

1 **NOAS**

Amy A. Porray, Esq.

2 Nevada Bar Number 9596

**MCFARLING LAW GROUP**

3 6230 W. Desert Inn Road

Las Vegas, NV 89146

4 (702) 565-4335 phone

(702) 732-9385 fax

5 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff,

6 Bradley John Bellisario

Electronically Filed  
Jan 25 2022 02:28 p.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

7 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

8 **FAMILY DIVISION**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 **BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,**

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 **EMILY BELLISARIO,**

14 Defendant.

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

Department: P

15  
16 **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

17 TO: Defendant, Emily Bellisario, and to her attorney of record, Amanda Roberts, Esq.:

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

1 Notice is hereby given that Plaintiff, Bradley John Bellisario, in the above-named matter,  
2 hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada the following order:

- 3 1. Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce entered in this action on  
4 December 23, 2021.

5 DATED this 20th day of January, 2022.

6 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**

7 /s/ Amy A. Porray

8 Amy A. Porray, Esq.  
9 Nevada Bar Number 9596  
6230 W. Desert Inn Road  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
(702) 565-4335  
10 Attorney for Defendant,  
11 Bradley John Bellisario  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24


The undersigned, an employee of McFarling Law Group, hereby certifies that on this 20th

☒ via mandatory electronic service using the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-file and E-

Amanda Roberts, Esq.

Linda Bell

*/s/ Crystal Beville*



**ASTA**  
Amy A. Porray, Esq.  
Nevada Bar Number 9596  
**McFARLING LAW GROUP**  
6230 W. Desert Inn Road  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
(702) 565-4335 phone  
(702) 732-9385 fax  
eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com  
Attorney for Defendant,  
Bradley John Bellisario

**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

**FAMILY DIVISION**

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

EMILY BELLISARIO,

Defendant.

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

Department: P

**CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

**1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement:**

Defendant, Bradley John Bellisario.

**2. Identify the judge issuing decision, judgment, or order appealed from:**

The Honorable Mary Perry, Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Division, Department  
P.

///

///

**3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:**

Appellant: Bradley John Bellisario

Attorney for Appellant: Amy A. Porray, Esq.  
McFarling Law Group  
6230 W. Desert Inn Rd.  
Las Vegas, NV 89146

**4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent:**

Respondent: Emily Bellisario

Attorney for Respondent: Amanda Roberts, Esq.  
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, NV 89121

**5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42:**

Both attorneys mentioned above are authorized to practice law in Nevada.

**6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the District Court:**

Appellant was unrepresented in the District Court.

**7. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel on the appeal:**

Appellant is represented by Amy A. Porray, Esq., counsel in the instant appeal.

///

///

1 **8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date**  
2 **of entry of the district court order granting such leave:**

3 No such leave was granted to Appellant.

4 **9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court:**

5 March 5, 2020.

6 **10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court,**  
7 **including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the**  
8 **district court:**

9 This is a divorce and child custody action. The parties, Appellant Bradley John Bellisario,  
10 and Respondent Emily Bellisario, were married in 2014 and have three (3) minor children.  
11 Following a one (1)-day bench trial, the district court granted the parties a divorce and made  
12 various findings and orders related to the parties' community and separate property. The court  
13 also made numerous custodial orders regarding the parties' minor children, including awarding  
14 Emily sole legal custody and primary physical custody subject to Bradley's right to supervised  
15 visitation. The court also awarded Emily attorney's fees and costs. The court entered its Finding  
16 of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce (Decree) following the trial on December 23,  
17 2021.

18 Bradley now appeals the Decree entered in this action on December 23, 2021.

19 **11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ**  
20 **proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket**  
21 **number of the prior proceeding:**

22 This matter has not been the subject of an appeal or original writ proceeding in the Supreme  
23 Court.

1 **12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:**

2 This appeal does involve child custody or visitation matters.

3 **13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:**

4 This appeal does not involve the possibility of settlement and is not appropriate for the  
5 Settlement Program.

6 DATED this 20th day of January, 2022.

7 **McFARLING LAW GROUP**

8 /s/ Amy A. Porray

9 Amy A. Porray, Esq.  
10 Nevada Bar Number 9596  
6230 W. Desert Inn Road  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
(702) 565-4335  
11 Attorney for Defendant,  
12 Bradley John Bellisario  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

☒ via mandatory electronic service using the Eighth Judicial District Court's E-file and E-service System to the following:

Linda Bell  
dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us

---

Crystal Beville



**CASE SUMMARY****CASE NO. D-20-605263-D**

**Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff**  
**vs.**  
**Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§

Location: **Department P**  
 Judicial Officer: **Perry, Mary**  
 Filed on: **03/05/2020**

**CASE INFORMATION****Statistical Closures**

12/23/2021 Judgment Reached (Bench Trial)

Case Type: **Divorce - Complaint**Subtype: **Complaint Subject Minor(s)**

Case  
Status: **12/23/2021 Closed**

Case Flags: **Order After Hearing Required**  
**Proper Person Mail Returned**  
**Appealed to Supreme Court**  
**Vexatious Litigant**

**DATE****CASE ASSIGNMENT****Current Case Assignment**

Case Number	D-20-605263-D
Court	Department P
Date Assigned	01/20/2021
Judicial Officer	Perry, Mary

**PARTY INFORMATION****Plaintiff**

**Bellisario, Emily**  
 1913 Sondrio Drive  
 Las Vegas, NV 89134

**Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ**  
*Retained*  
 702-474-7007(W)  
**Riccio, Joseph W.**  
*Retained*  
 702-522-1808(W)

**Defendant**






**Bellisario, Bradley John**  
 11005 Salford DR  
 Las Vegas, NV 89144

**Pro Se**  
 702-936-4800(H)

**Subject Minor**





















**Bellisario, Blake**  
**Bellisario, Brayden**  
**Bellisario, Brooklyn**

**DATE****EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT****EVENTS**

01/20/2022	 Case Appeal Statement [253] Case Appeal Statement
01/20/2022	 Notice of Appeal [252] Notice of Appeal
01/17/2022	 Memorandum [251] Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and Costs
01/14/2022	 Notice of Appearance [250] Defendant's Notice of Appearance
12/23/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order




















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	<i>[249] Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce</i>
12/23/2021	 Decree of Divorce <i>[248] Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree &amp; Orders</i>
12/22/2021	 Exhibits <i>[247] Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit "125"</i>
12/21/2021	 Exhibits <i>[246] Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit 124</i>
12/21/2021	 Exhibits <i>[245] Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit 124</i>
12/13/2021	 Financial Disclosure Form <i>[244] Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form</i>
11/19/2021	 Witness List <i>[243] Plaintiff's List of Witnesses</i>
11/19/2021	 Pre-trial Memorandum <i>[242] Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum</i>
11/04/2021	 Proof of Service <i>[241] Proof of Service- Elysian @ Tivoli</i>
11/02/2021	 Proof of Service <i>[240] Proof of Service- Red Rock Casino</i>
11/02/2021	 Proof of Service <i>[239] Proof of Service- Caesars Entertainment</i>
09/22/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[238] Notice of Entry of Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations</i>
09/20/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[237] Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing</i>
09/20/2021	 Order <i>[236] Order on Discovery Commissioner Report and Recommendation</i>
09/17/2021	 Order <i>[235] Order (September 16, 2021 Hearing)</i>
09/02/2021	 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations <i>[234] Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations For Attorney's Fees</i>
08/19/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[233] May 11, 2021</i>
08/19/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[231] May 18, 2021</i>
08/19/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[230] June 16, 2021</i>
08/19/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[229] July 7, 2021</i>
08/19/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[228] April 7, 2021</i>
08/19/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings

















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	[227] April 6, 2021
07/23/2021	 Order [226] Order onDiscovery commissioner's Report and Recommendations
07/22/2021	 Stricken Document [225] ***STRICKEN PER MINUTE ORDER 7/23/21*** [225] Order on Discovery Commissioner Report and Recommendation
07/22/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily [224] Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing
07/20/2021	 Order [223] Order (July 7, 20212 Hearing)
07/12/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily [216] Notice of Entry of Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant
07/12/2021	 Order [215] Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant
07/09/2021	 Notice [214] Notice of Intentional Misrepresentations by Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts Regarding Dr. Stephanie Holland
07/09/2021	 Notice of Change of Address Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John [213] Notice of Change of Address
07/08/2021	 Estimate of Transcript [222] APRIL 06, 2021
07/08/2021	 Estimate of Transcript [221] APRIL 7, 2021
07/08/2021	 Estimate of Transcript [220] MAY 11, 2021
07/08/2021	 Estimate of Transcript [219] MAY 18, 2021
07/08/2021	 Estimate of Transcript [218] JUNE 16, 2021
07/08/2021	 Estimate of Transcript [217] JULY 07, 2021
07/06/2021	 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations [212] Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations
06/28/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily [211] Notice of Entry Of Order
06/28/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order [210] Notice of Entry of Order from the June 16, 2021 Hearing.
06/26/2021	 Order [209] Order (May 18, 2021 Hearing)
06/26/2021	 Order [208] Order (June 16, 2021 hearing)
06/25/2021	



















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements <i>[207] Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs</i>
06/11/2021	 Notice Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[206] Amended Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference</i>
06/10/2021	 Notice Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[205] Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference</i>
06/02/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[204] opposition to Defendant Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and for an Award of Attorney Fees</i>
05/27/2021	 Notice of Hearing Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[203] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/21/2021	 Notice of Deposition Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[202] Notice of Deposition - Emily Bellisario</i>
05/19/2021	 Miscellaneous Filing <i>[201] Information Regarding Therapy Provided by Anna Trujillo as Requested by Judge Mary Perry at Hearing on May 18, 2021</i>
05/18/2021	 Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[200] Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff)</i>
05/17/2021	 Opposition Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[199] Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Request and Subpoena's, For an Award of Attorney's Fees and costs and related relief.</i>
05/17/2021	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[198] Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief.</i>
05/17/2021	 Exhibits Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[197] Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief.</i>
05/17/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[196] Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant's Motion Opposition To Defendant's Motion To Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; And Countermotion To Drug Test Defendant, For An Award Of Attorney's Fees And Costs, And Related Relief.</i>
05/14/2021	 Exhibits Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[195] Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to show Cause</i>
05/14/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[194] Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Cause why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should not be Held in Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees</i>
05/13/2021	 Notice <i>[193] Notice of Therapist</i>
05/13/2021	 Notice of Hearing

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	<i>[192] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/13/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[191] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/12/2021	 Notice <i>[190] Notice of Disclosure of Receivers and Certified Public Accountants</i>
05/12/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[189] Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing</i>
05/12/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[188] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/11/2021	 Order <i>[187] OAH 051121 Bellisario</i>
05/11/2021	 Order for Supervised Visitation <i>[186] Order for Supervised visitation at Family First sent to the parties on 05/11/2021 (km).</i>
05/11/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[185] Notice of Hearing</i>
05/10/2021	 Notice <i>[184] Notice of Plaintiff's Filing of her Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021</i>
05/10/2021	 Supplemental <i>[183] Supplemental To Opposition To Defendant s Motion For Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding The Hearing On January 25, 2021 And Motion For Leave To File A Renewed Motion To Disqualify Judge Perry And Countermotion For Leave Of Court To Refinance, To Deem Defendant A Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna s House Fees, And For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs.</i>
05/06/2021	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[181] Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
05/06/2021	 Motion for Order Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[180] Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device</i>
05/03/2021	 Exhibits <i>[179] Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's</i>
05/03/2021	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet <i>[178] Motion/Opposition Fee</i>
05/03/2021	 Motion <i>[177] Notice of Motion and Motion for a Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief</i>
05/03/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[176] Notice of Entry of Order After the April 06, 2021 Hearing</i>
05/03/2021	 Objection <i>[175] Objection to Defendant's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's</i>
05/02/2021	 Ex Parte Motion Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[174] Ex Parte Motion for Return of Children</i>
05/01/2021	 Motion for Order to Show Cause Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[173] Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not</i>

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

*be Held in Contempt*

04/30/2021



Order

*[172] Order After Hearing (4/6/21)*

04/29/2021



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[171] Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence and Related Matters*

04/29/2021



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[170] Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing on January 25 and Related Matters*

04/27/2021



Motion

Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[182] Def's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021*

04/27/2021



Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

*[169] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document*

04/26/2021



Certificate of Service

Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily

*[165] Certificate of Service*

04/26/2021



Lis Pendens

*[164] Notice of Lis Pendens*

04/26/2021



Lis Pendens

Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[163] Lis Pendens*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[162] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Wells Fargo*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[161] Subpoena Electronically Issued - State of Nevada Board of Examiners For Marriage and Family Therapists*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[160] Subpoena Electronically Issued - State Bar of Nevada*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[159] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Nevada State Bank*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[158] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[157] Subpoena Electronically Issued - JP Morgan Chase*

04/26/2021



Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[156] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Johnson Marini Advisors*

04/26/2021



















Subpoena Electronically Issued

Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John

*[155] Subpoena Electronically Issued - JNA Consulting Group, LLC*



# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

04/26/2021	 Subpoena Electronically Issued Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[154] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Bank of America</i>
04/26/2021	 Subpoena Electronically Issued Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[153] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Allied Flooring Services</i>
04/26/2021	 Subpoena Electronically Issued Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[152] Subpoena Electronically Issued - AAA Flooring, Inc.</i>
04/26/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[151] Notice of Entry of Amended Order After Hearing</i>
04/26/2021	 Notice <i>[150] Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference</i>
04/23/2021	 Subpoena Electronically Issued Party: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[149] Subpoena Electronically Issued - Bank of Nevada</i>
04/23/2021	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[148] Defendant's Objection to Mary Perry Taking any Further Action in this Matter</i>
04/23/2021	 Order <i>[147] Order on Discovery Commissioner R&amp;R</i>
04/22/2021	 Order <i>[145] Amended Order (July 30 2020 hearing)</i>
04/22/2021	 Exhibits <i>[144] Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered on April 6, 2021 and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>
04/22/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ; Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[143] Defendant's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs.</i>
04/22/2021	 Exhibits <i>[142] Exhibits in Support of Opposition To Defendant s Motion For Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing On January 25, 2021 And Motion For Leave To File A Renewed Motion To Disqualify Judge Perry; And Countermotion For Leave Of Court To Refinance, To Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna s House Fees, And For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs.</i>
04/22/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[141] Opposition To Defendant s Motion For Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing On January 25, 2021 And Motion For Leave To File A Renewed Motion To Disqualify Judge Perry; And Countermotion For Leave Of Court To Refinance, To Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna s House Fees, And For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs.</i>
04/14/2021	 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[140] Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>
04/12/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[139] Notice of Hearing</i>
04/08/2021	 Motion to Reconsider Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[137] **No Designation Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021</i>

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

04/08/2021	 Motion for Relief Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[136] Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry</i>
04/06/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[135] Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitations</i>
04/06/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[134] Notice of Entry of Protection Order Against Domestic Violence</i>
04/06/2021	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[133] Notice of Defendant's Objection to Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations</i>
04/06/2021	 Order <i>[132] BELLISARIO V BELLISARIO ORDR D-20-605263-D</i>
04/06/2021	 Order for Supervised Visitation <i>[131] Order for Defendant's supervised visitations at Donna's House on 04/06/2021 (KM).</i>
04/06/2021	 Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations <i>[129] ***VACATED PER MINUTE ORDER 4/8/21***Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations</i>
04/05/2021	Transcript of Proceedings <i>[168] JANUARY 25, 2021</i>
04/05/2021	Transcript of Proceedings <i>[167] FEBRUARY 11, 2021</i>
04/05/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[166]</i>
04/05/2021	 Transcript of Proceedings <i>[146] March 17, 2021</i>
04/05/2021	 Ex Parte Motion Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[128] Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for April 6, 2021 at 10:00 AM</i>
03/30/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[127] Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order; and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs; and Related Relief</i>
03/30/2021	 Exhibits Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[126] Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order, and October 22, 2020 Order; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>
03/30/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[125] Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>
03/25/2021	 Estimate of Transcript <i>[138] JANUARY 25, 2021; FEBRUARY 11, 2021; MARCH 4, 2021; MARCH 17, 2021</i>
03/23/2021	 Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing <i>[124] Notice of Rescheduling Hearing</i>
03/23/2021	 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document <i>[123] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document</i>
03/22/2021	 Notice




# CASE SUMMARY


CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John  
*[122] Notice of Intent to File Writ of Mandamus and Stay Proceedings*


03/22/2021

 Decision and Order  
*[121] Decision and Order*


03/18/2021

 Notice of Hearing  
*[120] Notice of Hearing*


03/17/2021

 Opposition  
 Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John  
*[119] Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, For Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief*


03/16/2021

 Motion for Protective Order  
 Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John  
*[118] Defendant's Motion for Protective Order*


03/12/2021

 Notice  
 Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John  
*[117] Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time Dated 3-11-21*

03/11/2021

 Ex Parte Application  
 Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily  
*[116] Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time*


03/10/2021

 Notice of Entry of Order  
 Filed By: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ; Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily  
*[115] Notice of Entry of Order After January 25, 2021 Hearing*


03/10/2021

 Order  
*[114] Order After Hearing 1-25-21*


03/10/2021

 Notice of Hearing  
*[113] Notice of hearing*


03/09/2021

 Motion to Reconsider  
 Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John  
*[112] \*\*No Designation Defendant's Motion For Reconsideration Regarding Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry*


03/09/2021

 Notice  
 Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John  
*[111] Notice of Defendant's Intent to Seek Post-Order Relief*


03/09/2021

 Ex Parte Application  
 Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily  
*[110] Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time*

03/09/2021

 Exhibits  
*[109] Exhibits in support of Notice Of Motion And Motion To Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley s Business As A Party To This Action, Appoint A Receiver For The Business, Deeming Bradley Vexatious Litigation And Consolidating Civil Cases To This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, For Mental Health Evaluation, For Order To Show Cause And To Hold Bradley In Contempt, To Reduce Child Support Arrears To Judgment, To Reduce Temporary Support To Judgment, For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs, And Related Relief.*


















03/09/2021

 Motion  
*[108] Notice Of Motion And Motion To Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley s Business As A Party To This Action, Appoint A Receiver For The Business, Deeming Bradley Vexatious Litigation And Consolidating Civil Cases To This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, For Mental Health Evaluation, For Order To Show Cause And To Hold Bradley In Contempt, To Reduce Child Support Arrears To Judgment, To Reduce Temporary Support To Judgment, For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs, And Related Relief.*

03/09/2021

















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[107] Notice of Entry of Decision and Order</i>
03/09/2021	 Decision and Order <i>[106] Decision and Order Denying Motion to Disqualify</i>
03/04/2021	 Reply Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[105] Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11 (Formerly NCJC 3E); and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief</i>
03/03/2021	 Affidavit of Service <i>[104] Affidavit of Service</i>
03/02/2021	 Certificate of Service <i>[103] Certificate of Service</i>
03/02/2021	 Notice of Entry <i>[102] Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time</i>
03/02/2021	 Order Shortening Time <i>[101] Order Shortening Time</i>
02/26/2021	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[100] Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time</i>
02/26/2021	 Ex Parte Application <i>[99] Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time</i>
02/25/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[98] Notice of hearing</i>
02/23/2021	 Exhibits <i>[97] Exhibits in Support of Opposition To Defendant s Motion To Disqualify Judge Pursuant To NCJC 2.11 (Formerly NCJC 3E); And Countermotion For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs And Related Relief.</i>
02/23/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ; Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[96] Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant s Motion To Disqualify Judge Pursuant To NCJC 2.11 (Formerly NCJC 3E); And Countermotion For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs And Related Relief.</i>
02/23/2021	 Exhibits <i>[95] Exhibits in Support of Opposition of Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant s Motion To Strike Hearsay And Misrepresentations Of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff s Notice Of Motion Et. Al.; And Countermotion For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs</i>
02/23/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[94] Opposition To Defendant s Motion To Strike Hearsay And Misrepresentations Of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff s Notice Of Motion Et. Al.; And Countermotion For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs</i>
02/23/2021	 Reply Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[93] Reply to Judge Mary Perry's Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify</i>
02/19/2021	 Opposition Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[92] Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Extended Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business, as a party to this action, appointing a receiver for the business, deeming Bradley a vexatious litigation, and consolidating civil cases to this action, modifying legal custody, modifying visitation, for mental health evaluation, for order to show cause and to hold Bradley in contempt, to reduce child support arrears to judgment, to reduce temporary support to judgment, for an award of attorney's fees and costs, and related relief</i>
02/16/2021	 Order <i>[91] Order to Withdraw</i>





















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

02/16/2021	 Response <i>[90] Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge</i>
02/11/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[89] Notice of Hearing</i>
02/11/2021	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[88] Notice of Defendant's Objection to Judge Mary Perry Hearing any Argument Related to Hearing Scheduled for February 11, 2021 at 1:30pm</i>
02/11/2021	 Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[87] Defendant's Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order, and October 22, 2020 Order</i>
02/11/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[86] Notice of Hearing</i>
02/11/2021	 Motion to Disqualify Judge Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[85] Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11 (Formerly NCJC 3E)</i>
02/10/2021	 Affidavit Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[84] Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge</i>
02/10/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[83] Notice of Hearing</i>
02/10/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[82] Notice of Hearing</i>
02/09/2021	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[81] Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
02/09/2021	 Motion to Strike Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[80] Defendant's Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentation of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining this Action, Appoint a Receiver For the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigant and Consolidating Civil Cases to this Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgement, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgement, for Award of Attorney's Fees and Cost and Related Relief</i>
02/09/2021	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[79] MOFI</i>
02/09/2021	 Ex Parte Motion Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[78] Ex Pare Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for February 11, 2021 at 1:30 PM</i>
02/09/2021	 Exhibits <i>[77] Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorneys Fees and Costs, and Related Relief.</i>
02/09/2021	 Motion Filed By: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ; Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[76] Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorneys Fees and Costs and Related Relief</i>
02/08/2021	 Affidavit of Service <i>[75] Affidavit of Service of Order Shortening Time Bellisario</i>













# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

02/08/2021	 Order Shortening Time <i>[74] OST Bellisario</i>
02/07/2021	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[73] Defendant's General Financial Disclosure Form</i>
02/05/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[72] Notice of hearing</i>
02/05/2021	 Ex Parte Application Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[71] Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time</i>
02/05/2021	 Schedule of Arrearages <i>[70] Schedule of Arrears for Temporary Support</i>
02/05/2021	 Schedule of Arrearages <i>[69] Schedule of Arrears for Child Support</i>
02/05/2021	 Exhibits <i>[68] Exhibits in support of Plaintiff's Motion</i>
02/05/2021	 Motion <i>[67] Notice Of Motion And Motion To Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley s Business As A Party To This Action, Appoint A Receiver For The Business, Deeming Bradley Vexatious Litigation And Consolidating Civil Cases To This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, For Mental Health Evaluation, For Order To Show Cause And To Hold Bradley In Contempt, To Reduce Child Support Arrears To Judgment, To Reduce Temporary Support To Judgment, For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs, And Related Relief</i>
01/25/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[66] Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020; and Countermotion for an Ward of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>
01/25/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[65] Notice of Entry of Order from the July 30, 2020 Hearing Bellisario</i>
01/24/2021	 Order <i>[64] Order</i>
01/22/2021	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[63] Notice of Entry of Order from the October 22, 2020 Hearing</i>
01/21/2021	 Notice <i>[62] Notice of Scheduling Status Check</i>
01/21/2021	 Notice <i>[61] Notice of Vacating Hearing</i>
01/20/2021	 Order <i>[60] Order</i>
01/20/2021	 Notice of Department Reassignment <i>[59] Notice of Department Reassignment</i>
01/20/2021	 Decision and Order <i>[58] Reassigning Case to Department P</i>
01/15/2021	 Order <i>[57] 2</i>
01/14/2021	 Notice of Hearing <i>[56] Notice of Hearing</i>
01/13/2021	 Notice of Hearing








# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[55] Notice of Hearing</i>
01/13/2021	 Opposition and Countermotion <i>[54] Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs.</i>
01/12/2021	 Notice of Department Reassignment <i>[53]</i>
01/11/2021	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[52] Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
01/11/2021	 Motion for Relief Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[51] Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020</i>
01/11/2021	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[50] Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
01/11/2021	 Motion to Strike Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[49] Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge</i>
01/11/2021	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[48] Certificate of Service</i>
01/11/2021	 Peremptory Challenge <i>[47] Peremptory Challenge</i>
01/04/2021	Administrative Reassignment - Judicial Officer Change <i>Judicial Reassignment to Judge Mary Perry</i>
12/24/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document <i>[46] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document</i>
12/24/2020	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet <i>[45] Cover Sheet</i>
12/24/2020	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily Party 2: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[44] Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>
12/23/2020	 Financial Disclosure Form <i>[43] Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form</i>
12/16/2020	 Notice <i>[42] Notice of Discovery Dispute</i>
12/11/2020	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>[41] Notice of Hearing</i>
12/11/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>[40] Notice of Entry of Order from the November 24, 2020 Hearing</i>
12/10/2020	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[39] Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
12/10/2020	 Motion for Order to Show Cause
















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[38] Def's Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions</i>
12/10/2020	 Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[37] Order (from 11/24/20 hearing)</i>
12/03/2020	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[36] Notice of Plaintiff's Non-Compliance with Court Order</i>
12/03/2020	 Notice Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[35] Notice of Defendant's Non-Compliance with Court Order</i>
12/03/2020	 Letters Issued <i>[34] Letter to Amanda Roberts 12-3-20</i>
12/01/2020	 Affidavit <i>[33] Affidavit of Sandra L. Pomrenze</i>
11/25/2020	 Affidavit Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[32] Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge</i>
10/06/2020	 Motion to Withdraw As Counsel Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[31] Motion To Withdraw As Attorney Of Record</i>
07/31/2020	 Order for Supervised Visitation <i>[30] Order for Supervised Visitation</i>
07/31/2020	 Notice of Entry Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[29] Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitation</i>
06/16/2020	 Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.302 Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[28] Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.07 by Plaintiff</i>
06/11/2020	 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[27] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order</i>
06/10/2020	 Stipulation and Order <i>[26] Stipulation and Order</i>
06/02/2020	 Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.302 Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[25] Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.302</i>
05/05/2020	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[24] Certificate of Service</i>
05/05/2020	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[23] Certificate of Service</i>
05/05/2020	 Substitution of Attorney Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[22] Substitution of Attorney</i>
04/29/2020	 Reply

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

	Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[21] Reply to Counterclaim</i>
04/29/2020	 Exhibits Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[20] Exhibit Appendix to Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition</i>
04/29/2020	 Reply Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[19] Reply to Defendant's Opposition</i>
04/15/2020	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[18] General Financial Disclosure Form</i>
04/14/2020	 Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing <i>[17] Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing</i>
04/13/2020	 Order <i>[16] Order Setting Case Management Conference And Directing Compliance With NRCP 16.2</i>
04/09/2020	 Exhibits Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[15] Defendant's Exhibits in Support Of Opposition And Countermotion</i>
04/09/2020	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[14] Defendant's Opposition To Motion For Primary Custody; Child Support; Health Insurance; Outsourced Alcohol/Psychological Evaluation; Confirm and Consolidate TPO; Spousal Support; And Attorney's Fees and Countermotion For Shared Physical Custody; Child Support And Attorney's Fees</i>
04/09/2020	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[13] Family Court Motion/Opposition Fee Sheet</i>
04/09/2020	 Answer and Counterclaim - Divorce, Annulment, Separate Maint Filed by: Counter Claimant Bellisario, Bradley John <i>[12] Answer And Counterclaim for Divorce</i>
04/07/2020	 Substitution of Attorney Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[11] Substitution of Attorney</i>
03/25/2020	 Acceptance of Service Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[10] Acceptance of Service of Process</i>
03/13/2020	 Ex Parte Application for Order Party: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[9] Ex-Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time</i>
03/13/2020	 Errata Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[8] Errata to Motion for Primary Physical Custody of the Minor Children; for Child Support &amp; Health Insurance Coverage; for an Outsourced Alcohol/Psychological Evaluation of the Defendant; to Confirm &amp; Consolidate the Temporary Protective Order; for Spousal Support; and for Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein</i>
03/09/2020	 Notice of Hearing <i>[7] Notice of Hearing</i>
03/09/2020	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily <i>[6] Plaintiff's General Financial Disclosure Form</i>

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

03/09/2020



## Exhibits

Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily

*[5] Exhibit Appendix to Plaintiff's Motion for Primary Physical Custody of the Minor Children; for Child Support & Health Insurance Coverage; for an Outsourced Alcohol/Psychological Evaluation of the Defendant; to Confirm and Consolidate the Temporary Protective Order; for Spousal Support; and for Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein*

03/09/2020



## Motion

Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily

*[4] Plaintiff's Motion for Primary Physical Custody of the Minor Children; for Child Support & Health Insurance Coverage; for an Outsourced Alcohol/Psychological Evaluation of the Defendant; to Confirm and Consolidate the Temporary Protective Order; for Spousal Support; and for Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein*

03/05/2020



## Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending

Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily

*[3] Summons*

03/05/2020



## Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction

Filed By: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily

*[2] Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction*

03/05/2020



## Complaint for Divorce

Filed by: Counter Defendant Bellisario, Emily

*[1] Complaint for Divorce*

## HEARINGS

12/20/2021



## Non-Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

**NON JURY TRIAL**

Divorce Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

*NON-JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL Court noted that the Defendant is currently incarcerated. Plaintiff and Donna Wilburn's Sworn Testimony and Exhibits presented (see worksheet). COURT stated it's FINDINGS and ORDERED the following: COURT FINDS that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case, personal jurisdiction over the parties, and child custody subject matter jurisdiction over the minor children. Upon SUBMISSION of the Divorce Decree, an absolute DECREE of DIVORCE is GRANTED, pursuant to the terms and conditions as placed on the record. Parties are RETURNED to the STATUS of SINGLE and UNMARRIED PERSONS. The Plaintiff shall have SOLE LEGAL SOLE PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Children. The Defendant shall have supervised visitation with the Minor Children once a week for four hours a day at Family First after the Defendant has petitioned the Court and has resolved his criminal matter. The Defendant shall be responsible for the visitation cost. The visitation shall be closely monitored and shall be suspended if the Defendant acts inappropriately. The Plaintiff shall have the authority to obtain passports for the Minor Children and travel outside the country without the Defendant's permission. The Plaintiff shall provide health insurance for the Minor Children. The Defendant shall reimburse one half of the Minor Children's health insurance monthly premium. Any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic or other health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor child is to be divided equally between the parties. Either party incurring an out of pocket medical expense for the child shall provide a copy of the paid invoice/receipt to the other party within thirty days of incurring such expense, if not tendered within the thirty day period, the Court may consider it as a waiver of reimbursement. The other party will then have thirty days from receipt within which to dispute the expense in writing or reimburse the incurring party for one-half of the out of pocket expense, if not disputed or paid within the thirty day period, the party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate sanctions. Child Support shall be temporarily SUSPENDED commencing January 2022. The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$1,569.00 a month for Child Support following the month after the Defendant is no longer incarcerated. Child Support arrears through December 2021 shall be in the amount of \$11,988.32 The amount is subject to the Defendant providing payment for particular months which may have not been provided in the schedule of arrears. Attorney Roberts shall recalculate the amount if the figures are not exact. The Child Support arrears amount shall be reduced to Judgement. Spousal Support arrears through December 2021 shall be in the amount of \$33,982.84. An monies that have been paid in advance shall be applied to any Child Support arrears prior to any monies that were owed. The Spousal support amount shall be reduced to Judgement. The Defendant shall have the opportunity to provide proof that the payments were made. The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$1.00 a month for Spousal Support until the Defendant is no longer incarcerated. Once the Defendant is released the Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$500.00 a month for seven years and shall be modifiable based on the Defendant's earning abilities. The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Children every year for the Dependent Tax Credit. The Defendant shall provide his tax returns to the Plaintiff every year until the last Minor Child reaches the age of majority. The*



# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

Plaintiff's name shall be restored to Emily Cardona. The Defendant shall not participate in Discovery pursuant to rule 16.2 therefore any request for admission which were not responded to shall be deemed admitted by operation of rule 36A3. The Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff \$21,425.35 for the costs to repair the damage to the residence. The Defendant shall pay one half of costs of the Minor Children's unpaid medicals bills in the amount of \$1,717.61. The amount shall be reduced to judgment. The Defendant shall maintain any costs for the Defendant's Law Practice Debt as his sole and separate property. The Plaintiff's Bank of America account ending in 0153, the Chase credit card ended in 5682 which was later changed to 5254, the Chase account ending in 5919 which was changed to 7774 are all community debts and shall equally be divided. The Defendant shall maintain the Student Loan Debts as his sole and separate property. The parties shall maintain their own debts not listed as their sole and separate debt. The Plaintiff shall keep all of her property in her possession as her sole and separate property. The Defendant shall undergo a psychological examination by Dr. Holland or by someone at Plaintiff's choice if Dr. Holland is not practicing. The Defendant shall be responsible for the total cost. Attorney fees for the Plaintiff shall be GRANTED for current and past Counsel. Attorney Roberts shall file a memorandum of fees and costs within thirty days. The Defendant shall have forty four days to file an Objection with the Court. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Divorce Decree and file it with the Court. Case shall be CLOSED.;

09/16/2021



**Return Hearing (9:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

**RETURN HEARING RE: DEFENDANT'S PSYCH EVALUATION**

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

**RETURN HEARING: RETURN HEARING RE: DEFENDANT'S PSYCH EVALUATION** In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. The Defendant stated that he was unable to attend one of the scheduled visitations because he got shingles. Court discussed a trial date and deadlines for the required documentation for the trial. COURT ORDERED the following: The Defendant shall have two hours of make up visitation with the Minor Children in one hour increments. Non Jury Trial SET for December 20, 2021 at 9:00 am. Close of Discovery shall be due on November 20, 2021. Expert reports shall be due by September 23, 2021. Rebuttal reports shall be due in thirty days. Pre Trial Memorandums and Financial Disclosure forms shall be due thirty days prior to the Trial date. Exhibits are due one (1) week prior to trial. Exhibits are due one (1) week prior to trial. The parties shall compile the Paper exhibits, separate them with tabs and number the lower right hand corner of each page in a binder. Deliver 2 copies to the Court and a copy uploaded to FCEvidence@clarkcountycourts. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.;

07/23/2021



**Minute Order (10:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

**MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD D-20-605263-D Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10** state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. On July 22, 2021, the ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION was filed in this case in error before it could be completed. Therefore, this document shall be STRICKEN from the record so that it may be replaced with the correct and completed document. A copy of this Minute Order shall be provided to all parties. ;

07/12/2021



**Minute Order (11:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

**MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD D-20-605263-D Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10** state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. At the hearing on May 18, 2021, the Court Ordered that the Defendant shall choose 3 people from the prior Court's list which the Department's Judicial Executive Assistant will provide to perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Friday May 21, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland. Upon the Department's inquiry into the Court List of Providers on May 18, 2021, it was discovered that the Court no longer held such a list. Unfortunately, Defendant was not in fact notified of this nor alternative orders provided. On July 9, 2021 the Defendant reached out to the Department to inquire about the Court list since one had never been provided to him. The Department was able to obtain the list from the year 2020. Due to the error in communication on the part of the Court, and to ensure Defendant is able to fairly exercise the right to find an alternative provider for the Court Ordered Psychological Evaluation per the May 18, 2021 hearing, it is hereby ordered: Defendant shall choose 3 people from the list provided to him by the Court to perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Thursday July 15, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland. A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys. ;

07/07/2021



**All Pending Motions (10:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

**OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION:** PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO NRS200.359 (PARENTAL KIDNAPPING BY PLAINTIFF); AND COUNTERMOTION TO DEEM DEFENDANT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...**MOTION:** MOTION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO NRS 200.359 (PARENTAL KIDNAPPING BY PLAINTIFF) In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Court reviewed the pleadings on file. The Court noted that the Plaintiff had good reason to withhold the Minor Children for the fear of her life. Defendant stated argument regarding the timely matter for the Plaintiff's response. Court stated that the extension of time to file the response was granted due the factor of Covid. Court noted that Dr.Ponzo's report has been reviewed and further discussed the Defendant participating with Dr. Holland so that a report can be filed with the Court. **COURT ORDERED** the following: The Defendant shall cooperate with Dr. Holland and a report shall be filed with the Court. The Defendant's Motion for Order pursuant to NRS 200.359 shall be **DENIED** The Plaintiff's Countermotion to Deem the Defendant Vexatious Litigant shall be taken **UNDER ADVISEMENT** and the Court shall a decision by end of next week July 16, 2021. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.;

07/07/2021

**Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and For An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs*

Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 07/07/2021

07/07/2021

**Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff)*

Denied; See all pending motion on 07/07/2021

06/23/2021

**CANCELED Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Vacated - Moot*

*Def's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021*

06/23/2021

**CANCELED Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Vacated*

*Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M Device*

06/16/2021



**All Pending Motions** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

**MOTION FOR A PROTECTION ORDER RELATING TO BRADLEY'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND SUBPOENA'S, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...****DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROTECTION ORDER RELATING TO BRADLEY'S DISCOVERY REQUEST AND SUBPOENA'S, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF** The Court noted that it had read the pleadings and was ready to issue the Order, however, the Court noted that it would entertain argument by counsel and also have the parties address specific issues. Argument by counsel and Defendant. **COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED:** With regard to the argument that Mr. Bellisario has not complied with EDCR 16.2, there is not sufficient evidence in front of the Court to suggest that he has failed to comply with that in whole or even in part. Therefore the Court is denying the motion in part and granting it in part as follows: with regard to AAA Flooring and Allied Flooring Services, Inc., the subpoena may go forward. It is limited to documents that are sufficient to prove a period of employment and wage or salary or other payment paid between August 2014 and the present. No other documentation is appropriate. So, Mr. Bellisario shall need to amend his request as it relates to those two subpoenas. The Motion is **DENIED** as to the Nevada Board of Examiners. Credibility of a witness is a valid pursuit of Discovery. The Motion is **GRANTED** as it relates to the Nevada State Bar. The Motion is **DENIED** as to Bank of America, all subpoenas, J&A Consulting Group, Johnson Martin Advisors, Chase Bank, LVMPD, Wells Fargo, and Nevada State Bank. The Request for Fees is **DENIED**. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Report and Recommendation and Mr. Bellisario shall review and sign off as to form and content and file it with the Court within the next 14 days to avoid a sanction. Status Check SET for 7/7/21 @ 1:30 P.M. (Submission of Report and Recommendation) The parties shall not need to appear if the Report and Recommendation is submitted timely.;

06/16/2021

**Opposition** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)

*Defendnat's Opposition to Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Request and Subpoena's, For an Award of Attorney's Fees and costs and related relief.*

Granted in Part;

06/16/2021

**Motion** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)

*Notice of Motion and Motion for a Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief*

Denied in Part;

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

06/16/2021



**All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

*MOTION: DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE...MOTION: OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL, AMANDA ROBERTS, ESQ., SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS.. In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Defendant put under OATH. Court noted that the Motion has been reviewed and the parties stated they have nothing further to add to the Motions. Court further discussed the amount of funds in the Trust account and the Orders from Attorney Roberts that are outstanding. COURT ORDERED the following: The Motion for an Order to show cause is DENIED. The accountant and receiver shall receive and shall be able to review the Trust account. Attorney Roberts shall submit the Order from May 11, 2021 and May 18, 2021 to the Court along with a schedule of arrears. Attorney Roberts shall file a memorandum of fees and costs and shall have ten days to submit it. The Defendant shall file his objection and the Court will review it. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.;*

06/16/2021

**Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Cause why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should not be Held in Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs*  
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 06/16/2021

06/16/2021

**Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Defendant's Motion for Order to Show Cause*  
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 06/16/2021

05/18/2021



**All Pending Motions** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)




Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

*PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON January 25, 2021 AND MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO REFINANCE TO DEEM DEFT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT WAIVE DONNA'S HOUSE FEES AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTY FEES AND COSTS DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ENTERED April 6, 2021; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING ON January 25 AND RELATED MATTERS DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ENTERED April 6, 2021; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON January 25, 2021 AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RENEWED MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE MARY PERRY. In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Court reviewed the history of the case and pleadings on file. Court noted that there is no need for the Defendant to wear the Scram device any longer. Attorney Roberts stated her argument that the Defendant continues to have an erratic behavior for his visitations with the Minor Children. Parties stated arguments regarding who will do the psychological evaluation on the Defendant and who the Minor Child was seeking treatment from. Further argument regarding the lis pendens on the home, arrears on the mortgage payments, the parties ability to pay attorneys fees and costs and pleadings before the Court. COURT ORDERED the following: The Defendant is no longer Ordered to have the SCRAM device and shall remove it due to a consistent negative result. The Defendant shall provide the Court the emails and Talking parents/Family Wizard communication that states when the therapy began for the Minor Child that the Defendant did not give permission to. The Defendant shall choose 3 people from the prior Court's list which the Department's Judicial Executive Assistant will provide to Perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Friday May 21, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland. The Defendant shall provide Attorney Roberts with a report of the last SCRAM results and a list of work search from April to present. by Friday May 21, 2021 at 12:00 pm. Court will lift the lis pendens that is on the Sole and Separate home of the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff's request to refinance the home is GRANTED. The Court will GRANT the arrears up to the February 5th Motion and will leave it open to be amended from February on. The Court will not deem the Defendant a vexatious litigant at this time. Pursuant to the Court's Order from April the Defendant on May 12, 2021 has not responded to the provided list of three receivers and three CPA'S. Therefore the Court chooses the middle name on each list which is Dan Marks as the receiver and Bo Johnson as the CPA. Defendant's Motion to reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence shall STAND and shall be reviewed after the criminal case is heard in July 2021. Defendant's Motion for relief regarding the hearing on January 25, 2021 is DENIED because video recordings from each hearing is controlling. The Plaintiff's request for Attorney's fees are DEFERRED at this time. The Motion to disqualify Judge Mary Perry is DENIED Return Hearing SET for September 16, 2021 at 9:00 am. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court. ;*

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

05/18/2021	<p><b>Motion</b> (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  <i>Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs</i>  Matter Continued; See all pending motion on 05/20/2021</p>
05/18/2021	<p><b>Hearing</b> (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  <i>Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing on January 25 and Related Matters</i>  Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 05/20/2021</p>
05/18/2021	<p> <b>Opposition &amp; Countermotion</b> (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  <i>Defendnat's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs.</i>  Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 05/20/2021</p>
05/18/2021	<p><b>Opposition</b> (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  <i>Pltf's Opposition To Deft's Motion For Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing ON January 25, 2021 And Motion For Leave Of Court To Refinance To Deem Deft Vexatious Lirigant Waive Donna's House Fees And For An Award Of Atty Fees And Costs</i>  Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 05/20/2021</p>
05/18/2021	<p><b>Motion</b> (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  <i>Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry</i>  Denied; See all pending motion on 05/20/2021</p>
05/11/2021	<p> <b>Return Hearing</b> (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  <b>RETURN HEARING RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE</b>  Matter Heard;  Journal Entry Details:  <b>RETURN HEARING: RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE</b> In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. Court reviewed the visitation between the Defendant and Minor Children and briefly discussed the motions scheduled for future dates. Further discussion regarding the Minor Child's ( Brayden ) new therapist and the Defendant stated the Plaintiff has not provided any contact information about them. Plaintiff stated that the new Therapist is named Windy and works at First Choice. COURT ORDERED the following: The parties are referred to Family First for Supervised Visitation between the Defendant and the Minor Children. The Visitations shall take place every Thursday from 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm. The Court will WAIVE any remaining balance owed to Donna's House. Attorney Roberts shall e-mail the Defendant the Therapist contact information and he may access to the records. The Defendant shall not interfere with the therapy. The update from Donna's House visitation will be heard at the next Court hearing scheduled. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.;</p>
04/22/2021	<p> <b>Minute Order</b> (4:45 PM) (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)  Minute Order - No Hearing Held;  Journal Entry Details:  <b>MINUTE ORDER: NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES</b> Plaintiff submitted a Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements (the Memorandum ) on April 14, 2021. Defendant did not file a timely opposition. Plaintiff attached support to the Memorandum, including the Affidavit of Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., and Attorney Retainer Agreement, redacted billing records, and receipts/invoices. The analysis required under Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and Wright v. Osburn, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998) were satisfied by the Memorandum. The factors addressed by those cases, prerequisite to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the moving points and authorities with specificity. The request for fees of Plaintiff s attorney and staff was reasonable and necessary. Accordingly, an award of attorney s fees is the amount of \$3,239.50 is warranted. With regard to a party s request for an award of costs, NRS 18.110 (1) requires a party to file and serve a memorandum [of costs] . . . verified by the oath of counsel. However, without evidence to determine whether a cost was reasonable and necessary, a court may not award costs. Cadle v. Woods v. Erickson, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15, 345 P.3d 1049, 1054-1055 (2015). Although Plaintiff did attach invoices and receipts, acknowledging that Cadle requires a party to establish that the costs were reasonable, necessary, and actually incurred, Plaintiff s affidavit only TELLS the court that the invoices address why the costs were necessary. Cadle requires that counsel s affidavit must demonstrate the costs were necessary to and incurred in the action rather than merely concluding that the costs were necessary. Because the affidavit fails to meet this standard, the request for costs is denied. Plaintiff will submit a report and recommendation consistent with this minute order within 14 days of today s date, April 22, 2021. ;</p>
04/21/2021	<p><b>Status Check</b> (2:00 AM)  re: R&amp;R</p>

