IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CANDICE SHAFFER; AND TRAVIS HEINRICH,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
NADIA KRALL, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
MARK SHAFFER; AND MYVEGAS
MAGAZINE,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 84118

FILED

MAY 1 2 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

BEPUTY SLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying a motion to dismiss a complaint under NRCP 16.1(e).

Having considered the petition and its supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing such relief is warranted). Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy and whether to consider a petition seeking such relief is within this court's sole discretion. Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). We generally decline to exercise that discretion to grant writ petitions challenging orders denying motions to dismiss, and we are not convinced any of the exceptions for doing so apply in this case. See Smith

(O) 1947A

v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 113 Nev. 1343, 1344-45, 950 P.2d 280, 281 (1997) (discussing the exceptions to the general rule). We therefore

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Parraguirre C.J.

, J.

Herndon

Sr.J

cc: Hon. Nadia Krall, District Judge Ben Moshe & Stein Travis Heinrich Raich Law PLLC Eighth District Court Clerk

¹The Honorable Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.