And in looking at this case, what we'd suggest for this Court is that justice would be having these two life sentences run concurred ctropically filed a Nov 15 2021 06:21 p.m. couple reasons why I initially say the Zabeth & Brown Clerk of Supreme Court have to remember that at the point in time in Mr. Hockemier's life when he committed these offenses, he was a child himself. He was under the age of majority. He was 17.

And I would suggest to the Court that anyone who has been involved in this business, namely, criminal defense, whether it's prosecuting, defending, or presiding over the case, these types of cases, I think we all recognize that people, when they're under the age of 18, oftentimes make decisions that they would not make if they were over the age of 18. That's just the reality. As you get older, you mature and you make better decisions.

So that's the first thing I'd like to point out, Judge. It's not an excuse, but the fact that Mr. Hockemier was 17 at the time that these offenses were committed, certainly is a factor to be considered by this Court in determining whether these two sentences should be concurrent or consecutive.

The other thing I'd like to point out, Judge, is the actual interview that was played for this Court

just a few minutes ago. We recognize that during that interview, there was some initial hesitation on Mr. Hockemier's part in terms of disclosing what he had done approximately four or so years previously. And I think that we can all understand that that is understandable; namely, suddenly, you are looking into the mah (phonetic) of some pretty serious allegations. I think you're initial reaction, for most people, would be to deny them.

But ultimately, Mr. Hockemier came clean, and he not only came clean, Judge, he ultimately provided information to Detective Hessing that the two victims in this case had not even provided for the officer. So ultimately stepped up to the plate, said yes, I did this. Not only did what these boys said I did, but I actually did more, and he provided that information to the detective.

If you run these two sentences concurrent,

Mr. Hockemier is still looking at a life sentence. The
only difference and the only question is is when would
he be parole eligible. And if the two sentences are run
concurrent, parole eligibility, and that's all it is is
eligibility, begins after serving ten years.

And at this point in time, Mr. Hockemier has a little bit less than one year in in terms of credit

for time served. So before he's even parole eligible, he's looking at an additional nine years. And that's all it is eligibility, Judge. Just because you're parole eligible does not mean that you are paroled. And it's been my experience in these types of cases over the last 24 years that it is very rare, very rare for a person in Mr. Hockemier's position to be paroled on the first go-around. It just doesn't happen, Judge.

So we know that Mr. Hockemier is going to be looking at a minimum of ten years, and it could be a great deal longer than that. But ultimately, whether Mr. Hockemier paroles, whether it's after 10 years, 15 years, 20 years, he's not off the hook at that point in time, Judge, because he is subject to lifetime supervision.

And lifetime supervision is pretty draconian. At a minimum, you have to be on lifetime supervision for ten years before you can even apply to try and get off of lifetime supervision. And as I indicated, lifetime supervision, in terms of the conditions, can be extremely onerous. You are subject to being told where you're allowed to go, where you're allowed to live, no access to the Internet, no access to social media, subject to polygraph examinations, a laundry list of conditions that Mr. Hockemier is going to be subject to



for an extended period of time.

Now, I understand where the State is coming from. I mean, it just sort of makes sense, I guess, if you wish to use that term, two victims, run the sentences consecutive. But what we're talking about here is justice, and justice does not always mandate the maximum sentence.

I've got a young man who, as a client, who is going to prison for a long period of time one way or another, and I have no idea what ultimately the Parole Board will do with Mr. Hockemier. I just do not know, but I suspect that they are going to be very leery about allowing Mr. Hockemier back out into society, and understandable. That's understandable.

But what I would really like to have, and this is for Mr. Hockemier, is I would like Mr. Hockemier to have some light at the end of the tunnel. I'm hoping that he will be provided programs in prison where he can deal with his issues so, ultimately, if he is released, he does not find himself back in this situation again.

And so what I'm suggesting to this Court,

Judge, is when you look at all the factors, particularly

Mr. Hockemier's age at the time these offenses occurred,

justice is that the two sentences be run concurrent.

And I'm not suggesting that because I'm trying to make

light of what Mr. Hockemier did to these young boys, but justice suggests that these two sentences should be run concurrently. And that is our recommendation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Hockemier, you have the opportunity at this time to make a statement on your own behalf.

You're not required to but you're entitled to. Is there anything further you'd like to state?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. First off,

I would like to apologize to the family and the kids

because after hearing what they told me, it was already

very heavy on my heart. It was a heavy burden I

carried, and I just buried it deep within me instead of

seeking out some help I needed. So I really want to get

my apologies out there to this family.

Let's see, I do -- this has been a positive experience for me so far, and I will make the best of it I can. I will do any and all programs at all possible to get me some help, counseling, help me further my education and just basically keep myself busy within the Department of Corrections. And I'm just willing to do whatever I have to do to get back to my family and get back to work and get a sense of normalcy in my life.

But I really feel terrible for what I did



back then. It has been years, and I just want to be able to move on from that, you know, get some help because I feel like I was the victim of a similar crime, and not just be an example, but somehow be a solution to this problem that we have going on in this country. It's pretty bad because it's just a constant cycle repeating itself.

And I do hope these boys get the help that they need, that O'Ryan can get counseling and so can Scotty so that they can move on with their lives as well and so they don't end up victimizing anybody or they don't have any further issues, and they can live with a sense of normalcy as well. And I think that about covers it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. MacFarlan was exactly right when he said these cases are very difficult. They're difficult for everyone. I can see all the sadness on everybody's faces when I look out into the courtroom. It's sad for these children who are victims, it's sad for their parents, it's sad for your family, Mr. Hockemier. I can see the pain on their face as well.

And I'm looking at a 22-year-old young man who's facing life in prison. I've thought about this situation a lot since this case was assigned to this



court, and particularly a lot over the last several days. The only discretion this Court has is whether to sentence you concurrently or consecutively, and I have struggled with that for the reasons stated by both attorneys.

We have two victims here. You were originally charged in the Justice Court with 23 counts. You could have been convicted of up to eight counts. You did save these children and their families and your family a trial by entering a guilty plea and by admitting to what you had done. And I realize that you may have been 17 at the time some of these acts occurred, the charged timespan crosses over when you turned 18. Science says that people's brains aren't fully formed until age 25, but what you did here was very, very wrong. I see the fear in your face looking at you because you know that I hold your fate in my hands.

This Court is charged with the protection of the public. That's what I have to keep in mind at all times, and I'm very concerned that you don't understand the seriousness of what you did or the impact that it has had on other people. I think maybe you're beginning to, but I don't think you've fully taken that in. The evaluator for the sexual -- psychosexual evaluation is

1.5

concerned that you will re-offend, and I am concerned as well.

The Court will order that a Judgment of Conviction be entered against the Defendant finding him guilty of Count 2, lewdness with a child under 14 years of age, a category A felony, and Count 14, lewdness with a child under 14 years of age, a category A felony.

The Defendant shall pay the \$25
administrative assessment fee, the \$150 genetic testing
fee, and \$855 for the psychosexual fee. The Defendant
shall submit to testing of his blood and/or saliva for
purposes of genetic markers.

maximum term of life with the possibility of parole after 10 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections with credit for 339 days previously served. For Count 14, the Defendant is sentenced to a maximum term of life with the possibility of parole after 10 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections. That sentence shall run consecutively with the sentence for Count 2.

(Celebration in the gallery)

THE COURT: All right, all right, no, no, no.

One more word, and you're out of here.

Pursuant to NRS 176.0931, the Defendant is sentenced to lifetime supervision commencing after any

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

period of probation or any term of imprisonment and any period of release on parole.

Mr. Hockemier, you also will be required to register. You have a duty to register initially with the local law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction in which you are convicted. You have a duty to register in this State during any period in which you are a resident of this State or a non-resident who is a student or worker within the State and the time within which you are required to register, pursuant to NRS 179D.460.

You have a duty to register in any other jurisdiction during any period in which you are a resident of the other jurisdiction or a non-resident who is a student or worker within the other jurisdiction. If you move from this State to another jurisdiction, you have a duty to register with the appropriate law enforcement agency in the other jurisdiction.

You have a duty to notify the local law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction you formerly resided in person or in writing if you change the address at which you reside, including if you move from this State to another jurisdiction or change the primary address at which you were a student or worker.

You have a duty to notify immediately the appropriate local law enforcement agency if you are or

401



expect to be or become enrolled as a student at an institution of higher education or change the date of commencement or termination of enrollment at an constitution of higher education or if you are or expect to be or become a worker at an institution of higher

7 termination of your work at an institution of higher 8 education.

education or change the date of commencement or

Let the record reflect that the Court Clerk has handed the Defendant a copy of the requirements for registration. Mr. Hockemier, I need you to read those fully and carefully and sign the form indicating you have read the requirements. So I need you to do that now.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: The record will reflect that the Defendant has read and signed the registration requirements.

Mr. Hockemier, the aggregate minimum term you will serve is 20 years with a maximum term of life with the possibility of parole. I am very mindful of the fact that I've just told a 22-year-old he's going to be in prison until he's at least 41 years old. I wish it didn't have to be that way, but it's my judgment that it does.

I hope that you will get all the help that you need in prison like you said that you want to. I hope that you will, and I hope that you will find some way to make a positive life for yourself while you're there.

Is there anything further?

MR. SCHULMAN: No, Your Honor.

MR. MACFARLAN: No, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, proceeding concluded)



(Exh. #55A)

STATE	OF	NEVADA	)	
			)	SS
COUNTY	OF	CARSON	)	

I, Julie Rowan, Transcriptionist for the Fourth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Elko, have transcribed the proceedings held in Department 1 of the above-entitled Court on May 21, 2015.

The foregoing transcript is an UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
TRANSCRIPT of the electronic tape recording of said proceedings.
THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS NOT BEEN EDITED, PROOFREAD, FINALIZED, INDEXED
OR CERTIFIED.

DATED: This 20th day of July, 2015.

Julie Rowan



CASE NO. CR-FP-14-635

DEPT. NO. 1

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

V.

CLERK\_ --- DEPUTY\_

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (Guilty Plea - Incarceration)

DEVON RAY HOCKEMIER,

Defendant.

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13

On March 16, 2015, above-named Defendant, DEVON RAY HOCKEMIER [who is further 15 described as follows: Date of birth: 11/24/1992; (age 22); Place of birth: Elko, Nevada] was arraigned and entered a plea of guilty to the crimes described below and as more fully set forth in the criminal information filed herein. Legal counsel present at Defendant's arraignment were Sherburne M. Macfarlan, III, Esq., representing Defendant, and Jonathan L. Schulman, Elko County Deputy District Attorney, representing the State. At the time above-named Defendant entered his plea of guilty, this Court informed him of all applicable constitutional rights, the elements of the crimes charged, and the maximum possible penalty for said crimes. After being so informed, above-named Defendant stated that he understood all of the applicable constitutional rights, the elements of the crimes charged and the maximum possible penalty for said crimes. This Court then made a finding that Defendant had entered his plea freely and voluntarily, and with full understanding of his constitutional rights, the nature of the charges and the consequences of his plea.

///

## DESCRIPTION OF CONVICTIONS

COUNT 2: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201 230

COUNT 14: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.230

On May 21, 2015, above-named Defendant appeared before this Court for the purpose of Sentencing and entry of a final judgment of conviction in this matter. This Court, the State, and defense counsel had previously received a Pre-Sentence Report which had been prepared by the Division of Parole and Probation. Legal counsel present at Defendant's sentencing were Sherburne M. Macfarlan, III, Esq., representing Defendant, and Jonathan L. Schulman, Elko County Deputy District Attorney, representing the State. Also present was Annis Seopaul, representing the Division of Parole and Probation.

After hearing from all parties and allowing Defendant an opportunity to personally address the Court, this Court finds that the appropriate judgment in this case is and shall be as follows:

#### SENTENCE TERMS

For the conviction of Count 2, Defendant is sentenced to a maximum term of LIFE in the Nevada Department of Corrections with the possibility of parole after serving a minimum of 10 years. Defendant is credited with 339 days heretofore served as computed to and including the date of this sentencing (May 21, 2015).

For the conviction of Count 14, Defendant is sentenced to a maximum term of LIFE in the Nevada Department of Corrections with the possibility of parole after serving a minimum of 10 years. Said sentence shall run consecutively to the sentence for Count 2. The aggregate term for both counts is a minimum of 20 years with a maximum of LIFE.

Pursuant to NRS 176.0913 the name, social security number, date of birth and any other information identifying Defendant shall be submitted to the central repository for Nevada records of criminal history. Defendant shall submit to a blood and saliva test, to be made by qualified persons. The tests must include analyses of his blood to determine genetic markers and of his saliva to determine its secretor status. The results of the tests shall be submitted to the central repository for Nevada records of criminal history.

# FINANCIAL AND RESTITUTION REQUIREMENTS

Defendant is ordered to pay the administrative fee in the amount of \$25.00 as required by NRS 176.062. Said amount shall be deducted from any cash bail monies posted by Defendant before any remainder is returned upon the



3#0394

(Exh.#56) 36f 4

exoneration of bail. It is further ordered that if Defendant has any monies in the possession of the Elko County Jail, that said monies shall be delivered directly to the Elko County Clerk and applied to this fee.

Defendant is ordered to pay the genetic testing fee of \$150.00 as required by NRS 176.0915. Said amount shall be deducted from any cash bail monies posted by Defendant before any remainder is returned upon the exoneration of bail. It is further ordered that if Defendant has any monies in the possession of the Elko County Jail, that said monies shall be delivered directly to the Elko County Clerk and applied to this fee.

Defendant is ordered to pay \$855.00 for the psychosexual evaluation fee.

Any cash bail or monies in the possession of the Elko County Jail which belong to Defendant shall be confiscated and applied to this debt.

## OTHER REQUIREMENTS

Defendant is required to register as a sex offender pursuant to NRS 179D.441 through NRS 179D.495, prior to being released from custody.

Pursuant to NRS 176.0931, a special sentence of lifetime supervision commences after any period of probation or any term of imprisonment and any period of release on parole.

#### BAIL

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that any bail bond previously posted for said Defendant shall be exonerated. Any cash bail posted for said Defendant shall be applied first to fines and/or costs due pursuant to this judgment and, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, any amount remaining shall be returned by the clerk to the person who posted said cash bail.

## ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of the above-entitled Court enter this JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION as part of the record in the above-entitled matter.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of June, 2015.

NANCY PORTER DISTRICT JUDGE - DEPARTMENT 1

407

-.3 -

1 2 3

456

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

3

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

26

25

CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District Court,

Department 1, and that on this day of June, 2015, I personally hand delivered a file stamped

copy of the foregoing JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (Guilty Plea - Incarceration) addressed to:

Dept. of Parole and Probation

3920 E. Idaho Street Elko, NV 89801

{1 File Stamped Copy}

Box in Clerk's Office

Mark D. Torvinen, Esq. Elko County District Attorney

540 Court Street, 2nd Floor

Elko, NV 89801 {1 File Stamped Copy} Box in Clerk's Office

Elko County Sheriff's Office

775 W. Silver Street Elko, NV 89801

{1 Certified Copy and 1 File Stamped Copy}

[Box in Clerk's Office]

Sherburne M. Macfarlan, III, Esq.

Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd.

919 Idaho Street

Elko, NV 89801

{1 File Stamped Copy} [Box in Clerk's Office]

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District

Court, Department 1, Elko, Nevada, and that on this day of June, 2015, I caused to be delivered

via electronic-mail, a file stamped copy of the foregoing JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (Guilty Plea

- Incarceration), along with a copy of Defendant's Pre-Sentence Investigation Report addressed to:

Nevada Department of Corrections

Offender Management Division, Sentence Management

Attn: Shelly Williams, Records Supervisor

E-mail: skwilliams@doc.nv.gov

Attn: Kristy Rodriguez

E-mail: kwinters@doc.nv.gov

			7 0	+9
	1 2	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
	#	NRAY HOCKEMIER,	NT . 60884	
4	- 11	Appellant,	No. 68333	
5	vs.	rippointe,	Electronically Filed Dec 10 2015 01:34 p.n	n.
6	THE ST	$\begin{array}{c} \left. \left. \right. \right. \\ \text{ATE OF NEVADA,} \end{array} \qquad \left. \begin{array}{c} \left. \right. \\ \left. \right. \\ \end{array} \right.$	racie K. Lindeman	
7	li .	Respondent.	Clerk of Supreme Cour	π
8		atospondom.		
9		<i></i>		
10		APPELLANT'S	OPENING BRIEF	
11	i.			
12	DOT		MENT OF CONVICTION	
13	FOL	IN AND FOR THE	OURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF ELKO	
14				
15	SHERBU	JRNE M. MACFARLAN, III # 3999	JEFFREY C. SLADE	
16	LÖCKIE	& MACFARLAN, LTD.	Nev. Bar # 13249 ELKO CO, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 540 Court Street, 2nd Floor Elko, Nevada 89801 (775) 738-3101	
17	Elko, Ne	& MACFARLAN, LTD. o Street vada 89801 3-8084	540 Court Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Elko, Nevada 89801	
18	(113) 130	5-6V6 <del>4</del>	(775) 738-3101	
19	Attorney	s for Appellant	Attorneys for Respondent	
20	:			
21				
22				
23				
24	•			
25				
26				
27				
28			(409)	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

4	$^2$ Page
3	3
4	Table of Contents
5	
6	Table of Cases and Authorities
7	
8	I. Jurisdictional Statement
9	
10	II. Statement of the Case
11	
12	III. Statement of the Issues
13	
14	IV. Statement of the Facts
15	
16	V. Argument
17	
18	A. The District Court erred in running Appellant's two
19	sentences consecutively rather than concurrently
20	
21	VI. Conclusion
22	
23	Certificate of Compliance 5
24	
25	Certificate of Service
26	
27	
28	

28

(Fxh.#57)

## TABLE OF CASES AND AUTHORITIES

	Cases
	Page
Etcheve	rry v. State, 107 Nev. 782, (1991)
<u>Silks v.</u>	State, 92 Nev. 91, (1976)
Smith v.	<u>State</u> , 112 Nev. 871 (1996)
	<u>Statues</u>
NRAP 4	(b)1
NRS 177	7.015(3)



TH 039

3 4

5 6

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

## I. JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction entered pursuant to pleas of guilty to two counts of LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.230. App., p. 33. A Judgment of Conviction was filed on Jun 9, 2015. App., p. 33. A Notice of Appeal was filed on June 29, 2015. App., p. 37. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to NRAP 4(b) and NRS 177.015(3).

#### II. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On August 28, 2014, a Criminal Information was filed charging Mr. Hockemier with:

COUNT 1: SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 YEARS, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200.366(3).

In the alternative to Count 1

COUNT 2: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.230.

In the alternatives to Counts 1 and 2

COUNT 3: OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS, A GROSS MISDEMEANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.210.

COUNT 4: SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 YEARS, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200.366(3)

In the alternative to Count 4

COUNT 5: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201,230.

In the alternative to Counts 4 and 5

COUNT 6: OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS, A GROSS MISDEMEANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS 201,210

COUNT 7: SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 YEARS, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200,366(3)

In the alternative to Count 7

COUNT 8: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A

1.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS	201.230
In the alternative to Counts 7 and 8	

- COUNT 9: OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS, A GROSS MISDEMEANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.210.
- COUNT 10: SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 YEARS, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200.366(3).

In the alternative to Count 10

- COUNT 11: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.230.

  In the alternative to Count 10 and 11
- COUNT 12: OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS, A GROSS MISDEMEANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS 201,210.
- COUNT 13: SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 YEARS, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200,366(3).

In the alterative to Count 13

- COUNT 14: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.230.

  In the alternative to Counts 13 and 14
- COUNT 15: OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS, A GROSS MISDEMEANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.210.
- COUNT 16; SEXUAL ASSAULT ON A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 YEARS, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200,366(3).

In the alterative to Count16

- COUNT 17: LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.230.

  In the alternative to Counts 16 and 17
- COUNT 18: OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS, A GROSS MISDEMBANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS 201.210.
- COUNT 19: KIDNAPPING IN THE FIRST DEGREE, A CATEGORY A FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200.310(1).

In the alternative to Count 19

COUNT 20: KIDNAPPING IN THE SECOND DEGREE, A CATEGORY B

# 2

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

FELONY AS DEFINED BY NRS 200.310(2).

App., p. 1. An Amended Memorandum of Plea Agreement was filed on February 18, 2015. App., p. 10. The Agreement contemplated that the parties would be free to argue for any sentences they deemed appropriate, including whether the sentences should be run concurrently or consecutively. App., p. 10. On March 16, 2015, Mr. Hockemier entered pleas of guilty to Counts 2 and 14 of the Criminal Information, both counts being LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER 14 YEARS OF AGE. App., p. 33. Sentencing occurred on May 21, 2015. On Count 2, Mr Hockemier was sentence to life in prison with the possibility of parole after 10 years, with credit for 339 days previously served. On Count 14, he was sentenced to life in prison with the possibility of parole after 10 years, said sentence to run consecutively with the sentence for Count 2. App., p. 34.

### III. STATEMENT OF ISSUES

A. WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN RUNNING APPELLANT'S TWO SENTENCES CONSECUTIVELY RATHER THAN CONCURRENTLY.

#### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

As noted above, the Criminal Information was filed on August 29, 2014. The offenses were alleged to have occurred "from on or about the 1st day of September, 2009, to on or about the 28th day of February, 2010." App., p. 1. Mr. Hockemier, whose date of birth is November 24, 1992, was seventeen (17) years of age when the offenses occurred. App., p. 18.

The victim in Count 2 of the Criminal Information was identified as "O.M." Count 14 of the Information identified the victim for that count as "S.B." App., p. 2, 6.

After Mr. Hockemier had entered his pleas of guilty on March 16, 2015, the Division of Parole and Probation (P & P) prepared a Presentence Investigation Report (PSI). App., p. 17. At the conclusion of the report P & P recommended that the



3 4

5 6

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23 24

25 26

27

28

sentences for Counts 2 and 14 of the Criminal Information be run concurrently. App., p. 25.

At the sentencing hearing, the State called two witnesses: Hydie Overhooser and Charles Bridge. App., p. 45, 49. Ms. Overhooser is the mother of O.M. and the step-mother of S.B. App., p. 46. Mr. Bridge is the father of S.B. and the step-father of O.M. App., p. 50. Both parents recommended that the two sentences be run consecutively. App., p. 48, 51. The State also recommended that the sentences be run consecutively, while the defense argued for concurrent sentences. App., p. 55, 60. At the conclusion of the sentencing hearing, Judge Porter ordered that the two sentences be run consecutively. App., p. 63,34.

## V. ARGUMENT

# THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN RUNNING THE SENTENCES CONSECUTIVELY RATHER THAN CONCURRENTLY.

NRS 176.035(1) provides that a District Court has the discretion to run two or more sentences concurrently or consecutively with each other. Appellant recognizes that, normally, a sentence within statutory limits will not be disturbed on appeal unless the sentencing court relied on "highly suspect or impalpable information." Smith v. State, 112 Nev. 871, 873 (1996); Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94 (1976). In the instant case, the sentences imposed were within the statutory limits. However, the district court appeared to have simply ignored the recommendations made by both the defense counsel and the Division of Parole and Probation (PSI).

Of greatest concern to Appellant is that the sentencing court appears to have simply disregarded P & P's recommendation. Recommendations by P &P are not binding on the sentencing court. See Etcheverry v. State, 107 Nev. 782, 786 (1991). However, the upward deviation in this case was so dramatic that it can only be deduced that the lower court failed to give any weight or credence to the recommendation in the PSI.

