectory, you don't know exactly how far a bullet has been fired from, and it doesn't matter, to determine the trajectory, how far it was fired from --

A That's correct.
Q -- the trajectory is the same, right?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. And whether you have two bullets -- for example, trajectory $D, ~ I ~ t h i n k ~ y o u ~ s a i d ~ i t ~ w a s ~ 85 ~$ horizontal?

A Yes.

Q I don't want to lead you down a garden path here --

A I don't actually have my trajectory report in front of me.

Q All right. As long as I'm not fooling around with you here.

All right. So that can be from a trajectory of 80 to 90 degrees, given that margin of error?

A Yes.
Q Yeah.
And is there a way to calculate when you have a difference between -- when you have an 85 degree trajectory and 113 degree trajectory, is there any way to calculate how far the bullet has traveled through the trajectory?

A Not from what -- the measurements I took, no.
Q Okay. So you don't know how far the gun would have to move, a single gun would have to move, to give a trajectory of 113, plus or minus 5, versus -- versus 85, plus or minus?

It would be difficult for you to say how far the gun would have to travel to achieve those, without knowing the distance that the bullet was fired from?

A That's correct.
MR. WOODBURY: Yeah.

Okay. I don't think I have any further questions.

THE COURT: Pardon me? Are you done?
MR. WOODBURY: Yes.

THE COURT: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. INGRAM:

Q Did you ever analyze any bullets, or bullet fragments, or jackets that were associated with a 9 millimeter?

A No, I did not.
MR. INGRAM: That's all $I$ have, Judge.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Recross?
MR. WOODBURY: Nothing.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do either of you need this witness retained?
MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: We do not.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You're excused from further attendance at this trial. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.
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| 7 | 9 [20] - 996:9, | accepted [1] - | 1089:5 | 1084:5 |
|  |  | 1050:7 | admission [22] - | agreed [1] - 1073:24 |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { [5] - 993:21, } \\ 994: 22,1018: 8, \end{gathered}$ | 1056:23, 1057:4, | accompany [2]- | $\begin{aligned} & 991: 21,1001: 19, \\ & \text { 1009:9, 1010:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 1018:21 |
|  | 1057:17, 1059:2, | 988:14, 1012:15 | 1014:3, 1015:15, | ahead [6]-1014:11, |
| 1087:14 | 1063:1, 1063:7, | accomplish [1] - | 1016:1, 1017:22, | 1020:2, 1043:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7.1[2]-1102: 11, \\ & \text { 1103:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 1063:8, 1098:25, | 1022:21 | 1090:21, 1091:14 | 1047:2, 1076:8, |
|  | 1099:10, 1102:23, | according [2] - | 1092:2, 1092:12, | 1115:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 70_{[1]}-1088: 22 \\ & \mathbf{7 4 N S}[2]-995: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 1105:15, 1105:17, | 988:11, 1011:19 | 1093:1, 1093:17, | air [1]-1036:24 |
|  | 1110:11, 1111:1, | account [1] - | 1094:17, 1095:2, | Alan [3] - 1069:25, |
| 1017:20 77 [1] - 1001:21 | 1111:8, 1111:12, | 1023:22 | 1095:13, 1097:5, | 1107:1, 1107:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77 \text { [1] - 1001:21 } \\ & 78[6]-1013: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 1118:10 | accounted [4] - | 1098:12, 1108:6, | align [2]-1094:15, |
|  | 90[7]-1050:1, | 1057:24, 1058:9, | 1111:13, 1114:19 | 1101:23 |
| 1014:3, 1014:7, | 1050:18, 1051:7, | 1058:15, 1059:16 | admit [1] - 1079:15 | allow [3] - 1039:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1014:8, 1014:12, } \\ & 1089: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1082:13, 1082:15, } \\ & \text { 1082:16, 1117:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { accounted-for }[1] \text { - }$ | admitted [62] - | 1052:8, 1078:9 |
| 79 [6]-1013:20,1014:4, 1014:7, | 90NS [2] - 1004:15, | 1059:16 accounting [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 992:3, 992:4, 1007:4, } \\ & \text { 1007:8, 1007:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allowed }[4] \text { - } \\ & \text { 1018:22, 1078:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1016:17 | 1058:19, 1060:14, | 1007:22, 1007:23, | 1078:9, 1079:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1014:8, 1014:12, } \\ & \text { 1089:24 } \end{aligned}$ | 91NS [2] - 1006:20, | 1060:21 | 1009:13, 1009:14, | allows [2] - 989:14, |
|  | 1016:12 | accurate [21] - | 1009:22, 1010:16 1010:17, 1014:7, | 1054:19 |
| 79NS [2] - 994:25, 1017:17 | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 991:19, 1007:1, } \\ & \text { 1008:8, 1008:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 1014:8, 1015:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { almost [2]-1067:1, } \\ & \text { 1084:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7th [2]-986:14, } \\ & \text { 1020:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { abdomen [1] - } \\ & \text { 1106:10 } \end{aligned}$ | 1008:12, 1014:1, <br> 1048:22, 1048:24, | 1015:20, 1016:5, 1016:6, 1018:1, | alone [1] - 1063:16 <br> amount [1] - 987:20 |
| 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1049:12, 1084:25, } \\ & \text { 1090:19, 1091:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1018:2, 1018:13, } \\ & \text { 1018:15, 1070:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { analysis [37] - } \\ 987: 14,987: 25, \end{gathered}$ |
|  | able [45]-987:7, 993:12, $993: 14$, | 1091:25, 1092:10, | 1079:19, 1079:20, 1090:24, 1090:25, | 988:1, 988:14 |
|  | 994:5, 998:10, 999:9, | 1094:25, 1095:11, | 1091:17, 1091:18, | 994:11, 999:10, <br> 1020:20, 1021:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { [6] - 995:1, 995:2, } \\ & 995: 7,996: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 999:14, 999:15, 999:17, 1001:7, | 1095:21, 1096:6, | 1092:5, 1092:6, | 1021:16, 1021:21, |
| $80 \text { [3] - 1049:25, }$ | 1001:18, 1002:4, | 1096:15 | 1092:15, 1092:16, | 1021:24, 1022:24, |
|  | 1002:24, 1004:23, | accurately [1] - | 1093:4, 1093:5, | 1026:11, 1028:17, |
| 80NS [2] - 997:3, | 1005:10, 1005:21, | 996:7 | 1093:20, 1093:21, | 1028:19, 1029:14, |



| 1088:22, 1088:25, | 1065:24, 1066:2, | brown [1] - 1037:2 | 1085:16, 1086:8, | 1077:2, 1097:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1090:1, 1091:21, | 1066:3, 1066:8, | Buildings [1] - | 1086:14, 1087:10, | 1098:1, 1101:2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1092:19, 1094:24 } \\ \text { bedroom's [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 1067:1, 1067:2, 1067:4, 1067:6, | 988:19 | 1087:16, 1087:17, | 1106:21, 1107:4, |
| 994:1 <br> began [1] - 1083:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1067:18, 1067:23, } \\ & \text { 1068:8, 1068:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1041:23 } \\ & \text { bullet }[179]-986: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1088:10, 1088:25, } \\ & \text { 1089:14, 1090:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 1108:20, 1109:5, |
|  |  |  |  | 1109:9, 1109:11, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1068:8, 1068:14, } \\ & \text { 1069:2, 1071:7 } \end{aligned}$ <br> blood-bearing [1] - | 987:18, 988:5, | 1090:15, 1091:3, | 1111:21, 1113:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1024:11, 1029:1, } \\ & \text { 1029:10 } \\ & \text { behind [11] - 992:8, } \end{aligned}$ |  | 990:11, 990:16, | 1093:8, 1093:14, | 1114:5, 1116:22, |
|  | 1039:18 <br> bloodstain [6] - | 990:17, 993:1, 993:4 | 1093:23, 1094:6, | 111 |
|  |  | 993:10, 993:12, | 1094:23, 1095:9, | Bureau [1] - 987:20 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { behind [11]-992:8, } \\ \text { 992:13, 992:14, } \\ \text { 992:24, 993:3, 993:6, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1021:15, 1021:24, } \\ & \text { 1033:8, 1033:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 993:14, 994:8, 994:9, | 1095:19, 1096:4, | but.. [1] - 1033:10 |
|  |  | 994:19, 994:21, | 1096:14, 1096:24, | BY [40]-985:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 992:24, 993:3, 993:6, } \\ & 998: 2,1011: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 1033:8, 1033:17, <br> 1033:18, 1066:20 | 995:15, 995:16 | 1097:14, 1097:19, | 988:24, 992:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 998:2, 1011:25, } \\ & 1045: 6,1090: 8, \end{aligned}$ |  | 996:20, 997:12, | 1097:21, 1097:24, | 1007:11, 1007:24, |
| 1094:14 belonging [1] - |  | 997:15, 997:16, | 1097:25, 1103:7, | 1009:15, 1010:18, |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1035:11, 1040:14 } \\ \text { bloodstains [11] - } \end{gathered}$ | 998:5, 998:10, | 1103:8, 1103:9 | 1014:13, 1015:21, |
| 987:6bench [1]-1021:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1028:18, 1032:4, } \\ & \text { 1033:15, 1035:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 998:14, 998:19, | 1103:10, 1103:13, | 1016:9, 1020:10, |
|  |  | 998:20, 998:21, | 1103:14, 1103:17, | 1022:19, 1026:6, |
| bench [1]-1021:10 <br> benign [1]-1074:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1033:15, 1035:4, } \\ & \text { 1035:6, 1035:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 999:1, 999:24, | 1103:19, 1104:6, | 1043:23, 1053:11, |
| benign [1] - 1074:22 <br> best ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1037:22 <br> better [3]-991:12, | 1036:7, 1036:9, 1036:13, 1038:13 | 1000:1, 1002:4 | 1104:16, 1104:22, | 1063:23, 1064:14, |
|  |  | 1002:24, 1003:10, | 1104:23, 1105:6, | 73:2, 1076:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { better [3]-991:12, } \\ \text { 999:17, 1032:18 } \\ \text { between [12] - } \end{gathered}$ | 1040:10bloody [1] - 1066:22 | 1003:16, 1004:23, | 1105:8, 1105:11, | 1078:14, 1079:21, |
|  |  | 1005:10, 1005:22, | 1106:5, 1106:7, | 1091:1, 1091:19 |
| between [12]- 989:24, 1041:19, | bloody [1] - 1066:22 <br> blow [1] - 1032:10 | 1005:24, 1005:25, | 1106:12, 1106:15, | 1092:7, 1092:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 989: 24,1041: 19 \\ & \text { 1041:24, 1049:3, } \end{aligned}$ | boards [1] - 996:18 body [8] - 1013:1, | 1006:13, 1008:16, 1008:20, 1010:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1107:17, 1107:18, } \\ & \text { 1108:18, 1108:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1093:6, 1093:22, } \\ & \text { 1094:22, 1095:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1049:25, 1050:4, } \\ & \text { 1051:3, 1082:4, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1008:20, 1010:3, } \\ & \text { 1010:24, 1011:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1108:18, 1108:20, } \\ & \text { 1109:16, 1110:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1094:22, 1095:7, } \\ & \text { 1095:18, 1096:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1051:3, 1082:4, } \\ & \text { 1084:12, 1086:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 1034:7, 1034:23, | 1011:17, 1011:19, | 1110:11, 1111:3, | 1096:13, 1096:22, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1084:12, 1086:2, } \\ \text { 1111:8, 1117:12 } \\ \text { big [2] - 1033:8, } \end{gathered}$ | 1036:20, 1045:1, | 1011:24, 1011:25, | 1113:3, 1115:22, | 1097:10, 1098:18, |
|  | bottom [8] - 996:20, | 1014:19, 1015:4, | 1116:17, 1117:14, | 1099:3, 1108:12, |
| big [2] - 1033:8, 1074:10 |  | 1015:23, 1015:24, | 1117:23, 1118:9 | 1111:19, 1115:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1074:10 } \\ & \text { biologic }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 998:4, 1067:22, | 1016:11, 1016:17, | bullets [78]-986:2, | 1118:8 |
| 1050:13biological [1] - | 1083:2, 1110:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1016:22, 1017:1, } \\ & \text { 1017:4, 1017:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 986:10, 986:12, } \\ & \text { 988:6, 1004:7, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 1017:8, 1017:12, | 1012:5, 1012:1 | C |
| 1037:4 <br> biology [1] - 1021:2 <br> bit [3] - 1026:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1110:24 } \\ & \text { bottoms [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1017:14, 1017:17, } \\ & \text { 1017:20, 1025:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1012:16, 1012:21, } \\ & \text { 1012:25, 1013:4, } \end{aligned}$ | cabinets [1] - 1086:3 |
| $1033: 3,1035: 11$ | box [5] - 995:20 | 1025:8, 1026:18, | 1013:14, 1013:16, | calculate [2] - |
| bleed [3] - 1066:11, | 995:22, 995:25, | $1028: 9,1030: 4$, $1034: 6,1036: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1013:24, 1018:7, } \\ & \text { 1025:4, 1025:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 1117:11, 1117:14 calculations [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1066:12 } \\ & \text { bleeding [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 1099:7, 1099:17 <br> boxes [1] - 1100:2 <br> boy [2] - 990:20, | 1036:19, 1036:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1025:4, 1025:5, } \\ & \text { 1026:22, 1027:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 1116:14 |
|  |  | 1037:13, 1040:20, | 1028:7, 1035:21, | caliber [11] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1038:25 } \\ & \text { blood [50] - 10 } \end{aligned}$ | 1081:23 | 1040:21, 1042:20 | 1036:20, 1042:13, | 1010:24, 1025:21, |
| 1029:12, 1029:13, |  | 1044:8, 1044:13, | 1042:15, 1042:25, | 1041:13, 1062:18 |
| 1029:14, 1029:22,1030:1, 1030:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brad }^{[2]} \text { - 1012:1, } \\ & 1061: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 1045:5, 1045:6 | 1043:2, 1043:25, | 101.8, 1110 |
|  | Bradley [4] - | 1045:7, 1045:17, <br> 1045.23, 1047:3 | 1044:4, 1044:6, <br> 1044:19, 1044:24 | 1110:25, 1111:3, |
| 1030:9, 1031:17, | 1031:22, 1040:3, | 1047:7, 1047:9, | 1045:3, 1045:4, | 1115:8 |
| 1031:21, 1032:1, 1032:15, 1033:12, | Braley [7] - 1078:21, | 1047:21, 1047:25, | 1045:10, 1045:24, | calibers [1] - |
| 1033:13, 1033:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1079:25, 1080:7, } \\ & \text { 1081:10, 1081:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 1048:16, 1049:17, | 1046:3, 1046:5, | 1025:19 |
| 1033:22, 1034:25,1035:2, 1037:19, |  | 1054:13, 1056:14, | 1054:16, 1057:7, | cannot [5]-1037:20, |
|  | 1097:12, 1098:2 <br> Braley's [2]- | 1058:1, 1058:10, <br> 1058:16 1059:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1057:15, 1057:16, } \\ & \text { 1057:21, 1057:24, } \end{aligned}$ | 1061:17, 1066:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1035:2, 1037:19, } \\ & \text { 1037:23, 1037:25 } \end{aligned}$ | Braley's [2] 1078:24, 1078:25 | 1059:21, 1060:17, | 1058:8, 1058:12, | capacity [2] - |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1038: 7,1038: 20 \\ \text { 1038:24, 1039:1, } \end{array}$ | break [2] - 1073:20 | 1060:24, 1061:2, | 1058:15, 1058:24, | 1027:16, 1071:22 |
| 1039:2, 1039:12, | break [2] - 1073:20 1074:14 | 1061:4, 1077:8, | 1059:6, 1059:14, | carpet [11]-987:2, |
| 1039:14, 1039:18, | brief [1] - 1016:7 | 1079:24, 1080:1, 1081:17, 1082:8, | 1059:16, 1059:17, 1060:14, 1060:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 987:3, 1029:23, } \\ & \text { 1032:13, 1033:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1039:22, 1040:2, } \\ & \text { 1040:18, 1040:23, } \end{aligned}$ | bring [1] - 1075:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1081:17, 1082:8, } \\ & \text { 1082:9, 1082:10, } \end{aligned}$ | 1061:7, 1061:11, | 1033:5, 1033:9, |
| 1040:24, 1065:19, | broadly [1] - 1033:23 | 1082:25, 1083:7, | 1061:13, 1064:25, | 1035:13, 1035:15, |
|  | brother [1] - 1012:2 | 1084:16, 1084:18, | 1071:10, 1071:15, | 1036:7, 1066:13 |


| carpeted [2] - | 1102:7, 1102:24, | change [1] - 1072:9 | 1016:18, 1016:23, | conduct [1] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1040:12, 1041:24 } \\ & \text { carried }[1]-1060: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1103:5, 1105:16, } \\ & \text { 1105:22. 1106:6. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { changed [2] - } \\ & \text { 1050:20, 1070:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1017:2, 1017:5, } \\ & \text { 1017:15, 1017:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1102:25 } \\ & \text { conducted }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| carry [1] - 1023:4 | 1106:22, 1107:21, | characteristics [1] - | 1017:21, 1024:2, | 1070:1 |
| cartridge [54] - | 1108:5, 1109:23, | 1026:17 | 1024:10, 1059:8, | conducting [1] - |
| 1024:24, 1025:2, <br> 1025:17 1026.24 | 1110:5 | characterize [1] - <br> 1033.24 | 1061:6, 1061:8, 1063:13, 1098:2 | 1019:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1025:17, 1026:24, } \\ & \text { 1027:12, 1027:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cases [35] - 1024:24, } \\ & \text { 1025:2, 1025:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 1033:24 <br> characterized [1] - | collecting [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cone }[2]-1049: 6, \\ & \text { 1051:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1027:19, 1027:24, 1028:5, 1028:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1026:24, 1027:12, } \\ & \text { 1027:17, 1027:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 1039:17 | 1061:11 | confidence [2] - |
| 1040:19, 1041:9, | 1028:5, 1040:19, | 1068:18, 1068:19, | 1021:17 | confident ${ }^{22}$ |
| 1041:21, 1044:24, | 1041:9, 1041:21, | 1069:22, 1070:5, | color [4]-1112:3, | 1034:21, 1038:1 |
| 1056:8, 1056:14, | 1044:24, 1056:20, | 1112:9 | 1112:4, 1112:7, | configured [1] - |
| 1056:16, 1056:17, | 1057:12, 1059:10, | checking [1] - | 1112:18 | 1051:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1056:20, 1056:24, } \\ & \text { 1057:2, 1057:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1059:11, 1062:25, } \\ & \text { 1063:15, 1064:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 1059:16 choice | $\begin{aligned} & \text { colored [2] - } \\ & \text { 1112:20, 1112:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { confused [1] - } \\ & \text { 1062:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1057:12, 1058:3, | 1065:5, 1065:10, | chose [2]-1055:18, | coming [13] - | confusion [1] - |
| 1059:10, 1062:25, | 1065:16, 1071:21, | 1074:17 | 1008:23, 1039:18, | 1044:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1063:3, 1063:15, } \\ & \text { 1063:19, 1064:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1077:3, 1101:2, } \\ & \text { 1101:8, 1101:18, } \end{aligned}$ | Circle [15]-985:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1049:23, 1058:2, } \\ & \text { 1061:7, 1082:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { connected [1] - } \\ & 1101: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1065:5, 1065:10, | 1102:3, 1102:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 985: 22,1009: 21, \\ & \text { 1016:24, 1017:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 1082:17, 1085:22, | connection [7] - |
| 1065:16, 1071:21, | 1102:5, 1102:8, | 1017:9, 1017:12, | 1086:12, 1088:7, | 1056:3, 1057:8, |
| 1077:3, 1101:2, | 1102:20, 1107:16, | 1017:15, 1017:18, | 1089:18, 1097:2, | 1079:6, 1098:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1101:8, 1101:18, } \\ & \text { 1101:22, 1102:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1108:14, 1115:12 } \\ & \text { casing [3] - 1027:1, } \end{aligned}$ | 1017:21, 1020:19, | 1106:9 | 1099:11, 1107:23, |
| 1102:4, 1102:5, | 1112:18 | $\text { 1064:1, } 1078: 16$ | compare [2] - | consider [5] - |
| 1102:8, 1102:20, | casings [3] - 1027:8, | circumstances [1] - | 1007:16, 1110:19 | 1045:7, 1049:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1102:23, 1103:2, } \\ & \text { 1103:5, 1105:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 1112:20, 1112:22 <br> catch [1] - 1115:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 986:5 } \\ & \text { city }[2]-1020: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { compared [1] - } \\ & \text { 1015:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1066:1, 1067:13, } \\ & \text { 1069:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1105:19, 1105:22, } \\ & \text { 1107:16, 1108:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { caused [10] - 988:5, } \\ 993: 1,993: 3,993: 9, \end{gathered}$ | 1057:1 | comparison [5] <br> 1101:19, 1102:1, | consideration [3] - <br> 1049:20, 1053:22 |
| 1114:11, 1115:12 | 1005:22, 1010:24, | clarify [2] - 1055:9, | 1102:6, 1110:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1049:20, 1053:22, } \\ & \text { 1056:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| cartridges [21] - | 1010:25, 1013:10, | 1056:13 | complete [1] - | consistent [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1027:16, 1041:7, } \\ & \text { 1041:16, 1056:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1091:23, 1097:14 } \\ & \text { ceiling [11] - } 999: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cleaned }[1]-1069: 2 \\ & \text { clear }[4]-1015: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1116:4 } \\ & \text { completed }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 1027:5, 1046:5 <br> consists [1] - |
| 1056:6, 1056:10, | 1001:1, 1001:6, | 1022:20, 1043:4, | 985:12, 987:17, | 1022:21 |
| 1059:7, 1061:5, | 1001:13, 1001:16, | 1094:7 | 989:3, 1077:25 | contact [2] - |
| 1061:8, 1063:6, | 1009:6, 1026:16, | clearly [1] - 1053:20 | completely [1] - | 1039:18, 1104:10 |
| 1063:11, 1063:13, | 1086:4, 1086:20, | Clerk [2] - 1063:21, | 1040:14 | contained [12] |
| 1063:16, 1064:18, | 1086:23, 1090:15 | 1099:1 | complicated [1] - | 987:21, 993:10, |
| 1065:2, 1065:13, | Center [1] - 987:19 | close [1] - 1111:9 | 1028:3 | 994:7, 996:15, |
| 1101:13, 1102:17, | center [2]-1004:2, | closer [1] - 1008:23 | complied [1] - | 998:12, 999:1, |
| 1107:14, 1108:13, | 1082:18 | closet [7] - 994:1, | 1081:7 | 999:22, 1003:16, |
| case [42]-984:9, | $\begin{gathered} \text { certain [10] - } \\ \text { 1013:12, 1023:9, } \end{gathered}$ | $994: 5,1087: 21,$ <br> 1087.22 1088:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { components [2] - } \\ \text { 1076:24, 1077:1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1010:2, 1015:2, } \\ & \text { 1015:3, 1040:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 989:12, 1002:3, | 1027:10, 1036:8, | 1087:22, 1088:13, 1092:19 | concerned [1] - | containing [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1007:17, 1015:14, } \\ & \text { 1019:16, 1022:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1040:25, 1054:24, } \\ & \text { 1055:21, 1055:24, } \end{aligned}$ | clothing [1] - 1034:6 | 1044:4 | $997: 25,1100: 2$ |
| 1024:1, 1024:5, | 1112:4 | clutter [1] - 996:2 <br> collect [15]-986:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concerning [1] - } \\ & \text { 1029:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { contains [2] - } \\ \text { 1099:8, 1099:17 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1024:17, 1027:11, | certainly [3] - | 994:9, 996:23, | concluded [1] | contempt [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1028:6, 1056:17, } \\ & \text { 1057:2, 1057:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1024: 23,1027: 9 \\ & 1032: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 998:10, 998:20, | 1011:23 | 984:12, 984:21 |
| 1058:4, 1062:16, | certainty [1] - | 1000:1, 1002:4, 1003:17, 1004:10 | conclusion [3]- <br> 1104:8, 1105:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { continuation }{ }_{[1]} \\ & \text { 1086:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1063:3, 1069:7, | 1055:17 | 1004:25, 1006:15, | 1109:13 | continue [1] - |
| 1073:17, 1074:24, | cetera [2]-1022:1, | 1021:20, 1024:6, | conclusions [2] - | 1038:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1079:13, 1098:11, } \\ & \text { 1098:19, 1099:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 1054:14 <br> chair [2]-1033:21 | 1079:2, 1079:3 | 1053:14, 1105:3 | Continued [1] - |
| 1099:12, 1099:18, | 1033:23 | collected [20] - <br> 997:16, 998:6 | conclusively [2] - <br> 1109:20, 1111:11 | 985:1 |
| 1100:3, 1100:6, | chambered [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 997:16, 998:6, } \\ & \text { 1005:25, 1007:12, } \end{aligned}$ | condition [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { continues [1] - } \\ & \text { 1045:24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1100:10, 1101:22, | 1027:23 | 1013:8, 1016:11, | 1010:10 | convergence [2] - |


| ```1052:7, 1055:15 conversation [2] - 1025:11, 1026:6 core [6] - 1103:14, 1104:6, 1104:8, 1104:9, 1105:6, 1107:18 corner [1] - 1089:9 correct [70] - 985:4, 985:22, 992:7, 992:9, 992:19, 994:13, 996:11, 1001:8, 1001:9, 1002:23, 1004:16, 1004:17, 1005:5, 1005:15, 1005:16, 1008:17, 1009:3, 1018:24, 1024:22, 1030:6, 1036:10, 1037:9, 1038:3, 1040:3, 1053:14, 1053:15, 1053:19, 1054:5, 1054:6, 1054:17, 1054:18, 1054:22, 1055:1, 1055:22, 1055:23, 1055:25, 1056:1, 1056:12, 1057:1, 1058:9, 1059:8, 1059:9, 1059:14, 1059:24, 1060:5, 1060:6, 1061:3, 1063:8, 1063:9, 1063:11, 1063:14, 1064:22, 1065:15, 1065:23, 1066:6, 1066:7, 1068:4, 1069:9, 1069:13, 1070:10, 1073:6, 1080:13, 1082:21, 1082:22, 1083:3, 1083:21, 1104:14, 1116:19, 1116:21, 1117:24 correctly [1] - 1115:14 correlate [3] - 989:18, 1024:24, 1038:21 corresponded [1] - 995:11 corresponding [2] - 994:18, 996:17 Counsel [1] - 1073:20 counsel [7] - 984:4, 984:5, 1043:17, 1072:4, 1074:7, 1075:18, 1075:19 count [7] - 1044:4, 1045:6, 1045:12,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1057:10, 1058:22, } \\ & \text { 1058:23, 1059:18 } \\ & \text { counter [2] - 1002:1, } \\ & \text { 1086:4 } \\ & \text { county [1]-1024:7 } \\ & \text { County [27]-986:7, } \\ & \text { 988:20, 989:19, } \\ & \text { 990:2, 990:3, 993:17, } \\ & \text { 997:8, 1002:16, } \\ & \text { 1005:9, 1007:13, } \\ & \text { 1010:19, 1010:22, } \\ & \text { 1011:6, 1015:10, } \\ & \text { 1024:3, 1024:4, } \\ & \text { 1037:12, 1046:17, } \\ & \text { 1047:4, 1048:19, } \\ & \text { 1051:18, 1052:1, } \\ & \text { 1054:2, 1073:24, } \\ & \text { 1076:18, 1077:17 } \\ & \text { County's [1]-994:16 } \\ & \text { couple [3]- 1028:12, } \\ & \text { 1034:18, 1054:10 } \\ & \text { course [3] -987:4, } \\ & \text { 1073:7, 1087:5 } \\ & \text { couRT [112]-984:3, } \\ & \text { 984:9, 991:22, } \\ & \text { 991:25, 992:2, } \\ & \text { 1007:5, 1007:8, } \\ & \text { 1007:20, 1007:22, } \\ & \text { 1009:11, 1009:13, } \\ & \text { 1010:14, 1010:16, } \\ & \text { 1014:5, 1014:7, } \\ & \text { 1014:11, 1015:17, } \\ & \text { 1015:19, 1016:3, } \\ & \text { 1016:5, 1017:24, } \\ & \text { 1018:1, 1018:4, } \\ & \text { 1018:9, 1018:13, } \\ & \text { 1018:17, 1018:19, } \\ & \text { 1018:25, 1019:6, } \\ & \text { 1019:9, 1019:11, } \\ & \text { 1019:23, 1020:1, } \\ & \text { 1022:16, 1022:18, } \\ & \text { 1025:25, 1043:10, } \\ & \text { 1043:13, 1043:17, } \\ & \text { 1043:21, 1053:7, } \\ & \text { 1064:5, 1071:24, } \\ & \text { 1072:1, 1072:9, } \\ & \text { 1072:18, 10:2:21, } \\ & \text { 1073:11, 1073:15, } \\ & \text { 1074:1, 1074:3, } \\ & \text { 1074:6, 1074:11, } \\ & \text { 1074:20, 1074:25, } \\ & \text { 1075:3, 1075:9, } \\ & \text { 1075:12, 1075:15, } \\ & \text { 1075:17, 1075:23, } \\ & \text { 1076:1, 1076:4, } \\ & \text { 1076:7, 1078:11, } \\ & \text { 1078:13, 1079:17, } \\ & \text { 1079:19, 1090:22, } \\ & \text { 1090:24, 1091:15, } \\ & \text { 10917, } \end{aligned}$ | ```1092:5, 1092:13, 1092:15, 1093:2, 1093:4, 1093:18, 1093:20, 1094:18, 1094:20, 1095:3, 1095:5, 1095:14, 1095:16, 1095:24, 1096:1, 1096:9, 1096:11, 1096:18, 1096:20, 1097:6, 1097:8, 1098:14, 1098:16, 1108:8, 1108:10, 1111:15, 1111:17, 1114:14, 1114:18, 1114:21, 1114:23, 1115:1, 1118:3, 1118:5, 1118:15, 1118:17, 1118:22, 1119:2, 1119:6 Court [2] - 984:12, 1022:13 court [3] - 984:13, 984:21, 1105:18 courts [1] - 1022:9 covered [3] - 987:22, 1067:1, 1067:18 crawl [3] - 1002:18, 1004:6, 1006:13 create [8] - 1052:1, 1052:4, 1052:24, 1052:25, 1053:1, 1053:3, 1053:13, 1055:7 created [3] - 1038:23, 1052:1, 1101:1 creating [1] - 1056:3 crime [30]-1011:21, 1015:13, 1020:20, 1021:5, 1021:9, 1021:10, 1021:12, 1021:15, 1021:19, 1021:21, 1021:24, 1022:3, 1022:8, 1022:10, 1022:12, 1022:14, 1022:20, 1022:22, 1023:7, 1023:17, 1024:6, 1024:8, 1024:20, 1025:14, 1029:18, 1029:20, 1030:2, 1030:7, 1035:22 Crime [7] - 989:19, 1007:13, 1010:19, 1010:22, 1015:10, 1022:6, 1054:2 criminalist [1] - 1078:21 Criminalist [3] -``` | ```1079:25, 1080:7, 1081:10 cross [7] - 1018:19, 1018:22, 1019:2, 1019:17, 1053:7, 1073:22, 1115:1 CROSS [2] - 1053:10, 1115:4 crosses [2] - 1048:5, 1048:6 cut [10]-991:10, 994:5, 997:14, 998:18, 998:19, 999:3, 999:12, 1001:5, 1017:6 cuts [1] - 1003:13``` <br> D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1003:22, 1004:16, } \\ & \text { 1005:4, 1005:9, } \\ & \text { 1005:15, 1005:22, } \\ & \text { 1005:24, 1006:2, } \\ & \text { 1009:20, 1010:2, } \\ & \text { 1010:24, 1010:25, } \\ & \text { 1040:20, 1049:10, } \\ & \text { 1049:16, 1050:9, } \\ & \text { 1060:4, 1080:21, } \\ & \text { 1080:25, 1081:1, } \\ & \text { 1081:23, 1082:2, } \\ & \text { 1082:3, 1083:11, } \\ & \text { 1083:12, 1084:9, } \\ & \text { 1084:11, 1084:21, } \\ & \text { 1084:22, 1084:23, } \\ & \text { 1085:9, 1085:10, } \\ & \text { 1085:24, 1085:25, } \\ & \text { 1086:5, 1086:13, } \\ & \text { 1086:18, 1086:19, } \\ & \text { 1086:20, 1086:21, } \\ & \text { 1086:22, 1087:7, } \\ & \text { 1087:8, 1087:18, } \\ & \text { 1087:19, 1088:9, } \\ & \text { 1088:10, 1088:20, } \\ & \text { 1088:21, 1089:3, } \\ & \text { 1089:4, 1089:5, } \\ & \text { 1089:8, 1089:9, } \\ & \text { 1089:21, 1089:22, } \\ & \text { 1090:5, 1090:6, } \\ & \text { 1092:18, 1097:15, } \\ & \text { 1097:19, 1097:22, } \\ & \text { 1097:23, 1098:3, } \\ & \text { 1110:8 } \\ & \text { Defect }[1]-1086: 14 \\ & \text { defects [21] - 987:7, } \\ & \text { 988:4, 988:7, 989:12, } \\ & \text { 989:20, 996:17, } \\ & \text { 1001:6, 1036:12, } \\ & \text { 1077:8, 1079:24, } \\ & \text { 1080:1, 1080:2, } \\ & \text { 1080:4, 1080:5, } \\ & \text { 1080:6, 1080:11, } \\ & \text { 1080:17, 1081:12, } \\ & \text { 1089:4, 1091:3, } \\ & \text { 1091:8 } \\ & \text { defendant [3] - } \\ & \text { 984:4, 1074:7, } \\ & \text { 1075:18 } \\ & \text { Defendant's [2] - } \\ & \text { 1072:10 } \\ & \text { defense [2] - } \\ & \text { 1018:22, 1073:14 } \\ & \text { define [4] - 1023:8, } \\ & \text { 1032:18, 1033:18, } \\ & \text { 1103:8, } \\ & \text { definitive [1] - } \\ & \text { 1045:9 } \\ & \text { degres } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




| familiarize [2] - | 1061:22, 1061:25, | 1071:3, 1071:20, | 1004:1, 1004:22, | 1103:10, 1107:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1106:1, 1106:18 } \\ & \text { family [2] - 1005:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1062:5, 1062:6, } \\ & \text { 1063:18, 1063:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1076:25, 1077:2, } \\ & \text { 1077:3, 1089:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1006:14, 1026:9, } \\ & \text { 1029:22, 1031:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1109:17 } \\ & \text { fragments [6] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1012:11 | 1071:10, 1071:15, | 1101:2, 1101:8, | 1032:1, 1032:16, | 986:2, 1108:19, |
| far [18]-986:14, | 1076:21, 1076:23, | 1101:13, 1101:17, | 1034:1, 1035:7, | 1108:20, 1109:9, |
| 999:23, 1032:20, | 1076:24, 1076:25, | 1101:24, 1102:16, | 1038:5, 1039:1, | 1113:3, 1118:10 |
| 1041:2, 1041:3, | 1077:1, 1077:16, | 1102:23, 1103:1, | 1040:11, 1040:22, | frame [1] - 1003:12 |
| 1061:22, 1062:16, | 1078:8, 1098:10, | 1103:5, 1103:10, | 1041:20, 1041:23, | framing [1] - 996:20 |
| 1062:18, 1068:16, | 1098:11, 1099:8, | 1103:17, 1103:18, | 1043:9, 1046:2, | free [1]-1033:18 |
| 1079:22, 1080:15, | 1099:9, 1099:10, | 1104:6, 1104:8, | 1046:6, 1046:12 | front [46]-985:14, |
| 1086:25, 1115:14, | 1100:3, 1101:12, | 1104:16, 1104:18, | 1046:15, 1058:21, | 986:24, 989:23, |
| 1116:16, 1116:18, | 1101:17, 1101:24, | 1104:19, 1104:22, | 1061:19, 1061:23, | 990:12, 990:13, |
| 1117:14, 1117:17, | 1102:17, 1102:19, | 1104:24, 1104:25, | 1062:10, 1062:14, | 990:14, 990:15, |
| 1117:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1103:18, 1104:8, } \\ & \text { 1104:10, 1104:12, } \end{aligned}$ | 1105:6, 1105:8, | 1062:19, 1067:3, | 990:24, 991:17, |
| 990:24 | 1104:17, 1104:24, | 1105:15, 1105:19, | 1067:20, 1089:22, | 1008:24, 1009:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Federal }[1]-987: 19 \\ & \text { feet }[13]-1004: 21 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1106:11, 1107:4, } \\ & \text { 1114:4, 1115:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1105:22, 1105:23, } \\ & \text { 1106:5, 1106:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1091:4, 1091:11, } \\ & \text { 1096:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1026:9, 1035:9, } \\ & \text { 1040:4, 1040:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1032:23, 1033:1, | 1116:1 | 1106:21, 1107:4, | floors [1] - 987:8 | 1040:9, 1040:10, |
| 1042:10, 1067:1, | firearms [11] - | 1107:8, 1107:16, | flowing [2] - 1035:2, | 1041:19, 1042:9, |
| 1067:3, 1067:4, | 1062:15, 1098:19, | 1108:4, 1108:14, | 1039:3 | 1042:14, 1043:1, |
| 1067:18, 1068:7, | 1098:21, 1098:22, | 1108:18, 1109:3, | focus [1] - 992:20 | 1043:5, 1056:5, |
| 1068:8, 1068:14, | 1099:2, 1099:7, | 1109:5, 1109:6, | follow [2]-1012:4, | 1059:22, 1060:1, |
| 1068:25, 1069:3 | 1099:18, 1100:11, | 1109:10, 1109:11, | 1072:18 | 1080:23, 1081:18, |
| fell [1] - 1041:3 | 1100:17, 1114:7, | 1109:20, 1110:12, | follow-up [1] - | 1082:7, 1082:11, |
| felt [1] - 996:2 | 1115:7 | 1110:19, 1111:20, | 1072:18 | 1084:17, 1084:18, |
| few [2]-1024:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Firearms [1] - } \\ & \text { 1077:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1112:4, 1112:18, } \\ & \text { 1113:3, 1116:17, } \end{aligned}$ | follows [2] - 1020:7, 1076:13 | 1085:15, 1085:18, 1085:19, 1089:13 |
| fiberglass [3] - | fired [127] - 986:2, | 1116:18, 1117:23 | fooling [1] - 1117:5 | 1089:23, 1090:2, |
| $988: 4,992: 24,993: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1024:24, 1025:1, } \\ & \text { 1025:2, 1025:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fireplace [9] - } \\ \text { 1035:16, 1036:5, } \end{gathered}$ | $\text { foot }[4]-1036: 6 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1090:7, 1090:9, } \\ & \text { 1091:3, 1091:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| figure [1] - 1074:15 | 1025:8, 1025:17 | 1037:1, 1050:14, | 1067:22 | 1096:23, 1096:25, |
| file ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1050:25 | 1026:15, 1026:23, | 1089:9, 1089:14, 1089-18, 1095:10, | footprint [3] - | 1117:4 |
| filed [2]-984:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1027:12, 1027:18, } \\ & \text { 1028:6, 1028:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1089:18, 1095:10, } \\ & \text { 1096:14 } \end{aligned}$ | 1068:3, 1068:12, | $\begin{gathered} \text { full }[7]-1099: 21, \\ \text { 1099:24, 1102:10, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1046:17 <br> final [4] - 1045:6, | 1040:19, 1041:9, | fires [1] - 1110:10 | footprints [1] - | 1109:4, 1112:5, |
| 1045:7, 1057:9, | 1041:21, 1042:2, | firing $[1]-1030: 5$ | 1066:22 | 1112:8, 1112:19 |
| 1058:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1042:25, 1043:3, } \\ & \text { 1044:24, 1045:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { first [15] - 1020:6, } \\ \text { 1030:10, 1035:6, } \end{gathered}$ | Forensic [1] - 1024:4 | fully [3]-1027:17, 1090:9, 1094:16 |
| finally [1] - 1090:5 <br> finder [2] - 1047:19, | 1046:3, 1046:5, | $1035: 14,1040: 6$ | forensic [8] - 1021:3, 1021:4, 1023:4, | functioning [1] - |
| 1047:21 | 1046:10, 1046:12, | 1054:21, 1066:5, | 1023:23, 1024:7, | 1100:20 |
| finders [1] - 1042:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1049:17, 1051:24, } \\ & \text { 1052:10, 1054:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1071:9, 1076:12, } \\ & \text { 1078:5, 1098:10, } \end{aligned}$ | 1029:6, 1076:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { functions [1] - } \\ & \text { - } 100: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { findings }[3]-1054: 5, \\ & 1054: 9,1081: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 1054:21, 1056:15, | 1100:11, 1101:6, | 1078:25 <br> forensically | 1100:15 |
| fine [3] - 984:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1056:17, 1056:19, } \\ & \text { 1056:20, 1056:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1101:16, 1114:7 } \\ \text { FIS [4] - 986:7, } \end{gathered}$ | 1054:18 | G |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fingerprinting }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 1021:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 1057:12, 1057:14, | 986:9, 997:8, 1005:9 five [14]-1048:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1054:22 } \\ & \text { forgot }[1]-1029: 16 \end{aligned}$ | gain [1] - 986:20 |
| fingerprints [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1057:21, 1058:3, } \\ & \text { 1058:10, 1058:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $1048: 25,1049: 1$ 1049:4. 1052:3. | forth [1] - 1111:22 | gained [1] - 986:22 <br> garage [7]-992:12, |
| 1074:22 | 1059:10, 1060:7, | 1064:19, 1065:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { four [5] - 1032:23, } \\ & \text { 1045:1, 1052:11, } \end{aligned}$ | 992:16, 993:10, |
| finish [1] - 1050:22 <br> fire [8]-1048:16, | 1061:5, 1061:7 | 1065:16, 1071:10, | 1055:7, 1077:22 | 1009:20, 1012:5, |
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THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: We had a rapid test this morning to determine if a possible witness had COVID. He does not, so we are able to go ahead.

If you are watching by Zoom and you are a witness in this case, you will be in violation of the Court's order that you not watch, unless the Court has specifically given you permission to watch.

You cannot record this hearing or rebroadcast it in any fashion on the internet. If you are watching the proceedings by Zoom, the Court is keeping a record of the login information that will be filed in the case.

We are on -- about to start Mr. Woodbury's cross examination, or recross? You're on recross.

MR. WOODBURY: It's cross, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Cross. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. WOODBURY:

Q Detective Stake, you were the lead detective in this case?

A For the majority of it, yes, sir.
Q Okay. And part of it you weren't?
A That's correct.
Q When was that?
A From the 7th until the morning of the 9th of July, 2018.

Q And from the 7th through the 9th, you were involved, but you weren't the lead detective?

A That's correct.
Q All right.
THE COURT: Can everybody hear? No. I'm not sure it's turned on over here.

THE BAILIFF: It's our end over here.
Go ahead and try it.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Can you define what the duties of a lead detective are?

A Basically, the lead -- excuse me, the lead
duties of the detective, or the duties of a lead detective, I should say, are to basically organize all the efforts of the investigators and ensure that the process is completed, of the investigation.

Q And are you obliged to read and attempt to understand the reports or -- the verbal or written -that are being submitted to you, and make determinations about where the investigation ought to go, which direction it ought to go?

A I would say that depends, sir.
Q And depends on what?
A If I were to have a briefing directly with an investigator, say, after an interview or after some process, I may not review that report as closely as somebody that $I$ didn't have a direct debriefing from. THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Woodbury. Before we go any further, for the record, we are back on the State's case. This is Nick Stake with the sheriff's office, who was the State's witness. And we are now on Mr. Woodbury's cross examination. Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury. MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: At some point, I assume, you have a -- an idea of which direction, what's the next thing you ought to do, or the other thing you ought to
do as you become more and more acquainted with the interior part of the case?

A As the case develops, yes, you have a direction that you generally take based on what information and facts you have at the time.

Q Okay. And your involvement with this case started with you traveling to 2821 Wrangler Circle?

A That's not correct, no, sir.
Q What was correct?
A I'm sorry? I couldn't hear you.
Q What was -- how did it start?
A At first my involvement in this case started with a phone call, and then $I$ responded to the Northeastern Nevada Regional Hospital first.

Q Oh, all right.
And there you met up with, I suppose, another officer?

A Yes, I did.
Q All right. And the determination was made to do what, to you?

What were you supposed to do?
A At that time, $I$ was still trying to understand what was going on when $I$ met that initial officer at NNRH.

Q Okay. But you knew there was a shooting?

A That was my understanding, yes, sir.
Q And you could see Mr. Smith there?
A I'm sorry? I couldn't hear you.
Q Could you see Mr. Smith there?
A I did, yes.
Q Yeah.
And did you make a determination that he had been wounded by a gunshot?

A Not a conclusive determination, no, sir.
Q But that knowledge came to you very rapidly after that, right?

A That was my understanding, yes.
Q Yeah.
And so you were under the impression that Mr. Honeyestewa had been involved in the shooting?

A It was my understanding that he was possibly involved, yes, sir.

Q Yeah.
And so what you did was you got a -- you did some testing on him, or at least preliminarily, took some examination of evidence from him?

A I did collect evidence from him, yes, sir.
Q And what did you collect?
A I collected several items of clothing and shoes that were provided from the Elko Fire Department. I
also collected a gunshot residue kit of his hands.
Q All right. And the gunshot residue kit simply, what, tests his hands to determine if there's gunshot residue on them?

A The deposit collection, or the gunshot residue collection kit in and of itself is not a test, it is a collection of potential evidence.

Q Okay. And the idea, as you understand it, is that if you fire a gun and residue stays on your hands for a period of time?

A There's a possibility of that, yes, sir.
Q How long is that period of time?
A I've -- from the studies I've read, I've seen it vary from a short period of time, depending on environmental conditions, if you've washed your hands or not, all the way up to five hours. On some objects it lasts much longer.

Q A short period being how long?
A I couldn't quantify short period, per se. But I would be under the understanding if the environmental conditions where you wash your hands immediately after, it can be gone almost instantaneously.

Q Okay. Then as I understand it, you learned of the potential involvement, somehow, of Jennifer Stanger?

A I did.
Q And you were gonna test her for gunshot residue, as well?

A That was my original intent, was to collect a gunshot residue kit from her, as well.

Q All right. But it turned out that you had a kit -- that the only other kit that you had was -something was wrong with it, right?

A The kit was not wrong, per se, or there was nothing wrong with the kit. But I had opened both of them simultaneously. Because my past practice with those kits, I did not realize that we had new kits. So I broke seals on both kits at the same time.

Q Okay. And so the gunshot residue swab, I guess is what it is, was not taken from Ms. Stanger?

A That's correct.
Q All right. Was that because you didn't want to go get another kit, or what was the reason for that?

A At the time, $I$ had several other things that were happening simultaneously. And I made the determination that based on the fact that $I$ had those other things going on, as well as $I$ didn't know where the other officers were allocated, it was my understanding that the officers that we did have that were allocated to this case were busy doing other
things, securing other scenes.
Q And so what were the other things that were going on?

A Well, we did have the -- we still had evidence that people were securing for me in the hospital, itself, in the emergency room. I met with Ms. Stanger outside of the emergency room, so there were different individuals there.

There was also -- at that time $I$ believe we had 3 -- 3020 Bohobi scene that was active, and we had a number of law enforcement personnel that were securing that. And we also had 2821 Wrangler Circle scene at that point, which was being secured.

Q But your responsibility at that point was simply to go to the hospital and collect evidence?

A At that point in time, $I$ felt like my responsibility was just to figure out what was going on.

Q Okay. And Ms. Stanger was acting kind of peculiar?

A I couldn't say if she was acting peculiar, but she was definitely animated, yes, sir.

Q She was animated.
Did you take a -- an analysis of her blood at
all?

A I did not, no.
Q And you could have actually asked the hospital personnel to do that?

A I couldn't speculate at what $I$ could have done at that point in time.

Q You couldn't speculate? You mean, you don't know, as a detective with the Elko County Sheriff's Office, whether you can ask a nurse or somebody like that at the hospital to take a blood sample?

A Well, I would have to make sure that it was done in accordance with the law. And based on the facts and circumstances that $I$ had at the time, I wouldn't feel comfortable making a conclusion on whether $I$ could or could not have done it.

Q I mean, you could have asked Ms. Stanger, Can I do that, right?

A I could have.
Q But you didn't?
A I did not.
Q Okay. And you could have asked her permission, Can $I$ have one of these people, qualified people, a nurse or something, take the blood sample?

A I could have, yes.
Q And then there's a -- just a stand-up test where you look at her and her eyes and see if there's
any problems that are indicated by alcohol?
A There is. However, I was not gonna do that at that point in time.

Q Well, I understand you didn't do it. But the reason is because?

A I'm sorry, what was that, sir?
Q Why didn't you do it at that time?
A Based on the -- based on the information that $I$ had at the time and the thing that $I$ was trying to get accomplished, $I$ simply didn't do it.

Q Okay. Do you remember who the officers were that you talked up there to get apprised of everything going on?

A The initial deputy that $I$ met with was Deputy Reed. And after that, at some point, Lieutenant McKinney arrived. And that's when I learned of Ms. Stanger.

Q Okay. And you collected Mr. Honeyestewa's clothes?

A What was purported to me to be Mr. Honeyestewa's clothes, yes, sir.

Q And who purported that to be his clothes?
A At the point in time there was a fire department personnel, I wasn't sure of her name. She had handed me some things while $I$ was dealing with
trying to collect a photo of Mr. Honeyestewa. And then later on, $I$ learned her name to be Erin Coleman, I believe.

Q And what did you do with Mr. Honeyestewa's clothes?

A After I learned that Mr. Honeyestewa's clothes were there, $I$ had several large paper bags and different types of packaging. And at that point, I separated the clothing out, put them in the paper bag, and secured them in a large evidence bin that $I$ got out of my car.

Q Okay. And then you took them, or had them taken, back to the sheriff's office and had them put in an evidence vault of some sort?

A At sometime later that evening, I put them in the evidence dryer, because they were contaminated with biologic material, and then later on booked them into evidence.

Q Biological material being what?
A It appeared to me that there might have been some -- some blood or something that had (inaudible) the clothes.

Q And did you examine his pants?
A I'm sorry? What?
Q Did you examine his pants?

A Other than a visual examination and collecting photographs, I didn't examine them further.

Q And you didn't ultimately send his clothing down to Washoe County for testing?