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

04/21/2021

**Status Check (2:00 AM)**

re: memo of fees and costs

04/08/2021



**Minute Order (4:30 PM)** (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

*MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD D-20-605263-D Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. The Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations filed on April 6, 2021 was erroneously forwarded to the Court prior to the fourteen (14) day expiration in order to allow objections to be filed. The Court therefore inadvertently signed and filed the Order adopting the Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendation on April 6 2021. The Court ORDERS the Order Adopting the Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations filed on April 6, 2021 be Vacated until such time as the Court rules on the Objection to the Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations. A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys. (ap);*

04/07/2021



**Status Check (1:30 PM)** (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)

Submission of Report and Recommendations and Attorney's Fees

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

*STATUS CHECK Minutes completed by Courtroom clerk Kendall Williams. Courtroom clerk trainee, Magdalena Castillo-Ramos, present. All parties present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application. Ms. Roberts stated the Report and Recommendation was submitted but the affidavit of fees was not included. Ms. Roberts further stated Defendant responded to her production requests with objections, even though he was recommended against it. Defendant stated he had plans to object to the Recommendations but only received the report today. Ms. Roberts advised the discovery received is insufficient. Further discussion regarding prior order. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED the following; The parties shall MEET & CONFER as to the deficiencies in discovery responses. Should Defendant refuse to amend his responses, Ms. Roberts shall file a Motion; Ms. Roberts shall submit her Memorandum of Fees and Costs by April 14, 2021. Defendant shall file a response by April 21, 2021; Matter set for an IN CHAMBER'S STATUS CHECK on April 21, 2021 (no appearances required) re: submission of Memo of fees; Matter set for a STATUS CHECK on April 21, 2021 (no appearances required) re: submission of Report and Recommendation. Should the R&R be received prior to the hearing it shall be vacated. ;*

04/06/2021



**All Pending Motions (10:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

*MOTION...MOTION: PLTF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND PROTECTION ORDER, JOINING BRADLEY'S BUSINESS AS A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, APPOINT A RECEIVER FOR THE BUSINESS, DEEMING BRADLEY VEXATIOUS LITIGATION AND CONSOLIDATING CIVIL CASES TO THIS ACTION, MODIFYING LEGAL CUSTODY, MODIFYING VISITATION, FOR MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND TO HOLD BRADLEY IN CONTEMPT, TO REDUCE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARS TO JUDGEMENT, TO REDUCE TEMPORARY SUPPORT TO JUDGEMENT, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...MOTION:DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AMENDED July 30,2020 ORDER, July 30,2020 ORDER AND October 22,2020 ORDER; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER: AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF.. Court reviewed the history of the case and the pleadings on file. Parties SWORN and TESTIMONY given. Parties stated arguments in regards to the TPO case, the parties trust account and how the Defendant has not turned over records regarding to his finances. Further discussion regarding custody and visitation with the Minor Children, therapy and who shall be the therapist. Court noted that the Defendant has not paid any child support to the Plaintiff. Further discussion regarding the Defendant's Scram reports, proof of service and other relate issues. Attorney Roberts discussed consolidating the parties case with the civil matter and Court stated that Family Court does have jurisdiction over this matter. COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED the following: The Plaintiff shall have primary legal custody of the Minor Children. The Defendant shall temporarily have supervised visits with the Minor Children at Donna's House every Sunday at 9:00 am to 11:00 am. The Defendant's parents will be able to visit with the Minor Children Sunday April 11, 2021. Motion to extend the TPO is GRANTED to May 2022. Attorney Roberts shall give the Defendant 3 names of CPA's to do an audit on the Parties Trust account and the Defendant shall pick one. If the Defendant doesn't choose one the second name listed in alphabetical order shall be the appointed CPA. The Defendant shall turn over his financial records to Attorney Roberts which was previously ordered by the Court. Attorney Roberts shall subpoena the banks that are under the Defendant's name . Defendant's Motion for Protective Order is DENIED at this time. Plaintiff's motion to deem the Defendant Vexatious Litigation is DENIED, and the motion to consolidate the civil cases with this case is DENIED. Defendant's Motion for Order to show cause against Attorney Roberts is DENIED. Order to*

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

show cause shall be DEFERRED until Trial. Attorney's fees and cost shall be DEFERRED to Trial. Child support arrears shall be DEFERRED to Trial. The Defendant shall look for a job and submit to the Court a list with 10 jobs he has applied for every 2 weeks. The parties shall both get a psych evaluation and pay for the other parties fees. Mr Holland shall be appointed and if he is unavailable he shall provide 3 names of other evaluators and the Defendant shall choose one. The Defendant shall provide the Court and Attorney Roberts his SCRAM report every 2 weeks. The Defendant shall provide any documentation through her office's drop box. Parties shall attach a certificate of service with any documents served to the other party and provide them to the Court. Return Hearing SET for May 11, 2021 at 3:00pm. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.;

- 04/06/2021 **Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Pltf's Opposition to Def't's Motion for a Protective Order; and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief  
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 04/06/2021
- 04/06/2021 **Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Pltf's Opposition to Def't's Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order and October 22, 2020 Order; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs  
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 04/06/2021
- 04/06/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Matter Heard; See all pending motion on 04/06/2021
- 04/06/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Defendant's Motion for Protective Order  
Denied; See all pending motion on 04/06/2021
- 04/06/2021 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Pltf's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as A Party to This Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley Vexatious Litigation and Consolidating Civil Cases to this Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, For Mental Health Evaluation, For Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley In Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, To Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, For an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief  
Granted in Part; See all pending motion on 04/06/2021
- 04/01/2021 **CANCELED Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Vacated - per Stipulation  
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion Et. Al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs
- 03/22/2021 **CANCELED Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)  
Vacated  
Defendant's Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order, and October 22, 2020 Order
- 03/17/2021  **Motion** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)  
Events: 02/09/2021 Motion  
Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorneys Fees and Costs and Related Relief

## MINUTES

On for Status Check;  
Journal Entry Details:

**PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF** COURT CLERKS: Michelle Cunningham, Leo Varona In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application. COURT NOTED there was no opposition on file. Upon Court's Inquiry Defendant confirmed he filed his Opposition this morning and that Plaintiff, Attorney Roberts and some other District Attorney (DA) had him arrested yesterday. Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Roberts stated she has not reviewed the Opposition but another associate in her office reviewed it. Attorney Roberts represented that she had electronically served Defendant and that he had opened it on 02/09/2021. Court represented he has not seen the Opposition and is not going to read it today but the court will let Defendant make a record. Court addressed the affidavit filed by counsel regarding the Meet and Confer and stated it does not find that type of an Affidavit is sufficient to meet the requirements for the EDCR Rule 5.602 and for future affidavits to include all efforts made for the Meet and Confer. The Court will accept it at this time. Court addressed the Motion and stated the Request for Production and Interrogatories were sent out on 06/10/2020, On 10/22/2020 Court ordered Defendant to deliver his financial books and records, On 11/24/2020 an Order was given to again deliver financial records within seven (7) days at that date and that failure would result in the Court would recommend and unequal distribution. COURT NOTED, to date, none of the requests have been responded to and no 16.2 disclosures have been made. Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Roberts confirmed this. Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant stated that it was partially true, he had prior counsel and he does not know what prior counsel responded to. Further

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

Discussion regarding Defendant responding to the requests. Defendant confirmed he had not responded since Defendant and counsel had parted ways. Attorney Roberts further stated an additional letter sent to Defendant on 01/11/2021 regarding additional discovery. Defendant addressed the history of this case in regards to scheduling orders and discovery. Following discussions and arguments, COMMISSIONER made its FINDINGS and RECOMMENDED, the following: 1. Pursuant to rule 5.503 the Court finds Defendant is deemed, by reason of his failure to oppose the Motion timely, to have admitted that is has merit and that the motion has merit on its own. 2. All Objections to the Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents are WAIVED by operation of Rule all requests are DEEMED ADMITTED. Defendant shall have 5 days to respond to the Interrogatories and the Request for Production of Documents. 3. Defendant shall respond to the first set of Request for Production of Documents within 5 days of today's date. 4. Defendant shall be PRECLUDED from presenting or relying on at trial or evidentiary hearing any evidence required by Rule 16.2 not disclosed as of 5 days from today. 5. An ADVERSE INFERENCE will be declared that any evidence withheld after five (5) days from today would NOT support the Defendant's position. 6. Preliminarily, The Request for ATTORNEY'S FEES under rule 37a5 shall be GRANTED. Attorney Roberts shall submit a Request for Itemized billing, plus a Declaration with the Brunzell factors, only including the fees that are related to this discovery dispute. 7. STATUS CHECK re: Submission of Report and Recommendations, Attorney's Fees, and a Determination of Plaintiff's compliance shall be SET for 04/07/2021 at 1:30 p.m. If Plaintiff has not complied, the Court will consider the precluding order in the Adverse Inference Order that the Court indicated earlier. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Report and Recommendation (R&R) and Defendant to sign as to form and content. If the R&R has not been submitted within the next fourteen (14) days, Attorney Roberts shall be subject to contribution for failure to submit. Defendant shall have forty (48) hours to respond or object. If Defendant does not respond with 48 hours, Attorney Roberts may submit the R&R without his signature.;

03/17/2021

**CANCELED Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

*Vacated*

*Defendant's Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentation of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining this Action, Appoint a Receiver For the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigant and Consolidating Civil Cases to this Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgement, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgement, for Award of Attorney's Fees and Cost and Related Relief*

03/04/2021



**All Pending Motions** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

*DEFT'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE PURSUANT TO NCJC 2.11 FORMERLY NCJC 3 (E)...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE PURSUANT TO NCJC 2.11 (FORMERLY NCJC 3(E); AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF... Argument by Mr. Bellisario. Argument by Ms. Roberts. Colloquy regarding pending dates. COURT ORDERED, pending April date VACATED; motion UNDER ADVISEMENT.;*

03/04/2021



**Opposition & Countermotion** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

*Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant s Motion To Disqualify Judge Pursuant To NCJC 2.11 (Formerly NCJC 3E); And Countermotion For An Award Of Attorney s Fees And Costs And Related Relief.*

*Under Advisement;*

03/04/2021

**Motion** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

Events: 02/11/2021 Motion to Disqualify Judge

*Def't's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11 formerly NCJC 3(E)*

*Under Advisement;*

03/01/2021

**CANCELED Opposition & Countermotion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Harter, Mathew)

*Vacated - Moot*

*Plaintiff's Opposition to defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs*

03/01/2021

**CANCELED Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Harter, Mathew)

*Vacated - Moot*

*Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge*

02/11/2021



**All Pending Motions** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

*PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND PROTECTION ORDER, JOINING BRADLEY'S BUSINESS AS A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, APPOINT A RECEIVER FOR THE BUSINESS, DEEMING BRADLEY VEXATIOUS LITIGATION AND CONSOLIDATING CIVIL CASES TO THIS ACTION, MODIFYING LEGAL CUSTODY, MODIFYING VISITATION, FOR MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND TO HOLD BRADLEY IN CONTEMPT, TO REDUCE CHILD SUPPORT*

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE No. D-20-605263-D

ARREARS TO JUDGMENT, TO REDUCE TEMPORARY SUPPORT TO JUDGMENT, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON November 24, 2020...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON November 24, 2020; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF AND/OR PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF AND/OR PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS This matter was heard SIMULTANEOUSLY with case T-20-206639-T. All parties present appeared via Bluejeans. Court NOTED Defendant filed a motion to Disqualify Judge which has not been decided. It was erroneously calendared on Department P's calendar. COURT ORDERED the following, All matters on calendar today are hereby OFF CALENDAR. The parties may RE-NOTICE their MOTIONS once a decision has been entered on the motion to disqualify judge. Defendant's MOTION to STRIKE Hearsay and Misrepresentation of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining this Action, Appoint a Receiver For the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigant and Consolidating Civil Cases to this Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgement, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgement, for Award of Attorney's Fees and Cost and Related Relief presently set for 3/17/21 at 10:00 AM and Defendant's MOTION for RELIEF from Amended July 30, 2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order, and October 22, 2020 Order presently set for 3/22/21 at 10:00 AM are hereby VACATED pending Judge Bell's decision and may also be re-noticed once a decision has been entered. Plaintiff's MOTION to DISQUALIFY Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11 formerly NCJC 3(E) is RESET to March 25, 2021 at 10:30 AM on Department 7's calendar for Chief Judge Bell to decide. Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief presently set for 3/17/21 at 1:00 PM before the Discovery Commissioner STANDS. Minutes shall suffice.;

02/11/2021

**Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Events: 02/05/2021 Motion

Pltf's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to this Action, Appoint a Receiver For the Business, Deeming Bradley Vexatious Litigation and Consolidating Civil Cases to this Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, For Mental Health Evaluation, For Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley In contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, To Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, For an Award of Attorney's Fees and costs, And Related Relief  
Off Calendar;

02/11/2021

**Opposition & Countermotion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Events: 01/25/2021 Opposition and Countermotion

Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs  
Off Calendar;

02/11/2021

**Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Events: 01/11/2021 Motion for Relief

Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020  
Per Order dated 1/20/2021  
Off Calendar;

02/11/2021

**Opposition & Countermotion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Events: 12/24/2020 Opposition and Countermotion

Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs  
Per Order dated 1/20/2021  
Off Calendar;

02/11/2021

**Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Events: 12/10/2020 Motion for Order to Show Cause

Def's Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions  
Per Order dated 1/20/2021  
Off Calendar;

02/09/2021



**Minute Order** (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;  
Journal Entry Details:

MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. The COURT ORDERS, that any Motions filed within a T case between these two Parties shall be



**CASE SUMMARY****CASE NO. D-20-605263-D**

heard by Judge Mary Perry under the D-20-605263-D case. Therefore, the Motion hearing currently set in T-20-206639-T for March 2, 2021 shall be vacated. This Motion will be moved and heard along with the other pending Motions on February 11, 2021 in case D-20-605263-D. A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order provided to the parties/counsel electronically. (kw 2/9/21) ;

01/25/2021

**Status Check** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)**MINUTES**

Matter Continued;  
Journal Entry Details:

**STATUS CHECK** Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive, all Parties appeared via BLUEJEANS. Discussion regarding the Defendant/Dad. Ms. Roberts advised currently Dad has been incarcerated due to Domestic violence against the Plaintiff/Mom. Ms. Roberts further stated as Dad is not present the Status Check is compromised. Ms. Roberts stated currently she is engaged with other litigations surrounding this case which includes pending civil litigation and the issue of Dad violating the Temporary Protective Order (TPO) by acts of Domestic Violence (DV). Ms. Roberts further advised with regard to the minor child there are issues that are concerning, as he has made numerous violent threats against authoritative figures, parental and family members. Ms. Roberts stated recently the child made threats to shot Mom and verbally expressed his disregard for the grandparents. Ms. Roberts further stated Mom has the minor child in tutoring, which, there is a struggle with him cooperating respectfully. Ms. Roberts expressed the difficulty proceeding with today's hearing with Dad not present. Upon the Court's inquiry in regard to the current Temporary Protective Order (TPO) it was found Judge Pomrenze Order if there was another instance of DV, the Request to Extend TPO would be granted. Court stated counsel may proceed with filing an Order Shortening Time (OST). COURT NOTED, Dad has violated the active TPO and is currently incarcerated. COURT ORDERED, the Motion Hearing set for March 1, 2021 at 10:00 a.m., shall STAND. Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today's hearing. CLERK'S NOTE: On 1/25/2021 a copy of the Court's Minute Order was provided to each Attorney via email, if an email address is on record with the Court; if no email address is available then the Minute Order was mailed to the physical address of record. (ajr);

01/25/2021

**CANCELED Evidentiary Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Perry, Mary)

Vacated

11/24/2020

**Return Hearing** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

Address Financial issues

Evidentiary Hearing; E/H set for 1-25-2021 at 9:00 am

Journal Entry Details:

**RETURN HEARING: ADDRESS FINANCIAL ISSUES** Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive, Plaintiff/Wife appeared with her Attorney of Record Amanda Roberts. Defendant/Husband appeared with his Attorney of Record Christopher Tilman, both parties and Counsel appeared by (bluejeans) audio equipment for today's proceedings. Upon the matter being called, the Court noted Attorney Tilman's motion to withdraw on file. Defendant/Dad SWORN and TESTIFIED. The Court canvassed Defendant/Dad and Attorney Tilman as to Defendant/Dad's request to withdraw as Attorney of Record. The Court noted review of the Donna's House report which was very positive and Dr. Ponzo's report. Attorney Roberts advised the Court as to Defendant/Dad's supervised visitation, threats made by Defendant/Dad, Defendant/Dad dodging service of the extended temporary protection order and Defendant/Dad not paying child support as ordered. Counsel further advised the Court as to Defendant/Dad violating every court order. Attorney Roberts further advised the Court as to the child's therapy being suspended due to Defendant/Dad's actions. The Court further noted concerns as to Defendant/Dad's non-closure of assets. THE COURT ORDERED, Attorney Tilman ORAL MOTION to WITHDRAW as Attorney of Record shall be GRANTED. Defendant/Dad has (7) days from today's date to provide all his BOOKS and RECORDS PERTAINING to his LAW FIRM. If Defendant/Dad FAILS to do so this COURT shall RECOMMEND to its SUCCESSOR there be an UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION of the MARITAL ESTATE. Any SUMS not PAID Defendant/Dad that were ORDERED to be PAID shall be REDUCED to JUDGMENT COLLECTIBLE by all LAWFUL MEANS. Defendant/Dad shall exercise his CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE with the minor child on Saturday's from 12:00 noon until 4:00 pm. Defendant/Dad shall show his SCRAM BRACELET when PICKING up the children to exercise his CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE and RETURNING the children to Plaintiff/Mom. Parties shall use the HONK & SEAT BELT RULE during the CUSTODIAL EXCHANGE. The TEMPORARY PROTECTION ORDER issued in CASE No.: T-20-206639-T: shall be MODIFIED for Defendant/Dad's CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE with the minor children. Defendant/Dad shall be ALLOWED to get out of the CAR for purposes of showing Plaintiff/Mom he has the SCRAM BRACELET on. MEDIATION shall be WAIVED as an evidentiary hearing will be set. An EVIDENTIARY HEARING shall be held on 1-25-2021 at 9:00 am. PRE-HEARING BRIEFS shall be due and SERVED by DISCOVERY shall CLOSE on 1-11-2021 ;

10/22/2020

**Return Hearing** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

re: Donna's House visitation

Matter Heard;

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

## Journal Entry Details:

*RETURN HEARING: DONNA S HOUSE VISITATION* Amanda Roberts, Nevada Bar No.: 9294, present with Plaintiff via Bluejeans. Christopher Tilman, Nevada Bar No.: 5150, present with Defendant via Bluejeans Court reviewed case. Court addressed concerns regarding the children and visitation and advised would like supervised visits for an extended period of time before moving forward with daytime unsupervised visitation. Discussion and argument regarding the children and visitation. CASE PAUSED. CASE RESUMED. COURT ORDERED, the following: 1. Defendant shall get a SCRAM BRACELET setup within the next seven (7) days and bear its own costs. Notification of status shall be sent to Court and attorneys weekly. 2. SUPERVISED VISITATIONS by one grandparent from each side or the Mckinley s in a public space from 11:00 am to 6:00 p.m. 3. SUPERVISED VISITATION to begin this Saturday. First visitation shall be between Father and Brayden, minor child, after that, the following two (2) visits shall be between Father and all three (3) Minor Children. 4. Status Check SET (re: status of supervised visitation and financial issues) November 24, 2020, at 11:00 a.m. 5. Defendant shall provide copies of books and records to Plaintiff's attorney, Amanda Roberts; to be viewed by Attorney Roberts internally and not disclosed to client. Attorney Amanda Roberts shall prepare the Order from today's hearing. ;

07/30/2020



## All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

Matter Heard; Minutes in the all pending

## Journal Entry Details:

MATTER HEARD SIMULTANEOUSLY with CASE NO. T-20-206639-T MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE MINOR CHILD; FOR CHILD SUPPORT & HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE; FOR AN OUTSOURCED ALCOHOL/PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF THE DEFENDANT; TO CONFIRM AND CONSOLIDATE THE TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER; FOR SPOUSAL SUPPORT; AND FOR PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS INCURRED HEREIN...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRIMARY CUSTODY; CHILD SUPPORT; HEALTH INSURANCE; OUTSOURCED ALCOHOL/PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; CONFIRM AND CONSOLIDATE TPO; SPOUSAL SUPPORT; AND ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SHARED PHYSICAL CUSTODY; CHILD SUPPORT AND ATTORNEY'S FEES ...CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE...HEARING: REPLY DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive Plaintiff/Wife and her Attorney of Record Amanda Roberts along with Defendant/Husband and his Attorney of Record Christopher Tilman all appeared via (bluejeans) equipment. Upon the matter being called, the Court noted the Stipulation counsel reached as to a custody evaluation including an evaluation of the parties. Attorney Tilman stated after speaking with his client and opposing counsel today's status check was premature today as Dr. Holland hasn't been engaged and Dr. Ponzio hasn't provide the report. Mr. Tilman requested the matter be continued for (45) days. counsel also stated concerns as to a trial being set in this matter. Attorney Roberts advised the Court as to speaking with Mr. Tilman regarding the depressing matters. Counsel stated since the filing of the stipulation Defendant/Husband has been arrested for violating the Temporary Protection Order, a DUI and for Harassment. Attorney Roberts further advised the Court as to the threats made by Defendant/Husband to his prior Attorney Joe Ricco. Ms. Roberts represented Defendant/Husband is an Attorney and issues regarding his behavior may be brought before the BAR, Counsel also represented Attorney Ross Goodman will be representing Defendant/Husband in the criminal matters. Attorney Roberts requested the Temporary Protection Order issued in Case No. T-20-206639-TPO be extended. The Court noted concerns as to issues at hand. Defendant/Husband SWORN and TESTIFIED. The Court canvassed Defendant/Husband as to the amount of money he has given Plaintiff/Mom as and for support. The Court noted concerns as to who is paying the mortgage on the home. Discussion held as to the Motion, Opposition, Countermotion, Defendant/Husband's behavior and Defendant/Dad having supervised visitation at Donna's House as well as Defendant attending impatient treatment center. THE COURT ORDERED, TEMPORARILY Defendant/Husband shall pay Plaintiff/Wife an ADDITIONAL \$1,000.00 per month, for SPOUSAL SUPPORT. The TEMPORARY ORDER of PROTECTION issued in Case No.: T-20-206639-TPO shall be EXTENDED until 5-10-2021. Should there be any FURTHER ACTS OF VIOLENCE the TEMPORARY ORDER of PROTECTION shall be EXTENDING to 5-2022. Defendant/Dad shall have SUPERVISED VISITATION with the minor children, at DONNA'S HOUSE on Sundays' between 2:00 pm and 4:00 pm. RETURN HEARING set for 10-22-2020 at 11:00 am.;

07/30/2020

## Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

Reply to Defendant's Opposition

07/30/2020

## Case Management Conference (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

07/30/2020

## Opposition & Countermotion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

Defendant's Opposition To Motion For Primary Custody; Child Support; Health Insurance; Outsourced Alcohol/Psychological Evaluation; Confirm and Consolidate TPO; Spousal Support; And Attorney's Fees and Countermotion For Shared Physical Custody; Child Support And Attorney's Fees

07/30/2020

## Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Pomrenze, Sandra)

Plaintiff's Motion for Primary Physical Custody of the Minor Children; for Child Support & Health Insurance Coverage; for an Outsourced Alcohol/Psychological Evaluation of the Defendant; to Confirm and Consolidate the Temporary Protective Order; for Spousal Support; and for Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein

**CASE SUMMARY**

**CASE NO. D-20-605263-D**

03/05/2020

**Summons**

Bellisario, Bradley John

Served: 03/24/2020

*Amanda M. Roberts*

CLERK OF THE COURT

**FFCL**  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT  
FAMILY DIVISION  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,	) Case No: D-20-605263-D
	) Dept No: P
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
	)
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,	) Date of Trial: December 20, 2021
	) Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.
Defendant.	)
	)

**FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  
AND DECREE OF DIVORCE**

This matter having come before the Court on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021,  
for a Non-Jury Trial. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario (hereinafter referred to as  
“Plaintiff” or “Emily”), being present, by and through her attorneys of record,  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant,  
Bradley Bellisario (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant” or “Bradley”), not being  
presented or represented by Counsel. The Court having heard testimony, reviewed

1 exhibits and considered the testimony along with arguments of Counsel and  
2 pleadings on file herein, hereby finds and Orders as follows:

3  
4 **FINDING OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

5 NOW THEREFORE,

6 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that following Exhibits were admitted during  
7 the Non-Jury Trial: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20,  
8 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,  
9 42, 43, 44, 45, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 72, 73,  
10 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 98, 100, 101,  
11 102, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119,  
12 120, 121, 122, and 123.

13  
14  
15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the following Exhibits were admitted,  
16 under seal, but may be reviewed by the Nevada Supreme Court/Court of Appeals if  
17 this matter is reviewed by said Court: 124 (Donna's House Report dated May 7,  
18 2021) and 125 (health insurance breakdown).

19  
20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Parties are incompatible in  
21 marriage, which makes it impossible to live together as husband and wife, to which  
22 there is no possibility for reconciliation, and are entitled to a Decree of Divorce.  
23 (Video Timestamp 2:41:40)  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Plaintiff is a resident of Clark  
2 County, State of Nevada at all times relevant in this action and Plaintiff was a  
3 resident for more than six (6) weeks prior to the commencement of this action  
4 pursuant to *NRS* § 125C.020 (e). (Video Timestamp 2:41:30)

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that jurisdiction in this matter is proper as  
7 the Plaintiff is a resident of Clark County, Nevada and have been in excess of six (6)  
8 weeks prior to the commencement of this action. (Video Timestamp 2:41:24)

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Parties were married on August 16,  
11 2014 and separated in June of 2019.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Parties have three (3) minor  
14 children, to wit: Brayden Bellisario (“Brayden”), born January 15, 2015; Blake  
15 Bellisario (“Blake”), born November 20, 2016; and Brooklyn Bellisario  
16 (“Brooklyn”), born February 1, 2018. (Video Timestamp 3:13:24)

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS jurisdiction is proper pursuant to *NRS* §  
19 125A.305 and 125A.085 as Nevada is the “home state” of the minor six (6) months  
20 before the commencement of this action. (Video Timestamp 2:41:25)

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that at the commencement of this action,  
23 Defendant was an attorney who owned his own law firm. (Video Timestamp  
24 2:42:09)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at the hearing on July 30, 2020 (Order filed  
2 January 24, 2021), the Defendant was Ordered to pay Plaintiff child support to the  
3 Plaintiff in the amount of \$2,560.00 per month and spousal support of \$1,000.00 per  
4 month since the date of separation which was June of 2019. This amount was based  
5 upon a gross monthly income of \$18,000.00 per month (Exhibit “18”). (Video  
6 Timestamp 2:41:47)  
7

8  
9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant alleged in his Financial  
10 Disclosure Form filed February 7, 2021 that he ceased work as an attorney on or  
11 about August 1, 2020 (Exhibit “19”); however, based upon filings with the Eighth  
12 Judicial District Court (Exhibit “20”), Defendant continued to work for at least three  
13 (3) months after he claimed to no longer be working at his law firm. (Video  
14 Timestamp 2:42:05)  
15

16  
17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that on October 22, 2020 (Order filed  
18 January 20, 2021), the District Court gave the Defendant an opportunity to purge his  
19 contempt for not paying spousal support if he “immediately delivered his financial  
20 books and records regarding his business and income.” The Defendant failed to  
21 comply with this Order. (Video Timestamp 2:42:15)  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the November 24, 2020 (Order filed  
24 December 10, 2020), the District Court Ordered the Defendant to turn over his  
25 business and personal financial documents by December 1, 2020. The Defendant  
26  
27  
28

1 failed to comply with this Order, and the Court's predecessor recommended if the  
2 Defendant did not comply that an unequal distribution of community which was not  
3 done. (Video Timestamp 2:43:17)  
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant failed to comply with  
6 discovery. As such, it was Ordered on March 17, 2021, that the Defendant be  
7 precluded from presenting and replying upon at Trial or the Evidentiary Hearing any  
8 evidence required to be produced by *NRCP* § 16.2 which was not produced within  
9 five (5) days of the hearing. (Video Timestamp 2:43:38)  
10

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant failed to timely respond  
12 to Plaintiff's Request for Admission and pursuant to *NRCP* § 36 (a)(3) said  
13 admissions are deemed admitted, as a matter of law, and will be addressed in more  
14 detail herein. (Video Timestamp 2:43:57)  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there was an active Protection Order in  
17 T-19-200404-T which was in place from September 18, 2019 through September 19,  
18 2020. This Protection Order was never dismissed or dissolved, remaining effective  
19 until expired on its own. (Video Timestamp 3:23:14)  
20

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there was an overlapping Protection  
22 Order in T-20-206639-T which has been in place from July 6, 2020 through the  
23 current date. Said Protection Order shall expire on May 10, 2022. (Video  
24 Timestamp 2:44:30)  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Donna Wilburn, MFT (“Wilburn”),  
2 testified in this matter. Wilburn treated Brayden for two (2) sessions on February 2,  
3 2020 and February 24, 2020. Wilburn stopped treating Brayden because Defendant  
4 did not agree with her treatment of the child. In the two (2) sessions, Wilburn  
5 determined that Brayden was under a lot of stress related to parental conflict which  
6 made him nervous and he did not want his parents around each other, and he had  
7 anxiety regarding his contact with Defendant. Brayden disclosed to Wilburn that he  
8 saw a lot of scary behaviors, including his Dad being mean to his Mom, and was  
9 afraid of Dad getting mad. When Wilburn stopped treating Brayden, she referred  
10 him to Anna Trujillo, MFT (“Trujillo”). (Video Timestamp 2:45:21)

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at one child exchange, Brayden did not want  
15 to go to visit Defendant and was crying. Saira McKinley (“Saira”) picked Brayden  
16 up and began swinging him around, and once Brayden got away from Saira he ran to  
17 Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:46:28)

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Complaint for Divorce was filed on  
20 March 5, 2020, and during the almost two (2) year period of time, Defendant has  
21 done nothing to cooperate, communicate or compromise to act in the best interest of  
22 the minor children. (Video Timestamp 2:49:17)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as it relates to best interest/wishes of the  
2 children- they are not of a sufficient age and capacity to state a preference. (Video  
3 Timestamp 2:50:23)  
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FIND as it relates to best interest/nomination of  
6 guardian- there has been no nomination of guardian in this matter. (Video  
7 Timestamp 2:50:38)  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as it relates to best interest/frequent  
10 association and continuing relationship- though Plaintiff has requested the  
11 Defendant's visitation be supervised, she is requesting a schedule be set up to allow  
12 Defendant the ability to maintain a relationship with the children; and Plaintiff  
13 attempted to bribe Brayden to do visits with the Defendant, but Brayden refused to  
14 go. Defendant has shown no signs of not allowing frequent association and a  
15 continuing relationship with Plaintiff. Therefore, the Court views this factor as  
16 neutral. (Video Timestamp 2:50:45)  
17  
18

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/level of  
20 conflict- Plaintiff was subjected to many acts of domestic violence and violations of  
21 the Protection Orders that were in place at the time and currently in place;  
22 Defendant's communication to Plaintiff, her attorneys and therapists for the children  
23 have increased the conflict; at supervised visitation at Donna's House, Defendant  
24 became violent with the Marshalls and had to be escorted out based upon the  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Donna's House report filed under seal as Exhibit "124" which was admitted; as well  
2 as the domestic violence facts as set forth herein below. Therefore, this factor  
3 weighs against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. This is also a factor relevant to  
4 continued supervised visitation for the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 2:51:22)  
5

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/ability of the  
7 parents to cooperate- Defendant has continuously refused to cooperate with Court  
8 Orders including paying child support as required; Defendant's willingness to be  
9 confrontational and abusive in the presence of the children, shows a blatant refusal to  
10 cooperate with the Plaintiff in raising the children; Defendant filed a civil law suit  
11 against the child's therapists; multiple lawsuits against Plaintiff and others; as well as  
12 the domestic violence facts as set forth herein below. Therefore, this factor weighs  
13 against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:52:15)  
14  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/mental and  
17 physical health of the parents- this factor could have been put to rest if the Defendant  
18 had cooperated in completion of the psychological evaluation as Ordered, but  
19 Defendant's refusal to do so and his acts in violation of the Protection Orders raise  
20 suspicion and/or concern as to a minimum ability to deal with his anger. During  
21 supervised visitation at Donna's House, Defendant became violent with the  
22 Marshalls and had to be escorted out of the building according to the Donna's House  
23 Report filed under seal and admitted as Exhibit "124". Defendant's out of control  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 behavior further shows a possibility of mental health issues that could place the  
2 children in danger should Defendant have unsupervised contact with the minor  
3 children; the Defendant has allegedly engaged in multiple attacks and stalking of  
4 other people; he has threatened another man, Jason Elleman, with a “Columbian  
5 neck tie” which is a claim he would slit the throat of the man who is his ex-  
6 girlfriend’s ex-boyfriend, which the subject of a criminal case. Defendant has posted  
7 many false reports regarding many professional involved with this family including  
8 therapists, attorneys and judges. Defendant’s posts are rants that are hard to follow  
9 and understand; as well as the domestic violence facts as set forth herein below.  
10 There are no such problems for the Plaintiff. Therefore, this factor weighs against  
11 Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:52:53)  
12

13  
14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/physical,  
15 developmental and emotional needs of the child- the children are young, and  
16 Brayden has shown the need for therapy, with Wilburn and Trujillo, regarding being  
17 subjected to domestic violence and adverse treatment of his Mother by his Father,  
18 not once, but twice. Brayden has threatened violence against adults and threatened  
19 to kill his Mother; Brayden is just six (6) years old. There is a possibility this  
20 behavior is being learned from Defendant. Therefore, this factor weighs against  
21 Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. Moreover, this factor weighs in the Court’s decision  
22 regarding supervised visitation for the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 2:54:30)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/nature of  
2 relationship with parents- the children have a loving relationship with Plaintiff;  
3 whereas, Defendant has gone more than a year with no more than supervised  
4 visitation, the relationship between Defendant and the children will probably need to  
5 be rebuilt once he finishes his psychological evaluation as previously Ordered.  
6 Therefore, this factor weighs against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. (Video  
7 Timestamp 2:55:31)  
8

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/sibling  
11 relationship that there are no other siblings besides those of this relationship.  
12 Therefore, this factor is not applicable. (Video Timestamp 2:56:04)  
13

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/abuse or  
15 neglect- the Defendant threw juices boxes and food at the children when they  
16 ignored him during a child exchange, and abused the Plaintiff in front of the minor  
17 children. Therefore, this factor weighs against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff.  
18 (Video Timestamp 2:56:12)  
19

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/abduction-  
21 this factor is not applicable. (Video Timestamp 2:56:45)  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
24 violence- the violence began during the Plaintiff's first pregnancy. (Video  
25 Timestamp 2:56:55)  
26

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
2 violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, that on August  
3 6, 2019, Defendant left bruises on the Plaintiff's arm and thigh, by banging the door  
4 on her while Brayden watched the incident. He also tore the garage door off and  
5 then left.  
6

7 That the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence on  
8 September 16, 2019, Defendant began banging on the door, then broke a back  
9 window to get into the home, wherein he began throwing furniture including  
10 throwing a television over the loft on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor to the 1<sup>st</sup> floor, ripping a television  
11 off the wall in the living room, while Brayden was present and the police were on the  
12 telephone, Defendant destroyed the children's fish tank, causing all three children to  
13 watch as fish died, and caused damages to the cabinets and sink in the area around  
14 the fish tank, kicking in the dishwasher, ripping the fan out of the wall from above  
15 the stove, broke multiple mirrors/artwork/wine bottles, ripped the hinges from the  
16 bathroom cabinet doors, threw a large picture in a frame onto the toddler's bed,  
17 kicked in the toddler gate at the top of the stairs. Exhibit "31" is a detailed invoice of  
18 the damage caused that night which was admitted. (Video Timestamp 2:57:29)  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
24 violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, on June 22,  
25 2020, the Defendant backed his car into the garage of Plaintiff's home after  
26  
27  
28

1 threatening to kill the Plaintiff. Upon determining Plaintiff was not home, Defendant  
2 began backing into neighbors' vehicles, driving forward and backing up into a city  
3 light pole which was knocked down onto a neighbor's vehicle. Defendant also hit  
4 neighbors vehicles with sticks. Defendant then drove up and down the road.  
5 Defendant then showed up at the home of Plaintiff's Father where she and the  
6 children were barricaded in the bathroom with Plaintiff's Father protecting them with  
7 a shotgun. Defendant was arrested and pictures of Defendant's vehicle were taken  
8 showing damage. At the time, Protection Order T-19-200404-T was in place.  
9 (Video Timestamp 2:5845)

10  
11  
12  
13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
14 violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, on February 2,  
15 2021, Defendant showed up at the Plaintiff's house thirty-three (33) minutes late for  
16 a child exchange and began throwing juice boxes and food at the Plaintiff's front  
17 door while the children present because the children would not respond to calls from  
18 the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 3:00:08)

19  
20  
21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
22 violence- the Plaintiff propounded a Request for Admission on the Defendant which  
23 were deemed admitted due to Defendant's failure to respond. As such, the following  
24 were admitted: (Video Timestamp 3:00:55)

- 25  
26 • Defendant committed domestic violence against Plaintiff as  
27 defined by *NRS* § 33.018. (Video Timestamp 3:01:09)

- Defendant entered a plea to battery in case 19F19371X. (Video Timestamp 3:01:18)
- In case 19F19371X, Defendant was required to complete an impulse control course. (Video Timestamp 3:01:29)
- In case 19F19371X, Defendant was required to complete domestic violence counseling. (Video Timestamp 3:01:35)
- On or about August 1, 2019, Defendant struck Plaintiff on the left side of her cheek. (Video Timestamp 3:01:47)
- On or about August 1, 2019, Defendant caused a welt to be left on Plaintiff's left arm. (Video Timestamp 3:01:56)
- The bruises depicted in the photographs of Emily Bellisario attached to the Request for Admissions as **Exhibit "1"** were caused by Defendant on or about August 1, 2019. (Video Timestamp 3:02:05)
- Defendant threatened to place "Gabe in the ground." (Video Timestamp 3:02:21)
- Defendant stated he was going "to murder" someone known to Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 3:02:27)
- Defendant stated that Mario would "be drinking through a straw till he dies." (Video Timestamp 3:02:34)
- Defendant stated, "I am going to destroy the fuckers life." (Video Timestamp 3:02:41)
- Defendant threatened to kill anyone in a relationship with Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 3:02:48)
- Defendant stated that he was going to "kill" Emily Bellisario. (Video Timestamp 3:02:53)
- Defendant caused the marital residence to be in the condition depicted in the photographs attached as **Exhibit "2"**. (Video Timestamp 3:02:58)
- Defendant caused physical damage to the following personal property items in Plaintiff's residence located at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89134: (1.) two televisions; (2.) two chairs; (3.) appliances; (4.) furniture; (5.) broken vase; and (6). fish bowl. (Video Timestamp 3:03:12)
- Defendant caused physical to the home where Plaintiff resides located at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89134: (1.) rear window; (2.) front door of the residence; and (3.) lighting fixtures. (Video Timestamp 3:03:36)



- Defendant caused bruises to Plaintiff on or about September 16, 2019. (Video Timestamp 3:03:49)
- On or about September 16, 2019, Defendant caused a redness to be left on Plaintiff's left shoulder. (Video Timestamp 3:03:56)
- On or about September 16, 2019, Defendant caused a redness to be left on Plaintiff's left elbow. (Video Timestamp 3:04:02)
- On or about September 16, 2019, Defendant caused a redness to be left on Plaintiff's left side of her back. (Video Timestamp 3:04:07)
- That the minor child, Brayden Bellisario, witnessed Defendant committed domestic violence against Plaintiff as defined by *NRS* § 33.018. (Video Timestamp 3:04:14)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, that she received text messages from Defendant that were threatening Plaintiff including killing her boyfriends and showing that he was stalking her and her boyfriend. Defendant threatened Plaintiff's Father (maternal grandfather) in September of 2019; and Defendant threatened Plaintiff's college friend. These acts are forms of harassment and attempts to isolate Plaintiff from any of her friends and family. (Video Timestamp 3:04:32)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the factors regarding domestic violence weigh against the Defendant and favor the Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:52:03)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest - Defendant would harass professionals in a way that would attempt to get them to drop the Plaintiff as a client. (Video Timestamp 3:05:18)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest - there are  
2 criminal charges pending against the Defendant. The Defendant was in custody,  
3 wherein it was advised that the CCDC was not transporting individuals, nor was it  
4 being permitted for video conference or telephone conference. (Video Timestamp  
5 3:00:47)  
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on November 14, 2020, Saira was supposed  
8 to be present for supervised visitation or both Paternal Grandfather and Maternal  
9 Grandfather; however, Defendant was left alone with the minor children. (Video  
10 Timestamp 3:05:38)  
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on November 21, 2020, Defendant was  
13 supposed to be supervised with the minor children; however, Defendant was alone  
14 with the minor children in his parking garage with no supervisor present. Saira was  
15 supposed to be the supervisor on this occasion. (Video Timestamp 3:05:55)  
16

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on June 10, 2020, the Plaintiff and  
18 Defendant entered into a Stipulation and Order that a full outsource custody  
19 evaluation would be completed including psychological evaluations of the Parties.  
20 (Video Timestamp 2:46:52)  
21

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on June 26, 2021, the Court Ordered that the  
23 “Court shall not entertain requests to modify the Defendant’s visitation with the  
24 minor children until he completes the psychological evaluation.” (Video Timestamp  
25 2:47:13)  
26

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that at the time of scheduling the Non-Jury  
2 Trial/Evidentiary Hearing, Defendant made his intention clear that he would attempt  
3 to put off the Non-Jury Trial/Evidentiary Hearing and cause more delay. (Video  
4 Timestamp 2:47:30)

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that after Defendant's multiple civil  
7 lawsuits, and continuous filings that were duplicative and in many other courts  
8 regarding the same subject matters, this Court granted vexatious litigant status  
9 against the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 2:47:40)

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant failed to pay medical  
12 insurance premiums for the minor children and therefore, he shall reimburse one-half  
13 to the Plaintiff ( $\$761.94 \times 32 \text{ months} = \$24,382.08/2$ ) the sum of \$12,191.04.  
14 (Video Timestamp (Video Timestamp 3:22:20)

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant failed to reimburse  
17 Plaintiff one-half of medical bills for the minor children in the amount of \$3,435.22,  
18 with and his one-half obligation is \$1,717.61. (Video Timestamp 3:08:53)

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Court declines to find Defendant  
21 committed community waste as it relates to gambling. In Las Vegas, people gamble.  
22 The Court agrees that Defendant should have paid the Court Ordered support, but the  
23 Court cannot determine the source of the funds for the monies expended and the  
24 funds may have well come from Defendant's law practice. (Video Timestamp  
25 3:09:16)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant did commit community  
2 waste by destroying his law practice, allegedly stealing money from clients and  
3 having his law license suspended. (Video Timestamp 3:09:32)  
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the residence at 1913 Sondrio Drive  
6 was purchased by the Plaintiff prior to the Parties marriage. (Video Timestamp  
7 3:06:33)  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that since the Parties marriage through  
10 December of 2021, community funds were used to pay the mortgage payments. That  
11 the Court determines it to be eighty-eight (88) payments at \$1,011.00 per month for a  
12 total amount of payments of \$88,968.00 less the deferred mortgage payments of  
13 \$14,197.34. Therefore, the Defendant's share is one-half of the payments or  
14 (\$77,789.00/2) \$37,394.50. (Video Timestamp 3:06:39)  
15  
16

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that from the Defendant's share of the  
18 mortgage payments the child support arrears shall be deducted first which total  
19 \$49,377.82. Therefore, the Defendant's share of the mortgage payments is  
20 eliminated by the child support arrears and the remaining amount of child support  
21 arrears owed is \$11,988.32. (Video Timestamp 3:08:12)  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's wrongful acts caused  
24 damage to the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive in the amount of \$21,425.35.  
25 (Video Timestamp 3:08:38)  
26  
27  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the following debts to be community in  
2 nature with each Party being responsible for one-half of said obligation: (Video  
3 Timestamp 3:10:38)

- 4 • Bank of America debt ending in 6343- \$1,120.60
- 5 • Bank of America debt ending in 0153- \$2,712.58
- 6 • Chase credit card ending in 5682/5254- \$1,044.89
- 7 • Chase credit card ending in 5919/7774- \$6,200.00

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's student loans were  
9 incurred before marriage and are not a community debt. (Video Timestamp 3:11:22)

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's PPP loan of \$23,000.00  
11 for Defendant's law practice is not a community debt. (Video Timestamp 3:10:15)

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that any debt owed to Defendant's clients is  
13 not community debt. (Video Timestamp 3:11:37)

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Plaintiff withdrew her request for  
15 Defendant to be held in contempt of Court. (Video Timestamp 3:11:44)

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has the ability to earn the  
17 wages of a seasoned law clerk/paralegal, which this Court determines to be  
18 reasonably \$35.00 per hour. (Video Timestamp 2:48:48)

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's lack of cooperation in the  
20 outsource evaluation, psychological evaluation and discovery has significantly  
21 increased the cost of litigation. (Video Timestamp 2:43:39, 2:47:53)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as a result of Defendant's failure to comply  
2 with the Court Order regarding child support, he owes child support arrears through  
3 December of 2021 in the amount of \$49,377.82, credited by the above noted  
4 financial credit as it relates to the mortgage payments, resulting in a total amount of  
5 child support arrears amount of \$11,988.32. (Video Timestamp 2:42:33)  
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as a result of Defendant's failure to comply  
8 with the Court Order regarding spousal support, he owes spousal support arrears  
9 through December of 2021 in the amount of \$33,982.84. (Video Timestamp  
10 2:42:59)  
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant shall have the ability to  
13 provide proof to the Court that he made child support payments and spousal support  
14 payments for which he was not given credit. (Video Timestamp 2:42:50, 3:16:00)  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that attorney fees were awarded from  
17 Defendant to Plaintiff pursuant to the Order from April 21, 2021, in the amount of  
18 \$3,239.50; and attorney fees were awarded from Defendant to Plaintiff pursuant to  
19 the Order from September 20, 2021, in the amount of \$2,659.50. (Video Timestamp  
20 3:11:59)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

2 1. That the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to NRS 125.020, 125.120,  
3 125.130, and to make orders as to the parties' legal status;

4 2. That the Court has the authority to make orders as it pertains to the marital  
5 estate, separate and/or community property/debts (NRS 125.150);

6 3. That the Court has the authority to make orders as it pertains to Custody  
7 (NRS 125C, et.seq., *Rivero -v- Rivero*, 216, P.3d 213 (2009); 125 Nev. Adv. Op.  
8 No. 34 (August 27, 2009), *Wallace v. Wallace*, 112 Nev. 1015, 1019, 922 P.2d 541,  
9 543 (1996) ("Matters of custody and support of minor children rest in the sound  
10 discretion of the trial court"); *Bluestein v. Bluestein*, 131 Nev., Adv. Op. 14, 345  
11 P.3d 1044, 1048 (2015) reiterating that "in custody matters, the child's best interest is  
12 paramount");

13 4. That the Court has the authority to make orders as it pertains to Child  
14 Support (NAC Chapter 425; NRS 125B et.seq., *Barbagallo v. Barbagallo*, 105 Nev.  
15 546, 779 P.2d 532 (1989);

16 **DECREE AND ORDERS**

17 *NOW THEREFORE*, and good cause appearing; It Is Hereby

18 ORDERED the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between the  
19 Parties be, and the same are hereby, wholly dissolved and an absolute Decree of  
20 Divorce is hereby granted to the Parties, and each Party hereto is restored to the  
21 status of a single, unmarried person. (Video Timestamp 3:12:32)

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff is awarded **Sole Legal**  
2 **Custody** of the minor children which includes the ability to make all religious,  
3 medical and educational decisions for the minor children. This includes the ability to  
4 obtain Passports for the minor children without Defendant's signature being  
5 necessary, and travel outside the United States without the Defendant's permission.  
6 (Video Timestamp 3:13:20, 3:13:58)  
7

8  
9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as it relates to legal custody, it may be  
10 determined a change in circumstance if the Defendant submits to the psychological  
11 examination by Dr. Stephanie Holland as previously Ordered, at his cost, as more  
12 specifically set forth herein. (Video Timestamp 3:13:39)  
13

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff is awarded Primary Physical  
15 custody subject to the following: (Video Timestamp 3:14:06)  
16

- 17 • Upon Defendant's criminal cases being complete, he shall have  
18 four (4) hours of supervised visitation at Family First at his cost,  
19 upon requesting same from the Court. The supervision shall be  
20 closely monitored whereby someone from Family First shall be  
21 able to hear all Defendant's conversations with the minor  
22 children. If Family First determines there are inappropriate  
23 comments or behavior, Family First may immediately cut off  
24 supervised visitation for that visitation session, and may resume  
25 at the next regularly visitation period. (Video Timestamp  
26 3:14:12, 3:14:30)
- 27 • Defendant shall not have any visitation until all of the criminal  
28 cases are resolved. (Video Timestamp 3:14:20)



1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that it may be a change in circumstance, for  
2 physical custody, if Defendant completes a psychological evaluation. The evaluation  
3 shall be paid for by the Defendant. It shall be completed by Dr. Holland and if she is  
4 no longer able to take the case or has retired, then the Plaintiff shall select the  
5 provider to conduct the psychological evaluation. (Video Timestamp 3:14:50,  
6 3:21:36)  
7

8  
9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall provide health insurance  
10 for the minor children and the Parties shall equally divide the cost of the health  
11 insurance premium. The current premium amount is \$802.00 per month and  
12 Defendant's one-half obligation is \$401.00 per month. The premium may fluctuate  
13 from time to time, and Plaintiff may file a "Notice of Change of Health Insurance  
14 Premium" and serve same upon the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 3:16:21)  
15

16  
17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff health  
18 insurance premium arrears of \$12,191.04. Said amount is reduced to judgment and  
19 collectable by any and all legal means. (Video Timestamp 3:22:20)  
20

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical,  
22 orthodontic, or other health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor  
23 children is to be divided equally between the Parties. Either Party incurring an out of  
24 pocket medical expense for the children shall provide a copy of the paid  
25 invoice/receipt to the other party within thirty (30) days of incurring such expense.  
26  
27  
28

1 If not tendered within the thirty (30) day period, the Court may consider it a waiver  
2 of reimbursement. The other Party will then have thirty (30) days from receipt  
3 within which to dispute the expense in writing or reimburse the incurring Party for  
4 one-half of the out of pocket expense. If not disputed or paid within the thirty (30)  
5 day period, the Party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate  
6 sanctions. (Video Timestamp 3:16:45)  
7

8  
9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff  
10 unreimbursed health insurance cost of \$1,717.61. Said amount is reduced to  
11 judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video Timestamp\_\_\_\_\_)  
12

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall be permitted to claim the  
14 minor children for tax purposes, in all years, and be awarded 100 percent of any  
15 child tax credits. (Video Timestamp 3:16:48)  
16

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay Plaintiff child  
18 support. The child support is suspended while the Defendant is detained at the Clark  
19 County Detention Center; however, upon being released his child support the next  
20 month upon release shall be set at \$1,569.00 per month based upon an imputed wage  
21 of \$35.00 per hour which equates to \$6,067.00 per month. (Video Timestamp  
22 3:15:03)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon obtaining employment, Defendant  
2 shall file and serve a Financial Disclosure Form including proof of income from his  
3 employer. (Video Timestamp 3:15:24)  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff child  
6 support arrears of \$49,377.82; however, Defendant may provide proof of payment  
7 for additional payments and he shall be given credit for those payments. A portion  
8 of the child support arrears are being paid from the Defendant's community share of  
9 mortgage payments on 1913 Sondrio Drive and the remaining amount owed is  
10 \$11,988.32. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal  
11 means. (Video Timestamp 3:15:48)  
12  
13

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las  
15 Vegas, Nevada 89134 (Parcel No. 137-24-717-031) is confirmed as Plaintiff's sole  
16 and separate property. (Video Timestamp 3:06:36)  
17

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's mortgage payment interest  
19 in the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (Parcel No.  
20 137-24-717-031) is \$37,394.50 and the amount is reduced by \$37,394.50 as  
21 application for the child support arrears. As such, Defendant has no interest in the  
22 mortgage reimbursement. (Video Timestamp 3:08:10)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff spousal  
2 support arrears of \$33,982.84 through December of 2021. Said amount is reduced to  
3 judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video Timestamp 3:16:54)

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each Party shall be awarded the personal  
5 property in their possession.  
6

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall be responsible for the  
8 following debt: (Video Timestamp 3:10:37)

- 9 1. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 6343- \$1,120.60 (\$560.30);
- 10 2. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 0153- \$2,712.58 (\$1,356.29);
- 11 3. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5682/5254- \$1,044.89 (\$522.45);
- 12 4. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5919/7774- \$6,200.00 (\$3,100.00);
- 13 and
- 14 5. Any and all other debts in the Plaintiff's name not listed herein.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall be responsible for the  
16 following debt: (Video Timestamp 3:10:15)

- 17 1. Any and all student loan debt;
- 18 2. Any and all PPP loan debt;
- 19 3. Any and all debts associated with his law practice;
- 20 4. Any and all other debts in the Defendant's name not listed herein.
- 21 5. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 6343- \$1,120.60 (\$560.30);
- 22 6. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 0153- \$2,712.58 (\$1,356.29);
- 23 7. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5682/5254- \$1,044.89 (\$522.45);
- 24 8. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5919/7774- \$6,200.00 (\$3,100.00);

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff one-  
26 half of the community debt in the amount of \$5,539.03 as noted above. Said amount  
27 is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video  
28 Timestamp 3:11:18)

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff  
2 the sum of \$21,425.35 for the damage caused to the Plaintiff real property. Said  
3 amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video  
4 Timestamp 3:08:38)  
5

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that while Defendant is detained at the Clark  
7 County Detention Center, spousal support shall be set at \$1.00 per month; however,  
8 the month after his release spousal support shall be set at \$500.00 per month. The  
9 spousal support is modifiable based upon Defendant's earning abilities. The  
10 duration of spousal support is seven (7) years. (Video Timestamp 3:17:07)  
11  
12

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each and every year, until the last child  
14 emancipates, the Defendant shall provide to Plaintiff a true and correct copy of his  
15 Federal Income Tax Return. (Video Timestamp 3:17:33)  
16

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before January 19, 2022, Plaintiff's  
18 Counsel shall file and serve a Memorandum of Fees and Costs which shall include  
19 *Brunzell* factors for both Ms. Roberts and Plaintiff's prior Counsel. The length of  
20 time is thirty (30) days due to the holidays and to give Defendant time to respond  
21 which shall be on or before Wednesday, February 2, 2022. (Video Timestamp  
22 3:18:29)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Parties agree to hold each other harmless on  
2 the debts awarded herein. As such, if either Party is required to file a Motion to  
3 address a debt issue, the prevailing Party shall be awarded attorney's fees and costs.  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that neither Party shall charge or cause or permit  
6 to be charged, to or against the other, any purchase which either of them may  
7 hereafter make, and shall not hereafter create any engagement or obligations in the  
8 name of or against the other and shall never hereafter secure or attempt to secure any  
9 credit upon or in connection with the other. in the event other community assets or  
10 community debts of the Parties are discovered after the entry of the Decree of  
11 Divorce, the Parties have the right to petition the Court for distribution of same. In  
12 addition, neither Party shall take a position inconsistent with the terms of the Decree  
13 of Divorce and shall respect the rights and privacy of the other Party. The Party  
14 failing to follow the Decree of Divorce, shall be responsible for any and all  
15 reasonable attorney fees associated with enforcing the terms of the Decree of  
16 Divorce. The Court shall maintain jurisdiction over the obligations and terms of the  
17 Decree of Divorce pursuant to the holding in *Siragusa v. Siragusa*, 108 Nev. 987,  
18 843 P.2d 807 (1992), which allows an award of fees and costs to enforce the terms of  
19 a Decree of Divorce.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall be restored to her prior  
25 name of Emily Cardona, if she desires to do so after consideration of the fact the  
26  
27  
28

names of the children will be different. This Order shall serve as the document permitting the name change. (Video Timestamp 3:19:28)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the terms set forth in this Decree of Divorce may not be changed, modified, or terminated orally, and any such change, modification, or termination may only be made by a written instrument executed by the parties, or by further Order of the Court.

***STATUTORY NOTICES:***

The following statutory notices relating to the custody of minor children are applicable to the Parties:

The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006, which states:

1. If primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:

(a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

(b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to relocate with the child.

2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the custodial parent if the court finds that the noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial parent's relocation with the child:

- 1  
2 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or  
3 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

4 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this  
5 section without the written consent of the noncustodial  
6 parent or the permission of the court is subject to the  
7 provisions of NRS 200.359.

8 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which  
9 states:

10 *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER*: THE ABDUCTION,  
11 CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN  
12 VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A  
13 CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN *NRS* § 193.130.  
14 *NRS* § 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right  
15 of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to  
16 the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child  
17 from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or  
18 a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this  
19 court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court  
20 without the consent of either the court or all persons who have  
21 the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for  
22 a category D felony as provided in *NRS* §193.130.

23 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of  
24 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
25 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign  
26 country.

27 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of  
28 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:



1           Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection 6,  
2 all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the Hague  
3 Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the Hague  
4 Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or  
wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

5           Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has  
6 significant commitments in a foreign country:

7           (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the  
8 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the  
9 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of  
10 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in  
Subsection 7.

11          (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the parent  
12 to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent poses an  
13 imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child  
14 outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in  
15 an amount determined by the Court and may be used only to  
16 pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his  
17 habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or  
18 concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact  
19 that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country  
does not create a presumption that the parent poses an  
imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

20          The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of  
21 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support  
22 payments.  
23

24          The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of  
25 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.  
26  
27  
28

1 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*  
2 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare  
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date  
4 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk  
5 in a confidential manner and not part of the public record.  
6

7 The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare  
8 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of  
9 that information become inaccurate.  
10

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
12  
13  
14

Dated this 23rd day of December, 2021



149 AB5 8E75 E48D  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

15  
16 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
17 **LAW GROUP**

18 By: /s/ Amanda Roberts, Esq.  
19 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
20 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
21 4411 South Pecos Road  
22 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
23 PH: (702) 474-7007  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4  
5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Decree of Divorce was served via the court's electronic eFile system to  
all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 12/23/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28



1 **NEOJ**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FAMILY DIVISION**  
13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

14 EMILY BELLISARIO,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 BRADELY BELLISARIO,

18 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

19 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS**  
20 **OF LAW AND DECREE OF DIVORCE**

21 \ \ \

22 \ \ \

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

26 \ \ \

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and  
2 Decree of Divorce was duly entered on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2021, a copy of  
3 which is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.  
4

5 DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2021.

6 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

7 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
8

9 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

10 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

11 4411 S. Pecos Road

12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

13 PH: (702) 474-7007

14 FAX: (702) 474-7477

15 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,  
3 and on the 23rd day of December, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net  
4 electronic service, pursuant Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2  
5 for service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing  
6 Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce, to  
7 the following:  
8  
9

10  
11 Bradley Bellisario  
12 Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
13 Defendant *in proper person*

14 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
15 Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **FFCL**  
2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
7 PH: (702) 474-7007  
8 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FAMILY DIVISION**  
13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

14 EMILY BELLISARIO, ) Case No: D-20-605263-D  
15 ) Dept No: P  
16 Plaintiff, )  
17 v. )  
18 )  
19 BRADLEY BELLISARIO, ) Date of Trial: December 20, 2021  
20 ) Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.  
21 Defendant. )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

1 **FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**  
2 **AND DECREE OF DIVORCE**

3 This matter having come before the Court on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021,  
4 for a Non-Jury Trial. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario (hereinafter referred to as  
5 “Plaintiff” or “Emily”), being present, by and through her attorneys of record,  
6 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant,  
7 Bradley Bellisario (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant” or “Bradley”), not being  
8 presented or represented by Counsel. The Court having heard testimony, reviewed

1 exhibits and considered the testimony along with arguments of Counsel and  
2 pleadings on file herein, hereby finds and Orders as follows:

3  
4 **FINDING OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

5 NOW THEREFORE,

6 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that following Exhibits were admitted during  
7 the Non-Jury Trial: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20,  
8 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41,  
9 42, 43, 44, 45, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 72, 73,  
10 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 98, 100, 101,  
11 102, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119,  
12 120, 121, 122, and 123.

13  
14  
15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the following Exhibits were admitted,  
16 under seal, but may be reviewed by the Nevada Supreme Court/Court of Appeals if  
17 this matter is reviewed by said Court: 124 (Donna's House Report dated May 7,  
18 2021) and 125 (health insurance breakdown).

19  
20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Parties are incompatible in  
21 marriage, which makes it impossible to live together as husband and wife, to which  
22 there is no possibility for reconciliation, and are entitled to a Decree of Divorce.  
23 (Video Timestamp 2:41:40)  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Plaintiff is a resident of Clark  
2 County, State of Nevada at all times relevant in this action and Plaintiff was a  
3 resident for more than six (6) weeks prior to the commencement of this action  
4 pursuant to *NRS* § 125C.020 (e). (Video Timestamp 2:41:30)

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that jurisdiction in this matter is proper as  
7 the Plaintiff is a resident of Clark County, Nevada and have been in excess of six (6)  
8 weeks prior to the commencement of this action. (Video Timestamp 2:41:24)

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Parties were married on August 16,  
11 2014 and separated in June of 2019.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Parties have three (3) minor  
14 children, to wit: Brayden Bellisario (“Brayden”), born January 15, 2015; Blake  
15 Bellisario (“Blake”), born November 20, 2016; and Brooklyn Bellisario  
16 (“Brooklyn”), born February 1, 2018. (Video Timestamp 3:13:24)

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS jurisdiction is proper pursuant to *NRS* §  
19 125A.305 and 125A.085 as Nevada is the “home state” of the minor six (6) months  
20 before the commencement of this action. (Video Timestamp 2:41:25)

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that at the commencement of this action,  
23 Defendant was an attorney who owned his own law firm. (Video Timestamp  
24 2:42:09)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at the hearing on July 30, 2020 (Order filed  
2 January 24, 2021), the Defendant was Ordered to pay Plaintiff child support to the  
3 Plaintiff in the amount of \$2,560.00 per month and spousal support of \$1,000.00 per  
4 month since the date of separation which was June of 2019. This amount was based  
5 upon a gross monthly income of \$18,000.00 per month (Exhibit "18"). (Video  
6 Timestamp 2:41:47)  
7  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant alleged in his Financial  
10 Disclosure Form filed February 7, 2021 that he ceased work as an attorney on or  
11 about August 1, 2020 (Exhibit "19"); however, based upon filings with the Eighth  
12 Judicial District Court (Exhibit "20"), Defendant continued to work for at least three  
13 (3) months after he claimed to no longer be working at his law firm. (Video  
14 Timestamp 2:42:05)  
15  
16

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that on October 22, 2020 (Order filed  
18 January 20, 2021), the District Court gave the Defendant an opportunity to purge his  
19 contempt for not paying spousal support if he "immediately delivered his financial  
20 books and records regarding his business and income." The Defendant failed to  
21 comply with this Order. (Video Timestamp 2:42:15)  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the November 24, 2020 (Order filed  
24 December 10, 2020), the District Court Ordered the Defendant to turn over his  
25 business and personal financial documents by December 1, 2020. The Defendant  
26  
27  
28

1 failed to comply with this Order, and the Court's predecessor recommended if the  
2 Defendant did not comply that an unequal distribution of community which was not  
3 done. (Video Timestamp 2:43:17)  
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant failed to comply with  
6 discovery. As such, it was Ordered on March 17, 2021, that the Defendant be  
7 precluded from presenting and replying upon at Trial or the Evidentiary Hearing any  
8 evidence required to be produced by *NRCP* § 16.2 which was not produced within  
9 five (5) days of the hearing. (Video Timestamp 2:43:38)  
10

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant failed to timely respond  
12 to Plaintiff's Request for Admission and pursuant to *NRCP* § 36 (a)(3) said  
13 admissions are deemed admitted, as a matter of law, and will be addressed in more  
14 detail herein. (Video Timestamp 2:43:57)  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there was an active Protection Order in  
17 T-19-200404-T which was in place from September 18, 2019 through September 19,  
18 2020. This Protection Order was never dismissed or dissolved, remaining effective  
19 until expired on its own. (Video Timestamp 3:23:14)  
20

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that there was an overlapping Protection  
22 Order in T-20-206639-T which has been in place from July 6, 2020 through the  
23 current date. Said Protection Order shall expire on May 10, 2022. (Video  
24 Timestamp 2:44:30)  
25  
26

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Donna Wilburn, MFT (“Wilburn”),  
2 testified in this matter. Wilburn treated Brayden for two (2) sessions on February 2,  
3 2020 and February 24, 2020. Wilburn stopped treating Brayden because Defendant  
4 did not agree with her treatment of the child. In the two (2) sessions, Wilburn  
5 determined that Brayden was under a lot of stress related to parental conflict which  
6 made him nervous and he did not want his parents around each other, and he had  
7 anxiety regarding his contact with Defendant. Brayden disclosed to Wilburn that he  
8 saw a lot of scary behaviors, including his Dad being mean to his Mom, and was  
9 afraid of Dad getting mad. When Wilburn stopped treating Brayden, she referred  
10 him to Anna Trujillo, MFT (“Trujillo”). (Video Timestamp 2:45:21)

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at one child exchange, Brayden did not want  
15 to go to visit Defendant and was crying. Saira McKinley (“Saira”) picked Brayden  
16 up and began swinging him around, and once Brayden got away from Saira he ran to  
17 Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:46:28)

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Complaint for Divorce was filed on  
20 March 5, 2020, and during the almost two (2) year period of time, Defendant has  
21 done nothing to cooperate, communicate or compromise to act in the best interest of  
22 the minor children. (Video Timestamp 2:49:17)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as it relates to best interest/wishes of the  
2 children- they are not of a sufficient age and capacity to state a preference. (Video  
3 Timestamp 2:50:23)  
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FIND as it relates to best interest/nomination of  
6 guardian- there has been no nomination of guardian in this matter. (Video  
7 Timestamp 2:50:38)  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as it relates to best interest/frequent  
10 association and continuing relationship- though Plaintiff has requested the  
11 Defendant's visitation be supervised, she is requesting a schedule be set up to allow  
12 Defendant the ability to maintain a relationship with the children; and Plaintiff  
13 attempted to bribe Brayden to do visits with the Defendant, but Brayden refused to  
14 go. Defendant has shown no signs of not allowing frequent association and a  
15 continuing relationship with Plaintiff. Therefore, the Court views this factor as  
16 neutral. (Video Timestamp 2:50:45)  
17  
18

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/level of  
20 conflict- Plaintiff was subjected to many acts of domestic violence and violations of  
21 the Protection Orders that were in place at the time and currently in place;  
22 Defendant's communication to Plaintiff, her attorneys and therapists for the children  
23 have increased the conflict; at supervised visitation at Donna's House, Defendant  
24 became violent with the Marshalls and had to be escorted out based upon the  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Donna's House report filed under seal as Exhibit "124" which was admitted; as well  
2 as the domestic violence facts as set forth herein below. Therefore, this factor  
3 weighs against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. This is also a factor relevant to  
4 continued supervised visitation for the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 2:51:22)  
5

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/ability of the  
7 parents to cooperate- Defendant has continuously refused to cooperate with Court  
8 Orders including paying child support as required; Defendant's willingness to be  
9 confrontational and abusive in the presence of the children, shows a blatant refusal to  
10 cooperate with the Plaintiff in raising the children; Defendant filed a civil law suit  
11 against the child's therapists; multiple lawsuits against Plaintiff and others; as well as  
12 the domestic violence facts as set forth herein below. Therefore, this factor weighs  
13 against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:52:15)  
14  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/mental and  
17 physical health of the parents- this factor could have been put to rest if the Defendant  
18 had cooperated in completion of the psychological evaluation as Ordered, but  
19 Defendant's refusal to do so and his acts in violation of the Protection Orders raise  
20 suspicion and/or concern as to a minimum ability to deal with his anger. During  
21 supervised visitation at Donna's House, Defendant became violent with the  
22 Marshalls and had to be escorted out of the building according to the Donna's House  
23 Report filed under seal and admitted as Exhibit "124". Defendant's out of control  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 behavior further shows a possibility of mental health issues that could place the  
2 children in danger should Defendant have unsupervised contact with the minor  
3 children; the Defendant has allegedly engaged in multiple attacks and stalking of  
4 other people; he has threatened another man, Jason Elleman, with a "Columbian  
5 neck tie" which is a claim he would slit the throat of the man who is his ex-  
6 girlfriend's ex-boyfriend, which the subject of a criminal case. Defendant has posted  
7 many false reports regarding many professional involved with this family including  
8 therapists, attorneys and judges. Defendant's posts are rants that are hard to follow  
9 and understand; as well as the domestic violence facts as set forth herein below.  
10 There are no such problems for the Plaintiff. Therefore, this factor weighs against  
11 Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:52:53)

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/physical,  
16 developmental and emotional needs of the child- the children are young, and  
17 Brayden has shown the need for therapy, with Wilburn and Trujillo, regarding being  
18 subjected to domestic violence and adverse treatment of his Mother by his Father,  
19 not once, but twice. Brayden has threatened violence against adults and threatened  
20 to kill his Mother; Brayden is just six (6) years old. There is a possibility this  
21 behavior is being learned from Defendant. Therefore, this factor weighs against  
22 Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. Moreover, this factor weighs in the Court's decision  
23 regarding supervised visitation for the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 2:54:30)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/nature of  
2 relationship with parents- the children have a loving relationship with Plaintiff;  
3 whereas, Defendant has gone more than a year with no more than supervised  
4 visitation, the relationship between Defendant and the children will probably need to  
5 be rebuilt once he finishes his psychological evaluation as previously Ordered.  
6 Therefore, this factor weighs against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff. (Video  
7  
8 Timestamp 2:55:31)

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/sibling  
11 relationship that there are no other siblings besides those of this relationship.  
12 Therefore, this factor is not applicable. (Video Timestamp 2:56:04)

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/abuse or  
15 neglect- the Defendant threw juices boxes and food at the children when they  
16 ignored him during a child exchange, and abused the Plaintiff in front of the minor  
17 children. Therefore, this factor weighs against Defendant in favor of Plaintiff.  
18 (Video Timestamp 2:56:12)

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/abduction-  
21 this factor is not applicable. (Video Timestamp 2:56:45)

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
24 violence- the violence began during the Plaintiff's first pregnancy. (Video  
25 Timestamp 2:56:55)



1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
2 violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, that on August  
3 6, 2019, Defendant left bruises on the Plaintiff's arm and thigh, by banging the door  
4 on her while Brayden watched the incident. He also tore the garage door off and  
5 then left.  
6

7 That the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence on  
8 September 16, 2019, Defendant began banging on the door, then broke a back  
9 window to get into the home, wherein he began throwing furniture including  
10 throwing a television over the loft on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor to the 1<sup>st</sup> floor, ripping a television  
11 off the wall in the living room, while Brayden was present and the police were on the  
12 telephone, Defendant destroyed the children's fish tank, causing all three children to  
13 watch as fish died, and caused damages to the cabinets and sink in the area around  
14 the fish tank, kicking in the dishwasher, ripping the fan out of the wall from above  
15 the stove, broke multiple mirrors/artwork/wine bottles, ripped the hinges from the  
16 bathroom cabinet doors, threw a large picture in a frame onto the toddler's bed,  
17 kicked in the toddler gate at the top of the stairs. Exhibit "31" is a detailed invoice of  
18 the damage caused that night which was admitted. (Video Timestamp 2:57:29)  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
24 violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, on June 22,  
25 2020, the Defendant backed his car into the garage of Plaintiff's home after  
26  
27  
28

1 threatening to kill the Plaintiff. Upon determining Plaintiff was not home, Defendant  
2 began backing into neighbors' vehicles, driving forward and backing up into a city  
3 light pole which was knocked down onto a neighbor's vehicle. Defendant also hit  
4 neighbors vehicles with sticks. Defendant then drove up and down the road.  
5 Defendant then showed up at the home of Plaintiff's Father where she and the  
6 children were barricaded in the bathroom with Plaintiff's Father protecting them with  
7 a shotgun. Defendant was arrested and pictures of Defendant's vehicle were taken  
8 showing damage. At the time, Protection Order T-19-200404-T was in place.  
9 (Video Timestamp 2:5845)

10  
11  
12  
13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
14 violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, on February 2,  
15 2021, Defendant showed up at the Plaintiff's house thirty-three (33) minutes late for  
16 a child exchange and began throwing juice boxes and food at the Plaintiff's front  
17 door while the children present because the children would not respond to calls from  
18 the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 3:00:08)

19  
20  
21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic  
22 violence- the Plaintiff propounded a Request for Admission on the Defendant which  
23 were deemed admitted due to Defendant's failure to respond. As such, the following  
24 were admitted: (Video Timestamp 3:00:55)

- 25  
26 • Defendant committed domestic violence against Plaintiff as  
27 defined by *NRS* § 33.018. (Video Timestamp 3:01:09)

- Defendant entered a plea to battery in case 19F19371X. (Video Timestamp 3:01:18)
- In case 19F19371X, Defendant was required to complete an impulse control course. (Video Timestamp 3:01:29)
- In case 19F19371X, Defendant was required to complete domestic violence counseling. (Video Timestamp 3:01:35)
- On or about August 1, 2019, Defendant struck Plaintiff on the left side of her cheek. (Video Timestamp 3:01:47)
- On or about August 1, 2019, Defendant caused a welt to be left on Plaintiff's left arm. (Video Timestamp 3:01:56)
- The bruises depicted in the photographs of Emily Bellisario attached to the Request for Admissions as **Exhibit "1"** were caused by Defendant on or about August 1, 2019. (Video Timestamp 3:02:05)
- Defendant threatened to place "Gabe in the ground." (Video Timestamp 3:02:21)
- Defendant stated he was going "to murder" someone known to Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 3:02:27)
- Defendant stated that Mario would "be drinking through a straw till he dies." (Video Timestamp 3:02:34)
- Defendant stated, "I am going to destroy the fuckers life." (Video Timestamp 3:02:41)
- Defendant threatened to kill anyone in a relationship with Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 3:02:48)
- Defendant stated that he was going to "kill" Emily Bellisario. (Video Timestamp 3:02:53)
- Defendant caused the marital residence to be in the condition depicted in the photographs attached as **Exhibit "2"**. (Video Timestamp 3:02:58)
- Defendant caused physical damage to the following personal property items in Plaintiff's residence located at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89134: (1.) two televisions; (2.) two chairs; (3.) appliances; (4.) furniture; (5.) broken vase; and (6). fish bowl. (Video Timestamp 3:03:12)
- Defendant caused physical to the home where Plaintiff resides located at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89134: (1.) rear window; (2.) front door of the residence; and (3.) lighting fixtures. (Video Timestamp 3:03:36)

- Defendant caused bruises to Plaintiff on or about September 16, 2019. (Video Timestamp 3:03:49)
- On or about September 16, 2019, Defendant caused a redness to be left on Plaintiff's left shoulder. (Video Timestamp 3:03:56)
- On or about September 16, 2019, Defendant caused a redness to be left on Plaintiff's left elbow. (Video Timestamp 3:04:02)
- On or about September 16, 2019, Defendant caused a redness to be left on Plaintiff's left side of her back. (Video Timestamp 3:04:07)
- That the minor child, Brayden Bellisario, witnessed Defendant committed domestic violence against Plaintiff as defined by NRS § 33.018. (Video Timestamp 3:04:14)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest/domestic violence- that the Plaintiff showed, by clear and convincing evidence, that she received text messages from Defendant that were threatening Plaintiff including killing her boyfriends and showing that he was stalking her and her boyfriend. Defendant threatened Plaintiff's Father (maternal grandfather) in September of 2019; and Defendant threatened Plaintiff's college friend. These acts are forms of harassment and attempts to isolate Plaintiff from any of her friends and family. (Video Timestamp 3:04:32)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the factors regarding domestic violence weigh against the Defendant and favor the Plaintiff. (Video Timestamp 2:52:03)

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest - Defendant would harass professionals in a way that would attempt to get them to drop the Plaintiff as a client. (Video Timestamp 3:05:18)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at it relates to the best interest - there are  
2 criminal charges pending against the Defendant. The Defendant was in custody,  
3 wherein it was advised that the CCDC was not transporting individuals, nor was it  
4 being permitted for video conference or telephone conference. (Video Timestamp  
5 3:00:47)  
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on November 14, 2020, Saira was supposed  
8 to be present for supervised visitation or both Paternal Grandfather and Maternal  
9 Grandfather; however, Defendant was left alone with the minor children. (Video  
10 Timestamp 3:05:38)  
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on November 21, 2020, Defendant was  
13 supposed to be supervised with the minor children; however, Defendant was alone  
14 with the minor children in his parking garage with no supervisor present. Saira was  
15 supposed to be the supervisor on this occasion. (Video Timestamp 3:05:55)  
16

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on June 10, 2020, the Plaintiff and  
18 Defendant entered into a Stipulation and Order that a full outsource custody  
19 evaluation would be completed including psychological evaluations of the Parties.  
20 (Video Timestamp 2:46:52)  
21

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS on June 26, 2021, the Court Ordered that the  
23 "Court shall not entertain requests to modify the Defendant's visitation with the  
24 minor children until he completes the psychological evaluation." (Video Timestamp  
25 2:47:13)  
26

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that at the time of scheduling the Non-Jury  
2 Trial/Evidentiary Hearing, Defendant made his intention clear that he would attempt  
3 to put off the Non-Jury Trial/Evidentiary Hearing and cause more delay. (Video  
4 Timestamp 2:47:30)

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that after Defendant's multiple civil  
7 lawsuits, and continuous filings that were duplicative and in many other courts  
8 regarding the same subject matters, this Court granted vexatious litigant status  
9 against the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 2:47:40)

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant failed to pay medical  
12 insurance premiums for the minor children and therefore, he shall reimburse one-half  
13 to the Plaintiff ( $\$761.94 \times 32 \text{ months} = \$24,382.08/2$ ) the sum of \$12,191.04.  
14 (Video Timestamp (Video Timestamp 3:22:20)

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant failed to reimburse  
17 Plaintiff one-half of medical bills for the minor children in the amount of \$3,435.22,  
18 with and his one-half obligation is \$1,717.61. (Video Timestamp 3:08:53)

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Court declines to find Defendant  
21 committed community waste as it relates to gambling. In Las Vegas, people gamble.  
22 The Court agrees that Defendant should have paid the Court Ordered support, but the  
23 Court cannot determine the source of the funds for the monies expended and the  
24 funds may have well come from Defendant's law practice. (Video Timestamp  
25 3:09:16)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant did commit community  
2 waste by destroying his law practice, allegedly stealing money from clients and  
3 having his law license suspended. (Video Timestamp 3:09:32)  
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the residence at 1913 Sondrio Drive  
6 was purchased by the Plaintiff prior to the Parties marriage. (Video Timestamp  
7 3:06:33)  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that since the Parties marriage through  
10 December of 2021, community funds were used to pay the mortgage payments. That  
11 the Court determines it to be eighty-eight (88) payments at \$1,011.00 per month for a  
12 total amount of payments of \$88,968.00 less the deferred mortgage payments of  
13 \$14,197.34. Therefore, the Defendant's share is one-half of the payments or  
14 (\$77,789.00/2) \$37,394.50. (Video Timestamp 3:06:39)  
15  
16

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that from the Defendant's share of the  
18 mortgage payments the child support arrears shall be deducted first which total  
19 \$49,377.82. Therefore, the Defendant's share of the mortgage payments is  
20 eliminated by the child support arrears and the remaining amount of child support  
21 arrears owed is \$11,988.32. (Video Timestamp 3:08:12)  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's wrongful acts caused  
24 damage to the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive in the amount of \$21,425.35.  
25 (Video Timestamp 3:08:38)  
26

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the following debts to be community in  
2 nature with each Party being responsible for one-half of said obligation: (Video  
3 Timestamp 3:10:38)  
4

- 5 • Bank of America debt ending in 6343- \$1,120.60
- 6 • Bank of America debt ending in 0153- \$2,712.58
- 7 • Chase credit card ending in 5682/5254- \$1,044.89
- 8 • Chase credit card ending in 5919/7774- \$6,200.00

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's student loans were  
10 incurred before marriage and are not a community debt. (Video Timestamp 3:11:22)

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant's PPP loan of \$23,000.00  
12 for Defendant's law practice is not a community debt. (Video Timestamp 3:10:15)

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that any debt owed to Defendant's clients is  
14 not community debt. (Video Timestamp 3:11:37)

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Plaintiff withdrew her request for  
16 Defendant to be held in contempt of Court. (Video Timestamp 3:11:44)

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant has the ability to earn the  
18 wages of a seasoned law clerk/paralegal, which this Court determines to be  
19 reasonably \$35.00 per hour. (Video Timestamp 2:48:48)

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendant's lack of cooperation in the  
21 outsource evaluation, psychological evaluation and discovery has significantly  
22 increased the cost of litigation. (Video Timestamp 2:43:39, 2:47:53)



1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as a result of Defendant's failure to comply  
2 with the Court Order regarding child support, he owes child support arrears through  
3 December of 2021 in the amount of \$49,377.82, credited by the above noted  
4 financial credit as it relates to the mortgage payments, resulting in a total amount of  
5 child support arrears amount of \$11,988.32. (Video Timestamp 2:42:33)  
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as a result of Defendant's failure to comply  
8 with the Court Order regarding spousal support, he owes spousal support arrears  
9 through December of 2021 in the amount of \$33,982.84. (Video Timestamp  
10 2:42:59)  
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendant shall have the ability to  
13 provide proof to the Court that he made child support payments and spousal support  
14 payments for which he was not given credit. (Video Timestamp 2:42:50, 3:16:00)  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that attorney fees were awarded from  
17 Defendant to Plaintiff pursuant to the Order from April 21, 2021, in the amount of  
18 \$3,239.50; and attorney fees were awarded from Defendant to Plaintiff pursuant to  
19 the Order from September 20, 2021, in the amount of \$2,659.50. (Video Timestamp  
20 3:11:59)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

2 1. That the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to NRS 125.020, 125.120,  
3 125.130, and to make orders as to the parties' legal status;

4 2. That the Court has the authority to make orders as it pertains to the marital  
5 estate, separate and/or community property/debts (NRS 125.150);

6 3. That the Court has the authority to make orders as it pertains to Custody  
7 (NRS 125C, et.seq., *Rivero -v- Rivero*, 216, P.3d 213 (2009); 125 Nev. Adv. Op.  
8 No. 34 (August 27, 2009), *Wallace v. Wallace*, 112 Nev. 1015, 1019, 922 P.2d 541,  
9 543 (1996) ("Matters of custody and support of minor children rest in the sound  
10 discretion of the trial court"); *Bluestein v. Bluestein*, 131 Nev., Adv. Op. 14, 345  
11 P.3d 1044, 1048 (2015) reiterating that "in custody matters, the child's best interest is  
12 paramount");

13 4. That the Court has the authority to make orders as it pertains to Child  
14 Support (NAC Chapter 425; NRS 125B et.seq., *Barbagallo v. Barbagallo*, 105 Nev.  
15 546, 779 P.2d 532 (1989);

16 **DECREE AND ORDERS**

17 *NOW THEREFORE*, and good cause appearing; It Is Hereby

18 ORDERED the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between the  
19 Parties be, and the same are hereby, wholly dissolved and an absolute Decree of  
20 Divorce is hereby granted to the Parties, and each Party hereto is restored to the  
21 status of a single, unmarried person. (Video Timestamp 3:12:32)  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff is awarded **Sole Legal**  
2 **Custody** of the minor children which includes the ability to make all religious,  
3 medical and educational decisions for the minor children. This includes the ability to  
4 obtain Passports for the minor children without Defendant's signature being  
5 necessary, and travel outside the United States without the Defendant's permission.  
6 (Video Timestamp 3:13:20, 3:13:58)  
7

8  
9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as it relates to legal custody, it may be  
10 determined a change in circumstance if the Defendant submits to the psychological  
11 examination by Dr. Stephanie Holland as previously Ordered, at his cost, as more  
12 specifically set forth herein. (Video Timestamp 3:13:39)  
13

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff is awarded Primary Physical  
15 custody subject to the following: (Video Timestamp 3:14:06)  
16

- 17 • Upon Defendant's criminal cases being complete, he shall have  
18 four (4) hours of supervised visitation at Family First at his cost,  
19 upon requesting same from the Court. The supervision shall be  
20 closely monitored whereby someone from Family First shall be  
21 able to hear all Defendant's conversations with the minor  
22 children. If Family First determines there are inappropriate  
23 comments or behavior, Family First may immediately cut off  
24 supervised visitation for that visitation session, and may resume  
25 at the next regularly visitation period. (Video Timestamp  
26 3:14:12, 3:14:30)
- 27 • Defendant shall not have any visitation until all of the criminal  
28 cases are resolved. (Video Timestamp 3:14:20)

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that it may be a change in circumstance, for  
2 physical custody, if Defendant completes a psychological evaluation. The evaluation  
3 shall be paid for by the Defendant. It shall be completed by Dr. Holland and if she is  
4 no longer able to take the case or has retired, then the Plaintiff shall select the  
5 provider to conduct the psychological evaluation. (Video Timestamp 3:14:50,  
6 3:21:36)  
7  
8

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall provide health insurance  
10 for the minor children and the Parties shall equally divide the cost of the health  
11 insurance premium. The current premium amount is \$802.00 per month and  
12 Defendant's one-half obligation is \$401.00 per month. The premium may fluctuate  
13 from time to time, and Plaintiff may file a "Notice of Change of Health Insurance  
14 Premium" and serve same upon the Defendant. (Video Timestamp 3:16:21)  
15  
16

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff health  
18 insurance premium arrears of \$12,191.04. Said amount is reduced to judgment and  
19 collectable by any and all legal means. (Video Timestamp 3:22:20)  
20

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical,  
22 orthodontic, or other health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor  
23 children is to be divided equally between the Parties. Either Party incurring an out of  
24 pocket medical expense for the children shall provide a copy of the paid  
25 invoice/receipt to the other party within thirty (30) days of incurring such expense.  
26  
27  
28

1 If not tendered within the thirty (30) day period, the Court may consider it a waiver  
2 of reimbursement. The other Party will then have thirty (30) days from receipt  
3 within which to dispute the expense in writing or reimburse the incurring Party for  
4 one-half of the out of pocket expense. If not disputed or paid within the thirty (30)  
5 day period, the Party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate  
6 sanctions. (Video Timestamp 3:16:45)  
7  
8

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff  
10 unreimbursed health insurance cost of \$1,717.61. Said amount is reduced to  
11 judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video Timestamp\_\_\_\_\_)  
12

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall be permitted to claim the  
14 minor children for tax purposes, in all years, and be awarded 100 percent of any  
15 child tax credits. (Video Timestamp 3:16:48)  
16

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay Plaintiff child  
18 support. The child support is suspended while the Defendant is detained at the Clark  
19 County Detention Center; however, upon being released his child support the next  
20 month upon release shall be set at \$1,569.00 per month based upon an imputed wage  
21 of \$35.00 per hour which equates to \$6,067.00 per month. (Video Timestamp  
22 3:15:03)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon obtaining employment, Defendant  
2 shall file and serve a Financial Disclosure Form including proof of income from his  
3 employer. (Video Timestamp 3:15:24)  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff child  
6 support arrears of \$49,377.82; however, Defendant may provide proof of payment  
7 for additional payments and he shall be given credit for those payments. A portion  
8 of the child support arrears are being paid from the Defendant's community share of  
9 mortgage payments on 1913 Sondrio Drive and the remaining amount owed is  
10 \$11,988.32. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal  
11 means. (Video Timestamp 3:15:48)  
12  
13

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las  
15 Vegas, Nevada 89134 (Parcel No. 137-24-717-031) is confirmed as Plaintiff's sole  
16 and separate property. (Video Timestamp 3:06:36)  
17

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's mortgage payment interest  
19 in the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (Parcel No.  
20 137-24-717-031) is \$37,394.50 and the amount is reduced by \$37,394.50 as  
21 application for the child support arrears. As such, Defendant has no interest in the  
22 mortgage reimbursement. (Video Timestamp 3:08:10)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant owes the Plaintiff spousal  
2 support arrears of \$33,982.84 through December of 2021. Said amount is reduced to  
3 judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video Timestamp 3:16:54)

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each Party shall be awarded the personal  
5 property in their possession.  
6

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall be responsible for the  
8 following debt: (Video Timestamp 3:10:37)

- 9 1. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 6343- \$1,120.60 (\$560.30);
- 10 2. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 0153- \$2,712.58 (\$1,356.29);
- 11 3. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5682/5254- \$1,044.89 (\$522.45);
- 12 4. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5919/7774- \$6,200.00 (\$3,100.00);
- 13 and
- 14 5. Any and all other debts in the Plaintiff's name not listed herein.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall be responsible for the  
16 following debt: (Video Timestamp 3:10:15)

