

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO

Appellant,

v.

EMILY BELLISARIO,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
Apr 08 2022 09:47 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court No.: 84128

District Court No.: D605263

**APPEAL FROM FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND
DECREE OF DIVORCE**

Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada

In and for the County of Clark

THE HONORABLE MARY PERRY

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPELLANT APPENDIX – VOL. 12

Amy A. Porray, Esq.
Nevada Bar # 9596
McFarling Law Group
6230 W. Desert Inn Road
Las Vegas, NV 89146
Phone: (702) 565-4335
Fax: (702) 732-9385
eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com
*Attorney for Appellant,
Bradley John Bellisario*

INDEX OF APPELLANT APPENDIX

<u>VOLUME:</u>	<u>BATES NUMBER:</u>
1	00001 – 00250
2	00251 - 00500
3	00501- 00750
4	00751 - 01000
5	01001- 01250
6	01251 - 01500
7	01501 - 01750
8	01751 - 02000
9	02001 - 02250
10	02251 - 02500
11	02501 - 02750
12	02751 - 03000
13	03001 – 03250
14	03251 – 03500
15	03501 – 03750
16	03751 – 04000
17	04000 – 04250

18	04251 – 04500
19	04501 – 04750
20	04751 – 05000

**INDEX OF APPELLANT APPENDIX
CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER**

<u>VOL.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>PLEADING</u>	<u>BATES NO.</u>
1	03/05/2020	Complaint for Divorce	AA0001-7
1	03/09/2020	Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0008-41
1	03/09/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0042-110
1	03/09/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0111-121
1	03/13/2020	Errata to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0122-126
1	03/13/2020	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA0127-140
1	03/25/2020	Acceptance of Service	AA0141-142
1	04/09/2020	Answer and Counterclaim	AA0143-147
1	04/09/2020	Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0148-157
1	04/09/2020	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0158-249
1-2	04/13/2020	Order Setting Case Management Conference	AA0250-259
2	04/15/2020	Bradley Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0260-267
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Opposition	AA0268-283
2	04/29/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Reply	AA0284-300
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Counterclaim	AA0301-303
2	06/10/2020	Stipulation and Order dated June 10, 2020	AA0304-307
2	06/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order dated June 11, 2020	AA0308-314
2	07/30/2020	Court Minutes from July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0315-316
2	07/30/2020	Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 30, 2020	AA0317
2	07/31/2020	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 31, 2020	AA0318-320
2	10/22/2020	Court Minutes from October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0321-324
2	11/24/2020	Court Minutes from November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0325-326
2	11/25/2020	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA0327-333
2	12/01/2020	Affidavit of Sandra L. Pomrenze	AA0334-336

2	12/03/2020	Notice of Defendant's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0337-338
2	12/03/2020	Notice of Plaintiff's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0339-343
2	12/10/2020	Order After Hearing of November 24, 2020	AA0344-347
2-3	12/10/2020	Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions	AA0348-545
3	12/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Order From the November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0546-552
3	12/16/2020	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA0553-555
3	12/23/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0556-566
3	12/24/2020	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0567-581
3	01/11/2021	Peremptory Challenge	AA0582-583
3	01/11/2021	Certificate of Service	AA0584-586
3	01/11/2021	Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge	AA0587-595
3-4	01/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020	AA0596-811
4	01/13/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge; and Countermotion for and Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0812-821
4	01/15/2021	Notice of Hearing and Order Regarding Procedures	AA0822-826
4	01/20/2021	Decision and Order re: Peremptory Challenge	AA0827-829
4	01/20/2021	Notice of Department Reassignment	AA0830-832
4	01/20/2021	Order After Hearing on October 22, 2020	AA0833-837
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Vacating Hearing	AA0838
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Scheduling Status Check	AA0839
4	01/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0840-847
4	01/24/2021	Order After Hearing on July 30, 2020	AA0848-855
4	01/25/2021	Court Minutes From Status Check on January 25, 2021	AA0856-858

4	01/25/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on January 25, 2021, Status Check	AA0859-863
4	01/25/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0864-874
4	01/25/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0875-887
4	02/05/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA0888-931
4-5	02/05/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, et al.	AA0932-1003
5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Child Support With Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1004-1013
5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Temporary Support with Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1014-1021
5	02/05/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1022-1033
5	02/07/2021	Bradley Bellisario's Financial Disclosure Form	AA1034-1040
5	02/08/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1041-1043
5	02/08/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1044
5	02/09/2021	Minute Order of February 9, 2021	AA1045-1046
5	02/09/2021	Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1047-1072
5	02/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel	AA1073-1153

5	02/09/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for February 11, 2021, at 1:30 p.m.	AA1154-1204
5-6	02/09/2021	Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1205-1271
6	02/10/2021	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA1272-1351
6	02/11/2021	Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursant to NCJC 2.11	AA1352-1443
6-7	02/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order, and October 22, 2020, Order	AA1444-1537
7	02/11/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Judge Mary Perry Hearing Any Argument Related to Hearing Scheduled for February 11, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.	AA1538/-1541
7	02/11/2021	Court Minutes from February 11, 2021	AA1542-1545
7	02/11/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on February 11, 2021, on All Pending Motions	AA1546-1548
7	02/16/2021	Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1549-1554
7	02/16/2021	Order	AA1555-1557
7	02/19/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver	AA1558-1661

		for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	
7	02/23/2021	Reply to Judge Mary Perry's Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1662-1670
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1671-1688
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1689-1703
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA1704-1720
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify, et al.	AA1721-1730
7	02/26/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time on Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1731-1739
7	02/26/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1740-1744
7	03/02/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1745-1747
7-8	03/02/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time	AA1748-1752

8	03/02/2021	Certificate of Service	AA1753-1754
8	03/03/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1755
8	03/04/2021	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; Countermotion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1756-1797
8	03/04/2021	Court Minutes from March 4, 2021	AA1798
8	03/04/2021	Transcript re: March 4, 2021, Court Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA1799-1816
8	03/09/2021	Decision and Order	AA1817-1823
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	AA1824-1833
8	03/09/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1834-1877
8	03/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1878-1949

8	03/09/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1950-1961
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to Seek Post-Order Relief	AA1962-1965
8	03/09/2021	Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA1966-1979
8	03/10/2021	Order After Hearing	AA1980-19852
8	03/10/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA1983-1988
8	03/11/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1989-1997
8-9	03/12/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time Dated 03/11/2021	AA1998-2002
9	03/16/2021	Motion for Protective Order	AA2003-2051
9	03/17/2021	Court Minutes from March 17, 2021	AA2052-2053
9	03/17/2021	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2054-2066
9	03/17/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Discovery on March 17, 2021	AA2067-2081
9	03/22/2021	Decision and Order	AA2082-2084
9	03/22/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to File Writ of Mandamus and Motion to Stay Proceedings	AA2085-2090
9	03/23/2021	Notice of Rescheduling Hearings	AA2091-2092
9	03/30/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order and October 22, 2020 Order; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2093-2110
9	03/30/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020 Order, et al.	AA2111-2120

9	03/30/2021	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order; and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA2121-2135
9	04/05/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for April 6, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.	AA2136-2141
9	04/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2142-2149
9	04/06/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2150
9	04/06/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2151-2161
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation	AA2162-2165
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Protection Order Against Domestic Violence	AA2166-2169
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitations	AA2170-2174
9-10	04/06/2021	Transcript re: April 6, 2021, Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA2175-2270
10	04/07/2021	Court Minutes from April 7, 2021	AA2271-2272
10	04/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing re: Status Check	AA2273-2284
10	04/08/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA2285-2315
10	04/08/2021	Minute Order of April 8, 2021	AA2316-2317
10	04/08/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2318-2330
10	04/14/2021	Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2331-2379
10	04/14/2021	Court Minutes from April 6, 2021	AA2380-2381
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to	AA2382-2400

		File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2401-2411
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2412-2424
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2425-2430
10	04/22/2021	Court Minutes from April 22, 2021	AA2431-2432
10	04/22/2021	Amended Order After Hearing	AA2433-2440
10	04/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2441-2451
10	04/23/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Mary Perry Taking Any Further Action in This Matter	AA2452-2455
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA2456-2458
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Entry of Amended Order After Hearing	AA2459-2469

10	04/27/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2470-2478
10-11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2479-2508
11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2509-2516
11	04/30/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2517-2527
11	05/01/2021	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held in Contempt	AA2528-2567
11	05/02/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Return of Children	AA2568-2600
11	05/03/2021	Objection to Defendant's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas	AA2601-2604
11	05/03/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2605-2618
11	05/03/2021	Motion for Protective Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2619-2636
11-12	05/03/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2637-2759

12	05/06/2021	Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device	AA2760-2778
12	05/10/2021	Supplemental [sic] to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding the Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File a Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant a Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2779-2785
12	05/10/2021	Notice of Plaintiff's Filing her Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2786-2788
12	05/11/2021	Court Minutes from Hearing May 11, 2021	AA2789-2790
12	05/11/2021	Transcripts from May 11, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA2791-2807
12	05/11/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2808
12	05/11/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2809-2815
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2816-2825
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Disclosure of Receivers and Certified Public Accountants	AA2826-2828
12	05/13/2021	Notice of Therapist	AA2829-2831
12	05/14/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2832-2851
12	05/14/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In	AA2852-2912

		Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	
12	05/17/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief, Declaration of Emily Bellisario	AA2913-2934
12-13	05/17/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2935-3003
13	05/17/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; And Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA3004-3013
13	05/17/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA3014-3022
13	05/18/2021	Court Minutes From May 18, 2021	AA3023-3025
13	05/18/2021	Transcript from Hearing on May 18, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3026-3069
13	05/18/2021	Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff)	AA3070-3115
13	05/19/2021	Information Regarding Therapy Provided By Anna Trujillo As Requested By Judge Marry Perry at Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3116-3135
13	05/21/2021	Notice of Deposition—Emily Bellisario	AA3136-3137
13	06/02/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA3138-3150

13	06/10/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3151-3153
13	06/11/2021	Amended Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3154-3156
13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 10:00 a.m.	AA3157-3158
13	06/16/2021	Transcript re: Court Hearing on June 16, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. re: All Pending Motions	AA3159-3165
13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 1:00 p.m.	AA3166-3167
13	06/25/2021	Memorandum of Fees and Costs as Ordered	AA3168-3197
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3198-3204
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3205-3214
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3215-3224
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3225-3237
13-14	07/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3238-3254
14	07/07/2021	Court Minutes from July 7, 2021	AA3255-3256
14	07/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on July 7, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3257-3265
14	07/09/2021	Notice of Intentional Misrepresentations by Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts Regarding Dr. Stephanie Holland	AA3266-3275
14	07/12/2021	Minute Order from July 12, 2021	AA3276-3277
14	07/12/2021	Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3278-3287
14	07/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3288-3300
14	07/20/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3301-3307

14	07/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3308-3316
14	07/23/2021	Minute Order from July 23, 2021, re: Order on Discovery Commissioner Report and Recommendation	AA3317-3318
14	07/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3319-3338
14	09/02/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3339-3351
14	09/16/2021	Court Minutes from September 16, 2021	AA3352-3353
14	09/16/2021	Transcript From September 16, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA3354-3361
14	09/17/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3362-3368
14	09/20/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3369-3384
14	09/20/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3385-3394
14	09/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3395-3413
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum	AA3414-3437
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Initial List of Witnesses	AA3438-3445
14	12/13/2021	Emily's Financial Disclosure Form	AA3446-3456
14	12/20/2021	Court Minutes From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA3457-3459
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit List with Exhibits Offered/Admitted/Denied/Objections	AA3460-3476
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1	AA3477-3480
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2	AA3481-3488
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 3	AA3489-3493

14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4	AA3494-3497
14-15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5	AA3498-3508
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6	AA3509-3515
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7	AA3516-3525
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8	AA3526-3532
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9	AA3533-3539
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10	AA3540-3546
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11	AA3547-3556
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12	AA3557-3580
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13	AA3580-3591
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14	AA3592-3602
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15	AA3603-3613
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 16	AA3614-3625
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17	AA3626-3638
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18	AA3639-3646
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19	AA3647-3653
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 20	AA3654-3659
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 21	AA3660-3669
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 22	AA3670-3677

15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 23	AA3678-3679
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 24	AA3680
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 25	AA3681
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 26	AA3682-3720
15-16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 27	AA3721-3799
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 28	AA3800-3802
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 29	AA3803-3805
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 30	AA3806-3824
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 31	AA3825-3841
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 32	AA3842-3853
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34	AA3853-3859
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 35	AA3860-3862
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 36	AA3863-3864
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37	AA3865-3866
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 38	AA3867-3869
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39	AA3870-3873
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40	AA3874-3935
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42	AA3937-3940
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45A (video)	

16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45B (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45C (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 53	AA3943-3945
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 54	AA3946
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 55	AA3947-3952
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 56	AA3953
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 57	AA3954-3963
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 58	AA3964-3966
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 59	AA3967-3971
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 60	AA3972-3982
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 61	AA3983-3984
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 62	AA3985
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 64	AA3986-3994
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 65	AA3995
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 66	AA3996-3997
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 69 (video)	
16-17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 72	AA4000-4009
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 73	AA4010-4011
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 74	AA4012-4013
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 75	AA4014-4015
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 76	AA4016-4017
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 77	AA4018-4019

17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 78	AA4020
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 79	AA4021
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 80	AA4022
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 82	AA4023-4026
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 83	AA4027-4030
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 84	AA4031-4035
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 89	AA4036-4064
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 91	AA4065-4068
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 92	AA4069
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 94	AA4070
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 97	AA4071
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 98	AA4072-4078
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 100	AA4079-4080
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 101	AA4081-4082
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 102	AA4083-4087
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 103	AA4088-4097
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 105	AA4098-4099
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 106	AA4100-4102
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 107	AA4103-4123
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 108	AA4124
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 109	AA4125-4177
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 110	AA4178-4192
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 111	AA4194-4205

17-18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 112	AA4206-4267
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 113	AA4268-4319
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 114	AA4320-4339
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 115	AA4340-4355
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 116	AA4356-4415
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 117	AA4416-4495
18-19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 118	AA4496-4541
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 119	AA4542-4559
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 120	AA4560-4603
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 121	AA4604-4605
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 122	AA4606-4608
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 123	AA4609-4613
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 124	AA4614-4617
19-20	12/20/2021	Transcript From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA4618-4862
20	12/21/2021	Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit "124"	AA4863-4865
20	12/23/2021	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4866-4897
20	12/23/2021	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4898-4932
20	01/20/2022	Notice of Appeal	AA4933-4935
20	01/20/2022	Case Appeal Statement	AA4936-4940

**INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX
ALPHABETICAL ORDER**

<u>VOL.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>PLEADING</u>	<u>BATES NO.</u>
1	03/25/2020	Acceptance of Service	AA0141-142
2	12/01/2020	Affidavit of Sandra L. Pomrenze	AA0334-336
5	02/08/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1044
8	03/03/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1755
2	11/25/2020	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA0327-333
6	02/10/2021	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA1272-1351
13	06/11/2021	Amended Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3154-3156
10	04/22/2021	Amended Order After Hearing	AA2433-2440
1	04/09/2020	Answer and Counterclaim	AA0143-147
2	04/15/2020	Bradley Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0260-267
5	02/07/2021	Bradley Bellisario's Financial Disclosure Form	AA1034-1040
20	01/20/2022	Case Appeal Statement	AA4936-4940
3	01/11/2021	Certificate of Service	AA0584-586
8	03/02/2021	Certificate of Service	AA1753-1754
1	03/05/2020	Complaint for Divorce	AA0001-7
10	04/22/2021	Court Minutes from April 22, 2021	AA2431-2432
10	04/14/2021	Court Minutes from April 6, 2021	AA2380-2381
10	04/07/2021	Court Minutes from April 7, 2021	AA2271-2272
7	02/11/2021	Court Minutes from February 11, 2021	AA1542-1545
12	05/11/2021	Court Minutes from Hearing May 11, 2021	AA2789-2790
2	07/30/2020	Court Minutes from July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0315-316
14	07/07/2021	Court Minutes from July 7, 2021	AA3255-3256

13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 1:00 p.m.	AA3166-3167
13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 10:00 a.m.	AA3157-3158
9	03/17/2021	Court Minutes from March 17, 2021	AA2052-2053
8	03/04/2021	Court Minutes from March 4, 2021	AA1798
13	05/18/2021	Court Minutes From May 18, 2021	AA3023-3025
14	12/20/2021	Court Minutes From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA3457-3459
2	11/24/2020	Court Minutes from November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0325-326
2	10/22/2020	Court Minutes from October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0321-324
14	09/16/2021	Court Minutes from September 16, 2021	AA3352-3353
4	01/25/2021	Court Minutes From Status Check on January 25, 2021	AA0856-858
8	03/09/2021	Decision and Order	AA1817-1823
9	03/22/2021	Decision and Order	AA2082-2084
4	01/20/2021	Decision and Order re: Peremptory Challenge	AA0827-829
8	03/09/2021	Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA1966-1979
10	04/08/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2318-2330
10	04/27/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2470-2478
9	04/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2142-2149
13-14	07/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3238-3254
14	09/02/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3339-3351

1	03/09/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0111-121
3	12/23/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0556-566
14	12/13/2021	Emily's Financial Disclosure Form	AA3446-3456
1	03/13/2020	Errata to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0122-126
1	03/13/2020	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA0127-140
5	02/05/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1022-1033
8	03/09/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1950-1961
8	03/11/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1989-1997
7	02/26/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time on Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1731-1739
9	04/05/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for April 6, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.	AA2136-2141
5	02/09/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for February 11, 2021, at 1:30 p.m.	AA1154-1204
11	05/02/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Return of Children	AA2568-2600
1	03/09/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0042-110
2	04/29/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Reply	AA0284-300
5	02/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel	AA1073-1153
4-5	02/05/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, et al.	AA0932-1003
8	03/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce	AA1878-1949

		Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify, et al.	AA1721-1730
12-13	05/17/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2935-3003
1	04/09/2020	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0158-249
9	03/30/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020 Order, et al.	AA2111-2120
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1689-1703
11-12	05/03/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2637-2759
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2401-2411
12	05/14/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In	AA2852-2912

		Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2425-2430
20	12/23/2021	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4866-4897
13	05/19/2021	Information Regarding Therapy Provided By Anna Trujillo As Requested By Judge Marry Perry at Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3116-3135
10	04/14/2021	Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2331-2379
13	06/25/2021	Memorandum of Fees and Costs as Ordered	AA3168-3197
14	07/12/2021	Minute Order from July 12, 2021	AA3276-3277
14	07/23/2021	Minute Order from July 23, 2021, re: Order on Discovery Commissioner Report and Recommendation	AA3317-3318
10	04/08/2021	Minute Order of April 8, 2021	AA2316-2317
5	02/09/2021	Minute Order of February 9, 2021	AA1045-1046
13	05/18/2021	Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff)	AA3070-3115
11	05/01/2021	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held in Contempt	AA2528-2567
1	03/09/2020	Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0008-41
9	03/16/2021	Motion for Protective Order	AA2003-2051
11	05/03/2021	Motion for Protective Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2619-2636

6-7	02/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order, and October 22, 2020, Order	AA1444-1537
10	04/08/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA2285-2315
3-4	01/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020	AA0596-811
5	02/09/2021	Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1047-1072
6	02/11/2021	Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursant to NCJC 2.11	AA1352-1443
4	02/05/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA0888-931
8	03/09/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1834-1877
12	05/06/2021	Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device	AA2760-2778

2-3	12/10/2020	Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions	AA0348-545
5-6	02/09/2021	Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1205-1271
3	01/11/2021	Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge	AA0587-595
20	01/20/2022	Notice of Appeal	AA4933-4935
9	03/22/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to File Writ of Mandamus and Motion to Stay Proceedings	AA2085-2090
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to Seek Post-Order Relief	AA1962-1965
2	12/03/2020	Notice of Defendant's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0337-338
7	02/11/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Judge Mary Perry Hearing Any Argument Related to Hearing Scheduled for February 11, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.	AA1538/-1541
10	04/23/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Mary Perry Taking Any Further Action in This Matter	AA2452-2455
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation	AA2162-2165

7	02/26/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1740-1744
8-9	03/12/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time Dated 03/11/2021	AA1998-2002
4	01/20/2021	Notice of Department Reassignment	AA0830-832
13	05/21/2021	Notice of Deposition—Emily Bellisario	AA3136-3137
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Disclosure of Receivers and Certified Public Accountants	AA2826-2828
3	12/16/2020	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA0553-555
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA2456-2458
13	06/10/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3151-3153
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Entry of Amended Order After Hearing	AA2459-2469
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	AA1824-1833
20	12/23/2021	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4898-4932
8	03/10/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA1983-1988
11	05/03/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2605-2618
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2816-2825
14	07/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3308-3316
14	09/20/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3385-3394
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3215-3224
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3225-3237
14	07/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3288-3300

2	07/31/2020	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 31, 2020	AA0318-320
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitations	AA2170-2174
4	01/25/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0864-874
3	12/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Order From the November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0546-552
4	01/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0840-847
14	09/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3395-3413
7-8	03/02/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time	AA1748-1752
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Protection Order Against Domestic Violence	AA2166-2169
2	06/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order dated June 11, 2020	AA0308-314
4	01/15/2021	Notice of Hearing and Order Regarding Procedures	AA0822-826
14	07/09/2021	Notice of Intentional Misrepresentations by Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts Regarding Dr. Stephanie Holland	AA3266-3275
12	05/10/2021	Notice of Plaintiff's Filing her Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2786-2788
2	12/03/2020	Notice of Plaintiff's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0339-343
9	03/23/2021	Notice of Rescheduling Hearings	AA2091-2092
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Scheduling Status Check	AA0839
12	05/13/2021	Notice of Therapist	AA2829-2831
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Vacating Hearing	AA0838
11	05/03/2021	Objection to Defendant's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas	AA2601-2604

12	05/14/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2832-2851
13	06/02/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA3138-3150
9	03/30/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order and October 22, 2020 Order; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2093-2110
4	01/25/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0875-887
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2382-2400
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA1704-1720
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2412-2424
12	05/17/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to	AA2913-2934

		Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief, Declaration of Emily Bellisario	
3	12/24/2020	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0567-581
4	01/13/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge; and Countermotion for and Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0812-821
1	04/09/2020	Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0148-157
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1671-1688
9	03/17/2021	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2054-2066
7	02/19/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1558-1661
13	05/17/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas,	AA3014-3022

		for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	
7	02/16/2021	Order	AA1555-1557
8	03/10/2021	Order After Hearing	AA1980-19852
11	04/30/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2517-2527
12	05/11/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2809-2815
14	07/20/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3301-3307
14	09/17/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3362-3368
2	12/10/2020	Order After Hearing of November 24, 2020	AA0344-347
4	01/24/2021	Order After Hearing on July 30, 2020	AA0848-855
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3198-3204
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3205-3214
4	01/20/2021	Order After Hearing on October 22, 2020	AA0833-837
14	07/12/2021	Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3278-3287
9	04/06/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2150
12	05/11/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2808
2	07/30/2020	Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 30, 2020	AA0317
9	04/06/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2151-2161
10	04/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2441-2451
14	07/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3319-3338
14	09/20/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3369-3384
1-2	04/13/2020	Order Setting Case Management Conference	AA0250-259
5	02/08/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1041-1043

7	03/02/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1745-1747
3	01/11/2021	Peremptory Challenge	AA0582-583
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1	AA3477-3480
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10	AA3540-3546
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 100	AA4079-4080
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 101	AA4081-4082
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 102	AA4083-4087
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 103	AA4088-4097
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 105	AA4098-4099
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 106	AA4100-4102
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 107	AA4103-4123
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 108	AA4124
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 109	AA4125-4177
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11	AA3547-3556
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 110	AA4178-4192
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 111	AA4194-4205
17-18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 112	AA4206-4267
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 113	AA4268-4319
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 114	AA4320-4339
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 115	AA4340-4355

18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 116	AA4356-4415
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 117	AA4416-4495
18-19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 118	AA4496-4541
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 119	AA4542-4559
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12	AA3557-3580
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 120	AA4560-4603
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 121	AA4604-4605
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 122	AA4606-4608
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 123	AA4609-4613
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 124	AA4614-4617
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13	AA3580-3591
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14	AA3592-3602
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15	AA3603-3613
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 16	AA3614-3625
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17	AA3626-3638
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18	AA3639-3646
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19	AA3647-3653
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2	AA3481-3488
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 20	AA3654-3659

15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 21	AA3660-3669
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 22	AA3670-3677
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 23	AA3678-3679
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 24	AA3680
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 25	AA3681
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 26	AA3682-3720
15-16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 27	AA3721-3799
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 28	AA3800-3802
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 29	AA3803-3805
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 3	AA3489-3493
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 30	AA3806-3824
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 31	AA3825-3841
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 32	AA3842-3853
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34	AA3853-3859
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 35	AA3860-3862
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 36	AA3863-3864
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37	AA3865-3866
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 38	AA3867-3869
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39	AA3870-3873
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4	AA3494-3497

16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40	AA3874-3935
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42	AA3937-3940
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45A (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45B (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45C (video)	
14-15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5	AA3498-3508
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 53	AA3943-3945
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 54	AA3946
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 55	AA3947-3952
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 56	AA3953
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 57	AA3954-3963
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 58	AA3964-3966
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 59	AA3967-3971
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6	AA3509-3515
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 60	AA3972-3982
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 61	AA3983-3984
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 62	AA3985
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 64	AA3986-3994
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 65	AA3995
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 66	AA3996-3997
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 69 (video)	

15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7	AA3516-3525
16-17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 72	AA4000-4009
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 73	AA4010-4011
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 74	AA4012-4013
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 75	AA4014-4015
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 76	AA4016-4017
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 77	AA4018-4019
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 78	AA4020
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 79	AA4021
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8	AA3526-3532
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 80	AA4022
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 82	AA4023-4026
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 83	AA4027-4030
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 84	AA4031-4035
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 89	AA4036-4064
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9	AA3533-3539
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 91	AA4065-4068
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 92	AA4069
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 94	AA4070
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 97	AA4071
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 98	AA4072-4078
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Initial List of Witnesses	AA3438-3445

20	12/21/2021	Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit "124"	AA4863-4865
9	03/30/2021	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order; and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA2121-2135
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum	AA3414-3437
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit List with Exhibits Offered/Admitted/Denied/Objections	AA3460-3476
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Counterclaim	AA0301-303
7	02/23/2021	Reply to Judge Mary Perry's Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1662-1670
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Opposition	AA0268-283
8	03/04/2021	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; Countermotion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1756-1797
10-11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2479-2508
11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2509-2516
13	05/17/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; And Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA3004-3013
7	02/16/2021	Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1549-1554

5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Child Support With Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1004-1013
5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Temporary Support with Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1014-1021
2	06/10/2020	Stipulation and Order dated June 10, 2020	AA0304-307
12	05/10/2021	Supplemental [sic] to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding the Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File a Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant a Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2779-2785
13	05/18/2021	Transcript from Hearing on May 18, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3026-3069
19-20	12/20/2021	Transcript From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA4618-4862
14	09/16/2021	Transcript From September 16, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA3354-3361
9-10	04/06/2021	Transcript re: April 6, 2021, Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA2175-2270
13	06/16/2021	Transcript re: Court Hearing on June 16, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. re: All Pending Motions	AA3159-3165
7	02/11/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on February 11, 2021, on All Pending Motions	AA1546-1548
4	01/25/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on January 25, 2021, Status Check	AA0859-863
14	07/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on July 7, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3257-3265
9	03/17/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Discovery on March 17, 2021	AA2067-2081
10	04/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing re: Status Check	AA2273-2284
8	03/04/2021	Transcript re: March 4, 2021, Court Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA1799-1816
12	05/11/2021	Transcripts from May 11, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA2791-2807

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, an employee of McFarling Law Group, hereby certify that on the 8th day of April, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of this Appellant's Appendix Volume 12 as follows:

☒ via the Supreme Court's electronic filing and service system (eFlex):

Amanda Roberts, Esq.
efile@lvfamilylaw.com

/s/ Crystal Beville
Crystal Beville

1 On your Financial Disclosure Form filed March 9, 2020, you completed the section
2 "Personal Expense Schedule." In said section you listed: a \$200.00 expense for auto insurance;
3 \$420.00 expense for Car Loan/Lease Payment; \$100.00 expense for Cell Phone; \$200.00 expense
4 for Credit Card Payments; \$190.00 expense for Electric; \$600.00 expense for food; \$180 expense
5 for fuel; \$35.00 expense for gas (for home); \$52.00 expense for HOA; \$100.00 expense for cable;
6 \$150.00 expense for Lawn Care; \$120.00 expense for Membership Fees; \$1,011.00 expense for
7 Mortgage/Rent/Lease; \$50.00 for Pest Control; \$50.00 expense for Pets; \$80.00 expense for Pool
8 Service; \$40.00 expense for Security; and \$80.00 for water. For each of those alleged expenses
9 please provide the corresponding statements and proof of payment for the respective vendor(s)
10 for the period from January 1, 2018 to present.
11

12 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 63:**

13 On your Financial Disclosure Form filed March 9, 2020, you completed the section
14 "Certificate of Service." In said section you stated that the General Financial Disclosure Form
15 was served via 1st Class U.S. Mail, postage fully prepaid addressed to; Bradley Bellisario 7495
16 W. Azure Blvd., #258, Las Vegas, NV 89130. Please provide receipt of payment for said mailing.
17
18

19 DATED this 25th day of April 2021.
20
21

22 /s/ Bradley Bellisario
23 Bradley Bellisario
24 7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
25 Las Vegas, NV 89149
26 T: 702.936.4800
27 F: 702.936.4801
28 E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant Pro Se

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Amanda Roberts, Esq.
E: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT “14”

EXHIBIT “14”

EXHIBIT “14”

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
4/26/2021 8:06 AM

APRIL 26, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Service Only

Amanda Roberts
4411 S. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89121

RE: *Bellisario vs. Bellisario (D-20—605263-D)*

Ms. Amanda Roberts,

Please be advised that I am seeking a deposition of your client pursuant to NRCP 30. Please provide three dates within the date range of May 31st through June 11th of 2021 in which your client will be available for a deposition. Given the ample notice, I expect your client should be able to find a date which works for her. Please send the dates by close of business on Friday, April 20, 2021 or I will be forced to unilaterally set a notice a deposition date.

Have a blessed day,

/s/ Bradley Bellisario
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 309.397.6734
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

PLTF0728

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

AA2754

EXHIBIT “15”

EXHIBIT “15”

EXHIBIT “15”

APRIL 27, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Service Only

Amanda Roberts
4411 S. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89121

RE: *Bellisario vs. Bellisario (D-20—605263-D)*

Ms. Amanda Roberts,

Please be advised that I am in receipt of your Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference which you unilaterally scheduled for Wednesday, April 28, 2021 at 3:00 p.m. Please be advised that I do not believe I will be available at that time. I am requesting that we reschedule the hearing for Friday, April 30 at any time during the business day. Please respond as soon as possible as this is a time sensitive matter.

Can't wait to hear from you,

/s/ Bradley Bellisario
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 309.397.6734
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

PLTF0729

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

AA2756



Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Jason P. Stoffel, Esq.
Lynn N. Hughes, Esq.
Gary M. Zernich, Esq.

4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

April 27, 2021

Sent Via Eservice Only

Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149

Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)

Dear Mr. Bellisario:

I am in receipt of your request to move the Discovery Dispute Conference. I am in an all-day Pre-Trial Conference on Friday, April 30, 2021; therefore, I cannot move it to that date and there is a deadline on Monday, May 3, 2021. As such, I am proposing we move the Discovery Dispute Conference to Thursday, April 29, 2021, and I will rearrange my schedule to attend same. Please let me know what time on April 29, 2021 will works for your schedule.

Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Amanda M. Roberts". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

cc: Client



Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Jason P. Stoffel, Esq.
Lynn N. Hughes, Esq.
Gary M. Zernich, Esq.

4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

April 29, 2021

Sent Via Eservice Only

Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149

Re: *Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)*

Dear Mr. Bellisario:

As we have been unable to schedule a time for the Discovery Dispute Conference and a deadline is looming, I am sending this letter pursuant to *EDCR* 5.501 and 5.602.

As the Subpoena for information from the State Bar reference me, same needs to be withdrawn. Judge Perry has already addressed this issue and entered an Order that I am not a party to this action. If not withdrawn immediately, I will file an Objection and Motion.

As to the Subpoena to AAA and Allied Flooring, if you revise the Subpoena to include dates during the period of marriage, then any objection would be waived. If these Subpoena's are not revised and re-noticed to my office, I will file an Objection and Motion.

Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

cc: Client

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
5/1/2021 7:19 AM

MAY 1, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Service Only

Amanda Roberts
4411 S. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89121

RE: *Bellisario vs. Bellisario (D-20—605263-D)*

Ms. Amanda Roberts,

Please be advised that I am in receipt of your letter dated April 29, 2021 regarding your dispute regarding discovery. Your arguments are nonsensical.

You claim that your close, personal friend, Judge Mary Perry, who is doing you favors in this litigation, Ordered that you are not a party to this action, and that somehow that prevents a subpoena to the State Bar of Nevada. If you can find authority on the same and send that to me I will forego sending the subpoena. And just FYI, Judge Perry's determination that you cannot be held in contempt because you are not a party is nonsensical as well and you will receive follow-up on that shortly.

As for the subpoena to AAA flooring, I will not change the time frame of records requested.


Can't wait to hear from you,

/s/ Bradley Bellisario
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 309.397.6734
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

PLTF0732

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

AA2759



MOT
BRADLEY J. BELLISARIO
Nevada Bar No. 13452
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: (702) 936-4800
F: (702) 936-4801
E: BradB@BellisarioLaw.com
Defendant Pro Se

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

EMILY BELLISARIO,
Plaintiff,

vs.

BRADLEY BELLISARIO,
Defendant

Case No.: D-20-605263-D
Dept No.: P

**MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M.
DEVICE**

HEARING REQUESTED

NOTICE! YOU ARE REQUIRED TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO PROVIDE THE UNDERSIGNED WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION. FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION MAY RESULT IN THE REQUESTED RELIEF BEING GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING.

Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, *pro se*, moves this Honorable Court for the following relief:

1. For an Order Removing the S.C.R.A.M. device placed on Defendant; and
2. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

///

///

///

///

MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M. DEVICE - 1

1 This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein and the
2 affidavit included herewith and is made in good faith and not to delay justice.
3

4 DATED this 6TH day of May 2021.

5 /s/ Bradley Bellisario

6 Bradley Bellisario
7 7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
8 Las Vegas, NV 89149
9 T: 702.936.4800
F: 702.936.4801
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com
10 *Defendant Pro Se*

11
12 **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

13
14 **I.**
15 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

16
17 On October 22, 2020 the parties attended a hearing with Judge Sandra Pomrenze regarding
18 Donna's House Visitation. During the Hearing Judge Sandra Pomrenze Ordered to move away
19 from Donna's House Visitation. However, during the Hearing Counsel for Plaintiff claimed that
20 Defendant's Social Media postings demonstrated that Defendant was drinking during the period
21 of July 30, 2020 and October 22, 2020 (*See* Video of October 22, 2020 Hearing). However,
22 Amanda Roberts never offered any proof. The reason for that...she has no proof because
23 Defendant was not drinking, nor would any social media posts imply that Defendant was drinking.
24

25 Defendant made an appointment with SCRAM of Nevada and had the device installed the
26 very next day, October 23, 2020. Since that time, Defendant has been monitored every day until
27 the present date. The only exception being the period of time from January 23, 3021 to February
28

MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M. DEVICE - 2

1 17, 2021. On January 23, 2021 Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel caused Defendant to be
2 wrongfully arrested while Defendant was returning the minor children from visitation. While
3 being booked, the S.C.R.A.M. bracelet was cut off of Defendant's leg. After being released
4 Defendant was forced to schedule an appointment to have the S.C.R.A.M. bracelet replaced,
5 which was on February 17, 2021.
6

7 Defendant is billed monthly at the rate of \$450.00 (*See* monthly billing, Attached hereto
8 as Exhibit A). Additionally, Defendant was charged approximately \$1,000.00 at initial install,
9 and an additional payment made on February 17, 2021 when the device was reinstalled after being
10 wrongfully arrested.
11

12 **II.** **LEGAL ARGUMENT**

13 "[A]ny order or other decision, however designated, that adjudicates fewer than all the
14 claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties does not end the action as to any of
15 the claims or parties and may be revised at any time before the entry of a judgment adjudicating
16 all the claims and all the parties' rights and liabilities." NRCP 54(b).
17

18 "On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a
19 final judgment, order, or proceeding for any...reason that justifies relief." NRCP 60(b)(6).
20

21 **I. The S.C.R.A.M. Device Should Be Removed**

22 The S.C.R.A.M. device should be removed as Defendant cannot pay \$450.00 per month
23 and the device is unnecessary. The device was only placed on Defendant due to the Court's
24 reliance on Amanda Roberts' INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATIONS (fraudulent
25 statements) claiming that Defendant's social media posts prove that Defendant was drinking from
26 the time period between July 30, 2020 and October 22, 2020.
27

1 Additionally, the reports seem not to matter to Amanda Roberts, Esq. as she will fabricate
2 whatever record she wants regarding the S.C.R.A.M. reports. On April 7, 2021 Colleen O'Brien,
3 Ms. Roberts' legal secretary, emailed Raven Balderas of S.C.R.A.M of Nevada requesting copies
4 of S.C.R.A.M. reports and Ms. O'Brien and Ms. Roberts received a copy of all SCRAM reports
5 from October 22, 2020 through April 7, 2021 (*See* Email from Raven Balderas to Amanda Roberts,
6 Colleen O'Brien, Bradley Bellisario, etc., Attached hereto as Exhibit B). However, in her
7 Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on
8 January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File a Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry; and
9 Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive
10 Dona's House Fees, and For an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs Ms. Roberts LIES TO THE
11 COURT, as she has on many occasions, however this Court refuses to believe their friend would
12 flatly lie to their face;
13
14

15 "As for SCRAM, a report was provided dated April 7, 2021. In that
16 report, it is alleged that Bradley had the SCRAM monitoring device
17 installed on October 23, 2020. In the report it alleges that Bradley
18 was monitored for thirty-two (32) days; however, October 23, 2020
19 through April 7, 2021, would be one hundred and sixty-six (166)
20 days. Additionally, the SCRAM report alleges that it was cut off by
21 Bradley on November 24, 2020 because of an alleged injury and he
22 eventually re-enrolled. Coincidentally, the period of October 23,
23 3030 through November 24, 2020 is thirty-two (32) days. It appears
24 that Bradley was not monitored from November 24, 2020 through
25 February 17, 2021. {Emphasis Added}. Therefore, the SCRAM
26 report is riddled with inconsistency that should not be ignored by
27 this Court."

28 (*See* Plaintiff's Opposition, pages 3&4, Attached Hereto as Exhibit
C)

///

///

///

MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M. DEVICE - 4

1 The only thing that should not be ignored by this Court is the blatant lies, fraudulent
2 misrepresentations, intentional misleading of the court, fraud upon the court, or whatever you
3 would like to call it from Counsel for Plaintiff, Amanda Roberts. Amanda Roberts received the
4 reports, looked at the reports, and decided to LIE TO THE COURT, mislead the court, commit
5 fraud upon the court, etc., all to attempt to benefit her client through fraud.
6

7
8 Defendant has been monitored for approximately six (6) and one-half ½ months at the time
9 of this motion and has had no issues related to alcohol. As such, Defendant requests this Court
10 enter an Order Granting Defendant's Motion to remove the S.C.R.A.M. Device as the unnecessary
11 nature of the device is tantamount to wrongful imprisonment.
12

13 ///

14
15 ///

16
17
18 ///

19
20 ///

21
22 ///

23
24
25 ///

26
27 ///

28 MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M. DEVICE - 5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.
CONCLUSION

The S.C.R.A.M. device should be removed from Defendant. In fact, the device never should have been placed on Defendant in the first place. The ONLY reason the device was placed on Defendant was that Counsel for Plaintiff, Amanda Roberts, Esq., LIED TO THE COURT, and claimed that Defendant's social media posts show Defendant drinking, however, they offered no proof because there is no proof, they lied. Likewise, Counsel for Plaintiff has now LIED TO THE COURT again, claiming in her Opposition that Defendant was no monitored from November 24, 2020 to February 17, 2021, however, Defendant was monitored. The ONLY time Defendant was not monitored was from January 23, 2020 (the date of Defendant's wrongful arrest due to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel's false allegations) through February 17, the first date Defendant could be re-enrolled. As such, Defendant requests this Court enter an Order to Remove the S.C.R.A.M. device.

DATED this 6th day of May 2021.

/s/ Bradley Bellisario
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 702.936.4800
F: 702.936.4801
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant Pro Se

1 **DECLARATION IN SUPPORT MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M. DEVICE**

2

3 I, BRADLEY BELLISARIO, declare under penalty of perjury:

4

- 5 1. I have read the foregoing motion, and the factual averments it contains are true and
- 6 correct to the best of my knowledge, except as to those matters based on information
- 7 and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. Those factual averments
- 8 contained in the referenced filing are incorporated here as if set forth in full.
- 9
- 10 2. This Declaration is made in good faith and not made for the purpose of delay.
- 11
- 12 3. Prior to filing the motion, I attempted to resolve the issue through contact with
- 13 Opposing Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq. However, any time I bring up a substantive
- 14 issue Amanda Roberts, Esq. fails to respond regarding the substantive issue and
- 15 attempts to direct the conversation in another direction.
- 16

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is

18 true and correct.

19 DATED this 6th day of May 2021.

20

21 /s/ Bradley Bellisario

22 Bradley Bellisario

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Amanda Roberts, Esq.
E: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

From: accounting@scramnca.com
Subject: SCRAM OF NEVADA Transaction Receipt
Date: April 5, 2021 at 1:32 AM
To: bradb@bellisariolaw.com



SCRAM OF NEVADA



Your card sale is complete! Below is your receipt with all relevant transaction information.

Transaction Receipt



Apr 5, 2021
1:32:38 AM PDT

\$450.00

Type	Card Sale
Transaction ID	6147388470
Auth Code	00554T

Billing Details

Bradley Bellisario

US

BradB@BellisarioLaw.com

Shipping Details

AA2769

From: accounting@scramca.com
Subject: SCRAM OF NEVADA Transaction Receipt
Date: March 5, 2021 at 1:26 AM
To: bradb@bellisariolaw.com



SCRAM OF NEVADA



Your card sale is complete! Below is your receipt with all relevant transaction information.

Transaction Receipt



Mar 5, 2021

\$450.00

1:26:24 AM PST

Type

Card Sale

Transaction ID

6062569186

Auth Code

00536T

Billing Details

Shipping Details

Bradley Bellisario

US

BradB@BellisarioLaw.com

AA2770

From: accounting@scramca.com
Subject: SCRAM OF NEVADA Transaction Receipt
Date: January 23, 2021 at 12:39 AM
To: BradB@BellisarioLaw.com



SCRAM OF NEVADA



Your card sale is complete! Below is your receipt with all relevant transaction information.

Transaction Receipt



Jan 23, 2021
12:38:59 AM PST

\$450.00

Type	Card Sale
Transaction ID	5952191611
Auth Code	02357T

Billing Details

Bradley Bellisario

US

BradB@BellisarioLaw.com

Shipping Details

AA2771

From: accounting@scramna.com
Subject: SCRAM OF NEVADA Transaction Receipt
Date: December 23, 2020 at 12:37 AM
To: bradb@bellisariolaw.com



SCRAM OF NEVADA



Your card sale is complete! Below is your receipt with all relevant transaction information.

Transaction Receipt



Dec 23, 2020
12:37:15 AM PST

\$450.00

Type	Card Sale
Transaction ID	5879142653
Auth Code	02376T

Billing Details

Shipping Details

Bradley Bellisario

US

BradB@BellisarioLaw.com

AA2772

EXHIBIT B

From: **Raven Balderas** rbalderas@scramnv.com
Subject: D-20-605263-D Bellisario, Bradley: SCRAM Status Reports
Date: April 7, 2021 at 8:55 PM
To: deprtic@clarkcountycourts.us, Colleen O'Brien colleen@clarkcountycourts.us
Cc: SCRAMNV Info info@scramnv.com, efile efile@clarkcountycourts.us, Brad5@BalderasLaw.com

RB

Good Evening,

Please see attached for Bellisario, Bradley status reports. Please reach out if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank you.

Raven Balderas | SCRAM Senior Case Manager
411 E. Bonneville Ave. Suite 350 | Las Vegas, NV 89101
<http://www.scramnv.com/>
Office (702) 949-7292 | Cell (702) 903-5859 | Fax (702) 947-4980



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information protected from disclosure by applicable laws and regulations. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, use, copy, disclose, or distribute this message or any of the information contained in this message to anyone. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply mail and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Unintended transmission shall not constitute waiver of any applicable legal protection afforded to this e-mail and any attached documents.



SCRAM Status Report
Bradley Bellisario
10/23/2020 - 11/24/2020

Client:	Bradley Bellisario	Supervising Authority:	Clark County District Court Family Division
Case Number:	D-20-605263-D	Contact:	Raven Balderas
Offense:	Family Court	Report Prepared on:	4/7/2021
Date of Birth:	11/1/1985	Assigned Equipment	
Address:	7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy Apt # 2054 Las Vegas, NV 89149	CAM Bracelet	131327
		Base Station	1034697

Date on Program 10/23/2020

Days Monitored 32

Activity

Bradly Bellisario was placed on the SCRAM program on 10/23/2020 and was monitored under the SCRAM program as of the end date of this report. This report has been prepared for the above stated period.

The SCRAM system detected no alcohol consumption events and no tamper events for the reporting period.

Additional Comments:

AA2774

This report confirms compliance on the SCRAM Program by Bradley Bellisario, Case Number D-20-605263-D. Mr. Bellisario enrolled in the SCRAM Program on October 23, 2020, has been monitored for 32 days, and continues to be monitored. Mr. Bellisario has had no confirmed alcohol consumptions and no tamper events during his monitoring period. Mr. Bellisario has complied with all other program requirements such as communicating readings and financial obligations. If you require any additional documentation or have any questions please call 702-949-7292, or email correspondence to alltcsnv@tcsnevada.com.

Please be advised that Mr. Bellisario reported to our office on 11/24/20 at 2pm with his bracelet cut off. Mr. Bellisario stated he was on the treadmill then hit his leg and stated he needed to cut off the bracelet due to swelling. We re-enrolled Mr. Bellisario back on our program and informed the court. We informed Mr. Bellisario if this happens again, he needs to contact our office and soon as possible and not cut off his bracelet unless advised by a SCRAM staff.

Prepared 4/7/2021

Page 1 of 1



SCRAM Status Report

Bradley Bellisario

11/24/2020 - 1/23/2021

Client:	Bradley Bellisario	Supervising Authority:	Clark County District Court Family Division
Case Number:	D-20-605263-D	Contact:	Raven Balderas
Offense:	Family Court	Report Prepared on:	4/7/2021
Date of Birth:	11/1/1985	Assigned Equipment	
Address:	7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy Apt # 2054 Las Vegas, NV 89149	CAM Bracelet	131327
		Base Station	1034697

Date on Program 11/24/2020

Days Monitored 60

Activity

Bradly Bellisario was placed on the SCRAM program on 11/24/2020 and was monitored under the SCRAM program as of the end date of this report. This report has been prepared for the above stated period.

The SCRAM system detected 1 alcohol consumption event and no tamper events for the reporting period.

Additional Comments:

This report confirms compliance on the SCRAM Program by Bradley Bellisario, Case Number D-20-605263-D. Mr. Bellisario enrolled in the SCRAM Program on November 24, 2020, has been monitored for 60 days, and continues to be monitored. Mr. Bellisario has had 1 confirmed alcohol consumption event that occurred on November 24, 2020 that was submitted to the court and had no tamper events.

AA2775

event that occurred on November 28, 2020 that was submitted to the court and had no tamper events during his monitoring period. Mr. Bellisario has complied with all other program requirements such as communicating readings and financial obligations. If you require any additional documentation or have any questions please call 702-949-7292, or email correspondence to alltcsnv@tcsnevada.com.

Please be advised that Mr. Bellisario reported to our office on 11/24/20 at 2pm with his bracelet cut off. Mr. Bellisario stated he was on the treadmill then hit his leg and stated he needed to cut off the bracelet due to swelling. We re-enrolled Mr. Bellisario back on our program and informed the court. We informed Mr. Bellisario if this happens again, he needs to contact our office and soon as possible and not cut off his bracelet unless advised by a SCRAM staff.

Prepared 4/7/2021

Page 1 of 1



SCRAM Status Report
Bradley Bellisario
2/17/2021 - 4/7/2021

Client:	Bradley Bellisario	Supervising Authority:	Clark County District Court Family Division
Case Number:	D-20-605263-D	Contact:	Raven Balderas
Offense:	Family Court	Report Prepared on:	4/7/2021
Date of Birth:	11/1/1985	Assigned Equipment	
Address:	7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy Apt # 2054 Las Vegas, NV 89149	CAM Bracelet	111463
		Base Station	1051212

Date on Program 2/17/2021

Days Monitored 50

Activity

Bradly Bellisario was placed on the SCRAM program on 2/17/2021 and continues to be monitored under the SCRAM program as of the date of this report. This report has been prepared for the above stated period.


The SCRAM system detected no alcohol consumption events and no tamper events for the reporting period.

Additional Comments:

This report confirms compliance on the SCRAM Program by Bradly Bellisario, Case Number D-20-605263-D. Mr. Bellisario enrolled in the SCRAM Program on February 17, 2021, has been monitored for 50 days, and continues to be monitored. Mr. Bellisario has had no confirmed alcohol consumptions and no tamper events during his monitoring period. Mr. Bellisario has complied with all other program requirements such as communicating readings and financial obligations. If you require any additional documentation or have any questions please call 702-949-7292, or email correspondence to alltcsnv@tcsnevada.com.

AA2776

EXHIBIT C



1 **SUPP**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

) **SUPPLEMENTAL TO OPPOSITION**

) **TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR**

) **RELIEF FROM ORDER AFTER**

) **HEARING REGARDING THE**

) **HEARING ON JANUARY 25, 2021**

) **AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE**

) **A RENEWED MOTION TO**

) **DISQUALIFY JUDGE PERRY**

) **AND**

) **COUNTERMOTION FOR LEAVE OF**

) **COURT TO REFINANCE, TO DEEM**

) **DEFENDANT A VEXATIOUS**

) **LITIGANT, WAIVE DONNA'S HOUSE**

) **FEES, AND FOR AN AWARD OF**

) **ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS.**

) Date of Hearing: May 18, 2021

) Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

) *Oral Argument Requested: Yes*

26 \ \ \

1
2 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, and hereby provides a
3 Supplement to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After
4 Hearing regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for leave to file a
5 renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry; and Countermotion for leave of Court
6 to refinance, to deem Defendant vexatious litigant, waive Donna's House fees, and
7 for an award of attorney's fees and costs.
8

9 The supplement is made pursuant to *EDCR* § 5.509 (a) and is filed more than
10 one (1) day prior to the hearing, and provides information not known pursuant to
11 *EDCR* § 5.509 (b) at the time the Opposition and Countermotion was filed.
12

13 DATED this 10th day of May, 2021.
14

15 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

16 By: Amanda M. Roberts
17 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
18 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
19 4411 S. Pecos Road
20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
21 PH: (702) 474-7007
22 FAX: (702) 474-7477
23 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario
25
26
27
28

I.
Leave of Court to Refinance

In her Opposition and Countermotion, Emily requested permission to refinance her real property owned before the marriage, 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (“real property”).

Thereafter, on or about April 26, 2021, Bradley filed a Notice of Lis Pendens on the real property which clouds title. As such, pursuant to *NRS* § 125.040 (2), Emily requests the Court permit the refinance as set forth in her Opposition and Countermotion.

At the present time, Emily’s outstanding balance related to this matter is \$25,579.73 and she has exhausted all resources from family and friends to pay attorney fees and costs. If the Court does not grant the request to refinance, Emily will have no choice other than to fire Ms. Roberts and proceed in proper person.

This case is high conflict and involves significant custodial and financial issues; therefore, Emily would be at a significant disadvantage if she is unable to maintain Counsel and especially when Bradley is a licensed Nevada attorney with a solid working knowledge of the law and courtroom procedures. Moreover, Emily requests the Court enter the following Orders regarding the Lis Pendens:

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Lis Pendens for the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (“real property”) is vacated.

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Bradley shall
2 not file another Lis Pendens on the real property at 1913
3 Sondrio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 ("real
property").

4 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that any issues
5 regarding Bradley's alleged interest in the real property is
6 a Trial issue and is not eliminated by allowing Emily to
7 refinance the real property at 1913 Sondrio Drive, Las
Vegas, Nevada 89134 ("real property").

8 **II.**
9 **Vexatious Litigant Request**

10 Emily has already set forth the facts and law regarding deeming Bradley a
11 vexatious litigant. Since filing her Opposition and Countermotion, despite not
12 complying with *NRCP* § 16.2, Bradley has served significant discovery upon Ms.
13 Roberts' office and filed three (3) more Motions on April 27, 2021; May 1, 2021
14 and May 6, 2021.

15
16 To keep up with Bradley's filing on Motions which are not supported by
17 facts or law is a full-time job and has caused excessive attorney fees in the matter.
18 As set forth herein, Emily owes \$25,579.73. Emily's total fees not including
19 Marathon Legal Group are \$46,579.73. The majority of those fees related to
20 Bradley's excessive and repeated filings regarding the same issues which were
21 already addressed by the Court.
22
23

24 Moreover, additional Motions are anticipated. Specifically, on or about April
25 21, 2021, Bradley contacted Dr. Holland's office regarding the Order for
26

1 psychological evaluations. During that call, Bradley alleged to Dr. Holland's staff
2 that he intended to file a Motion to Set Aside that Order and he did not intend to
3 pay the fees to commence Emily's psychological evaluation or comply with the
4 Order for him to participate. It should be noted, Emily paid the fees to Dr. Holland
5 and has cooperated in arranging for Bradley's psychological evaluation.
6

7
8 **III.**
9 **Conclusion**

10 Therefore, based upon the foregoing, Emily requests this Court to enter an
11 Order whereby:

- 12 1. Denying Bradley's request for relief from the Order from the hearing
13 on January 25, 2021.
- 14 2. Denying Bradley's request requiring Plaintiff to prepare and pass to
15 Defendant the Order in compliance with *EDCR* § 5.521 and 5.522.
- 16 3. Denying Bradley's request for leave to file a renewed Motion to
17 disqualify Judge Perry.
- 18 4. Granting Emily's request for leave of Court to refinance the real
19 property purchased before marriage to afford her litigation expenses,
20 evaluation expenses, supervised visitation expenses, etc.
- 21 5. Granting Emily's request for Bradley to be deemed a vexatious
22 litigant.
- 23 6. Granting Emily's request for the Court to waive the Donna's House
24 fees.

25 \ \ \


26 \ \ \

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

CORBIEN

Page 7 of 7



1 **NOTC**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

**NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF'S FILING
OF HER OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
RECONSIDER ORDER AGAINST
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
ENTERED APRIL 6, 2021**

18 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 22nd day of April, 2021, the Plaintiff,
19 Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.,
20 of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, filed an Opposition and Countermotion to
21 Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered on
22 April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs.

23 Specifically, on April 8, 2021, Defendant filed and served through Odyssey,
24 his Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered on April 6,
25 2021. Thereafter, the Clerk issued a Notice of Non-Conforming of Document for
26
27

1 said Motion. Although the Clerk had issued the Notice, Plaintiff's Counsel filed
2 Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion and a Countermotion on April 22,
3 2021. On April 27, 2021, Defendant re-filed his Motion via "confile" and served
4 the same through Odyssey on the same date. Emily stands by the requests the
5 Court deem her response to be the Opposition to Defendant's Motion and a
6 Countermotion on April 22, 2021.
7
8

9 DATED this 10th day of May, 2021.

10 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

11
12 By: Amanda M. Roberts

13 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
14 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
15 4411 S. Pecos Road
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
17 PH: (702) 474-7007
18 FAX: (702) 474-7477
19 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
20 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

ORlien

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint

COURT MINUTES

May 11, 2021

D-20-605263-D Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff
vs.
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

May 11, 2021 03:00 PM Return Hearing

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Medina, Kyle

PARTIES PRESENT:

Emily Bellisario, Counter Defendant, Plaintiff, Present Amanda M Roberts, ESQ, Attorney, Present

Bradley John Bellisario, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Present Pro Se

Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present

Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

RETURN HEARING: RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court reviewed the visitation between the Defendant and Minor Children and briefly discussed the motions scheduled for future dates.

Further discussion regarding the Minor Child's (Brayden) new therapist and the Defendant stated the Plaintiff has not provided any contact information about them. Plaintiff stated that the new Therapist is named Windy and works at First Choice.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The parties are referred to Family First for Supervised Visitation between the Defendant and the Minor Children. The Visitations shall take place every Thursday from 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm.

The Court will WAIVE any remaining balance owed to Donna's House.

Attorney Roberts shall e-mail the Defendant the Therapist contact information and he may access to the records. The Defendant shall not interfere with the therapy.

The update from Donna's House visitation will be heard at the next Court hearing scheduled.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

May 18, 2021 11:00AM Motion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

May 18, 2021 11:00AM Opposition
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

May 18, 2021 11:00AM Opposition & Countermotion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

May 18, 2021 11:00AM Hearing
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

May 18, 2021 11:00AM Motion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Jun 16, 2021 10:00AM Motion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Jun 16, 2021 1:00PM Motion
Courtroom 20 Young, Jay

Jun 23, 2021 10:00AM Motion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

Jun 23, 2021 10:00AM Motion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

1 TRANS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ORIGINAL

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

EMILY BELLISARIO,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

DEPT. P

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY PERRY
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT RE: RETURN HEARING

TUESDAY, MAY 11, 2021

APPEARANCES:

(PARTICIPANTS APPEAR VIRTUALLY)

The Plaintiff:	EMILY BELLISARIO
For the Plaintiff:	AMANDA M. ROBERTS, ESQ.
	4411 S. Pecos Rd.
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
	(702) 474-7007
The Defendant:	BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO, ESQ.
For the Defendant:	PRO SE

FILED

AUG 19 2021

Aaron A. Hoffman
CLERK OF COURT

AA2791

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

TUESDAY, MAY 11, 2021

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 (THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 2:01:36)

4

5 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We are on
6 the record in case number D-20-605263-D, Bellisario versus
7 Bellisario. May I please have your appearance, Ms. Roberts?

8 MS. ROBERTS: Amanda Roberts, bar number 9294, on
9 behalf of the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, who is appearing
10 through BlueJeans.

11 THE COURT: Welcome. Mr. Bellisario, how are you
12 doing today?

13 THE DEFENDANT: I'm doing fine.

14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We are here today
15 only on the subject of supervised visits. Next week we will
16 be on -- you know, on for a lot of other stuff at 11:00 a.m.
17 So I just wanted to make that sure -- I -- I want to make sure
18 Dad does not miss out on -- on too much visitation right now
19 while we're trying to figure this out. So I am going to refer
20 you all to Families First. They -- they --

21 THE DEFENDANT: Is --

22 THE COURT: It's -- excuse me, sir?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, I was going to say, for that
24 is there somewhere we have to go sign up and do any

1 orientation or anything like that?

2 THE COURT: I -- I'm not sure if they require
3 orientation. I think I've only used this one other time in
4 the past. I mean, this -- this is our last place that I know
5 of that we can do the supervised visits until we get this --
6 the -- the evaluations and everything back which we will
7 discuss that next week.

8 THE DEFENDANT: Oh.

9 THE COURT: But I don't --

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I was -- I'm appealing the
11 last order anyway. So we'll see about that. But --

12 THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions?

13 MS. ROBERTS: Your Honor, the fees for Family First,
14 I'm asking the Court to at least split those. My client has
15 no money coming in until the Court rules on the financial
16 issues.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah.

18 THE DEFENDANT: I will pay it. That's fine.

19 THE COURT: At this point -- at this point, Mr.
20 Bellisario needs to be paying these. He -- he needs to pay
21 these costs because, you know, the -- the cheaper place where
22 I could have completely waived is now refusing to allow the
23 visitation to take place there. And that was not at Ms.
24 Bellisario's -- that's at no fault of her own. I also am

1 going to enter an order where if there's anything that is owed
2 down here at Donna's House, I'm going to waive those fees.

3 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor. We appreciate
4 that. Just quick. I -- I thought -- so the psychological
5 evaluation, the update for that, we have on calendar for
6 today. You're saying you're going to hear that next week
7 instead of today?

8 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm going to hear that next week
9 instead of today. There has been a bunch of things that's
10 just been filed here in the last couple of days. So I want to
11 make sure that I have myself fully briefed on everything
12 including the new motion for an order to show cause that was
13 filed. It's on -- it's not on calendar until the 16th but
14 it --

15 MS. ROBERTS: Are you saying -- just so I'm clear,
16 are we con -- are you consolidating everything again? Because
17 I think I have an opposition due this week and --

18 THE COURT: I am not --

19 MS. ROBERTS: -- another opposition --

20 THE COURT: I'm not going to consolidate the motion
21 for an order to show cause because I have not even had an
22 opportunity to look at that. So that's still going to be in
23 June. But next --

24 MS. ROBERTS: So I --

1 THE COURT: -- week --

2 MS. ROBERTS: -- have --

3 THE COURT: -- we have -- there's a lot of motions.
4 That -- there's two motions and two oppositions that's on
5 calendar.

6 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Your -- Your Honor, just so I'm
7 clear, will you tell me which two you believe are being heard?
8 Because -- just so I'm clear for the record --

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MS. ROBERTS: -- so I can have my notes.

11 THE COURT: All right. Right now the first motion
12 that's going to be heard is Defendant's motion for relief from
13 an order after hearing -- regarding hearing on January 25th,
14 2021, a motion to leave to file renewed motion to disqualify
15 me. I can't do anything about the motion to disqualify me.
16 And that -- I don't believe that was filed properly. Then
17 we've got the opposition, Plaintiff's opposition and
18 Defendant's motion for relief from order after hearing
19 regarding on Jan -- oh, my gosh. I hit the wrong button. I
20 got to get back in there.

21 All right. So we have that one there. Then we have
22 -- let me see. Then we have Defendant's reply to Plaintiff's
23 opposition to the Defendant's motion for -- for the relief.
24 Then I have an -- I have Defendant's opposition to Defendant's

1 motion. This -- okay, I think this is supposed to be
2 Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion to reconsider
3 order against domestic violence entered on April 6th, 2021.
4 And countermotion for an award of attorney's fees and costs.
5 And then the motion, Defendant's reply to Defendant's
6 opposition to Plaintiff's motion to reconsider after order
7 against domestic violence entered April 6th, 2021 and
8 countermotion for an award of attorney's fees. I think there
9 was some --

10 MS. ROBERTS: So the --

11 THE COURT: -- mislabeling on some of this.

12 THE DEFENDANT: I think --

13 MS. ROBERTS: The Clerk's Office didn't catch one of
14 it. Yes, Your Honor. So then there is a motion for SCRAM and
15 my opposition hasn't come due yet. That is not going to be
16 heard, is that correct?

17 THE COURT: That is going to be heard and I'm going
18 to let you know right now with the length of time that he as
19 gone with everything coming up negative, I am -- I am
20 seriously considering that because if it's going to keep
21 coming up negative, we're just spending money on something we
22 shouldn't be spending money. But I am waiting to -- for your
23 opposition. So if you want to quickly get that filed so that
24 we can hear it, because he has -- I believe he has to pay on a

1 weekly basis. And I don't believe in spending money on
2 something if it's not hand -- handling -- I'm sorry, if it's
3 not -- if it's not showing anything.

4 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. So my opposition technically is
5 not due until the 20th so it's not going to be filed seven
6 days before the hearing. There's no way I can --

7 THE COURT: That's fine.

8 MS. ROBERTS: -- finish it tomorrow, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. I -- I
10 will --

11 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

12 THE COURT: -- waive this one here as long as you at
13 least give me at least 24 hours.

14 MS. ROBERTS: That's fine. And then the other
15 motion that he filed -- that was filed on May 1st, that motion
16 for an order to show cause, if that's being heard --

17 THE COURT: I'm not hearing --

18 MS. ROBERTS: -- at the same time --

19 THE COURT: -- that. I'm not hearing -- I'm not
20 going to hear that one. That is the only one that's still
21 going to be back --

22 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

23 THE COURT: -- off in June.

24 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. That's fine.

1 THE COURT: All right. So and -- and we're -- we're
2 -- you know, I want -- you know, I want to -- what day -- what
3 day is that? What day is the 18th?

4 THE CLERK: It is a week from today, so --

5 THE COURT: Wednesday?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Tuesday.

7 THE CLERK: Tuesday.

8 THE COURT: Next Tue -- next Tuesday. Okay. What
9 am I -- what else am I doing? Hang -- hang on one second,
10 please.

11 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

12 THE COURT: Because once again, you know, we've got
13 a lot of stuff here. This is scheduled at 11:00 a.m even
14 though I've only got two motions. Can we set it -- oh, hold
15 it. Do I have two evidentiary hearings? I think Wiseman's
16 (ph) being continued. I'm -- I -- I'm -- what I'm wanting to
17 -- what I'm wanting to do is try to find something where we
18 can take a little bit more time than just a real quick --
19 quick 10, 15 minutes. Now I -- I do want to make sure that
20 everybody has good enough time here. Are you all available --
21 hold on.

22 That's -- I've only got two, two of four, with you
23 being one of the two. All right. Don't put anything else on
24 that next -- next Tuesday. Could -- can -- can we change that

1 number to where it's two of two so we don't add anything next
2 Tuesday --

3 THE CLERK: For the --

4 THE COURT: -- at 11:00?

5 THE CLERK: -- motions at 11:00?

6 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

7 THE CLERK: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just wanted to make
9 sure that I'm going to have almost a full hour for you all.
10 So, you know, we're -- we're going to stick with that. Is
11 this --

12 MS. ROBERTS: Since you're issuing the separate
13 order for Family First, Your Honor, can we not have an order
14 for this hearing?

15 THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah. We don't need any other
16 order other than this Families First. Mr. Bellisario, what
17 day is -- is good for you for visitation?

18 THE DEFENDANT: It doesn't matter. Saturday or
19 Sunday work. It doesn't matter the times either. And, I
20 mean, anytime that she says she can have them there, is she
21 actually going to have all of them there?

22 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Bellisario, When can you
23 have all the kids there?

24 THE PLAINTIFF: I was hoping for a weekday.

1 THE COURT: You were hoping for what?
2 THE PLAINTIFF: A weekday after school.
3 THE DEFENDANT: We have it on Sunday right now, so
4 --
5 THE COURT: You know --
6 THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, I was hoping for a weekday.
7 THE COURT: Why?
8 THE PLAINTIFF: Because on weekends my son looks
9 forward to spending time with the family, like my -- my dad.
10 We look forward to not having school and having the day off to
11 practice his writing, to go to the park all day. After
12 school, he's already burned off all of his energy. He's
13 already, you know, had a whole day to be happy and whatever.
14 By the end of the day he's just more relaxed and more calm.
15 So if there's a chance for him to go to visitations and not be
16 so anxious and not be scared, it would probably -- probably be
17 after he's had the chance to burn off his energy for the day.
18 THE COURT: Okay. Which -- which child are we
19 talking about that we're having the problem with?
20 MS. ROBERTS: What child --
21 THE PLAINTIFF: The child --
22 MS. ROBERTS: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech)
23 --
24 THE PLAINTIFF: -- that was --

1 THE COURT: Hold it. I --
2 THE PLAINTIFF: The child --
3 THE COURT: Which one?
4 THE PLAINTIFF: The child that was -- Brayden
5 Bellisario, the child who was diagnosed with PTSD and anxiety
6 due to his father's actions.
7 THE COURT: I don't need --
8 THE DEFENDANT: And (indiscernible - simultaneous
9 speech) --
10 THE COURT: -- accusations. I do not need
11 accusations today, okay? I -- you know, him -- him being
12 diagnosed with PTSD is good enough.
13 THE DEFENDANT: And, Your Honor, can I ask one
14 thing?
15 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
16 THE DEFENDANT: I asked Ms. Roberts which therapist
17 they have him treating at now, and they didn't send me
18 anything. And I have a right to know who it is because I have
19 rights of records.
20 THE COURT: He does have a right to the records,
21 just no other interference. So who's the therapist.
22 MS. ROBERTS: I don't know that she's actually got
23 him in with a therapist, Your Honor. The order was just
24 issued. So we are waiting for a written order from the Court.

1 Emily --

2 THE DEFENDANT: The (indiscernible) --

3 MS. ROBERTS: -- did you take Brayden to a new

4 therapist?

5 THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, the first available she had was

6 today at 4:00 p.m.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 THE PLAINTIFF: So we couldn't take him to that. So

9 the next available she has the 28th. It was this -- a lady

10 named Wendy at First Choice Therapist, I think.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 THE DEFENDANT: And where are they at?

13 THE PLAINTIFF: First Choice.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Can we have Ms. Roberts just send

15 over their information so I just have contact information to

16 request stuff?

17 THE COURT: Yes. You know --

18 MS. ROBERTS: So Your Honor, then I'm going to --

19 can I update the order to say that he shall not interfere,

20 that the only --

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MS. ROBERTS: -- thing he's entitled to are the

23 records?

24 THE COURT: Yes.

1 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I'll do an order from today.
2 Can I submit it directly to the Court?

3 THE COURT: Yes, you may submit it directly to the
4 Court.

5 MS. ROBERTS: I will.

6 THE COURT: But Mr. Bellisario --

7 MS. ROBERTS: And I'll provide Mr. Bellisario --

8 THE COURT: -- does -- but Mr. Bellisario does need
9 to be, at least, kept in the loop on stuff like that. You
10 know, he -- he still has those rights. And I -- you know, and
11 I'm doing everything I possibly can to try to work with
12 getting it moving forward. The -- but we -- we will hear that
13 next week when we come back.

14 MS. ROBERTS: When you --

15 THE COURT: You know --

16 MS. ROBERTS: -- say -- Your Honor, when you say
17 we're going to hear that, are you talking about the Donna's
18 House report? We're going to talk about that when we come
19 back as well?

20 THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah, we're going to talk --

21 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

22 THE COURT: -- we're going to talk about everything
23 when we do come back. I just want -- I just -- I just want to
24 get Mr. Bellisario some good visits with his kids. You know,

1 my -- my ultimate goal in this is, hopefully, to get him back
2 on a normal schedule with these kids.

3 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

4 THE COURT: You know, that's my ultimate goal. So,
5 you know, we're going to see what we can do to get us there,
6 okay?

7 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I will --

9 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: -- see -- I will see you all next week,
11 a week from today at 11:00 a.m.

12 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

13 THE COURT: Oh.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: We're going to go ahead and do Sunday.
16 We're -- we're going -- no. Mr. Bellisario, did you
17 understand the explanation that Emily gave on --

18 THE DEFENDANT: I heard it.

19 THE COURT: -- on him being --

20 THE DEFENDANT: And per day --

21 THE COURT: -- more calmer.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Well --

23 THE COURT: Can -- can we --

24 THE DEFENDANT: -- that's not --

1 THE COURT: -- give that a try for one -- can -- can
2 we give that a try for one or two weeks to see if maybe that
3 works out a little bit better?
4 THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I think she's full of it,
5 but I'll go with it --
6 THE COURT: But --
7 THE DEFENDANT: -- just to be able to --
8 THE COURT: -- it may be, but --
9 THE DEFENDANT: -- see my son.
10 THE COURT: -- I want to try to get you -- I -- I
11 want to try to get you some visits, okay?
12 THE DEFENDANT: So --
13 THE COURT: All right.
14 THE DEFENDANT: Exactly.
15 THE COURT: So --
16 THE DEFENDANT: I'll go with it just to --
17 THE COURT: -- why don't we -- why -- why don't we
18 go with Wednesday. Oh, wait. They don't do Wednesday.
19 Monday through Friday.
20 THE DEFENDANT: We do -- like anything but a Tuesday
21 or a Wednesday.
22 THE COURT: Anything but Tuesday or Wednesday.
23 Okay. Why don't we do a Thursday then? You know, that way,
24 hopefully, he's getting a little bit more tired. And it's \$20

1 an hour. So you want, what -- do we want to start with just
2 one hour right now or do we want to go two?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Well, we're doing the two. I think
4 we should do the two because I've only had my kid for two
5 hours in the last -- since January 23rd.

6 THE COURT: Yeah.

7 THE DEFENDANT: So --

8 THE COURT: Yeah, I -- yeah, I -- I do know that.
9 So we're going to go two hours on a Thursday. Let's do 5:00
10 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. Is that good?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Fine with me.

12 THE COURT: They're also a little bit more flexible.
13 They don't necessarily have to sit around in the same place.
14 You know, maybe you can fit a little bit more activities then.
15 Make it a little bit more fun. Okay?

16 THE DEFENDANT: And do they allow like snacks and
17 stuff; do you know?

18 THE COURT: I believe they do. We're going to email
19 you a copy. We're going to email you a copy. Yeah, we're
20 going to get you a copy of this order as well because it's
21 going to be filed. Here's a phone number where you can call
22 and find out. Okay? 702 --

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I think it's --

24 THE COURT: 702-908-6491.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
2 THE COURT: All right. Call and find out, you know,
3 what all you're going to be allowed to do. You know --
4 THE DEFENDANT: And we're starting that this
5 Thursday, right?
6 THE COURT: Yeah, if we can get this in there, yes,
7 we should be able to start this -- this Thursday.
8 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
9 THE COURT: All right. I'll see you all next week.
10 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Thank you.

12 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 2:11:20)

13 * * * * *

14 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and
15 correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-
16 entitled case to the best of my ability.

17
18 

19
20 _____
Adrian N. Medrano

21
22
23
24

ORDR

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FILED IN OPEN COURT
May 11th, 20 01
CLERK OF THE COURT

By: Kyle Medina
Deputy

Emily Bellisario
Plaintiff,
-VS-
Bradley Bellisario
Defendant.

Case No: D-20-665263-10
Department: P

ORDER FOR SUPERVISED VISITATION
At Family First Services

The Court finds that it is in the best interest of the parties' child(ren) that the Plaintiff's/Defendant's visitation be supervised pursuant to this Order. Therefore, (circle one)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that because the Court finds that the parties have not or cannot pick up and drop off their child(ren) for visitation at an agreeable location in a fashion that is safe for the child(ren) physically and/or emotionally, the parties shall utilize Family First Services.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the visitation schedule will be in effect as of (date) _____, provided BOTH parties complete orientation, for thirty (30) / sixty (60) / ninety (90) days and will occur as follows:

Visitations may occur as follows:	
Weekly / Every other Week / Monthly / 1hr 2hr 3hr 4hr 5hr 6hr visitations	
Mon-Fri 9am - 8pm	Saturday / Sunday 9am - 6pm <u>Thursday 5pm - 7pm</u>

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED _____

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties may contact Family First Services at (702) 908-6491 to schedule Orientation and Visitations. Failure to contact Family First Services may result in the family's inability to use said services, and the Court may issue sanctions against the responsible party or parties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the cost of said services is \$35.00(Mon/Fri) \$40(Sat/Sun) per supervised visitation hour: (Holiday fees may apply)

- ☐ 1) Fee shall be paid equally by both parties (i.e., \$17.50 per hour by each party)
☒ 2) Plaintiff Defendant shall pay the whole amount of each supervised visitation hour;

Said payments shall be paid directly to Family First Services. Said payment shall be made no later than the date of the exchange. Failure to pay may result in cancellation of the scheduled monitored visitation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall follow all rules and directives of Family First Services given during orientation. Failure to follow all rules and directives may result in the immediate termination of services.

This matter is reset for:

Date: 5/18/01 Time: 11:00am

Attorney for Plaintiff: Amanda Roberts

Attorney for Defendant: _____

MR
DISTRICT JUDGE / COMMISSIONER

White: Court

Pink: Plaintiff

Yellow: Defendant

AA2808

1 **ORDR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007
8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,) Case No: D-20-605263-D

14) Dept No: P

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 **ORDER AFTER HEARING**

18 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

19 Defendant.

20 Date of Hearing: May 11, 2021

21 Time of Hearing: 3:00 p.m.

22 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 11th day of May,

23 2021, for a Status Check regarding supervised visitation. The Plaintiff, Emily

24 Bellisario, being present and represented, by and through her attorney of record,

25 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the

26 Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present in proper person.

27 ///

28 ///

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that Defendant's visitation with the minor
3 children shall be at Family First on Thursdays from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. The
4 Court issued a separate Order which shall include that Defendant pay all the fees
5 for supervised visitation.
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff shall provide the
8 Defendant with the name of the minor children's therapist(s). The Defendant shall
9 be permitted to obtain records; however, he shall not interfere in the therapeutic
10 process.
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall prepare the Order
13 and submit it directly to the Court.
14

15 Statutory Notices:

16 The following statutory notices relating to the custody of minor children are
17 applicable to the Parties:
18

19 The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of NRS §125C.006,
20 which states:
21

22 1. If primary physical custody has been established
23 pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the
24 custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a
25 place outside of this State or to a place within this State that
26 is at such a distance that would substantially impair the
27 ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful
28 relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires

1 to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall,
2 before relocating:

- 3 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the
4 noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and
5 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that
6 consent, petition the court for permission to relocate
7 with the child.

8 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs
9 to the custodial parent if the court finds that the
10 noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial
11 parent's relocation with the child:

- 12 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or
13 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

14 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this
15 section without the written consent of the noncustodial
16 parent or the permission of the court is subject to the
17 provisions of NRS 200.359.

18 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of NRS §125C.0045(6) which

19 states:

20 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE
21 ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A
22 CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE
23 AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §
24 193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a
25 limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right
26 of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or
27 removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person
28 having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in
violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the
jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court
or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is
subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in
NRS §193.130.

1 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of
2
3 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private
4 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a
5 foreign country.
6

7 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of
8 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:
9

10 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection
11 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the
12 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the
13 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or
wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

14 Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has
15 significant commitments in a foreign country:

16 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the
17 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the
18 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of
19 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in
20 Subsection 7.

21 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the
22 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent
23 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or
24 concealing the child outside the country of habitual
25 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the
26 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the
child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child
is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country

of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///


///

///

1 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*
2 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date
4 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the
5 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The Parties shall
6 update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the
7 Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that
8 information become inaccurate.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 11th day of May, 2021



15 Submitted this 11th day of
16 May, 2021.

208 33B 9166 3070
Mary Perry
District Court Judge

18 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**
19 **LAW GROUP**

20 By: Amanda M. Roberts

21 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
22 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
23 4411 South Pecos Road
24 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
25 PH: (702) 474-7007
26 FAX: (702) 474-7477
27 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
28 Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 **CSERV**

2
3 DISTRICT COURT
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,
9 Defendant.

10
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 5/11/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 **NEOJ**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

)

)

) **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

) **AFTER HEARING**

)

) Date of Hearing: May 11, 2021

) Time of Hearing: 3:00 p.m.

)

)

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE an Order After Hearing was duly entered on the
2 11th day of May, 2021, a copy of which is attached hereto and fully incorporated
3 herein by reference.
4

5 DATED this 12th day of May, 2021.

6 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

7
8 By: Amanda M. Roberts

9 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

10 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

11 4411 South Pecos Road

12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

13 PH: (702) 474-7007

14 FAX: (702) 474-7477

15 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,
and on the 12th day of May, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic
service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for
service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Notice of
Entry of Order After Hearing from May 11, 2021 (with Order Attached Hereto), to
the following:

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

By: 
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

Amanda M. Roberts
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDER

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,)	Case No: D-20-605263-D
)	Dept No: P
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
)	ORDER AFTER HEARING
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,)	
)	
Defendant.)	Date of Hearing: May 11, 2021
)	Time of Hearing: 3:00 p.m.
)	

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 11th day of May, 2021, for a Status Check regarding supervised visitation. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present in proper person.

///

///

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that Defendant's visitation with the minor
3 children shall be at Family First on Thursdays from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. The
4 Court issued a separate Order which shall include that Defendant pay all the fees
5 for supervised visitation.
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff shall provide the
8 Defendant with the name of the minor children's therapist(s). The Defendant shall
9 be permitted to obtain records; however, he shall not interfere in the therapeutic
10 process.
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall prepare the Order
13 and submit it directly to the Court.
14

15 Statutory Notices:

16 The following statutory notices relating to the custody of minor children are
17 applicable to the Parties:
18

19 The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006,
20 which states:
21

22 1. If primary physical custody has been established
23 pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the
24 custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a
25 place outside of this State or to a place within this State that
26 is at such a distance that would substantially impair the
ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful
relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires

27

28

1 to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall,
2 before relocating:

3 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the
4 noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

5 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that
6 consent, petition the court for permission to relocate
7 with the child.

8 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs
9 to the custodial parent if the court finds that the
10 noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial
11 parent's relocation with the child:

12 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or

13 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

14 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this
15 section without the written consent of the noncustodial
16 parent or the permission of the court is subject to the
17 provisions of NRS 200.359.

18 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of NRS §125C.0045(6) which

19 states:

20 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE
21 ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A
22 CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE
23 AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §
24 193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a
25 limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right
26 of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or
27 removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person
28 having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in
violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the
jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court
or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is
subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in
NRS §193.130.

1 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of
2
3 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private
4 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a
5 foreign country.
6

7 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of
8 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:
9

10 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection
11 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the
12 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the
13 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or
wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

14 Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has
15 significant commitments in a foreign country:

16 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the
17 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the
18 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of
19 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in
20 Subsection 7.

21 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the
22 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent
23 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or
24 concealing the child outside the country of habitual
25 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the
26 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the
child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child
is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country

of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

/ / /

/ / /

///

///

/ / /

///

///

///

///

///


///

///

1 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*
2 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date
4 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the
5 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The Parties shall
6 update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the
7 Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that
8 information become inaccurate.
9
10

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 11th day of May, 2021



12
13
14
15 Submitted this 11th day of
16 May, 2021.

208 33B 9166 3070
Mary Perry
District Court Judge

17
18 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY
LAW GROUP**

19
20 By: Amanda M. Roberts
21 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
22 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
23 4411 South Pecos Road
24 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
25 PH: (702) 474-7007
26 FAX: (702) 474-7477
27 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
28 Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4
5
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,
9 Defendant.

10
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 5/11/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 **NOTC**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

)

15 v.

)

) **NOTICE OF DISCLOSURE OF**

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

) **RECEIVERS AND CERTIFIED**

) **PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS**

17 Defendant.

)

)

18 COME NOW the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney of
19 record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., and hereby provides the following names of
20 proposed receivers and certified public accounts ("CPA") pursuant to the Court's
21 Order on April 6, 2021:

22 • Receivers:

- 23 ○ Robert Kurth, Esq.;
- 24 ○ Daniel Marks, Esq.; or
- 25 ○ R. Chistopher, Reade, Esq.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- CPAs:
 - Larry Bertsch, CPA, CFF;
 - Beau D. Johnson, CPA, CVA; or
 - Matt Johnson.

Based upon the Court's Order, the Defendant has forty-eight (48) hours to select a name for the receiver and CPA to act in this matter. If no response is received within forty-eight (48) hours pursuant to the Court's Order, the Plaintiff will commence services with Daniel Marks, Esq., as a receiver and Beau D. Johnson, CPA, CVA.

DATED this 12th day of May, 2021.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: Amanda M. Roberts
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,
and on the 12th day of May, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic
service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for
service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Notice of
Disclosure of Receivers and Certified Public Accountants, to the following:

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

By: 
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group



1 **NOTC**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

11 EMILY BELLISARIO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

15 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

) **NOTICE OF THERAPIST**

16
17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Brayden Bellisario's therapist is Debra Prines,
2 Marriage and Family Therapist Intern, MFTI, at Integrity Counseling, LLC- 7836
3 W. Sahara Ave., Las Vegas, Nevada 89117.
4

5 DATED this 13th day of May, 2021.

6 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**
7

8
9 By: /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
10 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
11 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
12 4411 S. Pecos Road
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
14 PH: (702) 474-7007
15 FAX: (702) 474-7477
16 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,
and on the 13th day of May, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic
service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for
service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., **NOTICE OF
THERAPIST**, to the following:

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

By: /s/ Colleen O'Brien
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group



1 **OPPC**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

)

15 v.

) **OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S**

) **MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO**

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

) **CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF AND**

) **PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL,**

17 Defendant.

) **AMANDA ROBERTS, ESQ.,**

) **SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN**

) **CONTEMPT OF COURT; AND**

) **COUNTERMOTION FOR AN**

) **AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES**

) **AND COSTS.**

)

) Date of Hearing: June 16, 2021

) Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

)

) *Oral Argument Requested: Yes*

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1. Bradley's request for an Order to Show Cause against Emily and/or Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., should be denied.
2. Bradley's request for sanctions should be denied.
3. Emily's request for an award of attorney's fees and costs should be granted.
4. For any and all other relief the Court deems proper and just.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Parties to this action, the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario (“Emily”) and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario (“Bradley”), were married on August 16, 2014. The Parties have three (3) minor children, to wit: Brayden Bellisario (“Brayden”), born January 15, 2015; Blake Bellisario (“Blake”), born November 20, 2016; and Brooklyn Bellisario (“Brooklyn”), February 1, 2018.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 (“Attorney Roberts”) requested these records via formal written discovery and
2 Bradley has failed to produce them through discovery.¹

3
4 Due to Bradley’s refusal to disclose relevant information to determine his
5 income, Attorney Roberts prepared Subpoenas to Bank of America, Chase Bank,
6 Bank of Nevada, Nevada State Bank, and Wells Fargo. Bradley filed a request for
7 a Protective Order related to those Subpoenas and his request was heard on April 6,
8 2021. At that time, Judge Perry denied Bradley’s request and permitted Subpoenas
9 to be sent with the deadline to respond being May 14, 2021. To protect Bradley
10 and his business, Judge Perry Ordered that “Any information that Ms. Roberts
11 obtains via Subpoena regarding the Defendant’s business, Bellisario Law, P.C.,
12 shall be CONFIDENTIAL and shall not be released to the Plaintiff, and Ms.
13 Roberts shall not harass Defendant’s clients.” Part of the reason behind the Court’s
14 Order was because the Court granted a receiver for Bradley’s law practice and a
15 CPA to conduct an audit of Bradley’s trust account.
16
17
18

19 \ \ \

20 \ \ \

21 \ \ \

22
23

24 ¹ The allotted time for Bradley to respond to discovery requests has passed, correspondence had
25 been sent to Bradley requesting his cooperation, a Discovery Dispute Conference was scheduled
26 and he failed to appear, a Motion to Compel Discovery was filed and hearing on this matter was
27 held, and Bradley still failed to produce any records as Ordered. There remain outstanding
discovery requests; however, Bradley continues to refuse to cooperate and comply with the
discovery process.

1 In the hearing on April 6, 2021, Attorney Roberts specifically made inquiry
2 with Judge Perry about the timing of Subpoenas and dialog occurred on the record.
3 That dialog is as follows:
4

5 • 10:34:22

- 6 ○ Attorney Roberts: May I send my Subpoenas, your Honor?
- 7 ○ Judge Perry: Yes, send your Subpoenas. Actually, it may be
8 easier to do it that way and it may be quicker. Send your
9 Subpoenas.
- 10 ○ Judge Perry: Now, there is one thing though.
- 11 ○ Attorney Roberts: Yes?
- 12 ○ Judge Perry: They are not to be shared with your client. And the
13 clients are not to be harassed in any way. If I find out these
14 clients are being harassed, then there's going to be sanctions on
15 that.
- 16 ○ Attorney Roberts: Absolutely your Honor.
- 17 ○ Judge Perry: I will protect his clients. Clients are supposed to be
18 kept confidential, it is a confidentiality deal, so we are going to
19 cover that.
- 20 ○ Attorney Roberts: Absolutely your Honor.
- 21 ○ Judge Perry: Okay, so you may.
- 22 ○ Attorney Roberts: So I can update, today is the 6th?
- 23 ○ Judge Perry: Today is the 6th.
- 24 ○ Attorney Roberts: So I have to give them 14 days from today so,
25 I'll put the date in..
- 26 ○ Judge Perry: Which bank is it? Because if it's Wells Fargo it's
27 going to be a lot longer than that.
- 28 ○ Bradley: It's Wells Fargo.
- Attorney Roberts: And they have been asking for extensions
because of COVID, your Honor. We've Subpoenaed a
multitude of banks because there are multitudes of records that
we found. But I'll give them a date- mid May is that sufficient
for the Court? Because I'm going to have to update the
Subpoenas to be able to send them because the dates have
already passed because of the Protective Order.
- Bradley: It's only Wells Fargo, I don't have any other banks.
- Judge Perry: Okay. Yes, mid-May is good. What date do we
have so far on our Trial?

1 he failed to execute and return the prepared Order. As such, the referenced Order
2 was submitted absent Bradley's signature and not filed until April 30, 2021.
3
4 Bradley's failure to timely respond caused a delay. In fact, the Subpoenas could
5 not have been completed by May 14, 2021, if not served immediately after the
6 hearing in this matter. Specifically, *NRCP* § 45 (c)(B) gives fourteen (14) days
7 from service to object or comply. In this matter, as the Order was not received until
8 April 30, 2021, it could not have been served anytime before Monday, May 3,
9 2021, and fourteen (14) days thereafter would have been May 17, 2021.

11 Dr. Holland:

12
13 On April 6, 2021, the Court granted Emily's request for a Rule 35 mental
14 examination for Bradley on the condition that Emily also participate in same. Each
15 Party is to pay for the other Party's examination. In order to comply with the Court
16 Orders, contact was made with Dr. Holland to get pricing and explain the Order
17 (*i.e., psychological evaluation not custody evaluation per the prior Stipulation and*
18 *Order*). All communication with Dr. Holland dealt with cost and scheduling same.²
19
20 Moreover, Judge Perry explained that the psychological evaluation was necessary
21 for the Court to lift the supervised visitation for Bradley and that she wanted to
22
23
24

25
26 ² A copy of the emails between Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group and Dr. Holland's office
27 regarding the issue of cost and scheduling is attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "1"** and
is fully incorporated herein by reference.

1 expedite same during the five (5) weeks between April 6, 2021 and the return
2 hearing scheduled May 11, 2021.³

3
4 Visitation:

5 In this matter, Bradley has been Ordered to pay Emily the sum of \$3,560.00
6 per month in child support and temporary support. Despite the Court's Order,
7 Bradley has not complied with the Court's Order by failing to make timely payment
8 toward the child support and temporary support. Although it was Ordered, Judge
9 Perry made the choice that the prior arrears would not be reduced to judgment until
10 Trial. As such, Emily is struggling financially and making every effort to support
11 herself and the three (3) minor children without Bradley's financial assistance.
12

13
14 Throughout the litigation, Brayden, the oldest child of the Parties, has shown
15 resistance in visitation with Bradley because he suffers emotional issues and meets
16 the criteria for PTSD. The PTSD was diagnosed by Donna Wilburn when she
17 treated the minor child. The treatment records indicated that Brayden has been
18 exposed to parent conflict and that it triggers his trauma experience (*Emily believes*
19 *this is related to Brayden witnessing Bradley's domestic violence upon Emily and*
20 *destruction of Emily's residence*).⁴ That treatment stopped because Bradley
21
22
23
24

25
26 ³ See video timestamp at 11:05:35.

27 ⁴ Photographs of the destruction are attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "2"** and is fully
28 incorporated herein by reference.

1 objected to Donna Wilburn providing treatment and even filed a civil lawsuit
2 against Donna Wilburn.

3
4 Emily has attempted to comply with the Court's Order for visitation. Emily
5 made partial payments which were accepted by Donna's House and took their
6 direction to file for a fee waiver. Emily understands and it is confirmed in the
7 Donna's House report that Brayden would not be forced to attend visits, but she did
8 bring him to the visit and Donna's House staff attempted to gain Brayden's
9 cooperation in attending visitation with Bradley.

10
11 On or about May 2, 2021, Emily received a call from Donna's House staff
12 before Bradley's visitation was to end. Emily was informed she needed to return
13 and retrieve the children, that visits at Donna's House between Bradley and the
14 children were discontinued. Thereafter, a report was provided by Donna's House
15 and it indicated that Bradley "exploded" at Donna's House including cursing,
16 invading personal space of staff, disrupting other families, and causing staff to cry.
17 All the while, Blake and Brooklyn were witnessing these events. Bradley had to be
18 escorted from Donna's House and was driving erratically throughout the parking lot
19 exiting the Courthouse. *Emily wants the Court to understand that this is the type*
20 *of behavior that she endured and there are times it became physical. Bradley is*
21 *unable to control himself and his temper, he does not follow Court Orders and he*
22 *acts erratic. As such, Emily is extremely concerned about the children being*

1 *unsupervised in Bradley's care or with a supervisor selected by Bradley because*
2 *they previously did not comply with Court Order to actually supervise the*
3 *visitation as determined by the Private Investigator's report. {EMPHASIS*
4 *ADDED}*⁵

6 Bradley's behavior with Attorney Roberts is completely inappropriate. As
7 an example, on May 12, 2021 Bradley addressed a letter to Attorney Roberts in the
8 eservice description as "MASS ROBERTS" and states, "Can't wait to hear from
9 you, Moon Pie."⁶ Moreover, Bradley demands responses within a set period of
10 time, usually somewhere around twenty-four (24) hours. Imagine knowing he is
11 under a microscope and behaving this way, if he is not being monitored his
12 behavior is undoubtedly inappropriate.

15 Emily wants to remind the Court that not only has Donna Wilburn witnessed
16 the PTSD and behavioral issues, others have too. On or about January 19, 2021,
17 Brayden was overheard by his tutor telling another child that he was going to
18 "shoot his Mom in the head." He also was heard saying, "I hate my grandparents
19 and hope they die." On January 20, 2021, when the tutor attempted to garner
20 Brayden's focus on schooling, he told the tutor that if she tried to make him, that he

23 ⁵ At the hearing on April 6, 2021, Bradley could not control himself while appearing in Court.
24 Bradley could be heard by Emily, Attorney Roberts and her staff cussing under his breath.
25 Bradley was slamming things around on the desk and being overly disruptive. Bradley's behavior
is very unstable!

26 ⁶ A copy of the efileing description is attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "3"** and is fully
27 incorporated herein by reference. A copy of the correspondence is attached to the companion
filing as **Exhibit "4"** and is fully incorporated hereby reference.

1 would “chop you up with an axe and make you die.” This behavior is extremely
2 concerning and Bradley’s continual attempts to interfere with Brayden’s therapy by
3 filing repeated lawsuits against his therapists are disheartening because Brayden
4 clearly needs help!

6
7 **III.**
Opposition

8 A. Bradley’s request for an Order to Show Cause against Emily and/or
9 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., should be denied.

10 Pursuant to NRS § 22.030 (2), requests for contempt must be accompanied by
11 an affidavit, which provides the “facts constituting contempt.” Moreover, EDCR §
12 5.510 (a) requires that Bradley’s Affidavit “identifies the specific provisions, pages
13 and lines of the existing order(s) alleged to have been violated, the acts or
14 omissions constituting the alleged violation, any harm suffered or anticipated, and
15 the need for a contempt ruling, which should be filed and served as any other
16 motion.” In this matter, Bradley’s Affidavit and Motion are devoid of any citation
17 to the specific Order that was allegedly violated and does not provide the page and
18 line number of the alleged Order that was violated. As such, Bradley’s request
19 must be denied because it is procedural defect and the Court does not gain
20 jurisdiction over any alleged acts of contempt unless Bradley complied at the time
21 of filing. *Awad v. Wright*, 106 Nev. 407, 410, 794 P.2d 713 (1993).

1 Bradley alleges that contempt is warranted against Attorney Roberts, but this
2 Court has already addressed those claims. Moreover, Bradley alleges that Attorney
3 Roberts should be held in contempt of Court pursuant to *NRS* § 22.010 (3) which
4 states, “Disobedience or resistance to any lawful writ, order, rule or process issued
5 by the court or judge at chambers.” Bradley has failed to establish a *prima facie*
6 case that this Court issued anything either in Court or chambers by which Attorney
7 Roberts could be held in contempt of Court. Bradley then claims Attorney Roberts
8 should be held in contempt pursuant to *NRS* § 22.010 (7) which states, “Abusing
9 the process or proceedings of the court or falsely pretending to act under the
10 authority of an order or process of the court.” Bradley then claims contempt
11 pursuant to *NRS* § 199.340 (4) which states, “Willful disobedience to the lawful
12 process or mandate of a court[.]”
13

14 Again, Bradley has failed to establish that Attorney Roberts has done
15 anything to warrant contempt. The Nevada Supreme Court has indicated, “An
16 order on which a judgment of contempt is based must be clear and unambiguous,
17 and must spell out the details of compliance in clear, specific and unambiguous
18 terms so that the person will readily know exactly what duties or obligations are
19 imposed upon him.” *Cunningham v. Eighth Judicial District Court*, 729 P.2d 1328
20 (1986) citing *Southwest Gas Corp. v. Flintkote Co.*, 99 Nev. 127, 659 P.2d 861
21 (1983). As it relates to the Subpoenas, it is clear from the record that the
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Subpoenas were permitted to be sent immediately because the sum certain date was
2 discussed including timing of serving same. Therefore, any requests for contempt
3 in this matter are not supported by facts which warrant the Court setting the matter
4 for further proceedings.
5

6 As to Emily, Bradley alleges that she should be held in contempt of Court for
7 not abiding by the Court Order for visitation which was issued on April 6, 2021.
8 Bradley alleges this pursuant to *NRS* § 22.010 (3) which states, “Disobedience or
9 resistance to any lawful writ, order, rule or process issued by the court or judge at
10 chambers.” In this matter, Emily did attempt to comply with the Court’s Orders
11 regarding visitation. Emily brought the children to the visits and it is the policy of
12 Donna’s House not to force children to attend visitation; the records from Donna’s
13 House indicated the staff even attempted to get Brayden to attend the visit. As to
14 finances, Emily has been struggling because Bradley has not paid the Court
15 Ordered obligations when Emily learned of the waiver option for Donna’s House,
16 she promptly made the request to the Court. Let’s be clear, Bradley’s own behavior
17 as set forth herein has caused additional problems in having visitation with the
18 minor children.
19
20
21
22

23 As with Bradley’s request for Attorney Roberts to be held in contempt of
24 Court, pursuant to *NRS* § 22.030 (2), requests for contempt must be accompanied
25 by an affidavit, which provides the “facts constituting contempt.” Moreover,
26
27
28

1 EDCR § 5.510 (a) requires that Bradley's Affidavit "identifies the specific
2 provisions, pages and lines of the existing order(s) alleged to have been violated,
3 the acts or omissions constituting the alleged violation, any harm suffered or
4 anticipated, and the need for a contempt ruling, which should be filed and served as
5 any other motion." In this matter, Bradley's Affidavit and Motion are devoid of
6 any citation to the specific Order that was allegedly violated and does not provide
7 the page and line number of the alleged Order that was violated. As such,
8 Bradley's request must be denied because it is procedural defect and the Court does
9 not gain jurisdiction over any alleged acts of contempt unless Bradley complied at
10 the time of filing. *Awad v. Wright*, 106 Nev. 407, 410, 794 P.2d 713 (1993).

11 Based upon the foregoing, Bradley's request for an Order to Show Cause
12 against Emily and/or her Counsel should be denied.

13
14
15
16
17 **IV.**
18 **Counter motion**

19 A. Emily's request for an award of attorney's fees and costs should be
20 granted.

21 Emily was forced to defend herself against Bradley's instant Motion, which
22 did not need to be filed. Bradley's instant Motion is without merit and failed to
23 follow the Court's rules (EDCR § 5.510 (a), and should be denied. As argued
24 hereinabove, Bradley's argument of contempt is not supported, there was no basis
25 for contempt, and no reason for Bradley to file his Motion. Since this is a divorce
26

1 action, including custodial issues relating to the Parties three (3) young children,
2 this Court should be concerned about the safety and well-being of the children as
3 Emily is, and not be diverted by Bradley's deflection his actions and behaviors onto
4 others.
5

6 When dealing with attorney fees the Nevada Supreme Court has issued a
7 litany of relevant cases including the holding in *Sargeant v. Sargeant*, 88 Nev. 223,
8 495 P.2d 618 (1972). In *Sargeant*, the Nevada Supreme Court considered a wife's
9 award of attorney fees. The husband argued the attorney fees were excessive and
10 should not have been granted from the husband's sole and separate property. The
11 Court determined that "[t]he wife must be afforded her day in court without
12 destroying her financial position. This would imply that she should be able to
13 meet her adversary in the courtroom on an equal basis." **Emphasis Added.**
14
15

16 One of the prevailing cases regarding attorney fees is *Brunzell v. Golden*
17 *Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969). In *Brunzell* the Nevada
18 Supreme Court set forth the factors, now known as the Brunzell Factors, which the
19 Court considered "well known basic elements to be considered" when awarded
20 attorney fees. The Court said each factor should be According the Brunzell
21 Factors are as follows:
22
23

- 24 1. The quality of advocacy;⁷

26 ⁷ When considering the quality of the advocacy the Court should look at the attorney's ability,
27 training, education, professional standing, and skill.

2. The character of the work to be done;⁸
3. The work actually performed by the lawyer;⁹ and
4. The results obtained.

Quality of Advocacy

Emily's Counsel has been practicing law since 2005 and focuses her practice area primarily in the area of family law, and she is in good standing with the State Bar of Nevada. Emily's Counsel participated in a weekly radio show geared at the Clark County community, focused on issues relative to family law.

Yearly, Emily's Counsel attends continuing legal education classes to stay abreast of changes in the area of family law. Through a practice primarily in family law, Emily's Counsel has drafted countless Motions, argued before the District Court and Hearing Master on issues related to domestic violence/custody/divorce/adoption/termination of parental rights, brought and defended individuals at Trials and Evidentiary Hearings. Additionally, Emily's Counsel has taken cases on Appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada.

⁸ When considering the character of the work to be done the Court should look at the difficulty, intricacy, importance, time, skill required, the responsibility imposed, and the character of the Party when they have a relevancy to the litigation.

⁹ When considering the work performed the Court should consider the skill, time, and attention given to the work.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3
4
5

6

7
8
9
10
11
12
13

4
5
6
7

8

9

20

2

3

4

5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

V.
Conclusion

Therefore, based upon the foregoing, Emily requests this Court to enter an Order whereby:

1. Denying Bradley's request for an Order to Show Cause against Emily and/or Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
2. Denying Bradley's request for sanctions.
3. Granting Emily's request for an award of attorney's fees and costs.
4. For any and all other relief the Court deems proper and just.

DATED this 14th day of May, 2021.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: Amanda M. Roberts
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

AA2849

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant

Page 19 of 19

MOFI

DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Emily Bellisario

Plaintiff/Petitioner

Bradley Bellisario

Defendant/Respondent

Case No. D-20-605263-D

Dept. P

**MOTION/OPPOSITION
FEE INFORMATION SHEET**

Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a final order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically excluded by NRS 19.0312. Additionally, Motions and Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legislative Session.

Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing fee in the box below.

<input type="checkbox"/>	\$25	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is subject to the \$25 reopen fee.
-OR-		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$0	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$25 reopen fee because:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is being filed before a Divorce/Custody Decree has been entered.
<input type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is being filed solely to adjust the amount of child support established in a final order.
<input type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is for reconsideration or for a new trial, and is being filed within 10 days after a final judgment or decree was entered. The final order was entered on _____.
<input type="checkbox"/>		Other Excluded Motion (must specify) _____.

Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in the box below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$0	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$129 or the \$57 fee because:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is being filed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition.
<input type="checkbox"/>		The party filing the Motion/Opposition previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.
-OR-		
<input type="checkbox"/>	\$129	The Motion being filed with this form is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order.
-OR-		
<input type="checkbox"/>	\$57	The Motion/Opposition being filing with this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is an opposition to a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion and the opposing party has already paid a fee of \$129.


Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step 1 and Step 2.

The total filing fee for the motion/opposition I am filing with this form is:											
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$25	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$57	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$82	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$129	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$154

Party filing Motion/Opposition: Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group Date 5/14/21

Signature of Party or Preparer /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

AA2851



1 **EXH**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

FAX: (702) 474-7477

EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

8 **DISTRICT COURT**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

12 Plaintiff,

)

13 v.

) **EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF**

) **PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO**

14 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

) **DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR AN**

) **ORDER TO CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF**

15 Defendant.

) **AND PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL**

) **SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN**

) **CONTEMPT OF COURT; AND**

) **COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD**

) **OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS.**

)

20 In accordance with *EDCR* § 5.205, the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, hereby

22 submits Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an

23 Order to Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not be Held in

24 Contempt of Court; and Plaintiff's Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees

25 and Costs, as follows:

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	BATE STAMP NUMBER
1.	Emails between Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group and Dr. Holland's office regarding the issue of cost and scheduling April, 2021	PLTF0742-PLTF0770
2.	Photographs of the destruction of Emily's residence caused by Bradley Bellisario on or about September, 2019.	PLTF0004-PLTF0025
3.	Wiz-Net efilng description of correspondence sent from Bradley Bellisario to Attorney Roberts on May 12, 2021.	PLTF0785-PLTF0786
4.	Correspondence from Bradley Bellisario to Attorney Roberts dated May 12, 2021.	PLTF0787

DATED this 14th day of May, 2021.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: Amanda M. Roberts
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

COBrien

EXHIBIT “1”

EXHIBIT “1”

EXHIBIT “1”

Amanda Roberts

From: Amanda Roberts
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 3:29 PM
To: efile; Stephanie Holland
Subject: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)
Importance: High

Dr. Holland:

We appeared before Judge Perry in the above referenced case today. I believe Judge Perry Ordered the following:

- THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall submit to a psychological evaluation. The Plaintiff shall pay for the Defendant's and the Defendant shall pay for Plaintiff. The failure of either Party to pay for the other Party's psychological evaluation shall not impede or impact the ability to move forward with the psychological evaluation that has been paid. The first choice for the psychological evaluation is Dr. Holland, and if she is not available then Dr. Holland shall submit three (3) names in alphabetical Order and Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select one of the providers and if he fails to do so then the middle name shall be used.
- THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the purpose of using Dr. Holland is so that if a custody evaluation becomes necessary, she already have a portion completed through the psychological evaluation.

Are you available to do the psychological evaluations? If so, what is the cost for same per Party?

We have a return hearing scheduled on May 11, 2021, at 3:00 p.m.

Thank you,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Telephone No.: (702) 474-7007
Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

This communication is meant for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete the communication.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

Amanda Roberts

From: Amanda Roberts
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 5:22 PM
To: 'Stephanie Holland'
Cc: efile; Front desk
Subject: RE: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)

Dr. Holland:

I just want to be clear that it is a psychological evaluation and not a full custody evaluation. Does the email below stand?

Thank you,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Telephone No.: (702) 474-7007
Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

This communication is meant for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete the communication.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: Stephanie Holland <Drholland@desertpsynhv.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 5:20 PM
To: Amanda Roberts <amanda@lvfamilylaw.com>
Cc: efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>; Front desk <Scheduling@desertpsynhv.com>
Subject: Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)

Good afternoon,

I am available BUT only if the evaluations are started by the end of next week. This only gives us about 3 weeks to conduct them.

Approximate cost is 4k/per evaluation; of course much depends on records and collaterals.

Thanks~

Dr. Holland

Stephanie Holland, Psy.D.

Dr. Stephanie Holland, Ltd. dba Desert Psychological

Board Member - Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners

NV Licensed Psychologist , PSY0348

CO Licensed Psychologist , PSY0004844

3067 E. Warm Springs Rd.

Suite 100

Las Vegas, NV. 89120

(Inside Longford Business Plaza)

(P) 702-650-6508 (F) 702-920-8865

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Tue, Apr 6, 2021 at 4:28 PM Amanda Roberts <amanda@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Dr. Holland:

We appeared before Judge Perry in the above referenced case today. I believe Judge Perry Ordered the following:

- THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall submit to a psychological evaluation. The Plaintiff shall pay for the Defendant's and the Defendant shall pay for Plaintiff. The failure of either Party to pay for the other Party's psychological evaluation shall not impede or impact the ability to move forward with the psychological evaluation that has been paid. The first choice for the psychological evaluation is Dr. Holland, and if she is not available then Dr. Holland shall submit three (3) names in alphabetical Order and Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select one of the providers and if he fails to do so then the middle name shall be used.
- THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the purpose of using Dr. Holland is so that if a custody evaluation becomes necessary, she already have a portion completed through the psychological evaluation.

Are you available to do the psychological evaluations? If so, what is the cost for same per Party?

We have a return hearing scheduled on May 11, 2021, at 3:00 p.m.

Thank you,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

4411 S. Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Telephone No.: (702) 474-7007

Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

This communication is meant for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete the communication.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

Amanda Roberts

From: Amanda Roberts
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:45 PM
To: 'Stephanie Holland'; efile; Front desk
Subject: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)
Attachments: Court Minutes 040621.pdf; OAH 040821.doc

Dr. Holland and/or Hannah:

Please see the Court Minutes wherein, Dr. Holland was supposed to do the psychological evaluations. Mr. Bellisario has filed another Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry. As such, even if Mr. Bellisario signs the pending Order, there will likely be a delay in getting it finalized until the Order can be signed once a Judge is assigned (either Judge Perry or another Judge if she is disqualified). The draft of the Order After Hearing sent to Mr. Bellisario is attached.

- 04/08/2021 Motion for Relief

[View Document](#) Motion for Relief - MREL (FAM)

Comment

Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry

- 04/08/2021 Motion to Reconsider

[View Document](#) Motion to Reconsider - MRCN (FAM)

Comment

****No Designation Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021**

- 04/12/2021 Notice of Hearing

[View Document](#) Notice of Hearing - NOH (FAM)

Comment

Notice of Hearing

-

04/21/2021 Status Check

Hearing Time

2:00 AM

Comment

re: memo of fees and costs

-

04/21/2021 Status Check

Hearing Time
2:00 AM
Comment
re: R&R

•
05/11/2021 Return Hearing

Judicial Officer

Perry, Mary

Hearing Time

3:00 PM

Comment

RETURN HEARING RE: SUPERVISED VISITATIONS AT DONNA'S HOUSE

•
05/18/2021 Motion

Judicial Officer

Perry, Mary

Hearing Time

11:00 AM

Comment

Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry

Thank you,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Telephone No.: (702) 474-7007
Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

This communication is meant for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete the communication.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

D-20-605263-D

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint

COURT MINUTES

April 06, 2021

D-20-605263-D Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff
vs.
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

April 06, 2021 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Perry, Mary COURTROOM: Courtroom 23

COURT CLERK: Medina, Kyle

PARTIES PRESENT:

Emily Bellisario, Counter Defendant, Plaintiff, Amanda M Roberts, ESQ, Attorney, Present
Present

Bradley John Bellisario, Counter Claimant, Pro Se
Defendant, Present

Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present

Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

MOTION...MOTION: PLTF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND PROTECTION ORDER, JOINING BRADLEY'S BUSINESS AS A PARTY TO THIS ACTION, APPOINT A RECEIVER FOR THE BUSINESS, DEEMING BRADLEY VEXATIOUS LITIGATION AND CONSOLIDATING CIVIL CASES TO THIS ACTION, MODIFYING LEGAL CUSTODY, MODIFYING VISITATION, FOR MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND TO HOLD BRADLEY IN CONTEMPT, TO REDUCE CHILD SUPPORT ARREARS TO JUDGEMENT, TO REDUCE TEMPORARY SUPPORT TO JUDGEMENT, FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF...MOTION:DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AMENDED July 30,2020 ORDER, July 30,2020 ORDER AND October 22,2020 ORDER; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLTF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER: AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED RELIEF..

Court reviewed the history of the case and the pleadings on file. Parties SWORN and TESTIMONY given. Parties stated arguments in regards to the TPO case, the parties trust account and how the Defendant has not turned over records regarding to his finances. Further discussion regarding custody and visitation with the Minor Children, therapy and who shall be the therapist. Court noted that the Defendant has not paid any child support to the Plaintiff. Further discussion regarding the Defendant's Scram reports, proof of service and other relate issues.

Attorney Roberts discussed consolidating the parties case with the civil matter and Court stated that Family Court does have jurisdiction over this matter.

Printed Date: 4/14/2021

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

April 06, 2021

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

PLTF0751

AA2865

COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED the following:

The Plaintiff shall have primary legal custody of the Minor Children. The Defendant shall temporarily have supervised visits with the Minor Children at Donna's House every Sunday at 9:00 am to 11:00 am. The Defendant's parents will be able to visit with the Minor Children Sunday April 11, 2021.

Motion to extend the TPO is GRANTED to May 2022.

Attorney Roberts shall give the Defendant 3 names of CPA's to do an audit on the Parties Trust account and the Defendant shall pick one. If the Defendant doesn't choose one the second name listed in alphabetical order shall be the appointed CPA.

The Defendant shall turn over his financial records to Attorney Roberts which was previously ordered by the Court. Attorney Roberts shall subpoena the banks that are under the Defendant's name .

Defendant's Motion for Protective Order is DENIED at this time. Plaintiff's motion to deem the Defendant Vexatious Litigation is DENIED, and the motion to consolidate the civil cases with this case is DENIED. Defendant's Motion for Order to show cause against Attorney Roberts is DENIED.

Order to show cause shall be DEFERRED until Trial. Attorney's fees and cost shall be DEFERRED to Trial. Child support arrears shall be DEFERRED to Trial.

The Defendant shall look for a job and submit to the Court a list with 10 jobs he has applied for every 2 weeks.

The parties shall both get a psych evaluation and pay for the other parties fees. Mr Holland shall be appointed and if he is unavailable he shall provide 3 names of other evaluators and the Defendant shall choose one.

The Defendant shall provide the Court and Attorney Roberts his SCRAM report every 2 weeks. The Defendant shall provide any documentation through her office's drop box.

Parties shall attach a certificate of service with any documents served to the other party and provide them to the Court.

Return Hearing SET for May 11, 2021 at 3:00pm. The Minute Order shall suffice, and a written Order is not required.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Apr 07, 2021 1:30PM Status Check
Courtroom 20 Young, Jay

Apr 08, 2021 4:30PM Minute Order
Chambers Perry, Mary

May 11, 2021 3:00PM Return Hearing
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

May 18, 2021 11:00AM Motion
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

1 **ORDR**
2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294
4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**
5 4411 S. Pecos Road
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
7 PH: (702) 474-7007
8 FAX: (702) 474-7477
9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,) Case No: D-20-605263-D
14) Dept No: P
15 Plaintiff,)
16 v.)
17) **ORDER AFTER HEARING**
18 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,)
19)
20 Defendant.) Date of Hearing: April 6, 2021
21) Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 6th day of April,
2021, for multiple Motion hearings (See Notice of Rescheduling Hearings filed
on March 23, 2021). The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and
represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being
present in proper person.

///

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that the Court will do everything possible to
3 protect the minor children.
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Court will move this case forward
6 as quickly as possible.
7

8 NOW THEREFORE.

9 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that Plaintiff/Applicant's Protection
10 Order in Case No. T-20-206636-T, shall be extended until May 10, 2022 or until
11 Defendant's criminal case is completed.
12

13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's request to correct the
14 clerical error from the Order After Hearing from July 30, 2020, is granted. The
15 date related to the amount owed by Defendant to Plaintiff shall be July 30, 2019
16 rather than July 30, 2020.
17

18 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant's business, Bellisario
19 Law, P.C., shall be joined as a Party to this action.
20

21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a receiver shall be appointed for
22 Defendant's business, Bellisario Law, P.C. Ms. Roberts shall provide Defendant
23 with three (3) names of attorneys who can act as receivers in alphabetical order and
24 Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select a name or the middle name
25
26
27
28

1 shall be selected. The receiver needs to be an attorney who deals with Trust
2 accounts, and shall manage the business and the funds held in the IOLTA account.

3 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a CPA shall be appointed for
4 Defendant's business, Bellisario Law, P.C. Ms. Roberts shall provide Defendant
5 with three (3) names of accountants who can act as CPA in alphabetical order and
6 Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select a name or the middle name
7 shall be selected. The CPA shall complete an audit of the IOLTA account.
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that any monies found shall be
10 deposited into the IOLTA account for Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group.
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall be permitted to
13 submit the Plaintiff's Subpoenas to Nevada State Bank, Bank of Nevada, Bank of
14 America, Chase and Wells Fargo with a response deadline of May 14, 2021. Any
15 information that Ms. Roberts obtains via Subpoena regarding the Defendant's
16 business, Bellisario Law, P.C., shall be CONFIDENTIAL and shall not be released
17 to the Plaintiff, and Ms. Roberts shall not harass Defendant's clients.
18

19 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's request to deem the
20 Defendant a vexatious litigant is denied.
21

22 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's request to consolidate the
23 civil cases with this case is denied.
24

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff shall be deemed the
2 primary legal custodian of the minor children, to wit: Brayden Bellisario (DOB:
3 01/15/2015); Blake Bellisario (DOB: 11/20/2016); and Brooklyn Bellisario (DOB:
4 2/1/2018). As the primary legal custodian, Plaintiff shall have the ability to make
5 all medical decisions for the minor children, including mental health treatment.
6 However, the Parties shall continue to share joint legal custody on issues other than
7 medical decisions and mental health treatment.
8

9
10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the Defendant shall do a work search
11 which shall be applying to ten (10) jobs per week, at his skill level, until he obtains
12 employment. The Defendant shall submit a report every two (2) weeks,
13 commencing April 20, 2021.
14

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant shall be responsible
16 for contacting SCRAM and ensuring the Court and Ms. Roberts receive a report up
17 to this point, i.e., April 6, 2021, and every two (2) weeks thereafter.
18

19 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant shall have supervised
20 visitation with the minor child at Donna's House on Sundays from 9:00 a.m. to
21 11:00 a.m. The Plaintiff shall pay the cost for the Defendant's visitation at Donna's
22 House. For the visit on Sunday, April 11, 2021, the Defendant's parents are
23 permitted to attend.
24
25
26
27
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall submit to a
2 psychological evaluation. The Plaintiff shall pay for the Defendant's and the
3 Defendant shall pay for Plaintiff. The failure of either Party to pay for the other
4 Party's psychological evaluation shall not impede or impact the ability to move
5 forward with the psychological evaluation that has been paid. The first choice for
6 the psychological evaluation is Dr. Holland, and if she is not available then Dr.
7 Holland shall submit three (3) names in alphabetical Order and Defendant shall
8 have forty-eight (48) hours to select one of the providers and if he fails to do so
9 then the middle name shall be used.
10

11
12 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the purpose of using Dr. Holland is so
13 that if a custody evaluation becomes necessary, she already have a portion
14 completed through the psychological evaluation.
15

16
17 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's request for an Order
18 to Show Cause against Defendant is deferred to Trial. Prior to Trial, Plaintiff shall
19 submit an Order to Show Cause to Court.
20

21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's request to reduce
22 child support and spousal support arrears to judgment is deferred to Trial.

23 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Defendant's Motion to Strike
24 Hearsay and Misrepresentations filed February 9, 2021, is deferred to Trial.
25
26
27
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Defendant's request to set aside or
2 relief from the Orders related to the hearings on July 30, 2020, October 22, 2020
3 and November 24, 2020 is denied.
4

5 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant's request for an
6 Order to Show Cause against Ms. Roberts is denied, as she is not a Party to the
7 action.
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant's request for an
10 Order to Show Cause against the Plaintiff is deferred to Trial. Prior to Trial,
11 Defendant shall submit an Order to Show Cause to Court.
12

13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS a return hearing is scheduled on the
14 psychological evaluation outcome on May 11, 2021, at 3:00 p.m. If the
15 psychological evaluation is not completed, the Parties shall work together to
16 continue the hearing.
17

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006, which states:

- (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and
- (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to relocate with the child.

- (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or
(b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

- Page 7 of 10

1 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which
2 states:

3 *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE*
4 *ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A*
5 *CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE*
6 *AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §*
7 *193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a*
8 *limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right*
9 *of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or*
10 *removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person*
11 *having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in*
12 *violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the*
13 *jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court*
14 *or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is*
15 *subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in*
16 *NRS §193.130.*

17 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of
18 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private
19 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a
20 foreign country.

21 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of
22 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

23 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection
24 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the
25 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the
26 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or
27 wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

28 Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has
significant commitments in a foreign country:

1
2 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the
3 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the
4 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of
5 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in
6 Subsection 7.

7 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the
8 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent
9 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or
10 concealing the child outside the country of habitual
11 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the
12 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the
13 child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child
14 is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country
15 of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant
16 commitments in a foreign country does not create a
17 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of
18 wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

19 The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of
20 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support
21 payments.

22 The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of
23 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

24 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*
25 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare
26 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date
27 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the

1 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The Parties shall
2 update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the
3 Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that
4 information become inaccurate.
5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.
7
8
9

10 Submitted this _____ day of
11 April, 2021.

Approved as to Content and Form:

12 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**
13 **LAW GROUP**
14

15 By: _____
16 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
17 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
18 4411 South Pecos Road
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
20 PH: (702) 474-7007
21 FAX: (702) 474-7477
22 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
23 Attorneys for Plaintiff
24
25
26
27
28

By: _____
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
PH: (702) 936-4800
FAX: (702) 936-4801
EMAIL: bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant, in proper person

Amanda Roberts

From: Amanda Roberts
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 7:35 AM
To: 'Stephanie Holland'; Holli Miller
Cc: Scheduling Email; efile
Subject: RE: Bellisario v. Bellisario: Order for Psychological Evaluations

Dr. Holland:

The hearing is going forward. If you can just provide a status letter to the Court that would be helpful. Your staff reached out regarding communication from Bradley requesting copies of any communication in the case between our offices. As I do not think that communication is confidential, I believe that Bradley is entitled to same unless you have a differing opinion. Did Emily pay her entire retainer to start Bradley's evaluation?

Thank you,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Telephone No.: (702) 474-7007
Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

This communication is meant for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete the communication.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: Stephanie Holland <Drholland@desertpsychnv.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 6:46 AM

To: Holli Miller <holli@lvfamilylaw.com>

Cc: Scheduling Email <Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com>; Amanda Roberts <amanda@lvfamilylaw.com>; efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>

Subject: Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario: Order for Psychological Evaluations

Good morning,

On page 6 of the Order it states the return date for the evaluations is May 11th. I am assuming there will be a continuance?

Thanks in advance.
Dr. Holland

Stephanie Holland, Psy.D.

Dr. Stephanie Holland, Ltd. dba Desert Psychological

Board Member - Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners

NV Licensed Psychologist , PSY0348

CO Licensed Psychologist , *PSY0004844*

3067 E. Warm Springs Rd.

Suite 100

Las Vegas, NV. 89120

(Inside Longford Business Plaza)

(P) 702-650-6508 (F) 702-920-8865

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Tue, May 4, 2021 at 5:20 PM Holli Miller <holli@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Dr. Holland,

Please see attached Order for psychological evaluations for both Parties.

The Plaintiff's contact information is: Emily Bellisario, 1913 Sondio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134.
Telephone: (702) 427-6745 and Email: 1emilybellisario@gmail.com

The Defendant's contact information is: Bradley Bellisario, 7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054, Las Vegas, NV 89149. T: 309.397.6734 and E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

Upon your receipt and review, please advise if you need any additional information or records.

Thank you,

Holli Miller

Paralegal to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

4411 S. Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Phone No.: (702) 474-7007

Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

www.lvfamilylaw.com

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

Amanda Roberts

From: Stephanie Holland <Drholland@desertpsychnv.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Amanda Roberts
Cc: Holli Miller; Scheduling Email; efile
Subject: Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario: Order for Psychological Evaluations

Yes, I do believe your client paid in full.
We will send all correspondence to Brady.

Thank you,
Dr. Holland

Stephanie Holland, Psy.D.
Dr. Stephanie Holland, Ltd. dba Desert Psychological
Board Member - Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners

NV Licensed Psychologist , PSY0348
CO Licensed Psychologist , *PSY0004844*

3067 E. Warm Springs Rd.
Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV. 89120
(Inside Longford Business Plaza)
(P) 702-650-6508 (F) 702-920-8865

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Wed, May 5, 2021 at 8:35 AM Amanda Roberts <amanda@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Dr. Holland:

The hearing is going forward. If you can just provide a status letter to the Court that would be helpful. Your staff reached out regarding communication from Bradley requesting copies of any communication in the case between our offices. As I do not think that communication is confidential, I believe that Bradley is entitled to same unless you have a differing opinion. Did Emily pay her entire retainer to start Bradley's evaluation?

Thank you,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

4411 S. Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Telephone No.: (702) 474-7007

Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

This communication is meant for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete the communication.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: Stephanie Holland <Drholland@desertpsychnv.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 6:46 AM
To: Holli Miller <holli@lvfamilylaw.com>
Cc: Scheduling Email <Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com>; Amanda Roberts <amanda@lvfamilylaw.com>; efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>
Subject: Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario: Order for Psychological Evaluations

Good morning,

On page 6 of the Order it states the return date for the evaluations is May 11th. I am assuming there will be a continuance?

Thanks in advance.

Dr. Holland

Stephanie Holland, Psy.D.

Dr. Stephanie Holland, Ltd. dba Desert Psychological

Board Member - Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners

NV Licensed Psychologist , PSY0348

CO Licensed Psychologist , PSY0004844

3067 E. Warm Springs Rd.
Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV. 89120
(Inside Longford Business Plaza)
(P) 702-650-6508 (F) 702-920-8865

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Tue, May 4, 2021 at 5:20 PM Holli Miller <holli@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Dr. Holland,

Please see attached Order for psychological evaluations for both Parties.

The Plaintiff's contact information is: Emily Bellisario, 1913 Sondio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134.
Telephone: (702) 427-6745 and Email: 1emilybellisario@gmail.com

The Defendant's contact information is: Bradley Bellisario, 7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054, Las Vegas, NV 89149. T: 309.397.6734 and E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

Upon your receipt and review, please advise if you need any additional information or records.

Thank you,

Holli Miller

Paralegal to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

4411 S. Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Phone No.: (702) 474-7007

Fax No.: (702) 474-7477

www.lvfamilylaw.com

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

EXHIBIT “2”

EXHIBIT “2”


EXHIBIT “2”

12:56



September 16, 2019
8:29 PM

Edit



PLTF0004

AA2886

12:56



September 16, 2019
8:44 PM

Edit



PLTF0005

AA2887

12:57 4



September 16, 2019
8:44 PM

Edit



PLTF0006

AA2888

12:57



September 16, 2019
8:44 PM

Edit



PLTF0007

AA2889

12:57



September 16, 2019
8:45 PM

Edit



PLTF0008


AA2890

12:57 1



September 17, 2019
6:58 PM

Edit



PLTF0009



AA2891

12:57 1



September 17, 2019
6:58 PM

Edit



PLTF0010

AA2892

12:57 4



September 17, 2019
6:59 PM

Edit



PLTF0011

AA2893

12:57



September 17, 2019
6:59 PM

Edit



PLTF0012

AA2894

12:57 4



September 17, 2019
6:59 PM

Edit



PLTF0013


AA2895

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:00 PM

Edit



PLTF0014

AA2896

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:00 PM

Edit



PLTF0015

AA2897

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:01 PM

Edit



PLTF0016

AA2898

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:03 PM

Edit



PLTF0017

AA2899

12:58



September 17, 2019

7:03 PM

Edit



PLTF0018

AA2900

12:58



September 17, 2019
7:05 PM

Edit



PLTF0019


AA2901

12:58 4



September 17, 2019
7:06 PM

Edit



PLTF0020

AA2902

1:05



September 19, 2019
8:30 AM

Edit



PLTF0021

AA2903

1:05



September 19, 2019

8:30 AM

Edit



PLTF0022

AA2904

1:49



September 10, 2017
3:48 PM

Edit



PLTF0023

AA2905

1:48



September 10, 2017
3:48 PM

Edit



PLTF0024

AA2906

1:48



September 10, 2017
10:22 AM

Edit



PLTF0025

AA2907

EXHIBIT “3”

EXHIBIT “3”

EXHIBIT “3”

Colleen O'Brien

From: efilimgmail@tylerhost.net
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 4:40 PM
To: efile
Subject: Notification of Service for Case: D-20-605263-D, Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant. for filing Service Only, Envelope Number: 7874088

Notification of Service

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

Case Style: Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

Envelope Number: 7874088



This is a notification of service for the filing listed. Please click the link below to retrieve the submitted document.

Filing Details	
Case Number	D-20-605263-D
Case Style	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.
Date/Time Submitted	5/12/2021 4:39 PM PST
Filing Type	Service Only
Filing Description	★ Letter to Mass Roberts ★
Filed By	Bradley Bellisario
Service Contacts	Emily Bellisario: Amanda Roberts (efile@lvfamilylaw.com) Bradley John Bellisario: Bradley Bellisario (bradb@bellisariolaw.com) Bradley John Bellisario: Bradley Bellisario (bradb@bellisariolaw.com)

Document Details	
Served Document	Download Document
This link is active for 30 days.	

EXHIBIT “4”

EXHIBIT “4”

EXHIBIT “4”

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
5/12/2021 4:39 PM

MAY 12, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Service Only

Amanda Roberts
4411 S. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89121

RE: *Bellisario vs. Bellisario (D-20—605263-D)*

Ms. Amanda Roberts,

Please provide all contact information for the therapist you and your client have chosen to provide “treatment” to my son. As you are aware the court stated you are required to give me the information for the therapist. Please respond by 5:00 pm tomorrow.


Can't wait to hear from you, Moon Pie.

_____/s/ Bradley Bellisario
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 309.397.6734
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

PLTF0787

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

AA2912



1 **OPPC**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

)

15 v.

) **OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S**

) **MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M.**

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

) **DEVICE; AND COUNTERMOTION**

) **TO DRUG TEST DEFENDANT, FOR**

17 Defendant.

) **AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES**

) **AND COSTS, AND RELATED**

) **RELIEF. DECLARATION OF EMILY**

) **BELLISARIO.**

)

) Date of Hearing: May 18, 2021

) Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

)

) *Oral Argument Requested: Yes*

)

18 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

19 **I.**

20 **Issues**

21 1. Bradley's request to remove S.C.R.A.M. device should be denied.

22 \ \ \

1 evidencing Bradley driving the vehicle while causing this damage, as well as photos
2 which prove the aftermath and damages caused by Bradley.³

3
4 For these reasons, and continued concerns with Bradley's erratic actions and
5 behaviors, he was Ordered to be monitored via S.C.R.A.M. Since being monitored,
6 contrary to Bradley's allegations, S.C.R.A.M. has indicated the following two
7 incidents, which included on the report for period November 24, 2020 through
8 January 23, 2021:

9
10 *"Mr. Bellisario reported to our office on 11/24/20 at 2pm with his*
11 *bracelet cut off. Mr. Bellisario stated he was on the treadmill then*
12 *hit his leg and stated he needed to cut off the bracelet due to*
13 *swelling. We re-enrolled Mr. Bellisario back on our program and*
14 *informed the court. We informed Mr. Bellisario if this happens*
again, he needs to contact our office as soon as possible and not cut
off his bracelet unless advised by a SCRAM staff."

15 This does not explain when Bradley cut off the monitoring device; it simply
16 indicates he returned to their office on November 24, 2020 to report that he needed
17 to re-enroll and have a new device installed. Moreover, the records did not indicate
18 when it was reinstalled. Clearly, if Bradley had swelling to such an extent that he
19 needed to "cut off the bracelet due to swelling" then he would not have been able to
20 have it placed back on the very same day. If he did have the device put back on the
21
22
23
24

25 ³ See Video attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "2"** and Bates Stamp No. PLTF0032,
26 and fully incorporated herein by reference. See photos attached to the companion filing as
27 **Exhibit "3"** and Bates Stamp No. PLTF0035 – PLTF0043 and fully incorporated herein by
28 reference.

1 very same day with such excessive swelling, then it may have been loose enough
2 for the device to be taken on and off by Bradley, so not to record his drinking.

3
4 Additionally, a second incident on this report was reported as follows:

5 *“Mr. Bellisario has had 1 confirmed alcohol consumption event*
6 *that occurred on November 28, 2020 that was submitted to the*
7 *court and had no tamper events during his monitoring period.”*

8 This confirms that Bradley’s allegations that there had not been any
9 report of drinking, issues or concerns with his alcohol consumption are
10 deceitful.

11 *Erratic and Concerning Behavior:*

12
13 On or about August 1, 2019, Bradley was at Emily’s residence to care for the
14 children while she went out with her sister for her birthday. Upon returning home
15 at approximately 10:00 p.m., Bradley went into a fit of rage about being left alone
16 for a short time with the children. Bradley stormed out of the house, punching a
17 hole in the wall while leaving. Bradley slammed the door with such force that it
18 flew back, striking Emily and leaving her left shoulder and arm injured. Emily’s
19 left arm was crushed between the door and door frame, as Emily pushed back to
20 free her arm, Bradley used his right hand to hit the left side of Emily’s face
21 approximately four to five (4-5) times with his open hand. Bradley, in his rage,
22 threw a broom, Swiffer and another stick at Emily, then grabbed onto the garage
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 door and ripped it off the tracks to the point it was not repairable – it had to be
2 replaced.

3
4 On or about September 16, 2019, Bradley forced himself into Emily's
5 residence. Emily alleges to gain access, Bradley broke the window to Emily's
6 residence and engaged in domestic violence as defined by NRS § 33.018 (1)(e)(3),
7 (5) and (9). The photographs from the incident show Emily's residence with
8 damaged/destroyed electronics; appliances; fixtures; furniture; windows and blinds;
9 paintings, framed photos, art and portraits; walls; children's toys and furniture; and
10 a multitude of other items.
11

12
13 Although this is not an all-inclusive list of the damages caused by Bradley, it
14 will provide this Court with an understanding of just how out-of-control he is:

15 Large television pulled off the wall in the living room, another
16 television removed and was thrown from the upper level of the
17 residence onto the lower level, the chandelier from the stairwell was
18 ripped out of the ceiling, back window smashed in, shutters were
19 destroyed, appliances were damaged/destroyed (dishwasher, stove
20 hood, stove top, microwave, and even countertop appliances such as
21 blender and food processor), lamps destroyed, mirrors torn off walls
22 and shattered onto the floor, fish tank shattered (with the fish, water
23 and glass over the kitchen floor), wood floors damaged (scratched,
24 water damage, divots from items being thrown/falling/dragged across),
25 three (3) doors kicked in, dresser knocked over and broken, several
26 cabinet doors were ripped off the cabinet and many were kicked in,
27 dining room table was knocked over and broken, every single chair
28 from the dining room was thrown around the house and into walls and
broken, nearly all (if not all) the framed photos were shattered and
destroyed causing glass everywhere, many holes in walls throughout
the residence either by items getting thrown at the walls or
punched/kicked, entire house was covered in broken glass (beds,

1 couch, carpet, rugs) from everything broken and damaged, many wine
2 bottles were thrown onto walls/cabinets/floor, a custom canvas photo
3 of one of the children was damaged when wine bottles were thrown at
it covering it in wine and creating holes in it.

4 Items stored in garage were thrown around and damaged which
5 included a refrigerator which was knocked over and all contents had to
6 be discarded; storage containers, boxes and miscellaneous items were
7 thrown and destroyed (many of which were sentimental, holiday and
8 home décor items). Two (2) high chairs were kicked over and broken,
9 toddler bed kicked over and broken, children's toys thrown throughout
10 house and were damaged and destroyed, the children's trampoline was
11 slashed into pieces and a large knife (presumably the one used to cut
12 up the trampoline) was stuck into a palm tree next to the trampoline.
Some of Emily's clothing and personal items were thrown onto the
street in front of the residence and hung in the tree out front, many of
Emily's clothes left in her closet were slashed with a knife and/or
stabbed with holes.⁴

13 During this invasion of Emily's residence, the children were present
14 throughout the ordeal and had to be protected by Emily- the youngest children did
15 not see Bradley's destruction, but Brayden was able to witness the destruction and
16 saw Bradley grab Emily by her shoulders, yell in her face and push both Emily and
17 Brayden into a crib sitting in the room where she was trying to hide the children.
18
19

20 On or about June 22, 2020 (a Monday), Bradley showed up at Emily's house
21 and ran his truck up into Emily's driveway as he backs out, he strikes the neighbors
22 car.⁵ He then backs up into the neighbor's car again, causing a light post to fall
23 onto someone's vehicle. Bradley's vehicle can be seen speeding away from
24

25
26 ⁴ Photographs of the destruction are attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "4"** and is
hereby fully incorporated herein by reference.

27 ⁵ See **Exhibit "2."**

1 Emily's residence. Bradley then using tracking to determine Emily is at her
2 Father's address and shows up, acting erratic, until he is arrested by the police.
3
4 Photographs taken by Emily show that Bradley caused damage to the neighbor's
5 vehicle, knocked down a light post and caused damage to his own vehicle.⁶

6 On or about July 26, 2020, Bradley repeatedly called Emily around midnight.
7
8 When Emily did not answer his calls, Bradley started sending her text messages,
9 the first one coming in at approximately 11:53 p.m. which stated, "Gonna murder
10 You slut . . . I'm going to kill you before the 395 th slut bag[.]"⁷ **This is not the**
11 **first time that Bradley has threatened to kill Emily, and she believes that if**
12 **given the opportunity he has the ability to engage in this type of violence.**

13
14 {EMPHASIS ADDED}

15 At the hearing on April 6, 2021, the Court asked Bradley why he would send
16 such a message to Emily. Bradley responded as follows,
17

- 18 • 11:04:10 (video two of two)
 - 19 ○ Bradley: This was all from before a first hearing in this case.
 - 20 This was already heard.
 - 21 ○ Judge Perry: Why would you even say that though?
 - 22 ○ Bradley: Because she's been, listen, I have all the proof of it she
 - 23 was mentally abusive for six years, throughout this entire
 - 24 marriage. Then she stole my kids, I had to file a police report
 - for parental kidnapping I filed one when she says that my Mom
 - 25 attacked her. My Mom got in between us, it's all on video of

26 ⁶ See Exhibit "3."

27 ⁷ Copies of the text messages from Bradley to Emily are attached to the companion filing as
28 Exhibit "5" and are fully incorporated herein by reference.

1 her hitting me trying to rip my phone out of my hands while I
2 have my son in my hands.⁸

3 On or about December 1, 2020, Bradley again contacted Ms. Roberts via
4 telephone and was yelling during the telephone call to which, Ms. Roberts informed
5 him if the behavior continued that she would disconnect the call. In response,
6 Bradley yelled into the receiver, "F*CK YOU AMANDA" and then slammed down
7 the receiver. Additionally, Bradley continually refers to Ms. Roberts as "MASS
8 ROBERTS" or "HEY FAT "F*CK" and requesting responses to emails "after her
9 morning pie."⁹

12 On or about January 2, 2021, Bradley returned the children to Emily's
13 residence at approximately 4:30 p.m., which is thirty (30) minutes after the end of
14 Court Ordered visitation. Bradley calls the children back to his vehicle and when
15 they do not return, Bradley picks up their juice boxes and food leftover from
16 McDonald's, and throws them at Emily's house.¹⁰

19 On or about January 19, 2021, Brayden was overheard by his tutor telling
20 another child that he was going to "shoot his Mom in the head." He also was heard
21

22 ⁸ Emily disputes that she has ever hit Bradley. Despite the Court's directive to produce the
23 alleged videos, Bradley has not produced a video which shows him being hit by Emily. The one
24 time Emily ever approached Bradley at the police station exchange was because Bradley had
25 grabbed Brayden from Emily's arms and he was screaming and crying. Emily approached
26 Bradley asking him to please stop and try another day for visitation with Brayden.

25 ⁹ Copies of the inappropriate communication to Attorney Roberts, in writing, are attached to the
26 companion filing as **Exhibit "6"** and incorporated herein by reference.

26 ¹⁰ A copy of the video of this incident is attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "7"** and is
27 hereby fully incorporated herein by reference.

1 saying, "I hate my grandparents and hope they die." On January 20, 2021, when
2 the tutor attempted to garner Brayden's focus on schooling, he told the tutor that if
3 she tried to make him, that he would "chop you up with an axe and make you die."
4 This behavior is extremely concerning and Bradley's continual attempts to interfere
5 with Brayden's therapy by filing repeated lawsuits against his therapists are
6 disheartening because Brayden clearly needs help!¹¹

7
8
9 On or about January 27, 2021, a Second Amended Complaint was filed
10 against Bradley in case 20CR039342. In that case, the charges against Bradley are
11 aggravated stalking of Emily, malicious destruction of property, etc. This case
12 involved a Grand Jury indictment of Bradley which occurred sometime in March of
13 2021.
14

15 Bradley's behavior with Attorney Roberts is completely inappropriate. As an
16 example, on May 12, 2021 Bradley addressed a letter to Attorney Roberts in the
17 eservice description as "MASS ROBERTS" and states, "Can't wait to hear from
18 you, Moon Pie."¹² Moreover, Bradley demands responses within a set period of
19 time, usually somewhere around twenty-four (24) hours. Imagine knowing he is
20
21
22

23 ¹¹ As Emily has stated in Court, Brayden is constantly in fear that Emily is going to "die."
24 Brayden regularly brings this up to Emily and has said it to other people. Brayden has asked
25 Emily who will care for him when/if she dies. This is not a concern that a child of Brayden's age
26 should be facing.

27 ¹² A copy of the efilng description is attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "8"** and is fully
28 incorporated herein by reference. A copy of the correspondence is attached to the companion
filing as **Exhibit "9"** and is fully incorporated hereby reference.

1 under a microscope and behaving this way, if he is not being monitored his
2 behavior is undoubtedly inappropriate.¹³

3
4 Throughout the litigation, Brayden, the oldest child of the Parties, has shown
5 resistance in visitation with Bradley because he suffers emotional issues and meets
6 the criteria for PTSD. The PTSD was diagnosed by Donna Wilburn when she
7 treated the minor child. The treatment records indicated that Brayden has been
8 exposed to parent conflict and that it triggers his trauma experience (*Emily believes*
9 *this is related to Brayden witnessing Bradley's domestic violence upon Emily and*
10 *destruction of Emily's residence*).¹⁴ That treatment stopped because Bradley
11 objected to Donna Wilburn providing treatment and even filed a civil lawsuit
12 against Donna Wilburn. Emily wants to remind the Court that not only has Donna
13 Wilburn witnessed the PTSD and behavioral issues, others have too, including
14 Brayden's tutor as explained hereinabove and Donna's House as explained
15 hereinbelow.
16

17
18 Emily has attempted to comply with the Court's Order for visitation. Emily
19 made partial payments which were accepted by Donna's House and took their
20 direction to file for a fee waiver. Emily understands and it is confirmed in the
21 Donna's House report that Brayden would not be forced to attend visits, but she did
22
23
24

25
26 ¹³ This is not Bradley's first time being disrespectful and calling Attorney Roberts these names;
he has been doing this for several months.

27 ¹⁴ See **Exhibit "4."**

1 bring him to the visit and Donna's House staff attempted to gain Brayden's
2 cooperation in attending visitation with Bradley.

3
4 On or about May 2, 2021, Emily received a call from Donna's House staff
5 before Bradley's visitation was to end. Emily was informed she needed to return
6 and retrieve the children, that visits at Donna's House between Bradley and the
7 children were discontinued. Thereafter, a report was provided by Donna's House
8 and it indicated that Bradley "exploded" at Donna's House including cursing,
9 invading personal space of staff, disrupting other families, and causing staff to cry.
10 All the while, Blake and Brooklyn were witnessing these events. Bradley had to be
11 escorted from Donna's House and was driving erratically throughout the parking lot
12 exiting the Courthouse. *Emily wants the Court to understand that this is the type*
13 *of behavior that she endured and there are times it became physical. Bradley is*
14 *unable to control himself and his temper, he does not follow Court Orders and he*
15 *acts erratic. As such, Emily is extremely concerned about the children being*
16 *unsupervised in Bradley's care or with a supervisor selected by Bradley because*
17 *they previously did not comply with Court Order to actually supervise the*
18 *visitation as determined by the Private Investigator's report. {EMPHASIS*

19
20
21
22
23 *ADDED}*¹⁵

24
25 ¹⁵ At the hearing on April 6, 2021, Bradley could not control himself while appearing in Court.
26 Bradley could be heard by Emily, Attorney Roberts and her staff cussing under his breath.
27 Bradley was slamming things around on the desk and being overly disruptive. Bradley's behavior
28 is very unstable!

1 On May 13, 2021, Bradley sent an email to Attorney Roberts' staff email
2 which read, "What's the therapist info? Waiting. I will price you're dirty. And
3 Judge Perry. You're helping abuse my children. You won't get away with it."¹⁶
4 The email appears to be threatening of Attorney Roberts, just not as direct as the
5 one where he indicates he will "murder" Emily.
6

7
8 Compliance with EDCR § 5.501

9 Bradley has failed to comply with EDCR § 5.501, prior to filing his Motion
10 for relief. Bradley did not provide Emily an opportunity to address this matter prior
11 to Bradley seeking intervention of this Court, which in-turn caused her to incur
12 unnecessary attorney's fees and costs. As such, Emily should be awarded her
13 attorney's fees and costs for having to defend this Motion, and as a sanction against
14 Bradley for his, once again, failure to follow the Court's rules.
15

16
17 **III.**
18 **Opposition**

19 A. Bradley's request to remove S.C.R.A.M. device should be denied.

20 In his Motion, Bradley requests relief pursuant to NRCP § 54 (b). Emily
21 alleged that NRCP § 54 (b) is not applicable in this matter. Specifically, NRCP §
22 54 (a) states that "'Judgment" as used in these rules includes a decree and any order
23 from which an appeal lies. A judgment should not include recitals of pleadings, a
24

25
26 ¹⁶ A copy of this email is attached to the companion filing as **Exhibit "10"** and is hereby fully
27 incorporated herein by reference.

1 master's report, or a record of prior proceedings." In this matter, Emily would
2 argue that the Order pertaining to S.C.R.A.M. is not an Order that is subject to this
3 rule because it is not a final or appealable Order. Bradley then claims that his
4 request should be set aside pursuant to *NRCP* § 60 (b)(6); however, here it is again
5 referring to final Orders. There is no final Order in this matter.
6

7 In his Motion, Bradley claims to have been compliant with S.C.R.A.M.
8 However, as set forth herein same is not entirely correct. The timeline provides is
9 for ease:
10

- 11 ○ October 23, 2020, Bradley has the bracelet installed and
12 commenced. It says he was monitored for thirty-two (32) days
13 which accounts for the SCRAM Status Report dated November
14 24, 2020.
15
- 16 ○ On November 24, 2020, Bradley alleged cut off the bracelet
17 around 2:00 p.m. It does not state when he had the bracelet
18 installed again. It simply says he was "re-enrolled[.]"
19
- 20 ○ The SCRAM Status Report dated January 23, 2021, states that
21 Bradley had a confirmed alcohol consumption on November 28,
22 2020. According to that report he had been monitored for sixty
23 (60) days.
24
25
26
27
28

- The SCRAM Status Report dated April 7, 2021, says the period of monitor was February 17, 2021 through April 7, 2021. It stated he “enrolled.”
- What happened from January 23, 2021 through February 17, 2021?

Additionally, since the hearing on April 6, 2021, we have not been getting updated reports as Ordered. The reports are not consistent in this matter. Based upon the foregoing, Bradley's request to remove the S.C.R.A.M. device is without merit and must be denied.

IV. Countermotion

A. Emily's request for Bradley to be drug tested should be granted.

In entering Orders with regard to custody and support of minor children, the Court's paramount consideration in making such decisions should be the welfare of the children. *Culbertson v. Culbertson*, 91 Nev. 230, 533 P.2d 768 (1974).

Moreover, the guiding principle in the Court's exercise of discretion in cases affecting the rights and welfare of the children is the best interests and the welfare of the children whose rights are involved. *Frenkell v. Frenkell*, 86 Nev. 397, 469 P.2d 701 (1970).

///

///

1 Further, according to *NRCP* §35 (a)(1) with regard to the Physical and
2 Mental Health Examinations,

3
4 The court where the action is pending may order a party
5 whose mental or physical condition — including blood
6 group — is in controversy to submit to a physical or
7 mental examination by a suitably licensed or certified
8 examiner. The court has the same authority to order a
9 party to produce for examination a person who is in the
10 party's custody or under the party's legal control.

11 Based upon the erratic and out of control behaviors exhibited by Bradley,
12 taking in to account the children's well-being, it is requested that he submit to drug
13 testing through both hair and urine, within one (1) hour from the conclusion of this
14 hearing, at American Toxicology Inc., 3340 Sunrise Ave Suite 105, Las Vegas,
15 Nevada 89101, and pay for the expense of this drug test.

16 B. Emily's request for an award of attorney's fees and costs should be
17 granted.

18 Emily was forced to defend herself against Bradley's instant Motion, which
19 did not need to be filed. Bradley's Motion is without merit and should be denied.
20 Additionally, Bradley failed to attempt to resolve the issues presented in his Motion
21 in accordance with *EDCR* §5.501, and is not expected to be the prevailing Party;
22 therefore, he is not entitled to any award of attorney's fees or costs. To the
23 contrary, Emily was forced to defend herself with this Opposition, has following
24 the Court's Orders, rules and guidelines, and is expected to be the prevailing Party,
25 in accordance with *NRS* §18.010.
26
27
28

1 When dealing with attorney fees the Nevada Supreme Court has issued a
2 litany of relevant cases including the holding in *Sargeant v. Sargeant*, 88 Nev. 223,
3 495 P.2d 618 (1972). In *Sargeant*, the Nevada Supreme Court considered a wife's
4 award of attorney fees. The husband argued the attorney fees were excessive and
5 should not have been granted from the husband's sole and separate property. The
6 Court determined that "[t]he wife must be afforded her day in court without
7 destroying her financial position." This would imply that she should be able to
8 meet her adversary in the courtroom on an equal basis." {EMPHASIS ADDED}

11 In *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998), the Nevada
12 Supreme Court indicated that "disparity in income is also a factor to be considered
13 in awarding attorney fees." In this matter, the Court issued a finding that Bradley's
14 income is \$18,000.00 per month (*see Order* filed January 24, 2021). In contrast,
15 Emily's income is \$980.97 per month without taking into consideration child
16 support and spousal support which is not being paid by Bradley, although Ordered.
17 Therefore, it is alleged that the disparity in income is significant enough to require
18 Bradley's to pay attorney fees and costs.

22 One of the prevailing cases regarding attorney fees is *Brunzell v. Golden*
23 *Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969). In *Brunzell* the Nevada
24 Supreme Court set forth the factors, now known as the Brunzell Factors, which the
25

1 Court considered “well known basic elements to be considered” when awarded
2 attorney fees. According the Brunzell Factors are as follows:

- 3 1. The quality of advocacy;¹⁷
- 4 2. The character of the work to be done;¹⁸
- 5 3. The work actually performed by the lawyer;¹⁹ and
- 6 4. The results obtained.

7 **Quality of Advocacy**

8
9 Emily’s Counsel has been practicing law since 2005 and focuses her practice
10 area primarily in the area of family law, and she is in good standing with the State
11 Bar of Nevada. Emily’s Counsel participated in a weekly radio show geared at the
12 Clark County community, focused on issues relative to family law.

13
14 Yearly, Emily’s Counsel attends continuing legal education classes to stay
15 abreast of changes in the area of family law. Through a practice primarily in family
16 law, Emily’s Counsel has drafted countless Motions, argued before the District
17 Court and Hearing Master on issues related to domestic violence/custody/
18 divorce/adoption/termination of parental rights, brought and defended individuals at
19 Trials and Evidentiary Hearings. Additionally, Emily’s Counsel has taken cases on
20 Appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada.

21
22
23 ¹⁷ When considering the quality of the advocacy the Court should look at the attorney’s ability,
24 training, education, professional standing, and skill.

25 ¹⁸ When considering the character of the work to be done the Court should look at the difficulty,
26 intricacy, importance, time, skill required, the responsibility imposed, and the character of the
27 Party when they have a relevancy to the litigation.

28 ¹⁹ When considering the work performed the Court should consider the skill, time, and attention
given to the work.

1 **Character of Work Done**

2 Due to the fact that Emily's Counsel practices primarily in the area of family
3 law, she has regularly dealt with issues involved in violations of terms of Family
4 Court related Orders, child support enforcement, arrears, and wage assignments.
5

6 **Work Performed**

7 Emily's Counsel maintains a billing system and will prepare, in advance of
8 the hearing in this matter, a billing statement to address the actual attorney fees
9 extended by Emily relative to the Motion and hearing. Emily is billed at a rate of
10 \$350.00 per hour which is a rate on par with other attorneys practicing primarily in
11 the area of family law.
12
13

14 Based upon the foregoing, Emily should be awarded attorney's fees and
15 costs, in an amount incurred by Emily related to Bradley's Motion and this
16 Opposition.
17

18 \\

19 \\

20 \\

21 \\

22 \\

23 \\

24 \\

25 \\

26 \\

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

V.
Conclusion

Therefore, based upon the foregoing, Emily requests this Court to enter an
Order whereby:

1. Denying Bradley's request to remove S.C.R.A.M. device.
2. Granting Emily's request for Bradley to submit to drug testing.
3. Granting Emily's request for an award of attorney's fees and costs.
4. For any and all other relief the Court deems proper and just.

DATED this 17th day of May, 2021.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: Amanda M. Roberts
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1. I am the Plaintiff in the above entitled action and am competent to testify to the matters contained herein. Declarant makes this declaration in support of her Opposition and Countermotion.

3. Declarant incorporates all the facts of the Opposition and Counter-motion into this declaration as though fully set forth herein.

Dated this 17th day of May, 2021.

Page 20 of 21

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,
and on the 17 day of May, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic
service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for
service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing
**OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M.
DEVICE; AND COUNTERMOTION TO DRUG TEST DEFENDANT, FOR
AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS, AND RELATED
RELIEF. DECLARATION OF EMILY BELLISARIO.,** to the following:

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*

By: 
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

MOFI

DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Emily Bellisario

Plaintiff/Petitioner

Bradley Bellisario

Defendant/Respondent

Case No. D-20-605263-D

Dept. P

**MOTION/OPPOSITION
FEE INFORMATION SHEET**

Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a final order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically excluded by NRS 19.0312. Additionally, Motions and Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legislative Session.

Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing fee in the box below.

<input type="checkbox"/>	\$25	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is subject to the \$25 reopen fee.
-OR-		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$0	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$25 reopen fee because:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is being filed before a Divorce/Custody Decree has been entered.
<input type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is being filed solely to adjust the amount of child support established in a final order.
<input type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is for reconsideration or for a new trial, and is being filed within 10 days after a final judgment or decree was entered. The final order was entered on _____.
<input type="checkbox"/>		Other Excluded Motion (must specify) _____.

Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in the box below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$0	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$129 or the \$57 fee because:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		The Motion/Opposition is being filed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition.
<input type="checkbox"/>		The party filing the Motion/Opposition previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.
-OR-		
<input type="checkbox"/>	\$129	The Motion being filed with this form is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order.
-OR-		
<input type="checkbox"/>	\$57	The Motion/Opposition being filing with this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is an opposition to a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion and the opposing party has already paid a fee of \$129.


Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step 1 and Step 2.