It is Appellant's position that P & P is the entity with the greatest ability to

- determine an appropriate sentencing structure. It was P & P's position that the appropriate structure was to have the two sentences be run concurrent. By ignoring this recommendation, and imposing the maximum sentence, the lower court abused its discretion in sentencing Appellant. Thus the matter should be remanded for an new sentencing hearing.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Appellant respectfully requests that the Court reverse and remand his case to the District Court for a new sentencing hearing.

DATED this \_/O day of December, 2015.

SHERBURNE M. MACFARLAN, III Nevada Bar #3999 Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd. 919 Idaho Street Elko, Nevada 89801 (775) 738-8084

#### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

- 1. I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepated in a proportionally spaced typeface using WordPerfect Office x5 in 14-point Times New Roman font.
- 2. I further certify that this brief complies with the page or type-volume limitation of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it does not exceed 30 pages.
- 3. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada



23

24

25

26

27

28

Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED this <u>fO</u> day of December, 2015.

SHERBURNE M. MACFARLAN, III Nevada Bar # 3999 Lockie & Macfarlan, Ltd. 919 Idaho Street Elko, Nevada 89801 (775) 738-8084

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the <u>LO</u> day of December, 2015. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Nevada Attorney General

Sherburne M. Macfarlan, III

Elko County District Attorney

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid to the following address(es):

Devon Hockemier, # 1140743 c/o Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Rd. Lovelock, NV 89419

Danielle Leyva

6

(Exh. 58) My Copy REC DEVON Ray HOCKEMIER UNIF3A-# 1140743 LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER OCT 24 2016 1200 PRISON Road Lovelock, Nevada ~ 89419~ IN PROPER PERSON: IN The Fourth Judicial District Court Of The State Of NEVERS IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Case No.: CR-FP-14-0635 Direct Appenl: 68333 DEVON Ray HockEmier. DEFENDANT \ PETITIONER, 17 FORMAL LE HER" VS. State Of NEVERTA. Mark Torvinen. District Attorney Plaintiff. It comes Now Defendant, Devon Ray Hockemier 10 IN PROPER PERSON (iE. IN PRO SE) through the assistance of an immate lay person does humbly present this "Formal Letter" as mentioned above in the titled caption for these foregoing reasons: :(1.) that this litigation is the defendants initial legal pro-24 CESS to obtain his pertinent documents pertaining to the court RE-25 CORD IN his Case, so he can support claims within his Post-Convict-ION WRIT OF Habers Corpus. (2.) that the defendant is preparing his "State Post-Conviction Petition Weit Of Habeas Corpus" and would be prejudiced if this 21 26

(1.)

F0406

HONOR able Court devied the two motions he has before the Count, which is to suy, that without the support of facts within the REcond the defendant would be presenting bare and naked allegations and by this meriting dismissal of his petition". (Please see: Motion for Production Of Transcripts At State Expense), and, :(3) that the defendant has the entitled constitutional eight to being touth Ecroes of counsel effective assistance during pre-trial Plea Negotiation, at plea Negotiation, and post course leffectiveNESS during sentencing phase. Moreover the defendant also has the ENtitled Constitutional Rights to Challenge the ERRORS comitted by app. ointed counsel on direct appeal. These are absolute Rights under the United States Constitution and Nevada Constitution. There GAR without an Orden by this Howarable Founth Judicial District Count granting the motions. Motion For Production of Transcripts At State Expense, and Notice Of Motion And Motion For Order Of Delivery of Records", the defendant would be cleanly prejudiced because he would NOT be able to support his grounds within his post-Lonviction write of hebers conpus petition. Peterson v. Wanden, 87 NEW. 134, 483 P. 2.1204. 205 (1971): SEE E.g. Gandwer V. California, 393 U.S. 367, 89 S.Ct. 580, 17 582 (1969). 18 The defendant prays for this Honorable Counts just decision in granting his motions before the Count. Respectfully submitted: Darted this \_\_\_ 22nd day of June Mark Anthony Hanson Inmate Lang Pensin Assisting # 61332 #1140743

(a)

13

24

25

28

	1		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL" 30F3
	<b>a</b>		
1	3		I Devon Ray Hockemier do cEntify that I mailed
	4	On the Co	and LORRECT copy of the foregoing Formal Letter, to the
	5	his Alze	and correct copy of the foregoing "Formal Letter" to the sold as and addressed below on this 22nd day of June . 2016, ing same in the U.S. Mail via the prison law library staff:
	6		Staff:
	כ		
	ន	FIKO C	unity District Att: Office Fourth Judicial District Court"
	a	1 Mun K	TORVINEN D.A.) Fourth Judicial District Court" (Department: I, in case of Clerk)
		540	Count Street 571 Telaha Street
	10 11	İ	NEU ada ~ 89801~ Elko: NEVada ~ 89801~
	12	EIKO	County Clerk"
	13	550	(of Fosmo)
			Court Street Nevada -89801-
	15		·
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20	RESPECT	fully Submitted;
	21	,	<i>y</i>
	22		
	23		Dated this 22nd day of June 2016.
	JA		
	25		$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}^{-1}$
	26		DE Cartent \ VE fituam
	17		#1140743
	28		420
			(3) #A408

	<u> </u>	ocket sheet listing all documents which have been filed.
		ORDER
		filed/entered on, 20
		Other: All pertiment papers, pleadings, hearing transcripts all plea agreement transcripts, and centencing transcripts a
	Would you p assistance in	please send complete coart record lin. juven le record the service send me a copy of same at my address above? Thank you for your this matter. I await your response.
040		
1 26.		Sincerely,
<i>キハヤパ</i> フ 。 LCC LL FORM 26.040	,	
7.11 Z	. ,	
. —		De fendant #1140743
Horkemier		<u>//4</u>
Crari		
-)		

Devon Ray Hockemier #1140743 Lovelock Correctional Center

Judicial District Court

1200 Prison Road

Lovelock, Nevada 89419

CLERK OF THE COURT

Jane, 22nd, 20/6

Ry	Copy (Exh.#58A 16f1 RECEIVED
	OCT 24 2016

Nevada 89 80 |

Carol Fosmo (Clerk)

RE: Devon Ray Hockemier # 1140743, Case No. CR-FP-14-0000635

Direct Appeal: 68333

Dear Clerk,

I am in need of the following document(s) in the above case for my files:

\[
\sum \text{Minutes of Hearing of } \]

\[
\sum \text{Judgment of Conviction, filed on } \]

\[
\sum \text{Docket sheet listing all documents which have been filed.} \]

\[
\sum \text{ORDER } \]

\[
\text{filed/entered on } \]

\[
\sum \text{Other: All pertinent papers pleadings, hearing transcripts all indictnest please send complete court record list (uvenile records) assistance in this matter. I await your response.}

\]

#0409

Joien Hockemier #1140145	LCC 11 FORM 25 52,
hone	

	Devon Ray Hockemier #1/40743  My Copy (Fxh. #58B)
	Torrologic G
	Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, Nevada 89419
2	B LOVETOCK, Nevada 89419 Defendant In Pro Se
4	5
6	IN THE 4th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Elko
8	* * * *
9	II THE STATE OF NEVADA.
10	Mark Torvinen, District Attorney  Plaintiff,  Dept. No. 1
11	-vs-
12	Devon Ray Hockemier, Date of Hearing:
13	Defendant. ) Pime of Hearing:
14	NOTE OF A STATE OF A S
15	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF DELIVERY OF RECORDS
16	COMES NOW Defendant Devon Ray Hockemier
17	("Defendant"), in prose, and submits his Notice of Motion and
18	Motion for Order of Delivery of Records, moving the Court to
19	Order that former counsel of record Sherburne M. MacFarlan III
20	("Counsel") deliver to Defendant at the above address all files,
21	documents, papers, records and personal property which are in
22	Counsel's possession and control.
23	This motion is made and based upon NRS 7.055; all documents
24	on record herein; the below points and authorities; and the
25	attached affidavit of Defendant in support hereof.
26	NOTICE OF MOTION
27	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned
28	will bring for hearing the foregoing motion before the above-

.0410

entitled Court and Department, on the date and time set forth on the caption above, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. You may contact the Court Clerk for this information if such is not available above.

#### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES


Counsel has withdrawn from this case, and therefore no longer represents Defendant. Upon being discharged, the attorney shall, upon demand of the client, "immediately deliver to the client all papers, documents, pleadings and items of tangible personal property which belong to or were prepared for that client." NRS 7.055(1). See also RPC 1.16(d) (upon discharge, attorney to surrender to former client all papers and property to which client is entitled).

Despite having been discharged, Counsel has failed to comply with Defendant's instructions to forward to him all papers and property which were prepared in relation to the above-entitled case and/or which belong to him. See Affidavit attached hereto. This failure of Counsel not only omits to protect Defendant's interests as required by RPC 1.16, but directly disregards the mandates of NRS 7.055(1).

Counsel has no lawful basis to withhold Defendant's papers in this matter, as Defendant owes Counsel NO fees which would permit Counsel to maintain same under a lien. Michel v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 117 Nev. 145, 17 P.3d 1003, 1008 n. 2 (2001). See also Affidavit.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Court should order Counsel to deliver to Defendant the entirety of documentation in



VENON FOCKOMIET #1140145

	The above-entitled case, or should otherwise direct counsel to
	2 show cause why they have failed to do so.
	Dated this <u>22nd</u> day of <u>June</u> , 2016.
	Devon Ray Hockemier
	Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road
	Lovelock, Nevada 89419
	Defendant In Pro Se
	9 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
1	
1	
12	
13	
14	DISTRICT ATTORNEY  Elko  County  Fourth Judicial District County
1 5	Mark Torvinen D.A.  540 Court Street  Elko, Nevada
16	FIX 0 News da
17	Former Counsel of Record
18	(Carol France) Sherbarne M. Moctorian III
19	FIKO Neval 89801
20	AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030
21	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
22	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER OF DELIVERY OF RECORDS
23	does not contain the social security number of any person.
24	Dated this 22 <sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2016.
25	D 11 1 -
26	# 1140743
27	Defendant In Pro Se
28	
- 11	// (/ つ (/ \ ) )

# Affidavit of Defendant In Support of Motion

STATE OF NEVADA

SS:

COUNTY OF PERSHING

comes now, I Deven Ray Hockemier , who first being duly sworn and on my own oath, do hereby depose and state the following:

- I am the Defendant in the above-entitled matter.
- (2) My former attorney Sherburne M. Martarlan III (2) My former attorney Sherburne M. MacFarlan III has been removed as my counsel to forward to me attorney of the forward to m counsel to forward to me my case files in accordance with NRS 7.055, inasmuch as the following has occurred (explain what you have done to attempt to obtain your records from counsel, and what, if anything, has been counsel's response to such attempts):

Devon Ray Hockemier, have requested from former council, sherbarne M. MacFarlan III, on May, 12th, 2016, to please send all documents, papers, pleadings, transcripts - including transcripts of motion to bring defendant to suvenile court coursel still has not complied with this request, which is a violation of NRS 7.055. My request with this honorable court is to order former course to comply with NRS 7.055. Lastly, I am under the one year time constraits of Nevada's post-conviction Habeus Corpus.

(3) Counsel fails to forward to me said records. I am therefore submitting the instant motion in good faith, as I have no other remedy than this Court's power to enforce my interests under NRS 7.055.

Dated this 22 day of June, 2016.

Unit: 34 Cell: 51B Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road

Lovelock, Nevada 89419

Affiant/Defendant In Pro Se

## VERIFICATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I do verify under penalty of perjury that the above affidavit is true and correct and is stated to the best of my knowledge, and is made without benefit of a notary pursuant to NRS 208.165, as I am an incarcerated person.

Affiant/Defendant In Pro Se



Jevon Hockemiar 株1140742

2

5

6

7

8

1200 Prison Road Lovelock, Nevada

Defendant In Pro Se

89419

(Exh.#58) RECEIVED
OCT 24 2016

IN THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Elko

THIS MATTER, having been duly considered by the Court, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Order of Delivery

Counsel Sherburne M. MacFarlan III is directed to forthwith send to Defendant, at the Lovelock Correctional Center, all pleadings papers, documents and other tangible personal property which were prepared for and in relation to the aboveentitled action which are in counsel's possession and control. Such mailing or other form of delivery is to be affected at

Dated	this		day	of		2016	
-------	------	--	-----	----	--	------	--



€.	Case No. CR-F, 14-0000635	(Fol#58B)
		(Fil#58B)
		24 2016
ļ		2 4 2010
	•	
	IN THE 4th JUDICIAL PROPERTY.	
,	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE	OF NEVADA
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Elko	****
9	Devon Ray Hockemier,	
10	\ ·	
11	Petitioner/Defendant)	
12	State of Nevada  Mark Tervinen, District Altorner  TRANSCRI	ODUCE PTS
13	Respondent/Plainliff.)	<u> </u>
14	)	1
15		
16	THE COURT, having considered Petitioner's MOTION FOR PRODUC	
17	RANSCRIPTS, and with good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED	TION OF
18	3	
19	The Clerk of the Court is directed to cause to be prepared	the t
20		
21	, 20, and to provide same to Petitioner at	of
22	Lovelock Correctional Center, Lovelock, Norman	; his place of
23	ch shall be effectuated at State expense.	89419.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated this day of, 20 16.	
26		
27	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
28		(In the second
		((12))
11		

# 1116

De ) Hockemier #1140743	100 11 EOBM 26 053
, ,	

28 / / /

)	1 Case No. <u>CR-FP-14-0000635</u> 2 Dept. No. <u>Treat Appeal : 683333</u> RECEIVED
	1 Case No. <u>CR-FP-14-0000635</u> RFC
	2 Dept. No. 1 Dept. No. 1
	OCT 24 2016
	$4 \parallel$
	5
(	IN THE 4th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
1	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Elko
8	
g	Devon Ray Hackemier,
10	Devon Ray Hackemier, )  Defendant,
11	1
12	
13	Mark Torvinen District Attorney ) Plaint: ff. )
14	
15	COMES NOW Defendant, Devon Ray Hockemier, in pro se,
16	and moves the Court for an order directing the Clerk of the
17	Court to prepare or cause to be prepared, transcripts of the
18	
19	Please See LCCLL Form 26.040- requesting All ast the
20	send complete court record (I.E. including invente records ) in the
21	and to serve same upon him at his place of confinement.
22	This motion is made and based upon the requirements of NRS
23	34.370(4); NRS 34.760(2); all papers, pleadings and documents on
24	file herein; the instant (check applicable pending action to
25	which this motion relates) vetition for writ of habeas
26	corpus motion to/for
27	

#.

(Fxh. 58C)

and the following points and authorities.

#### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Petitioner/Defendant has filed a $\sqrt{}$ petition for writ of
habeas corpus motion to/for
, presenting ground(s)/claim(s) for relief. NRS
34.730(4) and NRS 34.760(2) require that the presentation of
habeas petitions be supported by affidavits, records,
transcripts or other relevant evidence. <u>Id</u> . Petitions and
motions which are not supported by such evidence render the
claims therein to be bare and naked allegations, unsupported by
the record and meriting dismissal. <u>Hargrove v. State</u> , 100 Nev.
498, 686 P.2d 222 (1984). <u>See also Griffin v. State</u> , 122 Nev.
737, 137 P.3d 1165, 1170 (2006) (defendant must support his
claims with "specific facts" demonstrating entitlement to relief
sought); <u>Berjarano v. Warden</u> , 112 Nev. 1466, 929 P.2d 922 (1996)
(defendant bears burden of establishing factual allegations in
support of his claims).

In order to obtain this Court's order to produce the requested transcripts, Petitioner/Defendant need show that they would serve a useful purpose and that he would be prejudiced without them. Peterson v. Warden, 87 Nev. 134, 483 P.2d 204, 205 (1971). Petitioner/Defendant requires the transcripts at bar in order to support his ground(s)/claim(s), which have merit, as shown on the separate page(s) annexed hereto as page (s) See (you must describe your grounds/claims and demonstrate how the requested transcripts are necessary to avoid a dismissal/denial of same), and as are incorporated as if set forth herein. Prejudice is demonstrated inasmuch as due to the

merit of Petitioner/Defendant's claims, same would be dismissed/denied without the transcripts at issue.

Petitioner/Defendant is a pauper, as evidenced by his having proceeded as a pauper in these proceedings. Therefore the transcripts must be provided at State expense so as to satisfy the concerns of due process and fairness herein. See e.g. Gardner v. California, 393 U.S. 367, 89 S.Ct. 580, 582 (1969) (transcripts in habeas proceedings may not be supplied those who can afford them and denied to those who cannot).

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Court should grant the instant motion via ordering the Clerk of the Court to produce the above-described transcripts and serve same upon Petitioner/ Defendant at his place of confinement.

Dated this 22nd day of June, 2016.

Devon Ray Hockemier

Unit: 34 Cell: 51 B # 1140743

Lovelock Correctional Center
1200 Prison Road

Lovelock, Nevada 89419

Densen House In Pro Se



41140243	
Hockemier	
Jevoin	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Exh.#56<
copy of the
the below
<u>i6</u> , by
ry staff:
listrict Court
eet. 89801
81,01
Semile IB # <u>1140743</u> Lional Center
l 89419
in Pro Se
eceding
SE does not
2.
oter, 1200 Prison rd
n Pro Se

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

7	1
2	I do certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of the
3	foregoing MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF TRANSCRIPTS to the below
4	address on this 22nd day of June, 2016, by
5	placing same in the U.S. Mail via prison law library staff:
6	
7	Mark Torvinen  Elko County District Attorney's office  (Department: 1, in care of Clerk)
8	-540 Court street 571 Idaha street
9	Elko, NV, 89801 Elko, Nevada, 89801
10	Elko County Clerk (Carol Fosmo)
	TEO C + 1

Elke Nevada, 89801
Attorney For Respondent

Daran Hashin In Pro Se

## AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF TRANSCRIPTS AT STATE EXPENSE does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 22nd day of June, 2016

Lovelock Correctional Center, 1200 Prison rd Lovelock NV, 89419 Devon Ray Hockemier #1140743 Dena Keulin In Pro Se

(431)

	Exh.# 58 D
	1 Case No. CR-FP-14-0000635  RECEIVED
2	Dept. No. Direct Affect i 683333
3	OCT 24 2016
4	
5	5
. 6	IN THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF EKO
8	* * * * *
9	Devon Ray Hockemier
10	Devon Ray Hockemier,
11	-vs-
12	State of Nevada ) ORDER TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
13	Mark Torvinen, District Attorney  Plaintiff
14	)
15	Upon consideration of's Application to Proceed
16	In Forma Pauperis and it appearing that there is not sufficient
17	income, property or resources with which to commence and
18	maintain the action, and with good cause appearing:
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that <u>Defendant</u> , <u>Devon Ray Hackemier</u> ,
20	shall be permitted to proceed In Forma Pauperis in this action,
21	with no fees, costs or securities being necessary towards the
22	filing or issuance of any writ, process, pleading or papers.
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff shall make personal
24	service of any necessary pleadings in this action without fees.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated this day of
7	, 20 <u>16</u> .
8	District Court Judge
	$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(22))$

• . *	(5)	(Exh. FSEF)
χ	1	Case No. <u>CR-FP-14-0000635</u>
	2	Dept. No. 1 RECEIVED
	3	Dept. No. 1 RECEIVED  OCT 24 2016
	4	
	5	
·	6	IN THE 45 JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
	7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Elso
	8	* * * * *
	9	Devon Ray Hockemier
	10	Devon Ray Hackemier, )  Defendant,
	11	-vs- ) APPLICATION TO PROCEED
	12	State of Nevada ) IN FORMA PAUPERIS
	13	Mark Torvinen District Attorney) Plaintiff
	14	
	15	comes now <u>Defendant</u> , <u>Devon Ray Hockemier</u> , in
	16	pro se, and moves the Court for an order granting him leave to
	17	proceed in the above-entitled action without paying the costs
	18	and/or security of proceeding herein.
	19	This motion is made and based upon NRS 12.015 and the
	20	attached affidavit and certificate of inmate's institutional
	21	account.
26.012	22	Dated this day of June, 20 6.
	23	
LČC LL FORM	24	Dovon Ray Hockemier Unit: 3A Cell: 51B #1140743
CLL	25	Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road
. <b>9</b> [	26	Lovelock, Nevada 89419
3	27	Dan Yorken In Pro Se #1140743
1177	28	(433)
; }		Docket 83147 Document 2021-32802

(Exh.#58E)

## AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 224day of June, 2016.

Devon Ray Hockemier

Unit: 34 Cell: 5iB # 1140143

Lovelock Correctional Center
1200 Prison Road

Lovelock, Nevada 89419

Denn Hachin In Pro Se

- Affirmation Pursuant to NRS 239B.030



#3422

# Afi lavit in Support of Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis

My CO [541,# 58 F

State of Nevada

County of Pershing )

COMES NOW, I Devon Ray Hockemier, who first being duly sworn and on my own oath, do hereby depose and state the following in support of my foregoing motion:

ss:

- (1) Because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of the proceedings in the foregoing action ot to give security therefore; I am entitled to relief. This application is made in good faith.
- (2) I swear that the responses below are true and correct and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:
- (a) I am of presently employed. I currently earn salary or wages per month in the following amount at Lovelock Correctional Center or, if I am not presently employed, the date of my last employment and the amount of salary or wages I earned per month were as follows:
- (b) I have NOT received any money from any of the following sources within the past 12 months: business, profession, self-employment, rent payments, pensions, interests or dividends, annuities, insurance payments, gifts or inheritances. Money, if any, placed on my prison account from sources such as family or friends, is in the amount as indicated on the attached Certificate of Inmate's Institutional Account, which reflects the total amount of money in my prison account.
- (c) I do NOT own any real estate, stocks, bonds, notes, automobiles or other valuable property, and I do not have any money in a checking account.

(d) I do $$ do not have persons dependent upon me for support. The person(s) I support, if any, are as follows, with my relationship to them and the amount of my contribution towards their support being as follows:
--

(3) I swear under the penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct and to the best of my personal knowledge, and that the foregoing is rendered without notary per NRS 208.165.

Dated this day of June, 2016

Devon Ray Hockemier

Unit: 34 Cell: 51B # 140743

Lovelock Correctional Center

1200 Prison Road

Lovelock, NV 89419

Dense House In Pro Se

#1140743



#0423

井AUY

Case No. CR-FP-14-635 Dept. No. 1 2016 AUG 17 PM 4: 08 ELKO CO DISTRICT COURT 4 DEPUTYAMA 5 6 IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 7 OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, ORDER DENYING APPLICATION TO PROCEED 10 IN FORMA PAUPERIS Plaintiff, V. 11 DEVON RAY HOCKEMIER, 12 Defendant. 13 14 Before the Court is an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed by Devon Ray 15 Hockemier (hereinafter "Defendant") on June 28, 2016. 16 In order to proceed in forma pauperis a person must desire to prosecute or defend a civil 17 action. NRS 12.015. Defendant has not stated any cause of action that he wishes to prosecute or 18 19 defend. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis 20 is DENIED. 21 SO ORDERED this / day of August, 2016. 22 23 24

25

26

437

DISTRICT JUDGE - DEPT. 1

#DU25

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Department 1, and that on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2016, I deposited for mailing in the U.S. mail at Elko, Nevada, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing ORDER DENYING

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS addressed to:

DEVON RAY HOCKEMIER, #1140743 Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, NV 89419

3-

District L