## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

## THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL S. SIMON,

Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE TIERRA DANIELLE JONES, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents, and EDGEWORTH FAMILY TRUST; AND AMERICAN GRATING, LLC, Real Parties in Interest.

No. 84367

JUN 2.3 2022

FILED

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary and compelling circumstances having been shown, petitioner's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply is granted. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B). Petitioner shall have until July 11, 2022, to file and serve the reply. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. *Cf.* NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

O) 1947A

cc: James R. Christensen Morris Law Group

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

1