- 17 1. Any and all student loan debt;
- 18 2. Any and all PPP loan debt;
- 19 3. Any and all debts associated with his law practice;
- 20 4. Any and all other debts in the Defendant's name not listed herein.
- 21 5. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 6343- \$1,120.60 (\$560.30);
- 22 6. ½ Bank of America debt ending in 0153- \$2,712.58 (\$1,356.29);
- 23 7. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5682/5254- \$1,044.89 (\$522.45);
- 24 8. ½ Chase credit card ending in 5919/7774- \$6,200.00 (\$3,100.00);

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff one-  
26 half of the community debt in the amount of \$5,539.03 as noted above. Said amount  
27 is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video  
28 Timestamp 3:11:18)

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff  
2 the sum of \$21,425.35 for the damage caused to the Plaintiff real property. Said  
3 amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. (Video  
4 Timestamp 3:08:38)  
5

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that while Defendant is detained at the Clark  
7 County Detention Center, spousal support shall be set at \$1.00 per month; however,  
8 the month after his release spousal support shall be set at \$500.00 per month. The  
9 spousal support is modifiable based upon Defendant's earning abilities. The  
10 duration of spousal support is seven (7) years. (Video Timestamp 3:17:07)  
11  
12

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each and every year, until the last child  
14 emancipates, the Defendant shall provide to Plaintiff a true and correct copy of his  
15 Federal Income Tax Return. (Video Timestamp 3:17:33)  
16

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before January 19, 2022, Plaintiff's  
18 Counsel shall file and serve a Memorandum of Fees and Costs which shall include  
19 *Brunzell* factors for both Ms. Roberts and Plaintiff's prior Counsel. The length of  
20 time is thirty (30) days due to the holidays and to give Defendant time to respond  
21 which shall be on or before Wednesday, February 2, 2022. (Video Timestamp  
22 3:18:29)  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Parties agree to hold each other harmless on  
2 the debts awarded herein. As such, if either Party is required to file a Motion to  
3 address a debt issue, the prevailing Party shall be awarded attorney's fees and costs.  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that neither Party shall charge or cause or permit  
6 to be charged, to or against the other, any purchase which either of them may  
7 hereafter make, and shall not hereafter create any engagement or obligations in the  
8 name of or against the other and shall never hereafter secure or attempt to secure any  
9 credit upon or in connection with the other. in the event other community assets or  
10 community debts of the Parties are discovered after the entry of the Decree of  
11 Divorce, the Parties have the right to petition the Court for distribution of same. In  
12 addition, neither Party shall take a position inconsistent with the terms of the Decree  
13 of Divorce and shall respect the rights and privacy of the other Party. The Party  
14 failing to follow the Decree of Divorce, shall be responsible for any and all  
15 reasonable attorney fees associated with enforcing the terms of the Decree of  
16 Divorce. The Court shall maintain jurisdiction over the obligations and terms of the  
17 Decree of Divorce pursuant to the holding in *Siragusa v. Siragusa*, 108 Nev. 987,  
18 843 P.2d 807 (1992), which allows an award of fees and costs to enforce the terms of  
19 a Decree of Divorce.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall be restored to her prior  
26 name of Emily Cardona, if she desires to do so after consideration of the fact the  
27  
28

1 names of the children will be different. This Order shall serve as the document  
2 permitting the name change. (Video Timestamp 3:19:28)

3  
4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the terms set forth in this Decree of  
5 Divorce may not be changed, modified, or terminated orally, and any such change,  
6 modification, or termination may only be made by a written instrument executed by  
7 the parties, or by further Order of the Court.  
8

9 ***STATUTORY NOTICES:***

10 The following statutory notices relating to the custody of minor children are  
11 applicable to the Parties:  
12

13 The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006,  
14 which states:

15 1. If primary physical custody has been established pursuant  
16 to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial  
17 parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place  
18 outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at  
19 such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of  
20 the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with  
the child, and the custodial parent desires to take the child  
with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:

21 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the  
noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

22 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent,  
23 petition the court for permission to relocate with the  
child.  
24

25 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs  
26 to the custodial parent if the court finds that the noncustodial  
parent refused to consent to the custodial parent's relocation  
with the child:  
27

- 1  
2 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or  
3 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

4 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this  
5 section without the written consent of the noncustodial  
6 parent or the permission of the court is subject to the  
7 provisions of NRS 200.359.

8 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which  
9 states:

10 *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER:* THE ABDUCTION,  
11 CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN  
12 VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A  
13 CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN *NRS* § 193.130.  
14 *NRS* § 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right  
15 of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to  
16 the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child  
17 from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or  
18 a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this  
19 court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court  
20 without the consent of either the court or all persons who have  
21 the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for  
22 a category D felony as provided in *NRS* §193.130.

23 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of  
24 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
25 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign  
26 country.

27 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of  
28 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

1           Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection 6,  
2 all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the Hague  
3 Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the Hague  
4 Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or  
5 wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

6           Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has  
7 significant commitments in a foreign country:

8           (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the  
9 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the  
10 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of  
11 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in  
12 Subsection 7.

13           (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the parent  
14 to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent poses an  
15 imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child  
16 outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in  
17 an amount determined by the Court and may be used only to  
18 pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his  
19 habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or  
20 concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact  
21 that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country  
22 does not create a presumption that the parent poses an  
23 imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

24           The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of  
25 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support  
26 payments.


27           The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of  
28 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

1 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*  
2 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare  
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date  
4 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk  
5 in a confidential manner and not part of the public record.  
6

7 The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare  
8 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of  
9 that information become inaccurate.  
10

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
12  
13  
14

Dated this 23rd day of December, 2021



149 AB5 8E75 E48D  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

15  
16 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
17 **LAW GROUP**

18 By: /s/ Amanda Roberts, Esq.  
19 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
20 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
21 4411 South Pecos Road  
22 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
23 PH: (702) 474-7007  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4  
5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Decree of Divorce was served via the court's electronic eFile system to  
all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 12/23/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****July 30, 2020**

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**July 30, 2020      10:00 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Pomrenze, Sandra**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 10**COURT CLERK:** Jefferyann Rouse**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MATTER HEARD SIMULTANEOUSLY with CASE NO. T-20-206639-T

MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE MINOR CHILD; FOR CHILD SUPPORT & HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE; FOR AN OUTSOURCED ALCOHOL/PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF THE DEFENDANT; TO CONFIRM AND CONSOLIDATE THE TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER; FOR SPOUSAL SUPPORT; AND FOR PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS INCURRED HEREIN...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRIMARY CUSTODY; CHILD SUPPORT; HEALTH INSURANCE; OUTSOURCED ALCOHOL/PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; CONFIRM AND CONSOLIDATE TPO; SPOUSAL SUPPORT; AND ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SHARED PHYSICAL CUSTODY; CHILD SUPPORT AND ATTORNEY'S FEES ...CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE...HEARING: REPLY DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 1 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive Plaintiff/Wife and her Attorney of Record Amanda Roberts along with Defendant/Husband and his Attorney of Record Christopher Tilman all appeared via (bluejeans) equipment.

Upon the matter being called, the Court noted the Stipulation counsel reached as to a custody evaluation including an evaluation of the parties. Attorney Tilman stated after speaking with his client and opposing counsel today's status check was premature today as Dr. Holland hasn't been engaged and Dr. Ponzo hasn't provide the report. Mr. Tilman requested the matter be continued for (45) days. counsel also stated concerns as to a trial being set in this matter.

Attorney Roberts advised the Court as to speaking with Mr. Tilman regarding the depressing matters. Counsel stated since the filing of the stipulation Defendant/Husband has been arrested for violating the Temporary Protection Order, a DUI and for Harassment. Attorney Roberts further advised the Court as to the threats made by Defendant/Husband to his prior Attorney Joe Ricco. Ms. Roberts represented Defendant/Husband is an Attorney and issues regarding his behavior may be brought before the BAR, Counsel also represented Attorney Ross Goodman will be representing Defendant/Husband in the criminal matters. Attorney Roberts requested the Temporary Protection Order issued in Case No. T-20-206639-TPO be extended.

The Court noted concerns as to issues at hand.

Defendant/Husband SWORN and TESTIFIED. The Court canvassed Defendant/Husband as to the amount of money he has given Plaintiff/Mom as and for support. The Court noted concerns as to who is paying the mortgage on the home.

Discussion held as to the Motion, Opposition, Countermotion, Defendant/Husband's behavior and Defendant/Dad having supervised visitation at Donna's House as well as Defendant attending impatient treatment center.

THE COURT ORDERED,

TEMPORARILY Defendant/Husband shall pay Plaintiff/Wife an ADDITIONAL \$1,000.00 per month, for SPOUSAL SUPPORT.

The TEMPORARY ORDER of PROTECTION issued in Case No.: T-20-206639-TPO shall be EXTENDED until 5-10-2021. Should there be any FURTHER ACTS of VIOLENCE the TEMPORARY ORDER of PROTECTION shall be EXTENDING to 5-2022.

Defendant/Dad shall have SUPERVISED VISITATION with the minor children, at DONNA'S HOUSE on Sundays' between 2:00 pm and 4:00 pm.

RETURN HEARING set for 10-22-2020 at 11:00 am.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 2 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 3 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

**October 22, 2020**

---

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**October 22, 2020      11:00 AM      Return Hearing**

**HEARD BY:** Pomrenze, Sandra

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 10

**COURT CLERK:** Mirna Loyola

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- RETURN HEARING: DONNA S HOUSE VISITATION

Amanda Roberts, Nevada Bar No.: 9294, present with Plaintiff via Bluejeans.

Christopher Tilman, Nevada Bar No.: 5150, present with Defendant via Bluejeans

Court reviewed case. Court addressed concerns regarding the children and visitation and advised would like supervised visits for an extended period of time before moving forward with daytime unsupervised visitation.

Discussion and argument regarding the children and visitation.

CASE PAUSED.

CASE RESUMED.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 4 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

COURT ORDERED, the following:

1. Defendant shall get a SCRAM BRACELET setup within the next seven (7) days and bear its own costs. Notification of status shall be sent to Court and attorneys weekly.
2. SUPERVISED VISITATIONS by one grandparent from each side or the Mckinley s in a public space from 11:00 am to 6:00 p.m.
3. SUPERVISED VISITATION to begin this Saturday. First visitation shall be between Father and Brayden, minor child, after that, the following two (2) visits shall be between Father and all three (3) Minor Children.
4. Status Check SET (re: status of supervised visitation and financial issues) November 24, 2020, at 11:00 a.m.
5. Defendant shall provide copies of books and records to Plaintiff s attorney, Amanda Roberts; to be viewed by Attorney Roberts internally and not disclosed to client.

Attorney Amanda Roberts shall prepare the Order from today s hearing.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**      Oct 22, 2020 11:00AM Return Hearing  
re: Donna's House visitation  
Courtroom 10 Pomrenze, Sandra

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 5 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

November 24, 2020

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**November 24,      11:00 AM      Return Hearing  
2020**

**HEARD BY:** Pomrenze, Sandra**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 10**COURT CLERK:** Jefferyann Rouse**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

**- RETURN HEARING: ADDRESS FINANCIAL ISSUES**

Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive, Plaintiff/Wife appeared with her Attorney of Record Amanda Roberts. Defendant/Husband appeared with his Attorney of Record Christopher Tilman, both parties and Counsel appeared by (bluejeans) audio equipment for today's proceedings.

Upon the matter being called, the Court noted Attorney Tilman's motion to withdraw on file.

Defendant/Dad SWORN and TESTIFIED. The Court canvassed Defendant/Dad and Attorney Tilman as to Defendant/Dad's request to withdraw as Attorney of Record.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 6 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

The Curt noted review of the Donna's House report which was very positive and Dr. Ponzio's report.

Attorney Roberts advised the Court as to Defendant/Dad's supervised visitation, threats made by Defendant/Dad, Defendant/Dad dodging service of the extended temporary protection order and Defendant/Dad not paying child support as ordered. Counsel further advised the Court as to Defendant/Dad violating every court order.

Attorney Roberts further advised the Court as to the child's therapy being suspended due to Defendant/Dad's actions.

The Court further noted concerns as to Defendant/Dad's non-closure of assets.

THE COURT ORDERED,

Attorney Tilman ORAL MOTION to WITHDRAW as Attorney of Record shall be GRANTED.

Defendant/Dad has (7) days from today's date to provide all his BOOKS and RECORDS PERTAINING to his LAW FIRM. If Defendant/Dad FAILS to do so this COURT shall RECOMMEND to its SUCCESSOR there be an UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION of the MARITAL ESTATE.

Any SUMS not PAID Defendant/Dad that were ORDERED to be PAID shall be REDUCED to JUDGMENT COLLECTIBLE by all LAWFUL MEANS.

Defendant/Dad shall exercise his CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE with the minor child on Saturday's from 12:00 noon until 4:00 pm. Defendant/Dad shall show his SCRAM BRACELET when PICKING up the children to exercise his CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE and RETURNING the children to Plaintiff/Mom.

Parties shall use the HONK & SEAT BELT RULE during the CUSTODIAL EXCHANGE.

The TEMPORARY PROTECTION ORDER issued in CASE No.: T-20-206639-T: shall be MODIFIED for Defendant/Dad's CUSTODIAL TIMESHARE with the minor children. Defendant/Dad shall be ALLOWED to get out of the CAR for purposes of showing Plaintiff/Mom he has the SCRAM BRACELET on.

MEDIATION shall be WAIVED as an evidentiary hearing will be set.

An EVIDENTIARY HEARING shall be held on 1-25-2021 at 9:00 am.

PRE-HEARING BRIEFS shall be due and SERVED by

DISCOVERY shall CLOSE on 1-11-2021

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 7 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Nov 24, 2020 11:00AM Return Hearing  
Address Financial issues  
Courtroom 10 Pomrenze, Sandra

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 8 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

January 25, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**January 25, 2021      9:00 AM      Status Check**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:** Ameiona Ratcliff**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, not present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

**- STATUS CHECK**

Due to Governor Sisolak's Stay Home for Nevada directive, all Parties appeared via BLUEJEANS.

Discussion regarding the Defendant/Dad. Ms. Roberts advised currently Dad has been incarcerated due to Domestic violence against the Plaintiff/Mom. Ms. Roberts further stated as Dad is not present the Status Check is compromised. Ms. Roberts stated currently she is engaged with other litigations surrounding this case which includes pending civil litigation and the issue of Dad violating the Temporary Protective Order (TPO) by acts of Domestic Violence (DV). Ms. Roberts further advised with regard to the minor child there are issues that are concerning, as he has made numerous violent threats against authoritative figures, parental and family members. Ms. Roberts stated recently the child made threats to shot Mom and verbally expressed his disregard for the grandparents. Ms. Roberts further stated Mom has the minor child in tutoring, which, there is a struggle with him cooperating respectfully. Ms. Roberts expressed the difficulty proceeding with today's hearing with

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 9 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Dad not present.

Upon the Court's inquiry in regard to the current Temporary Protective Order (TPO) it was found Judge Pomrenze Order if there was another instance of DV, the Request to Extend TPO would be granted. Court stated counsel may proceed with filing an Order Shortening Time (OST).

COURT NOTED, Dad has violated the active TPO and is currently incarcerated.

COURT ORDERED, the Motion Hearing set for March 1, 2021 at 10:00 a.m., shall STAND.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today's hearing.

CLERK'S NOTE: On 1/25/2021 a copy of the Court's Minute Order was provided to each Attorney via email, if an email address is on record with the Court; if no email address is available then the Minute Order was mailed to the physical address of record. (ajr)

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 10 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

February 09, 2021

---

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**February 09, 2021      8:45 AM      Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, not present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present  
not present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

The COURT ORDERS, that any Motions filed within a T case between these two Parties shall be heard by Judge Mary Perry under the D-20-605263-D case.

Therefore, the Motion hearing currently set in T-20-206639-T for March 2, 2021 shall be vacated. This Motion will be moved and heard along with the other pending Motions on February 11, 2021 in case D-20-605263-D.

A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 11 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order provided to the parties/counsel electronically. (kw 2/9/21)

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 12 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

February 11, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**February 11, 2021    1:30 PM****All Pending Motions****HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:** Tristana L. Cox**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND PROTECTION ORDER, JOINING BRADLEY'S BUSINESS AS A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, APPOINT A RECEIVER FOR THE BUSINESS, DEEMING BRADLEY VEXATIOUS LITIGATION AND CONSOLIDATING CIVIL CASES TO THIS ACTION, MODIFYING LEGAL CUSTODY, MODIFYING VISITATION, FOR MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND TO HOLD BRADLEY IN CONTEMPT, TO REDUCE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARS TO JUDGMENT, TO REDUCE TEMPORARY SUPPORT TO JUDGMENT, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON November 24, 2020...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON November 24, 2020; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF AND/OR PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 13 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice:** Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

PLAINTIFF AND/OR PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT AND  
MOTION FOR SANCTIONS; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES  
AND COSTS

This matter was heard SIMULTANEOUSLY with case T-20-206639-T.

All parties present appeared via Bluejeans.

Court NOTED Defendant filed a motion to Disqualify Judge which has not been decided. It was  
erroneously calendared on Department P's calendar.

COURT ORDERED the following,

All matters on calendar today are hereby OFF CALENDAR. The parties may RE-NOTICE their  
MOTIONS once a decision has been entered on the motion to disqualify judge.

Defendant's MOTION to STRIKE Hearsay and Misrepresentation of Material Fact Regarding  
Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining this Action, Appoint a  
Receiver For the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigant and Consolidating Civil Cases to  
this Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order  
to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgement, to  
Reduce Temporary Support to Judgement, for Award of Attorney's Fees and Cost and Related Relief  
presently set for 3/17/21 at 10:00 AM and Defendant's MOTION for RELIEF from Amended July 30,  
2020 Order, July 30, 2020 Order, and October 22, 2020 Order presently set for 3/22/21 at 10:00 AM  
are hereby VACATED pending Judge Bell's decision and may also be re-noticed once a decision has  
been entered.

Plaintiff's MOTION to DISQUALIFY Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11 formerly NCJC 3(E) is RESET to  
March 25, 2021 at 10:30 AM on Department 7's calendar for Chief Judge Bell to decide.

Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney s Fees and Costs and  
Related Relief presently set for 3/17/21 at 1:00 PM before the Discovery Commissioner STANDS.

Minutes shall suffice.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 14 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

**March 04, 2021**

---

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**March 04, 2021      10:30 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Bell, Linda Marie

**COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14A

**COURT CLERK:** Kimberly Estala

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- DEFT'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE PURSUANT TO NCJC 2.11 FORMERLY NCJC 3(E)...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE PURSUANT TO NCJC 2.11 (FORMERLY NCJC 3(E); AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF...

Argument by Mr. Bellisario. Argument by Ms. Roberts. Colloquy regarding pending dates. COURT ORDERED, pending April date VACATED; motion UNDER ADVISEMENT.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 15 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice:** Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 16 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****March 17, 2021**

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**March 17, 2021      1:00 PM      Motion**

**HEARD BY:** Young, Jay**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 20**COURT CLERK:** Michelle Cunningham; Leo Varona**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
not present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF

COURT CLERKS: Michelle Cunningham, Leo Varona

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

COURT NOTED there was no opposition on file. Upon Court's Inquiry Defendant confirmed he filed his Opposition this morning and that Plaintiff, Attorney Roberts and some other District Attorney (DA) had him arrested yesterday. Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Roberts stated she has not reviewed the Opposition but another associate in her office reviewed it. Attorney Roberts represented that she had electronically served Defendant and that he had opened it on 02/09/2021. Court represented he has not seen the Opposition and is not going to read it today but the court will let

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 17 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Defendant make a record.

Court addressed the affidavit filed by counsel regarding the Meet and Confer and stated it does not find that type of an Affidavit is sufficient to meet the requirements for the EDCR Rule 5.602 and for future affidavits to include all efforts made for the Meet and Confer. The Court will accept it at this time.

Court addressed the Motion and stated the Request for Production and Interrogatories were sent out on 06/10/2020, On 10/22/2020 Court ordered Defendant to deliver his financial books and records, On 11/24/2020 an Order was given to again deliver financial records within seven (7) days at that date and that failure would result in the Court would recommend an unequal distribution. COURT NOTED, to date, none of the requests have been responded to and no 16.2 disclosures have been made. Upon Court's inquiry, Attorney Roberts confirmed this. Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant stated that it was partially true, he had prior counsel and he does not know what prior counsel responded to. Further Discussion regarding Defendant responding to the requests. Defendant confirmed he had not responded since Defendant and counsel had parted ways. Attorney Roberts further stated an additional letter sent to Defendant on 01/11/2021 regarding additional discovery.

Defendant addressed the history of this case in regards to scheduling orders and discovery.

Following discussions and arguments, COMMISSIONER made its FINDINGS and RECOMMENDED, the following:

1. Pursuant to rule 5.503 the Court finds Defendant is deemed, by reason of his failure to oppose the Motion timely, to have admitted that it has merit and that the motion has merit on its own.
2. All Objections to the Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents are WAIVED by operation of Rule all requests are DEEMED ADMITTED. Defendant shall have 5 days to respond to the Interrogatories and the Request for Production of Documents.
3. Defendant shall respond to the first set of Request for Production of Documents within 5 days of today's date.
4. Defendant shall be PRECLUDED from presenting or relying on at trial or evidentiary hearing any evidence required by Rule 16.2 not disclosed as of 5 days from today.
5. An ADVERSE INFERENCE will be declared that any evidence withheld after five (5) days from today would NOT support the Defendant's position.
6. Preliminarily, The Request for ATTORNEY'S FEES under rule 37a5 shall be GRANTED. Attorney Roberts shall submit a Request for Itemized billing, plus a Declaration with the Brunzell factors, only including the fees that are related to this discovery dispute.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 18 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



7. STATUS CHECK re: Submission of Report and Recommendations, Attorney's Fees, and a Determination of Plaintiff's compliance shall be SET for 04/07/2021 at 1:30 p.m. If Plaintiff has not complied, the Court will consider the precluding order in the Adverse Inference Order that the Court indicated earlier.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Report and Recommendation (R&R) and Defendant to sign as to form and content. If the R&R has not been submitted within the next fourteen (14) days, Attorney Roberts shall be subject to contribution for failure to submit. Defendant shall have forty (48) hours to respond or object. If Defendant does not respond with 48 hours, Attorney Roberts may submit the R&R without his signature.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 19 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****April 06, 2021**

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**April 06, 2021      10:00 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:****PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MOTION...MOTION: PLTF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND PROTECTION ORDER, JOINING BRADLEY'S BUSINESS AS A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, APPOINT A RECEIVER FOR THE BUSINESS, DEEMING BRADLEY VEXATIOUS LITIGATION AND CONSOLIDATING CIVIL CASES TO THIS ACTION, MODIFYING LEGAL CUSTODY, MODIFYING VISITATION, FOR MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND TO HOLD BRADLEY IN CONTEMPT, TO REDUCE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARS TO JUDGEMENT, TO REDUCE TEMPORARY SUPPORT TO JUDGEMENT, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...MOTION:DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AMENDED July 30,2020 ORDER, July 30,2020 ORDER AND October 22,2020 ORDER; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER: AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF..

