

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO

Appellant,

v.

EMILY BELLISARIO,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed  
Apr 08 2022 09:49 p.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court No.: 84128

District Court No.: D605263

**APPEAL FROM FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND  
DECREE OF DIVORCE**

Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada

In and for the County of Clark

THE HONORABLE MARY PERRY

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

---

**APPELLANT APPENDIX – VOL. 14**

---

Amy A. Porray, Esq.  
Nevada Bar # 9596  
McFarling Law Group  
6230 W. Desert Inn Road  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Phone: (702) 565-4335  
Fax: (702) 732-9385  
eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com  
*Attorney for Appellant,  
Bradley John Bellisario*

## INDEX OF APPELLANT APPENDIX

<b><u>VOLUME:</u></b>	<b><u>BATES NUMBER:</u></b>
1	00001 – 00250
2	00251 - 00500
3	00501- 00750
4	00751 - 01000
5	01001- 01250
6	01251 - 01500
7	01501 - 01750
8	01751 - 02000
9	02001 - 02250
10	02251 - 02500
11	02501 - 02750
12	02751 - 03000
13	03001 – 03250
14	03251 – 03500
15	03501 – 03750
16	03751 – 04000
17	04000 – 04250

18	04251 – 04500
19	04501 – 04750
20	04751 – 05000

**INDEX OF APPELLANT APPENDIX  
CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER**

<b><u>VOL.</u></b>	<b><u>DATE</u></b>	<b><u>PLEADING</u></b>	<b><u>BATES NO.</u></b>
1	03/05/2020	Complaint for Divorce	AA0001-7
1	03/09/2020	Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0008-41
1	03/09/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0042-110
1	03/09/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0111-121
1	03/13/2020	Errata to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0122-126
1	03/13/2020	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA0127-140
1	03/25/2020	Acceptance of Service	AA0141-142
1	04/09/2020	Answer and Counterclaim	AA0143-147
1	04/09/2020	Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0148-157
1	04/09/2020	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0158-249
1-2	04/13/2020	Order Setting Case Management Conference	AA0250-259
2	04/15/2020	Bradley Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0260-267
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Opposition	AA0268-283
2	04/29/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Reply	AA0284-300
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Counterclaim	AA0301-303
2	06/10/2020	Stipulation and Order dated June 10, 2020	AA0304-307
2	06/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order dated June 11, 2020	AA0308-314
2	07/30/2020	Court Minutes from July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0315-316
2	07/30/2020	Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 30, 2020	AA0317
2	07/31/2020	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 31, 2020	AA0318-320
2	10/22/2020	Court Minutes from October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0321-324
2	11/24/2020	Court Minutes from November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0325-326
2	11/25/2020	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA0327-333
2	12/01/2020	Affidavit of Sandra L. Pomrenze	AA0334-336

2	12/03/2020	Notice of Defendant's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0337-338
2	12/03/2020	Notice of Plaintiff's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0339-343
2	12/10/2020	Order After Hearing of November 24, 2020	AA0344-347
2-3	12/10/2020	Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions	AA0348-545
3	12/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Order From the November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0546-552
3	12/16/2020	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA0553-555
3	12/23/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0556-566
3	12/24/2020	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0567-581
3	01/11/2021	Peremptory Challenge	AA0582-583
3	01/11/2021	Certificate of Service	AA0584-586
3	01/11/2021	Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge	AA0587-595
3-4	01/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020	AA0596-811
4	01/13/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge; and Countermotion for and Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0812-821
4	01/15/2021	Notice of Hearing and Order Regarding Procedures	AA0822-826
4	01/20/2021	Decision and Order re: Peremptory Challenge	AA0827-829
4	01/20/2021	Notice of Department Reassignment	AA0830-832
4	01/20/2021	Order After Hearing on October 22, 2020	AA0833-837
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Vacating Hearing	AA0838
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Scheduling Status Check	AA0839
4	01/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0840-847
4	01/24/2021	Order After Hearing on July 30, 2020	AA0848-855
4	01/25/2021	Court Minutes From Status Check on January 25, 2021	AA0856-858

4	01/25/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on January 25, 2021, Status Check	AA0859-863
4	01/25/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0864-874
4	01/25/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0875-887
4	02/05/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA0888-931
4-5	02/05/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, et al.	AA0932-1003
5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Child Support With Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1004-1013
5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Temporary Support with Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1014-1021
5	02/05/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1022-1033
5	02/07/2021	Bradley Bellisario's Financial Disclosure Form	AA1034-1040
5	02/08/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1041-1043
5	02/08/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1044
5	02/09/2021	Minute Order of February 9, 2021	AA1045-1046
5	02/09/2021	Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1047-1072
5	02/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel	AA1073-1153

5	02/09/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for February 11, 2021, at 1:30 p.m.	AA1154-1204
5-6	02/09/2021	Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1205-1271
6	02/10/2021	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA1272-1351
6	02/11/2021	Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursant to NCJC 2.11	AA1352-1443
6-7	02/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order, and October 22, 2020, Order	AA1444-1537
7	02/11/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Judge Mary Perry Hearing Any Argument Related to Hearing Scheduled for February 11, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.	AA1538/-1541
7	02/11/2021	Court Minutes from February 11, 2021	AA1542-1545
7	02/11/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on February 11, 2021, on All Pending Motions	AA1546-1548
7	02/16/2021	Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1549-1554
7	02/16/2021	Order	AA1555-1557
7	02/19/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver	AA1558-1661

		for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	
7	02/23/2021	Reply to Judge Mary Perry's Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1662-1670
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1671-1688
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1689-1703
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA1704-1720
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify, et al.	AA1721-1730
7	02/26/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time on Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1731-1739
7	02/26/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1740-1744
7	03/02/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1745-1747
7-8	03/02/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time	AA1748-1752



8	03/02/2021	Certificate of Service	AA1753-1754
8	03/03/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1755
8	03/04/2021	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; Countermotion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1756-1797
8	03/04/2021	Court Minutes from March 4, 2021	AA1798
8	03/04/2021	Transcript re: March 4, 2021, Court Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA1799-1816
8	03/09/2021	Decision and Order	AA1817-1823
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	AA1824-1833
8	03/09/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1834-1877
8	03/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1878-1949

8	03/09/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1950-1961
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to Seek Post-Order Relief	AA1962-1965
8	03/09/2021	Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA1966-1979
8	03/10/2021	Order After Hearing	AA1980-19852
8	03/10/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA1983-1988
8	03/11/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1989-1997
8-9	03/12/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time Dated 03/11/2021	AA1998-2002
9	03/16/2021	Motion for Protective Order	AA2003-2051
9	03/17/2021	Court Minutes from March 17, 2021	AA2052-2053
9	03/17/2021	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2054-2066
9	03/17/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Discovery on March 17, 2021	AA2067-2081
9	03/22/2021	Decision and Order	AA2082-2084
9	03/22/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to File Writ of Mandamus and Motion to Stay Proceedings	AA2085-2090
9	03/23/2021	Notice of Rescheduling Hearings	AA2091-2092
9	03/30/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order and October 22, 2020 Order; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2093-2110
9	03/30/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020 Order, et al.	AA2111-2120

9	03/30/2021	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order; and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA2121-2135
9	04/05/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for April 6, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.	AA2136-2141
9	04/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2142-2149
9	04/06/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2150
9	04/06/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2151-2161
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation	AA2162-2165
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Protection Order Against Domestic Violence	AA2166-2169
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitations	AA2170-2174
9-10	04/06/2021	Transcript re: April 6, 2021, Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA2175-2270
10	04/07/2021	Court Minutes from April 7, 2021	AA2271-2272
10	04/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing re: Status Check	AA2273-2284
10	04/08/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA2285-2315
10	04/08/2021	Minute Order of April 8, 2021	AA2316-2317
10	04/08/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2318-2330
10	04/14/2021	Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2331-2379
10	04/14/2021	Court Minutes from April 6, 2021	AA2380-2381
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to	AA2382-2400

		File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2401-2411
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2412-2424
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2425-2430
10	04/22/2021	Court Minutes from April 22, 2021	AA2431-2432
10	04/22/2021	Amended Order After Hearing	AA2433-2440
10	04/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2441-2451
10	04/23/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Mary Perry Taking Any Further Action in This Matter	AA2452-2455
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA2456-2458
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Entry of Amended Order After Hearing	AA2459-2469

10	04/27/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2470-2478
10-11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2479-2508
11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2509-2516
11	04/30/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2517-2527
11	05/01/2021	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held in Contempt	AA2528-2567
11	05/02/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Return of Children	AA2568-2600
11	05/03/2021	Objection to Defendant's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas	AA2601-2604
11	05/03/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2605-2618
11	05/03/2021	Motion for Protective Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2619-2636
11-12	05/03/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2637-2759

12	05/06/2021	Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device	AA2760-2778
12	05/10/2021	Supplemental [sic] to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding the Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File a Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant a Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2779-2785
12	05/10/2021	Notice of Plaintiff's Filing her Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2786-2788
12	05/11/2021	Court Minutes from Hearing May 11, 2021	AA2789-2790
12	05/11/2021	Transcripts from May 11, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA2791-2807
12	05/11/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2808
12	05/11/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2809-2815
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2816-2825
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Disclosure of Receivers and Certified Public Accountants	AA2826-2828
12	05/13/2021	Notice of Therapist	AA2829-2831
12	05/14/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2832-2851
12	05/14/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In	AA2852-2912

		Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	
12	05/17/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief, Declaration of Emily Bellisario	AA2913-2934
12-13	05/17/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2935-3003
13	05/17/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; And Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA3004-3013
13	05/17/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA3014-3022
13	05/18/2021	Court Minutes From May 18, 2021	AA3023-3025
13	05/18/2021	Transcript from Hearing on May 18, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3026-3069
13	05/18/2021	Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff)	AA3070-3115
13	05/19/2021	Information Regarding Therapy Provided By Anna Trujillo As Requested By Judge Marry Perry at Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3116-3135
13	05/21/2021	Notice of Deposition—Emily Bellisario	AA3136-3137
13	06/02/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA3138-3150

13	06/10/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3151-3153
13	06/11/2021	Amended Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3154-3156
13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 10:00 a.m.	AA3157-3158
13	06/16/2021	Transcript re: Court Hearing on June 16, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. re: All Pending Motions	AA3159-3165
13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 1:00 p.m.	AA3166-3167
13	06/25/2021	Memorandum of Fees and Costs as Ordered	AA3168-3197
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3198-3204
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3205-3214
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3215-3224
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3225-3237
13-14	07/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3238-3254
14	07/07/2021	Court Minutes from July 7, 2021	AA3255-3256
14	07/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on July 7, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3257-3265
14	07/09/2021	Notice of Intentional Misrepresentations by Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts Regarding Dr. Stephanie Holland	AA3266-3275
14	07/12/2021	Minute Order from July 12, 2021	AA3276-3277
14	07/12/2021	Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3278-3287
14	07/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3288-3300
14	07/20/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3301-3307



14	07/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3308-3316
14	07/23/2021	Minute Order from July 23, 2021, re: Order on Discovery Commissioner Report and Recommendation	AA3317-3318
14	07/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3319-3338
14	09/02/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3339-3351
14	09/16/2021	Court Minutes from September 16, 2021	AA3352-3353
14	09/16/2021	Transcript From September 16, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA3354-3361
14	09/17/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3362-3368
14	09/20/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3369-3384
14	09/20/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3385-3394
14	09/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3395-3413
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum	AA3414-3437
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Initial List of Witnesses	AA3438-3445
14	12/13/2021	Emily's Financial Disclosure Form	AA3446-3456
14	12/20/2021	Court Minutes From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA3457-3459
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit List with Exhibits Offered/Admitted/Denied/Objections	AA3460-3476
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1	AA3477-3480
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2	AA3481-3488
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 3	AA3489-3493

14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4	AA3494-3497
14-15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5	AA3498-3508
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6	AA3509-3515
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7	AA3516-3525
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8	AA3526-3532
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9	AA3533-3539
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10	AA3540-3546
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11	AA3547-3556
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12	AA3557-3580
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13	AA3580-3591
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14	AA3592-3602
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15	AA3603-3613
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 16	AA3614-3625
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17	AA3626-3638
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18	AA3639-3646
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19	AA3647-3653
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 20	AA3654-3659
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 21	AA3660-3669
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 22	AA3670-3677

15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 23	AA3678-3679
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 24	AA3680
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 25	AA3681
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 26	AA3682-3720
15-16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 27	AA3721-3799
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 28	AA3800-3802
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 29	AA3803-3805
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 30	AA3806-3824
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 31	AA3825-3841
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 32	AA3842-3853
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34	AA3853-3859
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 35	AA3860-3862
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 36	AA3863-3864
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37	AA3865-3866
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 38	AA3867-3869
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39	AA3870-3873
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40	AA3874-3935
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42	AA3937-3940
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45A (video)	

16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45B (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45C (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 53	AA3943-3945
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 54	AA3946
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 55	AA3947-3952
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 56	AA3953
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 57	AA3954-3963
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 58	AA3964-3966
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 59	AA3967-3971
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 60	AA3972-3982
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 61	AA3983-3984
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 62	AA3985
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 64	AA3986-3994
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 65	AA3995
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 66	AA3996-3997
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 69 (video)	
16-17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 72	AA4000-4009
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 73	AA4010-4011
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 74	AA4012-4013
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 75	AA4014-4015
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 76	AA4016-4017
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 77	AA4018-4019

17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 78	AA4020
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 79	AA4021
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 80	AA4022
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 82	AA4023-4026
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 83	AA4027-4030
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 84	AA4031-4035
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 89	AA4036-4064
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 91	AA4065-4068
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 92	AA4069
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 94	AA4070
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 97	AA4071
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 98	AA4072-4078
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 100	AA4079-4080
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 101	AA4081-4082
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 102	AA4083-4087
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 103	AA4088-4097
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 105	AA4098-4099
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 106	AA4100-4102
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 107	AA4103-4123
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 108	AA4124
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 109	AA4125-4177
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 110	AA4178-4192
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 111	AA4194-4205

17-18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 112	AA4206-4267
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 113	AA4268-4319
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 114	AA4320-4339
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 115	AA4340-4355
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 116	AA4356-4415
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 117	AA4416-4495
18-19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 118	AA4496-4541
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 119	AA4542-4559
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 120	AA4560-4603
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 121	AA4604-4605
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 122	AA4606-4608
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 123	AA4609-4613
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 124	AA4614-4617
19-20	12/20/2021	Transcript From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA4618-4862
20	12/21/2021	Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit "124"	AA4863-4865
20	12/23/2021	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4866-4897
20	12/23/2021	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4898-4932
20	01/20/2022	Notice of Appeal	AA4933-4935
20	01/20/2022	Case Appeal Statement	AA4936-4940

**INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX  
ALPHABETICAL ORDER**

<b><u>VOL.</u></b>	<b><u>DATE</u></b>	<b><u>PLEADING</u></b>	<b><u>BATES NO.</u></b>
1	03/25/2020	Acceptance of Service	AA0141-142
2	12/01/2020	Affidavit of Sandra L. Pomrenze	AA0334-336
5	02/08/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1044
8	03/03/2021	Affidavit of Service	AA1755
2	11/25/2020	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA0327-333
6	02/10/2021	Affidavit Regarding Grounds for Disqualification of Judge	AA1272-1351
13	06/11/2021	Amended Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3154-3156
10	04/22/2021	Amended Order After Hearing	AA2433-2440
1	04/09/2020	Answer and Counterclaim	AA0143-147
2	04/15/2020	Bradley Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0260-267
5	02/07/2021	Bradley Bellisario's Financial Disclosure Form	AA1034-1040
20	01/20/2022	Case Appeal Statement	AA4936-4940
3	01/11/2021	Certificate of Service	AA0584-586
8	03/02/2021	Certificate of Service	AA1753-1754
1	03/05/2020	Complaint for Divorce	AA0001-7
10	04/22/2021	Court Minutes from April 22, 2021	AA2431-2432
10	04/14/2021	Court Minutes from April 6, 2021	AA2380-2381
10	04/07/2021	Court Minutes from April 7, 2021	AA2271-2272
7	02/11/2021	Court Minutes from February 11, 2021	AA1542-1545
12	05/11/2021	Court Minutes from Hearing May 11, 2021	AA2789-2790
2	07/30/2020	Court Minutes from July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0315-316
14	07/07/2021	Court Minutes from July 7, 2021	AA3255-3256

13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 1:00 p.m.	AA3166-3167
13	06/16/2021	Court Minutes from June 16, 2021, Hearing at 10:00 a.m.	AA3157-3158
9	03/17/2021	Court Minutes from March 17, 2021	AA2052-2053
8	03/04/2021	Court Minutes from March 4, 2021	AA1798
13	05/18/2021	Court Minutes From May 18, 2021	AA3023-3025
14	12/20/2021	Court Minutes From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA3457-3459
2	11/24/2020	Court Minutes from November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0325-326
2	10/22/2020	Court Minutes from October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0321-324
14	09/16/2021	Court Minutes from September 16, 2021	AA3352-3353
4	01/25/2021	Court Minutes From Status Check on January 25, 2021	AA0856-858
8	03/09/2021	Decision and Order	AA1817-1823
9	03/22/2021	Decision and Order	AA2082-2084
4	01/20/2021	Decision and Order re: Peremptory Challenge	AA0827-829
8	03/09/2021	Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA1966-1979
10	04/08/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2318-2330
10	04/27/2021	Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2470-2478
9	04/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2142-2149
13-14	07/06/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3238-3254
14	09/02/2021	Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3339-3351



1	03/09/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0111-121
3	12/23/2020	Emily Bellisario Financial Disclosure Form	AA0556-566
14	12/13/2021	Emily's Financial Disclosure Form	AA3446-3456
1	03/13/2020	Errata to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0122-126
1	03/13/2020	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA0127-140
5	02/05/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1022-1033
8	03/09/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1950-1961
8	03/11/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1989-1997
7	02/26/2021	Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time on Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1731-1739
9	04/05/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for April 6, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.	AA2136-2141
5	02/09/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance of Hearing Set for February 11, 2021, at 1:30 p.m.	AA1154-1204
11	05/02/2021	Ex Parte Motion for Return of Children	AA2568-2600
1	03/09/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0042-110
2	04/29/2020	Exhibit Appendix to Reply	AA0284-300
5	02/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel	AA1073-1153
4-5	02/05/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, et al.	AA0932-1003
8	03/09/2021	Exhibits in Support of Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce	AA1878-1949

		Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify, et al.	AA1721-1730
12-13	05/17/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2935-3003
1	04/09/2020	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0158-249
9	03/30/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020 Order, et al.	AA2111-2120
7	02/23/2021	Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1689-1703
11-12	05/03/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2637-2759
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2401-2411
12	05/14/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In	AA2852-2912

		Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	
10	04/22/2021	Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2425-2430
20	12/23/2021	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4866-4897
13	05/19/2021	Information Regarding Therapy Provided By Anna Trujillo As Requested By Judge Marry Perry at Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3116-3135
10	04/14/2021	Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2331-2379
13	06/25/2021	Memorandum of Fees and Costs as Ordered	AA3168-3197
14	07/12/2021	Minute Order from July 12, 2021	AA3276-3277
14	07/23/2021	Minute Order from July 23, 2021, re: Order on Discovery Commissioner Report and Recommendation	AA3317-3318
10	04/08/2021	Minute Order of April 8, 2021	AA2316-2317
5	02/09/2021	Minute Order of February 9, 2021	AA1045-1046
13	05/18/2021	Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff)	AA3070-3115
11	05/01/2021	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held in Contempt	AA2528-2567
1	03/09/2020	Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0008-41
9	03/16/2021	Motion for Protective Order	AA2003-2051
11	05/03/2021	Motion for Protective Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoena's [sic], for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2619-2636

6-7	02/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order, and October 22, 2020, Order	AA1444-1537
10	04/08/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry	AA2285-2315
3-4	01/11/2021	Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020	AA0596-811
5	02/09/2021	Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1047-1072
6	02/11/2021	Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursant to NCJC 2.11	AA1352-1443
4	02/05/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA0888-931
8	03/09/2021	Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1834-1877
12	05/06/2021	Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device	AA2760-2778

2-3	12/10/2020	Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions	AA0348-545
5-6	02/09/2021	Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1205-1271
3	01/11/2021	Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge	AA0587-595
20	01/20/2022	Notice of Appeal	AA4933-4935
9	03/22/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to File Writ of Mandamus and Motion to Stay Proceedings	AA2085-2090
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Defendant's Intent to Seek Post-Order Relief	AA1962-1965
2	12/03/2020	Notice of Defendant's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0337-338
7	02/11/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Judge Mary Perry Hearing Any Argument Related to Hearing Scheduled for February 11, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.	AA1538/-1541
10	04/23/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Mary Perry Taking Any Further Action in This Matter	AA2452-2455
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation	AA2162-2165

7	02/26/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time	AA1740-1744
8-9	03/12/2021	Notice of Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time Dated 03/11/2021	AA1998-2002
4	01/20/2021	Notice of Department Reassignment	AA0830-832
13	05/21/2021	Notice of Deposition—Emily Bellisario	AA3136-3137
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Disclosure of Receivers and Certified Public Accountants	AA2826-2828
3	12/16/2020	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA0553-555
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA2456-2458
13	06/10/2021	Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference	AA3151-3153
10	04/26/2021	Notice of Entry of Amended Order After Hearing	AA2459-2469
8	03/09/2021	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	AA1824-1833
20	12/23/2021	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decree of Divorce	AA4898-4932
8	03/10/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA1983-1988
11	05/03/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2605-2618
12	05/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA2816-2825
14	07/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3308-3316
14	09/20/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing	AA3385-3394
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3215-3224
13	06/28/2021	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3225-3237
14	07/12/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3288-3300

2	07/31/2020	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 31, 2020	AA0318-320
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Order for Supervised Visitations	AA2170-2174
4	01/25/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the July 30, 2020, Hearing	AA0864-874
3	12/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Order From the November 24, 2020, Hearing	AA0546-552
4	01/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order From the October 22, 2020, Hearing	AA0840-847
14	09/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3395-3413
7-8	03/02/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time	AA1748-1752
9	04/06/2021	Notice of Entry of Protection Order Against Domestic Violence	AA2166-2169
2	06/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order dated June 11, 2020	AA0308-314
4	01/15/2021	Notice of Hearing and Order Regarding Procedures	AA0822-826
14	07/09/2021	Notice of Intentional Misrepresentations by Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts Regarding Dr. Stephanie Holland	AA3266-3275
12	05/10/2021	Notice of Plaintiff's Filing her Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021	AA2786-2788
2	12/03/2020	Notice of Plaintiff's Non-Compliance with Court Order	AA0339-343
9	03/23/2021	Notice of Rescheduling Hearings	AA2091-2092
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Scheduling Status Check	AA0839
12	05/13/2021	Notice of Therapist	AA2829-2831
4	01/21/2021	Notice of Vacating Hearing	AA0838
11	05/03/2021	Objection to Defendant's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas	AA2601-2604

12	05/14/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., Should Not Be Held In Contempt of Court; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2832-2851
13	06/02/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 (Parental Kidnapping by Plaintiff); and Countermotion to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA3138-3150
9	03/30/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Amended July 30, 2020, Order, July 30, 2020, Order and October 22, 2020 Order; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2093-2110
4	01/25/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on November 24, 2020; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0875-887
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2382-2400
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA1704-1720
10	04/22/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; And Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2412-2424
12	05/17/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; and Countermotion to	AA2913-2934



		Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief, Declaration of Emily Bellisario	
3	12/24/2020	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Motion for Sanctions; And Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0567-581
4	01/13/2021	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Peremptory Challenge; and Countermotion for and Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA0812-821
1	04/09/2020	Opposition to Motion for Primary Physical Custody, et al.	AA0148-157
7	02/23/2021	Opposition to Motion to Strike Hearsay and Misrepresentations of Material Fact Regarding Plaintiff's Notice of Motion et al.; and Countermotion for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1671-1688
9	03/17/2021	Opposition to Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA2054-2066
7	02/19/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Protection Order, Joining Bradley's Business as a Party to the Action, Appoint a Receiver for the Business, Deeming Bradley a Vexatious Litigation [sic] and Consolidating Civil Cases to This Action, Modifying Legal Custody, Modifying Visitation, for Mental Health Evaluation, for Order to Show Cause and to Hold Bradley in Contempt, to Reduce Child Support Arrears to Judgment, to Reduce Temporary Support to Judgment, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA1558-1661
13	05/17/2021	Opposition to Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Protection Order Relating to Bradley's Discovery Requests and Subpoenas,	AA3014-3022

		for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	
7	02/16/2021	Order	AA1555-1557
8	03/10/2021	Order After Hearing	AA1980-19852
11	04/30/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2517-2527
12	05/11/2021	Order After Hearing	AA2809-2815
14	07/20/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3301-3307
14	09/17/2021	Order After Hearing	AA3362-3368
2	12/10/2020	Order After Hearing of November 24, 2020	AA0344-347
4	01/24/2021	Order After Hearing on July 30, 2020	AA0848-855
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on June 16, 2021	AA3198-3204
13	06/26/2021	Order After Hearing on May 18, 2021	AA3205-3214
4	01/20/2021	Order After Hearing on October 22, 2020	AA0833-837
14	07/12/2021	Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant	AA3278-3287
9	04/06/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2150
12	05/11/2021	Order for Supervised Visitation	AA2808
2	07/30/2020	Order for Supervised Visitation dated July 30, 2020	AA0317
9	04/06/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2151-2161
10	04/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA2441-2451
14	07/23/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3319-3338
14	09/20/2021	Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations	AA3369-3384
1-2	04/13/2020	Order Setting Case Management Conference	AA0250-259
5	02/08/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1041-1043

7	03/02/2021	Order Shortening Time	AA1745-1747
3	01/11/2021	Peremptory Challenge	AA0582-583
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1	AA3477-3480
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10	AA3540-3546
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 100	AA4079-4080
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 101	AA4081-4082
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 102	AA4083-4087
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 103	AA4088-4097
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 105	AA4098-4099
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 106	AA4100-4102
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 107	AA4103-4123
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 108	AA4124
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 109	AA4125-4177
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11	AA3547-3556
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 110	AA4178-4192
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 111	AA4194-4205
17-18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 112	AA4206-4267
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 113	AA4268-4319
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 114	AA4320-4339
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 115	AA4340-4355

18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 116	AA4356-4415
18	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 117	AA4416-4495
18-19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 118	AA4496-4541
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 119	AA4542-4559
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12	AA3557-3580
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 120	AA4560-4603
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 121	AA4604-4605
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 122	AA4606-4608
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 123	AA4609-4613
19	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 124	AA4614-4617
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13	AA3580-3591
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14	AA3592-3602
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15	AA3603-3613
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 16	AA3614-3625
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17	AA3626-3638
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18	AA3639-3646
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19	AA3647-3653
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2	AA3481-3488
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 20	AA3654-3659

15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 21	AA3660-3669
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 22	AA3670-3677
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 23	AA3678-3679
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 24	AA3680
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 25	AA3681
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 26	AA3682-3720
15-16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 27	AA3721-3799
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 28	AA3800-3802
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 29	AA3803-3805
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 3	AA3489-3493
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 30	AA3806-3824
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 31	AA3825-3841
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 32	AA3842-3853
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34	AA3853-3859
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 35	AA3860-3862
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 36	AA3863-3864
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37	AA3865-3866
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 38	AA3867-3869
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39	AA3870-3873
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4	AA3494-3497

16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40	AA3874-3935
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42	AA3937-3940
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45A (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45B (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45C (video)	
14-15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5	AA3498-3508
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 53	AA3943-3945
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 54	AA3946
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 55	AA3947-3952
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 56	AA3953
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 57	AA3954-3963
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 58	AA3964-3966
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 59	AA3967-3971
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6	AA3509-3515
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 60	AA3972-3982
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 61	AA3983-3984
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 62	AA3985
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 64	AA3986-3994
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 65	AA3995
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 66	AA3996-3997
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 (video)	
16	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 69 (video)	

15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7	AA3516-3525
16-17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 72	AA4000-4009
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 73	AA4010-4011
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 74	AA4012-4013
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 75	AA4014-4015
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 76	AA4016-4017
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 77	AA4018-4019
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 78	AA4020
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 79	AA4021
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8	AA3526-3532
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 80	AA4022
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 82	AA4023-4026
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 83	AA4027-4030
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 84	AA4031-4035
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 89	AA4036-4064
15	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9	AA3533-3539
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 91	AA4065-4068
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 92	AA4069
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 94	AA4070
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 97	AA4071
17	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Exhibit 98	AA4072-4078
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Initial List of Witnesses	AA3438-3445

20	12/21/2021	Plaintiff's Notice of Filing Exhibits Under Seal Exhibit "124"	AA4863-4865
9	03/30/2021	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order; and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief	AA2121-2135
14	11/19/2021	Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum	AA3414-3437
14	12/20/2021	Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit List with Exhibits Offered/Admitted/Denied/Objections	AA3460-3476
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Counterclaim	AA0301-303
7	02/23/2021	Reply to Judge Mary Perry's Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1662-1670
2	04/29/2020	Reply to Opposition	AA0268-283
8	03/04/2021	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge Pursuant to NCJC 2.11; Countermotion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA1756-1797
10-11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief From Order After Hearing Regarding Hearing on January 25, 2021 and Motion for Leave to File Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Mary Perry; and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2479-2508
11	04/29/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Against Domestic Violence Entered April 6, 2021; and Countermotion for An Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2509-2516
13	05/17/2021	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Remove S.C.R.A.M. Device; And Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Drug Test Defendant, for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs, and Related Relief	AA3004-3013
7	02/16/2021	Response to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge	AA1549-1554



5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Child Support With Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1004-1013
5	02/05/2021	Schedule of Arrears for Temporary Support with Confirmation Pursuant to EDCR 5.508	AA1014-1021
2	06/10/2020	Stipulation and Order dated June 10, 2020	AA0304-307
12	05/10/2021	Supplemental [sic] to Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Relief from Order After Hearing Regarding the Hearing on January 25, 2021, and Motion for Leave to File a Renewed Motion to Disqualify Judge Perry and Countermotion for Leave of Court to Refinance, to Deem Defendant a Vexatious Litigant, Waive Donna's House Fees, and for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	AA2779-2785
13	05/18/2021	Transcript from Hearing on May 18, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3026-3069
19-20	12/20/2021	Transcript From Non-Jury Trial on December 20, 2021	AA4618-4862
14	09/16/2021	Transcript From September 16, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA3354-3361
9-10	04/06/2021	Transcript re: April 6, 2021, Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA2175-2270
13	06/16/2021	Transcript re: Court Hearing on June 16, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. re: All Pending Motions	AA3159-3165
7	02/11/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on February 11, 2021, on All Pending Motions	AA1546-1548
4	01/25/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on January 25, 2021, Status Check	AA0859-863
14	07/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on July 7, 2021 re: All Pending Motions	AA3257-3265
9	03/17/2021	Transcript re: Hearing on Motion to Compel Discovery on March 17, 2021	AA2067-2081
10	04/07/2021	Transcript re: Hearing re: Status Check	AA2273-2284
8	03/04/2021	Transcript re: March 4, 2021, Court Hearing on All Pending Motions	AA1799-1816
12	05/11/2021	Transcripts from May 11, 2021, Hearing re: Return Hearing	AA2791-2807

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, an employee of McFarling Law Group, hereby certify that on the 8th day of April, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of this Appellant's Appendix Volume 14 as follows:

☒ via the Supreme Court's electronic filing and service system (eFlex):

Amanda Roberts, Esq.  
efile@lvfamilylaw.com

/s/ Crystal Beville  
Crystal Beville

1 **ORDR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P/ Discovery

18 **ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND**  
19 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

20 The Court, having reviewed the above report and recommendations prepared  
21 by the Discovery Commissioner and,

22 \_\_\_\_\_ No timely objection having been filed,

23 \_\_\_\_\_ After reviewing the objections to the Report and Recommendations and  
24 good cause appearing,

25 \* \* \*

26 AND

1 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
2 Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.

3  
4 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
5 Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following  
6 manner.  
7 (attached hereto)

8 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the Discovery  
9 Commissioner for  
10 reconsideration or further action.

