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Delarian Wilson's statement where he telis the police, yeah, I was there, yeah, I did this, but he and Narcus Wesley had the gun, and he and Narcus Wesley committed the sexual assault, if they are going to bring that in, I get to do that as well.
MR. LANDIS: We are not arguing any different.
THE COURT: All right. We are all on the same
MR. LANDIS: We are not arguing any different.
THE COURT: All right. We are all on the same page.

Again, this all stems from their decision how they want to handle it.

If they choose not to allow any of it in, that's fine, but if they choose to bring it in, then that is the rule, that's how we'll approach it. Okay?

Bring them in.
Who is next, and where are we as far as the evidence goes?

MS. LUZAICH: Fingerprints.
MS. KOLLINS: Fingerprints.
THE COURT: And then who is after your fingerprint?

MS. KOLLINS: Detective Hartshorn and Detective Weske.
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters
plea agreement, the canvas, anything that pertains to anything touching upon penalty, has to be redacted.

MR. LANDIS: Agreed.
THE COURT: That he understands, and other than that, other than any information regarding the penalty, I don't care how you do it, if it's a guilty plea agreement, if it's a canvas, if it's the Information, I think however we get it, it has to be the full picture.

MS. LUZAICH: Right.
But it still wouldn't happen through Niswonger.
THE COURT: The mechanism that occurs could just be, these are official documents that are in the file, they are already a matter of public record, and I think we could probably just acknowledge the existence of these public records as redacted, but I don't know that you really even need to have somebody testify to them because they are a matter of the record in this case.

MR. LANDIS: Fact.
THE COURT: So decide how you want to approach it, how you want to do it, but I don't think you need to have that witness come back to do this. This is entirely unnecessary for that.

Is that how you want to approach it, you want me to bring the jury back in?

MS. LUZAICH: Then -- But I also get to go into the rule, that's how well approach it. Okay?

presence of the jury?
MR. LANDIS: Yes, Judge.
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. The State's next witness.
MS. KOLLINS: The State calls Kent Timothy.

## KENT TIMOTHY,

who, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please state your full name, and spell your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kent Workman, w-o-r-k-m-a-n, Timothy, T-i-m-o-t-h-y.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KENT TIMOTHY

BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Sir, how are you employed?
A. I'm employed as a latent print examiner for the City of Henderson, Nevada.
Q. How long have you been employed as a latent print examiner for the City of Henderson, Nevada?
A. Since January 5th of 2004.
Q. Prior to January 5th of 2004, what kind of
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position did you hold?
A. I started in law enforcement as a police officer in 1980 in West Valley City, Utah. I remained there working in patrol and traffic divisions and then moved into their forensic unit when it was created in 1995. I stayed there until 2000 and took about eleven months off to deal with a health situation, and then I came back to West Valley where I worked until December of 2003.
Q. And is that when you came to Henderson as a latent print examiner?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And what education qualifies you to perform your function as a latent print examiner?
A. I have had over 400 hours in fingerprint and latent print and development, processing, and comparison from various instructors from the federal bureau of investigations, Mississippi State Crime Lab, and the international organization for identification is the certifying body for this group.
Q. Are you still certified by that body?
A. Yes, I'm a certified latent print examiner.
Q. And how long have you been certified by that board?
A. Since March of 2003.
Q. Have you testified as an expert in the Eighth
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Judicial district?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. In the field of latent print examination?
A. Yes, last testified I believe it was in January of this year.

In the last six months I've testified approximately four times here in District Court.
Q. And just to give the ladies and gentlemen a brief overview of what a latent print examiner does --
A. All right. My job description is basically to analyze and compare fingerprint and foot wear and tire track evidence that comes into the crime lab.

I spend the vast majority of my time in the crime lab.

I've been to only about six crime scenes here.
I also operate three different AFIS systems.
AFIS is the acronym for Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems, an electronic data base for finding candidate fingerprints from an electronic data base.
Q. Can you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what a latent fingerprint is?
A. All right. The word latent has been applied generically to all fingerprint evidence, even though latent in the exact vernacular of fingerprint work BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
702.360.4677
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applies to fingerprints which are not readily visible, or a fingerprint which needs to be developed in some way before it can be visualized, recorded and then compared.
Q. Can you describe for us some of the mechanisms that are used to document a latent fingerprint?
A. All right. Most fingerprints from crime scenes are developed simply by the use applying a transient powder, an oxide-based powder, or magnetic powder, to a surface, so it will adhere to the fingerprint residue surface by the contact of a person.

Fingerprints can also be captured by photography with or without development.

Fingerprints can be developed by various chemical methods on porous items such as paper or wood, causes a chemical reaction and verify visible fingerprint which can be photographed, scanned or compared without electronic capturing.
Q. Are one of those chemical processes the use of super glue?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And could you describe that process?
A. All right. The super glue process is a process for crystalizing or hardening the fingerprint.

If any of you remember the movie Beverly Hills Cop, Eddie Murphy places the match book inside of a BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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terrarium with some super glue, and his fingerprint magically appears on that. It actually turned out the wrong color, but the idea is for the fingerprint residue which is on the surface to attract moisture in the atmosphere, and then the super glue fumes adhere to that residue holding that moisture and become a hard crystaline-type structure on the surface.
Q. And once that becomes a hard crystal-like structure on the surface of the fingerprint, what is done in order to document that fingerprint?
A. Usually you can go one of two ways, either by dusting it with regular transient powder and then lifting that, by photographing it, or you can apply a chemical stain which causes it to fluoresce, and that is documented by photography.
Q. What causes someone to leave a fingerprint on a surface?
A. All right. Fingerprints are residue which is transferred to a surface or extracted from a surface by the contact of a hand.

Dr. Edmond Locard (Phonetic) is the scientist who gave us what is known as the Locard exchange principle, a fundamental principle for all criminalistics which basically says we interact with our environment, we either leave something or take something away.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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When a person touches a surface, if their hands have residue on the hands, which is either natural sweat, perspiration or sevum (Phonetic), or if they have some other substance on the hand, they then transfer that substance to the surface, if the surface itself has either a weathering patina, or dust, or some other substance on it, the fingers can actually remove some of that contamination on that surface, and thereby a visible fingerprint is left.

So it can either be in addition to a surface or an extraction from a surface.

If however both surfaces are sufficiently clean, you just washed your hands, the surface is clean, there is going to be no transfer, so there will be no fingerprint that will be detected.
Q. Okay. I'm going to back up and ask you a couple questions about your explanation.

You said you could have dirt or sweat on your hands that may leave a transference on a surface, is that right?
A. Yes, that type of a print is known as a latent print, something that is visible.
Q. And you also mentioned something you called sevum. Is that like skin oil, oil of the skin?
A. They are basically three different types of BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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residues that come from the human body.
There is aprikan (Phonetoc), which is the very watery salty secretions that come from the surfaces of the hands and the palms of the feet. There are aprikan solutions or excretions. Those are generally found in the area of hair follicles to help keep the hair follicle clean, that is also a very watery secretion.

And then there is sevum. Sevum is the white greasy residue that is produced in a gland wherever there is a hair follicle. We commonly have those in the area of the face, or on the top of the head. It's that white sort of gooey residue. It's a heavy oily-based residue.
Q. Okay. Now, is every surface equally receptive to having a fingerprint left on it?
A. No.
Q. Why is that?
A. Well, a surface may have such a highly textured structure to it that when the finger or the hand contacts it, that surface can actually break up or interfere with good physical contact, so you don't get a good record.

Many times on things like dashboards or leather items that have a heavy grain to them, or some wood grain, that structure will break up the fingerprint as BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
it's being deposited. You don't get even contact, and you don't get a good image.
Q. And could other things such as moisture contribute to whether or not a fingerprint was successfully transferred onto a surface?
A. Yes, it could.

If you have sufficient water on a surface, or oil, or enough dirt or grease, you prevent that sufficient contact to record a fingerprint, you can interfere with what is being left behind, how it's been touched, so there are actually a number of things that can damage or prevent a good fingerprint from being left on a surface.
Q. So a surface, a smooth dry surface would be based on what you are telling, be more conducive to having deposited a fingerprint?
A. Yes, it would be.
Q. And qualitatively fingerprint -- To fingerprint
there is some differentiation as to whether a fingerprint is sufficient such that can be identified. In other words, every time we touch something it's not necessarily identifiable, is that correct?
A. That is correct.

The vast majority of the marks which we receive in the laboratory are not sufficient in detail for us to BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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make an identification. Sometimes we can use them for exclusion.

Sometimes there isn't enough to do anything with.
Q. In other words, I could touch the grain of this desk and perhaps the screen and that computer, and just because I touched them all in front of you, those three different surfaces, they might not all be of equal quality, correct?
A. Correct.

Also the motion applied the dynamics of that contact can affect whether or not an identifiable fingerprint is left behind.
Q. When we are talking about these latent prints that are left behind that you may want to do some comparison of a print of a known print of somebody, tell us how you go about that just generally in the lab?
A. The comparison process, there is an actual methodology which has been developed called CE-V, it's a process of analyzing each latent fingerprint you are going to compare to try and extract the ridge detail you are going to look at.

We'll then in the case of this case get a release from a person to compare the latent prints in the case to named individuals.

So we obtain record prints from a few different BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
sources and then do a comparison of the detail we extract to whatever record print.
Q. Okay. So in other words, if I'm correct, you look at the lifts, or photographs that were obtained from a crime scene, and you collect those, and then you look at the known fingerprints of a suspect that has been submitted to you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And by known prints, we're talking about fingerprints that are taken in a controlled environment, wherever they may have been obtained and submitted to you as identified to a specific individual?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you have occasion to run that type of comparison pursuant to Henderson DR Number 0703748?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And were known fingerprints submitted to you in that case?
A. Yes, I was able to obtain known prints for two persons.
Q. And for which two persons did you obtain known prints?
A. For a Mr. Delarian K. Wilson and a Narcus Wesley.
Q. Okay. Now, crime scene submitted a multitude of lifts to you, is that correct, in this case?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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## A. Yes.

Q. And I don't know, I didn't count them in total, about 64 lifts in all, is that correct?
A. I believe that's about right, yes.
Q. Is that about right?
A. Yes.
Q. Specifically I'd like to focus your attention on two lifts that were obtained by crime scene analyst Jennie Ayers on a lotion bottle.

Did you do a comparison of those fingerprints?
A. I did.

MS. KOLLINS: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. I'm going to show you what has been admitted as

State's 27.
Do you recognize State's 27?
A. I do.
Q. And what is State's 27?
A. An evidence package containing two latent adhesive lifts. On here are my name and the date that I received it from the evidence for the analysis.
Q. And your signature and that date upon there help you recognize that package unique from another one? BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters $\quad$ Fax 360.2844
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay. There is some blue tape at the top of that evidence bag.

What does that tell you?
A. This is the blue sealing tape that we use in the crime lab to resell items after we have examined them.

My initials and the date 4/10/07 are also on there.
Q. Okay. Does it appear to be in substantially the same condition it was the last time you saw it and put that blue evidence tape on the top?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. The latent prints that were taken from the lotion bottle and preserved by analyst Ayers, are they contained within State's 27?
A. I --
Q. Can I get you some scissors?
A. Yeah, it's a little more dignified than me ripping it up.
Q. Oh, go ahead and rip.
A. Those are the lifts.
Q. Okay. Now, are those the lifts that you compared to the known prints of Delarian Wilson, and/or Narcus Wesley?
A. Yes, ma'am.

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Q. Okay. And were you able to draw a conclusion as to the identity of the person that left the prints that are contained within 27 and were lifted from the lotion bottle?
A. There are a number of partial latent prints on both the front and the back, and a total of four of those latent prints were identified by comparison to Delarian K. Wilson.
Q. Okay. And I believe you charted that comparison for us, did you not?
A. I did an example chart, yes.
Q. Showing you what has been marked for purposes of identification as State's 41, could you explain to us how this documents your conclusion?
A. All right. This is just a very basic chart that I put together just to show the comparison of three of the four prints because they were so close together in space.

What we have is a series of features which correspond from the record prints to the known prints.

The process is to go through the evidence print and extract ridge endings, bifurcations, dots, those are three common features, and then to examine the structure and the course of the ridges between those features, and then go to the record print to see if you could find the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 104 same features.

In these three prints what we have is this record print being identified to these two latent prints, this latent print being identified to that record.
Q. I'm sorry.

And when you said, this record print, you are indicating the print that is in the bottom right of State's Proposed 41, and you are drawing its comparison to the grouping of dots, that is in the bottom right hand portion of the gray photograph, correct?
A. And the middle print.
Q. And the middle print?
A. These two latent prints were made by the same finger.
Q. Okay. The two latent prints depicted in there are to the right most in the picture, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm sorry to interrupt you. I'm just trying to make a record.

What other comparison and subsequent identification did you make using the same piece of evidence?
A. This farthest right -- or farthest left print is identified to this, I believe that's the number 9 , the ring finger, here from the record of Mr. Wilson. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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Q. And the record -- or the known print of Mr. Wilson is depicted in the left-hand side of your exhibit?
A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. KOLLINS: The State would move for the admission of 41 .

MR. LANDIS: No objection, Judge.
THE COURT: 41 is admitted.
BY MS: KOLLINS:
Q. You indicated that the left most print was the left ring finger of Delarian Wilson, is that your recollection, you said number 9 ?
A. I believe it is.

I'm going to check.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review your conclusion?
A. My report, yes.

The ring finger and then the middle finger was identified to the two prints on the right.

The ring finger was identified to the latent print on the left.
Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to talk to you about the other, I don't know, sixty or so prints that you reviewed in this case.

Were they all of the same quality?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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A. No.
Q. Were you able to make any further identifications out of those prints?
A. No, I was not.
Q. If you had to compare the quality of those lifts to what was submitted on that lotion bottle where you were able to draw a conclusion about identity, what would be your comparison?
A. Well, it's been a while since I've seen the actual lifts.

All I can really say is, that these were sufficient for identification, and the only two record prints I had to work with were those belonging to Mr. Wilson and Mr. Wesley.

I was asked to look for the record prints for the other person, the other people who were there present, but I was not able to get record prints for them.
Q. So there could have been a multitude of reasons I guess is my point why identification was not made out of the rest of those lifts?
A. One of the shortest reasons is, that we don't have a record print to compare to the latent prints, regardless of their quality, if there is no record.
Q. But also could be insufficient quality?
A. Could be insufficient quality, yes.
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Q. Insufficient detail?
A. Yes.
Q. Not a full print, if you will, only partials?
A.

None of them are full.
They are all sort of partial.
It's just a matter of whether or not there is enough for you to make a conclusion that you are satisfied with about the identification.

MS. KOLLINS: I will pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross.
MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF KENT TIMOTHY

BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Good afternoon, sir.

Excuse me for the lack of sophistication on this stuff, but to make sure I got this stuff straight, you
got sixty some prints that came to your lab from the crime scene?
A. That's correct.
Q. And sometime later Henderson Police bring you two individual sets of prints?
A. Miss Harris gave me the names, and I was able to locate the prints.
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Q. One being Delarian Wilson?
A. Yes.
Q. The other one being Narcus Wesley?
A. That's right.
Q. You took those two individual sets of prints and compared them the best you could to those sixty some you got from the crime scene?
A. Yes.
Q. Some you could test, and some you couldn't test because they weren't of sufficient quality?
A. Some there was not sufficient to make an identification, that's right.
Q. And after testing the sixty some prints, you got one match?
A. I matched four fingers, four latents.
Q. I'm sorry.

From one object?
A. Right.
Q. And that was the lotion bottle?
A. That's correct.
Q. And those came back to one Delarian Wilson?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And that was the only -- I understand it's four prints -- but only match you were able the make out of those sixty some prints?
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A. That's right.
Q. No matches as to Narcus Wesley?
A. That's correct.

MR. LANDIS: I have nothing further, Judge.
MS. KOLLINS: No redirect, Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you very much. I appreciate
your testimony.

## BRYAN HARTSHORN,

who, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole
truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
Please state your full name, and spell both your
first and last name for the record.
THE WITNESS: Bryan Hartshorn, B-r-y-a-n
H-a-r-t-s-h-o-r-n.
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DIRECT EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HARTSHORN
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Sir, how are you employed?
A. With the City of Henderson Police Department.
Q. What capacity with the City of Henderson Police

Department?
A. Detective.
Q. And how long have you been a detective for the

City of Henderson?
A. Almost two years.
Q. And prior to being a detective for HPD, where did you work?
A. I worked for patrol.
Q. How long were you a patrol officer?
A. For three --
Q. Were you employed then with Henderson Police

Department in February of '07?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. What detail were you assigned to?
A. I was working with the major crimes unit.
Q. Calling your attention to All-star Weekend,

February of 2007, did you become involved in an investigation with a robbery that occurred -- or had occurred in Henderson at 690 Great Dane Way?
A. Yes.
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Q. How did you become involved with that investigation?
A. I was called out early morning to the main station to assist with the crime that had been committed that night.
Q. And when you were called to the station, was that for the purposes of attending a briefing regarding this crime?
A. It was.
Q. And were multiple detectives present?
A. Yes.
Q. Sergeants?
A. Yes.
Q. Any patrol on site?
A. Not at the initial meeting.
Q. Okay. From the initial meeting, was everyone kind of given a task to accomplish?
A. Yes.
Q. Where were you directed to go?
A. I was initially directed to an apartment complex.
Q. Would that have been on Warm Springs Avenue?
A. It was.
Q. Did you know whether or not from your briefing
had the primary crime occurred at the Warm Springs apartment?
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A. We knew it had occurred at a Great Dane address on Great Dane.
Q. Okay. Who was at Warm Springs when you arrived?
A. Patrol officers were -- or had arrived and were
there, and then I think a couple of detectives had been arrived there before I did.
Q. Were you paired up with any other detective through the course of this investigation?
A. At the beginning I wasn't. I was just floating around helping out whoever needed help.

Later I was assigned with Detective Weske.
Q. When you arrived at the Warm Springs apartment, did you go inside?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was present inside?
A. The patrol officer, some detectives and the victims.
Q. And how many victims were there?
A. Six.
Q. Had anyone gone to the Great Dane residence yet, and by anyone I mean, had crime scene gone there, had that residence been cleared yet, did any of those things transpire by the time you reached the apartment?
A. Not yet, no.
Q. What did you do at the apartment?
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A. I collected some of the evidence, the cell phones and the debit cards that were used.

I collected a nine millimeter round that was in the vehicle of one of the victims.

And I assisted -- I was present for the interview of one of the victims.
Q. And from whom did you collect cell phones?
A. All six. We were missing one of the victims,

Danielle's, so I guess we had five.
Q. And what was your purpose for collecting those cell phones?
A. For prints.
Q. And you collected debit cards.

Do you recall from whom you collected debit cards?
A. I don't remember, no.
Q. You mentioned another piece of evidence that you collected while at Warm Springs.

From whom did you get that?
A. It was in the vehicle of one of the victims,
first name is Ryan.
Q. The last time be Tognotti?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was -- or what did he have in his vehicle?
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A. It was a nine millimeter bullet round.
Q. Okay. And what did you learn was the
significance of that nine millimeter round as it had to do with what happened at Great Dane?
A. We were advised by the --

MR. BANKS: Object.
Hearsay.
MS. KOLLINS: It goes to the reason why he impounded it.

THE COURT: Overruled.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: It was the victim identified the weapon to be a nine millimeter Glock.

MS. KOLLINS: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Showing you what has been previously shown to Defense counsel and marked for purposes of identification as State's Proposed 42 and contents, do you recognize that envelope?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is that envelope?
A. It's the impound envelope for the nine millimeter gun.
Q. And how is it that you recognize that to be the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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same envelope?
A. It has my signature and my initials and P. Number on the evidence.
Q. Okay --

MS. KOLLINS: Move for the admission of 42 and contents.

MR. LANDIS: No objection.
THE COURT: 42 is admitted.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. I'm not going to have you open this, detective, but you identified what is contained in here as a nine millimeter round.

Is that something in your training and experience as a police officer that you would know?
A. Yes.
Q. Is part of what identified that envelope to you the DR Number, or in other words your event number?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what that is off the top of your head, or do you need to look at the envelope again?
A. I do not know it.
Q. Okay. That was my fault, detective. If you could, just read that event number, please.
A. 07-03748.
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Q. Okay. That was the number assigned to the entire investigation of the robbery at the Great Dane residence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. After you obtained this bullet from Ryan

Tognotti, did you have an assignment that involved
actually going to the physical address of 690 Great Dane?
A. Yes.
Q. What were you supposed to do?
A. We were to secure the residence and make sure there was no dangers or hazards in there, secure it for crime scene, and also canvas the neighborhood.
Q. Who is, we?
A. Myself, Detective Weske, and Sergeant Dunway.
Q. When you arrived at 690 Great Dane, who was there?
A. I don't remember anyone else being there yet.
Q. Was patrol there at all?
A. Oh, yes, actually patrol was there.
Q. And patrol has their residence at least closed to access?
A. It was.
Q. And you said your responsibility was to clear that house.
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What did you and Detective Weske do to clear that house?
A. We searched the whole residence for any people.
Q. Did Ryan Tognotti accompany you to that residence
in order to walk crime scene analysts through that residence?
A. I wasn't present at that point.

I don't remember Ryan coming in.
Q. After you were satisfied that residence was clear, was the crime scene then allowed to come in?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you remain at Great Dane while crime scene processed the house?
A. Uh-huh, yes.
Q. How long did that take?
A. It took about an hour.

We were canvassing the neighborhood at the same time, maybe longer.
Q. By canvassing the neighborhood, what do you mean?
A. We were knocking on all the neighbors' doors to
see if anyone had seen anything that evening?
Q. Any success?
A. No.
Q. What time of day, is it now the day light, the following morning?
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A. It's daylight.
Q. Through the interviews with the victims did you learn the name Grant?
A. We did.
Q. What did you do with that information in terms of the 690 Great Dane Court address?
A. We received information that a Grant was the attended target of the robbery, and in order to find out who Grant was I ran up the county assessor's file on-line and discovered the owner also lived in Henderson and was named Victor, I don't remember his last name, Michlak -- or I'm not sure what his last name was.
Q. Is his last name contained in your report?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review that?
A. It would.

It's spelled M-i-c-h-l-a-k, Michlak.
Q. Michlak maybe?
A. Maybe.
Q. When you obtained this information about the true owner of the Great Dane address, Victor Michlak, did you make contact with him?
A. We did.
Q. Who is, we?
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A. Myself, Detective Weske, and Sergeant Dunway.
Q. Did you do that by phone, or go to his home?
A. We went to his home.
Q. What if anything did you learn about the residence at 690 Great Dane from Mr. Michlak?
A. We learned that previously he rented it out to some other people, and one of those was Brandon, and he believed one of the roommates of Brandon's was Grant.
Q. Okay. Did you get a last name for Brandon?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall what that was?
A. If I can refer to my report.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to do so?
A. It would.

Brandon Preston.
MR. LANDIS: Judge, may I approach to look to see
what he's looking at?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. LANDIS: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: You bet.
BY MS: KOLLINS:
Q. Did you make contact with Brandon?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you learn that Brandon still actively had a roommate named Grant, and had previously been BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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roommates with Grant at 690 Great Dane?
A. Yes.
Q. Based on that information, did you attempt to make contact with Grant?
A. We did.
Q. And by, we, you still mean yourself and Detective

Weske and Sergeant Dunway?
A. Just myself and Detective Weske went to the apartment.
Q. Did you make contact with Grant there?
A. We did.
Q. Was Grant cooperative with you?
A. He was.
Q. Did he go to Henderson Police Department then with you thereafter?
A. He did.
Q. And gave you some information?
A. Yes.
Q. About what time of day is it now?
A. It's probably about noon, maybe a little before.
Q. Noon on Monday the 19th?
A. Yes.
Q. In your conversations with Grant did you get the name Delarian Wilson, or Kameron Wilson?
A. Yes.
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Q. Did Grant give you information how he knew Delarian?
A. He did.
Q. Okay. And what did that cause you to do?
A. We immediately tried to identify who Delarian Wilson was.
Q. Did you have any information at that time about Delarian's participation in athletics at all?
A. We did.
Q. And what did you do based on that?
A. We were advised that he had played football for UNLV, so we pulled up a roster of the football team.
Q. Okay. What did you do next?
A. At that point we were searching for a photo to confirm that was Kameron, and that was Detective Weske who did that.
Q. Were you present when that photo was shown to Grant?
A. No.
Q. What was your next involvement in this investigation?
A. At that point I basically stayed at the station to assist if there was any need for my help.

We didn't know what direction everybody was going
in, so we had to -- were just sticking around.
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My next involvement was to respond to Circus
Circus.
Q. Okay. Did you receive some information that

Danielle Browning's cell phone was still active?
A. We did.
Q. And that caused you to travel to Circus Circus?
A. Yes.
Q. By the time you got to Circus Circus was Delarian Wilson in custody there?
A. He was.
Q. Had the search warrant been executed on his room yet?
A. I don't know.
Q. You did not participate in the drafting of the search warrant at Circus Circus?
A. No.
Q. Nor the execution of that warrant?
A. Not on the execution, no.
Q. Delarian Wilson was in custody at security in

Circus Circus?
A. He was.
Q. And did you and Detective Weske proceed to speak with him?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What was your next involvement in this BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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A. We transported him to Henderson Detention Center, and then after all the declaration of arrest and booking sheets were done, we went home for the night, and I came back the next morning.
Q. Did you participate in somewhat of the drafting of the execution of the warrant with Detective Weske at a Gay Lane residence?
A. I was with him to confirm a vehicle parked at the address that -- and then I went with him to assist him in serving the search warrant.
Q. What information did you have about a vehicle?
A. I believe it was a white 300M Chrysler was at that residence.
Q. And to whom was it your belief that that vehicle belonged?
A. Mr. Wesley.
Q. Narcus Wesley?
A. Narcus Wesley.
Q. And did you go to an address to confirm that that vehicle existed?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that address, do you recall?
A. I don't.
Q. Would it have been on Gay Lane in Clark County? BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
A. Yes, it was on Gay Lane.
Q. After you did that confirmation, did Detective Weske then draft a warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you participate in the execution of that warrant?
A. I did.
Q. Was it just you two detectives, or did -- was there other police officers, SWAT available to help you execute that warrant?
A. The initial clearing of the residence was done by SWAT.
Q. And why would that have been done in the circumstance?
A. Due to the nature of the crime involving a firearm.

That's our policy is to have SWAT serve search warrants.
Q. Who was present at the Gay Lane address upon service of that search warrant?
A. Myself, Detective Weske, Detective Hutchinson (Phonetic), and Detective Purdue (Phonetic).
Q. And in terms of residence of the Gay Lane address, who was present?
A. Narcus Wesley, his father, and I believe it's his BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters 702.360.4677 Fax 360.2844
stepmother.
Q. Okay. Do you see Narcus Wesley in court today?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is he is seated, and what is he wearing today?
A. Sitting right here with the tan blazer and a red tie.
Q. Do you see Narcus' father here in court today?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And where is he seated today, and what is he wearing?
A. He is sat behind them with -- wearing all blue.

MS. KOLLINS: May the record reflect
identification of Mr. Wesley, Sr.?
THE COURT: That's correct, the record will so
show.
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. You said you seen someone who you believe to be
be his stepmother.
Do you see her present in court today?
A. I don't.
Q. What time of day or evening was it when you actually executed this warrant?
A. It was night, about seven or eight at night, may
have been later than that.
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Q. Was Narcus Wesley placed under arrest at that time?
A. Yes, he was detained.
Q. And I won't go through all the details with you at this point, but was he read his Miranda rights and interviewed by Detective Weske at the time?
A. He was.
Q. And the biggest portion of that interview was
done in your presence, is that correct?
A. Uh-huh, yes.
Q. When that interviewed concluded, was Narcus Wesley transported to Henderson Police Department?
A. He was.

MS. KOLLINS: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Cross?
MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HARTSHORN
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. That bullet you found in the car?
A. Yes, sir .-

MS. KOLLINS: I'm going to object.
That mischaracterizes the testimony.
He didn't say he found it.
It was turned over to him.
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BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. The bullet in the car when you arrived at the

Warm Springs address that you later took into custody?
A. Yes.
Q. -- you said based on your training and experience you knew that to be a nine millimeter bullet?
A. I did I don't know much about guns. If I looked at that bullet, would I be able to determine what kind of bullet it was?
A. Yes.
Q. How would I do that?
A. If you read on the back of the casing, it says,

9 mm .
Q. Okay. Who was in charge of the interrogation of Mr. Wesley at the Gay Lane address, you or Mr. -- or Detective Weske?
A. Detective Weske.
Q. You were present when the search warrant was executed?
A. I was.
Q. Narcus Wesley was brought out in cuffs?
A. Yes.
Q. When Henderson SWAT executes a search warrant, they don't give pre-warning, right?
A. I don't know their procedures.
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Q. Do they tend to call about the search?
A. They generally will announce.
Q. Knock at the door when they are there?
A. Sometimes they do it on the bullhorn, depends on their tactics.

I'm not completely familiar with them.
Q. If people don't readily answer the door, what do they then do?

MS. KOLLINS: Objection.
Calls for speculation.
If he's not there for every warrant that is
executed, he doesn't know.
THE COURT: Don't speculate, but if you know, you can answer.

THE WITNESS: They open the door.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. And they go in?
A. Yes.
Q. And they take everybody there in custody?
A. Yes.
Q. And bring them outside of the house?
A. Not every time.

They do detain them, they exercise some kind of control over them?
A. Yes.
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Q. That happened in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And Narcus Wesley was brought outside?
A. He was.
Q. And soon thereafter you took custody of him,
along with Detective Weske?
A. I did.
Q. And who read him his what we call Miranda rights?
A. It was Detective Weske, and I don't believe he
read them.
Q. He told them to him?
A. Told him, yeah.
Q. And you have to do that before you question
somebody?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You have to do that before you question somebody in custody?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of those rights that you tell an
individual you want to question is that they have the
right to remain silent?
A. Yes.
Q. Which means, they don't have to talk to you?
A. Yes.
Q. You tell them they have a right for a lawyer to
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be present?
A. Yes.
Q. And if they can't afford a lawyer, they can still
have a lawyer present, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You tell them that anything they say can and will
be used against them in a Court of law?
A. Yes.
Q. And you -- all these things are said to Narcus?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were there for that?
A. I was.
Q. And you tell them that if they want to stop
answering questions at any time, they are allowed to do so?
A. Yes.
Q. And Narcus chose to talk to you?
A. He did.
Q. A lot of questions were asked to him?
A. Yes.
Q. He answered all of them?
A. Yes.

MR. LANDIS: Thank you, detective. I'll pass the witness. THE COURT: Redirect?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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MS, LUZAICH: Very briefly, Judge.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HARTSHORN

 BY MS. KOLLINS:Q. One of the reasons, Detective Hartshorn, that SWAT was involved in this is because of the nature of this offense that had occurred, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It was known that two weapons were used?
A. Yes.
Q. Those weapons had not been located yet?
A. No.

MR. BANKS: Can we approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)
BY MS. KOLLINS:
Q. Detective, would it be fair to say that up to until the point you get into a residence, and you separate everyone, you don't know whose involved in the crime you are investigating, and who is not?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that be part of the decision that goes into
detaining everyone in the home, at least temporarily?
A. Yes.
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Q. As soon as in this case you were able to figure out that stepmom wasn't involved, and the father of Narcus Wesley wasn't involved, you had them stay in a room, you didn't keep them cuffed, did you, you took everybody out of cuffs at some point, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you detained your suspect, Narcus Wesley, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But when he was first cuffed, he just had the plastic zip tie handcuffs, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And at some point you even let him out of those to speak to him, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that at a point in the investigation and execution of the search warrant where officers' safety has been preserved, if you will, I mean, there is no danger to anyone then?
A. Yes.
Q. So up until the point you are satisfied that
there is no weapons accessible within the house, you do detain everyone for officers' safety?
A. Yes.
Q. And also for the safety of the residence,
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|  | 133 |  | 135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | correct? | 1 | (Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | the presence of the jury.): |
| 3 | MS. KOLLINS: No more questions, Judge. | 3 | THE COURT: Anything we need to address before we |
| 4 | THE COURT: Anything else? | 4 | bring in the jury? |
| 5 | MR. LANDIS: Very briefly. | 5 | MR. LANDIS: Not from us. |
| 6 |  | 6 | MS. KOLLINS: I guess before you bring the jury |
| 7 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HARTSHORN | 7 | in, everything the State has marked has been admitted. |
| 8 | BY MR. LANDIS: | 8 | MS. LUZAICH: No, there is a photo I marked, but |
| 9 | Q. Did SWAT put those plastic handcuffs on them? | 9 | I don't need -- |
| 10 | A. They did. | 10 | THE CLERK: Except for 22 and 29. |
| 11 | Q. And when you and Detective Weske took custody of | 11 | MS. LUZAICH: Everything else has been admitted. |
| 12 | Narcus, it was clear they were painful to him? | 12 | (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | THE COURT: Okay. We are ready. |
| 14 | Q. They were cutting off the circulation? | 14 | Bring them in. |
| 15 | A. They were very tight. | 15 | (Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open |
| 16 | Q. And that's why you removed them? | 16 | court and in the presence of the jury.): |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the |
| 18 | Q. Even though you did remove them, he was still in | 18 | presence of jury? |
| 19 | your custody, not free to leave? | 19 | MR. LANDIS: Yes, Judge. |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge. |
| 21 | MR. LANDIS: Nothing further, Judge. | 21 | THE COURT: All right. The next witness for the |
| 22 | MS. KOLLINS: No redirect. | 22 | State. |
| 23 | THE COURT: You can go ahead and step down. | 23 | MS. LUZAICH: Detective Weske. |
| 24 | Thank you very much. I appreciate it. | 24 | THE COURT: This is your last witness, is that |
| 25 | THE COURT: Detective Weske is going to be a few | 25 | right? |
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|  | 134 |  | 136 |
|  | minutes. | 1 | MS. LUZAICH: Yes, sir, unless I change my mind. |
| 2 | Do you want to do direct and take a break and | 2 |  |
| 3 | have them do cross? | 3 | CURTIS WESKE, |
| 4 | MS. LUZAICH: That's fine. | 4 |  |
| 5 | We're going to play that tape as well. | 5 | who, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. | 6 | truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and |
| 7 | MR. BANKS: Judge, I think there may be some | 7 | testified as follows: |
| 8 | people that could use a restroom break at this time. | 8 | THE CLERK: Please be seated. |
| 9 | Let's take a ten minute break before we start. | 9 | Please state your full name, and spell your first |
| 10 | (Jury admonished by the Court.) | 10 | and last name for the record. |
| 11 | THE COURT: You got ten minutes. | 11 | THE WITNESS: My name is Curtis Allen Weske. |
| 12 | It's 25 till. Come back at quarter till. | 12 | My first name is $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{s}$, middle name |
| 13 | (Thereupon, the following proceedings were had | 13 | A-l-I-e-n, last name W-e-s-k-e. |
| 14 | out of the presence of the jury.): | 14 | THE COURT: Go ahead. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Do you guys think an hour? | 15 | ---- |
| 16 | MS. KOLLINS: At least, because the statements | 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CURTIS WESKE |
| 17 | probably 40 -- | 17 | BY MS. LUZAICH: |
| 18 | THE COURT: An hour and ten? | 18 | Q. Sir, what do you do for a living? |
| 19 | (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) | 19 | A. I work for the Henderson Police Department. |
| 20 | (Thereupon, a recess was had.) | 20 | Q. As? |
| 21 |  | 21 | A. As a detective. |
| 22 |  | 22 | Q. Does that mean you are also a police officer? |
| 23 |  | 23 | A. Yes, ma'am. |
| 24 |  | 24 | Q. How long have you been a police officer with the |
| 25 |  | 25 | City of Henderson? |
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A. Since August 30th of 1999.
Q. Prior to being a police officer with the City of Henderson, did you have any other law enforcement experience?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What was that?
A. In Juno, Alaska, I was police officer up there.
Q. For how long in June, Alaska?
A. Not quite five years.
Q. Okay. And after Juno, Alaska, did you come down here and get on with the City of Henderson?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. While you were in Alaska, what were your duties?
A. A smaller community, so I was a patrol officer, but basically dual, your investigations up there as a patrol officer up there, except for homicide and arson.
Q. When you came down for the City of Henderson, began to become a police officer there, where did you start there?
A. I was a patrol officer.
Q. And at some point did you become a detective?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. When was that about?
A. About four years ago.
Q. Okay. Now, is it different here in Henderson
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than it was in Juno, Alaska, in that patrol officers respond to calls, kind of figure out if a crime had occurred, and then call detectives in to do investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. And since you have been a detective, what areas
have you worked in?
A. A little bit of everything.

I first started out as with the ATF violent crime
task force.
Q. What is ATF?
A. Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, that is a federal agency.

Then from there I came back and went to property. I then from there went to robbery.
And then it kind of changed to a general
assignment.
And then major crimes.
And right now I'm in the Intel/ROP team, which is repeat offenders program, target repeat offenders.
Q. Okay. Taking you back to February of 2007, where were you assigned at that point?
A. Major crimes.
Q. As a major crimes detective, what were your responsibilities?
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A. Any crime basically less than homicide, attempt murder.

We go out, if something happened on patrol, something of a big magnitude, they call our sergeant, and then from there he assigned detectives, and if those detectives need more assistance, then we come out.
Q. Okay. And so on February -- Sunday night, February 18th, into Monday morning, February 19th of 2007, were you called to at least participate in an investigation regarding something that occurred at 690 Great Dane?
A. Yes, ma'am, I was.
Q. And did you go to the Henderson Police Department for a briefing?
A. No, no.
Q. Were you briefed by a sergeant regarding what had occurred?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go right to a residence where some of the
kids -- or where the kids already were?
A. The first place I went, right to the apartment complex, yes.
Q. On Warm Springs?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that be Crystal Creek Apartments?
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A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And when you got to the Crystal Creek Apartments, were there a bunch of other detectives there?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. And like a little bit of everybody was there?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there also patrol there?
A. Yes.
Q. And were there sergeants kind of divvying out tasks for individual detectives to do?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your first assigned task?
A. Sergeant Dunway kind of got everybody together in the parking lot, and for me was, that he kind of gave us a briefing of what happened, and he said -- one of the others that we went to was a bank, two banks over off of Eastern and St. Rose area, and further down I believe Silverado and Eastern area, so he assigned me to go to those two banks. He knew they weren't open, but to see if we could contact --find any members and contact them and see if we could get any photographs or something, say, hey, we need this.
Q. Okay. So what did you do in furtherance of getting those types of photos?
A. I went to the bank on St. Rose and Eastern, and I BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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believe it's a U.S. Bank, I'm not sure, and I'd have to look at my notes, but I went there, looked on the door, and I got the phone number and called, actually talked to, a person there, an answering service type guy, and told them that one of our victims had an account number, and this was about the time it went through ATM, and if you could just mark or freeze all lanes for photographs if you have them, and somebody would contact them.
Q. What time of day was it that you contacted the bank and left the message?
A. Probably about 4 or 5:00 in the morning.
Q. Okay. Did you contact two different banks?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Could one of them have been a Wells Fargo Bank?
A. Yes.
Q. Could the other one have been like a Bank of Nevada?
A. Yes.
Q. At some point did you actually get a phone call back from one of the banks?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you actually get a photograph from one of the banks?
A. Yes, I did.

MS. LUZAICH: May I approach?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: Sure.

## BY MS. LUZAICH:

Q. Showing you what has been marked as State's

Proposed Exhibit 29, which has been shown to counsel, do you recognize that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Is that a still photograph -- or a photograph that you received from the bank?
A. Yes.
Q. Which bank was it?
A. This is Wells Fargo on St. Rose and Eastern.

MS. LUZAICH: Move it into evidence.
MR. LANDIS: No objection.
THE COURT: That is admitted.
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. Thank you.

Now, you said when you contacted the bank, you let them know there was a particular account that was accessed, and did you say you gave them a time frame as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that something that you guys generally do, I mean, call them up and explain that, you know, you can access that kind of information?
A. Yes, this is the first time I've done it, but
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yeah, we worked that in robberies before, like ATM robberies and things like that.
Q. Now, when you were at the Crystal Creek Apartments, did you have occasion to see the kids that were there that were victims of the crimes?
A. Briefly.

I mean, there were some at the top of the stairs, and I believe they walked by.
Q. Okay. After contacting the banks, what did you do?
A. I was told then by Sergeant Dunway to meet over at 690 Great Dane.
Q. Did you go over to the Great Dane address?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And was it your purpose just to clear the residence so crime scene could get in?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you do that with Detective Hartshom?
A. Yes, and Sergeant Dunway.
Q. Okay. And did you guys also canvas the
neighborhood a little bit to see if you could figure out if anybody heard or saw anything?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. That didn't yield anything?
A. No.
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Q. What else did you do after leaving the 690 Great Dane address?
A. While we were there in the cul-de-sac, I believe it was Detective Hartshom, he looked on the internet for the Clark County Assessor's file for that address because some of the information that was passed on to us was, they went in there and asked for a Grant, so we were trying to see if a Grant lived there, so we found the owner of the house on Clark County Assessor's, and so we went to that residence that was listed for the owner, Victor Michlak I believe was the name, and that's where we ended up going.
Q. And did the owner of the 690 Great Dane address give you a name as to somebody who had previously been renting the house?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he also indicate that he knew there may have been a Grant there?
A. Yes.
Q. And the name of the person who was previously renting the house was?
A. Brandon Preston.
Q. Did you contact Brandon Preston?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you explain to him what you were
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investigating?
A. Over the phone I did not. I just told him we would like to talk to him.

He told is where he was, at a car dealership replacing wind shields, and once we went there, I did explain to him.
Q. You actually went and talked to Brandon Preston in person?
A. Yes.
Q. And you explained to him what had occurred?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he give you information about Grant?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. And in fact he was still living with Grant?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he tell you where he believed Grant would be at that moment?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that at their home?
A. Yes, their apartment.
Q. Did you go there?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And were you able to find Grant?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Was it a little difficult?
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A. Yes, it was.
Q. Did you have to go inside and kind of wake him up?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. While you were inside talking to Grant, did you also notice anything in the house?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you find?
A. A strong odor of what appeared to be fresh marijuana.
Q. Did you actually collect the marijuana?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you actually collect some of his money?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Was he still cooperative though?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. And what were you looking for from Grant?
A. I was looking for information, since these people went in the house and asked for Grant, looking for information did he know who would be coming to his house wanting to rob him, or you know, if he had any idea at all what was going on.
Q. Did he have -- or did you explain to him what the individuals who came to the house looking for him looked like?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
702.360 .4677

Fax 360.2844

Delarian Kameron Wilson?
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A. Yes.
Q. And based on what you told him, did he give you a name?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. What name did he give you?
A. He gave me a name of Kameron, which was Delarian Wilson.
Q. And did you also bring him down to the station?
A. Yes.
Q. While you were at the station, and had Delarian Kameron Wilson identified, did Detective Niswonger provide you with a photograph of the individual that became known to you as Delarian Kameron Wilson?
A. Yes, I believe it was a 2005 booking photo we had.
Q. A booking photo from a traffic offense at Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Grant identify that photograph?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. And did you -- or are you aware that it was put into a photo line-up and shown to some of the kids?
A. Yes, that's what they said.
Q. Maybe all of the kids.

Did you participate in then attempting to find
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A. At that point I was not, and we had other people out there looking for him at that point.
Q. Okay. I guess the better question might be, while you are doing all of the stuff that you are just telling us about, are you sharing information as to what you found with the other detectives who are participating in this investigation?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And are the other detectives who are participating in the investigation also sharing information with you?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it your understanding that some of the detectives had contacted -- Well, I'm sorry, just to backtrack one second, was it your understanding one of the cell phones from the kids was still missing?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it your understanding some other detectives had contacted that phone company to see if they could determine whether or not that phone was being used by the suspects?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it your understanding - or did they let you know they discovered the phone was being used in the vicinity of Circus Circus?
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A. Yes.
Q. And was it your understanding that detectives went to Circus Circus and actually located a room for Delarian Kameron Wilson and the person of Delarian Kameron Wilson?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you then get to go to Circus Circus and continue to participate?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. When you went to Circus Circus, what did you do?
A. At that point we went upstairs, met with the other officers already there in a room across from Delarian's.

Detective Pena was with the search warrant for the room of Delarian Wilson, so Detective Hartshorn and I left that once we went up there to that room and went downstairs, where they had Delarian detained down in the security office, so we went downstairs, and that's what myself and Detective Hartshorn did, we interviewed Delarian Wilson.
Q. I'm sorry.

Apparently I had it a little bit backwards.
So you went to the room that Delarian Wilson was registered in?
A. No, the room across is where all the narcotics -BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 150
We rent -- not rented a room -- They gave us a room directly across from Delarian while they were looking for him, to see if he would come back.

We knew he was at the blackjack table, so they still kept that room once they contacted Delarian Wilson and detained him because they didn't want anybody to go in that room while waiting for the search warrant.
Q. To physically arrive?
A. Right.

So at that point I did go upstairs from the room across from Delarian's, never went into Delarian's room.
Q. Okay. And while the officers were in the room across from Delarian Wilson's, obviously he never came back to the room?
A. Correct, they already had him detained.
Q. Okay. And so you said you did go downstairs and actually have personal contact with Delarian Kameron Wilson?
A. Yes, I did.

MS. LUZAICH: May I approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
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A. Yes, it is.
Q. And just for the record as well, does it say

February 27th, 2007 on that?
A. February 28th, 2007.
Q. That would have been long after you contacted him, correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And if people are switched from Henderson Jail to Clark County Jail, do they get re-booked into Clark County Jail?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. And is that Delarian Wilson, or is that how he looked when you meet him on February 19th of 2007?
A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. LUZAICH: Move it into evidence.
MR. LANDIS: No objection.
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A. Detective Hartshorn.
Q. Were you trying to find out not only what had occurred at the Great Dane address, but who was with him?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And were you aware that -- or were you told that some of the kids thought they heard the -- I'm sorry -Just to go back, would you agree that Delarian Kameron Wilson is somewhat stocky?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you aware that some of the kids said they thought they heard the stocky one call the other as yet unnamed suspect by a name?
A. Yes.

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
702.360 .4677
Q. And what was the name you thought?
A. They thought they heard the name Marcus.
Q. Okay.
A. That's what I understood.
Q. Okay. Did you actually get a name from Delarian

Wilson?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the name you got from Delarian Wilson?
A. Narcus, with an N.
Q. But only the first name, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He did not give you the last name?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Delarian Wilson in person appear to be fit enough to play college football?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did he talk to you about having played college football?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. And did you discover where Narcus knew Delarian

Wilson from?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was that?
A. He said they played football at UNLV.
Q. With that information, did you cause somebody to BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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go to UNLV and see if they could get any information
about a football player named Narcus?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you get information back with a last
name?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What was the last name?
A. Wesley.
Q. And was that from the athletic department at UNLV?
A. From my understanding, it was Detective Backal (Phonetic) said that's where he got it.
Q. Now, when you are at security talking to Delarian Wilson, we're into Monday, February 19th, correct?
A. Yes, later that evening.
Q. And after you spoke with Delarian Wilson, did you arrest him?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you have to transport him to the Henderson

Police Department?
A. We had somebody transport him, yes.
Q. Did you cause him to be transported?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you then go to the Henderson Police

Department and like do the booking process and all that?
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A. Yes, I did.
Q. Does that take quite sometime?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Do you know about when it was that you got finished with all of that?
A. I want to say I didn't probably get out of the office until 1 or 2:00 in the morning.
Q. So was it the next morning, Tuesday morning, that you were sharing the information about Narcus and UNLV football?
A. Yes.
Q. So was it on Tuesday that you got the information about Narcus Wesley?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you also get an address from UNLV?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the street?
A. Valley Drive.
Q. With the information, Narcus Wesley, and a Valley Drive address, what did you do?
A. With that information, what I did was, called Nevada Power and spoke to a Donna Lamont (Phonetic), and I said we wanted to see who had power at 2372 Valley Drive.

While she was checking it, I said, I'm looking BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
for the name of Narcus Wesley.
She then said, that address no longer has power.
Let me check something.
And then she gave me an address of I want to say 4232 Gay Avenue.
Q. When you had that conversation with her, is that something you could call up and ask for, or do you need a little bit more than, hey, I'm a police officer, I want to know this information?
A. I explained to her the situation, that was the second time I talked to her, and I told her the emergency and the circumstances of the situation, and she said, okay.
Q. But there was robbery and weapons and sexual assault and suspects at large?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you indicate to her that you were going to send an official subpoena to her for the information, but you just needed it quick, so that you could do something about it?
A. I explained to her we still had an outstanding person.
Q. Okay. And she gave you the Gay Lane address?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. And when you hung up with her, did you then cause BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360.4677

Certified Court Reporters
Fax 360.2844
a subpoena to be sent to her?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what did you do with the information that you had?
A. Immediately once I faxed the subpoena Detective Hartshorn and I immediately got in the vehicle and went to Gay Avenue.
Q. When you went there, what was your purpose?
A. To determine if that was where he was, where

Narcus Wesley was.
Q. Did you get information in addition to the name Narcus regarding a vehicle?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What information did you get regarding the vehicle?
A. That he was driving a white Chrysler 300.
Q. When you went to the Gay address, what if anything did you see?
A. A white Chrysler 300 parked in the driveway with an older GMC truck on the side.
Q. Did you stay at the Gay address for a period of time?
A. Approximately 20 to 30 minute.
Q. What was your purpose?
A. To see if we saw anybody coming and going from
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the house, and then we ran the registration on the vehicle.
Q. What did the vehicle registration indicate?
A. It came pack to Narcus Wesley, and then a female who I cannot remember.
Q. And in the 20 or 30 minutes that you sat there, did you see an individual who matched the description of Narcus Wesley coming -- or anywhere at all?
A. No.
Q. Do you know about what time of day it was that you and Detective Hartshorn went up there?
A. It was in the afternoon.
Q. So daylight?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you leave there and go somewhere else?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you go?
A. At that point I went back to the station, got a picture of Narcus, and I showed it to Delarian, and he said, that was him.

And immediately I went back to the station and started doing an affidavit and warrant for the residence.
Q. When you say, you got a picture of Narcus, was that the UNLV picture?
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A. I believe it was a DMV photo.
Q. Sorry. And you showed it to Delarian Wilson, who said, yeah, that's Narcus?
A. Yes.
Q. And you authored a search warrant for the residence at Gay Lane?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What were you looking for?
A. Looking for Narcus, and then clothes he would have been wearing, any money left over, a firearm, I believe we were still looking for condoms.
Q. Things that were taken from the robbery?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you get a -- or actually write a search warrant?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you get it signed by a Judge?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Now, is it your habit or practice to serve it yourself?
A. No, not in that circumstance, no.
Q. In what circumstance?
A. Whenever there is a violent crime like this, especially with a firearm being used, detectives don't BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677

Certified Court Reporters
Fax 360.2844
serve that, we get the SWAT team to serve that.
Q. Did you contact the SWAT team in advance?
A. Yes, I called Sergeant Hart.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. Because it takes a while for the SWAT team first of all to get their team members together, and not only their team members, they have alternates, so they do an outcall, then they have to have somebody go by and recon the residence and decide what their game plan is going to be, so I usually whenever I feel like I may have a search warrant even coming up in the next day or so, as soon as I know I usually give him a call and let them know, so they can be prepared.
Q. So you contact SWAT, and as far as you know do they go out to the house on Gay?
A. Yes.
Q. And conduct their recon, or whatever they do?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you get the search warrant signed and bring it to the house?
A. Yes.
Q. About what time was it that you went to the house?
A. I want to say right around 9 or 10 .

They were briefing close to the residence behind
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a bar, I can't remember, and there while they were doing the briefing, they sent Detective Hartshorn and myself to keep eyes on the house the give them updates if anybody is coming or leaving from the house, and then I believe they served it around 9 or 10:00
Q. Okay. And were you in the vicinity when they actually banged on the door and went in?
A. Yeah.

If I remember, the street kind of curves like this, I was on this curve here, and their house was over here, so in the line of vision.
Q. Did they let you know when the residence was safe for the rest of you to enter?
A. Yes.
Q. And did they actually let you know it was safe for you to enter?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, when you approached the residence, was somebody coming out?
A. Yes.

I pulled our vehicle up in front of the
residence, got out, was getting my stuff together, and that's when they brought out Narcus.
Q. When you say, they, who is they?
A. SWAT members.
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Q. And you kind of nodded over here.

Do you see the person that was brought out of the house here in court?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you describe where he's sitting, and what
he's wearing?
A. Sitting at the Defendant table in the middle, in like a tannish gray suit coat, cream colored shirt, multi-colored tie.

MS. LUZAICH: May the record reflect
identification of the Defendant?
THE COURT: Yes, the record will so show.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. When SWAT was bringing the Defendant out of the house, was it just him?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he dressed?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what he was dressed in?
A. I think he had shorts on and a T-shirt.
Q. It's February, so it's kind of chilly, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he -- Was he cuffed?
A. Yeah, he had the zip tie cuffs on.
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Q. What are zip ties, for those of the jurors who don't know?
A. Basically they are all set up. They have like two holes in them, and they just put them over their hands and pull them tight like you would around a garbage bag.

It's easy for the SWAT team members to have them hooked to their belt, and they don't know how many people they are going to encounter, so instead of having three or four sets of handcuffs, they zip tie them to detain them, and that's pretty much what they do.
Q. They are plastic?
A. Yes.
Q. And disposable?
A. Yes.
Q. So he was in zip ties when he was brought out by SWAT?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. What if anything did you do with him?
A. At that point at the rear of the vehicle I saw he was in zip ties and checked him, and they were pretty tight, so I asked Detective Hutchison if he had any cutters. He did, and we sat there trying to cut those things off, and trying to get him out, and have a little conversation about how tight they were, and got them off BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters 702.360.4677
him, asked if he was all right.
He said, yes.
Q. You were trying to make him more comfortable?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. When you brought him towards your car, did you go into the house at that point?
A. No, ma'am, I didn't.
Q. So you never made it in until later?
A. Correct.
Q. Was Detective Hartshorn with you outside?
A. Off and on.

He was with me in the beginning and then --
Q. That's what I meant, when the Defendant was brought out of the house, Detective Hartshorn was with you?
A. Him and detective Hutchison.
Q. And Detective Hutchison, who works with you --
A. Yes.
Q. Did you then have a conversation with the

Defendant?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Where did that conversation take place?
A. It started in the back of the vehicle, and he said he was cold, so we turned on the car heat, and then he went into the back seat.
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He sat there, and I had the door open, and I was talking to him while he was sitting there.
Q. Okay. Is that when that conversation -- was that conversation tape recorded?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Now, when that conversation was done, did you bring him into the house?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Was that the first time that you entered the house?
A. Yes, ma'am, it was.
Q. When you entered the house with him, was Detective Hartshorn with you, or was he already inside?
A. I believe he came in with me.

At that point I think Detective Hutchison was the one on the inside. I can't remember for sure.

I know I handcuffed him before we went in.
He wasn't cuffed when he was in the car, and I handcuffed him, and we went in.
Q. Why did you handcuff him when you brought him in?
A. He wasn't cuffed, and I explained to him, you are kind of a big guy, and at least -- and there is no way I can catch you.
Q. And when you went inside the house, was the tape recorder still running?
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A. Yes.
Q. Does the tape actually record what was happening in the house?
A. Yes.
Q. Did something happen -- or when you went into the house, did you discover there were other people inside the house besides the police?
A. Yes.
Q. Who else was in the house?
A. Narcus' father.
Q. You are pointing.

Do you see him here in court?
A. Yes, he's behind Narcus.
Q. Okay.
A. Dressed in blue, I believe, a blue shirt.
Q. Who else was there?
A. His stepmother -- or I assumed it was his mother, but I didn't realize until later it was his stepmother.
Q. Okay. And how were they dressed?
A. I believe they were in there pajamas, so --
Q. It was pretty clear they were woken up?
A. Yes.
Q. Both his stepmother and his dad?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you discover something while you

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677

Certified Court Reporters
Fax 360.2844
were in the house at some point?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you discover?
A. A rifle.
Q. Information, did you discover some information?
A. I'm sorry, yes.

When I gave him the search warrant, he said that the part where I put the power was in Narcus Wesley's name was not in fact Narcus Wesley, his father's name is
-- Narvus (Phonetic) name, it was actually in Narvus'
name.
Q. Was he pretty upset about that, Mr. Wesley?
A. Yes, he appeared so.
Q. Was there actually some conversation about the search warrant before they saw it?

MR. LANDIS: Judge, I'm going to object to
relevance.
THE COURT: Come here.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and
Counsel at sidebar.)
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. Did detectives search the house?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you looking for items that were used in the robbery?
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A. Yes.
Q. And at the conclusion of your time at the house, was the Defendant arrested?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. And was he taken to the Henderson Police

Department?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. And did you have some conversation on the ride to the Henderson Police Department as well?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And was that tape recorded also?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. You read the Defendant his rights pursuant to

Miranda before you started any conversation outside?
A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
Q. And just because a lot of people don't
understand, there is a lot of conversation about what had occurred at the Great Dane house, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And there was some conversation about a lick?
A. Yes.
Q. For those who don't know, can you describe what is a lick?
A. A lick is a derogatory term used for a robbery, committing a robbery.
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1 A lot of times they will say, you want to go do a lick, or let's do a lick, and that means a robbery.
Q. Is that people who participate in robberies that use that term?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And police officers know about it because of that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. The conversations between yourself and the Defendant were tape recorded you said?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you cause a copy of that to be given to me?
A. Yes.
Q. On a disk?
A. Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: For the record, Your Honor, I have
State's Proposed Exhibit 43, and I would move it into evidence, and I am a going to ask to play it.

THE COURT: Any objections?
MR. LANDIS: We don't have an objection.
THE COURT: 43 is admitted.
Go ahead and publish.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you want to play it, or take a recess?

MR. BANKS: Court's pleasure.
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THE COURT: Play it.
(State's Exhibit Number 43 is now played for the jury.)

MS. LUZAICH: For the record, I made an extra copy for the Court Reporter, and I have copies for everybody to follow along.

I ask the copy be made next proposed in order for the record.

THE COURT: 45.
MS. LUZAICH: And I have one for the Court.
MR. BANKS: May I approach with Ms. Luzaich?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: We'll make a record.
These are for the purposes of just following along on the $C D$.

As soon as we get done, we're going to collect these back.

When the jury deliberates, they will have the CD, not transcript.

Furthermore, Bill, you are not transcribing the CD.

Go ahead.
(The CD, State's Exhibit 43, is now played for
BUL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360.4677

Certified Court Reporters
Fax 360.2844
the jury.)
MS. LUZAICH: If I can be on the record for one second, the transcript indicates this initial
conversation about the zip ties is you and Detective Hartshorn.

Is the cutting of the zip ties actually
Hartshorn, or is it somebody else?
THE WITNESS: It's Detective Hutchison.
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. And he only participated in the cutting of the zip ties.

Does Detective Hutchison walk away as soon as the zip ties are off?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And then it would be you and Detective Hartshorn, or just you with the Defendant?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: There is no guarantee we'll get this thing to work.

MS. LUZAICH: It's worth a try.
THE COURT: All right. Let's take a five-minute break. Hopefully, it will be five minutes.
(The jury is admonished by the Court.)
THE COURT: Leave your transcripts there on the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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chair.
(Jury excused from the courtroom.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Bring them in now.
Hang on.
Get them lined up.
Are we going to mark this?
MS. LUZAICH: It needs to be marked and can be a Court's exhibit just for purposes of appeal, nothing for the jury.

THE COURT: It's still not given to the jurors.
MS. LUZAICH: Right.
If you want to mark it as a Court's Exhibit 1, that is fine.

THE COURT: Okay. For the record, the Court is going the mark this copy of the transcript as Court's Exhibit 1.

It's not going to be admitted as an evidentiary item, nor will it be going back to the deliberation room with the jurors.

MS. LUZAICH: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. KOLLNS: While we are doing that, do you want to clean up $A$ and $B$ ?
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|  | 173 |  | 175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE CLERK: Let's cover Defense -- | 1 | to effectively do that I have to somehow deflect his |
| 2 | MR. LANDIS: A, B and C. | 2 | interest in that rifle. |
| 3 | MS. LUZAICH: Those are items that were entered | 3 | Here's my fear: |
| 4 | into evidence at the evidentiary hearing. | 4 | My fear is, to point at the ex-felon who lives in |
| 5 | THE COURT: A, B and C are not evidence items | 5 | the house, who is sitting in this courtroom, and that is |
| 6 | from this trial. | 6 | Mr. Wesley's father, Narvus Wesley, I got a problem |
| 7 | MS. LUZAICH: Correct. | 7 | doing that because my hands are tied, and he is a client |
| 8 | THE COURT: They were from the evidentiary | 8 | of the Clark County Public Defenders office. |
| 9 | hearing we had prior to the start of this trial. | 9 | This is the particular and precise issue that |
| 10 | So the two items that have been admitted, marked | 10 | I've addressed with counsel. It's been my fear that if |
| 11 | and admitted, are Defendant's Exhibits D and E, which | 11 | this, God forbid, comes into evidence, which it now has, |
| 12 | are those first two exhibits. | 12 | and that's why I've tried to give everybody a heads up |
| 13 | So for purposes of this trial, $A, B$ and $C$ are not | 13 | numerous times, if it comes into evidence, I got a real |
| 14 | evidence in this trial. | 14 | problem, my stomach is now in knots because we've got a |
| 15 | MS. LUZAICH: And don't go back to the jury? | 15 | gun found at my client's residence, and I got no way |
| 16 | THE COURT: Don't go back to the jury, to make | 16 | that I can defend that without throwing my other client, |
| 17 | sure everybody understands that. | 17 | Narvus Wesley, under the bus. That is a problem. That |
| 18 | Okay? | 18 | is a problem that I've made clear from jump street. |
| 19 | MS. KOLLINS: The clerk's minutes could just | 19 | It is so prejudicial in a case like this for it |
| 20 | reflect that in case there is a a different clerk | 20 | to be hanging out there that my client Narcus Wesley |
| 21 | tomorrow, so everyone understands when it comes time for | 21 | does have access to some kind of a gun when our defense |
| 22 | deliberation. | 22 | is, he didn't have a gun at any time during the entire |
| 23 | Thank you. | 23 | episode at any time material to this case, and I think |
| 24 | THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? | 24 | we all agree that execution of the search warrant is |
| 25 | MR. BANKS: A motion based on some testimony | 25 | certainly material to this case. I think it's so |
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| 1 | elicited from Detective Weske. | 1 | prejudicial that no corrective or curative instruction |
| 2 | THE COURT: What is that? | 2 | under the sun with the way the state of the evidence as |
| 3 | MR. BANKS: It might take a couple of minutes. | 3 | it is now, no curative instruction under the sun is |
| 4 | THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. | 4 | going to undue the damage that is now done, which is my |
| 5 | MR. BANKS: My preference would be Detective | 5 | client now has access to a gun in his residence. |
| 6 | Weske wait in the ante room while I make my motion. | 6 | Mr. Landis and I are precluded because of Costa |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. We have them lined up. | 7 | (Phonetic) versus State from -- adequately exercising |
| 8 | This will only take a second. | 8 | the Sixth Amendment rights of confrontation for Narcus |
| 9 | (Witness excused from the courtroom.) | 9 | Wesley. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 10 | I think a mistrial is the only appropriate remedy |
| 11 | MR. BANKS: Judge, I've been scared to death of | 11 | because there is no way Narcus is getting a fair trial |
| 12 | this issue for this entire trial, and so scared to death | 12 | with that sort of prejudicial testimony that is now in |
| 13 | of this issue that I actually mentioned it to Miss | 13 | evidence now before this jury. |
| 14 | Kollins during jury selection, and mentioned it to Miss | 14 | THE COURT: The motion for a mistrial is denied. |
| 15 | Luzaich during jury selection. I mentioned it again I | 15 | First of all, everybody that has heard this case |
| 16 | believe it was Friday, last week Friday. We have | 16 | so far understands without any question that whatever |
| 17 | actually had conferences at the bench about it. | 17 | Narcus supposedly had in his hand was certainly not a |
| 18 | And that is, that there was a rifle that was | 18 | rifle, number one. |
| 19 | found pursuant to the search warrant at the Gay Lane | 19 | Number two, I think that under the circumstances |
| 20 | address. Here's my concern: | 20 | a curative instruction, and I'll do it however you so |
| 21 | This is the concern that I've had all along. My | 21 | choose, to simply from the Court explain to the jury in |
| 22 | concern is, that to effectively represent Narcus as far | 22 | a rifle found at the Defendant's dad's house, no one |
| 23 | as this rifle, or at least try to exercise his Sixth | 23 | claims that the Defendant had or exercised any control |
| 24 | Amendment rights pursuant to the U.S. Constitution to | 24 | or ownership whatsoever in regards to that rifle, and I |
| 25 | confront what is now testimony in evidence, to be able | 25 | think that I don't even quite understand how this can |
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possibly even relate to the Defendant.
No mention has ever been made of a rifle used in the crime, and it's quite clear that this is not just the Defendant's residence, it's his dad's, his dad and his stepmother's residence where he is found, where it was located.

I don't think anybody can impute anything whatsoever, any ownership of this rifle to the Defendant, and I will give an instruction however you want.

If you want me to simply not even bring it up, if you want me to explain to the jury that under no one's theory does anyone assert that the Defendant owned or controlled or in any way asserted any ownership or control over the rifle that was mentioned during the course of the execution of the search warrant, but other than that there is absolutely nothing that gives rise to a mistrial under these circumstances.

Anything you want to say?
MS. LUZAICH: No, I agree with the Court.
What I would have offered was, the rifle was actually kind of like in the garage in a corner, you know, just off somewhere. I would have offered to have the officer testify that it was near the Defendants desk in the house in a corner somewhere, and nobody has any BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 178
reason to believe the Defendant had anything to do with the rifle, or that he ever exercised any control over it, if the Defense wants.

If they want to leave it alone, that's fine.
If they want to give a curative instruction,
that's fine too.
But I do agree with the Court, a rifle, it's
three feet, handgun six inches.
THE COURT: Right.
Tell me what you want me to do.
I will do this:
I will let you draft, if you so choose, a curative instruction, and then I will read it to the jury, however you want to do it.

MR. BANKS: I appreciate the consideration, Your Honor.

The pickle that I'm in is --
THE COURT: The other part, just to touch real briefly, the fact that Wesley's -- or Narcus's dad has been a client of the Public Defenders office, first of all I think his case is quite old.

MR. BANKS: No, Your Honor.
So the record is perfectly clear, Narvus Wesley
was a client of the Public Defenders office regarding the rifle that Weske just testified to. The case was BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
dismissed.
MS. LUZAICH: A private attorney handled that, not the PDs office.

MR. BANKS: It was Christopher Jones from the Public Defenders office that handled it.

MS. LUZAICH: How did you let that happen?
MR. BANKS: I didn't let it happen.
MS. LUZAICH: The collective you, not you personally.

MR. BANKS: It's not a conflict, unless somebody comes in and testifies to it, and that is why I have been very clear that this is inadmissible evidence.

THE COURT: This is the first that I have ever been informed there was ever even a criminal charge with regards to his father in reference to the rifle that was mentioned as being found during the course of that search warrant. I was not aware of that.

MS. KOLLINS: Doesn't that speak for itself?
It's not imputable to the Defendant's possession if the dad was prosecuted for it.

THE COURT: And the case was dropped.
MS. LUZAICH: It was submitted, stay out of trouble, forfeit.

MR. BANKS: But everybody sees my problem.
Right, or not?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: At least it's not the fact you represented his dad 15 years ago, and on something else, which is what I thought. I had no idea there was a recent criminal charge. It doesn't change anything.

Nobody is certifying that Narcus had anything to do whatsoever with the rifle.

MS. LUZAICH: Correct.
THE COURT: I mean, it should be made perfectly clear.

I think that is more than adequate.
As I said, I'll let you draft it if you want.
If you don't want to say anything, I won't say a word.

If you want me to ad lib some type of an admonishment or instruction, as I indicated, or if you want me to wait and let you draft it, I'll read it, however you want.

MR. BANKS: Well, I'm thinking, Judge, because now I'm weighing the interests of more than the client I have sitting at the defense table, I'm now weighing the interest of another client who was actually prosecuted for possession of that --

MS. LUZAICH: It's been dismissed, so there is no interest.

MR. BANKS: There is interests.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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It's something I'm going to have to discuss with Mr. Landis.

THE COURT: Okay. We will address it whenever and however you want to have it handled.

MS. KOLLINS: The State of the record right now is, it is just a rifle that is innocuous, could be a hunting rifle in the garage with like camping equipment. It's innocuous how it appears in the record right now.

THE COURT: It's even less innocuous than that.
It's just a rifle found in the course of, that's
it, period.
MR. BANKS: Court's indulgence.
MR. BANKS: Judge, if this issue had come up pretrial, and we knew we were going to have to contend with this rifle evidence in this trial, I think it's safe to say that the Defense at that point if the evidence was coming in would have declared a conflict and moved to withdraw from the case on the grounds that with that evidence coming in before a jury we can't adequately represent Narcus and challenge that effectively.

I think that's where we are now that that has
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come in, and at this point I submit to the Court I think we have a conflict in light of this evidence, perhaps our office withdrawing, and somebody to represent Mr. Wesley be appointed.

Perhaps dismissal of the charges are appropriate, in light of what has transpired today.

THE COURT: There is not going to be a mistrial.
You are not going to get off of this case.
There is no conflict, and we're proceeding.
As far as the issue of how you want to handle the comment by the Detective Weske about finding the rifle during the course of the execution of the search warrant, I'll handle it how ever you want.

If you want me to tell them, nobody even remotely asserts that Narcus owned or controlled or exercised any control over that rifle whatsoever, I'll be more than happy to do that however you want to do it.

MR. BANKS: Yes, sir.
And as to that issue, like I said, Mr. Landis and I will have to confer a little bit more.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Bring them in.

Certified Court Reporters
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(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. LANDIS: Yes, Judge.
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: All right.
MS. LUZAICH: For the record, Detective Weske is back on the stand.

THE COURT: Okay. Detective Weske's back on the witness stand.

You are still under oath.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, I think we have the CD all ready to go.

So are we ready?
MS. LUZAICH: I think so.
(Now State's Exhibit Number 43, the CD, is played for the jury from page 3.)

MR. BANKS: Can we approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes.
Stop the CD.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: Don't read anymore of the transcript until we tell you.

Leave it alone.
We're going to start right from there.
Just a second.
I need to explain to the members of the jury that this is called a curative instruction.

Detective Weske's mentioned a rifle was found during the execution of the search warrant. I need to explain to the members of the jury that the rifle that was found belonging to the father, was in the garage, has absolutely nothing to do with this case.

No one has ever asserted that the Defendant in this case owned or exercised any control whatsoever over that rifle.

But for an inadvertent statement by the detective, there wouldn't even be a discussion.

It has nothing to do with this case, so just disregard that completely.

All right. Let's go back.
We're on page four, aren't we?
Go ahead and start.
(Continuation of the exhibit now played for jury.)

MS. LUZAICH: Detective, just for the record, BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters 702.360.4677 Fax 360.2844
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|  | 5 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. LUZAICH: Okay. | 1 | number written on it, instead of the sticker. |
| 2 | (Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open | 2 | Thank you. |
| 3 | court and in the presence of the jury.): | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the | 4 | MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. |
| 5 | presence of the jury? | 5 |  |
| 6 | MR. LANDIS: Yes, Judge. | 6 | CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CURTIS WESKE |
| 7 | MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge. | 7 | BY MS. LUZAICH: |
| 8 | THE COURT: All right. Does the State want to | 8 | Q. Okay. Detective Weske, we just listened to a |
| 9 | call the next witness? | 9 | significant period of time of a conversation interview |
| 10 | Should we have Detective Weske sworn back in | 10 | you and Detective Hartshorn had with the Defendant, and |
| 11 | again? | 11 | just for the record, would you agree that it's at times |
| 12 | It's been overnight. | 12 | very difficult to understand what the Defendant was |
| 13 | MS. LUZAICH: You can if you want. | 13 | saying? |
| 14 | That is fine. | 14 | A. Yes, |
| 15 | THE COURT: Let's go ahead. | 15 | Q. Did the Defendant's story kind of evolve over |
| 16 |  | 16 | time of your interview from, I know nothing, I have no |
| 17 | CURTIS WESKE, | 17 | idea why you are here, to well, okay, maybe I touched |
| 18 |  | 18 | her? |
| 19 | who, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and | 20 | Q. Initially did he indicate that he didn't even |
| 21 | testified as follows: | 21 | know a Kameron? |
| 22 | THE CLERK: You may be seated. | 22 | A. No, he initially said he didn't know why we were |
| 23 | Please state your name for the record. | 23 | there, and then I said, let me mention one name, |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Curtis Allen Weske. | 24 | Kameron, and I believe that's when he said -- |
| 25 | THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to | 25 | Q. He said, I know of a Kameron? |
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|  | 6 |  | 8 |
|  | resume right where we left off yesterday. | 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | So we have a DVD that is going to start I think | 2 | Q. He didn't say, I know Kameron? |
| 3 | the transcript on page 31, so we should be able to start | 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | playing it and follow right along, right? | 4 | Q. And then that evolved to Kameron called him, and |
| 5 | MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge. | 5 | they were going to get smoke, right? |
| 6 | For the record, I've had it marked as State's | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Proposed Exhibit 43-A. All it contains is the last | 7 | Q. That would be marijuana? |
| 8 | track of the interview. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | I explained that to Defense counsel, and I would | 9 | Q. He told you that -- The Defendant told you he |
| 10 | move 43-A into evidence. | 10 | didn't have a gun, correct? |
| 11 | MR. LANDIS: We have no objection. | 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | THE COURT: 43-A is admitted then. | 12 | Q. The other person did? |
| 13 | Go ahead and start. | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | (State's Exhibit Number 43-A is now played for | 14 | MR. LANDIS: Judge, I'm going to object to |
| 15 | the jury.) | 15 | leading in these questions. |
| 16 | (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) | 16 | THE COURT: It is, but we have already heard and |
| 17 | THE COURT: Just kind of pass those transcripts | 17 | read all this, but go ahead. |
| 18 | down, and Joe can pick them up. | 18 | Just don't suggest your answer. |
| 19 | MS. LUZAICH: For the record, the marshal is | 19 | MS. LUZAICH: Okay. |
| 20 | collecting all of the transcripts from the jury. | 20 | BY MS. LUZAICH: |
| 21 | THE COURT: We're going to put the evidence | 21 | Q. Did he indicate during that interview these kids |
| 22 | sticker on the envelope. | 22 | were actually laughing and giggling at a point? |
| 23 | THE CLERK: And then I'll write the number on the | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | CD. | 24 | Q. Did he tell you that at one point he didn't touch |
| 25 | MS. LUZAICH: I think the actual 43 has the |  | anybody? |
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A. Yes.
Q. Then ultimately after being confronted with things, did he admit he touched Danielle?
A. Yes.
Q. Although he did not mention her by name, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But as far as you know, she was the only girl
there?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, throughout the course of that interview did you ever hear him once say the name Grant?
A. I don't believe so.

There was two interviews quite lengthy, so I'm trying to recall, but I don't believe he said, Grant.
Q. You did, but he never said, Grant?
A. Right.
Q. And he never actually used the name Kameron, that Kameron did anything?
A. Correct.
Q. Correct.

Did he agree it was Kameron's idea to leave
though, or his friend's idea to leave?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he indicate that the girl actually didn't
mind that he touched her, he asked her, and she didn't
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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mind?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he actually tell you that she asked him to give her a hand and help her up?
A. Yes, at one point when she was on the stairs he said she requested him to help her up.
Q. Did he ever tell you he was afraid of Kameron?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever give you any indication that he was
afraid of Kameron?
A. No, he did not.
Q. In fact, did he say the reason he touched her was
he didn't want to be a punk?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. What does that mean?
A. It means, he just didn't want to seem like he wasn't up for it, you know.

MR. BANKS: Judge, objection.
Speculation.
MS. LUZAICH: That's fine.
MR. BANKS: That's not even close to what he
said.
MS. LUZAICH: That's fine.
THE COURT: Okay.
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BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. When you were asking him about what was going on while his friend was at the ATM with one of the kids in the house, did he indicate to you, I didn't say nothing the whole time?
A. Yes.
Q. And then shortly thereafter did he say, well, I asked them where is the closest store?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he say at one point he thought it was funny what was going on?
A. Yes, he said he thought it was funny, and it was like being in the movies.
Q. You asked him when his friend was gone at the ATM if he stuck around, did he agree with you?
A. Yes.
Q. And that when -- Did he indicate why he stayed?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. What was that?
A. He said he was just dressed in a T-shirt, and it was cold outside, and he didn't know where he was, so if he had to call somebody, where would he tell them to come pick him up.
Q. There was six kids -- or five kids on the floor
that knew where they were though, right?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES $\quad 702.360 .4677$
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A. Yes.
Q. After you -- I'm sorry -- Before you transported him to jail, you mentioned you guys served a search warrant looking for money from the robbery, or a gun from the robbery and clothes.

Did you actually find the clothes that the
Defendant wore during the robbery?
A. Yes, I believe Detective Hartshorn --
Q. Impounded them?
A. -- impounded those clothes.
Q. Did the Defendant indicate those were the clothes he wore during the robbery?
A. Yes, I believe they brought him back to the room, he identified them.

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
I have nothing else.
THE COURT: Cross?
MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CURTIS WESKE

BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Detective, are you familiar with the phrase,
snitches get stitches?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that mean?

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Q. What is the very last thing we hear you say on that tape?
A. Are you going to have a problem with Kameron in here.
Q. And that was directed at Narcus?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to go back to when you first got involved in this case.

You arrived at Crystal Creek at about 3:10 a.m.?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. By that time Officer Slattery had already been there?
A. I believe so.

I don't know all the officers that were there.
Q. Some beat cops had already been there?
A. Yes.
Q. They were the first to arrive?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. They talked to the six kids?
A. I believe so.
Q. We can assume when an officer was found, they talked to the people who called, right?
A. The responding officer, yes, but I don't know if BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES $\quad 702.360 .4677$ Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
it was Officer Slattery or who it was.
Q. The first officers who arrived talked to the six kids?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. By the time you got there there was some other detectives already there?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. When you arrived, they were in the process, maybe had completed something, but were interviewing these people?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And in the process -- Were they finished, some finished, some still going on, do you remember?
A. I believe they were still in the process.
Q. We know that before -- those first officers
arrived, whoever they were, there was an amount of time when the kids were at the Great Dane residence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. They left the Great Dane residence, went to the Crystal Creek Apartments?
A. Yes.
Q. And there was an amount of time before those officers arrived when they awaited at the Crystal Creek Apartments?

## A. Yes, sir.
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A. A little bit, yes, sir.
Q. You were given some background what they thought had occurred?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You found out that these six kids were telling the very similar story?
A. I didn't know at that time it was all six kids, but yes.
Q. You were told a singular story?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And that story was that, two black men came to the door?
A. Yes.
Q. Asking for a man named Grant?
A. Yes.
Q. Descriptions of the two men weren't that
specific, they weren't that great, you would agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. One was described as a shorter and stockier one?
A. Yes, I believe wearing something with leather A
on it.
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Q. And all six agreed one was short or stockier?
A. I believe so.
Q. You didn't hear anything different?
A. No, sir.
Q. And one of them was -- I think they were
describing him as taller and thinner?
A. Yes.
Q. You learned that that shorter stockier one who may have had a black T-shirt with an A on it was more in control?
A. I heard he was the one that asked for Grant, and he's the one that went to the ATM.
Q. He did more of the talking?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He's the one who demanded the money?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Demanded the debit cards?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. As you said, he is the one who actually went to the ATM with one of the kids?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. When they returned from the ATM, it was the shorter stockier one who started with the comment that, we're 90 percent done, we have ten percent to 90 ?
A. I believe so.
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I'd have to look at my notes.
Q. And he began ordering two of the kids to perform sex acts on one another?
A. I don't recall who was the one that ordered them because I'm going through the interviews, and they are both saying each other did it.
Q. I'm talking right now just about that morning at Crystal Creek Apartments.
A. Right.

And I don't think we had all that information at that time.

We were just told that this is what happened a robbery, sexual assault, and they didn't go into details of who did what at that point.

As soon as I got there, I was sent off to the banks.
Q. Some details, but not a lot?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Not that night, but eventually later, after you
did some further investigation, you learned that the
individual who was described as the shorter stockier one
was Delarian Wilson?
A. Yes, sir.

When you say, that night, because it was early in the morning, so --
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
Fax 360.2844
18
Q. I'm not trying to trick you.
A. No, no, I understand.
Q. The people in that house, the one described as the shorter stockier one, you later discovered to be Delarian Wilson?
A. Yes, during that day.
Q. And the taller skinnier one who was in that house that night you later discovered to be Narcus Wesley?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Through the course of your employment, both as a detective and previously as an officer, you interviewed a lot of victims?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. It's your bread and butter in a lot of ways, how a case will start?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. A lot of times, especially when you deal with violent crimes, these people are frightened when you talk to them?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. A lot of times they have a lot of stress on their shoulders?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. A lot of times they are still startled from what
just occurred?
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A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you would agree that because of these factors sometimes these people have a hard time remembering things accurately?
A. I think there is some things.

We've been trained that when you are in shock, you slowly remember things later sometimes.

Sometimes they do.
I can't say, all of them.
Q. Sometimes we can agree, detective, that
perceptions aren't a hundred percent accurate based on those factors?
A. Yes.
Q. We can also agree that if this were a perfect word, the police would be able to talk to every single victim -- or every single victim of a crime immediately thereafter?
A. Yes.
Q. Before they talked to anybody else?
A. Yes, I would agree with that.
Q. Rarely the case?
A. That we get to talk to --
Q. To witnesses or victims of crimes immediately after it happens, before they have the opportunity to talk to anybody else?
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A. I wouldn't say, rarely, but yeah, more commonly.
Q. There is usually a lag time between the crime and
when you guys have the opportunity to get there?
A. Yes, if --
Q. Because they have to call the police, the police have to respond?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. One of the reasons that you would prefer people to not talk to one another before you get there is that there is a danger that in talking to one another they may adopt each other's perceptions?
A. Correct.
Q. And you want that to be avoided?
A. Correct.
Q. You want to hear what they saw and what they saw alone?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How tall is Narcus Wesley?
A. Approximately six foot.
Q. Would looking at an incident report in the case refresh your recollection?
A. Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: Looking at what?
I'm sorry.
MR. LANDIS: An incident report.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677

Certified Court Reporters

## BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES


THE COURT: Well --

```

MS. LUZAICH: He hasn't laid a foundation for his training and experience.

MR. LANDIS: I'll be happy to do so.
THE COURT: Can you answer that or not?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- You would have to define
what recreational and major drug dealer means.
THE COURT: Explain what you mean.
MR. LANDIS: I was just using the State's terms they used earlier, Judge.

THE COURT: That is true.
I don't know who said that, but that was a term
that was used.
MS. KOLLINS: I said it.
He had an objection.
MR. LANDIS: I didn't have an objection to it.
I'm just trying to make clear what it is.
THE COURT: I'm not sure we have a definition,
but I'll let you ask the question.
That was terminology used.
Go ahead.
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BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Briefly, you dealt with a lot of drug dealers?
A. I wouldn't say, a lot.
Q. You have arrested some drug dealers?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You confiscated drugs in your area as a police officer?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you would agree that some people sell a bag of marijuana and another bag of marijuana here and there?
A. Yes.
Q. And then there is some people who make a living off selling marijuana?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it be fair to term the person who sells the bag of marijuana here and there a recreational drug dealer?
A. I guess that would be fair.

I mean, I guess I would have to look at his O sheets. I never found any \(O\) sheets to see how often he sells, or what he does with that money, or he's working it.
Q. Well, when you talked to Grant, he told you a few things about his dealings in marijuana?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Q. And that he's been ripped off of thousands of dollars before in bad drug deals?
A. I don't think he said thousands of dollars, but he told me about one time when he was ripped off for around a thousand dollars.
Q. Based on that information, based on the \(\$ 7,000\) you seized from him, would it be your conclusion as an officer that he deals a bag of marijuana here and there, or it's more of a career, or was more of a career the time you met him?
A. I would.

MS. LUZAICH: I'm sorry.
Objection.
Simply based on the fact there was some money and pot there, you can't form that conclusion.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the objection.

The detective interviewed with him and
confiscated it.
If he has an opinion on it, you are asking for an opinion basically, if he has one, I'll let him give it.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't know. I can't honestly say whether it was a career or not.

He said some of the money belonged to his brother for gambling.

He said he was working -- or starting a job at Hard Rock.

I mean, according to the information we had, he did deal marijuana.

So I don't know if that was his soul earnings, and that's what he lived on.

I was told he worked -- or was working at Hard Rock.

So you might be doing stuff out of there too.
So I can't say that was his sou' earnings.
He had a job too, but I would say he did more
than a bag here and there, yes, sir.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Very briefly, then we'll leave it.

All that \(\$ 7,000\) you seized, you gave some of it back to him?
A. I gave \(\$ 900\) he said was I believe his brother's.
Q. You determined that the remainder of that amount was marijuana proceeds, and that's why you seized it?
A. Yes.
Q. You also learned from Grant that he knew who was
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at the Great Dane residence that night?
A. He had an idea, yes, sir.
Q. He had a pretty good idea?
A. Yes.
Q. And that individual was Kam Wilson?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And he knew it was Kam Wilson because Kam Wilson
robbed him before?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And he described that robbery to you?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. And it was armed?
A. Yes.
Q. And the way he described it was, it took the
majority of the robbery to occur before he even realized
what was going on?
A. Yes.
Q. That's because him and Kam were pretty good
friends before that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He actually helped Kam even move to Colorado when he transferred schools?
A. I can't remember if he said he helped him move.
Q. But he definitely said that they used to spend a fair amount of time together when Kam lived in Las BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

Vegas?
A. Yes.
Q. Kam was apprehended at Circus Circus?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That was before you arrived?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And --
A. He was detained.
Q. Found at a blackjack table?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Officers took him from that blackjack table to
some kind of secure location at Circus Circus?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. And he sat there for a fair amount of time?
A. I believe so.

I mean, that was my understanding.
I couldn't tell you how long he sat there. I
wasn't there.
Q. A fair amount of time before you and Detective

Hartshom sat down and talked to him?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He knew when he was approached by officers,
detained and placed in that security room he was in some trouble?

MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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He can't testify what Mr. Wilson knew.
THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. When he was approached, and if you don't know, you don't know, by officers at the blackjack table, detained and placed in the security room, they made sure he knew that he was in some trouble?

MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection.
He wasn't there, he was very clear about that, so
he doesn't know what anybody did there.
THE COURT: Were you told anything about the arrest of Wilson?

THE WITNESS: No.
He just said he was playing blackjack, and the
detective took him downstairs.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Based on your training and experience, when an individual is approached by officers and detained, they conclude that they are in some sort of trouble?
A. I can't --

MS. LUZAICH: Speculation.
THE COURT: I'll give you some leeway, but I
assume when somebody gets arrested, they know they are in trouble.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES \(\quad 702.360 .4677\)
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Is that your point?
MR. LANDIS: Yes.
I think it's simple.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I can't even remember if he was handcuffed.

I don't know what he was thinking.
When I detain somebody for somebody else, I just
say, you will have to wait to talk to the officer that
wants to talk to you.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. When you guys finally got down there, you told him you wanted to talk to him a little bit?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And as you do when somebody's in custody, you read him his Miranda rights?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That is a required step for you to take any time you are going to interrogate somebody about something?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And after you read those Miranda rights to somebody, they have a few choices?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And they can choose to remain silent?
A. Yes, sir.
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    Q. They can choose to request an attorney?
    A. Yes, sir.
Q. Or they can choose to talk to you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And if they choose to talk to you, they can tell you the truth obviously?

```
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Or they can lie?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And I'm sure you experienced all those different options through the course of your career?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Your preference obviously is for people to talk?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And in this case when you went and saw Kam, he chose to talk to you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You have been trained and experienced informal courses how to conduct interviews and interrogations?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. There is a specified techniques that you use at certain times in an attempt to get information?
A. Yeah, you are told about themes and things like that, yes, sir.
Q. There is different ways to go about it with BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
different suspects?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Depending upon the case?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. One of those themes, one of those techniques, is
to minimize the suspect's conduct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. To make them feel that what they did isn't as bad as it seems?
A. Correct.
Q. And it can go so far as to make them believe that you think it's okay?
A. That you sympathize with them, yes, sir.
Q. You try to get them to understand you are almost on their side, and you understand why they did what they did?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Moments after you sat down with Mr. Wilson you chose to use that theme?
A. I'd have to look at the beginning of it to see exactly what I said, but I believe it was something to the effect of --
Q. Does this sound familiar, detective:

All right. Because you are the person that they described as the one that stopped the major event, okay, BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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last night you stopped whoever was with you from getting too carried away on what happened, here is what I believe happened:

I believe you went over there, and you wanted to confront someone that you felt owed you some money, and shit got out of hand with this other person.

Now I'm giving you a chance, I'm coming to you first?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And that's that technique we just discussed?
A. Yes, that's one of them.
Q. It also says --

MS. LUZAICH: Judge, can we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. LANDIS: Court's indulgence.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Do you also remember saying, like I said, I'm
tired, bro, Kameron, I'm going to -- what happened I
think, and this is from doing this for 13 years, what I
think happened is, you got a little over your head
yesterday, last night, it started last night, and it
went on for a couple hours, and then you actually saved
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some girl's life last night, and that's what I truly believe, so you, that's why when I said why I wanted to talk to you, I said I wanted to talk to you Kameron, everybody else calls you Kamerson, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't truly believe he saved somebody's life that night?
A. No, I believe he stopped a sexual assault.
Q. Well, correct me if I'm wrong, but the
information you had at that time was the sexual assault had already occurred?
A. Yes.
Q. And --
A. I mean, what I'm talking about, sexual assault

I'm talking about.
Q. Sex?
A. Having sex, and I knew it was just digital penetration, which is no better, but I mean, I believe he stopped full-blown sex.
Q. We can agree that at the time you had that conversation with Kam Wilson, that you had a lot more details about what occurred in that house than you had at the Crystal Creek Apartments?
A. I had more information.
Q. There was some further briefings you attended?
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A. By the time I got to Wilson?

No.
I mean, I was out there, was dealing with Grant most of the time, and then when they said they located
him, the only thing I went to is, I went over to the sexual side of our department, and they were preparing the search warrant for the room, so I really had no other briefings.
Q. Did you get a good look at that search warrant?
A. No, not really.

It was prepared by Detective Pena.
We were going over it and calling. There was people assigned to call Detective Niswonger.

He was already out saying, what did this victim say, and people assigned to do different things, but we never sat down and had a briefing.
Q. There is a fairly detailed narrative in that report what the victim said and what officers believed had occurred that night?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. So you did have a fair amount of details as to the roles of the two individuals in that house?
A. It was my understanding for the roles that Delarian was the one that initiated the contact because he knew Grant, and that when they came back from the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

ATM, Narcus was the one doing the sexual stuff, that was my understanding at that time.

I did not go through the details of reading what each victim said, or that search warrant.

Like I said, it was everybody was doing their own thing, and Detective Pena was preparing that.

I didn't even read that search warrant at that point.
Q. Well, clearly you didn't get that information about who initiated the sexual contact from the victims?
A. No, other officers were discussing that.
Q. So did you get your material from other officers?
A. Yeah, just like I said, while they were preparing the search warrant, they were talking about it and saying call, Detective Niswonger, somebody would have the call Detective Niswonger to see what this person said, or what they were looking for, because like I said, he left at 4:00 when they were preparing it.
Q. Okay. And if the information you received at that time was that it was Narcus Wesley who initiated the sexual conduct when they returned from the ATM, I think you would agree that everybody in this room, including you, knows that was not accurate information, correct?
A. To the statement of the 90 percent, 10 percent, BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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yes, but as far as some of the actions, no.
Q. We now know too it was Delarian Wilson who ordered their clothes off?
A. Yes.
Q. Who ordered Justin and Danielle to perform sex acts on one another?
A. Yes.
Q. After discussing them with Mr. Wilson of minimizing his culpability, he chose to talk to you?
A. Yes.
Q. The first thing he told you was that he was with a friend named Christopher?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He said Christopher was the one who knows Grant?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That he met Christopher earlier in the evening of the crime at the Stratosphere?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That they went to the door of the Great Dane residence?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That would be Christopher and Kam?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And Christopher decided to rob Grant?
A. Yes, sir.
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Q. That Christopher pulled out a gun?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That Christopher said when they returned from the

ATM, we're 90 percent done, we have ten percent to go?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That Christopher told Danielle Browning to take her clothes off?
A. I believe so, yes, sir.
Q. That during no time did Kam have a gun?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Just Christopher?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Delarian said he stayed at the house while

Christopher went to the ATM?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You asked him, why didn't you leave?
A. I believe so.

I'd have to look.
Q. And he told you he didn't leave because he was scared?
A. I believe so.

If you are reading that from a transcript, obviously I need to see that.
Q. You had some issues with the line of answers?
A. Pardon me?
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A. At that time I really thought Christopher existed.
Q. After he told you that story about Christopher, did the interrogation end?
A. Yes, it wasn't until he included the third person that --
Q. What I'm getting at, that is one of three stories that you heard that night from the mouth of Kam Wilson?
A. Yes.
Q. So you didn't end questioning him when he told you about Christopher?
A. I was still questioning him because we wanted details, and at that point I thought he knew the person
-- or knew the last name.
Q. As he told you the story about Christopher, one of the things you asked him was about Grant?
A. Yes.
Q. And you asked him about Grant because you had already talked to Grant?
A. Yes.
Q. And you knew that Kam and Grant had been friends?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also knew that Kam had robbed Grant in
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the past?
A. According to Grant, yes.
Q. You had no reason to question Grant?
A. No.
Q. You would agree he came off as a pretty sincere
guy when he sat in your room at the police station?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You asked Kam if he had robbed Grant in the past?
A. Yes.
Q. He denied that at first?
A. Yes.
Q. Roughly around that same time in the interview you also talked to him a little bit about the ATM and the fact the ATMs have cameras?
A. Yes.
Q. At that point did you actually have that picture from the ATM?
A. No, sir.
Q. You told him you had it though, right?
A. I don't think I told him we had it.

I said, I implied that we might have had it or -I don't even know if I implied it.

I'd have to look at the transcript, but I think I told him, you know, when you went to the ATM, there is cameras there, you are going to be in a picture or BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters
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something to that effect. Like I said, I'd have to look at the transcript if you have it there.
Q. You would agree implying you have evidence is another technique of interrogation?
A. Yes.
Q. Designed to get them to talk?
A. Yes.
Q. Designed to get people to tell you the truth?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. After you talked to Kam a little bit about Grant, and after you talked to him a little bit about this ATM, we get to story two?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Story two still involves Christopher?
A. Yes.
Q. But we hear about a third person?
A. Yes.
Q. Christopher's cousin?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. I think he describes Christopher's cousin as somebody he just met?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. I think he gives him the name D.C. Does that sound right?
A. Yes, sir.
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Q. And he starts telling you the story of what occurred at the Great Dane residence a second time?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Again, like the first time, Christopher had the gun?
A. I believe so.
Q. Christopher pulled out the gun at the door?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. But this time unlike the first story Kam actually went to the ATM with Christopher while the cousin stayed behind at the house with the six kids?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He tells you this time that when they returned
from the ATM, that he, Kam, was scared because Christopher and his cousin were holding these kids hostage?
A. Yes, sir, that sounds familiar.
Q. And he says, sir, I had to watch the full -Christopher, he could have blasted me?
A. I believe so.
Q. He says that after they left, after Christopher, D.C. and Kam left, Christopher decided to give him roughly \(\$ 200\) of the money they got?
A. I believe so, yes, sir.
Q. You are again not too happy with that story?
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A. No.
Q. The reason you are not too happy with that story is because you knew there was only two people in that house?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you knew that because all six kids told you
the exact same thing regarding that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. When you confronted him with that information, we get to story number three?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He finally gives you a name, and that name is

Narcus?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. No last name?
A. No, sir.
Q. No great detail about Narcus?
A. No, sir.
Q. Except he used to play football with him at UNLV?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. We now get to the third telling of what occurred at the Great Dane house?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. This time it's Kam and Narcus?
A. Yes, sir.
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Q. No third person?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And no Christopher?
A. Correct.
Q. But again it's not Kam with the gun?
A. Correct.
Q. Narcus had the gun?
A. Yes, he said it was a fake gun.
Q. He said Narcus had a fake gun?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. But Kam didn't touch the gun according to Kam the
third time around again?
A. Correct.
Q. Narcus took the cell phones?
A. I believe so.

I'd have to look at the transcript.
Q. Narcus made Danielle and Justin take their clothes off?
A. I belleve so.
Q. Narcus was the one who said when they returned from the ATM, we're 90 percent done, ten percent left to go?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And Narcus ordered all of the sexual contact that occurred that night?
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MR. LANDIS: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Certainly.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. If I were to play a tape recording of that interview with Mr. Wilson, would you be able to recognize it and authenticate it was actually the interview that you had?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. LANDIS: Judge, I would move for admission of Defense --

MS. LUZAICH: May we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: We're going to have to make a record on this outside of your presence, so you guys go ahead and take a break. Hopefully this will only be a few minutes, but take a break.
(Jury admonished by the Court.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: All right. For the record, this is the dilemma:

The Defense seeks to play an hour-and-a-half CD BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
48
of the interview between the witness, Detective Weske, and the Co-Defendant Delarian Wilson. The content, the Court has absolutely no idea what the content of this statement is, but I presume this was an early -- I think the first interview. I don't know how many there were, but this was apparently the initial interview between Detective Weske and Defendant Wilson.

This stems from the position yesterday that was disclosed by the Defense indicating their desire to have Co-Defendant Wilson's plea entered into evidence before the jury.

The Co-Defendant Wilson has plead to three charges, three felony charges.

MS. LUZAICH: He plead to two counts of robbery with use of deadly weapon and one count of sexual assault.

THE COURT: Okay. And I took his plea about a week ago. He was canvassed, and we even have a copy of the transcript of the canvas and the plea that was taken.

Under normal circumstances the Defendant wouldn't
-- The Defendant in this situation certainly wouldn't want that information, but at your request I presume for the purpose of establishing responsibility for the criminal conduct alleged taken by responsibility taken BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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by Mr. Wilson --
MR. BANKS: That's part of it.
THE COURT: And the Court said that if you wanted to introduce his plea, that everything relative to his plea is going to come in, which means the canvas is going to come in, the guilty plea agreement is going to come in, everything, and we will have to redact a few things relative to penalty.

MR. LANDIS: Penalty.
Sorry to interrupt you, Judge.
The State insisted on that. That wasn't just the Court's ruling, that was the State's position as well.

THE COURT: I think the State pointed it out, obviously there is some information about the penalty that can't be in there, so it would have to be redacted, and the Court agreed if the plea comes in, if Wilson's plea comes in, then everything relative to the plea the Defense could -- couldn't simply pick and choose what part of his plea was going to be admitted.

The dilemma here is, this ain't part of this plea, this has nothing to do with this plea. This in fact is a statement, some of it may be incriminating, some may be exculpatory, some may be incriminating towards this Defendant, I mean, it's -- I'm sure it takes on a whole bunch of aspects.
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MS. KOLLINS: A substantial portion of it is self-serving as well.

THE COURT: I'm sure you are absolutely correct because at this point in this investigative process Wilson's not saying anything, he's not admitting squat at this point in the investigation.

So I'm quite sure there is very little, if any, of the statement that is actually incriminating towards Wilson.

The problem the State has pointed out, to play this statement, as opposed to the statement made by the Defendant, which obviously is clearly admissible, the statement from the Co-Defendant presents another unique problem inasmuch as the State has no opportunity to cross-examine Mr. Wilson regarding his statement or anything else that may be on that interview.

So have I accurately reflected everybody's position?

MS. LUZAICH: Well, essentially yesterday when I said that if the fact of the plea comes in, that it all comes in, I certainly didn't mean his entire statement, and I was very specific when I said that when he entered his plea, Mr. Wilson -- sorry, and he was canvassed, he put the culpability for the sexual assault on Narcus Wesley, and therefore that should be admitable as well BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters
as during this interview where he puts the culpability on Narcus Wesley, where he says that, yeah, I was there, but Narcus had the gun, and Narcus did the sex stuff. That is what is admissible.

The whole hour pertaining -- you know, I probably should have objected earlier when they started talking about him giving the Christopher statement, and I didn't. I figured I would give a little bit of leeway. I never expected that they were going to play the whole statement, none of that, the entire thing pertaining to Christopher and Christopher's cousin, none of that is a declaration against interests because he never during that says that he did anything wrong, just that it was Christopher, and he was scared, and this, that and the other thing.

It is not a declaration against interests.
Therefore, it is hearsay. There is no hearsay
exception, and the reason that would therefore not be admissible because as the Court pointed out, I cannot cross-examine Delarian Wilson. He's entered a plea, not yet been sentenced, and he still has Fifth Amendment rights.

So even if we were to drag his butt out of the jail and bring him down here, we cannot force him to testify, he's got a Fifth Amendment rights, and he's BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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still getting ready to be sentenced on 10 to life and 24 to 40 , could be a really long time if he's consecutive, so he's never going to open up his mouth. Therefore, the statement the tape is not admissible.

The bits and pieces that are declarations against penal interests are, but because they want to bring in the plea, anything he says pertaining to Narcus Wesley is also admissible, but that's it.

THE COURT: You need to convince me that there is some legal authority for admitting this entire statement outside of the limited well-known exceptions of statements against penal interests by the declarant.

MR. BANKS: A combination of penal interests.
And then in light of his guilty plea it is now a prior inconsistent statement.

MR. LANDIS: And under the rule of completeness you can't just put in portions of the statement, which is what they are asking you to do, put in the parts that hurt us, but the rest of it doesn't come in.

If any of it comes in, it all comes in, which includes everything, every single thing he said.

His statement mirrors, really does, what Narcus said, just different people in different roles, and you know they think that is a statement against interest, they played it, and I promise you they will argue the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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heck out of it in closing, the fact someone says they went to a place, participated in the robbery, and actually took some of the proceeds, is a statement against interests.

The fact he says he's the minor person in it shows he's maybe not the most culpable, but it is a statement against interests and confession that lead to him getting charged, that confession lead to him eventually pleading to mandatory prison time.

There is no way they can honestly say it's not a statement against interests.

They are going to say in closing what he said shows he's responsible, shows he is culpable the same way what declarant said shows he's culpable.

They can't say the only parts that come in are the statements about the gun, the fact Narcus has a gun, it all comes in, and to give the jury a complete picture I think that needs to come in.

As far as the right to confront Mr. Wilson, we don't know if he would plead the fifth if he took the statement, that's an assumption. If we put him up there, maybe he would, maybe he wouldn't, maybe he thinks his best option as far as sentencing would be to go up there and speak the truth and mitigate, I don't know, I never talked to the man.
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Two, the State's right to confront and cross-examine witnesses does not trump our right to a fair trial and his constitutional right to present a defense, and that's the bottom line.

Our right to confront and cross-examine witnesses is a lot stronger than the State's rights, and that's the truth, Judge.

This is a criminal Defendant. He has stronger rights than does the State.

MS. LUZAICH: He got the right to present a defense, but he doesn't have the unlimited right to do anything he wants in furtherance of presenting his defense. He can only present legal admissible evidence.

I believe that Delarian Wilson's plea is not legal admissible evidence. However, the Court has ruled that it is coming in, and I am living with that ruling.

In light of that ruling, that is why I believe that only his declarations against interests and the statements he made inculpating Narcus Wesley are admissible because that's how his plea went down.

That rule of completeness, that doesn't apply here.

It's because they are going out of their way to bring in what otherwise is not admissible.

THE COURT: Is not admissible.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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This is kind of unusual circumstances here because if we were trying this case as one case, obviously we're seeing right now what the problems would be. That is why we are virtually impossible to try these two guys together, because of exactly what we are experiencing right now. The extent of which the statements made by a Co-Defendant in a separate trial are going to come in as evidence, I agree with him, I agree with him, I'm going to let it come in, I'm going to let the whole statement come in and the whole thing come in.

MS. LUZAICH: Well, then we're not going to finish today because I'm going to try to get Delarian Wilson here.

MS. KOLLINS: We can't do that on-the-fly.
MS. LUZAICH: I can't do it that fast.
THE COURT: Well, we'll just keep going. We'll keep going.

I'm not going to cut them off.
I think that they are entitled to present this.
I think they are entitled to present it.
MS. LUZAICH: They are entitled to their theory of defense.

They can't present anything in the world that they want.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: No, you got two people charged with committing an act.

One of the two people makes an extensive statement to the police.

There is no possible way you can argue that it's irrelevant, it's any of the other things that would normally be argued, the fact that he's a Co-Defendant and has certain constitutional rights against self-incrimination and putting him on the witness stand.

You are never going to get to put this guy on the witness stand. He's going to be unavailable as a witness to cross-examine, period.

MS. LUZAICH: That's why his statement is inadmissible.

THE COURT: Well, I don't agree.
I think it's admissible.
I think they are entitled to put it on if they want to.

I don't know why, you guys all know what it says, I don't, I don't know why you want it in.

MS. KOLLINS: The whole reason for Bruton is this, if we were going to use the statement, the State would be forced to put Mr. Wilson under subpoena, put him on the stand shall, then impeach him with the statement.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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obviously the penalty is not an issue.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Are we ready to play this thing?

MR. LANDIS: We're more than ready, Judge.
Or give them lunch right now.
It's up to you.
THE COURT: Do you guys want to go ahead, take a lunch break right now, so you guys can figure out what you want to do, see if you can get Wilson?

MS. KOLLINS: Jump off the balcony.
We're not going to figure out if we can get him.
THE COURT: You know where he is?
MS. LUZAICH: He's represented by an attorney.
I got to get Oronoz in here and talk to Oronoz
and send Oronoz over to talk to him.
That ain't happening today.
MR. LANDIS: We'll give them every opportunity, Judge.

MS. KOLLINS: For all we know, Oronoz isn't even in the jurisdiction.

THE COURT: Do you want to start playing this right now?

MS. LUZAICH: No, I do not want to start playing it right now.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a lunch break.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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They are admonished already, so tell them to be back here at 1:30.

MS. KOLLINS: Just so the Court knows, again this wasn't anticipated, we have not reviewed Wilson's statement for anything that we feel is necessary to be redacted.

Should we find that over the lunch hour Defense counsel's we're going to have to bring that up with the Court, they will be responsible for the audio and written redactions, correct?

We're not taking care of that for them.
THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough.
We're going to take a recess until \(1: 30\).
(Thereupon, a luncheon recess was had.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: We're back on the record in State of Nevada versus Narcus Wesley.

Here's what we're going to do about this statement:

It takes an hour-and-a-half to read through it. Here's what we're going to do.

There is a couple things that are absolutely not permissible to be said, even inferred, in front of the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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It starts on page 74. There is a reference to the time he's going to do, and reference to the fact there is a requirement for being a registered sex offender for the rest of your life, or something to that effect.

There hasn't been an ounce of truth in anything that is said up until that point. It is a variety of stories, as you have already described, and had the detective acknowledge a variety of stories were given by Wilson, but at that point there is someplace on page 74 I think a reference to the number of years he's going to do, and then on 75 -- on the bottom of 74 it says, register as a sex offender for your whole life.

MS. LUZAICH: If you get out.
THE COURT: If you get out.
That absolutely can't come in, and there is no way to redact it off this CD.

Here's what we're going to do:
We're going to start at page 75. He's going to fast forward this CD to page 75, and then from that point on I will make an explanation that there has been nothing consistent in the stories as the witness acknowledged by the declarant.

MS. KOLLINS: Well, that's a Court's comment on BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

THE COURT: I'll just say, at the point in the CD I'll ask the detective did he feel as though there had been anything --

MS. KOLLINS: I don't think you can ask him that either.

You can't ask someone else to comment on a witness' credibility.

THE COURT: They were totally inconsistent stories that Wilson told him.

MS. KOLLINS: Well, I think --
THE COURT: I think he's already said that.
MS. KOLLINS: I think Mr. Landis can ask him, or Mr. Banks, up until this point in the CD did you get the several versions of events from Wilson that we went through on cross-examination before our break, and leave it at that.

I don't think --
THE COURT: That's fine.
I just think there needs to be some brief explanation.

We're going to take these transcripts, and we're
going to start them at page 75.
MR. LANDIS: I can redact that thing before 2:00, BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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to be honest with you, Judge.
THE COURT: Redact what thing?
MR. LANDIS: That one comment in the tape.
THE COURT: To be perfectly honest with you, I
don't know what purpose it serves.
It's totally his fabricated stories up until that point.

They don't do one thing.
MS. LUZAICH: That's what I was trying to convey to the Court earlier.

THE COURT: You guys are aware of it.
I'm not.
I read it.
I understand what it says.
It's totally --
MS. LUZAICH: Irrelevant.
THE COURT: Irrelevant, and bears nothing other than the comment they were inconsistent stories, period, just totally inconsistent stories.

MS. LUZAICH: The jury has already heard the substance of the inconsistent stories.

THE COURT: The detective's already been questioned, and he's already answered and said absolutely, did he tell you this, did he tell you this, totally inconsistent stories before he actually said BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
anything, and that started from that point of the statement on.

MS. KOLLINS: I guess then because this is a decision the Court has made, I would ask Mr. Banks and Mr. Landis to reiterate their decision to still play this based on that ruling, because I mean, they made a decision to play this tape and waive any confrontation based on the playing of the entire tape, and if that has affected their strategy or decision at all, they need to make a record it hasn't, and they are happy with the portion that is going to be played.

I'm just looking to preserve the record, Your Honor.

MR. BANKS: Yeah, we think the whole thing should be played, with that part that concerns the Court be redacted.

I guess if -- I don't know if it's a time issue.
THE COURT: It's not a time issue, just that it serves no purpose, it's totally irrelevant to listen to fabrications by Wilson. That serves no point.

Everybody admits they were inconsistent stories.
MR. LANDIS: But here is what is important to our defense, Judge:

You are right they are inconsistent, but consistent in one respect.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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In each case he talks about somebody else forcing him to do it, somebody else having the gun, and somebody else doing the robberies, the sexual contact, and he assumes our client's position.

All of that is very relevant.
THE COURT: The rest of the statement too.
MR. LANDIS: But he does it three different times.

THE COURT: I'm not arguing.
He does.
But the same thing is accomplished by -- on page
75 on. We don't need to accomplish it three times because it's just those other inconsistent stories for the first 75 pages are so irrelevant to anything, except for the fact that the deciarant is able to make inconsistent stories, period, that's all it establishes, and he says the same thing even on the last 25 pages in terms of his non-involvement and blaming it all on Narcus. No question he does that consistently.

But that doesn't make it anymore relevant, the first 75 pages, when he just totally makes up stories.

MR. LANDIS: The stories are true, I mean, what he says is true. Just he makes himself Narcus Wesley and invents another person, or another two people, but what he says about what goes on in the house is pretty BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
accurate.
MS. KOLUNS: That's argument.
THE COURT: And I don't agree at all. I don't agree at all.

You can make that part of the record, but those are such goofy versions of some twisted made up story I don't know, I was in the bathroom, I didn't see anything, there is nothing that is relevant about the first 75 pages of that transcript, just nothing there.

MS. LUZAICH: That is exactly what I argued to the Court this morning.

THE COURT: And I read it, and I agree with you completely.

I don't think until they get past that part where they are talking about obviously he got scared, from that point on I think that it probably bears some relevancy and will bear upon his plea and what he took, what deal he took, whatever.

But if you want to do it, I agree with you, you can do it, but we're not playing the first 75 pages, nor am I letting them see a transcript of that first 75 pages. It just doesn't serve a purpose.

So we're going to be in recess right now because he's going to start it at 75 pages.

MS. KOLLINS: Judge, may be approach?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: Sure.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: The last thing he can't say is, on the bottom of page 74 .

MR. BANKS: So the first page is page 75 ?
THE COURT: Right.
The jurors will all have the trancripts from 75
on, right?
MR. BANKS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Bring them in.
We need to get Detective Weske back on the stand.
Bring the jury in, then get Detective Weske.
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open
court and in the presence of the jury.):
THE COURT: Do counsel stipulate to the presence
of the jury?
MR. LANDIS: Yes, Your Honor.
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Bring in Detective Weske.
Detective Weske, you are still under oath.
Okay?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you have before
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677
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you a transcript that begins well into the interview
what we're about to play, has been marked as Defense
Exhibit -- Defense Exhibit F-1.
You can follow along on your transcripts. It should coincide with what exactly what you have in front of you.

Ready?
MR. LANDIS: Just for the record, I think my last question for the record of the detective was, would you recognize the recorded interview if I were to play it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, most of it.
There is sometimes I walked out.
MR. LANDIS: Judge, I would move to admit Defense
Exhibit F-1, a portion of the interview with Delarian Wilson this Court deemed admissible, subject of course to authentication by Detective Weske.

MS. LUZAICH: And I don't have a problem with authentication, but it would be subject to the objections that we raised already.

THE COURT: The objections that you have already raised have been on the record.

That noted, it will be admitted.
MR. LANDIS: I move to publish.
THE COURT: Go ahead and publish.
MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, just because the
702.360 .4677
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detective wasn't there for the entire interview, just so we can make sure he has a copy.

THE COURT: Sure.
THE COURT: Everybody ready?
Go ahead.
(Defendant's Exhibit F-1 played for the jury.)
THE COURT: Go ahead and pass those transcripts
down to Joe.
Go ahead, counsel.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. To put that in context for the jurors a bit, that was the third story he told you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That's the first story where he mentioned Narcus?
A. Was that?
Q. That was the first story where Narcus became involved?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Just so we are clear, the first story was

Christopher?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. The second story was Christopher and D.C., Christopher's cousin?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Before lunch we talked a little bit about what

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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people you interrogate.
One was, they might not talk.
One was, they might talk, might tell you the
truth, or they might lie?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. We can agree that Mr. Wilson gave you a little
bit of both?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Told you some truths?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And he told you some lies?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. We can also agree that at the time you conducted that interrogation you didn't know some of the things he was telling you were true or not?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And that's because the investigation wasn't complete involving the six kids -- or let me clarify.

You didn't have all the information that those six kids had given?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So you were still trying to figure out what they had to say, them being Mr. Wilson and Mr. Wesley?
A. Yes.
Q. Definitely you didn't know at that time exactly
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what had happened after Wilson returned from the ATM?
A. Correct.
Q. Throughout his three stories there is a few
things that Wilson does not waiver on.
Those being, \(A\), he never had the gun, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. \(B\), that he wasn't really in charge?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And --

MS. LUZAICH: I'm sorry.
I didn't hear that.
MR. LANDIS: That he was never really in charge.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. He never says it was him that took the cell
phones?
A. Yes, sir, I believe he didn't.
Q. He never says he took the condoms?
A. Took the what?
Q. Condoms.
A. I think he said he grabbed them and gave them to Narcus.
Q. That's the third story?
A. Yes.
Q. At that last story he says a few things about
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Grant doing him wrong, that Grant kind of took his business?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you hear any other information to support
that throughout your investigation?
A. That Grant took his business?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Throughout his three stories, he's never the one who says, we're 90 percent done, we have ten percent left to do?
A. Correct.
Q. And that last story he tells the one we all
heard, he says that his home girl picked him up after
Narcus dumped him on the streets pretty much?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. By that he meant his home girl who had the Dodge Charger?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. We know he had that Dodge Charger the whole day and the day before?

MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection.
We don't know that.
THE COURT: If the we is including me, I don't
know that.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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MS. LUZAICH: Well, nobody knows when he had the Charger, except when it was found in his possession at Circus Circus.

THE COURT: Rephrase that.
Ask him if he knows that.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. The official renter of that Dodge Charger was talked to during this investigation?
A. I believe so.

There was a female down there talked to by one of the other officers.
Q. And through that section of the investigation we learned that Wilson had the Dodge Charger at the time of his arrest and sometime before that, we don't know exactly when he took it?
A. Well, the Dodge Charger, from my understanding, was parked in the parking garage.
Q. At Circus Circus?
A. And I understood there was another guy staying with them, and I believe -- I don't know if the girl was or was not there, was the girl down there, but I didn't talk to these guys, and I didn't get anybody else's report on that.
Q. Throughout his three stories he never had anything to do with the sexual contact?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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A. Correct.
Q. He never ordered any of it?
A. Correct.
Q. He never touched anybody?
A. Correct.
Q. He definitely didn't hold a gun to anybody's head?
A. Correct.
Q. He definitely didn't say, if somebody doesn't get hard, somebody's going to die?
A. Correct, that's what he said.
Q. According to him, he didn't really see what happened with the sexual contact, he was kind of standing by the back?
A. I believe that was in his first two stories.

I think in the third one he said that he saw her
-- or saw him touch her.
Q. Could I refer you to the bottom of page 83?
A. Sure.
Q. He said he was standing by the bathroom and kind of assumes that is what happened, but never really said he was close enough to know, is that fair?
A. Yeah, in this one.

I believe a little later though he says, I may be wrong, I have to look through the thing, but I believe BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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later he says that when he touched her, he told him to stop.
Q. Yeah, he does say that, I agree with that.
A. But in this paragraph he says he's by the bathroom.
Q. There is a part in there where I'm not sure if
it's you or Detective Hartshorn that says, we're going to know who the guy is whether you tell us or not.
A. Do you know what page that's on?
Q. Yes.

On page 78, Detective Hartshorn.
A. Thank you.
Q. That is before he gave you the name, Narcus?
A. I believe so.
Q. He gives the name Narcus for the first time on 81, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Is that statement true?
A. I don't believe so at that time.
Q. Okay. That is another interrogation technique, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. On page 78 Detective Hartshorn says, the only thing we can give us is what we are telling you is that when we go to the DA, and the DA says, okay, this is BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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everything that is going to happen, we are going to say that he was cooperative the whole entire time, he had nothing to do with the sexual assault, he tried to stop.

He gave us a name. We would find out anyways, and find out probably in the next couple days, and that that goes a long ways, someone shows honesty goes a long ways.

After that, which is to be clear a technique used to gather further information, you agree with that?
A. Yes, I believe that's what he was doing.
Q. Promising somebody that you will help them with the DA, be more lenient with them, that is something you have been taught to do, correct?
A. No, we've been taught not to make any promises at all.
Q. You can't make promises that are out of your hands, you can't make promises that sentence -- or these charges -- or those charges will occur, but you can tell them you will go to the DA and talk to them, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That's a technique used to try to get
information?
A. Yes, sir, sometimes.
Q. That is what it was done here, correct?
A. Like I said, I'm not Detective Hartshorn, so I
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He was here earlier.
Q. We can agree that lead to him giving you the name Narcus?
A. I don't know.

MS. LUZAICH: Objection.
The only people that can tell about him giving the name was Delarian.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. We can agree he gave the name Narcus?
A. Not right away.
Q. Eventually?
A. It looks like I talked for a while, yes, sir.
Q. If you know, did you or Detective Hartshorn ever go to the DA and talked to them about the cooperation that Delarian Wilson gave?
A. No.

I talked to the district attorney the next day and advised them of the whole situation with everything, and that's the only time we talked, we talked about what his statements were, and what happened at the search warrant with Narcus.
Q. Did you or Detective Hartshorn, if you know, ever ask for leniency on his behalf?
A. No, sir, I didn't.
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I don't know about Detective Hartshorn.
Q. To your knowledge, he didn't?
A. To my knowledge.
Q. And in that statement you mentioned something
about contacting the person at screening?
A. Yes.
Q. Screening is where a case goes after you submit it to the District Attorneys office, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventually a case was submitted to screening, that part is true?
A. Yes.
Q. A charge involved Narcus and Delarian with some crimes?
A. Yes.
Q. Same crimes?
A. I believe so.
Q. 18 felonies?
A. I don't know how many, but if you say 18 , I don't have a problem with that.
Q. We can agree there is some conspiracy counts?
A. Yes.
Q. We can agree there was some robbery with use counts?
A. Yes.
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Q. We can agree there was a first degree kidnapping count?
A. Yes.
Q. We can agree there was some sexual assault counts?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In April of 2007 was the first time you testified
in open court about this case?
MS. LUZAICH: Objection.
MR. LANDIS: I'm sorry.
April of 2007 was the first time you testified in
open court about this case.
MS. LUZAICH: Well, actually objection.
I don't believe he testified --
THE COURT: Did you testify?
THE WITNESS: No, sir.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. I'm sorry.

April 2007 is the first time this case was in open court?
A. I believe I was gone during that time.

If you say so.
I wasn't part of it.
Q. There was a preliminary hearing in 2007, and you are aware of that?
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A. I believe there was a preliminary hearing.

I don't know when it was.
Q. Okay. And at that time both Wilson and Wesley
were -- are set as Co-Defendants, you are unaware of that?
A. No.
Q. Is this the first time you testified in the trial involving these charges and those events that occurred in February 2007?
A. Besides the other day?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And every plece of testimony you have given has been with only this man sitting at the Defense table, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You have not testified in any way, shape or form with Mr. Wilson sitting at the Defense table?
A. No, sir.
Q. Why?
A. I was gone during the preliminary hearing. I was subpoenaed, but I can't remember what I had going in April, but when I went, they decided Detective Hartshorn would be sufficient.
Q. Have you been subpoenaed to testify in the trial BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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of Delarian Wilson?
A. I believe when I got the subpoena, and I'd have to look at it again.

I believe they had both names on there, sir.
Q. Do you know if you have been scheduled to testify in the future at Delarian Wilson's trial?
A. No, sir. I don't believe -- Like I said, the subpoena had both names.

I don't believe I'm scheduled to testify for Delarian.
Q. Do you know where in the process Delarian Wilson's case is?
A. I believe he's plead to I don't know exactly what, but four counts or something.
Q. He plead guilty to some crimes?
A. Yes.
Q. Thereby foregoing his right to trial?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. LANDIS: Judge, I move for admission of
Defense Proposed G.
THE COURT: Any objection, other than that?
MS. LUZAICH: Other than that which has been
discussed.
THE COURT: And it's Defense Exhibit G is going to be admitted.
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MR. LANDIS: Can I approach the witness?
THE COURT: Yes.
We made a record of your objection.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. It's not the first time you seen one of those, is
it, detective?
A. No, sir.
Q. It's a guilty plea agreement, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Of the 18 felonies that both Delarian Wilson and

Narcus Wesley were charged with, how many felonies did Delarian Wilson plead to on that sheet?

MS. LUZAICH: Objection.
Because I believe that does misstate --
THE COURT: How many?
MR. LANDIS: I'm sorry.
17 felonies and one gross misdemeanor were the original charges.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that correct?
MS. LUZAICH: There is actually two gross misdemeanors.

MR. LANDIS: 16 felonies and two gross misdemeanors.

I apologize.
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BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. Of the 16 felonies and two gross misdemeanors, it's true that Delarian Wilson plead to three felonies?
A. I see two there.

MS. LUZAICH: Judge, the document speaks for
itself.
Detective Weske is a police officer. He's not a lawyer.

I don't know he deals with these documents. Yes, he plead. The State will stipulate he plead guilty to three felonies.

MR. LANDIS: The State would stipulate that was two counts of robbery with use of deadly weapon and one count of sexual assault without the use of deadly weapon?

MS. LUZAICH: Yes.
THE COURT: All right.

\section*{BY MR. LANDIS:}
Q. When you interviewed both victims and suspects, and possible Defendants alike, you would agree that sometimes they take on different postures, sometimes they make light of the situation, sometimes they laugh?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Sometimes they cry?
A. Yes, sir.

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
702.360.4677 Fax 360.2844

86
Q. Sometimes they are indifferent?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the posture that they have is not necessarily indicative of whether or not they are telling the truth?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. I'm sure in your experience you have came across people who are crying and lying?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And people who are laughing and telling the
truth?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And we all touched on this in jury selection, it
just kind of depends on the person, you would agree with that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. When you spoke to Kam Wilson, he didn't laugh?
A. No, sir.
Q. He didn't cry?
A. No, sir.
Q. And we have already established that some of the things he said were true, and some of the things he said were false?
A. Correct.
Q. I want to talk to you a little bit about Narcus Wesley's confession.
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Q. He told you it was Kam who went to the ATM?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He told you he stayed there?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He told you that when they returned, it cast Kam who said, it's 90 percent done, there is ten percent left to go?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He told you it was Kam who ordered that they take their clothes off?
A. When he said that he may have performed oral sex in that, he told Kam that he thought the girl was cute, so Kam said, my boy thinks you are cute, so take your clothes off I believe is what he said.
Q. He said that Kam ordered Justin and Danielle to have oral sex?
A. Yes.
Q. But then ultimately Kam ordered clothes off?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. He told you that Kam was threatening to kill people?
A. I don't remember that.
Q. He told you that he did touch that girl?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. He told you that Kam gave him some of the money? BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 89 & & 91 \\
\hline 1 & A. Yes, sir. & & when you talked to Narcus, correct? \\
\hline 2 & Q. And he told you what he did with that money? & 2 & A. Yes, that's information I had. \\
\hline 3 & A. Some of it. & 3 & Q. And you also knew at the time you talked to \\
\hline 4 & Q. He told you he spent it? & 4 & Narcus that the shorter stockier one was termed held \\
\hline 5 & A. Yes, he told me he spent it, yes, sir. & 5 & guns to people's heads? \\
\hline 6 & Q. You asked him, or somebody on your team asked & 6 & A. I didn't think I had that information there was \\
\hline 7 & him, to point out the clothes he wore during the & 7 & guns put to heads, but there was guns out, yes. \\
\hline 8 & robbery? & 8 & Q. You knew that he had said, if somebody doesn't \\
\hline 9 & A. Yes, sir. & 9 & get hard, I'm going to shoot people, that would be the \\
\hline 10 & Q. He gave you those shoes? & 10 & shorter stockier one? \\
\hline 11 & A. Yes, sir. & 11 & A. I am not sure if he said, I'm going to kill them, \\
\hline 12 & Q. He gave that you shirt? & 12 & or do it myself, but yeah, he was the one, the shorter \\
\hline 13 & A. Yes, sir. & 13 & stockier one, was the one telling them to have sex. \\
\hline 14 & Q. And he gave you those jeans? & 14 & MR. LANDIS: Court's indulgence. \\
\hline 15 & A. Yes, sir. & 15 & THE COURT: Sure. \\
\hline 16 & Q. You asked him where the condom was that Kam gave & 16 & MR. LANDIS: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. \\
\hline 17 & him, and he told you it might be in his car, but he & 17 & THE COURT: Redirect? \\
\hline 18 & wasn't too sure? & 18 & MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. \\
\hline 19 & A. Yes, sir. & 19 & \\
\hline 20 & Q. About, I don't know, a minute or two minutes & 20 & REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CURTIS WESKE \\
\hline & after he began talking to Narcus he told you that he was & 21 & BY MS. LUZAICH: \\
\hline 22 & cold? & 22 & Q. Mr. Landis talked to you quite bit about \\
\hline 23 & A. Yes, sir. & 23 & interview techniques. \\
\hline 24 & Q. And he said to you, could we go somewhere warm, I & 24 & As a police officer you go through a lot of \\
\hline 25 & don't want to appear nervous, I don't want to appear & 25 & training, correct? \\
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\hline & Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 & & Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 \\
\hline & 90 & & 92 \\
\hline 1 & like I'm lying? & 1 & A. Yes, ma'am. \\
\hline 2 & A. Yes, sir, he did say that. & 2 & Q. It starts in the academy, and then you are \\
\hline 3 & Q. He told you at another time, I wouldn't lie to & 3 & required to keep up with certain training, and then in \\
\hline 4 & ya'll? & 4 & fact if you want to advance in the police department, \\
\hline 5 & A. Yes, sir. & 5 & you voluntarily go to more training, correct? \\
\hline 6 & Q. At one point when you were talking about what & 6 & A. Yes, ma'am. \\
\hline 7 & occurred with Danielle, he said, I did not want to do & 7 & Q. And one of those trainings is specifically on \\
\hline 8 & that? & 8 & interview techniques, right? \\
\hline 9 & A. Do you know which part it was? & 9 & A. Yes, ma'am. \\
\hline 10 & MR. LANDIS: Court's indulgence. & 10 & Q. And you learn there are different ways to \\
\hline 11 & I apologize. & 11 & interview different types of people, for example you \\
\hline 12 & THE COURT: That's all right. & 12 & would not interview the victim of a sexual crime the \\
\hline 13 & BY MR. LANDIS: & 13 & same way that you would interview a suspect to a murder, \\
\hline 14 & Q. At the time that you guys talked to Narcus you & 14 & right? \\
\hline 15 & still didn't know which one of them was responsible for & 15 & A. Correct. \\
\hline 16 & the sexual conduct? & 16 & Q. And when you learn about interview techniques \\
\hline 17 & A. No, the information I had, like I said, I didn't & 17 & with suspects, it's because you are trying to elicit \\
\hline 18 & talk to the victims, whether it was right or wrong, the & 18 & information? \\
\hline 19 & information I had was the stocky one, like you said, & 19 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 20 & said that 90 percent, ten percent made them take their & 20 & Q. And if it's somebody you believe committed the \\
\hline 21 & clothes off, but it was Narcus' description that was the & 21 & crime, you are trying to seek an admission, right? \\
\hline 22 & one that touched them. & 22 & A. Yes, ma'am. \\
\hline 23 & Q. As Narcus told you? & 23 & Q. And Mr. Landis talked to you a little bit about \\
\hline 24 & A. Yes. & 24 & certain techniques where you kind of befriend them a \\
\hline 25 & Q. And you also knew -- at the time you knew that & 25 & little bit and sympathize with them, right? \\
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A. Yes.
Q. Now, when you utilize that technique, you don't really believe what you are saying, do you?
A. Correct.
Q. Like it's okay to sexually assault that girl, I know you have a problem, you don't believe it when you say something like that?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. But it makes them comfortable so that they will talk to you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Is it your experience if somebody is not comfortable with you, and very often people are not comfortable with police, they just won't talk?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Another interview technique would be where you minimize their conduct, I know you hedd those four people at gunpoint, but you didn't really shoot them, something like that?
A. You try to get something good out of it, yes, ma'am.
Q. And sometimes you imply that you have evidence that you don't really have, you know, I know your semen was found in her vagina, so why don't you just tell me you put your penis in there kind of thing?
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A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. But you don't believe everything you tell them, right?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And in this particular case you utilized some techniques with both of the suspects, with Delarian Wilson as well as the Defendant?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Now, he also asked you about snitches, something about snitches end up with stitches or something like that.

At the time that you spoke to this Defendant he already knew that Kameron Wilson had given him up, right, otherwise you wouldn't be there?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And you told him that you had gotten his name from Wilson, right?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And in truth and in fact when he talked, he, the Defendant, sorry, spoke to you, he didn't really give Wilson up at all, did he?

MR. LANDIS: I object to leading.
I tried to give some leeway, but it's about ten questions in a row.

THE COURT: Don't suggest your answer.
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MS. LUZAICH: Just because it's a yes or no question doesn't suggest the answer.
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. Did he ever say, Kameron did this -- He talked about the other guy in general.
A. Yes.

I'm trying to think.
There was a couple times he mentioned Kameron's name, but for the most part it wasn't.
Q. For example, in the very beginning when you said, we know you were both there, you and Kameron, who got crazy, did he say, can't I just say it wasn't me?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So he didn't say, Kameron got crazy?
A. Correct.
Q. So it was really not a snitch kind of issue?
A. Correct.
Q. In fact, when we heard Wilson's statement, he was the one that wasn't talking to you about not wanting to snitch anybody out, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, the Defendant has sat here throughout the trial, but nobody has ever mentioned how old is he?
A. At the time of the crime, 24.
Q. Okay. That was a year ago, so he's 24 , maybe 25
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now?
A. He's 25 now.
Q. Okay. When you heard that there were two individuals that had gone into the house, one was a shorter stockier one, one was a taller thinner one, you have seen both of the individuals, Delarian Kameron Wilson and Narcus Wesley?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree -- or do you believe, is Kameron, is he real stocky?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So you would never confuse this person sitting right here with being the stockier one?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Taller and slender would you say he appears to be, the Defendant?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Does he look the same as he did then or --
A. It's hard to say.

He's wearing a suit coat.
I mean, when I saw him, he was in shorts and hardly anything on, was pretty ripped.
Q. Pretty ripped, like, you know, big, muscular ripped?
A. Yeah.
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He said he was trying for the NFL. I believed him.
Q. Okay. He asked you, Mr. Landis, a bit about Grant and drug dealing and stuff like that.

You have absolutely no idea the extent to which Grant dealt in marijuana, is that correct?
A. No, nothing firm.
Q. And at the time that you contacted him he actually had a legitimate job with a paycheck, right?
A. Yes, he was working at one of the casinos, I think Hard Rock, in the club there.
Q. And when he discussed the prior robbery where his friend Kameron came into the house with a mask, took his safe and whatnot, he had a job at that time to working construction?
A. Yes.
Q. Construction pays pretty well, doesn't it?
A. I believe so.
Q. Well, in this town anyway?
A. Yes.
Q. You had mentioned that of the 7,000 you seized that you had agreed to give back \(\$ 900\), something about his brother and gambling or some such thing, right?
A. Correct.

At that time I felt since he said some of it was
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677
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for his brother's gambling, and gave me the amount, he could argue with the city -- or attorney, or whoever the seizure attorney is, about the rest of it based on what we found and what he told me.
Q. Are you aware he did in fact get a lawyer to argue with the city attorney and get some back?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how much was given back after your 900?
A. I believe -- This is because I went to a seizure class with the city attorney, Doug Quan (Phonetic), and he talked about it, he said he gave him half of it back, I believe 3500 or something to that amount, to the best of my recollection.
Q. And the city attorney isn't going to give money back for no reason whatsoever?

MR. LANDIS: Objection.
Lack of foundation, Judge.
She said he's a police officer, not a lawyer.
MS. LUZAICH: That's fine. That's fine.
THE COURT: All right.
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BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. The description of the robbery that Grant had given you, the prior robbery, he was very clear that Delarian Wilson went in there with a mask on, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is nobody in this case who mentioned anything about the Defendant or Delarian Wilson wearing a mask, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And in the description of the robbery that Grant told you there was nothing about an ATM card?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you anything about sexual conduct during that robbery?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you anything about threats to kill or harm during that robbery?
A. No.
Q. In fact, he thought it was his friends, and
therefore he didn't even believe it was a robbery?
A. Correct.
Q. What occurred at Great Dane, there is no doubt that was a robbery?
A. Correct.
Q. Mr. Landis also talked to you about when you
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interview suspects, there are three choices that they
can either say, I don't want to talk to you at all
because I want a lawyer, or they can tell a lie, or they can tell the truth.

Now, in your experience don't many suspects tell you a little bit of both, some truth, some lies?
A. Correct.
Q. Do they very often try to minimize their conduct?
A. Yes.
Q. They may for example admit to being there, but that's all?
A. Correct.
Q. Place the blame on somebody else?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, when you listened to Delarian Wilson, you knew that the first story was not true?

MR. LANDIS: Objection.
Leading, Judge.
Every single question is suggestive and leading since she started this redirect.

THE COURT: Sustained.
You are suggesting the answers.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
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BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. As you listened to Delarian Wilson in the beginning of the interview when he talked about Christopher, what were you thinking?

MR. BANKS: Relevance.
THE COURT: We -- It's coming in.
Overruled.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: You know, when he mentioned Christopher, I had no reason to doubt maybe that was the guy's name.

When we started getting into the how, it was always Christopher, and, you know, we had some of the information from the victims, but it was through other officers, and then when he got into Grant a little bit, then I had my doubts, you know, he was not coming clean. BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. At first it could be true, and then you keep asking questions, and you are discovering in your own mind whether or not it is true?
A. In discrepancies, yes, ma'am.
Q. That's what you do, kind of focus on facts you know are true and see how the answers come out?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So basically what we can take from -- Well, you
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never expect to get perfect information from suspects, correct?

MR. LANDIS: Judge, object.
Leading.
Suggestive.
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. Do you ever expect to get perfect information
from the suspects?
THE COURT: Overruled.
Go ahead.
MR. BANKS: Judge, what is perfect?
MS. LUZAICH: Accurate.
MR. BANKS: Perfect does not mean --
THE COURT: Overruled.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Do I expect it?
No.
Does it happen you can -- can't count on all the
interviews, does somebody come out and say, yep, you got me?
BY MS. LUZAICH:
Q. When you were talking to the Defendant, his story evolved over time, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have his interview in front of you?
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A. I'm sorry.

Yes.
Q. And then on page 29 did he tell you, I ain't touched nobody?
A. Yes, in the first sentence.
Q. And then on page 33 did he talk about touching the top of her vagina, rubbing the top of her vagina?
A. Yes.
Q. So all of in about five minutes he just went back and forth and back and forth?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. He never did admit putting his finger in her vagina, correct?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So basically of the two interviews, the one of Delarian Wilson, and the one of Narcus Wesley, the only thing that we really get out of both interviews is that they were both there at the Great Dane house, that's the only accurate information that we could be sure of, is that right?
A. Yes.
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Q. And we know that because each one said the other one was there?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, did Delarian Wilson tell you that he was
only present, and that Narcus pretty much did
everything, except that Delarian agreed he went to the ATM?
A. I'm sorry.

Could you repeat that again?
Q. Did Wilson tell you that while he was there, he was just merely present, that Narcus did everything except for going to the ATM, pretty much everything?
A. Yes.
Q. And he said, Narcus had the gun?
A. Yes.
Q. And then in Narcus' interview did he tell you that he was merely present, that Wilson did everything?
A. Everything except for the touching, yes.
Q. And he said that Wilson had the gun?
A. Yes.
Q. So the only thing we can take from both interviews is that each one of them were there at the Great Dane house?
A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
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Nothing further.
MR. LANDIS: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Sure.

\section*{RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF CURTIS WESKE}

BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. You did not listen to the testimony of the six victims in this case obviously?
A. No, sir.
Q. And you would agree that is for the jury to
decide which one of these two men's stories were more
consistent with what those victims said?
MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection.
That is an either or.
It's possible neither one are consistent.
THE COURT: Well, no, you can ask.
BY MR. LANDIS:
Q. That's what our system is based on, you agree with that, detective?
A. Yes.

MR. LANDIS: No further questions.
THE COURT: Is that it?
MS. LUZAICH: Yep.
THE COURT: All done?
MS. LUZAICH: Yep.
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THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate it.

I hope we won't have to have you back.
MS. LUZAICH: You know, can we approach one
second before he goes?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and
Counsel at sidebar.)
THE COURT: Detective, one last question before you leave.

In the course of this entire investigation did you ever recover any handguns?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Does that cause anybody else
to have a question?
MS. LUZAICH: No, sir.
MR. LANDIS: No, Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you very much for your
testimony. I appreciate it.
All right.
MS. LUZAICH: This could be our last witness.
THE COURT: We're through for now?
MS. LUZAICH: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: You know what, come here for just a second.
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I should have asked you this.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: For the benefit of the jury, we are out of witnesses for today.

We are not going to be quite finished. We're going to have to settle a few instructions on the law, and there may be a brief bit of testimony. They will decide between now and tomorrow morning.

But in any event, we are very close to being over.

That having been said, I know it's kind of early, but we got a bunch of work we're going to have to do that you can't be involved with, so I'll go ahead and discharge you for the evening and ask you to come back tomorrow at 10:00.

We're anticipating no matter what this thing will be given to the jury tomorrow.

So that having been said, we're going to have an overnight recess.
(Jury admonished by the Court.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: You are in recess.
See you in the morning.
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(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Off the record.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)
( Proceedings concluded for the evening.)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$ & 7 & & answers [4]-40:24, 41:1, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 200[1]-44: 23 \\
& \$ 7,000[3]-24: 17,27: 10, \\
& 28: 19 \\
& \$ 900_{[2]}-28: 21,97: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7[1]-2: 5 \\
& 7,000[1]-97: 21 \\
& 74[4]-63: 2,63: 11,63: 13, \\
& 69: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { admissible }[13]-50: 12,51: 4, \\
& 51: 19,52: 4,52: 8,54: 13, \\
& 54: 15,54: 20,54: 24,54: 25, \\
& 56: 16,59: 20,70: 15 \\
& \text { admission }[3]-47: 10,83: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
anticipated [1]-62:4 \\
anticipating [1] - 107:17 \\
anyway [1]-97:19 \\
anyways [1] - 78:4 \\
Apartments [5] - 14:20,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1 & 64:24, 67:12, 67:14, 67:21, & admit [7] - 9:3, 57:18, 59:22, & apologize \(\{2\}-84: 24,90: 11\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 10[2]-38: 25,52: 1 \\
& 105[1]-2: 5 \\
& 10: 00[2]-1: 13,107: 16 \\
& 12[1]-2: 5 \\
& 13[1]-35: 22 \\
& 16[4]-1: 13,3: 1,84: 22,85: 2 \\
& 160.410[1]-60: 17 \\
& 17[1]-84: 17 \\
& 18[3]-80: 18,80: 19,84: 10 \\
& 191[3]-1: 24,109: 9,109: 18 \\
& 1: 30[2]-62: 2,62: 13
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 69:6, 69:8 } \\
& 78[2]-77: 11,77: 23 \\
& 7 \text { th }[1]-109: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & 103:16 admitable [1]-50:25 admits [2]-57:11, 66:21 & APPEARANCES \([1]\) - 1:17 apply [ 1 - \(54: 21\) appreciate [2]-106:2, \\
\hline & 8 & 57:20, 60:1, 70:22, 83:25, & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 81[1]-77: 16 \\
& 83_{[1]}-76: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & admitting \([2]-50: 5,52: 10\) admonished [3]-47:20, 62:1, 107:21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 13,47: 12,68: 25,84: 1, \\
& \text { 106:4 } \\
& \text { approached }[3]-30: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 9 & advance [1]-92:4 & \\
\hline 2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 90[8]-16: 24,38: 25,40: 4, \\
& 46: 21,59: 4,74: 10,88: 6 \\
& 90: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
affected \([1]\) - 66:9 \\
afraid [2]-10:7, 10:10
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { April }[6]-1: 13,3: 1,81: 7, \\
& 81: 11,81: 19,82: 23 \\
& \text { area }[2]-4: 18,26: 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2007[5]-81: 7,81: 11,81: 19 \text {, } \\
& 81: 24,82: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \(900[1]-98: 9\)
\(91[1]-2: 5\) & agree [35]-7:11, 9:21, 11:15, 15:20, 19:2, 19:10, 19:14, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 98:6 } \\
& \text { argued [2] - 56:7, 68:10 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24[4]-1: 9,52: 1,95: 24, \\
& 95: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & A & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 42: 5,43: 3,55: 8,55: 9, \\
& 56: 15,68: 3,68: 4,68: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
argument \([1]\) - \(68: 2\) \\
armed [11-29:12
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 25[4]-67: 17,95: 25,96: 2, \\
& 103: 2 \\
& 29[1]-103: 7 \\
& 2: 00[1]-64: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
a.m [2]-1:13, 13:11 \\
abetted (1)-57:22 \\
Abided [1] - 57:23 \\
abiding [1]-58:2 \\
able [4]-6:3, 19:15, 47:6, \\
67:15
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 68:19, 72:6, 72:13, 77:3, } \\
& 78: 9,79: 3,79: 10,80: 21, \\
& 80: 23,81: 1,81: 4,85: 20, \\
& 86: 13,96: 9,105: 10, \\
& 105: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
arrest [2]-31:12, 75:14 \\
arrested [2] - 26:4, 31:24 \\
arrive [1]-13:19 \\
arrived [6]-13:11, 14:2,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { agreed }_{[4]}-16: 1,49: 16 \\
& 97: 22,104: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & aspects [1]-49:25 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 31[6]-4: 17,4: 19,4: 20,4: 23, \\
& 4: 25,6: 3 \\
& 32[1]-4: 19 \\
& 33_{[1]}-103: 10 \\
& 3500[1]-98: 13 \\
& 3: 10[2]-13: 11,15: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 58: 13,59: 2,62: 24,63: 17, \\
& 65: 24,97: 5 \\
& \text { academy }[1]-92: 2 \\
& \text { accommodate }[2]-58: 7 \text {, } \\
& 58: 21 \\
& \text { accommodation }[1]-58: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { agreement }[4]-49: 6,59: 25, \\
& 60: 12,84: 8 \\
& \text { ahead }[17]-5: 15,6: 13,7: 3, \\
& 8: 17,25: 21,35: 17,47: 17, \\
& 58: 15,61: 7,70: 24,71: 5, \\
& 71: 7,71: 9,101: 8,102: 10, \\
& 102: 15,107: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 36: 10,36: 14,48: 16,50: 24, \\
& 57: 20,58: 1,78: 3,81: 4, \\
& 85: 14,93: 5 \\
& \text { assigned }[2]-37: 13,37: 15 \\
& \text { assume }[3]-13: 23,31: 24, \\
& 60: 13 \\
& \text { assumed }[1]-60: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4 & accomplished [1] - 67:11 & aiding \([1]-58: 2\) & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 40_{[1]-52: 2} \\
& 43_{[1]}-6: 25 \\
& 43-A[4]-6: 7,6: 10,6: 12, \\
& 6: 14 \\
& 4: 00[1]-38: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
According [2] - 42:2, 76:12 \\
accurate [4] - 19:11, 38:23, \\
68:1, 103:23 \\
Accurate [1] - 102:12 \\
accurately [2] - 19:4, 50:17 \\
acknowledge [1] - 63:10 \\
acknowledged [1] - 63:24 \\
act \([1]-56: 2\) \\
actions [1] - 39:1 \\
acts \([3]\) - 17:3, 39:6, 57:11 \\
actual \([1]\) - \(6: 25\) \\
add [1] - 58:23
\end{tabular}} & \begin{tabular}{l}
alike \({ }_{[1]}-85: 20\) \\
alleged [1]-48:25 \\
Allen [1] -5:24 \\
almost [1]-34:14 \\
alone \([1]\) - 20:16 \\
Amendment [2] - 51:21,
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
assure [1] - 59:24 \\
ATM [22] - 11:3, 11:14, 16:12, 16:20, 16:22, 38:1, 38:21, 40:4, 40:14, 42:13, 42:17, 42:24, 43:11, 44:10, 44:14, 46:21, 73:1, 87:25, 88:1,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6 & & & 99:11, 104:7, 104:12 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6[2]-22: 2,22: 4 \\
& 690[1]-23: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 37:21, } 98: 1,98: 13 \\
\text { answer }[4]-8: 18,25: 5, \\
94: 25,95: 2 \\
\text { answered }[1]-65: 23
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
attended [1] - 36:25 \\
Attorney [1] - 1:19 \\
attorney (8) - 33:1, 61:13, \\
79:18, 98:2, 98:3, 98:6,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

98:11, 98:15
Attorneys [1]-80:8
audio [1]-62:9
authenticate [1] - 47:7
authentication \({ }^{21}\) - 70:16, 70:18
authority \([1]-52: 10\)
avoided [1] - 20:13
awaited [1] - 14:23
aware [3]-65:11, 81:25, 98:5

\section*{B}
background [1]-15:5
bad [2]-27:6, 34:8
bag [5] - 26:9, 26:10, 26:17, 27:12, 28:16
balcony [1]-61:10
bank [3]-22:15, 22:16, 23:8
BANKS [17]-3:13, 4:2, 4:4, 4:19, 10:18, 10:21, 49:2, 52:13, 58:11, 58:13, 60:8, 66:14, 69:6, 69:10, 101:5, 102:11, 102:13
banks [1]-17:16
Banks [3]-1:21, 64:15, 66:4
Based [4] - 24:22, 27:10, 31:18, 59:6
based (8) - \(3: 25,19: 11\), 27:10, 27:18, 66:6, 66:8, 98:3, 105:18
bathroom [3]-68:7, 76:20, 77:5
bear [1] - 68:17
bears [2] - 65:17, 68:16
beat \([1]\) - 13:17
became [1]-71:16
befriend [1] - 92:24
began \(\{2\}\) - 17:2, 89:21
beginning [3] - 34:20, 95:10,
101:3
begins [1] - 70:1
behalf [1]-79:24
behind (1] - 44:11
belonged [1] - \(28: 3\)
bench [1]-3:20
benefit \({ }_{[1]}\) - 107:4
best [3]-21:21, 53:23, 98:13
better [2]-36:18, 59:2
between [9]-20:2, 35:15,
47:14, 48:1, 48:6, 69:2,
106:7, 107:2, 107:9
big [1] - 96:23
Bill [2] - 109:9, 109:18
BILL [1] - 1:24
bit [18] - 15:4, 32:13, 42:13,
43:10, 43:11, 51:8, 59:1,
71:11, 71:25, 72:7, 86:24,

91:22, 92:23, 92:25, 97:3, 100:6, 101:15, 107:8
bits [1] - \(52: 5\)
Bixler [2]-1:13, 109:13
black [2]-15:14, 16:9 blackjack [4]-30:9, 30:11, 31:5, 31:14
blame [1]-100:13
blames [1] - 57:9
blaming [1] - 67:18
blasted [1] - 44:19
blown [1] - \(36: 19\)
booked [5]-21:6, 21:9, 21:10, 21:11, 21:12
bottom \([4]-54: 4,63: 13\), 69:5, 76:18
boy \({ }^{[1]}\) - \(88: 13\)
bread [1]-18:14
break [5]-47:18, 47:19, 61:8, 61:25, 64:17
brief [3]-3:13, 64:21, 107:8
briefed \({ }^{[1]}\) - 15:2
briefing [1]-37:16
briefings [2] - 36:25, 37:8
Briefly (1) - 26:2
briefly \([2]\)-4:12, \(28: 18\)
Bring [3] - 69:11, 69:13, 69:20
bring [5] - 3:8, 51:24, 52:6, 54:24, 62:8
bro [1]-35:21
brother \({ }_{[2]}\)-28:3, 97:23
brother's \{2\}-28:21, 98:1
brought \({ }_{11}\) - 12:13
Browning [1] - 40:6
Bruton [2]-56:21, 59:16
bunch [3]-49:25, 57:11. 107:13
bureau [1]-22:24
business [2]-74:2, 74:6
butt [3] - 51:23, 103:3
butter \({ }_{[1]}-18: 14\)
buy \({ }^{1}\) 1-87:19
buys [1] - 27:2
BY (32]-1:24, 7:7, 8:20,
11:1, 12:21, 21:4, 22:7,
26:1, 28:17, 31:3, 31:17, 32:11, 35:19, 47:4, 71:10, 73:14, 75:6, 79:9, 81:17, 84:4, 85:1, 85:18, 90:13, 91:21, 95:3, 99:1, 101:1, 101:17, 102:6, 102:21, 105:6, 105:17

\section*{C}

C232494 [1] - 1:8
cameras [2] - 42:14, 42:25
cannot [2] - 51:19, 51:24
canvas [5]-48:19, 49:5, 59:23, 60:12, 60:21
canvassed [3]-48:18, 50:23, 57:14
canvasses [1]-60:1
car [1]-89:17
card [1] - 99:11
cards [2] - 16:17, 87:25
care [1]-62:11
career [4]-27:13, 28:2, 33:11
carried (1] - 35:2
Case [1]-1:8
case (19]-13:10, 18:15,
19:21, 20:20, 21:23, 33:15,
34:3, 55:2, 67:1, 80:7,
80:10, 81:8, 81:12, 81:19,
83:12, 94:5, 99:6, 105:8
Casey [1] - 1:21
casinos (1) - 97:10
cast \([1]\) - \(88: 5\)
cautious [1] - 64:1
CCR \({ }_{[3]}\) - 1:24, 109:9, 109:18
CD [7]-4:17, 6:24, 47:25,
63:18, 63:21, 64:3, 64:15
cell [2]-46:14, 73:15
certain [5] - 33:22, 56:8,
59:2, 92:3, 92:24
certainly \([4]-48: 22,50: 21\), 58:21, 59:3
Certainly [1] - 47:3
Certified [i] - 109:19
certify \({ }^{[1]}\) - 109:9
chairs [1]-3:11
chance \([1]\) - \(35: 7\)
characteristics [1]-21:7
charge \([4]\) - \(23: 1,73: 7\),
73:12, 80:13
charged [4]-53:8, 56:1,
57:12, 84:11
Charger [6] - 74:18, 74:20,
75:2, 75:7, 75:13, 75:16
charges [6]-48:13, 78:18,
82:8, 84:18
choices [2]-32:22, 100:1
choose [5] - 32:24, 33:1,
33:3, 33:5, 49:18
chose [3]-33:16, 34:19, 39:9
Christopher [32]-39:12,
39:14, 39:16, 39:22, 39:24, 40:1, 40:3, 40:6, 40:11, 40:14, 41:3, 41:5, 41:13, 41:17, 43:14, 44:4, 44:7. 44:10, 44:15, 44:19, 44:21, 44:22, 46:3, 51:7, 51:11, 51:14, 71:20, 71:22, 87:5, 101:4, 101:10, 101:13
Christopher's [4] - 43:18,
43:20, 51:11, 71:23
circumstances [2]-48:21, 55:1
Circus [8] - 30:3, 30:12,
75:3, 75:18
city \((4)-98: 2,98: 6,98: 11\), 98:15
clarify [1] - 72:18
CLARK [2]-1:4, 109:6
class [1]-98:11
clean [1]-101:16
clear (9)-3:17, 23:10, 23:22, 25:17, 31:9, 59:14, 71:19, 78:8, 99:3
clearly [2]-38:9, 50:12
CLERK [2] - 5:22, 6:23
client [2] - 59:9, 59:22
client's [1]-67:4
close [3]-10:21, 76:22, 107:10
closest [1] - 11:8
closing [2] - 53:1, 53:12
clothes [12] - 12:5, 12:6,
12:10, 12:11, 39:3, 40:7.
46:18, 88:10, 88:14, 88:18,
89:7, 90:21
club [1] - \(97: 11\)
Co \({ }^{(7)}\) - 48:2, 48:10, 48:12, 50:13, 55:7, 56:7, 82:4
Co-Defendant (6] - 48:2, 48:10, 48:12, 50:13, 55:7, 56:7
Co-Defendants [1]-82:4
coat [1]-96:20
coincide (1)-70:5
cold [2] - 11:21, 89:22
collecting \([1]-6: 20\)
Colorado (1) - 29:21
combination [1]-52:13
comfortable [3] - 93:9,
93:13, \(93: 14\)
coming [4] - 35:7, 54:16, 101:6, 101:16
commencing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 4:17
comment [5] - 16:23, 63:25,
64:8, 65:3, 65:18
committed [1] - 92:20
committing [2]-56:2, 57:11
commonly [1]-20:1
complete [2]-53:17, 72:18
completed \([\) [ \(]\) - 14:9
completely [2] - 57:6, 68:13
completeness [2]-52:16, 54:21
concerns [1]-66:15
conclude (1] - 31:20
concluded [1] - 108:5
conclusion [2]-27:11, 27:19
conditions [1] - 60:18
condom[1]-89:16
condoms [1] - 73:18
Condoms [1] - 73:20
conduct \([8]\) - \(33: 19,34: 6\),
38:21, 48:25, 90:16, 93:17, 99:13, 100:8
conducted [1] - 72:13
conferring [1] - 23:2
confession [3]-53:7, 53:8, 86:25
confiscated [5] - 24:3, 24:6,
24:11, 26:6, 27:23
confront (5) - 35:5, 53:19, 54:1, 54:5, 59:8
confrontation [1] - 66:7
confronted [2]-9:2, 45:9
confuse [1]-96:12
consecutive \({ }_{[1]}-52: 2\)
consistent [4]-63:23, 66:25,
105:12, 105:15
consistently \([1]\) - 67:19
conspiracy \({ }_{[1]}\) - 80:21
constitutional [2]-54:3, 56:8
construction [1] - 97:15
Construction [1] - 97:17
Cont'g [1]-2:5
contact \([7]-22: 16,37: 24\),
38:10, 46:24, 67:3, 75:25, 76:13
contacted [1] - \(97: 8\)
contacting \({ }^{[1]}-80: 5\)
contains [1]-6:7
content [2] - 48:2, 48:3
context [1]-71:11
CONTINUING \({ }_{[1]}-7: 6\)
control [1]-16:10
conversation [2]-7:9, 36:21
convey [1]-65:9
convince [1] - 52:9
cooperation [1]-79:15
cooperative \({ }_{[1]}\) - 78:2
cops [1]-13:17
copy [2] - 48:18, 71:2
Correct [29]-8:3, 8:11, 9:6, 9:19, 9:20, 20:12, 20:14, 34:10, 46:4, 46:6, 46:13, 73:2, 74:12, 76:1, 76:3, \(76: 5,76: 8,76: 11,86: 23\), 92:15, 93:4, 95:15, 95:17, 97:24, 99:9, 99:21, 99:24,
100:7, 100:12
correct [24]-8:10, 9:5,
14:17, 21:8, 36:9, 38:24, 50:3, 62:10, 73:5, 77:16, 78:13, 78:19, 78:24, 80:8, 82:15, 84:8, 84:19, 91:1, 91:25, 92:5, 97:6, 102:2,
103:17, 109:11
correctly [1]-57:25
Counsel [5]-35:16, 47:15,

69:3, 106:8, 107:3
counsel (5) - 6:9,59:11, 69:16, 71:9
counsel's [1]-62:8
count (4) - 48:15, 81:2, 85:14, 102:18
counts [6] - 48:14, 80:21, 80:24, 81:5, 83:14, 85:13
COUNTY \(_{[2]}-1: 4,109: 6\)
couple [4]-35:25, 62:24, 78:5, 95:8
course [5]-9:10, 18:10, 33:11, 70:15, 106:11 courses [1]-33:19 Court [24] - 3:14, 35:15, 47:14, 47:20, 48:3, 49:3, 49:16, 51:19, 54:15, 58:5, 58:19, 62:3, 62:9, 65:10, 66:4, 66:15, 68:11, 69:2, 70:15, 106:7, 107:2, 107:21, 109:13, 109:19
court [5] - 5:3, 69:15, 81:8, 81:12, 81:20
COURT \({ }_{[120]}-1: 3,3: 6,3: 12\),
3:24, 4:7, 4:13, 4:21, 4:24, 5:4, 5:8, 5:15, 5:25, 6:12, 6:17, 6:21, 7:3, 8:16, 10:24, 12:17, 21:3, 22:6, 25:1, 25:5, 25:8, 25:11, 25:18, 27:20, 31:2, 31:11, 31:16, 31:23, 32:4, 35:14, 35:17, 47:3, 47:13, 47:16, 47:23, 48:17, 49:3, 49:13, 50:3, 52:9, 54:25, 55:17, 56:1, 56:15, 57:7, 57:22, 57:24, 58:14, 58:21, 59:2, 60:6, \(60: 10,61: 7,61: 12\), 61:21, 61:25, 62:12, 62:18, 63:16, 64:3, 64:10, 64:13, 64:20, 65:2, 65:4, 65:11, 65:17, 65:22, 66:18, 67:6, 67:9, 68:3, 68:12, 69:1, 69:4, 69:7, 69:11, 69:16, 69:20, 69:25, 70:20, 70:24, 71:3, 71:4, 71:7, 74:24, 75:4, 81:15, 83:21, 83:24, 84:2, 84:15, 84:19, 85:17. \(90: 12,91: 15,91: 17,94: 25\), 98:21, 100:21, 101:6, 102:9, 102:14, 105:3, 105:16, 105:22, 105:24, 106:1, 106:6, 106:9, 106:14, 106:18, 106:22, 106:24, 107:4, 107:24, 108:3
Court's [7]-35:18, 47:2,
49:12, 63:25, 90:10, 91:14, 105:2
courtesy [t] - 58:9
courtroom [1] - 4:6
cousin [6] - 43:18, 43:20,
44:10, 44:15, 51:11, 71:23
CR \({ }_{[1]}\) - 2:3
crazy [2]-95:12, 95:14
credibility [2]-64:1, 64:9
Creek (6) - 13:11, 14:20,
14:23, 17:8, 22:9, 36:23
crime [7]-19:16, 20:2, 21:5,
39:17, 92:12, 92:21, 95:24
crimes (6) - 18:18, 19:23,
23:5, 80:14, 80:16, 83:15
criminal [3]-48:25, 54:8, 57:11
Cross [1]-12:17
cross [6]-50:15, 51:20,
54:2, 54:5, 56:12, 64:17
CROSS \({ }_{[1]}\) - 12:20
cross-examination [1]64:17
CROSS-EXAMINATION \([1]\) 12:20
cross-examine [5]-50:15, 51:20, 54:2, 54:5, 56:12
сry [2]-85:24, 86:18
crying \({ }_{[1]}\) - 86:7
Crystal \({ }_{[6]}\) - 13:11, 14:20,
14:23, 17:8, 22:9, 36:23
cuff [1] - 59:24
culpability [3] - 39:9, 50:24, 51:1
culpable [3] - 53:6, 53:13, 53:14
curative [2]-3:14, 3:20
cure [1] - 3:21
CURTIS [5] - 5:17, 7:6,
12:20, 91:20, 105:5
Curtis [2] - 2:5, 5:24
custody [1] - 32:15
cut [1]-55:19
cute [2] - 88:12, 88:13
D D
D.C \({ }_{[3]}-43: 23,44: 22,71: 22\) DA \({ }^{[5]}\) - 77:25, 78:12, 78:19, 79:15
Dane [14]-14:17, 14:19,
15:2, 23:11, 29:1, 39:19,
44:2, 45:22, 99:22, 103:22,
104:23
danger [1]-20:10
Danielle [6] - 9:3, 39:5, 40:6,
46:17, 88:15, 90:7
Dated [1]-109:14
days [1] - 78:5
deadly \([3]-48: 15,85: 13\),
85:14
deal [3] - 18:17, 28:8, 68:18
dealer (4)-23:20, 24:23,

\section*{25:7, 26:18}
dealers [2]-26:2, 26:4
dealing \({ }^{[2]}\) - 37:3, 97:4
dealings [1]-26:25
deals[3]-27:6, 27:12, 85:9
dealt [2]-26:2, 97:6
debit [1] - 16:17
decide [2]-105:11, 107:9
decided [3]-39:24, 44:22,
82:23
decision [6]-59:10, 59:21,
66:4, 66:5, 66:7, \(66: 9\)
declarant [5] - 52:12, 53:14,
57:3, 63:24, 67:15
declaration [2]-51:12, 51:16
declarations [2]-52:5, 54:18
deemed [1]-70:15
Defendant [29]-1:10, 1:21,
7:10, 7:12, 8:9, 12:7, 12:11, 48:2, 48:7, 48:10,
48:12, 48:21, 48:22, 49:24,
50:12, 50:13, 54:8, 55:7.
56:7, 57:9, 57:12, 59:7,
94:7, 94:12, 94:20, 95:22,
96:16, 99:7, 102:22
Defendant's [2] - 7:15, 71:6
Defendants [2]-82:4, 85:20
Defender [1]-1:22
Defense (14] - 3:18, 6:9,
47:11, 47:25, 48:9, 49:18,
62:7, 70:2, 70:3, 70:13,
82:14, 82:18, 83:20, 83:24
defense \{5\}-54:4, 54:11,
54:13, 55:23, 66:23
define \({ }_{[1]}-25: 6\)
Definitely \({ }_{[1]}-72: 25\)
definitely \([3]\) - 29:24, 76:6, 76:9
definition \([1]-25: 18\)
degree [1]-81:1
degrees [1] - 59:4
Delarian [33]-17:22, 18:5,
22:3, 37:24, 39:2, 40:13,
48:2, 51:20, 54:14, 55:13,
58:17, 59:8, 59:18, 70:14,
79:8, 79:16, 80:13, 83:1,
83:6, 83:10, 83:11, 84:10,
84:12, 85:3, 94:6, 96:6,
99:4, 99:7, 100:15, 101:2,
103:20, 104:4, 104:6
demanded [1]-16:15
Demanded [1] - 16:17
denied [1]-42:10
department [2]-37:6, 92:4
deprived [1]-59:7
Dept \([\) [t] - 1:9
Deputies [2]-1:19, 1:22
described [7]-15:23, 17:21,

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline foot [3]-20:19, 22:2, 22:4 & great \({ }_{[2]}\) - 15:20, 45:17 & help [3]-10:4, 10:6, 78:11 & including [2] - 38:23, 74:24 \\
\hline football [1]-45:19 & gross [4]-84:17, 84:20, & helped [2]-29:21, 29:23 & inconsistent [10] - 52:15, \\
\hline force [1] - 51:24 & 84:22, 85:2 & hereby [1]-109:9 & 64:10, 65:18, 65:19, 65:21, \\
\hline forced [1]-56:23 & ground [1]-59:1 & hide [1] - 87:13 & 5:25, 66:21, 66:24, 67:13, \\
\hline forcing [1] - 67:1 & guess [5] - 26:19, 26:20, & himself \([2]-57: 13,67: 23\) & :16 \\
\hline foregoing [2] - 83:17, 109:10 & 58:5, 66:3, 66:17 & hoid (1) - 76:6 & incriminating [3] - 49:22, \\
\hline form[2]. 27:19, 82:17 & guessing [1] - 60:2 & holding [1] - 44:15 & 9:23, 50 \\
\hline forth [2]-103:14 & guilty \([8]\) - 49:6, 52:14, & home [2] - 74:14, 74:17 & incrimination [1]-56:9 \\
\hline forward [1] - 63:21 & 57:14, 59:25, 60:19, 83:15, & Hon [1] - 109:13 & inculpating [1] - 54:19 \\
\hline foundation \([2]\) - 25:2, 98:18 & 84:8, 85:11 & honest [2]-65:1, 65:4 & indicate (6)-7:20, 8:21, \\
\hline four [3]-24:21, 83:14, 93:17 & gun [20]-8:10, 12:4, 40:1, & honestly [2]-28:2, 53:10 & 9:24, 11:4, 11:17, 12:11 \\
\hline friend \([4]-11: 3,11: 14\), & 40:9, 44:5, 44:7, 46:5, & honesty (1] - 78:6 & indicated [1] - 3:19 \\
\hline 39:12, 97:13 & 46:7, 46:8, 46:9, 46:11 & Honor (5]-66:13, 69:1 & indicating [1] - 48:9 \\
\hline friend's [1]-9:22 & 1:3, 53:16, 67:2, 73:5 & 69:19, 70:25, 91:16 & indication [3] - 10:9 \\
\hline friends [3] - 29:19, 41:23, & 76:6, 87:16, 104:14, & Honorable [1]-1:13 & indicative [1] - 86:4 \\
\hline 9:19 & 04: & hope [2]-4:4, 106:3 & indifferent [1] - 86:1 \\
\hline frightened [1]-18:18 front [3]-62:25, 70:5, & \begin{tabular}{l}
gunpoint [4]-93:18 \\
guns [3]-91:5, 91:7
\end{tabular} & Hopefully [1]-47:18 hostage [1] - 44:16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { individual }[3]-17: 21,29: 5 \\
& 31: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 102: 25 \\
& \text { full }[2]-36: 19,44: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { guy }[6]-23: 9,42: 6,56: 10, \\
& 75: 19,77: 8,95: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hour }[5]-4: 14,47: 25,51: 5 \text {, } \\
& 62: 7,62: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & individuals \{3\} - 37:22, 96:4, 96:6 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
full-blown [1] - 36:19 \\
funny [z]-11:10, 11:12
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { guys }[13]-4: 8,12: 3,20: 3 \\
32: 12,47: 17,55: 5,56: 19
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hour-and-a-half }[2]-47: 25 \text {, } \\
& 62: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { indulgence }[5]-35: 18,47: 2 \text {, } \\
& 90: 10,91: 14,105: 2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline furtherance [1]-54:12 & \[
60: 13,61: 7,61: 8,65: 11
\] & hours [1] - 35:25 & inferred [1]-62:25 \\
\hline future []]-83:6 & 75:22, 90:14 & house [19]-11:4, 18:3, 18:7, & informal [1] - 33:18 \\
\hline G & H & \[
0: 13,44: 11,45: 4,
\] & 27:10, 28:7, 33:22, 36:10, \\
\hline & & 45:22, 67:25, 87:3, 87:4, & \[
36: 24,38: 9,38: 19,38: 23,
\] \\
\hline gambling [3]-28:4, 97:23, & half [3] - 47:25, 62:22, 98:12 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 96: 4,97: 13,103: 22, \\
& 104: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 45:9, 48:23, 49:14, 72:19, } \\
& 74: 4,78: 9,78: 22,90: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 9 & hand [2] - 10:4, 35: & huge \([1]\) - 4:3 & \[
90: 19,91: 2,91: 6,92: 18,
\] \\
\hline garage [1] - 75:17 & handcuffed \([1]\) - \(32:\) & hundred [1]-19:11 & 101:14, 102:1, 102:7, \\
\hline gather [1]-78:9 & handcuffs \([1]-57: 10\) & hurt [2] - 13:2, 52:19 & 103:23 \\
\hline gathering [1]-23: &  & & initial [1]-48:6 \\
\hline Gay [1]-3:16 general \({ }_{[1]}\)-95:5 & handles [1] - 24:2 hands [1]-78:17 & I & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { initiated }[3]-37: 24,38: 10 \text {, } \\
& 38: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline gentlemen [2] - 5:25, 69:25 & happy [4]-25:4, 44:25, 45:2, 66:10 & & insisted [1] - 49:11 \\
\hline giggling \([1]-8: 22\) &  & idea (7)-7:17, 9:21, 9:22, & instead [1] - 7:1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { girl }[9]-9: 7,9: 24,74: 14, \\
& 74: 17,75: 20,75: 21,88: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hard }[3]-28: 6,28: 11,97: 11 \\
& \text { hard }[4]-19: 3,76: 10,91: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
29: 2,29: 3,48: 3,97: 5 \\
\text { identified }[1]-12: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & instruction [3] - 3:14, 3:18 3:20 \\
\hline 88:23, 93:5 & 6:19 & identifying [1] - 21:7 & instructions [2]-4:9, 107:7 \\
\hline girl's [1] - 36:1 & hardly [1] - 96:22 & immediately [2]-19:16, & intended [1]-59:18 \\
\hline given [9] - 15:5, 58:9, 63:10, & harm [1] - 99:17 & 19:23 & interest [1]-52:24 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 72: 20,82: 13,94: 13,98: 8, \\
& 99: 3,107: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Hartshorn }[11]-7: 10,12: 8, \\
30: 20,77: 7,77: 11,77: 23,
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { impeach }[4]-56: 24,57: 3, \\
& 57: 7,57: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & interests [9]-51:12, 51:16, \\
\hline goofy [1] - 68:6 & 78:25, 79:14, 79:23, 80:1, & impeaches [1] - 57:13 & \[
53: 7,53: 11,54: 18
\] \\
\hline grabbed [ 1 ]-73:21 & 82:24 & implicates [1]-59:9 & interrogate [2] - 32:19, 72:1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
G r a n t[35]-9: 11,9: 14,9: 15 \\
15: 17,16: 11,23: 9,23: 12
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hate }[1]-4: 10 \\
& \text { head }[2]-35: 23,76:
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
implied [2]-42:21, 42:22 \\
imply [1] - 93:22
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
interrogation \([4]\) - 41:6, \\
43.4, 72:14, 77.20
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 23:14, 23:18, 23:20, 24:22, & heads [2]-91:5, 91:7 & \[
\text { implying }[1]-43:
\] &  \\
\hline 26:24, 28:25, 37:3, 37:25, & hear (7)-9:11, 13:3, 16:3, & important [1]-66:22 & interrupt \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\) - 49:10 \\
\hline 39:14, 39:24, 41:18, 41:20, & 20:15, 43:16, 73:11, 74:4 & impossible [1] - 55:4 & interview [28] - 6:8, 7:9, \\
\hline 41:21, 41:23, 41:25, 42:2, & heard \([7]-8: 16,16: 11\), & Impounded [1] - 12:9 & \[
7: 16,8: 21,9: 10,42: 1
\] \\
\hline 42:3, 42:8, 43:10, 59:17, & 41:10, 65:20, 74:14, 95:18, & impounded [1]-12:10 & 47:6, 47:8, 48:1, 48:5 \\
\hline \[
74: 1,74: 6,97: 4,97: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 96: 3 \\
& \text { hearing }[3]-81: 24,82: 1 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\mathbb{I N}_{[1]}\) - 1:3 & :6, 50:16, 51:1, 70:1, \\
\hline 99:2, \(99: 10,101: 15\)
Grant's [1]-23:22 & 82:21 & inadmissible [1]-56:14 inappropriate [ \(\left.{ }^{1}\right]\) - 57:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 70: 10,70: 14,71: 1,91: 23, \\
& 92: 8,92: 11,92: 12,92: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Great [11]-14:17, 14:19, & hearsay [2]-51:17 & inasmuch [1] - 50:14 & \[
92: 16,93: 16,100: 1,101: 3
\] \\
\hline 15:2, 23:11, 29:1, 39:19, & heck (1)-53:1 & incident [2] - 20:20, 20:25 & 102:25, 104:16 \\
\hline 44:2, 45:22, 99:22, 103:22, & height \([3]-21: 17,22: 1,22: 3\) & included [1] - \(41: 7\) & interviewed \([3]\) - 18:11, \\
\hline 104:23 & held [2] - 91:4, 93:17 & includes [2] - 52:21, 60:16 & 27:22, 85:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
interviewing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 14:9
interviews [7]-9:13, 17:5, 33:19, 102:19, 103:19, 103:21, 104:22
introduce \([4]\) - 49:4, 59:18, 60:24
invents [1]-67:24
investigation [7]-17:20, 50:6, 72:17, 74:5, 75:8, 75:12, 106:11
investigative \([1]\) - 50:4
invoke [1]-58:18
involved [5] - 13:10, 23:9,
71:17, 80:13, 107:14
involvement [1]-67:18
involves [1] - 43:14
involving \({ }_{[2]}\) - 72:18, 82:8
irrelevant \([3]\) - 56:6, 66:19, 67:14
Irrelevant [2]-65:16, 65:17
issue [7]-41:1, 58:11,
58:13, 61:1, 66:17, 66:18, 95:16
issues [3] - 40:24, 59:15, 59:16
itself \([1]-85: 6\)

\section*{J}
jail \([6]\) - 12:3, 21:6, 21:9, 21:10, 21:11, 51:24
James [2] - 1:13, 109:13
jars [1] - 24:21
jeans [1]-89:14
Jeff [1]-1:21
job (4)-28:5, 28:15, 97:9, 97:14
Joe [2] - 6:18, 71:8
Judge [30] - 4:4, 5:6, 5:7, 6:5, 8:14, 10:18, 12:18, 21:2, 22:5, 25:10, 35:13,
47:10, 49:10, 54:7, 59:13,
60:15, 61:4, 61:18, 65:1,
66:23, 68:25, 70:13, 83:19,
85:5, 98:18, 100:18, 102:3,
102:11, 106:17, 109:13
JUDICIAL [1]-1:3
Jump [1]-61:10 jurisdiction [1]-61:20
jurors [2]-69:8, 71:11
Jury [2] - 47:20, 107:21
jury [24]-3:5, 3:9, 4:5, 4:22,
\(5: 3,5: 5,6: 15,6: 20,47: 22\), 48:11, 53:17, 62:17, 63:1, 65:20, 69:13, 69:15, 69:17, 71:6, 86:12, 105:10, 107:4, 107:18, 107:23, 108:2
JURY \({ }_{[1]}-1: 12\)
Justin [3] - 39:5, 46:17,
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline \(88: 15\) & \\
\hline & \(\mathbf{K}\) \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Kam [35]-29:5, 29:7, 29:18, 29:21, 29:25, 30:3, 33:15, 36:21, 39:22, 40:9, 41:10, 41:23, 41:25, 42:8, 43:10, 44:9, 44:14, 44:22, 45:24, 46:5, 46:11, 86:16, 87:24, 88:1, 88:5, 88:9, 88:12, 88:13, 88:15, 88:18, 88:20, 88:25, 89:16
Kameron [21]-7:21, 7:24, 7:25, 8:2, 8:4, 9:17, 9:18, 10:7, 10:10, 13:5, 35:21, 36:3, 87:7, 87:9, 94:13, 95:4, 95:11, 95:14, 96:6, 96:9, 97:13
Kameron's [2]-9:21, 95:8
Kamerson [1] - 36:4
keep [4]-55:17, 55:18, 92:3, 101:18
kidnapping \([1]-81: 1\)
kids (16] - 8:21, 11:3, 11:24,
13:21, 14:3, 14:17, 15:8, 15:10, 16:20, 17:2, 44:11, 44:15, 45:6, 72:18, 72:20
kill [3]-88:20, 91:11, 99:16
kind [15] - 6:17, 7:15, 22:10, 23:2, 30:12, 55:1, 74:1, 76:13, 76:20, 86:13, 92:24, 93:25, 95:16, 101:22, 107:12
knowledge [2] - 80:2, 80:3
known [1] - 52:11
knows [5] - 38:23, 39:14,
62:3, 75:1, 75:5
KOLLINS [21]-25:14, 50:1, 55:15, 56:21, 58:5, 58:12, 58:16, 59:6, 59:25, 60:16, 61:10, 61:19, 62:3, 63:25, 64:6, 64:12, 64:14, 66:3, 68:2, 68:25, 70:25
Kollins [1]-1:18
L

Lack[1]-98:18
Ladies [2]-5:25, 69:25
lag (1)-20:2
laid [1]-25:2
Landis \([7]-1: 21,64: 14\), 66:5, 91:22, 92:23, 97:3, 99:25
LANDIS \({ }_{7711}-5: 6,6: 11,8: 14\), 12:18, 12:21, 20:25, 21:4, 22:5, 22:7, 25:4, 25:9, 25:16, 26:1, 28:17, 31:3,
\(31: 17,32: 2,32: 11,35: 18\), 35:19, 47:2, 47:4, 47:10, 49:9, 52:16, 57:23, 58:1, 59:1, 59:5, 59:13, 60:15, 60:19, 61:4, 61:17, 64:25, 65:3, 66:22, 67:7, 67:22, 69:18, 70:8, 70:13, 70:23, 71:10, 73:12, 73:14, 75:6, 79:9, 81:10, 81:17, 83:19, 84:1, 84:4, 84:16, 84:22, 85:1, 85:12, 85:18, 90:10, \(90: 13,91: 14,91: 16,94: 22\), 98:17, 100:17, 102:3. 105:2, 105:6, 105:17, 105:21, 106:17
Lane [1]-3:16
Las [6]-1:19, 1:22, 3:1, 29:25, 109:14, 109:19 last (15] - 6:7, 13:3, 35:1, 35:24, 36:1, 41:16, 45:15, 67:17, 69:4, 70:8, 73:25, 74:13, 106:9, 106:21
late \({ }^{11}\) - \(58: 17\)
laugh [2]-85:22, 86:16 laughing [2]-8:22, 86:9
law \({ }^{11}\) - 107:7
lawyer (4)-85:8, 98:5, 98:19, 100:3
lead [3]-53:7, 53:8, 79:3
leading [3]-8:15, 94:22, 100:19
Leading [2] - 100:18, 102:4
learn [2] - 92:10, 92:16
learned [4]-16:8, 17:20, 28:25, 75:13
least [2]-22:16, 24:12
leather [1]-15:24
leave \([7]\) - \(9: 21,9: 22,28: 18\), 40:16, 40:19, 64:17, 106:10
leeway [3]-31:23, 51:8, 94:23
left (9) - 4:25, 6:1, 14:19,
38:18, 44:21, 44:22, 46:21, 74:11, \(88: 7\)
legal [3] - 52:10, 54:13, 54:15
legitimate [1]-97:9
lengthy \([1]\) - \(9: 13\)
leniency \({ }_{(1)}\)-79:24
lenient \([1]\) - 78:12
letting [2]-57:1, 68:21
lie [4]-33:8, 72:4, 90:3, 100:3
lies [2]-72:11, 100:6
life (5) - 36:1, 36:6, 52:1,
63:5, 63:14
light (3)-52:14, 54:17, 85:22
limited \([1]-52: 11\)
limits [2] - \(60: 8,60: 10\)
line [2]-40:24, 54:4
Lisa [1]-1:18
listed \(\{1\}\) - 22:4
listen (2) - 66:19, 105:7
listened [3]-7:8, 100:15, 101:2
listening \({ }^{[1]}\) - 4:17
lived \([3]-23: 16,28: 10\), 29:25
living \([2]\) - 26:13, 54:16
located (1) - 37:4
location [1] - 30:12
look [13]-4:11, 17:1, 26:20, 34:20, 37:9, 40:18, 42:23, 43:1, 46:16, 47:1, 76:25, 83:3, \(96: 18\)
looked \(\{2]\) - 4:8, 4:12
Looking [1] - 20:23
looking \([4]\) - 12:4, 20:20,
38:17, 66:12
looks (1] - 79:13
lost \([1]\) - 4:10
lunch [6] - 4:13, 61:5, 61:8,
61:25, 62:7, 71:25
luncheon [1]-62:14
Luzaich (1)-1:18
LUZAICH [79]-3:10, 4:3,
4:5, 4:10, 4:20, 4:23, 5:1,
5:7, 5:13, 6:5, 6:19, 6:25,
7:4, 7:7, 8:19, 8:20, 10:20,
10:23, 11:1, 12:15, 20:23,
24:24, 25:2, 27:16, 30:25,
31:8, 31:22, 35:13, 47:12,
48:14, 50:19, 54:10, 55:12,
55:16, 55:22, 56:13, 57:17,
61:2, 61:13, 61:23, 63:15,
65:9, 65:16, 65:20, 68:10,
69:19, 70:17, 73:10, 73:13,
74:22, 75:1, 79:6, 81:9,
81:13, 83:22, 84:13, 84:20,
85:5, 85:16, 91:18, 91:21,
95:1, 95:3, 98:20, 99:1,
100:23, 101:1, 101:17,
102:6, 102:12, 102:21,
104:25, 105:13, 105:23,
105:25, 106:4, 106:16,
106:21, 106:23
lying [2] - 86:7, 90:1

\section*{M}
ma'am [24]-10:14, 92:1,
92:6, 92:9, 92:22, 93:8,
93:11, 93:15, 93:21, 94:1,
94:4, 94:8, 94:15, 94:18, 95:13, 96:11, 96:14, 96:17,
101:21, 101:24, 103:1,
103:15, 103:18, 104:24
machine [1]-109:11
major [3]-23:4, 25:7, 34:25
majority [1]-29:15
\(\operatorname{man}(3)-15: 17,53: 25,82: 14\)
mandatory [1]-53:9
marijuana [15]-8:7, 23:20,
23:23, 24:2, 24:14, 24:18,
26:10, 26:14, 26:17, 26:25,
27:12, 28:8, 28:23, 97:6
marked [3]-6:6, 60:1, 70:2
marshal (1)-6:19
mask [3] - 97:13, 99:4, 99:8
material [1] - 38:12
matter [2] - 3:6, 107:17
matters [1]-3:8
mean (16] - 10:15, 12:25,
25:8, 26:20, 28:7, 30:16,
36:14, 36:18, 37:3, 49:24,
50:21, 58:25, 66:6, 67:22,
96:21, 102:13
means [3]-10:16, 25:7, 49:5
meant [1]-74:17
men [2]-15:14, 15:19
men's [1] - 105:11
mention [2]-7:23, 9:5
mentioned \([8]\) - 12:3, 71:14,
80:4, 95:8, 95:23, 97:21,
99:6, 101:9
merely [2]-104:11, 104:17
met [4]-23:18, 27:14, 39:16, 43:21
might \([7]\) - 28:13, 42:21.
72:2, 72:3, 72:4, 89:17
mind \([3]-9: 25,10: 1,101: 20\)
minimize [3]-34:6, 93:17, 100:8
minimizing \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(39: 9\)
minor \(\{1\) ) - \(53: 5\)
minute [1]-89:20
minutes [3]-47:19, 89:20, 103:13
Miranda [2] - 32:16, 32:21
mirrors [1] - 52:22
misdemeanor [1]-84:17
misdemeanors [3]-84:21,
84:23, 85:2
misstate [1]-84:14
mistaken [1]-22:16
mistrial [1]-3:25
mitigate [1] - 53:24
Mornents [1] - \(34: 18\)
moments [1] - 87:11
money [15] - 12:4, 16:15,
24:5, 24:11, 24:12, 24:13,
24:16, 26:22, 27:18, 28:3,
35:5, 44:23, 88:25, 89:2,
98:15
morning [6]-15:1, 17:7,
17:25, 68:11, 107:9, 107:25
most (4)-37:4, 53:6, 70:11,

95:9
motions [1]-59:6
mouth [2]-41:10, 52:3
move [7]-6:10, 29:21,
29:23, 47:10, 70:13, 70:23, 83:19
moved [1]-3:25
movies [1]-11:13
MR (8) - \(3: 13,4: 2,4: 4,4: 19\),
5:6, 6:11, 8:14, 10:18,
10:21, 12:18, 12:21, 20:25, 21:4, 22:5, 22:7, 25:4,
25:9, 25:16, 26:1, 28:17,
31:3, 31:17, 32:2, 32:11,
35:18, 35:19, 47:2, 47:4,
47:10, 49:2, 49:9, 52:13,
52:16, 57:23, 58:1, 58:11,
58:13, 59:1, 59:5, 59:13,
\(60: 8,60: 15,60: 19,61: 4\), 61:17, 64:25, 65:3, 66:14, 66:22, 67:7, 67:22, 69:6, 69:10, 69:18, 70:8, 70:13, 70:23, 71:10, 73:12, 73:14, 75:6, 79:9, 81:10, 81:17, 83:19, 84:1, 84:4, 84:16,
84:22, 85:1, 85:12, 85:18,
90:10, 90:13, 91:14, 91:16, 94:22, 98:17, 100:17, 101:5, 102:3, 102:11, 102:13, 105:2, 105:6, 105:17, 105:21, 106:17 MS \({ }_{(100)}\) - \(3: 10,4: 3,4: 5\), 4:10, 4:20, 4:23, 5:1, 5:7, 5:13, 6:5, 6:19, 6:25, 7:4, 7:7, 8:19, 8:20, 10:20, 10:23, 11:1, 12:15, 20:23, 24:24, 25:2, 25:14, 27:16, 30:25, 31:8, 31:22, 35:13, 47:12, 48:14, 50:1, 50:19, 54:10, 55:12, 55:15, 55:16, 55:22, 56:13, 56:21, 57:17, 58:5, 58:12, 58:16, 59:6, 59:25, 60:16, 61:2, 61:10, 61:13, 61:19, 61:23, 62:3, 63:15, 63:25, 64:6, 64:12, 64:14, 65:9, 65:16, 65:20, \(66: 3,68: 2,68: 10,68: 25\), 69:19, 70:17, 70:25, 73:10, 73:13, 74:22, 75:1, 79:6, 81:9, 81:13, 83:22, 84:13, 84:20, 85:5, 85:16, 91:18, 91:21, 95:1, 95:3, 98:20, 99:1, 100:23, 101:1, 101:17, 102:6, 102:12, 102:21, 104:25, 105:13, 105:23, 105:25, 106:4, 106:16, 106:21, 106:23 murder [1] - 92:13 muscular [1]-96:23
name \([20]-5: 23,7: 23,9: 5\), 9:11, 9:17, 41:16, 43:23, 45:12, 45:15, 77:13, 77:15, 78:4, 79:3, 79:8, 79:10, 87:7, 94:16, 95:9, 101:11
named [2] - 15:17, 39:12
names [2]-83:4, 83:8
NARCUS \([1]-1: 9\)
Narcus [51]-3:7, 13:7, 18:8, 20:18, 22:1, 38:1, 38:20, 45:13, 45:17, 45:24, 46:7, 46:9, 46:14, 46:17, 46:20, 46:24, 50:24, 51:2, 51:3, 52:7, 52:22, 53:16, 54:19, 57:21, 62:19, 67:19, 67:23, 71:14, 71:16, 73:22, 74:15, 77:13, 77:15, 79:4, 79:10, 79:22, 80:13, 84:11, 86:24, 87:2, 89:21, 90:14, 90:23, 91:1, 91:4, 96:7, 103:20, 104:5, 104:11, 104:14
Narcus' \([3]-87: 5,90: 21\), 104:16
narrative [1]-37:17
nature [2] - 60:3, 60:4
necessarily \({ }_{[1]}\) - 86:3
necessary [1]-62:5
need [9] - 4:21, 40:23, 52:9,
58:23, 58:24, 64:1, 66:9,
67:12, 69:12
needs [2]-53:18, 64:21
Nelson [2] - 109:9, 109:18
NELSON [1] - 1:24
nervous (1] - 89:25
NEVADA [3] - 1:4, 1:6, 109:4
Nevada [7]-1:19, 1:22, 3:1,
3:7, 62:19, 109:14, 109:19 never [24]-9:15, 9:17, 26:21, 37:16, 51:9, 51:12, 52:3,
53:25, 56:10, 57:18, 73:5, 73:12, 73:15, 73:18, 74:9,
75:24, 76:2, 76:4, 76:21,
87:2, 87:16, 96:12, 102:1,
103:16
next [5]-5:9, 23:8, 23:10,
78:5, 79:18
NFL [1] - 97:1
night \({ }^{122]}\) - 17:19, 17:24, 18:8, 29:1, 35:1, 35:24, 36:1, 36:7, 37:19, 41:10, 46:25
Niswonger [ \([5]\) - 22:22, 23:7, 37:13, 38:15, 38:16 nobody [4]-75:1, 95:23, 99:6, 103:8
non \(\{2\}\) - 60:4, 67:18
non-involvement [ 4 ] - 67:18
non-probationable [1]-60:4
none [2]-51:10, 51:11
normal [1] - 48:21
normally \(\{1]\) - 56:7
noted [1]-70:22
notes [2] - 17:1, 109:12
nothing [12]-5:20, 7:16,
11:4, 12:16, 49:21, 63:23,
65:17, 68:8, 68:9, 78:3,
97:7, 99:11
Nothing [1] - 105:1
notice [1] - \(58: 8\)
notion [1]-59:14
November [1]-109:15
Number [1]-6:14
number \([5]\) - \(6: 23,7: 1\),
45:10, 59:15, 63:12
0
oath (1] - 69:21
object [3]-8:14, 94:22, 102:3
objected [1] - 51:6
Objection [7]-24:24, 27:17, 79:6, 81:9, 84:13, 98:17. 100:17
objection [12]-6:11, 10:18, 25:15, 25:16, 27:21, 30:25,
31:8, 74:22, 81:13, 83:21,
84:3, 105:13
objections [2] - 70:19, 70:20
obviously [11]-21:24, 33:6,
33:13, 40:23, 49:14, 50:12, 55:3, 58:10, 61:1, 68:15, 105:8
occur [2]-29:15, 78:18 occurred [14]-15:2, 15:6,
18:25, 21:23, 36:11, 36:22,
37:19, 44:2, 45:21, 46:25,
57:20, 82:8, 90:7, 99:22
occurs [1]-21:5
OF \([6]\) - 1:6, 7:6, 12:20,
91:20, 105:5, 109:4
offender [3]-60:17, 63:5, 63:14
offenses [1] - 60:4
office \([1]-80: 8\)
Officer [2]-13:13, 14:1
officer \({ }^{[9]}\) - 13:23, 13:25,
18:11, 26:7, 27:12, 32:9,
85:7, 91:24, 98:19
Officers [1] - 30:11
officers [12]-13:16, 14:2, 14:15, 14:23, 30:22, 31:5, 31:19, 37:18, 38:11, 38:12, 75:11, 101:15
official \({ }_{[1]}-75: 7\)
often \([3]-26: 21,93: 13\),
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 00:8 & 69:6, 76:18, 77:9, 77:11, & perspective [1]-59:3 & pounds [1]-27:2 \\
\hline old [1] - 95:23 & 77:23, 103:2, 103:7, & pertaining [3] - 51:5, 51:10, & prefer [1]-20:8 \\
\hline on-the-fly [1] - 55:15 & 103:10 & 52.7 & preference [1]-33:13 \\
\hline once [2] - 9:11, 60:4 & Page [1]-4:23 & phones [2]-46:14, 73:16 & prejudice [1]-3:21 \\
\hline One \([8]\) - 15:23, 20:8, 21:16, 22:8, 34:5, 56:3, 72:2, 72:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pages }[7]-67: 14,67: 17, \\
& 67: 21,68: 9,68: 20,68: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Phonetic [1] - 98:11 \\
phrase [2]-12:22, 64:2
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { preliminary }[3]-81: 24,82: 1 \text {, } \\
& 82: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline one [81] - 3:13, 7:23, 8:24, & 68:2 & pick [3]-6:18, 11:23, 49:18 & prepared [1]-37:11 \\
\hline 10:5, 11:3, 11:10, 15:23, & paragraph [1]-77: & picked (1] - 74:14 & preparing [4] - 37:6, 38:6, \\
\hline 16:1, 16:5, 16:8, 16:11, & Pardon [1] - 40:25 & picking \({ }_{(1)}\)-4:24 & 38:13, 38:18 \\
\hline 16:12, 16:15, 16:19, 16:20, & parked [1]-75:17 & picture [3]-42:16, 42:25, & presence [10] - 3:5, 5:3, 5:5, \\
\hline 16:23, 17:3, 17:4, 17:21, & parking [1]-75:17 & 53:17 & 47:17, 47:22, 62:17, 69:15, \\
\hline 18:3, 18:4, 18:7, 20:9, & part [11]-49:2, 49:19, 49:20, & piece [1]-82:13 & 69:16, 107:23, 108:2 \\
\hline 20:10, 23:8, 23:10, 27:8, & 66:15, 68:5, 68:14, 77:6, & pieces [1]-52:5 & present \(\{9\}\) - \(54: 3,54: 10\), \\
\hline 27:25, 34:5, 34:25, 35:11, & 80:11, 81:23, 90:9, 95:9 & place [2] - 53:2, 109:12 & 54:13, 55:20, 55:21, 55:24, \\
\hline 37:24, 38:1, 39:6, 39:14, & participated [1]-53:2 & Place [1] - 100:13 & 104:5, 104:11, 104:17 \\
\hline 41:9, 41:17, 46:20, 48:15, & particular [1]-94:5 & placed [2] - 30:23, 31:6 & presenting [ 4 ] - 54:12 \\
\hline 55:2, 59:16, 60:2, 60:20, & parties [1]-5:4 & Plaintiff [1] - 1:7 & presents [1]-50:13 \\
\hline 65:3, 65:8, 66:25, 74:9, & parts [2]-52:18, 53:15 & play [11] - 45:19, 47:5, 47:25, & preserve [1]-66:12 \\
\hline 74:13, 75:10, 76:16, 76:23, & pass [3]-6:17, 71:7, 91:16 & 50:10, 51:9, 57:3, 61:2, & presiding \([1]\) - 109:13 \\
\hline 84:5, 84:17, 85:13, 87:20, & past [3]-42:1, 42:8, 68:14 & \[
66: 5,66: 7,70: 2,70: 10
\] & presume [2]-48:4, 48:23 \\
\hline 90:6, 90:15, 90:19, 90:22, & paycheck \({ }^{1} 1\) - \(97: 9\) & played (5] -6:14, 52:25, & pretrial \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\) - \(59: 7\) \\
\hline 91:4, 91:10, 91:12, 91:13, & pays [4] - 97:17 & 66:11, 66:15, 71:6 & Pretty [1]-96:23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 92: 7,95: 19,96: 4,96: 5, \\
& 96: 13,97: 10,103: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & Pena [2]-37:11, 38:6 & playing [8] - \(6: 4,31: 14,57: 2\), & pretty (9) - 29:3, 29:18, 42:5, \\
\hline 103:20, 104:1, 104:2, & \begin{tabular}{l}
penal [3]-52:6, 52:12, 52:13 \\
penalties [1]-60:3
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 59: 8,61: 21,61: 23,66: 8, \\
& 68: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 67:25, 74:15, 96:22, 97:17, } \\
& \text { 104:5, 104:12 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 104:22, 105:11, 105:15 } \\
& \text { 106:4, 106:9 }
\end{aligned}
\] & penalty [3]-49:8, 49:14, & plea [29]-48:10, 48:17 & previously [1] - 18:11 \\
\hline open [6] - 5:2, 52:3, 69:14, & \[
61: 1
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
48:19, 49:4, 49:5, 49:6, \\
49:16, 49:17, 49:19, 49:21
\end{tabular} & prison (1] - 53:9 \\
\hline \[
81: 8,81: 12,81: 20
\] & penetration [1] - 36:18 & \[
0: 20,50: 23,51: 20,52: 7
\] & \[
60: 4
\] \\
\hline opinion [2] - 27:24, 27:25 & penis [1]-93:25 & 52:14, 54:14, 54:20, 57:14, & problem [6]-13:5, 50:10, \\
\hline opportunity [4]-19:24, 20:3,
\[
50: 14,61: 17
\] & people [32]-13:24, 14:10, & 57:18, 59:23, 59:25, 60:12, & \[
50: 14,70: 17,80: 20,93: 6
\] \\
\hline opposed [1] - 50:11 & 21:6, 22:12, 22:13, 26:9, & plead [9] - 48:12, 48:14, & \begin{tabular}{l}
problems [1]-55:3 \\
proceedings \([8]-3: 4,5\).2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline option [1] - 53:23 & 26:13, 33:13, 37:13, 37:15, & \[
53: 20,83: 13,83: 15,84: 12,
\] & \[
47: 21,62: 16,69: 14
\] \\
\hline options [1]-33:11 & \[
43: 8,45: 3,52: 23,56: 1
\] & 85:3, 85:10 & 107:22, 108:1, 109:10 \\
\hline oral [2] - 88:11, 88:16 & \[
56: 3,58: 23,67: 24,72: 1,
\] & pleading [1]-53:9 & Proceedings [2]-1:14, \\
\hline ordered [8]-17:4, 39:3, & \[
79: 7,86: 7,86: 9,87: 2,
\] & point [23]-8:22, 8:24, 10:5, & 108:5 \\
\hline 39:5, 46:24, 76:2, 88:9, & 87:21, 88:21, 91:9, 92:1 & 1:10, 17:14, 21:23, 32:1, & proceeds [2] - 28:23, 53:3 \\
\hline 88:15, 88:18 & 93:13, 93:18 & 38:8, 41:15, 42:16, 50:4, & process [5] - 14:8, 14:12. \\
\hline ordering [1] - 17:2 & People [1] - 87: & 50:6, 63:8, 63:11, 63:22, & \[
14: 14,50: 4,83: 11
\] \\
\hline original [2]-84:18, 109:11 & people's [1] - \(91: 5\) & 4:3, 64:15, 65:7, 66:1, & ofessional [1] - \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Oronoz [4]-61:14, 61:15, } \\
& 61: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & percent [15] - 16:24, 19:11 & 66:20, 68:16, 89:7, 90:6 & proffered (1] - 3:18 \\
\hline otherwise [2] - 54:24, 94:14 & \begin{tabular}{l}
38:25, 40:4, 46:21, 74:1 \\
88.6. \(90 \cdot 20\)
\end{tabular} & pointed [3] - 49:13, 50:1 \(51: 19\) & promise [1] - 52:25 \\
\hline ounce [1] - 63:7 & perceptions [2]-19:11 & police (12] - 19:15, 20:5, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { promises [3] - 78:14, 78:16 } \\
& 78: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline outside [4]-4:5, 11:21, & 20:11 & 26:6, 42:6, 56:4, 57:8, & Promising \({ }_{[1]}\) - 78:11 \\
\hline 47:17, 52:11 & Perfect [1] - 102:13 & 85:7, 91:24, 92:4, 93:14, & Proposed [2] - 6:7, 83:20 \\
\hline overnight [2] - 5: 12, 107:20 & perfect (4)-19:14, 102:1 & 98:19 & psychosexual \(\{1\}-60: 17\) \\
\hline overrule [1] - 27:20 & 102:7, 102:11 & portion [3]-50:1, 66:11, & Public [1]-1:22 \\
\hline Overruled [3]-101:7, 102:9, & perfectly [1] -65: & 70:14 & publish [2] - 70:23, 70:2 \\
\hline 102:14 & perform (2] - 17:2, 39: & portions [1]-52:17 & pulled [2] - 40:1, 44:7 \\
\hline owed [1] - 35:5 & performed [1] - 88:11 & position [5] - 3:19, 48:8, & punishment [1]-60:9 \\
\hline own [2]-38:5, 101:19 & period (4] - 7:9, 56:12, 65:18, & 49:12, 50:18, 67:4 & \[
\text { punk }[1]-10: 13
\] \\
\hline & 67:16 & possession [1]-75:2 & purpose 14]-48:24, 65:5, \\
\hline P & permissible \([1]\) - 62:25 & possible [3] - \(56: 5,85: 20\), & \[
66: 19,68: 22
\] \\
\hline & person [15] - 8:12, 22:18, & 05:15 & purposes [1]-59:11 \\
\hline page [20] - 4:17, 4:20, 4:25, & 26:16, 34:24, 35:6, 38:16, & posture [1]-86 & put [16] - 3:11, 3:21, \\
\hline \[
6: 3,63: 2,63: 11,63: 20,
\] & 41:7, 41:15, 43:16, 46:1, & postures [1]-85:21 & 50:24, 52:17, 52:18, 53:21, \\
\hline 63:21, 64:24, 67:11, 69:5, & 53:5, 67:24, 80:5, 86:13, & pot [2] - 24:23, 27:19 & \[
56: 10,56: 17,56: 23,57: 2,
\] \\
\hline & 96:12 & pound [1]-27:2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{sheet \({ }_{[1]}\) - 84:12}
sheets [2]-26:21
shirt [3]-11:20, 16:9, 89:12
shit \({ }^{[1]}\) - \(35: 6\)
shock (1)-19:6
shoes [1] - 89:10
shoot [2] - 91:9, 93:18
short [2]-16:1, 58:8
shorter [9]-15:23, 16:8,
16:23, 17:21, 18:4, 91:4,
91:10, 91:12, \(96: 5\)
shorthand \({ }^{11]}\) - 109:12
shortly [1] - 11:7
shorts [1] - 96:21
shoulders [4] - 18:22
show [1]-21:1
showed [2] - 24:2, 24:5
shows (5) - 53:6, 53:13,
53:14, 78:6
side (2) - 34:15, 37:6
sidebar [5] - 35:16, 47:15,
69:3, 106:8, 107:3
significant \([1]\) - 7:9
silent \({ }^{1} 1\) - 32:24
similar [1] - 15:9
simple [1] - 32:3
simply \({ }_{[1]}-49: 18\)
Simply \([1]-27: 18\)
sincere [1] - 42:5
single [4] - 19:15, 19:16,
52:21, 100:19
singular [ \([\mathrm{t}]\) - 15:12
sitting \((4)\) - 59:20, 82:14,
82:18, 96:12
situation [3]-48:22, 79:19, 85:22
\(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }}(12]-11: 24,13: 21,14: 2\), 15:8, 15:10, 16:1, 20:19,
44:11, 45:6, 72:18, 72:20, 105:7
skinnier (1)-18:7
Slattery [2]-13:13, 14:1
slender [1] - 96:15
slowly [1]-19:7
smelled [1]-23:23
smoke [1]-8:5
snitch [3]-13:1, 95:16,
95:20
snitches [3] - 12:23, 94:9,
94:10
someone [4] - 35:5, 53:1,
64:8, 78:6
someplace \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(63: 11\)
sometime [1] - 75:14
sometimes [8]-19:3, 19:7,
70:12, 78:23, 85:21, 85:22, 93:22
Sometimes [4]-19:8, 19:10, 85:24, 86:1
somewhere [2] - 4:18, 89:24
soon [1]-17:15
Sooner [1] - 23:12
sorry [11]-12:2, 20:24,
27:16, 50:23, 73:10, 81:10, 81:18, 84:16, 94:20, 103:5, 104:8
Sorry [1] - 49:10
sort [1]-31:20
soul [2]-28:9, 28:14
sound [2] - 34:23, 43:24
sounds [1] - 44:17
speaks [1]-85:5
specific [2]-15:20, 50:22
specifically \((11\) - \(92: 7\)
specified [1] - 33:21
Speculation [2]-10:19, 31:22
spend \([1]-29: 24\)
spent \({ }^{[2]}\) - 89:4, 89:5
squat (1)-50:5
Ss [1]-109:5
Stacy \({ }^{[1]}\) - 1:18
stairs [1]-10:5
stand \([6]-4: 16,56: 9,56: 11\). 56:24, 57:3, 69:12
standing [2] - 76:14, 76:20
stands [1] - 57:10
start [10]-6:2, 6:3, 6:13, 18:15, 61:21, 61:23, 63:20, 64:24, 68:24, 87:15
started [6] - 16:23, 35:24,
51:6, 66:1, 100:20, 101:12
starting \({ }_{[1]}\) - 28:5
startied [1]-18:24
starts [3]-44:1, 63:2, 92:2
STATE [2]-1:6, 109:4
state [1]-5:23
State [12]-1:18, 3:7, 5:8,
49:11, 49:13, 50:10, 50:14, 54:9, 56:22, 62:18, 85:10, 85:12
State's [6]-6:6, 6:14, 25:9, 49:12, 54:1, 54:6
statement (37)-38:25, 48:4, 49:22, 50:8, 50:11, 50:13, 50:15, 50:21, 51:7, 51:10, 52:4, 52:10, 52:15, 52:17, 52:22, 52:24, 53:3, 53:7, 53:11, 53:21, 55:10, 56:4, 56:13, 56:22, 56:25, 57:4, 57:8, 59:22, 60:14, 62:5. 62:21, 66:2, 67:6, 77:18, 80:4, 95:18
statements [6]-23:1, 52:12,
53:16, 54:19, 55:7, 79:21
station [2] - 24:9, 42:6
stayed [4] - 11:17, 40:13,
44:10, 88:3
staying [4]-75:19
stems \([1]-48: 8\)
step (1) - 32:18
sticker \([2]-6: 22,7: 1\)
still \((12]\) - 3:19, 14:13, 14:14,
18:24, 41:14, 43:14, 51:21,
52:1, 66:5, 69:21, 72:22,
90:15
stipulate [4] - 5:4, 69:16,
85:10, 85:12
stitches [2]-12:23, 94:10
stockier [11]-15:23, 16:1, 16:8, 16:23, 17:21, 18:4,
91:4, \(91: 10,91: 13,96: 5\),
96:13
stocky [2]-90:19, 96:10
stop [2] - 77:2, 78:3
stopped [4]-34:25, 35:1, 36:8, 36:19
store \({ }_{[1]}\) - 11:8
stories [20]-41:9, 63:9,
63:10, 63:23, 64:11, 65:6,
65:18, 65:19, 65:21, 65:25,
66:21, 67:13, 67:16, 67:21,
67:22, 73:3, 74:9, 75:24,
76:15, 105:11
story \([24]-7: 15,15: 9,15: 12\),
15:14, 41:5, 41:17, 43:12,
44:1, 44:9, 44:25, 45:2,
45:10, 68:6, 71:12, 71:14,
71:16, 71:19, 71:22, 73:23,
73:25, 74:13, 87:5, 100:16, 102:22
Story \({ }^{[1]}\) - 43:14
strategic [2]-59:3, 59:10
strategy [1]-66:9
Stratosphere [1] - 39:17
streets [1]-74:15
stress [1] - 18:21
Strike [1]-22:8
stronger [2]-54:6, 54:8
stuck [1]-11:15
stuff (4]-28:13, 38:1, 51:3, 97:4
subject [2] - 70:15, 70:18
submit [1] - 80:7
submitted [1] - 80:10
subpoena [4]-56:23, 58:17,
83:2, 83:8
subpoenaed [2] - 82:22,
82:25
substance [1] - 65:21
substantial [1]-50:1
sufficient \({ }^{1} 1\) ]-82:24
suggest [3]-8:18, 94:25, 95:2
suggesting [1] - 100:22
suggestive ! 1 - 100:19
Suggestive [1] - 102:5
suit [1] - 96:20
support [1]-74:4
suspect \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(92: 13\)
suspect's [1]-34:6
suspects [8]-34:1, 85:19,
92:17, 94:6, 100:1, 100:5,
102:1, 102:8
Sustained [1] - 100:21
sworn [2]-5:10, 5:19
sympathize [2] - \(34: 13\), 92:25
system [2] - 21:13, 105:18

\section*{T}

T-shirt [2]-11:20, 16:9
table (5) - 30:9, 30:11, 31:5, 82:14, 82:18
talks [1]-67:1
tall (1)-20:18
Taller (1)-96:15
taller [3]-16:6, 18:7, 96:5
tape \([7]-13: 4,47: 5,52: 4\), 59:9, 65:3, 66:7, 66:8
taught [2] - 78:13, 78:14
team [1]-89:6
technique [7]-35:10, 43:4, 77:20, 78:8, 78:21, 93:2, 93:16
techniques (7) - \(33: 21,34: 5\), 91:23, 92:8, 92:16, 92:24, 94:6
ten \([7]-16: 24,40: 4,46: 21\),
74:10, 88:6, 90:20, 94:23
term [2]-25:12, 26:16
termed [1]-91:4
terminology [2] - 25:20, 57:24
terms [3]-25:9, 67:18, 87:15
testified [6]-5:21, 81:7,
81:11, 81:14, 82:7, 82:17
testify \([6]-31: 1,51: 25\),
81:15, 82:25, 83:5, 83:9
testifying [1]-59:17
testimony \([7]-3: 15,59: 18\),
82:13, 105:7, 106:1,
106:19, 107:8
THE [133] - 1:3, 3:6, 3:12,
3:24, 4:7, 4:13, 4:21, 4:24,
5:4, 5:8, 5:15, 5:22, 5:24,
5:25, 6:12, 6:17, 6:21,
6:23, 7:3, 8:16, 10:24,
12:17, 21:3, 22:6, 25:1,
25:5, 25:6, 25:8, 25:11, 25:18, 27:20, 28:1, 31:2,
31:11, 31:13, 31:16, 31:23,
32:4, 32:5, 35:14, 35:17,
47:3, 47:13, 47:16, 47:23,
48:17, 49:3, 49:13, 50:3,
52:9, 54:25, 55:17, 56:1.
56:15, 57:7, 57:22, 57:24,

58:14, 58:21, 59:2, 60:6, 60:10, 61:7, 61:12, 61:21, 61:25, 62:12, 62:18, 63:16, 64:3, 64:10, 64:13, 64:20, 65:2, 65:4, 65:11, 65:17, 65:22, 66:18, 67:6, 67:9, 68:3, 68:12, 69:1, 69:4, 69:7, 69:11, 69:16, 69:20, 69:23, 69:25, 70:11, 70:20, 70:24, 71:3, 71:4, 71:7. 74:24, 75:4, 81:15, 81:16, 83:21, 83:24, 84:2, 84:15, 84:19, 85:17, 90:12, 91:15, 91:17, 94:25, 98:21, 100:21, 101:6, 101:9, 102:9, 102:14, 102:16, 105:3, 105:16, 105:22, 105:24, 106:1, 106:6, 106:9, 106:13, 106:14, 106:18, 106:22, 106:24, 107:4, 107:24, 108:3
theirs [1]-4:10
theme [1]-34:19
themes [2]-33:23, 34:5
theory [1]-55:22
thereafter [2]-11:7, 19:17
Thereby [1]-83:17
therefore \([3]-50: 25,51: 18\), 99:20
Therefore [2]-51:17, 52:3
Thereupon [16] - 3:4, 5:2,
\(6: 16,35: 15,47: 14,47: 21\), 62:14, 62:16, 69:2, 69:14, 69:24, 106:7, 107:2, 107:22, 108:1, 108:4
thinking [2] - 32:7, 101:4
thinks [2]-53:23, 88:13
thinner [2] - 16:6, 96:5
third \(\{8\}\) - 41:7, 43:16, 45:21,
46:1, 46:12, 71:12, 73:23, 76:16
thousand [1]-27:9
thousands [2]-27:5, 27:7
threatening [1] -88:20
threats [7]-99:16
three [12]-41:9, 45:10,
48:12, 48:13, 67:7, 67:12. 73:3, 74:9, 75:24, 85:3, 85:11, 100:1
Throughout [3] - 73:3, 74:9, 75:24
throughout [3]-9:10, 74:5, 95:22
tired [1] - 35:21
today \([3]-55: 13,61: 16\), 107:5
together [2] - 29:25, 55:5
tomorrow [3] - 107:9,
107:16, 107:18
took [17]-24:8, 29:14, 30:11,
\(31: 15,46: 14,48: 17,53: 3\), 53:20, 68:17, 68:18, 73:15, 73:18, 74:1, 74:6, 75:15, 87:24, 97:13
Took[1]-73:19
top [2] - 103:11
totally \([7]-64: 10,65: 6\),
65:15, 65:19, 65:25, 66:19, 67:21
touch [6]-8:24, 46:11,
76:17, 88:23, 103:3
touched [9]-7:17, 9:3, 9:25,
10:12, 76:4, 77:1, 86:12,
90:22, 103:8
touching [2] - 103:10, 104:18
towards [2] - 49:24, 50:8
town [1]-97:19
track (1]-6:8
trained [2]-19:6, \(33: 18\)
training [6] - 24:22, 25:3,
31:18, 91:25, 92:3, 92:5
trainings [1]-92:7
TRAN \({ }_{[1]}\) - 1:1
trancripts [1]-69:8
transcript [10]-6:3, 40:22,
42:23, 43:2, 46:16, 47:1,
48:19, 68:9, 68:21, 70:1
Transcript [1]-1:14
transcripts [6]-3:11, 6:17, 6:20, 64:23, 70:4, 71:7
transferred \({ }_{[1]}\) - 29:22
transported [4] - 12:2
trial \([7]\) - \(54: 3,55: 7,82: 7\),
82:25, 83:6, 83:17, 95:23
TRIAL \(\{1]\) - 1:12
trick [1]-18:1
tried (2] - 78:3, 94:23
trouble [4] - 30:24, 31:7,
31:20, 31:25
True [1]-59:5
true [13]-25:11, 67:22,
67:23, 72:15, 77:18, 80:11, 85:3, 86:21, 100:16,
101:18, 101:20, 101:23, 109:11
truly \({ }_{[2]}-36: 1,36: 6\)
trump [1] - 54:2
trust \({ }_{[1]}\) - 60:25
truth [14]-5:19, 5:20, 33:6, 43:8, 53:24, 54:7, 63:7, 72:4, 86:4, 86:10, 94:19, 100:4, 100:6
truths [1] - 72:9
try \([10]-4: 13,34: 14,55: 4\),
55:13, 58:6, 58:16, 78:21,
87:13, 93:20, 100:8
trying \({ }_{100}\)-9:14, 18:1,
25:17, 55:2, 65:9, 72:22, 92:17, 92:21, 95:7, \(97: 1\)
turn [1]-59:4
twisted \({ }_{[1]}-68: 6\)
Two [1]-54:1
two [25] - 9:13, 15:14, 15:19,
17:2, 27:2, 37:22, 43:12,
43:14, 45:3, 48:14, 55:5,
56:1, 56:3, 60:24, 67:24,
76:15, 84:20, 84:22, 85:2,
85:4, 85:13, 89:20, 96:3,
103:19, 105:11
types [1] - 92:11
\(\square\)
ultimately [2] -9:2, 88:18
unavailable [1]-56:11
unaware [1] - 82:4
uncertain [1]-87:15
Under \(\left.{ }^{1}\right\}\) - 48:21
under [4]-22:25, 52:16,
56:23, 69:21
understood [1]-75:19
unique \({ }_{[1]}\) - 50:13
unless [1]-21:11
unlike [4] - 44:9
unlimited (1) - 54:11
UNLV [1] - 45:19
unusual \({ }_{[1]}-55: 1\)
up (22] - 3:17, 4:24, 6:18,
10:4, 10:6, 10:17, 11:23,
52:3, 53:21, 53:24, 61:6,
62:8, 63:8, 64:15, 65:6,
67:21, 68:6, 74:14, 92:3,
94:10, 94:13, \(94: 21\)
utilize [1] - \(93: 2\)
utilized [1] - 94:5

\section*{V}
vagina [4] -93:24, 103:11, 103:17
variety \([2]-63: 8,63: 10\)
Vegas [6]-1:19, 1:22, 3:1.
30:1, 109:14, 109:19
versions [2]-64:16, 68:6
versus [2]-3:7, 62:19
victim [6]-19:16, 37:14, 37:18, 38:4, 92:12
victims [8]-18:12, 19:23,
38:10, 85:19, 90:18,
101:14, 105:8, 105:12
victims' [11-23:1
violent [ \({ }^{1]}\) - 18:18
virtually \({ }_{[1]}-55: 4\)
voluntarily \({ }_{[1]}\)-92:5
vs \([1]-1: 8\)
w
wait (1]-32:9
waive [1]-66:7
waiver [1]-73:4
walked [1]-70:12
wallets [1] - 87:24
wants [2] - 32:10, 54:12
warm [1]-89:24
warrant \((7)\) - 12:4, 37:7, 37:9,
38:4, 38:7, 38:14, 79:22
watch [1]-44:18
ways \((5)-18: 14,33: 25,78: 6\), 78:7, 92:10
weapon \([3]-48: 15,85: 13\), 85:15
wearing [3]-15:24, 96:20,
99:7
Wednesday [2]-1:13, 3:1
weed [1] - 87:19
week [1] - 48:18
weight \({ }_{[1]}-21: 21\)
well-known [1]-52:11
WESKE \([5]-5: 17,7: 6\),
12:20, 91:20, 105:5
Weske [13]-2:5, 4:16, 5:10, 5:24, 7:8, 48:1, 48:7, 69:12, 69:13, 69:20, 69:21, 70:16, 85:7
Weske's [1] - 3:15
WESLEY \({ }_{[1]}\) - 1:9
Wesley [17]-3:7, 18:8,
20:18, 22:1, 38:20, 50:25, 51:2, 52:7, 54:19, 57:21, 62:19, 67:23, 72:23, 82:3, 84:11, 96:7, 103:20
Wesley's [1]-86:25
whatnot \([1]-97: 14\)
whatsoever \([1]\) - 98:16
whole (14]-5:19, 11:5, 24:20, 49:25, 51:5, 51:9, 55:10, 56:21, 63:14, 66:14, 74:20, 78:2, 79:19
Wilson [62]-17:22, 18:5, 22:3, 29:5, 29:7, 31:1, 31:12, 34:18, 36:21, 37:1, 39:2, 39:8, 41:10, 47:6, 48:2, 48:7, 48:12, 49:1, 50:9, 50:15, 50:23, 51:20, 53:19, 55:14, 56:23, 57:3, 58:18, 59:8, 61:9, 63:11, 64:11, 64:16, 66:20, 70:15, 72:6, 72:23, 73:1, 73:4, 75:13, 79:16, 82:3, 82:18, 83:1, 84:10, 84:12, 85:3, 86:16, 94:7, 94:13, 94:17, 94:21, 96:7, 99:4, 99:7, 100:15, 101:2, 103:20, 104:4, 104:10, 104:17,
```

104:19
Wilson's [9] - 48:10, 49:16,
50:5, 54:14, 59:19, 62:4,
83:6, 83:12, 95:18
witness [10] - 5:9, 48:1, 56:9,
56:11, 56:12, 58:17, 63:23,
84:1, 91:16, 106:21
WITNESS [12]-2:3, 5:24,
25:6, 28:1, 31:13, 32:5,
69:23, 70:11, 81:16, 101:9,
102:16, 106:13
witness' [1] - 64:9
witnesses [4]-19:23,54:2,
54:5, 107:5
wore (3) - 12:7, 12:12, 89:7
works [2] - 57:16, 60:6
world [2] - 19:15, 55:24
write [?] -6:23
written[2]-7:1,62:10
Y
ya'll[1]-90:4
year [2] - 57:10, 95:25
years [2] - 35:22, 63:12
yesterday [4]-6:1, 35:24,
48:8,50:19

```

TRAN

\section*{FILE}


STATE OF NEVADA, )

Plaintiff,
vs.

NARCUS WESLEY,
Defendant.

Case No. C232494 Dept. No. 24

\section*{JURY TRIAL}

Before the Honorable James M. Bixler Thursday, April 17, 2008, 10:00 arm.

Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

APPEARANCES:

For the state: Lisa Luzaich, Esq. Stacy Kollins, Esq.
Deputies District Attorney Las Vegas, Nevada

For the Defendant: Jeff Banks, Esq.
Casey Landis, Esq.
Deputies Public Defender Las Vegas, Nevada

REPORTED BY: BILL NELSON, RMR, CCR No. 191


You shall find the Defendant guilty of open and gross lewdness if one -- at least one of you is not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of sexual assault.

If all 12 of you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of the crime of open and gross lewdness .-

MS. LUZAICH: For the record, if I could, because this one was not submitted yesterday, that is not an accurate statement of the law.

Open and gross lewdness is not a lesser-included instruction of sexual assault, it's a lesser-related.

Therefore, this is an incorrect statement and flawed.

MR. LANDIS: I agree with them, it's a lesser-related.

The language is there may -- Lessers are included.

Lesser-related are discretionary with the Court.
They gave us the extra boxes on the verdict form.
I think this instruction would help the jury deliberate as to that count.

THE COURT: With that explanation, I think they have been adequately instructed, and the definition of open and gross lewdness, if they so find on the verdict BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters

form, find the Defendant guilty of that in lieu of sexual assault.

The 8 instruction that was rejected reads as follows:

If at least one of you is not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant was in actual possession of a deadly weapon, then Defendant cannot be held responsible for use of a deadly weapon unless he has actual or constructive control over the deadly weapon.

An unarmed Defendant does not have constructive control over a weapon unless the State proved beyond a reasonable doubt that he had knowledge the offender was armed, and he had the ability to exercise control over the firearm. That is that instruction B rejected by the Court. That is a combination of the Brooks instruction, where under the circumstances of that case the particular Defendant was obviously -- never even was asserted that the Defendant was in possession of a firearm.

The only issue presented in that matter was if the Defendant wasn't aware that the Co-Defendant had a weapon, and whether or not he could have exercised any control over the weapon, that not being the case in this case, that is why that instruction was declined.

So we made a record on your proposed.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
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```

Any other proposed instructions?
MR. LANDIS: No, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Those are made part of the record.
Now, as we bring the jury in, the State is going to make a record in regards to the Co-Defendant Wilson's plea, is that correct?
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
Originally we had planned on just redacting the document of the plea canvas, but in truth and in fact there is more black lines than typewritten lines, so I'm just going to have Brad Turner, an attorney in our office, read the part, so that we can do it out loud.
If the Court would read the Court, Mr. Turner will read the Defendant Wilson, and I'll be me.

```

THE COURT: I have a copy of the plea.
MS. LUZAICH: Then so the Court is aware, on page 6 there is a slight mistake on line 13. It says -Defendant Wilson says, yes, sir, and then on line 14 it says, Defendant Wilson, did you also read through that, I assume was the Court.

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: I can't imagine the Defendant Wilson asking himself.

THE COURT: On line 14 where it says, Defendant BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360.4677
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Wilson, it should read, the Court.
THE COURT: So the Court -- The process is going to be, you will explain to the jury what is about to occur is that in lieu of a transcript for them to follow -- or I can explain it.

It's so much redacted, it's simply easier to read it.

MS. LUZAICH: We don't necessarily want them to know it's redacted, so if I can offer the Defendant's actual plea into Court, I would call Mr. Turner to read it, so that the jury can hear it.

THE COURT: That's fine.
And I'm looking at the same redacted copy he is, right?

MS. LUZAICH: Right.
THE COURT: And that --
MS. KOLLINS: Just explain we're going to read it into the record.

THE COURT: And they will not be receiving a copy of a transcript, just as they have not received a copy of any of the transcripts of any of the statements with you, there is not even a disk to go with this?

MS. LUZAICH: Correct.
And then just on the first page if we could start at line 7, the Court, okay, this is the matter of State BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
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of Nevada versus Delarian Wilson, we don't really need to read that.

Are we also on for Narcus Wesley, Mr. Landis, and Mr. Landis saying, the Defendant's not here.

THE COURT: You want me to take out now what?
MS. LUZAICH: Lines 9,10 and 11 and 12, 13 .
THE COURT: Who is Mr. Laurent?
MS. LUZAICH: Me, I'll do the State.
THE COURT: Okay. You are going to read the Complaint on the instructions.

THE CLERK: Okay.
THE COURT: Do we all stipulate the instructions do not need to be reported?

MS. LUZAICH: Oh, sure.
We can give him a copy if that's okay.
THE COURT: The Reporter will have a copy of the instructions. They are part of the record.

No sense in making him report those.
MR. LANDIS: That's fine with the Defense, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Ready to have the jury in?
MR. LANDIS: We are, Judge.
THE COURT: So we are all clear, as soon as we conclude this, the State will then rest, and you are not to call any the Defendant or put on any other witnesses? MR. LANDIS: True.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: So we'll go right from there, read the instructions, and go right into closing arguments, right?

I called Judge Vega's office yesterday and talked to her.

MS. LUZAICH: They screwed up all the
information.
I have been there and taken care of it.
THE COURT: I told them you were going to be
late.
We were trying to get this done as soon as possible.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. LANDIS: Yes, Judge.
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. The State has one more evidentiary item.

MS. LUZAICH: Your Honor, the State's going to offer the actual plea canvas of the Delarian Wilson, and I'm going to offer it through somebody reading it.

THE COURT: That's fine.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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MS. LUZAICH: Who for the record is Brad Turner from my office.

THE COURT: All right. There are three basic speakers in this transcript, the Court, the Defendant Wilson and the district attorney.

So I'm going to read what I said.
This was from March 28th -- or no, it says 2007, but --

MS. LUZAICH: It does, but it was 2008.
THE COURT: So March 28th, 2008.
This is the matter of the State of Nevada versus Delarian Wilson.

MS. LUZAICH: With regard to Mr. Wilson, the other one, I am filling in right now for Miss Luzaich on the Wilson matter, which she has familiarity with, but I know nothing about any new trial dates or anything like that.

THE COURT: All right. No problem. We are primarily dealing with Mr. Wilson.

It's my understanding Mr. Wilson's going to take the offer that was made.

MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Oronoz, who represented Mr. Wilson, said, yes, sir.

MS. LUZAICH: All right. Mr. Wilson, is it your understanding that this morning you are going to BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters

Fax 360.2844
withdraw your plea of not guilty and be entering a plea of guilty to one count of robbery with use of deadly weapon and one count of sexual assault, is that it, two counts?

MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Oronoz said, two counts of robbery.

THE COURT: Two counts of robbery with use of a deadly weapon and one count of sexual assault.

Is that correct, Mr. Wilson?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And I have in my hand a guilty plea agreement.

Have you read through it?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Did you go through it with your attorney?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Fine.
Did you understand everything?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: On page five of this guilty plea agreement is what I'm showing you is -- there is a signature.

Is that your signature?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: And did you read through it, discuss it with your attorney, and understand everything that it contained in this guilty plea agreement before you signed it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. There are a couple of things that are contained in the guilty plea agreement that I need to touch upon to make sure that you understand.

You understand that what happens to you when it comes time for sentencing, if I understand correctly, the State retains the right to argue at sentencing, is that correct?

MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Oronoz said, yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What happens to you at the time of sentencing is entirely up to the Court?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And what happens to you at the time of sentencing, and nobody can promise or predict what is going to happen, do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Did you also read through and understand that you have certain rights in regards to having a trial, those rights are explained to you in the guilty plea agreement?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters

THE COURT: Did you discuss those rights with your attorney?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: Do you understand those rights?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you understand that by accepting
this guilty plea agreement and entering these pleas of guilty today that you will by necessity have to give up your right to have a trial, do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Is that what you want to do?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Other than which is contained in this guilty plea agreement, has anybody promised you anything that is not contained in here in return for your plea of guilty to these charges?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.
THE COURT: Has anybody threatened or coerced you in any fashion or in any manner in order to get you to plead guilty to these charges?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: In the Amended Information it indicates that these charges that you are pleading guilty to occurred on or about February 18th, 2007 within Clark County, State of Nevada.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Tell me in your own wards what happened on February 18th, 2007 which causes you to plead guilty today to these charges.

THE WITNESS: I came in Las Vegas.
THE COURT: The Court Reporter said, speak up, please.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
I came into Las Vegas, and I went in there, and I robbed two people, I robbed these people at gunpoint and aided and abetted in a sexual assault that was going on.

MR. BANKS: I think the transcript actually he says, abided.

THE COURT: It says, aid and abided.
THE WITNESS: Aided and abided.
THE COURT: Your friend, Mr. Wesley, who you were
-- was who you had committed these acts with?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And these were acts that were committed with the use of a firearm, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: How many people were in the house when you guys went in there?

THE WITNESS: Six, I believe.
THE COURT: And then someone took one of these people to the ATM machine and had them get money out of BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
an ATM machine, is that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Who did that?
THE WITNESS: I did, sir.
THE COURT: And then in regards to the sexual assault, your partner actually committed the sexual assault, but you assisted and encouraged in the overall commission of the crime, is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you understand that still makes you viable of having committed a sexual assault?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: It's liable.
And you went through with that with your attorney, and you went through that with your attorney, and you understand why?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you pleading guilty to the two counts of robbery with the use of a deadly weapon and one count of sexual assault because in truth and in fact you are actually guilty of committing those offenses?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And you are not pleading guilty for any other reason?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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THE WITNESS: No, sir.
THE COURT: Now, understanding all this, is it your desire still to enter your plea of guilty to three charges, two counts of robbery with use of a deadly weapon, and one count of sexaual assault, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Anything else?
MS. LUZAICH: No, Your Honor.
Thank you.
THE COURT: The Court is going to accept your plea of guilty to those three charges, Counts 1,2 robbery with use of a deadly weapon, and Count 3 sexual
assault, having been freely and voluntarily entered.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
If the record could just reflect the Defendant was in fact -- or that Delarian Wilson was in fact represented by an attorney, James Oronoz, when he entered that plea.

THE COURT: Yes, that's correct.
Those are all matters of public record and matters of the record in this case.

MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: Your Honor, the State rests its
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677
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presentation of its case in chief.
THE COURT: Okay. The State rested their case.
Is the Defense putting on evidence?
MR. LANDIS: No, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. We are waiting for the
instructions.
I'll give you a little preview.
I am at this point going to read you the
instructions on the law in this case.
You are all going to have a copy of the
instructions. They are just coming off the press.
There are 50 instructions, plus a verdict form.
Everybody will have their copy of the
instructions which I will read into the record.
And then counsel will present their closing arguments.

As I indicated to you early in the case, because of the State's burden of proof, they make the initial closing argument, followed by the Defense, and then the State has an opportunity if they choose to to make the last closing argument.

At that point the court staff, both my secretary and the bailiff, will be sworn in to take charge of the jury.

You will leave your set of instructions on your BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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chair.
We will give you the one original set of instructions, plus the verdict forms, and you will be escorted to the jury deliberation room, at which time you will then commence your deliberations.

Okay. We have to wait here for a second for the instructions to get here.

Because of the fact this is undoubtedly going to go through the lunch hour, when you commence your deliberations, we'll have lunch ordered for you.

So you can deliberate and eat lunch.
This is going to take 30 minutes to read the instructions.

MS. LUZAICH: At least.
THE COURT: How long will your closings take? MS. KOLLINS: Probably --
THE COURT: 30?
MS. KOLLINS: I'm a little longer than that.
There are a lot of instructions, probably 45 for me.

THE COURT: What about you guys?
MR. BANKS: Half hour maybe.
THE COURT: So we're probably talking about ordering lunch in an hour.

All right. Instructions to the jury, Does BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
20
everybody have their copy?
ALL JURORS: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel, everybody got their copies?
MS. LUZAICH: Yes.
MR. LANDIS: Yes.
(The Court's instructions are now read to the jury by the Court.)

THE COURT: Counsel, are you ready?
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
I think Mr. Banks wanted to approach.
THE COURT: Sure.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had between Court and Counsel at sidebar.)

THE COURT: Pass those instructions over to Joe.
We're going to take about 10 minutes because their closing arguments are going to take a little while, so you might as well relax a little bit, go to the restroom, and then we'll come back and hear the closing arguments.
(Admonishment read to the jury by the Court.)
THE COURT: Ten minutes.
Go ahead and go with Joe.
(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
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Speaking to those theories, either the Defendant personaily committed the actual act in this case, the digital penetration of Danielle Browning, while she sat in that chair, whether he conspired with Delarian Wilson, or whether he aided and abetted Delarian Wilson, those are the three theories, your verdict has to be unanimous as to your decision, but not as to your theory.

So that is outlined for you in instruction 24, it's on the screen now. That means, if six of you believe, or a portion of you believe, that Delarian Wilson -- excuse me, that Narcus Wesley aided and abetted, and a portion of you believe that he is responsible under a conspiracy theory, but you still believe that the State proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt, as to Delarian Wilson, then your verdict should be guilty.

Your disagreement as to the theory is inconsequential.

There is something I want to make really clear about this case. This is not a percentage game between the Defendant and Delarian Wilson because Delarian may have been louder and more talkative, he may have been the leader. You can be criminally responsible as the quieter follower. You can be held accountable.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

It's the State's position that Narcus Wesley is as accountable as is Delarian Wilson for the crimes that we have charged in Counts 1 through 18 in the Information.

This is not a percentage game.
I talked to you about the two theories. I'm going to start with the easier one first, aiding and abetting. It reads a little simpler.

Then I'll try to walk you through how those apply to each specific crime that is charged in the Information.

When two or more people are accused of committing a crime together, their guilt can be established without proof that each person personally did the act charged.

In other words, for example in this case Delarian Wilson takes Ryan Tognotti to the ATM machine at gunpoint in his Honda Civic. You have the surveillance photo from the bank of that.

Now, we all know from the testimony Narcus Wesley was back at the home, and Narcus Wesley did not personally take Clint -- excuse me, Ryan to the ATM, but the law recognizes that by his assistance, his counsel, his encouragement, his willingness to participate, his willingness to hold those other kids at gunpoint on that floor, makes him equally responsible for those acts that BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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he did not personally commit and that occurred outside his presence. That is the gist of aiding and abetting.

The law as you have swom to follow in this case requires that if you follow that evidence, and you follow that logic, that you find the Defendant guilty of those offenses for which he aided and abetted, encouraged, whether present or not.

Some of you may not like that law, but that is the law that you swore to follow in this case.

Part of what I want you to pay attention to as well as in an aiding and abetting theory, the State is not required to prove which person actually committed which act or made which statement.

It is to counsel, encouragement, presence before and after the fact, leaving the residence with Mr.
Wilson, all the actions that took place from the knock on the door when both Defendants came in according to the testimony of Ryan Tognotti, lifted their shirts, and took out handguns, from that moment in time through everything that transpired in that house, the State is not required to prove exactly which Defendant made which statement or did which act.

If you find there is aiding and abetting by counsel and encouragement, they are both responsible under the law for the crimes charged.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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I apologize, that aiding and abetting instruction I just went to on the screen was instruction number 9.

Your instructions are not necessarily in order.
There is instruction number 10 that talks about mere presence.

Mere presence in this series of instructions is applicable only to the theory of aiding and abetting.

There is a different version for conspiracy law.
Presence at the scene of the crime and knowledge it's been committed is not enough.

But when you look at facts, and you look for active participation, counsel, encouragement, all of her, if nobody else can, let me do it, I'm hard, those kinds of statements, keep your head down, those things are counsel and encouragement that the State submits to you take this case outside of what is mere presence by this Defendant.

The next theory is a conspiracy theory, and lawyers wrote this so it's complicated and convoluted, but the factual basis for which you can find conspiracy, and/or aiding and abetting in this case is similar, and again I'm going to go through the charges with you in a second, I just want to go through these theories a little bit.

Conspiracy's an agreement between two or more BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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objective, this Defendant and Delarian Wilson being to get money from Grant.

And then the parts that go haywire, these are things from which you can infer there was a conspiracy when the sexual conduct and terrorization of those kids, those are things you can infer there was an agreement beforehand to travel to this residence and commit the robberies and the kidnapping, and eventually the sexual assault.

Now, the State has not submitted to you that there was some agreement necessarily before they entered that residence to commit sexual acts, but at sometime in that house, at sometime late that night, this Defendant and Delarian Wilson had some kind of tacit understanding about rolling a boyfriend over, putting a gun -- or rolling him over and putting a gun to his head and making him perform sex acts with his girlfriend, at some point there was a tacit agreement, and you know that because of how this Defendant reacted.

If you can't get it up, I'll get it hard, I'll F her. You know, Delarian Wilson probably started that series of acts, and you know that because you have his fingerprints on the lotion bottle, but what you also have is the testimony of those kids hearing Narcus jump on the sex wagon, and that's enough for you to imply BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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that there was an agreement to commit the acts that transpired in that house.

There is no need that you have -- This is in instruction number 5 -- an express or formal agreement. In other words, there doesn't need to be a point in time that the State proves that Narcus and Mr. Wilson sat down, discussed the objective of that conspiracy, put it In writing, agreed to the time, place and manner.

The agreement can be implicit, it can be implied, it can be acquiesced to, and be inferred from, as instruction number 4 tells, you, inferred from all the circumstances tending to show a common intent.

A co-conspirator's smart.
Instruction number 6 tells you what it means to withdraw from a conspiracy, and this is what the law would recognize as a withdrawal from that conspiracy.

A person can only withdraw by taking some positive action which disavowed or defeated the purpose of the conspiracy.

It's not enough to show that the evidence -- not enough the evidence shows the Defendant merely ceased his own activities in furtherance of the conspiracy.

So the fact that Narcus Wesley went over to those stairs, sat down with his gun, and remained quiet for a period of time, doesn't mean that he didn't want
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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everything in this case to come out the way it did. He sat there and waited for the money.

There was no affirmative action to withdraw from what was going on there.

He didn't call the police.
He didn't express that he was afraid.
He didn't let the victims move.
Did he leave the residence when Delarian's gone?
He's in NFL football training, and he's afraid.
What is he afraid of when Delarian's gone?
By his statement, because it was cold outside, and I didn't know where I was.

You just took six kids cell phones.
You walk out the door.
You make a call.
And you get the hell out if you are afraid.
He never tried to dissuade Delarian, Delarian don't make those kids take their clothes off and perform oral sex on each other on the floor, my vernacular, not theirs, don't do this, let's get out of here.

He took no affirmative, positive step to withdraw from that conspiracy.

He never expressed surprise to what's going on.
He never called the police when he got away.
He went to his own car, he drove home, went home BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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like nothing happened.
That conduct post commission of these crimes is also something from which you can infer an agreement to commit what transpired inside that house.

Once you find that there is a conspiracy, what does the act involve?

For specific intent crimes you have to find the person shared the specific intent for the underlying crime to be committed for a general intent crimes, just that they shared the general intent.

I can tell you, in the Information that sexual assault is a general intent crime, robbery is a general intent crime, open and gross lewdness is a general intent crime.

Specific intent crimes charged are burglary, the first degree kidnapping, and the assault with a deadly weapon.

Once you find a conspiracy existed, and an agreement, they had the shared intent to commit those crimes, they are equally responsible.

As the State, we ask you to look inside their mind and decipher what their intent was, and the only way you can do that was to look at their conduct before, after and during the commission of these offenses.

Once you believe that a conspiracy exists, and BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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this is instruction number 44, statements made by each conspirator during the commission of a crime may be imputed to each other.

So when you walk in the door, as Delarian Wilson, and you said, get down on the ground, and Narcus Wesley is beside you with his gun, Delarian's telling everybody to get down and cover their face, those statements are imputable to Narcus Wesley.

Once you find that there is an agreement to commit these crimes, Delarian Wilson's telling those kids, suck your boyfriend's dick, eat out your girlfriend, even though those statements aren't out of Narcus Wesley's mouth, those are imputable to him during the course and commission of those crimes, if there is an agreement to commit those crimes. You may not like that, but that is the law, and that is the law that you have sworn to follow.

If you find that there is a conspiracy, they are equally responsible for those statements made during the course of the conspiracy, because the law presumes that co-conspirators with an object crime in mind share the same intent for the underlying crimes, they share and adopt each other's statements in commission of those crimes. Those statements include statements that are made whether or not each party is present. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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The statements include during the sexual assault.
Those statements include those statements made when Defendants first came in the door.

Instruction number 8 talks about association. It's kind of like the mere presence statute that went with the aiding and abetting. If you are in the company or associated with one or more persons alleged to be members of a conspiracy, that is not sufficient to prove your membership. However, just like the facts for aiding and abetting that I talked about, the presence, the companionship, the conduct before, during and after the offense are circumstances with which you measure someone's criminal intent, and therefore measure whether or not they are a member of the conspiracy.

I told you this stuff was long and boring.
Starting with the counts in the Information, specifically Counts 1,3 and 11 have to do with the crime of burglary.

Count 1 is actually conspiracy to commit burglary.

Too much coffee this morning.
We discussed the law of conspiracy, so I'm not going to go over that with you again, but I will talk to BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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you about the underlying charge of burglary.
If every person by day or by night enters a house or a vehicle with intent to commit larceny, and/or robbery, that would be an underlying felony, is criminally responsible for the crime of burglary, and what the law does is, it measures a criminal's intent upon entry into the residence or the vehicle. That is, the crime is the entry.

The underlying crime, the robbery or the larceny, that is separate, and a person may be punished separately for that.

In this case there are two counts of burglary charged, one for entry into that Great Dane residence, and I submit to you that when you walk in a house, and lift your shirt, and draw a gun, and tell everybody to get down on the ground, and aid and abet those persons that give those commands, you are criminally responsible for the crime of burglary, and those are the actions of Narcus Wesley in this case.

Their intent being to rob money, although their intent was to find Grant, that did not stop them from their target crime or their goal to get money.

Just because it was the wrong intended victim does not vitiate any of their responsibility.

Count 11 is for the entry into the vehicle of BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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Ryan Tognotti by Delarian Wilson with intent to commit the robbery. Again, the crime is committed upon the entry with the felonious intent to steal or commit robbery upon the person of Ryan Tognotti.

Now, this is one of those circumstances where the law states -- never alleged Narcus Wesley got in that blue Honda. By all the testimony, he didn't.

But is he liable as a co-conspirator or as an aider and abetter?

Well, again some of these facts may sound repetitive to you, but how is he responsible for the burglary if Delarian's the one that got in the car with Ryan?

Well, he went to the residence with a gun, so he shared some common plan or purpose.

The only person that denies that the Defendant had a gun is the Defendant.

We know there was a real gun at that residence.
There is a live round in State's 42 that hasn't been fired.

The only person that says Defendant didn't have the gun was the Defendant.

Ryan Tognotti, with the best observation of the
Defendant as he opens the front door, sees the gun come from his waist band.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Justin Richardson believes he sees a gun in his hand, and has no reason to believe it's not a gun based on any conduct, actions or statements of the Defendant.

Clint Tognotti, when he is laying on the floor and stretches up, he sees the Defendant sitting on the stair kind of with his legs bent, and sees the gun hanging over the Defendant's leg.

Now, the kids were forced to lay down face down on a floor at gunpoint. They believed what they saw were two weapons.

You cannot as a collective group expect them to test whether or not those weapons are real. You can't expect them to jeopardize their lives, their safety, to look up and make sure that this Defendant still is in possession of a weapon.

So is Narcus responsible for both of those counts of burglary?

Everyone says he had a gun.
That should infer to you he went there with a
common purpose.
When you go there with a common purpose, either by agreement or by assistance, you are responsible with the conduct of the person you agreed with or as an aider and abettor.

Did he aid the entry to Ryan Tognotti's car?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Absolutely, he stood over the rest of the kids on the floor. It doesn't matter whether he just said while he was in there -- First of all, he agreed with Delarian Wilson on the way out the door, if anybody moves, shoot them, okay, it's cool, I got it, or whatever his words were, and then he's quiet and paces around and wants to know where the nearest store is.

Just because that is all he said, and maybe told Clint Tognotti once to keep his head down, that is his assistance to the burglary of Ryan Tognotti's car. That is how he aids and abets.

One, he makes sure nobody gets up and calis the police and gives a description of Ryan's car, hey, this guy just came in, gunned up, took my buddy to the ATM, he makes sure that doesn't happen, he makes sure none of those kids move. That is how he aided and abetted, and that's how he's responsible for an act.

While he did not personally get in Ryan Tognotti's car and drive him to the ATM, the law finds him responsible.

The larceny is one of the underlying crimes in the burglary. Either you have to commit robbery or larceny. It is the taking or carrying away of any personal property, and the value doesn't matter. This is in instruction 12.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Very briefly, because Ryan Tognotti let's Delarian Wilson in the car, and because Ryan Tognotti opens that front door, there can be no consent to enter that house, not under these circumstances, because when you do so by force or by threat or intimidation, you negate any of that consent.

So the fact that Ryan opens the door or let's Delarian in the car is no defense to the crimes of burglary. It's actually in instruction number 13.

Just to make things -- put one more layer on the burglary, and the underlying felony, you have to decide whether or not a firearm was used during the commission of those burglaries.

Well, you know, Ryan Tognotti had a gun to his side during the whole ride to the ATM and whole ride home, so there was a firearm in Delarian Wilson's possession.

As for the entry into the Great Dane house, I'm going to say it again, the only person that says Narcus Wesley didn't have a gun is Narcus Wesley. All those kids to the best of their abilities, some have more gun knowledge than others, they put a gun in his hand.

So he entered that residence with a weapon.
So the entry with the intent the commit the robbery or the larceny while in possession of a firearm
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1 is the way Counts 3 and 11 are charged in our information.

Again, because you have to have the intent to commit an underlying crime, the State can charge you with the burglary as well as the underlying robbery or larceny, and there is criminal responsibility for both of those, and we can charge those separately.

I'm sorry. I lost my place. I got ahead of myself, so I'm just going to move on. I talked ahead of my sights, and that happens sometimes.

Instruction number 16 talks to you about robbery, and those are the elements of the offenses of robbery.

In this case the robbery Counts are Counts 4, 6, 7 and 9. Just a little bit about what is required for the offense, and then I'll go through those counts with you.

Robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property from another, or in their presence, against their will, through some means of force or violence or fear of injury, and you do that with the purpose of obtaining or retaining possession of that property, preventing or overcoming resistance to the taking, or to facilitate your escape, those are the purposes for which a person would take the property. The degree of force is immaterial. In other words, the State doesn't have BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
to prove physical injury or discharge of a weapon to prove these kids were afraid or fearful when guns were being drawn and money and ATM cards and cell phone phones were being demanded. As long as that force or threat of force is used to make those people acquiesce and turn that property over, that is all that matters.

It doesn't matter if a gun was discharged.
It doesn't matter if somebody was actualiy hit or struck.

It's just taking that property by force or by fear, or by creating that fearful situation, and that would complete the crime of robbery.

Again, value of the property taken doesn't matter. That is in instruction 17. That would probably fall more into your deliberations in Count 4, wherein the State has charged that Delarian Wilson walked Justin Richardson back to his room at gunpoint and took condoms from his possession. The fact that the value of those condoms was worth a couple, three or four dollars doesn't matter. It's the taking of the property by force. There is no value requirement. There is no fixed location for robbery, and this instruction, this is instruction number 18, comes into play when Danielle Browning is charged a count of robbery for her cell phone, her cell phone was in Justin's bedroom, Danielle BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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was outside in the living room on the floor when that cell phone was taken.

If you create the fearful situation that places everyone in fear, keeps them in fear, the fact you go in another room and take that personal property, one that it's still in your presence, the location doesn't matter. That is what instruction number 18 says.

In number 19, in the presence, that is just kind of a follow-up on that. It's -- the object is in your presence, if it's in your reach, or in your inspection, or in your constructive possession, so number 19 explains that to you.

Counts \(4,6,7\) and 9 are the counts the State has charged robbery with use of a deadly weapon.

Count 4, Justin Richardson.
Count 6, the money from Justin Foucault.
Count 7, money from Ryan Tognotti.
And Count 9, the cell phone from Danielle
Browning.
Is Narcus Wesley responsible for these counts under an aiding and abetting theory?

Well, remember that under aiding and abetting guilt may be established without proof that each person personally did every act constituting the offense, and either directly committed the act, or actively BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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participated in the commission of that act, with the intent, aided and abetted, encouraged in its commission.

Well, if you remember the count regarding Justin Richardson, these kids are on the floor, they have no clothes on, they tried to get Ryan Tognotti to masturbate to erection so that someone can have sex with Danielle, and then all the while Defendant saying, I can do her, I can have sex with her, I'm ready, let me at it, well that's when the conversation with the condoms comes up, and Delarian Wilson walks Justin Richardson back to his bedroom at gunpoint and takes those condoms by force, by holding a weapon on him, and Justin turns over possession of those condoms.

Narcus Wesley's equally responsible for that robbery. He didn't walk Justin back there. He assisted and encouraged its commission. He was ready to have sex with Danielle. He was smart enough to cover up his parts before he was going to do it and sent Delarian back there, or at least acquiesced to Delarian going back there and getting a condom. So he's responsible for that forceful taking of that property, and despite the fact if it's a condom or gun it doesn't matter, Narcus Wesley's responsible under the law.

As to the money from Justin Foucault, Justin emptied a few dollars from his wallet on the floor.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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When they first got in there, Defendant and Delarian, they were looking for Grant, and they were looking for money. It finally dawned on one or both of them that they were in the wrong house, they are already in there, let's get money from who is here, we're not leaving here empty handed.

So all the kids scramble, you heard their testimony, they didn't have 20 bucks between them. Both of them are responsible for that, and I Submit to you that while Delarian's the one doing the talking, Narcus is still standing there, gun drawn, waiting for the money to come. That is not only aiding and abetting, that is active commission. He's providing the force that is causing Justin to give over that money and get over that ATM card.

It doesn't matter Delarian's yelling the commands, that is more than than aiding and abetting, that is making him responsible for that force on Justin Foucault. Part of that force that caused Justin Foucault to empty his pockets and give what he had so he could save his life, wasn't going to get shot over 10 or 15 bucks in his pocket.

The same analysis goes for Count 7 with Ryan Tognotti. This Defendant, with a gun in his hand, equally applied the force that caused Ryan Tognotti to BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
give over his ATM card, and ultimately give over the money to Delarian Wilson inside the Honda Civic at the ATM.

He shared in the force that got Ryan to agree to go with Delarian Wilson to the ATM.

He's equally responsible for that robbery.
Now, if you want to factually confine the robbery of Ryan Tognotti to what transpired in the car, he is still liable as an aider and abettor or a
co-conspirator.
Because again, what did he do?
I touched on it earlier.
He held the other kids at bay.
He shared the common purpose of wanting money.
He shared in the force that was applied to get
Ryan Tognotti out of that house into that vehicle to that ATM machine.

He agreed verbally with Delarian Wilson on Delarian's way out the door that, yeah, I'll make sure they all stay here.

If anything happens, Delarian says, if anybody moves, shoot them, and he says, yeah, I got it.

So he's equally responsible for that robbery of Ryan Tognotti.

Count 9, regarding Danielle Browning for the
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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robbery of the cell phone, they took cell phones off all these kids and ultimately Danielle Browning's cell phone was what lead to the detection, location, arrest of Delarian Wilson, and ultimately fortunately for Henderson Police Department to the arrest of Narcus Wesley.

That phone was taken out of Justin's bedroom. Justin and Danielle did not see Delarian pick up that phone. They just know the phone was gone once the Defendant and Delarian left.

Part of committing that crime is making sure when you are watching six kids on the ground there, you want to make sure none of them can get to a phone and dial 911. So that is part of their efforts to conceal that crime and those efforts to conceal and avoid detection, because they are smart. Anyone of those could be lying on the ground and call 911 and let the voices fly and let the 911 operator hear it, so part of taking all those phones and cutting off those kids communication is part of aiding and abetting in the robberies, and that's part of the Defendant's aiding and abetting in the robbery of Danielle's phone. It is taken by force and fear.

Had a fearful situation been created in the living room by this point?
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Absolutely.
Is that personal property taken?
Absolutely.
Was it retained?
Yep, that's how we got to Delarian. Because that was part of their common seem scheme and plan when they are holding everybody at gunpoint, creating chaos and fear, taking that phone is a robbery, and this Defendant could be held responsible.

We've charged two Counts of assault with a deadly weapons in this case, Counts 5 and 8 , the victims being Aitor Eskandon and Clint Tognotti.

Clint had no money on him:
Aitor had a little bit of money on him, and later we discovered a cell phone.

But this conduct is for this Defendant and Delarian Wilson coming in that front door, aiming firearms, causing fear or apprehension of bodily harm for not turning over personal property.

Because Clint didn't have anything to turn over, aiming a firearm at him, either by the Defendant directly committing it, which the State submits to you he did because he had a firearm, he aimed that firearm at everybody in the residence, Clint just didn't have any property to give up, and Clint testified he was BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 49 & & 51 \\
\hline 1 & scared, and I think everyone of these kids testified & & half of you believe he's an aider and abettor, he is \\
\hline 2 & they were scared, and one or both aiding and abetting or & & responsible and legally criminally culpable for first \\
\hline 3 & conspiring to commit the robbery with the firearm's also & & degree kidnapping for the taking of Ryan Tognotti to \\
\hline 4 & responsible for Count 5, aiming a firearm at Aitor & & that ATM. \\
\hline 5 & Eskandon. & 5 & Instruction 24 talks to you about sexual assault \\
\hline 6 & First degree kidnapping is charged in Count 10. & & and the kind of activity that finds someone responsible \\
\hline 7 & This is an instruction number 21. It's the same aiding & & sexual assault under the law. \\
\hline 8 & and abetting co-conspirator logic that I went through & 8 & Sexual assault is not necessarily just \\
\hline 9 & about the robbery of Ryan Tognotti. & & penis/vagina, so we're going to go through what some of \\
\hline 10 & In other words, the conduct that is the & 10 & that conduct is. \\
\hline 11 & kidnapping of Clint Tognotti's putting him -- moving him & 11 & You can commit the crime of sexual assault by \\
\hline 12 & from the house to the Honda Civic, driving him at & 12 & subjecting someone to penetration against their will, \\
\hline 13 & gunpoint to the ATM, and returning home, that is the & 13 & without their consent, under circumstances where you \\
\hline 14 & conduct that is the kidnapping in this case. & & know or should know that they are incapable of giving \\
\hline 15 & If you seize, confine, kidnap or carry away any & 5 & their consent, or if you look at line 2, forces another \\
\hline 16 & person, by any means, with the intent or purpose of & 16 & person to make a sexual penetration on himself or \\
\hline 17 & committing robbery, you are guilty of first degree & 17 & another, forces another to make a sexual penetration on \\
\hline 18 & kidnapping. Now, again this is the type of conduct & 18 & himself or herself, or on another. \\
\hline 19 & where while Narcus didn't directly place Ryan into the & 19 & Sexual penetration includes cunnilingus, \\
\hline 20 & vehicle, he is responsible as an aider and abettor or as & 20 & fellatio, and digital penetration. \\
\hline 21 & a co-conspirator, and I submit to you there is factually & 21 & I hate technology. \\
\hline 22 & sufficient evidence in this case for you to find there & 22 & It might be too graphic, but I have to go through \\
\hline 23 & was an agreement to commit a robbery before they got to & 23 & it so bear with me. \\
\hline 24 & that residence. & 24 & Cunnilingus is the touching, however slight, of \\
\hline 25 & Therefore, any acts that were foreseeable where & 25 & the female vagina with the mouth, and/or tongue of the \\
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\hline & 50 & & 52 \\
\hline & the Defendant shared the same intent, he would be & & perpetrator. There is no penetration required. In \\
\hline 2 & responsible for. & 2 & other words, a women's vagina has lips and a labia, and \\
\hline 3 & Once there is no money at the residence, and they & 3 & there is no requirement the tongue or mouth go past any \\
\hline 4 & both decided they are not settling for \$20, Delarian & 4 & portion of the canal. It's just the simple act of \\
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\end{tabular} I'm going to leave aside for just a minute.

First of all, where multiple acts of sexual assault occur you can charge all of those acts, and what we have done in this case is actually, if you recall the testimony, Justin Richardson was forced to perform oral sex on Danielle more than one time, and Danielle was forced to perform fellatio on him more than one time, but what we've done is for each type of sexual act charged a single count, as there is a single victim, and I don't know that I articulated that well.

Let me talk to you about some of the other qualifiers for the crime of sexual assault, and then we'll get back to the counts.

Again, I'm getting ahead of myself.
I know I have had you for a while now.
No physical force is necessary.
I submit to you there is physical force or that threat of physical force, but it's not -- in other words, I mean, Danielle was never held down, Justin was never held down, but the implication of the force was there, they were forced to engage in conduct that they didn't want, and did not want to do in a room full of their friends, naked at gunpoint.

There is no -- Just because they are boyfriend
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677
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and girlfriend, the fact they were induced to submit and participate in that sexual conduct without being held down or punched, or anything that was hands on physical force, that doesn't make it any type of consentual conduct issue.

The law doesn't require a victim to fight back.
If you recall Justin's testimony, it was pretty emotional when he was talking about having to lay there and try to perform, and listening to the commands and maybe feeling a little guilt about not being the protector.

The law does not require him to fight back, doesn't require Danielle to fight back, and I submit to you with two firearms there, it's a good thing that they didn't.

So submitting to those commands is not consent.
In other words, yielding to a gun to your head and a pillow over your head, and letting your girlfriend perform fellatio on you, is not consent, that is not yielding to the conduct such that it negates any responsibility for sexual assault, and again this may be very obvious, but I just want to go through it with you.

Physical evidence of sexual assault is not required.

The statements and recitation of those assaults BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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by the victims are sufficient without any corroboration.
Linda Ebbert was here, and this goes more to the penetration count, but Linda Ebbert was here, and she told you that there was no damage or injury to Danielle's genital area. Not surprising, given the type of assault. It was a single finger digital penetration of the vagina. It didn't last for a long time. So there is no surprise there would be no physical evidence, and there certainly was no exchange of any bodily fluids, no testimony there would been have ejaculation such that any DNA testing could be done, there is no requirement for physical corroboration of a victim of sexual assault's testimony.

The Danielle and Richardson counts, the Danielle and Justin Counts, Counts 12 and 14, actually go together because they describe the same conduct with both victims on receiving or giving side of that same conduct, if that makes sense.

Both Danielle and Justin are a victim for forcing Danielle to perform fellatio on Justin Richardson, and forcing Justin Richardson to receive that fellatio, so Counts 12 and 14 actually go together, but those are charged for the same acts. Because when you force a person to make a penetration, i.e. forcing Danielle to put her mouth on his penis, or force someone else to be BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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penetrated under the law, forcing Justin to have a mouth placed on his penis, those are both acts of sexual assault.

Counts 13 and 15 also go together and under the same rationale.

When Danielle Browning is forced to be subjected to cunnilingus, that is a penetration against her will without her consent for purposes of sexual assault.

Count 15 is forcing Justin to perform that penetration, which also makes him a victim of sexual assault.

So even though we kind of plead them every other one, 12 and 14 go together, and 13 and 15 go together.

Now, is Defendant Narcus Wesley responsible as an aider and abetter, or responsible because he conspired for those sexual assaults?

I think the testimony is clear, the 90 percent, 10 percent, Delarian got the ball rolling, that does not give Narcus Wesley a walk on these sexual assaults. He aided and abetted by counsel and encouragement.

Justin Richardson laying there, no pants on, gun to his head, and they are egging him on to get an erection, and he can't. Not surprising under those circumstances.

Does Narcus say, hey, man, let it go, leave him BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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alone, this is crazy?
No.
What does Narcus do?
I'm hard.
I'll F her.
She's hot.
That's counsel and encouragement.
This sexual conduat, this mutual oral sex, this doesn't go on for five minutes, this doesn't go on for ten minutes, it goes on between 20 and 30 minutes, guns drawn, these kids are naked on the floor in front of their friends, does nothing to stop it, only expresses his willingness to jump in and get the job done. He shows no empathy for those kids, no sympathy. He doesn't stop anything.

Delarian's yelling about, you better get it up or I'm going to go around the room and start shooting until somebody gets an erection, takes the lotion bottle over to Ryan.

Does Narcus stop any of that?
No, he's on the wagon.
Yeah, this is hot.
Your girlfriend's kind of hot.
That is counsel and encouragement.
Either he's responsible as an aider and abettor
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677
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to that continued 20 or 30 minutes of sexual conduct, or at some point after that conduct started there is a tacit agreement for it to continue. Therefore, he's responsible as a co-conspirator.

He says, ain't none of them that can do it, I'm the only one that can do it. The testimony was as Delarian tells Danielle to take her clothes off, the Defendant gets excited, I can do it, give me a chance to do it.

I don't think you can see the bottom of that screen. I think he drops an \(F\) bomb, I will \(F\) her. You can up there, not up here.

Count 17 is for the digital penetration of Danielle. Defendant's conduct, I'm talking about this Defendant, I'm talking about Narcus Wesley, Defendant's conduct in putting that girl in a chair, and have her raise her legs in the air, asking her if he can touch her vagina, and then putting his finger in her vagina, goes a long way to measuring his intent from the rest of the sex stuff that just transpired. If he didn't have intent for something sexual to happen there, he sure was following through on it by digitally penetrating her vagina while she was sitting in that chair.

This is an act where you don't have to worry about conspiracy or aiding and abetting because there BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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has never been any allegation that Delarian Wilson put her fingers in the vagina of that 18 year old girl, it was the Defendant, Narcus.

He asked her if it felt good.
He just says he touched the top of her vagina.
Danielle was clear, his fingers went -- his
finger, excuse me, went inside her vagina.
He asked her something like, do you want it, and she said, no, I would rather have Justin.

The Defendant in his statement says that these kids were laughing, and she didn't mind.

Do you believe for a second at gunpoint with two strangers that this girl said, she didn't mind if this man she had never seen made her raise her legs in the air in a chair in front of five of her friends, including her boyfriend, and be digitally penetrated, she didn't mind?

It's insulting, she said it was okay.
Danielle Browning no more consented to that conduct and that contact, it's just not accurate.

What that is, is the Defendant's self-serving statement minimizing his behavior and his exposure to the police.

In fact, all the testimony was consistent that Delarian, even though you don't like him, Delarian who plead guilty, Delarian whose statement you heard part of yesterday, Delarian told him, that's enough, they went and got some condoms.

But at some point Delarian Wilson, you want to call him the ring leader, the bad guy, Delarian told him to stop, told him to stop digitally penetrating that girl.

Instruction number 30 defines open and gross lewdness.

We have charged in Count 18 for Delarian touching the chest, and/or buttocks of Danielle, and open and gross lewdness is confined as any indecent, obscene or vulgar act of a sexual nature committed in a public place, even if the act is not observed or committed in a private place, but in an open manner, this action being touched on the buttocks or chest, does not amount to sexual assault.

Rely on your notes the best you can. I think Danielle remembered being touched but wasn't sure in what chronology that happened.

The Defendant in his own statement said that he touched her booty, but I don't think that is Danielle's recollection under the stressful situation. I don't think that's what she testified to, but rely on your own notes.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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If you believe Delarian Wilson was the one that touched her on the breast, or touched her on the buttocks, do you believe this Defendant aided and abetted, or that is still part of the conspiratal nature, and the prior sexual acts that took place of the digital penetration and the forced mutual oral sex, if you believe that that naturally follows that, that even the Defendant can be equally responsible under the law, regardless of whom you think touched Danielle.

Count 31 is coercion. In this case, sexually motivated, the State charged a count of coercion for the Defendants, specifically Delarian, handing Ryan Tognotti, Ryan sure got the brunt in this case, the lotion bottle, and trying to force him to masturbate to get an erection for purposes of having sex with Danielle because at this point they were hell bent on someone is having sex in front of us. Whenever you use violence on another, or threaten violence or injury, which was done to Ryan, because if somebody doesn't get a hard-on, we're going to shoot, to force them to do something, or abstain from doing something they have a right to do or not to do, Ryan had an absolute right not to masturbate in the living room at gunpoint in front of his friends, so that is the crime of coercion, and with Ryan being the victim, and we have plead it sexually motivated on I BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 62
think the facts I'll submit to you, it's your decision, speak for themselves, having him masturbate to have sex with Danielle is a sexually motivated purpose.

In each one of these offenses, robbery, assault, first degree kidnapping, sexual assault, and coercion, and open and gross lewdness, everything but Counts 1 or 2, you are going to have to decide whether or not a deadly weapon was used.

Now, a firearm is a deadly weapon. There is an instruction that tells you that, instruction 36. I'm going to reiterate this, and I know I said it earlier, but I just want to make it clear.

The State has taken the position and presented evidence consistent throughout this trial that this Defendant had a firearm in his possession. We know there was a real firearm there. There was a live round. Now that round we believe came out of Delarian Wilson's gun, but the testimony was that visually this Defendant had a firearm.

So every one of these acts, the robberies, the sexual assaults, while Delarian is still in direct possession of that firearm, had been modified such that it's robbery with use of a deadly weapon, sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon, and we could ask that you so find.
702.360 .4677
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The only, and I mean shred, only shred of anything that you have heard that this Defendant did not have a weapon, was out of Narcus Wesley's mouth.

When you look at the credibility of those statements, what is the motive for Ryan Tognotti to lie and put a weapon in Narcus Wesley's hand?

What is the motive for Justin Foucault to lie and put a weapon in Narcus Wesley's hand?

What is Danielle Browning's motive?
What is Justin Richardson's motive?
What is Aitor Eskandon's motive?
What is the motivation of those kids, six kids, that never met him before to lie and say he had a weapon?

So when you consider the one piece of evidence that you have that he did not have a weapon in his possession, what is Narcus Wesley's motivation to lie about his having a weapon, a firearm?

Those kids have nothing to lose either way.
That leaves me to this instruction, instruction 37. If for some reason some or any of you believe the Defendant, if you believe these self-serving I didn't have a gun statements, if you think that the kids, these 18, 19 year old kids, didn't give you a good enough description to that to the best of their ability, if you BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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believe that, the Defendant can still be held responsible if you believe he was unarmed during the course and the commission of these offenses.

Each person, the Defendant can be convicted for the commission of the offenses if the weapon was only in Delarian's hand using this instruction, if they had knowledge of the use of the weapon, so even if you think Narcus didn't have a gun, which the State submits to you the only consistent evidence is that he did, but if you think he didn't have a gun, he can still be held responsible for the use of that gun if he had knowledge of its use during the course of these offenses.

Now, if he didn't have knowledge, he certainly did once he got in the door, and he certainly had knowledge that that gun was being used when those kids were being ordered on the ground.

And he certainly had knowledge there was a gun when Delarian was going to leave.

And he certainly had knowledge that Delarian took Clint out at gunpoint.

And he certainly had knowledge that when Delarian Wilson held that gun over the pillow to Justin Richardson's head, that there was a gun involved in those sexual assaults.

So even if you want to take the only piece of BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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evidence, Defendant's statement that he didn't have a gun, and hang some credibility to that, he still is responsible for the use of Delarian's weapon once he knows that the weapon is there, and he continues to participate in the offenses.

To use a gun, to use a deadly weapon in the State of Nevada you don't have the shoot somebody, using it just to produce the fear or create a fear of harm in someone by displaying it, that is use of a weapon.

You don't have to shoot it.
You don't have to show the victim it really works.

The State is not required to recover firearms.
In other words, you can find the use of this deadly weapon, these deadly weapons if you will, even if the State, who didn't find it in Delarian's hotel room, didn't find a weapon with the Defendant, that doesn't mean that you cannot make the use of a deadly weapon part of your verdict.

I've been talking for a long time now, so I went through the last couple of slides.

You are going to get a verdict form, and it is going to look somewhat like what is on the screen, but more appropriately like this, and it's going to go on for several pages. It looks kind of like we have here. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

If you remember, Counts 1 and 2 conspiracy to commit burglary, and conspiracy to commit robbery, those are not enhanced with a use of a deadly weapon.

The State would respectfully ask that at the conclusion of your deliberations you find Defendant Narcus Wesley guilty of both conspiracy to commit burglary and conspiracy to commit robbery based on the facts and the evidence that you have heard.

The next -- or I'm sorry for the font size difference, Count 3 on your verdict form is for the burglary, again at the Great Dane residence. You can only choose one of these. In other words, Defendant is guilty of burglary with use of a deadly weapon, guilty of burglary if you find no weapon, or not guilty.

The State's submitting to you we have offered you proof beyond a reasonable doubt the standard used in every criminal case across this country every day that the Defendant is criminally responsible for the burglary with use of deadly weapon at the 690 Great Dane Court.

I went through the robbery Counts with you.
Count 4 applies to Justin Richardson.
Again, the State would ask you to check the appropriate box on the verdict forms pertaining to the evidence that you have heard, guilty of robbery with use of a deadly weapon, the assault with a deadly weapon.
702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters
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Count 5, that pertains to Aitor Eskandon.
And so you know so you can match up the information, these delineations are on your verdict form as well, so you won't have to go check and flip back and forth to see which counts applies to which and what.

We ask you find the Defendant guilty of the assault with deadly weapon for Aitor Eskandon, that he laid on that floor at the 690 Great Dane residence.

Count 6 and 7 are the robbery of Justin Foucault and Ryan Tognotti.

Justin Foucault was the money and the ATM card, as he laid on the floor, upon the initial entry into the 690 Great Dane residence.

I spoke to you about how Defendant's responsible by directly conspiring or aiding and abetting. We ask you find him guilty of robbery with use of deadly weapon for Justin Foucault.

Ryan Tognotti, a name you will see several times in this Information, Ryan's the one that again went to the ATM with Delarian Wilson.

I just talked at length about how Narcus Wesley as he sits there today is criminally responsible for the victimization of and robbery of Ryan Tognotti, and the State would ask based on the evidence you heard and the testimony of these kids that you find the Defendant
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677
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criminally responsible and guilty of robbery with use of deadly weapon regarding Ryan Tognotti.

Clinton Tognotti, Ryan's little brother, didn't have any money, laid on the floor, had firearms pointed at him by one or both of these Defendants.

Either Defendant is responsible by directly aiming his firearm, by aiding and assisting the endeavors of Delarian Wilson in aiming his firearm, or by virtually of his conspiracy to go to that house, enter that house, detain everyone for committing the robbery, so he's responsible under any of those theories.

I ask you to find the Defendant guilty of assault with deadly weapon regarding Clinton Tognotti.

Robbery with use of a deadly weapon for the cell phone of Danielle Browning, Danielle Browning is also a name you will see several times listed as victim in this Information. She was the victim of robbery with use of deadly weapon, as well committed by the force and fear created by both Defendants, and the ultimate taking of the personal property, the cell phone, out of the room by Delarian Wilson.

I'd ask you find the Defendant guilty of robbery with use of a deadiy weapon for the victimization in this count of Danielle Browning.

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Fax 360.2844 Ryan Tognotti in his Honda Civic to the ATM machine at Wells Fargo, I'd ask you find the Defendant guilty of first degree kidnapping with use, and it was accomplished for the purposes of robbery with use of a deadly weapon for Ryan Tognotti.

The burglary while in possession of deadly weapon for the Honda Civic Count 11.

Counts \(12,13,14\) and 15 are the mutual sexual assault counts, the sexual victimization of Justin Richardson and Danielle Browning, I ask you find Defendant guilty of Counts \(13,14,15\)-- I'm sorry 12, \(13,14,15\), all guilty of sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon.

Count 16 , coercion with use of deadly weapon for Ryan Tognotti.

Count 17, the State would ask you return a verdict of guilty for the digital penetration of
Danielle Browning by the Defendant also with the use of a deadly weapon.

And Count 18, the open and gross lewdness with use of a deadly weapon.

I know that I have spoken very long today.
I thank you for your time and consideration, and I appreciate it.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
It's been well over an hour.
My suggestion is, we take about ten minutes.
The Defense closing and rebuttal, we are going to do that all at once.

So we'll take one ten minute recess, and then
we'll wrap up the closing arguments, and the case will be submitted to you.

So during the next ten minute recess, stretch, go get some coffee, go to the restroom.

I'm admonishing all of you as a jury not to converse.
(Jury admonished by the Court.)
THE COURT: Go ahead with Joe.
Ten minutes.
we're in recess.
You got ten minutes.
(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had
out of the presence of the jury.):
THE COURT: Anything we need to put on the record before we bring the jury in?

MR. LANDIS: No, Judge.
MS. KOLLINS: No.
THE COURT: All right. Bring them in.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court and in the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. BANKS: Yes, Judge.
MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Go right ahead.
MR. BANKS: You should be held responsible for choices that you make of your own free will, for situations that you create. I got no problem with that.

Don't think anybody in this room has got a problem with that.

The problem arises when the government wants to hold somebody accountable for choices that you don't make and situations that you do not create.

Let me say this:
For a case that is not about Delarian Wilson, we sure heard a lot of evidence about a guy named Delarian Wilson.

Delarian Wilson turned the, let's go buy marijuana, into an armed robbery, not Narcus Wesley.

Delarian Wilson escalates an armed robbery into a
kidnapping at gunpoint, not Narcus Wesley.
Delarian Wilson escalates a kidnapping at gunpoint into forced sex at gunpoint, not Narcus Wesley. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 72
Delarian Wilson escalates sex at gunpoint to murder, if somebody does not do something to Danielle Browning.

We all heard the testimony.
We've got to compare what Delarian Wilson did to what Narcus did.

Why?
I agree, Mr. Landis agrees, that the State has the overwhelming case of guilt against Delarian Wilson.

And the prosecution is banking on you to transfer your outrage at Delarian Wilson onto Narcus.

What did the witnesses tell us in this case?
Well, they told us a lot.
They said someone came in and said, get down on the floor. That was Delarian Wilson.

They said, somebody cocked a nine millimeter, and a bullet ejects, and it hits the coffee table and lands on the floor. That was Delarian Wilson.

Kidnapping Ryan Tognotti, forcing him into a car to go to an ATM to withdraw money while he's got a gun in his hip. That was Delarian Wilson.

Ordering sex at gunpoint. Wilson.
Holding a pillow over somebody's head and put a gun pointed at it during oral sex. That was Delarian Wilson.
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from Kameron. We are friends. He said, let's get out, let's go do something.

I talked to my dad, asked --
THE COURT REPORTER: Counsel, I can't hear you.
MR. BANKS: And we're in a part of town I didn't
know. I don't live in Henderson.
We go up to the door, and I knock on the door.
Kam told me to step aside.
The door starts to open.
We go in, and Kam pulls out a gun and says, everybody get down on the floor.

I'm not sure what I was supposed to do, should I get down on the floor. It's not the Kam that I know.

Those kids are down on the floor, and Kam goes over and cocks his gun, and a bullet flies out.

Then he says, is there anybody else here?
And those kids say, yeah, Justin's in his bedroom with his girlfriend.

I'm just kind of standing off in the background.
This was like a movie.
I'm not saying anything.
The next thing I know Delarian, Kam Wilson, is leading this guy and this girl down a dark hallway into this other room at gunpoint, and then he starts asking, whose got money, we need at least a thousand dollars. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters
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And nobody has any money.
Then I'm getting nervous, and I'm not saying anything.

And Kam says, who has an ATM card, and one of those kids on the floor says, I do, and another one says, I do.

Kam took him, and they left, and I was there in that house with those guys.

Can you imagine?
Can you imagine being in that situation, some guy that you know from football all of a sudden is robbing people at gunpoint when you are going to a house to buy some blunt. What do you do?

Guys waving guns around, cocking pistols. What do you do in that situation?

Was he afraid of him?
Let me think about that for a second.
How about Delarian Wilson?
Mr. Landis had a great question for Detective
Weske. He asked him has he ever heard the phrase that snitches get stitches, and you know what Weske said, and very clearly, the last words on that interview by Weske with Narcus, you are going to have a problem with Delarian when you get in here, and then he cuts off.

You know, with respect to Counts 1 through 16 in
this case, the evidence clearly did not show that Narcus directly committed any of those offenses, you know that.

I submit to you that the evidence did not show that he aided and abetted in those offenses, nor did he conspire in those offenses.

To the contrary, the evidence on those counts is that he's nervous, he's pacing, he's not doing or saying anything to anybody.

You know, the evidence, when you take it as a whole, when you take it as a whole, and you put the hearing in Wilson's statement versus Narcus Wesley's statement, you need to reconcile it with something, and I suggest that you folks, and you all took notes, and we all heard what those witnesses said, I suggest that you folks reconcile the words of Delarian Wilson and the words of Narcus Wesley with the words of the people in that house and find out who is between those two is the most consistent.

The evidence in this case shows that Narcus Wesley is just there under a false pretense, until, until Delarian Wilson threatens to commit murder, and that's what we heard on that stand, somebody's going to get killed. I don't need to tell you this, that is a murder threat. And it is Delarian Wilson's agenda, and Delarian Wilson's agenda alone, to go and rob anybody. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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testify to that.
I want to take a few moments and talk about the reliability of that testimony.

As the Judge just instructed you, we're talking beyond a reasonable doubt. That means that in this room on this case you have got to have an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge. That means you got to know it today, you got to know it tomorrow, you got to know it ten years from now, you got to know for the rest of your lives.

You know why?
Because you got to live with that decision for the rest of your lives because he's got to live with your decision for the rest of his life.

I'll tell you what, this was a big case, and I'll tell you something else, the police work on this case was pretty good.

I'm going to take it a step further.
The police were on this work on this case was really good, it was outstanding.

And we heard police officer after police officer
testify about the steps they take to preserve the integrity and the reliability of that investigation that they are building that case upon, and they did that.

But you know what, God bless them, there is some
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A lot of instructions.
Ladies and gentlemen, mere presence and association with somebody, it's not just legal mumbo-jumbo, instructions, it's not just legal umbo-jumbo, the law recognizes, the law recognizes that nefarious agenda, and the other does not, and the law is crystal clear that the one that does not have the nefarious agenda cannot be held criminally liable for just being there. Simply being in the company of Delarian Wilson, that's not enough. Just because he's there pacing, nervous, not doing anything, that is not enough.

No matter how many times we talk about the bad stuff that went on in that house, and it was bad, the evidence you have seen is not enough.

I submit to you that with thoughtful review of the evidence in this case you will see that it's not enough.

The gun.
Well, we know they didn't find a gun.
We know Narcus said he didn't have a gun.
You say well, Jeff, these people say he had a
gun, they say they saw a gun.
Well, some of them did, some of the witnesses did
C
things that they can't control, and they told you that too.

What did the witnesses tell us about what went on before the police were even called, before the police got there?

What happened was, a group discussion about who saw what, who was where, who didn't see what, why, who was saying what, who was standing where, who was talking to whom, and all of that, that back and forth together, went into their decision to call the police, and you know what, it was the right decision.

But we all know because the police officers told us that the one thing that they want to avoid when they are building a case is contamination between potential witnesses. They don't like that.

Why?
Because they told you why, it's because it leads to unreliable accusations and evidence.

Not everybody said they saw a gun, and I ask you to go over all of that testimony with a fine-tooth comb, taking into consideration that back and forth for a pretty good chunk of time, for police officers when they are conducting an investigation. They don't want that. Unfortunately they've got it in this case, and I submit to you that it needs to be considered when you are BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
deciding if you have an abiding conviction of the truth of the charges, all the charges, including the gun.

You are 90 percent done. The other ten percent is up the to you.

When Delarian Wilson says he's going to rob somebody, he robs somebody.

When Delarian Wilson says he's going to kidnap somebody, he kidnaps them, puts them in a car and takes them to the ATM at gunpoint.

When Delarian Wilson says, you better start having sex right now, people start having sex right now. He forces people into sex, and it's escalating, and it's escalating, and it's getting worse, and the stakes are getting hire, and that room is getting scarier.

So when Delarian Wilson says, if somebody doesn't have sex with this girl, somebody's going to die, there is not a reason in that room, and there is not a reason in the world, when he's got a gun in his hand, that somebody is not going to die if somebody doesn't have sex in that room with her, if somebody does not get with Danielle Browning.

This psychopath makes good on his threats.
We all heard the evidence in this case. It is after that threat that Narcus Wesley's involvement increases.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 81 & & 83 \\
\hline 1 & Why? & 1 & asking, why didn't he leave, why didn't he get out of \\
\hline 2 & Well, it's because of one of two things. & 2 & there? \\
\hline 3 & It's either because Narcus Wesley is a sick, & 3 & Well, while Delarian's at the ATM, why didn't he \\
\hline 4 & demented person who gets off on violent sexual & 4 & say, stop? \\
\hline 5 & situations that none of us can conceive in our wildest & 5 & Why didn't he respond differently? \\
\hline 6 & dreams, it's either that, or he is reacting in a scary, & 6 & Why didn't he do this? \\
\hline 7 & dangerous by all accounts, situation. & 7 & Why didn't he do that? \\
\hline 8 & He's either the sicko that I've described, or is & 8 & Those are all interesting questions. Those are \\
\hline 9 & caught up in this surreal situation that is like a & 9 & all interesting questions. \\
\hline 10 & movie, a scary movie I would suggest. & 10 & But the fact that Narcus did not react and \\
\hline 11 & And I want to explain to you why the evidence in & 11 & respond differently under those circumstances is not \\
\hline 12 & this case shows that he is caught up in a scary & 12 & evidence of a crime. \\
\hline 13 & situation. & 13 & The fact that Narcus did not respond differently \\
\hline 14 & He's pacing. & 14 & at the time is not evidence of a crime. \\
\hline 15 & He's nervous. & 15 & It's interesting to think about, and it's \\
\hline 16 & He asks her if he can touch her. & 16 & interesting to discuss, and I suppose after your verdict \\
\hline 17 & The State's right, no, it's not a consent case, I & 17 & those might be things to talk about, but it is not \\
\hline 18 & mean, it's not consent, it's not. & 18 & evidence of a crime. \\
\hline 19 & But isn't that a peculiar statement, he asks if & 19 & And in a few minutes I think the prosecutor's \\
\hline 20 & he can touch her, isn't that a peculiar statement in & 20 & going to get up here and try and substitute those \\
\hline 21 & that situation? & 21 & questions for evidence of a crime, but they are simply \\
\hline 22 & I mean, he could have talked to her in a sexually & 22 & not proof of any crimes committed. Don't let them spin \\
\hline 23 & degrading way while Delarian's off at the ATM. & 23 & it any other way. \\
\hline 24 & He could have raped her while Delarian's off at & 24 & If they do, I want you to consider this: They \\
\hline 25 & the ATM. & 25 & have a lack of proof to convict Narcus. They start \\
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\hline 1 & He didn't. & 1 & asking those questions, it's a lack of proof to convict \\
\hline 2 & He could have forced her to perform oral sex & 2 & Narcus. \\
\hline 3 & while Delarian's off at the ATM. & 3 & You got to talk about one more thing. \\
\hline 4 & He didn't. & 4 & It's no secret that Delarian Wilson plead guilty \\
\hline 5 & He did touch her. & 5 & to three crimes, three, and in a few minutes the \\
\hline 6 & Why? & 6 & prosecutor's going to get up here and with a straight \\
\hline 7 & Because if someone did not do something sexual to & 7 & face ask you to convict Narcus of 18 crimes. \\
\hline 8 & Danielle Browning at that moment, somebody was going to & 8 & How is that just? \\
\hline 9 & die, and you know what, then we would all be here on a & 9 & How is that fair? \\
\hline 10 & murder case. & 10 & The system is about justice, and justice requires \\
\hline 11 & Yeah, I can get -- Let me do it, let me touch & 11 & you only to find fault where it is warranted. \\
\hline 12 & her, I can get hard. & 12 & The laws that you have to decipher, very \\
\hline 13 & We're going to hear more of that I imagine. & 13 & technical, a lot of stuff there, but I submit to you \\
\hline 14 & What Narcus did was vile. & 14 & that it is not long, nor is it boring, it's my client's \\
\hline 15 & It was disgusting. & 15 & life. \\
\hline 16 & It was horrific. & 16 & You heard the evidence, and the government is \\
\hline 17 & And Danielle didn't deserve that. She didn't & 17 & banking on you to transfer your outrage at Delarian \\
\hline 18 & deserve that. & 18 & Wilson onto Narcus. \\
\hline 19 & It was bad, and don't think that everybody in & 19 & Hold Narcus accountable only for what he did, but \\
\hline 20 & this room doesn't feel that way. & 20 & more importantly do not hold him accountable for that \\
\hline 21 & But what he did was on the heels of a death & 21 & what he did not do. \\
\hline 22 & threat, and it done under duress. & 22 & THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. \\
\hline 23 & Was the situation of his own making? & 23 & MS. LUZAICH: The guy's a hero. \\
\hline 24 & No. & 24 & He took one for the team. \\
\hline 25 & Now, the State might get up here and start & 25 & He stuck his finger in her vagina to save the \\
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world.
I mean, that's what they are asking you to do right here and right now.

You should be held responsible for the choices that you make, absolutely positively.

He chose to go to that home on Great Dane with his friend Delarian Wilson. He chose to. Now, they are telling you that the only reason he went there is to buy marijuana.

But where did that evidence come from?
The self-serving statement of the Defendant to the police.

Now, let's talk about the statement the Defendant made to the police for one second.

Was any of it true?
Let's see. It starts out with a lie.
Do you have any idea why we are here?
I have no idea.
Let's see, he's in a house for three hours
holding kids at gunpoint, causing kids to have sex with each other, sticking his finger in a vagina, and he has no idea why they may be at his house less than 48 hours later.

Please.
They say, do you know Kameron?
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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He says, I know of a Kameron.
Well, less than 48 hours ago he spent three hours with Kameron waving guns, forcing kids to have sex, and sticking his finger in a vagina.

He knows of a Kameron.
In his statement to the police he first says, well, I touched her booty.

And then less than a minute-and-a-half later he says, I didn't touch no one.

And then less than a minute-and-a-half later all of a sudden he's rubbing her vagina.

He can't get his own statement straight. You can't accept anything that he said to the police, except that he was there.

And the same thing with Delarian Wilson's statement. The only thing that you guys can accept as true from either one of their statements is that they were there because what happened?

Delarian Wilson says, Narcus had the gun, and Narcus did all the bad things, except I went to the ATM.

What does Narcus Wesley say?
Delarian Wilson had the gun, he did all the bad things, I rubbed her vagina though.

So really the only thing that you can take from their statements is that they were there.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Certified Court Reporters take. while Delarian Wilson was gone? hair ball. So did he sort of.

Where is the gun? mean there wasn't a gun? because we have a bullet.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES gun? hotel room. are on. see clearly two guys there. both of the guys.

You know, I'm sorry, I'm going to little out of order here, but I'm kind of -- the Defense attorney says he was nervous, he was pacing, and that's how you know he was nervous, and he was merely present, and he's wondering where is he and how long is this going to

You want to know why he was nervous and pacing

Because he was afraid Delarian Wilson wasn't coming back, he was taking the money for himself and leaving him there with the gun and the four or five kids when the police eventually did show up.

He's worried he's not coming back, going off with the money, or maybe he had already gotten caught by the police and coughing him up like a hair ball, which he ultimately did, Delarian Wilson coughed him up like a

Just because there was no gun found, does that

Have you ever heard of somebody ditching a gun?
We know that there was a gun there, at least one.
Now, the kids said there were two guns there, but we know for a fact there was at least one gun there
702.360 .4677
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And how did people know it was a nine millimeter

Because on the bullet it says, nine millimeter.
So we know there was a gun there.
Yet they didn't find a gun in Delarian Wilson's

They didn't find a gun in the car he was driving.
They didn't find a gun at Narcus' parents' house.
Because they ditched the guns. That's easy.
Ryan Tognotti is sitting at home watching a movie, knock, knock, knock on the door.

He gets up, and he walks over to the door, and you saw in the picture this is a very small area, I don't know if I will be able to find the picture, very small area, he's sitting on the couch, TV's there, it's on, therefore the room is fairly lit up, plus the lights

He answered the door, and there are two men there. You can see clearly, or he -- Sorry -- he can

And after this slight interchange, and they realize that there is no Grant, and therefore no money, both of the guys pick up their shirts and pull out guns,

There is no perception problem there.
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\hline & 89 & & 91 \\
\hline 1 & There is no making anything up there. & 1 & But what you also need to know, and when you \\
\hline 2 & He sees immediately that both of them had a gun. & 2 & look, go back there and look at Defense Exhibit G, that \\
\hline 3 & Now, you know the Defense would have you believe & 3 & is the guilty plea agreement, and you know that because \\
\hline 4 & that all of these kids' statements and perceptions were & 4 & it says right here guilty plea agreement, and attached \\
\hline 5 & compromised because they had some conversation before & 5 & to the very back of it is what is called an Amended \\
\hline 6 & the police got there. & 6 & Information, it is the charging document he plead guilty \\
\hline 7 & Well, you know, if there perceptions and their & 7 & to, and you will notice when you look at it that he \\
\hline 8 & recoliections were so compromised, wouldn't all of them & 8 & plead guilty to two Counts of robbery with use of deadly \\
\hline 9 & have said exactly the same thing to you? & 9 & weapon. However, in those two Counts all four of the \\
\hline 10 & Now there wouldn't have been any I don't knows, & 10 & robbery victims are named. \\
\hline 1 & or I don't remembers, but Aitor told you, you know what, & 11 & He plead guilty to robbing Justin Richardson and \\
\hline 12 & I don't think I did see a gun in the second one's hands, & 12 & Justin Foucault and Danielle Browning and Ryan Tognotti. \\
\hline 13 & I was nervous, I have it on the ground right away, I & 13 & So he did accept responsibility for what he did there. \\
\hline 14 & didn't see a gun in the second one's hands. & 14 & He plead guilty to the sexual assault. He plead \\
\hline 15 & Clint Tognotti told you, well, he was 75 percent & 15 & guilty to forcing Danielle and Justin to perform sexual \\
\hline 16 & sure. & 16 & acts on each other, which is what he did. \\
\hline 17 & If they had all gotten together and cooked this & 17 & Now, he says that he did it in an aiding and \\
\hline 18 & up about the two guns, all six of them would have been & 18 & abetting, although he said, aiding and abiding manner, \\
\hline 19 & 100 percent sure that there were two guns. & 19 & that he aided and abetted Narcus Wesley, but he plead \\
\hline 20 & So there is no compromise there. & 20 & guilty to the sexual assault's involving Danielle and \\
\hline 21 & He took one for the team. & 21 & Justin. \\
\hline 22 & This psychopath, what did he say, this psychopath & 22 & No one in the entire residence said that Delarian \\
\hline 23 & makes good on his threats? & 23 & Wilson put his finger in Danielle's vagina. \\
\hline 24 & What makes us think that? & 24 & Danielle said Narcus Wesley put his finger in her \\
\hline 25 & Did he shoot anybody? & 25 & vagina, and all five of the guys said that they heard \\
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\hline 1 & Did you hear any one of those six kids say he & 1 & Narcus Wesley by Danielle when she was in the chair with \\
\hline 2 & shot them? & 2 & her legs in the air, not Delarian Wilson. \\
\hline 3 & Did he hit anybody with a gun? & 3 & Be outraged at Narcus Wesley for what Narcus \\
\hline 4 & Did he hurt, physically hurt, anybody? & 4 & Wesley did. \\
\hline 5 & We didn't hear any of that. & 5 & He chose to go to that house with his friend \\
\hline 6 & Now, in truth and in fact we heard from Grant, & 6 & Delarian Wilson. \\
\hline 7 & who was his friend, who he robbed a year earlier, and & 7 & He chose to go there with a gun, and we know that \\
\hline 8 & Grant, who knows him, Grant doesn't even believe that & 8 & because Ryan saw it at a time that perception wasn't a \\
\hline 9 & it's a robbery because he knows Delarian Kameron Wilson, & 9 & problem. He saw it when the lights were on. \\
\hline 10 & and he knows he's not going to hurt him, Grant knows & 10 & He saw both of them pull guns out. \\
\hline 11 & Wilson's not going to hurt him. & 11 & He chose to go with his friend Delarian Wilson \\
\hline 12 & You heard him explain to his parents on that & 12 & with a gun, while Delarian Wilson was telling the kids \\
\hline 13 & tape, it's my homeboy, he's my friend, he knows him, and & 13 & to get down. \\
\hline 14 & he knows, Narcus Wesley knows, that Delarian Wilson & 14 & Did he tell Delarian Wilson, don't do that, Kam? \\
\hline 15 & isn't going to hurt anybody. He knows that. & 15 & When Delarian Wilson was taking the cell phones \\
\hline 16 & Should you be outraged at the conduct of Delarian & 16 & and the money and the ATM cards, did he tell him, Kam, \\
\hline 17 & Wilson? & 17 & don't do that? \\
\hline 18 & Absolutely, positively. & 18 & When Delarian Wilson took Ryan Tognotti and put \\
\hline 19 & Does the State want you to transfer that outrage & 19 & him in the Civic and took him to the ATM, did Narcus \\
\hline 20 & on to Narcus Wesley? & 20 & Wesley tell him, come on, Kam, don't do that? \\
\hline 21 & No way. & 21 & No, he held his gun, and he kept the other five \\
\hline 22 & Delarian Wilson plead guilty, Delarian Wilson & 22 & on the ground. \\
\hline 23 & accepted responsibility, and he's going to be sentenced, & 23 & He was an active participant. \\
\hline 24 & and you have to trust that the Court is going to & 24 & He kept them there. \\
\hline 25 & sentence him appropriately. & 25 & He kept them from calling the police. \\
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When Wilson came back with Ryan Tognotti, and he told these kids to perform sexual acts, Danielle and Justin, did Narcus Wesley ever tell him, don't do that, Kam, that is not nice?

No, he said, I'm hard, I'll do it, I'll fuck her.
I'm not quite as delicate as Miss Kollins.
He had no problem with it.
He was excited those kids said that.
He was confident.
He was eager.
That's not merely present. That is an active participate.

Be outraged at him for what he did.
Now, Mr. Banks tells you, well, when I come up here, and I talk about all the things he didn't do, that that is lack of evidence.

No, that is lack of duress.
The Defense has raised duress as a defense that
he took one for the team, but he did it because he
thought somebody was going to die. He knew nobody was going to die.

He didn't do any of those things because he wanted to be there. He wanted to get the money from the robbery, and he wanted to get the things from the sex
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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act. He is the one who stuck his finger in her vagina.
He is the one. The active participant, not the merely
present person.
There was no evidence whatsoever that Narcus Wesley believed himself that his life was in danger, none whatsoever. And in order for you to believe there was duress, you would have to believe first that a reasonable person would believe that his own life was in danger, not somebody else's, his own, and that that person actually believed that his own life was in danger.

He knew his homeboy wasn't going to hurt him.
There is no evidence whatsoever that he thought his life was in danger.

At the beginning of the trial the Defense said that the case is about choices.

In his closing shortly ago Mr. Banks said that this case is about choices, and it is.

You know, you can't choose your family. There are a lot of things you can't choose.

But the one thing in your life that you can choose is your friends.

He chose to be friends with Delarian Wilson.
He chose to go there that day.
He chose to take a gun.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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He chose to let this happen.
He chose to participate.
He held a gun while these kids laid there

\section*{terrorized.}

He stuck his finger in her vagina.
He is guilty of all of those Counts.
Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
Joe's going to go get Tatyana, and we're going to swear her in, both Joe and Tatyana, to take charge of the jury.

Before you deliberate -- or rather when you start to deliberate, somebody has to be in charge of the jury from now until the time you reach the verdict.
(Court officers sworn in by the clerk to take charge of the jury during deliberations.)

THE COURT: All right. Joe's got the original instructions. He's got the verdict forms.

I want everybody except Melinda Wright to stay
there. I got special instructions for you.
Everybody else go ahead and go with Joe.
(Jury excused from the courtroom for deliberation purposes, with the exception of the alternate juror, and the following proceedings were had.):

THE COURT: Melinda, you are in a special BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES \(\quad 702.360 .4677\) Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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category. You are still on the jury, but you are the designated alternate at this point.

The admonishments that I have given you throughout the course of this trial still apply to you.

You are a member of this jury. You are just not deliberating.

You are subject to the same admonishments I've given you all along here.

You are not to discuss this case, converse with anybody, about the case whatsoever.

You are not to listen or read or watch any reports or commentaries through any medium of information, radio, television, internet, newspapers.

Don't form or express any opinion whatsoever on any subject having to do with this trial, any person having to do with this trial, until such time you get a call from Tatyana that you have been discharged.

If something happens during the course of deliberations, one of the jurors -- somebody already left, we have one juror short, we have 14 left in reserve, you go with her, give her your phone numbers so she knows how to get ahold of you because you will need to be available for something less than an hour or 45 minutes.

In the event it's not necessary to have you
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participate in the deliberations she will also call you and tell you the jury has reached a verdict, and that you at that point are no longer subject to the admonishments I have given you.

At that point you will be discharged from your duties as a juror and be free to discuss the case as you see fit.

Okay. In case I don't see you again, I want to tell you how much I appreciate the effort that you have given this case.

You guys have all been wonderful, been prompt, attentive.

This is a very grateful job that you have undertaken, and I truly appreciate the fact that you have been so good about this.

Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
Go ahead, and go with Tatyana, and she will get all the information from you, and she will also be the one you will converse with and let you know you are off jury duty.

Okay?
ALTERNATE JUROR: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay.
(Alternate juror now excused from the courtroom.)
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(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury.):

THE COURT: Everybody's got a number to be reached?

Stay within 30 minutes of the courthouse, something like that.
(Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)
(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
(Proceedings concluded for the evening.)
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\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
68:24, 69:6, 69:7, 69:14, \\
69:15, 69:20, 69:22, 91:9
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
tomorrow [1]-78:8 \\
tongue \((3)-51: 25,52: 3,52: 8\) \\
took (17)- 15:24, 27:16,
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 55:5 } \\
& \text { typewritten }[1]-7: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
vernacular [1] - 32:19 \\
version [1] - 28:8
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { weapons }[4]-38: 10,38: 12, \\
& 48: 11,65: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 27:19, 32:13, 32:21, 39:14, & U & versus [4]-3:7, 9:1, 11:11, & Wells [1] - 69:3 \\
\hline 42:17, 47:1, 61:5, 64:19,
\[
75: 7,76: 13,84: 24,89: 21
\] & & viable [1] - 16:11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Weske [3] - 75:20, 75:21, } \\
& 75: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 92:18, 92:19, 93:20 & ultimate \([1]-68: 20\) & victim [10]-36:23, & WESLEY [1]-1:9 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
tooth [1]-79:20 \\
top [1]-59:5
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ultimately }[4]-46: 1,47: 2, \\
& 47: 4,87: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
54:6, 55:13, 55:19, 56:10, \\
61:25, 65:11, 68:17, 68:18
\end{tabular} & Wesley [42]-3:7, 9:3, 15:15, \\
\hline touch [8]-13:8, 52:8, 58:17 & unanimous [1] - 25:7 & victimization [3]-67:23, & 23:23, 24:7, 24:8, 25:12, \\
\hline 81:16, 81:20, 82:5, 82:11, & unarmed [2]-6:10, 64: & 68:24, 69:10 & \(34: 5,34: 8,36 \cdot 19,37 \cdot 6\) \\
\hline 86:9 & under [20] - 6:16, 25:14 & victims [9]-22:21, 29:21, & 40:20, 43:20, 47:6, 56:14 \\
\hline touched [9] - 46:12, 59:5, & 27:25, 29:11, 40:4, 43:21. & 29:22, 29:23, 32:7, 48:11, & 56:19, 58:15, 66:6, 67:21, \\
\hline 60:16, 60:19, 60:22, 61:2, & 43:22, 44:23, 50:11, 51:7, & 55:1, 55:17, 91:10 & 71:21, 71:23, 71:25, 73:20, \\
\hline 61:9, 86:7 & 51:13, 56:1, 56:4, 56:23, & vile [1]-82:14 & 6:16, 76:20, 81:3, 86:2 \\
\hline touching \({ }^{(3)}-51: 24,52: 5\), & 60:23, 61:8, 68:11, 76:20, & violence [3]-41:19, 61:17, & 90:14, 90:20, 91:19, 91:24, \\
\hline 60:10 & 82:22, 83:11 & 61:1 & 2:1, 92:3, 92:4, 92:20, \\
\hline town [1] - 74:5 & underlying [9] - 33:8, 34:22, & violent [1]-81: & 93:4, 94:5 \\
\hline training [1] - 32:9 & 36:1, 36:4, 36:9, 39:21, & virtually [ \({ }^{1}\) ] -68:9 & Wesley's [10]-23:16, 34:13, \\
\hline TRAN (1]-1:1 & 40:11, 41:4, 41:5 & visually \({ }^{11}\) ]-62:18 & 44:14, 44:23, 63:3, 63:6 \\
\hline transcript [4]-8:4, 8:20, & undertaken [1]-97:14 & vitiate [1] - 36:24 & 63:8, 63:17, 76:11, \(80: 24\) \\
\hline 11:4, 15:11 & undoubtedly [1] - 19:8 & voices [1]-47:17 & whatsoever [5]-94:4, 94:6 \\
\hline Transcript [1] - 1:14 & unfortunately [1]-24:24 & voluntarily [1]-17:14 & 94:13, 96:10, 96:14 \\
\hline transcripts \([1]-8: 21\) & Unfortunately [1] - 79:24 & vs [1]-1:8 & wherein [1] - 42:15 \\
\hline transfer [3]-72:10, 84:17, & unit [2]-22:21, 23:1 & & whole [4]-40:15, 76:10 \\
\hline transferred [1] - 73:7 & unless [2] -6:8, 6:1 & W & \\
\hline transpired \(\{5]\) - \(27: 20,31: 2\), & unreliable [1] - 79:18 & & 26:24, 50:19, 57:13 \\
\hline 33:4, 46:8, 58:20 & unspent [1]-21:13 & & Wilson [99] - 7:15, 7:19, \\
\hline travel \({ }^{11}\) - \(30: 7\) & up [38] - \(3: 24,10: 6,13: 15\) & \[
\text { waist }[1]-37: 2
\] & 7:20, 7:24, 8:1, 9:1, 10:23, \\
\hline traveling [1]-29:19 & 14:8, 15:5, 21:22, 30:20 & \[
\text { wait }[3]-3: 14,19: 6,50: 21
\] & 11:5, 11:12, 11:13, 11:15, \\
\hline trial [11]-11:16, 13:23, 14:9, & 38:5, 38:14, 39:12, 39:14, & waited [1] - 32:2 & 11:19, 11:23, 11:24, 12:9, \\
\hline 4:15 & \[
48: 25,50: 16,57: 16,58: 12,
\] & waiting \([2]-18: 5,45: 1\) & 17:17, 23:24, 24:3, 25:5,
\[
25: 12,25: 16,25: 22,26: 2,
\] \\
\hline TRIAL [1] - 1:12 & 67:2, 70:7, 74:7, 80:4, & walk [6] - 26:9, 32:14, 34:4, & \[
6: 16,27: 16,30: 1,30: 14
\] \\
\hline tried [2]-32:17, 44:5 & 81:9, 81:12, 82:25, 83:20, & 36:14, 44:15, 56 : & 30:21, 31:6, 34:4, 37:1, \\
\hline True [1]-9:25 & 84:6, 87:12, 87:15, 87:16, & walked [1] - 42:16 & 39:4, 40:2, 42:16, 44:10, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

46:2, 46:5, 46:18, 47:4,
48:17, 59:1, 60:4, 61:1,
64:22, 67:20, 68:8,68:22,
71:17, 71:19, 71:20, 71:22,
71:24, 72:1, 72:5, 72:9,
72:11, 72:15, 72:18, 72:21,
72:22, 72:25, 73:5, 73:8,
73:11, 73:18, 73:22, 74:22,
75:18, 76:15, 76:21, 77:11,
80:5, 80:7, 80:10, 80:15,
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86:22, 87:8, 87:9, 87:16,
90:9, 90:14, 90:17, 90:22,
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93:2, 94:23
Wilson's [12] - 7:6, 11:20,
23:25, 34:10, 40:16, 62:17,
76:11, 76:24, 76:25, 86:15,
88:5, 90:11
withdraw [6] - 12:1, 31:15,
31:17, 32:3, 32:21, 72:20
withdrawal [1]-31:16
WITNESS [29] - 12:10, 12:14,
12:17, 12:20, 12:25, 13:5,
13:16, 13:20, 13:25, 14:3,
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14:21, 15:4, 15:7, 15:14,
15:17, 15:20, 15:23, 16:2,
16:4, 16:9, 16:12, 16:17,
16:23, 17:1, 17:7
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THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
NARCUS SAMONE WESLEY
Defendants.
CASE NO: C232494
DEPT NO: XXIV

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. I) MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this case. It is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as you find them from the evidence.

You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given in the instructions of the Court.

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance.

An Information is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and is not of itself any evidence of his guilt.

In this case, it is charged in an Second Amended Information that on or about the 18 th day of February, 2007, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

\section*{COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there meet with each other and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully and unlawfully conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: Burglary, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did commit the acts as set forth in Counts \(3 \& 11\), said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

\section*{COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there meet with each other and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: Robbery, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did commit the acts as set forth in Counts \(4,6,7, \& 9\), said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

\section*{COUNT 3 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, while in possession of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, with intent to commit larceny and/or a felony, to-wit: Robbery, the house at 690 Great Dane Court, Henderson, Clark County, Nevada, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON
aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 4 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: condoms, from the person of JUSTIN RICHARDSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said JUSTIN RICHARDSON, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendants being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 5 - ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm, towit: AITOR ESKANDON, by pointing a hand gun at and forcing the said AITOR ESKANDON to lay on the ground while personal property was taken from others in his presence, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 6 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: money, from the person of JUSTIN FOUCAULT, or in his presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said JUSTIN FOUCAULT, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 7 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: money, from the person of RYAN TOGNOTTI, or in his presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said RYAN TOGNOTTI, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 8 - ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm, towit: CLINTON TOGNOTTI, by pointing a hand gun at and forcing the said CLINTON

TOGNOTTI to lay on the ground while personal property was taken from others in his presence, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: cell phone, from the person of DANIELLE BROWNING, or in her presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said DANIELLE BROWNING, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 10 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and without authority of law, seize, confine, inveigle, entice, decoy, abduct, conceal, kidnap, or carry away RYAN TOGNOTTI, a human being, with the intent to hold or detain the said RYAN TOGNOTTI against his will, and without his consent, for the purpose of committing Robbery, said Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, during the commission of said crime, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal
liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 11 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, while in possession of a deadly weapon, to-wit: hand gun, with intent to commit larceny and/or a felony, to-wit: Robbery, the Honda Civic belonging to RYAN TOGNOTTI, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 12 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, and subject DANIELLE BROWNING, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by forcing DANIELLE BROWNING to perform fellatio on JUSTIN RICHARDSON while threatening to kill her or others if she didn't perform said sexual act, against her will, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 13 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, and subject DANIELLE BROWNING, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by forcing DANIELLE BROWNING to be subjected to cunnilingus performed by JUSTIN RICHARDSON while threatening to kill her or others if she didn't engage in said acts said sexual act, against her will, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 14 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, and subject JUSTIN RICHARDSON, a male person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by forcing JUSTIN RICHARDSON to receive fellatio from DANIELLE BROWNING while threatening to kill him and/or others if he did not engage in said sexual conduct, against his will, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 15 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, and subject JUSTIN RICHARDSON, a male person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by
forcing JUSTIN RICHARDSON to perform cunnilingus on DANIELLE BROWNING while threatening to kill him and/or others if he did not engage in said sexual conduct, against his will, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 16 - COERCION WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use physical force, or the immediate threat of such force, against RYAN TOGNOTTI, with intent to compel him to do, or abstain from doing, an act which he had a right to do, or abstain from doing, by using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, and forcing RYAN TOGNOTTI to masturbate his penis, said acts being sexually motivated, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, and subject DANIELLE BROWNING, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, Defendant NARCUS WESLEY penetrating DANIELLE BROWNING's vagina, however slight with his hand and/or one or more fingers, against her will, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and

DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

\section*{COUNT 18-OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON}

Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON did then and there wilfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness by touching and/or rubbing the chest and/or buttocks of DANIELLE BROWNING, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a hand gun, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by Defendant and DELARIAN KAMERON WILSON aiding or abetting one another in the commission of this crime by assisting one another and by providing counsel and encouragement each carrying out specific acts with the intent that this crime be committed; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in these instructions to the facts of the case and determine whether or not Defendant is guilty of one or more of the offenses charged.

A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons for an unlawful purpose. To be guilty of conspiracy, a defendant must intend to commit, or to aid in the commission of, the specific crime agreed to. The crime is the agreement to do something unlawful; it does not matter whether it was successful or not.

A person who knowingly does any act to further the object of a conspiracy, or otherwise participates therein, is criminally liable as a conspirator. However, mere knowledge or approval of, or acquiescence in, the object and purpose of a conspiracy without an agreement to cooperate in achieving such object or purpose does not make one a party to conspiracy. Conspiracy is seldom susceptible of direct proof and is usually established by inference from the conduct of the parties. In particular, a conspiracy may be supported by a coordinated series of acts, in furtherance of the underlying offense, sufficient to infer the existence of an agreement.

A conspiracy to commit a crime does not end upon the completion of the crime. The conspiracy continues until the co-conspirators have successfully gotten away and concealed the crime.

It is not necessary in proving a conspiracy to show a meeting of the alleged conspirators or the making of an express or formal agreement. The formation and existence of a conspiracy may be inferred from all circumstances tending to show the common intent and may be proved in the same way as any other fact may be proved, either by direct testimony of the fact or by circumstantial evidence, or by both direct and circumstantial evidence.
\(\qquad\)
Once a person joins a conspiracy, that person remains a member until he withdraws from it. A person can withdraw from a conspiracy by taking some positive action which disavowed or defeated the purpose of the conspiracy. It is not enough if the evidence shows that the defendant merely ceased his own activities in furtherance of the conspiracy.

The State has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant did not withdraw from the conspiracy.

Each member of a criminal conspiracy is liable for each act and bound by each declaration of every other member of the conspiracy if the act or the declaration is in furtherance of the object of the conspiracy.

The act of one conspirator pursuant to or in furtherance of the common design of the conspiracy is the act of all conspirators. Every conspirator is legally responsible for a specific intent crime of a co-conspirator that follows as one of the probable and natural consequences of the object of the conspiracy so long as the specific intent crime was intended by the co-conspirator. A conspirator is also legally responsible for a general intent crime that follows as one of the probable and natural consequence of the object of the conspiracy even if it was not intended as part of the original plan and even if he was not present at the time of the commission of such act.

Evidence that a person was in the company or associated with one or more other persons alleged or proven to have been members of a conspiracy is not, in itself, sufficient to prove that such person was a member of the alleged conspiracy. However, you are instructed that presence, companionship, and conduct before, during and after the offense are circumstances from which one's participation in the criminal intent may be inferred.

INSTRUCTION NO.
Where two or more persons are accused of committing a crime together, their guilt may be established without proof that each personally did every act constituting the offense charged.

All persons concerned in the commission of a crime who either directly and actively commit the act constituting the offense or who knowingly and with criminal intent aid and abet in its commission or, whether present or not, who advise and encourage its commission, with the intent that the crime be committed, are regarded by the law as principals in the crime thus committed and are equally guilty thereof.

A person aids and abets the commission of a crime if he knowingly and with criminal intent aids, promotes, encourages or instigates by act or advice, or by act and advice, the commission of such crime with the intention that the crime be committed.

The State is not required to prove precisely which defendant actually committed the crime and which defendant aided and abetted.

Mere presence at the scene of the crime and knowledge that a crime is being committed are not sufficient to establish that the defendant aided and abetted the crime, unless you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is a participant and not merely a knowing spectator. However, the presence of one at the commission of a crime of another is evidence which can be considered in determining whether or not he is guilty of aiding or abetting, as well as the defendant's presence, companionship, and conduct before, during and after the participation in the criminal act.

Every person who, by day or night, enters any house, room, tenement, shop, store, other building, or vehicle with the intent to commit a larceny and/or robbery therein is guilty of Burglary.

Larceny is defined as the stealing, taking and carrying away of the personal goods or property of another with the intent to permanently deprive the owner thereof.

Consent to enter is not a defense to the crime of burglary so long as it is shown that entry was made with the specific intent to commit a larceny and/or robbery therein. Force or a "breaking" as such is not a necessary element of the crime.

The intention with which entry was made is a question of fact which may be inferred from the defendant's conduct and all other circumstances disclosed by the evidence.

Every person who commits the crime of burglary, who has in his possession or gains possession of any firearm or deadly weapon at any time during the commission of the crime, at any time before leaving the structure, or upon leaving the structure, is guilty of burglary while in possession of a weapon.

If more than one person commits a crime, and one of them possesses a deadly weapon in the commission of that crime, each may be convicted of while in possession of the deadly weapon if the unarmed offender had knowledge of the possession of the deadly weapon.

Every person who, in the commission of a burglary, commits any other crime, may be prosecuted for each crime separately.

Robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property from the person of another, or in his presence, against his will, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person or property, or the person or property of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery. A taking is by means of force or fear if force or fear is used to:
(a) Obtain or retain possession of the property;
(b) Prevent or overcome resistance to the taking; or
(c) Facilitate escape.

The degree of force used is immaterial if it is used to compel acquiescence to the taking of or escaping with the property. A taking constitutes robbery whenever it appears that, although the taking was fully completed without the knowledge of the person from whom taken, such knowledge was prevented by the use of force or fear. Robbery is a general intent crime.

The value of property or money taken is not an element of the crime of Robbery, and it is only necessary that the State prove the taking of some property or money.

INSTRUCTION NO. \(\qquad\)
Robbery is not confined to a fixed locus, but may spread over considerable and varying periods of time. All matters immediately antecedent to and having direct causal connection with the robbery are deemed so closely connected with it as to form in reality a part of the occurrence. Thus, although acts of violence and intimidation preceded the actual taking of the property and may have been primarily intended for another purpose. It is enough to support the charge of robbery when a person takes the property by taking advantage of the terrifying situation he created.

Personal property is "in the presence" of a person, in respect to robbery, when it is within the person's reach, inspection, observation or control, and the person could (if not prevented by intimidation or threat of violence) retain possession of the property.

A person who intentionally places another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm is guilt of Assault.

INSTRUCTION NO. \(\qquad\)
Every person who willfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, decoys, abducts, conceals, kidnaps or carries away any person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain, or who holds or detains, the person for the purpose of committing robbery upon or from the person is guilty of Kidnapping in the First Degree, a specific intent crime.

You are instructed that if you find that the State has established that the defendant has committed first degree kidnapping you shall select first degree kidnapping as your verdict. The crime of first degree kidnapping includes the crime of second degree kidnapping. You may find the defendant guilty of second degree kidnapping if:
1. You have not found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty of kidnapping of the first degree, and
2. All twelve of you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the crime of second degree kidnapping.

If you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime of kidnapping has been committed by the defendant, but you have a reasonable doubt whether such kidnapping was of the first or of the second degree, you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and return a verdict of kidnapping of the second degree.

Every person who willfully and without authority of law seizes, inveigles, takes, carries away or kidnaps another person with the intent to keep the person secretly imprisoned within the state, or for the purpose of conveying the person out of the state without authority of law, or in any manner held to service or detained against his will, is guilty of kidnapping in the second degree.

A person who subjects another person to sexual penetration, or who forces another person to make a sexual penetration on himself or on another, against the victim's will or under conditions in which the perpetrator knows or should know that the victim is mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of his conduct, is guilty of sexual assault.
"Sexual penetration" includes cunnilingus, fellatio, digital penetration, or any intrusion, however slight, of any part of a person's body. Evidence of ejaculation is not necessary.

Cunnilingus is a touching, however slight, of the female sexual organ by the mouth or tongue of another person.

Fellatio is a touching, however slight, of the penis by the mouth or tongue of another person.

Digital penetration is the placing, however slight, of one or more fingers into the genital opening of another person.

Where multiple sexual acts occur as part of a single criminal encounter a defendant may be found guilty for each separate or different act of sexual assault and/or lewdness.

Where a defendant commits a specific type of act constituting sexual assault and/or lewdness he may be found guilty of more that one count of that specific type of act of sexual assault if:
1. there is an interruption between the acts which are of the same specific type,
2. where the acts of the same specific type are interrupted by a different specific type of sexual assault or
3. For each separate object manipulated or inserted into the genital or anal opening of another.

Only one sexual assault occurs when a defendant's actions were of one specific type of sexual assault and those acts were continuous and did not stop between the acts of that specific type.

INSTRUCTION NO.
Physical force is not necessary in the commission of sexual assault. The crucial question is not whether a person was physically forced to engage in a sexual assault but whether the act was committed without his/her consent or under conditions in which the defendant knew or should have known, the person was incapable of giving his/her consent or understanding the nature of the act. There is no consent where a person is induced to submit to the sexual act through fear of death or serious bodily injury.

INSTRUCTION NO.
A person is not required to do more than her age, strength, surrounding facts and attending circumstances make it reasonable for him/her to do to manifest opposition to a sexual assault.

Submission is not the equivalent of consent. While consent inevitably involves submission, submission does not inevitably involve consent. Lack of protest by a victim is simply one among the totality of circumstances to be considered by the jury.

There is no requirement that the testimony of a victim of sexual assault be corroborated, and her testimony standing alone, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, is sufficient to sustain a verdict of guilty.

Open and Gross Lewdness is defined as any indecent, obscene or vulgar act of a sexual nature that:
1. is intentionally committed in a public place, even if the act is not observed; or
2. is committed in a private place, but in an open manner, as opposed to a secret manner, and with the intent to be offensive to the observer.

Any person who uses violence upon another person or threatens violence or injury to another person with the specific intent to compel another to do or abstain from doing an act which such other person has a right to do or abstain from doing is guilty of Coercion.

A defendant acts under duress if at the time of the offense charged:
1. the threats and menaces are such that they would cause a reasonable person to fear that his life would be in immediate danger if he did not engage in the conduct charged, and
2. the person then actually believed that his life was so endangered.

The defendant does not act voluntarily if the defendant acts under duress at the time of the offense charged. If the State fails to prove the absence of duress beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

Although your verdict must be unanimous as to the charge, you do not have to agree on the theory of guilt. Therefore, even if you cannot agree on whether the facts establish liability as directly committing the crime, as an aider and abettor, or a co-conspirator, so long as all of you agree that the evidence establishes the defendant's guilt of the charge, your verdict shall be guilty of the charge.
\[
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You are instructed that if you find a defendant guilty of Robbery, Assault, First or Second Degree Kidnapping, Sexual Assault, Coercion or Open Or Gross Lewdness you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of this crime.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of such an offense, then you shall return the appropriate guilty verdict reflecting "With Use of a Deadly Weapon".

If, however, you find that a deadly weapon was not used in the commission of such an offense, but you find that it was committed, then you shall return the appropriate guilty verdict reflecting that a deadly weapon was not used.
"Deadly weapon" means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design and construction, will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death, or, any weapon, device, instrument, material or substance which, under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death.

You are instructed that a firearm is a deadly weapon and proof of its deadly capabilities is not required.

If more than one person commits a crime, and one of them uses a deadly weapon in the commission of that crime, each may be convicted of using the deadly weapon if the unarmed offender had knowledge of the use of the deadly weapon.

In order to "use" a deadly weapon, there need not be conduct which actually produces harm but only conduct which produces a fear of harm or force by means or display of the deadly weapon in aiding the commission of the crime.

To constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act.

The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done.

Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in the case.

The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every material element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify. Thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is left to the defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way.

You are here to determine whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty from the evidence in the case. You are not called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt or innocence of any other person. So, if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the Defendant, you should so find, even though you may believe one or more persons are also guilty.

In you deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of punishment, as that is a matter which lies solely with the court. Your duty is confined to the determination of whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

The evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel.

There are two types of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered stricken by the court.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

Any evidence of a statement made by one alleged conspirator other than at this trial shall not be considered by you as against another alleged conspirator unless you shall first determine from other independent evidence that at the time the statement was made a conspiracy to commit a crime existed and unless you shall further determine that the statement was made during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Whenever there is slight evidence that a conspiracy existed, and that the defendant was one of the members of the conspiracy, then the statements and the acts by any member of the conspiracy may be considered by the jury as evidence in the case as to the defendant. This is true even though the statements and acts may have occurred in the absence and without the knowledge of the defendant, provided such statements and acts were knowingly made and done during the continuance of such conspiracy, and in furtherance of some object or purpose of the conspiracy.

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education in a particular science, profession or occupation is an expert witness. An expert witness may give his opinion as to any matter in which he is skilled.

You should consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons, if any, given for it. You are not bound, however, by such an opinion. Give it the weight to which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight, and you may reject it, if, in your judgment, the reasons given for it are unsound.

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

When you retire to consider your verdict, you must select one of your number to act as foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and will be your spokesperson here in court.

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict, have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room.

If, during your deliberation, you should desire to be further informed on any point of law or hear again portions of the testimony, you must reduce your request to writing signed by the foreperson. The officer will then return you to court where the information sought will be given you in the presence of, and after notice to, the district attorney and the Defendant and his/her counsel.

Robour
Playbacks of testimony are time-consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a playback, you must carefully describe the testimony to be played back so that the court recorder can arrange his/her notes. Remember, the court is not at liberty to supplement the evidence.

INSTRUCTION NO.
Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.
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THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-VS-
NARCUS S. WESLEY,
Defendant.
CASE NO: C232494
DEPT NO: XXIV


\section*{VERDICT}

We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant NARCUS S. WESLEY, as follows:

\section*{COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY}
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
区 Guilty of Conspiracy To Commit Burglary
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
区 Guilty of Conspiracy To Commit Robbery
Not Guilty

COUNT 3 －BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON （690 Great Dane Court） （please check the appropriate box，select only one）区 Guilty of Burglary While In Possession Of A Deadly Weapon \(\square\) Guilty of Burglary \(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 4 －ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON（Justin Richardson） （please check the appropriate box，select only one）区 Guilty of Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon \(\square\) Guilty of Robbery \(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 5 －ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON（Aitor Eskandon） （please check the appropriate box，select only one）

区 Guilty of Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Assault
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 6 －ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON（Justin Foucault） （please check the appropriate box，select only one）
区 Guilty of Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Robbery
\(\square\) Not Guilty

\section*{COUNT 7 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Ryan Tognotti) (please check the appropriate box, select only one) \\ 区 Guilty of Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon \\ \(\square\) Guilty of Robbery \\ \(\square\) Not Guilty}

COUNT 8 - ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Clinton Tognotti) (please check the appropriate box, select only one)区 Guilty of Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Assault
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Danielle Browning)
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
\(\triangle\) Guilty of Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Robbery
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 10 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Ryan Tognotti)
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
\(\square\) Guilty of First Degree Kidnapping With Use Of A Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of First Degree Kidnapping
X Guilty of Second Degree Kidnapping With Use Of A Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Second Degree Kidnapping
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 11 －BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON （Honda Civic）
（please check the appropriate box，select only one）
区 Guilty of Burglary While In Possession Of A Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Burglary
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 12 －SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON （Danielle Browning－fellatio）
（please check the appropriate box，select only one）
区 Guilty of Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Sexual Assault
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 13 －SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON （Danielle Browning－cunnilingus）
（please check the appropriate box，select only one）
区 Guilty of Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Sexual Assault
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 14 －SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON （Justin Richardson－fellatio）
（please check the appropriate box，select only one）
区 Guilty of Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Sexual Assault
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 15 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Justin Richardson - cunnilingus)
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
X Guilty of Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Sexual Assault
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 16 - COERCION WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Ryan Tognotti)
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
区 Guilty of Coercion With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Coercion
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Danielle Browning - digital penetration)
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
区 Guilty of Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Sexual Assault
\(\square\) Guilty of Open or Gross Lewdness With Use of a Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Open or Gross Lewdness
\(\square\) Not Guilty

COUNT 18 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Danielle Browning)
(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
区 Guilty of Open Or Gross Lewdness With Use Of A Deadly Weapon
\(\square\) Guilty of Open Or Gross Lewdness
\(\square\) Not Guilty

DATED this \(18^{\text {th }}\) day of April, 2008
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IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAT DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY NEVADA:

STATE OF NEVADA, )
Plaintiff,
vs.

NARCUS WESLEY,
DALARION WILSON,
Defendants.
Case No. C232494
Dept. No. 24

\section*{SENTENCING}

Before the Honorable James M. Bixler
Thursday, July 3, 2008, 8:30 a.m.
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For the Defendants: Dan Winder, Esq. James Oronoz, Esq. Attorneys at Law Las Vegas, Nevada
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You can both appeal.
So this is the time set for sentencing as to both Defendants.

Since Mr. Wilson plead to his three counts, right.

MR. ORONOZ: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Let's proceed as to Mr. Wilson, Delarion Wilson first.

So real quick, neither of the Defendants -- I think Wilson has a misdemeanor in his background.

MR. ORONOZ: Judge, yes, he has one misdemeanor. That's the extent of it.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm certainly well familiar with this whole thing, since we did have a trial on Wesley. I'm familiar with everything that went on.

Knowing the Defendant's background, he had the lead role in this whole scenario.

The State retained the full right to argue,
right?
MS. LUZAICH: Yes.
THE COURT: It's your turn.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you, Judge.
I recognize that Mr. Wilson did plead and should be entitled to some benefit because he accepted responsibility and plead guilty in this case.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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However, I would submit to the Court that all of the leniency that he's entitled to was given to him in the actual negotiation.

Had he not plead, had he gone to trial and been convicted, there were about 12 life sentences that he was facing.

Negotiation gave him one life sentence with a 10 on the bottom.

I guess the Court could sentence him to a term of 25 years instead of a life sentence, but I would submit to the Court that based on the facts that the Court heard in this case that a life sentence is absolutely appropriate.

There were multiple sexual assaults that occurred, and they occurred at the behest of Delarion Wilson, so absolutely a life sentence is appropriate.

As far as the robberies, \(P\) \& \(P\) is recommending 6 to 15 with an equal and consecutive 6 to 15 for both.

I recognize that the Court is not going to use the equal and consecutive. I do disagree with the Court, but I respect the Court's ruling.

THE COURT: Well, if I understand the way the new enhancement provisions would apply, instead of mandated equal and consecutive 2 to 15, I would have discretion to sentence the consecutive enhancement anything between BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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1 to 15 on the robbery.
MS. LUZAICH: Correct.
And I'm going to ask the Court to give him the equal and consecutive 6 to 15 based on the facts that occurred in this case, and I'm just -- I am making my record for appeal because of course it's going to get appealed.

He is the one who not only was the ring leader, but who also physically took Ryan Tognotti away from his friends and to a location where God knows anything could have happened. I mean Ryan was smart, and he didn't fight, didn't try to grab the gun. So things were okay, and he came back safely, but this could have gone to hell very quickly.

We could be here looking at something more like a murder, or attempt murder, or something like that.

So I think that based on the conduct in this case the 6 to 15 and equal and consecutive 6 to 15 s are very appropriate.

I will comment that during the course of the trial involving Narcus Wesley an issue arose regarding Delarion Wilson and his statements, and I had asked Mr. Oronoz if I could speak with Mr. Wilson. Mr. Wilson was amicable, he did talk to us.

We chose not to put him on the stand obviously, BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES \(\quad 702.360 .4677\)
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but I mean, I do -- I wasn't going to offer him anything, but I have to be honest and say that he did talk to us.

But that being said, I would submit that all of the leniency he deserves was given to him in the negotiation, and I would ask the Court to follow the recommendation of \(P\) \& P for all the consecutive time for Mr. Wilson.

THE COURT: Your turn.
Do you want to go first, or have Mr. Wilson speak?

MR, ORONOZ: Do you want to hear the speakers or have them last?

THE COURT: I'll hear them at the end.
MR. ORONOZ: All right. Delarion.
THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, this is your time. If you have anything to say to the Court, this is the time to do it.

THE DEFENDANT WILSON: First off, I want to apologize to the Courts, to the State of Nevada, to my family, but most importantly to the victims and, every victim that was there I truly am sorry, I apologize for everything that what happened that night. I know today you may not be able to accept my apology, and I'm not the person that you want to see, but in the long run if BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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right from wrong in life, and I know that that day I was truly wrong and take full responsibility, Your Honor.

I have a problem with gambling. I am originally from Colorado, not even from Las Vegas. I came out here on vacation.

I moved away from Las Vegas because I have a gambling problem. The problem is bigger than I thought I had actually had, and the situation I ended up losing all my money, and I had no way to even get back home to Colorado to pay for my next semester fees, and being the people I hung with, and I ended up taking drugs, and even a drug that I never tried in my life, and I think that has a part to do with the situation I was in right now. No way that I come there to even attempt -- I had no intention to even harm any of the people that was there. I was looking for another person. He happened not be there, Your Honor. I felt through my depression, and felt if given time, I had no choice, so I chose to act on stupidity on that day, and I know that I'm not perfect, and I made a lot of mistakes in my life, but this mistake by far is the worst ever, and I have to live with it, Your Honor.

I went to school for sociology with emphasis in criminology to work with trouble youths, kids who had a rough time growing up, and being in this situation I was BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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0 that I am very sorry, I apologize for the pain, the fear, the humiliation, and scaring everything, the foolishness and stupidity that I did that night, and there is not a day that I wake up and that I don't feel bad for what I did, and I can only imagine the pain that you feel, and I'm just truly sorry for everything that happened.

Your Honor, I know that it seems that I was the ring leader, but I take full responsibility for my actions and the foolish things I did that night, but in no way can I take responsibility for anyone else or the ring leader. I was myself there, Your Honor. I didn't force anyone to do anything, not the victims, but the people that was with me, Your Honor, I just ask for the Court today to just take into consideration that the night that is not truly who I am, that is not the character I really am, Your Honor.

I am a college graduate. I was two months away from graduating college before this happened, and being my education meant that much to me, I ended up finishing my college education while I'm in here, Your Honor.

I've been playing football since I was five years old. It's been a passion of my life, and I have a great family, and they laid down the principles of what was
you can truly understand from the bottom of my heart 2
3

,
-
trying to be a positive role model. I spent several hours at boys and girls clubs in Colorado working with kids of all ages, coaching them, being a positive influence in their lives, giving them hope I'd be successful.

I know these crimes are serlous, and in no way the drugs or anything that happened that night will I make excuses because I'm totally wrong for what I do, and I deserve to be punished, and I know I'm going to prison.

Your Honor, I just ask you take into consideration of the letter that I referred to you, my college degree, and actually the way my life -- the way I lived my life in Colorado. I don't commit crimes in Colorado, no problems in Colorado, because there is no gambling and things in Colorado, no temptation.

Some places are good for people, and Las Vegas is not good for me, Your Honor.

I just ask that 10 to 25 would be significant for me.

Regardless of what you punish me today, it's not going to take me that long to learn my lesson. The lesson's been learned now. The greatest lessons in life are the ones which you learn from, and I understand now it takes a lifetime to build a life and only a second to BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 12
destroy it, and I feel that deep sorrow in my heart, I have destroyed it.

I put these people through everything they went through, and I'm truly sorry, and I can't apologize enough to the things that I did to these people and the victims, and I apologize to their family and all the grief that they are going through, just ask for sympathy, Your Honor, from the Courts and mercy for the things -- If you look at my entire life, not just the crimes, that's all I have to say, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Oronoz.
MR. ORONOZ: Your Honor, thank you.
Judge, the Court has known me for a long time, and I've practiced, probably handled thousands of criminal cases, and the Court is well aware of that.

By saying that, I want to emphasize a point, and that is that this case is probably one of the most tragic, if not the most tragic, that I have ever handled. Not only is it a tragedy because of what occurred to these victims, which is undoubtedly horrific, and I don't mean to minimize that, but it's a tragedy insofar as it involves two young men who had incredibly promising futures, two young men who generally -- People, as the Court knows, generally the people that come before this Court have significant BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
problems, and they have a significant history of problems, but in this case it's unique, and I think it applies to both. These young men could have done virtually anything they set their mind to.

I know that Delarion, as he indicated, finished his degree. He overcame a lot of obstacies. He finished his education.

He had a chance to perhaps pursue a career in the NFL as a professional football player, and those hopes and those aspirations because of his conduct are now dashed, they are gone, they are forfeited forever. However, that is not the extent of it, Your Honor. That is not the extent of it as well.

Meeting with his family, I'd like to impress upon the Court they are devastated, their hearts are broken. He has parents who love him deeply. He has parents who had the utmost hope for him, for their son, a son that they believed was doing fine, was excelling, was accomplishing things, and they are also victims in this situation. They are absolutely crushed by what has occurred here.

And Delarion understands the position he put his parents in.

Judge, I would ask for the Court to consider his sentence of remorse.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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I would ask the Court to consider the fact that he did own up to what he did, and that in and of itself shows character and shows resilience, and shows an ability to accept very severe consequences.

I would ask the Court to reflect back upon the letters I submitted. I lost track, I think they were in excess of 30 letters of support.

What I'm not asking the Court to do is, to simply give him a slap on the wrist. We're talking about years. We're talking about putting a young man in prison for years, a minimum of a decade, almost half the amount of time of that he's been on this earth. We know he's going to do that. We know he's going to do a lot of time, and as his lawyers we throw these numbers around, prison sentences around, as though they are nothing, when in fact they are very, very significant.

Your Honor, I think given his unique background, and given what he's owned up to, and given his potential, I think that a 10 to 25 is entirely appropriate, and that is not meant to minimize what the victims went through, but this is a unique young man who made a very horrific decision, and this is a young man, Your Honor, I think who has a chance at perhaps rehabilitating.

This is a young man who after he does his time BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677
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can come out of prison and can contribute to the community.

I would ask the Court, and I would strongly implore the Court even, to consider his unique circumstances, and to consider the position Delarion's in, and to consider the fact that if the Court was to take a chance on anybody, of all the Defendants that come in front of the Court, Delarion -- of all the Defendants who I should say who are similarly situated with Delarion's type of charges, if anybody is deserving of a 10 to 25 , it would be Delarion.

I don't think a life sentence is appropriate.
I would ask for the Court to impose the 10 year sentence.

I would ask for the Court to run the robberies concurrent.

Even if the Court does this, this young man's going to do a decade at least in prison, and I think given these unique circumstances when he gets out, he can turn it around, Judge. He has the ability, and he has the equipment, he has the skills to turn it around.

If anybody is deserving of this type of sentence that I'm urging the Court to adopt, it is this young man, and this young man contrary to what they, his life isn't over, there is hope for some better things in the BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844 16
future. The day will come when he's in this 30 s and perhaps parole eligible. He may go out and leave prison perhaps when he's my age and contribute, and I tried to convey that to him.

He thinks life is done, it's over, but as you and I know that that is not the case.

I would ask the Court to seriously, seriously consider granting my request, impose a 10 to 25 , run the robberies concurrent.

And with that, I would submitit.
THE COURT: Okay. In regards to Wesley, I want to get all this part out before we have the speakers speak.

THE DEFENDANT WILSON: Your Honor, just one last thing.

I don't want to today to take the initiative and put all the spotlight on me, because they are the people that has been hurt through all this, and I don't want to forget that, and I truly am sorry, and I apologize for not standing strong right now, but I've been waiting for today to apologize from the day I left that house, and I just wanted the Courts to know that.

THE COURT: All right. Now, as to Defendant Wesley.

MR. WINDER: Yes, Your Honor.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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Mr. Wesley has a prepared statement that he would like to read.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, I want the State to argue the difference being Mr. Wesley went to trial, he didn't take any deals, he went to trial, and the jury convicted him of 18 counts, two gross misdemeanors, and everything else is serious feionies.

He wouldn't -- Well, I don't know those two gross misdemeanors, but the jury -- I can't remember. Did the jury --

MS. LUZAICH: Everything.
THE COURT: Every single thing that was charged to the jury?

MS. LUZAICH: Except second degree kidnapping, which is a lesser of the first.

THE COURT: Except second degree kidnapping, and in Defendant Wesley's case the fact he went to trial, got convicted of everything, he didn't have even the one misdemeanor that Mr. Wilson had, he didn't have any kind.

He appeared to have been set for trial, quite familiar with the whole scenario.

He didn't appear to have been the instigator, but he did appear to have been a participant in the offenses.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

18
It didn't take the jury too long to find the
State had proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt to all those counts.

Your turn.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
And I absolutely recognize that one can never be penalized for exercising their right and going to trial, and I would never ask the Court to do that.

THE COURT: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: My comments regarding Mr. Wilson were that he should get a benefit because he manned up and entered a plea, but absolutely I would never ask the Court to penalize anybody for going to trial.

That being said, however, the Defendant was in fact convicted of very serious offenses, and you know what, Judge, sometimes sentencing is merely about punishment, it doesn't matter if you are 72 and lived a perfect life for 72 years, and if in your 72nd year you do something absolutely atrocious, you should be punished for what you did. In here they take phones that ring. This is a case where two people who had no -- essentially no records whatsoever and were good kids, college football players and whatnot, did something absolutely atrocious.

Imagine being in your home sleeping in your bed
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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where you think that you will be safe, and having two young strangers come in, waving guns around, having you and your five friends lay on the floor with guns at your head, give us your money, give us your money, and unfortunately because they are college kids with smaller jobs, they got like 20 bucks between them, so they pissed off the two guys with the guns who want more money, and now they want ATM cards. Imagine how it felt while they were laying there with guns at their heads, and one of the guys took their friend away.

Now, I recognize that it was Wilson who was the instigator here, but these kids were clear, Wesley had a gun, and when Wilson left with Ryan Tognotti, Wesley remained with the gun and kept those kids there. He wasn't merely present. He was an active participant.

And if he really didn't want to be part of this, if he really didn't want to be there, he could have let them go, he could have let them call the police. He could have called the police. He could have left. But he chose to stay there with the gun pointed at these five kids heads.

Even worse than that, when Ryan comes back, and I recognize that it was Wilson that instigated what happened next, but all that gratuitous sexual assault, they could have just taken the money and left, and left BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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it at that, but no, they had to take it one step further.

At least Wilson, not that I'm condoning what he did, was telling kids what to do. This one pipes up with, if they can't do anything, I'll fuck her. He wants to have sex with her.

He tells her she's got a nice ass, can I touch
it.
He is the one who digitally penetrated her.
This young girl is going to have to live with that for the rest of her life.

And you know what is even worse, her boyfriend was laying next to her with a gun to his head hearing, if you can't fuck her, we're going to kill you, until one of your friends can, and then he hears her being taken away from himself and his friends to another part of the room, and he hears what this guy is saying to her, and he hears the guy sticking his finger in his girlfriend's vagina while he is laying there helpless. Imagine that.

So what I am not going to ask the Court -- You know, I'm sure everybody thinks I'm asking the Court to give him the maximum on everything and run it consecutive. I recognize that because he has no prior criminal history that that is not an appropriate
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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sentence, that there is some mitigation here, that he does not have a prior criminal history, that he has the strong support of all of these friends and family members, but you know what, when he stood in that house with guns to these kids' heads, when he stuck his finger in her vagina, he still had the loving support of his family, and he did it anyway.

So what I am asking the Court to do is, to take one of the either robbery or assault with deadly weapon counts for each of these six kids, run them all consecutive to each other on Count 4, the robbery for Justin, I ask the Court to give him 5 to 15. I recognize that the Court is going to use the statute as a amended.

I still think an equal and consecutive 5 to 15 is appropriate on Count 5, the assault with deadly weapon for Aitor.

I ask the Court to sentence him to 2 to 6 , with an equal and consecutive 2 to 6 on Count 6, the robbery for Justin Foucault, I ask the Court to sentence him with 5 to 15 , with equal and consecutive 5 to 15 , and will -- So I asked for 6 to 15, so one year less I'm asking for.

And Count 7, robbery with deadly weapon for Ryan Tognotti, I ask the Court to sentence him to 5 to 15 , BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
702.360 .4677
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with equal and consecutive 5 to 15 .
THE COURT: I just want to interrupt real quick.
When I was making my notes here, I don't know which counts equate to which one of the victims, I just used the number of the count and what it was for when I was making my notes, so if you want me to custom some sentences in accordance with which one of the victims was the victim, I'm going to go back and make some notes.

MS. LUZAICH: That's fine, just in general what I'm asking the Court to do is, sentence him consecutively one count for each of the victims consecutive, and then I'm also asking you to run the sexual assault, the digital penetration, consecutive to that because that was just so above and beyond what needed to happen there.

So do you want me to go back and go through
Counts 4 through 9?
THE CLERK: I broke it down per victim, per issue, so you should have no problem.

THE COURT: But you are asking -- To be honest with you, what you are asking is less than what I have got denoted down here.

On the robbery charges you were asking --
MS. LUZAICH: 5 to 15 , with an equal and
,
consecutive 5 to 15, on Count 4.
And then Count 5 consecutive to Count 4, a 5 to 15 , with an equal -- or sorry, 2 to 6 , with an equal and consecutive 2 to 6 .

Assault with deadly weapon is a 1 to 6 -Actually, there is no equal and consecutive -- Sorry, that is just 2 to 6 .

THE COURT: Right, just 2 to 6.
MR. LUZAICH: And then on Count 6 , robbery with deadly weapon, I ask that run consecutive to 4 and 5, 5 to 15 , with an equal and consecutive 5 to 15 .

Count 7 the robbery with use for Ryan, a general count, consecutive to Count 6,5 to 15 , with an equal and consecutive 5 to 15 .

Count 8 again is an assault with deadly weapon for Clint I would ask for 2 to 6 consecutive to counts 4,5,6 and 7, and count 9 robbery with use of deadly weapon for Danielle consecutive to Counts \(8,7,6,5\) and 4 , a 5 to 15 , with equal and consecutive a 5 to 15 , and then count 17 is the sexual assault with deadly weapon, the digital penetration of Danielle, I would ask for a 10 to life.

I think he's earned every moment of a life sentence.

I think 25 would be an insult.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
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And I recognize the Court's not going to give an equal and consecutive to even to life. I would ask the Court to give an 8 to 20 and that that run consecutive to \(9,8,7,6,5\) and 4 .

THE COURT: Under the old guidelines sexual assault with deadly weapon, with the sentence of --

MS. LUZAICH: 10 plus 10 would be 20 .
THE COURT: No, with a sentence of life with the possibility of parole is 10 , that would have dictated the same sentence.

MS. LUZAICH: Equal and consecutive, correct.
THE COURT: Otherwise, with the way that would have been calculated he would have been eligible for parole at 10, and -- on the underlying sentence, and then to another 10 , be eligible after 10 on the enhancement.

MS. LUZAICH: Correct.
THE COURT: And under the new guidelines it's just 1 to 20 , the enhancement?

MS. LUZAICH: The enhancement is a 1 to 20 , correct.

THE COURT: Okay. I got it.
Okay. Anything else?
MS. LUZAICH: No.
THE COURT: Your turn.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 25 & & 27 \\
\hline 1 & MR. WINDER: Your Honor, I'd like my client to & & everybody. \\
\hline 2 & read his statement, make his statement first, please. & 2 & And just a few other things, you know. \\
\hline 3 & THE COURT: Go ahead. & 3 & I was placed on bail, on \$400,000 bail, and \\
\hline 4 & THE DEFENDANT WESLEY: Well, by standing here on & 4 & throughout those nine-and-a-half months I trained, you \\
\hline 5 & this day of Judgment, to be judged as I'm being judged & 5 & know, went to a couple try-outs, you know, had about \\
\hline 6 & today, one day we all, everybody in this room, will be & 6 & three arena try-outs, and I ran professionally track, \\
\hline 7 & judged for his and her doings on this earth, the good, & 7 & you know, during that time on bail, and went to my \\
\hline 8 & the bad, and the plots and schemes. Surely that day is & 8 & former high school, and during that time, you know, I \\
\hline 9 & coming. It will burn like a furnace, all the arrogant & 9 & drove to Arizona, drove to Utah, and these parents \\
\hline 10 & evil doers will be, and that day is coming he will set & 10 & believed in me and trusted, knowing after those counts, \\
\hline 11 & them on fire. & 11 & after those 18 charges, that I wasn't that type of \\
\hline 12 & Says the Lord almighty, give careful thought to & 12 & person, so they trusted me with their kids to take them \\
\hline 13 & your ways, for this day, for the days on this earth you & 13 & to those track meets, to be a role model for them after \\
\hline 14 & can consider your days for time on this earth is short. & 14 & the incident. \\
\hline 15 & So do not envy wicked men, do not desire their & 15 & I would just say, you know, that I apologize to \\
\hline 16 & company, that lips talk about making trouble does not he & 16 & Court. \\
\hline 17 & who guards his life know it will not repay each person & 17 & And that's about it. \\
\hline 18 & according to what he or she has done. He who guards his & 18 & THE COURT: Okay. \\
\hline 19 & lips guards his life, but he who (unintelligible) comes & 19 & MR. WINDER: Thank you, Your Honor. \\
\hline 20 & to ruin. & 20 & Your Honor, Mr. Oronoz and I just recently did a \\
\hline 21 & The right to hate is false, but the wickid brings & 21 & murder trial with Co-Defendants, and he's done an \\
\hline 22 & shame and disgrace. & 22 & excellent job of making a presentation, and I don't want \\
\hline 23 & Those who for sake the law praise the wicked, but & 23 & to repeat all of those things because as he said they \\
\hline 24 & those who keep the law resist him. & 24 & apply to both of these two gentlemen. \\
\hline 25 & Evil men and women do not understand justice, but & 25 & This is a very troubling case, Your Honor. \\
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\hline & 26 & & 28 \\
\hline 1 & those who seek the Lord understand it fully. & 1 & Seldom have I had a case where an individual goes \\
\hline 2 & A truthful witness gives honest testimony, but a & 2 & from no prior history to looking at life in prison, \\
\hline 3 & false witness tells lies. & 3 & especially with the history he did have prior to these \\
\hline 4 & Reckless reports pierce like a sword, but the & 4 & events. \\
\hline 5 & tongue of the wise bring healing. & 5 & He did well in school, attended college, played \\
\hline 6 & Truthful lips endure forever, but a lying tongue & 6 & football. \\
\hline 7 & lasts only a moment. & 7 & He's been a good father to his child. \\
\hline 8 & Your Honor, I come to you today with all these & 8 & I saw there was a number of letters presented, \\
\hline 9 & people in this courtroom. It is no doubt that the & 9 & and I hope if I was ever in trouble I would have that \\
\hline 10 & events that happened that night, you know, were tragic & 10 & number of letters and recommendations presented from \\
\hline 11 & and devastating I must say. If it was to happen to any & 11 & friends and pastors and coaches, former coaches, \\
\hline 12 & of my loved ones, my family members, I probably would & 12 & teachers, other individuals. \\
\hline 13 & have had the same feeling in my heart as Lisa does, and & 13 & He has a number of family members who have been \\
\hline 14 & they do, you know, but I have no problem apologizing to & 14 & here throughout most of the proceedings. \\
\hline 15 & these people, but I know in my heart as God is my only & 15 & As the Court knows, I wasn't present at the \\
\hline 16 & witness, my only true witness, that I didn't do what & 16 & trial, I didn't do the trial. I wish I had. \\
\hline 17 & they said I did. The part that I did play in their & 17 & My client though should not be punished for \\
\hline 18 & ordeal is certainly not worth taking the rest of my & 18 & having gone to trial. \\
\hline 19 & life. & 19 & THE COURT: He won't be. \\
\hline 20 & I am ashamed for being acquainted in any way with & 20 & MR. WINDER: Your Honor, there has been -- our \\
\hline 21 & my Co-Defendant. He is the guilty one and has ruined & 21 & rules proportionality, and both you, who sat through the \\
\hline 22 & all our lives with this malarky. I am saddened with & 22 & trial, and Defense counsel, Co-Defense counsel, and even \\
\hline 23 & myself and sorry for even knowing him. If I hadn't, & 23 & Miss Luzaich, have indicated that my client was a minor \\
\hline 24 & this would have never happened, or I would never been & 24 & player in these circumstances, and despite being \\
\hline 25 & involved, and I would like for, you know, God to be with & 25 & convicted of 18 counts, Your Honor, there is a way under \\
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 29 & & 31 \\
\hline 1 & the sentencing pattern where my client can get less time & 1 & you have to take into account the facts and \\
\hline 2 & than the Co-Defendant. & 2 & circumstances of the crime itself, but I think there are \\
\hline 3 & Certainly he should not get anymore time for & 3 & a lot of factors that mitigate in favor of a lesser \\
\hline 4 & exercising his right to go to trial. & 4 & sentence, some light at the end of the tunnel for this \\
\hline 5 & I think it would be a tragedy, Your Honor, to & 5 & young man who has had no prior history, and that's what \\
\hline 6 & take away the light at the end of the tunnel for my & 6 & I request the Court to do. \\
\hline 7 & client, who I spent about two hours with last night, and & 7 & Thank you, Your Honor. \\
\hline 8 & I have to present to him some of the same information & 8 & THE COURT: Who wants to speak? \\
\hline 9 & Mr. Oronoz presented where he's a young man 25, and I'm & 9 & MS. LUZAICH: Judge, they are electing not to \\
\hline 10 & a little over 50, and if he does at least 10 years, & 10 & speak. \\
\hline 11 & which he will do even if he's sentenced to a minimum of & 11 & THE COURT: For the record, they both testified \\
\hline 12 & 10 years, no one makes their first board with cases like & 12 & at the trial, and the rest of the people in the house, \\
\hline 13 & this, especially if you have 18 charges, he's probably & 13 & they all testified. The extent of the trauma imposed on \\
\hline 14 & going to do closer to 20 years, he's going to be a & 14 & them has certainly not been lost on the Court, even \\
\hline 15 & little younger than I am now, and I indicated to him, & 15 & though they are not speaking at the sentencing due to \\
\hline 16 & you will have a chance at that point in time to lead a & 16 & the fact that I heard them under oath on the witness \\
\hline 17 & productive life, and I believe he is salvageable, Your & 17 & stand. \\
\hline 18 & Honor. & 18 & All right. I am going to preface this sentence \\
\hline 19 & I believe he is rehabilitatable, and despite the & 19 & th this: \\
\hline 20 & fact that we disagree with the verdict, and I know there & 20 & Wesley is certainly not going to be penalized for \\
\hline 21 & is going to be an appeal, I know the Court has to & 21 & having a trial, even though by going to trial and \\
\hline 22 & sentence him today. & 22 & getting convicted he exposed himself to tremendously \\
\hline 23 & Your Honor, I would request that you do keep the & 23 & larger amounts of time that the Court could impose. \\
\hline 24 & rules proportionate in mind when you administer that & 24 & Keeping in mind that Mr. Wilson really played the \\
\hline 25 & sentence in a few moments. & 25 & lead role in this, even though he's only got three \\
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\hline & 30 & & 32 \\
\hline 1 & I would ask that you not run any of them & 1 & counts, he's going to end up doing more time than \\
\hline 2 & consecutive, Your Honor. If you run them consecutive, & 2 & Narcus, but they are both doing substantial, substantial \\
\hline 3 & he will wind up doing substantially more time than his & 3 & a mounts of time. \\
\hline 4 & Co-Defendant, who was the major player in this & 4 & In regards to Delarion Wilson, the Court \\
\hline 5 & particular case. & 5 & adjudicates him guilty under Counts 1, robbery with use \\
\hline 6 & I'm not going to go through each particular & 6 & of deadly weapon, and Count 3, sexual assault. \\
\hline 7 & count, I don't think that is necessary, but if -- I know & 7 & In regards to the two robbery charges -- and once \\
\hline 8 & there has to be the enhancement because of the & 8 & again I am applying the new guidelines in regards to the \\
\hline 9 & conviction with the weapon, Your Honor, and I would ask & 9 & enhancement, the Court has the option, which is really \\
\hline 10 & that we give him 10 to 25 , without the 10 to life tail & 10 & the purpose of the change, so that the Court doesn't \\
\hline 11 & on the sexual assault, and if we begin there, with & 11 & have its hands tied, the Court has an option, a range \\
\hline 12 & another, Your Honor, 2 to 6 years, as an enhancement for & 12 & option different than under the old law, and -- but it's \\
\hline 13 & the weapon, which has to be run consecutive, and from & 13 & still, the enhancement runs consecutive, and I have a \\
\hline 14 & there if we run all of the rest of his sentences & 14 & bottom end that is different than the robbery that \\
\hline 15 & concurrent, Your Honor, with his time, then he will & 15 & carries a 2 to 15, and under the old law the mandate a \\
\hline 16 & serve as was pointed out at least 10 years in prison, & 16 & consecutive 2 to 15. \\
\hline 17 & which is about a little less than half the time he's & 17 & Applying the new law here is how we're going to \\
\hline 18 & atready been on this earth, Your Honor. & & do this: \\
\hline 19 & And that is what I think would be a sufficient & 19 & As to the two robbery charges, Mr. Wilson is \\
\hline 20 & sentence in this particular case. & 20 & being sentenced on Count 1 to 72 to 180 months on the \\
\hline 21 & I think when you -- the new factors under AB510 & 21 & robbery, and equal and consecutive -- actually not equal \\
\hline 22 & require the Court, since the Court indicated it will & 22 & and consecutive, he's being sentenced to 72 to 180 \\
\hline 23 & sentence him under those factors, it requires the Court & 23 & months consecutive on the enhancement. \\
\hline 24 & the take into consideration the criminal history and the & 24 & Count 2 he's being sentenced to 72 to 180 months \\
\hline 25 & mitigating factors surrounding the incident. Of course & 25 & for the robbery, plus also being sentenced to 72 to 180 \\
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months on the enhancement.
Count 3, on the sexual assault Mr. Wilson is being sentenced to life with the possibility of parole after 10 years.

Now, all of these sentences run consecutive to each other.

In regards to Mr. Wesley, Mr. Wesley is being adjudicated guilty on the following charges:

Count 1 and Count 18 are both gross misdemeanors.
Count 1 is conspiracy to commit burglary.
Count 18 is open and gross lewdness with a deadly weapon.

Count 2 is conspiracy to commit robbery.
Counts 3 and 11 are burglary while in possession of deadly weapon.

Counts 4, 6, 7 and 9 are robbery with use of deadly weapon.

Counts 5 and 8 are assault with use of deadly weapon.

Count 10, the second degree kidnapping with use of deadly weapon, Counts \(12,13,14,15\) and 17 are the sexual assault charges with the use of a deadly weapon. Count 16 is coercion with use of deadly weapon. Count -- or that he is adjudicated guilty on each of those counts as read. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters

Now, in regards to the two gross misdemeanor counts, Counts 1 and 18 the Defendant's sentenced to 12 months.

Count 2, conspiracy, the Defendant's sentenced to 28 to 72 months.

Counts 3 and 11, the burglary with a deadly weapon, that is burglary in possession of deadly weapon, no enhancement, the Defendant's sentenced to 72 to 180 months on each of those two counts.

On Counts 4, 6, 7 and 9, the robbery with use charges, the Defendant's sentenced to a period of incarceration between 60 to 180 months, plus an additional 60 to 180 months on each of those four counts.

On Counts 5 and 8, the assault with deadly weapon, the Defendant's sentenced to 24 to 72 months on each of those two counts.

On Count 10, the kidnapping of the second degree, the Defendant's sentenced with a deadly weapon, the Defendant's sentenced to 72 to 180 months, with an equal and consecutive 72 to 180 months.

In regards to the Counts \(12,13,14,15\) and 17, all the sexual assault charges with use of deadly weapon, the Defendant's sentenced to life with the possibility of parole in 10 years. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES Certified Court Reporters
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He's also sentenced to a consecutive 8 to 20 years.

Count 16 with the deadly weapon is 22 to 72 months.

The sentences as to Mr. Wesley -- or the coercion charge with deadly weapon is a 24 to 72 .

MS. LUZAICH: There should be an enhancement on that.

THE COURT: The enhancement is 24 to 72 consecutive.

Mr. Wesley's case, he's got about 20 years on those sexual assaults.

All of his counts run concurrent to each other.
By my penciling out the way they count, Mr. Wesley is going to do about seven years less than Mr. Wilson.

MS. LUZAICH: Well, no, 17 less.
THE COURT: Well, after you -- He's got 10 and 8, 18--

MR. LUZAICH: And he's got 34.
THE COURT: Right, he's got 34, Wilson got 34.
MR. WINDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I would deduct half of that, I was counting the way they do it, he will be eligible.

MS. LUZAICH: Also -- I'm sorry, the 25 BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES 702.360.4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
administrative assessment, the DNA.
THE COURT: A \(\$ 150\) DNA assessment. The \(\$ 25\) administrative assessment.

MS. LUZAICH: Lifetime supervision, registered sex offender.

THE COURT: As to both of them, registered sex offender, lifetime supervision.

MS. LUZAICH: Restitution jointly and severally.
THE COURT: \(\$ 3196\) joint and several restitution.
MR. WINDER: Your Honor, I think PSI said my client's entitled to 497 days credit for time served.

THE COURT: 497 days credit for time served as to Wesley.

As to Wilson --
MR. ORONOZ: 499.
Your Honor, may I ask a question?
THE COURT: Sure.
MS. LUZAICH: That's not possible.
THE COURT: They were both arrested on the same day.

MS. LUZAICH: And he's been out for six months, Wesley, so Wilson's got to have a boat load more than Wesley.

MR. ORONOZ: We have.
THE DEFENDANT WILSON: I have 500 total days. BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677

Certified Court Reporters

do the appeal．If you remember at the last hearing where I was－－the second to the last hearing when I stepped in，he＇s accused them of certain actions，and they requested not to do the appeal based on things that he accused them of．

That was in his motion to have new counsel．
THE COURT：Well，I＇ll tell you what．
You will have to make that motion in writing，and I＇m going to have to examine it．

MR．WINDER：Okay．You know there is a 30 day window．

I＇ll file the notice of appeal．
THE COURT：File the notice，and bring that back
before me，and I＇ll consider it．
MR．WINDER：Your Honor，also，I don＇t believe it＇s the district attorney＇s position to decide one way or another whether he＇s eligible to have me court appointed．

THE COURT：I think the Public Defenders office probably has more of a position to respond than the DAs office．

MR．WINDER：Thank you，Your Honor．
MR．ORONOZ：Judge，if I can go one step further．
MR．BANKS：I can respond right now，Judge．
We＇re not in a position to accept appointment for
BILL NELSON \＆ASSOCIATES
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Mr．Wesley on appeal．
THE COURT：I think if I remember off the top of my head，the fact is that a good portion of that which would be the appeal is going to involve your actual representation．

I will have to say that，to say this on the record that anything that asserts that he got anything less than the absolute best representation at trial is going to be hard to establish because in my opinion Mr． Landis and Mr．Banks，it would have been hard to have done any better job than they did．I thought they did an excellent job presenting their case representing the Defendant．There may be some decisions that were made between them and the Defendant that may armchair quarterback，Monday morning quarterback－－but as far as their representation，they did such a good job．

In any event，I do now remember that part of that which was asserted involved a sufficient conflict that you are probably never going to be able to pursue the appeal，the public defenders office．

MR．BANKS：That＇s our position，yes，sir．
THE COURT：I agree with you．
MS．LUZAICH：But I think the Court＇s recourse is then to appoint an attorney on your contract list，not the attorney of the Defense choice．
BILL NELSON \＆ASSOCIATES
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MR．WINDER：I am on the contract list for appeals，Your Honor，and for judicial economy－－

THE COURT：The only reason I＇m going to look－－ I＇m not making a decision right now is because I got to look and see because all of that has changed，the way we go about doing all of this has changed drastically in the last six months，and I have got to go back，find out how we go about doing it．

File your notice，and come back，put it back on my calendar，and I＇ll figure out if you are the one or if I should go outside with somebody else．

MR．WINDER：Thank you，Your Honor．
MR．ORONOZ：Your Honor．
THE COURT：You are in the same boat？
MR．ORONOZ：No，I have one final issue as well．
I know the Court has great latitude when it comes to sentencing decisions．However，I want to make sure I preserve any possible issues for further review．I＇m sure this thing may even find its way in the federal courts．

It is our position that the sentence given Mr． Wilson，with all due respect to the Court，the sentence given Mr．Wilson was entirely too severe and entirely excessive，and I believe that similarly situated Co－Defendants or similarly situated Defendants in Mr． BILL NELSON \＆ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677 Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844

Wilson＇s situation with the factors that are enumerated for a District Court Judge to consider would probably not have received such a severe sentence．

And I know the State of the law right now is fairly well settled in the Court＇s favor．However，we want to make sure we preserve this issue so we can possibly litigate it in the future in front of future courts．

THE COURT：That＇s fine．
As I said at the beginning，they both got hammered，but Wilson got the bigger sentence．

MR．WINDER：You want me to set a date for that motion？

THE COURT：File it，and we＇ll notice－－File your Notice of Appeal first．

MR．ORONOZ：Judge，if I＇m able to discover any issues for appeal，would you be able to appoint me as well？

THE COURT：Let me figure out how this works．
Like I said，the whole process of appellate counsel，as well as track counsel，everything has changed，and I＇m not sure I understand exactiy what my leeway actually is．

MR．ORONOZ：I understand．
Thank you，Your Honor．
BILL NELSON \＆ASSOCIATES
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THE COURT: If it's possible, absolutely. I mean, if I have the option, absolutely, I would much prefer to have you than somebody else.

MR. ORONOZ: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. That should be it.
(Proceedings concluded.)

BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
702.360 .4677

Certified Court Reporters
Fax 360.2844

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA )
) ss.
CLARK COUNTY )

I, Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR 191, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same is true and correct as reflected by my original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the Hon. James M. Bixler, District Court Judge, presiding.

Dated at Las.j.jegas, Nevada this 1 st day of September, 208


Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR 191, Certified Court Reporter Las Vegas, Nevada


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline custom[1] - 22:6 & destroyed [1] - 12:2 & & \[
\text { fight }_{[1]}-7: 12
\] \\
\hline D & [1]-26:1 & envy [1]-25:15 & file [2]-2:15, 41:12 \\
\hline & dictated ( 7 ]-24:9 & equal [21]-3:24, 6:18, 6:20, & File [4]-41:13, 43:9, 44: \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Danielle \([2]\) - 23:18, \(23: 21\)
Darren \([1]-2: 5\)} & difference [2] - 3:23, 17 & & \\
\hline & 32:14 & \[
3: 3,23: 6,23: 11,23: 13,
\] & \[
\text { fine }[4]-4: 25,13: 18,22
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DAs [1]-41:20 dashed (1)-13:11 date [3] - 3:6, 39:2, 44:12} & digital [2]-22:14, 23:2 & 23:19, 24:2, 32:21, 34:20 & 44:9 \\
\hline & digitally \([1]-20: 9\) & Equal [1] - 24:11 & finger \([2]\) - 20:18, 21:5 \\
\hline & disagree [2] -6:20, 29:20 & equate (1) - 22: & finished [2] - 13:5, 13:7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Dated [1] - 46:14 \\
dates [1]-38:10
\end{tabular}} & discover [1] -44:16 & equipment \(\{1]\)-15:21 & finishing [1]-9:21 \\
\hline & discretion [ 1 ] -6:24 & especially [2] - 28:3, 29:13 & fire \([1]\) - \(25: 11\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { days }[15]-25: 13,25: 14, \\
& 36: 11,36: 12,36: 25,37: 13,
\end{aligned}
\]} & discussion [1] - \(38: 1\) & essentially [1] - 18:22 & fired [1] - 40:23 \\
\hline & disgrace (1] - 25:22 & establish [1]-42:9 & First \(\left.{ }^{1}\right]-8: 19\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 37: 14,38: 3,38: 6,38: 8 \\
& 39: 12,39: 17,39: 19,39: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & district [2] - 2:21, 41:16 & event [1] - 42:17 & first (7) - 5:8, 8:10, 17:3, \\
\hline & District \({ }_{[2]}\) - 44:2, 46:13 & events [2]-26:10, 28:4 & 17:15, 25:2, 29:12, 44:15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { deadly }[24]-21: 9,21: 16, \\
& 21: 24,23: 5,23: 10,23: 15
\end{aligned}
\]} & DNA [2] - 36:1, \(36: 2\) & evil [1]-25:10 & five [4] - \(9: 23,19: 3,19: 21\) \\
\hline & doers [1]-25:10 & Evil [1] - 25:25 & 38:17 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
23:17, 23:20, 24:6, 32:6, \\
\(33 \cdot 11\) 33:15 \(33: 17,33 \cdot 18\)
\end{tabular} & doings (1) - 25:7 & exactly [1]-44:2 & five-and-a-half [1] - 38:17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 33: 21,33: 22,33: 23,34: 6, \\
& 34: 7,34: 15,34: 19,34: 23,
\end{aligned}
\]} & done [5]-13:3, 16:5, 25:18, & examine [1]-41: & floor [1]-19:3 \\
\hline & 27:21, 42:11 & excellent [2] - 27:22, 42:12 & follow [1] - 8:6 \\
\hline 35:3, 35:6 & doubt [3]-18:2, 26:9, 40:13 & g[1]-13: & following [1] - 33:8 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{deals [1]-17:5} & down [3] - 9:25, 22:19, 22:23 & Except [2]-17:14, 17:1 & foolish [1] - 9:11 \\
\hline & drastically [1] - 43:6 & excess [1] - 14:7 & foolishness [1] - 9:4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{decide [1]-41:16
decision [2]-14:22, 43:4} & drug \({ }^{(1) \text { - } 10: 12}\) & excuses [1] - 11:8 & \[
18: 23,28: 6
\] \\
\hline & drugs [2]-10:11, 11 & exercising \([2]\) - 18:7, 29:4 & force [1]-9:14 \\
\hline decisions [2] - 42:13, 43:17 & due [2]-31:15, 43:22 & exposed [1]-31:22, & foregoing [i] - 46:10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
deep \([1]\) - 12:1 \\
deeply \([1]\) - 13:16
\end{tabular}} & during [3] - 7:20, 27:7, 27: & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { extent }[4]-5: 12,13: 12 \\
13: 13,31: 13
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { forever }[2]-13: 11,26: 6 \\
& \text { forfeited }[1]-13: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & E & & forget [1]-16:19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{DEFENDANT (6)-8:19, 16:14, 25:4, 36:25, 38:16, 38:18} & & F & former [2] - 27:8, 28:1 \\
\hline & earned [1]-23:23 & & Foucault \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(21: 20\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Defendant }[8]-16: 23,17: 17, \\
& \text { 18:14, 26:21, 29:2, 30:4, } \\
& 42: 13,42: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & earth (5) - \(14: 12,25: 7,25: 13\),
25:14, 30:18 & \[
\text { fact }[10]-3: 10,14: 1,14: 16
\]
15:6, 17:17, 18:15, 29:20, & \begin{tabular}{l}
four [1] - 34:13 \\
friend \([1]\) - 19:10
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Defendant's }[9]-5: 16,34: 2, \\
& 34: 4,34: 8,34: 11,34: 16, \\
& 34: 19,34: 20,34: 24
\end{aligned}
\]} & education \(\{3\}\) - \(9: 21,9: 22\) & \[
31: 16,40: 24,42: 3
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { friends }[6]-7: 10,19: 3, \\
& 20: 15,20: 16,21: 3,28: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
13:7 \\
effect (3) - 3:4, 3:7, 3:2
\end{tabular} & factors \([5]\) - 30:21, 30:2
\[
30: 25,31: 3,44: 1
\] & front [2] - 15:8, 44:7 \\
\hline Defendants 88 - 4:21, 5:3,
5:9, 15:7, 15:9, 27:21, & effective \([1]-3: 6\) & \[
\text { facts }[3]-6: 11,7: 4,31:
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
fuck [2] - 20:5, 20:14 \\
full [3] \(-5: 18,9: 10,10: 2\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5: 9,15: 7,15: 9,27: 21, \\
& 43: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & either \([1]-21: 9\) & \[
\text { fairly }[1]-44: 5
\] & full [3] - 5:18, 9:10, 10:2 fully [1]-26:1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Defenders [1] - 41:19
defenders \([1]-42: 20\)} & electing [1] - \(31: 9\)
eligible [5] - \(66: 2,24\) & \[
\text { familiar }[3]-5: 13,5: 1
\] & furnace [1]-25:9 \\
\hline & \[
24: 15,35: 24,41: 17
\] & 17:22 & future [3]-16:1, 44:7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Defense[3]-28:22, 42:25 degree [6]-11:13, 13:6, 17:14, 17:16, 33:20, \(34: 18\)} & emphasis [1]-10:23 & family [10] - 2:19, 8:21, 9:25, & futures [1] - 12:23 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
emphasize [1]-12:16 \\
end \([5]-8: 14,29: 6,31: 4\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12: 6,13: 14,21: 3,21: 7 \\
& 26: 12,28: 13,40: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & G \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Delarion [9] - } 5: 8,6: 15,7: 22, \\
& 8: 15,13: 5,13: 22,15: 8, \\
& 15: 11,32: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & ended [3] - 9:21, 10:8, 10:11 & father \([1]-28\) & gambling \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Delarion's [2]-15:5, 15:10 denoted [1] - 22:23} & \begin{tabular}{l}
endure [1]-26:6 \\
enhancement [19] - 3:4, 3:7,
\end{tabular} & faxed [1]-2:13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11:16 } \\
& \text { general [2] - 22:10, 23:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \[
3: 25,4: 5,4: 19,6: 23,6: 25
\] & fear [1]-9:3 & generally [ \({ }^{2}\) ] - 12:24 \\
\hline depression \(\{1\}\) - 10:17 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24: 16,24: 19,24: 20,30: 8, \\
& 30: 12,32: 9,32: 13,32: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { February }[4]-37: 15,38: 22, \\
& 39: 6,39: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & gentlemen (1)-27:24 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{deserves \([1]-8: 5\)
deserving [2] - 15:10, 15:22} & \[
33: 1,34: 8,35: 7,35: 9
\] & federal [1]-43:19 & girlfriend's [1]-20:19 \\
\hline & entered \([1]\) - 18:12 & fees [1]-10:10 & \[
\text { giris }\{1] \text { - 11:2 }
\] \\
\hline \[
\text { desire }(1)-25: 15
\] & entire [1] - 12:9 & felonies [1]-17:7 & given \([9]-6: 2,8: 5,10: 18\), \\
\hline despite [2]-28:24, 29:19 & \begin{tabular}{l}
entirely [3]-14:19, 43:23 \\
entitled [4] - 5:24, 6:2, 36:11,
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { felt }[3]-10: 17,10: 18,19: 8 \\
& \text { few }(2]-27: 2,29: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14:17, 14:18, 15:19, 43:21, } \\
& 43: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline od [3] - 7:10, 26:15, 26:25 & honest [3] - 8:2, 22:21, 26:2 & 5, 44:6 & 26:7 \\
\hline rab [1] - 7:12 & Honor [50]-2:11, 4:6, 4:23, & issues [8] - 3:3, 4:9, 4:13, & late [1]-2:14 \\
\hline graduate [1] - 9:19 & 9:9, 9:13, 9:15, 9:18, 9:22, & 18, 39:11, 40:9, 43:18, & latitude [1]-43:16 \\
\hline graduating [1] - 9:20 & 10:2, 10:17, 10:22, 11:11, & 44:17 & law [10] - 3:4, 3:12, 3:19, \(^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline granting (1] - 16:8 & 11:18, 12:8, 12:10, 12:12, & itself [2] - 14:2, 31 & 3:24, 25:23, 25:24, 32:12, \\
\hline gratuitous [1] - 19:24 & 13:12, 14:17, 14:23, 16:14, & & 32:15, 32:17, 44 \\
\hline great [2] - 9:24, 43:16 & 16:25, 25:1, 26:8, 27:19, & & lawyers [1] - 14:14 \\
\hline greatest \([1]\) - 11:23 & 27:20, 27:25, 28:20, 28:25, & & lay [1]-19:3 \\
\hline grief [1] - 12:7 & 29:5, 29:18, 29:23, 30:2, & & laying [3] - 19:9, 20:13, \\
\hline gross [5]-17:6, 17:8, 33:9, & 30:9, 30:12, 30:15, 30:18 & & 20:19 \\
\hline 33:11, 34:1 & 31:7, 35:22, 36:10, 36:16, & job [4] - 27:22, 42:11, 42:12, & lead [3]-5:17, 29:16, 31:25 \\
\hline growing [1] - 10:25 & 38:16, 39:24, 40:18, 40:20, & + \({ }_{\text {42:16 }}^{\text {jobs }[1]}\) - 19:6 & leader [3]-7:8, 9:10, 9:13 \\
\hline guards [3] - 25:17, 25:18, & 41:15, 41:22, 43:2, 43:12, & & learn [2] - 11:22, 11:24 \\
\hline 25:19 &  & \[
\text { jointly }[1]-36: 8
\] & learned [1]-11:23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
guess [2] - 2:11, 6:9 \\
guidelines [4] - 4:19, 24:5,
24:18, 32:8
\end{tabular}} & 28:9 & Judge [15] - 5:11, 5:22, 12:13, 13:24, 15:20, 18:16, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { least }(6)-4: 11,15: 18,20: 3 \text {, } \\
& 29: 10,30: 16,37: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & hopes [1]-13:9 &  & leave \({ }_{11}\) - 16:2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24:18, 32:8 } \\
& \text { guilty }[5]-5: 25,26: 21,32: 5 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] & horrific [2]-12:21, 14:22 & \[
41: 24,44: 2,44: 16,45:
\] & leeway [1]-44:23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{gun [5]-7:12, 19:13, 19:14,} & house [3] - 16:21, 21 & \[
46: 13
\] & left [5]-16:21, 19:13, 19:19, \\
\hline & 31:12 & judged [3] - 25:5, 25:7 & leniency [2] - 6:2, 8:5 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{guns (5) - 19:2, 19:3, 19:7,} & iliation [1] -9:3 & Judgment [1] - 25:5 & less [8] - 2:8, 21:22, 22: \\
\hline & hung [1] - 10:11 & & 29:1, 30:17, 35:15, 35:17, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{guy [2]-20:17, 20:18} & hurt [1] - 16:18 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { July }[2]-2: 1,40: 21 \\
& \text { June }(5)-38: 18,38: 21,39: 3 \text {, } \\
& 39: 8,39: 13
\end{aligned}
\]} & 42:8 \\
\hline & I & & lesson [1] - 11 \\
\hline H & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { jury }[5]-17: 5, \\
17: 13,18: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & lesson's [1] - 11:23 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{half [5] - 14:11, 27:4, 30:17,} & imagine \([1]-9: 6\) & justice (1)-25:2 & \[
\text { letter }[1] \text { - 11:12 }
\] \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Imagine }[3]-18: 25,19: 8, \\
& 20: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & Justin [2] - 21:12, 21:20 & letters [5]-2:20, 14:6, 14:7, \\
\hline \[
35: 23,38: 17
\] & implore [1]-15:4 & K & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 28:8, 28:10 } \\
& \text { lewdness }[1]-33: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline handled [2]-12:14, 12:19 hands [1]-32:11 & \begin{tabular}{l}
importantly [1] - 8:21 \\
impose [4] - 3:19, 15:13.
\end{tabular} & & lies [1] - 26:3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hard }_{[2]}-42: 9,42: 10 \\
& \text { harm }[1]-10: 15 \\
& \text { hate }[1]-25: 21 \\
& \text { head }_{[3]}-19: 4,20: 13,42: 3
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16:8, 31:23 } \\
& \text { imposed }[1]-31: 13
\end{aligned}
\]} & keep [2] - 25:24, 29:23 & life [31]-6:5, 6:7, 6:10, 6:12, \\
\hline & & Keeping [1]-31:2 & :16, 9:24, 10:1, 10:12, \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{impress [1]-13:14 incarceration (1) - 34:12} & kept [ \({ }_{\text {] }}\) - 19:14 & 20, 11:13, 11:14, 11:23, \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
kidnapping [4j-17:14, \\
17:16, 33:20 \(34 \cdot 18\)
\end{tabular} & \[
16: 5,18: 18,20: 11,23: 22,
\] \\
\hline heads [3] - 19:9, 19:21, 21:5 & incident [2] - 27:14, 30:25 & \[
\text { kids }[10]-10: 24,11: 3,18
\] & 23:23, 24:2, 24:8, 25:17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{healing (y) - \(26: 5\) hear [2]-8:12, 8:1} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
incorrect [1] - 37:2 \\
incredibly [1] - 12:23 \\
indicated [4] - 13:5, 28:23,
\end{tabular}} & 19:5, 19:12, 19:14, 19:21, & 25:19, 26:19, 28:2, 29:17, \\
\hline & & 20:4, 21:10, 27:12 & 30:10, 33:3, 34:24 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
heard [2]-6:12, 31:16 \\
hearing \([3]-20: 13,41: 1\), \\
41:2
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { indicated }[4]-13: 5,28: 23, \\
& 29: 15,30: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & kids' 11 - \(21: 5\) & lifetime [2] - 11:25, 36:7 \\
\hline & individual \({ }_{[1]}\) - 28 : & kill (i) - 20:14 & Lifetime [1] - 36:4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hears }[3]-20: 15,20: 17 \text {, } \\
& 20: 18
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{influence [1] - 11:4} & kind (1] - 17:20 & light (2) - 29:6, 31:4 \\
\hline & & knowing [2]-26:23, 27:10 &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { heart }[4]-9: 1,12: 1,26: 13, \\
& 26: 15
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{information \([1]-29:\)
initiative \([1]-16: 16\)} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Knowing [1]-5 \\
known [1]-12:13
\end{tabular} & \[
\text { list }[3]-40: 20,42: 24,43
\] \\
\hline & & knows [3] - 7:10, 12:2 & litigate \(\{1\}-44: 7\) \\
\hline hearts [1]-13:15 & insofar [1] - & & live [2] - 10:22, 20:10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{hell [ 11 - 7:14
helpless [1]-20:19} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{instead \({ }_{[2]}\) - 6:10, 6:23
instigated \([1]-19: 23\)} & & lived [2] - 11:14, 18:17 \\
\hline & & L & lives [2] - 11:4, 26:22 \\
\hline high [1]-27:8 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
insult [1] - 23:25 \\
intention [1]-10:15
\end{tabular}} & & location [1]-7:10 \\
\hline himself [2]-20:16, 31:22 & & laid [1] - 9:2 & look [3] - 12:9, 43:3, 43:5 \\
\hline history \([7]-13: 1,20: 25\), & interrupt [1]-22:2 & Landis [1]-42:10 & looking [3]-7:15, 10:16, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 21: 2,28: 2,28: 3,30: 24, \\
& 31: 5
\end{aligned}
\]} & involve [1] - 42:4 & larger [1] - 31:23 & 28:2 \\
\hline & involved [2]-26:25, 42:18 & Las [6] - 2:1, 10:4, 10:6, & \[
\text { Lord [2]-25:12, } 26
\] \\
\hline Hold [3] - 37:6, 37:17, 40:7 & involves [1] - 12:22 & 11:17, 46:14, 46:19 & lose [1] - 37:13 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{home [2] - 10:9, 18:25
Hon [1] - 46:13} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{involving [1] - 7:21} & last \([6]-8: 13,16: 14,29: 7\), & losing [1] - 10:8 \\
\hline & & 41:1, 41:2, 43:7 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline lost [2]-14:6, 31:14 & mitigate [1] - 31:3 & ada [8]-2:1, 2:5, 3:1 & 10:3 \\
\hline love [1]-13:16 & mitigating \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(30: 25\) & 16, 8:20, 46:14, 46:1 & Oronoz [4] - 7:23, 12:11 \\
\hline loved [1] - 26:12 & mitigation [1]-21:1 & ADA [1] - 46: & 2, 29:9 \\
\hline loving [1]-21:6 & model [2] - 11:1, 27:13 & never [7]-10:12, 18:6, 18:8, & ORONOZ \({ }_{[17]}\) - 5:6, 5:1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LUZAICH [44] - 3:9, 4:3,} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { moment [2]-23:23, 26:7 } \\
& \text { moments }\{1]-29: 25
\end{aligned}
\]} & 18:12, 26:24, 42:1 & :12, 8:15, 12:12, 36:1 \\
\hline & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{new \([7]\) - 4:1, 6:22, 24:18,
\(30: 21,32: 8,32: 17,41: 6\)} & , 37:1, 37:5, 37:1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Monday [1] - 42:15 \\
money [6] - 10:9, 19:4, 19:8,
\end{tabular}} & & :10, 41:23, 43:13, 43:15, \\
\hline & & next [3] - 10:10, 19:24, 20:1 & 44:16, 44:24, 45:4 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22:10, } 22: 25,23: 9,24: 7, \\
& 24: 11,24: 17,24: 20,24: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { money }[8] \text { - 10:9, 19:4, 19:8, } \\
& \text { 19:25, 40:19 }
\end{aligned}
\] & NFL [1] - 13 & therwise [1] - 24:12 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24:11, 24:17, 24:20, 24:24, } \\
& 31: 9,35: 7,35: 17,35: 20,
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { months }\{21\}-9: 19,27: 4, \\
& 32: 20,32: 23,32: 24,33: 1,
\end{aligned}
\]} & nice [1] - 20 & ts [2]-27:5, 27:6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(35: 25,36: 4,36: 8,36: 18\),
\(36: 21,37: 9,37: 12,37: 16\),} & & night [7]-8:23, 9:4, 9:11 & tside [1] - 43:11 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 34: 3,34: 5,34: 9,34: 12, \\
& 34: 13,34: 16,34: 20,34: 21
\end{aligned}
\]} & 9:17, 11:7, 26:10, \(29:\) & overcame [1] - 13:6 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(37: 18,37: 23,38: 4,38: 9\),
\(38: 13,39: 3,39: 5,39: 7\)} & & nine [1]-27:4 & n [1] - 14:2 \\
\hline & 34:13, 34:16, 34:20, 34:21, 35:4, 36:21, 37:22, 38:12, 38:14, 38:17, 43:7 & nine-and-a-half [1]-27:4 & owned [1] - 14:18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 39: 9,39: 15,39: 18,40: 5, \\
& 40: 22,42: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & morning [3] - 2:17, 2:21, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { notes }[4]-22: 3,22: 6,22: 9, \\
& 46: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline Luzaich [1] - 28:23 lying \({ }_{\text {(1] }}\) - 26:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{most}_{[4]}-8: 21,12: 17,12: 18,
\end{aligned}
\] & nothing \([1]-14: 1\)
Notice \([1]-44: 15\) & \\
\hline M & 28.14 - & \[
43: 9,44: 14
\] & parallel in \(^{\text {d }}\) - \(2: 8\) \\
\hline &  & number [4]-22:5, 28:8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { parents [4]-13:16, 13:2 } \\
& 27: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline machine [1] - 46:11 & moved [1] - 10:6
\[
\operatorname{MR}_{[42]}-2: 11,2: 19,4: 6,
\] &  & parole [5] - 16:2, 24:9, 24:14, \\
\hline major [1] - 30:4 & \[
4: 16,4: 23,5: 6,5: 11,8: 12,
\] & & 3:3, 34: \\
\hline malarky [1] - 26:22 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 8: 15,12: 12,16: 25,23: 9 \\
& \text { 25:1, 27:19, 28:20, 35:20, }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & part [6]-10:13, 16:12, 19:16, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{man}[8]-14: 10,14: 21, \\
& 14: 22,14: 25,15: 24,29: 9 \\
& 31: 5
\end{aligned}
\]} & & & 0:16, 26:17, 42:17 \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 25: 1,27: 19,28: 20,35: 20 \\
& 35: 22,36: 10,36: 15,36: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] & oath [1]-31/16 & participant \({ }^{2}\) [ - 17:24, 19:15 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
31:5 \\
man's [1]-15:17
\end{tabular} & \[
37: 1,37: 5,37: 14,38: 10
\] & object [2] - 40:5, 40:6 & \[
30: 2
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
mandate [1]-32:15 \\
mandated [2] - 3:24, 6:23
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 39: 10,39: 24,40: 17,40: 24, \\
& 41: 10,41: 15,41: 22, ~ 41: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & obstacles [1]-13:6 & passion [1]-9:24 \\
\hline & \[
41: 24,42: 21,43: 1,43: 12
\] & obviously [1] - 7:25 & past \({ }^{\text {(1] - }}\) 3:1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
mandated [2] - 3:24, 6:23 \\
manned [1] - 18:11 \\
matter [4] - 4:6, 4:13, 4:15,
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{43:13, 43:15, 44:12, 44:16,
\[
44: 24,45: 4
\]} & occurred [5] -6:15, 7:5 & pastors [1]-28:1 \\
\hline & & 12:20, 13:21 & pattern [1]-29: \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { matter }[4]-4: 6,4: 13,4: 15, \\
& 18: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & MS \({ }_{[42]}-3: 9,4: 3,4: 24,5: 20\), & OF \(\mathrm{F}_{[1]}\) - \(46: 4\) & pay (1) - 10:10 \\
\hline maximum [1]-20:2 & 5:22, 7:2, 17:11, 17:14, & offender [2]-36:5, 36 & PD [1] - 40:23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mean }[8]-3: 11,3: 18,4: 7, \\
& 5: 13,7: 11,8: 1,12: 21,45: 2 \\
& \text { meant }[2]-9: 21,14: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 18: 5,18: 10,22: 10,22: 25, \\
& 24: 7,24: 11,24: 17,24: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & offense [2] - 3:13, 3:20 & PDs [1] - 40:25 \\
\hline & & offenses [2] - 17:25, 18:1 & penalize \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\) - 18:1 \\
\hline & \[
24: 24,31: 9,35: 7,35: 17
\] & offer [1]-8:1 & penalized [2] - 18:7, 31:20 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { meant }[2]-9: 21,14: 20 \\
& \text { Meeting }[1]-13: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 25,36: 4,36: 8,36: 18 \\
& 36: 21,37: 9,37: 12,37: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} & office [5]-2:14, 2:17, 41:19, & penciling \([1]\) - 35:14 \\
\hline Meeting \({ }_{[1]}-13: 14\) meets [1]-27:13 & & 41:21, 42:20 & pending [2] - 40:2, 40 : \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { members }[3]-21: 4,26: 12, \\
& 28: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & 37:18, 37:23, 38:4, 38:9, & old [6] - 3:4, 3:24, 9:24, 24:5, & penetrated [1] - 20:9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
memo \({ }^{[11}-3: 10\) \\
memorandum [2]-2:13, 3:2
\end{tabular}} & 38:13, 39:3, 39:5, 39:7,
\[
39: 9,39: 15,39: 18,40: 5
\] & once \([1]\) - 32 & penetration (2) - 22:1
23:21 \\
\hline & 40:22, 42:23 & one (27) - 3:17, 4:18, &  \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { men }[5]-12: 22,12: 23,13: 3, \\
& 25: 15,25: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
multiple [1]-6:14 \\
murder [3] - 7:16, 27:21
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6: 7,7: 8,12: 17,16: 14 \\
& \text { 17:18, 18:6, 19:10, } 20:
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 10: 15,11: 17,12: 3,12: 5 \\
& 12: 25,16: 17,18: 21,26: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{mentioned [1] - 40:2} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{must [2] - 3:19, 26:11} & 4, 20:9, 20:15, 21:9, & 26:15, 31:12 \\
\hline & & 22, 22:4, 22:7, 22:12 & People [1] - 12:2 \\
\hline merely [2]-18:16, 19:15 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{N} & 5:6, 26:21, 29:12, 40:14 & per [2]-22:1 \\
\hline d [3] - 13:4, 29:24, 31:24 & & 41:16, 41:23, 43:10, 43:15 & perfect [2]-10:20, 18:1 \\
\hline minimize [2] - 12:21, 14:20 & & ones [2]-11:24, 26:1 & \\
\hline minimum [2] - 14:11, 29:11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Narcus }[5]-2: 6,3: 1,4: 11, \\
& 7: 21,32: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & open [1] - 33:1 & \[
16: 2,16: 3
\] \\
\hline &  & opinion [1] - 42:9 & period [1]-34:1 \\
\hline misdemeanor [4]-5:10,
\[
5: 11,17: 19,34: 1
\] & necessary \(11-30: 7\) & opportunity \([3]-2: 24,3: 2\),
\(3: 9\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { person }[4]-8: 25,10: 16, \\
& 25: 17,27 \cdot 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline misdemeanors [3] - 17:6, & eded [1] - 22:1 & opposed 11 - 3 & peruse [1]-3 \\
\hline 17:9, 33:9 & 37:13 & option [4] - 32:9, 32:11, & phones [1] - 18:20 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Miss [1]-28:23
mistake \([1]-10: 21\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Negotiation (1) - 6:7} & 32:12, 45:2 & physically \({ }^{[1]}\) - 7:9 \\
\hline & & ordeal [1]-26:18 & pierce [1]-26:4 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
mistake [1] - 10:21 \\
mistakes [ 1 ] - 10:20
\end{tabular} & Nelson [2] - 46:9, 46:18 & original \({ }^{(1]}\) - 46:11 & pipes [1] - 20:4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(25: 10,40: 3,40: 11,44: 12\)
settled \{1] - 44:5
seven [1]-35:15
several [2]-11:1, 36:9
severally [1] - 36:8
severe! 3\(\}\) - \(14: 4,43: 23,44: 3\)
sex[3]-20:6, 36:5, 36:6
sexual [12]-4:2, 6:14, 19:24,
22:14, 23:20, 24:5, 30:11,
32:6, 33:2, 33:22, 34:23,
35:12
shame [1] - 25:22
short [1] - 25:14
shorthand [ 1 ] - 46:12
shows [3] - 14:3
significant [4] - 11:19, 12:25, 13:1, 14:16
similarly \([3]\) - 15:9, 43:24, 43:25
simply [1]-14:8
single [1] - 17:12
situated [3] - 15:9, 43:24, 43:25
situation [5] - 10:8, 10:13, \(10: 25,13: 20,44: 1\)
\(\operatorname{six}[6]-21: 10,36: 21,37: 22\),
38:12, 38:13, 43:7
skills [1] - 15:21
slap [1]-14:9
sleeping \([1]\) - 18:25
smaller [1] - 19:5
smart [1]-7:11
sociology [1] - 10:23
sometimes [1] - 18:16
son [2] - 13:17
sorrow [1]-12:1
sorry [8]-8:22, 9:2, 9:7,
12:4, 16:19, 23:3, 26:23, 35:25
Sorry [1] - 23:6
speakers [4]-2:7, 2:9, 8:12, 16:12
speaking [1] - 31:15
spent [2]-11:1, 29:7
spotlight [1] - 16:17
SS [1] - 46:5
stamped \({ }^{(1)}\) - 2:15
stand [2]-7:25, 31:17
standing [2] - 16:20, 25:4
start [1] - 39:2
State \([8]\) - 2:5, 3:1, 4:10,
\(5: 18,8: 20,17: 3,18: 2,44: 4\)
STATE [1] - 46:4
statement [3] - 17:1, 25:2
statements [1] - 7:22
statute [1] - 21:13
stay [1] - 19:20
step [2] - 20:1, 41:23
stepped \({ }^{1} 1\) \} \(-41: 3\)
sticking [1] - 20:18
still \([3]-21: 6,21: 15,32: 13\)
stood [1] - \(21: 4\)
strangers [1]-19:2
strong [2] - 16:20, 21:3
strongly \([1]\) - 15:3
stuck [1]-21:5
stupidity [2] - 9:4, 10:19
submit [5] - 3:18, 6:1, 6:10,
8:4, 16:10
submitted \(\{1\) ] - 14:6
substantial \{2] - 32:2
substantially \({ }_{[1]}-30: 3\)
successful [1] - 11:5
sufficient [2] - 30:19, 42:18
supervision (2] - 36:4, 36:7
support [3] - 14:7, 21:3, 21:6
Supreme [8]-3:16, 4:7, 4:9,
4:12, 40:8, 40:10, 40:13
Surely [1] - 25:8
surrounding [1] - 30:25
sword (1) - 26:4
sympathy [1] - 12:8
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline Tail [1] - 30:10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
teachers [1]-28:12
temptation (1)-11:16
term [1]-6:9
terms [1]-4:21
testified [2] - 31:11, 31:13
testimony [1]-26:2
THE [88] - 2:5, 2:17, 2:25,
3:23, 4:4, 4:11, 4:17, 4:25,
5:7, 5:13, 5:21, 6:22, 8:9,
8:14, 8:16, 8:19, 12:11,
\(16: 11,16: 14,16: 23,17: 3\),
17:12, 17:16, 18:9, 22:2,
22:19, 22:21, 23:8, 24:5,
24:8, 24:12, 24:18, 24:22,
24:25, 25:3, 25:4, 27:18,
\(28: 19,31: 8,31: 11,35: 9\),
\(35: 18,35: 21,35: 23,36: 2\),
\(36: 6,36: 9,36: 12,36: 17\),
\(36: 19,36: 25,37: 4,37: 6\),
\(37: 15,37: 17,37: 20,38: 2\),
38:3, 38:6, 38:12, 38:16,
38:18, 38:25, 39:1, 39:4,
39:6, 39:8, 39:12, 39:16,
39:17, 39:19, 39:20, 39:22,
39:23, 40:7, 41:7, 41:13,
\(41: 19,42: 2,42: 22,43: 3\),
43:14, 44:9, 44:14, 44:19,
45:1, 45:5, 45:6
Thereupon [1]-38:15
thinks [2] - 16:5, 20:22
thousands [1]-12:14
three \([3]-5: 4,27: 6,31: 25\)
throughout [2]-27:4, 28:14
throw [1] - 14:14
Thursday [1]-2:1
tied [1]-32:11
today \([10]-3: 22,8: 23,9: 16\),
\(11: 21,16: 16,16: 21,25: 6\),
26:8, 29:22, 39:15
Tognotti[3]-7:9, 19:13, 21:25
tongue [2] - 26:5, 26:6
took [3]-7:9, 19:10, 38:12
top [1] - 42:2
total [1] - 36:25
totally \([1]-11: 8\)
touch \(\{1\) - 20:7
track 14 ] - 14:6, 27:6, 27:13, 44:21
tragedy \([3]\) - 12:19, 12:22, 29:5
tragic \([3]-12: 18,26: 10\)
trained [1]-27:4
trauma [1]-31:13
tremendously \([1]-31: 22\)
trial [19]-5:14, 6:4, 7:21, 17:4, 17:5, 17:17, 17:21,
18:7, 18:13, 27:21, 28:16,
28:18, 28:22, 29:4, 31:12,
31:21, 42:8
tried [3] - \(2: 23,10: 12,16: 3\)
trouble [3] - 10:24, 25:16,

\section*{28:9}
troubling \([1]-27: 25\)
true [2]-26:16, 46:11
truly \([7]-8: 22,9: 1,9: 7,9: 17\),
10:2, 12:4, 16:19
trusted [2] - 27:10, 27:12
truthful [1]-26:2
Truthful [1]-26:6
try \([4]-7: 12,27: 5,27: 6\), 38:11
try-outs [2]-27:5, 27:6
trying \(\{1\}-11: 1\)
tunnel [2]-29:6, 31:4
turn [6] - 5:21, 8:9, 15:20, \(15: 21,18: 4,24: 25\)
two [17]-2:9, 4:21, 9:19, 12:22, 12:23, 17:6, 17:8, 18:21, 19:1, 19:7, 27:24, 29:7, 32:7, 32:19, 34:1,
34:9, 34:17
type [3] - 15:10, 15:22, 27:11
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
under \((10)-3: 4,3: 23,24: 18\), \(28: 25,30: 21,30: 23,31: 16\), 32:5, 32:12, 32:15
Under [2] - 4:1, 24:5
underlying [1] - 24:14
undoubtedly [1]-12:20
unfortunately [1] - 19:5
unintelligible [1]-25:19
unique [5] - 13:2, 14:17,
14:21, 15:4, 15:19
up [12] - 9:5, 9:21, 10:8,
\(10: 11,10: 25,14: 2,14: 18\),
18:11, 20:4, 30:3, 32:1,
40:8
urging [ 7 ] - 15:23
Utah [1] - \(27: 9\)
utmost [1] - 13:17
\(\mathbf{V}\)
vacation [1] - 10:5
vagina [2] - 20:19, 21:6
Vegas [6]-2:1, 10:4, 10:6,
11:17, 46:14, 46:19
verdict \([1]\) - 29:20
versus [1] - 2:5
victim [3]-8:22, 22:8, 22:19
victims [9]-8:21, 9:14, 12:6,
12:20, 13:19, 14:21, 22:4,
22:7, 22:12
virtually [1] - 13:4
W
waiting [1]-16:20
wake [1] -9:5
wants [2]-20:6, 31:8
waving [1]-19:2
ways \(\{\) (1) - 25:13
weapon [26]-21:9, 21:16, 21:24, 23:5, 23:10, 23:15, 23:18, 23:20, 24:6, 30:9,
30:13, 32:6, 33:12, 33:15,
33:17, 33:19, 33:21, 33:22,
\(33: 23,34: 7,34: 16,34: 19\),
34:24, 35:3, 35:6
Wesley [27]-2:6, 3:1, 4:11, 5:15, 7:21, 16:11, 16:24, 17:1, 17:4, 19:12, 19:13,
31:20, 33:7, 35:5, 35:15,
\(36: 13,36: 22,36: 23,37: 10\), \(37: 13,37: 18,37: 20,38: 8\),
39:1, 39:20, 42:1
WESLEY [2] - 25:4, 38:16
Wesley's [3]-17:17, 35:11, 37:9
whatnot [1]-18:23
whatsoever [1] - 18:22
whole [6]-5:14, 5:17, 17:22, 37:10, 37:21, 44:20
wicked \([2]-25: 15,25: 23\)
wickid [1] - 25:21
Wilson (33]-2:5, 5:4, 5:7,
```

    5:8, 5:10, 5:23, 6:16, 7:22,
    7:23, 8:8, 8:10, 8:16,
    17:19, 18:10, 19:11, 19:13,
    19:23, 20:3, 31:24, 32:4,
    32:19, 33:2, 35:16, 35:21,
    36:14, 37:4, 37:18, 38:4,
    38:6, 43:22, 43:23, 44:11
    WILSON [4]-8:19, 16:14,
36:25, 38:18
Wilson's [3] - 36:22, 37:12,
44:1
wind [1] - 30:3
WINDER [21]-2:11, 2:19,
4:6, 4:16, 4:23, 16:25,
25:1, 27:19, 28:20, 35:22,
36:10, 38:10, 39:24, 40:17,
40:24, 41:10, 41:15, 41:22,
43:1, 43:12, 44:12
Winder [1]-2:25
window [1]-41:11
wise [1] - 26:5
wish[1]-28:16
witness [5]-26:2, 26:3,
26:16, 31:16
women [1] - 25:25
works [1] -44:19
worse [2] - 19:22, 20:12
worst [1] - 10:21
worth [1]-26:18
wrist [1] - 14:9
writing [1] - 41:8

```

\section*{Y}
year [4] - 15:13, 18:18, 21:22, 39:4
years [16] \(-6: 10,9: 23,14: 10\), 14:11, 18:18, 29:10, 29:12, 29:14, 30:12, 30:16, 33:4, 34:25, 35:2, 35:11, 35:15,
38:20
young [14] - 12:22, 12:23, 13:3, 14:10, 14:21, 14:22, 14:25, 15:17, 15:23, 15:24, 19:2, 20:10, 29:9, 31:5
younger [1]-29:15
youths [1] - 10:24

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

> Plaintiff,
-vs-
NARCUS S. WESLEY
aka Narcus Samone Wesley \#1757866

Defendant.

\section*{JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION}
(JURY TRIAL)

The Defendant previously entered a plea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY (Gross Misdemeanor) in violation of NRS 205.060, 199.480; COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.380, 199.480; COUNTS 3 \& 11 - BURGLARY WHILE \(14 P P O S S E S S I O N\) OF DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 5

Eätegory B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380, 193.165; COUNTS \(5 \& 8\) - ASSAULT HNGEH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471; COUNT 102 \(0^{2}\) FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPING (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.310,
200.320, 193.165; COUNTS 12, 13, 14, 15, \& 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.366, 200.364, 193.165; COUNT 16 - COERCION WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 207.190, 193.165; COUNT 18 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS 201.210, 193.165; and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been found guilty of the crimes of COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY (Gross Misdemeanor) in violation of NRS 205.060, 199.480; COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.380, 199.480; COUNTS 3 \& 11 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.060; COUNTS 4, 6, 7 \& 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380, 193.165; COUNTS 5 \& 8 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471, COUNT 10 - SECOND DEGREE KIDNAPING (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.320, 193.165; COUNTS 12, \(13,14,15, \& 17\) - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.366, 200.364, 193.165; COUNT 16 - COERCION WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 207.190, 193.165; COUNT 18 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS; thereafter, on the \(3^{R D}\) day of July, 2008, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with his counsel, DAN WINDER, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offense(s) and, in addition to the \(\$ 25.00\) Administrative Assessment Fee, \(\$ 150.00\) DNA Analysis Fee
including testing to determine genetic markers, and \(\$ 3,196.00\) Restitution to be paid jointly and severally with co-defendant, the Defendant is SENTENCED as follows: AS TO COUNT 1 - TO TWELVE (12) MONTHS in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC); AS TO COUNT 2 - TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 3-TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SEVENTYTWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 4 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 5 - TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 6 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 7-TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 8 - TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR
(24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 9 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 10 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM and SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 11 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 12 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 13 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 14 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 15-TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon
in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 16-TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MAXIMUM and TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 17 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 18 TO TWELVE (12) MONTHS in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), COUNTS 1 - 18 to run CONCURRENT; with ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE (185) DAYS credit for time served.

FURTHER ORDERED, a SPECIAL SENTENCE of LIFETIME SUPERVISION is imposed to commence upon release from any term of imprisonment, probation or parole.

ADDITIONALLY, the Defendant is ORDERED to REGISTER as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D. 460 within FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS after any release from custody.

DATED this
 day of July, 2008
```
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IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAQ DISTRICT COURT
CLEPM OF THE:3!:,
STATE OF NEVADA,
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vs.
NARCUS WESLEY,
Defendant.
RE-SENTENCING
Before the Honorable James M. Bixler
Tuesday, September 23, 2008, 8:30 a.m.
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

```
APPEARANCES:
For the State: Lisa Luzaich, Esq.
                                    Deputy District Attorney
                                    Las Vegas, Nevada
For the Defendant: Dan Winder, Esq.
                                    Deputy Public Defender
                                    Las Vegas, Nevada
REPORTED BY: BILL NELSON, RMR, CCR No. 191

considerably more leeway, but the old statutory scheme was absolutely cut and dry, whatever the underlying sentence is, it's enhancement's equal and consecutive, and the fact that I was trying to give Narcus a break didn't work out.

MR. WINDER: Well, Your Honor, you still can give him somewhat of a break.

THE COURT: Here's the bigger question:
There is no question that the State's legal position is exactly correct.

MR. WINDER: Yes, Your Honor, I read the new case.

THE COURT: The law is crystal clear. The law in effect at the time the crime was committed is that which is doubling the sentencing, and the Defendant will have to be sentenced to an equal and consecutive sentence for the use of deadly weapon on the counts, what was it 12 through 15 , and then 17 I believe?

MS. LUZAICH: Right.
All that changes is two years, goes from 18 to life, instead of 20 to life.

The Co-Defendant you gave 34 to life.
So he's still almost double.
THE COURT: What the Defendant is --
MS. LUZAICH: What this Defendant is -- So when
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES \(\quad 702.360 .4677\)
Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
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you are worried about being fair, you are still way too fair.

THE COURT: All right. Here's my first question:
When I correct the illegal sentence, the front end of the sentence there is nothing wrong with, just the enhancement sentence, so I don't have -- or I can't go back and correct the front end of those sentences.

If I wanted to change them in other words, that there is nothing wrong with that.

MS. LUZAICH: Right, just the deadly weapon enhancement.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. WINDER: Correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So everybody agrees on that?
MR. WINDER: Yes.
And then you said you are running them concurrent, so they still have to run concurrent.

MS. LUZAICH: Each count runs concurrent.
MR. WINDER: Of course the deadly weapon enhancement continues to run consecutive, and the effect will be, it goes from 18 to life, Your Honor, to 20 to life using the -- with the change.

THE COURT: Exactly.
All right. As long as everybody's on the same
page, then we're going to fix it.
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
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What else?
MR. WINDER: Also, there is another issue, Your Honor, and it just may be a minor thing, and technically this case is on appeal at the Supreme Court, and so I certainly -- the statute says any time the Court can correct an illegal sentence, so this may be form over substance, but I wanted to state for the record, I don't know if you have the jurisdiction to correct it while it's technically on appeal, but with that said, I think the you have no choice but to correct it at this point.

THE COURT: I agree.
I don't think that there is a prohibition. There is nothing I'm addressing here that has anything to do with what is being addressed on appeal, and I think that is why I can correct the sentence.

MR. WINDER: Yes.
THE COURT: So as to Counts \(12,13,14,15\) and 17, is that correct?

MS. LUZAICH: That's correct.
MR. WINDER: Yes.
THE COURT: The Defendant as to the enhancement provision, the Defendant's sentenced from instead of 18 to life --

MS. LUZAICH: No, each count there is a sentence of life in prison, with parole eligibility after ten BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES \(\quad 702.360 .4677\) Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
years has been served, and on each enhancement a life sentence consecutive to the underlying one.

THE COURT: Underlying sentences.
MS. LUZAICH: With eligibility after ten years.
MR. WINDER: Your Honor, because I know my client wants to point this out, you had given him a definite term.

THE COURT: 8 to 20 or something.
MR. WINDER: Right.
MS. LUZAICH: That's vacated?
THE COURT: That is vacated.
MR. WINDER: And what you are saying is that, you don't believe you can give that definite term even on the underlying sentence?

THE COURT: No, I think that that sentence I have already sentenced him on the initial sentence.

The only thing I can address is the enhancement.
So the enhancement is going to be corrected to reflect life, consecutive with the possibility of parole after ten years on each of the five counts.

MS. LUZAICH: Correct.
And each count still to run concurrent.
THE COURT: They all run concurrent.
MR. WINDER: All run concurrent.
Your Honor, not that it makes much difference to
BILL NELSON \& ASSOCIATES
Certified Court Reporters
702.360 .4677

Fax 360.2844
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this Court, but I want to make sure the Judgment of
Conviction is correct because if you look in -- The
family has looked on the Nevada State Prison website, it
makes it appear that there is about 25 life sentences
running consecutive.
MS.LUZAICH: No, the JOC is correct.
The JOC does not anywhere say consecutive counts,
and if it's silent as to concurrent versus consecutive,
it is necessarily concurrent.
MR. WINDER: I understand that.
THECOURT: I was a little puzzled when you said that in your response, but l went back and looked and couldn't see anything else
MR, WINDER: I reread that.
It's their website.
The other thing, Your Honor, I spoke with Mr.
Christensen, Drew Christensen, and have the order appointing ..
THE COURT: You talked to him, and lthink he already communicated with my clerk, you are appointed for record on this case pursuant to .. or directed by Drew Christensen
What is his office called anyway?
MR. WINDER: I don't know.
THE COURT: Whatever his title is, he concurred, BILL NELSON $\&$ ASSOCIATES 702.360 .4677

```

Certified Court Reporters Fax 360.2844
you are already on the case, you were on his list, your appointment is fine.

MR. WINDER: Your Honor, l have one other quick matter.

MS, LUZAICH: tactually have the sign
interpreter here to do Bookman.
THE COURT: YOU have one morecase real fast?
MR. WINDER: Yes.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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I, Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR191, do hereby certify that \(i\) reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same is true and correct as reflected by myoriginal machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the Hon. James M. Bixler, District Court Judge, presiding.

Dated at Las vegas, Nevada this 11 th day of November, 2008 .


Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR191, Certified Court Reporter Las Vegas, Nevada
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200.320, 193.165; COUNTS 12, 13, 14, 15, \& 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.366, 200.364, 193.165; COUNT 16 - COERCION WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 207.190, 193.165; COUNT 18 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS 201.210, 193.165; and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been found guilty of the crimes of COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY (Gross Misdemeanor) in violation of NRS 205.060, 199.480; COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.380, 199.480; COUNTS 3 \& 11 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.060; COUNTS 4, 6, 7 \& 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.380, 193.165; COUNTS 5 \& 8 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.471, COUNT 10 - SECOND DEGREE KIDNAPING (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.320, 193.165; COUNTS 12, 13, 14, 15, \& 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.366, 200.364, 193.165; COUNT 16 - COERCION WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 207.190, 193.165; COUNT 18 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS; thereafter, on the \(3^{\text {RD }}\) day of July, 2008, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with his counsel, DAN WINDER, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offense(s) and, in addition to the \(\$ 25.00\) Administrative Assessment Fee, \(\$ 150.00\) DNA Analysis Fee
including testing to determine genetic markers, and \(\$ 3,196.00\) Restitution to be paid jointly and severally with co-defendant, the Defendant is SENTENCED as follows: AS TO COUNT 1 - TO TWELVE (12) MONTHS in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC); AS TO COUNT 2 - TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) MONTHS, in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 3-TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SEVENTYTWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 4 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 5 - TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 6 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 7 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 8 - TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR
(24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 9 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 10 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS MAXIMUM and SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 11 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 12 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 13 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 14 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 15 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon
in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 16-TO A MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MAXIMUM and TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 17-TO LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus a CONSECUTIVE term of TWENTY (20) YEARS MAXIMUM and EIGHT (8) YEARS MINIMUM for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); AS TO COUNT 18 TO TWELVE (12) MONTHS in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), COUNTS 1 - 18 to run CONCURRENT; with ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE (185) DAYS credit for time served.

FURTHER ORDERED, a SPECIAL SENTENCE of LIFETIME SUPERVISION is imposed to commence upon release from any term of imprisonment, probation or parole.

ADDITIONALLY, the Defendant is ORDERED to REGISTER as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D. 460 within FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS after any release from custody.

SUBSEQUENTLY, on the \(23^{\text {rd }}\) day of September, 2008, the Defendant appeared in court with his counsel, DAN WINDER, ESQ., and pursuant to a hearing regarding the State's Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence, and good cause appearing;

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant's sentence be corrected as to Counts 12, 13, 14,15 and 17 as follows: as to COUNT 12 - to LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the

Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); as to COUNT 13 - to LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); as to COUNT 14 - to LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); as to COUNT 15 - to LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC); and as to COUNT 17 - to LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC). The previously imposed enhancement of Eight to Twenty years for Counts 12, \(13,14,15\) and 17 is vacated.

DATED this
 day of October, 2008


PPOW

Narcus S Wesley,
Petitioner,
vs.
State of Nevada,
Respondent,
Case No: A-20-824615-W
Department 18
DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\section*{ORDER FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS}

Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction Relief) on
November 12, 2020. The Court has reviewed the Petition and has determined that a response would assist the Court in determining whether Petitioner is illegally imprisoned and restrained of his/her liberty, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent shall, within 45 days after the date of this Order, answer or otherwise respond to the Petition and file a return in accordance with the provisions of NRS 34.360 to 34.830 , inclusive.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this matter shall be placed on this Court's

Calendar on the 14 th day of \(\qquad\) , 20_21, at the hour of

9:00 o'clock for further proceedings.

Dated this 12th day of November, 2020


District Court Judge
B38 B45 3EC2 262E
Mary Kay Holthus
District Court Judge

CSERV

\section*{DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}

Narcus Wesley, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
State of Nevada, Defendant(s)

\section*{AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

Electronic service was attempted through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, but there were no registered users on the case.

If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 11/13/2020

Narcus Wesley
\#1022289
P.O. Box 650 HDSP

Indian Springs, NV, 89070


Jurisdiction
Juriscliction is invoked pursuant to the provisions
3 Of \(\mathrm{liR} 5,34,360 \mathrm{ct}, 5 \mathrm{gg}\).
4 Ni R,S, 34,360 states: "Every person un law felly committed st detained, confined, or restrained of his/her liberty under 6 lay pretense whatever, may prosecute a writ of habeas
7. Corpus to ingurx in to the cause of such imprisonment 8 or restraint.
9 Petitioner asserts the he was erroneously convicted 10 and sentenced for the committing the crimes of and consist m ling of with the use of a deadly weapon pursuant to Ar S

13
K POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
15 STATEMENT T OF CASE
16 . Marcus Wesley (hereinafter Petitioner) has been
12) in the custody of the nevada Department of Corrections

10 (NDOC) pursuant to an information consisting of an 18,
19) count serguence, bring Conspiracy, Burglar,, Robbery, Assault,

20 Kidnapping, Sexual Assault, Coercion, and Open or Cross
21 Lewdness, all with the use of a deadly weapon.
22 ARGUMENT.
23 On February 7,2007, Petitioner Wesley was arrested
24) Cor committing multiple crimes cited above and found

25 guilty of those said crimes, based upon the jury instrue-
26 Lions given to the jury for consideration.
27 I. Insufficient Evidence For The Deadly lleapon
28 Petitioner argues that there was incA Deidestorvidence

1 That a firearm was used in the commission of the crimes
2 Charged for the Petitioner to be sentenced_under NR RS.
3) 193, 165, the deadly weapon enhancement.

4 Petitioner claims that the state failed to establish - 5 that the object pa-troyed as a gun that was not produced 6 at trial coulel fire a projectile by force of an explosion or 7 Combustion, Bee \(\sqrt{2}\) Ru. 202. 253 (2), or that it was capable of firing 8 a metal projectile. See N.R.O. 202. \(265(5)\) (b).
9. In Berry v State, 125 Nev, at 271, 212 P3d, at 10 .89Lreguiving 10 the state fo prove the weapon is a 'dractly oveapon' as do n fined in al. \(2,5193.165\left(6^{2}\right)\), the Supreme Court of Nevada in concluded that the failure warrants reversal of the ag13 gravated sentence for burglary while in possession of a 14 deadly weapon ( 125 Nev 228) and the deadly weapon en15. Thancement sentence for the robbery conviction.

16 In this case the victims coulel not definitively state 17 that the weapon claimed by the state was a gun.
18 The count went on to state that in order to meet its 19 burden of proof, the state had to established the ob20 feet \(\omega\) olson or \(\omega_{\text {obey possessed during, and used in, the com }-~}^{\text {pod }}\) 21 mission of the crimes was in deed a "deadly weapon" under 22 Ars.193.165. According to the applicable statutes, the states 23. claimed gun would have bern a cleadly weapon if it was

24 (1) designed to cause substantial boclily harm or cleat the 25 dins. \(193.165(6)(a) ;\); (2) useclin a manner which, under the 26 Circumstances, could cause substantial bodily harm or death 27 pursuant to dar. 5. 193.165(6)(b); (3) capable of expelling a 28 metal projectile by use of spring gas, air, oftener 2320 pure-

1 suant to dies \(202265(5)(b)\); (ell) designed to expel apo2) jectile by the force of an explosion pursuant to N1.2.5,202, 253 3 (.a). The record doss not reveal any evidence presented by the sib tate that suggests that the claimed gun at issue was speci5 Pically designed to cause substantially han or death, sere 6 Aras, 103,16516\()(a)\) that Wilson or Wesley used the ct aimed 7 gun in a manner that could cause substantial bodily harm or 8 death, been 18 s. 193.165 .6 ) (b) or that the d aimed gun was a) designed to expel-progectiles by force ot an explosion, beenlirs \(10202.253(2)\).
ft
12 III IEE DISTRICT ERRED BY INSTRUCTHNG TFE JURV THAT 13 A DEADLY WEAPONS CAPABILITIES AS NOT REQUIRED AS A M MATER OF LAW
15 Petitioner Narcus wesley argues that the district 16 erred in instructing the jerry that "A FIREARM 1SA DEAD CY 17. WEAPON AN LD PROCF OF ITS DEADKY CAPABILLIIES IS NOT RE 18 QUIRED,", for the purposes of a sentencing enhancement under 19 WI. \(1 / 193\). ieee. Ate contends that a firearm is a deadly weapon as 20 a matter of law, and the determination as to whether the
21 claimed firearm (that was not present at trial as evidenced),
22 Should have been left to the jury. Because Wesley failed to
23 object to the district courts deadly weapon instruction at
24 trial, the instruction is to be reviewed for plain error ablest
25 ling his substantial rights, Green v. state, 119 Nev. \(542,5 \pi 5,80\)
26 Pe. C , \(23,95(2003)\).
27 At trial, the jerry was instruetecl on the meaning of a 28 "deadly weapon as follows:

AA 001240

1 "Deadly weapon means any instawnent which, if erect in 2 the ordinary manner comternplated by its design and construction,
3. will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death, or, \& any urapon, elevice, instrument, material or substance, which 5 under the circumstances_in which its used, attempted to be Q used or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing 1. Substantial bodily harm or cleath."

8 "You are instructed that a firearm is a deadly 9 USEAPOD and PROOF OEITS DEADLY CAPABULTIESKNOITRE-

11 The first sentence of this instruction mirrors the "cleacly weapon" 12 definitions provided in \(1, R, 5,193,165(d)\), the last sentence er13 roneously informs the jury that proof of its deadly capabilities wis not required as a mater of law. Neither the deadly weapon 15 enhancement statecte, no w the statutes therein referenced, pro16 vide that proof of its deadly capabilities is not required for-
17 the for rearm to be a deadly co capon see N. RS 202,265; el 25

19 eluded that this instruction is an incorrect statement of 20 Law.
21 The Supreme Count of Nevada has-clearly stated that when
22 a district court informs a jury with an incorrect statement
23 of law, the erroneous instruction reanovos from the juis/s
24 consideration the factual issue of whether the claimed firearm
25 constituted a deadly usexpon. The buprome court of the United
26 btedes has held that any fact, other than a pretor conviction
27 that increases the penalty for a crime beyond the statutory
28 maximum must be submitted to a jury andApootz4kyonda

1 reasonable doubt. Appreadi v. New Jersey, 5.30 US, \(466,490,120\)

3.

4 CONCLUSION
5 . Bused upon the argument and Exhibit1,-presented for
6 this courts consideration, Petitioner request that this
7 Honorable Count vat ate and reverse e e lesley's cleadly weapon
8 Enhancements pursuant to 1 R. 5 . 193.465 ; as the state foiled
a to prove that a deadly weapon was used, and that the 10 district court erred by instructing the jury with an
incorrect statement of law as a matter of law.
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\section*{AA 001243}


\section*{ESPN}

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565
JOHN NIMAN
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#014408
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-VS-
NARCUS S. WESLEY, aka, Narcus Samone Wesley \#1757866

Defendant.
CASE NO: A-20-824615-W
(07C232494-2)
DEPT NO: XVIII

\section*{STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CHALLENGING THE ERRONEOUS JURY INSTRUCTION PURSUANT TO NRS 193.165 AND NRS 34.360}

DATE OF HEARING: JANUARY 14, 2021
TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM
COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, through JOHN NIMAN, Deputy District Attorney, and hereby submits the attached Points and Authorities in Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Challenging the Erroneous Jury Instruction Pursuant to NRS 193.165 and NRS 34.360.

This response is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.

\section*{POINTS AND AUTHORITIES}

\section*{STATEMENT OF THE CASE}

On April 20, 2007, the State filed an Information charging Narcus Wesley (hereinafter "Petitioner") and Delarian Kameron Wilson (hereinafter "Wilson") with: Count 1 Conspiracy to Commit Burglary; Count 2 - Conspiracy to Commit Robbery; Counts 3 and 11 - Burglary While in Possession of a Deadly Weapon; Counts 4, 6-7, and 9 - Robbery With Use of A Deadly Weapon; Counts 5 and 8 - Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon; Count 10 - First Degree Kidnapping With Use of a Deadly Weapon; Counts 12-15, and 17 - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon; Count 16 - Coercion With Use of a Deadly Weapon; Count 18 - Open of Gross Lewdness With Use of a Deadly Weapon. The Co-Defendant Wilson later entered into negotiations with the State and plead guilty to two (2) counts of Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon and one (1) count of Sexual Assault.

Petitioner's jury trial began on April 9, 2008 and concluded on April 18, 2008. On April 10, the State filed the Second Amended Information. The jury convicted Petitioner of all eighteen (18) counts contained in the Second Amended Information.

On July 3, 2008, Petitioner was adjudged guilty of all eighteen (18) counts and the District Court sentenced as follows \({ }^{1}\) : as to Counts 1 and 18 - twelve (12) months; as to Counts 2,3 , and 11 - twenty-eight (28) to seventy-two (72) months; as to Counts \(4,6,7\), and 9 - sixty (60) to one hundred eighty (180) months plus an equal and consecutive term of sixty (60) to one hundred eighty (180) months for the use of a deadly weapon; as to Counts 5 and 8 -twenty-four (24) to seventy-two (72) months; as to Count 10 -seventy-two (72) to one hundred eighty (180) months plus an equal and consecutive term of seventy-two (72) to one hundred eighty (180) months for the use of a deadly weapon; as to Counts \(12-15\), and \(17-\) ten (10) years to life plus an equal and consecutive term of ten (10) years to life for the use of a deadly weapon; and as to Count 16 - twenty-four (24) to seventy-two (72) months plus an equal and consecutive term of twenty-four (24) to seventy-two (72) months for the use of a deadly

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The State filed a Motion to Correct Illegal Sentence as to Counts \(12-15\), and 17 as the court had previously given Wesley eight (8) to twenty (20) years instead of ten (10) to twenty (20) as called for under the Statute. 1 AA 132. The court corrected the sentence at a hearing on September 23, 2008. Defendant was present with counsel during said hearing. The corrected sentence is listed above.
}
weapon; all counts to run concurrently. The Judgment of Conviction was filed on July 18, 2008, and an Amended Judgment of Conviction reflecting a correction in the sentence to Counts \(12-15\), and 17 was filed on October 8, 2008. Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal from the jury verdict, the sentencing, and all pre-trial and post-trial rulings on July 25, \(2008^{2}\). On March 11, 2010, the Nevada Supreme Court filed an Order affirming Defendant's conviction (Case No.52127). Remittitur was issued on April 8, 2010.

On September 9, 2010, Petitioner filed a pro per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and a Motion for Appointment of Counsel and a Request for an Evidentiary Hearing. On December 6, 2010, the State filed a Response to the Petition. On December 7, 2010, the District Court denied the petition. On December 28, 2010, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal from the Order denying the petition for post-conviction relief. On January 4, 2011, a Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order was filed. On March 1, 2011, following an Order of Limited Remand for Appointment of Counsel from this Court regarding appointment of counsel for Petitioner's post-conviction appeal, the District Court appointed Mr. Oram. On January 16, 2013 the Nevada Supreme Court issued an Order of Affirmance; Remittitur was issued on February 12, 2013.

On November 12, 2020, Petitioner filed the instant "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Challenging the Erroneous Jury Instruction Pursuant to NRS 193.165 and NRS 34.360[.]" The State responds herein.

\section*{ARGUMENT}

\section*{I. PETITIONER'S SECOND HABEAS PETITION IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED}

\section*{A. Petitioner's Claims are Waived.}

As an initial matter, claims other than challenges to the validity of a guilty plea and ineffective assistance of trial and appellate counsel must be raised on direct appeal "or they will be considered waived in subsequent proceedings." Franklin v. State, 110 Nev. 750, 752,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) For purposes of clarification, Petitioner's trial counsel were Deputy Public Defenders Jeffrey Banks (hereinafter "Mr. Banks") and Casey Landis (hereinafter "Mr. Landis"). Counsel for Petitioner's direct appeal were Dan Winder (hereinafter "Mr. Winder") and Armold Weinstock (hereinafter "Mr. Weinstock").
}

877 P.2d 1058, 1059 (1994) (disapproved on other grounds by Thomas v. State, 115 Nev. 148, 979 P.2d 222 (1999)); See also, NRS 34.724(2)(a); NRS 34.810(1)(b)(2); Evans v. State, 117 Nev. 609, 646-47, 29 P.3d 498, 523 (2001). Here, Petitioner offered substantive claims in the instant Second Petition that are now waived due to his failure to raise on direct appeal. Accordingly, his Second Petition must be denied.

\section*{B. The Instant Second Petition is Untimely.}

NRS 34.726(1) states that "unless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year after entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the Supreme Court issues its remittitur." The one-year time bar is strictly construed and enforced. Gonzales, \(118 \mathrm{Nev} .590,53\) P.3d 901. The Nevada Supreme Court has held that the "clear and unambiguous" provisions of NRS 34.726(1) demonstrate an "intolerance toward perpetual filing of petitions for relief, which clogs the court system and undermines the finality of convictions." Pellegrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 875, 34 P.3d 519, 529 (2001). For cases that arose before NRS 34.726 took effect on January 1, 1993, the deadline for filing a petition extended to January 1, 1994. Id. at 869, 34 P.3d at 525.

The one-year time bar of NRS 34.726 is strictly construed. Gonzales v. State, 118 Nev . 590, 593-596, 53 P.3d 901, 902-904 (rejected post-conviction petition filed two days late pursuant to the "clear and unambiguous" provisions of NRS 34.726(1)). Further, the District Courts have a duty to consider whether post-conviction claims are procedurally barred. State v. Eighth Judicial District Court (Riker), 121 Nev. 225, 234, 112 P.3d 1070, 1076 (2005). The Nevada Supreme Court has found that "[a]pplication of the statutory procedural default rules to post-conviction habeas petitions is mandatory," noting:

> Habeas corpus petitions that are filed many years after conviction are an unreasonable burden on the criminal justice system. The necessity for a workable system dictates that there must exist a time when a criminal conviction is final.

Id., at 231, 112 P.3d at 1074. Additionally, the Court held that procedural bars "cannot be ignored when properly raised by the State." Id., at 233, 112 P.3d at 1075. The Nevada Supreme

Court has granted no discretion to the District Courts regarding whether to apply the statutory procedural bars. Moreover, parties in a post-conviction habeas proceeding cannot stipulate to disregard the procedural default rules. State v. Haberstroh, \(119 \mathrm{Nev} .173,180,69\) P.3d 676, 681 (2003).

Here, the Judgment of Conviction was filed on July 18, 2008, and an Amended Judgment of Conviction was filed on October 8, 2008. On March 11, 2010, the Nevada Supreme Court filed an Order affirming Petitioner's conviction; Remittitur was issued on April 8, 2010. Accordingly, Petitioner had until April 8, 2011 to file a Petition. The instant Petition was not filed until November 12, 2020, over nine (9) years after the deadline. Therefore, absent a showing of good cause and prejudice, the instant Second Petition must be denied as untimely.

\section*{C. The Instant Second Petition is Successive and/or an Abuse of the Writ.}

Under NRS 34.810(2) "[a] second or successive petition must be dismissed if the judge or justice determines that it fails to allege new or different grounds for relief and that the prior determination was on the merits or, if new and different grounds are alleged, the judge or justice finds that the failure of the petitioner to assert those grounds in a prior petition constituted an abuse of the writ." Second or successive petitions will only be decided on the merits if the petitioner can show good cause and prejudice. NRS 34.810(3); Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 358, 871 P.2d 944, 950 (1994). The Nevada Supreme Court has stated: "Without such limitations on the availability of post-conviction remedies, prisoners could petition for relief in perpetuity and thus abuse post-conviction remedies. In addition, meritless, successive and untimely petitions clog the court system and undermine the finality of convictions." Lozada, 110 Nev . at \(358,871 \mathrm{P} .2 \mathrm{~d}\) at 950 . The Nevada Supreme Court recognizes that "[u]nlike initial petitions which certainly require a careful review of the record, successive petitions may be dismissed based solely on the face of the petition." Ford v. Warden, 111 Nev . 872, 882, 901 P.2d 123, 129 (1995). In other words, if the claim or allegation was previously available with reasonable diligence, it is an abuse of the writ to wait to assert it in a later petition. McClesky v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 497-498 (1991). Application of NRS 34.810(2) is mandatory. See Riker, 121 Nev. at 231, 112 P.3d at 1074.

Here, Petitioner previously filed a Petition on September 9, 2010. To the extent that any claims raised were raised previously, and denied on the merits, said claims are successive and would be governed by res judicata and/or law of the case. \({ }^{3}\) To the extent that Petitioner is raising new claims, this is an abuse of the Writ as the claims could have been raise in the previous Petition. Therefore, absent a showing of good cause and prejudice, Petitioner's claims are procedurally barred.

\section*{II. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE GOOD CAUSE AND PREJUDICE}

A showing of good cause and prejudice may overcome procedural bars. To show good cause for delay under NRS 34.726(1), a petitioner must demonstrate the following: (1) " \([t]\) hat the delay is not the fault of the petitioner" and (2) that the petitioner will be "unduly prejudice[d]" if the petition is dismissed as untimely. See NRS 34.726(1).
"To establish good cause, appellants must show that an impediment external to the defense prevented their compliance with the applicable procedural rule. A qualifying impediment might be shown where the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available at the time of default." Clem v. State, 119 Nev. 615, 621, 81 P.3d 521, 525 (2003) (emphasis added). Moreover, "appellants cannot attempt to manufacture good cause[.]" Id. at 621, 81 P.3d at 526; see also Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 252-53, 71 P.3d 503, 506-07 (2003) (stating that a claim reasonably available to the petitioner during the statutory time period did not constitute good cause to excuse a delay in filing).

In addition to establish good cause, a petitioner must also show actual prejudice resulting from the errors of which he complains. In other words, in order to establish prejudice, the defendant must show "'not merely that the errors of [the proceedings] created possibility of prejudice, but that they worked to his actual and substantial disadvantage, in affecting the state proceedings with error of constitutional dimensions.'" Hogan v. Warden, 109 Nev. 952, 960, 860 P.2d 710, 716 (1993) (quoting United States v. Frady, 456 U.S. 152, 170, 102 S. Ct. 1584, 1596 (1982)). To find good cause there must be a "substantial reason; one that affords

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) See Exec. Mgmt. v. Ticor Titles Ins. Co., 114 Nev. 823, 834, 963 P.2d 465, 473 (1998); Sealfon v. United States, 332 U.S. 575, 578, 68 S. Ct. 237, 239 (1948); Hall v. State, 91 Nev. 314, 315, 535 P.2d 797, 798 (1975)
}```

