1		
2	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
3		Electronically Filed Jan 18 2022 11:48 a.m.
4		Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
5	DEVON RAY HOCKEMIER, Appellant,	
6	vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. 83147
7	Respondent.	
8	APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF	
9	Appeal From The Fourth Judicial District Court	
10	Of The State of Nevada	
11	In And For The County Of Elko	
12	THE HONORABLE AARON D. FORD	
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEVADA	
14	100 N. CARSON STREET	
15	CARSON CITY, NV 89701	
16	TYLER J. INGRAM Elko County District Attorney's	BENJAMIN GAUMOND 495 Idaho Street, Suite 209
17	Office 540 Court Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Elko, NV 89801	Elko, NV 89801 ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT
18	By: JEFFREY C. SLADE State Bar No. 13249 ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT	
19		

## **Table Of Contents Supplemental Appendix** 1 To The Respondent's Brief 2 Description Of Document(s) In 3 Alphabetical Order (N.R.A.P. 30[c][2]) Page Nos. In Appendix 4 Order Denying Post Conviction Relief as to Grounds 1,2, and 3......1-4 5 Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2022. 6 7 TYLER J. INGRAM Elko County District Attorney 8 540 Court Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Elko, NV 89801 (775) 738-3101 9 10 By: 11 District Attorney vada Bar Number: 13249 12 13 14 15

16

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada		
3	Supreme Court on the day of January, 2022, Electronic Service of		
4	the APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF shall be made in		
+	accordance with the Master Service List as follows:		
5	Honorable Aaron D. Ford		
6	Nevada Attorney General		
7	and		
8	Benjamin Gaumond		
9	495 Idaho St, Suite 209 Elko, NV 89801 Attorney for Appellant		
10	Dessa Dan l-Sharp		
11	TESSA DEML-SHARP		
12	CASEWORKER		
13			
14			
15			
16	DA#: AP-21-01613		

FILED Case No. CV-HC-17-267 2020 MAY 22 PM 4: 01 2020 MAY 26 AM !!: 15 Dept. No. 1 2 ELKO CO DISTRICT COURT \_ DEPUTY\_X 5 IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 6 OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO 7 ORDER DENYING POST CONVICTION RELIEF AS TO GROUNDS 1, 2, and 3 9 DEVON RAY HOCKEMIER 10 Petitioner, 11 JAMES DZURENDA, Director, Nevada Department of Corrections, 12 13 Respondent. 14 15 Devon Ray Hockemier (hereinafter "Petitioner") filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus 16 (hereinafter "Petition") on April 12, 2017. Petitioner pled guilty to two felony counts of lewdness 17 with a child on March 16, 2015. On June 9, 2015, this Court entered a judgment of conviction. 18

timely filed. For the following reasons, the Court denies post-conviction relief on Grounds 1, 2, and 3 of the Petition. Ground 1: Prosecutorial misconduct related to multiplicitous charging deprived Petitioner

Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal on June 29, 2015. The Nevada Supreme Court entered an order

affirming the judgment of conviction and a remittitur on June 19, 2016. Hence, the Petition was

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

A multiplicitous indictment is one that charges the same offense in more than one count. Bedard v. State, 118 Nev. 410, 413, 48 P.3d 46, 48 (2002) (citation omitted). The rule against .13 .14 .15

 multiplicity requires that separate offenses must contain an additional fact that does not apply to the other offenses. <u>Id.</u> Offenses that occur at different times and different places are not multiplicitous. <u>Id.</u>

Alleged prosecutorial misconduct due to multiplicitous charging is a claim that is barred for purposes of Petitioner's post-conviction relief. When a petitioner's conviction is the result of a guilty plea, a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus must be based upon allegations that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered, or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel. NRS 34.810(1)(a). Therefore, prosecutorial misconduct is not within the scope of claims permitted by NRS 34.810(1)(a). Ground 1 is **DENIED**.

## Ground 2: The sentencing judge exhibited bias toward Petitioner when she unfairly sentenced Petitioner to a longer term in prison than the term recommended by the Division of Parole and Probation.

A sentencing judge is accorded wide discretion when imposing a sentence. Martinez v. State, 114 Nev. 735, 738, 961 P.2d 143, 145 (1998). Absent an abuse of discretion, an appellate court will not disturb a judge's sentencing determination. Id. Even in cases where a defendant reaches a plea agreement with the State, the sentencing judge retains discretion to deviate from the State's sentencing recommendation. See generally Kelly v. State, 108 Nev. 545, 837 P.2d 416 (1992); Dieudonne v. State, 127 Nev. 1, 245 P.3d 1202 (2011). Petitioner's Amended Memorandum of Plea Agreement, which was filed on February 18, 2015, states that the Court was not obligated to follow any sentencing recommendation.

In any event, Petitioner's claim that this Court exhibited bias during sentencing is barred. Again, when a petitioner's conviction is the result of a guilty plea, a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus must be based upon allegations that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered, or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel. NRS 34.810(1)(a). Therefore, judicial bias in sentencing is not within the scope of claims permitted by NRS 34.810(1)(a). Ground 2 is **DENIED**.

Petitioner has been subjected to cruel and unusual punishment as a result of cumulative violations of the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments to the United States Constitution, including ineffective assistance of counsel.

The United States and Nevada Constitutions prohibit the imposition of cruel and unusual punishment. U.S. Const. amend. VIII; Nev. Const. art. 1, § 6. A term of imprisonment that is within statutory limits is not considered cruel and unusual punishment in the constitutional sense. Schmidt v. State, 94 Nev. 665, 668, 584 P.2d 695, 697 (1978). Petitioner's sentence is permissible under NRS 201.230(2).

Petitioner does not allege that his confinement conditions are cruel and unusual punishment; he argues that he has been subjected to cruel and unusual punishment solely because he disagrees with the length of his sentence. This claim is also barred by NRS 34.810(1)(a). Petitioner had the opportunity to raise this claim on direct appeal but he did not. Ground 3 is **DENIED**.

With regard to Petitioner's blanket claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, the Court will address this issue during Petitioner's evidentiary hearing scheduled for the  $1^{st}$  day of July, 2020, at 9:30 a.m.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Grounds 1, 2, and 3 of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on April 12, 2017 are DENIED.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of May, 2020.

NANCY POR ER DISTRICT JUDGE - DEPT. 1

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 2 Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District Court, Department 1, and that on this 220 day of May, 2020, I deposited for mailing in 3 the U.S. mail at Elko, Nevada, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing ORDER DENYING POST CONVICTION RELIEF AS TO GROUNDS 1, 2, and 3 addressed to: James Dzurenda, Director Nevada Department of Corrections 5500 Snyder Avenue, Bldg. 17 Aaron D. Ford, Esq. Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson St. 6 Carson City, NV 89701 Carson City, NV 89701 Devon Ray Hockemier - Inmate #1140743 C/O Lovelock Correctional Center 10 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, Nevada 89419 11 12 CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY 13 Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Fourth Judicial District 14 Court, Department 1, and that on this 22 nd day of May, 2020, I personally hand delivered a file-15 stamped copy of the foregoing ORDER DENYING POST CONVICTION RELIEF AS TO 16 GROUNDS 1, 2, and 3 addressed to: 17 Tyler J. Ingram, Esq. Elko County District Attorney 540 Court Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Elko, NV 89801 David D. Loremen, Esq. P.O. Box 250 Elko, NV 89803 18 [Box in Clerk's Office] 19 [Box in Clerk's Office] 20 nercelles mendine 21 22 23 24

25 26