



**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLERK OF THE COURT**

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER
200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd FL.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160
(702) 671-4554

FILED

MAR 15 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY [Signature]
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

Steven D. Grierson
Clerk of the Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller
Court Division Administrator

March 15, 2022

Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of the Court
201 South Carson Street, Suite 201
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: In the Matter of: MARTIN KING, Deceased.

S.C. CASE: 84246

D.C. CASE: P-19-100680-E

Dear Ms. Brown:

On February 10, 2022 our office submitted a Notice of Appeal packet for the above referenced case noting that the minutes from December 21, 2021 were not included. The minutes have now been completed and are enclosed. Please contact our office at (702) 671-0512 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Amanda Hampton

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk

22-08155

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Probate - Summary
Administration**

COURT MINUTES

December 21, 2021

P-19-100680-E In the Matter of:
 Martin King, Deceased

December 21, 2021 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Sturman, Gloria **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 10D

COURT CLERK: Chad Johnson
 Natalie Ortega

RECORDER: Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: King, Martin Jeffrey Decedent
 Martinez, Tabetha A Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- OBJECTION TO PCRR...OSC WHY PAUL KING SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN IN CONTEMPT OF COURT

COURT NOTED it reviewed the opposition filed. There was a probate hearing in California and Mr. King wanted to attend. Mr. King indicated that was accurate, noting the condo in question did not have clear title because it remained in the family trust that was established by his father and his father's ex-wife. It was subject to the divorce agreement in 2017, however; his father passed 5 days after the divorce agreement was signed. The transfer documents were never executed subsequent to his father's passing. Therefore, this was subject to California probate being his father was a California resident. The hearing on January 12th was that he had petitioned the Los Angeles Family Court to administer his father's domiciliary estate. There was an opposing petitioner. COURT NOTED it did not receive a response to the opposition. Ms. Martinez advised their position was that this was another delay tactic. There had been a buyer ready since this summer on the property. Arguments by Ms. Martinez as to the objection to the Probation Commissioner's Report and Recommendation

stating she was seeking relief to have Mr. King comply and proceed with the sale of the property. They want modification to the Report and Recommendation (R&R) that the property be sold without any precondition or a separate order compelling the sale. The R&R could be modified to allow Petitioner's to sign whatever documents necessary to proceed with the sale or an Order compelling Mr. King to proceed without anymore delay. Mr. King argued there was no quick claim deed it remained community property. There was a cloud on the title and the property could not be sold. COURT NOTED it did not have any information from third parties as to the cloud on the title or not. Ms. Martinez suggested to set a status check to provide the Court supplemental briefing as to clarify the question of the Court as to clear title. COURT ORDERED, Status Check SET on January 6, 2022 as to additional information provided by the parties. Parties to provide the additional documentation / supplemental briefing by January 3, 2022.

01/06/22 10:00 AM STATUS CHECK: PROBATE STATUS