IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE

STATE OF NEVADA

E&T VENTURES, LLC,	Jan 26 2022 09:07 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
Petitioner,	
VS	
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF	Supreme Court Case No. TBD
NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HONORABLE JOANNA KISHNER, DISTRICT JUDGE,	District Court Case: A-19-796919-B
Respondent,	
	Volume 2 of 7
EUPPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC a Nevada limited liability company,	
Real Party in Interest.	

APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. (Nevada Bar No. 7531) 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 <u>mstipp@stipplaw.com</u> *Counsel for Petitioner*

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00001

Electronically Filed

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit A	Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing	00005-00008	Vol. 1
Exhibit B-1	Motion for Discovery Sanctions	00009-00037	Vol. 1
Exhibit B-2	Appendix in Support of Motion for Discovery Sanctions	00038-00286	Vol.2
Exhibit B-3	Motion for and Order Shortening Time	00287-00299	Vol.3
Exhibit C-1	Opposition/Countermotion	00300-00317	Vol.3
Exhibit C-2	Appendix in Support of Opposition/Countermotion	00318-00565 00566-00799 00800-00882	Vol. 4 Vol. 5
Exhibit D	Reply to Opposition/Opposition to Countermotion	00800-00882	Vol. 6 Vol. 7
Exhibit E	Reply to Opposition to Countermotion	00905-00915	Vol. 7
Exhibit F	Transcript of Hearing on January 4, 2022	00916-01061	Vol. 7
Exhibit G	Notice of Entry of Order	01062-01079	Vol. 7
Exhibit H	Scheduling Order	01080-01098	Vol.7

///

///

///

///

///

DATED this 25th day of January, 2022.

LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP

/s/ Mitchell Stipp

MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7531 1180 N. Town Center Drive Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 602-1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com *Counsel for Petitioner*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 25th day of January, 2022, I filed the foregoing APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS,

using the court's electronic filing system.

Notice of the filing of the APPENDIX was made upon acceptance by the Nevada Supreme Court using the District Court's electronic filing system to the following e-

service participants in District Court Case and by mail to the addresses as indicated:

Judge Joanna Kishner:

Dept311c@clarkcountycourts.us

Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155

Euphoria Wellness, LLC as Real Parties-in- Interest:

Nicole E. Lovelock, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 11187 JONES LOVELOCK 6600 Amelia Earhart Ct., Suite C Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 805-8450 Fax: (702) 805-8451 Email: nlovelock@joneslovelock.com

By: /s/ Mitchell Stipp

An employee of Law Office of Mitchell Stipp

 $EXHIBIT B-2^{\text{petitioner's appendix NO. 00038}}$

Electronically Filed 11/30/2021 4:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

		Atump. Atum
1	APEN Nicole E. Lovelock, Esq.	Crime
2	Nevada State Bar No. 11187	
3	Justin C. Jones, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 8519	
4	Georlen K Spangler, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 3818	
5	JONES LOVELOCK 6600 Amelia Earhart Ct., Suite C	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	
6	Telephone: (702) 805-8450 Fax: (702) 805-8451	
7	Email: nlovelock@joneslovelock.com Email: jjones@joneslovelock.com	
8	Email: jspangler@joneslovelock.com	
9	Attorneys for Euphoria Wellness, LLC	
10		
11	DISTRIC	CT COURT
12	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
13	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B
14	liability company,	DEPT. NO.: XXXI
15	Plaintiff, v.	"HEARING REQUESTED"
		APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT
16 17	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,	OF EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR
	inclusive;	FAILURE TO PRODUCE A PRIVILEGE LOG
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada	
22	limited liability company,	
23	Counterclaimant, v.	
24	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited	
25	liability company;	
26	Counter-Defendant.	
27	EUDIODIA WELLNESS LLC - N- 1	
28	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00039
28		
I	1	

1 2	v.	Third- Party Plaintiff,		
3 4 5 6	limited liabilit CAMPERS, L company; CB limited liabilit	SULTING, LLC, a Nevada cy company; HAPPY LC, a Nevada limited liability D SUPPLY CO, LLC, a Nevada cy company; DOE Individuals I- and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,		
7		Third-Party Defendants.		
8 9 10 11 12	"Defendant"), Appendix of I Against E&T	dant/Counterclaimant/Third Party Plaintiff Euphoria Wellness by and through its attorneys of record, hereby submits, pursua Exhibits in Support of Motion for Sanctions for Failure to Pr Ventures, LLC, Miral Consulting, LLC, Happy Campers, LLC n") as follows:	nt to EDCR 2.27(b), its roduce a Privilege Log	
13	EXHIBIT	PAGE NOS.		
14	A.	DOCUMENT Declaration of Marta Kurshumova, Esq.	APP 1 – APP 5	
16 Production of Documents and Interrogatories,			APP 6 – APP 32	
17 18	C.	for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories,		
		electronically served on March 2, 2021		
19	D.	electronically served on March 2, 2021Happy Campers' Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories,	APP 61 – APP 82	
19 20 21	D. E.	 electronically served on March 2, 2021 Happy Campers' Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on march 2, 2021 CBD Supply's Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, 		
20 21 22		 electronically served on March 2, 2021 Happy Campers' Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on march 2, 2021 CBD Supply's Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on March 2, 2021 E&T's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and 	APP 61 – APP 82	
20 21	E.	 electronically served on March 2, 2021 Happy Campers' Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on march 2, 2021 CBD Supply's Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on March 2, 2021 E&T's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on October 25, 2021 Miral Consulting's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and 	APP 61 – APP 82 APP 83 – APP 103 APP 104 – APP 144	
20212223	E. F.	 electronically served on March 2, 2021 Happy Campers' Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on march 2, 2021 CBD Supply's Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on March 2, 2021 E&T's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories, electronically served on October 25, 2021 Miral Consulting's First Supplemental Responses and 	APP 61 – APP 82 APP 83 – APP 103 APP 104 – APP 144	

	1 2	I. CBD Supply's First Suppleme Objections to Requests for the and Interrogatories, electronica 2021	ntal Responses and Production of Documents ally served on October 25,
	3	DATED this 30th day of November 20	21.
	4		
	5		JONES LOVELOCK
	6	By:	/s/ Justin C. Jones, Esq. Nicole E. Lovelock, Esq. (11187)
	7		Justin C. Jones, Esq. (8519) Georlen K Spangler, Esq. (3818) 6600 Amelia Earhart Ct., Suite C
	8		6600 Amelia Earhart Ct., Suite C Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
	9		Attorneys for Euphoria Wellness, LLC
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
10,00	14		
1 vgus, 110 1 uuu 07 1	15		
	16		
L'du	17		
	18		
	19		
	20 21		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00041
			2

	1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	2	The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 30 th day of November 2021, a true and correct
	3	copy of the foregoing APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF EUPHORIA WELLNESS,
	4	LLC'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR FAILURE TO PRODUCE A PRIVILEGE LOG
	5	was served by electronically submitting with the Clerk of the Court using the electronic system and
	6	serving all parties with an email-address on record.
	7	By Julie Linton
	8	An Employee of JONES LOVELOCK
	9	
	10	
e C	11	
CK Suit 9119	12 13	
JONES LOVELOC 0 Amelia Earhart Ct., Las Vegas, Nevada 89	13	
JONES LOVEJ Amelia Earhart as Vegas, Nevac	15	
IONES Amelia Is Vegas,	16	
JOI 0 Am Las V	17	
T 1	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00042
	28	

EXHIBIT "A"



	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DECL Nicole E. Lovelock, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 11187 Justin C. Jones, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 8519 Georlen K Spangler, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 3818 JONES LOVELOCK 6600 Amelia Earhart Ct., Suite C Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 805-8450 Fax: (702) 805-8451 Email: nlovelock@joneslovelock.com Email: jjones@joneslovelock.com Email: jspangler@joneslovelock.com		
	10			
C	11	DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT	
Suite Suite	12	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
NES LOVELOCH melia Earhart Ct., S Vegas, Nevada 891	13	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B DEPT. NO.: XXXI	
LOVELO Earhart Ct. s, Nevada 8	14	Plaintiff,		
s LC as, N	15	v.		
D A Cas	16 17	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SANCIONS FOR FAILURE TO PRODUCE A	
660	18	inclusive; Defendants.	PRIVILEGE LOG	
	19	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada		
	20	limited liability company,		
	21	Counterclaimant, v.		
	22	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited		
	23	liability company;		
	24	Counter-Defendant.		
	25 26	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
	27	Third- Party Plaintiff,	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00044	
	28	<u>V.</u>	FEITTONER 5 AFFENDIA NO. 00044	
			APP2	

1 2 3	MIRAL CONSULTING, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; HAPPY CAMPERS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; CBD SUPPLY CO, LLC, a Nevada	
4	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10, inclusive;	
5 6	Third-Party Defendants.	
7	I, Marta D. Kurshumova, declare and state as follows:	
8	1. I am over the age of 18 and am competent to testify to the matters asserted herein,	
9	which I have personal knowledge, except as to those matters stated upon information and belief.	
1	to those matters stated upon information and belief, I believe them to be true.	
	2. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada and am count	
12 for Defendant/Counterclaimant/Third-Party Plaintiff Euphoria Wellness, LLC ("Euphoria").		
4	3. On February 26, 2021, E&T Ventures, LLC ("E&T") served its Responses a	
5	Objections to Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatories. A true and correct co	
6	of the document served upon us is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit B .	
7	4. On March 2, 2021, Miral Consulting, LLC ("Miral"), Happy Campers, LLC ("Hap	
8	Campers") and CBD Supply Co, LLC ("CBD Supply") (collectively with E&T, "E&T Partie	
9	served the following:	
5	a. Miral Consulting's Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production	
1	Documents and Interrogatories. A true and correct copy of the document served up	
2	us is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit C;	
3	a. Happy Campers' Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production	
4	Documents and Interrogatories. A true and correct copy of the document served up	
5	us is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit D;	
6	b. CBD Supply's Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production	
7	Documents and Interrogatories. A true and correct copy of the document served up	
8	us is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit E ; PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00045	

JONES LOVELOCK 6600 Amelia Earhart Ct., Suite C Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

1	5.	On October 25, 2021, the E&T Parties served the following (collectively "E&T
2	Parties' Court	Ordered Discovery Responses"):
3	a.	E&T's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the Production
4		of Documents and Interrogatories that were verified by the electronic signature of
5		Kristin Taracki. A true and correct copy of the document served upon us is attached
6		to the Appendix as Exhibit F;
7	b.	Miral Consulting's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the
8		Production of Documents and Interrogatories that were verified by the electronic
9		signature of Kristin Taracki. A true and correct copy of the document served upon us
10		is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit G;
11	с.	Happy Campers' First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the
12		Production of Documents and Interrogatories that were verified by the electronic
13		signature of Joseph Kennedy. A true and correct copy of the document served upon
14		us is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit H;
15	d.	CBD Supply's First Supplemental Responses and Objections to Requests for the
16		Production of Documents and Interrogatories that were verified by the electronic
17		signature of Kristin Taracki. A true and correct copy of the document served upon us
18		is attached to the Appendix as Exhibit I.
19	6.	On November 2, 2021, Nicole E. Lovelock, Esq. and I participated in a telephonic
20	meet and cont	fer conference with Mitchell D. Stipp, Esq., counsel for the E&T Parties, regarding the
21	E&T Parties'	Court Ordered Discovery Responses.
22	7.	During the telephonic meet and confer, Mr. Stipp made several representations,
23	including but	not limited to:
24	a.	None of the E&T Parties are currently operating and none owns anything. According
25		to Mr. Stipp, all the E&T Parties stopped operating within months of Euphoria
26		stopping the operation of the Production Facility.
27	b.	Mr. Stipp provided that there were no responsive documents because the E&T Parties PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00046
28		
		3 APP4

were no longer in operation and Miral Consulting, CBD Supply, and Happy Campers were created as "ancillary entities" to E&T. Mr. Stipp did not elaborate as to what this meant or why the E&T Parties would not have the documents.

c. According to Mr. Stipp, the E&T Parties did not file tax returns because they are passthrough and disregarded entities, and that information would be found on the tax return(s) of Kristin Taracki and Alex Taracki.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 30th day of November 2021.

ESQ.

APP5

EXHIBIT "B"

EXHIBIT "B"

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 2/26/2021 3:21 PM		
1			
2	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7531		
3	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
4	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100Las Vegas, Nevada 89144Telephone: 702.602.1242mstipp@stipplaw.com		
5			
6	Attorneys for E&T Ventures, LLC		
7			
8	EIGHTH JUDIC	CIAL DISTRICT COURT	
9	CLARK COUNT	ΓΥ, STATE OF NEVADA	
10		1	
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B DEPT. NO.: XI	
14	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada		
15	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,		
16	inclusive;		
17	Defendants.		
18	AND RELATED MATTERS		
19		1	
20	RESPONSES AND O	DBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS	
21	FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES		
22	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphor		
23	TO: NICOLE LOVELOCK AND JUSTIN JON		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
		PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00049	
		-1- APP7	
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B		

E&T Ventures, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("E&T"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, responds and objects to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

E&T's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is 1. ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, E&T's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, E&T does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, E&T makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. E&T will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of E&T, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. E&T's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by E&T's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that E&T performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that E&T acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. E&T expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

///

8. For purposes of E&T's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

"Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated (a) October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

"E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint (b)Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

E&T objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to 1. impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. E&T objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. E&T objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of E&T. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. E&T objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by E&T occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. E&T objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by E&T in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for E&T. All such documents and information will not be produced.

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to E&T by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00051

APP9

21

1

2

3

4

5

7

11

7. E&T incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, E&T does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

E&T objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to 1. impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

E&T further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for 2. documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. E&T objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

E&T objects to the definition of "Variances" because it is defined in connection with an exhibit 4. (Exhibit 1), which was omitted from the requests for production of documents and interrogatories propounded by Euphoria.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce any and all documents on which E&T relied or identified in E&T's Responses to Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

///

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control from or to the Department between 2017 and the present, including but not limited to investigations, audits, complaints, third-party testing, terminations of agent cards, and any findings.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Additionally, asking for the identification of "all documents and communications" seeks to obtain counsel's mental impressions, conclusions, opinions and legal theories. Therefore, E&T objects to this request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrine. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T provided all documents requested by the Nevada Department of Taxation in connection with the investigation initiated by Euphoria, Euphoria received copies, and all communications with the Nevada Department of Taxation included Euphoria. Accordingly, E&T has not identified any other documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control regarding the person or persons who made any complaints to the Department against E&T between January 2019 and the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

- 25 || /// 26 || ///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any investigation E&T undertook after receipt of the Notice of Default, including but not limited to inspections, analysis, reports, identification of Variances, test results, inventory ledgers, plans of correction, text messages, e-mail communications, and employee interviews.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Euphoria closed E&T's Production Facility on or about March 15, 2019 and excluded E&T from investigating the matters reported by Euphoria to the Nevada Department of Taxation. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

Please produce all documents and communications E&T turned over to the State of Nevada for review, as alleged in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T provided all documents requested by the Nevada Department of Taxation in connection with the investigation initiated by Euphoria, Euphoria received copies, and all communications with the Nevada Department of Taxation included Euphoria. Accordingly, E&T has not identified any other documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

///

///

APP12

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce all documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which E&T had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2017 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by E&T or on E&T's behalf between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's financial condition (including its debt), since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00055

privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2017 to present, for such card(s) which E&T uses or which E&T has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's financial accounts, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between E&T and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2017 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's investments, since PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00056

APP14

such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of E&T's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2017 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2017 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's payroll taxes, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Euphoria has not alleged that E&T failed to pay its payroll taxes (or that such taxes have been paid by Euphoria or Euphoria is liable for the same). Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing

-9-

the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in E&T's possession evidencing profits or losses of E&T between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's profits/losses, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by E&T between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's revenues, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by E&T between 2017 and the present.

APP16

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's expenditures, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria. Euphoria has possession of its contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, and memoranda.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all communications in E&T's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. E&T also objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

-11-

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to the manufacture of marijuana products at the Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any equipment belonging to E&T, which used to be or currently is located at Euphoria's production facility, including contracts with third parties, purchase contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and manuals.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any equipment E&T leased, borrowed, or otherwise acquired, which used to be or currently is located at Euphoria's production facility, including contracts with third parties, purchase contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and manuals.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to applying and/or using the results from products, which passed testing, to products, which failed testing.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Objection. This discovery request as phrased is argumentative. It requires the adoption of an assumption, which is improper.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to the matter of *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents and communications which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to GB Sciences, including contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and order confirmations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "GB Sciences" is not defined. Further, E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "any documents and communications" without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to ACC Enterprises LLC, including contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and order confirmations.

///

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "ACC Enterprises LLC" is not defined. Further, E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "any documents and communications" without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any and all submissions of failed testing to the Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board and/or the State Department of Agriculture between July 7, 2017 and the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "State Department of Agriculture" is not defined. Further, E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents and communications" by and between E&T and the Cannabis Compliance Board without any limitation (including a limitation on matters involving only E&T and Euphoria) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. In addition, E&T also objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Additionally, asking for the identification of "all documents and communications" seeks to obtain counsel's mental impressions, conclusions, opinions and legal theories.

Therefore, E&T objects to this request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrine. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any and all products which failed testing between July 7, 2017 and the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Additionally, asking for the identification of "all documents and communications" seeks to obtain counsel's mental impressions, conclusions, opinions and legal theories. Therefore, E&T objects to this request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrine. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding any of Your business dealings with Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC, including, but not limited to, all communications and agreements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

- 25 || ///
- 26 ||///
- 27 || ///

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding any of Your communications with Joseph Kennedy regarding this litigation.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents that are responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding Valjo's asserted security interest in any assets belonging to E&T, including, but not limited to, all loan documents, loan communications, loan drafts, loan demands, loan defaults, and loan negotiations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 29:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding E&T's promissory note dated April 1, 2019 in favor of Valjo, including, but not limited to, all loan communications, loan documents, loan drafts, loan demands, loan defaults, and loan negotiations.

RESPONSE TO REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in

Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding Valjo's confession of judgment in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474, including, but not limited to, all communications, demands, and negotiations.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding the collateral described in Valjo's filed Confession of Judgment in the *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474.

RESPONSE TO REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding Valjo's attempt to obtain the collateral identified in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474, including, but not

limited to, all attempts to access to the premised located at 5900 Emerald Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89122.

RESPONSE TO REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide the name and current addresses of the principals of E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "principals" is not defined. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the personal addresses of members and managers of E&T (assuming they are principals), since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members and managers of E&T are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Provide the date E&T first received the document attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and identify each and every step taken by E&T to explain the Variances set forth on Exhibit 1.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

///

///

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please identify each and every step taken by E&T to explain the Variances set forth on Exhibit 1 to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please provide the date E&T paid GB Sciences and explain why E&T did not identify each and every step taken by E&T to explain the Variances set forth on Exhibit 1.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Please provide a detailed explanation of E&T's understanding of how the Variances came to be.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "Variances" is defined in terms of Exhibit 1. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories. Further, the phrase "came to be" is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please provide E&T's basis for the allegation that "E&T determined that many, if not all, of the Variances were due to simple data entry errors in Metrc."

5 | ///

6 ///

///

27 ||

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "Variances" is defined in terms of Exhibit 1. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please provide a detailed explanation of E&T's investigation of the Variances and state who performed the investigation, the data used to conduct the investigation, the evaluation of the data, the conclusions/results of the investigation, and identity the documents used to investigate the Variances.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "Variances" is defined in terms of Exhibit 1. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please identify any and all instances of inspections, audits, notices of potential violations, notices of violations, and/or administrative holds to E&T from the Department for the past five (5) years, including but not limited to the date, reason for inspection/potential violation/violation, and whether E&T cured the violation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. E&T objects to the underlying request because the request is compound. E&T also objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T is not aware of any instances of inspections, audits, notices of potential violations, notices of violations, and/or administrative holds issued to E&T from the Nevada Department of Taxation. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

///

///

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please describe in detail the current status of each and every Department investigation into E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. E&T objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T is not aware of any instances of investigations of E&T by the Nevada Department of Taxation. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please identify the name and contact information of the person or persons who made any complaints to the Department against E&T between January 2019 and the present day, and state with specificity the contents of the complaints.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. E&T further objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has no information to produce. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed explanation of E&T's actions and efforts to investigate and/or cure the default identified in the Notice.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. E&T further objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00070 unknown. Subject to the foregoing objections and without waiving the same, E&T notes that Euphoria closed E&T's Production Facility on or about March 15, 2019 and excluded E&T from investigating the matters reported by Euphoria to the Nevada Department of Taxation. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed explanation of what E&T would do after a product, such as Keef Life and Keef Cola, failed lab results.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The terms "Keef Life" and "Keef Cola" are not defined. Further, it is not clear what is meant for a product "to fail lab results." The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. E&T further objects on the basis that the interrogatory calls for speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please describe in detail every time E&T, its employees, agents or principals, applied and/or used the results from products, which passed testing, to products, which failed testing, including the dates that happened, the person/s who authorized it, and a description of the products.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. E&T further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed description of each piece of equipment after July 1, 2017, which was or still is in Euphoria's Production Facility, that E&T, its agents, officers, and/or managers, purchased, leased, or obtained on behalf of or for E&T.

-23-

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please identify with specificity all the equipment E&T claims Euphoria withheld, including the type of equipment, the purchase date, the purchase price, the current owner, and the date it was removed from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The term "withheld" is not defined. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of E&T's relationship with ACC Enterprises LLC.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The term "ACC Enterprises LLC" is not defined.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Please provide a detailed description of E&T's contracts and payment history with ACC Enterprises LLC, including all sales, payments made, and payments owed.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The term "ACC Enterprises LLC" is not defined.

1	
2	DATED this 26th day of February, 2021. LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP
3	
4	/s/ Mitchell Stipp
5	MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531
6	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242
8	mstipp@stipplaw.com Attorneys for E&T Ventures, LLC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00073

1	CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE		
2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
3	I served the foregoing document described as "RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO		
4	REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES " on this		
5	26th day of February, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the clerk of the court, to all interested		
6	parties.		
7	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
8	/s/ Amy Hernunue2		
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00074		
	-26- APP32		

EXHIBIT "C"

EXHIBIT "C"

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00075

APP33

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 3/2/2021 4:05 PM		
1	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 7531		
3	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242		
5	mstipp@stipplaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Miral Consulting, LLC		
7			
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
9	CLARK COUNT	ΓΥ, STATE OF NEVADA	
10			
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B DEPT. NO.: XI	
14	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada		
15	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,		
16			
17	Defendants.		
18	AND RELATED MATTERS		
19			
20	RESPONSES AND O	BJECTIONS TO REQUESTS	
21	FOR THE PRODUCTION OF D	OCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES	
22	TO: EUDHODIA WELLNESS LLC ("Euphor		
23	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphoria")		
24	TO: NICOLE LOVELOCK AND JUSTIN JONES, attorneys for above.		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
		PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00076	
		-1- APP34	
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B		

Miral Consulting, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("MIRAL"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, responds and objects to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

MIRAL's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is 1. ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, MIRAL's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, MIRAL does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, MIRAL makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. MIRAL will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of MIRAL, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. MIRAL's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by MIRAL's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that MIRAL performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that MIRAL acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. MIRAL expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

///

8. For purposes of MIRAL's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated
 October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T Ventures, LLC (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. MIRAL objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. MIRAL objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. MIRAL objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of MIRAL. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. MIRAL objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by MIRAL occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. MIRAL objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by MIRAL in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for MIRAL. All such documents and information will not be produced.

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to MIRAL by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. MIRAL incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, MIRAL does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. MIRAL objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. MIRAL further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. MIRAL objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Please produce all documents evidencing membership interests in Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of MIRAL, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of MIRAL are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the

-4-

importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Please produce all of the Articles of Incorporation or any corollary incorporation documents for Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

MIRAL is a Nevada limited liability company. Nevada limited liability companies are organized (not incorporated) by the filing of articles of organization under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Accordingly, MIRAL has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all of the operating agreements, including amendments, or any corollary governing documents for Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Single member limited liability companies are not required to have an operating agreement under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Subject to the forgoing objections, MIRAL has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all membership distribution documents for Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

22 **RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4**:

The request is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of "membership distribution," which is not defined. Subject to the forgoing objections, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

26 27

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

All documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which Miral Consulting had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2015 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by Miral Consulting or on Miral Consulting's behalf between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

-6-

///

///

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2015 to present, for such card(s) which Miral Consulting uses or which Miral Consulting has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between Miral Consulting and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2015 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

23 **DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9**:

Please produce copies of Miral Consulting's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2015 to the present.