<b>PRINT DATE:</b>	01/24/2022	Page 20 of 49	<b>Minutes Date:</b>	July 30, 2020
--------------------	------------	---------------	----------------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Court reviewed the history of the case and the pleadings on file. Parties SWORN and TESTIMONY given. Parties stated arguments in regards to the TPO case, the parties trust account and how the Defendant has not turned over records regarding to his finances. Further discussion regarding custody and visitation with the Minor Children, therapy and who shall be the therapist. Court noted that the Defendant has not paid any child support to the Plaintiff. Further discussion regarding the Defendant's Scram reports, proof of service and other relate issues.

Attorney Roberts discussed consolidating the parties case with the civil matter and Court stated that Family Court does have jurisdiction over this matter.

COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED the following:

The Plaintiff shall have primary legal custody of the Minor Children. The Defendant shall temporarily have supervised visits with the Minor Children at Donna's House every Sunday at 9:00 am to 11:00 am. The Defendant's parents will be able to visit with the Minor Children Sunday April 11, 2021.

Motion to extend the TPO is GRANTED to May 2022.

Attorney Roberts shall give the Defendant 3 names of CPA's to do an audit on the Parties Trust account and the Defendant shall pick one. If the Defendant doesn't choose one the second name listed in alphabetical order shall be the appointed CPA.

The Defendant shall turn over his financial records to Attorney Roberts which was previously ordered by the Court. Attorney Roberts shall subpoena the banks that are under the Defendant's name .

Defendant's Motion for Protective Order is DENIED at this time. Plaintiff's motion to deem the Defendant Vexatious Litigation is DENIED, and the motion to consolidate the civil cases with this case is DENIED. Defendant's Motion for Order to show cause against Attorney Roberts is DENIED.

Order to show cause shall be DEFERRED until Trial. Attorney's fees and cost shall be DEFERRED to Trial. Child support arrears shall be DEFERRED to Trial.

The Defendant shall look for a job and submit to the Court a list with 10 jobs he has applied for every 2 weeks.

The parties shall both get a psych evaluation and pay for the other parties fees. Mr Holland shall be appointed and if he is unavailable he shall provide 3 names of other evaluators and the Defendant shall choose one.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 21 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

The Defendant shall provide the Court and Attorney Roberts his SCRAM report every 2 weeks. The Defendant shall provide any documentation through her office's drop box.

Parties shall attach a certificate of service with any documents served to the other party and provide them to the Court.

Return Hearing SET for May 11, 2021 at 3:00pm. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** May 11, 2021 3:00PM Return Hearing  
RETURN HEARING RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 22 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

April 07, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**April 07, 2021      1:30 PM      Status Check**

**HEARD BY:** Young, Jay**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 20**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
not present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

**- STATUS CHECK**

Minutes completed by Courtroom clerk Kendall Williams.

Courtroom clerk trainee, Magdalena Castillo-Ramos, present.

All parties present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application.

Ms. Roberts stated the Report and Recommendation was submitted but the affidavit of fees was not included.

Ms. Roberts further stated Defendant responded to her production requests with objections, even though he was recommended against it. Defendant stated he had plans to object to the Recommendations but only received the report today.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 23 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Ms. Roberts advised the discovery received is insufficient. Further discussion regarding prior order.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED the following;

The parties shall MEET & CONFER as to the deficiencies in discovery responses. Should Defendant refuse to amend his responses, Ms. Roberts shall file a Motion;

Ms. Roberts shall submit her Memorandum of Fees and Costs by April 14, 2021. Defendant shall file a response by April 21, 2021;

Matter set for an IN CHAMBER'S STATUS CHECK on April 21, 2021 (no appearances required) re: submission of Memo of fees;

Matter set for a STATUS CHECK on April 21, 2021 (no appearances required) re: submission of Report and Recommendation. Should the R&R be received prior to the hearing it shall be vacated.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** May 11, 2021 3:00PM Return Hearing  
RETURN HEARING RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 24 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

April 08, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**April 08, 2021      4:30 PM      Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Chambers**COURT CLERK:** Antoria Pickens; Brandee Kapanui**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, not present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present  
not present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD

D-20-605263-D  
Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

The Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations filed on April 6, 2021 was erroneously forwarded to the Court prior to the fourteen (14) day expiration in order to allow objections to be filed. The Court therefore inadvertently signed and filed the Order adopting the Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendation on April 6 2021.

The Court ORDERS the Order Adopting the Discovery Commissioners Report and

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 25 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Recommendations filed on April 6, 2021 be Vacated until such time as the Court rules on the Objection to the Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations.

A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys. (ap)

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 26 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****April 22, 2021**

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**April 22, 2021      4:45 PM      Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:** Young, Jay**COURTROOM:** Chambers**COURT CLERK:** Blanca Madrigal**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, not present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present  
not present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

**- MINUTE ORDER: NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES**

Plaintiff submitted a Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements (the Memorandum ) on April 14, 2021. Defendant did not file a timely opposition. Plaintiff attached support to the Memorandum, including the Affidavit of Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., and Attorney Retainer Agreement, redacted billing records, and receipts/invoices.

The analysis required under Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and Wright v. Osburn, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998) were satisfied by the Memorandum. The factors addressed by those cases, prerequisite to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the moving points and authorities with specificity. The request for fees of Plaintiff s attorney and staff was reasonable and necessary. Accordingly, an award of attorney s fees is the amount of \$3,239.50 is warranted.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 27 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

With regard to a party's request for an award of costs, NRS 18.110 (1) requires a party to file and serve a memorandum [of costs] . . . verified by the oath of counsel. However, without evidence to determine whether a cost was reasonable and necessary, a court may not award costs. *Cadle v. Woods v. Erickson*, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15, 345 P.3d 1049, 1054-1055 (2015). Although Plaintiff did attach invoices and receipts, acknowledging that Cadle requires a party to establish that the costs were reasonable, necessary, and actually incurred, Plaintiff's affidavit only TELLS the court that the invoices address why the costs were necessary. Cadle requires that counsel's affidavit must demonstrate the costs were necessary to and incurred in the action rather than merely concluding that the costs were necessary. Because the affidavit fails to meet this standard, the request for costs is denied.

Plaintiff will submit a report and recommendation consistent with this minute order within 14 days of today's date, April 22, 2021.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 28 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

## Divorce - Complaint

# COURT MINUTES

May 11, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**May 11, 2021                      3:00 PM                      Return Hearing**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter	Pro Se
Claimant, present	
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,	Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present
present	

## JOURNAL ENTRIES

- RETURN HEARING: RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court reviewed the visitation between the Defendant and Minor Children and briefly discussed the motions scheduled for future dates.

Further discussion regarding the Minor Child's ( Brayden ) new therapist and the Defendant stated the Plaintiff has not provided any contact information about them. Plaintiff stated that the new Therapist is named Windy and works at First Choice.

COURT ORDERED the following:

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 29 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

The parties are referred to Family First for Supervised Visitation between the Defendant and the Minor Children. The Visitations shall take place every Thursday from 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm.

The Court will WAIVE any remaining balance owed to Donna's House.

Attorney Roberts shall e-mail the Defendant the Therapist contact information and he may access to the records. The Defendant shall not interfere with the therapy.

The update from Donna's House visitation will be heard at the next Court hearing scheduled.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 30 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

**May 18, 2021**

---

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**May 18, 2021      11:00 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON January 25, 2021 AND MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO REFINANCE TO DEEM DEFT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT WAIVE DONNA'S HOUSE FEES AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTY FEES AND COSTS DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ENTERED April 6, 2021; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING ON January 25 AND RELATED MATTERS DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ENTERED April 6, 2021; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER HEARING REGARDING HEARING ON January 25, 2021 AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RENEWED MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE MARY PERRY.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 31 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court reviewed the history of the case and pleadings on file. Court noted that there is no need for the Defendant to wear the Scram device any longer. Attorney Roberts stated her argument that the Defendant continues to have an erratic behavior for his visitations with the Minor Children.

Parties stated arguments regarding who will do the psychological evaluation on the Defendant and who the Minor Child was seeking treatment from. Further argument regarding the lis pendens on the home, arrears on the mortgage payments, the parties ability to pay attorneys fees and costs and pleadings before the Court.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Defendant is no longer Ordered to have the SCRAM device and shall remove it due to a consistent negative result.

The Defendant shall provide the Court the emails and Talking parents/Family Wizard communication that states when the therapy began for the Minor Child that the Defendant did not give permission to.

The Defendant shall choose 3 people from the prior Court's list which the Department's Judicial Executive Assistant will provide to Perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Friday May 21,2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland.

The Defendant shall provide Attorney Roberts with a report of the last SCRAM results and a list of work search from April to present. by Friday May 21, 2021 at 12:00 pm.

Court will lift the lis pendens that is on the Sole and Separate home of the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff's request to refinance the home is GRANTED.

The Court will GRANT the arrears up to the February 5th Motion and will leave it open to be amended from February on.

The Court will not deem the Defendant a vexatious litigant at this time.

Pursuant to the Court's Order from April the Defendant on May 12, 2021 has not responded to the provided list of three receivers and three CPA'S. Therefore the Court chooses the middle name on each list which is Dan Marks as the receiver and Bo Johnson as the CPA.

Defendant's Motion to reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence shall STAND and shall be

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 32 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

reviewed after the criminal case is heard in July 2021.

Defendant's Motion for relief regarding the hearing on January 25, 2021 is DENIED because video recordings from each hearing is controlling.

The Plaintiff's request for Attorney's fees are DEFERRED at this time.

The Motion to disqualify Judge Mary Perry is DENIED

Return Hearing SET for September 16, 2021 at 9:00 am.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Sep 16, 2021 9:00AM Return Hearing  
RETURN HEARING RE: DEFENDANT'S PSYCH EVALUATION  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 33 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

June 16, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**June 16, 2021      10:00 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MOTION: DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE...MOTION: OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL, AMANDA ROBERTS, ESQ., SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS..

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Defendant put under OATH. Court noted that the Motion has been reviewed and the parties stated they have nothing further to add to the Motions. Court further discussed the amount of funds in the Trust account and the Orders from Attorney Roberts that are outstanding.

COURT ORDERED the following:

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 34 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



The Motion for an Order to show cause is DENIED.

The accountant and receiver shall receive and shall be able to review the Trust account.

Attorney Roberts shall submit the Order from May 11, 2021 and May 18, 2021 to the Court along with a schedule of arrears.

Attorney Roberts shall file a memorandum of fees and costs and shall have ten days to submit it. The Defendant shall file his objection and the Court will review it.

The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Jul 07, 2021 10:00AM Opposition & Countermotion  
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and For An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 35 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

**June 16, 2021**

---

D-20-605263-D	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff
	vs.
	Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**June 16, 2021                      1:00 PM                      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Young, Jay

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 20

**COURT CLERK:** Helen Green

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter	Pro Se
Claimant, not present	
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,	Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present
not present	

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MOTION FOR A PROTECTION ORDER RELATING TO BRADLEY'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND SUBPOENA'S, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROTECTION ORDER RELATING TO BRADLEY'S DISCOVERY REQUEST AND SUBPOENA'S, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS AND RELATED RELIEF

The Court noted that it had read the pleadings and was ready to issue the Order, however, the Court noted that it would entertain argument by counsel and also have the parties address specific issues.

Argument by counsel and Defendant.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED:

With regard to the argument that Mr. Bellisario has not complied with EDCR 16.2, there is not

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 36 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

sufficient evidence in front of the Court to suggest that he has failed to comply with that in whole or even in part. Therefore the Court is denying the motion in part and granting it in part as follows: with regard to AAA Flooring and Allied Flooring Services, Inc., the subpoena may go forward. It is limited to documents that are sufficient to prove a period of employment and wage or salary or other payment paid between August 2014 and the present. No other documentation is appropriate. So, Mr. Bellisario shall need to amend his request as it relates to those two subpoenas.

The Motion is DENIED as to the Nevada Board of Examiners. Credibility of a witness is a valid pursuit of Discovery.

The Motion is GRANTED as it relates to the Nevada State Bar.

The Motion is DENIED as to Bank of America, all subpoenas, J&A Consulting Group, Johnson Martin Advisors, Chase Bank, LVMPD, Wells Fargo, and Nevada State Bank.

The Request for Fees is DENIED.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Report and Recommendation and Mr. Bellisario shall review and sign off as to form and content and file it with the Court within the next 14 days to avoid a sanction.

Status Check SET for 7/7/21 @ 1:30 P.M. (Submission of Report and Recommendation) The parties shall not need to appear if the Report and Recommendation is submitted timely.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Jul 07, 2021 10:00AM Opposition & Countermotion  
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and For An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 37 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice:** Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES**

July 07, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**July 07, 2021      10:00 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO NRS200.359 (PARENTAL KIDNAPPING BY PLAINTIFF); AND COUNTERMOTION TO DEEM DEFENDANT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...MOTION: MOTION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO NRS 200.359 (PARENTAL KIDNAPPING BY PLAINTIFF)

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court reviewed the pleadings on file. The Court noted that the Plaintiff had good reason to withhold the Minor Children for the fear of her life. Defendant stated argument regarding the timely matter for the Plaintiff's response. Court stated that the extension of time to file the response was granted due the factor of Covid.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 38 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Court noted that Dr.Ponzo's report has been reviewed and further discussed the Defendant participating with Dr. Holland so that a report can be filed with the Court.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Defendant shall cooperate with Dr. Holland and a report shall be filed with the Court.

The Defendant's Motion for Order pursuant to NRS 200.359 shall be DENIED

The Plaintiff's Countermotion to Deem the Defendant Vexatious Litigant shall be taken UNDER ADVISEMENT and the Court shall a decision by end of next week July 16, 2021.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 39 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

July 12, 2021

---

D-20-605263-D	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff
	vs.
	Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**July 12, 2021            11:00 AM            Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter	Pro Se
Claimant, not present	
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,	Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present
not present	

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD

D-20-605263-D  
Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

At the hearing on May 18, 2021, the Court Ordered that the Defendant shall choose 3 people from the prior Court's list which the Department's Judicial Executive Assistant will provide to perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Friday May 21, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 40 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Upon the Department's inquiry into the Court List of Providers on May 18, 2021, it was discovered that the Court no longer held such a list. Unfortunately, Defendant was not in fact noticed of this nor alternative orders provided.

On July 9, 2021 the Defendant reached out to the Department to inquire about the Court list since one had never been provided to him. The Department was able to obtain the list from the year 2020.

Due to the error in communication on the part of the Court, and to ensure Defendant is able to fairly exercise the right to find an alternative provider for the Court Ordered Psychological Evaluation per the May 18, 2021 hearing, it is hereby ordered:

Defendant shall choose 3 people from the list provided to him by the Court to perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Thursday July 15, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland.

A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 41 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

July 23, 2021

---

D-20-605263-D	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff
	vs.
	Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**July 23, 2021          10:00 AM          Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter	Pro Se
Claimant, not present	
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,	Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present
not present	

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD

D-20-605263-D  
Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

On July 22, 2021, the ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION was filed in this case in error before it could be completed.

Therefore, this document shall be STRICKEN from the record so that it may be replaced with the correct and completed document.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 42 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



A copy of this Minute Order shall be provided to all parties.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 43 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****September 16, 2021**

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**September 16, 2021      9:00 AM      Return Hearing**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- RETURN HEARING: RETURN HEARING RE: DEFENDANT'S PSYCH EVALUATION

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

The Defendant stated that he was unable to attend one of the scheduled visitations because he got shingles. Court discussed a trial date and deadlines for the required documentation for the trial.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Defendant shall have two hours of make up visitation with the Minor Children in one hour increments.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 44 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

Non Jury Trial SET for December 20, 2021 at 9:00 am. Close of Discovery shall be due on November 20, 2021. Expert reports shall be due by September 23, 2021. Rebuttal reports shall be due in thirty days. Pre Trial Memorandums and Financial Disclosure forms shall be due thirty days prior to the Trial date. Exhibits are due one (1) week prior to trial. Exhibits are due one (1) week prior to trial. The parties shall compile the Paper exhibits, separate them with tabs and number the lower right hand corner of each page in a binder. Deliver 2 copies to the Court and a copy uploaded to FCEvidence@clarkcountycourts.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 45 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

**December 20, 2021**

---

D-20-605263-D	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff
	vs.
	Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**December 20, 2021 9:00 AM Non-Jury Trial**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter Pro Se  
Claimant, not present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant, Amanda Roberts, Attorney, present  
present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- NON-JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL

Court noted that the Defendant is currently incarcerated.

Plaintiff and Donna Wilburn's Sworn Testimony and Exhibits presented (see worksheet).

COURT stated it's FINDINGS and ORDERED the following:

COURT FINDS that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case, personal jurisdiction over the parties, and child custody subject matter jurisdiction over the minor children.

Upon SUBMISSION of the Divorce Decree, an absolute DECREE of DIVORCE is GRANTED, pursuant to the terms and conditions as placed on the record. Parties are RETURNED to the STATUS

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 46 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

of SINGLE and UNMARRIED PERSONS.

The Plaintiff shall have SOLE LEGAL SOLE PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Children.

The Defendant shall have supervised visitation with the Minor Children once a week for four hours a day at Family First after the Defendant has petitioned the Court and has resolved his criminal matter. The Defendant shall be responsible for the visitation cost. The visitation shall be closely monitored and shall be suspended if the Defendant acts inappropriately.

The Plaintiff shall have the authority to obtain passports for the Minor Children and travel outside the country without the Defendant's permission.

The Plaintiff shall provide health insurance for the Minor Children. The Defendant shall reimburse one half of the Minor Children's health insurance monthly premium. Any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic or other health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor child is to be divided equally between the parties. Either party incurring an out of pocket medical expense for the child shall provide a copy of the paid invoice/receipt to the other party within thirty days of incurring such expense, if not tendered within the thirty day period, the Court may consider it as a waiver of reimbursement. The other party will then have thirty days from receipt within which to dispute the expense in writing or reimburse the incurring party for one-half of the out of pocket expense, if not disputed or paid within the thirty day period, the party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate sanctions.

Child Support shall be temporarily SUSPENDED commencing January 2022. The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$1,569.00 a month for Child Support following the month after the Defendant is no longer incarcerated.

Child Support arrears through December 2021 shall be in the amount of \$11,988.32 The amount is subject to the Defendant providing payment for particular months which may have not been provided in the schedule of arrears. Attorney Roberts shall recalculate the amount if the figures are not exact. The Child Support arrears amount shall be reduced to Judgement.

Spousal Support arrears through December 2021 shall be in the amount of \$33,982.84. An monies that have been paid in advance shall be applied to any Child Support arrears prior to any monies that were owed. The Spousal support amount shall be reduced to Judgement. The Defendant shall have the opportunity to provide proof that the payments were made.

The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$1.00 a month for Spousal Support until the Defendant is no longer incarcerated. Once the Defendant is released the Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$500.00 a month for seven years and shall be modifiable based on the Defendant's earning abilities.

The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Children every year for the Dependent Tax Credit.

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 47 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

The Defendant shall provide his tax returns to the Plaintiff every year until the last Minor Child reaches the age of majority.

The Plaintiff's name shall be restored to Emily Cardona.

The Defendant shall not participate in Discovery pursuant to rule 16.2 therefore any request for admission which were not responded to shall be deemed admitted by operation of rule 36A3.

The Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff \$21,425.35 for the costs to repair the damage to the residence.

The Defendant shall pay one half of costs of the Minor Children's unpaid medical bills in the amount of \$1,717.61. The amount shall be reduced to judgment.

The Defendant shall maintain any costs for the Defendant's Law Practice Debt as his sole and separate property.

The Plaintiff's Bank of America account ending in 0153, the Chase credit card ended in 5682 which was later changed to 5254, the Chase account ending in 5919 which was changed to 7774 are all community debts and shall equally be divided.

The Defendant shall maintain the Student Loan Debts as his sole and separate property.

The parties shall maintain their own debts not listed as their sole and separate debt.

The Plaintiff shall keep all of her property in her possession as her sole and separate property.

The Defendant shall undergo a psychological examination by Dr. Holland or by someone at Plaintiff's choice if Dr. Holland is not practicing. The Defendant shall be responsible for the total cost.

Attorney fees for the Plaintiff shall be GRANTED for current and past Counsel. Attorney Roberts shall file a memorandum of fees and costs within thirty days. The Defendant shall have forty four days to file an Objection with the Court.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Divorce Decree and file it with the Court.

Case shall be CLOSED.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 48 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Dec 20, 2021 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial  
NON JURY TRIAL  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

PRINT DATE:	01/24/2022	Page 49 of 49	Minutes Date:	July 30, 2020
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
**NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY**  
ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

AMY A. PORRAY, ESQ.  
6230 W. DESERT INN RD.  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89146

DATE: January 24, 2022  
CASE: D-20-605263-D

RE CASE: EMILY BELLISARIO vs. BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: January 20, 2022

**YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.**

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- ☒ \$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)\*\*
  - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- ☐ \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)\*\*
- ☒ \$500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)\*\*
  - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
  - *Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.*
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
  - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- ☐ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

---

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

***Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.***

---

*\*\*Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.*



# Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }  
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DECREE OF DIVORCE; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDING OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DECREE OF DIVORCE; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

EMILY BELLISARIO,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,

Defendant(s),

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

now on file and of record in this office.

**IN WITNESS THEREOF**, I have hereunto  
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the  
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada  
This 24 day of January 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court



Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk



**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
CLERK OF THE COURT**

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER  
200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3<sup>rd</sup> FL.  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160  
(702) 671-4554

Steven D. Grierson  
Clerk of the Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller  
Court Division Administrator

---

January 24, 2022

Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of the Court  
201 South Carson Street, Suite 201  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: EMILY BELLISARIO vs. BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO  
D.C. CASE: D-20-605263-D

Dear Ms. Brown:

Please find enclosed a Notice of Appeal packet, filed January 24, 2022. Due to extenuating circumstances the exhibits list(s) from December 20, 2021 has not been included.

We do not currently have a time frame for when the list(s) will be available.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (702) 671-0512.

Sincerely,  
STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Heather Ungermann  
Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk