

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS ANGEL CASTRO,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 83680-COA

FILED

APR 11 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE

This is a pro se appeal from an order of the district court denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. In his petition, Castro raised several claims of ineffective assistance of trial counsel, including that counsel's communication with Castro's parents regarding the plea negotiations resulted in his parents' coercing Castro to enter a plea. Our review of the record reveals that this claim may have merit. *See Iaea v. Sunn*, 800 F.2d 861, 863, 868 (9th Cir. 1986). Therefore, we conclude that a response is warranted. Accordingly, the State shall have 30 days from the date of this order to file and serve a response, including points and authorities, addressing whether the district court erred by denying Castro's petition without an evidentiary hearing. The response shall comply with NRAP 28 and NRAP 32. Appellant shall have 15 days from the date of the response to file a reply. *See* NRAP 28(k). For the purposes of NRAP 28(e), the response and any reply shall cite to the record on appeal transmitted by the district court clerk.

It is so ORDERED.


Gibbons, C.J.

cc: Luis Angel Castro
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk