In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

Jemar Matthews,

Appellant

v.

State of Nevada,

Respondent

Electronically Filed Supreme Court Casel No. 26229 12:30 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

Appeal from Judg nethoff Supreme Court

Conviction of Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, in

Case No.: 06C228460-2

Appellant's Appendix Volume 1

/s/ Todd M Leventhal

Leventhal and Associates, PLLC Nevada Bar: 8543 626 S. 3rd Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Leventhalandassociates@gmail.com 702-472-8686 Attorney for Appellant

Appellant's Appendix Volume 1 of 6, pp. 0001 to 0246

1. Docket
2. Amended Information
3. Notices of Witnesses and/of Expert Witnesses
4. State's Supplemental Amended Notice of Witnesses
5. Transcript of Trial Day 1
6. Transcript of Trial Day 2
7. Transcript of Trial Day 3 3 AA 0524
8. Transcript of Trial Day 4 4 AA 0714
9. Transcript of Trial Day 5 5 AA 0941
10. Transcript of Trial Day 6 6 AA 1184
11. Transcript of Trial Day 7 6 AA 1323
12. Instructions to the Jury
13. Verdict
14. Amended Judgment of Conviction 6 AA1383
15. Notice of Appeal 6 AA 1393

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an employee of Leventhal and Associates, hereby certifies that she served the foregoing on the State by delivering a true and correct copy of it on July 13, 2022, to the following persons via electronic service through the Nevada Supreme Court electronic filing system.

Steven S. Owens

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Adam Paul Laxalt

Attorney General / Carson City

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

Jemar Matthews

Reg. No,:1014654

PO Box 650

Indian Springs NV 89070-0650

/s/ Maribel Godinez

An Employee of Leventhal and Associates

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refine Search Back Location: District Court Civil/Criminal Help

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE No. 06C228460-2

888

8

The State of Nevada vs Jemar D Matthews

Cross-F L Lo Case Type: Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Date Filed: 12/04/2006

ate Filed: 12/04/2006 Location: Department 12

Supreme Court No.: 62241 66844 77751 84339

RELATED CASE INFORMATION

§

Related Cases

06C228460-1 (Multi-Defendant Case)

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant Matthews, Jemar Also Known As Matthews,

Jemar Demon

Lead Attorneys Todd M. Leventhal Court Appointed 702-472-8686(W)

Plaintiff State of Nevada Steven B Wolfson

702-671-2700(W)

Charge Information			
Charges: Matthews, Jemar	Statute	Level	Date
CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER	200.010	Felony	01/01/1900
2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.030.1	Felony	01/01/1900
3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.010	Felony	01/01/1900
4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.010	Felony	01/01/1900
5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.010	Felony	01/01/1900
6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE	202.275	Felony	01/01/1900
7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY	200.380	Felony	01/01/1900
8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.380	Felony	01/01/1900
9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON	200.380	Felony	01/01/1900
10.ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON	200.471.2b	Felony	01/01/1900
11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON	200.471.2b	Felony	01/01/1900

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

	DISPOSITIONS
01/01/1900	Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Guilty
01/01/1900	Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
01/01/1900	Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
01/01/1900	Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
01/01/1900	Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
01/01/1900	Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE

Bates No. 0001

Guilty

01/01/1900 Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY Guilty 01/01/1900 Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty 01/01/1900 Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty 01/01/1900 Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON 01/01/1900 Plea (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 07/09/2007 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Guilty 07/09/2007 Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty 07/09/2007 Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 07/09/2007 4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty 07/09/2007 Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 07/09/2007 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE Guilty Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 07/09/2007 7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY Guilty 07/09/2007 Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty 07/09/2007 **Disposition** (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty 07/09/2007 **Disposition** (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 07/09/2007 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON 07/09/2007 Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Converted Disposition: Sentence# 0001: Minimum 26 Months to Maximum 120 Months Placement: NSP Converted Disposition: Sentence# 0002: CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED Minimum 300 Days to Maximum 300 Days Converted Disposition: Sentence# 0003: DNA FEE/GENETIC MARKERS ANALYSIS Amount: \$150.00 Converted Disposition: Sentence# 0004: ADMINISTRATION FEE Amount: \$25.00 07/09/2007 Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Converted Disposition: Sentence# 0001: LIFE WITH POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0001 and Sentence#: 0001 Converted Disposition: Sentence# 0002: LIFE WITH POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0001 and Sentence#: 0001 07/09/2007 Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 48 Months to Maximum 240 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0005 and Sentence#: 0001

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0002: Minimum 48 Months to Maximum 240 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0007 and Sentence#: 0001

07/09/2007 Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion)

4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 48 Months to Maximum 240 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0007 and Sentence#: 0001

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0002: Minimum 48 Months to Maximum 240 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0011 and Sentence#: 0001

07/09/2007 **Sentence** (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion)

5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 48 Months to Maximum 240 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0011 and Sentence#: 0001

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0002: Minimum 48 Months to Maximum 240 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0015 and Sentence#: 0001

Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE 07/09/2007

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 12 Months to Maximum 48 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0015 and Sentence#: 0001

07/09/2007 Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion)

7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 12 Months to Maximum 72 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0019 and Sentence#: 0001

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0002: Minimum 12 Months to Maximum 72 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0020 and Sentence#: 0001

07/09/2007 Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion)

8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 40 Months to Maximum 180 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0020 and Sentence#: 0001

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0002: Minimum 40 Months to Maximum 180 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0022 and Sentence#: 0001

Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 07/09/2007

9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 40 Months to Maximum 180 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0022 and Sentence#: 0001

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0002: Minimum 40 Months to Maximum 180 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Consecutive w/Charge Item: 0024 and Sentence#: 0001

07/09/2007 **Sentence** (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion)

10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 16 Months to Maximum 72 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0024 and Sentence#: 0001

Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON 07/09/2007

Converted Disposition:

Sentence# 0001: Minimum 16 Months to Maximum 72 Months Placement: NSP Cons/Conc: Concurrent w/Charge Item: 0026 and Sentence#: 0001

03/31/2017 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded

1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Appeal Reversed/Remanded

03/31/2017 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded

2. FIRST DEGREE MÜRDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Appeal Reversed/Remanded

03/31/2017 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded

3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Appeal Reversed/Remanded

03/31/2017 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded

4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Appeal Reversed/Remanded

03/31/2017 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded

7/3/22, 2:26 F	PM https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.as
	5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Appeal Reversed/Remanded
03/31/2017	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER
03/31/2017	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
03/31/2017	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
03/31/2017	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
03/31/2017	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON
03/31/2017	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
09/15/2017	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Charge(s) Reinstated 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Charge Reinstated
https://www.c	larkcountycourts us/Anonymous/CaseDetail aspx?CaseID=7538207

, , ,	
10/03/2018	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Guilty
10/03/2018	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
10/03/2018	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
10/03/2018	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
10/03/2018	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
10/03/2018	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Appeal Reversed/Remanded
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON
08/04/2020	Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Appeal Reversed/Remanded 11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE Guilty
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY Guilty
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON Guilty
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER Guilty
11/15/2021	Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended 2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Guilty

11/15/2021 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended

3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Guilty

11/15/2021 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended

4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Suilty

11/15/2021 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended

10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Guilty

11/15/2021 Amended Disposition (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Amended

11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Guilty

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

1. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:26 Months, Maximum:120 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

2. FIRST DEGREE MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Life with the possibility of parole after:20 Years

Consecutive Enhancement:for use of a deadly weapon, Life with the possibility of parole after:20 Years

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

3. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:48 Months, Maximum:240 Months

Consecutive Enhancement:for use of a deadly weapon, Minimum:48 Months, Maximum:240 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

4. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:48 Months, Maximum:240 Months

Consecutive Enhancement:for use of a deadly weapon, Minimum:48 Months, Maximum:240 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

5. ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:48 Months, Maximum:240 Months

Consecutive Enhancement:for use of a deadly weapon, Minimum:48 Months, Maximum:240 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

6. POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:12 Months, Maximum:48 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

7. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:12 Months, Maximum:72 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

8. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:40 Months, Maximum:180 Months

Consecutive Enhancement:for use of a deadly weapon, Minimum:40 Months, Maximum:180 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

9. ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:40 Months, Maximum:180 Months

Consecutive Enhancement:for use of a deadly weapon, Minimum:40 Months, Maximum:180 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

10. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:16 Months, Maximum:72 Months

02/04/2022 Amended Sentence (Judicial Officer: User, Conversion) Reason: Defendant Resentenced

11. ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

Sentenced to Nevada Dept. of Corrections

Term: Minimum:16 Months, Maximum:72 Months

Credit for Time Served: 5607 Days

Comments: ALL COUNTS CONCURRENT WITH COUNT 2

Comment (\$25 ADM; \$150 DNAF; \$3.00 DNA Collection fee)

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

12/04/2006 Criminal Bindover Doc ID# 1
[1] CRIMINAL BINDOVER Fee \$0.00

7/3/22, 2:26 PM https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=7538207 06C228460-20001.tif pages 12/04/2006 Hearing Doc ID# 2 [2] INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT 06C228460-20002.tif pages 12/07/2006 Information Doc ID# 3 [3] INFORMATION 06C228460-20005.tif pages 12/07/2006 **Conversion Case Event Type** Doc ID# 22 [22] INFORMATION CORRECTED IN OPEN COURT 06C228460-20032.tif pages 12/11/2006 Initial Arraignment (10:30 AM) () INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT Court Clerk: Sandra Anderson Relief Clerk: Phyllis Irby/pi Reporter/Recorder: Kiara Schmidt Heard By: Kevin Williams **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 01/03/2007 Media Request and Order Doc ID# 4 [4] MEDIA REQUEST AND ORDER 06C228460-20009.tif pages 01/26/2007 Expert Witness List Doc ID# 5 [5] NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES 06C228460-20010.tif pages 02/02/2007 **Order** Doc ID# 6 [6] ORDER - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20011.tif pages Application 02/02/2007 Doc ID# 7 [7] EX PARTE APPLICATION TO APPOINT INVESTIGATOR - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460 0002 06C228460-20012.tif pages Calendar Call (9:00 AM) () 02/07/2007 CALENDAR CALL Court Clerk: Katherine Streuber Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Elizabeth Halverson **Parties Present** Result: Matter Heard CANCELED Jury Trial (1:30 PM) () 02/12/2007 Vacated Result: Vacate 03/23/2007 Application Doc ID# 8 [8] EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR COURT APPROVAL OF PAYMENT OF SPECIFIC CATEGORIES OF ANCILLARY DEFENSE COSTS ANCILLARY DEFENSE COSTS- RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20015.tif pages Doc ID# 9 03/23/2007 Order [9] ORDER - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20016.tif pages 04/11/2007 Doc ID# 10 Motion [10] STATE'S MTN TO COMPEL BUCCAL SWABS /6 06C228460-20017.tif pages 04/11/2007 Calendar Call (8:30 AM) () CALENDAR CALL Heard By: Elizabeth Halverson Result: Matter Continued 04/11/2007 Motion to Compel (8:30 AM) () STATE'S MTN TO COMPEL BUCCAL SWABS /6 Heard By: Elizabeth Halverson Result: Matter Continued 04/13/2007 Doc ID# 11 Certificate [11] CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 06C228460-20018.tif pages 04/16/2007 Motion to Compel (8:30 AM) () STATE'S MTN TO COMPEL BUCCAL SWABS /6 Court Clerk: Pamela Humphrey Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Halverson. Elizabeth **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 04/18/2007 Motion to Compel (8:30 AM) () STATE'S MTN TO COMPEL BUCCAL SWABS /6 Court Clerk: Pamela Humphrey Reporter/Recorder: Denice Lopez Heard By: Halverson, Elizabeth **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 04/20/2007 Order Doc ID# 12 [12] ORDER TO COMPEL IMMEDIATE PRODUCTION OF PRELIMINARY HEARING TRANSCRIPTS - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20019.tif pages 04/20/2007 Motion to Compel (8:30 AM) () STATE'S MTN TO COMPEL BUCCAL SWABS /6 Court Clerk: Pamela Humphrey Reporter/Recorder: Pamela Humphrey Heard By: Elizabeth Halverson **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued **Doc ID# 13** 04/24/2007 Reporters Transcript [13] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PRELIMINARY HEARING 06C228460-20020.tif pages

Bates No. 0007

Doc ID# 14

04/25/2007 Expert Witness List

[14] NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESS 06C228460-20021.tif pages 04/25/2007 Expert Witness List Doc ID# 15 [15] NOTICE OF WITNESSES 06C228460-20022.tif pages 04/25/2007 Expert Witness List Doc ID# 16 [16] SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESS 06C228460-20023.tif pages 05/02/2007 Doc ID# 17 Order [17] ORDER 06C228460-20026.tif pages **Expert Witness List** 05/02/2007 **Doc ID# 18** [18] SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESSES 06C228460-20027.tif pages Calendar Call (8:30 AM) () 05/02/2007 CALENDAR CALL Heard By: David Barker Result: Matter Continued 05/02/2007 Calendar Call (8:30 AM) () CALENDAR CALL Heard By: David Barker Result: Matter Continued 05/02/2007 Calendar Call (8:30 AM) () CALENDAR CALL CONTINUED Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker. David **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 05/04/2007 Calendar Call (8:30 AM) () CALENDAR CALL CONTINUED Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 05/07/2007 Supplement Doc ID# 19 [19] SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESS 06C228460-20028.tif pages 05/07/2007 Order Doc ID# 25 [25] STIPULATION AND ORDER 06C228460-20035.tif pages Jury Trial (1:00 PM)() 05/07/2007 TRIAL BY JURY Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker, David **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Matter Continued 05/08/2007 Media Request and Order Doc ID# 20 [20] MEDIA REQUEST AND ORDER 06C228460-20030.tif pages 05/08/2007 Order Doc ID# 21 [21] ORDER 06C228460-20031.tif pages 05/08/2007 Media Request and Order Doc ID# 23 [23] MEDIA REQUEST TO COURT PROCEEDINGS 06C228460-20033.tif pages 05/08/2007 Doc ID# 24 Jury List [24] DISTRICT COURT JURY LIST 06C228460-20034.tif pages 05/08/2007 Doc ID# 31 [31] BENCH BRIEF - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460 0002 06C228460-20041.tif pages 05/08/2007 Jury Trial (10:00 AM) () TRIAL BY JURY Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker, David **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 05/09/2007 Opposition Doc ID# 32 [32] STATES CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTIONS IN LIMINE 06C228460-20042.tif pages Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial 05/09/2007 Doc ID# 33 [33] PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS NOT USED AT TRIAL 06C228460-20043.tif pages 05/09/2007 Jury Trial (9:30 AM) () TRIAL BY JURY Relief Clerk: Chanel West (1:05PM -2:05PM) Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas/Francesca Haak Heard By: Barker, David **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 05/10/2007 Subpoena Duces Tecum Doc ID# 26 [26] SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20036.tif pages 05/10/2007 Subpoena Duces Tecum Doc ID# 27 [27] SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20037.tif pages 05/10/2007 Subpoena Duces Tecum Doc ID# 28 Bates No. 0008

8/20 https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=7538207 Bates no.

[28] SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM 06C228460-20038.tif pages 05/10/2007 Subpoena Duces Tecum Doc ID# 29 [29] SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20039.tif pages 05/10/2007 Subpoena Duces Tecum Doc ID# 30 [30] SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20040.tif pages Jury Trial (9:30 AM) () 05/10/2007 TRIAL BY JURY Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker, David **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 05/11/2007 Instructions to the Jury **Doc ID# 34** [34] INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 06C228460-20044.tif pages 05/11/2007 **Conversion Case Event Type Doc ID# 36** [36] SENTENCING (VL 6/25/07) 06C228460-20047.tif pages 05/11/2007 Judgment Doc ID# 37 [37] VERDICT 06C228460-20048.tif pages 05/11/2007 Judgment **Doc ID# 38** [38] VERDICT 06C228460-20049.tif pages 05/11/2007 **Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial** Doc ID# 40 [40] DEFENDANTS PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS NOT USED AT TRIAL 06C228460-20051.tif pages 05/11/2007 Jury Trial (9:30 AM) () TRIAL BY JURY Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 05/14/2007 Order Doc ID# 35 [35] ORDER APPOINTMENT COUNSEL - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0001 06C228460-20045.tif pages **Amended Jury List Doc ID# 39** 05/14/2007 [39] AMENDED CRIMINAL JURY LIST 06C228460-20050.tif pages Doc ID# 41 05/21/2007 Motion [41] DEFT'S MTN FOR NEW TRIAL /9 06C228460-20052.tif pages 05/23/2007 Certificate Doc ID# 42 [42] CERTIFICATE OF MAILING - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20053.tif pages 06/01/2007 Opposition Doc ID# 43 ... [43] STATES OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL 06C228460-20054.tif pages 06/04/2007 Motion for New Trial (8:30 AM) () DEFT'S MTN FOR NEW TRIAL /9 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker, David **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Matter Continued 06/07/2007 Application **Doc ID# 44** [44] EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATIVE FEES - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460 0002 06C228460-20055.tif pages 06/07/2007 Order Doc ID# 45 [45] ORDER - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460 0002 06C228460-20056.tif pages 06/08/2007 Motion for New Trial (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S MTN FOR NEW TRIAL '/9 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker, David **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued Doc ID# 46 06/12/2007 Reporters Transcript [46] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PORTION OF JURY TRIAL DAY 5 06C228460-20057.tif pages 06/18/2007 Sentencing (8:30 AM) () SENTENCING (VL 6/25/07) Result: Matter Continued **Conversion Case Event Type** 06/25/2007 Doc ID# 47 [47] SENTENCING 06C228460-20059.tif pages **Conversion Case Event Type Doc ID# 48** 06/25/2007 [48] SENTENCING 06C228460-20060.tif pages 07/02/2007 Sentencing (8:15 AM) () SENTENCING (VL 6/25/07) Result: Vacate 07/02/2007 Motion for New Trial (8:15 AM) ()

```
DEFT'S MTN FOR NEW TRIAL /9 Heard By: David Barker
           Result: Matter Continued
07/09/2007 Reply
                   Doc ID# 50
            [50] REPLY TO STATES OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002
              06C228460-20062.tif pages
07/09/2007
          Motion for New Trial (8:15 AM) ()
            DEFT'S MTN FOR NEW TRIAL 9 Heard By: David Barker
           Result: Denied
          Sentencing (8:15 AM) ()
SENTENCING Heard By: David Barker
07/09/2007
           Result: Granted
          Sentencing (8:15 AM) ()
SENTENCING Heard By: David Barker
07/09/2007
           Result: Granted
07/09/2007
          All Pending Motions (8:15 AM) ()
            ALL PENDING MOTIONS (07-09-07) Relief Clerk: Carol Donahoo Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker
            Minutes
           Result: Matter Heard
07/11/2007
          Motion
                    Doc ID# 49
            [49] ALL PENDING MOTIONS (07-09-07)
              06C228460-20061.tif pages
07/17/2007
           Judgment
                        Doc ID# 51
            [51] JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION/ADMIN ASSESSMENT
              06C228460-20064.tif pages
                        Doc ID# 52
07/17/2007
           Judgment
            [52] JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION/RESTITUTION
              06C228460-20065.tif pages
07/17/2007
                       Doc ID# 53
          Judgment
            [53] JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION/GENETIC TESTING
              06C228460-20066.tif pages
07/17/2007
          Judgment
                        Doc ID# 54
            [54] JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION/ADMIN ASSESSMENT
              06C228460-20067.tif pages
                        Doc ID# 55
           Judgment
07/17/2007
            [55] JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION/GENETIC TESTING
              06C228460-20068.tif pages
          Notice of Appeal
                              Doc ID# 56
07/30/2007
            [56] NOTICE OF APPEAL (SC 49947) - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0001
              06C228460-20069.tif pages
                       Doc ID# 57
08/06/2007
          Statement
            [57] CASE APPEAL STATEMENT - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0001
              06C228460-20070.tif pages
08/17/2007
          Notice of Appeal
                              Doc ID# 58
            [58] NOTICE OF APPEAL (SC 50052) - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002
              06C228460-20071 tif pages
08/17/2007
          Statement
                        Doc ID# 59
            [59] CASE APPEAL STATEMENT - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002
              06C228460-20072.tif pages
                    Doc ID# 60
09/17/2007
          Order
            [60] ORDER - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002
              06C228460-20073.tif pages
10/08/2007
                   Doc ID# 61
            [61] NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER OF APPOINTMENT - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002
              06C228460-20074.tif pages
01/24/2008 Hearing
                      Doc ID# 62
            [62] ĎEFT'S REQUEST STATUS CHECK: CUSTODY
              06C228460-20075.tif pages
01/28/2008
          Request (8:15 AM) ()
            DEFT'S REQUEST STATUS CHECK: CUSTODY Relief Clerk: Tia Everett/te Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: Barker, David
            Parties Present
            Minutes
           Result: Matter Continued
02/01/2008
          Request (8:15 AM) ()
            DEFT'S REQUEST STATUS CHECK: CUSTODY Relief Clerk: Tia Everett/te Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker
            Parties Present
            Minutes
           Result: Off Calendar
03/10/2008 Hearing
                      Doc ID# 63
            [63] AT REQ OF SUPREME COURT: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL/14
              06C228460-20076 tif pages
03/12/2008
                    Doc ID# 64
          Order
            [64] ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE
              06C228460-20077.tif pages
          Hearing
                     Doc ID# 65
03/26/2008
            [65] ŠTATUS CHECK: CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (J. PARRIS)
              06C228460-20078.tif pages
03/26/2008 Hearing
                      Doc ID# 66
            [66] STATUS CHECK: FURTHER PROCEEDINGS
              06C228460-20079.tif pages
03/26/2008 Request of Court (8:15 AM) ()
```

https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=7538207 AT REQ OF SUPREME COURT: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL/14 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 03/28/2008 **Motion** Doc ID# 67 [67] ALL PENDING MOTIONS 03-28-08 06C228460-20080.tif pages 03/28/2008 Status Check (8:15 AM) () STATUS CHÈCK: CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (J. PARRIS) Heard By: David Barker Result: Granted Status Check (8:15 AM) () 03/28/2008 STATUS CHÈCK: FURTHER PROCEEDINGS All Pending Motions (8:00 AM) () 03/28/2008 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 03-28-08 Court Clerk: Sandra Anderson Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 04/07/2008 Order **Doc ID# 68** [68] ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460 0001 06C228460-20083.tif pages Doc ID# 69 06/13/2008 Reporters Transcript [69] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATES MOTION TO COMPEL BUCCAL SWABS 06C228460-20084.tif pages 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 70 [70] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL DAY 1 06C228460-20085.tif pages 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 71 [71] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL DAY 3 06C228460-20086.tif pages 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 72 [72] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL DAY 5 06C228460-20087.tif pages 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 73 [73] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL DAY 2 06C228460-20088.tif pages Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 74 07/11/2008 [74] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL DAY 4 06C228460-20089.tif pages 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 75 . [75] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFTS MTN FOR NEW TRIAL JEMAR MATTHEWS SENTENCING JEMAR MATTHEWS SENTENCING PIERRE JOSHLIN MATTHEWS SENTENCING PIERRE JOSHLIN 06C228460-20090.tif pages Doc ID# 76 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript [76] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT CALENDAR CALL 06C228460-20091.tif pages 07/11/2008 Reporters Transcript Doc ID# 77 [77] REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL 06C228460-20092.tif pages 07/14/2008 Certificate Doc ID# 78 [78] CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 06C228460-20093.tif pages 08/30/2008 Petition Doc ID# 79 [79] PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0001 06C228460-20094.tif pages **Doc ID# 80** 09/11/2008 Hearing [80] SUPREME COURT REMAND: APPOINT NEW APPELLET COUNSEL/18 06C228460-20095.tif pages Request of Court (8:15 AM) () 09/24/2008 SUPREME COURT REMAND: APPOINT NEW APPELLET COUNSEL/18 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Relief Clerk: Carol Donahoo/cd Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Granted 10/09/2008 Order Doc ID# 81 [81] ORDER FOR CONFIRMATION OF APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0001 06C228460-20096.tif pages Doc ID# 82 [82] ĎEFT'S PRO PER REQUEST FOR RECORDS/COURT CASE/19 06C228460-20099.tif pages Opposition Doc ID# 83 [83] STATES OPPOSITION TO DEFTS PRO PER REQUEST FOR RECORDS - COURT CASE DOCUMENTS DOCUMENTS 06C228460-20100.tif pages 07/27/2009 Request (8:15 AM) ()

07/14/2009 Hearing

07/23/2009

DEFT'S PRO PER REQUEST FOR RECORDS/COURTCASE/19 Relief Clerk: Tia Everett/te Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By:

Barker, David

Parties Present

Minutes

Result: Matter Continued

11/30/2009 Request (8:15 AM) ()

