## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT G. REYNOLDS, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

VS.

RAFFI TUFENKJIAN, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND LUXURY HOLDINGS LV, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondents.

ROBERT G. REYNOLDS, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND DIAMANTI FINE JEWELERS, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellants,

VS.

AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF

RAFFI TUFENKJIAN, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND LUXURY HOLDINGS LV, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondents.

No. 84000

FLED

NOV 2 9 2022

CLERY OF AUFREMA COURT

No. 84413

## ORDER REINSTATING BRIEFING, PARTIALLY DISMISSING APPEAL, AND DIRECTING TRANSMISSION OF RECORD

This court issued an order granting a motion to withdraw as counsel on September 6, 2022, and suspended briefing. In the order, this court directed appellants to retain counsel and cause new counsel to file a notice of appearance by October 6, 2022. It also cautioned appellant Diamanti Fine Jewelers, LLC, that failure to retain new counsel would result in the dismissal of its appeal.

On October 4, 2022, appellant Robert Reynolds filed a pro se letter informing this court that he has had difficulty in finding an attorney. And, on October 18, 2022, he filed a pro se motion for an extension of time

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA



to file a notice of appearance of attorney. On October 18, 2022, respondents filed an opposition to the motion for an extension of time and asking to dismiss the appeal for failure to respond. Reynolds filed a pro se letter on November 1, 2022, informing this court that he will be proceeding pro se. Accordingly, the motion for an extension of time is denied as moot.

Respondents' motion to dismiss is granted to the following extent. Diamanti's appeal in docket no. 84413 is dismissed. NRAP 46A(b)(2); Salman v. Newell, 110 Nev. 1333, 1336, 885 P.2d 607, 608 (1994); State v. Stu's Bail Bonds, 115 Nev. 436, 436 n.1, 991 P.2d 469, 470 n.1 (1999). The clerk shall modify the caption accordingly.

Briefing in these appeals is reinstated as follows. Within 30 days from the date of this order, Reynolds may file either (1) a brief that complies with the requirements in NRAP 28(a) and NRAP 32; or (2) the "Informal Brief Form for Pro Se Parties" provided by the supreme court clerk. NRAP 31(a)(1). Failure to timely file a brief may result in the dismissal of this appeal. NRAP 31(d) Respondents need not file a response to any brief filed by appellant, unless ordered to do so by this court. NRAP 46A(c). This court generally will not grant relief without providing an opportunity to file a response. *Id*.

This court concludes that review of the complete record is warranted. NRAP 10(a)(1). Accordingly, within 30 days from the date of this order, the clerk of the district court shall transmit to the clerk of this court a certified copy of the trial court record in District Court Case No. A-17-753532-B. See NRAP 11(a)(2) (providing that the complete "record shall contain each and every paper, pleading and other document filed, or submitted for filing, in the district court," as well as "any previously

prepared transcripts of the proceedings in the district court"). The record shall not include any exhibits filed in the district court. NRAP 11(a)(1).

It is so ORDERED. 1

Parraguirre, C.J

cc: Hon. Mark R. Denton, District Judge Robert G. Reynolds Marquis Aurbach Coffing Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This court takes no action on Reynolds pro se letter filed on November 10, 2022. The clerk shall strike the letter filed on November 15, 2022. See NRAP 30(i) (pro se parties are generally not permitted to file an appendix).