Electronically Filed 2/25/2022 2:32 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 Chris Pedersen, In Proper Person 2 2550 Desert Inn Rd, #236 Las Vegas, NV, 89121 3 Electronically Filed 4 IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STAT Man FO 2 E 2021 11 37 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown 5 Clerk of Supreme Court AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK 6 7 Case No.: A-21-827749-C TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC], 8 Form 1 9 Plaintiff 10 CHRIS PEDERSEN, 11 Defendant 12 13 14 NOTICE OF APPEAL 15 Notice is hereby given that Chris Pedersen, defendant above 16 named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada the Motion 17 for Summary Judgment entered in this action on the 26th day of 18 January, 19 20 21 22 23 Dated this 25th day of February 24 2022. 25 26 Pedersen, In Pro 27

Form 1

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

Electronically Filed 3/1/2022 9:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ASTA

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CHRIS PEDERSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

FLORENCE KIAMA aka FLORENCE WAMUCII PFEIFFER; KEITH GLAZER; TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC dba TOBIN MOTORCARS,

Defendant(s),

Case No: A-21-827749-C

Dept No: XIV

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Appellant(s): Chris Pedersen

2. Judge: Adriana Escobar

3. Appellant(s): Chris Pedersen

Counsel:

Chris Pedersen 2550 Desert Inn Rd. #236 Las Vegas, NV 89121

4. Respondent (s): Florence Kiama aka Florence Wamucii Pfeiffer

Counsel:

Florence Kiama

A-21-827749-C

Case Number: A-21-827749-C

-1-

1	2659 Calypso Cr. Las Vegas, NV 89121	
2		
3	Respondent (s): Keith Glazer	
4	Counsel:	
5	Keith Glazer	
6	3557 Monroe St. Carlsbad, CA 92008	
7	Respondent (s): Tobin Motorcars, LLC dba Tobin Motorcars	
8	Counsel:	
9	Jeffery A. Bendavid, Esq.	
10	7301 Peak Dr., Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89128	
11	Las vegas, IV 09120	
12	5. Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A	
13 14	Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A	
15 16	Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A	
17	Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A	
18 19	6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No	
20	7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A	
21	8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: N/A **Expires 1 year from date filed Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: No Date Application(s) filed: N/A	
23	9. Date Commenced in District Court: January 12, 2021	
24	10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Unknown	
25 26	Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Summary Judgment	
27	11. Previous Appeal: No	
28	Supreme Court Docket Number(s): N/A	
	12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A	

A-21-827749-C

-2-

13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown Dated This 1 day of March 2022. Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court /s/ Heather Ungermann Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk 200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601 (702) 671-0512 cc: Chris Pedersen

A-21-827749-C

1 Chris Pedersen, In Proper Person 2 2550 Desert Inn Rd, #236 Las Vegas, NV, 89121 3 4 IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN 5 AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK 6 7 CHRIS PEDERSEN, Case No.: A-21-827749-C 8 Form 2 9 Plaintiff 10 TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC 11 Defendant 12 13 14 1.Chris Pedersen, In Proper Person 15 2. The Honorable Adriana Escobar 16 3. Chris Pedersen, In Proper Person 17 4. Tobin Motorcars, LLC 18 Jeffery A. Bendavid, Esq (SBN: 6220) 19 20 Stephanie J. Smith, Esq (SBN 11280) 21 Bendavid Law 22 7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150 23 Las Vegas, NV 89128 24 (702) 385-6114 25 5.All licensed in Nevada 26

Form 2

6.Appellant was self-represented

27

28

1	/. No, Appellant is self-represented
2	8. No
3	9. January 12, 2021
5	10. Tobin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris
6	Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)
7	Alternatively,
8	Motion For Summary Judgment Pursuant To N.R.C.P.56
9	11.It has not
11	12.It does not
12	13.I don't think so at this Point
13	
14	Dated this day, February 25, 2022
15	
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	Dated this 25 th day of February 2022.
21	
22	I hus belove
24	Chris Pedersen, In Pro
25	
26	
27	

28

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-21-827749-C

Chris Pedersen, Plaintiff(s) vs. Florence Kiama, Defendant(s) Location: Department 14
Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana
Filed on: 01/12/2021
Cross-Reference Case
Number:

CASE INFORMATION

Statistical Closures Case Type: Other Civil Matters

01/25/2022 Summary Judgment Case 01/25/2022 Close

Status: 01/25/2022 Closed

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number A-21-827749-C
Court Department 14
Date Assigned 01/12/2021
Judicial Officer Escobar, Adriana

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Pedersen, Chris Lead Attorneys
Pro Se

949-899-4960(H)

Defendant Glazer, Keith Pro Se

760-331-5129(H)

Kiama, Florence Pro Se

949-899-4960(H)

Tobin Motorcars LLC Bendavid, Jeffrey A.

Retained 702-385-6114(W)

Arbitrator Craner, Andrew

Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence Pro Se

949-899-4960(H)

CounterPedersen, ChrisPro SeDefendant949-899-4960(H)

DATE EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT INDEX

EVENTS

01/12/2021

Complaint

[1] Complaint

01/15/2021

Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
[2] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

05/12/2021

Summons

Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris

[3] Summons - Civil

05/12/2021

Summons Issued

	CASE NO. A-21-82//49-C
	[4] Summons - Civil
05/12/2021	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [5] summons for defendant
05/17/2021	Change of Address Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [6] just changing address
05/17/2021	Consent to Service By Electronic Means Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [7] Consent to Service by Electronic Means Through E-Filing Program
05/17/2021	Proof of Service Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris Party Served: Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence [8] Proof of Service - Florence Kiama aka Florence Wamucii
05/20/2021	Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document [9] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
05/26/2021	Consent to Service By Electronic Means Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [10] Consent to Service by Electronic Means through E-Filing Program
06/09/2021	Answer Filed By: Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence [11] Answer
06/30/2021	Amended Answer Filed By: Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence [12] Amended Answer and Counterclaim
07/01/2021	First Amended Complaint Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [13] First Amended Complaint
07/02/2021	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [14] Affidavit of Service
07/14/2021	Answer and Crossclaim Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [15] Answer to Defendant Florence Kiama's Counter Claim
07/22/2021	Answer to Amended Complaint [16] Answer to Amended Complaint and Counterclaim
07/29/2021	Clerk's Refund Request [17]
08/06/2021	Answer to Counterclaim

	CASE NO. A-21-82//49-C		
	Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [18]Answer to Defendant Florence Kiama's First Amended Counter Claim		
08/11/2021	Summons Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [19] summons of additional defendant Keith Glazer and in First Amended Complaint		
08/30/2021	Notice of Early Case Conference Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [20] Notice of Early Case Conference		
08/30/2021	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [21] Affidavit / Declaration of Service Under Penalty of Perjury		
09/09/2021	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [22] Affidavit of Service		
10/13/2021	Appointment of Arbitrator [23] Appointment of Arbitrator		
10/25/2021	Case Conference Disclosure Statement Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [24] Early Conference Rule 16.1 Disclosure		
10/26/2021	Notice to Appear for Arbitration Hearing [25] Notice to Appear for Arbitration Hearing		
10/26/2021	Arbitration Discovery Order [26] Arbitration Discovery Order		
10/26/2021	Ex Parte Application for Enlargement of Time to Serve Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [27] Ex Parte Motion to Extend Time for Service		
10/26/2021	Summons Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [28] Serve defendant Towbin Motor CArs, LLC		
10/27/2021	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [29] serving additional defendants with 1st amended complaint		
10/27/2021	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [30] serving additional defendants (glazer) with 1st amended complaint		
10/28/2021	Motion to Extend Time to Serve [31] Motion to Extend Time to Serve 1st Amended Complaint		
11/01/2021	Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document [32] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document		

İ	
11/03/2021	Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document [33] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
11/03/2021	Order Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [34] Order to Extend Time to Serve Summons and Complaint
11/04/2021	Proof of Service Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [35] Proof of Service
11/17/2021	Motion Filed By: Defendant Tobin Motorcars LLC [36] Towbin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant N.R.C.P. 56
11/17/2021	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Tobin Motorcars LLC [37] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chaper 19)
11/22/2021	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [38] Notice of Hearing
12/03/2021	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [39] Affidavit /Declaration of Service Under Penalty of Perjury
12/13/2021	Order of Arbitrator [40] Arbitrator's Order Regarding Supplementation of Initial Disclosures
12/24/2021	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [41] Opposition to Tobin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or Tobin Motorcars, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 56
12/24/2021	Exhibits Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [42] Exhibits 1-5 to Planitiff's Opposition to Defendant Tobin's Motion To Dismiss
12/24/2021	Exhibits Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [43] Exhibit 6 to Opposition to Tobin s Motion To Dismiss
12/28/2021	Deposition Subpoena [44] Deposition Subpoena Issued to Plaintiff
12/29/2021	Answer [45] Answer to First Amended Complaint
12/29/2021	Proof of Service by Mail [46] Proof of Service by Mail

1	
12/30/2021	Reply in Support Filed By: Defendant Tobin Motorcars LLC [47] Towbin Motorcars, LLC's Reply in Support of its Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuan6t to N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 56
01/07/2022	Subpoena Duces Tecum [48] Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records
01/07/2022	Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence [49] Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records
01/07/2022	Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence [50] Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records
01/19/2022	Order of Arbitrator [51] Arbitrator's Order Directing Registration for Electronic Service
01/25/2022	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [52] [Proposed] Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on Towbin Motorcars LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint
01/26/2022	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law Filed By: Defendant Tobin Motorcars LLC [53] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON TOWBIN MOTORCARS, LLC S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF CHRIS PEDERSEN S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or alternatively, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56
02/07/2022	Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [54] Subpoena Defendant /cross complainant Kiama
02/07/2022	Deposition Subpoena Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [55] Deposition Subpoena - Thomas (Nick) N Hemmesch Jr
02/07/2022	Deposition Subpoena Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [56] Deposition Subpoena - Thomas (Nick) N Hemmesch Jr
02/07/2022	Deposition Subpoena Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [57] Deposition Subpoena - Rolland Sansone
02/07/2022	Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [58] deposition notice yvonne sansone
02/07/2022	Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document [59] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Documents

02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [60] Deposition Subpoena (Duces Tecum)	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [61] Deposition Subpoena (Duces Tecum)	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [62] Deposition Subpoena (Duces Tecum)	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [63] Deposition Subpoena (Duces Tecum)	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [64] Deposition Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [65] Deposition Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [66] Deposition Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [67] Deposition Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records	
02/08/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued [68] Deposition Subpoena Duces Tecum for Business Records	
02/14/2022	Subpoena Duces Tecum [69] Deposition Subpoena (Duces Tecum)	
02/23/2022	Subpoena Electronically Issued Filed by: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [70] Deposition Subpoena (Duces Tecum)	
02/25/2022	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [71] Notice of Appeal	
02/25/2022	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris [72] Notice of Appeal	
02/25/2022	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris	

	[73] Case Appeal Statement
03/01/2022	Case Appeal Statement Case Appeal Statement
03/01/2022	Case Appeal Statement Case Appeal Statement
01/25/2022	DISPOSITIONS Summary Judgment (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana) Debtors: Chris Pedersen (Plaintiff) Creditors: Tobin Motorcars LLC (Defendant) Judgment: 01/25/2022, Docketed: 01/26/2022
	<u>HEARINGS</u>
01/06/2022	Motion to Dismiss (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Escobar, Adriana) Events: 11/17/2021 Motion Towbin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant N.R.C.P. 56 Motion Granted; Journal Entry Details: In an abundance of caution, Court disclosed it purchased a vehicle from Towbin Motor
	In an abundance of caution, Court disclosed it purchased a venicle from Towbin Motor Company years ago; however, it will be fair and Impartial. Colloquy regarding title of vehicle. Mr. Pedersen stated Towbin Motors did not attach a copy of title. COURT ORDERED, Towbin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to N.R.C.P.12(b)(5) or Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant N.R.C.P. 56 GRANTED. Court stated everything checks out with DMV. Ms. Smith to prepare the order. Court directed the order to be submitted in fourteen days.;
05/05/2022	Arbitration Hearing (7:00 AM)

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Defendant Glazer, Keith Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 3/1/2022	223.00 223.00 0.00
Counter Claimant Kiama, Florence Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 3/1/2022	446.00 446.00 0.00
Defendant Tobin Motorcars LLC Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 3/1/2022	423.00 423.00 0.00
Counter Defendant Pedersen, Chris Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 3/1/2022	285.00 285.00 0.00

Electronically Filed
01/25/2022 7:30 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

		Alun Hun
1	FFCL	CLERK OF THE COURT
2	JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ. (SBN: 6220) jbendavid@bendavidfirm.com	
3	STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ. (SBN: 11280)	
4	ssmith@bendavidfirm.com BENDAVID LAW	
5	7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150	
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 385-6114	
7	Attorneys for Defendant Towbin Motorcars, LLC	
8	DISTRICT CO	URT
9	CLARK COUNTY I	NEVADA
10	CHRIS PEDERSEN,	Case No. A-21-827749
11	Plaintiff,	Dept. 14
12	Tidilitiii,	
13	VS.	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS
14	FLORENCE KIAMA, AKA FLORENCE	OF LAW ON TOWBIN
15	WAMUCII PFEIFFER; KEITH GLAZER, TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC DBA	MOTORCARS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS
16	TOBIN MOTORCARS; DOES I through X, inclusive and DOES I through X,	PLAINTIFF CHRIS PEDERSEN'S FIRST
17	business entities, inclusive,	AMENDED COMPLAINT
18	Defendants.	PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or
19		altamativaly
20		alternatively,
21		MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
22		PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56
23		
24		
25		_
26		
27	Defendant Towbin Motorcars, LLC's (incorrectly named as "Tobin Motorcars, LLC dba Tobin Motorcars")("Towbin") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's	
28		
aw	("Plaintiff") First Amended Complaint pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) or alternatively Motion	
450		

BendavidLaw

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

for Summary Judgment pursuant to NRCP 56 having come on for hearing on January 6, 2022 at 9:30 a.m. with Stephanie J. Smith, Esq., of the law firm of Bendavid Law, appearing on behalf of Defendant Towbin, Plaintiff Chris Pedersen appearing in *pro per*, and Defendant Florence Kiama appearing in *pro per*, with the Honorable Judge Adriana Escobar presiding.

The Court having considered the pleadings, and papers on file, and the arguments of counsel and the parties at the time for hearing, with good cause appearing, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law and orders as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about June 27, 2021, Florence Kiama ("Ms. Kiama") came to Defendant Towbin's business and presented a valid title which showed her as the owner of the 2002 Maserati, VIN ZAMBC38A020006135 ("Subject Vehicle"), and which was examined by Richard Kansky.
- 2. The Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles verified to Defendant Towbin that the Subject Vehicle was registered to Florence Kiama as of June 27, 2019, and that the title was issued on or about May 26, 2017.
- 3. Ms. Kiama paid for the repairs performed on the Subject Vehicle at the time she picked it up from Defendant Towbin.
- 4. Defendant Towbin did not have any documentation evidence that there was any other legal owner of the Subject Vehicle, than Ms. Kiama.
- 5. Plaintiff Pedersen admitted that he had previously signed over title and ownership to the Subject Vehicle to Ms. Kiama in the past. Subsequent to that transfer of ownership, the Court concludes that Plaintiff failed to register the Subject Vehicle to his name or otherwise obtain a title issued in his name

28

6. Plaintiff Pedersen did not have a title issued to him in his name for the Subject Vehicle as of June 27, 2019.

7. Plaintiff Pedersen was not the registered owner of the Subject Vehicle as of June 27, 2019.

8. Defendant Towbin released the Subject Vehicle to the titled and registered owner Ms. Kiama on or about June 27, 2019 based on actual documents and records.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) provides that a party can request a dismissal by motion of an opposing party's claims or counterclaims for that party's failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. *See Zalk-Josephs Co. v. Wells-Cargo, Inc.*, 81 Nev. 163, 169-70, 400 P.2d 621, 624-25 (1965); and *Edgar v. Wagner*, 101 Nev. 226, 228, 699 P.2d 110, 112 (1985). Dismissal pursuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) is warranted "if no set of facts would entitle a plaintiff to relief." *Zalk-Josephs Co.*, 81 Nev. at 169-70. *See also Stockmeier v. Nevada Department of Corrections Psychological Review Panel, 124 Nev. 313, 316, 183 P.3d 133, 135 (2008)* (dismissal is proper where the allegations asserted are not sufficient to establish the elements of a claim for relief).
- 2. For the purposes of a motion under N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5), only the "factual allegations of the complaint must be accepted as true." *Bratcher v. City of Las Vegas*, 113 Nev. 502, 507, 937 P.2d 485, 489 (1997) (citations omitted). Plaintiff has failed to allege any cognizable claim against Defendant Towbin in light of the factual allegations of the claims alleged by Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff is required "to set forth sufficient facts to demonstrate the necessary elements of a claim for relief so that the defending party has adequate notice of the nature of the claim and the relief sought." *Hay v. Hay*, 100 Nev. 196, 198, 678 P.2d 672, 674

(1984); Ravera v. City of Reno, 100 Nev. 68, 70, 675 P.2d 407, 408 (1984). See also Stockmeier v. Nevada Department of Corrections Psychological Review Panel, 124 Nev. 313, 316, 183 P.3d 133, 135 (2008) (dismissal is proper where the allegations asserted are not sufficient to establish the elements of a claim for relief).

- 4. Under N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5), when matters are presented outside the pleadings the motion shall be treated as a motion for summary judgment pursuant to N.R.C.P. 56.
- 5. N.R.C.P. 56(c) provides that summary judgment is appropriate when the pleadings and other evidence on file, construed in a light most favorable to the non-moving party, demonstrate that no genuine issue as to any material fact remains and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Holcomb v. Georgia Pacific, LLC*, 128 Nev. Adv. Rep. 56 *9-10, 289 P.3d 188, 192 (2012); *Wood v. Safeway*, 121 Nev. 724, 729, 121 P.3d 1026, 1029 (2005).
- 6. The substantive law controls which factual disputes are material. *Wood*, 121 Nev. at 731. A factual dispute is genuine "when the evidence is such that a rational trier of fact could return a verdict for the non-moving party." Id.
- 7. Based on the findings of fact and the actual verification from the Nevada DMV, and the declaration regarding Richard Kansky's personal review of the title to the subject vehicle, the Court concludes that Defendant Towbin released the subject vehicle to the actual registered owner of the vehicle.
- 8. Since Defendant Towbin released to the subject vehicle to the registered owner who presented a valid title to the vehicle, the Court concludes that a dismissal of all of Plaintiff's claims as to Defendant Towbin is merited by the controlling law.

BendavidLaw

9.	Further the Court concludes that in light of the actual ownership of the subject
vehicle,	Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and summary
judgme	nt should be granted based on NRCP 56 in favor of Towbin.
10	Further the Court concludes that Defendent Toyshin did not and does not have a

- 10. Further the Court concludes that Defendant Towbin did not and does not have a cognizable legal duty which mandates for Defendant Towbin to explore any possible claims or sales of any vehicle, prior to releasing it to its registered owner.
- 11. The Court concludes that based on the findings of fact and applicable law, Defendant Towbin only has a legal duty to permit the titled and registered owner of a vehicle to take possession of it.
- 12. The Court concludes that it was Ms. Kiama who came to the dealership, had title, was the legally registered owner with the Nevada DMV, and then who paid for the outstanding repair costs and no service obligations were breached by Defendant Towbin
- 13. In Nevada, a plaintiff must allege that a defendant actually owed plaintiff a duty of care, that it breached that duty, the breach was the legal cause of plaintiff's injuries, and plaintiff suffered damages. *See Sadler v. PacifiCare of Nev.*, 340 P.3d 1264 (Nev. 2014).
- 14. The Court concludes that Plaintiff plainly fails to allege that Defendant Towbin owed him any duty of care, since he did not have title to, nor was he registered as the owner of the Subject Vehicle, and additionally, there is no legal duty for a vehicle repair shop to "consider" a claim to a vehicle because an individual claims he has "an email."
- 15. Any duty owed would be to actual registered owner of a vehicle, and Defendant Towbin verified the identity of the actual registered owner of the Vehicle.
- 16. The Court concludes that to the extent that Plaintiff's claim for negligence relates to the loss of his anticipated arrangement with Ms. Kiama to own the Vehicle, then his negligence claim against Defendant Towbin is further barred because he is only seeking

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

economic damages, for the alleged "unauthorized" release of the Subject Vehicle for which he was not the registered owner. In Nevada, the doctrine of economic loss is designed to enforce the expectancy of parties, and tort law, which imposes a duty of reasonable care and thereby generally encourages citizens to avoid causing physical harm to others. Terracon Consultants W., Inc. v. Mandalay Resort Grp., 206 P.3d 81 (Nev. 2009)(emphasis added). In order to effectuate such a result, the "Economic Loss Doctrine" bars unintentional tort actions when the plaintiff seeks to recover purely economic losses. *Id.* The Economic Loss Doctrine is applicable with respect to common law tort claim, which is not otherwise founded upon a specific statutory obligation or right. See FAC. Nevada law is clear that a plaintiff may not recover solely economic losses under theories of strict products liability or negligence. Calloway v. City of Reno, 993 P.2d 1259 (Nev. 2000), overruled on other grounds by, Olson v. Richard, 89 P.3d 31 (Nev. 2004) (emphasis added). Here, Defendant Towbin released the Subject Vehicle to the registered owner of the Subject Vehicle, therefore any other "negligence" clam is dismissed additionally based on the economic loss doctrine.

- 17. The elements for a claim for Breach of Contract in Nevada are:
 - 1. Plaintiff and Defendant entered into a valid and existing contract;
 - 2. Plaintiff performed or was excused from performance;
 - 3. Defendant failed to perform and was not excused from performance; and
 - 4. Plaintiff sustained damages as a result of the breach.

Calloway v. City of Reno, 116 Nev. 250, 993 P.2d 1259 (2000).

18. Defendant Towbin did not fail to perform any of its duties. Defendant Towbin performed repair services on the Vehicle, and when those repairs were completed, they released the Vehicle to the registered owner who paid for those repairs. As such, Plaintiff

28

1	IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Towbin Motorcars,		
2	LLC's (incorrectly named as "Tobin Motorcars") Motion for Summary Judgment is		
3	GRANTED in its entirety, with judgment to be entered in favor of Defendant, Towbin		
4	Motorcars.		
5			
6	DATED this Q & day of, 2022.		
7	Dated this 25th day of January, 2022		
8	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DEPT. XIV		
9	A-21-827749-C 2EA 141 5939 87B3		
10	Respectfully Submitted by: Adriana Escobar District Court Judge		
11	BENDAVID LAW		
12	/s/ Jeffery A. Bendavid, Esq.		
13	JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ.		
14	Nevada Bar No. 6220 STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ.		
	Nevada Bar No. 11280		
15	7301 Peak Dr., Suite 150		
16	Las Vegas, NV 89128		
17	Attorneys for Defendant Towbin Motorcars LLC		
18	Approved as to form and content:		
19			
20	<u>NOT APPROVED</u>		
21	CHRIS PEDERSEN 2550 E Desert Inn Road #236		
22	Las Vegas, NV 89121 In Pro Per		
23			
24	Approved as to form and content:		
25	/s/ Florence Kiama		
26	FLORENCE KIAMA		
27	2659 Calypso Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89121		
28	In Pro Per		

BendavidLaw

Stephanie Smith

From: Stephanie Smith

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:59 AM

To: Florence Kiama; chris pedersen

Subject: RE: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Thank you Ms. Kiama.

From: Florence Kiama <flokiama@aol.com> Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:57 AM

To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>; chris pedersen <pedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Go ahead and add my signature. Thank you

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

On Wednesday, January 19, 2022, 9:18 AM, Stephanie Smith < ssmith@bendavidfirm.com > wrote:

Ms. Kiama- The Court ruled on my Towbin MotorCars's motion to dismiss and/or motion for summary judgment. This is simply the findings of fact and conclusions of law based on that hearing. This is not a time to attach additional documentation unless it had been directed by the Court. Does that make sense? Thank you.

From: Florence Kiama < flokiama@aol.com > Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:15 AM

To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>; chris pedersen cpedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Good Morning Ms. Smith

I have two questions, shouldn't I have been served with Mr. Pedersen's arguments and the evidence he produced?

I feel like I am appending a signature on a document which I only know one side of its argument, and even though it is favorable on my part, I feel I was owed full disclosure.

Stephanie Smith

From: Stephanie Smith

Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:31 AM

To: chris pedersen

Subject: RE: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Mr. Pedersen – I have not heard back from you if you had revisions or were simply disagreeing with the findings. Regardless I will be submitting the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law to the court and indicating you did not approve. Thank you.

From: Stephanie Smith

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:15 PM
To: chris pedersen cpedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Subject: RE: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Mr. Pedersen- The Court requested that I prepare a findings of fact and conclusions of law which I have done. When you state you have objections are you wishing to provide suggested edits? If so, then I will wait to review them today. However, if you are just stating you are disagreeing with the finding then you wish to submit a competing version to the Court you may do so. Please let me know.

From: chris pedersen < pedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:12 PM
To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Not familiar with objecting to a statement of decision? I thought you just offered that I should submit my own statement of decision for consideration? Change of mind? Regardless, your statement of decision has absolutely nothing to do with the courts simple ruling granting your motion without exclamation of any kind. No minute order. I will add to my objections you're insisting on submitting a statement of decision to which I informed you I had objections to and after which you offered to consider and alternative statement of decision. You're most welcome.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 19, 2022, at 11:18 AM, Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com> wrote:

Mr. Pedersen- I do not know what you are referring to when you reference a statement of decision or your objections. I will construe this to mean that you will not sign the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law as to the form and content. As such, I will be submitting it to the Court. Thank you.

From: chris pedersen <pedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:16 AM

To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Thank you Stephanie, I will attach a proposed statement of decision together with my objections. I am in court all day today in Los Angeles, but will get it to you later this afternoon.

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Chris Pedersen, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-21-827749-C 6 DEPT. NO. Department 14 VS. 7 Florence Kiama, Defendant(s) 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Summary Judgment was served via the court's 12 electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as 13 listed below: 14 Service Date: 1/25/2022 15 Andrew Craner nvesq@cox.net 16 Jeffery Bendavid jbendavid@bendavidfirm.com 17 Stephanie Smith ssmith@bendavidfirm.com 18 Florence Kiama flokiama@aol.com 19 pedersen92591@hotmail.com Chris Pedersen 20 21 Julie Hall jhall@bendavidfirm.com 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

1/26/2022 10:46 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **NEO JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ.** (SBN: 6220) 2 jbendavid@bendavidfirm.com STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ. (SBN: 11280) 3 ssmith@bendavidfirm.com 4 **BENDAVID LAW** 7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 385-6114 6 Attorneys for Defendant Towbin Motorcars, LLC 7 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 CLARK COUNTY NEVADA 10 CHRIS PEDERSON, Case No. A-21-827749 11 Dept. 14 Plaintiff, 12 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF VS. 13 FINDINGS OF FACT AND 14 FLORENCE KIAMA, AKA FLORENCE **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** WAMUCII PFEIFFER; KEITH GLAZER, **GRANTING DEFENDANT** 15 TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC DBA TOWBIN MOTORCARS, TOBIN MOTORCARS; DOES I through LLC'S MOTION TO 16 X, inclusive and DOES I through X, **DISMISS** business entities, inclusive, **PLAINTIFF CHRIS** 17 PEDERSEN'S FIRST 18 Defendants. AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 19 12(b)(5)20 or 21 alternatively, 22 **MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT** 23 PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56 24 25 Please take notice that a FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF 26 27 LAW GRANTING DEFENDANT TOWBIN MOTORCARS, LLC'S MOTION TO 28 DISMISS PLAINTIFF CHRIS PEDERSEN'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT **Bendavid**Law

Electronically Filed

7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

702.385.6114

1	PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) or alternatively, MOTION FOR SUMMARY				
2	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56 was entered in the above-entitled case on January 25, 2022.				
3					
4					
5	A true and correct copy of the above-referenced document is attached hereto as				
6	Exhibit A.				
7	DATED 11 octh 1 CA				
8	DATED this 26 th day of January, 2022.				
9	BENDAVID LAW				
10	/s/ Jeffery A. Bendavid, Esq.				
11	JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6220				
12	STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11280				
13	7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150				
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Attorneys for Defendant Towbin				
15	Motorcars				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
Bendavid Law					

7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

702.385.6114

EXHIBIT

"A"

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 1/25/2022 7:30 PM

Electronically Filed 01/25/2022 7:30 PM

		Alun Sun	
1	FFCL	CLERK OF THE COURT	
2	JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ. (SBN: 6220)		
	jbendavid@bendavidfirm.com STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ. (SBN: 11280)		
3	ssmith@bendavidfirm.com		
4	BENDAVID LAW		
5	7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150		
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 385-6114		
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	Towbin Motorcars, LLC		
8	DISTRICT COU	URT	
9	CLARK COUNTY N	EVADA	
10	CHRIS PEDERSEN,	Case No. A-21-827749	
11	CINIS I EDENOLI,	Dept. 14	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.	[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF	
	FLODENGE WANTA AVA ELODENGE	FACT AND CONCLUSIONS	
14	FLORENCE KIAMA, AKA FLORENCE WAMUCII PFEIFFER; KEITH GLAZER,	OF LAW ON TOWBIN MOTORCARS, LLC'S	
15	TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC DBA	MOTION TO DISMISS	
16	TOBIN MOTORCARS; DOES I through	PLAINTIFF CHRIS	
17	X, inclusive and DOES I through X, business entities, inclusive,	PEDERSEN'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
	business chities, inclusive,	PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P.	
18	Defendants.	12(b)(5) or	
19		alternatively,	
20		MOTION FOR	
21		MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
22		PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56	
23			
24			
25			
26	Defendant Towbin Motorcars, LLC's (incorrectly named as "Tobin Motorcars)		
27			
28	IIC dha Tohin Motorcare" ("Towhin") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff C		
Bendavid Law			
702.385.6114 7301 Peak Drive, Suite 150			
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128			

702.385.6114 7301 Peak Drive, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

 $\begin{array}{c} Page\ 1\ of\ 8 \\ \hbox{Case Number: A-21-827749-C} \end{array}$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

for Summary Judgment pursuant to NRCP 56 having come on for hearing on January 6, 2022 at 9:30 a.m. with Stephanie J. Smith, Esq., of the law firm of Bendavid Law, appearing on behalf of Defendant Towbin, Plaintiff Chris Pedersen appearing in *pro per*, and Defendant Florence Kiama appearing in *pro per*, with the Honorable Judge Adriana Escobar presiding.

The Court having considered the pleadings, and papers on file, and the arguments of counsel and the parties at the time for hearing, with good cause appearing, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law and orders as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about June 27, 2021, Florence Kiama ("Ms. Kiama") came to Defendant Towbin's business and presented a valid title which showed her as the owner of the 2002 Maserati, VIN ZAMBC38A020006135 ("Subject Vehicle"), and which was examined by Richard Kansky.
- 2. The Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles verified to Defendant Towbin that the Subject Vehicle was registered to Florence Kiama as of June 27, 2019, and that the title was issued on or about May 26, 2017.
- 3. Ms. Kiama paid for the repairs performed on the Subject Vehicle at the time she picked it up from Defendant Towbin.
- 4. Defendant Towbin did not have any documentation evidence that there was any other legal owner of the Subject Vehicle, than Ms. Kiama.
- 5. Plaintiff Pedersen admitted that he had previously signed over title and ownership to the Subject Vehicle to Ms. Kiama in the past. Subsequent to that transfer of ownership, the Court concludes that Plaintiff failed to register the Subject Vehicle to his name or otherwise obtain a title issued in his name

28

6. Plaintiff Pedersen did not have a title issued to him in his name for the Subject Vehicle as of June 27, 2019.

7. Plaintiff Pedersen was not the registered owner of the Subject Vehicle as of June 27, 2019.

8. Defendant Towbin released the Subject Vehicle to the titled and registered owner Ms. Kiama on or about June 27, 2019 based on actual documents and records.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) provides that a party can request a dismissal by motion of an opposing party's claims or counterclaims for that party's failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. *See Zalk-Josephs Co. v. Wells-Cargo, Inc.*, 81 Nev. 163, 169-70, 400 P.2d 621, 624-25 (1965); and *Edgar v. Wagner*, 101 Nev. 226, 228, 699 P.2d 110, 112 (1985). Dismissal pursuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) is warranted "if no set of facts would entitle a plaintiff to relief." *Zalk-Josephs Co.*, 81 Nev. at 169-70. *See also Stockmeier v. Nevada Department of Corrections Psychological Review Panel, 124 Nev. 313, 316, 183 P.3d 133, 135 (2008)* (dismissal is proper where the allegations asserted are not sufficient to establish the elements of a claim for relief).
- 2. For the purposes of a motion under N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5), only the "factual allegations of the complaint must be accepted as true." *Bratcher v. City of Las Vegas*, 113 Nev. 502, 507, 937 P.2d 485, 489 (1997) (citations omitted). Plaintiff has failed to allege any cognizable claim against Defendant Towbin in light of the factual allegations of the claims alleged by Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff is required "to set forth sufficient facts to demonstrate the necessary elements of a claim for relief so that the defending party has adequate notice of the nature of the claim and the relief sought." *Hay v. Hay*, 100 Nev. 196, 198, 678 P.2d 672, 674

(1984); Ravera v. City of Reno, 100 Nev. 68, 70, 675 P.2d 407, 408 (1984). See also Stockmeier v. Nevada Department of Corrections Psychological Review Panel, 124 Nev. 313, 316, 183 P.3d 133, 135 (2008) (dismissal is proper where the allegations asserted are not sufficient to establish the elements of a claim for relief).

- 4. Under N.R.C.P. 12(b)(5), when matters are presented outside the pleadings the motion shall be treated as a motion for summary judgment pursuant to N.R.C.P. 56.
- 5. N.R.C.P. 56(c) provides that summary judgment is appropriate when the pleadings and other evidence on file, construed in a light most favorable to the non-moving party, demonstrate that no genuine issue as to any material fact remains and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Holcomb v. Georgia Pacific, LLC*, 128 Nev. Adv. Rep. 56 *9-10, 289 P.3d 188, 192 (2012); *Wood v. Safeway*, 121 Nev. 724, 729, 121 P.3d 1026, 1029 (2005).
- 6. The substantive law controls which factual disputes are material. *Wood*, 121 Nev. at 731. A factual dispute is genuine "when the evidence is such that a rational trier of fact could return a verdict for the non-moving party." Id.
- 7. Based on the findings of fact and the actual verification from the Nevada DMV, and the declaration regarding Richard Kansky's personal review of the title to the subject vehicle, the Court concludes that Defendant Towbin released the subject vehicle to the actual registered owner of the vehicle.
- 8. Since Defendant Towbin released to the subject vehicle to the registered owner who presented a valid title to the vehicle, the Court concludes that a dismissal of all of Plaintiff's claims as to Defendant Towbin is merited by the controlling law.

BendavidLaw

9.	Further the Court concludes that in light of the actual ownership of the subject
vehicle,	Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and summary
judgme	nt should be granted based on NRCP 56 in favor of Towbin.
10	Further the Court concludes that Defendent Toyshin did not and does not have a

- 10. Further the Court concludes that Defendant Towbin did not and does not have a cognizable legal duty which mandates for Defendant Towbin to explore any possible claims or sales of any vehicle, prior to releasing it to its registered owner.
- 11. The Court concludes that based on the findings of fact and applicable law, Defendant Towbin only has a legal duty to permit the titled and registered owner of a vehicle to take possession of it.
- 12. The Court concludes that it was Ms. Kiama who came to the dealership, had title, was the legally registered owner with the Nevada DMV, and then who paid for the outstanding repair costs and no service obligations were breached by Defendant Towbin
- 13. In Nevada, a plaintiff must allege that a defendant actually owed plaintiff a duty of care, that it breached that duty, the breach was the legal cause of plaintiff's injuries, and plaintiff suffered damages. *See Sadler v. PacifiCare of Nev.*, 340 P.3d 1264 (Nev. 2014).
- 14. The Court concludes that Plaintiff plainly fails to allege that Defendant Towbin owed him any duty of care, since he did not have title to, nor was he registered as the owner of the Subject Vehicle, and additionally, there is no legal duty for a vehicle repair shop to "consider" a claim to a vehicle because an individual claims he has "an email."
- 15. Any duty owed would be to actual registered owner of a vehicle, and Defendant Towbin verified the identity of the actual registered owner of the Vehicle.
- 16. The Court concludes that to the extent that Plaintiff's claim for negligence relates to the loss of his anticipated arrangement with Ms. Kiama to own the Vehicle, then his negligence claim against Defendant Towbin is further barred because he is only seeking

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

economic damages, for the alleged "unauthorized" release of the Subject Vehicle for which he was not the registered owner. In Nevada, the doctrine of economic loss is designed to enforce the expectancy of parties, and tort law, which imposes a duty of reasonable care and thereby generally encourages citizens to avoid causing physical harm to others. Terracon Consultants W., Inc. v. Mandalay Resort Grp., 206 P.3d 81 (Nev. 2009)(emphasis added). In order to effectuate such a result, the "Economic Loss Doctrine" bars unintentional tort actions when the plaintiff seeks to recover purely economic losses. *Id.* The Economic Loss Doctrine is applicable with respect to common law tort claim, which is not otherwise founded upon a specific statutory obligation or right. See FAC. Nevada law is clear that a plaintiff may not recover solely economic losses under theories of strict products liability or negligence. Calloway v. City of Reno, 993 P.2d 1259 (Nev. 2000), overruled on other grounds by, Olson v. Richard, 89 P.3d 31 (Nev. 2004) (emphasis added). Here, Defendant Towbin released the Subject Vehicle to the registered owner of the Subject Vehicle, therefore any other "negligence" clam is dismissed additionally based on the economic loss doctrine.

- 17. The elements for a claim for Breach of Contract in Nevada are:
 - 1. Plaintiff and Defendant entered into a valid and existing contract;
 - 2. Plaintiff performed or was excused from performance;
 - 3. Defendant failed to perform and was not excused from performance; and
 - 4. Plaintiff sustained damages as a result of the breach.

Calloway v. City of Reno, 116 Nev. 250, 993 P.2d 1259 (2000).

18. Defendant Towbin did not fail to perform any of its duties. Defendant Towbin performed repair services on the Vehicle, and when those repairs were completed, they released the Vehicle to the registered owner who paid for those repairs. As such, Plaintiff

28

1	IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Towbin Motorcars,		
2	LLC's (incorrectly named as "Tobin Motorcars") Motion for Summary Judgment is		
3	GRANTED in its entirety, with judgment to be entered in favor of Defendant, Towbin		
4	Motorcars.		
5			
6	DATED this Q & day of, 2022.		
7	Dated this 25th day of January, 2022		
8	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, DEPT. XIV		
9	A-21-827749-C 2EA 141 5939 87B3		
10	Respectfully Submitted by: Adriana Escobar District Court Judge		
11	BENDAVID LAW		
12	/s/ Jeffery A. Bendavid, Esq.		
13	JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ.		
14	Nevada Bar No. 6220 STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ.		
	Nevada Bar No. 11280		
15	7301 Peak Dr., Suite 150		
16	Las Vegas, NV 89128		
17	Attorneys for Defendant Towbin Motorcars LLC		
18	Approved as to form and content:		
19			
20	<u>NOT APPROVED</u>		
21	CHRIS PEDERSEN 2550 E Desert Inn Road #236		
22	Las Vegas, NV 89121 In Pro Per		
23			
24	Approved as to form and content:		
25	/s/ Florence Kiama		
26	FLORENCE KIAMA		
27	2659 Calypso Ct. Las Vegas, NV 89121		
28	In Pro Per		

BendavidLaw

Stephanie Smith

From: Stephanie Smith

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:59 AM

To: Florence Kiama; chris pedersen

Subject: RE: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Thank you Ms. Kiama.

From: Florence Kiama <flokiama@aol.com> Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:57 AM

To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>; chris pedersen <pedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Go ahead and add my signature. Thank you

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

On Wednesday, January 19, 2022, 9:18 AM, Stephanie Smith < ssmith@bendavidfirm.com > wrote:

Ms. Kiama- The Court ruled on my Towbin MotorCars's motion to dismiss and/or motion for summary judgment. This is simply the findings of fact and conclusions of law based on that hearing. This is not a time to attach additional documentation unless it had been directed by the Court. Does that make sense? Thank you.

From: Florence Kiama < flokiama@aol.com > Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:15 AM

To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>; chris pedersen cpedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Good Morning Ms. Smith

I have two questions, shouldn't I have been served with Mr. Pedersen's arguments and the evidence he produced?

I feel like I am appending a signature on a document which I only know one side of its argument, and even though it is favorable on my part, I feel I was owed full disclosure.

Stephanie Smith

From: Stephanie Smith

Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:31 AM

To: chris pedersen

Subject: RE: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Mr. Pedersen – I have not heard back from you if you had revisions or were simply disagreeing with the findings. Regardless I will be submitting the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law to the court and indicating you did not approve. Thank you.

From: Stephanie Smith

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:15 PM
To: chris pedersen cpedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Subject: RE: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Mr. Pedersen- The Court requested that I prepare a findings of fact and conclusions of law which I have done. When you state you have objections are you wishing to provide suggested edits? If so, then I will wait to review them today. However, if you are just stating you are disagreeing with the finding then you wish to submit a competing version to the Court you may do so. Please let me know.

From: chris pedersen < pedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:12 PM
To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Not familiar with objecting to a statement of decision? I thought you just offered that I should submit my own statement of decision for consideration? Change of mind? Regardless, your statement of decision has absolutely nothing to do with the courts simple ruling granting your motion without exclamation of any kind. No minute order. I will add to my objections you're insisting on submitting a statement of decision to which I informed you I had objections to and after which you offered to consider and alternative statement of decision. You're most welcome.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 19, 2022, at 11:18 AM, Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com> wrote:

Mr. Pedersen- I do not know what you are referring to when you reference a statement of decision or your objections. I will construe this to mean that you will not sign the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law as to the form and content. As such, I will be submitting it to the Court. Thank you.

From: chris pedersen <pedersen92591@hotmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:16 AM

To: Stephanie Smith <ssmith@bendavidfirm.com>

Subject: Re: Proposed FFCL- Pedersen v. Towbin Motorcars et al

Thank you Stephanie, I will attach a proposed statement of decision together with my objections. I am in court all day today in Los Angeles, but will get it to you later this afternoon.

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Chris Pedersen, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-21-827749-C 6 DEPT. NO. Department 14 VS. 7 Florence Kiama, Defendant(s) 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Summary Judgment was served via the court's 12 electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as 13 listed below: 14 Service Date: 1/25/2022 15 Andrew Craner nvesq@cox.net 16 Jeffery Bendavid jbendavid@bendavidfirm.com 17 Stephanie Smith ssmith@bendavidfirm.com 18 Florence Kiama flokiama@aol.com 19 pedersen92591@hotmail.com Chris Pedersen 20 21 Julie Hall jhall@bendavidfirm.com 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Other Civil Matters		COURT MINUTES	January 06, 2022	
A-21-827749-C	Chris Pederse	. , ,		
	Florence Klan	na, Defendant(s)		
January 06, 2022	09:30 AM	Towbin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismi Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pu (5) or Alternatively, Motion for Summary N.R.C.P. 56	rsuant to N.R.C.P. 12(b)	

HEARD BY: Escobar, Adriana COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14C

COURT CLERK: Squyres, Stephanie

RECORDER: Scott, Deloris

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Chris Pedersen Counter Defendant, Counter Defendant,

Plaintiff, Plaintiff

Florence Kiama Counter Claimant, Counter Claimant,

Defendant, Defendant

Stephanie J. Smith Attorney for Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

In an abundance of caution, Court disclosed it purchased a vehicle from Towbin Motor Company years ago; however, it will be fair and Impartial. Colloquy regarding title of vehicle. Mr. Pedersen stated Towbin Motors did not attach a copy of title. COURT ORDERED, Towbin Motorcars, LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Chris Pedersen's First Amended Complaint Pursuant to N.R.C.P.12(b)(5) or Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant N.R.C.P. 56 GRANTED. Court stated everything checks out with DMV. Ms. Smith to prepare the order. Court directed the order to be submitted in fourteen days.

Printed Date: 2/8/2022 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 06, 2022

Prepared by: Stephanie Squyres



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

CHRIS PEDERSEN 2550 DESERT INN RD. #236 LAS VEGAS, NV 89121

> DATE: March 1, 2022 CASE: A-21-827749-C

RE CASE: CHRIS PEDERSEN vs. FLORENCE KIAMA aka FLORENCE WAMUCII PFEIFFER; KEITH

GLAZER; TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC dba TOBIN MOTORCARS

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: February 25, 2022 2:32 pm

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- \$250 − Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
 If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- \$24 District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- S500 − Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
 - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
 - Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- □ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

**Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; [PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON TOWBIN MOTORCARS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF CHRIS PEDERSEN'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 12(B)(5) OR ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW GRANTING DEFENDANT TOWBIN MOTORCARS, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF CHRIS PEDERSEN'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT OT N.R.C.P. 12(B)(5) OR ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO N.R.C.P. 56; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

CHRIS PEDERSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

FLORENCE KIAMA aka FLORENCE WAMUCII PFEIFFER; KEITH GLAZER; TOBIN MOTORCARS, LLC dba TOBIN MOTORCARS,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-21-827749-C

Dept No: XIV

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 1 day of March 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk