3	IN THE SUPREME COURT O		ام
	SARAH JANEEN ROSE,	Electronically File Jul 13 2022 05:36	p.m.
4	Appellant,	CASE NO. 84 Elizabeth A. Brow Clerk of Supreme	/n
5	vs.	District Court Case No:	Court
6	DAVID JOHN ROSE,	D547250	
7 8	Respondent.		
9	JOINT AI	PPENDIX	
10	Volume I - (Bates Stamps Volume II - (Bates Stamps	APPX0001 - APPX0250)	
11	Volume III - (Bates Stamps	S APPX04/2 - APPX06/0)	
12	Volume IV - (Bates Stamps Volume V - (Bates Stamps	APPX0768 - APPX0941)	
13	Volume VI - (Bates Stamps Volume VII - (Bates Stamps	APPX0942 - APPX01176) APPX01177 - APPX01391)	
14	Volume VIII - (Bates Stamps Volume IX - (Bates Stamps	APPX01392 - APPX01599) APPX01600 - APPX01842) APPX01843 - APPX01921)	
15	Volume X - (Bates Stamps A	APPX01843 - APPX01921)	
16			
17	RACHEAL H. MASTEL, ESQ.	SHELLEY LUBRITZ, ESQ.	
18	Nevada Bar No. 11646	Nevada Bar No. 5410	
19	Kainen Law Group, PLLC	Law Office Of Shelly Lubritz, PLLC	
20	3303 Novat Street, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129	375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	
20	Tel: (702) 823-4900	Tel: (702)833-1300	
21	Fax: (702) 823-4488 Email:service@kainenlawgroup.com	Fax: (702) 442-9400 Email: shelley@lubritzlawoffice.com	
22	Eman. service (a kamema w group.com	Email. Shelley@idofftzlawoffice.com	
23	ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT	ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT	
24			
25		(i)	
26			
1			

1	LIST OF APPENDIX DOCUMENTS					
2	<b>Title of Document</b>	Filing Date	Volume	<b>Bates Stamp</b>		
3	Acceptance of Service	10.05.21	Vol. VI	APPX1170		
4 5	Affidavit in Support of and Request for Summary Disposition of Decree of Divorce	03.23.18	Vol. I	APPX0120- APPX0122		
6 7	Affidavit of Resident Witness	03.23.18	Vol. I	APPX0118- APPX0119		
8 9 10	Amended Citation Correction to Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Enforce Memo- randum of Understanding and For Attorney's Fees and Costs	6.13.19	Vol. II	APPX0344- APPX0346		
11 12	Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing	4.10.20	Vol. II	APPX0441- APPX0442		
13	Amended Trial Subpoena Nexie Rose	1.26.20	Vol. II	APPX0433		
14 15	Answer and Counterclaim for Divorce	9.26.17	Vol. I	APPX0007- APPX0014		
16 17 18 19 20	Appendix to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Add Additional Findings Pursuant to NRCP 52, or Alternatively, Motion for Relief Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)(6) and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	6.18.20	Vol. III	APPX0472- APPX0570		
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Appendix of Exhibits In Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Set Aside The Paragraph Regarding Survivor Benefits in the Decree of Divorce Based Upon Mistake	04.25.18	Vol. I	APPX0198- APPX0200		
25						
26						
27		Page 2 of 15				

11:				
1 2 3	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendant's Motion For Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 52(c) Or In The Alternative For Summary Judgment	2.12.20	Vol. IV	APPX0671- APPX0767
4		11.06.10	X7 1 X7	1 DD1100 (0
5	Case and Non-Jury Trial Management Order	11.06.18	Vol. II	APPX0269- APPX0272
6	Certificate of Service	04.26.18	Vol. I	APPX0204- APPX0206
7 8	Certificate of Service	10.10.18	Vol. I	APPX0249- APPX0250
9	Certificate of Service	02.8.22	Vol. VIII	APPX1595- APPX1596
10 11	Complaint for Divorce	2.22.17	Vol. I	APPX0001- APPX0006
12	Court Minute Decision	10.21.20	Vol. III	APPX0650- APPX0656
13	Court Minutes	8.06.20	Vol. III	APPX0573
14 15	Defendant's Closing Argument	12.13.21	Vol. VIII	APPX1392- APPX1441
16 17	Defendant's Ex Parte Motion For Order Shortening Time	1.15.20	Vol. II	APPX0419- APPX0421
18	D.C. 1 (1) I' (1)			720019203
19	Defendant's List of Witnesses to Plaintiff	11.21.18	Vol. II	APPX0273- APPX0276
20 21	Defendant's Motion For Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 52 (c) or in the Alternative	2.12.21	Vol. III	APPX0657- APPX0670
22	For Summary Judgment			
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment, or in the Alternative For New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59(a)(7) and For Attorney's Fees and Costs	10.9.18	Vol. I	APPX0234- APPX0247
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>				
27				
		Page 3 of 15		

Ш				
1 2	First Request)	1.14.20	Vol. II	APPX0411- APPX0417
3 4 5 6	Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Set Aside The Paragraph Regarding Survivor Benefits in the Decree of Divorce Based on Mistake and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	05.10.18	Vol. I	APPX0207- APPX0222
7 8 9	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion For Relief Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-17 and For Related Relief and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	9.25.20	Vol. III	APPX0639- APPX0649
11 12 13 14	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion In Limine to Preclude The Testimony of Marshall [sic] S. Willick, Esq. and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	9.19.19	Vol. II	APPX0383- APPX0394
15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Add Additional Findings Pursuant to NRCP 52, or Alternatively, Motion For Relief Pursuant to NRCP 60(B)(6) and Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs	6.18.20	Vol. II	APPX0462- APPX0471
21 22 23 24	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Memorandum of Understanding and for Attorney's Fees and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	5.22.19	Vol. II	APPX0302- APPX0311
25 26	Defendant's Pre-Trial Memorandum	6.28.19	Vol. II	APPX0347- APPX0355
27				
		Page 4 of 15		

11				
1 2	Defendant's Rebuttal to Plaintiff's Rebuttal Closing Argument	1.10.22	Vol. III	APPX1490- APPX1515
3	Defendant's Response To Plaintiff's Objection	11.12.21	Vol. VII	APPX1368- APPX1370
5	to Notice of Appearance By audiovisual Trans- mission Filed on Behalf of Shelly Booth Cooley, Esq.			
6 7 8	Emergency Ex Parte Request to Extend Time to File Responsive Closing Argument	12.16.21	Vol. III	APPX1442- APPX1445
9 10 11	Errata to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs and Brunzell Affidavit of Shelley Lubritz, Esq.	2.15.22	Vol. III	APPX1597- APPX1599
12 13 14	and Declaration in Support of Request For An Order	6.11.20	Vol. II	APPX0457- APPX0459
15 16	Ex Parte Motion for Certification Pursuant to NRCP 54(b)	4.23.21	Vol. VI	APPX1100- APPX1102
17 18	Ex Parte Order Shortening Time	1.22.20	Vol. II	APPX0422
19 20 21	Exhibit Appendix In Support of Motion For Relief Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-17 and Other Related Relief	9.04.20	Vol. III	APPX0608- APPX0618
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Exhibit Appendix In Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing (First Request)	10.10.21	Vol. VII	APPX1259- APPX1263
25 26	Final Billing of Transcript	4.7.22	Vol. X	APPX1914
27		Page 5 of 15		

1				
1	Financial Disclosure Form - David	3.22.18	Vol. I	APPX0095- APPX0106
3	Form - Sarah	3.22.18	Vol. I	APPX0107- APPX0117
4	Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	1.31.22	Vol. VIII	APPX1516- APPX1532
6	Minute Order	4.08.20	Vol. II	APPX0439- APPX0440
7 8	Minute Order	6.26.20	Vol. III	APPX0571- APPX0572
9	Minute Order	5.07.21	Vol. VI	APPX1103- APPX1104
10 11 12	Motion for Stay of District Court Orders During Pendency of Appeal	2.25.22	Vol. IX	APPX1644- APPX1651
13 14	Motion to Enforce Memorandum of Understanding and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	5.08.19	Vol. II	APPX0289- APPX0301
15 16 17	Motion to Set Aside the Paragraph Regarding Survivor Benefits in the Decree of Divorce Based Upon Mistake	04.25.18	Vol. I	APPX0188- APPX0197
18 19	Notice of Appeal	2.15.22	Vol. IX	APPX1600- APPX1643
20 21	Notice of Appearance By Audiovisual Transmission Equipment	10.8.21	Vol. VI	APPX1173- APPX1176
22	Notice of Appearance By Audiovisual Transmission Equipment	11.9.21	Vol. VII	APPX1275- APPX1278
24	Notice of Entry of August 6, 2020 Minute Order	9.04.20	Vol. III	APPX0584- APPX0589
26	Notice of Entry of Decree	04.11.18	Vol. I	APPX0123- APPX0187
27		Page 6 of 15		
		A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

11				
1	Notice of Entry of Order	1.17.19	Vol. II	APPX0280- APPX0284
3	Order	1.31.22	Vol. III	APPX1497- APPX1514
4	Notice of Entry of Order	4.19.22	Vol. X	APPX1918- APPX1921
5	(April 8 2020 Minute	5.21.20	Vol. II	APPX0443- APPX0446
8	Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing (April 9, 2021)	6.30.21	Vol. VI	APPX1125- APPX1147
9	Notice of Entry of Order and Withdrawal of Counsel	10.1.18	Vol. I	APPX0227- APPX0233
11 12	Notice of Entry of Order Continuing October 12, 2021 Evidentiary Hearing	10.11.21	Vol. VII	APPX1269- APPX1274
13 14	Notice of Entry of Order From Hearing June 18, 2019	9.09.19	Vol. II	APPX0378- APPX0382
15 16	Notice of Entry of Order From Hearing on October 23, 2019	1.13.20	Vol. II	APPX0407- APPX0410
17 18	Notice of Entry of Order Sealing File	8.26.20	Vol. III	APPX0578- APPX0583
19 20 21	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Evidentiary Hearings (First Request) and Other Deadlines	5.30.19	Vol. II	APPX0315- APPX0319
22	Notice of Hearing	1.15.20	Vol. II	APPX0418
23	Notice of Hearing	6.04.20	Vol. II	APPX0456
24	Notice of Hearing	9.04.20	Vol. III	APPX0619
25	Notice of Hearing	2.17.21	Vol. VI	APPX1073
26	Notice of Hearing	9.27.21	Vol. VI	APPX1162- APPX1163
27		Page 7 of 15		

Page 7 of 15

11.				
1	Notice of Hearing	10.11.21	Vol. VIII	APPX1264- APPX1265
3	Notice of Hearing	3.1.22	Vol. IX	APPX1652- APPX1653
4 5 6	Notice of Non-Opposition and Request to Grant Plaintiff's Motion For Relief Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-17 and For Other Related Relief	9.19.20	Vol. III	APPX0628- APPX0630
7 8 9 10	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment or in the Alternative for New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59(a)(7) and For Attorney's Fees and Costs; Countermotion For Attorney's Fees	10.24.18	Vol. II	APPX0252- APPX0260
12	Order	09.25.18	Vol. I	APPX0223- APPX0226
13 14	Order	1.16.19	Vol. II	APPX0277- APPX0279
15	Order	4.19.22	Vol. X	APPX1915- APPX1917
16 17	Order After Hearing	6.25.21	Vol. VI	APPX1105- APPX1124
18 19	Order Continuing October 12, 2021 Evidentiary Hearing	10.11.21	Vol. VII	APPX1266- APPX1268
20	Order From Hearing On June 18, 2019	9.09.19	Vol. II	APPX0375- APPX0377
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	Order From Hearing on October 23, 2019	1.13.20	Vol. II	APPX0405- APPX0406
23	Order Sealing File	8.26.20	Vol. III	APPX0575- APPX0577
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Order Shortening Time	6.16.20	Vol. II	APPX0460- APPX0461
26	Plaintiff, David John Rose's Pretrial Memorandum	7.01.19	Vol. II	APPX0356- APPX0364
27		Page 8 of 15		

1				
1	Plaintiff's Civil Trial Memoranda	9.23.21	Vol. VI	APPX1148- APPX1161
3	Argument	11.30.21	Vol. VII	APPX1375- APPX1391
4 5 6 7 8	Motion in Limine To Preclude Testimony of Marshall Willick, Esq. and To Preclude Admission of Mr. Willick's December 20, 2018 Report and Opposition to Countermation	10.22.19	Vol. II	APPX0402- APPX0404
9	Plaintiff's Ex Parte Request to Seal File	8.13.20	Vol. III	APPX0574
11 12	Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs and Brunzell Affidavit of Shelley Lubritz, Esq.	2.7.22	Vol. VIII	APPX1551- APPX1594
13 14 15	Plaintiff's Motion For Relief Pursuant to Administrative Order 20-17 and for Other Related Relief	9.04.20	Vol. III	APPX0590- APPX0607
16 17	Plaintiff's Motion in Limine to Preclude the Testimony of Marshall S. Willick, Esq.	9.05.19	Vol. II	APPX0365- APPX0374
18 19 20 21	Plaintiff's Motion to Amend or Add Additional Findings Pursuant to NRCP or, Alternatively, Motion For Relief Pursuant to 60(B)(6)	6.03.20	Vol. II	APPX0447- APPX0455
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Plaintiff's Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing (First Request)	10.10.21	Vol. VII	APPX1248- APPX1258
24 25	***			
26				
27		Page 9 of 15		

	Plaintiff's Objection to Notice of Appearance By Audiovisual Transmission Filed On Behalf of Shelly Booth Cooley, Esq.	11.11.21	Vol. VII	APPX1279- APPX1281
	<ul> <li>Plaintiff's Opposition to         Defendant's Motion For         Judgment Pursuant to NRCP         52(c) or in the Alternative         For Summary Judgment         and Countermotion for         Attorney's Fees and Costs</li> </ul>	3.03.21	Vol. VI	APPX1074- APPX1089
	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial and Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs	1.23.20	Vol. II	APPX0424- APPX0431
	Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion For Stay of District Court Orders During Pendency of Appeal and Counter- Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	3.17.22	Vol. IX	APPX1654- APPX1666
	Plaintiff's Rebuttal Closing Argument	12.27.21	Vol. VIII	APPX1450- APPX1489
	Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion in Limine To Preclude Testimony of Marshall Willick, Esq. and To Preclude Admission of Mr. Willick's December 20, 2018 Report and Opposition to Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Cost	10.07.19	Vol. II	APPX0395- APPX0401
	Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Motion Enforce Memorandum of Understanding and Opposition to Counter- motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	6.02.19	Vol. II	APPX0320- APPX0339
2	7	Page 10 of 15		

- 1				
	Receipt of Documents and Flash Drive	2.19.20	Vol. II	APPX0435
	<ul> <li>Reply To Counterclaim for Divorce</li> </ul>	12.15.17	Vol. I	APPX0028- APPX0031
	Reply To Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Objection to Notice of Appearance by Audio- Visual Transmission Filed on Behalf of Shelly Booth Cooley, Esq.	11.14.21	Vol. VII	APPX1371- APPX1374
	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion For Extension of Time to File Opposition and Opposition to Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs	9.21.20	Vol. III	APPX0631- APPX0638
1	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion For Stay of Court Orders During Pendency of Appeal and Opposition to Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs	4.5.22	Vol. IX	APPX1667- APPX1673
11	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment or in the Alternative For New Trial Pursuant to NRCP 59(a)(7) and For Attorney's Fees and Costs and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	10.30.18	Vol. II	APPX0261- APPX0268
2	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to for Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 52 (c) or in the Alternative For Summary Judgment and Opposition to Counter- motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	3.09.21	Vol. VI	APPX1090- APPX1099
		Page 11 of 15		

Second Amended Trial Subpoena Nexie Rose	1.26.20	Vol. II	APPX0434
Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)	10.09.18	Vol. I	APPX0248
Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)	10.09.18	Vol. II	APPX0251
Stipulated Decree of Divorce	04.11.18	Vol. I	APPX0032- APPX0094
Stipulated Parenting Agreement	10.30.17	Vol. I	APPX0015- APPX0027
Stipulation and Order to Continue Evidentiary Hearing (First Request) and Other Deadlines	5.24.19	Vol. II	APPX0312- APPX0314
Stipulation and Order to Extend Time for Plaintiff to File Closing Argument	12.17.21	Vol. VIII	APPX1446- APPX1449
Submission of Plaintiff's Declaration	2.27.20	Vol. II	APPX0436- APPX0438
Substitution of Attorney	4.28.19	Vol. II	APPX0285- APPX0288
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition and Countermotion For	9.18.20	Vol. III	APPX0620- APPX0627
Attorney's Fees and Costs			
Transcript - 4.9.21	4.7.22	Vol. IX	APPX1674- APPX1696
Transcript - 9.23.21	10.08.21	Vol. VIII	APPX1177- APPX1247
Transcript - 9.23.21	4.7.22	Vol. IX	APPX1697- APPX1842
	Page 12 of 15		
	Subpoena Nexie Rose  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Stipulated Decree of Divorce  Stipulated Parenting Agreement  Stipulation and Order to Continue Evidentiary Hearing (First Request) and Other Deadlines  Stipulation and Order to Extend Time for Plaintiff to File Closing Argument  Submission of Plaintiff's Declaration  Substitution of Attorney  Supplemental Points and Authorities to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition and Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs  Transcript - 4.9.21  Transcript - 9.23.21	Subpoena Nexie Rose  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Stipulated Decree of O4.11.18  Stipulated Parenting Agreement  Stipulation and Order to Continue Evidentiary Hearing (First Request) and Other Deadlines  Stipulation and Order to Extend Time for Plaintiff to File Closing Argument  Submission of Plaintiff's Declaration  Substitution of Attorney  Supplemental Points and Authorities to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition and Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs  Transcript - 4.9.21  Transcript - 9.23.21  10.08.21  Transcript - 9.23.21  4.7.22	Subpoena Nexie Rose  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Statement of Legal Aid Representation (Pursuant to NRS 12.015)  Stipulated Decree of O4.11.18  Stipulated Parenting 10.30.17  Agreement 10.30.17  Stipulation and Order to Continue Evidentiary Hearing (First Request) and Other Deadlines  Stipulation and Order to Extend Time for Plaintiff to File Closing Argument  Submission of Plaintiff's 2.27.20  Submission of Plaintiff's Declaration  Substitution of Attorney 4.28.19  Vol. II  Supplemental Points and Authorities to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition and Countermotion For Attorney's Fees and Costs  Transcript - 4.9.21  Transcript - 9.23.21  10.08.21  Vol. IX  Transcript - 9.23.21  Vol. IX

	ľ.				
	1	Transcript - 11.15.21	4.7.22	Vol. X	APPX1843- APPX1913
	2	Transcript of Proceedings - Vol. I	2.12.21	Vol. V	APPX0768- APPX0941
	4	Transcript of Proceedings - Vol. II	2.12.21	Vol. VI	APPX0942- APPX1072
	5	Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (Excerpt) Thursday, September 23, 2021	11.12.21	Vol. VII	APPX1282- APPX1367
	7	Trial Subpoena - Regina McConnell, Esq.	1.22.20	Vol. II	APPX0423
	9	Trial Subpoena - Regina McConnell, Esq.	10.05.21	Vol. VI	APPX1171- APPX1172
	10 11	Trial Subpoena - Shelly Booth Cooley, Esq.	10.05.21	Vol. VI	APPX1164- APPX1169
	12 13	Trial Subpoena - Nexie Rose	1.26.20	Vol. II	APPX0432
	14				
	15 16				
	17				
-	18				
	19 20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
			Page 13 of 15		

	1	AFFIRMATION						
	2	(Pursuant to NRS 239B.030)						
	3	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding documents						
	4	filed in the above-referenced matter does not contain the social security number						
	5	of any person.						
	6	DATED this 13 day of July, 2022.						
	7	Law Office of Shelley Lubritz, Kainen Law Group, PLLC PLLC						
	8							
	9	By: SHELLEY LUBRAZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5410  By: RACHEAL H. MASTEL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11646						
	10	Attorney for Respondent Attorney for Appellant						
	11							
	12							
p.com	13							
wGrou	14							
пепLа	15	to the second se						
. W.	16							
3	17							
	18							
	19							
	20							
	21							
	22							
	23							
	24							
- 4	25							
	26							
	21	Page 14 of 15						

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>				
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 13th day of July, 2022, I caused				
3	to be served the Joint Appendix to all interested parties as follows:				
4	BY MAIL: Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I caused a true copy thereof to				
5	be placed in the U.S. Mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope, postage fully prepaid				
6	thereon, addressed as follows:				
7	BY CERTIFIED MAIL: I caused a true copy thereof to be placed				
8	in the U.S. Mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope, certified mail, return receipt				
9	requested, postage fully paid thereon, addressed as follows:				
10	BY FACSIMILE: Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, I caused a true copy				
11	thereof to be transmitted, via facsimile, to the following number(s):				
12	X BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: Pursuant to EDCR 7.26 and NEFCR				
13	Rule 9, I caused a true copy thereof to be served via electronic mail, via Wiznet,				
14	to the following e-mail address(es):				
15	shelley@lubritzlawoffice.com				
16	daverose08@gmail.com				
17	KAL				
18	An Employee of				
19	KAINEN LAW GROUP, PLLC				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	D 45 245				
ı	Page 15 of 15				

APR 0.7 2022

TRANS

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

٥

0

DAVID ROSE,

SARAH ROSE,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

10

11

VS.

12

13

14

15

16

3

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA



CASE NO. D-17-547250-D DEPT. I

APPEAL NO. 84295

SEALED

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA DIANNE STEEL, SENIOR JUDGE
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2021
TRANSCRIPT RE: NON-JURY TRIAL

## APPEARANCES: 2 The Plaintiff: DAVID ROSE 3 For the Plaintiff: SHELLEY LUBRITZ, ESQ. 375 East Warm Springs Road Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 5 6 SARAH ROSE The Defendant: RACHEAL H. MASTEL, ESQ. 7 For the Defendant: 3303 Novat Street 8 Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

## INDEX OF WITNESSES

1.5					
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	REBUTTAL WITNESS				
4 5	REGINA MCCONNELL  By Ms. Lubritz  By Ms. Mastel	45	51	58	
6					
7	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES				
8	SHELLY COOLEY  By Ms. Mastel  By Ms. Lubritz	27	32	38	
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15	Land I				
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
1					

D-17-547250-D ROSE 11/15/2021 TRANSCRIPT
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES
601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977 APPX1845

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS

(None offered.)

D-17-547250-D ROSE 11/15/2021 TRANSCRIPT
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES
601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977
APPX1846

## PROCEEDINGS

3

(THE PROCEEDING BEGAN AT 08:58:16.)

THE COURT: Case number 547250. Can I have appearances for the record, please?

MS. LUBRITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Shelley Lubritz, 5410, on behalf of David Rose, who's present.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MASTEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Rachael Mastel,

10 bar number 11646, on behalf of Sarah Rose, who's also

11 present.

12

THE COURT: Thank you.

13

All right. Have a seat everybody. And if we need

14 to, we re-swear anybody who is gonna testify today.

MS. MASTEL: The only person we have left in our case,

16 Your Honor, is Ms. Cooley.

17

THE COURT: Oh, is Ms. -- is she here?

18

MS. MASTEL: No, we had filed for her to participate by 19 audiovisual; and then I believe the middle of last week, Ms.

20 Lubritz filed her objection.

21

THE COURT: And so does she have a BlueJeans thing yet or

22 anything?

MS. MASTEL: I believe she does. But I can...

23

THE COURT: Why can't she come in -- why does she have to

25 be here in person?

MS. LUBRITZ: Well, a couple of reasons, Your Honor. And 2 I don't know if you had a chance to look at ... 3 THE COURT: No, I just saw 'em just now. MS. LUBRITZ: So what happened is on the 9th, Ms. Mastel 5 filed this notice. On the 11th, I filed my objection. She filed an opposition on the 12th, and then I filed a response. The issue is as Ms. Mastel indicated to the Court prior to 8 the first trial that there's all kinds of issues when it 9 comes to body language, testimony, showing appearing 10 connectivity, et cetera, that there's an issue with it when 11 we are in trial. My big thing is that she's a material witness. 12 13 She's one of the main witnesses. I need to be able to 14 interact with her. I need to be able to show her documents. 15 And I also need the Court, candidly, to look at her body 16 language and her nonverbal cues which is ... THE COURT: So when you filed your motion of objection on 17 18 11/11, did you not find a cause to do some order shortening 19 |time? MS. LUBRITZ: No, Your Honor, not for something like

this. She's the one who drafted the decree of divorce, 22 respectfully.

THE COURT: All right.

23

24

MS. LUBRITZ: She hasn't given a reason or she doesn't 25 have -- we don't know if she has a conflict. She's not given

```
1 a reason as to why she can't be here. And I think that my
2 client will be prejudiced by the Court not being able to see
  her and interact with her and see her testimony. This is
4 gonna be about credibility because we have polar opposite --
  we have polar opposite lawyer -- you know, opinions and about
  what happened. And I think that the Court respectfully needs
7 to be able to observe Ms. Cooley.
             I also think, Judge, that filing a notice six days
8
9 before trial without asking for permission, you ordered us to
  be here in person. Ms. Mastel last time when I put the
  citation in my response to her -- my reply to her response
  said, Judge, it was ordered that we be here in person.
           And I just, I think that it is unduly prejudicial
13
  to my client. She's -- she's the one who drafted the
  decree . . .
16
       THE COURT: Mm-hm.
17
       MS. LUBRITZ: ... where the provision wound up.
18
       THE COURT: Okay.
       MS. LUBRITZ: And I think she needs to be here in person.
19
20
  We're here.
       THE COURT: Ms. Mastel.
21
       MS. MASTEL: Your Honor, I think there cannot be a less
22
  impeachable witness than an attorney. I would've been
23
```

equally ...

THE COURT: Well ...

24

25

MS. MASTEL: I would've been ...

THE COURT: Okay.

13

20

MS. MASTEL: ...equally accepting of Ms. McConnell appearing via video if she had wanted to. There are extra ethical rules that apply to attorney's testimony and their candor to the Court.

Furthermore, Your Honor, the notice filed on the 6th was not the no- first notice in this case. There was 9 also a notice filed October 8th prior to original trial date -- or original second day of trial. We also notified the Court on the 23rd that Ms. Cooley would prefer to appear by 12 audiovisual. And both of those were done without objection.

The Court's reason for not allowing it on the 23rd 14 was because there wasn't time to set it up. Then there was 15 two notices that were filed of (indiscernible) over a months 16 notice that this objection would could have been filed in. 17 Ms. Cooley is not required to disclose her reasons to this 18 Court for her need or desire to appear by audio visual 19 equipment.

Again the -- I think, you know, it's -- it's 21 specious to file an objection, you know, this close to trial 22 claiming that, well, we couldn't do it beforehand because we didn't get the notice until November 9th, when there was a 24 notice filed October twel- 8th, as well. That could have 25 been objected to and was not.

```
As a matter of fact, Ms. Cooley and I had discussed
  continuing this trial. And ultimately she filed a motion,
   and we did stipulate to continue it. And at no time during
   that time did she object to Ms. Cooley appearing by audio
   visual equipment. Ms. Cooley has her reasons.
             If the Court wants to, off the record, have a
6
   discussion with her and she's willing to disclose them, I
   will get her on the phone. But I don't think it's necessary
   for a -- an attorney, in particular, to appear in person.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Your Honor, if I may, October ...
10
        THE COURT: I'm trying to find out where there was the
11
   order to appear in person.
13
      MS. LUBRITZ: Very well, Your Honor.
14
        THE COURT: Because I don't know that I -- I issued it.
15
   It could be that Judge Bailey did when she set it...
    MS. MASTEL: I think ...
16
       THE COURT: ... for trial.
17
18
       MS. MASTEL: ... the trial itself was set to be an in-
   person trial.
20
        THE COURT: Okay. So Judge ...
21
       MS. MASTEL: And we are here.
22
        THE COURT: Okay.
23
       MS. MASTEL: But there was no order that all witnesses
   must appear in person.
25
        MS. LUBRITZ: Well ...
```

```
THE COURT: Okay.
      MS. LUBRITZ: ... I can only tell you that - and I gave
2
   the citation in my reply - Ms. Mastel said, it's ordered.
  And that was when she last minute got a notification from Mr.
   Willick and Ms. Cooley that they wanted to appear via
   BlueJeans.
7
       THE COURT: Right. That was like a ...
8
       MS. LUBRITZ: Right.
9
       THE COURT: I remember. I had to deny it ...
       MS. LUBRITZ: And she ...
10
       THE COURT: ... because I didn't have ...
11
12
       MS. MASTEL: Right.
13
       THE COURT: ...time.
       MS. LUBRITZ: And she said it's ordered. Regarding the
14
  October 8th, I was in a high-impact rear end collision
   October 7th. I did not see -- I was out of the office for
  quite a period of time.
        And in the transcript of -- of one of -- part of
18
  the proceedings, there was no way of knowing if she was going
  to be testifying or not because Ms. Mastel said possibly. So
   for Ms. Mastel to argue that I should have known that there
  was a conflict with counsel's calendar or other reasons why
23
  she chooses not to be here, I have no way of knowing that.
24
            But respectfully, Your Honor, as counsel, as
```

25 officers of the court, we have a greater obligation to comply

```
with the court's mandates, directives and orders. And the
   order was and the directive was, you must appear here.
       THE COURT: Okay.
        MS. LUBRITZ: You can't -- I can't just simply file a
   notice of appearance of audiovisual.
        THE COURT: Have you deposed this witness?
       MS. LUBRITZ: I'm sorry.
8
        THE COURT: Have you deposed this witness? Did you...
       MS. MASTEL: No.
10
        THE COURT: ...depose this witness?
11
        MS. LUBRITZ: No. Your Honor.
12
       THE COURT: Okay. All right.
       MS. LUBRITZ: This is -- this is gonna be the first
13
   time ...
15
     THE COURT: Okay.
    MS. LUBRITZ: ...she's before the Court.
16
17
       THE COURT: Okay.
18
       MS. LUBRITZ: She has a lot to lose, Judge.
19
       THE COURT: Okay. I understand that. I'm gonna permit
20 her to be here by BlueJeans today, though, because we've set
  this for this hearing. There was no alternative motion to
  continue this hearing. And for us to show up at 9:00 and I'm
23 just now getting this information that she wants to appear by
24 phone and you object and an op- response and a reply, all
  this stuff should have been immediately transmitted to me so
```

```
I could make a determination so that the witness would be
   here absent an order that she appear in person.
             So I'm gonna permit it.
 3
 4
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. We're ...
 5
        THE COURT: I -- I -- I note your objection.
6
        MS. LUBRITZ: And respectfully, Your Honor, that was not
7
   my job to do that. That may have been counsel's ...
        THE COURT: Fine.
8
        MS. LUBRITZ: ...job to ...
        THE COURT: I...
10
11
        MS. LUBRITZ: ...let you know.
12
      THE COURT: I note your objection. And I don't care
  whose job it was to do what. But we are here. This is the
  last day of trial. This case has been going on for five
   years. How long has it been going on? A long time.
16
        MS. LUBRITZ: Four years, Your Honor.
17
        MS. MASTEL: Four years.
        THE COURT: So.
18
19
        MS. LUBRITZ: I can't even see -- I can't even see her.
20
        THE COURT: Well, then I'll let you reposition yourself
21
   from over here if you like.
22
        MS. LUBRITZ: Can we move my table? I mean...
23
        THE COURT: You can't move your table.
24
        MS. LUBRITZ: ... I have a lot. I...
25
        THE COURT: We'll do the best we can.
```

```
MS. LUBRITZ: I will renew my objection. I know what
1
   Your Honor's decision is gonna be.
        THE COURT: Thank you.
3
        MS. MASTEL: Your Honor, I've just contacted Ms. Cooley.
5
   She said she did not receive the link. So if the clerk can
   give me the -- do you have the ...
     THE CLERK: Do you have the e-mail, I can send it to her?
       MS. MASTEL: Yes, I can -- hold that (indiscernible).
     THE CLERK: Or I can give you the -- the -- whatever's
   easier.
10
      MS. MASTEL: If you just want to give it to me then I can
11
   just text it to her.
13
        THE CLERK: The meeting ID is 560...
        MS. MASTEL: 560.
14
       THE CLERK: ...123...
15
       MS. MASTEL: 123.
16
       THE CLERK: ...063.
17
18
        MS. MASTEL: 063.
        THE CLERK: And the participant pass code is 0227
19
20
        MS. MASTEL: 0227. All right.
        THE COURT: Just as a practice tip, if you've got a
21
   senior judge, not just me, sitting on an important issue, you
   should call the JEA and make sure that that judge is
  immediately notified of what's going on. I'm -- I'm just
25
  saying.
```

```
MS. LUBRITZ: Yeah.
1
2
        THE COURT: Just a practice tip.
       MS. LUBRITZ: I just never imagined that an attorney
3
   could just say, I don't want to show up. So I'm gonna file a
   notice of appearance.
        THE COURT: Yeah.
6
        MS. LUBRITZ: Which is why I didn't bring it be- before
7
   the Court.
8
9
        THE COURT: Okay.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Mr. Marshal, would you be able to turn it a
10
   little bit more for me?
        THE COURT: If I find that I'm struggling, I will revisit
12
   this Ms. Mastel. If I find I'm struggling to ...
13
       MS. MASTEL: I understand, Your Honor.
      THE COURT: ... observe her demeanor and one thing and
15
   another. If I feel uncomfortable or that I need more ...
       MS. MASTEL: I understand, Your Honor.
17
18
       THE COURT: All right.
      MS. LUBRITZ: And -- and we don't know when we put
19
   exhibits in front of her, we don't know what she's looking
   at. We don't know if there's notations, Judge.
22
        THE COURT: Okay.
        MS. LUBRITZ: There's a lot of concerns.
23
24
        THE COURT: All right.
        MS. MASTEL: She should be logging -- logging in
25
```

```
momentarily now.
        MS. LUBRITZ: And clearly the provisions were not made or
  she would've had it when it was sent to her.
       MS. MASTEL: Your Honor, the notice is with the -- with
5
   the...
        THE COURT: She's got a link over here.
        MS. LUBRITZ: What?
7
        THE COURT: So it doesn't ...
        MS. LUBRITZ: I never got a copy of the link. And
10 normally they go out to everybody.
11
        THE COURT: Okay.
12
    MS. LUBRITZ: So.
13
     MS. MASTEL: The notice is...
14
       THE COURT: I am - I'm ...
15
        MS. MASTEL: ...the appropriate way...
       THE COURT: I'm without ...
16
17
       MS. MASTEL: ...under the...
18
        THE COURT: ...power on any of ...
19
        MS. MASTEL: ...administrative orders.
        THE COURT: ... these particular issues today except for
20
   continuing this out yet again. And we're coming to the end
   of this year. I'd like to get it done.
23
        MS. LUBRITZ: Absolutely.
        MS. MASTEL: This is our last witness, Your Honor, so.
24
25
        THE COURT: Okay.
```

MS. MASTEL: There she is. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Cooley, can you hear us okay? 2 MS. COOLEY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And is there any possibility that you could make it down here to court instead of appearing by phone or by JAV- BlueJeans? MS. COOLEY: If necessary, I would. THE COURT: There's some concern they're gonna be showing 9 documents and evidence that have been admitted or not, as the 10 case may be. And they have no way of knowing what you're observing because there's no one there to make sure that 12 vou're looking at what we're looking at. 13 MS. COOLEY: Okay. 14 THE COURT: How -- how soon do you think you could get 15 here? 16 MS. COOLEY: Probably 30 minutes. THE COURT: Okay. And -- and I'm not -- I mean, do you -- are you free to do that for -- to come in? 18 19 MS. COOLEY: Yes, I could if necessary. THE COURT: Yeah, I think it's gonna be more clean if you 20 do because there's gonna be a lot of objections. I don't 22 want this case to go on further than it needs to go on. I already said you could appear by phone, but why -- why risk those appealable issues? So I'd rather have you here if 25 that's all right.

```
MS. COOLEY: I understand.
        THE COURT: Because I'm hearing -- I'm hearing appeal all
   over this place, so. It's gonna appeal anyway. But I don't
   want it to appeal on this.
        MS. COOLEY: Okay.
        THE COURT: Okay? All right.
        MS. COOLEY: All right.
        THE COURT: We'll see you here in 30 minutes. We'll take
   a recess.
10
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
11
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. We do have a ...
12
        MS. COOLEY: Okay. I will head over.
13
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14
        MS. LUBRITZ: We do have a housekeeping question...
15
        MS. COOLEY: Thank you.
        MS. LUBRITZ: ... Your Honor.
16
17
       THE COURT: Yeah.
        MS. LUBRITZ: I -- I would ask that we be allowed to file
18
   a -- a written or submit a written closing. The reason that
20 I'm doing that ...
21
        THE COURT: Well, we're already gonna do that. So don't
   even waste your breath.
23
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
        THE COURT: These are legal issues, for the most part.
24
25
        MS. LUBRITZ: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: There are some tan- intangibles that have to
2 do with testimony and -- and demeanor and one thing or the
  other as to what happened that fatal day. But there are two
4 things that I'm gonna specifically ask you guys to address.
       One of you is going to address why contract works.
6 And the other one is gonna say why contract doesn't apply in
7 this case, rules of, you know, contract law. And then one of
8 you is gonna say, you know, I don't know, meeting of the
9 minds or whatever on the contract stuff. But on the request
10 to have this be his property even though it was in the decree
Il and then it's not community property, I gues's that's gonna be
12 on you, counsel.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Yes, Your Honor.
13
14
       THE COURT: And the other side to prove that it is
15 community property.
16
       MS. MASTEL: Yes, Your Honor.
       THE COURT: And I don't care what Marshal Willick said.
17
18 I think that there's gotta be some way for PERS to -- to
19 resolve this issue so that...
20
       MS. MASTEL: Your Honor, if ...
        THE COURT: ... the portion of the time ...
21
        MS. MASTEL: ...if that's the...
22
        THE COURT: ... when they were married.
23
       MS. LUBRITZ: I'm sorry. I can't hear, Your Honor, over
24
25 Ms. Mastel. And we do want a clean record.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. I -- I just think that there needs to
  be some sort of impetus to get the PERS on board with they
  have a computer. They can do the math easy now. So why are
   we tying up somebody's rest of their life for this segment of
   their life where there's not going to be a joint community
  beyond today. So some -- just some little things that you
   guys need to ...
7
8
        MS. LUBRITZ: Sure.
        MS. MASTEL: If -- if that's the case ...
9
        THE COURT: I just want you guys to address it.
10
        MS. MASTEL: I -- I understand. But to the extent you
11
   want proof -- information about how PERS does the system,
   excluding Marshal Willick's ...
        THE COURT: I'm not excluding it. I'm just saying ...
14
       MS. MASTEL: Oh.
15
        THE COURT: ... I don't care what he said.
16
        MS. MASTEL: Right.
17
        THE COURT: I think there should be a different way to do
18
19
  lit.
20
        MS. MASTEL: Well ...
        THE COURT: He said the law. I'm not...
21
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
        THE COURT: ... disputing that.
23
24
        MS. LUBRITZ: Right.
        MS. MASTEL: I'm just -- I'm just saying does -- does
25
```

```
Your Honor want something from PERS stating that they will or
   they won't?
        MS. LUBRITZ: Your Honor, it's ....
        MS. MASTEL: As...
        MS. LUBRITZ: ...too late for that.
        MS. MASTEL: Well...
7
        THE COURT: Well, it's kind of too late for that.
        MS. MASTEL: ...under NRCP...
        THE COURT: The law is the law according to PERS.
        MS. MASTEL: Under -- under NRCP...
10
11
        THE COURT: Here's how laws change.
12
        MS. MASTEL: ... 59 AND 60.
        THE COURT: Here's how laws change. Judges do stupid
13
  things. It goes up on appeal. And the supreme court says,
   oh, what a great idea; or how terrible is that judge?
        MS. MASTEL: Well, this is ...
16
        THE COURT: That's how laws change.
17
        MS. MASTEL: This is a legislative issue, Your Honor.
18
19
        THE COURT: Yes, it is.
        MS. MASTEL: And so I'm just asking under NRCP 59...
20
        THE COURT: Every issue in front of me is a legislative
21
22 lissue because they've passed every statute that I have to
23 deal with.
       MS. MASTEL: Under -- under NRCP 59 and NRCP 60, Your
24
25 Honor is allowed to de- to receive evidence at the close
```

```
I trial or after the close of trial before submitting a
2 decision if the Court finds that information necessary and
  not produced in discovery.
        THE COURT: Very good.
       MS. MASTEL: So I'm asking if the Court would like some
  statement from PERS or if Mr. Willick's testimony is
7 sufficient for the Court to make it's ruling.
       THE COURT: I -- I would like that information from
  PERS...
       MS. MASTEL: Okay.
10
       THE COURT: ... if you can get it. I don't think you can.
11
       MS. LUBRITZ: Well, respectfully, Your Honor...
12
       THE COURT: Share it with Ms. Cooley.
13
       MS. LUBRITZ: ...then I need to be able to hire an expert
14
15 to come in and say something. I mean, this is -- they've
16 known that this is has been an ...
     THE COURT: You guys are already eating up enough
17
  attorney fees.
            Maybe not you because you're pro bono.
19
   MS. MASTEL: Well, it's time.
20
      THE COURT: To -- to swallow every bit of money that's
21
22
   coming from this benefit.
      MS. LUBRITZ: Hones- respectfully, Your Honor, he hasn't
23
   gotten a bill from me in two years.
        THE COURT: Well, okay.
25
```

```
MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. Because he can't afford this
 litigation that she's getting for free, which has been part
  of the argument. So with all due respect...
       THE COURT: I'm just saying.
       MS. LUBRITZ: I'm not trying to suck up a dollar.
5
       THE COURT: I just want -- I'm not -- I'm not s- I'm not
  accusing anybody of that.
    MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
       THE COURT: I just want this thing to be resolved.
       MS. LUBRITZ: I get it, Your Honor. But here's the
11 lissue.
    THE COURT: And I need to be able to make a clear
13 decision.
   MS. MASTEL: Mm-hm.
     THE COURT: And the decision is going to be contract law
15
16 or not and it's going to be PERS provisions, community
17 property, omitted asset. But it wasn't omitted. I mean,
18 there's a lot of stuff you guys have got to put in your
19 closing arguments.
       MS. LUBRITZ: And there's a distinction in this case
21 between PERS and whether SBP is actually community property.
22 And that's never been decided by the Nevada Supreme Court.
       THE COURT: Correct. So that's gonna be on you. Isn't
23
24 | it?
   MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. Absolutely.
25
```

THE COURT: Okay. MS. LUBRITZ: But here's my other concern, Your Honor, is -- I -- I think that it is not proper. They've known about 4 this case for three years. They've been on this case longer 5 than I have, by a year; and to come in and say, now all of 6 the sudden we're gonna get something from PERS to present to 7 Your Honor when I haven't had a chance to cross-examine it, 8 to me is -- is a problem. THE COURT: Counsel, save your breath and get ready to 10 file a motion once you receive the document if you need to 11 reopen your case. MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you. And that would allow me, if I 13 need to reopen it, to have someone else come in and testify 14 about it. THE COURT: I don't know what it's gonna allow you to do 15 16 until I see a motion. MS. LUBRITZ: Understood. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: You guys get your attorneys in -- under 18 19 control. I'm serious. We could go on -- we could go on 20 forever. And I -- I can suck up tax dollars, so. MS. LUBRITZ: Understood. 21 MS. MASTEL: Your -- and -- and just to be clear, Your 23 Honor, if the Court doesn't believe that information is 24 necessary and useful, I won't get it. I agree discovery is

25 closed.

```
THE COURT: Mm-hm.
        MS. MASTEL: I'm asking because of the Court's statement
   as to what, you know, that PERS should be able to do this, if
4 it would be beneficial to the Court to have that statement
  from PERS. I had Mr. Willick testify. Ms. Lubritz had an
  opportunity to (indiscernible) ...
        THE COURT: He was very clear. I understand...
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
        THE COURT: ... the law. I appreciate the clarity he
10 brought to the Court on that issue.
11
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
        THE COURT: I don't like it. That's all.
12
        MS. MASTEL: I don't like it either. But okay. So I
13
14 then I won't seek PERS information if the Court doesn't feel
15
   that ...
16
        THE COURT: Yeah, I...
17
      MS. MASTEL: Okav.
       THE COURT: ...don't do that.
18
19
        MS. MASTEL: That's fine.
20
        THE COURT: I want to get these people ...
21
        MS. MASTEL: I agree.
22
        THE COURT: ...totally out of court.
23
        MS. LUBRITZ: Absolutely, Judge.
        THE COURT: Totally out of court. And as I said earlier,
24
25 this is going to go up.
```

MS. MASTEL: Mm-hm.

THE COURT: One side or the other is going to lose and the other side is going to go up. And you know why? Because Nevada needs these laws.

I went to a seminar in California - I'll just take 6 a moment - about three years ago. And they had the family 7 law section outbreak of new law or new case law for family 8 court in California. And they started the hearing off with, now we're not gonna go into all 300 cases that have been 10 decided by our supreme court on family law.

We have 300 cases from the dawn of time. They have 300 cases from the previous year.

MS. LUBRITZ: Right.

11

13

15

16

20

21

25

14 THE COURT: We don't have a lot of case law ...

MS. LUBRITZ: Correct.

THE COURT: ...that defines a lot of the little fine-17 tuning stuff, basically because people can't afford to go to 18 the appellate court. So they have to do a -- they have to 19 live with a bad decision if I make a bad one.

MS. LUBRITZ: Right.

THE COURT: And a lot of people think that I did. 22 have to live with a bad decision because you can't go to the 23 appellate court because you can't afford it. So our families 24 are just like, nowhere.

MS. MASTEL: Honestly, I think the timing issue part of

```
1 the appellate court was the intermediate court was also a
  large portion of it because I'm seeing in my practice I'm
   taking ...
        THE COURT: Yeah.
        MS. MASTEL: ...more appeals on a regular basis because
 5
   that's hopefully some day going to be the bulk of my
   practice.
8
        THE COURT: I understand.
9
        MS. MASTEL: So ...
10
        THE COURT: But ...
       MS. MASTEL: And I just got back from...
11
12
        THE COURT: But we needed more case law.
        MS. MASTEL: I agree.
13
        THE COURT: You know. We could go off the record for now
14
15 because we're not doing anything else until she...
        (Whereupon the matter was trailed at
16
        09:18:20 and recalled at 09:49:04.)
17
        THE CLERK: On the record, Your Honor.
18
        THE COURT: Sorry. Back on the record. We are resuming
19
   our hearing from previously where we took a recess. Everyone
   is still here that was here previously. And we have our
21
   witness now. So you guys can have a seat.
             If you'll remain standing and take the oath.
23
        THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you're
24
25 about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole
```

```
truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
       MS. COOLEY: Yes.
        THE CLERK: Thank you.
3
        THE COURT: You can have a seat.
4
5
       MS. COOLEY: Thank you.
        THE COURT: Counsel, proceed.
6
7
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
                           SHELLY COOLEY,
8
9
           having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
10
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MS. MASTEL:
11
            Could you state your name for the record, Shelly?
12
13
          Shelly Cooley, C-O-O-L-E-Y.
            Thank you. And can you tell me, kind of walk me
14
   through the day of the mediation in this case that occurred,
   I believe, it was March 17th, 2018.
17
        MS. LUBRITZ: Twenty (indiscernible).
          BY MS. MASTEL: 2017?
18
             I thought it was March 23rd.
19
20
        MS. LUBRITZ: (Indiscernible).
       Q MS. MASTEL: Okay.
21
            2018.
22
        A
            Yes. Can you walk me through the events of that
23
  day?
24
25
            Yes, as best as I recall.
```

Okay.

9

11

15

18

19

20

21

22

We arrived for the mediation. I believe it started around 9:00 because that's when most of them start in the 4 morning. So we went to the mediation. And we were discussing issues with Rhonda Forsberg. She was our settlement judge. And we were working on issues, mostly separately. And while we were working on issues, I was working on a draft decree.

And Ms. Forsberg would come in and out addressing issues. And I would revise the -- the document as we were going. At one point, she put us all in a room together. And 12 | we discussed additional issues. And Ms. Forsberg said that 13 she had to catch a flight. So she would have to stop the 14 mediation at a certain time.

MS. LUBRITZ: Your Honor, I would object that we had this 16 hearsay objection previously. Anything that Ms. Forsberg 17 said was not admissible. So I object as to hearsay and ask that it be stricken.

THE COURT: True.

So you can't repeat what Ms. Forsberg told you. THE WITNESS: Okay.

It was my understanding that we had to stop working with the settlement judge at a certain time because she was 24 no longer available. But we had most of the issues resolved 25 so we could stay there and keep negotiating because there was

I staff present. So when Ms. Forberg -- Forsberg left, we 2 continued negotiating issues. And I continued working on the decree. And at some point probably, it was in the -- in the 5 late afternoon, my computer died. And I forgot to bring my charger with me. So we went to another office so that I 7 could finish drafting the decree because we had all issues resolved. BY MS. MASTEL: Whose office did you go to? 10 Pecos Law Group. Okay. And then what happened after you got to 11 12 Pecos Law Group? We finalized the decree. While we were finalizing 13 14 the decree -- well, after we had finalized the decree, Regina 15 McConnell and I went through the -- the decree a few time 16 from start to finish to make sure it was what we had agreed 17 upon in the settlement conference. Once she and I both agreed that it was final, we 18 19 printed it out. I reviewed it with my client and signed it. 20 | I gave the original to Regina. And she provided the original back to me with her -- her and her client's signature on it. Okay. Now when you -- let's see. When did you 22 23 sign the memorandum of understanding? Prior to Ms. Forsberg leaving. 24 Okay. So just to -- to be clear for the Court, you 25

```
1 signed the MOU. But you continued ...
       MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, Your Honor, it's leading. You
   can tell already.
       THE COURT: She's -- she's restating something for the
  Court.
       MS. MASTEL: Correct.
       Q BY MS. MASTEL: So you signed the MOU before Ms.
7
  Forsberg left. But your testimony was that you continued
  your negotiating at Ms. Forsberg's office afterwards,
10 | correct?
       A That is correct.
11
       Q Do you recall going through the pension division
12
13 | with Ms. McConnell that day?
14
    A Yes.
       Q Okay. Now did you and Ms. McConnell have any
15
16 discussions after signing of the decree of any other date
17
  regarding a pension division?
            Yes, we did.
18
       A
         Can you detail that communication for the Court?
19
       A Yes, the settlement conference was on a Friday. So
20
21 I believe it was on the following Monday which would have
  been the -- the 26th. I receive a phone call from Regina.
23 And she said, my client changed his mind. We are unwilling
24 to agree to the -- the option selection. And I said, well,
  we have a signed decree. This is what we agreed to in the
```

```
settlement conference. I -- I'm going to submit the decree.
  And she asked if I could give her a few days to get her
   client back on board.
4
       0
           Okay.
5
          And I said, of course.
           Okay. What do you -- was there any communication
6
   after that time?
           I believe that I sent her an e-mail asking what the
  status of her communications with her client was. She said
10 that she was still working on it. I gave her a deadline and
  told her that if I did not hear from her one way or the
  other, I would be submitting the decree on a certain date.
  It was -- it was a Friday.
14
            Okay. Did she respond to that e-mail?
            She did not.
15
            And what happened with the decree?
16
17
            I submitted it to the Court. The Court adopted it.
       A
  And I served notice of entry after it had been file stamped.
          Do you recall how long after you submitted the
19
   decree it was signed?
21
      A
          I do not.
       MS. MASTEL: Okay. I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
22
23
       THE COURT: Thank you.
```

MS. LUBRITZ: I need the Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Sure.

24

2

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MS. LUBRITZ:

Q So it's your testimony as I understand it that after signing the memorandum of understanding, Ms. Forsberg left her office; and you, Ms. McConnell and the parties stayed at her office and negotiated further?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. And it's further my understanding from your testimony -- strike that. And it is also your testimony that this subsequent negotiation resulted in your client being granted survivor benefits option 2 to Mr. Rose's PERS. Is that your testimony?

MS. MASTEL: Objection, vague.

MS. LUBRITZ: I'm sorry. She can tell me if it's -- if

16 | it's...

14

18

23

25

MS. MASTEL: It -- it's a...

MS. LUBRITZ: She can tell me, Judge.

19 THE COURT: I understand. If -- if she needs...

20 MS. MASTEL: Okay.

THE COURT: ...further -- if she doesn't understand it,

22 she can...

O BY MS. LUBRITZ: Do you understand ...

24 THE COURT: ...express that for herself.

Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: ...what I'm asking? I-- I'll

```
rephrase. I'll strike. I -- I'll withdraw and rephrase.
2
        THE COURT: I guess you've got three or four things...
3
        THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm ...
        THE COURT: ...in the same sentence. So it's ...
5
        THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure...
        THE COURT: ...a little convoluted.
6
        MS. LUBRITZ: I can't -- I'm sorry. I couldn't hear,
8
   Your Honor.
        THE COURT: A little convoluted.
10
       MS. LUBRITZ: Yeah, absolutely.
11
        THE COURT: So take baby steps.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Yeah, I'll break it up.
12
        Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: So it's your testimony the
13
14 | negotiations that you testified -- that's (indiscernible)
15 already. You testified that the negotiations subsequent to
16 the signing of the MOU resulted in Ms. Rose being awarded
17 | irrevocable survivor benefits option 2 to my client's PERS.
18 Is that your testimony?
19
             Yes.
            And it is also your testimony, as I understood it,
20
   that you drafted or began drafting the decree of divorce
22
   during the mediation period.
       A That is correct.
23
        Q Did you use your laptop -- strike that. You didn't
24
25 use your laptop to finish the decree of divorce, correct?
```

```
A
            That is correct.
            You utilized another computer, correct?
            Correct.
3
        A
            And that was to complete the decree that you
5
   started on your laptop, correct.
            Correct.
6
       A
7
            And your laptop just died without any -- it just
   died on you, right? Yes or no?
9
       A No. It -- it ...
10
           Yes or no?
11
            The battery -- no.
          And it is your testimony that Ms. McConnell
12
  returned the original decree of divorce to you and that you
  filed the original and not a copy. Is that correct?
       A That is my recollection.
15
          Okay. That's not how you testified a moment ago.
16
  You testified in the affirmative. Now you're saying that's
  your recollection. So you're not certain that you filed the
  original, correct? Yes or no?
20
            Yes.
       A
            You're not certain, correct.
21
            That is correct. Now that you raised that issue,
22
23
   yes.
            Okay. So it -- if it refreshes your recollection,
24
  Ms. McConnell retained the original decree of divorce;
```

```
correct?
     MS. MASTEL: Objection.
       O BY MS. LUBRITZ: Yes or no?
       MS. MASTEL: That's not refreshing recollection.
       MS. LUBRITZ: I'll withdraw the question and I'll ask --
  well, you don't know ...
       THE COURT: Ask it in a different way.
       MS. LUBRITZ: ...that because she hasn't testified as
8
  such.
    MS. MASTEL: Counsel can't provide a -- a statement as a
10
  means of refresh-
12
     MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
       MS. MASTEL: ... refreshing recollection.
13
       MS. LUBRITZ: I'll withdraw.
14
15
       THE COURT: Okay.
       Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: Ms. McConnell retained the original
16
  decree correct? Yes or no?
17
     A I don't know. I -- I don't recall whether -- I --
18
19 I was under the impression that I kept the original and
20 submitted that to the court. But when you questioned me
21 about it further, I -- I -- I'm not 100-percent certain that
22 I retained the original and that that's what I submitted to
23 the court.
      Q So it is -- it is Ms. McConnell advised you that
   she missed the inclusion of the survivor benefit provision in
```

```
the decree
      MS. MASTEL: Objection.
2
            BY MS. LUBRITZ: ...when she...
3
4
       MS. MASTEL: Misstates testimony.
       THE COURT: You can ask her a yes or no question. Some
5
   of the questions that you're asking are trying to put
   testimony in her mouth.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
8
        Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: Yes or no, Ms. McConnell contacted
   you prior to you submitting the decree of divorce and
  indicated that there was an issue that needed to be resolved;
11
   correct? Yes or no?
12
       MS. MASTEL: Objection, vague.
13
       THE COURT: I don't think it's vague.
14
             You may answer the question.
15
        THE WITNESS: No.
16
        Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: You testified moments ago that Ms.
17
  McConnell -- okay. Strike that. So your testimony is -- you
  filed -- submitted the decree of divorce for filing over the
  objection of Ms. McConnell, correct?
     MS. MASTEL: Objection.
21
     THE WITNESS: No.
22
       MS. MASTEL: Misstates testimony.
        THE COURT: Sustained. She's already writ- answered the
24
   question (indiscernible).
```

MS. LUBRITZ: Court's indulgence. 1 2 BY MS. LUBRITZ: What brought about this subsequent renegot- or negotiation? 4 MS. MASTEL: Objection, vague. Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: After the decree of div- I'm sorry. 5 After the MOU was prepared and signed by all parties, what prompted further negotiations? We hadn't resolved all issues. But we had resolved most issues. Q It doesn't say that in the -- in the MOU, does it? 10 11 I don't recall. A 12 Q Okay. I'm gonna... MS. LUBRITZ: If I may approach, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Certainly. MS. MASTEL: Your Honor, both witness books are up on the 15 16 stand. BY MS. LUBRITZ: If you would please turn to in the 17 smaller notebook. A Okay. 19 Q If you would please turn to Exhibit A. Please take a moment. Take a look at that document, and tell me if you 21 know what it is. A Yes, it is the mem- memorandum of understanding. 23 Q Would you please take a look at it and advise me as 24 25 to whether this is the only memorandum of understanding that

```
I was signed resulting from the March 23rd, 2018, mediation?
       A Yes, this was the only memorandum of understanding
  that we signed.
    O If you would look please, starting on the fifth
  line and the first paragraph and follow along.
       A Okay.
            The memorandum addresses the material terms of the
  agreement and is intended to bind the parties to those terms.
9 Did I read that correctly?
10
      A Yes.
11
   Would you consider survivor benefits to be a
12 material term?
           I would.
13
       A
         And it's not included in the MOU, correct?
14
           Correct.
15
       A
       MS. LUBRITZ: I have nothing further of this witness.
16
      MS. MASTEL: Just a few questions.
17
18
                        REDIRECT EXAMINATION
  BY MS. MASTEL:
19
20
    Q Ms. Cooley...
           Would you like me to close the ...
21
       THE COURT: Yes.
           BY MS. MASTEL: It doesn't matter.
23
24
       A
            Okay.
           You can leave it open. When the MOU says it binds
25
```

1 the parties to the material terms, is it your understanding that that means every material term?

No, it's the terms that are specifically listed in the MOU.

Q Were there things other than the survivor benefits that needed to be negotiated afterwards?

A Yes.

MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, Your Honor, that exceeds the 9 scope of my cross.

MS. MASTEL: She referenced whether or not these were the 11 material terms. I think it's appropriate or me to ask a 12 single question asking if there were other material terms 13 they negotiated. We've discussed the fact that there were 14 further negotiations.

MS. LUBRITZ: That -- that was not my -- that was not my 16 question. My question was very specifically. Is -- it says 17 what it says. I read it. And she agreed that it says that 18 it addresses the material terms of the agreement. And then I 19 asked if SBPs were considered a material term. She said, yes. I then stopped my questioning at that point. I did not ask about any terms that were negotiated or needed to be. Counsel could have done that on her direct examination. It exceeds the scope.

THE COURT: (Indiscernible).

MS. MASTEL: Okay.

23

24

```
1
        THE COURT: You're exceeding the scope.
        MS. MASTEL: No further questions, Your Honor.
3
        MS. LUBRITZ: None, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Thank you for your trip down here. It saved
4
   a little bit of hassle as far as whether or not you were
5
   being prompted some other way. So I appreciate you coming
7
   down.
        THE WITNESS: Okay.
8
        THE COURT: Thank you so much.
9
        THE WITNESS: So I may leave?
10
        THE COURT: You may leave.
11
        THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
12
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you, Ms. Cooley.
13
        THE COURT: You're welcome. Thank you for coming.
14
        MS. MASTEL: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
15
        MS. LUBRITZ: Your Honor, at this time I have to make a
16
   request. And -- and I apologize in advance. There was no
   way of knowing that Ms. Cooley would testify in the manner
18
   that she did based upon ...
19
        THE COURT: There was a way if you had done a deposition
20
   prior to her appearance here today.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Discovery had closed by the time I came
22
   into this case.
        THE COURT: That's a ...
24
        MS. LUBRITZ: I would like to recall ...
25
```

THE COURT: ...issue for another day. MS. LUBRITZ: I would like to recall Regina McConnell as a rebuttal witness. But I would have to contact her and have her come down. THE COURT: She has a right to have a rebuttal case. 5 MS. MASTEL: I mean, I think that Your Honor is correct. 6 There were many discovery things that were discussed with Ms. Lubritz after she came into this case. She could've notified me that she would like to take depositions. She did not. She didn't ask to stipulate to that. THE COURT: But she has a right to open a rebuttal 11 12 case... MS. MASTEL: Okay. 13 THE COURT: ... if she wishes. 14 MS. LUBRITZ: And candidly, Your Honor, I think what 15 16 you've seen as far as the relationship goes, that -- that 17 request would not have been granted. I had to beg, borrow 18 and steal to be able to do limited interrogatories and requests for production of documents that had to be granted 20 by Judge Steel because Ms. -- Ms. Mastel would not agree to 21 that ... MS. MASTEL: That's ... MS. LUBRITZ: ...because no discovery had been done prior 23 to my entry into the case. MS. MASTEL: That's an inaccurate reflection of -- of the 25

```
case history, Your Honor; but she does have a right to a
   rebuttal case.
        THE COURT: She has a right to a rebuttal case.
3
        MS. MASTEL: And if Your Honor is intending ...
4
        THE COURT: And your witness isn't here at this time.
5
        MS. LUBRITZ: Correct, Your Honor. Candidly, Your Honor,
6
  I had -- I -- I was caught off guard. If Your Honor thinks
   it would benefit the Court based upon all of the other
   testimony, but I do think that I'm entitled to have Ms.
   McConnell come down and respond to the statements made by Ms.
   Cooley under oath just now.
    MS. MASTEL: I -- I -- I'm not gonna say she doesn't.
12
  I'm not...
13
       THE COURT: Yeah, you have a right.
14
       MS. MASTEL: ...gonna object to that.
15
       THE COURT: Can you contact Ms. McConnell and we'll go in
16
   a recess and see how soon she can do this?
      MS. LUBRITZ: Yes, Your Honor. I don't have her cell
18
  number. So I'll have to contact her office. Generally, the
   courts have cell numbers. I don't know if that's ...
       THE MARSHAL: I don't.
21
      MS. MASTEL: I don't think that's true anymore. That's
   -- that's real old.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Oh, is it? Okay.
24
        MS. MASTEL: Because I know the Court -- the Court don't
25
```

```
1 have my number.
 2
        THE COURT: Someone ...
        MS. LUBRITZ: I'm old school, I guess.
 3
        THE COURT: If you have a judicial marshal that's been
   with the same judge forever, you might have a list.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
6
        THE COURT: Otherwise he usually works in dependency.
7
        MS. LUBRITZ: Understood.
8
        THE COURT: Which he wouldn't even ...
9
        MS. LUBRITZ: Do you have it?
10
11
        THE COURT: ... have the right cell numbers ...
        MS. MASTEL: No.
12
13
        THE COURT: ...anyway.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
14
15
        THE COURT: So.
        MS. LUBRITZ: I will do my best to reach out to her right
16
17 | now.
        THE COURT: Go ahead and give her office a call and see
18
  how soon -- because see I want this done.
      MS. LUBRITZ: Absolutely. We didn't -- believe me. It's
20
   three years that this has been going on.
        THE COURT: I know.
22
        MS. LUBRITZ: They got divorced in '18.
23
24
        THE COURT: I -- I know. I'm ...
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate it.
25
```

```
THE COURT: I'm real aware.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
        (Whereupon the matter was trailed at
3
4
        10:11:17 and recalled at 12:59:51.)
5
        THE CLERK: On the record.
        THE COURT: Thank you. We're coming back to order after
   recess for the appearance of a witness. This is the Rose
   matter 547250. All the same parties are here as before
  previously. So we don't have to do all those announcements
   and we can go straight to the witness. So everybody have a
   seat and ...
11
       MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
        THE COURT: It's your rebuttal case.
13
       MS. LUBRITZ: Regina McConnell, please.
14
        THE COURT: Thank you for coming into court.
15
        MS. MCCONNELL: I'm sorry I'm not in a suit. I
16
17
   apologize.
       THE COURT: That's okay.
18
        MS. MCCONNELL: I felt so bad.
19
        THE COURT: All right. You'll need to be sworn again.
20
        THE CLERK: Your Honor, would you like me to swear her
21
   in?
        THE COURT: Yes.
23
        THE CLERK: Will you please stand, ma'am, and raise your
24
  right? You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to
```

give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 3 MS. MCCONNELL: Yes. THE CLERK: Thank you. 4 5 MS. MCCONNELL: Thank you. REGINA MCCONNELL, 6 having been called as a rebuttal witness 7 and having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LUBRITZ: 10 Would you just state your name for the record? 11 Regina McConnell. 12 Q Ms. McConnell, first of all, thank you very much. 13 You had absolutely no notice. And I appreciate you coming in and accommodating everybody. Did I discuss with you -- I called you and -- well, actually I called your attorney, Mr. 17 Darren. Uh-huh. 18 A Mr. Darren notified you. 19 20 Yes. I sent you a text message asking one question. We 21 didn't discuss prior testimony of any other witness, correct? A Correct. 23 Q And we actually never even spoke on the phone? 24 25 Correct. A

```
Q Did you bring anything with you?
1
2
            The original decree that was signed.
3
       MS. LUBRITZ: May I...
4
       THE COURT: You may.
     MS. LUBRITZ: ...take a look at it? Thank you.
5
            The Marshal mind if I look at it?
6
7
       THE MARSHAL: Yeah.
8
       MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
       Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: And so this is the original decree
   that was signed by all the parties?
            Correct.
11
       A
    MS. LUBRITZ: And may I ask that the Court just take
  notice of the original signatures and wet stamps of the
  notary? May I ask ...
   THE COURT: You stipulate that it's the original?
15
       MS. MASTEL: I haven't seen it.
16
17
       THE COURT: Haven't? Well, then hand it to her.
       MS. MASTEL: It was much easier when you used to able to
18
19
  like ...
       MS. LUBRITZ: If you want to wet your finger...
20
     MS. MASTEL: You know, COVID probably suggests not a
21
   great idea.
     MS. LUBRITZ: If you want to wet it with some water, I
  have no objection.
```

MS. MASTEL: It appears to be the original.

```
THE COURT: Thank you.
        MS. MASTEL: I can't obviously fully authenticate it.
   But it does appear.
4
     THE COURT: Thank you.
      MS. LUBRITZ: May I ask -- may I direct the Court's
  attention and ask that your marshal direct you to page 39,
  but also just for the Court to take a look so you can make
  your own determination? There are also notary stamps for the
  Court.
       THE COURT: Everything seems to be exactly as the one
10
   that's here, only this is in ink. So I would assume this is
12
   the original.
13
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
        THE COURT: I'm not a handwriting expert or anything.
14
       MS. LUBRITZ: Absolutely.
15
        THE COURT: But it appears to be the original document.
16
        MS. LUBRITZ: I would -- I would move for it's admission.
17
        MS. MASTEL: I would object. I got it ten...
18
19
        THE COURT: I'm sorry?
        MS. MASTEL: ...minutes ago. I said I have an objection
20
   to admitting it. I got it ten minutes ago, got a chance to
21
   do a cursory review. I -- I can't...
        THE COURT: I'm gonna make a...
23
        MS. MASTEL: ...fully authenticate it.
24
        THE COURT: ...finding that the original appears to be in
25
```

```
the possession of the witness. I'm not taking it from the
  witness.
      MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
           BY MS. LUBRITZ: Ms. McConnell, after the memorandum
  of understanding was signed by all parties, did the four of
  you, meaning both counsel and the parties, stay at Ms.
  Forsberg's office to continue negotiations?
       A No.
         Did you call Ms. Cooley after the decree had been
  signed but before you submitted it for -- for her to file?
  Poorly worded. I'll withdraw that.
    THE COURT: Careful on leading.
12
     MS. LUBRITZ: Yes.
13
   O BY MS. LUBRITZ: Did you have a conversation with
  Ms. Cooley after the decree had been signed but before it was
  submitted that your client had changed -- Mr. Rose had
   changed his mind about the option ...
       MS. MASTEL: Objection, leading.
18
        THE COURT: It is a leading statement.
19
       MS. LUBRITZ: I'm not suggesting an answer.
20
       THE COURT: Did you have a conversation, leave it there.
21
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
22
        THE COURT: But her telling you what the conversation was
23
24
  about.
        MS. LUBRITZ: If -- okay. If the conversation never took
25
```

```
place, I don't know how she can tell me about it.
       THE COURT: Then she won't be able to.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
3
       THE COURT: If she says there's no conversation.
4
           BY MS. LUBRITZ: Did you have a conversation --
5
  okay. What conversation did you have with Ms. Cooley prior
  to the decree of divorce being submitted to the court for
  signature?
    A I called her and informed her that there was a
  mistake in the document, that my client had never agreed to
  survivor benefits.
    Q Did you have any other conversation with Ms. Cooley
12
  regarding the decree of divorce after it was signed by prior
14 to submission to the court?
    A I don't know exactly when she submitted it, so.
       Q Okay. Fair enough. Did you have any other
16
  conversation with Ms. Cooley about the decree after the
  mediation and after the parties signed this decree of
  divorce?
      MS. MASTEL: Objection, compound.
20
       THE COURT: Sustained.
21
    Q BY MS. LUBRITZ: Other than the conversation you
22
  just testified about, did you have any other conversations
  with Ms. Cooley regarding the decree after it was signed?
       A Right. I -- I -- I know we had a conversation.
```

had that first conversation. And then we had another conversation about the benefits and in trying to resolve it prior to me filing a motion, I guess.

- Can you -- I'm sorry. Can you...
- A (Indiscernible). 5

4

6

13

17

18

22

- ...tell the Court about that conversation, please?
- A She kept telling me there has to be survivor benefits addressed in there. And I told her that my client did not agree to that, and it was never addressed in the memorandum of understanding. So my client was not in agreement with it, and we weren't gonna ha- he did not want the survivor benefits. That's when she said she was gonna submit it anyway, the decree. And -- and then we were 14 talking about different options. And I told her nothing's gonna work because my client doesn't want the survivor benefit. He had not agreed to that. So thus the filing of the motion.
  - Q Okay. And when you -- just for clarity, when you say that he discussed other options where you dis- can you -were you discussion -- discussing other SBP options or were you discussing options being other resolution?
- A No, she was just explaining. She was telling me different SBP options. And of course I have to supply that 24 to my client. I said, I'll talk to him. But -- and -- and I 25 talked to him and there was no agreement.

1	Q Okay. At any point, subsequent to the signing of
2	the decree and prior to it being filed, did Mr. Rose advise
3	you that he changed his mind regarding his position on SBP?
4	A No, he did not.
5	Q After you objected to the submission of the decree
6	because there was a mistake, were you given any sort of
7	deadline as to when you would have to make a decision before
8	Ms. Cooley would submit it to the court?
9	A Oh, no.
10	Q At any point, did you authorize the submission of a
11	copy of the decree of divorce to be submitted to the court
12	for filing?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you and Ms. Cooley strike that. At the time
15	that at any time prior to the signing of the decree of
16	divorce, did you and Ms. Cooley discuss survivor benefits
17	being awarded to Sarah Rose?
18	A No.
19	MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you for your time.
20	I have nothing further of this witness.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. MASTEL:
24	Q Now, Ms. McConnell, why did you bring the original
25	cree decree today?

```
A
             I was asked.
 2
             By whom?
        0
3
             By Ms. Lubritz.
             Okay. What was the context of that discussion?
 4
        0
             It was a text. Do you have the original decree?
5
        A
             Okay. Did she explain why she wanted you to bring
6
   it?
8
        A
             No.
            Now you're presently being sued by Mr. Rose for
9
   malpractice, correct.
11
        MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, it exceeds the scope.
        MS. MASTEL: It does not. It's ...
12
13
        MS. LUBRITZ: It was -- excuse me.
        MS. MASTEL: ...impeachment.
14
        MS. LUBRITZ: It exceeds the scope.
15
        THE COURT: It exceeds the scope.
16
17
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
        MS. MASTEL: It's impeachment of the witness's
18
  credibility. If she's being sued by Mr. Rose in a
19
  malpractice action, that colors her testimony.
21
       MS. LUBRITZ: It's a -- no.
        THE COURT: No. I'm not gonna permit the question.
            BY MS. MASTEL: Now you acknowledge that after
23
  Rhonda, Judge Forsberg, left her office, the four of you
25 stayed at the office ...
```

```
MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, that misstates...
           BY MS. MASTEL: ...for ...
2
3
       MS. LUBRITZ: ...her testimony.
       MS. MASTEL: I'm not quoting her testimony. I asked if
4
  she acknowledged that they stayed there.
5
      MS. LUBRITZ: Vague.
6
       THE COURT: Ask your question differently. It's a little
7
  bit ...
          BY MS. MASTEL: You -- well, okay. You acknowledge
10 that after Ms. Fors- Judge Forsberg left on the day of your
11 mediation, you and Shelly and the parties remained at her
  office for a period of time; correct?
       A I believe we signed that memo at her office. But I
13
  don't know if she had left.
            After the memorandum had been signed, you were
15
   there continuing to -- to work on the decree; correct?
17
        A
             No.
            What time did Judge Forsberg leave?
     MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, speculation. If she wasn't
19
   there, how would she know what time the judge left?
     THE COURT: She can answer the question if she knows the
21
22
   answer.
        THE WITNESS: The question is when did we leave or when
23
  did Ms. Forsberg leave?
        Q BY MS. MASTEL: When did Judge...
25
```

```
1
      A
            I'm sorry. Judge Forsberg.
            ... Forsberg leave?
            I'm not 100-percent sure.
            Was there a point when she told you she was done
  and she had to leave?
     A She told us in the beginning this was a free
  mediation, and we had until the three to four hours.
           Okay. And at the end of that three to four hours,
8
9 she indicated to you that she was getting on a plane and she
10 was leaving.
11
            No.
      Q Did she ever indicate to you that she was getting
12
  on a plane?
13
14
            No.
            Do you know why she limited you to four hours?
15
       A Number one, it was a free mediation; and she had
16
17 indicated that at the beginning because we were using a -- a
   court-mediation program and, number two, she was going out of
19
  town.
    Q Okay. Did she at some point tell you that she was
20
   done and she was leaving?
       MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, vague.
       THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
23
        O BY MS. MASTEL: Okay.
24
```

THE COURT: I think she can answer that. She knows

```
I whether or not the judge told her I'm -- I'm outta here.
2 Good luck.
3
         Nothing? Right?
   THE WITNESS: I don't recall her saying anything like
5
  Ithat.
       THE COURT: Thank you.
       Q BY MS. MASTEL: When's the last time you reviewed
  your file in this case?
       A I believe I reviewed some of my notes before my
10 last testimony.
    Q When is the last time you reviewed the
11
12 correspondence in this case?
    MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, Judge, this far exceeds the
13
  scope.
      MS. MASTEL: It does not.
15
      MS. LUBRITZ: Excuse me. I'm sorry. I didn't get...
16
           You're wearing the robe. It exceeds the scope of
17
18 my -- of my direct.
      MS. MASTEL: She indicated she had not heard from Ms.
19
  Cooley prior to Ms. Cooley submitting the decree. It is
  valid for me to know the last time she looked at that
21
   correspondence to know whether or not her recollection is...
       THE COURT: I'll permit the question.
23
        THE WITNESS: I honestly, I'm not sure.
24
            BY MS. MASTEL: So is it possible that you had
25
```

```
forgotten the e-mail or letter was sent to you with -- from
  Ms. Cooley stating that if she didn't hear from you by a
  certain time that she would be submitting the decree?
       MS. LUBRITZ: I would object. That is -- it's an
5
  improper -- it's an improper form.
       THE COURT: I -- no, it's fine.
6
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
7
       THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question.
8
       O BY MS. MASTEL: Sure.
9
       THE COURT: Hearing from takes more than one type of
10
  thing. You can get a text message. You can get an e-mail.
  You can get a ...
12
       THE WITNESS: Right.
13
       THE COURT: ...letter in the mail. They can talk to you
14
   personally. They can see you...
     THE WITNESS: Right.
16
       THE COURT: ...in a -- the mall and say, hi. The last
17
   time you heard from could also be correspondence.
18
       THE WITNESS: Correct.
19
       THE COURT: Ask your question again.
20
           BY MS. MASTEL: Is it possible that you have
21
   forgotten receiving a correspondence from Ms. Cooley
22
   indicating that she would submit a -- the decree if she
23
  hadn't heard from you by a certain day?
        A I do not recall receiving a correspondence.
25
```

```
Is it possible that you don't recall?
1
       MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, she's answered.
      THE COURT: She just said she doesn't recall.
3
            BY MS. MASTEL: So is it possible that it was sent,
4
5
  and you simply don't remember?
       MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, calls for speculation.
6
       THE COURT: She can speculate about herself.
7
       THE WITNESS: When I spoke to Ms. Cooley, there was
8
  nothing -- I don't recall any mail or anything from Ms.
   Cooley about submitting a decree, just her conversation.
        Q BY MS. MASTEL: But is it possible you simply don't
11
   remember and it does exist?
       MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, it's been asked and answered.
13
       THE COURT: It has ...
14
       MS. MASTEL: It hasn't been answered.
15
        THE COURT: ...been asked and answered.
16
17
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
        Q BY MS. MASTEL: During your initial conversation
18
  with Ms. Cooley when you indicated that your client wanted
   the survivorship benefit removed from the decree, it's your
   testimony that you told her it was a mistake to add it?
      A I told her we had not agreed to that and it was --
22
   shouldn't been in there.
23
       Q You acknowledge that you and Mr. Rose signed the
24
   decree, correct?
```

```
MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, Your Honor. This -- we went
  into this last time. It exceeds...
       THE COURT: Yes, we did.
3
      MS. LUBRITZ: ... the sc-...
4
5
       Q BY MS. MASTEL: Can you recall what you told Ms.
   Cooley in that conversation?
      MS. LUBRITZ: Objection, fra- vague as to time.
7
       THE COURT: Yeah.
       MS. LUBRITZ: What conversation?
9
10
       THE COURT: What conversation.
    Q BY MS. MASTEL: Okay. All right. Can you recall
11
  what you told Ms. Cooley in your initial conversation when
13 you indicated the survivor benefits were improper,
14 |specifically?
    A I -- I cannot recall specifically, other than I
15
  told her that was not the agreement and we had -- we had not
17 agreed to that.
           Did you in that conversation agree to discuss with
18
19 Mr. Rose about survivorship benefits?
20
      A No.
       MS. MASTEL: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
21
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22
23 BY MS. LUBRITZ:
    Q Did you authorize the submission of the decree of
25 divorce...
```

```
MS. MASTEL: Objection, asked and answered.
        O BY MS. LUBRITZ: ... to the court?
3
       THE COURT: Sustained.
       MS. LUBRITZ: I have nothing further. Well, brief
4
5
   indulgence. I have nothing further of this witness.
6
            Thank you.
       THE COURT: Anything?
7
       MS. MASTEL: Nothing, Your Honor.
8
       THE COURT: Thank you again for the short notice and for
9
  helping us out finish up this trial.
10
       THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
       MS. LUBRITZ: Just for the record, I'm returning the
12
   original decree to counsel.
13
      THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14
       THE COURT: Thank you.
15
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
16
             I have nothing further, Your Honor.
17
       THE COURT: All right. So let's get a little time frame
18
   for everything. How long do you think it will take for you
   to prepare your closing argument?
20
        MS. LUBRITZ: What's reasonable to the Court? When would
21
   you like me to have it?
22
        THE COURT: Tomorrow.
23
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. What's reasonable? When would you
24
25 like me to have realistically, Judge.
```

THE COURT: Let me look at my calendar. I'm gonna say the 30th of November, only because we've got a four-day weekend kind of thing for Thanksgiving coming up. I don't 4 expect cou- either counsel to have to be working on this issue. They may have other responsibilities. And they still may choose to work on this issue. But I'm not gonna make them do it.

MS. LUBRITZ: And thank you for that.

7

10

13

15

16

20

21

24

25

THE COURT: You will have a -- well, let's see. So the 30th, let me move my calendar forward. Counsel, I'd like you to have your reply -- or not reply but re- your -- your closing arguments on the 10th.

MS. MASTEL: Your Honor, I have a supreme court brief due that same week. Can I have until the 14th so we get the same amount of time?

THE COURT: Well, you don't really need the same amount of time because you're gonna start writing yours right away, as far as your argument's concerned. You can have that mostly done, and all you'll have to do is address her stuff. So . . .

MS. MASTEL: I -- I mean, I will get it done if that's 22 the Court's order. I just also have a trial to prepare for and the supreme court brief I do think due on the 6th. But...

MS. LUBRITZ: And she's got roughly...

```
THE COURT: I'll give you till the ...
2
       MS. LUBRITZ: ...40...
3
        THE COURT: ...13th.
        MS. MASTEL: Okay.
4
        THE COURT: That'll give you some, a little, extra time.
5
        MS. LUBRITZ: What time, Your Honor?
6
        THE COURT: 13th.
7
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
8
        THE COURT: And then, counsel, you'll have your reply, if
   any, by the 17th.
10
        MS. LUBRITZ: What day of the week is that, Your Honor?
11
        THE COURT: Friday.
12
        MS. LUBRITZ: May I have until that Monday?
13
        THE COURT: No, because that's the week of Christmas.
14
        MS. LUBRITZ: Because I have trial that day.
15
        THE COURT: Get it done early. I mean, all you have to
16
   do is reply to her little stuff.
        MS. LUBRITZ: Yeah, but I -- I imagine it's gonna be very
18
   detailed.
        THE COURT: I know.
20
        MS. LUBRITZ: So okay. I appreciate the Court's
21
22
   indulgence.
       THE COURT: I'm gonna get these guys done before the end
23
   of the year ...
       MS. LUBRITZ: I know.
25
```

```
THE COURT: ... is my goal here.
1
       MS. LUBRITZ: And if I ask one more time, I know it's
2
   been asked and answered, may I just have till that Monday and
   the weekend because I have trial that week?
5
      THE COURT: Fine.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you. So that would be the ...
6
       MS. MASTEL: 20th.
7
        MS. LUBRITZ: ... 20th, Your Honor.
8
       THE COURT: And because you're getting to the 20th,
9
  counsel, if you have any additional specific thing that she
  raises in her -- because I would give you both the
  opportunity to reply back and forth, you may do so by the
  27th.
13
     MS. MASTEL: Okay.
14
        MS. LUBRITZ: And then I have until how long because you
15
   said we could go ...
        MS. MASTEL: Your Honor ...
17
        MS. LUBRITZ: ... back and forth.
18
        MS. MASTEL: ... I would ...
19
        THE COURT: No, no, no.
20
        MS. LUBRITZ: I'm plaintiff.
21
        THE COURT: No.
22
        MS. LUBRITZ: I get the last word.
23
        THE COURT: Huh?
24
        MS. LUBRITZ: I'm plaintiff. I get the...
25
```

```
THE COURT: I know, but this is ....
       MS. LUBRITZ: ...last word.
2
       THE COURT: ...family court. And I'm ...
3
       MS. LUBRITZ: I understand.
4
        THE COURT: ...deciding that they both need to have the
5
   same amount of words, so.
       MS. LUBRITZ: And so if they bring something up in their
   closing ...
       THE COURT: They can't bring up anything. She's going to
10 do her closing argument. If you bring up something new in
  your reply to her closing argument, I always in court let the
12 other side address something new that wasn't raised.
    MS. LUBRITZ: So just for clarification, just because I
13
14 respond to something that is in -- in Ms. Mastel's closing
15 argument, doesn't mean she gets a one off and automatically
   gets to respond. It's if I bring up something that's not...
       THE COURT: That needs more clarification or so-
17
   something, yes.
18
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
19
        THE COURT: She may do that.
20
    MS. LUBRITZ: And if there's a -- a disagreement between
21
   the two of us as to whether or not that's appropriate ...
        THE COURT: I'll make that decision.
23
        MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
24
        THE COURT: I'll say ...
25
```

```
MS. LUBRITZ: And then you'll strike -- if you find
  it's ...
       THE COURT: ...that wasn't appropriate.
3
       MS. LUBRITZ: ...not, you'll strike...
4
       THE COURT: And I won't even consider it.
5
       MS. LUBRITZ: And you'll strike it if that's the case.
  Now are these filed or just submitted to the Court?
       THE COURT: These are filed.
       MS. MASTEL: I'd like them filed.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. And so ...
10
       THE COURT: These aren't settlement briefs. These are
11
  closing arguments.
12
       MS. LUBRITZ: Right. So in the event that you find it's
13
14 not appropriate, then you...
      THE COURT: I will ...
15
        MS. LUBRITZ: ...would strike it?
16
        THE COURT: ...say so in my decision. I'll say she
17
   replied or responded or whatever, but it didn't address
18
   anything pertinent to my decision.
19
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. And then we'll strike it just
20
   because if it goes up to the supreme...
21
    THE COURT: I'll do what I feel like doing.
22
     MS. LUBRITZ: Understood. I was asking, not directing.
23
   I was asking.
24
        THE COURT: Okay. You said, we will strike. And I don't
25
```

```
1 think you're in on it.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Oh, I didn't -- I don't think I said that.
  No, I would -- respectfully, it might be the mask. I -- I...
       THE COURT: Okay.
    MS. LUBRITZ: I'm presumptuous but not that presumptuous,
  Your Honor.
       THE COURT: All right.
7
       MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you. I appreciate your indulgence.
8
       THE COURT: All right.
9
       MS. LUBRITZ: And thank you to your staff.
10
       THE COURT: I'm just sorry this happened to you guys.
11
  This is a kind of a tricky situation. It's like we're two,
  what do they call, a perfect storm. You guys have some
  contract law and some family law and some unsettled or --
  maybe not unsettled but never before...
        MS. MASTEL: Issues of first...
16
        THE COURT: ...determined family law.
17
       MS. MASTEL: ... of fresh impression.
18
        THE COURT: You know, yeah, kind of a first impression;
19
   but maybe not. We'll see. Remember I -- I do my thing, and
20
   they do their thing. We'll see what happens there. So
   there's a lot of levels of different things I have to take
   into consideration. Which is more important, the contract
23
   law or the family law? Which one takes precedent. There's a
25 lot of things that I'm gonna be making some decisions on,
```

including the veracity of the people who testified here and things like that. MS. LUBRITZ: I -- I do have one question of the Court 3 procedurally. 4 THE COURT: It could have happened to multi-millionaires 5 instead of you guys is what I'm trying to say. Yeah. MS. LUBRITZ: Of course. And -- and I've done this 7 previously but not before you. I would ask, I have the transcript of Mr. Willick's testimony. THE COURT: Has it been filed? 10 MS. MASTEL: It's been filed, Your Honor. 11 MS. LUBRITZ: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. LUBRITZ: I would like to have the opportunity to go 14 through and ask that certain parts of the transcript be stricken because I believe that a lot of the testimony, if you go back and read it, was not relevant to the proceedings. And for a very clean record, I -- I believe it needs to be 18 paired down as far as what another court ... 19 THE COURT: I'm gonna deny that request. 20 MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. 21 THE COURT: It's way too much independent thought on your 22 part. And she would have an opportunity to come in and say why you shouldn't be able to. If it doesn't matter, it

doesn't matter. I won't use it anyway. So I -- I'm -- I'm

```
1 -- I'm okay with it.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay. And -- and again the only reason I
  ask is that if another court reviews it, I would like it to
4 be as clean as possible.
       THE COURT: And hopefully they'll be smarter than me and
  they'll either take into consideration the things I didn't or
  vice versa.
       MS. LUBRITZ: Very well. Thank you, Your Honor.
       THE COURT: It will be their option.
       MS. LUBRITZ: I appreciate it. And -- and when would you
10
  anticipate -- (indiscernible) thing, when would you
  anticipate having your decision?
       THE COURT: Well, I would anticipate that once you guys
13
14 get all of your complete stuff done by the 27th, if I read
15 them and I need further information or further argument, I'll
  set it for a hearing. If I don't, I will inform the clerk to
  put it under advisement. And then I have 30 days...
       MS. LUBRITZ: Okay.
18
        THE COURT: ... by our rules to get it to you, so.
19
20
        MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you.
        THE COURT: It's not gonna be by the first of the year.
21
        MS. LUBRITZ: Understood.
22
        THE COURT: Sorry, guys. I tried my best. And these
23
   guys (indiscernible) me out of a couple of weekends. All
   right. I -- I don't like to overtax what you guys do because
```

it's important. This is really an important one. So lots of 2 things to consider. Lots of things for me to make determinations on. I'll do my very best and then let you guvs take it from there.

When I was early -- talking earlier about I don't like the result with Mr. Willick, I was involved in a case 7 called Blaich. You may have heard of it. Blaich versus --8 B-L-A-I-C-H. I don't know how you pronounce it. I always 9 say Blaich. Where I was overturned by the supreme court. 10 And the next session of the legislature changed it back to be II the way I said it should be in the court decision.

So it can change things, even though the law's 13 written one way. The courts can say, this doesn't look right 14 and the legislature could go back and do something. So we'll 15 see how it works out. That's what I was referring to that -that sometimes making a decision that's not full bore on point. And I don't know if we have a point here.

12

18

19

20

21

23

So let's do the best we can everybody. I wished you guys could have resolved it on your own. That would've been the best.

The other thing I have is that once I've read your decisions and if I decide to take it under advisement, there's case law that says that the court can determine the nature of the agreement for the option 2 thing. The court can determine somebody pays for it. One party pays for it,

whatever. So there's a lot of things that I have on my plate that I can make a determination on, just to let you know.

I'm looking at two very serious things. One is the 4 lack of a meeting of the minds between the parties. The other one is if I take the -- either -- I'm giving you guys 6 some road maps here for your closing argument. If I take it 7 out of the decree and say that it shouldn't have been there because of the lack of the meeting of the minds, now am I affecting spousal support because if she was relying on that 10 as part of her spousal support, that elements gone. Now she doesn't have her meeting of the minds. So I've got to look 12 at that, which we didn't get any testimony on at all.

There was one other thing. If I take it out, then 14 it is an omitted asset. And then we're starting from scratch. So I can't just take it out because it will be an omitted asset, I believe. It has to be addressed. I think that counsel was correct in saying it had to be addressed.

13

18

21

23

So right now it's not admitted because it was addressed; however, maybe addressed in error or addressed without total understanding on both parts.

Anyway, I'm just giving you guys some insight. I'm not making a ruling. Please don't put this in as the law of the record because I would like to read your briefs and do a little bit of a background research from your briefs to see what I can come up with that's best for you guys, unless you

1 guys come up with something better before I do, which I clearly, you know, would let you do. MS. LUBRITZ: We've made our best effort, Your Honor, THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe not because whenever I decide to do something, when it's now gonna be my decision and not your decisions ... MS. LUBRITZ: Mm-hm. THE COURT: You're gonna be taking the medicine I make off the medicine you make and it may not be the outcome you 10 want; although you're both sitting here after four years. You want to be the one who wins because you've waited this 12 long. One of you won't win. That's just the -- the -- the 13 pure of it, so. Sometimes it is better if you can try to 14 resolve it on your own outside of court. You still have that duty to do so. If somebody 15 16 makes an offer, you have to relay it to your client, back and forth. You know the drill. But the briefing schedules or -or the closing argument schedule is in. And I'll do my very 18 best to take everything into consideration that I have to, to make this a -- a decision that's appropriate. Okay? 20 MS. LUBRITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 MS. MASTEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, all. 23 (THE PROCEEDING ENDED AT 01:34:07.)

\* \* \* \* \*

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

SHERRY JUSTICE,
Transcriber II

D-17-547250-D ROSE 11/15/2021 TRANSCRIPT EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES

FILED

APR 0 7 2022

COST

2

3

.1 5

6

7

8

9

VS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

ORIGINAL

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DAVID ROSE, Plaintiff,

SARAH ROSE,

Defendant.

CASE NO. D-17-547250-D

DEPT. I

APPEAL NO. 84295

SEALED

FINAL BILLING OF TRANSCRIPTS

The office of Transcript Video Services filed transcripts for Rachel H. Mastel, Esq., on April 7th, 2022, for the following proceedings in the above-captioned case:

APRIL 09, 2021; SEPTEMBER 23, 2021; NOVEMBER 15, 2021 (Partial transcripts of September 23, 2021, have been piwolously transcribed available through Records Department.)

Original transcripts and one copy of each were requested. The reduced cost of the transcripts is \$660.00. FEES ARE WALVED by order of the Court pursuant to NRS 12.015(3). Final billing provided for purposes of NRS 12.015(4).

DATED this 7th day of April, 2022.

SHERRY JUSTICE

Transcript Video Serv

Received by

day of

TIEMS TEFT BEYONE MINETY DAYS ARE SUBJECT TO DISPOSAL WITHOUT REFUND. COUNTY RETENTION POLICY APPROVED BY INTERNAL AUDIT.

ETGHIB JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES 0.1 H. Icras Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89161 (702) 455-4977

Electronically Filed 04/19/2022 10:23 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\*\*\*

DAVID ROSE,

CASE NO.: D-17-547250-D

Plaintiff.

DEPT: I

VS.

HEARING DATE: 4/21/2022 HEARING TIME: 8:30 A.M.

SARAH ROSE,

Defendant.

### **ORDER**

Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 1 and Eighth Judicial District Rule 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Rule 2.23(c), this Court can consider a motion and issue a decision on the papers at any time without a hearing.

THE COURT FINDS Defendant filed a Motion to Stay on February 25, 2022. Defendant requests the Court stay the order awarding Plaintiff attorney's fees from the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order signed by Judge Steel on January 31, 2022. Plaintiff filed an Opposition and Countermotion on March 17, 2022 requesting the Court deny the Motion and Defendant attorney's fees.

FE9 FEC FC88 AF11 Sunny Bailey District CoAn PWabel 6

**Electronically Filed** 4/19/2022 10:37 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

David Rose, Plaintiff

CASE NO.: D-17-547250-D

VS.

NEO

DEPT .: 1

Sarah Rose, Defendant.

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

Please take note that an Order was filed in this matter on April 19, 2022. A copy of the Order is attached hereto. I hereby certify that on the above filed stamped date:

I E-Served pursuant to NEFCR 9, and/or, mailed, via first-class mail, postage fully prepaid, the foregoing Notice of Entry of Order to:

Racheal H. Mastel racheal@kainenlawgroup.com

Shelley Lubritz shelley@lubritzlawoffice.com

Ruthie Denning

Ruthie Denning Judicial Executive Assistant Department I

28

DISTRICT JUDGE AMILY DIVISION, DEPT LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-3408

27

APPX1918

Case Number: D-17-547250-D

Electronically Filed 04/19/2022 10:23 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

\*\*\*

DAVID ROSE,

Plaintiff.

CASE NO.: D-17-547250-D

DEPT: I

VS.

SARAH ROSE,

Defendant.

HEARING DATE: 4/21/2022 HEARING TIME: 8:30 A.M.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

#### ORDER

Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 1 and Eighth Judicial District Rule 1.10 state that the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Rule 2.23(c), this Court can consider a motion and issue a decision on the papers at any time without a hearing.

THE COURT FINDS Defendant filed a Motion to Stay on February 25, 2022.

Defendant requests the Court stay the order awarding Plaintiff attorney's fees from the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order signed by Judge Steel on January 31, 2022. Plaintiff filed an Opposition and Countermotion on March 17, 2022 requesting the Court deny the Motion and Defendant attorney's fees.

Statistically closed: USJR-FAM-Set/Withdrawn W/O Judicial Conf/Hearing Close Case (UWOJC)

**APPX1919** 

THE COURT FINDS Plaintiff filed a Memorandum of Fees and Costs on February 7, 2022. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on February 15, 2022. The Court has not issued an order for the amount of attorney's fees.

THE COURT FINDS "[t]he court may decide a postjudgment motion for attorney's fees despite the existence of a pending appeal from the underlying judgment." NRCP 54(d)(2)(A).

THE COURT FINDS may is construed as a permissive grant of authority, not mandatory. See Butler v. State, 120 Nev. 879, 102 P.3d 71 (2004); Nev. Pub. Emps. Ret. Bd. v. Smith, 129 Nev. 618, 310 P.3d 560 (2013).

THE COURT FINDS it may delay determining the amount of attorney's fees until the appeal is completed.

THE COURT ORDERS Defendant's Motion for Stay is denied as the Court declines to award attorney's fees pending the appeal. Plaintiff's Countermotion for Attorney's fees is denied without prejudice.

THE COURT ORDERS the hearing scheduled for April 21, 2022 is vacated.

Plaintiff may re-notice the Memorandum of Fees and Costs after the conclusion of the appeal. The case shall close until the re-notice of the hearing.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2022

Jonaha Bailey

FE9 FEC FC88 AF11 Sunny Bailey District Court Judge

CSERV 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 David Rose, Plaintiff CASE NO: D-17-547250-D 6 VS. DEPT. NO. Department I 7 8 Sarah Rose, Defendant. 9 10 AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 12 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 4/19/2022 14 Racheal Mastel racheal@kainenlawgroup.com 15 Service KLG service@kainenlawgroup.com 16 17 Kolin Niday kolin@kainenlawgroup.com 18 David Rose daverose08@gmail.com 19 Shelley Lubritz shelley@lubritzlawoffice.com 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27