

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CANDICE SHAFFER; AND TRAVIS  
HEINRICH,  
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
NADIA KRALL, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,

and  
MARK SHAFFER; AND MYVEGAS  
MAGAZINE,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 84118

**FILED**

**FEB 11 2022**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER*

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus that would direct the district court to dismiss the underlying action or, in the alternative, for a writ of prohibition that would prevent the district court from holding a trial in the underlying matter until certain pre-trial procedure is completed.

Having reviewed the petition, it appears that an answer may assist this court in resolving this matter. Therefore, real parties in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 28 days from the date of this order to file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners shall have 14 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>To the extent petitioners in their petition for writ relief seek an order that would stay the district court proceedings while this petition is pending,

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Hon. Nadia Krall, District Judge  
Ben Moshe & Stein  
Travis Heinrich  
Raich Law PLLC  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

we deny such relief at this time. NRAP 8(a)(1) (providing that ordinarily a party must move first in the district court for a stay of proceedings pending the resolution of a writ petition); *see* NRAP 27.