11 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's  
12 Report is set for \_\_\_\_\_, 2021, at \_\_\_\_\_:\_\_\_\_\_ a.m.

13  
14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
5

6  
7 EMILY BELLISARIO,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

10 vs.

Dept No.: P / Discovery

11 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,  
12 Defendant  
13

14  
15 **NOTICE**

16 Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within  
17 fourteen (14) days of being served with a report, any party may file and serve  
18 written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with  
19 an objection, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party  
20 may file and serve responding party within seven (7) days after being served with  
21 objections.  
22

23  
24 A copy of foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
25 Recommendations was:  
26  
27  
28

1                   \_\_\_ Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant on the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_, 2021, to the  
2 following address:  
3

4                   ✓ Electronically filed and served on the 6th day of July, 2021

5                   Amanda Roberts, Esq. - [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

6                   Bradley John Bellisario (Pro-Se) - [bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
7

8                   The Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
9 deemed received at the time it is e-served to a party or the party's attorney.  
10  
11 Alternatively, the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
12 deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or a party's attorney; or  
13 three (3) days after the Clerk of the Court deposits a copy of the Report and  
14 Recommendations in a folder of the party's attorney in the Clerk's Office. EDCR  
15 2.34(f).  
16

17  
18                   Dated this 6th day of July, 2021.  
19

20                   *Tracy George*  
21                   \_\_\_\_\_  
22                   Commissioner Designee  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA****Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****July 07, 2021**

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**July 07, 2021      10:00 AM      All Pending Motions**

**HEARD BY:**      Perry, Mary      **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

**COURT CLERK:**      Medina, Kyle

**PARTIES PRESENT:**

**Emily Bellisario, Counter Defendant, Plaintiff, Present      Amanda M Roberts, ESQ, Attorney, Present**

**Bradley John Bellisario, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Present      Pro Se**

**Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present**

**Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present**

**Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present**

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO NRS200.359 (PARENTAL KIDNAPPING BY PLAINTIFF); AND COUNTERMOTION TO DEEM DEFENDANT VEXATIOUS LITIGANT AND FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS...MOTION: MOTION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO NRS 200.359 (PARENTAL KIDNAPPING BY PLAINTIFF)

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

Court reviewed the pleadings on file. The Court noted that the Plaintiff had good reason to withhold the Minor Children for the fear of her life. Defendant stated argument regarding the timely matter for the Plaintiff's response. Court stated that the extension of time to file the response was granted due the factor of Covid.

Court noted that Dr.Ponzo's report has been reviewed and further discussed the Defendant participating with Dr. Holland so that a report can be filed with the Court.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Defendant shall cooperate with Dr. Holland and a report shall be filed with the Court.

The Defendant's Motion for Order pursuant to NRS 200.359 shall be DENIED

The Plaintiff's Countermotion to Deem the Defendant Vexatious Litigant shall be taken UNDER ADVISEMENT and the Court shall a decision by end of next week July 16, 2021.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

Sep 16, 2021 9:00AM Return Hearing  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary



FILED

AUG 19 2021

*Amanda A. Roberts*  
CLERK OF COURT

ORIGINAL

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
FAMILY DIVISION  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

EMILY BELLISARIO, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
vs. )  
)  
BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO, )  
)  
Defendant. )

CASE NO. D-20-605263-D

DEPT. P

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY PERRY  
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING MOTIONS

WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2021

APPEARANCES:

(PARTICIPANTS APPEAR VIRTUALLY)

The Plaintiff: EMILY BELLISARIO  
For the Plaintiff: AMANDA M. ROBERTS, ESQ.  
4411 S. Pecos Rd.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
(702) 474-7007  
  
The Defendant: BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO, ESQ.  
For the Defendant: PRO SE

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2021

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 (THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS MULTIPLE INDISCERNIBLES  
4 DUE TO POOR RECORDING QUALITY)

5 (THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 10:33:43)

6  
7 THE CLERK: We're on.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. We are on the  
9 record in case number D-20-605263-D, Bellisario versus  
10 Bellisario. Good morning. Ms. Roberts, your appearance,  
11 please.

12 MS. ROBERTS: Amanda Roberts, bar number 9294, on  
13 behalf of the Plaintiff Emily Bellisario. She is logged in  
14 remotely Your Honor through BlueJeans.

15 THE COURT: Fantastic. And good morning, Mr.  
16 Bellisario. How are you doing today? You're on mute, sir.

17 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I have read  
19 everything on here. I have researched NRS 200.359. It  
20 requires there to be an active order for us to -- to do  
21 anything under that. There was not an active order. An  
22 agreement is not an order of the court. Therefore, the -- the  
23 motion for an order pursuant to 200.359 is denied.

24 THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking you what are you talking

1 about? I don't understand what you're talking about there's  
2 no order. In January when she didn't give them to me for  
3 four-and-a-half months?

4 THE COURT: You were under -- sir, you were on  
5 supervised visits. There -- okay. You were talking about  
6 what was taking place last year in April.

7 THE DEFENDANT: I'm talking about the entire  
8 thing --

9 THE COURT: Yeah.

10 THE DEFENDANT: -- when there's been -- and there  
11 was an order in place in January.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 THE DEFENDANT: She didn't --

14 THE COURT: Sir.

15 THE DEFENDANT: -- get them to me for --

16 THE COURT: Sir.

17 THE DEFENDANT: -- four months.

18 THE COURT: But they're -- I -- I -- I'm denying  
19 that motion for the simple reason when you're taking baseball  
20 bats to people's property, when you're violating TPOs, when  
21 you -- you know, your actions are really concerning. She had  
22 every --

23 THE DEFENDANT: So you're (indiscernible) --

24 THE COURT: -- reason to fear --

1 THE DEFENDANT: -- something that is not --  
2 THE COURT: She had every reason --  
3 THE DEFENDANT: -- decent (indiscernible) --  
4 THE COURT: Sir, don't over talk me. Don't ever --  
5 THE DEFENDANT: Then make her --  
6 THE COURT: -- over talk me.  
7 THE DEFENDANT: -- (indiscernible) the law.  
8 THE COURT: Do -- do not -- and no. 200.359. If  
9 she has a good reason to be withholding the children, she  
10 does. She went and she filed for a TPO. They immediately  
11 filed a motion. Your motion is denied. We are not filing  
12 felony cu -- we are not going to file --  
13 THE DEFENDANT: Where does it say --  
14 THE COURT: -- felony -- sir, don't you over talk  
15 me. We are not filing felony charges on her. You have done  
16 absolutely nothing to push this case forward. You have filed  
17 motion after motion after motion, complaint after complaint  
18 after complaint, against everybody.  
19 THE DEFENDANT: I know. And you've got some against  
20 you too. So --  
21 THE COURT: Well --  
22 THE DEFENDANT: -- let's keep it going.  
23 THE COURT: -- and -- and guess what? Until you  
24 start participating, you yourself are not going to be able to

1 move forward much. You need to start participating in this  
2 case. Filing other stuff out there is not going to work. I  
3 mean, didn't you learn what Donna Wilburn -- when it was found  
4 against you?

5 THE DEFENDANT: She has -- she's in a little hot  
6 water now. So don't worry about that.

7 THE COURT: No. No.

8 THE DEFENDANT: The --

9 THE COURT: She's not because the arbitration  
10 decided against you. Okay.

11 THE DEFENDANT: I know there's other --

12 THE COURT: Vexatious --

13 THE DEFENDANT: -- (indiscernible) --

14 THE COURT: But sir, I'm not here to argue with you.  
15 The -- as far as the motion for the vexatious litigant, we --  
16 I'm going take that under advisement. I will have a decision  
17 by probably the end of next week.

18 THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well, I'm going to put  
19 it on the record and say, one, she didn't file that timely,  
20 and, two, she has to file for a leave to file that motion  
21 because she already --

22 THE COURT: She had --

23 THE DEFENDANT: -- filed --

24 THE COURT: -- COVID --

1 THE DEFENDANT: -- a couple --  
2 THE COURT: -- sir, and I granted her leave. She  
3 did do a motion for leave to extend the time to respond. I  
4 granted that motion. So it was timely --  
5 THE DEFENDANT: You didn't --  
6 THE COURT: -- filed because she did provide proof  
7 the -- of the COVID.  
8 THE DEFENDANT: And additionally, she provided zero  
9 other details than just copying and pasting what she put  
10 before in --  
11 THE COURT: And --  
12 THE DEFENDANT: -- a motion.  
13 THE COURT: -- you didn't. That's all you've done  
14 is --  
15 THE DEFENDANT: No.  
16 THE COURT: -- copy and paste. That's --  
17 THE DEFENDANT: No.  
18 THE COURT: -- all you have done was copy and paste  
19 everything. I have read --  
20 THE DEFENDANT: That's (indiscernible).  
21 THE COURT: -- every -- we have read every one of --  
22 THE DEFENDANT: (Indiscernible) --  
23 THE COURT: -- your complaints, and it's all copy  
24 and paste.

1 THE DEFENDANT: To get a record of how corrupt this  
2 has been and how wrong Amanda --

3 THE COURT: Now --

4 THE DEFENDANT: -- Roberts has done everything.

5 THE COURT: Please --

6 THE DEFENDANT: You're telling me --

7 THE COURT: Please -- okay. I went through that  
8 quickly because what I'm about to say is probably the most  
9 important part of this hearing. I have read Nick Ponzo's  
10 report. I find stuff in it very troubling. Sir, I really  
11 need you to go get to Dr. Holland, please. Okay. I -- I want  
12 that second eye on that. You know, I -- I understand your  
13 concerns. I -- you know, please -- you all stipulated to use  
14 Dr. Holland. Use Dr. Holland. I -- you know, I need her  
15 report so I can compare these two. I need that report to  
16 compare it.

17 It's not like it's costing you anything because  
18 Emily is going to be -- she said she's going to be paying for  
19 it. So please participate. I need something to compare with  
20 what Dr. Ponzo has said. I need to see if it's getting worse.  
21 Need to see if it's getting better. All right. Because that  
22 report does concern me. I need you to participate, please.  
23 Please. I'm asking you to. You know, I can't force you. I  
24 can order it. But you've --

1 THE DEFENDANT: Are you --  
2 THE COURT: -- already shown you don't follow orders  
3 that well. So I'm asking you to please participate. I  
4 can't --  
5 THE DEFENDANT: I know you keep --  
6 THE COURT: -- do --  
7 THE DEFENDANT: -- saying --  
8 THE COURT: -- anything --  
9 THE DEFENDANT: -- that.  
10 THE COURT: Sir, I can't do anything more than what  
11 I'm doing right now if you don't participate.  
12 THE DEFENDANT: All I'm saying is you keep telling  
13 me I haven't followed orders. You said I didn't follow  
14 Pomrenze's orders? There was never an order filed. That's --  
15 THE COURT: Then if there --  
16 THE DEFENDANT: -- what I keep telling you.  
17 THE COURT: Then if there was never an order filed,  
18 then how are you asking me to add -- to do the NRS 200.359?  
19 Orders --  
20 THE DEFENDANT: Because --  
21 THE COURT: -- were filed.  
22 THE DEFENDANT: -- in --  
23 THE COURT: So --  
24 THE DEFENDANT: -- January it was filed.



1 THE COURT: -- please --

2 THE DEFENDANT: At that point --

3 THE COURT: -- please --

4 THE DEFENDANT: -- it was filed.

5 THE COURT: You know, that's why I'm asking you  
6 right now, please. You want me to consider this stuff, please  
7 cooperate. That's all I'm asking. You want me to consider  
8 your stuff, please cooperate. That's all I'm asking. Ms.  
9 Roberts, will you prepare the order? And I'll get the order  
10 prepared -- you know, I'll make my decision on the vexatious  
11 litigant within a week.

12 MS. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. You all have a good day.

14 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:39:53)

15 \* \* \* \* \*

16 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and  
17 correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-  
18 entitled case to the best of my ability.

19

20

*Adrian Medrano*


21

22

Adrian N. Medrano

23

24



1 **NOTC**

2 BRADLEY J. BELLISARIO  
3 11005 Salford Drive  
4 Las Vegas, NV 89144  
5 T: (702) 936-4800  
6 F: (702) 936-4801  
7 E: BradB@BellisarioLaw.com  
8 *Defendant Pro Se*

6 DISTRICT COURT

7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

9 EMILY BELLISARIO,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

13 Defendant

Case No.: D-20-605263-D  
Dept No.: P

**NOTICE OF INTENTIONAL  
MISREPRESENTATIONS BY PLAINTIFF  
AND AMANDA ROBERTS REGARDING  
DR. STEPHANIE HOLLAND**

14  
15 COMES NOW the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, *pro se*, and hereby provides notice that  
16 Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts provided knowingly false testimony and  
17 arguments to this Court on May 18, 2021 regarding Dr. Stephanie Holland.

18  
19 On May 18<sup>th</sup> 2021 the parties attended hearing via BlueJeans regarding various Motions.  
20 During the hearing Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel, Amanda Roberts, Esq., vehemently contended  
21 that Dr. Stephanie Holland needed to perform Defendant's Mental Examination, despite objection  
22 by Defendant for multiple reasons. Among those reasons, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel  
23 represented to the Court that Dr. Stephanie Holland had already been paid, Dr. Stephanie Holland  
24 had already received documentation to review from Plaintiff, that Dr. Stephanie Holland had  
25 already begun working on Defendant's mental examination through the use of the documentation,  
26 and that Dr. Stephanie Holland's office had already begun billing for Defendant's mental  
27

28 NOTICE OF INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATIONS BY PLAINTIFF AND AMANDA ROBERTS  
REGARDING DR. STEPHANIE HOLLAND - 1

1 examination. Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts represented to the Court that Dr. Stephanie Holland  
2 must be used or Plaintiff would be prejudiced for the aforementioned reasons. However, Plaintiff  
3 and Amanda Roberts' representations to the court were knowingly false and deliberately made to  
4 alter the Court's decision and prejudice Defendant.

5  
6 On Friday May 21, 2021 I contacted Hannah Skaggs, Office Manager for Dr. Stephanie  
7 Holland. I informed Hannah that (according to Amanda Roberts and Plaintiff) Dr. Holland was in  
8 possession of documents from Plaintiff and had begun Defendant's psychological evaluation. To  
9 my surprise, on Friday May 21, 2021, Hannah Skaggs replied that:

10  
11 "Thank you for updating us on this matter. At this time, no services have been provided  
12 as we were waiting for your copy of the signed consent forms. There is no billing information as  
13 services have not started." See Exhibit A, Page 2 of 5.

14 Additionally, Hannah Skaggs stated "I have attached the two documents that we received.  
15 No additional documents were provided." Attached to Hannah's email were the Stipulation and  
16 Order from June 10, 2020 and the Order After Hearing dated April 30, 2021 (which would have  
17 been provided AFTER the hearing on April 6, 2021). See Id.

18  
19 The communications with Dr. Holland's office are directly in contradiction with Plaintiff  
20 and Amanda Roberts' representations to the Court.

21 ///

22  
23  
24 ///

25  
26 ///

27  
28 NOTICE OF INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATIONS BY PLAINTIFF AND AMANDA ROBERTS  
REGARDING DR. STEPHANIE HOLLAND - 2

1 Transcripts have been ordered regarding this hearing and are currently being prepared to  
2 demonstrate Plaintiff and Amanda Roberts' blatant misrepresentation of material facts regarding  
3 Dr. Stephanie Holland's services.  
4

5  
6 DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of July 2021.

7 BY: /s/ Bradley Bellisario  
8 Bradley Bellisario  
9 *Defendant Pro Se*  
10 11005 Salford Drive  
11 Las Vegas, NV 89144  
12 T: 309.397.6734  
13 E: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

NOTICE OF INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATIONS BY PLAINTIFF AND AMANDA ROBERTS  
REGARDING DR. STEPHANIE HOLLAND - 3



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1. I am the Defendant in the above-entitled action, and I am over the age of eighteen (18);

2. I have personal knowledge of the contents, and know the contents to be true and correct;  
and

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Bradley Bellisario  
Bradley Bellisario, *Defendant*

[illegible]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Amanda Roberts  
ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, NV 89121  
Email: [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)  
*Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario*

28 NOTICE OF INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATIONS BY PLAINTIFF AND AMANDA ROBERTS  
REGARDING DR. STEPHANIE HOLLAND - 5

# **EXHIBIT A**

**Subject:** Re: Dr. Stephanie Holland Evaluation Information  
**Date:** Friday, May 21, 2021 at 12:19:53 PM Pacific Daylight Time  
**From:** Scheduling Email  
**To:** bradley bellisario  
**Attachments:** SAO 061020 efiled BELLISARO.pdf, OAH 043021 BELLISARIO.pdf

I have attached the two documents that we received. No additional documents were provided.

*Hannah Skaggs*  
*Office Manager*

***Scheduling Department***  
Dr. Stephanie Holland, LTD  
dba Desert Psychological  
3067 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89120  
(Inside Longford Office Park)  
(P) [702-650-6508](tel:702-650-6508) (F) [702-920-8865](tel:702-920-8865)

This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Fri, May 21, 2021 at 12:13 PM bradley bellisario <[bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)> wrote:  
We're any other documents provided by the other party?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 21, 2021, at 11:59 AM, Scheduling Email <[Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com](mailto:Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com)> wrote:

Hello,

I would like to add that we did receive the signed consent and retainer payment from the other party.

*Hannah Skaggs*  
*Office Manager*

***Scheduling Department***  
Dr. Stephanie Holland, LTD  
dba Desert Psychological  
3067 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89120  
(Inside Longford Office Park)  
(P) [702-650-6508](tel:702-650-6508) (F) [702-920-8865](tel:702-920-8865)

This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications



Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Fri, May 21, 2021 at 11:57 AM Scheduling Email <[Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com](mailto:Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com)> wrote:

Hello,

Thank you for updating us on this matter. At this time, no services have been provided as we were waiting for your copy of the signed consent forms. There is no billing information as services have not started. Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Respectfully,

*Hannah Skaggs*  
*Office Manager*

**Scheduling Department**  
Dr. Stephanie Holland, LTD  
dba Desert Psychological  
3067 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89120  
(Inside Longford Office Park)  
(P) [702-650-6508](tel:702-650-6508) (F) [702-920-8865](tel:702-920-8865)

This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Fri, May 21, 2021 at 11:22 AM bradley bellisario <[bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)> wrote:

Good morning,

In this matter we are in the process of obtaining another psychiatrist. I was informed that Dr. Holland already started on my psychological examination and billed costs accordingly. Please submit a copy of any billing and any documents provided to Dr. Holland for MY examination. If you require a subpoena please let me know today and I will have a subpoena prepared asap.

Bradley Bellisario.

**From:** Scheduling Email <[Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com](mailto:Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com)>  
**Date:** Thursday, April 15, 2021 at 10:44 AM  
**To:** bradley bellisario <[bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Dr. Stephanie Holland Evaluation Information

Good morning,

I apologize for the delay. It is my understanding that this is court ordered; however, here are the court minutes that were provided to me yesterday regarding the evaluations (pending the court order).

*Hannah Skaggs*  
*Office Manager*

***Scheduling Department***

Dr. Stephanie Holland, LTD  
dba Desert Psychological  
3067 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89120  
(Inside Longford Office Park)  
(P) [702-650-6508](tel:702-650-6508) (F) [702-920-8865](tel:702-920-8865)

This message and accompanying documents are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and contain information intended for the specific individual(s) only. This information is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, copying, or taking any action based on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message and any attachments.

On Thu, Apr 15, 2021 at 10:26 AM bradley bellisario <[bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)> wrote:

Still waiting on you to send over that court order.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 14, 2021, at 3:02 PM, bradley bellisario <[bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)> wrote:

Please send that over. Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 14, 2021, at 10:18 AM, bradley bellisario <[bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)> wrote:

Please forward me a copy of that court order. Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 14, 2021, at 10:05 AM, Scheduling Email <[Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com](mailto:Scheduling@desertpsychnv.com)> wrote:

Good morning,

In reference to the court order regarding the psychological evaluations to be conducted by Dr. Stephanie Holland, Ltd., we wanted to inform you that the other party in your case has paid for the evaluation to be performed on you.

Our office is in need of confirmation if you would like to move forward with the evaluation on the other party. We had previously forwarded information regarding fee schedule and an estimated cost. Please let us know of your decision by tomorrow, Thursday April 15 2021, at 12:00pm.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this information, contact our office by replying to this email or calling our office at 702-650-6508.

Thank you,

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

July 12, 2021

---

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**July 12, 2021      11:00 AM      Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary      **COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter      Pro Se  
Claimant, not present  
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present  
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,      Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present  
not present

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

MINUTE ORDER NO HEARING HELD

D-20-605263-D  
Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

At the hearing on May 18, 2021, the Court Ordered that the Defendant shall choose 3 people from the prior Court's list which the Department's Judicial Executive Assistant will provide to perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts

PRINT DATE:	07/12/2021	Page 1 of 2	Minutes Date:	July 12, 2021
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

AA3276

by Friday May 21, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland.

Upon the Department's inquiry into the Court List of Providers on May 18, 2021, it was discovered that the Court no longer held such a list. Unfortunately, Defendant was not in fact notified of this nor alternative orders provided.

On July 9, 2021 the Defendant reached out to the Department to inquire about the Court list since one had never been provided to him. The Department was able to obtain the list from the year 2020.

Due to the error in communication on the part of the Court, and to ensure Defendant is able to fairly exercise the right to find an alternative provider for the Court Ordered Psychological Evaluation per the May 18, 2021 hearing, it is hereby ordered:

Defendant shall choose 3 people from the list provided to him by the Court to perform a psychological evaluation not to exceed the price of \$4,000.00 and provide the list to Attorney Roberts by Thursday July 15, 2021 at 12:00 pm. The Defendant shall be required to reimburse the Plaintiff for the amount she has already paid to Dr. Holland.

A copy of this minute order shall be served on the parties or their attorneys.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

September 16, 2021 9:00 AM Return Hearing  
Perry, Mary  
Courtroom 23

PRINT DATE:	07/12/2021	Page 2 of 2	Minutes Date:	July 12, 2021
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**AA3277**

1       **ORDR**

2  
3  
4                   **DISTRICT COURT; FAMILY DIVISION**  
                      **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

5                   \* \* \* \* \*

6       EMILY BELLISARIO,                    )  
  )       Case No.: D-20-605263-D  
  )       Dept. P  
7                -vs.-                            )  
  )  
8       BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,        )       Date: 7/7/21  
  )       Time: 10:00 am  
9    )  
  Defendant. )

10                   **ORDER DEEMING DEFENDANT A VEXATIONS LITIGANT**

11  
12               This matter having come on regularly before the Court, via Blue Jeans  
13 video conference, as it pertains to the multiple motions /countermotions of the  
14 Plaintiff to have the Defendant deemed a vexatious litigant, and the Defendant's  
15 opposition(s) thereto; and the Plaintiff personally appearing via BlueJeans and  
16 represented by Amanda Roberts, Esq.; and the Defendant, self-represented  
17 appearing personally via BlueJeans; and the Court, having reviewed all the  
18 pleading, motions, oppositions and other papers filed herein, Hereby Finds:

19                   **FINDINGS OF FACT/FACTORS**

20               1. Bradley Bellisario ("Bradley"), the Defendant in the divorce action,  
21 and at the time of filing the below civil lawsuits was an active Nevada attorney  
22 (he was recently suspended) has instituted multiple actions, all arising under the  
23 same set of facts stemming from the divorce action, which commenced March 5,  
24 2020 by Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario ("Emily"). Bradley has acted in a belligerent  
25 and unprofessional manner to opposing counsel and their staff, creating  
26 communication issue. Anyone who has engaged with Emily received a lawsuit,  
27 which include, but are not limited to:



1 (A) Related Protective Order Applications:

2 Bradley Bellisario was denied multiple TPO's against Emily Bellisario  
3 in Cases T-20-204245-T (filed 3/9/20); T-20-204723-T (filed 4/6/20) and T-21-  
4 211921-T (filed 2/24/01).

5 Emily Bellisario received a TPO, which is currently active until  
6 5/20/22- Case #: T-20-206639-T (filed 2/5/20); per the Order from the  
7 7/30/2020 hearing by the former Judicial Officer should there be any acts of  
8 violence, the TPO would automatically be extended to 5/20/22. Acts of violence  
9 occurred, culminating the currently pending criminal matter against Bradley.  
10 The current Judicial Officer affirmed the prior order of extension.

11 (B) A-20-812996-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Emily Bellisario; Civil  
12 action-filed 3/30/20, alleging multiple acts of domestic issues, as recited also in  
13 the divorce action; Matter open.

14 (C) A-20-815348-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Donna Wilburn (therapist):  
15 Civil action filed 5/20/2020, alleging in February 2020, for Wilburn's failure to  
16 contact Bradley regarding treatment of the parties' minor son. Arbitration in  
17 favor of Donna Wilburn (filed 5/30/21) for damages on complaint of \$5,000 and  
18 her counterclaim for abuse of process of \$2,500.

19 (D) A-20-825422-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Anna Trujillo (therapist):  
20 Civil action filed 11/25/2020, alleging in April 2020, for Trujillo's failure to  
21 contact Bradley regarding treatment of the parties' minor son, utilizing the same  
22 assertions as in the Wilburn matter above. This matter was never served and the  
23 time to serve has passed.

24 (E) A-20-825505-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Marathon Law Group,  
25 AAA Flooring, Roberts Stoffel Law Group, Amanda Roberts, Esq., LVMPD,  
26 Clark County (filed 11/26/2020) Marathon Law was Emily Bellisario's initial  
27 divorce attorney and Amanda Roberts, Esq. was Emily's second and current  
28 counsel; AAA Flooring is owned by Emily Bellisario's father; the issue was

1 Marathon's filing of what Bradley's filing of a Financial Disclosure Form, delay  
2 in orders being signed and the like in the divorce action; LVMPD as to false  
3 arrest for his violation of an active TPO. This matter was never served- and the  
4 time to serve has passed.

5 (F) A-20-825505-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Amanda Roberts, Esq. filed  
6 11/26/20; alleging claims arising from the divorce matter and, *inter alia*, Emily  
7 Bellisario's claims regarding domestic violence; the matter was dismissed via  
8 motion to dismiss (Order 6/14/21) stating that the facts alleged were based upon  
9 attorney-client communications under litigation privilege; Bradley has judgment  
10 against him for \$11,688 to the attorneys Ms. Roberts had to retain.

11 (G) A-21-830901-C-- Bradley Bellisario v. Emily Bellisario, her  
12 attorneys and Las Vegas Review Journal; Civil action; filed 3/10/2021, alleging  
13 facts rightfully belonging in the divorce case, and again alleging the Financial  
14 Disclosure issue as the case above; matter has not been served and the time to  
15 serve has passed.

16 \* \* \*

17 2. These repetitive lawsuits have caused many judicial departments (all  
18 of the above cases are different judges), placing frivolous lawsuits on various  
19 department dockets, potentially and needlessly extending litigation for all of the  
20 parties involved, all of which is basically based upon Family Court matters and  
21 issues.

22 3. These lawsuits have been harassing, frivolous and unwarranted,  
23 especially where the alleged facts are those contained in the divorce case. Only  
24 one of those cases has been brought to an end, and was against Bradley with a  
25 counterclaim of abuse of process.



1           4. What is even more insidious is that as an attorney, Bradley was and  
2 is well aware of Nevada's laws, rules, etc. and the fact that his actions were  
3 frivolous and unwarranted in nature. While on their face they appear to request  
4 pertinent relief, it has been made clear that the goal is to delay.

5           5. As it specifically relates to the Divorce matter, a "copy and paste" of  
6 relevant facts/background of each motion is much same, also repeated in the civil  
7 "A" cases. There have been twelve (12) motions filed by Bradley, to be heard by  
8 the current Judicial Officer since January 4, 2021, when the current Judicial  
9 Officer commenced tenure in Dept. P, most of which have been denied.

10           6. At the Hearing held April 6, 2021, Emily Bellisario was awarded  
11 temporary primary legal and physical custody. Bradley started supervised visits  
12 in July 2020 from the prior Judicial Officer. He was again placed on supervised  
13 visits, due to his own behavior, and his violation of the TPO in April 2021.  
14 Bradley has, again, by his own behavior, caused himself to be forbidden to  
15 appear at Donna's House for supervised visitation.

16           7. Bradley has failed and/or refused to abide by the Orders made by the  
17 Court in not paying Court ordered child support and spousal support and is in  
18 arrears in an amount in excess of approximately \$80,000.

19           8. Bradley has failed to participate in Discovery, by way of  
20 Admissions, Interrogatories and Document Requests. The Discovery  
21 Commissioner ordered that the failure to respond to admissions automatically  
22 deemed them admitted; gave Bradley five (5) days to provide responses to  
23 Interrogatories and produce the requested documents, which he did not do; failed  
24 to provide the required disclosures pursuant to NRCP 16.2 within the same five  
25 (5) days; that an adverse inference is appropriate that any evidence withheld  
26 would not support Bradley's position; Granted Plaintiff's motion to preclude, in  
27 that Bradley is precluded from presenting or relying any evidence relating to the  
28 discovery requests at Evidentiary Hearing and/or Trial.

1  
2 9. Bradley persistently seeks to blame others for his own failure to act;  
3 i.e. blaming his prior counsel who withdrew in November 2020, for his failures  
4 to participate in discovery, and cannot use the excuse of his failure of knowledge  
5 as he was an attorney, well acquainted with discovery rules.

6 10. Bradley has failed and/or refused to cooperate with Dr. Stephanie  
7 Holland as it relates to the psychiatric evaluation which was ordered by the Court  
8 that he complete.

9 11. Bradley's most recent motion, knowing that Emily had primary  
10 legal and physical custody and he had supervised visits, sought to have Emily  
11 deemed a kidnapper pursuant to NRS 200.395. Once again, there is the same  
12 recitation of history from 2019 forward. He recites the same allegations against  
13 the Judicial Officer which were denied by the Chief Judge as it pertained to  
14 Bradley's Motion to Disqualify. He still alleges there was no active TPO as the  
15 2019 TPO was dissolved, which is true, but continuously fails to recognize that  
16 the TPO granted in February 2020 is still very much active (currently to May  
17 2022) and enforceable. This motion does not relate any activity, since the last  
18 Court order which would give rise to the relief requested being granted.

19 12. Emily, through counsel filed an Opposition and Countermotion  
20 requesting that Bradley be deemed a vexatious litigant, which was properly  
21 served on Bradley. At the time of the hearing, Bradley has not opposed that  
22 countermotion, and did not request an extension of time to file any response.

23 13. While the Court had previously denied Emily's prior request to  
24 deem Bradley a vexatious litigant at that point, with the current request, a review  
25 of the courts file was prudent; after further review, a preponderance of all of the  
26 filings, lawsuits, behaviors, failure to abide by the Court's orders, must, at this  
27 time, lead the Court to a finding that Bradley Bellisario should be deemed a  
28 vexatious litigant.

14. That Bradlely's conduct is for the sole purpose of harassment (including judicial personnel) and unnecessarily and vexatiously increasing the costs of litigation.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Multiple litigation may arise wherein a party starts a number of different law suits against the same adverse party asserting a right to recover arising from the same general facts. The same could also hold true wherein the same set of general facts, for example in a divorce matter, wherein one of the parties then civilly sues the adverse party, their attorney, counselors or other potential professional experts, as in this matter.

2. A vexatious litigant is defined as one who repeatedly files frivolous lawsuits. In order to deter such conduct, the Nevada Supreme Court has allowed for limiting such vexatious litigant s right to access the courts unless that litigant does first demonstrat[e] to the court that the proposed case is not frivolous. *Peck v. Crouser*, 295 P.3d 586, 587, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 12 (2013).

The Nevada Supreme Court requires this Court follow the 4 step analysis for issuing a vexatious litigant order: (1) provide a reasonable notice of and an opportunity to oppose a vexatious litigant finding and order, (2) provide a record in support of a such order, (3) how litigant's actions constitute vexatious i.e. make a substantive findings as to the frivolous or harassing nature of the litigant s actions, and (4) litigant's constitutional right to access the courts is protected by making the vexatious litigant order narrowly tailored to the issue at hand (e.g. if the litigant repeatedly asserts the same claim, the restrictive order is limited to the filings raising the same claim). *Jones v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 330 P.3d 475, 478, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 53 (2014). See also *Jordan v. State ex rel. Dep t of Motor Vehicles & Pub. Safety*, 121 Nev. 44, 110 P.3d 30 (2005), abrogated on other grounds by *Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas*, 124 Nev. 224, 181 P.3d 670 (2008).

1           3. EDCR 7.60 provides the Court with wide discretion as it pertains to  
2 making orders where a party has presented themselves in the matter and  
3 presented frivolous, unnecessary, filings/motions, has unreasonably increased  
4 fees and costs in the matter, has failed and/or refused to comply with the rules  
5 and orders of the Court. EDCR 7.60 states:

6           **Rule 7.60. Sanctions.**

7           (a) If without just excuse or because of failure to give reasonable attention to  
8 the matter, no appearance is made on behalf of a party on the call of a calendar, at  
9 the time set for the hearing of any matter, at a pre-trial conference, or on the date of  
10 trial, the court may order any one or more of the following:

11           (1) Payment by the delinquent attorney or party of costs, in such amount as  
12 the court may fix, to the clerk or to the adverse party.

13           (2) Payment by the delinquent attorney or party of the reasonable expenses,  
14 including attorney's fees, to any aggrieved party.

15           (3) Dismissal of the complaint, cross-claim, counter-claim or motion or the  
16 striking of the answer and entry of judgment by default, or the granting of the  
17 motion.

18           (4) Any other action it deems appropriate, including, without limitation,  
19 imposition of fines.

20           (b) The court may, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, impose upon an  
21 attorney or a party any and all sanctions which may, under the facts of the case, be  
22 reasonable, including the imposition of fines, costs or attorney's fees when an  
23 attorney or a party without just cause:

24           (1) Presents to the court a motion or an opposition to a motion which is  
25 obviously frivolous, unnecessary or unwarranted.

26           (2) Fails to prepare for a presentation.

27           4. In the instant matter as to the four factors:

28           (1) *the litigant must first receive notice and an opportunity to oppose  
such a sanction, to protect the litigant's due process rights:* The  
motions/countermotions requesting relief as to vexatious litigant were served  
upon Bradley and provided him proper notice. Bradley did not oppose the  
countermotion to deem him a vexatious litigant.

          (2) *the district court must create an adequate record for review to  
explain the reason a restrictive order was needed to stop repetitive or abusive  
conduct:* As stated in the Findings of Fact/Factors herein, and that Bradley's

1 conduct, separate/frivolous litigation, was either repetitive or abusive, and  
2 without an arguable factual or legal basis or filed with the intent to harass.

3 *(3) the district court must make substantive findings as to the frivolous*  
4 *or harassing nature of the conduct: See Findings of Fact/Factors herein.*

5 *(4) the order must be narrowly drawn to address the specific problem.*

6 Nevada courts may impose restrictive orders, e.g., vexatious litigant  
7 restrictive orders, to curb abusive litigation. Such orders must be narrowly  
8 tailored since they implicate an individual's constitutional right to access the  
9 courts.

10 That the court's order should include that Bradley is prohibited from  
11 filing any new civil actions or further motions in the civil matters and/or divorce  
12 matter without first demonstrating to the court that the proposed action is not  
13 brought for an improper purpose, as it would not prevent his access to the Court.

14  
15 NOW THEREFORE, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY

16 ORDERED, that the Plaintiff's countermotion is granted in that good  
17 cause exists that Bradley Bellisario be and hereby is deemed a Vexations  
18 Litigant; and it is further

19 ORDERED that Bradley Bellisario may not file any further separate  
20 civil lawsuits as it relates to any party, attorney, family member, counselor or  
21 other individual who has contact with the within matter; and it is further

22 ORDERED that if Bradley Bellisario wishes to file any new case, which  
23 is in any way related to any individual in contact with or subject matter contained  
24 of the divorce case, he is to retain/pay counsel (not himself) to evaluate the  
25 veracity of the claims he wishes to assert and the facts he wants to allege; and (2)  
26 said counsel must obtain permission from this Court before filing any additional  
27 pleadings in any jurisdiction asserting allegations or causes of action; and it is  
28 further

ORDERED, that before filing any motion in this matter, Bradley Bellisario shall submit the proposed motion and exhibits for judicial review, via email to the Law Clerk; the Court shall review the motion to make sure it is (1) not repetitive as to facts or argument, (2) asks for relief which the Court is permitted to grant, and (3) is procedurally proper. If the Court is satisfied, the Court shall email Bradley Bellisario the permission to file the motion, to be heard in due course; and it is further

ORDERED, that before filing any Notice of Appeal in this matter, Bradley Bellisario shall submit the proposed Notice of Appeal for judicial review, together with a brief reasoning as to what is being appealed, within 10 days of the Notice of Entry, via email to the Law Clerk; the Court shall review the appeal to make sure the appeal is permissible under NRAP 3(A), and the appeal is procedurally proper. If the Court is satisfied Bradley may appeal the issue, Court shall email Bradley Bellisario the permission to file the notice of appeal, with sufficient time for Bradley Bellisario to timely file the Notice of Appeal; and it is further

ORDERED, that a copy of this order will be transmitted to the Administrative Office of the Court as required by Supreme Court Rule 9.5.

**Dated this 12th day of July, 2021**

MB

**63A C96 9515 BCEE**  
**Mary Perry**  
**District Court Judge**

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 7/12/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 **NEOJ**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

)

)

) **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

) **DEEMING DEFENDANT A**

) **VEXATIOUS LITIGANT**

)

)

)

)

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\



1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE an Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant  
2 was duly entered on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021, a copy of which is attached hereto  
3 and fully incorporated herein by reference.  
4

5 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021.

6 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

7  
8 By: Amanda M. Roberts

9 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
10 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
11 4411 South Pecos Road  
12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
13 PH: (702) 474-7007  
14 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
15 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
16 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,  
and on the 12 day of July, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic  
service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for  
service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DEEMING DEFENDANT A VEXATIOUS  
LITIGANT**, to the following:

Bradley Bellisario  
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant *in proper person*

By: ORNIEN  
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

1 **ORDR**

2  
3  
4 **DISTRICT COURT; FAMILY DIVISION**  
5 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

6 \* \* \* \* \*

7 EMILY BELLISARIO, ) Case No.: D-20-605263-D  
8 Plaintiff, ) Dept. P  
9 -vs.- )  
10 ) Date: 7/7/21  
11 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO, ) Time: 10:00 am  
12 Defendant. )

13 **ORDER DEEMING DEFENDANT A VEXATIONS LITIGANT**

14 This matter having come on regularly before the Court, via Blue Jeans  
15 video conference, as it pertains to the multiple motions /countermotions of the  
16 Plaintiff to have the Defendant deemed a vexatious litigant, and the Defendant's  
17 opposition(s) thereto; and the Plaintiff personally appearing via BlueJeans and  
18 represented by Amanda Roberts, Esq.; and the Defendant, self-represented  
19 appearing personally via BlueJeans; and the Court, having reviewed all the  
20 pleading, motions, oppositions and other papers filed herein, Hereby Finds:

21 **FINDINGS OF FACT/FACTORS**

22 1. Bradley Bellisario ("Bradley"), the Defendant in the divorce action,  
23 and at the time of filing the below civil lawsuits was an active Nevada attorney  
24 (he was recently suspended) has instituted multiple actions, all arising under the  
25 same set of facts stemming from the divorce action, which commenced March 5,  
26 2020 by Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario ("Emily"). Bradley has acted in a belligerent  
27 and unprofessional manner to opposing counsel and their staff, creating  
28 communication issue. Anyone who has engaged with Emily received a lawsuit,  
which include, but are not limited to:

1 (A) Related Protective Order Applications:

2 Bradley Bellisario was denied multiple TPO's against Emily Bellisario  
3 in Cases T-20-204245-T (filed 3/9/20); T-20-204723-T (filed 4/6/20) and T-21-  
4 211921-T (filed 2/24/01).

5 Emily Bellisario received a TPO, which is currently active until  
6 5/20/22- Case #: T-20-206639-T (filed 2/5/20); per the Order from the  
7 7/30/2020 hearing by the former Judicial Officer should there be any acts of  
8 violence, the TPO would automatically be extended to 5/20/22. Acts of violence  
9 occurred, culminating the currently pending criminal matter against Bradley.  
10 The current Judicial Officer affirmed the prior order of extension.

11 (B) A-20-812996-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Emily Bellisario; Civil  
12 action-filed 3/30/20, alleging multiple acts of domestic issues, as recited also in  
13 the divorce action; Matter open.

14 (C) A-20-815348-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Donna Wilburn (therapist):  
15 Civil action filed 5/20/2020, alleging in February 2020, for Wilburn's failure to  
16 contact Bradley regarding treatment of the parties' minor son. Arbitration in  
17 favor of Donna Wilburn (filed 5/30/21) for damages on complaint of \$5,000 and  
18 her counterclaim for abuse of process of \$2,500.

19 (D) A-20-825422-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Anna Trujillo (therapist):  
20 Civil action filed 11/25/2020, alleging in April 2020, for Trujillo's failure to  
21 contact Bradley regarding treatment of the parties' minor son, utilizing the same  
22 assertions as in the Wilburn matter above. This matter was never served and the  
23 time to serve has passed.

24 (E) A-20-825505-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Marathon Law Group,  
25 AAA Flooring, Roberts Stoffel Law Group, Amanda Roberts, Esq., LVMPD,  
26 Clark County (filed 11/26/2020) Marathon Law was Emily Bellisario's initial  
27 divorce attorney and Amanda Roberts, Esq. was Emily's second and current  
28 counsel; AAA Flooring is owned by Emily Bellisario's father; the issue was

1 Marathon's filing of what Bradley's filing of a Financial Disclosure Form, delay  
2 in orders being signed and the like in the divorce action; LVMPD as to false  
3 arrest for his violation of an active TPO. This matter was never served- and the  
4 time to serve has passed.

5 (F) A-20-825505-C--Bradley Bellisario v. Amanda Roberts, Esq. filed  
6 11/26/20; alleging claims arising from the divorce matter and, *inter alia*, Emily  
7 Bellisario's claims regarding domestic violence; the matter was dismissed via  
8 motion to dismiss (Order 6/14/21) stating that the facts alleged were based upon  
9 attorney-client communications under litigation privilege; Bradley has judgment  
10 against him for \$11,688 to the attorneys Ms. Roberts had to retain.

11 (G) A-21-830901-C-- Bradley Bellisario v. Emily Bellisario, her  
12 attorneys and Las Vegas Review Journal; Civil action; filed 3/10/2021, alleging  
13 facts rightfully belonging in the divorce case, and again alleging the Financial  
14 Disclosure issue as the case above; matter has not been served and the time to  
15 serve has passed.

16 \* \* \*

17 2. These repetitive lawsuits have caused many judicial departments (all  
18 of the above cases are different judges), placing frivolous lawsuits on various  
19 department dockets, potentially and needlessly extending litigation for all of the  
20 parties involved, all of which is basically based upon Family Court matters and  
21 issues.

22 3. These lawsuits have been harassing, frivolous and unwarranted,  
23 especially where the alleged facts are those contained in the divorce case. Only  
24 one of those cases has been brought to an end, and was against Bradley with a  
25 counterclaim of abuse of process.  
26  
27  
28

1           4. What is even more insidious is that as an attorney, Bradley was and  
2 is well aware of Nevada's laws, rules, etc. and the fact that his actions were  
3 frivolous and unwarranted in nature. While on their face they appear to request  
4 pertinent relief, it has been made clear that the goal is to delay.

5           5. As it specifically relates to the Divorce matter, a "copy and paste" of  
6 relevant facts/background of each motion is much same, also repeated in the civil  
7 "A" cases. There have been twelve (12) motions filed by Bradley, to be heard by  
8 the current Judicial Officer since January 4, 2021, when the current Judicial  
9 Officer commenced tenure in Dept. P, most of which have been denied.

10           6. At the Hearing held April 6, 2021, Emily Bellisario was awarded  
11 temporary primary legal and physical custody. Bradley started supervised visits  
12 in July 2020 from the prior Judicial Officer. He was again placed on supervised  
13 visits, due to his own behavior, and his violation of the TPO in April 2021.  
14 Bradley has, again, by his own behavior, caused himself to be forbidden to  
15 appear at Donna's House for supervised visitation.

16           7. Bradley has failed and/or refused to abide by the Orders made by the  
17 Court in not paying Court ordered child support and spousal support and is in  
18 arrears in an amount in excess of approximately \$80,000.

19           8. Bradley has failed to participate in Discovery, by way of  
20 Admissions, Interrogatories and Document Requests. The Discovery  
21 Commissioner ordered that the failure to respond to admissions automatically  
22 deemed them admitted; gave Bradley five (5) days to provide responses to  
23 Interrogatories and produce the requested documents, which he did not do; failed  
24 to provide the required disclosures pursuant to NRCP 16.2 within the same five  
25 (5) days; that an adverse inference is appropriate that any evidence withheld  
26 would not support Bradley's position; Granted Plaintiff's motion to preclude, in  
27 that Bradley is precluded from presenting or relying any evidence relating to the  
28 discovery requests at Evidentiary Hearing and/or Trial.

1  
2 9. Bradley persistently seeks to blame others for his own failure to act;  
3 i.e. blaming his prior counsel who withdrew in November 2020, for his failures  
4 to participate in discovery, and cannot use the excuse of his failure of knowledge  
5 as he was an attorney, well acquainted with discovery rules.

6 10. Bradley has failed and/or refused to cooperate with Dr. Stephanie  
7 Holland as it relates to the psychiatric evaluation which was ordered by the Court  
8 that he complete.

9 11. Bradley's most recent motion, knowing that Emily had primary  
10 legal and physical custody and he had supervised visits, sought to have Emily  
11 deemed a kidnapper pursuant to NRS 200.395. Once again, there is the same  
12 recitation of history from 2019 forward. He recites the same allegations against  
13 the Judicial Officer which were denied by the Chief Judge as it pertained to  
14 Bradley's Motion to Disqualify. He still alleges there was no active TPO as the  
15 2019 TPO was dissolved, which is true, but continuously fails to recognize that  
16 the TPO granted in February 2020 is still very much active (currently to May  
17 2022) and enforceable. This motion does not relate any activity, since the last  
18 Court order which would give rise to the relief requested being granted.

19 12. Emily, through counsel filed an Opposition and Countermotion  
20 requesting that Bradley be deemed a vexatious litigant, which was properly  
21 served on Bradley. At the time of the hearing, Bradley has not opposed that  
22 countermotion, and did not request an extension of time to file any response.

23 13. While the Court had previously denied Emily's prior request to  
24 deem Bradley a vexatious litigant at that point, with the current request, a review  
25 of the courts file was prudent; after further review, a preponderance of all of the  
26 filings, lawsuits, behaviors, failure to abide by the Court's orders, must, at this  
27 time, lead the Court to a finding that Bradley Bellisario should be deemed a  
28 vexatious litigant.

14. That Bradlely's conduct is for the sole purpose of harassment (including judicial personnel) and unnecessarily and vexatiously increasing the costs of litigation.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Multiple litigation may arise wherein a party starts a number of different law suits against the same adverse party asserting a right to recover arising from the same general facts. The same could also hold true wherein the same set of general facts, for example in a divorce matter, wherein one of the parties then civilly sues the adverse party, their attorney, counselors or other potential professional experts, as in this matter.

2. A vexatious litigant is defined as one who repeatedly files frivolous lawsuits. In order to deter such conduct, the Nevada Supreme Court has allowed for limiting such vexatious litigant s right to access the courts unless that litigant does first demonstrat[e] to the court that the proposed case is not frivolous. *Peck v. Crouser*, 295 P.3d 586, 587, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 12 (2013).

The Nevada Supreme Court requires this Court follow the 4 step analysis for issuing a vexatious litigant order: (1) provide a reasonable notice of and an opportunity to oppose a vexatious litigant finding and order, (2) provide a record in support of a such order, (3) how litigant's actions constitute vexatious i.e. make a substantive findings as to the frivolous or harassing nature of the litigant s actions, and (4) litigant's constitutional right to access the courts is protected by making the vexatious litigant order narrowly tailored to the issue at hand (e.g. if the litigant repeatedly asserts the same claim, the restrictive order is limited to the filings raising the same claim). *Jones v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 330 P.3d 475, 478, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 53 (2014). See also *Jordan v. State ex rel. Dep t of Motor Vehicles & Pub. Safety*, 121 Nev. 44, 110 P.3d 30 (2005), abrogated on other grounds by *Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas*, 124 Nev. 224, 181 P.3d 670 (2008).



1           3. EDCR 7.60 provides the Court with wide discretion as it pertains to  
2 making orders where a party has presented themselves in the matter and  
3 presented frivolous, unnecessary, filings/motions, has unreasonably increased  
4 fees and costs in the matter, has failed and/or refused to comply with the rules  
5 and orders of the Court. EDCR 7.60 states:

6           **Rule 7.60. Sanctions.**

7           (a) If without just excuse or because of failure to give reasonable attention to  
8 the matter, no appearance is made on behalf of a party on the call of a calendar, at  
9 the time set for the hearing of any matter, at a pre-trial conference, or on the date of  
10 trial, the court may order any one or more of the following:

11           (1) Payment by the delinquent attorney or party of costs, in such amount as  
12 the court may fix, to the clerk or to the adverse party.

13           (2) Payment by the delinquent attorney or party of the reasonable expenses,  
14 including attorney's fees, to any aggrieved party.

15           (3) Dismissal of the complaint, cross-claim, counter-claim or motion or the  
16 striking of the answer and entry of judgment by default, or the granting of the  
17 motion.

18           (4) Any other action it deems appropriate, including, without limitation,  
19 imposition of fines.

20           (b) The court may, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, impose upon an  
21 attorney or a party any and all sanctions which may, under the facts of the case, be  
22 reasonable, including the imposition of fines, costs or attorney's fees when an  
23 attorney or a party without just cause:

24           (1) Presents to the court a motion or an opposition to a motion which is  
25 obviously frivolous, unnecessary or unwarranted.

26           (2) Fails to prepare for a presentation.

27           4. In the instant matter as to the four factors:

28           (1) *the litigant must first receive notice and an opportunity to oppose  
such a sanction, to protect the litigant's due process rights:* The  
motions/countermotions requesting relief as to vexatious litigant were served  
upon Bradley and provided him proper notice. Bradley did not oppose the  
countermotion to deem him a vexatious litigant.

          (2) *the district court must create an adequate record for review to  
explain the reason a restrictive order was needed to stop repetitive or abusive  
conduct:* As stated in the Findings of Fact/Factors herein, and that Bradley's

1 conduct, separate/frivolous litigation, was either repetitive or abusive, and  
2 without an arguable factual or legal basis or filed with the intent to harass.

3 (3) *the district court must make substantive findings as to the frivolous*  
4 *or harassing nature of the conduct: See Findings of Fact/Factors herein.*

5 (4) *the order must be narrowly drawn to address the specific problem.*

6 Nevada courts may impose restrictive orders, e.g., vexatious litigant  
7 restrictive orders, to curb abusive litigation. Such orders must be narrowly  
8 tailored since they implicate an individual's constitutional right to access the  
9 courts.

10 That the court's order should include that Bradley is prohibited from  
11 filing any new civil actions or further motions in the civil matters and/or divorce  
12 matter without first demonstrating to the court that the proposed action is not  
13 brought for an improper purpose, as it would not prevent his access to the Court.

14  
15 NOW THEREFORE, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY

16 ORDERED, that the Plaintiff's countermotion is granted in that good  
17 cause exists that Bradley Bellisario be and hereby is deemed a Vexations  
18 Litigant; and it is further

19 ORDERED that Bradley Bellisario may not file any further separate  
20 civil lawsuits as it relates to any party, attorney, family member, counselor or  
21 other individual who has contact with the within matter; and it is further

22 ORDERED that if Bradley Bellisario wishes to file any new case, which  
23 is in any way related to any individual in contact with or subject matter contained  
24 of the divorce case, he is to retain/pay counsel (not himself) to evaluate the  
25 veracity of the claims he wishes to assert and the facts he wants to allege; and (2)  
26 said counsel must obtain permission from this Court before filing any additional  
27 pleadings in any jurisdiction asserting allegations or causes of action; and it is  
28 further

ORDERED, that before filing any motion in this matter, Bradley Bellisario shall submit the proposed motion and exhibits for judicial review, via email to the Law Clerk; the Court shall review the motion to make sure it is (1) not repetitive as to facts or argument, (2) asks for relief which the Court is permitted to grant, and (3) is procedurally proper. If the Court is satisfied, the Court shall email Bradley Bellisario the permission to file the motion, to be heard in due course; and it is further

ORDERED, that before filing any Notice of Appeal in this matter, Bradley Bellisario shall submit the proposed Notice of Appeal for judicial review, together with a brief reasoning as to what is being appealed, within 10 days of the Notice of Entry, via email to the Law Clerk; the Court shall review the appeal to make sure the appeal is permissible under NRAP 3(A), and the appeal is procedurally proper. If the Court is satisfied Bradley may appeal the issue, Court shall email Bradley Bellisario the permission to file the notice of appeal, with sufficient time for Bradley Bellisario to timely file the Notice of Appeal; and it is further

ORDERED, that a copy of this order will be transmitted to the Administrative Office of the Court as required by Supreme Court Rule 9.5.

**Dated this 12th day of July, 2021**

MB

**63A C96 9515 BCEE**  
**Mary Perry**  
**District Court Judge**

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT  
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4  
5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 7/12/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

)

15 v.

)

16 **ORDER AFTER HEARING**

17 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

)

)

18 Defendant.

) Date of Hearing: July 7, 2021

) Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

)

19 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021,

20 on Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 and the Plaintiff's

21 Opposition and Countermotion. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and

22 represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of

23 Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being

24 present in proper person.

25 The Court noted that the Plaintiff had good reason to withhold the Minor  
26 / Children for the fear of her life. Defendant stated argument regarding the timely  
27 matter for the Plaintiff's response; the Court stated that the extension of time to file  
28 the response was granted due the factor of Covid. The Court noted that Dr. Ponzo's  
report has been reviewed and further discussed the Defendant participating with  
Dr. Holland so that a report can be filed with the Court.

1 NOW THEREFORE,  
2 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant's request for the  
3 Plaintiff to be deemed to have engaged in parental kidnapping under *NRS* § 200.359  
4 (1) is denied.

6 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's request to deem the  
7 Defendant a vexatious litigant is taken under advisement and the Court shall issue a  
8 decision within seven (7) days.

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall prepare the Order  
11 from today's hearing and submit it to the Defendant for review and approval.

12  
13 Statutory Notices:

14 The following statutory notices relating to the custody of minor children are  
15 applicable to the Parties:

16 The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006,  
17 which states:

19 1. If primary physical custody has been established  
20 pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the  
21 custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a  
22 place outside of this State or to a place within this State that  
23 is at such a distance that would substantially impair the  
24 ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful  
25 relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires  
26 to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall,  
27 before relocating:

26 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the  
27 noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

1 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that  
2 consent, petition the court for permission to relocate  
3 with the child.

4 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs  
5 to the custodial parent if the court finds that the  
6 noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial  
parent's relocation with the child:

- 7 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or  
8 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

9 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this  
10 section without the written consent of the noncustodial  
11 parent or the permission of the court is subject to the  
provisions of NRS 200.359.

12 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of NRS §125C.0045(6) which  
13 states:  
14

15 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE  
16 ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A  
17 CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE  
18 AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §  
19 193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a  
20 limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right  
21 of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or  
22 removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person  
23 having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in  
violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the  
jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court  
or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is  
subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in  
NRS §193.130.

24 Pursuant to NRS §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of  
25  
26 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
27

1 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a  
2 foreign country.

3 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of  
4 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

5  
6 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection  
7 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the  
8 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the  
9 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or  
10 wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

11 Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has  
12 significant commitments in a foreign country:

13 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the  
14 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the  
15 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of  
16 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in  
17 Subsection 7.

18 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the  
19 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent  
20 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or  
21 concealing the child outside the country of habitual  
22 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the  
23 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the  
24 child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child  
25 is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country  
26 of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant  
27 commitments in a foreign country does not create a  
28 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of  
wrongfully removing or concealing the child.



1           The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of  
2 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support  
3 payments.  
4

5           The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of  
6 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.  
7

8 ///

9 ///

10 ///

11 ///

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27

1 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*  
2 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare  
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date  
4 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the  
5 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The Parties shall  
6 update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the  
7 Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that  
8 information become inaccurate.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 20th day of July, 2021

13 

15 Submitted this 19th day of  
16 July, 2021.

17 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
18 **LAW GROUP**

Approved as to Content and Form:

2CB D20 1B56 A666  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

19 By:   
20 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
21 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
22 4411 South Pecos Road  
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
24 PH: (702) 474-7007  
25 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
26 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Bradley Bellisario  
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
PH: (702) 936-4800  
FAX: (702) 936-4801  
EMAIL: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 7/20/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 NEOJ

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 South Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADELY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER  
AFTER HEARING**

Date of Hearing: July 7, 2021

Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

18 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE an Order After Hearing was entered with this  
19 Court on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021, a copy of which is attached hereto and fully  
20 incorporated herein by reference.

21 DATED this 22nd day of July, 2021.

22 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

23 By: Amanda M. Roberts

24 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

25 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

26 4411 South Pecos Road

27 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121


28 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,  
and on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic  
service, pursuant to Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for  
service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Notice of  
Entry of Order After Hearing (with Order After Hearing attached hereto), to the  
following:

Bradley Bellisario  
Email: Bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant *in proper person*

By:   
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

*Amanda M. Roberts*  
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
7 PH: (702) 474-7007  
8 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO, ) Case No: D-20-605263-D  
14 ) Dept No: P  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 ) **ORDER AFTER HEARING**  
18 BRADLEY BELLISARIO, )  
19 )  
20 Defendant. ) Date of Hearing: July 7, 2021  
21 ) Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

29 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021,  
30 on Defendant's Motion for Order Pursuant to NRS 200.359 and the Plaintiff's  
31 Opposition and Countermotion. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and  
32 represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of  
33 Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being  
34 present in proper person.

35 The Court noted that the Plaintiff had good reason to withhold the Minor  
36 / Children for the fear of her life. Defendant stated argument regarding the timely  
37 matter for the Plaintiff's response; the Court stated that the extension of time to file  
38 the response was granted due the factor of Covid. The Court noted that Dr. Ponzos  
39 report has been reviewed and further discussed the Defendant participating with  
40 Dr. Holland so that a report can be filed with the Court.

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant's request for the  
3  
4 Plaintiff to be deemed to have engaged in parental kidnapping under *NRS* § 200.359  
5 (1) is denied.

6 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's request to deem the  
7  
8 Defendant a vexatious litigant is taken under advisement and the Court shall issue a  
9 decision within seven (7) days.

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall prepare the Order  
11  
12 from today's hearing and submit it to the Defendant for review and approval.

13 Statutory Notices:

14 The following statutory notices relating to the custody of minor children are  
15  
16 applicable to the Parties:

17 The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006,  
18 which states:

19 1. If primary physical custody has been established  
20 pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the  
21 custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a  
22 place outside of this State or to a place within this State that  
23 is at such a distance that would substantially impair the  
24 ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful  
25 relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires  
to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall,  
before relocating:

26 (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the  
27 noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

1 (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that  
2 consent, petition the court for permission to relocate  
3 with the child.

4 2. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs  
5 to the custodial parent if the court finds that the  
6 noncustodial parent refused to consent to the custodial  
parent's relocation with the child:

- 7 (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or  
8 (b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

9 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this  
10 section without the written consent of the noncustodial  
11 parent or the permission of the court is subject to the  
provisions of NRS 200.359.

12 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of NRS §125C.0045(6) which  
13 states:  
14

15 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE  
16 ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A  
17 CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE  
18 AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §  
19 193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a  
20 limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right  
21 of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or  
22 removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person  
23 having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in  
violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the  
jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court  
or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is  
subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in  
NRS §193.130.

24 Pursuant to NRS §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of  
25  
26 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
27



1 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a  
2 foreign country.

3  
4 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of  
5 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

6 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection  
7 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the  
8 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the  
9 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or  
10 wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

11 Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has  
12 significant commitments in a foreign country:

13 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the  
14 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the  
15 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of  
16 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in  
17 Subsection 7.

18 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the  
19 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent  
20 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or  
21 concealing the child outside the country of habitual  
22 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the  
23 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the  
24 child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child  
25 is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country  
26 of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant  
27 commitments in a foreign country does not create a  
28 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of  
wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

1           The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of  
2 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support  
3 payments.  
4

5           The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of  
6 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.  
7

8     ///

9     ///

10    ///

11    ///

12    ///

13    ///

14    ///

15    ///

16    ///

17    ///

18    ///

19    ///

20    ///

21    ///

22    ///

23    ///

24    ///

25    ///

26    ///


27

28

1 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*  
2 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare  
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date  
4 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the  
5 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The Parties shall  
6 update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the  
7 Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that  
8 information become inaccurate.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 20th day of July, 2021




15 Submitted this 19<sup>th</sup> day of  
16 July, 2021.

17 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
18 **LAW GROUP**

Approved as to Content and Form:

2CB D20 1B56 A666  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

19 By:   
20 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
21 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
22 4411 South Pecos Road  
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
24 PH: (702) 474-7007  
25 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
26 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Bradley Bellisario  
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
PH: (702) 936-4800  
FAX: (702) 936-4801  
EMAIL: bradb@bellisariolaw.com

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT  
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA  
4

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 7/20/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint**

**COURT MINUTES**

July 23, 2021

---

D-20-605263-D	Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff vs. Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.
---------------	---

---

**July 23, 2021                      10:00 AM                      Minute Order**

**HEARD BY:**    Perry, Mary

**COURTROOM:**    Chambers

**COURT CLERK:**    Kyle Medina

**PARTIES:**

Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Bradley Bellisario, Defendant, Counter	Pro Se
Claimant, not present	
Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, not present	
Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff, Counter Defendant,	Amanda Roberts, Attorney, not present
not present	

<b>JOURNAL ENTRIES</b>
------------------------

- MINUTE ORDER    NO HEARING HELD

D-20-605263-D

Emily Bellisario v. Bradley John Bellisario

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to ensure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

On July 22, 2021, the ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION was filed in this case in error before it could be completed.

PRINT DATE:	07/23/2021	Page 1 of 2	Minutes Date:	July 23, 2021
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

AA3317

Therefore, this document shall be STRICKEN from the record so that it may be replaced with the correct and completed document.

A copy of this Minute Order shall be provided to all parties.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

September 16, 2021 9:00 AM Return Hearing  
Perry, Mary  
Courtroom 23

PRINT DATE:	07/23/2021	Page 2 of 2	Minutes Date:	July 23, 2021
-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	---------------

**Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.**

**AA3318**

1 ORDER

2  
3  
4 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

5 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

6  
7  
8 EMILY BELLISARIO,

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

Dept. No. P / Discovery

11 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

12 Defendant

13  
14  
15 **ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND**  
16 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

17 The Court having reviewed the above Report and Recommendation's prepared by  
18 the Discovery Commissioner and,

19   X   No timely objection having been filed,

20        After reviewing the objection to the Report and  
21 Recommendation's and good cause appearing,

22 AND

23   X   IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
24 Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.

25        IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
26 Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as  
27 modified in the following matter. (attached hereto)

28        IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the  
Discovery Commissioner for reconsideration or further action.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
Report and Recommendations are reversed.

\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery  
Commissioner's Report is


Set for the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021 at \_\_\_\_ a.m. / p.m.

~~Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.~~ Dated this 23rd day of July, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

879 B13 E6CA 8389  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge





**DCRR**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

PH: (702) 474-7007

FAX: (702) 474-7477

EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

(Discovery Commissioner)

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S  
REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS**

Date and Time of Hearing: June 16, 2021

Time of Hearing: 1:00 p.m.

The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, not being present, but represented by Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present *in proper person*. The Court, litigants and/or Counsel appearing through Blue Jeans.

\\

\\

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**I.**  
**RECOMMENDATIONS**

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that with regard to the argument that Defendant has not complied with *EDCR* § 16.2, there is not sufficient evidence in front of the Court to suggest that he has failed to comply with that in whole or even in part. (Video Timestamp 1:19:25)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Plaintiff's Motion will be denied in part and granted in part. (Video Timestamp 1:19:55)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that with regard to AAA Flooring and Allied Flooring Services, Inc., the Subpoenas may go forward with limitations as follows: documents that are sufficient to prove period of employment, and wage or salary or other payment paid between August, 2014 to present. No other documentation is appropriate. (Video Timestamp 1:20:00)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that Defendant will need to amend his request as it relates to AAA Flooring and Allied Flooring Services, Inc. (Video Timestamp 1:20:45)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Motion is denied as to the Nevada Board of Examiners for Donna Wilburn, MFT. The credibility of a witness is a valid pursuit of discovery. (Video Timestamp 1:20:54)

1 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Motion is granted as it relates  
2 to the Nevada State Bar. The Subpoena cannot be sent as requested by the  
3 Defendant. (Video Timestamp 1:21:10)  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Motion is denied as to Bank of  
6 America, J&A Consulting Group, Johnson Martin Advisors, Chase Bank, LVMP,  
7 Wells Fargo, and Nevada State Bank (Video Timestamp 1:21:13)  
8

9 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the request for fees by the Plaintiff  
10 is denied. (Video Timestamp 1:21:45)  
11

12 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that Attorney Roberts shall prepare the  
13 Report and Recommendation and Mr. Bellisario shall review and sign off as to  
14 form and content and file it with the Court within the next fourteen (14) days to  
15 avoid sanction. (Video Timestamp 1:21:53)  
16

17 \\\

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27

28

1 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that a status check is set for July 7,  
2 2021 at 1:30 p.m., regarding the submission of the Report and Recommendation.  
3 The Parties shall not appear if the Report and Recommendation is submitted timely.  
4  
5 (Video Timestamp 1:22:11)

6 DATED this 6th day of July, 2021.

7  
8 Jay Young  
9 DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

10  
11 Submitted this 2nd day of  
12 July, 2021.

13 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
14 **LAW GROUP**

15  
16 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
17 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
18 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
19 4411 South Pecos Road  
20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
21 PH: (702) 474-7007  
22 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
23 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Approved as to Content and Form:

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Bradley Bellisario  
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
PH: (702) 936-4800  
FAX: (702) 936-4801  
EMAIL: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant, in proper person<sup>1</sup>

25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> A copy of the letter submitted to Discovery regarding Mr. Bellisario not signing is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

EXHIBIT “1”

EXHIBIT “1”

EXHIBIT “1”



ROBERTS STOFFEL  
FAMILY LAW GROUP

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
Jason P. Stoffel, Esq.  
Lynn N. Hughes, Esq.  
Gary M. Zernich, Esq.

4411 South Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

July 2, 2021

Sent Via Email

FamilyDiscoveryInbox@ClarkCountyCourts.us

Discovery Commissioner  
Family Court  
601 N. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)

Dear Judge Young:

Enclosed please find the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation from the hearing on June 16, 2021. Pursuant to EDCR § 5.521, I am submitting this Order absent the signature of Mr. Bellisario. On June 25, 2021, the proposed Order was sent to the Mr. Bellisario via electronic service and no response has been received (see enclosed courtesy copy). Having reviewed the Court Minutes and video from the hearing on June 25, 2021, I believe that the proposed Order complies with the Court's Order and so submit it absent the signature of Mr. Bellisario.

Thank you in advance for your assistance for your assistance in this matter. Should you have questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Sincerely,

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Enclosures as stated  
cc: Bradley Bellisario

6/25/2021 2:42 PM



ROBERTS STOFFEL  
FAMILY LAW GROUP

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
Jason P. Stoffel, Esq.  
Lynn N. Hughes, Esq.  
Gary M. Zernich, Esq.

4411 South Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

June 25, 2021

Sent Via Eservice Only

Bradley Bellisario  
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149

Re: Bellisario v. Bellisario (D-20-605263-D)

Dear Mr. Bellisario:

Enclosed you will find the drafted Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations from the June 16, 2021 hearing. Upon your review, if you have no requested revisions, please sign the enclosed Report and Recommendations and return it to my office as soon as possible. Alternatively, if you have requested revisions, please advise in writing as soon as possible. In the event I do not receive a response from your office within the next seven (7) days (July 2, 2021), I will submit the Report and Recommendations to Court, absent your signature of approval.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Amanda M. Roberts".

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

Enclosures as stated  
cc: Client

1 **DCRR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

(Discovery Commissioner)

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S  
REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS**

18 Date and Time of Hearing: June 16, 2021

19 Time of Hearing: 1:00 p.m.

20 The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, not being present, but represented by Amanda

21 M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant,

22 Bradley Bellisario, being present *in proper person*. The Court, litigants and/or

23 Counsel appearing through Blue Jeans.

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**I.**  
**RECOMMENDATIONS**

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that with regard to the argument that Defendant has not complied with *EDCR* § 16.2, there is not sufficient evidence in front of the Court to suggest that he has failed to comply with that in whole or even in part. (Video Timestamp 1:19:25)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Plaintiff's Motion will be denied in part and granted in part. (Video Timestamp 1:19:55)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that with regard to AAA Flooring and Allied Flooring Services, Inc., the Subpoenas may go forward with limitations as follows: documents that are sufficient to prove period of employment, and wage or salary or other payment paid between August, 2014 to present. No other documentation is appropriate. (Video Timestamp 1:20:00)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that Defendant will need to amend his request as it relates to AAA Flooring and Allied Flooring Services, Inc. (Video Timestamp 1:20:45)

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Motion is denied as to the Nevada Board of Examiners for Donna Wilburn, MFT. The credibility of a witness is a valid pursuit of discovery. (Video Timestamp 1:20:54)

1 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Motion is granted as it relates  
2 to the Nevada State Bar. The Subpoena cannot be sent as requested by the  
3 Defendant. (Video Timestamp 1:21:10)  
4

5 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Motion is denied as to Bank of  
6 America, J&A Consulting Group, Johnson Martin Advisors, Chase Bank, LVMP,  
7 Wells Fargo, and Nevada State Bank (Video Timestamp 1:21:13)  
8

9 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the request for fees by the Plaintiff  
10 is denied. (Video Timestamp 1:21:45)  
11

12 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that Attorney Roberts shall prepare the  
13 Report and Recommendation and Mr. Bellisario shall review and sign off as to  
14 form and content and file it with the Court within the next fourteen (14) days to  
15 avoid sanction. (Video Timestamp 1:21:53)  
16

17 \\\

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

1 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that a status check is set for July 7,  
2 2021 at 1:30 p.m., regarding the submission of the Report and Recommendation.  
3  
4 The Parties shall not appear if the Report and Recommendation is submitted timely.  
5 (Video Timestamp 1:22:11)

6 DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.  
7  
8

9 \_\_\_\_\_  
DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

10  
11 Submitted this \_\_\_\_ day of  
12 \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

Approved as to Content and Form:

13 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
14 **LAW GROUP**

15  
16 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
17 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
18 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
19 4411 South Pecos Road  
20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
21 PH: (702) 474-7007  
22 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
23 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Bradley Bellisario  
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
PH: (702) 936-4800  
FAX: (702) 936-4801  
EMAIL: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant, in proper person

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

EMILY BELLISARIO,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,  
  
Defendant.

} Case No: D-20-605263-D  
} Dept No: P/ Discovery

NOTICE

Pursuant to *NRCP* § 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within fourteen (14) days after being served with a report any party may file and serve written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with an objection, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party may file and serve responding authorities within seven (7) days after being served with the objections.

\\\

\\\

\\\

\\\

\\\

\\\

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Objection time will expire on \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

A copy of the foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report was:

\_\_\_\_\_ Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant/Petitioner/Respondent (circle) at the following address on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021, pursuant to *NRCP* § 5(b)(2)(C).

\_\_\_\_\_ Electronically filed and served upon Counsel on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021, pursuant to *NEFCR* § Rule 9.

Amanda M. Roberts. Esq.  
Email: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

Bradley Bellisario  
Email: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant, *in proper person*

The Discovery Commissioner's Report is deemed received at the time it is e-served to a party or the party's attorney pursuant to *NEFCR* § 9(f). Alternatively, the Commissioner's Report is deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or the party's attorney or three (3) days after the clerk of the court deposits a copy of the Report in a folder of a party's lawyer in the Clerk's office pursuant to *NRCP* § 6(d).

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021

\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner Designee

1 **ORDR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P/ Discovery

18 **ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND**  
19 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

20 The Court, having reviewed the above report and recommendations prepared  
21 by the Discovery Commissioner and,

22 \_\_\_\_\_ No timely objection having been filed,

23 \_\_\_\_\_ After reviewing the objections to the Report and Recommendations and  
24 good cause appearing,

25 \* \* \*

26 AND

1 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
2 Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.

3  
4 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
5 Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following  
6 manner.  
7 (attached hereto)

8 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the Discovery  
9 Commissioner for  
10 reconsideration or further action.

11 \_\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's  
12 Report is set for \_\_\_\_\_, 2021, at \_\_\_\_\_:\_\_\_\_\_ a.m.

13  
14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
5

6  
7 EMILY BELLISARIO,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

10 vs.

Dept No.: P / Discovery

11 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,  
12 Defendant  
13

14  
15 **NOTICE**

16 Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within  
17 fourteen (14) days of being served with a report, any party may file and serve  
18 written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with  
19 an objection, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party  
20 may file and serve responding party within seven (7) days after being served with  
21 objections.  
22

23  
24 A copy of foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
25 Recommendations was:  
26  
27  
28



1                   \_\_\_ Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant on the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_, 2021, to the  
2 following address:  
3

4                   ✓ Electronically filed and served on the 6th day of July, 2021

5                   Amanda Roberts, Esq. - [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

6                   Bradley John Bellisario (Pro-Se) - [bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
7

8                   The Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
9 deemed received at the time it is e-served to a party or the party's attorney.  
10  
11 Alternatively, the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
12 deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or a party's attorney; or  
13 three (3) days after the Clerk of the Court deposits a copy of the Report and  
14 Recommendations in a folder of the party's attorney in the Clerk's Office. EDCR  
15 2.34(f).  
16

17  
18                   Dated this 6th day of July, 2021.  
19

20                   *Tracy George*  
21                   \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Commissioner Designee  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 7/23/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 **DCRR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

(Discovery Commissioner)

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S  
REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS**

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., Counsel for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario.

Bradley Bellisario in Proper Person.

On March 17, 2021, the Parties to the above-captioned matter appeared before the Honorable Discovery Commissioner, Jay Young, by and through their Counsel listed above, on Movant's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorneys Fees and Costs, and Related Relief. Affidavit of Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. (the "Motion"). The Court reviewed the Motion and entertained

1 oral argument made by the Parties. For good cause appearing, the Discovery  
2 Commissioner hereby makes the following findings and recommendations:

3  
4 **I. FINDINGS**

5 A Court may not award attorney fees or costs unless authorized to do so by a  
6 statute, rule, or contract. *U.S. Design & Const. Corp. v. Int'l Bhd. of Elec. Workers*,  
7 118 Nev. 458, 462, 50 P.3d 170, 173 (2002). Movant seeks an award of reasonable  
8 attorney fees and costs.

9  
10 **A. MOVANT SEEKS AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES**

11 The Motion seeks an award of attorney fees pursuant to *Brunzell v. Golden*  
12 *Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and *Wright v. Osburn*,  
13 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998). *EDCR* § 5.602 allows for an award of fees  
14 “responding party fails to participate in good faith in the conference or to answer  
15 the discovery[.]”  
16

17  
18 The Court here has determined that an award of attorney fees is appropriate  
19 under *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and  
20 *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998), because they were  
21 satisfied by Counsel’s Memorandum. The factors addressed by those cases,  
22 prerequisite to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the moving points and  
23 authorities with specificity. The request for fees of Plaintiff’s attorney and staff was  
24  
25

1 reasonable and necessary. Having determined that the Movant is entitled to an  
2 award of fees, the court next turns its attention to the amount of the award.

3  
4 The Court has great discretion regarding its decision to award fees and  
5 regarding the amount of fees granted. The Court's discretion is "tempered only by  
6 reason and fairness." *Albios v. Horizon Communities, Inc.*, 122 Nev. 409, 427, 132  
7 P.3d 1022, 1034 (2006) (quoting *University of Nevada v. Tarkanian*, 110 Nev. 581,  
8 591, 879 P.2d 1180, 1186 (1994)).

10 "In determining the amount of fees to award, the [district] court is not limited  
11 to one specific approach; its analysis may begin with any method rationally  
12 designed to calculate a reasonable amount, so long as the requested amount is  
13 reviewed in light of the" *Brunzell* factors. *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260, 266, 350  
14 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015) (citing *Haley v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 128 Nev. 171,  
15 273 P.3d 855, 860 (2012) (internal quotations omitted)).

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

1           The Supreme Court in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345,  
2 349–50, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969) gave guidance on how a Court is to determine the  
3 reasonable value of the work performed by a Movant’s Counsel.<sup>1</sup> *Brunzell* directs  
4 Courts to consider the following when determining a reasonable amount of attorney  
5 fees to award:  
6

7           (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education,  
8 experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the  
9 work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time  
10 and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence  
11 and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the  
12 litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill,  
time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the  
attorney was successful and what benefits were derived.

13 *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted). In addition to the *Brunzell* factors, the court  
14 must evaluate the disparity of income between parties to family law matters.  
15 *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 1370, 970 P.2d 1071, 1073 (1998).]

17           The Court can follow any rational method so long as it applies the *Brunzell*  
18 factors; it is not confined to authorizing an award of attorney fees exclusively from  
19 billing records or hourly statements. *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260, 266, 350 P.3d  
20 1139, 1143 (2015); *Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 864,  
21 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005) (approving awards based on a “lodestar” amount, as well  
22 as a contingency fee arrangement). Although the Court must “expressly analyze  
23

24  
25  
26 <sup>1</sup> The court must determine the reasonable rates for all persons for whose time a party seeks  
27 reimbursement, including partners, associates, paralegals, and law clerks, etc. *See LVMPD v.*  
*Yeghiazarian*, 129 Nev. 760, 770, 312 P.3d 503, 510 (2013).

1 each factor”, no single factor should be given undue weight. *Logan v. Abe*, 131  
2 Nev. 260, 266, 350 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015); *Brunzell*, 85 Nev. at 349-50, 455 P.2d  
3 at 33.  
4

5 After determining the reasonable value of an attorney’s services analyzing  
6 the factors established in *Brunzell*, the Court must then provide sufficient reasoning  
7 and findings concerning those factors in its Order. *Shuette v. Beazer Homes*  
8 *Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 865, 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005). The Court’s  
9 decision must be supported by “substantial evidence.” *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260,  
10 266, 350 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015).  
11  
12

13 Substantial evidence supporting a request for fees must be presented to the  
14 Court by “affidavits, unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury, depositions,  
15 answers to interrogatories, [or] admissions on file”. *EDCR* 2.21(a). Sworn  
16 statements submitted pursuant to *EDCR* 2.21(a) must be sufficient to satisfy *NRC*  
17 *P* 56(e). *EDCR* 2.21(c). Unsworn statements of Counsel and conclusory statements  
18 in pleadings not otherwise presented in compliance with *EDCR* 2.21(a) may not be  
19 considered by the Court. The Supreme Court has confirmed that the *Brunzell*  
20 factors must be presented by affidavit or other competent evidence. *Miller v.*  
21 *Wilfong*, 121 Nev. 619, 624, 119 P.3d 727, 730 (2005); *Katz v. Incline Vill. Gen.*  
22 *Improvement Dist.*, 452 P.3d 411 (Nev. 2019), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 253, 208 L.  
23 Ed. 2d 26 (2020) (citing *Herbst v. Humana Health Ins. of Nev., Inc.*, 105 Nev. 586,  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 591, 781 P.2d 762, 765 (1989) (holding that an affidavit documenting the hours of  
2 work performed, the length of litigation, and the number of volumes of appendices  
3 on appeal was sufficient evidence to enable the court to make a reasonable  
4 determination of attorney fees, even in the absence of a detailed billing statement);  
5 *Cooke v. Gove*, 61 Nev. 55, 57, 114 P.2d 87, 88 (1941) (upholding  
6 an award of attorney fees based on, among other evidence, two depositions from  
7 attorneys testifying about the value of the services rendered)). An award that is not  
8 based on such substantial evidence is subject to reversal, as the court will have no  
9 factual basis on which to base its decision. *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 668  
10 P.2d 268 (1983).  
11  
12  
13

14 In the instant matter, Movant provided the court with the following sworn  
15 testimony and other evidence: Plaintiff's Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and  
16 Costs filed on April 14, 2021. Movant argues each *Brunzell* factor as follows:  
17

### 18 **1. The Qualities of the Advocate**

19 The breakdown of factors under *Brunzell* for Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., are  
20 as follows:  
21

- 22 a. She has been practicing law since 2005.
- 23 b. She has focused her practice primarily around family law.
- 24 c. She is in good standing with the State Bar of Nevada.
- 25 d. She participated in a weekly radio show geared at the Clark  
County community, focused on issues relative to family law.
- 26 e. Yearly, she attends continuing legal education classes to stay  
abreast of changes in the area of family law.



- 1 f. She has drafted Motions, argued before the District Court  
2 Judges and Hearing Masters on issues related to domestic  
3 violence/custody/divorce/ adoption/termination of parental  
4 rights, brought and defended individuals at Evidentiary  
5 Hearings and Evidentiary Hearings. Additionally, Counsel  
6 has taken cases on Appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada.  
7 g. She sat *pro tem* for the Hearing Master related to Protection  
8 Orders.  
9 h. She has been appointed by the Court in the capacity as a  
10 Guardian Ad Litem, CAP Attorney, Guardianship  
11 Investigator, and Parenting Coordinator.  
12 i. The work actually preformed by Ms. Roberts and her staff  
13 was reasonably related to Cesar's refusal to cooperate in  
14 discovery in this matter. The work was not overly  
15 complicated, but time consuming because it required Ms.  
16 Roberts to detail deficiencies in the discovery responses and  
17 outline differences between the discovery requests and those  
18 actually transcribed by Cesar's Counsel and/or his staff.

## 13 2. The Character of the Work

14 The discovery requests are important to the claims and defenses asserted by  
15 the Movant regarding child custody and financial issues; the work is not overly  
16 difficult and readily known to Movant's Counsel who practices primarily in the  
17 arear of family law; and the time required to complete the work was laid out in  
18 detail in the Memorandum of Fees and Costs, incorporated herein by reference.  
19

## 21 3. The Work Performed

22 Movant's Counsel did the following work related to the requests herein:  
23

- 24 a. Emily served discovery requests upon Bradley and he failed  
25 to respond.  
26 b. Emily's Counsel attempted to garner Bradley's cooperation  
27 and compliance with regards to responding to the outstanding  
28 discovery requests to no avail. Emily's Counsel sent detailed

1 correspondence outlining Bradley's outstanding discovery  
2 responses.

- 3 c. Emily's Counsel set a Discovery Dispute Conference which  
4 Bradley failed to participate in despite Ms. Roberts calls and  
5 email to him at the designated time.
- 6 d. Bradley still failed to provide response to the discovery  
7 requests.
- 8 e. Emily's discovery requests were properly served upon  
9 Bradley.
- 10 f. Emily's Motion to Compel discovery was properly served  
11 upon Bradley.
- 12 g. Bradley failed to file any response to the Motion to Compel  
13 discovery and request for attorney's fees.

#### 14 **4. The Result**

15 Movant prevailed on the requests and the Discovery Commission issued  
16 recommendations that were adopted as Orders of the Court which favored Movant's  
17 position in this matter.

#### 18 **5. Disparity in Income** (Only in Family Law Matters)

19 In this matter, the Court issued a finding that Bradley's income is \$18,000.00  
20 per month (*see Order* filed January 24, 2021). In contrast, Emily's income is  
21 \$980.97 per month without taking consideration child support and spousal support,  
22 which is not being paid by Bradley, although Ordered. Therefore, it is alleged that  
23 the disparity in income is significant to require Bradley's to pay attorney fees and  
24 costs.

25 Movant provided evidence suggesting Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., spent 6.5  
26 hours at the rate of \$375.00 per hour on matters related to the activities for which  
27

1 the Court Ordered an award of fees. Movant provided evidence suggesting Holli  
2 Miller spent 0.08 hours at the rate of \$150.00 per hour on matters related to the  
3 activities for which the court ordered an award of fees. Movant provided evidence  
4 suggesting Colleen O'Brien. spent 4.5 hours at the rate of \$150.00 per hour on  
5 matters related to the activities for which the court ordered an award of fees Movant  
6 asks the court for an award of \$3,239.50 of attorney fees. Defendant did not oppose the  
7 sufficiency of evidence or the amount of fees and costs requested by Plaintiff. JV  
8

9 **II. RECOMMENDATIONS**

10 The Court has reviewed Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed on  
11 April 14, 2021, and finds:

12 ✓ Movant has adequately addressed the factors required by *Brunzell*  
13 and its progeny. Movant has detailed the qualities of the advocate, the character of  
14 the work performed, the actual work performed by the attorney, including skilled  
15 time and attention given to the work, and the result. Movant has provided  
16 competent evidence in support of Movant's request for fees.  
17

18 \_\_\_\_\_ Movant has not adequately addressed the factors required by  
19 *Brunzell* and its progeny. Movant has not detailed the qualities of the advocate, the  
20 character of the work performed, the actual work performed by the attorney,  
21 including skilled time and attention given to the work, and the result sufficiently.  
22 Movant has not provided sufficient competent evidence in support of Movant's  
23 request for fees.  
24  
25  
26

1 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED the analysis required under *EDCR*  
2 § 5.602 (e); *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969);  
3  
4 *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 668 P.2d 268 (1983); *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev.  
5 1367, 1370, 970 P.2d 1071, 1073 (1998)

6 ✓ was satisfied.

7        <sup>JV</sup> ~~was not satisfied.~~ The factors addressed by those case(s), prerequisite  
8  
9 to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the Motion with specificity as  
10 addressed above.

11 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED the court finds the fees charged by  
12  
13 Movant's counsel in this matter

14 ✓ were necessary to the matter and are reasonable in the marketplace  
15 given the experience and qualities of the advocates. Accordingly, an award of  
16 attorney fees is GRANTED the amount of \$2,659.50.  
17

18        were not proven necessary and reasonable. Accordingly, an award of  
19 attorney fees is DENIED.

20 \ \ \

21 \ \ \

22 \ \ \

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

26 \ \ \

1 The Discovery Commissioner, having met with counsel for the parties,  
2 discussed the issues noted above, and having reviewed any materials proposed in  
3 support thereof, hereby submits the above recommendations.  
4

5 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

6   
7 DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

8 Submitted by:

Bellisario v. Bellisario, Case No. D-20-605263-D

9 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

10  
11 By:   
12 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
13 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
14 4411 S. Pecos Road  
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
16 PH: (702) 474-7007  
17 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
18 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
5

6  
7 EMILY BELLISARIO,  
8 Plaintiff,

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

9  
10 vs.

Dept No.: P / Discovery

11 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,  
12 Defendant  
13

14  
15 **NOTICE**

16 Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within  
17 fourteen (14) days of being served with a report, any party may file and serve  
18 written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with  
19 an objection, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party  
20 may file and serve responding party within seven (7) days after being served with  
21 objections.  
22

23  
24 A copy of foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
25 Recommendations was:  
26  
27  
28

1                   \_\_\_ Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant on the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_, 2021, to the  
2 following address:  
3

4                   ✓ Electronically filed and served on the 2nd day of Sept, 2021

5                   Amanda Roberts, Esq. - [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

6                   Bradley John Bellisario (Pro-Se) - [bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
7

8                   The Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
9 deemed received at the time it is e-served to a party or the party's attorney.  
10  
11 Alternatively, the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
12 deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or a party's attorney; or  
13 three (3) days after the Clerk of the Court deposits a copy of the Report and  
14 Recommendations in a folder of the party's attorney in the Clerk's Office. EDCR  
15 2.34(f).  
16  
17

18                   Dated this 2nd day of Sept, 2021.  
19

20                   *Tracy George*  
21                   \_\_\_\_\_  
22                   Commissioner Designee  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Divorce - Complaint

COURT MINUTES

September 16, 2021

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

**September 16, 2021      09:00 AM      Return Hearing****HEARD BY:** Perry, Mary      **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23**COURT CLERK:** Medina, Kyle**PARTIES PRESENT:**

**Emily Bellisario, Counter Defendant, Plaintiff, Present**      **Amanda M Roberts, ESQ, Attorney, Present**

**Bradley John Bellisario, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Present**      **Pro Se**

**Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present****Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present****Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present****JOURNAL ENTRIES****RETURN HEARING: RETURN HEARING RE: DEFENDANT'S PSYCH EVALUATION**

In the interest of public safety due to the Coronavirus pandemic, all parties were present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the BlueJeans application.

The Defendant stated that he was unable to attend one of the scheduled visitations because he got shingles. Court discussed a trial date and deadlines for the required documentation for the trial.

COURT ORDERED the following:

The Defendant shall have two hours of make up visitation with the Minor Children in one hour increments.

Non Jury Trial SET for December 20, 2021 at 9:00 am. Close of Discovery shall be due on November 20, 2021. Expert reports shall be due by September 23, 2021. Rebuttal reports shall be due in thirty days. Pre Trial Memorandums and Financial Disclosure forms shall be due thirty days prior to the Trial date. Exhibits are due one (1) week prior to trial. Exhibits are due one (1) week prior to trial. The parties shall compile the Paper exhibits, separate them with tabs and number the lower right hand corner of each page in a binder. Deliver 2 copies to the Court and a copy uploaded to FCEvidence@clarkcountycourts.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Order from today and submit it to the Court.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:****FUTURE HEARINGS:****Printed Date: 9/24/2021****Page 1 of 2****Minutes Date:****September 16, 2021**

Notice: Journal Entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**AA3352**



Dec 20, 2021 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial  
Courtroom 23 Perry, Mary

1 TRANS

FILED

MAR 08 2022

*Amanda A. Roberts*  
CLERK OF COURT

COPY

5 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
6 FAMILY DIVISION  
7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA  
8

9 EMILY BELLISARIO, )  
10 Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. D-20-605263-D  
11 vs. ) DEPT. P  
12 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO, ) APPEAL NO. 84128  
13 Defendant. )  
14

15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY PERRY  
16 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

17 TRANSCRIPT RE: RETURN HEARING

18 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2021

19 APPEARANCES:

19 The Plaintiff: EMILY BELLISARIO  
20 For the Plaintiff: AMANDA ROBERTS, ESQ.  
21 (Via Bluejeans)  
22 4411 S. Pecos Road  
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
24 (702) 474-7007  
  
The Defendant: BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO  
(Via Bluejeans)

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2021

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 (THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 09:43:27)

4

5 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. We're on the  
6 record in case number D-20-605263-D, Bellisario versus  
7 Bellisario. How are we doing today?

8 MS. ROBERTS: Good, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Your appearance, please, ma'am?

10 MS. ROBERTS: Amanda Roberts, bar number 9294 on  
11 behalf of the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, who's appearing  
12 through Bluejeans.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning,  
14 Mr. Bellisario. How are you doing today?

15 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. Doing all right.

16 THE COURT: All right. We need to start moving this  
17 case along. I'm -- I'm supposed to have these things closed  
18 out, you know, when no kids are involved, within 12 months,  
19 when kids are involved, within six months. So we're not  
20 getting anywhere. We're going to go ahead and set a trial  
21 date, and we're going to move this thing forward. Are y'all  
22 available in -- in December?

23 MS. ROBERTS: What dates are you looking at, Your  
24 Honor?

1 THE COURT: Well, right now -- whoops, I got to get  
2 over to December again. I -- the one date I remember is the  
3 20th.

4 THE CLERK: 13th, 14th, or the 20th.

5 MS. ROBERTS: I could maybe do the 14th or the 20th,  
6 Your Honor. Which one's the best one for you?

7 MS. ROBERTS: I believe the 20th.

8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Bellisario, are  
9 you available on the 20th?

10 THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I don't know. I'm probably  
11 going to move to push it anyway, so.

12 THE COURT: Without a good reason, I don't -- I -- I  
13 try not to push trial dates. You know, this case --

14 THE DEFENDANT: I -- I -- I know.

15 THE COURT: -- has got to come to an end.

16 THE DEFENDANT: I'll -- I'll have my reasons, so.  
17 I'll put it out there.

18 THE COURT: I'm sure you will, sir.

19 MS. ROBERTS: Your Honor, since Mr. Bellisario's  
20 indicated -- my office will be closed for -- for Christmas  
21 during that time. Is it possible to move it to like, the  
22 first part of January, just because if he's going to ask for a  
23 continuance anyway, it would be more convenient in my schedule  
24 if that's possible. If not, that's fine, and I'll arrange to

1 be there.

2 THE COURT: I -- I really do not have -- my -- my  
3 next opening will be in March.

4 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. December 20th it is, Your  
5 Honor. Emily, does that work in your calendar?

6 THE PLAINTIFF: Yes.

7 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, can -- can I ask one  
8 thing --

9 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

10 THE DEFENDANT: -- of Ms. Roberts, just since we're  
11 here?

12 THE COURT: Yes, sir. You may.

13 THE DEFENDANT: So I had shingles like a month ago  
14 or whatever --

15 THE COURT: Ow.

16 THE DEFENDANT: -- and missed a visitation. Yeah.  
17 So it was like, up my spine, through my forehead, so I had to  
18 miss a visitation day.

19 THE COURT: Make it up.

20 THE DEFENDANT: And --

21 THE COURT: Let's let him make it up.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I was just seeing if we could  
23 do like, next week an hour, and the week after that, an hour.  
24 If that's okay.

1 THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah.

2 THE DEFENDANT: Because we have to --

3 THE COURT: If -- if -- if you can make it happen,  
4 Emily, I would really appreciate it. Shingles is not  
5 something you want around your kids, and I know it's painful,  
6 and I'm sorry you went through that, sir.

7 THE PLAINTIFF: I tried to do an extra hour every  
8 week. Chris (ph) from Family First said no.

9 THE COURT: All right. Well --

10 THE DEFENDANT: I'll ask him. We'll just have to  
11 make sure (indiscernible).

12 THE PLAINTIFF: He'll have to talk with him again  
13 and try to work out with him. Because that's preferable for  
14 me to add an extra hour every week --

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

16 THE PLAINTIFF: -- for the kids.

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 THE DEFENDANT: I've never heard anything from  
19 Christ about this, so I'll ask him today when we're there, but  
20 --

21 THE COURT: All right. Well -- well, maybe if, you  
22 know, Ms. Roberts, if you put it in the order that he is to  
23 get make up time?

24 MS. ROBERTS: Is it two hours that was missed,

1 Mr. Bellisario?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I'll just put in the order,  
5 Your Honor, that he's entitled to two make up -- two hours of  
6 makeup visits in one-hour increments at --

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MS. ROBERTS: -- Family First.

9 THE COURT: Yeah.

10 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Attached to the regular visits now. So  
12 that'll give them a little bit more quality time together.  
13 Fantastic. Okay. Then January 20th, so discovery is going to  
14 close 30 days prior to that.

15 MS. ROBERTS: December 20th, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: December --

17 MS. ROBERTS: I don't mean to interrupt you.

18 THE COURT: -- December 20th. Thank you for keeping  
19 me straight, ma'am. So it'll close December 20th. We've --  
20 whatever expert reports we've got right now, I mean --

21 MS. ROBERTS: No, you mean November, Your Honor.  
22 December 20th is our trial date. 30 days before that --

23 THE COURT: You know what I'm trying to say.

24 MS. ROBERTS: Well, and --

1 THE COURT: November 20th --  
2 MS. ROBERTS: (Indiscernible) --  
3 THE COURT: 30 days before trial date is when  
4 discovery closes. I'm going to want pretrial memorandums and  
5 final -- financial disclosure forms 30 days prior to trial.  
6 Experts should -- needs -- needs to be disclosed immediately,  
7 if we're going to have any. Rebuttal, we'll -- you know,  
8 you've got 30 days from now to get them disclosed. I'll --  
9 I'll go seven days if there's experts. You know, we've got to  
10 get it disclosed, reports disclosed within seven days, and  
11 then 30 days after that for a rebuttal expert.  
12 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.  
13 THE COURT: All right. All right. I will see you  
14 all in December.  
15 MS. ROBERTS: May I prepare the order, Your Honor,  
16 and just submit it, since it's just these minor trial date  
17 issues --  
18 THE COURT: Yes.  
19 MS. ROBERTS: -- and the two hours of make up visit?  
20 THE COURT: Yes.  
21 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.  
22 THE COURT: Yes.  
23 MS. ROBERTS: I'll submit it --  
24 THE COURT: Yeah. The sooner --



1 MS. ROBERTS: -- to the Court.

2 THE COURT: The sooner you get it to me, the sooner  
3 Mr. Bellisario will have the order in hand so that he can, you  
4 know, show Families First that it's got to happen.

5 MS. ROBERTS: I will do it today, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

7 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

8 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 09:48:39)

9 \* \* \* \* \*

10 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and  
11 correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the  
12 above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

13

14 /s/ Nita Painter  
15 Nita Painter

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

**ORDR**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT**  
**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,	)	Case No: D-20-605263-D
	)	Dept No: P
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER AFTER HEARING</b>
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	Date of Hearing: September 16, 2021
	)	Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.
	)	

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021, for the return hearing regarding Defendant's psychological evaluation. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present in proper person. The Parties and Counsel appearances via Blue Jeans video application, in accordance

1 with the Administrative Order. The Court having heard the arguments and  
2 reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby finds and Orders as follows:

3 NOW THEREFORE,  
4

5 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant shall be able to make-  
6 up the two (2) hours missed visitation, in one (1) hour increments added to his  
7 current supervised visitation at Family First.  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that an expert reports shall be provided  
10 on or before September 23, 2021; and any rebuttal reports shall be provided on or  
11 before October 16, 2021.  
12

13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Trial is scheduled on December 20,  
14 2021, at 9:00 a.m.

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that discovery shall close on November  
16 20, 2021.  
17

18 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall file and serve an  
19 updated Financial Disclosure Form on or before November 20, 2021.  
20

21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall file and serve a  
22 Pre-Trial Memorandum on or before November 20, 2021.

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

26 \ \ \

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

The Parties are put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.006, which states:

- (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and
- (b) If the noncustodial parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to relocate with the child.

(a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or  
(b) For the purpose of harassing the custodial parent.

Page 3 of 6

1 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which  
2 states:

3  
4 *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE*  
5 *ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A*  
6 *CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE*  
7 *AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §*  
8 *193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a*  
9 *limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right*  
10 *of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or*  
11 *removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person*  
12 *having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in*  
13 *violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the*  
14 *jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court*  
15 *or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is*  
16 *subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in*  
17 *NRS §193.130.*

18 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of  
19 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
20 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a  
21 foreign country.

22 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of  
23 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

24 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection  
25 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the  
26 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the  
27 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or  
28 wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has  
significant commitments in a foreign country:

1  
2 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the  
3 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the  
4 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of  
5 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in  
6 Subsection 7.

7 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the  
8 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent  
9 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or  
10 concealing the child outside the country of habitual  
11 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the  
12 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the  
13 child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child  
14 is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country  
15 of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant  
16 commitments in a foreign country does not create a  
17 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of  
18 wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

19 The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of  
20 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support  
21 payments.

22 The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of  
23 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

24 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*  
25 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare  
26 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date  
27 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the  
28


1 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record.

2 The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare

3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of  
4 that information become inaccurate.  
5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17th day of September, 2021



10 Submitted this 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
11 September, 2021.

Approved as to Content and Form:

EFB 102 CB3D 042E  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

12 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
13 **LAW GROUP**

14  
15 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
16 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
17 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
18 4411 South Pecos Road  
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
20 PH: (702) 474-7007  
21 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
22 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
23 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 9/17/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 ORDER

2  
3  
4 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
6  
7

8 EMILY BELLISARIO,

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

Dept. No. P / Discovery

11 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

12 Defendant  
13

14  
15 **ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND**  
16 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

17 The Court having reviewed the above Report and Recommendation's prepared by  
18 the Discovery Commissioner and,

19 ☒ No timely objection having been filed,

20 ☐ After reviewing the objection to the Report and  
21 Recommendation's and good cause appearing,

22 AND

23 ☒ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
24 Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.

25 ☐ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
26 Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as  
27 modified in the following matter. (attached hereto)

28 ☐ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the  
Discovery Commissioner for reconsideration or further action.


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
Report and Recommendations are reversed.


\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery  
Commissioner's Report is

~~Set for the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021 at \_\_\_\_ a.m. / p.m.~~

Dated this 20th day of September, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'MPB', written over a horizontal line.

28B 7EA FC26 69FA  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge



1 **DCRR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

(Discovery Commissioner)

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S  
REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS**

**DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., Counsel for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario.

Bradley Bellisario in Proper Person.

On March 17, 2021, the Parties to the above-captioned matter appeared before the Honorable Discovery Commissioner, Jay Young, by and through their Counsel listed above, on Movant's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery, for Attorneys Fees and Costs, and Related Relief. Affidavit of Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. (the "Motion"). The Court reviewed the Motion and entertained

1 oral argument made by the Parties. For good cause appearing, the Discovery  
2 Commissioner hereby makes the following findings and recommendations:

3  
4 **I. FINDINGS**

5 A Court may not award attorney fees or costs unless authorized to do so by a  
6 statute, rule, or contract. *U.S. Design & Const. Corp. v. Int'l Bhd. of Elec. Workers*,  
7 118 Nev. 458, 462, 50 P.3d 170, 173 (2002). Movant seeks an award of reasonable  
8 attorney fees and costs.

9  
10 **A. MOVANT SEEKS AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES**

11 The Motion seeks an award of attorney fees pursuant to *Brunzell v. Golden*  
12 *Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and *Wright v. Osburn*,  
13 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998). *EDCR* § 5.602 allows for an award of fees  
14 “responding party fails to participate in good faith in the conference or to answer  
15 the discovery[.]”  
16

17  
18 The Court here has determined that an award of attorney fees is appropriate  
19 under *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and  
20 *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998), because they were  
21 satisfied by Counsel’s Memorandum. The factors addressed by those cases,  
22 prerequisite to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the moving points and  
23 authorities with specificity. The request for fees of Plaintiff’s attorney and staff was  
24  
25

1 reasonable and necessary. Having determined that the Movant is entitled to an  
2 award of fees, the court next turns its attention to the amount of the award.

3  
4 The Court has great discretion regarding its decision to award fees and  
5 regarding the amount of fees granted. The Court's discretion is "tempered only by  
6 reason and fairness." *Albios v. Horizon Communities, Inc.*, 122 Nev. 409, 427, 132  
7 P.3d 1022, 1034 (2006) (quoting *University of Nevada v. Tarkanian*, 110 Nev. 581,  
8 591, 879 P.2d 1180, 1186 (1994)).

10 "In determining the amount of fees to award, the [district] court is not limited  
11 to one specific approach; its analysis may begin with any method rationally  
12 designed to calculate a reasonable amount, so long as the requested amount is  
13 reviewed in light of the" *Brunzell* factors. *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260, 266, 350  
14 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015) (citing *Haley v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 128 Nev. 171,  
15 273 P.3d 855, 860 (2012) (internal quotations omitted)).

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

1           The Supreme Court in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345,  
2 349–50, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969) gave guidance on how a Court is to determine the  
3 reasonable value of the work performed by a Movant’s Counsel.<sup>1</sup> *Brunzell* directs  
4 Courts to consider the following when determining a reasonable amount of attorney  
5 fees to award:  
6

7           (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education,  
8 experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the  
9 work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time  
10 and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence  
11 and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the  
12 litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill,  
time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the  
attorney was successful and what benefits were derived.

13 *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted). In addition to the *Brunzell* factors, the court  
14 must evaluate the disparity of income between parties to family law matters.  
15 *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 1370, 970 P.2d 1071, 1073 (1998).]

17           The Court can follow any rational method so long as it applies the *Brunzell*  
18 factors; it is not confined to authorizing an award of attorney fees exclusively from  
19 billing records or hourly statements. *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260, 266, 350 P.3d  
20 1139, 1143 (2015); *Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 864,  
21 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005) (approving awards based on a “lodestar” amount, as well  
22 as a contingency fee arrangement). Although the Court must “expressly analyze  
23

24  
25  
26 <sup>1</sup> The court must determine the reasonable rates for all persons for whose time a party seeks  
27 reimbursement, including partners, associates, paralegals, and law clerks, etc. *See LVMPD v.*  
*Yeghiazarian*, 129 Nev. 760, 770, 312 P.3d 503, 510 (2013).

1 each factor”, no single factor should be given undue weight. *Logan v. Abe*, 131  
2 Nev. 260, 266, 350 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015); *Brunzell*, 85 Nev. at 349-50, 455 P.2d  
3 at 33.  
4

5 After determining the reasonable value of an attorney’s services analyzing  
6 the factors established in *Brunzell*, the Court must then provide sufficient reasoning  
7 and findings concerning those factors in its Order. *Shuette v. Beazer Homes*  
8 *Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 865, 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005). The Court’s  
9 decision must be supported by “substantial evidence.” *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260,  
10 266, 350 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015).  
11  
12

13 Substantial evidence supporting a request for fees must be presented to the  
14 Court by “affidavits, unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury, depositions,  
15 answers to interrogatories, [or] admissions on file”. *EDCR* 2.21(a). Sworn  
16 statements submitted pursuant to *EDCR* 2.21(a) must be sufficient to satisfy *NRC*  
17 *P* 56(e). *EDCR* 2.21(c). Unsworn statements of Counsel and conclusory statements  
18 in pleadings not otherwise presented in compliance with *EDCR* 2.21(a) may not be  
19 considered by the Court. The Supreme Court has confirmed that the *Brunzell*  
20 factors must be presented by affidavit or other competent evidence. *Miller v.*  
21 *Wilfong*, 121 Nev. 619, 624, 119 P.3d 727, 730 (2005); *Katz v. Incline Vill. Gen.*  
22 *Improvement Dist.*, 452 P.3d 411 (Nev. 2019), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 253, 208 L.  
23 Ed. 2d 26 (2020) (citing *Herbst v. Humana Health Ins. of Nev., Inc.*, 105 Nev. 586,  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 591, 781 P.2d 762, 765 (1989) (holding that an affidavit documenting the hours of  
2 work performed, the length of litigation, and the number of volumes of appendices  
3 on appeal was sufficient evidence to enable the court to make a reasonable  
4 determination of attorney fees, even in the absence of a detailed billing statement);  
5 *Cooke v. Gove*, 61 Nev. 55, 57, 114 P.2d 87, 88 (1941) (upholding  
6 an award of attorney fees based on, among other evidence, two depositions from  
7 attorneys testifying about the value of the services rendered)). An award that is not  
8 based on such substantial evidence is subject to reversal, as the court will have no  
9 factual basis on which to base its decision. *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 668  
10 P.2d 268 (1983).  
11  
12  
13

14 In the instant matter, Movant provided the court with the following sworn  
15 testimony and other evidence: Plaintiff's Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and  
16 Costs filed on April 14, 2021. Movant argues each *Brunzell* factor as follows:  
17

### 18 **1. The Qualities of the Advocate**

19 The breakdown of factors under *Brunzell* for Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., are  
20 as follows:  
21

- 22 a. She has been practicing law since 2005.
- 23 b. She has focused her practice primarily around family law.
- 24 c. She is in good standing with the State Bar of Nevada.
- 25 d. She participated in a weekly radio show geared at the Clark  
County community, focused on issues relative to family law.
- 26 e. Yearly, she attends continuing legal education classes to stay  
abreast of changes in the area of family law.



- 1 f. She has drafted Motions, argued before the District Court  
2 Judges and Hearing Masters on issues related to domestic  
3 violence/custody/divorce/ adoption/termination of parental  
4 rights, brought and defended individuals at Evidentiary  
5 Hearings and Evidentiary Hearings. Additionally, Counsel  
6 has taken cases on Appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada.  
7 g. She sat *pro tem* for the Hearing Master related to Protection  
8 Orders.  
9 h. She has been appointed by the Court in the capacity as a  
10 Guardian Ad Litem, CAP Attorney, Guardianship  
11 Investigator, and Parenting Coordinator.  
12 i. The work actually preformed by Ms. Roberts and her staff  
13 was reasonably related to Cesar's refusal to cooperate in  
14 discovery in this matter. The work was not overly  
15 complicated, but time consuming because it required Ms.  
16 Roberts to detail deficiencies in the discovery responses and  
17 outline differences between the discovery requests and those  
18 actually transcribed by Cesar's Counsel and/or his staff.

## 13 2. The Character of the Work

14 The discovery requests are important to the claims and defenses asserted by  
15 the Movant regarding child custody and financial issues; the work is not overly  
16 difficult and readily known to Movant's Counsel who practices primarily in the  
17 arear of family law; and the time required to complete the work was laid out in  
18 detail in the Memorandum of Fees and Costs, incorporated herein by reference.  
19

## 21 3. The Work Performed

22 Movant's Counsel did the following work related to the requests herein:  
23

- 24 a. Emily served discovery requests upon Bradley and he failed  
25 to respond.  
26 b. Emily's Counsel attempted to garner Bradley's cooperation  
27 and compliance with regards to responding to the outstanding  
28 discovery requests to no avail. Emily's Counsel sent detailed

1 correspondence outlining Bradley's outstanding discovery  
2 responses.

- 3 c. Emily's Counsel set a Discovery Dispute Conference which  
4 Bradley failed to participate in despite Ms. Roberts calls and  
5 email to him at the designated time.
- 6 d. Bradley still failed to provide response to the discovery  
7 requests.
- 8 e. Emily's discovery requests were properly served upon  
9 Bradley.
- 10 f. Emily's Motion to Compel discovery was properly served  
11 upon Bradley.
- 12 g. Bradley failed to file any response to the Motion to Compel  
13 discovery and request for attorney's fees.

#### 14 **4. The Result**

15 Movant prevailed on the requests and the Discovery Commission issued  
16 recommendations that were adopted as Orders of the Court which favored Movant's  
17 position in this matter.

#### 18 **5. Disparity in Income** (Only in Family Law Matters)

19 In this matter, the Court issued a finding that Bradley's income is \$18,000.00  
20 per month (*see Order* filed January 24, 2021). In contrast, Emily's income is  
21 \$980.97 per month without taking consideration child support and spousal support,  
22 which is not being paid by Bradley, although Ordered. Therefore, it is alleged that  
23 the disparity in income is significant to require Bradley's to pay attorney fees and  
24 costs.

25 Movant provided evidence suggesting Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., spent 6.5  
26 hours at the rate of \$375.00 per hour on matters related to the activities for which  
27

1 the Court Ordered an award of fees. Movant provided evidence suggesting Holli  
2 Miller spent 0.08 hours at the rate of \$150.00 per hour on matters related to the  
3 activities for which the court ordered an award of fees. Movant provided evidence  
4 suggesting Colleen O'Brien. spent 4.5 hours at the rate of \$150.00 per hour on  
5 matters related to the activities for which the court ordered an award of fees Movant  
6 asks the court for an award of \$3,239.50 of attorney fees. Defendant did not oppose the  
7 sufficiency of evidence or the amount of fees and costs requested by Plaintiff. JV  
8

9 **II. RECOMMENDATIONS**

10 The Court has reviewed Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed on  
11 April 14, 2021, and finds:

12 ✓ Movant has adequately addressed the factors required by *Brunzell*  
13 and its progeny. Movant has detailed the qualities of the advocate, the character of  
14 the work performed, the actual work performed by the attorney, including skilled  
15 time and attention given to the work, and the result. Movant has provided  
16 competent evidence in support of Movant's request for fees.  
17

18 \_\_\_\_\_ Movant has not adequately addressed the factors required by  
19 *Brunzell* and its progeny. Movant has not detailed the qualities of the advocate, the  
20 character of the work performed, the actual work performed by the attorney,  
21 including skilled time and attention given to the work, and the result sufficiently.  
22 Movant has not provided sufficient competent evidence in support of Movant's  
23 request for fees.  
24  
25  
26

1 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED the analysis required under *EDCR*  
2 § 5.602 (e); *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969);  
3  
4 *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 668 P.2d 268 (1983); *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev.  
5 1367, 1370, 970 P.2d 1071, 1073 (1998)

6 ✓ was satisfied.

7        <sup>JV</sup> ~~was not satisfied.~~ The factors addressed by those case(s), prerequisite  
8  
9 to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the Motion with specificity as  
10 addressed above.

11 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED the court finds the fees charged by  
12  
13 Movant's counsel in this matter

14 ✓ were necessary to the matter and are reasonable in the marketplace  
15 given the experience and qualities of the advocates. Accordingly, an award of  
16 attorney fees is GRANTED the amount of \$2,659.50.  
17

18        were not proven necessary and reasonable. Accordingly, an award of  
19 attorney fees is DENIED.

20 \ \ \

21 \ \ \

22 \ \ \

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

26 \ \ \

1 The Discovery Commissioner, having met with counsel for the parties,  
2 discussed the issues noted above, and having reviewed any materials proposed in  
3 support thereof, hereby submits the above recommendations.  
4

5 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

6   
7 DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

8 Submitted by:

Bellisario v. Bellisario, Case No. D-20-605263-D

9 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

10  
11 By:   
12 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
13 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
14 4411 S. Pecos Road  
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
16 PH: (702) 474-7007  
17 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
18 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
5  
6

7 EMILY BELLISARIO,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9

10 vs.

11 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,  
12 Defendant  
13

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

Dept No.: P / Discovery

14  
15 **NOTICE**

16 Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within  
17 fourteen (14) days of being served with a report, any party may file and serve  
18 written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with  
19 an objection, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party  
20 may file and serve responding party within seven (7) days after being served with  
21 objections.  
22  
23

24 A copy of foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
25 Recommendations was:  
26  
27  
28

1                   \_\_\_ Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant on the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_, 2021, to the  
2 following address:  
3

4                   ✓ Electronically filed and served on the 2nd day of Sept, 2021

5                   Amanda Roberts, Esq. - [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

6                   Bradley John Bellisario (Pro-Se) - [bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
7

8                   The Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
9 deemed received at the time it is e-served to a party or the party's attorney.  
10  
11 Alternatively, the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
12 deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or a party's attorney; or  
13 three (3) days after the Clerk of the Court deposits a copy of the Report and  
14 Recommendations in a folder of the party's attorney in the Clerk's Office. EDCR  
15 2.34(f).  
16  
17

18                   Dated this 2nd day of Sept, 2021.  
19

20                   *Tracy George*  
21                   \_\_\_\_\_  
22                   Commissioner Designee  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 9/20/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com


17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us





1 **NEOJ**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

3 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

4 4411 S. Pecos Road  
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

5 PH: (702) 474-7007

6 FAX: (702) 474-7477

6 EMAIL: [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

7 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

8 **DISTRICT COURT**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

11 EMILY BELLISARIO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.  
14

15 BRADELY BELLISARIO,

16 Defendant.

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

) **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**  
) **AFTER HEARING**

17  
18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order After Hearing was duly entered on  
2 the 17<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021, a copy of which is attached hereto and fully  
3 incorporated herein.  
4

5 DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021.


6 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

7 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
8 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
9 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
10 4411 S. Pecos Road  
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
12 PH: (702) 474-7007  
13 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
14 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario  
Email: [Bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:Bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
Defendant *in proper person*

ellisariolaw.com  
per person  
: 

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

**ORDR**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT**  
**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,	) Case No: D-20-605263-D
	) Dept No: P
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
	) <b>ORDER AFTER HEARING</b>
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,	)
	)
Defendant.	) Date of Hearing: September 16, 2021
	) Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.
	)

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021, for the return hearing regarding Defendant's psychological evaluation. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present in proper person. The Parties and Counsel appearances via Blue Jeans video application, in accordance

1 with the Administrative Order. The Court having heard the arguments and  
2 reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby finds and Orders as follows:  
3

4 NOW THEREFORE,

5 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant shall be able to make-  
6 up the two (2) hours missed visitation, in one (1) hour increments added to his  
7 current supervised visitation at Family First.  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that an expert reports shall be provided  
10 on or before September 23, 2021; and any rebuttal reports shall be provided on or  
11 before October 16, 2021.  
12

13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Trial is scheduled on December 20,  
14 2021, at 9:00 a.m.

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that discovery shall close on November  
16 20, 2021.  
17

18 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall file and serve an  
19 updated Financial Disclosure Form on or before November 20, 2021.  
20

21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall file and serve a  
22 Pre-Trial Memorandum on or before November 20, 2021.

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2  
3  
4

5  
6

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14

15

15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20

21

22

23  
24  
25

1 Both Parties shall be bound by the provisions of *NRS* §125C.0045(6) which  
2 states:

3  
4 *PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE*  
5 *ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A*  
6 *CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE*  
7 *AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS §*  
8 *193.130. NRS § 200.359 provides that every person having a*  
9 *limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right*  
10 *of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or*  
11 *removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person*  
12 *having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in*  
13 *violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the*  
14 *jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court*  
15 *or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is*  
16 *subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in*  
17 *NRS §193.130.*

18 Pursuant to *NRS* §125C.0045(7), the terms of the Hague Convention of  
19 October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
20 International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a  
21 foreign country.

22 The minor children's habitual residence is located in the United States of  
23 America. *NRS* § 125C.0045 (7) and (8) specifically provide as follows:

24 Section 7. In addition to the language required pursuant to subsection  
25 6, all orders authorized by this section must specify that the terms of the  
26 Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the  
27 Hague Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or  
28 wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

Section 8. If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has  
significant commitments in a foreign country:

1  
2 (a) The parties may agree, and the Court shall include in the  
3 Order for custody of the child, that the United States is the  
4 country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of  
5 applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in  
6 Subsection 7.

7 (b) Upon motion of the parties, the Court may order the  
8 parent to post a bond if the Court determines that the parent  
9 poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or  
10 concealing the child outside the country of habitual  
11 residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the  
12 Court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the  
13 child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child  
14 is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country  
15 of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant  
16 commitments in a foreign country does not create a  
17 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of  
18 wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

19 The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of  
20 *NRS* §31A and *NRS* § 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support  
21 payments.

22 The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of  
23 child support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

24 The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS*  
25 §125.130 and *NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare  
26 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date  
27 the Decree in this matter is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the  
28




1 Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record.

2 The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare  
3 Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of  
4 that information become inaccurate.  
5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17th day of September, 2021

7  
8   
9

10 Submitted this 16<sup>th</sup> day of  
11 September, 2021.

Approved as to Content and Form:

EFB 102 CB3D 042E  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

12 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
13 **LAW GROUP**

14  
15 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
16 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
17 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
18 4411 South Pecos Road  
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
20 PH: (702) 474-7007  
21 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
22 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
23 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT  
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4  
5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 9/17/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 **NEOJ**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADELY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER  
ON DISCOVERY  
COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS**

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order on Discovery Commissioner's  
2 Report and Recommendations was duly entered on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021,  
3  
4 a copy of which is attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.

5 DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2021.

6 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

7 By: Amanda M. Roberts

8 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
9 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
10 4411 S. Pecos Road  
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
12 PH: (702) 474-7007  
13 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
14 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario  
Email: [Bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:Bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
Defendant *in proper person*

Page 3 of 3

1 ORDR

2  
3  
4 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

5 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

6  
7  
8 EMILY BELLISARIO,

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

Dept. No. P / Discovery

11 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

12 Defendant

13  
14  
15 **ORDER ON DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND**  
16 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

17 The Court having reviewed the above Report and Recommendation's prepared by  
18 the Discovery Commissioner and,

19   X   No timely objection having been filed,

20        After reviewing the objection to the Report and  
21 Recommendation's and good cause appearing,

22 AND

23   X   IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
24 Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.

25        IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
26 Report and Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as  
27 modified in the following matter. (attached hereto)

28        IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this matter is remanded to the  
Discovery Commissioner for reconsideration or further action.


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's  
Report and Recommendations are reversed.

\_\_\_\_ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery  
Commissioner's Report is

~~Set for the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021 at \_\_\_\_ a.m. / p.m.~~

Dated this 20th day of September, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'MP' with a large, sweeping flourish underneath.

28B 7EA FC26 69FA  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge



1 **DCRR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

17 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

(Discovery Commissioner)

18 **DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S**  
19 **REPORT AND**  
20 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

21 **DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

22 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., Counsel for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario.

23 Bradley Bellisario in Proper Person.

24 On March 17, 2021, the Parties to the above-captioned matter appeared  
25 before the Honorable Discovery Commissioner, Jay Young, by and through their  
26 Counsel listed above, on Movant's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel  
27 Discovery, for Attorneys Fees and Costs, and Related Relief. Affidavit of Amanda  
28 M. Roberts, Esq. (the "Motion"). The Court reviewed the Motion and entertained



1 oral argument made by the Parties. For good cause appearing, the Discovery  
2 Commissioner hereby makes the following findings and recommendations:

3  
4 **I. FINDINGS**

5 A Court may not award attorney fees or costs unless authorized to do so by a  
6 statute, rule, or contract. *U.S. Design & Const. Corp. v. Int'l Bhd. of Elec. Workers*,  
7 118 Nev. 458, 462, 50 P.3d 170, 173 (2002). Movant seeks an award of reasonable  
8 attorney fees and costs.  
9

10 **A. MOVANT SEEKS AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES**

11 The Motion seeks an award of attorney fees pursuant to *Brunzell v. Golden*  
12 *Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and *Wright v. Osburn*,  
13 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998). *EDCR* § 5.602 allows for an award of fees  
14 “responding party fails to participate in good faith in the conference or to answer  
15 the discovery[.]”  
16  
17

18 The Court here has determined that an award of attorney fees is appropriate  
19 under *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969) and  
20 *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998), because they were  
21 satisfied by Counsel’s Memorandum. The factors addressed by those cases,  
22 prerequisite to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the moving points and  
23 authorities with specificity. The request for fees of Plaintiff’s attorney and staff was  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 reasonable and necessary. Having determined that the Movant is entitled to an  
2 award of fees, the court next turns its attention to the amount of the award.

3  
4 The Court has great discretion regarding its decision to award fees and  
5 regarding the amount of fees granted. The Court's discretion is "tempered only by  
6 reason and fairness." *Albios v. Horizon Communities, Inc.*, 122 Nev. 409, 427, 132  
7 P.3d 1022, 1034 (2006) (quoting *University of Nevada v. Tarkanian*, 110 Nev. 581,  
8 591, 879 P.2d 1180, 1186 (1994)).

9  
10 "In determining the amount of fees to award, the [district] court is not limited  
11 to one specific approach; its analysis may begin with any method rationally  
12 designed to calculate a reasonable amount, so long as the requested amount is  
13 reviewed in light of the" *Brunzell* factors. *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260, 266, 350  
14 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015) (citing *Haley v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 128 Nev. 171,  
15 273 P.3d 855, 860 (2012) (internal quotations omitted)).  
16  
17

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

1           The Supreme Court in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*, 85 Nev. 345,  
2 349–50, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969) gave guidance on how a Court is to determine the  
3 reasonable value of the work performed by a Movant’s Counsel.<sup>1</sup> *Brunzell* directs  
4 Courts to consider the following when determining a reasonable amount of attorney  
5 fees to award:  
6

7           (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education,  
8 experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the  
9 work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time  
10 and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence  
11 and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the  
12 litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill,  
time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the  
attorney was successful and what benefits were derived.

13 *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted). In addition to the *Brunzell* factors, the court  
14 must evaluate the disparity of income between parties to family law matters.  
15  
16 *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev. 1367, 1370, 970 P.2d 1071, 1073 (1998).]

17           The Court can follow any rational method so long as it applies the *Brunzell*  
18 factors; it is not confined to authorizing an award of attorney fees exclusively from  
19 billing records or hourly statements. *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260, 266, 350 P.3d  
20 1139, 1143 (2015); *Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 864,  
21 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005) (approving awards based on a “lodestar” amount, as well  
22 as a contingency fee arrangement). Although the Court must “expressly analyze  
23  
24

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> The court must determine the reasonable rates for all persons for whose time a party seeks  
27 reimbursement, including partners, associates, paralegals, and law clerks, etc. *See LVMPD v.*  
*Yeghiazarian*, 129 Nev. 760, 770, 312 P.3d 503, 510 (2013).

1 each factor”, no single factor should be given undue weight. *Logan v. Abe*, 131  
2 Nev. 260, 266, 350 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015); *Brunzell*, 85 Nev. at 349-50, 455 P.2d  
3 at 33.  
4

5 After determining the reasonable value of an attorney’s services analyzing  
6 the factors established in *Brunzell*, the Court must then provide sufficient reasoning  
7 and findings concerning those factors in its Order. *Shuette v. Beazer Homes*  
8 *Holdings Corp.*, 121 Nev. 837, 865, 124 P.3d 530, 549 (2005). The Court’s  
9 decision must be supported by “substantial evidence.” *Logan v. Abe*, 131 Nev. 260,  
10 266, 350 P.3d 1139, 1143 (2015).  
11

12 Substantial evidence supporting a request for fees must be presented to the  
13 Court by “affidavits, unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury, depositions,  
14 answers to interrogatories, [or] admissions on file”. *EDCR* 2.21(a). Sworn  
15 statements submitted pursuant to *EDCR* 2.21(a) must be sufficient to satisfy *NRCP*  
16 56(e). *EDCR* 2.21(c). Unsworn statements of Counsel and conclusory statements  
17 in pleadings not otherwise presented in compliance with *EDCR* 2.21(a) may not be  
18 considered by the Court. The Supreme Court has confirmed that the *Brunzell*  
19 factors must be presented by affidavit or other competent evidence. *Miller v.*  
20 *Wilfong*, 121 Nev. 619, 624, 119 P.3d 727, 730 (2005); *Katz v. Incline Vill. Gen.*  
21 *Improvement Dist.*, 452 P.3d 411 (Nev. 2019), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 253, 208 L.  
22 Ed. 2d 26 (2020) (citing *Herbst v. Humana Health Ins. of Nev., Inc.*, 105 Nev. 586,  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 591, 781 P.2d 762, 765 (1989) (holding that an affidavit documenting the hours of  
2 work performed, the length of litigation, and the number of volumes of appendices  
3 on appeal was sufficient evidence to enable the court to make a reasonable  
4 determination of attorney fees, even in the absence of a detailed billing statement);  
5 *Cooke v. Gove*, 61 Nev. 55, 57, 114 P.2d 87, 88 (1941) (upholding  
6 an award of attorney fees based on, among other evidence, two depositions from  
7 attorneys testifying about the value of the services rendered)). An award that is not  
8 based on such substantial evidence is subject to reversal, as the court will have no  
9 factual basis on which to base its decision. *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 668  
10 P.2d 268 (1983).  
11  
12  
13

14 In the instant matter, Movant provided the court with the following sworn  
15 testimony and other evidence: Plaintiff's Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and  
16 Costs filed on April 14, 2021. Movant argues each *Brunzell* factor as follows:  
17

### 18 **1. The Qualities of the Advocate**

19 The breakdown of factors under *Brunzell* for Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., are  
20 as follows:  
21

- 22 a. She has been practicing law since 2005.
  - 23 b. She has focused her practice primarily around family law.
  - 24 c. She is in good standing with the State Bar of Nevada.
  - 25 d. She participated in a weekly radio show geared at the Clark  
County community, focused on issues relative to family law.
  - 26 e. Yearly, she attends continuing legal education classes to stay  
abreast of changes in the area of family law.
- 27  
28

- 1 f. She has drafted Motions, argued before the District Court  
2 Judges and Hearing Masters on issues related to domestic  
3 violence/custody/divorce/ adoption/termination of parental  
4 rights, brought and defended individuals at Evidentiary  
Hearings and Evidentiary Hearings. Additionally, Counsel  
has taken cases on Appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada.  
5 g. She sat *pro tem* for the Hearing Master related to Protection  
6 Orders.  
7 h. She has been appointed by the Court in the capacity as a  
Guardian Ad Litem, CAP Attorney, Guardianship  
8 Investigator, and Parenting Coordinator.  
9 i. The work actually preformed by Ms. Roberts and her staff  
10 was reasonably related to Cesar's refusal to cooperate in  
11 discovery in this matter. The work was not overly  
12 complicated, but time consuming because it required Ms.  
Roberts to detail deficiencies in the discovery responses and  
outline differences between the discovery requests and those  
actually transcribed by Cesar's Counsel and/or his staff.

