IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Case No. 82723

Electronically Filed Oct 22 2021 07:30 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

DAVID PATRICK STUCKE Appellant

And

CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE Respondent

Appeal from Order from February 25, 2021 regarding Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order and Decree of Divorce, Clark County Nevada, Eighth Judicial District Court Family Division Department F Appellant's Appendix Volume 11

ROSENBLUM ALLEN LAW FIRM

Molly Rosenblum, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8242
Sheila Tajbakhsh, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 15343
376 E Warm Springs Road, Suite 140
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Phone (702) 433-2889
Fax (702) 425-9642
staff@rosenblumlawlv.com
Counsel for Appellant

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID PATRICK STUCKE

Appellant,

Supreme Court Case No.: 82723

VS.

CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE,

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX INDEX VOLUME 11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ALPHABETICAL LISTING

Defendant's Amended Pre-Trial Memorandum
(September 11, 2020)
Defendant's Answer to Complaint For Divorce and Counterclaim
(December 13, 2018) 0009-0014, Vol. 1
Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition
to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of
Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs
and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and
Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions
of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to
Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For
Attorney's Fees
(June 19, 2019)
Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify
Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses;
Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property;

Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial

Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief

(March 13, 2019), 0226-0229, Vol. 2

Defendant's Exhibits Appendix to Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For
Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's
Fees; and Related Relief and Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why
Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order
Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 6, 2019)
Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive
Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees; and Related Relief and
Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held
in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019
and For Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 6, 2019)
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to
Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties'
Trial and for Related Relief and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees
(October 30, 2020)

Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief (March 13, 2019) 0194-0225, Vol. 1 Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce From Trial Minute Order Minute Order (May 6, 2019) 0474-0476, Vol. 3

Minute Order for August 3, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for June 11, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for June 23, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for March 10, 2020 Hearing
Minute Order for November 6, 2020 Hearing
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff; Notice of Perfection of
Retaining's Lien; And to Foreclose on Retaining's Lien on the Plaintiff For
Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds
in Trust
(May 21, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce
(February 25, 2021)
Notice of Entry of Order After April 17, 2019 Hearing
(August 5, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of August 20, 2019
(October 3, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 30, 2020
(February 28, 2020)
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 7, 2020
(February 28, 2020)

Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of October 7, 2019
(October 31, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After March 27, 2019 Hearing
(May 3, 2019) 0456-0462, Vol. 3
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Judgment Against Defendant
(June 28, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order to Show Cause
(January 15, 2020)
Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff;
Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Plaintiff for Unpaid Fees and
Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and
Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order,
Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold
Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees
(June 5, 2019)
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Residence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence
(February 21, 2020)

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D.
to Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the
Parties' Trial; and for Related Relief
(October 7, 2020) 0997-1004, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Modify Custody; For Child
Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the
Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For
Related Relief
(February 15, 2019)
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Change Custody;
For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's
Fees and For Related Relief
(August 20, 2019) 0674-0697, Vol. 4
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply in Support of Motion to Change
Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence;
Attorney's Fees and for Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for
an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of
Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For
Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 30, 2019)

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; Birkland Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Second Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Residence and For Defendant to Vacate the Residence Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial; And for Related Relief

Plaintiff's Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of
the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(August 19, 2019)
Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills
and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the
Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(February 15, 2019)
Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum
(September 10, 2020) 0912-0961, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review
Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial;
and For Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees
(November 6, 2020)
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support;
Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and for
Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause
Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the
Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs
(September 30. 2019)
Plaintiff's Reply to Counterclaim (January 8, 2019)

Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child
Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the
Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For
Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server,
Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief
(March 22, 2019)
Plaintiff's Second Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support;
Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital
Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related
Relief
(April 15, 2019)
Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment
of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence;
Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(April 8, 2019)
Plaintiff's Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Residence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence
(February 25, 2020)
Register of Actions for Case No. D-18-580621-D 1083-1095, Vol. 6

Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)
CHRONOLOGICAL LISTING
CHRONOLOGICAL LISTING Complaint for Divorce (November 28, 2018)
Complaint for Divorce (November 28, 2018)

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief (March 13, 2019), 0226-0229, Vol. 2 Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child

Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the

Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For

Related Relief; and Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server,			
Attorney's Fees and Other Related Relief			
(March 22, 2019)			
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's			
Motion to to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills			
and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the			
Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief; and			
Countermotion for Financial Relief, Return of File Server, Attorney's Fees			
and Other Related Relief			
(March 22, 2019)			
Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment			
of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence;			
Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief			
(April 8, 2019)			
Plaintiff's Second Supplement to Motion to Modify Custody; For Child Support;			
Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses; Exclusive Possession of the Marital			
Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property; Attorney's Fees and For Related			
Relief			
(April 15, 2019)			

Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Second Supplement to Motion to
Modify Custody; For Child Support; Payment of Marital Bills and Expenses;
Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Sale of the Birkland Property;
Attorney's Fees and For Related Relief
(April 16, 2019)
Notice of Entry of Order After March 27, 2019 Hearing
(May 3, 2019) 0456-0462, Vol. 3
Notice of Entry of Order After April 17, 2019 Hearing
(August 5, 2019) 0463-0473, Vol. 3
Minute Order
(May 6, 2019) 0474-0476, Vol. 3
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Plaintiff; Notice of Perfection of
Retaining's Lien; And to Foreclose on Retaining's Lien on the Plaintiff For
Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds
in Trust
(May 21, 2019)

Attorney of Record for Plaintiff; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Plaintiff for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees

(June 6, 2019) 0534-0609, Vol. 3

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees

(June 19, 2019) 0610-0625, Vol. 4

Defendant's Exhibit Appendix to Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Partial Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant; Notice of Perfection of Attorney's Lien on the Defendant for Unpaid Fees and Costs and Alternative Motion to Release Community Funds in Trust and Defendant's Opposition to the Countermotion for Reconsideration of Portions of the May 6, 2019 Order, Preservation of the Marital Estate; For an Order to Show Cause and Hold Defendant in Contempt of Court Order; and For Attorney's Fees

(June 19, 2019) 0626-0651, Vol. 4

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Judgment Against Defendant

3.4.	\cap 1
Minute	()rder
williate	Oruci

	(July 29, 2019)	0656-0658, Vol. 4	
Plaint	tiff's Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclu	sive Possession of	
	the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and For Related Re	elief	
	(August 19, 2019)	0659-0673, Vol. 4	
Plaint	tiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to	Change Custody;	
	For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Res	idence; Attorney's	
	Fees and For Related Relief		
	(August 20, 2019)	0674-0697, Vol. 4	
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of August 20, 2019			
	(October 3, 2019)	0698-0703, Vol. 4	
Defer	ndant's Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For Child S	Support; Exclusive	
	Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees; and	Related Relief and	
	Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Sh	hould Not Be Held	
	in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered or	n August 22, 2019	
	and For Attorney's Fees and Costs		
	(September 6, 2019)	0704-0724 Vol 4	

Defendant's Exhibits Appendix to Opposition to Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees; and Related Relief and Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and for Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Reply in Support of Motion to Change Custody; For Child Support; Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence; Attorney's Fees and for Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court, to Reconsider the Order Entered on August 22, 2019 and For Attorney's Fees and Costs

Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of October 7,	2019
(October 31, 2019)	0827-0839, Vol. 5
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 7, 2	2020
(February 28, 2020)	0840-0847 Vol. 5
Notice of Entry of Order to Show Cause	
(January 15, 2020)	0848-0851, Vol. 5
Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing of January 30,	, 2020
(February 28, 2020)	0852-0858, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Allow Plaintiff to C	omplete the Refinance of the
Maule Residence and For Defendant to Vacate	the Residence
(February 21, 2020)	0859-0866, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Emerge	ncy Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Res	idence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence	
(February 21, 2020)	0867-0898, Vol. 5
Plaintiff's Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Emerge	ncy Motion to Allow Plaintiff
to Complete the Refinance of the Maule Res	idence and for Defendant to
Vacate the Residence	
(February 25, 2020)	
Minute Order for March 10, 2020 Hearing	

Minute Order for June 11, 2020 Hearing	
Minute Order for June 23, 2020 Hearing	
Minute Order for August 3, 2020 Hearing 0910-0911, Vol. 5	
Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum	
(September 10, 2020)	
Defendant's Amended Pre-Trial Memorandum	
(September 11, 2020) 0962-0982, Vol. 5	
Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review Newly Discovered	
Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial; And for Related	
Relief	
(October 7, 2020)	
Plaintiff's Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D.	
to Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the	
Parties' Trial; and for Related Relief	
(October 7, 2020)	
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to	
Review Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties'	
Trial and for Related Relief and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	
(October 30, 2020)	

Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion to Allow John Paglini, Psy.D. to Review	
Newly Discovered Evidence Prior to Giving Testimony at the Parties' Trial;	
and For Related Relief and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees	
(November 6, 2020)	
Minute Order for November 6, 2020 Hearing	
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce From Trial	
(February 15, 2021)	
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce	
(February 25, 2021)	
Register of Actions for Case No. D-18-580621-D 1083-1095, Vol. 6	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (September 14, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 9, 2020) 1550-1672, Vol. 9	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 10, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 11, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)	
Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial (December 17, 2020)	

DATED this 22nd day of October 2021.

ROSENBLUM ALLEN LAW FIRM

Molly Rosenblum, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 8242

Sheila Tajbakhsh, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 15343

376 E Warm Springs Road, Suite 140

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Phone (702) 433-2889

Fax (702) 425-9642

staff@rosenblumlawlv.com

Counsel for Appellant

total cash -- I'm assuming that means total cash out of that income. And then he has something called personal expenses with no reference what personal expenses represents. And then he has no column that represents any business expenses. And then in total transfers to other without explaining the transfers.

And then is that part of the income? Because if it's a transfer out to somebody else, that's not necessarily income. That's outgoing. And whether or not (indiscernible) pay somebody for work would determine whether or not it was a business expense or a personal income.

So, number one, I'm not sure quite what I'm reading and what the total income of \$23,393.10 represents, and I'm not even sure for what year that this is supposed to be representing because there's no dates. But I'm just guessing maybe it's 2019. But if that's the case, then -- if he's saying Action Rad only took in \$23,393 in 2019, that's certainly under reporting what I reported as receiving as income from that company.

So if we want to go with David's number of the lower income, hey, you know. If he wants to put that as my total income for a year's time, I'm good with that. We can base child support on that number.

MR. MAYO: Your Honor -- Your Honor --

THE WITNESS: How about that?

MR. MAYO: She's being a little hysterical. Can we

stay on target here?

.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, a lot of it is argument again. Hold on, Christie. It's -- that's your attorney's argument. I mean, we've been letting it go because she just keeps wanting to -- I understand --

THE WITNESS: Yeah (indiscernible) --

THE WITNESS: It's common sense.

THE COURT: Here's the thing. I totally -- I understand that you understand accounting and running a business and how you classify expenses and all that, as do I. But in terms of the actual document itself, you don't need to make the argument as to why I should or shouldn't accept it and whatever it says. Your attorney will make that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

and the only reason I say this is because I really need us to get your factual testimony out. That what you're telling me now is your interpretation and your personal opinion and that —— again, like I said, your attorney's going to argue all that for you. Not a problem. I need your factual testimony, so. And I don't want us to —— we can't run into another day.

Guys, just so you understand, I am training in

David and his girlfriend?

Christie personal checking, account ending in 765 --

7685, profit and loss January through July 2020.

ه

the numbers are different than your numbers. I get it. BY MR. PAGE:

Q Turn to Exhibit 36, please, and could you identify the document?

A Again, PCCG, Inc. with an account ending in 7578, which doesn't correlate to any of my business account numbers, so I'm not sure which account this is referencing. So, again, there's lacking expenses. It doesn't represent the income that came into that business account for six months. And it says that I made \$5,514 in this -- in this thing over six months. So again, it's less than what I've reported showing as my income for a six-month period.

Q Page 2, which is 7274, lists the net income for PCCG, Inc. as being what for that time period?

A I thought that was the net. So this one says net ordinary income. And then on the next page, which is 7274, there's another net income listed. So which one is it? Is it negative \$6,652.71 or the \$1,137 -- negative one hundred -- \$1,137.73 or is it the \$1,108.02 on the previous page for, you know, six months of accounting for an account number that doesn't represent the Medical Systems Group account, nor does it represent Action Rad account numbers? And so I'm not sure what I'm looking at here at 7274 and how it -- how it meshes. It doesn't make sense.

BY MR. PAGE:

1.5

Q Now, we have the Exhibit 37, and that is -- could you identify this document, please?

A It says at the top Action Rad Solutions, Inc. profit and loss January 1st to July 1st, 2020. And then it's very hard to read the text. Let's see. But as you can see in general, if you look down, January 2020, for example, the column, and you can see a recurring theme across this document, which is there are no expenses related to the business of Action Rad Solutions for a six-month period of time, which obviously you can see in any statement that there are plenty of expenses in every single statement that are certainly not listed on this profit and loss. So it appears to only be depicting income and very few expenses, if any, listed. And it's mostly all zeros all across the board on expense level.

Q What is this document identified as?

A This says Christie's Personal Checking Account ending in -- and it cuts off 76. I'm assuming it's 7685, but it's not -- it's cut off so I can't see the numbers. I'm assuming it's for my personal account for January to July 2020. And at the bottom it would appear that this was printed in a way that the numbers are not even showing up on the total

BY MR. PAGE:

balances on the bottom because there's pound signs. Because it's not printed properly you cannot see the actual number values that are supposed to be represented here.

But even if you could, if you look at some of the other summary amounts, for example total income up at the top where it says January 20th, 2020 -- of 2020, if you look across there he's saying that I got \$366.04 in January of this year, \$430.14 in February, and then apparently I put nothing in my personal account in the months of March and April of 2020. Which clearly, if you look at any of my personal statements for March or April, you will see that that is absolutely inaccurate, as well as for May.

So for three months there's absolutely no data entry whatsoever. So you can see right off the bat that this report is also inaccurate, and it is very easy to see, you know, not legibly or able to be understood with the pound signs at the bottom.

Q Let's turn on to Exhibit 39. Could you identify this document, please?

MR. MAYO: Fred, what number?

MR. PAGE: 39.

MR. MAYO: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: It's Bates number 7278.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II **(SEALED)**VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II **(SEALED)**VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: Look. That's argument, Christie.

That's argument. I understand how you feel.

23

THE COURT: Make sure, Christie, you keep it to the document when he says what issues are you having with this document. Then you can point out if you see --

THE WITNESS: Okay.

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: -- discrepancies or some issue, as

end.

BY MR. PAGE:

Α

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

Q This document here, what issues do you have with it specifically?

incomplete, inaccurate accounting.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Inc. profit and loss for a six-month period between January through June 2020. And right off the bat you can see several months, such as January, April, May, June with zero values. So he's trying to say I made no money into that account during those months and no expenses as well. So it looks, again,

Again this is supposed to be representative of PCCG,

opposed to making argument. He'll make that for you at the

Q Let's turn to Exhibit 40. It's Bates labeled 7280. The print is terribly small. Are you able to read it?

A Well, at the top I see PCCG, Inc. And I see a ledger with the last four numbers of 7578, which again does not relate to any of the working checking accounts for any -- either one of my businesses. However, it's disturbing to see further down where you see checking Wells Fargo 1401, further down on that document, which is absolutely representative of an account of Action Rad Solutions ending in 1401.

So which is this? Is this PCCG, Inc. or is this Action Rad Solutions, Inc. or did you mix them both together?

I have no idea. But I do see --1 2 THE COURT: Wait. 3 THE WITNESS: -- that as an inaccuracy. And if you go on further, then you see additional -- my Christie's checking on document 7281, Bates number 7281, and then I'm not sure what I'm reading here, you know, with the -- my personal 6 checking being brought up. A total of Christie's checking and 8 savings, like what are -- what is -- how does that relate to 9 PCCG, Inc.? 10 You know, and then Action Rad Solutions there's 11 deposits here that are representative -- that were Action Rad 12 Solutions deposits. So again there's just (indiscernible) --13 THE COURT: Christie, question. MR. MAYO: Yeah. 14 15 THE COURT: Question. 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah? 17 THE COURT: So 1401 is Action Rad. Is 35 -- is it 35 -- or 9517, is that also Action Rad? 18 19 THE WITNESS: No. I think 9517 is the savings 2.0 account of Action Rad. I believe the savings account is 9517 21 of Action Rad. 22 THE COURT: Got it. 23 THE WITNESS: So again he's got two other accounts

listed under PCCG, Inc., which this is a nonexisting company

_

to begin with. And I don't -- I believe he's representing both Medical Systems Group and Action Rad in this document. That's what it appears. But, again, while looking further, you know, I'm -- I'm trying to give credit where credit could be due as what would be accurate. And I really am. Because if any of this is usable, I'd love a copy. But this is just not. I can't rely or trust any of this information.

THE COURT: Okay. Next document.

BY MR. PAGE:

Q Okay. Turn to Exhibit 41. Could you identify this document, please?

A Now, this one says Action Rad Solutions, account ending 1401. And this appears to be for -- I'm assuming January 1st, 2020 until the end of June or July 2020, and this includes both my checking as well as my savings with a tally of those items of income and expenses. And then it goes down to Medical Systems Group is mentioned here. Okay. Those are transfers to PCCG. Okay. And then -- let's see here.

So at the bottom of this he's showing total distributions, total income or total -- I'm trying to read that. Total -- total related entities as being negative \$16,975 as I -- I'm assuming a total balance -- yeah, that's the end balance for that account. So he's saying I made negative \$16,975 for a six-month period for Action Rad

Solutions, account ending in 1401?

Again, you know, we can go with his number. It's a lot less than mine. I reported initially earning money. So he's reporting that I have a negative balance -- or a negative balance on this.

And then further down, let's see here. Multiple deposits -- I don't have any clue as to who these deposits are from because I don't have any information on these deposits under the business income. And is that for PCCG? Is that for Action Rad? There's no listing as to which account it was deposited into or, you know, which account it represents at the beginning of the columns or the headers on Bates number 7289.

And then again, even so, if you look at the balance sheet he's reporting that the end balance is negative \$29,113. So if he's trying to say I'm making a whole lot of extra money that I'm reporting he's doing a very poor job depicting it in a report, and it's only showing actually the opposite, that I'm making less than I'm reporting. So that's what I see here as an inaccuracy just overall.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I mean, it just doesn't make sense.

THE COURT: Got it.

24 BY MR. PAGE:

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Let's go to the next one, Exhibit 42. Could you identify this document, please?

A Christie's personal checking ledger ending in 7685, which is the last four digits of my personal account. And it also lists some other business savings numbers and other accounts on the left-hand side, such as Wells Fargo 1401. don't know if those are just -- if they're representative of this document. If so, then that's odd to have those listed on a personal checking on the left. And that's Bates number 7295.

Let's see here. Action -- Action Rad -- so it would appear that I'm seeing deposit, Action Rad Solutions, but I'm not sure if that means it's coming or going, to or from. doesn't really say. I'm assuming this is a deposit from Action Rad as a transfer right here, but he's -- if this is a transfer, he's not listing it as a transfer. He's listing it as a deposit. So again that would inflate my income, if it were being handled that way, accounting-wise, which is I think where the problem exists, honestly.