A I would have to look at all of the items of evidence that $I$ sent down, but $I$ don't recall sending them into evidence right now.

Q Well, you testified on cross examination about the vast majority of things that you did send to Washoe County, but the pants weren't mentioned.

Does that help you at all?
A Yeah, I don't -- I don't remember whether they were sent or not.

Q Okay. How long did you stay at the Elko -- or the Northeast Nevada Regional Medical Center?

A I believe approximately -- and I would have to look at my report to be sure. But it was at least an hour, maybe a little bit more.

Q Okay. And then where did you go?
A After $I$ was at the Northeastern Nevada Regional Hospital, I responded to the 3020 Bohobi scene on the Elko Indian colony.

Q And what was your job in going there? What were you anticipating doing?

A Just assessing what that scene appeared to be,
and what resources or processing might need to be done. Q Okay. And at that point, who was -- who was the lead detective at that point?

A At that point, $I$ don't know if there was anybody that specifically had been appointed the lead detective. I know that Lieutenant McKinney had already conducted a lot of the investigation and at that point was making judgement calls based on what needed to be done in certain areas.

Q And what did you do up at Bohobi Street?
A When I arrived at Bohobi Street, the Bohobi Street address, I met with Sergeant Locuson of the Elko Police Department. If I recall, I also met with Sergeant Nick Czegledi of the Elko County Sheriff's Department. They gave me a short briefing on what their observations were and the size of the scene, who was securing the scene, that sort of thing. And then $I$ conducted a short walk-through of the Bohobi scene.

Q And what was the purpose in the walk-through?
A Again, just to assess what the scene was, the size, what resources might be needed of that, and just a general preliminary assessment at that point.

Q Okay. There was an automobile up there that you were briefed on as potentially being involved in all of this?

A That's correct.
Q And what did you do with respect to that automobile?

A At that point in time, I just looked at it from the exterior. And since it was contained within the crime scene, as I understood where the parameter -- or the boundaries of the crime scene were, other than looking at it and being told that it was potentially involved, at that time $I$ didn't do anything with it.

Q Okay. And then what caused you to leave the Bohobi?

A I was informed, and I don't recall who, but somebody had informed me that at the Wrangler Circle address there were a couple of dogs that were running around and they were having difficulty securing the dogs, getting them out of the crime scene area.

Q Uh-huh.
And so you went down to 2821 Wrangler and you found the dog or dogs?

A They had already been located by the deputies that were on scene there. But I did arrive at that -to assist with -- I did arrive at that address to assist with the dogs.

Q What did you do with the dogs?
A I personally didn't do anything with the dogs.

But it's my understanding that Deputy Williams
obtained -- or contained one of the dogs, and there was still another one that was still running around the crime scene when I showed up.

Q Okay. And the -- what you needed to do mostly was keep them out of the house, right?

A That was my intent, yes.
Q Were you able to determine where they came from?

A I did not. I don't know.
Q Were you able to determine whose dogs they were?

A I don't recall ever actually looking into that, sir.

Q That night?
A That's correct.
Q But when you became lead detective, it came to your knowledge that the dogs belonged to Mr. Smith and Ms. Stanger, or both of them?

A I don't remember.
Q You don't?
A I don't.

Q Did you have an interaction with Mr. Aguirre?
A I did.
Q And did you talk to him about the dogs?

A I don't remember if $I$ talked to him about the dogs or not.

Q And was your interaction with Mr. Aguirre after you became lead detective?

A That's correct.
Q You were corporal at that time?
A That's correct.
Q Uh-huh.
And did you consider that Mr. Aguirre -- and Mr. Aguirre had said he hadn't seen the dogs, or heard them bark, or any sense of that?

A I'm sorry, what was your question?
Q Did you take into account, or do you recall that Mr. Aguirre said he had never seen the dogs or heard the dogs barking during the incident?

A I don't remember that. I did not interview Mr. Aguirre.

Q I understand that.
But you're -- by the 9th, you were the lead detective, right?

A That's correct.
Q Didn't it dawn on you that the dogs not being around was peculiar, where Mr. Aguirre wouldn't have heard them?

A I don't remember that being in my state of mind
at the time, no, sir.
Q Well, isn't it standard police knowledge that if you've got a couple of dogs, at least one of them is fairly aggressive, that you might do a little better if somebody is trying to burglarize your house if you have a mean dog around?

A I couldn't speculate as to how somebody might respond to a dog during any sort of commission of a crime.

Q You couldn't speculate about that? They don't teach you that?

A I'm sorry, what's that?
Q They don't teach you that, logic and common sense?

A I would like to think that they do, sir.
Q So it never -- you ultimately talked to Jennifer Stanger?

A I did, yes.
Q Yeah.
Did you ever ask her, where were them dogs at?
A I did not, no.
Q Did it come to your attention at some point that there were allegations -- not allegations, there was some potential that Ms. Stanger might have been involved in all of this, other than just as an occupant
of the house?
A That is one avenue to this investigation, yes, sir.

Q And who investigated that avenue?
A A number of different investigators.
Q All right. Did any of them -- did you ever see any report that -- where Ms. Stanger was asked, Well, what happened to those dogs? How come they weren't involved?

A Without reviewing the whole case file, I don't remember.

Q So I guess that you would not have necessarily looked at someplace the dogs could be housed during an incident like that?

A I'm sorry?
Q You didn't look around and see where dogs might be kept, or where they had been kept during the time of this incident so they -- they didn't get involved?

A I don't specifically remember looking into that, no, sir.

Q And then as $I$ recall on direct examination, you said you went to the back of the house as part of the -- part of your duties, the back portion of the property?

A Yes, sir.

Q Yeah.
And you looked around, and you didn't see anything of consequence?

A Not in the areas that $I$ taped off, no, sir.
Q And where -- where were those areas?
A There was basically two fence lines between the residences to -- as you're facing the front of the house, to the right and the left. The rear portion of the property line, from where $I$ tied off the crime scene tape on one side, walked out into the field, taped off a couple of areas of sagebrush, and then back off to the opposite property line of the Wrangler Circle address.

Q All right. And $I$ think my question concerned whether you found anything of interest out there, or did anything look peculiar?

A There was nothing that $I$ noticed while $I$ was taping it off.

Q There were footprints out there, was there?
A I'm sorry? What was that?
Q There were footprints out there you saw?
A Other than mine, $I$ didn't see any others.
Q Really? You knew that the officers that cleared the house had come back there, right?

A I knew they had gone to the back of the house,
but the area that $I$ taped off, $I$ didn't observe any footprints.

Q And so when Ms. Stanger, in a subsequent interview, told you about a guy that had been standing out there by the back fence with a light on, a cell phone light on, did you go back out there and see if you could locate any evidence that might tell you who that guy was?

A No, I did not.
Q Did you send somebody out to do it?
A I did not.
Q How come?
A The length of time between the interview that $I$ had done with Ms. Stanger and from when the incident was alleged to have occurred, had been enough time that I didn't think it prudent at that point in time.

Q Well, the guy that had the cell phone back there could have dropped something that was not going to be harmed by the passage of a few days?

A I couldn't speculate as to whether that could or couldn't have happened.

Q You didn't need to speculate.
You could have sent somebody up there to look,
right?
A I could have, yes, sir.

Q But you didn't?
A I did not.
Q Your interview with Ms. Stanger, did she tell you that she had seen a guy, didn't know who it was, and didn't inquire as to who would be in her backyard?

A That I didn't inquire or her?
Q She didn't. She didn't inquire who it was, didn't know who it was and didn't ask?

A I remember that portion of the interview. I'm not quite sure what your question is.

Q Well, I guess my question subsequently is why that didn't trigger in your mind some kind of additional thought that Ms. Stanger might be involved in all of this?

A Based on that, $I$ can't -- I can't say what my state of mind was when we were doing the interview.

Q Uh-huh.
Well, were you aware Ms. Stanger said the back door was locked when she come around, and she had to go down to the other back door to get in?

A I do recall her saying something about the back door.

Q And I assume that when the officers who went out and checked told you that the back door was actually unlocked, $I$ assume that triggered in your mind
that maybe there's more to it than this?
A No, sir, $I$ can't say that it would.
Q Okay. Then $I$ assume that you read or listened to Detective McKinney's interview of Mr. Honeyestewa?

A At some point in time, $I$ did, yes.
Q And I assume that you became aware of the fact that Ms. Stanger had turned the light on in the closet of the master bedroom?

A I recall there being conversation about the light being on in the closet of the master bedroom, but I don't recall the nature of that.

Q Okay. And did you listen to the -- or become aware that approximately a week earlier Ms. Stanger had taken Mr. Tieres Lopez back to the gun safe located in that closet?

A I knew that she had showed Mr. Lopez some firearms. I don't recall the exact location that was specified in that interview.

Q And did you recall that she showed him the keys to the gun safe?

A At some point in time, $I$ do remember reading that in one of the transcripts.

Q Yeah.
And did it occur to you that -- and she
admitted that she turned the light on, right, she was
the person who had done it, right?
A I don't recall. As I said, I recall the conversation about the light in the master bedroom closet, but $I$ don't recall who turned it on.

Q Well, did it occur to you that if she had instigated and participated in this theft of the guns, that she might have left the light on, turned the light on, so that Mr. Lopez wouldn't have so much trouble finding the keys and unlocking that gun safe, right?

A I couldn't quantify that, but I suppose it's possible.

Q Yeah. Well, you guys have been prosecuting this based on a theory that Tieres Lopez went up there to steal guns, right?

A I haven't prosecuted anything.
Q Well, don't you have conversations with these folks?

A Yes. I supply my reports, and I have conversations with them at times about my observations.

Q Yes. And when you -- you keep track of what they charged and how they've charged it, I assume?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And when it lines -- I assume that if it doesn't line up with something you're looking at as an investigator, you would point that out to them,
wouldn't you?
A I'm -- I'm unsure how to answer that question, sir.

Q Well, I guess what I'm suggesting is, aren't you and the prosecutors kind of lined up in the same line?

A Personally, $I$ don't view it that way.
Q How do you view it?
A My job as a detective is to make observations and gather facts. And $I$ view my job as providing that both to the prosecution and the defense. And anything, any conclusions or defenses or prosecutions that arise from that are basically from each side's point of view, moving forward with my observations and facts and work.

Q But if you see a prosecutor making what you think to be a mistake, I assume you call that to their attention?

A I couldn't comment on what a mistake is or is not at that point.

Q Were you aware that a separate full magazine of 40 caliber shells was located in the master bedroom on a nightstand?

A I don't remember exactly if there was or was not.

Q And so you wouldn't then know whether that
magazine was collected?
A I would have to look at the evidence that was collected in this case to make certain.

Q All right. Well, you were aware, were you not, that Mr. Honeyestewa was claiming to have only had five, six, seven, eight shells in his gun?

A I do recall comments of that nature, yes, sir.
Q Yeah.
And you recall him saying that Mr. Lopez had picked the gun up, his gun up, after he had been hit, right?

A I do recall comments of that nature, as well.
Q And you recall seeing the count of bullets, or at least shell casings, that matched the subcompact that belonged to Mr. Honeyestewa, claimed he owned?

You saw at least 12 shell casings located in the residence?

A I believe that -- I didn't see that in the residence, but $I$ do recall 12 being collected.

Q Yeah. And did it occur to you that if Mr. Lopez had fired shots, and all that were in the magazine were eight when Mr. Honeyestewa had it, there had to be another four shells that came from someplace?

A I'm sorry, I was having a hard time following that, sir.

Q Okay. My understanding is that Mr. Honeyestewa told you there were six, seven, or eight shells in the casing -- or in the magazine in the subcompact, right?

A Correct.
Q And you recall there being 12 shell casings identified basically as having come from being fired by the subcompact, right?

A That's correct.
Q Which means that four additional shells had come from someplace, at least four, right?

A I would have to assume that, yes.
Q And did it occur to you that if Mr. Honeyestewa was being honest with you, you might look around a little bit and see where those other shell casings might have come from?

A I'm not sure how to answer that, because they were basically matched to the gun.

Q I know they were basically matched to the gun. But if Mr. Honeyestewa isn't lying through his teeth about Mr. Lopez picking that gun up and shooting it, you still need to find the other four shells, the source of the other four shells, right?

A I don't know if $I$ would be able to do that.
Q Well, it seems unlikely that Mr. Lopez would have had the good luck to have brought 12 -- or 40
caliber shells with him in his pocket, right?
A I couldn't assume where he could or could not have obtained any ammunition.

Q Well, isn't it sometimes the illogic of what these suspects or these criminal defendants tell you something, you look at pretty carefully?

A The logic or illogic?
Q The illogic.
A The logic?
Q The illogic.
Honeyestewa is telling you there's eight shells in the gun, and you know from scientific fact there are 12 shells that have been fired out of that gun.

Doesn't it somewhere dawn on you that you might try to look to see where that other four shells came from?

A No, sir, actually it didn't, and it probably wouldn't.

Q Were fingerprints taken from the shell casing?
A I would have to look at the processing. I do not believe that $I$ requested fingerprints on the shell casings that $I$ submitted.

Q Do you recall it being reported that there were -- there was loose hair in the hand of Mr. Smith?

A I don't know anything about that, sir.

Q You don't recall ever having read such a thing?
A I do not, no.
Q Is there a particular reason that would have escaped your attention?

A I can't say whether there was a particular reason or not, but $I$ don't recall that, no.

Q And did you know that Mr. Smith had bruises and contusions not related -- relatively fresh bruises and contusions, not related to being shot with a gun?

A I don't recall that, no, sir.
Q Did you ever -- would it be standard practice for a detective to look at those hairs and to maybe get an analysis of those hairs to see who they belonged to?

A I would say it depends, sir.
Q It depends on what?
A It depends if, in looking at all of the evidence with the hairs, if there was any information that those might be important to the case. Then they might be sent off.

If there wasn't anything that would lead me to believe those needed to be processed for something, I probably wouldn't.

Q Well, by the time all of this came to pass when you were the lead detective, this guy was telling you straight out, right, that he was being informed that

Jennifer Stanger and Speedy Lopez had set this thing up, right?

A At some point he did, yes, sir.
Q And part of the setup was Bradley Smith was gonna die, right?

A Yes. I believe in the information $I$ had.
Q And you would maybe think that if the hair in his hand belonged to Jennifer Smith -- or Jennifer Stanger, and he had been in an altercation with somebody and had bruising on his back and that that was relatively recent, you might want to know that?

A All information would be helpful. But my understanding, your question, it did not seem to apply to what $I$ was investigating at the time.

Q Okay. You found -- well, when did you first go in the residence?

A I didn't go into the residence -- are you talking about the Wrangler Circle address?

Q Yes.
A I didn't go into the Wrangler Circle address until a brief walk-through with Ray Smith, I believe it was close to the end of September, beginning of October.

Q And after July 7th, what you just told me is
you didn't go back into the residence until late September, did you say?

A I believe that's when I met with Mr. Smith, Ray Smith.

Q And as $I$ understand it, the residence was released to whoever the owner was, I guess, what, on July 9?

A I don't recall when it was released, but $I$ know it was released somewhat -- fairly quick after FIS had completed their work.

Q Okay. And is that typical, that you would release it two days later in a homicide case?

A That has happened before in the past.
Q All right. But you -- I assume you acknowledge that's kind of a bad idea?

A It's a practice $I$ prefer not to follow.
Q And then are you the -- you kind of became, as lead detective, the evidence custodian of all the stuff that was taken out of the house, and all of the new physical evidence that was around?

A I wouldn't define myself as the evidence custodian, but $I$ did track the evidence as part of the case, yes, sir.

Q And you're the guy who requested the testing that you wanted done on everything?

A I believe I did request most, if not all, of the tests (inaudible) performed on the evidence we had.

Q Was there ever an occasion that you recall that the detectives in the case sat down and had a roundtable discussion about what exactly must have happened with the individuals who were in the house during the course of this event?

A Am I aware of the roundtable? Was that your question?

Q Did a roundtable discussion ever happen?
A We had several meetings with a number of different investigators throughout the beginning stage of the investigation.

Q And did -- in those roundtable discussions, did it begin, or have included within it, a question of how many -- how -- where the incident began, like the shooting began?

A I don't recall specifics of there being talk of exactly where the shooting had begun, just the observations that were made about it.

Q Well, Ms. Stanger had given at least McKinney, and probably you, a statement about where things had begun, where she was at, where Mr. Smith was at when the house door came open and the bedroom came open?

A That's correct, yes, sir.

Q All right. And that would have been in the master bedroom, as $I$ understand it, is where they were, Ms. Stanger --

A That was a portion of the incident, yes, sir.
Q I'm sorry?
A It's my understanding that they were located there during a portion of the incident.

Q And that would be at the beginning of the incident?

A My understanding, yes, sir.
Q Why only might it be?
A What's that?
Q Why only might it have been?
A Depending on what you're considering the incident, yourself, I don't know what you're looking for, sir.

Q The incident, itself, is when Stanger and Mr. Smith were laying in bed and the front door comes open.

A Correct.
Q Where were they?
A I'm not sure who you're asking about, sir.
Q Stanger and Smith.
A They were in the master bedroom.
Q All right. That would be the beginning of it,
right?
A Correct.
Q So unless -- or did you ever come up with a theory that that was somehow untrue, that it didn't begin in the master bedroom?

A I can't say that $I$ ever came up with a theory, no, sir.

Q Did you ever come up with any physical facts?
A Not that I could recall, no.
Q So as far as you're concerned, the -- there is truth to the matter of what Ms. Stanger said about where the event began?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, I'm gonna object. He's asking this witness to judge the credibility and the truthfulness of another witness. It's not permissible.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: I'm not asking about the truth unless I'm asking about the conclusion.

THE COURT: Your question asks about the truth, though, so I'm going to sustain the objection based on the form of the question. And try it again.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Was your conclusion that the event began, based on what Ms. Stanger had told you, in the master bedroom?

A I couldn't say that it was my conclusion, but
my observations supported that it began that way.
Q Then was any blood found on the floor or on the walls in the master bedroom, that you recall?

A Not that $I$ recall, no.
Q Uh-huh.
Is there a conclusion you can draw from that -let me go back a little bit.

Do you know what Mr. Smith was wearing when he was shot?

A I do not, no. I wasn't there.
Q All right. But you observed him at the hospital?

A I did.
Q What did you observe?
A When $I$ walked into the emergency room where he was laying on the gurney, $I$ observed him with a dark pair of underwear that he was wearing, and $I$ don't recall seeing anything else on him.

Q Okay. And you became familiar with the reports of various officers who provided him medical treatment, and then Mr. Hassett, who provided medical treatment?

A I don't recall the Mr. Hassett report, but I do recall reviewing the initial responding officers.

Q Did you ever read anything that suggested that Mr. Smith was wearing something more than the boxer
shorts?
A I don't remember seeing anything contained in those reports of that.

Q Okay. And would you expect, from your experience and training, that had Mr. Smith been shot with a 40 caliber weapon, or even a 9 millimeter weapon in the master bedroom, you would have expected to see some sign of blood either on the floor, on the wall, or on some of the furniture or something else?

A I would say it's not outside the realm of the possibilities, but $I$ have seen bullet wounds in the past, from my experience, where people do not bleed immediately. It depends on the wound, itself.

Q It depends on the wound, itself?
A Correct. It's just been my experience with that.

Q Okay. And, then, was it ever part of the investigation, or ever any part of the conclusions that you or the other officers who were in a roundtable discussion reached, as to where Mr. Smith had gone from the master bedroom?

A We didn't make any conclusions, that $I$ recall. But our observation was that he ended up in the living room. So he moved from the master bedroom to the living room area of the residence.

Q Well, you knew, and at least figured out from the scientific reports, that he had made it all the way to the door of the dining room, right?

A I'm sorry? What was that, sir?
Q You knew from the reports that you received that his blood was found from the door into the dining room, right?

A I don't remember there being blood found on the door in the dining room.

Q On the floor going into the dining room.
A Yes, I do recall there being some blood in that area, yes, sir.

Q All right. Well, quite a bit of blood?
A I couldn't quantify it. I don't remember.
Q And as a part of the roundtable discussions, were you -- were the officers trying to figure out what in the hell his name he was going from the master bedroom to the dining room for?

A I couldn't recall if there was any of that discussion during the roundtable meetings.

Q And you knew, you saw pictures of the trajectory of the shells that had hit the wall and purportedly hit Mr. Smith as he moved along the west wall?

A I did. I don't recall when.

Q And would it be a fair conclusion that you reached that if he wanted to avoid being shot, he should have stayed in the master bedroom?

A I couldn't comment on what tactics would be best with gunfire in that situation, sir.

Q Well, the gunfire was coming from near the floor, was it not, or at or on the floor from the threshold of the front door, right?

A It would appear that way from the observations that I've made, but $I$ couldn't be conclusive of that.

Q All right. But it doesn't take much thought, does it, to establish that if you don't want to be shot by a guy laying on the floor at 2821 Wrangler Circle, you're at the front door, that it's hard to shoot you if you're in the bedroom, right?

A Again, $I$ couldn't comment on the tactics that would be used in a gun fight.

Q Okay. And then there's a -- you became familiar with all of the weapons that had been involved in this incident up there, right?

A The ones that -- I'm sorry, did you say all of them?

Q Well, the three of them, right, the subcompact --

A Correct.

Q -- the 40 caliber, and a regular 40 caliber, and a 9 millimeter, right?

A Correct.
Q And you were aware that they all had -- were semi-automatic?

A That was my understanding, sir.
Q And they all ejected cartridges to the right and slightly to the rear?

A That's my general understanding, yes, sir.
Q And did it concern you that virtually all of the 9 millimeter casings -- excuse me, all of the 12, 40 caliber casings, shell casings, were located along the wall between the master bedroom and the dining -or the living room, and that didn't accord with where you might expect them to be if you were shooting from the floor toward the west wall?

A I'm sorry, I'm confused as to which wall of the bedroom you were referring to.

Q Well, there's a wall between this -- the bedroom and this living room, right?

A Correct.
Q It's actually the south wall of the living room and the north wall of the bedroom, right?

A Correct.
Q All right. And you know where all -- virtually
all of the shell casings for the 40 calibers were found?

A I would have to refer to the forensic report, the document, since $I$ wasn't there. But I have that information available to me, yes, sir.

Q And were you aware that that didn't accord with where you might expect to find those shells if a person were shooting toward the west wall of the living room from the threshold area of the door?

A Not necessarily, no, sir.
Q Because that would be to the left, not the right, of the person shooting, or is there some other way you shoot a gun to get it to go to the left?

A That's correct. The shell casings, if when you're referring to the shells coming out of the gun, coming to the right and to the rear as you had asked before, that would assume that the gun was in a vertical orientation with the muzzle pointed this way.

However, if $I$ were to manipulate that firearm, I could make the cartridge casings go in any direction. And if there were any intermediate barriers that were located in the area that those shell casings ejected, that could also deflect and cause them to be found somewhere else.

Q Okay. Did you, in your roundtable discussions
with other officers, discuss where Mr. Smith went after he left the area of the dining room door?

A The dining room door or floor?
Q Floor.
A I don't recall any specific discussion about that, no, sir.

Q And so it wouldn't necessarily be part of the usual investigative discussion to ascertain where the guy who is being shot went in order to determine other questions that hadn't been asked?

A If I'm understanding your question correctly, it would be prudent to figure out all avenues of the incident that occurred in terms of movement of all parties involved.

Q Okay. And did you come up with any answers as to why Mr. Smith would leave the area where the dining room door was with all the blood on the floor near the dining room door and travel back toward the threshold?

A The threshold of -- I'm not sure --
Q The front door.
A Of the front door?
Q Yeah.

A I couldn't explain that, no, sir.
Q But you know he did that?
A I do not know that he did that.

Q You don't know -- did you look at the findings of the Washoe County folk --

A I did.
Q -- as to whose blood that was on the -- near the front door?

A If I recall on one of the reports, there was a swabbing that was taken near the threshold of the door that showed it to be Mr. Smith's blood. I would have to refer to the report.

However, it's also my experience and understanding, when transporting people from a residence that have suffered medical trauma, if there's any blood leaking from either them, or the materials, or gurney, or whatever to transport them, if they went through the front door, that would not be uncommon to find biologic material in that area.

Q Right. So you should have talked to Mr. Hassett to find out if Mr. Smith was rolled onto a tarp when he was taken out?

A I couldn't say whether I should or shouldn't have, but...

Q Okay. And there was also a series of six dots of blood on the south wall of the living room that were identified, and the blood was identified as being that of Mr. Smith?

A I don't recall the specific dots that you're talking about. I would have to refer to the report. Q Did it ever come to your attention, through any source of information, that Ms. Stanger had showed bullets to Mr. Lopez a week before this incident that were in the freezer of the refrigerator?

A I recall during one of the interviews $I$ conducted there was talk of bullets being placed in the freezer of the residence by Ms. Stanger, yes.

Q Okay. There came a time, I guess, when you had a -- you were informed that Mr. Honeyestewa wanted to talk to a detective again?

A That's correct.
Q Do you remember about when that was?
A Which time? I'm sorry.
Q Well, you did two interviews of him.
A I did one interview, and then $I$ also met with him again after that, just for a brief period of time.

Q I'm talking about the interview.
A Yes, sir.
Q Do you remember when that was?
A I don't recall the exact date, no.
Q You were out -- I thought you testified you were out on the firing range and --

A I was.

Q Yeah. And you came to town and had an interview with Mr. Honeyestewa?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And the interview went from the incident on July 7th, from Mr. Honeyestewa's point of view, from start of the incident to finish, right?

A That was contained in the interview, yes, sir.
Q Okay. And at the time, Mr. Honeyestewa was concerned about getting some audio tapes?

A Some audio or video recordings, yes, sir.
Q Well, didn't he ultimately tell you they were audio?

A I don't recall what he said they were, other than they were audio.

Q He told you he had never seen them or heard them?

A I'm sorry, that he had never seen them?
Q He told you, did he not, that he had never seen them or heard them?

A That is my understanding, yes, sir.
Q What he had done is been told about them?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And what he had been told had something to do with Ms. Stanger and whether or not she had been involved in all of this?

A That was my understanding, yes, sir.
Q And did you ask him -- he had actually already told Lieutenant McKinney all of that stuff, right?

A I don't recall what he had told Lieutenant McKinney during his interview, but $I$ do know that he had a previous interview with Lieutenant McKinney.

Q Well, if you wanted to -- one of the tricks of being a detective, right, is to get people to talk about the same thing twice to see if they can keep it straight what's happened, right?

A I wouldn't call it a "trick." And that was not my intent during my interview with Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q All right. But that is a very standardized procedure, right, to have them tell the story twice?

A I couldn't say it was standardized. I have not conducted two identical interviews, myself, so it's not standard for me at least.

Q Well, they don't have to be exactly identical, they just have to contain the same material, right?

A Well, $I$ haven't even done that very much, sir.
Q Well, you did it in this one.
A I personally did not. I only conducted one interview of Mr. Honeyestewa.

Q But the interview was very nearly the same conversation and subject matter as the McKinney one?

A I couldn't quantify the similarity between my or Lieutenant McKinney's interview.

Q Because you haven't read Lieutenant McKinney's interview?

A I have read the interview.
Q And you've read the interview you did?
A That's correct.
Q During the course of the interview, did Mr. Honeyestewa talk to you about the fact that he thought that Mr. Lopez might have shot him with a 9 millimeter?

A He discussed things of that nature with me, yes, sir.

Q Well, that's a specific thing, thing of that nature? That's a specific thing, right?

A You could conclude that, yes, sir.
Q And he -- was he trying to arrange for you guys to get somebody involved to remove those bullets from him?

A There was talk of the bullets being removed during my interview, yes, sir.

Q And as a matter of fact, you worked on that and ultimately got somebody to take a couple of bullets out of there?

A That's correct; two.

Q And a third bullet was left in him?
A That's my understanding, yes, sir.
Q Well, it's your understanding based on talking to a physician, right?

A That's correct.
Q All right. Where was that third bullet located?

A If I recall, it was in one of his thighs. I don't recall which thigh, or specifically what area of the thigh.

Q And then when you got those bullets, you sent them up to Washoe County for analysis?

A The two that were recovered during the procedure, yes, sir.

Q And did you go to any additional effort to determine the size or the caliber of the third bullet that was left in him?

A We obtained an $X$-ray, but no, sir, nothing further than that.

Q And from an X-ray, you can't tell enough to know what caliber the bullet was or anything like that?

A I personally couldn't, no, sir.
Q Well, did you have an expert look at it?
A No, sir, I don't believe we did.
Q At the hospital, was Mr. Honeyestewa's urine --
the first night of this incident, was a urine sample taken from him?

A I don't know.
Q Would that have been typical?
A I couldn't say whether it would have been typical or not under those circumstances.

Q In any event, there was no testing done on defendant's urine to determine the blood alcohol content, or whether he had drugs in there, or anything like that?

A I don't know if there was any medical testing, but $I$ did not conduct any criminal testing in terms of his -- or legal collection, I guess I should say, of his urine or blood sample.

Q Do you know where your left medial thigh is?
A I have no idea, sir.
Q Do you happen to know? I don't, either.
In the conversation that you had with Mr. -the interview you had with him, with Mr. Honeyestewa, did he tell you that Mr. Lopez, who we call Speedy, I guess, had picked up his gun that he had dropped?

A I believe there was brief mention of that, yes, sir.

Q Well, there was mention of it. It was pretty straightforward, right? He picked it up?

A I recall something of that nature, but $I$ don't recall the exact words that he used, no, sir.

Q And so what did you do to ascertain whether or not that gun could be associated with something of Speedy?

A If I recall, all of the firearms that we collected were sent down to the Washoe County Crime Lab to be ballistically compared to all of the shell and bullet casings -- or bullets, and we also requested DNA testing against reference samples.

Q Okay. There came a time, did there not, when Mr. Lopez pled guilty to a crime?

A That's my understanding, yes, sir.
Q You weren't informed that he pled guilty to murder in the first degree?

A It wasn't until $I$ believe $I$ read it in the newspapers that $I$ found out that had occurred that way.

Q You were not consulted before?
A No, sir. I wouldn't expect to be.
Q Well, would you expect -- the case against Mr. Honeyestewa continued, right, even though Mr. Lopez pled guilty?

A That would be my understanding, yes, sir.
Q Well, wouldn't you -- wouldn't it be standard procedure of some sort that Mr. Lopez would be
interviewed about what actually happened there?
A I, again, couldn't say if that was standard procedure or not.

Q Was that attempted?
Did the Elko police, or Elko County Sheriff's Office, interview Mr. Lopez?

A Pursuant to the conviction?
Q Yeah.
A It's my understanding that we did not conduct a proffer interview of him.

Q A proper?
A Proffer interview.
Q Did you conduct any kind of interview?
A I personally did not, no.
Q Well, you were the lead detective. You would know if one got -- he got interviewed, right?

A $\quad \mathrm{He}$ did.

Q He did?
A I'm not sure which period of the case you're talking about, before or after conviction.

Q I'm talking about after or during his conviction.

A That, $I$ don't know, sir.
Q Well, you knew that there was an outstanding case against Mr. Honeyestewa, right?

A That's correct.
Q And you knew that there were only four people involved in the incident, and one of them was dead, right?

A That's correct.
Q Why wouldn't you interview Mr. Lopez and find out what he had to say about what happened?

A Based on the fact that we already had an interview prior to his conviction, I didn't see a reason to interview him.

Q He had an interview by Lieutenant McKinney prior to?

A That's correct.
Q And hadn't it been very, very clear that that interview had been absolutely false from start to finish?

A I was never made aware of that, no, sir.
Q You read it?
A I did.
Q And it didn't coincide with anything the subsequent investigation showed up, right?

A I couldn't quantify how much of that interview actually aligned with observations and the evidence that we had (inaudible).

Q Didn't you and McKinney sit down and discuss
that interview and how different it was than the physical evidence that was -- that had followed?

A I don't recall Lieutenant McKinney's and I discussion about that interview regarding Mr. Lopez.

Q Well, you knew that in the interview -- or did you know that in the interview, Mr. Lopez claimed to have only been armed with a knife?

A I do recall that, yes.
Q And do you recall that Mr. Lopez had claimed that Taylor Miller and Mr. Honeyestewa had put a gun to him and made him kick in the door?

A I do recall some comments of that nature, but again, $I$ don't recall the specific wording that he used.

Q And didn't you know that when you read that, or at least subsequently, that was nonsense?

A I couldn't say at the time I read it whether I concluded that was nonsense or not.

Q But didn't you subsequently understand it to have been nonsense?

A It -- based on my understanding of the case, it did not appear to coincide with the observations and evidence that we had, no.

Q All right. Did Mr. Honey -- or Mr. Lopez say that after --

MR. INGRAM: Your Honor, I'm gonna object at this point. It's all hearsay.

THE COURT: It sounds like it is to me, Mr. Woodbury. Do you have any exception to argue?

MR. WOODBURY: I'm gonna have to think about it a minute, Judge.

Well, we're not asserting, Your Honor, that what Mr. Lopez has said was true. What we're asserting is that whether or not he knows what Mr. Lopez said, and it was false.

Hearsay, presumably, has something to do with the -- that question of whether a person has told you something, and whether or not you could have other evidence that shows it's false.

THE COURT: It sounds like he's arguing, Mr. Ingram, is that it's not offered for the truth of the matter.

Is that what you're arguing, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: That's right.
THE COURT: Do you have any response to that, Mr. Ingram?

MR. INGRAM: I'll submit it, Judge.
THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
You can answer the question, if you remember what it was.

THE WITNESS: I don't. I'm sorry, sir.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: I don't either.
Actually, the question concerned the --
Mr. Lopez telling you that he -- or telling Lieutenant McKinney that he had followed Mr. Honeyestewa into the residence and hid behind him, right?

A Again, $I$ remember reading something of that nature, but $I$ don't recall the exact words or in the context in the interview at that point.

Q And you knew, did you not, that that was not in order with what Ms. Stanger said she saw at the bedroom door?

A It appeared to me that those statements were different than Ms. Stanger's.

Q And didn't -- doesn't it occur, at some point, that you wanted to get this straight to find out what was true and what was false, and what actually happened from the point of view of Mr. Lopez?

A It's my understanding and practice as a detective, in my experience, that if $I$ have statements from one subject and statements from another subject, and $I$ have a -- different items of evidence or different things to be compared against, that speaks for itself.

I wouldn't necessarily re-interview somebody
about the same thing again when $I$ don't have a need to in a particular case.

Q But an interview with Mr. Lopez after he pled guilty, or during the time that he was pleading guilty, would have took an hour of your time, right?

A I couldn't say how long it would have taken of my time, sir.

Q And you have a herd of other detectives -- not a herd, but you had a number of other detectives around that could have actually conducted an interview of him?

A There's other detectives in our unit. Whether they could have conducted or not, I don't know.

Q There came a time when you became knowledgeable of a lady named Joyce Romaine?

A That's correct.
Q How did she come to your attention?
A The first time $I$ had heard the name Joyce was from Mr. Honeyestewa during our interview. And then $I$ later learned of the full name, Joyce Romaine, from Sue Smith, Brad Smith's mother.

Q And Ms. Romaine was making some claims about her knowledge of Tyrell Holley?

A That was my understanding.
Q And was it the view of the Elko County Sheriff's Office detective division that Tyrell Holley
might have somehow been involved in this incident?
A We had discussed that, yes, sir.
Q And you had actually interviewed -- did an interview, or interviewed Mr. Holley, right?

A That's correct.
Q And was there a time that, without saying where it was, a time that Ms. Romaine had given the Elko County Sheriff's Office an alibi for a Mr. Holley not to have been in town, in the Elko area, at the time of this incident?

A I'm sorry, I can't say what the alibi was or --
Q Well, did it appear from the conversation that had been had with Ms. Romaine that she was offering, she was explaining that Mr. Holley hadn't been around the Elko area the night of this incident?

A That's my recollection of that area of the interview, yes, sir.

Q Okay. And you followed up with her?
A I did.
Q Can you tell the jury how you followed up?
A In regards to Mr. Tyrell Holley?
Q Yeah.
A I don't recall exactly when, but myself and Detective Keema at one point went to go interview a subject at the Elko -- or the Eureka County Jail. I
believe a portion of our interview concerned
Ms. Romaine and the events of that evening that she had claimed.

And I also, with another detective, Detective Steinfeld, I believe we went to a residence that they were alleged to have shown up at, as well as talked to, I believe, Joyce Romaine's mother and one of her other relatives at their residence, also in Eureka County.

Q And you concluded that Mr. Holley, or did you reach a conclusion -- let me state it another way.

Did you find evidence of Mr. Holley being in Eureka County at the time of the alleged incident, to be present, from all your work on that?

A I don't recall us locating any evidence, per se. And I do recall various people that we talked to saying that Ms. Romaine had shown up, but I don't recall her actually identifying anybody that she was with by name or by a good enough description that we could make any observations from that.

Q And I don't know that we concluded this, Detective Stake, but Mr. Holley -- Mr. Honeyestewa was telling you about some videos or some audios.

You were never able to find them, right?
A I don't know if $I$ was able to find them or not. We did recover some. Whether they were the specific
ones that he was referring to or not, I don't know. Q Yeah.

Well, he told you he had never listened to them or seen them, correct?

A Right.
Q And he had been told about the content of them from other people?

A That was my understanding, yes, sir.
Q Okay. And you went to some significant effort to come into possession of those audio tapes, right?

A I don't know whether it was significant or not. But, yes, we did go through some effort to try and obtain those.

Q And you also come in contact with a person that Mr. Holley had allegedly threatened in order to establish his nonparticipation in this?

Let me ask you: Did you interview or come into contact with an interview conducted of Amy Steinbach?

A I didn't conduct the interview, but it's my understanding that Ms. Steinbach was interviewed, yes.

Q And based on an investigation that you conducted about that, did you ascertain that Mr. Holley had borrowed Ms. Steinbach's vehicle that night of the incident, July 7, 2018?

A I don't recall conducting a specific
investigation into that myself, but that was part of our investigation.

Q And I take it, based on your direct examination the other day, that you know something about trajectories of bullets?

A Some, yes, sir.
Q Did you go through and look at the trajectories of the various bullets that had hit the west wall of the living room?

A At some point in the investigation, yes, sir.
Q Did it strike you that defects $D$ and $E$ of the placards, or the trajectories of those bullets were different than $F$ and $G$ ?

A I would have to refer to the report. I don't -- I don't recall that off the top of my head.

Q If that had been something that would have come to your attention, then you would have, perhaps, reacted to it?

A I would have to refer to the report so I know exactly what those discrepancies are, if there are any.

Q You came -- you conducted the second search -well, not a second -- sort of search of the residence, what, in October of 2018?

A No, sir.
Q When was that?

A October 15 th of 2019 is when $I$ served the search warrant.

Q Thank you. Yeah.
And it came to your attention that there had been a hole in the wall of the south bedroom wall that appeared to be a gunshot, that appeared to have been created by a gunshot?

A That was my initial observation, yes, sir.
Q All right. Did you ever come in contact with a bullet or another object that appeared to have been the instrument that caused the hole?

A The instrument that caused the hole?

Q Yeah.
A I --
Q The projectile.
A Yeah, I did not locate a bullet or anything of that nature.

Q Uh-huh.
And did you conduct an investigation to see what might have happened with that?

A That might have happened to the bullet or the defect, sir?

Q Well, how come a bullet described it made the hole, or was it another projectile there that appeared to have made the hole?

A I did not locate a projectile that $I$ could associate with that hole in the master bedroom wall.

Q And did you conduct any investigation to find out why, if it had been a bullet, that bullet was no longer there?

A I tried to, yes, sir.
Q Any luck?
A No, sir.
Q Were you aware that there was a -- that Mr. Smith's credit card was used the day after his death, July 8th, at Raley's here in Elko?

A No, sir.
Q You never heard that?
A I don't believe so, no, sir.
Q So you would have conducted no investigation?
A I have not conducted an investigation of that, that $I$ recall, no, sir.

Q There was a -- were you ever under the impression there had been a video taken of the entry of Mr. Lopez and Mr. Honeyestewa, went to the residence?

A A video, sir?
Q Yeah.
A No, sir.
Q You were never aware such a video might have existed?

A I recall that there was a video -- in speaking to one of the investigators, $I$ believe Detective Sergeant Hood at the time, there was a residence across the street that, I believe, had a surveillance system. I recall Detective Sergeant Hood telling me something about recovering some footage, or trying to recover some footage from around the time period the incident had occurred. But $I$ never saw the video, nor $I$ don't know its content of what was on that video.

Q But if it had been a video of the break-in, you would have preserved it and known of it?

A If it were me, yes. But I don't recall what Detective Sergeant --

Q They didn't hide it from you? You're the lead detective, right?

A I'm sorry, what was that, sir?
Q They didn't hide it from you? You're the lead detective, right?

A I should hope not, sir.
THE COURT: How much more do you have,
Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: Not too much.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: When you went back up to the residence in 2019 to do the additional evidence recovery, the mirror that had been on the west wall was
gone, right?

A The west wall of the living room, yes, sir.
MR. WOODBURY: Yeah.
I think that's all I got, Judge.
THE COURT: We're going to take a recess. (Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: We will be in recess for 20
minutes.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Redirect, Mr. Ingram.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Detective Stake, do you know a person by the name of Sherb Macfarlan?

A I do.

Q And what is his profession?
A $\quad$ He is an attorney.
Q Did he represent Speedy in this matter?

A I believe he did, yes, sir.
Q And as a detective, sir, tell me about interviewing persons who are represented by counsel.

A Well, if they're represented by counsel, then as a general rule, $I$ usually can't question them if they don't want to speak with me and want to speak to an attorney.

There are occasions where counsel might come to me and request that $I$ speak to their client, and $I$ do under those circumstances.

Q And is it a fact that you cannot just willy-nilly go interview somebody who is represented by an attorney embedded in our constitution?

A It is.
Q And when Mr. Lopez, Speedy, pled guilty in this case, is it your understanding that he was represented by an attorney, Mr. Macfarlan?

A It is.
Q What would be one of the reasons, or what would be the reason, if there is only one, why you would send off the clothing that was purported to you to belong to Mr. Honeyestewa at the hospital?

A Depending on the facts and circumstances that $I$ was aware of in the case, I might send that off if there was some sort of connection between that piece of
evidence that might prove pertinent to establishing some facts or circumstances as it relates to something else.

Q And as the lead detective in this case, did you come to a conclusion, and $I$ don't know what it is, but did you come to a conclusion as to Mr. Honeyestewa's involvement in this case?

A A conclusion, yes, sir.
Q And did you, after you reached that conclusion, did you see any relevance in trying to determine anything scientific from the clothing that you received from the hospital?

A I did not, no.

Q You could have asked for DNA analysis, right, sir?

A Yes, sir.
Q And why didn't you do that?
A I didn't see it prudent at that point in time.
Q Was that because you knew the clothes came from him?

A That was my understanding, yes.
Q Let's talk about the dogs for a minute.
You were at the hospital. That's the first place you responded to in this particular incident, correct?

A That's correct.
Q When you were at the hospital, were you concerned about who owned a couple of dogs?

A I was not.

Q When you were at the Bohobi Street address, were you concerned about who owned a couple of dogs?

A I was not, no.
Q When you went to the Wrangler Circle address, were you concerned about who owned a couple of dogs?

A I was not.
Q Did you ever observe either one of those dogs to be aggressive towards you or anyone else?

A Not while $I$ was there, they were not.
Q As the lead detective, was it your job to at least attempt to figure out, or find evidence to either include or exclude individuals who were involved in this incident?

A It was.
Q And tell me the process, sir, for submitting a case to the district attorney's office after your investigation. Tell me about that, please.

A After $I$ conduct -- well, really, any portion of the investigation, it goes through a report approval process within our agency. And then that gets supplied directly to the district attorney's office.

Q And in the course of your investigation, did you reach conclusions as to whether you believed there was probable cause that certain individuals committed a crime?

A I do.
Q And during the course of your investigation in this case, did you ever find evidence to support a conclusion that Jennifer Stanger was involved in this crime?

A Involved?
Q As to -- let me back up.
Have you ever found evidence to suggest or to support that Ms. Stanger was involved in setting up to have Brad killed?

A I have not, no.
Q What would you have done if you would have found that?

A I would have submitted that to the district attorney's office for a charging decision.

Q And you have not done that?
A I have not, no.
Q During the course of your investigation as the lead investigator or detective in this case, have you ever found any evidence that would corroborate Jennifer Stanger's claim that someone was in the
backyard with some sort of a light prior to this shooting?

A Nothing conclusive.
Q And what -- what do you have to support that at all?

A The only very weak similarity that $I$ could find was when, $I$ believe his first name is Enrique Ruiz, had said he was outside while Ms. Stanger was outside. But outside of that, $I$ couldn't draw any conclusions from the interview or from the brief contact I had with Mr. Ruiz and the interview $I$ conducted with Ms. Stanger.

Q And was Mr. Ruiz referring to Ms. Stanger being in the front yard or the backyard?

A If I recall, she was in the front yard during this.

Q So that's not a connection at all, is it?
A Nope.
Q What's the arrangement between the sheriff's department, your department, and FIS, Washoe County?

A We have a contract with the Washoe County sheriff's office, who FIS is part of. I don't recall the exact dollar amount that we provide them every year. But we actually pay for their services.

And in the event that we need them, we make a
request, and they travel from Washoe County to us to assist with complicated crime scenes or any type of assistance that may be outside of our capabilities at the time.

Q Is that your understanding, that that's the reason why Washoe County responded to this particular scene?

A It is.
Q Were you in charge of telling Washoe County what evidence to collect?

A I was not, no.
Q Did the doctor who removed the bullets from Mr. Honeyestewa's body ever tell you why he wasn't going to remove the third one?

A I believe he did, yes, sir.
Q What did he tell you?
A That it was not medically necessary, I believe, and that it may cause undue harm or damage to

Mr. Honeyestewa.
Q Did he ever mention the fact that it was next to a major vein or artery in his leg?

A I don't recall if he specifically told me that or not.

MR. INGRAM: Thank you.
That's all I have. Thanks.

THE COURT: Recross?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q If I understand what you're saying, Detective, is that you never, ever got enough evidence to make you wonder if Ms. Stanger was involved in setting this up --

A I'm sorry?
Q -- is that correct?
A That $I$ did or did not gather evidence?
Q You did not find enough.
A I can't answer that with a simple yes or no, sir.

Q Why don't you answer it in a complicated way, then.

A With my understanding of what you asked me, I couldn't say that $I$ was able to find or not find. Because although I was examining what had -Ms. Stanger's involvement been in this, $I$ was not specifically looking to find probable cause that she was involved based on my observations and (inaudible).

Q And you didn't find probable cause, right?
A I did not.

Q Let me see. You had been told, had you not, that Ms. Stanger had attempted civil -- some significant time earlier to find someone who would, quote, off Mr. Smith, right?

A I don't recall that specifically being said during any of the interviews. However, there was talk of that nature in some of the interviews that $I$ had.

Q Yeah.
Well, talk of the nature came from an interview that you did, right?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Did it occur to you that the person that told you that was making that up?

A I would have to weigh their statements based with the evidence and facts and circumstances that $I$ know at the time to make that determination.

Q You knew that Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith had been involved in a domestic dispute two days before this incident, right?

A I don't recall specifically knowing that, no, sir.

Q You talked, or at least you knew what Sue Francis had said about a telephone call on the night of Mr. Smith's death?

A We discussed that during the interview, yes,
sir.
Q Yeah. And based on what Ms. Francis told you, it didn't make you slightly suspicious?

A I don't recall if it made me suspicious or not at that particular point in time.

Q And you knew about the events the week preceding the death of Mr. Smith, about Ms. Stanger having appeared relatively unclothed at a meeting between her and Speedy and Tyrell and Ms. Steinbach?

A Yes, sir.
Q And you recall there being testimony -testimony, $I$ guess that's all right -- that Ms. Stanger was chasing drugs, or a drug shard through the salsa or something?

A I don't recall the context of the conversation involving the salsa being that she was going after a shard.

Q And you knew that Ms. Stanger had no source of income whatever?

A I don't recall what kind of income she had.
Q And you knew that Ms. Stanger was having relapse problems with previous drug addiction?

A I wouldn't say that $I$ knew of the relapse problems. I knew that she was allegedly traveling to rehab in her future.

Q And so you didn't do any investigation about the fact that she was having some problems with -- with controlled substances again?

A I did not, nor do I recall specifically launching an investigation into her alleged drug use.

Q And I assume that you interviewed people that talk about Mr. Smith, claiming that she was spending a whole lot of money on drugs?

A I don't recall if $I$ interviewed anybody that had said that. But $I$ do recall different interviews of what Mr. Smith was concerned about prior to his death.

Q Uh-huh.
And you recall that -- you followed up on all the telephone calls that Ms. Stanger made about the laptop computer?

A I don't -- I couldn't comment as to the extent of the follow-up that was conducted regarding the phone calls that were made.

Q Uh-huh.
And I assume that you followed up and remember Mr. Sorensen talking about the problems that Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith were having the day of the -the day Mr. Smith was killed?

A I recall that an investigator did conduct an interview with Mr. Honeyestewa, and I don't recall the
content of that investigator's interview.

Q And you recall that there had been a rehabilitation question of when Ms. Stanger and Mr. Smith were going to go down to Carson City to put her in rehabilitation?

A I do recall talk of that nature in one of the interviews. But $I$ don't, again, recall the specifics of that.

Q And you knew that they were leaving a day later than had been originally scheduled?

A It is my understanding that they were leaving later than what they had originally scheduled.

Q And they were going to stay overnight on the 7th, correct, and Ms. Francis was going to take her on the 8th?

A I believe that was the content of that interview.

Q And you recall Mr. Aguirre and Mr. Ruiz both saying that at 9:00 or so, around, at night, on the night of the killing, Ms. Stanger was out waving a flashlight around?

A I don't recall both of them saying that, no.

Q And you recall that she had a flashlight being waved around, whether it's through Mr. Aguirre or Mr. Ruiz, and she was looking for keys or clothing in
the car?
A Again, $I$ remember some talk of her looking for something, but $I$ don't remember the exact wording or content of those things.

Q So why didn't you go look and see that the lights in that Jeep Cherokee they owned worked inside the car?

A I didn't view it as being integral to my investigation at that time.

Q It didn't occur to you that she was trying to send a signal to somebody with that flashlight?

A I would have to say that although that was something I considered, I didn't find anything conclusive that that did or did not happen.

Q So if you want to be conclusive, do you go back and interview Jennifer Stanger and put her in the same position that you put all kind of people in that are suspects and interview her a couple of times to see what she's got to say about all of this?

A What $I$ could say in terms of putting her back in that same position, but we did interview her more than once, if $I$ recall.

Q Yeah.
But it wasn't about that flashlight, was it?
A I don't recall if there was any interview
questions regarding the flashlight specifically, no, sir.

Q And as far as the dog being aggressive, did you talk to Mr. Aguirre? Did you guys at the sheriff's office get a report on the aggressiveness of the dogs?

A A report from Mr. Aguirre regarding the aggressive dogs?

Q Yeah.
A Detective Sergeant Hood conducted an interview of Mr. Aguirre, but $I$ don't recall if there was any content in that interview regarding aggressiveness of dogs, or dogs at all.

Q How can you not recall it? I mean, if -doesn't it strike you as reasonable that if one of the dogs was aggressive and she had -- Jennifer Stanger had invited somebody to come steal Mr. Smith's guns, or her guns, or whoever's guns they were, that putting the dogs away so they couldn't interfere with that might be something that a person would do?

A I couldn't say whether they would or would not do that.

Q Uh-huh.
So in the face of all of that, you still have no evidence, zero, that Jennifer Stanger was involved?

A I'm sorry, I don't understand your question,
sir.
Q In the face of the questions I just asked you, are you telling me that you know that there's no probable cause that Jennifer Stanger was involved in this incident that took place on July 7th?

A Well, it's my understanding that she did have involvement. In terms of anything else, I don't have probable cause of any criminal activity at this point that would concern me.

Q And the fact that she was -- that Mr. Lopez was represented by Mr. Macfarlan, you knew that, right?

A At some point $I$ did. I don't recall exactly when I did.

Q Did it ever come to your attention that Mr. Macfarlan was an appointed attorney for Mr. Lopez?

A I have no idea how he was -- came to represent Mr. Lopez.

Q Did it ever occur to you to walk up to the Elko County courthouse and see if Mr. Macfarlan had signed a document leaving, taking off, not being counsel for Lopez any more?

A I'm sorry, I don't know what you're talking about, sir.

Q Do appointed attorneys stay the attorney for these guys forever?

A I couldn't comment as to whether they do or don't. I don't know.

MR. WOODBURY: Perhaps you could take some time and ask the prosecution.

Thank you. I have nothing further.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

We're going to recess, we've got quite a few questions here, so $I$ can discuss them with the attorneys. The attorneys are permitted to make objections to these questions if they want to. So we're gonna take a recess to do that.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: I don't expect this to take more than five minutes, so don't go far. We're in recess. (Recess.)

THE COURT: (Inaudible) jury.
Juror number 1 asks, "Were fingerprints taken from the flashlights?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Juror number 3 asks, "Is the backyard of Wrangler Circle residence fenced completely to contain the dogs?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.

MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "Could someone enter the backyard from the back?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Juror number 5 asks: "Was the defendant brought back to Elko from Salt Lake City by law enforcement officers?"

MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
MR. INGRAM: Was he?
MR. WOODBURY: No. He was released from the Salt Lake -- from the hospital. I don't want to answer for Mr. Stake, but he was released from the hospital and he came back here and he was arrested a couple of days later.

THE COURT: As reported by the Elko Daily Free Press. But $I$ don't know why you would want to put in that.

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
THE COURT: You brought yourself back?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. "Were you ever made aware of how Jenae Moon knew to call Alan's name when looking for whoever was calling for help behind the house on the colony?"

She testified she recognized his voice.
MR. WOODBURY: That's what I recall her
testimony.
MR. INGRAM: I do, too.
THE COURT: But the question was, Were you ever made aware of how she knew to call his name?

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: I have no objection.
THE COURT: Following up on the question of whether Mr. Honeyestewa was brought back by the police, the next question was then: "If yes, did he have visitors upon his return or shortly after? Were they left alone?"

So he wasn't brought back by the police, so I don't see any reason to ask that.

MR. INGRAM: I agree.
MR. WOODBURY: I agree.
THE COURT: "Did you ever review video from Amy Steinbach's workplace to see who borrowed her vehicle?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: Well, it's kind of a chicken question because it makes it look like Mr. Stake didn't do something. But Ms. Steinbach came in very soon after she wrote that, she had given it to Speedy and told the police that she had not been honest about it.

MR. INGRAM: And I agree with Mr. Woodbury, there's zero doubt that Amy Steinbach loaned the vehicle to Tyrell Holley. I think that's fairly well established.

MR. WOODBURY: Yeah.

THE COURT: So you don't --
MR. WOODBURY: I don't care.

THE COURT: I don't care, either.

Do you object to me asking the question or not.
MR. WOODBURY: No, that's fine.

MR. INGRAM: No.

THE COURT: Then the next is: "Who did borrow it from her, if Tyrell Holley -- how or when -- how, when he had an alibi?"

So I don't think that juror understood the testimony the same way that $I$ did, that he did not have an alibi, or at least not one that was verified.

MR. INGRAM: I understand the testimony the same as you, Your Honor. So if those questions are going to be asked, I would suggest they be asked in a different way. And that is to ask Nick if Tyrell Holley's alibi checked out. And if not, how could he have --

THE COURT: That sounds fair.

MR. WOODBURY: That's fine.

MR. INGRAM: And just to be clear, Judge, I'm talking about his alibi of not being in Elko at the time of this incident.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. INGRAM: That's the one I'm aware of.
THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. INGRAM: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Get them back in here.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Detective Sergeant, were
fingerprints taken from the flashlights?
THE WITNESS: I do not recall fingerprint processing being requested on the flashlights.

THE COURT: Is the backyard of the Wrangler Circle residence fenced completely to contain the dogs?

THE WITNESS: I would have to look at photographs. But if memory serves me right, there was a fence going around the rear portion of the residence. I don't recall how tall it was right now. I think it
was fairly low, if $I$ remember right.
THE COURT: Could someone enter the backyard from the back?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry about that, Judge.
THE COURT: Could someone enter the backyard from the back?

THE WITNESS: It would seem possible --
possible to me, yes.
THE COURT: Was Mr. Honeyestewa brought back to Elko from Salt Lake City by law enforcement officers?

THE WITNESS: He was not in custody while he was in Salt Lake City, and he was not transported back by any law enforcement personnel.

THE COURT: Were you ever made aware of how Jenae Moon knew to call Mr. Honeyestewa's name when looking for whomever was calling for help behind the house on the Colony?

THE WITNESS: I believe there was talk of that in one of the interviews that I did not conduct. But I am not aware of that.

THE COURT: Did you ever review video from
Amy Steinbach's workplace to see who borrowed her vehicle?

THE WITNESS: We did not, no.
THE COURT: Did you have a conclusion about who
borrowed it from her?
THE WITNESS: We did.
THE COURT: And who was that?
THE WITNESS: Tyrell Holley.
THE COURT: You testified earlier about Mr. Holley having an alibi through Joyce Romaine that he was not in Elko at the time of the death of Mr. Smith.

Did that alibi check out?
THE WITNESS: It did not appear to me to have been substantiated, no.

THE COURT: Okay. Any questions on that, Mr. Ingram?

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Detective Stake, why didn't you go check for video surveillance to see who had borrowed Ms. Steinbach's vehicle?

A It was my understanding when Sergeant Czegledi had talked to her, as well as Detective Sergeant Hood, that there was talk of who borrowed the vehicle. And I believe that, if I recall, she had basically stated that Tyrell had borrowed the car from her.

Q And as you sit here today, do you have any
reason to doubt that it, in fact, was Tyrell Holley who, in fact, borrowed that vehicle from Amy Steinbach?

A I do not.
MR. INGRAM: That's all $I$ have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any questions on that,
Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: Yes, Your Honor.

FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q The fact is, is it not, Detective Stake, that Ms. Steinbach originally said that she had loaned the car to Mr. Lopez?

A Correct. I do remember there was some inaccuracies in her first statements.

Q I'm sorry?
A Yes, sir, there was.
Q And it's a fact that when she came back, she told the police, the Elko County Sheriff's Office, that she had been threatened by Mr. Holley if she said that he had been the guy that borrowed the car?

A I believe after reviewing that interview, that there was talk about it, yes, sir.

Q Okay. And the fence in the back of the house,
it was in the state of significant disrepair, was it not?

A I don't recall the condition of it. But I would have to look at the photos again. I don't remember.

MR. WOODBURY: Okay. Thank you.
Nothing further.
THE COURT: Do either of you need this witness retained?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, we would like him to be held under subpoena, but be allowed to leave the courtroom.

THE COURT: Okay. The courthouse?
MR. INGRAM: Yeah, that's what I meant, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. You may leave the courthouse. You might be called back to testify. Do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Ingram?
MR. MILLS: Your Honor, the State calls

Brittney Chilton.
MR. INGRAM: Judge, while we're waiting, I provided a list of exhibits to Mr. Woodbury that I'm
gonna ask be admitted. Exhibit 308 , the diagram used during the testimony of Nick Stake.

Exhibit 325, the parties have agreed to its admission. 002, the 9-1-1 call. 043, Brad Smith's toxicology. Exhibit 355, an exhibit used during the testimony with (inaudible).

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury, to the admission of those exhibits?

MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibits 308, 325, 2, 43, and 355 are admitted.
(Exhibits $308,325,2,43$ and 355 admitted.)
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: Please state your name and spell
your first and last name.
THE WITNESS: Brittney Chilton.
$B-r-i-t-t-n-e-y . \quad C-h-i-l-t-o-n$.
THE COURT: And would you go ahead and remove your mask while you testify so the jurors can see your face? Thank you.

Go ahead, Mr. Mills.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MILLS:
Q What is your occupation?
A I'm a criminalist.
Q And what is a criminalist?
A A criminalist is an individual who examines items of evidence that are associated with criminal cases.

Q And where do you work?
A I work at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office in the Forensic Science Division, and specifically I'm assigned to the biology unit.

Q How long have you worked for the washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic Science Division?

A January will be nine years.
Q Okay. Just backing up a little bit, before you came to work for the Washoe County Sheriff's Office in the Forensic Science Division, did you obtain any kind of education that prepared you for that career?

A I did. I have a dual bachelor of science, a master of science in the area of biotechnology from the

University of Nevada Reno in 2011.
Q What is biotechnology? What kind of major is that, or what kind of courses did you take?

A Biotechnology is a really wide area in biology. And it's the utilization of living organisms and systems to develop a product. The classes that are required in that field prepared me for working at the sheriff's office.

Q So after graduating in 2011, at some point did you become employed by the -- by Washoe County, by the Forensic Science Division?

A I did.
Q And when was that?
A January of 2012 .
Q And how did you start out there? What position did you have originally?

A I started as an investigative assistant.
Q What did you do as an investigative assistant?
A I help the DNA section, the biology section, overall, doing their quality control testing. Before we agents are allowed to be used in casework, it's required that they undergo a series of tests to make sure that they are okay to use in the process. I did that testing for them. I also helped with validation procedures within our section.

Q How long did you work as a -- as an investigative assistant?

A Approximately, three months.
Q And following that, did you continue to be employed by the Washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic Science Division?

A I did. There was a position open as a criminalist trainee, and I applied and was able to get that job.

Q Okay. So what does that job entail?
A As a trainee, $I$ was in the training program for the primary exam section first. It was approximately a six-month program. And $I$ was required to look at literature, post, past and present in the field, observe qualified analysts performing casework, and look at a series of samples that were mock-like samples that $I$ would be working with in actual casework, perform tests on those, like $I$ would in actual casework.

I was required to undergo oral and written exams. I was required to take ten pre-mock -- or five pre-mock and five mock cases. During that time, I was also looked at by my supervisor and trainer to make sure $I$ was following all of our procedures.

Q And how long did you work in that position?

A Once you become trained and start doing casework, you're no longer considered a trainee, and you're moved to a criminalist 1 position. We have probationary periods during this time frame. And as a crim 1, I worked for one year.

Then you're bumped to a criminalist 2, and you're on probation for another year. And I stayed as a criminalist 2 after that.

Q And from that time, until now, what have you been doing with the Forensic Science Division?

A Performing work as a criminalist 2. I did have a short stint as the DNA -- acting DNA technical leader for the section, which meant $I$ was in charge of all technical aspects for our program.

Q And to give the jury a better understanding and idea of what you do, could you explain the types of DNA analysis that you do in, $I$ guess, lay terms?

A Yes. Let's back up a little bit, and we'll talk first about primary exam.

Q Sure.
A Primary exam is the first look at the evidence when it comes into the biology section. We examine those items, and we note the condition in which we receive them in; the make, the model, the brand. And then we examine them for the presence or absence of
bodily fluids. So blood, semen, saliva, and potentially the presence or absence of hairs.

We use a series of tests, both confirmatory and presumptive, to test for the biological substance in question. Presumptive tests are really quick and sensitive. However, they can test positive with other substances. So we say it's a presumptive for the bodily fluid in question.

Confirmatory tests, like the name implies, would confirm the presence of that substance. Once we find and identify those stains or biological materials, we sample them and prep them for DNA. In DNA, it's kind of a five-step process to break it down smaller. We isolate the DNA, find out how much there is, copy the DNA, run it on an analyzer, and then interpret the data.

When we talk about DNA, DNA is at the very center of your cells. It's your genetic makeup. You inherit half of it from your father and half from your mother. And no matter where it comes from, from an individual, whether it's blood, semen, saliva, urine, as long as it's from the same individual, I would expect to have the same exact DNA profile.

This is very helpful in forensics, because oftentimes biological fluids are left behind at a crime
scene. And I can compare those profiles to standards, or known reference samples, from an individual to see if they match. So I don't have to ask someone for a specific body type -- or body fluid type to make sure that there's a match there.

We isolate the DNA through a series of chemical processes that breaks open the cells and releases the DNA. As you can imagine, there's a very small amount of DNA that we get out of these samples, and we figure out how much there is through quantification.

Once we know how much DNA we're working with, we photocopy it, essentially. It's a fateful replication of the starting material. But humans are a lot more alike genetically than we like to think about. So I'm not interested in all of the genome, I'm only interested in very small sections called short tandem repeats.

These are sections within your DNA that are void of genes. They don't tell me, like, how tall you are, if you have blonde hair, blue eyes, nothing like that. They're just repeating segments that are highly different between individuals. And so we photocopy only those regions and look at those.

I think the media has made this a bit more exciting, and so when you hear the word "a match,"
oftentimes it's a little misleading, maybe like on CSI. And so what it really means is that when a DNA profile matches at all of the locations that $I$ test for to an individual's profile from a reference standard, it's a match. When someone doesn't match at even one location, $I$ can say that they are excluded. And my job is pretty easy. I write a very simple report saying that person is excluded.

When a DNA profile matches, I have to give some sort of weight to that match. What does it mean, or how important is the match? We do that by doing a statistic. We take the profile and put it into a population database that houses how often $I$ would expect to see those repeating units at those locations. And it gives me an estimated profile frequency.

What it means is that if $I$ had a population of random, unrelated individuals, I would expect to see that profile this many times.

Q Okay. Thank you for that explanation.
Ms. Chilton, are the methods used by the Washoe
County Forensic Science Division scientifically accepted methods of doing DNA work in your field?

A They are.
MR. MILLS: Your Honor, at this time the State would ask the Court find this witness -- that this
witness is qualified to testify in the field of DNA analysis and that she will be allowed to render her findings, conclusions, observations, and opinions regarding the DNA work in this case.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: The witness may testify.
Q BY MR. MILLS: And, Ms. Chilton, did you, in fact, have occasion to analyze some of the evidence pertaining to this case?

A I did.
Q Okay. How many reports did you generate in total?

A Three separate reports.
Q Okay. And so is there what's called a lab number that's assigned to these cases that you -- that you're involved with?

A There are.
Q Okay. So what is the lab number? What is the purpose of that?

A The lab number is so we don't confuse cases. They are associated with the case number from the submitting agency, and that's how we identify the case within our laboratory.

Q I'm gonna show you first what's been marked as

State's Exhibit 302. I'll show it first to defense counsel. That's State's 302 . Ms. Chilton, do you recognize that?

A $\quad$ I do.
Q What is that?
A This is my forensic report number 7 .
Q Okay. In fact, the three reports that you did in this case sequentially are called forensic report number 7, and then 8, and then 9; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q Do those three reports all have the same L-number, the lab number?

A The same FSD number, yes.
Q The FSD number. Okay.
So State's Exhibit 302, that appears to be the
first of the three reports?
A That is correct.
Q That's report number 7, I believe?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And did you generate that report?
A I did.
Q And does that report discuss items of evidence that were submitted for your analysis in this case?

A It does.
MR. MILLS: Your Honor, the State is going to
offer State's 302 into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 302 is admitted. (Exhibit 302 admitted.)

Q BY MR. MILLS: Ms. Chilton, let's go through some of those items that were submitted for your analysis, starting with the item that's listed as number 1, which is described as a Bell \& Howell flashlight collected from the driveway north of the white Jeep grand Cherokee.

Did you conduct an analysis on that flashlight to see if there were any DNA profiles on the flashlight?

A I did.
Q And what were your findings with regard to that flashlight?

A I visually examined the item and noted that there were no apparent bloodstains observed. I then washed the entire item for possible residual DNA, and a portion of these swabs were utilized for my analysis.

The DNA results obtained from the flashlight swabs indicated a mixture, meaning that more than one individual left behind DNA on the item.

Due to the nature of this mixture, no
conclusions could be offered.
Q And why was that?
A "Due to the nature of the mixture" could mean a few things. It could mean the number of individuals convoluted the mixture enough to where I could not make heads or tails of it or interpret it. It could mean that there wasn't enough DNA left behind from one individual versus another to pull out a dominant or minor contributor to that profile, as well.

Q Okay. And just following up on that a little bit, is it possible for people to handle items, to hold an item, say, like a flashlight and not believe -- not leave behind an identifiable DNA profile?

A It is.
Q And what are some of the factors that would account for that?

A Some of the factors are how much a person sweats, or how much they shed their skin cells. I think a good way to think about this is when you go potentially to a party and people are holding glasses, or wine glasses, sometimes at the end of the night someone's glass is clean, it looks like they never touched it, but another individual leaves lots of smudges. And so it's just very variable person to person. And even the same person might shed or leave
behind more or less given the same situation.
Q Now, let's move on to the next item that you tested, listed as item number 3. That's the Springfield XD 40 subcompact handgun that was collected from the ground east of the front door.

What did you do with regards to that handgun?
A I examined the item as a primary examiner first and noted the presence or absence of any potential red/brown stains. During that examination, a negative result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from the four tested stains observed on the gun.

I then swabbed the front site, the front end of the slide, and the front end of the body of the gun together for possible residual DNA. I also swabbed the grips for possible residual DNA.

Q And explain that a little bit more. Elaborate on the swab.

What do you mean you swabbed those areas of the gun?

A A swab looks like a one-sided, large Q-Tip.
And I will apply sterile water to that and then swab or scrub the area that $I$ believe would come into contact with utilizing or handing the item. And then $I$ take a second dry swab and swab the same area, as well.

Q And did you -- did you, after taking those swabs of those various parts of the gun, did you test those swabs for the presence of DNA?

A I did.

Q And what were your findings?
A From the swabbing of the front site, the slide, and the front end, body of the gun, the DNA result indicated a mixture. And due to the nature of this mixture, no conclusions could be offered.

Q Okay. And with regard to the swabs of the grip of the gun, or the grips of the gun, what were your findings?

A The DNA results from this swab indicated a mixture. And a male dominant DNA partial profile was determined from this mixture. A comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Alan Honeyestewa reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA partial profile determined from these swabs.

The estimated frequency of this DNA profile is approximately 1 in 5.549 billion individuals. Based upon these results, Alan Honeyestewa cannot be excluded as a source of this DNA profile.

In addition to that, Tieres Lopez and Bradley Smith are excluded as the source of the male dominant

DNA partial profile determined from these swabs.
Q Thank you.
Let's talk about Alan Honeyestewa first. So with regards to him, you stated that the DNA -- and correct me if I'm saying this wrong. I know it's kind of scientific, and I may misspeak as I state this. But it sounded like his DNA profile was the same as the DNA profile that was found on the grip of the gun; is that correct? Is that fair to characterize it that way?

A Can $I$ word it slightly different?
Q Yes. Yes.
A So from that mixture $I$ was able to determine a partial dominant profile. "Partial" means that I did not -- was not able to call the DNA profile at all of the locations. However, of the locations that I made calls at, Alan Honeyestewa's reference sample DNA profile matched.

Q Okay. And it sounds like, I believe you testified, that DNA profile is 1 in 5.549 billion individuals; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q So theoretically, there could be another person walking around on this planet of 7 billion people that might have the same DNA profile?

A Correct.

Q But not likely given those odds, correct?
A Correct.
Q I guess the number speaks for itself. It's 1 in 5.549 billion individuals that would have that particular DNA profile?

A Approximately, yes.
Q Approximately. Okay.
But Mr. Lopez and Mr. Smith are excluded as sources of the dominant -- the partial dominant DNA profile on the handgun, on the grips?

A Yes.
Q Let's move on to the next item, which is item number 4, the Bushnell flashlight. So another flashlight here.

What did you do with that flashlight?
A I examined it first as the primary examiner. And I noted extensive, very small to small, red/brown stains covering the flashlight. And a positive result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from the one tested, very small stain in a group of several small to very small red/brown stains on one end of the flashlight near the power button.

I swabbed these stains together. And then additionally, I tried to swab the unstained areas of the flashlight for potential residual DNA. Although an
attempt was made to avoid the red/brown stain on the item while swabbing it, I did note a red/brown stain to the swabs afterwards and did test them. And there was a presumptive positive of the presence of blood from those swabs.

Q And did you test those -- the swabs of the presumptive bloodstain for the presence of DNA?

A I did.
Q And what was your finding there?
A The DNA results obtained from those swabs indicated a mixture. And a male dominant DNA profile was determined from this mixture. Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Bradley Smith reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA profile obtained from these swabs.

The estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 1.475 octillion individuals. Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Bradley Smith is the source of this DNA profile.

Due to a low level of DNA, no conclusions can be offered from the trace results obtained from these swabs.

Q What do you mean by "trace results"?
A Because there was a mixture, it indicated more
than one individual. I was able to determine a dominant profile, meaning individuals who had more DNA left behind. But $I$ could make no conclusions on the extra DNA in that profile.

Q How much is an octillion?
A A lot. Yes. To put that into perspective, though, there is approximately 500,000 people in Washoe County, approximately 50,000 people in Elko County, and 7 billion people on the planet. So octillion is a lot of people. A lot of (inaudible).

Q The long and short of it, then, is that was Bradley Smith's DNA, that was his blood on the flashlight?

A At our laboratory we utilize what is called source attribution. It is a widely accepted statistic within our field. And from this calculation, our laboratory uses a threshold of 1 in 8 trillion individuals. When the statistic is greater than that, it's reasonable to conclude that the individual in question is the source of that.

And because this number is larger, it's reasonable to conclude that Bradley Smith is the source of that DNA profile.

Q Moving on to the next item, which is item number 15, a Zen head gear face mask, what did you do
with regards to that item of evidence?
A Once again, $I$ examined it for the presence of bodily fluids. And red/brown stain was observed on the face mask. Human blood was indicated in one large tested, red/brown stain on one side of the face mask near the jaw line. And this swab was -- or this stain was swabbed as item 15.1 and 15.3. And these swabs were utilized for DNA analysis.

The interior of the mouth area was swabbed for possible residual DNA at item 15.2, and a portion of these swabs were utilized for DNA analysis.

Q Okay. And with regards to item 15.1, the swab with the bloodstain on the side of the mask near the left jaw line, did you try to determine whose DNA was in that sample?

A I did.
Q Okay. What were your findings there?
A The DNA results obtained from the 15.1 stain swabs indicate a mixture of at least two sources. Assuming two sources, a male dominant DNA profile and an unknown male minor DNA partial profile were determined from this mixture.

Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Alan Honeyestewa reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA profile
obtained from these swabs.
The estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 68.68 centillion individuals. Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Alan Honeyestewa is the source of this male dominant DNA profile.

Alan Honeyestewa, Tieres Lopez, and Bradley Smith are excluded as the sources of the male minor DNA partial profile determined from these stain swabs.

Q Okay. With regards to item 15.2, the swab of the interior mouth area, were you able to reach any conclusions about that?

A The stain results obtained from the mouth area swabs were a mixture and no conclusions could be offered.

Q Moving on to item number 16 , did you have an opportunity to test some firm grip gloves that were collected near the rear middle seat of the green Ford Explorer?

A Yes, I did.
Q What did you do with respect to the gloves?
A I examined it first for the presence of bodily fluids. And a negative result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from the one stain observed on the exterior wrist of the right glove.

Two cuttings were removed from the palm of the right glove for possible residual DNA.

Q What did you do with those?
A I did a DNA analysis.
Q Okay. And what were your findings with regards to those cuttings that were removed from the palm of the right glove?

A The DNA results obtained from these cuttings indicate a mixture. And a male dominant DNA partial profile was determined from this mixture.

Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Tieres Lopez reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA partial profile obtained from these cuttings.

The estimated frequency of the matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 29.72 quintillion individuals. Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Tieres Lopez is the source of this male dominant DNA partial profile.

Due to a low level of DNA, no conclusions could be offered for the trace results from these cuttings.

Q And finally, with respect to item number 17, which is the bandana with the white paisley print collected from under the rear middle seat of the green Ford Explorer, did you test that item?

A I did.
Q And what did you find?
A In examining that item for the presence or absence of bodily fluids, the bandana was received in a folded triangle with the two ends tied together. A very weak positive result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from the one, very small, red/brown stain observed on the interior center region of the bandana as received. I don't know how that bandana was worn, so $I$ will say "as received" for the way that $I$ received it.

A positive result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from the one, medium-sized, red/brown stain observed on the interior, as-received, lower region of the bandana. A portion of this stain was collected and removed as 17.1. Then the interior and exterior as received of the center area, avoiding the stain, and the interior folded area were swabbed together, along with the knot tied for possible residual DNA as 17.2.

Q And did you test 17.1, the blood stain on the lower interior region of the bandana for the presence of DNA?

A I did.
Q And what did you find?

A Comparison of DNA profiles show the DNA profile obtained from the Alan Honeyestewa reference sample to be the same as the DNA profile obtained from this stain. The estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 68.68 centillion individuals.

Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Alan Honeyestewa is the source of this DNA profile.

Q What about the swab of the other parts of the bandana, you know, excluding the stained portion of the bandana?

A The DNA results from that swab indicated a mixture. And due to the nature of the mixture, no conclusions could be offered.

Q Let's move on and talk about your next report, report number 8, which has the same $S D$ number, but this one is listed as report number 8. It's marked for purposes of court and this trial as State's Exhibit 303. I'll show it first to defense counsel.

## This is State's 303. Do you recognize that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Is that your report number 8?
A It is.
Q Okay. And you did the DNA work that is
described in that report?
A Yes, I did.
MR. MILLS: The State is gonna offer State's 303 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: We have no objection.
THE COURT: Exhibit 303 is admitted.
(Exhibit 303 admitted.)
Q BY MR. MILLS: Which item was tested as described in this lab report?

A This will be our agency item number 29, and the submitting agency item number KM 982126. And I described it as a black WildWear neoprene mask.

Q And what did you do with regards to that neoprene mask?

A Again, $I$ first examined it in primary exam for the presence or absence of bodily fluids. And a positive result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from the two of the four tested stains on the exterior of the mask and from the six tested stains on the interior of the mask.

The entire red/brown positive stain on the interior left cheek was collected as 29.1. The interior mouth and nose areas, attempting to avoid staining, were swabbed together for possible residual

DNA as 29.2.
A faint red/brown color was observed on the 29.2 swabs. However, a negative result for the presumptive presence of blood was obtained from this staining.

And the entire medium-sized, faint, red/brown, positive stain near the left eye was collected as 29.3. These swabs were utilized for DNA analysis.

Q Let's talk about each of those swabs in turn.
Starting with 29.1, which is described as the stain on the interior left cheek of that face mask, what did you do with regards to 29.1?

A Ran DNA analysis.
Q What results did you come up with?
A The DNA results obtained from the 29.1 swabs indicated a mixture. And a male dominant DNA profile was determined from the mixture.

Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Joseph Honeyestewa reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA profile determined from the mixture. The estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 68.68 centillion individuals.

Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Alan Honeyestewa is the source of the
determined male dominant DNA profile.
Q Okay. Thank you.
And just to clear up one possible point of confusion with regards to the name of that subject, so on the first one you said "Joseph Honeyestewa," and all the other ones was "Alan Honeyestewa."

Can you look at the report number 7, the last one?

A Yes.
Q And what is the defendant's full name, first and middle name?

A Alan Joseph Honeyestewa.
Q Okay. Just to clarify, the Joseph Honeyestewa and the Alan Honeyestewa referenced in report number 8, that's referring to the same individual?

A Correct.
Q Just one using his first name, and the other using his middle name?

A Correct.
Q So that was 29.1, the stain on the interior left cheek.

Now, let's talk about 29.2, which is the interior mouth and nose area that was swabbed.

What were your findings with regards to that swab?

A The DNA results obtained from these swabs indicated a mixture. And an unknown male $B$ DNA profile was determined from this mixture. This profile -Bradley Smith, Tieres Lopez, and Alan Honeyestewa, and the unknown male minor DNA profile previously referenced in report 7 were excluded as possible sources of the unknown male $B$ DNA partial profile.

Q What do you mean by the unknown A profile referenced in the previous report? Could you explain that?

A In the first report it had an unknown male minor partial profile from one of the items. And at the time, it was the only unknown profile, so my report stated an unknown male profile.

In the second report, there then was a second unknown profile. At this point, $I$ designated them $A$ for the first one and $B$ for the second one, to make it clear in this report what $I$ was referencing from the first report.

Q In other words, with regards to -- so with the stain in 29.1 on the interior left cheek of the mask, that came back as Mr. Honeyestewa, I guess, according to those statistical numbers that you provided?

A It's reasonable to conclude that he is the source, yes.

Q And then 29.2, there's an unknown male B DNA, but you were able to exclude Bradley Smith, Tieres Lopez, and Alan Honeyestewa as the source of that DNA; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Let's move onto the final testing that you did here in this report, which is 29.3, the staining near the left eye of the mask.

What can you tell us about your analysis there?
A The DNA results obtained from these swabs indicate a mixture. And a male dominant DNA profile was determined from this mixture.

Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from Mr. Honeyestewa's reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA profile determined from the mixture.

The estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 68.68 centillion individuals. Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Mr. Honeyestewa is the source of the determined male dominant DNA profile.

And for both 29.1 and 29.3, the total level of DNA and the nature of the mixture, no conclusion can be offered for the minor, slash, trace results obtained.

Q Okay. Let's turn now to your third and final
report, forensic report number 9, under FSD number 18-002964. This has been marked for court purposes as State's 304. I'll show it first to defense counsel. Do you recognize State's 304?

A Yes, I do.
Q What is that?
A It is the report that $I$ authored, forensic report number 9 .

Q Okay. And that pertains to evidence that you analyzed pertaining to this case?

A It does.
MR. MILLS: The State is going to offer State's 304 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. WOODBURY: None.
THE COURT: Exhibit 304 is admitted.
(Exhibit 304 admitted.)
Q BY MR. MILLS: What did you test in this report?

A I tested a series of red stained swabs and a black Still Proud zip-up sweatshirt of unknown size.

Q Let's talk about item number 2 and the sub-items contained therein.

What can you tell us about those?
A First I'll address the parent item. You'll
hear me reference it as item 2. Item 2 contained 11 swab boxes that had red staining collected from the scene and a water control. I was asked to test some of these swabs. And the ones that I tested, I sub-itemed out and gave it its own unique identifier.

I sub-itemed out 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6.

Q And the descriptions of those sub-items, they're described as placard 5, placard 3, placard 24, and so on and so forth, as well as a description of the location within the residence where they were recovered; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, that information, was that transferred from the request form from the law enforcement agency?

A That was entered by myself. It was written on the swab boxes.

Q On the swab boxes. Okay.
So continue telling the jury now of what you did with each of those sub-items, 2.1 through 2.6.

A I noted that each of these swabs contain red/brown staining on the swabs, and proceeded to test them for the presumptive presence of blood. Each of the swabs tested gave a positive presumptive test result.

Q And then did you conduct a confirmatory test on those, as well?

A I did not.
Q Okay. So what did you do?
A I ran DNA analysis.
Q Tell us about the DNA analysis.
A Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Bradley Smith reference sample to be the same as the male DNA profile obtained from each of the $2.1,2.2,2.3,2.4,2.5$, and 2.6
swabs. The estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 1.475 octillion individuals.

Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Bradley Smith is the source of this DNA profile.

Q Same result with regards to all six of those items, items 2.1 through 2.6?

A Correct.
Q Reasonable to conclude that it was Bradley Smith's DNA profile?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Let's move on now to the next item, which is item 11. And that has some sub-items associated with it, as well.

Tell the jury about item 11.
A Item 11 was one black Still Proud zip-up hooded sweatshirt of unknown size.

Q What did you do with that sweatshirt?
A I examined that item for the presence of bodily fluids.

Q Did you find any?
A I did: Red/brown staining on the exterior right cuff; a red/brown stain on the interior of the hood; several red/brown, thick beaded, drop-like stains on the interior of the hood; heavy red/brown staining on the exterior right side of the hood; red/brown staining on the exterior left back shoulder blade region.

Each of these tested positive for the presumptive presence of blood.

Q Did you test them for DNA?
A Of those stains tested, the heavy red/brown staining on the exterior right side of the hood was sampled as 11.1.1. The red brown staining on the exterior back left shoulder blade region was sampled as 11.1.2. And additionally to that, I removed a cutting from the interior left cuff for possible residual DNA.

Q And did you conduct a DNA analysis on 11.1.1 through . 3 ?

A I did.
Q And what conclusion or result did you obtain?
A The DNA results obtained from each of these samples indicated a mixture, and the same male dominant DNA profile was determined from each of them. Comparison of DNA profiles showed the DNA profile obtained from the Bradley Smith reference sample to be the same as the male dominant DNA profile determined from each of these mixtures. The estimated frequency of this DNA profile is approximately 1 in 1.475 octillion individuals.

Based upon these results, it is reasonable to conclude that Bradley Smith is the source of this DNA profile. And due to a low level of DNA, no conclusions can be offered for the trace results obtained from these mixtures.

Q So with that sweatshirt, it's reasonable to conclude that those -- that those parts of the sweatshirt that you tested, those samples, it's reasonable to conclude that it was Bradley Smith that is the source of the DNA from those samples?

A That is correct. MR. MILLS: I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross examination?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q I want to go back to the item 3, the sub -subcompact pistol.

Do you have a report there in your hands?
A I do.
Q Okay. And can you tell the jury slightly slower than you did before where you tested, where you swabbed to get these results?

A I took two sets of swabs from this item. The first sets of swabs were from the front site, the front end of the slide, and the front end of the body of the gun. Those areas were all swabbed together.

Q And what is the front end of the body of the gun?

A So just the front portion, from the opening of the gun, approximately a few inches in. The reason for this is I attended a conference where I saw a presentation that showed that through research out of Miami, that oftentimes guns are placed not in a holster, but rather in the front of a belt or a pair of pants. So that part of the gun may come in contact with an individual who had the gun in their possession.

Q The -- and with respect to all of those items in the front of the gun, the subcompact, you reached no
conclusions?
A It was a mixture that $I$ could draw no conclusions from, correct.

Q So in other words, you reached no conclusion?
A Correct.
Q Yeah. Okay.
But from the grip of the gun, you did see something that you did reach a conclusion from?

A That is correct.
Q All right. And what was that?
A A male dominant DNA partial profile was determined from that mixture.

Q Okay.
And that was -- turned out to be defendant's, Mr. Honeyestewa?

A I cannot reasonably conclude that, however, Mr. Honeyestewa cannot be excluded as a source.

Q But Mr. Smith and Mr. Lopez were excluded?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Now, if you go back to the glove that you examined, you took the blood -- no blood, but you examined a -- the palm of the right glove, right?

A Correct. I removed two cuttings from this area.

The palm of the glove, $I$ would reasonably
assume, would come in contact with the potential wearer or user of that item, and that's where I sampled from.

Q And you made a determination that that glove had been -- the DNA sample that you got belonged to Tieres Lopez?

A It was reasonable to conclude that, yes.
Q Okay. And that was on the inside of the glove?
A Correct.
Q All right. And was any sample done of the outside of the glove?

A No.
Q Thank you.
Then with respect to the mask?
A Which mask?

Q Huh?
A There were two masks in this case. Which one are you referencing?

Q I don't know. I'll have to catch up with you.
A Okay.
Q You examined two masks?
A I did.
Q And can you describe them?
A I can.
Q Would you?
A Yeah. The first mask was in forensic report
number 7. And I described item 15, agency submitting item 44 NS 83701. One black Zen headgear, unknown size face mask with red staining collected from the front passenger seat of the green Ford Explorer.

Q Uh-huh.
And what did you find on that mask? Did you get an analysis that told you who was wearing the mask?

A I collected red/brown staining and swabbed for possible residual DNA.

Are you referencing the possible residual DNA?
Q Yeah.
A From those swabs, there was an indication of a mixture. But due to the nature of the mixture, no conclusions could be offered.

Q Okay. And then the second mask?
A That is in forensic report number 8.
Q Uh-huh.
You found blood on the exterior of the mask?
A And the interior.
Q And the interior.
And that blood belonged to Mr. Honeyestewa?
A Each of those swabbed stains indicated a mixture, and the same male dominant DNA profile was determined. And, yes, comparison of DNA profiles obtained from the Mr. Honeyestewa's reference sample
was the same as the male dominant DNA profile determined from those mixtures.

Q And so the blood samples are on the exterior. And that wouldn't tell you who was wearing the mask, that only tells you who bled on it?

A Really, it doesn't tell me either. I can only say what the results were. I can't testify as to how they got there.

Q And on that mask, that black mask in report number 8, that turned out -- you did swab, or whatever you do with the mouth and nose area, and you couldn't determine who had been wearing that mask, or had breathed into it, or anything like that?

A I was able to determine a partial DNA profile. However, it did not match any of the reference samples in question for this case.

Q Okay. And by that you mean you received the DNA profile of a list of people, and it didn't match any of those people?

A I received what's known as a reference sample. And a reference sample can either be the swabbing of the inside of the cheek, it could be a blood spot catch from autopsy, and we process those just like we process casework samples. And then I compare the profiles obtained from those to the profiles of evidence.

And in this particular instance, none of those profiles matched.

Q Now, with respect to the bandana, you tested two things, I guess. You tested bloodstains you found on the bandana?

A One of them, correct.
Q Where was it at?
A The one that was collected was the medium-sized red/brown stain observed on the interior as received, lower region of the bandana.

Q And so that looked like a blood droplet, or a swipe, or something like that?

A It was just a large -- a medium sized red/brown stain. And it did give a positive result for the presumptive presence of blood. The other stain that I reference in the report gave a weak positive. And based on the stronger indication from the second stain, that's why I took that one.

Q So the stain on the blood -- or on the bandana, the bloodstain was that of Mr. Honeyestewa?

A The stain gave a positive result for the presumptive presence of blood. And I did not confirm it as blood. And that is correct. The DNA profile obtained matched that of Mr. Estewa (phonetic).

Q Honeyestewa?

A Honeyestewa, yes, I'm very sorry. Excuse me.
Q All right. And then there was a -- whatever else you found on the rest of the bandana, you couldn't draw any conclusions about that?

A Additionally, I swabbed that item for possible residual DNA. I swabbed the unstained areas of the inside and the outside. And on the inside, that would have been folded together, and the knot that was tied. And that is correct, it indicated a mixture. And due to the nature, no conclusions could be offered.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. MILLS: Nothing based on that, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Do you need this witness retained?
MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: We do not.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Chilton. You're
excused from further attendance at this trial. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

Thank you.
Next witness for the prosecution?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, the State is likely going to rest, but we would like some time just to gather our thoughts and make sure that we are going to rest. We have no more additional witnesses for either side, but I would like to go on the record outside the presence of the jury to talk about tomorrow.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Woodbury, are you going to be ready with whatever you need to do by 9:00 tomorrow?

MR. WOODBURY: It depends on how you define "ready," Judge. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to recess for tonight.

> (Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: Please be in the jury room by 9:00 tomorrow morning.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The defendant and counsel are present. We are outside the presence of the jury.

Mr. Ingram, you have an issue?
MR. INGRAM: Just some clarification, Your Honor. As I understand it, Mr. Woodbury is going to call Speedy, Tieres Lopez, first, after his opening, I guess.

What we spoke about in the presence of

Mr. Woodbury on telephone in your chambers was that $I$ would ask that that -- excuse me, it's been a long day -- that Speedy be questioned about whether he's going to testify outside the presence of the jury.

My reasoning for that is that theoretically, Mr. Woodbury could ask a leading question, which would plant into the jurors' minds what he wants exactly to come from Mr. Speedy, and that would be unethical of him, but that can happen.

And I think that's unfair if this Court is going to rule that his testimony is inadmissible -excuse me, not his testimony, his statement to a private investigator just days ago is inadmissible.

So that's what I would like to hash out today so I would know what to prepare for tomorrow.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, I spoke to David Loreman today and asked him to go talk to Mr. Lopez. I don't know if he could get into the jail. He's gonna try to talk to him only if he couldn't get into the jail. I don't know if he has talked to him.

I told you both on the phone that $I$ was going to find an attorney to go talk to him about -- you know, to advise him and talk to him about the law in connection with his possible testimony. The result of that, I don't know. I shouldn't know, I guess, until
they get into the courtroom.
So, Mr. Ingram, what's your theory on why, if the private investigator is going to be called to testify, why he could not testify about what Speedy may have told him?

MR. INGRAM: It's inadmissible hearsay.
THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, so is your plan to put Speedy on the stand first thing tomorrow?

MR. WOODBURY: It is.
THE COURT: So let's address the subject first of whether it should be done outside the presence of the jury.

So what's your position regarding that?
MR. WOODBURY: My position is, is the jury ought to be allowed to see Tieres Lopez. There's no question in my mind about that. I can't fathom why $I$ would all of a sudden not be able to put an adverse witness so that the jury could see him. I don't understand upon what principle you can do that.

THE COURT: Well, you say "adverse witness." Are you talking about a hostile witness where you're gonna want to lead him?

MR. WOODBURY: I'm not gonna lead him anyplace. I can't lead him anyplace. I have no idea of what Mr. Lopez is gonna say, not a clue.

He has indicated in a telephone conversation, and in a statement to Mr. Kolsch, that he was not going to testify. I have no idea if that means what it did with Mr. Holley, that he's gonna answer four or five questions, and then invoke it, whether he will, if $I$ ask him how old are you, if he will say, I'm not gonna tell you, Woodbury. I don't have a clue in the world, nor does the court or, for that matter, the prosecution of what he's gonna say.

I want certain information out of him. And if I can get it, I will. But I cannot -- I can't lead my own witness. I mean, even I understand. I might screw it up now and then, but even $I$ understand that you can't do that, or you're not supposed to. So I'm - - I have no clue what Mr. Ingram is basing his claim that I can't put this guy on before a jury.

THE COURT: What's your legal reason, Mr. Ingram, why he should not offer his testimony, or lack of it, in front of the jury, just as Mr. Holley did?

MR. INGRAM: I'm not accusing -- or I'm not saying that Mr. Woodbury would do something unethical or he would lead this witness. What I want to make sure what doesn't happen, first of all, $I$ want to make sure this is fair to the state, just like it is to

Mr. Honeyestewa. And what $I$ want to prevent is that Tieres Lopez gets in front of a jury, and Mr. Woodbury's question goes something like, Isn't it true that you told Michael Kolsch that you were the one that shot Bradley Smith? And isn't it true that you were the one who said $I$ set this up with Jennifer Stanger?

Because that doesn't give me any opportunity to cross examine. And so by doing that, that's unfair, because now he has planted this into the mind of the jurors. And we have no opportunity for cross examination, because we know what he's going to do on that stand. He said so twice.

Furthermore, we have a jail phone conversation right here that happened on the 12 th of October where Mr. Lopez tells his brother that it was Mike Kolsch who told him what to say in that statement, and that there was no reason for two people to go down on this murder, since he's already doing life in prison for it.

And in no way whatsoever am $I$ implying that Mr. Woodbury was involved in that. I've worked with that man for a long time, and $I$ know he would never do anything like that. But that's the allegation made against Michael Kolsch. And I would be happy to mark this exhibit right now and let you listen to it, if you
would like to.
THE COURT: Well, I'm just thinking here a minute. So I understand your claim; Mr. Woodbury could ask his questions, leading or not, and Tieres says, I'm not gonna answer that. And then you're saying, how do you cross examine, "I'm not gonna answer that"?

MR. INGRAM: Correct.
THE COURT: So, Mr. Woodbury, how do you respond to that?

MR. WOODBURY: He's suggesting that I'm
adding -- asking leading questions. I'm not allowed to do that on direct examination.

THE COURT: And $I$ tell the jurors that questions are not evidence.

MR. WOODBURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: So he is going to be allowed to
testify, or refuse to testify in front of the jury. It seems like what you have there on that disk, Mr. Ingram, would be something for cross examination.

MR. INGRAM: It would be. But I don't even think we get there, Judge, because -- well, if he testifies about what he told Michael Kolsch, then, yes, this is cross examination material. Absolutely.

THE COURT: Even if he denies it, isn't it?
MR. INGRAM: Yeah. It's inconsistent,
absolutely.
THE COURT: Right. I think you've still got that.

MR. INGRAM: We've still got that.
And I'm not objecting to what -- to putting
Mr. Lopez on the stand and letting him examine him, and let him say whatever he wants to say. I'm not trying to prevent him from testifying. I'm trying to prevent his out-of-court statement from coming into evidence, by whatever mechanism, except for him testifying in here, in court, and me having the opportunity to cross examine him.

THE COURT: What out-of-court statement? What are you talking --

MR. INGRAM: To Michael Kolsch.
THE COURT: You're talking about Kolsch
testifying?
MR. INGRAM: No, I'm talking about -- yes, that's how it would have to come in.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're saying "he," I thought we were back on Speedy. Now you're talking about Kolsch?

MR. INGRAM: Excuse me?
MR. MILLS: There is a recorded interview between Kolsch and Speedy.

THE COURT: Well, $I$ don't have that, as far as I know. That's not in the exhibits that I've gone through, unless it was added in the last few days.

MR. MILLS: It's a recent interview, I think.
THE COURT: All right. So I've already ruled that Speedy is going to go up in front of the jury just as Mr. Holley did. I'm gonna trust Mr. Woodbury not to lead. I think you both -- I think you've all been following the Rules of Evidence. There's some leading going on, but nobody is objecting. And it's just kind of the normal thing that happens in a trial to get somebody through the minor stuff to get to the real evidence.

So then you are arguing, Mr. Ingram, that Mr. Kolsch cannot then get up to testify, because it's hearsay about what Speedy told him.

So, Mr. Woodbury, what's your response to that?
MR. WOODBURY: My response to that is if Speedy gets up there and says, I'm not gonna testify, he becomes unavailable as a witness. Because in the little phone conversation that Mr. Ingram is referring to, they were laughing at an additional consecutive year as a punishment for not testifying as being kind of a joke. I think we're all a joke, but that's what his point of view was.

They have a couple of -- or three options:
They could go nail his brother for screwing around with justice, but they won't arrest him either. And that would have, from my point of view, a good affect on Mr. Lopez if he saw his brother was getting jammed up for being a jerk on the telephone conversation and soliciting him to evade and avoid justice. That would be a problem.

So he's unavailable. And they are statements he would have made, from my point of view, that were not -- that are so far against his interests as to make them more credible. And because the interest -- I know that you've heard this, and $I$ doubt seriously that you want to hear it again. But this has nothing to do with anything but what happens at the Nevada State Prison.

Part of the telephone conversation there between Lopez and his brother has to do with the question of whether Lopez has spread all over the Northern Nevada Correctional Center what a jackass my client is, because he, quote, testified against Lopez. My client has never testified against Lopez at all.

THE COURT: No.
MR. WOODBURY: But what they're doing is they're trying, those two beauties, are trying to get this situated in a way that Mr. Honeyestewa is not
only, if he gets convicted, does he go to prison, what really happens is he goes down, loses his life, because he's a rat. And that, so clearly, is against his interest, Lopez's interest. And if he said things that are against his interest, they're not hearsay.

MR. INGRAM: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MR. INGRAM: Nothing about what Tieres Lopez said in that interview is against his interest. He is doing life in prison. And so to say that that is trustworthy because it's against his interest is a stretch.

THE COURT: 25 to life. He has a possibility of getting out in 25 years.

MR. INGRAM: He actually says -- excuse me.
Because Kolsch is so fantastic in his interview, he led every single question during this interview. He spoon-fed Tieres Lopez every single answer. And on three occasions, he actually got Mr. Lopez to reaffirm, three times, that Mr. Honeyestewa knew when they left the house, where they picked him up, they were going to go, quote-unquote, jack the guns. Now, that's favorable evidence to the State, Judge. But that doesn't make it admissible.

THE COURT: Now, I'm looking at a couple of
different things here, and I'm not going to give you a decision tonight. I'm gonna look at these things overnight. One of the cases I've got here is Cheatham V. State, $C$ h-e-a-t-h-a-m. And there's language in it that says since the declarant refused to testify at trial, however, this Court held in Kaplan that the declarant was unavailable as a witness, and further held that because the declarant was unavailable as a witness and thus not subject to cross examination, that prior inconsistent statements were therefore inadmissible.

So him being unavailable does not make his -if they are prior inconsistent statements, does not make them admissible. Simple unavailability, as far as I can tell, does not make hearsay admissible. And I have to look at this some more.

There's also Bruton, the admission of a nontestifying co-defendant's inculpatory statement that expressly implicates the defendant violates the confrontation clause.

So we've got some pretty complicated issues going on here. You're both making arguments to me without giving me any real law. I have some law sitting here, because $I$ was anticipating this issue through another witness.

So what we're going to do is I'm going to look at this overnight. We'll come back in here at 8:30 in the morning, and you can argue it some more after you've looked at some of this law. I'll look at it, and I'll make a decision on whether Mike Kolsch is going to be allowed to testify. I would like to have his interview.

Is that recorded or is that transcribed?

MR. INGRAM: Yes.
MR. WOODBURY: It's recorded.
THE COURT: Okay. Is there a copy that I can listen to overnight?

MR. INGRAM: I don't mind. I don't mind at all, Judge.

MR. WOODBURY: I don't have --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have it in an e-mail
that $I$ can forward on.
THE COURT: How long is the interview?
MR. INGRAM: 19 minutes, approximately.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Can you forward that to Julie, please?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MR. INGRAM: Judge, so you know, I was relying on Cheatham. I have that case right here in my hand.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I've been
looking at these cases last week, this week, I was looking at them this morning. I have some other cases, as well, sitting here. I'm not sure if they pertain. Shaw V. State, that's kind of a big case. Richard V. State. and there's Bruton.

So I am really tired. It's been a long day for me, as well. There's Campo, $C-a-m-p-o$, versus Vail. That's another one sitting here in my stack. Most of these have to do with the confrontation clause, which isn't really what we're talking about here, because it's a defense witness.

So I'm gonna look at this stuff overnight, listen to that interview. And we'll be back here at 8:30 in the morning to argue that part of it.

MR. INGRAM: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned. (Evening recess.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1217:15, 1218:2, | 1156:24, 1210:9, | 1253:3, 1260:19, | graduating [1] - | 1260:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1220:12, 1221:19, | 1220:4, 1220:24, | 1261:2, 1262:17, | 1219:9 | guys [4]-1154:12, |
| 1221:21, 1225:25, | 1228:10, 1264:9 | 1264:6 | grand [1] - 1227:11 | 1176:17, 1206:4, |
| 1226:1, 1226:16, | follows [1] - 1218:3 | FSD [3] - 1226:13, | greater [1] - 1234:18 | 1207:25 |
| 1229:7, 1231:3, | footage [2] - 1192:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1226:14, } 1245: 1 \\ & \text { full } \end{aligned}$ | green [3] - 1236:18, |  |
| $1239: 20,1240$ | $11!$ | $1185: 19,1242: 10$ | 1237:25, 1253:4 <br> grip [4] - 1230:10 |  |
| $1242: 5,1242: 10$, $1242 \cdot 17 \quad 1243 \cdot 11$ | $1150: 19,1150: 21$, 1151.2 | urniture [1] - 1166:9 | 1231:8, 1236:17, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1242:17, 1243:11 } \\ & 1243: 17,1243: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 115 | RTHER [2] - | 1251:7 | 1267:4 |
| 1245:3, 1245:25, | 1237:25, 1253:4 | furthermo | $123$ | hair [3] - 1158:24, |
| 1250:11, 1252:25, | Forensic [7] - | 1261:14 | ground [1] - 1229:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1160:7, 1223:20 } \\ & \text { hairs [4] - 1159:12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1257:23, 1258:16, | 1218:15, 1218:18, | future [1] - 1202:25 | group [1] - 1232:20 | 1159:13, 1159:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1259:8, 1259:10, } \\ & \text { 1260:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1218:22, 1219:11, } \\ & \text { 1220:5, 1221:10, } \end{aligned}$ |  | guess [15]-1138:14, | 1222:2 |
| FIS [3]-1161:9, 1198.20-1198:22 | 1224:21 |  | 1155:4, 1161:6, | $\text { half }[2]-1222: 19$ <br> hand $[3]-1158: 24$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1198:20, 1198:22 } \\ \text { five }[7]-1137: 16, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forensic }[7]-1170: 3 \text {, } \\ & 1226: 6,1226: 8, \end{aligned}$ | 10, | 1173:10, 1178:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hand }[3]-1158: 24 \text {, } \\ & 1160: 8,1268: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1156:6, 1208:15, | 1245:1, 1245: | 1200:12, 1257:2 | 1221:17, 1232:3, | handed [1] - 1141:25 |
| 1220:21, 1220:22, | 1252:25, 1253:16 | gear [1] - 1234:25 | 1243:22, 1255:4, | handgun [3]- |
| 1222:13, 1260:4 | forensics [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { general [3] - } \\ & \text { 1144:22. 1169:9. } \end{aligned}$ | 1257:24, 1258:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 1229: 4,1229: 6, \\ & 1232: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| five-step [1] - | 1222:24 | 1144:22, 1169:9, | guilty [6] - 1179:12, | handle [1]-12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1222:13 } \\ & \text { flashlight }[18] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forever }[1]-1207: 25 \\ & \text { form [2] - 1164:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 1194:5 <br> generally [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1179:14, 1179:22, } \\ & \text { 1185:4, 1194:15 } \end{aligned}$ | handle [1] - 1228: handling [1] - |
| 1204:21, 1204:23, | 1246:15 | 1135:4 | gun [35]-1137:9, | 1229:24 |
| 1205:11, 1205:24, | forth [1] - 1246:10 | generate [2] - <br> 1225:12 1226.20 | 1153:14, 1153:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hands }[6]-1137: 1, \\ & 1137: 3.1137: 9 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1206: 1,1227: 10 \\ & 1227 \cdot 12 \quad 1227 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | forward [3] - | 1225:12, 1226:20 <br> genes [1] - 1223: | $1154: 9,1156: 6$ | 1137:3, 1137:9, 1137:15, 1137:21, |
|  | 1155:14, 1268:17, | genetic [1]-1222•18 | 1156:10, 1157:17, | 1250:5 |
| 1228:12, 1232:13, | 1268:20 | genetically [1] - | 1157:18, 1157:20, | happy [1] - 126 |
| 1232:14, 1232:15, | 11 | 1223:14 | 1159:9, 1168:1 | hard [2] - 1156:24, |
| 1232:18, 1232:22, | 1157:21, 1157:22, | genome [1] | 1170:13, 1170:15, | 1168:14 |
| 1232:25, 1234:13 | 1158:15, 1181:2, | 1223:15 | 1170:17, 1178:21, | harm [1] - 1199:18 |
| flashlights [3] - | 1229:11, 1240:19, | given [9]-1132:15 | 1179:4, 1182:10, | harmed [1] - 1151:19 |
| 1208:19, 1212:17, | 1260:4 | 1162:21, 1186:7, | 1229:12, 1229:14 | hash [1] - 1258:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1212:19 } \\ & \text { floor [10] - 1165:2 } \end{aligned}$ | frame [1] - 1221:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1193:6, 1208:13, } \\ & \text { 1210:24, 1229:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1229:20, 1230:2, } \\ & 1230: 7,1230: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hassett [3] - } \\ & \text { 1165:21, 1165:22, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1166:8, 1167:10, | 1201:23, | $1232: 1,1257: 14$ | 1231:8, 1250:13 | 1172:18 |
| 1168:7, 1168:13, | 1204:14 | glass [1] - 1228:22 | 1250:15, 1250:17, | head [2] - 1189:15, |
| 1169:16, 1171:3, | [1] - 1209:16 | glasses [2] | 1250:22, 1250:23, | 1234:25 |
| 1171:4, 1171:17 | freezer [2] - 1173:6, | 1228:20, 1228:21 | 1250:25, 1251:7 | headgear [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fluid [2]-1222:8, } \\ & \text { 1223:4 } \end{aligned}$ | 1173:9 | glove [9]-1236:25, | gunfire [2] - 1168:5, | 1253:2 |
| fluids [7]-1222:1, | $\begin{gathered} \text { frequency [10] - } \\ \text { 1224:15, 1230:20, } \end{gathered}$ | 1251:20, 1251:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1168:6 } \\ & \text { guns [7]-1154:6, } \end{aligned}$ | hear [6] - 1133:17, |
| 1222:25, 1235:3, | 1233:16, 1236:2, | 1251:25, 1252:3, | 1154:14, 1206:16, | 1135:10, 1136:3, |
| 1236:23, 1238:4, | 1237:15, 1239:4, | $1252: 7,1252: 10$ | 1206:17, 1250:20, | 1223:25, 1246:1, |
| 1240:17, 1248:6 <br> folded [3] - 1238:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1241:21, 1244:17, } \\ & \text { 1247:11, 1249:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gloves [2] - 1236:17, } \\ & \text { 1236:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1266:22 } \\ & \text { gunshot }[10]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1265:14 } \\ & \text { heard [8]-1147:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1238:18, 1256:8 | fresh [1] - 1159:8 | gonna [23] - 1138:2, | 1136:8, 1137:1, | 1147:15, 1147:24, |
| folk [1] - 1172:2 | front [28]-1150:7, | 1141:2, 1160:5, | 1137:2, 1137:3, | 1174:15, 1174:19, |
| folks [1] - 1154:17 <br> follow [2]-1161:16, | 1163:18, 1168:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1164:13, 1183:1, } \\ & \text { 1183:5, 1208:12, } \end{aligned}$ | 1137:5, 1138:2, 1138:5, 1138:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1185:17, 1191:13, } \\ & 1265: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1203: 17$ | 1168:14, 1171:20, | 1217:1, 1225:25, | 1138:5, 1138:14, <br> 1190:6, 1190:7 | hearing [1] |
| follow-up [1] - | 1172:15, 1198:14, | 1240:3, 1258:19, | gurney [2] - 1165:16, | hearsay [6] - 1183:2, |
| $1203: 17$ | 1198:15, 1229:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1259:22, 1259:23, } \\ & 1259: 25,1260: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1172:14 | 1183:11, 1259:6, 1264:16, 1266:5, |
| followed [6] - | $1229: 13,1229: 14$ | 1259:25, 1260:4, | guy [10] - 1151:4, | 1264:16, 1266:5, |
| 1182:2, 1184:5, | 1230:6, 1230:7, | 1260:6, 1260:9, 1262:5, 1262:6, | 1151:8, 1151:17, | 1267:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1186: 18,1186: 20 \\ & 1203: 13,1203: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $1250: 11,1250: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1262:5, 1262:6, } \\ & \text { 1264:7, 1264:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 1152:4, 1159:24, 1161:24, 1168:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { heavy [2]-1248:11, } \\ & 1248: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| following [6] - | $\begin{aligned} & 1250: 14,1250: 16 \\ & 1250: 21,1250: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $1267: 2,1269: 12$ | 1171:9, 1215:22, | held [3] - 1216:11, |




|  | 1157:10, 1162:21, | 1254:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1225: 19,1225: 21,$ | 1167:1, 1175:17, | $\text { listed }[3]-1227: 8 \text {, }$ | 1159:16, 1163:15, | major [2] - 1199:21, |
| 1226:12, 1240:10 | 1182:16, 1196:15, | 1229:3, 1239:18 | 1200:22, 1204:25, | 1219:2 |
| laboratory [3] - | 1201:22, 1211:17, | listen [4]-1153:12, | 1205:2, 1209:24, | majority [2]-1133:7, |
| 1225:24, 1234:14, | 1235:19 | 1261:25, 1268:12, | 1213:16, 1266:25, | 1143:9 |
| 1234:17 | leave [6] - 1145:10, | 1269:13 | 1269:1, 1269:2 | makeup [1] - |
| lack [1] - 1260:19 | 1171:16, 1216:11, | listened [2] - 1153:3, | looks [2] - 1228:22, | 1222:18 |
| lady [1] - 1185:14 | 1216:15, 1228:13, | 1188:3 | 1229:21 | male [31] - 1230:14, |
| Lake [4]-1209:7, | 1228:25 | literature [1] - | loose [1] - 1158:24 | 1230:18, 1230:25, |
| 1209:12, 1213:10, | leaves [1] - 1228:23 | 1220:14 | Lopez [57] - 1153:14, | 1233:11, 1233:15, |
| 1213:12 | leaving [3] - 1204:9, | living [10] - 1166:23, | 1153:16, 1154:8, | 1235:20, 1235:21, |
| language [1] - | 1204:11, 1207:20 | 1166:25, 1169:14, | 1154:13, 1156:9, | 1235:25, 1236:6, |
| 1267:4 | led [1] - 1266:16 | 1169:20, 1169:22, | 1156:21, 1157:20, | 1236:8, 1237:9, |
| laptop [1] - 1203:15 | left [24] - 1150:8, | 1170:8, 1172:23, | 1157:24, 1160:1, | 1237:13, 1237:19, |
| large [5] - 1142:7, | 1154:7, 1170:11, | 1189:9, 1193:2, | 1173:5, 1176:10, | 1241:16, 1241:20, |
| 1142:10, 1229:21, | 1170:13, 1171:2, | 1219:5 | 1178:20, 1179:12, | 1242:1, 1243:2, |
| 1235:4, 1255:13 | 1177:1, 1177:17, | loaned [2] - 1211:2, | 1179:21, 1179:25, | $1243: 5,1243: 7$ |
| larger [1] - 1234:21 | 1178:15, 1210:13, | 1215:13 | 1180:6, 1181:6, | 1243:11, 1243:14, |
| last [4] - 1217:15, | 1222:25, 1227:24, | locate [3] - 1151:7 | 1182:4, 1182:6, 1182:9, 1182:24 | 1244:1, 1244:11, <br> 1244:15, 1244:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1242:7, 1264:3, } \\ & \text { 1269:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 1235:14, 1240:23, | located [8] - | 1183:8, 1183:9, | 1247:9, 1249:4, |
| lasts [1] - 1137:17 | 1241:7, 1241:11, | 1145:20, 1153:14 | 1184:4, 1184:18, | 1249:8, 1251:11, |
| late [1]-1161:1 | 1242:21, 1243:21, | 1155:21, 1156:16, | 1185:3, 1191:20, | 1253:23, 1254:1 |
| laughing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1244:8, 1248:13, } \\ & \text { 1248:21. 1248:23. } \end{aligned}$ | 1163:6, 1169:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 1194: 15,1207: 10 \\ & 1207: 15,1207: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{man}[1]-1261: 22$ |
| 1264:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1248:21, 1248:23, } \\ & 1266: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 1170:22, 1177:7 <br> locating [1] - | $1207: 21,1215: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manipulate [1] - } \\ & \text { 1170:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1203:5 | $\mathbf{l e g}[1]-1199: 21$ | 1187:14 | 1230:24, 1232:8, | mark [1] - 1261:24 |
| law [10]-1139:11, | legal [2]-1178:13, | location [3] - | 1236:7, 1237:12, | marked [3] - |
| 1140:11, 1209:8, | 1260:17 | 1153:17, 1224:6, | 1237:18, 1243:4, | 1225:25, 1239:18, |
| 1213:10, 1213:13, | length [1] - 1151:13 less [11-1229:1 | 1246:11 | 1244:3, 1251:18, <br> 1252:5, 1257:23 | 1245:2 |
| 1246:15, 1258:23, | less [1]-1229: <br> letting [1]-1263 | locations [4] - | 1258:18, 1259:15, | mask [24] - 1217:19, |
| 1267:23, 1268:4 | level [4]-1233:21, | $1231: 15$ | 1259:25, 1261:2, | 1235:5, 1235:13, |
| laying [3]-1163:18, | 1237:20, 1244:22, | locked [1] - 1152:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1261:16, 1263:6, } \\ & \text { 1265:5, 1265:17, } \end{aligned}$ | 1240:13, 1240:15, |
| 1165:16, 1168:13 | 1249:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Locuson [1] - } \\ & 1111 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $1265: 18,1265: 20,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1240:20, 1240:21, } \\ & \text { 1241:11, 1243:21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| lead [26] - 1133:5, | Lieutenant [10] - | 1144:12 | 1265:21, 1266:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1241:11, 1243:21, } \\ & \text { 1244:8, 1252:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1133:14, 1133:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 1141: 15,1144: 6 \\ & 1175: 3,1175: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { logic [3]-1148:13, } \\ & 1158: 7,1158: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $1266: 18,1266: 19$ | $1252: 14,1252: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1133:25, 1134:1, } \\ & \text { 1144:3, 1144:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 1175:6, 1176:2, | $\operatorname{login}[1]-1132: 19$ | Lopez's [1] - 1266:4 | 1253:3, 1253:6, |
| 1146:17, 1147:4, | 1176:3, 1181:11, | look [29]-1140:25, | Loreman [1] - | 1253:7, 1253:15, |
| 1147:19, 1159:20, | $1182: 3,1184: 4$ | 1143:5, 1143:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1258:17 } \\ & \text { loses [1] - 1266:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1253:18, 1254:4, } \\ & \text { 1254:9. 1254:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1159:24, 1161:18, | $\begin{gathered} \text { life [4] - 1261:19 } \\ \text { 1266:2, 1266:10, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1149:16, 1150:16, } \\ & \text { 1151:23, 1156:2, } \end{aligned}$ | Iow [4] - 1213:1, | masks [2] - 1252:16, |
| 1192:17, 1195:4, | 1266:13 | 1157:13, 1158:6, | 1233:21, 1237:20, | 1252:20 |
| 1196:14, 1197:23, | light [9]-1151:5, | 1158:15, 1158:20, | 1249:14 | master [17] - 1153:8, |
| 1259:22, 1259:23, | 1151:6, 1153:7, | 1159:12, 1172:1, | lower [3] - 1238:15, 1238.22, 1255:10 | 1153:10, 1154:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1259: 24,1260: 11 \\ & 1260: 23,1264: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1153:10, 1153:25, } \\ & \text { 1154:3, 1154:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1177:23, 1189:7, } \\ & \text { 1205:5, 1210:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1238:22, 1255:10 } \\ \text { luck [2] - 1157:25, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1155: 21,1163: 2, \\ & \text { 1163:24, 1164:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| leader [1] - 1221:12 | $1198: 1$ | 1212:22, 1216:4, | 1191:7 | 1164:24, 1165:3, |
| leading [4]-1258:6, | lights [1] - 1205:6 | 1220:13, 1220:16, | lying [1] - 1157:19 | 1166:7, 1166:21, |
| 1262:4, 1262:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { likely [2] - 1232:1, } \\ & 1257: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1221:21, 1223:23, } \\ & 1242: 7.1267: 2 . \end{aligned}$ | M | 1166:24, 1167:17, 1168:3, 1169:13 |
| 1264:9 | $1257: 1$ |  | IM |  |
| learned [5] - | 1150:12, 1154:24, | $1268: 4,1269: 12$ | Macfarlan [5] - | match [9]-1223:3, |
| 1137:23, 1141:16, | 1155:6, 1235:6, 1235:14 | looked [6] - 1145:4, 1149:13, 1150:2, | 1193:21, 1194:17, | 1224:5, 1224:10, |
| 1185:19 | lined [1] - 1155:5 | 1220:23, 1255:11, | 1207:11, 1207:15, | 1224:11, 1254:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { least }[14]-1136: 20, \\ & 1143: 17,1148: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lines [2]-1150:6, } \\ & \text { 1154:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 1268:4 <br> looking [14] - | 1207:19 magazine [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1254:18 } \\ & \text { matched [6] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1156:14, 1156:16, | list [2] - 1216:25, | 1145:8, 1146:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1155:20, 1156:1 } \\ & 1156: 22,1157: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| 1157:18, 1231:17, | 1162:11, 1167:20 | minor [7]-1228:9, | 1232:12, 1239:16, | 1263:23, 1263:24, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1255: 2,1255: 24$ matches [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { memory }{ }^{[1212: 23-} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1235:21, 1236:8, } \\ & \text { 1243:5, 1243:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1244:6, 1247:23 } \\ & \text { moved }[3]-1166: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1264:4, 1264:18, } \\ & \text { 1265:23, 1266:6, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1224:3, 1224:9 | mention [3] | 1244:24, 1264:12 | 1167:23, 1221:3 | 1266:8, 1266:15 |
| tching | 1178:22, 1178:24, | minute [3]-1183:6, | movement [1] | 1268:9, 1268:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1233: 16,1236: 2, \\ & 1237: 15,1239: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 1199:20 mentio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1195:22, 1262:3 } \\ & \text { minutes [3]-1193:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 1171:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1268: 13,1268: 15, \\ & 1268: 19,1268: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1241:22, 1244:17, | 1143:10 | 1208:15, 1268:19 | 1155:14, 1234:24, | 1269:15 |
| 1247:11 | met $[8]-1135: 16$, | mirror [1] - 1192:25 | 1236:16 | murder [2] |
| material [6] - | 1135:23, 1139:6 | misleading [1] - | MR [118] - 1132:7, | 1179:15, 1261:18 |
| 1142:17, 1142:19, | 1141:14, 1144:12, | 1224:1 | 1132:8, 1132:23, | must [1] - 1162:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1172:16, 1175:19, } \\ & \text { 1223:13, 1262:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 1144:13, 1161:3, 1173:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { misspeak }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 1231:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1133:1, 1133:4, } \\ & \text { 1133:22, 1133:23, } \end{aligned}$ | muzzle [1] - 1170:18 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1223:13, 1262:23 } \\ \text { materials [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1173: 17 \\ \text { methods [2] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1231:6 } \\ & \text { mistake }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 1133:22, 1133:23, <br> 1134:22, 1134:23, | N |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1172:13, 1222:11 } \\ & \text { matter }[7]-1164: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1224:20, 1224:22 } \\ & \text { Miami }[1]-1250: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $1155: 16,1155: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1164:13, 1164:17, } \\ & \text { 1164:22, 1183:1, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1175:25, 1176:22, | Michael [4]-1261:4, | 1227:23, 1227:25, | 1183:5, 1183:19, | nail [1] - 1265:2 <br> name [19] - 1141:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1183:17, 1193:25, } \\ & \text { 1222:20, 1260:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1261: 24,1262: 22, \\ & 1263: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1228:3, 1228:5, <br> 1230:8, 1230:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1183:22, 1184:2, } \\ & \text { 1192:22, 1192:23, } \end{aligned}$ | 1142:2, 1167:17, |
| 1222:20, 1260:8 <br> McKinney [10] - | 1263:15 <br> middle [4]-1236:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1230:8, 1230:9, } \\ & \text { 1230:14, 1230:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 1193:3, 1193:14, | 1185:17, 1185:19, |
| 1141:16, 1144:6, | 1237:24, 1242:11, | 1231:12, 1233:11, | 1193:15, 1193:19, | 1187:18, 1193:21 |
| 1162:21, 1175:3, | 1242:18 | 1233:12, 1233:25, | 1199:24, 1200:2, | 1198:7, 1209:23, 1210:6, 1213:15, |
| $1175: 5,1175: 6$, $1175 \cdot 25,1181 \cdot 11$ | might [33]-1142:20, | 1235:19, 1235:22, | 1200:5, 1208:3, <br> 1208:20, 1208:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1210:6, 1213:15, } \\ & \text { 1217:14, 1217:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1175:25, 1181:11, } \\ & \text { 1181:25, 1184:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1144:1, 1144:21, } \\ & \text { 1148:4, 1148:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1236:14, 1237:9, } \\ & \text { 1237:10, 1239:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1208:20, 1208:21, } \\ & \text { 1208:25, 1209:1, } \end{aligned}$ | 1222:9, 1242:4, |
| McKinney's [4] - | 1148:24, 1149:16 | 1241:16, 1241:17 | 1209:4, 1209:5, | 1242:10, 1242:11, |
| 1153:4, 1176:2, | 1151:7, 1152:13, | 1241:21, 1243:2, | 1209:9, 1209:10, | 1242:17, 1242:18 |
| 1176:3, 1182:3 | 1154:7, 1157:13, | 1243:3, 1244:11, | 1209:11, 1209:19, | named [1] - 1185:14 nature [19] - |
| mean [13]-1140:6, 1140:15, 1148:6 | 1157:15, 1158:14, 1159:18, 1159:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1244:12, 1244:16, } \\ & \text { 1244:23, 1249:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1210:2, 1210:4, } \\ & \text { 1210:7, 1210:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { nature [19]- } \\ \text { 1153:11, 1156:7, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1206:13, 1224:10, | 1160:11, 1163:11, | 1251:2, 1251:12, | 1210:16, 1210:17, | 1156:12, 1176:12 |
| 1228:3, 1228:4, | 1163:13, 1169:15, | 1253:13, 1253:23, | 1210:20, 1210:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1176:15, 1179:1, } \\ & 1182: 12,1184: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 1228:6, 1229:19, | 1170:7, 1176:10, | 1256:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1211:1, 1211:5, } \\ & \text { 1211:7, 1211:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1182:12, 1184:8, } \\ & \text { 1190:17, 1201:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1233:24, 1243:8, | 1186:1, 1190:20, | $\begin{gathered} \text { mixtures }[3]- \\ \text { 1249:9. 1249:1 } \end{gathered}$ | 1211:11, 1211:18, | 1201:9, 1204:6, |
| meaning [2] - | 1194:8, 1194:24, | 1254:2 | 1211:25, 1212:1, | 1227:25, 1228:3, |
| 1227:23, 1234:2 | 1195:1, 1206:18, | mock [4]-1220:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1212:5, 1212:7, } \\ & \text { 1212:14, 1212:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1230:8, 1239:14, } \\ & \text { 1244:23, 1253:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { means }[5]-1157: 9, \\ & \text { 1224:2, 1224:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1216:16, 1228:25, } \\ & \text { 1231:24, 1260:12 } \end{aligned}$ | 1220:21, 1220:22 | 1212:14, 1212:15, <br> 1214:16, 1215:4, | $1256: 10$ |
| $1231: 13,1260: 3$ | Mike [2]-1261:16, | 1220:16 | 1215:8, 1215:11, | near [10]-1168:6, |
| meant [2]-1216:14, | 1268:5 | model [1] - 1221:24 | 1216:6, 1216:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1171:17, 1172:4, } \\ & \text { 1172:7, 1232:22, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1221:13 } \\ & \text { mechanism [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | Miller [1] - 1182:10 millimeter [4] - | money [1] - 1203:8 <br> month [1] - 1220:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1216:14, 1216:22, } \\ & \text { 1216:24, 1217:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1172:7, 1232:22, } \\ & \text { 1235:6, 1235:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1263:10 | 1166:6, 1169:2 | onths [1] - 1220:3 | 1218:6, 1224:24, | 1236:18, 1241:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { media }_{[1]}-1223: 24 \\ & \text { medial }_{[1]}-1178: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1169:11, } 1176: 11 \\ \text { MILLS }_{[15]}-1216 \end{gathered}$ | Moon [2]-1209:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1225:6, 1225:8, } \\ & \text { 1226:25, 1227:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1244:8 } \\ & \text { nearly }[1]-1175: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| medical [4] - | 1218:6, 1224:24, | morning [6] - | 1227:6, 1240:3, | necessarily [4] |
| 1165:20, 1165:21, | 1225:8, 1226:25, | 1132:9, 1133:11, | 1240:6, 1240:9, | 1149:12, 1170:10 |
| 1172:12, 1178:11 | 1227:6, 1240:3, <br> 1240:9, 1245:12 | 1257:16, 1268:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1245:12, 1245:15, } \\ & \text { 1245:18, 1249:23, } \end{aligned}$ | 1171:7, 1184:25 necessary [1] |
| Medical [1] - 1143:15 medically [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 1240: 9,1245: 12, \\ & 1245: 18,1249: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1269: 2,1269: 14 \\ \text { most [2] - 1162: } \end{gathered}$ | 1249:25, 1250:2, | 1199:17 |
| 1199:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1256:14, 1256:18, } \\ & 1263: 24,1264: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1269:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1256:11, 1256:14, } \\ & \text { 1256:18, 1256:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { need [8] - 1144:1, } \\ \text { 1151:22, 1157:21, } \end{gathered}$ |
| medium [4] - 1238:13. 1241:6 | Mills [1] - 1217:21 | mostly [1] - 1146:5 mother [3] - 1185:20 | 1257:1, 1257:10, | 1185:1, 1198:25, |
| $1255: 8,1255: 13$ | mind [8]-1147:25, | 1187:7, 1222:20 | 1257:21, 1259:6, | $1216: 8,1256: 17$ |
| medium-sized [3] - | 1152:12, 1152:16, <br> 1152:25, 1259:16 | mouth [6] - 1235:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1259:9, 1259:14, } \\ & \text { 1259:23, 1260:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1257:8 } \\ & \text { needed [4] - 1144:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1238:13, 1241:6, } \\ & \text { 1255:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1152: 25,1259: 16, \\ & 1261: 10,1268: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1236:11, 1236:13, } \\ & \text { 1240:24, 1242:23, } \end{aligned}$ | 1262:7, 1262:10, | 1144:21, 1146:5, |
| meeting [1] - 1202:8 meetings [2] - | minds [1] - 1258:7 <br> mine [1] - 1150:22 | 1254:11 <br> move [5] - 1229:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 1262: 15,1262: 20, \\ & 1262: 25,1263: 4, \\ & 1263: 15,1263: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 1159:21 negative [3] 1229:9, 1236:23, |





| 1238:10, 1238:11, | redirect [2] - | rehabilitation [2] - | 1240:10, 1242:7, | 1138:3, 1138:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1238:14, 1238:17, | 1193:16, 1256:13 | 1204:3, 1204:5 | 1242:14, 1243:6, | 1138:14 |
| 1254:17, 1254:20, | REDIRECT [2] - | relapse [2] - | 1243:9, 1243:11, | resources [2] - |
| 1255:9 | 1193:18, 1214:15 | $1202: 22,1202: 23$ | 1243:13, 1243:15, | $1144: 1,1144: 21$ |
|  |  |  | 1243:18, 1243:19, | re |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1264:4 } \\ & \text { recess }[7] \text { - 1193:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { refer [5] - 1170:3, } \\ & \text { 1172:9, 1173:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1159:9 } \\ & \text { relates [1] - 1195:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 1245:7, 1245:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 1236: 21,1237: 22, \\ & 1250: 24,1252: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1193:7, 1208:8, | 1189:14, 1189:19 | relatively [3] | 1245:19, 1250:5, | 1255:3 |
| 1208:12, 1208:15, | reference [19] - | 1159:8, 1160:11 | 1252:25, 1253:16 | respond [3] - 1148:8, |
| 1257:12, 1269:17 | 1179:10, 1223:2 | 1202:8 | 1254:9, 1255:16 | 1262:9, 1266:6 |
| Recess [4] - 1193:9, | 1224:4, 1230:17 | relatives [1] - 1187:8 | reported [2] - | responded [4] - |
| 1208:16, 1212:9, | 1231:16, 1233:14, | release [1] - 1161:12 | 1158:23, 1209:16 | 1135:13, 1143:21, |
| 1257:17 | 1235:24, 1237:12 | released [5] - | reports [12]-1134:6, | 1195:24, 1199:6 |
| recognize [3] | 1239:2, 1241:19, | 1161:6, 1161:8 | 1154:18, 1165:19, | responding [1] - |
| 1226:3, 1239:21, | 1244:14, 1246:1, | 1161:9, 1209:11, | 1166:3, 1167:2, | 1165:23 |
| 1245:4 | 1247:8, 1249:7, $1253: 25,1254:$ | 1209:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1167: 5,1172: 6 \\ & 1225: 12.1225: 1 \end{aligned}$ | response [3] - |
| $121$ | $1254: 20,1254: 21,$ | releases [1] - 1223:7 |  | 1183:20, 1264:17 |
| recollection [1] - | 1255:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relevance }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 1195:10 } \end{aligned}$ | 1226:16 | responsibility [2] - |
| 1186:16 | referenced [3] - | relying [1] - 1268:23 | represent [2] - <br> 1193.25, 1207.1 | $1139: 14,1139: 17$ |
| record [7] - 1132:3 | 1242:14, 1243:6, | remember [24] - | 1193:25, 1207:16 | rest [3]-1256:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1132:16, 1132:18, } \\ & \text { 1134:17, 1193:10 } \end{aligned}$ | 1243:9 referencing [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1141:11, 1143:12, } \\ & \text { 1146:20, 1147:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { represented [5] } \\ & \text { 1194:3, 1194:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1257:2, 1257:3 } \\ & \text { result [14] - 1229:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1212:10, 1257:5 | 1243:18, 1252:17, | 1147:16, 1147:25, | 1194:12, 1194:16, 1207:11 | 1230:7, 1232:18, |
| recorded [3] - | 1253:10 | 1149:11, 1149:19, | 1207:11 | 1236:23, 1238:6, |
| 1263:24, 1268:8, | referring [6] | 1152:9, 1153:21, | request $[4]-1162: 1$, | 1238:12, 1240:18, |
| 1268:10 | 1169:18, 1170:15 | 1155:23, 1166:2, | 1194:9, 1199:1, | 1241:3, 1246:25, |
| RECORDED [1] - | 1188:1, 1198:13, | 1167:8, 1167:14, | 1246:15 | 1247:17, 1249:2, |
| 1132:1 | 1242:15, 1264:21 | 1173:14, 1173:21, | requested [4] - | $1255: 14,1255: 21,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { recording } \\ & \text { 1174:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { reflect [3] - } 1132 \\ 1193: 10,1212: 10 \end{array}$ | 1183:24, 1184:7, <br> 1203:20, 1205:2 | $1179: 9,1212: 19$ | 1258:24 <br> results [28] - |
| recover | refrigerator [1] | 1205:3, 1213:1, | required [5] - | 1227:22, 1230:13, |
| $1187: 25,1192: 6$ | 1173:6 | $1215: 15,1216: 5$ | 1219:7, 1219:22, | 1230:22, 1233:10, |
|  |  | remove [3] - | $1220 \cdot 21$ |  |
| 1177:13, 1246:12 recovering [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { refused [1] - 1267:5 } \\ & \text { regard [2] - 1227:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1176:18, 1199:14, } \\ & 1217: 18 \end{aligned}$ | research [1] - | 1236:4, 1236:13, |
| 1192:6 <br> recover | $1230: 10$ <br> regarding | $\begin{gathered} \text { removed [7] - } \\ \text { 1176:20, 1199:1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1250:19 } \\ & \text { residence [20] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1237:8, 1237:17, } \\ & \text { 1237:21, 1239:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1192:25 | 1182:4, 1203:17 | 1237:1, 1237:6, | 1156:17, 1156:19, | 1239:13, 1241:14, |
| RECROSS [2] - | 1206:1, 1206:6 | 1238:16, 1248:22 | 1160:17, 1160:18, | 1241:15, 1241:24 |
| $1200: 4,1215: 10$ | 1206:11, 1225:4, | 1251:23 | 1161:1, 1161:5, | 1243:1, 1244:10, |
| recross [3] - | 1259:13 | render [1] - 1225:2 | 1166:25, 1172:12, | 1244:19, 1244:24, |
| 1132:22, 1200:1 | regards [13] - | Reno [1] - 1219:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1173:9, 1184:6, } \\ & \text { 1187:5, 1187:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 1247:14, 1249:3, 1249:12, 1249:15, |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { red [4]-1245: } \\ 1246: 2.1248: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1186: 21,1229: 6 \\ & 1231: 4,1235: 1 \end{aligned}$ | repeating [2] - <br> 1223:21, 1224 | 1189:22, 1191:20, | $1250: 9,1254: 7$ |
| 1253:3 | 1235:12, 1236:10 | repeats [1] - 1223:17 | 1192:3, 1192:24, | retained [2] - 1216:9, |
| Red/brown [1] - | 1237:5, 1240:14, | replication [1] - | 1208:23, 1212:21, | 1256:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1248:8 } \\ & \text { red/brown [21] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1241:12, 1242:4, } \\ & \text { 1242:24, 1243:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 1223:13 | $\begin{gathered} 1212: 24,1246: 11 \\ \text { residences }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { return [1] - 1210:12 } \\ & \text { review [3] - 1134:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1229:9, 1232:17, | 1247:17 | 1143:17, 1149:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1150:7 } \\ & \text { residual [12] - } \end{aligned}$ | 1210:18, 1213:21 <br> reviewing [3] - |
| 1232:21, 1233:1 1233:2, 1235:3 | $1238: 15,1238: 22$ | 1165:22, 1170:3, 1172:9, 1173:2, | 1227:20, 1229:15, | 1149:10, 1165:23, |
| 1235:5, 1238:8, | 1248:14, 1248:21 | 1189:14, 1189:19, | 1229:16, 1232:25, | 1215:23 |
| 1238:14, 1240:22, | 1255:10 | 1196:23, 1206:5, | 1235:10, 1237:2, | Richard [1] - 1269:4 |
| 1241:2, 1241:6, | Regional [3] - | 1206:6, 1224:7, | 1238:20, 1240:25, | rolled [1] - 1172:18 |
| 1246:22, 1248:9, | 1135:14, 1143:15, | $1226: 6,1226: 8$ | 1248:23, 1253:9, | Romaine [8] - |
| 1248:10, 1248:11, | 1143:20 <br> regions [1]-1223:23 | 1226:18, 1226:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1253:10, 1256:6 } \\ & \text { residue [8] - 1137:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1185:14, 1185:19, } \\ & \text { 1185:21, 1186:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1248:12, 1248:18, 1253:8. 1255:9. | regions [1] - 1223:23 <br> regular [1] - 1169:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1226:22, 1239:16, } \\ & 1239: 17.1239: 18 . \end{aligned}$ | 1137:2, 1137:4, | 1185:21, 1186:7, <br> 1186:13, 1187:2, |
| 1253:8, 1255:9, 1255:13 | rehab [1] - 1202:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1239:17, 1239:18, } \\ & \text { 1239:23, 1240:1, } \end{aligned}$ | 1137:5, 1137:9, | 1187:16, 1214:6 |


| Romaine's [1] - | 1223:2, 1223:9, | 1139:1, 1139:5, | series [6] - 1172:22, | 1170:8, 1170:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1187:7 | 1249:4, 1249:19, | 1139:11, 1144:17, | 1219:22, 1220:16, | 1198:2 |
| room [22] - 1139:6, | 1249:21, 1254:3, | 1145:15 | 1222:3, 1223:6, | short [8] - 1137:14, |
| 1139:7, 1165:15, | 1254:15, 1254:24 | see [36]-1136:2, | 1245:20 | 1137:18, 1137:19, |
| 1166:24, 1166:25, | sat [1] - 1162:4 | 1136:4, 1140:25, | seriously [1] - | 1144:15, 1144:18, |
| 1167:3, 1167:7, | saw [7]-1150:21, | 1149:6, 1149:16, | 1265:13 | 1221:12, 1223:16, |
| 1167:9, 1167:10, | 1156:16, 1167:21, | 1150:2, 1150:22, | served [1] - 1190:1 | 1234:11 |
| 1167:18, 1169:14, | 1184:11, 1192:8, | 1151:6, 1155:15, | serves [1] - 1212:23 | shortly [1] - 1210:12 |
| 1169:20, 1169:22, | 1250:18, 1265:5 | 1156:18, 1157:14, | services [1] - | shorts [1] - 1166:1 |
| 1170:8, 1171:2, | scene [17] - 1139:10, | 1158:15, 1159:13, | 1198:24 | shot [8]-1159:9, |
| 1171:3, 1171:17, <br> 1171:18, 1172:23 | 1139:12, 1143:21, $1143: 25,1144: 16$, | 1166:7, 1175:9, $1181 \cdot 9,1190: 19$ | set [2] - 1160:1, | 1165:9, 1166:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1171:18, 1172:23, } \\ & 1189: 9,1193: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1143:25, 1144:16, } \\ & \text { 1144:17, 1144:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1181:9, 1190:19, } \\ & 1195: 10,1195: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 1261:6 | 1168:2, 1168:12, |
| 1257:15 | 1144:20, 1145:6, | 1201:1, 1205:5, 1205:18, 1207:1 | $1250: 11$ | 1261:5 |
| roundtable [8] 1162:5. 1162:8. | 1145:7, 1145:16, 1145:21, 1146:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1205:18, 1207:19, } \\ & \text { 1209:22, 1210:15, } \end{aligned}$ | setting [2] - 1197:13, | shots [1] - 1156:2 |
| 1162:10, 1162: | 1150:10, 1199:7, | 1210:19, 1213:22, | 1200:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { shoulder [2] - } \\ 1248: 13,1248: 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1166:19, 1167:15, | 1223:1, 1246:3 | 1214:18, 1217:19, | seven [2]-1156:6, | show [5] - 1225:25, |
| 1167:20, 1170:25 | scenes [2]-1139:1 $1199 \cdot 2$ |  | 1157:2 | 1226:1, 1239:1, |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ruiz [5] - 1198:7, } \\ \text { 1198:11, 1198:13, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1199:2 } \\ & \text { scheduled [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1224:17, 1227:13, } \\ & \text { 1251:7, 1259:15, } \end{aligned}$ | several [6] - 1136:24, | 1239:20, 1245:3 |
| 1204:18, 1204:25 | 1204:10, 1204:12 <br> Science [7] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1259:18 } \\ & \text { seeing [3] - 1156:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1146:4, 1153:16, |
| rule [2]-1194:5, |  |  | 1248:10 | 1153:19, 1172:8, |
| 1258:11 | Science [7] - 1218:15, 1218:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1165:18, 1166:2 } \\ & \text { seem [2] - 1160:14, } \end{aligned}$ | shard [2] - 1202:13, | 1173:4, 1181:21, |
| ruled [1] - 1264:5 | 1218:22, 1219:11, |  | 1202:17 | 1230:16, 1233:13, |
| Rules [1] - 1264:9 | 1220:6, 1221:10, | 1213:7 | Shaw [1] - 1269:4 | 1235:23, 1237:11, |
| run [1] - 1222:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1224:21 } \\ & \text { science [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { segments [1] - } \\ & 1223: 21 \end{aligned}$ | shed [2] - 1228:18, | 1241:18, 1244:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { running [2] - } \\ & 1145: 14,1146: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1218:24, 1218:25 } \\ \text { scientific [4] - } \end{gathered}$ | semen [2] - 1222:1, | 1228:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1247:7, 1249:6, } \\ & 1250: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | semi [1] - 1169:5 semi-automatic [1] - | 1156:16, 1157:5 | shown [2]-1187:6, |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1158:12, 1167:2, } \\ & \text { 1195:11, 1231:6 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1157:14, 1158:19, | 1187:16 |
| safe [3]-1153:14, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1195:11, 1231:6 } \\ \text { scientifically } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { semi-automatic [1] - } \\ & \text { 1169:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1158:21, 1169:12, } \\ & \text { 1170:1, 1170:14, } \end{aligned}$ | shows [1] - 1183:14 <br> side [6] - 1150:10, |
| 1153:20, 1154:9 sagebrush [1] - | 1224:21 | send [6] - 1143:3, <br> 1143:9, 1151:10, <br> 1194:20, 1194:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1170:22, 1179:8 } \\ & \text { shells [14] - 1155:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1235:5, 1235:13, } \\ & \text { 1248:12, 1248:19, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1150:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1265:2 } \\ & \text { scrub [1] - 1229:23 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1156:6, 1156:23, } \\ & \text { 1157:2, 1157:9, } \end{aligned}$ | side's [1] - 1155:13 |
| saliva [2]-1222:1, |  | 1205:11 sending [1] - 1143:6 | 1157:21, 1157:22, | sided [1] - 1229:21 |
| 1222:21 | SD [1] - 1239:17 |  | 1158:1, 1158:11, | sign [1] - 1166:8 |
| salsa [2] - 1202:13, | se [3] - 1137:19, | 1148:14 | 1158:13, 1158:15, | signal [1] - 1205:11 |
| 1202:16 | 1138:9, 1187:15 | sensitive [1] - | 1167:22, 1170:7, | signed [1] - 1207:19 |
| Salt [4] - 1209:7, | seals [1] - 1138:13 <br> search [3]-1189:21, | 1222:6 | 1170:15 | significant [4] - |
|  |  | sent [6]-1143:6, | Sherb [1] - 1193:21 | 1188:9, 1188:11, |
| sample [21] - 1140:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 1189: 22,1190: 2 \\ & \text { seat }[3]-1236: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1143:13, 1151:23, } \\ & 1159: 19, ~ 1177: 11, \end{aligned}$ | sheriff's [6] - | $1201: 3,1216: 1$ |
| 1140:22, 1178:1, |  | 1179:7 | 1134:19, 1142:13, | similarity [2] - $1176 \cdot 1,1198: 6$ |
| 1178:14, 1222:12, | $1237: 24,1253: 4$ | separate [2] | 1206:4, 1219:8 | simple [3] - 1200:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1230:17, 1231:16, } \\ & \text { 1233:14, 1235:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 1189:21, 1189:22, | $1155: 20,1225: 14$ | Sheriff's [10] - | $1224: 7,1267: 14$ |
| $1235: 25,1237: 12$ | 1229:25, 1243:15, | separated [1] - | 1140:7, 1144:14, | simply [3] - 1137:2, |
| 1239:2, 1241:20, | 1243:17, 1253:15, | 1142:9 Septe | 1180:5, 1185:25, | $1139: 15,1141: 10$ |
| 1244:15, 1247:9, | $1255: 17$ | 1160:23, 1161:2 | 1218:14, 1218:18, | simultaneously [2] - 1138:11, 1138:20 |
| 1249:7, 1252:4, | section [6] - | sequentially [1] - | 1218:21, 1220:5 | single [2] - 1266:17, |
| 1252:9, 1253:25, | 1219:19, 1219:25, | 1226:8 | shoes [1] - 1136:24 | 1266:18 |
| 1254:20, 1254:21 <br> sampled [3] - | $1221: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sergeant [9] - } \\ & \text { 1144:12, 1144:14, } \end{aligned}$ | shoot [2] - 1168:14, | sit [2] - 1181:25, |
| 1248:20, 1248:21, | $\begin{gathered} \text { sections [2] - } \\ 1223: 16,1223: 18 \end{gathered}$ | 1192:3, 1192:5, | shooting [9] - | 1214:25 <br> site [3]-1229:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1252: 2 \\ & \text { samples }[11]- \\ & 1179: 10,1220: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { secured [2] - } \\ & \text { 1139:13, 1142:10 } \\ & \text { securing [5] - } \end{aligned}$ | 1192:13, 1206:9, | 1135:25, 1136:15, | $1230: 6,1250: 11$ |
|  |  | 1212:16, 1214:20, | 1157:20, 1162:17, | sitting [3] - 1267:24, |
|  |  |  | 1162:19, 1169:15, | $1269: 3,1269: 8$ |


| situated [1] - | 1228:24 | SPEAKER [3] - | $1255: 14,1255: 15$ | 1204:20, 1205:16, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1265:25 | soliciting [1] - | 1209:21, 1268:16, | 1255:17, 1255:19, | 1206:15, 1206:24, |
| situation [2] - | 1265 | 1268:2 | $1255: 21$ | $1207: 4,1261:$ |
| 1168:5, 1229:1 | someone [7] - | speaking [1] - | stained [2] - | Stanger's [3] - |
| six [6] - 1156:6, | 1197:25, 1201:3, | 1192: | 1239:11, 1245:20 | 1184:14, 1197:25, |
| 1157:2, 1172:22, | 1209:2, 1213:2, | speaks [2]-1184:23, | staining [12]- | 1200:21 |
| 1220:13, 1240:20, | 1213:5, 1223:3, | 1232:3 | $1240: 25,1241: 5$ | start [6] - 1132:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1247:17 } \\ & \text { six-mor } \end{aligned}$ | 1224:5 <br> some | $\begin{aligned} & \text { specific [8]-1171:5, } \\ & 1173: 1,1176: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1244: 8,1246: 2, \\ & 1246: 22,1248: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1135:11, 1174:6, } \\ & 1181: 15,1219: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1220: 13$ | 1149:13, 1156:23, | 1176:15, 1182:13, | 1248:11, 1248:13, | 1221:1 |
| 1144:21, 1177:16, | sometime [1] | 1223:4 | 1253:3, 1253:8 | 1135:12, 1219:17 |
| 1245:21, 1248:3, | 1142:15 | specifically [11] - | stains [11] - 1222:11, | starting [3] - |
| 1253:2 | sometimes [2] - | 1132:15, 1144:5, | 1229:9, 1229:11, | 1223:13, 1227:8, |
| $\text { sized }[4]-1238: 13$ | 1158:4, 1228:21 | 1149:19, 1177:9, | 1232:18, 1232:21, | 1241:10 |
|  |  |  |  | $2,$ |
| skin [1] - 1228:18 | somewhe | 1203:4, 1206:1, | 1248:18, 1253:22 | 1240:3, 1245:12, |
| slash [1] - 1244:24 | 1158:14, 1170:24 | 1218:15 | Stake [9]-1133:5, | 1257:1, 1260:25 |
| slide [3] - 1229:14, | soon [1] - 1210:23 | specifics [2] | 1134:18, 1187:21, | 1265:15, 1266:23, |
| 1230:6, 1250:12 | Sorensen [1] - | $1162: 18,1204: 7$ | 1193:20, 1209:13, | 1267:4, 1269:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { slightly [4]-1169:8, } \\ & \text { 1202:3, 1231:10, } \end{aligned}$ | 1203:21 <br> sorry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { specified [1] - } \\ & 1153: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1210:22, 1214:17, } \\ & 1215: 12,1217: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1269:5 } \\ & \text { state }[6]-1147: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1250: 7$ | 1136:3, 1141:6, | speculate [6] | stand [4] - 1140:2 | 1152:16, 1187:10, |
| slower [1] - 1250:8 | 1142:24, 1147:12 | 1140:4, 1140:6 | 1259:8, 1261:13, | 1216:1, 1217:14, |
| small [8] - 1223:8, | 1148:12, 1149:15, | 1148:7, 1148:10, | 1263:6 | 1231:6 |
| 1223:16, 1232:17 | 1150:20, 1156:24 | 1151:20, 1151:22 | stand-up [1] - | State's [12] - |
| 1232:20, 1232:21, | $1163: 5,1167: 4$ | Speedy [17]-1160:1, | 1140:24 | 1134:18, 1134:19, |
| 1238:7 | 1168:21, 1169:17, | 1178:20, 1179:5, | standard [6] - <br> 1148:2, 1159:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1226: 1,1226: 2 \\ & 1226: 15,1227: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| smaller [1] - 1222:13 | 1173:15, 1174:17, | 1193:25, 1194:15, | 1148:2, 1159:11, 1175:17. 1179:24 | 1226:15, 1227:1, 1239:19, 1239:21, |
| Smith [47] - 1136:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1184:1, 1186:11, } \\ & \text { 1192:16, 1200:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1202: 9,1210: 24, \\ & 1257: 23,1258: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1175:17, 1179:24, } \\ & 1180: 2,1224: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1239:19, 1239:21, } \\ & \text { 1240:3, 1245:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1158:24, 1159:7, | $1206: 25,1207: 22$ | 1258:8, 1259:4 | standardized [2] - | $1245: 4,1245: 12$ |
| 1160:4, 1160:8, | 1213:4, 1215:17, | 1259:8, 1263:21, | 1175:13, 1175:15 | statement [7] - |
| 1160:22, 1161:3, | $1256: 1$ | 1263:25, 1264:6, | standards [1] - | 1162:22, 1258:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1161:4, 1162:23, } \\ & \text { 1163:18, 1163:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sort [8] - 1142:14, } \\ \text { 1144:17, 1148:8, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1264:16, 1264:18 } \\ \text { spell [1] - } 1217: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1223:1 } \\ & \text { standing }{ }_{[1]}-1151: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1260:2, 1261:17, } \\ & \text { 1263:9, 1263:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1165:8, 1165:25, | 1179:25, 1189:22 | spending [1] | Stanger [50] | 1267:18 |
| 1166:5, 1166:20, | 1194:25, 1198:1, | 1203: | 1137:25, 1138:15, | statements [8] - |
| 1167:23, 1171:1, | 1224:10 | spoon [1] - 1266:18 | 1139:6, 1139:19, | 1184:13, 1184:20, |
| 1171:16, 1172:18, | sounded [1] - 1231:7 | spoon-fed [1] 1266:18 | 1140:15, 1141:17, 1146:19. 1148:17. | 1184:21, 1201:14, 1215:16, 1265:9 |
| 1172:25, 1185:20, | sounds [4] - 1183:3, | 1266:18 | 1146:19, 1148:17, 1148:24, 1149:7, | $1267: 10,1267: 13$ |
| 1202:7, 1203:7, | 1231:18 | spread [1] - 1265:18 | 1151:3, 1151:14, | statistic [3] - |
| 1203:11, 1203:22, | source [20] | Springfield [1] - | 1152:3, 1152:13, | 1224:12, 1234:15, |
| 1203:23, 1204:4, | 1157:22, 1173:4 | 1229:4 | 1152:18, 1153:7, | 1234:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1214:8, } 1230: 25, \\ & 1232: 8,1233: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1202: 18,1230: 23 \\ & 1230: 25,1233: 19 \end{aligned}$ | stack [1] - 1269:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1153:13, 1160:1, } \\ & \text { 1160:9, 1162:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { statistical }[1] \text { - } \\ & 1243: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1232:8, 1233:14, } \\ & \text { 1233:19, 1234:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1230: 25,1233: 19 \\ & 1234: 15,1234: 20 \end{aligned}$ | stage [1] - 1162:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1160:9, 1162:21, } \\ & \text { 1163:3, 1163:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1243:23 } \\ & \text { stay }[3]-1143: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| 1236:8, 1243:4, | 1234:22, 1236:6 | $12$ | 1163:23, 1164:11, | 1204:13, 1207:2 |
| 1244:2, 1247:8, | 1237:18, 1239:8 | 1235: | 1164:23, 1173:4, | stayed [2] - 1168:3, |
| 1247:15, 1249:7, | 1241:25, 1243:25 | 1235:6, 1235:18, | 1173:9, 1174:24, | 1221:7 |
| 1249:13, 1249:20, | 1244:3, 1244:21, | $1236: 9,1236: 13$ | 1184:11, 1197:8, | $\text { stays [1] - } 1137: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1251:18, 1261:5 } \\ & \text { Smith's [8] - } 1172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1247: 15,1249: 13 \\ & 1249: 21,1251: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1236:24, 1238:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1197:13, 1198:8, } \\ & \text { 1198:12, 1198:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { steal [2]-1154:14, } \\ & \text { 1206:16 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1185:20, 1191:10, | sources [5] - 1232:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 1238: 14,1238: 15, \\ & 1238: 18,1238: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 1200:8, 1201:2, | Steinbach [7] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1201:24, 1206:16, } \\ & \text { 1217:4, 1234:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1235: 19,1235: 20 \\ & 1236: 8,1243: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 1239:4, 1240:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1201:17, 1202:7, } \\ & \text { 1202:12, 1202:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1188:18, 1188:20, } \\ & \text { 1202:9, 1210:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1217:4, 1234:12, } \\ & \text { 1247:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1236:8, 1243:7 } \\ & \text { south [3] - 1169:22, } \\ & 1172: 23,1190: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1241:7, 1241:11, } \\ & \text { 1242:20, 1243:21, } \\ & \text { 1248:9. 1255:9. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1202: 12,1202: 18, \\ & 1202: 21,1203: 14, \\ & 1203: 22,1204: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1202:9, 1210:23, } \\ & \text { 1211:2, 1215:2, } \\ & 1215: 13 \end{aligned}$ |




| vast [1] - 1143:9 | wants [2]-1258:7, | WITNESS [13] - | 1192:21, 1211:1, | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vault [1] - 1142:14 | 1263:7 | 1184:1, 1212:18, | 1215:7, 1216:25, |  |
| $\text { vehicle }[7]-1188: 23 \text {, }$ | warrant [1] - 1190:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1212:22, 1213:4, } \\ & 1213: 7.1213: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1217:7, 1225:5, } \\ & \text { 1257:7, 1257:22 } \end{aligned}$ | Zen [2] - 1234:25, |
| 1213:23, 1214:19, | washed [2] - | 1213:18, 1213:24, | 1258:1, 1258:6, | 1253:2 |
| 1214:22, 1215:2 | 1137:15, 1227:20 | 1214:2, 1214:4, | 1259:7, 1260:7, | zero [2] - 1206:24, |
| vein [1] - 1199:21 | Washoe [17] - | 1214:10, 1216:20, | 1260:22, 1261:21, | 1211:2 |
| verbal [1] - 1134:6 | 1143:4, 1143:9, | 1217:16 | 1262:3, 1262:8, | zip [2] - 1245:21, |
| verified [1] - 1211:17 | 1172:2, 1177:12, | witness [26] - | 1264:7, 1264:17 | 1248:2 |
| versus [2] - 1228:8, | 1179:7, 1198:20, | 1132:10, 1132:13, | Woodbury's [3] - | zip-up [2] - 1245:21, 1248:2 |
| 1269:7 | 1198:21, 1199:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1134:19, 1164:14, } \\ & \text { 1164:15, 1208:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 1132:21, 1134:20, | 1248:2 <br> Zoom [2] - 1132:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { vertical }[1]-1170: 18 \\ & \text { video }[11]-1174: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1199:6, 1199:9, } \\ & \text { 1218:14, 1218:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1164:15, 1208:7, } \\ & \text { 1216:8, 1216:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 1261:3 <br> word [2] - 1223:25, | 1132:18 |
| 1191:19, 1191:21, | 1218:21, 1219:10, | 1218:2, 1224:25, | 1231:10 |  |
| 1191:24, 1192:1, | 1220:5, 1224:20, | 1225:1, 1225:7, | wording [2] - |  |
| 1192:8, 1192:9, | 1234:7 | 1249:23, 1256:16, | 1182:13, 1205:3 |  |
| 1192:10, 1210:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { watch [2]-1132:14, } \\ & 1132 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1256:17, 1256:25, } \\ & \text { 1259:18, 1259:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { words [4]-1179:2, } \\ 1184: 8.1243: 20 . \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1213:21, } 1214: 18 \\ & \text { videos [1] - 1187:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 1132:15 <br> watching [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 1259: 18,1259: 20 \\ & 1259: 21,1260: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1184:8, 1243:20 } \\ & 1251: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { videos [1] - 1187:22 } \\ & \text { view [11] - 1155:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 1132:12, 1132:17 | 1260:23, 1264:20, | workplace [2] - |  |
| 1155:8, 1155:10, | water [2] - 1229:22, | 1267:7, 1267:9, | 1210:19, 1213:22 |  |
| 1155:13, 1174:5, | 1246:3 | 1267:25, 1269:11 | world [1] - 1260:7 |  |
| 1184:18, 1185:24, | waved [1] - 1204:24 | Witness [1] - | worn [1] - 1238:10 |  |
| 1205:8, 1264:25, | waving [1] - 1204:20 | 1217:13 | wound [2]-1166:13, |  |
| 1265:4, 1265:10 | weak [3]-1198:6, | witnesses [1] - | 1166:14 |  |
| violates [1] - | 1238:6, 1255:16 | 1257:4 | wounded [1] - |  |
| 1267:19 | weapon [2]-1166:6 | wonder [1] - 1200:8 | 1136:8 |  |
| violation [1] - | weapons [1] - | WOODBURY [56] - | wounds [1] - |  |
| 1132:13 | 1168:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1132:8, 1132:23, } \\ & \text { 1133:1, 1133:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 1166:11 <br> Wrangler [11] - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { virtually [2] - } \\ & \text { 1169:10, 1169:25 } \end{aligned}$ | wearer [1] - 1252:1 <br> wearing [6]-1165:8, | 1133:22, 1133:23, | Wrangler [11] 1135:7, 1139:12, |  |
| 1169:10, 1169:25 <br> visitors [1] - 1210:12 | wearing [6]-1165:8, 1165:17, 1165:25, | 1134:22, 1134:23, | $1145: 13,1145: 18$ |  |
| visual [1] - 1143:1 | $1253: 7,1254: 4$ | 1164:17, 1164:22, | 1150:12, 1160:19, |  |
| visually [1] - 1227:18 | 1254:12 | 1183:5, 1183:19, | 1160:21, 1168:13, |  |
| voice [1] - 1210:1 | week [5] - 1153:13, | 1184:2, 1192:22, | 1196:8, 1208:23, |  |
| void [1] - 1223:19 | 1173:5, 1202:6, | 1192:23, 1193:3, | 1212:20 |  |
|  | 1269:1 | 1193:15, 1200:2, | wrist [1] - 1236:25 |  |
| W | weigh [1] - 1201:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1200:5, 1208:3, } \\ & \text { 1208:21, 1209:1, } \end{aligned}$ | write [1] - 1224:7 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { waiting }[1]-1216: 24 \\ & \text { walk }[4]-1144: 18 \text {, } \\ & \text { 1144:19, 1160:22, } \\ & \text { 1207:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { west [6] - 1167:23, } \\ \text { 1169:16, 1170:8, } \end{gathered}$ | 1209:5, 1209:9, | 1220:20, 1246:16 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1209:11, 1210:2, } \\ & \text { 1210:8, 1210:17, } \end{aligned}$ | wrote [1] - 1210:24 |  |
|  | 1189:8, 1192:25, | 1210:21, 1211:5, |  |  |
|  | ```whatsoever [1] - 1261:20 white [2]-1227:11,``` | 1211:7, 1211:10, | X |  |
| 1207:18 <br> walk-through [3] - |  | 1211:25, 1212:15, 1215:8, 1215:11 |  |  |
| 1160:22 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1215:8, 1215:11, } \\ & \text { 1216:6, 1217:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X-ray [2] - 1177:18, } \\ & \text { 1177:20 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| walked [2]-1150:10, | 1237:23 | 1225:6, 1227:3, | $X_{[1]}-1229: 4$ |  |
| 1165:15 | 1206:17 | 1240:6, 1245:15, |  |  |
| walking [1] - 1231:23 |  | 1249:25, 1250:2, | Y |  |
| wall [17] - 1166:8, | 1203:8 | 1256:11, 1256:19, |  |  |
| 1167:22, 1167:24, | wide [1] - 1219:4 | 1257:10, 1259:9, | vard [2] - 1198:14 |  |
| 1169:13, 1169:16, | widely [1] - 1234:15 | 1259:14, 1259:23, | 1198:15 |  |
| 1169:17, 1169:19, | WildWear [1] - | $\begin{array}{ll} 1262: 10, & 1262: 15 \\ 1264 \cdot 18 & 1265 \cdot 23 \end{array}$ | year [4] - 1198:24, |  |
| 1169:22, 1169:23, | 1240:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1264:18, 1265:23, } \\ & \text { 1268:10, 1268:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 1221:5, 1221:7, |  |
| 1170:8, 1172:23, | Williams [1] - 1146:1 | 1268:10, $1268: 15$ Woodbury [24] - | $1264: 23$ |  |
| $1189: 8,1190: 5$ | willy [1] - 1194:12 | Woodbury [24] 1132.25, 1134:16 | 1264:23 <br> years [2] - 1218:19, |  |
| 1191:2, 1192:25, | willy-nilly [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1132:25, 1134:16, } \\ & 1134: 21.1164: 16 . \end{aligned}$ | 1266:14 |  |
| 1193:2 | 1194:12 | 1134:21, 1164:16, 1183:4, 1183:18, | yourself [2] - |  |
| walls [1] - 1165:3 | wine [1] - 1228:21 |  | 1163:15, 1209:20 |  |
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## TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDING

THE COURT: Morning. You may be seated.
The defendant and counsel are present. We're outside the presence of the jury.

I listened to the recording last night. It sounded to me like Mr. Kolsch had interviewed Speedy before, maybe he didn't record that, went back and recorded the interview, was getting him to confirm what he had said in the past.

I looked at NRS 51.035(2)(a). It says an out-of-court statement is not inadmissible as hearsay if the following two conditions are met: The declarant testifies at trial, and is subject to cross examination concerning the statement. And the out-of-court statement is consistent with the declarant's testimony.

The Cheatham case seems to be the one primarily on point. That is 104 Nevada 500. In that case, they say pursuant to NRS 51.055(1)(b), a declarant is unavailable as a witness if he is persistent in refusing to testify despite an order of the judge to do so.

If he declines to testify, I'll order him to do so. If he still doesn't testify, the hearsay is not admissible. And this goes back to the Kaplan case.

K-a-p-l-a-n. I remember that killing. Mr. Woodbury probably does, as well, in Reno. And in that case, the declarant refused to testify at trial. And the Nevada Supreme Court held that the declarant was unavailable as a witness pursuant to NRS 51.055(1)(b), and further held that because the declarant was unavailable as a witness, and thus not subject to cross examination as required by NRS 51.035(2)(a), his prior inconsistent statements were inadmissible.

So Mr. Kolsch cannot testify about Tieres Lopez's statements if Mr. Lopez refuses to testify. They're inadmissible.

I also looked at NRS 51.545, statement against interest. It says, A statement, which at the time of its making was so far contrary to the pecuniary or proprietary interest of the declarant -- that's not our situation -- so far tended to subject the declarant to civil or criminal liability.

Listening to that interview, he was not subject to any additional credible or civil liability that I could tell. He's already been convicted. He pled guilty. So the civil liability is there for his part in this killing. So he's not subjected himself to any more civil or criminal liability.

So far tended to render invalid a claim by the
declarant against another. I can't see how that would apply here. So far tended to make the declarant an object of hatred, ridicule, or social disapproval. He's already pled guilty to this. So I don't know how his statements could make that any worse; maybe. That's the only one that may apply here.

And then it says that, A reasonable person in the position of the declarant would not have made the statement unless the declarant believed it to be true. And under those circumstances, the statement would not be admissible under the hearsay rule if the declarant is unavailable as a witness.

So I spent quite a bit of time thinking about what a reasonable person in Tieres Lopez's position would do; would they make such a statement? And that is a tough thing to try to figure out.

He certainly didn't help himself, but he didn't hurt himself either. He's already pled guilty and been sentenced to 25 years to life. Whether a reasonable person would have made that statement, it's just not an easy question to answer. But even if a reasonable person would have, it's the final section of that statute that applies here. And it says, "This section does not make admissible a statement or confession offered against the accused, made by a co-defendant or
other person implicating both himself and the accused."
So I had to think about whether his statements were offered against Mr. Honeyestewa. Because he's being called as Mr. Honeyestewa's witness.

However, listening to his statement, he implicates Mr. Honeyestewa in a felony murder. He talks about that they agreed to go over there to steal these guns. So he has implicated himself and Mr. Honeyestewa. And because he's implicating Mr. Honeyestewa, that is a statement offered against the defendant. And so it is not admissible as a statement against interest.

So I'm ruling that Mr. Kolsch's statements are inadmissible hearsay, his statements about what Mr. Lopez told him.

Now, we have another issue to deal with. Juan, I'm gonna put you under oath and have you testify about what happened yesterday, please.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q Would you state your name, please?
A Juan Garcia.
Q Are you the bailiff for this Court and for this trial?

A $\quad$ I am.
Q What happened yesterday regarding Mr. Lopez?
A When $I$ came in -- or when we took a break and $I$ received a text message from security downstairs regarding what time we needed Mr. Lopez transported over here so he could testify. I came and talked to Mr. Woodbury. And Mr. Woodbury had stated, Well, I don't think we're gonna get to him today, probably tomorrow. But also Mr. Woodbury stated, Just be aware, there is supposed to be somebody standing outside the courthouse. He said, $I$ have no idea who it is, or who it's gonna be.

But he had heard, or was advised, I'm not exactly 100 percent sure on that end, but he did tell me to be cautious, where somebody might be standing, or
somebody was gonna be waiting for Mr. Lopez to get to the courthouse.

So when I received that information, I had seen that $I$ got another text message from security saying, Hey, we're here. So then I stepped outside. As soon as I stepped outside of the courtroom, I saw that Deputy Yamas, who is the court security in the courthouse, had Mr. Lopez. I wanted to ask Mr. Yamas if he had seen somebody, so I made sure that Mr. Lopez was -- I was gonna ask that question, so we made sure that Mr. Lopez was in the other courtroom with Sergeant Perry.

So Sergeant Perry was watching Mr. Lopez in the other courtroom. And I got a chance to talk to Officer Yamas -- or Deputy Yamas. And he stated, Yes, he was surprised. He said, Yeah, there was somebody standing out there. He's like, Why? I said, Oh, well, Mr. Woodbury brought it to my attention that there would be somebody standing out there. And he's, like, Oh, well, that's weird. He said, Yeah, there was somebody standing there, and $I$ had no clue who they were. But they were standing right where $I$ was gonna open the van to the transport vehicle. I was gonna let Mr. Lopez out, and there was somebody standing there.

And he said, But $I$ got an itchy feeling. And I
said, Sir, are you waiting for somebody? He stated, Yes, he is waiting for somebody. So that guy, Deputy Yamas has no clue who he was. He said he's not sure who he was. He just asked him, Are you here to see somebody? And he said, Yeah, waiting for somebody.

So Yamas made sure, he said, I made sure that he -- I told him, If you're gonna wait for somebody, please wait for them on the other end of the courthouse. You need to walk that way. I have somebody walking out of the transport vehicle into the courthouse. So you need to walk.

He kinda got a little grumpy and he said kind of clueless, Oh, I do. So he finally made his way to the end of the courthouse. Yamas then came into the courthouse and got Mr. Lopez in.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions, Mr. Ingram, about this?

MR. INGRAM: No.
THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, do you have any
questions?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: I'm not gonna take a chance with the security risk that this seems to pose, so $I$ have arranged for Mr. Lopez to testify by Zoom from the jail courtroom. It could be totally innocuous what happened
last night, or yesterday afternoon, or not. But it's a security risk I'm not willing to take.

Mr. Lopez is doing a 25-year to life sentence, which $I$ gave him for his participation in the killing of Mr. Smith. Somebody appears to have been waiting for him yesterday. I'm not gonna take the chance that somebody might be trying to free him. So he will testify, but it will be by Zoom from the jail.

Mr. Loreman contacted my chambers late yesterday afternoon. I didn't speak to him, he spoke to Julie. He told Julie that he did meet with Mr. Lopez and advised him of his rights. That's all that $I$ know. I shouldn't know any more than that, and I don't know any more than that. So he did meet with him and talk to him. I don't know any more than the two of you do about whether he's going to testify this morning. But he will be called as a witness from the jail.

Do either of you wish to be heard on that?
MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: My preference is that he appear before the jury here in the courtroom.

THE COURT: I understand that, but the risk to me at this point seems too great not only to the participants in this trial, but to anyone in or around
the courthouse if there was someone who wants to break out Mr. Lopez from his confinement. Mr. Lopez doesn't have anything to lose. He's serving a life sentence.

Mr. Woodbury, I didn't know if you would want to offer the recording of the conversation between Mr. Lopez and Mike Kolsch, just as an offer of proof, so that is in the record in the event Mr. Honeyestewa is convicted and you want to appeal my decision not to allow that testimony.

MR. WOODBURY: I do want to.
THE COURT: Okay. That will be admitted. You'll need to get that, $I$ guess, into a $C D$ form and get it to the clerk. That will not go to the jury. That is just as an offer of proof.

We're gonna get Mr. Lopez on Zoom at 9:00. We will deal with him first. I forgot $I$ had another hearing at 9:00 on an ex parte custody matter. We have Mr. Lopez arranged from the jail at 9:00, so we will deal with him first. And then we're going to recess while I deal with the ex parte custody matter. And then we'll move on with our trial when I'm done with that.

MR. INGRAM: Your Honor, I have one additional thing to address --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. INGRAM: -- while we're on the break from the jury, I guess. And $I$ know that Mr. Honeyestewa is going to testify. I guess we've known that since jury selection.

Mr. Honeyestewa actually had a third interview with Detective Stake, during which he asked Detective Stake if he can retract, take back, the things that he had interviewed about.

It's my opinion that if Mr. Honeyestewa does, in fact, testify, $I$ have the right to ask

Mr. Honeyestewa about that. But I wanted to know if I'm going to be prohibited by the Court from doing so.

The reason I'm asking is only because that was after, according to Honeyestewa, a consultation with Mr. Woodbury, which I will not ask about. But that's why I needed a ruling from this Court.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: Mr. Honeyestewa is going to testify about it during his direct examination, so I expect that the prosecution will be allowed to ask about it.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. INGRAM: Thank you.
THE COURT: I'm going to go ahead and canvas
Mr. Honeyestewa.

Mr. Honeyestewa, would you stand, please.
(Defendant complied.)

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right under the Constitution of the United States and under the Constitution of the state of Nevada not to be compelled to testify in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: If you wish, you may give up that right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do so, you will be subject to cross examination by the district attorney. Anything you say, whether in direct or cross examination, will be the subject of fair comment by the district attorney in his closing arguments.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, and if your attorney requests it, $I$ will instruct the jury that they cannot consider the fact that you did not testify.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Ingram will not be permitted to
make any comments to the jury concerning the fact that you have not testified.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Have you had ample opportunity to consider your decision of whether to testify and to discuss it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, ma'am.
THE COURT: Do you need any more time to talk to him about this?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: Okay. When we get to that point, if you need time to talk to him about it, you need to tell him so he can tell me and we'll take a recess.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you have any questions about these constitutional rights that $I$ have just advised you of?

THE DEFENDANT: No.
THE COURT: Okay. I just want to cover them again briefly. No one can make you testify.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you testified, Mr. Ingram
certainly is going to cross examine you.
Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And he gets to do that.
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
THE COURT: And then he gets to talk about your answers in his closing argument.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Are you comfortable with your
decision of whether to testify or not?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
We'll take a recess. We'll start up at 9:00 with -- I'm assuming you intended to call him first thing. That's when we got him lined up.

You're gonna rest, right?
MR. INGRAM: Yes.
THE COURT: Oh, and then you gotta make your opening still.

MR. WOODBURY: I will.
THE COURT: So we will not have him ready at 9:00. So I'm changing all our plans again. I forgot about your opening. We'll go ahead and take the ex parte at 9:00, and then we'll go into your opening.

And then is he your first witness?
MR. WOODBURY: Mr. Lopez is, yes.

THE COURT: All right. We'll let the jail know it's going to be a little longer. I try to wrap these ex parte things up in 15 to 30 minutes. And so we'll get that done as quickly as we can and get the trial underway.

MR. INGRAM: Do you want us back here at 9:15? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WOODBURY: Your Honor, I want to make a statement for the record on the determination of the Court that Mr. Kolsch will not be allowed to testify.

As I informed the Court previously during the arraignment of Mr. Lopez, it was -- I attended it, and I do not recall any statement of facts justifying that would typically be made under oath by a defendant who is pleading guilty to a crime. I do not believe the statement of facts exist.

That has caused enormous trouble, because had Mr. Lopez made the statement of facts that was consistent with his plea of guilty, and it was not a plea of no contest, it was a plea of guilty, we would have been in a position to put that into the record. And it would have had an enormous impact on the question of whether we even needed to have Mr. Lopez come up and -- that's one.

And, two, this statement of Mr. Lopez to

Mr. Kolsch has very little to do with being a statement that we intended to tell the jury was valid or truthful. Mr. Lopez, we believe, we can establish, has a historic -- history of being a person who does not tell the truth very often. And that has put us in an enormously complex situation.

So we want that as part of the record.
THE COURT: Okay. I went back and watched Mr. Lopez's entry of his guilty plea yesterday. And I would agree with what Mr. Ingram said yesterday, he did make a statement of facts. He did what almost every criminal defendant does when they plead guilty. He gave me the information $I$ needed to determine whether his statement of facts met the elements of the crime, and they did. He gave a statement of facts that supported felony murder.

So Mr. Ingram pointed out yesterday he just didn't make the statement you wanted. And $I$ would agree with Mr. Ingram. Mr. Lopez did make a statement. I never take a guilty plea without a statement of facts. And it's certainly something $I$ would have been well aware of in a murder trial.

So he made that statement. It didn't give you the details you want, but it's in there. It met the elements of the crime to which he was pleading guilty.

It's not my job to be looking out for what Mr. Honeyestewa's defense was going to be down the road. I took Mr. Lopez's plea. He made the statement of facts. Those facts supported the charge against him. And that was done.

Now, I don't understand your second argument. Are you trying to tell me you're not offering it for the truth of the matter what Mr. Kolsch is going to say? Because I'm not buying that. So if that's what you're doing, you need to give me a little more explanation to that. I think you want it in there to show that this was all Speedy's idea and Speedy's plan, and your client was just kinda along for the ride and didn't know what was going on.

MR. WOODBURY: That's correct.
THE COURT: Okay. Then it's offered for the truth.

MR. WOODBURY: Of what he said?
THE COURT: Yes.
The only part in there that -- there's a part in there that would hurt your client that said they had discussed they were going to do this before Alan. Speedy takes responsibility for kicking in the door. He says he took Mr. Honeyestewa's gun, and he shot at Mr. Smith. Those are things that tend to exonerate
your client.
MR. WOODBURY: Let me tell you something: He said that Honeyestewa never shot a shot. That was bologna through and through. That is inconsistent with everything that --

THE COURT: I know. I agree.
MR. WOODBURY: -- Mr. Honeyestewa said to Mr. Stake and said to Lieutenant McKinney and everything he's gonna testify to. That's bologna.

THE COURT: So what's your argument? That this should come in as for not the truth of the matter? So what if he's a liar? His statements haven't come in.

MR. WOODBURY: Mr. Honeyestewa's defense depends upon $M r$. Lopez being seen as a liar by the jury.

THE COURT: Mr. Ingram, do you want to respond to that?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, the whole basis of hearsay is reliability. And everything that we know about that statement is unreliable.

THE COURT: That's the argument that Mr. Woodbury is making, though.

MR. INGRAM: Sure. And that's what makes it inadmissible hearsay. That's precisely what makes it impermissible hearsay. And I agree with everything
that you just stated for the reasons why it's excluded. THE COURT: But if it's not offered for the truth of the matter, as he's trying to argue --

MR. INGRAM: He just admitted, he said on the record he's offering it for its truth.

THE COURT: Well, he said a couple of different things.

Is it offered for the truth or not for the truth, Mr. Woodbury?

MR. WOODBURY: I'm offering it for the truth of the fact that he said that, and what he said was a lie. THE COURT: Mr. Ingram?

MR. INGRAM: Judge, nothing about my argument has changed.

May I comment real fast?
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. INGRAM: Mr. Lopez's credibility is not at issue because he has not testified. So to use that to impeach him, to make him to be a liar or not a credible witness is irrelevant. And that doesn't make it not hearsay.

THE COURT: When a witness is unavailable, that takes it outside the hearsay rule. That makes it not hearsay. However, the unavailability of the witness does not then make it admissible, it makes it
inadmissible. So my decision stands.
Anything else we need to handle before we move on?

MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess
until we can get this ex parte custody matter resolved. Those cases, once $I$ sign an ex parte custody order, by statute have to be heard within ten days. That's why I've got to deal with that.

Mr. Bailiff, will you let the jurors know that I've got another emergency hearing that $I$ have to deal with first, and we'll bring them in as soon as we can? THE BAILIFF: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: Good morning. You may be seated. Defendant and counsel are present. Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: I'm sorry about the delay this morning. When $I$ let you go last night, $I$ forgot $I$ had an emergency custody matter to deal with this morning. So I did take care of that and get that resolved.

If you are a witness in this case, you are absolutely prohibited from watching this trial. If you do, you may be held in contempt of court, punishment for which could include a jail sentence. The only exception to that is if you have been given specific permission by the Court to watch the trial, then you may watch it.

Log-in information for all of those who log in to watch this trial on Zoom shall be maintained and filed in this action. All spectators are prohibited from recording this trial in any manner, or distributing it on social manner, or in any manner on the internet. Violation of this order could result in the finding that you are in contempt of court, punishment for which could include a jail sentence.

Mr. Ingram, is the State resting at this time?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, would you like to make an opening statement at this time?

MR. WOODBURY: I would, Your Honor.
The defense is entitled to give you a short presentation on what it expects to prove in this case.

THE COURT: Can you all hear Mr. Woodbury?
THE BAILIFF: Probably put it on the tie,

Mr. Woodbury.

MR. WOODBURY: Oh, ain't supposed to do that.
THE BAILIFF: I think that works better.
MR. WOODBURY: Now is it better?
THE COURT: Can you all hear?
(Defense's opening statement.)
THE COURT: Are you going to call Mr. Lopez,
Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. We'll get him up on the
screen. They need to start their video.
There we are.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Judge, real quick, on -- it looks like -- I don't know if (inaudible).

THE COURT: Yeah.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Judge.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear us?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, please stand and raise your right hand.
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: You can have a seat.

Please state and spell your first and last names.

THE WITNESS: Tieres Lopez. T-i-e-r-e-s.

$$
\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{z} \text {. }
$$

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, are you able to see Mr. Woodbury and Mr. Honeyestewa on the screen?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, would you speak and see if the camera moves to you? He needs to be able to see you and Mr. Honeyestewa.

MR. WOODBURY: Yes, I will speak.
THE COURT: Did you see him after that?
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can see them.
THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Honeyestewa,
you can see Mr. Lopez, correct?
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I can see him.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Mr. Lopez, how old are you?
A What?
Q How old are you?
A 26 .
Q Where do you live?
A The prison now.
Q Where at?

A Ely.
Q And how long have you been there?
A A little bit over a year.
Q And how did you come to go to prison?
A What?
Q How did you come to go to prison?
A I guess because of the crime I committed.
Q And what crime did you commit?
A Murder.

Q I'm sorry?
A What?
Q Did you -- did you say murder is the crime you
committed?
A Yeah.
Q Uh-huh.
And who was the victim, if you know?
A Brad Smith.
Q Uh-huh.
And can you tell the jury what it was that you did that caused you to be guilty of a murder?

A Well, I can barely hear you.
THE COURT: Try the mic there.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Talk into one of those.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Can you explain to the jury what it was you did to Mr. Smith that caused you to be guilty of his murder?

A Yeah. Mr. Woodbury, I talked to my attorney, he said that if $I$ didn't want to testify, I don't have to.

Q Why wouldn't you want to testify?
A What happened?
Q Why wouldn't you want to testify?
A Why wouldn't I give testimony?
Q Yeah.

A Because it's really not my case. I already pled guilty to what I had to, and I'm trying to put this behind me.

Q Were you informed that you have to testify if you are called and subpoenaed to do so?

A What happened?
Q Were you informed that you had to testify, or you have to give a legal reason for not testifying?

A Yeah. I'm supposed to ^ ? four if I had to give testimony.

Q Well, I'm wondering why it is you don't want to testify?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One second. Okay. Continue.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Can you hear me?
A Yeah, I can hear you.
Q Why is it that you don't want to testify?
A Because I just don't want to.
Q Were you told that just because you don't want to doesn't mean you don't have to?

A Yeah. My attorney said if $I$ don't want to testify, $I$ don't have to.

Q Did he tell you, did he talk about the -- what happens if the Court orders you to testify?

A He just said that if $I$ don't testify, I'll be filed -- you know, charged with contempt.

Q Uh-huh.
And you're in a position where a contempt

```
citation doesn't mean very much to you?
    A Yeah; no.
    Q Did you have a conversation with a person on
```

the telephone that could be identified as your brother
back a week or two ago?

A What happened?
Q A telephone conversation with your brother, did you have one?

A I plead the fifth, man. I don't want to talk anymore.

Q Are you going to plead the fifth on everything?
A Yeah, I plead the fifth.
THE BAILIFF: One second, Mr. Woodbury.
Okay, Mr. Woodbury.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Did you talk to a private detective named Mike Kolsch?

A Yeah, I don't -- I don't want to say (inaudible) information.

Q Did you provide Detective Kolsch with information?

A Yeah, I want to talk to my attorney. I don't want to talk no more.

Q If you provided testimony -- or provided information to Detective Kolsch, why won't you provide it to the jury? Could you answer the question, please?

A Yeah, probably not, man. I told you I don't want to give testimony. My attorney told me I don't have to if $I$ don't want to.

Q All right. And so you won't answer the question why you gave information to Detective Kolsch, but you won't give it to the jury?

A Yeah, if $I$ have to give testimony, then $I$ don't have to answer that question.

Q All right. How tall are you? Can you tell me how tall you are?

A Mr. Woodbury, that's besides the fact. I want to talk to my attorney.

Q Is there anybody that you would talk to further about this about, what Mr. Kolsch talked to you about?

A Probably not, man.
Q Is there anything, any rules down at the Nevada State Prison about getting in a position that you're in that would make it a bad thing to do?

A Yeah, I want to talk to my attorney.
MR. WOODBURY: I ask the Court to direct him to answer the question.

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, I'm ordering you to answer that question.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't want to speak no more.

THE COURT: It's a Court order that you answer Mr. Woodbury's questions, unless I (inaudible) that you have a constitutional right not to. If you fail to answer his questions at my direction, I will find you in contempt of court and you could be punished by additional jail time.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, Ms. Porter, my attorney said $I$ don't have to give testimony if $I$ don't want to. THE COURT: I've ordered him, Mr. Woodbury. He's still declining to answer.

MR. WOODBURY: Thank you.
I would ask the Court to find him in contempt.
THE COURT: Contempt is a complicated issue.
At this moment, Mr. Lopez, I am finding you in contempt of court. If I choose to pursue that, or the district attorney's office chooses to pursue that, there will be an additional hearing on what your penalty would be.

So at this moment, I'm considering you in
contempt of court for refusing to answer my
questions -- or answer Mr. Woodbury's questions and refusing to obey the Court's order.

Are you still refusing to answer the questions?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, I've done what I can do.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Mr. Lopez, can you stand up? Mr. Lopez, do you have tattoos on your face?

A Yes.
Q And do you have -- were those tattoos put on your face after you got to Nevada State Prison?

A Yeah, man, I plead the fifth. I want to talk to my attorney right now.

MR. WOODBURY: Okay. That's all I got.
THE COURT: You can have a seat.
Mr. Ingram, any cross examination?

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:

Q Mr. Lopez, I assume you're not going to answer my questions either; is that correct?

A I want to talk to my attorney.
Q That wasn't my question. My question was, are you going to refuse to answer my questions? Yes or no?

A That would be giving testimony, wouldn't it?
Q Maybe I'll ask you again.
Are you going to refuse to answer my questions?
Yes or no?
A Yeah, I want to talk to my attorney before I say anything else.

MR. INGRAM: That's all I have, Judge.

THE COURT: Any redirect, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: All right. That will conclude Mr. Lopez's testimony, and we will disconnect from Zoom.

Are we disconnected yet?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We are, Judge. I'm just putting -- oh, tell me when it's okay to put the viewers back on-line.

THE COURT: You can put them back on.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: We don't have the capability for people who are watching on Zoom to be able to watch the witnesses who are testifying by Zoom. Hopefully that's something that we can resolve when we upgrade our equipment again in January. But we didn't even have this capability for Zoom until after COVID hit, so it's been a real benefit so people can still get their matters heard.

I hear about seven or eight hearings every Monday with inmates who are in the Elko County jail. I'm able to do that by Zoom. That helps with security, and it also helps to try to prevent the spread of COVID in or out of the jail. But we do not have the ability right now for people who are watching on Zoom to watch

```
a witness testify by Zoom.
    Your next witness, Mr. Woodbury?
    MR. WOODBURY: Sue Francis.
    THE BAILIFF: She might be in the restroom
downstairs. I'll go check.
    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She's coming. She ran
out to her car.
    THE COURT: Thank you.
    Please raise your right hand.
        (Witness sworn.)
    THE COURT: Please state your name, and spell
your last name.
    THE WITNESS: My name is Susan Francis. And my
last name is F-r-a-n-c-i-s.
    THE COURT: Thank you.
    Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.
    MR. WOODBURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Ms. Francis, are you acquainted with, or were you acquainted with, Brad Smith?

A He's my son.
Q Okay. And were you acquainted with a woman named Jennifer Stanger?

A Yes.
Q And how did you become acquainted with
Ms. Stanger, please?
A I think through my daughter, was friends with her sister.

Q And were you aware of Ms. Stanger having a relationship with your son, Brad?

A Yes.
Q Could you describe what you knew about that relationship for me?

A I would say it was a little rocky.
Q Okay. But how it started and --
A Oh, it started, I think she was cleaning his house.

Q Okay. And then do you know where Ms. Stanger resided just before your son was killed?

A At the home with him.
Q Okay. And can you describe what you know, if anything, about the relationship between your son and Ms. Stanger?

A Well, $I$ think he expressed to me that he was done in that relationship.

Q But was it a romantic relationship?
A Oh, yes. Uh-huh.
Q And in early July, around July 6 th or so, did you -- well, about July 6th of 2018, did you become aware of anything about Ms. Stanger traveling to Carson City for rehabilitation?

A It was on the day of his murder. It was on the 7 th.

Q Before that time, you didn't know anything about it?

A No.
Q Okay. And how did it come -- how did you become informed about it?

A Brad had left me a message. I was working that day.

Q Where were you working?
A I was working at Highland Villages. And when $I$
took my lunch, I called him back. And he asked me to -- if I could take her to a facility.

THE COURT: And hold on just a minute, ma'am. We've got an issue here.

THE BAILIFF: I'm gonna have you sit on this chair, please. Sorry about that. That way they can all see you.

THE WITNESS: Oh, boy.
THE BAILIFF: Sorry about that.
THE WITNESS: That's okay.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Were you aware of, or was that a -- did Mr. Smith tell you that that was a changed plan from what had been originally planned?

A I don't remember.
Q All right. Do you recall him telling you anything about a laptop being stolen or anything like that?

A Yes.
Q And people coming to the house?
A Yes, he did tell me that.
Q Could you please, to the best of your recollection, please recall it for the jury?

A He told me that day that she had had some people over the weekend before, and his laptop was
gone. And that he had her chase it down and try to find it to get it back, and they wanted $\$ 500$ for it.

Q Uh-huh.
A And he just laughed about that.
Q And did he tell you what that had to do with not taking Ms. Stanger to Carson City?

A Yes.
Q What did he tell you?
A He told me that Ronnie Sorensen had come over that morning and informed him, overhearing someone say that they were gonna come rob him of his guns and some tools.

Q Okay. And what arrangements were made, then, at that time for you to transport Ms. Stanger?

A I was to come over Sunday morning. I was gonna be there at 9:00 and pick her up.

Q Okay. And were you taking your car?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And had arrangements been made before to take your car if Mr. Smith took her?

A No. Not that I -- no, I don't remember. But I don't think so. That was the first $I$ heard of it.

Q Okay. So is that the last time you talked to Brad that day?

A No. I talked to him at 10:00 that night.

Q That night?
A Uh-huh.
Q And what was that conversation about?
A Oh, $I$ was calling to verify if -- if Jennifer had confirmed a bed at the facility, or should I do that in the morning. Just some last minute kind of detail things.

Q Okay. When you called him -- or could you tell the jury how it was that you communicated with Brad at that time? Was that on cell phone or --

A Yes, I called him. I know it was ten o'clock for some reason. I looked right at the clock when I called him. I wanted to be sure it wasn't too late. And so I called him. And then while we were speaking he said -- well, something I asked. And he said, I don't know the answer, I'll let you talk to Jen.

Q And did you talk to Jen?
A Well, he gave the phone to her, but she was pretty hysterical and crying and sobbing and saying, I'm sorry.

Q Did you ask her what -- when you say "Jen," are you talking about Ms. Stanger?

A Yes.
Q And when she appeared to be hysterical, did you ask her what the problem was?

A No. She hung up the phone before I really spoke to her.

Q All right. Had you talked to Ms. Stanger on the phone before?

A Oh, yes.
Q Were you sure it was her that was being hysterical?

A I guess $I$ can't say 100 percent, but I think it was.

Q Okay.
A I couldn't see her or anything though.
Q Okay. And then did you have a conversation with Ms. Stanger after your son was killed?

A She came over to the house the next afternoon. We were there, because the door couldn't be locked. So we went to get some of the more valuable things. And she showed up there for a few minutes.

Q Okay. Who was she with?
A I don't remember.
Q Okay.
A I know she was on foot. She walked up.
Q What?
A She wanted us to let her in the house to get some things.

Q When you say "she wanted us to," who --

A Brad's good friend Angela, her parents. And I believe Brad's in-laws. His wife had died in 2014, but her parents were really involved in everyone's life. So we were there, and $I$ think the next-door neighbor, Mr. Ruiz was there, too. I believe that's his last name.

Q And was Ms. Stanger let in the house?
A No.
Q Okay. What time of day or night was that visit from Ms. Stanger, if you recall, the next day?

A Oh, it was just about dark, July 8th. That would have been 9:00, 9:30.

Q Okay. Did Ms. Stanger describe the events for you that she had witnessed --

A No.
Q -- during Brad's death?
A $\quad$ No.
Q She didn't talk to him about being tortured (inaudible)?

A No.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Cross examination, Mr. Ingram.
MR. INGRAM: Thank you.

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Ms. Francis, do you recall when Brad and Jennifer started dating?

A August of '17.
Q So roughly a year before he was killed?
A Yes, uh-huh.
Q Do you remember when she moved in, roughly?
A At the end of August.
Q Was Brad living in that same house before he met Jennifer?

A Yes.
Q And was Brad working at Newmont --
A Yes.
Q -- before he met Jennifer?
A Yes.
Q Did Brad have his own tools before he met Jennifer?

A Yes.
Q Did Brad have his own guns before he met Jennifer?

A Yes.

Q To the best of your knowledge, isn't it true that Jennifer Stanger had no ownership in the house?

A Correct. That's true.

Q And to the best of your knowledge, it's also true that Ms. Stanger had no ownership in Brad's guns?

A Correct.
Q And to the best of your knowledge, it's also true that Ms. Stanger had no ownership in Brad's tools?

A Yes.
Q When you spoke to Jennifer at roughly 10:00 at night, the night he was killed, you testified that she was emotional, whatever word you used?

A Yes, hysterical. I could hardly understand her. She was sobbing.

Q And she, in fact, said she was sorry?
A Yes. Repeatedly.
Q And did you ever relate her telling you that she's sorry to her having drug you into this to have to take her to rehab?

A At first, $I$ thought because of her mental state, and because $I$ was being enlisted to take her, I thought that's what the apology was for.

Q How long did you talk to Brad at 10:00, the night he was killed, roughly?

A Maybe just two minutes.
Q Were you guys able to communicate clearly with one another?

A Yes.

Q Have you been around Brad when he's intoxicated?

A Yes.
Q And was Brad so intoxicated when he talked to you that you couldn't understand him?

A No.
Q And based just on your knowledge, did Brad live a different lifestyle than Jennifer Stanger?

A Yes.
Q He had a great paying job, correct?
A Yes.
Q One that required him to remain drug free, correct?

A Yes.
Q And to the best of your knowledge, is that one of the reasons why Brad relayed to you that their relationship was rocky?

A Oh, definitely.
Q Was that one of the reasons why Brad wanted to separate himself from that lifestyle?

A Yes.
MR. INGRAM: I have no further questions.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Redirect, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you.

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q During the course of the relationship where Ms. Stanger lived with your son, did she have -- do you know whether or not she did anything like a housewife would do?

A She would keep the house tidy. She picked up, although it wasn't necessarily clean.

Q Did she do cooking?
A Pardon?
Q Did she do cooking?
A Yes, she did.
Q And did your son have a child?
A Yes.
Q Did she provide some child care for the child?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And did she have -- if you know, did she buy the food?

A Brad paid for it, if that's what you mean. I think she went to the store and picked it up, yes.

Q And how was the food paid for, if you know?
A I think through Brad's debit card. She had a debit card.

Q Okay. And did Ms. Stanger have possession of that, or do you know?

A At times she did.

Q And the question of whether she was apologizing to you for having enlisted your help in taking her to Carson City, you say she was extremely emotionally upset about it?

A Yes.

Q Did that seem to fit to you with what you knew about Ms. Stanger, about being that upset about getting a ride to Carson City with you?

A Oh, I don't know. Her behavior had been so erratic the month of June and into July, it was hard to --

Q And she had been erratic for several --

A -- weeks.

Q -- a couple of weeks, three or four weeks?

A I think about four or five. I'm not sure. MR. WOODBURY: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Recross?

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Ma'am, I apologize if I've already asked this, but I marked it down and don't remember if $I$ did.

Brad and Jennifer were not married, correct?
A Correct.
MR. INGRAM: That's all I have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

Thank you, Ms. Francis. Do either of you need her retained?

MR. INGRAM: No, thank you.
MR. WOODBURY: I do not.
THE COURT: You are excused from further
attendance at this trial. If you choose to do so, you
can watch the trial by Zoom now. You cannot discuss your testimony with anyone, other than the attorneys.

Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Your next witness, Mr. Woodbury.
MR. WOODBURY: Amy Steinbach.
THE COURT: Ms. Steinbach, will you have a seat in that chair without the arms?

THE WITNESS: Yep.

THE COURT: You are still under oath from the last time you were in court.

Would you please remove your mask?
Please state your name again, and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Amy Steinbach.
$S-t-e-i-n-b-a-c-h$.
THE COURT: Thank you.
So, Mr. Woodbury, this is your cross, correct, or is she your witness? Because I don't think you cross examined her.

MR. WOODBURY: I did not, but to be truthful with you, I don't know whether it's direct or cross. I don't remember whether $I$ retained her for our cross examination. I must not have, because the prosecution closed their case. So it has to be direct examination.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, then.
recalled as a witness herein, having been previously
duly sworn, further testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:
Q Ms. Steinbach, did you know Bradley Smith?
A No.
Q Had you ever been introduced to him?
A No.
Q Were you a married lady at the time?
A Yes, I still am.
Q And that would be on July 7th --
A Yes.
Q -- 2018?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And where were you employed?
A At Commercial.
Q All right. What was your employment?
A I was working at the cage. So, like, cashing the checks and whatever.

Q Okay. And you had varying shifts?
A Usually -- yeah, usually I worked more in the afternoon than, like, in the mornings when they opened.

Q And the afternoon shift was what?

A I believe I went in at 5:00 and we closed at 2:00 in the morning. So it was, like, 5:00 or 2:00 -between 2:00 and 5:00 I went in.

Q Okay. You had known, or did you know, Jennifer Stanger for quite a period of time?

A Yes.
Q And how had you met her?
A I first met her when we worked at JR's, in the restaurant.

Q And when was that?
A I believe that was 2014-ish, I started there.
Q Okay. And then did there come a time when you were in a court-sponsored program together?

A Sort of. I started Drug Court, but she was already on Drug Court.

Q And when was that, if you recall?
A It hasn't been that long. Just -- '17-ish, '18-ish.

Q '18-ish?
A Yeah, like 2017, 2018.
Q And did you and her become acquainted, reacquainted during Drug Court?

A Well, I mean, we knew each other, but I just kinda -- I didn't talk to really anybody. I was just trying to stay out of trouble and keeping to myself.

Q I'm sorry.
A And $I$ just kept to myself, so $I$ just didn't really talk to anybody when $I$ was on Drug Court.

Q Okay. And there came a time when you resumed your relationship with her?

A Yeah. Probably -- I think I said in 2018. So that's when $I$ was pregnant, was when we started talking more, $I$ guess.

Q And describe what you mean by talking more.
A Well, she lived right up the street from my
mom. So, like, the kids would go on a walk or something, or $I$ would just see how she was doing and everything.

Q And did you go in her house and speak with her?
A A couple of times.
Q Did you become acquainted with Ronnie Sorensen?
A I didn't really know him. I think she introduced me once, but $I$ didn't really know him.

Q Okay. And you didn't -- was he around Ms. Stanger periodically?

A What's that?
Q Was he around Ms. Stanger periodically?
A I don't know. Not when $I$ ever talked to her, that $I$ know of.

Q Okay. And there came a time, I guess, a week
or so before Brad Smith was killed, where you got invited to Ms. Stanger's house?

A Yes.
Q Could you tell the jury how that came to pass?
A She called me one night, and she was calling me throughout the day, but $I$ was busy with my kids. And she called and asked if $I$ wanted to go over there. And I had to go by my mom's, I think, to pick up mail or something. So I told her $I$ would just swing by when $I$ was right there, because it's right around the corner from my mom's.

Q Okay. Was that an unusual phone call from her inviting you to come over and visit?

A No, not really.
Q So it happened quite often?
A Yeah, she -- yeah.
Q And what typically was the -- when you went and visited her, what did you do?

A We were just talking and just really not doing anything. Just hanging out kinda, just visiting.

Q Okay. Can you tell the jury what "hanging out" means?

A Just visiting and catching up on -- telling each other what we were doing and stuff.

Q Uh-huh.

And that night, the week before when you went to visit her based on the phone call that was made to you, who was with you?

A Tyrell and Speedy.
Q All right. And by "Tyrell," you mean Tyrell Holley?

A Yes.
Q And how well did you know Mr. Holley?
A I knew him. I talked to him a few times before that.

Q Okay. Were you romantically involved with him?
A No.
Q Never?

A No. We were just friends.
Q Okay. And what was the nature of the friendship? Was there a common interest of some sort?

A No, not really. We just knew each other from other friends, really.

Q Okay. And what was your relationship with
Mr. Lopez, Speedy?
A I didn't know him. I think I only met him like once or twice, maybe at that. He was Tyrell's friend.

Q Do you recall in an interview with the detectives from Elko County Sheriff's Office saying that you had been romantically involved with

Mr. Holley?
A I wouldn't really consider it, I guess, not romantically. But, $I$ mean, $I$ guess you could -- I wouldn't classify it as that. But I don't -- I mean, I guess they might have, but $I$ don't remember. But I wouldn't say it was like that.

Q And was Speedy -- you had never met him before?
A No. I met him once, I think, prior to being -visiting Jennifer at her house.

Q Okay. And so after the meeting there that you went to Ms. Stanger's house with Tyrell and Speedy, how long did you stay there? Do you recall?

A Maybe an hour or so. Maybe two at the most. But nothing longer than that, $I$ don't believe.

Q And then on direct examination from the State, you talked about Ms. Stanger bringing out a gun and so on.

Do you recall that?
A Correct.
Q And do you recall -- or do you recall
Ms. Stanger and Speedy getting together and leaving the kitchen area?

A Yeah. They -- I think he -- I think they went into the bathroom or something.

Q I see.

Did they appear to know each other from prior?
A I asked Jennifer if she knew her -- knew him, and she said yes.

Q Okay. All right. And then when you left, where did you go?

A I believe, if I remember correctly, I dropped Tyrell and Speedy off, and then $I$ picked up my friend from the bar.

Q And did you get telephone or text messages from Ms. Stanger thereafter?

A I believe so, but I don't think I answered my phone because $I$ was driving when she was calling.

Q You were what?
A I was driving when she was calling me.
Q Okay. And did she continue to call you or try to contact you?

A I believe so.

Q Well, did you ever say that she, quote, Blew up my phone?

A I might of. I think she did try and call me over and over, but $I$ don't remember.

Q Okay. And did you ultimately communicate with Ms. Stanger about a laptop?

A I -- she asked me about it, and I didn't know anything about it. I told her that when $I$ dropped them
off, $I$ didn't see them with anything.
Q Okay. And did she try to figure out -- or use you as a means by which to contact either Mr. Holley or Mr. Lopez?

A There was a point that $I$ told her she needed to take it up with them, because $I$ knew nothing about it. If she wanted to find out, she would have to take it up with them. Because $I$ wasn't getting in the middle of it.

Q And did you, in fact, give her a way for her to contact Mr. Lopez or Mr. Holley?

A I don't believe so.
Q Well, I have the impression that you came by knowledge that somebody had the laptop and wanted $\$ 500$ for it?

A I have no idea. I didn't know anything about the laptop.

Q You never heard that, or anything like that?
A No.
Q Okay. All right. Were you aware that Ms. Stanger appeared to be falling off the wagon with respect to drugs, so to speak?

A I would say so.
Q Was that evident that night when the laptop came missing?

A I'm not sure what you're asking.
Q Was it evident that she was taking narcotics or alcohol that night that the laptop went missing?

A Yeah, I would say so.
Q Do you remember how she was dressed?
A I believe she was in shorts and, like, a sports bra or something, or a tank top.

Q Uh-huh.
And you were aware that Ms. Stanger had provided the keys to the gun safe at the house on that night the laptop went missing?

A I don't really remember. It just -- because it's been so long. But $I$ don't remember.

Q But if you told a police officer that, that would be true?

A Yeah, it was -- it was fresher when I talked to them.

Q Okay. And then comes the night of July 7 . Did Mr. Holley borrow your car that night?

A Yes.
Q Did you file a report saying that somebody else had borrowed the car?

A I did at the beginning, because $I$ was being threatened. And $I$ was scared at that time.

Q Okay. Who did you say took your car or
borrowed your car?
A Speedy, at that time.
Q And who was threatening you?
A Tyrell.
Q And how did -- how did that threat come to pass?

Did he call you, or were you in his company, or do you remember?

A I don't remember. I remember him threatening me and just telling me that if $I$ said anything he would shut me up like he has other people. So at that point, I was just scared.

Q Okay. And you barely knew him?
A Well, I knew -- I knew him. I knew him.
Q Okay. Did Tyrell ever talk to you about what happened to Mr. Smith?

A No.
Q Why did you tell Detective Hood of the Elko County Sheriff's Office that he had?

A I don't remember saying that.
Q Do you recall telling Detective Hood Tyrell told you that the two of them had froze, and Speedy (inaudible) into them?

A I don't remember. If -- I mean, it was fresher then, so I don't really remember.

Q Okay. Did you become aware that your gun -- or your car had been involved in this incident with Mr. Smith?

A I was when I called to report it stolen. They had made me meet an officer at Stockmen's to report it.

Q I'm sorry, and then what happened?
A And that's when the detective told me -- I mean, it wasn't a detective that was actually there, an officer to report my car.

Q Okay. And then based on finding out your car was involved, did you take any steps?

A Like -- I don't know what you're --
Q Well, find out what happened to your car, or whether you can get it back, or how you're gonna get it back, anything like that?

A He told me they would let me know. And then -and that's all. I -- I tried to get in touch with -like I asked the DA about it before. I had to get my car seat. The baby's car seat was in there. And so we had to get that and stuff out.

Q Okay. And how many children did you have in those days?

A I have three.
Q Okay. And the car that was borrowed by
Mr. Holley, was that the one you typically used to haul
your children around?
A Unfortunately, yes.
Q Why was it unfortunate?
A Well, obviously because I need the car to get the kids around. And I don't anymore, but yes.

Q You don't need your car to get kids around anymore?

A Well, yeah, that's what I'm saying. Unfortunately, now $I$ don't have it. So...

Q Okay. All right. All right. Did there come a time when you went down and had a telephone visit with Speedy at the Elko County Jail after all this?

A No, I have not. I have not had a conversation with Speedy on the phone at all. I haven't talked to him at all.

Q Are you telling me that you don't recall having a telephonic conversation with Speedy, that after his arrest, and what you discussed, Mr. Holley,

Ms. Stanger, and so on?
A I don't. I don't -- I haven't talked to him.
Q I'm gonna provide you with a document and ask you to see if that refreshes your recollection.

MR. WOODBURY: This could take a while, Judge.
It's a long document, six pages. And I didn't know whether you wanted to break for lunch and bring her
back after.
THE COURT: We can go ahead and do that. We'll be in recess until 1:00.

You will need to come back, Ms. Steinbach. Do not discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: Please be back in the jury room by 1:00. We are in recess.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: All right. Ms. Steinbach is still on the stand. She's on your redirect, Mr. Woodbury.

MR. WOODBURY: I got to borrow a pen again.
Never mind, $I$ found it.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Ms. Steinbach --
THE COURT: Do you have your microphone turned on, Mr. Woodbury?

MR. WOODBURY: I don't know.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Ms. Steinbach, did you --

THE BAILIFF: You have to turn it on.
Oh, you got it shut off over there.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: Ms. Steinbach, I gave you a document. Did you read those over the lunch hour?

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. Did that refresh your recollection about a telephone call between you and Mr. Lopez while he was in jail?

A A little bit. I just don't -- I don't remember it, but --

Q Okay. And how did it come to pass that you went to the jail and had a phone call with him?

A I don't think it was at the jail. I think they just, like, called me on the phone, and $I$ was at home.

Q All right. And what was the phone call about?
MR. INGRAM: Judge, I'm gonna have to object.
The Nevada law prohibits hearsay, and that's exactly what she's being asked to testify about.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: I didn't ask anything specific, except for a general nature of the conversation. I'm not asking for what he said or she said.

THE COURT: At this point, the objection is overruled.

You can go ahead and answer the question.

THE WITNESS: What -- I'm sorry. What was the question again? I'm sorry.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: What was the general nature of the conversation?

A Him and John (inaudible) just called, and I don't remember it, that's why. But I think he was just saying, Hi, and stuff like that, I don't -- believe. I don't remember the phone call at all, but --

Q And was that surprising, because you knew Speedy so little that he called you?

A Yeah. I was caught off guard by it.
Q I'm sorry?
A I didn't -- I didn't -- it was obvious.
Q And during the course of the conversation, did you agree to a request of his, that you send money to the jail for him?

A When $I$ read the document, it did say that. But I never ended up doing -- giving him any money on his books.

Q Okay. Were you and Mr. Lopez close enough friends that you would give him money?

A No.

Q And you don't have any idea -- well, actually, there was another person there that you made a promise to give money to, as well, Mr. Hibell?

A Right.
Q Okay. But you didn't give any money to Mr. Hibell or Mr. Lopez?

A Correct.

Q All right. Did you notice in the transcript I gave you that you appeared to be very angry at Jennifer Stanger?

A It -- yeah, I read it. Like I said, I don't remember the conversation, so it's hard.

Q Well, can you tell the jury what it was that was making you so angry at Ms. Stanger?

A I think it's because she was -- I don't really remember.

Q Okay. But you do remember being angry at her?
A I don't know if $I$ was necessarily angry, just -- I don't know. I don't really remember it, so...

Q Well, have you been in contact with Ms. Stanger since this event?

A No, I have not.
Q So the friendship that existed before no longer exists?

A Correct.
Q Why is that?
A I just -- basically, I keep to myself and my
kids. I just stay at home and go to work.
Q Okay. And did you make a claim to Mr. Lopez that she appeared to be trying to involve you in having done something wrong?

A I believe it said something to it, but I don't know exactly what it was. I don't remember.

MR. WOODBURY: Okay. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Cross examination?

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q Ms. Stanger -- excuse me,
Ms. Steinbach, when you were over at Jennifer's house the week prior to Brad being killed, Brad wasn't there, was he?

A No, he was not.
Q In fact, you had never been at that residence when Brad was there, correct?

A Correct.
Q But nevertheless, you knew he lived there?
A Yeah, his name. I couldn't even tell you what he looked like.

Q Now, do you recall your interview with Kevin Mckinney when you were talking about Jennifer Stanger
showing the guns to Speedy?
A I don't remember -- I think it was when $I$ went in for the second -- the second statement. So it's -I don't -- I don't remember it all the way, but --

Q Do you remember telling Lieutenant McKinney where you were whenever Jennifer showed Speedy the guns?

A I believe $I$ was at the kitchen table.
Q Do you recall telling McKinney that you were actually in the kitchen?

A Yeah. It's like the kitchen and the table, like, the dining area is basically, like, together kind of.

Q You will agree with me, right, that you couldn't actually see into the master bedroom in the kitchen part?

A Correct.

Q So as you sit here today, you don't know whether Jennifer actually opened up a safe or gave Speedy keys to open up a safe, do you?

A I don't -- I know -- I don't know if she opened it. No, I don't. But I believe it was when we were at the table that she had the keys, but I don't remember. I don't know for sure. I don't remember.

MR. INGRAM: I don't have anything else. Thank
you.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. WOODBURY: Nothing.
THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for
Ms. Steinbach?
Counsel, let me know if you have any objection.
MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Did you hear any talk between
Speedy and Jennifer about planning the robbery?
THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
THE COURT: Any follow-up on that,
Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: No.
THE COURT: Mr. Ingram, any follow-up?
MR. INGRAM: No.
THE COURT: Do either of you need this witness retained?

MR. WOODBURY: Defense does not.
MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Steinbach. You are
excused from further appearance at this trial. Do not
discuss your testimony with anyone other than the attorneys.

Your next witness, Mr. Woodbury?

MR. WOODBURY: Mr. Honeyestewa.
THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.
(Witness sworn.)
THE COURT: Please state your name.
THE DEFENDANT: Alan Honeyestewa.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Woodbury.
MR. WOODBURY: Thank you.

ALAN HONEYESTEWA,
the witness herein, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODBURY:

Q Mr. Honeyestewa, are you the defendant in this case?

A Yes, I am.
Q All right. How old are you?
A I'm 26 years old.
Q How much education do you have?
A I graduated from here in Elko High School, and I attended college in Sacramento, California.

Q And what was the nature of the college that you attended?

A I attended Universal Technical Institute. It
would be auto body and collision technician.
Q Okay. You're gonna have to slow your conversation down just a little bit.

A All right. Sorry. I talk kind of fast.
Q You do. But you need to slow it down. Okay?
And when did you get out of that college class?
A I graduated in 2017.
Q Do you remember roughly what month?
A July or August, I believe.
Q Okay. And were you in California by yourself, or were members of your family there, or someone with you?

A No, I went by myself.
Q And how long did the classes last?
A I was there for about 15 months, 16. Yeah.
Q Are you a member of a Native American tribe?
A Yeah. I'm a member of the Te-Moak Tribe, Western Shoshone.

Q Okay. And where did you grow up?
A I grew up here on the Elko Indian Colony.
Q All right. Did you ever live up in Owyhee?
A No.
THE BAILIFF: Let me adjust the mic a little bit.

Q BY MR. WOODBURY: On July 7, 2018, where were
you living?
A I was living with a friend. His name is Roy.
Q Does he have a last name?
A Roy Sam.
Q And is he a relative of yours?
A Yeah, we're related somewhere down the line.
Q Okay. And that means, like, cousins once or two or three times removed, or something like that?

A Yeah. I'm not really sure. We're related to his mom's side of the family, I believe.

Q Okay. And where did Mr. Sam live? Where is his house?

A He lived on Buckskin on the Colony.
Q And who else lived there?
A It was just me, him, and his kids, his stepdaughter.

Q Okay. Were you having some trouble in your life along -- about that time?

A Yeah. So before I came back to Elko, I was living in Salt Lake City. And me and my girlfriend that $I$ had been with for five years, we had broken up. And $I$ ended up coming back to Elko. And, you know, while we were broken up $I$ was, you know, really depressed, and it caused me to start, you know, drinking a lot.

Q Okay. Drinking what?
A Alcohol in general.
Q All right. And where had this girlfriend for five years been while you were in California going to college?

A She -- she was here for a little bit, and then she went back to school in Salt Lake City.

Q Okay. And you had lived in Salt Lake City with her for a while?

A Yeah. I had lived in Salt Lake three, almost four years.

Q And what did you do while you were over there?
A Nothing. We were just being a family, boyfriend/girlfriend. She was going to school, and she had asked me to move up there with her, or her and her family did, so I did.

Q All right. How were you paying for -- how were you earning money while you were back here in Elko?

A So, I mean, I wasn't really working, you know. But if I needed something, I could talk to my dad, you know, or one of my relatives. And I, you know, helped them out with stuff, or helped some friends out with some stuff, you know, and they would help me out with money or give me, you know, whatever I needed so I will be all right.

Q Okay. And you had other relations here on the Colony?

A Yeah. I'm, like, related to half the Colony.
Q Okay. Is that a -- an exaggeration?
A Not really. I mean, I'm related to a lot of people. I'm not related to everybody, of course, but I am related to a lot of people.

Q Okay. And what is the nature of the -- the nature of the relationship among the Native Americans, to people who you're actually related by blood to? Is there -- are you close, your ties close to each other?

A Oh, yeah. We all talk, we all hang out, kick it.

Q Okay. Tell the jury what "hang out" means.
A You know, we'll go over to each other's house, barbecues, you know, birthdays, that kind of thing, and just do, I don't know, what family does, I guess.

Q All right. Were you engaged in any other work that was consistent with the education that you had received in college while you were here?

A Yes. So, you know, while $I$ was back, everyone knew that, you know, $I$ went to school learning how to do bodywork, how to paint cars, you know, things of that nature. So people would ask me to do stuff for them like that. You know what $I$ mean? In regards --
you know, whether it be a bike, a skateboard. I did a scooter, like things of that nature.

Q Okay. And you said the words, Do you know what I mean. Did you recall saying that?

A Yeah.
Q Is that -- is that something that comes naturally to you, or how come you say things like that?

A I don't know. It's been pointed out to me that I say that a lot, even when I'm on the phone, you know, talking to people. It's just -- I don't know. It's just natural to me, $I$ guess.

Q Okay. And how -- how about the use of expletives among the people that you lived with up on the Colony? Is that a common thing? Do you know what an expletive is?

A Like, how different -- like, how you say something?

Q No, it's a pretty rough word. A swearing, we would call it.

A Swearing? Yeah, I mean I guess it's something that usually -- it's, yeah, it's part of the conversation, I guess.

Q And is that also the case when -- is there any difference in use of that kind of language between -when a woman is present, or it's just males?

A Not necessarily. I mean, like, some of the women even talk like that, too. You know what $I$ mean? So it's not, like, uncommon, I guess.

Q Okay. When you said you were consuming alcohol, can you give the jury about how much alcohol you were consuming during the course of a day?

A Like I said, I was depressed. And depending on, you know, who was with us, or what time of day it was, like, we can be drinking all day. Like, I can't really put a quantity on how much. It's just, you ran out and you would go get some more. I don't know. It's like, I didn't really keep track. But we pretty much drank all the time.

Q Did you also use controlled substances?
A Every now and then, yeah, I did use controlled substances.

Q How about heroin?
A No, I don't mess around with heroin.
Q You never have?
A Once, but it's not -- it's not my thing. I don't --

Q How about methamphetamine?
A Yeah, I've used methamphetamine before.
Q Regularly?
A No. It was just -- no, off and on, every now
and again.
Q Okay. And what was the reason for only using it every now and again?

A I mean, it wasn't -- it wasn't something I like doing all the time. You know what I mean? It's just, when I slammed, I guess, you know what I mean, once in a while. Well, $I$ guess it's not really a drug anymore, but $I$ would rather smoke marijuana than anything. And marijuana and meth is just -- kind of counteract each other. One makes you relax, and the other one just kind of makes you, $I$ don't know -- I don't know how to describe it, but wired, I guess.

Q Uh-huh.
Marijuana -- or, excuse me, methamphetamine is pretty expensive?

A Depending on, I guess, who you are, or who you know, it could be.

Q Is it plentiful up on the Elko Indian Colony?
A You could say that.
Q I did, but I need you to say it?
A Yes.
Q When were you -- when you were living on the Colony, were you having any relationship difficulties with your relations up there?

A Some of them. Before $I$ moved to Salt Lake, I
was living with my grandma. So when I came back, I was living with her for a little bit. When she heard that I was doing drugs and, you know, drinking all the time, she asked me to leave. And that's why I ended up at Roy's house.

Q And with respect to people who aren't your relations, did you have trouble with people up there?

A Yeah, I had some conflict with some people. You know what $I$ mean? I know you don't know what $I$ mean, but it's -- it's not that $I$ had conflict all the time. You know what I mean? There was just certain things that was happening with certain people, and it -- yeah, and they weren't necessarily family, but just conflict, I guess.

Q Were those conflicts your fault or their fault or --

A You know, most of the time, I would like to think, you know what $I$ mean, it was their fault. You know what $I$ mean? I'm not saying I was always right in a situation. I'm not saying that, you know. I'm not saying that $I$ was right every time $I$ was in a conflict. But most of the time, like if $I$ made a conflict, it's because of somebody else's actions, in my opinion.

Q Uh-huh.
Well, do you recall talking to Detective

McKinney?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall telling him you, quote, liked to fight?

A Yeah, I told him that.
Q Is it true?
A So, yeah. I mean, I have been in a few altercations. You know what $I$ mean? But it's something -- I do like fighting, but it's something I wanted to do as a sport, too, and just not every day on the street. So, I mean, it's like -- I don't know, you do what you're good at, basically. You know what I mean? So, I mean, I'm good at multiple things. You know what I mean? And fighting just happens to be one of them.

I'm not the best. I'm not saying, you know what I mean -- I'm not trying to be cocky or anything. I'm just saying that it was just -- yeah, that's what it is.

Q Did you have an opinion about whether or not that was a good or bad way to be?

A Yeah. I mean, I didn't -- I don't like having conflicts. You know what I mean? But I guess I don't shy away from it either, you know what $I$ mean, depending on the situation.

Q Where have you been living since July -- or July of 2018?

A Where have I been living?
Q Yeah.
A Since July? County Jail.
Q Can you describe in a general way what happens during the course of a day at the Elko County Jail?

A Wake up. We eat breakfast. I personally go back to sleep, you know, because there's nothing really to do. TV gets boring real quick. So I generally sleep in until about lunchtime. Get up, eat lunch. And then generally work out until about dinnertime. And then after that, I'll just hang out, play some cards, watch a movie, depending on what's on. That's pretty much a day in the Elko County Jail.

Q Did you have a lot of time to think about the case that you're involved in here as a defendant in a murder case?

A Yeah. Yes, I've been there for over two years, two years and, like, three months. So, yeah, I've had a lot of time to think about it.

Q Okay. Going back now to the conflicts, did you have ownership of a 40 caliber subcompact -- subcompact pistol?

A Yeah. I had the 40 caliber XD-40 subcompact,
yes, sir.
Q And did you pack it?
A Yes. I mean, if $I$ had to. I had gotten it about eight months prior. You know what I mean? And I was living with Roy, but $I$ didn't like to leave my stuff laying around. And $I$ was going through a lot of things at the time. So, yeah, I did. I did carry it with me.

Q Is that common, or a common practice on the Elko Indian Colony, for males to have a gun?

A Yeah. So I know a lot of people do carry guns on the Colony.

Q There was also the case if you had purchased, at some point, a 9 millimeter pistol?

A Yes. I did purchase a 9 millimeter from my friend, Dusty. You guys heard him testify. And he was going through his own personal things at the time. And I met up with him one day, and he had mentioned he was selling the 9 milimeter.

Q Uh-huh.
And where did you buy that?
A Well, I mean, he said he needed some help. You know what $I$ mean? And he was selling it, and I had some extra money at the time. So I decided to purchase it from him. But $I$ asked him if $I$ could do it in
payments.
Q Okay. What ultimately happened to that 9 millimeter pistol?

A So, let's see, me and Dusty went downtown. This is when he was telling me about it. We went downtown. And he was telling me about the pistol and how his -- well, you know, let me check it out. So we went and looked at it, and I liked it. So I, like, I told him, you know, $I$ would do payments on it. And that was on a saturday, because we were downtown over here. And $I$ ended up buying the pistol from him that Monday.

And, so, I had a little extra money. And like I said, I mean, we were drinking, and alcohol costs money. You know what $I$ mean? And we gamble, or $I$ gamble. And $I$ was gambling. And two days later, I didn't have any money. So $I$ ended up selling that pistol to Speedy.

Q When was that?
A I don't know. Two days later, on a Wednesday.
Q Okay. How did you come to know speedy?
A So I met Speedy about two, three years prior through a mutual friend.

Q Okay. And was -- was that when you were living here, or somewhere else?

A That was -- no, I believe I was in Salt Lake then. I would just come both between Salt Lake and Elko.

Q And he's about your age?
A Yeah, we're both the same age.
Q And did you become friends?
A No, I mean, not necessarily. I mean, we were all right. But, $I$ mean, the first time $I$ met him, $I$ told him straight up that $I$ didn't like him.

Q How come?
A I just -- because of the way he was coming off.
And, like, I was trying to talk to him. And he was, like, looking at me, like, Why are you talking to me? You know what I mean? Because he was there to see Taylor. And, $I$ don't know, he just rubbed me the wrong way.

And I'm just the type of person that if $I$ don't, you know, like you, or $I$ feel like you're being rude to me, I'll tell you, you know, that $I$ don't really like you.

Q Tell me about law enforcement up in the reservation, Colony.

A Like, what do you mean?
Q Who provides law enforcement up there?
A The BIA. The Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Q Are they routinely brought in to problem areas, or people that are having problems with one another up there?

A No, not generally. I mean, people -- they do show up, you know. You know what I mean? And they make arrests. But it's not generally -- they don't generally show up. You know what $I$ mean? They're not called. So no one really calls them that much.

Q Do you know how come that is?
A Most of the time, I mean, depending on what's going on or who you're dealing with, people tend to resolve conflict themselves.

Q Is that a tradition of the Native Americans up there, as far as you know?

A I don't know if it's tradition, but, I don't know, it's just something that -- it was like that before -- before $I$ was born. It was like that growing up. And it's still like that to this day.

Q Okay. Did there come a time when you and Mr. Lopez, Speedy, started to have some difficulties substantially worse than the fact that you told him you didn't care for him?

A Yeah. So, after that, you know, after that first initial meeting between us, me and him, you know, we didn't really -- we weren't really on the same page
ever. You know what $I$ mean? We both had similar friends, or mutual friends. But, I don't know, like that mutual friend, you get along with him, and you get along with me, but we didn't get along with each other. So, I mean, we just -- we didn't really get along.

Q Okay. Can you -- did there come a time when you had physical conflict with Mr. Lopez?

A Yeah. So I had -- I had tried to fight Speedy on multiple occasions --

Q Let me stop you. Why? Over what?
A First, so Speedy had tooken [sic] off with a mutual friend, took off with his girlfriend while he was in jail. And he didn't know about it. And, well, when his cousin came and told us, you know, Speedy went and did that, you know what $I$ mean, the mutual friend, he's like my brother. So, I mean, I got upset and I tried to fight him.

Q And who is the mutual friend?
A Taylor.
Q Taylor who?
A Taylor Miller.
Q Okay. Is that the same Taylor Miller that was with you on the night of July 7th?

A Yes.
Q And Mr. Miller had gone to jail?

A Yeah, he was in jail for, like, a month or two up in Owyhee, I believe.

Q Okay. And what did Speedy do?
A I guess they went up to where this girl is from, they went back to her reservation. It's called McDermott. And they were gonna have a fling, I don't know what you call it. But they had taken off together.

Q Who is "they"?
A Huh ?
Q "They." You said "they" did.
A Oh, Speedy and the girl.
Q Who is the girl?
A Her name is Ashley.
Q Okay. And because Mr. Miller -- Mr. Miller was a close, personal friend of yours?

A Yeah.
Q And is there a term you use to describe Mr. Miller?

A Yeah. So at the time, Taylor, he's like an old brother that $I$ never had, so he looked out for me growing up. So I look out for him when $I$ can.

Q So what happened?
A So after $I$ was trying to fight him, he didn't want to fight me. And everyone was telling me that,
you know, you shouldn't do that to Speedy, he's just a little guy. You know, I was like, I don't care. He was being disrespectful. So I didn't care.

Q Was there physical conflict?
A No, we didn't have physical conflict until two weeks before the incident.

Q Okay. Was there -- but from the time that you first confronted him about Mr. Miller's -- whatever happened to Mr. Miller, did you have another conflict with him, Mr. Lopez?

A Yeah, I had multiple conflicts with him after that. So there's these -- so Owyhee is just like 100 miles away. So, I mean, people come there -- come there to here all the time, you know, they go up to the Colony. And so some women from Owyhee had come to Elko, and they were staying there for a while. And Speedy had ended up hooking up with one of them. And she was my friend. We didn't have any relationship. She was just my friend.

And he ended up beating her up, you know, not just once, but twice. And so $I$ had to go -- when you go confront somebody, you call it checking somebody.

Q Call it what?
A You check somebody.
Q Did you do that?

A Yeah. I went and checked Speedy, what he was doing.

Q Did it result in a physical conflict?
A No, not that time it didn't. I told him if he did it again, though, we would have conflict.

Q You would have conflict, or a physical conflict?

A A physical conflict.
Q And then did there come a time when there was a physical conflict with Speedy?

A Yeah. So about two weeks before this happened, before the incident happened, we were -- we were all at Roy's house. You know, we were just hanging out drinking. And Steph had left. Her name is Steph, Steph Zedi (phonetic). She had left to go to Destiny's house, this other girl that was on the Colony. And her and Speedy and a couple of other people were up there.

And she left, and she came back and she was upset, you know, kinda crying. I asked her what was wrong. And she said that Speedy had tried to taxi her, tried to take what she had, tried to take her bag from her, tried to take her bottle, whatever she had on her at the time. And she was upset because I -- she didn't tell me this, but she's -- the first time, but she said he's always trying to do this to me, he's always trying
to take what $I$ have, you know. And she was just upset that it kept on happening. So I went and got him up at Destiny's house.

Q Okay. And can you talk about this taxiing up on the Colony?

A So taxiing on the Colony is like -- it basically means just taking something from someone, or it can mean -- so if $I$ loan you $\$ 20$ and you're kinda like, well, $I$ need 30 back now, that's also taxiing someone. You just get more in return than what you were owed. So, it's got a couple different names.

Q Okay. And is it widespread, as far as you know, up there?

A Not necessarily widespread. I mean, it's more the younger generation. The older generation probably calls it something different, but it really doesn't happen between them.

Q And on the case that you were just talking about, was there a physical conflict between you and Mr. Lopez?

A Yeah. So -- so $I$ went and found him and asked him, you know what $I$ mean, Why do you keep doing that? Why are you doing that stuff? And he was, like -- and I'm just quoting him -- he was, like, That bitch is mine. She's -- she's not telling you the truth, like,
she's just trying to start shit. Da, da, da. And I was, like, Well, let's go find out. And, you know, he really didn't want to go. But $I$ was just, like, I wasn't giving him a choice.

Q Well, why were you involving yourself in this? It wasn't -- it wasn't your friend, your money, or nothing like that, was it?

A Oh, yeah, Steph is my friend.
Q Why --
A All right. Well, $I$ involve myself, because these women that are not from here, they don't got family here, they don't got anybody that's gonna stick up for them or say, Hey, that's not cool, that you shouldn't do that. You know what $I$ mean? And Steph, she's been there for me. Like, she's a good friend. And she did a lot for me.

And I just -- I don't know. I wanted to have her back like she had mine. And that's why I involved myself.

Q So go on with your involvement.
A So I told Speedy that; like, we were gonna go down to Roy's house, and we're gonna see, you know, who's lying or who's telling the truth. Because Steph was still down there. And $I$ just -- I want to point this out to you, it's not -- it's not necessarily --
it's what $I$ did, but it's how $I$ went about it, too. You know, it's, like, $I$ had been drinking, and I guess I wasn't the nicest person about it. But $I$ kind of, I guess, humiliated him in front of people, you know what I mean? I guess you could say that.

And when we ended up going down there, you know what $I$ mean, $I$ told him, All right, you guys can -- did you do that? And Steph was, like, Speedy, why you lying? You know, you guys go through my pockets and this and that. And he's, like, no, I didn't. You're just trying to start shit. And, you know, they argued for a minute. And, you know, long story short, I didn't believe Speedy.

And so -- so what -- what he had done to her, I was gonna do to him. So I told Speedy, I told him to empty his pockets. I told him, Give me your sunglasses, give me your chain, give me your Kindle, give me your headphones, give me your knife, everything. I basically took everything he had. And I told him to set it on the table. You know, and he didn't want to do it. So I told him, Let's fight then. And he didn't want to fight.

And I said, Well, what -- if you don't want to do that, then let's go up there and see. And I -- I said, Let's go up there to Destiny's house and see, ask
the people if you did say that or not. And I was, like, I'm gonna leave your stuff here. And if I'm wrong, or if Steph is just trying to start, you know, something between us, then I'll give you your stuff back, you know.

And I told Steph that if she was just trying to start shit, then she was burned. Like, Don't hit me up for nothing. Don't -- you know what $I$ mean? Don't come to me for anything. Like, I don't want to hear your problems. Nothing. And she was all right with it. You know what I mean?

And so I ended up taking Speedy back up there. And the girl's house I'm talking about, Destiny, like (inaudible). So I asked her, I'm like, So did Speedy ask her what was in her pockets? And she said, Yeah, that he did ask what's in her pockets. That's all I needed to hear.

So Speedy was in the back, and I went out the back door. And we started fighting.

Q Physically fighting?
A Physically fighting.
Q How did that end?
A Well, I find out later the next day -- I guess that's (inaudible). It ended with -- so I had my elbow in his face. And Trevor Cortez, he came up behind me
and started choking me out from the back and told me, Hey, knock it off. Knock it off. Knock it off. You know what I mean? Like, Quit fighting. And he pulled me up, because he was bigger than me. And we fell.

And about -- while $I$ was on the ground, Speedy had got up. And he was trying to stab me. And --

Q Stab you with what?
A So --

Q Stab you with what?
A A knife.
Q All right. Is this incident described in the McKinney interview?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Have you had an opportunity to read about the incident in the McKinney interview?

A Yes.
Q Was it -- did you say what you meant to say in that interview when you were talking about this incident? I mean, were there any errors or omissions that you saw and need correcting?

A I can't recall off the top of my head.
Q Okay. But if you had seen something that was said wrong or -- you would have remembered it, right?

A I believe so. It was a long interview. Like I said, the story -- $I$ don't know, I guess it's longer
than -- you know, I'm just trying to shorten it up.
Q This incident that you were just talking about, how long before July 7 th was that incident?

A Two weeks.
Q All right. Was there a subsequent incident?
A With fighting?
Q Between you and Speedy fighting.
A No.
Q All right. Did you --
A Wait. So the next day, I had seen him, or I was coming back from Red Lion, me and my uncle were coming up from Red Lion. And he lives on the Colony. But we were driving fast, and we seen Chelsea and Speedy walking down the street.

Q Who is Chelsea?
A That was Speedy's girlfriend at the time.
Q Chelsea --
A -- Jones. Chelsea Jones.
And so I told my uncle $I$ was gonna take off.
So I hopped on my bike, $I$ cruise down the street, and $I$ seen Speedy. And I hopped off my bike, and I was trying to fight him again. Because he was telling everybody that -- what I -- what I did; I hit him from the side and that it wasn't -- it wasn't, like, a fair fight, basically. You know what $I$ mean?

So I was, like, All right. Well, let's (inaudible) in the middle of the street, then. You know what $I$ mean? It was just me, him and Chelsea. Like, We'll step in the street. And he didn't want to do it.

And while $I$ was trying to call him out in the street, he was motioning to his pants, like he had a pistol. And I told him, like, I was -- I said -- I was, like, Is that supposed to scare me? Like, I told him $I$ had a pistol, but at that time I didn't, because my uncle doesn't like guns at his house. So I respect that, and I don't take my stuff to his house.

So at that time I didn't have it, but I told him I did. You know what $I$ mean? And $I$ told him he didn't scare me. And $I$ was -- I don't know, I called him a bunch of names and told him $I$ was gonna catch him without a gun.

Q Did there come a time when you looked at what you had been doing with respect to Speedy and didn't like what you had been doing too much?

A Yeah. So, I mean, $I$ know you guys couldn't really see, but he's a shorter fellow. And he -- he was smaller than he was in the video, too, so he's not really a big person. And I -- I knew that I can, you know what $I$ mean, get the better of him. So I just
started feeling bad, because $I$ guess I don't like doing that. You know what $I$ mean? I just feel like I had to just teach him a lesson.

Q So did you do something to make all of this up, to make up with Speedy?

A I did not necessarily make up, but I did feel bad. So I had his wallet, too, and he had his driver's license in there. And so, you know what $I$ mean, you need it to go to the casino, to go to the bar, to buy stuff on, you know, to do 21-and-over things.

And so I took his wallet, and I seen him. And I give it back to him. And before $I$ give it back to him, you know, I rolled up a joint, I gave him some. I said, I apologize, you know, for what I did. And, you know, that was pretty much that. I just left them where they were, and $I$ took off.

Q What's a joint?
A Marijuana cigarette.
Q Okay. And was that the last physical conflict that you had had with him, that (inaudible)?

A Yes.
Q Did you describe to Lieutenant McKinney a -your relationship with Mr. Lopez after you gave him his wallet back?

A Yeah. I mean, I did. It was more like a --
just a (inaudible) with him. You know what $I$ mean? Like I apologized. Like, I wasn't trying to have any more conflict with him. You know, he wasn't doing what he was doing any more, so I just tolerated him and (inaudible).

Q And when you say, "He wasn't doing what he was doing any more," what does that mean?

A Being rude to females.
Q All right. Is there -- from your point of view, being rude to females has some meaning?

A Yeah. So growing up, I grew up in a household of women. And so it would have been me, my mom, and my sisters. And my sister has a daughter, my niece. And I personally have a daughter. So, you know, it strikes me, you know what $I$ mean, me personally that if a man wants to be mean to a woman, you know what $I$ mean, then he should be able to do it to a man, too. And Speedy, he just tends to do it to women.

Q All right. During the course of your relationship with Mr. Lopez, did he appear to be a frightful person to you?

A Not really.
Q Did there come a time when -- well, just describe how you got -- or did you get in the car on July 7th with Speedy and someone else?

A Yes.
Q Who?
A It was me, Speedy, and Taylor.
Q How did that come to happen?
A They had picked me up at Roy's house.
Q And what preceded it?
A Afterwards?
Q No. What went before it? How did it come to happen that -- well, let me ask it this way: Were you hanging out with Speedy regularly in those days?

A No. I didn't see him. No.
Q All right. And describe your relationship with Taylor.

A Taylor? Like I said, he was like my older brother. So...

Q And did Taylor and Speedy seem to be close friends?

A I don't know "close." They were friends, but that's the extent. I don't know what they would call it with each other.

Q Uh-huh.
And how did it -- how did it come to pass, then, that you got in the car with Mr. Lopez?

A So they had stopped by Roy's house, and they had asked me if $I$ wanted to go, you know, have a few
drinks with them, drink a bottle with them. And we drank.

Q Had you consumed alcohol that day?
A Yeah. So I was at my buddy Rick's house. And his house had just burnt down, you know what I mean, so we were over there cleaning it up, you know, doing yard work and stuff like that. So we -- me and him had been drinking all day.

Q And when you say "drinking all day," were you -- can you describe to the jury how far under the influence of alcohol you thought you were?

A I guess on a scale of 1 to 10, 7, 8.
Q All right. Is that a usual day for you?
A At that time, it was.
Q And what was the cruising going to be about? What were you going to do?

A Nothing. He didn't say anything. He just said -- he just said that we were going to cruise around and drink a bottle, and he was going to drop me off afterwards.

Q All right. Who provided the bottle?
A He already had it when $I$ got in the car.
Q A bottle of what?
A I believe it was a bottle of Vodka; Sky. I think it was Sky. I'm not too sure.

Q And do you now know whose car it was that you got into?

A Yeah, I didn't know whose car $I$ was in, but now I know it was Amy's.

Q Okay. Did you know Amy from before?
A No, I never met Amy.
Q (Inaudible)?
A Huh?

Q You never met her before?
A No, I never met her.
Q Oh, okay. I thought you said "him."
A (Inaudible).
Q (Inaudible).
And after you got in the car, do you remember where you went?

A So we cruised around the Colony first. You know what $I$ mean? We were just going down the streets, you know, just cruising by everyone's house and just drinking and listening to music. And then we just started cruising around Elko, never made no -- just kinda staying on the back roads, not on the main roads, you know, not trying to get pulled over.

Q All right. And how long did that go on, if you know?

A I don't remember. Half an hour maybe.

Q And then did things change? Well, let me ask it this way first: Were there conversations going on in the car?

A No, not necessarily. I mean, we was just more, like, Here, here you go. But, like, on the Colony, like most of the time we'll just listen to music. And, you know, a lot of us like to draw. So we don't bug each other. It's like, Here, you know, here's a drink. I mean, we talk, don't get me wrong. But we, like, listen to music loud.

Q Did you notice, or do you know whether or not Mr. Lopez had a cell phone?

A Yeah, I know he had a cell phone. I just -- I can't tell whether it worked or not.

Q Where were you riding in the car?
A I was in the back seat.
Q Passenger's side or driver's side?
A I was behind the driver's seat.
Q Was there any particular reason you were there behind the driver's seat?

A No.
Q And did there come a time when you stopped cruising?

A Yeah. So we -- we were -- we were cruising around. And then $I$-- I didn't know where this was at
the time. You know what $I$ mean? But we were cruising around. And then he stops the car, right before a dirt road. And he stopped the car. And then he asked if we wanted to go smoke.

Q All right. And as -- when you say "he asked," who is "he"?

A Speedy asked if we wanted to go smoke.
Q And did you respond to that, or you didn't respond to it?

A It was just mostly like, well, apparently. We didn't have any other choice. Speedy was the one who had whoever's car it was. So, I mean, if he was gonna stop there, he was gonna stop there.

Q All right. What does "smoke" mean?
A $\quad$ Smoke" means a lot of things. You know what $I$ mean? Like I said, I didn't know what he was talking about. I just assumed we were talking about marijuana.

Q But "smoke" is also used in the drug world to mean smoking methamphetamine?

A Yeah, it could.
Q Is it also used in the drug world to mean smoking heroin?

A Yes, it could.
Q Do you have any idea why you thought it was marijuana as opposed to the other two?

A I don't know. Well, I mean, most of the time, when you're -- when you're in that kind of world, you know what $I$ mean, if someone says they're gonna go smoke, most of the time they'll motion. So if you're gonna smoke heroin, your motion might be smoking it off of foil. So if someone -- so if you hold a lighter like this. So they'll say, You want to smoke. You know what $I$ mean?

Or when you're smoking methamphetamine, you know what $I$ mean, they're putting it in the glass pipe. So then you twist it. So then, You want to smoke.

Or if you say, you want to smoke, you know what I mean, like, you're just putting like a joint. You know what $I$ mean? So most of the time that's what they'll do, like...

Q Were you -- did there come a time when you learned where you were gonna go smoke, or was it -- was it drink and smoke, or just smoke?

A Yeah. Well, he had mentioned something about getting more alcohol and something to smoke.

Q And did there come a time you became knowledgeable of where it was that you were gonna go to do this smoke, or get another bottle, or whatever it was?

A He had mentioned the lady he had talked about.

Q Okay. And this lady turned out to be someone you now know as a result of sitting here, going through this process, right?

Who was the lady as you understand it Mr. Lopez was talking about?

A He was talking about Jennifer Stanger.
Q Now, going back, was that night, the night of July 7th, the first time that you had ever heard Mr. Lopez mention this lady, if not by name?

A He never said her name. He just mentioned that she was a white lady, and that she would pretty much give him whatever he wanted, as long as he would hook up with her.

Q As long as he would what?
A As long as they would have sex.
Q And did you have an opportunity to tell Detective McKinney, Lieutenant McKinney, in the interview about what he had told you?

A Yes.
Q About this girl, or this lady?
A Yes.
Q And were you under the impression, or did you know whether or not the lady was married?

A Speedy had mentioned that she was married.
Q All right. And did he talk to you, tell you
about where her husband was at?
A He told me that she -- that he was a miner in Alaska.

Q Uh-huh.
And that conversation had taken place before, long before you had gone on this cruise, right, where you wound up at her house?

A Oh, yeah. It was actually the same day.
Q Was it?
A It was the -- yeah. It was the same day, I believe.

Q Okay. And where had that conversation taken place about this lady?

A Up at Destiny's house, the girl $I$ was seeing.
Q And you were drinking alcohol when that
conversation took place?
A No. I had just woken up.
Q Uh-huh.
In the -- on the Colony, when men and women are
married, or living together, are there rules about what each can do with the property of the other?

A Like, what's their's is yours, and what's yours is their's.

Q Huh?
A They share each other's things.

Q I'm sorry?
A They share each other's things.
Q Is that routine up there?
A Yeah, whether they like it or not, that's probably what's happening.

Q So if the lady brings something into the relationship, she might share it with the male that she's having the relationship with?

A Yeah.
Q And the reverse is true, that the male winds up sharing whether they wanted to or not, what he brought into the relationship?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Have you -- did you spend any
considerable amount of time in downtown Elko
associating with non-Indians?
A No, not really. I don't really hang out with too many people that $I$ don't know.

Q And the people you do know tend to be Native Americans?

A Most. I'm not saying that $I$ don't have, you know, other ethnic friends. But most of the time, I'm on the Colony.

Q So now you went to the -- on the cruise.
And at some point or another, Mr. Lopez
mentions, do you want -- do you want to go to this lady's house?

A Yeah. So he had stopped the car and asked us if we wanted to go smoke and possibly get something to drink.

Q Okay. And do you remember expressing your agreement to do that, or did it -- was words exchanged, or how did -- if at all, how did you communicate that was okay to you?

A Well, I just -- I don't know. I guess you could say that; Let's go.

Q Were you under the impression you were gonna go steal something to drink or smoke?

A No. We weren't going to steal anything.
Q Huh?
A We weren't going to steal anything.
Q Well, from your point of view you weren't?
A Yeah. I didn't go there to steal anything. I didn't steal anything from nobody.

Q And after -- however it was communicated that that was okay with you and Taylor, what happened?

A We ended up walking towards the house. Like I said, we pulled up to this house. And it was actually a house down from where Brad and Jennifer lived. So -and we pulled up to this house. I thought we were
going to this house because the lights were on. You know what $I$ mean? There was cars parked in the driveway. Like, that's where $I$ thought we were going.

Q And when it turned out -- or did it turn out that wasn't the house you were going to?

A Yeah. So Speedy kept walking past the house to the next house. And that's when $I$ was -- that's when -- why we park down there? You know what I mean? I'm not gonna say it was suspicious, but it was kinda weird. You know what $I$ mean? Like, Why are you parked a house away?

Q Did Mr. Lopez answer you?
A He did. He said that the lady at the house didn't want the neighbors to see a car over there at nighttime.

Q Was that a satisfactory answer to you, to belie any suspicions you might have had?

A I don't know. These were his friends. These were his people. I didn't know them. So if that's what they wanted him to do, that's what they wanted him to do.

Q And had you -- had your intoxication lessened over the time that you were driving around and cruising?

A No. So we had drank another bottle, and we
were pretty intoxicated.
Q Okay. And "we" were?
A Speedy, Taylor and I.
Q All three of you were intoxicated, as far as you could tell?

A Uh-huh, yes.
Q Was -- at roughly the same level of intoxication, or do you know?

A No, I couldn't tell you about them, but $I$ was pretty -- pretty drunk.

Q Okay. So now as you're walking up to the house, can you describe what you remember about all of that?

A So we're walking to this house. And we get to the front door. And I remember, you know, there was lights on. There was a light on in the garage. There was a light right by the door. And I believe there was a camera, not by the light, but on the side of the house. I guess that's what you call it.

Q Now, since the event that you're describing, you've had occasion to sit through a couple of court hearings?

A Yes.
Q And you have seen the exhibits a number of different times?

A Yes.
Q All right. And you've heard people talk about what was where at the house?

A Yes.
Q How sure are you that when you say there was a light on above the garage and a light on at the house and a camera up on the door, how sure are you that you noticed them on the night of July 7, 2018?

A I noticed the light, because it's a light. And I guess the -- the light shows the camera. I mean, I wasn't really paying attention, you know what $I$ mean, to what else was around. Like, the porch, I seen there was a barbecue. And I did notice that. There was a cooler on the porch. I didn't notice any of that. But I wasn't paying attention to the minor details, you know what $I$ mean, because it wasn't (inaudible) going on. You know what $I$ mean? It wasn't.

Q Was there any conversation between the three of you to approach the front door?

A Me and Taylor were talking about something. I can't remember what it was, though.

Q All right. Again, that was a memory from two-and-a-half years ago, and you actually recall you and Taylor talking?

A I believe we were. I can't remember exactly
what we were talking about. It was probably about -talking about music, something like that in that regards, but $I$ can't really recall what the conversation was about.

Q Okay. When you got to the front door, what happened?

A So when we got to the front door, Speedy starts knocking on the door; boom, boom, boom, boom. You know what I mean? And so I said -- these are his friends. And me and Taylor are talking. You know what $I$ mean? And I'm standing behind him. So the door is right here. Speedy is right there in the middle. I'm behind him. Taylor is over here.

And we're just talking, talking. And then when she didn't -- whoever didn't answer the door, you know what I mean, Speedy was kind of upset.

Q Did you know that he was upset?
A I mean, you could see on his face, you know what I mean, that he wasn't --

Q Well, his face was facing away from you, right?
A It was. You know what $I$ mean? But $I$ kind of like, $I$ don't know, turned to the side a little bit, I guess. You could just tell by his expressions, you know what $I$ mean, he was like, This -- This bitch, really. Like, he said, -- he had told us that she said
it was all right to go over there. So in my eyes, or in my view, they already had something going, they already had something where he was, like -- where she told him to come by.

And I think that he was -- might have been upset that she either wasn't there, or didn't answer the door. I wasn't sure at the time.

Q So what happened?
A So while me and Taylor are talking, the door goes open. It gets kicked open. At the time, though, I didn't know that it had been kicked open, because we weren't paying attention to Speedy. Me and Taylor were talking, and $I$ was looking at Taylor.

So when the door got kicked open, you know what I mean, that was really -- like, what the hell is going on, you know what $I$ mean, when the door was open? And that's when Speedy walked in.

Q And you couldn't -- or don't remember distinguishing between him hitting the door, knocking on the door, and kicking the door?

A No. Like, I guess he knocked kind of loud. You know what $I$ mean? Like, he was trying to get someone's attention. But like I said, I didn't know whether or not -- whether it was from banging or kicking the door.

Q Okay. And then he left the door open, right?
A Yeah, the door was open.
Q Okay. And what happened then?
A So we're sitting -- we're sitting right there. Me and Taylor were at the front door. And we watched Speedy go to the bedroom door. And while he's at the bedroom door, you know what $I$ mean, like, while he's walking towards the bedroom door, I asked him, I'm, like, Speedy, is she even here? Like, and he didn't say anything to me. He just kicked in the bedroom door.

Q You saw him kick it in?
A Um, yeah.
Q I thought it was dark in the house?
A It was dark. You know what I mean? I can't tell you whether it was locked or not, whether it was closed or open. But it sounded like it.

Q Then what did you do?
A So after Speedy was in the doorway, he was about halfway in. So he was at the doorway. And half of his body was in, and half of his body was out. So you can only see about half his backside, you know, half his head, you know, going down. And he was just standing there. He was just kind of stuck in the doorway. And it was kind of weird. And $I$ thought it
was weird why he wasn't moving or why he wasn't saying anything.

And that's when $I$-- I don't know, I guess my curiosity got the best of me, and I was, like, What's going on? And when $I$ went in there to look, like, that's when $I$ seen Bradley pointing the gun at Speedy.

Q So can you explain to the jury why it was that you entered into that residence?

A So Speedy's got priors, I guess, for being a hot head, or being aggressive. And he did say that it was a woman, in general. So -- and if he was upset about whatever, you know, she didn't come through with whatever that they had going, then it was possible that, you know, he was gonna be aggressive towards her.

And $I$ don't know. I don't know if (inaudible) -- like, $I$ don't know, I just -subconsciously, $I$ wasn't gonna let him do that.

Q You recall in the McKinney interview that Lieutenant McKinney asked you a variety of questions on several different occasions about knowing the door was kicked in and how the intent changed between y'all?

A Yes.
Q All right. Can you describe what you thought was happening and what you intended to say to Detective McKinney about the door being kicked open and going in
and stealing guns, $I$ think, or other things?
A Well, $I$ remember him suggesting that -- like I said, I didn't know anything about these people. I didn't know what kind -- you know, where they lived, what they owned, nothing like that. So, I mean, I guess what I was telling McKinney was when Speedy was going, you know, towards the -- towards the door, I didn't know whether he was going to, you know, do whatever he was gonna do, as in hurt Jennifer or what, or whether he was going to go -- whatever they had agreed upon that she was gonna give him, you know.

So, I mean, it was general intent. I didn't have any intention on taking anything that was there.

Q Well, before the interview with Detective McKinney, had you ever heard that Speedy was gonna go in and steal some guns or some other things in the house?

A Before that, no. I mean, there was a lot of rumors going around but -- there was a lot of rumors. And there was --

Q There was rumors going around that night?
A Oh, that night? No, no. I thought you were talking about what happened with McKinney.

Q I'm talking about specifically what you knew, or thought you knew, at the time that Speedy went in
that house.
A Oh, no, I didn't know anything about any guns.
Q All right. Did you know that there was anything in that house, except that you were going in there for a drink or a smoke?

A No. Like I said, that's what he said we stopped there to do, was to drink and to smoke. There was no talk about doing anything else.

Q And you've read your statements to McKinney, Lieutenant McKinney, about what -- in response to Lieutenant McKinney telling you what he thought Speedy did when it turned out the door was locked, and that is that speedy changed his mind or -- and told you that he was gonna go in and steal some guns.

Did that happen?
A No, he didn't say anything.
Q Did you ever say directly to McKinney, yes, he said that, and $I$ understood it, or anything, any words to that effect?

A No. I never said that to McKinney.
Q But you did acknowledge that McKinney's view of how things changed in Speedy's mind -- let me ask it a different way.

But did you acknowledge that that kind of thought might have gone on in Speedy's mind once he
figured out the door was locked?
A That (inaudible) I didn't care what happened.
Q And would that, in your view, have been consistent with the Speedy that you knew?

A Yeah. That's -- yeah, that's what Speedy does.
Q And did -- well, we'll get there.
Okay. Then you saw Speedy in the bedroom door. You saw the back half of him in the bedroom door. What did you do?

A So I walk in to see what was going on. And I looked, and I peeked around the corner, because you guys seen the pictures. So from the front door to the master bedroom door is right here, so it's about five feet, ten feet, somewhere in that. And when $I$ walked in, I leaned forward like this, and I looked in. And that's when $I$ seen Brad, who was pointing his pistol at Speedy.

Q And then what happened?
A So as soon as $I$ seen Brad pointing his gun at Speedy, $I$ know enough about guns to know you don't stand in front of a gun, especially when it's being pointed at somebody. All right? So I instantly jumped back to head back out the front door. And I said, There's nothing this man could own that makes it worth being -- you know, I didn't want no part of that.

So after he -- after I jumped back, I heard shots, boom, boom, boom, boom. I'm not sure how many there were, but that's all $I$ heard were the shots. I didn't see them, that's all I heard. And when $I$ got shot, I was shot, and fell on my back.

Q Okay. Did you hear what you said a minute ago, that there's nothing this man could own that makes it worth stealing from him?

A Yeah.
Q Doesn't that sound like you were in there to steal something?

A That's what he was assuming. That's what he said we were there for. I was, like, I didn't know about anything he owned.

Q Uh-huh.
But you were talking about how you felt that night?

A Yeah.
Q Not what McKinney told you.
Was that something you intended to say, or intended to imply, that you -- that you intended to go in there and steal something; you were standing in front of a guy with a gun, there's nothing he's got that makes it worth standing in front of a gun enough to steal it?

A No. I wasn't trying to fight it. I was just saying generally. I was not standing in front of a gun.

Q All right. So after the gunshots started, what happened to you?

A I got -- I was shot. And when I hit the ground, $I$ was shot in my leg and in my arm.

Q And can you stand up and point to where you were shot in your leg for the jury?

A So I got shot in my right femur, in this area right here. And then $I$ got shot in my left arm right here.

Q Okay. And did you have -- did you know where the bullets were coming from?

A No. I -- I thought they had come from the bedroom. I did -- I did think that it was -- I didn't know at the time whether it was Speedy or whether it was Brad that had shot me. But I thought they were coming from one direction, but $I$ wasn't sure.

Q Okay. And when those shots hit you, what happened to you?

A I fell on my back.
Q Were you able to move?
A No. So, I mean, I could move my left leg and my right arm. That's the only two body parts I could
move.
Q And as you were laying on your back, what happened?

A So I was laying on my back. I tried to get up, but I couldn't. I -- the bullet had hit my femur, and it was broken bad. And my left arm, it had broken my whole -- from my elbow to my shoulder. It had shattered the whole arm, so I couldn't get up. I tried to move my left -- my arm was completely dead. And I tried to roll over to my right side, but it had hit me in my hip area, too, so when I tried to roll, it hurt my hip and my leg too. So $I$ couldn't get up.

So I started pushing with my left leg and right arm to try to get out of the house, but I wasn't making it.

Q What happened?
A So then $I$ was trying to push myself out. And I'm young, and I'm shocked. You know what $I$ mean? And when $I$ was trying to get out, that's when Mr. Smith came to the bedroom door and he started firing his --

Q How do you know it was Mr. Smith?
A I mean, just from what $I$ know now, you know, that it was Mr. Smith. But I didn't know it was Mr. Smith then.

Q But you thought it might be or was?

A I didn't know -- I didn't know the dude at the time. Like I said, I didn't know his name. I didn't know who he was. I didn't know what he looked like. I didn't know anything about the man.

Q So what did you do in response?
A So after he came out firing, I pulled my arm (inaudible).

Q All right. Where was your pistol?
A It was on my hip.
Q And can you describe how it was -- how you kept it on your hip?

A So I had a jacket on, I had a sweater on. All right? It was underneath my sweater. But if $I$ took my sweater off, it would be over my shirt and in my waistband.

Q Okay. And then you did what?
A I pulled my pistol out, and I returned fire.
Q Did you fire?
A I did.
Q Do you know how many times?
A I'm -- I'm not sure how many times $I$ fired.
Q You were asked by Detective -- or Lieutenant McKinney, or at least you talk about the number of bullets that were in the magazine.

Do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q Uh-huh.
And what did you tell Detective McKinney?
A I told him I believed I had seven or eight rounds in my pistol.

Q Did you know that?
A I guess so. The day before me and Taylor had gone out shooting, you know, and we went through a whole box, you know, well, a couple of boxes left. But I only had 80 shells left, so I decided I -- that I better save these shells, you know, just because I said it was better to have some shells than no shells.

Q Is it possible -- were you drinking the day before when you were out shooting?

A Yes, we were drinking.
Q All right. Is it possible that you lost -that your memory had neglected to inform you that you had got several more shells and put them in the pistol at some later time?

A Yeah, it's possible.
Q When you were shooting at Mr. Smith, what did you intend to do?

A So when $I$ fired back at Mr. Smith, it was only to get him to stop shooting me. Because I said, I'm shot, you know, and $I$ don't want to be shot anymore.

So I just wanted him to stop shooting me.
Q Did you premeditate and deliberate about killing Mr. Smith?

A No.
Q Did you premeditate and deliberate about killing Speedy, if he was the guy that was doing the shooting?

A No.
Q What were you shooting at?
A The only thing that $I$ was firing at was the muzzle fire. It was dark in there. So all you see is the muzzle fire. And $I$ don't know if you guys ever seen a strobe light, but that's kind of what it's like. So when someone is firing something at you when it's dark, you see flash, boom, boom, boom, boom, boom. So, I mean, literally, it's blinding.

Q All right. Well, $I$ thought it was light in there because of the light at the front door?

A So there was a light at the front door. But, you know, when you walk into your house at nighttime and you open the door and the light's on, there's that shadow, but not a shadow, but like a light that goes in from the door. And that was what was --

Q All right. So you didn't know who you were shooting at necessarily?

A No, I did not.
Q All right. Then what happened?
A So after Brad comes out firing, and $I$ pull my pistol out and $I$ return fire, during the exchange $I$ had got shot again. And that caused me to drop my gun.

Q Where did you get shot at?
A I believe I got shot in my stomach then. So after $I$ got shot in my stomach, I dropped it, you know. And that's when Speedy came and he picked my gun up.

Q Did you deliberately drop it?
A No, I mean, it just (inaudible) being shot. You know what $I$ mean? It was just like, right after you get shot, it's like, whatever is in your hand, you just let go.

Q What was your state of consciousness after you got shot the third time?

A So I was -- after that, $I$ mean, $I$ was in and out of consciousness.

Q How do you know that? How does one know they're in and out of consciousness?

A You can -- you can feel yourself literally. Like as much as you're trying to stay awake, you know, you're trying to fight it, your eyes are closing, and it's kind of getting dark around your eyes. So, you know, as much as you're trying to fight not going out,
you know what $I$ mean, it's happening.
Q Uh-huh.
Now, you realize you've been shot how many
times as you're laying there on the floor?
A At the time I didn't know how many times I had been shot. I just knew $I$ was shot multiple times.

Q All right. And have you ever been shot before?
A No, I have never been shot before.
Q And you were -- had been drinking alcohol all day and into the night, right?

A Yes.
Q How confident are you that you remember what happened?

A I mean, yeah, I'll acknowledge that my recollection of the events, you know, is vague. And, you know, it could be (inaudible) from being shot and being intoxicated.

Q Okay. And you're aware -- or are you aware that there is testimony before this Court that a bullet from your gun was fired into the right doorjamb? Do you know how that bullet got fired?

A No. I honestly can't recall how that bullet ended up there.

Q All right. You have no recollection of having shot it?

A No, I don't.
Q But are you saying -- are you admitting that your memory might be sufficiently flawed that you shot it and just simply forgot it?

A Yeah. I mean, it's possible that I did fire it.

Q And when you first heard about that bullet being in that doorjamb, did you analyze where Mr. Lopez was with respect to that bullet and where were you and reach some conclusions?

A So if I had been the one that fired that bullet, in my opinion, $I$ would have shot Speedy. That's where he was standing.

Q And as far as you know, Mr. Lopez never did get shot?

A Yeah, as far as $I$ know.
Q Okay. And after -- were you aware, after you dropped your pistol, you -- did you testify that you thought Mr. Lopez picked it up?

A Yes, I did.
Q All right. Do you know what Mr. Lopez did with the pistol?

A After that, I didn't know what happened. I thought he still had it. But $I$ found out later that he had left it there.

Q But did you know whether or not he shot more shots from it?

A From what $I$ know --
Q From your memory, not from what you read.
A Oh, from -- no, I don't remember. But, I mean, it's -- it's possible. Because I don't know if any of you know anything about firearms, but if a gun is empty, the slide will pop back and it will lock into place. And if someone is firing at you, you're not gonna pick up an empty pistol. He picked it up and started aiming it towards Brad.

Q Did you have a mask on that night?
A No. I didn't have a mask on.
Q Did you have gloves on?
A I didn't have any gloves on.
Q How were you dressed --
A I was --
Q -- if you recall?
A I was in a sweater and some -- I thought it was my shorts, but $I$ was wearing sweats. And some tennis shoes.

Q Okay. So after you dropped your gun, and what's the next thing you actually have a memory of?

A Speedy had dragged me out of that front door.
Q And where were you drug to, or do you know?

A I -- I don't know where $I$ was drug to, how far I was drug. I don't have a recollection of that.

Q But you have seen pictures and diagrams of where the drag marks were and everything, right?

A Yeah, I've seen pictures.
Q And what's the next thing you remember after dropping your gun and slipping as you did? What's the next actual thing you remember?

A So the next thing I remember is, you know, I'm waking up in -- apparently, I had gotten stuck on the way back to the Colony. And they were -- Taylor was trying to help me out of the car and help me get up the hill. It wasn't working. I was dead weight. I couldn't walk.

Q Okay. You heard Jenae talk about what she heard you do and yelling?

A Yeah.
Q Do you recall yelling?
A I was -- yeah. I was yelling. But I was hurt. You know what I mean? I was -- anyway, I moved, I'm pretty sure. You know what I mean? I was groggy, I guess.

Q Okay. Do you recall the medics coming to pick you up and put you in an ambulance?

A No, I don't remember anything after that.

After Jenae came, I don't know, I guess I kind of let go. I guess that's the only way to describe it.

Q Do you recall telling Jenae that you had been -- that your injuries were as a result of a drive-by?

A No, I don't remember saying that, sir.
Q What is a drive-by?
A A shooting from a moving vehicle.
Q And if you said it, were you consciously trying to mislead her, or do you know?

A No. I don't know why I would say that to Jenae. That doesn't make any sense to me.

Q Okay. And do you remember the officers coming and talking to you and inquiring how you had gotten there?

A No, I don't.
Q And that -- saying that you told them you walked?

A If I did say that, I don't remember it. And like I said, I couldn't walk, period. I had major surgery done on my leg. I wasn't walking anywhere.

Q And do you recall the officers asking -- an officer asking you to reveal your hands so he could make sure you weren't holding a weapon?

A No. No. When he testified to it, that was the
first time I recall -- I mean, not recall, but I guess hear -- hearing him say it.

Q All right. Do you recall arriving and going to Northeastern Nevada Regional Medical Center?

A No.
Q All right. What's the next thing -- when did you wake up or come back into consciousness?

A So I had woken up on, I believe, it was life support in Salt Lake City. I had a tube down my throat. And from what my mother told me, $I$ just kind of sat straight up and kind of pulled it, you know what I mean, out so $I$ could breathe. And then she said she put her hand on my forehead, and I passed back out.

Q Do you actually remember that?
A No. She told me that.
Q I'm sorry?
A No, she had told me.
Q What's the next thing you remember?
A The next thing I remember was actually I woke up again on the machine. And $I$ woke up, and $I$ was (inaudible) there with the doctors all rushing in. You know what $I$ mean? My heart rate was going up, and $I$ couldn't breathe. And then $I$ believe I passed back out again.

Q All right. How long did you spend at the

University -- or the hospital in Utah?
A So I was there for a week. And then after my -- when $I$ got shot in the stomach, it took my lung and went through my ribs and exited my left side. So I was on this machine that pumps out blood from your lung -- from your lungs. And once $I$ was able to get off that, they told me $I$ was able to leave.

Q How did you get back -- where did you go when you left?

A So I have friends that live in Salt Lake City. And my friend, her name is Val, she came and picked me up from the $U$ of $U$. And we waited for my ex-girlfriend at the time, because my daughter and her mom lived there in Salt Lake City. And they came and seen me. But she told me they were going back -- my daughter was going back to Elko, coming back to Elko that Sunday. So I had to wait for her sister to get off. And her sister drove us from Salt Lake to Wendover, where we met up with her parents and my sister.

Q Okay. That had all been prearranged, I assume?
A Yes.
Q So when did you get back to Elko? Do you know the date?

A That's -- that same day; Sunday. I do believe Sunday. The date, $I$ can't remember the date.

Q Okay. And when you got back to Elko, where did you go?

A So I went back up to Destiny's house. It was the girl $I$ was seeing at the time.

Q Okay. And did you get involved in any conversations about what had happened up there at Mr. Smith's house?

A Yeah. So when $I$ came back, a lot of people, you know what I mean -- I had heard about it, you know what I mean, read about it in the paper. And like I said, there was all kinds of rumors going around about what had happened, and how it happened and, you know, the reason for it and all of this. So I heard a couple of things.

Q You heard a couple of things?
A I heard a lot of things.
THE COURT: I'm gonna stop here and take a recess.
(Admonition given to jury.)

THE COURT: We'll be in recess for 20 minutes. (Recess.)

THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of counsel and the defendant.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: We're on your direct, Mr. Woodbury.
Q BY MR. WOODBURY: As you were in the hospital, when you were in the hospital in Salt Lake, did you spend any time thinking about what had actually happened?

A Yeah.
Q And did you get -- were you troubled by anything that you -- well, let me ask it this way: Did you have conversations with people while -- from Elko while you were in the hospital in Salt Lake?

A I had a couple of conversations. Not directly, just through someone else.

Q Excuse me?
A Through other people, through someone else. But I didn't really talk to anybody.

Q And when you got back to Elko, you heard a lot about what happened up at the Smith residence, right?

A Yeah.
Q And you talked to Detective -- or Lieutenant McKinney about some audio tapes, or audio recordings, right?

A Yes.
Q How did -- how did -- how did those come to
your attention?
A So, while I had been in Salt Lake, my -- a couple of my friends from the colony told me that there was this lady, and she had a couple of recordings of Jennifer talking about the incident.

Q Okay. And was it more specific than Jennifer just talking about it?

A Yeah. They -- you know, they had told me that they had heard that -- well, they heard that Jennifer was talking about setting up the murder, Brad, for his insurance money.

Q And as you were in Salt Lake and thinking about it, did a scenario come up in your head as to what actually happened up there and how you had come to be a shooting victim?

A Yeah. I had my own theory, I guess, of what happened.

Q Okay. And as time passed, did it seem important to you to try and work and find out exactly what had taken place up there?

A Yes.
Q You testified earlier that you had never stolen anything in your life.

A I just meant in regards to firearms. I had never had to steal any of my firearms that $I$ owned.

But I guess growing up, yeah, I mean, I did take some things that weren't mine.

Q Uh-huh.
And even inadvertently as you get older, you take a cigarette off somebody, something like that?

A Yeah. Nothing major.
Q Okay. So tell me about the day you got arrested. Where were you at when you got arrested?

A I was at the hospital here in Elko.
Q And what were you doing there?
A So I had -- my mom and my auntie came up to pick me up from my (inaudible) house, said that $I$ needed to be with family. So they came to pick me up. And I was -- I was hurting really bad that day. And my arm was really swelled up. So my auntie had ended up calling the ambulance for me, and $I$ ended up at the hospital.

Q Okay. Had you left the Salt Lake hospital without any prescribed medications for you?

A No, they gave me a lot of prescriptions.
Q And you had taken them?
A Yes.

Q All right. And when you got to the hospital here in Elko in the ambulance, what happened?

A They transported me to the hospital where I
received medical care.
Q Okay. And did you receive some prescribed medications here?

A Yeah. I was on my medication that day they prescribed me in Utah. And at the hospital, they had given me some more pain relievers, morphine, and muscle relaxers, nerve pain pills, things of that nature.

Q Okay. Were you actually admitted into the hospital, or were you in emergency care, or what?

A I was in the emergency room.
Q And then what happened?
A So after $I$ was done, that's when that detective that had come up to me, and a police officer, and asked me if $I$ was Alan. And $I$ told them that $I$ was. And he said that $I$ was under arrest.

Q Okay. And did you then leave the hospital?
A Yeah. They arrested me and took me to Elko County Jail.

Q Did you have any idea what time of day or night that was?

A It was late afternoon sometime.
Q All right.
A Between, like, 4:00 and 7:00, I think. I can't really remember exactly what time it was, but sometime in between there.

Q And what's the affect of this pain reliever medication on you?

A So for the wounds I had, they had me on heavy medication. So, I mean, it was -- it was kind of hard to function, you know, think straight, those types of things.

Q Uh-huh.
And when you got down to the Elko County Jail, did there come a time when you requested to talk to a detective?

A So when the lieutenant came and got me, he had mentioned that the detective that came and arrested me had a -- went and told McKinney that I requested to speak to him. And I don't -- I don't remember that being exactly the conversation, but $I$ did want to explain to somebody what $I$ thought happened, and what $I$ did was to only keep from being killed, not just deciding to hurt or, you know, kill somebody.

Q Okay. And in any event, you did then conduct an interview with Detective McKinney?

A Yeah, I talked to McKinney.
Q Lieutenant McKinney?
A Yes.
Q All right. And you've had an occasion to read the transcript of that interview?

A Yeah. But --
Q More than once?
A I haven't read it more than once.
Q And does it appear to be an accurate recording or an accurate transcript of the conversation?

A Yeah, it's accurate. It's just that I had a hard time keeping my thoughts straight. I was kinda jumping from one thing to the next as things were popping up in my head. So it wasn't that nothing was true or $I$ was trying to go from one thing to the next, it was just hard to keep my thoughts straight from all the medication $I$ was on. But it was mostly -- or all of that was true.

Q All of it was stuff that you said?
A Yeah. What $I$ remember to be true, yes.
Q And do you recall Detective McKinney asking you, or telling you, that he would like the conversation to begin with what you thought was important?

A Yes.
Q And what did you respond with thinking what was important?

A So I started with the conflict that Speedy and I had had.

Q All right. Was there something underlying that
conflict that was troubling you?
A Yeah. So I thought, you know, of course, you know, like while $I$ was in the hospital, while $I$ was in jail, you know what $I$ mean, about what had happened, that I thought that Speedy was still upset with me about what $I$ had did to him, you know, and that possibly had something to do with what happened.

Q All right. Was that something that came into your own mind, or was that something that had been suggested to you by someone up on the reservation, or on the Colony?

A It came up in my own mind. But it was mentioned, too, by people that lived in the same neighborhood with me.

Q Okay. And was there any -- did any of it have anything to do with Speedy not having been injured?

A Yes.
Q Could you explain to the jury what your conclusions about that were?

A So when $I$ walked in and he was pointing a gun at Brad, I wondered to myself why Bradley didn't shoot him. And at the time, it didn't make any sense to me how, if he was aware of all this, that what was gonna happen, why was he not gonna shoot him, unless he had something to do with what happened.

And I didn't know right at the time, so I didn't know if he was friends with Speedy, or if him and Speedy and Jennifer were all friends together. I didn't know.

So at the time, when $I$ did this interview, you know what I mean, I told McKinney that I thought those three, and maybe possibly even Sorensen, had something to do with what happened or, you know what I mean, had some kind of plot.

Q Okay. Did you make that point to Detective McKinney?

A I did.
Q And today, now after you've had all of this time and all of this amount of information, have you solved, in your mind, the -- how it came to be that Mr. Lopez didn't get shot that day?

A No. I still don't know.
Q After you were shot there in the house on July 7th, do you know where Mr. Lopez went?

A No. After I had been shot, the only thing I was focused on was getting out of the house. I wasn't -- I wasn't really worried about where Speedy was or what he was doing. I was -- I was just trying to leave.

Q Okay. And at that time, you had no occasion
yet to think about his potential involvement in the -in the setup, right?

A Yeah, I didn't.
Q All right. And after you were shot, the next thing you have, I think you testified, was a recollection of being pulled out, pulled, or drug out, by Speedy?

A Yes.

Q And since that time have you -- have you had occasion to continue to think about that question?

A If Speedy is the one that pulled me out?
Q No, if he's -- why it was that he didn't get shot in the conflict.

A Yeah. I mean, I continue to think about it.
Q And it's been the subject of discussions in a variety of conversations that you've had?

A Yeah. Yes.
Q Okay. Do you know a person named Tyrell
Holley?
A Yeah, I know Tyrell.
Q How do you know him?
A He lives on the Colony.
Q Were you a close friend of his?
A No, we were just acquaintances.
Q And did you ever talk to Mr. Holley after this
incident, about it?
A No, I never talked to Tyrell.
Q Did you tell Detective McKinney that Tyrell had told you that he was involved with Ms. Stanger?

A Yeah. When I had a conversation with Tyrell, he never said her name, but $I$ thought he was talking about Jennifer. But it turned out to be Amy Steinbach.

Q Oh, okay.
At the time that you were in the residence, of the Stanger/Smith residence, did you hear Speedy say anything at that bedroom door?

A No, I didn't hear Speedy say anything.
Q All right. You were present in court when Ms. Stanger said that he made the statement?

A Yeah, I was there.
Q But you don't recall hearing it?
A No, I don't recall.
Q At the time of the incident on July 7th, had you ever heard about the laptop incident that had taken place the week before?

A No, I didn't know anything about a laptop, until $I$ came back from Salt Lake City.

Q And did you know Mr. Smith was home that night?
A No, I didn't.
Q Did you ever see a flashlight waving in front
of the residence at Wrangler Circle?
A No, I never seen a flashlight.
Q Had you stopped prior to going down to the house and parking? Had you stopped somewhere else earlier that evening during the cruise?

A Not that $I$ remember it happening.
Q And the words, "do a boat," appear in the interview. Do you know what "do a boat" means?

A Yeah, I read that. I don't know what it means. If I said it, I don't even know what $I$ meant.

Q Do you know why -- did you tell McKinney that Taylor had not gone in the residence?

A Yes, I did.
Q All right. Do you have any reason now, after thinking about it for a considerable amount of time, whether he did or didn't?

A No, I don't believe he did.
Q Do you know why?
A There was a lot of cross fire. I imagine if there was, you know, that many people in the house, that he would have gotten hit.

Q And when you got in the car and left the area, do you remember the horn being honked?

A No, I don't.
MR. WOODBURY: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Cross examination?

MR. INGRAM: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. INGRAM:

Q Mr. Honeyestewa, you were present in the courtroom when the three firearms were showed at various times, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And did you have an opportunity to see that Springfield subcompact?

A Yes, sir.
Q And you would agree with me that that's -- that that was your gun that you took to the Wrangler Circle address?

A I didn't read the serial number, but I recognize it as being mine.

Q But you wouldn't have remembered the serial number anyhow, right? Is that something that you remember during the shooting, what the serial number was?

A No.

Q Okay. And you also had an opportunity to see the 9 millimeter, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you agree that's the 9 millimeter that you owned at one time?

A Yeah, it looks like it.
Q And you saw the Springfield 40 full size, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you would agree that's the one that Brad had, correct?

A I don't know if it was or not, but that's what the lieutenant told me, that it was the same, XD-40.

Q Okay. And you just didn't have any opportunity to actually see it?

A Yeah, I didn't really look at it.
Q Was that because it was dark in the house when you went in there?

A Oh, that night?
Q Yeah.
A Yeah. I didn't know what kind of gun it was. I just knew it was black.

Q So let's go -- let's go back to you purchasing the 9 millimeter firearm. Okay?

Can you be more specific about a date? You said it was a Monday that you actually purchased the gun from Dusty Decker, correct?

A Yeah, I believe it was.

Q Do you know what date that was?
A I can't remember what day it was.
Q If the -- if the shooting happened on the 7th, which was a Saturday, was it that Monday just prior?

A No.
Q It was the Monday --
A Three weeks before that, two or three weeks before that. But $I$ can't remember as $I$ (inaudible).

Q Okay. And how much did you purchase that gun for from Dusty Decker?

A Dusty told me that he wanted $\$ 400$ for the pistol and I asked if $I$ could pay payments. And I gave him $\$ 140$, I believe, $u p$ front.

Q So you still owed, what, 300 -- 260 bucks, something like that?

A Yes.
Q And how much did you sell that gun to Speedy for?

A I told Speedy I wanted $\$ 350$ for it, and he gave me $\$ 200$.

Q So at that point in time, you still owed Dusty more money than that?

A Yeah. I still did. But like I said, he said I could do payments. So he -- I mean, he wasn't hounding me, like, Where is my money? Where is my money? You
know what $I$ mean? He was a friend of mine. You know what I mean? So I didn't think he minded, you know, if I took more than a couple of days to pay him back. So...

Q And then sometime past -- well, let me back up a little bit.

When was it that you sold the gun to Speedy?
A It was a few days later.
Q So if you bought it on a Monday, are you saying you sold it Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, somewhere in there?

A I believe it was a Wednesday.
Q You believe it was a Wednesday.
And how did that transaction go?
A Well, he had seen me with it up at Destiny's house, and he asked me if he could buy it. And he didn't know that $I$ had already gave 140 to him. So I told him 350. So it was basically -- I was making, like, 90 bucks on it. You know what I mean? Like, I didn't have any -- I got to pay for the pistol; I was out of money two days later. So I was like -- and I didn't need it, you know. I just liked it, so I bought it. That's why I sold it.

Q And prior to you selling this gun to speedy, you had some altercations with him; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And, in fact, you had one altercation where you thought maybe Speedy even had a pistol on him?

A Yes, sir.
Q Because he made some motion as if he had a pistol in his waistband?

A Yes, sir.
Q So can you please explain to me why you would sell somebody, who you were having altercations with, constant altercations with, a firearm?

A I mean, it wasn't -- yeah, I mean, we had altercations. You know what $I$ mean? But I sold the pistol to him before we had gotten into the physical fight, you know. And, like, money is money, you know.

Q But you sold him the pistol after he had motioned that he had a pistol?

A No. I sold it to him before that.
Q So how many altercations did you have with Speedy prior to you selling him the pistol?

A Physical altercations, or just confrontations?
Q Confrontations.
A We had, like, three.
Q And you would have fought Speedy if you had to on those three occasions, correct?

A Yeah, if I had to.

Q And you weren't at all worried about selling Speedy a firearm, that he might use it against you, somebody that he purportedly hated?

A No, I wasn't really worried about it. It didn't occur to me that he would. Because, like I said, we had the -- not the same friends. You know what I mean? He has his friends, and I have mine. But the people he hung out with, the people $I$ hung out with, like, he's not gonna do that in front of them. And the people that we hang out with, they're not gonna let him do that to me. And I wasn't worried about it.

Q Well, those same people let him pull a knife on you.

A They know better. I mean, it's just --
Q It just happened, correct?
A Yeah.
Q So just as easily, he could have pulled a gun on you?

A Yeah. But he never pulled a knife on me before that.

Q How long after you sold Speedy that gun did you guys start to become, in your words, not friends, but acquaintances; you guys got a little bit better? How long after that?

A How long after we got in an altercation?

Q No, after you sold the gun to him.
A We had gotten into the physical altercation that Friday or Saturday.

Q What Friday or Saturday?
A The preceding. When I sold him the pistol on Wednesday.

Q So you got into the physical altercation before you sold him the gun. That's what you just said, "preceding."

A I mean -- so I sold him the gun two days before we got into a fight. (Inaudible).

Q And then when you got in that fight, he didn't have the gun with him, as far as you know?

Is that a "no"?
A No, he didn't.
Q He just had a knife?
A (Inaudible).
Q Do you have any knowledge of where that gun was when you were in that fight with Speedy?

A No, I don't know where he had it.
Q But it's common for people on the colony to carry their gun with them?

A Yes.
Q Okay. The -- you testified on two occasions, on direct examination, that you have never stolen
anything in your life; is that correct?
A I said I have.
Q Besides minor things, like Mr. Woodbury mentioned a cigarette, or something like that?

A I -- I -- nothing, like, major, I guess. Like a firearm, I've never stolen a firearm. That's what I was trying to get at.

Q Well, when you -- when you taxied Speedy, you stole his stuff, did you not?

A Yes.
Q How did you accomplish that? Making him fearful of you, correct?

A Yeah, correct.
Q And you actually kept Speedy's stuff for some time, including his wallet and his only identification, that you knew about?

A I didn't keep any of it. I gave -- the Kindle that I got from him, I gave it to Bear, because Chelsea was his girlfriend at the time, and they were having their own things going on. And Speedy had broken out her back windows to her house. And Bear -- I've known Bear a long time. So I told Bear that $I$ was gonna give her the Kindle that $I$ had gotten from Speedy and give it to her.

Q So you didn't give it back to Speedy, though?

A No, I didn't.
Q You don't consider that stealing?
A I wasn't looking at it like that. Because, like I said, I was just trying to teach him a lesson from what he was doing.

Q And you agree with me, sir, that during the interviews that you had with law enforcement, that you never mentioned anything to them about selling the 9 millimeter to Speedy?

A No, they never asked.
Q Okay. So the first time that you brought that up, at least in court, that's today, right?

A Yeah.
Q During the course of your interview with
McKinney, do you remember telling him that you didn't know who the person in the front seat was, the passenger in the front seat?

A Yeah, I remember telling him that.
Q Do you remember describing what that person was wearing?

A Yes.
Q And what do you remember telling McKinney?
A That he was wearing his hood and a bandana around his neck.

Q Do you remember specifically saying "around his
neck," or do you recall saying, "around his face"?
A I didn't say "face."
Q Okay. That would strike you as odd if somebody in the front seat, who you didn't know who it was, was wearing something to cover their face when you got in there, correct?

A It wasn't covering his face.
Q I'm asking you, would it strike you as odd if someone was wearing a bandana to cover their face when you got in there?

A Sure.
Q What color of bandana was it?
A I don't remember.

Q It wasn't a black and white one, was it?
A I don't remember.
Q You had a black and white bandana, did you not?
A No.
Q Do you recall the testimony where the white -- black and white bandana was located in the vehicle that you guys were in?

A In the back seat, I believe.
Q Underneath the back seat, right?
A Okay.
Q And that night, you were the only one in the back seat?

A No. I don't know that. I don't even know that.

Q Who were you in the back seat with?
A I was by myself.
Clarification?
Q There is no question, sir.
A Oh, okay.
Q When you guys get to the Wrangler Circle address, you parked at least closest to the house that you thought you were gonna go to, right?

A Closest to the house --
Q Yeah. So when you parked, you thought the house that was closest to that vehicle was the one you were actually going to?

A Yes.
Q And you -- did you testify there were lights on in that house?

A Yes, I believe there was.
Q And did anybody at that point mention to you that that wasn't the house that you were going to?

A They didn't mention anything. They just kept walking.

Q And that didn't strike you as odd?
A Yeah, I mean, I asked him.
Q Is that when you started being curious about
what was going on?
A I just asked him why he had parked a house away.

Q And what was his response?
A That the lady at the house didn't want anyone to see a car over there at nighttime.

Q Did that strike you as odd?
A No, I just -- that's his friend. So if that's what she told him to do, then that's between them.

Q And then you get up to the house, and you recall there being some lights on outside, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the three of you, Mr. Miller, you, and Mr. Lopez, you walk up to the front door, correct?

A Yes.
Q And somebody knocks?
A Speedy.
Q Speedy knocks.
And nobody answers; is that true?
A Yes.
Q And did you see anybody actually try to open the door?

A No, I was standing behind him.
Q You were standing behind him.
You couldn't see anybody open the door, because
you were standing behind him, yet you were able to see Speedy being mad?

A I said he kind of, like, turned, but you could see it in his expressions, $I$ guess, that he was a little bit upset.

Q And, in fact, Taylor Miller first tried to kick the door in, did he not?

A No.
Q And sometime after that, Speedy kicked the door in, right?

A Speedy only kicked the door one time, and it went open.

Q At the point you saw Speedy kick open that door, what was your thought process?

A So I didn't see Speedy kick open the door. I said McKinney (inaudible) later on. All right? And my thought process at the time was that if she invited him over there, you know what $I$ mean, and however it was that he got in the house, he was gonna be the one to explain to her how he got in. All right?

But as far as he told me and Taylor, he was allowed to be there, he was allowed to go over there.

Q So you were standing right behind speedy at the front door, and you don't hear him or see him kick the door open?

A I guess I didn't. He was banging on the door, trying to get somebody's attention.

Q Well, how do you know he kicked it?
A I don't. McKinney told me.
Q At what point in time -- at what point in time do you believe that Speedy has permission to go through a locked door?

A I didn't know if it was locked or not.
Q At what point in time do you think that Speedy has permission to go through that door, regardless of whether it's locked?

A Because that's what he said.
Q Well, when did you learn that?
A On the way when we were walking.
Q So when you were walking up to the door, Speedy tells you, I have permission to go through that door?

A He said he had conversation with -- with -- he didn't say Jennifer. I didn't know her name until I got back. But he said that he had conversation with her, and that she said it was okay to go over there.

Q So you don't see Speedy kick in the door, but you think that he's mad, and then you follow speedy inside the residence?

A I didn't go inside until after he was in the bedroom door. But, yes, I did go inside.

Q And the purpose for you going in there is because you thought that Speedy was mad, and you felt like it was your manly duty to protect the woman inside there?

A (Inaudible) part of it.
Q It was so important for you to go into the house to do that, to protect a person who you didn't even know?

A Yes.
Q To protect a person that you didn't even know their name?

A Yes.
Q To protect a person that you didn't even know was actually at the house?

A Yes.
Q Prior to -- or immediately after Lopez, or Speedy, knocked on the door, you testified that you asked Speedy, or told Speedy, that she's not even here, something like that; is that correct?

A I asked him if she was even there, yes.
Q And you would agree with me that you never told Detective Stake, and you never told Lieutenant McKinney, anything about that?

A No, I'm pretty sure (inaudible) said that.
Q Okay. Did you see Speedy wearing gloves?

A No, I don't remember him wearing gloves.
Q Do you remember there being gloves in the green SUV on the way to that Wrangler Circle address?

A I didn't check to see what was in the car.
Q So likewise, you didn't check to see the masks that were in the car?

A No. I was -- Tyrell had it, I guess, before that. So whatever him and Speedy were doing, that was their business.

Q And your testimony is that you didn't have a mask on when you walked up to the house?

A No, sir.
Q Your testimony, correct me if I'm wrong, sir, was that you weren't actually firing at anybody, you were just firing at, essentially, the illumination from the gun blast; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Where were you at that time you were firing?
A I was on my back at the front door.
Q Where was Speedy?
A I don't know.
Q Where were you when Speedy picked up your gun?
A I was on my back near the front door.
Q Why was it that you were able to see Speedy pick up your gun, but you weren't able to see anything
else?

A I just looked up, and he was holding my gun.
Q So it was light enough in there for you to see that, but not light enough to see where Speedy was before that?

A Like I say, we were in the doorway. The light was shining from when you open the door. It's right there.

Q I thought your testimony on direct examination was that cast a shadow, so you couldn't see inside?

A I didn't say anything about a shadow.
Q Was Mr. Taylor Miller a lookout for you guys?
A No.

Q Three of you go up to the door, but only one stays outside, correct?

A Yes.
Q Speedy dragged you out, correct?
A Yes.
Q So that only leaves one person to have run and got the vehicle and pulled it up to get you; is that right?

A I don't know what he did.
Q Well, the vehicle wasn't parked out in front of Bradley Smith's house when you went in, was it?

A No.

Q So if Speedy was the one dragging you out, who was possibly left to have gone and got the vehicle?

A I don't know.
Q When you went to Bradley Smith's house, and you testified that the gun was tucked in the waistband of your sweatpants; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And you had your sweatshirt over the top of it?
A Yes, sir.
Q So your firearm was invisible?
A If I had taken my jacket off, it would be. But not my sweater, no.

Q And the sweatshirt that we've seen in the courtroom that had the various holes in it, the black and white and gray, that is the sweatshirt that you were wearing, correct?

A Yes.
Q And did you have a belt on your sweatpants?
A $\quad$ No.
Q And your testimony is that after you got shot and fell to the ground, that heavy gun was still in your sweatpants?

A Yes. I mean, it has strings.
Q You, at no point prior to going into that house, had your gun out?

A $\quad \mathrm{No}$.
Q On direct examination, when talking about parking the vehicle and leaving the vehicle, you said, quote-unquote, and correct me if I'm wrong, sir, you didn't have a choice as to what happened after that.

Do you stand by that?
A I didn't have a choice to -- like, what do you mean?

Q I'm asking you. What did you mean by you didn't have a choice?

A Oh, when we were there with Speedy in the car, you know what $I$ mean, he's the ride. So...

Q So you didn't have a choice to stay in the vehicle?

A Yeah. I mean, we could stay in the vehicle.
Q But you chose not to?
A No.

Q And likewise, you had a choice not to walk to someone's residence that you didn't know, correct?

A Yes.
Q But you chose to?
A Yes.

Q And likewise, you had a choice not to go into someone's house at nighttime, but you chose to?

A Like I said, he was invited.

Q Were you ever personally invited by Mr. Smith to come into his house at 10:30 at night?

A I didn't know.
Q Were you ever personally invited by Ms. Stanger to come into their house at 10:30 at night, or anytime?

A That's what Speedy said.
Q I'm asking you, were you personally invited?
A I never got (inaudible). I didn't know them.
Q The first time -- and correct me if I'm wrong. Again, on direct examination, you testified that it was the same day, meaning July 7th, was the first time, in the morning, as soon as you woke up, you were hanging out with Speedy?

A He showed up at Destiny's house, yes.
Q And that's where you were?
A Me and Destiny were there, yes.
Q And that's when he mentioned to you about Jennifer Stanger?

A He didn't say her name. (Inaudible) it was some girl that he was messing around with.

Q So now, knowing what you know now, do you know that he was referring to Ms. Stanger?

A Yes.
Q What was the -- what was the entire conversation about Ms. Stanger (inaudible)?

A Well, how it started was, so Destiny comes and wakes me up, right? And she's, like, Hey, Speedy is here. And I was, like, Okay. You know what I mean? Because she knows that we had just gotten into that altercation. And $I$ was, All right.

And he was asking her if we had any alcohol, you know, or any -- anything to smoke. And we didn't, you know. I mean, I had some cigarettes, but -- and some weed. But, I mean, in regards to what $I$ think he was asking, we didn't have that.

So I go out there and he asked me, you know what I mean, Hey, do you guys have any alcohol? And I said, No, we don't got nothing. And he was, like, damn. He was, All right. He's like -- and he's looking like sick and like he's ready to throw up or something. And he had -- I know that he does other drugs, which is common when you come down off of heroin, you get sick. You don't feel good. You know what I mean? You feel like crap. And I could tell that's what he was coming off of. I believe he had mentioned it, but that's why he wanted some alcohol.

And then he just started saying, Man, I should -- I should hit up this chick. And I was -- and then he started talking about this girl that he knew. And then he said that, Oh, yeah, this girl, I should
have her come pick me up. She'll give me whatever I want. She'll give me meds, she'll give me heroin, she'll give me alcohol, you know, she'll give me whatever I want. And I'm just like, Really? Yeah, really. You know what I mean?

And we was, like, I don't know if I want to. And he was, like, She's kind of chubby. You know what I mean? And he didn't really want to, I guess, do what he had to do to her, I guess. I don't know. But that is how that conversation had came up.

Q At that point in time, he never mentioned anything to you about going and stealing stuff from her?

A No. He said that she would give him whatever he wants.

Q And you thought that -- at least your testimony was that prior to going into that house, you thought you might be going there to smoke weed?

A Yeah.
Q But you had your own weed?
A That day, $I$ think we smoked it all.
Q Okay. So you testified that you had weed when you woke up?

A Yeah. But a whole day went by.
Q So you smoked weed and you drank alcohol?

A Yeah.
Q And you knew when you were in the area of Ms. Stanger's house, that you were no longer on the Colony, right?

A Yep.
Q And you know that whatever culture or tradition that you testified about, men and women living together on the Colony and sharing certain things, what's her's is his and what's his is her's, that's not the same as the Wrangler Circle address, as far as you know, right?

A (Inaudible).
Q Well, it's not the Colony?
A I don't know. Like I said, I don't know. I don't know what they got going on.

Q Your conversation with Taylor Miller on the way to the door up to the residence, you never mentioned that to Mckinney or Stake, did you?

A No. I believe I remembered it later on.
Q Who did you go shooting with the day prior to this incident?

A I believe it was Taylor.
Q Was Speedy there?
A I don't think so.
Q Where did you guys go shooting at?
A Behind the tanks on the Colony.

Q Any particular reason why you went shooting that day?

A Any particular reason?
Q Yeah.
A No. Just enjoy shooting.
Q Were you practicing?
A I guess you could say that.
Q Practicing for going over to the residence the next day, weren't you?

A $\quad$ No.
Q How many times had you went out and shot like that in the past?

A How many times what?
Q How many times had you gone out and shot like that in the past?

A Oh, a few times. I didn't go every day. But I liked -- I enjoyed that.

Q So it's just happenstance that you went and practiced shooting the day before this incident?

A Um, yeah.
Q You were asked questions about a bullet coming from your gun in the right doorjamb.

What right doorjamb are you thinking you were talking about?

A That I'm talking about?

Q Yes.
A I didn't say anything about right doorjamb.
Q Well, you were asked a question on direct examination about a bullet being fired from your gun in the doorjamb, correct?

A Yeah.
Q And that was in the right side of the doorjamb?
A Yes.
Q What door?
A From the pictures, it was the master bedroom door.

Q So the only time that you were around the master bedroom was when you first peeked inside, right?

A Yes.
Q And you had not fired a gun at that time, right?

A No.
Q So your testimony is that you were on the floor by the doorway when Speedy picked up your gun, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you have never once mentioned, would you agree with me, that Speedy went back in the house, into the -- or by the master bathroom door, and started firing your gun; that's correct?

A I was in and out of consciousness. And I don't
know how long $I$ was out and what Speedy did. And I can't say he did, $I$ can't say that he didn't.

Q So you would have no explanation for how your bullet ended up in the master bedroom doorjamb?

A Yeah. I believe that's what I said before I got on cross.

Q If Speedy wanted you dead -- you were shot pretty bad, were you not? So much so that you were losing consciousness, according to you.

A Yes.
Q And your conclusion that you were asked about on direct examination is that speedy wanted to hurt you or kill you?

A That's what $I$ believe as (inaudible).
Q (Inaudible).
So do you have any explanation for why Speedy would save your life and drag you out of that house?

A Probably to save my life. But my guess is he was out of bullets.

Q He was what?
A Out of bullets.
Q So he's out of bullets, so that makes him want to not accomplish his goal that he set out to commit?

A I'm not dead, you know what I mean? I -- I would say (inaudible) trying to make it look good,
because $I$ wasn't dead.
Q Look good for who?
A For me, whoever else, you know what I mean, was (inaudible).

Q He possibly was just involved in a murder, right?

A I didn't know if he had shot him or not.
Q You know that now?
A Oh, yeah, I know that now.
Q You guys -- you guys wanted to leave that house pretty quickly, right?

A I wanted to leave as soon as I seen him pointing the gun. And $I$ tried to leave.

Q So don't you imagine that it would be in Speedy's best interest to get out of that house as soon as possible?

A I imagine so.
Q But yet he took the time to drag you out?
A Yes.
Q And that's because you and Speedy aren't quite the enemies that you said you are; is that correct?

A No. Like I said, we were just cordial.
Q During the interview with Detective Stake, you were in the courtroom when that was played, correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q You've also had an opportunity to read a transcript of your interview with Detective Stake, correct?

A Yes.

Q There came a portion towards the end of that interview when you told Detective Stake that, "I guess Speedy just needed help carrying stuff out"; is that correct?

A That's what it says.
Q Would Speedy need some help carrying out some marijuana?

A Possibly.
Q Would Speedy need help carrying out a bottle of alcohol?

A Possibly.
Q Would Speedy need help carrying out a shard of meth?

A I would say possibly.
Q Would Speedy need help carrying out guns?
A Possibly.
Q Would Speedy need help carrying out tools?
A Possibly. I mean, it's possible.
MR. INGRAM: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. WOODBURY: I have nothing.

THE COURT: Are there any jury questions for this witness?

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: We've got quite a few other
questions here, so I'm going to have the jury step out while $I$ go over them with the attorneys.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: This will take five or ten minutes, so don't go too far.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The defendant and counsel are present. We're outside the presence of the jury.

Some of these questions are kind of duplicative. Oh, we just got a new one.

Juror number 3 wants to know, "Was there a light on in the bedroom or not? Any other lights on in the house?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "How did you see Brad if it was
dark?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "If there was a light on in the
bedroom once the door was opened, could you see in the living room?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: I don't have any objection.
The -- I will mention that the testimony has been clear throughout that there was a light on in the closet, that I'm surprised how they could have missed it.

MR. INGRAM: We never heard that from
Mr. Honeyestewa.
THE COURT: Right. That's something maybe they want to know what he thinks.

MR. WOODBURY: Oh.
THE COURT: Number 4 asks, "If the lights were off in the living room and bedroom, how could you see Bradley pointing a gun at Speedy?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "Why were you armed if you were just going over to smoke?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "Where did Speedy get the knife he tried to stab you with if he had empties" -- "emptied his pockets at Roy's?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.

MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "Where did you think Speedy got the vehicle?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "Had you previously heard that Speedy was planning a burglary?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: "How were you able to see Brad pointing a gun at you from bed in the dark?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: That's the same as another question that was added earlier.

THE COURT: The other one was how could he see Bradley pointing a gun at Speedy. This is how could he see Bradley pointing a gun at him, the defendant.

MR. WOODBURY: I don't think he ever said he saw it.

THE COURT: I don't think he did either. I'm not gonna ask that one. I think --

MR. INGRAM: Judge, may I be heard?
THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. INGRAM: That's -- that's exactly what he testified, is that he saw the gun pointing at Speedy.

And that's when -- excuse me, that's when he said Brad was pointing the gun at Speedy, because Honeyestewa testified that. That's when I said, or I was thinking, there ain't nothing this man has that's worth that.

THE COURT: The question was, how was the defendant able to see Brad pointing the gun at the defendant.

MR. INGRAM: Oh, I'm sorry. THE COURT: Yeah. That's assuming facts not in evidence.

MR. WOODBURY: That's not possible.
THE COURT: "With your gun in your waistband after being shot, how was there no blood" -- "with your gun in the waistband after being shot in the leg and thigh, how was there no blood on it?"

I don't think that he can answer that question. That sounds like something for argument.

MR. INGRAM: I have no objection.
THE COURT: I'm not gonna ask that.
MR. WOODBURY: I agree.
THE COURT: That's speculative.
"Where in the vehicle was the child's car
seat?"
MR. WOODBURY: I have no objection to it.
MR. INGRAM: I don't either.

THE COURT: Okay. This last one sounds
speculative. "Why would Speedy pick up your gun when he had his own?"

MR. INGRAM: No objection.
MR. WOODBURY: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. This is your last
witness, Mr. Woodbury?
MR. WOODBURY: It is.
THE COURT: Are you going to have any rebuttal,
Mr. Ingram?
MR. INGRAM: No.
THE COURT: Okay. What we're gonna do after we wrap up here is we're gonna end for the day. Troy and I, and I've also got my former law clerk, Abby, working on jury instructions. The three of us are going to stay tonight and finish them up. We have been working every available minute for nearly three weeks on these jury instructions. They require a lot of work.

We're gonna stay here until we get them done, and then we will e-mail them to the two of you this evening. And then what $I$ had in mind, to give you some time to look at them in the morning. We'll come back at 10:00 and settle jury instructions.

Is that all right?
MR. INGRAM: Judge, I have seven additional
instructions that are based on Mr. Woodbury's defense. THE COURT: Well, fantastic. Hand those to Troy, please.

MR. INGRAM: Judge, I need to get them in a better form. They're actually in a good form, but they're not in the form that you like them with citations.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MR. INGRAM: Only I believe that one part of the instruction $I$ provided is irrelevant to the defense now. So I would just like an opportunity to take that paragraph out and provide it.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. INGRAM: I'm happy to show you what I'm -what I'm omitting so that you can be sure it's done in good faith.

THE COURT: That's fine. If you want to just give to Troy what you have now, that's fine. If you want to clean it up, that's fine. We're gonna stay here until we get it done. Because we have -- just have to. So that's what we're going to do. And then 10:00 tomorrow. And then I'm thinking we'll bring the jury back at 1:00 or 1:30.

Do you think we can settle them in two hours? I never know with you, Mr. Woodbury. It could be 15
minutes, it could be half a day. You like to keep me guessing.

MR. WOODBURY: I don't know, Your Honor. This is a significantly complex trial.

THE COURT: Of course it is.
MR. WOODBURY: And I will work myself silly so that we can settle them rapidly, yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Well, $I$ guarantee you we have been. I haven't had a day off in three weeks. I'm sure the two of you haven't either.

We'll have the jury come back at 1:30 Thursday. Friday, we're going to have some issues. Our plan would be to have them deliberate in the commissioner's meeting room where they could spread out, or if there's something going on in there, we would have them deliberate in here. But Friday morning is National Adoption Day, which is going to occupy both this room and the commission meeting room in the morning, not in the afternoon.

So if they don't come back with a verdict Thursday evening, then $I$ think $I m^{\prime}$ not gonna be able to bring them back until 1:30 on Friday to resume their deliberations. If they don't get a verdict Friday, I'm thinking about bringing them in Saturday.

Are you available Saturday? You don't have to
be here. We just have to call you if we get a question or a verdict. Because Monday $I$ go into law and motion. Tuesday $I$ got another jury trial for a defendant that didn't waive his 60 days. So I've got a lot of stuff bearing down on me here. I'm trying to get it all done.

MR. INGRAM: I had plans to not be here, Judge. What Mr. Woodbury and $I$ just went through, I didn't plan on being here Saturday. But this is more important than anything else, so I guess I'll have to be.

THE COURT: All right. Maybe we'll get a verdict Friday, or even Thursday evening. But that's kind of how $I$ was trying to sketch it out.

MR. INGRAM: Is there any way we could bump up our start time tomorrow to maybe even just 1:00? There's a lot of jury instructions. That's going to take you a lot of time to read.

THE COURT: Yeah, it is.
Well, $I$ can tell them to come back at 1:00. But if we're not done here, they're gonna sit around and wait. We can bump up our time coming in the morning, but I'm trying to give the two of you time to look at them in the morning.

MR. INGRAM: I don't need any time.

MR. WOODBURY: I do.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. WOODBURY: There's no doubt in my mind that I have.

THE COURT: All right. So we're gonna start at 10:00 tomorrow. I'll tell the jury to be here at 1:00. And we'll move along as quickly as we can.

I'm not trying to rush you, Mr. Woodbury. Of course, this is important. There's a dead man and a man here looking at possibly life in prison. So I don't want to rush you. I'm just trying to figure out the logistics of how to do all of this.

MR. WOODBURY: Yes, ma'am. I understand that. And you're bringing us back at 10:00 to begin discussions about the jury --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
MR. WOODBURY: -- giving it to us tonight may be more than enough time, I'm sure.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll get it to you as soon as we can. I was hoping that we wouldn't be here maybe more than 6:00, but now we've got seven more to look at. I am about halfway through the fifth draft. The law clerks are on their seventh draft. It's -- it's an incredible job. You both know that instructions for felony murder are quite complicated. And I've read a
lot of cases where jury verdicts were reversed because of faulty instructions on felony murder. So we are being extra, extra careful here.

All right. I'll step out. And we will get the jurors back in here, finish up the questioning. And then I'll kind of let them know the game plan for tomorrow.
(Recess.)
THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the defendant and counsel.

Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WOODBURY: So stipulated.
THE COURT: Mr. Honeyestewa, was there a light on in the bedroom or any other lights on in the house?

THE DEFENDANT: When I looked into the bathroom, there was a -- I thought at the time it was a lamp. But it turned out to be the bedroom closet light.

THE COURT: With that light on in the bathroom, once the door was opened, could you see things in the living room?

THE DEFENDANT: Not really, but $I$ can't -- I can't recall too much about that and specifically
(inaudible).
THE COURT: If you were on the ground and injured, not able to move, how could you access your gun and begin firing?

THE DEFENDANT: So I was injured, and $I$ was on the ground. But my right arm is still working, and my left leg is still working. So it's in my right waistband, like that. And $I$ pulled it out.

THE COURT: Were there any lights on in the living room?

THE DEFENDANT: No. No lights are on in the living room or dining room.

THE COURT: Why were you armed if you were just going over to smoke?

THE DEFENDANT: So, I mean, it's like I said, I've been through a lot in my life. At the time, I was only 24 years old. But $I$ was in a lot of altercations. And I've had a lot of people, even family members, pull knives on me, you know what $I$ mean, people calling to pull guns on me. And there was -- there was reasons I thought for, you know, carrying my weapon, just for protection.

THE COURT: Where did Speedy get the knife he tried to stab you with if you had emptied -- if he had emptied his pockets at Roy's?

THE DEFENDANT: All right. So after that happened, we ended up at Destiny's house behind the house. Trevor Cortez is the one I told you that picked me up and he chilled me out.

Well, so I was in the house talking to Destiny and asking her if Speedy had said what he said. While I was out there, Trevor informed me the next day that Speedy had asked him for his knife, for Trevor's knife, when $I$ was in there at the house.

So, like I say, he knew it was coming, so
that's why he grabbed -- he asked Trevor for his knife, and that's what he had got.

THE COURT: But had you already had him empty his pockets?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I had emptied his pockets at Roy's house. But his knife -- but he had asked Trevor Cortez for his knife at Destiny's house. THE COURT: So that was after he emptied his pockets?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I took him back up to the house, up to Destiny's house.

THE COURT: At a different location?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Where did you think Speedy got the vehicle?

THE DEFENDANT: I didn't ask where he got the vehicle, I just asked whose vehicle it was. And his quote, it was some bitch's car. That's what he said.

THE COURT: Had you previously heard that Speedy was planning a burglary?

THE DEFENDANT: No. He never mentioned anything like this to me.

THE COURT: Did you hear it from anybody?
THE DEFENDANT: No. I never heard it from anybody.

THE COURT: Where in the vehicle was the child's car seat?

THE DEFENDANT: Honestly, I don't remember where in the car the child's seat was (inaudible).

THE COURT: Why would Speedy pick up your gun when he had his own?

THE DEFENDANT: That's -- we were gonna ask him the same question, but he declined to testify.

THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury, do you have any follow-up on that?

MR. WOODBURY: I do not.
THE COURT: Mr. Ingram, do you have any follow-up on that?

MR. INGRAM: Just briefly, Your Honor.

BY MR. INGRAM:
Q So your testimony is, as you sit here today, that there was at least some light on in the bedroom, correct?

A Yes.
Q And there was a porch light on, correct?
A Yes.
Q And the front door, during this entire incident, after it was kicked in, was open, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the bathroom door was open, correct?
A Yes.
Q And your -- your statement to one of the detectives was that when you peeked around the corner into the master bedroom, you were able to actually tell Detective Stake where the dresser was in the master bathroom, correct?

A I believe I said that, but it was just a quick glance.

Q And you also believed that -- you actually drew the dresser on the diagram for Detective Stake during your interview with him?

A Is it the one on the west wall right there -or the south wall?

Q There's been so many directions thrown around in this case.

A If that's the one you're talking about, then, yes, when $I$ peeked in, it happened to be right there.

Q Nonetheless, it was in the master bedroom?
A Yes. Yes, it was.
Q And nonetheless, you were also able to draw for Detective Stake, when -- where the bed was, because you had seen the bed when you peeked around the door, correct?

A Yeah.
Q So there was enough lights on for you to tell us where the dresser was and where the bed was, but there's not enough light for you to tell us anything else?

A Well, if there's one light on --
Q No. You testified there was two, correct? You got a porch light with an open front door --

A I'm -- I'm talking about in the house, in the bedroom. There was one light on that $I$ seen, or that $I$ noticed. And it illuminated the room enough, you know, to see a little bit.

MR. INGRAM: That's all I have.
THE COURT: All right. You can have a seat back by your attorney.

Mr. Woodbury, do you have any other witnesses? MR. WOODBURY: I do not. The defense rests. THE COURT: Mr. Ingram, do you have any rebuttal?

MR. INGRAM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we have reached the point in the case where $I$ need to meet with the attorneys to do what's called settle the jury instructions. I've been working on these instructions since a week before the trial, but they're still not quite ready. It's a very complicated part of the trial. It's very important that $I$ get them just right. And the attorneys get to have some say-so in this before $I$ make my decision of which instructions $I$ will read to you.

So I'm going to meet with them at 10:00 tomorrow morning. We're going to continue to work on them this evening, get them ready for the attorneys so they have time to review them before 10:00 tomorrow morning. Then we will meet outside your presence, and I will decide which instructions I'm going to read to you. It can take some time. And as you all understand, this is a serious case, and $I$ don't want to rush this with the attorneys.

So you're gonna have the morning off. We want
you back here at 1:00 tomorrow. And then $I$ will read the jury instructions to you. And then the attorneys will have their opportunity to make their closing arguments. And then the case will be given to you to deliberate.

Our plan, if it's available, is to have you deliberate in the county commission meeting room. We have to find out if that's available. We have to spread out in there. If it's not available, we will clear out of this courtroom, and you will deliberate in here. You will have privacy either way. But those are the two big rooms that we have available where we can keep you spread apart.

Before we recess, the jury is admonished as follows.
(Admonition given to jury.)
THE COURT: Please be in your jury rooms by 1:00 tomorrow.

(Evening recess.)<br>(Conclusion of requested portion of recorded proceedings.)
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| 1444:13 | $1432: 17,1438: 25$, $1439 \cdot 1,1439 \cdot 4$ | 1383:24, 1391:9, | 1408:19, 1408:25, <br> 1415:18, 1448:1 | 1399:3, 1399:6 |
| instantly [1] - | 1439:1, 1439:4 1439:7 | 1410:5, 1410:6, | 1448:6, 1448:8, | 1317:6, 1344:12, |
| 1393:22 | inviting [1] - 1330:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1410:9, 1416:3, } \\ & \text { 1418:7, 1433:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $1448: 13,1452: 15$ | 1347:4, 1350:16, |
| Institute [1] - | involve [2] - 1343:3, | 1418:7, 1433:18, <br> 1439:18 | 1452:18, 1452:23 | 1351:24, 1353:9, |
| 1346:25 | 1366:10 | 1439:18 <br> Jennifer's [1] - | 1453:23, 1454:11, | 1353:11, 1367:3, |
| instruction [1] - | involved [10] 1319:3, 1331:11, | 1343:14 | 1456:6, 1456:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1379:2, 1387:16, } \\ & \text { 1387:21, 1388:21, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1453:10 | 1331:25, 1337:2, | $\begin{gathered} \text { job [3] }-1297: 1, \\ 1320 \cdot 10 \quad 1456 \cdot 24 \end{gathered}$ | 1457:1, 1457:12, | 1389:24, 1389:25, |
| instructions [12] - | 1337:11, 1356:17, | John [1] - 1341:5 | 1463:8, 1464:2, | 1391:4, 1392:24, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1452:15, 1452:18, } \\ & \text { 1452:23, 1453:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $1366: 18,1408: 5$, $1418: 4,1446.5$ | joint [3] - 1372:13, | 1464:14, 1464:16, 1464:17 | 1399:13, 1400:24, |
| $1455: 17,1456: 24$ | involvement [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1372:17, 1379:13 } \\ & \text { Jones [2] - 1370:18 } \end{aligned}$ | justifying [1] - | 1406:11, 1413:4, |
| 1457:2, 1463:9, | 1366:20, 1417:1 | JR's [1] - 1328:8 | 1295:13 | 1416:9, 1421:18, |
| 1464:2 | 1366:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Juan [2] - 1285:16, } \\ & \text { 1286:8 } \end{aligned}$ | K | $1448: 14,1455: 14$ |
| ```1410:11 intend [1] - 1398:22 intended [6] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1299:20, 1453:10 } \\ & \text { issue }[4]-1285: 16, \\ & \text { 1299:18, } 1309: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { judge }[8]-1282: 21, \\ & \text { 1298:18, 1299:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-a-p-l-a-n [1] - } \\ & \text { 1283:1 } \\ & \text { Kaplan [1] - 1282:25 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kinda }[9]-1288: 12, \\ & \text { 1297:13, 1328:24, } \\ & \text { 1330:20, 1364:19, } \end{aligned}$ |


| 1365:8, 1376:21, | 1359:1, 1359:2, | 1411:18, 1419:22, | like.. [1] - 1379:15 | 1371:18, 1393:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1384:9, 1414:7 | 1406:9, 1407:10, | 1437:2, 1458:7 | likewise [3] - 1435:5, | 1393:15, 1397:3, |
| Kindle [3] - 1367:17, | 1407:14, 1407:18, | $\operatorname{leg}$ [8] - 1395:7, $1395.9,1395: 24$ | 1438:18, 1438:23 | 1436:2, 1457:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1427:17, 1427:23 } \\ & \text { kinds [1] - 1408:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1409:5, 1409:12, } \\ & \text { 1410:2, 1410:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1395:9, 1395:24, } \\ & \text { 1396:12, 1396:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { line [2]-1311:9, } \\ & \text { 1348:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { looking [6] - 1297:1, } \\ & \text { 1359:13, 1388:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| kitchen [5] - | 1411:18, 1418:22 | 1405:21, 1451:14, | lined [1] - 1294:14 | 1428:3, 1440:15, |
| 1332:22, 1344:8, | lamp [1] - 1457:19 | 1458:7 | Lion [2]-1370:11, | 1456:10 |
| 1344:10, 1344:11, | language [1] - | egal [1] - 1306:5 | 1370:12 | lookout [1] - 1436:12 |
| 1344:16 | 1351:24 | lessened [1] - | listen [2]-1377:6, | looks [2] - 1302:13, |
| knife [12]-1367:18, | aptop [10] | 1384:22 | 1377:10 | 1421:3 |
| 1369:10, 1425:12, | 1315:17, 1315:25, | lesson [2]-1372:3, | listened [1] - 1282:6 | Lopez [65] - 1283:11, |
| 1425:19, 1426:16, | 1333:23, 1334:14, | 1428:4 | listening [3] - | 1285:15, 1286:12, |
| 1449:22, 1458:23, | 1334:17, 1334:24, | evel [1] - 1385:7 | 1283:19, 1285:5, | 1286:15, 1287:1, |
| 1459:8, 1459:11, | 1335:3, 1335:11, | liability [4] - | 1376:19 | 1287:8, 1287:9, |
| 1459:16, 1459:17 | 1418:19, 1418:21 | 1283:18, 1283:20 | literally [2] - | 1287:11, 1287:13, |
| knives [1] - 1458:19 | last [16] - 1282:6, | 1283:22, 1283:24 | 1399:16, 1400:21 | 1287:24, 1288:15, |
| knock [3] - 1369:2 | 1289:1, 1300:23, | ar [3]-1298:12, | live [5] - 1304:11, | 1288:24, 1289:3, |
| knocked [2] - | 1302:23, 1312:12, | 1298:14, 1299:19 | 1322:7, 1347:21, | 1289:12, 1290:2, |
| 1388:21, 1434:17 | 1312:14, 1316:23, | license [1] - 1372:8 | 1348:11, 1407:10 | 1290:6, 1290:15, |
| knocking [2] - | 1317:6, 1319:5, | lie [1] - 1299:11 | lived [12] - 1323:4, | 1290:18, 1294:25, |
| 1387:8, 1388:19 | 1326:2, 1326:5, | lieutenant ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 1329:10, 1343:21, | 1295:12, 1295:18, |
| knocks [2] - | 1347:14, 1348:3, | 1413:11, 1421:10 | 1348:13, 1348:14, | 1295:23, 1295:25, |
| 1431:16, 1431:18 | 1372:19, 1452:1, | Lieutenant [11] - | 1349:8, 1349:10, | 1296:3, 1296:19, |
| knowing [2] - | 1452:6 | 1298:8, 1344:5, | 1351:13, 1383:24, | 1298:14, 1302:6, |
| 1390:20, 1439:21 | late [3]-1289:9 | 1372:22, 1380:17, | 1391:4, 1407:13, | 1302:19, 1302:25, |
| knowledge [7] - | 1317:13, 1412:21 | 1390:19, 1392:10, | 1415:13 | 1303:2, 1303:12, |
| 1320:23, 1321:1, 1321:4, 1322.7 | laughed [1] - 1316:4 <br> law [7]-1340:17, | 1392:11, 1397:22, | lives [2] - 1370:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1304:7, 1308:22, } \\ & \text { 1309:14, 1310:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1321:4, 1322:7, } \\ & \text { 1322:15, 1334:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { law }[7]-1340: 17, \\ 1359: 21,1359: 24, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1409:21, 1413:22, } \\ & 1434: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 1417:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1309:14, 1310:1, } \\ & \text { 1310:2, 1310:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1426:18 | 1428:7, 1452:14, | life [12]-1284:19, | 1348:1, 1348:2, | 1331:20, 1334:4, |
| knowledgeable [1] - | 1455:2, 1456:23 | 1289:3, 1290:3, | 1348:20, 1353:22, | 1334:11, 1340:7, |
| 1379:22 | laws [1] - 1319:2 | 1319:3, 1348:18, | 1354:1, 1354:2, | 1341:20, 1342:3, |
| known [3] - 1291:3, | laying [4]-1357:6, | 1406:8, 1410:23, | 1356:1, 1356:3, | 1343:2, 1360:20, |
| 1328:4, 1427:21 | $1396: 2,1396: 4$, 1401:4 | 1427:1, 1445:17, | 1357:5, 1358:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1361:7, 1363:10, } \\ & \text { 1365:20, 1372:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| knows [1] - 1440:4 <br> Kolsch [11] - 1282.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1401:4 } \\ & \text { leaned [1] - 1393:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1445: 18,1456: 10 \\ & 1458: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $1381: 20,1442: 7,$ 1449:2, 1449:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1365:20, 1372:23, } \\ & \text { 1373:20, 1374:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1283:10, 1290:6, | learn [1] - 1433:13 | lifestyle [2] - 1322:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 1449: 2,1449: 14, \\ & \text { 1457:23, 1458:10, } \end{aligned}$ | 1377:12, 1380:4, |
| 1295:10, 1296:1, | learned [1] - 1379:17 | 1322:20 | 1458:12 | 1380:9, 1382:25, |
| 1297:8, 1307:16, | learning [1] - | light [28]-1385:16, | Ioan [1] - 1365:8 | 1384:12, 1402:8, |
| 1307:19, 1307:24, | 1350:22 | 1385:17, 1385:18, | located [1] - 1429:19 | 1402:14, 1402:19, |
| 1308:5, 1308:14 | least [5] - 1397:23, 1428:12, 1430:9, | 1386:6, 1386:9, 1386:10, 1399:13, | location [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1402:21, 1416:16, } \\ & \text { 1416:19, 1431:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kolsch's [1] - } \\ & \text { 1285:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1428:12, 1430:9, } \\ & 1441: 16,1461: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1386:10, 1399:13, } \\ & \text { 1399:17, 1399:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 1459:22 | 1416:19, 1431:14, 1434:16 |
|  | leave [9] - 1354:4, | 1399:19, 1399:22, | locked [7]-1318:15, | $\text { LOPEZ }_{[1]}-1304: 1$ <br> Lopez's [6] - |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1357:5, 1368:2, } \\ & \text { 1407:7, 1412:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1436: 3,1436: 4 \\ & \text { 1436:6, 1448:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1389:16, 1392:12, } \\ & \text { 1393:1, 1433:7. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lopez's [6] - } \\ & \text { 1283:11, 1284:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1416:24, 1446:10, | $1448: 25,1449: 6$ | $1433: 8,1433: 11$ | 1296:9, 1297:3, |
| L-o-p-e-z [1] - 1303:1 | 1446:12, 1446:13 | 1457:15, 1457:20, | $\log [2]-1301: 8$ | 1299:17, 1311:4 |
| ladies [1] - 1463:6 <br> lady [13] - 1327:11, | leaves [1] - 1436:19 | 1457:21, 1461:4, | $\log -i n[1]-1301: 8$ | Loreman [1] - 1289:9 lose [1] - 1290:3 |
| 1379:25, 1380:1, | eaving [2] - | 1461:7, 1462:14, 1462:16, 1462:18 | logistics [1] - | losing [1] - 1445:9 |
| 1380:4, 1380:9, | left [21] - 1314:22, | 1462:20 | look [8] - 1362:22, | lost [1] - 1398:16 |
| 1380:11, 1380:20, | 1333:4, 1364:14, | light's [1] - 1399:21 | 1390:5, 1421:13, | loud [2] - 1377:10, |
| 1380:23, 1381:13, | 1364:15, 1364:18, | lighter [1] - 1379:6 | 1445:25, 1446:2, | 1388:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1382:6, 1384:13, } \\ & \text { 1410:4, 1431:5 } \end{aligned}$ | 1372:15, 1389:1, <br> 1395:11, 1395:24 | lights [10]-1384:1, 1385:16, 1430:16, | 1452:22, 1455:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lunch [4]-1315:1, } \\ & \text { 1338:25, 1340:4, } \end{aligned}$ |
| lady's [1] - 1383:2 | 1396:6, 1396:9, | 1431:11, 1448:17, | 1456:21 | 1356:11 |
| Lake [17]-1348:20, | 1396:13, 1398:9, | 1449:13, 1457:16, | 1282:11, 1283:13, | lunchtime [1] - |
| 1349:7, 1349:8, | 1398:10, 1402:25, | 1458:9, 1458:11, | 1317:12, 1343:23, | 1356:11 |
| 1349:10, 1353:25, | 1407:4, 1407:9, | 1462:12 | 1358:8, 1362:21, | lung [2] - 1407:3, |





| 1391:3, 1408:8, | 1404:23, 1411:12, | pockets [9]-1367:9, | 1443:6, 1443:8 | 1464:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1409:11, 1409:16, | 1411:13, 1435:25, | 1367:16, 1368:15 | prearranged [1] - | process [3] - 1380:3, |
| 1415:13, 1419:20, | 1441:1, 1452:2, | 1368:16, 1449:24 | 1407:20 | 1432:14, 1432:17 |
| 1425:8, 1425:10, | 1460:15 | 1458:25, 1459:14 | preceded [1] - | program [1] - |
| 1425:12, 1426:21, | picked [11] - 1323:7, | 1459:16, 1459:19 | 1374:6 | 1328:13 |
| 1458:18, 1458:19 | 1323:20, 1333:7, | point [22] - 1282:18, | preceding [2] - | prohibited [3] - |
| percent [2] | 1374:5, 1400:9, | 1289:24, 1293:10, | 1426:5, 1426:9 | 1291:12, 1301:2, |
| 1286:24, 1318:8 | 1402:19, 1403:10, | 1334:5, 1336:11, | precisely [1] - | 1301:10 |
| period [2] - 1328:5, | 1407:11, 1435:22 | 1340:23, 1357:14 | 1298:24 | prohibits [1] - |
| 1405:20 | 1444:19, 1459:3 | 1366:24, 1373:9, | preference [1] - | 1340:17 |
| periodically [2] - | pictures [4] | 1382:25, 1383:17, | 1289:21 | promise [1] - |
| 1329:20, 1329:22 | 1393:12, 1404:3, $1404 \cdot 5,1444: 10$ | 1395:8, 1416:10, | pregnant [1] - | 1341:24 |
| permission [4] - | 1404:5, 1444:10 | 1422:21, 1430:19, | 1329:7 | proof [2] - 1290:6, |
| 1301:6, 1433:6, | pills [1] - 1412:7 | 1432:13, 1433:5, | premeditate [2] - | $1290: 14$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1433:10, 1433:16 } \\ \text { permitted }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | pipe [1] - 1379:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1433: 9,1437: 24 \\ & 1441: 11,1463: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 1399:2, 1399:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { property [1] - } \\ & \text { 1381:21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1292:23 | 1357:14, 1358:3, | pointed [3] - | 1411:19, 1412:2 | proprietary [1] - |
| Perry [2] - 1287:12, | 1358:6, 1358:11, | 1296:17, 1351:8, | 1412:5 | 1283:16 |
| 1287:13 | 1358:18, 1371:8, | 1393:22 | prescriptions [1] - | prosecution [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { persistent [1] - } \\ & 1282 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1371:10, 1393:16, } \\ & \text { 1397:8, 1397:17, } \end{aligned}$ | pointing [12] 1390:6, 1393:16 | 1411:20 | $1291: 20,1326: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1282:20 } \\ & \text { person [19] - 1284:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1397:8, 1397:17, } \\ & \text { 1398:5, 1398:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1390:6, 1393:16, } \\ & \text { 1393:19, 1415:20, } \end{aligned}$ | presence [10] - | protect [4] - 1434:3, |
| 1284:14, 1284:20, | 1400:4, 1402:18, | 1446:13, 1449:15, | 1339:12, 1339:1 | 1434:13 |
| 1284:22, 1285:1, | 1402:22, 1403:10, | 1450:11, 1450:16, | 1408:23, 1408:24, | protection [1] - |
| 1296:4, 1307:3, | 1422:12, 1423:20, | 1450:17, 1450:25, | 1448:13, 1457:10, | 1458:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1341: 24,1359: 17 \\ & 1367: 3,1371: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1424: 3,1424: 6 \\ & 1424: 13,1424: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1451:2, 1451:6 } \\ & \text { police }[2]-133 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 1457:11, } 1463: 20$ | prove [1] - 1301:22 |
| 1373:21, 1417:18, | 1424:16, 1424:19, | 1412:13 | present [6] - 1282:4, | provide [5] - |
| 1428:16, 1428:19, | 1426: | pop [1] - 1403:8 | 1418:13, 1420:6, | 1323:15, 1338:21, |
| 1434:7, 1434:10, | place [6]-1381:5, | popping [1] - 1414:9 | 1448:13 | 1453:12 |
| $1434: 13,1436: 19$ | 1381:13, 1381:16, 1403:9. 1410:20. | porch [4] - 1386:12, | presentation [1] - | provided [5] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { personal [2] - } \\ & 1357: 17,1362: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1403:9, 1410:20, } \\ & \text { 1418:20 } \end{aligned}$ | 1386:14, 1461:7, | 1301:22 | 1307:23, 1335:10, |
| 1357:17, 1362:16 personally [6] - | plan [6] - 1297:12, | 1462:18 porter [1] - 1309:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pretty [14]-1317:19, } \\ & \text { 1351:18, 1352:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1375:21, 1453:10 } \\ \text { provides [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1356:8, 1373:14 } \\ & \text { 1373:15, 1439:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1315: 14,1454: 12, \\ & 1455: 9,1457: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { portion [2] - 1447:5, } \\ & 1464 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ | $1353: 15,1356: 15$ $1372: 15,1380: 11$ | 1359:24 |
| 1373:15, 1439:1, 1439:4, 1439:7 | 1455:9, 1457:6, 1464:6 | 1464:20 | 1372:15, 1380:11, | $\begin{gathered} \text { pull [4] - 1400:3, } \\ \text { 1425:12. 1458:18. } \end{gathered}$ |
| phone [16] - | planned [1] | position [5] - 1284:8, | $1404: 21,1434: 24$ | 1425:12, 1458: 1458:20 |
| 1317:10, 1317:18, | 1315:14 | $1284: 14,1295: 21$ | $1445: 8,1446: 11$ | pulled [14]-1369:3, |
| 1318:1, 1318:4, | planning [3] - <br> 1345:10, 1450:7 | $1306: 25,1308: 17$ | prevent [1] - 1311:23 | 1376:22, 1383:23, |
| 1330:12, 1331:2, | 1345:10, 1450:7 | possession [1] - | previously [4] - | 1383:25, 1397:6, |
| 1333:12, 1333:19, | 1460:5 | 1323:24 | 1295:11, 1327:2, | 1397:17, 1406:11, |
| 1338:14, 1340:12, 1340:14, 1340:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { plans [2]-129 } \\ & 1455: 7 \end{aligned}$ | possible [9] 1390.13 1398.13 | $1450: 6,1460: 4$ | 1417:6, 1417:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1340: 14,1340: 15 \\ & 1341: 8,1351: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { play [1] - } 135$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1390:13, 1398:13, } \\ & \text { 1398:16, 1398:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { primarily [1] - } \\ & 1282: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1425: 17,1425: 19 \\ & 1436: 20,1458: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1377:12, 1377:13 } \\ & \text { phonetic) }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { played [1]-1446:24 } \\ & \text { plea [6] - 1295:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1402:5, 1403:6, } \\ & \text { 1446:16, 1447:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { priors [1] - 1390:9 } \\ & \text { Prison [2] - 1308:17, } \end{aligned}$ | pumps [1] - 1407:5 punished [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1364:15 } \\ & \text { physical [13] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1295:20, 1296:9, } \\ & \text { 1296:20, 1297:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1451:11 | 1310:5 | 1309:5 |
| 1361:7, 1363:4, | plead [5] - 1296:12, | 1383:4, 1415:7 | 1304:17, 1304:19, | 1301:3, 1301:15 |
| 1363:5, 1364:3, | 1307:9, 1307:11, | 1416:7, 1437:2, | 1456:10 | purchase [3] - |
| 1364:6, 1364:8, | 1307:12, 1310:6 | 1446:5, 1447:12, | privacy [1] - 1464:11 | 1357:15, 1357:24, |
| 1364:10, 1365:19, | pleading [2] - <br> 1295.15, 1296.25 | 1447:15, 1447:18, | private [1] - 1307:15 | 1422:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1372:19, 1424:13, } \\ & \text { 1424:20, 1426:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $1295: 15,1296: 25$ | 1447:20, 1447:22, | problem [2]- | purchased [2] - |
| $1426: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pled [4] - 1283:21, } \\ \text { 1284:4, 1284:18, } \end{gathered}$ | 1456:10 potential [1]-1417:1 | 1317:25, 1360:1 | 1357:13, 1421:23 |
| physically [2] | 1305:24 | practice [1] - 1357:9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { problems [2] - } \\ 1360: 2,1368: 10 \end{gathered}$ | purchasing [1] - 1421:20 |
| 1368:20, 1368:21 | plentiful [1] - | practiced [1] - | PROCEEDING [1] - | purportedly [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { pick [10]-1316:16, } \\ & \text { 1330:8, 1403:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1353:18 } \\ & \text { plot }[1]-1416: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 1443:19 practicing [2] - | 1282:1 <br> proceedings [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1425:3 } \\ & \text { purpose [1] - 1434:1 } \end{aligned}$ |


| pursuant [2] - | reach [1] - 1402:10 | receive [1] - 1412:2 | 1286:12, 1286:15 | $1385: 15,1386: 21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1282:19, 1283:5 | reached [1] - 1463:7 | received [4] | regardless [1] - | 1386:25, 1388:18, |
| pursue [2]-1309:15, | reacquainted [1] | 1286:14, 1287:3, | 1433:10 | 1391:2, 1397:25, |
| 1309:16 | 1328:22 | $1350: 20,1412: 1$ | regards [4] - | 1401:12, 1403:5, |
| pushing [1] | 1341:17, 1342:8, | 1290:19, 1293:12 | $1410: 24,1440: 9$ | 1404:9, 1404:25, |
| 1396:13 | 1369:14, 1392:9 | 1294:12, 1300:6, | Regional [1] - 1406:4 | 1405:6, 1405:13 |
| put [12] - 1285:17 | 1403:4, 1408:10, | 1339:3, 1339:9, | regularly [2] | 1405:19, 1406:14, |
| 1295:21, 1296:5, | 1413:24, 1414:3, | 1408:18, 1408:20 | 1352:24, 1374:10 | 1406:18, 1406:19, |
| 1301:24, 1305:24 | 1419:9, 1420:16 | 1464:14, 1464:19 | rehab [1] - 1321:1 | 1407:25, 1412:24, |
| 1310:4, 1311:8, | 1447:1, 1455:18 | Recess [5] | rehabilitation [1] - | 1413:14, 1414:15, |
| 1311:10, 1352:10, | 1456:25, 1463:15 | 1300:16, 1339:10 | 1314:14 | 1419:6, 1419:23, |
| 1398:18, 1404:24, | 1463:21, 1464:1 | 1408:21, 1448:11, | relate [1] - 1321:14 | 1420:20, 1422:2, |
| $1406: 13$ | ready [4] - 1294:20, 1440:15, 1463:11 | 1457:8 | $\text { related }[7]-1348: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1422:8, 1428:15, } \\ & \text { 1428:18, 1428:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1379:10, 1379:13 |  |  |  | 1428:22, 1428:25, |
|  | 1463:18 real [4]-1299:15 | recollection [7] | $\begin{aligned} & 1350: 5,1350: 6, \\ & 1350: 7,1350: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1429:13, 1429:15, } \\ & 1435: 1,1435: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1302:12, } 1311 \text { : } \\ & 1356: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1340:6, 1401:15, | ations [3] - | 1460:13 |
| quantity [1] - | realize [1] - 1401:3 | 1401:24, 1404:2, | 1354:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { remembered [3] - } \\ \text { 1369:23, 1420:18, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1352:10 questioning [1] - | $13$ | record [9]-1282 | 13: | 1442:18 |
|  | 1328:24, 1329:3 | 1290:7, 1295:9 | 1314:5, 1314:8 | remove [1] - 1326:3 |
| 1457:5 | 1329:17, 1329:18 | 1295:21, 1296:7 | 1314:9, 1322:1 | removed [1] - 1348:8 |
|  | 1330:14, 1330:19, | 1299:5, 1339:11 | 1323:3, 1329:5 | nder [1] - 1283: |
| 1288:16, 1288:20, | 1331:17, 1331:18, | 1408:22, 1457:9 | 1331:19, 1350:9, | Reno [1] - 1283:2 |
| 1309:4, 1309:20, | 1332:2, 1335:12, | RECORDED [1] | 1353:23, 1363:18 | repeatedly [1] - |
| 1309:22, 1310:15, | 1336:25, 1342:12, $1342: 16,1348: 9$ | 1282 | 1372:23, 1373:20 | $132$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1310:18, 1310:21 } \\ & \text { 1322:22, 1324:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 1348:23, 1349:19 | 1282:9, 1464:2 | $1382: 8,1382: 1$ | 1337:4, 1337: |
|  | 1350:5, 1352:10, | recording [4] | relative [1] - 1348: | 1337:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1322:22, 1324:18, } \\ & \text { 1325:9, 1343:8, } \end{aligned}$ | 1352:12, 1353:7 | 1282:6, 1290:5 | relatives [1] - | request [1] - 1341:15 |
|  | 1356:9, 1359:20, | 1301:11, 1414:4 | 1349:2 | requested [3] |
| 1443:21, 1448:1, | 1360:8, 1360:25, | recordings [2] | relax [1] - 1353:10 | 1413:9, 1413:13 |
| 1448:6, 1448:14 quick [3] - 1302: | $1361: 5,1365: 16$, $1366.3,1371 \cdot 22$ | $1409: 22,1410: 4$ | relaxers [1] - 1412:7 | $1464: 20$ |
| 1356:10, 1461:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 1366: 3,1371: 22 \\ & 1371: 24,1373: 2 \end{aligned}$ | recross [1]-1324:19 | relayed [1] - 1322:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requests [1] - } \\ & \text { 1292:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| quickly [3] - 1295:4, | 1382:17, 1386:11, | $1325: 1,1461: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reliability }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 1298:19 } \end{aligned}$ | require [1] - 1452:18 |
| 1446:11, 1456:7 | 1387:3, 1387:25, | Red [2] - 1370:11 | reliever [1] - 1413 | required [2] - |
| Quit [1] - 1369:3quite [7] - 1284:13 | 1388:15, 1409:17 | 1370:12 | relievers [1] - 1412:6 | 1283:8, 1322:1 |
|  | 1411:14, 1411:15, | redirect [5] - 1311:1, | remain [1] - 1322:12 | reservation [3] - |
| 1328:5, 1330:15, | 1412:24, 1416:22 | 1322:24, 1339:18, | remember [74] - | 1359:22, 1362:5, |
| 1456:25, 1463:11 | 1421:13, 1425:4, | 1345:2, 1447:24 | 1283:1, 1315:15, | 1415:10 |
| quote [4]-1333:18, | 1441:5, 1441:8 1457:24 | REDIRECT [1] - | 1316:21, 1318:19, | resided [1] - 1314:2 |
| 1355:3, 1438:4,1460:3 | Really [1] - 1441: | $\begin{array}{r} 1323: 1 \\ \text { refer } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1320:8, 1325:4, } \\ & 1326: 14 . ~ 1332: 5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { residence [11] - } \\ \text { 1343:18, 1390:8, } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | reason [9]-1291:13, | 1439:22 | 1333:6, 1333:21, | 1409:19, 1418:9, |
| quote-unquote [1] - | 1306:5, 1317:12, | reflect [3] - 1339:11, | 1335:5, 1335:12, | 1418:10, 1419:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1438:4 } \\ & \text { quoting }[1]-1365: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 1353:2, 1377:19, | 1408:22, 1457:9 | 1335:13, 1336:8, | 1419:12, 1433:23, |
|  | 1408:13, 1419:14 | refresh [1] - 1340: | 1336:9, 1336:20, | 1438:19, 1442:16, |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | reasonable [4] - | refreshes [1] - | 1336:24, 1336:25, | 1443:8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1284: 7,1284: 14 \\ & 1284: 19,1284: 21 \end{aligned}$ | fu | 1341:8, 1342:9 | 1311:15, 1360:12 |
| raise [3] - 1302:19, | 1284:19, 1284:21 <br> reasons [4] - 1299:1, | 1310: | 1342:13, 1342:14, | resolved [2] |
|  |  | use | 1342:16, 1343:6 | 1300:7, 1300:2 |
| $1312: 9,1346: 2$ | 1322:16, 1322:19, | refuses [1] - 1283:11 | 1344:2, 1344:4 | respect [5] - |
| 1352:10 | 14 | refusi | 1344:5, 1344:23 | 1334:22, 1354: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { rapidly }_{[1]}-1454: 7 \\ & \text { rate }[1]-1406: 22 \\ & \text { rather }[1]-1353: 8 \end{aligned}$ | rebuttal [2] - 1452:9, | 1282:21, 1309:19, | 1344:24, 1347:8, | 1371:11, 1371:19, |
|  | 1463:4 | 1309:21, 1309:22 | 1376:14, 1376:25, | 1402:9 |
|  | recalled [1] - 1327:2 | regarding [2] - | 1383:6, 1385:12, | respond [4] - |




| 1316:6, 1316:14, | 1296:1, 1296:11, | 1326:1, 1327:12, | 1447:7, 1455:4 | 1437:6, 1437:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1317:22, 1318:3, | 1296:14, 1296:15, | 1339:17, 1360:18, | subcompact [4] - | 1437:22 |
| 1318:13, 1319:7, | 1296:18, 1296:19, | 1366:24, 1402:24, | 1356:23, 1356:25, | sweats [1] - 1403:20 |
| 1319:10, 1319:13, | 1296:20, 1296:23, | 1415:5, 1416:17, | 1420:11 | sweatshirt [3] - |
| 1320:24, 1321:2, | 1297:3, 1298:20, | 1422:14, 1422:21, | subconsciously [1] - | 1437:8, 1437:13, |
| 1321:5, 1322:8, | 1301:19, 1302:5, | 1422:23, 1437:21, | 1390:17 | 1437:15 |
| 1323:4, 1323:24, | 1344:3, 1418:14, | 1458:6, 1458:7, | subject [7] - | swelled [1] - 1411:15 |
| 1324:8, 1328:5, | 1461:14 | 1463:10 | 1282:14, 1283:7, | swing [1] - 1330:9 |
| 1329:20, 1329:22, | statements [8] | stipulate [4] | 1283:17, 1283:19, | sworn [8] - 1286:2, |
| 1332:16, 1332:21, | 1283:9, 1283:11, | 1300:19, 1339:13, | 1292:10, 1292:12, | 1302:21, 1304:2, |
| 1333:10, 1333:23, | 1284:5, 1285:2, | 1408:24, 1457:11 | 1417:15 | 1312:10, 1313:2, |
| 1334:21, 1335:9, | 1285:13, 1285:14, | stipulated [4] - | subjected [1] - | 1327:3, 1346:3, |
| 1338:19, 1342:7, | 1298:12, 1392:9 | 1300:21, 1339:16, | 1283:23 | 1346:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1342: 11,1342: 18 \\ & 1343: 13,1343: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { States [1] - 1292:4 } \\ & \text { statute [2] - 1284:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $1409: 2,1457: 14$ <br> Stockmen's [1] | subpoenaed [1] - $1306: 2$ |  |
| 1380:6, 1418:4, | $1300: 9$ | 1337:5 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1418:14, 1439:4, } \\ & \text { 1439:18, 1439:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { stay [9]-1328:25, } \\ 1330 \cdot 10 \quad 1343 \cdot 1 \end{gathered}$ | stole [1] - 1427:9 | 1370:5 | T-i-e-r-e-s [1] - |
| 1439:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { stolen } \\ 1337: 4 \end{gathered}$ | substances [2] - | 1302:25 |
| Stanger's [3] - | 1438:15, 1452:16, | 1426:25, 1427:6 | substantially [1] - | table [4] - 1344:8, |
| 1330:2, 1332:11, | 1452:19, 1453:19 | stomach [3] - | 1360:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1344:11, 1344:23, } \\ & 1367: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1442:3 | staying [2] - | 1400:7, 1400:8, | $\text { SUE }[1]-1313: 1$ | talks [1] - 1285:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stanger/Smith [1] - } \\ & \text { 1418:10 } \\ & \text { start [10] - 1294:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1363: 16,1376: 21 \\ \text { stays [1] }-1436: 15 \\ \text { steal [12] }-1285: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1407:3 } \\ & \text { stop }[6]-1361: 10 \\ & 1378: 13,1398: 24 \end{aligned}$ | sue [1] - 1312:3 <br> sufficiently [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tall [2] - 1308:9, } \\ & 1308: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1302:10, 1348:24, | 1383:13, 1383:14, | $1399: 1,1408: 17$ | 1402:3 suggested [1] - | tank [1] - 1335:7 |
| 1366:1, 1367:11, | 1383:16, 1383:18, | stopped [7] - | $1415: 10$ | tanks [1] - 1442:25 <br> tapes [1] - 1409:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1368:3, 1368:7, } \\ & \text { 1425:22, 1455:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1383:19, 1391:16, } \\ & \text { 1392:14, 1394:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1374:24, 1377:22, } \\ & \text { 1378:3, 1383:3, } \end{aligned}$ | suggesting [1] - | tattoos [2] - 1310:2, |
| 1456:5 | 1394:22, 1394:25, | 1392:7, 1419:3, | Sunday [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1310:4 } \\ & \text { taxi [1] - 1364:20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $1313: 23,1313: 24$ | 1410:25 | 1419:4 | 1316:15, 1407:16, | taxied [1] - 1427:8 |
| 1320:4, 1328:11, | 1394:8, 1428:2, | store [1] - 1323:20 | 1407:24, 1407:25 <br> sunglasses [1] - | taxiing [3] - 1365:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1328: 14,1329: 7 \\ & \text { 1360:20, 1368:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $1441: 12$ STEINBA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { story [2]-1367:12, } \\ & 1369: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 1367:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1365:6, 1365:9 } \\ & \text { Taylor [28] - 1359:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1369:1, 1372:1, | 1327:1 | 1369:25 <br> straight [5] - 1359:9, | support [1] - 1406:9 | 1361:19, 1361:20, |
| 1376:20, 1395:4, | Steinbach [13] - | 1406:11, 1413:5, | $1296: 16,1297: 4$ | 1361:21, 1361:22, |
| 1396:13, 1396:20, | 1325:22, 1325:23 | 1414:7, 1414:1 | supposed [4] - | 1362:20, 1374:3, |
| 1403:11, 1414:23, | 1326:6, 1327:7, | street [7] - 1329:10, | 1286:20, 1302:1, | 1374:13, 1374:14, |
| 1430:25, 1440:1, | 1339:4, 1339:17, | 1355:11, 1370:14, | 1306:6, 1371:9 | 1374:16, 1383:21, |
| 1440:22, 1440:24, | 1339:21, 1339:25 | 1370:20, 1371:2, | Supreme [1] - | 1385:3, 1386:20, |
| 1444:23 <br> starts [1] - 1387 | 1340:3, 1343:14, | 1371:4, 1371:7 | 1283:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1386:24, 1387:10, } \\ & \text { 1387:13, 1388:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { state }[7]-1286: 7,$ | 1345:5, 1345:21, 1418:7 | streets [1] - 1376:17 | surgery [1] - 1405:21 | 1388:12, 1388:13, |
| 1302:23, 1312:11, | step [3] - 1371:4 | 1429:8, 1430:23, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { surprised [2] - } \\ \text { 1287:16, 1449: } \end{array}$ | 1389:5, 1398:7, |
| 1321:18, 1326:4 | $1448: 6,1457: 4$ | 1431:7 | surprising [1] - | 1404:11, 1419:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1346:4, 1400:15 } \\ & \text { State [5] - 1292:5 } \end{aligned}$ | stepdaughter [1] - | strikes [1]-1373:14 | 1341:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1432:6, 1432:21, } \\ & \text { 1436:12, 1442:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { State [5] - 1292:5, } \\ \text { 1301:16, 1308:17, } \end{gathered}$ | 1348:16 | strings [1] - 1437:23 | Susan [1] - 1312:13 | 1436:12, 1442:15, 1442:21 |
| 1310:5, 1332:15 | 1364:15, 1366:8 | stuck [2] - 1389:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suspicions [1] - } \\ & \text { 1384:17 } \end{aligned}$ | Te-Moak [1] - |
| statement [33] - | 1366:14, 1366:23, | 1404:10 | suspicious [1] - | 1347:17 |
| 1282:12, 1282:15, 1282:16, 1283:13, | 1367:8, 1368:3, | stuff [19]-1330:24, | 1384:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { teach [2] - 1372:3, } \\ & \text { 1428:4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1283:14, 1284:9, | 1368:6 <br> stepp | 1337:20, 1341:7, | SUV [1] - 1435:3 | Technical [1] - |
| $1284: 10,1284: 15$ | 1287:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1349:22, 1349:23, } \\ & \text { 1350:24. 1357:6. } \end{aligned}$ | swearing [2] - | 1346:25 |
| 1284:20, 1284:24, | steps [1]-1337:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1350: 24,1357: 6, \\ & 1365: 23,1368: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1351:18, 1351:20 | technician [1] - |
| 1285:5, 1285:10, | stick [1] - 1366:12 | 1368:4, 1371:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sweater [5] - } \\ & \text { 1397:12, 1397:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 1347:1 |
| 1285:12, 1295:9, | still [20] - 1282:24, | 1372:10, 1375:7, | 1397:14, 1403:19, | telephone [5] - |
| $1295: 13,1295: 16$ | 1294:18, 1309:10, | 1414:14, 1427:9, | 1437:12 | 1307:4, 1307:7, |
| 1295:18, 1295:25, | 1309:22, 1311:18, | 1427:14, 1441:12, | sweatpants [3] - | 1333:9, 1338:11, |


| 1340:7 | 1444:18, 1449:5, | 1326:1, 1326:6, | thigh ${ }_{[1]}-1451: 15$ | 1315:1, 1316:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { telephonic [1] - } \\ & \text { 1338:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 1461:3 text [3]-1286:14, | 1339:2, 1339:8, | 1284:13, 1409: | 1367:19, 1372:11, |
| ten [4]-1300:9, | 1287:4, 1333:9 | 1339:11, 1339:17, | 1410:12, 1414:21 | 1372:16, 1381:16, |
| 1317:11, 1393:14, | THE [218]-1282:3, | 1339:22, 1340:1, | 1419:15, 1443:23, | 1397:13, 1407:3, |
| 1448:9 | 1286:6, 1288:16, | 1340:19, 1340:23, | 1451:3, 1453:22 | 1412:17, 1420:14, |
| tend [3]-1297:25, | 1288:19, 1288:22, | 1341:1, 1343:9, | 1454:24 | 1423:3, 1446:18, |
| 1360:11, 1382:19 | 1289:23, 1290:11, 1290:25, 1291:17. | 1345:2, 1345:4, <br> 1345:9, 1345:11, | thinks [1] - 1449:11 <br> third $[2]-1201 \cdot 5$ | 1459:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { tended }[3]-1283: 17, \\ & 1283: 25,1284: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1290:25, 1291:17, } \\ & \text { 1291:22, 1291:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1345:9, 1345:11, } \\ & \text { 1345:12, 1345:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { third [2] - 1291:5, } \\ & \text { 1400:16 } \end{aligned}$ | tooken [1] - 1361:11 <br> tools [4] - 1316:12, |
| nds [1]-1373:18 | 1292:3, 1292:7, | 1345:17, 1345:21, | thoughts [2] - | 1320:17, 1321:5, |
| tennis [1] - 1403:20 | 1292:8, 1292:16, | 1346:2, 1346:4, | 1414:7, 1414:11 | 1447:21 |
| term [1]-1362:18 | 1292:17, 1292:22, | 1346:5, 1346:6 | threat ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1336:5 | top [3]-1335:7, |
| testified [21] - | 1292:23, 1293:2, | 1347:23, 1408:17, | threatened [1] | 1369:21, 1437:8 |
| 1286:2, 1292:25, | 1293:3, 1293:6, | 1408:20, 1408:22, | 1335:24 | tortured [1] - |
| 1293:23, 1299:18, | 1293:7, 1293:9, | 1409:3, 1420:1, | threatening [2] - | 1319:18 |
| 1304:2, 1313:2, | 1293:10, 1293:14, | 1447:24, 1448:1 | 1336:3, 1336:9 | totally [1] - 1288:25 |
| 1321:8, 1327:3, | 1293:15, 1293:18, | 1448:5, 1448:9, | three [19] - 1324:15, | touch [1] - 1337:17 |
| 1346:10, 1405:25, | 1293:19, 1293:22, | 1448:12, 1448:21, | 1337:23, 1348:8, | tough [1] - 1284:16 |
| 1410:22, 1417:5, | 1293:23, 1294:1, | 1448:25, 1449:10, | 1349:10, 1356:20, | towards [7] - |
| 1426:24, 1434:17, | 1294:2, 1294:3, | 1449:13, 1449:18, | 1358:22, 1385:4, | 1383:22, 1389:8, |
| 1437:5, 1439:10, | 1294:4, 1294:7, | 1449:22, 1450:2, | 1386:18, 1416:7, | 1390:14, 1391:7, |
| 1441:22, 1442:7, | 1294:8, 1294:10, | 1450:6, 1450:10, | 1420:7, 1422:7, | 1403:11, 1447:5 |
| 1450:25, 1451:3, | 1294:11, 1294:17, | 1450:15, 1450:20, | 1424:22, 1424:24, | track [1]-1352:12 |
| 1462:17 | 1294:20, 1295:1, | 1450:23, 1451:5, | 1431:13, 1436:14, | tradition [3] - |
| testifies [1] - | 1295:7, 1296:8, | 1451:9, 1451:12, | 1452:15, 1452:17, | 1360:13, 1360:15, |
| 1282:14 | 1297:16, 1297:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1451:19, 1451:21, } \\ & \text { 1452:1, 1452:6, } \end{aligned}$ | 1454:9 | 1442:6 |
| testify [39]-1282:21, | 1298:6, 1298:10, | 1452:1, 1452:6, | throat [1] - 1406:10 | transaction [1] - |
| 1282:23, 1282:24, | 1298:16, 1298:21, | 1452:9, 1452:12, | throughout [2] - | 1423:14 |
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