## 13 2. The Character of the Work

14 The discovery requests are important to the claims and defenses asserted by  
15 the Movant regarding child custody and financial issues; the work is not overly  
16 difficult and readily known to Movant's Counsel who practices primarily in the  
17 arear of family law; and the time required to complete the work was laid out in  
18 detail in the Memorandum of Fees and Costs, incorporated herein by reference.  
19  
20

## 21 3. The Work Performed

22 Movant's Counsel did the following work related to the requests herein:  
23

- 24 a. Emily served discovery requests upon Bradley and he failed  
to respond.  
25 b. Emily's Counsel attempted to garner Bradley's cooperation  
26 and compliance with regards to responding to the outstanding  
discovery requests to no avail. Emily's Counsel sent detailed  
27

1 correspondence outlining Bradley's outstanding discovery  
2 responses.

- 3 c. Emily's Counsel set a Discovery Dispute Conference which  
4 Bradley failed to participate in despite Ms. Roberts calls and  
5 email to him at the designated time.
- 6 d. Bradley still failed to provide response to the discovery  
7 requests.
- 8 e. Emily's discovery requests were properly served upon  
9 Bradley.
- 10 f. Emily's Motion to Compel discovery was properly served  
11 upon Bradley.
- 12 g. Bradley failed to file any response to the Motion to Compel  
13 discovery and request for attorney's fees.

#### 14 **4. The Result**

15 Movant prevailed on the requests and the Discovery Commission issued  
16 recommendations that were adopted as Orders of the Court which favored Movant's  
17 position in this matter.

#### 18 **5. Disparity in Income (Only in Family Law Matters)**

19 In this matter, the Court issued a finding that Bradley's income is \$18,000.00  
20 per month (*see Order* filed January 24, 2021). In contrast, Emily's income is  
21 \$980.97 per month without taking consideration child support and spousal support,  
22 which is not being paid by Bradley, although Ordered. Therefore, it is alleged that  
23 the disparity in income is significant to require Bradley's to pay attorney fees and  
24 costs.

25 Movant provided evidence suggesting Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., spent 6.5  
26 hours at the rate of \$375.00 per hour on matters related to the activities for which  
27

1 the Court Ordered an award of fees. Movant provided evidence suggesting Holli  
2 Miller spent 0.08 hours at the rate of \$150.00 per hour on matters related to the  
3 activities for which the court ordered an award of fees. Movant provided evidence  
4 suggesting Colleen O'Brien. spent 4.5 hours at the rate of \$150.00 per hour on  
5 matters related to the activities for which the court ordered an award of fees Movant  
6 asks the court for an award of \$3,239.50 of attorney fees. Defendant did not oppose the  
7 sufficiency of evidence or the amount of fees and costs requested by Plaintiff. JV  
8

## 9 **II. RECOMMENDATIONS**

10 The Court has reviewed Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed on  
11 April 14, 2021, and finds:

12 ✓ Movant has adequately addressed the factors required by *Brunzell*  
13 and its progeny. Movant has detailed the qualities of the advocate, the character of  
14 the work performed, the actual work performed by the attorney, including skilled  
15 time and attention given to the work, and the result. Movant has provided  
16 competent evidence in support of Movant's request for fees.  
17

18        Movant has not adequately addressed the factors required by  
19 *Brunzell* and its progeny. Movant has not detailed the qualities of the advocate, the  
20 character of the work performed, the actual work performed by the attorney,  
21 including skilled time and attention given to the work, and the result sufficiently.  
22 Movant has not provided sufficient competent evidence in support of Movant's  
23 request for fees.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED the analysis required under *EDCR*  
2 § 5.602 (e); *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969);  
3 *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 668 P.2d 268 (1983); *Wright v. Osburn*, 114 Nev.  
4 1367, 1370, 970 P.2d 1071, 1073 (1998)

5  
6 ☒ was satisfied.

7  
8 ~~\_\_\_\_\_ was not satisfied.~~<sup>JV</sup> The factors addressed by those case(s), prerequisite  
9 to an award of attorney fees, were set forth in the Motion with specificity as  
10 addressed above.

11 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED the court finds the fees charged by  
12 Movant's counsel in this matter

13  
14 ☒ were necessary to the matter and are reasonable in the marketplace  
15 given the experience and qualities of the advocates. Accordingly, an award of  
16 attorney fees is GRANTED the amount of \$2,659.50.

17  
18 \_\_\_\_\_ were not proven necessary and reasonable. Accordingly, an award of  
19 attorney fees is DENIED.

20 \ \ \

21 \ \ \

22 \ \ \

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

26 \ \ \

1 The Discovery Commissioner, having met with counsel for the parties,  
2 discussed the issues noted above, and having reviewed any materials proposed in  
3 support thereof, hereby submits the above recommendations.  
4

5 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

6  
7   
DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

8 Submitted by:

Bellisario v. Bellisario, Case No. D-20-605263-D

9 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

10  
11 By:   
12 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
13 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
14 4411 S. Pecos Road  
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
16 PH: (702) 474-7007  
17 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
18 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3 **EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**  
5  
6

7 EMILY BELLISARIO,  
8 Plaintiff,

9  
10 vs.

11 BRADLEY JOHN BELLISARIO,  
12 Defendant  
13  
14

Case No.: D-20-605263-D

Dept No.: P / Discovery

15 **NOTICE**

16 Pursuant to NRCP 16.3(c)(2), you are hereby notified that within  
17 fourteen (14) days of being served with a report, any party may file and serve  
18 written objections to the recommendations. Written authorities may be filed with  
19 an objection, but are not mandatory. If written authorities are filed, any other party  
20 may file and serve responding party within seven (7) days after being served with  
21 objections.  
22  
23

24 A copy of foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
25 Recommendations was:  
26  
27  
28

1                   \_\_\_ Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant on the \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_, 2021, to the  
2 following address:  
3

4                   ✓ Electronically filed and served on the 2nd day of Sept, 2021

5                   Amanda Roberts, Esq. - [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

6                   Bradley John Bellisario (Pro-Se) - [bradb@bellisariolaw.com](mailto:bradb@bellisariolaw.com)  
7

8                   The Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
9 deemed received at the time it is e-served to a party or the party's attorney.  
10

11 Alternatively, the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation is  
12 deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or a party's attorney; or  
13 three (3) days after the Clerk of the Court deposits a copy of the Report and  
14 Recommendations in a folder of the party's attorney in the Clerk's Office. EDCR  
15 2.34(f).  
16  
17

18                   Dated this 2nd day of Sept, 2021.  
19

20                   \_\_\_\_\_  
21 *Tracy George*  
22 Commissioner Designee  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT  
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA  
4

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 9/20/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario


bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us



1 **PTM**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FAMILY DIVISION**  
13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

14 EMILY BELLISARIO,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

18 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

Date of Trial: December 20, 2021

Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.

19 **PLAINTIFF'S PRE-TRIAL MEMORANDUM**

20 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney of  
21 record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group and  
22 hereby submits Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum.

23 **I.**

24 **STATEMENT OF ESSENTIAL FACTS**

25 **A. NAMES OF THE PARTIES & CHILDREN:**

- 26
  - Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario- 33 years old;
  - Defendant, Bradley Bellisario- 36 years old;

27 \ \ \

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- There are three (3) minor children, to wit:
  - Brayden Bellisario, born January 15, 2015, he is 6 ½ years old;
  - Blake Bellisario (“Blake”), born November 20, 2016, she is nearly 5 years old; and
  - Brooklyn Bellisario (“Brooklyn”), born February 1, 2018, she is 3 ½ years old.

**B. DATE/PLACE OF MARRIAGE:**

The Parties were married on August 16, 2014. The Parties marriage is approximately seven (7) years in length.

**C. RESOLVED ISSUES:**

1. The Parties are incompatible and there is no possibility of reconciliation.

**D. STATEMENT OF UNRESOLVED ISSUES:**

1. Legal custody of the minor children;
2. Physical custody of the minor children;
3. Child support and child support arrears;
4. Spousal Support arrears;
5. Marital waste;
6. Health insurance;
7. Division of unreimbursed medical expenses;
8. Division of community property and debts;
9. Inequitable division of assets;
10. Attorney’s fees and costs.

\\\

\\\

\\\

\\\

\\\

\\\

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

9  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

26  
27  
28



1 The Order from the hearing on July 30, 2020, had the following relevant  
2 provisions:

3 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that upon confirmation  
4 between Counsels, the Parties have stipulated to a custody  
5 evaluation, including an evaluation of the Parties. (Video  
6 Timestamp: 11:25:00) (*See* page 2, lines 14-17).

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Attorney Tilman  
8 stated that today's status check hearing was premature as  
9 Dr. Holland has not been engaged yet and Dr. Ponzo has  
10 not provide the report on the reunification. Mr. Tilman  
11 requested the matter be continued for (45) days and also  
12 stated concerns as to a Trial being set in this matter.  
(Video Timestamp: 11:25:15) (*See* page 2 lines 22-25 and  
page 3 lines 1-2).

13 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Attorney Roberts  
14 advised the Court that Counsels have not been able to  
15 address the financials of this case. Counsel further stated  
16 that, since the filing of the stipulation on June 10, 2020,  
17 Bradley has been arrested three (3) separate times: on  
18 June 22, 2020 for a DUI and violating the TPO (as it  
19 pertains to the Plaintiff), on July 2, 2020 for violating the  
20 Temporary Protection Order (specifically repeatedly  
21 threatening, in writing, to kill Emily), and on July 26,  
22 2020 for aggravated stalking and threatening, in writing, to  
23 kill Emily. Attorney Roberts further advised the Court as  
24 to the threats made by Bradley to Emily's prior Counsel,  
25 Joe Ricco, Esq., as well as making threats against  
26 Attorney Roberts' husband and Attorney Ricco's wife.  
27 Ms. Roberts represented Bradley is an attorney himself  
28 and issues regarding his behavior may be brought before  
the State Bar of Nevada. Bradley's behavior is very  
concerning to Counsel as she is very concerned for  
Emily's safety. Bradley cut off internet access to Emily's  
residence; therefore, she no longer has security  
surveillance at the residence. Counsel believes this was  
done in furtherance of his plan; Bradley specifically

1 informed Emily on July 26, 2020, that he would kill  
2 Emily before today's hearing. (Video Timestamp:  
3 11:26:49) (*See* page 3, lines 4-25).

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Attorney Tilman  
5 advised that Bradley is being represented by Attorney  
6 Ross Goodman for the criminal matters, as well as in  
7 front of the State Bar. Attorney Tilman further indicated  
8 Bradley is looking at an inpatient program and is  
9 contemplating placing his license to practice law on an  
10 inactive status. (Video Timestamp: 11:29:30) (*See* page 4,  
11 lines 1-7).

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that until Bradley get  
13 some help, this Court is not ready to extend his visitation  
14 beyond supervised visitation. (Video Timestamp:  
15 11:35:08) (*See* page 4, lines 8-10).

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that upon inquiry by  
17 this Court, Attorney Tilman represented Bradley is  
18 continuing to actively practice law at this time. (Video  
19 Timestamp: 11:35:12) (*See* page 4, lines 11-14).

20 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Bradley was sworn  
21 in and testified. The Court canvassed Bradley as to the  
22 amount of money he has given Emily as and for support.  
23 (Video Timestamp: 11:36:20) Bradley represented he has  
24 provided \$3,500.00 per month to Emily and then more  
25 when she has asked for it, excluding last month as he did  
26 not have the money. (Video Timestamp: 11:36:42) (*See*  
27 page 4, lines 15 -18 and page 4, lines , lines 18-21).

28 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, should there be  
any further acts of violence or threats of violence, the  
TPO shall be extended for an additional year (i.e. would  
be extended until May of 2022). (Video Timestamp:  
11:38:10) (*See* page 5, lines 6-9).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that until October 22,  
2020, Bradley shall have supervised visitations with the

1 minor children at Donna's House on Sundays between  
2 2:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. (Video Timestamp: 11:41:30,  
3 11:42:25 and 11:44:39) A separate Order for Supervised  
4 Visitations was filed in open Court. (See page 5, lines 10-  
14).

5 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Counsel shall  
6 confer and set child support as of the date of separation.  
7 The child support shall be set based upon Bradley's gross  
8 monthly income of \$18,000.00 per month. (Video  
Citation: 11:37:54) (See page 5, lines 21-25).

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, in addition to  
10 child support, Bradley shall pay Emily temporary spousal  
11 support in the amount of \$1,000.00 per month. This  
12 amount is in addition to his child support obligation and  
13 retroactive to the date of the Parties separation. (Video  
Citation: 11:38:00) (See page 6, lines 1-5).

14 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS based upon the  
15 declarations of income, using the figures set forth by the  
16 Court herein, Bradley's support obligation to Emily is set  
17 at \$3,560.00 per month [\$2,560.00 child support pursuant  
to NAC, plus \$1,000.00 temporary support], commencing  
June of 2020. (See page 6, lines 6-10).

18 As stated herein, Bradley did not comply and retain Dr. Holland though it  
19 was agreed to and confirmed by his Counsel. As such, Dr. Holland has not  
20 completed the evaluations as repeatedly Ordered by this Court. Moreover, Bradley  
21 continued to act in defiance of Court Orders including failing to pay Emily the  
22 Court Ordered support. Through November 19, 2021, Emily alleges that Bradley  
23 owes temporary support arrears of \$21,888.23, and child support arrears of  
24 \$54,191.87.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           The Order from the hearing on October 22, 2020, had the following relevant  
2 provisions:

3           THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant  
4 shall set up SCRAM alcohol monitoring within seven (7)  
5 days. (*See* page 2, lines 7-9).

6           THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the first  
7 Saturday after Defendant has commenced SCRAM, his  
8 supervised visitation shall be with the minor child,  
9 Brayden, from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Each and every  
10 Saturday thereafter, until further Order of the Court, he  
11 shall have supervised visitations with all three (3)  
children, from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. until further Order  
of the Court. (*See* page 2, lines 10-16).

12           THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the agreed upon  
13 supervisors for Defendant's visitations are Saira  
14 McKinley, Tom McKinley, Maternal Grandfather along  
15 with Paternal Grandmother or Grandfather. (*See* page 2,  
lines 17-19).

16           THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant  
17 shall deliver to Ms. Roberts immediately his financial  
18 books and records regarding his business and income.  
19 Ms. Roberts shall keep those records confidential, and  
20 they are for her review only, they cannot be reviewed by  
21 the Plaintiff. The Defendant's compliance with this Order  
may purge his contempt for failure to pay the child  
support and financial support as Ordered. (*See* page 2,  
lines 20-22 and page 3, lines 1-5).

22           THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Brayden is  
23 going through a tough time and shall not be removed from  
24 counseling. (Video Citation at 12:21:10) (*See* page 3,  
25 lines 6-7).

1 As it relates to this Order, Bradley did comply with the Court Order for  
2 SCRAM, but it believed that after SCRAM was removed Bradley resumed his use  
3 of alcohol. Based upon compliance with SCRAM, Bradley was granted supervised  
4 visitation with the minor children; however, while he was supposed to be  
5 supervised, Bradley violated the Court's Order by having supervisors leave Bradley  
6 alone with the minor children. As it relates to the Order for financial records,  
7  
8 Bradley did not comply and provide the records as Ordered.  
9

10 The Order from the hearing on November 24, 2020, had the following  
11 relevant provisions:  
12

13 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant  
14 shall have until the close of business on December 1,  
15 2020, to provide Plaintiff's Counsel with all of his  
16 financial records and business statements to determine  
17 Defendant's income and earnings. If the Defendant fails  
18 to comply, this Court recommends that there be an  
19 unequal distribution of the assets and debts between the  
20 Plaintiff and Defendant. (*See* page 2, lines 5-10).

21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant  
22 shall continue to maintain the SCRAM ankle monitor at  
23 his cost. (*See* page 2, lines 15-17).

24 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant  
25 shall have unsupervised visitation with the minor children  
26 on Saturdays from noon to 4:00 p.m. The Defendant shall  
27 provide all transportation for the visitation. The  
28 Defendant shall pull up to the Plaintiff's residence exit the  
vehicle, but not approach the Plaintiff, solely for the  
purpose of showing the Plaintiff that the SCRAM ankle  
monitor remains in place before and after each visitation  
period. After confirming the SCRAM ankle monitor is in

1 place, the Plaintiff shall send the children to the  
2 Defendant to commence visitation. (*See* page 2, lines 18-  
3 24, and page 3, lines 1-2).

4 Despite another Order from the Court, Bradley did not produce the financial  
5 records by December 1, 2021. Bradley moved to unsupervised visitation; however,  
6 he continued to violate the Court's Orders which was detrimental to and not in the  
7 best interest of the minor children.  
8

9 The Order from the hearing on April 6, 2021, had the following relevant  
10 provisions:

11 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that the Court will do  
12 everything possible to protect the minor children. (*See*  
13 page 2, lines 2-4).

14 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that  
15 Plaintiff/Applicant's Protection Order in Case No. T-20-  
16 206636-T, shall be extended until May 10, 2022 or until  
17 Defendant's criminal case is completed. (*See* page 2,  
lines 9-12).

18 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant's  
19 business, Bellisario Law, P.C., shall be joined as a Party  
20 to this action. (*See* page 2, lines 18-19).

21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a receiver shall  
22 be appointed for Defendant's business, Bellisario Law,  
23 P.C. Ms. Roberts shall provide Defendant with three (3)  
24 names of attorneys who can act as receivers in  
25 alphabetical order and Defendant shall have forty-eight  
26 (48) hours to select a name or the middle name shall be  
27 selected. The receiver needs to be an attorney who deals  
28 with Trust accounts, and shall manage the business and  
the funds held in the IOLTA account. (*See* page 2 lines  
21-24, and page 3 lines 1-2).

1  
2 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a CPA shall be  
3 appointed for Defendant's business, Bellisario Law, P.C.  
4 Ms. Roberts shall provide Defendant with three (3) names  
5 of accountants who can act as CPA in alphabetical order  
6 and Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select a  
7 name or the middle name shall be selected. The CPA  
8 shall complete an audit of the IOLTA account. (See page  
9 2, lines 3-9).

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts  
11 shall be permitted to submit the Plaintiff's Subpoenas to  
12 Nevada State Bank, Bank of Nevada, Bank of America,  
13 Chase and Wells Fargo with a response deadline of May  
14 14, 2021. Any information that Ms. Roberts obtains via  
15 Subpoena regarding the Defendant's business, Bellisario  
16 Law, P.C., shall be CONFIDENTIAL and shall not be  
17 released to the Plaintiff, and Ms. Roberts shall not harass  
18 Defendant's clients. (See page 3, lines 13-19).

19 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff shall be  
20 deemed the primary legal custodian of the minor children,  
21 to wit: Brayden Bellisario (DOB: 01/15/2015); Blake  
22 Bellisario (DOB: 11/20/2016); and Brooklyn Bellisario  
23 (DOB: 2/1/2018). As the primary legal custodian,  
24 Plaintiff shall have the ability to make all medical  
25 decisions for the minor children, including mental health  
26 treatment. However, the Parties shall continue to share  
27 joint legal custody on issues other than medical decisions  
28 and mental health treatment. (See page 4, lines 1-9).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the Defendant shall  
do a work search which shall be applying to ten (10) jobs  
per week, at his skill level, until he obtains employment.  
The Defendant shall submit a report every two (2) weeks,  
commencing April 20, 2021. (See page 4, lines 10-14).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant  
shall have supervised visitation with the minor child at  
Donna's House on Sundays from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

1 The Plaintiff shall pay the cost for the Defendant's  
2 visitation at Donna's House. For the visit on Sunday,  
3 April 11, 2021, the Defendant's parents are permitted to  
attend. (*See* page 4, lines 19-25).

4 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that each Party shall  
5 submit to a psychological evaluation. The Plaintiff shall  
6 pay for the Defendant's and the Defendant shall pay for  
7 Plaintiff. The failure of either Party to pay for the other  
8 Party's psychological evaluation shall not impede or  
9 impact the ability to move forward with the psychological  
10 evaluation that has been paid. The first choice for the  
11 psychological evaluation is Dr. Holland, and if she is not  
12 available then Dr. Holland shall submit three (3) names in  
alphabetical Order and Defendant shall have forty-eight  
(48) hours to select one of the providers and if he fails to  
do so then the middle name shall be used. (*See* page 5,  
lines 1-12).

13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the purpose of using  
14 Dr. Holland is so that if a custody evaluation becomes  
15 necessary, she already have a portion completed through  
16 the psychological evaluation. (*See* page 5, lines 13-16).

17 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's  
18 request for an Order to Show Cause against Defendant is  
19 deferred to Trial. Prior to Trial, Plaintiff shall submit an  
Order to Show Cause to Court. (*See* page 5, lines 17-19).

20 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's  
21 request to reduce child support and spousal support  
22 arrears to judgment is deferred to Trial. (*See* page 5, lines  
21-22).

23 Despite the Court Order, Dr. Holland never completed any portion of the  
24 evaluation because Bradley refused to comply with the Court Order including after  
25 Emily paid for his evaluation. Although names were provided, Bradley did not  
26  
27  
28



1 comply with the Court Orders to select a name which is just another example of the  
2 manner in which his lack of cooperation increased litigation costs. This is a theme  
3 throughout the matter.  
4

5 The Order from the hearing on May 11, 2021, had the following relevant  
6 provisions:

7 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that Defendant's  
8 visitation with the minor children shall be at Family First  
9 on Thursdays from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. The Court  
10 issued a separate Order which shall include that  
11 Defendant pay all the fees for supervised visitation. (*See*  
page 2, lines 2-6).

12 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff  
13 shall provide the Defendant with the name of the minor  
14 children's therapist(s). The Defendant shall be permitted  
15 to obtain records; however, he shall not interfere in the  
therapeutic process. (*See* page 2, lines 8-11).

16 The Court has repeatedly entered Orders to protect the minor children  
17 including addressing therapy. Bradley repeatedly interfered with Brayden's ability  
18 to have treatment and address the trauma he has experienced including violence  
19 committed by his Dad against his Mom, issues regarding the rubber band on his  
20 genitals, etc.  
21

22 The Order from the hearing on May 18, 2021, had the following relevant  
23 provisions:  
24

25 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Defendant's  
26 request to remove SCRAM is granted. (*See* page 2, lines  
27 13-14).

1  
2 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Defendant shall  
3 produce an updated SCRAM report through May 18,  
4 2021, by Friday, May 21, 2021, at noon. (See page 2,  
5 lines 15-17).

6 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Defendant shall  
7 provide his work search as previously Order and those  
8 shall be filed and served by Friday, May 21, 2021, at  
9 noon. (See page 2, lines 18-20).

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant  
11 shall have until Friday, May 21, 2021, at noon to file and  
12 serve upon the Plaintiff's Counsel the name of three (3)  
13 qualified providers from the Outsource Provider List to  
14 conduct the psychological evaluation of the Parties. If the  
15 Defendant fails to provide the names by Friday, May 21,  
16 2021, at noon, then the psychological evaluations shall be  
17 completed by Dr. Holland; however, if the Defendant  
18 does provide the names by Friday, May 21, 2021, at noon,  
19 then the Plaintiff shall have until Monday, May 24, 2021,  
20 at noon to select one of the names from the list. (See page  
21 3, lines 17-26).

22 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that if a new  
23 psychological evaluation provider is selected, Defendant  
24 shall reimburse anything already expended by Dr.  
25 Holland from Plaintiff's fees. Moreover, the cost for the  
26 new psychological evaluation provider shall not exceed  
27 \$4,000.00 per evaluation. (See page4, lines 1-5).

28 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Court shall  
not entertain requests to modify the Defendant's visitation  
with the minor children until he completes the  
psychological evaluation. (See page 4, lines 6-9).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Daniel Marks,  
Esq., is selected as the receiver for Defendant's business,  
to wit: Bellisario Law, P.C. (See page 4, lines 15-17).

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Beau D.  
2 Johnson, CPA, CVA, is selected as the accountant to  
3 conduct an audit for Defendant's IOLTA account, to wit:  
4 Bellisario Law, P.C. (See page 4, lines 18-21).

5 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's  
6 request to reduce child support arrears to judgment  
7 through January 31, 2021, is granted and collectable by  
8 any and all legal means upon the filing of an updated  
9 Schedule of Arrears. (See page 4, lines 22-26).

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's  
11 request to reduce temporary spousal support arrears to  
12 judgment through January 31, 2021, is granted and  
13 collectable by any and all legal means upon the filing of  
14 an updated Schedule of Arrears. (See page 5, lines 1-5).

15 The Order from the hearing on June 16, 2021, had the following relevant  
16 provisions:

17 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's  
18 request for an award of attorney fees and costs is granted.  
19 The Plaintiff shall file a Memorandum of Fees and Costs  
20 within ten (10) days (i.e., June 26, 2021) and the  
21 Defendant shall have the statutory time to object.  
22 Thereafter, the Court shall issue an Order on the amount  
23 of attorney fees being sought. (See page 2, lines 14-20).

24 The Order from the hearing on July 7, 2021, had the following relevant  
25 provisions:

26 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Plaintiff's  
27 request to deem the Defendant a vexatious litigant is  
28 taken under advisement and the Court shall issue a  
decision within seven (7) days. (See page 2, lines 6-9).

Thereafter, on or about July 12, 2021, the Court issued an Order deeming Bradley a vexatious litigant.

The Order from the hearing on September 16, 2021, had the following relevant provisions:

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant shall be able to make-up the two (2) hours missed visitation, in one (1) hour increments added to his current supervised visitation at Family First. (*See* page 2, lines 5-8).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that an expert report shall be provided on or before September 23, 2021; and any rebuttal reports shall be provided on or before October 16, 2021. (*See* page 2, lines 9-12).

Shortly after this hearing, Bradley was arrested and upon information and belief, he remains at the Clark County Detention Center.

### III. LEGAL CUSTODY AND PHYSICAL CUSTODY

Legal Custody:

*NRS* § 125C.001 (2) states that the Court should “encourage such parents to share the rights and responsibilities of child rearing[.]” In *Rivero v. Rivero*, 125 Nev. 410, 216 P.3d 213 (2009), the Nevada Supreme Court confirmed that joint legal custody involves major decision making for a child with the big decisions being “the child’s health, education, and religious upbringing.” This requires that parents be able to “cooperate, communicate, and compromise to act in the best

1 interest of the child.” *Id. citing Mosely v. Figliuzzi*, 113 Nev. 51, 60-61, 930 P.2d  
2 1110, 1116 (1997). However, *Rivero* clarified that “parents need not have equal  
3 decision-making power in a joint legal custody situation.” *Rivero v. Rivero*, 125  
4 Nev. 410, 216 P.3d 213 (2009) *citing Fenwick v. Fenwick*, 114 S.W.3d 767, 776  
5 (Ky.2003). Furthermore, *NRS* § 125C.0045 (1)(a) permits the Court to make an  
6 Orders it deems in the best interest of the minor children.  
7  
8

9 In this matter, Emily is requesting an Order which grants her sole legal  
10 custody. Emily alleges that based upon the domestic violence and repeated  
11 inappropriate behaviors of Bradley, it is not in the best interest of the minor  
12 children for him to have decision making authority. Specifically, Bradley has  
13 repeatedly interfered with Brayden’s therapy and caused the trauma to be  
14 exasperated. Moreover, Emily should have permission to apply for Passports for  
15 each minor child without the Bradley’s written consent.  
16  
17

18 Physical Custody:

19 *NRS* § 125C.0035 (4) provides the best interest factors the Court must  
20 consider, additional factors may also be considered as this list is not all inclusive,  
21 when deciding physical custody of the minor children. The factors as applied to the  
22 facts and circumstance of this matter are as follows:  
23

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

1        Wishes of the Child-

2        In this matter, the minor children are very young, not of an age or capacity to  
3        state a preference. Therefore, this factor is not relevant to the present action and  
4        should be given no weight by the Court.  
5

6        Nomination of Guardian-

7        This factor is not relevant to the present action and should be given no weight  
8        by the Court.  
9

10       Frequent Association and Continuing Relationship-

11       Emily is not proposing the Court take away time from Bradley, Emily is  
12       agreeable with Bradley continuing to exercise four (4) hours per week; however,  
13       she is requesting the time be supervised. Therefore, this factor should be viewed as  
14       neutral by the Court.  
15

16       Level of Conflict-

17       In this matter, Emily would allege this matter is high conflict. Emily has  
18       been subjected to countless acts of domestic violence against Bradley including  
19       arrests and criminal filings. Bradley's communication with Emily, and allegations  
20       regarding Emily's behavior, are inappropriate aggressive and harassing. Bradley  
21       continually harasses Emily, her attorneys and the therapists for Brayden which  
22       increases the level of conflict in this matter. Therefore, Emily would argue this  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 factor weighs in her favor related to supervision of Bradley's visitation with the  
2 minor children.

3  
4 Ability of the Parents to Cooperate-

5 In this matter, Emily is willing to cooperate with Bradley; however, he has  
6 continually shown an unwilling to cooperate with Emily. The perfect example is  
7 Bradley's refusal to cooperate with Court Orders- Bradley is confrontational, does  
8 not allow others to speak, interrupts people, raises his voice, etc. Therefore, Emily  
9 would argue this factor weighs in her favor related to supervision of Bradley's  
10 visitation with the minor children.

11  
12  
13 Mental and Physical Health of the Parents-

14 In this matter, Emily alleges she is mentally and physically healthy. Emily  
15 argues that Bradley is not mentally and/or physically healthy. A complete  
16 argument regarding this issue is laid out herein because Emily is seeking an  
17 evaluation of Bradley. Therefore, Emily would argue this factor weighs in her  
18 favor related to supervision of Bradley's visitation with the minor children.

19  
20  
21 Physical, Developmental and Emotional Needs of Child-

22 The children in this matter are young and extremely impressionable. The  
23 children are solely reliant upon their parents for their needs. The specific needs of  
24 the children vary based upon their age. They are copying adults and friends,  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 learning to show affection, learning to dress themselves, following instructions,  
2 attempting to please friends and family, etc.<sup>1</sup> Of main concern here is Brayden!

3  
4 The Court entered a prior Order that Brayden was to remain in therapy.  
5 Rather than comply, Bradley filed a civil lawsuit against Brayden's therapist which  
6 forced the child to be removed. This is the second such civil lawsuit that Bradley  
7 has filed. As of late, Brayden is telling people he is going to kill his Mother, his  
8 primary caretaker. Brayden has gone so far as to layout his plan to take the action.  
9 Moreover, when an adult tried to redirect him, Brayden threatened physical  
10 violence against the adult. It would be illogical to believe that Brayden is learning  
11 this behavior from Emily so that leaves Bradley has the influence in Brayden's life  
12 who he is mimicking.  
13

14  
15 Brayden needs to return to therapy and continue in therapy. Therefore,  
16 Emily would argue this factor weighs in her favor related to supervision of  
17 Bradley's visitation with the minor children.  
18

19 *Nature of Relationship with Parents-*

20  
21 The children have a very close and loving relationship with Emily. As for  
22 Bradley, Emily knows that he loves the children, but her main concern is a safety  
23 issue. Therefore, Emily would argue this factor should be viewed as neutral.  
24

25 \\\

26 \\\

---

27 <sup>1</sup> <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/actearly/milestones/index.html>



1           Sibling Relationship-

2           In this matter, the minor children have no siblings other than each other.  
3  
4           Therefore, this factor is not relevant to the present action and should be given no  
5           weight by the Court.

6           Abuse or Neglect-

7  
8           The family was previously subject of an inquiry by Child Protective  
9           Services; however, it has been resolved. Therefore, this factor is not relevant to the  
10          present action and should be given no weight by the Court.

11          Abduction-

12  
13          This factor is not relevant to the present action and should be given no weight  
14          by the Court.

15          Domestic Violence-

16  
17          Emily alleges that Bradley has engaged in a significant amount of domestic  
18          violence and has continued to engage in these acts up and until the incident on  
19          January 2, 2021, when Bradley picks up their juice boxes and food, leftover from  
20          McDonald's, and throws them at Emily's house. The domestic violence has  
21          resulted in multiple arrests and criminal filings which remain pending at the present  
22          time. Bradley's behavior and inability to control himself put the children at risk if  
23          they are alone in his care. Therefore, Emily would argue this factor weighs in her  
24          favor related to supervision of Bradley's visitation with the minor children.  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2  
3  
4  
5  
6

## 8

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**VII.**  
**ALIMONY**

At the commencing of this action, Bradley earned \$18,000.00 per month.  
Due to his suspended license, Emily is still investigating the claims regarding  
alimony.

**VIII.**  
**LIST OF DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES**

1. Plaintiff;
2. Defendant;
3. Donna Wilburn, M.S., LMFT;
4. Javier Cardona
5. Sonia Pittman
6. Mario Markos
7. Trini Ballesteros
8. Javier Ballesteros
9. Romina Ballesteros
10. Christina Holsinger
11. Jason Elleman
12. PMK- Donna's House
13. PMK- Family First Services
14. PMK- District Attorney
15. Dr. Stephanie Holland, PH.D.
16. Anna Trujilo, MFT.
17. PMK- State Bar of Nevada

**IX.**  
**LIST OF DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS**

The Plaintiff is still waiting for records to be received pursuant to Subpoena.  
As such, Plaintiff has not finalized the Exhibit List. The deadline for finalizing  
Exhibits is December 15, 2021 and Plaintiff same in correlation in that deadline.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**X.**  
**UNUSUAL LEGAL OR FACTUAL ISSUES PRESENTED**

On or about October 14, 2021, Bradley was taken into custody and has remained at the Clark County Detention Center (“CCDC”) since that time. It is believed he will be at CCDC through Trial in this matter and will need to be transported to participate in Trial. Upon information and belief, Bradley’s arrest relates to harassment of the District Attorney who was prosecuting the criminal case against him related to Emily, and aggravated stalking of his recent girlfriend’s ex-boyfriend.

DATED this 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2021.


**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

By: /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9294  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Defendant, Jessica Bilal

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Bradley Bellisario  
Email: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant

Page 24 of 24



1 **LTWT**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FAMILY DIVISION**  
13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

14 EMILY BELLISARIO, ) Case No: D-20-605263-D  
15 ) Dept No: P  
16 Plaintiff, )  
17 v. )  
18 )  
19 BRADLEY BELLISARIO, )  
20 )  
21 Defendant. )

22 **PLAINTIFF'S INITIAL LIST OF WITNESSES**

23 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney of  
24 record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and  
25 submits Plaintiff's Initial List of Witnesses, as follows:

- 26 1. Emily Bellisario  
27 c/o Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group  
28 4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
Telephone: (702) 474-7007

\\

1 Emily is the Plaintiff in this matter and is expected to testify regarding the  
2 circumstances pertaining to the custodial issues with the minor children at issue  
3 and the best interest factors as required by *NRS* § 125C.0035. She is also expected  
4 to testify regarding the outstanding issues in this matter including but not limited to  
5 the division of assets and debts, separate property assets, child support and spousal  
6 support arrears, etc.  
7

8  
9 2. Bradley Bellisario  
10 11005 Salford Dr.  
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144  
12 Telephone: (309) 397-6734

13 Bradley is the Defendant in the matter and is expected to testify regarding  
14 the circumstances pertaining to the custodial issues with the minor children at issue  
15 and the best interest factors as required by *NRS* § 125C.0035. He is also expected  
16 to testify regarding the outstanding issues.

17 3. Javier Cardona  
18 7210 W. Verde Way  
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
20 Telephone (702) 480-5980

21 Javier is Emily's father, is expected to testify regarding the harassment of  
22 Bradley, witnessing Bradley's violent behavior, supporting Emily financially due  
23 to Emily not receiving financial support from Bradley, and other outstanding issues  
24 including best interest factors regarding custody of the minor children.  
25

26 \\\





1 Romina is Emily's neighbor and is expected to testify regarding Bradley  
2 vandalizing the home, domestic violence, and outstanding issues such as custody.

- 3  
4 9. Christina Holsinger  
5 Address to be Supplemented  
6 Telephone: (702) 544-0246

7 Christina is Emily's friend and is expected to testify about Bradley's  
8 harassing behavior, such as Bradley harassment of her directly. She is also  
9 expected to testify regarding outstanding issues such as custody and best interest of  
10 the minor children.

- 11 10. Jason Elleman  
12 c/o Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group  
13 4411 S. Pecos Rd.  
14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
15 Telephone: (702) 474-7007

16 Jason Elleman is expected to testify regarding Bradley's harassing and  
17 threatening behavior which led to a criminal matter against Bradley Case  
18 21PO1940.

- 19 11. Person Most Knowledgeable ("PMK")  
20 Donna's House  
21 601 N. Pecos Rd.  
22 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
23 Telephone: (702) 455-4229

24 The PMK at Donna's House is expected to testify regarding Bradley's  
25 supervised visitations, and behavior which lead to him being banned from Donna's  
26 House.

13. Person Most Knowledgeable ("PMK")  
Family First Services  
1481 W. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 139  
Henderson, Nevada 89014  
Telephone: (702) 908-6491

The PMK at Family First Services is expected to testify regarding the supervised visitation at their facility.

14. Person Most Knowledgeable ("PMK")  
The District Attorney's Office  
200 Lewis Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: (702) 671-2500

The PMK at the District Attorney's Office is expected to testify regarding Bradley's ongoing criminal matters.

15. Dr. Stephanie Holland, PH.D.  
3067 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120  
Telephone: (702) 650-6508

Dr. Holland was the Court Appointment expert to conduct the psychological evaluation for Bradley. She is expected to testify regarding what was completed in this matter and Bradley's compliance with the same.

16. Donna Wilburn, MTF  
4955 S. Durango Dr.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113  
Telephone No.: (702) 234-9325

Ms. Wilburn treated the minor children, Brayden, for therapeutic services.

Ms. Wilburn is expected to testify regarding the treatment of the minor children

1 and best interest factors in this matter.

2           17.    Anna Trujillo, MFT  
3                   10655 Park Run Dr., Suite 210  
4                   Las Vegas, Nevada 89144  
5                   Telephone No.: (702) 521-5720

6           Ms. Trujillo treated the minor children, Brayden, for therapeutic services.

7 Ms. Trujillo is expected to testify regarding the treatment of the minor children and  
8 best interest factors in this matter.

9           18.    PMK- State Bar of Nevada  
10                   3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100  
11                   Las Vegas, Nevada 89102  
12                   Telephone No.: (702) 382-2200

13           The PMK will testify regarding the status of Bradley's bar license and the  
14 current proceedings regarding the license/suspension.

15  
16 \ \ \

17 \ \ \

18 \ \ \

19 \ \ \

20 \ \ \

21 \ \ \

22 \ \ \

23 \ \ \

24 \ \ \

25 \ \ \

26

27

28

19. Jimena Cardona  
7210 W. Verde Way  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
Telephone: 702-830-1239

Jimena is Emily's Stepmother and is expected to testify regarding the harassment of Bradley, witnessing Bradley's violent behavior, supporting Emily financially due to Emily not receiving financial support from Bradley, and other outstanding issues including best interest factors regarding custody of the minor children.

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2021.

**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

By: /s/ Amanda M. Roberts, Esq  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
4411 South Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
EMAIL: [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)  
Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group,  
and on the 19 day of November, 2021, I served by and through Wiz-Net  
electronic service, pursuant Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2  
for service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing

**PLAINTIFF'S INITIAL LIST OF WITNESSES**, to the following:


Bradley Bellisario  
Email: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant

By:   
Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

FDF

Name: Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
Address: 4411 S. Pecos Rd.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
Phone: 702-474-7007  
Email: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Nevada State Bar No. 9294

Electronically Filed  
12/13/2021 5:22 PM  
Steven D. Grierson  
CLERK OF THE COURT



Eighth Judicial District Court  
Clark County \_\_\_\_\_, Nevada

<u>Emily Bellisario</u> <b>Plaintiff,</b>  <b>vs.</b> <u>Bradley Bellisario</u> <b>Defendant.</b>	<b>Case No.</b> <u>D-20-605263-D</u>  <b>Dept.</b> <u>P</u>
--	---

### GENERAL FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE FORM

#### A. Personal Information:

1. What is your full name? (*first, middle, last*) Emily Bellisario
2. How old are you? 33
3. What is your date of birth? 07/24/1988
4. What is your highest level of education? Some College

#### B. Employment Information:

1. Are you currently employed/ self-employed? (☒ *check one*)  
☐ No  
☒ Yes If yes, complete the table below. Attached an additional page if needed.

Date of Hire	Employer Name	Job Title	Work Schedule (days)	Work Schedule (shift times)
10/2016	Allied Flooring	Office Help	Varies/Flexible	Varies/ Flexible

2. Are you disabled? (☒ *check one*)  
☒ No  
☐ Yes If yes, what is your level of disability? \_\_\_\_\_  
What agency certified you disabled? \_\_\_\_\_  
What is the nature of your disability? \_\_\_\_\_

#### C. Prior Employment: If you are unemployed or have been working at your current job for less than 2 years, complete the following information.

Prior Employer: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Hire: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Termination: \_\_\_\_\_  
Reason for Leaving: \_\_\_\_\_

## Monthly Personal Income Schedule

### A. Year-to-date Income.

As of the pay period ending 12/5/2021 my gross year to date pay is 10,875.

### B. Determine your Gross Monthly Income.

Hourly Wage

	×		=	\$0.00	×	52	=	\$0.00	÷	12	=	\$0.00
Hourly Wage		Number of hours worked per week		Weekly Income		Weeks		Annual Income		Months		Gross Monthly Income

Annual Salary

\$11,310.00	÷	12	=	\$942.50
Annual Income		Months		Gross Monthly Income

### C. Other Sources of Income.

Source of Income	Frequency	Amount	12 Month Average
Annuity or Trust Income			
Bonuses			
Car, Housing, or Other allowance:			
Commissions or Tips:			
Net Rental Income:			
Overtime Pay			
Pension/Retirement:			
Social Security Income (SSI):			
Social Security Disability (SSD):			
Spousal Support			
Child Support			
Workman's Compensation			
Other: SNAP	Monthly	\$8,160.00	\$680.00
Total Average Other Income Received			\$680.00

Total Average Gross Monthly Income (add totals from B and C above)	\$1,622.50
--	------------

**D. Monthly Deductions**

	Type of Deduction	Amount
1.	Court Ordered Child Support (automatically deducted from paycheck)	
2.	Federal Health Savings Plan	
3.	Federal Income Tax	
4.	Health Insurance Amount for you: \$97.76 For Opposing Party: _____ For your Child(ren): _____	97.76
5.	Life, Disability, or Other Insurance Premiums	
6.	Medicare	12.87
7.	Retirement, Pension, IRA, or 401(k)	
8.	Savings	
9.	Social Security	55.03
10.	Union Dues	
11.	Other: (Type of Deduction)	
<b>Total Monthly Deductions (Lines 1-11)</b>		<b>165.66</b>

**Business/Self-Employment Income & Expense Schedule****A. Business Income:**

What is your average gross (pre-tax) monthly income/revenue from self-employment or businesses?  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Business Expenses:** Attach an additional page if needed.

Type of Business Expense	Frequency	Amount	12 Month Average
Advertising			
Car and truck used for business			
Commissions, wages or fees			
Business Entertainment/Travel			
Insurance			
Legal and professional			
Mortgage or Rent			
Pension and profit-sharing plans			
Repairs and maintenance			
Supplies			
Taxes and licenses (include est. tax payments)			
Utilities			
Other:			
<b>Total Average Business Expenses</b>			<b>0.00</b>



### Personal Expense Schedule (Monthly)

A. Fill in the table with the amount of money **you** spend each month on the following expenses and check whether you pay the expense for you, for the other party, or for both of you.

Expense	Monthly Amount I Pay	For Me ✓	Other Party ✓	For Both ✓
Alimony/Spousal Support				
Auto Insurance				
Car Loan/Lease Payment				
Cell Phone	165.00	✓		
Child Support (not deducted from pay)				
Clothing, Shoes, Etc...	50.00	✓		
Credit Card Payments (minimum due)	545.00	✓		
Dry Cleaning				
Electric	180.00	✓		
Food (groceries & restaurants)	1,200.00	✓		
Fuel	150.00	✓		
Gas (for home)	58.00	✓		
Health Insurance (not deducted from pay)				
HOA	50.00	✓		
Home Insurance (if not included in mortgage)				
Home Phone				
Internet/Cable	120.00	✓		
Lawn Care	95.00	✓		
Membership Fees	15.00	✓		
Mortgage/Rent/Lease	1,100.00	✓		
Pest Control	50.00			
Pets				
Pool Service	90.00	✓		
Property Taxes (if not included in mortgage)				
Security				
Sewer	36.50	✓		
Student Loans				
Unreimbursed Medical Expense	25.00	✓		
Water	80.00	✓		
Other: Trash	51.00	✓		
<b>Total Monthly Expenses</b>	<b>4,060.50</b>			

### Household Information

- A. Fill in the table below with the name and date of birth of each child, the person the child is living with, and whether the child is from this relationship. Attached a separate sheet if needed.

	Child's Name	Child's DOB	Whom is this child living with?	Is this child from this relationship?	Has this child been certified as special needs/disabled?
1 <sup>st</sup>	Brayden Bellisario	1/15/15	Mom	Yes	No
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Blake Bellisario	11/20/16	Mom	Yes	No
3 <sup>rd</sup>	Brooklyn Bellisario	2/01/18	Mom	Yes	No
4 <sup>th</sup>					

- B. Fill in the table below with the amount of money you spend each month on the following expenses for each child.

Type of Expense	1 <sup>st</sup> Child	2 <sup>nd</sup> Child	3 <sup>rd</sup> Child	4 <sup>th</sup> Child
Cellular Phone				
Child Care	200.00	200.00	200.00	
Clothing	100.00	100.00	100.00	
Education	120.00			
Entertainment	40.00	40.00	40.00	
Extracurricular & Sports				
Health Insurance (if not deducted from pay)				
Summer Camp/Programs				
Transportation Costs for Visitation				
Unreimbursed Medical Expenses	150.00	50.00	50.00	
Vehicle				
Other:				
<b>Total Monthly Expenses</b>	<b>610.00</b>	<b>390.00</b>	<b>390.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

- C. Fill in the table below with the names, ages, and the amount of money contributed by all persons living in the home over the age of eighteen. If more than 4 adult household members attached a separate sheet.

Name	Age	Person's Relationship to You (i.e. sister, friend, cousin, etc...)	Monthly Contribution

### Personal Asset and Debt Chart

A. Complete this chart by listing all of your assets, the value of each, the amount owed on each, and whose name the asset or debt is under. If more than 15 assets, attach a separate sheet.

Line	Description of Asset and Debt Thereon	Gross Value		Total Amount Owed		Net Value	Whose Name is on the Account? You, Your Spouse/Domestic Partner or Both
1.	Bank of Nevada Savings #3541	\$ 150.00	-	\$	=	\$ 150.00	Emily
2.	Bank of America Checking #	\$ 33.14	-	\$	=	\$ 33.14	Emily
3.	1913 Sondrio Dr.	\$ 553,000.00	-	\$ 140,910.00	=	\$ 412,090.00	Emily
4.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
5.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
6.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
7.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
8.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
9.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
10.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
11.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
12.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
13.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
14.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
15.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
<b>Total Value of Assets (add lines 1-15)</b>		<b>\$ 553,183.14</b>	-	<b>\$ 140,910.00</b>	=	<b>\$ 412,273.14</b>	

B. Complete this chart by listing all of your unsecured debt, the amount owed on each account, and whose name the debt is under. If more than 5 unsecured debts, attach a separate sheet.

Line #	Description of Credit Card or Other Unsecured Debt	Total Amount owed	Whose Name is on the Account? You, Your Spouse/Domestic Partner or Both
1.	Bank of America Gold	\$ 1,209.54	Emily
2.	Bank of America Credit Card #8302	\$ 2,816.33	Emily
3.	Chase Freedom	\$ 1,313.52	Emily
4.	Chase United	\$ 6,421.89	Emily
5.	Affirm	\$ 3,111.74	Emily
6.		\$	
<b>Total Unsecured Debt (add lines 1-6)</b>		<b>\$ 14,873.02</b>	

## CERTIFICATION

**Attorney Information:** *Complete the following sentences:*

1. I (have/have not) have retained an attorney for this case.
2. As of the date of today, the attorney has been paid a total of \$ 43,400.0 on my behalf.
3. I have a credit with my attorney in the amount of \$ 0.00.
4. I currently owe my attorney a total of \$ 20,133.78. \*
5. I owe my prior attorney a total of \$ 0.00.

\* This amount does not include trial preparation of attending trial.

**IMPORTANT:** Read the following paragraphs carefully and initial each one.

EB I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that I have read and followed all instructions in completing this Financial Disclosure Form. I understand that, by my signature, I guarantee the truthfulness of the information on this Form. I also understand that if I knowingly make false statements I may be subject to punishment, including contempt of court.

EB I have attached a copy of my 3 most recent pay stubs to this form.

           I have attached a copy of my most recent YTD income statement/P&L statement to this form, if self-employed.

           I have not attached a copy of my pay stubs to this form because I am currently unemployed.

/s/ Emily Bellisario  
Signature

12/13/2021  
Date

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby declare under the penalty of perjury of the State of Nevada that the following is true and correct:

That on (date) 12/13/2021, service of the General Financial Disclosure Form was made to the following interested parties in the following manner:

☐ Via 1<sup>st</sup> Class U.S. Mail, postage fully prepaid addressed as follows:

\_\_\_\_\_

☒ Via Electronic Service, in accordance with the Master Service List, pursuant to NEFCR 9, to:

Bradley Bellisario- bradb@bellisariolaw.com

☐ Via Facsimile and/or Email Pursuant to the Consent of Service by Electronic Means on file

herein to: \_\_\_\_\_

Executed on the 13 day of December, 2021.

/s/ Colleen O'Brien

Signature

Statement of Earnings For: <b>EMILY CARDONA</b>					<b>AAA FLOORING INC (0180TJ31)</b>						
Employee #: 1		Division:		Period Begin: 11/29/2020		Check Date: 12/11/2020		1565 W. Brooks Ave			
Clock Number:		Department: 200		Period End: 12/5/2020				NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032			
SSN: XXX-XX-1546		Federal Filing: Married		Exemptions: 0		Additional Tax:					
Company Id: 0180TJ31		State Filing:		Exemptions: 0		Additional Tax:					
<b>Voucher Id</b>	<b>Check Amount</b>	<b>Gross Pay</b>	<b>Net Pay</b>		<b>Check Message</b>						
V63473927	\$0.00	\$217.50	\$181.56								
<b>EARNINGS</b>					<b>TAXES</b>		<b>DEDUCTIONS</b>				
*Not included in Totals											
Description	Rate	Hours	Dollars	YTD Hours	YTD Dollars	Description	Current	YTD	Description	Current	YTD
Salary		30.00	217.50	1,500.00	10,875.00	SOC SEC EE	12.19	609.67	Dental Pre Tax	17.56	878.00
						MED EE	2.85	142.58	Vision Pre-tax	3.34	163.66
									Vol LifePostTax	0.00	37.08
									AD&D PostTax	0.00	4.20
<b>Total:</b>						<b>Total:</b>	15.04	752.25	<b>Total:</b>	20.90	1,082.94
<b>CURRENT PERIOD LEAVE ACCRUAL</b>					<b>DISTRIBUTION OF NET PAY</b>						
					Checking Account: XXXXX9476 Deposit Amount: 181.56						

AAA FLOORING INC (0180TJ31)  
1565 W. Brooks Ave  
NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032

CHECK DATE	VOUCHER ID
12/11/2020	V63473927

Your entire Net pay of \$181.56 has been deposited in your bank account(s).

TOTAL NET PAY
*****\$181.56

1 200

**EMILY CARDONA**  
1913 SONDRIO DR  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

**NOT NEGOTIABLE**

AA3454

Statement of Earnings For: <b>EMILY CARDONA</b>						<b>AAA FLOORING INC (0180TJ31)</b>						
Employee #: 1		Division:		Period Begin: 11/22/2020		Check Date: 12/4/2020		1565 W. Brooks Ave				
Clock Number:		Department: 200		Period End: 11/28/2020				NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032				
SSN: XXX-XX-1546		Federal Filing: Married		Exemptions: 0		Additional Tax:						
Company Id: 0180TJ31		State Filing:		Exemptions: 0		Additional Tax:						
<b>Voucher Id</b>	<b>Check Amount</b>	<b>Gross Pay</b>		<b>Net Pay</b>		<b>Check Message</b>						
V63294135	\$0.00	\$217.50		\$178.12								
<b>EARNINGS</b>						<b>TAXES</b>						
*Not Included in Totals						<b>DEDUCTIONS</b>						
Description	Rate	Hours	Dollars	YTD Hours	YTD Dollars	Description	Current	YTD	Description	Current	YTD	
Salary		30.00	217.50	1,470.00	10,657.50	SOC SEC EE	12.19	597.48	Dental Pre Tax	17.56	860.44	
						MED EE	2.85	139.73	Vision Pre-tax	3.34	160.32	
									Vol LifePostTax	3.09	37.08	
									AD&D PostTax	0.35	4.20	
<b>Total:</b>						<b>15.04</b>		<b>737.21</b>	<b>Total:</b>		<b>24.34</b>	<b>1,062.04</b>
<b>CURRENT PERIOD LEAVE ACCRUAL</b>						<b>DISTRIBUTION OF NET PAY</b>						
						Checking		Account: XXXXX9476	Deposit Amount:		178.12	

AAA FLOORING INC (0180TJ31)  
1565 W. Brooks Ave  
NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032

CHECK DATE	VOUCHER ID
12/4/2020	V63294135

Your entire Net pay of \$178.12 has been deposited in your bank account(s).

TOTAL NET PAY
*****\$178.12

1 200

**EMILY CARDONA**  
1913 SONDRIO DR  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

NOT NEGOTIABLE

AA3455

Statement of Earnings For: <b>EMILY BELLISARIO</b>						<b>AAA FLOORING INC (0180TJ31)</b>					
Employee #: 1		Division:		Period Begin: 11/14/2021		Check Date: 11/26/2021		1565 W. Brooks Ave NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032			
Clock Number:		Department: 200		Period End: 11/20/2021		Additional Tax:					
SSN: XXX-XX-1546		Federal Filing: Married		Exemptions: 0		Additional Tax:					
Company Id: 0180TJ31		State Filing:		Exemptions: 0		Additional Tax:					
<b>Voucher Id</b>	<b>Check Amount</b>	<b>Gross Pay</b>		<b>Net Pay</b>		<b>Check Message</b>					
V76290658	\$0.00	\$217.50		\$181.56							
<b>EARNINGS</b>						<b>TAXES</b>			<b>DEDUCTIONS</b>		
*Not included in Totals											
Description	Rate	Hours	Dollars	YTD Hours	YTD Dollars	Description	Current	YTD	Description	Current	YTD
Salary		30.00	217.50	1,410.00	10,222.50	SOC SEC EE	12.19	572.89	Dental Pre Tax	17.56	825.32
						MED EE	2.85	133.98	Vision Pre-tax	3.34	156.98
									Vol LifePostTax	0.00	33.99
									AD&D PostTax	0.00	3.85
<b>Total:</b>						<b>Total:</b>			<b>Total:</b>		
30.00 217.50 1,410.00 10,222.50						15.04 706.87			20.90 1,020.14		
<b>CURRENT PERIOD LEAVE ACCRUAL</b>						<b>DISTRIBUTION OF NET PAY</b>					
						Checking Account: XXXXX9476 Deposit Amount: 181.56					

AAA FLOORING INC (0180TJ31)  
1565 W. Brooks Ave  
NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032

CHECK DATE	VOUCHER ID
11/26/2021	V76290658

Your entire Net pay of \$181.56 has been deposited in your bank account(s).

TOTAL NET PAY
*****\$181.56

1 200

**EMILY BELLISARIO**

1913 SONDRIO DR  
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

**NOT NEGOTIABLE**

AA3456



**Divorce - Complaint****COURT MINUTES****December 20, 2021**

---

D-20-605263-D      Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff  
vs.  
Bradley John Bellisario, Defendant.

---

**December 20, 2021      09:00 AM      Non-Jury Trial**

**HEARD BY:**      Perry, Mary      **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 23

**COURT CLERK:**      Medina, Kyle

**PARTIES PRESENT:**

**Emily Bellisario, Counter Defendant, Plaintiff, Present      Amanda M Roberts, ESQ, Attorney, Present**

**Bradley John Bellisario, Counter Claimant, Defendant, Not Present      Pro Se**

**Brayden Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present**

**Blake Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present**

**Brooklyn Bellisario, Subject Minor, Not Present**

**JOURNAL ENTRIES**

**NON-JURY TRIAL: NON JURY TRIAL**

Court noted that the Defendant is currently incarcerated.

Plaintiff and Donna Wilburn's Sworn Testimony and Exhibits presented (see worksheet).

COURT stated it's FINDINGS and ORDERED the following:

COURT FINDS that it has subject matter jurisdiction over this case, personal jurisdiction over the parties, and child custody subject matter jurisdiction over the minor children.

Upon SUBMISSION of the Divorce Decree, an absolute DECREE of DIVORCE is GRANTED, pursuant to the terms and conditions as placed on the record. Parties are RETURNED to the STATUS of SINGLE and UNMARRIED PERSONS.

The Plaintiff shall have SOLE LEGAL SOLE PHYSICAL custody of the Minor Children.

The Defendant shall have supervised visitation with the Minor Children once a week for four hours a day at Family First after the Defendant has petitioned the Court and has resolved his criminal matter. The Defendant shall be responsible for the visitation cost. The visitation shall be closely monitored and shall be suspended if the Defendant acts inappropriately.

The Plaintiff shall have the authority to obtain passports for the Minor Children and travel outside the country without the Defendant's permission.

The Plaintiff shall provide health insurance for the Minor Children. The Defendant shall reimburse one half of the Minor Children's health insurance monthly premium. Any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic or other health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor child is to be divided equally between the parties. Either party incurring an out of pocket medical expense for the child shall provide a copy of the paid invoice/receipt to the other party within thirty days of incurring such expense, if not tendered within the thirty day period, the Court may consider it as a waiver of reimbursement. The other party will then have thirty days from receipt within which to dispute the expense in writing or reimburse the incurring party for one-half of the out of pocket expense, if not disputed or paid within the thirty day period, the party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate sanctions.

Child Support shall be temporarily SUSPENDED commencing January 2022. The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$1,569.00 a month for Child Support following the month after the Defendant is no longer incarcerated.

Child Support arrears through December 2021 shall be in the amount of \$11,988.32 The amount is subject to the Defendant providing payment for particular months which may have not been provided in the schedule of arrears. Attorney Roberts shall recalculate the amount if the figures are not exact. The Child Support arrears amount shall be reduced to Judgement.

Spousal Support arrears through December 2021 shall be in the amount of \$33,982.84. An monies that have been paid in advance shall be applied to any Child Support arrears prior to any monies that were owed. The Spousal support amount shall be reduced to Judgement. The Defendant shall have the opportunity to provide proof that the payments were made.

The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$1.00 a month for Spousal Support until the Defendant is no longer incarcerated. Once the Defendant is released the Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff \$500.00 a month for seven years and shall be modifiable based on the Defendant's earning abilities.

The Plaintiff shall claim the Minor Children every year for the Dependent Tax Credit.

The Defendant shall provide his tax returns to the Plaintiff every year until the last Minor Child reaches the age of majority.

The Plaintiff's name shall be restored to Emily Cardona.

The Defendant sis not participate in Discovery pursuant to rule 16.2 therefore any request for admission which were not responded to shall be deemed admitted by operational by rule 36A3.

The Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff \$21,425.35 for the costs to repair the damage to the residence.

The Defendant shall pay one half of costs of the Minor Children's unpaid medicals bills in the amount of \$1,717.61. The amount shall be reduced to judgement.

The Defendant shall maintain any costs for the Defendant's Law Practice Debt as his sole and separate property.

The Plaintiff's Bank of America account ending in 0153, the Chase credit card ended in 5682 which was later changed to 5254, the Chase account ending in 5919 which was changed to 7774 are all community debts and shall equally be divided.

The Defendant shall maintain the Student Loan Debts as his sole and separate property.

The parties shall maintain their own debts not listed as their sole and separate debt.

The Plaintiff shall keep all of her property in her possession as her sole and separate property.

The Defendant shall undergo a psychological examination by Dr. Holland or by someone at Plaintiff's choice if Dr. Holland is not practicing. The Defendant shall be responsible for the total cost.

Attorney fees for the Plaintiff shall be GRANTED for current and past Counsel. Attorney Roberts shall file a memorandum of fees and costs within thirty days. The Defendant shall have forty four days to file an Objection with the Court.

Attorney Roberts shall prepare the Divorce Decree and file it with the Court.

Case shall be CLOSED.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:**

1 **EXH**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
7 PH: (702) 474-7007  
8 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FAMILY DIVISION**  
13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

14 EMILY BELLISARIO,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

18 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

Date of Trial: December 20, 2021

Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.

19 **PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST**

20 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney of  
21 record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and hereby  
22 submits Plaintiff's Trial Exhibits, as follows:

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	
1.	Stipulation and Order filed June 10, 2020.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
2.	Amended Order After Hearing from July 30, 2020, filed April 22, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	Obj
3.	Order After Hearing from October 22, 2020, filed January 20, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
4.	Order After Hearing from November 24, 2020, filed December 10, 2020.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
5.	Order After Hearing from April 6, 2021, filed April 30, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
6.	Order After Hearing from May 11, 2021, filed May 11, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
7.	Order After Hearing from May 18, 2021, filed June 26, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
8.	Order After Hearing from June 16, 2021, filed June 26, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
9.	Order After Hearing from June 7, 2021, filed July 20, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
10.	Order After Hearing from September 16, 2021, filed on September 17, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
11.	Order Deeming Defendant a Vexatious Litigant filed July 12, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
12.	Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendation filed April 6, 2021, and Order filed September 20, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
13.	Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form filed March 9, 2020.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	Obj
14.	Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form filed December 23, 2020.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
15.	Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form XXX	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
16.	Plaintiff's 2018 Taxes. (Bates No. PLTF0051-PLTF0062)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
17.	Plaintiff's 2019 Taxes. (Bates No. PLTF0063-PLTF0075).	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
18.	Defendant's Financial Disclosure Form filed April	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
19.	Defendant's Financial Disclosure Form filed February 7, 2021.	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
20.	List of cases with filing date based upon a search of "Bradley Bellisario" from the Eighth Judicial District Court Portal	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
21.	Schedule of Arrears for Child Support filed February 5, 2021	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
22.	Schedule of Arrears for Temporary Support filed February 5, 2021	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
23.	Calendar of dates from February 5, 2021 through December 20, 2021	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
24.	Calculated Child Support Arrears	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
25.	Calculated Temporary Support Arrears	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	
26. ME	Red Rock Resorts and Casino Subpoena Response – November 11, 2021. (PLTF1002-PLTF1040)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
27. ME	Paris Las Vegas Subpoena Response. (PLTF1041-PLTF1119)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
28. ME	Photos of 1913 Sondio Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 from September 2017. (Bates Stamp No. PLTF0023 – PLTF0025)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
29. ME	Photos from August 1, 2019. (Bates Stamp No. PLTF0001 – PLTF0003)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
30. ME	Photos from September 16, 2019. (Bates Stamp No. PLTF0004 – PLTF0022)	Yes	Yes	NO	NO
31. ME	Thistle DKI – Inspection and Cause of Loss due to Defendant's damage to the house. (PLTF0600-PLTF0616)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
32. ME	Allstate -Southwest Property Market Claim Office. Claim for Vandalism caused by Defendant. (PLTF0617-PLTF0628)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
33. ME	Ring video of Defendant crashing into the neighbor's vehicle and Plaintiff's yard on June 22, 2020. (Bates Stamp No. PLTF0032)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	Obj
34. N/A	Photos evidencing destruction caused by Defendant to neighbor's vehicles and property on June 22, 2020. (Bates Stamp No. PLTF0035 – PLTF0040)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
35. N/A	Photos evidencing damage to his truck caused by Defendant on June 22, 2020. (Bates Stamp No. PLTF0041 – PLTF0043)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
36. N/A	Police Report for June 22, 2020 reporting of destruction and vandalism at Emily's residence by Bradley. (Bates No. PLTF0553 – PLTF0554)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
37. N/A	Police Report for July 2, 2020 reporting of harassment upon Emily by Bradley via phone calls, messages and emails. (Bates No. PLTF0555 – PLTF0556)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
38. N/A	Police Report for July 26, 2020 reporting of harassment and threats to Emily by Bradley. (Bates No. PLTF0557 – PLTF0559)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
39. N/A	Police Report for September 17, 2020 reporting of harassment to Emily's Father and two (2) friends by Bradley. (Bates No. PLTF0567 – PLTF0570).	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
40. N/A	Documentation from Jason Elleman. (PLTF0940-PLTF1001)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO



EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	
41.	Ring Video of Defendant dropping off the children 30 minutes late and throwing juice at the house. (Bates No. PLTF0395)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
42.	Photos taken by Private Investigator on November 14, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF044-PLTF047)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
43.	Video of Supervisor, Saira, attempting to take Brayden for visitation. (Bates No. PLTF048)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
44.	Video taken by Private Investigator on November 21, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF049)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
45.	Videos of an exchange and Plaintiff trying to get Brayden to go. (Bates No. PLTF050)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
46.	Register of Actions for Case No. 19F19371X for Defendant. (Bates No. PLTF0396-PLTF0398)				
47.	Register of Actions for Case No. 20-PC-009075 for Defendant. (Bates No. PLTF0401-PLTF0402)				
48.	Register of Actions for Case No. 20-CR-009080 for Defendant. (Bates No. PLTF0399-PLTF0400)				
49.	Register of Actions for Case No. 20-PC-014512 for Defendant. (Bates No. PLTF0403-PLTF0404)				

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	(b)
50.	Register of Actions for Case No. 20-CR-039342 for Defendant. (Bates No. PLTF0405)				
51.	Inmate In-Custody Status 20-CR-039342. (Bates No. PLTF0412)				
52.	Register of Actions for Case No. 20-CR-039342 as of 1/25/21. (Bates No. PLTF0413)				
53.	Indictment. (PLTF0777-PLTF0779)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
54.	Doctor's Note regarding Blake being lactose intolerant. (Bates No. PLTF0385)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
55.	Outstanding Medical bills for the children. (Bates No. PLTF0406-PLTF0411)	Yes Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
56.	Message from Bradley to Anna- Therapist. (Bates No. PLTF0414)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
57.	Messages from Bradley to Emily's friends threatening to burn down the house. (Bates No. PLTF0415-PLTF0424)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
58.	Messages from Bradley regarding Emily's social media friends. (Bates No. PLTF0425-PLTF0427)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
59.	Messages from Bradley regarding Mario. (Bates No. PLTF0428-PLTF0432)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
60.	Messages from Bradley regarding killing Emily's friend. (Bates No. PLTF0433-PLTF0443)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	OBJ
ME 61.	Messages from Bradley to Gabriel. (Bates No. PLTF0444-PLTF0445)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
ME 62.	Messages from Bradley to Emily regarding her friends. (Bates No. PLTF0446)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
63.	Affidavit of Emily Bellisario. (Bates No. PLTF0447-PLTF0451)				
ME 64.	Emails from Defendant to Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group using inappropriate language. (Bates No. PLTF0386- PLTF0394)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
ME 65.	February 4, 2021 correspondence from Bradley to Ms. Roberts "you truly are the biggest piece of trash I've ever encountered." (Bates No. PLTF0459)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
ME 66.	Voicemail messages typed out from Bradley to Emily's prior attorney. (Bates No. PLTF0462-PLTF0463)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
ME 67.	July 02, 2020 voicemail left by Bradley to Emily's prior counsel. (PLTF0464)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
ME 68.	September 16, 2020 at 7:19 p.m. voicemail left by Bradley to Emily's prior counsel. (PLTF0465)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
ME 69.	September 16, 2020 at 7:27 p.m. voicemail left by Bradley to Emily's prior counsel. (PLTF0466)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
70.	Plaintiff's First set of Request for Production of Documents to Defendant. (PLTF0467-PLTF0478)				

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	Obj
71.	Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant. (PLTF0479-PLTF0490)				
72.	Plaintiff's First set of Request for Admissions to Defendant. (PLTF0491-PLTF 0500)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
73.	Messages via Facebook Messenger from Bradley to Emily's friend, Mario Markos, on September 16-17, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0560 – PLTF0561)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
74.	Messages via Facebook Messenger from Bradley to Emily's friend, Sonia Pittman, on or about September 17, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0562 – PLTF0563)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
75.	Additional messages via Facebook Messenger from Bradley to Emily's friend, Sonia Pittman. (Bates No. PLTF0564 – PLTF0565)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
76.	Receipts of payment of medical bills to Children's Bone & Spine Surgery, LLP on behalf of minor child, Blake. (Bates No. PLTF0571 - PLTF0572)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
77.	Receipt from CVS for medical expenses on behalf of minor child, Brayden. (Bates No. PLTF0573 – PLTF0574)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	Obj
78. MB	Receipts from Kidfixers Pediatrics dated February 19, 2021 for medical expenses on behalf of minor child, Brayden. (Bates No. PLTF0575)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
79. MB	Medical bill from UHS Western Region (for Summerlin Hospital) for Brayden – Statement date August 9, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0579)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
80. MB	Medical bill from UHS Western Region (for Summerlin Hospital) for Brayden – Statement date August 12, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0580)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
81.	Medical bill from UMC for Brooklyn – Statement date August 18, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0581)				
82. MB	Plaintiff's Social Security Statement. (Bates No. PLTF0583-PLTF0586)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
83. MB	March 29, 2021 email thread between Bradley and Amanda's office "POS as usual". (Bates no. PLTF0587-PLTF0590)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
84. MB	Medical Bills for the children, February, 2021. (PLTF0734-PLTF0739)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
85.	CVS Pharmacy prescription receipts for Brayden. (PLTF0740)				
86.	CVS Pharmacy Receipt for Brayden's medication- June 7, 2021. (PLTF0863)				

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	
87.	Clinical Solutions, LLC. Receipt for Brayden- June 17, 2021. (PLTF0864-PLTF0865)				NO
88.	Subpoena to Donna Wilburn served by Christopher Tilman, Esq., and the corresponding Subpoena response from Donna Wilburn. (PLTF0741) <sup>1</sup>				
89.	Email correspondence between Dr. Holland and Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group. (PLTF0742-PLTF0770)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
90.	Donna's House Communication. (PLTF0771-PLTF0772)				
91.	Grant, Bargain, and Sale Deed. (PLTF0773-PLTF0776)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
92.	April 22, 2021 Yelp review left by Defendant. (PLTF0784)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
93.	Notification of Service print out from Defendant "Letter to Mass Roberts". (PLTF0785-PLTF0786)				
94.	Correspondence sent by Defendant ending the correspondence in "Moon Pie". (PLTF0787)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
95.	Facebook posting on Family Court Support made by Defendant on April 22, 2021. (PLTF0788-PLTF0789)				

<sup>1</sup> This disclosure is a file containing multiple videos and documents.

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	
96.	Facebook posting on Family Court Support Group made by Defendant on April 30, 2021. (PLTF0790).				Obj
97.	Threatening email from Defendant to Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group. (PLTF0791)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
98.	Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group Retainer Agreement (PLTF0793-PLTF0799)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
99.	Certified copy of Assignment of Deed of Trust. (DEF0482-DEF0484)				
100.	Nevada Appellate Courts: Discipline of Bradley J. Bellisario Case #82922. (PLTF0844-PLTF0845)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
101.	June 10, 2021 letter from Bradley addressed as "Letter to Amanda "Sea Cow" Roberts". (PLTF0846-PLTF0847)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
102.	Homestead - 1913 Sondrio Dr., Las Vegas, Nevada 89134. (PLTF0848-PLTF0852)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NC
103.	Residential Purchase Agreement-1913 Sondrio Dr., Las Vegas, Nevada 89134. (PLTF0853-PLTF0862)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
104.	Bank of America Subpoena Response. (PLTF0780-PLTF0783)				
105.	Wells Fargo Home Mortgage Statement prior to marriage. (Bates No. PLTF0595-PLTF0596)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	Obj
106. MB	Wells Fargo Home Mortgage Statement April, 2021. (Bates No. PLTF0597-PLTF0599)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-12	NO	NO
107. MB	Bank of Nevada Statements Account #3541 August 14, 2020 through December 14, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0076-PLTF0096)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
108. MB	Bank of Nevada Account #3541 information as of December 14, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0097)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
109. MB	Bank of Nevada Statements - Account #3541- November 14, 2020 through September 14, 2021. (PLTF0887-PLTF0939)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
110. MB	Bank of Nevada Statements Account #8473 September 30, 2019 through October 31, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0098- PLTF0112)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
111. MB	Bank of Nevada Statements- Account #8473- October 31, 2020 through June 30, 2021. (PLTF0875-PLTF0886)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
112. MB	Bank of America Statements Account #6343 August 21, 2019 through November 20, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0113- PLTF0174)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
113. MB	Bank of America Statements Account #9476 August 22, 2019 through January 22, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0175-PLTF0226)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO



EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED	
114.	Bank of America Statements Account #8302 August 17, 2019 through November 16, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0227-PLTF0246)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
115.	Chase Statements Account #5682 June, 2019 through December, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0247- PLTF0262)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
116.	Chase Statements Account #7774 October, 2019 through November, 2020. (Bates No. PLTF0263- PLTF0322)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
117.	Defendant's Wells Fargo Statements for Account Ending in 9522 (Bates No. DFNT00001-DFNT000080)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
118.	Wells Fargo Bank Statements for Account Ending in 9522 (Bates No. DFNT00081-DFNT00125)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
119.	Wells Fargo Bank Statement for Account Ending in 2348 (Bates No. DFNT000126-DFNT00142)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
120.	Wells Fargo Bank Statements for Account Ending in 7891 (Bates No. DFNT000143-DFNT0185)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
121.	Federal Student Loan Documents (Bates No. DFNT000186-DFNT000187)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO
122.	Kabbage Loan Documents (Bates No. DFNT00188-DFNT000190)	Yes 12-20-21	Yes 12-20-21	NO	NO

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED	DENIED
123. <i>no</i>	Lending Club Loan (Bates No. DFNT000191- DFNT000195)	<i>Yes</i> <i>12-20-21</i>	<i>Yes</i> <i>12-20-21</i>	<i>NO</i>

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021.

**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

By: *Amanda M. Roberts*  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

1 **EXH**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

3 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

4 4411 S. Pecos Road  
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

5 PH: (702) 474-7007

6 FAX: (702) 474-7477

6 EMAIL: [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

8 **DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FAMILY DIVISION**  
10 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

11 EMILY BELLISARIO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

15 Defendant.

Case No: D-20-605263-D

Dept No: P

**BOOK 1 of 3**

Date of Trial: December 20, 2021

Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.

17 **PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT BOOKS**

18  
19 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney of  
20 record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and  
21 hereby submits Plaintiff's Trial Exhibits enclosed herein.  
22

23 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

24 By: *Amanda M. Roberts*

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

Attorney for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

MARK  
PROP  
CASE

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
33  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
PROP  
CASE

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
41  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
CASE  
PRO

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
43  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
CASE  
PRO

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
44  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
CASE  
PRO

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
45  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
CASE  
PRO

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
47  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
CASE  
PRO

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
48  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

MARK  
CASE  
PRO

PENGAD 800-631-6888  
PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT  
49  
Diosaluz  
12-20-21

*Steven D. Grierson*

1 **SAO**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: [efile@lvfamilylaw.com](mailto:efile@lvfamilylaw.com)

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.


16 **STIPULATION AND ORDER**

17 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

18 Defendant.

19 COMES NOW, the Plaintiffs, Emily Bellisario, by and through her attorney  
20 of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the  
21 Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, by and through his attorney of record, Christopher  
22 R. Tilman, Esq., and hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

23 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that the Parties have  
24 three (3) minor children, to wit: Brayden Bellisario ("Brayden"), born on January  
25



1 15, 2015; Blake Bellisario ("Blake"), born on November 20, 2016; and Brooklyn  
2 Bellisario ("Brooklyn"), born on February 1, 2018.

3 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that Brayden shall be  
4 enrolled in therapy with a neutral therapist, and it must be someone other than  
5 Donna Wilburn.  
6

7 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE this is a highly  
8 contested custody action and it would be beneficial for the Court to receive a full  
9 custody evaluation, including psychological assessments of the Parties. Thus, the  
10 Parties have selected Dr. Stephanie Holland, and the custody evaluation process  
11 shall commence forthwith. Dr. Holland shall be permitted to make interim  
12 recommendations to the Court for consideration pending the final outcome of the  
13 custody evaluation. The Defendant shall front the cost for the custody evaluation  
14 subject to reallocation by the Court based upon the outcome of the custody  
15 evaluation.  
16

17 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that Plaintiff's  
18 Counsel shall immediately submit a Subpoena to Donna Wilburn for a complete  
19 copy of her file related to the treatment for Brayden and those records, upon receipt,  
20 shall be provided to Dr. Holland for review and consideration.  
21

22 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that Defendant and  
23 Brayden shall engage in reunification. The Parties have agreed to use Nicholas  
24

1 Ponzo for reunification. The Defendant shall front the cost for reunification subject  
2 to reallocation by the Court.

3  
4 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that the hearing  
5 scheduled June 16, 2020, shall be continued for two (2) weeks to allow Counsel to  
6 attempt resolve the outstanding issues in the matter which include the following:  
7  
8 (1.) temporary custodial Orders; (2.) proof of health insurance coverage for the  
9 entire family; (3.) temporary support for the Plaintiff; and (4.) Plaintiff's request for  
10 attorney fees.

11 NOW THEREFORE,

12  
13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Parties agreements as set forth herein  
14 are adopted without restatement herein.

15 \\\

16 \\\

17 \\\

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing scheduled on June 16, 2020, at  
2 10:00 a.m., shall be continued to July 30, 2020, at  
3  
4 10:00 a.m.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED this 10th day of June, 2020.


6  
7   
8 District Court Judge


9 Submitted this 10th day of  
10 June, 2020.

Approved as to content and form this  
day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2020.

11 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
12 **LAW GROUP**

**CHRISTOPHER R. TILMAN, CHTD.**

13 By:   
14 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
15 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
16 4411 South Pecos Road  
17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
18 PH: (702) 474-7007  
19 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
20 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
21 Attorney for Plaintiff

By:   
Christopher R. Tilman, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 05150  
1211 South Maryland Parkway  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104  
PH: (702) 214-4214  
FAX: (702) 214-4208  
EMAIL: crt@christophertilman.com  
Attorney for Defendant



*Amanda M. Roberts*  
CLERK OF THE COURT


**ORDR**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,	) Case No: D-20-605263-D
	) Dept No: P
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
	) <b>AMENDED ORDER AFTER</b>
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,	) <b>HEARING</b>
	)
Defendant.	)
	) Date of Hearing: July 30, 2020
	) Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of July,  
2020, on Plaintiff's Motion for Primary Physical Custody of the Minor Children,  
for Child Support and Health Insurance Coverage, for an Outsourced Alcohol/  
Psychological Evaluation of the Defendant, to Confirm and Consolidate the  
Temporary Protective Orders, for Spousal Support, and for an Award of  
Attorney's Fees and Costs; and Defendant's Opposition and Countermotion for  
Shared Physical Custody, Child Support and Attorney's Fees; and a continued



1 Case Management Conference. This matter being heard simultaneously with  
2 Case No. T-20-206639-T, wherein the Plaintiff is the Applicant, and the  
3 Defendant is the Adverse Party. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present  
4 and represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts,  
5 Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley  
6 Bellisario, being present and represented by and through his attorney of record,  
7 Christopher R. Tilman, Esq. The Parties and Counsels each being present by  
8 video via Blue Jeans pursuant to Administrative Orders, and the Court having  
9 heard the argument of Counsel and reviewed the pleadings on file herein hereby  
10 Orders as follows:

14 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that upon confirmation between Counsels,  
15 the Parties have stipulated to a custody evaluation, including an evaluation of the  
16 Parties. (Video Timestamp: 11:25:00)

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the issues before this Court this date are  
19 the pending Motions and the continued Case Management Conference; therefore,  
20 financial issues may be addressed. (Video Timestamp: 11:27:30)

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Attorney Tilman stated that today's  
23 status check hearing was premature as Dr. Holland has not been engaged yet and  
24 Dr. Ponzo has not provide the report on the reunification. Mr. Tilman requested the  
25

1 matter be continued for (45) days and also stated concerns as to a Trial being set in  
2 this matter. (Video Timestamp: 11:25:15)

3 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Attorney Roberts advised the Court that  
4  
5 Counsels have not been able to address the financials of this case. Counsel further  
6 stated that, since the filing of the stipulation on June 10, 2020, Bradley has been  
7 arrested three (3) separate times: on June 22, 2020 for a DUI and violating the TPO  
8 (as it pertains to the Plaintiff), on July 2, 2020 for violating the Temporary  
9 Protection Order (specifically repeatedly threatening, in writing, to kill Emily), and  
10 on July 26, 2020 for aggravated stalking and threatening, in writing, to kill Emily.  
11  
12 Attorney Roberts further advised the Court as to the threats made by Bradley to  
13 Emily's prior Counsel, Joe Ricco, Esq., as well as making threats against Attorney  
14 Roberts' husband and Attorney Ricco's wife. Ms. Roberts represented Bradley is  
15 an attorney himself and issues regarding his behavior may be brought before the  
16 State Bar of Nevada. Bradley's behavior is very concerning to Counsel as she is  
17 very concerned for Emily's safety. Bradley cut off internet access to Emily's  
18 residence; therefore, she no longer has security surveillance at the residence.  
19 Counsel believes this was done in furtherance of his plan; Bradley specifically  
20 informed Emily on July 26, 2020, that he would kill Emily before today's hearing.  
21  
22 (Video Timestamp: 11:26:49)

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Attorney Tilman advised that Bradley  
2 is being represented by Attorney Ross Goodman for the criminal matters, as well as  
3 in front of the State Bar. Attorney Tilman further indicated Bradley is looking at an  
4 inpatient program and is contemplating placing his license to practice law on an  
5 inactive status. (Video Timestamp: 11:29:30)  
6

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that until Bradley get some help, this Court  
8 is not ready to extend his visitation beyond supervised visitation. (Video  
9 Timestamp: 11:35:08)  
10

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that upon inquiry by this Court, Attorney  
12 Tilman represented Bradley is continuing to actively practice law at this time.  
13 (Video Timestamp: 11:35:12)  
14

15 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Bradley was sworn in and testified.  
16 The Court canvassed Bradley as to the amount of money he has given Emily as and  
17 for support. (Video Timestamp: 11:36:20) Bradley represented he has provided  
18 \$3,500.00 per month to Emily and then more when she has asked for it, excluding  
19 last month as he did not have the money. (Video Timestamp: 11:36:42) The Court  
20 noted concerns as to who is paying the mortgage on the home. Bradley represented  
21 he was previously paying the mortgage, but he believes Emily changed it as he did  
22 not see the mortgage coming out of the joint account any longer. (Video  
23 Timestamp: 11:37:39)  
24  
25  
26

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS the Temporary Order of Protection (TPO)  
3  
4 issued in Case No. T-20-206639-TPO is extended until May 10, 2021. (Video  
5 Timestamp: 11:38:40)

6 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, should there be any further acts of  
7  
8 violence or threats of violence, the TPO shall be extended for an additional year  
9 (i.e. would be extended until May of 2022). (Video Timestamp: 11:38:10)

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that until October 22, 2020, Bradley  
11 shall have supervised visitations with the minor children at Donna's House on  
12  
13 Sundays between 2:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. (Video Timestamp: 11:41:30, 11:42:25  
14 and 11:44:39) A separate Order for Supervised Visitations was filed in open Court.

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that if Bradley goes into an inpatient  
16  
17 rehabilitation, his visitations will be suspended for that period of time, but if he  
18 successfully completes this rehabilitation, this Court would provide make-up  
19 visitation. (Video Timestamp: 11:42:48)

20 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Counsel shall confer and set child  
21  
22 support as of the date of separation. The child support shall be set based upon  
23 Bradley's gross monthly income of \$18,000.00 per month. (Video Citation:  
24 11:37:54)  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, in addition to child support,  
2 Bradley shall pay Emily temporary spousal support in the amount of \$1,000.00 per  
3 month. This amount is in addition to his child support obligation and retroactive to  
4 the date of the Parties separation. (Video Citation: 11:38:00)

6 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS based upon the declarations of income,  
7 using the figures set forth by the Court herein, Bradley's support obligation to  
8 Emily is set at \$3,560.00 per month [\$2,560.00 child support pursuant to NAC, plus  
9 \$1,000.00 temporary support], commencing June of 2019.

11 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS a Return Hearing is scheduled for  
12 October 22, 2020, at 11:00 a.m. (Video Timestamp: 11:42:10)

14 \\\

15 \\\

16 \\\

17 \\\

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27

28

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Attorney Roberts is to prepare the  
2 Order from today's hearing and Attorney Tilman shall countersign. (Video  
3 Timestamp: 11:44:30)  
4

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 22nd day of April, 2021

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



Submitted this 22nd day of  
April, 2021.

Approved as to Content and Form:

57B 37C C5CE ECC3  
Mary Perry  
District Court Judge

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY  
LAW GROUP

By: Amanda M. Roberts  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
4411 South Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Bradley Bellisario  
7100 Grand Montecito Pkwy., #2054  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
PH: (702) 936-4800  
FAX: (702) 936-4801  
EMAIL: bradb@bellisariolaw.com  
Defendant, in proper person

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT  
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA  
4

5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 4/22/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

18 Linda Bell

dept07lc@clarkcountycourts.us




*Amanda M. Roberts*  
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR**  
2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
5 4411 S. Pecos Road  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
7 PH: (702) 474-7007  
8 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO, ) Case No: D-20-605263-D  
14 ) Dept No: P  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 ) **ORDER AFTER HEARING**  
18 BRADLEY BELLISARIO, )  
19 )  
20 Defendant. ) Date of Hearing: October 22, 2020  
21 ) Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of October,  
2020, on a Status Check regarding the reunification and outsource evaluation.  
The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and represented, by and through her  
attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law  
Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present and represented by  
and through his attorney of record, Christopher R. Tilman, Esq. The Parties and  
Counsels each being present by video via Blue Jeans pursuant to Administrative



1 Orders, and the Court having heard the argument of Counsel and reviewed the  
2 pleadings on file herein hereby Orders as follows:

3 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Counsel for the Parties acknowledged  
4 receipt and review of the Donna's House Report and Report from Nicholas Ponzio.  
5

6 NOW THEREFORE,

7 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant shall set up SCRAM  
8 alcohol monitoring within seven (7) days.  
9

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the first Saturday after Defendant  
11 has commenced SCRAM, his supervised visitation shall be with the minor child,  
12 Brayden, from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Each and every Saturday thereafter, until  
13 further Order of the Court, he shall have supervised visitations with all three (3)  
14 children, from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. until further Order of the Court.  
15

16 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the agreed upon supervisors for  
17 Defendant's visitations are Saira McKinley, Tom McKinley, Maternal Grandfather  
18 along with Paternal Grandmother or Grandfather.  
19

20 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant shall deliver to Ms.  
21 Roberts immediately his financial books and records regarding his business and  
22

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

1 income. Ms. Roberts shall keep those records confidential and they are for her  
2 review only, they cannot be reviewed by the Plaintiff. The Defendant's compliance  
3 with this Order may purge his contempt for failure to pay the child support and  
4 financial support as Ordered.  
5

6 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Brayden is going through a tough  
7 time and shall not be removed from counseling. (Video Citation at 12:21:10)  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS a Return Hearing is scheduled for  
10 November 24, 2020, at 11:00 a.m.

11 \\\

12 \\\

13 \\\

14 \\\

15 \\\

16 \\\

17 \\\

18 \\\

19 \\\

20 \\\

21 \\\

22 \\\

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Attorney Roberts is to prepare the  
2 Order from today's hearing and Attorney Tilman shall countersign.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 20th day of January, 2021

MB

4  
5  
6  
7  
8 Submitted this 24<sup>th</sup> day of  
9 November, 2020.

Approved as to content and form this  
day of 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2021.

~~B58 FAA 9821-0E3D~~  
~~Mary Perry~~

10 ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY  
11 LAW GROUP

~~CHRISTOPHER R. TILMAN, CHTD.~~

12 By: Amanda M. Roberts By: \_\_\_\_\_

13 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
14 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
4411 South Pecos Road  
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
16 PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
17 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
18 Attorney for Plaintiff

~~Christopher R. Tilman, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 05150  
1211 South Maryland Parkway  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104  
PH: (702) 214-4214  
FAX: (702) 214-4208  
EMAIL: crt@christophertilman.com  
Attorney for Defendant~~

1 CSERV

2 DISTRICT COURT  
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4  
5  
6 Emily Bellisario, Plaintiff

CASE NO: D-20-605263-D

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department P

8 Bradley John Bellisario,  
9 Defendant.

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

14 Service Date: 1/20/2021

15 Amanda Roberts

efile@lvfamilylaw.com

16 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

17 Sandra Pomrenze

DEPTInbox@ClarkCountyCourts.us

18  
19 Bradley Bellisario

bradb@bellisariolaw.com

*Steven D. Grierson*

1 **ORDR**

2 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

3 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294

4 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

5 4411 S. Pecos Road

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

7 PH: (702) 474-7007

8 FAX: (702) 474-7477

9 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

11 **DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 EMILY BELLISARIO,

) Case No: D-20-605263-D

) Dept No: P

14 Plaintiff,

)

15 v.

)

16 **ORDER AFTER HEARING**

17 BRADLEY BELLISARIO,

)

)

18 Defendant.

) Date of Hearing: November 24, 2020

) Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

)

19 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of

20 November, 2020, on a Return Hearing regarding the financials and supervised


21 visitation. The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and represented, by and

22 through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel

23 Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present and

24 representing himself in proper person. The Parties and Counsel each being

25 present by video via Blue Jeans pursuant to Administrative Orders, and the Court



1 having heard the argument of Counsel and reviewed the pleadings on file herein  
2 hereby Orders as follows:

3 NOW THEREFORE,  
4

5 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the Defendant shall have until the  
6 close of business on December 1, 2020, to provide Plaintiff's Counsel with all of  
7 his financial records and business statements to determine Defendant's income and  
8 earnings. If the Defendant fails to comply, this Court recommends that there be an  
9 unequal distribution of the assets and debts between the Plaintiff and Defendant.  
10

11 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall prepare a  
12 Schedule of Arrears for the child support and spousal support, said amounts shall be  
13 reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means.  
14

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant shall continue to  
16 maintain the SCRAM ankle monitor at his cost.  
17

18 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant shall have  
19 unsupervised visitation with the minor children on Saturdays from noon to 4:00  
20 p.m. The Defendant shall provide all transportation for the visitation. The  
21 Defendant shall pull up to the Plaintiff's residence exit the vehicle, but not  
22 approach the Plaintiff, solely for the purpose of showing the Plaintiff that the  
23 SCRAM ankle monitor remains in place before and after each visitation period.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 After confirming the SCRAM ankle monitor is in place, the Plaintiff shall send the  
2 children to the Defendant to commence visitation.

3 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Protection Order shall be  
4 modified in T-19-200404-T to allow the exchanges as outlined herein above.

5 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS the Court waives the requirement for the  
6 Parties to participate in mediation based upon the emotions being too high in this  
7 matter.  
8

9 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that an Evidentiary Hearing on custody  
10 is scheduled on January 25, 2021, at 9:00 a.m.  
11

12 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that discovery on custodial issues shall  
13 close on January 11, 2021.  
14

15 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Pre-Trial Memorandums on  
16 custodial issues, including applicable facts and law, shall be filed and served on or  
17 before January 18, 2021.  
18

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///




1 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall prepare the Order  
2 and submit it to the Court.

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED. this 9th day of December, 2020.

5  
6   
7 Sandra L. Pomrenze  
District Court Judge jr

8 Submitted this 24<sup>th</sup> day of  
9 November 2020.

10 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
11 **LAW GROUP**

12 By: 

13 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
14 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
15 4411 South Pecos Road  
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
17 PH: (702) 474-7007  
18 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
19 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
20 Attorney for Plaintiff  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*Amanda M. Roberts*  
CLERK OF THE COURT

**ORDR**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
4411 S. Pecos Road  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

EMILY BELLISARIO,	) Case No: D-20-605263-D
	) Dept No: P
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
	) <b>ORDER AFTER HEARING</b>
BRADLEY BELLISARIO,	)
	)
Defendant.	) Date of Hearing: April 6, 2021
	) Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2021, for multiple Motion hearings (See Notice of Rescheduling Hearings filed on March 23, 2021). The Plaintiff, Emily Bellisario, being present and represented, by and through her attorney of record, Amanda M. Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Bradley Bellisario, being present in proper person.

///



1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that the Court will do everything possible to  
3  
4 protect the minor children.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Court will move this case forward  
6 as quickly as possible.

7 NOW THEREFORE.

8  
9 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that Plaintiff/Applicant's Protection  
10 Order in Case No. T-20-206636-T, shall be extended until May 10, 2022 or until  
11 Defendant's criminal case is completed.

12  
13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's request to correct the  
14 clerical error from the Order After Hearing from July 30, 2020, is granted. The  
15 date related to the amount owed by Defendant to Plaintiff shall be July 30, 2019  
16 rather than July 30, 2020.

17  
18 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Defendant's business, Bellisario  
19 Law, P.C., shall be joined as a Party to this action.

20  
21 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a receiver shall be appointed for  
22 Defendant's business, Bellisario Law, P.C. Ms. Roberts shall provide Defendant  
23 with three (3) names of attorneys who can act as receivers in alphabetical order and  
24 Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select a name or the middle name

1 shall be selected. The receiver needs to be an attorney who deals with Trust  
2 accounts, and shall manage the business and the funds held in the IOLTA account.  
3

4 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that a CPA shall be appointed for  
5 Defendant's business, Bellisario Law, P.C. Ms. Roberts shall provide Defendant  
6 with three (3) names of accountants who can act as CPA in alphabetical order and  
7 Defendant shall have forty-eight (48) hours to select a name or the middle name  
8 shall be selected. The CPA shall complete an audit of the IOLTA account.  
9

10 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that any monies found shall be  
11 deposited into the IOLTA account for Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group.  
12

13 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Ms. Roberts shall be permitted to  
14 submit the Plaintiff's Subpoenas to Nevada State Bank, Bank of Nevada, Bank of  
15 America, Chase and Wells Fargo with a response deadline of May 14, 2021. Any  
16 information that Ms. Roberts obtains via Subpoena regarding the Defendant's  
17 business, Bellisario Law, P.C., shall be CONFIDENTIAL and shall not be released  
18 to the Plaintiff, and Ms. Roberts shall not harass Defendant's clients.  
19

20 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's request to deem the  
21 Defendant a vexatious litigant is denied.  
22

23 THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's request to consolidate the  
24 civil cases with this case is denied.  
25  
26  
27  
28