


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WYLMINA E. HETTINGA, AN
INDIVIDUAL,
Appellant
vs.
ALAN T. NAHOUM, INC., A NEW
YORK CORPORATION
Respondent

No. 84351

FILED


MAY 05 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: 
DEPUTY CLERK

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION
OF ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This was a pro se appeal from a district court order entered into against appellant as the underlying defendant. This court found that pro se appellant, Wylmina Hettinga lacked standing for two reasons. The first was that she lacked standing to appeal as an aggrieved party because her personal rights weren't adversely affected by the district court ruling and secondly, that the final district court order was only denying reconsideration of an earlier ruling.

This court overlooked the fact that the Respondent in this matter had asked the district court to declare Hettinga a vexatious litigant and subject her to pre-filings restrictions as the defendant in this matter. The final order issued by the district court denied Respondent's request to name Hettinga on the Nevada Vexatious Litigant List maintained by the Administrative Office of the Courts for



all of the courts in Nevada. However, the district court then imposed restrictions on Hettinga's ability to file as a defendant and also presumably as a plaintiff in any Nevada state court. The order is vague as to what constitutes a restricted filing, when, where and who decides whether or not any, or all, of Hettinga's defenses and/or complaints lack merit. Presumably as it stands, they all do.

Hettinga's personal rights were substantially and adversely affected by this final ruling of the district court and thus she is entitled to appeal it. Hettinga, as the defendant in the underlying matter and the plaintiff in this matter, actively compete with each other as actuaries in the State of Nevada for business and this is the not the first lawsuit that the plaintiff has filed against her in Nevada. The district court order placing restrictions on Hettinga's ability to enter a Nevada state court as a defendant and presumably as a plaintiff, adversely affects her rights as a US citizen and is thus appealable. This court should thus allow this appeal to continue.

Dated: May 4, 2022

By 

Wylmina E Hettinga
630 Quintana Road #145
Morro Bay, CA 93442
(805) 235-1699

Certificate of Compliance Pursuant to Rule 40 and 40A

I hereby certify that this Petition for Reconsideration complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in Times New Roman size 14.

I further certify that this Petition for Reconsideration complies with the page or type volume limitations of NRAP 40 or 40A because it does not exceed 2 pages.

Dated: May 4, 2022

By 

Wylmina E Hettinga
630 Quintana Road #145
Morro Bay, CA 93442
(805) 235-1699