The total filing fee for the motion/opposition I am filing with this form is:											
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$25	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$57	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$82	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$129	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$154

Party filing Motion/Opposition: Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group Date 5/17/2021

Signature of Party or Preparer /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

AA2934



1 **EXH**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

)

15 v.

) **EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF**

) **PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO**

) **DEFENDANT'S MOTION**

) **OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S**

) **MOTION TO REMOVE S.C.R.A.M.**

) **DEVICE; AND COUNTERMOTION**

) **TO DRUG TEST DEFENDANT, FOR**

) **AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES**

) **AND COSTS, AND RELATED**

) **RELIEF.**

16 In accordance with *EDCR* § 5.205, the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, hereby

17 submits Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to

18 Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an

19 Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief, as follows:

20 \\\

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	BATE STAMP NUMBER
1.	Register of Actions for State of Nevada vs. Bellisario, Bradley John (Case No. 20-CR-009080)	PLTF0399 – PLTF0400
2.	Ring doorbell video of Bradley driving recklessly and damaging vehicles and knocking down light post on or about June 22, 2020.	PLTF0032
3.	Photographs of damage and destruction caused by Bradley on or about June 22, 2020.	PLTF0035 – PLTF0043
4.	Photos of damage and destruction caused to Emily's residence by Bradley on or about September 16, 2019	PLTF0004-PLTF0025
5.	Text messages from Bradley to Emily.	PLTF0461
6.	Evidence of inappropriate communication from Bradley to Attorney Roberts	PLTF0386-PLTF0394, PLTF0459, PLTF0587-PLTF0590, PLTF0785-PLTF0786, and PLTF0787
7.	Video of Bradley throwing items at Emily's residence on or about January 2, 2021.	PLTF0395
8.	Wiz-Net efileing description of correspondence sent from Bradley Bellisario to Attorney Roberts on May 12, 2021.	PLTF0785-PLTF0786
9.	Correspondence from Bradley Bellisario to Attorney Roberts dated May 12, 2021	PLTF0787

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10.	May 13, 2021 Email from Bradley Bellisario	PLTF0791
-----	--	----------

DATED this 17th day of May, 2021.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: Amanda M. Roberts

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com
Defendant *in proper person*


Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

EXHIBIT “1”

EXHIBIT “1”

EXHIBIT “1”

1/11/2021

<https://lvjcpa.clarkcountynv.gov/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=13228596>[Skip to Main Content](#) [Logout My Account](#) [Search Menu](#) [New Criminal Search](#) [Refine Search](#) [Back](#)[Location](#) [Justice Court](#) [Help](#)**REGISTER OF ACTIONS**
CASE No. 20-CR-009080**State of Nevada vs. BELLISARIO, BRADLEY JOHN**§
§
§
§
§Case Type: **Misdemeanor CR**Date Filed: **06/23/2020**Location: **JC Department 15****PARTY INFORMATION****Defendant** **BELLISARIO, BRADLEY JOHN****Lead Attorneys**
Ross C. Goodman
Retained
702-383-5088(W)**State of Nevada**
Nevada**CHARGE INFORMATION****Charges: BELLISARIO, BRADLEY JOHN**

	Statute	Level	Date
1. Viol dom violence EPO [52917]	33.100	Misdemeanor	01/06/2021
2. DUI of alcohol and/or controlled or prohibited substance, 2nd offense [53902]	484C.400.1b	Misdemeanor	01/06/2021
999.DUI of alcohol and/or controlled or prohibited substance, 1st offense [53900]	484C.110/484C.400.1a	Misdemeanor	06/22/2020
999.Viol dom violence TPO [52916]	33.100	Misdemeanor	06/22/2020

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT**DISPOSITIONS**

01/06/2021 **Disposition** (Judicial Officer: De La Garza, Melisa)
999. DUI of alcohol and/or controlled or prohibited substance, 1st offense [53900]
DA Denial

01/06/2021 **Disposition** (Judicial Officer: De La Garza, Melisa)
999. Viol dom violence TPO [52916]
DA Denial

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

06/23/2020 **Original Track Assignment JC14**

06/23/2020 **DA Request for Prosecution**
Request for Prosecution Sent to DA

06/23/2020 **Case Assignment Sent**
Case Assignment Sent

06/23/2020 **CANCELED Initial Appearance Justice Court (PC Review)** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Bonaventure, Joseph M.)
Custody Change - Surety Bond Posted

06/23/2020 **Surety Bond Acceptance-Notice of Appearance**

06/23/2020 **Surety Bond**

06/23/2020 **Probable Cause Review Packet - Initial Appearance Court**

08/24/2020 **Status Check on Filing of Criminal Complaint** (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer De La Garza, Melisa)
Surety Bond

08/24/2020 **Result: Matter Heard**

08/24/2020 **Motion to Continue - State**
granted

08/24/2020 **Continued for Status Check on filing of Criminal Complaint**

08/24/2020 **Surety Bond Ordered Exonerated**
SV25-5069157

08/24/2020 **Minute Order - Department 15**

08/24/2020 **Surety Bond Exonerated**

11/23/2020 **Status Check on Filing of Criminal Complaint** (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer De La Garza, Melisa)
Surety Bond

11/23/2020 **Result: Matter Heard**

11/23/2020 **State Requests Additional Time for Filing of Complaint**

11/23/2020 **Continued for Status Check on filing of Criminal Complaint**

11/23/2020 **Minute Order - Department 15**

02/22/2021 **Status Check on Filing of Criminal Complaint** (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer De La Garza, Melisa)
Surety Bond

FINANCIAL INFORMATION| **Defendant BELLISARIO, BRADLEY JOHN**<https://lvjcpa.clarkcountynv.gov/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=13228596>

1/2

PLTF0399

AA2940

1/11/2021

<https://lvjcpa.clarkcountynv.gov/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=13228596>

	Total Financial Assessment		50.00
	Total Payments and Credits		50.00
	Balance Due as of 01/11/2021		0.00
06/23/2020	Transaction Assessment		50.00
06/23/2020	Payment (Window)	Receipt # PT-2020-03921	(50.00)
		ALADDIN BAIL NV, INC	

EXHIBIT “2”

Video Dropbox

https://www.dropbox.com/s/m4cumsc6b8tij9x/8%20PLTF0032%206841373085646068317_stamp%20%28Ring%20Video%20of%20OP%20at%20House%29.mp4?dl=0

EXHIBIT “2”

https://www.dropbox.com/s/m4cumsc6b8tij9x/8%20PLTF0032%206841373085646068317_stamp%20%28Ring%20Video%20of%20OP%20at%20House%29.mp4?dl=0

EXHIBIT “2”

https://www.dropbox.com/s/m4cumsc6b8tij9x/8%20PLTF0032%206841373085646068317_stamp%20%28Ring%20Video%20of%20OP%20at%20House%29.mp4?dl=0

EXHIBIT “3”

EXHIBIT “3”

EXHIBIT “3”



PLTF0035

AA2944



PLTF0036

AA2945




PLTF0037

AA2946



PLTF0038

AA2947



PLTF0039

AA2948



PLTF0040

AA2949



PLTF0041

AA2950



PLTF0042

AA2951



PLTF0043

AA2952

EXHIBIT “4”

EXHIBIT “4”



EXHIBIT “4”

12:56



September 16, 2019
8:29 PM

Edit



PLTF0004

AA2954

12:56



September 16, 2019
8:44 PM

Edit



PLTF0005

AA2955

12:57



September 16, 2019
8:44 PM

Edit



PLTF0006


AA2956

12:57 4



September 16, 2019
8:44 PM

Edit



PLTF0007

AA2957

12:57



September 16, 2019
8:45 PM

Edit



PLTF0008


AA2958

12:57



September 17, 2019
6:58 PM

Edit



PLTF0009


AA2959

12:57 4



September 17, 2019
6:58 PM

Edit



PLTF0010

AA2960

12:57



September 17, 2019
6:59 PM

Edit



PLTF0011

AA2961

12:57



September 17, 2019
6:59 PM

Edit



PLTF0012


AA2962

12:57



September 17, 2019
6:59 PM

Edit



PLTF0013


AA2963

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:00 PM

Edit



PLTF0014


AA2964

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:00 PM

Edit



PLTF0015


AA2965

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:01 PM

Edit



PLTF0016


AA2966

12:57



September 17, 2019
7:03 PM

Edit



PLTF0017


AA2967

12:58



September 17, 2019
7:03 PM

Edit



PLTF0018

AA2968

12:58



September 17, 2019
7:05 PM

Edit



PLTF0019


AA2969

12:58



September 17, 2019
7:06 PM

Edit



PLTF0020

AA2970

1:05



September 19, 2019
8:30 AM

Edit



PLTF0021

AA2971

1:05



September 19, 2019
8:30 AM

Edit



PLTF0022


AA2972

1:49



September 10, 2017
3:48 PM

Edit



PLTF0023

AA2973

1:48



September 10, 2017
3:48 PM

Edit



PLTF0024

AA2974

1:48



September 10, 2017

10:22 AM

Edit



PLTF0025

AA2975

EXHIBIT “5”

EXHIBIT “5”

EXHIBIT “5”

1:48



+1 (309) 397-6734 >

Mon, Jul 6, 8:25 PM

Answer a GD ofw message asshole

And see I was being nice. I didn't call you and your dad giant fucking pieces of shit for what your were doing to Brayden or tell you to go fuck yourselves.

Text Message
Yesterday 11:53 PM

Gonna murder
You slut

Come see me before the 30th lor after. You're gonna pay for what guy did

I'm going to kill you before the 395 th slut bag

Your kids shkuidnt hear that shot from their retarded grandpa that never took care of them. See yuh in the after life slut

iMessage

See you soon whore bag



PLTF0461

AA2977

EXHIBIT “6”

EXHIBIT “6”

EXHIBIT “6”

Colleen O'Brien

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 3:10 PM
To: Colleen O'Brien
Cc: efile
Subject: Re: Bellisario

Good afternoon Mass Roberts and Ms. O'Brien.

Please let me know when the prostitute you represent would like to provide make up time with my son, Brayden. She never attempted to bring to him out with the girls on Saturday.

Bradley Bellisario

From: Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com>
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 at 4:51 PM
To: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Cc: efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>
Subject: RE: Bellisario

I downloaded all of our disclosures onto a USB Drive and mailed the same.

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien
Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this

time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 4:27 PM
To: Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com>
Subject: Re: Bellisario

Didn't get a response.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 16, 2020, at 4:05 PM, bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com> wrote:

Please share the previous disclosures as I don't have them.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 16, 2020, at 3:33 PM, Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Bradley,

I just served you our Fourth Supplemental Disclosures.

Bates Stamp PLTF50 are videos.

I have uploaded them to Dropbox.

Please let me know if you prefer that I put the video on a disc and mail it.

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien
Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited

from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

Colleen O'Brien

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2020 4:20 PM
To: Colleen O'Brien
Cc: efile
Subject: Re: Bellisario

Can you or Mass Roberts please respond with a time for make up visitation. Please contact the prostitute and have a response ASAP.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2020, at 3:10 PM, bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com> wrote:

Good afternoon Mass Roberts and Ms. O'Brien.

Please let me know when the prostitute you represent would like to provide make up time with my son, Brayden. She never attempted to bring to him out with the girls on Saturday.

Bradley Bellisario

From: Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com>
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 at 4:51 PM
To: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Cc: efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>
Subject: RE: Bellisario

I downloaded all of our disclosures onto a USB Drive and mailed the same.

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien
Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with

the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 4:27 PM

To: Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com>

Subject: Re: Bellisario

Didn't get a response.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 16, 2020, at 4:05 PM, bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com> wrote:

Please share the previous disclosures as I don't have them.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 16, 2020, at 3:33 PM, Colleen O'Brien
<colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Bradley,

I just served you our Fourth Supplemental Disclosures.

Bates Stamp PLTF50 are videos.

I have uploaded them to Dropbox.

Please let me know if you prefer that I put the video on a disc and mail it.

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien

Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

Colleen O'Brien

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2020 9:46 AM
To: Colleen O'Brien
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Bellisario

Could Mass Roberts please respond after her morning pie. Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2020, at 4:20 PM, Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

In observation of the holiday season, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be closed from Wednesday, December 23, 2020 at noon through Monday, January 4, 2021 at 8:00 a.m. During that time, attorneys and staff will not have access to their emails, the email being checked will be efile@lvfamilylaw.com except on Christmas Eve, Christmas Day and New Year's Day. Any emails not copied to efile@lvfamilylaw.com will not be responded to until the office reopens.

If you have an urgent issue during this time, please send an email to efile@lvfamilylaw.com, but also call the office at (702) 474-7007 and leave a voicemail to alert the attorneys and staff to the urgency of the issue. Someone is checking voicemails daily except on Christmas Eve, Christmas Day and New Year's Day.

We wish you and your family a happy and safe holiday season!

Colleen O'Brien

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2020 2:22 PM
To: efile
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Bellisario

Hey Fat Fuck. Can you please let me know when Emily will be providing make up visitation. Happy new year.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 31, 2020, at 9:45 AM, bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com> wrote:

Could Mass Roberts please respond after her morning pie. Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2020, at 4:20 PM, Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

In observation of the holiday season, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be closed from Wednesday, December 23, 2020 at noon through Monday, January 4, 2021 at 8:00 a.m. During that time, attorneys and staff will not have access to their emails, the email being checked will be efile@lvfamilylaw.com except on Christmas Eve, Christmas Day and New Year's Day. Any emails not copied to efile@lvfamilylaw.com will not be responded to until the office reopens.

If you have an urgent issue during this time, please send an email to efile@lvfamilylaw.com, but also call the office at (702) 474-7007 and leave a voicemail to alert the attorneys and staff to the urgency of the issue. Someone is checking voicemails daily except on Christmas Eve, Christmas Day and New Year's Day.

We wish you and your family a happy and safe holiday season!

Colleen O'Brien

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2021 3:14 PM
To: Colleen O'Brien
Cc: efile
Subject: Re: Automatic reply: Bellisario

Hey fat fuck. Let me know if we can work out Emily giving me makeup time before noon tomorrow.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2020, at 4:20 PM, Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

In observation of the holiday season, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be closed from Wednesday, December 23, 2020 at noon through Monday, January 4, 2021 at 8:00 a.m. During that time, attorneys and staff will not have access to their emails, the email being checked will be efile@lvfamilylaw.com except on Christmas Eve, Christmas Day and New Year's Day. Any emails not copied to efile@lvfamilylaw.com will not be responded to until the office reopens.

If you have an urgent issue during this time, please send an email to efile@lvfamilylaw.com, but also call the office at (702) 474-7007 and leave a voicemail to alert the attorneys and staff to the urgency of the issue. Someone is checking voicemails daily except on Christmas Eve, Christmas Day and New Year's Day.

We wish you and your family a happy and safe holiday season!

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
2/4/2021 2:47 PM

FEBRUARY 4, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Service Only

Amanda Roberts
4411 S. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89121

RE: *Bellisario vs. Bellisario (D-20—605263-D)*

Ms. Amanda Roberts,

As Michael Jordan once stated, "I'm back." I'm sure your client has informed you of the events from January 23, 2020. Pursuant to communication with authorities it is evident that you and your client conspired to file a false police report in June of 2020 and further communicated with authorities to have me taken into police custody, in front of my children in an effort for me to miss family court on January 25, 2020. You truly are the biggest piece of trash I've ever encountered. You and your husband must love being sued.

Please take notice that due to my ten (10) day incarceration I missed my visitation time on January 30, 2020 with all three of my children. I have made accommodations for either Saira McKinley or my mom to pick up all three (3) children on February 6, 2020 at noon for my visitation. My mother was previously approved by CPS as a supervisor; additionally, your client previously agreed to Saira McKinley as a supervisor. Any resistance to the two previously approved supervisors as transportation for the visitation will be met with a motion, request for sanctions, and bar complaint.

Additionally, we will need to schedule makeup time for the visitation I missed on January 30th due to you and your client's illegal actions. I am agreeable to have the children for a full 8 hours on February 6th, or we can schedule an additional four (4) hour visit on any other day in the immediate future. Any resistance, or another claim that your client's position is that I had my visitation, will be met with a motion, request for sanctions, and bar complaint.

Please respond as soon as possible. If I do not hear back from you on this matter by 5pm Friday I will file a motion accordingly.

Not cordially yours,

/s/ Bradley Bellisario
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 309.397.6734
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

PLTF0459

AA2988

Colleen O'Brien

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:23 PM
To: Colleen O'Brien
Cc: efile
Subject: Re: Bellisario

I'll read over the amended proposed order shortly to answer your questions. Thanks for being a PoS as usual.

Have a great day.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 29, 2021, at 1:16 PM, bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com> wrote:

You didn't answer my question.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 29, 2021, at 1:14 PM, Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Will you be signing the edited DCRR or will we be submitting absent your signature, or separately?

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien
Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the

office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: bradley bellisario <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 1:14 PM
To: Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com>
Cc: efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>
Subject: Re: Bellisario

Colleen,

Following up on when your client is going to stop concealing the children and conduct a visitation exchange.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 29, 2021, at 12:03 PM, Colleen O'Brien
<colleen@lvfamilylaw.com> wrote:

Brad,

I am following up on my email from Friday.

Will you be signing the attached?

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien
Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please

delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

From: Colleen O'Brien <colleen@lvfamilylaw.com>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 9:57 AM
To: 'bradley bellisario' <bradb@bellisariolaw.com>
Cc: efile <efile@lvfamilylaw.com>
Subject: Bellisario

Brad,

Attached please find the DCRR with the changes made by Jay Young.

I contacted Vivian Canela to confirm that it was okay that I adjust the pages so that the signature lines are on one page, as opposed to two.

I have also attached the Word document sent to us by Vivian, should you feel the need to compare the two.

Please sign and return it back to me and I will forward it to the Discovery Inbox.

Thank you,

Colleen O'Brien
Legal Assistant to Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP
4411 S. Pecos Road
(Office is located on a small side street, University)
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
PH: (702) 474-7007
FAX: (702) 474-7477
WEB: lvfamilylaw.com

PLEASE REPLY ALL WHEN RESPONDING TO EMAILS

The contents of this electronic mail message are confidential in nature and intended solely for the individual as addressed. Should you receive this electronic mail message in error, please delete this electronic mail message and/or contact Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group immediately at the number listed above.

Due to COVID-19 and Governor Sisolak's mandate for the closure of non-essential businesses, Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group will be engaging in social distancing and taking measure to limit contact with the public. That means that we are prohibited from in-person meetings with members of the public. The firm will remain operational at the present time, but we will be working remotely with limited services in the office. Therefore, all clients meeting and consultations will be made via telephone. We can be reached via telephone at (702) 474-7007.

Our hours will also be modified as follows: Mondays through Thursdays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Fridays: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

We truly apologize for any inconvenience that this has caused. As we try to work through this trying time, we will continue to provide legal services for our community to the greatest extent possible. It is **very important** during this time to ensure that you copy efile@lvfamilylaw.com on all emails so that your legal matters can be addressed by any staff member who is working during the limited hours of operation.

<03-24-21 BELLISARIO V BELLISARIO DCRR D-20-605263-D DEPT P CR 20
EDITED.DOC>
<DCRR Bellisario.pdf>

Colleen O'Brien

From: efilingmail@tylerhost.net
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 4:40 PM
To: efile
Subject: Notification of Service for Case: D-20-605263-D, Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant. for filing Service Only, Envelope Number: 7874088

Notification of Service

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

Case Style: Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley
John Bellisario, Defendant.

Envelope Number: 7874088



This is a notification of service for the filing listed. Please click the link below to retrieve the submitted document.

Filing Details	
Case Number	D-20-605263-D
Case Style	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.
Date/Time Submitted	5/12/2021 4:39 PM PST
Filing Type	Service Only
Filing Description	Letter to Mass Roberts
Filed By	Bradley Bellisario
Service Contacts	Emily Bellisario: Amanda Roberts (efile@lvfamilylaw.com) Bradley John Bellisario: Bradley Bellisario (bradb@bellisariolaw.com) Bradley John Bellisario: Bradley Bellisario (bradb@bellisariolaw.com)

Document Details	
Served Document	Download Document
This link is active for 30 days.	

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED
5/12/2021 4:39 PM

MAY 12, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Service Only

Amanda Roberts
4411 S. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89121

RE: *Bellisario vs. Bellisario (D-20—605263-D)*

Ms. Amanda Roberts,

Please provide all contact information for the therapist you and your client have chosen to provide "treatment" to my son. As you are aware the court stated you are required to give me the information for the therapist. Please respond by 5:00 pm tomorrow.

Can't wait to hear from you, Moon Pie.

_____/s/ Bradley Bellisario_____
Bradley Bellisario
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy, #2054
Las Vegas, NV 89149
T: 309.397.6734
E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

PLTF0787

Case Number: D-20-605263-D

AA2995

EXHIBIT “7”

Video Dropbox

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/y6pue1himiylzbq/AACp7zZoDWwPRv1rD1jSqDRPa?dl=0>

EXHIBIT “7”

Video Dropbox

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/y6pue1himiylzbq/AACp7zZoDWwPRv1rD1jSqDRPa?dl=0>

EXHIBIT “7”

Video Dropbox

<https://www.dropbox.com/sh/y6pue1himiylzbq/AACp7zZoDWwPRv1rD1jSqDRPa?dl=0>

EXHIBIT “8”

EXHIBIT “8”

EXHIBIT “8”

Colleen O'Brien

From: efilimgmail@tylerhost.net
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 4:40 PM
To: efile
Subject: Notification of Service for Case: D-20-605263-D, Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant. for filing Service Only, Envelope Number: 7874088



Notification of Service

Case Number: D-20-605263-D
Case Style: Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.
Envelope Number: 7874088

This is a notification of service for the filing listed. Please click the link below to retrieve the submitted document.

Filing Details	
Case Number	D-20-605263-D
Case Style	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiffvs.Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.
Date/Time Submitted	5/12/2021 4:39 PM PST
Filing Type	Service Only
Filing Description	Letter to Mass Roberts
Filed By	Bradley Bellisario
Service Contacts	Emily Bellisario: Amanda Roberts (efile@lvfamilylaw.com) Bradley John Bellisario: Bradley Bellisario (bradb@bellisariolaw.com) Bradley John Bellisario: Bradley Bellisario (bradb@bellisariolaw.com)

Document Details	
Served Document	Download Document
This link is active for 30 days.	

EXHIBIT “9”

EXHIBIT “9”

EXHIBIT “9”