IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

TOMMY FROST.

Appellant, vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 83065

Electronically Filed Jun 16 2021 12:54 p.m.

DOCKETING SEATING Brown
CRIMINAL APPEARS Supreme Court

(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

1. Judicial District Third	County Lyon
Judge John P. Schlegelmilch	District Ct. Case No. 20-CV-00635
2. If the defendant was given a sentence,	
(a) what is the sentence?	
Aggregate sentence of life in prison with pa count was life in prison with parole eligibili consecutively.	role eligibility after service of 20 years; each ty after service of 10 years, to run
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending ap	peal?
No	
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pending a	appeal?
No	
3. Was counsel in the district court appointed \square or retained \square ?	
4. Attorney filling this docketing stateme	nt:
Attorney Karla K. Butko	Telephone 775 786 7118
Firm Karla K. Butko, Ltd.	
Address: P. O. Box 1249 Verdi, NV 89439	
Client(s) TOMMY FROST 5. Is appellate counsel appointed	ed □ ?

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

6. Attorney(s) representing responden	t(s):
Attorney Stephen B. Rye	Telephone 775 463 6511
Firm Lyon County District Attorney's Offi	ce
Address: 31 S. Main Street Yerington, NV 89447	
Client(s) THE STATE OF NEVADA	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address:	
Client(s) (List additional counse) 7. Nature of disposition below:	el on separate sheet if necessary)
☐ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Grant of pretrial habeas
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence
☐ Judgment upon guilty plea	∇ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)
☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss	┌ grant ⋉ denial
☐ Parole/probation revocation	☐ Other disposition (specify):
☐ Motion for new trial	
┌ grant ┌ denial	
┌ grant ┌ denial	
8. Does this appeal raise issues concern	ning any of the following:
death sentence	┌ juvenile offender
\boxtimes life sentence	┌ pretrial proceedings
9. Expedited appeals: The court may decide Are you in favor of proceeding in such mann	le to expedite the appellate process in this matter. er?
□ Ves □ No	

10. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number
of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which
are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-
conviction proceedings):
None.

11. **Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

Trial case: 18-CR-01197, State v. Tommy Frost, Third Judicial District Court

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Mr. Frost entered a plea of guilty to two counts of lewdness with a minor under the age of 14, a Category A felony, in violation of NRS 201.230(2). The case proceeded to sentencing and consecutive life sentences with parole eligibility after service of 10 years were imposed by the court. There was no direct appeal. Mr. Frost filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus. Counsel was appointed and the petition was amended. The case proceeded to evidentiary hearing. The District Court denied postconviction relief. This appeal follows.

- 13. Issues on appeal. State specifically all issues in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
- 1. The District Court abused its discretion when it refused to grant postconviction relief.
- 2. Trial counsel was ineffective under the 6th & 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution. The guilty plea was not knowingly and voluntarily entered due to counsel's actions that coerced the plea.
- 3, Trial counsel was ineffective under the 6th & 14th Amendments when counsel failed to file a pretrial motion to dismiss the charges because of the State's gross negligence in retaining the telephone in question as evidence, available to the defense investigation.
- 4. Mr. Frost was deprived of a direct appeal when counsel failed to perfect the appeal, in violation of due process and the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

14. Constitutional issues: If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the
constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court
and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

	•	•	
⊠ N/A			
┌ Yes			
□ No			
If not, exp	ปล	in:	

15. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

This case involves a first and timely postconviction on two Category A felony counts. The matter is not presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals and may be retained by the Nevada Supreme Court. The aggregate sentence is as high as a term imposed for first degremurder. This Case should remain at the Nevada Supreme Court, even though the trial case involved a guilty plea and not a jury trial. The sanctions imposed warrant review by the Supreme Court.

		f public interest. Does this appeal present a sion in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important
First impression:	┌ Yes	⊠ No
Public interest:	┌ Yes	⊠ No
		oceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district evidentiary hearing last?
1/2 days		
18. Oral argument. oral argument?	Would you obje	ct to submission of this appeal for disposition without
⊠ Yes □	No	

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

19. Date district court announced decision, ser	tence or order appealed from U5/19/2021
20. Date of entry of written judgment or order	appealed from 06/03/2021
(a) If no written judgment or order was file seeking appellate review:	ed in the district court, explain the basis for
21. If this appeal is from an order granting or condicate the date written notice of entry of judge	denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, gment or order was served by the district court
(a) Was service by delivery ─ or by mail	abla
22. If the time for filing the notice of appeal wa	as tolled by a post judgment motion,
(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date	of filing of the motion:
Arrest judgment	Date filed
New trial (newly discovered evidence)	
New trial (other grounds)	Date filed
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving	motion
23. Date notice of appeal filed 06/10/2021	
24. Specify statute or rule governing the time 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.015(2) NRS 34.575	limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 2), or other

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

25. Specify statute, rule or oth	er authority that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:	
NRS 177.015(1)(b)	NRS 34.560	
NRS 177.015(1)(c)		
NRS 177.015(2)	NRS 34.560(2)	
NRS 177.015(3)	Other (specify)	
NRS 177.055		
VERIFICATION I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.		
TOMMY FROST	KARLA K. BUTKO	
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record	
06/16/2021	Kan 16 Box	
Date	Signature of counsel of record	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
I certify that on the 06/16	day of $20\ \underline{21}$, I served a copy of this completed	
docketing statement upon all	counsel of record:	
☐ By personally serving	it upon him/her; or	
\bowtie By mailing it by first caddress(es):	lass mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following	
Stephen B. Rye, District Attorno Lyon County District Attorno 31 S. Main Street Yerington, NV 89447		
Dated this 16th	day of <u>June</u> , 20 <u>21</u>	
	Kan 10Por	
	Signature	