DEFT'S PRO PER REQUEST FOR RECORDS/COURTCASE/19 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Moot 12/09/2009 Conversion Case Event Type Doc ID# 84 [84] INACTIVE CASE 06C228460-20101.tif pages 12/18/2009 **Motion** Doc ID# 85 [85] DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR THE APPT OF CNSL & REQ FOR EVID HRG /20 06C228460-20103.tif pages 12/18/2009 Motion Doc ID# 86 [86] DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS /21 06C228460-20104.tif pages 12/18/2009 Affidavit in Support Doc ID# 90 [90] AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MTN TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460_0002 06C228460-20108.tif pages 12/21/2009 Judament Doc ID# 87 [87] CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/JUDGMENT AFFIRMED 06C228460-20105.tif pages 12/21/2009 Judament Doc ID# 88 [88] CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/REHEARING DENIED 06C228460-20106.tif pages 12/21/2009 Judgment Doc ID# 89 [89] CLERK'S CERTIFICATE/PETITION DENIED 06C228460-20107.tif pages 12/29/2009 Response Doc ID# 91 [91] STATES RESPONSE TO DEFTS MTN FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 06C228460-20109.tif pages 01/04/2010 **Motion** (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S PRO PÉŘ MTN FOR THE APPT OF CNSL & REQ FOR EVID HRG /20 Result: Matter Continued 01/04/2010 **Motion** (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S PRO PÉŘ MTN FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS /21 Result: Matter Continued 01/06/2010 Hearing Doc ID# 92 [92] STATUS CHECK: CONFIRMATION OF APPOINTED COUNSEL 06C228460-20110 tif pages 01/06/2010 **Motion** Doc ID# 93 [93] ALL PENDING MOTIONS OF 1/6/10 06C228460-20111.tif pages 01/06/2010 Motion (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR THE APPT OF CNSL & REQ FOR EVID HRG /20 Result: Matter Continued 01/06/2010 Motion (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS /21 Result: Matter Continued 01/06/2010 All Pending Motions (8:15 AM) () ALL PENDING MOTIONS OF 1/6/10 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 01/15/2010 **Motion** Doc ID# 94 [94] ALL PENDING MOTIONS 06C228460-20112.tif pages 01/15/2010 **Motion** (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR THE APPT OF CNSL & REQ FOR EVID HRG /20 01/15/2010 Motion (8:15 AM) () DEFT'S PRO PÉŘ MTN FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS /21 Status Check (8:15 AM) () 01/15/2010 STATUS CHÈCK: CONFIRMATION OF APPOINTEDCOUNSEL 01/15/2010 All Pending Motions (8:15 AM) () ALL PENDING MOTIONS Relief Clerk: Shelly Landwehr/sl Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Matter Heard 04/09/2010 Judgment Doc ID# 95 [95] CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT AFFIRMED 06C228460-20113.tif pages 04/28/2010 Request **Doc ID# 96** [96] MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS - RELATED PARTYID: 06C228460 0001 06C228460-20114.tif pages 04/28/2010 **Motion** Doc ID# 97 [97] DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HRG 06C228460-20116.tif pages 05/12/2010 Hearing Doc ID# 98 [98] STATUS CHECK: CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (THRU D.CHRISTENSEN) 06C228460-20117.tif pages 05/12/2010 Hearing Doc ID# 99 [99] STATUS CK: SET EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL 06C228460-20118.tif pages

```
05/12/2010 Motion (8:15 AM) ()
             DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HRG Court Clerk: Sharon Chun
             Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker
             Parties Present
             Minutes
           Result: Matter Heard
05/28/2010 Hearing
                      Doc ID# 100
             [100] STATUS CK: SET EVID HRG RE DEFT'S POST- CONV CLAIM OF INEFFECTIVE ASSIS COUNSEL
               06C228460-20119.tif pages
05/28/2010 Motion
                     Doc ID# 101
             [101] ALL PENDING MOTIONS 5/28/10
               06C228460-20120.tif pages
05/28/2010 Status Check (8:15 AM) ()
             STATUS CHECK: CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (THRU D.CHRISTENSEN)
05/28/2010
           Status Check (8:15 AM) ()
             STATUS CK: SET EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL Heard By: David Barker
           Result: Matter Continued
05/28/2010 All Pending Motions (8:15 AM) ()
             ALL PENDING MOTIONS 5/28/10 Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker
             Parties Present
             Minutes
           Result: Matter Heard
06/08/2010 Order
                    Doc ID# 102
             [102] ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE
               06C228460-20121.tif pages
07/16/2010 Hearing
                      Doc ID# 103
             [103] STATUS CHECK: SET EVID HRG RE DEFT'S POST-CONV CLAIM (FROM 7/16/10)
               06C228460-20122.tif pages
07/16/2010
           Status Check (8:15 AM) ()
             STATUS CK: SET EVID HRG RE DEFT'S POST- CONV CLAIM OF INEFFECTIVE ASSIS COUNSEL Relief Clerk: Billie Jo Craig
             Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker
             Parties Present
             Minutes
           Result: Matter Heard
07/21/2010 Hearing
                      Doc ID# 104
             [104] EVIDENTIARY HEARING
               06C228460-20123.tif pages
07/21/2010 Status Check (8:15 AM) ()
             STATUS CHÈCK: SET EVID HRG RE DEFT'S POST-CONV CLAIM (FROM 7/16/10) Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Relief Clerk: Billie Jo Craig/bjc
             Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker
             Parties Present
             Minutes
           Result: Matter Heard
12/08/2010
           Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case
                                                       Doc ID# 105
             [105] Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case
12/14/2010
           Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
                                                Doc ID# 106
             [106]
12/16/2010 Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
                                                          Doc ID# 107
             [107]
03/23/2011
           CANCELED Evidentiary Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Barker, David)
             Vacated - Case Closed
             hearing as to co-defendant
01/13/2012
                     Doc ID# 108
           Motion
             [108] Motion for Appointment of Counsel Pursuant to NRS 34.750
                                   Doc ID# 110
01/19/2012
           Opposition to Motion
             [110] State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel
           Motion (8:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Barker, David)
01/23/2012
             01/23/2012, 02/06/2012
             Deft's Pro Per Motion for Appointment of Counsel Pursuant to NRS 34.750
             Parties Present
             Minutes
           Result: Matter Continued
06/11/2012 Status Check (8:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Barker, David)
             Status Check: Briefing Schedule
             Parties Present
             Minutes
           Result: Briefing Schedule Set
07/09/2012
           Supplemental
                            Doc ID# 111
             [111] Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)
07/10/2012 Supplemental
                            Doc ID# 112
             [112] Amended Supplemental Points and Authorities In Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) Amended Only to
             Repaginate and Add Missing Test to Page 5
08/28/2012
           Order for Production of Inmate
                                             Doc ID# 113
             [113] Order for Production of Inmate, Jemar Matthews, aka Jemar Demon Matthews, BAC #1014654
08/31/2012
           Order for Production of Inmate
                                             Doc ID# 114
             [114] Order for Production of Inmate Jemar Matthews, Bac # 1014654
09/10/2012
           Response
                         Doc ID# 115
            [115] State's Response To Defendant's Supplemental Points And Authorities In Support Of Petition For Writ Of Habeas No. 0013
```

[134] Notice of Appeal

01/05/2015 Case Reassigned to Department 19

District Court Case Reassignment 2015

05/30/2017 Doc ID# 135 Notice

06/02/2017

[135] Notice to Place on Calendar

Order for Production of Inmate Doc ID# 136

[136] Order for Production of Inmate - Jemar Matthews, aka Jemar Demon Matthews, BAC #1014654

Motion (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Kephart, William D.) 06/12/2017

State's Motion to Place on Calendar for the Purpose of State's Notice of Intent to Proceed to Retrial

Parties Present

Minutes

Result: Trial Date Set 06/19/2017 Notice of Appearance **Doc ID# 137** [137] NOTICE OF APPEARANCE 07/01/2017 Case Reassigned to Department 3 Homicide Case Reassignment to Judge Douglas W. Herndon 07/21/2017 Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Herndon, Douglas W.) STATUS CHECK: MURDER TEAM ASSIGNMENT **Parties Present Minutes** 07/24/2017 Reset by Court to 07/21/2017 Result: Continued 08/02/2017 CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Herndon, Douglas W.) Vacated - per Judge 08/02/2017 Reset by Court to 08/02/2017 08/22/2017 Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Herndon, Douglas W.) Status Check: Trial Setting/Case Reassignment **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard Media Request and Order 08/22/2017 Doc ID# 138 [138] Media Request And Order Allowing Camera Access To Court Proceedings 08/30/2017 CANCELED Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Herndon, Douglas W.) Vacated - per Judge 08/30/2017 Reset by Court to 08/30/2017 09/05/2017 CANCELED Jury Trial (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Herndon, Douglas W.) Vacated - per Judge 09/05/2017 Reset by Court to 09/05/2017 09/05/2017 Status Check: Trial Setting (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Trial Date Set 09/15/2017 **Amended Information** Doc ID# 139 [139] Amended Information Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) 11/02/2017 11/02/2017, 02/01/2018 Status Check: Homicide Trial **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 02/12/2018 Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Doc ID# 140 [140] State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses 03/20/2018 Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Status Check: Homicide Trial **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 03/21/2018 **Motion for Order** Doc ID# 141 [141] Defendant's Motion for Order to Produce Records from (1) Division of Child and Family Services and (2) Family Courts and Services Center and/or Clark County District Court Family Division 03/27/2018 Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Status Check: Homicide Trial **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Continued 04/05/2018 CANCELED Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Defendant's Motion for Order to Produce Records from (1) Division of Child and Family Services and (2) Family Courts and Services Center and/or Clark County District Court Family Division 04/10/2018 Doc ID# 142 [142] ORDER TO PRODUCE (1) DIVISION OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES AND (2) FAMILY COURTS AND SERVICES CENTER AND/OR CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION RECORDS Doc ID# 143 04/10/2018 Notice of Entry [143] Notice of Entry of Order 04/24/2018 CANCELED Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Vacated 04/30/2018 CANCELED Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Vacated 06/07/2018 Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Status Check: Homicide Trial **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Matter Continued 06/28/2018 Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric) Status Check: Homicide Trial

```
Parties Present
              Minutes
            Result: Matter Heard
07/02/2018 Case Reassigned to Department 12
             Reassigned From Judge Johnson - Dept 20
07/13/2018
           Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses
                                                              Doc ID# 144
             [144] State's Amended Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses
07/19/2018
           Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses
                                                              Doc ID# 145
             [145] Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses
07/25/2018
           Receipt of Copy
                                Doc ID# 146
             [146] Receipt of Copy
08/06/2018
           Supplemental Witness List
                                           Doc ID# 147
             [147] State's Supplemental Amended Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses
08/08/2018
           Receipt of Copy
                               Doc ID# 148
             [148] Receipt of Copy
08/24/2018
           Supplemental Witness List
                                           Doc ID# 149
             [149] Defense Supplemental Witness List Under [NRS 174.234(1) and 174.234(2)]
08/24/2018
           Notice of Motion
                                Doc ID# 150
             [150] State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Transcript of Prior Jury Trial Testimony of LVMPD Forensic Scientist James Krylo
09/04/2018
           Motion (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              State's Notice Of Motion And Motion To Admit Transcript Of Prior Jury Trial Testimony Of LVMPD Forensic Scientist James Krylo
              Parties Present
              Minutes
               10/04/2018 Reset by Court to 09/04/2018
            Result: Granted
09/07/2018
                                Doc ID# 151
           Notice of Motion
             [151] Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Transcript of Prior Trial Testimony of Victim Myniece Cook
09/18/2018 Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
               07/24/2018 Reset by Court to 07/24/2018
               07/24/2018 Reset by Court to 09/18/2018
               07/24/2018 Reset by Court to 07/24/2018
            Result: Trial Date Set
09/18/2018 Motion (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Transcript of Prior Jury Trial Testimony of Victim Myniece Cook
              Parties Present
              Minutes
               10/16/2018 Reset by Court to 09/18/2018
            Result: Granted
09/18/2018
           Order
                     Doc ID# 152
             [152] Order To Remand Defendant To The Custody Of the Clark County Detention Center
09/18/2018
           Supplemental Witness List
                                           Doc ID# 153
              [153] Defense Second Supplemental Witness List Under [NRS 174.234(1) and 174.2341(2)]
09/18/2018
           All Pending Motions (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              Parties Present
              Minutes
            Result: Matter Heard
09/18/2018
           CANCELED All Pending Motions (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
09/24/2018
            Jury Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              09/24/2018, 09/25/2018, 09/26/2018, 09/27/2018, 09/28/2018, 10/01/2018, 10/02/2018, 10/03/2018
              Jury Trial
              Parties Present
              Minutes
               07/31/2018 Reset by Court to 09/25/2018
               08/06/2018 Reset by Court to 09/04/2018
               09/04/2018 Reset by Court to 07/31/2018
               09/25/2018 Reset by Court to 09/24/2018
               10/02/2018 Reset by Court to 10/02/2018
            Result: Trial Continues
09/25/2018
           Jury List
                        Doc ID# 154
             [154]
09/26/2018
           Media Request and Order
                                         Doc ID# 155
             [155] Media Request And Order Allowing Camera Access To Court Proceedings
            Order for Production of Inmate
09/27/2018
                                               Doc ID# 156
             [156] Order for Production of Inmate
           Supplemental Witness List
                                           Doc ID# 157
09/28/2018
             [157] Defense Third Supplemental Witness List Under [NRS 174.234(1) and 174.234(2)]
10/02/2018
           Amended Jury List
                                  Doc ID# 160
             [160]
10/02/2018
           Instructions to the Jury
                                       Doc ID# 161
             [161]
                       Doc ID# 158
10/03/2018
           Verdict
             [158]
10/03/2018
           Stipulation
                          Doc ID# 159
             [159] Stipulation and Waiver of Penalty Hearing
```

```
10/10/2018 Order
                     Doc ID# 162
             [162] Order for Transcript
10/29/2018
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 163
             [163] Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial - Day 3, Testimony of Amy Nemick Only, Wednesday, September 26, 2018
11/16/2018
           PSI - Supplemental PSI
                                       Doc ID# 164
             [164]
12/04/2018 Memorandum
                             Doc ID# 165
             [165] Defendant Jemar Matthews Sentencing Memorandum
            Sentencing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
12/05/2018
              Sentencing (Jury Verdict)
              Parties Present
              Minutes
            Result: Defendant Sentenced
12/07/2018 Judgment of Conviction
                                        Doc ID# 166
              [166] Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)
12/07/2018
                                                          Doc ID# 167
           Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case
             [167] Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case
           Notice of Appeal (Criminal)
[168] Notice of Appeal
12/19/2018
                                           Doc ID# 168
12/19/2018
            Case Appeal Statement
                                       Doc ID# 169
             [169] Case Appeal Statement
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
01/18/2019
                                                Doc ID# 170
             [170] Status Check: Homicide Trial, June 07, 2018
01/18/2019
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 171
             [171] Status Check: Homicide Trial, June 28, 2018
02/08/2019
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 172
             [172] Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Transcript of Prior Jury Trial Testimony of LVMPD
              Forensic Scientist James Krylo, Tuesday, September 4, 2018
                                                Doc ID# 173
02/08/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing
              [173] Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Transcript of Prior Jury Trial Testimony of Victim Myniece
              Cook; Calendar Call, Tuesday, September 18, 2018
02/08/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 174
             [174] Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing [Jury Verdict], Wednesday, December 5, 2018
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 175
03/12/2019
             [175] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 1, Monday, September 24, 2018
03/12/2019
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 176
             [176] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 2, Tuesday, September 25, 2018
03/12/2019
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 177
             [177] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 3, Wednesday, September 26, 2018
03/12/2019
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 178
             [178] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 4, Thursday, September 27, 2018
03/12/2019
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 179
             [179] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 5, Friday, September 28, 2018
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
03/12/2019
                                                Doc ID# 180
             [180] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 6, Monday, October 1, 2018
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 181
03/12/2019
             [181] Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Jury Trial - Day 7, Tuesday, October 2, 2018
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
03/12/2019
                                                Doc ID# 182
             [182] Recorder's Transcript of Day 8: Jury Trial - 8, Wednesday, October 3, 2018
           Minute Order (3:15 PM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
09/17/2019
              Minutes
            Result: Minute Order - No Hearing Held
           Judgment of Conviction
09/23/2019
                                        Doc ID# 183
             [183] Amended JOC/Haley
           Amended Judgment of Conviction
09/24/2019
                                                  Doc ID# 184
             [184] Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)
07/23/2020
            Motion for Own Recognizance Release/Setting Reasonable Bail
                                                                                Doc ID# 185
             [185] Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release
           Clerk's Notice of Hearing
07/23/2020
                                         Doc ID# 186
             [186] Notice of Hearing
07/24/2020
           Notice of Change of Hearing
                                            Doc ID# 187
             [187] Notice of Change of Hearing
                                     Doc ID# 188
07/29/2020
            Opposition to Motion
             [188] State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion For Own Recognizance Release
07/30/2020
           Motion for Own Recognizance Release/Setting Reasonable Bail (12:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release
              Parties Present
              Minutes
               07/28/2020 Reset by Court to 07/30/2020
            Result: Denied
08/04/2020
           NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment -Remanded
                                                                            Doc ID# 189
             [189] Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Reversed and Remand
08/10/2020
            Order for Production of Inmate
                                               Doc ID# 190
             [190]
11/06/2020
            Status Check: Trial Readiness (12:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              11/06/2020, 04/09/2021
              Parties Present
              Minutes
```

Bates No. 0017

11/04/2020 Reset by Court to 11/06/2020

02/03/2021 Reset by Court to 02/05/2021 02/05/2021 Reset by Court to 04/09/2021 Result: Matter Continued 04/27/2021 CANCELED Calendar Call (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) Vacated - per Judge 05/03/2021 CANCELED Jury Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) Vacated - per Judge 05/12/2021 Acknowledgment Doc ID# 191 [191] Settlement conference acknowledgment 06/04/2021 Settlement Conference (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Cherry, Michael A.) **Minutes** Result: Not Settled 06/11/2021 CANCELED Settlement Conference (1:30 PM) () Vacated - On in Error 07/09/2021 Status Check: Trial Readiness (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) **Parties Present Minutes** 07/07/2021 Reset by Court to 07/09/2021 Result: Matter Heard 09/01/2021 **Motion to Continue Trial** Doc ID# 192 [192] Motion to Continue Trial 09/02/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing **Doc ID# 193** [193] Notice of Hearing Doc ID# 194 09/02/2021 Ex Parte Order [194] 210901 Ex Parte Application for OST re MTC 09/09/2021 Pre Trial Conference (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) **Minutes** Result: Vacated and Reset 09/14/2021 CANCELED Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) Vacated - per Judge Motion to Continue Trial 09/16/2021 CANCELED Calendar Call (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay) Vacated - per Judge 09/21/2021 Reset by Court to 09/16/2021 09/27/2021 CANCELED Jury Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) Vacated - per Judge 10/08/2021 Pre Trial Conference (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 10/08/2021 Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Doc ID# 195 [195] NOTICE OF WITNESSES AND/OR EXPERT WITNESSES 10/11/2021 Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Doc ID# 196 [196] State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses 10/15/2021 Doc ID# 197 Motion [197] Motion to Admit Transcripts of Prior Testimony 10/18/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing Doc ID# 198 [198] Notice of Hearing 10/20/2021 Doc ID# 199 **Notice of Motion** [199] Motion to Admit Transcripts of Prior Testimony 10/20/2021 **Motion in Limine** Doc ID# 200 [200] Formal Written Objection to Inadmissible Trial Evidence Regarding Gang References and Motion for Pre-Trial Ruling On the Inadmissibility of Improper Trial Evidence 10/21/2021 Calendar Call (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) 10/26/2021 Reset by Court to 10/21/2021 Result: Matter Heard 10/21/2021 Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) [197] Motion to Admit Transcripts of Prior Testimony 10/28/2021 Reset by Court to 10/21/2021 Result: Granted 10/21/2021 Clerk's Notice of Hearing Doc ID# 201 [201] Notice of Hearing 10/21/2021 All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) **Parties Present** Minutes Result: Matter Heard All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle) 10/21/2021 10/22/2021 Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Doc ID# 202 [202] State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses 10/27/2021 Central Calendar Call (2:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Bluth, Jacqueline M.) **Parties Present Minutes** Result: Matter Heard 11/03/2021 **Order for Production of Inmate** Doc ID# 203 [203] 200656859C-OPI-(Owens, Nicholas)-001

```
11/04/2021 Jury Trial (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              11/04/2021, 11/05/2021, 11/08/2021, 11/09/2021, 11/10/2021, 11/12/2021, 11/15/2021
              Parties Present
              Minutes
               11/01/2021 Reset by Court to 11/04/2021
            Result: Trial Continues
11/04/2021 Motion (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              Formal Written Objection to Inadmissible Trial Evidence Regarding Gang References and Motion for Pre-Trial Ruling On the Inadmissibility of
              Improper Trial Evidence
               11/01/2021 Reset by Court to 11/04/2021
               11/02/2021 Reset by Court to 11/01/2021
            Result: Granted
11/04/2021
           All Pending Motions (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              Parties Present
              Minutes
            Result: Matter Heard
11/16/2021
           Jury List
                        Doc ID# 204
             [204] 2nd Amended Jury List
11/16/2021
                        Doc ID# 205
            Jury List
              [205] Amended Jury List
11/16/2021
            Stipulation and Order
                                     Doc ID# 206
             [206] Stipulation and Order
11/16/2021
            Jury List
                        Doc ID# 207
             [207] Jury List
                      Doc ID# 208
11/16/2021
            Verdict
             [208] Verdict
11/16/2021
           Instructions to the Jury
                                       Doc ID# 209
             [209] Instructions to the Jury
01/13/2022
           PSI - Supplemental PSI
                                       Doc ID# 210
              [210]
02/02/2022
            Memorandum
                              Doc ID# 211
             [211] Defendant Jemar Matthews Sentencing Memorandum
02/04/2022
            Sentencing (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Leavitt, Michelle)
              Parties Present
              Minutes
            Result: Defendant Sentenced
02/24/2022
           Amended Judgment of Conviction
                                                  Doc ID# 212
              [212] C228460-2 MATTHEWS, JEMAR- 2ND AMD
03/01/2022
            Notice of Appeal (Criminal)
                                           Doc ID# 213
             [213] Notice of Appeal
03/09/2022
                        Doc ID# 214
           Request
             [214] Request for Transcript of Proceedings
04/25/2022
            Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 215
             [215] Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release, July 30, 2020
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 216
             [216] Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Status Check: Trial Readiness, July 9, 2021
            Recorders Transcript of Hearing
04/25/2022
                                                Doc ID# 217
              [217] Status Check: Trial Readiness, April 9, 2021
04/25/2022
            Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 218
             [218] Status Check: Trial Readiness, November 6, 2020
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 219
             [219] Motion to Admit Transcripts of Prior Testimony and Calendar Call, October 21, 2021
04/25/2022
            Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 220
             [220] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 1, November 4, 2021
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 221
             [221] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 2, November 5, 2021
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 222
              [222] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 3, November 8, 2021
04/25/2022
            Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 223
             [223] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 4, November 9, 2021
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 224
             [224] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 5, November 10, 2021
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 225
             [225] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 6, November 12, 2021
04/25/2022
            Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 226
             [226] Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 7, November 15, 2021
04/25/2022
           Recorders Transcript of Hearing
                                                Doc ID# 227
              [227] Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Sentencing, February 4, 2022
04/28/2022
            Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
                                                            Doc ID# 228
             [228] Clerks Notice of Nonconforming Document
04/28/2022
           Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document
                                                            Doc ID# 229
```

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

[229] Clerks Notice of Nonconforming Document

	Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 07/03/2022	·	•	0.00 353.00
10/19/2007 12/17/2018	Transaction Assessment Transaction Assessment			175.00 178.00

Electronically Filed 9/15/2017 3:23 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **AINF** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 JOHN GIORDANI Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #012381 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff

> DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JEMAR MATTHEWS, aka, Jemar Demon Matthews, #1956579

Defendant.

CASE NO. 06C228460-2

DEPT NO. III

AMENDED

INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA) ss:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That JEMAR MATTHEWS, aka, Jemar Demon Matthews, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crimes of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 199.480 - NOC 50038); MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001); ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50031); POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE (Category D Felony - NRS 202.275 - NOC 51435); CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 199.480 - NOC 50147); ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.380, 193.165 - NOC 50138) and ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC

w:\2006\2006F\191\96 Bates6-NoF-002dws)-001.docx

50201), on or about the 30th day of September, 2006, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

did then and there meet with each other and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: Murder, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants did commit the acts as set forth in Counts 2-5, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

COUNT 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill MERCY WILLIAMS, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said MERCY WILLIAMS, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: firearm, in the following manner, to-wit: Defendants being responsible under the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing said crime; and/or (2) by the Defendants conspiring with each other and unidentified others to kill the said MERCY WILLIAMS, whereby each Defendant is vicariously liable for the acts committed in furtherance of said conspiracy if that Defendant intended that act to occur; and/or (3) the Defendants aiding or abetting in the commission of the crime, by accompanying each other to the crime scene where both of them repeatedly fired guns at the said MERCY WILLIAMS and helped provide a getaway vehicle by assisting in the robbery of an automobile immediately after said shooting; the Defendants encouraging one another throughout by actions or words; the Defendants acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 3 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill MYNIECE COOK, a human being, by shooting at the said MYNIECE COOK, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, in the following manner, to-wit: Defendants being responsible under the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing said crime; and/or (2) by the Defendants conspiring with each other

and unidentified others to kill the said MYNIECE COOK, whereby each Defendant is vicariously liable for the acts committed in furtherance of said conspiracy if that Defendant intended that act to occur; and/or (3) the Defendants aiding or abetting in the commission of the crime, by accompanying each other to the crime scene where both of them repeatedly fired guns at the said MYNIECE COOK and helped provide a getaway vehicle by assisting in the robbery of an automobile immediately after said shooting; the Defendants encouraging one another throughout by actions or words; the Defendants acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill MICHEL-LE TOLEFREE, a human being, by shooting at the said MICHEL-LE TOLEFREE, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, in the following manner, to-wit: Defendants being responsible under the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing said crime; and/or (2) by the Defendants conspiring with each other and unidentified others to kill the said MICHEL-LE TOLEFREE, whereby each Defendant is vicariously liable for the acts committed in furtherance of said conspiracy if that Defendant intended that act to occur; and/or (3) the Defendants aiding or abetting in the commission of the crime, by accompanying each other to the crime scene where both of them repeatedly fired guns at the said MICHEL-LE TOLEFREE and helped provide a getaway vehicle by assisting in the robbery of an automobile immediately after said shooting; the Defendants encouraging one another throughout by actions or words; the Defendants acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 5 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there, without authority of law, and malice aforethought, willfully and feloniously attempt to kill MAURICE HICKMAN, a human being, by shooting at the said MAURICE HICKMAN, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, in the following manner, to-wit: Defendants being responsible under the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing said crime; and/or (2) by the Defendants conspiring with each other and unidentified others to kill the said MAURICE HICKMAN, whereby each Defendant

is vicariously liable for the acts committed in furtherance of said conspiracy if that Defendant intended that act to occur; and/or (3) the Defendants aiding or abetting in the commission of the crime, by accompanying each other to the crime scene where both of them repeatedly fired guns at the said MAURICE HICKMAN and helped provide a getaway vehicle by assisting in the robbery of an automobile immediately after said shooting; the Defendants encouraging one another throughout by actions or words; the Defendants acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 6 - POSSESSION OF SHORT BARRELED RIFLE

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously possess a short barreled rifle having a barrel less than 16 inches in length, to-wit: a Ruger Model 10/22 .22 caliber, with a barrel approximately 10 3/4 inches long and overall length of 20 inches.

COUNT 7 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY

did then and there meet with each other and between themselves, and each of them with the other, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire and agree to commit a crime, to-wit: Robbery, and in furtherance of said conspiracy, Defendants did commit the acts as set forth in Counts 8 and 9, said acts being incorporated by this reference as though fully set forth herein.

COUNT 8 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: a 1991 Lincoln Town Car, from the person of GEISHE M. ORDUNO, or in her presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said GEISHE M. ORDUNO, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, during the commission of said crime, in the following manner, to-wit: Defendant JEMAR MATTHEWS, aka, Jemar Demon Matthews, directly committing said crime, PIERRE JOSHLIN aiding and abetting, by pointing said firearm at the said GEISHE M. ORDUNO.

COUNT 9 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: a 1991 Lincoln Town Car, from the person of MELVIN BOLDEN, or in his presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said MELVIN BOLDEN, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, during

the commission of said crime, in the following manner, to-wit: PIERRE JOSHLIN, directly 1 committing said crime, Defendant JEMAR MATTHEWS aiding and abetting, by pointing said 2 3 firearm at the said MELVIN BOLDEN. COUNT 10 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON 4 did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another 5 person, to-wit: BRADLEY CUPP, in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm with 6 use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said BRADLEY 7 8 CUPP. 9 COUNT 11 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another 10 person, to-wit: BRIAN WALTER, in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm with 11 use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, by pointing said firearm at the said BRIAN 12 13 WALTER. 14 15 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 16 Nevada Bar #001565 17 BY18 Chief Deputy District Attorney 19 Nevada Bar #012381 20 21 Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this 22 Information are as follows: 23 ADDRESS NAME 1284 LAWRY AVE., LV, NV 24 BOLDEN, MELVIN 4823 CAMINO HERMOSO, NLV, NV 25 COOK, MYNIECE 26 COR CCDC 27 LVMPD – RECORDS COR

28

COR

LVMPD - DISPATCH

1	CUPP, BRADLEY K.	LVMPD, P#8104
2	HARDY, KENNETH W.	LVMPD, P#3031
3	KRYLO, JAMES J.	LVMPD, P#5945
4	MOGG, CLIFFORD, H.	LVMPD, P#5096
5	OKELLEY, DEAN F.	LVMPD, P#4209
6	ORDUNO, GEISHE M.	1284 LAWRY AVE., LV, NV
7	Parent of TOLEFREE, MICHELE-LE	3445 GOLDEN SAGE, NLV, NV
8	PETRUCCI, DAVID L.	LVMPD, P#6733
9	RENHARD, LOUISE D.	LVMPD, P#5223
10	SMITH, STEPHANIE T.	LVMPD, P#6650
11	TOLEFREE, MICHEL-LE	3445 GOLDEN SAGE, NLV, NV
12	TREMEL, DONALD J.	LVMPD, P#2038
13	VACCARO, JAMES C.	LVMPD, P#1480
14	WALTER, BRIAN L.	LVMPD, P#8080
15	WILSON, ROBERT T.	LVMPD, P#3836
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	DA#06F19196B /ed - GCU LVMPD EV#0609303216	
28	(TK7)	

///

///

///

25

26

27

28

- 1-

Electronically Filed 10/8/2021 1:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TANASI LAW OFFICES 8716 Spanish Ridge Ave, #105

8716 Spanish Ridge Ave, #105 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 702-906-2411 • Fax 866-299-5274

NOTICE OF WITNESSES

NAME	ADDRESS
Patsy Thomas	437 Duchess Avenue North Las Vegas, NV 89030
Tasha Paige	9304 Jumping Juniper Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89128
Renay Barret	437 Duchess Avenue North Las Vegas, NV 89030
Scott Bakken, Def. Investigator	702-465-1799
Mark Preush, Def. Investigator	702-328-2464
Mildred Rodgers	437 Duchess Avenue North Las Vegas, NV 89030
Ne'Vaeh Matthews (16 years old)	2895 E. Charleston Ave. Apt. 2065 Las Vegas, NV 89104
Armeda Batchler	9024 Trombone Lane Henderson, NV 89074

Mark Chambers - Mr. Chambers is a Forensic eyewitness unreliability, memory issues expert. [See, Ex. A, C.V.] Mr. Chambers will testify as an expert witness in the areas of crime scene investigation/ examination, homicide scene investigation and eyewitness credibility. Mr. Chambers would testify to his review of the reports, transcripts, discovery and photographs in the instant case and his interpretations and opinions regarding same.

Ronald Scott – Mr. Scott is a Firearms expert. [*See, Ex. B,* C.V.] Mr. Scott will offer testimony concerning the sources of gunshot residue, additional information concerning the gun shot residue conclusions in this case, and the reliability of gunshot residue analysis.

Lyndsay Elliot, PSY.D., - Dr. Elliot is a doctor of psychology and mitigation specialist.

Dr. Elliot's C.V. is attached as Ex. C. Dr. Elliot will testify regarding the mitigation investigation she performed on Mr. Matthews.

These witnesses are in addition to the witnesses endorsed on the Indictment and any other witness for which a separate Notice has been filed by the Defendant and the State of Nevada over the duration of this case's procedural history, for which the Defendant expressly reserves the right to call all of the same.

DATED this this 8^{th} day of October, 2021

/s/ Richard E. Tanasi
TODD LEVENTHAL, ESQ.
RICHARD E. TANASI, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of Tanasi Law Offices, that on the <u>8th</u> day of October 2021, the undersigned served a true and correct copy the foregoing **NOTICE OF WITNESSES** by Electronic Filing to:

John Giordani
Chief Deputy District Attorney
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
John.Giordani@clarkcountyda.com
PDMotions@clarkcountyda.com

/s/ Richard Tanasi EMPLOYEE TANASI LAW OFFICES

Exhibit A

Curriculum Vitae

MARK J. CHAMBERS, PH.D.

8275 S. Eastern, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123 (702) 614-4550

LICENSURE NV License No. PY267

EDUCATION

1979	Stanford University	B.A. (Psychology)
1980	Stanford University	M.A. (Education)

1988 Northwestern University Ph.D. (Clinical Psychology)

ACADEMIC HONORS

Undergraduate: B.A. with Distinction; Phi Beta Kappa

Graduate: Northwestern University Presidential Fellowship, 1984-85

Walter Dill Scott Fellowship, 1986

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

American Psychology-Law Society Diplomate, American Academy of Sleep Medicine

FORENSIC EXPERIENCE

- 2000+ forensic evaluations for public and private agencies over the past 15 years
 Qualified as an expert witness in District, Federal and Military Courts for both civil and criminal litigation. Serve as expert for both prosecution and defense.
- Areas of expertise include, but are not limited to:
 - counterintuitive victim behavior/tonic immobility/domestic violence
 - risk of sexual reoffending/rehabilitation potential, including child porn possession
 - ► false sexual assault allegations
 - coerced confessions
 - suggestibility/child interview techniques
 - eyewitness unreliability/memory issues
 - competency to stand trial/criminal responsibility;
 - child custody and parental fitness
 - sleep disorders/effects of sleeping medications
 - drug/alcohol effects on judgment, decision making, memory, perception
 - sentencing mitigation/diminshed capacity
 - fight or flight/behavior under duress

CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

1999-present: Private Practice

- Evaluation and treatment of childhood behavior disturbances
- Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder assessment and management
- Parental skills training/Family therapy
- Evaluation and treatment of adult mood and anxiety disorders
- Stress management training
- Behavioral medicine
- Psychological testing
- Educational evaluations
- Sleep disorders.

2000-2004: American Sleep Diagnostics

Clinical Director

- Coordination of all clinical activities
- Assessment of patients
- Interpretation of test data
- Supervision of technical staff
- Consultation to referring physicians
- Community education/public relations

1993-1999: The Sleep Clinic of Nevada

Clinical Director

- Coordination of all clinical activities
- Assessment of patients
- Interpretation of test data
- Training and supervision of technical staffB
- Behavioral management of clinic patients
- Consultation to referring physicians
- Community education/public relations

1988-92: Stanford University Medical Center

Program Director

- Coordination of assessment and treatment of patients
- Clinical researchP
- Program budget analysis
- Psychophysiological testing

CLINICAL EXPERIENCE (cont)

1987-88: Dallas Child Guidance Clinic

APA-Approved Clinical Internship

- Psychological assessment
- Psychotherapy (family, group, and individual play therapy)
- Behavior therapy and parental skills training
- Forensic assessment
- Child sexual abuse assessment and treatment.

1986-87: Outpatient Psychiatry, Evanston Hospital

Clinical Practicum

- Clinical assessment
- Psychotherapy (individual adult and child therapy)

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

1994-2001: University of Nevada-Las Vegas

Instructor

Courses: Introductory Psychology

1990-92: Pacific Graduate School of Psychology

Associate Professor

Courses: Research Methods, Statistics I, Statistics II, Research Group

OTHER PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

1992-1999: Legal Psychology Consulting

Director

Independent consultation to attorneys, district attorney's office, government agencies, judges, on cases involving psychological issues.

1989-92: Pacific Graduate School of Psychology

Clinical Supervisor

Clinical supervision of graduate students in first-year practicum placements

1986-87: Leo Burnett Company

Research Associate

 Primary and secondary research concerning the effects of children's advertising and related issues

Exhibit B



RONALD R. SCOTT, M.A., M.S. Forensic Firearms & Ballistics 235 N Angeline Cir Prescott, Arizona 86303

Tel: 623.764.6371

Email: ronaldscott@azballistics.com

<u>www.azballistics.com</u> <u>www.forensic-ballistics.com</u>

Firearms - Ballistics - Police Shootings - Shooting Reconstruction & Investigations

Toolmarks & Comparison Microscopy - Dynamics of Shooting Incidents - Crime Scenes - Gunshot

Distance - Daubert Consultation - Gunshot Wounds - Hunting & Firearms Safety - Trajectory

- The investigator lacks forensic expertise, the crime scene technicians lacks investigative skills; typically much later a crime lab examiner removes evidence from a property room with minimal knowledge, if any, of the details or alleged version of events.
- Tangible and intangible evidence which should have been present at the scene is not considered; critical evidence gets overlooked or fails to be collected or documented.
- Result: the investigator, crime scene, and forensics fail to work in unison resulting in a flawed investigation abundant with omissions which can be intentional or unintentional.
- Attorneys/prosecutors do not realize the discrepancies which exist and are at a disadvantage in presenting their case.

I am privileged to be one of a handful of persons with combined experience in both forensic and criminal investigations with hands-on involvement in literally thousands of shooting incidents from septuple homicides to defective design of firearms including catastrophic failures.

Currently I am one of 24 forensic experts selected nationwide to be a member of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences Consensus Board on Firearms and Toolmarks which is responsible for developing the standards and methodologies to be employed in these areas as required by the Congressional Act which mandates the overhaul of all forensic science disciplines.

Sub-specialize in <u>police shootings</u> and have investigated over 400 officer involved shooting incidents. I was a member of the State Police Firearms Review Board, have conducted internal department shooting investigations.

I have been the Commanding Officer of the MSP Firearms Section, the Troop Shift Commander of 6 barracks, a Staff Inspector, Commander of an urban Boston area State Police Barracks, and Shift Commander of the state-wide Operations Section, and commanded the shift operations of multiple barracks at the troop level.

Military service in the Army Ordnance Corps testing and researching; total experience is almost 55 years in firearms & ballistics; and over 35 years in forensic areas; I have testified approximately 350 times at all levels of the civilian and military courts.



RONALD R. SCOTT, M.A., M.S. Forensic Firearms & Ballistics 235 N Angeline Cir Prescott, Arizona 86303

Tel: 623.764.6371

Email: ronaldscott@azballistics.com

<u>www.azballistics.com</u> <u>www.shootingreconstruction.org</u>

Firearms - Ballistics - Police Shootings - Shooting Reconstruction & Investigations

Toolmarks & Comparison Microscopy - Dynamics of Shooting Incidents - Crime Scenes - Gunshot

Distance - Daubert Consultation - Gunshot Wounds - Hunting & Firearms Safety - Trajectory

Curriculum Vitae

Formal Education:

- 1991 Master of Science in Management, Lesley College, Cambridge, MA.
- 1982 Master of Business Administration, 1 year of study in Macroeconomics, Analysis and Policy, Salem State College, Salem, MA.
- 1981 Master of Arts in Criminal Justice, Anna Maria College, Paxton, MA.
- 1980 Bachelor of Science Cum Laude, Law Enforcement, Northeastern University, Boston, MA.
- 1979 Graduate Study Criminal Justice, American International College, Springfield, MA.
- 1978 Associate in Science Criminal Justice, North Shore Community College, Beverly, MA.
- 1967 1969 Accounting & Finance, Bentley College, Waltham, MA. and Bryant & Stratton.

Ancillary Education:

- Massachusetts Institute of Technology, "For the Love of Physics", Professor Walter Lewin.
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology, "Introduction to Kinematics", Professor Walter Lewin.
- Yale University Lecture Series, Fundamentals of Physics, "Vectors in Multiple Dimensions", Professor Ramamurti Shankar.
- Attendance at presentations and lectures.

Informal, Technical, Forensic Education/Training:

- 2021 American Society of Crime Lab Directors "COVID-19 Emerging Issues: Remote Testimony" National Institute of Justice.
- 2021 Intact Low Explosives Analysis (Microscopical Analysis Methods) National Inst of Justice.
- 2021 "History of Statistics in Litigation", Forensic Technology Center of Excellence.
- 2019 Smith & Wesson Model MP-15 5.56mm NATO/.223 Remington, Raymond NH.
- 2019 Cody Firearms Museum and Archives, Cody, WY.
- 2018 Sig-Sauer P230, P232 and Springfield Armory M1A1 familiarization firing, Moultonborough, NH. Law enforcement firearms and and silencing devices for tactical use.
- 2018 Glock G26 and G42 familiarization firing, Phoenix, AZ.

- 2018 Class 2 and Class 3 Vendors Exhibition, Phoenix, AZ. (Silencers, muzzle devices, full auto firearms, new holster security level designs)
- 2016 Class 2 and Class 3 Vendors Exhibition, Phoenix, AZ. (New developments in ballistic protection devices, full auto handgun exhibition, newly designed tactical gear and equipment)
- 2013 Class 2 and Class 3 Vendors Exhibition, Phoenix, AZ.
- 2012 U.S. Department of Justice, NIJ "Forensic Photography".
- 2012 U.S. Department of Justice, NIJ "Principles & Thought Processes of Crime Scene Investigation".
- 2012 U.S. Department of Justice, NIJ "Answering the NAS: The Ethics of Leadership and the Leadership of Ethics".
- Invited Study Participant "An Empirical Study to Evaluate the Repeatability and Uniqueness of Striations/Impressions Imparted on Consecutively Manufactured Glock EBIS Gun Barrels", AFTE Journal, Volume 43, Number 1, Winter 2011, pp. 37-44.
- 2010 SAR NFA Class 3 Manufacturer's Exhibition, Phoenix, AZ.
- 2006 Trajectory Plotting Using Lasers and Capture with Digital Cameras. International Association for Identification, July 2-7, 2006, Boston, MA.
- 1993 Total Quality Management Program MSP Academy, New Braintree, MA.
- 1991 Contemporary Liability Issues for Modern Police Agencies, Springfield, MA.
- 1991 Smith & Wesson Academy, Contemporary Firearms Issues, Springfield, MA.
- 1981 MIT Leadership Program, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Sloan School of Business, Cambridge, MA.
- 1981 Forensic Examination (Medico-Legal) of Violent Death, Babson College, Wellesley, MA by Dr. George Katsas, Chief Medical Examiner Suffolk County
- 1980 Bureau of ATF National Firearms Academy, Firearms Examiner and Shooting Reconstruction Course.
- 1973 Massachusetts State Police School of Handguns, Achievement Grade "Master".
- 1973 Graduate of the Massachusetts State Police Academy (17 weeks curriculum)
- 1969 1970 Mechanical Engineering in HVAC, Raisler Corp., Boston, MA.
- 1966 1969 Mechanical Engineering Apprentice Program, GE, Lynn, MA.
- Continuing study in Newtonian Mechanics.
- 1963 1966 United States Army Ordnance Corp:
 - Field and sustainment maintenance on small arms, infantry weapons and towed artillery.
 - 4 week Small Arms Repair Course
 - Explosive Ordnance Disposal course (EOD), disposal and disarming of unexploded ordnance.
 - o 8 week Ordnance School; identification and repair of mortars, grenades, propelled munitions, recoilless rifles, armored vehicle weapons, and infantry heavy weapons.
 - o 4 week
 - o Fort Gordon, GA Basic Training and Advanced Infantry Training (M14, M1911, M1)
 - o Fort Benning, GA Paratrooper School.
 - o Fort Dix, NJ Explosive Ordnance Reconnaissance School.
 - o Fort Dix, NJ, US Army Ordnance School (Explosive Ordnance Disposal).
 - o Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD US Army Small Arms Repair School (5 weeks).
 - o Aberdeen Proving Ground: ammunition, trajectory, chamber pressure testing; prototypes including the Stoner M63 Light Machine Gun.
 - o U.S. Army Marksmanship Unit, 7th Army, USAREUR.
 - o Small Arms Maintenance Unit Armorers Course, Vilseck, Germany.
 - o Combat Lifesaver Course.
 - o Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear Defense Course.

- Small Arms Trainer Course.
- o USSW .50 Caliber Training Course.
- o Ammunition Handlers Certification Course (AHC).
- o 2½ years with 40th Ordnance Co. researching development of Eastern Bloc weapons.
- o Company armorer M79 Grenade Launcher, .50 Cal MG, .45 ACP, M14 and M14A1 Rifles, Thompson .45 ACP.
- o 7th Army Combined Arms School (2 months in residence training), Vilseck, Germany.
- o Crew chief .50 caliber M2 Browning HB Machine Gun.
- o Military Occupation Specialty 45B with depot level repair qualification.
- o 1965 Operation "Power Pack" Dominican Republic.

Ballistics & Weapons Training/Experience 1963 – present:

- Massachusetts State Police Firearms Section (1979-1992) Commanding Officer.
- Massachusetts State Police Shooting Review Board for Officer Involved Shootings.
- Massachusetts State Police STOP Team weapons training and evaluation including full automatic, grenade launchers, tear gas, incendiaries, airborne platform firearms, non-lethal firearms and ammunition.
- Massachusetts State Police Transitional evaluation & testing of semi-automatic pistols.
- MSP Academy & Department Firearms Training (over 400 hours).
- US Army Natick Research Lab Ballistic Materials Research and Testing of military protective equipment.
- US Army Watertown Arsenal, United States Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center.
- Ft. Devens Special Warfare Weapons Center 10th Special Forces Group.
- Association of Firearms and Toolmark Examiners.
- Federal Firearms Manufacturer of custom .45 ACP M1911 Pistols and Colt (Ranger) AR-15 Semi-automatic and automatic rifles.
- IHMSA Professional Shooting Competition and Firearms Development.
- Ammunition reloading and propellant burn rates.
- Camp Curtis Guild National Guard Training Facility (Military weapons).
- Camp Edwards National Guard Training Facility (Military ordnance & firearms).
- U.S. Dept of Treasury, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms National Academy for Firearms Investigations and Examiners.
- MA Criminal Justice Training Council seminars and workshops on medico-legal investigations.
- Continuing research through readings, casework, attendance at scientific meetings.
- National Institute of Justice (NIJ) of the U.S. Department of Justice.
- Triangle Tool and Die, Lynn, MA. subcontract manufacturing of firearms parts.
- Periodic attendance at forensic presentations and lectures.

Armorer Courses:

Armorer courses and/or actual familiarization with manufacturing, design, function at factories for:

 Marlin Beretta Browning Mossberg Remington • Colt

• Sturm Ruger • Smith & Wesson* • Thompson Center Arms

 Dan Wesson Glock*

• Sig-Sauer* • Winchester • Saco-Maremont**

Iver Johnson

Ithaca

• Savage Arms

• Gunsmithing (Brochu Custom Cartridge)

- * Indicates the extended law enforcement armorer course.
- ** Military M60 MG and hammer forging of tank and artillery barrels.

<u>Voting Member of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences Standards Consensus Board on Firearms and Tool Marks:</u>

The Firearms and Toolmarks Consensus Body focuses on standards and guidelines related to the examination of firearms and toolmark evidence. This includes the comparison of microscopic toolmarks on projectiles, cartridge cases, and other ammunition components firearm function testing, serial number restoration, muzzle-to-object distance determination, gunshot residue, trajectory and bullet path analysis, etc.

The Consensus Board is responsible for creating and approving by consensus Forensic Standards, Technical Reports, and Best Practice Recommendations for the forensic science community and conformance with the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

Innocence Projects:

- Medill Innocence Project (Northwestern University School of Law).
- Arizona Innocence Project (Northern Arizona University).
- Downstate Illinois Innocence Project (University of Illinois, Springfield).
- Wisconsin (University of Wisconsin Law School).
- California Innocence Project (CA Western School of Law).

Expert Testimony or Significant Casework Venues:

International:

Afghanistan

Iraq

Nigeria

• Haiti

Canada

Israel

• Philippines

Colombia

United Kingdom

• U.S. Virgin Islands

Pakistan

National: All 50 states.

Police Shootings:

Since 1979 I have been involved in the forensic, criminal, or internal investigation of approximately 450 police shootings where the officer either discharged his firearm or was fired upon.

Gunshot Wound Ballistics:

Trained extensively with Drs. George Katsas (Chief Medical Examiner of Suffolk County and Forensic Pathologist at the Southern Mortuary) and Albert Shub (Medical Examiner of Essex County), attended numerous presentations by Drs. Vincent DiMaio, Martin Fackler, and George Katsas.

Made forensic presentations at medical symposiums in MA and NH.

Conducted, supervised, reviewed, or assisted at hundreds of fatal/non-fatal gunshot incidents including wound ballistics interpretation prior to the institution of the modern Medical Examiner system.

Have attended approximately 700 post mortems involving gunshot wounds and trauma. **Bates No. 0039**

Lectures and Presentations:

Harvard University
Northeastern University
Boston University
District Attorney Seminars/Conferences
American Academy of Forensic Sciences
Arizona State University (Phoenix-Glendale)

Massachusetts State Police Academy Municipal Police Academy MDC Police Academy Metro Boston Emergency Medical System Sportsman's Clubs (Firearms & Hunter Safety)

Other Experience:

- Extensive reloading knowledge
- Professional shooting awards
- Barrel performance
- Ammunition penetration tests
- Collector & Federal Firearms Dealer
- Mil-Spec Testing
- Less than lethal ammunition

- Built/customized numerous firearms
- Chamber pressure trace testing
- Long-range trajectory testing
- Improvised firearms
- Destructive testing
- Silencers and improvised devices
- U.S. Army Marksmanship Unit

Publications:

Oral presentations only.

Military - U.S. Army (1963-1966):

Military Occupational Specialty (MOS) 45B:

Performed DS/GS maintenance on small arms and other infantry weapons, and towed artillery; provided technical guidance; diagnosed malfunctions of small arms and other infantry weapons, and towed artillery; small arms/artillery repairer fire control system components, towed artillery and armored vehicle (including tanks) fire control systems.

Professional Employment 1967-1973:

- Mechanical Engineering Apprentice Program, General Electric, Lynn, MA. Jet engine fabrication, assembly, and inspection; alternating classroom and practical application utilizing vertical and horizontal milling machines, turret lathes, boring mills, drill press, rotary, Bridgeports, Milwaukee Maddock, etc.
- Mechanical Engineering (on-site) apprenticeship for Raisler-Lappin Corp., A Joint Venture, at the New England Merchants National Bank 37-story office tower building, Boston, MA. Engineering design and blueprinting for heating, ventilating, air conditioning, plumbing, and sheet metal.
- Triangle Tool & Die Company, Lynn, MA. Subcontract manufacturer for General Electric Co and major firearms manufacturers. Produced jet engine parts, firearms springs, ejectors, firing pins, drift pins, and miscellaneous small internal parts.
- Senior accountant and auditor, O.C. Moyer & Co, Certified Public Accountants, Boston, MA. Medium sized local public accounting firm providing financial services to professional athletes, corporations, and non-profit entities.

• Senior auditor and accountant at B&L Management Co., Somerville, MA. Firm specialized in capital venture, investment, development, and management of land, nursing homes, management companies in New England.

MASSACHUSETTS STATE POLICE 1973 -1998:

<u>1973: Massachusetts State Police Academy Graduate:</u> 17 Weeks in residence police training course with approximately 80 hours of dedicated firearms and tactical shooting.

<u>1973 – 1979 Field Operations:</u> Criminal/Traffic Investigations, Logan Airport Delta Airlines crash, Boston Busing enforcement, State Prison riots, state forest and game preserves, Salisbury Beach Detail. Senior Trooper on 6-Officer Selective Enforcement "55 Team"; commercial vehicle enforcement, Presidential security, Seabrook Nuclear Power Plant, sporting events, crisis response, major traffic incidents, local police assistance, Executive Security, criminal investigations, crime scenes, and special assignments.

<u>1979 – 1992: Firearms Section:</u> Commanding Officer of two labs, trained 5 additional firearms experts. Conducted forensic investigations statewide and out-of-state. Combined labs averaged 1500-1700 cases annually for fatal, non-fatal, accidental, defective design, malfunctions, voluntary/involuntary, toolmark microscopy, gunshot distance determination, trajectory, crime scenes, autopsies, wound interpretation, shooting reconstruction, firearms safety, ballistics, improvised and prison made firearms, modified and altered full auto, catastrophic failures, pen guns, police procedures. Conducted agency transitional firearms evaluation testing 1987-88. Member of Firearms Review Board. Shooting investigations and reconstruction of incidents involving organized crime, bank and armored vehicle robberies, officer involved shooting incidents, hunting incidents.

- **1992:** Shift Commander "GHQ": General Headquarters Operations Section. Supervised statewide troop operations, 911 system, communications system, special investigations, consolidation of police forces, authorized and coordinated the use and response of department services to State Police entities, local cities and towns.
- <u>1992 1995: Shift Commander Troop "H":</u> Supervised 4 barracks (approximately 150 officers) covering metropolitan Boston. Special advisor on the Consolidation of Police Forces. Training Coordinator for new Officers; department internal investigations, commanded special details.
- <u>1995: Shift Commander Troop "A"</u>. Supervised 6 barracks (approximately 250 officers) responsible for the northeast quadrant of Massachusetts. Training Coordinator for new Officers; department internal investigations, commanded special details.
- <u>1995 1997: Commanding Officer-Revere Barracks:</u> Responsible for 65-officer municipal oriented policing operation covering cities of Lynn, Nahant, Chelsea, E. Boston, Winthrop, and Revere. Gang activities. Specialized units included motorcycles, off-road and beach patrols, K-9, Mounted Unit. Primary jurisdiction on state and MDC beaches, parks, roads and waterways.
- **1997: Shift Commander Troop "A".** Supervised 6 barracks (approximately 250 officers) responsible for northeast quadrant of Massachusetts. Training Coordinator for new Officers, department internal investigations, commanded special details (sporting events, etc.).

<u>1997-1998: Staff Inspector:</u> Conducted investigations involving ethics, misconduct, use of force, shooting investigations, audits of drugs, cash, evidence, contraband, security and special investigations. Unannounced inspections of personnel and equipment. Evaluated the operational readiness of department units. Reported directly to Superintendent/Colonel of State Police.

<u>1973-1998: Annual In-Service:</u> Various annual certifications and non-certification areas; CPR recertification, law updates, firearms training and qualification, pursuit and high speed driving, skid pan, physical agility, policy and procedure, rules and regulations, ethics, tactical operations, etc.

Professional Organizations and Societies:

- American Academy of Forensic Sciences (AAFS).
- AAFS Academy Standards Board Member, Consensus Board for Firearms & Toolmarks.
- International Association for Identification (IAI).
- International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP).
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS).
- On-Call Scientists of the American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- Association of Firearms and Toolmark Examiners (AFTE) (Former member.)
- American Society of Criminology (ASC). (Former member.)
- NRA Life Member.
- Sigma Epsilon Rho Honor Society.
- Voting Member, American Academy of Forensic Sciences Standards Board, Consensus Board for Firearms and Tool Mark Examinations.

Expertise:

- Police shootings
- Police SWAT Team Tactics
- Suicide case review
- Trajectory analysis
- Homicide & Nonfatal shooting case review
- "ELR" Extreme Long Range shooting (sniper tactics)
- Accidental Discharges resulting from defective design or mechanical defects
- Inadvertent Discharges
- Involuntary Discharges
- Friendly fire incidents
- Projectile (bullet) behavior in angled glass gunshots.
- Pattern matching of impression evidence (burglarious tools, etc)
- Analysis of forged money bag or bank bag seals
- Crime scenes focus on firearms and ballistics evidence.
- Daubert standards for ballistics analysis
- Tool mark microscopy
- Shooting dynamics
- Reaction time in shooting incidents
- Firearms safety protocols
- Gunshot wounds
- Theory of Identification Testimony
- Evidence collection protocols
- Drag model analysis

- Chamber pressure
- Hunting protocol
- Defective design
- Time Speed Distance
- Catastrophic failures of firearms barrels and chambers
- Kinetic energy calculations of projectiles
- Gyroscopic stability
- Macro measuring digital/mechanical of bullets, cartridge cases, firearms
- Photomicrographs
- Training
- Bullet path analysis
- Calculation of the lead distance to strike moving targets
- Analysis of glass fracture patterns from gunshot
- Witness panel protocol in bullet fragmentation
- Penetration and perforation interpretation of gunshots in metal, wood, glass, etc.
- Characteristics of gunshot impressions based upon the angle of incidence
- SmartDraw and/or PowerPoint
- Wind deflection and diagramming of fired projectiles
- Modified, improvised, full-auto conversions
- Discharged cartridge case patterns
- Gunshot distance determination testing
- Departmental review evaluation
- Angle of incidence
- Prison made firearms
- Velocity testing
- Firearm discharge in a struggle
- Crime lab policy and procedure
- Generally accepted methodology and required documentation to support opinions
- Best practices protocols in scientific analysis of ballistics evidence
- Shooting incident reconstruction
- Training personnel to use ballistics software
- Instrumentation calibration
- Firing Range Design and inspection
- Gunshot Distance determination testing
- Holster design, retention levels, and testing for defective design
- Police firearms training, threat assessment
- Police contagious firing syndrome
- Discharged cartridge case extraction and ejection patterns
- Shooter and victim location based on terminal ballistics data.
- Terminal ballistics
- External ballistics
- Interior ballistics
- Shotgun pellet pattern analysis.
- Shotgun pellet measuring and weight to determine gauge
- Drive by shootings from a moving vehicle.
- Shooting incidents involving multiple moving vehicles
- Firearms evidence search protocols (lane search, grid search, etc)
- Use of metal detectors in ground searches for fired projectiles

- Effects of alcohol, drugs, or other substances on firearms safety.
- Determining what evidence should be present based upon an alleged version of events
- Military courts-martial including Article 32 hearings
- Explosive ordnance including mortars, grenades, artillery, plastic explosive, etc.

Qualifications Statement Addendum to CV

I am a 25-year plus retired Commissioned Officer of the Massachusetts State Police with over half my career in the MSP Ballistics Section and was the Commanding Officer of the main and sub-labs with 7 forensic examiners; I conducted, supervised, and trained personnel in forensic investigations, shooting reconstruction, and the dynamics involved in shooting incidences. I have also conducted criminal investigations related to shooting incidences and other crimes.

During the 1980s and early 1990s I conducted significant time investigating, recovering evidence, performing forensic examinations, and providing conclusions and assistance to the State and Federal Task Forces which were involved in the investigation of organized crime elements within the New England area; these included the Genovese (New York) related Gennaro Angiulo (underboss to Raymond Patriarca of Rhode Island) Family, the Winter Hill Gang (Howie Winter – Boss), South Boston Irish Mob (Whitey Bulger – Boss), the Genovese related Worcester Crime Family (Carlo Mastrototaro – Boss), and other smaller Patriarca controlled crime families in Springfield and Lowell; the Hells Angels, Outlaws, Crips, Bloods, and numerous other smaller criminal street gangs.

The firearms lab provided crime scene investigation and forensic examination services to 350 cities and towns, all State agencies, Federal agencies except the FBI, and the military services in Massachusetts. I was an appointed member of the MSP Firearms Review Board which evaluated departmental officer involved shooting incidences and made recommendations for discipline, training, and/or changes and improvements to Department Policy and Procedure and Department Rules & Regulations.

As a member of the Staff Inspections Unit, I conducted agency shooting investigations, claims of excessive force and/or police misconduct, and violations of Policy & Procedure and Rules & Regulations. During 1987-88 I conducted evaluation testing of multiple models and makes for semi-automatic firearms using methodology similar to that employed by the military weapons testing.

I have investigated over 400 police involved shootings including incidents of fatal and nonfatal shootings, friendly fire injuries and deaths, involuntary or unintentional discharges, appropriate and inappropriate use of deadly force with firearms, less-than-lethal and non-lethal ammunition shootings, conducted electrical weapons, firearms of single shot, semi-automatic, and full automatic design; this includes revolvers, pistols, rifles, machine guns, grenade launchers, shotguns.

Conducted thousands of forensic investigations, including crime scenes, attended approximately 700 post-mortems, and was trained in the forensic interpretation of gunshot wounds from a shooting reconstruction perspective (particularly, with respect to the relative positions of the shooter or firearm and the victim) by Chief Medical Examiner of Suffolk County, Dr. George Katsas and Dr. Albert Shub of Essex County. I have also attended numerous gunshot wound presentations and read the treatises on gunshot wound evidence authored by prominent forensic pathologists.

In addition, I have trained with or studied treatises published by other prominent forensic pathologists since 1979 in gunshot wounds and terminal ballistics. I have personally observed fatal and non-fatal

gunshot wounds as they have occurred in my presence. I have attended the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms National Firearms Academy Investigation course, FBI courses, state law enforcement courses, medico-legal death seminars, thousands of hours of in-service training at the State Police Academy, numerous crime scene shooting reconstruction courses, forensic seminars, etc.

In the aggregate, I estimate having been personally involved in about 5000 shooting investigation cases dating back to 1973. Of that total approximately 1000 were fatal shootings with the remainder consisting of non-fatal, property damage, bank and armored car robberies, domestic violence, malicious destruction, inadvertent and unintentional discharges, hunting and firing range incidents, self-inflicted wounds, drive-by shootings, self-defense, negligent and unsafe firearms safety discharges, military and combat injuries from gunshots, organized crime, terrorist activities, friendly fire, spontaneous disassembly and catastrophic firearms and ammunition failures, homemade and prison made firearms and silencers, international assassination attempts resulting in gunshot injury, shooting incidents in international waters and other types of shooting investigations and reconstruction.

During my experience and training I have utilized and analyzed several hundred video and audio recordings of shooting incidents to reach scientific conclusions, including the existence and use of a firearm, the rate of fire, muzzle flash, type of firearm in the video, time, speed, and motion over distance using slow-motion and frame-by-frame sequencing. These video and audio recordings have included private monitoring systems, surveillance systems, police observation units, police in-car dash camera video, on-body camera and audio devices, Go-Pro camera digital video, civilian recordings on cell phones and digital cameras, and video and/or audio recording evidence provided by the news media or other sources.

As an expert witness I have testified over 350 times in all areas of firearms, ballistics, shooting reconstruction, and shooting dynamics at all levels of the court system including Federal Court and Military Hearings. Testimony also has been given before the Massachusetts Legislature and consultation provided to Massachusetts Congressmen to assist with legislative issues.

My U.S. Army active duty career was within the Ordnance Corps and included extensive training and assignment in the testing, evaluation, repair and research of small arms and training in Explosive Ordnance Reconnaissance. I attended the U.S. Army Ordnance School at Ft. Dix and Small Arms Repair School, at Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD and spent most of my military career researching and testing Eastern Bloc weapons systems during the mid-1960's while assigned to an Ordnance Company in Germany where I was the Company Armorer and Crew Chief of the Headquarters Weapons Platoon responsible for the training and operating of .45 Auto Model 1911 Pistols, M14 Rifles, M14A1 Full Automatic Rifles, Browning M2 HB crew served machine gun, M79 Grenade Launcher, AR-15 Assault Rifles, M16 Full Auto Assault Machine Rifles, M72 LAW Rocket, M20 Super Bazooka, and various types of explosive ordnance (grenades, claymore mines, etc).

I was qualified to perform the highest level of repairs to the M2 and most small arms and machine guns. I was qualified as both a "Direct Support" level technician and a "General Support Level" technician and armorer. At the unit level I was the Armorer for the 40th Ordnance Company located at Neureut Kaserne in Karlsruhe, Germany.

I have trained with the MSP Special Tactical Operations (STOP) team, been involved in shooting incident reconstruction from airborne platforms including police and military helicopters, and with the 10th Special Forces Group at Fort Devens, MA for special weapons and tactics.

My weapons proficiency includes significant professional competition championships including World International Handgun Metallic Silhouette Championship 1980 winner, being the first Massachusetts

State Police Officer to fire a perfect combat course score with a revolver; I hold a proficiency rating of Master Shooter and was a firearms instructor for several decades, and have had both a Federal and State Firearms License for full automatic firearms; I am currently a Federally Licensed Firearms Dealer and have taught Firearms and Hunter Safety Courses.

In 2002 I became an independent forensic consultant and provide services including firearms, ballistics, shooting reconstruction, ballistic testing, gyroscopic stability; internal, external, and terminal ballistics; reaction time, analysis of time and motion in a shooting incident, trajectory and drag model analysis and other specialized services. I have been retained by the U.S. Military, engineers, insurance companies, attorneys, prosecutors, authors, architects, Innocence Projects, and conducted work for both plaintiffs and defendants in civil litigation matters.

Since 2002 I have been involved in over 900 investigations requiring shooting reconstruction and/or forensic investigation in every state, and in Haiti, Virgin Islands, United Kingdom, Israel, Afghanistan, Iraq, Canada, Nigeria, the Philippines, Colombia, and Pakistan.

My forensic training, education, and experience are over 35 years, and my overall experience with firearms, ballistics, etc., exceeds 57 years. I have testified on numerous occasions regarding discharged cartridge case ejection and received advanced training and have personally conducted hundreds of generally accepted tests for determining discharged cartridge case ejection patterns, including actual testing of semi-automatic pistols, full automatic pistols, and machine guns and submachine guns, as well as studied literature on ejection pattern testing protocols published in the Journal of the Association of Firearms and Tool Mark Examiners, the Journal of Forensic Science published by the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, the FBI Bulletin, during factory Armorer Courses, Investigative Science Journal, and numerous books on shooting reconstruction including those by Dr. Vincent J. M. DiMaio, Edward Hueske and Lucien Haag, the Indiana Professional Engineer Magazine, blood splatter analysis, gunshot distance, wound ballistics, angles of gunshot impact, and the elements of time, motion, and distance in shooting incidents including reaction time for various shooting motions, rate of fire, holster retention levels, gunshots occurring during struggle over a firearm, and other issues.

I voluntarily submit to proficiency testing with the Collaborative Testing Service and I am one of approximately 24 voting members of the American Academy of Sciences *Academy Standards Board* (*ASB*) which is developing Best Practices, Technical Reports, and the national standards required by the Congressional Forensic Science Act in the area of firearms and tool mark examinations through the Consensus Board for Firearms and Tool Marks.

I have given peer-reviewed scientific forensic presentations at numerous colleges and universities, seminars for District Attorneys and private attorneys, emergency medical service responders, police academies, private investigator meetings, and at annual meetings of several professional organizations of which I am a member or former member.

Exhibit C

LYNDSAY ELLIOTT, PSY.D., Inc.

Clinical and Forensic Psychologist, Mitigation Specialist • PSY 21342 1550 Bayside Drive

Corona Del Mar, CA 92625 949-280-7729 phone 888-830-8285 fax

DrLyndsayElliott@me.com

EDUCATION

Doctor of Psychology - Clinical Psychology

June. 2005

Individual, Family, and Child Emphasis
California School of Professional Psychology

Alliant International University - Alhambra, California

Master of Arts - Clinical Psychology

August, 2003

California School of Professional Psychology

Alliant International University - Alhambra, California

Bachelor of Arts - Psychology

August, 1998

California State University, Northridge

PRIVATE PRACTICE

Mitigation Specialist

Non-Capital Psychological Sentencing Evaluations

Capital Psychosocial Consultation

Psychosocial Chronological and/or History Preparation

Competency Evaluations

Social Historian

Psychodynamic Psychotherapy

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

University of California, Los Angeles

MENTS February 2006 to February 2007

Lecturer

CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

University of California, Los Angeles

Neuropsychiatric Institute - Department of Psychiatry

Orofacial Pain Clinic - School of Dentistry

July 2004 to June 2005

Population: Adults with Chronic Pain Conditions and Secondary Psychiatric

Diagnoses

Wright Institute Los Angeles

July 2003 to June 2004

Population: Adults, Adolescents, Couples

Valley Community Clinic

September 2002 to August 2003

Population: Adults, Adolescents, Children, Families

Eating Disorder Center of California

April 2002 to October 2002
Population: Adults, Adolescents

ASSESSMENT EXPERIENCE

Pasadena City College - Pasadena, California
Psychological and Learning Disability Assessment Clerk

September 2001 to May 2002

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE University of California, Los Angeles

Research Assistant/Site Coordinator - Freidreich's Ataxia Genetic Study

September 2003 to December 2006

PRESENTATIONS

Psychology of Violence: Role of the Forensic Psychologist

University of California at Irvine

July 2008 April 2009

FORENSIC WORKSHOPS ATTENDED Capital Case Defense Seminar
California Attorneys for Criminal Justice

February 2011

Teaching Jurors Mitigation - Craig Haney

Legal and Investigative Issues for Non-Attorneys - Katy O'Donnell and Nancy

Pemberton

Prosecution Mental Health Exams - Michael Burt and Michael McMahon

DSM V - Dale Watson, Lisa Greenman and Kathy Wayland

Addressing Psychopathy in the Courtroom – *John Edens and Lisa Greenman* Frontloading Drug Use/Making it Mitigation – *Christopher Adams and Denny*

LeBoeuf

Deconstructing MMPI and Personality Tests - Denise Gragg

Capital Case Defense Seminar

California Attorneys for Criminal Justice

February 2009

Legal Developments for Non-Attorneys - Elizabeth Semel and Nancy Pemberton

Lessons from the Capital Jury Project - Scott Sundby

When Clients Want No Mitigation - Sean O'Brien and Denise Young

Age Zero to Three: Neurobiological and Psychological Aspects of the First Three

Years of Life - Kathy Wayland, PhD and Juliana Humphrey

Emerging Mental health Theories and Technologies - Kathy Wayland, PhD, Jack

Earley, and Dale Watson, MD

Anatomy of a Mental Retardation Hearing - Sean Bolser and Edward Sousa

Exploiting Atkins, Simmons and Kennedy - Mark Olive

Capital Defense Training

National Consortium for Capital Defense Training

June 2008

Investigation Issues in a Capital Case - Jeffrey Thoma

Considerations in Presenting the Penalty Phase Case and Storytelling – *Steven Harmon*

When fact and Mitigation Investigations Overlap and Integrating Guilt and Penalty Phase Themes and Theories – *Danalynn Rercer*

The Whys and Hows of Victim Work - Lisa Eager

Brainstorming Strategies for Getting Enough Funds and Time to do the Right Thing, and How Everyone on the Team Must be Involved – *Jeffrey Thoma*Penalty Phase Closing Demonstration – *Steven Harmon*

Capital Case Defense Seminar

California Attorneys for Criminal Justice *February 2008*

Legal Issues for Non-Attorneys - Michael Ogul and Nancy Pemberton

Habeas Fundamentals - Denise Kendall and Pamela Silller

Race Matters - Bryan Stevenson and Jennifer Eberhart, PhD

Handling an Insanity Case - Robert Sanger and Charles Scott, MD

Understanding Responses to Traumatic Stress - Lisa Greenman and Rebekah Bradley, PhD

Mental Health Issues in Our Cases - Kathy Wayland, PhD, Rick Dudley, MD and George Woods, MD

Brainstorming Your Case

Childhood Trauma/Attachment Disorders - Danny LeBeouf

Representing Foreign Nationals/Mexican Clients – *Greg Kuykendall and John Cotsirilos*

Finding and Presenting the Mitigation in Your Case – Russ Stetler and Craig Haney, PhD

The Faces of Wrongful Conviction

UCLA Law School

April 2006

Post-Conviction DNA Testing – Susan Rutberg, Mary Litkins and Cynthia Jones Effects of Incarceration – Lola Vollen, MD, Heather Weigand, Alejandro Villansenor Future Directions – Ken Starr, Bryan Stevenson, Linda Starr and Gloria Killian

Pre-Trial Competencies

Richard Rogers, Ph.D., ABPP
American Academy of Forensic Psychology
April 2006
Miranda Warnings and Waivers
Competency to Stand Trial

Capital Case Defense Seminar

California Attorneys for Criminal Justice

February 2006

How to Make New Cases Work for Our Clients - Lynne Coffin, Michael Ogul and Elisabeth Semel

Child Development: Effects of Trauma - Patricia Van Horn, Ph.D. and George Woods, M.D.

Finding the Link Between Mitigation and the Crime – Kevin Doyle and Russ Stetler Working with Trial Counsel and Trial Experts – Lynne Coffin and Marcia Morrissey Why Miranda Warnings are Not Understood – Richard Rogers, Ph.D., Jacqueline Crowle and Charles Weisselberg

Mental Health Issues After Smith – *Denise Gragg and James Thomson*Understanding Competency and It's Assessment – *Richard Rogers, Ph.D. and John Philipsborn*

Development and Integration of Mitigation Evidence

Administrative Courts of the United States

April 2005

Wiggins: Its Impact and Future - Mark Olive

A Unified Theory of Investigation and Case Theory: It's Not Just Mitigation – *Dick Burr and Scharlette Holdman*

Mitigation in the Trenches: Lessons for Trial and Post-Conviction – Kevin McNally Acceptable Standard of Care for Investigators in Capital Cases – Scharlette Holdman, Russ Stetler and Kathy Wayland, Ph.D.

The Development and Presentation of Our Clients' Cognitive Functioning – *Dick Burr, Pam Leonard and Dale Watson, M.D.*

Pervasive Developmental Disorders: Autism Spectrum Disorders - *Margo Rocconi, Arturo Silva, M.D. and Harry Simon*

Investigating Your First Capital Case – *Scharlette Holdman and Russ Stetler* Understanding Brain Development – *Ruben Gur, M.D.*

Schizophrenia: The Positive and Negative Symptoms – *Ruben Gur, M.D. and Gary Sowards*

APD, Psychopathy and Heinousness - Kathy Wayland, Ph.D.

Trauma: Recognizing and Understanding its Effects - Kathy Wayland, Ph.D.

Records Review - Russ Stetler and Kathy Wayland, Ph.D.

Post-Conviction - Sean O'Brien and Julie Hall

Client Context: Understanding and Explaining the Role of Race, Religion and Culture – Scharlette Holdman and Skip Gant

Trial: Frontloading Mitigation - Sean O'Brien

Victim Outreach - Tammy Krause and Kelly Branham

Working with Experts - Gary Sowards and Dale Watson, M.D.

Recruitment and Training Issues - Scharlette Holdman, Russ Stetler and Kathy Wayland, Ph.D.

Advocacy in a New Era

The Habeas Corpus Resources Center

March 2005

The Standard of Care in Mitigation and the ABA Guidelines – *Mark Olive and Russ Stetler*

Atkins Update - James Ellis

Litigating Adaptive Functioning - Richard Burr

International Law - Mark Warren

Interviewing Traumatized Witnesses, Including Sexual Trauma Survivors - Kathy Wayland

Challenges to Lethal Injections - Gary Clements and Mark Heath

Understanding Psychotic or Paranoid Conditions – *Xavier Amador*Pervasive Developmental Disorders: The Autism Spectrum – *Peter Gerhardt*

Role of Forensic Psychologist in Death Penalty Litigation

Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., ABPP American Academy of Forensic Psychology *March 2005*

Forensic Opportunities in Clinical Psychology

Reuben Vaisman, Ph.D. Alliant International University March 2005

Capital Case Defense Seminar

California Attorneys for Criminal Justice *February 2005*

Plenary for Non-Lawyers – *Lee Norton and Jackie Walsh* Storytelling – *Jerome Brumer and Anthony Amsterdam*

Understanding Psychotic States: Including High Functioning Individuals – *Jack Early and Rod Pettis*, M.D.

Underdeveloped Brains and Damaged Brains – Erin Bigler, M.D. and Gary Sowards Pseudoscience vs. Real Science – Michael Burt

Melding Social History with Mental Health Issues – *Scharlette Holdman and Jack Early*

How Conditions of Confinement Affect Our Clients Throughout the Case – *Craig Haney, Ph.D. and Terry Kupers, M.D.*

False Confessions - Jason Cox and Richard Leo, Ph.D.

Preparing the Mental Health Expert for Cross Examination – *Gary Sowards and Scharlette Holdman*

Poverty, Trauma and Gangs - James Diego Vigil, Ph.D. and Jeannie Sternberg

SEMINARS ORGANIZED

Forensic Opportunities in Clinical Psychology

Alliant International University *March 2005*

Professional Development Seminar

Alliant International University October 2004

PROFESSIONAL TRAINING

Private Practice Supervision Group

Jane Van Buren, Ph.D.

September 2004 to June 2005

University of California, Los Angeles

Neuropsychiatric Institute - Department of Psychiatry

July 2004 to June 2005

Thoracic Outlet Syndrome, Musculoskeletal Screening for EMG Biofeedback Myokynetic Training, Clinical Hypnosis, Psychology and Psychopharmacology in Pain

University of California, Los Angeles

Neuropsychiatric Institute - Eating Disorders Conference

October 2003 to May 2004

Phenomenology of Eating Disorders, Medical Complications, Etiology and Pathogenesis of Eating Disorders, Psychopharmacology of Eating Disorders, Psychotherapeutic Aspects of Eating Disorders, Characterological Aspects of Eating Disorders, Transference and Countertransference, Cognitive Behavioral Treatments of Eating Disorders, Laxative Abuse, Family Therapy for Anorexia Nervosa, Chronic Recalcitrant Patients with Eating Disorders, Males with Eating Disorders, Obesity and Eating Disorders, Pregnancy in Eating Disorders, Private Practice Panel

Wright Institute Los Angeles

Psychoanalytic Training July 2003 to June 2004

Psychodiagnostic Testing, Eating Disorders, Treatment of Primitive Mental States, Role of Imitation in Intersubjective Experience and Violence, Freud, History of Psychoanalysis, Clinical Use of Dreams, Conjoint Therapy, Core Issues in Psychotherapy, Legal Issues in Psychotherapy, Building a Private Practice, Psychoanalysis in APA Division 39, Contextualizing Gender Identity: Social and Political Issues, Reflective Parenting Attachment Theory in Practice, the Curative Factors of Analytic Therapy

Pepperdine University - Graduate School of Education and Psychology Fall 2000

Courses Completed: Group Therapy, Theories of Personality, Assessment for Marriage and Family Therapists

CLINICAL WORKSHOPS ATTENDED

Child Abuse and Neglect - Margie Dominguez, Ph.D.

Alcoholism and Chemical Dependency - Steven Sideroff, Ph.D.

Current Trends in Neuropsychology - Robert Leark, Ph.D.

An Interpersonal Neurobiology of Psychotherapy - *Daniel J. Siegel, M.D.* Dopaminergic Alterations in Human Cocaine Users - *Karley Little, M.D.*

Psychopharmacology Update - Michael J. Gitlin, M.D. Chemical Dependency in Therapy -Drew Pinsky, M.D.

Broken Connections: Children and Domestic Violence -Karen Jackson, Ph.D.

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Psychology 350 - Principles of Learning

Student Teacher

August 1996 to May 1997

PROFESSIONAL APPOINTMENTS

Communications Coordinator

California School of Professional Psychology - Student Senate

2003 to 2005

Consultant

California School of Professional Psychology - Strategic Planning Task Force

April 2004 to June 2005

HONORS AND AWARDS California School of Professional Psychology

DS Academic Scholarship

2001 to 2005

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

California Attorneys for Criminal Justice

National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers

National Association of Sentencing and Mitigation Specialists

Death Penalty Focus

American Psychological Association

American Psychological Association - Division 41 (Forensic Psychology)

California Psychological Association Orange County Psychological Association

Electronically Filed 10/22/2021 8:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NWEW STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 JOHN GIORDANI Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #012381 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 -VS-CASE NO: 06C228460-2 12 JEMAR MATTHEWS, aka, DEPT NO: XII Jemar Demon Matthews, #1956579 13 Defendant. 14 15 STATE'S SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESSES AND/OR EXPERT WITNESSES 16 17 TO: JEMAR MATTHEWS, aka, Jemar Demon Matthews, Defendant; and 18 TO: TODD M. LEVENTHAL, Counsel of Record: YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF 19 20 NEVADA intends to call the following witnesses and/or expert witnesses in its case in chief: ADAM, Z. – LVMPD #9028 21 ALBY, ROCKY – LVMPD #1810 22 23 ANKENY, J. – LVMPD #9155 ATKINSON, K. - LVMPD #8542 24 BAKER, CHAD - LVMPD #6266 25 BALLEJOS. J. - LVMPD #8406 26 27 BANEZ, N. – LVMPD #9030 BARNETT, J. - LVMPD #8733 28

V:\2006\568\59\200656859C-NWEW-(MATTHEWS, JEMAR)-002.DOCX

Bates No. 0055

1	BASSLOTT, G LVMPD #8447
2	BECK, S. – LVMPD #6275
3	BEITEL, M LVMPD #8092
4	BENTLEY, BETTY - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
5	BOLDEN, MELVIN - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
6	BOYD, FRED - LVMPD #5216
7	BRIGGS, D. – LVMPD #6201
8	BROOKS, NIKOLE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
9	BROWN, C LVMPD #4973
10	BRUINS, SANDRA – 4805 PALM TREE COURT, NLV NV
11	BURGESS, D - LVMPD #4004
12	BURKE, A. – LVMPD #8802
13	BURKS, MICHAEL – c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
14	CALARCO, MICHAEL - LVMPD
15	CAMPBELL, MARION - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
16	CARTER, A. – 333 S. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV 89101: Expert on the
17	identification, activities, monikers, associations between and interactions of criminal street
18	gangs, including, but not limited to: Squad Up and Wood gangs, and will testify thereto, as
19	contemplated by NRS 193.168, as well as to the culture and subculture of those groups,
20	including but not limited to, beliefs, customs, language, lifestyle, codes of conduct, criminal
21	activity including felonious activities, nomenclature, symbols, weapons, attire, image, and
22	rivalries as well as relevant factors related to the conduct, status and customs of criminal street
23	gangs including, but not limited to those listed in NRS 193.168(7).
24	CAVARICCI, A LVMPD #9024
25	CONN, T LVMPD #8101
26	COOK, MYNIECE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
27	CROCKETT, ELIZABETH, 2909 MULLIGAN, LV NV
28	CULVER, D. – LVMPD

1	CUP, BRADLEY - LVMPD #8104
2	DALE, G LVMPD #2731
3	DEPIERRO, M LVMPD #9027
4	DONEGAN, C. – LVMPD #5591
5	DUKES, J LVMPD #5656
6	DUNN, C LVMPD #8253
7	ERICSON, E. – LVMPD #6218
8	EVANS, R. – LVMPD #8372
9	EWING, B LVMPD #8412
10	FAIRFAX, STEVE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
11	FANBELLENA, R LVMPD #6834
12	FASULO, T LVMPD #4061
13	FENDER, K LVMPD #8896
14	FLETCHER (SMITH), STEPHANIE - LVMPD P#6650 (or designee): CRIME
15	SCENE ANALYST: Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation
16	of evidence and is expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation,
17	collection and preservation of the evidence in this case.
18	FULLER, P LVMPD #8774
19	GARRETT, R LVMPD #9408
20	GAUTHIER, KELLIE - LVMPD P#8691 (or designee): Expert in the field of DNA
21	extractions, comparisons, analysis, and the identification of bodily fluids and is expected to
22	testify thereto.
23	GILBERT, L. – LVMPD #6513
24	GUYER, J LVMPD #7430
25	HARDWICK, J LVMPD #6056
26	HARDY, KENNETH - LVMPD #3031
27	HERNANDEZ, MONICA – 4805 PALM TREE COURT, NLV NV
28	HICKMAN, NAURICE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101

1	HOOKS, GARY – c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
2	HOWARD, D LVMPD #6824
3	HOWARD, MATTHEW – 1001 W. ADAMS, LV NV
4	HUBBARD, R LVMPD #7181
5	HUGHES, P. – LVMPD #9084
6	HUNT, R LVMPD #3833
7	IBARRA, NESTOR – 259 N. LAMB BLVD #A, LV NV
8	JENSEN, B LVMPD #3662
9	JOHNSON, R LVMPD #4395
10	JONES, ANTWON – c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
11	KELLY, T LVMPD #3807
12	KENT, R. – LVMPD #6179
13	KOPF, M. – LVMPD #8420
14	KRYLO, JAMES - LVMPD P#5945 (or designee): FIREARMS/TOOLMARK
15	EXAMINER with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He is an expert in the field
16	of firearm and toolmark comparisons and is expected to testify thereto.
17	KYGER, T LVMPD #4191
18	LEON, RUTH - DA INVESTIGATOR
19	LEWIS, A LVMPD #8898
20	LEWIS, P LVMPD #8559
21	LOEFFLER, M LVMPD #9247
22	MARTINEZ, MICHAEL - BEXAR COUNTY LAB
23	MATTISON, DANIELLE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
24	MCLAUGHLIN, RANDAL - LVMPD P#4170 (or designee): CRIME SCENE
25	ANALYST: Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of
26	evidence and is expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection
27	and preservation of the evidence in this case.

28

1	MCDHAIL DANDALL LVMDD D#2226 (or designed), SENIOD CDIME SCENE
1	MCPHAIL, RANDALL - LVMPD P#3326 (or designee): SENIOR CRIME SCENE
2	ANALYST: Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of
3	evidence and is expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection
4	and preservation of the evidence in this case.
5	MEAD, K. – LVMPD #5831
6	MITCHELL, J. – LVMDP #1829
7	MOGG, CLIFFORD - LVMPD #5096
8	MOON, RIC – DA INVESTIGATOR
9	MOORE, KYLE – 2770 S. MARYLAND PKWY, LV NV
10	MOUTIMER, P LVMPD #8572
11	MURRAY, S LVMPD #4147
12	NELSON, J. – LVMPD #6825
13	NEMCIK, AMY - LVMPD P#8504 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST:
14	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
15	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
16	preservation of the evidence in this case.
17	NEWTON, D LVMPD #5278
18	NICOLS - LVMPD #4398
19	O'KELLEY, D. – LVMPD #4209
20	OLSON, DR. ALANE (or designee): A medical doctor, employed by the Clark County
21	Coroner's Office as a Deputy Medical Examiner/Forensic Pathologist. She is an expert in the
22	area of forensic pathology and will give scientific opinions related thereto. She is expected to
23	testify regarding the cause and manner of death of Mercy Williams.
24	ORDUNO, GEISHE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
25	OVERSON, C LVMPD #6035
26	OWENS, NICOLAS – NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS #1016817
27	PEACOCK, J LVMPD #8276

28

1	PERKINS, MIKE – LVMPD #4242 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST II:
2	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
3	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
4	preservation of the evidence in this case.
5	PETRUCCI, D. – LVMPD #6733
6	POLLOCK, W. – LVMPD #7203
7	PRICE, R LVMPD #7925
8	PUNNUCCI, A. – LVMPD #7063
9	RENHARD, LOUISE - LVMPD P#5223 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST:
10	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
11	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
12	preservation of the evidence in this case.
13	RICHTER, J LVMPD #5629
14	RIOS, K LVMPD #8422
15	RISSO, LEANNA - LVMPD P#8175 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST:
16	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
17	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
18	preservation of the evidence in this case.
19	ROBERTS, L. – LVMPD #3379
20	ROBINSON, RICARDO - CITY OF HENDERSON
21	RUFFINO, DAVID - LVMPD P#1502 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST:
22	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
23	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
24	preservation of the evidence in this case.
25	RUNDELL, R. 0 LVMPD #8719
26	SABRA, J. – LVMPD #7299
27	SAMS, JESSIE – LVMPD P#4793 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST: Expert
28	in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is expected

1	to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of the
2	evidence in this case.
3	SCHOFIELD, G LVMPD #2930
4	SEED, M. – LVMPD #6724
5	SHANE, D. – LVMPD #6727
6	SHOEMAKER, R LVMPD #2096
7	SHRUM, SHELLY - LVMPD P#7917 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST:
8	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
9	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
10	preservation of the evidence in this case.
11	SINK, J LVMPD #8528
12	SMINK, JEFF - LVMPD P#6556 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST: Expert
13	in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is expected
14	to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of the
15	evidence in this case.
16	SPEAS, WILLIAM - LVMPD P#5228 (or designee): CRIME SCENE ANALYST:
17	Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of evidence and is
18	expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection and
19	preservation of the evidence in this case.
20	STEIMETZ, B LVMPD #8902
21	THACKER, R. – LVMPD #4440
22	TOLEFREE, JAY - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
23	TOLEFREE, MICHEL-LE - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
24	TOTH, CHERYL – 6099 CARLSBAD AVE, LV NV
25	TOTH, JENNIFER – 900 DOOLITTLE #226, LV NV
26	TRAMMELL, MATTHEW - DA INVESTIGATOR
27	TREMAL, DONALD - LVMPD #2038
28	TREMEL, DON – LVMPD #2038

1	TWIGGER, K. – LVMPD #9060
2	VACCARO, JAMES - LVMPD #1480
3	VACHON, CRYSTINA (or designee): FORENSIC SCIENTIST with Bexar County
4	Criminal Investigation Laboratory, San Antonio, Texas - She is an expert in forensic testing
5	and analysis in the area of Trace Evidence and is expected to testify thereto.
6	WACT, M. – LVMPD #9165
7	WALKER, SHARON – 2930 MOUNTIAIN VISTA, #203, LV NV
8	WALTER, BRIAN - LVMPD #8080
9	WALTON, MARVIS - ME #0011
10	WASHINGTON, DARVEL – 2113 LAWRY, NLV NV
11	WASHINGTON, MARC - LVMPD P#4725 (or designee): CRIME SCENE
12	ANALYST: Expert in the identification, documentation, collection and preservation of
13	evidence and is expected to testify as an expert to the identification, documentation, collection
14	and preservation of the evidence in this case.
15	WIGGINS, R. – LVMPD #9192
16	WILDEMANN, MARTIN - LVMPD #3516
17	WILLIAMS, CORA - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
18	WILLIAMS, JOE – 8185 HUMMING LANE, LV NV
19	WILLIAMS, SHAUNA - c/o CCDA, 200 Lewis Avenue, LV, NV 89101
20	WILLIS, J LVMPD #6683
21	WILSON, ROBERT - LVMPD #3836
22	YU, M., - LVMPD #5808
23	These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information or
24	Indictment and any other witness for which a separate Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert
25	Witnesses has been filed.
26	
27	
28	

1	The substance of each expert witness' testimony and copy of all reports made by or at
2	the direction of the expert witness has been provided in discovery.
3	A copy of each expert witness' curriculum vitae, if available, is attached hereto.
4	
5	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
6	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
7	
8	BY /s// JOHN GIRODANI
9	JOHN GIORDANI Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #012381
10	Nevada Bar #012381
11	
12	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION
13	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 22nd day of
14	October 2021, by electronic transmission to:
15	TODD LEVENTHAL
16	<u>leventhalandassociates@gmail.com</u>
17	RICHARD TANASI, ESQ. rtanasi@tanasilaw.com
18	<u>Italiasi@taliasiaw.com</u>
19	
20	BY /s/ Stephanie Johnson Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
21	Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	06F19196B/saj/MVU

Electronically Filed 4/25/2022 11:30 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN 1 2 **DISTRICT COURT** CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 3 4 5 STATE OF NEVADA, 6 Plaintiff(s), Case No. 06C228460-2 7 VS. DEPT. XII 8 JEMAR MATTHEWS (AKA) JEMAR DEMON MATTHEWS, 9 Defendant(s). 10 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, 12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 14 15 THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2021 16 17 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: 18 **JURY TRIAL – DAY 1** 19 20 21 22 (Appearances on page 2.) 23 24 RECORDED BY: SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER 25

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667

Bates No. 0064

1	APPEARANCES:	
2 3	For the State:	JOHN L. GIORDANI, III, ESQ. (Chief Deputy District Attorney)
4		AGNES M. BOTELHO, ESQ. (Chief Deputy District Attorney)
5	For the Defendant(s):	TODD M. LEVENTHAL, ESQ.
6		RICHARD E. TANASI, ESQ.
7	Also Present:	
8	Samantha Goett, State's in	ntern
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2021 [Proceeding commenced at 10:05 a.m.] Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel. THE COURT: State versus Jemar Matthews. Mr. Matthews is present, he's in custody. Do the attorneys -- and this hearing is taking place outside of a jury panel. Do the attorneys want to make the appearances? MR. TANASI: Good morning. Richard Tanasi and Todd Leventhal for Mr. Matthews, who's present in custody. MR. GIORDANI: And good morning, Your Honor. John Giordani and Agnes Botelho on behalf of the State. Also present with us is one of our interns who's been assisting with jury selection. In the last trial and hopefully in this trial, if the Court is okay with it. Her name is Samantha Goett. THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. MS. BOTELHO: Good morning, Your Honor. MR. LEVENTHAL: Good morning, Judge. THE CLERK: I'm sorry, do you have your bar number? MR. GIORDANI: No, she doesn't. THE COURT: She's their intern. THE CLERK: Okay. THE COURT: You're in school, correct? [Pause in proceedings.] THE COURT: We can't get the panel for another 10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	minutes.	
2	MR. GIORDANI: It's all right. We actually have several	
3	things to put on the record.	
4	THE COURT: Okay.	
5	MR. GIORDANI: One of which just kind of popped up.	
6	THE COURT: Well, the first thing, I know on the last trial	
7	he waived the penalty hearing. Is that going to happen again?	
8	MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
0	MR. LEVENTHAL: We've got the stip right here.	
1	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	
2	MR. GIORDANI: May I approach?	
3	THE COURT: Uh-huh. Okay. So this is a little different	
4	than the last one.	
5	MR. GIORDANI: It is.	
6	THE COURT: So I just and that was intentional, I'm	
7	assuming?	
8	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
20	MR. TANASI: That's correct, Your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Matthews, I have	
22	MR. TANASI: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	
23	THE COURT: Let's see, I just want to make sure there's a	
24	signature.	
25	Mr. Matthews, I have before me a stipulation and order	
	4	

1	be an opportunity to argue to the Court for any lawful sentence; do	
2	you understand that?	
3	THE DEFENDANT: What is any lawful sentence?	
4	THE COURT: I'm sorry?	
5	THE DEFENDANT: What is any lawful sentence?	
6	THE COURT: There would be three	
7	THE DEFENDANT: A lawful sentence	
8	THE COURT: possibilities.	
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.	
0	THE COURT: Life without the possibility of parole.	
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.	
2	THE COURT: Life with the possible of parole after serving	
3	a minimum of 20 years.	
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.	
5	THE COURT: Or a term of years, 50 years with parole	
6	eligibility beginning after a minimum of 20 years has been served,	
7	plus the equal and consecutive for the deadly weapon	
8	enhancement.	
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.	
20	THE COURT: And you understand that, correct?	
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.	
22	THE COURT: All right. And you've had an opportunity to	
23	discuss all this with your lawyers?	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.	
25	THE COURT: And you believe it's in your best interest to	

1	enter into this stipulation, correct?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: And that is your signature on page 2?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
5	THE COURT: And you read it before you signed it?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
7	THE COURT: And you discussed it with your attorneys
8	prior to signing it?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: And did they answer all your questions to
11	your satisfaction?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
13	THE COURT: And do you have any questions of the
14	Court?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Once I once it's going on right now,
16	if [indiscernible] would I be able to take that back?
17	THE COURT: No.
18	THE DEFENDANT: No? All right.
19	THE COURT: No. All right, that's why it's important,
20	because
21	THE DEFENDANT: I just wanted to ask that.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Because the parties are also agreeing
23	not to say anything about sentencing and penalty during voir dire.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
25	THE COURT: Okay? So neither side will be able to talk
	1

1	about punishment at all during jury selection.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure, because you
6	can't take it back. I mean, if the jury returns that specific verdict,
7	then the Court would determine the appropriate sentence, not the
8	jury.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And, again, that's what you want to
11	do?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So it'll be filed with the clerk.
14	Anything else?
15	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. Several items, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Go ahead.
17	MR. GIORDANI: The defense did file a motion regarding
18	the gang affiliation. I've communicated, obviously, off the record to
19	defense counsel that we intend to not elicit the term gang or gang
20	affiliation, similar to the agreement we had in the 2018 trial.
21	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
22	MR. GIORDANI: There are certain things that we will have
23	to sanitize, such as, I guess most importantly, the fact that
24	Mr. Matthews and Mr. Joshlin were in the same gang and fellow
25	gang members. We had discussed that I believe at the bench last

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: So last time, we were unable to locate him. Now he's in custody, we know where he is.

THE COURT: If --

MR. GIORDANI: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: With that said, we've not spoken with him yet, so I've no idea what he's going to say. However, we did notice him and we also noticed a detective, Andre Carter, who interviewed him back in '07 or '08 -- '06 or '07. It was a long time ago -- it was back then.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: That detective was a gang detective at the time and did an officers' report that indicated that Mr. Joshlin and Mr. Matthews were at that murder the day before. Their buddies wanted -- or fellow gang members, as the guy who got killed, Marty [sic] Williams -- and they were going to make a move on someone.

So I'm telling the Court this now because it changes the dynamic. Right? And I think defense counsel might disagree. But to me, we -- that's clearly admissible for motive and we can sanitize it and say, look, a friend got killed and they wanted revenge. Not -- we don't have to say it's a gang rivalry and Mr. Williams, who was killed the day before, is part of this gang, et cetera.

So there are many things that may happen in this trial,

1	Detective or Officer Carter. And the only thing that I was able to
2	find was the temporary custody record, which is not a statement.
3	THE COURT: For who?
4	MR. TANASI: For
5	THE COURT: For Owens?
6	MR. TANASI: For Mr. Carter. He filled out the temporary
7	custody record for Mr. Matthews.
8	THE COURT: Oh.
9	MR. TANASI: That's the only document that I was able to
10	find.
11	Again, I'm not saying I'm saying we don't have it.
12	Right? And I think it can be cured at this point, as long as we can
13	get it. And then we certainly don't have anything with respect to
14	Mr. Owens' current statements.
15	THE COURT: Well, sounds like the State doesn't, either.
16	MR. TANASI: Right.
17	MR. GIORDANI: I can't tell if the gamesmanship has
18	already begun or not. But I disclosed this to these guys yesterday
19	and they said nothing about not having it. They've had it since '18.
20	So I've got it in my electronic file, which is the same file that has
21	been copied and disclosed to the defense. I've got it right here, I
22	can pull it up and show them and maybe they can double-check.
23	But it's
24	MR. TANASI: So no gamesmanship. It is
25	MR. LEVENTHAL: No, I

1	THE COLIDT: Vesh if you want to a mail it to me
	THE COURT: Yeah, if you want to e-mail it to me
2	MR. LEVENTHAL: very possible that we don't have it.
3	THE COURT: I'll print it right now and hand it to him.
4	MR. LEVENTHAL: Not exactly sure about the
5	gamesmanship. He did mention it. But we were sitting there
6	looking at our folder for this.
7	THE CLERK: Mr. Giordani, do you want to e-mail it to me?
8	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
9	[Pause in proceedings.]
10	THE COURT: And the only thing relevant to that witness
11	would be the detective's officer report. Right?
12	MR. GIORDANI: In this yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. GIORDANI: In the discovery, yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Right. And it's three pages long, for the
17	record.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. GIORDANI: So you I'll e-mail that to defense
20	counsel and
21	[Pause in proceedings.]
22	MR. GIORDANI: And, Judge, one final thing on this gang
23	issue. It was I believe it was previously addressed in '18, as well.
24	There are two main officers who identify Mr. Matthews as jumping
25	out of the wrecked getaway vehicle. I can't recall which one, but I

1	tampering with the 2018 evidence. So the new stickers cannot go
2	over the 2018 stickers.
3	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
4	MR. GIORDANI: So what we intend to do is just refer to
5	the prior trial as a prior hearing.
6	THE COURT: Right. I believe that's what we did last time.
7	So when you're referring to a preliminary hearing or any type of
8	testimony, just refer to it as the prior hearing.
9	MR. TANASI: Understood, Judge.
10	MS. BOTELHO: And, Your Honor, for the record,
11	concerning the officers' report written by Detective Andre Carter, it
12	was disclosed to the defense in on August 3rd of 2018 in a set of
13	discovery, specifically, the officers' report is Bates stamp
14	Number 509, 510, and 511, to account for the three pages.
15	And the entire homicide file from that September 29th
16	homicide was also disclosed, beginning with Bates stamp 481 and
17	ending in 717.
18	MR. LEVENTHAL: I think it's moot, we have it now.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. LEVENTHAL: We're good.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Well, there we go.
23	MR. LEVENTHAL: I'm not sure why we 're still talking
24	about it. We've got it, we're good.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Well, because you said you didn't have it

1	THE COURT: All right. Just today?
2	MR. GIORDANI: Just now.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Literally, just now.
5	Apparently, Mr. Matthews intends on having people print
6	out shirts to intentionally influence the jury outside of the
7	courtroom. I've
8	MR. LEVENTHAL: Judge, I spoke to the family. They are
9	not going to I spoke to them last night, I told them not to.
10	Straight up, I said
11	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
12	MR. LEVENTHAL: you're going to get kicked out. The
13	judge doesn't appreciate it. And I'd rather you hear, if you're going
14	to be here and support him, just be in plain clothes and support him
15	and sit. And so I took care of that last night.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Well, my record's not done.
17	MR. LEVENTHAL: So they won't be here.
18	MR. GIORDANI: So
19	THE COURT: I do appreciate that.
20	MR. GIORDANI: the jail calls indicate that, specifically
21	THE CLERK: Do you want me to play what you sent me
22	for Judge?
23	MR. GIORDANI: Well, that's up to the judge. I just I
24	wanted to provide both calls.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: So Call Number 1, at -- starting at around 2 minutes is where he discusses the shirts. But the real troubling one and the one I want to make a clear record about is Jail Call 2. Starting around 4 minutes, 44 seconds, where the defendant, Jemar Matthews, admits the objective is to communicate things to the jury that can't be said in court. That is extremely troubling to the State.

And while I appreciate the fact that Mr. Leventhal stopped -- attempted to stop them from doing this, apparently knew about it in advance, I have some concerns, right? If there are -- is even a single t-shirt in the hallway that refers to a prior trial or says anything that is not evidence, then that's improperly influencing the jury. And it's clear Mr. Matthews wants to do that.

So I don't know how we address that, Judge. I wanted to bring it up to the Court before we start bringing these folks from the public up to the courtroom.

THE COURT: Well, if there's anyone out there and -- while the jury's around trying to influence the jury panel, they will be escorted out of the courthouse and they will not be permitted to come back.

MR. LEVENTHAL: Judge, I made that perfectly clear that they are not -- because that question was asked.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. LEVENTHAL: What if we don't go in? I said, no. No t-shirts in the hallway, no t-shirts outside, nothing that would

1	MR. GIORDANI: Out where, though? In the hallway,
2	right?
3	THE MARSHAL: Out in the hallway.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
5	THE MARSHAL: This morning.
6	MR. GIORDANI: We can't
7	THE COURT: I mean, the problem is, is that I can't be in
8	every place in the courthouse. But if there is any type of attempt to
9	influence this jury panel, number one, it'll cause a mistrial.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: So I just have to believe that's not what you
12	want.
13	THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Because it'll just cause a mistrial.
15	THE DEFENDANT: No, I mean, I know what's in
16	THE COURT: If I have people interfering with the jury
17	panel.
18	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't know that my [indiscernible]
19	was just I didn't know that we couldn't bring before we found
20	out about we couldn't bring up [indiscernible] trials. I was just
21	speaking, like, this is my third time. Yes, I want the I I felt like
22	the jury should know that, but my attorney tells me we're not going
23	to we've got to refer to it as prior event prior
24	THE COURT: You think it would be good for this jury to
25	hear that two prior juries have convicted you?

1	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't know I didn't jitters, you
2	could say the jitters or whatever, just trying to, I don't know, I got
3	my attorneys let me know. So I'm done.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	THE DEFENDANT: It won't happen.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	THE MARSHAL: I can't control outside the building, but I
8	can let the sergeant know that if he sees any of those shirts, they're
9	not allowed in.
10	THE COURT: Well, we sure can. Someone's outside the
11	building trying to intimate, influence the jury panel, I sure can
12	control it.
13	THE MARSHAL: No, I understand. But I can let the
14	sergeant know that if somebody tries to come in the building with
15	that word, that they're not allowed to
16	MS. BOTELHO: Absolutely. That's what yes, we would
17	request that, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	[Pause in proceedings; counsel and client confer.]
20	MR. LEVENTHAL: So my client thinks that the t-shirt
21	THE COURT: You need to have your mask up too.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Sorry.
23	MR. LEVENTHAL: What he indicated was he maybe
24	we're belabored at this point, but he saw that Mersey's the
25	victim's family came with RIP Mersey. I didn't see that during trial

1	Are you guys ready?
2	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Bring them in.
5	[Pause in proceedings.]
6	MR. GIORDANI: Judge?
7	THE COURT: Yeah?
8	MR. GIORDANI: I've now received another jail call
9	THE COURT: Yeah, don't bring the panel in.
10	[Pause in proceedings.]
11	MR. GIORDANI: So, Judge, obviously, the jury's out of
12	the room. I just received another jail call. Apparently, the new plan
13	is to do a photo shoot with the shirts in front of RJC. Also,
14	Matthews wants the crew to wear shirts outside the building on the
15	last day of trial. His girl's trying to explain things to him.
16	Bottom line is they're going to make the effort for the jury
17	to see their shorts that say "Third trial, innocent man, free Jemar!"
18	with his picture on it.
19	So I don't know the exact date and time, but I'll forward
20	this to defense counsel and you as well. When he says new plan,
21	that is
22	THE COURT: Who said new plan?
23	MR. GIORDANI: That is the detective who's listening to
24	the calls conveying that to me. So I don't know if that is well,
25	actually. I can check the call for the date.

get a mistrial, Judge. My intention wasn't to try to convince the jury, I just want it to be known -- I didn't know that we couldn't make it -- this is my third trial. So I wanted to put I'm a innocent man. I didn't know -- but I seen shirts last time with RIP Mersey, Justice for Mersey, things of this nature. So I felt I could do the same thing when I talked to my --

THE COURT: You've got to keep your mask up. Sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: Sorry about that. When I talked to --when I talked about it to my attorney and I --- when he came here
today, he could see --- he talked to my friend last night. He scold me
when we up in that thing, you cannot do this, do not have this
done. Okay. When I get back -- I can't just -- I can't get on the
phone when I get ready. When I get back up, everything has to be
cancelled. It wasn't intention, oh, the jurors -- juror -- I want them -and, yes, I thought it would be the [indiscernible] they start seeing, I
thought we would be able to do the same. Now that I know we
can't do that, it will not be done.

THE COURT: Yeah. I promise you your attorneys do not want to introduce evidence to this jury panel that this is your third trial and that you've been convicted by two prior trials. That is, in my opinion, very prejudicial to you. So why you would try to get your family to convey that to a jury panel, it's beyond comprehension. I mean, do you want a jury to say, well, two other juries found him guilty, so we'll be the third. That would be outrageous.

1	THE DEFENDANT: I think I just put the wagon before the
2	horse. Sorry.
3	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
4	THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I I put the wagon before the
5	horse and I'm sorry and it won't it's not going to happen.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. GIORDANI: For the record, I've sent that third call.
8	Can we have all three of those calls marked as court exhibits if I put
9	them on discs or
10	THE CLERK: Yeah, if you put them on discs.
11	THE COURT: Yeah, you have to
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Can we bring the panel in now?
14	MR. GIORDANI: Sure, Judge.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	[Prospective jury panel convened at 10:38 a.m.]
17	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of
18	the jury venire?
19	MR. GIORDANI: We do, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And the defense?
21	MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, Your Honor.
22	MR. TANASI: Yes, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to
25	Department 12 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. My name is

Michelle Leavitt and I'm the presiding judge in Department 12.

You've been summonsed here today to serve as jurors in a criminal case entitled State of Nevada versus Jemar Matthews.

Before I do allow the attorneys to introduce themselves, I'm going to introduce the staff in Department 12 and give you a brief description of what they do.

Officer Hawks is the court marshal in this department.

He's is in charge of the security. He is also the person that you will have the most contact with. Throughout this process of selecting a jury and after we do have a jury selected, myself, the staff in Department 12 with the exception of Officer Hawks, the parties and the attorneys are not permitted to have any communication with you whatsoever outside of the courtroom. So if there's anything that you need to communicate to the Court, I ask that you do so inside the courtroom in the presence of both sides or you can communicate with Officer Hawks.

Throughout this process of selecting a jury, we will see you in the hallway. We may see you in the elevator or coming in and out of the courthouse. We are not ignoring you. We will not acknowledge you, we won't even respond if you say hello to us. Please do not be offended by that. We are all just maintaining our ethical obligation to maintain the integrity of the jury system.

So, again, outside of the courtroom, Officer Hawks is the only one that you can have any communication with.

To my right is Sara. Sara is the court recorder in

Department 12. It's her job to take down everything that's being said during these proceedings. At some point she'll be called upon by myself to prepare what we call a written transcript, which means that she will type a written transcript, verbatim, of everything that is said during these proceedings. Therefore, when you are called upon to address the Court, I just ask that prior to responding, you give your name, the badge number that's been provided to you by the jury commissioner before responding, so that we have a clear and accurate record of who is speaking at all times.

To my right is Hailey, and Hailey is the clerk of the court. She keeps the official minutes. She's also the person that will take charge of all of the evidence when it's introduced at the time of trial.

To my left is Sheree and Sheree is the law clerk in Department 12. She's a licensed attorney in the state of Nevada and she assists the Court with legal issues.

Both sides are now going to give you a brief statement of the facts. They will also read to you their witness lists. I ask that you pay close attention to the names of the witnesses who will be called to testify in this matter, because at some point, I will ask if you're familiar with any of the witnesses who will be called to testify.

Mr. Giordani?

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is John

Giordani, I'm a chief deputy district attorney with the homicide unit at the Clark County District Attorney's Office. Seated over to my right is Agnes Botelho, she's also a chief deputy district attorney with the DA's office. And then Samantha Goett is a certified intern through the Nevada Supreme Court.

We have alleged, ladies and gentlemen, that on September 30th of 2006, the defendant, Jemar Matthews, shot and killed Mersey Williams here within Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. We further allege that the defendant conspired with multiple other individuals to murder someone at Mersey's location.

After the shooting, we've alleged that the defendant and his co-conspirators fled the scene on foot where they car jacked an elderly couple. A high-speed pursuit ensued after the carjacking. They wrecked the vehicle and an officer-involved shooting occurred, as well.

As a result of those allegations, we've charged the defendant, Jemar Matthews, with conspiracy to commit murder, murder with use of a deadly weapon, multiple counts of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon for some of the other folks that were next to Mersey, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, conspiracy to commit robbery, possession of a short-barreled rifle, as well as assault with a deadly weapon.

I'm about to read you a witness list. Not all of these folks will necessarily testify this week. However, if you -- this week and next -- however, if you do recognize any of these names, please let

the Court know, we can't have jurors that know personally or witnesses in the case.

Now, the following are lay witnesses or named victims.

Myniece Cook; Michel'le Tolefree; Geishe Orduno; Mel Bolden;

Melvin Bolden.

The following are Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

Department employees: Officer -- or now Lieutenant Bradley Cupp;

Officer Brian Walter; K-9 Officer Cord Overson; Officer Michael

Calarco; Retired Crime Scene Analyst David Ruffino; Amy Nemcik,

also a crime scene analyst; former crime scene analyst and now lab

worker Stephanie Fletcher; Crime Scene Analyst now retired Randy

McPhail; Clark County Coroner's Office Medical Examiner to discuss
the autopsy of Mersey is Dr. Lisa Gavin; Crime Scene Analyst

Leanna Risso, now retired; gunshot residue expert Crystina Vachon,
she resides in Bexar County, but will be coming in to testify; retired
homicide detective Marty Wildemann; retired firearms analyst

James Krylo; former detective -- retired Detective Andre Carter.

And lay people or lay witnesses by the names of Nicholas Owens and Maurice Hickman.

Thank you all for your time and attention this week and next.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Leventhal?

MR. LEVENTHAL: Good morning, everybody.

My name is Todd Leventhal and with me is Rich Tanasi and Jemar Matthews to his left.

As tragic as it was with the death of Mersey,

Mr. Matthews has maintained his innocence and continues to do so
to this day. The only witnesses at this point that we'll be calling is a
Mark Chambers, as well as a Ronald Scott. And, again, if you know
any of us or know of any of these two people, please bring it to the
Court's attention.

Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

At this time, the clerk will call the role of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name is called, if you'll please indicate present or here.

[Prospective jury panel roll called.]

THE COURT: Anyone whose name was not called by the clerk of the court? Okay. The record will reflect no response by the panel.

If you'll please stand, raise your right hand so the clerk can administer the oath.

[Prospective jury panel sworn.]

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Ladies and gentlemen, the jury, prior to the voir dire, has the right to have the charges read to you. The clerk is going to read the charging document. Just wanted to remind everybody that as Mr. Matthews sits here today, he is presumed innocent.

[Information read.]

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

We're about to commence what is called voir dire examination. The term voir dire means to tell the truth. During this process, you'll be asked questions bearing upon your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. To accomplish this result, various questions will be asked of you by myself or counsel for the parties. On occasion some of these questions will seem somewhat personal. While we do not wish to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives, the questions are necessary so that counsel and the Court can make an intelligent determination as to your capabilities to serve fairly and impartially. I want you to know that myself and the attorneys and all persons involved in this case are concerned with having this matter tried by jurors who are completely open-minded, neutral, objective, and unbiased in their thinking.

Wide discretion is vested in the trial judges to the method of examination of jurors. As I stated previously, I will personally conduct the voir dire, but I will give the attorneys the opportunity to participate in the questioning. It is important that you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all the questions we're about to ask you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything which might indicate bias or prejudice of any sort by any of you.

Should you fail to answer truthfully, if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may

tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you're discharged as jurors.

Your decision should be based upon all of the evidence presented during the trial and not based upon preconceived prejudice or bias. I will conduct a general voir dire examination of all of you while you're seated in the audience. After those general questions, the clerk will call the first 32 names using the order provided to us by the jury commissioner to fill the jury box.

At some point during the process of selecting a jury, the attorneys for both sides will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. These requests are called challenges. There are two types of challenges. Challenges for cause and peremptory challenges. A challenge for cause means that a juror's been excused because his or her answers to some of the voir dire questions indicate that he or she would have a difficult time in giving a fair and impartial hearing to the case.

I will ask the attorneys to pass or waive the prospective jurors for a cause challenge. When they are done questioning the prospective jury panel. A peremptory challenge means that a juror can be excused from duty without counsel having to give a reason for the excusal. Please do not be offended should you be excused by either of the challenge procedures. They are simply a part of the procedure designed to protect the rights of the parties under our system of government.

And, again, if you wish to respond in the affirmative, I just

1	ask that you raise your hand. We'll make sure the microphone gets
2	to you and you state your name and badge number before
3	responding.
4	Has anyone on the panel been convicted of a felony? The
5	record will reflect no response from the panel.
6	Is there anyone on the panel who is not a United States
7	citizen?
8	Officer Hawks?
9	If you would state your name and badge number.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Ninfa Sana, 0448.
11	THE COURT: 0448? And you're not a U.S. citizen?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: I'm a green card holder.
15	THE COURT: Pardon?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: A green card holder.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And did you tell the jury
18	commissioner you were not a U.S. citizen?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: When I
20	THE COURT: When you checked in, did you tell them you
21	were not a U.S. citizen?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can have a seat.
24	Anyone else on the panel who is not a U.S. citizen? The
25	record will reflect no further response from the panel.

Is there anyone who is not a resident of Clark County,
Nevada? Okay. The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who have such a sympathy, prejudice, or bias relating to age, religion, race, gender, or national origin that you feel would affect your ability to be an open-minded, fair, and impartial juror? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are acquainted with the defendant in this matter? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are familiar with his attorneys? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are familiar with the deputy district attorneys that have been assigned to prosecute this matter? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

The district attorney's office employs many deputies and other personnel. Is there anyone who has such a close relationship with either the district attorney, Mr. Steve Wolfson, his deputies, or other members of his staff that you feel would affect your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this particular case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are acquainted with any of the witnesses whose names were previously stated by the attorneys? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

I do expect this case, today's Thursday, so we'll go

1	Thursday, Friday, and I do expect it to last into next week. So is
2	there anybody that serving for that amount of time would present
3	such an undue burden or hardship, such as would make it I'm
4	going to let everybody talk such as would make it impossible for
5	you to be here? So the officer will pass the microphone.
6	If you'll state your name and badge number?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Jacob Sinchak, 0332.
8	THE COURT: Go ahead.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: My wife is going to be
10	traveling for education and she'll be in Dallas, Texas, as of all of
11	next week starting on Sunday night. I've already taken all I can for
12	work PTO, and I don't have anybody else to cover childcare at this
13	time. I have two young daughters.
14	THE COURT: Oh, so the kids are, obviously, staying
15	home. How old are they?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: 7 and 4.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And so, obviously, you're employed.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Correct.
19	THE COURT: And where do you work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: American Medical
21	Response.
22	THE COURT: Does your employer pay you for jury duty?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: No. Oh, I get the I get
24	time off, but I do not get paid for it, I don't believe.
25	THE COURT: You don't believe or you haven't asked?

1	Badge Number 040372.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: I own my own company
4	and I'm I fly out on Monday to New York for a convention show.
5	And I'm responsible for a lot of major things.
6	THE COURT: How long have you had your jury
7	summons?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: I about a month. But
9	I
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: went ahead and did it,
12	but it was already scheduled for me. And I was, like, I never
13	thought that I would be in a long-term thing. I guess I never
14	thought of that because I've never done this, so I really didn't know
15	what I was getting into.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So if you're selected to serve, how
17	will that affect you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: 1
19	THE COURT: Because you wouldn't be able to go out of
20	town, you'd, obviously, have to be here.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: My company would take
22	a big loss and I have no one to replace me. And it would be a
23	financial burden.
24	THE COURT: Okay. What kind of company do you own?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: A convention company.

1	We do conventions.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: And we already took a
4	big hit and this means a lot to keep us doors open.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: Because there's not that
7	many
8	THE COURT: Would you be able to pay your bills if you
9	were
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: No.
11	THE COURT: required to be here?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: No. No, I would not.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: So.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 372: Okay. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Thank you for being here.
18	Good morning.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 512: Good morning. My name
20	is Robert Puckett, Badge Number 0512. I am a nursing student at
21	College of Southern Nevada. And I have classes Monday through
22	Wednesday. I have clinicals on Wednesdays that go from early
23	mornings into the afternoon. If I miss one of those, it's very
24	detrimental to my grade. I also have an exam to prepare for on
25	Tuesday.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Thank you so much.
2	THE COURT: Thank you for being here.
3	Okay. Anyone else over there?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: My name is Yuliya Fohel,
5	Badge Number 0662. I have a newborn and I'm breastfeeding. And
6	I'd have to pump, I guess, you know, in the middle of the trial every
7	few hours. So that would be complicated for me.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I would offer I would get you a
9	room to do that. Would if I did make that accommodation, would
10	you be willing to stay?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: Sure.
12	THE COURT: Did you say sure?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So I don't know what
15	your schedule is, so about what time would you need a break?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: Like every two hours.
17	THE COURT: Every two hours?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: Yes.
19	THE COURT: But how
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: For about 30 minutes.
21	THE COURT: But how close are we now to that break?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: 12:00.
23	THE COURT: 12:00?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: You need to break at noon?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 662: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Badge number is 0648,
4	my name is Tracy Goupil. I want I would like to be here, but I
5	totally cannot understand the case.
6	THE COURT: You can't understand
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Yeah, I cannot
8	THE COURT: English?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: I can communicate, but,
10	you know, you want me to read it? You want me to write it down?
11	I have a little bit problem. Even the lady, she read everything. I told
12	her I don't understand.
13	THE COURT: Okay. You didn't understand the words or it
14	was legal and you
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: didn't understand it because it was legal?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: The legal and the
18	[indiscernible.]
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Yeah, this
21	THE COURT: And how long have you been in the United
22	States?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Oh, 25 years.
24	THE COURT: And do you work?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: No.
	1

1	THE COURT: Have you ever been employed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. What was the last job that you had?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: I work in the casino,
5	dealer.
6	THE COURT: Okay. What did you do in the casino?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 648: Just dealer, dealing the
8	card.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
10	Anyone else that wants to respond? Okay. The record
11	will reflect no further response from the panel.
12	Is there anyone on the panel who's ever been engaged in
13	law enforcement work or have a spouse or close relative who's ever
14	been engaged in law enforcement work?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Karen Collins, Badge
16	Number 0360. I work for Nevada Parole and Probation. I'm a DPS
17	supervisor for Interstate Compact
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: and former court
20	services supervisor and PSI writer.
21	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been working
22	with P&P?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: I've been working with
24	P&P since 2010.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So quite a while.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: And my husband is also a
2	parole and probation officer.
3	THE COURT: I'm sorry, who is
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: My husband.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about your
6	employment or that of your husband's that would affect your ability
7	to be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No, but I can't honestly
9	say that I haven't seen any codefendants' cases that have come
10	across my desk and/or have written PSI reports on them, if any.
11	Because I was in court services prior to Interstate Compact.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know anything about this
13	case other than what's been
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No.
15	THE COURT: stated in the courtroom here today?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And so and you know that if you
18	were selected to serve as a panel on the panel, you would not be
19	permitted to discuss this case with anyone, including your fellow
20	jurors, until you went back to deliberate upon your verdict?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And you would comply with that order?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes.
24	THE COURT: So you know you couldn't talk about the
25	case to your friends at work, your husband, you couldn't do any
	48

1	independent research. I mean, you couldn't do anything except rely
2	on what is stated here in the courtroom; do you understand that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And you would comply with that order,
5	correct?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: But is there anything about your
8	employment that would affect your ability to sit as a fair and
9	impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
12	being here.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: My name is Jennifer
14	Nieves and I'm Badge Number 0402. My younger brother is a Las
15	Vegas Metro police officer.
16	THE COURT: How long has he worked with Metro?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: 13 years.
18	THE COURT: And do you know what beat he's on? Is he
19	patrol? Is he a detective? What does he do?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Right now he works
21	where all the cameras are. He's on light duty.
22	THE COURT: The fusion center?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about his
25	employment that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And you understand, like I just told
3	your fellow juror, that if you were selected to serve, that you could
4	not talk about this case to anyone, including your fellow jurors until
5	you went back to deliberate upon your verdict?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes.
7	THE COURT: You would comply with that order?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes.
9	THE COURT: So you understanding you couldn't call your
10	brother up and say, hey, do you know about this? Can we talk
11	about it? If you were selected to serve during this trial; do you
12	understand that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And you, obviously, would comply with that
15	order, correct?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes, correct.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Scott Marcus, Badge
19	Number 0463. I'm not sure this meets the question, but my wife
20	works for City of Las Vegas Municipal Court as a court specialist.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: 1 just
23	THE COURT: Anything about her employment that would
24	affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: No.
1	1

1	THE COURT: Okay. And, again, you've heard me tell your
2	fellow jurors you couldn't talk about this case with anyone,
3	including your fellow jurors, until you went back to deliberate. And
4	you understand that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes.
6	THE COURT: So when you went home, you could say I
7	am a juror in a criminal case, but you couldn't tell you wife anything
8	else; do you understand that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And you would comply with that
11	order, correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
14	Mr. Langford?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 459: Yes, Your Honor. James
16	Langford, Badge 0459. My brother, my brother-in-law are retired
17	California State Corrections Officers and are still involved in
18	contracting work associated with things of that nature. And I have a
19	nephew who's a California State sheriff.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about their former
21	employment or the nephew's current employment that would affect
22	your ability to be fair and impartial if you were selected to serve?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 459: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And, again, you understand you
25	wouldn't be able to discuss this case with anyone, including your
	51

1	fellow jurors, until you went back to deliberate upon your verdict?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 459: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And you would comply with that order?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 459: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Langford. Thank you
6	very much for being here.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Jeannie Schlotter, Badge
8	Number 0537. I was married to a retired Metro police officer.
9	THE COURT: Who's the retired?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: What's his name?
11	THE COURT: Will you just repeat what you just said?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Okay. My name is
13	Jeannie Schlotter and my badge number is 0537, and I was married
14	to a what is he is now a retired police officer Metro police
15	officer.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You were, so former spouse?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: I was married to him
18	while he was a police officer.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: But we're divorced now.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And how long has he been your
22	former spouse?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Excuse me?
24	THE COURT: How long has he been your former spouse?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Oh, for about 15 years.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So is there any
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: But we're close friends.
3	THE COURT: Is there anything about his employment that
4	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial if we selected you
5	to serve?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for being
8	here.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: Troy Barney, 0602. My
10	wife's a she's a retired DOC officer. And I've got two nephews
11	that are police officers in Salt Lake City.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry, your what what are the last four
13	numbers? Did you 0602?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: It's 0602.
15	THE COURT: And you said your wife is?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: She's a she retired DOC
17	officer, Department of Corrections.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Nevada?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: It was in Arizona.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: And I've got two
22	nephews that are police officers in Salt Lake City.
23	THE COURT: Anything about your wife's former
24	employment or your nephews' current employment that would
25	affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: No.
2	THE COURT: And, again, you understand if you were
3	selected to serve
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: Yes.
5	THE COURT: that you would not be permitted to
6	discuss this case with anyone
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: Yes.
8	THE COURT: including your fellow jurors, until you
9	went back to deliberate upon your verdict?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And you would comply with that order?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 602: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else that wants to respond to
16	that question? Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 692: Hi. My name is Krystle
18	Chappell, Badge Number 0692. And my uncle is a retired LAPD
19	detective.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about his former
21	employment that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 692: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Is that uncle live here locally?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 692: No, he's in L.A.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have much communication

with him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 692: I see him for holidays.

THE COURT: Okay. But you understand, like I told your fellow jurors, that you couldn't discuss this case with anyone until you went back to deliberate upon your verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 692: Yes.

THE COURT: And you would comply with that order?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 692: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anyone else? Okay. The record will reflect no further response from the panel.

Is there anyone on this panel who may not be able to follow all the instructions of the Court on the law, even if these instructions differ from your personal conceptions of what the law ought to be? Is there anyone who believes they cannot follow the law as give to you by the Court even if you don't agree with it? Okay. The record will reflect no response from the panel.

As a follow-up to the previous question, in any criminal trial, the members of the jury sitting collectively are the judges of the question of fact in this case. As the judge in the case, I'm the judge of the questions of law, and it's my responsibility to be sure that I give instructions on the law that apply to this particular case. It would be a violation of a juror's duty if he or she tried to render a judgment based upon what he or she believed the law to be, if that differs from my instructions.

24

25

With that in mind, is there anyone who feels that they cannot be fact-finders and follow my instructions on the applicable law in this case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Under our system, there are certain principles of law that apply in every criminal trial. They are that the information filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not evidence of guilt. As Mr. Matthews sits here today, he is presumed innocent and the State therefore must prove that the defendant is guilty by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone not understand or believe in these basic precepts of American justice? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Does anyone on this panel know anything about this case other than what's been stated in the courtroom here today? Okay. The record will reflect no response from the panel.

What I'm going to do, because it'll be quicker, instead of excusing you, I'm going to ask the lawyers to meet me out in the hallway. We will still be on the record. The court marshal will stay in here. As soon as I have a brief discussion with the lawyers, we will come right back in, so it'll just take a couple of minutes.

[Off-record bench conference.]

THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of the panel?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the defense?

MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, Your Honor. We do.

1	you go.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	THE CLERK: Seat 13, Stephen Deering; Seat 14, Jennifer
4	Nieves; Seat 15, Gladys Morales; Seat 16, Linda Clark; Seat 17, Amy
5	Harbison; Seat 18, John Claros.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Sana, you can take an empty
7	seat in the gallery.
8	THE CLERK: Seat 19, you're going to go up to the second
9	row, is going to be Clayval Becenti.
10	THE COURT: So you're just going to change seats and
11	rows.
12	Mr. Langford, you can take a seat out in the gallery.
13	THE CLERK: Seat 20 in the middle row is going to be
14	Scott Marcus; Seat 21, Samantha Mangaccat.
15	THE COURT: So you'll just slide all the way down to the
16	end chair.
17	THE CLERK: Seat 22, Marc Minami; Seat 23, Lori
18	Gourley no, you're just going to slide right on down.
19	THE COURT: Right.
20	THE CLERK: Seat 24, Francine Schartz.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Now, Robert Puckett, you can take a
22	seat out in the just take any empty seat in the gallery.
23	THE CLERK: Seat 25 is going to be Monica Takashima;
24	Seat 26 is going to be Jeannie Schlotter.
25	THE COURT: So you'll come up and sit in the one of the

1	chairs in the front of the jury box.
2	THE CLERK: Seat 27, Marilou Sichon; Seat 28, Roxana
3	Ortega; Seat 29, Thomas Willer; Seat 30, Ivy Tran; Seat 31 so
4	you're just going to slide over to the end is going to Eric Sanchez;
5	Seat 32 is going to be Mark Paragas, you're going to slide all the
6	way down.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
8	So we have do we have the microphone?
9	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Mr. Larkin, I'm going to
11	start with you.
12	Good morning. How long lived in Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: I'm sorry, what was that?
14	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: About 40 years.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: A little over.
18	THE COURT: And your education background?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: College and further a
20	doctor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So you
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Chiropractor.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: So you have a doctorate degree?
	59

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. In chiropractic?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Correct.
4	THE COURT: So I'm assuming you're a practicing
5	chiropractor?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes.
7	THE COURT: How long have you been doing that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: About seven years.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Married.
11	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: She does hair, hair stylist.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes, three.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Are any of those children old enough
18	to be employed?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
21	could not be a fair and impartial juror if we selected you to serve?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Larkin. Thank you again for
24	being here.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Thanks.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Hammond?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark
4	County?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: 55 years.
6	THE COURT: And your education background?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I have a bachelor's
8	degree.
9	THE COURT: And what's your bachelor's degree in?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I have one in science and
11	one in arts. So I have two.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I am a manager at a
14	nonemergency medical transport company.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And your marital status?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I am divorced.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I have two daughters,
19	yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Are either of them old enough to be
21	employed?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: No.
23	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
24	not be a fair and impartial juror
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: No.

1	THE COURT: if we selected you to serve on this panel?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: No.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you very much
4	for being here.
5	Ms. Hughes, good morning. How long have you lived in
6	Clark County?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I've been in Clark
8	County 10 years.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I'm a high school
11	graduate.
12	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I'm a single mother of
14	four. I currently receive disability for two of my children and
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: unemployed at the
17	moment.
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Unemployed.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And do you know of any reason why
21	you could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
22	serve?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: No, I do not.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And I know having four kids, I just
25	want to make sure: Your kids would be covered if you were here

1	into next week?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Yes, they would be.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
4	your willingness to be here.
5	Mr. O'Dell, good morning.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Good morning.
7	THE COURT: Can you tell me how long you've lived in
8	Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: For 11 years.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Mechanic.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And can you tell me your education
13	background?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: GED.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And your marital status?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Married.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: No.
19	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I do.
21	THE COURT: How many?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: One.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Is that child a minor?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yes. He's 2 years old.
25	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could

1	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this
2	panel?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. And thank you very
5	much for being here.
6	Mr. Rekrut?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Hi. Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
9	How long have you lived in Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: 30 years.
11	THE COURT: Your education background?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I have a master's degree.
13	THE COURT: And your master's degree is in?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Educational leadership.
15	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I'm a retired elementary
17	school principal. I'm now a dean at a K-8 charter school.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So you worked for the Clark County
19	School District?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: 30 years, yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I'm married.
23	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, ma'am.
25	THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: She's the accounts
2	payable supervisor at Southwest Gas.
3	THE COURT: Do you have children?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I do.
5	THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Can you tell me what each does for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: My oldest is a corporate
9	tax attorney in Buffalo, New York. And my youngest is, well,
10	majoring in college.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So he's a student in school?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: His major is changing his
13	major, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Oh, so he's been in school a while?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's not bad.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yeah, okay.
18	THE COURT: Yeah, he'll find what he wants to do here
19	soon.
20	Now, you said your oldest son is a tax attorney, correct?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And so you've heard me tell some of other
23	jurors that you can't communicate with anyone about this case,
24	even your fellow jurors, until you go back to deliberate upon your
25	verdict.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, I do.
4	THE COURT: So you couldn't call up your son and say,
5	hey, I've got this really great you know, trial I'm on, can you
6	answer some of my questions; do you understand that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I do.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And you, obviously, would comply
9	with that order, correct?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
12	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. And thank you so much for
15	being here.
16	Ms. Collins, how long have you lived in Clark County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Since 1989.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: I have an associate's in
20	cardiorespiratory science and a bachelor's in business and applied
21	management.
22	THE COURT: And you're at Nevada Parole and Probation
23	and you've held several different jobs, correct?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Correct.
25	THE COURT: How long have you been with Nevada P&P?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Since January of 2010.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And you indicated you're married,
3	correct?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, I am.
5	THE COURT: And your spouse is also working with P&P
6	and is a probation officer, correct?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, he is an officer too
8	with the DUI court parole
9	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, I do. We have two.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: My oldest is 31 and
13	unemployed currently unemployed, and my youngest is 24 and
14	self-employed as a cinematographer/videographer.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
16	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
19	your willingness to be here.
20	Mr. Brown?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Good morning. How long have you lived in
23	Clark County?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: 22 years.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Your education background?
	67

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Two years of college.
2	THE COURT: Okay. What did you study?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: History.
4	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: I work for the City of
6	North Las Vegas as an environmental tech.
7	THE COURT: How long have you worked for North Las
8	Vegas?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: 15 years.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Divorce.
12	THE COURT: Do you have children?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Four.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Are they old enough to be employed?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Can you tell me what each does for a living?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: One is a nurse, two are
18	CNAs, and one work for the housing authorities.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
20	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve
21	on this panel?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you very much for
24	being here.
25	Ms. Zimmer?
	60

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Hi.
2	THE COURT: Good morning. How long have you lived in
3	Clark County?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: 24 years.
5	THE COURT: And can you tell me your education
6	background?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Bachelor's at Pepperdine
8	University.
9	THE COURT: And what's your bachelor's in?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Integrated marketing
11	communications.
12	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: I'm an independent
14	marketing and social media manager.
15	THE COURT: So I'm assuming you spend a lot of time on
16	social media?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes, I do.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Well, one of the things that you'll
19	hear if you're selected to serve on this panel, and I will tell it to you
20	repeatedly
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
22	THE COURT: you know, once you're you know,
23	throughout this process of selecting a jury and after we do have a
24	jury panel empaneled, you can't I mean, you can't go doing any
25	extra research looking for something.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.

THE COURT: You cannot post anything on social media that, you know, obviously -- it sounds so obvious, but I have to tell you because it's happened -- you can't post anything about the case on social media. You couldn't even post a picture of yourself with your badge indicating that you are a juror in Department 12, because in a case I had, that got people talking on Facebook about the specific case. So you can't talk about the case on social media, you can't do anything to, I guess, start a conversation --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- so that other people are talking about the case while you are empaneled on this jury panel. And -- do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.

THE COURT: I mean, what I usually tell people sometimes is, you know, if you can put the phone down and not go on social media while you're empaneled on the jury, that would be a really good idea. Obviously, that's what you do for a living, it might not be feasible for you. But I just want to make sure you understand that you can't post anything, you can't -- about the case or post anything that would cause other people to start talking about the case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: And you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.

1	THE COURT: And do you have any questions about that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: No.
3	THE COURT: And you would, obviously, comply with that
4	request
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
6	THE COURT: correct? Okay.
7	And your marital status?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Not married.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: No kids.
11	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
12	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you and thank you very much for
15	being here.
16	Okay. Maria Burgos?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Hi.
18	THE COURT: Good morning.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Good morning.
20	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: 16 years.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Bachelor's degree.
24	THE COURT: And what's your bachelor's degree in?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Bachelor's of science and
	1

1	nursing.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So are you an RN?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work in the hospital?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I work at the VA
6	Southwest Primary Clinic.
7	THE COURT: Okay. The VA Southwest what clinic?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Primary Care Clinic.
9	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you worked there?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: 12 years.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Married.
13	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
15	THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Coder specialist.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have kids?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No.
19	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
20	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this
21	panel?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you again for being here.
24	Annie Watts?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes.
1	

1	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. How long have you
2	lived in Clark County?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: I've lived here for
4	approximately 17 years.
5	THE COURT: And your education background?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Three years' college,
7	which includes one year of mortuary science.
8	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: I am retired.
10	THE COURT: What did you do before you good for you.
11	Congratulations. What did you do before you retired?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: I worked in security.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Single.
15	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Two sons.
17	THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Can you tell me what each does for a living?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes. My oldest son is a
21	mechanic and my youngest boy, he is a manager in retail.
22	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
23	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this
24	panel?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: No, ma'am.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you and thank you very much
2	for being here.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: You're welcome.
4	THE COURT: Robin Follmer?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Good morning.
8	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: 44 years.
10	THE COURT: And your education background?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Master's.
12	THE COURT: And what's your master's degree in?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Elementary mathematics
14	instruction.
15	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I'm a teacher.
17	THE COURT: And what age do you teach?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I teach kindergarten
19	through eighth grade. I teach art currently.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I'm married.
22	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Can you tell me what your spouse does?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: He's in commercial real
1	1

1	estate.	
2	THE COURT: Do you have children?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.	
4	THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me what each does?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: My oldest is also a	
8	teacher.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: And my younger two just	
11	entered college.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. So both are students?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.	
14	THE COURT: Do you have any reason why you could not	
15	be a fair and impartial juror	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: No.	
17	THE COURT: if you were selected to serve?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: No.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for	
20	being here.	
21	Clifford Dam?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes, ma'am.	
23	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark	
24	County?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: 38 years.	
	75	

1	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Bachelor degrees in
3	electrical engineering.
4	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I'm currently a software
6	engineer at the slot gaming company [indiscernible] game
7	technology.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Married, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes. She's currently a
12	table games dealer.
13	THE COURT: Do you have children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: I got three, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Are any of them old enough to be
16	employed?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: No. My oldest is school
18	at the ASU, at the attending college.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
20	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve
21	on this panel?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: No, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
24	here.
25	Mr. Deering?

1		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yes.
2		THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark
3	County?	
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: About eight years now.
5		THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: High school diploma.
7		THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I work at a thrift store.
9		THE COURT: At a?
10		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Thrift store.
11		THE COURT: Okay. And your marital status?
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I'm married.
13		THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yes.
15		THE COURT: Can you tell me what your spouse does?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: She's a Clark County
17	teacher.	
18		THE COURT: What does she teach?
19		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: She's high school.
20		THE COURT: High school? Do you have children?
21		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: No, ma'am.
22		THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a fair and
23	impartial	juror if we selected you to serve on this panel?
24		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: No, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, and thank you very much

1	for your willingness to be here.	
2	Jennifer is it Nieves?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark	
5	County?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: 17 years.	
7	THE COURT: And your education background?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Bachelor's and a master's	
9	in English.	
10	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: I'm a writer.	
12	THE COURT: And your marital status?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Single.	
14	THE COURT: Do you have kids?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: No.	
16	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a fair and	
17	impartial juror if you were selected to serve?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: No, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for	
20	being here.	
21	Ms. Morales?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.	
23	THE COURT: Good morning.	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Good morning.	
25	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?	
	1	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: 28 years.
2	THE COURT: And your education background?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Bachelor's in psychology.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Financial manager for a
6	nonprofit.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you speak up just a little?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Financial manager for a
9	nonprofit.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Single.
12	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: No.
14	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
15	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
18	here.
19	Ms. Clark, good morning.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Good morning.
21	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: 45 years.
23	THE COURT: Your education background?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: High school
25	[indiscernible].

1	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: I'm retired.
3	THE COURT: Okay. What did you do before you retired?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: I was in grocery retail.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Grocery retail.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Married.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: No, he's retired also.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And what did your spouse do prior to
12	retirement?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: He worked at one of the
14	casinos.
15	THE COURT: Okay. What did he do?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Receiving.
17	THE COURT: Pardon?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Receiving.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have kids?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Yes. Two.
21	THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Can you tell me what each does for a living?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: My son is in computers,
25	rentals, and my daughter works for a grocery store.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
2	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
5	being here.
6	So, Mr. Harbison?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Good morning.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Good morning.
10	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: 10 years.
12	THE COURT: Your education background?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: High school graduate.
14	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: I'm a fine art
16	photographer.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: I'm married.
19	THE COURT: And is your spouse employed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, he is.
21	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: He's a real estate
23	investor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have kids?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, we do not.
	1

1	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
2	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this
3	panel?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
6	being here.
7	John Claros?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Claros.
9	THE COURT: Claros?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Claros.
11	THE COURT: Sorry. How long have you lived in Clark
12	County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: 22 years.
14	THE COURT: And your education background?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Eleventh.
16	THE COURT: Pardon?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Eleventh.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I can't hear you. If you
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Oh. Eleventh.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Bartender at Caesar's
22	Palace.
23	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you done that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: About four years now.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: Single.
2	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: No.
4	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
5	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 447: No, ma'am.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
8	here.
9	Good morning. Mr. Becenti, how long have you lived in
10	Clark County?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Three years.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Where did you move from?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: San Diego, California.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Your education background?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Some college and
16	certifications.
17	THE COURT: Okay. What did you study in college?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: IT.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And what are your certifications in?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: In Enterprise IT Software,
21	it's their [indiscernible] from Adobe, Microsoft, and Gmware.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: IT engineer and support.
24	THE COURT: Your marital status?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Single.
1	

1	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have kids?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: One.
3	THE COURT: Is that child old enough to be employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. What does that child do for a living?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Currently in fast food.
7	THE COURT: Currently?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: In fast food, selling
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: sandwiches.
11	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
12	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
15	here.
16	All right. So Mr. Marcus?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes, ma'am.
18	THE COURT: Okay. You were there, so I was looking for
19	you over there.
20	Can you tell me how long you've lived in Clark County?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Approximately 40 years.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: MBA in technology
24	management?
25	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
I	1

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: I'm a business
2	applications analyst for the City of Las Vegas.
3	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you worked for the
4	City?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: About 16 years.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And I know you told me that you're
7	married and your wife works for Las Vegas Municipal Court?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Does she work in the courtroom?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: She actually works she
11	works, I think, on the first or second floor. I don't know exactly
12	what she does, because she kind of flips around from different
13	position to position. But she has done the ankle monitor stuff and
14	things like that. So.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you have kids?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes. Two stepchildren.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And are they minors?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: No, they're older. One
19	is 28 and the other one's 24.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Are they employed?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Can you tell me what each does?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: The youngest one works
24	for Target, the oldest one works for King County Court, Seattle,
25	Washington.

THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: No, I do -- oh, sorry.

THE COURT: -- on this panel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: No, I do not.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 463: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for being here.

Okay. At this time, we're going to recess for lunch. You have to come back, obviously, after lunch. The people that are sitting in the box, the 32, please pay attention to where you're sitting, because when you come back, I'm going to ask you to sit in the same seats. You will come up to the 14th floor. Officer Hawks will greet you and he'll bring you in when we are ready.

During this recess you're admonished not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media, read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, or do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials or make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it's finally submitted to you.

1	[Court recessed at 12:03 p.m., until 1:36 p.m.]
2	[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
3	MR. GIORDANI: Hey, Judge, while we're off or on
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	MR. GIORDANI: I realized when I read our witness list to
6	the jury, I didn't read the name Dean O'Kelley.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And I think we need to add that name,
9	considering some of the calls.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Did you
11	MR. GIORDANI: In case we intend to present
12	THE COURT: Dean O'Kelley?
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, ma'am. So would maybe the Court
14	be able to just say
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	MR. GIORDANI: there's one additional witness who
17	might testify.
18	THE COURT: All right. Are they all ready?
19	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: Okay. You can bring them in.
21	[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 1:39 p.m.]
22	THE COURT: Will the State stipulate to the presence of
23	the panel?
24	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: And the defense?
1	

1	MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
3	Ladies and gentlemen, there's a name of a witness that
4	may not have been read to you, so another witness that may be
5	called to testify in this matter is Dean O'Kelley. Is there anyone who
6	knows that witness? Okay. The record will reflect no response
7	from the panel.
8	So at this time, I'm on Samantha will you pronounce
9	your last name?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Mangaccat.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12	How long have you lived in Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: For about 23 years.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: I am a nurse.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Are you do you work at a hospital?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Yes. MountainView
20	Hospital.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Are you an RN?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: I am.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a bachelor's in nursing?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
	89

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Single.
2	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: No.
4	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
5	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: No.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
8	here.
9	Mr. Minami?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. How long have you
12	lived in Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Going on eight years.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: I got an associate's in
16	education and a bachelor's in computer forensics.
17	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: I'm retired.
19	THE COURT: Okay. What did you retire from?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Air Force, 20 years.
21	THE COURT: What did you do in the Air Force?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Multiple jobs, educator, I
23	was aircraft mechanic primarily. I did security, personnel security,
24	computer security.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
	1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: I had to dig into a lot of things where they claimed they didn't know certain things, and when I uncovered it, they still claimed their innocence, and they got a lot of people in trouble.

THE COURT: Okay. But that -- I mean, thank you for telling me that. But does your experience in the Air Force and what you did, is that going to interfere with your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: No, it shouldn't.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, see, you're the only one that can tell me. If you think it will, I need to know that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: It's hard to say. I mean, I don't really know until we get into the details of the case.

THE COURT: Okay. See, now that's what I can't have. I have to have jurors that, before they hear the evidence, they're going to promise me and make a commitment to the Court that they will judge this case slowly on the evidence --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.

THE COURT: -- that they see and hear in the court and the instructions on the law. And I would never tell you, hey, why don't you decide this case based on what you did in the Air Force? I would never do that, because that would be not fair; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes, I completely agree with that statement. Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So do I have to worry about that with
2	you?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So you can make a commitment to
5	me today that if you're selected to serve, that you will judge this
6	case solely upon what you see and hear in the courtroom and
7	nothing else?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.
9	THE COURT: So you'll laeve your life experience at the
10	door?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Correct.
12	THE COURT: And judge this case solely upon the
13	evidence presented in the courtroom?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here.
18	Number 23, Ms it's Ms. Gourley?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark
21	County?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: 48 years.
23	THE COURT: And your education background?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: High school.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
	0.2

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: Table games dealer.
2	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you done that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: 27 years.
4	THE COURT: Your marital status?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: Single.
6	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: One son.
8	THE COURT: Is he old enough to be employed?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Can you tell me what he does for a living?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: He's currently disabled.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
13	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 499: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
16	being here.
17	Okay. Ms. Swartz?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Schartz, yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. Now long have you
20	lived in Clark County?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: 36 years.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I'm retired.
24	THE COURT: What did you do before you retired?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Trust officer in a bank.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And can you tell me your education
2	background?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Bachelor degree in
4	modern languages.
5	THE COURT: Your marital status?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Divorced.
7	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Two adult children.
9	THE COURT: Can you tell me what they each does for a
10	living?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Beg your pardon?
12	THE COURT: Can you them what each of your adult
13	children does for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: One is a graphic designer
15	and the other one works for TSA.
16	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
17	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
20	here.
21	Ms. Takashima?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: Takashima.
23	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark
24	County?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: 33 years.
	05

1	THE COURT: And your education background?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: Some college.
3	THE COURT: What did you study?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: Education.
5	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: I'm retired.
7	THE COURT: Okay. What did you do before you retired?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: I was concierge at one of
9	the hotels.
10	THE COURT: Your marital status?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: Married.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: He's retired.
14	THE COURT: Okay. What did your spouse retire from?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: He was the in-flight
16	catering manager for a airline.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: Yes, two.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Are they old enough to be employed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: Yes, they are.
21	THE COURT: Can you tell me what each does for a living?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: My son's an architect and
23	my daughter works for a health a medical health services.
24	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
25	not be a fair and impartial juror if we selected you to serve?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 530: No.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
3	here.
4	Ms is it Schlotter? How long have you lived in Clark
5	County?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: 41 years.
7	THE COURT: And your education background?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yes. I have a bachelor's
9	in teaching and a master's in teaching.
10	THE COURT: Are you a teacher?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yes. I teach
12	seventh-grade history.
13	THE COURT: How do you like that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Challenging.
15	THE COURT: Seventh grade, whoo. Do you like it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yeah, I like it.
17	THE COURT: That's challenging. I can't imagine.
18	Your marital status?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Divorced.
20	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: One son.
22	THE COURT: Is he old enough to be employed?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yes, he's also a history
24	teacher in high school.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
	1

1	could no	t be a fair and impartial juror if selected to serve?
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: No.
3		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And thank you for being
4	here.	
5		Okay. Is it Marilou
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Sichon.
7		THE COURT: Sichon?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yes.
9		THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark
10	County?	
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: 12 years.
12		THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
13		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: College graduate,
14	business	administration.
15		THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: I'm working at the airport
17	ramp.	
18		THE COURT: Do you work at McCarron?
19		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yeah.
20		THE COURT: Okay.
21		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: As a ramp, yeah.
22		THE COURT: And your marital status?
23		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Single.
24		THE COURT: Do you have kids?
25		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No.
	1	

1	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
2	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
5	being here.
6	Ms. Ortega?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Hi.
8	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: About 25 years.
10	THE COURT: And your education background?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Bachelor's degree in
12	nutrition.
13	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: I'm currently a dental
15	assistant.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And your marital status?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: Not married.
18	THE COURT: Do you have children?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: No.
20	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
21	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 542: No, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for being
24	here.
25	Mr. Thomas Willer?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Yes.
2	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: 43 years.
4	THE COURT: And your education background?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I have a BS degree in
6	human resource economics.
7	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I've now retired.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And what did you do before you
10	retired?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I was VP in marketing
12	entertainment and sportsbook at several Las Vegas casinos.
13	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been retired?
14	How long have you been
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: About two years.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status? Your marital
17	status?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Divorced.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I have two daughters.
21	One of them sells lab products that are used by hospitals for organ
22	transplantations. And the other is an agent in L.A. for
23	entertainment.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
25	could not be a fair and impartial juror if selected to serve?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Well, I wouldn't be
2	impartial, but I do have a problem, I believe. And that is I can only
3	hear about 40 percent of what's being said.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I can't discern anything,
6	you know, from high-pitched voices.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I've gone to too many
9	concerts and I cannot even
10	THE COURT: You've been to too many concerts? That's
11	the greatest.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I can't go to a movie
13	theater, because they're not loud enough.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I do have earphones, and so I'm
15	going to let you test them out and see if that helps.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Sure. Okay. That's so
17	weird.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Is that better?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So when you're in the courtroom,
21	we'll just make sure those are there for you. And you can just
22	utilize those.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Usually, when I give those to people, they
25	say it's much better. Is it much better?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: [Indiscernible.]
2	THE COURT: Okay. Can you hear everything?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: I can hear you perfectly.
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: [Indiscernible.] Because
6	you your volume is going up and down.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you very much for being
8	here.
9	Ms. Tran?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: Six years.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: I have bachelor degree in
15	food and nutrition.
16	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: I'm a dietician.
18	THE COURT: And your marital status?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: I'm married.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: Yes.
22	THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: He work in the casino. He
24	does civil and engineer.
25	THE COURT: And you have at least one child, correct?
	102

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: I have one daughter, yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And she's a minor, she's 2, right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: She's 2.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you
5	could not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 558: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you and thank you very much
8	for being here.
9	Mr. Sanchez, if you don't mind standing up, because
10	sometimes it's heard to hear that end of the courtroom.
11	Can you tell me how long you've lived in Clark County?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: About 20 years.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: High school graduate.
15	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: I am a barber.
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: A barber.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And your marital status?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: Single.
21	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: No.
23	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
24	not be a fair and impartial juror if selected to serve?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: No.
	·

1	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 570: No.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
4	being here.
5	And then Mr is it Paragas?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in Clark
8	County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: 21 years.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: A high school graduate.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: I'm currently in college.
14	THE COURT: Okay. What are you studying?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: Psychology.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Are you at UNLV?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: CSN.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Are you employed?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: Yes, I am also.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: I work at retail, Adidas.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: Single.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 577: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And your education background?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: Eleventh grade only.
3	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: I'm retired.
5	THE COURT: What did you do before you retired?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: I'm a manufacturing
7	manager of Boeing Aircraft.
8	THE COURT: Your marital status?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: Married.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: No. She's also retired
12	from Boeing.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have kids?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: Yes, I have one son who
15	also works at Boeing.
16	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
17	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 579: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you very much
20	for being here.
21	So I have a few questions for the panel of 32 as a whole.
22	So if you want to respond to this question, just raise your hand,
23	we'll make sure the microphone gets to you. And if you'll just
24	please state your name and badge number before responding.
25	Anyone on the panel of 32 that has ever served as a juror

1	before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Joseph Rekrut, 355.
3	THE COURT: Okay. How many times have you served?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Once.
5	THE COURT: Were you selected to be the foreperson?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: No.
7	THE COURT: Was it civil or criminal?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Criminal.
9	THE COURT: Was that here, in Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, it was.
11	THE COURT: Was it in this building?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Without telling me what your verdict
14	was, were you able to reach a verdict?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, we were.
16	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
17	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I don't believe so.
19	THE COURT: Well, you're the only one who can tell me.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: No, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
22	Anyone else?
23	If you just don't mind passing the microphone down.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Robin Follmer,
25	Number 396.
	1.05

1	THE COURT: And you've served before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Twice.
3	THE COURT: All right. Civil or criminal?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Both criminal.
5	THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
7	THE COURT: All right. When was the last time you
8	served?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: About 15 years ago.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And were you selected to be the
11	foreperson in either one of those?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: No.
13	THE COURT: Without telling me what your verdict was,
14	were you able to reach a verdict in both cases?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Anything about those experiences that
17	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: No.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. And thank you for your service.
20	Anyone on the second row?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Clayval Becenti,
22	Badge 0456. I've been on two juries in my previous residence in
23	San Diego, California.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And were you selected to be the
25	foreperson?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: No.
2	THE COURT: Were they
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: In neither case.
4	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Oh, no, I wasn't chosen in
6	either case.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Were they civil or criminal?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Both were civil.
9	THE COURT: All right. Without telling me your verdict,
10	were you able to reach a verdict?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that prior service that
13	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
16	Anyone else that's ever served as a juror before?
17	If you don't mind passing it down.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Your name and badge number?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Jeannie Schlotter, 0537.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And you've served as a juror before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yeah. I think it was
23	about 15 years ago.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So one time?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Was it civil or criminal?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: Criminal.
3	THE COURT: Were you selected to be the foreperson?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: No.
5	THE COURT: Without telling me your verdict, were you
6	able to reach a verdict?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: No, I think there was
8	some sort of deal made.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So you didn't have to deliberate?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So anything about that experience
12	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 537: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.
15	Anyone else that's ever served as a juror before? Okay.
16	The record will reflect no further response from the panel.
17	These questions right now are only for the panel of 32.
18	So have you or anyone close to you, such as a family
19	member, friend, ever been the victim of a crime? Okay. We'll just
20	start passing the microphone down.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Karen Collins, Badge
22	Number 0360. Burglary. I was a victim of a burglary.
23	THE COURT: Okay. A burglary at your home?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, it was.
2	THE COURT: How long ago?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: 2012 or 2013.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And you called the police?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, I did.
6	THE COURT: And they came out and investigated?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Eight hours later.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with the way it
9	was handled?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything about that
12	experience that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
13	this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
16	Anyone else?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Hi. Jaiden Zimmer,
18	Badge 396. A close family friend of ours, he was a police officer in
19	Buffalo, New York. And he was responding to a domestic violence
20	call, and he was shot and paralyzed.
21	THE COURT: Oh. How long was that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Like, 10 years.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And so you know about it through
24	hearing from your family members?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: We're close friends with

111

1	him.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that
3	experience that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
4	this case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Robin Follmer, Badge
8	Number 396.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: My mother's house was
11	broken into twice.
12	THE COURT: Is that here in Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And I'm assuming the police were called?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And they came out?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Were you I were you involved in it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I was not involved, no.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience that
21	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Clayval Becenti, 0456.
25	One, I guess, burglary of a our house about 14 years ago, and,
	112

1	actually, one armed robbery about eight years ago, both in San
2	Diego, California.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So the armed robbery, you were a
4	victim of an armed robbery?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes. Me and two others,
6	we were just downtown San Diego and got held up.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Whoo. And I'm assuming the police
8	were called?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes. Uh-huh.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And did they catch the person that
11	did it?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: No. Not in this case, no.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Not in the case in San Diego?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: So
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Oh yeah, both of them
17	are in San Diego. But I'm just saying that
18	THE COURT: Okay. So they never arrested anybody in
19	the armed robbery?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes, correct.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And how about the burglary? Did
22	you call the police for that one?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes. But it was when we
24	weren't home, so there was nothing they could really do. So in
25	both cases, there was no charges pressed or no one pointed for a

1	crime.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with the way both
3	incidents were handled?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: Yes. I again, with the
5	amount of information we could really have, they could only do so
6	much.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those experiences
8	that would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this
9	case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 456: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
12	Anyone else?
13	Go ahead.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Hi. Linda Clark, Juror
15	Number 422. I was held up at gunpoint in the parking lot of a
16	supermarket. My purse was stolen.
17	THE COURT: Was it when you were at work?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: No. I was shopping.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And you were just at the grocery
20	store and
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: In the parking lot, yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And the person had a firearm?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Yes. I couldn't tell if it
24	was real or not, but I didn't want to take the chance.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming you called the

1	police?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Yes.
3	THE COURT: And they responded?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Did they ever catch the person?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: I think later on, yes.
7	THE COURT: You think later on, or that you know they
8	did?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: I don't know for sure.
10	The but my purse was recovered, but it was empty.
11	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So did you ever have to come to
12	court and testify?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience that
15	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: No, I don't think so.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're the only one that can tell
18	me. Is there anything about your prior experience that would
19	interfere with your ability to judge this case solely upon what you
20	see and hear in the courtroom?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 422: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
23	Anyone else?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Gladys Morales, 0411.
25	My cousin was shot and killed about 20 years ago.

1	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Was that here in Clark
2	County?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: Yes? And I'm assuming the police
5	investigated it?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Did they solve it?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
9	THE COURT: So someone was arrested?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And were they charged?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Did it go to trial? How what was the end
14	result?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: There was a lot of people
16	involved, so some of them were just given house arrest and I think
17	the older people were a couple people were imprisoned.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with the way it
19	was handled?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: No.
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: No.
23	THE COURT: No? Okay. Tell me why.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: All the more involved, all
25	of them had guns and not everybody was given charges.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Did you follow the case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
3	THE COURT: All right. So you came to the courthouse
4	and you followed the proceeding?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: I was too young to come
6	to the courthouse. They were my family did not allow me to
7	come to court.
8	THE COURT: Okay. So how old were you when this
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: 13.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So you were just a teenager?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So how did you follow the case?
13	Who told you what was happening?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: My family, my mom, my
15	sisters. We were he was like a brother to me
16	THE COURT: Yeah, and I'm sorry. I'm sorry that
17	happened. So you don't I'm assuming you think people weren't
18	treated harsh enough?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: I'm sorry, one more time?
20	THE COURT: I mean, do you think people were treated
21	too harshly, not harsh enough?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Probably not harsh
23	enough.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And so you know that the Clark
25	County District Attorneys' Office was probably the office that

1	prosecuted the perpetrators, correct?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that and the fact that
4	I have attorneys from that office that are here prosecuting this
5	matter that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
6	case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: I've never placed in a
8	position like this, but I would say no.
9	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry, you're going to have to
10	repeat that.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: I said I've never been in a
12	place, in a position like this, so my guess would be no. But I don't
13	know that any, you know, emotions or anything like that would
14	come out.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So, again, I have to tell you, if you
16	don't know, then I can't have you serve. Because, again, I have to
17	have jurors that are committed from the beginning that they will
18	judge this case solely upon the evidence that hey see and hear in
19	the courtroom.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Are you a person that can do that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
23	THE COURT: All right. So you can set aside any life
24	experiences and just this case based on the evidence you hear in
25	the courtroom?
1	440

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 411: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
3	Anyone else?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: My name is Clifford
5	Dam, 0398. We got a burglary in our house back in 2011.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And were the police called?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes, ma'am.
8	THE COURT: Were you satisfied with the way it was
9	handled?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
12	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: No, ma'am.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
15	Anyone else?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Shawn Larkin, 312.
17	Parents' house was burglarized
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: about 15 years ago.
20	THE COURT: Were you living there?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: We walked in on it,
24	though.
25	THE COURT: Oh. That mean persons will still in the
	119

1	house?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: What happened?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: They saw us and ran out
5	the back door.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So, again, I'm assuming the police
7	were called?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: Did they ever solve it?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with the way that
12	was handled?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.
16	THE COURT: that would affect your ability to be a fair
17	and impartial juror in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No.
19	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
20	I have a juror down here in front. Right here. I can see
21	her, so I'm going to tell you, I could see her just fine.
22	Go ahead.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Francine Schartz, 0505.
24	My house was burglarized.
25	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. How long ago was it?
	120

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Three years.
2	THE COURT: And I'm assuming you called the police?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And they responded?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Did they ever solve it or find the person or
7	persons that did it?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Did you have to testify?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No. They were on
11	probation or the perpetrator was on probation, and so the
12	detective said it would be too hard to prove. They had my
13	belongings, but they claimed they found it on the street. And so he
14	said that he was the perpetrator would be going away because he
15	was on probation, there was no point in pursuing it.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So were you satisfied with the way
17	that was handled?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No. I know how many
19	cases there are, so I wasn't totally happy. But I understand. And
20	the guy was off the street, so.
21	THE COURT: I don't understand. How was the person off
22	the street if the
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Well, he was on
24	probation, so he was going to back to school back to jail.
25	THE COURT: Oh, so is that what the officer told you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
2	THE COURT: That his probation was going to be
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
4	THE COURT: like, revoked?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Right.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Were you satisfied with the
7	way it was handled?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
10	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Anyone else that wants to respond to that question? The
14	record will reflect no further response from the panel.
15	Have you or anyone close to you, such as a family
16	member or friend, ever been accused of a crime? Okay. The record
17	will reflect no response from the panel.
18	Is there anyone on the panel who would have a tendency
19	to give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the
20	testimony of a witness simply because that witness is a police
21	officer? The record will reflect no further response from the panel.
22	At this time, I'm going to turn the panel over to the State
23	of Nevada.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE MARSHAL: Are you going to stand at the podium or

do you want [indiscernible]?

MR. GIORDANI: I was going to probably pull up that other podium, so I might need that. Thank you.

That sounds really loud.

At this point, ladies and gentlemen, I think you've all kind of gathered that what we're looking for are jurors who can be fair, can be impartial, and who are going to follow the law. That is, essentially, what we want out of this process. The defense, of course, wants fair jurors as well. So both sides here really just want a group of folks who can come in, listen to the evidence, make a judgment based upon the evidence, and then render a verdict at the end of this, which is about a week from now.

What we don't want are folks who come in with predispositions one way or another. Right? Anyone in this room ever gets accused of a crime, you want fair people on your jury. You don't want people who are predisposed to convict or predisposed to acquit. Does everyone agree with that sentiment? I should ask, I guess, is there anyone that disagrees with that idea, that we should go back and forth and discuss that at this point? Okay. I'm seeing no hands.

There are -- this lapel mic is killing me. Can we turn the volume down on this? Is that possible?

[Pause in proceedings.]

MR. GIORDANI: I've never been accused of being quiet so or now --

THE COURT: I thought you might be able to go without the microphone.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. Yes, I appreciate that.

THE COURT: I think -- the transcriber, she wants you to use the microphone.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

So, essentially, ladies and gentlemen, what we're looking for on both sides, both tables are looking for a fair shake. There's a bunch of questions that I have to ask you in order to determine whether each and every one of you is fit for this jury. There are other types of cases that are going on in this courthouse and there are other types of cases that are tried daily, weekly, in this same building.

And some of you might end up being a better fit for that. Some of you might say, eh, criminal justice system, not my cup of tea, and I don't think I can be fair to one side or another. And that's okay. We want the honest truth, that's why we're doing this. That's why we're going to go through this process today and maybe a portion of tomorrow, as well.

With that said, we have received not only the information from today's questioning, but we've also received some jury questionnaires from some of you which have some information and some questions. Everyone know what I'm talking about? Or some people know what I'm talking about? It's my understanding that not everyone has filled these out prior to coming down today. And

this was -- would have been a process that was done online. So I might ask you each individual questions about questionnaires and some people I won't ask, because I don't have the questionnaire for you.

So let me start with a couple of general concepts within the criminal justice system. Has anyone not heard the term beyond a reasonable doubt? So no one's raising their hand, everyone's heard the term beyond a reasonable doubt?

Does everyone understand that we, the State of Nevada, meaning Ms. Botelho and I in this case, we have the burden of proof. We have to prove that the defendant, Mr. Matthews, did what we've accused him of doing. And that is the same burden of proof in every criminal case. I cannot legally define it for you now at this stage in the proceedings. The judge will define what beyond a reasonable doubt is for you at the end of this, but I want you to understand, that's a burden that we have, we the State.

Mr. Matthews, his lawyers, they don't have to do a thing, they don't have to prove a thing or disprove a thing. It's up to us to prove the case against Mr. Matthews.

Does anyone have a problem with that idea? Does anyone think, you know, that doesn't jive with my personal beliefs, I don't like it, and I can't be fair in this particular case? Good. I was hoping no one would raise their hands.

There's also this idea of it's a general principle and a foundation and bedrock of our system that everyone in the United

1	States is presumed innocent until or unless the contrary's proven.
2	Does everyone is there anyone that disagrees with that sentence?
3	Sir, if I could pass the microphone up. I don't mean to
4	point you out, but I'm going to.
5	Can you pass the microphone up there?
6	And your badge number, again, sir?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Marc Minami, 494 497,
8	I'm sorry.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Previously, you were talking with
10	the judge a little bit, and it's kind of on point with this subject. And
11	what I got from your conversation with the judge was this idea that
12	kind of where there's smoke, there's fire.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Correct.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Is that kind of accurate? Does that
15	somewhat convey, maybe oversimplify, but somewhat convey what
16	you were getting at?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Do you understand now that we've kind
19	of talked this out a little bit, that that's not fair to Mr. Matthews,
20	specifically, and it wouldn't be fair in a trial for you to prejudge
21	someone just because they're accused?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Correct. I was never
23	insinuating the fact that it was prejudging. It's just the fact that if
24	there's empirical evidence one way or the other, you know, why
25	bring it to this point when it could be determined at a different

here. I mean, this is a court of law, this -- no place for any of that in this courtroom. What matters is the evidence that comes from the witness stand; would you agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Considering all that in this discussion now, do you think that you could be fair entirely to Mr. Matthews?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Yes, I could.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And can you be fair to us, the State, give us a fair shake and listen to our evidence before you prejudge it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 497: Oh, definitely. Both ways.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, sir.

Is there anyone who feels similarly or dissimilarly who would like to chime in or have a discussion about this? Is there anyone who thinks they might not be a good fit for this jury? I'm seeing no hands at this point.

There are going to be some photographs in this case of Ms. Mersey Williams, the 22-year-old young woman who was, tragically, killed. Those photographs are necessary pieces of evidence and you're going to hear from a medical examiner who conducted her autopsy. Is there anyone in the room or in the first 32 or in the group back here that thinks they cannot consider that evidence for what it is or look at that evidence? All right. I'm seeing no hands.

You heard, ladies and gentlemen, that we listed out the charges and the allegations in this case. The date was from 2006. Now, there are many reasons why a murder trial might not go forward until that many -- this many years later, but what all the parties here and the judge are going to agree on and tell you is you are not to speculate or consider the time lapse for any purpose whatsoever. Is there anyone who's going to have a problem with that? And I understand no one wants to really raise their hand at this point, but we need to know. It wouldn't be fair of anyone in this group to presume what happened between 2006 and 2021 to get to this point. Is there anyone who's going to prejudge or have issues with that idea? All right. Seeing no hands. Tough crowd today.

I have a -- some individual questions for each and every one of you, so it's going to be a while. I'd like to pass the microphone, if you could now, Mr. Hawks, up to Number 1, Mr. Larkin.

And you're Badge Number 312.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Correct.

MR. GIORDANI: How are you, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Good, thanks.

MR. GIORDANI: Good. You indicated that your parents' house was broken into and you actually walked in on the burglary.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: I don't recall if you said anyone was ever

1	caught, but you did indicate you were okay with how it was
2	handled.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yeah. No one was
4	caught.
5	[Cell phone interruption.]
6	THE COURT: What was that?
7	MS. BOTELHO: Siri.
8	THE COURT: That sounded like Siri, didn't it?
9	MS. BOTELHO: It was Siri.
10	MR. GIORDANI: The reason the judge asked that, we do
11	this in every criminal case, is, you know, we want to know if people
12	have had negative or positive interactions with law enforcement.
13	This is a criminal case, the nature of it, there's law enforcement
14	involved.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Right.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Sounds like you are kind of neutral, not a
17	big deal, how that was handled and it didn't really or wouldn't
18	really affect you; is that fair?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Fair.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. How about the system in general,
21	the criminal justice system; do you have any feelings about it one
22	way or another?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: No, I think it's fair.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You would agree with me, sir, that
25	lately, over the last, I guess, less than a decade, maybe, maybe

1	alone?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Sure.
3	MR. GIORDANI: The idea that this crime is alleged to have
4	occurred in 2006 and now we're here, you know, 15 years later, can
5	you set that idea aside or not consider that or speculate as to why
6	that may be?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything I haven't asked
9	you that I should have?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 312: Not at all.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, sir. You can pass the
12	microphone down.
13	Mr. Hammond?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: And it's Badge 321?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
17	MR. GIORDANI: You work for a nonemergency medical
18	company; is that similar to AMR?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you have any strong feelings
21	one way or another about the criminal justice system, sir?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: No.
23	MR. GIORDANI: You have any feelings about it?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I mean, it's as fair as can
25	be, you know, in my opinion.
1	122

1	MR. GIORDANI: Not a perfect system?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: No, not a perfect system,
3	no. But I think overall fair.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yeah.
6	MR. GIORDANI: What do you think about law
7	enforcement in general, sir?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I'm for law enforcement.
9	MR. GIORDANI: When you say for law enforcement
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: I think it's a necessary
11	thing that we need in society, yeah.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Sure. Okay. You would you agree with
13	me that police officers are human beings like you and I
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: and everyone else in this room?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Sure. Yes.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Some good ones, some bad ones
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
19	MR. GIORDANI: is that fair?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Can you promise the Court that you will
22	judge whatever any law enforcement that walks into this room and
23	testifies, you will judge what they have to say based upon what
24	they have to say and not anything else out in the world that you've
25	heard of?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Or experienced, I guess.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes, correct. Yes.
4	MR. GIORDANI: You think you can do that, sir?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Yes.
6	MR. GIORDANI: One of these questionnaires, the
7	questions in your questionnaire oh, I apologize. Have you served
8	on a jury, sir?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: No.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I'm looking at the wrong
11	questionnaire. I apologize. All right. Thank you, sir. And you can
12	pass the microphone down to is it Ms. Collins?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Hughes.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, Ms. Hughes. I apologize.
15	I believe you are one of the folks that we do not have a
16	questionnaire for. Do you remember
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: No.
18	MR. GIORDANI: filling out one of these?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I didn't.
20	MR. GIORDANI: I'm sorry?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I didn't fill out a
22	questionnaire.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay. So, Ms. Hughes, do you have
24	feelings one way or another about the criminal justice system?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: No, I don't.

1	MR. GIORDANI: You're just neutral? Is that a yes,
2	ma'am?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Yes.
4	MR. GIORDANI: I don't mean to keep asking, but we the
5	lady back here is taking all this down, so we have to have a record
6	of it.
7	How do you feel about law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Law enforcement? I
9	mean, they've been help to me in my situations that I've had. So
10	they can help.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Just I'm sorry, I
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: They could be of help.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: At times.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And, you know, I'm talking to your
16	fellow jurors about it, so I'm going to try to streamline this a little
17	bit. Do you think that you'd be a good fit for this particular jury?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I do.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think that you could be fair to
20	Mr. Matthews and his lawyers and give them a fair shake?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I do.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think that you can be fair to
23	Ms. Botelho and I and give us a fair shake?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: I do.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Was that a yes?
	135

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Have you ever had any experience with
3	court in any way? Have you been a juror or anything like that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Never been a juror.
5	MR. GIORDANI: And so you have the four kids at home
6	and two that have
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Disabilities.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Is it
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Speech and language.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So do they do IEPs?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Yes.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Are you required to assist with
13	that at home or is that something that's just done through the
14	school?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: They do that at the
16	school
17	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: and I just participate in
19	the meetings.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Is there anything about
21	the idea that this happened in 2006 and we're at trial 15 years later
22	that might cause you any concern?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: No.
24	MR. GIORDANI: And I should have asked your fellow
25	jurors.

1	interesting.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: There's funny stuff,
4	there's humorous stuff.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: But I think you guys need
7	to revise your outlook. It's sort of like do you post on social media?
8	That's the, key thing.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Well, it's
10	THE COURT: Well, no, that's not the key. The key is that
11	you don't see any news reports or media about the case. Because I
12	can't control what anybody else does.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Oh, yeah.
14	THE COURT: But what I am trying to get
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Oh, yeah.
16	THE COURT: across to you is that if you're on Twitter or
17	if you pull out the R - J and you see something about the case,
18	you're under court order to not read it, to set it aside.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: Yeah, I can do that.
20	THE COURT: Okay. That's just what I wanted to make
21	sure. Thank you.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	And what was your badge number? I'm sorry.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 550: 550.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
ı	

1	Can you pass the microphone back up to Ms. Hughes, 344
2	Ms. Hughes, same question to you as this gentleman up
3	here: Do you think that you can avoid reading or researching
4	anything that may be related to this case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: Yes, I can avoid
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 344: social media.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you very much, ma'am. You can
9	pass the microphone down.
10	Mr. O'Dell?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yes.
12	MR. GIORDANI: 354?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Correct.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Good afternoon, sir.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Good afternoon.
16	MR. GIORDANI: So you did a questionnaire, correct?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Correct.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Is that a yes?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Correct.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. And in that questionnaire, I believe
21	you indicated that you did not feel the criminal justice system was
22	effective or fair; is that accurate?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yes, that's correct.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Why do you say that, sir?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Just from my past and

1	experiences.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Personal or?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: No. What I've seen
4	with as far as friends or stories I've heard.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Friends or stories, so I need to break it
6	down a little bit. Have you known someone that was accused of a
7	crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I've known yes.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I don't think you raised your hand
10	when the judge asked that earlier, so we need to know about that.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: It was I don't know how
12	to word that. I guess, the way that I look at it, or from the past
13	experiences that I've seen, it's more based on who you know and
14	who they know to get you out of stuff.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: For the cases that I've
17	heard about or saw or been through.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So, again, I don't mean to pry too
19	much, but we have to understand where you're coming from here,
20	okay? Are you talking about people you know?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Or stories you saw, like, in the media or
23	read or
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: No, people who I know.
25	For example, my good friend years ago, his brother beat his girl
	1 4 1

1	into a bloody pulp and got a slap on the wrist because his dad knew
2	the right lawyer to get him out of what could have been charged for
3	him.
4	MR. GIORDANI: I see. Okay. I can understand why you
5	may have the opinions you have based upon that.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Uh-huh.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Is there something else or is it that one
8	experience?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I mean, that's the one
10	that stands out to me. I'm sure I can't think of other ones right now,
11	but I know it wasn't just that one case.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: You know.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Would you do you think that your
15	feelings, that you put not only in the questionnaire, but what you're
16	saying here in court, that that might cause you to be unfair in this
17	particular case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I'd say no.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You're a little hesitant. And the
20	masks are horrible, because I cannot tell if you're smiling or
21	scowling at me. There's a little hesitation and we need to know.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I would say no.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You'd agree that Mr. Matthews,
24	me, his lawyers, Ms. Botelho, we had nothing to do with what
25	happened to your friend or associate or whoever you
	142

1	things?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yeah. I mean, I've
3	never I wouldn't say I've always had a good experience with law
4	enforcement, but it was for a reason.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: You know.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: So I don't have a problem
9	with them. No.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Have you had personal
11	interactions with law enforcement?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Whether in was a kid.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yeah. Just doing stupid
15	stuff, but
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: nothing serious.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Anything about those interactions that
19	might bleed into this case or can you set it aside?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: I can set it aside.
21	MR. GIORDANI: You get the gist here, right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yeah.
23	MR. GIORDANI: I mean, we're looking for fair people, we
24	don't want people with predispositions one way or another.
25	You're is that you?
	144

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 354: Yeah.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you. You can pass the
3	microphone down.
4	Good afternoon, sir.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Hi.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Rekrut, correct?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, sir.
8	MR. GIORDANI: 355? So you've been in this situation
9	before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, sir.
11	MR. GIORDANI: You actually made it all the way through,
12	went back in the room, deliberated
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: The whole sh-bang, yes,
14	sir.
15	MR. GIORDANI: How long ago was that, sir?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: About 25 years ago,
17	actually.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you didn't raise your hand or
19	tell the Court you knew anybody in this room, you don't recognize
20	anyone from that particular case or trial?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: No, sir.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Did you feel that was a positive
23	experience, sir?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes. It was an important
25	job and we got it done. So yes, sir.
	145

1	outlook on lawyers?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: The one I'm related to
3	obviously knows so much more than me, but that started when he
4	was 14, so we're good.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Nice. Hate to oversimplify it, but do you
6	think that you're just you're a good fit for this jury?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: I mean, I'm happy to help
8	if you need me.
9	MR. GIORDANI: All right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have a predisposition one way or
12	another?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: No, sir.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Can you give Mr. Matthews a fair trial?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, sir.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And can you give us, the State, a
17	fair trial?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 355: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you.
20	Ms. Collins?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, sir.
22	MR. GIORDANI: 360. How are you, ma'am?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Fine. Here and accounted
24	for.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. You are.
	140

How long have you been with P&P? Is it over 10 years?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes. Over 10 years. I've been with the State since 2002.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You actually did a questionnaire, which I have, I believe, and there are a couple of questions that, based upon your employment, the answers kind of surprise me. So I want to ask you about them. Okay?

You answered that you believe the system is effective. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: But then the next question is do you think the system is fair? And you put no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: I did answer no, because at times, as -- working at Parole and Probation as a presentence investigator, of course, they've already -- the defendant has already been to trial. And when looking at everything just as -- looking at everything and preparing the report, it's already -- the decision has already been made, whether they've been -- they obviously are guilty -- or guilty by jury trial or -- and it's just looking at everything, it looks one way versus what the verdict was. So that's why I say that.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. No, it makes sense. I just want to break it down a little bit more. I can't really tell which side you're falling on here. When you say it looks one way, but it's obviously another.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Well, obviously, the State

1	has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt; the defense had to prove
2	nothing. And, again, they've been found guilty, but sometimes just
3	looking at everything, you I've wondered, really, were they
4	beyond a reasonable doubt, did the State prove their case?
5	Obviously, the State won. But just looking at everything, I
6	sometimes would think did they really
7	THE COURT: What would you be looking at when you say
8	you're looking at everything?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Well, I as the
0	presentence investigator, when I was on the court services side, I
1	saw the entire DA's file and all of the evidence and all of the
2	pictures and all of the memos and everything else.
3	THE COURT: So you don't really know, though, what was
4	admitted into evidence, right? Because the DA's file
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: That is correct.
6	THE COURT: is not evidence.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: That is correct. But just
8	what I saw. So.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you for that, ma'am.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: You're welcome.
22	MR. GIORDANI: And then you said you went on to state
23	that you had positive thoughts about law enforcement; is that
24	correct?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yeah, for the most part.

ΙY

Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So similar to your fellow jurors who I've already talked to, we need to, you know, we want people who can be fair to both sides. I don't want to be sitting there presenting my evidence and think, well, this -- Ms. Collins, this juror is thinking about other cases that she may have written about five or six years ago and might, I don't know, cause you to lean one way or another or make a judgment based upon other cases that has no application here; does that make sense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: It makes sense.

MR. GIORDANI: Do you think that you can be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: I think I can be fair. I, again, just don't know any point in my tenure with court services did I perhaps write a presentence investigation report on co-defendant related. Because we see so many cases, it's literally a case a day. And we're preparing -- I can't even tell you --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: -- who I've written cases on or reports on.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, I can see what you're getting at there. When I read the allegations from the date is 2006, the Court read the conspiracy charges, and there was only one other name in there; do you remember that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: There were -- I don't remember.

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667

1	and what your husband does?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No. I wouldn't get it one
3	way or the other more credence either way.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Because not all are equal.
6	So, no, I wouldn't say I'd be either more one way or another.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Obviously, there are going to be
8	law enforcement officers who testify here. You heard the
9	witnesses, and none of those names popped out to you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No. None of the names
11	popped out to me.
12	MR. GIORDANI: I'm going to leave it at that for now,
13	ma'am. I might have further questions or the Court might a little
14	later.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Okay.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Any problems with this idea that this
17	is '06 the occurred, and now we're here, 15 years later?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: No.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Can you set any speculation aside and
20	wait to hear the evidence play out before you make any judgments?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: Yes, I can.
22	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you very much, ma'am.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 360: You're welcome.
24	MR. GIORDANI: You can pass that over.
25	Mr. Brown?
	153

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yeah.
2	MR. GIORDANI: And it's Badge Number
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: 368.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, sir.
5	I believe you did do a questionnaire, sir?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: I think I did.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Yep. You graduated from Grambling,
8	right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Two years.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Yeah, this is how do you feel
11	about the system, the criminal justice system in general, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Well, like you say, it's
13	some good, it's some bad. You know, the way things been going
14	this past two or three years, man, it's it's just been up and down,
15	you know what I mean?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Uh-huh.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: So you have to look at
18	the system, sometime it's good and sometime it's not.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Yep. I agree with you. You've,
20	obviously, heard me going back and forth with your fellow jurors.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: What we ever we're going to have
23	jurors from different walks of life and with different opinions and all
24	that and that's a good thing, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Correct.
1	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Absolutely.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you very much. Okay. That's all
3	we want is people willing to be fair in this case.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Okay.
5	MR. GIORDANI: All right.
6	Is there anything I haven't asked you, sir, that you think I
7	should know about your or either side should know about you?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Nope.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Do you recall that conversation I had
10	about the date of the offense, 2006?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yes.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Can you refrain from speculating as to
13	why that may be and not hold that against either side in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yes, I can.
15	MR. GIORDANI: And wait to hear the evidence as it plays
16	out?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 368: Yep.
18	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you, sir. You can pass
19	the microphone down.
20	And, Ms. Zimmer, 369, correct?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: I don't believe you did a questionnaire.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: I thought I did. Maybe
24	not.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Did you? No, that wouldn't be
	156

1	surprising. I we don't et all of them, it's kind of a been an issue.
2	I want to talk to you specifically, ma'am, about the social
3	media stuff.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Okay.
5	MR. GIORDANI: You heard the judge with your fellow
6	juror talking about why it's an why it may be an issue or present
7	an issue?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Do you want to change your prior answer
10	or do you understand where we're coming from and can you
11	promise
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: I can't go off of social
13	media for a week. I post for my clients every single day.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: So and they pay me
16	based on that. So I can't not perform that job.
17	MR. GIORDANI: I get that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: That's where I get all
19	my livelihood comes from.
20	MR. GIORDANI: I understand that. The problem is, is that
21	media reports, stuff outside this courtroom, it's not evidence.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yeah.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Right? There's stuff on
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yeah, exactly.
25	MR. GIORDANI: both sides of the aisle and all

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GIORDANI: everywhere in between that's
3	inaccurate. What matters is the evidence that comes from the
4	witness.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
6	MR. GIORDANI: You agree there?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: So if some R-J reporter or whoever
9	writes a report, their opinion may improperly influence a juror.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: I understand.
11	MR. GIORDANI: So can you if you do see anything, I'm
12	not saying anyone's going to write about this case, they may or
13	may not, but if you do see something, can you at least pause
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yeah.
15	MR. GIORDANI: and close that out and not
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yeah. I mean, I'm just
17	going on and just posting stuff for them. I design the add and then
18	I just go on their thing and post it. I'm not, like, going on and
19	posting my own stuff.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Back to the system as a whole,
21	ma'am. Do you have any feelings one way or another about the
22	criminal justice system?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: I think it's fair.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. What about law enforcement?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Good to me. I'm pro law
1	1 = 0

1	enforcement.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Pro law enforcement?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Uh-huh.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Kind of the same thing I've been
5	asking the fellow jurors, ma'am. We what we don't want is
6	someone who might lean one way from the beginning. And that
7	goes to both sides, both ways.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yeah.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Can you consider a law enforcement's
0	officer's testimony for what they have to say?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: We don't want you prejudging them just
3	because they have a badge. I'm just going to blindly accept
4	everything they say and case closed, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Uh-huh.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Can you do that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Bear with me here.
9	Could I have the Court's indulgence for a moment?
20	THE COURT: Absolutely.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I might come back to you, ma'am.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Okay.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you for your time, I appreciate it.
24	Is it Ms. Burgos, 383?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: That's correct.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Good afternoon, ma'am.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Good afternoon.
3	MR. GIORDANI: At this point, I assume you're getting the
4	gist of what I'm getting at.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Jurors who are fair, impartial, and will
7	follow the law as this judge gives it.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think that's you, ma'am?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about the criminal
12	justice system as a whole?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: It's fair.
14	MR. GIORDANI: What about law enforcement; do you do
15	you feel about law enforcement?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Also fair.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Have you had any personal interactions
18	with law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Not at all.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. What is it that you do for a living,
21	ma'am?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I'm a nurse.
23	MR. GIORDANI: So you have you ever worked in
24	trauma?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Trauma, yes.
1	1

1	MR. GIORDANI: Currently, Southwest Primary Care Clinic;
2	that is that based out of the hospital?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No, it's a different setting.
4	It's in the clinic.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Have you dealt with gunshot
6	wounds in your career?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So not even when you worked in
9	trauma, no gunshot wounds?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think that you are the type of
12	person that can give both sides in this case, the State and then
13	Mr. Matthews and his lawyers, you can give us both a fair trial?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: And wait to hear the evidence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Before you make a decision?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Are you going to be able to not speculate
20	as to why there's a 15-year lapse between the crime and this trial?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: There's a little pause there, a little
23	hesitation.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I just don't
25	MR. GIORDANI: That was probably a wordy question.

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667

1	You get what I'm getting at?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes. Yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: There may be a thousand reasons why a
4	trial might not go for 15 years
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Right.
6	MR. GIORDANI: especially murder, right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Right.
8	MR. GIORDANI: So can you not speculate, don't consider
9	the time gap, and just consider the evidence that we present during
10	the course of this trial.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you very much, ma'am. And you
13	can pass that down.
14	Ms. Watts?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes, that's me.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Good afternoon. How are you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Fine, and you?
18	MR. GIORDANI: Great, thank you.
19	Badge Number 390. I have, like, two notes on you. It says
20	retired and three years' college. So I'm going to ask you some
21	questions.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: All right.
23	MR. GIORDANI: What did you do before you retired,
24	ma'am?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Well, I was working at the

1	VA Hospital in Detroit, Michigan.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Then the sleep lab at that
4	time, I was working in the sleep lab.
5	MR. GIORDANI: And at the VA, did you work with only
6	veterans?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Primarily it was veterans.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Did you ever work in trauma or
9	anything like that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Well, I got to see the
11	trauma in my other job that I was doing.
12	MR. GIORDANI: What was that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: When I worked for the
14	Wayne County Medical Examiners.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: In Detroit, Michigan. So I
17	got to see a lot of trauma.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Detroit was busy for trauma, I'm sure.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Very busy.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. What was your role there?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: I was an autopsy
22	technician and I also would make removals.
23	MR. GIORDANI: So
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Take samples for the
25	doctor
1	1.00

1	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: and, you know,
3	dissections. I just had a gift for dissections.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You heard the doctor's name here;
5	you don't have any affiliation with the Clark County Coroner's
6	Office
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: No.
8	MR. GIORDANI: correct? I assume that you're the type
9	of person that's going to be just fine seeing photos of the young
10	lady with a gunshot wound.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes, sir, unfortunately.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Yeah.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have any feelings on our criminal
15	justice system as a whole?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: As a whole, I think it's
17	pretty good.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Do you agree with the sentiment, this
19	discussion I had with maybe one or two of your other jurors that
20	this part of the process, this is supposed to be as fair as it can be,
21	this being the jury trial.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Oh, yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Right? Do you think that you can be fair
24	to both sides in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 390: Yes.
	1

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667

1	And is it Ms. Follmer?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Good afternoon, ma'am.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Good afternoon.
5	MR. GIORDANI: I do have a questionnaire for you, I
6	believe.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And then in that questionnaire, you
9	indicated you think the system criminal justice system is fair, but
10	then the next question, you don't I'm sorry, you think it's
11	effective, but you don't think it's fair; is that accurate?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I guess in light of recent
13	things in the news, that might have swayed my answer. I
14	MR. GIORDANI: Swayed it to be this answer?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yeah. And I think, like, as
16	other people have said, I think it's not a perfect system.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Uh-huh.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: I think I would stand by
19	that statement, that I don't think it's a perfect system.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Sure. It's the system we have.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Right.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Right? And certainly not perfect, because
23	humans are involved.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Right.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Right? But do you think

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Probably 20 years ago.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yeah.
4	MR. GIORDANI: You went through this process then, I
5	presume?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Where the judge and the lawyers
8	questioned you, right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
10	MR. GIORDANI: And then they apparently thought you
11	were a fair juror, because you ended up all the way back in the
12	room.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Do you see those experiences as positive
15	ones?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
17	MR. GIORDANI: And do you think that you'd be a good fit
18	for this particular case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
20	MR. GIORDANI: You heard the charges I read or the
21	Court read and I spoke about?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Uh-huh.
23	MR. GIORDANI: It's as serious as it gets, it's a murder
24	trial; you get that.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Matthews is accused of a very serious crime and there's a woman who's dead and never coming back; do you think you're a good fit for this type of case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you. You can pass that microphone on.

THE COURT: Okay. So at this time we're going to take a recess. During this recess you're not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media, read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, or do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials or make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it's finally submitted to you.

And we'll be in recess for the next 15 minutes. Thank you.

[Court recessed at 3:04 p.m., until 3:33 p.m.]

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the defense?

MR. LEVENTHAL: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. It's my understanding

Ms. Samantha --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, right here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. It's my understanding you want to address the Court?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Your Honor.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Hello. I just wanted to apologize first, I should have brought this up earlier. But I just wanted to make sure and -- I called -- when I mentioned earlier I was a nurse, I called up my nurse manager to make sure I had enough PTO, finding out that this case may go on for a whole week next week. I do not have -- she told me you do not have enough PTO. I'm a little worried and scared, because that's the only financial earnings I get to keep my rent. And I'm going to be truthful and honest as much as possible in order to be fair to you and for everyone involved in this case. I just wanted to let you know my situation right now.

THE COURT: Okay. So now -- so the hospital does not pay you if you're here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: No. If I -- I do not -- like, mentioned before from the other juror, I do not get paid if I don't have enough PTO hours.

THE COURT: Okay. So you have to take, like, your paid time off. Okay. But if you don't have enough, the hospital won't pay you.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: No, unfortunately.
2	THE COURT: Okay. How would that affect you
3	financially? Would you be able to pay your bills?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: I will not be able to.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'm just going ask you to
6	step out. You can put the microphone right down on the seat. I
7	appreciate you letting me know that. And I'm going to ask Leah
8	Delapaz to take Seat Number 21.
9	You can come right up here and take Seat Number 21.
10	Okay.
11	Ms. Delapaz, can you tell me how long you've lived in
12	Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: 16 years.
14	THE COURT: You can grab the microphone. The nice
15	juror next to you was holding it for you.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: 16 years. Sorry.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
18	And your education background?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: Bachelor's in business
20	administration.
21	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: I'm a gaming auditor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So do you work for the Gaming
24	Control Board?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No, in the casino.
	171

1	THE COURT: Okay. What does that mean? You, like,
2	audit the money?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: We audit the yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you done that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: Six years.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: Married.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Is your spouse employed?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: Yes.
10	THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: He's a mechanic.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Are they old enough to be employed?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror
17	before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you,
20	such as a family member or friend, ever been the victim of a crime?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you, such as a
23	family member or friend, ever been accused of a crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No.
25	THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to give weight
	172

1	or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony of a
2	witness simply because that witness is a police officer?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No.
4	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
5	not be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this
6	panel?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 584: No.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much for
9	being here.
10	Mr. Giordani, you can
11	MR. GIORDANI: Actually, Ms. Botelho's going to handle
12	the next row.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MS. BOTELHO: Sign me in.
15	Good afternoon, everyone. Mr. Giordani was getting a
16	little scared that you all are so quiet. Okay. So we're going to pick
17	up with Mr. Dam?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes.
19	MS. BOTELHO: Hi, sir. And you are in
20	THE MARSHAL: Sorry, Agnes?
21	MS. BOTELHO: Oh, I don't have this on. Okay.
22	Hi, Mr. Dam.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Hello.
24	MS. BOTELHO: For the record, you are in Seat
25	Number 12, Juror Number 398?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes.
2	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Mr. Dam, I see that you filled out a
3	questionnaire. But there's nothing really in here that I want to
4	follow up with you. And so let me just kind of ask you something a
5	little more general. Okay?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes.
7	MS. BOTELHO: Have you ever had any positive or
8	negative contact with law enforcement?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: I'm neutral.
10	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. But any kind of contract? Not so
11	much what you think about law enforcement officers, but more so,
12	you know, got a bad ticket one day when you didn't think you
13	deserved one.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: I got pulled over a few
15	times for driving in the wrong way when I was young.
16	MS. BOTELHO: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: You know.
18	MS. BOTELHO: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Change lanes without
20	turn on the [indiscernible].
21	MS. BOTELHO: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: That's all, just minor
23	pull-over, nothing else.
24	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. And so nothing about that contact,
25	as you say, changes your position; you're still neutral.

1	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. How about knowing anyone close
2	to you having been charged, arrested, or convicted of a criminal
3	offense?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: None.
5	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Do you want to serve on this jury,
6	sir?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes.
8	MS. BOTELHO: Why?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: I never been a jury duty
10	before, this is my first time doing it, so maybe it's just a learning
11	experience to do it.
12	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Okay. Anything about the age of
13	this case that would cause you any concern?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: No, not to my knowledge.
15	No.
16	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. You as you sit here today, you're
17	telling us almost absolutely that you can abide by any instructions
18	that Judge Leavitt would give concerning this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes, ma'am.
20	MS. BOTELHO: If you were charged with a crime, would
21	you want someone like yourself sitting as a juror?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Absolutely.
23	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Someone with your mind frame,
24	your experience, you would be okay with that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 398: Yes.

1	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Thank you, sir.
2	Mr. Deering?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yes?
4	MS. BOTELHO: Seat Number 13, Juror Number 399?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: That is correct.
6	MS. BOTELHO: Hello, sir.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Hello.
8	MS. BOTELHO: Do you want to be on this jury?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Sure.
10	MS. BOTELHO: Why?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I grew up in Michigan,
12	and I never made it to the full process. So it's interesting and I
13	understand the value and the importance of it.
14	MS. BOTELHO: When you say full process, have you
15	made it this far?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Uh-uh. I was only there
17	for, like, maybe hour, two hours, and then we were all sent home. I
18	don't remember the reason why, but.
19	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. And so you think you see this as
20	an opportunity to see our criminal justice system at work.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: To some degree, yeah.
22	But I more so just understand the importance of what we do.
23	MS. BOTELHO: Your civic duty?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Yeah.
25	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. You did indicate you filled out a
	177

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Like I said earlier, it's the combination of everything. It's just the passage of time and getting older, reflecting on my own experiences and then seeing the current events and how things have unfolded.

MS. BOTELHO: How does that thought and that experience play into you potentially being a juror here? Because, I mean, we have an African-American defendant --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Right.

MS. BOTELHO: -- right? Current events just happened. While this crime occurred in 2006, the current events that you're talking about are still going on, as a matter of fact, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Well, it's not really the specific current events that have changed my mind. It's just the systematic sign of, you know, broken system. Like, somebody mentioned the teachers and how the schooling is. It's very similar. It's, like, it's the best we've got, but it has a lot of problems.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Do you -- would you agree with me that individuals like yourself wanting to serve in a jury, you know, and kind of see the criminal justice system at least through the trial stage, that that would assist in making the system more fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I would say yes. I think if there was more like me who kind of see the bigger picture but still make a conscious effort to not play it one way or the other, then yes, I think -- I mean, that's the best place for change, with us.

MS. BOTELHO: As you sit here right now, are you in any

not.

way looking at Mr. Giordani or myself, or maybe even thinking about the police officers who were involved in this case, and thinking somehow we, as a system, you know, law enforcement, the DA's office, prosecutors, that we've treated Mr. Matthews differently because of his race?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I would say absolutely

MS. BOTELHO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: And -- but, no. No. To answer your question, I think it -- my worry is, like, I could give you my word and promise you that I can be unbiased and just judge what the facts of the case and how the law applies to that and nothing else around it, but, ultimately, it's up to you guys to decide if I'm right for it. But my worry is that, like, as time has shifted my opinions on things, that I might be more likely to look for things that aren't there. In the past, I guess I would say my opinions toward law enforcement were kind of just, like, overwhelmingly positive. And I think now I might be overly critical. But I don't -- I can give you my word that, you know, I would still do my best and I do understand the importance of what we do and how we have to be unbiased and give everyone a fair shake.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. So let's kind of flesh this out just a little bit. You said you would look for things that may not necessarily be there; what do you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I didn't say I would. My --

I do have a worry --

MS. BOTELHO: You worry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: -- which is why I think it would be dishonest for me to not share these feelings and things.

MS. BOTELHO: Oh, of course. And I'm thankful. Frankly, I didn't have many questions for you, but I -- you know, I'm glad. These are the kind of things that we want to know about. A worry, that's a good way to phrase it, you know. The same way that Mr. Giordani was afraid that people weren't speaking, we want to know. We want to know what's in your head, because, ultimately, the same way I asked Mr. Dam, if you were charged with a crime and you were sitting in Mr. Matthews' seat, would you want someone with your mind frame, with your perspective sitting as a juror in judgment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: I would say absolutely.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. When you say you worry about potentially looking for things that aren't there, what are those things that you're worried about? Like an example.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Well, again, never being in the setting, it's really hard to kind of pull something out of thin air. But just -- I don't really know how to describe it. Just, like --

MS. BOTELHO: Police misconduct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: That sort of thing. Or covering for each other and that -- and the history that goes along with that.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. And so you're -- and correct me if I'm wrong, which you have, you know, I did incorrectly phrase your answer. So you're worried that if you are selected as a juror, you might look for things like police misconduct because of your experience, even though there might not be, really, a basis to look for it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Correct.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. And when you said, you know, initially, when you were younger, your experience was more positive.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Correct.

MS. BOTELHO: And you would be a little bit -- forget the word that you used, it was opposite of overly critical, overly positive, is that what you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Something along those lines, yeah.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. But now your worry is that you might be overly critical.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 399: Correct.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Thank you for that, as well.

Because that does play into, you know, our thought process, at least. Because what we want, and we've said this, you know, and Judge Leavitt even asked, you know, would you automatically give more or less credibility to the testimony of a police officer simply because they are police officers?