APPENDICES TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1
Criminal Complaint
Second Amended Criminal Complaint1AA000003-7
Third Amended Criminal Complaint1AA000008-12
Fourth Amended Criminal Complaint1AA000013 -18
Minutes 9/23/15 Arraignment
Indictment1AA000020-26
Superseding Indictment1AA000027 -33
Second Superseding Indictment1AA000034 -40
Transcript – Calendar Call1AA000041-1AA000059
Transcript Jury Trial Day (tr. p. 1-143)1AA000060- 1AA000202
Transcript Jury Trial Day (tr. p. 1-48 of 175)1AA000203-250
Volume 2
Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 (tr. cont. p. 49-175) 2AA000251-AA000251-377
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 9/14/16 (tr. p. 1-123)2AA0000378-500
Volume 3
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 (tr. cont. p. 124-228)3AA000501-605
Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 (tr. p. 1-145)3AA000606- 750
Volume 4
Transcript Trial Day 4 (tr. cont. p. 146-197)4AA000751-802
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. p. 1-198)4AA000803 -4AA001000
Volume 5
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. cont. p. 199-215).5AA001001-5AA001017
Transcript Jury Trial Day 6 9/19/16 (tr. p. 1-121)5AA001018-1138
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 9/20/16 (tr. p. 1-112 of 176)5AA001139-1250
Volume 6
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr. cont. p. 113-176)6AA001251-6AA001314
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)6AA001314-1447
Transcript Jury Trial Day 9 9/22/16 (tr. p. 1-53 of 150)6AA001448-1500
Volume 7
Transcript Jury Trial Day 9 (tr. cont. p. 54-150)7AA001448-1597
Transcript Jury Trial Day 10 9/23/16 (tr. p. 1-153 of 251).7AA001598-1750
Volume 8
Transcript Jury Trial Day 10 (tr. cont. p. 154-251)8AA001751-1848
Transcript Jury Trial Day 11 9/27/16 (tr. p. 1-145).8AA001849-8AA001993
Transcript Jury Trial Day 12 (tr. p. 1-7 of 150)8AA001994-8AA002000
Volume 9
Transcript Jury Trial Day 12 (tr. cont. p. 8-150)9AA002001-9AA002143

Transcript Jury Trial Day 13 (tr. p. 1-107 of 165).9-AA002144- 9AA002250
Volume 10
Transcript Jury Trial Day 13 (tr. cont. p. 108-65)10AA002251-10AA002308
Transcript Trial Day 14 (tr. p. 1-192 of 258)10AA002309-10AA002500
Volume 11
Transcript Jury Trial Day 14 (tr. cont. p. 193-258)11AA002501-2566
Transcript Jury Trial Day 15 10/3/16 (tr. p. 1-68)11AA002567-2634
Transcript Jury Trial Day 16 10/4/16 (tr. p. 1-116 of 140)11AA002635-2760
Volume 12
Transcript Jury Trial Day 16 (tr. cont. p. 117-140)12AA002761 -2774
Transcript Jury Trial Day 17 10/5/16 (tr. p. 1-32)12AA002775-3806
Transcript Jury Trial Day 18 10/6/162 (tr. p. 1-127)12AA002809-2933
Transcript Jury Trial Day 19 10/7/16 (p. 1-67 of 79)12AA002934-3000
Volume 13
Transcript Jury Trial Day 19 (tr. cont. p. 68-79)13AA3001-3012
Judgment of Conviction 12/2/16
Notice of Appeal 12/22/16
Appendix Volume 14
Disbarment of Trial Attorney William Wolfbrandt14 AA 3018-3029
Grand Jury Transcript Volume I January 8, 201514 AA 3030-3086
Grand Jury Transcript Volume II January 29, 201514 AA 3087-3226
Defendant Mendoza's Proposed Jury Instructions14 AA 3227-3236
(Not used at Jury Trial)
Appendix Volume 15
Jury Instructions Used and Blank Verdict Forms15 AA 3237-3299
Verdict Form
Appellant's Opening Brief in Prior Appeal 7205615 AA 3309-3341
Respondent's Answering Brief
Court of Appeals Order of Affirmance for Appeal 7205615 AA 3374-3378
Inmate filed Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus 10/18/1915AA 3379-3387
Inmate filed handwritten Request for Hearing on Motion
To Amend and Appoint Counsel 11/14/1915 AA 3388-3395
State's Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas
Corpus (Post-Conviction) Motion for Appointment of
Counsel, Request for Evidentiary Hearing and Motion
To Amend 12/10/19
9/20/20 Supplemental Brief in Support of Postconviction
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
Exhibit 1 Affidavit of Jorge Mendoza15 AA 3454-3457
Appendix Volume 16

State's Response to Petitioner's Supplemental Brief in Support of Petitioner's Postconviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
Petitioner's Reply to State's Response to Petitioner's Postconviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus And Supplement 12/14/2020
1/23/2021 Motion for Leave to Submit Hospital
Records for Consideration
Exhibit 1 Mendoza Medical Records Directly After Being Shot September 21, 201416 AA 3592-3626
Appendix Volume 17
Court Minutes from Evidentiary Hearing on
Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus & Motion for Leave to Add to Record
2/23/2021 Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing re Petition
For Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion for Leave to Add
Hospital Records
3/14/2021 Objection to Proposed Findings of Fact,
Conclusions of Law & Order
4/2/2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law & Order17 AA 3692-3740
4/5/2021 Notice of Appeal
4/8/2021 Minute Order Admitting Hospital Record and
Photos as Hearing Court's Exhibits 1 & 2
ALPHABETICAL ORDER OF APPENDICES 1-17
Affidavit of Jorge Mendoza
Arraignment Minutes 9/23/151AA000019
Criminal Complaint1AA000001-2
Criminal Complaint Second Amended1AA000003-7
Criminal Complaint Third Amended1AA000008-12
Criminal Complaint Fourth Amended1AA000013 -18
Disbarment of Trial Attorney William Wolfbrandt14 AA 3018-3029
Evidentiary Hearing 2/23/21 Transcript17AA 3628-3682
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law & Order Objection
to Proposed 3/14/2021
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law & Order 4/2/202117 AA 3692-3740
Hospital Police Statement of Jorge Mendoza Part 116 AA 3487-3539
Hospital Police Statement of Jorge Mendoza Part 216 AA 3540-3556

Hospital Records of Mendoza 9/21/14
Indictment
Indictment Superseding
Indictment Second Superseding 1AA000034 -40
Inmate filed Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus 10/18/1915AA 3379-3387
Inmate filed Handwritten Request 11/14/1915 AA 3388-3395
Judgment of Conviction 12/2/16
Jury Instructions (Mendoza's Proposed Not Used) 14 AA 3227-3236
Jury Instructions Used and Blank Verdict Forms15 AA 3237-3299
Notice of Appeal (First Notice Direct Appeal) 12/22/16 .13AA003017- 3018
Notice of Appeal (Writ of Habeas Corpus) 4/5/202117 AA 3741-3743
Prior Appeal Appellant's Opening Brief Appeal 7205615 AA 3309-3341
Prior Appeal Respondent's Answering Brief15 AA 3342-3373
Prior Appeal Order of Affirmance
Reply to State's Response on Supplement 12/14/202016AA 3557-3587
State's Initial Response to Petition 12/10/1915 AA 3396-3422
State's Response to Petitioner's Supplemental
Supplement to Petition for Writ 9/20/2020
Transcript Grand Jury Transcript Volume I 1/8/1514 AA 3030-3086
Transcript Grand Jury Transcript Volume II 1/29/1514 AA 3087-3226
Transcript Calendar Call 9/7/171AA000041-1AA000059
Transcript Jury Trial Day 1 (tr. p. 1-143)1AA000060- 1AA000202
Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 (tr. p. 1-48 of 175)1AA000203-250
Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 (tr. cont. p. 49-175)2AA000251-AA00251-377
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 9/14/16 (tr. p. 1-123 of 228)2AA00378-500
Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 (tr. cont. p. 124-228) 3AA000501-605
Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 (tr. p. 1-145 of 197)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 (tr. cont. p. 146-197)4AA000751-802
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. p. 1-198)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 (tr. cont. p. 199-215)5AA001001-1017
Transcript Jury Trial Day 6 9/19/16 (tr. p. 1-121) 5AA001018-1138
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr p. 1-112 of 176)2016 5AA001139-1250
114115011pt 341 y 11141 Day / (4 p. 1 112 01 1/0)2010 51111001159 1250
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr. cont. p. 113-176) 6AA001251-6AA001314
Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 (tr. cont. p. 113-176)6AA001251-6AA001314 Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133) 6AA001314-1447
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133) 6AA001314-1447
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133) 6AA001314-1447 Transcript Jury Trial Day 9 (tr. p. 1-53 of 150)6AA001448-1500
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)
Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 9/21/16 (tr. p. 1-133)

Dated July 25, 2021
BY /s/ DIANE C. LOWE
DIANE C. LOWE, ESQ
Nevada Bar #14573

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

12 6a PH 11 d SEP 26.

Plaintiff.

Defendant.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

JORGE MENDOZA #2586625,

-vs-

14F14997X

DEPT NO:

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.060 - NOC 50426), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or about the 21st day of September, 2014, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm.

COUNT 2 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit murder, that certain residence occupied by MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of, a firearm, a deadly weapon, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure.

///

/// ///

/14F14997X Criminal Complaint

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-COMP-001.DOCX

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury. ·13

14F14997X/jjd LVMPD EV# 1409213020

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-COMP-001,DOCX

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit murder, that certain residence occupied by MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendants did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 9mm rifle and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 3 - HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously forcibly enter an inhabited dwelling, to-wit: 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County Nevada, without permission of the owner, resident, or lawful occupant, to-wit: JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, the said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT

4

5 6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of JOSEPH LARSEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of JOSEPH LARSEN, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA, to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 5 – ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of MONTY GIBSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of MONTY GIBSON, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 6 – MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime, the defendants being responsible under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by having premeditation and deliberation and/or during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or Home Invasion.

COUNT 7 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought

attempt to kill JOSEPH LARSEN, a human being, by shooting at JOSEPH LARSEN, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the defendants acting in concert throughout.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

11/26/2014

14F14997A-B/saj/L-1 LVMPD EV# 1409213020 (TK12)

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 3 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, CASE NO: 14F14997A-C 5 -VS-DEPT NO: 12 6 JORGE MENDOZA, #2586625 THIRD AMENDED 7 ROBERT FIGUEROA. CRIMINAL COMPLAINT 8 SUMMER LARSEN, aka, Summer Rice, 9 Defendants. 10 The Defendant(s) above named having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO 11 COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 199.480, 200.380 - NOC 50147); 12 BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony -13 NRS 205.060 - NOC 50426); HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY 14 WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.067 - NOC 50437); ATTEMPT ROBBERY 15 WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 193.330, 200.380, 16 193.165 - NOC 50145); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A 17 Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and ATTEMPT MURDER WITH 18 USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 19 193.165 - NOC 50031), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendants, on or 20 about the 21st day of September, 2014, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, 21 **COUNT 1** – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY 22 did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other and/or an unknown 23 individual to commit a robbery. 24 25 /// 26 $/\!/\!/$ 27 /// /// 28

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-(MENDOZA_JORGE)-002.DOCX

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit murder, that certain residence occupied by MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendants did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 9mm rifle and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 3 - HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously forcibly enter an inhabited dwelling, to-wit: 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County Nevada, without permission of the owner, resident, or lawful occupant, to-wit: JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, the said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-(MENDOZA__JORGE)-002,DOCX

4

5 6

7 8

9 10.

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of JOSEPH LARSEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of JOSEPH LARSEN, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA, to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 5 – ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of MONTY GIBSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of MONTY GIBSON, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-(MENDOZA_JORGE)-002,DQCX

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 6 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability. to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the defendants acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime, the defendants being responsible under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by having premeditation and deliberation and/or during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or Home Invasion.

COUNT 7 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-(MENDOZA_JORGE)-002.DOCX

attempt to kill JOSEPH LARSEN, a human being, by shooting at JOSEPH LARSEN, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime SUMMER LARSEN meeting with unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the defendants acting in concert throughout.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

11/26/2014

14F14997A-B/saj/L-1 LVMPD EV# 1409213020 (TK12)



JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP filed in open count CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA~ 2 BEC 1 7 2014 DATE: 3 THE STATE OF NEVADA, STEPHANIS-IMPSTRAY CLERK 4 Plaintiff, CASE NO: 14F14997A-D 5 -VS-DEPT NO: 12 6 JORGE MENDOZA, FOURTH AMENDED 7 ROBERT FIGUEROA, CRIMINAL COMPLAINT MMER LARSEN, aka, Summer Rice,

Defendants.

DAVID MÜRPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy #0859628,

The Defendant(s) above named having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 199.480, 200.380 - NOC 50147); BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.060™ NOC 50426); HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.067 - NOC 50437); ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 193.330, 200.380, 193.165 - NOC 50145); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50031), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendants, on or about the 21st day of September, 2014, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other and/or an unknown individual to commit a robbery.

26 | ///

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 | ///

28 ///

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-001.DOCX

LVJC128 AA000013

COUNT 2 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit larceny and/or robbery and/or murder, that certain residence occupied by JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendants did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 9mm rifle and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendant being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified coconspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 3 - HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously forcibly enter an inhabited dwelling, to-wit: 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County Nevada, without permission of the owner, resident, or lawful occupant, to-wit: JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, the said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-001.DOCX

- 1 - the state of a second of the state of the second of

2

3

5

6

12

11

26

27

28

Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of JOSEPH LARSEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of JOSEPH LARSEN, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified coconspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter.

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-001,DOCX

4

5 6

7 8

9

1011

12 13

14

15°

17

18

19

20

21

22₃

24

25

26

27

28

JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 5 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit; lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of MONTY GIBSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of MONTY GIBSON, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified coconspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

///

7 | ///

 $/\!/\!/$

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-001.DOCX

LVJC131 AA000016

27

28

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime; the defendants being responsible under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by having premeditation and deliberation and/or 2) during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or Home Invasion.

COUNT 7 -- ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought attempt to kill JOSEPH LARSEN, a human being, by shooting at JOSEPH LARSEN, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark

W:\2014F\149\97\14F14997-ACOM-001,DOCX

Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

12/17/2014

14F14997A-D/erg/L-1 LVMPD EV# 1409213020 (TK12)

REGISTER OF ACTIONS Case No. C-15-303991-1

State of Nevada vs Jorge Mendoza

๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛

Case Type: Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

Date Filed: 01/30/2015 Location: Department 5

Cross-Reference Case Number: C303991 Defendant's Scope ID #: 2586625 Grand Jury Case Number: 14BGJ019 ITAG Case ID: 1664755

Supreme Court No.: 72056

RELATED CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases

C-15-303991-2 (Multi-Defendant Case)

C-15-303991-3 (Multi-Defendant Case)

C-15-303991-4 (Multi-Defendant Case)

C-15-303991-5 (Multi-Defendant Case)

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant

Mendoza, Jorge

Lead Attorneys

William L. Wolfbrandt, ESQ

Retained

702-388-0545(W)

Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Steven B Wolfson 702-671-2700(W)

Charge Inf	ORMATION		
Charges: Mendoza, Jorge 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY	Statute 200.380	Level Felony	Date 09/21/2014
BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON	205.060.4	Felony	09/21/2014
3. HOME INVASION, WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON	205.067.4	Felony	09/21/2014
4. ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.380	Felony	09/21/2014
5. ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.380	Felony	09/21/2014
6. MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.010	Felony	09/21/2014
7. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.010	Felony	09/21/2014

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

02/23/2015 Arraignment Continued (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Ellsworth, Carolyn)

02/23/2015 9:00 AM

 AS TO DEFT. MENDOZA: DEFT. MENDOZA ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY. Mr. Wolfbrandt stated he was prepared to waive 60 Day Rule and advised he is contemplating filing writ. Court INVOKED 60-DAY RULE and ORDERED, matter SET for trial. AS TO DEFT. LARSEN: DEFT. LARSEN ARRAIGNED, PLEAD NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for trial. Mr. Coyer requested 21 days from the filing of the grand jury transcript to file writ. AS TO DEFT, MURPHY: Per Court Service Officer, Deft. refused to be transported today. Mr. Landis stated he believed Deft, would refuse transport today, ENTERED NOT GUILTY PLEA on Deft's behalf, advised he was intending on invoking today but will be filing writ. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for trial. FURTHER ORDERED, All Defts' have 21 days from the filing of the grand jury transcript to file writ. CUSTODY (ALL) 4/20/15 9:00 AM ČALENDAR CALL (ALL) 4/27/15 1:30 PM JURY TRIAL (ALL)

Parties Present Return to Register of Actions

Electronically Filed 01/30/2015 12:16:28 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

IND STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 MARC DIGIACOMO Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #6955 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500

7

8

9

10

11

12

5

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO: C-15-303991-1

-VS-

Attorney for Plaintiff

DEPT NO:

13

JORGE MENDOZA, #2586625 ROBERT FIGUEROA, #1995563, SUMMER LARSEN, áka,

Summer Rice, #1854665 DAVID MURPHY, aka, 14

David Mark Murphy #0859628,

Defendant(s).

SS.

INDICTMENT

16

17

18

.20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

19

The Defendant(s) above named, JORGE MENDOZA, ROBERT FIGUEROA, SUMMER LARSEN, aka, Summer Rice and DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy, accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 199.480, 200.380 - NOC 50147); BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.060 -NOC 50426); HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.067 - NOC 50437); ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 193.330, 200.380, 193.165 - NOC 50145); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50031), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about the 21st day of September, 2014, as follows:

<u>COUNT 1</u> – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other and/or an unknown individual to commit a robbery.

COUNT 2 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit larceny and/or robbery and/or murder, that certain residence occupied by JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendants did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 9mm rifle and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendant being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 3 - HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously forcibly enter an inhabited dwelling, to-wit: 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County Nevada, without

27

28

permission of the owner, resident, or lawful occupant, to-wit: JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, the said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified coconspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of JOSEPH LARSEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of JOSEPH LARSEN, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene

28

and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

<u>COUNT 5</u> – ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of MONTY GIBSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of MONTY GIBSON, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified coconspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an

1213

14

11

15 16

17

19

18

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 6 – MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime; the defendants being responsible under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by having premeditation and deliberation and/or 2) during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or Home Invasion.

COUNT 7 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought attempt to kill JOSEPH LARSEN, a human being, by shooting at JOSEPH LARSEN, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, the defendants

3

being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or an unidentified co-conspirator to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

DATED this day of January, 2015.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

MARC/DIGIACOMO

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #6955

ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury

28

1	Names of witnesses testifying before the Grand Jury:
2	HALL, ASHLEY, 6401 BAMBOO PL., LVN
3	LARSEN, JOSEPH, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
4	DAY, ROGER, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
5	DÚTRA, DR. TIMOTHY, CCME, 1704 PINTO LN., LVN
6	FIGUEROA, ROBERT, UNKNOWN ADDRESS
7	JENSEN, BARRY, LVMPD P#3662
8	LARSEN, STEVEN, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
9	
10	Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:
11	SALGADO, RENEE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
12	WALKER, GENE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
13	MENDOZA, AMANDA, 1219 WESTLUND DR., LVN
14	ESTAVILLO, MICHELLE, 1219 WESTLUND DR., LVN
15	ROWE, TRACY, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
16	GIBSON, LATONYA, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	14BGJ019ABCD/14F14997ABCD/dd-GJ
27	LVMPD EV# 140921-3020 (TK12)

. ..28

Electronically Filed 02/27/2015 12:06:27 PM

How & Lower IND STEVEN B. WOLFSON **CLERK OF THE COURT** Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 **MARC DIGIACOMO** Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #6955 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C-15-303991-1 DEPT NO: 11 -VS-12 **JORGE MENDOZA**, #2586625 SUMMER LARSEN, aka, Summer Rice, #1854665 13 DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy #0859628 SUPERSEDING 14 JOSEPH LAGUNA, aka, Joey Laguna #1203205, INDICTMENT 15 16 Defendant(s). 17 18 STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK 19 The Defendant(s) above named, JORGE MENDOZA, SUMMER LARSEN, aka, 20 Summer Rice, DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy, JOSEPH LAGUNA, aka, Joey 21 Laguna accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crime(s) of CONSPIRACY TO 22 COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 199.480, 200.380 - NOC 50147); BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony -24 NRS 205.060 - NOC 50426); HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY 25 WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.067 - NOC 50437); ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH 26 USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 193.330, 200.380, 193.165 - NOC 27 50145); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 28

9

10

11121314

161718

15

2021

19

2223

24

2526

27

28

//

200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50031), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about the 21st day of September, 2014, as follows:

COUNT 1 – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA to commit a robbery.

COUNT 2 – BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit larceny and/or robbery and/or murder, that certain residence occupied by JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendants did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 9mm rifle and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendant being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

7

11 12

10

13 14

15

16

17

1819

2021

2324

22

2526

2728

COUNT 3 – HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously forcibly enter an inhabited dwelling, to-wit: 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County Nevada, without permission of the owner, resident, or lawful occupant, to-wit: JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, the said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 4 – ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of JOSEPH LARSEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of JOSEPH LARSEN, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more

constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts

COUNT 5 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of MONTY GIBSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of MONTY GIBSON, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA

going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 6 – MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime; the defendants being responsible under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by having premeditation and deliberation and/or 2) during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or Home Invasion.

27

//

22

23

24

25

26

28 //

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

24

23

25

27

26

28

COUNT 7 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought attempt to kill JOSEPH LARSEN, a human being, by shooting at JOSEPH LARSEN, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or (3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

DATED this 2015.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

Nevada Bar #6955

ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury

1	Names of witnesses testifying before the Grand Jury:		
2	DAY, ROGER, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
3	DUTRA, DR. TIMOTHY, CCME, 1704 PINTO LN., LVN		
4	FIGUEROA, ROBERT, UNKNOWN ADDRESS		
5	HALL, ASHLEY, 6401 BAMBOO PL., LVN		
6	JENSEN, BARRY, LVMPD P#3662		
7	LARSEN, JOSEPH, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
8	LARSEN, STEVEN, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
9	WILLIAMS, TOD, LVMPD		
10			
11	Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:		
12	ESTAVILLO, MICHELLE, 1219 WESTLUND DR., LVN		
13	GIBSON, LATONYA, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
14	MENDOZA, AMANDA, 1219 WESTLUND DR., LVN		
15	ROWE, TRACY, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
16	SALGADO, RENEE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
17	WALKER, GENE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	14BGJ019ACDE/14F14997ACDE/dd-GJ LVMPD EV# 140921-3020		
28	(TK12)		

Electronically Filed 05/29/2015 12:22:03 PM

1	TATA	Alun D. Elmin
	IND STEVEN B. WOLFSON	CLERK OF THE COURT
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	CLERK OF THE COOK!
3	MARC DIGIACOMO Chief Deputy District Attorney	
4	Nevada Bar #6955 200 Lewis Avenue	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff	
7	DISTRIC	CT COURT
8		NTY, NEVADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
.0	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C-15-303991-1
. 1	-VS-	DEPT NO: V
.2	JORGE MENDOZA, #2586625 SUMMER LARSEN, aka,	
.3	Summer Rice, #1854665	
.4	DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy #0859628 JOSEPH LAGUNA, aka,	SECOND SUPERSEDING
.5	JOSEPH LAGUNA, aka, Joey Laguna #1203205,	INDICTMENT
6		
.7	Defendant(s).	
8	STATE OF NEVADA)	
9	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss.	
20	The Defendant(s) above named, JO	RGE MENDOZA, SUMMER LARSEN, aka,
21	Summer Rice, DAVID MURPHY, aka, Davi	d Mark Murphy, JOSEPH LAGUNA, aka, Joey
22	Laguna accused by the Clark County Gran	nd Jury of the crime(s) of CONSPIRACY TO
23	COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felon	y - NRS 199.480, 200.380 - NOC 50147);
24	BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF	A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony -
25	NRS 205.060 - NOC 50426); HOME INVAS	ION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY
26	WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 205.06	7 - NOC 50437); ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH
27	USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B	Felony - NRS 193.330, 200.380, 193.165 - NOC
28	50145); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEA	ADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS
Ì	1	

9

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

//

//

28

200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50031), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about the 21st day of September, 2014, as follows:

<u>COUNT 1</u> – CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with each other and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA to commit a robbery.

COUNT 2 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit larceny and/or robbery and/or murder, that certain residence occupied by JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, located at 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said Defendants did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon, to wit: a 9mm rifle and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendant being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

2

10

11

9

12

13

14

1516

17

1819

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

COUNT 3 - HOME INVASION WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously forcibly enter an inhabited dwelling, to-wit: 1661 Broadmere Street, Las Vegas, Clark County Nevada, without permission of the owner, resident, or lawful occupant, to-wit: JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, the said Defendant did possess and/or gain possession of a deadly weapon consisting of a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, during the commission of the crime and/or before leaving the structure; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 4 – ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of JOSEPH LARSEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of JOSEPH LARSEN, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts

COUNT 5 – ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or marijuana, from the person of MONTY GIBSON, or in his presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of MONTY GIBSON, by entering his home with a weapon to take the property by force, thereafter JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the defendants to prevent the taking of the property, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun; the Defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA

7

6

9

12

11

14

13

16

15

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

//

//

28

going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

COUNT 6 – MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MONTY GIBSON, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MONTY GIBSON, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire, striking and killing MONTY GIBSON, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or 3) a conspiracy to commit this crime; the defendants being responsible under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by having premeditation and deliberation and/or 2) during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or Home Invasion.

5

COUNT 7 – ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought attempt to kill JOSEPH LARSEN, a human being, by shooting at JOSEPH LARSEN, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a 9mm Firearm and/or a hand gun and/or pellet gun, the defendants being responsible under one or more theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) by directly or indirectly committing the acts constituting the offense and/or 2) by aiding and abetting in the commission of the crime by Defendant DAVID MURPHY, aka, David Mark Murphy driving co-conspirators to scene and/or acting as a lookout and/or by acting as the "get away" driver, SUMMER LARSEN identifying JOSEPH LARSEN's home as a target and/or meeting with the co-defendants and/or unidentified co-conspirators to plan the robbery of JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, and JORGE MENDOZA and/or ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA going to the residence with weapons to rob JOSEPH LARSEN and/or MONTY GIBSON, one of the conspirators breaking open the front door to the residence, thereafter, JOSEPH LARSEN shooting at the JORGE MENDOZA and ROBERT FIGUEROA and/or JOSEPH LAGUNA to prevent the taking of the property, JORGE MENDOZA and/or other conspirators returning fire at JOSEPH LARSEN, the co-conspirators acting in concert throughout and/or (3) a conspiracy to commit this crime.

DATED this 38th day of May, 2015.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

MARC DIGIACOMO

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #6955

ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

26

22

23

24

25

27

28

Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury

1	Names of witnesses testifying before the Grand Jury:
2	BRENING, JUSTIN, UNKNOWN ADDRESS
3	DAY, ROGER, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
4	DUTRA, DR. TIMOTHY, CCME, 1704 PINTO LN., LVN
5	FIGUEROA, ROBERT, UNKNOWN ADDRESS
6	HALL, ASHLEY, 6401 BAMBOO PL., LVN
7	JENSEN, BARRY, LVMPD P#3662
8	LARSEN, JOSEPH, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
9	LARSEN, STEVEN, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
10	WILLIAMS, TOD, LVMPD
11	
12	Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:
13	ESTAVILLO, MICHELLE, 1219 WESTLUND DR., LVN
14	GIBSON, LATONYA, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
15	MENDOZA, AMANDA, 1219 WESTLUND DR., LVN
16	ROWE, TRACY, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
17	SALGADO, RENEE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
18	WALKER, GENE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	147 07010 4 077 (4 171 4007 4 077 411 07
27	14BGJ019ACDE/14F14997ACDE/dd-GJ LVMPD EV# 140921-3020
28	(TK12)

1 **RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 CASE NO. C-15-303991-5 Plaintiff, VS. 8 DEPT. V 9 JOSEPH LAGUNA, 10 Defendant. 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 13 WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMER 7, 2016 14 ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: 15 DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO CONCEAL DEFENDANT'S TATTOOS 16 APPEARANCES: 17 18 For the State: MARC DIGIACOMO, ESQ., AGNES M. LEXIS, ESQ., 19 Chief Deputy District Attorneys 20 For the Defendant, Mendoza: WILLIAM L. WOLFBRANDT, ESQ., 21 For the Defendant, Murphy: CASEY A. LANDIS, ESQ., 22 For the Defendant, Laguna: MONIQUE A. McNEILL, ESQ., 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2016

[Proceeding commenced at 9:27 a.m.]

THE COURT: Case number C303991, State of Nevada versus Jorge Mendoza, David Murphy and Joseph Laguna -- Laguna. Just state your appearances for the record.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Marc DiGiacomo and Agnes Lexis for the State.

MR. LANDIS: Casey Landis for Mr. Murphy.

MS. McNEILL: Monique McNeill for Mr. Laguna.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Good morning. Lou Wolfrandt for Mr. Mendoza.

THE COURT: All right. And so this is on two things, calendar call and there's a motion in limine by Mr. Laguna to conceal his tattoos. All right. So shall we take the latter first?

MR. DiGIACOMO: There's two motions for Mr. Laguna that were set by OST.

MS. McNEILL: Just one. Was it the -- the motion in limine is still on for the first day of trial. I didn't move it up 'cause I didn't think it really affected our prep.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. All right.

MS. McNEILL: We can probably --

MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. Well, Ms. McNeill and I worked out the other motion anyways so we can make the representations for the record as to what we're going to do with that.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's do that. So -- oh, what about this one, tattoo motion 'cause I don't have an opposition? I know it was filed on an order

shortening time.

associated with it.

2

MR. DiGIACOMO: It was and as I discussed --

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- with Ms. McNeill, I mean, I don't see this is an

presenting as evidence. And so I don't know, you know, the position that take

I looked at Mr. Laguna today and I don't see anything there that

evidentiary issue as -- as it relates -- and I don't see it as anything that I'm

has nothing to do with the actual case. It's more with the Court controlling

your calendar in a time period it would take and the amount of delay that's

would -- it's not like he had a Swastika on him or a tear drop or something

that's going to cause some sort of issue. But I would note in the one case that

impartial jury that the decision was made by the Court to do that. I'm not sure

THE COURT: I did have my law clerk research the authorities since there

that happened, it was during jury selection when they couldn't get a fair and

really none cited in the motion itself. And there -- all of the law and other

jurisdictions on this basically deals with either situations where identification

potentially is involved or -- but -- but you're right. This is not an evidentiary

we going to have him dye his hair? I mean, he looks what he looks like.

long shirt and tie. I mean, which you're going to put him in any way.

matter. You're not presenting it. It just happen -- happens to be how he looks

so I liken it to well, okay, what if there are people that don't like redheads. Are

And so I don't -- most of his tattoos can be concealed by way of a

3

4

THE COURT: What's the State's position?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor.

what authority there is to require it.

3

THE COURT: So the only thing -- and I can't see his -- what is on his face? Because you didn't describe the tattoos in your motion, so I had no idea.

DEFENDANT, LAGUNA: It's a teardrop and three dots. And on the side is letters.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. McNEILL: And, Your Honor, just for the record, he has a Federal Public Defender and this is something that they do routinely in the Feds and the Prosecutors don't oppose it. It's just a matter -- it's sort of a matter of course because I mean it's different than just somebody not liking a hair color. I think that jurors tend to associate face tattoos with gang membership. And I think that makes it a little more prejudicial especially since it's not an element of the case of whether or not he's in a gang. And I think it just makes the jurors tend to come in with more a prejudice than they would have when he comes into the courtroom.

I don't think it's that lengthy of a process. His facial tattoos are not as [indiscernible] --

THE COURT: How is it you're proposing 'cause you don't say anything about that as to how this will be done? You know, are you going to pay for it?

MS. McNEILL: Well, Your Honor, I talked to -- Mr. Figler had this come up in a case where the -- I think it was in front of Judge Scotti where they granted it and he had a makeup artist who comes in the jail. Apparently prefers that it'd be done here instead of over in the jail because then they have to facilitate getting that person inside the module. There's a makeup artist who comes in and just puts cover up on. I don't think it's -- would be a lengthy procedure. She can come in and do it in the courtroom the morning of -- you

know, every morning she can come in and do that. I don't think -- I mean, it wouldn't take that long to cover it.

THE COURT: Well, I don't -- I mean, I don't see that there's -- if his -- if his tattoo was a Swastika, yeah. I can see that because white supremacist-type things, that's going to be extremely difficult to pick a jury. But I don't think there's a showing. And I think it's a bad precedent frankly to say that we're going to start covering up tattoos every time that we have a criminal trial. And so I mean, I don't see that it's necessary. Most of it -- like I say, most of his tattoos can be covered up with clothing and so I haven't found any case law that says that it's a requirement and there's been no showing that the -- that there's prejudice at this point as far as picking a jury.

If we -- if that becomes an issue, then we'll look at that, but -- and get a -- get a new panel. But I'm not going to do that because I think it's going to delay the trial and I don't really see the point. So that motion's denied.

And what's the other representation about?

MR. DiGIACOMO: The other motion was Mr. Figueroa which is the Codefendant and Mr. Laguna. How they met was in custody --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DiGIACOMO: They were cell mates.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DiGIACOMO: I talked to Ms. McNeill about her motion to not mention that fact and my idea was to have Mr. Figueroa indicate I know Mr. Laguna, I've known him for this long and for a period of time I was his roommate. And we'll leave it at that and we won't go anywhere near his custody status unless through cross examination something comes up that

would open the door. But certainly we could address that outside the presence with the Court.

THE COURT: That would have been what I expected you to resolve because obviously it would be prejudicial for him to mention that otherwise.

All right. So -- so may we accelerate that motion --

MS. McNEILL: Yes.

THE COURT: -- today and get --

MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor, please.

THE COURT: -- have it solved? Okay. So go ahead and prepare the order --

MS. McNEILL: I will.

THE COURT: -- for that that you've agreed to that. And obviously probably before Mr. Figueroa testifies, I'll admonish him outside the presence just to remind him. I know you'll have done that, but I always like to.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes.

DEFENDANT, LAGUNA: Your Honor, what the detective too because he says the same thing in his statement in the grand jury he stated that me and Figueroa were cellies --

MS. McNEILL: And, Your Honor --

DEFENDANT, LAGUNA: -- I'm assuming he's going to say the same thing here.

THE COURT: You have a lawyer for this.

MS. McNEILL: Your Honor, I have every assurance that Mr. DiGiacomo will not elicit that from the detective either.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Right. I think that fact is excluded --

THE COURT: Right. I mean, it's -- MR. DiGIACOMO: -- from evidence.

THE COURT: -- all-encompassing --

MR. DiGIACOMO: No witness is going to testify --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- to it.

THE COURT: All-encompassing motion in limine in that regard, okay. So that's granted.

And calendar call; you ready to go?

MR. DiGIACOMO: We are.

MR. LANDIS: From my --

MS. McNEILL: [indiscernible]

MR. LANDIS: I'm sorry. From my position, we have every intent to be ready. Did the Court preside over the hearing yesterday were summarized after the negotiation to testify?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LANDIS: Based on that and based on the timing of it, I intend to bring forth a motion to exclude her. Obviously, it's not in writing today because I was notified at roughly 4 p.m. yesterday that that went down. Both for investigation reasons, for fairness reasons, for statutory notice reasons, she hasn't been properly noticed and I think exclusion is the proper remedy.

How this Court wants to address that, I'm not sure because as I said, I didn't have time to put it in writing, but I think it is something righteous for this Court to rule on before we start trial.

THE COURT: Right. And what's the State's position? Had she -- she

had not been noticed as a witness before, but she was --

MR. DiGIACOMO: She was a Co-defendant --

THE COURT: -- a Co-defendant.

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- she's a Co-defendant. They were on notice of her. They've been given her discovery and immediately upon exiting this courtroom yesterday they were provided the guilty plea agreement. As the Court is aware what Exhibit number 2 is; that is notice of the intent of the State to call the witness. We couldn't give it before her Fifth Amendment privileges were waived. And so immediately upon waiving her Fifth Amendment privilege, we provided them notice.

THE COURT: So --

MR. LANDIS: I don't --

THE COURT: -- so, the issue, of course, is you're certainly aware that she was part of the case and what the allegations against are because you got the whole discovery and you've been on the case for two years. So how are you prejudiced by --

MR. LANDIS: Knowing she's a Co-defendant certainly different than knowing she is a testifying witness. Even though they did notice it yesterday, that does not comply with the five-day notice requirement of statute. Further, they could have still noticed a year ago if they wanted to even though she had a Fifth Amendment right and that she might not testify. There's no rule that says you can't notify -- notice witnesses who end up not testifying.

How are we prejudiced? In a lot of ways. It's impossible for me to do the investigation necessary between now and trial for her. Step one is phone calls. Jail phone calls especially for a first time offender like her are

going to be riddle with exculpatory evidence. I base that on every single case I've ever had whether there's testifying Co-defendant who's been in jail for that amount of time. I've used telephone calls during cross because they always make statements because they just have too much idle time; that's one.

Number two, her plea isn't anything that our -- my client's charged with. Her plea is to a separate crime, a completely separate crime that's an uncharged bad act as we stand here today. I don't know a lot about it. I don't know if there's police reports about it. Furthermore, they haven't moved to admit this uncharged bad act which I got to presume they intend to have her testify to. And just to make sure it's clear, there's the charged home invasion robbery that turns into a murder that -- that is this case.

Her plea is to conspiring and attempting to rob a completely different house I believe earlier in the day and I'm assuming that 'cause I don't know exactly what she's going to say. Based on that, I don't have any discovery. Furthermore, cellphone data is very important in this case. I now want to look at the cellphone data to see when she's saying this attempt robbery went down and I need to know the details about what she's going to say so I can look at the cellphone data for all of these Defendants and see if they jive with her story. That's impossible to do even today because I don't know any details about her -- her intended story except for what's in the guilty plea which is -- it was an attempt robbery involving all of these Defendants and it occurred to Joseph Larsen's drug dealers house. That's all that's in there.

They haven't provided any statement of any proffer. I have to assume they chose not to record that proffer so we wouldn't know exactly what she's saying. So as of today, I don't know those details which makes it

impossible to do that investigation. And these aren't fishing expeditions.

These aren't thoughts. I mean, these are concrete things that I think is important for us to look into.

THE COURT: All right. So if there is -- is there any discovery that would be in connections?

MR. DiGIACOMO: No.

THE COURT: So there's no --

MR. DiGIACOMO: And so that the record --

THE COURT: -- recorded statements?

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- is absolutely clear, these Defendants were all charged with engaging in a conspiracy to commit robbery on a particular day. During the grand jury testimony there was testimony that the initial house they were going to hit was Joey Larsen's drug supplier when they saw that the drug supplier was not or at least it's in Robert Figueroa's statement when they saw that the drug house that they were going to go to had too many people, they called it off and decided to go back to Joey Larsen's house later that evening.

It's all part --

THE COURT: Right. That's what I remember.

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- and parcel of the conspiracy that's charged in Count 1. She pled to that conspiracy. The factual attempt robbery that she pled to was to Joey Larsen's drug supplier based upon what I believe her liability is and the testimony she's going to give. I did request that a transcript be provided, but other than -- of the plea hearing, but she was only asked about that which is what was contained in the indictment that they now have. And other than that, there is no other discovery to give them.

Moreover, they have the phone records. They could have done any investigation they wanted to do about Joey Larsen's drug supplier's home at some time previous. This is nothing like if I were three days into trial, I could plead any one of the Defendants and put them on the stand. If they're going to adjoin trial, you have to assume that one of the Co-defendants may decide to testify on their own behalf and particularly one who has no criminal history.

Ms. Larsen would likely have testified at trial had she not entered a negotiation. And there wouldn't be any claim that suddenly now I haven't done the investigation I was supposed to do. They don't have any legitimate basis to suggest that one, there's more investigation they can do or two, there's some sort of investigation they should have done before that they didn't do.

And so with that I don't see a basis why it is when it's a Codefendant entering a plea that there should ever be a continuance of the case because they have to assume that person's going to testify in prepping their case already.

MR. LANDIS: So notice requirements don't apply because the defense should have an assumption that people are going to testify. There's no basis for that in any statute or case law that I'm aware of.

And for them to say that Figueroa testified that they were going to rob Joey Larsen's drug house, it's not in his grand jury testimony. It's not in his proffer. They said he -- they were --

THE COURT: Yes, it is. I --

MR. LANDIS: -- they said they were going to --

THE COURT: -- read the transcript.

MR. LANDIS: -- they said they were going to rob a drug house -- a drug

house. Point being, you know what that was before yesterday an uncharged bad act that they weren't going to get into 'cause they haven't filed a motion.

Now, they're going to bootstrap it in and say they can get it in because Summer Larsen pled to it. To say they're charged with committing a conspiracy to commit robbery of a different house than the Larsen house in this information that our clients are charged with that is a first. It's not true. It's not what they're charged with. They're charged with conspiring to rob Joseph Larsen's house. So to say they're already charged with committing a separate robbery, a separate conspiracy, that's insane.

THE COURT: Well okay. My recollection of the grand jury testimony 'cause I did read the transcript was that Figueroa said that yes, they were planning on robbing this other house that they, you know, had this information from Summer Larsen. Well, from David Murphy -- the woman that David Murphy was sleeping with; right? Girlfriend, whatever. And that they went over to the house. They planned this to -- to do this robbery, burglary, what have you, they drove up and there were all these people out front and -- and they called it off and went back and hatched the next plan --

MR. LANDIS: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- which then was partially executed; right? So --

MR. LANDIS: I concur with all of that. Here's the huge difference though; what Figueroa couldn't say was whose house it was or where the house was. I have to assume based on the State's theory, Summer Larsen knows where that house is since she told them to go there according to them and because it was her husband's drug supplier.

That enables me to do location data search with the cellphone.

Something I was unable to do before because Figueroa never said the location or really an accurate time for that matter. But I was with -- but even before we get to that. Understand that wasn't coming in because they didn't file a motion to -- to admit those bad acts. Those are uncharged bad acts. It's a separate robbery. They can say we had a legitimate argument to get it in; that's fine. But as we stand here, they didn't file a motion and that time's passed too.

So to overlook that and say hey, since the Co-defendant who's testifying, we don't have to comply with the bad act statute; that doesn't seem to make any sense whatsoever. In effect, they're getting a benefit for being late and the defense is getting penalized. And I don't how that is a proper fair remedy for this Court to impose.

MR. DiGIACOMO: All due respect to Mr. Landis, this isn't a bad act. I'm not trying to establish that underlying crime as a separate crime to establish one of the 48.045 Subsection 2. This is the conspiracy. It began that morning and continued through that evening. He's arguing like it's two separate conspiracies. It is one conspiracy and thus, you know, I even want to say it's res gestae 'cause it's not res gestae. That would be a reason to admit another act. This isn't another act. This is the crime that they're charged with; that's it. It's just evidence of the crime.

THE COURT: I'm looking at the amended indictment. Is that the last?

MR. LANDIS: There's a second superseding.

MR. DiGIACOMO: There's a second superseding.

THE COURT: The conspiracy charge just says that did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously conspire with each other and/or Robert Figueroa to commit a robbery. It doesn't say as set forth in Count whatever below.

MR. LANDIS: But it does say a robbery, not --

THE COURT: A robbery; that's right. It does. And I have not ever seen any motion to give adequate notice.

MR. LANDIS: It does give adequate notice that they're charged with committing a robbery of Joseph Larsen's house.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't specify that robbery -- I'm sorry. I'm not speaking to the microphone. I'm being chastised.

MR. LANDIS: I would argue -- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. So it sounds like you need to file a motion and so let's get that on file order shortening time. I'll give you permission to file that without assigned order. So you need to get that filed and we'll set it for hearing on trial. I can keep this trial here. We'll set it for day one. And you need to have it filed. Today's Wednesday already. You need to have it filed by tomorrow.

MR. LANDIS: I can expedite things to try to alleviate everybody's weekend. If there's a way we can here it Friday. I'll get it filed by 8 a.m. tomorrow.

THE COURT: We gave our courtroom to a short trial 'cause we didn't think we had anything on Friday. But we -- if we can do that, that'd be fine. We could start -- if we can -- Judge -- use Judge Herndon's courtroom. Or we'll find a courtroom. There's lots of courtrooms usually available on Friday.

MS. McNEILL: And, Your Honor, can I be heard on behalf of Mr. Laguna? THE COURT: Of course.

MS. McNEILL: Thank you. I would concur with Mr. Landis, but one of my issues with Ms. Larsen's testimony and I guess it kind of brings a procedural

issue with the trial is, I filed a writ. I don't believe that they can connect my client to the crime independent of Mr. Figueroa. To get to Mr. Figueroa's testimony, the Court disagreed with me, but I think they still have to cross that barrier if front of the jury.

I would think that -- and that raises an issue with Ms. Rice's testimony as well. Can they independently connect my client to the crime outside of Ms. Rice's testimony? I would have filed a motion to strike her testimony had I been, you know, known before yesterday that she was absolutely testifying. I don't believe based on my conversations with Mr. DiGiacomo she necessarily has much to say about my client specifically. But again, until she gets on the stand I don't -- I don't know what's going to come out of her mouth.

Again, I think procedurally I would ask that they have to present evidence to connect my client to the crime before they even put Mr. Figueroa or Ms. Larsen on the stand. I think that's what the Supreme Court requires. My contention has always been the phone records aren't going to show what they have said that they were going to show. And until that is done, we can't even get to their testimony because they're accomplices.

THE COURT: Well, we already --

MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't know what's an order of witness rule as it relates to the accomplice testimony. The end of the day if the jury does not believe that the accomplice was corroborated, but I don't have to present the corroborating evidence first. There's no rule of evidence that says that. I think that the witness is on in the order I choose.

THE COURT: We -- yeah. It's just got to be there and then the jury, you

know, gets instructed about corroboration as well. But we -- you know, we already discussed this in your writ and because you didn't -- the motion was that sufficient evidence wasn't submitted that purpose to -- the purpose of the probable cause finding by the grand jury in the true bill and I disagreed with you as you remember.

So, I think since we've gotten passed that that doesn't change the fact that they got to actually put the evidence on and the jury will be instructed and then, of course, if truly there was insufficient evidence to prove that there was no evidence to prove the charge against your client, you file a motion after trial within seven days of the verdict if he was found guilty. You know, that's assuming that the jury found him guilty.

So, I think, you know, you can file any motion you'd like. Join in Mr. Landis' motion, but I don't have any real motion in front of me now and I think we've already addressed the issue you're raising previously. And so I don't think we take witnesses out of order all the time, but at the end of the day it's got -- they've got to meet their burden and they've got to comply with the accomplice testimony.

MS. McNEILL: And I guess my record is just that I don't think Mr. Figueroa potentially Ms. Larsen's testimony is even admissible until they cross they cross the barrier or have they corroborated independently of their testimony that my client was connected to the crime. I think it -- it's inadmissible testimony until they do that.

THE COURT: Well that's -- the case law isn't -- that's not the case law.

The case law is you can't -- can't be convicted. It doesn't say it's not admissible. It's not an evidentiary rule. So to the extent this is an oral motion,

it's denied.

[Colloquy between the Court and the Clerk]

MR. DiGIACOMO: I know Judge Herndon doesn't start until 10:30 on Friday 'cause I have a slight of motions in there. Perhaps he would allow you to use his courtroom at 9 a.m.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to go check.

MR. DiGIACOMO: One last issue. On Thursday I think it was, late Thursday, Ms. McNeill filed a notice of alibi on behalf of Mr. Laguna. I drafted a brief motion to strike and then I had a conversation with Ms. McNeill. It's my belief that she's not intending to necessarily call the witness, but for the record I wanted to file my motion to strike in case things change in trial. And if they want to raise this, we haven't gotten the type of notice that's required by the statute. I want to be able to preserve that record to make that argument.

And so if I could, I'd like to file it in open court. I provided a copy to Ms. McNeill this morning.

MS. McNEILL: Your Honor, I did receive that. As Mr. DiGiacomo indicated, I had told him I was withdrawing my notice of alibi witnesses and don't intend to present that witness. I can't foresee a scenario that would -- well, I guess you never know what might happen. I don't want to say that. At this point I can't foresee something happening where I would put that witness on.

I understand these arguments. I provided a specific as I could base on the information I was provided from the witness. I think based on the statute the Court can still allow it. It's a decision Your Honor can make. But at this point I'm withdrawing that notice.

2

THE COURT: All right. If she is on the record withdrawing the notice, then the Court will order that stricken since she's withdrawing it. Do you want it stricken?

MS. McNEILL: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll strike her notice because she's --

MR. DiGIACOMO: Then this is moot.

THE COURT: -- and that makes your opposition moot. Exactly.

Just trying to find a courtroom for you so you know where to go and won't be in search. So we won't hold you up anymore, we'll just email you to let you know where we'll be on Friday.

MS. McNEILL: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Thank you.

MS. McNEILL: Otherwise, we are set to start Monday?

THE COURT: We are. So you have priority and -- 'cause there's only one other case that's older and it's overflow eligible so I was going to keep this one because my understanding is two weeks; do you think that's a good estimate of how long given our half day except on Fridays?

MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Monday we'll be --

MR. DiGIACOMO: So would it be 1:30 on Monday?

THE COURT: Yes because we have calendar on Monday, so 1:30 Monday.

What are you -- are you going to style your motion?

MR. LANDIS: Motion to exclude.

1	THE COURT: Motion to exclude witness.
2	MR. LANDIS: Summer Rice, Summer Larsen aka Rice.
3	THE COURT: So we'll put that on for Friday at 9 and then we'll let you
4	know what courtroom.
5	THE CLERK: Is that L-A-R-S-E-N?
6	MR. LANDIS: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: And that will be Friday, September 9 th at 9 a.m.
8	MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.
9	MS. McNEILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you.
12	[Proceeding concluded at 9:56 a.m.]
13	
14	
15	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
16	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
17	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I
18	acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.
19	
20	Michelle Ransey
21	Michelle Ramsey Transcriber
22	

Alun D. Elmin

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA, . CASE NO. C-15-303991-1

CASE NO. C-15-303991-4

Plaintiff, . CASE NO. C-15-303991-5

vs. DEPT. V

חהנו.

JORGE MENDOZA, . TRANSCRIPT OF DAVID MURPHY, a/k/a . PROCEEDINGS

DAVID MURPHY, a/k/a
DAVID MARK MURPHY,
JOSEPH LAGUNA, a/k/a
.

JOEY LAGUNA,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2016

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: MARC P. DiGIACOMO, ESQ.

AGNES M. LEXIS, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MENDOZA: WILLIAM L. WOLFBRANDT, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MURPHY: CASEY A. LANDIS, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT LAGUNA MONIQUE A. McNEILL, ESQ.

<u>COURT RECORDER:</u> <u>TRANSCRIPTION BY:</u>

LARA CORCORAN VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

District Court Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2016, 1:29 P.M. 1 2 (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors) 3 THE COURT: All right. Case No. C-303991. State of Nevada versus Jorge Mendoza, Joey Laguna and David Murphy. 4 5 And, good afternoon. The record will reflect the presence of all three of the defendants, with their respective counsel. 6 If you'll just state your appearances for the record for the first time. 8 Yes, Your Honor. Monique McNeill on 9 MS. McNEILL: behalf of Mr. Laguna. 10 MR. WOLFBRANDT: And Lou Wolfbrandt for Mr. Mendoza. 11 12 MR. LANDIS: Casey Landis for David Murphy. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: MR. DiGIACOMO: Marc DiGiacomo and Agnes Lexis on 14 15 behalf of the State. I'm going to send the Marshal --16 THE COURT: 17 actually, I already sent him, to go retrieve the jury venire, and we've got 50. Are there any matters outside the presence 18 before we get rolling here? 19 20 MR. LANDIS: Not from me. MS. McNEILL: 22 MR. DiGIACOMO: No. 23 THE COURT: All right. So we'll just be at ease 24 while the Marshal gets the venire. 25 (Off the record at 1:30 p.m. until 1:49 p.m.) Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

(In the presence of the prospective jurors)

THE MARSHAL: All members of the venire are present and accounted for, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And this is Case No. C-303991, State of Nevada versus Jorge Mendoza, David Murphy and Joey Laguna. And the record will reflect the presence of the defendants with their counsel, the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case and all officers of the court. Will counsel so stipulate?

MS. McNEILL: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. LANDIS: Yes.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to Department 5 of the District Court. You've been summonsed here today so you can assist in our choosing a jury panel for this trial. And so, welcome. I know you've been waiting. You got here in the afternoon, I hope, and you haven't been waiting too long. And, of course, Monday it's always better to come in the afternoon than in the morning when all the other jurors are getting here.

So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go through a process that is known as voir dire. And what that means is we ask questions of you all in order to determine whether you are suitable to be serving as fair and impartial jurors in this

That process is done under oath, so I'd ask you all to 1 case. please stand and we'll swear in the jury -- or venire. 3 (THE CLERK SWEARS THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS) Thank you, please be seated. THE CLERK: 4 5 All right. So the first thing I need to THE COURT: find out is if there is anyone who's having difficulty 6 hearing? All right. So we have some headphones. Marshal, did you see who raised their hands? 8 THE MARSHAL: No, ma'am. Yes, sir. 9 Hearing, we've got two. 10 THE COURT: THE MARSHAL: Got two? 11 Okay. 12 All right. Testing, testing. Do I have THE COURT: -- can you hear me loud and clear? No. We've got one. 13 Ma'am, can you hear? No. Okay. Let's try it again. 14 Marshal, can you hear? Are they on? Are they dead? 15 Testing. We just had to turn them up. 16 THE MARSHAL: 17 Testing, one, two, three, four. THE COURT: Okay. 18 UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. They're good. 19 THE MARSHAL: Sir, how are you hearing me now? 20 THE COURT: IVE JUROR NO. 636: Good. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: They're loud. 23 THE COURT: Five by five? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: I'll have to take my 25 hearing aids off.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. So ladies and gentlemen, some years ago, our legislature decided that everybody should serve on juries. Before that time, there were lots of excuses. People could get automatic exemptions from service. So if you were a lawyer, you were a doctor, you were a teacher, and there were things that you just kind of automatically got excused from, and that really wasn't a very fair way of doing things.

And so the legislature got rid of virtually all, and leaving only two exemptions from jury service. But there -- only citizens can serve as jurors. And is there anyone present who is not a citizen of the United States? Please raise your hand. And the record will reflect a negative response.

You'll notice that in the courtroom there are these what you might not recognize as microphones, but they are.

And the way we keep the record here in court is by a recording. There is an audio/visual recording system. And during the trial, the microphones on the witness box activate the camera there and at counsel table, activate the camera there.

The camera never focuses on the jury box so there is a no camera coverage there. And that's intentional. There are a couple of microphones on that front rail there, but that's basically to capture the voices of the attorneys when

they address the jury in closing arguments or opening statements.

And so, we use a handheld microphone if we need to ask you a specific question and hear your answer, and we use a handheld microphone for that purpose, which the Marshal will get ready for your use. If you're called upon to speak into that microphone, I need you to, every time, state your full name and the last three digits of your badge number that's on your badge you've got there. And that's the way we keep the record.

Now, this handheld microphone that you'll see when the Marshal gets it, it's very important that you speak into the microphone. And if you have a tendency to gesture as you speak, that you do that, not with the microphone hand.

Gesture with the other hand. Okay? All right? Because if you're doing this, then we can't get it. All right.

And so is there anyone who is -- has been convicted of a felony, but not had their civil rights restored so that they're not eligible to serve on a jury? And again, the record will reflect a negative response.

So there's those two exemptions I told you about. The first one is this; if you are 70 years of age or older and you do not wish to serve on a jury, you may avail yourself of that exemption. That does not necessarily mean that we don't want you to serve. Of course, if you are qualified to make

use of that exemption, but you want to serve, then you don't have to. If you're availing yourself of that exemption -- calm down. We have anxious people in the back. That I need you to get your ID out because as I peruse the room, we have a very youthful looking jury panel. Okay. Go ahead. We have one person in the back who's now standing. And give him the microphone.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Name is Paul Edwards. Last three are 439.

THE COURT: All right. And you're 70?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Way over 70.

THE COURT: Over? You're over --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Over 70.

THE COURT: Oh, I wouldn't have been --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- able to tell. So now you've outed yourself as being over 70 when you didn't even need to because you look so young.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So you don't want to serve, and now, if you -- you don't necessarily have to do this, but if you don't wish to be called in the future, let the Jury Commissioner know downstairs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Okay.

THE COURT: And you need to check out down there, I

think. But also, just let -- because they'll drop you from 1 2 the rolls, all right? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Okay, Your Honor. Thank 4 you. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You're excused. We have another gentleman standing in the back. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: John Frederick Christensen, 333. 8 Thank you, sir. And you as well are 9 THE COURT: 10 over 70 or --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yes. 12 THE COURT: -- older? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yes, ma'am. 13 And you don't wish to serve? 14 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: I would like to serve, 15 but my hearing's not that great. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. And so if you want to be excused permanently from jury service, you need to let the 18 Jury Commissioner know downstairs. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yes, ma'am. 20 All right. And you'll be excused as well. 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you. Was there anyone 24 25 All right. And the record will reflect a negative Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

response.

All right, so the other exemption is this, if you are 65 years of age or older and you live at least 65 miles one way from the courthouse, then you can avail yourself of that. That means you would need to live in either -- you need to be 65 or older and you need to live in either Mesquite or Laughlin. Is there anyone that meets that criteria that wants to take advantage of that? And the record will reflect a negative response.

All right. The first thing I'm going to go over with you is the trial schedule because this will come into play later, not immediately. But this trial is expected to last three weeks, and the schedule we keep is one that is not quite the normal schedule, and it's because I also have the Drug Court docket. So I'm in court every morning all morning, not only on my criminal calendar, but on my Drug Court docket, and so I'm doing something else in the mornings except Friday mornings. So the schedule is a more leisurely paced than you might otherwise expect.

And that is, we would be starting probably at 1:30 every day except Friday, and on Fridays we'd start at 9:00 or possibly 8:30. And we will go until 5:00 every evening and then recess.

Now, if we're in the middle of a witness and we're almost done or we're close to finishing something, then we may

stay past 5:00. But we stay long past 5:00, because when I finish with the trial, then I have to go back to my office and prepare for several hours for the next morning's calendar. So we can't stay too long. And also staff, you know, is working hard every day and they're with me in the mornings as well, and they need to have their rest.

So for those reasons, we're -- we try to adhere to that schedule as best we can. If we get a little behind, we may be flexible slightly within those time parameters that I've described. So the dates that this covers is September 12th through September 30th. All right. And that's today through the 30th. All right.

So ladies and gentlemen, what I'm going to do is I'm going to have the District Attorney representative who's trying the case, one of them -- I'm not sure who it's going to be. Is it going to be Mr. DiGiacomo who's --

MR. DiGIACOMO: Ms. Lexis.

you a very brief synopsis of the case, basically, what the charges are and she's going to read to you a list of witnesses. I need you to pay close attention to those list of witness names because you're going to be asked if you know any of those. And this is not argument. This is just basically to -- because you're also going to be asked if you know anything about this case before you came to court today. And

so it's important that you have some idea what it is, and that's the purpose of this. Ms. Lexis?

MS. LEXIS: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Agnes Lexis. I'm a Chief Deputy

District Attorney. This is my co-counsel, Marc DiGiacomo, he's also a Chief Deputy District Attorney. We are the prosecutors who have been assigned to this case.

Brief synopsis of the case; on September 21st, 2014, at approximately 8:00 p.m., four men attempted to commit a robbery, burglary, home invasion on a home located at 1661 Broadmere. That's in Pecccole Ranch right off of Charleston and Hualapai. Two people were home at the time, Joey Larsen and an individual by the name of Monty Gibson. A gun fight ensued, and Monty Gibson was killed.

During our case-in-chief, the State intends to call or anticipates calling in one of the following witnesses: Las Vegas Metropolitan police officer D. Abraham, R. Agin, N. Alexander, C. Alfonso, C. Allen, C. Arnold, A. Baca, A. Bauman, S. Beck, K. Bell, T. Bernard, a lay witness by the name of Elizabeth Bird and also Jeffrey Bonne.

A Metro Officer C. Bunn, Metro Officer B. Burns, a crime scene analyst with the last name Charleston -- M. Charlton, a coroner's investigator Aleen Chinn, an officer by the name of B. Choat, D. Chudoba, lay witness Cindy Cruz, several custodians of records from the Clark County Detention

Center, a place called EZ Pawn.

From Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,

police officers by the name of D. Darragh, J. David, Roger Day

-- or excuse me, Roger Day is a lay witness. An Officer

Christopher Donohue, Officer D. Eason, Officer M. Eshe, lay

witness Michelle Estavillo, Officer E. Fields, Robert

Figueroa, Officer D. Fletcher, Officer J. Giannone, a lay

witness by the name of Latonya Gibson, Officer S. Giles, a lay

witness by the name of Ashley Hall, Officer A. Hardman,

Officer F. Harrison, Officer R. Hart, Officer J. Haynes, FBI

special agent S. Hendricks, Officer K. Holloway, a canine

handler at Metro, Officer Horn, who handles a canine by the

name of Paco.

Officer C. Howell, Officer S. Hurley, Officer M

Ibarra, Detective Barry Jensen, Officer B. Jones, Officer A.

Kazee, Officer M. Kennoy, Officer M. Kovacich, Officer J.

Langenhan, Officer M. Lardomita, a witness by the name of Joey

Larsen, Steve Larsen, Summer Larsen, Officer C. Lavole,

Officer B. Lee, Officer E. Lindberg, Officer C. Loucks,

Officer A. Macias, Officer B. Martines, Officer J. McCarthy,

Detective McCarthy, an individual by the name of Dan

Michalski, Officer C. Mikalonis, Officer J. Miller, Officer J.

Milligan, Officer C. Necas, another canine Officer D. Newton,

handler to Wilco.

Officer M. Nitzel, Officer F. Pacchiega, Officer

Page, first initial K. Officer A. Pennucci, Officer R.

Peterson, Officer C. Pittit, Officer M. Pluck, Officer K.

Prior, a witness by the name of Chandelea Pruse, an AMR

employee by the name of N. Reale, Officer C. Reich, Officer H.

Rivers, Officer B. Roberts, Officer K. Romane, a witness by

the name of Tracy Rowe, and also Renee Salgado.

Officer R. Scavone, Officer B. Sette, S-e-t-t-e,
Officer W. Smith an AMR employee by the name of A. Snyder,
another witness by the name of Gabriel Sotelo, Officer R.
Steiber. Actually, Lt. Steiver. Officer R. Theobald, Officer
M. Thiele, Officer Gregory Thielen, Officer C. Travis, Officer
S. Yarphel, an individual by the name of Gene Walker,
Detective Marty Wildemann, Detective Tod Williams, Detective
B. Woolard.

And, we've got a of witnesses. One of our investigators that works with Mr. DiGiacomo and myself either Ed Dougherty or Ron Acuna. And let's see, a detective by the name of Gino Basilotta, Noreen Charlton, who's a crime scene analyst, custodians of records from several phone companies, AT&T, Cricket, Metro PCS, Neustar, T-Mobile, Verizon Wireless.

A medical examiner, Dr. Timothy Dutra, a crime scene analyst by the name of Adam Felabom, another detective Chris Gandy, crime scene analyst Daniel Holstein, firearms examiner James Krylo, firearms examiner Anya Lester, crime scene analyst Kristen Meckler, crime scene analyst Amy Nemcik, crime

scene analyst Shelly Shrum, crime scene analyst Joseph Szukiewicz, DNA forensic analyst Jennifer Thomas. Her last name is now Brown. Crime scene analyst Kristina Thomas. And those are all of our anticipated witnesses.

THE COURT: And what are the charges that are alleged?

MS. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. Court's brief indulgence so I make sure I get this right. Your Honor, the charges, according to the Second Superseding Indictment are conspiracy to commit robbery, burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, home invasion while in possession of a deadly weapon, attempt robbery with use of a deadly weapon and murder with use of a deadly weapon and lastly, attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon.

THE COURT: Thank you. And ladies and gentlemen, there are a lot -- it took her a long time to read all those names. And she reads every possible witness who could testify. That doesn't mean that all of those people are going to testify. If they were, I would have told you that the trial was going to take several months, all right.

So but we need to let you know all the names so that you can let us know whether you know any of those names.

Now the next thing we're going to do is I'm going to have each of the defense lawyers introduce themselves, their clients, and if they have any law partners, to let us know

about that so because you're going to be asked if you know any of these lawyers. Mr. Landis, would you like to begin?

MR. LANDIS: Thank you. Good afternoon, folks. My name is Casey Landis. Usually when you see a group of attorneys sitting at a table, they're on the same team. Not the case in this trial. Each of us has our own client. We're not on the same team. So the person directly behind me is my client, David Murphy.

Beyond those witnesses that the State listed, we intend to call Larry Smith, who's a local forensic expert, and Rick Frankie, who's also an investigator here in town. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Landis. Mr. Wolfbrandt?

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Hi, everyone. Excuse me. My name
is Lou Wolfbrandt. I represent Jorge Mendoza. I've had my
own private practice for about 25 years now. I have no
partners or whatnot, and other than Jorge, I don't anticipate
calling any other witnesses.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. McNeill.

MS. McNEILL: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is Monique McNeill. I represent Mr. Joey Laguna. My potential witnesses are my investigator Craig Retke and Mr. Laguna's wife, Darcy Laguna.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right. And so the first question I'm going to be asking all -- these next

set of questions to everybody, everybody that's already seated in the jury box and then everybody out in the gallery, and what I'm looking for is a show of hands, and I'll follow up with you as necessary, remembering if you are called upon to give a answer, speak into the microphone. First give your full name as well as the last three digits of your badge number.

So first question, are any of you acquainted with any of the defendants whose names were read out to you, so Jorge Mendoza, Joey Laguna or David Murphy? Any of those? And the record will reflect a negative response.

Are there any of you who are acquainted with any of the defense lawyers in the case? And we have one in the back. We have two, actually.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Lou Schneider. My badge number is 416.

THE COURT: And Mr. Schneider, which of the defense attorneys are you acquainted with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: All of them.

THE COURT: All right. And do you think that that will impair your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Someone in the back.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Hello, my name is
 1
    Preston Miklich, 0330. I know Mr. Wolfbrandt's son.
 2
                                                           I went
    to school with him so --
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
 4
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Faith Lutheran.
                          Do you know Mr. Wolfbrandt himself?
 6
              THE COURT:
                                         Not his -- I wasn't
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330:
          I just wanted to --
 8
    sure.
              THE COURT:
                         All right.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330:
10
                                          Sorry.
              THE COURT: So do you think that this would impair
11
    your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: I don't think so.
13
                          You'll be able to set aside your
14
              THE COURT:
15
   personal relationship with --
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330:
                                          Yeah.
                          -- his son?
17
              THE COURT:
                                          Yeah.
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330:
              THE COURT:
                          Is that right?
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330:
                                          Yes.
                               What was his name again?
              MR. WOLFBRANDT:
22
                          Thank you. I'm sorry?
              THE COURT:
23
              MR. WOLFBRANDT: What was his name again?
24
              THE COURT: Could you state your name for the
25
    record?
```

1	MR. WOLFBRANDT: Preston Miklich, okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Yeah.
3	MR. WOLFBRANDT: I got it.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Preston Miklich.
5	THE COURT: Mr. English?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Miklich.
7	THE COURT: Miklich. Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: And there was no one else? All right.
12	The record will reflect no further responses. Are there any
13	of you who are acquainted with either of the Deputies District
14	Attorney prosecuting the case, Mr. DiGiacomo or Ms. Lexis?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Again, Your Honor, Louis
16	Schneider, Badge No. 416. I know both of these Chief Deputy
17	District Attorneys. That would not keep me from being fair
18	and impartial.
19	THE COURT: Okay. As for your relationship with all
20	the attorneys, do you have any personal social relationships
21	with any of them?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: No. Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: These are all professional relationships
24	because you're a lawyer?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: That's correct, Your
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Are there any of you who believe you may be acquainted with any of the witnesses?

And I know there were a lot, but as you were hearing them and many of them you only heard the last name, but is there anyone who believes that they may know one of the witnesses? We have --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Again, Your Honor, I know some of the detectives. I know the -- oh, sorry, Louis Schneider, 416. I know some of the detectives. I know some of the -- he know both of the investigators for the District Attorneys Office, and no, it would not keep me from rendering a fair and impartial verdict, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we have a gentleman in the back on this side.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Mark Petrasich, 326. I know Horn, Hart and Pennucci from Metro PD. I also -- well, I served on the 137th MP Unit so I worked with a lot of them hand-in-hand.

THE COURT: All right. Do you think that you could be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: I do. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. So you can set aside the fact that you know them and --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yes, ma'am. 4 -- judge their testimony in the same way 5 THE COURT: you would any other witness --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yes, ma'am. -- if they testify? 8 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yes, ma'am. 9 Thank you. All right. Okay. 10 THE COURT: All right, so the next question I'm going to ask you, I've got a 11 -- a kind of lengthy introduction to this first. And the 12 question -- don't raise your hand yet, but it's going to be 13 whether you have any reason that you can't serve for the time 14 period on the schedule that I've outlined for you, that being 15 September 12th through the 30th on that schedule, which is 16 17 basically afternoons on Monday through Thursday and all day on 18 Fridays. Before you answer that, I know that obviously, 19 that's a long time, that there are sometimes trials that take 20 There are trials that take longer. We have trials less time. 22 that go to trial in this courthouse that take several weeks, you know, more than a couple of months. We've had -- we had 23 one trial that was scheduled to last a year. 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

So the fact that just that you work and that your

25

boss will miss you, that doesn't really cut it. If you have some financial hardship, you're going to need to articulate what that is. There is a lot of employers in Las Vegas do pay their employees for jury service. I'm talking about large employers like the school district, the state, the county, most of the large gaming employers, the phone company, the power company.

So these types of employers pay their -- because they're good corporate citizens, they pay their employees for jury service. So don't -- if you haven't inquired, I mean, you should have inquired of your employer when you got your summons about that issue. So if you haven't, let me know whether you have or haven't, if you're going to make a hardship argument.

So some of the things that I absolutely want to know about is, if you are expected to have a baby in the next three weeks, then I need to know about that. If you are scheduled to have surgery during the next three weeks, then I need to know about that. If you're scheduled to have surgery on the fourth week, but you need to go in and have your work-up to make sure that you can withstand the surgery, you know, the routine cardio, et cetera, for surgery, then, you know, I need to know about that. Or if you have some type of medical appointment with a specialist that you scheduled and you've been trying to get into and have been waiting. I'm not

talking about routine physicals, but you can reschedule those, but those.

The other thing would be if you have a fabulous prepaid vacation that you have tickets for, reservations for. Of course, all of us who will be in court working hard will be jealous, but we understand, and we want to know about that. Those are just some examples of things that, you know, we will consider if you're asking to be excused.

It's not an all-inclusive list because I don't know what your individual circumstances are. I would just say this, it is very important to all of the participants in this trial that we get a fair and impartial jury. Our system of justice could not work without people who are willing to serve as fair and impartial jurors in these types of cases and all of the cases where we need juries in this courthouse.

And so it's one of the ways that you can serve your country short of military service is by serving on a jury, and it's one of the things that people who are citizens look at as a right. A right to be able to serve on a jury. So I would encourage your participation if you can possibly do so.

Now, this is how we approach that. When I ask the question, you'll raise your hand. We'll start with taking the folks in the box starting at the -- we take it in order of how you're seated, and so we go through that way and you'll tell me what your excuse is. I will take notes and then I will go

to the next person until we get to the end.

And then depending on how many people it is, we will probably either take a break or I will confer with counsel at the bench. We will as a group decide who will be excused and who will not, but I don't make the decision at the time. So I have to hear it from everybody first.

All right. So that long introduction being done, now I pose the question, is there anyone who feels that they could not possibly serve for the next three weeks on the schedule that I've outlined? Please raise your hands.

THE MARSHAL: Name and badge number, everybody.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 240: Dallas Duncan, 240. I just recently got employed to a new job, and I live by myself so I pay my rent, and I'm not too sure if the payment will subside my rent. So I have to actually check in with my employers as well.

THE COURT: Who is your employer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 240: Frias Company, the transportation.

THE COURT: And you haven't checked with them? You don't know whether they --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 240: No, I haven't. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're going to need to do that when we go ahead and break. And how long have you been

employed with them? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 240: About a week now. And you live alone, you say? You're THE COURT: 3 your sole support? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 240: Yes. 5 Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 242: David Bishop, 242. I have a medical procedure scheduled. I'm also over 70. Am I 8 clear to just do that? THE COURT: All right. So do you just want to take 10 advantage of the fact that you're over 70 for today? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 242: That might be the 12 easiest way to do it. 13 THE COURT: All right. So you're excused. 14 Although, as I say, you don't look over 70, but I'll take your 15 word for it. 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 242: Do you want to see my license? 18 Well, you're under oath so if we find 19 THE COURT: otherwise, you'll be in big trouble. All right. You're 20 excused. Thank you, sir. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: Gerry Steward, 580 23 My employer will not pay me to be out for three weeks, and I live by myself, and there's no way I'll be able to 24 afford to pay my bills. 25

1	THE COURT: Who's your employer?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: My employer is Motech.
3	THE COURT: What is it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: It's called Motech.
5	THE COURT: Motech? How do you spell that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: M-o-t-e-c-h.
7	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for them?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: I am an auto mechanic.
9	THE COURT: And you've already checked with your
10	employer and they don't you when you're in
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: He will not pay me for
12	days off, no, he will not.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Even when it's jury service?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: Um-h'm.
15	THE COURT: Is that correct?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: That is correct.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. And do you live alone?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 246: Yes, I do.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Next.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Erik Wirtner, Badge 252.
21	I have a business trip planned from the 21st through the 23rd
22	of this month that requires me to leave.
23	THE COURT: Where do you work?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I work here locally.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Where? For who?
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Oh, I work for Chase 1 2 Bank down at Howard Hughes. 3 THE COURT: All right. So you have a business trip, and what do you do for Chase Bank? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I'm a manager. What's the nature of this business trip? THE COURT: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I believe, it's a manager's meeting. They usually give us the agenda when we 8 get there. Meaning, just go over directives and things like I don't have the actual agenda, but --10 Okay. 11 THE COURT: So you --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: People in my similar 12 position from all over the company come in to -- we're going 13 into Phoenix for three days. 14 Okay. So you could be briefed on that? 15 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I could, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: 17 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: If it would please the 18 Court, I could ask for an excusal. 19 Okay. Okay. All right, thank you. 20 THE COURT: IVE JUROR NO. 259: Name is James Pirih, 809 22 (sic), and I can't do it. I have --23 What's your badge number? THE COURT: 24 809. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 259: 25 THE COURT: Nope. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MR. WOLFBRANDT: 259. 1 2 THE COURT: We don't --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 259: Oh, wait a minute. 3 wait, 259. 259. 4 5 259, all right. Thank you. Go ahead. THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 259: I got a passage booked 6 on the Queen Mary next week from London to New York. Is there a new Queen Mary that's 8 THE COURT: sailing? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 259: Queen Mary 2. 10 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 259: Yeah. And it's already 12 been paid and booked and everything's set up. 13 THE COURT: Pleasure trip, I take it? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 259: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. 16 17 THE MARSHAL: Anyone else? Raise your hand, please. Josh Hoeppner, 287. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: THE COURT: All right. Yes, sir? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: I run my own business. 20 I will be -- I have coverage right now, but I have -- well, my 22 other coverage is actually leaving in two weeks for a business trip. And I also have three kids that I take care of. Me and 23 my wife, we -- our schedules we have differently to take care 24 25 of the children. I have a five-year-old, a one-year-old and a Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

four-month-old. 1 Okay. So the business, that's your 2 THE COURT: employment? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Your sole employment is your own 5 business. And what kind of business is it? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: I run a custom shop, wheel and tire shop. 8 Did you say it's a custom tire shop? 9 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Yeah. Like, a custom 10 shop. Wheels and tires and like custom fabrications, stuff 11 12 like that. THE COURT: Oh, do you -- I didn't know that you 13 could get custom tires. Really? I thought it was like tires 14 were manufactured by big manufacturers. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Yeah, I import them. 16 Oh, okay. So you're not manufacturing 17 THE COURT: them? You just order them for special things; is that right? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Yes. THE COURT: Oh, okay. And you don't have employees 20 that can cover for you? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Not for my position, no. 23 THE COURT: What -- and what do you do? Do you 24 run PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: I'm the general manager. 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

I do all the sales, basically. 1 Does your wife work in the business? 2 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: No, she does not. 3 Does she work at all? 4 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Yes. She works full 5 6 time. 7 Okay. Where does she work? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: At a tool store. 8 And could she support your family on 9 THE COURT: just her salary? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 287: Yes. Thank you. And who's next? 12 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Tecleab Toumizghi. 13 My badge number is 302. The main problem is English is like my 14 15 fourth language. I can't catch up what people says. I think I'm not qualified to be a jury. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: I don't understand well 18 English. 19 What is your first language? 20 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Tigragna. 22 THE COURT: Could you spell this for us. The court 23 recorder doesn't -- your language. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: T-i-g-r-a-g-n-a (sic). 25 THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived here in Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1	Las Vegas?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: About five years.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And how about in the country?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Until 23.
5	THE COURT: Twenty-three years?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: And you're a citizen?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Yeah, I'm a citizen.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: I'm driving a taxi.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Who do you drive for?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Frias Company.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was it?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Frias Company.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Yeah, I'm married.
17	THE COURT: How many children what does your wife
18	do for a living?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Well, my wife, she will
20	be come after a week from Africa.
21	THE COURT: She'll become after a week what?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: She's coming after a
23	week.
24	THE COURT: Oh, she's coming, okay. And do you have
25	any children?
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Not yet. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So do you speak with your 3 customers in the cab? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Yes, I do. 5 THE COURT: Have you been having any trouble understanding what's being said up to this point? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: Well, if people are 7 talking fastly, I can't catch up. Of course, I get a little 8 9 trouble. All right. All right, so we'll keep 10 THE COURT: track of this, and so far you seem to be doing pretty well, 11 but we'll take this into account. Did you have any other 12 reason besides your language that you could not attend? 13 No. Just the language 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: and my wife, she will come, too, after a week. That's it. 15 Okay. Where is she coming from? 16 THE COURT: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 302: From Ethiopia. Okay. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: Hi. My name is Kevin 19 Guersey, Badge No. 311. Ma'am, my fiancé is pregnant, and 20 she's due October 1st, and she's already showing signs of 22 giving birth so I'm just asking if it's possible to be 23 excused. THE COURT: All right. So fiancé? 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: Yes, ma'am.

Not wife. THE COURT: 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: Yes, ma'am. Okay. And October 1st, did you say? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: 4 Yes. 5 And you're expected to be there? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: What is that? 6 THE COURT: The delivery, she wants you --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: 8 Yeah. 9 THE COURT: -- to be there? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: She would like me to be there, yeah. And just in case for emergency so I could drive 11 12 her --13 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: -- from home. 14 All right. So you live with her and 15 THE COURT: you're the one that's going to take her to the hospital? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 311: Yes, ma'am. Okay. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: My name is Preston 19 Miklich, 330. I'm a full-time college student and I also just 20 recently was hired at Apple. And the next few weeks was 22 supposed to be my training period. They were supposed to --23 my background check just went through so they were supposed to 24 give me a call or they're going to give me a call within the week so I can't really have my phone off. 25

So full-time student where? THE COURT: 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: CSN. THE COURT: How many units are you carrying? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Fifteen. 4 5 And what days do you have class? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 330: Monday and Wednesday. 6 And then I have two online classes. All right. Who's next? 8 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Justin Volpone, 332. I 9 have a business trip scheduled for this week, actually. I'm 10 scheduled to leave on a plane tonight at 7:00 o'clock and 11 12 return on Thursday evening. Scheduled by my company. Who do you work for? 13 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I work for ADT Security, 14 home alarm company. 15 And where is the business trip to and 16 THE COURT: 17 what's the purpose of the --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: So I'm a sales manager for Nevada, so I have to go and visit my second office in Reno 19 once a month. So I'll be going to Reno. 20 COURT: All right. But you could reschedule 22 that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Well, the flights are unrefundable, and I would have to pay for the flight out of my 24 25 own pocket, and the whole trip's about a thousand dollars.

could reschedule it, yes, but we would be out a thousand 1 I don't want to pay for that myself. dollars. 3 THE COURT: But your employer pays for you to go on this, right? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Correct, but if we cancel something or we lose a receipt or whatever, we are 6 responsible for it ourselves. The company won't pay for it because we made the mistake or whatever the case might have 8 been. Well, but if you were ordered by the 10 THE COURT: Court to be here, that's a little different than you just 11 12 saying --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Yeah. THE COURT: -- oh, I don't want to go. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: 15 True, yeah. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I'm just trying to be cautionary though. I have a thousand dollars to pay for it. 18 Why is it a thousand dollars? 19 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Airfare, hotel and 20 rental car. THE COURT: Are you -- what kind of --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: It's four days. 23 THE COURT: -- plane are you taking? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. \$332,380 just to get to Reno 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

plus the hotel, airfare -- or the airfare and the rental car. 1 When is this trip? 2 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I'm scheduled to leave 3 tonight at 7:10, and then I return Thursday evening at 8:30. 4 5 And when did you schedule this trip? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I believe, it was about 6 two to three weeks ago. THE COURT: And when did you get your Jury Summons? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I believe, it was after 9 that or before -- yeah, before that, sorry. So I had the jury 10 beforehand, yes. 11 So you scheduled a trip --12 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Put the dates together 13 until after it was scheduled. 14 You scheduled a trip when you had jury 15 THE COURT: service? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Forgot about it, yeah. Okay. Who's next? 18 THE COURT: Anyone in the front row? Back here? 19 THE MARSHAL: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Your Honor, I hate to do 20 this but may I -- Lou Schneider, 416. May I approach the bench, please? 22 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Thank you. 25 (Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: Step back. Okay. Who else? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 440: Michael Speer, 440. THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 440: Transportation mostly. 4 5 As of today, I've had somebody take me here and somebody picking me up, afterwards a different person. I'm retired now 6 and I don't have a vehicle. What part of town do you live in? 8 THE COURT: Okay. Southwest. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 440: So how do you get around to do, you 10 THE COURT: know, all the wonderful things we all plan to in retirement? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 440: Well, I live -- I live kind of in an area that has -- has decent stores. Plus, I 13 live in a senior center so they have a lot of things where 14 they take buses and go different places if I have to do that. 15 16 Or I have friends there as far as an if I needed something 17 like for a doctor appointment or something like that. And do you ever take the bus? THE COURT: 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 440: Pardon me? 20 THE COURT: You ever take the bus? 21 IVE JUROR NO. 440: No. Just the buses that 22 are at the senior centers. Okay. So you haven't looked into what 23 THE COURT: 24 taking the bus would entail? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 440: I have not.

THE COURT: All right. Anybody else? All right. So we're going to take a ten-minute recess, and during which we'll discuss who will be excused and who will not.

Now, every time you take a recess, I need to read to you this admonishment. So, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a ten-minute recess. During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report on the trial or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet. And you're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submit today you.

We'll be in recess for ten minutes. We're going by the clock on the wall. So we'll be in recess until 10 minutes to 3:00.

THE MARSHAL: Rise for the venire panel.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 2:40 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the venire has departed the courtroom. Do you want to have this discussion on record or off the record?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Court's pleasure.

MS. McNEILL: Yeah, the Court's pleasure. I don't know that it needs to be on the record.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll go off the record.

(Off the record at 2:41 p.m. until 2:55 p.m.)

(In the presence of the prospective jurors)

THE MARSHAL: All members of the venire panel are

THE MARSHAL: All members of the venire panel are present and accounted for, ma'am.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And the record will reflect that we have been rejoined by the venire panel. The record will also reflect presence of all three defendants, their counsel, the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case, all officers of the court.

And so, ladies and gentlemen, now, this is how we're going to do this. I'm going to read out your badge number and your name, if you've been excused, and if you hear your name, just get up and leave the courtroom. And so that's how it will be. If you don't hear your name, it means you have not been excused. So Badge No. --

(Court/Clerk conferring)

THE COURT: Okay. So Badge No. 240, Dallas Duncan,
Badge No. 246, Gerry Steward, Badge No. 259, James Pirih,
Badge No. 287, Joshua Hoeppner, Badge No. 302, Tecleab
Toumizhi, Badge No. 311, Kevin Guersey, Badge No. 330, Preston
Miklich, Badge No. 332, Justin Volpone, Badge No. 416, Louie
Schneider, and Badge No. 440, Michael Speer. And thank you
very much.

So ladies and gentlemen, I just want to commend the

rest of you because this is a long projected trial and that 1 you haven't tried to get out of jury duty makes -- it warms my heart with the warm cockles of my heart to see that today because I've had trials before where we've had a three-day 4 trial and half the panel wants to get off serving for three days, so thank you very much. 6 And so what we're going to do next is we're going to fill in order that you're seated, we'll call the next to fill 8 the empty seats in the order in the jury box. And so the next 10 will be --Next in line --11 THE CLERK: 12 -- Seat No. 2. THE COURT: -- will be Jasmine White, Badge No. 276. 13 THE CLERK: And that will be in Seat No. 2 up there 14 THE COURT: 15 on the top row. Next will be Theresa Mason, Badge No. 16 THE CLERK: 277. 17 18 THE COURT: That's for the --19 THE CLERK: Next will be Wendy Stitt, Badge No. 282. 20 Next will be Alma Martinez, Badge No. 284. 21 All right. So ladies and gentlemen, the next questions that I'm going to pose again, show of hands, 22 23 but this time instead of the whole gallery and the box, just the box answers these questions. All right. 24 So is there anyone who's seated in the jury box at 25

the present time who has ever served as a juror before? 1 Please raise your hand. We got one. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Theodore Compehos. 3 I'm Badge 018. 4 You've been a juror before? 5 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 6 No. Oh, you misunderstood the question? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. That's why I was 8 double checking. 9 Okay. So no one -- the record will 10 THE COURT: reflect that no one answered affirmatively to the question. 11 12 Next question then, is there anyone who has ever been either currently or in the past in law enforcement? 13 capacity. And again, the record will reflect a negative 14 15 response. Is there anyone seated in the box who's ever been 16 17 the victim of a crime? Okay, so anyone that, like, if you've ever had your car stolen, your wallet picked, your house 18 burglarized, anything like that? Your car burglarized, things 19 stolen out of your car. Okay. And so starting with Seat No. 20 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Theresa Mason. 23 Thank you. THE COURT: 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: 227 (sic). 25 THE COURT: What crimes have you been the victim of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I've had my car 1 burglarized, I've had my home burglarized. 2 Is that it? You sounded like you were 3 THE COURT: going to go on so --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I'm trying to think if 5 there's anything else. Personally, I think that's it for me. 6 7 All right. So -- wait, wait. THE COURT: additional question. So was that here in Clark County? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: 9 No. Where did that occur? 10 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: The car was in Chicago 11 and my home was in Indiana. 12 And how long ago was your car burglary? 13 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: 14 1978. Okay, so quite a long time ago. 15 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: 16 Yes. 17 THE COURT: And what about your home burglary? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I want to say 1998. 18 So did you report those crimes to the 19 THE COURT: police? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: The home burglary, yes 22 THE COURT: You did not --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I think. THE COURT: -- report the car burglary to the 24 25 police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: 1 No. Why did you not do that? 2 THE COURT: 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Because we were on our honeymoon and we just wanted to get the heck out of there. 4 5 Okay. So you were just visiting in THE COURT: Chicago --6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Yes. -- and the car -- was it forcibly broken 8 THE COURT: into or --9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Yes. Okay. All right. And so now, with the 11 THE COURT: 12 home burglary in Indiana, you said you did report that to the Was anyone ever apprehended for that crime? 13 police. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I don't believe so. 14 So you were never --15 THE COURT: 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: No. 17 When you say you don't believe so, were THE COURT: you ever called to testify in any kind of trial? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: No. Were you ever contacted or notified by 20 THE COURT: the police department or the prosecutors that, in fact, 22 someone had been caught? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: No. 24 THE COURT: Did police respond out to your home when 25 you made that report?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I honestly, don't 1 2 remember. 3 THE COURT: It was a while ago. All right. Will you be able to set that aside, that as well as the incident in 4 5 Chicago, and base your decision on what you hear as is the evidence in this case and nothing else? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I don't know. All right. So tell me why you say that. 8 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Because it's upsetting 9 when you have your home and, you know, and your burglar -- I 10 mean, somebody breaks into your home. It's just -- actually, 11 12 I've had it happen twice, now that I think about it. So my 13 home broken into. 14 Both times in Indiana? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: No, one was in Iowa back 15 in the early '80s. 16 17 Okay. So the Midwest is not the panacea THE COURT: that we thought it was. Is that what we're saying? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Not necessarily, no. 19 All right. So but --20 THE COURT: IVE JUROR NO. 277: It can happen anywhere 21 22 THE COURT: -- in each case you understand that 23 those were separate things that occurred and --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Yeah. THE COURT: -- you wouldn't be able to listen to the 25

evidence in this case and make a decision based upon the 1 evidence you hear in this case as opposed to trying to -- you 2 think you would be biased against the defendants in this case because you were the victim of a crime more than --4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Yes, I do. -- 20 years ago. THE COURT: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: Personally, yes, I do. All right. Well, if you can't be fair 8 THE COURT: and impartial, then we can't have you on this jury so you're 9 Thank you. 10 excused. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 277: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Call the next in order. Next will be Jennifer Quiros, Badge No. 13 THE CLERK: 291. 14 All right. Ms. Quiros, hello. 15 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 16 Hi. 17 THE COURT: Welcome. And have you ever been a juror before? 18 I have. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 20 THE COURT: When was that? IVE JUROR NO. 291: At least 15 years 22 Was that here in Clark County? THE COURT: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes, it was. 24 THE COURT: And do you recall whether it was a 25 criminal or a civil case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: It was a criminal. 1 Without telling us what the verdict was, 2 THE COURT: did the jury reach a verdict? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 4 No. 5 THE COURT: And did the jury deliberate? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 6 We did. THE COURT: Okay. But you were unable to reach a verdict? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Right. THE COURT: All right, thank you. And were you the 10 foreperson of that jury? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No, I was -- I was not. 12 THE COURT: All right. Anything about that 13 experience that makes you think you could not be a fair and 14 15 impartial juror in this case? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No. 17 THE COURT: And have you ever been in law enforcement? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I have not. 20 Have you ever been the victim of a THE COURT: crime? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 23 THE COURT: All right. So the next person who 24 raised their hand affirmatively was right next to you. If you 25 could hand the microphone over.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: Okay. My name is Wendy 1 Stitt, and my number is 948. I too was burglarized in 2 Indiana. I didn't know Indiana was a hot bed of 4 THE COURT: 5 crime. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: Hammond, Indiana right 6 outside of Chicago. All right. How long ago was that? THE COURT: 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: It was probably a good 9 45 years ago. 10 Oh, a very long time ago? 11 THE COURT: 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: Yes. THE COURT: All right. And so did you call the 13 police? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: Yes, I did. 15 And did they come to your house? 16 THE COURT: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: Yes. Did you feel that they did an adequate 18 THE COURT: job of doing what they could when they came? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: I would say so. Was the person or persons that committed the burglary ever apprehended? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: No, they were not. 24 THE COURT: And so therefore, you didn't ever testify in a court or anything. And do you think that you 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

could -- is there anything about that burglary that happened 1 45 years ago makes you think that you could not be fair and impartial in this case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: I just felt really 4 5 violated, and I just never really got over it. I got paranoid. 6 Forty-five years later? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: To this day I -- I still 8 lock my doors real careful. 9 THE COURT: All right. Well, that's good. 10 Everybody should do that, but you understand that what 11 12 happened to you 45 years ago could not possibly have been committed by any of the defendants in this case since they're 13 not that old, right? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: No, they couldn't. 15 Okay. So will you be able to listen to 16 THE COURT: 17 the evidence in this case and decide based upon the evidence as you find it in this case? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: I'd still be pretty freaked out. I don't think so. 20

THE COURT: So you're saying that you've already made up your mind? You're not -- you can't be fair and impartial?

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: No, I don't think so because if it's a break-in, it's still a break-in.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just say this, 1 the defendants are presumed to be innocent unless and until 2 the State proves otherwise. And so it's very important for everybody in the courtroom that's a prospective juror to 4 understand that you must and should presume the defendants to be innocent. Until you hear evidence from the State, 6 otherwise, and that you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that they're guilty, then they are innocent. 8 And just because they're sitting here in court as 9 defendants does not mean anything. They're presumed to be 10 innocent. All right. Is there anyone who does not understand 11 12 that? Please raise your hand. All right, the record will reflect a negative response. 13 And so you understand that as well; is that correct, 14 15 ma'am? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: Yes. 17 Okay. But nonetheless, you can't be THE COURT: fair, right? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 282: 19 No, I --All right, you're excused. 20 THE COURT: Call the next in order. THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. That will be Michael 22 23 Goehring, Badge No. 312. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Goehring, how are you? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: The best ever.

Oh, good. Have you ever been a juror THE COURT: 1 before? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes, I have. 3 Was that here in Clark County? THE COURT: 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No, California. How long ago? THE COURT: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Probably in the '90s. THE COURT: And do you recall if that was a civil 8 case or a criminal case? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Civil. THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was, 11 12 did the jury reach a verdict? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes. 14 Were you the foreperson? THE COURT: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No. Okay. Now, you understand that the 16 THE COURT: 17 burden of proof in a civil case is not the same as in a criminal case, so the rules concerning the burden of proof are 18 different? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes. Okay. Also, some of the rules of procedure in evidence in California are different than in 22 23 So I'd ask you to put aside what you might remember Nevada. from that trial that you had there in California. Will you be 24 25 able to do that and just listen to the law as I instruct you

in this case? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes. THE COURT: All right, thank you. Have you ever 3 been in law enforcement? 4 5 No. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Have you ever been the victim of a 6 THE COURT: 7 crime? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No. And, thank you. Who was the next person THE COURT: 9 -- wait, we already passed you. All right. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 018: (Inaudible). 12 THE COURT: So who was the next person who said that they were the victim of a crime? All right, no, we passed you 13 We'll come back to you, perhaps. 14 already. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 018: 15 Okay. All right. Was there anyone in the 16 THE COURT: 17 front row? No, ma'am. 18 THE MARSHAL: THE COURT: All right. So in Seat No. 1, give the 19 microphone back to him. Badge number and name. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 018, Theodore Compenos 22 THE COURT: Mr. Compehos, what is it you're needing 23 to tell me? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Victim of a crime, I 25 guess, you know. I had --

THE COURT: Did you not understand the question 1 2 before? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No, I didn't -- I didn't 4 -- no. 5 THE COURT: All right. What crime are you the victim of? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, I just got some cars stolen in 2006. I live in Greene Valley and I --8 9 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- got my truck stolen, 10 and two of my cars in Hawaii. And I'm in the music business, 11 and places that I played at there were three shootings. I 12 think two of them died. And ever since I got my car stolen 13 and all that, pretty much for a while, I just was, you know, 14 whenever I see somebody and --15 Okay, you're under oath, right? 16 THE COURT: 17 understand that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. So you didn't -- when I asked the 19 question before, you did not answer. You said you had not 20 been the victim of a crime. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No, I thought you said 23 that if I was a juror before. 24 Okay. And then I asked -- after I THE COURT: 25 finished with that question, I asked about law enforcement and

then I asked if you were the victim of a crime. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I already passed the mic 2 3 on. No, you did not raise your hand. Okay. THE COURT: 4 So go ahead and tell me about these car thefts. When did they 5 happen? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I think it's 2006 in Greene Valley. It was reported, a police report and 8 everything. THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: It was recovered. 11 Ιt 12 was -- they burned the -- they burned my whole car. maybe two months old. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Brand new. THE COURT: All right. And that was the only time 16 17 your car was stolen in Greene Valley? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. And then I had 18 couple times up in Hawaii. 19 Okay. How long was that? 20 THE COURT: TIVE JUROR NO. 018: I really don't know. 22 Maybe '96. 23 Okay. And did you report those to the THE COURT: 24 police? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. Report one of --Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

two of them. 1 Okay. Were your cars --2 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Truck and a sports car. 3 Were they recovered? THE COURT: 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. It was totaled. It was -- they stripped everything. It was a sports car. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: The truck they did the 8 same thing. 9 And those were both in Hawaii? 10 THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. 12 All right. Did they ever catch who did THE COURT: 13 it? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. Okay. And do you -- are you suffering 15 THE COURT: trauma as a result of those car thefts? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, sometimes I just get upset and, you know, because pretty much keep to myself. 18 I don't bother anybody. I'm pretty, I quess you call a good 19 guy. And for the things happen to me, sometimes I get upset 20 like why, you know? Sometimes when I -- I used to look at the 22 -- look at some guys and thinking, oh, maybe those guys stole my car or something, you know, for a long time, but I'm over 23 24 that now. 25 THE COURT: All right. So how long ago was it that Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

your car was stolen in Greene Valley? How long --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 2006, I think, yeah. Okay. So ten years ago? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. 4 5 So are you over it? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I got a new car. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 8 Okay. All right. Next question, is there 9 THE COURT: anyone seated in the jury box who -- you know, who has either 10 had anyone in their family or closely associated with them 11 12 that's been the victim of a serious violent type of crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255: How are you doing? My 13 name is Gregory Anderson. My number is 255, and I was going 14 to say this at this first, but I kind of -- I didn't want to 15 say it because it brings back bad memories for me. My son was 16 17 killed in a drug robbery so --THE COURT: I'm very sorry. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255: -- I don't know if I really want to be in here. 20 21 COURT: All right. How long ago was that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255: It's been five years 23 now. THE COURT: All right. So a loss of any child is a 24 25 terrible loss, and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255: Right. 1 2 THE COURT: -- five years is not very long for that kind of loss. And you feel that you would not be as a result of that --4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255: Oh, no. -- to be fair and impartial? THE COURT: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 255: They wouldn't want me up 8 here. THE COURT: Thank you very much. You're excused. 9 Call the next in order. 10 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. It would be Kimberly 11 Key, Badge No. 314. 12 Ms. Key, do you have the microphone? 13 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: Yes, I do. 14 All right. And so have you ever served 15 THE COURT: as a juror before? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: I have not. Have you ever been in law enforcement? 18 THE COURT: 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: I have not. Ever been the victim of a crime? 20 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: No, I have not. 22 THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely 23 associated with you been the victim of a serious crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 314: 24 25 THE COURT: All right. Question to the entire Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

panel. Is there any one of you who has family member or close friend in law enforcement? Okay, one on the top.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Erik Wirtner, 252. My girlfriend is actually a Corrections Officer.

THE COURT: All right. Is that here in Clark County?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Here. Right here.

Okay. And so the question here is THE COURT: whether you can assess the testimony of corrections -- not a Corrections Officer, but any law enforcement officer, in the same manner that you would another witness, which means by observing their demeanor on the stand, listening to what they have to say and making a determination whether it makes sense, whether it appears to be credible testimony in light of other testimony that you've heard and you believe, whether or not that witness said something or wrote something that contradicts their testimony today. You know, if they wrote something or said something prior to their testimony in court that contradicts them. Would you be able to evaluate the testimony of a law enforcement officer in the same -- that same way as you would other witnesses or would you just say well, it's a law enforcement officer so I don't care what they say, I'm going with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I would probably have a tendency to side with the law enforcement officer having known

them, not that particular law enforcement officer. 1 If you knew the law enforcement 2 THE COURT: officer --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: No, no, no. I'm saying 4 I would tend to side more with the law enforcement officer in 5 a situation like that understanding that they are a law 6 enforcement officer. Regardless of what they say? So if they 8 THE COURT: took the stand and said that the sky is purple with yellow 9 polka dots, you'd say oh, yeah, opposed to somebody else that 10 said it was blue they weren't law enforcement? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, that would probably not settle with me, no. I would probably question 13 something of that drastic nature, yes. 14 All right. So that's what I'm talking 15 THE COURT: about. Will be able to evaluate what the witness says and 16 17 take that as a whole? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I'm sorry, I 18 misunderstood the question. I thought you said in weighing it 19 against what somebody else says, meaning --20 THE COURT: No, that --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: -- if -- if another witness is in the same exact situation as a law enforcement 23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

officer, whose -- who would I give credence to. I

24

25

misunderstood you.

THE COURT: No, I'm not asking you to prejudge any witness. I'm just saying would you listen to what a law enforcement says and use your same analytical tools to determine whether you think that person is being truthful or giving you credible testimony by listening to what they have to say? All the examples I gave to you, would you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah, I -- I would listen to them and consider their testimony credible.

THE COURT: All right. But would you analyze it?

In other words, before they take the stand, are you saying you're going to say no matter what they say I'm going -- I'm starting out with what they must say is credible and then work back from that or are you going to wait and listen to what they have to say first and make your decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, I would imagine that I would probably sit and listen to what they had to say first. I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know how else you'd do it, but you're the person answering the question so I don't -- if that's your answer, that's good. I mean, that's fine.

There's no right or wrong answer to these questions. We're just trying to figure out what your thought process is, all right? Thank you, I appreciate that. Okay.

Let's see, and there was no one else that has any close friends or relatives that are in law enforcement? And

the record will so reflect. All right.

Okay. Let's see. All right. Now, is there anyone sitting in the box who believes that they would not be able to follow the law as I instruct you? There are some people who just feel that they cannot -- if they don't agree with the law, then they can't follow it. Here I'm telling you that it is your duty as jurors, if you're on the jury, to follow the law as I instruct you. Is there anyone who feels they couldn't do that? And the record will reflect a negative response.

Okay. Now, I think I've already told you that a person that's accused of a crime is presumed to be innocent unless and until the State proves beyond a reasonable doubt that they are guilty, and that is an important premise in our system of justice. Is there anyone who disagrees with that? Please raise your hand. The record will reflect a negative response.

All right, so everybody usually answers that question in that way, and because intellectually you understand that. You took your Civic lessons and you understand that, but some people still have a feeling that well, okay, I understand that they're presumed to be innocent, but I still feel that they should have to prove that they're innocent and they should offer some evidence or some -- take the stand, something like that.

Is there anyone who feels that way? Even though they understand the legal premise of presumption of innocence, they still feel the need for a defendant to prove their innocence. Is there anyone who feels that way? The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone who does not understand that the defense doesn't have to present any evidence? The State does all the proving. And if they fail to prove the case to you beyond a reasonable doubt, you're the deciders of the facts. If they fail to prove it, then they failed in their burden. Is there anyone who disagrees with that? And the record will reflect a negative response.

All right. Is there anyone who has a personal moral or religious belief that makes it impossible for them to sit and do the job of a juror which is to determine the facts and then to apply the law as I instruct you and come up with a verdict? And the record again will reflect a negative response.

All right. Is there anybody seated in the jury box who has ever been accused of or convicted of a crime? And the record will reflect a negative response. Is there anyone seated in the jury box who has a family member or a close friend, associate, who's been convicted of a crime? And the record again will reflect a negative response.

Is there anyone seated in the box who feels for

whatever reason they could not be a fair and impartial juror in this case? And the record will reflect a negative response.

All right. Now, what we're going to do next is we're going to have -- taking each juror separately starting with Seat No. 1 on the top row, and I'm going to be asking you this question, tell me about yourself. What I want to know when I ask you that question is I want to know how long have you lived in Clark County, what do you do for a living, are you married, single, divorced, significant other, partner, et cetera? If you have such a person in your life, then I want to know what that person does for a living.

Oh, if you are retired or your spouse, anything other, partner, et cetera is retired, I want to know what you or that person did before retirement. I want to know if you have any children, their ages. And if they're adult children, I want to know what they do for a living. And finally, I want to know what your education is. Your background and your education.

So that may sound like a lot, and don't worry about it, I mean, actually, you'll probably -- everybody will fall into knowing how to respond to the question, but worry about it, if you miss something, I'll follow up. After I finish having you answer that question, any follow-up questions I have, then the lawyers will have the opportunity to ask you

questions as well. All right? 1 2 Okay. Have the microphone, sir. All right. Tell me about yourself. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm a musician. 4 Started 5 playing -- I started playing music in the '60s, and I have a son that's 45 and two grandkids. Married, a beautiful lady. 6 She works over at the -- oh, I don't know -- Mandalay, I 8 quess. Okay. What does she do there? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: As a banquet. 10 She works with the banquets. 11 12 How long have you lived in Clark County? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: We moved over in '97. 13 And you say you're a musician. 14 THE COURT: have a regular full-time job or do you --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, I played almost 16 17 every casino and I played in some shows and concerts and stuff as a drummer. But now I'm a solo musician and I go on tour 18 every summer, and I just got back Thursday. 19 What instrument do you play? 20 THE COURT: IVE JUROR NO. 018: Now, I'm guitar and I 22 play percussion and sing at the same time and play my guitar. THE COURT: And your adult son, you said, what does 23 24 he do? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: He owns a coffee

plantation over at the Big Island of Hawaii. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. And -- okay. All right. And is there any reason you feel that you could not be a fair and impartial juror in this case? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. Thank you. Would the State like to THE COURT: 6 inquire further? Yes, Your Honor. Hello, sir. 8 MS. LEXIS: Mr. Compehos, what island are you from in Hawaii? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Oahu, island of Oahu. 10 Okay. But your son owns a coffee 11 MS. LEXIS: plantation --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: On the Big Island. 13 -- on the Big Island? 14 MS. LEXIS: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Pahala. Okay. Okay. (Indiscernible). Where do 16 MS. LEXIS: 17 you play? I know you're a musician. Where do you play in Las Vegas? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, I just got back so 19 I'm not really -- I just got back Thursday from Alaska so I'm 20 not really working right now. 22 MS. LEXIS: Okay. You indicated earlier that --23 sorry. You indicated earlier that there were some shootings 24 at your prior work? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, over in Seattle, I

kind of forgot what year was that, but a guy got shot right on 1 the dance floor. 3 And were you present --MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I was --4 5 -- when that happened? MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- I was the drummer 6 back then and I kind of seen everything. And also in Hawaii, as a drummer I saw one of my -- the manager, he was the nicest guy, I mean, I was talking to him, and ten minutes later, and I actually saw the guy, you know, stick his gun out and I 10 heard the shot and everything, you know. And I was pretty 11 12 upset because he's such a nice guy. And -- and as far as I know, nobody got convicted, you know. And I was kind of, it's 13 like, well --14 Okay. Let's talk about it one at a 15 MS. LEXIS: So the one in Seattle, what year was that? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I think it's in the '80s. 18 In the '80s? Okay. 19 MS. LEXIS: 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. MS. LEXIS: And that happened right in front of you? 21 You were playing and --22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, about --MS. LEXIS: -- the guy -- someone got killed on the 24 25 dance floor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- 40 feet away or so. 1 2 Well, I don't know if he got killed, but he got -- he got shot and I was looking over. I could see all the blood and he have gone coming out, and I think eventually he died. 4 5 Okay. Were you ever called as a MS. LEXIS: witness? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. Did you fill out like a statement or 8 MS. LEXIS: talk to the police? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 10 No. Just had a whole club full of people so --11 12 Okay. Did you follow that case? MS. LEXIS: You indicated you didn't know if someone was convicted, but did 13 you follow that case? 14 I asked somebody 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. down the road if he -- they knew what happened to the guy, and 16 17 I -- I think they said he was in like a vegetable or something for -- I'm not too sure. 18 19 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: But he didn't really die 20 right -- right then. MS. LEXIS: Right then. Okay. And how about the 22 23 time in Hawaii? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: In Hawaii, I'm not too 24 sure what -- I think it was in the '70s. 25

MS. LEXIS: 1 Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: And --You witnessed that as well? 3 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I didn't -- I didn't go 4 5 to court, but -- or anything, but I -- I saw it, but --MS. LEXIS: 6 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- one of the guys in the band, his father was a Captain, Captain Bradbury 8 (phonetic), and he testified, I guess, but I didn't really follow up. 10 Okay. And did you say -- was someone 11 MS. LEXIS: prosecuted for the one in Hawaii? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Far as I know, I don't 13 think so. I think they got away, which --14 15 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- I was kind of upset, 16 like, how can they get away, you know? 17 Okay. Did you talk to police and MS. LEXIS: 18 provide a statement at that time? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. MS. LEXIS: Okay. But you followed it enough to 22 know that, perhaps, someone got away with it? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. 24 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Now, you understand from the limited information that we gave you concerning the case, this 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

case involves a shooting? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. MS. LEXIS: You remember that part? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 4 Yeah. 5 Okay. So does the fact that you MS. LEXIS: personally witnessed or observed two shootings -- I know it 6 was in the '70s and in the '80s -- do you think that would cause you to not be fair or impartial in this case? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm not too sure. Ι 10 guess. Okay. Let me ask you this, are you the 11 MS. LEXIS: 12 type of person who can wait -- I mean, understanding that Mr. DiGiacomo and I have, we have the burden of proof in this 13 case, right? You understand that? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. Okay. Are you the type of person what 16 MS. LEXIS: 17 can wait until we've presented all of the evidence, put witnesses on, showed all of the evidence before you can make 18 up your mind? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I quess so. 20 Yeah. Okay. Are you the type of person who MS. LEXIS: can talk to the other jurors if you're selected openly about 22 the case? 23 24 Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 25 MS. LEXIS: Okay. So you're not going to take your Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

experiences from, you know, witnessing those two other 1 shootings -- that's not going to make you overly sympathetic 2 to, say, the victims in this case, would it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I don't think so. 4 5 Okay, okay. And you're certainly -- you MS. LEXIS: said you were upset that someone may have gotten away with the 6 Hawaii shooting. You're not going to take that out on the defendants who are sitting here because you know they had 8 nothing to do with that? Yeah. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Okay. How long have you been in Las 11 MS. LEXIS: 12 Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 13 **'**97. Okay. And do you live here most of the 14 MS. LEXIS: year except for when you're --15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. 17 MS. LEXIS: -- traveling? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 18 Yeah. Okay. Like, where do you travel? 19 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: To Valdez, Alaska. 20 Okay. Is that the only other state that 22 you --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, that's the only --23 24 MS. LEXIS: -- go to? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- other place I go. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MS. LEXIS: Okay. And you play a lot of different 1 2 instruments, huh? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Try to. Okay. One-man show? Sir, have you ever MS. LEXIS: 4 had any negative or positive contact with law enforcement here in Las Vegas? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No, no, but in Hawaii, 8 yeah. In Hawaii? Okay. How long ago? 9 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I was in my early 20s, 10 and a police officer said I ran the light, a red light, and I 11 -- I was kind of laugh because I said, hey, I saw you, you 12 know. And I said, why should I run the light? And I got --13 you know, they let me -- they let me go. 14 They let you go? 15 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, because I -- you 16 know, I said, you know, I said, I have nothing to hide. 17 MS. LEXIS: 18 Right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I didn't -- I saw you 19 right there, you know. So I was kind of mad at the cops for a 20 21 little bit. MS. LEXIS: You were mad for a little bit? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. 24 MS. LEXIS: Are you the type of person who stays mad 25 kind of for a while before you let something go?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Sometimes. Especially 1 2 when I see stuff's on the Internet now, you know. I see how, you know, people, they don't really -- they get stopped for a traffic violation and they get shot, you know. It's like, I 4 5 get kind of ticked off, you know. MS. LEXIS: 6 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: It's like, wow, you know. 8 So do you watch the news often? 9 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Fox News. 10 Fox news? Okay. And you're aware of, 11 MS. LEXIS: you know, what's going on in our nation? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. Okay. A lot of those officer-involved 14 MS. LEXIS: shootings, you know, racially heated events, you understand 15 that those are not -- that's not happening -- or that's at 16 17 least not the subject at issue here in this particular --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. -- trial? Okay. 19 MS. LEXIS: Yes? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 21 Okay. And you understand that -- would MS. LEXIS: 22 you agree with me that there are in every profession good 23 cops, bad cops, good lawyers, bad lawyers, good musicians, bad 24 musicians? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I just was going to say

that, yeah. 1 2 MS. LEXIS: Yes? Okay. So do you think the negative portrayal of law enforcement on the news that you've been watching, do you think that will shade how you view 4 police officer witnesses in this case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm not too sure. 6 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Because you heard me read off a bunch of officer names, right? 8 Sometimes they cover 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: each other, you know. I don't know. 10 Okay. Okay. Do you see that kind of 11 MS. LEXIS: 12 stuff on Fox News, too? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. What other TV shows do you watch? 14 MS. LEXIS: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: In Alaska, I hardly didn't watch any TV there. 16 17 You hardly watch any TV? MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: But now over here I just 18 kind of like I'm a news freak. 19 20 MS. LEXIS: Okay. IVE JUROR NO. 018: I always watch -- watch 22 the news. 23 MS. LEXIS: Because you're not working right now? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, I -- I play tennis 25 and go fishing on my boat and stuff.

MS. LEXIS: 1 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Then I just work during 2 3 the summer. Okay. Do you watch like the First 48? 4 MS. LEXIS: 5 Other news shows, First 48, Dateline, things -- other shows like that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, sometimes. Yeah. Okay. And what do you think of how 8 MS. LEXIS: police officers are portrayed on those shows? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Right offhand I -- I 10 seen so much I cannot really -- can't really say. 11 12 Okay. What do you mean by you've seen MS. LEXIS: 13 so much? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, you know, I -- I 14 watch a lot of the Dateline stuff and sometimes I get amazed 15 of how they find out how to -- how to get the -- what do you 16 17 How to go about in catching a guy. call? MS. LEXIS: Solving. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, solving the --19 Solving the crime? 20 MS. LEXIS: 21 IVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. 22 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I get amazed sometimes, 24 you know. 25 Okay. And we'll talk about that in a MS. LEXIS: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

minute. But I guess, what I want to know, I mean, just to get to the point is you mentioned seeing some negative stuff about just police officers in general all over the country. I guess, you'd be blind or, you know, deaf not to hear that stuff nowadays.

But do you think -- you said something like, you know, you think police officers cover each other up and things like that. Do you think that negative view of law enforcement would cause you to take the testimony of a police officer to be less credible? Like, are you automatically suspicious of police officers because of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Sometimes I feel like that, you know. I just -- you know, you just being honest, you know.

MS. LEXIS: Yeah. I mean, that's all we're asking, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.

MS. LEXIS: This is -- we're canning for the truth because, you know what, the defendants, the State, we want a fair and impartial jury. We want someone who can decide this based on the facts and evidence, and we understand that people are going to walk in here with different experiences, different biases, different prejudices, but we appreciate you telling the truth so that we can each kind of evaluate --

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. I don't hate

cops, but --1 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- I still behind the cop -- police officers and all that, but --4 5 MS. LEXIS: Right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 6 Yeah. MS. LEXIS: Okay. But do you think that all the negative, you know, publicity, we'll call it, or the negative 8 news reports that you've seen about police officers, do you 9 think that would cause you to view their testimony here in 10 this case as less credible than other witnesses? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I don't know. 13 Okay. Let me ask you this, do you want MS. LEXIS: 14 to be a juror? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Not really. 16 Okay. Why? Besides the fact that you MS. LEXIS: 17 get to spend three weeks in court. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Sometimes I -- like, I'm 18 kind of quick sometimes judging people sometimes, you know, 19 20 because things that happened in the past, you know. MS. LEXIS: Like what sort of things? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I don't know, sometimes I just -- like, okay, this one guy up in Alaska, you know, I 23 said hey, how are you doing, you know? I'm on stage. 24 doesn't smile or anything, and right off I said man, what a 25

boom, boom, you know. And then he turns around and he buys 1 2 one of my CDs, and I said oh, wow, you know? It just threw me off, you know, to kind of judged him because he didn't smile, he didn't answer me and -- and he came up, he gave me a tip plus he bought my CD, you know. I said oh, geez, I didn't expect that, you know. 6 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Are you the type of person who rushes to judgment, kind of like with that experience? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Sometimes. Okay. But in this particular 10 MS. LEXIS: Okay. case, if you were selected as a juror, we would ask you to 11 hold off reserving -- reserve forming an opinion until you've 12 heard all of the evidence and until you've had an opportunity 13 to deliberate with the other jurors. Do you think you could 14 do that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Probably, yeah. 16 17 Okay. Being that you watch the news a MS. LEXIS: lot, have you heard anything about this case previously? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I just heard about, you 19 know, some shootings and some home invasions, but I don't know 20 if this is the one. 22 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm not too sure. Okay. Do you own any guns? 24 MS. LEXIS: 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Just a hunting rifle.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you have any opinions about gun ownership one way or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Oh, no.

MS. LEXIS: No?

the Court, I quess.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. I know Judge Ellsworth asked this, but are you the type of person who can follow the law even if you disagree? And let me give you an example, okay? In Las Vegas, medical marijuana is being passed, right? And so long as you meet certain requirements, you can apply for and receive a medical marijuana card and have a certain amount of marijuana in your possession, okay.

But the law still says absent that kind of exception, it is illegal to possess a certain amount of marijuana. Okay? So say you're a juror in a marijuana case and you're asked to decide the guilt or innocence or the guilt of the defendant who has marijuana in his possession, but you believe marijuana should be legal for everybody. Do you think you could set that opinion aside and decide the case based on the facts and the evidence that was presented in court and then the instructions that the Court would give you, or are you the type of person who would say well, forget that, I think it should be legal so I'm going to go this way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I think I could go with

MS. LEXIS: You could follow the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. You kind of -- you kind of hesitated for a little bit, were you just thinking about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Okay. Court's brief indulgence.

As all of you have heard, when Judge Ellsworth asked me to talk about the charges in this particular case, one of the charges is murder with use of a deadly weapon. And if there is a conviction for first degree murder, there's going to be another phase to this trial. It's called the penalty phase.

So the first part's going to be called the guilt phase. It's where the jurors were selected, decide on the guilty or innocence of the defendants. If there is -- if and only if there is a first degree murder conviction, we're going to go into a second phase, which is called the penalty phase. The penalty phase is when the jurors get to decide the punishment for the defendants that were convicted.

Okay? And the different punishment choices are a definite term of years, 20 to 50 years in the Nevada

Department of Corrections, or life with the possibility of parole after 20 years, and the third is life without the possibility of parole. Those are the different choices, okay?

So Mr. Compehos, I have to ask you, if you are

selected as a juror and if and only if the jury decides you unanimously on a first degree murder conviction, would you be able to consider all types of punishment? All the three different types of punishment during the penalty phase?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.

MS. LEXIS: Court's brief indulgence. I have no more questions for this juror. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Landis.

MR. LANDIS: Good afternoon, sir. I know you've been asked a lot of questions so I'm going to try to be quick. Some of the answers you've been giving are the things lawyers are scared to hear. And what I mean by that is when you're unsure if you can keep an open mind or if you can be fair. Things like that scare lawyers, and it doesn't mean it's a wrong answer. And none of us are trying to trick any of you guys and get you to say things you don't want to say. We're just trying to get you to disclose truly what you believe.

And when I say that, what I mean is, if we should have concerns that as this trial goes on you might not be able to be fair because something that happened in the past, we want to know that because it's a lot easier to deal with it now versus three weeks from now. And that's all it is.

That's all it is.

Our concern when you say things like probably -- and I'm not trying to say your answers are wrong because I want

you to be honest, but we don't want a case where you've heard three officers testify and at that point, you say, you know, I'm not judging these guys fair because of my past experiences with police officers or with things I've seen on TV about police officers. So, that's all we want.

As I sit at my table as a defense attorney and I'm trying to get a fair juror for my client, should I be comfortable with you? Let me just ask you that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Say that again?

MR. LANDIS: Sure. As the defense attorney sitting at that tail doing my job of trying to get fair jurors, should I be comfortable with you? You can use an answer I hate even though -- you can say I don't know. It's okay if that's the truth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I don't know.

MR. LANDIS: When a police officer walks through those doors to testify and you see they're wearing their outfit and they're going to get on that witness stand, you don't know that person, you've never heard them talk. When you see the police outfit, are you going to have a preconceived notion that they're less than honest or more honest than the average Joe?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No, I'm not going to judge them like that.

MR. LANDIS: And as it applies to everybody, every

witness no matter what their jobs are, no matter what they look like?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I hope.

MR. LANDIS: And don't get me wrong, we want you to make decisions based on what you see in that witness box when they're testifying. We just don't want you to draw an things outside of this trial to make those decisions and that's all.

Let me phrase the question this way. Are you at least confident that you can be fair to both the prosecution and to the defense? When we get to the end of this trial and you guys have to make a decision, are you confident you'll be a fair juror? And if you're unsure, please say so, and that's okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Unsure.

MR. LANDIS: And I'll leave it at that. And I appreciate you being honest.

THE COURT: I'm going to follow up with the question of why do you think -- why are you unsure?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Because, you know, like when I said, you know, I mean, I have nothing against cops, but I seen it a lot of times where they cover each other. And even in Hawaii, I knew some cops and they kind of -- they quit the force because they said -- they gave me the reasons why. They were -- they're supposed to give so many tickets or whatever, and they didn't like the idea so they left -- they

left the profession, you know, so -- am I making sense? I 1 don't know. 3 THE COURT: All right. So here's what I need, do you understand the word "unequivocal"? All right. So that 4 means that you can say for certain one way or the other. So I need an answer. Can you be fair in this case or not? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I don't think I would be that fair. 8 Then you're excused. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Call the next in order. 10 Next would be Luis Munoz, Badge No. 319. 11 THE CLERK: 12 THE COURT: All right, so folks, this is not a forced march here so does anybody need a break? Use the 13 restroom or anything? Show of hands. Don't be shy. 14 Ι'm 15 sorry? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 343: I just need to check on 16 17 my kids. THE COURT: All right. 18 She needs to check on her kids. 19 MS. LEXIS: She just wants a break. She's just 20 THE COURT: holding up her hands. 22 MS. McNEILL: She wanted to check on her kids. 23 THE COURT: I know. I don't care why if -- I just want to know if anybody needs a break and that's fine. 24 25 take ten minutes. This will be our final break for the day so

use it wisely, all right?

1

2

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

So ladies and gentlemen, during this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess for ten minutes.

THE MARSHAL: Rise for the venire panel.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 3:53 p.m.)

THE COURT: And the record will reflect the venire has departed the courtroom. Any matters outside the presence?

MR. DiGIACOMO: No, Your Honor.

MS. McNEILL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We're in recess.

(Court recessed at 3:54 p.m. until 4:11 p.m.)

(In the presence of the prospective jurors)

THE MARSHAL: All members of the venire panel are present, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated. And the record will reflect that we're back within the presence of the venire. All three defendants are present

with their respective counsel, the Chief Deputy District 1 Attorneys are present, as are all officers of the court. We have replaced Seat No. 1 with Mr. Munoz; is that 3 4 right? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. THE COURT: And do you have the microphone, 6 Mr. Munoz? No. All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 0319, Luis Munoz. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Munoz, have you ever been a juror 9 before? 10 No. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 12 THE COURT: Have you ever been in law enforcement? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. Do you have anyone in your family or any 14 THE COURT: close friends that are in law enforcement? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. 17 THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a crime? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. Has anyone in your family or closely 20 THE COURT: associated with you ever been the victim of a violent crime? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 23 Have you ever been accused of a crime? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 24 No. 25 Or convicted of a crime? THE COURT: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. Has anyone in your family or someone 2 THE COURT: closely associated with you been convicted of a crime? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. 5 Do you believe that you could be a fair THE COURT: and impartial juror in this case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I never done -- always 7 the first time. 8 THE COURT: So the question still remains, this is 9 the first time? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Will you be able to be a fair and impartial juror in this case? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I believe so. Okay. Do you agree and understand the 15 THE COURT: presumption of innocence? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. THE COURT: Will you be able to follow the law as I 18 have -- as I will instruct you at the end of the case? 19 Will you be able to follow the law? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, ma'am. 22 Now, the prosecutor mentioned, if you THE COURT: 23 were paying attention when she was questioning the last person who sat in that seat that if, and only if the jury reaches a 24 guilty verdict, then the jury would be required to determine 25

the sentence for the murder charge, if the jury found the 1 defendants or any one of them guilty of that crime, right? 2 3 And there are three potential punishments. Do you remember what those were? I'm not going to quiz you? 4 I'm just asking you do you remember? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 6 I do, yes. THE COURT: Okay. Would you be able to consider all three punishments? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Would the State 10 like to inquire further? 11 12 Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon, MS. LEXIS: 13 Mr. Munoz. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Good afternoon. 14 Sir, do you want to be on this jury? 15 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 16 If I have to so --17 Okay. How long have you lived in Las MS. LEXIS: Vegas? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Thirty-one years. 19 Okay. And where did you move from? 20 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: New York. 22 Okay. And never been a juror before? MS. LEXIS: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. 24 Okay. Are you the type of person who MS. LEXIS: can wait before you hear all of the evidence, the testimony 25

that the State presents because we have the burden of proof; 1 you understand that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Right. Okay. Are you the type of person who 4 MS. LEXIS: can wait until all of that evidence and testimony comes in 5 before forming an opinion? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. Okay. Do you own any guns, sir? 8 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, I have. 9 Okay. What type of gun? 10 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 11 .45. 12 MS. LEXIS: Okay. And what do you have the gun for? Not that you have to have a reason. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I own a business. 14 15 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: To defend myself and the 16 17 business, yeah. Okay. Do you have any opinions about 18 MS. LEXIS: gun ownership, gun use? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Because here, myself, you know, like anybody who owns a business they should have a 22 gun to defend themselves. 23 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you think you would be a good 24 juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I never been, but I'll 25

give it a try. 1 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. What kind of qualities do you 3 have that would make you a good juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: That's a good question. 4 5 I'll be judging the person. It's hard, you know, it's the first time so it will be hard for me. 6 Okay. I mean, understanding -- I mean, MS. LEXIS: do you have anything that would prevent you from being able to 8 sit in judgment? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. I understand that it would be 11 MS. LEXIS: Okay. difficult to sit on a jury. Certainly, this is, you know, a 12 very serious trial, but do you think it's a role that you 13 would take seriously? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, I will, if I have 16 to. 17 Okay. Okay. Court's brief indulgence. MS. LEXIS: Sir, how are you employed? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I'm employ myself. 19 Ι 20 own a business. Is that VIP Motors? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. 23 Okay. And you're married? MS. LEXIS: 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. 25 MS. LEXIS: What does your wife do? Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

She run a newspaper, but 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: she's semi-retired. 2 MS. LEXIS: Semi-retired? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 4 Yes. 5 Okay. Which newspaper? MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Nevada Legal News. 6 MS. LEXIS: Okay. And so does she have training or experience in legal aspects? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 9 Yes. Okay. Like what? What's her experience 10 MS. LEXIS: 11 in? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: That's a newspaper. Wе run everything about the law, Nevada Legal News. 13 MS. LEXIS: 14 Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yeah, that's what she's been working for 31 years. 16 Okay. And so do you and your wife talk 17 MS. LEXIS: about her publications or what's published in that news -- or 18 19 in that news? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, we do. Yes. MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you have friends that are 22 defense attorneys? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: What? Do I have what? 24 MS. LEXIS: Friends that are attorneys? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, a lot. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

MS. LEXIS: 1 Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: A lot of attorneys, yes. 3 Okay. Are they most -- are they civil MS. LEXIS: or criminal attorneys? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Both, civil and criminal. 6 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 8 Yes. MS. LEXIS: Are you familiar with prosecutors? 9 Do 10 you know any prosecutors? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. 12 Okay. How about criminal defense MS. LEXIS: 13 attorneys? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. MS. LEXIS: How about civil attorneys? Which ones 15 are you -- do you have a personal relationship with? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No, no personal relationship. Just, you know, because my wife deal with the 18 -- with -- she's the one who deal with the lawyers, you know, 19 but not friends, just in the business, you know. 20 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Part of the business. 23 Okay. What's your opinion about our MS. LEXIS: criminal justice system? 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: My opinion is everything

should be fair. 1 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you think it is fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: It is fair, yes. 3 We try our best, right? Okay. MS. LEXIS: Okay. 4 5 Do you have any children? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. MS. LEXIS: I have no more questions for this potential juror. Thank you, Mr. Munoz. 8 9 THE COURT: Mr. Landis. MR. LANDIS: Good afternoon, sir. Before today, at 10 some point you got that Jury Summons in the mail that said, 11 12 hey, you've been summoned for jury service. You wake up today knowing today's that magical day that had the date. 13 the first thought that crosses your mind? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I'm going to learn 15 something today. 16 17 Awesome. I like that. Based on MR. LANDIS: something that was said earlier, before you were up here, 18 before you were getting asked questions, a lot of things in 19 the news about police lately. Do you have strong views one 20 way or the other about current policing in the United States? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I got to trust. If you 22 don't trust them, so I've been -- you know, we got to trust 23 24 I trust them. them. 25 MR. LANDIS: And I respect that wholly. Let me ask

you this, though -- and I talked about there a moment ago 1 when witnesses come in to testify, the fact that they're a police officer, that won't make you judge them a different way, will it? In other words, you won't say, you know, I 4 wasn't sure, but since he's a police officer I'm going to trust him? Will you say that in this court during this case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Eventually, I trust -you know, I trust the police. That's my way of thinking. 8 MR. LANDIS: And let me rephrase it. Obviously, 9 there's what you do in your daily life on the streets and your 10 interactions with people and the police, then what you're here 11 12 to do in this court of law if you're a juror. My question is simply this, a police officer's going 13 to testify and somebody who's not a police officer is going to 14 testify. Do you view one of them as more believable just 15 because they are or are not a police officer? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Both, they would be 18 trusted for me. Both. Okay. So the fact that he's a police 19 MR. LANDIS: officer getting on that stand doesn't give him the leg up --20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. 22 MR. LANDIS: -- if I can put it that way? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Both should be trusted, 24 both. MR. LANDIS: When you walked into this courtroom 25

today, and eventually you learned it was a criminal trial as 1 opposed to a civil trial, did that make you have any thoughts, 2 make you think anything good or bad? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Just walking in to learn 4 5 and to see what is going on, but, you know, it's --MR. LANDIS: Let me ask you a question I asked 6 before as well. If you were me, would you be comfortable with somebody like you on this jury? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. MR. LANDIS: I have no further questions. 10 Thank you, sir. 11 12 THE COURT: Mr. Wolfbrandt. Thank you. 13 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Mr. Wolfbrandt, (inaudible). 14 THE COURT RECORDER: MR. WOLFBRANDT: I was going to ask you, where do 15 16 you want me? 17 THE COURT RECORDER: (Inaudible). MR. WOLFBRANDT: Is right here fine? 18 19 THE COURT RECORDER: Sure. THE COURT: You can be there. Just -- we just need 20 you close to a microphone. It doesn't do any good to have a 22 microphone there and then have you stand in a dead zone over 23 That's -there.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Right. If it's okay with you, just 1 2 so the microphone's right here, I can ask some questions from right here. THE COURT: Okay. That's perfect. 4 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Is that all right? 5 THE COURT: 6 Yep. Yeah. Well, I'm asking you, is MR. WOLFBRANDT: that okay that I can stand all the way back here? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Sure, no problem. 9 MR. WOLFBRANDT: 10 Okay. Okay, that's perfect. 11 THE COURT: 12 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Is that all right? 13 THE COURT: Yep. Yeah. No, I'm asking you, is that 14 MR. WOLFBRANDT: okay that I could stand all the way back here? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Sure, no problem. 16 17 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. In questioning from the State, you mentioned that you -- do you currently own a .45 18 caliber handgun? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 20 Yes. Okay. Is that the own gun you 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. 23 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. And I believe, you said you have it for -- because of your business. 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, my business.

```
MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. Do you also carry that back
 1
 2
    and forth to home?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. It's in my
 3
   business. It's only in my business.
 4
 5
             MR. WOLFBRANDT: You just leave it there at the
    office?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes.
             MR. WOLFBRANDT: So there's in firearms at home?
 8
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No. Not at all.
             MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. Have you -- do you have a
10
   permit to carry that concealed?
11
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I do have a permit when
12
   I bought it, yes.
13
             MR. WOLFBRANDT: No, I'm talking about a separate
14
   permit to carry it concealed --
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No, I do --
16
17
             MR. WOLFBRANDT: -- where you have to take --
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: -- not have.
              MR. WOLFBRANDT: -- classes and --
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No, no, I do not have
20
21
22
             MR. WOLFBRANDT: And actually get trained in how to
23
   shoot it?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No, I don't. I don't --
25
```

MR. WOLFBRANDT: 1 Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: -- not have that. MR. WOLFBRANDT: All right, thanks. That's all I 3 have. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: No problem. THE COURT: Ms. McNeill. 6 Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Munoz, the MS. McNEILL: District Attorney asked you if you could -- or I think, 8 actually, the judge did. If you could consider all the 9 punishments that the District Attorney indicated were possible 10 on -- if there was a conviction in the murder. Do you have 11 12 any strong feelings about any of those sentences? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: My feelings I'll be 13 14 fair. Okay. But when we're talking about 15 MS. McNEILL: when Ms. Lexis indicated that if there's a verdict of guilt on 16 17 the murder charge, you will be responsible for choosing between a term of years of 20 to 50 years, a sentence of 20 to 18 life or a sentence of life without parole. Do you have strong 19 feelings about any of those sentencing ranges that you would 20 have to choose --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, I will -- I will 23 have a strong feelings because the difference of those years, 24 it will be very meaningful for that person. 25 Okay. And so where would those MS. McNEILL:

feelings come from? Those feelings that you have? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I don't know much -- you know, I'm learning, but I don't know much about the case and what is, you know, it's just a learning just for me today, you 5 know? Okay. So it sounds like you're saying 6 MS. McNEILL: at this point you don't know because you haven't heard anything, right? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Right, yeah. 9 MS. McNEILL: 10 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: 11 Right. 12 MS. McNEILL: But as you sit here when you hear that those are your options, you don't have a strong feeling one 13 way or the other about any of those sentencing ranges? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes, I'll be having strong feelings, and of course, yeah, we all human. 16 17 MS. McNEILL: Okay. So you'll have a strong feeling, but it will come from once you hear the facts? 18 Is that what you're saying? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Yes. MS. McNEILL: Okay. All right. I have nothing 22 further, Your Honor. 23 Thank you. All right. If you'll pass THE COURT: 24 the microphone over to Ms. White, who is seated next to you. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Hello. Good afternoon,

my name is Jasmine White, No. 276. I'm 28 years old of age, 1 born and raised in Las Vegas, Nevada. I'm a small business owner of a nail salon in central Las Vegas. I've been a business owner since April of 2012. I am not married. I'm 4 5 single with no children of my own, but my two dogs, Dolce and 6 Louie. THE COURT: Thank you. And would the State like to inquire further? 8 Good afternoon, ma'am. How are you? 9 MR. DiGIACOMO: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Good afternoon. 10 So let me tell you the good news. MR. DiGIACOMO: 11 12 The good news is that the first juror always takes the longest and then as we move along, everybody hears all the questions 13 already and so we should be able to get through it fairly 14 quickly, okay? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: 16 Okay. 17 MR. DiGIACOMO: Let me ask you just a couple of general questions. What kind of dogs do you have? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I have a shiatsu and an 19 apple head Chihuahua. 20 21 MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. So little dogs? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Um-h'm. 23 MR. DiGIACOMO: And how long have you had dogs? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I've had Dolce since 2012 -- I mean, since 2010, and I just got Louie in May. 25

MR. DiGIACOMO: And you said you've been a salon 1 owner since 2012? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Correct. MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you have employees as well? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I have one commissioned 5 girl. 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: One commission girl? Do you also have independent contractors that use the space or is it just 8 the two of you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I did, but I no longer 10 11 do. 12 MR. DiGIACOMO: You no longer do. Okay. Do you also do work on the nails --13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. MR. DiGIACOMO: -- I quess, manicures, pedicures, 15 16 whatever. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I'm the CEO and the 17 janitor. 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. So basically, you have a lot 19 20 of work --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. 22 MR. DiGIACOMO: -- to go on? Do you own a firearm? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: No, I do not. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: So you don't keep one within the 25 nail shop like the juror before you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: No, I do not. 1 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: Have you ever fired a firearm 3 before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: No, I have not. 4 Let's talk a little bit about the 5 MR. DiGIACOMO: criminal justice system. The judge asked some questions about 6 victim of a crime, accused of a crime. I want to ask just a more broad question. Have you ever had to come to the 8 courthouse for any reason prior to getting your Jury Summons 9 and coming down here for this case? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Traffic tickets. 12 MR. DiGIACOMO: Traffic tickets? Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Um-h'm. MR. DiGIACOMO: Have you ever had any sort of 14 contact with law enforcement you thought was negative or 15 positive since that's sort of been an issue that's discussed 16 17 with prior jurors? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: No, I have not. 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Do you have an opinion about 19 what kind of what the news is talking about these days? I 20 mean, do you think when you see a police officer -- well, let me ask it this -- open ended. When you see a police officer, 22 23 what thought goes through your mind? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: When I see a police officer, basically they're just doing their job, basically. 25

They have to, you know, look at the reason and go about it how they're supposed to with the law.

MR. DiGIACOMO: So ultimately, I mean, you'd agree

MR. DiGIACOMO: So ultimately, I mean, you'd agree with me there's good cops, there's bad cops, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: You're going to judge the cops from the stand the same way you're going to judge the lay witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Correct.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Kind of like what the judge says if, you know, the sky is -- if someone says the sky is purple and that's just ridiculous, it doesn't matter, you know, what his job is, you can decide that person's not a very credible witness, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Correct.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think you're essentially a fair person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes, I am.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think you could wait until you hear all of the evidence to make a decision as to whether or not Ms. Lexis and I carried our burden in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Now, we have to sort of talk about this up-front because we don't get to talk about this again, but assuming Ms. Lexis and I make it there, and one or more of

these defendants are convicted of first degree murder, then in the State of Nevada, you know, there is a second phase. And this is the only time the defense attorneys get to talk to you and we get to talk to you so we got to kind of know now.

There are people in the world that say, hey, look, I have no problem sitting down looking at what the evidence is, applying it to what the judge says the law is and making a decision, did the State prove this case beyond a reasonable doubt or not? That's sort of what we call the first phase of this trial, where that decision will be made. Then there's sort of this second step, which is what should happen to the individuals? And there are people in the world who say, I can do step number one, but I don't feel comfortable sitting in judgment in deciding what the punishment should be for those people.

Are you somebody who's capable of making that type of determination?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And I think Ms. Lexis mentioned that there's three possible forms of punishment. And, you know, the judge will give you much further instructions on what this means, but, you know, there's the term of years, there's life with the possibility or parole and there's life without the possibility of parole. Some people say, look, if I convict somebody of first degree murder, I am only imposing life

without the possibility of parole, I don't care what else I know about it, they should get life.

And there's other people who will say, I just don't believe in, you know, life without parole. There's no hope involved with that type of situation. And so those type of jurors are not jurors that the State of Nevada says should be sitting on a jury. We want somebody who's open-minded. Someone who can consider all three forms of punishment once you hear kind of the other evidence that might be relevant that you wouldn't hear in the first phase of the trial.

Do you think you're a person who's capable of doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes, I am.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think that you're a fair person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes, I am.

MR. DiGIACOMO: If, you know, you were in the court system for any reason and there was a juror that was going to make a decision about, you know, something relevant to you, whether it's somebody who's suing you over the nail salon, something else like that, would you want somebody like yourself, 12 of you, basically, sitting in the box, making a decision as to first, what happened in this case and second, what the proper punishment should be assuming we actually are able to prove the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: 100 percent. 1 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, ma'am. Judge, we'd pass for cause. Thank you. All right. And defense, are 4 THE COURT: 5 we --MR. WOLFBRANDT: I'll go first this time. 6 split it up. THE COURT: 8 Okay. MR. WOLFBRANDT: Good afternoon, Ms. White. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Good afternoon. 10 MR. WOLFBRANDT: So you grew up here in Las Vegas? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes, born and raised. MR. WOLFBRANDT: Right, go ahead. What high school 13 did you graduate from? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Las Vegas, home of the Wildcats. 16 17 MR. WOLFBRANDT: And your salon you said was kind in the central --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yeah, central. 19 Charleston and Decatur. 20 Okay. Let me just follow up on a little bit of the topic about firearms. You said you've never 22 23 fired one, you haven't owned one. I take it you have no 24 desire to ever own one? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I do.

1 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Do you? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I do. 3 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. What would be your reason for having one? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: For protection. Solely because I am a business owner, and Charleston can be a little 6 hectic at times at night because I'm open from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., so I don't have any alarm systems or anything so I 8 would like to eventually own one. But I would like to follow whatever the protocols it is to learn how to use one properly 10 and get the licensings and all that good stuff. 11 12 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. So I take it you're in favor of expanding background checks for gun ownership? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. And how soon are you looking 15 to -- besides just the shop, are you looking to also carry --16 17 or keep it for protection for your home? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. All right, thank you. 19 20 That's all I have. THE Ms. McNeill. 22 Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. DiGiacomo MS. McNEILL: 23 asked you a question about could you wait for the State to prove to you that they met their burden. Do you understand 24 25 what their burden -- what that means when he says it's his

burden? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. Okay. What does that mean to you? 3 MS. McNEILL: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Are you -- are you 4 saying or referencing to like will I wait until everything is 5 said before I make a judgment on the defendants? 6 MS. McNEILL: Well, let me ask you this, do you understand that the State has the burden of proof here? I 8 mean, that's kind of lawyer term meaning they have to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt. I don't have to prove 10 Just like these gentlemen don't have to prove 11 anything. 12 anything. Do you understand that? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. Okay. And how do you feel about that? 14 MS. McNEILL: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I'm open to it. I'm 16 fair. Okay. When you say you're open to it, 17 MS. McNEILL: do you feel like it should be different? That we, the defense 18 should have to prove something? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: No. You don't? Okay. So you would wait MS. McNEILL: 22 for them to prove the charges to you? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Correct. 24 Okay. And would you hold it against MS. McNEILL: 25 any of these gentlemen if we didn't put on any witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: 1 No. 2 MS. McNEILL: Okay. You indicated that at your nail 3 salon you have just one other employee? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. 5 Okay. Do you ever have to handle MS. McNEILL: disputes maybe between your employee and customers? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. How do you handle those? 8 MS. McNEILL: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Well, just depending on 9 what happens. Let's say, for instance, a lady comes in and my 10 commissioned girl does her nail, she doesn't like her nails, 11 12 normally what happens is they don't want to go back to that person so I will have to -- either have to offer them a 13 service of maybe a discount, or I can take care of their nails 14 free of charge because I want to keep their business. 15 16 them to still come so --17 Okay. So you'll try to just smooth MS. McNEILL: the situation over? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Correct. 19 Okay. Do you kind of consider 20 MS. McNEILL: yourself good at handling confrontation or disputes? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. 23 MS. McNEILL: Have you had any training in that or 24 it's just something you've picked up? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: I'm a very easy going 25

person. I've never been in a physical altercation. 1 never been really into arguments. I always like to view that person what they have to say and they listen to me, and I listen to them, and we can work something out. 4 Okay. So it sounds like you're fairly 5 MS. McNEILL: open-minded when people are talking to you in different 6 situations? Yes. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: MS. McNEILL: Is that fair? 9 Yes. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Okay. Do you think you're a good 11 MS. McNEILL: 12 judge of character? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. And how do you -- what sort of tools 14 MS. McNEILL: do you use to judge someone's character when you are talking 15 to them? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Eye contact, body 17 language. The choice of words that they use. 18 19 Okay. All right. So you factor all MS. McNEILL: those things in to what someone's telling you and not just 20 taking, maybe, what they're saying at face value? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 276: Yes. Okay. All right. I have nothing 23 MS. McNEILL: 24 further, Your Honor. 25 And Ms. White, nothing personal, but MR. LANDIS: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

we'd pass for cause, no questions. 1 Thank you, Mr. Landis. And pass the 2 THE COURT: microphone over. We have Jennifer Quiros. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes. 4 Tell us about yourself. 5 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Hi. My name's Jennifer 6 I've lived in Clark County for about 30 years. Ouiros. Not married, no kids. And I take care of my mom, who's retired. 8 And I have one sister, who works for Family Court. 10 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: And on my spare time I 11 12 like to drink and gamble. Okay. 13 THE COURT: 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: That's true. All right. Well, I guess, you're in the 15 THE COURT: city for that. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I work in retail, been 17 if retail for 22 years for Smith's. 18 19 Okay. And thank you. I was going to THE COURT: ask you that. So you haven't always taken care of your 20 mother? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 23 THE COURT: You say you're her caretaker. Does she 24 require --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No, no.

1 THE COURT: -- care? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: She just -- my dad 2 passed away so I just -- she just retired from the airport --THE COURT: Okay. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: -- a couple years ago, 6 but --7 THE COURT: All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: -- I help her pay the 8 bills and the house. 9 Okay. So are you working? 10 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes, I work for 11 12 Smith's --13 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: -- Grocery Store. 14 All right. And what do you do for them? 15 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I'm a -- just a health 16 17 and beauty manager. THE COURT: Okay. And so do you take care of the 18 ordering stock for that department or --19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Well, I'm basically, the assistant. My manager does. 22 THE COURT: Oh. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yeah. THE COURT: And what's your education background? 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I did not graduate. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

```
THE COURT: You didn't graduate from high school
 1
 2
    or --
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: From high school, yes.
              THE COURT:
                          Oh, okay. And have you taken any
 4
 5
    classes or had any on-the-job training?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291:
                                           No.
              THE COURT:
                          Would the State like to inquire further?
                          Yes, Your Honor.
 8
              MS. LEXIS:
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Okay. Not yet.
 9
                          Hello, Ms. Quiros.
              MS. LEXIS:
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291:
                                          Hello. How are you?
11
                          Good afternoon. I'm fine.
12
              MS. LEXIS:
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Good afternoon.
13
                          Thank you. Ms. Quiros, you were a prior
14
              MS. LEXIS:
    juror?
15
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291:
                                           Yes.
17
              MS. LEXIS:
                          For a civil case?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I believe it was civil,
18
    yeah.
19
              MS. LEXIS: Okay. And was -- or no, I put down
20
    criminal.
              Was it criminal? Do you remember?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: It was like 15 years ago
23
    so --
24
              MS. LEXIS: Fifteen years ago, okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I think it was criminal,
25
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

yeah. 1 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Was that here in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 3 Yes. Okay. In this courthouse? MS. LEXIS: 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I believe so, yes. I believe, the old courthouse. 6 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I think it was the old 8 one. MS. LEXIS: 9 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: The old one, yeah. 10 Okay. Did anything about that prior 11 MS. LEXIS: 12 jury service in a criminal trial leave you with any opinions about how our criminal justice system works here in Las Vegas? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No. Okay. Do you think -- well, do you have 15 MS. LEXIS: any opinions in general about our criminal justice system? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: It was a mistrial so --18 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yeah. MS. LEXIS: Okay. Were you sad that you didn't get 20 the opportunity to deliberate? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Not really. 23 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Just meant getting out of jury 24 service early? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 25 Yeah. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

1	MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you want to be on this jury?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yeah, I would like to.
3	MS. LEXIS: Okay. Why?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I think I can be fair.
5	MS. LEXIS: Okay. And you have the time?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes.
7	MS. LEXIS: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes.
9	MS. LEXIS: Have you had any positive or negative
10	contact with law enforcement?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No.
12	MS. LEXIS: No traffic tickets that you, you know,
13	wanted to dispute?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I've had some, but
15	MS. LEXIS: Or anything like that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No, no.
17	MS. LEXIS: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No.
19	MS. LEXIS: Never been treated unfairly? We did
20	talk a little bit about, you know the negative publicity or
21	the news reportings concerning police officers in our country.
22	Do you share any of those opinions that are being reported on?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Not really.
24	MS. LEXIS: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I don't watch the news
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
	l cibamii bigitai reporting, EEO 7 000 700 0000

too much. 1 MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you watch crime scene kind of 2 3 shows? CSI? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Not really. 4 MS. LEXIS: First 48? Okay, just you don't watch TV 5 hardly? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Not really. MS. LEXIS: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I don't. 9 Okay. Can you consider the three MS. LEXIS: 10 different forms of punishment that we discussed if there is a 11 conviction for first degree murder? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes. Okay. And do any of the choices give 14 MS. LEXIS: you pause or cause you to, you know, feel --15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No. 17 MS. LEXIS: -- uncomfortable? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 18 No. Okay. Do you own a gun? 19 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I do not. 20 MS. LEXIS: Would you like to? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No. 23 MS. LEXIS: Do you have any opinions one way or the 24 other about people who own guns? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Well, to each their own, Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 you know. Not really.

MS. LEXIS: All right. Do you think you could be a fair juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes, I can.

MS. LEXIS: What do you think qualifies you to be a fair juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Qualifies me?

MS. LEXIS: Yeah. Or why would you want -- would you want a juror like yourself say as Mr. DiGiacomo asked Ms. White, you know, if she needed jurors, if she was in -- if she found herself either as a defendant or a plaintiff in a case here, and she needed to select jurors, would she want someone like herself or someone with her mindset sitting as a juror?

I'm going to pose the same question to you, would you feel comfortable having someone like you on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes.

MS. LEXIS: Court's brief indulgence. I have no more questions for this juror.

THE COURT: Mr. Landis.

MR. LANDIS: Hi, ma'am. Let me start with a topic that was brought up with Ms. White before. The rule for all criminal trials in the United States, the defense has no obligation to present any evidence at all. So in theory, we could sit there and sleep the next three weeks. And if you guys don't believe they've proven their case beyond a

reasonable doubt, the instructions are going to say it's your job to find the defendants not guilty.

Some people struggle with that idea. Is it something you're comfortable with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes.

MR. LANDIS: How about kind of a -- within that, there's also a rule that defendants can't be compelled to testify. I'm sure you've heard that. We've all heard it. In other words, the defendant, with the assistance of their attorney, so Mr. Murphy and I will talk about at the end of this case, whether or not he should testify.

Oftentimes in criminal trials, the defendant doesn't testify, and I'm not here to tell you what we're going to do, but if my client or any of these defendants don't testify in this trial, the instruction is you're not supposed to consider that when you're making a decision. Is that something you're going to struggle with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No.

MR. LANDIS: Do you think that's a fair rule?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes.

MR. LANDIS: And when I say that, there's oftentimes people (inaudible) will say, you know, if I was accused of something, I'd want to get up there and say my peace about it. And I can understand that viewpoint, but to be clear, that's not something that you would agree with? In other words, if

somebody doesn't talk, you won't hold it against them? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I won't, no. MR. LANDIS: I'll pass for cause. 3 Thank you. Mr. Wolfbrandt. THE COURT: 4 5 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Thank you. You mentioned, was it a sister-in-law that works in Family Court? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No, she's my sister. MR. WOLFBRANDT: So it is your sister? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: My little sister, yeah. 9 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. Is that Susan? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Susan, yes, it is. 11 12 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Do you ever associate with -- or do you talk to her a lot, obviously? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Not a lot. Here and there, but not a lot. 15 16 MR. WOLFBRANDT: About her work at all? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Not really. MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. You ever socialize with her 18 -- or ever get invited to some of her office parties? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 20 No, no. I just want to follow up on another 22 one to make sure -- you have no desire to own a gun, but did 23 you have any opinion, good, bad or in different as to whether 24 other people should have guns? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I think everybody should

have the right to own a gun for protection. 1 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. Just like you would have the 2 right not to have one because you choose not to? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 4 Right. 5 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. All right, thank you. That's all I have. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Ms. McNeill. Thank you, Your Honor. I heard drink 9 MS. McNEILL: and gamble and I completely missed what you said you did for a 10 living so --11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Oh, I'm sorry. That's okay. 13 MS. McNEILL: 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I work in retail. I work the Smith's grocery --15 MS. McNEILL: 16 Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: -- store. Okay. Are you a cashier or --18 MS. McNEILL: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No, I'm a health and 19 beauty assistant manager. 20 MS. McNEILL: Oh, okay. So you work in the health 22 and beauty section? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yeah. 24 MS. McNEILL: Like ordering things? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Ordering and stocking Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

and yeah. 1 2 MS. McNEILL: Okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yeah. And you said you like to drink and 4 MS. McNEILL: 5 What do you -- what's your gambling of choice? gamble. game of choice? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Keno. Keno, okay. All right. Fair enough. 8 MS. McNEILL: You said your sister works in Family Court. Does she -- what does she do down in family court? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I'm not sure what she 12 does. Okay. So I guess, you're not that 13 MS. McNEILL: 14 close? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: That close. You don't really talk about her work? 16 MS. McNEILL: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Right, yeah. Okay. All right. I want to just 18 MS. McNEILL: briefly go back to Mr. Landis was talking about if a defendant 19 decides not to testify. And you said that that wouldn't 20 bother you. Can you think of some reasons why a defendant 22 might not want to testify? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: I think that's his 24 right. 25 MS. McNEILL: Okay, well, fair enough. Right, he Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

has the right not to. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 2 Right. MS. McNEILL: Can you think of any other reasons why 3 someone might choose not to get up there? Do you think --4 5 well, these seasoned attorneys get to question him. Do you think that might be scary? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: No. MS. McNEILL: No, that wouldn't be scary to be 8 questioned by Mr. DiGiacomo? I mean, he looks nice but -- any 9 other reasons you can think of that someone might say, you 10 know what, I just don't want to get up there and talk? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Okay. You seem very easy going. 13 MS. McNEILL: No? Is that just generally your personality? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Yes. Yeah? Would you consider yourself 16 MS. McNEILL: open-minded? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: 18 Yes. Okay. All right. I'll pass 19 MS. McNEILL: Yeah? for cause, Your Honor. 20 Thank you. If you'll hand the THE22 microphone over. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 291: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. And Michael Goehring, Badge 25 Tell us about yourself. No. 312.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I got used to listening
 1
    to people mispronounce my name --
 2
              THE COURT:
 3
                          Oh.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- 30 years ago.
 4
 5
                          Okay.
              THE COURT:
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No, no, no. It's -- you
 6
    know, it doesn't matter, but --
              THE COURT:
                         How do you pronounce it?
 8
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- the actual
   pronunciation is Goehring, but --
10
              THE COURT:
                          Goehring.
11
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- it's not the end of
    the world --
13
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- if you don't say it
15
    right.
16
              THE COURT: No, that's okay. I'd rather you correct
17
18
    me.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Retired. Early retired,
19
    working full time just to get medical insurance until I can go
20
    on Medicare, which won't be too long from now. So I work
22
    retail at Walgreens on the Strip. Busiest store in the whole
23
    United States.
              I've worked part-time since '96. Living in
24
    California, unless you make a lot of money, it's challenging
25
                  Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890
```

to pay all the bills so I actually had two jobs for 15 and a half years until I moved away. Associate Arts Degree. Never married. Significant other for 26 years. She works a couple days a week at a small retail outlet in Chinatown. No children. She has a son from her first marriage, but I've never had the privilege of having children. Did I answer all the questions?

THE COURT: Well, sort of. You said you're retired and so until you get on Medicare you're working part time at Walgreens, but what jobs did you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: My career was in manufacturing. Office support for production lines. I did that up until 2012.

THE COURT: All right. And those jobs were always part time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: The -- the manufacturing office support was always the full-time job, and I had no part-time job until '96, when I decided I needed more money to be able to accomplish a house publish, et cetera.

THE COURT: And so when you then decided, okay, I'm going to take on a second job to supplement my income, what kind of jobs did you have then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: The first up until the time when I moved to Las Vegas, I worked as a drive-thru order taker for a fast food chain.

What part of California did you live in? 1 THE COURT: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Southern. Ventura County. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Just above LA County. So it's expensive, as you pointed out. 6 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: In the city I lived in. Okay. And so better here? 8 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, California's the 9 kind of place, you know, if you own your house, by the time 10 you're retired, you can probably stay there even though 11 12 property taxes are high. If you don't own your house, you haven't got a prayer. It's time to get out. 13 Okay. All right. Would the State like 14 THE COURT: to inquire further? 15 Yes, Your Honor. How are you, sir? 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Good. How about yourself? 18 Not bad. Ten minutes until 5:00. 19 MR. DiGIACOMO: Somewhat of a long day. Probably more for you than us. At 20 least we get to focus on ourselves or on the whole group and 22 you just have yourself to worry about here, but sort of with 23 the prior jurors I sort of understood day-to-day what they do for a living. What exactly does it mean that you 24 25 manufacturing office supplies. What did you do to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, the -- most of the companies -- most of the companies were aerospace related, some were not. When -- my actual job title was production planner. So basically, the job is to be sure that the buyers get all the parts in when they're supposed to, and that the internal people get the parts to the assembly area when they're supposed to, and it doesn't end -- my job doesn't end until the product actually ships.

I mean, the shipping department doesn't work for me, but I have to be sure they do their job and I'm -- they come back to me if it didn't go out on time. They don't go to the shipping department.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: So the planner basically interfaces with everybody in the company. I've got people from, you know, the salesmen saying my customer said I didn't get the parts on time, what happened, why are you late? Fairly responsible position. Quite interesting because you get to deal with basically everyone in the company.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Did you run a department that did that or were you in a supervisory role?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No, I was -- was part of -- there were a group of people, and everyone would have a different product line that they were responsible for, and you're given a -- a goal. You know, like the first of the

month is the beginning, and if you're given a million dollars to ship and it doesn't all go out, you have to answer for that.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. I imagine at times that there were situations that came up where you had to kind of sort of figure out what happened. Like was it the production department's problem? Was it the shipping department's problem or something like that, right? You had to go looking --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Usually, you know what's going on. I knew sometimes two weeks ahead that I wasn't going to be in a good spot at the end of the month.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And were you able to resolve those conflicts or how did you go about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Different -- there's no one, you know -- I've called vendors up and said, hey, could you do me a favor, could you put mine ahead of somebody else's? You do almost whatever it takes to get the result that you need.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And I'm guessing you've been retired, then, for like the -- since '96, I think you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No, no, uh-uh. I worked -- I worked up until 2010 in my field -- 2012 in my field.

Then when I moved to Las Vegas, not a whole lot of manufacturing here so there was no chance for me to find a job

in my field. So I just got a job in some field that would give me benefits and it was a field -- it was a field related to something I've done before. Basically, what I'll call customer service.

MR. DiGIACOMO: So what is it that you do now? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Cashier.

MR. DiGIACOMO: So I'm getting in trouble for not being close enough to a microphone here so. Let me ask you just the general questions everybody's sort of been asked. Have you ever had any positive or negative contact with law enforcement before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Very few tickets in my life, but even when I got one, I didn't consider them a negative experience.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. What about you said you were a prior juror. Did you find that to be a rewarding experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I did. I think I have -- I've never looked at this as something I want to try and get out of. If I were to get picked, then I would say, you know, I'm -- I'm doing what I feel is an obligation to everyone in this country has.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Let me ask, before you -- well, the first time you were a juror, did you have the same thought about jury service?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes. I wouldn't say 2 that I was saying oh, I hop I get picked, I hope I get picked, but I was very satisfied with the fact that I ended up on a --4 a case. MR. DiGIACOMO: And we don't have a lot of prior 5 jurors here, but you hear that quite often is, you know, 6 everybody -- although, this group, almost nobody raises their hand and says I don't want to be here, but by the end of the 8 experience, they're very happy with it. So you would say that it was a positive experience 10 in your life to do this? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Oh, absolutely. I learned something that I didn't know. 13 Do you own a firearm? 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No. I have shot firearms many times in my life, but I've never had a gun 16 17 registered in my name. And what about the penalty 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: questions? Assuming we were to get there --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I'm okay with that. 20 -- the only -- the only kind of penalty I would have an issue with would be death. I don't know if I could, you know, judge 22 a case that was going to have a -- but other than that, no 23 24 problem.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MR. DiGIACOMO:

25

Sure. And I guess, we didn't say it

overtly, but this is not a capital case --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Right. MR. DiGIACOMO: -- there's no death penalty. 3 That's not an issue. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I know. They usually tell you right away. 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah. We usually jump up -- that usually is the main focus of those cases. You think you're a 8 fair person? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: 10 Absolutely. Think you'd be a good juror? MR. DiGIACOMO: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Absolutely. 12 13 Thank you very much, sir. MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, we'd pass for cause. 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Landis. MR. LANDIS: If you don't mind me asking, sir, how 16 17 did you know that they usually tell you that right away about a death penalty? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Oh, I constantly watch 19 the news. I -- I watch more news than probably many people. 20 I'm either -- when I'm on my cell phone or smartphone, I'm usually looking at one news reporting agency or another. 22 23 MR. LANDIS: And do you watch kind of along those 24 lines the criminal justice system shows that are based on reality such as --25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.

MR. LANDIS: -- Making a Murder or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I don't have a lot of time for TV. If I'm watching TV right now this year, CNN or MSNBC about politics. But typically, I'm very interested in what's going on in the world, and -- and yet, I do keep a focus on what's happening in this country as well related to all the different issues with police in many cities in this country.

MR. LANDIS: Sure. And let me just put that aside for a sec. Regarding the criminal justice system, do you follow cases through the news as they progress because they've caught your eye or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, especially, you know, large cases that you can't help but not, you know -- but, yeah, anything, especially something that might be happening in -- in the town that I live in, I would be -- you know, if something's happening in -- in some town in Texas, I might not be as captivated by it as I would a case that -- that might be happening in our town.

MR. LANDIS: If I could ask, I'm going to put you on the spot, but does a case come to mind here in Las Vegas that caught your eye in the recent past, based on those criteria?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Not so much, no. I -- I don't really -- I try and catch a little local news, but by

the time I get home it's over. So unless I'm, you know,

DVRing it, which I don't do, and sometimes in the morning

before I go to work I can catch something, some local news, if

it's before 9:00 o'clock but I don't always.

MR. LANDIS: Have you developed strong feelings, positive, negative, about the American criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, I -- I'd like to believe that it's as fair as it can be. You know, I don't think that -- I understand, you know, I see things happening with police in different cities. There are some police that stray from, you know, what their directive is. I don't think that's the majority. I'd like to think that, you know, the people that are higher up in those organizations, police chief, whatever, will do things to make sure that every one of their officers go out there with the same message.

MR. LANDIS: You'd acknowledge that sometimes guilty people are able to get away with crimes based on our American criminal justice system, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Of course.

MR. LANDIS: And the opposite's true, too? In other words, innocent people get convicted, right? In your view, and this is my favorite question to ask jurors, which one of those is a worse outcome? It's a tough question, I know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, of course.

Perhaps -- perhaps the cases where -- I don't want to mention any specific cases. Okay, let me generalize and say I've -- I've seen enough over the years to -- to -- to hear about cases where because of the way the police collected the information, the person gets off. Everybody knew he was guilty, but because the evidence wasn't collected properly --

MR. LANDIS: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- it -- it basically discounted all of the -- those are cases that are difficult to accept, but you've got to understand that the system works the way it does.

MR. LANDIS: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: So if somebody wasn't doing their job, maybe the police department, properly, it's understandable why the result was what it was.

MR. LANDIS: Since it's close to 5:00, you guys probably won't appreciate many more questions so I'll pass for cause. Thanks for the time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfbrandt.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Mr. Goehring, I was kind of curious as you said you shot firearms on a number of occasions. What were the circumstances for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Oh, when I was young in California we used to go out in the desert every other

weekend. My father, when he was younger, rode motorcycles and then later we just dune buggies and my first car was a dune buggy. We used to go out to the desert, and we'd always take guns. In those days, talking about the '60s, there wasn't as much --

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Those days you could?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- focus on do you have a registered gun or -- so we'd just take guns out and we'd always stop and shoot cans out in the middle of nowhere.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: So is there any particular reason why you don't own one now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No. I don't have an opinion about them either way. My father had a bunch of guns. Sadly, when he died, I didn't get any of them, but -- so no, I actually do like to target practice. I found that very -- I mean, not just shooting at cans, but to actually shoot at a target.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I'm fascinated in, you know, seeing how good or accurate I can be at a number of things, but I don't really have a desire to go out and buy one just to target practice. And I don't hunt, never been that kind of a person, and I don't think about carrying one for protection.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Now, I believe you said you're --

currently, your job now is a cashier at the Walgreens on the 1 2 Strip? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Um-h'm. MR. WOLFBRANDT: Do you ever see any crimes happen 4 5 within the store? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah. How many days a 6 week can I tell you people run out of the store with a bottle in their hand --8 9 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Sure. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: -- that we sadly can't 10 do anything about. We can't even -- you almost can't even 11 12 touch them. MR. WOLFBRANDT: That's the kind of Walgreens 13 policy. 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Of course, you can. Ι mean, you touch them, they can sue the store. 16 17 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Sure. Sure. That's frustrating. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: How about just outside 19 MR. WOLFBRANDT: I'm sure. the store, then, along the Strip? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, I mean, maybe if I 22 clocked out and said, hey, I'm going to take care of some guy 23 that I just saw steal a bottle of whiskey, no, you can't do 24 that. 25 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Oh, no, no, that's not --

that's not what I meant.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I can't really go outside the store when I'm on the clock.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Well, no, that's not what I meant.

I just meant if while you were working at the cashier, if at
the window you happen to observe, you know, crimes happen out
there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: There are -- Venetian and Palazzo have security. They're kind of around a lot so usually, you know, there's enough of a presence where I don't -- I don't see people getting assaulted out there or anything.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. All right, now you mentioned you kind of like not sitting down necessarily watching all the news, but you catch the news highlights on your phone a lot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Oh, I'm always looking at news.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. If you were to find -because I don't know how much I think this case got a little
bit of coverage two years ago. If you were to find that as
the case -- if you're on this jury and the case develops, you
remember things that you had seen on a news show about it,
would you be able to ignore what you saw on TV and keep your
focus on whatever you hear within the courtroom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I could almost guarantee you I won't remember. Nothing will come to mind like oh,

yeah, I remember that store. Not going to happen. 1 2 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'd pass the --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: 4 5 THE COURT: Ms. McNeill. Thank you. As Mr. Landis indicated, MS. McNEILL: 6 it is getting close to 5:00, so I'll try to be brief. you were talking with Mr. Landis, you were talking about cases 8 where the police officers maybe didn't collect evidence in a way that was appropriate and led to guilty people sort of 10 going free. Do you think that the reverse of that ever 11 12 happens, that the police officers maybe collect evidence in a way that puts an innocent person in jail? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: We can't say that it 14 doesn't happen. 15 Okay. So you --16 MS. McNEILL: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: We're -- we're not there so how would we know? 18 Right. Do you think there's something 19 MS. McNEILL: about someone with a badge that makes them some sort of have 20 super powers or super ethics and they don't do anything wrong? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Well, I don't, and I'd 23 like to think that people when they get a job, I don't care what kind of job you've got, I'd like to think that people 24 perform the job to the expectations of the employer. 25

1 MS. McNEILL: Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I mean, I can't make anybody, you know, no matter what profession, I can't make 3 them do their job right. But I would like to hope, you know, 4 5 I have this great hope that most people are honest, forthright, all those things, that we're all supposed to be in 6 life. And you say you have a hope, but do 8 MS. McNEILL: you think that -- do you think that's reality that everyone 9 abides by that same sort of hope that you have for all of us? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Oh, I think so. 12 can't know. You can't know what's in a person's heart. Okay. Do you think that police 13 MS. McNEILL: officers, would you agree with me, they're just people, right? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Of course. And -- and do some of them all of a sudden put the uniform on and become 16 17 somebody else? I don't know. Correct, okay. But they have all of 18 MS. McNEILL: the -- they come into their job with all of the things that we 19 20 come into --21 312: IVE JUROR NO. 22 MS. McNEILL: -- every day with, right? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Sure. I mean, I try not to carry baggage to work with me, but sometimes like, you 24 25 know, the day that I lost my father and my sister six days

apart, it's hard not to show something about you isn't exactly 1 2 the same as a normal day so yeah, I'm sure that that happens. Okay. So you would agree sometimes 3 MS. McNEILL: people are affected by outside things as much as we want them 4 5 to be? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Of course. 6 MS. McNEILL: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I know that I am, so why 8 wouldn't other people? 9 Right. And so working at the 10 MS. McNEILL: Walgreens on the Strip, would you say it's a good opportunity 11 12 to see sort of all different kinds of people? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah, from all over the 13 world. 14 Okay. Do you enjoy sort of people 15 MS. McNEILL: watching and observing people? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I enjoy speaking to people. 18 MS. McNEILL: 19 Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I probably talk to 400 customers a day. 22 MS. McNEILL: And have you learned anything from all 23 that experience of talking to all of these people? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes. 25 MS. McNEILL: Okay. What is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I don't know, it depends 1 on -- it depends on whether you're talking to people from a 2 different country, like how many people are worried about Mr. Trump becoming president. You know, there are a lot of 4 5 different things that you learn from a lot of different people because you're talking to so many different kinds of people, 6 people from like the southeast of the United States or --Okay. So it sounds like you've 8 MS. McNEILL: learned a lot sort of about human nature and all of that just 9 from your interactions with people? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: 11 I try. 12 MS. McNEILL: Do you --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I -- I don't just You know, I try and give somebody an experience. 14 cashier. Ι want them to remember our store and maybe our city. 15 Okay. All right. Fair enough. 16 MS. McNEILL: I'll 17 pass for cause, Your Honor. Thank you. All right, ladies and 18 THE COURT: gentlemen, it's almost 10 minutes after 5:00 so we are going 19 to recess for the evening. Everyone has to come back tomorrow 20 at 1:30. I have a very large calendar tomorrow, almost 100 22 people on the calendar for Drug Court. So there are a couple of things. I'm going to read to you the admonition, but as 23 well I want to tell you one more thing. 24 25 You know, we have this nice courthouse, and we have

the elevators in the hallways. A and you may from time to time encounter one of the lawyers or maybe more than one of the lawyers as you're passing in the hall or what have you. And of course, as you're all courteous people, you would probable yes be inclined to greet them since that's our normal courteous behavior and since you've been seeing them in the courtroom, but I would ask that you please don't do so.

And the reason for that is this, they will have to ignore you, and then they're figure to feel really badly that they -- that you're going to think that they're discourteous and rude people, when actually, the reason is that they're not allowed to have any interaction with the prospective jury members because it could be thought that they're trying to curry favor or influence you as prospective jurors.

So, of course, under normal circumstances, every one of them are lovely people and they would, of course, greet you in the normal circumstance, and if you had greeted them. But under this circumstance, they can't. So please don't make them feel bad by trying to engage them in conversation even if you're on an elevator with them and you see that you're alone. Just do what we all usually do is face the door and say nothing. All right? So that you don't have any problems.

Because otherwise, what's going to happen if you say to them oh, hi, how's it going, start wanting to chat about the weather, and they're going to come in and we're going to

spend 20 minutes where they tell me about this, and we then have to bring you in and ask you if it's going to change your opinion and it's just going to delay things. So please don't try and interact with any of the lawyers.

As I say, we'll be back tomorrow at 1:30. The Marshal is going to tell you after you assemble outside, he's going to tell you when he wants you here. So ladies and gentlemen, we're taking an overnight recess.

During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet. And of course, that covers smartphones. And you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you.

Additionally, you've heard a brief synopsis of where the crime happened, general locations. You've heard the names of witnesses and you now met, you know, through their introduction to you the lawyers and court staff. So please do not do any research on your own. You know, don't get on the Internet and try and look into the backgrounds of anybody that you've heard the names of, any of the lawyers, the judge, nothing. Everything that you will need to know, if you are

selected as a juror in this case, you will hear while court is in session, and from witnesses on the stand and information and exhibits at that are admitted lawfully into evidence. So please, no investigation on your own and stay away from any of the potential areas that you've heard about thus far because, as you know, this is Las Vegas, things change very quickly, and if you try and make an unauthorized visit to some place that you've heard about during the trial, you might get an erroneous impression, and so we can't have that.

I will see you tomorrow at 1:30.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury panel.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 5:11 p.m.)

THE COURT: And the record will reflect the venire panel has left the courtroom. Are there any matters outside the presence?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Not from the State.

THE COURT: Defense, anything outside the presence?

MS. McNEILL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfbrandt, Mr. Landis, anything outside the presence?

MR. LANDIS: Just briefly, Judge. Talking to my client, during jury selection when the jury or venire was here, a Corrections Officer came over and removed a pen from my client's hand and replaced it with this pencil. I understand why he did that, but the issue I have with it is

this, I've had that happen before, and it's obviously not something I want to have happen.

So today, when today started, I went to one of the Corrections Officers who was here, and I said, is it okay if my client has a pen because I'd rather have him have a pencil than -- and they said, yes, let him have a pen. We'll get it by the end of the day. Then that happens in front of the venire, and I -- it's frustrating and I think it's prejudicial, and I would just prefer that it not happen in the future.

MR. WOLFBRANDT: I would say the same thing happened with my client. And again, I'd ask permission ahead of time and, you know --

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yeah, it was your Marshal that took the pen.

THE MARSHAL: It wasn't none of us.

(Indiscernible cross-talking)

THE COURT: Whoa whoa, stop, stop. All right. So is -- Officer, is there a policy, and if you'd state your name for the record, so we have a --

CORRECTIONS OFFICER BEHNKE: Officer Behnke. It's kind of a preference thing. Like, I don't have a problem with them getting a pen. I just make sure that I see it go back to the attorney afterwards. Some officers want them to have pencils. That was your Marshal that took the pens from them.

It wasn't one of us. 1 Okay. I'm sorry, I did not see that so 2 THE COURT: I'll -- I mean, as far as I'm concerned, the Corrections 3 Officers have control of the inmates from the jail. 4 5 CORRECTIONS OFFICER BEHNKE: Yes. Yes. And --It's your responsibility. THE COURT: 6 CORRECTIONS OFFICER BEHNKE: And I spoke to them, and they said --8 9 Okay. THE COURT: CORRECTIONS OFFICER BEHNKE: -- and I gave them 10 permission. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. And so I will make sure that my Marshal understands that, that as far as the procedures for 13 inmates, you guys are in charge, and if he has any question 14 about that, then he needs to talk to you and then talk to me 15 about it, if there's a problem. 16 17 THE MARSHAL: Correct. THE COURT: All right? Thank you very much. 18 MR. LANDIS: Thank you. 19 (Court recessed at 5:14 p.m. until Tuesday, 20 21 September 13, 2016, at 1:43 p.m.) 22 23 24 25

I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly ATTEST: transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Luis Hond JULIE LORD, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

CASE NO. C-15-303991-1 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-15-303991-4

Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-15-303991-5

DEPT. V VS.

JORGE MENDOZA, TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DAVID MURPHY, a/k/a

DAVID MARK MURPHY, JOSEPH LAGUNA, a/k/a

JOEY LAGUNA,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2016

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: MARC P. DiGIACOMO, ESQ.

AGNES M. LEXIS, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MENDOZA: WILLIAM L. WOLFBRANDT, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MURPHY: CASEY A. LANDIS, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT LAGUNA MONIQUE A. McNEILL, ESQ.

TRANSCRIPTION BY: COURT RECORDER:

LARA CORCORAN VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110 District Court

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2016, 1:43 P.M.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors)

THE COURT: Okay. All right, we're on the record,

Case No. C-303991, State of Nevada versus Jorge Mendoza,

Joseph Laguna and David Murphy. The record will reflect the

presence of the defendants, with their respective counsel,

Deputies District Attorney. We're outside the presence of the

venire panel. All the officers of the court are present. Are

we ready to bring them?

MR. DiGIACOMO: Just really briefly.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DiGIACOMO: On Friday, the defense had made some arguments about Summer Larsen's jail calls as well as her kites. On Friday, as I was able to get the jail calls, and I had them about 9:00 o'clock on Saturday morning. And Mr. Landis, I think, got them Saturday, and I think Ms. McNeill got them on Sunday. And today I received the kites. I briefly reviewed them. I forgot to bring them with me. There is nothing in the kites that would be discoverable, but to be safe, tomorrow or this evening when I get back to the office, I'll provide the kites over to the defendants.

And then the last issue was that apparently, there wasn't a CSA at the execution of the search warrant at Mr. Mendoza's house. Those photographs were taken by the police and then impounded as a CD into evidence. I had those

pulled. I've just downloaded them. I will forward them by e-mail to the defense attorneys. There's four or five photographs that they took during that search warrant that we just didn't have previously.

MR. LANDIS: Nothing to hold up the Court. I was just trying to clarify all those pictures. I did receive the phone calls, as he said.

THE COURT: And were there many or?

MR. LANDIS: Yes, yes. I'll -- I don't have a number. I'm working on getting a number to the Court, but yes, there's a lot. And they're heavy for the first year or so and then they really taper off.

THE COURT: Okay. And otherwise, we got -- okay, we've got some fans.

(Off the record at 1:46 p.m. until 1:48 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the venire panel.

(Prospective jurors reconvene at 1:48 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: With the exception of Ms. Powers, the -- all members of the venire panel are present, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated. Okay. And the record will reflect that we are now within the presence of the venire panel, save Ms. Powers, who would actually be next in order. She's Badge No. 324. Had a breakdown on Interstate 15, and is awaiting rescue and we're hoping that she'll be joining us later.

And ladies and gentlemen, I apologize in advance for the heat. One of our major handlers went down in the building, which is why it's so warm in here. I'm glad you all dressed fairly reasonably. It's usually cold, and so instead of being shivering, you're going to be warm, but I think the Marshal alerted you to this, so you'll let me know if you need a break.

And gentlemen, you all look very nice in your jackets and ladies as well, but if you feel you need to take them off, I understand, and you may have permission to do that.

So we left off yesterday, and we were about to go to Carisa Ramirez, and do you have the microphone yet? Oh, there we go.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Carisa Ramirez, Badge No. 251.

THE COURT: Are you getting that? Okay, good. And so, Ms. Ramirez, tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Sure. Again, my name is Carisa Ramirez. My family and I migrated from the Philippines to Las Vegas in 1984. I am married. My husband works as a food server at the Rio Hotel and Casino. We have two children, ages 9 and 4. I have a bachelor's degree in social work with certification in grants management.

Professionally, I am working for Catholic Charities

as vice president of their immigration and migration division 1 and in that capacity also serves as our state's state refugee coordinator that's recognized federally. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And, I guess, you 4 covered everything. Oh, and your educational background? 5 Do you have a advanced degree beyond bachelor's? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I have a bachelor's degree in social work with certifications in grants 8 management. All right. Thank you. Would the State 10 THE COURT: like to inquire further? 11 Yes, Your Honor. Permission to approach 12 MS. LEXIS: the podium. 13 14 THE COURT: Of course. Thank you. 15 MS. LEXIS: Watch the cords, though. 16 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Ramirez. 17 MS. LEXIS: ${
m Ms}$. Ramirez, have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim 18 of a crime? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No, not personally. 20 Okay. When you say not personally, MS. LEXIS: who's the first person that comes to mind? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I -- so we serve 24 refugees at my work so. 25 MS. LEXIS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: -- certainly refugees have history of being persecuted, but I associate that more on a professional level as opposed to a personal level.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. And refugees from a certain country or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: They're resettled from all over of the world, but here in Nevada our number one populations are arriving from Cuba, Ethiopia, Iran, Iraq and Somalia.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. And I didn't hear from the buzz of the fan. I know that you are a vice president over at Catholic Charities?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yes.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. So what is it that you do as vice president there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Sure. So I oversee their immigration and migration division, which -- which consists of three different programs; the English language program, the migration and refugee services and the immigration services. And again, in that capacity, I also assume the state refugee coordinator role in terms of overseeing all refugee activities throughout the state.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. So when you responded that you personally hadn't been the victim of a crime, you're certainly in contact with individuals who are victims of big broad

crimes from these countries that they're immigrating from?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That's correct.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. So do you have day-to-day contact with the refugees that you kind of oversee?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Sure. So I have a staff of six managers and 38 other staff indirectly. So they have more interaction with them as far as face-to-face interaction. My interaction mainly is more on policies and procedures, sanctioning, compliance, things like that, is when I would have the opportunity to meet with them or special events.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Can you tell me a little bit more about what it is that Catholic Charities does? As a prosecutor, I'm familiar of some of the programs that's run, and I'm familiar with, you know, homeless outreach and things like that, providing housing for the homeless, things like that. Are you familiar with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No, certainly. So I've been Catholic Charities for 17-and-a-half years, right. As an agency we're celebrating our 75 anniversary this year. We are recognized as one of the bigger nonprofit agencies here in Las Vegas. You've touched a lot of our core services.

In addition to that, we have a senior services program, such as the Meals on Wheels program, senior companion, things like that. We also have an adoption and WIC program. We're known for our food services in terms of food

pantry and elite dining room.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. And aside from the refugee program and the immigration division, do you have occasion to kind of help out with the other parts of Catholic Charities missions and duties and things like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yeah, so -- so there's only a few vice presidents. I am part of the executive leadership team. So right now I'm participating in the strategic planning for the agency.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. While you were working, do you work at the actual Catholic Charities location? That's here in downtown, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That is correct. I -- I do work for the main campus, which is down the street on Las Vegas Boulevard.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. As a DA, I know that there's -it's kind of in a high crime area. Have you ever witnessed a
crime being perpetrated against someone staying with or
getting services from Catholic Charities?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No, not necessarily.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. I know that they also -- your organization also provides sometimes to ex-felons. There are programs and things extended to ex-felons. Do you participate in those programs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: So in terms of those

services, there are ex-felons that gets released, and they have no place of staying, so they do stay in our emergency shelter program, which is at another division that -- that I don't necessarily oversee directly.

MS. LEXIS: Okay, okay. Let's see. You also have a bachelor's of science in social work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That's correct.

MS. LEXIS: What does that entail? I mean, is your employment now based on the educational background that you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yeah.

MS. LEXIS: Like you have a bachelor's of science in social work. Do you have to have that to be vice president of Catholic Charities?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Not necessarily. So I started my career there doing case management for the homeless population, and again, I've been there for 17-and-a-half years, which led to the promotion of the vice president overall.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Did you ever want to be a social worker?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I -- I started off as that, again, doing case management. After serving a homeless population, I then worked serving the senior population and then the management opportunities started from that division.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Why did you choose social work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: You know, it's a pretty
wide range of field that you can go into so -- so that was
exciting. I knew that I would be able to find a job pretty
quickly after obtaining my bachelor's degree so -- so that was
the -- the huge factor to that.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. I don't have much experience in social work and things like that, but I know that social workers, I always associate them with individuals who want to help other people. Is that why you were lured to this particular profession?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That is correct.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Would you say that you're an overly like sympathetic person just in general?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: You know, I -- again, in the position or in the field that I've been in, certainly, there's the populations that I've dealt with have been unfortunate. So certainly, I -- I've had the -- the passion for that or I am sympathetic.

I don't necessarily know if I would call it overly sympathetic. I -- I don't know what the differentiation is on levels in terms of that.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Let me ask you this, there are three types of punishment that we discussed with the other potential jurors. The 20 to 50 year definite term, life with

the possibility of parole after 20 years, and life without the possibility of parole.

If and only if we have to go to a penalty phase, provided we get a first degree murder conviction, can you consider each of those choices or each of those penalties?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No, certainly, it's sort of hard to make those decisions now not having enough information about the case, but certainly, based on --

MS. LEXIS: You would consider them, of course? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Of course.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you have any religious or moral beliefs that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: You know, I mean, certainly, I'm -- I'm a Christian by religion. In my profession, again, I've certainly been able to take a look at all different situations, both sides of the stories prior to making decisions. So I don't know how differently that would be in this case.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Just from our brief conversation, it looks like -- or it appears to me, I get the impression that you work with a lot of people who are trying to get second chances, the homeless, every -- pretty much everything that Catholic Charities stands for, refugees and things like that, people who are victimized without any kind of choosing,

let's say.

Are you the type of person who believes that everyone is entitled to a second chance regardless of what it is that they've done in life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I certainly do believe in second chances, right, but at the same token there's federal regulations that I follow in the grants that I oversee now, and there's certain boundaries that I need to follow. And if -- and to ensure compliance with that, we do get audited by the federal government on a regular basis, I'm aware of what those limitations are and certainly oversees it to make sure that we follow compliance.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. And so you're able to look at other factors is -- seems like is what you're trying to tell me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Of course.

MS. LEXIS: Right? You're going to do things within the rules?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Of course.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. You've heard me ask other jurors, Mr. DiGiacomo as well, and Court to some degree, ask jurors whether you're the type of person who could follow the law even if you disagreed with it. If you, for instance, thought it was too harsh, disagreed with the logic of it. Are you the type of person who can follow whether or not you agree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: You know, and certainly, 1 2 personally, I -- I think there's going to be some -- some decisions that I'll have to make, right? But again, you know, knowing what the rules and regulations are, I'd be able to see 4 the -- the both sides of it and rationally think what makes best sense based on those things. 6 Okay. Do you want to serve on this MS. LEXIS: 8 jury? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251. You know, I'm going to 9 be honest with you. I was pretty excited. This is the first 10 time I've ever been called for jury duty, right? 11 necessarily expect a criminal case? Definitely not. 12 Ιt sounds like a lot of responsibility, especially with grant 13 deadlines that are coming up. This is also the last month of 14 the fiscal year. But certainly do know that if selected that 15 this is my civil obligation and will make everything that I 16 17 can to fulfill that. Okay. Well, we certainly appreciate 18 MS. LEXIS: I just have a few more questions. 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Sure. MS. LEXIS: Do you own a gun? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I don't. 23 MS. LEXIS: You don't? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I don't. 25 Okay. Anyone in your family own a gun? MS. LEXIS:

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

21

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Pretty much my -- my 1 2 brothers are for the main part of my family, that is correct. Okay. Have you ever shot a gun? 3 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No, I haven't. 4 5 MS. LEXIS: Do you have any opinions about guns, gun ownership, anything like that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I think it's a personal choice, right? Me, personally, again, I have two children in 8 the home and don't want to expose them to that, and that's part of the reason why. But certainly do also respect the 10 decisions that my brothers and dad make in terms of their qun 11 12 ownership. Okay. Have you or anyone in your 13 MS. LEXIS: family, close friends, ever been accused of a crime or charged 14 with a crime, arrested with a crime --15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: No. 17 MS. LEXIS: -- of a crime? What do you think would make you a good juror? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I think being able to 19 listen to both sides of the story makes a big difference out 20 of that is the key component. 22 MS. LEXIS: So you're the type of person who could 23 wait until you hear all of the evidence, hear all of the 24 testimony and before you could render a decision? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Certainly.

Okay. And would you hold Mr. DiGiacomo MS. LEXIS: 1 and myself to our burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable 2 doubt? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Of course. 4 5 You're okay with the presumption of MS. LEXIS: innocence? Defendants don't have to testify, those, you know, 6 key premises or key ideas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yeah, you know, I have 8 to tell you prior to coming in here, right, certainly, I had a 9 little bit of an expectations in terms of what the defendants 10 have to show, but certainly, after receiving that information, 11 12 I do know what those expectations are. Okay. Court's brief indulgence. 13 MS. LEXIS: We have no more questions for this witness. Thank you, Ms. 14 15 Ramirez. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: 16 Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Landis. MR. LANDIS: If the Court doesn't mind us switching 18 up the order. 19 I don't mind at all. 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Your Honor 22 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ms. McNeill. 23 MS. McNEILL: As Ramirez, I just want to follow up with a couple topics that you discussed with Ms. Lexis. 24 The 25 last topic that you discussed where she asked you some

questions about, you know, holding them to their burden and you made a comment about you'll listen to both sides. And I know sometimes it seems like we're all repeating ourselves, but it's -- you can understand it's really important to those three gentlemen that we kind of get up here and get jurors that are going to be fair to both sides.

When you say you'll listen to both sides, you understand there may not be anything from this side of the room?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That's understandable.

MS. McNEILL: Okay. And you're okay with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Based on the information that was shared yesterday, yes.

MS. McNEILL: Okay. So the only side that you might hear might be from them, and you'll have to evaluate just that version of events.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That's correct.

MS. McNEILL: Okay. Do you think that you're -- the skills that you have being a social worker and sort of dealing with people from all walks of life will aid you in, sort of, listening to what they have to say and evaluating that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Certainly, that will play into the picture. You know, what I'm really looking forward to receiving, from my understanding, is the Judge is going to provide some additional directions pertaining to this

So that's the part that I'm looking forward to 1 2 receiving. 3 So it sounds like from listening to MS. McNEILL: you talk, it sounds like you're the type of person who sort of 4 5 takes all of the information you have, but you also tend to be sort of rule based. Is that -- did I pick up that correctly? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: For the most part, yes. Okay. So you like to have a set of 8 MS. McNEILL: rules to operate under? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: 10 Yes. Okay. So you will be able to follow 11 MS. McNEILL: 12 the instructions from the Judge, no problem? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That's correct. You indicated that you do work with 14 MS. McNEILL: ex-felons and people who are homeless. So I'm guessing you 15 have a wide variety of experience with people from all 16 17 different backgrounds. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That is correct. Just for clarification in terms of the ex-felons. 19 While the agency provides those services, I don't necessarily work directly --20 MS. McNEILL: Okay so --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: -- in doing that work. 23 MS. McNEILL: -- your contact with them is limited? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Correct. 25 Okay. Do you have any contact with MS. McNEILL: Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

ex-felons that come in to --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Not necessarily. 2 They -- they access more of our emergency shelter services, which 3 is outside of my division. 4 5 MS. McNEILL: Okay. Have you through any other job that you've had, had any contact with people who may have 6 criminal records? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: When I first started my 8 first career in Catholic Charities was conducting case 9 management to homeless clients and some of them had some 10 background relating to --11 12 MS. McNEILL: Okay. Would you feel as if you would judge someone based on the fact they may have a criminal 13 14 record? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Not necessarily. 15 Okay. So you may hear from some 16 MS. McNEILL: witnesses who have criminal records. Are you going to hold 17 that against the person? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Not necessarily. 19 MS. McNEILL: Do you think that you might believe 20 their testimony less than someone else's? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: 23 Okay. Do you agree -- and I think Ms. MS. McNEILL: 24 Lexis asked you a question about you see people a lot who are

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

trying to get second chances, right?

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: That's correct. 1 2 MS. McNEILL: Do you agree that people aren't just 3 the worst things they've ever done? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Of course. 5 MS. McNEILL: So you would sort of give every witness the same deference in what they're saying to you? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yes. I'll pass for cause, Your Honor. 8 MS. McNEILL: Thank you. Mr. Landis. 9 THE COURT: MR. LANDIS: Good afternoon. Did you obtain your 10 degree, your social work degree before starting at Catholic 11 12 Charities? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: I did. MR. LANDIS: Was Catholic Charities -- you've been 14 there a long time, right? Obviously, it's a job you like. 15 Let me ask you, it was a dream job when you applied for it or 16 17 has it kind of become a close to dream job as you've worked 18 there? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: You know, I -- so as far 19 as after graduation, right, certainly, I was excited to get 20 21 any job --22 MR. LANDIS: Right. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: -- right, working in 24 that field. I did an internship in United Way, which led to 25 that position. It's again, social work is something that I've

always wanted to get into and I was pretty excited to be able to start right off after graduating.

MR. LANDIS: I assume you've fallen more in love with the job over the past 18 or so years, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: It has its pros and cons, I'm assuming, just like any other job.

MR. LANDIS: Sure. That's definitely true. Does it seem to you like all of us attorneys are asking a lot of questions about will you guys follow the instructions, do you understand the defendant doesn't have to testify, those kinds of things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yeah, certainly.

MR. LANDIS: If I could, this happens all the time. Do jury selection kind of like we're doing here, and I'll talk to every potential juror about the defendant's right not to testify and the fact that the Judge is going to tell you, you can't hold that against them, go through every juror, we'll do the whole trial. At the end of the trial, sometimes you get the chance to talk to jurors. Obviously, they don't have to, but if they want to.

You'll talk to jurors and let's say I lose, and I'm saying hey, you know, what could we have done better, what was it? And the jurors -- multiple jurors will sit there in a circle and look at you and say, you know, we just really needed to hear from your guy, and you're like come on, we just

talked about that at the begin of this trial for weeks. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Right. MR. LANDIS: So, you know, that's what I think 3 causes so many attorneys to do it. Does that make sense? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Certainly. MR. LANDIS: And when it comes to the Judge's 6 instructions, my fear is always that jurors think they're advice as opposed to their duty to follow, if that makes 8 9 sense. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: 10 Right. MR. LANDIS: And based on just that and overall what 11 12 we've been saying, no qualms, that you'll be able to follow the Judge's instructions no matter your personal feelings? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 251: Yes. MR. LANDIS: I'll pass for cause, Judge. 15 16 THE COURT: Mr. Wolfbrandt. 17 MR. WOLFBRANDT: Judge, I'll pass for cause. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 MR. WOLFBRANDT: THE COURT: If you'll hand the microphone to your 20 neighbor, Mr. Wirtner. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Juror 252, Erik Wirtner. 23 Tell us about yourself. THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, Your Honor, 24 yesterday I had mentioned to you I had a business trip going 25

out on the 21st and 22nd, and I said I'm inquire with my 1 employer about it because the meeting was announced last Thursday, and I didn't have the entire agenda and everything presented to me yesterday to be able to present to you. 4 5 Unfortunately, they've asked me for -- to request an excusal because I have to present materials that are specific 6 to my job description and my specific skill set for a morning out of those two days, and these are presentations that I've 8 created and -- and trained to deliver. Okay. And then they're in the morning? 10 THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes. 12 THE COURT: And this is the --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: It's --This is --14 THE COURT: Remember this is in PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 15 Phoenix, Arizona. 16 17 Right. At the bank -- at a bank -- one THE COURT: of the bank facilities? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes. So they don't have Internet conferencing 20 THE COURT: capabilities? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: No conference me in? 22 23 did not inquire.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

that because every day we're not starting until afternoon.

THE COURT: Well, let's do that. Then you can do

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I think the only problem 1 with that is this is a room of about 100 people, not a small 2 group of five or six. Yeah, well, I don't know, I guess, I've 4 THE COURT: 5 seen that with big screens and why don't you find out about that on our next break. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Okay. All right. So tell us about yourself in 8 THE COURT: the meantime. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: My name's Erik Wirtner. 10 I've lived in Vegas about 14 years. Actually, pardon me, 13 11 12 going on 14. I'm divorced, no kids. I have two cats. Okay. Are you recently divorced, long 13 THE COURT: ago divorced? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: About five years ago. 15 Okay. And what did your wife, ex-wife 16 THE COURT: 17 do before your divorce? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I'm so sorry? 18 What did your ex-wife do for a living? 19 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: For the cause of the --20 or for a living? 22 THE COURT: I don't want to know what the cause of 23 the divorce was so --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I was going to say I don't think we have that kind of time today. The -- oh, what 25

did she do? She was in sales. 1 Okay. And have you always been in 2 THE COURT: banking? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I have been in finance 4 5 since '95, yes. What's your educational background? 6 THE COURT: 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I've a bachelor's of science degree in finance from the University of Pittsburgh. 8 Would the State like to inquire further? 9 THE COURT: MR. DiGIACOMO: Briefly. Good afternoon, sir. 10 are you? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I'm well. Thanks for 13 asking. MR. DiGIACOMO: You mentioned, I think, yesterday 14 that your current relationship, she is a Corrections Officer 15 at the Clark County Detention Center? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: That is correct. Okay. How long you been with her? 18 MR. DiGIACOMO: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Oh, a short time. 19 three months now. 20 MR. DiGIACOMO: And do you know what her assignment 22 at the corrections -- or at the detention center is? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Oh, yes. 24 MR. DiGIACOMO: What is it? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: She works at intake. Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

She's a sergeant there. 1 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: She's a sergeant at intake? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 3 Um-h'm. MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Does she talk to you at all 4 5 about the job? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 6 Oh, yes. MR. DiGIACOMO: And I know the Judge sort of touched on this, you know, particularly people who obviously, know --8 do you know more than just her? Do you know her Corrections Officers because of her? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: No, I -- I don't. 11 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Other cops that are friends 12 13 with --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I -- back home there's a 15 couple guys I went to high school with that are cops. MR. DiGIACOMO: Yeah, and you would agree, I assume 16 17 that in any profession, I assume there's good bankers and bad bankers and that there's good cops and bad cops, right? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: To a degree, yeah. 19 MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you -- let me ask you, would 20 you agree with the concept that every person should be judged on who they are, not who the group their associated with is? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I don't blanket that, You know, I think there's certain -- I weight certain 24 25 professions higher than others. I mean, if you look at a

religious profession, I would certainly rank pastors and, you know, priests and such on a higher moral and, I don't know, responsibility level than, you know, someone not.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Right. I mean, but it's not because the mere fact they're a priest. It's because if they're a priest, that must mean that they have some sort of, you would anyways, that they have a moral basis that is ingrained in them that allowed them to choose that profession?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. It's not the they're mere fact -- I mean, I assume, you know, we've all seen news or movies that there are some priests out there that have done things that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Absolutely.

MR. DiGIACOMO: -- they shouldn't have, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yep.

MR. DiGIACOMO: You know, and you would have the ability, I would hope, to distinguish between those that are good and those that are bad based upon what they have to say or the evidence that's either corroborates or disputes their testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You mentioned that you are in banking and finance. You work for Chase. What is your current title? I missed that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Vice President of 1 Lending Operations for Nevada and part of Arizona and southern 2 3 Utah. MR. DiGIACOMO: And does that mean you have people 4 5 that work for you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 6 Yes. MR. DiGIACOMO: About how many people report to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Currently, 16. 8 Okay. And you deal, I assume, at 9 MR. DiGIACOMO: times with employee disputes in some manner? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 11 Oh, yes. 12 MR. DiGIACOMO: And you're able to function and figure out what you think to be the accurate truth is and make 13 a decision about what it is you're going to do? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes. Okay. Do you think essentially, 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: 17 you'd be a fair juror if we set aside the idea that you have this problem next week that you need to be in Phoenix? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: It depends what my employer says. 20 Well, I'm saying set that MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. 22 aside. Absent that fact, do you think that you'd be, 23 essentially, a fair juror? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah. 25 MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Do you think you're, Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

essentially, a fair person? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I would -- I would say 2 3 I have my moments of irrational ability, but --MR. DiGIACOMO: Who doesn't? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah. So let me ask the penalty questions. MR. DiGIACOMO: 6 There's no -- you don't have any concerns in sitting in judgment of what should happen to a person should we get to 8 that point in this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: No. Okay. And you would be willing to 11 MR. DiGIACOMO: be open to all three forms of punishment? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes. So now let's just talk about the 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: The Judge is going to decide on the hardship issue. 15 16 That's on the Court and --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Um-h'm. MR. DiGIACOMO: -- lawyers really don't have a lot 18 to say about that. So let me just go with what I would be 19 20 concerned with --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: MR. DiGIACOMO: -- and that is, you know, assuming 22 23 that the Court says you're here and there's nothing you can do 24 about it, are you capable of setting aside the -- what's happening there and focus on what's happening here in the 25

courtroom, or are you going to be so distracted that you you're incapable of understanding or listening to the witnesses and absorbing the information that's being provided to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I would say, you know, I can keep my attention on point when I need to. If that -- to say wholeheartedly, that it's not going to be a distraction, I wouldn't be truthful in saying that. There --

MR. DiGIACOMO: I don't think anybody would be.

But, I mean, there are some people who literally will say look, if, you know -- there was a gentleman yesterday -- if I'm not at the birth of my child, that's the only thing I'm going to be thinking about, and I don't care what the evidence is and I'm not going to pay attention.

From my point of view, I just want to know if the Court decides you've got to stay, are you going to be someone who's capable of focusing on what you would all agree is a very important situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I would agree it's very important. Like I said, I -- I would absolutely do my best to keep my focus on the task at hand.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Um-h'm.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, we'd pass.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Landis.

MR. LANDIS: And I certainly respect the intent to 1 do your very best. Knowing you better than I know you, how do 2 you predict it's going to play out, though? Do you think you're going to succeed and be able to stay focused on the 4 matters in here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, I have to do that 6 pretty regularly at my job because I'm always juggling like 15 different balls in the air and one ball is usually the biggest 8 out of all of them, and I've got to make sure that I've catch So I've got to keep an eye on it. 10 that one. MR. LANDIS: You're comfortable enough that you'll 11 be able to do it, then? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I can split my brain, 13 14 yes. MR. LANDIS: I assume you hear stories from your 15 girlfriend almost every time you see her about the previous 16 shift at work --17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes. MR. LANDIS: 19 -- right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 20 Yes. 21 Because she works at intake, meaning people coming off the streets, right? Considered one of the 22 23 more wild, if I can use the term wild, sections of the jail? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Um-h'm. 25 MR. LANDIS: Have those conversations with her Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

changed your viewpoint at all about the criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I don't know that they've changed. I -- I've never really had a bad opinion about the criminal justice system. So I -- good or bad it hasn't moved the needle at all.

MR. LANDIS: Mr. DiGiacomo a moment ago was talking to you about classes of people, or professions of people, I don't know how we want to call it, but things like people in the religious fields.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Um-h'm.

MR. LANDIS: And correct me if I'm wrong, you agreed with him with the basic idea that even people in these professions that you might hide -- or hold higher, some of them are bad apples and some of them do do things that are wrong, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes.

MR. LANDIS: Obviously, I could -- if the evidence was there and a priest was accused of doing something, you could find them guilty if it was proven beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah, yeah. The -- the cloth is not blind me with that.

MR. LANDIS: And I'm not going to ask you your opinion about the class of people known as lawyers, but

talking about law --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I have two my family so.

MR. LANDIS: Talking about law enforcement, some time yesterday you talked about how your views about law enforcement have been shaped some by your current relationship. Is that fair? I don't want to put words in your mouth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: A little. Again, I -- I don't -- again, I don't see it moving the needle much. I think maybe it's changed my opinion of what goes on in a jail and some of the things that people are capable of doing in this society and scratch my head as to why they do them.

MR. LANDIS: Certainly. Did I misremember? Didn't you say at some point yesterday that you tend to believe an officer because he's an officer? Not exclusively or pull a cloth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah, I do. I generally will weigh on the side of the law.

MR. LANDIS: And similar to the conversation about priests or religious figures, obviously, you could see a situation where you believe a cop -- an officer is lying, right, based on what they say and other evidence you hear?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Based upon the evidence, I mean, if it's in black, it's black, and then they say white is white and I can see that it's black, yes, I believe it's

black. 1 2 MR. LANDIS: Their truthfulness isn't necessarily bullet proof, then, in your view, if I can phrase it that way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah. No, no, it's --4 5 MR. LANDIS: But getting more specific, though, here's my question, if we assume a witness gets on the stand 6 who's not an officer, has no profession, you don't know them, 8 obviously, they start at neutral in terms of believability, right? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Again, depending. 10 MR. LANDIS: On what? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I don't know what their history is. 13 MR. LANDIS: Say you don't know anything about them, 14 they haven't opened their mouth, you --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah, we'll see zero 16 17 then? You're neutral, right? 18 MR. LANDIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 19 Yep. 20 MR. LANDIS: What I want to determine, if you can does an officer start above that line for you just 22 because they walk in here with an officer's uniform? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Honestly, yes, I do. I -- I've been in situations where many officers have been much 24 25 more helpful to me than the average human on the street has.

And I -- again, it goes back to I think there's a moral 1 Constitution, there's a mindset and a type of person that goes 2 with taking a position like that or in clergy that yeah, they would -- they would rank higher in believability until other 4 -- proven otherwise to me. 5 Will it be hard for you to set that 6 MR. LANDIS: belief aside, even if the Judge asks you to? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I think more that's case 8 by case, honestly, what you're asking me to believe versus not. You know, again, if it is absolutely black and white, 10 that's cut and dry. If we're in gray areas, that might be 11 12 tough. But before we even get to the words, 13 MR. LANDIS: talking about them walking through the door, are you going to 14 be able to shake the fact that you put them above neutral just 15 based on their status, even if the Judge asks you to. 16 17 And understand my concerns, of course. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: T do. MR. LANDIS: And that's all I'm asking you. 19 Should 20 I be concerned about it? 21 JUROR NO. 252: Again, I can do my best 22 to put that aside, man. 23 MR. LANDIS: Are you confident you can do so? 24 Approach here before this goes further. THE COURT: 25 (Off-record bench conference)

MR. LANDIS: Thank you for your time. I'd pass for 1 2 cause. MR. WOLFBRANDT: And Judge, I would pass for cause. 3 MS. McNEILL: Your Honor, I would pass for cause as 4 5 well. Thank you. And we'll go to Rosario 6 THE COURT: 7 Garcia. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Good afternoon. 8 My name is Rosario Garcia. And your badge number is. 10 THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 253. 12 THE COURT: All right. Tell us about yourself. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I am married. 13 I have two sons. A 31-year-old and a 26 -- 27-year-old, actually. 14 Very proud of them, by the way. I am a dental assistant, and 15 I worked since 1984. I presently doing dental assistant and 16 17 front desk. And am I missing something? THE COURT: Single, divorced, significant other, 18 married? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I'm sorry? 20 THE COURT: Do you have a --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I am married. 23 THE COURT: Married. And what does your husband do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: He's a travel manager. 24 25 He works in China. He travels at least eight times a year or Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

more, if necessary. 1 And your adult children, what do they 2 THE COURT: 3 do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: My oldest, he's 31, and 4 he is a chef. Right now he's not working. He injure his hand 5 so he had to step out for a little bit. My 27-year-old, he 6 works at Switch Company, which is a very -- I don't know. I don't ask. I just -- I don't suppose to ask what kind of 8 company that is. It's a very secretive one. And that's what 9 they do. 10 And what's your education? 11 THE COURT: 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I went to RDA school, registered dental assistant in 1984, and I wanted to continue 13 with my career as a dental hygienist, but I was married and 14 got pregnant and decided that I wanted to be a mom before 15 anything else so I quit, and I stay as a dental assistant. 16 17 THE COURT: And so what's the difference between a dental assistant and a hygienist? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Dental hygienist can 20 actually clean your teeth. THE COURT: 21 Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. And the registered dental assistant has not so much on that field. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: We do a lot, but not --Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

here in Vegas it's very different. I took my courses in 1 California. 3 THE COURT: So are you then in the room when the dentist is there and you're assisting giving him --4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes, I am. -- instruments or --THE COURT: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: That is correct. -- taking notes? 8 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: That is correct. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. 10 Would the State like to inquire further? 11 12 MS. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. Hello. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Hello. Ms. Garcia, how are you? 14 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I'm just fine. 15 Thank How are you? 16 you. 17 MS. LEXIS: Do you want me to move this? I'm great. Thank you very much for asking. 18 19 (Off-record colloquy) MS. LEXIS: Ms. Garcia, have you or a close family 20 friend, anyone in your family ever been the victim of a crime? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. 23 Okay. What crime? MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: My close friend, my 24 neighbor, just recently was burglarized in her house, and it 25 Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

was very devastating for all of us. 1 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Because it's a very 3 small practice, and we share everything. 4 5 MS. LEXIS: Okay. So this is a work office that 6 was --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Her house. Her -- her own residence. 8 MS. LEXIS: Oh, okay. And does she live --9 obviously --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes, yes. 11 MS. LEXIS: -- she lives here in Las Vegas? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. Okay. And you're friends because you 14 MS. LEXIS: work -- your offices are close? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: No, we work in the same 16 17 office. MS. LEXIS: Oh, okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: She's one of the dental 19 hygienists. 20 Okay. And so her home was burglarized. 22 She was not home at the time? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: No. 24 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: She barely -- she -- as Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

she was walking in, she heard something on the backyard of her 1 house, and he continue going in, and somebody had kind of closed the sliding door in the backyard and then it shattered, it broke. And that's when she realized, oh, my God. 4 run to the across the street neighbor --MS. LEXIS: 6 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: -- to ask for help. And this is a close --MS. LEXIS: 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yeah, yes. 9 -- close girlfriend? 10 MS. LEXIS: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. 12 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: We work together for 21 13 14 years. Oh, my goodness. And so did she -- how 15 MS. LEXIS: did you find out about it? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Oh, she didn't -- she called me that night. 18 Okay. And she was panicked, I imagine? 19 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: She was panicking, and 20 she ask me to go ahead and cancel her patients for the following day because of that. 22 23 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: So I had to go early in 25 the morning and do that.

MS. LEXIS: And whether did this happen relatively? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: About four months ago. 2 Okay. And do you know if anyone was 3 MS. LEXIS: caught or prosecuted? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 5 No. Okay. 6 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: No one. Did the police respond, do you know? 8 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. Um-h'm, yes. 9 Okay. And just based on -- I mean, MS. LEXIS: 10 you've spoken to her about it further --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 12 Um-h'm. -- since the day it's happened --13 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 14 Yes. MS. LEXIS: -- I imagine? Okay. Has she expressed 15 any kind of dissatisfaction with the police or --16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: No. -- anyone? Okay. 18 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Not at all, no. 19 20 Okay. But you said that it was MS. LEXIS: devastating for her and also for you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Freaking. It's -- it's 23 scary. 24 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: You know, it scares Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

anyone. 1 2 MS. LEXIS: Right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I personally have an 3 alarm system at home and I have a big dog. 4 5 MS. LEXIS: Big dogs I think are the best. They don't get enough credit --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Unfortunately, no. MS. LEXIS: -- right? 8 Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Not really. With me, 9 10 yes. So does the fact -- I mean, you heard 11 MS. LEXIS: 12 some of the charges that we read off in this case or that I read off with the Court's permission. It does include a 13 burglary and also with the little bit of facts that we told 14 you in the very beginning, you can kind of tell that there's a 15 burglary element to this particular case. 16 17 Do you think, you know, kind of knowing the experience that your close girlfriend went through just four 18 months ago and having the same kind of subject matter in this 19 case, do you think you could still be fair and impartial in 20 this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: It's very difficult to 22 23 say. 24 MS. LEXIS: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: You know, because --Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 MS. LEXIS: Why? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: -- it's very -- I'm a 2 3 very emotional person. I want to start by saying that. MS. LEXIS: 4 Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I just don't like to see people hurt in any way. 6 7 MS. LEXIS: Right. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: And sometimes some people make decisions, and I don't -- I don't understand why. 9 Okay. So let me ask you this, 10 MS. LEXIS: certainly, no one was caught or prosecuted for what happened 11 to your girlfriend, right? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Um-h'm. Okay. So we don't know who committed 14 MS. LEXIS: that burglary? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: No. 17 All right. Certainly, you're not going MS. LEXIS: to think that because those people weren't caught, the 18 defendants, you're going to just automatically the defendants 19 here, you're going to automatically think they're guilty 20 without any kind of evidence just because they're charged with 22 the same kind of crime? Are you going to do that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I don't know if you have 24 the right person. 25 MS. LEXIS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I don't know if you --1 2 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: -- imprison the right 3 I don't know. 4 person. 5 MS. LEXIS: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I don't know. 6 Okay. So at this early stage, though, MS. LEXIS: no evidence has been presented. As Mr. Landis kind of pointed 8 out with the previous juror, we're talking in generalities. 9 Would you agree with me? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 11 Yes. 12 MS. LEXIS: Okay. And you understand that Mr. DiGiacomo and myself, we have the burden of proof? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. Beyond a reasonable doubt? 15 MS. LEXIS: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 16 Um-h'm. MS. LEXIS: 17 Right? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes. So you just said something to the 19 MS. LEXIS: Okay. effect of you're not sure if we have the right person, okay. 20 Are you the type of person who is already wondering that in your mind, do we have the right people, or are you the type of 22 person who can wait until we present evidence and have people 23 testify as witnesses before you can make up your mind as to 24 25 one, whether we've got the right people, and two, what crimes

it is if, in fact, they committed any?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I have been summoned three times. I think four times. And every time I received one of those papers, my heart pounds --

MS. LEXIS: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: -- because I don't know what -- what it is about.

MS. LEXIS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: And I have never had to be on this situation before. So I'm -- physically, it makes me really ill. It makes me sick. And emotionally, it makes me sick to be on this kind of situation.

MS. LEXIS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: And I don't want to hurt anybody.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. You don't want to hurt anybody meaning who?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I don't know what you're going to talk about in the future. I don't know -- we briefly know about the case, and as it is, when I heard it, it just -- I'm like I can't be here, but I'm here.

MS. LEXIS: Okay. Do you think that your reaction and the anxiety and kind of these feelings that you're having, do you think that they would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Possibly.

MS. LEXIS: Thank you, ma'am. I have no more questions for you.

THE COURT: I would just want to follow up before you go there. So --

MR. LANDIS: It may not be necessary.

THE COURT: -- the question is basically, when you say possibly, the question is pretty straightforward. Do you think, yes or no, that you can be fair and impartial in this case to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Yes.

THE COURT: You can? Why do you think that now when you just said before --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Well, from the get-go they said, you know, we're not going to hear one side of the story. We're only going to hear only one side of the story, correct?

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll go over this again because it's really important. The defendants do not have any burden of proof. The State does all the proving and that's because defendants in any criminal case are presumed to be innocent. So they start -- presume to be innocent. The State has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt, and you'll get a jury instruction at the end on what that means, that they have to prove that to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt,

right?

And so what that means is the defense doesn't have to prove anything. So -- because they're already presumed to be innocent. It's the State has to do the proving. So when the defense counsel say to you that they may not present any evidence, that's true. They don't even have to cross-examine witnesses.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: Um-h'm.

THE COURT: Will they? I believe they probably will. But they don't have to put on any evidence. There has been -- they beginning there was some suggestion to you that a couple of defense witnesses might be called, but they don't have to call anybody. And you may be called upon to decide just based upon the testimony that -- and witnesses and evidence, exhibits that the State puts on. But that's because the defense starts from innocent. They don't have to prove anything. They're already innocent. It's the State has to do the proving. Are you clear on that? Okay.

So this whole idea of well, I need to hear the other side of the story, you may not -- there's no requirement. The State has to prove it. Now, if you believe what the State has prove proven, and you believe that they proved it beyond a reasonable doubt, then that's sufficient. But if you feel they didn't prove it, then you have to deliver a verdict of not guilty. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: I understand that, Your 1 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Now --MR. LANDIS: Could we approach very briefly? 4 5 THE COURT: Sure. (Off-record bench conference) 6 THE COURT: Counsel has agreed that you may be dismissed because of your expressions of your extreme anxiety 8 in this case, and we don't want you having a panic attack. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 253: 10 Thank you. All right. You're excused. You need to 11 THE COURT: 12 get instructions from the Marshal. And has our stranded motorist, juror --13 THE MARSHAL: I just checked outside, ma'am. 14 She's 15 not here. She's not here. All right. So we're 16 THE COURT: 17 going to go to the next in order that's available. Mark Petra -- I can't --18 THE CLERK: THE COURT: Badge number? 19 THE CLERK: Badge number 326. 20 Mark Petrasich. Petrasich. All right, 22 sir, have you ever served as a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: No, ma'am. 23 24 THE COURT: And have you ever been in law 25 enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yes, ma'am. 1 What -- in what capacity? 2 THE COURT: 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: I was with the 137th military police unit here in Henderson. 4 5 THE COURT: How long was that -- did you have that assignment? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Just over a year. 7 It's been probably -- well, it was 2010 when I got out, so about 8 six years ago. Okay. Were you in the Air Force? 10 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: No, ma'am, Army. 11 Army. Was that the Army reserve? 12 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: 13 National Guard. National Guard, okay. So was that a --14 THE COURT: were you full-time national guard time? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: No, ma'am. 16 17 THE COURT: Part-time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: 18 Correct. THE COURT: So how much time did you actually serve 19 in that capacity per year? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: It was one weekend a 22 month, to weeks out of the year. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: So, you know, about 12 weeks -- 12 weekends, actually. Or -- yeah. 25