

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JORGE MENDOZA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 82740

FILED


DEC 23 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion requesting a second extension of time (60 days) to file the reply brief. Appellant's motion fails to demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances as required under NRAP 26(b)(1)(B) after receiving a telephonic extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Appellant shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve the reply brief. Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Lowe Law LLC
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney