

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Electronically Filed
Feb 28 2022 11:17 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

RECORD OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS,
PLEADINGS AND TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

Suneel J. Nelson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6904
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Respondent

1 **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

2)
3 **IN RE:**)

3 **JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.**)
4 **NEVADA BAR NO. 6904**)
4 _____)

**CASE SUMMARY FOR
RECORD ON APPEAL**

5
6 **SUMMARY OF NATURE OF THE CASE**

7 Jeremy T. Bergstrom (hereinafter “Mr. Bergstrom”) is a suspended member of the
8 State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter “State Bar”).¹ On January 19, 2021, the State Bar
9 received a grievance from Genice Rojas (hereinafter “Ms. Rojas”) of LV Process &
10 Investigations (“LVPI”) alleging that Mr. Bergstrom failed to pay outstanding invoices
11 for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice law despite being suspended.

12 Ms. Rojas provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that Mr. Bergstrom
13 filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019, and June
14 8, 2020. The State Bar’s investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
15 initiated by Mr. Bergstrom after his suspension.

16 The State Bar sent Mr. Bergstrom two (2) letters of investigation (“LOI”). Mr.
17 Bergstrom failed to respond to the State Bar’s LOIs.² In addition, the State Bar made
18
19

20 ¹ Mr. Bergstrom has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14, 2019.

² The State Bar confirmed with Mr. Bergstrom’s office manager that the address the LOIs
were sent to were correct.

multiple attempts to personally serve Mr. Bergstrom to make sure he was aware of the underlying proceeding.

On January 27, 2022, a Formal Hearing was held through the online video conferencing platform Zoom. After deliberations, the Formal Hearing Panel found by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Bergstrom violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) and RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

NUMBER OF GRIEVANCES INCLUDED IN CASE

One (1) grievance file.

THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

The Complaint alleged that Mr. Bergstrom violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) and RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

THE NATURE OF THE RULE VIOLATIONS

The Formal Hearing Panel found by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Bergstrom violated both RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) and RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

///

///

///

///

///

1 **SUMMARY OF THE RECOMMENDATION**

2 Mr. Bergstrom should be disbarred from the practice of law in the State of Nevada.
3 Additionally, pursuant to SCR 120, Mr. Bergstrom shall pay a \$3,000.00 fee plus the
4 actual costs of this proceeding, excluding Bar Counsel and staff salaries, no later than the
5 30th day after the Supreme Court's Order in this matter or service of a Memorandum of
6 Costs, whichever is later.

7 DATED this 25th day of February 2022.

8 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
9 **DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL**

10 

11 **Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel**
12 Nevada Bar No. 14371
13 3100 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
15 (702) 382-2200
16 *Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada*

INDEX

Description	Page Nos.	Vol. No.
Affidavit of Due Diligence Dated November 19, 2021	ROA Page 055	I
Affidavit of Service Dated December 3, 2021	ROA Page 060	I
Certificate of Service Dated February 25, 2022	ROA Page 122	I
Complaint, Designation of Hearing Panel Members, and Declaration of Mailing Filed August 23, 2021	ROA Page 001-012	I
Default and Order Filed January 7, 2022	ROA Page 069-094	I
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation After Formal Hearing Filed February 2, 2022	ROA Page 098-103	I
Notice of Formal Hearing Filed December 28, 2021	ROA Page 061-062	I
Notice of Intent to Proceed on Default Basis Filed September 16, 2021	ROA Page 013-015	I
Notice of Telephonic Initial Case Conference Filed November 8, 2021	ROA Page 018-019	I
Order Appointing Formal Hearing Panel Filed December 29, 2021	ROA Page 067-068	I
Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair Filed November 1, 2021	ROA Page 016-017	I
Scheduling Order Filed November 18, 2021	ROA Page 051-054	I
State Bar of Nevada's Final Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Filed December 28, 2021	ROA Page 063-066	I
State Bar of Nevada's Initial Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Filed November 24, 2021	ROA Page 056-059	I
State Bar of Nevada's Memorandum of Costs Filed February 24, 2022	ROA Page 104-121	I
State Bar of Nevada's Request for Entry of Default Filed November 18, 2021	ROA Page 020-050	I

State Bar of Nevada's Supplemental Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Filed January 26, 2022	ROA Page 095-097	I
--	---------------------	---

TRANSCRIPTS & EXHIBITS

Description	Page Nos.	Vol. No.
Transcript of Proceedings Held on January 27, 2022	ROA Page 123-164	II
State Bar's Exhibit 1	ROA Page 165-255	II
State Bar's Exhibit 2	ROA Page 256-276	II
State Bar's Exhibit 3	ROA Page 277-329	II
State Bar's Exhibit 4	ROA Page 330-331	II
State Bar's Exhibit 5	ROA Page 332-333	II
State Bar's Exhibit 6	ROA Page 334-335	II
State Bar's Exhibit 7	ROA Page 336-339	II
State Bar's Exhibit 8	ROA Page 340-341	II
State Bar's Exhibit 9	ROA Page 342	II
State Bar's Exhibit 10	ROA Page 343	II

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

COMPLAINT

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 105(2) a **VERIFIED RESPONSE OR ANSWER** to this Complaint **must be filed** with the Office of Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada, 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, **within twenty (20) days of service of this Complaint**. The procedure regarding service is addressed in SCR 109.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Complainant, State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter "State Bar") alleges that JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter "Respondent"), Nevada Bar No. 6904, is a

1 suspended member of the State Bar, was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada
2 on October 12, 1999, and at all times pertinent to this Complaint, had a principal place of
3 business for the practice of law located in Clark County, Nevada.

4 2. Respondent has engaged in acts of misconduct in violation of the Nevada
5 Rules of Professional Conduct (“RPC”), requiring disciplinary sanctions.

6 3. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
7 2019; his suspension will be completed on May 15, 2022.

8 4. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas
9 (hereinafter “Grievant”) of LV Process & Investigations (“LVPI”) alleging that Respondent
10 failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice
11 law despite being suspended.

12 5. Grievant provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that
13 Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019,
14 and June 8, 2020.

15 6. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only
16 one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter “Mr. Larmore”) was licensed in Nevada.

17 7. The State Bar’s investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
18 initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

19 8. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI’s invoices were initiated by Mr.
20 Larmore.

21 9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation
22 (“LOI”) to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5,
23 2021.

24 10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar’s LOI by the February 5, 2021,
25 deadline.

11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26, 2021.

12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not respond to this letter.

13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by an unknown individual.

14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021, deadline.

15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter “Ms. Meeks”), an investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter “Ms. Bardis”), Respondent’s office manager.

16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the correct address.

17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

Count One

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

18. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully incorporated herein.

19. RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) states that unless an exception applies, a lawyer shall not “practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction,” or “assist another person in the unauthorized practice of law.”

20. Respondent knowingly initiated lawsuits while being suspended from the practice of law.

21. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

22. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 21, Respondent has violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law).

Count Two

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully incorporated herein.

24. RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) states, in pertinent part, that an “applicant for the admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary matter, shall not: (1) [k]nowingly make a false statement of material fact; or (2) [f]ail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or disciplinary authority.”

25. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to the State Bar's letters of investigation.

26. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

27. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 26, Respondent has violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

28. That a hearing be held pursuant to SCR 105;

29. That Respondent be assessed the costs of the disciplinary proceeding pursuant to SCR 120(1); and

1 30. That pursuant to SCR 102, such disciplinary action be taken by the Southern
2 Nevada Disciplinary Board against Respondent as may be deemed appropriate under the
3 circumstances.

4 DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

Submitted by:
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
)
Respondent.)

**DESIGNATION OF
HEARING PANEL MEMBERS**

The following are members of the Disciplinary Board for the Southern District of Nevada. Pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 105, you may issue peremptory challenge to five (5) such individuals by delivering the same in writing to the Office of Bar Counsel within twenty (20) days of service of the complaint.

The Chair of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board will thereafter designate a hearing panel of three (3) members of the Disciplinary Board, including at least one member who is not an attorney, to hear the above-captioned matter.

1. Russell E. Marsh, Esq., Chair
2. Dana Palmer Oswalt, Esq., Vice Chair
3. Christopher J. Lalli, Esq., Vice Chair
4. Neil Beller, Esq.
5. Annette L. Bradley, Esq.

- 1 6. John E. Bragonje, Esq.
- 2 7. Shemilly A. Briscoe, Esq.
- 3 8. Amanda Brookyser, Esq.
- 4 9. Robert J. Caldwell, Esq.
- 5 10. Jacqueline B. Carman, Esq.
- 6 11. Andrew A. Chiu, Esq.
- 7 12. James P. Chrisman, Esq.
- 8 13. Nell E. Christensen, Esq.
- 9 14. Marc P. Cook, Esq.
- 10 15. Ira W. David, Esq.
- 11 16. Damon Dias, Esq.
- 12 17. Sandra K. DiGiacomo, Esq.
- 13 18. F. Thomas Edwards, Esq.
- 14 19. Matthew S. Fox, Esq.
- 15 20. Alan Freer, Esq.
- 16 21. Adam Garth, Esq.
- 17 22. Kelly Giordani, Esq.
- 18 23. Robert G. Giunta, Esq.
- 19 24. Angela Guingcangco, Esq.
- 20 25. Parish D. Heshmati, Esq.
- 21 26. Kenneth E. Hogan, Esq.
- 22 27. Jennifer K. Hostetler, Esq.
- 23 28. David Kaplan, Esq.
- 24 29. James T. Leavitt, Esq.
- 25 30. Michael B. Lee, Esq.

- 1 31. Jennifer R. Lloyd, Esq.
- 2 32. Donald Lowrey, Esq.
- 3 33. Roger Madsen, Esq.
- 4 34. Jason R. Maier, Esq.
- 5 35. Farhan Naqvi, Esq.
- 6 36. Michael J. Oh, Esq.
- 7 37. Gary A. Pulliam, Esq.
- 8 38. Paul "Luke" Puschnig, Esq.
- 9 39. Michael D. Rawlins, Esq.
- 10 40. Jericho L. Remitio, Esq.
- 11 41. Jarrod L. Rickard, Esq.
- 12 42. Miriam E. Rodriguez, Esq.
- 13 43. Vincent J. Romeo, Esq.
- 14 44. Daniel F. Royal, Esq.
- 15 45. Maria V. Saladino, Esq.
- 16 46. Africa A. Sanchez, Esq.
- 17 47. Jen J. Sarafina, Esq.
- 18 48. Jay A. Shafer, Esq.
- 19 49. Thomas R. Sheets, Esq.
- 20 50. Jeffrey G. Sloane, Esq.
- 21 51. Sarah E. Smith, Esq.
- 22 52. James R. Sweetin, Esq.
- 23 53. Stephen L. Titzer Esq.
- 24 54. Jacob J. Villani, Esq.
- 25 55. Marni Watkins, Esq.

- 1 56. Dan R. Waite, Esq.
- 2 57. Joseph Went, Esq.
- 3 58. Reed J. Werner, Esq.
- 4 59. Rachel Wise, Esq.
- 5 60. Natalie Ann Allred, Laymember
- 6 61. Afeni Banks, Laymember
- 7 62. Brian Catlett, Laymember
- 8 63. Alexander Falconi, Laymember
- 9 64. Brittany Falconi, Laymember
- 10 65. Joelyne Gold, Laymember
- 11 66. Elizabeth A. Hanson, Laymember
- 12 67. Jack S. Hegeduis, Laymember
- 13 68. Julia D. Hesmati, Laymember
- 14 69. William M. Holland, Laymember
- 15 70. Nicholas Kho, Laymember
- 16 71. Annette Kingsley, Laymember
- 17 72. Gale Kotlikova, Laymember
- 18 73. Todd Krome, Laymember
- 19 74. Benjamin S. Lurie, Laymember
- 20 75. Jo Kent McBeath, Laymember
- 21 76. Kellie C. Rubin, Laymember
- 22 77. Vikki L. Seelig, Laymember
- 23
- 24
- 25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

78. Danny Lee Snyder, Jr., Laymember

79. Harvey Weatherford, Laymember

DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel



By: _____

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Blvd, Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Phone: (702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case No.: OBC21-0077

 **FILED**
AUG 23 2021
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMR T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
)
Respondent.)

DECLARATION OF MAILING

Sonia Del Rio, under penalty of perjury, being first and duly sworn, deposes and says as follows:

1. That Declarant is employed with the State Bar of Nevada and, in such capacity, Declarant is Custodian of Records for the Discipline Department of the State Bar of Nevada.
2. That Declarant states that the enclosed documents are true and correct copies of the **COMPLAINT, FIRST DESIGNATION OF HEARING PANEL MEMBERS, and STATE BAR OF NEVADA’S PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES** in the matter of the *State Bar of Nevada vs. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.*, Case No. OBC21-0077.

1 3. That pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 109, the Complaint, First Designation of
2 Hearing Panel Members, and State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory Challenges
3 were served on the following placing copies in an envelope which was then
4 sealed and postage fully prepaid for regular and certified mail, and deposited
5 in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada to:

6 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
7 Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
8 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
9 Las Vegas, NV 89123
10 **CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT: 7021 0350 0001 7810 3095**

9 **And via electronic mail to:**

10 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
11 (SCR 79 email)

12 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

13 Dated this 23rd day of August 2021.

14
15 *Sonia Del Rio*
16 _____
17 Sonia Del Rio, an employee
18 of the State Bar of Nevada
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

SEP 16 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED
ON DEFAULT BASIS**

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT unless the State Bar receives a responsive pleading in the above-captioned matter by October 6, 2021, it will proceed on a default basis and ***the charges against you shall be deemed admitted.*** Supreme Court Rule 105 (2) states in relevant part:

A copy of the complaint shall be served on the attorney and it shall direct that a verified response or answer be served on bar counsel within 20 days of service . In the event the attorney fails to plead, **the charges shall be deemed admitted**; provided, however, that an attorney who fails to respond within the time provided may thereafter obtain permission of the appropriate disciplinary board chair to do so, if failure to file is attributable to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. (Emphasis added.)

///

///


///

1 Additional copies of the Complaint previously served upon you, and the First
2 Designation of Hearing Panel Members, accompanies this Notice.

3 DATED this 16th day of September 2021.

4
5 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
6 DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

4 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to
5 Proceed on a Default Basis, Complaint, and First Designation of Panel Members were
6 deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon
7 for first class-regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

8 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
10 Las Vegas, NV 89123
11 Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616
12 SCR 79 Address

13 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
14 1505 Via Cassia Street
15 Henderson NV 89052
16 Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
17 Alternate Address

18 and via email to:

- 19 1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

20 Dated this 16th day of September 2021

21
22
23
24
25

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.



FILED

NOV - 1 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
NV BAR NO. 6904)
Respondent.)

**ORDER APPOINTING HEARING
PANEL CHAIR**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following member of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board has been designated and as the Hearing Panel Chair.

1. Nell Christensen, Esq.,

DATED this 1 day of November, 2021.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

By: Dana P. Oswalt
Dana P. Oswalt (Nov 1, 2021 15:35 PDT)
Dana Oswalt, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12061
Vice-Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the **ORDER APPOINTING**
3 **HEARING PANEL CHAIR** was deposited electronically to:

- 4 1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
5 2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
6 3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

7
8 DATED this 2nd day of November 2021.


9 *Sonia Del Rio*
10 Sonia Del Rio an employee of
11 the State Bar of Nevada.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case No. OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV - 8 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,
Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 6904

Respondent.

**NOTICE OF TELEPHONIC INITIAL
CASE CONFERENCE**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, the telephonic Initial Case Conference in the above-entitled matter is set for **November 17, 2021, at 1:00 p.m.** The State Bar conference call number is 1-877-594-8353, participant passcode is 46855068#.

Dated this 8th day of November 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Gerard Gosioco".

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200
Attorney for Complainant

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
(SCR 79 address)

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052

1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee
of the State Bar of Nevada

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV 18 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
)
Respondent.)

STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT

The State Bar of Nevada, by and through Assistant Bar Counsel Gerard Gosioco, Esq., requests that an Order of Default be entered against Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq., ("Respondent") in the foregoing matter. This request is made and based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the exhibits attached hereto, and upon such further evidence and argument as the Chair may request.

///

///

///

1 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

2 **A. Respondent's SCR 79 Obligation:**

3 Respondent is a member of the State Bar of Nevada (Bar Number 6904) having been licensed
4 in the State of Nevada since October 12, 1999. Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 79(1) requires
5 every member of the State Bar of Nevada to provide the State Bar with a permanent mailing address,
6 permanent telephone number, and a current email address for purposes of State Bar communication
7 with the attorney.

8 The SCR 79 information provided by Respondent and on file with the State Bar as of June 2,
9 2015 is:

- 10 a. Mailing Address: 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 ("SCR 79
11 Address").
12 b. Phone Number: 702-333-0007
13 c. Email Address: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

14 **B. Additional Contact Information:**

15 The State Bar of Nevada identified an alternate address for Respondent, to wit, 1505 Via
16 Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 (hereinafter referenced as "Alternate Address").

17 **Service of Complaint:**

18 The State Bar of Nevada filed a Complaint against Respondent on August 23, 2021. *See*
19 Exhibit 1. The Complaint charges Respondent with the violations of Rules of Professional
20 Conduct ("RPC") as follows:

21 RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

22 RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23 ///

1 Pursuant to SCR 109(1) service of the Complaint must be made by mailing a copy to
2 Respondent's SCR 79 address via certified mail.

3 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the Complaint, by sending it
4 via certified return receipt United States mail to Respondent's SCR 79 address, pursuant to SCR
5 109(1). Affidavit of Sonia Del Rio, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6 In addition to the service required by SCR 109(1), the State Bar also attempted service of the
7 Complaint by first class mail, certified mail and by email to the address Respondent provided to the
8 State Bar. The certified mail was "Delivered, Left with Individual on August 28, 2021" as per the
9 USPS website, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10 **C. Service of the Notice of Intent to Enter Default:**

11 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c), the State Bar filed a Notice of
12 Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis ("NOIPD") against Respondent on September 16, 2021, warning
13 Respondent that, pursuant to SCR 105(2), the failure to file a responsive pleading would result in all
14 charges being deemed admitted. *See* Exhibit 4.

15 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the NOIPD by sending it via
16 certified, return-receipt United States mail and by first-class United States mail to 9555 S. Eastern
17 Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 and 1505 Via Cassia St., Henderson, NV 89052, and also sent
18 via electronic mail to Respondent's email jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com. Included with the NOIPD
19 was an additional copy of the Complaint filed in this matter. Exhibit 2. Per the USPS website,
20 pleadings were "Delivered, Left with Individual". *See* Exhibit 5.

21 No Answer or responsive pleading has been filed by Respondent.

22 ///

23 ///

1 **CONCLUSION**


2 Despite the State Bar of Nevada having gone to lengths beyond those required by Nevada
3 Supreme Court Rules, Respondent has failed to file an Answer or otherwise enter an appearance in
4 the action. Therefore, pursuant to SCR 105(2) and DRP 14(c), the State Bar respectfully requests:

- 5 (i) Entry of Default against Respondent;
6 (ii) A finding that the charges of the Complaint are deemed admitted; and
7 (iii) The scheduling of a Formal Hearing for the sole purpose of determining the
8 appropriate disciplinary sanction.

9 A proposed DEFAULT Order is attached for the Panel Chair's consideration. Exhibit 6.

10 DATED this 18th day of November 2021.

11 STATE BAR OF NEVADA
12 Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

13 
14
15

16 By: _____
17 GERARD GOSIOCO
18 Assistant Bar Counsel
19 Nevada Bar No. 14371
20 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
21 Las Vegas, NV 89102
22
23
24
25

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Certified Mail: **7020 1810 0002 0425 2068**

And via electronic mail to:

- DATED this 18th day of November 2021.

ROA Page 024

Exhibit 1

Case No: OBC21-0077



STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

COMPLAINT

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule (“SCR”) 105(2) a **VERIFIED RESPONSE OR ANSWER** to this Complaint **must be filed** with the Office of Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada, 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, **within twenty (20) days of service of this Complaint**. The procedure regarding service is addressed in SCR 109.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Complainant, State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter “State Bar”) alleges that JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter “Respondent”), Nevada Bar No. 6904, is a

1 suspended member of the State Bar, was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada
2 on October 12, 1999, and at all times pertinent to this Complaint, had a principal place of
3 business for the practice of law located in Clark County, Nevada.

4 2. Respondent has engaged in acts of misconduct in violation of the Nevada
5 Rules of Professional Conduct (“RPC”), requiring disciplinary sanctions.

6 3. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
7 2019; his suspension will be completed on May 15, 2022.

8 4. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas
9 (hereinafter “Grievant”) of LV Process & Investigations (“LVPI”) alleging that Respondent
10 failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice
11 law despite being suspended.

12 5. Grievant provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that
13 Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019,
14 and June 8, 2020.

15 6. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only
16 one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter “Mr. Larmore”) was licensed in Nevada.

17 7. The State Bar’s investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
18 initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

19 8. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI’s invoices were initiated by Mr.
20 Larmore.

21 9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation
22 (“LOI”) to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5,
23 2021.

24 10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar’s LOI by the February 5, 2021,
25 deadline.

11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26, 2021.

12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not respond to this letter.

13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by an unknown individual.

14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021, deadline.

15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter “Ms. Meeks”), an investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter “Ms. Bardis”), Respondent’s office manager.

16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the correct address.

17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

Count One

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

18. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully incorporated herein.

19. RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) states that unless an exception applies, a lawyer shall not “practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction,” or “assist another person in the unauthorized practice of law.”

20. Respondent knowingly initiated lawsuits while being suspended from the practice of law.

21. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

22. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 21, Respondent has violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law).

Count Two

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully incorporated herein.

24. RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) states, in pertinent part, that an “applicant for the admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary matter, shall not: (1) [k]nowingly make a false statement of material fact; or (2) [f]ail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or disciplinary authority.”

25. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to the State Bar's letters of investigation.

26. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

27. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 26, Respondent has violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

28. That a hearing be held pursuant to SCR 105;


29. That Respondent be assessed the costs of the disciplinary proceeding pursuant to SCR 120(1); and

1 30. That pursuant to SCR 102, such disciplinary action be taken by the Southern
2 Nevada Disciplinary Board against Respondent as may be deemed appropriate under the
3 circumstances.

4 DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

Submitted by:
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada

Exhibit 2

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

- 2
- 3
- 4

5
6

7
8

9

0

1
2

3
4
5
6
7
8

19
20
21
22

23
24
25

1 OBC21-0077 to Jeremy Bergstrom's SCR 79 address of 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV
2 89123. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616. Per the USPS website, certified
3 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 29, 2021.

4 8. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via certified mail and standard U.S.
5 Mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's
6 Peremptory Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC 21-
7 0077. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609. Per the USPS website, certified
8 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 20, 2021. The green card was returned to the
9 State Bar signed on September 21, 2021.

10 9. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via electronic mail a copy of
11 the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory
12 Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC21-0077 to Jeremy
13 Bergstrom's email address jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com.

14 10. That, to date, the State Bar of Nevada has not received a response to the Complaint filed
15 for Case No. OBC21-0077.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

17 DATED this 10th day of November, 2021.

18
19 By: Sonia Del Rio
20 Sonia Del Rio, an employee of the
21 State Bar of Nevada
22
23
24
25

Exhibit 3

USPS Tracking®[FAQs >](#)**Track Another Package +****Tracking Number:** 70210350000178103095[Remove X](#)

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 10:42 am on August 28, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89123.

✓ Delivered, Left with Individual

August 28, 2021 at 10:42 am
LAS VEGAS, NV 89123

[Feedback](#)**Get Updates** ✓**Text & Email Updates****Tracking History****Product Information****See Less** ^**Can't find what you're looking for?**

Go to our [FAQs](#) section to find answers to your tracking questions.

Exhibit 4

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

SEP 16 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED
ON DEFAULT BASIS**

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT unless the State Bar receives a responsive pleading in the above-captioned matter by October 6, 2021, it will proceed on a default basis and ***the charges against you shall be deemed admitted.*** Supreme Court Rule 105 (2) states in relevant part:

A copy of the complaint shall be served on the attorney and it shall direct that a verified response or answer be served on bar counsel within 20 days of service . In the event the attorney fails to plead, **the charges shall be deemed admitted**; provided, however, that an attorney who fails to respond within the time provided may thereafter obtain permission of the appropriate disciplinary board chair to do so, if failure to file is attributable to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. (Emphasis added.)

///

///


///

1 Additional copies of the Complaint previously served upon you, and the First
2 Designation of Hearing Panel Members, accompanies this Notice.

3 DATED this 16th day of September 2021.

4
5 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
6 DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

4 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to
5 Proceed on a Default Basis, Complaint, and First Designation of Panel Members were
6 deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon
7 for first class-regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

8 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
10 Las Vegas, NV 89123
11 Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616
12 SCR 79 Address

13 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
14 1505 Via Cassia Street
15 Henderson NV 89052
16 Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
17 Alternate Address

18 and via email to:

- 19 1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

20 Dated this 16th day of September 2021

21
22
23
24
25

Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

Exhibit 5



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502616

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:02 pm on September 29, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89102.

Delivered, Left with Individual

September 29, 2021 at 3:02 pm
LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

Feedback

Get Updates ▾

Text & Email Updates	▾
Tracking History	▾
Product Information	▾

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502609

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:05 am on September 20, 2021 in HENDERSON, NV 89052.

Delivered, Left with Individual

September 20, 2021 at 11:05 am
HENDERSON, NV 89052

Feedback


Get Updates v

Text & Email Updates	v
Tracking History	v
Product Information	v


See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		<p>A. Signature</p> <p>X </p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>	
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 1505 Via Cassia Street Henderson, NV 89052</p>		<p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p>J. T. BERGSTROM 9-20</p>	
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7021 1970 0000 0350 2609</p>		<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>RECEIVED BY SEP 21 2021 STATE BAR OF NEVADA</p>	
<p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery</p>		<p><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</p>	
<p>PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053</p>		<p>Domestic Return Receipt</p>	

USPS TRACKING #



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

9590 9402 6890 1104 4880 03

United States
Postal Service

RECEIVED BY

SEP 22 2021

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box •



STATE BAR OF NEVADA

3100 W. Charleston Blvd Ste 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

www.nvbar.org

Bergstrom / OBC21-0077 / y.segundo




Exhibit 6

Case No.: OBC21-0077

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

DEFAULT AND ORDER

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Based upon the Request for Entry of Default and supporting Certificate of Custodian of Records, filed in the above-captioned matter on August 26, 2021, the undersigned Hearing Panel Chair hereby makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of this entry of DEFAULT against attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq., Nevada Bar Number 6904. ("Respondent".)

///

///

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF DEFAULT

A. Respondent's SCR 79 Obligation:

Respondent is a member of the State Bar of Nevada (Bar Number 6904) having been licensed in the State of Nevada since October 12, 1999. Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 79(1) requires every member of the State Bar of Nevada to provide the State Bar with a permanent mailing address, permanent telephone number, and a current email address for purposes of State Bar communication with the attorney.

The SCR 79 information provided by Respondent and on file with the State Bar as of June 2, 2015 is:

- a. Mailing Address: 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 ("SCR 79 Address").
- b. Phone Number: 702-333-0007
- c. Email Address: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

B. Additional Contact Information:

The State Bar of Nevada identified an alternate address for Respondent, to wit, 1505 Via Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 (hereinafter referenced as "Alternate Address").

Service of Complaint:

The State Bar of Nevada filed a Complaint against Respondent on August 23, 2021. *See* Exhibit 1. The Complaint charges Respondent with the violations of Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC") as follows:

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

///

1 Pursuant to SCR 109(1) service of the Complaint must be made by mailing a copy to
2 Respondent's SCR 79 address via certified mail.

3 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the Complaint, by sending it
4 via certified return receipt United States mail to Respondent's SCR 79 address, pursuant to SCR
5 109(1). Affidavit of Sonia Del Rio, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6 In addition to the service required by SCR 109(1), the State Bar also attempted service of the
7 Complaint by first class mail, certified mail and by email to the address Respondent provided to the
8 State Bar. The certified mail was "Delivered, Left with Individual on August 28, 2021" as per the
9 USPS website, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10 **C. Service of the Notice of Intent to Enter Default:**

11 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c), the State Bar filed a Notice of
12 Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis ("NOIPD") against Respondent on September 16, 2021, warning
13 Respondent that, pursuant to SCR 105(2), the failure to file a responsive pleading would result in all
14 charges being deemed admitted. *See* Exhibit 4.

15 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the NOIPD by sending it via
16 certified, return-receipt United States mail and by first-class United States mail to 9555 S. Eastern
17 Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 and 1505 Via Cassia St., Henderson, NV 89052, and also sent
18 via electronic mail to Respondent's email jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com. Included with the NOIPD
19 was an additional copy of the Complaint filed in this matter. Exhibit 2. Per the USPS website,
20 pleadings were "Delivered, Left with Individual". *See* Exhibit 5.

21 No Answer or responsive pleading has been filed by Respondent.

22 ///

23 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Rule 109 of the Nevada Supreme Court Rules states that service on a Respondent shall be made as follows

1. Complaint. Service of a complaint under these rules shall be made by personal service by any person authorized in the manner prescribed by [Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 4\(c\)](#), or by registered or certified mail at the current address shown in the state bar's records or other last known address.

2. Other papers. Service of other papers or notices required by these rules shall be made in accordance with [Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 5](#), unless otherwise provided by these rules.

2. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the Complaint.

3 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c) notice of intent to enter default shall be made as follows:

(c) Failure to file verified response or answer. In the event the respondent fails to plead, Bar counsel shall file a Notice of Intent to Proceed on Default Basis. This Notice of Intent shall be served on the respondent with a date to file a verified answer that is twenty (20) days from the date of filing the notice. A copy of the complaint and First Designation shall also accompany the notice of intent. If the Respondent fails to respond after the notice of intent is served, the charges in the complaint shall be deemed admitted. . . .

4. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the NOIPD.

5. The State Bar went beyond the requirements to notify Respondent of the pending Complaint and his obligation to respond thereto.

6. Respondent has failed to respond to the Complaint.

ORDER OF DEFAULT

NOW THEREFORE, Respondent having failed to comply with SCR 105(2) by having failed to respond to (i) the Complaint and (ii) the Notice of Intent to Enter Default that were properly served by the State Bar to Respondent's SCR 79 address(es) of record;

1 DEFAULT IS HEREBY ENTERED against Respondent Stephen A. Bowers, Esq.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in accordance with SCR 105(2), the charges in the
3 Complaint shall be deemed admitted.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED this _____ day of November 2021.

6

7

8

By: _____
Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair
Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV 18 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: *[Signature]*
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

NV Bar No. 6904

Respondent.

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 17 of the Disciplinary Rules of Procedure ("DRP"), on Wednesday, November 17, 2021, at 1:00 p.m., Nell Christensen, Esq., the Formal Hearing Panel Chair, met telephonically with Gerard Gosioco, Esq., Bar Counsel, on behalf of the State Bar of Nevada, to conduct the Initial Conference in this matter. Respondent did not appear. Respondent made no advance contact with the State Bar or the Chair advising of any scheduling or appearance difficulty.

During the Case Conference the Chair and State Bar discussed disclosures, discovery issues, the potential for resolution of this matter prior to the hearing, a status conference, and the hearing date.

The Chair finds and rules as follows:

1. The State Bar consent to service by electronic means of all documents pursuant to SCR 109(2), NRCP 5, and DRP 11(b)(3) with the understanding that **all documents need to be submitted by 5:00 p.m. to be file stamped timely.**

- 1 **2.** The parties stipulate that venue is proper in Clark County, Nevada.
- 2 **3.** The Formal Hearing for this matter is hereby set for **one (1) day starting at**
3 **9:00 a.m. on January 27, 2022**, and shall take place virtually via Zoom Conferencing
4 until further notice.
- 5 **4.** On or before **November 24, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, the State Bar of Nevada's
6 initial disclosures shall be served on all parties. The documents provided by the State Bar
7 shall be bates stamped with numerical designations. *See* DRP 17 (a).
- 8 **5.** On or before **December 2, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, Respondent's initial
9 disclosures shall be served on all parties. The documents provided by the Respondent shall
10 be bates stamped with alphabetical exhibit designations. *See* DRP 17 (a).
- 11 **6.** On or before **December 13, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, the parties shall file and
12 serve any Motions.
- 13 **7.** On or before **December 27, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, all oppositions to the
14 Motions, if any, shall be filed and served on the parties.
- 15 **8.** On or before **December 28, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, the parties shall serve a
16 Final Designation of witnesses expected to testify and exhibits expected to be presented at
17 the Formal Hearing in this matter, pursuant to SCR 105(2)(d), DRP 17(a) and DRP 21.
- 18 **9.** All documents disclosed shall be bates stamped, the State Bar will use
19 numerical exhibit designations and Respondent will use alphabetical exhibit designations,
20 pursuant to DRP 17.
- 21 **10.** On **January 20, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.**, the parties shall meet telephonically
22 with Nell Christensen Esq. for the Pre-hearing Conference. Any pending issues, including
23 pending Motions, will be addressed at the Pre-hearing Conference. The parties shall use the
24 State Bar conference bridge (877) 594-8353 and the passcode is 46855068#. Pursuant to
25 DRP 23, at the Pre-hearing conference (i) the parties shall discuss all matters needing

attention prior to the hearing date, (ii) the Chair may rule on any motions or disputes including motions to exclude evidence, witnesses, or other pretrial evidentiary matter, and (iii) the parties shall discuss and determine stipulated exhibits proffered by either the State Bar or Respondent as well as a stipulated statement of facts, if any.

11. The parties stipulate to waive SCR 105(2)(d) to allow for the formal appointment of the remaining hearing panel members on a date that is greater than 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Based on the parties' verbal agreement to the foregoing during the telephonic Initial Conference and good cause appearing, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this 18th day of November 2021.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

By: Nell Christensen
Nell Christensen, Esq.
Hearing Panel Chair

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
(SCR 79 address)

And via electronic mail to:

- DATED this 18th day of November 2021.

ROA Page 054

AFFIDAVIT OF DUE DILIGENCE

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant

v.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Respondent

Case No.: OBC21-0077

Gerard Gosioco, Esq., Bar No. 14371

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

3100 W. Charleston 100

Las Vegas, NV 89102

(702) 382-2200

Attorneys for the Complainant

Client File# OBC21-0077

I, Tanner Trewet, being sworn, states: That I am a licensed process server registered in Nevada. I received a copy of the Complaint; Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair; Notice Of Intent To Proceed On Default Basis; Designation Of Hearing Panel Members; Notice Of Telephonic Initial Case Conference; Declaration Of Mailing, from OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

That attempts were made to serve Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. with Complaint; Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair; Notice Of Intent To Proceed On Default Basis; Designation Of Hearing Panel Members; Notice Of Telephonic Initial Case Conference; Declaration Of Mailing, at:

Attempted at 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 On 11/12/2021 at 4:08 PM
Results: Door locked. Signage for business: De Castroverde Law Group. No posted hours.

Attempted at 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 On 11/15/2021 at 10:15 AM
Results: No changes. Door locked and no answer.

Attempted at 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 On 11/16/2021 at 1:12 PM
Results: No changes. Door locked. Neighboring suite unable to provide any information.

I being duly sworn, states: that all times herein, Affiant was and is over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceedings in which this Affidavit is made. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 11/19/21



Tanner Trewet
Registered Work Card# R- 2019-07712
State of Nevada

(No Notary Per NRS 53.045)

Service Provided for:
Nationwide Legal Nevada, LLC
626 S. 7th Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5444
Nevada Lic # 1656



Control #: NV249681
Reference: OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV 24 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: *[Signature]*
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case No: OBC21-0077

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
)
Respondent.)
)

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S
INITIAL DISCLOSURE OF
DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES**

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the following is a list of witnesses and a summary of evidence which may be offered against Respondent at the time of the Formal Hearing, in the above-entitled complaint.

A. Documentary Evidence

Any and all documentation contained in the State Bar of Nevada's file including but not limited to, correspondence, emails, memorandums, text messages, notes, payments, invoices, bank records, receipts, billing entries and pleadings regarding grievance file numbers OBC21-0077.

Any and all documentation contained in records of the State Bar of Nevada regarding Respondent's licensure, compliance with reporting requirements, and disciplinary history.

The State Bar reserves the right to supplement this list as necessary.

Exhibit#	Document	Bates Stamped
1.	Formal Hearing Packet	will be produced prior to hearing
2.	Affidavit of Prior Discipline	will be produced at the time of hearing
3.	Grievance – January 19, 2021	SBN 001-053
4.	Letter of Investigation (“LOI”) – January 26, 2021	SBN 001-002
5.	LOI – February 11, 2021	SBN 001-002
6.	USPS Return Slip – February 17, 2021	SBN 001-002
7.	Email from Thomas Larmore – August 16, 2021	SBN 001-004
8.	USPS Return Slip – September 21, 2021	SBN 001-002

The State Bar incorporates by reference all documents identified by Respondent in these matters.

B. Witnesses and Brief Statement of Facts

1. Respondent, JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq., will be called and would be expected to testify regarding his conduct and communications surrounding the events related to, and any and all documents pertinent to, each of the charged violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct, including but not limited to facts pertaining to the breach of his professional responsibilities as an attorney, his mental state pursuant to ABA Standards, the harm resulting from his conduct, and any aggravating and mitigating factors pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 102.5. Respondent is expected to provide testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding OBC21-0077.

2. Dawn Meeks, an investigator with the State Bar of Nevada Office of Bar Counsel, is expected to provide testimony regarding her investigation of OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, information and documents provided by Respondent and Grievant, communications with Respondent and Grievant, and Respondent's disciplinary history.


3. Genice Rojas is expected to offer testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding Case No. OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations contained in said grievance.

4. Maggie Bardis is expected to offer testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding Case No. OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations contained in said grievance.

5. Thomas Larmore, Esq. is expected to offer testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding Case No. OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations contained in said grievance.

DATED this 24th day of November 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing **STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S INITIAL DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES** was sent by prepaid first-class regular U.S. Mail to:

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052

And via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
2. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

DATED this 24th day of November 2021.

By: Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio,
An employee of the State Bar of Nevada

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant

v.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent

Case No.:OBC21-0077
Gerard Gosioco, Esq., Bar No. 14371
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL
3100 W. Charleston 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102
(702) 382-2200
Attorneys for the Complainant
Client File# OBC21-0077

I, Sean Keseday, being sworn, states: That I am a licensed process server registered in Nevada. I received a copy of the Complaint; Designation Of Hearing Panel Member; Notice Of Intent To Proceed On Default Basis; Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair; Notice Of Telephonic Initial Case Conference; Declaration Of Mailing, from OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL


That on 11/19/2021 at 3:41 PM at 1505 Via Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 I served Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esquire with the above-listed documents by personally delivering a true and correct copy of the documents by leaving with Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esquire.

That the description of the person actually served is as follows:

Gender: Male, Race: Caucasian, Age: 50's, Height: 5'8", Weight: 195 lbs., Hair: Baseball Cap, Eyes:Brown

I being duly sworn, states: that all times herein, Affiant was and is over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceedings in which this Affidavit is made. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 12/3/21


Sean Keseday
Registered Work Card# R-065975
State of Nevada

(No Notary Per NRS 53.045)

Service Provided for:
Nationwide Legal Nevada, LLC
626 S. 7th Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5444
Nevada Lic # 1656



Control #:NV250180
Reference: OBC21-0077

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

DEC 28 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF
FORMAL HEARING**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the formal hearing in the above-entitled action has been scheduled for **one day on January 27, 2022, at the hour of 9:00 a.m.**, The hearing will be conducted virtually through **ZOOM video conference, until further notice**. The parties have stipulated to the hearing date set forth above.

Please be further advised that you are entitled to be represented by counsel, to cross-examine witnesses, and to present evidence.

DATED this 28th day of December 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel



By:

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200
Attorney for State Bar of Nevada

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing
3 **NOTICE OF FORMAL HEARING** was sent by first-class regular U.S. Mail to:

4 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
5 Las Vegas, NV 89123
(SCR 79 address)

6
7 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052
8 (Alternate address)

9 And via email to:

- 10 1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountynvda.com
11 2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
12 3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

13 Dated this 28th day of December 2021.

14
15 *Sonia Del Rio*
16 _____
Sonia Del Rio, an employee
of the State Bar of Nevada



FILED

DEC 28 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: *[Signature]*
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case No: OBC21-0077

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
)
Respondent.)
)
)

STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S
FINAL DISCLOSURE OF
DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the following is a list of witnesses and a summary of evidence which may be offered against Respondent at the time of the Formal Hearing, in the above-entitled complaint.

A. Documentary Evidence

Any and all documentation contained in the State Bar of Nevada's file including but not limited to, correspondence, emails, memorandums, text messages, notes, payments, invoices, bank records, receipts, billing entries and pleadings regarding grievance file numbers OBC21-0077.

Any and all documentation contained in records of the State Bar of Nevada regarding Respondent's licensure, compliance with reporting requirements, and disciplinary history.

The State Bar reserves the right to supplement this list as necessary.

Exhibit#	Document	Bates Stamped
1.	Formal Hearing Packet	will be produced prior to hearing
2.	Affidavit of Prior Discipline	will be produced at the time of hearing
3.	Grievance – January 19, 2021	SBN 001-053
4.	Letter of Investigation (“LOI”) – January 26, 2021	SBN 001-002
5.	LOI – February 11, 2021	SBN 001-002
6.	USPS Return Slip – February 17, 2021	SBN 001-002
7.	Email from Thomas Larmore – August 16, 2021	SBN 001-004
8.	USPS Return Slip – September 21, 2021	SBN 001-002

The State Bar incorporates by reference all documents identified by Respondent in these matters.

B. Witnesses and Brief Statement of Facts

1. Respondent, JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq., will be called and would be expected to testify regarding his conduct and communications surrounding the events related to, and any and all documents pertinent to, each of the charged violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct, including but not limited to facts pertaining to the breach of his professional responsibilities as an attorney, his mental state pursuant to ABA Standards, the harm resulting from his conduct, and any aggravating and mitigating factors pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 102.5. Respondent is expected to provide testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding OBC21-0077.

2. Dawn Meeks, an investigator with the State Bar of Nevada Office of Bar Counsel, is expected to provide testimony regarding her investigation of OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, information and documents provided by Respondent and Grievant, communications with Respondent and Grievant, and Respondent's disciplinary history.

3. Genice Rojas is expected to offer testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding Case No. OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations contained in said grievance.

4. Maggie Bardis is expected to offer testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding Case No. OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations contained in said grievance.

5. Thomas Larmore, Esq. is expected to offer testimony regarding the facts and circumstances regarding Case No. OBC21-0077, including but not limited to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations contained in said grievance.

DATED this 28th day of December 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel

Nevada Bar No. 14371

3100 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

(702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing **STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S FINAL DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES** was sent by prepaid first-class regular U.S. Mail to:

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052

And via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
2. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

DATED this 28th day of December 2021.

By: Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio,
An employee of the State Bar of Nevada



FILED

DEC 29 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case Nos: OBC21-0077

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.

NV BAR No. 6904

Respondent.

**ORDER APPOINTING
FORMAL HEARING PANEL**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following members of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board have been designated as members of the formal hearing panel in the above-entitled action. The hearing will be convened on the 27th day of January, 2021 starting at 9:00 a.m. via Zoom Video Conferencing.

1. Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair;
2. Marni Watkins, Esq.
3. Gale Kotlikova, Laymember

DATED this 29 day of December, 2021.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

By: Christopher Lalli
Christopher Lalli (Dec 29, 2021 14:20 PST)
Christopher Lalli, Esq.
Nevada Bar No.5398
Vice-Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the **ORDER APPOINTING**
3 **FORMAL HEARING PANEL** was deposited electronically to:

- 4 1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
5 2. Marni Watkins, Esq. (Panel Member): marnidukes@yahoo.com
6 3. Gale Kotlikova (Panel Lay Member): gkot@hotmail.com
7 4. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
8 5. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

9
10 DATED this 30th day of December 2021.

11 *Sonia Del Rio*

12 _____
13 Sonia Del Rio an employee of
14 the State Bar of Nevada.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



FILED

JAN -7 2022

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case No.: OBC21-0077

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)
)
_____)

DEFAULT AND ORDER

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052
(Alternate address)

Based upon the Request for Entry of Default and supporting Certificate of Custodian of Records, filed in the above-captioned matter on November 18, 2021, the undersigned Hearing Panel Chair hereby makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of this entry of DEFAULT against attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq., (“Respondent”), Nevada Bar Number 6904.

///

///

1 **FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF DEFAULT**

2 **A. Respondent's SCR 79 Obligation:**

3 Respondent is a member of the State Bar of Nevada (Bar Number 6904) having been licensed
4 in the State of Nevada since October 12, 1999. Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 79(1) requires
5 every member of the State Bar of Nevada to provide the State Bar with a permanent mailing address,
6 permanent telephone number, and a current email address for purposes of State Bar communication
7 with the attorney.

8 The SCR 79 information provided by Respondent and on file with the State Bar as of June 2,
9 2015, is:

- 10 a. Mailing Address: 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 ("SCR 79
11 Address")
12 b. Phone Number: (702) 333-0007
13 c. Email Address: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

14 **B. Additional Contact Information:**

15 The State Bar of Nevada identified an alternate address for Respondent, to wit, 1505 Via
16 Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 (hereinafter referenced as "Alternate Address").

17 **Service of Complaint:**

18 The State Bar of Nevada filed a Complaint against Respondent on August 23, 2021. *See*
19 Exhibit 1. The Complaint charges Respondent with the violations of Rules of Professional Conduct
20 ("RPC") as follows:

21 RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

22 RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23 ///

1 Pursuant to SCR 109(1) service of the Complaint must be made by mailing a copy to
2 Respondent's SCR 79 address via certified mail.

3 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the Complaint, by sending it
4 via certified return receipt United States mail to Respondent's SCR 79 address, pursuant to SCR
5 109(1). *See* Affidavit of Sonia Del Rio, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6 In addition to the service required by SCR 109(1), the State Bar also attempted service of the
7 Complaint by first class mail, certified mail and by email to the address Respondent provided to the
8 State Bar. The certified mail was "Delivered, Left with Individual on August 28, 2021" as per the
9 USPS website, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10 **C. Service of the Notice of Intent to Enter Default:**

11 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c), the State Bar filed a Notice of
12 Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis ("NOIPD") against Respondent on September 16, 2021, warning
13 Respondent that, pursuant to SCR 105(2), the failure to file a responsive pleading would result in all
14 charges being deemed admitted. *See* Exhibit 4.

15 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the NOIPD by sending it via
16 certified, return-receipt United States mail and by first-class United States mail to 9555 S. Eastern
17 Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 and 1505 Via Cassia St., Henderson, NV 89052, and also sent
18 via electronic mail to Respondent's email jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com. Included with the
19 NOIPD was an additional copy of the Complaint filed in this matter. Exhibit 4. Per the USPS
20 website, pleadings were "Delivered, Left with Individual". *See* Exhibit 5.

21 No Answer or responsive pleading has been filed by Respondent.

22 ///

23 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Rule 109 of the Nevada Supreme Court Rules states that service on a Respondent shall be made as follows:

1. Complaint. Service of a complaint under these rules shall be made by personal service by any person authorized in the manner prescribed by Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c), or by registered or certified mail at the current address shown in the state bar's records or other last known address.

2. Other papers. Service of other papers or notices required by these rules shall be made in accordance with Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 5, unless otherwise provided by these rules.

2. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the Complaint.

3 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c) notice of intent to enter default shall be made as follows:

(c) Failure to file verified response or answer. In the event the respondent fails to plead, Bar counsel shall file a Notice of Intent to Proceed on Default Basis. This Notice of Intent shall be served on the respondent with a date to file a verified answer that is twenty (20) days from the date of filing the notice. A copy of the complaint and First Designation shall also accompany the notice of intent. If the Respondent fails to respond after the notice of intent is served, the charges in the complaint shall be deemed admitted[.]

4. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the NOIPD.

5. The State Bar went beyond the requirements to notify Respondent of the pending Complaint and his obligation to respond thereto.

6. Respondent has failed to respond to the Complaint.

ORDER OF DEFAULT

NOW THEREFORE, Respondent having failed to comply with SCR 105(2) by having failed to respond to (i) the Complaint and (ii) the Notice of Intent to Enter Default that were properly served by the State Bar to Respondent's SCR 79 address(es) of record;

DEFAULT IS HEREBY ENTERED against Respondent Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in accordance with SCR 105(2), the charges in the
2 Complaint shall be deemed admitted.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED this 7th
5 day of January 2022.

6
7
8 *Nell Christensen*
9 By: _____
10 Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair
11 Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

4 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the **DEAFULT AND**
5 **ORDER** was deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-
6 paid thereon for first class-regular mail, addressed to:

7
8 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
10 Las Vegas, NV 89123

11
12 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
13 1505 Via Cassia Street
14 Henderson NV 89052

15 and via email to:

- 16
17 1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
18
19 2. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
20
21 3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org
22
23
24
25

Dated this 12th day of January 2022

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

Exhibit 1

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

COMPLAINT

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 105(2) a **VERIFIED RESPONSE OR ANSWER** to this Complaint **must be filed** with the Office of Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada, 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, **within twenty (20) days of service of this Complaint**. The procedure regarding service is addressed in SCR 109.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Complainant, State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter "State Bar") alleges that JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter "Respondent"), Nevada Bar No. 6904, is a

1 suspended member of the State Bar, was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada
2 on October 12, 1999, and at all times pertinent to this Complaint, had a principal place of
3 business for the practice of law located in Clark County, Nevada.

4 2. Respondent has engaged in acts of misconduct in violation of the Nevada
5 Rules of Professional Conduct (“RPC”), requiring disciplinary sanctions.

6 3. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
7 2019; his suspension will be completed on May 15, 2022.

8 4. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas
9 (hereinafter “Grievant”) of LV Process & Investigations (“LVPI”) alleging that Respondent
10 failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice
11 law despite being suspended.

12 5. Grievant provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that
13 Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019,
14 and June 8, 2020.

15 6. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only
16 one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter “Mr. Larmore”) was licensed in Nevada.

17 7. The State Bar’s investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
18 initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

19 8. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI’s invoices were initiated by Mr.
20 Larmore.

21 9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation
22 (“LOI”) to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5,
23 2021.

24 10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar’s LOI by the February 5, 2021,
25 deadline.

11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26, 2021.

12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not respond to this letter.

13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by an unknown individual.

14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021, deadline.

15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter “Ms. Meeks”), an investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter “Ms. Bardis”), Respondent’s office manager.

16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the correct address.

17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

Count One

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

18. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully incorporated herein.

19. RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) states that unless an exception applies, a lawyer shall not “practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction,” or “assist another person in the unauthorized practice of law.”

20. Respondent knowingly initiated lawsuits while being suspended from the practice of law.

21. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

22. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 21, Respondent has violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law).

Count Two

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully incorporated herein.

24. RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) states, in pertinent part, that an “applicant for the admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary matter, shall not: (1) [k]nowingly make a false statement of material fact; or (2) [f]ail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or disciplinary authority.”

25. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to the State Bar's letters of investigation.

26. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

27. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 26, Respondent has violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

28. That a hearing be held pursuant to SCR 105;

29. That Respondent be assessed the costs of the disciplinary proceeding pursuant to SCR 120(1); and

1 30. That pursuant to SCR 102, such disciplinary action be taken by the Southern
2 Nevada Disciplinary Board against Respondent as may be deemed appropriate under the
3 circumstances.

4 DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

Submitted by:
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counse
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada

Exhibit 2

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

- 2
- 3
- 4

5
67
8

9

0

1
2

3
4
5
6
7
8

9
20
21
22

23
24
25

1 OBC21-0077 to Jeremy Bergstrom's SCR 79 address of 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV
2 89123. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616. Per the USPS website, certified
3 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 29, 2021.

4 8. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via certified mail and standard U.S.
5 Mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's
6 Peremptory Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC 21-
7 0077. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609. Per the USPS website, certified
8 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 20, 2021. The green card was returned to the
9 State Bar signed on September 21, 2021.

10 9. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via electronic mail a copy of
11 the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory
12 Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC21-0077 to Jeremy
13 Bergstrom's email address jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com.

14 10. That, to date, the State Bar of Nevada has not received a response to the Complaint filed
15 for Case No. OBC21-0077.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

17 DATED this 10th day of November, 2021.

18
19 By: Sonia Del Rio
20 Sonia Del Rio, an employee of the
21 State Bar of Nevada
22
23
24
25

Exhibit 3

USPS Tracking®[FAQs >](#)**Track Another Package +****Tracking Number:** 70210350000178103095[Remove X](#)

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 10:42 am on August 28, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89123.

✓ Delivered, Left with Individual

August 28, 2021 at 10:42 am
LAS VEGAS, NV 89123

[Feedback](#)**Get Updates** ✓**Text & Email Updates****Tracking History****Product Information****See Less** ^**Can't find what you're looking for?**

Go to our [FAQs](#) section to find answers to your tracking questions.

Exhibit 4

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

SEP 16 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED
ON DEFAULT BASIS**

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT unless the State Bar receives a responsive pleading in the above-captioned matter by October 6, 2021, it will proceed on a default basis and ***the charges against you shall be deemed admitted.*** Supreme Court Rule 105 (2) states in relevant part:

A copy of the complaint shall be served on the attorney and it shall direct that a verified response or answer be served on bar counsel within 20 days of service . In the event the attorney fails to plead, **the charges shall be deemed admitted**; provided, however, that an attorney who fails to respond within the time provided may thereafter obtain permission of the appropriate disciplinary board chair to do so, if failure to file is attributable to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. (Emphasis added.)

///

///


///

1 Additional copies of the Complaint previously served upon you, and the First
2 Designation of Hearing Panel Members, accompanies this Notice.

3 DATED this 16th day of September 2021.

4
5 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
6 DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis, Complaint, and First Designation of Panel Members were deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for first class-regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616
SCR 79 Address

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson NV 89052
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
Alternate Address

and via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Dated this 16th day of September 2021

Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

Exhibit 5

USPS Tracking®[FAQs >](#)**Track Another Package +****Tracking Number:** 70211970000003502616[Remove X](#)

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:02 pm on September 29, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89102.

✓ Delivered, Left with Individual

September 29, 2021 at 3:02 pm
LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

[Feedback](#)**Get Updates** ✓**Text & Email Updates****Tracking History****Product Information****See Less** ^**Can't find what you're looking for?**

Go to our [FAQs](#) section to find answers to your tracking questions.



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502609

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:05 am on September 20, 2021 in HENDERSON, NV 89052.

 **Delivered, Left with Individual**

September 20, 2021 at 11:05 am
HENDERSON, NV 89052

Feedback

Get Updates ▾

Text & Email Updates	▾
Tracking History	▾
Product Information	▾

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052



9590 9402 6890 1104 4880 03

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 1970 0000 0350 2609

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

BERGSTROM

C. Date of Delivery

9-20

- D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

RECEIVED BY**SEP 21 2021****STATE BAR OF NEVADA**

3. Service Type

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | |

Mail
Mail Restricted Delivery
(0)

USPS TRACKING #



First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

9590 9402 6890 1104 4880 03

United States
Postal Service

RECEIVED BY

SEP 22 2021

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box•




STATE BAR OF NEVADA

3100 W. Charleston Blvd Ste 100

Las Vegas, NV 89102

www.nvbar.org

Bergstrom / OBC 21-0077 / y. segundo



Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

JAN 26 2022

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: *[Signature]*
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF
DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the following is a list of witnesses and a summary of evidence which may be offered against Respondent at the time of the Formal Hearing, in the above-entitled complaint.

A. Documentary Evidence

Any and all documentation contained in the State Bar of Nevada's file including but not limited to, correspondence, emails, memorandums, text messages, notes, payments, invoices, bank records, receipts, billing entries and pleadings regarding grievance file numbers OBC21-0077.

Any and all documentation contained in records of the State Bar of Nevada regarding Respondent's licensure, compliance with reporting requirements, and disciplinary history.

The State Bar reserves the right to supplement this list as necessary.

///

///

///

Exhibit#	Document	Bates Stamped
9.	Affidavit of Due Diligence – January 25, 2022	SBN 001
10.	Affidavit of Due Discipline – January 26, 2022	SBN 001

The State Bar incorporates by reference all documents identified by Respondent in these matters.

DATED this 26th day of January, 2022.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing **STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES** was sent via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
2. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

DATED this 26th day of January, 2022.

By: Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio,
An employee of the State Bar of Nevada

Case No. OBC21-0077



STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM,
Nevada Bar No. 6904

Respondent.

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF
LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION AFTER
FORMAL HEARING**

This matter involving attorney JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter "Respondent"), Bar No. 6904, initially came before a designated Formal Hearing Panel of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board (hereinafter "Panel") at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, January 27, 2022, on the online video conferencing platform Zoom. The Panel consisted of Chair Nell Christensen, Esq., Marni Watkins, Esq., and Gale Kotlikova, lay member. Assistant Bar Counsel, Gerard Gosioco, Esq., represented the State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter "State Bar"). Respondent was not present.

The State Bar presented materials consisting of pleadings and other pertinent documents, which were admitted into evidence as Exhibits 1 through 10.

Based upon the evidence presented, the Panel unanimously issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent is a suspended member of the State Bar who was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada on October 12, 1999.

2. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14, 2019.

3. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas (hereinafter “Ms. Rojas”) of LV Process & Investigations (“LVPI”) alleging that Respondent failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice law despite being suspended.

4. Ms. Rojas provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019, and June 8, 2020.

5. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter “Mr. Larmore”) was licensed in Nevada.

6. The State Bar’s investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

7. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI’s invoices were initiated by Mr. Larmore.

8. It is unclear whether Mr. Larmore initiated the other lawsuits as he stated he only worked for Respondent for a short period.

9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation (“LOI”) to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5, 2021.

10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar’s LOI by the February 5, 2021, deadline.

11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26, 2021.

12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not respond to this letter.

13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by an unknown individual.

14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021, deadline.

15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter “Ms. Meeks”), an investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter “Ms. Bardis”), Respondent’s office manager.

16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the correct address.

17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

18. The State Bar made multiple attempts to personally serve Respondent.

19. Respondent knew about the instant proceedings and chose not to participate in the same.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Panel hereby issues the following Conclusions of Law:

1. The Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter of these proceedings pursuant to Supreme Court Rule (“SCR”) 99.

2. Venue is proper in Clark County.

3. The State Bar must prove by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent violated any Rules of Professional Conduct. *See* Nev. Sup. Ct. R. 105(2)(f); *In re Stuhff*, 108 Nev. 629, 633-634, 837 P.2d 853, 856; *Gentile v. State Bar*, 106 Nev. 60, 62, 787 P.2d 386, 387 (1990).

4. The Panel unanimously finds that the foregoing findings of fact prove by clear and convincing evidence that:

a. Respondent knowingly violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) which caused injury to the public and the legal profession.

1 b. Respondent knowingly violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)
2 which caused injury to the public and the legal profession.

3 5. The appropriate level of discipline must be determined considering “all relevant factors
4 and mitigating circumstances on a case-by-case basis.” *State Bar of Nevada v. Claiborne*, 104 Nev.
5 11, 219, 756 P.2d 464, 531 (1988). We evaluate The American Bar Association Standards for
6 Imposing Lawyer Sanctions’ four factors to be considered in determining the appropriate disciplinary
7 sanction: “the duty violated, the lawyer’s mental state, the potential or actual injury caused by the
8 lawyer’s misconduct, and the existence of aggravating or mitigating factors.” *See In re Discipline of*
9 *Lerner*, 124 Nev. 1232, 1246, 197 P.3d 1067, 1078 (2008).

10 6. Pursuant to Standards 7.1 and 8.1 of the ABA Standard for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions,
11 the appropriate baseline sanction for Respondent’s violations of RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of
12 Law) and RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) is disbarment.

13 7. Pursuant to SCR 102.5(1), the Panel unanimously found the following aggravating
14 factors exist:

- 15 a. Prior disciplinary offenses;
- 16 b. A pattern of misconduct;
- 17 c. Multiple offenses; and
- 18 d. Substantial experience in the practice of law.

19 8. Pursuant to SCR 102.5(2), the Panel unanimously found that no mitigating factors exist.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

DATED this 2nd day of February 2022.

Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair
Southern Nevada Disciplinary Panel

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
11
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
21
2
3
4
5

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

and via email to:

- Dated this 2nd day of February 2022

ROA Page 103



FILED

FEB 24 2022

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case No.: OBC21-0077

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
NV Bar No. 6904)
)
Respondent.)

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S
MEMORANDUM OF COSTS**

Description	Amount
Court Reporter Fee & Transcript Fee Hearing Held on January 27, 2022	\$362.50
Nationwide Legal Services Invoice No. 38716	\$120.00
Nationwide Legal Services Invoice No. 37042	\$120.00
SCR 120	\$3,000.00
Certified Mail Costs (6 x \$6.78)	\$40.68
TOTAL	\$3,643.18

1. I am Assistant Bar Counsel with the State Bar of Nevada. I have personal knowledge of the above-referenced costs and disbursements expended.

2. The costs set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and

///

1 belief and were necessary and reasonably incurred and paid in connection with this matter.

2 True and correct copies of invoices supporting these costs are attached to this Memorandum
3 of Costs.

4 3. As stated in the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendation,
5 Respondent shall be ordered to pay the fees and costs of these proceedings within thirty (30)
6 days of receipt of the State Bar of Nevada's Memorandum of Costs in this matter pursuant to
7 Supreme Court Rule 120(1).

8 Dated this 24th day of February 2022.

9 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

10 

14 By: _____

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorney for State Bar of Nevada

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing **STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S MEMORANDUM OF COSTS** was deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for regular mail addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052

And via email to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

DATED this 24th day of February 2022.

By: Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada



Integrity Court Reporting
7835 S. Rainbow Boulevard
Suite 4-25
Las Vegas, NV 89139
(702)509-3121

Invoice

Number: 1912

Date: 2/14/2022

Bill To:

Louise Watson
State Bar of Nevada
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard
Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV, 89102

PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT

Job Date	Witness Name	Case Name	Case No.
1-27-22	Jeremy Bergstrom	State Bar v Bergstrom	OBC21-0077

Description	Amount
Half Day Appearance Fee	\$100.00
Transcript - 35 Pages @ 7.50	\$262.50

Tax I.D. No. 01-0974768

Total

\$362.50
sdr

Received On: _____

Received By: _____



T (213) 249-9999 | F (213) 249-9990

INVOICE

Invoice No.	Customer No.
00000038716	21191
INVOICE DATE:	Total Due
1/31/2022	\$ 120.00

PLEASE MAKE REMITTANCE TO:

Attention: Accounts Payable
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL
3100 W. Charleston, # 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102
T (702) 382-2200

Nationwide Legal, LLC
1609 James M Wood Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90015
TAX ID # 20-8284527

Customer No.	Invoice No.	Period Ending	Amount Due	Page
21191	00000038716	1/31/2022	\$ 120.00	1

Date	Order No	Service Detail	Charges	Units	Total
1/12/2022 NV252325 030 - STANDARD PROCESS (48)		STATE BAR OF NEVADA 3100 W. Charleston 100 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Caller: Sonia Del Rio Case Title: STATE BAR OF NEVADA, vs. JEREM Docs: Deliver Documents;; Attorney Name: Nell Christensen, Esq.	Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 9555 S. Eastern Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89123 Case Number: OBC21-0077 Client/Matter: OBC21-0077 Description: Please serve Jeremy Bergstrom with attached pleading. We will need affidavit of service and/or due diligence upon completion.	Base Charge : Total:	\$ 60.00 \$ 60.00
1/19/2022 NV252582 030 - STANDARD PROCESS (48)		STATE BAR OF NEVADA 3100 W. Charleston 100 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Caller: Sonia Del Rio Case Title: STATE BAR OF NEVADA, vs. JEREM Docs: Deliver Documents;; Attorney Name: Gerard Gosioco, Esq.,	Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 1505 Via Cassia Street Henderson, NV 89052 Case Number: OBC21-0077 Client/Matter: OBC21-0077 Description: Please serve Jeremy Bergstrom with attached pleading. We will need affidavit of service and/or due diligence upon completion. *Personal Only Service*	Base Charge : Total:	\$ 60.00 \$ 60.00
Total Charges for Ref. - OBC21-0077: Total					\$ 120.00 \$ 120.00
					2/4/22 sdr

INVOICE PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT



INVOICE

PHONE (213) 249-9999

Invoice No.	Customer No.
00000037042	21191
INVOICE DATE:	Total Due
11/30/2021	\$ 180.00

PLEASE MAKE REMITTANCE TO:

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL
3100 W. Charleston, # 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102
T (702) 382-2200

Nationwide Legal, LLC
1609 James M Wood Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90015
TAX ID # 20-8284527

Customer No.	Invoice No.	Period Ending	Amount Due	Page
21191	00000037042	11/30/2021	\$ 180.00	2

Date	Order No	Service Detail	Charges	Units	Total
11/8/2021 NV249681 030 - STANDARD PROCESS (48)		STATE BAR OF NEVADA 3100 W. Charleston 100 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Caller: Sonia Del Rio Case Title: STATE BAR OF NEVADA, vs. JEREM Docs: Deliver Documents;; Attorney Name: Gerard Gosioco, Esq.,	Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 9555 S. Eastern Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89123 Case Number: OBC21-0077 Client/Matter: OBC21-0077 Description: Please serve Respondent with attached pleadings. We will need an affidavit of service of due diligence upon completion.	Base Charge : Total:	\$ 60.00 \$ 60.00
11/17/2021 NV250180 030 - STANDARD PROCESS (48)		STATE BAR OF NEVADA 3100 W. Charleston 100 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Caller: Sonia Del Rio Case Title: STATE BAR OF NEVADA, vs. JEREM Docs: Deliver Documents;; Attorney Name: Gerard Gosioco, Esq.,	Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esquire 1505 Via Cassia Street Henderson, NV 89052 Case Number: OBC21-0077 Client/Matter: OBC21-0077 Description: Please serve Respondent with attached pleadings. We will need an affidavit of service of due diligence upon completion.	Base Charge : Total:	\$ 60.00 \$ 60.00
Total Charges for Ref. - OBC21-0077: Total					\$ 120.00 \$ 180.00
sdr 12-14-21					

INVOICE PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

Jeremy Bergstrom
S. Eastern Avenue, Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123



9590 9402 5871 0038 4389 46

2 Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 1290 0001 2717 6504

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

☒ *BMK 2307*

☒ Agent
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C-19

C. Date of Delivery

2/6/21

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

RECEIVED BY

FEB 17 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Return Receipt for Merchandise
- ☒ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

USPS TRACKING#



LAS VEGAS NV 890

16 FEB 2021 PM 4 L

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

9590 9402 5871 0038 4389 46

United States
Postal Service

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box •

State Bar of Nevada
3100 W. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89102


RECEIVED

FEB 19 2021

OFFICE OF BAR COUN

102-199225

2.12.2021 / D. Meeks / DBC21-2077



USPS TRACKING#



70 9402 6609 1028 7651 43

First-Class
Postage &
USPS
Permit No.

United States
Postal Service

RECEIVED BY

SEP 01 2021

AN COUNSEL

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this block



STATE BAR OF NEVADA


3100 W. Charleston Blvd Ste 100

Las Vegas, NV 89102

www.nvbar.org

OBC21-0077/Complaint

Attn: Sonia Del Rio



SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123



9590 9402 6609 1028 7651 43

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 0350 0001 7810 3095

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X☐ Agent☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below. ☐ No

RECEIVED BY
AUG 30 2021
Am
STATE BAR OF NEVADA

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Certified Mail®

- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☒ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☒ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT
OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

CERTIFIED MAIL®



7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
7021 1970 0000 0350 2609

U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT <i>Domestic Mail Only</i>	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com ®.	
OFFICIAL USE	
Certified Mail Fee \$	Postmark Here
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic) \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required \$	OBC21-0077
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$	
Postage \$	
Total Postage and Fees \$	
Sent To Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.	
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. 1505 Via Cassia Street	
City, State, ZIP+4® Henderson, NV 89052	
PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052



9590 9402 6890 1104 4880 03

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7021 1970 0000 0350 2609

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X

- ☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery | <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery | |

Mail

Mail Restricted Delivery
(0)

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. <input type="checkbox"/> Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. </p> <p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Jeremy Bergstrom, Esq. 955 S. Eastern Ave., Ste 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123</p>		<p>A. Signature</p> <p>X</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>	
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7021 1970 0000 0350 2616</p> <p>PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-5053</p>		<p>B. Received by (Printed Name)</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery</p>	
<p>3. Service Type</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Mail Restricted Delivery </p>		<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>9590 9402 6890 1104 4879 90</p>		<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery </p>	
<p>Domestic Return Receipt</p>			

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT
OF THE RETURN ADDRESS, FOLD AT DOTTED LINE



7020 1810 0002 0425 2068
7020 1810 0002 0425 2068

U.S. Postal ServiceTM
CERTIFIED MAIL[®] RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com[®].

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

- ☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ _____
☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$ _____
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ _____
☐ Adult Signature Required \$ _____
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ _____

Postage

\$ _____

Total Postage and Fees

\$ _____

Postmark
Here

11/19/21

Sent To: Jeremy Bergstrom, Esq.
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste 200
City, State, ZIP+4[®] Las Vegas, NV 89123
PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY			
<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. <input type="checkbox"/> Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. </p> <p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"> Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123 </p> <div style="text-align: center; margin: 10px 0;">  </div> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">9590 9402 6890 1104 4888 36</p>		<p>A. Signature</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">X</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">C. Date of Delivery</p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>			
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">7020 1810 0002 0425 2068</p>		<p>3. Service Type</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery </td> </tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery				
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053					

Domestic Return Receipt

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT
OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

CERTIFIED MAIL®



7020 1810 0002 0425 2075

7020 1810 0002 0425 2075

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

☐ Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

☐ Return Receipt (electronic) \$

☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

☐ Adult Signature Required \$

☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Postmark
Here


Sent To Jeremy Bergstrom, Esq.

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. 1505 Via Cassia Street

City, State, ZIP+4® Henderson, NV 89052

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

11/19/21

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<p>■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</p> <p>■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</p> <p>■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</p> <p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 1505 Via Cassia Street Henderson, NV 89052</p>		<p>A. Signature</p> <p>X</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>	
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7020 1A10 0002 0425 2075</p> <p>PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053</p>		<p>B. Received by (Printed Name)</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery</p>	
<p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)</p>		<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If YES, enter delivery address below:</p>	
<p>9590 9402 6890 1104 4886 14</p> 		<p><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</p>	

Domestic Return Receipt

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing
RECORD ON APPEAL was placed in a sealed envelope and sent by U.S. certified mail in
Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully prepaid thereon for first class certified mail addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
CERTIFIED MAILING RECEIPT: 7021 1970 0000 0350 4245

And to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052
CERTIFIED MAILING RECEIPT: 7021 1970 0000 0350 4252

DATED this 25th day of February 2022.

Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio, an Employee
of the State Bar of Nevada

1 **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

2
3 IN RE: DISCIPLINE OF)
4 JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.)
5 NEVADA BAR NO. 6904)
6)
7 _____)

Case No. _____

8
9
10
11 **VOLUME II**

12
13 **RECORD OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS,**
14 **PLEADINGS AND TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING**

15
16
17
18
19
20 Gerard Gosioco, Esq.
21 Nevada Bar No. 14371
22 State Bar of Nevada
23 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
24 Las Vegas, NV 89102
25 Counsel for the State Bar of Nevada

Suneel J. Nelson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6904
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Respondent

INDEX

Description	Page Nos.	Vol. No.
Affidavit of Due Diligence Dated November 19, 2021	ROA Page 055	I
Affidavit of Service Dated December 3, 2021	ROA Page 060	I
Certificate of Service Dated February 25, 2022	ROA Page 122	I
Complaint, Designation of Hearing Panel Members, and Declaration of Mailing Filed August 23, 2021	ROA Page 001-012	I
Default and Order Filed January 7, 2022	ROA Page 069-094	I
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation After Formal Hearing Filed February 2, 2022	ROA Page 098-103	I
Notice of Formal Hearing Filed December 28, 2021	ROA Page 061-062	I
Notice of Intent to Proceed on Default Basis Filed September 16, 2021	ROA Page 013-015	I
Notice of Telephonic Initial Case Conference Filed November 8, 2021	ROA Page 018-019	I
Order Appointing Formal Hearing Panel Filed December 29, 2021	ROA Page 067-068	I
Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair Filed November 1, 2021	ROA Page 016-017	I
Scheduling Order Filed November 18, 2021	ROA Page 051-054	I
State Bar of Nevada's Final Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Filed December 28, 2021	ROA Page 063-066	I
State Bar of Nevada's Initial Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Filed November 24, 2021	ROA Page 056-059	I
State Bar of Nevada's Memorandum of Costs Filed February 24, 2022	ROA Page 104-121	I
State Bar of Nevada's Request for Entry of Default Filed November 18, 2021	ROA Page 020-050	I

State Bar of Nevada's Supplemental Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Filed January 26, 2022	ROA Page 095-097	I
--	---------------------	---

TRANSCRIPTS & EXHIBITS

Description	Page Nos.	Vol. No.
Transcript of Proceedings Held on January 27, 2022	ROA Page 123-164	II
State Bar's Exhibit 1	ROA Page 165-255	II
State Bar's Exhibit 2	ROA Page 256-276	II
State Bar's Exhibit 3	ROA Page 277-329	II
State Bar's Exhibit 4	ROA Page 330-331	II
State Bar's Exhibit 5	ROA Page 332-333	II
State Bar's Exhibit 6	ROA Page 334-335	II
State Bar's Exhibit 7	ROA Page 336-339	II
State Bar's Exhibit 8	ROA Page 340-341	II
State Bar's Exhibit 9	ROA Page 342	II
State Bar's Exhibit 10	ROA Page 343	II

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA)	
)	Case No.
Complainant,)	OBC21-0077
)	
vs.)	
)	
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)	
Nevada Bar No. 6904)	
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

CERTIFIED
TRANSCRIPT

FORMAL HEARING OF JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.

Taken at the State Bar of Nevada Via Zoom Videoconference
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada

On Thursday, January 27, 2022
At 9:01 a.m.

Reported by: Deborah Ann Hines, CCR #473, RPR

Appearances (via Zoom videoconference):

Commission Panel:

NELL CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.
Panel Chairman

MARNI WATKINS, ESQ.
Panel Member

GALE KOTLIKOVA
Laymember

For the Complainant:

GERARD GOSIOCO, ESQ.
Assistant Bar Counsel
State Bar of Nevada
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard
Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102
(702) 382-2200

For the Respondent:

(None)

Also Present:

SONIA DEL RIO
Hearing Paralegal

E X H I B I T S

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Complainant's		
1	Amended Formal Hearing Packet	10
2	Affidavit of Prior Discipline	10
3	Grievance	10
4	January 26, 2021 Email and Letter from Dawn Meeks	10
5	February 11, 2021 Email and Letter from Dawn Meeks	10
6	USPS Tracking Green Card	10
7	Email and Letter from Thomas Larmore	10
8	USPS Tracking Green Card	10
9	Affidavit of Due Diligence	10
10	Affidavit of Due Diligence	10

1 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: So it is 9:01. We're
2 going to get started with the case we're here about
3 today, which is State Bar of Nevada versus Jeremy T.
4 Bergstrom, Nevada Bar Number 6904. That's Case
5 Number OBC21-0077. My name is Nell Christensen, I'm
6 the panel chair today. We also have Marni Watkins
7 and Gale Kotlikova here as panel members. And, the
8 State Bar, could you please state your appearance.

9 MR. GOSIOCO: Good morning, members of the
10 panel. Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel.

11 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: We got started right
12 away at the time that it was scheduled for because
13 this looks like it will probably be in default,
14 because I believe that the State Bar hasn't heard
15 from Mr. Bergstrom yet, despite pretty recent
16 efforts. Is that correct?

17 MR. GOSIOCO: That is correct. And if you'd
18 like, Miss Christensen, I could lay out at least
19 procedural history as well as our attempts, to
20 possibly give Mr. Bergstrom a few more minutes to
21 show up, if he decides to.

22 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: I think that it's
23 appropriate for us to have a record of all of the
24 efforts that you've gone through, you know, to
25 provide some testimony regarding the service of the

1 complaint, the filings -- I'm sorry, I just have to
2 decline that call -- and any service that you've
3 attempted, so let's go forward with that.

4 MR. GOSIOCO: Absolutely. Thank you,
5 Miss Christensen. So this case, State Bar of Nevada
6 versus Jeremy T. Bergstrom, the State Bar filed a
7 complaint on August 23rd, 2021. And we mailed that
8 complaint to Mr. Bergstrom's Supreme Court Rule 79
9 address, which is 9555 South Eastern Avenue, Suite
10 200, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123.

11 When Mr. Bergstrom failed to file a
12 responsive pleading, the State Bar filed a notice of
13 intent to proceed on default basis filed on
14 September 16, 2021. That pleading was mailed to
15 Mr. Bergstrom's SCR 79 address, as well as an
16 alternative address that we found, which was 1505 Via
17 Cassia Street, that's V-i-a space C-a-s-s-i-a Street,
18 Henderson, Nevada 89052, and I will refer to that as
19 the Henderson address from here on out.

20 Additionally, when we checked the USPS
21 website, that had stated that it was delivered and
22 left with an individual at that address.

23 On Nevada 8, 2021, the State Bar filed a
24 notice of initial case conference. That was also
25 mailed to both Mr. Bergstrom's SCR 79 address as well

1 has his Henderson address.

2 At that point the State Bar, through
3 Nationwide Legal, attempted to personally serve
4 Mr. Bergstrom at his SCR 79 address on November 12,
5 2021, November 15, 2012, as well as November 16,
6 2021, but to no avail.

7 On the following day, November 17, 2021, the
8 initial case conference was held telephonically.
9 Mr. Bergstrom was not present on the call so,
10 therefore, a scheduling order and a request for entry
11 of default was filed the following day on
12 November 18, 2012. Both those pleadings were mailed
13 to Mr. Bergstrom's SCR 79 address, as well as -- and
14 it was also emailed to Mr. Bergstrom.

15 On November 19, 2021, State Bar, through
16 Nationwide Legal, was able to personally serve
17 Mr. Bergstrom with a copy of the complaint, the
18 notice of intent to proceed on a default basis, the
19 notice of a panel chair, and the notice of the ICC,
20 the initial case conference, at Mr. Bergstrom's
21 Henderson address.

22 A notice of formal hearing was filed on
23 December 28, 2021. That pleading was mailed to
24 Mr. Bergstrom's SCR 79 address, his Henderson
25 address, and was also emailed to Mr. Bergstrom.

1 Then a default and order was filed on
2 January 7, 2022. That was mailed to both of
3 Mr. Bergstrom's addresses, the SCR 79 address as well
4 as his Henderson address, and it was also emailed to
5 Mr. Bergstrom.

6 Once the default and order was filed, the
7 State Bar, again through Nationwide Legal, attempted
8 to personally serve Mr. Bergstrom with a default and
9 order at both his SCR 79 address and his Henderson
10 address.

11 As to his SCR 79 address, on January 18,
12 2021, Nationwide Legal attempted to personally serve
13 Mr. Bergstrom and noted that that address is now a
14 De Castroverde Law Group office, and the receptionist
15 had stated that they believed that Mr. Bergstrom was
16 a former tenant because they still receive his mail
17 and still receive people trying to personally serve
18 him.

19 And as far as to Mr. Bergstrom's Henderson
20 address, Nationwide Legal attempted to serve
21 Mr. Bergstrom on January 21st, January 22nd, and
22 January 24th of 2022. On the 21st of this month,
23 Nationwide Legal noted that there was no answer;
24 however, there was a car in the driveway, there were
25 lights on in the garage.

1 On January 22, Nationwide Legal noted that
2 there was no answer and the same car was in the
3 driveway. And, finally, on January 24th, 2022,
4 Nationwide Legal noted that there was no answer, no
5 activity, nor were there any lights on the inside.

6 And those were the attempts that the State
7 Bar has made to make sure that Mr. Bergstrom is aware
8 of these proceedings.

9 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: It is now 9:07 and
10 Mr. Bergstrom is still not present on this Zoom
11 meeting. Do any members of the panel have any
12 questions for the State Bar regarding what he just
13 described for their attempts to serve and their
14 ability to serve him personally?

15 Okay, both panel members have said they
16 don't have any questions. So based on the
17 information that was just provided, which is also
18 part of the exhibits of today's hearing, I will order
19 that in accordance with disciplinary rule procedure
20 14C and Supreme Court Rule 105.2 that the charges
21 alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted.

22 I already did sign that notice of intent, or
23 the default order, and in accordance with
24 disciplinary rules and Supreme Court rules I find
25 that the service of the complaint was properly made,

1 that the respondent failed to file a verified
2 response or answer and serve it on Bar Counsel, as
3 per the rules in the -- disciplinary rules and the
4 Supreme Court rule, that Bar Counsel did file the
5 notice of intent to proceed on a default basis, which
6 was served on respondent. Correct?

7 MR. GOSIOCO: That is correct.

8 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: And that there was no
9 response. And even with the additional attempts made
10 just recently as a few days ago, I believe the one
11 that Sonia sent last night showed the additional
12 attempts were made this week, we still haven't heard
13 from Mr. Bergstrom or find his presence here today.

14 So at this point we will proceed with the
15 charges in the complaint as deemed admitted, so I'll
16 turn it over to the State Bar.

17 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, Miss Christensen.
18 And as a housekeeping matter, the State Bar will move
19 to admit and publish Exhibits 1 through 10, with your
20 permission.

21 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: And just so that I
22 remember that, that was some of the notices and
23 filings that you referred to just now in your
24 explanation, correct? It was what Sonia had sent
25 earlier?

1 MR. GOSIOCO: That is correct. So Exhibit 1
2 is the amended formal hearing packet, Exhibit 2 is an
3 affidavit of prior discipline, and Exhibit 3 through
4 10 are the exhibits that were included in the State
5 Bar's final disclosures.

6 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: So what we received from
7 Sonia was the Exhibit 1, and the additional -- so we
8 have reviewed that, and the additional exhibits will
9 also be admitted at this time. So State Bar's 1
10 through 10 will be admitted.

11 (Thereupon Complainant's Exhibits
12 1 through 10 were admitted into
13 evidence.)

14 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, Miss Christensen.
15 May we publish that to the members of the panel?

16 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Yes, please.

17 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you. And, again, good
18 morning, members of the panel. Gerard Gosioco on
19 behalf of the State Bar. I have been assigned to
20 Case Number OBC21-0077, State Bar of Nevada versus
21 Jeremy T. Bergstrom.

22 Now, in disciplinary proceedings we are --
23 the ABA states that we're to look at four different
24 factors: Number one, the duty violated; number two,
25 the mental state; number three, any injury; number

1 four, any aggravating and mitigating factors.

2 As to the facts of the instant matter, the
3 facts are as follows: Mr. Bergstrom has been
4 suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
5 2019, and his suspension will be deemed completed on
6 May 15, 2022. Mr. Bergstrom is currently suspended.

7 On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received
8 a grievance from Genice Rojas of the Las Vegas
9 Process and Investigations, I'll refer to that as
10 LVPI, alleging that Mr. Bergstrom failed to pay
11 outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3500 and
12 continued to practice law despite being suspended.

13 Miss Rojas provided the State Bar with
14 invoices demonstrating that Mr. Bergstrom filed
15 lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI
16 between August 1, 2019 and June 8, 2020. During that
17 time Mr. Bergstrom had three attorneys working for
18 him. Only one, Mr. Thomas Larmore, was licensed in
19 Nevada.

20 The State Bar's investigation revealed that
21 at least four of the complaints were initiated by
22 Mr. Bergstrom after his suspension. The remainder of
23 the cases listed in the invoices were initiated by
24 Mr. Larmore; however, it isn't clear whether
25 Mr. Larmore actually initiated these lawsuits because

1 when we spoke to Mr. Larmore, he stated that he had
2 only worked for Mr. Bergstrom for a short period.

3 On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent
4 Mr. Bergstrom a letter of investigation to his SCR 79
5 email address requesting that he provide a response
6 by February 5, 2021. Mr. Bergstrom failed to respond
7 to the State Bar's letter of investigation by that
8 deadline.

9 Accordingly, on February 11, 2021, the State
10 Bar sent another letter of investigation to
11 Mr. Bergstrom via certified mail to his SCR 79
12 address requesting that he provide a response by
13 February 26, 2021. Mr. Bergstrom was advised that an
14 RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not
15 respond to this letter.

16 On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received
17 a green card return card signed by an unknown
18 individual stating that they received the letter of
19 investigation.

20 Fast forward to February 26, the State Bar
21 still had not received a response from Mr. Bergstrom
22 to our second letter of investigation.

23 On February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks, an
24 investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie
25 Bardis, B-a-r-d-i-s, who was Mr. Bergstrom's office

1 manager. And Miss Bardis confirmed to Miss Meeks
2 that the letter of investigation sent via certified
3 mail was sent to the correct address.

4 To date Mr. Bergstrom has not responded to
5 any of the State Bar's letters of investigation, nor
6 has he made any contact with the State Bar.

7 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Sorry to interrupt. I
8 do have one question about the facts that you just
9 mentioned. You talked about the green card that was
10 signed by an unknown individual. I believe that that
11 was provided to us in the exhibit that was sent. If
12 you could -- if there would be any way you could
13 share your screen, because when I looked at it, it
14 looked like the name that was signed was "Bergstrom."

15 MR. GOSIOCO: Give me one moment,
16 Miss Christensen.

17 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: I apologize to throw you
18 off. I just thought that would be, you know, an
19 additional fact there, if that was actually -- if it
20 looked like it was signed by him or a family member.

21 MR. GOSIOCO: Absolutely. One moment. I
22 apologize for the delay. I'm working with two
23 computers right now and making sure I forward that to
24 this computer I'm using Zoom on so I can share my
25 screen.

1 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: We get it.

2 MR. GOSIOCO: I apologize. My computer is
3 taking a little longer. Actually, if you would like,
4 I can have Ms. Del Rio share her screen and we can
5 walk through it that way, instead of while I -- my
6 computer is downloading the email.

7 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Sure. I also have it up
8 on my computer, if I can try, but Miss Del Rio
9 probably knows how to do it better than I do.

10 Thank you. So this is part of Exhibit 1.
11 It looks like it's page 43 that's being shown, and on
12 the right-hand side it is -- we see a signature.

13 MR. GOSIOCO: That is correct.

14 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Does that -- oh, I'm
15 sorry, I didn't read this correctly. The signature
16 is just a line. The name was written by the person
17 that delivered it. I apologize for that delay. I
18 didn't look at that carefully enough.

19 MR. GOSIOCO: Not an issue. And this green
20 card pertains to, let's see -- 2021. This should --
21 this is the green card from the notice of intent to
22 proceed on default basis that was filed on
23 September 16, 2021.

24 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Okay.

25 MR. GOSIOCO: If I'm not mistaken.

1 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: So it says in here,
2 there's a signature, which is just a squiggle, but
3 then when it -- apparently the person who did the
4 delivery wrote down the name of the person, and it
5 does say "Bergstrom," so for what that's worth.

6 MR. GOSIOCO: Correct. Thank you. And one
7 of the last -- when I did mention the second green
8 card in the fact pattern, which is line item 13 of
9 the complaint, I had stated that on February 16th the
10 State Bar received a green card signed by an unknown
11 individual. I was referring to State Bar Exhibit 6,
12 page one, and that was for the second letter of
13 investigation that we sent to his SCR 79 address.
14 And that exhibit, which again is State Bar Exhibit 6,
15 page one and two, that was received by February 17,
16 2021.

17 And, Miss Del Rio, if you could share your
18 screen, because for some reason my email has not gone
19 through yet, which is -- yes, thank you. That is the
20 unknown individual I was referring to. There is a --
21 somebody signed the signature block as well as
22 printed name; however it's ineligible (sic), and so
23 we were not sure who would sign this particular green
24 card; however, it was sent to Mr. Bergstrom's SCR 79
25 address.

1 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Got it, it's illegible.
2 So thank you for that clarification, and you may
3 proceed.

4 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, Miss Christensen.
5 Now, as I stated earlier, the ABA asks us to look at
6 four different factors: Duty violated, mental state,
7 and any injury. And once we look at those three, we
8 are -- we have a baseline sanction. And then the
9 fourth factor, aggravating and mitigating factors,
10 are applied after we get a baseline sanction, which
11 would determine whether an upward or downward
12 deviation from the baseline sanction is warranted.

13 As to the duties violated, in this case
14 Mr. Bergstrom violated two duties. He violated his
15 duty under RPC 5.5, the unauthorized practice of law,
16 as well as his duty under RPC 8.1, Bar admission and
17 disciplinary matters.

18 As to RPC 5.5, unauthorized practice of law,
19 Mr. Bergstrom violated his duty under this rule by
20 initiating lawsuits while he was suspended from the
21 practice of law, and his mental state for this
22 conduct was knowing. And the ABA standard defines
23 "knowing" as the conscious awareness of the nature or
24 attendant circumstances of the conduct but without
25 the conscious objective or purpose to accomplish a

1 particular result. As far as injury to
2 Mr. Bergstrom's violation of 5.5, the injury was to
3 the public, to the legal system or to the profession.

4 As to RPC 8.1, Mr. Bergstrom violated his
5 duty under this rule by failing to respond to the
6 State Bar's letters of investigation. Mr. Bergstrom
7 also -- his mental state was also knowing for this
8 violation, and the injury was to the public, to the
9 legal system or to the profession.

10 Now, taking all that to account, we come to
11 two possible baseline sanction standards that are
12 applicable to this case.

13 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: I apologize. I'd like
14 to interrupt again with a question.

15 MR. GOSIOCO: Of course.

16 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: You know, we have the
17 possibilities of intentional, knowing or negligent.
18 What is the evidence to suggest that possibly it may
19 have been intentional?

20 MR. GOSIOCO: Well, the evidence as to
21 whether it's intentional versus knowing is really
22 evidenced by Mr. Bergstrom being personally served by
23 some of these pleadings, by the -- namely the second
24 one on February 11th when the State Bar sent a second
25 letter of investigation to Mr. Bergstrom to his SCR

1 79 address, and that was later confirmed by his
2 office manager that he did -- that the address we
3 sent it to was correct.

4 Mr. Bergstrom, even after receiving that
5 second letter of investigation, when Mr. Bergstrom --
6 the first green card we looked at earlier for the
7 notice of intent to proceed on default basis, it
8 looks like somebody printed out the name "Bergstrom."
9 Mr. Bergstrom was personally served with the notice
10 of intend to proceed on default basis.

11 He did not respond to any of the letters, he
12 did not respond to any of the State Bar's attempts to
13 the instant proceedings. And I think based off that
14 conduct, he did so knowingly because he did not want
15 to fully participate in these proceedings, and he did
16 not want to fully respond to the State Bar based off
17 his current suspension.

18 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Just a few minutes ago
19 you outlined the definition of knowing. What is the
20 definition of intentional?

21 MR. GOSIOCO: One moment. The definition of
22 intentional is the conscious objective or purpose to
23 accomplish a particular result.

24 And the only reason we -- you know, a lot of
25 the times for these standards the intentional and

1 knowing really differentiate between disbarment or
2 suspension. And for these standards we came to which
3 we believe is 8.1 or 7.1. Those both contemplate
4 knowing or intentional, and actually it states
5 knowing. So I apologize, give me one second.

6 So those standards, the baseline standards
7 that apply in this case would be 7.1 as well as 8.1.
8 And the reason we had listed knowing as opposed to
9 intentional is intentional is higher, a higher mental
10 state than knowing, however disbarment per these
11 standards contemplates knowing. So if we're able to
12 prove knowing, we would still receive the same
13 baseline sanction of a disbarment.

14 So, for example, standard 7.1 states
15 disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer
16 knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a
17 duty owed as a professional and causes injury or
18 potential injury to a client, the public or the legal
19 system.

20 And similarly standard 8.1, which would also
21 apply here, states that disbarment is generally
22 appropriate when a lawyer, A, intentionally or
23 knowingly deviates up, violates the terms of a prior
24 disciplinary order, and such violation causes injury
25 or potential injury to a client, the public, the

1 legal system or the profession; or B, has been
2 suspended for the same or similar conduct that caused
3 injury or potential injury to a client, the public,
4 the legal system or the profession.

5 Standard 8.1 is, of the two, the only
6 standard that mentions intentionally; however, it
7 does give us the option of either intentionally or
8 knowingly.

9 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Thank you. I just
10 wanted to clarify that because we'll be looking at
11 the mental state in deciding.

12 MR. GOSIOCO: Of course. Now, those two
13 standards would be applicable to this case. For
14 standard 7.1 I read earlier that disbarment is
15 generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages
16 in conduct that's a violation of his duty owed as a
17 professional.

18 Mr. Bergstrom violated his duty owed as a
19 professional when he practiced law while he was
20 suspended. He was not supposed to be initiating
21 lawsuits, and yet he did on at least four separate
22 occasions, and that conduct causes injury to not only
23 his clients that he filed on behalf of, but the
24 public and the legal system.

25 And as to standard 8.1, there were two

1 options that the ABA standard mentions. Number one,
2 that either -- that Mr. Bergstrom intentionally or
3 knowingly violated the terms of a prior disciplinary
4 order, and such violation causes injury or potential
5 injury to a client, the public, the legal system or
6 the profession; or B, has been suspended for the same
7 or similar misconduct and causes injury to a client,
8 public, the legal system or the profession.

9 Now, both of those subsections of standard
10 8.1 would apply in this case based off of
11 Mr. Bergstrom's prior disciplinary history, which is
12 in Exhibit 2. Mr. Bergstrom was first -- on
13 December 21, 2018, Mr. Bergstrom was suspended for
14 six months for violations of RPC 1.1, RPC 1.3, RPC
15 1.4, RPC 3.2, and PRC 8.1.

16 Currently in this instant case he has
17 violated both RPC 5.5, as well as 8.1, which under
18 standard 8.1(b) it says disbarment is generally
19 appropriate when a lawyer has been suspended for the
20 same or similar conduct and causes injury.

21 So just based off his 2018, Mr. Bergstrom's
22 2018 suspension, he's already -- he already meets a
23 baseline sanction standard of 8.1(b) because he had
24 been previously suspended for an 8.1 violation.

25 Now, when Mr. Bergstrom was suspended in

1 2018, he actually did not fulfill the requirements
2 outlined by the Supreme Court of Nevada; therefore,
3 on November 14, 2019, there was also a six-month and
4 one day suspension imposed on Mr. Bergstrom for two
5 violations of RPC 1.1, two violations of -- or four
6 violations RPC 1.3, four violations of RPC 1.4, four
7 violations of RPC 3.2, a violation of RPC 5.1, as
8 well as an RPC 8.4 violation.

9 Then on June 7, 2021, just last year, there
10 were two suspension orders imposed on Mr. Bergstrom.
11 The first one was a two-year suspension to run
12 consecutive to the November 14, 2019 order, and that
13 suspension running consecutive to the 2019 order
14 stated that it would end November -- or May 15,
15 2020 -- 2022, therefore the two-year suspension
16 consecutive would end on May 15, 2022.

17 And it's on that same day there was also
18 another two-year suspension order on Mr. Bergstrom
19 for reciprocal discipline, because he was suspended
20 for two years in Arizona for violations of RPC 1.3,
21 1.4, 3.2, 5.5, 8.1 and 8.4. So under 8.1, both
22 options, A and B, would be applicable in this case
23 because there were prior disciplinary orders, and he
24 violated those terms because he practiced law while
25 he was suspended, and he's also been suspended for

1 the same or similar misconduct.

2 So either way, looking at either standard
3 7.1 or 8.1, the ABA standards still would recommend,
4 or at least state that disbarment is generally
5 appropriate for this mental state, for this duty
6 violated, and for this conduct.

7 As I stated earlier, once we get a baseline
8 sanction, we are to look at any aggravating or
9 mitigating circumstances applicable to this case, and
10 those factors are outlined in Supreme Court Rule
11 102.5, subsections 1 and 2.

12 As to any aggravating factors that apply in
13 this case, the State Bar finds that there are four
14 aggravating factors that apply, the first being prior
15 disciplinary offenses, which I had outlined just a
16 few minutes ago, the second is a pattern of
17 misconduct, the third aggravating factor is multiple
18 offenses, and the last aggravating factor is the
19 substantial experience in the practice of the law.
20 And Mr. Bergstrom has been licensed to practice in
21 Nevada since October 12, 1999.

22 Now, as to any mitigating factors that
23 apply --

24 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: May I interrupt just
25 with a question, just while we're on topic of

1 aggravating factors. I'm looking at subsection E
2 of -- I'm looking at 102.5(1)(e). It is bad faith
3 obstruction of the disciplinary proceeding by
4 intentionally failing to comply with rules or orders.
5 Would that be anything that you believe we have
6 evidence to support here, and if so, could you
7 explain?

8 MR. GOSIOCO: Of course. Thank you,
9 Miss Christensen. As far as the evidence, generally
10 speaking when we have -- when we have applied this
11 particular aggravating factor in the past, it has
12 generally been when, for example, we have had a
13 respondent who has filed an answer, and, you know,
14 after a scheduling order has been placed refused to
15 file their initial disclosures.

16 Or, for example, I can speak about
17 personally one case that we did apply this
18 aggravating factor for is we had a -- we had a -- our
19 initial case conference, the scheduling order was in
20 place. The respondent in that case failed to file
21 his initial disclosures to the State Bar. So I had
22 contacted this particular individual three times in
23 an attempt to fix that, and he did not respond.

24 So at that point we had filed a motion to
25 compel production for his disclosures for

1 specifically the identity of witnesses and documents,
2 because that particular individual had just, when
3 he -- when he did finally file his initial
4 disclosures, he did not disclose any identities of
5 witnesses, nor did he supply any actual documents,
6 they just had an umbrella statement that said, Any
7 documents that apply, without actually providing any
8 documents.

9 So the State Bar filed a motion to compel to
10 get those identities of the witnesses, as well as the
11 documents that that individual intended to use at his
12 formal hearing. He did not do so. The state -- the
13 panel chair ruled on that order, ordered this
14 individual to supply the State Bar with the
15 identities of his witnesses, potential witnesses, as
16 well as the documents, and he still failed to do so.

17 Then we asked that he -- he missed the
18 deadline for final disclosures. We asked that he did
19 that. And so generally we have used that aggravating
20 factor when they have been participating in the
21 disciplinary process but then still failed to comply
22 with the rules and orders.

23 I think the only -- there's an argument to
24 be made that subsection E could apply based off of
25 him not complying with his duty under 8.1 to respond

1 to the State Bar's request for information or his
2 requirement to file a responsive pleading, but at
3 least in my experience we have used that particular
4 subsection and that particular aggravating factor for
5 those who truly have been engaged in bad faith during
6 the disciplinary process.

7 And I don't think at this point there's
8 really -- we can prove really a bad faith
9 obstruction, other than the fact that he received,
10 you know, some pleadings and just did not want to
11 show up.

12 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Understood. Okay.
13 Thank you. So you were about to move on I believe to
14 mitigators.

15 MR. GOSIOCO: Yes, and this will be quick
16 because the State Bar does not find that any
17 mitigating factors pursuant to Supreme Court Rule
18 102.5(2) apply. So all in all the State Bar finds
19 that there are four aggravating factors that apply in
20 this case and no mitigating factors. And based off
21 that, we believe that the factors do not warrant any
22 deviation from the baseline sanction of disbarment.

23 I mean, if anything, for example, if we --
24 if it's not a sanctionable suspension then I think an
25 upward deviation would be appropriate, but because

1 the baseline sanction itself is disbarment, and we
2 can't go any higher than disbarment, the aggravating
3 and mitigating factors do not warrant any deviation,
4 and for sure a downward deviation from the baseline
5 sanction. And based off all of that, the State Bar's
6 recommendation would be to disbar Mr. Bergstrom.

7 And with that the State Bar stands ready to
8 answer any questions the panel members may have.

9 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Questions from the
10 panel?

11 PANEL MEMBER WATKINS: I don't have any.

12 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Gale, do you have any?

13 PANEL MEMBER KOTLIKOVA: My question is when
14 attorneys, I'm not an attorney, when an attorney is
15 disbarred, do you have any mechanism to update
16 numerous legal directories where his name is still
17 listed as a practicing attorney? I just saw he's
18 practicing in Costa Mesa, California.

19 MR. GOSIOCO: And thank you for your
20 question. Generally, yes, there is a mechanism for
21 the State Bar to notify courts, at least in Nevada.
22 So, for example, in Nevada when the Supreme Court
23 issues an order suspending or disbarring an attorney,
24 or anything like that, we send that Supreme Court
25 order to all the courts in Nevada, so at least the

1 courts in Nevada are aware.

2 And what we generally do in this -- in cases
3 where the respondent is barred, you know, is licensed
4 to practice in multiple states, generally we also
5 reach out to the states that he is also barred in to
6 let them know that there is -- that Mr. Bergstrom
7 was, for example, suspended or disbarred, if the
8 Supreme Court approves the recommendation.

9 And there's, generally speaking, I would --
10 I think most, if not all, State Bars in the U.S. have
11 a section for reciprocal discipline. And, for
12 example, that applied in this case where one of the
13 two orders of suspension for Mr. Bergstrom on June 7,
14 2021, one of those orders was actually based off his
15 discipline in Arizona. So we know that he is at
16 least licensed to practice in Arizona. And so we
17 will be making the State Bar of Arizona aware of any
18 disciplinary sanctions that are imposed.

19 PANEL MEMBER KOTLIKOVA: No, I just -- you
20 may be unaware of directories where we list
21 attorneys. So we just looked, ask4justice.com, he's
22 the greatest attorney in Costa Mesa, California. So
23 unless it's a different person, but these directories
24 come up in search results. Didn't take for me long
25 time to look at it. This is why I wrote it down, how

1 do you notify all these directories? There's so many
2 of them.

3 MR. GOSIOCO: Yes. Yes. Yes, ma'am. So,
4 like I said, what we do is we notify the State Bars
5 of the states that he is also licensed to practice
6 in, and similarly they do the same thing for us. And
7 so that's how that second order imposing suspension
8 in June 2021 occurred was Mr. Bergstrom was suspended
9 in Arizona, and because of his suspension there, we
10 were notified, and we filed something with the
11 Supreme Court of November, and he was also suspended
12 based off his discipline in Arizona.

13 So similarly in this case, if, for example,
14 the Supreme Court orders that Mr. Bergstrom be
15 suspended or disbarred, and we have the order, then
16 we will notify whatever State Bar, whatever states
17 that he is licensed to practice in of the discipline.
18 I hope that answers your question.

19 PANEL MEMBER KOTLIKOVA: All these
20 directories check, because otherwise they probably
21 show incorrect information. I just looked and ours
22 say that his license is suspended. I hope these
23 directories do this type of crosschecking.

24 MR. GOSIOCO: We at least --

25 PANEL MEMBER KOTLIKOVA: Some of them.

1 MR. GOSIOCO: Yes. I know Avvo is a private
2 company and so we don't really have -- we can notify
3 Avvo, but generally what we do is we would notify the
4 states in which Mr. Bergstrom is licensed to
5 practice --

6 PANEL MEMBER KOTLIKOV: Okay.

7 MR. GOSIOCO: -- if we do receive an order
8 from the Supreme Court issuing some kind of a
9 disciplinary sanction.

10 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Thank you. And just for
11 the record I will note that the information regarding
12 the Arizona Bar membership and the case that arose
13 out of the Arizona, issues with the Arizona Bar was a
14 part of our evidence, but the part about anything in
15 directories regarding California was not part of our
16 evidence so we will not consider that in terms of our
17 findings in this case, just for the record. But that
18 is a very good, you know, piece of information from
19 our laymember about, you know, how this does affect
20 the public, so I very much appreciate that.

21 I do have one question. Just because of the
22 severity of this remedy that you're requesting, do we
23 have any information -- I know you haven't had any
24 contact with Mr. Bergstrom because he has failed to
25 respond and failed to explain any mitigating factors,

1 but because it sounds like the State Bar has had
2 contact with him previously in other cases, multiple
3 other cases, do we have any information regarding
4 possible mitigators that might pertain to him from
5 those proceedings, such as personal or emotional
6 problems, character reputation, physical disability?

7 MR. GOSIOCO: You know, there are none that
8 I personally am aware of. I was the Assistant Bar
9 Counsel assigned to his latest case where he was
10 suspended June 2021, and I don't believe that we had
11 any contact with him. And based off my review, we
12 couldn't find really any evidence that we're aware of
13 any personal or emotional problem or any medical
14 issues that would apply. And just going through the
15 list of the mitigating factors listed in SCR
16 102.5(2), we just felt that there wasn't enough
17 evidence to support inclusion or application at least
18 of any of those mitigating factors.

19 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Thank you. Just wanted
20 to make sure that we're being thorough in the
21 considerations, so I appreciate you answering that.

22 Any other questions from our members or
23 panel members?

24 PANEL MEMBER KOTLIKOVA: No, I don't have
25 any other questions.

1 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Okay, so there's no
2 other questions. Anything else from the State Bar
3 before we deliberate and look at the exhibits that
4 Miss Del Rio just sent us?

5 MR. GOSIOCO: Nothing further from the State
6 Bar. The State Bar rests.

7 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Okay. I guess we go to
8 our breakout room.

9 (A recess was taken.)

10 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Okay, so we have
11 finished our deliberations. We are all back here
12 present on -- sorry, we're back here present on State
13 of Nevada -- or State Bar of Nevada versus Jeremy
14 Bergstrom, and the panel members -- the State Bar
15 counsel and others are present. We have finished our
16 deliberation and we agree with the State Bar. I just
17 want to make a record of that.

18 So looking at the complaint it was alleged
19 violations of rule 5.5, unauthorized practice of law.
20 We find that that certainly was violated. Also RPC
21 8.1 in Count 2, we find that that professional rule
22 was also violated here.

23 We agree with the State Bar on every
24 different aspect of our findings, so we agree with
25 the mental state alleged here, which was knowing. We

1 did discuss that, and we believe that obviously it's
2 very clear from the evidence here that Mr. Bergstrom
3 knew about this, knew about his prior disciplinary
4 cases, knew that he was suspended and still violated
5 those RPCs.

6 We also agree with the allegation of the
7 injury, the argument of State Bar Counsel regarding
8 the injury being to the public and the profession.
9 This gives a bad name to all of our profession when
10 people engage in this kind of behavior.

11 And then we did discuss the aggravators and
12 mitigators under Rule 102.5, and again we agree with
13 the State Bar that we do find the prior, the
14 aggravators of prior disciplinary offenses a pattern
15 of misconduct, multiple offenses, and substantial
16 experience in the practice of law. And we are unable
17 to find any evidence of mitigating factors here.

18 So after deliberation our finding is that
19 Mr. Bergstrom should be disbarred as the State Bar
20 has requested.

21 Counsel?

22 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you so much, members of
23 the panel, for your time. We truly appreciate you
24 taking time out of your day to volunteer, and then we
25 will -- I will draft up the findings and

1 recommendation and have it to you, Miss Christensen,
2 as soon as possible.

3 CHAIR CHRISTENSEN: Great. We appreciate
4 it. Thanks, everybody. Have a good day.

5 MR. GOSIOCO: Thank you, everyone.

6 (Thereupon the proceedings
7 were concluded at 9:53 a.m.)

8 * * * * *

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER


STATE OF NEVADA)

SS:

COUNTY OF CLARK)

I, Deborah Ann Hines, certified court reporter, do hereby certify that I took down in shorthand (Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in the before-entitled matter at the time and place indicated; and that thereafter said shorthand notes were transcribed into typewriting at and under my direction and supervision and the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate record of the proceedings had.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my hand this 14th day of February, 2022.



Deborah Ann Hines, CCR #473, RPR

\$	200 5:10	6904 4:4	account 17:10	appearance 4:8
\$3500 11:11	2012 6:5,12	7	activity 8:5	applicable 17:12 20:13 22:22 23:9
1	2018 21:13,21,22 22:1	7 7:2 22:9 28:13	actual 25:5	application 31:17
1 9:19 10:1,7,9,12 11:16 14:10 23:11	2019 11:5,16 22:3,12,13	7.1 19:3,7,14 20:14 23:3	additional 9:9,11 10:7,8 13:19	applied 16:10 24:10 28:12
1.1 21:14 22:5	2020 11:16 22:15	79 5:8,15,25 6:4, 13,24 7:3,9,11 12:4,11 15:13,24 18:1	Additionally 5:20	apply 19:7,21 21:10 23:12,14, 23 24:17 25:7,24 26:18,19 31:14
1.3 21:14 22:6,20	2021 5:7,14,23 6:5,6,7,15,23 7:12 11:7 12:3,6, 9,13,16,23 14:20, 23 15:16 22:9 28:14 29:8 31:10	8	address 5:9,15, 16,19,22,25 6:1, 4,13,21,24,25 7:3,4,9,10,11,13, 20 12:5,12 13:3 15:13,25 18:1,2	approves 28:8
1.4 21:15 22:6,21	2022 7:2,22 8:3 11:6 22:15,16	8 5:23 11:16	addresses 7:3	argument 25:23 33:7
10 9:19 10:4,10, 12	21 21:13	8.1 12:14 16:16 17:4 19:3,7,20 20:5,25 21:10,15, 17,24 22:21 23:3 25:25 32:21	admission 16:16	Arizona 22:20 28:15,16,17 29:9, 12 30:12,13
102.5 23:11 33:12	21st 7:21,22	8.1(b) 21:18,23	admit 9:19	arose 30:12
102.5(1)(e) 24:2	22 8:1	8.4 22:8,21	admitted 8:21 9:15 10:9,10,12	ask4justice.com 28:21
102.5(2) 26:18 31:16	22nd 7:21	89052 5:18	advised 12:13	asks 16:5
105.2 8:20	23rd 5:7	89123 5:10	affect 30:19	aspect 32:24
11 12:9,23	24th 7:22 8:3	9	affidavit 10:3	assigned 10:19 31:9
11th 17:24	26 12:3,13,20	9555 5:9	aggravating 11:1 16:9 23:8, 12,14,17,18 24:1, 11,18 25:19 26:4, 19 27:2	Assistant 4:10 31:8
12 6:4 23:21	28 6:23	9:01 4:1	aggravators 33:11,14	attempt 24:23
13 15:8	3	9:07 8:9	agree 32:16,23, 24 33:6,12	attempted 5:3 6:3 7:7,12,20
14 11:4 22:3,12	3 10:3	9:53 34:7	allegation 33:6	attempts 4:19 8:6,13 9:9,12 18:12
14C 8:20	3.2 21:15 22:7,21	A	alleged 8:21 32:18,25	attendant 16:24
15 6:5 11:6 22:14, 16	4	a.m. 34:7	alleging 11:10	attorney 27:14, 17,23 28:22
1505 5:16	43 14:11	ABA 10:23 16:5, 22 21:1 23:3	alternative 5:16	attorneys 11:17 27:14 28:21
16 5:14 6:5 12:16 14:23	5	ability 8:14	amended 10:2	August 5:7 11:16
16th 15:9	5 12:6	Absolutely 5:4 13:21	answering 31:21	avail 6:6
17 6:7 15:15	5.1 22:7	accomplish 16:25 18:23	answers 29:18	Avenue 5:9
18 6:12 7:11	5.5 16:15,18 17:2 21:17 22:21 32:19	accordance 8:19,23	apologize 13:17, 22 14:2,17 17:13 19:5	Avvo 30:1,3
19 6:15 11:7	6		apparently 15:3	aware 8:7 28:1, 17 31:8,12
1999 23:21	6 15:11,14			
2				
2 10:2 21:12 23:11 32:21				

awareness 16:23	Bergstrom 4:4, 15,20 5:6,11 6:4, 9,14,17,25 7:5,8, 13,15,21 8:7,10 9:13 10:21 11:3, 6,10,14,17,22 12:2,4,6,11,13,21 13:4,14 15:5 16:14,19 17:4,6, 22,25 18:4,5,8,9 20:18 21:2,12,13, 25 22:4,10,18 23:20 27:6 28:6, 13 29:8,14 30:4, 24 32:14 33:2,19	caused 20:2	completed 11:5 33:7,21	
<hr/> B <hr/>		certified 12:11 13:2	comply 24:4 25:21	Count 32:21
B-A-R-D-I-S 12:25		chair 4:1,6,11,22 6:19 8:9 9:8,21 10:6,16 13:7,17 14:1,7,14,24 15:1 16:1 17:13,16 18:18 20:9 23:24 25:13 26:12 27:9, 12 30:10 31:19 32:1,7,10 34:3	complying 25:25	Court 5:8 8:20,24 9:4 22:2 23:10 26:17 27:22,24 28:8 29:11,14 30:8
back 32:11,12		character 31:6	computer 13:24 14:2,6,8	courts 27:21,25 28:1
bad 24:2 26:5,8 33:9		charges 8:20 9:15	computers 13:23	crosschecking 29:23
Bar 4:3,4,8,10,14 5:5,6,12,23 6:2, 15 7:7 8:7,12 9:2, 4,16,18 10:19,20 11:7,13 12:3,10, 16,20,24 13:6 15:10,11,14 16:16 17:24 18:16 23:13 24:21 25:9,14 26:16,18 27:7,21 28:17 29:16 30:12,13 31:1,8 32:2,6,13,14,16, 23 33:7,13,19	Bergstrom's 5:8,15,25 6:13, 20,24 7:3,19 12:25 15:24 17:2 21:11,21	check 29:20	concluded 34:7	current 18:17
	block 15:21	checked 5:20	conduct 16:22, 24 18:14 19:16 20:2,16,22 21:20 23:6	<hr/> D <hr/>
	breakout 32:8	Christensen 4:1,5,11,18,22 5:5 8:9 9:8,17,21 10:6,14,16 13:7, 16,17 14:1,7,14, 24 15:1 16:1,4 17:13,16 18:18 20:9 23:24 24:9 26:12 27:9,12 30:10 31:19 32:1, 7,10 34:1,3	conference 5:24 6:8,20 24:19	date 13:4
	<hr/> C <hr/>	circumstances 16:24 23:9	confirmed 13:1 18:1	Dawn 12:23
Bar's 10:5,9 11:20 12:7 13:5 17:6 18:12 26:1 27:5	C-A-S-S-I-A 5:17	clarification 16:2	conscious 16:23,25 18:22	day 6:7,11 22:4, 17 33:24 34:4
Bardis 12:25 13:1	California 27:18 28:22 30:15	clarify 20:10	consecutive 22:12,13,16	days 9:10
barred 28:3,5	call 5:2 6:9	clear 11:24 33:2	considerations 31:21	De 7:14
Bars 28:10 29:4	car 7:24 8:2	client 19:18,25 20:3 21:5,7	considered 12:14	deadline 12:8 25:18
based 8:16 18:13,16 21:10, 21 25:24 26:20 27:5 28:14 29:12 31:11	card 12:17 13:9 14:20,21 15:8,10, 24 18:6	clients 20:23	contact 13:6 30:24 31:2,11	December 6:23 21:13
baseline 16:8, 10,12 17:11 19:6, 13 21:23 23:7 26:22 27:1,4	carefully 14:18	company 30:2	contacted 24:22	decides 4:21
basis 5:13 6:18 9:5 14:22 18:7,10	case 4:2,4 5:5,24 6:8,20 10:20 16:13 17:12 19:7 20:13 21:10,16 22:22 23:9,13 24:17,19,20 26:20 28:12 29:13 30:12,17 31:9	complaint 5:1,7, 8 6:17 8:21,25 9:15 15:9 32:18	contemplate 19:3	deciding 20:11
behalf 10:19 20:23	cases 11:23 28:2 31:2,3 33:4	complaints 11:21	contemplates 19:11	decline 5:2
behavior 33:10	Cassia 5:17		continued 11:12	deemed 8:21 9:15 11:5
believed 7:15	Castroverde 7:14		copy 6:17	default 4:13 5:13 6:11,18 7:1,6,8 8:23 9:5 14:22 18:7,10
			correct 4:16,17 9:6,7,24 10:1 13:3 14:13 15:6 18:3	defines 16:22
			correctly 14:15	definition 18:19, 20,21
			Costa 27:18 28:22	Del 14:4,8 15:17 32:4
			counsel 4:10 9:2,4 31:9 32:15	delay 13:22 14:17
				deliberate 32:3
				deliberation 32:16 33:18

deliberations 32:11	27:4	9:24	finds 23:13 26:18	Group 7:14
delivered 5:21 14:17	draft 33:25		finished 32:11, 15	guess 32:7
delivery 15:4	driveway 7:24 8:3	F	fix 24:23	H
demonstrating 11:14	duties 16:13,14	fact 13:19 15:8 26:9	formal 6:22 10:2 25:12	heard 4:14 9:12
determine 16:11	duty 10:24 16:6, 15,16,19 17:5 19:17 20:16,18 23:5 25:25	factor 16:9 23:17,18 24:11, 18 25:20 26:4	forward 5:3 12:20 13:23	hearing 6:22 8:18 10:2 25:12
deviates 19:23		factors 10:24 11:1 16:6,9 23:10,12,14,22 24:1 26:17,19,20, 21 27:3 30:25 31:15,18 33:17	found 5:16	held 6:8
deviation 16:12 26:22,25 27:3,4	E		fourth 16:9	Henderson 5:18, 19 6:1,21,24 7:4, 9,19
differentiate 19:1	earlier 9:25 16:5 18:6 20:14 23:7	facts 11:2,3 13:8	fulfill 22:1	higher 19:9 27:2
directories 27:16 28:20,23 29:1,20,23 30:15	Eastern 5:9	failed 5:11 9:1 11:10 12:6 24:20 25:16,21 30:24, 25	G	history 4:19 21:11
disability 31:6	efforts 4:16,24	faile 5:11 9:1 11:10 12:6 24:20 25:16,21 30:24, 25	Gale 4:7 27:12	hope 29:18,22
disbar 27:6	email 12:5 14:6 15:18	ailing 17:5 24:4	garage 7:25	housekeeping 9:18
disbarment 19:1,10,13,15,21 20:14 21:18 23:4 26:22 27:1,2	emailed 6:14,25 7:4	faith 24:2 26:5,8	generally 19:15, 21 20:15 21:18 23:4 24:9,12 25:19 27:20 28:2, 4,9 30:3	I
disbarred 27:15 28:7 29:15 33:19	emotional 31:5, 13	family 13:20	Genice 11:8	ICC 6:19
disbarring 27:23	end 22:14,16	Fast 12:20	Gerard 4:10 10:18	identities 25:4, 10,15
disciplinary 8:19,24 9:3 10:22 16:17 19:24 21:3, 11 22:23 23:15 24:3 25:21 26:6 28:18 30:9 33:3, 14	engage 33:10	February 12:6,9, 13,16,20,23 15:9, 15 17:24	give 4:20 13:15 19:5 20:7	identity 25:1
discipline 10:3 22:19 28:11,15 29:12,17	engaged 26:5	felt 31:16	good 4:9 10:17 30:18 34:4	illegible 16:1
disclose 25:4	engages 19:16 20:15	file 5:11 9:1,4 24:15,20 25:3 26:2	Gosioco 4:9,10, 17 5:4 9:7,17 10:1,14,17,18 13:15,21 14:2,13, 19,25 15:6 16:4 17:15,20 18:21 20:12 24:8 26:15 27:19 29:3,24 30:1,7 31:7 32:5 33:22 34:5	imposed 22:4,10 28:18
disclosures 10:5 24:15,21,25 25:4,18	entry 6:10	filed 5:6,12,13,23 6:11,22 7:1,6 11:14 14:22 20:23 24:13,24 25:9 29:10	Great 34:3	imposing 29:7
discuss 33:1,11	evidence 10:13 17:18,20 24:6,9 30:14,16 31:12, 17 33:2,17	filings 5:1 9:23	greatest 28:22	included 10:4
documents 25:1,5,7,8,11,16	evidenced 17:22	final 10:5 25:18	green 12:17 13:9 14:19,21 15:7,10, 23 18:6	inclusion 31:17
downloading 14:6	exhibit 10:1,2,3,7 13:11 14:10 15:11,14 21:12	finally 8:3 25:3	grievance 11:8	incorrect 29:21
downward 16:11	exhibits 8:18 9:19 10:4,8,11 32:3	find 8:24 9:13 26:16 31:12 32:20,21 33:13, 17		individual 5:22 12:18 13:10 15:11,20 24:22 25:2,11,14
	experience 23:19 26:3 33:16	finding 33:18		ineligible 15:22
	explain 24:7 30:25	findings 30:17 32:24 33:25		information 8:17 26:1 29:21 30:11,18,23 31:3
	explanation			initial 5:24 6:8,20 24:15,19,21 25:3
				initiated 11:21, 23,25

initiating 16:20 20:20	Jeremy 4:3 5:6 10:21 32:13	15:12 17:25 18:5	meeting 8:11	multiple 23:17 28:4 31:2 33:15
injury 10:25 16:7 17:1,2,8 19:17, 18,24,25 20:3,22 21:4,5,7,20 33:7, 8	June 11:16 22:9 28:13 29:8 31:10	letters 13:5 17:6 18:11	meets 21:22	
	<hr/> K <hr/>	license 29:22	member 13:20 27:11,13 28:19 29:19,25 30:6 31:24	<hr/> N <hr/>
inside 8:5	kind 30:8 33:10	licensed 11:18 23:20 28:3,16 29:5,17 30:4	members 4:7,9 8:11,15 10:15,18 27:8 31:22,23 32:14 33:22	Nationwide 6:3, 16 7:7,12,20,23 8:1,4
instant 11:2 18:13 21:16	knew 33:3,4	lights 7:25 8:5	membership 30:12	nature 16:23
intend 18:10	knowing 16:22, 23 17:7,17,21 18:19 19:1,4,5,8, 10,11,12 32:25	list 28:20 31:15	ment 15:7	negligent 17:17
intended 25:11	knowingly 18:14 19:16,23 20:8,15 21:3	listed 11:23 19:8 27:17 31:15	mentioned 13:9	Nell 4:5
intent 5:13 6:18 8:22 9:5 14:21 18:7	Kotlikova 4:7 27:13 28:19 29:19,25 30:6 31:24	long 28:24	mentions 20:6 21:1	Nevada 4:3,4 5:5,10,18,23 10:20 11:15,19 22:2 23:21 27:21, 22,25 28:1 32:13
intentional 17:17,19,21 18:20,22,25 19:4, 9		longer 14:3	Mesa 27:18 28:22	night 9:11
intentionally 19:22 20:6,7 21:2 24:4	<hr/> L <hr/>	looked 13:13,14, 20 18:6 28:21 29:21	minutes 4:20 18:18 23:16	note 30:11
interrupt 13:7 17:14 23:24	Larmore 11:18, 24,25 12:1	lot 18:24	misconduct 21:7 23:1,17 33:15	noted 7:13,23 8:1,4
investigation 11:20 12:4,7,10, 19,22 13:2,5 15:13 17:6,25 18:5	Las 5:10 11:8	LVPI 11:10,15	missed 25:17	notice 5:12,24 6:18,19,22 8:22 9:5 14:21 18:7,9
Investigations 11:9	latest 31:9	<hr/> M <hr/>	mistaken 14:25	notices 9:22
investigator 12:24	law 7:14 11:4,12 16:15,18,21 20:19 22:24 23:19 32:19 33:16	made 8:7,25 9:9, 12 13:6 25:24	mitigating 11:1 16:9 23:9,22 26:17,20 27:3 30:25 31:15,18 33:17	notified 29:10
invoices 11:11, 14,23	lawsuits 11:15, 25 16:20 20:21	Maggie 12:24	mitigators 26:14 31:4 33:12	notify 27:21 29:1, 4,16 30:2,3
issue 14:19	lawyer 19:15,22 20:15 21:19	mail 7:16 12:11 13:3	moment 13:15, 21 18:21	November 6:4,5, 7,12,15 11:4 22:3,12,14 29:11
issues 27:23 30:13 31:14	lay 4:18	mailed 5:7,14,25 6:12,23 7:2	month 7:22	number 4:4,5 10:20,24,25 21:1
issuing 30:8	laymember 30:19	make 8:7 31:20 32:17	months 21:14	numerous 27:16
item 15:8	left 5:22	making 13:23 28:17	morning 4:9 10:18	<hr/> O <hr/>
<hr/> J <hr/>	legal 6:3,16 7:7, 12,20,23 8:1,4 17:3,9 19:18 20:1,4,24 21:5,8 27:16	manager 13:1 18:2	motion 24:24 25:9	OBC21-0077 4:5 10:20
January 7:2,11, 21,22 8:1,3 11:7 12:3	letter 12:4,7,10, 15,18,22 13:2	matters 16:17	move 9:18 26:13	objective 16:25 18:22
		mechanism 27:15,20		obstruction 24:3 26:9
		medical 31:13		occasions 20:22
		Meeks 12:23 13:1		occurred 29:8

October 23:21	pay 11:10	pretty 4:15	pursuant 26:17	refused 24:14
offenses 23:15, 18 33:14,15	people 7:17 33:10	previously 21:24 31:2	<hr/> Q <hr/>	remainder 11:22
office 7:14 12:25 18:2	period 12:2	printed 15:22 18:8	question 13:8 17:14 23:25 27:13,20 29:18 30:21	remedy 30:22
opposed 19:8	permission 9:20	prior 10:3 19:23 21:3,11 22:23 23:14 33:3,13,14	questions 8:12, 16 27:8,9 31:22, 25 32:2	remember 9:22
option 20:7	person 14:16 15:3,4 28:23	private 30:1	quick 26:15	reputation 31:6
options 21:1 22:22	personal 31:5,13	problem 31:13	<hr/> R <hr/>	request 6:10 26:1
order 6:10 7:1,6, 9 8:18,23 19:24 21:4 22:12,13,18 24:14,19 25:13 27:23,25 29:7,15 30:7	personally 6:3, 16 7:8,12,17 8:14 17:22 18:9 24:17 31:8	problems 31:6		requested 33:20
ordered 25:13	pertain 31:4	procedural 4:19		requesting 12:5, 12 30:22
orders 11:15 22:10,23 24:4 25:22 28:13,14 29:14	pertains 14:20	procedure 8:19		requirement 26:2
outlined 18:19 22:2 23:10,15	physical 31:6	proceed 5:13 6:18 9:5,14 14:22 16:3 18:7,10	reach 28:5	requirements 22:1
outstanding 11:11	piece 30:18	proceeding 24:3	read 14:15 20:14	respond 12:6,15 17:5 18:11,12,16 24:23 25:25 30:25
owed 19:17 20:16,18	place 24:20	proceedings 8:8 10:22 18:13,15 31:5 34:6	ready 27:7	responded 13:4
	pleading 5:12,14 6:23 26:2	process 11:9 25:21 26:6	reason 15:18 18:24 19:8	respondent 9:1, 6 24:13,20 28:3
	pleadings 6:12 17:23 26:10	production 24:25	receive 7:16,17 19:12 30:7	response 9:2,9 12:5,12,21
	point 6:2 9:14 24:24 26:7	profession 17:3, 9 20:1,4 21:6,8 33:8,9	received 10:6 11:7 12:16,18,21 15:10,15 26:9	responsive 5:12 26:2
	possibilities 17:17	professional 19:17 20:17,19 32:21	receiving 18:4	rests 32:6
<hr/> P <hr/>	possibly 4:20 17:18	properly 8:25	recent 4:15	result 17:1 18:23
packet 10:2	potential 19:18, 25 20:3 21:4 25:15	prove 19:12 26:8	recently 9:10	results 28:24
panel 4:6,7,10 6:19 8:11,15 10:15,18 25:13 27:8,10,11,13 28:19 29:19,25 30:6 31:23,24 32:14 33:23	practice 11:4,12 16:15,18,21 23:19,20 28:4,16 29:5,17 30:5 32:19 33:16	provided 8:17 11:13 13:11	receptionist 7:14	return 12:17
part 8:18 14:10 30:14,15	practiced 20:19 22:24	providing 25:7	recess 32:9	revealed 11:20
participate 18:15	practicing 27:17,18	public 17:3,8 19:18,25 20:3,24 21:5,8 30:20 33:8	reciprocal 22:19 28:11	review 31:11
participating 25:20	PRC 21:15	publish 9:19 10:15	recommend 23:3	reviewed 10:8
past 24:11	presence 9:13	purpose 16:25 18:22	recommendatio n 27:6 28:8 34:1	right-hand 14:12
pattern 15:8 23:16 33:14	present 6:9 8:10 32:12,15		record 4:23 30:11,17 32:17	Rio 14:4,8 15:17 32:4
			refer 5:18 11:9	Rojas 11:8,13
			referred 9:23	room 32:8
			referring 15:11, 20	RPC 12:14 16:15, 16,18 17:4 21:14, 15,17 22:5,6,7,8, 20 32:20

RPCS 33:5	share 13:13,24 14:4 15:17	15 7:7 8:6,12 9:16,18 10:4,9, 19,20,25 11:7,13, 20 12:3,7,9,16, 20,24 13:5,6 15:10,11,14 16:6, 21 17:6,7,24 18:12,16 19:10 20:11 23:4,5,13 24:21 25:9,12,14 26:1,16,18 27:5, 7,21 28:10,17 29:4,16 31:1 32:2,5,6,12,13, 14,16,23,25 33:7, 13,19	suspending 27:23	umbrella 25:6
rule 5:8 8:19,20 9:4 16:19 17:5 23:10 26:17 32:19,21 33:12	short 12:2		suspension 11:5,22 18:17 19:2 21:22 22:4, 10,11,13,15,18 26:24 28:13 29:7, 9	unable 33:16
ruled 25:13	show 4:21 26:11 29:21			unauthorized 16:15,18 32:19
rules 8:24 9:3 24:4 25:22	showed 9:11			unaware 28:20
run 22:11	shown 14:11		system 17:3,9 19:19 20:1,4,24 21:5,8	Understood 26:12
running 22:13	sic 15:22			unknown 12:17 13:10 15:10,20
<hr/> S <hr/>	side 14:12		<hr/> T <hr/>	update 27:15
	sign 8:22 15:23			upward 16:11 26:25
	signature 14:12, 15 15:2,21			USPS 5:20
	signed 12:17 13:10,14,20 15:10,21	stated 5:21 7:15 12:1 15:9 16:5 22:14 23:7	taking 14:3 17:10 33:24	<hr/> V <hr/>
sanction 16:8, 10,12 17:11 19:13 21:23 23:8 26:22 27:1,5 30:9	similar 20:2 21:7, 20 23:1	statement 25:6	talked 13:9	V-I-A 5:17
sanctionable 26:24	similarly 19:20 29:6,13	states 10:23 19:4,14,21 28:4,5 29:5,16 30:4	telephonically 6:8	Vegas 5:10 11:8
sanctions 28:18	six-month 22:3	stating 12:18	tenant 7:16	verified 9:1
scheduled 4:12	Sonia 9:11,24 10:7	Street 5:17	terms 19:23 21:3 22:24 30:16	versus 4:3 5:6 10:20 17:21 32:13
scheduling 6:10 24:14,19	sounds 31:1	subsection 24:1 25:24 26:4	testimony 4:25	violated 10:24 16:6,13,14,19 17:4 20:18 21:3, 17 22:24 23:6 32:20,22 33:4
SCR 5:15,25 6:4, 13,24 7:3,9,11 12:4,11 15:13,24 17:25 31:15	South 5:9	subsections 21:9 23:11	thing 29:6	violates 19:23
screen 13:13,25 14:4 15:18	space 5:17	substantial 23:19 33:15	Thomas 11:18	violation 12:14 17:2,8 19:16,24 20:16 21:4,24 22:7,8
search 28:24	speak 24:16	suggest 17:18	thought 13:18	violations 21:14 22:5,6,7,20 32:19
section 28:11	speaking 24:10 28:9	Suite 5:9	throw 13:17	volunteer 33:24
send 27:24	specifically 25:1	supply 25:5,14	time 4:12 10:9 11:17 28:25 33:23,24	<hr/> W <hr/>
separate 20:21	spoke 12:1,24	support 24:6 31:17	times 18:25 24:22	
September 5:14 14:23	squiggle 15:2	supposed 20:20	today 4:3,6 9:13	
serve 6:3,16 7:8, 12,17,20 8:13,14 9:2	standard 16:22 19:14,20 20:5,6, 14,25 21:1,9,18, 23 23:2	Supreme 5:8 8:20,24 9:4 22:2 23:10 26:17 27:22,24 28:8 29:11,14 30:8	today's 8:18	
served 9:6 17:22 18:9	standards 17:11 18:25 19:2,6,11 20:13 23:3	suspended 11:4,6,12 16:20 20:2,20 21:6,13, 19,24,25 22:19, 25 28:7 29:8,11, 15,22 31:10 33:4	topic 23:25	
service 4:25 5:2 8:25	stands 27:7		totaling 11:11	
services 11:11	started 4:2,11		turn 9:16	
severity 30:22	state 4:3,8,14 5:5,6,12,23 6:2,		two-year 22:11, 15,18	walk 14:5
			type 29:23	wanted 20:10 31:19
			<hr/> U <hr/>	warrant 26:21 27:3
			U.S. 28:10	warranted 16:12

Watkins 4:6
27:11

website 5:21

week 9:12

witnesses 25:1,
5,10,15

worked 12:2

working 11:17
13:22

worth 15:5

written 14:16

wrote 15:4 28:25

Y

year 22:9

years 22:20

Z

Zoom 8:10 13:24

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

AMENDED FORMAL HEARING PACKET

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.

File No: OBC21-0077

FORMAL HEARING
January 27, 2022 @ 9:00 a.m. via ZOOM

INDEX OF DOCUMENTS

DOCUMENT	PAGE NOS.
Complaint, Designation of Hearing Panel, and Declaration of Mailing Filed August 23, 2021.....	SBN 001-012
Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis Filed September 16, 2021	SBN 013-015
Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair Filed November 1, 2021	SBN 016-017
Notice of Telephonic Initial Case Conference Filed November 8, 2021	SBN 018-019
State Bar of Nevada's Request for Entry of Default Filed November 18, 2021	SBN 020-050
Scheduling Order Filed November 18, 2021	SBN 051-054
Affidavit of Due Diligence Dated November 19, 2021	SBN 055
Affidavit of Service Dated December 3, 2021	SBN 056
Notice of Formal Hearing Filed December 28, 2021	SBN 057-058
Order Appointing Formal Hearing Panel Filed December 29, 2021	SBN 059-060

Default Order
Filed January 7, 2022 SBN 061-086

State Bar of Nevada's Supplemental Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses
Filed January 26, 2022 SBN 087-089

PANEL

Nell Christensen, Esq., Panel Chair
Marni Watkins, Esq., Panel Member
Gale Kotlikova, Lay Member

Gerard Gosioco, Esq.
Assistant Bar Counsel

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
Respondent

Sonia Del Rio
Hearing Paralegal

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

COMPLAINT

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 105(2) a **VERIFIED RESPONSE OR ANSWER** to this Complaint **must be filed** with the Office of Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada, 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, **within twenty (20) days of service of this Complaint**. The procedure regarding service is addressed in SCR 109.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Complainant, State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter "State Bar") alleges that JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter "Respondent"), Nevada Bar No. 6904, is a

1 suspended member of the State Bar, was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada
2 on October 12, 1999, and at all times pertinent to this Complaint, had a principal place of
3 business for the practice of law located in Clark County, Nevada.

4 2. Respondent has engaged in acts of misconduct in violation of the Nevada
5 Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC"), requiring disciplinary sanctions.

6 3. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
7 2019; his suspension will be completed on May 15, 2022.

8 4. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas
9 (hereinafter "Grievant") of LV Process & Investigations ("LVPI") alleging that Respondent
10 failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice
11 law despite being suspended.

12 5. Grievant provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that
13 Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019,
14 and June 8, 2020.

15 6. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only
16 one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter "Mr. Larmore") was licensed in Nevada.

17 7. The State Bar's investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
18 initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

19 8. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI's invoices were initiated by Mr.
20 Larmore.

21 9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation
22 ("LOI") to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5,
23 2021.

24 10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 5, 2021,
25 deadline.

1 11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via
2 certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26,
3 2021.

4 12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he
5 did not respond to this letter.

6 13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by
7 an unknown individual.

8 14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021,
9 deadline.

10 15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter "Ms. Meeks"), an
11 investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter "Ms. Bardis"),
12 Respondent's office manager.

13 16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the
14 correct address.

15 17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

16 **Count One**

17 **RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)**

18 18. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1
19 through 17 as if fully incorporated herein.

20 19. RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) states that unless an exception
21 applies, a lawyer shall not "practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the
22 regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction," or "assist another person in the
23 unauthorized practice of law."

24 20. Respondent knowingly initiated lawsuits while being suspended from the
25 practice of law.

21. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

22. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 21, Respondent has violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law).

Count Two

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully incorporated herein.

24. RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) states, in pertinent part, that an “applicant for the admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary matter, shall not: (1) [k]nowingly make a false statement of material fact; or (2) [f]ail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or disciplinary authority.”

25. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to the State Bar's letters of investigation.

26. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

27. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 26, Respondent has violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

28. That a hearing be held pursuant to SCR 105;

29. That Respondent be assessed the costs of the disciplinary proceeding pursuant to SCR 120(1); and

1 30. That pursuant to SCR 102, such disciplinary action be taken by the Southern
2 Nevada Disciplinary Board against Respondent as may be deemed appropriate under the
3 circumstances.

4 DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

5
6 Submitted by:
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counse
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
)
Respondent.)

**DESIGNATION OF
HEARING PANEL MEMBERS**

The following are members of the Disciplinary Board for the Southern District of Nevada. Pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 105, you may issue peremptory challenge to five (5) such individuals by delivering the same in writing to the Office of Bar Counsel within twenty (20) days of service of the complaint.

The Chair of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board will thereafter designate a hearing panel of three (3) members of the Disciplinary Board, including at least one member who is not an attorney, to hear the above-captioned matter.

1. Russell E. Marsh, Esq., Chair
2. Dana Palmer Oswalt, Esq., Vice Chair
3. Christopher J. Lalli, Esq., Vice Chair
4. Neil Beller, Esq.
5. Annette L. Bradley, Esq.

- 1 6. John E. Bragonje, Esq.
- 2 7. Shemilly A. Briscoe, Esq.
- 3 8. Amanda Brookyser, Esq.
- 4 9. Robert J. Caldwell, Esq.
- 5 10. Jacqueline B. Carman, Esq.
- 6 11. Andrew A. Chiu, Esq.
- 7 12. James P. Chrisman, Esq.
- 8 13. Nell E. Christensen, Esq.
- 9 14. Marc P. Cook, Esq.
- 10 15. Ira W. David, Esq.
- 11 16. Damon Dias, Esq.
- 12 17. Sandra K. DiGiacomo, Esq.
- 13 18. F. Thomas Edwards, Esq.
- 14 19. Matthew S. Fox, Esq.
- 15 20. Alan Freer, Esq.
- 16 21. Adam Garth, Esq.
- 17 22. Kelly Giordani, Esq.
- 18 23. Robert G. Giunta, Esq.
- 19 24. Angela Guingcangco, Esq.
- 20 25. Parish D. Heshmati, Esq.
- 21 26. Kenneth E. Hogan, Esq.
- 22 27. Jennifer K. Hostetler, Esq.
- 23 28. David Kaplan, Esq.
- 24 29. James T. Leavitt, Esq.
- 25 30. Michael B. Lee, Esq.

- 1 31. Jennifer R. Lloyd, Esq.
- 2 32. Donald Lowrey, Esq.
- 3 33. Roger Madsen, Esq.
- 4 34. Jason R. Maier, Esq.
- 5 35. Farhan Naqvi, Esq.
- 6 36. Michael J. Oh, Esq.
- 7 37. Gary A. Pulliam, Esq.
- 8 38. Paul "Luke" Puschnig, Esq.
- 9 39. Michael D. Rawlins, Esq.
- 10 40. Jericho L. Remitio, Esq.
- 11 41. Jarrod L. Rickard, Esq.
- 12 42. Miriam E. Rodriguez, Esq.
- 13 43. Vincent J. Romeo, Esq.
- 14 44. Daniel F. Royal, Esq.
- 15 45. Maria V. Saladino, Esq.
- 16 46. Africa A. Sanchez, Esq.
- 17 47. Jen J. Sarafina, Esq.
- 18 48. Jay A. Shafer, Esq.
- 19 49. Thomas R. Sheets, Esq.
- 20 50. Jeffrey G. Sloane, Esq.
- 21 51. Sarah E. Smith, Esq.
- 22 52. James R. Sweetin, Esq.
- 23 53. Stephen L. Titzer Esq.
- 24 54. Jacob J. Villani, Esq.
- 25 55. Marni Watkins, Esq.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 56. Dan R. Waite, Esq.
- 57. Joseph Went, Esq.
- 58. Reed J. Werner, Esq.
- 59. Rachel Wise, Esq.
- 60. Natalie Ann Allred, Laymember
- 61. Afeni Banks, Laymember
- 62. Brian Catlett, Laymember
- 63. Alexander Falconi, Laymember
- 64. Brittany Falconi, Laymember
- 65. Joelyne Gold, Laymember
- 66. Elizabeth A. Hanson, Laymember
- 67. Jack S. Hegeduis, Laymember
- 68. Julia D. Hesmati, Laymember
- 69. William M. Holland, Laymember
- 70. Nicholas Kho, Laymember
- 71. Annette Kingsley, Laymember
- 72. Gale Kotlikova, Laymember
- 73. Todd Krome, Laymember
- 74. Benjamin S. Lurie, Laymember
- 75. Jo Kent McBeath, Laymember
- 76. Kellie C. Rubin, Laymember
- 77. Vikki L. Seelig, Laymember

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

78. Danny Lee Snyder, Jr., Laymember

79. Harvey Weatherford, Laymember

DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel



By: _____

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Blvd, Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Phone: (702) 382-2200

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMR T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
)
Respondent.)

DECLARATION OF MAILING

Sonia Del Rio, under penalty of perjury, being first and duly sworn, deposes
and says as follows:

1. That Declarant is employed with the State Bar of Nevada and, in such capacity,
Declarant is Custodian of Records for the Discipline Department of the State
Bar of Nevada.
2. That Declarant states that the enclosed documents are true and correct copies
of the **COMPLAINT, FIRST DESIGNATION OF HEARING PANEL
MEMBERS, and STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S PEREMPTORY
CHALLENGES** in the matter of the *State Bar of Nevada vs. Jeremy T.
Bergstrom, Esq.*, Case No. OBC21-0077.

1 3. That pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 109, the Complaint, First Designation of
2 Hearing Panel Members, and State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory Challenges
3 were served on the following placing copies in an envelope which was then
4 sealed and postage fully prepaid for regular and certified mail, and deposited
5 in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada to:

6 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
7 Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
8 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
9 Las Vegas, NV 89123
10 **CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT: 7021 0350 0001 7810 3095**

11 **And via electronic mail to:**

12 Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
13 (SCR 79 email)

14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

15 Dated this 23rd day of August 2021.

16 *Sonia Del Rio*
17 _____
18 Sonia Del Rio, an employee
19 of the State Bar of Nevada
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

SEP 16 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED
ON DEFAULT BASIS**

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT unless the State Bar receives a responsive pleading in the above-captioned matter by October 6, 2021, it will proceed on a default basis and ***the charges against you shall be deemed admitted.*** Supreme Court Rule 105 (2) states in relevant part:

A copy of the complaint shall be served on the attorney and it shall direct that a verified response or answer be served on bar counsel within 20 days of service . In the event the attorney fails to plead, **the charges shall be deemed admitted**; provided, however, that an attorney who fails to respond within the time provided may thereafter obtain permission of the appropriate disciplinary board chair to do so, if failure to file is attributable to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. (Emphasis added.)

///

///

///

1 Additional copies of the Complaint previously served upon you, and the First
2 Designation of Hearing Panel Members, accompanies this Notice.

3 DATED this 16th day of September 2021.

4 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
5 **DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL**

6 

7
8
9
10

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
11 Nevada Bar No. 14371
12 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
14 (702) 382-2200
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis, Complaint, and First Designation of Panel Members were deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for first class-regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616
SCR 79 Address

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson NV 89052
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
Alternate Address

and via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Dated this 16th day of September 2021

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.



FILED

NOV - 1 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case No.: OBC21-0077

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
NV BAR NO. 6904)
Respondent.)

ORDER APPOINTING HEARING
PANEL CHAIR

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following member of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board has been designated and as the Hearing Panel Chair.

1. Nell Christensen, Esq.,

DATED this 1 day of November, 2021.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

By: Dana P. Oswalt
Dana P. Oswalt (Nov 1, 2021 15:35 PDT)
Dana Oswalt, Esq.
Nevada Bar No.12061
Vice-Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the **ORDER APPOINTING**
3 **HEARING PANEL CHAIR** was deposited electronically to:

- 4 1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
5 2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
6 3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

7
8 DATED this 2nd day of November 2021.


9 Sonia Del Rio
10 Sonia Del Rio an employee of
11 the State Bar of Nevada.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case No. OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV - 8 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF TELEPHONIC INITIAL
CASE CONFERENCE**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, the telephonic Initial Case Conference in the above-entitled matter is set for **November 17, 2021, at 1:00 p.m.** The State Bar conference call number is 1-877-594-8353, participant passcode is 46855068#.

Dated this 8th day of November 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

A handwritten signature in blue ink, likely belonging to Gerard Gosioco.

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200
Attorney for Complainant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing
NOTICE OF TELEPHONIC INITIAL CASE CONFERENCE was deposited in the
United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for first-class
regular mail addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
(SCR 79 address)

and to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052

And via email to:

1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountynvda.com
2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

Dated this 8th day of November 2021.

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee
of the State Bar of Nevada

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV 18 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY  **OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT

The State Bar of Nevada, by and through Assistant Bar Counsel Gerard Gosioco, Esq., requests that an Order of Default be entered against Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq., ("Respondent") in the foregoing matter. This request is made and based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the exhibits attached hereto, and upon such further evidence and argument as the Chair may request.

///

///

///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- 21
22
23
24
25

23

24

25

1 Pursuant to SCR 109(1) service of the Complaint must be made by mailing a copy to
2 Respondent's SCR 79 address via certified mail.

3 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the Complaint, by sending it
4 via certified return receipt United States mail to Respondent's SCR 79 address, pursuant to SCR
5 109(1). Affidavit of Sonia Del Rio, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6 In addition to the service required by SCR 109(1), the State Bar also attempted service of the
7 Complaint by first class mail, certified mail and by email to the address Respondent provided to the
8 State Bar. The certified mail was "Delivered, Left with Individual on August 28, 2021" as per the
9 USPS website, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10 **C. Service of the Notice of Intent to Enter Default:**

11 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c), the State Bar filed a Notice of
12 Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis ("NOIPD") against Respondent on September 16, 2021, warning
13 Respondent that, pursuant to SCR 105(2), the failure to file a responsive pleading would result in all
14 charges being deemed admitted. *See* Exhibit 4.

15 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the NOIPD by sending it via
16 certified, return-receipt United States mail and by first-class United States mail to 9555 S. Eastern
17 Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 and 1505 Via Cassia St., Henderson, NV 89052, and also sent
18 via electronic mail to Respondent's email jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com. Included with the NOIPD
19 was an additional copy of the Complaint filed in this matter. Exhibit 2. Per the USPS website,
20 pleadings were "Delivered, Left with Individual". *See* Exhibit 5.

21 No Answer or responsive pleading has been filed by Respondent.

22 ///

23 ///

1 **CONCLUSION**


2 Despite the State Bar of Nevada having gone to lengths beyond those required by Nevada
3 Supreme Court Rules, Respondent has failed to file an Answer or otherwise enter an appearance in
4 the action. Therefore, pursuant to SCR 105(2) and DRP 14(c), the State Bar respectfully requests:

- 5 (i) Entry of Default against Respondent;
- 6 (ii) A finding that the charges of the Complaint are deemed admitted; and
- 7 (iii) The scheduling of a Formal Hearing for the sole purpose of determining the
8 appropriate disciplinary sanction.

9 A proposed DEFAULT Order is attached for the Panel Chair's consideration. Exhibit 6.

10 DATED this 18th day of November 2021.

11 STATE BAR OF NEVADA
12 Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

13 

14 By: _____
15 GERARD GOSIOCO
16 Assistant Bar Counsel
17 Nevada Bar No. 14371
18 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
19 Las Vegas, NV 89102

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Exhibit 1

Case No: OBC21-0077



STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

COMPLAINT

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 105(2) a **VERIFIED RESPONSE OR ANSWER** to this Complaint **must be filed** with the Office of Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada, 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, **within twenty (20) days of service of this Complaint**. The procedure regarding service is addressed in SCR 109.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Complainant, State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter "State Bar") alleges that JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter "Respondent"), Nevada Bar No. 6904, is a

1 suspended member of the State Bar, was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada
2 on October 12, 1999, and at all times pertinent to this Complaint, had a principal place of
3 business for the practice of law located in Clark County, Nevada.

4 2. Respondent has engaged in acts of misconduct in violation of the Nevada
5 Rules of Professional Conduct (“RPC”), requiring disciplinary sanctions.

6 3. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
7 2019; his suspension will be completed on May 15, 2022.

8 4. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas
9 (hereinafter “Grievant”) of LV Process & Investigations (“LVPI”) alleging that Respondent
10 failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice
11 law despite being suspended.

12 5. Grievant provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that
13 Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019,
14 and June 8, 2020.

15 6. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only
16 one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter “Mr. Larmore”) was licensed in Nevada.

17 7. The State Bar’s investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
18 initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

19 8. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI’s invoices were initiated by Mr.
20 Larmore.

21 9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation
22 (“LOI”) to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5,
23 2021.

24 10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar’s LOI by the February 5, 2021,
25 deadline.

11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26, 2021.

12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not respond to this letter.

13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by an unknown individual.

14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021, deadline.

15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter “Ms. Meeks”), an investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter “Ms. Bardis”), Respondent’s office manager.

16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the correct address.

17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

Count One

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

18. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully incorporated herein.

19. RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) states that unless an exception applies, a lawyer shall not “practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction,” or “assist another person in the unauthorized practice of law.”

20. Respondent knowingly initiated lawsuits while being suspended from the practice of law.

21. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

22. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 21, Respondent has violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law).

Count Two

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully incorporated herein.

24. RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) states, in pertinent part, that an “applicant for the admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary matter, shall not: (1) [k]nowingly make a false statement of material fact; or (2) [f]ail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or disciplinary authority.”

25. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to the State Bar's letters of investigation.

26. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

27. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 26, Respondent has violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

28. That a hearing be held pursuant to SCR 105;


29. That Respondent be assessed the costs of the disciplinary proceeding pursuant to SCR 120(1); and

1 30. That pursuant to SCR 102, such disciplinary action be taken by the Southern
2 Nevada Disciplinary Board against Respondent as may be deemed appropriate under the
3 circumstances.

4 DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

5
6 Submitted by:
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counse
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada

Exhibit 2

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 031

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

NOW COMES Sonia Del Rio, under penalty of perjury pursuant to the law of the State of Nevada, being first duly sworn, declares and says as follows:

2. That I examined the original file regarding Jeremy T. Bergstrom;

4. That the institution is licensed to do business as the State Bar of Nevada in the state of Nevada;

6. That on August 23, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via electronic mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, and State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory Challenges filed for Case No. OBC21-0077 to Jeremy Bergstrom's email address jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com.

7. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via certified and standard U.S. mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No.

1 OBC21-0077 to Jeremy Bergstrom's SCR 79 address of 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV
2 89123. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616. Per the USPS website, certified
3 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 29, 2021.

4 8. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via certified mail and standard U.S.
5 Mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's
6 Peremptory Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC 21-
7 0077. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609. Per the USPS website, certified
8 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 20, 2021. The green card was returned to the
9 State Bar signed on September 21, 2021.

10 9. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via electronic mail a copy of
11 the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory
12 Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC21-0077 to Jeremy
13 Bergstrom's email address jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com.

14 10. That, to date, the State Bar of Nevada has not received a response to the Complaint filed
15 for Case No. OBC21-0077.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

17 DATED this 10th day of November, 2021.

18
19 By: Sonia Del Rio
20 Sonia Del Rio, an employee of the
21 State Bar of Nevada
22
23
24
25

Exhibit 3

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 034



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70210350000178103095

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 10:42 am on August 28, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89123.

Delivered, Left with Individual

August 28, 2021 at 10:42 am
LAS VEGAS, NV 89123

Feedback

Get Updates v

Text & Email Updates	v
Tracking History	v
Product Information	v

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

Exhibit 4

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 036

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

SEP 16 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED
ON DEFAULT BASIS**

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT unless the State Bar receives a responsive pleading in the above-captioned matter by October 6, 2021, it will proceed on a default basis and ***the charges against you shall be deemed admitted.*** Supreme Court Rule 105 (2) states in relevant part:

A copy of the complaint shall be served on the attorney and it shall direct that a verified response or answer be served on bar counsel within 20 days of service . In the event the attorney fails to plead, **the charges shall be deemed admitted**; provided, however, that an attorney who fails to respond within the time provided may thereafter obtain permission of the appropriate disciplinary board chair to do so, if failure to file is attributable to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. (Emphasis added.)

///

///


///

1 Additional copies of the Complaint previously served upon you, and the First
2 Designation of Hearing Panel Members, accompanies this Notice.

3 DATED this 16th day of September 2021.

4
5 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
6 **DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL**

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis, Complaint, and First Designation of Panel Members were deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for first class-regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616
SCR 79 Address

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson NV 89052
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
Alternate Address

and via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Dated this 16th day of September 2021

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

Exhibit 5

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 040



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502616

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:02 pm on September 29, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89102.

Delivered, Left with Individual

September 29, 2021 at 3:02 pm
LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

Feedback

Get Updates v

Text & Email Updates	v
Tracking History	v
Product Information	v

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502609

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:05 am on September 20, 2021 in HENDERSON, NV 89052.

Delivered, Left with Individual

September 20, 2021 at 11:05 am
HENDERSON, NV 89052

Feedback

Get Updates v

Text & Email Updates	v
Tracking History	v
Product Information	v

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.


SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X </p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) MARK GEORGE</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery 9-20</p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 1505 Via Cassia Street Henderson, NV 89052</p>		<p>RECEIVED BY SEP 21 2021 STATE BAR OF NEVADA</p>	
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7021 1970 0000 0350 2609</p>			
<p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail®</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery</p>		<p><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express®</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</p>	
<p>PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053</p>		<p>Domestic Return Receipt</p>	



Exhibit 6

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 045

Case No.: OBC21-0077

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

DEFAULT AND ORDER

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Based upon the Request for Entry of Default and supporting Certificate of Custodian of Records, filed in the above-captioned matter on August 26, 2021, the undersigned Hearing Panel Chair hereby makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of this entry of DEFAULT against attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq., Nevada Bar Number 6904. ("Respondent".)

///

///

///

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF DEFAULT

A. Respondent's SCR 79 Obligation:

Respondent is a member of the State Bar of Nevada (Bar Number 6904) having been licensed in the State of Nevada since October 12, 1999. Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 79(1) requires every member of the State Bar of Nevada to provide the State Bar with a permanent mailing address, permanent telephone number, and a current email address for purposes of State Bar communication with the attorney.

The SCR 79 information provided by Respondent and on file with the State Bar as of June 2, 2015 is:

- a. Mailing Address: 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 ("SCR 79 Address").
- b. Phone Number: 702-333-0007
- c. Email Address: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

B. Additional Contact Information:

The State Bar of Nevada identified an alternate address for Respondent, to wit, 1505 Via Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 (hereinafter referenced as "Alternate Address").

Service of Complaint:

The State Bar of Nevada filed a Complaint against Respondent on August 23, 2021. *See* Exhibit 1. The Complaint charges Respondent with the violations of Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC") as follows:

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

///

1 Pursuant to SCR 109(1) service of the Complaint must be made by mailing a copy to
2 Respondent's SCR 79 address via certified mail.

3 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the Complaint, by sending it
4 via certified return receipt United States mail to Respondent's SCR 79 address, pursuant to SCR
5 109(1). Affidavit of Sonia Del Rio, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6 In addition to the service required by SCR 109(1), the State Bar also attempted service of the
7 Complaint by first class mail, certified mail and by email to the address Respondent provided to the
8 State Bar. The certified mail was "Delivered, Left with Individual on August 28, 2021" as per the
9 USPS website, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10 **C. Service of the Notice of Intent to Enter Default:**

11 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c), the State Bar filed a Notice of
12 Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis ("NOIPD") against Respondent on September 16, 2021, warning
13 Respondent that, pursuant to SCR 105(2), the failure to file a responsive pleading would result in all
14 charges being deemed admitted. *See* Exhibit 4.

15 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the NOIPD by sending it via
16 certified, return-receipt United States mail and by first-class United States mail to 9555 S. Eastern
17 Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 and 1505 Via Cassia St., Henderson, NV 89052, and also sent
18 via electronic mail to Respondent's email jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com. Included with the NOIPD
19 was an additional copy of the Complaint filed in this matter. Exhibit 2. Per the USPS website,
20 pleadings were "Delivered, Left with Individual". *See* Exhibit 5.

21 No Answer or responsive pleading has been filed by Respondent.

22 ///

23 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Rule 109 of the Nevada Supreme Court Rules states that service on a Respondent shall be made as follows

1. Complaint. Service of a complaint under these rules shall be made by personal service by any person authorized in the manner prescribed by [Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 4\(c\)](#), or by registered or certified mail at the current address shown in the state bar's records or other last known address.

2. Other papers. Service of other papers or notices required by these rules shall be made in accordance with [Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 5](#), unless otherwise provided by these rules.

2. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the Complaint.

3 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c) notice of intent to enter default shall be made as follows:

(c) Failure to file verified response or answer. In the event the respondent fails to plead, Bar counsel shall file a Notice of Intent to Proceed on Default Basis. This Notice of Intent shall be served on the respondent with a date to file a verified answer that is twenty (20) days from the date of filing the notice. A copy of the complaint and First Designation shall also accompany the notice of intent. If the Respondent fails to respond after the notice of intent is served, the charges in the complaint shall be deemed admitted. . . .

4. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the NOIPD.

5. The State Bar went beyond the requirements to notify Respondent of the pending Complaint and his obligation to respond thereto.

6. Respondent has failed to respond to the Complaint.

ORDER OF DEFAULT

NOW THEREFORE, Respondent having failed to comply with SCR 105(2) by having failed to respond to (i) the Complaint and (ii) the Notice of Intent to Enter Default that were properly served by the State Bar to Respondent's SCR 79 address(es) of record;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DEFAULT IS HEREBY ENTERED against Respondent Stephen A. Bowers, Esq.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in accordance with SCR 105(2), the charges in the
Complaint shall be deemed admitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this _____ day of November 2021.

By: _____
Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair
Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

NOV 18 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

NV Bar No. 6904

Respondent.

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 17 of the Disciplinary Rules of Procedure (“DRP”), on Wednesday, November 17, 2021, at 1:00 p.m., Nell Christensen, Esq., the Formal Hearing Panel Chair, met telephonically with Gerard Gosioco, Esq., Bar Counsel, on behalf of the State Bar of Nevada, to conduct the Initial Conference in this matter. Respondent did not appear. Respondent made no advance contact with the State Bar or the Chair advising of any scheduling or appearance difficulty.

During the Case Conference the Chair and State Bar discussed disclosures, discovery issues, the potential for resolution of this matter prior to the hearing, a status conference, and the hearing date.

The Chair finds and rules as follows:

1. The State Bar consent to service by electronic means of all documents pursuant to SCR 109(2), NRCPP 5, and DRP 11(b)(3) with the understanding that **all documents need to be submitted by 5:00 p.m. to be file stamped timely.**

1 **2.** The parties stipulate that venue is proper in Clark County, Nevada.

2 **3.** The Formal Hearing for this matter is hereby set for **one (1) day starting at**
3 **9:00 a.m. on January 27, 2022**, and shall take place virtually via Zoom Conferencing
4 until further notice.

5 **4.** On or before **November 24, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, the State Bar of Nevada's
6 initial disclosures shall be served on all parties. The documents provided by the State Bar
7 shall be bates stamped with numerical designations. *See* DRP 17 (a).

8 **5.** On or before **December 2, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, Respondent's initial
9 disclosures shall be served on all parties. The documents provided by the Respondent shall
10 be bates stamped with alphabetical exhibit designations. *See* DRP 17 (a).

11 **6.** On or before **December 13, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, the parties shall file and
12 serve any Motions.

13 **7.** On or before **December 27, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, all oppositions to the
14 Motions, if any, shall be filed and served on the parties.

15 **8.** On or before **December 28, 2021, at 5:00 p.m.**, the parties shall serve a
16 Final Designation of witnesses expected to testify and exhibits expected to be presented at
17 the Formal Hearing in this matter, pursuant to SCR 105(2)(d), DRP 17(a) and DRP 21.

18 **9.** All documents disclosed shall be bates stamped, the State Bar will use
19 numerical exhibit designations and Respondent will use alphabetical exhibit designations,
20 pursuant to DRP 17.

21 **10.** On **January 20, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.**, the parties shall meet telephonically
22 with Nell Christensen Esq. for the Pre-hearing Conference. Any pending issues, including
23 pending Motions, will be addressed at the Pre-hearing Conference. The parties shall use the
24 State Bar conference bridge (877) 594-8353 and the passcode is 46855068#. Pursuant to
25 DRP 23, at the Pre-hearing conference (i) the parties shall discuss all matters needing

attention prior to the hearing date, (ii) the Chair may rule on any motions or disputes including motions to exclude evidence, witnesses, or other pretrial evidentiary matter, and (iii) the parties shall discuss and determine stipulated exhibits proffered by either the State Bar or Respondent as well as a stipulated statement of facts, if any.

11. The parties stipulate to waive SCR 105(2)(d) to allow for the formal appointment of the remaining hearing panel members on a date that is greater than 45 days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Based on the parties' verbal agreement to the foregoing during the telephonic Initial Conference and good cause appearing, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this 18th day of November 2021.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

By: Nell Christensen
Nell Christensen, Esq.
Hearing Panel Chair

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing
SCHEDULING ORDER was deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada,
postage fully pre-paid thereon for first-class regular mail addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
(SCR 79 address)

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052
(Alternate address)

And via electronic mail to:

1. Nell Christensen, Esq., (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

DATED this 18th day of November 2021.

By: Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

AFFIDAVIT OF DUE DILIGENCE

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant

v.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Respondent

Case No.: OBC21-0077

Gerard Gosioco, Esq., Bar No. 14371

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

3100 W. Charleston 100

Las Vegas, NV 89102

(702) 382-2200

Attorneys for the Complainant

Client File# OBC21-0077

I, Tanner Trewet, being sworn, states: That I am a licensed process server registered in Nevada. I received a copy of the Complaint; Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair; Notice Of Intent To Proceed On Default Basis; Designation Of Hearing Panel Members; Notice Of Telephonic Initial Case Conference; Declaration Of Mailing, from OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

That attempts were made to serve Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. with Complaint; Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair; Notice Of Intent To Proceed On Default Basis; Designation Of Hearing Panel Members; Notice Of Telephonic Initial Case Conference; Declaration Of Mailing, at:

Attempted at 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 On 11/12/2021 at 4:08 PM
Results: Door locked. Signage for business: De Castroverde Law Group. No posted hours.

Attempted at 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 On 11/15/2021 at 10:15 AM
Results: No changes. Door locked and no answer.

Attempted at 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 On 11/16/2021 at 1:12 PM
Results: No changes. Door locked. Neighboring suite unable to provide any information.

I being duly sworn, states: that all times herein, Affiant was and is over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceedings in which this Affidavit is made. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 11/19/21



Tanner Trewet
Registered Work Card# R- 2019-07712
State of Nevada

(No Notary Per NRS 53.045)

Service Provided for:
Nationwide Legal Nevada, LLC
626 S. 7th Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5444
Nevada Lic # 1656



Control #: NV249681
Reference: OBC21-0077

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant

v.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,
Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent

Case No.:OBC21-0077

Gerard Gosioco, Esq., Bar No. 14371

OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

3100 W. Charleston 100

Las Vegas, NV 89102

(702) 382-2200

Attorneys for the Complainant

Client File# OBC21-0077

I, Sean Keseday, being sworn, states: That I am a licensed process server registered in Nevada. I received a copy of the Complaint; Designation Of Hearing Panel Member; Notice Of Intent To Proceed On Default Basis; Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair; Notice Of Telephonic Initial Case Conference; Declaration Of Mailing, from OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

That on 11/19/2021 at 3:41 PM at 1505 Via Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 I served Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esquire with the above-listed documents by personally delivering a true and correct copy of the documents by leaving with Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esquire.

That the description of the person actually served is as follows:

Gender: Male, Race: Caucasian, Age: 50's, Height: 5'8", Weight: 195 lbs., Hair: Baseball Cap, Eyes:Brown

I being duly sworn, states: that all times herein, Affiant was and is over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceedings in which this Affidavit is made. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 12/3/21

Sean Keseday
Registered Work Card# R-065975
State of Nevada

(No Notary Per NRS 53.045)

Service Provided for:
Nationwide Legal Nevada, LLC
626 S. 7th Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 385-5444
Nevada Lic # 1656



Control #:NV250180
Reference: OBC21-0077

Case No.: OBC21-0077



FILED

DEC 28 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.)
Nevada Bar No. 6904)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF
FORMAL HEARING**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the formal hearing in the above-entitled action has been scheduled for **one day on January 27, 2022, at the hour of 9:00 a.m.**, The hearing will be conducted virtually through **ZOOM video conference, until further notice**. The parties have stipulated to the hearing date set forth above.

Please be further advised that you are entitled to be represented by counsel, to cross-examine witnesses, and to present evidence.

DATED this 28th day of December 2021.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

By: 

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200
Attorney for State Bar of Nevada

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

NOTICE OF FORMAL HEARING was sent by first-class regular U.S. Mail to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia St.
Henderson, NV 89052
(Alternate address)

1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
2. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee
of the State Bar of Nevada



FILED

DEC 29 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: *[Signature]*
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case Nos: OBC21-0077

**STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.

NV BAR No. 6904

Respondent.

**ORDER APPOINTING
FORMAL HEARING PANEL**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following members of the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board have been designated as members of the formal hearing panel in the above-entitled action. The hearing will be convened on the 27th day of January, 2021 starting at 9:00 a.m. via Zoom Video Conferencing.

1. Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair;
2. Marni Watkins, Esq.
3. Gale Kotlikova, Laymember

DATED this 29 day of December, 2021.

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

By: *Christopher Lalli*
Christopher Lalli (Dec 29, 2021 14:20 PST)
Christopher Lalli, Esq.
Nevada Bar No.5398
Vice-Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the **ORDER APPOINTING**
3 **FORMAL HEARING PANEL** was deposited electronically to:

- 4 1. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
5 2. Marni Watkins, Esq. (Panel Member): marnidukes@yahoo.com
6 3. Gale Kotlikova (Panel Lay Member): gtkot@hotmail.com
7 4. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
8 5. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

9
10 DATED this 30th day of December 2021.

11 *Sonia Del Rio*
12 _____
13 Sonia Del Rio an employee of
14 the State Bar of Nevada.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



FILED

JAN - 7 2022

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

Case No.: OBC21-0077

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

DEFAULT AND ORDER

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson, NV 89052
(Alternate address)

Based upon the Request for Entry of Default and supporting Certificate of Custodian of Records, filed in the above-captioned matter on November 18, 2021, the undersigned Hearing Panel Chair hereby makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of this entry of DEFAULT against attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq., ("Respondent"), Nevada Bar Number 6904.

///

///

1 **FINDINGS OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF DEFAULT**

2 **A. Respondent's SCR 79 Obligation:**

3 Respondent is a member of the State Bar of Nevada (Bar Number 6904) having been licensed
4 in the State of Nevada since October 12, 1999. Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 79(1) requires
5 every member of the State Bar of Nevada to provide the State Bar with a permanent mailing address,
6 permanent telephone number, and a current email address for purposes of State Bar communication
7 with the attorney.

8 The SCR 79 information provided by Respondent and on file with the State Bar as of June 2,
9 2015, is:

- 10 a. Mailing Address: 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 ("SCR 79
11 Address")
12 b. Phone Number: (702) 333-0007
13 c. Email Address: jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

14 **B. Additional Contact Information:**

15 The State Bar of Nevada identified an alternate address for Respondent, to wit, 1505 Via
16 Cassia Street, Henderson, NV 89052 (hereinafter referenced as "Alternate Address").

17 **Service of Complaint:**

18 The State Bar of Nevada filed a Complaint against Respondent on August 23, 2021. *See*
19 Exhibit 1. The Complaint charges Respondent with the violations of Rules of Professional Conduct
20 ("RPC") as follows:

21 RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

22 RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23 ///

1 Pursuant to SCR 109(1) service of the Complaint must be made by mailing a copy to
2 Respondent's SCR 79 address via certified mail.

3 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the Complaint, by sending it
4 via certified return receipt United States mail to Respondent's SCR 79 address, pursuant to SCR
5 109(1). *See* Affidavit of Sonia Del Rio, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

6 In addition to the service required by SCR 109(1), the State Bar also attempted service of the
7 Complaint by first class mail, certified mail and by email to the address Respondent provided to the
8 State Bar. The certified mail was "Delivered, Left with Individual on August 28, 2021" as per the
9 USPS website, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10 **C. Service of the Notice of Intent to Enter Default:**

11 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c), the State Bar filed a Notice of
12 Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis ("NOIPD") against Respondent on September 16, 2021, warning
13 Respondent that, pursuant to SCR 105(2), the failure to file a responsive pleading would result in all
14 charges being deemed admitted. *See* Exhibit 4.

15 The State Bar complied with its service obligations concerning the NOIPD by sending it via
16 certified, return-receipt United States mail and by first-class United States mail to 9555 S. Eastern
17 Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV 89123 and 1505 Via Cassia St., Henderson, NV 89052, and also sent
18 via electronic mail to Respondent's email jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com. Included with the
19 NOIPD was an additional copy of the Complaint filed in this matter. Exhibit 4. Per the USPS
20 website, pleadings were "Delivered, Left with Individual". *See* Exhibit 5.

21 No Answer or responsive pleading has been filed by Respondent.

22 ///

23 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Rule 109 of the Nevada Supreme Court Rules states that service on a Respondent shall be made as follows:

1. Complaint. Service of a complaint under these rules shall be made by personal service by any person authorized in the manner prescribed by Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c), or by registered or certified mail at the current address shown in the state bar's records or other last known address.

2. Other papers. Service of other papers or notices required by these rules shall be made in accordance with Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 5, unless otherwise provided by these rules.

2. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the Complaint.

3 In accordance with Disciplinary Rule of Procedure 14(c) notice of intent to enter default shall be made as follows:

(c) Failure to file verified response or answer. In the event the respondent fails to plead, Bar counsel shall file a Notice of Intent to Proceed on Default Basis. This Notice of Intent shall be served on the respondent with a date to file a verified answer that is twenty (20) days from the date of filing the notice. A copy of the complaint and First Designation shall also accompany the notice of intent. If the Respondent fails to respond after the notice of intent is served, the charges in the complaint shall be deemed admitted[.]

4. The State Bar complied with the service requirements for the NOIPD.

5. The State Bar went beyond the requirements to notify Respondent of the pending Complaint and his obligation to respond thereto.

6. Respondent has failed to respond to the Complaint.

ORDER OF DEFAULT

NOW THEREFORE, Respondent having failed to comply with SCR 105(2) by having failed to respond to (i) the Complaint and (ii) the Notice of Intent to Enter Default that were properly served by the State Bar to Respondent's SCR 79 address(es) of record;

DEFAULT IS HEREBY ENTERED against Respondent Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in accordance with SCR 105(2), the charges in the
2 Complaint shall be deemed admitted.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED this 7th day of January 2022.
5
6
7

8 *Nell Christensen*
9 By: _____
Nell Christensen, Esq., Chair
Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the **DEAFULT AND ORDER** was deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for first class-regular mail, addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson NV 89052

and via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
2. Nell Christensen, Esq. (Panel Chair): nell.christensen@clarkcountyda.com
3. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

Dated this 12th day of January 2022

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

Exhibit 1

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

AUG 23 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

Complainant,

vs.

JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,

Nevada Bar No. 6904,

Respondent.

COMPLAINT

TO: JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq.
Bergstrom Law, Ltd.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule ("SCR") 105(2) a **VERIFIED RESPONSE OR ANSWER** to this Complaint **must be filed** with the Office of Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada, 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102, **within twenty (20) days of service of this Complaint**. The procedure regarding service is addressed in SCR 109.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Complainant, State Bar of Nevada (hereinafter "State Bar") alleges that JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, Esq. (hereinafter "Respondent"), Nevada Bar No. 6904, is a

1 suspended member of the State Bar, was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada
2 on October 12, 1999, and at all times pertinent to this Complaint, had a principal place of
3 business for the practice of law located in Clark County, Nevada.

4 2. Respondent has engaged in acts of misconduct in violation of the Nevada
5 Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC"), requiring disciplinary sanctions.

6 3. Respondent has been suspended from the practice of law since November 14,
7 2019; his suspension will be completed on May 15, 2022.

8 4. On January 19, 2021, the State Bar received a grievance from Genice Rojas
9 (hereinafter "Grievant") of LV Process & Investigations ("LVPI") alleging that Respondent
10 failed to pay outstanding invoices for services totaling \$3,500.00 and continued to practice
11 law despite being suspended.

12 5. Grievant provided the State Bar with invoices demonstrating that
13 Respondent filed lawsuits in Nevada and placed orders with LVPI between August 1, 2019,
14 and June 8, 2020.

15 6. During that time, Respondent had three (3) attorneys working for him; only
16 one, Thomas Larmore (hereinafter "Mr. Larmore") was licensed in Nevada.

17 7. The State Bar's investigation revealed that at least four (4) complaints were
18 initiated by Respondent after his suspension.

19 8. The remainder of the cases listed in LVPI's invoices were initiated by Mr.
20 Larmore.

21 9. On January 26, 2021, the State Bar sent Respondent a Letter of Investigation
22 ("LOI") to his SCR 79 email address requesting that he provide a response by February 5,
23 2021.

24 10. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 5, 2021,
25 deadline.

11. On February 11, 2021, the State Bar sent another LOI to Respondent via certified mail to his SCR 79 address requesting that he provide a response by February 26, 2021.

12. Respondent was advised that a RPC 8.1 violation would be considered if he did not respond to this letter.

13. On February 16, 2021, the State Bar received a green return card signed by an unknown individual.

14. Respondent failed to respond to the State Bar's LOI by the February 26, 2021, deadline.

15. Also on February 11, 2021, Dawn Meeks (hereinafter “Ms. Meeks”), an investigator with the State Bar, spoke with Maggie Bardis (hereinafter “Ms. Bardis”), Respondent’s office manager.

16. Ms. Bardis confirmed that the LOI sent via certified mail was sent to the correct address.

17. To date, Respondent has not responded to the State Bar's LOIs.

Count One

RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law)

18. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully incorporated herein.

19. RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law) states that unless an exception applies, a lawyer shall not “practice law in a jurisdiction where doing so violates the regulation of the legal profession in that jurisdiction,” or “assist another person in the unauthorized practice of law.”

20. Respondent knowingly initiated lawsuits while being suspended from the practice of law.

21. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

22. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 21, Respondent has violated RPC 5.5 (Unauthorized Practice of Law).

Count Two

RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters)

23. Complainant repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 as if fully incorporated herein.

24. RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters) states, in pertinent part, that an “applicant for the admission to the bar, or a lawyer in connection with a bar admission application or in connection with a disciplinary matter, shall not: (1) [k]nowingly make a false statement of material fact; or (2) [f]ail to disclose a fact necessary to correct a misapprehension known by the person to have arisen in the matter, or knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from an admissions or disciplinary authority.”

25. Respondent knowingly failed to respond to the State Bar's letters of investigation.

26. Respondent's misconduct resulted in injury to the public and/or the legal system and/or the profession.

27. In light of the foregoing, including without limitation paragraphs 2 through 26, Respondent has violated RPC 8.1 (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays as follows:

28. That a hearing be held pursuant to SCR 105;

29. That Respondent be assessed the costs of the disciplinary proceeding pursuant to SCR 120(1); and

1 30. That pursuant to SCR 102, such disciplinary action be taken by the Southern
2 Nevada Disciplinary Board against Respondent as may be deemed appropriate under the
3 circumstances.

4 DATED this 20th day of August 2021.

5
6 Submitted by:
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
Daniel M. Hooge, Bar Counsel

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counse
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for the State Bar of Nevada

Exhibit 2

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 073

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

NOW COMES Sonia Del Rio, under penalty of perjury pursuant to the law of the State of Nevada, being first duly sworn, declares and says as follows:

2. That I examined the original file regarding Jeremy T. Bergstrom;

4. That the institution is licensed to do business as the State Bar of Nevada in the state of Nevada;

6. That on August 23, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via electronic mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, and State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory Challenges filed for Case No. OBC21-0077 to Jeremy Bergstrom's email address jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com.

7. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via certified and standard U.S. mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No.

1 OBC21-0077 to Jeremy Bergstrom's SCR 79 address of 9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200, Las Vegas, NV
2 89123. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616. Per the USPS website, certified
3 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 29, 2021.

4 8. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via certified mail and standard U.S.
5 Mail a copy of the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's
6 Peremptory Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC 21-
7 0077. The certified mail receipt number is: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609. Per the USPS website, certified
8 mail was delivered and left with individual on September 20, 2021. The green card was returned to the
9 State Bar signed on September 21, 2021.

10 9. That on September 16, 2021, the State Bar of Nevada sent via electronic mail a copy of
11 the Complaint, First Designation of Hearing Panel Members, State Bar of Nevada's Peremptory
12 Challenges and Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis filed for Case No. OBC21-0077 to Jeremy
13 Bergstrom's email address jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com.

14 10. That, to date, the State Bar of Nevada has not received a response to the Complaint filed
15 for Case No. OBC21-0077.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

17 DATED this 10th day of November, 2021.

18
19 By: Sonia Del Rio
20 Sonia Del Rio, an employee of the
21 State Bar of Nevada
22
23
24
25

Exhibit 3

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 076



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70210350000178103095

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 10:42 am on August 28, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89123.

 **Delivered, Left with Individual**

August 28, 2021 at 10:42 am
LAS VEGAS, NV 89123

Feedback

Get Updates v

Text & Email Updates	v
Tracking History	v
Product Information	v

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

Exhibit 4

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 078

Case No: OBC21-0077



FILED

SEP 16 2021

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY: [Signature]
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
Respondent.)

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO PROCEED
ON DEFAULT BASIS**

TO: Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT unless the State Bar receives a responsive pleading in the above-captioned matter by October 6, 2021, it will proceed on a default basis and ***the charges against you shall be deemed admitted.*** Supreme Court Rule 105 (2) states in relevant part:

A copy of the complaint shall be served on the attorney and it shall direct that a verified response or answer be served on bar counsel within 20 days of service . In the event the attorney fails to plead, **the charges shall be deemed admitted**; provided, however, that an attorney who fails to respond within the time provided may thereafter obtain permission of the appropriate disciplinary board chair to do so, if failure to file is attributable to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect. (Emphasis added.)

///


///

///

1 Additional copies of the Complaint previously served upon you, and the First
2 Designation of Hearing Panel Members, accompanies this Notice.

3 DATED this 16th day of September 2021.

4 **STATE BAR OF NEVADA**
5 **DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL**

6 

7
8
9
10

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
11 Nevada Bar No. 14371
12 3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
14 (702) 382-2200
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to Proceed on a Default Basis, Complaint, and First Designation of Panel Members were deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage fully pre-paid thereon for first class-regular mail and certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to:

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
9555 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2616
SCR 79 Address

Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq.
1505 Via Cassia Street
Henderson NV 89052
Certified Mail: 7021 1970 0000 0350 2609
Alternate Address

and via email to:

1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (SCR 79 Email): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com

Dated this 16th day of September 2021

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio, an employee of
the State Bar of Nevada.

Exhibit 5

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 082



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502616

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:02 pm on September 29, 2021 in LAS VEGAS, NV 89102.

Delivered, Left with Individual

September 29, 2021 at 3:02 pm
LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

Feedback

Get Updates v

Text & Email Updates	v
Tracking History	v
Product Information	v

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.



FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70211970000003502609

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 11:05 am on September 20, 2021 in HENDERSON, NV 89052.

Delivered, Left with Individual

September 20, 2021 at 11:05 am
HENDERSON, NV 89052

Feedback



Get Updates ▼

Text & Email Updates	▼
Tracking History	▼
Product Information	▼

See Less ^


Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature X </p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) BERGSTROM</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery 9-20</p>		
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. 1505 Via Cassia Street Henderson, NV 89052</p>  <p>9590 9402 6890 1104 4880 03</p>	<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>RECEIVED BY SEP 21 2021 STATE BAR OF NEVADA</p> <p>3. Service Type</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td> <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery </td> <td> <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery </td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery		
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>7021 1970 0000 0350 2609</p>	<p>Mail Mail Restricted Delivery (0)</p>		
<p>PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053</p>	<p>Domestic Return Receipt</p>		

SBN Exhibit 1- Page 085

ROA Page 251



SBN Exhibit 1- Page 086

ROA Page 252

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case No: OBC21-0077

 **FILED**
JAN 26 2022
STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY: 
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,)
)
Complainant,)
vs.)
)
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, ESQ.,)
Nevada Bar No. 6904,)
)
Respondent.)
)
_____)

STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF
DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the following is a list of witnesses and a summary of evidence which may be offered against Respondent at the time of the Formal Hearing, in the above-entitled complaint.

A. Documentary Evidence

Any and all documentation contained in the State Bar of Nevada's file including but not limited to, correspondence, emails, memorandums, text messages, notes, payments, invoices, bank records, receipts, billing entries and pleadings regarding grievance file numbers OBC21-0077.

Any and all documentation contained in records of the State Bar of Nevada regarding Respondent's licensure, compliance with reporting requirements, and disciplinary history.

The State Bar reserves the right to supplement this list as necessary.

///
///
///

Exhibit#	Document	Bates Stamped
9.	Affidavit of Due Diligence – January 25, 2022	SBN 001
10.	Affidavit of Due Discipline – January 26, 2022	SBN 001

The State Bar incorporates by reference all documents identified by Respondent in these matters.

DATED this 26th day of January, 2022.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
DANIEL M. HOOGE, BAR COUNSEL

Gerard Gosioco, Assistant Bar Counsel
Nevada Bar No. 14371
3100 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 382-2200

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies a true and correct copy of the foregoing **STATE BAR OF NEVADA'S SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS AND WITNESSES** was sent via email to:

- 1. Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Esq. (Respondent): jbergstrom@jbergstromlaw.com
- 2. Gerard Gosioco, Esq. (Assistant Bar Counsel): gerardg@nvbar.org

DATED this 26th day of January, 2022.

By: Sonia Del Rio
Sonia Del Rio,
An employee of the State Bar of Nevada

DECLARATION OF SONIA DEL RIO

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS

SONIA DEL RIO, under penalty of perjury, being first duly sworn, declares and says as follows:

1. That Declarant is employed as a Hearing Paralegal for the Office of Bar Counsel of the State Bar of Nevada and in such capacity is the custodian of records for the State Bar of Nevada;
2. That Declarant has reviewed the State Bar of Nevada membership records regarding Respondent Jeremy T. Bergstrom, Nevada Bar number 6904, and has verified that he was first licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada since 1991.
3. That Declarant has reviewed the State Bar of Nevada membership records and confirmed Respondent is Suspended since November 14, 2019.
4. That Declarant has reviewed the State Bar of Nevada discipline records regarding Respondent and has verified that he has prior discipline.
 - a. Order of Suspension, filed 12/21/18
 - b. Order Approving Conditional Guilty Plea Agreement, filed 11/14/2019
 - c. Order of Suspension, filed 6/7/21
 - d. Order Imposing Reciprocal Discipline, filed 6/7/21

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 27th day of January, 2022.

Sonia Del Rio

Sonia Del Rio
Hearing Paralegal, Office of Bar Counsel

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, BAR NO.
6904.

No. 77170

FILED

DEC 21 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF SUSPENSION

This is an automatic review of a Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board hearing panel's recommendation that this court suspend attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom for six months, with all but the first two months stayed, for violations of RPC 1.1 (competence), RPC 1.3 (diligence), RPC 1.4 (communication), RPC 3.2 (expediting litigation), and RPC 8.1(b) (disciplinary matters). Because no briefs have been filed, this matter stands submitted for decision based on the record. SCR 105(3)(b).

The State Bar has the burden of demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence that Bergstrom committed the violations. *In re Discipline of Drakulich*, 111 Nev. 1556, 1566, 908 P.2d 709, 715 (1995). We employ a deferential standard of review with respect to the hearing panel's findings of fact, SCR 105(3)(b), and thus, will not set them aside unless they are clearly erroneous or not supported by substantial evidence, *see generally Sowers v. Forest Hills Subdivision*, 129 Nev. 99, 105, 294 P.3d 427, 432 (2013); *Ogawa v. Ogawa*, 125 Nev. 660, 668, 221 P.3d 699, 704 (2009). In contrast, we review de novo a disciplinary panel's conclusions of law and recommended discipline. SCR 105(3)(b).

SUPREME COURT
OF
NEVADA

(U) 1947A 

18-909890

Bergstrom was retained to domesticate a foreign judgment in Nevada and pursue enforcement of the judgment against the judgment debtor. He domesticated the judgment, but the record demonstrates that he then failed to competently, diligently, or expeditiously pursue enforcement, and he failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of the matter. Additionally, Bergstrom failed to respond to three lawful demands for information from the State Bar after the client filed a grievance and did not file an answer to the formal bar complaint until after the State Bar notified him of its intent to take a default. Because substantial evidence supports the panel's findings concerning Bergstrom's violations, we agree with the panel's conclusions that the State Bar established by clear and convincing evidence that Bergstrom violated the above-listed rules.

In determining whether the panel's recommended discipline is appropriate, we weigh four factors: "the duty violated, the lawyer's mental state, the potential or actual injury caused by the lawyer's misconduct, and the existence of aggravating or mitigating factors." *In re Discipline of Lerner*, 124 Nev. 1232, 1246, 197 P.3d 1067, 1077 (2008). We must ensure that the discipline is sufficient to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession. *See State Bar of Nev. v. Claiborne*, 104 Nev. 115, 213, 756 P.2d 464, 527-28 (1988) (noting the purpose of attorney discipline).

Bergstrom violated duties owed to his client (competence, diligence, communication, and expediting litigation) and the legal profession (failing to respond to lawful requests for information by a disciplinary authority). Bergstrom's misconduct caused potential injury to his client because he failed to pursue judgment enforcement against two debtor properties that have since been sold, which may limit the client's

ability to recover on the judgment. Bergstrom harmed the integrity of the profession, which depends on a self-regulating disciplinary system and cooperation in disciplinary investigations. The record supports the panel's finding that Bergstrom's mental state was knowing regarding his violation of RPC 8.1(b) (disciplinary matters). Additionally, Bergstrom's mental state regarding the remaining violations was at least negligent.

Based on the most serious instance of misconduct at issue, *Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions, Compendium of Professional Responsibility Rules and Standards* 452 (Am. Bar Ass'n 2017) ("The ultimate sanction imposed should at least be consistent with the sanction for the most serious instance of misconduct among a number of violations."), the baseline sanction before considering aggravating and mitigating circumstances is suspension, *see id.* Standard 7.2 ("Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system."). The record supports the panel's findings of two aggravating circumstances (pattern of misconduct and substantial experience in the practice of law) and two mitigating circumstances (absence of prior disciplinary record and absence of dishonest or selfish motive). Thus, considering all of the factors, we conclude that a suspension is warranted but that a stayed suspension is sufficient considering that this is Bergstrom's first disciplinary matter in a 20-year career and the conduct concerned one client.

Accordingly, we hereby suspend attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom from the practice of law for six months. The suspension is stayed for a period of one year from the date of this order subject to the following conditions: (1) he complete two CLE credits in law office management, in

addition to his annual CLE requirement, and provide proof of compliance to the State Bar within 6 months from the date of this order; and (2) he obtain a mentor with more than 20 years of experience in the practice of law and participate in a mentorship regarding law office management for the duration of the stayed suspension. Additionally, Bergstrom shall pay the actual costs of the disciplinary proceedings, including \$2,500 under SCR 120 within 30 days from the date of this order, if he has not done so already. The parties shall comply with SCR 121.

It is so ORDERED.

Douglas, C.J.
Douglas

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Stiglich, J.
Stiglich

cc: Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board
Jeremy T. Bergstrom
Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada
Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Perry Thompson, Admissions Office, U.S. Supreme Court

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, BAR NO.
6904

No. 79205

FILED

NOV 14 2019


ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER APPROVING CONDITIONAL GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

This is an automatic review of a Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board hearing panel's recommendation that this court approve, pursuant to SCR 113, a conditional guilty plea agreement in exchange for a stated form of discipline for attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom. Under the agreement, Bergstrom admitted to two violations of RPC 1.1 (competence), four violations of RPC 1.3 (diligence), four violations of RPC 1.4 (communication), four violations of RPC 3.2 (expediting litigation), one violation of RPC 5.1 (responsibilities of partners, managers, and supervisory lawyers), and one violation of RPC 8.4 (misconduct). He also agreed to a six-month-and-one-day suspension with 60 days of the suspension stayed for a period of one year subject to certain conditions.

Bergstrom has admitted to the facts and violations as part of his plea agreement. The record therefore establishes that Bergstrom violated the above-listed rules by failing to perform legal services for which he was retained in four different actions, resulting in judgments against his clients, and by failing to communicate with those clients. He also failed to properly supervise an associate attorney in the handling of one of those

SUPREME COURT
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947A 

19-447199

cases and promised the clients payment for the judgments, but then failed to provide payment.

The issue for this court is whether the agreed-upon discipline sufficiently protects the public, the courts, and the legal profession. See *State Bar of Nev. v. Claiborne*, 104 Nev. 115, 213, 756 P.2d 464, 527-28 (1988) (explaining purpose of attorney discipline). In determining the appropriate discipline, we weigh four factors: “the duty violated, the lawyer’s mental state, the potential or actual injury caused by the lawyer’s misconduct, and the existence of aggravating or mitigating factors.” *In re Discipline of Lerner*, 124 Nev. 1232, 1246, 197 P.3d 1067, 1077 (2008).

Bergstrom has admitted to negligently violating duties owed to his clients (competence, diligence, and communication) and to the legal profession (responsibilities of partners, managers, and supervisory lawyers; and misconduct). Bergstrom’s clients were injured as judgments were entered against them. Thus, the baseline sanction before considering aggravating and mitigating circumstances is suspension. See *Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions, Compendium of Professional Responsibility Rules and Standards*, Standard 4.42 (Am. Bar Ass’n 2017) (“Suspension is generally appropriate when . . . a lawyer engages in a pattern of neglect and causes injury or potential injury to a client.”). The record supports the panel’s findings of five aggravating circumstances (prior disciplinary offense, pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, substantial experience in the practice of law, and indifference to making restitution) and two mitigating circumstances (absence of dishonest or selfish motive and full and free disclosure to disciplinary authority or cooperative attitude toward

proceedings). Considering all four factors, we conclude that the agreed-upon discipline is appropriate.


Accordingly, we hereby suspend attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom for six months and one day commencing from the date of this order. The last 60 days of that suspension shall be stayed for one year subject to the following conditions: (1) Bergstrom shall pay \$34,422.88 in restitution to List and Associates during his actual suspension; (2) he shall obtain a mentor with a minimum of five years of experience in law office management to review his office procedures during his actual suspension to ensure he has implemented appropriate safeguards to avoid recurrence of his misconduct, provide a report stating he has done so before the expiration of his actual suspension, and have the mentor review his caseload and filings monthly, as described in the guilty plea agreement during the probationary period; (3) he shall remain free from any RPC violations during the probationary period and any alleged violation shall be handled as described in the guilty plea agreement; (4) within 30 days of the expiration of his actual suspension, he shall formally request a reinstatement hearing in the form of a petition that substantially complies with the requirements of SCR 116, and the hearing panel who recommended approval of his guilty plea, or an ad hoc panel if the hearing panel is unavailable, will consider the petition to determine if he has shown by clear and convincing evidence satisfaction of the conditions he was required to complete during his actual suspension and whether the 60-day stayed suspension should be imposed; and (5) he shall pay the costs of the disciplinary proceeding, including \$2,500 under SCR 120, within 30 days

from the date of this order, if he has not done so already. The parties shall comply with SCR 115 and SCR 121.1.

It is so ORDERED.¹


_____, C.J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Silver


_____, Sr. J.
Douglas

cc: Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board
Jeremy T. Bergstrom
Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada
Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Admissions Office, U.S. Supreme Court

¹The Honorable Michael Douglas, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT C


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, BAR NO.
6904.

No. 82359

FILED

JUN 07 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF SUSPENSION

This is an automatic review of a Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board hearing panel's recommendation that Jeremy T. Bergstrom be suspended for two years based on violations of RPC 1.15 (safekeeping property) and RPC 8.1 (disciplinary matters). Because no briefs have been filed, this matter stands submitted for decision based on the record. SCR 105(3)(b).

The State Bar has the burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence that Bergstrom committed the violations charged. *In re Discipline of Drakulich*, 111 Nev. 1556, 1566, 908 P.2d 709, 715 (1995). Here, however, the facts and charges alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted because Bergstrom failed to answer the complaint and a default was entered.¹ SCR 105(2). The record therefore establishes that Bergstrom

¹Bergstrom had notice of the State Bar's investigation as he did respond to it when it was in the grievance stage. In addition to serving the underlying disciplinary pleadings on Bergstrom at his SCR 79 address, the State Bar attempted personal service on Bergstrom at multiple addresses.

violated the above-reference rules by permitting his trust account to be overdrawn by \$5,973 and by failing to adequately respond to the State Bar's inquiries.

Turning to the appropriate discipline, we review the hearing panel's recommendation de novo. SCR 105(3)(b). Although we "must . . . exercise independent judgment," the panel's recommendation is persuasive. *In re Discipline of Schaefer*, 117 Nev. 496, 515, 25 P.3d 191, 204 (2001). In determining the appropriate discipline, we weigh four factors: "the duty violated, the lawyer's mental state, the potential or actual injury caused by the lawyer's misconduct, and the existence of aggravating or mitigating factors." *In re Discipline of Lerner*, 124 Nev. 1232, 1246, 197 P.3d 1067, 1077 (2008).

Bergstrom violated duties owed to his client (safekeeping property) and the profession (failure to respond to lawful requests for information by a disciplinary authority). Bergstrom's mental state appears to have been knowing at least as to the RPC 8.1 violation, as he was aware of the State Bar's investigation. His misconduct potentially harmed his client. Bergstrom's failure to cooperate with the disciplinary investigation harmed the integrity of the profession, which depends on a self-regulating disciplinary system. The baseline sanction for Bergstrom's misconduct, before consideration of aggravating and mitigating circumstances, is disbarment or suspension. *See Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions, Compendium of Professional Responsibility Rules and Standards*, Standard 8.1(b) (Am. Bar Ass'n 2017) (recommending disbarment when a lawyer "has

been suspended for the same or similar misconduct, and intentionally or knowingly engages in further similar acts of misconduct that cause injury or potential injury to a client, the public, the legal system, or the profession”); *see also* Standard 7.2 (providing suspension is appropriate “when a lawyer knowingly engages in conduct that is a violation of a duty owed as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system”). The panel found and the record supports five aggravating circumstances (prior discipline, dishonest or selfish motive, pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, and substantial experience in the practice of law) and no mitigating circumstances. Considering all the factors, we conclude the panel’s recommended discipline serves the purpose of attorney discipline. *See State Bar of Nev. v. Claiborne*, 104 Nev. 115, 213, 756 P.2d 464, 527-28 (1988) (observing the purpose of attorney discipline is to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession).

Accordingly, we hereby suspend attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom from the practice of law for two years to run consecutive to his suspension imposed in *In re Discipline of Bergstrom*, Docket No. 79205 (Order Approving Conditional Guilty Plea Agreement, Nov. 14, 2019), which ended on May 15, 2020.² Bergstrom shall also retake the Nevada State Bar exam and MPRE and pay Farmers Financial Services \$10,433.16 in restitution,

²The order in Docket No. 79205 required that Bergstrom petition for reinstatement at the end of the actual suspension in that matter. He has never done so. Because the suspension imposed in this matter runs consecutive to the suspension in Docket No. 79205, the suspension herein will be completed on May 15, 2022.

if he has not done so already. Further, Bergstrom shall pay the costs of the disciplinary proceedings, including \$2,500 under SCR 120, within 30 days from the date of this order.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty, C.J.
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Stiglich, J.
Stiglich

Cadish, J.
Cadish

Silver, J.
Silver

Pickering, J.
Pickering

Herndon, J.
Herndon

cc: Chair, Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board
Jeremy T. Bergstrom
Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada
Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Admissions Office, U.S. Supreme Court

EXHIBIT D

EXHIBIT D


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
JEREMY T. BERGSTROM, BAR NO.
6904.

No. 82591

FILED

JUN 07 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER IMPOSING RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE
AND SUSPENDING ATTORNEY*

This is a petition for reciprocal discipline of attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom pursuant to SCR 114. Bergstrom has been suspended for two years from the practice of law in Arizona. Bergstrom is currently suspended from the practice of law in Nevada and has not sought reinstatement. *In re Discipline of Bergstrom*, Docket No. 79205 (Order Approving Conditional Guilty Plea Agreement, Nov. 14, 2019).

Bergstrom entered into an agreement for discipline by consent in Arizona and acknowledged the following misconduct. He failed to properly account for client funds; failed to update his client on the status of its cases; failed to attend an arbitration hearing resulting in a judgment being issued against his client and failed to inform the client of the judgment; and failed to litigate some of his client's cases, resulting in the dismissal of those cases. Bergstrom also listed himself as being licensed in California and Illinois on his signature block and letterhead when he was not. Lastly, he failed to respond to the Arizona State Bar's requests for information.

SUPREME COURT
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947A 

21-16187


The Arizona Disciplinary Judge found Bergstrom violated Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 42, ER 1.3, similar to RPC 1.3 (diligence); Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 42, ER 1.4, similar to RPC 1.4 (communication); Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 42, ER 3.2, similar to RPC 3.2 (expediting litigation); Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 42, ER 5.5, similar to RPC 5.5 (unauthorized practice of law); Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 42, ER 8.1, similar to RPC 8.1 (disciplinary matters); Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 42, ER 8.4(d), similar to RPC 8.4(d) (misconduct: prejudicial to the administration of justice); Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 54(d), similar to RPC 8.1 (disciplinary matters); and Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 57(b), similar to SCR 114 (reciprocal discipline). “[A] final adjudication in another jurisdiction that an attorney has engaged in misconduct conclusively establishes the misconduct for the purpose of a disciplinary proceeding in this state.” SCR 114(5).

The Arizona Disciplinary Court suspended Bergstrom from the practice of law for two years. SCR 114(4) provides that this court must impose identical reciprocal discipline unless the attorney demonstrates, or this court finds, that one of four exceptions applies. Bergstrom did not oppose the petition for reciprocal discipline and we conclude that none of the four exceptions apply in this case.¹ Thus, we grant the petition for reciprocal discipline.

¹While it appears the Arizona Disciplinary Judge concluded Bergstrom violated Ariz. Sup. Ct. Rule 57(b) in relation to his prior disciplines from this court, we recognize that this court cannot impose reciprocal discipline for that rule violation. However, because the other rule violations would warrant a two-year suspension, we conclude the SCR 114(4)(d) exception does not preclude reciprocal discipline here.


Accordingly, attorney Jeremy T. Bergstrom is hereby suspended from the practice of law in Nevada for two years from the date of this order.² The State Bar shall comply with SCR 121.1.

It is so ORDERED.

 J.
Parraguirre

 J.
Stiglich

 J.
Cadish

 J.
Pickering

HARDESTY, C.J., with whom SILVER, J. and HERNDON, J., agree, concurring in part and dissenting in part:

I concur with the majority's decision to impose a two-year suspension as reciprocal discipline. However, instead of having that suspension commence from the date of this court's order, I would have the

²To the extent Bergstrom is currently suspended through a different matter on this court's docket, the suspension here will run concurrent with such a suspension, but the concurrence of the suspensions does not affect the date this suspension commences or concludes.

suspension run consecutive with the suspension imposed by this court in *In re Discipline of Bergstrom*, Docket No. 82359.

1 Hardesty, C.J.
Hardesty

We concur:

Silver, J.
Silver

Herndon, J.
Herndon

cc: Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada
Jeremy T. Bergstrom
Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Admissions Office, United States Supreme Court

From: nevadabarforms@gmail.com
To: [complaints](#); nevadabarforms@gmail.com
Subject: New submission from File a Complaint Online
Date: Tuesday, January 19, 2021 3:34:45 PM

First, Middle and Last Name

Genice Osmara Rojas

Your Address

10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
[Map It](#)

Your Email

lvpi@lvprocess.com

Your Primary Telephone Number

(702) 592-3283

Attorney Information**Attorney Name**

Jeremy T. Bergstrom

Law Firm Name

Bergstrom Law, Ltd.

Attorney Address

9555 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
[Map It](#)

Previous Contact with the State Bar of Nevada**Have you previously contacted the State Bar of Nevada regarding this matter?**

No

Hiring the Attorney**Did you hire/retain the attorney about whom you are complaining?**

No

What is your connection to the lawyer?

He hired us to Serve Process of his court documents.

Names and contact information for other persons who can provide additional information concerning your complaint

Christina Welty, my office manager.

Explanation of Grievance**Complaint Details**

We have \$3500.00 in outstanding invoices from Bergstrom Law. We have contacted them by phone, email and mail to collect on the debt, but keep getting the run around and then they just stopped answering the phone all together. The orders were placed between 8/1/2019 and 6/8/2020. Upon research on Jeremy Todd Bergstrom, we found the Nevada State Bar issued an Order of Suspension on 12/21/2018 and then an Order Approving Conditional Guilty Plea Agreement on 11/14/19 and as of today, his license is still suspended. He does have 2 Associate Attorneys that work with him but neither are licensed in the State of Nevada. We have been by his office and have confirmed they are still open and doing business.

Explain what measures you have taken to resolve this matter directly with the attorney

We have called the law firm multiple times and have sent letters over a period of several months. When we were calling, they kept telling us they would get a check sent out, they were waiting for him to sign the check, etc.... We never received a response from the letters.

Related File(s)

- [Bergstrom-invoices.pdf](#)

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 6547

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 6547 Invoice Date: 1/14/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF HENDERSON TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 1 Case # 19CH003624 Plaintiff: AUTOMOTIVE CREDIT CORPORATION, -versus- Defendant: ADRIANA SUMMERVILLE AND JAMES DOUGLAS LLOYD III; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Service Info:
Serve To: Adriana Summerville Service: PERSONAL Date: 01/11/2020 Time: 11:39 AM Location: 4942 Gingelyn St. Las Vegas, NV 89122

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	1st Address - 764 Jade Sparrow Ct Henderson, NV 89015	\$55.00	\$55.00
1	Skip Trace /DMV search	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 4942 Gingelyn St. Las Vegas, NV 89122	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$155.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$155.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 6548

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 6548 Invoice Date: 1/13/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF HENDERSON TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 1 Case # 19CH003624 Plaintiff: AUTOMOTIVE CREDIT CORPORATION, -versus- Defendant: ADRIANA SUMMERVILLE AND JAMES DOUGLAS LLOYD III; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Service Info:
Serve To: James Lloyd III Service: NO SERVICE Date: 01/12/2020 Time: 08:00 AM Location: 764 Jade Sparrow Ct Henderson, NV 89015

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local Service Same Address - 764 Jade Sparrow Ct Henderson, NV 89015	\$35.00	\$35.00
1	Skip Trace w/DMV	\$45.00	\$45.00
Sub Total			\$80.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$80.00



COPY

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8097

Client Info: Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Invoice Info: Client Ref # Job # 8097 Invoice Date: 2/26/2020 Client ID: 40
--	--

Case Info: Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.:1 Case # 19CN000084 Plaintiff: INVESTMENT RETRIEVERS, INC., -versus- Defendant: MARICA TONETTE ADAMS-CARTER; and DOES 1 through X, inclusive,
--

Service Info: Serve To: MARCIA TONETTE ADAMS-CARTER Service: NON SERVICE Date: 02/26/2020 Time: 09:09 AM Location: 824 W WASHINGTON AVE Las Vegas, NV 89106
--

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 824 W WASHINGTON AVE Las Vegas, NV 89106	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00





Invoice

Date	Invoice #
3/17/2020	2020-0029

Bill To
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Client Name
Skip Trace

Item Code	Description	Amount
Skip trace	A skip trace was done to locate a current address for Donnell and Melony M. Wallace. The most current address found was 5534 Victoria Springs Ct., Las Vegas, NV 89148	65.00

Thank you for your business.	Total	\$65.00
------------------------------	--------------	---------



Invoice

Date	Invoice #
3/19/2020	2020-0030

Bill To
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Client Name
Skip Trace

Item Code	Description	Amount
Skip trace	A skip trace was done to locate a current address on Matthew Carlyle Kendall Elizabeth Boyd Kendall. There was no information found on Elizabeth Boyd Kendall. Matthew, see report.	65.00

Thank you for your business.	Total	\$65.00
------------------------------	--------------	---------



Invoice

Date	Invoice #
3/19/2020	2020-0031

Bill To
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Client Name
Skip Trace

Item Code	Description	Amount
Skip trace	A skip trace was done on Joseph Rapp to locate a current address. No new information was found, DOB is 1/2/1974, SS# 551-77-1779. The most current address was 4785 Essen Ct., Las Vegas, NV 89147	45.00

Thank you for your business.	Total \$45.00
------------------------------	----------------------



Invoice

Date	Invoice #
3/19/2020	2020-0032

Bill To
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Client Name
Skip Trace

Item Code	Description	Amount
Skip trace	A skip trace was done to locate a current address for Roger Joseph and the most current address found was 1937 Silver Crest Court , N Las Vegas, NV 89031. DOB 6/21/1977, SS#594-27-4095.	45.00

Thank you for your business.	Total \$45.00
------------------------------	----------------------



Invoice

Date	Invoice #
3/19/2020	2020-0033

Bill To
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Client Name
Skip Trace

Item Code	Description	Amount
Skip trace	A skip trace was done to locate a current address for Jonathan Spencer. The most current address found was 5080 Via De Palma Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89146. DOB 1/27/1989, SS#293-90-1091	45.00

Thank you for your business.	Total	\$45.00
------------------------------	--------------	---------



Invoice

Date	Invoice #
3/19/2020	2020-0034

Bill To
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. 9555 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Client Name
Skip Trace

Item Code	Description	Amount
Skip trace	A skip trace was done to locate a current address for Vincent S. Onofrietti. Nothing current was found for Vincent. His DOB is 1/14/1968, SS# 060-58-2976	45.00

Thank you for your business.	Total	\$45.00
------------------------------	--------------	---------

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8865

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23797 PATTERSON Job # 8865 Invoice Date: 3/23/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C007172 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST L.L.C -versus- Defendant: CYNTHIA PATTERSON

Service Info:
Serve To: CYNTHIA PATTERSON Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 02:25 PM Location: 7910 DEL BONITA AVE., Las Vegas, NV 89147

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 7910 DEL BONITA AVE., Las Vegas, NV 89147	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8867

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23889 GONZALEZ Job # 8867 Invoice Date: 3/20/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C007160 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: CHANEL GONZALEZ

Service Info:
Serve To: CHANEL GONZALEZ Service: PERSONAL Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 03:54 PM Location: 1350 N TOWN CENTER DR. APT. 3074 Las Vegas, NV 89144

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 1350 N TOWN CENTER DR. APT. 3074 Las Vegas, NV 89144	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8869

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23890 TRIPOLI Job # 8869 Invoice Date: 3/23/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007163 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: JOSEPH TRIPOLI

Service Info:
Serve To: JOSEPH TRIPOLI Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 12:50 PM Location: 1101 N RAINBOW BLVD. APT 40, Las Vegas, NV 89108

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 1101 N RAINBOW BLVD. APT 40, Las Vegas, NV 89108	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8870**Client Info:**

Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis
Civil Litigation Department
9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

Invoice Info:

Client Ref # 23909 REYES-IBARRA
Job # 8870
Invoice Date: 3/23/2020
Client ID: 40

Case Info:

Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township
Court Division: Dept. No.: 06
Case # 20C007186

Plaintiff:
AUTOVEST LLC
-versus-
Defendant:
JUAN REYES, AKA JUAN IBARRA REYES, AKA JUAN REYES-IBARRA

Service Info:

Serve To: JUAN REYES, AKA JUAN IBARRA REYES, AKA JUAN REYES-IBARRA
Service: NON SERVICE

Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 01:35 PM
Location:
1721 E MESQUITE AVE.,
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 1721 E MESQUITE AVE., Las Vegas, NV 89101	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8874

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23926 WILSON Job # 8874 Invoice Date: 3/23/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007201 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: CHARITY TERA WILSON

Service Info:
Serve To: CHARITY TERA WILSON Service: SUBSTITUTE (RESIDENTIAL) Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 05:22 PM Location: 9852 TWILIGHT WALK AVE., Las Vegas, NV 89149

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8875

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23928 SHAVERS Job # 8875 Invoice Date: 3/23/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007229 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: GREGORY SHAVERS

Service Info:
Serve To: GREGORY SHAVERS Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 01:25 PM Location: 1910 FERRELL ST., APT 42, Las Vegas, NV 89106

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 1910 FERRELL ST., APT 42, Las Vegas, NV 89106	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8863

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23417 COBB Job # 8863 Invoice Date: 3/24/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 2 Case # 19CN000349 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: MARSHALL COBB; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Service Info:
Serve To: Marshall Cobb Service: SUBSTITUTE Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 05:44 PM Location: 2716 MOON WAVE AVE., North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 409 Finch Ridge Ave., North Las Vegas, NV 89081	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8866

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23823 DICKERSON Job # 8866 Invoice Date: 3/24/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 3 Case # 19CN000609 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: WILLIAM LEONARD DICKERSON; and DIES I through X, inclusive

Service Info:
Serve To: WILLIAM LEONARD DICKERSON Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 06:07 PM Location: 5035 CAMINO DEL SANTO PKWY., North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 5035 CAMINO DEL SANTO PKWY., North Las Vegas, NV 89031	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8879

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 24015 NICASTRO Job # 8879 Invoice Date: 3/24/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 2 Case # 20CN000248 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: NICOLE NICASTRO; and DOES I through X,

Service Info:
Serve To: NICOLE NICASTRO Service: SUBSTITUTE Date: 03/20/2020 Time: 05:38 PM Location: 4439 SPARKLE CREST AVE., North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 4439 SPARKLE CREST AVE., North Las Vegas, NV 89031	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8911

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23932 Job # 8911 Invoice Date: 3/24/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Las Vegas Justice Court Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C008390 Plaintiff: Autovest, L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: Maria R Renteria

Service Info:
Serve To: Maria R Renteria Service: PERSONAL Date: 03/24/2020 Time: 11:45 AM Location: 2815 W Ford Ave Apt 1126 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 2815 W Ford Ave Apt 1126 Las Vegas, NV 89123	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8912

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23936 Lowe Job # 8912 Invoice Date: 3/24/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Las Vegas Justice Court Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C008392 Plaintiff: Autovest, L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: LaTanya Lowe

Service Info:
Serve To: LaTanya Lowe Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/24/2020 Time: 11:35 AM Location: 9555 S. Eastern Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89123

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 9555 S. Eastern Avenue Sunset, NV 89123	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8871

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23910 BENJAMIN Job # 8871 Invoice Date: 3/27/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C007198 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: ANGEL BENJAMIN

Service Info:
Serve To: ANGEL BENJAMIN Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/26/2020 Time: 10:03 AM Location: 178 WILLOW POND CT., Las Vegas, NV 89148

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 178 WILLOW POND CT., Las Vegas, NV 89148	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8872

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23925 Martinez Job # 8872 Invoice Date: 3/26/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007199 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: MONIQUE ASHLEY MARTINEZ

Service Info:
Serve To: MONIQUE ASHLEY MARTINEZ Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/24/2020 Time: 10:39 AM Location: 1073 LEESBURG ST., Las Vegas, NV 89110

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 1073 LEESBURG ST., Las Vegas, NV 89108	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8410

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 8410 Invoice Date: 3/26/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.:2 Case # 19CN003373 Plaintiff: Untied Auto Credit Corporation -versus- Defendant: Arnetra S. Reeves; and Does I through X, inclusive

Service Info:
Serve To: Arnetra S. Reeves Service: NON SERVICE Date: 03/25/2020 Time: 07:05 PM Location: 812 Caballo Hills Ave. North Las Vegas, NV 89081

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 812 Caballo Hills Ave. North Las Vegas, NV 89081	\$55.00	\$55.00
1	Local service - 4613 GOLDFIELD ST. North Las Vegas, NV 89031	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$110.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$110.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8876

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23168 PICKER Job # 8876 Invoice Date: 4/3/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C000590 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: NAJIA PICKER

Service Info:
Serve To: NAJIA PICKER Service: NON SERVICE Date: 04/02/2020 Time: 06:54 PM Location: 3736 SMOKING GUN CT., Las Vegas, NV 89129

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 3736 SMOKING GUN CT., Las Vegas, NV 89129	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8880

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 24016 LEVI JR Job # 8880 Invoice Date: 4/7/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C000588 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: JIMMY ANTHONY LEVI JR.

Service Info:
Serve To: JIMMY ANTHONY LEVI JR. Service: NO SERVICE Date: 04/07/2020 Time: 05:30 PM Location: 3904 BLUE WAVE DR., Las Vegas, NV 89115

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 3904 BLUE WAVE DR., Las Vegas, NV 89115	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8878

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23864 WILKERSON Job # 8878 Invoice Date: 4/15/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 2 Case # 20CN000247 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: KASHANTIS WILKERSON; and DOES I through X,

Service Info:
Serve To: KASHANTIS WILKERSON Service: NON SERVICE Date: 04/15/2020 Time: 01:55 PM Location: 4201 E CRAIG RD. APT. 3054, North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 4201 E CRAIG RD. APT. 3054, North Las Vegas, NV 89030	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8881

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 8881 Invoice Date: 4/15/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C008239 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: MARY N AVILA-OVANDO,

Service Info:
Serve To: MARY N. AVIAL-OVANDO Service: NON SERVICE Date: 04/13/2020 Time: 10:00 AM Location: 912 Staffordshire Cir., Apt. B Las Vegas, NV 89110

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 912 Staffordshire Cir., Apt. B Las Vegas, NV 89110	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 8910**Client Info:**

Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis
Civil Litigation Department
9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

Invoice Info:

Client Ref # 23156 Rizo
Job # 8910
Invoice Date: 4/15/2020
Client ID: 40

Case Info:

Court Name: North Las Vegas Justice Court
Court Division: Dept. No.: 2
Case # 18CN003151

Plaintiff:
Autovest, L.L.C.
-versus-
Defendant:
Tina Rizo

Service Info:

Serve To: Tina Rizo
Service: NON SERVICE

Date: 04/13/2020 Time: 10:00 AM
Location:
3655 E Sahara Ave Apt 202
Las Vegas, NV 89104

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Local service - 3655 E Sahara Ave Apt 202 Las Vegas, NV 89104	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$55.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$55.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9091

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9091 Invoice Date: 4/17/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C000588 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: JIMMY ANTHONY LEVI JR.,

Service Info:
Serve To: JIMMY ANTHONY LEVI JR., Service: SUBSTITUTE Date: 04/17/2020 Time: 09:12 AM Location: 3588 RIO PALOMA CT., North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 3588 RIO PALOMA CT., North Las Vegas, NV 89031	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9179**Client Info:**

Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis
Civil Litigation Department
9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123

Invoice Info:

Client Ref #
Job # 9179
Invoice Date: 4/22/2020
Client ID: 40

Case Info:

Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township
Court Division: Dept. No.: 06
Case # 20C007172

Plaintiff:
AUTOVEST LLC
-versus-
Defendant:
CYNTHIA PATTERSON

Service Info:

Serve To: CYNTHIA PATTERSON
Service: PERSONAL
Date: 04/22/2020 Time: 01:49 PM

Location:
7933 ALTA LIMA VALLEY CT., Las Vegas, NV 89178

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 7933 ALTA LIMA VALLEY CT., Las Vegas, NV 89178	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9199

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9199 Invoice Date: 4/27/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: JUSTICE COURT OF GOODSPRINGS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 1 Case # 20CG0002 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: DANIEL HANENBERGER; and DOES I through X, inclusive

Service Info:
Serve To: DANIEL HANENBERGER Service: NON SERVICE Date: 04/25/2020 Time: 02:31 PM Location: 355 E PRIMM BLVD. APT. 2124, Jean, NV 89019

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	JEAN NEVADA - 355 E PRIMM BLVD. APT. 2124, Jean, NV 89019	\$100.00	\$100.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9200

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9200 Invoice Date: 4/24/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007199 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, LLC -versus- Defendant: MONIQUE ASHLEY MARTINEZ

Service Info:
Serve To: MONIQUE ASHLEY MARTINEZ Service: PERSONAL Date: 04/24/2020 Time: 10:25 AM Location: 9426 MARSHALL CREEK ST., Las Vegas, NV 89178

Qty	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 9426 MARSHALL CREEK ST., Las Vegas, NV 89178	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9126

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9126 Invoice Date: 5/7/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C000590 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: NAJIA PICKER

Service Info:
Serve To: NAJIA PICKER Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/07/2020 Time: 09:00 AM Location: 3638 VIA MARGARITA AVE., Las Vegas, NV 89115

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 3638 VIA MARGARITA AVE., Las Vegas, NV 89115	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9146

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9146 Invoice Date: 5/7/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 2 Case # 20CN000247 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: KASHANTI S. WILKERSON; and DOES I through X,

Service Info:
Serve To: Kashanti Wilkerson Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/06/2020 Time: 08:00 AM Location: skip trace

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
Sub Total			\$45.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$45.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9147

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9147 Invoice Date: 5/7/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 2 Case # 18CN003151 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: TINA RIZO; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Service Info:
Serve To: Tina Rizo Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/06/2020 Time: 09:00 AM Location: skip trace

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
Sub Total			\$45.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$45.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9329

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9329 Invoice Date: 5/7/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007163 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC, -versus- Defendant: JOSEPH TRIPOLI IV,

Service Info:
Serve To: Joseph Tripoli Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/06/2020 Time: 09:00 AM Location: Skip Trace

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
Sub Total			\$45.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$45.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9154

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9154 Invoice Date: 5/13/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 2 Case # 19CN003373 Plaintiff: UNITED AUTO CREDIT CORPORATION, -versus- Defendant: ARNETRA S. REEVES; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Service Info:
Serve To: Arnetra S. Reeves Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/12/2020 Time: 08:00 AM Location: Skip Trace North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
Sub Total			\$45.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$45.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9387

Client Info: Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Invoice Info: Client Ref # Job # 9387 Invoice Date: 5/13/2020 Client ID: 40
--	--

Case Info: Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C007186 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC, -versus- Defendant: JUAN REYES, AKA JUAN IBARRA REYES, AKA JUAN REYES-IBARRA
--

Service Info: Serve To: Juan Reyes aka Juan Ibarra Reyes aka Juan Reyes-Ibarra Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/11/2020 Time: 08:00 AM Location: Las Vegas, NV
--

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
Sub Total			\$45.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$45.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9145

Client Info: Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Invoice Info: Client Ref # Job # 9145 Invoice Date: 5/15/2020 Client ID: 40
--	--

Case Info: Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C008239 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: MARY N AVILA-OVANDO,
--

Service Info: Serve To: Mary N. Avila-Ovando Service: NON SERVICE Date: 05/12/2020 Time: 09:00 AM Location: 830 N. Lamb Blvd., Spc. 158 Las Vegas, NV 89110
--

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 830 N. Lamb Blvd., Spc. 158 Las Vegas, NV 89110	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9153

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # Job # 9153 Invoice Date: 5/21/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP Court Division: Dept. No.: 3 Case # 19CN000609 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST, L.L.C., -versus- Defendant: WILLIAM LEONARD DICKERSON; and DOES I through X, inclusive,

Service Info:
Serve To: William Leonard Dickerson Service: NO SERVICE Date: 05/20/2020 Time: 08:00 AM Location: 1001 Dumont Blvd., Apt. 121 Las Vegas, NV 89169

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 1001 Dumont Blvd., Apt. 121 Las Vegas, NV 89169	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9296

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23910 BENJAMIN Job # 9296 Invoice Date: 5/19/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 06 Case # 20C007198 Plaintiff: AUTOVEST LLC -versus- Defendant: ANGEL BENJAMIN

Service Info:
Serve To: ANGEL BENJAMIN Service: SUBSTITUTE (RESIDENTIAL) Date: 05/19/2020 Time: 06:34 PM Location: 783 Bending Wolf Ave., Las Vegas, NV 89178

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace - 783 Bending Wolf Ave., Las Vegas, NV 89178	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 783 Bending Wolf Ave., Las Vegas, NV 89178	\$55.00	\$55.00
		Sub Total	\$100.00
		Amount Paid to Date	\$0.00
		TOTAL	\$100.00



1 of 1

LV Process and Investigations, LLC

License #2039 10829 Whipple Crest Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89166
Phone: 702-592-3283 Fax: 702-446-8118
47-3771459

Invoice # 9303

Client Info:	Invoice Info:
Bergstrom Law, Ltd. - ATTN: Maggie Bardis Civil Litigation Department 9555 S Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123	Client Ref # 23928 Shavers Job # 9303 Invoice Date: 5/26/2020 Client ID: 40

Case Info:
Court Name: Justice Court, Las Vegas Township Court Division: Dept. No.: 04 Case # 20C007229 Plaintiff: Autovest, L.L.C. -versus- Defendant: Gregory Shavers,

Service Info:
Serve To: Gregory Shavers Service: NON SERVICE Date: 05/26/2020 Time: 08:00 AM Location: 716 N. 16th Las Vegas, NV 89101

Qty:	Description	Unit Price:	Line Amount:
1	Skip Trace	\$45.00	\$45.00
1	Local service - 716 N. 16th Las Vegas, NV 89101	\$55.00	\$55.00
Sub Total			\$100.00
Amount Paid to Date			\$0.00
TOTAL			\$100.00



1 of 1