And then there is a transfer. See, some are labeled transfers, some are labeled deposits. So right there is an inconsistency. If you look on the next page, Bates number 7296, on a date of -- I can't even read that. I think it's 3/11/2020, transfer from Action Rad. Here it says transfer.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But on the previous page it says deposit. So which is it, you know? I have no clue because it's not classified or documented appropriately.

Again the balance (indiscernible). Deposit, ground cleaning expenses. So it's showing as a total -- and this is supposed to be for a six-month period. The end result is supposed to be negative 206.91 I think (indiscernible) on Bates number 7297. There seems to be an end total tally or a break. I'm not sure. Because it just adds up and it says there -- but I'm not sure what that represents, that break, of the total. There's a total on that page, 7297, but I have no clue what that represents other than the transactions above it.

And then going on to the next page, 7298, continuation of this report, I don't even know what this is. But it just seems to be a whole bunch of categories and a column, and either way if you look at what it says at the top for -- Wells Fargo 9517 is referenced here. That's a savings account for Action Rad.

So if this is supposed to be personal, then he must have mixed some business stuff into here. But there's nothing there listed for that, so. But it still only says I made \$280.03 for six months in my personal checking, which is obviously incorrect. That is much lower than I represented

and then calculated.

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

And again, further down it's negative thirty-one thousand -- thirty -- thirty-one or thirty-seven. Again it's very small print, difficult to read, but on Bates number 7299. If we go here again on a break, you see on the first break of tallies at the bottom, below, where it says Medical Systems Group 7578, I'm not sure which account that represents because that's not my Medical Systems Group's ending four numbers.

So when I look further across, it shows a negative of \$37,0004. Like what does that represent? I don't understand. I would like to understand but --

THE COURT: Got it.

THE WITNESS: -- I do not have time.

THE COURT: No, I understand. I got it.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: You pointed out --

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: You pointed out your concerns.

THE WITNESS: -- (indiscernible) if you can understand better than me, please let me know. 7300, Bates number 7300, even further -- and again I'm seeing JD Investments listed here. So there was a deposit reimbursement for Airbnb listed here. There's categories for JD Investments and gambling and gaming in David's stuff.

Interesting report if that's supposed to be, quote/unquote, my personal checking, then what is David's stuff doing in this 7300 Bates number? You can see the categories on the left. Like there's a \$50,000 total payment capital. What is that? What does that represent? Payment capital to what? Where? What's that number value represent? Where is that substantiated?

Do you see that number right in the middle of the page, that 7300? That's a lot of money there. Where does that come from? What does that represent? Please tell me. Because if I have \$50,000 or put \$50,000 somewhere I would absolutely know. I mean, that's a big number. You can't ignore it.

So again, that's a huge inaccuracy and a huge, you know, warning sign that these books are not complete or accurate and there's problems all over the place. And the readability and understandability of these statements is, without being rude, garbage.

And 7301, again, what am I looking at here? There's no information about dates, what (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: Got it. Got it.

THE WITNESS: I mean, (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: I mean, I would need two weeks for you to go through every single opportunity. I'd probably need two

months. 2 THE WITNESS: Hopefully you've seen enough. 3 THE COURT: Yeah, I have. BY MR. PAGE: Now, there's (indiscernible) those, so I believe 5 that was -- that was Exhibit 42. The next one I think we're 6 going to look at is Exhibit 43. Exhibit 43 is apparently a return from a subpoena duces tecum from Golden Entertainment starting off with Bates label 6551. I'm going to have you turn within this to a page that is 6561. Do you have any idea 10 what this means? It says customer history for date range, and 11 12 it lists your prior married name (indiscernible). 13 So this is a report from -- let me see what company 14 this is. 15 0 Golden. 16 Yeah, but I don't know which -- which casino --17 THE COURT: What's the Bates number you're looking 18 at? 19 THE WITNESS: 6661 -- or, no, sorry -- 6561. Let me 2.0 figure out which place this is from. 21 THE COURT: I don't see that Bates number. 22 MR. PAGE: Let me see if I may have removed the 23 pages --24 MR. MAYO: So, Your Honor -- Your Honor, we have it

as 16 -- sorry. We have it as 43A and 43B. I think she's on the 43B one.

MR. PAGE: Okay. I didn't segregate out the 43As and the Bs. When I was printing these out it really didn't make sense. But let me go to 43A and see what we can find here.

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to figure out who Golden Entertainment is. But looking at what I'm looking at I believe is a gambling statement of 6561, and it says trip dates -- and this is pre domestic partnership, even pre meeting David, of January 31st 2014 to --

THE COURT: I can read the document.

THE WITNESS: -- May --

THE COURT: I don't know -- what are you going to have her testify to?

MR. PAGE: She understands what their claiming -THE WITNESS: Well, actually this is a good
understanding here. I think this is very good information.
Because if you look here, it says for a three year period. If
I did have a huge gambling problem, apparently I regained
\$13,000 of promotional points and \$300 in comps but only put
in approximately -- lost \$708. So if you do the math here, it
will show you that my advantage gambling did really well for
me with whichever casino this was for for that period of time

regarding losses versus promotional credits and monies --2 THE COURT: Got it. 3 THE WITNESS: -- and comps (indiscernible). 4 THE COURT: Got it. I can -- got it. I think the document speaks for itself. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. PAGE: 8 It looks like that's the Stratosphere or is it 9 (indiscernible) --Okay. So that would probably be the Stratosphere 10 11 that we did promotions at. And as you can see, we made pretty -- pretty well out on that one. 12 13 Then look at 43. 14 And again, that was what I did even -- this is I 15 think prior to even meeting David. Because I used to do free gambling trips and take advantage of the casinos, but not -- I 16 didn't do it professionally. I didn't know what I was doing. 17 I was just like, oh, free trip. Okay. Let's take advantage 18 of this. So that was my level of advantage playing prior to 19 20 meeting David. 21 And I met him on (indiscernible) --22 MR. MAYO: Your Honor, there's no pending question. This is just like a diatribe. 23

THE COURT: Agreed. Christie, hold it. Keep it --

24

what you didn't put in.

A Right.

Q We have a (indiscernible-audio break). Okay. Car you read that?

A Yeah. Hold on. I'm going to zoom in a little bit more and then fix it. Hold on. So I can actually read it.

Q Yeah, it wants to grab on and not let go. (Indiscernible) use a mouse.

A Yeah, I'll use the mouse. I'll do that. Yeah. I got it. So this is 2016 to 2019 of --

Q When we take a look at this here, when we see something where it says like in 2016 cashed in \$10,580, what does that mean?

A That would be the actual date where we probably began the promotion and started doing a promotion (indiscernible) like when we would -- an event, collecting the 10,000 points, for example, like we talked about in order to get the free play. With the Cosmo we did quite a few people that we bankrolled for that promotion.

So it shows like \$59,193 of money I guess that passed through the machine, and there's a winning of \$6,400 it looks like for that date and time frame. So it means we actually won six thousand and some-odd dollars over a three year time frame on my particular (indiscernible) account.

So that just gives you an example of one of the

promotions that we did, like I explained to you the Cosmo one that we did. And we did that with several different people that can testify, if needed, if you want, so.

Q So when you'd do these promotions, how did you -- how did you find out about a promotion?

A So David had other professional advantage gambler buddies, such as John Morrell, and there was another gentleman Dan, and when they found a weak point of a promotion or a marketing that sounded like it was good, he would look to do that. We would also scour the Internet. For anything that said double jackpot we were willing to fly to the state and the place to do any double jackpot promotions, because those are the best ones to take advantage of casinos where they pay you double whatever you get in a jackpot. And there's a way to do it in advantage play on that.

And his dad would regularly search the Internet for those types of promotions, and when we found out about one basically we would work together with Dan or John and other multiple people and we would go into the casino and plan to be there and, again, take advantage of the potential of money that could be made.

Q How would you do that? How did the play work so you could get an advantage?

A That's where David came in, because David had the

technology and the software to do what is called a game simulation of risk to ruin, and he could figure out if it was worth the value or not to expend the initial money or bankroll needed in order to do it.

You hear about these guys putting in X number of dollars for to win a car, for example. And they will go in and they will take the odds and play to get the most amount of entries and they figure out their risk to ruin. That's what it's about. You take a risk. And sometimes it works out and you win that car. And that's how advantage gamblers that have the big dollars -- we're not on that bridge of the big players. We're on the more of the low end advantage gambling range because we don't have as big of a bankroll. But there are people that do that, even on that degree. And that -- that's how it's done.

Q Okay. So if you, for example, if at the Cosmopolitan here at buy in, where do you get the bankroll for doing the buy in?

A I'd have to use monies, my own monies to do that. I do take cash withdrawals at times to put in that cash in order to achieve the results of the promotional items that I'm getting.

Q How often throughout the marriage did you and David engage in this advantage activity?

A Weekly. Weekly we would go to multiple casinos to pick up our free play and do our pickups on a regular basis and to different places and oftentimes involved a third party with us.

Q Involved third parties, how would you get third parties to play with you?

A We offered them in exchange for them giving us the free play, the cash values, we'd let them collect on the free meals, free hotel rooms, free entertainment, things that they would get by upping their level with the casino. That would be their benefit for participating and supplying their time to come out with us to utilize their body basically as a -- what David would call a money mule. That was the -- these were our money mules. I was David's money -- he often referred to me in a way that I was his money mule.

Q So everyone understands, what's a money mule?

A Well, because David didn't want to be directly connected to the gambling for fear that it might be a problem with his employer at the time, because the employers have varying, you know, reasonable expectations of the people that are working for them and gaming mathematician and odds. And so he would black and say, well, I can't collect W2Gs, but I can send you in and you could be my money mule and you could play the promotions. And that way it doesn't reflect badly on

Q So as we look at this here on the (indiscernible) we're looking at the document that's Bates labeled 3189. Is this record here where it says redeem date covers points, comprewards, et cetera, I mean, are they of any import to you?

A Yeah, because it basically shows me coming in and you can see the player is -- which is being me, is actually redeeming points or the promotional items when I'm coming in and what I put in and what I took out. So it's showing that I'm utilizing those player points and that I'm coming in to do that on a regular basis throughout the marriage.

Q This is going back from 2019 through -- says here 2016?

A That's what it looks like, yes. Now here is where I had played. So as you can see here, this is where it -- my own cash values or monies might have been used because it says slot. I believe that means my cash values, but I'm not sure.

Q Well, we're looking at Bates label (indiscernible)
7319 --

A Correct. So where the other ones were player points redeemed, this I believe represents a slot activity.

Q Whose idea was it to do advantage gambling the way you guys did it?

A It was David's.

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

0 How did he open the subject with you? What was the discussion?

When I first met him he told me, well, you're not advantage gambling properly. You're not doing it right. Because I was like, oh, I got a free trip, I got this. He's like, no, no, no. You don't understand the right way to do this.

So he actually had me training on a poker app, a video poker app, until I could get a 99 percent as a player in order to be able to get the maximum cash out value of free play so that we would play on the poker machine in order to cash out the most amount. And he trained me on basically the understanding of advantage gambling.

He taught me how to count cards for God's sake. taught me a lot about gambling, and it's actually helped me make a little bit of money here and there, so I'm actually grateful. It's helped me pay my legal bills.

How long after you guys met each other did (indiscernible)?

It was on the first date he brought up advantage gambling and claimed that he was a World Series of (indiscernible) but he was telling me about his gold bracelet and all this, trying to impress me.

When we take a look here, this is Exhibit 44B, where

it says Christie LeeAnn Henshel (phonetic), it has -- the Bates label number is 6406, is this particular document of any import that has transaction code, cash threshold, cash in, cash out?

- A Is this for Cosmo?
- Q Yes.

A Okay. So this basically says that -- according to this that cash in was \$11,595 for more than a year and a half time. So that would mean that it would be, you know, more than likely less than 500 or less a month of cash that was put into the actual casino. So I think it shows -- and then I cashed out 1500, so it'd be even less than that. So we're looking at less than approximately \$10,000 over a year-and-two-month period. So what does that equate to, about seven fifty a month of cash in?

Q . Next one, this is Desert Palace, which is going to be Caesars. So we have here -- and this is document Bates labeled 3317. It's for Caesars Entertainment. This has different places listed, Bally's, Flamingo, Harrah's both in Las Vegas and Tahoe, Paris, Planet Hollywood and the Rio. What does the gaming history statement 4/20/16 mean for you?

A Well, it shows pretty clearly that (a) there's not a huge amount of money, either win or loss, for these different casinos. And then if you look at the total amount of loss and

the total W2Gs printed out, you can see there was one on various large W2Gs, meaning you get jackpots, you know, which was probably representative of some promotion, et cetera. So, I mean, winning a jackpot there — like, for example, at the Paris for \$3,864, we put in 1475, but we walked with \$3,864. So it actually shows that, you know, we made a profit there.

Then if you look at the Bally's at the top you'll see a loss of approximately \$300, and then a, you know, \$1,768 W2G win. So and then you go down, you know -- some of the other ones -- you know, sometimes you play a promotion and you do lose. It's part of the gamble. Sometimes your risk to ruin you get to a certain point then you have to stop playing, even if you don't meet the promotional item because you don't want to spend, you know, as much money as that risk to ruin level and you don't want to put in anymore cash.

So there were some cases you go and you put in the cash, you reach a certain promotional item or thing and then you, you know, stop because it's not worth anymore money to put into it to potentially lose. So it's going to be more of a loss than it will be a win. There were occasions that happened with that as well. But as you can see, there were plenty other pages that show the profitability as well.

Q Can we take a look at -THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Page, I think I got enough on

this issue, don't you? I think I understand.

2

MR. PAGE: That's your call. (Indiscernible) pass information --

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. PAGE: -- (indiscernible). We're trying to pass information on to you. If you think you have a lot --

THE COURT: Yeah, I understand. I understand. And the documents speak for themselves, and she's explained, you know, the promotions and the advantage gambling concept. And then I can just -- the documents speak for themselves. They say what they say, you know. What happened, happened. Really nothing you can do to change that, so. Some of these are admitted and some of them aren't. I only have admitted 44, 46A, B, 48. But I don't think Mr. Mayo even moved to admit all of the gambling records.

MR. MAYO: I believe I did. Do you not have --THE COURT: My records -- our records show that you did not. I can -- I'll probably end up watching the first day again, sure. But if you want to -- do you have your notes? I mean, our -- my clerk's records show 44, 46A, B, 48. Doesn't mean we didn't miss something, so.

MR. MAYO: Yeah, because my -- we had gone through specific ones, and then my recollection was that we had -- the Court -- you had then said, look, they speak for themselves so

we can move them into the record and then I can look at them, and we had said, okay, that's fine. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 MR. MAYO: (indiscernible) --THE COURT: Well, why don't I ask Mr. Page if he -if he objects to just admitting them. I mean, again, some of 6 them don't have a whole lot of information, some show they won, some show they lost, you know. Like I said, it is what it is. But they're 43 to it looks like 49 -- I'm sorry, 50. 43 to 50. Do you object to those being admitted, Mr. Page? 10 11 In case they weren't before that? 12 MR. PAGE: No. THE COURT: Okay. So we'll just make sure they're 13 admitted. 14 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 43 through 50 admitted) 15 16 THE COURT: But I think I have enough on that. I understand. And the history and the parties and what have you 17 and what they've done. So hopefully that helps Mr. Page get 18 19 through some of this. 20 MR. PAGE: It is. I'll move on. I'll take the 21 Court's prompting to move along. I do want to ask some overall questions for Ms. Stucke. 22

Q And that is throughout all of this time, from before

BY MR. PAGE:

23

24

the date of the domestic partnership through the separation, what awareness did David have of you engaging in advantage gambling?

A He had full awareness because he required me to report even when I went to do it for entertainment purposes, and he would get very upset with me if it was for any other purpose other than advantage gambling. If my grandmother was, for example, in town and we went to penny slots, he would throw an absolute fit.

That's not the way to make money. I don't approve of that. You're wasting our money. And he would throw an absolute nightmare fit, and that was one of the things brought up in therapy. But I'm like, you know, you want me to go gamble thousands for advantage gambling. You're going to give me a hard time (indiscernible).

Plus like there was so much control factor that he wanted over how and when and where that it was becoming an issue in our (indiscernible).

Q What records did David keep of the advantage gambling that he did track, what was good, what was not good?

A He did do some tracking, like when we were doing the Jackpot Joanies promotion, he did enter it into the phone because he wanted to see whether (indiscernible) his boast percentage. He wanted to really see whether we were actually

making decent money. And we -- he put in the numbers just to see what the result was. So he used an application for that on his phone. And we used multiple people for that promotion so he wanted to see, and it was worth about \$20 an hour. Which it didn't make sense once we had the kids to pay a babysitter and then go out and do it, so we stopped doing that promotion because we weren't making enough to cover -- to make it worthwhile. So but those were the type of calculations that he would use some apps to do that calculation for.

Q So if there were promotions at local bars, would you and David engage in those bars?

A Absolutely. If they ever did a four of a kind promotion, which they hold all the time, where they put the cards up on the top and as soon as you hit it, the four of diamonds, for example, for four of a kind it would be an extra hundred dollars sometimes. Those ones are gold. We'll go away with six or seven people and we'll sit down and we'll ring up and collect all those extra hundred dollars because it's guaran — the average time that a four of a kind hits is about 30 minutes. Every 30 minutes you're going to hit a four of a kind. So when you do the math and you have six or seven people playing the odds to go after a four of a kind, and there are 52 cards to go after and that's representing \$5200, you have six or seven people hitting four of a kinds every

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23 24 half an hour, you can understand the value that existed in you doing those types of promotions as well.

What are the names of some of (indiscernible) engaged in some of those promotions?

PT's was one of them. There was another one. think it was Charlie's. There was one that we went to by a club that we used to go to that had it. But any bar that would do that we would absolutely go to. Like Dan used to go to all the bars. He would keep track of those promotions. We'd look online and keep a lookout for when they had those type of promotions. We'd go to whatever bar was holding that type of promotion.

What type of promotions would they hold at like the Silverton?

The Silverton was a little bit different. Theirs -because their points turn into money, they're -- the promotions that made sense to do at the Silverton were ones where you would get 10 times points. Those days at 10 or 20 times points -- because their points you could turn into cash free play and it had cash value. So then we would just go in and play the crap out of it on (indiscernible) that had the 10 or 20 times, ring up the points on a high percentage payout machine, and then we'd come back and play the free play and collect the free play of that money and recoup that cash value from that.

2.0

Because he would look at the machines that had the right percentage where let's say if it's an 87 or 90 percent machine and you're only going to lose approximately 10 percent value -- and if they're giving us 20 times points and that equates to X number and it's above 2 percent or 6 percent, whatever his calculation was, then it made sense to play the point promotions to gain financial value to convert the points into a free play.

Q What were the types of promotions at Tropicana?

A The Tropicana would oftentimes do a payment of percentage on jackpot. So if you hit a jackpot, we'll pay you 20 percent. One of the promotions that we did there, it made me -- it's probably the one that made me most sick to my stomach because it was the greatest risk. Because we were playing every single number on the roulette digital table at \$32.50, which was approximately like a \$13,000 bet every time I hit the button. And it made me sick to my stomach, but David's like, no, play it. It's going to win and it's going to make us money.

So I sat there and I kept hitting the button and winning jackpot after jackpot, to the point they stopped bringing me jackpot slips and just started an accrual basis of the jackpots until they realized that we were winning tons and

tons of money because they were paying us an extra 20 percent on top of the jackpot. And then they shut down the machine because they figured out that we were doing something to make money. Which we were. But it worked.

We made, I don't know, I think it was \$12,000, \$13,000 off of the Tropicana, that number. Because if we lost some because he thought it would be good also to play the craps digital and he thought we could do that and do that, but it turned out we ended up losing money off the top of our winnings, and we lost money when we tried to do that particular calculation that he did, so.

Q When you're at the casino and you're doing this, where is David at?

A He's usually right there with me or he's watching the kids. So at that time at the Tropicana, we had rent -- we had gotten a free room because it was part of the promotion, and he was upstairs in the hotel room with the children at the time allowing me to do this for him. So, you know, he would -- he would come down and, you know, with the kids with the stroller and stroll by me, because you can't stop at the machine with the kids, and he would say how's it going? You know. And that -- and so he was actively involved.

Q One of the things that we haven't done and we should do is we haven't looked at the Quit Claim Deeds for your

properties. So I'd like you to turn to Exhibit A of 1 Defendant's exhibits. 3 MR. PAGE: Are you there? MR. MAYO: Yes. 4 5 MR. PAGE: Okay. 6 BY MR. PAGE: 7 Could you identify this document? Is this the Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed for West Maule? 8 9 (No audible response.) 10 Are you there? 11 Yes. Α 12 Q Okay. And you see where that was conveyed to David 13 as a single man? 14 Α Yes. Okay. At that time, on July 28th, 2015, was David a 15 16 single man or was he domestically partnered to you? 17 He was domestically partnered to me. 18 MR. PAGE: I could try and just kind of cut this short real quick. I'd like to move for the admission of 19 2.0 Exhibits A through E if there's no objection from Counsel. 21 THE COURT: Okay. A would be the Grant, Bargain and 22 Sale Deed between Mr. -- it looks like Apache (phonetic) for 23 -- to Mr. Stucke. You don't have any objection to that one,

24

A?

1	MR. MAYO: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. How about B? That's for
3	that's the one between David Stucke and Mr. Morrell. That's
4	the Birkland property?
5	MR. MAYO: No.
6	THE COURT: You okay with that one?
7	MR. MAYO: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. That will be admitted.
9	And then I'm just going to go next, Number C is a
10	Quit Claim Deed.
11	MR. MAYO: No. That's to JD Investments. No, no
12	problem with that.
13	THE COURT: So you'll agree to admit?
14	MR. MAYO: Yes.
15	THE COURT: And then D is it looks like a
16	printout for the entity
17	MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible) JD Investments.
18	THE COURT: JD Investments. Do you have any issue
19	with that? I don't think that we can all pull up on the
20	MR. MAYO: No.
21	THE COURT: Secretary of State. Okay. So that
22	will be admitted.
23	And then E is the last the last deed. It looks
24	like on Maule, right?

with the chapter organization (indiscernible) article

24

(indiscernible).

But at this time we are doing our own (indiscernible) and our own fundraising. So, you know, people within the group are financing to send the packets out to their particular judges. Obviously anonymously so without any information coming directly from me to hopefully -- that, you know, will provide more information that judges can be aware when these types of signs and symptoms and flags come up in cases and to better educate them about such.

THE COURT: And just so you all know, I know those packets have come in. I didn't know where they came from.

And it's not the only one. I've -- there have been several packets that have been sent in from obviously random anonymous sources. Same packet. So it's somebody who obviously puts them together and --

THE WITNESS: A third party company (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Right. Right. Just so you know I had no idea where it came from.

MR. PAGE: And clients don't understand we've been doing this for decades. So these issues that they're just seeing for the first time, we've seen many, many times over the years.

THE COURT: Oh, yeah, for sure. And we understand why they feel like we need to understand their situation. But

we've definitely seen it. And I've seen it -- all their situations, Mr. Stucke's, Ms. Stucke's, and every other Mr. and Mrs. that come through the courtroom, you know, as a judge and as a lawyer. So we've seen more factual scenarios and situations than you could even imagine. Couldn't -- you couldn't make them up. Right, Mr. Mayo? Right, Mr. Page?

MR. MAYO: Yes.

MR. PAGE: I mean, the trouble is you can't make up these stories, but, so.

THE COURT: No.

MR. MAYO: Doesn't make it right, but I've seen it.

THE COURT: No. No. I understand. Everybody's situation is unique. But there -- but there are also very similar circumstances that run through, you know, each and every case, so.

MR. PAGE: I'm doing a consult a couple weeks ago and I listened to the person talk and I'm getting her (indiscernible) this is better than TV.

THE COURT: Right. Right. Yeah.

BY MR. PAGE:

Q But back on task, I want to make sure I've touched on everything. Dr. Paglini recommended that you take some classes. Are you in agreement on classes?

A I absolutely believe in bettering myself, and if he

feels that a class is needed I'll absolutely comply with whatever his recommendation is.

Q What's the timeshare that is currently in existence between you and David for the children?

A It is already the 2/5/2/5 schedule that -- or 2/5/5/2 schedule that Paglini recommended of 50/50.

Q How's that schedule been working out? Okay? Not okay?

A It's been working well, in exception to like vacation times that I've battled, that it was denied (indiscernible). There are different times that are questionable like children's birthdays that were listed for me to have he's denied me. So I've had some issues with him denying me certain holidays and time periods, but generally speaking it's worked well.

Q What schedule would you like to see implemented going forward?

A I think the schedule has worked really well for the children. Like I'm okay with keeping the current schedule.

Q That's a -- that's a good point. How are the children responding to the current timeshare?

A They seem to be doing okay, other than the fact that there have been some issues with a teacher in the school that have been regular (indiscernible) regards to him not sending

proper lunches or clean clothing or clean supplies. So that's been an occurring issue for school. And I'm having to do double duty in making sure the kids have what they need when there is -- like he sent Slim Jims for their meat, for their protein for a meal for -- or a Lunchable. So there's just issues like that where they're not being bathed. According to the teacher they're coming in smelling and dirty. Those are kind of concerns I have for them being productive at school.

Q You haven't seen anything where they're coming in with acute injuries or anything like that?

A Uh, yeah. I -- David has had rashes and injuries that are unexplained. He lost his whole front top of his toe because of an injury that David didn't know how it happened. And so he's still growing that toe, you know, back. I know that he's a bruiser. He's two years old. So I get it, rough and tough. But, you know, when you lose a toenail or get that kind of injury you would expect him to tell me about it.

Q The warranty on the vehicle, what was the situation on the warranty?

A So I knew that the vehicle was having some sort of transmission issue. And when I took it in the gentleman explained to me that if you don't get the warranty before I actually put it up on the rack, it sounds to me like it's going to be a very expensive repair and probably more than the

vehicle's worth. It makes sense to reach out to your exhusband and see if he would allow it to be traded in rather than you buying a \$4,000 warranty.

So at that point, after talking to the head of service at the Chrysler dealership, I reached out to David and explained to him the situation. I said, hey, this could solve both of our issues. We could trade it in, get it out of your name, it'll be in my name, and I can avoid spending — expending all of this extra money both on the warranty and the repairs that were outside of the warranty, which were another \$3,000. So in total about \$7,000 expenditure for a vehicle that was only worth about six grand at the time.

And he refused to assist me or help me, so I had no other choice than to get the warranty that was offered to me in order to do the repairs and have a working, functional vehicle for me and the children. So I went ahead and I purchased the warranty. And I did do a payment plan with it. I also had another \$3,000 of repairs needed for the vehicle outside of the warranty, and then a copayment that I had to pay in addition to the initial down payment for the warranty, which the down payment was approximately four-hundred-and-some-odd dollars. And the copayment I believe was another hundred dollars, and then there were about like five-hundred-some-odd dollars of repairs outside of the warranty and

another -- that I paid for at that time, and then another 3,000 that they suggested having done, which I have addressed those repairs now. So the vehicle is up to date with (indiscernible).

Q (Indiscernible) the West Maule house?

A I would like the option to, you know, buy him out and stay there as it's -- at this point, I mean, prior to COVID, I was able to get approved for a \$345,000 loan with an appropriate down payment. And I did provide approval letters to the court. That was submitted on the hearing of the motion to set aside order regarding that loan being approved.

And then after COVID, the gentleman let me know, hey, they changed things. They tightened things up.

(indiscernible) --

MR. MAYO: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay.

THE COURT: Well, it depends upon if it's true or false. I don't -- I mean, if she's offering it for the truth of the matter asserted, so. I don't know why she's telling the story.

MR. PAGE: Okay. We'll move on.

THE WITNESS: Well, I was just trying to state why, but okay.

MR. PAGE: That's okay.

THE WITNESS: I'll get to it.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible) --

THE COURT: Why what? Trying to state why what?

THE WITNESS: Why it matters that I want to stay in the house. I did want to stay (indiscernible).

BY MR. PAGE:

Q Your occupation is currently -- how do you describe t?

A I am a insurance agent at this time and also selfemployed with my IT services, both the radiology and other companies.

Q You did file a Financial Disclosure Form back on the 14th of September. You listed your income on page 3 as what amount?

A \$3,994.

Q Obviously things changed, obviously you're self-employed. Do you think that number is different as we sit here today?

A No. I think it's actually less, just because that's why I -- I picked up the insurance because I needed to make more money. My income has gone down and I'm not going to be able to stay if I didn't make more income. So I then looked towards a career in a job that will make me money more quickly and more efficiently and would be COVID-proof as well.

Q Would -- the health and life insurance would be a

keep his vehicle?

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II **(SEALED)**VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

When you first (indiscernible) --

23

24

Α

Q

The sales --

1 hoping (indiscernible) --2 THE COURT: But she said they were 278 apiece. She 3 said they're \$278 apiece, and there were about 300 of them. Right, Christie? 4 5 THE WITNESS: No, I only have about 40 to 50 of 6 them. THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, 400 -- I'm sorry, 300 was 7 8 the number. Sorry. Forty. 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah 10 THE COURT: Forty to 50, 278. 11 THE WITNESS: But I rounded up to 300 because 12 sometimes we get little bonuses for doing little assessments 13 from the carriers. Like Aetna will pay us an extra 20 or \$50 14 to do a healthcare assessment risk, and I get a little bit 15 extra sometimes on top of the 278, so it may have a little bit more. That's why I rounded out to around 300 because 16 17 that's (indiscernible) --18 THE COURT: Three hundred. That was right. 19 was a rounding number. I'm sorry, I misspoke. 20 THE WITNESS: (indiscernible) --21 THE COURT: So 40 to 50 at 278 to 300. Those were 22 her numbers for that period. 23 THE WITNESS: Correct.

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

BY MR. MAYO:

Q And you said for the business you were bringing in average about 7,000 per month for Action Rad?

A Generally speaking, I have about three or four customers left. I think that's accurate what I put on the information, but yes. I have about three or four customers still left on Action Rad. But I will state I'm about to lose much more, if that matters. I'm about to lose one my favorite clients (indiscernible) --

Q There's -- ma'am, there's no pending question.

Let's look at Exhibit 22.

(Defendant and Counsel confer)

A Okay. I'm looking at the ledgers stating Action Rad Solutions as of December 31st, 2019 is the ledger, Bates number 5670.

Q Okay. Now you see at the top left it has -- it says checking Wells Fargo ending in 1401?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And you see the dates and the name, memo, and debit or credits following?

A Yes. Yes.

Q Okay. And for the 1401 on this Action Rad ledger for this account, would it surprise you that there's 103 overdraft transactions on this -- this account for this -- for 2019?

from one -- transfer from one account to the other based on

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

problems reading this. You do understand that we had sent

these to your attorney in digital form; is that correct?

1 right. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Page. 3 MR. PAGE: You're welcome. MR. MAYO: Your Honor, assuming what my client said 4 is true then the answer is true. THE COURT: You guys. Everybody's getting punchy. 6 7 It's the end of the day. 8 MR. MAYO: All right. 9 (Defendant and Counsel confer) BY MR. MAYO: 10 And there was some accounts that showed a negative 11 amount in them that you were looking at. They were broken 12 13 down by month. Do you recall that? 14 There were a lot of ledgers and a lot of months with 15 zero. 16 0 Okay. 17 Which ones were you talking about? 18 All right. Well, let's -- I mean, I can go through 19 them. I was asking you if --20 Α Just pick one. 21 -- you were saying that there's some accounts or 22 some statements, some periods that had a negative amount. And 23 I was trying to point out that there was ledgers you were 24 looking at that broke it down by month. So in a month you

divided, which means that you would each equalize the amounts in the accounts. My question to is you do understand that there could be monies in the account that are set to be applied towards monthly expenses and, therefore, either one of

2.2

23

potential share of the escrow. So, in a sense, I was paying

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. MAYO: Right. Right.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

THE COURT: So don't go off on a tangent about whether he had permission or didn't. Just do you know where the payments came from and -- he made them through February, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes. From his personal account he made the mortgage payments.

BY MR. MAYO:

All right. And then if David was paying for those, his personal account, he may have other personal expenses he had to put from -- on his credit card, right?

I'm sure he put personal expenses on his credit card, yes.

Okay. All right. Now, the business bank accounts, they had deposits coming in from different sources, right?

What do you mean different sources? From different customers? Yes.

- Yes. 0
- From different customers, yes.
- Okay. How much does your malpractice cost?

Well, it depends on how many exams we were doing per month, per year. It was based upon an exam volume and how many doctors that we had employed. So it was variable depending on, you know, the business and the doctors that we were covering.

0

Okay.

move through this.

THE COURT: Yes or no? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know the question. What's the 3 question? 4 MR. MAYO: The question was --5 THE COURT: I got -- I got the picture. 6 MR. MAYO: You got it? All right. Then we're fine, 7 Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Thanks. 9 MR. MAYO: Okay. 10 MR. PAGE: I don't. 11 THE COURT: I got her answer. It's fine. 12 BY MR. MAYO: 13 And you recall David going through these financials the first day of trial and explaining why he had created them 14 15 and what they showed? 16 No. Α 17 0 Okay. 18 MR. MAYO: Sorry, Your Honor. I just have a couple 19 more, make sure I'm -- I've got them all confirmed. 20 THE COURT: You're fine. 21 (Pause) 22 BY MR. MAYO: 23 All right. And you do understand that on several of these ledgers the focus is on the account statements in terms 24

I gave them a general glance through previous with

All right. And you would say -- you would agree

I don't know that she tried to change the recommendation. 2 MR. MAYO: Okay. BY MR. MAYO: 3 So, ma'am, you agree -- you agree --4 5 MR. MAYO: Well, she said she's agreeing with the 6 recommendation. 7 THE COURT: In terms of joint custody I think. MR. MAYO: Correct. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. MAYO: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Because I just make the finding -- like 12 nobody went through the actual specifics of what was the 13 timeshare that he presented. You know what I mean? She 14 presented --15 MR. MAYO: Well, that's because -- that's because --16 THE COURT: She presented -- hmm? 17 MR. MAYO: That's because we -- that's because we 18 were -- we were stipulating to his expert report, which --19 THE COURT: No, no, no. I understand that. I'm 20 just saying when you -- when she was talked to she talked about the schedule that she liked. She didn't say like, oh, I 21 don't like his schedule. She just talked about the current 22 23 schedule and that she -- she didn't -- she thought it was

24

fine.

1 MR. MAYO: Okay. 2 THE COURT: So I don't perceive that her testimony was that she was trying to change his recommendation so much as she just -- like she can't change his recommendation. It is what it is. 5 6 MR. MAYO: Right. Okay. 7 THE COURT: It's just her recommendation. 8 MR. MAYO: Right, right. THE COURT: I think we're all on the same page. 9 10 MR. MAYO: Okay. 11 BY MR. MAYO: And in terms of -- well, strike that. 12 MR. MAYO: That's fine, Your Honor. No further 13 questions. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Page, any follow-up? I have my fingers crossed. 16 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. PAGE: 19 You were asked about (indiscernible). Were you ever 20 paid for your efforts in getting Birkland rented? 21 No. 22 Q Were you --23 THE COURT: I totally didn't hear what you said, Mr. Page, because you're so quiet right now for some reason. 24

1	BY MR. PAGE:	
2	Q	Were you ever paid for your efforts in getting
3	Birkland rented?	
4		THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay.
5		THE WITNESS: No.
6	BY MR. PAGE:	
7	Q	Were you ever (indiscernible)
8		MR. MAYO: That's kind of beyond the scope of my
9	questions	, but go ahead.
10	BY MR. PA	GE:
11	Q	getting Grand View rented.
12	A	I was never compensated
13		THE COURT: It is beyond the scope.
14		MR. MAYO: These are all beyond the scope of my
15	questions.	
16		THE COURT: Hold on. Yeah, Mr. Page, he didn't ask
17	her about	any of that.
18		MR. PAGE: He asked her about the rental property.
19	It's cleanup.	
20		THE COURT: Okay. Keep going.
21	BY MR. PAGE:	
22	Q	You were asked about the value of West Maule. Do
23	you believe that West Maule is worth more than it was back in	
21	March of 20202	

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

That's not going to happen.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean --

23

MR. MAYO: (Indiscernible) that's not what I said. 1 2 THE COURT: Your argument is that -- okay. Hold on. Hold on. I'm going to overrule it. Just go ahead. Because, look, at the end of the day I guess it's a matter of 4 interpretation as to whether when you open the issue up 5 whether you can talk about more facts or whether it's just to 6 that specific one little thing. And I disagree. I think it 7 8 opens up the issue. 9 So go ahead, Mr. Page. BY MR. PAGE: 10 11 What do you think West Maule is worth today? 12 A I believe it's gone up. Zillow puts it 548,000 --13 MR. MAYO: Objection, hearsay, Your Honor. She can 14 testify to her own opinion --15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MR. MAYO: -- she can't testify -- okay. BY MR. PAGE: 17 18 That's hearsay. Q 19 Α Okay. 20 Have you conducted an investigation as to what you 21 think West Maule might be worth? 22 Α Yes. 23 Based on the results of your investigation, what do 24 you believe West Maule to be worth?

THE COURT: Well, it's 4:35. What do you guys want

calendar. But it's -- I know I'm limiting you guys because

MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible).

asking you to repeat yourself. It's just for whatever reason

1

- Q You agree that the JD Investments that's organized in New Mexico is the same JD Investments that owned Birkland?
- 3
- A Yes.
- 5
- Q Okay. You've listened to Christie's testimony as to the various ledgers and spreadsheets that you and your
- 6 7
- girlfriend produced. You would agree that Christie does have concerns that are well-founded as to the data that exists?
- 8
- A Absolutely not.
- 10
- Q Okay.
- 11
- A It balances to the penny in 2019. Many hours.
- 12
- Q It's just a yes or no question, sir.
- 13
- 3 A No.

whether it was not?

- 14
- Q When you see here where something that is listed as
- 15
- PCCG -- or, yeah, PCCG, that the business was no longer in
- 16 17
- better knowledge of whether that was actually operating or

operation, wouldn't you believe that Christie would have

- 18
- A It still received checks.

have better knowledge about that?

better knowledge, yes or no?

- 19 20
- Q That wasn't my question, sir. Wouldn't Christie
- 21

- A Misrepresenting it all, but yes.
- 23
- Q Sir, you would believe that Christie would have
- 24

I say no. They're pretty straightforward.

THE COURT: 12A, B, C.

195, 196, 197. So 195 through 198, 202, 204, 206, 207, and

documented.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I can check that, but, I mean, I can ask Mr. Page again if he -- I mean, we know -- we know how they were prepared.

MR. PAGE: My response is rock crushes scissors, so it's not on her list so she wins.

THE COURT: All right. Hold on one second. Let me just finish what was admitted --

MR. MAYO: Yeah, go ahead.

THE COURT: -- before we go through -- okay. So -- darn it. Okay. And so today was 43A and B, 45A and B, 47, 49, 50, then 203 -- you got to get there. Everybody there?

MR. MAYO: Hold on. Okay.

THE COURT: And then 207 I already told you, 208, 209 and 213. We still haven't received those second, you know, that second chunk beyond 207. 206 I guess it is. So let's just -- I'll just make sure that we get those and we'll follow up on our end to make sure that we have them and make sure that they get into the vault.

I messaged Belinda. She's off today. Because I know you said, Vince, that she confirmed that they were received. I don't know exactly the process. Because as I understand it, it goes through the Clerk's Office somehow. I don't really know exactly how it makes it into the exhibit folder for us, the electronic exhibit folder.

first day when I asked David about it.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: And that was moved to be admitted and it's not on my list, is that what you're saying?

MR. MAYO: Yes.

THE COURT: Yeah. I think you're right. I don't understand why the list is -- well, and the clerk that was there that day isn't here today. So again, that's the --

MR. MAYO: Yeah, no, and I get it. I just -- that's why I wanted to kind of -- because of your patience, I'm just trying to go through to make sure that --

THE COURT: Yeah, no, that's fine. I'd rather do this than miss something. And I'll probably watch day one over again. So if I miss anything on the list, I can make sure it's added or have the clerk make sure she watches for anything. Hold on one second here. That is 139, right? 139 is the appraisal.

MR. MAYO: Then we had the TIAA-CREF I believe as Exhibit 16, the (indiscernible) statements that we had the first day and that Mr. Page -- I believe Fred had asked about his case -- in his cross as well.

Do you have that listed, Fred?

MR. PAGE: 16?

MR. MAYO: Exhibit 16. It's the TIAA-CREF account statements.

MR. PAGE: I don't have a problem with TIAA-CREF

D-18-580621-D STUCKE 12/11/2020 TRANSCRIPT - VOL. II (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: Yeah, 13 is the 401(k) rollover,

about what's what. I just need to have the actual documents.

big fan of (indiscernible) --

MR. MAYO: Which one, 15?

THE COURT: No.

me, I'll withdraw my objection.

before, what happened -- whether they're actually real. But

in. And one of these I believe (indiscernible) --

MR. PAGE: We'd object to that. That didn't come

23

Knock it off, please.

MR. MAYO: Say again? Your Honor, just so you know, these were all authenticated when we had to disclose them.

There was never any objections to them.

THE COURT: I understand. It's not about authenticity. It's not about authenticity. It's about relevance, right? Do I need them? Do you --

MR. PAGE: No.

THE COURT: She testified about them, that you think that they're relevant -- I mean, look, at the end of the day I don't recall you moving to admit them or me saying no. But if you did and I missed it -- I don't know how that's possible but --

MR. PAGE: He never moved.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. PAGE: He never moved to admit and I -- I kept those off to the side here wondering is he going to move to admit and he never did.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's what we're dealing with right now. So, look, at the end of the day --

MR. MAYO: So I don't know if Your Honor wants to double check. I mean, I asked -- I asked her about the contents of them and she testified as to the content.

(Indiscernible) I asked her on 68 about, you know, saying that she needed to go to -- you know, she was yelling, she wanted

statement. So, I mean, my point -- I'm just trying to look at

THE COURT: But my clerk's saying, no, they weren't

24

we're doing that now but --

MR. MAYO: Well, it's hard with a video record,

1 THE COURT: I don't think so. Hold on. Let me 2 look. 3 MR. MAYO: Okay. That's fine. THE COURT: No, it was 33 and 34 but not 32. 4 5 MR. MAYO: That's fine. Let's see. Okay. 6 All right. That's it. 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else? 8 MR. MAYO: Thank you for your patience, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: No problem. Anything else, guys, before I let you go? 10 11 MR. MAYO: Oh, 210, 211, 212, those are --12 THE COURT: Ugh. 13 MR. MAYO: Those are the credit card statements --14 THE COURT: That you just brought in? MR. MAYO: That we had brought in as part of the --15 16 remember we had the Wells Fargo, and the Wells Fargo was like 207 --17 18 THE COURT: Oh, I thought we did talk about -- we 19 did move those. No? 20 MR. MAYO: Yeah. And we were -- so we were -- and 21 that was part -- those are part of Christie's statements. So 22 I know we had talked about having the Wells Fargo what is 207, 23 209 -- through 209, then we had those Premier -- and I know we

had several, you know, we're moving them because you're basing

THE COURT: All right. So we want to make sure that

1

24

MR. PAGE: I want to double check real quick here on

	Black s suggesting new numbering.		
2	THE COURT: No Judge Henderson quick hearings for		
3	you.		
4	MR. MAYO: Anytime either he or I say Judge		
5	Henderson and quick hearing (indiscernible)		
6	THE COURT: That's a no-go. Yeah, that doesn't		
7	really they don't go hand in hand.		
8	MR. PAGE: I think the first one I did in the		
9	morning, that was okay. The second one I knew it was going to		
10	be just a bear of a hearing.		
11	THE COURT: Yeah, it was long.		
12	MR. MAYO: All right. Anything else?		
13	THE COURT: No. Is that okay with Mr. Page? I		
14	wasn't sure if he said it was all right.		
15	MR. PAGE: All right. I don't think I have any		
16	I'm sorry, what's that?		
17	THE COURT: No, that's it. Can you do it on the		
18	17th at 1:30?		
19	MR. PAGE: Yes, 1:30.		
20	THE COURT: Cool. All right, guys. We'll talk to		
21	you then.		
22	MR. MAYO: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.		
23	MR. PAGE: Okay.		
24	THE COURT: Thanks. Thanks.		

1	MR. PAGE: (Indiscernible).				
2	THE COURT: Have a good day. Have a good weekend.				
3	Bye.				
4	MR. PAGE: Okay.				
5	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 5:13:11)				
6	* * * * *				
7	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and				
8	correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the				
9	above-entitled case to the best of my ability.				
10					
11	/s/ Lee Ann Nussbaum				
12	LEE ANN NUSSBAUM, CET Certified Electronic Transcribe				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

FILED TRANS 1 2 3 4 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 5 FAMILY DIVISION 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 9 DAVID PATRICK STUCKE, CASE NO. D-18-580621-D Plaintiff, 10 DEPT. F 11 | vs. APPEAL NO. 82723 12 CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE, 13 Defendant. (SEALED) 14 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE BILL HENDERSON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 16 TRANSCRIPT RE: NON-JURY TRIAL 17 THURSDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2020 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

D-18-580621-D STUCKE v STUCKE 12/17/20 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1	APPEARANCES:				
2		Plaintiff: the Plaintiff:	DAVID PATRICK STUCKE VINCENT MAYO, ESO.		
3		the reality.	6252 S. Rainbow Blvd. #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118		
4			(702) 222-4021		
5		Defendant: the Defendant:	CHRISTIE LEEANN STUCKE FRED PAGE, ESQ.		
6			6930 S. Cimarron Rd. #140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113		
7			(702) 823-2888		
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
L 4					
15					
16					
L7					
L8					
L9					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 ∥					

D-18-580621-D STUCKE v STUCKE 12/17/20 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

2

3

1

PROCEEDINGS

(PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 1:33:45 P.M.)

4

5

6

THE COURT: All right. We're on the record in the Stucke matter case D-580621. Counsel, state your appearances for the record, please.

7

MR. MAYO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Vince Mayo of bar number 8564 on behalf of David Stucke, the Plaintiff, who's also appearing through BlueJeans.

10

11

muted.

THE COURT: Mr. Page, you're muted. Mr. Page is

12 13

MR. PAGE: Good afternoon. Fred Page, bar number is 6080, on behalf of Ms. Stucke who's present with me.

14

15

16

17

18

THE COURT: Great. Thank you. All right, guys. So the time is set for the closing arguments. Just so you know, we finally got all of the exhibits from the last couple of days. We did — everybody went in search of and finally they're uploaded into the system and saved down and printed out so they're all here. Okay?

1920

MR. MAYO: Wonderful.

22

21

THE COURT: Yeah, we got them and found them. It took a bit -- it took a bit, but we found them. So because some were in some places and some were in others, but they're

24

23 |

all in one place now. So -- so Mr. Mayo, you're up. Do you want to get started?

MR. MAYO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

The COURT: Okay. Uh-huh.

1.5

22 II

MR. MAYO: Your Honor, first of all I want to address the issue of custody as, obviously, the most important issue that we have.

Due to numerous issues affecting custody and the substantial amount of testimony and documentation in this case, Dr. Paglini was retained to conduct the custody evaluation. Dr. Paglini made a number of findings in regard to both parties and their ability to care for their minor children.

In regard to David, Dr. Paglini found David to be a thoughtful individual, patient, attentive, a loving father.

Dr. Paglini further stated David is very caring towards the children, focuses on helping them through their problems, has a teacher's mentality in terms of the children, plays with them, provides them creative experiences, and tries to have a bonded life with them.

What Dr. Paglini believes -- that Christie cares for her children. He did state that there were concerning mental health issues in regards to Christie that he believes need to be addressed. These include: emotional dysfunction, being

verbally and physically aggressive towards David in front of the children, speaking badly of David in the presence of the children, exhibiting some borderline features and history of being difficult in regards to working with David, especially in emotional situations.

As a result, Dr. Paglini recommended the following. That Christie attend and complete an extensive anger management program. That Christie use therapy to learn to regulate her emotions in therapy, especially in the context of co-parenting and raising children; instead of focusing on Christie being a victim, which Dr. Paglini stated he believes Christie's therapy has focused on. And that Christie complete an extensive co-parenting class.

Dr. Paglini recommends that until Christie completes his recommendations, that David have the children 60 percent of the time and that Christie have the children 40 percent of the time. Dr. Paglini has recommended that as for the vacation time, the parties have the children for a max of one week at a time. This is due to their ages. Going to two weeks without seeing the other parents in Dr. Paglini's opinion is too long of a period of time. David would request that this apply to Christmas break as well since last year and again this year, David will go over a week without seeing the children.

However, Dr. Paglini did find that Christie's claims of sexual abuse of Sarah by David and accusation of rape of Christie by David were serious accusations to make, and that the Court should determine if Christie made these claims for secondary objections; i.e., to obtain an advantage in the divorce. Dr. Paglini stated the Court should address this matter. And if the Court finds Christie did, her doing so would, quote, be the ultimate act of parental alienation and would warrant David receiving primary custody.

Based on the evidence presented at trial, there's no doubt that Christie made false accusations of abuse and rape by David to further her own goals in this case. First of all is the issue in regards to the alleged abuse of Sarah by David. The evidence established at trial that prior to the divorce, there was never any allegation of abuse by David. It was only after David filed for the divorce that Christie started to make these claims. Dr. Paglini stated there were two possibilities for Christie doing so. Either A) Christie was being an overly concerned mother or B) Christie made the accusations for secondary advantage. After reviewing the evidence presented, it is clear that it was for the latter. And in doing so was reckless, harmful to David and to the child's relationship and evidence is that Christie is not in a position to have joint custody.

These allegations originally rose during the July 1 31, 2020, child exchange. At which time David unbuckled Sarah 2 from her car seat, resulting in Sarah stating that David had 3 touched her pee pee. This was her terminology that she used going forward. Instead of talking to David about this event like a normal parent, Christie becomes proactive in terms of trying to exploit the statement and then takes the child to 7 the pediatrician's office. Again, doesn't confer with David 8 as you would normally expect in a parent who is trying to act 10 in good faith and trying to uncover the truth to the matter. The other parent is the best way to go about doing so, 11 especially in situation we have a three-year-old making a 12

own statement and not a statement from Christie.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At any rate, when the medical staff greets Sarah, the testimony was that the child instantly and strangely told them that her father touched her pee pee. Being that Sarah told the medical staff this without them prompting, it's clear that Sarah was told by Christie to make this statement.

Afterwards, when the nurse practitioner had Sarah on the weight scale, Sarah aglain (sic) -- again blurted out these statements, five to 10 times it was reported. The nurse practitioner found this to be very unusual.

representation like this. Assuming it's the three-year-old's

When Sarah finally saw the doctor, the nurse

practitioner stated that Sarah did not say anything until Christie said to Sarah, don't you want to tell the doctor something. Dr. Paglini, while clarifying the statement, nevertheless, said that this was something that was -- that Sarah was prompted to say by Christie in terms of having to tell her to do so.

The medical staff did examine Sarah and examine was normal. Dr. Paglini, Donna Wilburn the child's counselor, Ms. Tiffany Keith from CPS, the investigator, all concluded Sarah is happy and comfortable in her father's care. While she was observed giving him hugs and kisses and feeling secure in his presence, when he was —— she was observed with him by these individuals. They also reported Sarah and David were very bonded. Dr. Paglini testified this is not what one sees in a child who is being sexually abused.

Further, Sarah never verbalized any abuse or inappropriate behavior by David to Dr. Paglini, Ms. Wilburn, or Ms. Keith. The fact that Sarah did not do so when Ms. Wilburn -- we should note -- was seeing Sarah for nine months at the alleged claims occurred is of utmost importance.

Again, there is never any statement by Sarah in regards to anything that was inappropriate. And Sarah never made any statements in regards to touching when Christie wasn't present. This is of note.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

anything about any abuse or inappropriate behavior by the parties toward the children in the six months she saw the parties prior to the divorce. Further, it was David who actually asked this Court to appoint a therapist. This is back in the October hearing of last year for Sarah to see someone. Something that you do not see in a person who would ordinarily -- it'd be in -- in their -- not be in their best interest to do. David also paid for the cost of the counseling.

Ms. Di Lauro, the marriage counselor, never heard

Now, again, it's -- well, something new. It's note that several third parties are suspicious of Christie's involvement behind the statements made by Sarah. Ms. Keith stated that due to the disconnect between what Sarah previously stated and how she was with her father, she wondered if someone was influencing Sarah. CPS told Christie that they suspected that she was potentially coaching Sarah. Christie wasn't willing to have Sarah undergo a sex assault exam despite Christie claiming that David did assault Sarah. Sarah has never told anyone David touched her in any inappropriate way. Again, I said it's -- when Christie was not present.

Further, and during the second time Sarah made the statements of the exchange, Christie was, coincidentally,

recording the exchange. Being that Christie had no reason to do so, this is very suspicious. Also, following CPS closing their investigation and finding Christie's claims of abuse by Sarah as unsubstantiated, Sarah did not make any more claims in regards to David touching her to any third party.

Dr. Paglini stated, again, one rarely sees this.

Dr. Paglini added that if Sarah stated that her mother makes her keep secrets and Sarah doesn't like to do so, this would be a concern for him. And this was the offer of proof that was made to the Court.

Now, Christie had the motive and the willingness to push these allegations. As Wilburn stated, Christie is overly emotionally dramatic based on her first-hand contact with Christie. Several mental health providers, including Christie herself, has stated that she suffers from borderline personality features and that this makes it easier for Christie to cross borders that she should not cross.

An example of this was Ms. Wilburn stating that
Christie is fixated on David and was continuously fixated on
him during the counseling sessions and did not hesitate to
speak badly of him in Sarah's presence. In fact, Christie did
so even after Ms. Wilburn tried to get her to stop. Ms.
Wilburn added that if Christie speaks negatively of David
during these sessions when Sarah is present, she opined that

Christie definitely does -- Christie does so at home when she's with her.

Again, there's the issue of -- of Christie's emotional dysfunction which exasperates her ability to deal with stress, especially stress related to her and David not being able to address issues. Christie was very angry with David over her belief that David allegedly had an affair on her and she was even angry about the fact that he would not reconcile with her after he filed for the divorce and that he wasn't agreeable to dismissing the divorce.

Dr. Paglini and Christie's prior counselor, Ms.

Mount (ph), both reported that Christie has extreme fears of losing her children. This was stated several times and, obviously, this is a major concern in regards to Christie's motivation. Dr. Paglini stated that is not unusual for a parent to make claims of misconduct by the other parent in order to deflect from their own issues or out of fear, such as losing their children. And that to gain leverage in the divorce, or as a way of getting revenge on the other parent for something that they believe the other parent has done that has hurt them so deeply that they must respond in the way they believe isn't kind. The fact Dr. Paglini recommended that Christie undergo an extensive anger management class is very telling.

1 2 3

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

We also can't overlook the fact that Christie has done this before. Christie accused her prior husband, Mr. Hensel (ph), of abusing the children in response to him seeking custody of them. Christie never proved her claims. In fact, the CPS worker in Florida found that Christie was involved who -- one who was involved with a physical altercation with her son.

If Christie were just an overly concerned parent, she would have dropped the issue upon everyone, concluding that there was no evidence of any inappropriate behavior by David, or at the very least had reasons to doubt the veracity of her child statements. Again, assuming the child herself said it without any prompting from Christie. Instead, Dr. Paglini stated this, CPS did, the doctors, the police, Sarah's counselor, not finding any evidence of sexual abuse. Christie, nevertheless, states that she firmly believes David sexually abused Sarah.

Dr. Paglini stated that there's a difference between a mother being overly concerned for their child and a mother who is systemically pushing an agenda despite the lack of evidence. By stating so, obviously, the red flags are apparent in this case in regards to Christie's motivation. Again, Dr. Paglini added that in the absence of any logical or sensible explanation, or why Christie's claim that she firmly

believes David did so, it could be a secondary motive agenda by Christie to make these accusations. That's precisely what we have in this case, or heard evidence that Christie had told third parties of David is a pedofile. An overly concerned parent would not make such a terrible and horrible personally damaging statement with no proof of saying.

In her June 2020 filing, after everyone had concluded there was no proof of any inappropriate behavior by David towards Sarah, Christie still requested that she be awarded primary physical custody, quote, in case there is any future aboos (sic) -- abuse. Not having a base for custody, Christie was trying and using her disproving claims of abuse to try to demand primary physical custody. That's a position that's completely selfish in David's eyes and it should be in the eyes of the Court, and reveals that Christie will do whatever she can to do so. She's done it in the past with her prior husband. She's done it with David in this case. And David firmly believes it will happen again in the future when there's a -- there's a conflict where Christie cannot have her way. She will become combative. And she will come back at David, and that she will make these claims again.

Based on this information, it's beyond doubt that Christie was the one who A) either prompted Sarah -- make these statements or B) push Sarah's statements beyond what