

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF THE COURT

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER 200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd FI. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160 (702) 671-4554 Electronically Filed Mar 09 2022 12:04 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Steven D. Grierson Clerk of the Court Anntoinette Naumec-Miller Court Division Administrator

March 9, 2022

Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of the Court 201 South Carson Street, Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: STATE OF NEVADA vs. DEMARENE COLEMAN S.C. CASE: 84292
D.C. CASE: 05C215295-1

Dear Ms. Brown:

Pursuant to your Order Directing Entry and Transmission of Written Order, dated March 3, 2022, enclosed is a certified copy of the Oder Denying Defendant's Motion for Modification of Sentence filed March 8, 2022 in the above referenced case. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at (702) 671-0512.

Sincerely, STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Heather Ungermann
Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

Electronically Filed 03/08/2022 12:22 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 JOHN AFSHAR Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #14408 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 8 9 10 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 11 Plaintiff, 12 -VS-13 DEMARENE COLEMAN, #1963947 14 15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DEPT NO: VI

05C215295

CASE NO:

Defendant.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF **SENTENCE**

DATE OF HEARING: February 1, 2022 TIME OF HEARING: 11:00 A.M.

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before the above-entitled Court on the 29th day of July, 2022, the Defendant not being present, IN PROPER PERSON, the Plaintiff being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney, through JOHN AFSHAR, Deputy District Attorney, without argument, based on the pleadings and good cause appearing therefor,

COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Motion for Modification of Sentence is DENIED. Defendant asks the Court to modify his sentence and amend his Judgment of Conviction to "reflect the Minimum-Maximum statute [of] twenty (20) to fifty (50)" years, or resentence such that he would be eligible for parole after ten (10) years. Defendant claims that he did not knowingly, intelligently, or voluntarily enter his guilty plea and he was sentenced based on

\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2005\379\43\200537943C-ORDR-(COLEMAN, DEMARENE)-002.DOCX

an agreement between the parties that he would be sentenced to "Twenty (20) to Fifty (50)
years." However, there is no evidence of any sort of agreement, and it is well established the
Court sentences under its own discretion. Defendant does not allege that his sentence was
based on a materially untrue assumption, Townsend v. Burke, 334 U.S. 736, 741, 68 S.Ct.
1252, 1255, 92 L.Ed. 1690 (1948), or that the Court has jurisdiction to modify his sentence.
Passanisi v. State, 108 Nev. 319, 321, 831 P.2d 1371, 1373 (1992).
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for Modification of Sentence,
shall be, and it is DENIED.
DATED this day of March, 2022. Dated this 8th day of March, 2022
O Duth
DISTRICT JUDGE
STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney
Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 D48 D84 C5D9 3C23 Jacqueline M. Bluth District Court Judge
BY <u>/s/ John Afshar</u> JOHN AFSHAR
Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #14408
<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
I certify that on the 7 th day of March, 2022, I mailed a copy of the foregoing Order to:
DEMARENE COLEMAN, BAC #1007335 SO. DESERT CORRECTIONAL CENTER
PO BOX 208 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070
INDIAN SEKINGS, INV 89070
BY /s/ Corelle Bellamy March 9, 2022 Corelle Bellamy
Secretary for the District Attorney of Green Secretary for the District Attorney
NO EIGHTH
ODISTRICT &
cnb/L5
CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

CSERV DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA The State of Nevada vs CASE NO: 05C215295-1 Demarene Coleman DEPT. NO. Department 6 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Electronic service was attempted through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, but there were no registered users on the case. The filer has been notified to serve all parties by traditional means.