26

///

///

27

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2015 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's payroll information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody, or control evidencing profits or losses of Miral Consulting between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's profit/loss information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot

-8-

lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by Miral Consulting between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by Miral Consulting between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, MIRAL objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to E&T, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to CBD Supply, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to Happy Campers, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to Happy Campers without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Euphoria without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

26

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to E&T.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Happy Campers.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Happy Campers without any PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00087 limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Please produce all documents and communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, MIRAL objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Please produce all equipment related documents, including invoices and receipts, in Miral Consulting's possession, custody, or control, including the Invoices attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibits 3-A to 3-CC, electronically filed on November 4, 2019.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "equipment related documents" is not defined. Further, MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. After a review of the docket, MIRAL did not identify any declaration by Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019.

///

///

///

1

2

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00088

APP46

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any documents related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any communications related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Please produce a list of all persons who performed work for Miral Consulting from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019 and all related payroll records, tax forms, timecards, shifts, and work location assignments.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's employee/independent contractor information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the

importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NOS. 27-54:

Please produce any and all documents on which Miral Consulting relied or identified in Miral Consulting's Response to Interrogatory Nos. 1-28

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-54:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests in Miral Consulting since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of MIRAL, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of MIRAL are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please provide the name of all entities owned, controlled, or otherwise affiliated with Miral Consulting since its formation.

///

///

///

1

2

3

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Further, the question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For each and every entity identified in Interrogatory No. 2, please provide: all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests since its formation, including the amount(s) of contribution/investment in exchange for said membership interest and the date of such contribution/investment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For Miral Consulting and for each and every entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, please provide the name of every manager, director, officer, and executive.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Fully identify all owners or shareholders of Miral Consulting, including the nature and extent of their share hold, equitable interest and/or legal interest, and their respective employment(s) during the five (5) years preceding the date hereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, MIRAL is a limited liability company, which does not have "shareholders" and no person or entity has a "share hold." NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of MIRAL, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of MIRAL are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing

the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit received from each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Further, MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit sent to each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. Asked and answered.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's business functions and activities.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, MIRAL is a Nevada limited liability company which provides consulting services.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with E&T, since such matters are not PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00092

1

relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with CBD Supply, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with Happy Campers.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with Happy Campers, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

24 || INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with Euphoria.

26

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, Euphoria is aware that there is no relationship between MIRAL and Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting kept equipment and/or products belonging to Miral Consulting at Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. MIRAL further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why "Miro" is listed on AAA Bar & Restaurant Supply's Invoice #18190 dated 11/11/2017 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-A, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on The Cima Group's Invoice #311 dated 12/12/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-E, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the

1

2

3

equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Control Contractors Inc.'s Invoice #001 dated 10/24/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-F, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility."

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Invoice #INV7581 dated 02/07/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-G, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Cole-Parmer's Invoice #1729631 dated 01/03/2019 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-L, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Cole-Parmer's Invoice #1713316 dated 12/12/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-L, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

27 ////

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why "MC" is listed on Elevated Equipment Supply's Invoice #ELEV-Q-552 dated 06/11/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-O, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control,

and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Eppendorf's Invoice #4000743223 dated 13/02/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-P, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00097

APP55

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Invoice #INV8701 dated 07/14/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek
Solutions' Payment Receipt for Invoice #INV8902 dated 07/25/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the
equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of
removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

///

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Invoice #SO2398 dated 09/21/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Payment Receipt for Invoice #INV9393 dated 09/21/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

-24-

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 26:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miroslav Taracki is listed on Uline's Invoices #100478227–100411788 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-T, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 26:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Steel Shelving's Invoice attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-Y, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00100

APP58

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

Please list the names of all person who performed work for Miral Consulting from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Asked and answered. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with its employees or independent contractors, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DATED this 2nd day of March, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP

/s/ Mitchell Stipp

MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com *Attorneys for Miral Consulting, LLC*

1

1	CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE		
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
3	I served the foregoing document described as "RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES" on this		
4			
5	2nd day of March, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the clerk of the court, to all interested		
6	parties.		
7	10/ Anno Homandoz		
8	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00102		
	-27- APP60		
I	APP60		

EXHIBIT "D"

EXHIBIT "D"

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00103

APP61

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 3/2/2021 4:05 PM		
1			
2	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7531		
3	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
4	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144		
5	Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Happy Campers, LLC		
7			
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
9	CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA		
10			
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited		
12	liability company,		
13	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B	
14		DEPT. NO.: XI	
15	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOE Individuals I-		
16	X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10, inclusive;		
17	Defendants.		
18	AND RELATED MATTERS		
19		I	
20		BJECTIONS TO REQUESTS	
21	FOR THE PRODUCTION OF D	OCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES	
22	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS LLC ("Euphor	TO FUDIODIA WELLNESS LLC (#F. 1 ' ")	
23	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphoria") TO: NICOLE LOVELOCK AND JUSTIN JONES, attorneys for above.		
24	10. NICOLE LOVELOCK AND JUSTIN JONES, attorneys for above. ///		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
		PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00104	
		-1- APP62	
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B		

Happy Campers, LLC, a dissolved Nevada limited liability company ("HAPPY"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, responds and objects to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. HAPPY's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, HAPPY's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, HAPPY does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, HAPPY makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. HAPPY will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of HAPPY, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. HAPPY's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by HAPPY's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that HAPPY performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that HAPPY acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. HAPPY expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

8. For purposes of HAPPY's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated
 October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T Ventures, LLC (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. HAPPY objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. HAPPY objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. HAPPY objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of HAPPY. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. HAPPY objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by HAPPY occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. HAPPY objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by HAPPY in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for HAPPY. All such documents and information will not be produced.

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to HAPPY by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. HAPPY incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, HAPPY does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. HAPPY objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. HAPPY further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. HAPPY objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce all documents evidencing membership interests in Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of HAPPY, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of HAPPY are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the

-4-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00107

importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all of the Articles of Incorporation or any corollary incorporation documents for Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

HAPPY is a dissolved Nevada limited liability company. Nevada limited liability companies are organized (not incorporated) by the filing of articles of organization under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Accordingly, HAPPY has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all of the operating agreements, including amendments, or any corollary governing documents for Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Single member limited liability companies are not required to have an operating agreement under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Subject to the forgoing objections, HAPPY has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all membership distribution documents for Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

The request is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of "membership distribution," which is not defined. Subject to the forgoing objections, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00108

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

All documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which Happy Campers had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2015 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by Happy Campers' behalf between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

-6-

///

///

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2015 to present, for such card(s) which Happy Campers uses or which Happy Campers has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between Happy Campers and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2015 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

23 **DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9**:

Please produce copies of HAPPY Consulting's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2015 to the present.

26

///

///

27

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2015 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's payroll information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody, or control evidencing profits or losses of Happy Campers between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's profit/loss information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy

-8-

without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by Happy Campers between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by Happy Campers between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Please produce all documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, HAPPY objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

10 **DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:**

Please produce all documents in Happt Campers' possession, custody or control relating to E&T, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to Miral
Consulting, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval,
product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and
memorandums.

26 ///

27 RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to CBD Supply, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Euphoria without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to E&T.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00115

information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Please produce all documents and communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, HAPPY objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Please produce all equipment related documents, including invoices and receipts, in Happy Campers' possession, custody, or control, including the Invoices attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibits 3-A to 3-CC, electronically filed on November 4, 2019.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "equipment related documents" is not defined. Further, HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. After a review of the docket, HAPPY did not identify any declaration by Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019.

///

///

///

1

2

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any documents related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any communications related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location. RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Please produce a list of all persons who performed work for Happy Campers from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019 and all related payroll records, tax forms, timecards, shifts, and work location assignments.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's employee/independent contractor information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00117

1

DOCUMENT REQUEST NOS. 27-41:

Please produce any and all documents on which Happy Campers relied or identified in Happy Campers' Response to Interrogatory Nos. 1-15.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-41:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests in Happy Campers since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of HAPPY, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of HAPPY are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please provide the name of all entities owned, controlled, or otherwise affiliated with Happy Campers since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Further, the question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00118

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For each and every entity identified in Interrogatory No. 2, please provide: all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests since its formation, including the amount(s) of contribution/investment in exchange for said membership interest and the date of such contribution/investment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For Happy Campers and for each and every entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, please provide the name of every manager, director, officer, and executive.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Fully identify all owners or shareholders of Happy Campers, including the nature and extent of their share hold, equitable interest and/or legal interest, and their respective employment(s) during the five (5) years preceding the date hereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, HAPPY is a limited liability company, which does not have "shareholders" and no person or entity has a "share hold." NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of HAPPY, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of HAPPY are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit received from each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Further, HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit sent to each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. Asked and answered.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' business functions and activities.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Happy is a Nevada limited liability company, which has been dissolved.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Camper's relationship with E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with E&T, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00120

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' relationship with CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with CBD Supply, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' relationship with Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with Happy Campers, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' relationship with Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, Euphoria is aware that there is no relationship between HAPPY and Euphoria.

-18-

APP79

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Happy Campers kept equipment and/or products belonging to Happy Campers at Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. HAPPY further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Happy Campers is listed on TCF Sales' Invoice #16017 dated 03/21/2019 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-V, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment, who paid for the equipment, the chain of ownership, custody and control of the equipment, and the date it was removed from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, HAPPY has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please list the names of all person who performed work for Happy Campers from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Asked and answered. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with its employees or independent contractors, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00122

1	
2	
3	DATED this 2nd day of March, 2021. LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP
4	
5	/s/ Mitchell Stipp
6	MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531
7	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
8	Telephone: 702.602.1242
9	mstipp@stipplaw.com Attorneys for Happy Campers, LLC
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00123

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I served the foregoing document described as "RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES" on this		
2			
3			
4			
5	2nd day of March, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the clerk of the court, to all interested		
6	parties.		
7	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
8			
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
10			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00124		
	-21- APP82		

EXHIBIT "E"

EXHIBIT "E"

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00125

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 3/2/2021 4:05 PM			
1	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 7531			
3	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100			
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242			
5	mstipp@stipplaw.com			
6	Attorneys for CBD Supply Co., LLC			
7				
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT			
9	CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA			
10				
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,			
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B DEPT. NO.: XI		
14	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada			
15	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,			
16				
17	Defendants.			
18	AND RELATED MATTERS			
19				
20	RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS			
21	FOR THE PRODUCTION OF D	FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES		
22	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphoria")			
23	TO: NICOLE LOVELOCK AND JUSTIN JONES, attorneys for above.			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27		PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00126		
		-1- APP84		
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B			

CBD Supply Co., LLC, a dissolved Nevada limited liability company ("CBD"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, responds and objects to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. CBD's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, CBD's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, CBD does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, CBD makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. CBD will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of CBD, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. CBD's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by CBD's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that CBD performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that CBD acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. CBD expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00127

8. For purposes of CBD's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated
 October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T Ventures, LLC (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. CBD objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. CBD objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. CBD objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of CBD. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. CBD objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by CBD occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. CBD objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by CBD in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for CBD. All such documents and information will not be produced.

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to CBD by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. CBD incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, CBD does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. CBD objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. CBD further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. CBD objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce all documents evidencing membership interests in CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of CBD, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of CBD are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the

-4-

issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all of the Articles of Incorporation or any corollary incorporation documents for CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

CBD is a dissolved Nevada limited liability company. Nevada limited liability companies are organized (not incorporated) by the filing of articles of organization under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Accordingly, CBD has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all of the operating agreements, including amendments, or any corollary governing documents for CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Single member limited liability companies are not required to have an operating agreement under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Subject to the forgoing objections, CBD has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all membership distribution documents for CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

The request is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of "membership distribution," which is not defined. Subject to the forgoing objections, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00130

APP88

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

All documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which CBD Supply had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2015 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by CBD Supply or on CBD Supply behalf between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

-6-

///

///

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2015 to present, for such card(s) which CBD Supply uses or which CBD Supply has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between CBD Supply and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2015 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Please produce copies of CBD Supply's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2015 to the present.

26

///

///

27

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2015 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's payroll information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

18

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in CBD Supply possession, custody, or control evidencing profits or losses of CBD Supply between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's profit/loss information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the

-8-

potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by CBD Supply between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by CBD Supply between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00134

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, CBD objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to E&T, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to Miral Consulting, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to Happy Campers, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Euphoria without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to E&T.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00137

overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Please produce all documents and communications in CBD Supplies' possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, CBD objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Please produce all equipment related documents, including invoices and receipts, in CBD Supply's possession, custody, or control, including the Invoices attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibits 3-A to 3-CC, electronically filed on November 4, 2019.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "equipment related documents" is not defined. Further, CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. After a review of the docket, CBD did not identify any declaration by Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019.

-13-

///

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00138

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any documents related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any communications related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location. **RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:**

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Please produce a list of all persons who performed work for CBD Supply from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019 and all related payroll records, tax forms, timecards, shifts, and work location assignments.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's employee/independent contractor information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

-14-

DOCUMENT REQUEST NOS. 27-43:

Please produce any and all documents on which CBD Supply relied or identified in CBD Supply's Response to Interrogatory Nos. 1-17.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-43:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests in CBD Supply since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of CBD, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of CBD are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please provide the name of all entities owned, controlled, or otherwise affiliated with CBD Supply since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Further, the question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For each and every entity identified in Interrogatory No. 2, please provide: all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests since its formation, including the amount(s) of PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00140

contribution/investment in exchange for said membership interest and the date of such contribution/investment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For CBD Supply and for each and every entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, please provide the name of every manager, director, officer, and executive.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, CBD did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Fully identify all owners or shareholders of CBD Supply, including the nature and extent of their share hold, equitable interest and/or legal interest, and their respective employment(s) during the five (5) years preceding the date hereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, CBD is a limited liability company, which does not have "shareholders" and no person or entity has a "share hold." NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of CBD, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of CBD are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit received from each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

-16-

26 ///

///

27

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Further, CBD did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit sent to each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. Asked and answered.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's business functions and activities.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, CBD is a Nevada limited liability company, which has been dissolved.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's relationship with E&T, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

///

///

1

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's relationship with CBD Supply, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with Happy Campers.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's relationship with CBD Campers, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, Euphoria is aware that there is no relationship between CBD and Euphoria.

///

///

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why CBD Supply kept equipment and/or products belonging to CBD Supply at Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. CBD further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed description of each piece of equipment, supplies, and materials belonging to CBD Supply, which were kept in Euphoria's Production Facility from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why any equipment, supplies, and materials belonging to CBD Supply were kept in Euphoria's Production Facility from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Asked and answered.

DATED this 2nd day of March, 2021.

LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP

/s/ Mitchell Stipp

MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com *Attorneys for CBD Supply Co., LLC*

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2			
3	I served the foregoing document described as "RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO		
4	REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES " on this		
5	2nd day of March, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the clerk of the court, to all interested		
6	parties.		
7			
8	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00145		
	-20- APP103		

APP104

EXHIBIT "F"

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00146

EXHIBIT "F"

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 10/25/2021 4:24 PM		
1			
2	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7531		
3	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com <i>Attorneys for E&T Ventures, LLC</i>		
5			
6			
7			
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
9	CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA		
10			
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B DEPT. NO.: XXXI	
14	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada		
15	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,		
16	inclusive;		
17	Defendants.		
18	AND RELATED MATTERS		
19	FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPO	NSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS	
20	FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES		
21			
22 23	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphoria")		
23 24	TO: JONES LOVELOCK, attorneys for above.		
24			
23 26			
20			
<i>~ 1</i>	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00147		
		-1- APP105	
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B		

E&T Ventures, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("E&T"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, supplements its responses and objections to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below (*supplemental responses and objections in italics and strike-through front*):

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. E&T's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, E&T's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, E&T does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, E&T makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. E&T will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of E&T, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. E&T's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by E&T's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that E&T performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that E&T acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. E&T expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00148

8. For purposes of E&T's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

(c) "METRC" means the seed to sale system required by the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board for inventory controls.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. E&T objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. E&T objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. E&T objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of E&T. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. E&T objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by E&T occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. E&T objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by E&T in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for E&T. All such documents and information will not be produced.

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to E&T by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. E&T incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, E&T does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. E&T objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. E&T further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. E&T objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

4. E&T objects to the definition of "Variances" because it is defined in connection with an exhibit (Exhibit 1), which was omitted from the requests for production of documents and interrogatories propounded by Euphoria.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce any and all documents on which E&T relied or identified in E&T's Responses to Interrogatories.

25

///

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to Document Request No. 1 except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-000615), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 1.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control from or to the Department between 2017 and the present, including but not limited to investigations, audits, complaints, third-party testing, terminations of agent cards, and any findings.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Additionally, asking for the identification of "all documents and communications" seeks to obtain counsel's mental impressions, conclusions, opinions and legal theories. Therefore, E&T objects to this request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrine. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T provided all documents requested by the Nevada Department of Taxation in connection with the investigation initiated by Euphoria, Euphoria received copies, and all communications with the Nevada Department of Taxation included Euphoria. Accordingly, E&T has not identified any other documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

E&T is not licensed as a marijuana/cannabis establishment regulated by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board. Accordingly, E&T is not aware of any investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board "into E&T." To the extent that the investigation which occurred as a result of the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria includes any investigation "into E&T," Euphoria has been informed at the deposition for the person most knowledgeable for the Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board that all such investigations are closed. E&T confirms the same and notes that no action was taken by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board as a result of Euphoria's report. During the time E&T operated the production facility at issue, Darlene Purdy, the managing director for Euphoria, was the marijuana/cannabis agent responsible for inventory controls under Nevada law. To the extent there were any variances between physical inventory and METRC, which served as the basis for Euphoria's incident report, Euphoria is solely responsible under Nevada law as the licensed marijuana/cannabis establishment (production facility). The Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board disclosed all relevant documents in connection with any investigation as a result of the incident report filed by Euphoria. For documents pertaining to all such investigations, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control regarding the person or persons who made any complaints to the Department against E&T between January 2019 and the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

-6-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00152

1

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO.3:

The Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board disclosed all relevant documents in connection with any investigation as a result of the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. For documents pertaining to all such investigations, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any investigation E&T undertook after receipt of the Notice of Default, including but not limited to inspections, analysis, reports, identification of Variances, test results, inventory ledgers, plans of correction, text messages, e-mail communications, and employee interviews.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Euphoria closed E&T's Production Facility on or about March 15, 2019 and excluded E&T from investigating the matters reported by Euphoria to the Nevada Department of Taxation. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO.4:

E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria, as confirmed by Letter to Nicole Lovelock, dated April 5, 2021, and disclosed as Plaintiff's Documents No. 000428-000431. Such facts are confirmed by documents produced by the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

Please produce all documents and communications E&T turned over to the State of Nevada for review, as alleged in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T provided all documents requested by the Nevada Department of Taxation in connection with the investigation initiated by Euphoria, Euphoria received copies, and all communications with the Nevada Department of Taxation included Euphoria. Accordingly, E&T has not identified any other documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

E&T is not licensed as a marijuana/cannabis establishment regulated by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board. During the time E&T operated the production facility at issue, Darlene Purdy, the managing director for Euphoria, was the marijuana/cannabis agent responsible for inventory controls under Nevada law. To the extent there were any variances between physical inventory and METRC, which served as the basis for the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria, Euphoria is solely responsible under Nevada law as the licensed marijuana/cannabis establishment (production facility). The Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board disclosed all relevant documents in connection with any investigation as a result of the incident report filed by Euphoria. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce all documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or

other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which E&T had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2017 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 6.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by E&T or on E&T's behalf between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's financial condition (including its debt), since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to

-9-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00155

privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except as disclosed as part of (a) E&T's opposition filed on October 28, 2019 with respect to the freezer leased by E&T and converted by Euphoria, and (b) E&T's supplemental disclosures e-served on October 14, 2021 and identified therein as Plaintiff's Documents 00112-00371. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 7.

¹⁰ **DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:**

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and
regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2017 to present, for such card(s) which E&T
uses or which E&T has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's financial accounts, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

///

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00156

-10-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No.8.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between E&T and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2017 to present.

| | RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's investments, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 9.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of E&T's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2017 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00157

E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any document responsive to this request. E&T is a passthrough entity for federal income tax purposes, which does not require E&T to file a separate return. Further, Nevada does not have an income tax. Therefore, there are no state tax returns. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 10.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2017 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's payroll taxes, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Euphoria has not alleged that E&T failed to pay its payroll taxes (or that such taxes have been paid by Euphoria or Euphoria is liable for the same). Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Payroll for E&T was managed by a third-party professional employer organization ("PEO"). E&T has requested

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00158

available records from the PEO that are responsive to Document Request No. 11. Discovery is ongoing, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 11.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in E&T's possession evidencing profits or losses of E&T between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's profits/losses, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except as disclosed as part of E&T's supplemental disclosures e-served on October 14, 2021 and identified therein as Plaintiff's Documents 00112-00371. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 12.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by E&T between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's revenues, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00159

the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except as disclosed as part of E&T's supplemental disclosures e-served on October 14, 2021 and identified therein as Plaintiff's Documents 00112-00371. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 13.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by E&T between 2017 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Further, NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of E&T's expenditures, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade the E&T's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except as disclosed as part of E&T's supplemental disclosures e-served on October 14, 2021 and identified therein as Plaintiff's Documents 00112-00371. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 14.

///

///

///

///

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria. Euphoria has possession of its contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, and memoranda.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-00371), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 15.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all communications in E&T's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. E&T also objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any communications responsive to this request except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-00371), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 16.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to this request except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-00371), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 17.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Produce all documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to the manufacture of marijuana products at the Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to this request except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-00371), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 18.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any equipment belonging to E&T, which used to be or currently is located at Euphoria's production facility, including contracts with third parties, purchase contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and manuals.

APP121

///

///

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to this request except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of (a) E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-00371), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc., and (b) Plaintiff's Documents 000001-000615. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 19.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any equipment E&T leased, borrowed, or otherwise acquired, which used to be or currently is located at Euphoria's production facility, including contracts with third parties, purchase contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and manuals.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. Any relevant information contained within any documents and communications requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production are in the possession/control of Euphoria.

-18-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00164

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to this request except as specifically disclosed and identified as part of (a) E&T's disclosures (Plaintiff's Documents 000001-00371), the disclosures by Euphoria (Euphoria 000001-004196), the response to the subpoenas to the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board (DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877), and the responses to the subpoena by Euphoria to non-party, Valjo, Inc., and (b) Plaintiff's Documents 000001-000615. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 20.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to applying and/or using the results from products, which passed testing, to products, which failed testing.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Objection. This discovery request as phrased is argumentative. It requires the adoption of an assumption, which is improper.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

No such documents or communications exist.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to the matter of *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents and communications which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except documents disclosed by Valjo, Inc. in response to the subpoena by Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 22.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to GB Sciences, including contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and order confirmations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "GB Sciences" is not defined. Further, E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "any documents and communications" without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 23. /// /// ///

-20-

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to ACC Enterprises LLC, including contracts, invoices, receipts, inventories, and order confirmations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "ACC Enterprises LLC" is not defined. Further, E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "any documents and communications" without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the parties jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Any relevant information contained within any documents requested by Euphoria to be produced pursuant to this request for production would be included in these books of account and other records which are in the possession/control of Euphoria. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 24.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any and all submissions of failed testing to the Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board and/or the State Department of Agriculture between July 7, 2017 and the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "State Department of Agriculture" is not defined. Further, E&T objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents and communications" by and between E&T and the Cannabis Compliance PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00167

Board without any limitation (including a limitation on matters involving only E&T and Euphoria) is 1 impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will 2 require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of E&T to identify and/or produce. In addition, E&T 3 also objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of 4 Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Additionally, asking for the identification of "all documents and 5 communications" seeks to obtain counsel's mental impressions, conclusions, opinions and legal theories. 6 Therefore, E&T objects to this request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and the attorney 7 work-product doctrine. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or 8 communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves 9 the right to supplement its response. 10 SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25: 11 After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is 12 on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 25. 13

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Produce any documents and communications in E&T's possession, custody or control relating to any and all products which failed testing between July 7, 2017 and the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

E&T objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Additionally, asking for the identification of "all documents and communications" seeks to obtain counsel's mental impressions, conclusions, opinions and legal theories. Therefore, E&T objects to this request on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrine. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00168

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 26.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding any of Your business dealings with Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC, including, but not limited to, all communications and agreements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 27.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding any of Your communications with Joseph Kennedy regarding this litigation.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T has not identified any documents that are responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 28.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 29:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding Valjo's asserted security interest in any assets belonging to E&T, including, but not limited to, all loan documents, loan communications, loan drafts, loan demands, loan defaults, and loan negotiations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 29:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 29:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except documents disclosed by Valjo, Inc. in response to the subpoena by Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 29.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 30:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding E&T's promissory note dated April 1, 2019 in favor of Valjo, including, but not limited to, all loan communications, loan documents, loan drafts, loan demands, loan defaults, and loan negotiations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 30:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

///

///

///

1

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00170

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 30:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except documents disclosed by Valjo, Inc. in response to the subpoena by Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 30.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 31:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding Valjo's confession of judgment in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474, including, but not limited to, all communications, demands, and negotiations.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 31:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 31:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except documents disclosed by Valjo, Inc. in response to the subpoena by Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 31.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 32:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding the collateral described in Valjo's filed Confession of Judgment in the *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 32:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00171

Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 32:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except documents disclosed by Valjo, Inc. in response to the subpoena by Euphoria and Plaintiff's Documents No. 000432-000615. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 32.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 33:

Produce any Document in Your possession, custody, or control regarding Valjo's attempt to obtain the collateral identified in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474, including, but not limited to, all attempts to access to the premised located at 5900 Emerald Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89122.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 33:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, E&T will make available for copying or inspection at the office of E&T's counsel in this case all documents which are not publicly available in *Valjo, Inc. v. E&T Ventures, LLC*, Case No. A-19-7986474 and otherwise not subject to any settlement privilege, attorney-client privilege, or other confidentiality.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 33:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request except documents disclosed by Valjo, Inc. in response to the subpoena by Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Document Request No. 33.

///

///

///

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00172

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide the name and current addresses of the principals of E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "principals" is not defined. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the personal addresses of members and managers of E&T (assuming they are principals), since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members and managers of E&T are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

For purposes of responding to this Interrogatory, E&T will assume the term "principals" mean the members of E&T. The members of E&T are Alex and Kristin Taracki. E&T is informed and believes based on available records for E&T that Mr. and Mrs. Taracki's address is as follows:

18 2244 Summerwind Circle19 Henderson 89053

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Provide the date E&T first received the document attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and identify each and every step taken by E&T to explain the Variances set forth on Exhibit 1.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Euphoria through counsel provided a copy of the documents which it has identified as "Exhibit 1" on March 5, 2021 via email to E&T's counsel. It is not clear whether that Exhibit 1 is the same exhibit attached to the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. The incident report was first disclosed by Euphoria to E&T in this case on February 16, 2021. E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident By Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. The incident report was first disclosed by Euphoria to E&T in this case on February 16, 2021. E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. Such facts are confirmed by documents produced by the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please identify each and every step taken by E&T to explain the Variances set forth on Exhibit 1 to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Euphoria through counsel provided a copy of the documents which it has identified as "Exhibit 1" on March 5, 2021 via email to E&T's counsel. It is not clear whether that Exhibit 1 is the same exhibit attached to the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. The incident report was first disclosed by Euphoria to E&T in this case on February 16, 2021. E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on behalf of Euphoria, as confirmed by Letter to Nicole Lovelock, dated April 5, 2021, and disclosed as Plaintiff's Documents No. 000428-000431. Such facts are confirmed by documents produced by the

Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, <u>see</u> DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please provide the date E&T paid GB Sciences and explain why E&T did not identify each and every step taken by E&T to explain the Variances set forth on Exhibit 1.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

After good faith inquiry, E&T is not aware that any amounts are due and owing to GB Sciences from E&T. For other information requested by Interrogatory No. 4 on Variances, please see responses and objections to Interrogatory Nos. 2, 3 and 5 (including E&T's supplemental responses and objections).

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Please provide a detailed explanation of E&T's understanding of how the Variances came to be.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "Variances" is defined in terms of Exhibit 1. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories. Further, the phrase "came to be" is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Based on information and belief, to the extent any Variances existed, they were primarily the result of information recorded in METRC in error. Euphoria through counsel provided a copy of the documents which it has identified as "Exhibit 1" on March 5, 2021 via email to E&T's counsel. It is not clear whether that Exhibit 1 is the same exhibit attached to the License Incident Report made by Nicole

Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. The incident report was first disclosed by Euphoria to E&T in this case on February 16, 2021. E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria, as confirmed by Letter to Nicole Lovelock, dated April 5, 2021, and disclosed as Plaintiff's Documents No. 000428-000431. Such facts are confirmed by documents produced by the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please provide E&T's basis for the allegation that "E&T determined that many, if not all, of the Variances were due to simple data entry errors in Metrc."

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "Variances" is defined in terms of Exhibit 1. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

16 Based on a review of information provided by Euphoria, to the extent any Variances existed, they were primarily the result of information recorded in METRC in error. Euphoria through counsel provided a copy of Exhibit 1 on March 5, 2021 via email. It is not clear whether that Exhibit 1 is the same exhibit attached to the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation 19 on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. The incident report was first disclosed by Euphoria to E&T 20 in this case on February 16, 2021. E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria, as confirmed by Letter to Nicole Lovelock, dated April 5, 2021, and disclosed as Plaintiff's Documents No. 000428-000431. Such facts are confirmed by documents produced by the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

///

///

Please provide a detailed explanation of E&T's investigation of the Variances and state who performed the investigation, the data used to conduct the investigation, the evaluation of the data, the conclusions/results of the investigation, and identity the documents used to investigate the Variances.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The term "Variances" is defined in terms of Exhibit 1. There is no Exhibit 1 attached to the interrogatories.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Euphoria prevented E&T from performing a complete investigation into Variances despite good faith efforts to participate. Euphoria through counsel provided a copy of Exhibit 1 on March 5, 2021 via email. It is not clear whether that Exhibit 1 is the same exhibit attached to the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. The incident report was first disclosed by Euphoria to E&T in this case on February 16, 2021. E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Letter to Nicole Lovelock, dated April 5, 2021, and disclosed as Plaintiff's Documents No. 000428-000431. Such facts are confirmed by documents produced by the Nevada Department of Taxation and Cannabis Compliance Board. For documents pertaining to the investigation arising from Euphoria's incident report, see DOT 001-402 and CCB 000001-000877.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please identify any and all instances of inspections, audits, notices of potential violations, notices of violations, and/or administrative holds to E&T from the Department for the past five (5) years, including but not limited to the date, reason for inspection/potential violation/violation, and whether E&T cured the violation.

1 2 ///

///

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. E&T objects to the underlying request because the request is compound. E&T also objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T is not aware of any instances of inspections, audits, notices of potential violations, notices of violations, and/or administrative holds issued to E&T from the Nevada Department of Taxation. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. E&T is not licensed as a marijuana/cannabis establishment regulated by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please describe in detail the current status of each and every Department investigation into E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. E&T objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T is not aware of any instances of investigations of E&T by the Nevada Department of Taxation. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

E&T is not licensed as a marijuana/cannabis establishment regulated by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board. Accordingly, E&T is not aware of any investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board "into E&T." To the extent that

-32-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00178

the investigation which occurred as a result of the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria included an investigation "into E&T," Euphoria has been informed at the deposition for the person most knowledgeable for the Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board that all such investigations are closed. E&T confirms the same and notes that no action was taken by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board as a result of Euphoria's report. During the time E&T operated the production facility at issue, Darlene Purdy, the managing director for Euphoria, was the marijuana/cannabis agent responsible for inventory controls under Nevada law. To the extent there were any variances between physical inventory and METRC, which served as the basis for Euphoria's incident report, Euphoria is solely responsible under Nevada law as the licensed marijuana/cannabis establishment (production facility).

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please identify the name and contact information of the person or persons who made any complaints to the Department against E&T between January 2019 and the present day, and state with specificity the contents of the complaints.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. E&T further objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the forgoing objections, E&T has no information to produce. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any such person other than as disclosed by Euphoria in its supplemental responses to E&T's Interrogatories (specifically Interrogatory No. 17) e-served on October 15, 2021. E&T is not licensed as a marijuana/cannabis establishment regulated by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board. E&T incorporates herein by reference its

-33-

supplemental response to Interrogatory No. 9 and confirms that it was not aware of investigation as the result of any complaint by the person disclosed by Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Please provide a detailed explanation of E&T's actions and efforts to investigate and/or cure the default identified in the Notice.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. E&T further objects on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria, which initiated the investigation by the Nevada Department of Taxation and the status of the investigation is presently unknown. Subject to the foregoing objections and without waiving the same, E&T notes that Euphoria closed E&T's Production Facility on or about March 15, 2019 and excluded E&T from investigating the matters reported by Euphoria to the Nevada Department of Taxation. However, discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Euphoria purportedly conducted an inventory audit of the production facility operated by E&T between March 11, 2019 and March 14, 2019. The results of the audit were attached to a License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria. On the same date (March 15, 2019), Euphoria prepared and served E&T with a "notice of default" and "notice to cure." In the notice provided to E&T, Euphoria provided E&T ten (10) business days to cure (which date was March 29, 2019). Euphoria also locked-down the facility at 8am on March 15, 2019 and prohibited E&T from accessing the facility (including even to remove its fixtures, furniture and equipment). According to Euphoria's notice, E&T was required to explain and document the cause of each variance. On March 27, 2019 (before the cure period expired and the investigation completed), Euphoria communicated to the Nevada Department of Taxation that the variances were the fault of E&T, and Euphoria "will be terminating E&T." On April 4, 2019, Euphoria communicated to the Nevada Department of Taxation its "Complete Investigation Results." E&T was not provided an opportunity to explain the variances or confirm the results of Euphoria's investigation.

On May 22, 2019, Euphoria (though its managing director, Darlene Purdy), terminated the joint venture between E&T and Euphoria.

E&T is not licensed as a marijuana/cannabis establishment regulated by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board. However, E&T fully cooperated with the investigation arising from the License Incident Report made by Nicole Lovelock to the Nevada Department of Taxation on March 15, 2019 on behalf of Euphoria, as confirmed by Letter to Nicole Lovelock, dated April 5, 2021, and disclosed as Plaintiff's Documents No. 000428-000431. Euphoria has been informed at the deposition for the person most knowledgeable for the Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board that all such investigations are closed. E&T confirms the same and notes that no action was taken by the Nevada Department of Taxation or the Cannabis Compliance Board set as a result of Euphoria's report. During the time E&T operated the production facility at issue, Darlene Purdy, the managing director for Euphoria Wellness, was the marijuana/cannabis agent responsible for inventory controls under Nevada law. To the extent there were any variances between physical inventory and METRC, Euphoria is solely responsible under Nevada law as the licensed marijuana/cannabis establishment (production facility). The Nevada Department of Taxation and the Cannabis Compliance Board disclosed all relevant documents in connection with any investigation as a result of the incident report filed by Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed explanation of what E&T would do after a product, such as Keef Life and Keef Cola, failed lab results.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The terms "Keef Life" and "Keef Cola" are not defined. Further, it is not clear what is meant for a product "to fail lab results." The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. E&T further objects on the basis that the interrogatory calls for speculation.

-35-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00181

APP139

If a cannabis product fails testing, E&T's response depends on the basis for the failure (microbial screen test failure or quality assurance test failure). Options upon failure are set forth in NAC 453D.790, which E&T would follow for any cannabis product including "Keef Life" and "Keef Cola."

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please describe in detail every time E&T, its employees, agents or principals, applied and/or used the results from products, which passed testing, to products, which failed testing, including the dates that happened, the person/s who authorized it, and a description of the products.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. E&T further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

E&T is not informed any such circumstances existed.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed description of each piece of equipment after July 1, 2017, which was or still is in Euphoria's Production Facility, that E&T, its agents, officers, and/or managers, purchased, leased, or obtained on behalf of or for E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

The equipment which is the subject of the dispute is described in Plaintiff's Documents No. 000432-000615 and Euphoria's Documents Nos. EW 000133-000179. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Interrogatory No. 14.

-36-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00182

APP140

1

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

///

Please identify with specificity all the equipment E&T claims Euphoria withheld, including the type of equipment, the purchase date, the purchase price, the current owner, and the date it was removed from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The term "withheld" is not defined. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

The equipment which is the subject of the dispute is described in Plaintiff's Documents No. 000432-000615 and Euphoria's Documents Nos. EW 000133-000179. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Interrogatory No. 15.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of E&T's relationship with ACC Enterprises LLC.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The term "ACC Enterprises LLC" is not defined.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

E&T does not have any relationship with ACC Enterprises LLC. E&T is not affiliated with, related to, or have any common members or managers with ACC Enterprises LLC.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00183

APP141

-37-

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Please provide a detailed description of E&T's contracts and payment history with ACC Enterprises LLC, including all sales, payments made, and payments owed.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

DATED this 25th day of October, 2021. LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP

1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100

Attorneys for E&T Ventures, LLC

/s/ Mitchell Stipp

MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com

E&T incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The term "ACC Enterprises LLC" is not defined.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

After good faith efforts, E&T has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and E&T reserves the right further to supplement its response to Interrogatory No. 17.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1

2

3

4

5

6

1	DECLARATION OF KRISTIN TARACKI
2	The above responses to Interrogatories by Euphoria Wellness, LLC to E&T Ventures, LLC are
3	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief as an authorized agent for E&T Ventures, LLC.
4	
5	Date: October 25, 2021
6	
7	/s/ Kristin Taracki
8	Kristina Taracki, Authorized Agent for
9	E&T Ventures, LLC
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00185

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
2				
3	I served the foregoing document described as "FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND			
4	OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND			
5	INTERROGATORIES " on this 25th day of October, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the			
6	clerk of the court, to all interested parties.			
7	/s/ Amy Hernandez			
8				
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00186			
	-40- APP144			

EXHIBIT "G"

EXHIBIT "G"

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00187

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 10/25/2021 6:38 PM			
1				
2	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ.			
3	Nevada Bar No. 7531 LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP			
	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144			
4	Telephone: 702.602.1242			
5	mstipp@stipplaw.com Attorneys for Miral Consulting, LLC			
6				
7				
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY STATE OF NEVADA			
9	CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA			
10				
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,			
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B		
13	v.	DEPT. NO.: XXX1		
14	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada			
15	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,			
16	inclusive;			
17	Defendants.			
18	AND RELATED MATTERS			
19				
20		NSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS		
21	FOR THE PRODUCTION OF D	OCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES		
22	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphor	ia")		
23	TO: JONES LOVELOCK, attorneys for above.			
24	///			
25				
26	///			
27				
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00188			
	-1- APP146			
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B			

Miral Consulting, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("MIRAL"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, supplements its responses and objections to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below (*supplemental responses and objections in italics and strike-through font*):

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. MIRAL's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, MIRAL's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, MIRAL does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, MIRAL makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. MIRAL will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of MIRAL, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. MIRAL's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by MIRAL's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that MIRAL performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that MIRAL acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. MIRAL expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00189

8. For purposes of MIRAL's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated
 October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T Ventures, LLC (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. MIRAL objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. MIRAL objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. MIRAL objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of MIRAL. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. MIRAL objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by MIRAL occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. MIRAL objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by MIRAL in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for MIRAL. All such documents and information will not be produced.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00190

-3-

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to MIRAL by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. MIRAL incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, MIRAL does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. MIRAL objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. MIRAL further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. MIRAL objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce all documents evidencing membership interests in Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of MIRAL, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of MIRAL are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the

importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses. Membership interests in MIRAL are not represented by any membership certificates.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all of the Articles of Incorporation or any corollary incorporation documents for Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

MIRAL is a Nevada limited liability company. Nevada limited liability companies are organized (not incorporated) by the filing of articles of organization under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Accordingly, MIRAL has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

MIRAL was not organized by Articles of Incorporation. In Nevada, a limited liability company is formed by signing and filing the *articles of organization*, together with the applicable filing fees, with the Nevada Secretary of State. NRS 86.151; NRS 86.201.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all of the operating agreements, including amendments, or any corollary governing documents for Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

///

///

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Single member limited liability companies are not required to have an operating agreement under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Subject to the forgoing objections, MIRAL has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

A limited liability company may, but is not required to, adopt an operating agreement. NRS 86.286. After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all membership distribution documents for Miral Consulting from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

The request is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of "membership distribution," which is not defined. Subject to the forgoing objections, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

All documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which Miral Consulting had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2015 to the present.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00193

1

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by Miral Consulting or on Miral Consulting's behalf between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2015 to present, for such card(s) which Miral Consulting uses or which Miral Consulting has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between Miral Consulting and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2015 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00195

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Please produce copies of Miral Consulting's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2015 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL is a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes. Nevada does not have a state income tax. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2015 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's payroll information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot

lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody, or control evidencing profits or losses of Miral Consulting between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's profit/loss information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by Miral Consulting between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by Miral Consulting between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving MIRAL to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake,

the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, MIRAL objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to E&T, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

-12-

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to CBD Supply, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with CBD Supply. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00200

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to Happy Campers, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to Happy Campers without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with Happy Campers. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Euphoria without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to E&T.

1

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to CBD Supply.

///

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00202

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with CBD Supply. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Please produce all communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Happy Campers.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Happy Campers without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of MIRAL to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with Happy Campers. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Please produce all documents and communications in Miral Consulting's possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, MIRAL objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. MIRAL does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Please produce all equipment related documents, including invoices and receipts, in Miral Consulting's possession, custody, or control, including the Invoices attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibits 3-A to 3-CC, electronically filed on November 4, 2019.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "equipment related documents" is not defined. Further, MIRAL objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request,

-17-

APP162

because MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. After a review of the docket, MIRAL did not identify any declaration by Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in Document Request No. 23. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any documents related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any communications related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00205

1

-18-

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Please produce a list of all persons who performed work for Miral Consulting from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019 and all related payroll records, tax forms, timecards, shifts, and work location assignments.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's employee/independent contractor information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

MIRAL has identified Alex and Kristin Taracki. However, after good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

-19-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00206

DOCUMENT REQUEST NOS. 27-54:

Please produce any and all documents on which Miral Consulting relied or identified in Miral Consulting's Response to Interrogatory Nos. 1-28.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-54:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-54:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests in Miral Consulting since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of MIRAL, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of MIRAL are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

-20-

27 ////

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

MIRAL identifies the following previous member: Kristin Taracki as the sole member with 100% of the membership interests.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Please provide the name of all entities owned, controlled, or otherwise affiliated with Miral Consulting since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Further, the question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

SUPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any entity which is owned, controlled or otherwise affiliated with MIRAL. For purposes of this supplemental response to Interrogatory No. 2, MIRAL assumes the term "controlled" means "identical common management" and the term "affiliated" means "identical common ownership" since these terms are not defined by Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For each and every entity identified in Interrogatory No. 2, please provide: all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests since its formation, including the amount(s) of contribution/investment in exchange for said membership interest and the date of such contribution/investment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

-21-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to Interrogatory No. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For Miral Consulting and for each and every entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, please provide the name of every manager, director, officer, and executive.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has identified Kristin Taracki as the sole member/manager of MIRAL.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Fully identify all owners or shareholders of Miral Consulting, including the nature and extent of their share hold, equitable interest and/or legal interest, and their respective employment(s) during the five (5) years preceding the date hereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, MIRAL is a limited liability company, which does not have "shareholders" and no person or entity has a "share hold." NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of MIRAL, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of MIRAL are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has identified Kristin Taracki as the sole member. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the employment history of the members/managers of MIRAL.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit received from each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Further, MIRAL did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any money, benefit or credit to disclose.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit sent to each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. Asked and answered.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any money, benefit or credit to disclose.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's business functions and activities.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, MIRAL is a Nevada limited liability company which provides consulting services.

-23-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

MIRAL provided consulting services in the cannabis industry. MIRAL is not conducting any business.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with E&T, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

MIRAL does not have a relationship with E&T. However, Kristin Taracki was a member of MIRAL and purported members of E&T.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with CBD Supply, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00211

1

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

MIRAL does not have a relationship with CBD Supply.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with Happy Campers.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with Happy Campers, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

MIRAL does not have a relationship with Happy Campers.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Miral Consulting's relationship with Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, Euphoria is aware that there is no relationship between MIRAL and Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

MIRAL does not have a relationship with Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting kept equipment and/or products belonging to Miral Consulting at Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. MIRAL further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

After a good faith inquiry, MIRAL is not aware of any property owned by MIRAL located at E&T's Production Facility.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why "Miro" is listed on AAA Bar & Restaurant Supply's Invoice #18190 dated 11/11/2017 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-A, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00213

purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

1

2

3

4

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5 Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on The Cima Group's Invoice #311 dated 12/12/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in 6 7 Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 8 3-E. electronically filed November 4. 2019. including who on ordered the 9 equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of 10 removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

12 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:**

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

24 25

26

27

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Control Contractors Inc.'s Invoice #001 dated 10/24/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration

-27-

of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-F, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility."

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Invoice #INV7581 dated 02/07/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-G, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

///

///

///

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Cole-Parmer's Invoice #1729631 dated 01/03/2019 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-L, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00216

APP174

-29-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Cole-Parmer's Invoice #1713316 dated 12/12/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-L, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims

ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why "MC" is listed on Elevated Equipment Supply's Invoice #ELEV-Q-552 dated 06/11/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-O, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control,

and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

///

///

1

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00218

1

2

3

INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Eppendorf's Invoice #4000743223 dated 13/02/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-P, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Invoice #INV8701 dated 07/14/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00219 equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Payment Receipt for Invoice #INV8902 dated 07/25/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

///

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 23:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Invoice #SO2398 dated 09/21/2018 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 24:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on ExtractionTek Solutions' Payment Receipt for Invoice #INV9393 dated 09/21/2018 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-Q, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 25:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00222

purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 26:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miroslav Taracki is listed on Uline's Invoices #100478227–100411788 attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibit 3-T, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 26:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 26:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Miral Consulting is listed on Steel Shelving's Invoice attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-Y, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment/products, who paid for them, the chain of ownership, custody and control, and the date of removal from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, MIRAL has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 27:

MIRAL does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in this request. MIRAL does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which MIRAL had any interest were used to make these purchases. MIRAL believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

Please list the names of all person who performed work for Miral Consulting from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

-37-

25 || ///

26 || ///

27

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 28:

MIRAL incorporates general objections herein. Asked and answered. MIRAL is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of MIRAL's relationship with its employees or independent contractors, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade MIRAL's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 28:

MIRAL has identified Kristin Taracki. However, after good faith efforts, MIRAL has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and MIRAL reserves the right to supplement its responses.

13 DATED this 25th day of October, 2021.
14 LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP

/s/ Mitchell Stipp

MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com *Attorneys for Miral Consulting, LLC*

1	DECLARATION OF KRISTIN TARACKI		
2			
3	The above responses to Interrogatories by Euphoria Wellness, LLC to Miral Consulting, LLC are		
4	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief as an authorized agent for Miral Consulting,		
5	LLC.		
6			
7	Date: October 25, 2021		
8	/s/ Kristin Taracki		
9	Kristina Taracki, Authorized Agent for		
10	Miral Consulting, LLC		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00226		
	-39- APP184		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2			
3	I served the foregoing document described as "FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND		
4	OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND		
5	INTERROGATORIES " on this 25th day of October, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the		
6	clerk of the court, to all interested parties.		
7	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
8			
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00227		
	-40- APP185		

APP186

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00228

EXHIBIT "H"

EXHIBIT "H"

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 10/25/2021 6:38 PM				
1					
1	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 7531 LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP				
3	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100				
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com <i>Attorneys for Happy Campers, LLC</i>				
5					
6	Autorneys for huppy Cumpers, ELC				
7					
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT				
9	CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA				
10					
11	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited				
12	liability company,				
13	Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B DEPT. NO.: XXXI			
14					
15	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada				
16	limited liability company; DOE Individuals I- X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10,				
17	inclusive;				
18	Defendants.				
19					
20	AND RELATED MATTERS				
20	EIDST SUDDI EMENTAL DESDA	NSES AND ODIECTIONS TO DEOLIESTS			
21	FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES				
23	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphoria")				
24	TO: JONES LOVELOCK, attorneys for above.				
25	///				
26	///				
27	/// PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00229				
		-1- APP187			
	Case Number: A-19-796919-B				

Happy Campers, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("HAPPY"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, supplements its responses and objections to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below (*supplemental responses and objections in italics and strike-through font*):

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. HAPPY's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, HAPPY's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, HAPPY does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, HAPPY makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. HAPPY will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of HAPPY, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. HAPPY's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by HAPPY's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that HAPPY performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that HAPPY acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. HAPPY expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

8. For purposes of HAPPY's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated
 October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T Ventures, LLC (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. HAPPY objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. HAPPY objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. HAPPY objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of HAPPY. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. HAPPY objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by HAPPY occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. HAPPY objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by HAPPY in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for HAPPY. All such documents and information will not be produced.

-3-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00231

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to HAPPY by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. HAPPY incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, HAPPY does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. HAPPY objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. HAPPY further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. HAPPY objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce all documents evidencing membership interests in Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of HAPPY, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of HAPPY are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the

importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses. Membership interests in HAPPY are not represented by any membership certificates.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all of the Articles of Incorporation or any corollary incorporation documents for Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

HAPPY is a dissolved Nevada limited liability company. Nevada limited liability companies are organized (not incorporated) by the filing of articles of organization under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Accordingly, HAPPY has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

HAPPY was not organized by Articles of Incorporation. HAPPY was dissolved but has been recently revived as a limited liability company under NRS 86.580. <u>See</u> Filing No. 20211643500 with the Nevada Secretary of State, which is publicly available. In Nevada, a limited liability company is formed by signing and filing the <u>articles of organization</u>, together with the applicable filing fees, with the Nevada Secretary of State. NRS 86.151; NRS 86.201.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all of the operating agreements, including amendments, or any corollary governing documents for Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Single member limited liability companies are not required to have an operating agreement under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Subject to the forgoing objections, HAPPY has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

A limited liability company may, but is not required to, adopt an operating agreement. NRS 86.286. After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all membership distribution documents for Happy Campers from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

The request is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of "membership distribution," which is not defined. Subject to the forgoing objections, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

All documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which Happy Campers had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2015 to the present.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00234

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1

2

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request; provided, however, that HAPPY continues to search for documents which evidence the funds in the amount of \$200,000 payable to HAPPY for the capital contribution of Alex and Kristin Taracki. Discovery is ongoing, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by Happy Campers or on Happy Campers' behalf between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

///

///

23

24

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2015 to present, for such card(s) which Happy Campers uses or which Happy Campers has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between Happy Campers and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2015 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does

not permit discovery of HAPPY's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Please produce copies of HAPPY Consulting's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2015 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

, ||

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY is a pass-through entity for federal income tax purposes. Nevada does not have a state income tax. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2015 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports. PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00237

27

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's payroll information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody, or control evidencing profits or losses of Happy Campers between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's profit/loss information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by Happy Campers between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by Happy Campers between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving HAPPY to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake. the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, HAPPY objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in Happt Campers' possession, custody or control relating to E&T, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is

overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to Miral Consulting, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with Miral Consulting. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to CBD Supply, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with CBD Supply. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

|| RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Euphoria without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00242

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to E&T.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

, ||

///

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with Miral Consulting. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Please produce all communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of HAPPY to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. HAPPY does not have a relationship with CBD Supply. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Please produce all documents and communications in Happy Campers' possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, HAPPY objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

|| DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Please produce all equipment related documents, including invoices and receipts, in Happy Campers' possession, custody, or control, including the Invoices attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibits 3-A to 3-CC, electronically filed on November 4, 2019.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "equipment related documents" is not defined. Further, HAPPY objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. After a review of the docket, HAPPY did not identify any declaration by Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

HAPPY does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in Document Request No.
23. HAPPY does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which HAPPY had any interest were used to make these purchases. HAPPY believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute) or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00245

made the declaration. Ms. Taracki is no longer associated with HAPPY either as a member or manager.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any documents related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any communications related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

///

///

1

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00246

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Please produce a list of all persons who performed work for Happy Campers from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019 and all related payroll records, tax forms, timecards, shifts, and work location assignments.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's employee/independent contractor information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

HAPPY has identified Joseph Kennedy and Alex and Kristin Taracki. However, after good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NOS. 27-41:

Please produce any and all documents on which Happy Campers relied or identified in Happy Campers' Response to Interrogatory Nos. 1-15.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-41:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its response.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00247

-19-

///

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-41:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and HAPPY reserves the right to supplement its responses.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests in Happy Campers since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of HAPPY, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of HAPPY are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

HAPPY identifies the following previous members: Joseph Kennedy and Alex and Kristin Taracki as the members of HAPPY with 100% of the membership interests in HAPPY. Mr. Kennedy owned more than 50% of the membership interests.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please provide the name of all entities owned, controlled, or otherwise affiliated with Happy Campers since its formation.

///

APP206

-20-

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Further, the question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

SUPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any entity which is owned, controlled or otherwise affiliated with HAPPY. For purposes of this supplemental response to Interrogatory No. 2, HAPPY assumes the term "controlled" means "identical common management" and the term "affiliated" means "identical common ownership" since these terms are not defined by Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For each and every entity identified in Interrogatory No. 2, please provide: all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests since its formation, including the amount(s) of contribution/investment in exchange for said membership interest and the date of such contribution/investment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to Interrogatory No. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For Happy Campers and for each and every entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, please provide the name of every manager, director, officer, and executive.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00249

DNS 7 ir gato *EN* pood RC ppy the DNS 7 in ntif

1

-21-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has identified Joseph Kennedy and Alex and Kristin Taracki as the sole members of HAPPY and members of HAPPY's management committee. Mr. Kennedy had control over HAPPY as its majority member.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Fully identify all owners or shareholders of Happy Campers, including the nature and extent of their share hold, equitable interest and/or legal interest, and their respective employment(s) during the five (5) years preceding the date hereof.

¹⁰ **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, HAPPY is a limited liability company, which does not have "shareholders" and no person or entity has a "share hold." NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of HAPPY, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of HAPPY are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has identified Joseph Kennedy and Alex and Kristin Taracki as the sole members of HAPPY and members of HAPPY's management committee. HAPPY does not have personal knowledge of the employment histories of the members/managers of HAPPY.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit received from each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

///

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Further, HAPPY did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any money, benefit or credit to disclose.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit sent to each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. Asked and answered.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any money, benefit or credit to disclose.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' business functions and activities.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Happy is a Nevada limited liability company, which has been dissolved.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

HAPPY attempted to enter the business of cannabidiol (CBD) extraction. HAPPY's efforts were not successful. HAPPY was previously dissolved. HAPPY is not conducting business.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Camper's relationship with E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with E&T, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

HAPPY does not have a relationship with E&T. However, Alex and Kristin Taracki were members of HAPPY and purported members of E&T. Joseph Kennedy was a member of HAPPY, but upon information and belief, Mr. Kennedy was not a manager or member of E&T.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' relationship with CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with CBD Supply, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

HAPPY does not have a relationship with CBD Supply.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' relationship with Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with Happy Campers, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

HAPPY does not have a relationship with Miral Consulting.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of Happy Campers' relationship with Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, Euphoria is aware that there is no relationship between HAPPY and Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

HAPPY does not have a relationship with Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Happy Campers kept equipment and/or products belonging to Happy Campers at Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. HAPPY further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

After a good faith inquiry, HAPPY is not aware of any property owned by HAPPY located at E&T's Production Facility.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why Happy Campers is listed on TCF Sales' Invoice #16017 dated 03/21/2019 attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time as Exhibit 3-V, electronically filed on November 4, 2019, including who ordered the equipment, who paid for the equipment, the chain of ownership, custody and control of the equipment, and the date it was removed from Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. The question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question. As noted previously, HAPPY has not identified any declaration of Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019. The request also requires speculation.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

HAPPY does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in Interrogatory No. 14. HAPPY does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which HAPPY had any interest were used to make these purchases. HAPPY believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute) or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration. Ms. Taracki is no longer associated as a member or manager of HAPPY.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00254

1

2

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please list the names of all person who performed work for Happy Campers from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

///

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

HAPPY incorporates general objections herein. Asked and answered. HAPPY is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of HAPPY's relationship with its employees or independent contractors, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade HAPPY's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

After good faith efforts, HAPPY has not identified any such person except Joseph Kennedy and Alex and Kristin Taracki.

DATED this 25th day of October, 2021. LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP

/s/ Mitchell Stipp

MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar. No. 7531 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242 mstipp@stipplaw.com Attorneys for Happy Campers, LLC

1	DECLARATION OF JOSEPH KENNEDY
2	The above responses to Interrogatories by Euphoria Wellness, LLC to Happy Campers, LLC are
3	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief as an authorized agent for Happy Campers,
4	LLC.
5	Date: October 25, 2021
6	/s/ Joseph Kennedy
7	Joseph Kennedy, Authorized Agent for
8	Happy Campers, LLC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00256

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
3	I served the foregoing document described as "FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND		
4	OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND		
5	INTERROGATORIES " on this 25th day of October, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the		
6	clerk of the court, to all interested parties.		
7	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
8			
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
22			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00257		
	-29- APP215		

EXHIBIT "I"

EXHIBIT "I"

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00258

	ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 10/25/2021 6:38 PM				
	10/20/2021 0.00 T W				
1					
2	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ.				
	Nevada Bar No. 7531 LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP				
3	1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144				
4	Telephone: 702.602.1242				
5	mstipp@stipplaw.com Attorneys for CBD Supply Co., LLC				
6					
7					
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA				
9	CLARK COUNT I, STATE OF NEVADA				
10					
11 12	E&T VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,				
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: A-19-796919-B			
13	V.	DEPT. NO.: XXXI			
14	EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOE Individuals I-				
15 16	X, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10, inclusive;				
17	Defendants.				
18 19	AND RELATED MATTERS				
20	FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPO	NSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS			
20		OCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES			
22	TO: EUPHORIA WELLNESS, LLC ("Euphoria")				
23	TO: JONES LOVELOCK, attorneys for above.				
24	///				
25					
26	///				
27		PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00259			
		-1- APP217			
	Case Number	: A-19-796919-B			

CBD Supply Co., LLC, a dissolved Nevada limited liability company ("CBD"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 33 and 34 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, supplements its responses and objections to the requests for the production of documents and interrogatories by Euphoria as set forth below (*supplemental responses and objections in italics and strike-through font*):

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. CBD's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, CBD's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.

2. By making the accompanying responses and objections, CBD does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, CBD makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests, and responses to the requests, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of the trial.

3. CBD will produce responsive documents only to the extent that such documents are in the possession, custody, or control of CBD, as set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. CBD's possession, custody, or control does not include any constructive possession that may be conferred by CBD's right or power to compel the production of documents or information from third parties or to request their production from its managers, members or their respective affiliates.

4. A response to a document request stating that objections and/or indicating that documents will be produced shall not be deemed or construed that there are, in fact, responsive documents, that CBD performed any of the acts described in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request, or that CBD acquiesces in the characterization of the conduct or activities contained in the document request or definitions and/or instructions applicable to the document request.

5. CBD expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

7. Publicly available documents including, but not limited to, court papers and documents available on the Internet, will not be produced.

-2-

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00260

8. For purposes of CBD's responses and objections, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them below:

(a) "Joint Venture Agreement" means the First Amended and Restated Agreement dated
 October 5, 2017, between Euphoria and E&T Ventures, LLC (together with any amendments or supplements thereto).

(b) "E&T's Production Facility" means the "Production Facility" as defined in the Joint Venture Agreement.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. CBD objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. CBD objects to each document request that is overly broad, unduly burdensome, or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

3. CBD objects to each document request to the extent that it calls for production of a privilege log for internal documents of CBD. A request for such a log is unreasonable and unduly burdensome in light of the work product doctrine, deliberative process privilege, and other privileges protecting such internal documents from discovery.

4. CBD objects to each instruction, definition, and document request to the extent that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney- client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by CBD occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

5. CBD objects to each instruction, definition, and document request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents or information that are readily or more accessible to Euphoria from its own files, from documents or information in Euphoria's possession, or from documents or information previously produced by CBD in arbitration, mediation, or litigation to which Euphoria is/was a party. Responding to such requests would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests is substantially the same or less for Euphoria as for CBD. All such documents and information will not be produced.

6. Any document requests that call for the production of documents and information that were produced to CBD by other persons and that may contain confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information will not be produced.

7. CBD incorporates by reference every general objection set forth above into each specific response set forth below. A specific response may repeat a general objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to include any general objection in any specific response does not waive any general objection to that request. Moreover, CBD does not waive its right to amend its responses on or before trial.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. CBD objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it purports to impose obligations greater than those set forth in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. CBD further objects to the definition of "document" or "documents" to the extent that it calls for documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, deliberative process privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

3. CBD objects to each request for documents and interrogatories on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, that it calls for the production of documents that are irrelevant to matters subject to trial and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent that it calls for the production of documents or information without a specific timeframe.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

Please produce all documents evidencing membership interests in CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of CBD, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of CBD are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00262

issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses. Membership interests in CBD are not represented by any membership certificates.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

Please produce all of the Articles of Incorporation or any corollary incorporation documents for CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

CBD is a dissolved Nevada limited liability company. Nevada limited liability companies are organized (not incorporated) by the filing of articles of organization under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Accordingly, CBD has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2:

CBD was not organized by Articles of Incorporation. CBD was dissolved. In Nevada, a limited liability company is formed by signing and filing the *articles of organization*, together with the applicable filing fees, with the Nevada Secretary of State. NRS 86.151; NRS 86.201.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Please produce all of the operating agreements, including amendments, or any corollary governing documents for CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

Single member limited liability companies are not required to have an operating agreement under Chapter 86 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Subject to the forgoing objections, CBD has not identified any documents or communications responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3:

A limited liability company may, but is not required to, adopt an operating agreement. NRS 86.286. After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

Please produce all membership distribution documents for CBD Supply from its inception until the present day.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

The request is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of "membership distribution," which is not defined. Subject to the forgoing objections, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to Euphoria's request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

All documents, including but not limited to monthly, quarterly, or other periodic statements, cancelled checks, deposit slips, wire transfers, etc. regarding any domestic or foreign bank or other financial account, regardless of how such account is titled, over which CBD Supply had signatory authority or other such control at any time during the period from 2015 to the present.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00264

1

2

3

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO 6:

Please produce copies of all financial statements and/or loan applications prepared by CBD Supply or on CBD Supply behalf between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/financial information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

Please produce copies of all credit, debit and/or ATM card statements of account, wherever located and regardless of whose name appears on the account(s), from 2015 to present, for such card(s) which CBD Supply uses or which CBD Supply has signatory authority or other such control.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

Please produce copies of all documents and communications between CBD Supply and officers, managers, and/or managing members, regarding any stocks, mutual funds, financial investments, etc., and/or transfers of funds from 2015 to present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's banking/credit information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the

-8-

potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 8:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

Please produce copies of CBD Supply's federal and state income tax returns, with accompanying worksheets and any other supporting documents, from 2015 to the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's tax information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 9:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD is a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes. Nevada does not have a state income tax. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Please produce copies of all payroll documents from 2015 to present, including W-4 Forms, W-2 Forms, 1099 Forms, I-9 Forms, paystubs, total compensation letters, timecards, and payroll reports.

///

///

///

1

2

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00267

APP225

-9-

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's payroll information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 10:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

12 **DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11**

Please produce all profit and loss statements or other documents in CBD Supply possession, custody, or control evidencing profits or losses of CBD Supply between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's profit/loss information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

24 25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 11:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

Please produce all documents evidencing the revenue received by CBD Supply between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

L

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 12:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

Please produce all documents evidencing the expenditures by CBD Supply between 2015 and the present.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement, and Euphoria has not identified any agreement involving CBD to support its causes of action for conspiracy and concert of action. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's revenue information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00269

potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 13:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to Euphoria, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, CBD objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 14:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to E&T, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

-12-

///

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 15:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to Miral Consulting, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 16:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with Miral Consulting. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

Please produce all documents in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control relating to Happy Campers, including but not limited to contracts, ledgers, receipts, requests for approval, product sheets, manuals, employee records, reports, commercial equipment leases, invoices, and memorandums.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all documents" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 17:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with Happy Campers. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Euphoria without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00272

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 18:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with Euphoria. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to E&T.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to E&T without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 19:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to Miral Consulting.

///

///

///

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00273

-15-

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to Miral Consulting without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 20:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with Miral Consulting. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

Please produce all communications in CBD Supply's possession, custody or control, including letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles or any other written communications, related in any manner to CBD Supply.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because asking for "all communications" related to CBD Supply without any limitation (including a time limitation) is impermissibly overbroad. Because the information sought is overbroad and unduly burdensome, it will require unreasonable efforts and expense on behalf of CBD to identify and/or produce.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 21:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD is dissolved. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

///

///

///

1

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00274

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

Please produce all documents and communications in CBD Supplies' possession, custody or control relating to E&T's operations on Euphoria's premises, including contracts with third parties, invoices, receipts, inventories, manuals, internal regulations, employee records, and profit and loss statements.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. Accordingly, CBD objects to this request on the basis that it seeks information and knowledge in the possession of Euphoria.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 22:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. CBD does not have a relationship with E&T. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

Please produce all equipment related documents, including invoices and receipts, in CBD Supply's possession, custody, or control, including the Invoices attached to the *Supplemental Declaration of Kristin Ehasz in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction on Application for Order Shortening Time* as Exhibits 3-A to 3-CC, electronically filed on November 4, 2019.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The phrase "equipment related documents" is not defined. Further, CBD objects to the underlying request for production, and thus to this request, because CBD is PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00275

not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. After a review of the docket, CBD did not identify any declaration by Kristin Ehasz filed on November 4, 2019.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 23:

CBD does not have any interest in any property which is the subject of dispute between E&T and Euphoria including any property identified on the sales receipts referenced in Document Request No. 23. CBD does not have personal knowledge of the purchases applicable to these sales receipts. Further, after good faith inquiry, it does not appear any funds to which CBD had any interest were used to make these purchases. CBD believes that the discovery request is better directed to E&T (which claims ownership of the property subject to the dispute), or Kristin Taracki, who purportedly made the declaration.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any documents related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 24:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

For every invoice and receipt identified in response to Request for Production No. 23, please provide any communications related to the equipment's ownership, chain of custody, chain of control, and current location.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD did not identify any invoice or receipt in response to request no. 23.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 25:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

Please produce a list of all persons who performed work for CBD Supply from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019 and all related payroll records, tax forms, timecards, shifts, and work location assignments.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Section 7.1 of the Joint Venture Agreement requires the E&T and Euphoria jointly to maintain the books of account and other records reflecting the results of operation for E&T's Production Facility. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's employee/independent contractor information, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 26:

CBD has identified Alex and Kristin Taracki. However, after good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

-19-

DOCUMENT REQUEST NOS. 27-43:

Please produce any and all documents on which CBD Supply relied or identified in CBD Supply's Response to Interrogatory Nos. 1-17.

RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-43:

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 27-43:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any documents responsive to this request. Discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its responses.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please provide all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests in CBD Supply since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of CBD, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of CBD are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00278

1

2

3

4

5

6

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

CBD identifies the following previous members: Alex and Kristin Taracki as the members of CBD with 100% of the membership interests.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Please provide the name of all entities owned, controlled, or otherwise affiliated with CBD Supply since its formation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Further, the question is vague, ambiguous, and unintelligible so as to make a response impossible without speculation as to the meaning of the question.

SUPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any entity which is owned, controlled or otherwise affiliated with CBD. For purposes of this supplemental response to Interrogatory No. 2, CBD assumes the term "controlled" means "identical common management" and the term "affiliated" means "identical common ownership" since these terms are not defined by Euphoria.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For each and every entity identified in Interrogatory No. 2, please provide: all of the names and percentages held of all membership interests since its formation, including the amount(s) of contribution/investment in exchange for said membership interest and the date of such contribution/investment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

-21-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

After good faith efforts, CBD did not identify any entity in response to Interrogatory No. 2.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For CBD Supply and for each and every entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, please provide the name of every manager, director, officer, and executive.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, CBD did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

After good faith efforts, CBD has identified Alex and Kristin Taracki as the sole members/managers of CBD.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Fully identify all owners or shareholders of CBD Supply, including the nature and extent of their share hold, equitable interest and/or legal interest, and their respective employment(s) during the five (5) years preceding the date hereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, CBD is a limited liability company, which does not have "shareholders" and no person or entity has a "share hold." NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of the members of CBD, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The members of CBD are not parties to this case. Discovery may not invade the right to privacy of these individuals without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

After good faith efforts, CBD has identified Alex and Kristin Taracki as the sole members. CBD does not have personal knowledge of the employment histories of the members/managers of CBD.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit received from each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. Further, CBD did not identify any entity in response to interrogatory no. 2.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any money, benefit or credit to disclose.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please identify any and all money, benefit or credit sent to each entity identified in response to Interrogatory No. 2, including but not limited to, the amount, the type, the date, and the reason.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection. Asked and answered.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

After good faith efforts, CBD has not identified any money, benefit or credit to disclose.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's business functions and activities.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, CBD is a Nevada limited liability company, which has been dissolved.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00281

-23-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

CBD attempted to enter the business of cannabidiol (CBD) extraction. CBD's efforts were not successful. CBD was dissolved. CBD is not conducting business.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with E&T.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's relationship with E&T, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

CBD does not have a relationship with E&T. However, Alex and Kristin Taracki were members of CBD and purported members of E&T.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with Miral Consulting.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's relationship with CBD Supply, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in

-24-

controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

CBD does not have a relationship with Miral Consulting.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with Happy Campers.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. CBD is not a party to the Joint Venture Agreement. NRCP 26 does not permit discovery of CBD's relationship with CBD Campers, since such matters are not relevant and cannot lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Discovery may not invade CBD's right to privacy without weighing the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the importance of the issues at stake, the potential for finding relevant material, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

CBD does not have a relationship with Happy Campers.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Please provide a detailed description of the nature and extent of CBD Supply's relationship with Euphoria.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. Further, Euphoria is aware that there is no relationship between CBD and Euphoria.

-25-

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

CBD does not have a relationship with Euphoria.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00283

APP241

1

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why CBD Supply kept equipment and/or products belonging to CBD Supply at Euphoria's Production Facility.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. CBD further objects on the basis that the interrogatory is argumentative.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

After a good faith inquiry, CBD is not aware of any property owned by CBD located at E&T's Production Facility.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide a detailed description of each piece of equipment, supplies, and materials belonging to CBD Supply, which were kept in Euphoria's Production Facility from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

CBD incorporates general objections herein. The interrogatory is compound. However, discovery is on-going, and CBD reserves the right to supplement its response.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

After a good faith inquiry, CBD is not aware of any property owned by CBD located at E&T's Production Facility.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please provide a detailed explanation of the reasons why any equipment, supplies, and materials belonging to CBD Supply were kept in Euphoria's Production Facility from July 7, 2017 until August 31, 2019.

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00284

1	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:	
2	CBD incorporates general objections herein. Asked and answered.	
3	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:	
4	After a good faith inquiry, CBD is not aware of any property owned by CBD located at E&T's	
5	Production Facility.	
6		
7		
8	DATED this 25th day of October, 2021.	
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP	
10	/s/ Mitchell Stipp	
11	MITCHELL STIPP, ESQ.	
12	Nevada Bar. No. 7531 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100	
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: 702.602.1242	
	mstipp@stipplaw.com	
14	Attorneys for CBD Supply Co., LLC	
15 16	DECLARATION OF KRISTIN TARACKI	
17		
	The above responses to Interrogatories by Euphoria Wellness, LLC to CBD Supply Co., LLC are	
18	true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief as an authorized agent for CBD Supply Co.,	
19	LLC.	
20	Date: October 25, 2021	
21	/s/ Kristin Taracki	
22		
23	Kristina Taracki, Authorized Agent for	
24	CBD Supply Co., LLC	
25		
26		
27	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00285	
I		

-27-

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2			
3	I served the foregoing document described as "FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND		
4	OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND		
5	INTERROGATORIES " on this 25th day of October, 2021, using the electronic filings system of the		
6	clerk of the court, to all interested parties.		
7	/s/ Amy Hernandez		
8			
9	LAW OFFICE OF MITCHELL STIPP		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	PETITIONER'S APPENDIX NO. 00286		
	-28- APP244		