IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH S. GILBERT, ESQ.,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Mar 18 2022 05:44 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 84113

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO

PETITIONER'S EMERGENCY MOTION

FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS (FIRST REQUEST)

DANIEL M. HOOGE, Bar Counsel	DOMINIC P. GENTILE
STATE BAR OF NEVADA	CLARK HILL PLLC
Nevada Bar No. 10620	Nevada Bar No. 1923
3100 W. Charleston Blvd, Ste 100	3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
(702) 382-2200	
	JANEEN V. ISAACSON
	LIPSON NEILSON P.C.
	Nevada Bar No. 6429
	9900 Covington Cross Dr., Ste 120
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorney for the State Bar

Attorneys for Joseph S. Gilbert

I. <u>Memorandum of Points and Authorities</u>

A. LEGAL AUTHORITY

NRAP 26(b)(1)(A) states "For good cause, the court may extend the time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires."

B. <u>REQUEST FOR TWO-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME.</u>

The State Bar was directed to respond to the Writ for Mandamus on or before March 18, 2022. Petitioner filed a Motion for Stay of the Proceedings on March 9, 2022. Pursuant to NRAP 27, the State Bar's response to Petitioner's Motion for Stay of the Proceedings was due on March 16, 2022.

Candidly, the State Bar inadvertently miscalculated the deadline for the opposition to the motion and conflated the deadline for the two documents. The State Bar seeks to file it's brief on March 18, 2022; only two days after the deadline.

This Court has an "announced policy to encourage trial upon the merits." *Adams v. Lawson*, 84 Nev. 687, 689, 448 P.2d 695, 696 (1968). Allowing the State Bar a two-day extension of time to submit the Opposition to Motion for Stay of Proceedings is reasonable and will allow the request, and opposition thereto, to be considered on its merits.

Petitioner will not suffer irreparable or serious injury if the Court allows the two-day extension of time and considers the State Bar's Opposition to the Motion to Stay Proceedings. The efficiency of the proceeding will not be harmed because the opposition is already submitted.

II. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The State Bar respectfully asks the Court to grant its request for a twoday extension of time to file the Opposition to the Motion to Stay Proceedings so that the full merits of the request can be considered.

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of March 2022.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL

R. Kait Flocchini, Bar Counsel Nevada Bar No. 9861 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702)-382-2200 Attorney for State Bar of Nevada