IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DARNELL BUCHANAN,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 82869

FILED

JUN 25 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO WITHDRAW

This is a direct appeal from a judgment of conviction. Appellant appears to be represented by appointed counsel. Counsel has filed a motion to withdraw on the grounds that appellant may have a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel related to the acceptance of the guilty plea and suggests that it would present a conflict of interest for counsel to review his own effectiveness.¹

The motion was not served on appellant as required by NRAP 46(d)(3). Additionally, it does not appear that counsel can raise claims of ineffective assistance of trial counsel in this appeal from the judgment of conviction where it does not appear that any such claims were raised in the district court in the first instance. See Rippo v. State, 122 Nev. 1086, 1096, 146 P.3d 279, 286 (2006) (recognizing that this court does not address claims of ineffective assistance of counsel on direct appeal unless the district court

(O) 1947A

¹The motion does not clearly state whether counsel was appointed or retained, as required by NRAP 46(d)(3)(A). Appellant's case appeal statement also does not state whether appellant was represented by appointed counsel in the district court and whether appellant is represented by appointed counsel on appeal. See NRAP 3(f)(3)(F).

has held an evidentiary hearing on the question or an evidentiary hearing would be unnecessary). Accordingly, the motion is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, C.J.

cc: Darnell Buchanan
The Law Office of David R. Fischer
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney