1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2			
3		Electronically Filed Oct 21 2021 08:59 p.m.	
4		Elizabeth A. Brown	
5	CRYSTAL YVONNE AUSTIN	Clerk of Supreme Cour CASE NO.: 83345	t
6	CRYSTAL I VONNE AUSTIN	CASE IVO 033 IS	
7	Appellant,		
8	vs.		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Respondent,		
11	ON APPEAL FROM THE FIFTH JU	JDICAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND	
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE, THE HONORABLE ROBET LANE,		
1			
13	PRES	IDING	
13 14		IDING DENDLY ON A PPEAL	
	APPELLANT'S API	PENDIX ON APPEAL	
14	APPELLANT'S API		
14 15	APPELLANT'S APP VOLU David H. Neely III, Esq.	PENDIX ON APPEAL  UME II  Aaron Ford, Esq.	
14 15 16	APPELLANT'S APP	PENDIX ON APPEAL  UME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street	
14 15 16 17	APPELLANT'S APPELL	PENDIX ON APPEAL  UME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	
14 15 16 17 18	APPELLANT'S APPELL	PENDIX ON APPEAL  UME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street	
14 15 16 17 18	APPELLANT'S APPELL	PENDIX ON APPEAL  UME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 Attorneys for Respondent  Chris Arabia, Esq.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPELLANT'S APPELL	PENDIX ON APPEAL  JME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 Attorneys for Respondent	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPELLANT'S APPELL	PENDIX ON APPEAL  UME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 Attorneys for Respondent  Chris Arabia, Esq. Nye County District Attorney	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPELLANT'S APPELL	PENDIX ON APPEAL  JME II  Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 Attorneys for Respondent  Chris Arabia, Esq. Nye County District Attorney P.O. Box 39	

Appellant, **CRYSTAL YVONNE AUSTIN**, by and through his attorney of record, David H. Neely III, Esq., hereby files the following as his Appendix on Appeal Volume Two, containing the documents deemed pertinent to the issues on appeal.

Appellant reserves the right to file supplemental appendixes as required in Opening, Answering or Reply Briefs.

I hereby certify that I have read this Appendix on Appeal Volume Two and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation. I further certify that this Appendix complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the Brief regarding matters in the record be supported by a reference to the page and volume number of this appendix where the matter is relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying Brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

#### **VERIFICATION**

1. I hereby certify that this Appellant's Appendix on Appeal Volume
Two complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:

This Appellant's Appendix on Appeal Volume Two has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2010 in Times New Roman 14.

2. I further certify that the Appendix on Appeal Volume Two complies with the page limitation of NRAP 32(a)(7).

**DATED** this A day of October, 2021.

DAVID H. NEELY III,

NV Bar No. 003891

3520 East Tropicana Ave., Ste. D-1

Las Vegas, NV 89121 Attorney for Appellant

## INDEX TO APPENDIX ON APPEAL VOLUME TWO

2	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	06/08/2020	Motion to Withdraw Counsel	0249
4	06/08/2020	Request for Records	0252
5	06/08/2020	Request for Submission of Motion	0253
7	06/10/2020	Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis	0255
8	06/10/2020	Order to Withdraw Counsel	0257
9	07/17/2020	Affidavit	0258
10	07/17/2020	Motion for Appointment of Counsel	0262
11	07/17/2020	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	0264
12	07/17/2020	Request for Submission of Motion	0275
14	07/31/2020	Order Appointing Counsel	0277
15	07/31/2020	Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis	0279
16	10/23/2020	Petitioner's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Post-Conviction Writ	0281
17 18	10/28/2020	Order for State Response	0294
19	12/16/2020	Order to Continue	0296
20 21	01/11/2021	Motion to Dismiss and Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	0297
22	01/12/21	Certificate of Service	0303
23	02/17/2021	Petitioner's Reply to Motion to Dismiss Petitioner for	0304
24		Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	
25			

1	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
2	08/04/2021	Court Order	0310
3	08/06/2021	Case Appeal Statement	0320
4	08/06/2021	Notice of Appeal	0323
5	08/12/2021	Request for No Transcript Proceedings	0325
6   7	ALPHABE	TICAL INDEX TO APPENDIX ON APPEAL VOLUME	TWO
8	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	07/17/2020	Affidavit	0258
10	08/06/2021	Case Appeal Statement	0320
11	01/12/21	Certificate of Service	0303
12	08/04/2021	Court Order	0310
13 14	07/17/2020	Motion for Appointment of Counsel	0262
15	01/11/2021	Motion to Dismiss and Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	0297
16 17	06/08/2020	Motion to Withdraw Counsel	0249
18	07/31/2020	Order Appointing Counsel	0277
19	10/28/2020	Order for State Response	0294
20	12/16/2020	Order to Continue	0296
21	06/10/2020	Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis	0255
22	07/31/2020	Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis	0279
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	06/10/2020	Order to Withdraw Counsel	0257
25			
25		~	

1	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
2	07/17/2020	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	0264
3 4	02/17/2021	Petitioner's Reply to Motion to Dismiss Petitioner for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	0304
5	10/23/2020	Petitioner's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Post-Conviction Writ	0281
7	06/08/2020	Request for Records	0252
8	06/08/2020	Request for Submission of Motion	0253
9	07/17/2020	Request for Submission of Motion	0275
10 11			
12			
13			
14			
15 16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>			

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an agent or employee of the above
referenced Nevada licensed attorney, and that on the Aday of October, 2021, l
served the above and foregoing APPELLANT'S INDEX TO APPENDIX ON
APPEAL VOLUME TWO by depositing a copy in the United States mails,
postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for plaintiff at his last known address, as
indicated below:
Chris Arabia, Esq.
District Attorney 100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Aaron Ford, Esq.
Nevada Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Attorneys for Respondent

1.1

agent or employee of David H. Neely, III, ESQ.

# FILED FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

	CRYSTAL AUSTIN 43718
1	Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center 4370 Smiley Rd.
2	Las Vegas, NV 89115 — Deputy
3	In the 5TH Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
4	In and for the County of NYC
5	In the matter of:
6	STATE OF NEWAOA ) Case No: C28978  Plaintiff/Petitioner )
7	COKSTALYVONNE ALISTIN ) Dept No.: 2
8	Defendant/Respondent
9	MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL
10	COMES NOW Defendant, CONSTACTIONNE AUSTIN , In Proper
11	Person and hereby moves this Honorable Court for an ORDER granting her
12	permission to withdraw her present counsel of record in the proceeding
13	action.
14	This Motion is made and based upon all papers, pleadings, and exhibits
15	on file with the Court which are hereby incorporated by this reference, the
16	Points and Authorities herein, and attached Affidavit of Defendant.
17	
18	Dated this 26 day of MAY, 2020
19	
20	Respectfully submitted,
21	
22	Signature
23	COYETAL ALLETIN
24	Print Name
25	
26	
27	
28	

#### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

NRS 7.055 states in pertinent part:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. An attorney who has been discharged by his client shall upon demand and payment of the fee due from the client, immediately deliver to the client all papers, documents, pleadings and items of tangible personal property which belong to or were prepared for that client.
- 2. ...If the court finds that an attorney has, without just cause, refused or neglected to obey its order given under this section, the court may, after notice and fine or imprison him until contempt purged. If the Court finds that the attorney has, without just cause, withheld the client's papers, documents, pleadings, or other property, the attorney is liable for costs and attorney's fees.

Counsel in the above-entitled case was court-appointed due to Defendant's indigence. Defendant does not owe counsel any fees.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays this Honorable Court, GRANT her Motion to Withdraw Counsel and that counsel deliver Defendant all papers, documents, pleadings, discovery and any other tangible property which belong to or were prepared for the Defendant to allow Defendant the proper assistance that is needed to insure that justice is served.

Dated this 26 day of MAY, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Print Name

0250

1	THE PST. HE STATED 2009, 2012-2012 NEDE DUIS
2	2009 WASTHEBEGINNING OF DIVERSION PROGRAM.
3	OCT 2012 WAS THE BUD OF DIVERSION PROGRAM.
4	DEC 2012 NAS LISTED AS DECHARGE HONORABLE.
5	I told MR. MARTINEZ WHILE HIED. A ACCORD 3
6	DUI'S HAH ARE NON EXITENT AND HE TOID ME
7	LOSHUT UP. HE DID NOT DEFENO ME. I HAO A
8	DUI IN 2008 DIO THE DIVERSION PROCRAM
9	EXCLESSIVIT AND HAD A DUI IN 2014. BUT
10	MO. MADTINEZ LET MO VITTO ADO 3 DUI'S TOSE THE
11	Dated this $2U$ day of $MAY$ , $2020$ .
12	Respectfully submitted.
13	Control Clark
14	COYSTALY. AUSTIN
15	Printed Name
16	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
17	I, the undersigned, understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this declaration will
18	subject me to penalties of perjury.  I declare, under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America,
19	that the above and/or foregoing information is accurate, correct and true to the best of my knowledge, executed within the terms of <sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102 and <sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165. See <sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C. 1746 and 18 U.S.C. 1621.
20	Dated this 26 day of MAC , 2020
21	Cotilles 93719
22	Signature Nevada Department of Corrections ID #
23	
24	
25	
26	<sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102 <sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165
27	NRS 208.165  3 28 U.S.C.  \$1746. Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury
28	18 U.S.C. § 1621. Perjury generally

437 Las UCT

0 Smiley Rd. Vegas, NV 89115	·		FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC
	Judicial District Court of NYE	Case No: 2	Nye County Clerk Deputy
	REQUEST FOR R	ECORDS	
COMES NOW CONSTAL YO	NNE ALETIN, the	□ Plaintiff/Petition	er 🗷 Defendant/Respondent
in the case noted above, and req	uests that the Court forw	ard copies of the fol	lowing records filed in this
case to the □Plaintiff/Petitione	r <b>⊠</b> Defendant/Responder	nt at the above listed	d address.
🌠 Plea Canvas	5 5	Judgment of Conv	iction
Plea Agreer	ment 💆	Copy of All Record	ls in File
Case Index	Þ	Other SUDDENA	5

\_ 20<u>20</u> Dated this 26 day of MAY

Sentencing Minutes

Respectfully submitted,

CRESTAL ALKSTIN Print Name

# FILED

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUN 082020 4370 Smiley Rd. Las Vegas, NV 89115 Nye County Clerk In The 5TH Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada In and for the County of In the matter of: **REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION** It is requested that the Motion for WITHORAW COUNSE! \_\_\_\_\_,  $20\overline{20}$  in the above-entitled matter be submitted which was filed on the 20 day of MAY to the Court for decision. The undersigned certifies that a copy of this request has been mailed to all counsel of record. Dated this 20 day of MA

### **DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY**

I, the undersigned, understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this declaration will subject me to penalties of perjury.

I declare, under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the above and/or foregoing information is accurate, correct and true to the best of my knowledge, executed within the terms of  $^{1}NRS$  171.102 and  $^{2}NRS$  208.165. See  $^{3}28$  U.S.C. 1746 and 18 U.S.C. 1621.

Dated this 26 day of MAY, 2020

Signature

Nevada Department of Corrections ID #

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165

<sup>3 28</sup> U.S.C.

<sup>§1746.</sup> Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury

<sup>§ 1621.</sup> Perjury generally

•	FILED	
FIFTH	UDICIAL DISTRIC	I

	JUN 1 0 2020
1	APPL Nye County Clerk
2	COYSTAL AUSTIN Deputy
3	
4	ADDRESS
5	ADDRESS  WONTEN STATE, ZIP CODE
6	
7	IN PROPER PERSON
8	
9	DISTRICT COURT
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
11	STATE OF NOVAOA
12	Plaintiff, )
13	vs. ) Case No.: <u>CL299190</u>
14	COYSTAL Y. AUSTIN Dept. No.: 2
15	Defendant )
16	
17	ORDER TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
18	(Filing Fees/Service Only)
19	Upon consideration of <u>Crystal Austin</u> 's Application to Proceed in Forma
20	Pauperis and it appearing that there is not sufficient income, property, or resources with
	which to maintain the action and good cause appearing therefore:
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
22	1. That Crystal Austin, Defendant, shall be permitted to proceed In
23	Forma Pauperis with this action as permitted by NRS 12.015.
24	2. That Defendant shall proceed without the prepayment costs or
25	fees or the necessity of giving security, and the Clerk of the Court may file or issue any
26	necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.
27	3. That the Sheriff or other appropriate officer within this State shall make
28	personal service of any necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.

© Clark County Civil Resource Center Civil-IFP Costs/Fees

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED u:\CRC\fee\_waiver\packet\_8\ordfeewaiver\_0501.wpd

ļ	
1	4. That if the,, prevails in this
2	action, the Court shall enter an Order pursuant to NRS 12.015 requiring the opposing
3	party to pay into the court, within five (5) days, the costs which would have been
4	incurred by the prevailing party, and those costs must then be paid as provided by law.
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERD that 's request to waive fees
6	and costs is <b>DENIED</b> for the following reason:
	A The Party is not indigent.
7	B Other:
8	
9	ingh of /
10	DATED this // day of geeps 1,2020
11	
12	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13	
14	Respectfully submitted by:
15	
16	Contalelist?
17	Signature COYSTALY, AUSTN
18	PRINT NAME 43705MILEY 20
19	ADDRESS  ADVICAGE NO 95115
20	CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE
	TELEPHONE
21	IN PROPER PERSON
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

© Clark County Civil Resource Center Civil-IFP Costs/Fees ALL RIGHTS RESERVED u:\CRC\fee\_waiver\packet\_8\ordfeewaiver\_0501.wpd

# FILED FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- 11	
	CRYSTAL AUSTIN 93718
1	Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center 4370 Smiley Rd. Las Vegas, NV 89115
2	In the 57 Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
3	In and for the County of NE
4	
5	In the matter of:  STATE OF NOVADA  Case No: CQ 8978
6	Plaintiff/Petitioner  Plocate No.: 2  Dept No.: 2
7 8	Defendant/Respondent
9	ORDER TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL
10	The Proper Person Motion of Defendant, requesting an Order to Withdraw
11	Counsel in the above entitled action having moved the Court on this day, and
12	in good cause appearing.
13	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Counsel is
14	GRANTED.
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Counsel deliver to Defendant at her address,
16	all documents, papers, pleadings, discovery, and any other tangible property
17	in the above-entitled case.
18	Dated this
19 20	
21	
22	104
23	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
24	
25 26	
27	
28	
2.0	11

1	JUL 1 7 2020
2	Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center 4370 Smiley Rd.
3	Las Vegas, NV 89115 Deput
4	In The 5TH Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada In and for the County of
5	In the matter of:
6	STATE OF NEVADA ) Case No: CD 8978  Plaintiff/Petitioner )
7	CONSTAL WOUNE AUSTIN ) Dept No.: 2
8	Defendant/Respondent
9	AFFIDAVIT
.0	STATE OF NEVADA )
.1	COUNTY OF NYE )
.2	1. I am the D Plaintiff/Petitioner Defendant/Respondent in the above
3	entitled action. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in the above-entitled case and am competent to testify to these facts.
4	2. My personal knowledge or personal observations of the situation is/are as follows:
5	
.6	MY COUSTITUNAL PLEHTS TO HAUE AN
-7	AHOONES
.8	WITHDOEN DANIEL MADTINEZ ON LUNE 10,2020
.9	FOR INSTRECTIVE COUNCE!
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
8.	
	Down 1 of C

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Dated this 9H day of DULY , 20 20.
11	
12	Respectfully submitted,
13	Signature  COLISTAL DUSTIN
14	CRYSTAL AUSTIN
15	Printed Name
16	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
17	I, the undersigned, understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this declaration will subject me to penalties of perjury.
18	I declare, under the penalty of periury under the laws of the United States of America,
19	that the above and/or foregoing information is accurate, correct and true to the best of my knowledge, executed within the terms of <sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102 and <sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165. See <sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C. 1746 and 18 U.S.C. 1621.
20	Dated this QtH day of YUK , 2020
21	(Intelo(Qeal) 93710 _
22	Signature Nevada Department of Corrections ID #
23	
24	
25	
26	1 NRS 171.102
	<sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165 <sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C.
27	\$1746. Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury 18 U.S.C. § 1621. Perjury generally
28	
	Page 2 of 2

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING JUL 1 7 2020
2	STATE OF NEVADA Nye County Clark
3	COUNTY OF CLARK DOOLTY
4	I am the   Plaintiff/Petitioner   Defendant/Respondent
5	CRYSTAL AUSTIN for Case No: CR8978.
6	On this Att day of UCK , 20 20, I mailed a copy of the
7	Following document(s):  1. HABCASCORDS
8	2. Motion to appoint councel
و	3. SUDMISSION OF MOTION
10	4. FINANCIAI CORTIFICATE (COPY)
11	5. IFP (COPY)
12	By United States First Class Mail, to the following addresses:
13	1. ME COUNTY CLOPES OFACE 2. AHOPDES GADEZAI
14	1520 E. BASIN AN #105 100 N. CADEONST
15	PAHROMP, NY 89060 CARSON CITY, NV
16	89701
17	
1.8	3
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Dated this AH day of SUX, 20 20.
24	Respectfully submitted,
25	Signature
26	CP 45TAL AUSTIN
27	Printed Name
28	

2				•			
3	I, the undersigned, un		TION UNDER PI			this decla	ration will
4	subject me to penalties of perju	ry.					
5	that the above and/or foregoing within the terms of <sup>1</sup> NRS 171.10	clare, under the p 3 information is a	enalty of perjury ccurate, correct a	under the law and true to the	vs of the Un e best of my	ited States knowledg	of America, e, executed
6				S.C. 1746 and ,	18 U.S.C. I	1621.	
7	Dated this	_day of _SU	<u> </u>	, 20 <u>′.</u>	<u> 20</u>		
8	Signature		. 7	Nevado	7 8 Denartme	nt of Corr	ections ID #
	Gignature		ı	1467444	<i>с</i> Деригине	ni oj com	schons ID #
9	8	) <u>*</u>					
LO			g ng	13*2		¥	21 ₩
11		20	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			1.8	
12		31			81	82	
13		.5					**
1.4							
15		×2				60	
16							
17			4	E			
18		¥7					
19		· .					
20	,						
21			e				
	•		<b>5</b> 8				
22							
23	a						
24	37V						20
25							£2
26	<sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102 <sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165						
27	<sup>2</sup> 28 U.S.C. §1746. Unsworn dec	clarations unde	r penalty of pe	erjury			

18 U.S.C. § 1621. Perjury generally

28

1

Page 2 of 2

# FILED FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

JUL 1 7 2020

1 2	Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center 4370 Smiley Rd. Las Vegas, NV 89115  Deputy
3	In the Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
4	In and for the County of NE
5	In the matter of:
6	STATE OF NEVADA ) Case No: CR 8978  Plaintiff/Petitioner )
7	Plaintiff/Petitioner  v.  Dept No.: 2
8	Defendant/Respondent
9	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
10	COMES NOW Petitioner,, In Proper
11	Person and hereby moves this Honorable Court for an order to Appoint Counsel
12	in the above-entitled action, pursuant to NRS 34.720, with the Fundamental
13	Provisions of Art. I., Sec.'s 8 and 10, of the Nevada Constitution, and the
14	U.S. 1 <sup>st</sup> Amendment (Right to Petition for the Redress of Constitutional
15	Grievances), and the U.S. 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment (Right to Due Process Clause)in the
16	Constitution of these United States.
17	This Motion is made and based upon all papers, pleadings, and exhibits
18	within Court records, the Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and upon
19	Oral Arguments, if this Court deems it proper and necessary for the
20	disposition of the instant Motion.
21	
22	Dated this Att day of WCX, 20 20
23	Respectfully submitted,
24	$C_{1}$
25	Signature
26	CRYSTAL ALISTIN
27	Print Name
28	

#### DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I, the undersigned, understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this declaration will subject me to penalties of perjury.

I declare, under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the above and/or foregoing information is accurate, correct and true to the best of my knowledge, executed within the terms of <sup>1</sup>NRS 171.102 and <sup>2</sup>NRS 208.165. See <sup>3</sup>28 U.S.C. 1746 and 18 U.S.C. 1621.

epartment of Corrections ID Number

(Added to NRS by 1985, 1643)

§1746. Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury

Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:

(1) If executed without the United States: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)".

(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)". (Added Pub. L. 94-550, §1(a), Oct. 18, 1976, 90 Stat. 2534.)

PRIOR PROVISIONS

A prior section 1746 was renumbered section 1745 of this title.

#### § 1621. Perjury generally

(1) having taken an oath before a competent tribunal, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, or certify truly, or that any written testimony, declaration, deposition, or certificate by him subscribed, is true, willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe

(2) in any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, willfully subscribes as true any material matter which he does not believe to be true; is guilty of perjury and shall, except as otherwise expressly provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 773; Pub. L. 88–619,§ 1, Oct. 3, 1964, 78 Stat. 995; Pub. L. 94–550, § 2,Oct. 18, 1976, 90 Stat. 2534; Pub. L.

XXXIII, § 330016(1)(I), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat.2147.)

<sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102 Complaint defined; oath or declaration required. The complaint is a written statement of the essential facts constituting the public offense charged. It must be made upon:

<sup>1.</sup> Oath before a magistrate or a notary public; or

Declaration which is made subject to the penalty for perjury. (Added to NRS by 1967, 1400; A 1969, 387; 1983, 446)

NRS 208.165 Execution of instrument by prisoner. A prisoner may execute any instrument by signing his or her name immediately following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he or she had acknowledged it or sworn to its truth before a person authorized to administer oaths. As used in this this section, "prisoner" means a person confined in any jail or prison, or any facility for the detention of juvenile offenders, in this state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C.

	FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRA
1	JUL 1 <b>7 2020</b>
2	FLORENCE MCCLURE WOMENS CORRECTIONAL CENTER  Nyo County Cle
3	4370 Smiley Road Las Vegas, NV 89115
4	
5	IN THE STH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the STATE OF NEVADA
6	In and for the COUNTY OF NYE
7	
8	PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER  (C)
9	v. Case No.CO. Co. Case No.CO.
10	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
11	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
12	(POST-CONVICTION)
13	1. Name of the institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and how you are
14	presently restrained of your liberty: Florence McClure Womens (Clark CNY)
15	2. Name and location of the court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack:
1.6	NYE COUNTY COURT, 1520E. BASIN, PAHRUMP, NV
17	89.060 # 105
18	3. Date of Judgment of Conviction: 7/15/2019
19	4. Case Number: <u>CR8978</u>
20	5. Length of sentence: 48 MONTHS TO 120 MONTHS
21	If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled: N/A
22	6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in this
23	motion? YES NO If "YES", list the crime(s), case number(s) and sentence(s) being served a
24	this time: N/A
25	
26	7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged:     DEFFECTIVE
27	councel, Due process, comulative expors
	II

8.	What was your plea? (check one)
	a) Not guilty b) Guilty c) Guilty but mentally ill (d) Nolo contendere
9.	If you entered a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill to one count of an indictment or information, and a plea of not guilty to another count of an indictment or information, or if a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill was negotiated, give details:
10	If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, was the finding made by (check one):
10.	
	a) JURY (b) JUDGE WITHOUT A JURY X
11.	Did you testify at the trial? YES NO
12.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? YES NO
13.	If you do appeal, answer the following:
	(a) Name of Court: V/A
	(b) Case Number/Citation:
	(c) Result: 4 / A
	(c) Result: All / /
	(d) Date of Result: N/A
	** ATTACH A COPY OF ORDER/REMITTITUR/DECISION, IF AVAILABLE **
14.	If you DID NOT appeal, explain briefly why: DID NOT LENGUINHAT I WAS BEILK
	CHARGOO WITH LINTIL ARRIVING AT FMWCC.
15	. Other than a direct appeal from a judgment of conviction/ sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in state or federal court? YES NO
16	. If you answered YES to question 15, provide the following information:
	(a) Name of Court: $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{A}$
	(b) Type of proceeding: N/A
	(c) Grounds raised: $N/A$
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing? YES NO X
	(e) Result of hearing: Date of result: 1/A
	(f) Citations of any written opinion, date of orders entered pursuant to result (if known):
	N/A

		OND PETITION FILED/APPLICATION/MOTION (if filed):
	(a)	Name of Court: $\frac{V/A}{}$
	(b)	Type of proceeding: U/A
		Grounds raised: N/A
	(d)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing? YES NO
	(e)	Result of hearing: Date of result:
	(f)	Citations of any written opinion, date of orders entered pursuant to result (if known):
		N/A
18.	THI	RD/SUBSEQUENT PETITIONS — list same information as in # 17 on separate sheet and attach.
19.		you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action taken on any ition, application or motion?
	1)	First petition, application, or motion? YES NO  Citation or date of decision:
	2)	Second petition, application, or motion? YES NO Citation or date of decision:
	3)	Third petition, application or motion? YES NO  Citation or date of decision:
	4)	IF YOU DID NOT APPEAL from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches, attached to this petition. Your response may not exceed five (5) handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
		MY LAWYOR DANIER HARTINEZ NEVER FILED A DIRECTOREAL. I WITHOREN COUNCEL, DATED JUNE 10,000
20		s any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other court by way
	Of	petition for habeas corpus, motion, application or any other post-conviction proceeding? If so, identify:  Which of the grounds is the same: $U/A$
	В.	Which of the grounds is the same: $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	<b>C</b> . I	Briefly explain why you are raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts in response to this estion. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches, attached to this petition.

	this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches, attached to this petition. Your response may not exceed five (5) handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
22.	Are you filing this petition more than <b>ONE (1) YEAR</b> following the filing of the judgment of conviction or the filing of a decision on Direct Appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the delay. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches, attached to this petition. <b>Your response may not exceed five (5) handwritten or typewritten</b>
	MY LAWYER, DANIEL MIARTINEZ NEVER FILED A OIRECT APPEAL. TO INTEHOREN COUNCEL, DATED JUNE 10, 2020.
23.	Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any state court or federal court as to the judgment under attack? YES NO
24.	Give the name of EACH/EVERY attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal:  LISA CHUMLEE - L/IL, 8/IL - COI+  DAVID PICHCELT - B/IL, QUI-  DAVIEL MARTINEZ - WITHOREW BY COURT ORDER
25	Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? YES NO IF YES, specify where and when the sentence is to be served (if you know):
26	State concisely EVERY ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating grounds and the facts supporting each ground.
	A. GROUND ONE:  [NEFFECTIVE COUNCE]
	SUPPORTING FACTS: (Tell your story briefly, without citing cases or law)

В.	GROUND TWO:
	SUPPORTING FACTS: (Tell your story briefly, without citing cases or law)
	SOCGROUND'2 PAGE OF2
	DAGE 120F12
C.	GROUND THREE:
	CUMULATIVE 122120125
	SUPPORTING FACTS: (Tell your story briefly, without citing cases or law)
	SEE GROUND'S PAGE 10F12
	DXe620F2
D.	GROUND FOUR:
	SUPPORTING FACTS: (Tell your story briefly, without citing cases or law)
•	
ner a	sks that this court grant Petitioner relief to which s/he may be entitled in this proceeding.
-	Dated this AHA day of SUUK , 20 52
	Respectfully submitted,
	Signature, Pro Se Litigant
	Print Name

# GROUND (continued)

- 9

I allege that my state court conviction and/or sentence are unconstitutional, in violation of my Amendment Right to INEFFECTIVE COOKE , based on these facts: DID NOT COMPLY THE DIVERSITION? 26-140266, OC+ 12

Page

## GROUND \_\_\_\_\_\_(continued)

б

I allege that my state court conviction and/or sentence are unconstitutional, in violation of my 4.5.6.14 Amendment Right to DOE DOCKESS , based on these facts: ATEMOTED TO TAKE MY OUT OF IT AND LEADON y MOSICOX, THE TOME 10H, MRS, COX LIED OLK HB255/F4 HB2 MOHHB2

of\_

### GROUND \_\_\_\_\_ (continued)

I allege that my state court conviction and/or sentence are unconstitutional, in violation of my Amendment Right to DOE PROCESS, based on these facts: , based on these facts: I CRIMONIA Y AT MR. VITO THE D.A. EVEN SAID VICTIM WAS A 6 NO (1 CT (1 / 1) OT SE AL BA1623 SANI ostation xc DELOQUES MUS. (1 14, 14MA135 1415-

\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_

# GROUND \_\_\_\_\_\_\_(continued)

I allege that my state court conviction and/or sentence are unconstitutional, in violation of my LAMEDIA DE CAROLES, based on these facts: JOULD BE Allowso to DEVISO MY . A letter of 1155 From SETESTIMON'Y AND BODODS ON MY HST ) MY OVER THE PIED DEAL 16 DETERMINED FIZZY A PSI, 5HOULD IT DOLLEG I DECLED DANIEL HADTINEZ .D.A.VIHO PEA GOVERDAL FAILUDES TO ADDEPOS. HNASSATISTIED DUESCHIUAN ACLESTUD 20 H WAS ADDID DEADS DUI'S STATES THENCE MIGH

# GROUND 3 (continued)

б

WISTHAT EVEN TEGAL WITH ANTHE HIDE NADIZATIVE IN stalloo M 12 MARTINEZ

#### **DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY**

I, the undersigned, understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this declaration will subject me to penalties of perjury.

I declare, under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the above and/or foregoing information is accurate, correct and true to the best of my knowledge, executed within the terms of <sup>1</sup>NRS 171,102 and <sup>2</sup>NRS 208.165. See <sup>3</sup>28 U.S.C. 1746 and 18 U.S.C. 1621.

a Department of Corrections ID Number

(Added to NRS by 1985, 1643)

§1746. Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury

Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, ooth, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:

(1) If executed without the United States: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)".

(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date).(Signature)". (Added Pub. L. 94–550, §1(a), Oct. 18, 1976, 90 Stat. 2534.)

PRIOR PROVISIONS

A prior section 1746 was renumbered section 1745 of this title.

#### § 1621. Perjury generally

(1) having taken an oath before a competent tribunal, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, or certify truly, or that any written testimony, declaration, deposition, or certificate by him subscribed, is true, willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe

(2) in any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, willfully subscribes as true any material matter which he does not believe to be true; is guilty of perjury and shall, except as otherwise expressly provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 773; Pub. L. 88–619,§ 1, Oct. 3, 1964, 78 Stat. 995; Pub. L. 94–550, § 2,Oct. 18, 1976, 90 Stat. 2534; Pub. L. 103-322, title

XXXIII, § 330016(1)(I), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat.2147.)

<sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102 Complaint defined; oath or declaration required. The complaint is a written statement of the essential facts constituting the public offense charged. It must be made upon:

<sup>1.</sup> Oath before a magistrate or a notary public; or

Declaration which is made subject to the penalty for perjury. (Added to NRS by 1967, 1400; A 1969, 387; 1983, 446)

<sup>2</sup> NRS 208.255 Execution of instrument by prisoner. A prisoner may execute any instrument by signing his or her name immediately following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he or she had acknowledged it or sworn to its truth before a person authorized to administer oaths. As used in this this section, "prisoner" means a person confined in any jail or prison, or any facility for the detention of juvenile offenders, in this state.

<sup>3 28</sup> U.S.C.

# FILEL) FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In The Judicial District Court of the Story of	JUL 1 7 2020  Nye County Clerk  tate of Ne <del>vada Shutto</del> Deputy
	ne No: <u>CP8978</u> ot No.:
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF	MOTION
It is requested that the Motion for  MOTION TO APPOINT COUNCE!	·
which was filed on the $\frac{2}{2}$ day of $\frac{2}{2}$ in to the Court for decision.	the above-entitled matter be submitted
The undersigned certifies that a copy of this request has loaded this that a copy of this request has loaded this day of an arrangement of the copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this request has loaded this day of a copy of this copy of this day of a copy of this	peen mailed to all counsel of record.
Sig	spectfully submitted nature DATA AUSTIA nt Name

#### DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I, the undersigned, understand that a false statement or answer to any question in this declaration will subject me to penalties of perjury.

I declare, under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the above and/or foregoing information is accurate, correct and true to the best of my knowledge, executed within the terms of  $^{1}NRS$  171.102 and  $^{2}NRS$  208.165. See  $^{3}$ 28 U.S.C. 1746 and 18 U.S.C. 1621.

Dated this

day of <

, 2020

Signature

Nevada Department of Corrections ID #

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NRS 171.102

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NRS 208.165

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C.

<sup>§1746.</sup> Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury 18 U.S.C.

<sup>§ 1621.</sup> Perjury generally



-	FILED	
FIFTHI	JDICIAL DIST	RICT

JUL 8 1 2020

Case No. CR 8978 Dept. 2P

Nya County Clark

# IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE

CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN,

Petitioner,

VS

ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL

STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondents.

On July 17, 2020, the Court received a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and a Motion for the Appointment of Counsel in the above matter.

After review of the papers and pleadings on file, the Court finds it appropriate to appoint counsel to assist Petitioner with her Petitioner for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

Petitioner's new counsel is to review Petitioner's Writ and file supplemental points and authorities clarifying the Petitioner's arguments for the Court's consideration. Good cause appearing

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that DAVID H. NEELY, III, Esq. shall be appointed to represent the Petitioner in the post-conviction matter

DATED this 3/2 day of July, 2020

District Court Judge

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



#### **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 3/day of July, 2020, he mailed copies

of the foregoing Order Appointing Counsel to the following:

**CRYSTAL AUSTIN #93718** Florence Mcclure Women's Correctional Center 4370 Smiley Road Las Vegas, NV 89115

DAVID H. NEELY, III, ESQ. 3520 E TROPICANA, SUITE D-1 LAS VEGAS, NV 89121 (Hand Delivered)

NYE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY (Hand Delivered)

Jared K. Lam, Esq.

Law Clerk to Judge Robert W. Lane

#### **AFFIRMATION**

The undersigned hereby affirms that this Court Order does not contain the social security number of any person.

Jared K. Lam, Esq.

Law Clerk to Judge Robert W. Lane

		FILED
1	AF	PPL FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	NAM	DYGTAL AUGTIN JUL 3 1 2020
3	301	Nye County Clerk
4	1	Deputy  NOVELOCKS, NV ESTILLS  Deputy
5	CIT	FY, STATE, ZIP CODE
6		LEPHONE
7	!N	PROPER PERSON
8		
9		DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
10		
11	2	STATE OF NOVADA,
12		Plaintiff, ) vs. Case No.: <u>C26970</u>
13		COYSTAL Y. AUSTIN) Dept. No.: 2
14	Ш	)
15	-    -	Defendant)
16		ORDER TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
17		(Filing Fees/Service Only)
18		Upon consideration of
10	- 11	Pauperis and it appearing that there is not sufficient income, property, or resources with
2	0	which to maintain the action and good cause appearing therefore:
2		IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
2	- 11	1. That,, shall be permitted to proceed In
2	3	Forma Pauperis with this action as permitted by NRS 12.015.
2	4	2. That shall proceed without the prepayment costs or
2	.5	fees or the necessity of giving security, and the Clerk of the Court may file or issue any
2	26	necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.
2	27	3. That the Sheriff or other appropriate officer within this State shall make
,	28	personal service of any necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.
		ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
		© Clark County Civil Resource Center  1  u:\CRC\fee_waiver\packet_8\ordfeewaiver_0501.wpx

1		4. That if the,, prevails in this	
	ac	ction, the Court shall enter an Order pursuant to NRS 12.015 requiring the opposing	
2	pa	arty to pay into the court, within five (5) days, the costs which would have been	
3	in	curred by the prevailing party, and those costs must then be paid as provided by law.	
4		IT IS HEREBY ORDERD that 's request to waive fees	
5	a	nd costs is <b>DENIED</b> for the following reason:	
6		A The Party is not indigent.	
7		B Other:	! !
8	_		}
9		215	1
10		DATED this 315th day of Jry 2010	
11			
12		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
13			
14	F	Respectfully submitted by:	
15		- 1 Or Cach	
16	- 11 -	Cintallia 2	
!7		Signature CRYSTALY, AUSTN	
18		43705MILEY 20	
19		LASIELAS NU 251115	
20	)	CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	
2	1	IN PROPER PERSON	
22	2		
2.	3		
24	4		
2.	5		
2	6		
2			
	8		
170			
			_
		© Clark County Civil Resource Center 2  ALL RIGHTS RESERV  U:\CRC\fee_waiver\packet_8\ordfeewaiver_0501.v	wp

Case No. CR-8978
Dept. No. 2

DAVID H. NEELY III
Nevada Bar No. 003891
3520 E. Tropicana Ave., #D-1

(702) 565-0716

Las Vegas, NV 89121

Attorney for Petitioner



OCT 2 3 2020

Nye County Clerk
Sarah Westfall Deputy

6

1

2

3

4

5

7 IN 1

vs.

9 6

10

11

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

26

25

27 28 IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE

CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN,
Petitioner,

PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF POST-CONVICTION WRIT

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Petitioner, CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, by and through her Attorney, DAVID H. NEELY III, Esq., hereby files the following Supplemental Points and Authorities in support of her Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), pursuant to NRS 34.750, and in support of her request for an Evidentiary Hearing pursuant to NRS 34.770 in the above captioned matter. The grounds herein are incorporated with the original Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

#### 1. FACTS

On August 7, 2017, an Bind-over Order was filed in the District Court. On August 15, 2017, an Information was filed in the District Court. On December 11, 2017, a Hearing was held in the District Court.

On January 8, 2018, a Hearing was held in the District Court. On January 29, 2018, a Hearing was held in the District

Court. On March 15, 2018, a Order On Stipulation For Continuance was filed in the District Court. On March 15, a Sipulation For Continuance was filed in the District Court. On March 15, 2018, an Order For Competency Evaluations was filed in the District Court. On May 14, a Hearing was held Re Competency Evaluation in the District Court. On June 18, 2018, an Order For Third Competency Evaluation was filed in the District Court. On July 16, 2018, an Order For Competency Evaluation was filed in the District Court. On July 16, 2018, a Status Check was held in the District Court. On August 27, 2018, a Status Check was held in the District Court. On August 31, 2018, an Order Setting Jury Trial was filed in the District Court. On September 19, 2018, a State's Notice of Expert Witness(es) was filed in the District Court. On September 19, 2018, a Request For Disclosure was filed in the District Court. On September 19, 2018, a Notice Of Witnesses was filed in the District Court. On September 19, 2018, a Request To Admit Declarations At Trial was filed in the District Court. On December 3, 2018, a Calendar Call was held in District Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On January 14, 2019, a Hearing was held in District Court.
On February 11, 2019, a status Check was held in District Court.
On May 24, 2019, a Motion To Exclude Blood Test Results was filed in the District Court. On May 24, 2019, a Motion To Compel
Production Of Discovery And Brady Material was filed in the
District Court. On June 11, 2019, a Opposition To Motion Exclude
Blood Results was filed in the District Court. On June 20, 2019, a Court Order was filed in the District Court. On June 24, 2019, a Calendar Call was held in District Court. On June 25, 2019, a

Venire was filed in the District Court. On July 15, 2019, an Arraignment was held in District Court. On July 15, 2019, a Guilty Plea Agreement was filed in the District Court. On October 28, 2019, a Sentencing Hearing was held in District Court. On December 2, 2019, a Hearing was held in the District Court.

On January 13, 2020, a Sentencing Hearing was held in the District Court. On January 13, 2020 a Motion To Reconsider Sentence was filed in the District Court. On January 14, 2020, a Judgment of Conviction was filed in the District Court. On January 23, 2020, a Opposition To Motion To Reconsider Sentence was filed in the District Court. On February 24, 2020, a Motion To Reconsider Sentence was held in the District Court. On February 26, 2020, an Order was filed in the District Court. On June 8, 2020, a Motion To Withdraw Counsel was filed in the District Court. On June 10, 2020, an Order To Withdraw Counsel was filed in the District Court. On July 17, 2020, a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) was filed in the District Court. On July 31, 2020, an Order Appointing Counsel was filed in the District Court. On July 31, 2020, an Order Appointing Counsel was filed in the District Court. On July 31, 2020, an Order Appointing Counsel was filed in the District Court appointing David H. Neely III, Esq., as Counsel in the above-entitled matter.

# 2. STANDARD UPON REVIEW OF PETITION

NRS 34.770 sets forth the Standard for this Court's review of the instant Petition and supporting documentation. NRS 34.770 states:

1. The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer and all supporting documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required. A petitioner must not be discharged or committed to the custody of a person other than the respondent unless an evidentiary hearing is held.

2. If the judge or justice determines that the petitioner is not entitled to relief and an evidentiary hearing is not required, he shall dismiss the petition without a hearing.

3. If the judge or justice determines that an evidentiary hearing is required, he shall grant the writ and shall set a date for the hearing.

Where as here, the Petition sets forth specific allegations in the Petition or accompanying brief which if true, would entitle the petitioner to an evidentiary hearing unless those claims are repelled by the record. Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 686 P.2d 222, (1984); Marshall v. State, 110 Nev 1328, 885 P.2d 603 (1994). As stated in Drake v. State, 108 Nev. 523, 836 P.2d 52 (1992):

The question in this case is not whether appellant proved his counsel was ineffective, but whether appellant made allegations which entitled him to an evidentiary hearing. See Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 686 P.2d 222 (1984); Grondin v. State, 97 Nev. 454, 634 P.2d 456 (1981). [emphasis added]

# 3. INEFFECTIVENESS OF COUNSEL UNDER STRICKLAND

To state a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel that is sufficient to invalidate a judgment of conviction, a defendant must demonstrate that counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and that counsel's errors were so severe that they rendered the jury's verdict unreliable. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984); Warden v. Lyons, 100 Nev 430, 683 P.2d 504 (1984), cert. denied, 471 U.S. 1004 (1985)

In <u>Strickland v. Washington</u>, 466 U.S.668, 692, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 2067, 8L.Ed.2d 674 (1984) the United States Supreme Court reaffirmed the "Actual or constructive denial of the assistance of counsel altogether is legally presumed to result in

prejudice". The Supreme Court further reaffirmed this ruling in Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 109 S.Ct. 346, 102 L.Ed.2d 300, (1988).

Counsel must adequately prepare the case at all levels, including preliminary matters in Justice Court. <u>Sanborn v.</u> <u>State</u>, 107 Nev. 856, 822 P.2d 11 (1991).

Here, as in <u>Marshall v. State</u>, 110 Nev. 1328, 885 P.2d 603 (1994), the Petitioner alleged acts which, if true, entitle him to relief as ineffective assistance of counsel.

# 4. COUNSEL'S CONSTITUTIONALLY DEFECTIVE PERFORMANCE AFFECTED THE OUTCOME OF THE PLEA PROCESS.

In <u>United States V. Arvantis</u>, 902 F.2d 489, 494-495 (7th Cir. III. 1990), the Supreme Court stated:

To establish prejudice in the guilty plea context, a defendant must show that 'counsel's constitutionally defective performance affected the outcome of the plea process. In other words, the defendant must show that there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, the defendant would not have pleaded guilty and would have insisted on going to trial'. Hill v. Lockhart, 474 U.S. 59, 106 S. Ct. 366, 88 L.Ed. 2d 203 (1985).

The United States Supreme Court in <u>Hill</u>, 474 U.S. 58, stated that, "the two part <u>Strickland v. Washington</u> test applies to challenges to guilty pleas on ineffective assistance of counsel. In the context of guilty pleas, the first half of the <u>Strickland v. Washington</u> test is nothing more than a restatement of the standard of attorney competence already set forth... The second, or prejudice requirement, on the other hand, focuses on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

whether counsel's constitutionally ineffective performance affected the outcome of the plea process. In other words, in order to satisfy the prejudice requirement, the defendant must show that there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, he would have insisted on going to trial.

In many guilty plea cases, the "prejudice" inquiry will closely resemble the inquiry engaged in by courts reviewing ineffective-assistance challenges to convictions obtained through a trial. For example, where the alleged error of counsel is a failure to investigate or discover potentially exculpatory evidence, the determination whether the error "prejudiced" the defendant by causing him to plead guilty rather than go to trial will depend on the likelihood that discovery of the evidence would have led counsel to change his recommendation as to the plea. This assessment, in turn, will depend in large part on a prediction whether the evidence likely would have changed the outcome of the trial. Hill, 474 U.S. 59.

When a Petitioner alleges ineffective assistance of counsel, he must establish the factual allegations which form the basis for his claim of ineffective assistance by a preponderance of the evidence. Next, as stated in <u>Stricklan</u>d, the petitioner must establish that those facts show counsel's performance fell below a standard of objective reasonableness, and finally the petitioner must establish prejudice by showing a reasonable that, but for counsel's deficient performance, the outcome would have been different. Means v. State of Nevada, 120 Nev. 1001, 103 P.3d 25 (2004).

In <u>Hodges v. State</u>, 119 Nev. 479, 482 (Nev. 2003), the

6

Nevada Supreme Court stated:

NRS 34.810(1)(a) provides that a court shall dismiss a post-conviction habeas petition challenging a conviction based on a guilty plea unless the petition alleges 'that the plea was involuntary or unknowingly entered without effective assistance of counsel.' A petitioner is entitled to an evidentiary hearing only if he supports his claims with specific allegations that if true would entitle him to relief. The petitioner is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing are belied or repelled by the record.

Petitioner alleges that her attorney has fallen below that standard in the following three (3) instances which if, taken on their own may not be enough to render the Petitioner's guilty plea unreliable but when taken together, this attorney believes, and I hope this Court agrees, that taken together, the Petitioner's guilty plea is unreliable. The three (3) instances are as follows:

1. TRIAL COUNSEL FAILED TO INFORM THE COURT THAT THE PSI USED AT HER SENTENCING CONTAINED ERRORS WHICH RESULTED IN A LONGER SENTENCE IMPOSED UPON PETITIONER.

In the Supreme Court Case of <u>Sanborn v. State</u>, 107 Nev. 399, 812 P.2d 1279, 1283, the Court held:

Focusing on counsel's performance as a whole, and with due regard for the strong presumption of effective assistance accorded counsel by this court and > Strickland, we hold that Sanborn's representation indeed fell below an objective standard of reasonableness. Trial counsel did not adequately perform pretrial investigation, failed to pursue evidence supportive of a claim of self-defense, and failed to explore allegations of the victim's propensity towards violence. Thus, he "was not functioning as the 'counsel' guaranteed the defendant by the Sixth Amendment." > Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687, 104 S.Ct. at 2064.

In Petitioner's own words, "Ineffective Counsel. I withdraw

Daniel Martinez due to cumulative errors on my PSI on my plea deal. The Justice Court Judge Sullivan would not allow me to pay tickets(It was put on plea deal) However, it was added to my PSI as unpaid tickets. I had one failure to appear. I brought a doctors note which Judge Sullivan accepted and that was added to my PSI stated I did not comply, 3 years and 7 months of random urine analysis, which I never was dirty or did not pay. DA Vitto added a 2012 DUI that did not exist. He stated 2009, 2012. 2012 were DUI's. I had 2 DUI's in 2008. Both DUI's were to be through the Diversion Program. I was an Honorable Discharge Oct. 2012 and Dec. 2012 was the conclusion of the Nye County case since I completed the Program."

1.8

"The word "altercation" keeps me from doing house arrest on the 305 program, 185 program. I never was allowed to review the PNP with my attorney. I did not know about the simple battery. I was told to squash your 2 traffic tickets the malicious prosecution for telling the accounts of an event at Saddle West to the best of my knowledge was going to bite me I had to sign the plea deal. So I did. Unknown to me the "simple battery" was hidden in there to be dropped. It was dropped. But, doing 4 years with the word "altercation" being the nail in my coffin."

"I was never given the report to review for errors. I received the report on 1/13/2020 as I was being handcuffed. I reviewed it noticed many errors. I contacted Mr. Martinez. He said no errors. You do not like it fire me. So I fired him."

"It states I would be allowed to review my PSI with my attorney. I was not allowed. A letter of lies from Mrs. Cox, false testimony and errors on my PSI. Resulted in my over the

plea deal agreement. If my life is determined from a PSI. Should it not be correct. I asked Danial Martinez. I wrote to him on 2 occasions. Sent me an away letter stating it was right and I should fire him. So I did.":

Trial Counsel failed to adequately prepare for Sentencing and that failure caused his client to receive a more severe sentence than what was agreed to in the Guilty Plea Agreement.

Trial Counsel had a duty to inform the Trial Court that the PSI contained errors and have them corrected before going forward at the Sentencing.

Counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and their errors were so severe that it caused their client to plead guilty in the instant case in violation of <a href="STRICKLAND">STRICKLAND</a> since there was a reasonable probability that she would have chosen to go trial if she had known that Trial Counsel would fail to adequately prepare fo sentencing.

2. TRIAL COUNSEL FAILURE TO RETAIN AN INVESTIGATOR PRIOR TO THE ENTRY OF THE GUILTY PLEA TO INVESTIGATE PETITIONER'S CASE AND TO INTERVIEW WITNESSES, WAS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO STRICKLAND.

Trial Counsel failed to request fees for appointment of an investigator to investigate the facts and circumstances that led to the Petitioner's arrest on Count 1:DUI Alcohol With Prior Felony Conviction, in violation of NRS 484C.110.1D. An investigator would been an invaluable resource to interview witnesses who saw the alleged altercation between the Petitioner and Ms. Cox prior to her arrest and the Petitioner's driving pattern at the time of the alleged incident. In addition, an

 investigation of the time of her alleged driving prior to the blood draw would have been an invaluable resource in preparation of the Motion to Exclude the Blood Results.

Finally, an investigator would have assisted Trial Counsel into the allegations that as a result of the accident, Ms. Cox's mother suffered an injury that caused her death.

Trial Counsel did not adequately perform pretrial investigation pursuant to <u>Sanborn v. State</u>, 107 Nev. 399, 812 P. 2d 1279, 1283. Thus, he was "not functioning as the 'counsel' guaranteed the defendant by the Sixth Amendment." <u>Strickland</u>, 466 U.S. at 687, 104 S. Ct. at 2064.

Counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and their errors were so severe that it caused their client to plead guilty in violation of <u>Strickland</u> since there was a reasonable probability that she would have chosen to go to trial if a proper investigation had been conducted.

3. TRIAL COUNSEL FAILED TO IMPEACH THE TESTIMONY OF THE VICTIM WITNESS, MS. COX, AT THE SENTENCING AND AS A RESULT PETITIONER RECEIVED A HARSHER SENTENCE DUE TO HIS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO STRICKLAND.

In Petitioner's own words, "I signed a 2 to 5 plea deal. However, I was double sentenced due to Ns. Cox's lies. If you read through the testimony she (Ms. Cox) said I was out 4 years. I should be sentenced 4 years. This was all done before Mr. Martinez and the DA could Ms. Cox on her over dramatization of things that did not exist."

"Ms Cox was allowed Oct. 28, 2019 to read a "story" of her unfactual accounts. To which Judge Lane allowed to be submitted

to PNP. Ms. Cox was trying to get restitution and blame me for the death of her mother all the while not involved in the wreck."

"Ms. Cox lied under oath. I was maliciously prosecuted by Ms. Cox. Ms. Cox submitted receipts for 4 new tires. Her mother's medical proved no injuries, her service dog- no proof no receipts nor were they listed as being involved. Ms. Cox's mother wrote a statement submitted 1 year later which claimed I had open container on the floorboard. A complete lie. Malicious prosecution. That's what Nye County charged me with when making a police statement to the best of my knowledge."

"Ms. Cox stalled my case for 4 years trying to pin the blame of her mother's death, which was I'm sure natural causes 2 years after June 1, 2106."

"DA Vitto told Ms Cox she was stretching the truth. But the "story" was already incorporated in my report. PNP Pahrump started it, Clark County finished my report."

"Ms Cox is a fraud and a liar. Ms. Cox submitted receipts for 4 new tires? She claims a ditch. She claims trees, her car was a sports car type (4 door Kia Optima) is no sports car. Not involved in a wreck. Merely a Golddigger."

"With Ms. Cox perjuring herself, malicious prosecuting me with her personal vendetta of me, stalking my residence all last year, manipulation of the truth, the letter of lies, her trying to blame a natural COD of her mother on me. Trying to snow over the Judge controlling the courtroom telling the judge what my sentence should be. Meanwhile, Mr. Martinez let her do whatever with no objection. Told me all victims have a right to speak. I said she is no victim, Mr Martinez told me to shut up."

"The DA is the only one who basically called Ms. Cox a liar. But damage was done."

Trial Counsel had a duty to defend his client at the Sentencing by objecting to testimony that included falsehoods from Ms. Cox, the victim witness. Thus, he was "not functioning as the 'counsel' guaranteed the defendant by the Sixth Amendment," Strickland, 466 U.S. at 87, 104 S. Ct. at 2064.

Counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and their errors were so severe that it caused their client to plead guilty in violation of Strickland since there was a reasonable probability that she would have chosen to go to trial if she knew she would be sentenced without her Trial Counsel defending her by objecting to falsehoods uttered by the victim witness.

#### CONCLUSION

As stated above, all of the above, and some standing alone, add up to ineffective assistance of counsel which makes the Petitioner's guilty plea unreliable in violation of <u>Strickland</u>. Counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and their errors were so severe that it caused their client to plead guilty in violation of <u>Strickland</u> since there was a reasonable probability that she would have gone to trial.

I ask this Court to set an evidentiary hearing in this matter, and I believe that after said hearing, this Court will order a new trial.

SUBMITTED this \_\_\_\_ day of October, 2020.

DAVID H. NEELY III
Nev. Bar No. 003891
3520 E. Tropicana Ave., #D-1

Las Vegas, NV 89121 Attorney for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an agent or employee of the above attorney, and that on the 23 day of October, 2020, I served the above and foregoing PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF POST-CONVICTION WRIT by depositing a copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to the following persons or parties at their last known addresses as indicated below:

Kirk Vitto, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney P.O. Box 39 Pahrump, NV 89041

EMPLOYEE OR AGENT

OCT 28 2020

Case No. CR 8978 Dept. 2P

Nye County Clerk Juanita Torres Deputy

# IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ESMERALDA

CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN,

Petitioner,

ORDER FOR STATE RESPONSE

VS.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

On July 17, 2020, a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and a Motion for the Appointment of Counsel was filed. Counsel was appointed and filed a Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Post-Conviction Writ on October 23, 2020. The Court has reviewed the petition and has determined that a response would assist the Court in determining whether petitioner is illegally imprisoned and restrained of petitioner's liberty. Respondent shall, within 45 days after the date of this order, answer or otherwise respond to the petition and file a return in accordance with the provisions of NRS 34.360 to 34.830, inclusive.

DATED this 28 day of October, 2020

District Court Judge

#### CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 28 day of October, 2020, he mailed copies of the foregoing Order Appointing Counsel to the following:

CRYSTAL AUSTIN #93718
Florence Mcclure Women's Correctional Center
4370 Smiley Road
Las Vegas, NV 89115

DAVID H. NEELY, III, ESQ. 3520 E TROPICANA, SUITE D-1 LAS VEGAS, NV 89121 (Hand Delivered)

NYE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY (Hand Delivered)

Fared K. Lam, Esq.

Law Clerk to Judge Robert W. Lane

#### <u>AFFIRMATION</u>

The undersigned hereby affirms that this Court Order does not contain the social security number of any person.

Jared K. Lam, Esq.

Law Clerk to Judge Robert W. Lane

FIFTH HIDICIAL DISTRICT DEC\_1 6 2020 Nye County Clerk Deputy

IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ORDER TO CONTINUE

Upon stipulation of the parties herein, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above entitled matter be, and the same

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

12-14-2020

..2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FILED

ARABIA, Nye County District Attorney, by JOHN J. FRIEL, JR., Deputy District Attorney, and files the instant Motion to Dismiss and Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

The Petitioner Crystal Austin entered a Guilty Plea Agreement to Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol, with Prior Felony DUI.

Both parties agreed to recommend a sentence of 24-60 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections.

The Guilty Plea Agreement stated that Austin shall be imprisoned for not less than 2 years but not more than 15 years. The Guilty Plea Agreement also stated that Austin was not guaranteed any particular sentence, and that Austin knew her sentence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would be determined by the Court, regardless of any recommendations by the attorneys. Austin was ultimately sentenced to a maximum term of 15 years and a minimum of 4 years.

Petitioner alleges three instances of ineffective counsel.

The first claim is that trial counsel failed to inform the court of errors in the presentence report that resulted in a longer sentence for Austin.

The second claim is that trial counsel failed to retain an investigator to investigate the case and interview witnesses.

The third claim is that trial counsel failed to impeach the victim witness at sentencing, resulting in a harsher sentence.

The first and third claims must be disregarded because they occurred after the plea was entered.

The only claim left is that trial counsel failed to retain an investigator that could of prepared counsel for the Motion to Exclude Blood Test Results. Petition offers no specific facts that hiring an investigator could have changed the outcome of the Motion. Petitioner merely concludes that the investigator would have been an invaluable resource.

The court order denying that motion concluded the dispatcher logs showed the time of the accident was within two hours of the relevant blood draw.

Despite many pages of case law, Petitioner has failed to support her claims with any specific allegations that if true would entitle her to relief, none.

Pursuant to Gonzales v. State, 136 Nev, Advanced Opinion 60 (2020). NRS 34.810(1)(a) requires a district court to dismiss a petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging the validity of a judgement of conviction arising from a plea of guilty or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

guilty but mentally ill, unless it is based on allegations that her plea was not voluntarily and knowingly entered, or it was entered without the effective assistance of counsel. In this opinion, we outline the types of ineffective-assistance claims that are permitted by NRS 34.810(1)(a) and conclude that the plain language of the statute permits only ineffective-assistance claims that challenge the validity of the guilty plea. statute excludes claims of ineffective assistance that do not allege a deficiency affecting the validity of the guilty plea, as well as claims that allege deficiencies that occur only after the entry of the guilty plea, such as those related to sentencing.

NRS 34.810(1) states:

The court shall dismiss a petition if the court determines that:

(a) The petitioner's conviction was upon a plea of guilty or quilty but mentally ill and the petition is not based upon an allegation that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel.

The plain language of NRS 34.810(1)(a), as a whole, limits cognizable claims to two types, both of which challenge the validity of the guilty plea. See Harris v. State, 130 Nev. 435, 438-39, 329 P.3d 619, 621-22 (2014) (citing NRS 34.810(1)(a) for the proposition that "the validity of a guilty plea may be challenged in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus" and the proposition that the issues that may be raised are limited). The first acceptable challenge is a direct attack against the validity of a guilty plea on the basis that the plea was not voluntarily or knowingly entered. See Bradshaw v. Stumpf, 545 U.S. 175, 183 (2005) ("A guilty plea operates as a waiver of important rights, and is valid only if done voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, 'with sufficient awareness of the relevant circumstances and likely consequences."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(quoting Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742, 748 (1970))); State v Freese 116 Nev. 1097, 1105, 13 P.3d 442, 448 (2000) ("This court will not invalidate a plea as long as . . . the plea was knowingly and voluntarily made . . . "). The second acceptable challenge is an indirect attack against the validity of a guilty plea on the basis that "the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel."

By its plain meaning, "the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel" permits a petitioner to raise claims of ineffective assistance of counsel that are related to the entry of the plea. This means that not all claims of ineffective assistance may be raised. Rather, to be cognizable, the ineffective assistance claims that may be raised are limited to those that challenge the validity of the guilty plea. See Nollette v. State, 118 Nev. 341, 348-49, 46 P3d 87, 92 (2002) ("A defendant who pleads guilty upon the advice of counsel may attack the validity of the guilty plea by showing that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution."). Any ineffective assistance of counsel claims relating to events that do not affect the validity of the guilty plea fall outside the scope of claims permitted.

To prove ineffective assistance of counsel, a petitioner must demonstrate counsel's performance was deficient in that it fell below an objective standard of reasonableness and resulting prejudice such that there is a reasonable probability, but for counsel's errors, the outcome of the proceedings would have been different. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 687-88 (1984); Warden v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 432-33, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984) (adopting the test in Strickland). To demonstrate prejudice regarding the decision to enter a guilty plea, a petitioner must

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

demonstrate a reasonable probability, but for counsel's errors, petitioner would not have pleaded guilty and would have insisted on going to trial. Hill v. Lockhart, 474 U.S. 52, 58-59 (1985); Kirksey v. State, 112 Nev. 980, 988, 923 P.2d 1102, 1107 (1996). Both components of the inquiry must be shown, Strickland, 466 U.S. at 697, and the petitioner must demonstrate the underlying facts by a preponderance of the evidence, Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004). deference to the district court's factual findings if supported by substantial evidence and not clearly erroneous but review the court's application of the law to those facts de novo. Lader v. Warden, 121 Nev. 682, 686, 120 P.3d 1164, 1166 (2005). To warrant an evidentiary hearing, a petitioner must raise claims supported by specific allegations not belied by the record, and if true, would entitle him to relief. See Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502-3, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984).

#### CONCLUSION

Petitioner has failed to raise claims supported by specific allegations not belied by the record, and if true, would entitle her to relief. Therefore the State respectfully requests that this Court deny the Petitioner any relief, that an evidentiary hearing is not required, and the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be dismissed.

DATED this | day of January 2021.

CHRIS ARABIA NYE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

JOHN U. FRIEL, JR.

Deputy District Attorney

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Ronald D. Kipp, Executive Legal Secretary III, Office of the Nye County

District Attorney, P.O. Box 593, Tonopah, Nevada 89049, do hereby certify that I have served the following:

MOTION TO DISMISS AND ANSWER TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION) in 5JDC Case No(s). CR8978 CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN v. STATE OF NEVADA

upon said Defendant(s) herein by mailing a true and correct copy postage prepaid thereof on January 11, 2021 to the following:

DAVID H. NEELY, ESQ. 3520 E. TROPICANA AVENUE SUITE D1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89121

Ronald D. Kipp

Case No. CR-8978 Dept. No. 2

DAVID H. NEELY III Esq. Nevada Bar No. 003891 3520 East Tropicana Ave., Ste. D-1 Las Vegas, NV 89121 (702) 565-0716

ال احداد ال FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Nive County Clerk Terri PembertonDeputy

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

18 19

1.7

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE

CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN. PETITIONER'S REPLY TO MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Petitioner. (POST-CONVICTION)

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA.

Attorney for Petitioner

Respondents.

Petitioner, CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, by and through her attorney, DAVID H. NEELY III, Esq., hereby files the following Petitioner's Reply To Motion To Dismiss Petition for Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), pursuant to NRS 34.750, and in support of her request for an Evidentiary Hearing pursuant to NRS 34.770 in the above captioned matter. The grounds herein are incorporated with the original Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

#### REPLY

Petitioner, CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, denies that this Court must dismiss Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). The original Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and the Supplemental Points and Authorities contain instances of ineffective assistance of counsel in violation of Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 685-86

(1984). These instances of ineffective assistance of counsel are deserving of an evidentiary hearing.

Trial Counsel failed to inform the Court that the PSI used at her sentencing contained errors which resulted in a longer sentence imposed upon Petitioner than should have been was ineffective assistance of counsel. As a result, she is doing much more time that she had agreed to in her Guilty Plea Agreement with the State of Nevada. Trial Counsel failed to retain an investigator prior to the entry of the guilty plea to investigate Petitioner's case and to interview witnesses prior to entry of plea and at her sentencing was ineffective assistance of counsel. Finally, Trial Counsel failed to impeach the testimony of the victim witness, Ms. Cox, at the Sentencing and as a result Petitioner received a harsher sentence due to his ineffective assistance of counsel.

Petitioner has legitimate claims of ineffective assistance of counsel pursuant to <a href="STRICKLAND">STRICKLAND</a> that deserve to be brought to the Court's attention. In response, we offer the following:

#### STANDARD UPON REVIEW OF PETITION

NRS 34.770 sets forth the Standard for this Court's review of the instant Petition and supporting documentation. NRS 34.770 states:

- 1. The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer and all supporting documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required. A petitioner must not be discharged or committed to the custody of a person other than the respondent unless an evidentiary hearing is held.
- 2. If the judge or justice determines that the petitioner is not entitled to relief and an evidentiary hearing is not required, he shall dismiss the petition without a hearing.

3. If the judge or justice determines that an evidentiary hearing is required, he shall grant the writ and shall set a date for the hearing.

Where as here, the Petition sets forth specific allegations in the Petition or accompanying brief which if true, would entitle the petitioner to an evidentiary hearing unless those claims are repelled by the record. Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 686 P.2d 222, (1984); Marshall v. State, 110 Nev 1328, 885 P.2d 603 (1994). As stated in Drake v. State, 108 Nev. 523, 836 P.2d 52 (1992):

The question in this case is not whether appellant proved his counsel was ineffective, but whether appellant made allegations which entitled him to an evidentiary hearing. See Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 686 P.2d 222 (1984); Grondin v. State, 97 Nev. 454, 634 P.2d 456 (1981). [emphasis added]

# INEFFECTIVENESS OF COUNSEL UNDER STRICKLAND

To state a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel that is sufficient to invalidate a judgment of conviction, a defendant must demonstrate that counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and that counsel's errors were so severe that they rendered the jury's verdict unreliable. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984); Warden v. Lyons, 100 Nev 430, 683 P.2d 504 (1984), cert. denied, 471 U.S. 1004 (1985).

In <u>Strickland v. Washington</u>, 466 U.S.668, 692, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 2067, 8L.Ed.2d 674 (1984) the United States Supreme Court reaffirmed the "Actual or constructive denial of the assistance of counsel altogether is legally presumed to result in prejudice". The Supreme Court further reaffirmed this ruling in <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75, 109 S.Ct. 346, 102 L.Ed.2d 300, (1988).

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In Nevada, to state a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel sufficient to invalidate a judgment of conviction based on a guilty plea, a defendant must demonstrate a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, a different outcome would have resulted. Hill v. Lockhart, 474 U.S. 52 (1985); Warden v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 683 P.2d 504 (1984), cert. Denied, 471 U.S. 1004 (1985). The facts of this case clearly demonstrate that a different outcome would have resulted if counsel had been effective.

Counsel must adequately prepare the case at all levels, including preliminary matters in Justice Court. Sanborn v. State, 107 Nev. 856, 822 P.2d 11 (1991).

An attorney must make reasonable investigation in preparation for trial, or make a reasonable decision not to investigate. Kirksey v. State, 112 Nev. 980, 923 P.2d 1102 (Nev. 1996).

Here, as in Marshall v. State, 110 Nev. 1328, 885 P.2d 603 (1994), the Petitioner alleged acts which, if true, entitle him to relief as ineffective assistance of counsel.

When a Petitioner alleges ineffective assistance of counsel, he must establish the factual allegations which form the basis for his claim of ineffective assistance by a preponderance of the evidence. Next, as stated in Strickland, the petitioner must establish that those facts show counsel's performance fell below a standard of objective reasonableness, and finally the petitioner must establish prejudice by showing a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's deficient performance, the outcome would have been different. Means v. State of Nevada, 120

Nev. 1001, 103 P.3d 25 (2004).

In the Supreme Court Case of <u>Sanborn v. State</u>, 107 Nev. 399, 812 P.2d 1279, 1283, the Court held:

Focusing on counsel's performance as a whole, and with due regard for the strong presumption of effective assistance accorded counsel by this court and > Strickland, we hold that Sanborn's representation indeed fell below an objective standard of reasonableness. Trial counsel did not adequately perform pretrial investigation, failed to pursue evidence supportive of a claim of self-defense, and failed to explore allegations of the victim's propensity towards violence. Thus, he "was not functioning as the 'counsel' guaranteed the defendant by the Sixth Amendment." > Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687, 104 S.Ct. at 2064.

Therefore, Petitioner's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel are not belied by the record and should not be subject to summary dismissal. Petitioner's claims are not belied by the record, her plea was entered involuntarily and that plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel pursuant to <a href="Strickland">Strickland</a>. An Evidentiary Hearing is required in this matter.

Petitioner opposes State's request for dismissal of the Petition. Counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and their errors were so severe that it caused their client to plead guilty in the instant case in violation of <a href="Strickland">Strickland</a> since there was a reasonable probability that she would have chosen to go to trial.

#### CONCLUSION

In this matter, counsel's performance fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and that counsel's errors were so severe that it caused their client to plead guilty in the instant case in violation of <u>Strickland</u> since there was a reasonable probability that she would have chosen to go to trial if counsel was functioning as 'counsel'. Trial Counsel is not

functioning as 'counsel' as guaranteed by the 6th Amendment. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687, 104 S. Ct. at 2064.

I ask this Court to set an evidentiary hearing in this matter on Petitioner's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel pursuant to <a href="Strickland">Strickland</a>.

SUBMITTED this 16 day of February, 2021.

DAVID H. NEELY III
Nev. Bar No. 003891
3529 East Tropicana Ave.,
Ste. D-1
Las Vegas, NV 89121
Attorney for Petitioner

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an agent or employee of the above attorney, and that on the day of February, 2021, I served the above and foregoing PETITIONER'S REPLY TO MOTION TO DISMISS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) by depositing a copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to the following persons or parties at their last known addresses as indicated below:

Kirk Vitto, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney P.O. Box 39 Pahrump, NV 89041

EMPLOYEE OR AGENT

AUG - 4 2021

Case No. CR 8978 Dept. No. 2

v.

Brittani **Small**punty Clerk Deputy

# IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR NYE COUNTY

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent,

COURT ORDER

CRYSTAL YVONNE AUSTIN

Petitioner.

WHEREAS the Court has considered Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed pro-se on July 17, 2020, Petitioner's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Post-Conviction Writ filed by her appointed counsel, David H. Neely, III, Esq., on October 23, 2020, the State's Motion to Dismiss and Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed January 11, 2021, and the Petitioner's Reply to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on February 17, 2021. The Court issues the following findings and order:

#### I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On January 8, 2018, the Petitioner, CRYTAL YVONNE AUSTIN, was charged with one count of Driving Under the Influence With Prior Felony DUI conviction, a category 'B' felony, for an offense alleged to have been committed by the Petitioner on June 1, 2016. The allegations were that she caused an accident while operating a motor vehicle with a concentration of approximately 0.382 grams of alcohol



per 100 milliliters of blood. The State had previously convicted the Petitioner of Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol, 3rd Offense, in violation of NRS 484.379, a category 'B' felony, in Fifth Judicial District Court, case number CR5068; and/or Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol, Second Offense, in violation of NRS 484C.110, a misdemeanor, which was reduced from a felony pursuant to NRS 484C.340, in Fifth Judicial District Court, case number CR6341A;

- 2. On August 2, 2017, represented by counsel, David Rickert, Esq.,
  Petitioner unconditionally waived her right to a preliminary hearing in the Pahrump

  Justice Court with the understanding that in District court, the defendant would plead
  guilty to 1 count of Driving Under the Influence With Prior Felony DUI, a nonprobational category 'D' felony, with possible penalties of 2 15 years prison, and with a
  \$2,000 \$5,000 fine.
- 3. On August 7, 2017, a Bind-over Order was filed in the District Court. On August 15, 2017, an Information was filed. On December 11, 2017, a hearing was held in the District Court. On January 8, 2018, a hearing was held in the District Court. On January 29, 2018, a hearing was held in the District Court. On May 14, 2018 a hearing was held regarding competency evaluation. On June 18, 2018, an Order for Third Competency Evaluation was filed. On July 16, 2018, an Order for Competency Evaluation was filed. On July 16, 2018, a Status Check was held. On August 27, 2018, a Status Check was held and the Court deemed the Petitioner was competent. The Court set a trial date for January 2-4, 2019.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



- 4. On December 3, 2018, a Calendar Call was held and the trial date was continued at counsel's request. Status check hearings were held on January 14, 2019 and February 11, 2019. On May 24, 2019, a Motion to Exclude Blood Test Results was filed by the Defendant. On May 24, 2019, a Motion to Compel Production Of Discovery And Brady Material was filed. On June 11, 2019, a Opposition To Motion Exclude Blood Results was filed by the prosecution. On June 20, 2019, a Court Order was filed denying the Defendant's Motion to Suppress Blood Test Results.
- 5. On June 24, 2019, a Calendar Call was held. On July 15, 2019, an arraignment/change of plea hearing was held in District Court and a Guilty Plea Agreement was filed. The Court canvassed the Defendant, stated the offense to which the Defendant was charged and the possible sentence. Defendant entered a plea of guilty to the charge, waiving her constitutional rights to trial and appellate rights. The Court accepted the Defendant's guilty plea and set a sentencing hearing for September 30th, 2019.
- 6. On October 28, 2019, a Sentencing Hearing was held. Although no PSI report was prepared, the victim was sworn and presented a victim impact statement. The Sentencing was continued to December 2, 2019. At the December 2, 2019, hearing the matter was continued to January 13, 2020. On January 13, 2020, a Sentencing Hearing was held, and Defendant was sentenced to 48-120 months.
- 7. On January 13, 2020, a Motion to Reconsider Sentence was filed. On January 14, 2020, a Judgment of Conviction was filed, On January 23, 2020, a Opposition To Motion To Reconsider Sentence was filed. On February 24, 2020, a



hearing was held on the Motion To Reconsider Sentence and the Court took the matter under advisement. On February 26, 2020, the Court filed an Order denying the Motion to Reconsider Sentence.

8. On July 17, 2020, a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) was filed. On July 31, 2020, an Order Appointing Counsel was filed appointing David H. Neely III, Esq., as Counsel in the above-entitled matter. On October 23, 2020, Petitioner's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Post-Conviction Writ was filed. On January 11, 2021, a Motion to Dismiss and Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) was filed. On February 17, 2021, the Petitioner's Reply to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was filed.

#### II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

Petitioner argues that her Trial Counsel's performance fell below the standard of care in three instances: 1) Trial Counsel failed to inform the Court that the PSI used at her sentencing contained errors which resulted in a longer sentence imposed upon Petitioner; 2) Trial Counsel failed to retain an investigator prior to the entry of the guilty plea to investigate Petitioner's case and to interview witnesses; and 3) Trial Counsel failed to impeach the testimony of the victim witness, Ms. Cox, at the sentencing and as a result Petitioner received a harsher sentence due to his ineffective assistance of counsel.

The State argues that Petitioner's first and third claims must be dismissed pursuant to NRS 38.810(1)(a) as they do not pertain to Petitioner's guilty plea. As for Petitioner's second claim, the State argues that the claim is unsupported by specific facts of what an investigator would have found or how it would have changed the outcome.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# A. Dismissal Pursuant to NRS 38.810(1)(a)

NRS 34.810(1)(a) states that

The court shall dismiss a petition if the court determines that:

(a) The petitioner's conviction was upon a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill and the petition is not based upon an allegation that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel.

NRS 34.810(1)(a) requires a district court to dismiss a petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging the validity of a judgment of conviction arising from a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill, unless it is based on allegations that the plea was not voluntarily and knowingly entered, or it was entered without the effective assistance of counsel. Gonzales v. State, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 60, 476 P.3d 84, 90 (Nev. App. 2020). Gonzales outlines the types of ineffective-assistance claims that are permitted by NRS 34.810(1)(a) and concludes that the plain language of the statute permits only ineffectiveassistance claims that challenge the validity of the guilty plea. Id. Thus, the statute excludes claims of ineffective assistance that do not allege a deficiency affecting the validity of the guilty plea, as well as claims that allege deficiencies that occur only after the entry of the guilty plea, such as those related to sentencing. Id.

The plain language of NRS 34.810(1)(a), as a whole, limits cognizable claims to two types, both of which challenge the validity of the guilty plea. See Harris v. State, 130 Nev. 435, 438-39, 329 P.3d 619, 621-22 (2014) (citing NRS 34.810(1)(a) for the proposition that "the validity of a guilty plea may be challenged in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus" and the proposition that the issues that may be raised are limited).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By its plain meaning, "the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel" permits a petitioner to raise claims of ineffective assistance of counsel that are related to the entry of the plea. Gonzales, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 60, 476 P.3d at 87. This means that not all claims of ineffective assistance may be raised. Id. Rather, to be cognizable, the ineffective assistance claims that may be raised are limited to those that challenge the validity of the guilty plea. See Nollette v. State, 118 Nev. 341, 348-49, 46 P3d 87, 92 (2002) ("A defendant who pleads guilty upon the advice of counsel may attack the validity of the guilty plea by showing that he received ineffective assistance of counsel under the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution."). Any ineffective assistance of counsel claims relating to events that do not affect the validity of the guilty plea fall outside the scope of claims permitted.

#### Petitioner's First Ground of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

Petitioner's first ground argues ineffective assistance when her trial counsel failed to inform the Court that the PSI used at her sentencing contained errors which resulted in a longer sentence imposed upon Petitioner.

After review of the pleadings, the Court finds that this ground is not perceivably related to a challenge of entering the guilty plea and it must be dismissed pursuant to NRS 34.810(1)(a) and the logic of Gonzales.

#### ii. Petitioner's Third Ground of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

Petitioner's third ground argues that trial counsel failed to impeach the testimony of the victim witness, Ms. Cox, at the sentencing and as a result Petitioner received a harsher sentence due to his ineffective assistance of counsel.

6

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



After review of the pleadings, this Court finds that this ground is not perceivably related to a challenge of entering the guilty plea and it must be dismissed pursuant to NRS 34.810(1)(a) and the logic of Gonzales.

### B. Petitioner's Second Ground of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

Petitioner's second ground argues that trial counsel failed to retain an investigator prior to the entry of the guilty plea to investigate Petitioner's case and to interview witnesses.

The Ninth Circuit acknowledged in Bragg v. Galaza, 242 F.3d 1082, 1088 (9th Cir. 2001), a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to investigate requires proof of what the attorney would have discovered through further investigation before a petitioner can satisfy the Strickland standard. Bragg, at 1088.

To prove ineffective assistance of counsel, a petitioner must demonstrate counsel's performance was deficient in that it fell below an objective standard of reasonableness and resulting prejudice such that there is a reasonable probability, but for counsel's errors, the outcome of the proceedings would have been different. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 687-88 (1984); Warden v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 432-33, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984) (adopting the test in Strickland). An attorney must reasonably investigate in preparing for trial or reasonably decide not to. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 691; Kirksey v. State, 112 Nev. 980, 992, 923 P.2d 1102, 1110 (1996). To demonstrate prejudice regarding the decision to enter a guilty plea, a petitioner must demonstrate a reasonable probability, but for counsel's errors, petitioner would not have pleaded guilty and would have insisted on going to trial. Hill v. Lockhart, 474 U.S. 52, 58-59 (1985); Kirksey v. State, 112 Nev. 980,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

988, 923 P.2d 1102, 1107 (1996). Both components of the inquiry must be shown, Strickland, 466 U.S. at 697, and the petitioner must demonstrate the underlying facts by a preponderance of the evidence, Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004). To warrant an evidentiary hearing, a petitioner must raise claims supported by specific allegations not belied by the record, and if true, would entitle him to relief. See Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502-3, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984).

Petitioner's second argument appears to largely focus upon an investigator being an invaluable resource. Petitioner alleges that an investigator could have interviewed witnesses who saw the alleged altercation between the Petitioner and Ms. Cox prior to her arrest and the Petitioner's driving pattern at the time of the alleged incident; that an investigation of the time of her alleged driving prior to the blood draw would have been an invaluable resource in preparation of the Motion to Exclude the Blood Results; and that an investigator would have assisted Trial Counsel into the allegations that as a result of the accident, Ms. Cox's mother suffered an injury that caused her death.

Petitioner's arguments regarding the hiring of an investigator are bare, largely speculative, and she has not raised specific allegations as to what, if any, evidence that an investigator would have found that could have changed the outcome of the case.

Further, even if Counsel's actions fell below the objective standard of reasonableness, the Petitioner has not established that she suffered prejudice. While Petitioner claims an investigator would have been a valuable resource, nothing is offered as to how any discovered facts would have affected the outcome of the Motion to Exclude Blood Test Results. Further, to the extent that Petitioner concentrates on the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



reliability of Ms. Cox as a witness and an investigator would have Petitioner's recollection to be more accurate than Ms. Cox, the Petitioner plead to Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol, With Prior Felony DUI Conviction, which was supported by the record and the outcome of the Motion to Exclude Blood Test Results. The Motion itself did not turn upon Ms. Cox's testimony.

As such, because the Petitioner's claims are bare and she cannot show that she suffered prejudice, this claim must be dismissed.

#### III. CONCLUSION

Based upon the above discussion, it is appropriate to dismiss Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that in the above-entitled case, Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed on July 17, 2020, is DENIED.

DATED this 4 day of August, 2021.

District Court Judge

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 4 day of August, 2021, he mailed

copies of the foregoing COURT ORDER to the following:

CRYSTAL AUSTIN #93718
Florence Mcclure Women's Correctional Center
4370 Smiley Road
Las Vegas, NV 89115

DAVID H. NEELY, III, ESQ. 3520 E TROPICANA, SUITE D-1 LAS VEGAS, NV 89121 (Hand Delivered)

NYE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY (Hand Delivered)

Járed K. Lam, Esq.

Law Clark to Judge Robert W. Lane

## **AFFIRMATION**

The undersigned hereby affirms that this Court Order does not contain the social security number of any person.

Jared K/Lam, Esq.

Law Glerk to Judge Robert W. Lane

Case No. CR-8978 1 Dept. No. 2 2 FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT DAVID H. NEELY III Nevada State Bar No. 003891 3 AUG - 6 2021 3520 East Tropicana Ave., Ste. D-1 Las Vegas, NV 89121 4 Brittani Shilin County Clerk (702) 565-0716 Attorney for Petitioner 5 Deputy 6 IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE 7 STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE 8 CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, 9 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT Petitioner, 10 11 vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, 12 Respondent. 13 Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: 14 CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN. 15 Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or 2. 16 order appealed from: Hon. ROBERT LANE, Judge of the Fifth 17 Judicial District Court, Dept. 2. 18 Identify all parties to the proceedings in the district 19 3. court: CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, Petitioner, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, 20 21 Respondent. Identify all parties involved in this appeal: CRYSTAL Y. 22 AUSTIN, Appellant, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. 23 Set forth the name, law firm, address, and telephone 24 number of all counsel on appeal and identify the party or parties 25 whom they represent: David H. Neely III, Esq., 3520 East 26 Tropicana Ave., Ste. D-1, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89121 (702) 565-0716 27 represents Petitioner, CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN and Chris Arabia, 28

Esq., Nye County District Attorney, 101 Radar Rd, P.O. Box 593, Tonopah, Nevada, (775) 482-8166 represents Respondent, STATE OF NEVADA.

- 6. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court: Appointed counsel.
- 7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel in this appeal: Appointed counsel.
- 8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court Order granting such leave: Request to be filed, no order issued as yet.
- 9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court: Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) was filed on July 17,2020.

SUBMITTED this 6 day of August, 2021.

DAVID H. NEELY III (Nev. Bar No. 003891

3520 E. Tropicana, Ste. D-1

Las Vegas, NV 89121

Attorney for Petitioner

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an agent or employee of the above referenced Nevada licensed attorney, and that on the day of August, 2021, I served the above and foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT by depositing a copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for respondent at his last known address, as indicated below:

Chris Arabia, Esq. District Attorney Nye County, Nevada P. O. Box 593 Tonopah, NV 89049

HON. KIMBERLY WANKER Fifth Judicial District Court 1520 E. Basin Ave., #105 Pahrump, NV 89060

Clerk, Nevada Supreme Court 201 South Carson Street,#300 Carson City, NV 89701

Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

agent or employee of DAVID H. NEELY, III, ESQ.

FILED FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 1 Case No. CR-8978 Dept. No. 2 AUG +6 2021 2 DAVID H. NEELY III Brittani Smilh 3 Nev. Bar No. 3891 3520 East Tropicana Ave., Ste. D Deputy 4 Las Vegas, NV 891218 (702) 565-0716 5 Attorney for Petitioner 6 7 IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE 8 9 CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, NOTICE OF APPEAL 10 Petitioner, 11 VS. 12 STATE OF NEVADA, 13 Respondent. 14 15 TO: THE HONORABLE ROBERT LANE, Fifth Judicial District Court Judge, 16 AND TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL. 17 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioner, CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN, 18 by and through her attorney of record, DAVID H. NEELY III, Esq., 19 pursuant to NRS 177.015 and NRAP 3B/3C(fastrack), NRAP 3A(civil), 20 hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from 21 the Order Denying Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus entered in 22 the Fifth Judicial District Court in the above matter on August 23 4,2021. 24 SUBMITTED this 6 day of August, 2021. 25 26 DAVID H. NEELY ILT 27 Nev. Bar No. 003891 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., #D-1

28

Las Vegas, NV 89121 Attorney for Petitioner

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an agent or employee of the above referenced Nevada licensed attorney, and that on the day of August, 2021, I served the above and foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL by depositing a copy in the United States mails, postage prepaid, addressed to counsel for respondent at his last known address, as indicated below:

Kirk Vitto, Esq. Chief Deputy Nye County District Attorney P. O. Box 39 Pahrump, NV 89041

Aaron Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

Elizabeth Brown Nevada Supreme Court Clerk 201 South Carson Street, #300 Carson City, NV 89701

agent or employee of DAVID H. NEELY, III, ESQ.



### IN THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF

## NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Dept. No. 2

vs.

CRYSTAL Y. AUSTIN,

Defendant.

)

## REQUEST FOR NO TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

TO: CECILIA D. THOMAS, Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

6

.7

. 9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Fifth Judicial District Court, Dept. 2

Appellant requests no preparation of a transcript of the proceedings before the district court as follows:

Judge or officer hearing the proceeding: ROBERT LANE, Fifth Judicial District Court, Dept. 2.

Specific individual dates of proceedings for which transcripts are being requested (a range of dates is not acceptable): No Transcripts are required in this matter.

Specific portions of the transcript being requested (e.g., suppression hearing, trial, closing argument, etc..): No transcripts are required in this matter.

Number of Copies Required: 0

I hereby certify that on the 12 day of August, 2021, I did order the transcript(s) listed above from the court reporter

1	named above, and did not pay required deposit on the 12 day of
2	August, 2021.
3	
4	DATED this 12 day of August, 2021.
5	
6	
7	DAVID H. NEELY III, ESQ.
8	Nevada Bar No.: 03891 DAVID H. NEELY III Attorney At
9	Law 3520 E. Tropicana Ave., #D-1
10	Las Vegas, NV 89121 (702) 565-0716
11	
12	
13	
1, 4	
15	
16	
17	
1,8 1,9	
1,9	
20 21	
22 23 24	
23	
2:4	