## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA



Real Parties in Interest

Sup. Ct. No. Electronically Filed

Dept.: 2 Clerk of Supreme Court

## APPENDIX TO

 PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS VOLUME IV OF VILEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION<br>Leon Greenberg, Esq. Bar \# 8094<br>Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez, Esq. Bar \#15904<br>2965 South Jones Blvd., \#E3<br>Las Vegas, NV 89146<br>Attorneys for Petitioners

## Chronological Index

| Doc. <br> No. | Description | Vol. | Bates Nos. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Order Granting Summary Judgment, Severing Claims, and Directing Entry of Final Judgment filed August 21, 2018 with notice of entry | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0001- } \\ & \text { PA0066 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Allow Judgment Enforcement filed July 17, 2020 with notice of entry | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0067- } \\ & \text { PA0073 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Appointment of a Receiver to Aid Judgment Enforcement or Alternative Relief filed December 30, 2020 | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0074- } \\ & \text { PA0200 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Appointment of a Receiver to Aid Judgment Enforcement or Alternative Relief filed January 20, 2021 | I-II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0201- } \\ & \text { PA0325 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Receiver to Aid Judgment Enforcement or Alternative Relief filed February 22, 2021 with notice of entry | II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0326- } \\ & \text { PA0331 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Opinion from The Supreme Court of Nevada in case number 77050 filed December 30, 2021 | II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0332- } \\ & \text { PA0365 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Remittitur issued by The Supreme Court of Nevada in case number 77050 filed February 4, 2022 | II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0366- } \\ & \text { PA0367 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Defendants' Motion for Declaratory Order filed February 11, 2022 | II-III | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0368- } \\ & \text { PA0578 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment as Provided by Remittitur filed February 14, 2022 | III-IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0579- } \\ & \text { PA0765 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of Modified Award of Pre-Judgment Attorney's Fees as Provided for by Remittitur filed February 16, 2022 | IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0736- } \\ & \text { PA0786 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorney's Fees on Appeal filed February 17, 2022 | IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0787- } \\ & \text { PA0801 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Order of Reversal from The Supreme Court of Nevada in case number 82539 filed February 17, 2022 | IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0802- } \\ & \text { PA0805 } \end{aligned}$ |


| 13 | Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a <br> Modified Judgment as Provided for by Remittitur <br> filed February 28, 2022 | IV-V | PA0806- <br> PA1079 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Defendants' Motion to Stay on Order Shortening <br> Time filed February 28, 2022 | V-VI | PA1080- <br> PA1207 |
| 15 | Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion for a <br> Stay on Order Shortening Time Counter-Motion for <br> Award of Attorney's Fees filed March 4, 2022 | VI | PA1208- <br> PA1249 |
| 16 | Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Stay on <br> Order Shortening Time filed March 8, 2022 | VI | PA1250- <br> PA1264 |
| 17 | Hearing Transcript March 9, 2022 | VI | PA1265- <br> PA1279 |
| 18 | Declaration of Charles Bass March 28, 2022 | VI | PA1280- <br> PA1297 |

Alphabetical Index

| Doc. <br> No. | Description | Vol. | Bates Nos. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Declaration of Charles Bass March 28, 2022 | VI | PA1280- <br> PA1297 |
| 8 | Defendants' Motion for Declaratory Order filed <br> February 11, 2022 | II-III | PA0368- <br> PA0578 |
| 14 | Defendants' Motion to Stay on Order Shortening <br> Time filed February 28, 2022 | V-VI | PA1080- <br> PA1207 |
| 4 | Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for <br> Appointment of a Receiver to Aid Judgment <br> Enforcement or Alternative Relief filed January 20, <br> 2021 | I-II | PA0201- <br> PA0325 |
| 17 | Hearing Transcript March 9, 2022 | VI | PA1265- <br> PA1279 |
| 6 | Opinion from The Supreme Court of Nevada in case <br> number 77050 filed December 30, 2021 | II | PA0332- <br> PA0365 |


| 13 | Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment as Provided for by Remittitur filed February 28, 2022 | IV-V | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0806- } \\ & \text { PA1079 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Allow Judgment Enforcement filed July 17, 2020 with notice of entry | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0067- } \\ & \text { PA0073 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Order Granting Summary Judgment, Severing Claims, and Directing Entry of Final Judgment filed August 21, 2018 with notice of entry | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0001- } \\ & \text { PA0066 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Order of Reversal from The Supreme Court of Nevada in case number 82539 filed February 17, 2022 | IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0802- } \\ & \text { PA0805 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Receiver to Aid Judgment Enforcement or Alternative Relief filed February 22, 2021 with notice of entry | II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0326- } \\ & \text { PA0331 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Appointment of a Receiver to Aid Judgment Enforcement or Alternative Relief filed December 30, 2020 | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0074- } \\ & \text { PA0200 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorney's Fees on Appeal filed February 17, 2022 | IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0787- } \\ & \text { PA0801 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment as Provided by Remittitur filed February 14, 2022 | III-IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0579- } \\ & \text { PA0765 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of Modified Award of Pre-Judgment Attorney's Fees as Provided for by Remittitur filed February 16, 2022 | IV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0736- } \\ & \text { PA0786 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion for a Stay on Order Shortening Time Counter-Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees filed March 4, 2022 | VI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA1208- } \\ & \text { PA1249 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Remittitur issued by The Supreme Court of Nevada in case number 77050 filed February 4, 2022 | II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA0366- } \\ & \text { PA0367 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Stay on Order Shortening Time filed March 8, 2022 | VI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA1250- } \\ & \text { PA1264 } \end{aligned}$ |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | \$2,020.90 | \$297.89 | \$2,318.78 | \$2,185.05 | (\$164.15) |
| 47 | 3676 | Asad | Tassawar | \$28.49 | \$4.20 | \$32.69 | \$28.49 | \$0.00 |
| 48 | 31622 | Asefa | Wossen | \$456.31 | \$67.26 | \$523.57 | \$456.31 | \$0.00 |
| 49 | 3828 | Aseffa | Mulubahan | \$1,992.18 | \$293.66 | \$2,285.84 | \$2,431.45 | (\$439.27) |
| 50 | 3741 | Assena | Zenebech | \$41.86 | \$6.17 | \$48.02 | \$41.86 | \$0.00 |
| 51 | 3873 | Atanasov | Nikolay | \$154.17 | \$22.73 | \$176.90 | \$154.17 | \$0.00 |
| 52 | 3825 | Atterbury | Joseph | \$159.92 | \$23.57 | \$183.49 | \$159.92 | \$0.00 |
| 53 | 110476 | Auberry Jr. | Glenn | \$309.98 | \$45.69 | \$355.67 | \$309.98 | \$0.00 |
| 54 | 3667 | Aurich | Juan | \$1,489.26 | \$219.52 | \$1,708.78 | \$2,508.20 | (\$1,018.94) |
| 55 | 2926 | Awalom | Alemayehu | \$6,288.28 | \$926.92 | \$7,215.20 | \$6,288.28 | \$0.00 |
| 56 | 3707 | Azmoudeh | Bobby | \$208.23 | \$30.69 | \$238.92 | \$208.23 | \$0.00 |
| 57 | 3605 | Azzouay | El | \$135.48 | \$19.97 | \$155.45 | \$135.48 | \$0.00 |
| 58 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | \$1,270.02 | \$187.21 | \$1,457.22 | \$1,270.02 | \$0.00 |
| 59 | 108404 | Baca | James | \$105.93 | \$15.61 | \$121.54 | \$105.93 | \$0.00 |
| 60 | 27358 | Baca-Paez | Sergio | \$2,124.87 | \$313.21 | \$2,438.08 | \$2,501.92 | (\$377.05) |
| 61 | 3838 | Baker | Timothy | \$2,135.81 | \$314.83 | \$2,450.64 | \$2,431.20 | (\$295.39) |
| 62 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | \$2,701.33 | \$398.19 | \$3,099.52 | \$3,284.38 | (\$583.05) |
| 63 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | \$337.56 | \$49.76 | \$387.31 | \$337.56 | \$0.00 |
| 64 | 112193 | Bandi | Pedram | \$11.21 | \$1.65 | \$12.86 | \$11.21 | \$0.00 |
| 65 | 2523 | Banuelos | Ruben | \$150.22 | \$22.14 | \$172.36 | \$150.22 | \$0.00 |
| 66 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | \$2,507.70 | \$369.64 | \$2,877.34 | \$2,562.29 | (\$54.59) |
| 67 | 3760 | Bardo | Timothy | \$746.65 | \$110.06 | \$856.71 | \$746.65 | \$0.00 |
| 68 | 3369 | Barich | Edward | \$189.31 | \$27.90 | \$217.21 | \$189.31 | \$0.00 |
| 69 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | \$5,936.88 | \$875.12 | \$6,812.00 | \$5,936.88 | \$0.00 |
| 70 | 2993 | Barr | Kenneth | \$574.03 | \$84.61 | \$658.64 | \$615.48 | (\$41.45) |
| 71 | 107792 | Barrameda | Danilo | \$56.83 | \$8.38 | \$65.20 | \$56.83 | \$0.00 |
| 72 | 3601 | Barseghyan | Artur | \$373.48 | \$55.05 | \$428.54 | \$488.18 | (\$114.70) |
| 73 | 3887 | Barstow | Lance | \$131.44 | \$19.37 | \$150.81 | \$131.44 | \$0.00 |
| 74 | 3829 | Bartunek | Johnny | \$19.47 | \$2.87 | \$22.34 | \$19.47 | \$0.00 |
| 75 | 3649 | Bataineh | Ali | \$218.35 | \$32.18 | \$250.53 | \$218.35 | \$0.00 |
| 76 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | \$49.03 | \$7.23 | \$56.25 | \$49.03 | \$0.00 |
| 77 | 3926 | Bauer | William | \$217.42 | \$32.05 | \$249.47 | \$217.42 | \$0.00 |
| 78 | 25454 | Bell | Jeffrey | \$26.45 | \$3.90 | \$30.34 | \$26.45 | \$0.00 |
| 79 | 3594 | Bellegarde | Josue | \$11.51 | \$1.70 | \$13.21 | \$11.51 | \$0.00 |
| 80 | 3622 | Benel | Christian | \$1,457.21 | \$214.80 | \$1,672.01 | \$1,589.84 | (\$132.63) |
| 81 | 110687 | Berger | James | \$58.09 | \$8.56 | \$66.65 | \$58.09 | \$0.00 |
| 82 | 103219 | Berichon | Mike | \$947.14 | \$139.61 | \$1,086.75 | \$947.14 | \$0.00 |
| 83 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | \$2,724.05 | \$401.54 | \$3,125.58 | \$2,724.05 | \$0.00 |
| 84 | 2960 | Bialorucki | Richard | \$833.46 | \$122.86 | \$956.32 | \$1,071.81 | (\$238.35) |
| 85 | 2986 | Black | Burton | \$174.69 | \$25.75 | \$200.43 | \$174.69 | \$0.00 |
| 86 | 29914 | Bliss | Valerie | \$124.09 | \$18.29 | \$142.38 | \$124.09 | \$0.00 |
| 87 | 112455 | Blum III | Arthur | \$47.07 | \$6.94 | \$54.01 | \$47.07 | \$0.00 |
| 88 | 2487 | Boling | Freddy | \$528.24 | \$77.87 | \$606.11 | \$528.24 | \$0.00 |
| 89 | 2802 | Borja | Virginia | \$456.50 | \$67.29 | \$523.79 | \$745.82 | (\$289.32) |
| 90 | 3723 | Bowen | Christopher | \$674.72 | \$99.46 | \$774.17 | \$674.72 | \$0.00 |
| 91 | 3508 | Bozic | Nebojsa | \$263.10 | \$38.78 | \$301.88 | \$263.10 | \$0.00 |
| 92 | 28324 | Bradley | Leroy | \$2,391.80 | \$352.56 | \$2,744.36 | \$2,810.40 | (\$418.60) |
| 93 | 2056 | Brauchle | Michael | \$3,344.49 | \$492.99 | \$3,837.48 | \$4,054.05 | (\$709.56) |
| 94 | 3697 | Briggs | Andrew | \$52.36 | \$7.72 | \$60.08 | \$52.36 | \$0.00 |
| 95 | 3716 | Brimhall | Tracy | \$3,804.84 | \$560.85 | \$4,365.69 | \$3,804.84 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | 3621 | Brisco | Allen | \$3,226.36 | \$475.58 | \$3,701.93 | \$3,226.36 | \$0.00 |
| 97 | 100299 | Briski | Louis | \$226.23 | \$33.35 | \$259.58 | \$414.70 | (\$188.47) |
| 98 | 110579 | Brooks | Jose | \$46.30 | \$6.83 | \$53.13 | \$46.30 | \$0.00 |
| 99 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | \$730.19 | \$107.63 | \$837.82 | \$730.19 | \$0.00 |
| 100 | 3067 | Brown | Maurice | \$774.77 | \$114.20 | \$888.97 | \$774.77 | \$0.00 |
| 101 | 2704 | Buergey | Christopher | \$1,051.28 | \$154.96 | \$1,206.24 | \$1,051.28 | \$0.00 |
| 102 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | \$564.89 | \$83.27 | \$648.16 | \$564.89 | \$0.00 |
| 103 | 111670 | Burns | Brittany | \$122.95 | \$18.12 | \$141.08 | \$122.95 | \$0.00 |
| 104 | 109309 | Caldwell Jr. | Paul | \$364.22 | \$53.69 | \$417.90 | \$364.22 | \$0.00 |
| 105 | 3892 | Calise | Domenic | \$57.13 | \$8.42 | \$65.55 | \$57.13 | \$0.00 |
| 106 | 3791 | Cancio-Betancou | Rene | \$282.86 | \$41.69 | \$324.55 | \$282.86 | \$0.00 |
| 107 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | \$1,177.79 | \$173.61 | \$1,351.40 | \$1,177.79 | \$0.00 |
| 108 | 3733 | Carr | Jamaal | \$127.11 | \$18.74 | \$145.84 | \$127.11 | \$0.00 |
| 109 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | \$360.54 | \$53.15 | \$413.69 | \$360.54 | \$0.00 |
| 110 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | \$552.19 | \$81.39 | \$633.58 | \$703.35 | (\$151.16) |
| 111 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | \$419.56 | \$61.84 | \$481.40 | \$419.56 | \$0.00 |
| 112 | 2531 | Catoggio | Alfred | \$143.11 | \$21.10 | \$164.21 | \$143.11 | \$0.00 |
| 113 | 3843 | Caymite | Luc | \$221.02 | \$32.58 | \$253.60 | \$221.02 | \$0.00 |
| 114 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | \$658.00 | \$96.99 | \$754.99 | \$658.00 | \$0.00 |
| 115 | 3420 | Chang | Yun-Yu | \$697.04 | \$102.75 | \$799.78 | \$697.04 | \$0.00 |
| 116 | 3831 | Charouat | Malek | \$412.11 | \$60.75 | \$472.86 | \$412.11 | \$0.00 |
| 117 | 24737 | Charov | Ivaylo | \$67.83 | \$10.00 | \$77.83 | \$67.83 | \$0.00 |
| 118 | 3663 | Chasteen | Jeffery | \$38.80 | \$5.72 | \$44.52 | \$38.80 | \$0.00 |
| 119 | 3714 | Chatrizeh | Shahin | \$744.82 | \$109.79 | \$854.61 | \$950.52 | (\$205.70) |
| 120 | 112394 | Chavez | Rosemarie | \$13.29 | \$1.96 | \$15.25 | \$13.29 | \$0.00 |
| 121 | 3249 | Chico | David | \$2,251.13 | \$331.83 | \$2,582.95 | \$2,251.13 | \$0.00 |
| 122 | 3729 | Choudhary | Krishna | \$1,694.88 | \$249.83 | \$1,944.71 | \$1,694.88 | \$0.00 |
| 123 | 3588 | Christensen | Rosa | \$1,878.35 | \$276.88 | \$2,155.22 | \$1,878.35 | \$0.00 |
| 124 | 3881 | Christodoulou | Panos | \$584.13 | \$86.10 | \$670.23 | \$584.13 | \$0.00 |
| 125 | 26783 | Clark | Dennis | \$513.57 | \$75.70 | \$589.27 | \$513.57 | \$0.00 |
| 126 | 31467 | Clarke | Michael | \$69.42 | \$10.23 | \$79.65 | \$69.42 | \$0.00 |
| 127 | 107430 | Cobon | Karl | \$1,023.14 | \$150.81 | \$1,173.95 | \$1,023.14 | \$0.00 |
| 128 | 3802 | Cobos | Aaron | \$258.72 | \$38.14 | \$296.85 | \$258.72 | \$0.00 |
| 129 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | \$2,087.12 | \$307.65 | \$2,394.77 | \$2,261.53 | (\$174.41) |
| 130 | 3552 | Coizeau | Leonardo | \$3,285.52 | \$484.30 | \$3,769.81 | \$3,433.58 | (\$148.06) |
| 131 | 102415 | Collier | Ella | \$293.00 | \$43.19 | \$336.19 | \$447.70 | (\$154.70) |
| 132 | 3862 | Collins | Lincoln | \$408.91 | \$60.27 | \$469.18 | \$520.42 | (\$111.51) |
| 133 | 108041 | Comeau | Brian | \$70.76 | \$10.43 | \$81.19 | \$70.76 | \$0.00 |
| 134 | 3596 | Conde | Carlos | \$103.01 | \$15.18 | \$118.19 | \$103.01 | \$0.00 |
| 135 | 3900 | Coney-Cumming | Keisha | \$531.04 | \$78.28 | \$609.32 | \$531.04 | \$0.00 |
| 136 | 3738 | Conway | James | \$3,480.75 | \$513.08 | \$3,993.82 | \$3,980.61 | (\$499.86) |
| 137 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | \$775.97 | \$114.38 | \$890.35 | \$775.97 | \$0.00 |
| 138 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | \$1,305.53 | \$192.44 | \$1,497.97 | \$1,696.23 | (\$390.70) |
| 139 | 3550 | Craddock | Charles | \$557.35 | \$82.16 | \$639.51 | \$557.35 | \$0.00 |
| 140 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | \$672.27 | \$99.09 | \$771.36 | \$672.27 | \$0.00 |
| 141 | 23774 | Crawford | Darryl | \$141.24 | \$20.82 | \$162.05 | \$224.46 | (\$83.22) |
| 142 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | \$156.56 | \$23.08 | \$179.64 | \$156.56 | \$0.00 |
| 143 | 30300 | Cruz-Decastro | Antonio | \$47.37 | \$6.98 | \$54.35 | \$47.37 | \$0.00 |
| 144 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | \$1,891.68 | \$278.84 | \$2,170.52 | \$1,891.68 | \$0.00 |
| 145 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | \$515.01 | \$75.91 | \$590.92 | \$515.01 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | \$1,242.13 | \$183.10 | \$1,425.23 | \$1,242.13 | \$0.00 |
| 147 | 32238 | Daggett Jr. | Rudolph | \$618.68 | \$91.20 | \$709.87 | \$618.68 | \$0.00 |
| 148 | 3777 | Daniels | Donald | \$3,274.58 | \$482.69 | \$3,757.26 | \$3,274.58 | \$0.00 |
| 149 | 110936 | Daniels | James | \$57.14 | \$8.42 | \$65.56 | \$57.14 | \$0.00 |
| 150 | 3511 | Danielsen | Danny | \$377.99 | \$55.72 | \$433.71 | \$377.99 | \$0.00 |
| 151 | 3428 | D'Arcy | Timothy | \$4,630.45 | \$682.55 | \$5,313.00 | \$4,630.45 | \$0.00 |
| 152 | 101103 | Davila-Romero | Monica | \$58.85 | \$8.67 | \$67.52 | \$58.85 | \$0.00 |
| 153 | 28065 | Davis | Bradley | \$2,167.85 | \$319.55 | \$2,487.40 | \$2,167.85 | \$0.00 |
| 154 | 2573 | Deguzman | Fermin | \$294.22 | \$43.37 | \$337.59 | \$294.22 | \$0.00 |
| 155 | 3675 | Deguzman | Leloi | \$619.41 | \$91.30 | \$710.71 | \$619.41 | \$0.00 |
| 156 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | \$660.42 | \$97.35 | \$757.77 | \$660.42 | \$0.00 |
| 157 ¢ | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | \$105.26 | \$15.52 | \$120.78 | \$105.26 | \$0.00 |
| 158 | 2057 | DeMarco | William | \$581.36 | \$85.69 | \$667.05 | \$581.36 | \$0.00 |
| 159 \| | 3566 | Deocampo | Michael | \$198.88 | \$29.31 | \$228.19 | \$222.51 | (\$23.63) |
| 160 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | \$811.92 | \$119.68 | \$931.60 | \$811.92 | \$0.00 |
| 161 | 111062 | Diamond | Jeffrey | \$273.19 | \$40.27 | \$313.46 | \$273.19 | \$0.00 |
| 162 | 3719 | Diaz | Aiser | \$22.90 | \$3.38 | \$26.28 | \$22.90 | \$0.00 |
| 163 | 3657 | Dibaba | Desta | \$958.68 | \$141.31 | \$1,099.99 | \$958.68 | \$0.00 |
| 164 | 3905 | Dillard | Corey | \$904.27 | \$133.29 | \$1,037.56 | \$978.27 | (\$74.00) |
| 165 | 2031 | Dinok | Ildiko | \$1,530.38 | \$225.58 | \$1,755.96 | \$1,530.38 | \$0.00 |
| 166 | 6832 | Dionas | John | \$87.73 | \$12.93 | \$100.66 | \$87.73 | \$0.00 |
| 167 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | \$2,475.64 | \$364.92 | \$2,840.56 | \$2,858.43 | (\$382.79) |
| 168 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | \$669.09 | \$98.63 | \$767.72 | \$669.09 | \$0.00 |
| 169 | 2812 | Djapa-Ivosevic | Davor | \$295.33 | \$43.53 | \$338.87 | \$295.33 | \$0.00 |
| 170 | 3704 | Dobszewicz | Gary | \$2,278.69 | \$335.89 | \$2,614.57 | \$3,064.20 | (\$785.51) |
| 171 | 3024 | Donahoe | Stephen | \$473.62 | \$69.81 | \$543.44 | \$473.62 | \$0.00 |
| 172 178 | 3478 | Dontchev | Nedeltcho | \$2,456.69 | \$362.13 | \$2,818.81 | \$2,562.54 | (\$105.85) |
| 173 | 3830 | Dotson | Contessa | \$49.54 | \$7.30 | \$56.84 | \$49.54 | \$0.00 |
| 174 | 3378 | Dotson | Eugene | \$232.38 | \$34.25 | \$266.63 | \$298.04 | (\$65.66) |
| 175 | 106763 | Doyle | William | \$304.91 | \$44.94 | \$349.85 | \$304.91 | \$0.00 |
| 176 | 2871 | Draper | Ivan | \$885.79 | \$130.57 | \$1,016.35 | \$1,988.56 | $(\$ 1,102.77)$ |
| 177 <br> 178 | 3754 | Dudek | Anthony | \$1,421.81 | \$209.58 | \$1,631.39 | \$1,421.81 | \$0.00 |
| 178 (179 | 3916 | Duna | Lawrence | \$760.98 | \$112.17 | \$873.15 | \$760.98 | \$0.00 |
| 179 | 3617 | Durey | Robert | \$795.00 | \$117.19 | \$912.19 | \$1,086.96 | (\$291.96) |
| 180 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | \$496.97 | \$73.26 | \$570.23 | \$585.98 | (\$89.01) |
| 181 | 100046 | Dymond | Ernest | \$62.96 | \$9.28 | \$72.24 | \$62.96 | \$0.00 |
| 182 | 3907 | Eddik | Muhannad | \$31.60 | \$4.66 | \$36.26 | \$31.60 | \$0.00 |
| 183 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | \$823.78 | \$121.43 | \$945.21 | \$1,307.78 | (\$484.00) |
| 184 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | \$3,088.61 | \$455.27 | \$3,543.88 | \$3,088.61 | \$0.00 |
| 185 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | \$2,813.75 | \$414.76 | \$3,228.50 | \$2,813.75 | \$0.00 |
| 186 | 111822 | Elgendy | Mohamed | \$96.88 | \$14.28 | \$111.17 | \$96.88 | \$0.00 |
| 187 | 18678 | Eliades | George | \$272.83 | \$40.22 | \$313.04 | \$272.83 | \$0.00 |
| 188 | 3771 | Ellis | Charles | \$763.81 | \$112.59 | \$876.40 | \$763.81 | \$0.00 |
| 189 \| | 109641 | Emling | Paul | \$146.38 | \$21.58 | \$167.95 | \$470.16 | (\$323.78) |
| 190 | 106698 | Emter | Christopher | \$124.52 | \$18.36 | \$142.88 | \$124.52 | \$0.00 |
| 191 | 3567 | Ernst | William | \$2,071.00 | \$305.27 | \$2,376.27 | \$3,661.62 | (\$1,590.62) |
| 192 | 3937 | Esfarjany | Mahmood | \$61.93 | \$9.13 | \$71.06 | \$61.93 | \$0.00 |
| 193 | 3689 | Eshaghi | Mohammad | \$243.90 | \$35.95 | \$279.85 | \$347.00 | (\$103.10) |
| 194 | 3889 | Estrada | Michael | \$217.71 | \$32.09 | \$249.80 | \$217.71 | \$0.00 |
| 195 | 3628 | Evans | Steven | \$23.51 | \$3.46 | \$26.97 | \$23.51 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196 | 3703 | Fadlallah | Michel | \$675.34 | \$99.55 | \$774.88 | \$857.18 | (\$181.84) |
| 197 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | \$496.57 | \$73.20 | \$569.77 | \$496.57 | \$0.00 |
| 198 | 3795 | Farah | Yohannes | \$391.88 | \$57.76 | \$449.64 | \$391.88 | \$0.00 |
| 199 | 2682 | Fears | Thomas | \$2,605.88 | \$384.12 | \$2,990.00 | \$3,198.92 | (\$593.04) |
| 200 | 3591 | Feleke | Melak | \$989.78 | \$145.90 | \$1,135.67 | \$1,190.60 | (\$200.82) |
| 201 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | \$1,306.55 | \$192.59 | \$1,499.14 | \$1,865.61 | (\$559.06) |
| 202 | 111068 | Filatov | Andrey | \$20.19 | \$2.98 | \$23.16 | \$20.19 | \$0.00 |
| 203 | 3877 | Filfel | Kamal | \$3,138.25 | \$462.59 | \$3,600.84 | \$3,138.25 | \$0.00 |
| 204 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | \$327.92 | \$48.34 | \$376.25 | \$327.92 | \$0.00 |
| 205 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | \$208.19 | \$30.69 | \$238.88 | \$208.19 | \$0.00 |
| 206 | 3705 | Fleming | Gary | \$3,227.44 | \$475.74 | \$3,703.17 | \$4,079.24 | (\$851.80) |
| 207 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | \$982.51 | \$144.83 | \$1,127.33 | \$982.51 | \$0.00 |
| 208 | 3927 | Fox | Gordon | \$258.33 | \$38.08 | \$296.41 | \$258.33 | \$0.00 |
| 209 | 3860 | Frankenberger | Grant | \$625.40 | \$92.19 | \$717.58 | \$625.40 | \$0.00 |
| 210 | 2614 | Franklin | David | \$530.60 | \$78.21 | \$608.81 | \$530.60 | \$0.00 |
| 211 | 3774 | Furst III | James | \$48.51 | \$7.15 | \$55.66 | \$48.51 | \$0.00 |
| 212 | 107590 | Galtieri | Frank | \$269.32 | \$39.70 | \$309.02 | \$269.32 | \$0.00 |
| 213 | 2782 | Garcia | John | \$5,827.20 | \$858.95 | \$6,686.15 | \$5,985.76 | (\$158.56) |
| 214 | 3652 | Garcia | Miguel | \$1,119.02 | \$164.95 | \$1,283.96 | \$1,119.02 | \$0.00 |
| 215 | 3522 | Gardea | Alfred | \$1,460.80 | \$215.33 | \$1,676.12 | \$1,460.80 | \$0.00 |
| 216 | 3694 | Gared | Yaekob | \$76.99 | \$11.35 | \$88.34 | \$76.99 | \$0.00 |
| 217 | 3793 | Garras | Bill | \$160.33 | \$23.63 | \$183.97 | \$160.33 | \$0.00 |
| 218 | 26636 | Garrett | Kathleen | \$20.07 | \$2.96 | \$23.03 | \$20.07 | \$0.00 |
| 219 | 3642 | Gaumond | Gerard | \$197.50 | \$29.11 | \$226.61 | \$197.50 | \$0.00 |
| 220 | 3503 | Gebrayes | Henock | \$360.01 | \$53.07 | \$413.08 | \$360.01 | \$0.00 |
| 221 | 3801 | Gebremariam | Meley | \$200.99 | \$29.63 | \$230.61 | \$200.99 | \$0.00 |
| 222 | 3580 | Gebreyes | Fanuel | \$513.28 | \$75.66 | \$588.93 | \$933.43 | (\$420.15) |
| 223 | 3328 | Gelane | Samuel | \$4,423.27 | \$652.01 | \$5,075.28 | \$5,569.67 | (\$1,146.40) |
| 224 | 3589 | Gessese | Worku | \$81.57 | \$12.02 | \$93.59 | \$81.57 | \$0.00 |
| 225 | 3865 | Ghori | Azhar | \$205.23 | \$30.25 | \$235.48 | \$205.23 | \$0.00 |
| 226 | 3759 | Gianopoulos | Samuel | \$1,133.49 | \$167.08 | \$1,300.57 | \$1,406.99 | (\$273.50) |
| 227 | 3696 | Gillett | David | \$519.94 | \$76.64 | \$596.58 | \$1,435.64 | (\$915.70) |
| 228 | 3600 | Gilmore | Paula | \$16.54 | \$2.44 | \$18.98 | \$82.81 | (\$66.27) |
| 229 | 3924 | Gilo | Hobart | \$645.59 | \$95.16 | \$740.75 | \$645.59 | \$0.00 |
| 230 | 31076 | Glaser | Stephen | \$153.87 | \$22.68 | \$176.55 | \$153.87 | \$0.00 |
| 231 | 3121 | Gleason | John | \$2,790.18 | \$411.28 | \$3,201.46 | \$4,140.17 | (\$1,349.99) |
| 232 | 3540 | Glogovac | Goran | \$603.36 | \$88.94 | \$692.30 | \$1,152.08 | (\$548.72) |
| 233 | 3762 | Godsey | Kelly | \$1,233.95 | \$181.89 | \$1,415.83 | \$1,233.95 | \$0.00 |
| 234 | 3739 | Godsey | Thomas | \$90.55 | \$13.35 | \$103.89 | \$90.55 | \$0.00 |
| 235 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | \$31.60 | \$4.66 | \$36.26 | \$31.60 | \$0.00 |
| 236 | 31840 | Gokcek | Guney | \$99.83 | \$14.72 | \$114.55 | \$99.83 | \$0.00 |
| 237 | 3688 | Golden | Theresa | \$686.85 | \$101.24 | \$788.10 | \$686.85 | \$0.00 |
| 238 | 3646 | Golla | Dawit | \$72.45 | \$10.68 | \$83.12 | \$72.45 | \$0.00 |
| 239 | 3848 | Gomez-Gomez | Arlene | \$138.32 | \$20.39 | \$158.70 | \$138.32 | \$0.00 |
| 240 | 3903 | Gonzalez | Luis | \$1,355.04 | \$199.74 | \$1,554.78 | \$1,355.04 | \$0.00 |
| 241 | 111390 | Gonzalez | Pedro | \$263.79 | \$38.88 | \$302.67 | \$263.79 | \$0.00 |
| 242 | 3586 | Gonzalez | Ramon | \$503.17 | \$74.17 | \$577.33 | \$503.17 | \$0.00 |
| 243 | 3929 | Gonzalez-Ruiz | Jose | \$178.96 | \$26.38 | \$205.34 | \$178.96 | \$0.00 |
| 244 | 3794 | Goolsby | Victor | \$933.19 | \$137.56 | \$1,070.74 | \$933.19 | \$0.00 |
| 245 | 3391 | Grafton | Natasha | \$1,771.74 | \$261.16 | \$2,032.90 | \$1,771.74 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 246 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | \$700.68 | \$103.28 | \$803.96 | \$700.68 | \$0.00 |
| 247 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | \$3,124.58 | \$460.58 | \$3,585.16 | \$3,790.84 | (\$666.26) |
| 248 | 3197 | Green | Tony | \$1,256.38 | \$185.19 | \$1,441.57 | \$2,445.41 | (\$1,189.03) |
| 249 | 2971 | Gross | Timothy | \$866.18 | \$127.68 | \$993.85 | \$866.18 | \$0.00 |
| 250 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | \$1,211.23 | \$178.54 | \$1,389.76 | \$1,211.23 | \$0.00 |
| 251 | 3655 | Guinan | William | \$318.19 | \$46.90 | \$365.09 | \$552.49 | (\$234.30) |
| 252 | 3895 | Gyuro | John | \$343.12 | \$50.58 | \$393.70 | \$343.12 | \$0.00 |
| 253 | 3636 | Habtom | Ermias | \$663.42 | \$97.79 | \$761.21 | \$663.42 | \$0.00 |
| 254 | 3799 | Hadley | Aaron | \$221.75 | \$32.69 | \$254.44 | \$333.64 | (\$111.89) |
| 255 | 3827 | Haigh III | Walter | \$202.61 | \$29.87 | \$232.48 | \$202.61 | \$0.00 |
| 256 | 111568 | Hammoud | Wissam | \$618.64 | \$91.19 | \$709.83 | \$618.64 | \$0.00 |
| 257 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | \$649.91 | \$95.80 | \$745.71 | \$649.91 | \$0.00 |
| 258 | 3734 | Hanna | Christopher | \$353.39 | \$52.09 | \$405.48 | \$353.39 | \$0.00 |
| 259 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | \$1,238.67 | \$182.59 | \$1,421.26 | \$1,410.40 | (\$171.73) |
| 260 | 29609 | Haralambov | Valko | \$260.48 | \$38.40 | \$298.88 | \$260.48 | \$0.00 |
| 261 | 3519 | Harms | Michael | \$728.33 | \$107.36 | \$835.69 | \$728.33 | \$0.00 |
| 262 | 3761 | Harrell | Mark | \$1,070.06 | \$157.73 | \$1,227.79 | \$1,484.83 | (\$414.77) |
| 263 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | \$2,455.84 | \$362.00 | \$2,817.84 | \$2,846.89 | (\$391.05) |
| 264 | 2564 | Harris | Jay | \$996.17 | \$146.84 | \$1,143.01 | \$1,155.16 | (\$158.99) |
| 265 | 3811 | Harris III | Reggie | \$19.13 | \$2.82 | \$21.95 | \$19.13 | \$0.00 |
| 266 | 3941 | Harrison | Andrew | \$297.76 | \$43.89 | \$341.65 | \$297.76 | \$0.00 |
| 267 | 24039 | Hart | Brandi | \$162.45 | \$23.95 | \$186.40 | \$162.45 | \$0.00 |
| 268 | 3656 | Harun | Idris | \$114.58 | \$16.89 | \$131.47 | \$114.58 | \$0.00 |
| 269 | 3515 | Hasen | Akmel | \$114.78 | \$16.92 | \$131.69 | \$188.59 | (\$73.81) |
| 270 | 3742 | Haskell | William | \$3,803.40 | \$560.64 | \$4,364.03 | \$4,896.30 | (\$1,092.90) |
| 271 | 3808 | Hays | Larry | \$2,054.93 | \$302.91 | \$2,357.84 | \$2,293.24 | (\$238.31) |
| 272 | 109457 | Hearne | Stephen | \$188.99 | \$27.86 | \$216.85 | \$188.99 | \$0.00 |
| 273 | 110194 | Henderson | Lloyd | \$467.13 | \$68.86 | \$535.98 | \$467.13 | \$0.00 |
| 274 | 3933 | Hendricks | Mark | \$352.95 | \$52.03 | \$404.97 | \$352.95 | \$0.00 |
| 275 | 3634 | Herbert | Christopher | \$1,177.50 | \$173.57 | \$1,351.06 | \$1,177.50 | \$0.00 |
| 276 | 3763 | Herga | Ryan | \$299.22 | \$44.11 | \$343.32 | \$408.57 | (\$109.35) |
| 277 | 101555 | Hernandez | Rene | \$272.18 | \$40.12 | \$312.30 | \$272.18 | \$0.00 |
| 278 | 107072 | Hernandez-Ocan | Amilcar | \$219.91 | \$32.42 | \$252.33 | \$219.91 | \$0.00 |
| 279 | 112038 | Hill | Douglas | \$294.63 | \$43.43 | \$338.06 | \$294.63 | \$0.00 |
| 280 | 109792 | Hinds | Monroe | \$304.22 | \$44.84 | \$349.06 | \$304.22 | \$0.00 |
| 281 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | \$778.37 | \$114.73 | \$893.10 | \$927.59 | (\$149.22) |
| 282 | 3765 | Hirsi | Kamal | \$533.66 | \$78.66 | \$612.33 | \$533.66 | \$0.00 |
| 283 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | \$1,173.17 | \$172.93 | \$1,346.10 | \$1,173.17 | \$0.00 |
| 284 | 2490 | Hoffman | Gery | \$30.38 | \$4.48 | \$34.86 | \$30.38 | \$0.00 |
| 285 | 2017 | Holcomb | Dalton | \$1,162.76 | \$171.40 | \$1,334.16 | \$1,162.76 | \$0.00 |
| 286 | 3864 | Holler | Alfonso | \$491.70 | \$72.48 | \$564.18 | \$586.05 | (\$94.35) |
| 287 | 3809 | Hollis | James | \$92.91 | \$13.70 | \$106.61 | \$252.73 | (\$159.82) |
| 288 | 3822 | Holt | John | \$2,920.16 | \$430.44 | \$3,350.60 | \$2,920.16 | \$0.00 |
| 289 | 3653 | Hooper | Donald | \$528.58 | \$77.92 | \$606.50 | \$709.80 | (\$181.22) |
| 290 | 3607 | Hoschouer | Christina | \$1,321.54 | \$194.80 | \$1,516.33 | \$1,321.54 | \$0.00 |
| 291 | 109584 | Hosley | Tracie | \$185.20 | \$27.30 | \$212.50 | \$185.20 | \$0.00 |
| 292 | 31648 | Hu | Karl | \$137.49 | \$20.27 | \$157.76 | \$137.49 | \$0.00 |
| 293 | 3849 | Huerena | Samuel | \$51.18 | \$7.54 | \$58.72 | \$51.18 | \$0.00 |
| 294 | 2400 | Hughes | Jerry | \$570.41 | \$84.08 | \$654.49 | \$1,906.43 | (\$1,336.02) |
| 295 | 3780 | Hunter | James | \$320.69 | \$47.27 | \$367.96 | \$320.69 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 296 | 3120 | Huntington | Walter | \$311.96 | \$45.98 | \$357.94 | \$311.96 | \$0.00 |
| 297 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | \$1,527.27 | \$225.13 | \$1,752.39 | \$1,786.78 | (\$259.51) |
| 298 | 3782 | Hurley | Robert | \$246.55 | \$36.34 | \$282.89 | \$246.55 | \$0.00 |
| 299 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | \$2,544.05 | \$375.00 | \$2,919.05 | \$2,544.05 | \$0.00 |
| 300 | 3835 | Hussien | Leykun | \$568.36 | \$83.78 | \$652.14 | \$568.36 | \$0.00 |
| 301 | 17189 | Imran | Muhammad | \$104.12 | \$15.35 | \$119.46 | \$104.12 | \$0.00 |
| 302 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | \$263.62 | \$38.86 | \$302.48 | \$263.62 | \$0.00 |
| 303 | 108273 | Isanan | Claro | \$199.02 | \$29.34 | \$228.35 | \$199.02 | \$0.00 |
| 304 | 107191 | Ivanov | Yordan | \$74.55 | \$10.99 | \$85.54 | \$74.55 | \$0.00 |
| 305 | 2114 | Ivey | Timothy | \$1,046.55 | \$154.27 | \$1,200.82 | \$1,505.32 | (\$458.77) |
| 306 | 3928 | Jackson | Anthony | \$495.57 | \$73.05 | \$568.62 | \$495.57 | \$0.00 |
| 307 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | \$2,776.86 | \$409.32 | \$3,186.18 | \$3,154.65 | (\$377.79) |
| 308 | 3701 | Jackson | Willie | \$2,678.80 | \$394.87 | \$3,073.67 | \$3,577.43 | (\$898.63) |
| 309 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | \$1,157.97 | \$170.69 | \$1,328.66 | \$1,157.97 | \$0.00 |
| 310 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | \$13.55 | \$2.00 | \$15.55 | \$13.55 | \$0.00 |
| 311 | 2412 | Jelancic | Vladko | \$1,366.25 | \$201.39 | \$1,567.64 | \$1,773.01 | (\$406.76) |
| 312 | 3851 | Jellison | Charles | \$327.35 | \$48.25 | \$375.60 | \$513.14 | (\$185.79) |
| 313 | 3315 | Jimenez | Michael | \$814.06 | \$120.00 | \$934.05 | \$1,010.10 | (\$196.04) |
| 314 | 3539 | Johnson | Brian | \$62.39 | \$9.20 | \$71.59 | \$62.39 | \$0.00 |
| 315 | 3898 | Johnson | Cary | \$91.90 | \$13.55 | \$105.44 | \$91.90 | \$0.00 |
| 316 | 3151 | Johnson | Kennard | \$778.01 | \$114.68 | \$892.69 | \$1,770.30 | (\$992.29) |
| 317 | 3844 | Johnson | Richard | \$162.40 | \$23.94 | \$186.34 | \$162.40 | \$0.00 |
| 318 | 2127 | Johnson | Rodney | \$44.73 | \$6.59 | \$51.32 | \$206.39 | (\$161.66) |
| 319 | 3602 | Johnson | Tony | \$377.73 | \$55.68 | \$433.41 | \$377.73 | \$0.00 |
| 320 | 2253 | Jones | Glenn | \$1,337.83 | \$197.20 | \$1,535.03 | \$1,731.80 | (\$393.97) |
| 321 | 3784 | Joseph | Leroy | \$2,440.47 | \$359.74 | \$2,800.21 | \$2,570.69 | (\$130.22) |
| 322 | 3919 | Kabbaz | David | \$76.92 | \$11.34 | \$88.26 | \$76.92 | \$0.00 |
| 323 | 111813 | Kadir | Tura | \$23.88 | \$3.52 | \$27.39 | \$23.88 | \$0.00 |
| 324 | 106642 | Kadri | Abdelkrim | \$10.24 | \$1.51 | \$11.75 | \$10.24 | \$0.00 |
| 325 | 3772 | Kaiyoorawongs | Chaipan | \$3,065.66 | \$451.89 | \$3,517.55 | \$3,065.66 | \$0.00 |
| 326 | 101942 | Kalimba | Gaston | \$530.48 | \$78.19 | \$608.67 | \$530.48 | \$0.00 |
| 327 \| | 29542 | Kang | Chong | \$101.83 | \$15.01 | \$116.84 | \$101.83 | \$0.00 |
| 328 | 3631 | Karner | Adam | \$873.51 | \$128.76 | \$1,002.27 | \$1,141.88 | (\$268.37) |
| 329 | 3819 | Keba | Woldmarim | \$569.14 | \$83.89 | \$653.03 | \$998.90 | (\$429.76) |
| 330 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | \$390.90 | \$57.62 | \$448.52 | \$390.90 | \$0.00 |
| 331 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | \$352.09 | \$51.90 | \$403.99 | \$1,706.10 | (\$1,354.01) |
| 332 <br> 333 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | \$8,416.88 | \$1,240.68 | \$9,657.56 | \$9,357.54 | (\$940.66) |
| 333 | 3637 | Key | Roy | \$174.71 | \$25.75 | \$200.46 | \$174.71 | \$0.00 |
| 334 | 3651 | Khan | Zaka | \$53.04 | \$7.82 | \$60.86 | \$53.04 | \$0.00 |
| 335 | 105794 | Kimler | Ryan | \$198.87 | \$29.31 | \$228.19 | \$198.87 | \$0.00 |
| 336 | 3798 | King Jr. | John | \$115.51 | \$17.03 | \$132.54 | \$179.87 | (\$64.36) |
| 337 | 2901 | Kingsley | David | \$49.73 | \$7.33 | \$57.06 | \$49.73 | \$0.00 |
| 338 | 111283 | Kissel | Sean | \$51.23 | \$7.55 | \$58.78 | \$51.23 | \$0.00 |
| 339 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | \$3,633.02 | \$535.52 | \$4,168.54 | \$3,633.02 | \$0.00 |
| 340 | 3837 | Knight | Tyree | \$262.37 | \$38.67 | \$301.04 | \$262.37 | \$0.00 |
| 341 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | \$6,773.74 | \$998.48 | \$7,772.22 | \$7,609.17 | (\$835.43) |
| 342 | 2789 | Krouse | Stephen | \$85.40 | \$12.59 | \$97.99 | \$366.44 | (\$281.04) |
| 343 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | \$135.94 | \$20.04 | \$155.98 | \$135.94 | \$0.00 |
| 344 | 3662 | Kunik | Robert | \$301.44 | \$44.43 | \$345.87 | \$301.44 | \$0.00 |
| 345 | 3878 | Laico | Paul | \$102.52 | \$15.11 | \$117.63 | \$102.52 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 346 | 111231 | Lant | Mark | \$694.00 | \$102.30 | \$796.29 | \$694.00 | \$0.00 |
| 347 | 3535 | Lantis | Glen | \$427.48 | \$63.01 | \$490.49 | \$427.48 | \$0.00 |
| 348 | 25362 | Lathan | Joseph | \$269.57 | \$39.73 | \$309.30 | \$269.57 | \$0.00 |
| 349 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | \$139.80 | \$20.61 | \$160.40 | \$139.80 | \$0.00 |
| 350 | 1053 | Leacock | Brian | \$1,191.71 | \$175.66 | \$1,367.37 | \$2,396.09 | (\$1,204.38) |
| 351 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | \$2,181.82 | \$321.61 | \$2,503.43 | \$2,592.70 | (\$410.88) |
| 352 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | \$469.33 | \$69.18 | \$538.51 | \$469.33 | \$0.00 |
| 353 | 3702 | Lee | Thomas | \$2,952.81 | \$435.26 | \$3,388.06 | \$2,952.81 | \$0.00 |
| 354 | 3666 | Legesse | Dereje | \$555.76 | \$81.92 | \$637.68 | \$776.75 | (\$220.99) |
| 355 | 3816 | Ligus | Thomas | \$219.63 | \$32.37 | \$252.01 | \$219.63 | \$0.00 |
| 356 | 25522 | Link | Peter | \$1,062.97 | \$156.69 | \$1,219.66 | \$1,366.79 | (\$303.82) |
| 357 | 3681 | Linzer | Steven | \$42.56 | \$6.27 | \$48.83 | \$42.56 | \$0.00 |
| 358 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | \$742.99 | \$109.52 | \$852.50 | \$1,016.34 | (\$273.35) |
| 359 | 3945 | Lombana | Francisco | \$51.80 | \$7.63 | \$59.43 | \$51.80 | \$0.00 |
| 360 | 3858 | Lonbani | Khosro | \$607.51 | \$89.55 | \$697.06 | \$829.71 | (\$222.20) |
| 361 | 111405 | Lopez-Silvero | Fidel | \$81.02 | \$11.94 | \$92.96 | \$81.02 | \$0.00 |
| 362 | 3752 | Lorenz | Dierdra | \$866.03 | \$127.66 | \$993.69 | \$866.03 | \$0.00 |
| 363 | 3813 | Lovelady | Warren | \$11.90 | \$1.75 | \$13.65 | \$11.90 | \$0.00 |
| 364 | 1065 | Lovin | Charles | \$247.32 | \$36.46 | \$283.77 | \$422.42 | (\$175.10) |
| 365 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | \$2,456.61 | \$362.11 | \$2,818.73 | \$2,859.72 | (\$403.11) |
| 366 | 20936 | Madi | Adam | \$137.47 | \$20.26 | \$157.74 | \$137.47 | \$0.00 |
| 367 | 24918 | Magana | Luis | \$565.73 | \$83.39 | \$649.12 | \$749.60 | (\$183.87) |
| 368 | 107940 | Maharit | Khamkhrung | \$63.98 | \$9.43 | \$73.41 | \$63.98 | \$0.00 |
| 369 | 2738 | Mahoney | Kevin | \$431.90 | \$63.66 | \$495.56 | \$431.90 | \$0.00 |
| 370 | 3096 | Mainwaring | David | \$3,079.08 | \$453.87 | \$3,532.95 | \$3,079.08 | \$0.00 |
| 371 | 2757 | Majors | John | \$6,888.13 | \$1,015.34 | \$7,903.46 | \$6,888.13 | \$0.00 |
| 372 | 22809 | Manitien | Ted | \$13.83 | \$2.04 | \$15.87 | \$13.83 | \$0.00 |
| 373 | 3890 | Manor | Quincy | \$1,366.55 | \$201.44 | \$1,567.99 | \$1,544.98 | (\$178.43) |
| 374 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | \$2,195.44 | \$323.62 | \$2,519.05 | \$2,614.23 | (\$418.79) |
| 375 | 106666 | Martinez | Arturo | \$63.48 | \$9.36 | \$72.83 | \$63.48 | \$0.00 |
| 376 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | \$1,713.26 | \$252.54 | \$1,965.80 | \$1,713.26 | \$0.00 |
| 377 | 3866 | Martinez-Ramire | Eduardo | \$757.35 | \$111.64 | \$868.98 | \$1,043.05 | (\$285.70) |
| 378 | 100287 | Martins | Julio | \$298.27 | \$43.97 | \$342.24 | \$298.27 | \$0.00 |
| 379 | 3698 | Mastrio | Angelo | \$287.39 | \$42.36 | \$329.75 | \$287.39 | \$0.00 |
| 380 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | \$234.23 | \$34.53 | \$268.76 | \$234.23 | \$0.00 |
| 381 | 110108 | Mathis | George | \$297.42 | \$43.84 | \$341.26 | \$297.42 | \$0.00 |
| 382 | 3669 | Maza | Inez | \$349.93 | \$51.58 | \$401.51 | \$349.93 | \$0.00 |
| 383 | 111284 | McCall | Melvin | \$169.85 | \$25.04 | \$194.88 | \$169.85 | \$0.00 |
| 384 | 111199 | McCarroll-Jones | Claudia | \$17.52 | \$2.58 | \$20.11 | \$17.52 | \$0.00 |
| 385 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | \$2,149.19 | \$316.80 | \$2,465.99 | \$2,268.60 | (\$119.41) |
| 386 | 3690 | McCarthy | John | \$3,474.77 | \$512.20 | \$3,986.97 | \$4,182.28 | (\$707.51) |
| 387 | 3654 | McConnell | Therral | \$873.55 | \$128.77 | \$1,002.32 | \$873.55 | \$0.00 |
| 388 | 3743 | McCoubrey | Earl | \$1,347.94 | \$198.69 | \$1,546.63 | \$1,347.94 | \$0.00 |
| 389 | 107427 | McDougle | Jeffrey | \$124.87 | \$18.41 | \$143.27 | \$124.87 | \$0.00 |
| 390 | 3111 | McGarry | James | \$178.50 | \$26.31 | \$204.81 | \$178.50 | \$0.00 |
| 391 | 3745 | McGowan | Sean | \$228.69 | \$33.71 | \$262.40 | \$228.69 | \$0.00 |
| 392 | 3547 | McGregor | Matthew | \$857.64 | \$126.42 | \$984.05 | \$857.64 | \$0.00 |
| 393 | 3722 | McNeece | James | \$147.35 | \$21.72 | \$169.07 | \$147.35 | \$0.00 |
| 394 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | \$901.92 | \$132.95 | \$1,034.87 | \$901.92 | \$0.00 |
| 395 | 3345 | Mekonen | Solomon | \$383.94 | \$56.59 | \$440.54 | \$383.94 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 396 | 3066 | Melesse | Abebe | \$32.85 | \$4.84 | \$37.69 | \$32.85 | \$0.00 |
| 397 | 3665 | Melka | Tariku | \$27.31 | \$4.03 | \$31.34 | \$27.31 | \$0.00 |
| 398 | 2596 | Meloro | Paul | \$3,253.76 | \$479.62 | \$3,733.38 | \$3,503.79 | (\$250.03) |
| 399 | 3262 | Mengesha | Alemayehu | \$521.70 | \$76.90 | \$598.60 | \$861.06 | (\$339.36) |
| 400 | 3568 | Menocal | Pedro | \$1,029.70 | \$151.78 | \$1,181.48 | \$1,029.70 | \$0.00 |
| 401 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | \$53.72 | \$7.92 | \$61.64 | \$53.72 | \$0.00 |
| 402 | 26609 | Mezzenasco | Pedro | \$1,317.06 | \$194.14 | \$1,511.19 | \$1,523.84 | (\$206.78) |
| 403 | 110334 | Michilena | Luis | \$66.26 | \$9.77 | \$76.03 | \$66.26 | \$0.00 |
| 404 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | \$983.37 | \$144.95 | \$1,128.32 | \$983.37 | \$0.00 |
| 405 | 17855 | Milliron | Darrol | \$1,696.99 | \$250.14 | \$1,947.13 | \$3,469.18 | (\$1,772.19) |
| 406 | 3620 | Mindyas | James | \$579.57 | \$85.43 | \$665.00 | \$855.65 | (\$276.08) |
| 407 | 3904 | Mirkulovski | Danny | \$550.09 | \$81.09 | \$631.18 | \$550.09 | \$0.00 |
| 408 | 31966 | Mitrikov | Ilko | \$2,230.42 | \$328.77 | \$2,559.19 | \$2,414.03 | (\$183.61) |
| 409 | 104887 | Miyazaki | Nisaburo | \$912.41 | \$134.49 | \$1,046.90 | \$912.41 | \$0.00 |
| 410 | 3317 | Mogeeth | Ehab | \$323.43 | \$47.67 | \$371.10 | \$323.43 | \$0.00 |
| 411 | 105284 | Monforte II | Peter | \$5,074.87 | \$748.06 | \$5,822.92 | \$5,074.87 | \$0.00 |
| 412 | 3882 | Monteagudo | Oscar | \$937.81 | \$138.24 | \$1,076.04 | \$937.81 | \$0.00 |
| 413 | 3735 | Montoya Villa | Francisco | \$551.62 | \$81.31 | \$632.93 | \$1,112.68 | (\$561.06) |
| 414 | 3913 | Moore | Aileen-Louise | \$328.57 | \$48.43 | \$377.01 | \$328.57 | \$0.00 |
| 415 | 3664 | Moreno | James | \$4,373.10 | \$644.61 | \$5,017.71 | \$5,220.56 | (\$847.46) |
| 416 | 3626 | Moretti | Bryan | \$1,422.89 | \$209.74 | \$1,632.63 | \$1,422.89 | \$0.00 |
| 417 | 3411 | Morley | David | \$514.74 | \$75.87 | \$590.61 | \$718.67 | (\$203.93) |
| 418 | 2162 | Morris | Robert | \$1,446.92 | \$213.28 | \$1,660.20 | \$1,446.92 | \$0.00 |
| 419 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | \$4,599.67 | \$678.01 | \$5,277.68 | \$4,599.67 | \$0.00 |
| 420 | 106703 | Mosely | David | \$1,143.38 | \$168.54 | \$1,311.92 | \$1,143.38 | \$0.00 |
| 421 | 3785 | Mostafa | Ahmed | \$500.20 | \$73.73 | \$573.93 | \$500.20 | \$0.00 |
| 422 | 28917 | Motazedi | Kamran | \$181.66 | \$26.78 | \$208.44 | \$181.66 | \$0.00 |
| 423 | 27059 | Mottaghian | Joseph | \$30.98 | \$4.57 | \$35.54 | \$30.98 | \$0.00 |
| 424 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahman | \$615.74 | \$90.76 | \$706.50 | \$615.74 | \$0.00 |
| 425 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | \$1,593.10 | \$234.83 | \$1,827.93 | \$1,593.10 | \$0.00 |
| 426 | 3856 | Murray | Mark | \$23.74 | \$3.50 | \$27.24 | \$23.74 | \$0.00 |
| 427 | 2018 | Murray | Michael P. | \$770.33 | \$113.55 | \$883.88 | \$770.33 | \$0.00 |
| 428 | 2018 | Murray | MichaelP | \$770.33 | \$113.55 | \$883.88 | \$770.33 | \$0.00 |
| 429 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | \$212.28 | \$31.29 | \$243.57 | \$212.28 | \$0.00 |
| 430 | 3859 | Nazarov | Mikael | \$2,455.84 | \$362.00 | \$2,817.84 | \$2,736.49 | (\$280.65) |
| 431 | 3804 | Ndichu | Simon | \$366.18 | \$53.98 | \$420.16 | \$366.18 | \$0.00 |
| 432 | 102656 | Nedyalkov | Atanas | \$321.59 | \$47.40 | \$369.00 | \$321.59 | \$0.00 |
| 433 | 3530 | Negashe | Legesse | \$502.82 | \$74.12 | \$576.93 | \$838.75 | (\$335.93) |
| 434 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | \$353.54 | \$52.11 | \$405.65 | \$353.54 | \$0.00 |
| 435 | 25190 | Ngo | Tuan | \$1,607.52 | \$236.95 | \$1,844.47 | \$1,607.52 | \$0.00 |
| 436 | 3545 | Nichols | Keith | \$336.29 | \$49.57 | \$385.86 | \$336.29 | \$0.00 |
| 437 | 3823 | Nigussie | Gulilat | \$480.17 | \$70.78 | \$550.95 | \$620.79 | (\$140.62) |
| 438 | 28989 | Nolan | Eamonn | \$107.87 | \$15.90 | \$123.77 | \$107.87 | \$0.00 |
| 439 | 3639 | Norberg | Christopher | \$919.23 | \$135.50 | \$1,054.73 | \$996.85 | (\$77.62) |
| 440 | 3876 | Norvell | Chris | \$4,691.89 | \$691.60 | \$5,383.49 | \$4,691.89 | \$0.00 |
| 441 | 3841 | Ocampo | Leonardo | \$882.56 | \$130.09 | \$1,012.66 | \$967.99 | (\$85.43) |
| 442 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | \$489.50 | \$72.15 | \$561.65 | \$1,075.06 | (\$585.56) |
| 443 | 109172 | O'Grady | Francis | \$404.46 | \$59.62 | \$464.08 | \$404.46 | \$0.00 |
| 444 | 3836 | Ohlson | Ryan | \$752.25 | \$110.89 | \$863.14 | \$924.94 | (\$172.69) |
| 445 | 3753 | Olen | Virginia | \$2,224.07 | \$327.84 | \$2,551.91 | \$2,224.07 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 446 | 3748 | Oliveros | Mario | \$671.02 | \$98.91 | \$769.93 | \$671.02 | \$0.00 |
| 447 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | \$514.53 | \$75.84 | \$590.38 | \$514.53 | \$0.00 |
| 448 | 3644 | Ontura | Tesfalem | \$259.20 | \$38.21 | \$297.41 | \$259.20 | \$0.00 |
| 449 | 3934 | Orr | Mark | \$147.62 | \$21.76 | \$169.38 | \$147.62 | \$0.00 |
| 450 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | \$47.24 | \$6.96 | \$54.20 | \$47.24 | \$0.00 |
| 451 | 3863 | Ortega | Saul | \$439.49 | \$64.78 | \$504.27 | \$439.49 | \$0.00 |
| 452 | 3894 | O'Shea | Kevin | \$163.81 | \$24.15 | \$187.96 | \$163.81 | \$0.00 |
| 453 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | \$209.00 | \$30.81 | \$239.81 | \$683.24 | (\$474.24) |
| 454 | 3783 | Overson | Michael | \$636.00 | \$93.75 | \$729.74 | \$636.00 | \$0.00 |
| 455 | 3789 | Oyebade | Vincent | \$116.31 | \$17.14 | \$133.45 | \$116.31 | \$0.00 |
| 456 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | \$1,477.21 | \$217.75 | \$1,694.95 | \$1,626.46 | (\$149.25) |
| 457 | 3618 | Pak | Kon | \$374.87 | \$55.26 | \$430.13 | \$374.87 | \$0.00 |
| 458 | 106025 | Paone | Chris | \$1,093.84 | \$161.24 | \$1,255.08 | \$1,093.84 | \$0.00 |
| 459 | 3597 | Pariso | David | \$4,792.27 | \$706.40 | \$5,498.67 | \$5,508.79 | (\$716.52) |
| 460 | 109637 | Park | Danny | \$38.85 | \$5.73 | \$44.58 | \$38.85 | \$0.00 |
| 461 | 16676 | Parker | Gary | \$1,387.79 | \$204.57 | \$1,592.35 | \$1,387.79 | \$0.00 |
| 462 | 3750 | Parker | Shawnette | \$481.18 | \$70.93 | \$552.10 | \$713.53 | (\$232.35) |
| 463 | 3884 | Parmenter | William | \$1,713.94 | \$252.64 | \$1,966.58 | \$1,713.94 | \$0.00 |
| 464 | 3659 | Paros | Nicholas | \$14.71 | \$2.17 | \$16.88 | \$14.71 | \$0.00 |
| 465 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | \$65.93 | \$9.72 | \$75.64 | \$65.93 | \$0.00 |
| 466 | 3624 | Patry | Michael | \$2,186.37 | \$322.28 | \$2,508.64 | \$2,583.67 | (\$397.30) |
| 467 | 3932 | Patton | Dorothy | \$43.03 | \$6.34 | \$49.37 | \$43.03 | \$0.00 |
| 468 | 112811 | Peace | Kimberly | \$241.57 | \$35.61 | \$277.18 | \$241.57 | \$0.00 |
| 469 | 29536 | Peacock | Paula | \$118.57 | \$17.48 | \$136.04 | \$118.57 | \$0.00 |
| 470 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | \$988.94 | \$145.77 | \$1,134.71 | \$1,150.94 | (\$162.00) |
| 471 | 31112 | Peer | Yuda | \$82.53 | \$12.16 | \$94.69 | \$82.53 | \$0.00 |
| 472 | 3396 | Penera | Eric | \$124.81 | \$18.40 | \$143.21 | \$279.36 | (\$154.55) |
| 473 | 3834 | Perrotti | Dominic | \$343.23 | \$50.59 | \$393.82 | \$421.61 | (\$78.38) |
| 474 | 111257 | Petculescu | Ciprian | \$28.97 | \$4.27 | \$33.24 | \$28.97 | \$0.00 |
| 475 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | \$732.68 | \$108.00 | \$840.68 | \$732.68 | \$0.00 |
| 476 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | \$3,201.15 | \$471.86 | \$3,673.01 | \$3,201.15 | \$0.00 |
| 477 | 3736 | Petrie | Theodore | \$49.32 | \$7.27 | \$56.59 | \$49.32 | \$0.00 |
| 478 | 3740 | Petrossian | Robert | \$678.86 | \$100.07 | \$778.92 | \$678.86 | \$0.00 |
| 479 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | \$881.80 | \$129.98 | \$1,011.78 | \$881.80 | \$0.00 |
| 480 | 3281 | Phonesavanh | Paul | \$742.40 | \$109.43 | \$851.84 | \$742.40 | \$0.00 |
| 481 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | \$1,706.19 | \$251.50 | \$1,957.69 | \$2,529.94 | (\$823.75) |
| 482 | 107617 | Pineda | Carlos | \$2,994.17 | \$441.35 | \$3,435.52 | \$2,994.17 | \$0.00 |
| 483 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | \$649.35 | \$95.72 | \$745.07 | \$884.48 | (\$235.13) |
| 484 | 2407 | Platania | John | \$556.69 | \$82.06 | \$638.75 | \$1,038.00 | (\$481.31) |
| 485 | 3265 | Pletz | David | \$2,188.91 | \$322.65 | \$2,511.56 | \$3,207.86 | (\$1,018.95) |
| 486 | 3647 | Pohl | Daniel | \$186.19 | \$27.45 | \$213.64 | \$186.19 | \$0.00 |
| 487 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | \$111.37 | \$16.42 | \$127.78 | \$111.37 | \$0.00 |
| 488 | 31149 | Pony | David | \$51.52 | \$7.59 | \$59.11 | \$51.52 | \$0.00 |
| 489 | 3563 | Portillo | Mario | \$593.50 | \$87.48 | \$680.98 | \$593.50 | \$0.00 |
| 490 | 3201 | Presnall | Darryl | \$379.09 | \$55.88 | \$434.97 | \$508.92 | (\$129.83) |
| 491 | 3800 | Price | Allen | \$630.95 | \$93.00 | \$723.95 | \$630.95 | \$0.00 |
| 492 | 2568 | Price | James | \$1,491.52 | \$219.86 | \$1,711.38 | \$2,971.90 | (\$1,480.38) |
| 493 | 3449 | Prifti | Ilia | \$418.70 | \$61.72 | \$480.42 | \$418.70 | \$0.00 |
| 494 | 26363 | Punzalan | Luciano | \$236.08 | \$34.80 | \$270.87 | \$236.08 | \$0.00 |
| 495 | 3687 | Purdue | Robert | \$210.21 | \$30.99 | \$241.20 | \$312.22 | (\$102.01) |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 496 | 3556 | Pyles | Joseph | \$682.49 | \$100.60 | \$783.09 | \$682.49 | \$0.00 |
| 497 | 107548 | Rainey | James | \$219.28 | \$32.32 | \$251.60 | \$219.28 | \$0.00 |
| 498 | 3883 | Ramirez | Erney | \$760.59 | \$112.11 | \$872.70 | \$760.59 | \$0.00 |
| 499 | 3525 | Rasheed | Willie | \$4,016.07 | \$591.98 | \$4,608.05 | \$4,016.07 | \$0.00 |
| 500 | 3812 | Ray | William | \$12.61 | \$1.86 | \$14.47 | \$12.61 | \$0.00 |
| 501 | 108758 | Regans | Mark | \$379.98 | \$56.01 | \$435.99 | \$379.98 | \$0.00 |
| 502 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | \$1,606.09 | \$236.74 | \$1,842.84 | \$2,373.26 | (\$767.17) |
| 503 | 3544 | Reno | Michael | \$3,828.40 | \$564.32 | \$4,392.72 | \$3,828.40 | \$0.00 |
| 504 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | \$126.47 | \$18.64 | \$145.11 | \$126.47 | \$0.00 |
| 505 | 109502 | Rios-Lopez | Oscar | \$189.76 | \$27.97 | \$217.73 | \$189.76 | \$0.00 |
| 506 | 107701 | Risby | Clifford | \$1,060.42 | \$156.31 | \$1,216.73 | \$1,060.42 | \$0.00 |
| 507 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | \$554.56 | \$81.74 | \$636.30 | \$554.56 | \$0.00 |
| 508 | 3191 | Rivas | Victor | \$1,260.33 | \$185.78 | \$1,446.11 | \$1,260.33 | \$0.00 |
| 509 | 104109 | Rivero-Vera | Raul | \$288.88 | \$42.58 | \$331.46 | \$288.88 | \$0.00 |
| 510 | 101317 | Rivers | Willie | \$642.53 | \$94.71 | \$737.24 | \$642.53 | \$0.00 |
| 511 | 3575 | Roach | Jayson | \$665.36 | \$98.08 | \$763.44 | \$665.36 | \$0.00 |
| 512 | 3305 | Roberson | Ronnie | \$101.24 | \$14.92 | \$116.16 | \$101.24 | \$0.00 |
| 513 | 2842 | Roberts | James | \$765.95 | \$112.90 | \$878.85 | \$765.95 | \$0.00 |
| 514 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | \$398.94 | \$58.81 | \$457.75 | \$398.94 | \$0.00 |
| 515 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | \$49.78 | \$7.34 | \$57.11 | \$49.78 | \$0.00 |
| 516 | 3744 | Rockett Jr. | Roosevelt | \$81.28 | \$11.98 | \$93.26 | \$81.28 | \$0.00 |
| 517 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | \$30.79 | \$4.54 | \$35.33 | \$30.79 | \$0.00 |
| 518 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | \$2,985.34 | \$440.05 | \$3,425.39 | \$3,615.12 | (\$629.78) |
| 519 | 3874 | Romano | Anthony | \$1,169.52 | \$172.39 | \$1,341.91 | \$1,306.60 | (\$137.08) |
| 520 | 3587 | Romero | Ruben | \$687.24 | \$101.30 | \$788.54 | \$687.24 | \$0.00 |
| 521 | 3225 | Ross | Larry | \$74.22 | \$10.94 | \$85.15 | \$74.22 | \$0.00 |
| 522 | 108742 | Ross | Lee | \$174.37 | \$25.70 | \$200.07 | \$174.37 | \$0.00 |
| 523 | 3850 | Rothenberg | Edward | \$239.11 | \$35.25 | \$274.36 | \$239.11 | \$0.00 |
| 524 | 3504 | Rotich | Emertha | \$1,336.67 | \$197.03 | \$1,533.69 | \$1,336.67 | \$0.00 |
| 525 | 3912 | Rousseau | James | \$657.44 | \$96.91 | \$754.35 | \$657.44 | \$0.00 |
| 526 | 3693 | Ruby | Melissa | \$265.99 | \$39.21 | \$305.20 | \$265.99 | \$0.00 |
| 527 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | \$586.19 | \$86.41 | \$672.60 | \$586.19 | \$0.00 |
| 528 | 3875 | Russell | Darrell | \$657.42 | \$96.91 | \$754.33 | \$657.42 | \$0.00 |
| 529 | 3944 | Sadler | James | \$82.91 | \$12.22 | \$95.13 | \$82.91 | \$0.00 |
| 530 | 3323 | Saevitz | Neil | \$278.09 | \$40.99 | \$319.08 | \$278.09 | \$0.00 |
| 531 | 3169 | Salameh | George | \$1,081.12 | \$159.36 | \$1,240.48 | \$1,641.37 | (\$560.25) |
| 532 | 3042 | Saleh | Jemal | \$4,948.30 | \$729.40 | \$5,677.69 | \$4,948.30 | \$0.00 |
| 533 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | \$625.84 | \$92.25 | \$718.09 | \$625.84 | \$0.00 |
| 534 | 21811 | Sameli | Sabino | \$921.22 | \$135.79 | \$1,057.01 | \$921.22 | \$0.00 |
| 535 | 100128 | Sampson | James | \$644.31 | \$94.97 | \$739.28 | \$644.31 | \$0.00 |
| 536 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ramos | Natasha | \$288.44 | \$42.52 | \$330.96 | \$288.44 | \$0.00 |
| 537 | 3570 | Sanders | Acy | \$737.61 | \$108.73 | \$846.33 | \$737.61 | \$0.00 |
| 538 | 29769 | Sans | Thomas | \$769.01 | \$113.35 | \$882.36 | \$769.01 | \$0.00 |
| 539 | 3915 | Sapienza | Gino | \$261.74 | \$38.58 | \$300.32 | \$261.74 | \$0.00 |
| 540 | 3648 | Saravanos | John | \$5,143.32 | \$758.15 | \$5,901.46 | \$5,143.32 | \$0.00 |
| 541 | 26687 | Sargeant | Michael | \$164.64 | \$24.27 | \$188.91 | \$164.64 | \$0.00 |
| 542 | 105273 | Sayed | Jamil | \$645.44 | \$95.14 | \$740.58 | \$904.94 | (\$259.50) |
| 543 | 106913 | Schraeder | Scott | \$569.96 | \$84.01 | \$653.98 | \$569.96 | \$0.00 |
| 544 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | \$2,110.35 | \$311.07 | \$2,421.42 | \$2,110.35 | \$0.00 |
| 545 | 29172 | Schwartz | George | \$601.41 | \$88.65 | \$690.06 | \$601.41 | \$0.00 |

PA 0732

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 546 | 3313 | Schwartz | Steven | \$2,316.43 | \$341.45 | \$2,657.88 | \$2,316.43 | \$0.00 |
| 547 | 109028 | Secondo | Muridi | \$391.43 | \$57.70 | \$449.12 | \$391.43 | \$0.00 |
| 548 | 3536 | Sedgwick | Anthony | \$129.38 | \$19.07 | \$148.45 | \$129.38 | \$0.00 |
| 549 | 3134 | Serio | John | \$766.46 | \$112.98 | \$879.43 | \$1,119.04 | (\$352.58) |
| 550 | 3057 | Serrano | Hector | \$1,692.22 | \$249.44 | \$1,941.65 | \$2,188.03 | (\$495.81) |
| 551 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | \$136.93 | \$20.18 | \$157.11 | \$390.65 | (\$253.72) |
| 552 | 3879 | Sexner | Alexis | \$955.88 | \$140.90 | \$1,096.77 | \$1,075.72 | (\$119.84) |
| 553 | 19451 | Shafiei | Abdolreza | \$552.17 | \$81.39 | \$633.56 | \$552.17 | \$0.00 |
| 554 | 2899 | Shallufa | Azmy | \$5,575.23 | \$821.81 | \$6,397.04 | \$6,060.24 | (\$485.01) |
| 555 | 3619 | Shein | Efraim | \$304.28 | \$44.85 | \$349.13 | \$304.28 | \$0.00 |
| 556 | 103821 | Sherman | Jason | \$214.72 | \$31.65 | \$246.37 | \$214.72 | \$0.00 |
| 557 | 3724 | Shinn | Kevin | \$463.14 | \$68.27 | \$531.41 | \$463.14 | \$0.00 |
| 558 | 3790 | Shoyombo | Rilwan | \$1,426.49 | \$210.27 | \$1,636.76 | \$1,833.70 | (\$407.21) |
| 559 | 3803 | Siasat | Manuel | \$32.38 | \$4.77 | \$37.15 | \$32.38 | \$0.00 |
| 560 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | \$294.20 | \$43.37 | \$337.56 | \$294.20 | \$0.00 |
| 561 | 3758 | Siegel | Jeffrey | \$91.32 | \$13.46 | \$104.78 | \$91.32 | \$0.00 |
| 562 | 105863 | Siljkovic | Becir | \$1,854.68 | \$273.39 | \$2,128.06 | \$2,017.09 | (\$162.41) |
| 563 | 23388 | Simmons | John | \$202.71 | \$29.88 | \$232.59 | \$1,215.13 | (\$1,012.42) |
| 564 | 3524 | Sinay | Abraham | \$234.31 | \$34.54 | \$268.85 | \$234.31 | \$0.00 |
| 565 | 3677 | Singh | Baldev | \$180.81 | \$26.65 | \$207.47 | \$180.81 | \$0.00 |
| 566 | 3683 | Sitotaw | Haileab | \$118.59 | \$17.48 | \$136.06 | \$118.59 | \$0.00 |
| 567 | 2630 | Smale | Charles | \$935.99 | \$137.97 | \$1,073.96 | \$935.99 | \$0.00 |
| 568 | 3870 | Smith | Jepthy | \$284.41 | \$41.92 | \$326.33 | \$484.69 | (\$200.28) |
| 569 | 3041 | Smith | Lottie | \$3,051.10 | \$449.74 | \$3,500.84 | \$3,051.10 | \$0.00 |
| 570 | 3610 | Smith Jr. | Willie | \$1,287.44 | \$189.77 | \$1,477.21 | \$2,123.86 | (\$836.42) |
| 571 | 2667 | Solares | John | \$453.45 | \$66.84 | \$520.29 | \$453.45 | \$0.00 |
| 572 | 3643 | Solis | Brigido | \$174.25 | \$25.69 | \$199.94 | \$174.25 | \$0.00 |
| 573 | 22804 | Solymar | Istvan | \$303.84 | \$44.79 | \$348.63 | \$303.84 | \$0.00 |
| 574 | 3854 | Soree | Mladen | \$1,445.54 | \$213.08 | \$1,658.62 | \$1,445.54 | \$0.00 |
| 575 | 105304 | Sorkin | Jack | \$336.28 | \$49.57 | \$385.85 | \$336.28 | \$0.00 |
| 576 | 3770 | Sorrosa | Juan | \$1,888.94 | \$278.44 | \$2,167.38 | \$2,214.82 | (\$325.88) |
| 577 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | \$118.06 | \$17.40 | \$135.46 | \$403.15 | (\$285.09) |
| 578 | 3797 | Soto | Johnny | \$196.46 | \$28.96 | \$225.41 | \$352.89 | (\$156.43) |
| 579 | 3727 | Sparks | Cody | \$19.56 | \$2.88 | \$22.45 | \$19.56 | \$0.00 |
| 580 | 3845 | Spaulding | Ross | \$244.25 | \$36.00 | \$280.25 | \$244.25 | \$0.00 |
| 581 | 3055 | Spilmon | Mark | \$4,644.48 | \$684.62 | \$5,329.10 | \$5,281.80 | (\$637.32) |
| 582 | 3481 | Springer | Marvin | \$852.53 | \$125.67 | \$978.20 | \$852.53 | \$0.00 |
| 583 | 111364 | Stanley | John | \$286.26 | \$42.20 | \$328.46 | \$286.26 | \$0.00 |
| 584 | 3821 | Stauff | John | \$113.93 | \$16.79 | \$130.72 | \$113.93 | \$0.00 |
| 585 | 3737 | Stayton | William | \$119.03 | \$17.55 | \$136.57 | \$119.03 | \$0.00 |
| 586 | 109013 | Stearns | Thomas | \$528.37 | \$77.88 | \$606.25 | \$528.37 | \$0.00 |
| 587 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | \$5,829.47 | \$859.29 | \$6,688.75 | \$6,511.90 | (\$682.43) |
| 588 | 3625 | Stephanov | Liuben | \$219.81 | \$32.40 | \$252.21 | \$398.92 | (\$179.11) |
| 589 | 3695 | Stern | Robert | \$292.29 | \$43.08 | \$335.37 | \$292.29 | \$0.00 |
| 590 | 3165 | Stevenson | John | \$1,702.39 | \$250.94 | \$1,953.33 | \$1,702.39 | \$0.00 |
| 591 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | \$1,336.84 | \$197.06 | \$1,533.89 | \$1,336.84 | \$0.00 |
| 592 | 3713 | Stonebreaker | Dawn | \$1,992.26 | \$293.67 | \$2,285.92 | \$2,489.85 | (\$497.59) |
| 593 | 102400 | Talley | George | \$301.76 | \$44.48 | \$346.24 | \$301.76 | \$0.00 |
| 594 | 112063 | Tapia-Vergara | Agustin | \$587.64 | \$86.62 | \$674.26 | \$587.64 | \$0.00 |
| 595 | 3338 | Tarragano | Stephen | \$675.03 | \$99.50 | \$774.54 | \$675.03 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 596 | 111807 | Taylor | Brent | \$632.29 | \$93.20 | \$725.49 | \$632.29 | \$0.00 |
| 597 | 109745 | Taylor | David | \$324.21 | \$47.79 | \$372.00 | \$324.21 | \$0.00 |
| 598 | 31977 | Taylor | Marvin | \$714.56 | \$105.33 | \$819.89 | \$714.56 | \$0.00 |
| 599 | 3728 | Tedros | Biserat | \$405.38 | \$59.75 | \$465.13 | \$588.25 | (\$182.87) |
| 600 | 3720 | Terry | James | \$937.23 | \$138.15 | \$1,075.38 | \$937.23 | \$0.00 |
| 601 | 31400 | Thomas | Cator | \$427.93 | \$63.08 | \$491.01 | \$427.93 | \$0.00 |
| 602 | 104732 | Thomas | Hasan | \$247.81 | \$36.53 | \$284.34 | \$247.81 | \$0.00 |
| 603 | 3726 | Thomas | Scott | \$2,673.14 | \$394.03 | \$3,067.17 | \$2,673.14 | \$0.00 |
| 604 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | \$2,921.34 | \$430.62 | \$3,351.95 | \$2,921.34 | \$0.00 |
| 605 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | \$6,744.25 | \$994.13 | \$7,738.38 | \$7,044.25 | (\$300.00) |
| 606 | 29040 | Timko | Robert | \$224.07 | \$33.03 | \$257.09 | \$224.07 | \$0.00 |
| 607 | 110796 | Toka | Tamas | \$445.88 | \$65.72 | \$511.60 | \$445.88 | \$0.00 |
| 608 | 22120 | Travis | Brian | \$753.92 | \$111.13 | \$865.05 | \$1,472.90 | (\$718.98) |
| 609 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | \$211.10 | \$31.12 | \$242.22 | \$211.10 | \$0.00 |
| 610 | 103413 | Tsegaye | Miheret | \$51.23 | \$7.55 | \$58.78 | \$51.23 | \$0.00 |
| 611 | 3207 | Tucker | Kenlon | \$2,786.14 | \$410.69 | \$3,196.83 | \$2,786.14 | \$0.00 |
| 612 | 3679 | Tullao | Isaac | \$411.83 | \$60.71 | \$472.54 | \$411.83 | \$0.00 |
| 613 | 3880 | Turner | Michael | \$39.72 | \$5.86 | \$45.58 | \$39.72 | \$0.00 |
| 614 | 3686 | Tyler | Christopher | \$267.85 | \$39.48 | \$307.33 | \$267.85 | \$0.00 |
| 615 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | \$201.50 | \$29.70 | \$231.20 | \$201.50 | \$0.00 |
| 616 | 3612 | Ullah | Mohammad | \$90.03 | \$13.27 | \$103.30 | \$90.03 | \$0.00 |
| 617 | 3073 | Urban | David | \$102.49 | \$15.11 | \$117.60 | \$102.49 | \$0.00 |
| 618 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | \$1,411.23 | \$208.02 | \$1,619.25 | \$1,411.23 | \$0.00 |
| 619 | 3668 | Valdes | Lazaro | \$162.21 | \$23.91 | \$186.12 | \$162.21 | \$0.00 |
| 620 | 3640 | Vanluven | RJ | \$1,726.16 | \$254.44 | \$1,980.60 | \$1,726.16 | \$0.00 |
| 621 | 3710 | Vences | Alfredo | \$839.90 | \$123.81 | \$963.71 | \$839.90 | \$0.00 |
| 622 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | \$2,051.73 | \$302.43 | \$2,354.16 | \$2,369.87 | (\$318.14) |
| 623 | 3682 | VonEngel | Stephen | \$29.89 | \$4.41 | \$34.30 | \$29.89 | \$0.00 |
| 624 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | \$2,710.64 | \$399.56 | \$3,110.20 | \$2,710.64 | \$0.00 |
| 625 | 109475 | Vonkageler | Mark | \$130.27 | \$19.20 | \$149.48 | \$130.27 | \$0.00 |
| 626 | 3842 | Wagg | John | \$221.46 | \$32.64 | \$254.10 | \$221.46 | \$0.00 |
| 627 | 3776 | Wakeel | Daud | \$679.94 | \$100.23 | \$780.16 | \$679.94 | \$0.00 |
| 628 | 28448 | Walker | Arthur | \$114.57 | \$16.89 | \$131.46 | \$114.57 | \$0.00 |
| 629 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | \$3,681.35 | \$542.65 | \$4,224.00 | \$3,681.35 | \$0.00 |
| 630 | 3766 | Warner | Terrance | \$1,694.50 | \$249.78 | \$1,944.27 | \$2,356.86 | (\$662.36) |
| 631 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | \$3,791.56 | \$558.89 | \$4,350.45 | \$5,428.88 | (\$1,637.32) |
| 632 | 3826 | Webb | Ricky | \$624.58 | \$92.07 | \$716.64 | \$923.04 | (\$298.46) |
| 633 | 109066 | Webster | Brock | \$254.41 | \$37.50 | \$291.91 | \$254.41 | \$0.00 |
| 634 | 3578 | Weiss | Matthew | \$60.25 | \$8.88 | \$69.13 | \$60.25 | \$0.00 |
| 635 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | \$849.94 | \$125.28 | \$975.22 | \$972.84 | (\$122.90) |
| 636 | 3632 | Weldu | Berhane | \$266.45 | \$39.28 | \$305.73 | \$266.45 | \$0.00 |
| 637 | 3616 | Welzbacher | Daniel | \$2,367.50 | \$348.98 | \$2,716.47 | \$2,789.72 | (\$422.22) |
| 638 | 111878 | White II | Prinest | \$153.22 | \$22.59 | \$175.81 | \$153.22 | \$0.00 |
| 639 | 3611 | Williams | Danny | \$273.88 | \$40.37 | \$314.25 | \$273.88 | \$0.00 |
| 640 | 3608 | Wilson Jr. | Mose | \$3,332.43 | \$491.21 | \$3,823.64 | \$3,332.43 | \$0.00 |
| 641 | 3947 | Wing | Roland | \$81.95 | \$12.08 | \$94.04 | \$81.95 | \$0.00 |
| 642 | 107624 | Witte | Daniel | \$228.39 | \$33.67 | \$262.05 | \$228.39 | \$0.00 |
| 643 | 3623 | Wolde | Hailemariam | \$385.93 | \$56.89 | \$442.81 | \$385.93 | \$0.00 |
| 644 | 3603 | Woldeghebriel | Berhane | \$1,037.22 | \$152.89 | \$1,190.11 | \$1,037.22 | \$0.00 |
| 645 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | \$726.91 | \$107.15 | \$834.06 | \$726.91 | \$0.00 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 646 | 3840 | Wondired | Eshetu | \$423.24 | \$62.39 | \$485.63 | \$423.24 | \$0.00 |
| 647 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | \$2,325.07 | \$342.72 | \$2,667.79 | \$2,325.07 | \$0.00 |
| 648 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | \$1,115.61 | \$164.45 | \$1,280.06 | \$1,115.61 | \$0.00 |
| 649 | 3706 | Woodall | Charles | \$610.19 | \$89.94 | \$700.13 | \$610.19 | \$0.00 |
| 650 | 3582 | Workneh | Abent | \$36.29 | \$5.35 | \$41.63 | \$36.29 | \$0.00 |
| 651 | 3573 | Worku | Abiye | \$253.73 | \$37.40 | \$291.13 | \$253.73 | \$0.00 |
| 652 | 108239 | Wright | Edward | \$744.31 | \$109.71 | \$854.02 | \$744.31 | \$0.00 |
| 653 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | \$3,163.13 | \$466.26 | \$3,629.39 | \$3,284.17 | (\$121.04) |
| 654 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | \$3,089.15 | \$455.35 | \$3,544.50 | \$3,089.15 | \$0.00 |
| 655 | 3852 | Yepiz-Patron | Ubaldo | \$18.78 | \$2.77 | \$21.54 | \$18.78 | \$0.00 |
| 656 | 3472 | Yesayan | Razmik | \$23.30 | \$3.43 | \$26.73 | \$23.30 | \$0.00 |
| 657 | 3691 | Yihdego | Abdulkadir | \$642.61 | \$94.72 | \$737.33 | \$642.61 | \$0.00 |
| 658 | 3633 | Yimer | Yidersal | \$643.72 | \$94.89 | \$738.61 | \$643.72 | \$0.00 |
| 659 | 2081 | Younes | Ahmed | \$228.31 | \$33.65 | \$261.96 | \$228.31 | \$0.00 |
| 660 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | \$2,395.57 | \$353.12 | \$2,748.69 | \$2,395.57 | \$0.00 |
| 661 | 3824 | Zabadneh | Randa | \$167.13 | \$24.64 | \$191.77 | \$167.13 | \$0.00 |
| 662 | 30374 | Zafar | John | \$605.99 | \$89.33 | \$695.32 | \$605.99 | \$0.00 |
| 663 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | \$1,254.40 | \$184.90 | \$1,439.30 | \$1,254.40 | \$0.00 |
| 664 | 17936 | Zekichev | Nick | \$324.17 | \$47.78 | \$371.95 | \$324.17 | \$0.00 |
| 665 | 3235 | Zeleke | Abraham | \$412.94 | \$60.87 | \$473.81 | \$1,003.66 | (\$590.72) |

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 

RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB SERIES LLC formerly known as A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Dept.: 2
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF MODIFIED
AWARD OF PRE-JUDGMENT ATTORNEY'S FEES AS PROVIDED FOR BY REMITTITUR

Plaintiffs, through their attorneys, Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation, hereby submit this motion for the entry of a modified award of pre-judgment attorney's fees, as originally awarded by the Court's Order of February 6, 2019, and modified as as directed by the Nevada Supreme Court's Opinion in this case issued on December 30, 2021, 501 P.3d 961, 137 Nev. Adv. Op. 84.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES


#### Abstract

A small (5\% or less) modification of the $\$ \mathbf{5 6 8 , 0 7 1}$ award of attorney's fees originally made is proper under the Nevada Supreme Court's Opinion as only a very minor component of that original award was changed by the appeal.


Defendants prevailed on appeal on only one issue for which attorney's fees were originally awarded by the Court: whether a statute of limitations toll was appropriate in this case. ${ }^{1}$ The Supreme Court found this Court's $\$ 568,071$ fee award was reasonable based on the judgment and the record, rejecting A Cab's contrary claims, but because it modified the judgment by reversing solely on the statute of limitations toll issue it directed the fee award be reconsidered for reasonableness on that reversed issue. 501 P.3d at 975.

Unlike other activities in this case, such as successfully securing class action certification, compelling discovery, conducting extensive depositions and expert witness depositions and discovery, and securing summary judgment, the statute of limitations toll dispute was a very limited issue of law that consumed a negligible amount of attorney time and effort - less than 50 hours (less than 2.9\%) of a total of 1,738.5 attorney hours expended that this Court considered when arriving at its $\$ 568,071$ fee award. All of the other efforts by plaintiffs' counsel were necessary to the success of this case as affirmed on appeal and were properly awarded fees by the this Court. In compliance with the Supreme Court's Opinion, only a small reduction in the fees awarded previously, by no more than $3 \%$ (reduced by $\$ 17,042$ to $\$ 551,029$ ) and certainly less than $5 \%$ ( $\$ 28,404$ would be a $5 \%$ reduction of the attorney's fee award to $\$ 539,667$ ) is proper.
${ }^{1}$ The Supreme Court also reviewed and remanded with instructions it reconsider a post-judgment Order; the proceedings related to that post-judgment Order were not considered in the Court's award of attorney's fees for pre-judgment work.

## ARGUMENT

## I. This Court properly considered the pre-judgment attorney's fee award and is only to further consider its reasonableness as impacted by the appeal's reversal of its statute of limitations toll ruling.

If the district court had not erred in granting a statute of limitations toll, and awarding damages for that tolled period, the Supreme Court would have fully affirmed the attorney's fee award and no further examination on that issue would be conducted upon remand. 501 P .3 d at 975 . The examination to be conducted post-remand of that award concerns solely how the erroneous statute of limitations tolling decision impacted that award and the resulting appropriate modification. The entire fee award, and all of its components, and all of the issues bearing on that award, are not subject to re-examination.

## A. The Court's original award of attorney's fees was properly calculated and included as a very small component of the attorney work on the reversed statute of limitations tolling issue.

The Court granted plaintiffs' request for attorney's fees in a detailed Order making, as the Supreme Court agreed, all of the appropriate findings required by Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969) and subsequent cases. See, Order, at Ex. "A" hereto, p. 3, 1. 8 - p. 6, 1. 8. That Order did not award the maximum amount of attorney's fees proposed, but adopted the middle tier of the three different tiers, the "partial exclusion of hours" formulation proposed for an appropriate fee award. Id. at p. 2., 1. $9-$ p. 3., 1. 8, p. 8, 1. 20-24. That award was based on an hourly lodestar rate of $\$ 400$ an hour for senior counsel, $\$ 240$ for associate counsel, excluded certain billed hours entirely for fee award purposes, credited travel time at $50 \%$ of those hourly rates, and then applied a further across the board $10 \%$ discount on the resulting fee. Id. See, also, Ex. "B" Motion for Attorney's fees filed October 12, 2018, detailing the fee request adopted by the Court. As the Court found in its Order, defendants failed to provide any meaningful information contesting the plaintiffs' calculated fee award, the hourly rates used to calculate that award, or the
time expenditures that were claimed to justify that award. See, Order, Ex. "A," p. 5, 1. 27 - p. 6, 1. 8.

Plaintiffs original fee award included detailed declarations setting forth the hours of attorney work expended in this case prior to judgment along with the exclusion of certain recorded hours from that fee award. See, Declaration of Leon Greenberg and Christian Gabroy, Ex. "A" and "B" to Motion (copy at Ex. "B" hereto) for An Award of Attorney's Fees filed October 12, 2018.

To allow this Court to comply with the Supreme Court's instructions, plaintiffs' counsel has reviewed their time records for the $1,738.5$ attorney hours that were considered by the Court in making its original $\$ 568,071$ award under its "partial exclusion of hours" analysis. The use of $1,738.5$ hours for that fee award is confirmed in Ex. "B" hereto (the original fee motion, declaration of Leon Greenberg at Ex. "A" thereto, p. 6, 1. 25-27, declaration of Christian Gabroy at Ex. "B" thereto p, 4, 1. 23 - p. $5,1.3$.). A review of those time records indicates that perhaps $3 \%$, and certainly less than $5 \%$, of the prior attorney fee award was based upon attorney work on the now reversed statute of limitation tolling issue. This is discussed in the annexed Ex. "C" declaration of Leon Greenberg, confirming that:

- All work on the statute of limitations tolling issue was performed by Leon Greenberg, and such work consumed less than 50 hours of his 1,190 attorney hours that were used to support the prior fee award of $\$ 568,071$; and
- The statute of limitation tolling issue was not worked on (briefed via a cross- motion made by plaintiffs) until December 7, 2016, after such counsel had already expended 456 hours on this litigation.
An examination of the record of this case also confirms the amount of time and effort devoted by plaintiffs' counsel to the statute of limitations tolling issue was a
quite small proportion of this case. The issue was presented by plaintiffs' counsel on a cross-motion filed on December 8, 2016, as part of their opposition to a motion for judgment on the pleadings. That cross-motion was five pages long with 35 pages of exhibits, consisting of a one and half page long declaration from an A Cab taxi driver; two pages of deposition testimony; a two page official notice posted from the Nevada Labor Commissioner, and a notice of deposition. No further briefs were prepared by plaintiffs on that issue. That cross-motion was heard on May 18, 2017, at a hearing that was mostly consumed with a pending summary judgment motion and other issues, such hearing lasting four hours and generating a 130 page transcript (that is in the record) with 28 pages (from pages 5 to 33 ) consumed arguing that issue - less than one hour of oral argument time. The resulting Order entered on June 17, 2017, and drafted by plaintiffs' counsel, was four pages long, with an exhibit consisting of an 11 page computer generated list of names of plaintiffs with statute of limitations toll dates.


## B. An appropriate reduction of the attorney's fee award would be $3 \%$, or less, and no basis exists to reduce that award by even as much as $5 \%$.

As the Supreme Court held, and as the plaintiffs' counsel recognizes, to the extent the Court's prior attorney's fee award compensated plaintiffs' counsel for time expended on the erroneously ruled upon statute of limitations toll issue, such compensation was not appropriate. As discussed, that compensation was not even 3\% of that award, and a reduction in that fee award, that in every other respect was fully proper and is not appropriately re-examined, should equal that $3 \%$ amount, reducing the prior award of $\$ 568,071$ by $\$ 17,042$ to $\$ 551,029$. If the Court were to take a more "round number" approach to that issue (and it should not) a $5 \%$ reduction of that fee award - an amount far in excess to the portion of that award properly attributed to the statute of limitations toll issue - would be $\$ 28,404$, resulting in a reduced prejudgment attorney's fee award of \$539,667.

## II. The revised attorney's fee award must accrue post- judgment interest from the date of the original award, February 6, 2019.

Via a motion to the Supreme Court plaintiffs sought confirmation that the modified judgment and attorney's fee award would accrue post-judgment interest from the dates of their original entry, pursuant to instructions issued under NRAP 37(b). The Supreme Court, in its Order of February 3, 2022, held those instructions were unnecessary because a modified award is deemed to be an "affirmation" of the portion of the award that was unmodified, citing Schiff v. Winchell, 237 P.3d 99, 101 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2010), and NRAP 37(a), meaning post-judgment interest is paid from the date of the award's original entry. Ex. "D" hereto, Order of February 3, 2022.

The revised award of pre-judgment attorney's fees must accrue post-judgment interest as of February 6,2019 , the date of the original reward, as held by the Nevada Supreme Court.

## CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, plaintiffs' motion should be granted.

Dated: February 16, 2022
LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.
/s/ Leon Greenberg
Leon Greenberg, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8094
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3 Las Vegas, NV 89146
Tel (702) 383-6085
Attorney for the Class

## PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on February 16, 2022, she served the within:

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF MODIFIED AWARD OF PREJUDGMENT ATTORNEY'S FEES AS PROVIDED FOR BY REMITTITUR
by court electronic service to:
TO:
Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Jay A. Shafer, Esq.
PREMIER LEGAL GROUP
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210
Las Vegas, NV 89128
/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

## EXHIBIT "A"

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 8094
DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 11715
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
2965 South Jones Boulevard - Suite E3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
(702) 383-6085
(702) 385-1827 (fax)
leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com
dana@overtimelaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C
DEPT.: I

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS PURSUANT TO NRCP 54 AND THE NEVADA CONSTITUTION

This motion came before the Court for a chambers decision on November 15, 2018. Via a Minute Order entered on November 29, 2018, the Court set the motion for a decision announcement on December 4, 2018, when the parties were set to appear for hearing on an unrelated motion. After reviewing the arguments submitted by the parties in respect to plaintiffs' motion, the Court grants plaintiffs' motion, to the extent indicated in this Order, and finds as follows:

## A. Attorney's Fees

Plaintiffs' motion sought an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Article 15, Section 16(B) of the Nevada Constitution which states "[a]n employee
who prevails in any action to enforce this section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs." Plaintiffs previously secured a judgment in excess of one million dollars for over 900 members of the certified class of plaintiffs via the Court's order of August 21, 2018. The Order further granted class counsel 60 days after notice of entry of that Order to apply for an award of fees and costs. Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys Fees and Costs was filed on October 12, 2018, and the Court finds such motion was timely filed in compliance with the Court's August 21, 2018 Order.

The motion laid out three separate formulations under which the Court was asked to evaluate the request for fees and costs. The first formulation offered by the plaintiffs was the "aggregate hours" formulation, under which plaintiffs sought attorneys' fees based upon their counsel's recorded attorney hours expended upon litigating this matter (minus time for which plaintiffs' counsel has already received fees from the defendants pursuant to a prior sanctions order, and minus time expended upon two claims that did not proceed to judgment) and for which plaintiffs' counsel built in an across-the-board $10 \%$ discount. Under that scenario, plaintiffs were seeking a total attorneys' fee award of $\$ 626,481.00$.

Under the second alternative formulation, the "partial exclusion of hours" formulation, plaintiffs sought an award of fees that excluded for fee purposes recorded attorney hours that defendants could colorably argue were not spent exclusively on activities germane to the litigation or that defendants would argue were unnecessary, or not of great utility or efficiency, or that concerned issues never fully resolved in the litigation. They also eliminated any associate attorney time for appearances at depositions and court hearings for which lead counsel was also present. They further built in an across-the-board $10 \%$ discount. Under that scenario, plaintiffs were seeking a total attorneys' fee award of $\$ 568,071.00$.

Under the third alternative formulation, the "presumptive exclusion of hours" formulation, plaintiffs sought an award of fees based upon an exclusion of time expenditures that, in any significant measure, defendants would presumptively argue should not be included in the fee award, such as time devoted to settlement and mediation efforts (as no settlement or mediated resolution was achieved). They further built in an across-the-board $10 \%$ discount. Under that scenario, plaintiffs were seeking a total attorneys' fee award of $\$ 527,571.00$.

The Court is satisfied that plaintiffs' counsel, through their sworn declarations, have set forth a reasonable basis for an award of fees under the factors set forth in Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969) as re-affirmed by Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.--124 P.3d 530, 549 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2005). The Court makes the following findings addressing the four relevant considerations established by Brunzell that it must examine in arriving at an appropriate attorney fee award, along with exercising its discretion in calculating that award in a fair and reasonable manner. See, Shuette, id, citing Brunzell and University of Nevada v. Tarkanian, 879 P.2d 1180, 1188, 1186 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 1994).

The first Brunzell consideration is the professional qualities demonstrated by plaintiffs' counsel. The majority of attorney hours detailed in plaintiffs' motion for an attorney fee award and for which compensation is sought, and ultimately awarded by the Court, was performed by Leon Greenberg. Such counsel has demonstrated that he has over 25 years of litigation experience. Such experience includes handling other class action claims seeking unpaid wages owed to employees, including class action claims involving unpaid minimum wages, the issue in this case. The professional experience and qualities of such counsel is also confirmed by their appellate advocacy, most importantly their success in the appeal in Thomas v. Nevada Yellow Cab 327 P.3d 518 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2014), such appeal establishing the basis for the minimum wage claim made in this case. The Court has also extensively personally
observed the quality of the advocacy by Leon Greenberg and the other counsel for plaintiffs in this case and finds such advocacy was of a high quality. Such counsel's performance has -been more than adequate. They have presented the Court with appropriate written briefings and demonstrated, both in those submissions and during their oral advocacy, a level of competence, understanding of the relevant legal issues, and professional performance, that is at least equal to the norm of counsel appearing before the Court.

The second Brunzell consideration is the character of the work performed by plaintiffs' counsel, considering such factors as its intricacy, importance, and the time and skill it has required. The work performed by plaintiffs' counsel required a high level of intricacy and attention to detail. While class action litigation is not particularly common, and is not handled by most litigation attorneys, this case also posed substantial additional and difficult litigation issues besides its class action nature. Plaintiffs' counsel had to formulate a means to present -damages claims in different amounts for hundreds of class members. Unlike some class action cases, this case did not involve a single set amount of damages, if liability was established, for every single class member. Plaintiffs' counsel had to work closely with a skilled computer data analyst (Charles Bass) and expert economist (Dr. Terrence Clauretie) to present an appropriate formulation of the class members' damages for the rendering of a judgment in this case. Plaintiffs' counsel also was confronted with addressing legal issues raised by the relative newness, and not substantially litigated, minimum wage amendment to the Nevada Constitution that was only enacted in 2006. Defendants exerted considerable vigor, at times to an improper extent as demonstrated by the Court's sanction order of March 4, 2016 imposing sanctions of $\$ 3,238.95$, in opposing the plaintiffs' discovery efforts in this litigation. Defendants also opposed class certification and otherwise strongly defended this litigation. The work performed by plaintiffs' counsel was of great importance to the plaintiffs' success in this case. It was
also of presumptively great public importance, as the rights sought to be vindicated by the plaintiffs are secured directly by Nevada's Constitution. In sum, the Court finds that the character, intricacy, difficulty and importance of the work performed by plaintiffs' counsel was far above that of a typical litigation matter.

The third Brunzell consideration is the work actually performed by plaintiffs' counsel, and the skill, time and attention actually given to that work (this overlaps to some extent with the second consideration). The Court has observed a very high level of competence and skill exercised by plaintiffs' counsel in the performance of the work necessary to the successful prosecution of this case. As discussed in their sworn declarations submitted to the Court, such counsel has also demonstrated the number of hours that they have devoted to this litigation, a very significant amount of time. Such time expenditures, in excess of 1,000 hours from the commencement of this litigation through judgment, combined with the skillful performance of that very detailed work, supports the fee awarded.

The fourth Brunzell consideration is the result secured and the benefits derived from the efforts of plaintiffs' counsel. That result was substantial, the entry of a judgment in excess of $\$ 1,000,000$ on behalf of 890 persons owed unpaid minimum wages. Such a benefit is also best evaluated not just in respect to its sheer monetary size, but its advancement of an important public policy goal, the payment of minimum wages under Nevada's Constitution; to a large group of persons. Absent the considerable efforts of the plaintiffs' counsel, that benefit would not have been secured to such persons.

In rendering the fee award made by this Order the Court also finds that the hourly rates used by plaintiffs' counsel in proposing the fee to be awarded, a rate of $\$ 400$ for their senior counsel Leon Greenberg and lesser amounts for their other counsel, were justified, reasonable and appropriate. The Court also believes the attorney's fee proposed by plaintiffs' counsel is, at least to some implicit extent,
rendered reasonable by defendants' failure to provide any form of meaningful, quantified, information contesting plaintiffs' counsel's calculations and fee award claims. Defendants have provided the Court with no information concerning the hourly rates charged by their counsel or the attorney's fees they have incurred in litigating this matter. Nor have defendants contested the appropriateness of the hourly fee rates upon which plaintiffs' counsel rely or contested with any specificity their overall stated time expenditures.
-The Court is further satisfied that plaintiffs' counsel, as confirmed by their counsel, Leon Greenberg, in open court on December 4, 2018, will not and cannot, by virtue of this Court's final judgment, counsel's retainer agreements with the named plaintiffs, and Rule 23, seek to obtain additional attorneys' fees from any money that has been or will be collected for the class members in satisfaction of the judgment, absent a further order of this Court being issued authorizing the same. Finally, the Court is satisfied that plaintiffs' fee request is based upon plaintiffs' counsel's contemporaneously recorded hours and the Court will not require plaintiffs' counsel to disclose in the record their time notes. Accordingly, the Court finds an appropriate fee award should be based under plaintiffs' second formulation, the "partial exclusion of hours" formulation. Thus, the Court awards plaintiffs' counsel, pursuant to the mandatory fee-shifting provision of Article 15, Section 16 of the Nevada Constitution, $\$ 568,071.00$ in attorneys' fees.

## B. Costs

With respect to plaintiffs' request for a costs award totaling $\$ 46,528.07$, the Court also finds such an award is proper.

Defendants' argue that costs must be denied because Plaintiffs are seeking in excess of $\$ 29,000$ for experts who were never utilized, but more so were subject to being stricken as having not met the required standards for admissibility, citing to Defendants Motion in Limine to Exclude Plaintiffs' Experts.

First, the Court will note that the Court was prepared to DENY Defendants motion holding that the court is satisfied that (1) Charles Bass and Terrence Claurite have the requisite knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education to express expert opinions on the Plaintiff's model; (2) their testimony as to the reliability of the model, and the propriety of using such a model in the instant case, would assist the trier of fact in determining whether and to what extent wages are owed to the class members; (3) is appropriately limited in scope to each of their areas of expertise; (4) is based upon sufficiently reliable methodology; and (5) is largely based on particularized facts.

In post summary judgment proceedings Defendants continue to allege they were blindsided by the Court's appointing a Special Master and subsequent granting of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, as evident once again by their citation to their Motion in Limine. The Court will take this opportunity to explain to the Defendants the course and reasoning of the December and January proceedings.

The Court heard Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on December 14, 2017. The Court GRANTED that motion to the extent Plaintiff has established liability. Thereafter, Plaintiff filed "Plaintiffs' Supplement in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment" arguing that damages and liability are inextricably related. Defendants' also filed their Motion for Summary Judgment on November 27, 2017, and heard on January 2, 2018. Other motions before the Court in the end of December 2017 and early January 2018 included Plaintiffs' Motion to Place Evidentiary burden on Defendant, Plaintiffs' motion to bifurcate or limit issues at trial, Defendants' objection to the Discovery Commissioners Report and

Recommendation, both Defendants' and Plaintiffs' motions in limine, Defendants' Supplement regarding the January 2, 2018 hearing, both sides Objections pursuant to 16.1(3), and Plaintiffs' motions to strike affirmative defenses. It was upon review of all of these motions that the Court found that liability and damages were indeed inextricably related. That is precisely why the Court gave Defendants' one more opportunity to present evidence which would rebut that liability, and yet they could not.

It was in preparation of those pretrial motions that the Court inquired into what evidence would be submitted and presented at trial. In Defendants' Motion in Limine, Defendants argued that Plaintiffs' experts methodology was unreliable because it calculated damages derived from inaccurate information, despite Plaintiffs' experts using information consisting of computer data files provided by A Cab. Defendants' argued at that time that the Tripsheets were the only accurate information. That is precisely why this Court appointed a special master, who expended more than $\$ 85,000$ to review Tripsheets which did not comply with NRS 608.115, to make a determination on a precise calculation of hours. Defendants continued to use their noncompliance with the record keeping statute as both a sword and a shield. That is when this Court decided to apply the reasoning of the United States Supreme Court in Anderson v. Mt. Clemens Pottery Co., 328 U.S. 680 (1946), which stated that "the employer cannot be heard to complain that the damages lack the exactness of measurement that would be possible had he kept records..." Id. at 687.

Contrary to the Defendants' assertions that the experts were never utilized, Plaintiffs' experts were necessary to this Court granting summary judgment. It was defendants' lack of evidence of the precise amount of work performed to negate the reasonabless of the inference to be drawn from the employees' evidence which warranted the granting of summary judgment. See Anderson v. Mt. Clemens Pottery Co., 328 U.S. 680, 687 (1946) ("The burden then shifts to the employer to come
forward with evidence of the precise amount of work performed or with evidence to negative the reasonableness of the inference to be drawn from the employee's evidence. If the employer fails to produce such evidence, the court may then award damages to the employee, even though the result be only approximate."). This Court gave defendants every opportunity to come forward with precise evidence, and they did not. They also failed to provide the initial $\$ 25,000$ deposit as ordered by this Court, so that the Special Master could provide more precision to the damages calculation by recourse to the trip sheets. Defendants might have a colorable argument against Plaintiff's expert costs had the Special Master completed his work regarding the Tripsheets, and had the trial proceeded on that basis. However, that is not the case here. Plaintiffs' experts were necessary and their expenses reasonable given the extent of the work performed in calculating damages based upon computer data information provided by ACAB . Therefore, the Court grants plaintiffs' request in its entirety and awards a total of \$46,528.07 in costs. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs pursuant to NRCP 54 and the Nevada Constitution is GRANTED to the extent specified in this Order in the total amount of \$614,599.07.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.



## EXHIBIT "B"

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094
DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ., SBN 11715

## DISTRICT COURT

 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADAMICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, through their attorneys, Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation, hereby move this Court pursuant to NRCP Rule 54, and Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution (the Minimum Wage Amendment or "MWA"). This Motion is made based upon the declarations of Leon Greenberg and Christian Gabroy, attorneys for the class, the attached exhibits, and the other papers and pleadings on file herein.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys of record, will bring the foregoing Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys Fees and Costs as per NRCP Rule 54 and the Nevada Constitution which was filed in the above-entitled case, for hearing before the Honorable Kenneth Cory on 11-15-18 , 2018, at the hour of $\qquad$ .

Dated: October 12, 2018
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
By:/s/ Leon Greenberg
Leon Greenberg, Esg.
Nevada Bar No.: 8094
2965 South Jones Boulevard - Suite E3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
(702) 383-6085

Attorney for Plaintiffs

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## BACKGROUND

The Court in its Order entered on August 21, 2018 granted plaintiffs leave until 60 days thereafter to submit their request for an award of attorneys' fees and costs. They are now submitting that request.

## AMOUNT TO BE AWARDED UNDER VARYING FORMULATIONS

The Court is familiar with the Brunzell approach to awarding attorney's fees and will use that approach, and its discretion, to fashion an appropriate fee award. The overriding requirement of Brunzell is that the Court award attorneys fees in a reasonable amount, although it has significant discretion in determining that amount as long as it properly considers the various factors discussed in Brunzell. To assist the Court in rendering such an award, plaintiffs' counsel have provided two detailed
declarations (Ex. "A" Leon Greenberg, Ex. "B" Christian Gabroy). Those declarations discuss the various Brunzell factors and present a fee request addressing all of those factors. They explain the nature of the attorney work performed, the time expended, and present three alternative means for the Court to calculate a proper fee award. It should be observed that every one of those fee award proposals already includes a discount on "attorney hours" of at least $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ in the fee calculated. None rely upon a "gross" presentation of all time records of the attorneys multiplied by an hourly rate. Those three scenarios propose a total fee award, for the efforts of by Leon Greenberg's and Christian Gabroy's law offices, of:
$\$ 626,481$ (The "aggregate hours" fee of Ex. "A" minus 10\%); or
\$568,071 (The "partial exclusion of hours" fee of Ex. "A" minus 10\%, also incorporating a reduction of associate hours discussed at Ex. "B" - 9); or
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 527,571 & \begin{array}{l}\text { (The "presumptive exclusion of hours" fee of Ex. "A" minus } \\ 10 \% \text {, also incorporating a reduction of associate hours } \\ \text { discussed at Ex. "B" } \| 9 \text { ). }\end{array}\end{array}$
It should also be noted that the total recovery in this case, with pre judgment interest, was $\$ 1,033,027$. If the Court was to award an attorney's fee based not upon a lodestar evaluation (attorney hours expended and rate per hour), but upon a fairly typical contingency fee rate of $40 \%$ of the amount recovered, an attorney's fee award would be $\$ 413,201$. While class counsel believes a greater fee should be awarded than that amount, in light of the extraordinary amount of time the prosecution of this case has consumed, and the risks of non-collection that they assumed, the Court may, under Nevada Supreme Court precedents, consider contingency fee percentages in awarding fees. See, O’Connell v. Wynn Las Vegas, 134 Nev. Adv. Rep. 67, 2018 Nev. App. LEXIS 6, holding that Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holding Corp., 124 P.3d 530,

549 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2005) authorizes an award of attorney's fees in appropriate cases by reference to a contingency percentage fee, not attorney hours.

Plaintiffs also ask for an award of costs of $\$ 45,046.21$

## ARGUMENT

## I. THE COURT SHOULD AWARD ATTORNEYS FEES IN A FASHION THAT IS REASONABLE AND ALSO PROVIDES PROPER COMPENSATION FOR ATTORNEYS PURSUING MWA CLAIMS

The MWA states: "An employee who prevails in any action to enforce this section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs." This provision should be reasonably, vigorously, and liberally, construed in light of the compelling public purpose of the MWA. In this case, as extensively detailed in the declaration of Leon Greenberg, Esq., at Ex. "A," plaintiffs counsel have strived to present a reasonable fee award request that also adequately, and appropriately, compensates them for their very considerable work in this case.

Plaintiffs' counsel present appropriate, and likely "lower end," market hour rates for senior counsel time ( $\$ 400$ an hour) and associate attorney time ( $\$ 240$ an hour). Each of the three proposed alternative fee calculations impose an "across the board" discount of $10 \%$ on the fee calculated on the attorney hours referenced to ensure the requested fee is "reasonable." Plaintiffs' counsel oppose any classification of any of their presented hours of attorney time as "non-billable" and not subject to a fee award (though, again, they propose a $10 \%$ overall fee reduction that will also ensure any possible "over billed" work is not compensated). But to assist the Court, they have also examined their time hours and presented two scenarios using various "nonbillable time" assumptions that would reduce, significantly, their fee award.

Plaintiffs' counsel are not sure what more they can present to the Court to assist it in calculating their appropriate fee award. Their views on how a fee should be awarded, and their supporting documentation, is discussed in Exhibits "A" and "B."

The Court is well aware of the extremely protracted, and difficult, nature of this litigation, as well as defendants' near relentless, and vigorous, defense of this case at every stage. It is hoped such awareness will cause the Court to agree with plaintiffs' counsel's contention that their fully requested fee award of \$626,481 (and again, that sum is reduced $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ from the full fee that would be awarded based on their time records) is appropriate and should be granted.

## II. THE COURT SHOULD AWARD COSTS OF \$45,046.21

Plaintiffs' counsel seeks an award of $\$ 45,046.21$ in expenses (Ex. "A" © 18, Ex. "B" - 10).

The majority of the costs sought by the plaintiffs, $\$ 29,022$, is for fees paid to expert witnesses and consultants. Of that amount $\$ 9,330$ was paid to retain the services of Dr. Terrance Claurettie, who wrote an expert report for plaintiffs. Of the remainder, $\$ 17,962$ was paid to Charles Bass who spent over 300 hours deciphering defendant's relevant computer dispatch and payroll records (Cab Manager and Quickbooks data records) and summarizing the information in those records that was essential to the recovery secured in this case for the class members. The remainder of that amount was expended for three different consultants (as explained in Ex. "A" $\|$ 19) to overcome defendants' repeated (and false) insistence that they could not produce those computer data files or did not know how to do so (conduct subject to the March 4, 2016 sanctions Order issued by this Court).

While NRS 18.005(5) normally limits expert costs to no more than $\$ 1,500$ per expert, and for a maximum of five such experts, it does not bar this Court from awarding the full requested $\$ 29,022$ in such costs. The Court should award the full amount of those costs. It would be contrary to the MWA to deny an award of these costs that were essential to this case. Indeed, there would have been no recovery in this case if these expenses has not been paid by class counsel. Denying an award of
these costs would be improper, as it would essentially allow employers, such as the defendant in this case, to make MWA claims impossible to prosecute. By not cooperating in litigation, and making proof of claims difficult and reliant upon such expert assistance, MWA defendants would be able to make MWA claims cost more to prove than can be collected in a lawsuit over those claims. The MWA did not intend to allow any such circumstances.

It should also be observed, as documented in the record of these proceedings, the defendants paid over $\$ 47,000$ to their expert witness in an attempt to defeat the plaintiffs' claims. Having engaged in such a massive cost to defend this case, defendants cannot properly be heard to complain about now being charged with the much smaller expert cost that they forced upon the plaintiffs.

## CONCLUSION

Wherefore, the plaintiffs' motion should be granted in its entirety.
Dated: October 12, 2018

# LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP. 

/s/ Leon Greenberg
Leon Greenberg, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8094
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3 Las Vegas, NV 89146
Tel (702) 383-6085
Attorney for the Plaintiff Class

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on October 12, 2018, she served the within:

Motion for an Award of Attorneys Fees and Costs as per NRCP Rule 54 and the Nevada Constitution
by court electronic service to:
TO:
Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFtCES, P.C.
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
Las Vegas, NV 89145
/s/ Dana Sniegocki
Dana Sniegocki

## EXHIBIT "A"

DECL
LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094
DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ., SBN 11715
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
(702) $383-6085$
$385-1827(f a x)$
leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com
dana@overtimelaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## DISTRICT COURT

 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADAMICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB, LLC and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C
Dept.: I

## DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL, LEON GREENBERG, ESQ.

Leon Greenberg, an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada, hereby affirms, under the penalty of perjury, that:

1. I am one of the attorneys representing the plaintiffs in this matter. I am offering this declaration in support of plaintiffs' request for an award of attorney's fees and costs for securing the final judgment for damages rendered in this case to the NRCP Rule 23(b)(3) class and entered by the Court on August 21, 2018. This declaration is intended to comport with the requirements of demonstrating the appropriate award of attorney’s fees, under the principles enunciated in Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), for the work performed by my law office and its employees. Under Brunzell the guiding factors for an award of attorneys fees are (summarized): (1) The quality of the advocate performing the work (their skill,
training, experience, and so forth); (2) The character of the work, in respect to its difficulty, intricacy and importance to the litigation; (3) The skill, time and attention given to the work; and (4) The result, in respect to whether the work was successful and the benefit derived from such success.

AMOUNT OF FEE REQUESTED AND BASIS FOR THE SAME

## Amount Requested

3. I am requesting an award of $\$ 577,953$ or $\$ 521,433$ or $\$ 480,933$ in attorney's fees for the work of the employees of my office and reimbursement of $\$ 44,865.57$ in necessary litigation costs. As discussed, infra, these varying proposed fee award amounts are based upon different underlying approaches the Court may take to the necessity and utility of the all of the work performed by my office in this case.

## Brunzell Factor One: Quality of Advocates Performing the Work

4. I am a 1992 magna cum laude graduate of New York Law School where I received the Trustee’s Prize for having the highest GPA of all graduating evening division students, graduating first in my division and third out of 358 day and evening division students. I am a member of the bars of the States of Nevada, California, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania and have continuously practiced law full time since 1993. I have substantial experience in class actions and wage and hour claims and have successfully litigated over two dozen class action cases where I have been appointed class counsel. My litigation experience includes novel matters, such as Hallissey v. America Online, Docket 99-CV-03785 (KTD), United States District Court, Southern District of New York, on behalf of a class of Internet "volunteers" for unpaid minimum wages, that case being concluded with a $\$ 15,000,000$ class settlement. I have also handled a significant number of appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals that have resulted in published opinions. Those appeals have included Thomas v. Nevada Yellow Cab, 327 P.3d 518 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2014). The Opinion in Thomas confirmed that taxi drivers are entitled to minimum wages under Nevada's Constitution, the exact claim presented in this very case.
5. My associate, Dana Sniegocki, is a 2007 cum laude graduate of Thomas Jefferson Law School, has been licensed to practice law for over nine years, is admitted to the State Bars of Nevada and California, has been an associate attorney at my office for more than nine years, and has experience in litigating class action cases, specifically wage and hour class action litigations. She has been appointed co-class counsel in over 10 class action cases handled by my office.

## Brunzell Factor Two: The Intricacy, Importance and Difficulty of the Work

6. In respect to the second factor, the legal work performed in this case was, in substantial if not majority measure, intricate and difficult. My office was involved in the successful class action prosecution, and secured an opposed judgment, of this case. Multiple complex and intricate issues, dealing with issues of first impression in respect to the application of the Nevada Constitution’s Minimum Wage Amendment (only enacted in 2006) and with class action certification, were presented by this case. This case did not involve commonly litigated tort or contract claims where counsel can, and typically do, rely upon various established forms or repetitive motions and litigation steps. The litigation approach of the defendant, who spared no expense or effort in mounting a vigorous (and, as found by the Court's Order of March 4, 2016 imposing sanctions of $\$ 3,238.95$ for discovery abuses, at times overzealous) defense, rendered such work much more difficult and time consuming.

## Brunzell Factor Three: The Skill, Time and Attention Given to the Work

7. In respect to the third factor, I expended considerable attention, and an inordinate amount of time, on the prosecution of this case. The work I personally performed was quite detailed. I drafted numerous original briefs for the Court and spent considerable amounts of time planning, in detail, the depositions conducted of the defendant and structuring, and drafting, plaintiffs' discovery requests. The vast majority of the work that I performed in this case was originally crafted for this case, I did not, and could not given the nature of this case, rely upon canned forms or prior work from other cases. It is for the Court to pass judgment on the skillfulness of the work I performed in this case and that I oversaw from my office's employees. I believe
such work was performed at a highly skilled level, and certainly at a level equal to or exceeding that of defendants’ counsel, who have been fully compensated already by defendants at their not insignificant hourly rates.
8. The time expended by my office on the prosecution of this case was massive. My office has maintained contemporaneous time records of all work performed in this case by all attorneys and paralegals. A review of those records indicates that I, prior to entry of judgment on August 21, 2018, personally, have spent no less than 1,190 hours of attorney time on the prosecution of this case and no less than 35 hours of travel time. Those hours are after deducting the 6.5 hours of my time that were previously awarded fees by the Court's March 2016 sanction order and the approximately 22 hours I spent purely devoted to the prosecution of the alter ego claims against defendant Nady. My associate, Dana Sniegocki, has spent no less than 600 hours of attorney time working on the prosecution of this case and no less than 53 hours of travel time; and my paralegal, Sydney Saucier, has spent at least 122 hours of time on tasks of a non-clerical nature that are properly considered, in whole or significant part, to require a skilled paralegal to perform.

## Brunzell Factor Four: The Results Achieved and Benefits Conferred

9. Plaintiffs' counsel's success in this case is a matter of record. They secured a judgment by the Court for over $\$ 1,000,000$ on behalf of the class members. The benefit of that judgment should also be viewed under the lens of its very nature: a judgment vindicating legal rights to minimum wages of the highest importance under Nevada's legal system, as such legal rights are afforded directly by Nevada's Constitution. Such benefit is also properly viewed, in respect to its importance, by examining the beneficiaries of that judgment: the most vulnerable, and economically weakest, citizens of the State of Nevada who, for lack of more remuneratively attractive employment, have labored for less than the very modest hourly minimum wage.

## Proposed Application of the Brunzell Factors in Calculating a Fee Award

10. The time I have expended in this case, or that was expended by my office's employees, pursuing claims against the individual defendant Nady, is not time that I am requesting be considered for this fee award. Those claims (the "alter ego and unjust enrichment claims") are based upon his personal, and wholly derivative and contingent, liability for the unpaid minimum wages owed by the corporate defendant, A Cab. While I believe compensation for such work is justified from the class members' recovery in this case, and may be sought at some future date, such expenditures of time are not claimed to be properly charged against A Cab under the current judgment as an element of the attorney's fees properly awarded under the Nevada Constitution. In addition, I was compensated for certain hours of attorney work via the Court's sanction award order of March 4, 2016 and I am not seeking any fee award for those hours of work.
11. In respect to gauging the appropriate fee award, for the time reasonably expended by plaintiffs' counsel, I was awarded attorney's fees in this case at a rate of $\$ 400$ an hour in the Court's Order of March 4, 2016. While I have been awarded attorneys fees in other litigation matters at a greater hourly rate, including as much as $\$ 720$ an hour by District Judge Mahan in 2017 in a federal court proceeding, I am only asking the Court to consistently apply the $\$ 400$ per hour rate it has already found appropriate for my time. Ms. Sniegocki's work was recognized by Judge Pro in June of 2014 to merit an award of $\$ 240$ an hour in Tallman v. CPS Security, United States District Court of Nevada, 09-CV-944, Order of June 3, 2014, involving unpaid minimum wage and overtime pay claims. While that award is now over four years old, and Ms. Sniegocki is deserving of a higher hourly fee award, I would ask the Court to adopt that rate for her time expenditures. I would ask the Court to adopt a rate of $\$ 85$ an hour for the paralegal time expenditures of Ms. Saucier, a rate that I believe is on the lower end for such time expenditures.
12. After excluding the time expenditures on the alter ego and unjust
enrichment claims, and the hours for which I was already awarded fees for by the Court's March 4, 2016 Order, the remaining total time expenditures in my office’s records are, at a minimum, the following:

Leon Greenberg: 1190 hours plus 35 hours travel time;
Dana Sniegocki: 600 hours plus 40 hours travel time;
Sydney Saucier: 122 hours paralegal time.
In respect to reaching an appropriate fee determination, I am proposing that the Court adopt either an "Aggregate Hours Minus 10\% Approach;" a "Partial Exclusion of Hours Approach minus 10\%" or a "Presumptive Exclusion of Hours Approach minus $10 \%$." I provide below a rationalization for each of these proposed approaches and the calculation that would be made under each one. The Court may choose any one of the approaches or formulate its own determination of the proper fee award consistent with Brunzell and as it believes is appropriate.
13. The Aggregate Hours Minus 10\% Approach: Under this approach the Court would take the hours stated in paragraph 12 and multiply them by the rates proposed in paragraph 11 (travel time hours would only be multiplied at a rate of 50\% of the rates in paragraph 11). It would then reduce the entire amount by $10 \%$ to arrive at the fee award. I believe this approach is justified and proper. The $10 \%$ reduction in fees ensures that any likely measure of unproductive or less than fully efficient work is being excluded for fee calculation purposes. Given the great importance of enforcing the rights granted by Nevada's Constitution it is also proper to err in favor of ensuring a fully adequate fee award is granted to plaintiffs' counsel. This would result in a fee award (using above hourly rates, with half that rate for travel time), after applying that $10 \%$ reduction, of \$577,953.

That award is based upon the below pre-discounted hours and fees: Leon Greenberg \$483,000 (1190 hours x \$400 + 35 hours x \$200)
Dana Sniegocki: \$148,800 (600 hours x \$240 + 40 hours x \$120)
Sydney Saucier: \$10,370 (122 hours x \$85)
14. The Partial Exclusion of Hours Minus 10\% Approach: Under this approach the Court would first reduce the hours for my office's work that are stated in paragraph 12 , multiply those hours by the rates in paragraph 11 , and then apply a $10 \%$ reduction to calculate a fee. This approach would exclude, for fee consideration purposes, hours of work that were spent exclusively on activities that defendants would argue were unnecessary, or not of great utility or efficiency, or that concerned issues never fully resolved in the litigation. By way of example, for depositions, or court appearances prior to the final pre-trial stage (meaning prior to October 1, 2017), attorney hours would only be allowed for one attorney. Attorney hours spent on the existence or non-existence of medical insurance (Nevada provides for an additional $\$ 1.00$ an hour in minimum wages when medical insurance is not provided) would be excluded. Attorney hours spent seeking affirmative relief by motion against defendant would be excluded if those motions were denied. Attorney hours spent arguing the second partial summary judgment motion would be excluded, as that motion was initially denied and later re-heard upon a fuller record that included an additional expert report.
15. I do not agree that such a partial exclusion of hours of work is appropriate for fee calculation purposes. Defendant has paid to have multiple counsel appear on its behalf at a deposition and at court appearances. That plaintiffs' counsel ultimately did not proceed to press claims for the extra $\$ 1.00$ an hour in minimum wages under Nevada’s "medical insurance not provided" standard did not render the time spent on that issue improper or unwise. Nor should plaintiffs' counsel be denied fees for the "repeat" of the partial summary judgment motion, such motion ultimately being granted, essentially on the same basis (albeit with the support of an additional expert) as proposed on its "initial" submission. Defendant has vigorously litigated this case with the goal of making it economically unattractive for prosecution. They should not be allowed to achieve that goal by having the award of attorney's fees to plaintiffs' counsel reduced in such a fashion.
16. Based upon a review of my office's time records, and reasonable estimates that my office has made when applying the foregoing "partial exclusion" of hours approach, the remaining total time expenditures in my office's records are, after applying such an approach, at a minimum, the following:

Leon Greenberg: 1084 hours plus 35 hours travel time
Dana Sniegocki: 521 hours plus 28 hours travel time
Sydney Saucier: 122 hours
Based upon such hours the fee that would be awarded under this approach would, after also applying a $10 \%$ across the board discount, be: $\$ 521,433$

That award would be based the below pre-discounted hours and fees:
Leon Greenberg \$440,600 (1084 hours x \$400 + 35 hours x $\$ 200$ )
Dana Sniegocki: \$128,400 (521 hours x \$240 + 28 hours x \$120)
Sydney Saucier: \$10,370 (122 hours x \$85)

## 17. The Presumptive Exclusion of Hours Minus 10\% Approach: This

 approach deviates from the "Partial Exclusion" of hours approach discussed in 9ी 1415 by excluding all time expenditures that, in any significant measure, concerned the sort of topics or subject matter that defendant would presumptively argue should not be included in a fee award. This approach does not require, as under the "Partial Exclusion" approach that such time expenditures be solely devoted to such activities to be excluded for fee consideration purposes. Rather, it excludes, entirely, all time expenditures that in significant measure involved such activities. It also excludes time expended on settlement and mediation efforts (on the theory no settlement was achieved) or dealing with defendant's writ (which was granted and released the injunction issued on the proposed Dubric settlement). It adopts the presumption that no fee is warranted for any such activities. If this presumption is applied, based upon a review of my office's time records, and reasonable estimates that my office has made when applying such a presumptive exclusion of hours approach, the remaining total time expenditures in my office's records are, at a minimum, the following:Leon Greenberg: 996 hours plus 25 hours travel time Dana Sniegocki: 489 hours plus 27 hours travel time Sydney Saucier: 122 hours

Based upon such hours the fee that would be awarded under this approach would, after also applying a $10 \%$ across the board discount, be: $\$ 480,933$

That award would be based upon the below pre-discounted hours and fees:
Leon Greenberg \$403,400 (996 hours x \$400 + 25 hours x \$200)
Dana Sniegocki: \$120,600 (489 hours x \$240 + 27 hours x \$120)
Sydney Saucier: \$10,370 (122 hours x \$85)
18. The foregoing discussion of the records of hours of work expended by my office's staff, and the classifications of those hours of work into "partial" or "presumptive" exclusion status for fee award purposes, is the result of a generalized review of those records. Those records are incredibly lengthy (I have over 1220 individual time entries for the work I performed in this case prior to date of judgment). It would be very burdensome and time consuming to review, line by line, every single time entry in those records and precisely quantify the activity, the time expended, and so forth. As a result, in reviewing the time records that are the basis of my discussion of the time expenditures of myself and my office's staff I have made some approximations and generalized determinations about the nature of the work activities recorded in those records. I believe that is appropriate. In addition, every fee calculation request being made also includes an across the board $10 \%$ reduction in fees (effectively in hours) requested that will correct any oversight in my approximations or generalized determinations in respect to the activities recorded in my office's time records.

## LITIGATION COSTS

19. I have reviewed the records maintained by my office in respect to the litigation expenses incurred by my office in this case. Those records are maintained in Quickbooks software or in another contemporaneous manual ledger and indicate the following necessary litigation expenses were incurred by my office:

| Expense | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Process Server, Runner, Overnight <br> Delivery | $\$ 358.06$ |
| Court Filing Fees Including WIZNET |  |
| fees for filing documents |  |$| \$ 2,158.97$


| Copies (Numerous, but not itemized, not <br> charged) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | $\$ 44,865.57$ |
|  |  |

19. Of the foregoing expert and computer data consultant fees, $\$ 9,330$ was paid to retain the services of Dr. Terrance Clauretie, $\$ 17,962$ was paid to Charles Bass to process the computer data files produced by defendants and provide relevant arithmetical summaries from that data (working both on his own and with Dr. Clauretie), and $\$ 1,730$ was paid to three other consultants ( $\$ 567.50$ to Glen Pannenborg, CPA; \$600 to the firm of Office Works; and \$562.50 to the firm of Nevada Quickbooks Pro) to overcome defendants’ untrue assertions that they could not produce information in their Quickbooks and other computer data files. Those costs were incurred attempting an "inspection" of A Cab's computer system which, while being attempted, A Cab refused to allow be completed (the cost for Mr. Pannenborg's services). They were also needed to document in filings with the Court the falsity of defendants’ assertions they could not produce the relevant Quickbooks information in a suitable computer file format. This course of obstructive conduct by defendants ultimately resulted in both the production of those computer data files and the Court's Order of March 4, 2016 imposing over $\$ 3,000$ in sanctions upon defendants, but those sanctions did not include any award for these $\$ 1,730$ in expert and consultant expenses.
20. As per the above, my office requests reimbursement of $\$ 44,865.57$ of necessary litigation costs.

I have read the foregoing and affirm the same is true and correct.

Affirmed this 11th day of October, 2018

/s/ Leon Greenberg

Leon Greenberg

## EXHIBIT "B"

Gabroy Law Offices
Christian Gabroy, Esq. (\#8805)
The District at Green Valley Ranch
170 South Green Valley Parkway, Suite 280
Henderson, Nevada 89012
Tel (702) 259-7777
Fax (702) 259-7704
christian@gabroy.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

## DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY

MICHAEL MURRAY, AN INDIVIDUAL, ET. AL.

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, A CAB, LLC, AND CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-12-669926-C Dept. I

## DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL, CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ.,

## DECLARATION OF CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ. AND GABROY LAW OFFICES, P.C. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

 Christian Gabroy, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State of Nevada and a member of the bar of this Court, hereby affirms, per NRS $\S 53.045$ that:1. I am one of the attorneys representing the plaintiffs in this matter. I am offering this declaration in support of plaintiffs' request for an award of attorney's fees and costs for securing the final judgment for damages rendered in this case to the NRCP Rule 23(b)(3) class and entered by the Court on August 21, 2018. This declaration is intended to comport with the requirements of demonstrating the appropriate award of attorney's fees, under the principles enunciated in Brunzell $v$.

Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), for the work performed by my law office, by my associate Kaine Messer, Esq., and our employees. Under Brunzell the guiding factors for an award of attorney's fees are (summarized): (1) The quality of the advocate performing the work (their skill, training, experience, and so forth); (2) The character of the work, in respect to its difficulty, intricacy and importance to the litigation;
(3) The skill, time and attention given to the work; and (4) The result, in respect to whether the work was successful and the benefit derived from such success.
2. This declaration incorporates the discussion in the declaration of my cocounsel, Leon Greenberg, of the various Brunzell factors and how they should be applied to the award of a fee in this case. I do not repeat those discussions and limit this declaration to providing the Court with information on the amount of work (hours of work) performed by my office in this case and the appropriate basis (hourly fee rate) for an attorney's fee award for those hours. I also detail my office's litigation expenses for which reimbursement is sought

## AMOUNT OF FEE REQUESTED <br> AND BASIS FOR THE SAME

## Amount Requested

3. I am requesting an award of $\$ 48,528$ or alternatively $\$ 46,638$ in attorney's fees for the work of the employees of my office and myself in this case. As discussed, infra, these varying numbers are based upon different underlying approaches the Court may take to the necessity and utility of the all of the work performed by my office. I am also requesting an award of $\$ 180.64$ for my office's expenses.

## The experience and typical hourly rate of my office's employees.

4. I am 2003 graduate of DePaul Law School and a member of the Illinois and Nevada bars. I have practiced law full time and continuously since 2003. My law
practice has almost exclusively been in the area of civil litigation, including jury trials. I also have significant experience in prosecuting both individual and class action wage and hour litigations, such as this case involving unpaid minimum wages. I have been appointed class counsel (or co-class counsel) pursuant to FRCP or NRCP Rule 23, or under the similar provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act in respect to the prosecution of "collective" actions under that statute, in over 10 cases.
5. Most typically, I work on a contingency fee basis and it is common that I earn well in excess of $\$ 500.00$ per hour on my cases that I take on a contingency fee basis. Other attorneys in Las Vegas with experience and training comparable to mine who are retained by private, paying, clients for employment law litigation typically charge hourly rates of $\$ 400$ an hour or more. I do and have charged hourly fee paying clients, which are a small part of my practice, fees of $\$ 450$ an hour.
6. My associate, Kaine Messer, Esq. graduated from Western State School of Law in Orange County, California cum laude in 2014. He has been licensed in California since 2014 and in Nevada since 2016. His regular hourly rate is $\$ 250.00$. The work performed by my office and time expended.
7. My office joined this litigation in 2017 to act as co-class counsel in respect to the final portion of this litigation, including a contemplated trial of this case. While that trial did not take place, the work performed by my office was necessary to the prosecution of this case. Unlike my co-class counsel, I only became involved in this case when it was approaching trial and the arguably collateral, or not pursued, issues had been largely identified and not worked on further. For example, my office performed no appreciable amount of time on work related to the "no health insurance provided" issue and the Nevada Minimum Wage (the $\$ 1.00$ an hour "higher tier" -
currently $\$ 8.25$ an hour - issue), an issue ultimately not pursued in this case. As a result, in reviewing my office's time records on the work performed in this case, I cannot identify any significant amount of unproductive or arguably unnecessary time. My associate, Kaine Messer, did attend certain court appearances with me, and I suspect defendants would argue his presence at those court appearances, though very desirable and constructive, was not a time expenditure that was warranted. I disagree with that contention, but provide an alternative analysis as to a fee award for his time that does not award fees for his time spent on those court appearances.
8. I, personally, based upon my office's review of contemporaneously maintained time records, have expended 120.5 hours of attorney time and 2.5 hours of travel time on this case and my associate, Kaine Messer, has expended no less than 20.5 hours of attorney time and 2.5 hours of travel time on this case. Additional work was performed in this matter by a former associate of my office, and, my office's paralegal staff, but I am not itemizing that work (which was significant) in the submission of this fee request. Based upon the attorney's hours expended by just myself and Kaine Messer I would propose to the Court a fee award for my office of \$48,528 after discounting by $10 \%$ the fee properly calculated on such hours. That fee award is calculated as follows:

Christian Gabroy: \$48,700 (120.5 hours $\times \$ 400+2.5$ hours travel $\times \$ 200$ ) Kaine Messer: \$5,220 (20.5 hours x \$240 + 2.5 hours travel x \$120)
9. As an alternative formulation, I would propose reducing Kaine Messer's billable hours to 13 , if all time spent by him at Court appearances with me were to be excluded for fee award purposes. That would result in a fee award for my office of \$46,638 after discounting the fee properly calculated on such hours of attorney time by $10 \%$. That fee award is calculated as follows:

Christian Gabroy: $\$ 48,700$ (120.5 hours $\times \$ 400+2.5$ hours travel $\times \$ 200$ ) Kaine Messer: \$3,120 (13 hours x \$240)
10. Further, my office has incurred costs in this matter of no less than $\$ 180.64$. This includes 1,758 black and white copies at $\$ 0.10$ per copy, postage in the amount of $\$ 1.34$, and a $\$ 3.50$ Wiznet filing fee for my notice of appearance in this matter.

I have read and reviewed the true and correct aforementioned statements.
Affirmed this $12^{\text {th }}$ Day of October 2018
/s/ Christian Gabroy

Christian Gabroy, Esq.
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## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL ) RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB
SERIES LLC formerly known as A
CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.
Case No.: A-12-669926-C
Dept.: 2

## ATTORNEY'S DECLARATION

Leon Greenberg, an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada, hereby affirms, under the penalty of perjury, that:

1. I am one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs. The statements made in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge and personal observations.
2. I am presenting this declaration in connection with plaintiffs' motion for the entry of a modified award of pre-judgment attorney's fees, as originally awarded
by the Court's Order of February 6, 2019, and modified as directed by the Nevada Supreme Court's Opinion in this case .
3. I have reviewed the contemporaneous time records I personally maintained of the work I performed in the district court prosecution of this case prior to final judgment. Those same time records were used as the basis for the award of attorney's fees granted by the district court and indicated I had expended at least 1,190 hours of time during the period for which attorney's fees were awarded. I further reviewed those records to determine what amount of that time was expended securing from the district court an award of damages that pre-dated October 8, 2010, as that award of those damages was reversed by the Supreme Court. No other attorneys worked on that issue or expended time on that issue. That review indicates the following:
(A) I commenced work on that issue on December 7, 2016, by drafting a counter-motion and after this case had been pending for over four years and after already expending over 456 of those 1,190 attorney fee hours on this case;
(B) I spent less than 20 of those 1,190 hours engaged in activities exclusively related to that issue;
(C) I spent less than 47 of those 1,190 hours engaged in activities that partially, or may have partially, concerned that issue. Not even $50 \%$ of those 47 hours, which concerned work on the damages calculations in this case, are properly attributable to that issue.
4. It is apparent from my review of my attorney time records that less than $50(4.2 \%)$ of the 1,190 hours that I spent working on this case in the district court and prior to judgment, and that formed the basis for the fee award made by the district court, were expended litigating this issue. As a result, over $95 \%$ of those 1,190 hours forming the basis of the district court's fee award were not expended dealing with that
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issue for which fees are not awardable under the Supreme Court's decision.
4. I have read the foregoing and it is true and correct.

Affirmed this $16^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2022, under the penalty of perjury.

## EXHIBIT "D"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

A CAB, LLD; AND A CAB SERIES, LDC, Appellants, vs.
MICHAEL MURRAY; AND MICHAEL RENO, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Respondents.

No. 77050
FILE: FEB $0320<2$


## ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondents filed a motion requesting that this court award attorney fees or direct the district court to award attorney fees pursuant to Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution, and to include in its mandate upon remand instructions about the allowance of interest, pursuant to NRAP 37(b). Appellants have filed an opposition to the order and respondents have filed a reply.

As an initial matter, this court's opinion already concludes that the district court must reconsider the award of attorney fees in light of this court's decision. Article 15, Section 16, Subsection B of Nevada's Constitution, the Minimum Wage Amendment, states that "[all employee who prevails in any action to enforce this section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs." However, the determination of a "reasonable" attorney fee involves questions of fact and "should be addressed, in the first instance, by the district court with its greater factfinding capabilities." Miso v. Binick, 104 Nev. 613, 615, 764 P.2d 477, 478 (1988). Accordingly, respondents' motion for an award of attorney's fees on
appeal is denied without prejudice to respondents' right to raise this motion in the district court.

NRAP 37(a) provides that "if a money judgment in a civil case is affirmed, whatever interest is allowed by law is payable from the date when the district court's judgment was entered." NRAP 37(b) provides that if this court "modifies or reverses a judgment with a direction that a money judgment be entered in the district court, the mandate must contain instructions about the allowance of interest."

This court has previously held that an affirmation in part and reversal in part of a money judgment is treated as an affirmation of that judgment for the purposes of NRAP 37 and the calculation of interest. Schiff v. Winchell, 126 Nev. 327, 330-31, 237 P.3d 99, 101 (2010). As noted by respondents, this court's opinion issued December 30, 2021, affirmed in part and reversed in part the district court's money judgment but did not include instructions as to any allowance of interest. Schiff applies here, and the modification on appeal was, in effect, an affirmation of the original judgment. Therefore, NRAP 37(a) governs the interest on judgments and whatever interest is allowed by law is payable from the date when the district court's judgment was entered. Accordingly, respondent's request for a modification of the mandate to include instructions based on NRAP 37(b) is denied.

The clerk shall issue the remittitur.
It is so ORDERED.

cc: Hon. Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.
Cory Reade Dows \& Shafer Hutchison \& Steffen, LLC/Las Vegas Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation Eighth District Court Clerk

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094
RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
(702) 383-6085
(702) 385-1827 (fax)
leongreenberg@,overtimelaw.com
CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805
Gabroy Law Offices
170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280
Henderson Nevada 89012
Tel (702) 259-7777
Fax (702) 259-7704
christian@gabroy.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLD, A CAB SERIES LLC formerly known as A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NAD,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C
Dept.: 2
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS FEES ON APPEAL

## HEARING REQUESTED

MOT

$\square$

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## ARGUMENT

## I. Plaintiffs must be awarded attorneys fees for the work they performed on the appeal of the final judgment, to the extent such work concerned the affirmed portions of that judgment.

Plaintiffs secured a final judgment in their favor under the Nevada Constitution, Article 15, Section 16, the Nevada Minimum Wage Amendment (the "MWA"), that also provides "....an employee who prevails in any action to enforce this section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs." Plaintiffs prevailed in this Court and secured a judgment in their favor, that judgment being modified on appeal only to the extent of disallowing damages awarded for the period prior to October 8, 2010, such judgment otherwise being fully affirmed. ${ }^{1}$

The language of the MWA is mandatory, it requires a "reasonable fee award" to a prevailing employee's attorney. To the extent an attorney expends time vindicating an economic recovery for their client (here sustaining the majority of this Court's damages award) they must be awarded a "reasonable fee" for such time expenditures. The award of a fee to plaintiffs' counsel in connection with the final judgment appeal was raised by motion to the Nevada Supreme Court, as it was unclear whether that court, or this Court, had jurisdiction to award appellate attorney fees under the MWA. The Supreme Court resolved that motion by declining, without prejudice, to reach the issue of a fee award on the appeal and granting plaintiffs leave to make that fee award request to this Court. Accordingly, that fee award request is properly within the jurisdiction of this Court. Ex. "A" Nevada Supreme Court Order of February 3, 2022.

It is self evident that the MWA requires an award of attorney's fees for successfully defending an employee's judgment on appeal as well as for work prior to

[^0]judgment. There is nothing in the MWA's language suggesting otherwise and to hold otherwise would defeat the whole purpose of its mandatory fee award provision, which is to render the collection of unpaid minimum wages for employees feasible, as such employees (owed small amounts of unpaid minimum wages) can rarely afford to pay their counsel any fees (whether at the trial or appellate levels). Nor should they have to do so. The Court can also take note that all of the analogous cases under the federal minimum wage law, the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), addressing its mandatory attorney's fee payment provision, have held attorney's fees are appropriately awarded to prevailing employees in appellate and post-judgment proceedings. See, Newhouse v. Robert's Ilima Tours, Inc., 706 F.2d 436, 441 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1983) and cases discussed therein and other cases.

## II. Plaintiffs' counsel should be awarded fees of $\mathbf{\$ 6 3 , 7 6 0}$ for the appeal.

 Plaintiffs' counsel should be awarded a fee of $\$ 63,760$ for their work on the final judgment appeal in this case. That fee award is based upon applying a lodestar rate of $\$ 400$ per hour for 159.4 hours of attorney time. As discussed in the annexed Ex. "B" declaration of Leon Greenberg (the sole counsel working on the appeal for plaintiffs), such counsel actually spent 179.9 hours of time on the appeal. But because 20.5 hours of that time was spent on the single issue the plaintiffs did not prevail upon in the appeal (the statute of limitations toll), or on other unproductive activities, such fee request is based upon 159.4 hours of attorney time expenditures. Id. The hourly rate requested (\$400) was approved as reasonable for Leon Greenberg's time in this Court's prior Orders of February 6, 2019, granting attorney's fees and the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation of December 11, 2015, filed on March 4, 2016. A far higher hourly rate would also be appropriate, as such counsel in 2016 was awarded fees of $\$ 720$ an hour by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the federal district court. $I d$., đ 8.The controlling law guiding this Court on determining an appropriate award of attorney's fees, Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), and its progeny, does not mandate a "line by line" analysis of attorney time records. Or even necessarily require a fee award based upon a "lodestar" rate/hours formulation. But it certainly approves of, and perhaps favors, relying upon a time/lodestar rate approach to awarding attorney's fees. It also directs the consideration of four factors (the four Brunzell factors) by this Court when it considers the appropriate attorney fee award. Counsel's Ex. "B" declaration also explains how each of the four Brunzell factors justify the proposed award of $\$ 63,760$ as calculated based upon a lodestar rate/hours analysis. It also appears defendants have spent a much larger sum in connection with their prosecution of the final judgment appeal and should be required to disclose that information (the attorney hours they consumed on the appeal and the hourly rates involved) if they assert that the requested attorney's fee of $\$ 63,760$ is excessive or unwarranted.

## CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, plaintiffs' motion should be granted.

Dated: February 17, 2022
LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.
/s/ Leon Greenberg
Leon Greenberg, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8094
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3
Las Vegas, NV 89146
Tel (702) 383-6085
Attorney for the Class

## PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on February 17, 2022, she served the within:

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES ON APPEAL
by court electronic service to:
TO:
Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Jay A. Shafer, Esq.
PREMIER LEGAL GROUP
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210
Las Vegas, NV 89128
/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

## EXHIBIT "A"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

A CAB, LLC; AND A CAB SERIES, LLC, Appellants, vs.

MICHAEL MURRAY; AND MICHAEL RENO, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Respondents.

No. 77050
FILE:


## ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondents filed a motion requesting that this court award attorney fees or direct the district court to award attorney fees pursuant to Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution, and to include in its mandate upon remand instructions about the allowance of interest, pursuant to NRAP 37(b). Appellants have filed an opposition to the order and respondents have filed a reply.

As an initial matter, this court's opinion already concludes that the district court must reconsider the award of attorney fees in light of this court's decision. Article 15, Section 16, Subsection B of Nevada's Constitution, the Minimum Wage Amendment, states that "[aln employee who prevails in any action to enforce this section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs." However, the determination of a "reasonable" attorney fee involves questions of fact and "should be addressed, in the first instance, by the district court with its greater factfinding capabilities." Musso v. Binick, 104 Nev. 613, 615, 764 P.2d 477, 478 (1988). Accordingly, respondents' motion for an award of attorney's fees on
appeal is denied without prejudice to respondents' right to raise this motion in the district court.

NRAP 37(a) provides that "if a money judgment in a civil case is affirmed, whatever interest is allowed by law is payable from the date when the district court's judgment was entered." NRAP 37(b) provides that if this court "modifies or reverses a judgment with a direction that a money judgment be entered in the district court, the mandate must contain instructions about the allowance of interest."

This court has previously held that an affirmation in part and reversal in part of a money judgment is treated as an affirmation of that judgment for the purposes of NRAP 37 and the calculation of interest. Schiff v. Winchell, 126 Nev. 327, 330-31, 237 P.3d 99, 101 (2010). As noted by respondents, this court's opinion issued December 30, 2021, affirmed in part and reversed in part the district court's money judgment but did not include instructions as to any allowance of interest. Schiff applies here, and the modification on appeal was, in effect, an affirmation of the original judgment. Therefore, NRAP 37(a) governs the interest on judgments and whatever interest is allowed by law is payable from the date when the district court's judgment was entered. Accordingly, respondent's request for a modification of the mandate to include instructions based on NRAP 37(b) is denied.

The clerk shall issue the remittitur.
It is so ORDERED.

cc: Hon. Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.
Cory Reade Dows \& Shafer Hutchison \& Steffen, LLC/Las Vegas Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation Eighth District Court Clerk
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DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL ) RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB SERIES LLC formerly known as A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C
Dept.: 2
ATTORNEY'S DECLARATION

## DECLARATION

Leon Greenberg, an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada, hereby affirms, under penalty of perjury, that:

1. I am one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs and was the sole attorney for the plaintiffs in the appeal taken by defendants from the Court's final judgment. The statements made in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge and personal observations.
2. I am presenting this declaration in connection with plaintiffs' motion for an award of attorney's fees in connection with the final judgment appeal. Prior to drafting this declaration I have reviewed the contemporaneous time records I
personally maintained of the work I performed in connection with that appeal. All such time entries are recorded in increments of a tenth of an hour, with each such entry including the date such work was performed and a description of the work so performed. There are 52 such time entries through June 1, 2021, the date of oral argument of the appeal. Those 52 time entries corroborate that I expended a total of 179.9 hours of my time in connection with the final judgment appeal of this matter through June 1, 2021. Based on my review of those time entries, and the outcome of the final judgment appeal, I am requesting an award of attorney's fees on behalf of plaintiffs of $\$ 63,760$ in connection with that appeal. I base that request on a net and properly charged expenditure of 159.4 hours of my time, at $\$ 400$ an hour, to achieve the successful results secured for my clients.
3. This fee request includes no requested fee based on the time expenditures of my law clerk on this appeal; based on the time expended on preparing this motion (which was in excess of three hours); or based on the time I expended after the appeal was orally argued or based on any travel time.
4. My time record entries indicate I spent 17.3 hours, or less, of my appellate time expenditures addressing the correctness of the portion of the district court's judgment that awarded damages predating the two year MWA statute of limitations (the statute of limitations tolling issue). Plaintiffs were not successful on that issue on appeal (though they prevailed on every other issue on the judgment) and I am not including in my request for attorney's fees 17.3 hours of my time expenditures on the final judgment appeal that in whole or in part were devoted to that issue.
5. My time records indicate I spent 3.2 hours, or less, of my time expenditures dealing with certain confusion I had as to the completeness of the defendants' appeal appendix and responding to motions to extend the appeal briefing time. I am not including in my request for attorney's fees the 3.2 hours of my time
expenditures on the final judgment appeal that in whole or in part were spent on those activities.
6. Of the remaining 159.4 hours of my time expenditures 18.7 of those hours were spent in connection with unsuccessful mediation/settlement efforts that were required by the Supreme Court. That included two mediation sessions lasting a total of 11 hours. The balance of those time expenditures were for activities directly necessary for the post-judgment appeal, with most of those expenditures involving preparation of the respondents' answering brief; reviewing the 52 volume appellant's appendix and collecting the necessary materials for the respondents’ six volume appendix; and preparation for oral argument.
7. The hourly rate ( $\$ 400$ an hour) upon which I am basing this fee request $(\$ 400 \times 159.4=\$ 63,760)$ is the same rate found by this Court in its order of February 6, 2019 to be reasonable for a fee award based on my time expenditures prior to final judgment (at p. 5, 1. 5). The Supreme Court also found in its decision that this Court's award of attorney's fees based on that hourly rate was not excessive or performed in an inappropriate manner. 501 P .3 d at 975 . That hourly rate is also appropriate given my experience and qualifications. I am a 1992 magna cum laude graduate of New York Law School where I received the Trustee's Prize for having the highest GPA of all graduating evening division students, graduating first in my division and third out of 358 day and evening division students. I am a member of the bars of the States of Nevada, California, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania and have continuously practiced law full time since 1993. I have appeared as appellate counsel in at least 15 cases and orally argued in the Nevada Supreme Court at least 10 times since 2008. That hourly fee amount is also reasonable as I have been awarded fees at the considerably higher rate of $\$ 720$ an hour in 2016 by both the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for appellate work and by the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. See, Tallman v. CPS Security, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth

Circuit, appeal No. 14-16508, Docket 42, Order filed September 8, 2016, and motion granted by such Order and later district court proceedings in that case, 09-cv-944, Order of November 29, 2016. I believe the foregoing adequately demonstrates the proposed fee award is appropriate under the first Brunzell factor, dealing with the quality of the advocate performing the work.
9. In respect to the second Brunzell factor, the intricacy, importance and difficulty of the work I performed in connection with the final judgment appeal, I would suggest the Court examine the lengthy published opinion issued by the Supreme Court. Numerous issues were raised on the appeal from the final judgment, regarding subject matter jurisdiction, the propriety of granting summary judgment, the adequacy of the plaintiffs' proof of their damages, and the proper standard of proof for MWA claims, all as discussed in that opinion. This appeal involved complex issues that had not been previously addressed, or not addressed in a thorough manner, by the Nevada Supreme Court and was intricate and difficult. Defendants compounded that difficulty by filing a 52 volume appendix and a substantially oversized opening brief.
10. In respect to the third Brunzell factor, the skill, time and attention I gave to this appeal, I expended substantial time on this appeal, as discussed. I also did so in a skillful manner, as confirmed by the quite successful outcome of the appeal for the plaintiffs.
11. In respect to the fourth Brunzell factor, the results achieved and benefit conferred, I submit the overwhelmingly favorable results achieved for plaintiffs on the appeal establish that factor is also satisfied. The lone issue plaintiffs failed to prevail upon, the statute of limitations toll, involved interpretation of the text of the MWA in respect to what constituted "notice" to an employee of a change in the MWA's minimum wage rate. It was impossible to predict if the Supreme Court would deviate from this Court's interpretation of that requirement, but it did. As a result, the minority portion of the judgment, dependent upon a statute of limitations toll tied to

1
that textual interpretation, was reversed. The results achieved for the plaintiffs, an award of over \$686,000 in unpaid minimum wages for 662 taxi cab drivers, was outstanding and justifies the requested fee award under such Brunzell factor.

I affirm this $17^{\text {th }}$ day of February that the foregoing is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.
/s/ Leon Greenberg Leon Greenberg

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY; AND MICHAEL RENO, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, Appellants,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LDC; A CAB, LLD; AND CREIGHTON J. NADY, Respondents.

No. 82539


FEB 172022


## ORDER OF REVERSAL AND REMAND

This is an appeal from a district court post-judgment order denying a motion to appoint a receiver in a class action. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Carl Lynn Kierny, Judge. ${ }^{1}$

Appellants are taxi drivers who secured a judgment against their former employer, respondent A Cab, LLC, for failing to pay them minimum wage. See A Cab, LLC v. Murray, 137 Nev., Adv. Op. 84, __ P.3d _ (2021). When appellants encountered difficulties satisfying the judgment, they moved the district court to appoint a post-judgment receiver. The district court denied appellants' first motion without prejudice and instead appointed a special master to submit a report as to whether appointing a receiver was feasible. The district court later ordered the special master to prepare a second report based on respondents' updated financials, but the special master passed away before completing this task or otherwise advising the district court. Appellants then renewed their
${ }^{1}$ Pursuant to NRAP 34(f)(1), we have determined that oral argument is not warranted.
request for a receiver, ${ }^{2}$ while also seeking alternative relief to help secure their rights as judgment creditors. The district court denied the motion, finding that it was untimely and improper under various local rules because appellants' request for a receiver had already been denied several times.

As a preliminary matter, we first address respondents' contention that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal. Although the district court construed appellants' motion as one for reconsideration, its order also explicitly denied appellants' request to appoint a receiver. Accordingly, this court has jurisdiction pursuant to NRAP 3A(b)(4), which provides for an appeal from an order "refusing to appoint a receiver."

Appellants argue that the district court abused its discretion in denying their motion by misconstruing it as a motion for reconsideration. See Bowler v. Leonard, 70 Nev. 370, 383, 269 P.2d 833, 839 (1954) (providing that the decision to appoint a receiver is within the discretion of the district court). We agree. The district court's finding that appellants' motion had already been brought and denied several times was clearly erroneous. ${ }^{3}$ See Weddell v. H2O, Inc., 128 Nev. 94, 101, 271 P.3d 743, 748 (2012) (explaining that this court will uphold the district court's factual findings unless clearly erroneous or not supported by substantial evidence). Our review of the record reveals that appellants moved for the appointment of a receiver twice before their present request. The first time, the district court denied the

[^1]request without prejudice and sent the issue to a special master. Thus, the motion was not resolved at that time and appellants could renew their request at a later date. See Sicor, Inc. v. Sacks, 127 Nev. 896, 903, 266 P.3d 618, 623 (2011) (holding that a district court order denying a motion without prejudice "[did] not fully resolve the issues presented and contemplate[d] further action"). And the second time, in addition to the district court asking a special master to consider the issue, we concluded that the district court "neither granted nor denied [appellants'] request to appoint a receiver" when dismissing appellants' appeal from that second order. Murray v. A Cab Taxi Service LLC, No. 81641, 2020 WL 6585946, at *2 (Nev. Nov. 9, 2020) (Order Dismissing Appeal). Indeed, in both instances, the district court indicated that it would consider appointing a receiver but wanted guidance from a special master before making a final decision. And in both instances the district court did not receive the guidance it sought or enter a final order denying appellants' request. Thus appellants' request remained pending at the time they brought the motion underlying this appeal. Because appellants' request for a receiver was still pending, we conclude that the district court abused its discretion when it declined to consider the merits of appellants' motion. ${ }^{4}$ We therefore reverse the district
${ }^{4}$ Although EDCR 7.12 generally prohibits re-filing a pending motion, district courts must balance this procedural rule with Nevada's policy of resolving cases on their merits. See Stoecklein v. Johnson Elec., Inc., 109 Nev. 268, 271, 849 P.2d 305, 308 (1993) ("[T]he district court must consider the state's underlying basic policy of deciding a case on the merits whenever possible.").
court's order and remand this case for the district court to consider appellants' request on the merits. ${ }^{5}$

It is so ORDERED. ${ }^{6}$

cc: Hon. Carli Lynn Kierny, District Judge
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
Hutchison \& Steffen, LLC/Las Vegas
Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.
Cory Reade Dows \& Shafer
Eighth District Court Clerk
${ }^{5}$ Because reversal and remand is warranted for the district court to consider the merits of appellants' request, we decline, at this time, to consider their arguments regarding the facts they claim support their request to appoint a receiver. See Ryan's Express Transp. Servs., Inc. v. Amador Stage Lines, Inc., 128 Nev. 289, 299, 279 P.3d 166, 172 (2012) ("An appellate court is not particularly well-suited to make factual determinations in the first instance.").
${ }^{6}$ The Honorable Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.
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## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

## Defendants.
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Dept. No. II

Hearing: March 23, 2022 9:30 a.m.

## OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A MODIFIED JUDGMENT AS PROVIDED FOR BY REMITTITUR

Defendants, by and through their attorneys of record, Esther C. Rodriguez, EsQ., of Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C., and Jay A. Shafer, Esq., of Cory Reade Dows And Shafer, hereby submit this Opposition to the relief requested in Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment. This Opposition is based upon NRCP 56(c), and the Points and Authorities herein.

## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## 1. OVERVIEW IN RESPONSE:

## Plaintiffs' appeal in Dubric is in opposition to their present request:

Firstly, Plaintiffs are disingenuous in their request to this Court for it to move forward with entry of judgment. Plaintiffs have simultaneously filed an appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court asking them for an order of estoppel pertaining to the Dubric settlements, and for removal of Hon. Kathleen Delaney from the Dubric case altogether. By Plaintiffs' own admissions in their briefing to the Nevada Supreme Court there is an elephant in the room which cannot be ignored - that being that certain claimants have already resolved their claims and cannot pursue entry of a double recovery through a second judgment. Plaintiffs' request for entry of a new judgment should not be entertained pending Plaintiffs' appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court in Jasminka Dubric v. A Cab, LLC et.al., District Court Case No. A721063, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492.

## Decertification must first be addressed per the remand:

Secondly, before any future judgment is entered by this Court in the Murray matter, by order of the Nevada Supreme Court ("NSC") certain steps must be taken including appropriate decertifications of the existing class. The NSC has specifically reversed and remanded to this Court to exclude all claims as well as any class members whose claims fall prior to October 8, 2010. The record has also demonstrated that the class must be decertified for the time period following June 26, 2014. Defendants have moved for declaratory relief on these issues; and have forwarded a proposed stipulation and order to Plaintiffs in this regard. Exhibit 1, Correspondence of February 25, 2022 with proposed Stipulation and Order. The Court cannot move forward in entertaining argument on a new judgment before entering an order on the appropriate decertifications. To do so, would once again constitute reversible error.

The spreadsheets is fraught with readily apparent errors:
Thirdly, there are numerous errors contained in the proposed spreadsheets including duplicative entries which need to be corrected. The Nevada Supreme Court did not give a blanket approval of these spreadsheets, but rather remanded for a new determination by this Court as to the appropriate entry of any judgment. Any alleged approval by the NSC of the previously proposed
spreadsheets was limited to acknowledging that "averages" could be relied upon when there is no evidence to dispute the averages for the prior years. Importantly, in the same decision, the NSC also did away with the majority of the prior years by striking the entire time period of 2007 through 2010 from any future calculations.

## The Perez claimants cannot be ignored:

Fourthly, the Department of Labor settlement in Perez v. A Cab, USDC Case No. 2:14-cv1615, must also be taken into consideration. Exhibit 2. Again, Plaintiffs want this Court to turn a blind eye to the reality of what has transpired in a federal court settlement. Not only is it important for this Court to consider the offset of more than $\$ 139,000$ which has already been paid to the drivers in settlement of their claims, but also is the fact that a number of the claimants have been determined to be "ghost" claimants. In other words, more than 243 alleged claimants cannot be found or have refused payments issued by the Department of Labor. These ghost claimants are wrongfully included in the proposed spreadsheets which are presently before the Court. Plaintiffs are seeking excessive recovery for claimants who are non-existent or non-participatory.

## The NSC Remand requires a determination of the correct defendant:

Fifthly, this Court cannot enter a judgment without establishing which entity will bear liability. This issue of paramount importance was directly addressed in the reversal and remand to this Court.

This Court should not enter summary judgment again without bringing resolution to these other overriding issues. Plaintiffs are essentially asking this Court to enter a new summary judgment based upon the revised spreadsheets; and to ignore the remaining critical issues as detailed herein. Plaintiffs' motion should be denied in its entirety as they have failed to meet the burden under Nev. R. Civ. P. 56.

## 2. STANDARD FOR ENTRY OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Summary judgment shall be granted when there are no genuine issues of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. NRCP 56(a). Trial judges are to exercise great caution in granting summary judgment, which is not to be granted if there is the slightest doubt as to the operative facts. Posadas v. City of Reno, 109 Nev. 448, 851 P. 2 d 438 (1993). The trial
judge may not in granting summary judgment pass upon the credibility or weight of the opposing affidavits or evidence; that function is reserved for the trial. Hidden Wells Ranch, Inc. v. Strip Realty, Inc., 83 Nev. 143, 425 P.2d 599 (1967). In their motion, Plaintiffs assert several "facts" in their request for summary judgment which are not only not established, they are not even supported by the evidence in the record.

Plaintiffs are seeking summary judgment on damages, and skipping right over any questions of liability; further, they do not even address which Defendant they believe would be liable for the damages claimed herein - a major issue upon which the Nevada Supreme Court reversed and remanded this case.

NRCP 56(c) mandates that motions for summary judgment shall include:
"a concise statement setting forth each fact material to the disposition of the motion which the party claims is or is not genuinely in issue, citing the particular portions of any pleading, affidavit, deposition, interrogatory, answer, admission, or other evidence upon which the party relies. The judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law."

NRCP 56(c)
Plaintiffs' motion falls woefully short of this standard and rule requirement.

## LEGAL ARGUMENT

## 1. Plaintiffs' request for entry of a new judgment should not be entertained pending

Plaintiffs' appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court in Jasminka Dubric v. A Cab, LLC et.al., District Court Case No. A721063, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492.

Plaintiffs have appealed as "Intervenors" and "Objectors" in the Dubric matter to the Nevada Supreme Court asking for an order from the high court to preclude the final order issued by Hon. Kathleen Delaney in the Dubric case from interfering with the Murray matter. A true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Opening brief to the Nevada Supreme Court is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

Plaintiffs Murray and Reno were not parties in the Dubric matter, but instead were granted
rights as Intervenors by Judge Delaney. Nonetheless, in their Opening Brief, Plaintiffs lodge a barrage of character attacks upon Judge Delaney as being biased and colluding with both parties' counsel in approving the Dubric class action settlement for the sole purpose of achieving some unseemly end; and motivated by Judge Delaney's alleged personal bias against Mr. Greenberg, Plaintiffs' counsel.

Plaintiffs' request to the Nevada Supreme Court is that Judge Delaney should be removed from the Dubric matter, and that her order must be estopped from affecting any judgment that this Court may enter in the future in this case.

Chief Judge Linda Bell already reviewed Mr. Greenberg's accusations; and denied Mr. Greenberg's request to disqualify Judge Delaney, and found no support for his accusations. In her Order attached hereto as Exhibit 4, Chief Judge Bell stated:

Mr. Greenberg does not have standing to disqualify Judge Delaney under NRS 1.235 because Mr. Greenberg is not a party to case A721063. Furthermore, Mr. Greenberg does not bring any cognizable claims supported by factual or legal allegations against Judge Delaney. Judge Delaney's rulings and actions in the course of official judicial proceedings are not evidence of bias or prejudice. Thus, the Mr. Greenberg's request to disqualify Judge Delaney is denied. Exhibit 4, Decision and Order.

Mr. Greenberg's Intervenors objected to the settlement reached by the parties in the Dubric class action case. Their objections were briefed and argued, but were overruled. Now, he has appealed arguing that the appellate court must issue an order to indicate that the Order of Final Approval entered in Dubric will not affect any future entry of order in Murray.

As this Court can read, the entire last third of Plaintiffs' opening brief is a personal attack upon the trial judge, Judge Delaney, and her conduct in entering finality to a class action settlement that was reached through the assistance of settlement judge, Hon. Jerry A. Wiese, in the court settlement program more than 5 years ago. Plaintiffs cannot proceed in good faith in simultaneously asking this district court to proceed in entering any type of new judgment, while they are seeking relief otherwise from the Nevada Supreme Court.

Plaintiffs acknowledge in their opening brief that a settlement was reached in the Dubric
matter of numerous class members, long before Judge Cory entered judgment in this matter. With the knowledge that final settlement was approved and settlement payments have already been issued, Plaintiffs are trying to hide those facts from this district court by once again pressing for a rush entry of a final judgment.

With their pending appeal, Plaintiffs are challenging the legitimacy of the Dubric settlement before the Nevada Supreme Court, but are asking this Court at the same time to ignore the Dubric final order which is in place. It should be readily apparent that Plaintiffs cannot argue out of both sides of their mouth arguing to the Nevada Supreme Court to strike down the Dubric final order because it will affect any future entry of judgment in Murray; and at the same time arguing to this District Court to ignore the Dubric final order and once again rush to enter a new judgment. This motion by Plaintiff logically must be stayed pending the decision of the Nevada Supreme Court and whether they will reverse the final order already entered by Judge Delaney on September 1, 2021.

## 2. The Court cannot move forward in entertaining argument on a new judgment before

 entering an order on the appropriate decertifications.This case has already been reversed and remanded by the Nevada Supreme Court for a new determination by the District Court to be based upon appropriate statute of limitations considerations. At all times throughout the litigation and even post-trial, the case must remain appropriate for class certification under Nev. R. Civ. P. 23. See Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp., 124 P.3d 530, 121 Nev. 837 (Nev. 2005), wherein the Nevada Supreme Court reversed the district court's class certification after the trial of this matter. "Allowing this case to proceed as a class action, at least in its entirety and without documenting a thorough NRCP 23 analysis was improper under NRCP 23(b)(3). Although we reverse the district court's judgment and remand for a new trial based on the improper certification alone, we take this opportunity to discuss other issues that are important to our constructional defect jurisprudence." Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp., 124 P.3d 530, 547, 121 Nev. 837 (Nev. 2005).

Presently, there are several factors that must be addressed as to whether this class remains appropriately certified under Nev. R. Civ. P. 23. First, the remand is clear that nearly 100 claimants must be eliminated from the class as their entire employment history was prior to the date now set by
the Nevada Supreme Court. Further, there are only 4 claimants after June 26, 2014 (2 of which were clerical errors); and thus any claims after this time period are not appropriate for class certification.

Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on October 8, 2012. Pursuant to the reversal by the Nevada Supreme Court, any claimed damages should be limited to those after October 8, 2010. It is unfortunate that it took several years, and cost A Cab several hundreds of thousands of dollars to defend this matter to arrive at this point. But respectfully, A Cab asks this District Court to now issue its order to clarify that Plaintiffs are not entitled to damages prior to October 8, 2010; and to decertify the class prior to that time frame.

A proposed stipulation and order was in fact forwarded to Plaintiffs counsel limited to this issue, but was refused. Exhibit 1.

It also cannot be disputed that there is any liability after June 26, 2014. The class is presently certified through December 31, 2015. However, the date of June 26, 2014 is the proper date to utilize as an end date for claimed damages. On that date, June 26, 2014, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion and its guidance indicating that the amendment to the Nevada Constitution pertaining to a minimum wage increase had indeed done away with the prior exemption from this statute for taxicab drivers. Thomas v. Nev. Yellow Cab Corp., 130 Nev. 484, 327 P.3d 518 (2014).

Prior to that decision, the taxicab companies including A Cab were operating under the guidance provided by the State Labor Commissioner and the belief they were in full compliance in their payroll procedures. There were also a number of conflicting judicial opinions between not only the individual departments within the Eighth Judicial District Court, but also between what the Federal Court of Nevada and the State Courts had determined. In sum, the Nevada judiciary was split as to whether the amendment to the Nevada Constitution ("AMW") now rendered the prior exemption of cab drivers moot, or whether it was merely a vote by the people to raise the present minimum wage.

Once the Nevada Supreme Court ruled in Thomas v. Nev. Yellow Cab that the AMW did in fact do away with the exemption for taxicab drivers, Defendant A Cab immediately took steps to modify its payroll procedures to ensure that no tips were included in the calculations of the minimum wage and to ensure there were no underpayments. The first payroll records following that decision
clearly reflect the change in procedures.
Defendants' position is that there is no liability for any underpayment after June 26, 2014; and that any underpayment with the exception of 2 employees would have arisen from clerical error and would be de minimus. Plaintiffs previously agreed to this stance, but did not include it in the Order submitted to and signed by the Court.

Defendants' position is supported by Plaintiffs' own spreadsheets provided in the underlying litigation. By sorting Plaintiffs' spreadsheets by payroll date, one can easily see that the majority of entries after June 26, 2014 result in zero (0) underpayments. Exhibit 5, Plaintiffs' spreadsheet sorted by payroll date. The total in fact after that date is $\$ 211.72$ for all drivers, which includes two (2) individuals Chris Norvell and Kimberly Peace, who worked during that pay period but were terminated and received their paycheck prior to the Thomas decision. Their names are highlighted with Check 12377 Norvell for $\$ 18.88$; and Check 12357 Peace for $\$ 30.55$. If those two persons are eliminated, the total underpayment is $\$ 162.29$ for all employees which arises from rounding up and rounding down for cents. There is one other individual, Zoltan Horvath, who is owed $\$ 7.02$ which is believed to be clerical error. All the rest of the entries are zero or less than $\$ 1.00$. Exhibit 5.
"Before a class action can be certified, it must be shown that the putative class has so many members that 'joinder of all members is impracticable." Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp., 124 P.3d 530, 121 Nev. 837 (Nev. 2005)

## 3. The revised spreadsheets contain errors and duplications.

A brief review of the proposed spreadsheets demonstrate that it is fraught with errors. Even reviewing the "core group" of named representative Plaintiffs, the Court can see that Michael Murray is listed twice for a double recovery. Exhibit 6. Attached also is a chart of additional errors contained in the spreadsheets. Exhibit 7.
4. The Department of Labor settlement has evidenced that numerous claimants are not active, nor entitled to recovery.

Defendants resolved claims with the Department of Labor in the matter of Perez v. A Cab, USDC Case No. 2:14-cv-1615. Defendants have already paid out any alleged underpayment for the time period of October 1, 2010 to October 1, 2012 through a settlement with the Department of

Labor which agreed that $\$ 139,988.80$ was the underpayment for a two year time period. These monies have already been paid in full. Exhibit 2, Perez v. A Cab, p. 3.

Therefore, Defendants are entitled to an offset for these settlements, which Plaintiffs do not account for in their request to the Court. Secondly, the Department of Labor with all of their government resources have been unable to locate 243 claimants. Exhibit 8. These are claimants who cannot be found or simply have refused to accept payments. Yet these claimants are included in the requested entry of judgment from Plaintiffs.

These are essentially "ghost" claimants with no claim and who Plaintiffs' counsel has simply lumped into the spreadsheets, seeking a larger unjustified judgment.

## 5. The NSC remand for a determination as to the appropriate Defendant must first be complied with before any entry of a judgment.

Glaringly absent from Plaintiffs' motion is any discussion regarding the remand from the Nevada Supreme Court which specifically stated that the District Court erred "without taking evidence on what corporate entities existed and were actually liable for the judgment." In the reversal and remand, the Nevada Supreme Court specifically stated that a determination had to be made as to which entity existed at the time and which bears liability for any damages that are determined. This is a huge issue which has affected the case throughout the litigation. Plaintiffs were repeatedly informed they had sued the wrong entity, but nonetheless upon receiving a judgment proceeded to garnish over $\$ 200,000$ from all bank accounts bearing the name "A Cab" on it. To this date, that money plus another $\$ 100,000$ is being held by Plaintiffs' counsel!

The series entities within A Cab Series, LLC all settled their claims with the class members through Dubric. Proceeding while this case is pending on appeal risks subjecting them to duplicative judgments and giving class members double recoveries, all the while leaving the A Cab Series LLC entities unable to recover funds already paid out to the class.

## CONCLUSION

For all of the reasons stated above, Defendants respectfully request that the Court deny Plaintiffs' motion in its entirety. They have failed to meet the requirements of NRCP 56, and do not move under any other rule. The other issues addressed by Defendants must be resolved before any
future entry of judgment including:

1. A decision by the Nevada Supreme Court in Plaintiffs' appeal of Jasminka Dubric v. A Cab, LLC et.al., District Court Case No. A721063, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492.
2. A decertification of the time periods as specified in both the NSC's remand and as supported in the record.
3. Corrections of the numerous errors contained in the spreadsheets.
4. The existing claimants as well as the "ghost" claimants in Perez v. A Cab, USDC Case No. 2:14-cv-1615 must be addressed before entry of judgment.
5. An order regarding who will be named in the judgment must be determined.

Clearly, a judgment naming "To Be Determined" as a Defendant cannot be entered by this Court. Plaintiffs are simply rushing this Court to enter a judgment which cannot be accomplished without engaging in error and by disregarding all of the outstanding issues. This Court must deny Plaintiffs' motion.

DATED this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2022.

# RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P. C. 

/s/ Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq. Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 006473 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Defendants

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY on this $\underline{2}^{28^{\text {th }}}$ day of February, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Eighth Judicial District Court Clerk of Court using the E-file and Serve System which will send a notice of electronic service to the following:

Leon Greenberg, Esq.
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
2965 South Jones Boulevard, Suite E4
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs

Christian Gabroy, Esq.
Gabroy Law Offices
170 South Green Valley Parkway \# 280
Henderson, Nevada 89012
Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs
/s/ Susan Dillow
An Employee of Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.

## EXHIBIT 1

## EXHIBIT 1

February 25, 2022
Via Electronic Service
Leon Greenberg, Esq.
2965 South Jones Boulevard, Suite E4
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Re: A Cab, LLC adv. Murray \& Reno; District Court Case No. A-12-669926-C
Dear Mr. Greenberg:
As a follow-up to my correspondence of February 15, 2022, I previously requested that you provide the wording you would agree to in a stipulation, in order to save the Court from having to entertain "pointless" motion practice as you described. I have not received a response in that regard. However, it does appear you are conceding in your letter of February 17, 2022, that no claim for damages exists pre-dating October 8, 2010. Accordingly, I would think at the minimum, you would agree to decertify the class for this time period. I have forwarded a proposed stipulation for you to execute, so that I do not have to file a motion on this issue. Please advise if I have your authority to submit to the Court. Thank you.

Sincerely,
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.


Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
ECR:srd enc.

SAO
Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6473
Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
702-320-8400
info@rodriguezlaw.com

Jay A. Shafer, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 006791
Cory Reade Dows \& Shafer
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
702-794-4411
jshafer@premierelegalgroup.com
Attorneys for Defendants

## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

> Plaintiffs,
vs.
A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C
Dept. No. II

## STIPULATION AND ORDER TO PARTIALLY DECERTIFY CLASS

COME NOW, Plaintiffs MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated by and through their attorney of record, LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., of Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation, and Defendants A CAB, LLC, A CAB SERIES, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY, by and through their attorneys of record, ESTHER C. Rodriguez, Esq., of Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C., and Jay A. Shafer, Esq., of Cory Reade Dows And SHAFER hereby agree and stipulate that pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 23 that the previously certified class will be partially decertified as specified below. The parties agree that said decertification is
appropriate and necessary; and good cause is warranted for the reasons as stated herein.
On June 7, 2016, this Court issued an order certifying a class to encompass "class claims as alleged in the First and Second Claims for Relief in the Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint of all persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi drivers in the State of Nevada at anytime from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2015, except such persons who file with the Court a written statement of their election to exclude themselves from the class as provided [in the Order]. Also excluded from the class is Jasminka Dubric who has filed an individual lawsuit against the defendant A Cab LLC seeking unpaid minimum wages and alleging conversion by such defendant, such case pending before this Court under Case No. A-15-721063-C."

On September 9, 2016, the office of Plaintiffs' counsel filed a declaration of class mailing indicating that 2160 notices had been mailed notifying current and former drivers of Defendant, "You are being sent this notice because you are a member of the class . . . that has been certified by the Court. Your rights as a class member are discussed in this notice."

On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its decision pertaining to Defendants' appeal in this matter. A Cab, LLC v. Michael Murray, 137 Nev. Adv. Op 84 (Dec. 30, 2021). One of the issues Defendants appealed was the district court's decision to extend the statute of limitations for the inclusion of Plaintiffs and their claims beyond the guidance previously provided by the Nevada Supreme Court in its decision of Perry v. Terrible Herbst, Inc., 383 P.3d 257, 132 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 75 (Oct. 27, 2016). The Nevada Supreme Court agreed with A Cab’s appeal and has reversed and remanded the District Court's order on this issue.

In its decision, the Nevada Supreme Court concluded that A Cab fulfilled its obligation under the Minimum Wage Act (MWA) of the Nevada Constitution, specifically Nev. Const. art. 15, § 16(A) indicating "[a]n employer shall provide written notification of the rate adjustments by July 1 following the publication of the bulletin". Said bulletin is to be published each spring by the Labor Commissioner announcing the adjusted minimum wage rates. The Nevada Supreme Court determined that the District Court erroneously interpreted the MWA thereby imposing the additional burden upon A Cab of defending Plaintiffs' claimed damages from July 1, 2007 through October 8, 2010.

The Nevada Supreme Court indicated that given the district court's incorrect reading of the MWA, "we reverse the tolling decision and conclude the drivers' claims extend backwards only two years before their suit was filed."

Accordingly, the parties agree and stipulate that the previously certified class in this matter must be partially decertified:
(1) to exclude the additional years of claimed damages for ALL class members which were erroneously included by the district court, this being the time period of July 1, 2007 through October 8, 2010; and
(2) to exclude all class members who were employed by Defendants solely within the time period of July 1, 2007 and October 8, 2010. Said class members must be notified of said exclusion from the class, as they were previously notified by Plaintiffs' counsel that they were indeed members of the class and had rights as a class member.

As such, Plaintiffs' counsel must take all necessary steps to notify these persons who were previously notified by Plaintiffs' counsel of their class status, but are now specifically excluded. Plaintiffs' counsel will bear the fees and costs associated with accomplishing said notice to correct the prior notice sent by their office. Plaintiffs' counsel will further provide proof of said notice by filing with the District Court.

Dated this $25^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2022.
LEON GREENBERG PROF. CORP.
/s/ Leon Greenberg

## Leon Greenberg, Esq.

Nevada State Bar No. 008094
2965 South Jones Blvd., \#E3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated this ${25^{\text {th }}}^{\text {day }}$ of February, 2022.
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P. C.
/s/ Esther C. Rodriguez
Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 006473
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorneys for Defendants

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2022.

Hon. Carli Kierny District Court Judge
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California State Bar Number 237231
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90 Seventh Street, Suite 3-700
San Francisco, California 94103
Telephone: (415) 625-7745
Facsimile: (415) 625-7772
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## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

THOMAS E. PEREZ, Secretary of ) Case No.: 2:14-cv-01615-JCM-VCF Labor, United States Department of ) Labor,

CONSENT JUDGMENT AGAINST
Plaintiff, ) ALL DEFENDANTS
v.

A CAB, LLC; and, CREIGHTON J. NADY an individual, )

Defendants.

Plaintiff, THOMAS PEREZ, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor (the "Secretary"); Defendant A CAB LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY, an individual, (collectively, "Defendants") having appeared through counsel, and having been duly advised on the proceedings, waive their right to answer the Secretary's Complaint and agree to resolve the matters in controversy in this civil action, and consent to the entry of this Consent Judgment in accordance herewith:
A. The Secretary filed a Complaint alleging that Defendants violated provisions of Sections 6,11(c), 15(a)(2) and 15(a)(5) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended ("FLSA" or the "Act"). 29 U.S.C. § 206, 211 (c), 215(a)(2), and (5). The Secretary's Complaint alleged that Defendants violated Sections 6 and 15(a)(2) of the FLSA by paying its employees' wages at rates less than the applicable federal minimum wage in workweeks when said employees were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce or were employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA; and Defendants violated Sections $11(\mathrm{c})$ and $15(\mathrm{a})(5)$ of the FLSA by failing to make, keep and preserve records of their employees and of the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment maintained by them as prescribed by the regulations found in 29 CFR part 516 that are issued, and from time to time amended, pursuant to section 11 (c) of the FLSA.
B. Defendants understand and agree that demanding or accepting any of the funds due employees under this Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment" or "Judgment") or threatening any employee for accepting money due under this Consent Judgment or for exercising any of their rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended ("FLSA" or "the Act"), 29 U.S.C. $\S 201$, et seq. is specifically prohibited by this Consent Judgment and may subject Defendants to equitable and legal damages, including punitive damages and civil contempt.
C. Defendants waive Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and agree to the entry of this Consent Judgment in settlement of this action, without further contest.

Therefore, upon motion of the attorneys for the Secretary, and for cause shown:
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that pursuant to Section 17 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. $\S 217$, Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them be, and they hereby are, permanently enjoined and restrained from violating the provisions of the Act, in any of the following manners:

1. Defendants shall not, contrary to Sections 6 and 15(a)(2) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and $215(a)(2)$, employ any of their employees at rates less than the applicable federal minimum wage in workweeks when said employees are engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce or are employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.
2. Defendants shall not, contrary to Sections 11 (c) and 15(a)(5) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. $\S \S 211$ (c) and 215(a)(5), fail to make, keep and preserve records of their employees and of the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment maintained by them as prescribed by the regulations found in 29 CFR part 516 that are issued, and from time to time amended, pursuant to section 11 (c) of the Act.
3. Defendants, jointly and severally, shall not continue to withhold payment of $\$ 139,834.80$, plus interest of $\$ 154.00$, which represents the unpaid minimum wage compensation hereby found to be due for the period from October 1, 2010, through October 1, 2012, to the present and former employees named in Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof, in the amounts set forth therein.

FURTHER, JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED, pursuant to Section 16(c) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216 (c), in favor of the Secretary and against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in the total amount of $\$ 139,988.80$
4. The provisions of paragraphs 3 of this Consent Judgment will be deemed satisfied when Defendants deliver the following to District Director, Wage and Hour Division, United States Department of Labor, 600 Las Vegas Blvd. S., Suite 750 Las Vegas, NV 89101-6654.
a. Within fourteen calendar days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall deliver a schedule containing the last known (home) address, social security number, home telephone number (if known), and cell phone number of those persons listed in Exhibit A.
b. PAYMENT TERMS. No later than January 2, 2015, Defendants shall deliver a cashier's check or money order in the amount of $\$ 39,988.84$ payable to the
order of the "Wage \& Hour Div., Labor," with the term "A Cab, LLC" written thereon, as the first of thirteen payments towards the back wages found due hereunder.
c. On or before the first day of each of the following 12 consecutive months, Defendants shall deliver a cashier's check or money order payable to "Wage \& Hour Div., Labor," with the term "A Cab, LLC" written thereon, in the amount of $\$ 8,333.33$, until the total amount due under the backwage provisions of this Consent Judgment has been paid in full.
5. The Secretary shall allocate and distribute the remittances, or the proceeds thereof, less deductions for employees' share of Social Security and federal withholding taxes to the persons named in the attached Exhibit A, or to their estates if that be necessary, in his sole discretion, and any money not so paid within a period of three years from the date of its receipt, because of an inability to locate the proper persons or because of their refusal to accept it, shall be then deposited in the Treasury of the United States, as miscellaneous receipts, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. $\S 216$ (c). The Secretary shall be responsible for deducting the employee's share of FICA and federal income taxes from the amounts paid to the persons named in the attached Exhibit A, and for remitting said deductions to the appropriate federal agencies.
6. Defendants shall not request, solicit, suggest, or coerce, directly, or indirectly, any employee to return or to offer to return to any Defendant or to any person acting on behalf of any Defendant, any money in the form of cash, check, or any other form, for wages previously due or to become due in the future to said employee under the provisions of this judgment or the Act; nor shall any Defendant accept, or receive from any employee, either directly or indirectly, any money in the form of cash, check, or any other form, for wages heretofore or hereafter paid to said employee under the provisions of this judgment or the Act; nor shall Defendants discharge or in any other manner discriminate, nor solicit or encourage anyone else to discriminate, against any such employee because such employee has received or retained money due to him from the Defendants under the provisions of this judgment or the Act. Defendants shall pay all wages owed to their employees "free and clear," as required by 29 C.F.R. § 531.35.
Consent Judgment5
12. Each party shall bear all fees and other expenses (including court costs) incurred by such party in connection with any stage of this proceeding to date; and it is further,

ORDERED that the parties to the instant complaint shall comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment;

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for purposes of enforcing compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment; and

Dated November 5, 2014.


Consented to By:

For Plaintiffs:
M. PATRICIA SMITH

Solicitor of Labor
JANET M. HEROLD
Regional Solicitor
SUSAN SELETSKY


ANDREW J.SCHULTZ
Trial Attorney
Attorneys for U.S. Department of Labor

Dated: $\qquad$ 2014
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Date: February 2, 2022
/s/ Leon Greenberg
Leon Greenberg, Esq. (Bar \# 8094)
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(702) 383-6085

Attorney of record for Appellants
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## JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

This Court has jurisdiction over this appeal as an appeal from a final judgment as provided for by NRAP $3 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~b})(1)$.

The final judgment appealed from was entered by the district court and served electronically with notice of entry on September 1, 2021. The notice of appeal was served and filed electronically on September 8, 2021.

## NRAP RULE 17 ROUTING STATEMENT

This appeal is not presumptively assigned to either the Supreme Court or the Court of Appeals under NRAP Rule 17.

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED

This appeal presents the following issues:
(1) Did the district court err in refusing to exclude from any class action it certified the claims already adjudicated in Murray v. A Cab, Eighth Judicial District Court, A-12-669926-C, and incorporated into the Murray final judgment appealed to this Court?
(2) Did the district court err by denying recusal of District Judge Kathleen Delaney and/or should other curative measures be directed upon remand?

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The district court's final judgment of August 31, 2021, granted final approval of a class action settlement pursuant to NRCP Rule 23. AA ${ }^{1}$ 1949-1958. It resolved the claims of all members of such certified class pursuant to a settlement agreement between Respondent, and sole plaintiff in the district court, Jasminka Dubric ("Dubric") and Respondents, and defendants in the district court, A Cab LLC, A Cab Series LLC, Employee Leasing Company, Creighton J. Nady, and Does 3 through 20 (collectively "A Cab"). Id. In exchange for the release of class claims granted by such final judgment, A Cab was to make payments not exceeding $\$ 219,529$ to the class members. AA 1953-54. The released class claims were for all minimum wages owed by A Cab to the class members, its taxi driver employees, under the Nevada Constitution, Article 15, Section 16, the Minimum Wage Amendment (the "MWA") or for any other reason. AA 108-111, 121-22, 1954-55. That release is for the period after April 1, 2009. AA 1952. Yet Dubric commenced this case on July 7, 2015, and could not have secured a judgment at trial on MWA claims pre-dating July 7, 2013, under the two year MWA statute of limitations. AA 8. See, Perry v. Terrible Herbst, 383 P.3d 257, 262 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2016).
${ }^{1}$ Appellants' Appendix is referenced as "AA."

On August 21, 2018, the district court in another class action case, heard in a different department by a different district judge, Murray v. A Cab, A-12-669926C, entered a final judgment on the MWA claims of a class of 890 taxi drivers and against A Cab for $\$ 1,033,027$. AA $809-872$. The Murray case was commenced almost three years earlier, on October 8, 2012, and could collect MWA damages from on or after October 8, 2010. AA 1-7. That final judgment was appealed to this Court and affirmed in part and reversed in part on December 30, 2021, and upon remittitur to the district court will be reduced to approximately $\$ 675,000 .{ }^{2}$ See, 137 Nev . Adv. Op. 84. The class granted final certification in this case includes at least 797 of the 890 members of the Murray class of MWA judgment creditors and purports to release those Murray judgment amounts for payments totaling less than $\$ 196,000$. AA 1491-1519, 1536-1541.

Appellants, Michael Murray, Michael Reno, Michael Sargent, Marco Bakhtiari, Michael Brauchle, Thomas Cohoon, Gary Gray, Jordan Hansen, Roger Keller, Chris D. Norvell, Polly Rholas and Gerrie Weaver (collectively "the Taxi Drivers") were granted Intervention in the district court as the Murray class of 890

[^2]judgment creditors. AA 1671. The district court's judgment expressly excluded Murray, Reno and Sargent as class members in this case. AA 1952. Appellants objected to the class settlement in this case of all MWA claims entered into the final judgment in Murray and the purported release of the Murray judgment by that settlement. AA 1788-1797. The district court rejected the Taxi Drivers' request the class action certification and settlement in this case exclude all claims adjudicated in Murray for the 890 Murray judgment creditors. AA 1949-1958.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

This lawsuit was filed on July 7, 2015, for minimum wages under the Nevada Constitution, Article 15, Section 16, the Minimum Wage Amendment (the "MWA") and for conversion. AA 8-18. Dubric, who remained the only plaintiff throughout the case, asserted claims on behalf of a putative class of A Cab taxi drivers. Id. Dubric did not move for class action certification prior to proposing a class action settlement. The putative class action MWA claims made by Dubric were asserted in an earlier case, filed on October 8, 2012, Murray v. A Cab, A-12-669926-C. AA 1-8. Those claims were granted class action certification in Murray by a motion initially heard on November 3, 2015, as confirmed in Orders entered February 10, 2016 and, as modified by reconsideration, on June 7, 2016. AA 876-888. That Order granting class action certification also enjoined the

Murray class members from compromising the Murray class claims except by a future Order issued in Murray. AA 887.

On January 17, 2017, Dubric and A Cab jointly moved the district court on an Order Shortening Time for preliminary approval of a proposed class action settlement. AA 80-138. On January 18, 2017, the Taxi Drivers moved to intervene and on January 27, 2017, they filed opposition to that proposed class action settlement. AA 46-79, 139-281. They advised the district court of the Murray case enjoining the Murray class members from settling the class claims certified in Murray except pursuant to a further order in Murray. AA 143-144. They further advised even if the proposed class action settlement was within the subject matter jurisdiction of this case, it was collusive, lacked any rational basis, and contained terms making it unfair and incapable of approval as a matter of law. AA 145-148, 151-157.

The district court denied intervention on February 14, 2017, denying the Taxi Drivers any opportunity to be heard in opposition to the motion for preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement. AA 1969-1970. It set a preliminary approval motion hearing for February 16, 2017, but did not proceed with that hearing because an injunction was issued in Murray on that same day enjoining A Cab from proceeding with any class MWA settlement except in

## Murray. AA 1107-1113.

On June 17, 2017, a final judgment was entered against Dubric and in favor of A Cab for $\$ 51,644.55$ in Dubric v. A Cab et al, United States District Court, District of Nevada, 15-cv-2136. AA 1082-1083.

On August 10, 2017, Dubric filed a motion for summary judgment against A Cab solely on her individual claim. AA 282-291. In that motion Dubric stated her putative class claims should be dismissed because the class action certified in Murray provided an appropriate means of redress for those claims. AA 290-291. The district court at the September 12, 2017, hearing on that motion granted summary judgment to Dubric, denied A Cab's counter-motion to dismiss, stated it "will recognize the voluntary dismissal" of the "class members" and reserved a ruling on Dubric's individual damages award. AA 312, 323-324.

In response to Dubric's pursuit of summary judgment individually, and abandonment of any putative class claims, A Cab filed a motion on October 4, 2017, seeking sanctions against Dubric's counsel pursuant to NRCP Rule 11. AA 327-394. The district court heard that motion on November 7, 2017, during which A Cab's counsel insisted the case was "a multi-million dollar class action." AA 425. The district court reserved decision on the motion. AA 433-434.

On April 23, 2018, Dubric and A Cab jointly requested a status conference
as a result of this Court's Order of April 6, 2018, dissolving the 2017 Murray injunction against A Cab. AA 437-442. On May 9, 2018, the district court issued a minute order setting a May 15, 2018, hearing for "Further Proceedings" and reciting "the parties jointly requested via a chambers conference call to withdraw two matters previously taken under advisement" and those matters were "WITHDRAWN as MOOT." AA 443. On May 10, 2018, the Taxi Drivers filed a motion on order shortening time to intervene and continue the May 15, 2018 hearing. AA 444-624. That motion reiterated the objections to the proposed settlement raised in the Taxi Driver's January 27, 2017, opposition to the motion for preliminary approval of the settlement. It also advised the district court Dubric was now a $\$ 51,664$ judgment debtor of A Cab, disqualifying her, as a matter of law, from representing a class of persons holding claims against A Cab. AA 446.

On May 15, 2018, the district court directed Dubric and A Cab to proceed on May 24, 2018, with a hearing on their joint motion for preliminary approval of their proposed class action settlement. AA 657 . It also denied intervention to the Taxi Drivers; denied their request for a two week continuance of the preliminary approval hearing until Murray ruled on pending motions for consolidation (that pending motion's hearing being delayed by the death of Judge Cory's wife) and for contempt against A Cab, and summary judgment; denied their request for a stay to
seek writ relief; and also ruled the Taxi Drivers could not present opposition at the preliminary approval hearing since they were being denied intervention. AA, 636639, 650-656. On May 21, 2018, the Taxi Drivers filed a Petition with this Court, Murray v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., No. 75877, seeking a writ to reverse the district court's denial of intervention. AA 660-688. On May 23, 2018, this Court Ordered Dubric and A Cab to answer that Petition. AA 987-988.

The district court held a preliminary settlement approval hearing on May 24, 2018. AA 689-754. At that hearing it granted preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement and directed Dubric's counsel submit an order setting forth its findings. AA Transcript 747-753. On May 25, 2018, a panel of this Court, over a dissent, denied the Taxi Drivers' motion to stay the district court proceedings. AA 1318-1320.

On August 21, 2018, a final judgment was entered in Murray in favor of 890 class members and against A Cab for $\$ 1,033,027$. AA 809-872. On September 13, 2018, this Court dismissed as "moot" the Taxi Drivers' still pending Petition because the Murray judgment "resolved" the class claims. AA 990-991.

On February 15, 2019, the district court issued an Order to "statistically close" this case based on a "Stipulated Judgment." AA 957.

On October 4, 2019, A Cab requested a "Status Check" with the Court "to
address the settlement documents that are before the Court." AA 961-982. With that request was a proposed form of order granting preliminary approval to the proposed class action settlement. AA 964-982. On October 19, 2019, the Taxi Drivers, on an order shortening time, moved to intervene and deny preliminary approval to the proposed class action settlement, based on the 890 Murray judgments and the district court's resulting lack of subject matter jurisdiction in this case over those judgments. AA 785-1166. That relief was also sought based on the settlement being collusive and unfair and Dubric's inability to represent the class, as detailed in the Taxi Drivers' previously filed motions to intervene and opposition to the proposed settlement. Id.

On October 29, 2019, the Taxi Drivers filed a motion to recuse District Judge Delaney based on her bias against the Taxi Drivers' counsel. AA 11671177. The Taxi Drivers' counsel in 2016 filed a petition with this Court, Case No. 70763, to compel Judge Delaney to issue a decision on a long pending motion in another case ("Teseme"). This Court ordered Judge Delaney to answer that petition, she refused to answer it, and this Court then granted such petition to the extent of compelling Judge Delaney to decide the long-pending Teseme motion. AA 1173-1174, 1176-1177. Judge Delaney declined to recuse herself. AA 12861288. A Cab asked to be heard on the Taxi Driver's recusal motion, asserting it
made "unfounded allegations" against its counsel and Judge Delaney. AA 11781181. On November 18, 2019, District Judge Linda Bell denied the motion to recuse Judge Delaney, finding the Taxi Drivers as non-parties lacked standing to seek recusal and there was no basis to recuse Judge Delaney. AA 1290-1295.

On December 17, 2019, the district court heard and granted the Taxi Drivers' motion for intervention and denied their motion to deny preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement. AA 1824-1829. It also directed the Taxi Drivers be provided with additional information on the notice that was to be sent to the proposed class members at least 10 days before the next hearing. AA 1825-1826. It found that the concerns of the Taxi Drivers would be further heard at the next hearing on January 30, 2020. AA 1827. The Taxi Drivers submitted a supplemental briefing regarding the proposed preliminary approval order on January 27, 2020. AA 1386-1542. The Taxi Drivers objected to that order requiring any Murray class action judgment creditor who wanted to be excluded from the class settlement in this case personally file an exclusion request and prohibiting the Murray class counsel (the Taxi Drivers' counsel) from filing such exclusion requests. AA 1393. On October 11, 2020, the district court rejected the Taxi Drivers' objections and entered an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement as proposed by Dubric and A Cab. AA 1625-1642. On October 26,

2020, the Taxi Drivers, filed a motion to rehear or amend and correct that order because, among other things, it was incomplete - it specified the form of notice to the class was at Exhibit " 1 " but it contained no such Exhibit. AA 1643-1696. The district court heard that motion on November 10, 2020, and in an order entered on February 25, 2021, granted in part and denied in part that motion. AA 1830-1834. It also held it would consider the Taxi Drivers' objections to the proposed settlement when it held a final class action settlement approval hearing. AA 1833.

The Taxi Drivers filed a Petition with this Court on November 20, 2020. See, Murray v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., Case No. 82126. This Court directed an answer to the Petition and on December 10, 2020, denied it, finding that the Taxi Drivers will be allowed to participate in the district court's still to be held final approval hearing and "....may appeal from any judgment following that hearing." AA 1821-1822.

On December 4, 2020, the Taxi Drivers filed objections to the final approval of the proposed class action settlement and opposition to the motion seeking its final approval. AA 1788-1820. On March 11, 2021, the district court held a hearing at which it granted final approval of the proposed class action settlement and rejected all of the Taxi Drivers' objections. AA 1839-1897. On August 31, 2021, the district court entered an order granting final approval of the proposed
class action settlement entering a final judgment, served with notice of entry on September 1, 2021. AA 1898-1912. That order denied the Taxi Drivers' request the class action certification and settlement in this case exclude all claims adjudicated in Murray for the 890 Murray judgment creditors. AA 1949-1958. The resulting final judgment entered by the district court purports to release the MWA claims of all class members in this case, including, in exchange for a payment of less than $\$ 196,000$, at least 797 of the 890 Murray judgment creditors. AA 1491-1519, 1536-1541. On September 8, 2021, the Taxi Drivers filed and served a notice of appeal. AA 1913-2001. On December 30, 2021, this Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the Murray judgment which upon remittitur will be reduced to approximately $\$ 675,000 .^{3}$ See, 137 Nev . Adv. Op. 84.

## SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to release or modify any aspect of the final judgment entered in Murray. This Court's Order of September 13, 2018, dismissing without prejudice the Taxi Drivers' first writ Petition (Case No. 75877), recognized that the Murray final judgment "resolved" the claims of the

[^3]890 Murray class member judgment creditors. AA 990-991. The district court's entry of a final judgment purporting to include claims adjudicated in the Murray final judgment was ultra vires and void.

The district court improperly approved a manifestly collusive class action settlement. Dubric was a judgment debtor of A Cab for over \$50,000 and incompetent as a matter of law to serve as a class representative but was approved to release, for less than $\$ 300,000$, the class action liability of A Cab for over $\$ 1,600,000$ as a judgment-debtor in Murray. That settlement included claims for a time period that Dubric could not prosecute and well beyond the statute of limitations in her case - the only purpose of doing that was to release the much earlier in time filed Murray class claims and judgment. The settlement was an artifice for A Cab, in exchange for a $\$ 5,000$ payment to Dubric and a $\$ 57,500$ payment to her attorneys, to purportedly vacate the Murray judgment and distract the Murray counsel from collecting the Murray judgment. Its substantive terms were irrational and it was impossible for that settlement to be fair or reasonable even if it did not purport to release the Murray judgment.

District Judge Delaney's approval of the proposed class action settlement, and her refusal to allow the Taxi Drivers' counsel to exclude his clients, the Murray final judgment creditors, from that settlement, can only be attributed to an
improper motive. She should be disqualified from further proceedings in this case.

## APPLICABLE STANDARD OF REVIEW

Whether the district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to enter the final judgment appealed from is a question of law reviewed by this Court de novo. Ogawa v. Ogawa, 221 P.3d 699, 704 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2009).

Whether the district court erred in approving the notice program of a class action settlement, in respect to the requirements of due process and Rule 23 , is a question of law reviewed de novo. See, Roes, 1-2 v. SFBSC Management, LLC, 944 F.3d 1035, 1043 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2019) and other authorities discussed in Newberg on Class Actions, $5^{\text {th }}$ Ed. § 14.19.

Whether the district court erred in finding the relevant facts rendered the terms of the class action settlement appropriate and worthy of final approval is reviewed for an abuse of discretion. See, Marcuse v. Del Webb Communities, Inc., 163 P.3d 462, 467 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2007) (applying, without discussion, abuse of discretion standard) and authorities discussed in Newherg on Class Actions, $5^{\text {th }}$ Ed. § 14.19. The district court's factual findings supporting its decision to grant class action certification as part of its approval of the class action settlement is reviewed for an abuse of discretion, with the district court having the obligation of documenting it has conducted "a thorough NRCP 23 analysis" of the issues.

Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp., 124 P.3d 530, 537, 546-47 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2005). The district court's findings of law supporting its decision to grant class action certification are reviewed under a de novo standard. See, B.K. by next friend Tinsley v. Snyder, 922 F.3d 957, 965 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2019) and authorities discussed in Newberg on Class Actions, $5^{\text {th }}$ Ed. § 14.19.

This Court has applied an abuse of discretion standard when reviewing a denial of a request for a district judge's recusal. See, Ivey v. Dist. Ct., 299 P.3d 354, 359 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2013) and Rivero v. Rivero, 216 P.3d 213, 233 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2009). While that is the prevalent standard of review, a de novo standard of review has been used when a recusal request involves "undisputed facts" raising an issue as to how a "reasonable person would view" a jurist's "ability to be impartial." See, Jolie v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County, 66 Cal. App. $5^{\text {th }}$ 1025, 1041 (Cal. Ct. App. 2021).

## ARGUMENT

I. The district court had no subject matter jurisdiction over the 890 Murray class member claims adjudicated into the Murray final judgment; the final judgment it rendered purporting to resolve those claims is void.

## A. The final judgment was intended to resolve the Murray final judgment even though the district court contradictorily and improperly defined the settlement class.

The district court's order granting final approval to the class action
settlement and directing entry of judgment defines the settlement class as follows:
The Class shall consist of "all persons who were employed by A Cab, LLC and/or A Cab Series, LLC, Employee Leasing Company during the applicable statutory period prior to the filing of this Complaint continuing until date of judgment as Drivers in the State of Nevada." More specifically, the Settlement Class is defined as all current and former hourly paid Drivers employed by A Cab, LLC and/or A Cab Series LLC, Employee Leasing Company at any time from April 1, 2009 through July 2, 2014. AA 1952.

The "applicable statutory period prior to the filing" of the complaint, for the recovery of unpaid minimum wages under Nevada law, is two years. Perry, 383 P.3d at 262. The complaint was filed on July 7, 2015. AA 8. This would mean the settlement class consists of all employees of A Cab for the two preceding years, from July 7, 2013, through date of judgment, September 1, 2021. Yet the settlement class is also "more specifically" defined as "all current and former hourly paid Drivers" of A Cab during the time period "April 1, 2009 through July

2, 2014." These two definitions of the settlement class are contradictory. And if the "more specifically" stated definition were applied there would be no settlement class members, as A Cab did not employ "hourly paid drivers" - as alleged in the complaint it paid its drivers "based on a 'commission'" that was a percentage of the taxi fares. AA 10.

There are 890 Murray class members and intervenors with MWA claims against A Cab resolved by the Murray final judgment. AA 809-872. The final judgment in this case, by incomprehensibly defining the settlement class, fails to explain what class member claims are resolved. But it is clear the parties, and the district court, intended to have the claims of the 890 Murray class member judgment creditors resolved by that final judgment. This is demonstrated by A Cab's production of a list of 1,115 identified class members to whom notice of the settlement was to be mailed; at least 797 of those class members were confirmed to be among the 890 Murray class member judgment creditors. AA 1537. It is also confirmed by the final judgment's incorporation of the parties' settlement agreement's releases and definitions. AA 1954-1955. Those definitions and releases cover "any and all claims" for any "debts" or "rights" possessed by the settlement class members against A Cab that in any fashion involves the claims made in the complaint. Id. and AA 108-111, 121-122. And as discussed, infra,

Dubric could never have secured class certification of any claims against A Cab the only reason for A Cab to enter into a class settlement with Dubric was to resolve the Murray judgment.

Given the district court's intent to enter a final judgment purporting to settle and release the Murray judgment, this Court should not merely reverse the district court for contradictorily defining the settlement class in its final judgment. Doing so, and remanding for a correction of the same by the district court would, unless Judge Delaney was also recused, result in further improper proceedings. The parties' intent, with Judge Delaney's agreement, to enter into a collusive settlement extinguishing the Murray judgment and class claims is overwhelmingly clear. This Court, in any remand to the district court, should also direct that the district court expressly exclude the Murray judgment and class member claims from any class action settlement or disposition it enters as part of a final judgment in this case.

## B. The district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to release or settle the claims of the $\mathbf{8 9 0}$ class members that were adjudicated by the Murray final judgment and its final judgment purporting to do so is void.

As this Court recognized in its Order of September 13, 2018, dismissing without prejudice the Taxi Drivers' first writ Petition (Case No. 75877), the Murray final judgment "resolved" the 890 Murray class member claims that were
adjudicated into that judgment. AA 990-991. The Murray final judgment rendered the request for writ relief "moot" since the district court proceedings no longer threatened to impair the interests of the Murray class members. Id. The district court was left free to "proceed differently" in this case, e.g., proceed with a class action disposition that did not involve the now resolved 890 Murray class member claims. Id. Rather than respect this Court's Order, the district court did not "proceed differently" but in the same fashion that gave rise to the mooted writ petition: it granted final approval of a settlement class that included the 890 class member claims resolved by the Murray final judgment.

Once a claim has been resolved by a final judgment entered by the district court, as occurred for the 890 Murray class members' claims, such final judgment cannot be modified or vacated by the district court "...except in conformity with the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure." Greene v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 900 P.2d 184, 186 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 1999). "[O]nce a final judgment is entered, the district court lacks jurisdiction to reopen it, absent a proper and timely motion under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure." SFPP L.P. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct., 173 P.3d 715, 717 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2007)

The judgement's release, as part of the settlement class in this case, of the 890 class members' claims contained in the Murray final judgment, did not rely
upon any of the provisions of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. Nor did the applicable provisions of those rules, NRCP Rules 59 and 60, provide a basis for it to do so.

The district court in this case lacked subject matter jurisdiction to release, modify, or settle, any rights or obligations arising from the Murray judgment jurisdiction to do so was vested solely in this Court pursuant to the notice of appeal filed in Murray (Case No. 77050). See, Mack-Manley v. Manley, 138 P.3d 525, 529-30 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2006). Accordingly, the district court's order and final judgment in this case purporting to do so was void. See, also, Jeep Corp. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct., 652 P.2d 1183, 1186-87 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 1982) (Purported judgment entered by District Judge was "void ab initio" as the district court's jurisdiction "ended" with the entry of final judgment); SFPP, $L P, 173$ P.3d at 718 ("Nevada district courts retain jurisdiction until a final judgment has been entered" and the district court "lacked jurisdiction to conduct any further proceedings with respect to the matters resolved in the judgment unless it was first properly set aside or vacated."); Lemkuil v. Lemkuil, 551 P.2d 427, 429 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 1976) (Later filed action in different department of same district court involving same dispute of parties was properly dismissed as all issues had to be dealt with in the earlier action "[i]n Nevada, once a court of competent jurisdiction assumes jurisdiction over a
particular subject matter, no other court of coordinate jurisdiction may interfere." citing Metcalfe v. District Court, 274 P. 5 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 1929) and Landreth v. Malik, 251 P.3d 163, 166 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2011) (Judgment purported to be rendered by district court lacking subject matter jurisdiction is void, citing State Indus. Ins. System v. Sleeper, 679 P.2d 1273, 1274 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 1984)). See, also, Blair v. Equifax Check Services, Inc., 181 F.3d 832, 838 ( $7^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1999) (discussing multiple class actions involving same claims; normal rules of preclusion require that the first to reach final judgment be controlling). ${ }^{4}$

## II. The district court failed to scrutinize the proposed class action

 settlement and make findings; its approval of the settlement was improper as the settlement was irrational and unreasonable.
## A. The district court must act as a fiduciary of the class members when it approves a class action settlement and the parties proposing that settlement have the burden of establishing settlement approval is appropriate.

Courts act in a "fiduciary role" when approving class action settlements. See, Newberg on Class Actions, $5^{\text {th }}$ Ed. § 13:40. They discharge their "fiduciary duty" to the absent class members by ensuring the settlement is not tainted by collusion and the plaintiffs and their counsel have not "sold out" the class for their own

[^4]benefit. Id. Because they perform such functions in an "information vacuum," typically possessing information from only the settlement's proponents, they must act "in the role of a skeptical client and critically examine the class certification elements, the proposed settlement terms and procedures for implementation." Id. citing and quoting Manual for Complex Litigation, $4^{\text {th }}$ Ed. § 21.61. This obligation to independently and rigorously scrutinize proposed class action settlements, as a fiduciary of the class members and to ensure their fairness, is well established and unquestioned. See, Grunin v. Int'l House of Pancakes, 513 F.2d 114, 123 ( $8^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1975) cert. denied, 423 U.S. 864 (1975), the authorities cited therein and subsequent decisions.

The proponents of a class action settlement bear the burden of convincing the district court that such a settlement warrants final approval. See, Grunin, 513 F.2d at 123 ("Under Rule 23(e) the district court acts as a fiduciary who must serve as a guardian of the rights of absent class members.... [T]he court cannot accept a settlement that the proponents have not shown to be fair, reasonable and adequate.") citing City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp., 495 F.2d 448, 455 (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Cir. 1974); United Founders Life Ins. Co. v. Consumers Nat. Life Ins. Co., 447 F.2d 647, 655-56 ( $7^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1971) and Young v. Katz, 447 F.2d 431, 433 (5 $5^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1971). This holding and language of Grunin, placing the burden of justifying settlement
approval on a class action settlement's proponents, has been recited and adopted in every subsequent case discussing the issue. See, In re GM Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Products Liability Litig., 55 F.3d 768, 785 (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Cir. 1995); Holmes v. Continental Can Co., 706 F.2d 1144, 1147 (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1983); and Ballard v. Martin, 79 S.W. 3d 564, 574 (Sup. Ct. Ark. 2002). See, also, Manual for Complex

Litigation, $4^{\text {th }}$ Ed., $\S 21.631$ ("settling parties bear the burden of persuasion that the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate").

## B. The district court must make detailed findings explaining its decision to approve a class action settlement and its resolution of any objections to that settlement.

This Court has not opined on the specific factors a district court must weigh, and specific findings it must make, in approving a class action settlement, though it likely would require consideration of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal's ${ }^{5}$ eight

Churchill factors. ${ }^{6}$ See, Kim v. Allison, 8. F.4th 1170, 1178 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2021), citing

[^5]In re Bluetooth Headset Prod. Liab., 654 F.3d, 935, 946 (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2011); and Churchill Vill. v. Genl. Elec., 361 F.3d 566 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2004). A district court must make findings that "....show it has explored these factors comprehensively to survive appellate review." Kim, id., citing and quoting In re Mego Financial Corp. Securities Lit., 213 F.3d 454, 458 ( ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2000) citing Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp., 150 F.3d 1011, 1026 (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1998).

This Court should also require that a district court's rejection of objections to a class action settlement be explained by sufficiently detailed findings and conclusions to allow intelligible appellate review, the standard adopted by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. See, Mandujano v. Basic Vegetable Products, Inc., 541 F.2d 832, 834-36 (9th Cir. 1976) (objections to class action settlement must be "carefully reviewed" and "set forth in the record a reasoned response" to the same, and even if the objection is without substance the trial court shall "set forth on the record its reasons for so considering the same"). "Moreover, those findings and conclusions should not be based simply on the arguments and recommendations of counsel." Plummer v. Chemical Bank, 668 F.2d 654, 659 (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Cir. 1982) (citing with approval Mandujano and expanding on its holding). A thorough evidentiary hearing can suffice in lieu of the express findings of fact and conclusions of law directed by Mandujano. See, In re Pacific Enterprises Sec. Litig. 47 F.3d 373, 378
(9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1995) (district court recital it found class settlement "fair, reasonable and adequate" is insufficient under Mandujano; district court's "extensive settlement hearing" where it considered and explained its rejection of objections, and where it also partially adopted them by modifying attorney fee award, created sufficient record). See, also, Thomas v. Albright, 139 F.3d 227, 233 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (hearings where testimony was taken from all parties on settlement approval established record required by Mandujano justifying approval over objections).

> C. The district court made no findings supporting its decision to approve the settlement and overrule the objections; the parties did not satisfy their burden of showing settlement approval was proper; the settlement was irrational and unfair and was not capable of approval as a matter of law.

## 1. The district court made no findings.

The district court's order granting final settlement approval makes none of the findings required by Kim, discusses none of the eight Churchill factors, and provides no explanation why it was approving the settlement. AA 1898-1912. It noted that the settlement objections were considered, but it made no findings as to those objections. Id., AA 1900-1901. At the final approval hearing the district court heard arguments from the objector's counsel. AA 1839-1897. But it made no findings as to the objections or its approval of the settlement. It just stated orally it was "not persuaded" by those objections and that it was concluding that
the settlement was "fair, reasonable and adequate." Id. AA 1892-1895.

## 2. The parties proposing the settlement did not meet their burden of establishing it warranted final approval; they proffered no rational basis for its approval, only their unexplained opinions.

In her motion for final approval of the settlement Dubric asserted that "extensive discovery" and an "extensive analysis with respect to all claims in the case and all potential defenses thereto" supported final approval of the settlement. AA 1710. None of that alleged discovery or analysis is discussed or cited to support the parties' assertion that "the proposed class recovery is justified and reasonable" except for the two-page report of Nicole S. Omps, CPA (the "Omps Report"). AA 97, 133-135.

The nonsensical methodology and settlement metric used by the Omps Report, discussed infra, if actually applied, would establish that the proposed class settlement amount is grossly inadequate. As a result, the parties submitted nothing to the district court supporting approval of the settlement, except the opinions of their counsel. While "the experience and views of counsel" is one of the eight Churchill factors properly weighed by the district court, 361 F.3d at 575, it cannot be the only factor relied upon to grant settlement approval. Yet that is all the district court had before it and upon which it based its settlement approval. Having
submitted nothing to the district court, except the opinions of their counsel, the parties, as a matter of law, failed to meet their burden of establishing approval of their settlement was appropriate and the district court erred in granting such approval.

## 3. The settlement was irrational and incapable of being found fair, reasonable and adequate on the record presented (or any record).

There is nothing in the record supporting the settlement and some of its terms are so improper final approval would be erroneous irrespective of what further facts might exist.

The parties asked the district court, based on the Omps Report, to find that the settlement warranted final approval. The Omps Report stated a prior United States Department of Labor ("USDOL") investigation found, during a two year period, that A Cab had underpaid minimum wages to its taxi drivers in an amount equal to $2.161585 \%$ of those taxi drivers' gross pay. AA 135 . It applied that percentage to A Cab's gross payroll of $\$ 6,476,209.51$ for the proposed settlement period and concluded that "an estimated settlement range of \$224,258.65 to $\$ 471,651.13 "$ was appropriate. Id.

Neither Omps, the parties, or the district court, explain why the metric used in the Omps Report, a percentage of payroll represented by an earlier minimum
wage settlement, was germane to determining whether the proposed settlement was fair. It was not. The unpaid minimum wages owed to the class might be reasonably estimated by examining the hours worked by, and wages actually paid to, the class or a sample of the class. That was not done.

Nor did the USDOL make the determination Omps claimed justified the settlement: that A Cab had underpaid its taxi drivers $\$ 139,988.80$ in minimum wages representing $2.161585 \%$ of the gross payroll. That amount, $\$ 139,988.80$, was what the USDOL settled its lawsuit against A Cab for, not what it found A Cab owed in unpaid minimum wages. ${ }^{7}$ AA 210. The USDOL found A Cab owed $\$ 2,040,530.05$ in minimum wages to its taxi drivers. AA 207, 210. This means the metric used by Omps and the parties and adopted by the district court, A Cab's "gross payroll underpay percentage," was actually $31.50809 \%$. The resulting minimum fair settlement under that metric would be in excess of $\$ 3,139,528$, over 14 times larger than the approved settlement amount of $\$ 224,452.65$.

Even if the amount of the settlement was justified it could not be properly approved, as it makes irrational settlement payments, quite possibly to numerous persons who have no unpaid minimum wage claims and are not properly made

[^6]class members.
The settlement makes all drivers employed by A Cab class members; it makes settlement payments based on "the number of workweeks each Class Member worked during the statutory period"; and provides that class members who "previously settled" or "adjudicated" minimum wage claims against A Cab "are not entitled to receive any benefit" from the settlement. AA 109, 119-120. This means Taxi Drivers who received a payment from the prior USDOL settlement, or adjudicated their claims in the Murray case, will have their legal rights resolved by the settlement, since they are class members, but are to receive no benefit from the settlement. Id. That is nonsensical.

The parties have further confused the issue of how settlement funds are to be distributed by listing the 1,115 identified class members with their "total weeks" worked and their total weeks worked minus "weeks in DOL audit period." AA 1448-1488, 1536-1537. This indicates settlement funds are to be distributed, pro rata, among 1,115 class members based on the weeks they worked after offsetting their "DOL audit period" weeks. If that "DOL audit period" offset is used nothing will be paid to 243 class members, including 198 Murray judgment creditors owed $\$ 120,971.83$ of the Murray judgment. AA 1528-1534, 1540-1541. Alternatively, if the prior settlement payments made by the USDOL were used as a dollar for
dollar offset 104 Murray judgment holders will be paid nothing under the settlement in exchange for a release of $\$ 183,598.17$ of the Murray judgment. AA 1541. The district court's final approval order fails to specify how this "per workweek" pro rata distribution will be made, allowing the parties and their agent to make that distribution however they choose. ${ }^{8}$

No rationale was given for distributing settlement funds on a "per week worked" basis to every A Cab taxi driver. The class claims are for unpaid minimum wages. Taxi drivers who possess no claim for unpaid minimum wages are not proper class members. Those possessing such claims, and the amount of their claims, is ascertainable by examining the hours worked, and wages paid, each week to each driver. And if precise information is lacking, a reasonable estimate or approximation, based on the available payroll information, could be used to determine who is a class member owed unpaid minimum wages and the amount so owed. The settlement's distribution of funds blindly to every driver based on their

[^7]weeks worked has no relationship to any unpaid minimum wages owed by A Cab. It may result in large settlement payments to persons who have no unpaid minimum wage claims and are not properly made class members. ${ }^{9}$

The settlement agreement also improperly allows A Cab to retain all funds from uncashed settlement checks. AA 118-120. This allows A Cab to coerce its current employees to not cash their settlement checks so it can retain those funds.

## III. The district court's approval of an indisputably collusive class action settlement was not the product of mere error or neglect; recusal or other restrictions on post-remand proceedings should be imposed.

The district court's dereliction of its duty went far beyond a failure to examine the proposed class action settlement and make findings weighing the Churchill factors or any other relevant factors. The district court had an equally weighty duty to "scrutinize the settlement for evidence of collusion or conflicts of interest before approving the settlement as fair." Kim, 8 F.4th at 1179, citing and quoting In re Bluetooth Headset Prod. Liab. Litig., 654 F.3d at 946 and Briseno v. Henderson, 998 F.3d 1014, 1025-26 (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2021). And in cases such as this,

[^8]where a defendant consents to class certification so they may secure a class settlement of all claims, the district court in granting settlement approval must utilize "...an even higher level of scrutiny for evidence of collusion or other conflicts of interest.,." In re Bluetooth Headset Prod. Liab. Litig., 654 F.3d at 946 and authorities cited therein.

The district court was willfully blind to the overwhelming evidence that Dubric and her counsel were colluding with A Cab to assist it in avoiding and frustrating the Murray judgment. Such evidence demonstrates that the district court's approval of the settlement cannot be attributed to a lack of understanding or even a gross oversight. It is properly concluded to have involved an improper motive requiring recusal of Judge Delaney upon remand or the imposition of other curative measures.

## A. The district court purposefully ignored Dubric and her counsel's collusion with A Cab.

The district court was aware of, and ignored, improper conduct of Dubric and her counsel going far beyond their submission of a proposed class settlement lacking any rational basis. Dubric and her counsel were wholly unqualified to represent any settlement class of plaintiffs against A Cab. It would be difficult to find a more conflicted, inappropriate, and collusive, settlement class representative
and class counsel, given the prior proceedings and relationship between Dubric and A Cab. The district court was aware of all of the following facts, none of which it commented on when it granted final approval to the settlement:

- Class representative Dubric was A Cab's $\$ 51,664.55$ judgment debtor:

Dubric, a judgment debtor of A Cab for $\$ 51,664.55$, was subject to financial ruin if A Cab elected to collect that judgment. AA 1082-1083. She was irreconcilably conflicted as a result and could not serve as a class representative or a fiduciary of the class members' interests.

- Class representative Dubric and class counsel had previously abandoned and renounced prosecution of the class claims:

Dubric and class counsel advised the district court they were renouncing any interest in prosecuting the class claims and those claims should proceed to disposition in Murray. AA 290-291. Instead Dubric sought, and was granted, summary judgment on her individual claims, leave to abandon the putative class claims, and was to enter final judgment accordingly once Judge Delaney ruled on her damages. AA 312, 323-324.

- Class counsel had no understanding of the class damages or even the number of class members and relied exclusively upon A Cab's unverified factual representations.

Class (Dubric's) counsel performed no analysis of the class damages. In its

January 14,2017 , motion for preliminary approval of the class settlement it did not claim to have reviewed A Cab's records of hours worked and wages paid to determine the class MWA claims at issue. It relied upon A Cab's counsel's review of those records to determine there were "approximately" 210 class members and that such records supported a finding that the settlement was appropriate and in the best interests of the class. AA 90, 97, p. 58-59. Yet in 2020 the district court was advised the settlement would include 1,115 identified class members without any change in its financial terms. This incompetent and collusive conduct by class counsel was attacked by A Cab on October 4, 2017, when it filed a motion seeking sanctions against such counsel for failing to proceed at that time with the proposed settlement (they had abandoned any putative class claims and secured summary judgment just for Dubric). AA 327-394. A Cab, who knew what materials were provided by it on the class claims to such counsel, confirmed in that motion that "Plaintiff's counsel does not have even a handle on what Ms. Dubric's damages alone are, much less the damages of the 210 class members they purport to have represented..." and that "Plaintiff's counsel never made any attempts to provide a sound computation of Ms. Dubric's damages, or any of the class members." AA 395-396.

- Class counsel demonstrated its incompetence by presenting no evidence supporting the settlement and relying upon A Cab to endorse Dubric's competence to serve as a class representative.

Class counsel presented no evidence of Dubric's competency to serve as a class representative or any evidence at the hearing held by the district court where testimony was taken about the settlement. ${ }^{10}$ They asked the district court to confirm Dubric's bona fides from A Cab's attestation of her fitness to serve as a class representative, as if she was its de facto agent! Her counsel engaged in the following exchange with A Cab's owner:
Q. In your opinion was she [Dubric] respected buy [sic] the other drivers at A Cab?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Do you think she is a fair representation of the average driver/employee for A Cab for the time period she was a driver?
A. I would like to say, yes, but she was better than average.
Q. You have any concerns about her serving as class representative?
A. No. She's as good as any. She [is] [sic] a good driver.

MR. RICHARDS: Thank you. That's all my questions. AA 734-735.

[^9]- The settlement was clearly a collusive "reverse auction" as it released claims far beyond the statute of limitations Dubric could prosecute.

The district court granted final approval to a class action settlement purporting to release the MWA claims of all Taxi Drivers employed by A Cab from April 1, 2009 through July 2, 2014, or August 31, 2021. ${ }^{11}$ Yet Dubric filed her case on July 7, 2015 and could not proceed to trial on any class MWA claims that predated July 7, 2013. See, Perry, 383 P.3d at 262. The only reason for a class settlement in Dubric's case of MWA claims pre-dating July 7, 2013, was to extinguish A Cab's greater class MWA liabilities (back to October 10, 2010) in Murray. This situation, where a defendant is subject to multiple class actions and negotiates a collusive, and lowest cost, settlement with cooperative counsel to extinguish all of its class liabilities, is an improper "reverse auction." See, Newberg on Class Actions, § $13.605^{\text {th }}$ Ed. ${ }^{12}$ and Reynolds v. Beneficial Nat. Bank,

[^10]288 F.3d 277, 282 ( $7^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2002) (a reverse auction occurs when "...the defendant in a series of class actions picks the most ineffectual class lawyers to negotiate a settlement with in the hope that the district court will approve a weak settlement that will preclude other claims against the defendant. ") Courts must be "...wary of situations in which there are multiple class suits, defendants settle one of the cases in order to preclude the other actions, and the settlement with that particular group of plaintiffs and their counsel seems suspicious." Newberg, Id.

That the settlement was a collusive reverse auction is indisputable. MWA claims pre-dating July 7, 2013, could not be prosecuted against A Cab in this case. Dubric had no leverage to negotiate a settlement of those claims and was incompetent to represent a class settling those claims. Only A Cab, Dubric, and her counsel, benefitted from settling those claims. A Cab also took no action to consolidate this case with Murray and seek a transparent resolution of all potentially related class MWA claims in one litigation, further evidence of reverse auction collusion. Cf., Blair, 181 F.3d at 839 (defendant who was alleged to have negotiated settlement of a class action to improperly thwart other class actions could not plausibly explain failure to consolidate those cases).

[^11]
# B. Judge Delaney's conduct was not just erroneous, it improperly facilitated the wrongful goals of A Cab and requires her recusal or other limitations on remand. 

Judge Delaney did not just ignore the evidence. She acted to facilitate the entry of an indisputably improper final judgment. The only purpose served by such conduct, outside of any improper personal motive she might have, was to aid A Cab's wrongful goal of using this litigation to improperly obstruct the collection of the Murray judgment.

## 1. Judge Delaney allowed Dubric to "reclaim" her abandoned class claims seven months after granting her an individual final judgment.

At a hearing on September 12, 2017, while A Cab was prohibited by the Murray injunction from proceeding with the proposed class settlement, Judge Delaney granted Dubric's motion for summary judgment individually. AA 312, 323-325. She also, at Dubric's counsel's request, stated she "will recognize the voluntary dismissal" of the "class members;" and that she would make a future ruling on Dubric's damages. Id. She never made that future ruling allowing Dubric to enter a final judgment and conclude her case.

On April 6, 2018, the Murray injunction was dissolved by this Court. On
May 9, 2018, Judge Delaney, in response to a "joint request" made "via a chambers conference call" on an unspecified date allowed Dubric to withdraw her motion for
individual summary judgment. AA 443. It is incomprehensible that she would allow Dubric, who abandoned her putative class claims and would have proceeded to final judgment individually seven months earlier (if Judge Delaney had acted promptly) to now reassert those claims and act as a class representative.

## 2. Judge Delaney held "under advisement" A Cab's baseless Rule 11 motion seeking to coerce Dubric's counsel to proceed with the class settlement; such conduct by her assisted A Cab in that coercion.

After Dubric sought and was granted summary judgment individually, and renounced the putative class claims, A Cab moved for Rule 11 sanctions against Dubric's counsel. It claimed Dubric's counsel had "fraudulently misrepresented" this case was a "class action" and engaged in misconduct "by holding himself out as class counsel" and "by accepting a settlement" that he was failing to consummate for such class. AA 330-332. Dubric's counsel could not possibly be subject to sanctions for that alleged conduct. He had never been appointed class counsel, this case had not been certified as a class action, and he could not have made a binding "acceptance" of such a class settlement. ${ }^{13}$

[^12]As A Cab made clear in its Rule 11 motion, it was only seeking sanctions against Dubric's counsel because it was refusing to proceed with the proposed class action settlement. AA 382-385. At a hearing on November 7, 2017, Judge Delaney found, irrationally and without explanation, that "...there is at least a legal basis, obviously, to be able to assert this [the Rule 11 motion] ..." but reserved decision. AA 420. By doing so she acted, in a de facto manner, to coerce Dubric's counsel to proceed with the proposed class action settlement or face possible sanctions. Dubric's counsel then secured the withdrawal of the sanctions motion by Judge Delaney's May 18, 2018, order re-instituting Dubric's abandoned putitive class claims and the proposed settlement's approval process - exactly as demanded by A Cab.

## 3. Judge Delaney's opposition to her recusal, citing her lack of recollection of this Court's Order to answer a mandamus petition, and her belief she could properly ignore that Order, create at least an appearance of impropriety requiring recusal.

The Taxi Drivers sought Judge Delaney's recusal on October 19, 2019, after the Murray final judgment and when there could be no colorable justification for her consideration of a class action settlement including the Murray claims. Judge Delaney's insistence in proceeding with that settlement was, at that juncture, reasonably attributed to her hostility towards the Taxi Drivers' counsel. Such
counsel had secured an Order from this Court on September 29, 2016, directing her to answer such counsel's petition for an order compelling her to decide a long pending motion for class action certification in another MWA case, Tesema, No. 70763. AA 1173-1174. Judge Delaney did not comply with this Court's Order by answering that petition. This Court on February 21, 2017, issued a further Order, finding Judge Delaney's failure to answer that petition "renders meaningful consideration of this petition impracticable" and granting writ relief against Judge Delaney, who then promptly issued a decision denying the Tesema motion for class action certification. AA 1176-1177.

Judge Delaney responded to the recusal motion by affirming she had no bias and in respect to the Tesema proceedings: (1) That she had "no independent recollection" of those proceedings; and (2) That she "can surmise only" that she failed to respond to this Court's Order to answer the Tesema petition because she "had no opposition to the Petition." AA 1286-1289.

Accepting as truthful Judge Delaney's claim she has no memory of the Tesema proceedings is difficult - district judges are very likely to remember when they are personally ordered by this Court to answer a petition given the extreme rarity of such orders. Accepting as truthful her claim she likely failed to comply with this Court's Order in Tesema because she had "no opposition to the Petition"
is much more troubling. As a district judge she must be aware of her obligation to respect this Court's orders. And if she had no opposition to the petition she was obligated to file an answer with this Court so stating.

Judge Delaney's explanation for her contempt of this Court's Order in Tesema creates at least an appearance of impropriety - she opposed her recusal by proffering a manifestly improper explanation for that contempt. That she opposed recusal in such an improper (and unfathomable) fashion is an undisputed fact that should not be subject to an abuse of discretion standard of review. The Court should review the denial of her recusal de novo and determine whether a "reasonable person" would perceive that improper conduct by Judge Delaney demonstrates a lack of impartiality requiring recusal. See, Jolie, 66 Cal. App. $5^{\text {th }}$ at 1041. Doing so would not be contrary to this Court's application of an abuse of discretion standard to recusal requests under other circumstances, as discussed in Rivero, 216 P.3d at 233.

Judge Delaney's conduct was an abuse of her discretion. No rational basis exists (and she offered none) for her approval of a class action settlement that included the claims adjudicated in the Murray judgment. Her conduct, if not
motivated by bias, was at least tainted by an appearance of impropriety. Whether reviewed de novo or as an abuse of discretion, or in some other fashion, Judge Delaney's failure to be recused in this case should be reversed. Alternatively, this case can be remanded with an instruction that it shall not be granted any class certification upon its remand.

## CONCLUSION

Wherefore, the final judgment of the district court, its grant of class action certification, its approval of a settlement of class claims, and its denial of Judge Delaney's recusal, should be reversed, and the Court should make such other instructions upon remand as it deems appropriate under the circumstances. ${ }^{14}$ In the event the Court does not recuse Judge Delaney from further proceedings in this case upon its remand, the remittitur should instruct that the district court shall not

[^13]grant class action certification, or any class action certification that includes any of the claims adjudicated in Murray, during any future proceedings.
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## ADDENDUM

## NEVADA STATUTES

## Nevada Constitution, Article 15, Section 16, the Minimum Wage Amendment

Sec. 16. Payment of minimum compensation to employees. [Effective through June 30, 2024, and after that date unless the provisions of Assembly Joint Resolution No. 10 (2019) are agreed to and passed by the 2021 Legislature and approved and ratified by the voters at the 2022 General Election.]
A. Each employer shall pay a wage to each employee of not less than the hourly rates set forth in this section. The rate shall be five dollars and fifteen cents ( $\$ 5.15$ ) per hour worked, if the employer provides health benefits as described herein, or six dollars and fifteen cents (\$6.15) per hour if the employer does not provide such benefits. Offering health benefits within the meaning of this section shall consist of making health insurance available to the employee for the employee and the employee's dependents at a total cost to the employee for premiums of not more than 10 percent of the employee's gross taxable income from the employer. These rates of wages shall be adjusted by the amount of increases in the federal minimum wage over $\$ 5.15$ per hour, or, if greater, by the cumulative increase in the cost of living. The cost of living increase shall be measured by the percentage increase as of December 31 in any year over the level as of December 31, 2004 of the Consumer Price Index (All Urban Consumers, U.S. City Average) as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor or the successor index or federal agency. No CPI adjustment for any one-year period may be greater than $3 \%$. The Governor or the State agency designated by the Governor shall publish a bulletin by April 1 of each year announcing the adjusted rates, which shall take effect the following July 1. Such bulletin will be made available to all employers and to any other person who has filed with the Governor or the designated agency a request to receive such notice but lack of notice shall not excuse noncompliance with this section. An employer shall provide written notification of the rate adjustments to each of its employees and make the necessary payroll adjustments by July 1 following the publication of the bulletin. Tips or gratuities received by employees shall not be credited as being
any part of or offset against the wage rates required by this section.
B. The provisions of this section may not be waived by agreement between an individual employee and an employer. All of the provisions of this section, or any part hereof, may be waived in a bona fide collective bargaining agreement, but only if the waiver is explicitly set forth in such agreement in clear and unambiguous terms. Unilateral implementation of terms and conditions of employment by either party to a collective bargaining relationship shall not constitute, or be permitted, as a waiver of all or any part of the provisions of this section. An employer shall not discharge, reduce the compensation of or otherwise discriminate against any employee for using any civil remedies to enforce this section or otherwise asserting his or her rights under this section. An employee claiming violation of this section may bring an action against his or her employer in the courts of this State to enforce the provisions of this section and shall be entitled to all remedies available under the law or in equity appropriate to remedy any violation of this section, including but not limited to back pay, damages, reinstatement or injunctive relief. An employee who prevails in any action to enforce this section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
C. As used in this section, "employee" means any person who is employed by an employer as defined herein but does not include an employee who is under eighteen (18) years of age, employed by a nonprofit organization for after school or summer employment or as a trainee for a period not longer than ninety (90) days. "Employer" means any individual, proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, corporation, limited liability company, trust, association, or other entity that may employ individuals or enter into contracts of employment.
D. If any provision of this section is declared illegal, invalid or inoperative, in whole or in part, by the final decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions and all portions not declared illegal, invalid or inoperative shall remain in full force or effect, and no such determination shall invalidate the remaining sections or portions of the sections of this section.

## NEVADA COURT RULES

## NRAP 3A

## RULE 3A. CIVIL ACTIONS: STANDING TO APPEAL; APPEALABLE DETERMINATIONS

(a) Standing to Appeal. A party who is aggrieved by an appealable judgment or order may appeal from that judgment or order, with or without first moving for a new trial.
(b) Appealable Determinations. An appeal may be taken from the following judgments and orders of a district court in a civil action:
(1) A final judgment entered in an action or proceeding commenced in the court in which the judgment is rendered.
(2) An order granting or denying a motion for a new trial.
(3) An order granting or refusing to grant an injunction or dissolving or refusing to dissolve an injunction.
(4) An order appointing or refusing to appoint a receiver or vacating or refusing to vacate an order appointing a receiver.
(5) An order dissolving or refusing to dissolve an attachment.
(6) An order changing or refusing to change the place of trial only when a notice of appeal from the order is filed within 30 days.
(A) Such an order may only be reviewed upon a timely direct appeal from the order and may not be reviewed on appeal from the judgment in the action or proceeding or otherwise. On motion of any party, the court granting or refusing to grant a motion to change the place of trial of an action or proceeding shall enter an order staying the trial of the action or proceeding until the time to appeal from the order granting or refusing to grant the motion to change the place of trial has expired or, if an appeal has been taken, until the appeal has been resolved.
(B) Whenever an appeal is taken from such an order, the clerk of the district court shall forthwith certify and transmit to the clerk of the Supreme Court, as the record on appeal, the original papers on which the motion was heard in the district court and, if the appellant or respondent demands it, a transcript of any proceedings had in the district court. The district court shall require its court reporter to expedite the preparation of the transcript in preference to any other request for a transcript in a civil matter. When the appeal is docketed in the court, it stands submitted without further briefs or oral argument unless the court otherwise orders.
(7) An order entered in a proceeding that did not arise in a juvenile court that finally establishes or alters the custody of minor children.
(8) A special order entered after final judgment, excluding an order granting a motion to set aside a default judgment under NRCP 60(b)(1) when the motion was filed and served within 60 days after entry of the default judgment.
(9) An interlocutory judgment, order or decree in an action to redeem real or personal property from a mortgage or lien that determines the right to redeem and directs an accounting.
(10) An interlocutory judgment in an action for partition that determines the rights and interests of the respective parties and directs a partition, sale or division.

## NRAP 17

## RULE 17. DIVISION OF CASES BETWEEN THE SUPREME COURT AND THE COURT OF APPEALS

(a) Cases Retained by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court shall hear and decide the following:
(1) All death penalty cases;
(2) Cases involving ballot or election questions;
(3) Cases involving judicial discipline;
(4) Cases involving attorney admission, suspension, discipline, disability, reinstatement, and resignation;
(5) Cases involving the approval of prepaid legal service plans;
(6) Questions of law certified by a federal court;
(7) Disputes between branches of government or local governments;
(8) Administrative agency cases involving tax, water, or public utilities commission determinations;
(9) Cases originating in business court;
(10) Cases involving the termination of parental rights or NRS Chapter 432B;
(11) Matters raising as a principal issue a question of first impression involving the United States or Nevada Constitutions or common law; and
(12) Matters raising as a principal issue a question of statewide public importance, or an issue upon which there is an inconsistency in the published decisions of the Court of Appeals or of the Supreme Court or a conflict between published decisions of the two courts.
(b) Cases Assigned to Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals shall hear and decide only those matters assigned to it by the Supreme Court and those matters within its original jurisdiction. Except as provided in Rule 17(a), the Supreme Court may assign to the Court of Appeals any case filed in the Supreme Court. The following case categories are presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals:
(1) Appeals from a judgment of conviction based on a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill, or nolo contendere (Alford);
(2) Appeals from a judgment of conviction based on a jury verdict that:
(A) do not involve a conviction for any offenses that are category A or B felonies; or
(B) challenge only the sentence imposed and/or the sufficiency of the evidence;
(3) Postconviction appeals that involve a challenge to a judgment of conviction or sentence for offenses that are not category A felonies;
(4) Postconviction appeals that involve a challenge to the computation of time served under a judgment of conviction, a motion to correct an illegal sentence, or a motion to modify a sentence;
(5) Appeals from a judgment, exclusive of interest, attorney fees, and costs, of $\$ 250,000$ or less in a tort case;
(6) Cases involving a contract dispute where the amount in controversy is less than $\$ 75,000$;
(7) Appeals from postjudgment orders in civil cases;
(8) Cases involving statutory lien matters under NRS Chapter 108;
(9) Administrative agency cases except those involving tax, water, or public utilities commission determinations;
(10) Cases involving family law matters other than termination of parental rights or NRS Chapter 432B proceedings;
(11) Appeals challenging venue;
(12) Cases challenging the grant or denial of injunctive relief;
(13) Pretrial writ proceedings challenging discovery orders or orders resolving motions in limine;
(14) Cases involving trust and estate matters in which the corpus has a value of less than $\$ 5,430,000$; and
(15) Cases arising from the foreclosure mediation program.
(c) Consideration of Workload. In assigning cases to the Court of Appeals, due regard will be given to the workload of each court.
(d) Routing Statements; Finality. A party who believes that a matter presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals should be retained by the Supreme Court may state the reasons as enumerated in (a) of this Rule in the routing statement of the briefs as provided in Rules 3C, 3E, and 28 or a writ petition as provided in Rule 21. A party may not file a motion or other pleading seeking reassignment of a case that the Supreme Court has assigned to the Court of Appeals.
(e) Transfer and Notice. Upon the transfer of a case to the Court of Appeals, the clerk shall issue a notice to the parties. With the exception of a petition for Supreme Court review under Rule 40B, any pleadings in a case after it has been transferred to the Court of Appeals shall be entitled "In the Court of Appeals of the State of Nevada."

## NRCP 11

Rule 11. Signing Pleadings, Motions, and Other Papers; Representations to the Court; Sanctions
(a) Signature. Every pleading, written motion, and other paper must be signed by at least one attorney of record in the attorney's name - or by a party personally if the party is unrepresented. The paper must state the signer's address, email address, and telephone number. Unless a rule or statute specifically states otherwise, a pleading need not be verified or accompanied by an affidavit. The court must strike an unsigned paper unless the omission is promptly corrected after being called to the attorney's or party's attention.
(b) Representations to the Court. By presenting to the court a pleading, written motion, or other paper - whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating it - an attorney or unrepresented party certifies that to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances:
(1) it is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass,
cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation;
(2) the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law;
(3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and
(4) the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on belief or a lack of information.
(c) Sanctions.
(1) In General. If, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to respond, the court determines that Rule 11(b) has been violated, the court may impose an appropriate sanction on any attorney, law firm, or party that violated the rule or is responsible for the violation. Absent exceptional circumstances, a law firm must be held jointly responsible for a violation committed by its partner, associate, or employee.
(2) Motion for Sanctions. A motion for sanctions must be made separately from any other motion and must describe the specific conduct that allegedly violates Rule 11(b). The motion must be served under Rule 5, but it must not be filed or be presented to the court if the challenged paper, claim, defense, contention, or denial is withdrawn or appropriately corrected within 21 days after service or within another time the court sets. If warranted, the court may award to the prevailing party the reasonable expenses, including attorney fees, incurred for presenting or opposing the motion.
(3) On the Court's Initiative. On its own, the court may order an attorney, law firm, or party to show cause why conduct specifically described in the order has not violated Rule 11(b).
(4) Nature of a Sanction. A sanction imposed under this rule must be limited to what suffices to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct
by others similarly situated. The sanction may include nonmonetary directives; an order to pay a penalty into court; or, if imposed on motion and warranted for effective deterrence, an order directing payment to the movant of part or all of the reasonable attorney fees and other expenses directly resulting from the violation.
(5) Limitations on Monetary Sanctions. The court must not impose a monetary sanction:
(A) against a represented party for violating Rule 11(b)(2); or
(B) on its own, unless it issued the show-cause order under Rule 11(c)(3) before voluntary dismissal or settlement of the claims made by or against the party that is, or whose attorneys are, to be sanctioned.
(6) Requirements for an Order. An order imposing a sanction must describe the sanctioned conduct and explain the basis for the sanction.
(d) Inapplicability to Discovery. This rule does not apply to disclosures and discovery requests, responses, objections, and motions under Rules 16.1, 16.2, 16.205, 26 through 37, and 45(a)(4). Sanctions for improper discovery or refusal to make or allow discovery are governed by Rules $26(\mathrm{~g})$ and 37.

## NRCP 23

Rule 23. Class Actions
(a) Prerequisites to a Class Action. One or more members of a class may sue or be sued as representative parties on behalf of all only if:
(1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
(2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class;
(3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and
(4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests
of the class.
(b) Aggregation. The representative parties may aggregate the value of the individual claims of all potential class members to establish district court jurisdiction over a class action.
(c) Class Actions Maintainable. An action may be maintained as a class action if the prerequisites of Rule 23(a) are satisfied, and in addition:
(1) the prosecution of separate actions by or against individual members of the class would create a risk of:
(A) inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the class; or
(B) adjudications with respect to individual members of the class that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests;
(2) the party opposing the class has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole; or
(3) the court finds that the questions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The matters pertinent to the findings include:
(A) the interest of members of the class in individually controlling the prosecution or defense of separate actions;
(B) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by or against members of the class;
(C) the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of the claims in the particular forum; and
(D) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a class action.
(d) Determination by Order Whether Class Action to Be Maintained; Notice; Judgment; Actions Conducted Partially as Class Actions.
(1) As soon as practicable after the commencement of an action brought as a class action, the court must determine by order whether it is to be so maintained. The order may be conditional, and may be altered or amended before the decision on the merits.
(2) When determining whether an action may be maintained as a class action, the representative party's rejection of an offer made under Rule 68 or other offer of compromise that offers to resolve less than all of the class claims asserted by or against the representative party has no impact on the representative party's ability to satisfy the requirements of Rule 23(a)(4). When the representative party is unable or unwilling to continue as the class representative, the court must permit class members an opportunity to substitute a class representative meeting the requirements of Rule 23(a)(4), except in cases where the representative party has been sued.
(3) In any class action maintained under Rule 23(c)(3), the court should direct to the members of the class the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members who can be identified through reasonable effort. The notice must advise each member that:
(A) the court will exclude the member from the class if the member so requests by a specified date;
(B) the judgment, whether favorable or not, will include all members who do not request exclusion; and
(C) any member who does not request exclusion may, if the member desires, enter an appearance through the member's counsel.
(4) The judgment in an action maintained as a class action under Rule 23(c)(1) or (2), whether or not favorable to the class, must include and describe those whom the court finds to be members of the class. The judgment in an action maintained as a class action under Rule 23(c)(3), whether or not favorable to the class, must include and specify or describe those to whom the notice provided in Rule 23(d)(3) was directed, and who have not requested exclusion, and whom the court finds to be members of the class.
(5) When appropriate, an action may be brought or maintained as a class action with respect to particular issues, or a class may be divided into subclasses and each subclass treated as a class. In either case, the provisions of this rule should then be construed and applied accordingly.
(e) Orders in Conduct of Actions.
(1) When conducting actions to which this rule applies, the court may make appropriate orders:
(A) determining the course of proceedings or prescribing measures to prevent undue repetition or complication in the presentation of evidence or argument;
(B) requiring, for the protection of the members of the class or otherwise for the fair conduct of the action, that notice be given to some or all of the members in such manner as the court may direct:
(i) of any step in the action;
(ii) of the proposed extent of the judgment;
(iii) of the opportunity of members to signify whether they consider the representation fair and adequate;
(iv) to intervene and present claims or defenses; or
(v) to otherwise come into the action;
(C) imposing conditions on the representative parties or on intervenors;
(D) requiring that the pleadings be amended to eliminate therefrom allegations as to representation of absent persons and that the action proceed accordingly;
(E) dealing with similar procedural matters.
(2) The orders may be combined with an order under Rule 16, and may be altered or amended.
(f) Dismissal or Compromise. A class action must not be dismissed or compromised without the approval of the court, and notice of the proposed dismissal or compromise must be given to all members of the class in such manner as the court directs.

## NRCP 59

Rule 59. New Trials; Amendment of Judgments
(a) In General.
(1) Grounds for New Trial. The court may, on motion, grant a new trial on all or some of the issues - and to any party - for any of the following causes or grounds materially affecting the substantial rights of the moving party:
(A) irregularity in the proceedings of the court, jury, master, or adverse party or in any order of the court or master, or any abuse of discretion by which either party was prevented from having a fair trial;
(B) misconduct of the jury or prevailing party;
(C) accident or surprise that ordinary prudence could not have guarded against;
(D) newly discovered evidence material for the party making the
motion that the party could not, with reasonable diligence, have discovered and produced at the trial;
(E) manifest disregard by the jury of the instructions of the court;
(F) excessive damages appearing to have been given under the influence of passion or prejudice; or
(G) error in law occurring at the trial and objected to by the party making the motion.
(2) Further Action After a Nonjury Trial. On a motion for a new trial in an action tried without a jury, the court may open the judgment if one has been entered, take additional testimony, amend findings of fact and conclusions of law or make new findings and conclusions, and direct the entry of a new judgment.
(b) Time to File a Motion for a New Trial. A motion for a new trial must be filed no later than 28 days after service of written notice of entry of judgment.
(c) Time to Serve Affidavits. When a motion for a new trial is based on affidavits, they must be filed with the motion. The opposing party has 14 days after being served to file opposing affidavits. The court may permit reply affidavits.
(d) New Trial on the Court's Initiative or for Reasons Not in the Motion. No later than 28 days after service of written notice of entry of judgment, the court, on its own, may issue an order to show cause why a new trial should not be granted for any reason that would justify granting one on a party's motion. After giving the parties notice and the opportunity to be heard, the court may grant a party's timely motion for a new trial for a reason not stated in the motion. In either event, the court must specify the reasons in its order.
(e) Motion to Alter or Amend a Judgment. A motion to alter or amend a judgment must be filed no later than 28 days after service of written notice of entry of judgment.
(f) No Extensions of Time. The 28-day time periods specified in this rule
cannot be extended under Rule 6(b).

## NRCP 60

## Rule 60. Relief From a Judgment or Order

(a) Corrections Based on Clerical Mistakes; Oversights and Omissions. The court may correct a clerical mistake or a mistake arising from oversight or omission whenever one is found in a judgment, order, or other part of the record. The court may do so on motion or on its own, with or without notice. But after an appeal has been docketed in the appellate court and while it is pending, such a mistake may be corrected only with the appellate court's leave.
(b) Grounds for Relief From a Final Judgment, Order, or Proceeding. On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons:
(1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect;
(2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b);
(3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party;
(4) the judgment is void;
(5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; it is based on an earlier judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it prospectively is no longer equitable; or
(6) any other reason that justifies relief.
(c) Timing and Effect of the Motion.
(1) Timing. A motion under Rule 60(b) must be made within a reasonable time - and for reasons (1), (2), and (3) no more than 6 months after the date of
the proceeding or the date of service of written notice of entry of the judgment or order, whichever date is later. The time for filing the motion cannot be extended under Rule 6(b).
(2) Effect on Finality. The motion does not affect the judgment's finality or suspend its operation.
(d) Other Powers to Grant Relief. This rule does not limit a court's power to:
(1) entertain an independent action to relieve a party from a judgment, order, or proceeding;
(2) upon motion filed within 6 months after written notice of entry of a default judgment is served, set aside the default judgment against a defendant who was not personally served with a summons and complaint and who has not appeared in the action, admitted service, signed a waiver of service, or otherwise waived service; or
(3) set aside a judgment for fraud upon the court.
(e) Bills and Writs Abolished. The following are abolished: bills of review, bills in the nature of bills of review, and writs of coram nobis, coram vobis, and audita querela.

## EXHIBIT 4
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## EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADAJASMINKA DUBRIC, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,
$\nu s$.
A CAB, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. A-15-721063-C

Dept. No. 25

## DECISION AND ORDER

Attorney Leon Greenberg, on behalf of proposed intervenors, filed a Motion to Recuse Judge Delaney pursuant to NRS 1.235. Mr. Greenberg alleges that Judge Delaney is biased against him personally due to a writ proceeding that Mr. Greenberg instituted against Judge Delaney in 2016. After review of Mr. Greenberg's motion, Judge Delaney's response, and A Cab, LLC's declaration, the Court denies Mr. Greenberg's request to disqualify Judge Delaney.

## I. Factual and Procedural Background

On July 7, 2015, Jasminka Dubric filed a class action against complaint against A Cab, LLC. The case was assigned to Judge Delaney with case number A-15-721063-C. On May 24, 2018, Judge Delaney approved a preliminary class settlement agreement.

Mr. Greenberg is class counsel for the plaintiffs in Murray v. A-Cab, case number A-12-669926-C. Mr. Greenberg has filed multiple motions on behalf of the Murray plaintiffs to intervene in case A721063. Most recently, on October 21, 2019, Mr. Greenberg filed a Motion to Intervene and Deny Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement on an Order Shortening Time. Judge Delaney has not granted any of Mr. Greenberg's motions to interyene,

On October 29, 2019, Mr. Greenberg filed a Declaration of Counsel, Leon Greenberg, Esq. for Proposed Intervenors RE: Motion to Recuse Judge Kathleen Delaney from Hearing this Case Pursuant to NRS 1.235. Mr. Greenberg acknowledges that he may not have standing to move to disqualify Judge Delaney under NRS 1.235 , but Mr. Greenberg alleges that Judge Delaney is personally biased against him. Mr. Greenberg further argues that the proposed class action settlement is improper and alleges that A Cab's counsel pursued the settlement while being aware of Judge Delaney's purported bias against Mr. Greenberg. On October 1, 2019, A Cab filed a declaration denying Mr. Greenberg's allegations against A Cab's counsel. Judge Delaney filed an affidavit and answer in response on October 5, 2019, categorically denying any bias against Mr . Greenberg.

## II. Discussion

## A. Legal Standard

Disqualification of a judge under Nevada Revised Statute 1.235 is available to "any party to an action or proceeding." NRS 1.235.

Nevada Revised Statute 1.230 provides the statutory grounds for disqualifying district Court judges. The statue in pertinent part provides:

1. A judge shall not act in an action or proceeding when the judge entertains actual bias or prejudice for or against one of the parties to the action.
2. A judge shall not act as such in an action or proceeding when implied bias exists in any of the following respects:
(a) When the judge is a party to or interested in the action or proceeding.
(b) When the judge is related to either party by consanguinity or affinity within the third degree.
(c) When the judge has been attorney or counsel for either of the parties in the particular action or proceeding before the court.
(d) When the judge is related to an attorney or counselor for either of the parties by consanguinity or affinity within the third degree. This paragraph does not apply to the presentation of ex parte or contested matters, except in fixing fees for an attorney so related to the judge.
The Revised Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct provides substantive grounds for judicial disqualification. Pursuant to NCJC 2.11(A):
(A) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances:
(1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding.

A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might be reasonably questioned. Ybarra v. State, 247 P.3d 269, 271 (Nev. 2011). The test for whether a judge's impartiality might be reasonably questioned is objective and courts must decide whether a reasonable person, knowing all the facts, would harbor reasonable doubts about a judge's impartiality. Id. at 272.

The burden is on the party asserting the challenge to establish sufficient factual and legal grounds warranting disqualification. Las Vegas Downtown Redevelopment Agency v. District Court, 5 P.3d 1059, 1061 (Nev. 2000). A judge has a duty to preside to the conclusion of all proceedings, in the absence of some statute, rule of court, ethical standard, or compelling reason otherwise. Id. A judge is presumed to be unbiased. Millen v. District Court, 148 P.3d 694, 701 (Nev. 2006). A judge is presumed to be impartial, and the burden is on the party asserting the challenge to establish sufficient factual grounds warranting disqualification. Yabarra, 247 P.3d at 272. Additionally, the Court must give substantial weight to a judge's determination that the judge may not voluntarily disqualify themselves, and the judge's decision cannot be overturned in the absence of clear abuse of discretion. In re Pet. To recall Dunleavy, 769 P.2d 1271, 1274 (Nev. 1988).

The Nevada Supreme Court has stated "rulings and actions of a judge during the course of official judicial proceedings do not establish legally cognizable grounds for disqualifications." Id. at 1275. The personal bias necessary to disqualify must "stem from an extrajudicial source and result in an opinion on the merits on some basis other than what the judge learned from participation in the case." Id. "To permit an allegation of bias, partially founded upon a justice's performance of his [or her] constitutionally mandated responsibilities, to disqualify that justice from discharging those duties would nullify the court's authority and permit manipulation of justice, as well as the court." Id.

The Nevada Supreme Court has noted that while the general rule is that what a judge learns in his or her official capacity does not result in disqualification, "an opinion formed by a judge on the basis of facts introduced or events occurring in the course of the current proceedings, or of prior proceedings, constitutes a basis for a bias or partiality motion where the opinion displays 'a deepseated favoritism or antagonism that would make fair judgment impossible." Kirksey v. State, 923 P.2d 1102, 1107 (Nev. 1996). However, "remarks of a judge made in the context of a court proceeding are not considered indicative of improper bias or prejudice unless they show that the judge has closed his or her mind to the presentation of all the evidence." Cameron v. State, 968 P. 2 d 1169, 1171 (Nev. 1998).
B. A proposed intervenor does not have standing to bring a motion to disqualify because they are not a party to the action.
Disqualification of a judge under NRS 1.235 is available to "any party to an action or proceeding." The Nevada Supreme Court has held that "a proposed intervenor does not become a party to a lawsuit unless and until the district court grants a motion to intervene." Aetna Life \& Cas. Ins. Co. v. Rowan, 812 P.2d 350, 351 (Nev. 1991).

Here, Mr. Greenberg may not move to disqualify Judge Delaney in case A 721063 because Mr. Greenberg is not a party to case A721063. Under NRS 1.235, "any party" to an action may seek to disqualify the judge for bias or prejudice. Mr. Greenberg represents proposed intervenors and the district court has not granted a motion to intervene. Therefore, Mr. Greenberg does not represent a party to case A721063 and Mr. Greenberg is without standing to bring a motion to disqualify Judge Delaney under NRS 1.235. Mr. Greenberg's request to disqualify Judge Delaney is denied on these grounds.

## C. Disqualification is not warranted because Mr. Greenberg has not established sufficient factual and legal grounds for disqualification.

Even if Mr. Greenberg's lack of standing was not fatal, Mr. Greenberg has failed to meet his burden of establishing sufficient factual and legal grounds for disqualification. Mr. Greenberg alleges that Judge Delaney is biased against Mr. Greenberg because Mr. Greenberg had brought a writ proceeding against Judge Delaney in 2016. Mr. Greenberg argues that Judge Delaney's
approval of the proposed settlement agreement is evidence of Judge Delaney's bias against Mr. Greenberg.

The rulings and actions of a judge during the course of official judicial proceedings do not establish legally cognizable grounds for disqualifications. A motion or affidavit for disqualification is an inappropriate vehicle to attack the substantive rulings of the underlying case. If a litigant disagrees with the substantive rulings of a judge, they must go through the appellate process. Here, the facts do not demonstrate the extreme bias or prejudice against Mr. Greenberg that would be necessary for disqualification. Therefore, Mr. Greenberg's request to disqualify Judge Delaney on these grounds is denied.

## III. Conclusion

Mr. Greenberg does not have standing to disqualify Judge Delaney under NRS 1.235 because Mr. Greenberg is not a party to case A721063. Furthermore, Mr. Greenberg does not bring any cognizable claims supported by factual or legal allegations against Judge Delaney. Judge Delaney's rulings and actions in the course of official judicial proceedings are not evidence of bias or prejudice. Thus, the Mr. Greenberg's request to disqualify Judge Delaney is denied.

DATED this day of November $/ 4 / 2019$.
 District Court Judge

## Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the date of filing, a copy of this Order was electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court EFP system or, if no e-mail was provided, by facsimile, U.S. Mail and/or placed in the Clerk's Office attorney folder(s) for:

Name
Party
Mark J. Bourassa, Esq.
The Bourassa Law Group
2350 W. Charleston Blvd., \#100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.
Rodriguez Law Officers, P.C.
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Attorney for Defendants

Leon Greenberg, Esq.
Dana Sniegocki, Esq.
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
2965 South Jones Blvd, Suite E3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

The Honorable Kathleen E. Delaney
Attorney for Proposed Intervenors
Attorney for Plaintiffs


SYLVIA PERRY
JUDICIAL EXECUTIVE Assistant, Department VII

## AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B. 030
The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Decision and Order filed in District Court case number A721063 DOES NOT contain the social security number of any person.

## EXHIBIT 5
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# TOTAL MINIMUM WAGES OWED USING AS HOURS WORKED THE HOURS RECORDED IN PAYROLL RECORDS 

|  |  |  |  | Total Hours Recorded in Payroll Records |  |  |  | 632,221.24 |  | \$211.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| 12448 | 7/11/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 88.30 | \$965.94 | \$0.00 |
| 12449 | 7/11/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 89.09 | \$810.57 | \$0.00 |
| 12450 | 7/11/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 87.02 | \$630.92 | \$0.00 |
| 12452 | 7/11/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 49.76 | \$367.34 | \$0.00 |
| 12453 | 7/11/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 85.16 | \$636.10 | \$0.00 |
| 12454 | 7/11/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 106.09 | \$903.26 | \$0.00 |
| 12455 | 7/11/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 64.09 | \$471.25 | \$0.00 |
| 12376 | 7/11/2014 | 3828 | Aseffa | Mulubahan | 5/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 25.63 | \$216.31 | \$0.00 |
| 12456 | 7/11/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 6/28/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 74.66 | \$590.13 | \$0.00 |
| 12457 | 7/11/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 105.76 | \$835.81 | \$0.00 |
| 12458 | 7/11/2014 | 112193 | Bandi | Pedram | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 80.66 | \$584.63 | \$0.15 |
| 12459 | 7/11/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 82.79 | \$600.57 | \$0.00 |
| 12460 | 7/11/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 96.88 | \$702.37 | \$0.01 |
| 12461 | 7/11/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 58.34 | \$712.91 | \$0.00 |
| 12462 | 7/11/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 93.55 | \$927.61 | \$0.00 |
| 12463 | 7/11/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 96.65 | \$812.06 | \$0.00 |
| 12464 | 7/11/2014 | 105871 | Bilal | Haji | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 51.97 | \$376.65 | \$0.13 |
| 12465 | 7/11/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 54.97 | \$576.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12466 | 7/11/2014 | 110770 | Bosley | Thomas | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 105.04 | \$761.02 | \$0.52 |
| 12469 | 7/11/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 71.08 | \$515.04 | \$0.29 |
| 12470 | 7/11/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 80.28 | \$612.85 | \$0.00 |
| 12471 | 7/11/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 101.03 | \$732.54 | \$0.00 |
| 12472 | 7/11/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 89.19 | \$647.02 | \$0.00 |
| 12473 | 7/11/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 84.31 | \$854.15 | \$0.00 |
| 12474 | 7/11/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 52.87 | \$530.52 | \$0.00 |
| 12475 | 7/11/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 78.38 | \$568.62 | \$0.00 |
| 12476 | 7/11/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 70.47 | \$727.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12356 | 7/11/2014 | 3831 | Charouat | Malek | 6/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 38.17 | \$451.83 | \$0.00 |
| 12477 | 7/11/2014 | 112446 | Clarke | Reginald | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 10.51 | \$76.22 | \$0.00 |
| 12478 | 7/11/2014 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | 11/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 80.49 | \$593.64 | \$0.00 |
| 12479 | 7/11/2014 | 108041 | Comeau | Brian | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 38.18 | \$276.75 | \$0.06 |
| 12480 | 7/11/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 77.83 | \$564.50 | \$0.00 |
| 12481 | 7/11/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 105.22 | \$859.66 | \$0.00 |
| 12482 | 7/11/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 89.83 | \$651.27 | \$0.00 |
| 12483 | 7/11/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 86.96 | \$751.63 | \$0.00 |
| 12484 | 7/11/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 77.06 | \$558.46 | \$0.23 |
| 12485 | 7/11/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 86.00 | \$724.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12486 | 7/11/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 77.23 | \$559.85 | \$0.07 |
| 12487 | 7/11/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 93.17 | \$981.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12488 | 7/11/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 61.08 | \$451.79 | \$0.00 |
| 12489 | 7/11/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 90.47 | \$671.21 | \$0.00 |
| 12490 | 7/11/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 87.91 | \$722.52 | \$0.00 |
| 12491 | 7/11/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 90.02 | \$692.51 | \$0.00 |
| 12492 | 7/11/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 118.71 | \$1,284.55 | \$0.00 |
| 12495 | 7/11/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 73.87 | \$560.49 | \$0.00 |
| 12496 | 7/11/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 65.90 | \$477.70 | \$0.07 |
| 12497 | 7/11/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 107.77 | \$963.93 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12498 | 7/11/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 25.26 | \$225.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12499 | 7/11/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 69.34 | \$562.43 | \$0.00 |
| 12500 | 7/11/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 62.92 | \$465.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12501 | 7/11/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 87.94 | \$637.18 | \$0.38 |
| 12502 | 7/11/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 90.57 | \$711.74 | \$0.00 |
| 12503 | 7/11/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 87.49 | \$645.54 | \$0.00 |
| 12505 | 7/11/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 63.22 | \$458.62 | \$0.00 |
| 12506 | 7/11/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 86.92 | \$724.23 | \$0.00 |
| 12507 | 7/11/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 74.54 | \$540.70 | \$0.00 |
| 12508 | 7/11/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 16.67 | \$120.87 | \$0.00 |
| 12509 | 7/11/2014 | 31076 | Glaser | Stephen | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 58.70 | \$449.35 | \$0.00 |
| 12510 | 7/11/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 44.70 | \$324.12 | \$0.00 |
| 12511 | 7/11/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 106.04 | \$927.09 | \$0.00 |
| 12513 | 7/11/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 54.98 | \$398.79 | \$0.00 |
| 12512 | 7/11/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 53.18 | \$385.48 | \$0.07 |
| 12515 | 7/11/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 112.13 | \$813.18 | \$0.00 |
| 12516 | 7/11/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 58.23 | \$421.94 | \$0.23 |
| 12517 | 7/11/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 108.74 | \$1,039.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12518 | 7/11/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 94.41 | \$685.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12519 | 7/11/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 77.40 | \$649.34 | \$0.00 |
| 12520 | 7/11/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 81.04 | \$608.08 | \$0.00 |
| 12521 | 7/11/2014 | 31648 | Hu | Karl | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 85.97 | \$653.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12523 | 7/11/2014 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 63.83 | \$462.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12524 | 7/11/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 44.13 | \$320.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12525 | 7/11/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 97.67 | \$868.02 | \$0.00 |
| 12527 | 7/11/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 87.81 | \$689.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12528 | 7/11/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 93.67 | \$698.70 | \$0.00 |
| 12529 | 7/11/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 75.58 | \$637.25 | \$0.00 |
| 12530 | 7/11/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 19.24 | \$249.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12531 | 7/11/2014 | 106642 | Kadri | Abdelkrim | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 47.25 | \$345.17 | \$0.00 |
| 12532 | 7/11/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 53.88 | \$515.28 | \$0.00 |
| 12533 | 7/11/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 90.00 | \$652.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12535 | 7/11/2014 | 111283 | Kissel | Sean | 10/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 84.31 | \$611.09 | \$0.16 |
| 12536 | 7/11/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 46.57 | \$337.46 | \$0.17 |
| 12537 | 7/11/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 56.62 | \$483.41 | \$0.00 |
| 12538 | 7/11/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 66.81 | \$555.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12539 | 7/11/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 94.69 | \$888.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12540 | 7/11/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 46.93 | \$405.29 | \$0.00 |
| 12542 | 7/11/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 77.50 | \$664.85 | \$0.00 |
| 12543 | 7/11/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 68.51 | \$496.54 | \$0.16 |
| 12544 | 7/11/2014 | 25522 | Link | Peter | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 51.03 | \$370.07 | \$0.00 |
| 12546 | 7/11/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 63.46 | \$558.41 | \$0.00 |
| 12547 | 7/11/2014 | 112296 | Loebig | Roxana | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 91.85 | \$666.09 | \$0.00 |
| 12548 | 7/11/2014 | 112729 | Logan | Lashawn | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 8.35 | \$60.58 | \$0.00 |
| 12549 | 7/11/2014 | 111405 | Lopez-Silvero | Fidel | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 27.23 | \$197.31 | \$0.11 |
| 12550 | 7/11/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 94.22 | \$746.88 | \$0.00 |
| 12552 | 7/11/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 86.55 | \$627.06 | \$0.43 |
| 12553 | 7/11/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 89.48 | \$677.58 | \$0.00 |
| 12554 | 7/11/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 97.38 | \$742.32 | \$0.00 |
| 12555 | 7/11/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 104.54 | \$774.86 | \$0.00 |
| 12556 | 7/11/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 78.87 | \$571.79 | \$0.02 |
| 12557 | 7/11/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 69.35 | \$503.13 | \$0.00 |
| 12558 | 7/11/2014 | 107427 | McDougle | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 88.38 | \$653.87 | \$0.00 |
| 12559 | 7/11/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 93.01 | \$674.76 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12560 | 7/11/2014 | 2596 | Meloro | Paul | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 104.06 | \$764.77 | \$0.00 |
| 12561 | 7/11/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 75.94 | \$550.82 | \$0.00 |
| 12562 | 7/11/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 74.24 | \$537.89 | \$0.35 |
| 12563 | 7/11/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 72.44 | \$583.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12564 | 7/11/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 111.70 | \$904.11 | \$0.00 |
| 12565 | 7/11/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 58.62 | \$425.14 | \$0.00 |
| 12566 | 7/11/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 63.87 | \$463.30 | \$0.00 |
| 12567 | 7/11/2014 | 27059 | Mottaghian | Joseph | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 59.55 | \$431.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12568 | 7/11/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 72.00 | \$700.31 | \$0.00 |
| 12569 | 7/11/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 96.71 | \$733.00 | \$0.00 |
| 12570 | 7/11/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 96.83 | \$930.83 | \$0.00 |
| 12571 | 7/11/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 81.97 | \$594.17 | \$0.11 |
| 12572 | 7/11/2014 | 25190 | Ngo | Tuan | 3/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 54.49 | \$495.15 | \$0.00 |
| 12377 | 7/11/2014 | 3876 | Norvell | Chris | 10/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 17.41 | \$107.34 | \$18.88 |
| 12573 | 7/11/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 69.26 | \$734.55 | \$0.00 |
| 12574 | 7/11/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 128.91 | \$1,356.62 | \$0.00 |
| 12575 | 7/11/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 73.31 | \$560.97 | \$0.00 |
| 12576 | 7/11/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 44.89 | \$460.99 | \$0.00 |
| 12577 | 7/11/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 105.36 | \$792.51 | \$0.00 |
| 12578 | 7/11/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 82.60 | \$708.79 | \$0.00 |
| 12579 | 7/11/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 9.09 | \$65.88 | \$0.02 |
| 12580 | 7/11/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 66.30 | \$522.60 | \$0.00 |
| 12357 | 7/11/2014 | 112811 | Peace | Kimberly | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 25.38 | \$153.46 | \$30.55 |
| 12581 | 7/11/2014 | 29536 | Peacock | Paula | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 86.06 | \$623.99 | \$0.00 |
| 12582 | 7/11/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 55.29 | \$473.17 | \$0.00 |
| 12584 | 7/11/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 97.06 | \$719.35 | \$0.00 |
| 12585 | 7/11/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 91.95 | \$666.66 | \$0.00 |
| 12586 | 7/11/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 20.06 | \$190.24 | \$0.00 |
| 12587 | 7/11/2014 | 107617 | Pineda | Carlos | 6/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 92.10 | \$668.11 | \$0.00 |
| 12588 | 7/11/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 75.26 | \$568.48 | \$0.00 |
| 12589 | 7/11/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 89.15 | \$939.23 | \$0.00 |
| 12590 | 7/11/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 108.83 | \$925.77 | \$0.00 |
| 12591 | 7/11/2014 | 107548 | Rainey | James | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 93.00 | \$674.21 | \$0.04 |
| 12592 | 7/11/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 8.59 | \$62.31 | \$0.00 |
| 12593 | 7/11/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 57.12 | \$612.72 | \$0.00 |
| 12595 | 7/11/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 84.41 | \$721.36 | \$0.00 |
| 12596 | 7/11/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 9.76 | \$70.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12597 | 7/11/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 96.48 | \$955.62 | \$0.00 |
| 12598 | 7/11/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 81.78 | \$592.93 | \$0.00 |
| 12599 | 7/11/2014 | 104109 | Rivero-Vera | Raul | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 83.18 | \$602.78 | \$0.28 |
| 12600 | 7/11/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 37.18 | \$270.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12601 | 7/11/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 55.56 | \$403.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12602 | 7/11/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 101.61 | \$795.05 | \$0.00 |
| 12603 | 7/11/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 65.12 | \$472.00 | \$0.12 |
| 12606 | 7/11/2014 | 108742 | Ross | Lee | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 76.22 | \$552.36 | \$0.24 |
| 12607 | 7/11/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 72.29 | \$615.51 | \$0.00 |
| 12608 | 7/11/2014 | 3042 | Saleh | Jemal | 4/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 83.88 | \$660.34 | \$0.00 |
| 12609 | 7/11/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 98.19 | \$783.12 | \$0.00 |
| 12610 | 7/11/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 68.89 | \$499.75 | \$0.00 |
| 12611 | 7/11/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 59.51 | \$520.02 | \$0.00 |
| 12612 | 7/11/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 43.34 | \$314.04 | \$0.18 |
| 12127 | 7/11/2014 | 3570 | Sanders | Acy | 8/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 26.51 | \$302.62 | \$0.00 |
| 12613 | 7/11/2014 | 26687 | Sargeant | Michael | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 54.78 | \$397.23 | \$0.00 |
| 12614 | 7/11/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 92.58 | \$671.62 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12616 | 7/11/2014 | 109028 | Secondo | Muridi | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 95.07 | \$688.96 | \$0.30 |
| 12617 | 7/11/2014 | 105577 | Seidman | Steven | 9/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 75.10 | \$775.92 | \$0.00 |
| 12618 | 7/11/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 64.56 | \$622.14 | \$0.00 |
| 12619 | 7/11/2014 | 30308 | Sheriff | Sheriff | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 10.12 | \$73.39 | \$0.00 |
| 12620 | 7/11/2014 | 3790 | Shoyombo | Rilwan | 3/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 36.94 | \$267.70 | \$0.12 |
| 12621 | 7/11/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 86.59 | \$628.11 | \$0.00 |
| 12622 | 7/11/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 85.27 | \$618.26 | \$0.00 |
| 12624 | 7/11/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 91.81 | \$665.17 | \$0.45 |
| 12625 | 7/11/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 83.44 | \$1,026.35 | \$0.00 |
| 12626 | 7/11/2014 | 111364 | Stanley | John | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 105.12 | \$762.54 | \$0.00 |
| 12627 | 7/11/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 79.85 | \$578.64 | \$0.27 |
| 12628 | 7/11/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 85.60 | \$620.75 | \$0.00 |
| 12629 | 7/11/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 91.64 | \$1,164.81 | \$0.00 |
| 12400 | 7/11/2014 | 112063 | Tapia-Vergar | Agustin | 5/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 78.45 | \$568.72 | \$0.04 |
| 12630 | 7/11/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 7.85 | \$56.88 | \$0.03 |
| 12631 | 7/11/2014 | 31977 | Taylor | Marvin | 6/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 77.42 | \$561.63 | \$0.00 |
| 12632 | 7/11/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 49.81 | \$411.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12633 | 7/11/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 84.62 | \$613.33 | \$0.17 |
| 12634 | 7/11/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 87.35 | \$653.02 | \$0.00 |
| 12635 | 7/11/2014 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | 8/1/2014 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 75.47 | \$597.27 | \$0.00 |
| 12636 | 7/11/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 76.44 | \$554.04 | \$0.15 |
| 12637 | 7/11/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 123.17 | \$896.23 | \$0.00 |
| 12638 | 7/11/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 88.37 | \$716.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12639 | 7/11/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 45.17 | \$327.58 | \$0.00 |
| 12640 | 7/11/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 81.78 | \$592.71 | \$0.19 |
| 12641 | 7/11/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 78.84 | \$571.97 | \$0.00 |
| 12642 | 7/11/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 62.13 | \$512.77 | \$0.00 |
| 12643 | 7/11/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 55.00 | \$594.89 | \$0.00 |
| 12644 | 7/11/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 83.91 | \$618.50 | \$0.00 |
| 12645 | 7/11/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 84.35 | \$699.01 | \$0.00 |
| 12647 | 7/11/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 116.82 | \$856.49 | \$0.00 |
| 12379 | 7/11/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 51.26 | \$381.81 | \$0.00 |
| 12648 | 7/11/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 90.36 | \$654.76 | \$0.35 |
| 12649 | 7/11/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 104.48 | \$1,173.06 | \$0.00 |
| 12650 | 7/11/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 6/21/2014 | 7/4/2014 | 62.83 | \$496.39 | \$0.00 |
| 12729 | 7/25/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 72.62 | \$692.23 | \$0.00 |
| 12730 | 7/25/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 79.00 | \$572.92 | \$0.00 |
| 12731 | 7/25/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 73.31 | \$531.32 | \$0.18 |
| 12733 | 7/25/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 59.02 | \$427.75 | \$0.15 |
| 12734 | 7/25/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 107.21 | \$844.92 | \$0.00 |
| 12735 | 7/25/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 71.56 | \$595.48 | \$0.00 |
| 12736 | 7/25/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 116.65 | \$845.85 | \$0.00 |
| 12737 | 7/25/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 56.36 | \$435.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12738 | 7/25/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 7/12/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 95.56 | \$692.44 | \$0.37 |
| 12739 | 7/25/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 86.06 | \$624.29 | \$0.00 |
| 12662 | 7/25/2014 | 112193 | Bandi | Pedram | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 18.37 | \$133.10 | \$0.08 |
| 12740 | 7/25/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 74.16 | \$537.37 | \$0.29 |
| 12741 | 7/25/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 91.49 | \$663.29 | \$0.01 |
| 12742 | 7/25/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 89.00 | \$1,041.35 | \$0.00 |
| 12743 | 7/25/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 116.51 | \$1,020.28 | \$0.00 |
| 12744 | 7/25/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 95.84 | \$971.08 | \$0.00 |
| 12745 | 7/25/2014 | 105871 | Bilal | Haji | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 22.89 | \$168.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12746 | 7/25/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 39.48 | \$414.40 | \$0.00 |
| 12747 | 7/25/2014 | 110770 | Bosley | Thomas | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 75.97 | \$550.95 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12750 | 7/25/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 85.45 | \$619.64 | \$0.00 |
| 12751 | 7/25/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 101.48 | \$738.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12752 | 7/25/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 90.75 | \$658.06 | \$0.00 |
| 12753 | 7/25/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.68 | \$563.27 | \$0.00 |
| 12754 | 7/25/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 94.61 | \$862.74 | \$0.00 |
| 12755 | 7/25/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 50.01 | \$385.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12756 | 7/25/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 80.24 | \$581.38 | \$0.36 |
| 12757 | 7/25/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 89.29 | \$686.34 | \$0.00 |
| 12707 | 7/25/2014 | 112446 | Clarke | Reginald | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 10.70 | \$77.57 | \$0.00 |
| 12758 | 7/25/2014 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | 11/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 71.33 | \$517.23 | \$0.00 |
| 12759 | 7/25/2014 | 108041 | Comeau | Brian | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 37.80 | \$273.99 | \$0.06 |
| 12760 | 7/25/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 75.37 | \$546.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12761 | 7/25/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 103.86 | \$827.84 | \$0.00 |
| 12762 | 7/25/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.65 | \$562.69 | \$0.27 |
| 12763 | 7/25/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 34.94 | \$284.10 | \$0.00 |
| 12764 | 7/25/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 60.19 | \$436.13 | \$0.25 |
| 12765 | 7/25/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 79.84 | \$583.96 | \$0.00 |
| 12766 | 7/25/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 51.30 | \$371.68 | \$0.24 |
| 12767 | 7/25/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 95.36 | \$1,037.77 | \$0.00 |
| 12768 | 7/25/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 89.95 | \$651.90 | \$0.24 |
| 12769 | 7/25/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 91.68 | \$665.12 | \$0.00 |
| 12770 | 7/25/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 66.25 | \$480.20 | \$0.11 |
| 12771 | 7/25/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 15.54 | \$112.70 | \$0.00 |
| 12772 | 7/25/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 119.26 | \$1,448.59 | \$0.00 |
| 12773 | 7/25/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 59.76 | \$433.05 | \$0.21 |
| 12776 | 7/25/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 92.14 | \$690.39 | \$0.00 |
| 12777 | 7/25/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 75.63 | \$578.16 | \$0.00 |
| 12778 | 7/25/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 76.32 | \$553.61 | \$0.00 |
| 12779 | 7/25/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 14.38 | \$141.22 | \$0.00 |
| 12780 | 7/25/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 50.80 | \$368.19 | \$0.11 |
| 12781 | 7/25/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 75.28 | \$614.52 | \$0.00 |
| 12782 | 7/25/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.44 | \$561.39 | \$0.05 |
| 12783 | 7/25/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 82.32 | \$659.68 | \$0.00 |
| 12784 | 7/25/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 7.90 | \$57.26 | \$0.02 |
| 12785 | 7/25/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 90.73 | \$657.43 | \$0.36 |
| 12787 | 7/25/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 37.75 | \$295.24 | \$0.00 |
| 12788 | 7/25/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 117.79 | \$870.87 | \$0.00 |
| 12789 | 7/25/2014 | 29297 | Gebremicheo | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 74.14 | \$537.87 | \$0.00 |
| 12790 | 7/25/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 9.73 | \$85.55 | \$0.00 |
| 12691 | 7/25/2014 | 31076 | Glaser | Stephen | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 54.76 | \$432.17 | \$0.00 |
| 12791 | 7/25/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 42.90 | \$310.96 | \$0.06 |
| 12792 | 7/25/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 64.74 | \$569.59 | \$0.00 |
| 12793 | 7/25/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 60.39 | \$437.82 | \$0.01 |
| 12794 | 7/25/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 43.00 | \$311.62 | \$0.13 |
| 12796 | 7/25/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 105.64 | \$766.03 | \$0.00 |
| 12797 | 7/25/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 67.04 | \$485.81 | \$0.23 |
| 12798 | 7/25/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 97.48 | \$953.55 | \$0.00 |
| 12799 | 7/25/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 95.17 | \$690.02 | \$0.00 |
| 12800 | 7/25/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 57.25 | \$449.91 | \$0.00 |
| 12801 | 7/25/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 76.22 | \$553.75 | \$0.00 |
| 12803 | 7/25/2014 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 58.53 | \$424.20 | \$0.14 |
| 12804 | 7/25/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 42.83 | \$310.52 | \$0.00 |
| 12805 | 7/25/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 97.93 | \$966.52 | \$0.00 |
| 12807 | 7/25/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 75.87 | \$561.28 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12808 | 7/25/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 88.61 | \$642.01 | \$0.41 |
| 12809 | 7/25/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 85.99 | \$660.63 | \$0.00 |
| 12810 | 7/25/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 93.46 | \$1,045.57 | \$0.00 |
| 12811 | 7/25/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 62.72 | \$518.88 | \$0.00 |
| 12812 | 7/25/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 80.00 | \$579.71 | \$0.29 |
| 12814 | 7/25/2014 | 111283 | Kissel | Sean | 10/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 66.69 | \$483.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12815 | 7/25/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 93.06 | \$674.91 | \$0.00 |
| 12816 | 7/25/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 54.04 | \$391.77 | \$0.02 |
| 12817 | 7/25/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 58.41 | \$471.59 | \$0.00 |
| 12818 | 7/25/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 104.55 | \$887.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12819 | 7/25/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 57.67 | \$484.49 | \$0.00 |
| 12821 | 7/25/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 85.36 | \$619.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12822 | 7/25/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 33.48 | \$242.88 | \$0.00 |
| 12823 | 7/25/2014 | 25522 | Link | Peter | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 40.44 | \$339.39 | \$0.00 |
| 12825 | 7/25/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 54.21 | \$432.35 | \$0.00 |
| 12826 | 7/25/2014 | 112296 | Loebig | Roxana | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 72.64 | \$526.95 | \$0.00 |
| 12709 | 7/25/2014 | 112729 | Logan | Lashawn | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 79.63 | \$577.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12827 | 7/25/2014 | 111405 | Lopez-Silvero | Fidel | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 58.40 | \$423.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12828 | 7/25/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.26 | \$609.61 | \$0.00 |
| 12830 | 7/25/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 61.94 | \$449.01 | \$0.06 |
| 12831 | 7/25/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 97.59 | \$766.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12832 | 7/25/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.32 | \$560.67 | \$0.00 |
| 12833 | 7/25/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 105.17 | \$838.95 | \$0.00 |
| 12834 | 7/25/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 72.61 | \$526.48 | \$0.00 |
| 12835 | 7/25/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 76.00 | \$550.99 | \$0.01 |
| 12710 | 7/25/2014 | 107427 | McDougle | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 63.99 | \$463.64 | \$0.29 |
| 12836 | 7/25/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.51 | \$578.65 | \$0.00 |
| 12837 | 7/25/2014 | 2596 | Meloro | Paul | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 5.62 | \$44.44 | \$0.00 |
| 12838 | 7/25/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 61.95 | \$449.19 | \$0.00 |
| 12663 | 7/25/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 26.93 | \$195.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12839 | 7/25/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 62.99 | \$477.21 | \$0.00 |
| 12840 | 7/25/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 47.67 | \$345.46 | \$0.15 |
| 12841 | 7/25/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 105.05 | \$976.22 | \$0.00 |
| 12842 | 7/25/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 75.59 | \$548.18 | \$0.00 |
| 12843 | 7/25/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 94.93 | \$688.23 | \$0.01 |
| 12844 | 7/25/2014 | 27059 | Mottaghian | Joseph | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 48.64 | \$352.64 | \$0.00 |
| 12845 | 7/25/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 58.59 | \$459.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12846 | 7/25/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 83.06 | \$655.49 | \$0.00 |
| 12847 | 7/25/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 101.91 | \$747.61 | \$0.00 |
| 12848 | 7/25/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 72.37 | \$525.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12849 | 7/25/2014 | 25190 | Ngo | Tuan | 3/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 42.94 | \$311.25 | \$0.06 |
| 12850 | 7/25/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 69.24 | \$588.88 | \$0.00 |
| 12851 | 7/25/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 116.88 | \$1,199.07 | \$0.00 |
| 12852 | 7/25/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 82.81 | \$600.29 | \$0.08 |
| 12853 | 7/25/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 52.88 | \$526.69 | \$0.00 |
| 12854 | 7/25/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 101.85 | \$738.33 | \$0.08 |
| 12855 | 7/25/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 43.76 | \$362.78 | \$0.00 |
| 12856 | 7/25/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 94.16 | \$782.90 | \$0.00 |
| 12857 | 7/25/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 59.19 | \$429.20 | \$0.00 |
| 12660 | 7/25/2014 | 29536 | Peacock | Paula | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 18.71 | \$135.68 | \$0.00 |
| 12858 | 7/25/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 94.16 | \$755.30 | \$0.00 |
| 12937 | 7/25/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 96.30 | \$709.70 | \$0.00 |
| 12861 | 7/25/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 89.80 | \$651.25 | \$0.00 |
| 12862 | 7/25/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 18.87 | \$162.37 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12863 | 7/25/2014 | 107617 | Pineda | Carlos | 6/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 84.27 | \$611.33 | \$0.00 |
| 12864 | 7/25/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 7.71 | \$73.03 | \$0.00 |
| 12865 | 7/25/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 62.30 | \$451.38 | \$0.29 |
| 12866 | 7/25/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 88.55 | \$842.14 | \$0.00 |
| 12867 | 7/25/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 116.69 | \$875.98 | \$0.00 |
| 12868 | 7/25/2014 | 107548 | Rainey | James | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 93.55 | \$678.10 | \$0.14 |
| 12869 | 7/25/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 61.83 | \$448.17 | \$0.10 |
| 12870 | 7/25/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 38.93 | \$400.79 | \$0.00 |
| 12872 | 7/25/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 82.42 | \$631.85 | \$0.00 |
| 12873 | 7/25/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 93.59 | \$729.76 | \$0.00 |
| 12874 | 7/25/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 94.56 | \$685.14 | \$0.42 |
| 12875 | 7/25/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 63.70 | \$461.61 | \$0.22 |
| 12876 | 7/25/2014 | 104109 | Rivero-Vera | Raul | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 81.95 | \$593.73 | \$0.41 |
| 12877 | 7/25/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 83.66 | \$606.51 | \$0.02 |
| 12878 | 7/25/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 66.03 | \$540.06 | \$0.00 |
| 12879 | 7/25/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 85.67 | \$621.01 | \$0.10 |
| 12880 | 7/25/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 56.83 | \$411.87 | \$0.15 |
| 12708 | 7/25/2014 | 108742 | Ross | Lee | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 16.39 | \$118.77 | \$0.06 |
| 12883 | 7/25/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 68.51 | \$602.97 | \$0.00 |
| 12884 | 7/25/2014 | 3042 | Saleh | Jemal | 4/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 84.48 | \$640.84 | \$0.00 |
| 12885 | 7/25/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 88.80 | \$649.54 | \$0.00 |
| 12886 | 7/25/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 74.34 | \$542.60 | \$0.00 |
| 12887 | 7/25/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 60.06 | \$444.75 | \$0.00 |
| 12888 | 7/25/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 53.37 | \$387.16 | \$0.00 |
| 12889 | 7/25/2014 | 26687 | Sargeant | Michael | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 57.08 | \$413.74 | \$0.09 |
| 12890 | 7/25/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 86.90 | \$629.75 | \$0.28 |
| 12892 | 7/25/2014 | 109028 | Secondo | Muridi | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 53.63 | \$388.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13267 | 7/25/2014 | 105577 | Seidman | Steven | 9/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 55.09 | \$455.71 | \$0.00 |
| 12893 | 7/25/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 76.47 | \$629.80 | \$0.00 |
| 12894 | 7/25/2014 | 30308 | Sheriff | Sheriff | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 78.05 | \$566.12 | \$0.00 |
| 12895 | 7/25/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 8.16 | \$59.16 | \$0.00 |
| 12896 | 7/25/2014 | 3790 | Shoyombo | Rilwan | 3/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 17.51 | \$126.99 | \$0.00 |
| 12897 | 7/25/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 85.21 | \$617.67 | \$0.10 |
| 12898 | 7/25/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 73.86 | \$535.48 | \$0.00 |
| 12900 | 7/25/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 95.65 | \$703.96 | \$0.00 |
| 12901 | 7/25/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 87.01 | \$912.85 | \$0.00 |
| 12664 | 7/25/2014 | 111364 | Stanley | John | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 37.40 | \$271.19 | \$0.00 |
| 12902 | 7/25/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 84.44 | \$611.91 | \$0.28 |
| 12903 | 7/25/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 83.42 | \$604.75 | \$0.04 |
| 12904 | 7/25/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 65.40 | \$742.74 | \$0.00 |
| 12905 | 7/25/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 61.49 | \$470.70 | \$0.00 |
| 12661 | 7/25/2014 | 31977 | Taylor | Marvin | 6/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 16.13 | \$116.90 | \$0.04 |
| 12906 | 7/25/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 63.02 | \$456.61 | \$0.29 |
| 12907 | 7/25/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 89.90 | \$652.14 | \$0.00 |
| 12908 | 7/25/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 79.32 | \$574.95 | \$0.12 |
| 12909 | 7/25/2014 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | 8/1/2014 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 91.94 | \$667.01 | \$0.00 |
| 12910 | 7/25/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 59.25 | \$478.52 | \$0.00 |
| 12911 | 7/25/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 124.04 | \$977.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12912 | 7/25/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 102.72 | \$744.56 | \$0.16 |
| 12913 | 7/25/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 62.82 | \$535.56 | \$0.00 |
| 12914 | 7/25/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 70.07 | \$508.20 | \$0.00 |
| 12915 | 7/25/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 62.44 | \$452.53 | \$0.16 |
| 12916 | 7/25/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 49.57 | \$438.64 | \$0.00 |
| 12917 | 7/25/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 55.12 | \$496.47 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12918 | 7/25/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 77.07 | \$558.94 | \$0.00 |
| 12919 | 7/25/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 74.44 | \$539.57 | \$0.12 |
| 12921 | 7/25/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 117.68 | \$854.16 | \$0.00 |
| 12922 | 7/25/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 83.00 | \$611.71 | \$0.00 |
| 12923 | 7/25/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 96.04 | \$898.83 | \$0.00 |
| 12924 | 7/25/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 7/5/2014 | 7/18/2014 | 51.79 | \$441.64 | \$0.00 |
| 13014 | 8/8/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 90.43 | \$932.22 | \$0.00 |
| 13015 | 8/8/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 76.12 | \$751.57 | \$0.00 |
| 13016 | 8/8/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 67.72 | \$490.64 | \$0.33 |
| 13018 | 8/8/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 56.03 | \$406.27 | \$0.00 |
| 13019 | 8/8/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.11 | \$896.16 | \$0.00 |
| 13020 | 8/8/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 69.32 | \$502.47 | \$0.10 |
| 13021 | 8/8/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 105.28 | \$838.20 | \$0.00 |
| 13022 | 8/8/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 68.81 | \$498.78 | \$0.09 |
| 13023 | 8/8/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 7/26/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 93.57 | \$801.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13024 | 8/8/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 89.18 | \$715.15 | \$0.00 |
| 13025 | 8/8/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 71.00 | \$514.92 | \$0.00 |
| 13026 | 8/8/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 79.29 | \$574.52 | \$0.33 |
| 13027 | 8/8/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 78.28 | \$1,113.80 | \$0.00 |
| 13028 | 8/8/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 101.05 | \$850.53 | \$0.00 |
| 13029 | 8/8/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 93.55 | \$719.04 | \$0.00 |
| 12936 | 8/8/2014 | 105871 | Bilal | Haji | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 7.66 | \$55.52 | \$0.02 |
| 13030 | 8/8/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 23.97 | \$308.80 | \$0.00 |
| 13031 | 8/8/2014 | 110770 | Bosley | Thomas | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 9.89 | \$495.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13033 | 8/8/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 86.32 | \$625.71 | \$0.11 |
| 13034 | 8/8/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 93.58 | \$678.01 | \$0.45 |
| 13035 | 8/8/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 89.05 | \$657.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13036 | 8/8/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.72 | \$549.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13037 | 8/8/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 85.12 | \$767.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13038 | 8/8/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 45.19 | \$327.49 | \$0.14 |
| 13039 | 8/8/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 81.09 | \$587.74 | \$0.16 |
| 13040 | 8/8/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 8.96 | \$64.94 | \$0.02 |
| 13041 | 8/8/2014 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | 11/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 71.15 | \$530.39 | \$0.00 |
| 13042 | 8/8/2014 | 108041 | Comeau | Brian | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 28.71 | \$208.05 | \$0.10 |
| 13043 | 8/8/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 76.55 | \$555.24 | \$0.00 |
| 13044 | 8/8/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 105.12 | \$801.54 | \$0.00 |
| 13045 | 8/8/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 65.95 | \$535.03 | \$0.00 |
| 13046 | 8/8/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 10.03 | \$99.84 | \$0.00 |
| 13047 | 8/8/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 83.36 | \$614.32 | \$0.00 |
| 13048 | 8/8/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 86.45 | \$626.93 | \$0.00 |
| 13049 | 8/8/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 87.12 | \$631.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13050 | 8/8/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 64.45 | \$712.18 | \$0.00 |
| 13051 | 8/8/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 87.24 | \$632.44 | \$0.05 |
| 13052 | 8/8/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 92.53 | \$670.42 | \$0.42 |
| 13053 | 8/8/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 86.18 | \$690.11 | \$0.00 |
| 13054 | 8/8/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 68.35 | \$540.15 | \$0.00 |
| 13055 | 8/8/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 123.28 | \$1,412.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13056 | 8/8/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 88.73 | \$643.55 | \$0.00 |
| 13057 | 8/8/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 12.02 | \$87.11 | \$0.03 |
| 13059 | 8/8/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 18.81 | \$160.61 | \$0.00 |
| 13060 | 8/8/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 82.50 | \$598.01 | \$0.12 |
| 13061 | 8/8/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.65 | \$584.87 | \$0.00 |
| 13062 | 8/8/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 91.79 | \$757.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13063 | 8/8/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 22.13 | \$176.38 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13064 | 8/8/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 64.25 | \$466.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13065 | 8/8/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.28 | \$622.17 | \$0.00 |
| 13066 | 8/8/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 77.02 | \$558.48 | \$0.00 |
| 13067 | 8/8/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.34 | \$582.88 | \$0.00 |
| 13068 | 8/8/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 129.99 | \$955.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13069 | 8/8/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 91.46 | \$792.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13071 | 8/8/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 65.99 | \$509.21 | \$0.00 |
| 13072 | 8/8/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 106.31 | \$770.69 | \$0.06 |
| 13073 | 8/8/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 72.80 | \$544.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13074 | 8/8/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 26.59 | \$196.89 | \$0.00 |
| 13075 | 8/8/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 45.25 | \$328.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13076 | 8/8/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 38.06 | \$298.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13077 | 8/8/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 51.93 | \$389.39 | \$0.00 |
| 13078 | 8/8/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 50.17 | \$363.84 | \$0.00 |
| 13079 | 8/8/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 73.85 | \$535.65 | \$0.00 |
| 13080 | 8/8/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 57.40 | \$416.01 | \$0.14 |
| 13081 | 8/8/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 98.27 | \$865.82 | \$0.00 |
| 13082 | 8/8/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.01 | \$688.75 | \$0.07 |
| 13083 | 8/8/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 58.36 | \$475.94 | \$0.00 |
| 13084 | 8/8/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 68.59 | \$497.16 | \$0.12 |
| 13085 | 8/8/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 47.64 | \$345.19 | \$0.20 |
| 13087 | 8/8/2014 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.93 | \$586.35 | \$0.39 |
| 13088 | 8/8/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 23.90 | \$173.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13089 | 8/8/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 89.76 | \$879.73 | \$0.00 |
| 13091 | 8/8/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 82.92 | \$617.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13092 | 8/8/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 79.76 | \$577.98 | \$0.28 |
| 13093 | 8/8/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 85.16 | \$653.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13094 | 8/8/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 94.57 | \$1,104.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13095 | 8/8/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 45.98 | \$333.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13096 | 8/8/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 85.26 | \$618.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13098 | 8/8/2014 | 111283 | Kissel | Sean | 10/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 84.51 | \$612.40 | \$0.30 |
| 13099 | 8/8/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 78.71 | \$578.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13100 | 8/8/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 53.61 | \$388.44 | \$0.23 |
| 13101 | 8/8/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 68.84 | \$586.65 | \$0.00 |
| 13102 | 8/8/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.16 | \$941.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13103 | 8/8/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 72.07 | \$522.46 | \$0.05 |
| 13105 | 8/8/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 76.28 | \$568.46 | \$0.00 |
| 13106 | 8/8/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 62.79 | \$485.34 | \$0.00 |
| 13107 | 8/8/2014 | 25522 | Link | Peter | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 62.54 | \$494.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13109 | 8/8/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 62.62 | \$454.03 | \$0.00 |
| 13110 | 8/8/2014 | 112296 | Loebig | Roxana | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 50.62 | \$367.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13111 | 8/8/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 72.88 | \$607.48 | \$0.00 |
| 13113 | 8/8/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 69.84 | \$527.24 | \$0.00 |
| 13114 | 8/8/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.09 | \$774.01 | \$0.00 |
| 13115 | 8/8/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 68.30 | \$495.29 | \$0.00 |
| 13116 | 8/8/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.83 | \$798.53 | \$0.00 |
| 13117 | 8/8/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.98 | \$550.78 | \$0.08 |
| 13118 | 8/8/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 67.56 | \$489.75 | \$0.06 |
| 13119 | 8/8/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 85.30 | \$618.09 | \$0.33 |
| 13120 | 8/8/2014 | 2596 | Meloro | Paul | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.85 | \$599.84 | \$0.00 |
| 13121 | 8/8/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 62.71 | \$588.77 | \$0.00 |
| 13122 | 8/8/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 67.06 | \$518.87 | \$0.00 |
| 13123 | 8/8/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 65.90 | \$477.48 | \$0.30 |
| 13124 | 8/8/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 105.34 | \$941.55 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13125 | 8/8/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 76.72 | \$555.86 | \$0.36 |
| 13126 | 8/8/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 84.40 | \$611.83 | \$0.07 |
| 13127 | 8/8/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 43.83 | \$340.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13128 | 8/8/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 96.02 | \$831.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13129 | 8/8/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 104.81 | \$851.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13130 | 8/8/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 81.73 | \$592.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13131 | 8/8/2014 | 25190 | Ngo | Tuan | 3/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 62.25 | \$493.92 | \$0.00 |
| 13132 | 8/8/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 63.35 | \$516.21 | \$0.00 |
| 13133 | 8/8/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 116.27 | \$1,206.88 | \$0.00 |
| 13134 | 8/8/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 67.07 | \$485.99 | \$0.27 |
| 13135 | 8/8/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 62.41 | \$484.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13136 | 8/8/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 81.84 | \$593.29 | \$0.05 |
| 13137 | 8/8/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.61 | \$677.27 | \$0.00 |
| 13138 | 8/8/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 78.83 | \$571.23 | \$0.29 |
| 13139 | 8/8/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 42.59 | \$308.62 | \$0.16 |
| 13140 | 8/8/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 92.82 | \$835.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13142 | 8/8/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 90.04 | \$716.86 | \$0.00 |
| 13143 | 8/8/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 90.15 | \$653.48 | \$0.11 |
| 13144 | 8/8/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 13.79 | \$99.94 | \$0.04 |
| 13145 | 8/8/2014 | 107617 | Pineda | Carlos | 6/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.33 | \$594.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13146 | 8/8/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 71.99 | \$763.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13147 | 8/8/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 61.81 | \$462.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13148 | 8/8/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 78.80 | \$612.65 | \$0.00 |
| 13149 | 8/8/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.30 | \$634.22 | \$0.00 |
| 13150 | 8/8/2014 | 107548 | Rainey | James | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 113.99 | \$830.70 | \$0.00 |
| 13151 | 8/8/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 70.12 | \$508.28 | \$0.09 |
| 13152 | 8/8/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.50 | \$568.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13154 | 8/8/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 84.55 | \$634.46 | \$0.00 |
| 13155 | 8/8/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.52 | \$692.35 | \$0.17 |
| 13156 | 8/8/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 9.93 | \$72.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13157 | 8/8/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 94.27 | \$683.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13158 | 8/8/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 56.11 | \$406.78 | \$0.02 |
| 12935 | 8/8/2014 | 104109 | Rivero-Vera | Raul | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 8.73 | \$79.29 | \$0.00 |
| 13159 | 8/8/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.05 | \$543.79 | \$0.32 |
| 13160 | 8/8/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 58.01 | \$528.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13161 | 8/8/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 94.45 | \$685.19 | \$0.00 |
| 13162 | 8/8/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 70.17 | \$523.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13165 | 8/8/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 39.33 | \$285.34 | \$0.00 |
| 13166 | 8/8/2014 | 3042 | Saleh | Jemal | 4/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 81.98 | \$594.27 | \$0.09 |
| 13167 | 8/8/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 96.13 | \$696.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13168 | 8/8/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 84.63 | \$613.43 | \$0.14 |
| 13169 | 8/8/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 79.90 | \$579.41 | \$0.00 |
| 13170 | 8/8/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 41.72 | \$302.64 | \$0.00 |
| 12959 | 8/8/2014 | 26687 | Sargeant | Michael | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 22.81 | \$165.47 | \$0.00 |
| 13171 | 8/8/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.00 | \$579.90 | \$0.10 |
| 13173 | 8/8/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.89 | \$654.38 | \$0.00 |
| 12968 | 8/8/2014 | 30308 | Sheriff | Sheriff | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 37.11 | \$269.01 | \$0.04 |
| 13174 | 8/8/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 111.45 | \$840.46 | \$0.00 |
| 13175 | 8/8/2014 | 3790 | Shoyombo | Rilwan | 3/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 8.17 | \$67.48 | \$0.00 |
| 13176 | 8/8/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 82.84 | \$600.85 | \$0.00 |
| 13177 | 8/8/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.60 | \$585.71 | \$0.00 |
| 13179 | 8/8/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 98.15 | \$711.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13180 | 8/8/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 86.47 | \$899.56 | \$0.00 |
| 13181 | 8/8/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 75.19 | \$545.11 | \$0.02 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13182 | 8/8/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 86.22 | \$625.12 | \$0.00 |
| 13183 | 8/8/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 81.58 | \$963.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13184 | 8/8/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 82.48 | \$671.44 | \$0.00 |
| 13185 | 8/8/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 52.47 | \$380.41 | \$0.00 |
| 13186 | 8/8/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 81.04 | \$587.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13187 | 8/8/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 80.31 | \$582.46 | \$0.00 |
| 13188 | 8/8/2014 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | 8/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 83.41 | \$604.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13189 | 8/8/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 74.98 | \$550.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13190 | 8/8/2014 | 112175 | Utorov | Eduard | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 40.47 | \$293.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13191 | 8/8/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 125.28 | \$925.35 | \$0.00 |
| 13192 | 8/8/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 96.20 | \$697.42 | \$0.03 |
| 13193 | 8/8/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 48.38 | \$350.55 | \$0.21 |
| 13194 | 8/8/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 63.06 | \$643.82 | \$0.00 |
| 13195 | 8/8/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 58.32 | \$422.86 | \$0.00 |
| 13196 | 8/8/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 57.59 | \$417.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13197 | 8/8/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 59.80 | \$516.44 | \$0.00 |
| 13198 | 8/8/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 36.89 | \$375.72 | \$0.00 |
| 13199 | 8/8/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 90.06 | \$652.87 | \$0.07 |
| 13200 | 8/8/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 63.57 | \$460.73 | \$0.15 |
| 13202 | 8/8/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 109.04 | \$790.61 | \$0.00 |
| 13203 | 8/8/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 70.56 | \$511.54 | \$0.02 |
| 13204 | 8/8/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 95.80 | \$943.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13205 | 8/8/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 7/19/2014 | 8/1/2014 | 17.35 | \$154.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13288 | 8/22/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 60.69 | \$529.77 | \$0.00 |
| 13289 | 8/22/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 68.15 | \$645.04 | \$0.00 |
| 13290 | 8/22/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 63.40 | \$510.40 | \$0.00 |
| 13292 | 8/22/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 65.28 | \$473.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13293 | 8/22/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 81.65 | \$887.71 | \$0.00 |
| 13294 | 8/22/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 75.62 | \$582.89 | \$0.00 |
| 13295 | 8/22/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 96.00 | \$701.71 | \$0.00 |
| 13296 | 8/22/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 67.18 | \$487.16 | \$0.00 |
| 13297 | 8/22/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 8/9/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 90.88 | \$780.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13298 | 8/22/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 80.47 | \$583.37 | \$0.04 |
| 13299 | 8/22/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 73.02 | \$529.63 | \$0.00 |
| 13300 | 8/22/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 93.61 | \$679.02 | \$0.00 |
| 13301 | 8/22/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 70.56 | \$882.32 | \$0.00 |
| 13302 | 8/22/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 125.18 | \$1,074.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13303 | 8/22/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 93.40 | \$774.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13304 | 8/22/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 39.25 | \$284.69 | \$0.00 |
| 13305 | 8/22/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 63.04 | \$717.04 | \$0.00 |
| 13307 | 8/22/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 64.46 | \$467.27 | \$0.06 |
| 13308 | 8/22/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 84.02 | \$622.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13309 | 8/22/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 80.12 | \$581.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13310 | 8/22/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 86.19 | \$624.51 | \$0.37 |
| 13311 | 8/22/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 95.01 | \$931.55 | \$0.00 |
| 13312 | 8/22/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 25.48 | \$184.79 | \$0.00 |
| 13313 | 8/22/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 52.59 | \$381.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13314 | 8/22/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 80.49 | \$632.60 | \$0.00 |
| 13315 | 8/22/2014 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | 11/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 73.52 | \$532.99 | \$0.03 |
| 13316 | 8/22/2014 | 108041 | Comeau | Brian | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 37.81 | \$273.99 | \$0.13 |
| 13317 | 8/22/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 73.61 | \$533.65 | \$0.02 |
| 13318 | 8/22/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 94.67 | \$725.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13319 | 8/22/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 47.28 | \$342.86 | \$0.00 |
| 13320 | 8/22/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 32.35 | \$234.42 | \$0.12 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13321 | 8/22/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 101.39 | \$754.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13322 | 8/22/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 86.09 | \$623.89 | \$0.26 |
| 13323 | 8/22/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 81.78 | \$593.16 | \$0.00 |
| 13324 | 8/22/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 95.21 | \$1,165.76 | \$0.00 |
| 13325 | 8/22/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 77.70 | \$592.40 | \$0.00 |
| 13326 | 8/22/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 90.58 | \$656.54 | \$0.16 |
| 13327 | 8/22/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 73.19 | \$565.16 | \$0.00 |
| 13328 | 8/22/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 81.55 | \$688.30 | \$0.00 |
| 13329 | 8/22/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 120.41 | \$1,385.71 | \$0.00 |
| 13330 | 8/22/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 77.85 | \$564.06 | \$0.35 |
| 13331 | 8/22/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 53.17 | \$385.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13333 | 8/22/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 111.94 | \$1,021.45 | \$0.00 |
| 13334 | 8/22/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 82.78 | \$676.82 | \$0.00 |
| 13335 | 8/22/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 96.17 | \$697.17 | \$0.06 |
| 13336 | 8/22/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 99.40 | \$721.73 | \$0.00 |
| 13337 | 8/22/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 25.05 | \$228.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13338 | 8/22/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 65.56 | \$475.19 | \$0.12 |
| 13339 | 8/22/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 76.52 | \$554.86 | \$0.00 |
| 13340 | 8/22/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 78.89 | \$572.31 | \$0.00 |
| 13341 | 8/22/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 86.29 | \$709.92 | \$0.00 |
| 13342 | 8/22/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 126.22 | \$916.43 | \$0.00 |
| 13343 | 8/22/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 101.11 | \$754.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13345 | 8/22/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 49.16 | \$384.18 | \$0.00 |
| 13346 | 8/22/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 118.22 | \$857.11 | \$0.00 |
| 13347 | 8/22/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 77.30 | \$585.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13348 | 8/22/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 29.07 | \$210.62 | \$0.14 |
| 13349 | 8/22/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 35.64 | \$258.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13350 | 8/22/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 96.30 | \$748.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13351 | 8/22/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 62.80 | \$457.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13352 | 8/22/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 41.97 | \$304.29 | \$0.00 |
| 13354 | 8/22/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 92.42 | \$669.67 | \$0.37 |
| 13355 | 8/22/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 66.86 | \$484.78 | \$0.00 |
| 13356 | 8/22/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 98.34 | \$811.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13357 | 8/22/2014 | 27832 | Harding | David | 11/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 35.19 | \$255.07 | \$0.06 |
| 13358 | 8/22/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 95.39 | \$691.57 | \$0.01 |
| 13359 | 8/22/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 52.19 | \$392.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13360 | 8/22/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 62.75 | \$489.80 | \$0.00 |
| 13361 | 8/22/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 64.95 | \$470.57 | \$0.32 |
| 13363 | 8/22/2014 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 81.07 | \$587.73 | \$0.03 |
| 13364 | 8/22/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 56.31 | \$408.19 | \$0.06 |
| 13365 | 8/22/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 69.84 | \$723.11 | \$0.00 |
| 13367 | 8/22/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 84.36 | \$630.59 | \$0.00 |
| 13368 | 8/22/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 79.34 | \$574.92 | \$0.29 |
| 13369 | 8/22/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 95.04 | \$779.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13370 | 8/22/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 93.03 | \$1,032.64 | \$0.00 |
| 13371 | 8/22/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 48.01 | \$348.28 | \$0.00 |
| 13372 | 8/22/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 51.47 | \$397.77 | \$0.00 |
| 13373 | 8/22/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 79.02 | \$572.69 | \$0.20 |
| 13214 | 8/22/2014 | 111283 | Kissel | Sean | 10/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 17.17 | \$149.25 | \$0.00 |
| 13375 | 8/22/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 80.99 | \$586.83 | \$0.35 |
| 13376 | 8/22/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 60.20 | \$478.94 | \$0.00 |
| 13377 | 8/22/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 67.92 | \$599.56 | \$0.00 |
| 13378 | 8/22/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 93.27 | \$998.47 | \$0.00 |
| 13379 | 8/22/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 82.95 | \$614.99 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period <br> End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13381 | 8/22/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 87.51 | \$634.59 | \$0.00 |
| 13382 | 8/22/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 62.57 | \$504.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13383 | 8/22/2014 | 25522 | Link | Peter | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 44.49 | \$322.33 | \$0.22 |
| 13385 | 8/22/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 73.24 | \$593.03 | \$0.00 |
| 13244 | 8/22/2014 | 112296 | Loebig | Roxana | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 59.99 | \$434.72 | \$0.21 |
| 13386 | 8/22/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 97.18 | \$831.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13388 | 8/22/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 68.56 | \$497.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13389 | 8/22/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 106.90 | \$774.95 | \$0.08 |
| 13390 | 8/22/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 94.80 | \$687.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13391 | 8/22/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 85.58 | \$641.34 | \$0.00 |
| 13392 | 8/22/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 78.90 | \$572.34 | \$0.00 |
| 13393 | 8/22/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 49.85 | \$361.42 | \$0.00 |
| 13394 | 8/22/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 89.14 | \$646.54 | \$0.00 |
| 13395 | 8/22/2014 | 2596 | Meloro | Paul | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 68.79 | \$498.51 | \$0.22 |
| 13396 | 8/22/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 60.38 | \$437.60 | \$0.16 |
| 13397 | 8/22/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 8.24 | \$59.70 | \$0.04 |
| 13398 | 8/22/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 70.57 | \$511.64 | \$0.00 |
| 13399 | 8/22/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 75.55 | \$547.58 | \$0.16 |
| 13400 | 8/22/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 84.78 | \$908.23 | \$0.00 |
| 13401 | 8/22/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 84.62 | \$613.22 | \$0.28 |
| 13402 | 8/22/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 94.50 | \$718.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13403 | 8/22/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 49.80 | \$599.98 | \$0.00 |
| 13404 | 8/22/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 98.84 | \$840.23 | \$0.00 |
| 13405 | 8/22/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 104.81 | \$761.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13406 | 8/22/2014 | 3859 | Nazarov | Mikael | 7/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 48.43 | \$354.15 | \$0.00 |
| 13407 | 8/22/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 85.59 | \$620.59 | \$0.00 |
| 13265 | 8/22/2014 | 25190 | Ngo | Tuan | 3/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 11.39 | \$82.55 | \$0.03 |
| 13408 | 8/22/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 62.35 | \$615.04 | \$0.00 |
| 13409 | 8/22/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 95.76 | \$1,115.24 | \$0.00 |
| 13410 | 8/22/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 64.01 | \$534.94 | \$0.00 |
| 13411 | 8/22/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 99.55 | \$723.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13412 | 8/22/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 78.83 | \$691.70 | \$0.00 |
| 13413 | 8/22/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 77.38 | \$560.78 | \$0.23 |
| 13414 | 8/22/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 28.84 | \$209.13 | \$0.00 |
| 13415 | 8/22/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 80.38 | \$696.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13416 | 8/22/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 94.05 | \$682.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13418 | 8/22/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 96.79 | \$702.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13419 | 8/22/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 90.36 | \$655.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13420 | 8/22/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 26.21 | \$190.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13421 | 8/22/2014 | 107617 | Pineda | Carlos | 6/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 60.34 | \$437.42 | \$0.05 |
| 13422 | 8/22/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 64.51 | \$623.35 | \$0.00 |
| 13423 | 8/22/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 59.09 | \$428.37 | \$0.03 |
| 13424 | 8/22/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 88.16 | \$639.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13425 | 8/22/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 127.80 | \$1,051.51 | \$0.00 |
| 13266 | 8/22/2014 | 107548 | Rainey | James | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 81.14 | \$588.16 | \$0.11 |
| 13426 | 8/22/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 77.14 | \$558.98 | \$0.28 |
| 13427 | 8/22/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 77.75 | \$862.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13429 | 8/22/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 75.63 | \$548.13 | \$0.19 |
| 13430 | 8/22/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 66.83 | \$484.46 | \$0.06 |
| 13431 | 8/22/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 99.95 | \$724.36 | \$0.28 |
| 13432 | 8/22/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 94.81 | \$746.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13433 | 8/22/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 42.04 | \$304.63 | \$0.16 |
| 13434 | 8/22/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 64.99 | \$470.99 | \$0.19 |
| 13435 | 8/22/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 57.57 | \$417.49 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13436 | 8/22/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 85.32 | \$618.47 | \$0.10 |
| 13437 | 8/22/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 63.73 | \$464.34 | \$0.00 |
| 13440 | 8/22/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 79.05 | \$682.84 | \$0.00 |
| 13441 | 8/22/2014 | 107934 | Ryan | John | 11/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 9.00 | \$65.22 | \$0.03 |
| 13442 | 8/22/2014 | 3042 | Saleh | Jemal | 4/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 56.71 | \$410.89 | \$0.26 |
| 13443 | 8/22/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 87.11 | \$631.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13444 | 8/22/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 78.00 | \$565.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13445 | 8/22/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 73.59 | \$533.33 | \$0.20 |
| 13446 | 8/22/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 7.99 | \$57.92 | \$0.01 |
| 13447 | 8/22/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 68.88 | \$499.70 | \$0.00 |
| 13449 | 8/22/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 74.79 | \$778.98 | \$0.00 |
| 13450 | 8/22/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 8.00 | \$57.98 | \$0.02 |
| 13451 | 8/22/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 103.15 | \$768.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13452 | 8/22/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 81.18 | \$664.28 | \$0.00 |
| 13453 | 8/22/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 82.56 | \$598.80 | \$0.00 |
| 13455 | 8/22/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 117.48 | \$871.28 | \$0.00 |
| 13456 | 8/22/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 69.31 | \$752.59 | \$0.00 |
| 13457 | 8/22/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 83.96 | \$608.76 | \$0.00 |
| 13458 | 8/22/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 85.22 | \$642.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13459 | 8/22/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 119.67 | \$1,393.66 | \$0.00 |
| 13460 | 8/22/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 41.80 | \$308.05 | \$0.00 |
| 13461 | 8/22/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 16.30 | \$122.39 | \$0.00 |
| 13462 | 8/22/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 66.65 | \$483.30 | \$0.00 |
| 13463 | 8/22/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 75.69 | \$548.68 | \$0.07 |
| 13215 | 8/22/2014 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | 8/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 17.68 | \$128.12 | \$0.06 |
| 13464 | 8/22/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 72.65 | \$526.44 | \$0.27 |
| 13465 | 8/22/2014 | 112175 | Utorov | Eduard | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 70.97 | \$514.49 | \$0.04 |
| 13466 | 8/22/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 123.59 | \$955.08 | \$0.00 |
| 13467 | 8/22/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 96.20 | \$755.72 | \$0.00 |
| 13468 | 8/22/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 71.28 | \$517.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13469 | 8/22/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 44.43 | \$382.89 | \$0.00 |
| 13470 | 8/22/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 67.28 | \$487.46 | \$0.32 |
| 13471 | 8/22/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 52.77 | \$382.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13472 | 8/22/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 46.24 | \$447.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13473 | 8/22/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 59.31 | \$456.54 | \$0.00 |
| 13474 | 8/22/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 90.82 | \$658.26 | \$0.18 |
| 13475 | 8/22/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 48.10 | \$348.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13477 | 8/22/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 106.53 | \$772.54 | \$0.00 |
| 13478 | 8/22/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 79.83 | \$578.43 | \$0.34 |
| 13479 | 8/22/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 104.91 | \$1,145.80 | \$0.00 |
| 13480 | 8/22/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 8/2/2014 | 8/15/2014 | 36.55 | \$271.88 | \$0.00 |
| 13559 | 9/5/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 36.64 | \$311.30 | \$0.00 |
| 13560 | 9/5/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 80.59 | \$686.82 | \$0.00 |
| 13561 | 9/5/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 72.40 | \$525.01 | \$0.00 |
| 13563 | 9/5/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 66.00 | \$478.69 | \$0.00 |
| 13564 | 9/5/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.43 | \$919.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13565 | 9/5/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 62.79 | \$549.19 | \$0.00 |
| 13566 | 9/5/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 94.41 | \$685.88 | \$0.00 |
| 13567 | 9/5/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.90 | \$564.90 | \$0.00 |
| 13568 | 9/5/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 72.37 | \$595.20 | \$0.00 |
| 13569 | 9/5/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 8/23/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.18 | \$683.76 | \$0.00 |
| 13570 | 9/5/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 106.63 | \$811.70 | \$0.00 |
| 13571 | 9/5/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 73.98 | \$538.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13572 | 9/5/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.01 | \$551.03 | \$0.04 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13573 | 9/5/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 71.15 | \$826.22 | \$0.00 |
| 13574 | 9/5/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 92.75 | \$849.01 | \$0.00 |
| 13575 | 9/5/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 83.98 | \$659.63 | \$0.00 |
| 13576 | 9/5/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 85.78 | \$621.60 | \$0.31 |
| 13577 | 9/5/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 60.56 | \$580.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13580 | 9/5/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.71 | \$633.84 | \$0.00 |
| 13581 | 9/5/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 95.31 | \$691.11 | \$0.00 |
| 13582 | 9/5/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 75.61 | \$548.18 | \$0.00 |
| 13583 | 9/5/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.37 | \$633.24 | \$0.19 |
| 13584 | 9/5/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 94.74 | \$917.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13585 | 9/5/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 68.77 | \$518.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13586 | 9/5/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 74.97 | \$543.38 | \$0.15 |
| 13587 | 9/5/2014 | 23673 | Castro | Willer | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 48.79 | \$353.72 | \$0.01 |
| 13588 | 9/5/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.39 | \$655.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13589 | 9/5/2014 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | 11/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.04 | \$551.29 | \$0.00 |
| 13590 | 9/5/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 61.60 | \$504.17 | \$0.00 |
| 13591 | 9/5/2014 | 108041 | Comeau | Brian | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 38.58 | \$279.67 | \$0.04 |
| 13592 | 9/5/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 79.12 | \$573.55 | \$0.07 |
| 13593 | 9/5/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 104.77 | \$820.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13594 | 9/5/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 58.48 | \$519.20 | \$0.00 |
| 13595 | 9/5/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.63 | \$635.39 | \$0.00 |
| 13596 | 9/5/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.14 | \$595.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13597 | 9/5/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.64 | \$555.42 | \$0.22 |
| 13598 | 9/5/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 88.53 | \$1,077.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13599 | 9/5/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 96.13 | \$697.42 | \$0.00 |
| 13600 | 9/5/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 81.91 | \$593.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13601 | 9/5/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 73.80 | \$535.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13602 | 9/5/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 83.63 | \$606.60 | \$0.00 |
| 13603 | 9/5/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 124.40 | \$1,389.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13604 | 9/5/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.30 | \$572.83 | \$0.00 |
| 13605 | 9/5/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 51.92 | \$376.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13607 | 9/5/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 101.06 | \$820.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13608 | 9/5/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 83.82 | \$640.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13609 | 9/5/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 68.78 | \$507.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13610 | 9/5/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 92.30 | \$755.24 | \$0.00 |
| 13611 | 9/5/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 34.13 | \$309.83 | \$0.00 |
| 13612 | 9/5/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 55.83 | \$454.32 | \$0.00 |
| 13613 | 9/5/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.65 | \$563.18 | \$0.00 |
| 13614 | 9/5/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 58.39 | \$423.08 | \$0.25 |
| 13615 | 9/5/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.48 | \$618.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13616 | 9/5/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 123.55 | \$895.29 | \$0.45 |
| 13617 | 9/5/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.31 | \$642.77 | \$0.00 |
| 13619 | 9/5/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 74.49 | \$641.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13620 | 9/5/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 107.26 | \$843.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13621 | 9/5/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 57.87 | \$419.46 | \$0.10 |
| 13622 | 9/5/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 47.52 | \$344.37 | \$0.15 |
| 13623 | 9/5/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 45.84 | \$332.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13624 | 9/5/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.98 | \$817.65 | \$0.00 |
| 13625 | 9/5/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 42.76 | \$310.08 | \$0.00 |
| 13626 | 9/5/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 43.90 | \$318.11 | \$0.16 |
| 13627 | 9/5/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 100.32 | \$727.06 | \$0.26 |
| 13628 | 9/5/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 46.54 | \$337.30 | \$0.12 |
| 13629 | 9/5/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 115.98 | \$1,152.92 | \$0.00 |
| 13630 | 9/5/2014 | 29609 | Haralambov | Valko | 6/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 10.02 | \$87.60 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13631 | 9/5/2014 | 27832 | Harding | David | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 30.18 | \$241.69 | \$0.00 |
| 13632 | 9/5/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 94.12 | \$682.78 | \$0.00 |
| 13633 | 9/5/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 61.13 | \$479.47 | \$0.00 |
| 13634 | 9/5/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.57 | \$554.78 | \$0.35 |
| 13635 | 9/5/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.84 | \$614.51 | \$0.00 |
| 13637 | 9/5/2014 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.12 | \$595.27 | \$0.10 |
| 13638 | 9/5/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 58.69 | \$425.22 | \$0.28 |
| 13639 | 9/5/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.23 | \$987.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13641 | 9/5/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 83.80 | \$665.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13642 | 9/5/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 90.47 | \$655.53 | \$0.38 |
| 13643 | 9/5/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.15 | \$832.02 | \$0.00 |
| 13644 | 9/5/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 92.30 | \$1,008.54 | \$0.00 |
| 13645 | 9/5/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 94.20 | \$772.04 | \$0.00 |
| 13646 | 9/5/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 35.93 | \$295.08 | \$0.00 |
| 13647 | 9/5/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 85.80 | \$622.22 | \$0.00 |
| 13649 | 9/5/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 72.14 | \$522.94 | \$0.07 |
| 13650 | 9/5/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 52.58 | \$400.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13651 | 9/5/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 89.49 | \$730.30 | \$0.00 |
| 13652 | 9/5/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 81.36 | \$783.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13653 | 9/5/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 70.19 | \$604.44 | \$0.00 |
| 13655 | 9/5/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 78.13 | \$566.20 | \$0.24 |
| 13656 | 9/5/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 73.26 | \$603.31 | \$0.00 |
| 13657 | 9/5/2014 | 25522 | Link | Peter | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.18 | \$635.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13659 | 9/5/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 75.15 | \$544.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13660 | 9/5/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 96.53 | \$712.40 | \$0.00 |
| 13662 | 9/5/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 69.57 | \$504.36 | \$0.02 |
| 13663 | 9/5/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 96.09 | \$809.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13664 | 9/5/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 105.43 | \$786.16 | \$0.00 |
| 13665 | 9/5/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 106.85 | \$885.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13666 | 9/5/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 74.73 | \$541.63 | \$0.16 |
| 13667 | 9/5/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 56.92 | \$412.71 | \$0.00 |
| 13668 | 9/5/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.84 | \$574.61 | \$0.00 |
| 13536 | 9/5/2014 | 2596 | Meloro | Paul | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 72.64 | \$526.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13669 | 9/5/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 41.86 | \$303.29 | \$0.20 |
| 13670 | 9/5/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 133.59 | \$1,018.27 | \$0.00 |
| 13671 | 9/5/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 70.94 | \$514.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13672 | 9/5/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 72.85 | \$528.43 | \$0.00 |
| 13673 | 9/5/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 95.06 | \$1,042.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13674 | 9/5/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 74.61 | \$541.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13675 | 9/5/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 86.92 | \$701.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13676 | 9/5/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 84.08 | \$841.65 | \$0.00 |
| 13677 | 9/5/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 98.29 | \$837.72 | \$0.00 |
| 13678 | 9/5/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 112.25 | \$956.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13679 | 9/5/2014 | 3859 | Nazarov | Mikael | 7/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 49.39 | \$357.95 | \$0.13 |
| 13680 | 9/5/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.94 | \$601.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13681 | 9/5/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 68.68 | \$762.97 | \$0.00 |
| 13682 | 9/5/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 104.47 | \$1,125.02 | \$0.00 |
| 13683 | 9/5/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 15.73 | \$114.03 | \$0.01 |
| 13684 | 9/5/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 52.49 | \$472.53 | \$0.00 |
| 13685 | 9/5/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 99.85 | \$764.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13686 | 9/5/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 60.30 | \$437.05 | \$0.12 |
| 13687 | 9/5/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 65.75 | \$606.36 | \$0.00 |
| 13688 | 9/5/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.47 | \$561.43 | \$0.23 |
| 13689 | 9/5/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 98.27 | \$719.73 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13690 | 9/5/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 44.50 | \$326.43 | \$0.00 |
| 13691 | 9/5/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 95.73 | \$785.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13693 | 9/5/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 84.05 | \$708.77 | \$0.00 |
| 13694 | 9/5/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 93.75 | \$704.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13695 | 9/5/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 41.58 | \$311.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13534 | 9/5/2014 | 107617 | Pineda | Carlos | 6/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 33.73 | \$244.40 | \$0.14 |
| 13696 | 9/5/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 65.14 | \$687.23 | \$0.00 |
| 13697 | 9/5/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 63.51 | \$466.43 | \$0.00 |
| 13698 | 9/5/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 59.20 | \$493.39 | \$0.00 |
| 13699 | 9/5/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 117.92 | \$1,121.35 | \$0.00 |
| 13700 | 9/5/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 70.88 | \$513.72 | \$0.16 |
| 13701 | 9/5/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 75.78 | \$795.48 | \$0.00 |
| 13703 | 9/5/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 82.54 | \$678.79 | \$0.00 |
| 13704 | 9/5/2014 | 110975 | Reynolds | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 6.54 | \$47.45 | \$0.00 |
| 13705 | 9/5/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 98.07 | \$710.58 | \$0.43 |
| 13706 | 9/5/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 120.73 | \$884.11 | \$0.00 |
| 13707 | 9/5/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 90.29 | \$681.71 | \$0.00 |
| 13708 | 9/5/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 64.09 | \$464.41 | \$0.24 |
| 13709 | 9/5/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 85.69 | \$621.60 | \$0.00 |
| 13710 | 9/5/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 69.11 | \$594.72 | \$0.00 |
| 13711 | 9/5/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 85.66 | \$620.85 | \$0.18 |
| 13712 | 9/5/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 71.67 | \$519.88 | \$0.00 |
| 13715 | 9/5/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 54.06 | \$447.39 | \$0.00 |
| 13716 | 9/5/2014 | 107934 | Ryan | John | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 74.69 | \$551.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13717 | 9/5/2014 | 3042 | Saleh | Jemal | 4/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 76.10 | \$551.55 | \$0.17 |
| 13718 | 9/5/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 97.62 | \$707.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13719 | 9/5/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 72.77 | \$527.79 | \$0.00 |
| 13720 | 9/5/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 57.72 | \$554.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13721 | 9/5/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 69.17 | \$588.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13722 | 9/5/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 85.10 | \$616.78 | \$0.19 |
| 13724 | 9/5/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 68.45 | \$589.57 | \$0.00 |
| 13725 | 9/5/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 78.97 | \$572.16 | \$0.37 |
| 13726 | 9/5/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 97.82 | \$709.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13727 | 9/5/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.66 | \$562.85 | \$0.18 |
| 13728 | 9/5/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 75.61 | \$659.85 | \$0.00 |
| 13730 | 9/5/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 99.67 | \$722.21 | \$0.40 |
| 13731 | 9/5/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 78.23 | \$842.66 | \$0.00 |
| 13732 | 9/5/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.51 | \$562.32 | \$0.00 |
| 13733 | 9/5/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 101.74 | \$737.39 | \$0.23 |
| 13734 | 9/5/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 55.48 | \$651.25 | \$0.00 |
| 13735 | 9/5/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 77.73 | \$700.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13736 | 9/5/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 39.22 | \$284.25 | \$0.09 |
| 13737 | 9/5/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 69.43 | \$503.05 | \$0.32 |
| 13738 | 9/5/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 74.75 | \$542.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13739 | 9/5/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 84.00 | \$609.41 | \$0.00 |
| 13740 | 9/5/2014 | 112175 | Utorov | Eduard | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 78.68 | \$592.62 | \$0.00 |
| 13741 | 9/5/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 123.49 | \$912.47 | \$0.00 |
| 13742 | 9/5/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 97.71 | \$818.22 | \$0.00 |
| 13743 | 9/5/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 85.28 | \$618.23 | \$0.05 |
| 13744 | 9/5/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 44.24 | \$370.33 | \$0.00 |
| 13745 | 9/5/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 55.48 | \$402.45 | \$0.00 |
| 13746 | 9/5/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 45.98 | \$333.41 | \$0.00 |
| 13747 | 9/5/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 43.21 | \$418.93 | \$0.00 |
| 13748 | 9/5/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 59.55 | \$454.44 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13749 | 9/5/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 87.85 | \$636.87 | \$0.04 |
| 13750 | 9/5/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 81.72 | \$592.81 | \$0.00 |
| 13752 | 9/5/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 121.34 | \$880.17 | \$0.00 |
| 13753 | 9/5/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 73.70 | \$534.27 | \$0.06 |
| 13754 | 9/5/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 96.26 | \$1,136.97 | \$0.00 |
| 13755 | 9/5/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 8/16/2014 | 8/29/2014 | 78.30 | \$653.12 | \$0.00 |
| 13835 | 9/19/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 72.97 | \$753.54 | \$0.00 |
| 13836 | 9/19/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 88.87 | \$894.92 | \$0.00 |
| 13837 | 9/19/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 36.01 | \$281.12 | \$0.00 |
| 13839 | 9/19/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 55.30 | \$400.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13840 | 9/19/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 89.49 | \$799.73 | \$0.00 |
| 13841 | 9/19/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 81.70 | \$680.24 | \$0.00 |
| 13842 | 9/19/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 107.97 | \$830.47 | \$0.00 |
| 13843 | 9/19/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 88.05 | \$637.95 | \$0.41 |
| 13844 | 9/19/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 54.82 | \$492.30 | \$0.00 |
| 13845 | 9/19/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 9/6/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 105.06 | \$867.05 | \$0.00 |
| 13846 | 9/19/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 88.82 | \$736.86 | \$0.00 |
| 13847 | 9/19/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 73.05 | \$529.34 | \$0.27 |
| 13848 | 9/19/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.32 | \$691.05 | \$0.02 |
| 13849 | 9/19/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 69.04 | \$969.89 | \$0.00 |
| 13850 | 9/19/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 108.23 | \$940.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13851 | 9/19/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 83.73 | \$672.06 | \$0.00 |
| 13852 | 9/19/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.25 | \$545.42 | \$0.14 |
| 13853 | 9/19/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 54.34 | \$573.87 | \$0.00 |
| 13856 | 9/19/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 91.13 | \$672.93 | \$0.00 |
| 13857 | 9/19/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.27 | \$691.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13858 | 9/19/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 28.83 | \$209.00 | \$0.02 |
| 13859 | 9/19/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 74.09 | \$537.18 | \$0.00 |
| 13860 | 9/19/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 81.45 | \$590.24 | \$0.27 |
| 13861 | 9/19/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 56.62 | \$520.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13862 | 9/19/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 49.31 | \$396.15 | \$0.00 |
| 13863 | 9/19/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.10 | \$479.51 | \$0.00 |
| 13864 | 9/19/2014 | 23673 | Castro | Willer | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 85.43 | \$619.57 | \$0.00 |
| 13865 | 9/19/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.49 | \$655.57 | \$0.00 |
| 13787 | 9/19/2014 | 3885 | Cohoon | Thomas | 11/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 35.63 | \$258.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13866 | 9/19/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 122.03 | \$1,222.86 | \$0.00 |
| 13867 | 9/19/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 82.43 | \$597.80 | \$0.00 |
| 13868 | 9/19/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.67 | \$720.46 | \$0.00 |
| 13869 | 9/19/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 36.62 | \$265.36 | \$0.13 |
| 13870 | 9/19/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 93.28 | \$676.16 | \$0.12 |
| 13871 | 9/19/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 80.00 | \$579.97 | \$0.03 |
| 13872 | 9/19/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 70.55 | \$511.48 | \$0.01 |
| 13873 | 9/19/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 82.58 | \$886.13 | \$0.00 |
| 13874 | 9/19/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 93.97 | \$810.05 | \$0.00 |
| 13875 | 9/19/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 90.98 | \$659.54 | \$0.07 |
| 13876 | 9/19/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 83.27 | \$636.13 | \$0.00 |
| 13877 | 9/19/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 89.47 | \$665.72 | \$0.00 |
| 13878 | 9/19/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 116.38 | \$1,489.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13879 | 9/19/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 74.86 | \$542.69 | \$0.05 |
| 13880 | 9/19/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 48.47 | \$351.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13882 | 9/19/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 97.29 | \$925.61 | \$0.00 |
| 13883 | 9/19/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.55 | \$625.66 | \$0.00 |
| 13884 | 9/19/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 82.80 | \$633.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13885 | 9/19/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 89.75 | \$766.31 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13886 | 9/19/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 32.83 | \$330.10 | \$0.00 |
| 13887 | 9/19/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 62.62 | \$473.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13888 | 9/19/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 76.97 | \$558.27 | \$0.00 |
| 13889 | 9/19/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.10 | \$544.84 | \$0.00 |
| 13890 | 9/19/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.08 | \$718.59 | \$0.00 |
| 13891 | 9/19/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 108.48 | \$786.89 | \$0.00 |
| 13892 | 9/19/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 82.49 | \$671.29 | \$0.00 |
| 13894 | 9/19/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 65.82 | \$601.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13895 | 9/19/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 106.12 | \$768.96 | \$0.41 |
| 13896 | 9/19/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 57.36 | \$423.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13897 | 9/19/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 57.54 | \$419.75 | \$0.00 |
| 13898 | 9/19/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 43.03 | \$311.85 | \$0.12 |
| 13899 | 9/19/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 96.81 | \$879.73 | \$0.00 |
| 13900 | 9/19/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 68.58 | \$497.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13901 | 9/19/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 49.85 | \$361.30 | \$0.11 |
| 13902 | 9/19/2014 | 109992 | Gray | Steven | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 116.91 | \$1,139.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13903 | 9/19/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 89.49 | \$648.65 | \$0.15 |
| 13904 | 9/19/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 54.81 | \$397.25 | \$0.12 |
| 13905 | 9/19/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.96 | \$775.08 | \$0.00 |
| 13789 | 9/19/2014 | 29609 | Haralambov | Valko | 6/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 30.21 | \$270.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13906 | 9/19/2014 | 27832 | Harding | David | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 28.26 | \$209.76 | \$0.00 |
| 13907 | 9/19/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.64 | \$693.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13908 | 9/19/2014 | 112912 | Hassanzadeh | Davoud | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.22 | \$625.22 | \$0.00 |
| 13909 | 9/19/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 49.98 | \$396.53 | \$0.00 |
| 13910 | 9/19/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 74.98 | \$543.37 | \$0.24 |
| 13911 | 9/19/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 59.07 | \$428.53 | \$0.00 |
| 13788 | 9/19/2014 | 27788 | Hurd | Donald | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 41.62 | \$301.83 | \$0.00 |
| 13913 | 9/19/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 52.71 | \$382.28 | \$0.00 |
| 13914 | 9/19/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 57.64 | \$643.73 | \$0.00 |
| 13916 | 9/19/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 59.17 | \$428.74 | \$0.24 |
| 13917 | 9/19/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.27 | \$625.53 | \$0.00 |
| 13918 | 9/19/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 85.29 | \$683.95 | \$0.00 |
| 13919 | 9/19/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 64.91 | \$666.88 | \$0.00 |
| 13920 | 9/19/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.56 | \$547.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13921 | 9/19/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 36.22 | \$295.12 | \$0.00 |
| 13922 | 9/19/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 79.25 | \$574.47 | \$0.09 |
| 13924 | 9/19/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 76.08 | \$564.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13925 | 9/19/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 54.13 | \$407.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13926 | 9/19/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 57.21 | \$490.05 | \$0.00 |
| 13927 | 9/19/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 96.17 | \$1,019.61 | \$0.00 |
| 13928 | 9/19/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 70.97 | \$638.48 | \$0.00 |
| 13930 | 9/19/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 78.13 | \$632.99 | \$0.00 |
| 13931 | 9/19/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 62.33 | \$588.49 | \$0.00 |
| 13790 | 9/19/2014 | 29012 | Lin | Natalie | 12/1/2014 | 9/6/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 10.33 | \$74.94 | \$0.00 |
| 13932 | 9/19/2014 | 25522 | Link | Peter | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 55.09 | \$457.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13934 | 9/19/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 74.66 | \$612.67 | \$0.00 |
| 13935 | 9/19/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.09 | \$676.57 | \$0.00 |
| 13937 | 9/19/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 72.29 | \$528.03 | \$0.00 |
| 13938 | 9/19/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.92 | \$743.37 | \$0.00 |
| 13939 | 9/19/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 85.56 | \$620.65 | \$0.00 |
| 13940 | 9/19/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.82 | \$586.73 | \$0.00 |
| 13941 | 9/19/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 84.73 | \$614.09 | \$0.20 |
| 13942 | 9/19/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.73 | \$487.70 | \$0.00 |
| 13943 | 9/19/2014 | 107915 | McLaren | Russell | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 62.13 | \$450.63 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13944 | 9/19/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.09 | \$577.91 | \$0.00 |
| 13945 | 9/19/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 47.12 | \$341.45 | \$0.17 |
| 13946 | 9/19/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.23 | \$702.64 | \$0.00 |
| 13947 | 9/19/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 60.37 | \$437.94 | \$0.00 |
| 13948 | 9/19/2014 | 31966 | Mitrikov | Ilko | 11/1/2010 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 18.68 | \$167.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13949 | 9/19/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 64.18 | \$465.34 | \$0.00 |
| 13950 | 9/19/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.41 | \$1,035.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13951 | 9/19/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.60 | \$482.63 | \$0.22 |
| 13952 | 9/19/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.69 | \$694.98 | \$0.00 |
| 13953 | 9/19/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 58.80 | \$472.85 | \$0.00 |
| 13954 | 9/19/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 97.92 | \$901.25 | \$0.00 |
| 13955 | 9/19/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 107.94 | \$873.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13956 | 9/19/2014 | 3859 | Nazarov | Mikael | 7/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.76 | \$514.41 | \$0.00 |
| 13957 | 9/19/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 78.75 | \$570.76 | \$0.18 |
| 13958 | 9/19/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 61.06 | \$774.13 | \$0.00 |
| 13959 | 9/19/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 124.60 | \$1,338.52 | \$0.00 |
| 13960 | 9/19/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 70.50 | \$535.56 | \$0.00 |
| 13961 | 9/19/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 50.59 | \$528.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13962 | 9/19/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 9.44 | \$96.64 | \$0.00 |
| 13963 | 9/19/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 79.54 | \$576.96 | \$0.00 |
| 13964 | 9/19/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 64.27 | \$632.69 | \$0.00 |
| 13965 | 9/19/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.70 | \$549.14 | \$0.00 |
| 13966 | 9/19/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 93.07 | \$674.83 | \$0.00 |
| 13967 | 9/19/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 76.01 | \$550.91 | \$0.16 |
| 13968 | 9/19/2014 | 110625 | Patricio | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 10.30 | \$74.64 | \$0.04 |
| 13969 | 9/19/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 93.61 | \$730.26 | \$0.00 |
| 13971 | 9/19/2014 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | 1/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 69.79 | \$748.05 | \$0.00 |
| 13972 | 9/19/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.91 | \$670.29 | \$0.00 |
| 13973 | 9/19/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 91.94 | \$666.39 | \$0.17 |
| 13974 | 9/19/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 26.11 | \$189.18 | \$0.12 |
| 13975 | 9/19/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 65.42 | \$754.79 | \$0.00 |
| 13976 | 9/19/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 62.37 | \$452.38 | \$0.00 |
| 13977 | 9/19/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 90.20 | \$685.83 | \$0.00 |
| 13978 | 9/19/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 118.17 | \$1,018.18 | \$0.00 |
| 13979 | 9/19/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 63.58 | \$461.08 | \$0.00 |
| 13980 | 9/19/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 67.01 | \$615.50 | \$0.00 |
| 13982 | 9/19/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 60.48 | \$483.01 | \$0.00 |
| 13791 | 9/19/2014 | 110975 | Reynolds | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 11.52 | \$83.58 | \$0.00 |
| 13983 | 9/19/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.32 | \$625.45 | \$0.37 |
| 13984 | 9/19/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 81.83 | \$593.47 | \$0.00 |
| 13985 | 9/19/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 94.65 | \$685.75 | \$0.46 |
| 13986 | 9/19/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 49.74 | \$360.83 | \$0.00 |
| 13987 | 9/19/2014 | 111648 | Robinson | Jeffrey | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 8.41 | \$60.94 | \$0.03 |
| 13988 | 9/19/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 56.63 | \$410.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14071 | 9/19/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 62.14 | \$551.90 | \$0.00 |
| 13990 | 9/19/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 85.17 | \$617.34 | \$0.14 |
| 13991 | 9/19/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 62.21 | \$450.73 | \$0.29 |
| 13992 | 9/19/2014 | 111882 | Rojas-Perez | Jose | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 58.07 | \$421.09 | \$0.00 |
| 13995 | 9/19/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 57.47 | \$450.07 | \$0.00 |
| 13996 | 9/19/2014 | 107934 | Ryan | John | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 81.06 | \$587.33 | \$0.36 |
| 13997 | 9/19/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.24 | \$694.74 | \$0.00 |
| 13998 | 9/19/2014 | 112826 | Sameh | Abdul | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 8.97 | \$75.03 | \$0.00 |
| 13999 | 9/19/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.82 | \$484.54 | \$0.00 |
| 14000 | 9/19/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 52.77 | \$417.51 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14001 | 9/19/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 36.42 | \$269.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14002 | 9/19/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 70.22 | \$508.86 | \$0.23 |
| 14004 | 9/19/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 48.90 | \$466.01 | \$0.00 |
| 14005 | 9/19/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 95.60 | \$751.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14006 | 9/19/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 93.48 | \$697.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14007 | 9/19/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.04 | \$478.97 | \$0.00 |
| 14008 | 9/19/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 77.58 | \$636.23 | \$0.00 |
| 14010 | 9/19/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 107.02 | \$775.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14011 | 9/19/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 97.29 | \$1,175.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14012 | 9/19/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 86.53 | \$627.02 | \$0.32 |
| 14013 | 9/19/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 89.66 | \$650.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14014 | 9/19/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 70.23 | \$934.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14015 | 9/19/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 64.81 | \$612.86 | \$0.00 |
| 14016 | 9/19/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 46.52 | \$337.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14017 | 9/19/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 76.73 | \$555.98 | \$0.31 |
| 14018 | 9/19/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 50.83 | \$368.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14019 | 9/19/2014 | 20386 | Tucker | Carl | 6/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 38.15 | \$276.68 | \$0.00 |
| 14020 | 9/19/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 85.73 | \$709.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14021 | 9/19/2014 | 112175 | Utorov | Eduard | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 114.33 | \$828.82 | \$0.07 |
| 14022 | 9/19/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 113.32 | \$821.71 | \$0.00 |
| 14023 | 9/19/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 97.15 | \$737.91 | \$0.00 |
| 14024 | 9/19/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 83.94 | \$653.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14025 | 9/19/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 52.79 | \$480.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14026 | 9/19/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 68.74 | \$498.32 | \$0.04 |
| 14027 | 9/19/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 15.88 | \$123.21 | \$0.00 |
| 14028 | 9/19/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 45.86 | \$446.68 | \$0.00 |
| 14029 | 9/19/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 64.18 | \$497.54 | \$0.00 |
| 14030 | 9/19/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 81.14 | \$588.01 | \$0.25 |
| 14031 | 9/19/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 75.75 | \$549.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14033 | 9/19/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 106.80 | \$774.63 | \$0.00 |
| 14034 | 9/19/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 47.69 | \$411.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14035 | 9/19/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 66.51 | \$482.47 | \$0.00 |
| 14036 | 9/19/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 106.17 | \$1,146.73 | \$0.00 |
| 14037 | 9/19/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 8/30/2014 | 9/12/2014 | 91.09 | \$812.89 | \$0.00 |
| 14112 | 10/3/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 71.37 | \$839.47 | \$0.00 |
| 14113 | 10/3/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.42 | \$704.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14114 | 10/3/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.36 | \$447.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14116 | 10/3/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 55.77 | \$404.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14117 | 10/3/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 88.60 | \$960.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14118 | 10/3/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.71 | \$594.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14119 | 10/3/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 99.19 | \$990.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14120 | 10/3/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 56.34 | \$497.88 | \$0.00 |
| 14121 | 10/3/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 77.46 | \$648.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14122 | 10/3/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 9/20/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 115.96 | \$1,018.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14123 | 10/3/2014 | 112197 | Bachelor | Mickieal | 12/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 30.63 | \$222.05 | \$0.02 |
| 14124 | 10/3/2014 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | 6/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.25 | \$436.53 | \$0.28 |
| 14125 | 10/3/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 93.52 | \$855.47 | \$0.00 |
| 14126 | 10/3/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 44.79 | \$326.30 | \$0.00 |
| 14127 | 10/3/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 88.88 | \$644.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14128 | 10/3/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 81.88 | \$1,235.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14129 | 10/3/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 71.94 | \$771.79 | \$0.00 |
| 14130 | 10/3/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 104.63 | \$977.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14131 | 10/3/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 72.07 | \$522.85 | \$0.00 |
| 14132 | 10/3/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 47.95 | \$532.91 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14135 | 10/3/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 89.53 | \$720.34 | \$0.00 |
| 14136 | 10/3/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 84.85 | \$615.09 | \$0.07 |
| 14137 | 10/3/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 37.22 | \$269.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14138 | 10/3/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 74.26 | \$538.63 | \$0.00 |
| 14068 | 10/3/2014 | 106463 | Capone | Gary | 2/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 24.35 | \$176.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14139 | 10/3/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 93.99 | \$866.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14140 | 10/3/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 66.34 | \$650.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14141 | 10/3/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 68.41 | \$495.78 | \$0.19 |
| 14142 | 10/3/2014 | 23673 | Castro | Willer | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 93.47 | \$677.62 | \$0.04 |
| 14143 | 10/3/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.74 | \$761.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14144 | 10/3/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.96 | \$979.24 | \$0.00 |
| 14145 | 10/3/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 73.42 | \$532.24 | \$0.05 |
| 14146 | 10/3/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 95.07 | \$767.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14147 | 10/3/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 67.57 | \$523.75 | \$0.00 |
| 14148 | 10/3/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 88.35 | \$663.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14149 | 10/3/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 85.80 | \$622.12 | \$0.00 |
| 14150 | 10/3/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 70.75 | \$516.47 | \$0.00 |
| 14151 | 10/3/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 77.42 | \$922.00 | \$0.00 |
| 14152 | 10/3/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 91.29 | \$756.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14153 | 10/3/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 72.86 | \$528.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14154 | 10/3/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.86 | \$817.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14155 | 10/3/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.55 | \$709.04 | \$0.00 |
| 14156 | 10/3/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 125.61 | \$1,472.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14157 | 10/3/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 55.76 | \$404.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14158 | 10/3/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 39.81 | \$324.73 | \$0.00 |
| 14160 | 10/3/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 107.76 | \$1,092.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14161 | 10/3/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 81.33 | \$677.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14162 | 10/3/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 66.56 | \$529.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14163 | 10/3/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.11 | \$808.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14164 | 10/3/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 26.94 | \$355.74 | \$0.00 |
| 14165 | 10/3/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 43.77 | \$403.04 | \$0.00 |
| 14166 | 10/3/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 77.48 | \$622.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14167 | 10/3/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 67.02 | \$485.69 | \$0.20 |
| 14168 | 10/3/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.47 | \$782.97 | \$0.00 |
| 14169 | 10/3/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 120.95 | \$909.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14170 | 10/3/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 79.18 | \$677.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14172 | 10/3/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 74.75 | \$704.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14173 | 10/3/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 108.29 | \$785.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14174 | 10/3/2014 | 107680 | Gbajumo | Osawonyi | 6/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 31.58 | \$292.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14175 | 10/3/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 68.94 | \$589.71 | \$0.00 |
| 14176 | 10/3/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 55.48 | \$443.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14177 | 10/3/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 52.29 | \$390.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14178 | 10/3/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 86.60 | \$915.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14179 | 10/3/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 69.80 | \$517.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14180 | 10/3/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 43.48 | \$315.14 | \$0.09 |
| 14181 | 10/3/2014 | 109992 | Gray | Steven | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 101.77 | \$1,121.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14182 | 10/3/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.60 | \$656.81 | \$0.04 |
| 14183 | 10/3/2014 | 112337 | Gutierrez | Carlos | 12/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 105.50 | \$775.14 | \$0.00 |
| 14184 | 10/3/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 76.88 | \$691.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14185 | 10/3/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 96.41 | \$915.54 | \$0.00 |
| 14186 | 10/3/2014 | 27832 | Harding | David | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 26.23 | \$190.12 | \$0.05 |
| 14187 | 10/3/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.68 | \$686.24 | \$0.19 |
| 14188 | 10/3/2014 | 112912 | Hassanzadeh | Davoud | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 68.28 | \$495.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14189 | 10/3/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 41.53 | \$301.11 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14190 | 10/3/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 68.99 | \$500.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14191 | 10/3/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 78.40 | \$664.58 | \$0.00 |
| 14193 | 10/3/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 35.19 | \$255.23 | \$0.00 |
| 14194 | 10/3/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 73.25 | \$923.69 | \$0.00 |
| 14196 | 10/3/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.40 | \$684.37 | \$0.03 |
| 14197 | 10/3/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.08 | \$653.27 | \$0.00 |
| 14198 | 10/3/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 95.00 | \$900.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14199 | 10/3/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 95.27 | \$1,258.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14200 | 10/3/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 86.50 | \$651.74 | \$0.00 |
| 14201 | 10/3/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 44.19 | \$367.24 | \$0.00 |
| 14202 | 10/3/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 90.35 | \$655.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14204 | 10/3/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.95 | \$537.65 | \$0.00 |
| 14205 | 10/3/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 57.99 | \$493.75 | \$0.00 |
| 14206 | 10/3/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 81.79 | \$823.86 | \$0.00 |
| 14207 | 10/3/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 91.55 | \$1,089.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14208 | 10/3/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 71.23 | \$607.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14210 | 10/3/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 48.73 | \$510.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14211 | 10/3/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 62.48 | \$584.13 | \$0.00 |
| 14213 | 10/3/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 75.11 | \$629.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14214 | 10/3/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 85.14 | \$697.26 | \$0.00 |
| 14216 | 10/3/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 66.26 | \$480.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14217 | 10/3/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.33 | \$815.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14218 | 10/3/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.95 | \$637.40 | \$0.24 |
| 14219 | 10/3/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 84.22 | \$701.66 | \$0.00 |
| 14220 | 10/3/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 67.36 | \$488.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14221 | 10/3/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.76 | \$761.00 | \$0.00 |
| 14222 | 10/3/2014 | 107915 | McLaren | Russell | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 57.92 | \$462.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14223 | 10/3/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 75.43 | \$560.69 | \$0.00 |
| 14224 | 10/3/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 51.97 | \$433.98 | \$0.00 |
| 14225 | 10/3/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.27 | \$793.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14226 | 10/3/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.72 | \$734.59 | \$0.00 |
| 14227 | 10/3/2014 | 31966 | Mitrikov | Ilko | 11/1/2010 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 77.86 | \$582.06 | \$0.00 |
| 14228 | 10/3/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.17 | \$461.10 | \$0.00 |
| 14229 | 10/3/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 95.89 | \$1,096.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14230 | 10/3/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 42.77 | \$344.98 | \$0.00 |
| 14231 | 10/3/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.59 | \$650.46 | \$0.00 |
| 14232 | 10/3/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 73.33 | \$766.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14233 | 10/3/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 92.74 | \$932.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14234 | 10/3/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 91.05 | \$759.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14235 | 10/3/2014 | 3859 | Nazarov | Mikael | 7/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 38.15 | \$324.72 | \$0.00 |
| 14236 | 10/3/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 83.16 | \$602.90 | \$0.01 |
| 14237 | 10/3/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 58.90 | \$872.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14238 | 10/3/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 105.45 | \$1,119.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14239 | 10/3/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 69.33 | \$529.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14240 | 10/3/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 53.00 | \$562.01 | \$0.00 |
| 14241 | 10/3/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 79.88 | \$579.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14242 | 10/3/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 65.71 | \$582.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14243 | 10/3/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 70.39 | \$510.08 | \$0.25 |
| 14244 | 10/3/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 83.12 | \$602.89 | \$0.00 |
| 14245 | 10/3/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 74.62 | \$541.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14246 | 10/3/2014 | 110625 | Patricio | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 77.25 | \$559.99 | \$0.07 |
| 14247 | 10/3/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.70 | \$903.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14249 | 10/3/2014 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | 1/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 99.29 | \$1,149.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14250 | 10/3/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 78.34 | \$733.26 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14251 | 10/3/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 92.82 | \$672.92 | \$0.02 |
| 14252 | 10/3/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 18.82 | \$136.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14253 | 10/3/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 56.35 | \$685.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14254 | 10/3/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 73.18 | \$565.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14255 | 10/3/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 80.19 | \$694.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14256 | 10/3/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 98.27 | \$986.93 | \$0.00 |
| 14257 | 10/3/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 63.46 | \$460.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14258 | 10/3/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 76.49 | \$1,003.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14260 | 10/3/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 74.04 | \$629.71 | \$0.00 |
| 14261 | 10/3/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.58 | \$641.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14262 | 10/3/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 109.65 | \$795.36 | \$0.00 |
| 14263 | 10/3/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 96.22 | \$756.34 | \$0.00 |
| 14264 | 10/3/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 46.12 | \$334.29 | \$0.08 |
| 14265 | 10/3/2014 | 111648 | Robinson | Jeffrey | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 63.88 | \$467.08 | \$0.00 |
| 14266 | 10/3/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 75.27 | \$545.49 | \$0.22 |
| 14088 | 10/3/2014 | 3629 | Robles | Mark | 1/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 58.40 | \$608.72 | \$0.00 |
| 14267 | 10/3/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 85.72 | \$731.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14268 | 10/3/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.03 | \$509.70 | \$0.00 |
| 14269 | 10/3/2014 | 111882 | Rojas-Perez | Jose | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 96.69 | \$700.94 | \$0.06 |
| 14272 | 10/3/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 65.16 | \$560.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14273 | 10/3/2014 | 107934 | Ryan | John | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 80.12 | \$631.08 | \$0.00 |
| 14274 | 10/3/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 97.31 | \$754.03 | \$0.00 |
| 14275 | 10/3/2014 | 112826 | Sameh | Abdul | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 75.25 | \$617.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14276 | 10/3/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 49.93 | \$372.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14277 | 10/3/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 59.39 | \$497.85 | \$0.00 |
| 14278 | 10/3/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 38.15 | \$397.08 | \$0.00 |
| 14279 | 10/3/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 82.27 | \$644.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14281 | 10/3/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 68.20 | \$751.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14282 | 10/3/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 93.62 | \$775.70 | \$0.00 |
| 14283 | 10/3/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 56.48 | \$409.69 | \$0.00 |
| 14284 | 10/3/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 72.87 | \$780.27 | \$0.00 |
| 14285 | 10/3/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 70.11 | \$568.19 | \$0.00 |
| 14287 | 10/3/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 105.44 | \$773.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14288 | 10/3/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.97 | \$1,085.65 | \$0.00 |
| 14289 | 10/3/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 87.18 | \$632.11 | \$0.00 |
| 14290 | 10/3/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 88.72 | \$769.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14291 | 10/3/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 70.43 | \$711.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14292 | 10/3/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 40.35 | \$315.48 | \$0.00 |
| 14293 | 10/3/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 65.37 | \$478.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14294 | 10/3/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 60.54 | \$444.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14295 | 10/3/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 69.75 | \$505.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14296 | 10/3/2014 | 20386 | Tucker | Carl | 6/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 55.17 | \$399.74 | \$0.24 |
| 14297 | 10/3/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 75.83 | \$748.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14298 | 10/3/2014 | 112175 | Utorov | Eduard | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 101.29 | \$854.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14299 | 10/3/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 94.40 | \$702.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14300 | 10/3/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 96.81 | \$805.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14301 | 10/3/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 74.36 | \$539.30 | \$0.00 |
| 14302 | 10/3/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 53.90 | \$488.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14303 | 10/3/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 71.89 | \$521.10 | \$0.10 |
| 14304 | 10/3/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 51.86 | \$376.06 | \$0.00 |
| 14305 | 10/3/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 57.96 | \$574.28 | \$0.00 |
| 14306 | 10/3/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 48.39 | \$429.21 | \$0.00 |
| 14307 | 10/3/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 63.69 | \$578.97 | \$0.00 |
| 14308 | 10/3/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 74.49 | \$552.99 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14310 | 10/3/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 117.92 | \$1,018.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14311 | 10/3/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 101.04 | \$756.65 | \$0.00 |
| 14312 | 10/3/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 66.95 | \$485.50 | \$0.00 |
| 14313 | 10/3/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 91.77 | \$1,308.30 | \$0.00 |
| 14314 | 10/3/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 9/13/2014 | 9/26/2014 | 110.37 | \$995.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14396 | 10/17/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 72.87 | \$981.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14397 | 10/17/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 85.71 | \$925.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14398 | 10/17/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 65.64 | \$475.76 | \$0.13 |
| 14400 | 10/17/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 41.87 | \$303.47 | \$0.09 |
| 14401 | 10/17/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 83.38 | \$985.28 | \$0.00 |
| 14402 | 10/17/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 53.39 | \$386.94 | \$0.14 |
| 14403 | 10/17/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 97.79 | \$1,071.03 | \$0.00 |
| 14404 | 10/17/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 85.43 | \$619.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14405 | 10/17/2014 | 8812 | Arnold | Peter | 12/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 39.44 | \$285.78 | \$0.16 |
| 14406 | 10/17/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 66.62 | \$552.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14407 | 10/17/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 10/4/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 77.09 | \$564.04 | \$0.00 |
| 14408 | 10/17/2014 | 112197 | Bachelor | Mickieal | 12/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 62.54 | \$453.23 | \$0.19 |
| 14409 | 10/17/2014 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | 6/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.54 | \$634.72 | \$0.00 |
| 14410 | 10/17/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 91.26 | \$746.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14411 | 10/17/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 71.66 | \$519.44 | \$0.10 |
| 14412 | 10/17/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 90.00 | \$675.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14413 | 10/17/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 60.74 | \$842.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14414 | 10/17/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 95.30 | \$936.88 | \$0.00 |
| 14415 | 10/17/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 75.42 | \$688.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14416 | 10/17/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 69.36 | \$594.80 | \$0.00 |
| 14417 | 10/17/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 45.54 | \$468.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14420 | 10/17/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 88.64 | \$874.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14421 | 10/17/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 89.60 | \$659.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14422 | 10/17/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 52.96 | \$383.72 | \$0.24 |
| 14423 | 10/17/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 91.89 | \$669.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14424 | 10/17/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 90.20 | \$809.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14425 | 10/17/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 66.09 | \$588.24 | \$0.00 |
| 14426 | 10/17/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.80 | \$636.27 | \$0.28 |
| 14427 | 10/17/2014 | 23673 | Castro | Willer | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 85.05 | \$616.58 | \$0.03 |
| 14428 | 10/17/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 89.59 | \$649.26 | \$0.27 |
| 14429 | 10/17/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 99.88 | \$1,021.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14430 | 10/17/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 82.20 | \$595.74 | \$0.21 |
| 14431 | 10/17/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 83.75 | \$680.83 | \$0.00 |
| 14432 | 10/17/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 58.55 | \$631.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14433 | 10/17/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 74.81 | \$589.97 | \$0.00 |
| 14434 | 10/17/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 88.39 | \$703.36 | \$0.00 |
| 14435 | 10/17/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.12 | \$750.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14436 | 10/17/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 94.93 | \$1,317.79 | \$0.00 |
| 14437 | 10/17/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 81.44 | \$645.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14438 | 10/17/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 88.59 | \$642.03 | \$0.25 |
| 14439 | 10/17/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 72.29 | \$617.27 | \$0.00 |
| 14440 | 10/17/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 60.60 | \$489.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14441 | 10/17/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 130.53 | \$1,612.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14442 | 10/17/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 35.89 | \$260.13 | \$0.07 |
| 14443 | 10/17/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 31.03 | \$326.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14445 | 10/17/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 104.53 | \$1,177.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14446 | 10/17/2014 | 105512 | Eckersley | Richard | 12/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 48.82 | \$379.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14447 | 10/17/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 71.71 | \$740.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14448 | 10/17/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 69.63 | \$527.87 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14449 | 10/17/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 82.32 | \$809.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14450 | 10/17/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 42.50 | \$587.30 | \$0.00 |
| 14451 | 10/17/2014 | 29981 | Fair | Kirby | 1/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 54.68 | \$566.28 | \$0.00 |
| 14452 | 10/17/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 77.79 | \$564.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14453 | 10/17/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 73.90 | \$535.70 | \$0.08 |
| 14454 | 10/17/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.40 | \$781.45 | \$0.00 |
| 14455 | 10/17/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 119.87 | \$910.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14456 | 10/17/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 56.67 | \$410.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14458 | 10/17/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 74.76 | \$713.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14459 | 10/17/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 116.52 | \$987.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14460 | 10/17/2014 | 107680 | Gbajumo | Osawonyi | 6/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 74.80 | \$542.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14461 | 10/17/2014 | 29297 | Gebremicheo | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 73.08 | \$538.14 | \$0.00 |
| 14462 | 10/17/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 56.89 | \$420.50 | \$0.00 |
| 14463 | 10/17/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 52.77 | \$404.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14464 | 10/17/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.48 | \$954.84 | \$0.00 |
| 14465 | 10/17/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 61.47 | \$445.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14357 | 10/17/2014 | 19253 | Gray | Gary | 4/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 34.90 | \$253.12 | \$0.00 |
| 14324 | 10/17/2014 | 109992 | Gray | Steven | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 17.08 | \$189.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14466 | 10/17/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 83.38 | \$604.27 | \$0.23 |
| 14467 | 10/17/2014 | 112337 | Gutierrez | Carlos | 12/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 122.89 | \$891.48 | \$0.00 |
| 14468 | 10/17/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 57.65 | \$441.03 | \$0.00 |
| 14469 | 10/17/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 96.12 | \$955.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14470 | 10/17/2014 | 27832 | Harding | David | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 25.88 | \$187.52 | \$0.11 |
| 14471 | 10/17/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 95.37 | \$771.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14472 | 10/17/2014 | 112912 | Hassanzadeh | Davoud | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 77.06 | \$559.04 | \$0.00 |
| 14473 | 10/17/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 55.27 | \$443.98 | \$0.00 |
| 14474 | 10/17/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 97.25 | \$745.97 | \$0.00 |
| 14475 | 10/17/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.58 | \$691.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14477 | 10/17/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 62.20 | \$451.01 | \$0.00 |
| 14478 | 10/17/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.04 | \$1,082.80 | \$0.00 |
| 14480 | 10/17/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 70.46 | \$510.55 | \$0.28 |
| 14481 | 10/17/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 93.96 | \$681.63 | \$0.00 |
| 14482 | 10/17/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 95.28 | \$950.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14483 | 10/17/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 92.18 | \$1,340.03 | \$0.00 |
| 14484 | 10/17/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.77 | \$699.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14485 | 10/17/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 42.32 | \$367.46 | \$0.00 |
| 14486 | 10/17/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 80.82 | \$585.88 | \$0.06 |
| 14488 | 10/17/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 34.72 | \$278.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14489 | 10/17/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 56.10 | \$424.84 | \$0.00 |
| 14490 | 10/17/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 65.59 | \$697.19 | \$0.00 |
| 14491 | 10/17/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.95 | \$1,161.68 | \$0.00 |
| 14492 | 10/17/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.10 | \$777.24 | \$0.00 |
| 14494 | 10/17/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 76.21 | \$662.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14495 | 10/17/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 52.60 | \$545.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14497 | 10/17/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 74.02 | \$595.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14498 | 10/17/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 56.72 | \$547.36 | \$0.00 |
| 14500 | 10/17/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 69.42 | \$503.04 | \$0.25 |
| 14501 | 10/17/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 89.38 | \$833.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14502 | 10/17/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 93.14 | \$728.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14503 | 10/17/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 98.10 | \$990.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14504 | 10/17/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 75.08 | \$559.07 | \$0.00 |
| 14505 | 10/17/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 87.03 | \$654.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14506 | 10/17/2014 | 107915 | McLaren | Russell | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 67.41 | \$488.49 | \$0.23 |
| 14507 | 10/17/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 85.87 | \$695.99 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14508 | 10/17/2014 | 102328 | Meyer | Ronald | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 26.35 | \$208.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14509 | 10/17/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 76.76 | \$631.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14510 | 10/17/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 77.39 | \$670.06 | \$0.00 |
| 14347 | 10/17/2014 | 31966 | Mitrikov | Ilko | 11/1/2010 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 29.37 | \$213.03 | \$0.00 |
| 14511 | 10/17/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 70.97 | \$514.23 | \$0.30 |
| 14512 | 10/17/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 85.40 | \$994.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14513 | 10/17/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 34.76 | \$252.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14514 | 10/17/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 76.19 | \$656.27 | \$0.00 |
| 14515 | 10/17/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 63.81 | \$648.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14516 | 10/17/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.41 | \$861.71 | \$0.00 |
| 14517 | 10/17/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 109.16 | \$1,069.35 | \$0.00 |
| 14372 | 10/17/2014 | 3859 | Nazarov | Mikael | 7/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 19.41 | \$140.68 | \$0.04 |
| 14518 | 10/17/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 56.59 | \$410.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14519 | 10/17/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 76.94 | \$991.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14520 | 10/17/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 91.14 | \$1,025.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14521 | 10/17/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 69.86 | \$578.74 | \$0.00 |
| 14522 | 10/17/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 43.86 | \$446.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14523 | 10/17/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 98.96 | \$717.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14524 | 10/17/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 45.78 | \$459.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14525 | 10/17/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.14 | \$675.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14526 | 10/17/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 88.05 | \$638.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14375 | 10/17/2014 | 19858 | Passera | Charles | 5/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 74.75 | \$548.81 | \$0.00 |
| 14527 | 10/17/2014 | 110625 | Patricio | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 85.37 | \$618.78 | \$0.15 |
| 14528 | 10/17/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.16 | \$935.28 | \$0.00 |
| 14530 | 10/17/2014 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | 1/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 89.43 | \$1,047.58 | \$0.00 |
| 14531 | 10/17/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 95.80 | \$943.86 | \$0.00 |
| 14532 | 10/17/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 91.40 | \$662.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14533 | 10/17/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 24.22 | \$188.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14534 | 10/17/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 73.98 | \$1,019.96 | \$0.00 |
| 14535 | 10/17/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 66.52 | \$520.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14536 | 10/17/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 88.46 | \$646.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14537 | 10/17/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 117.63 | \$1,183.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14538 | 10/17/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 62.36 | \$452.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14539 | 10/17/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 77.13 | \$1,024.93 | \$0.00 |
| 14541 | 10/17/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 61.49 | \$489.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14542 | 10/17/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 78.93 | \$641.47 | \$0.00 |
| 14543 | 10/17/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 109.38 | \$792.72 | \$0.28 |
| 14544 | 10/17/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 94.20 | \$761.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14545 | 10/17/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 53.15 | \$385.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14546 | 10/17/2014 | 111648 | Robinson | Jeffrey | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 62.34 | \$452.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14547 | 10/17/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 73.93 | \$536.16 | \$0.00 |
| 14548 | 10/17/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 92.60 | \$752.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14549 | 10/17/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 70.48 | \$510.67 | \$0.31 |
| 14550 | 10/17/2014 | 111882 | Rojas-Perez | Jose | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 84.23 | \$616.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14553 | 10/17/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 78.56 | \$850.86 | \$0.00 |
| 14373 | 10/17/2014 | 107934 | Ryan | John | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 78.99 | \$572.80 | \$0.00 |
| 14554 | 10/17/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 103.31 | \$886.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14555 | 10/17/2014 | 112826 | Sameh | Abdul | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.55 | \$683.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14556 | 10/17/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 63.59 | \$461.01 | \$0.02 |
| 14557 | 10/17/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 54.28 | \$493.63 | \$0.00 |
| 14558 | 10/17/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 35.64 | \$374.83 | \$0.00 |
| 14559 | 10/17/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 88.22 | \$754.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14561 | 10/17/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 54.00 | \$518.92 | \$0.00 |
| 14562 | 10/17/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 95.13 | \$766.35 | \$0.00 |


| Check Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14563 | 10/17/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 94.38 | \$704.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14564 | 10/17/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 60.78 | \$539.45 | \$0.00 |
| 14565 | 10/17/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 78.30 | \$759.06 | \$0.00 |
| 14567 | 10/17/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 107.83 | \$908.35 | \$0.00 |
| 14568 | 10/17/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 69.38 | \$811.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14569 | 10/17/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 86.63 | \$627.70 | \$0.37 |
| 14570 | 10/17/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 91.41 | \$827.91 | \$0.00 |
| 14571 | 10/17/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 74.61 | \$1,002.25 | \$0.00 |
| 14572 | 10/17/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 56.06 | \$629.26 | \$0.00 |
| 14573 | 10/17/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 47.65 | \$360.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14574 | 10/17/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 67.48 | \$489.20 | \$0.03 |
| 14575 | 10/17/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 62.76 | \$462.69 | \$0.00 |
| 14576 | 10/17/2014 | 20386 | Tucker | Carl | 6/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 54.80 | \$397.34 | \$0.00 |
| 14577 | 10/17/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 81.28 | \$767.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14374 | 10/17/2014 | 112175 | Utorov | Eduard | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 70.32 | \$533.46 | \$0.00 |
| 14578 | 10/17/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 96.88 | \$811.25 | \$0.00 |
| 14579 | 10/17/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 96.01 | \$756.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14580 | 10/17/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 89.31 | \$653.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14581 | 10/17/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 40.11 | \$430.90 | \$0.00 |
| 14582 | 10/17/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 61.90 | \$448.48 | \$0.29 |
| 14583 | 10/17/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 60.52 | \$438.54 | \$0.23 |
| 14584 | 10/17/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 55.21 | \$590.69 | \$0.00 |
| 14585 | 10/17/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 67.47 | \$545.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14586 | 10/17/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 53.41 | \$414.91 | \$0.00 |
| 14587 | 10/17/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 73.69 | \$579.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14588 | 10/17/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 116.04 | \$905.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14589 | 10/17/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 72.40 | \$570.09 | \$0.00 |
| 14590 | 10/17/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 94.37 | \$684.48 | \$0.00 |
| 14591 | 10/17/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 95.34 | \$1,192.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14592 | 10/17/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 9/27/2014 | 10/10/2014 | 84.18 | \$868.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14678 | 10/31/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 91.79 | \$1,186.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14679 | 10/31/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 116.35 | \$1,379.70 | \$0.00 |
| 14680 | 10/31/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 105.33 | \$851.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14682 | 10/31/2014 | 100662 | Alizadeh | Farid | 1/1/2015 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 8.12 | \$58.86 | \$0.01 |
| 14631 | 10/31/2014 | 104525 | Allegue | Yusnier | 7/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 32.56 | \$236.16 | \$0.00 |
| 14683 | 10/31/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 77.24 | \$956.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14684 | 10/31/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 51.10 | \$377.75 | \$0.00 |
| 14685 | 10/31/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 97.58 | \$1,046.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14686 | 10/31/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.55 | \$713.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14687 | 10/31/2014 | 8812 | Arnold | Peter | 12/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 22.45 | \$162.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14688 | 10/31/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 67.40 | \$580.72 | \$0.00 |
| 14689 | 10/31/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 10/18/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 59.14 | \$575.21 | \$0.00 |
| 14690 | 10/31/2014 | 112197 | Bachelor | Mickieal | 12/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 50.62 | \$435.10 | \$0.00 |
| 14691 | 10/31/2014 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | 6/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 86.80 | \$633.87 | \$0.00 |
| 14692 | 10/31/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 100.12 | \$874.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14693 | 10/31/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 72.19 | \$523.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14694 | 10/31/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 69.71 | \$556.11 | \$0.00 |
| 14695 | 10/31/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 72.40 | \$906.79 | \$0.00 |
| 14696 | 10/31/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 116.08 | \$1,305.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14697 | 10/31/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.14 | \$728.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14698 | 10/31/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 76.80 | \$557.12 | \$0.00 |
| 14699 | 10/31/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 69.94 | \$836.76 | \$0.00 |
| 14702 | 10/31/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.02 | \$810.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14703 | 10/31/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 110.57 | \$864.24 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period <br> End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14704 | 10/31/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 49.61 | \$397.13 | \$0.00 |
| 14705 | 10/31/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 91.97 | \$666.37 | \$0.41 |
| 14706 | 10/31/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 84.08 | \$834.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14707 | 10/31/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 64.35 | \$653.21 | \$0.00 |
| 14708 | 10/31/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 88.29 | \$640.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14709 | 10/31/2014 | 23673 | Castro | Willer | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 91.28 | \$679.79 | \$0.00 |
| 14710 | 10/31/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 79.65 | \$720.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14711 | 10/31/2014 | 108716 | Collins | Steven | 1/1/2015 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 91.43 | \$662.52 | \$0.35 |
| 14712 | 10/31/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 99.26 | \$1,091.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14713 | 10/31/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.99 | \$608.83 | \$0.10 |
| 14714 | 10/31/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.89 | \$684.88 | \$0.00 |
| 14715 | 10/31/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 129.82 | \$1,012.86 | \$0.00 |
| 14716 | 10/31/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.21 | \$651.27 | \$0.00 |
| 14717 | 10/31/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.81 | \$669.66 | \$0.00 |
| 14718 | 10/31/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.08 | \$771.74 | \$0.00 |
| 14719 | 10/31/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 67.94 | \$822.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14720 | 10/31/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 101.52 | \$752.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14721 | 10/31/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 80.46 | \$583.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14722 | 10/31/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 82.36 | \$775.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14723 | 10/31/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.11 | \$743.74 | \$0.00 |
| 14724 | 10/31/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 118.27 | \$1,495.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14725 | 10/31/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 47.12 | \$341.51 | \$0.11 |
| 14726 | 10/31/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 43.68 | \$429.13 | \$0.00 |
| 14727 | 10/31/2014 | 113030 | Dubaniewicz | Anna | 1/1/2015 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 45.98 | \$333.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14729 | 10/31/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 104.91 | \$1,145.96 | \$0.00 |
| 14730 | 10/31/2014 | 105512 | Eckersley | Richard | 12/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 80.23 | \$642.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14731 | 10/31/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.24 | \$747.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14732 | 10/31/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 77.57 | \$562.12 | \$0.26 |
| 14733 | 10/31/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.74 | \$831.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14734 | 10/31/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 50.41 | \$631.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14735 | 10/31/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 77.52 | \$642.76 | \$0.00 |
| 14736 | 10/31/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 74.42 | \$548.75 | \$0.00 |
| 14651 | 10/31/2014 | 111729 | Flanders | Mary | 3/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 75.90 | \$713.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14737 | 10/31/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 122.79 | \$889.86 | \$0.37 |
| 14738 | 10/31/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 117.61 | \$970.81 | \$0.00 |
| 14740 | 10/31/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 75.62 | \$767.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14741 | 10/31/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 73.51 | \$607.88 | \$0.00 |
| 14742 | 10/31/2014 | 107680 | Gbajumo | Osawonyi | 6/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 96.60 | \$700.59 | \$0.00 |
| 14743 | 10/31/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 46.56 | \$425.85 | \$0.00 |
| 14744 | 10/31/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 66.01 | \$583.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14745 | 10/31/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 44.85 | \$335.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14746 | 10/31/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.78 | \$882.14 | \$0.00 |
| 14747 | 10/31/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 74.15 | \$553.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14748 | 10/31/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 91.28 | \$661.96 | \$0.00 |
| 14749 | 10/31/2014 | 112337 | Gutierrez | Carlos | 12/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.01 | \$616.26 | \$0.06 |
| 14750 | 10/31/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 67.43 | \$681.99 | \$0.00 |
| 14751 | 10/31/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 97.20 | \$918.85 | \$0.00 |
| 14652 | 10/31/2014 | 27832 | Harding | David | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 30.14 | \$218.48 | \$0.04 |
| 14752 | 10/31/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 95.33 | \$837.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14753 | 10/31/2014 | 112912 | Hassanzadeh | Davoud | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 84.11 | \$610.10 | \$0.00 |
| 14754 | 10/31/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 51.57 | \$410.08 | \$0.00 |
| 14755 | 10/31/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 96.85 | \$746.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14756 | 10/31/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 88.08 | \$776.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14758 | 10/31/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 43.83 | \$317.65 | \$0.12 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14759 | 10/31/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 107.87 | \$1,339.76 | \$0.00 |
| 14761 | 10/31/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 68.08 | \$493.51 | \$0.07 |
| 14762 | 10/31/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 77.66 | \$562.91 | \$0.13 |
| 14658 | 10/31/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 96.30 | \$871.74 | \$0.00 |
| 14763 | 10/31/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 93.74 | \$1,310.98 | \$0.00 |
| 14764 | 10/31/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 74.92 | \$542.83 | \$0.34 |
| 14765 | 10/31/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 42.93 | \$348.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14766 | 10/31/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 92.07 | \$710.44 | \$0.00 |
| 14768 | 10/31/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 79.44 | \$575.66 | \$0.28 |
| 14769 | 10/31/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 55.66 | \$482.04 | \$0.00 |
| 14770 | 10/31/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 65.71 | \$639.28 | \$0.00 |
| 14771 | 10/31/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 81.23 | \$956.73 | \$0.00 |
| 14772 | 10/31/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 40.39 | \$386.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14774 | 10/31/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 98.50 | \$886.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14775 | 10/31/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 68.60 | \$711.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14776 | 10/31/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 72.76 | \$616.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14777 | 10/31/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.02 | \$710.20 | \$0.00 |
| 14779 | 10/31/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 69.96 | \$506.93 | \$0.28 |
| 14780 | 10/31/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 98.73 | \$954.07 | \$0.00 |
| 14781 | 10/31/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 103.49 | \$750.73 | \$0.00 |
| 14782 | 10/31/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 49.11 | \$355.89 | \$0.16 |
| 14783 | 10/31/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.14 | \$640.76 | \$0.00 |
| 14784 | 10/31/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 67.04 | \$485.73 | \$0.31 |
| 14785 | 10/31/2014 | 107915 | McLaren | Russell | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.33 | \$623.17 | \$0.00 |
| 14786 | 10/31/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 75.79 | \$614.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14787 | 10/31/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 74.88 | \$576.73 | \$0.00 |
| 14788 | 10/31/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 44.36 | \$361.90 | \$0.00 |
| 14789 | 10/31/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 61.45 | \$445.79 | \$0.00 |
| 14790 | 10/31/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 84.98 | \$960.35 | \$0.00 |
| 14791 | 10/31/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.60 | \$634.99 | \$0.11 |
| 14792 | 10/31/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.96 | \$623.33 | \$0.00 |
| 14793 | 10/31/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 58.42 | \$590.66 | \$0.00 |
| 14794 | 10/31/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.48 | \$878.73 | \$0.00 |
| 14795 | 10/31/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 104.95 | \$948.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14796 | 10/31/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 93.09 | \$674.83 | \$0.07 |
| 14797 | 10/31/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 69.49 | \$986.88 | \$0.00 |
| 14798 | 10/31/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 94.05 | \$1,128.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14626 | 10/31/2014 | 104938 | Ortega | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 37.72 | \$273.65 | \$0.00 |
| 14799 | 10/31/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 51.68 | \$593.58 | \$0.00 |
| 14800 | 10/31/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 76.94 | \$636.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14801 | 10/31/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 89.93 | \$652.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14802 | 10/31/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 81.09 | \$835.96 | \$0.00 |
| 14803 | 10/31/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 52.07 | \$431.71 | \$0.00 |
| 14804 | 10/31/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 98.11 | \$711.15 | \$0.15 |
| 14805 | 10/31/2014 | 110625 | Patricio | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 89.69 | \$784.64 | \$0.00 |
| 14806 | 10/31/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 95.02 | \$893.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14808 | 10/31/2014 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | 1/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 89.57 | \$967.98 | \$0.00 |
| 14809 | 10/31/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 97.30 | \$915.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14810 | 10/31/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 94.89 | \$690.10 | \$0.00 |
| 14811 | 10/31/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 31.23 | \$227.38 | \$0.00 |
| 14812 | 10/31/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 74.72 | \$1,055.01 | \$0.00 |
| 14813 | 10/31/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 58.10 | \$560.19 | \$0.00 |
| 14814 | 10/31/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 97.62 | \$717.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14815 | 10/31/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 106.10 | \$1,084.63 | \$0.00 |


| Check Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period <br> End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14816 | 10/31/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 79.24 | \$574.26 | \$0.23 |
| 14817 | 10/31/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 77.96 | \$1,008.94 | \$0.00 |
| 14819 | 10/31/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 82.28 | \$619.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14820 | 10/31/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 61.70 | \$447.10 | \$0.23 |
| 14821 | 10/31/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.83 | \$640.45 | \$0.00 |
| 14822 | 10/31/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 94.00 | \$797.59 | \$0.00 |
| 14602 | 10/31/2014 | 111756 | Risco | Pedro | 6/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 16.00 | \$116.06 | \$0.00 |
| 14823 | 10/31/2014 | 111648 | Robinson | Jeffrey | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 69.86 | \$537.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14824 | 10/31/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 94.64 | \$686.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14825 | 10/31/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.10 | \$624.49 | \$0.00 |
| 14826 | 10/31/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 61.38 | \$578.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14827 | 10/31/2014 | 111882 | Rojas-Perez | Jose | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 88.02 | \$637.87 | \$0.27 |
| 14829 | 10/31/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 64.29 | \$710.00 | \$0.00 |
| 14632 | 10/31/2014 | 103096 | Sam | Phea | 3/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 77.55 | \$627.83 | \$0.00 |
| 14830 | 10/31/2014 | 112826 | Sameh | Abdul | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 96.59 | \$859.83 | \$0.00 |
| 14831 | 10/31/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 75.14 | \$544.63 | \$0.13 |
| 14832 | 10/31/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 69.97 | \$603.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14833 | 10/31/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 38.61 | \$283.36 | \$0.00 |
| 14834 | 10/31/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.09 | \$797.52 | \$0.00 |
| 14836 | 10/31/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 79.17 | \$892.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14837 | 10/31/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 83.88 | \$710.66 | \$0.00 |
| 14627 | 10/31/2014 | 112711 | Shockley | Mark | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 52.02 | \$439.29 | \$0.00 |
| 14838 | 10/31/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 80.08 | \$763.66 | \$0.00 |
| 14839 | 10/31/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.68 | \$725.49 | \$0.00 |
| 14841 | 10/31/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 114.80 | \$1,043.72 | \$0.00 |
| 14657 | 10/31/2014 | 106034 | Stagg | Charles | 12/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 79.25 | \$918.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14842 | 10/31/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 87.00 | \$630.97 | \$0.00 |
| 14843 | 10/31/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 93.51 | \$795.31 | \$0.00 |
| 14844 | 10/31/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 73.17 | \$933.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14845 | 10/31/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 54.95 | \$591.60 | \$0.00 |
| 14846 | 10/31/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 19.36 | \$153.50 | \$0.00 |
| 14847 | 10/31/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 70.81 | \$513.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14848 | 10/31/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 68.99 | \$500.25 | \$0.00 |
| 14849 | 10/31/2014 | 20386 | Tucker | Carl | 6/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 47.90 | \$368.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14850 | 10/31/2014 | 3792 | Urbanski | Anthony | 3/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 15.96 | \$168.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14851 | 10/31/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 104.18 | \$848.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14852 | 10/31/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 96.16 | \$745.78 | \$0.00 |
| 14853 | 10/31/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 94.89 | \$687.79 | \$0.16 |
| 14854 | 10/31/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 52.67 | \$573.02 | \$0.00 |
| 14855 | 10/31/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 72.19 | \$523.65 | \$0.00 |
| 14856 | 10/31/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 62.90 | \$517.01 | \$0.00 |
| 14857 | 10/31/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 74.93 | \$777.56 | \$0.00 |
| 14858 | 10/31/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 67.07 | \$617.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14859 | 10/31/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 52.58 | \$455.27 | \$0.00 |
| 14860 | 10/31/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 73.46 | \$594.06 | \$0.00 |
| 14862 | 10/31/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 116.07 | \$977.68 | \$0.00 |
| 14863 | 10/31/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 93.73 | \$797.41 | \$0.00 |
| 14864 | 10/31/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 85.56 | \$620.03 | \$0.28 |
| 14865 | 10/31/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 94.12 | \$1,126.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14866 | 10/31/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 10/11/2014 | 10/24/2014 | 72.47 | \$665.00 | \$0.00 |
| 14935 | 11/14/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 90.88 | \$1,249.43 | \$0.00 |
| 14936 | 11/14/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 72.39 | \$832.96 | \$0.00 |
| 14937 | 11/14/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 84.73 | \$614.25 | \$0.04 |
| 14938 | 11/14/2014 | 100662 | Alizadeh | Farid | 1/1/2015 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 89.12 | \$648.43 | \$0.00 |


| Check Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period <br> End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14939 | 11/14/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 76.29 | \$849.95 | \$0.00 |
| 14940 | 11/14/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 42.36 | \$338.87 | \$0.00 |
| 14941 | 11/14/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 115.98 | \$1,043.67 | \$0.00 |
| 14942 | 11/14/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 77.05 | \$594.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14943 | 11/14/2014 | 8812 | Arnold | Peter | 12/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 38.71 | \$307.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14944 | 11/14/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 83.20 | \$846.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14945 | 11/14/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 11/1/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 117.57 | \$853.93 | \$0.00 |
| 14946 | 11/14/2014 | 112197 | Bachelor | Mickieal | 12/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 59.28 | \$516.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14947 | 11/14/2014 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | 6/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 86.13 | \$624.23 | \$0.21 |
| 14948 | 11/14/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 98.40 | \$783.37 | \$0.00 |
| 14949 | 11/14/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 72.22 | \$523.36 | \$0.24 |
| 14950 | 11/14/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 80.69 | \$623.08 | \$0.00 |
| 14951 | 11/14/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 62.41 | \$910.77 | \$0.00 |
| 14952 | 11/14/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 110.81 | \$1,025.51 | \$0.00 |
| 14953 | 11/14/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 85.37 | \$874.39 | \$0.00 |
| 14954 | 11/14/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.03 | \$514.75 | \$0.22 |
| 14955 | 11/14/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 75.13 | \$876.35 | \$0.00 |
| 14958 | 11/14/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 75.35 | \$670.05 | \$0.00 |
| 14959 | 11/14/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 88.40 | \$679.16 | \$0.00 |
| 14960 | 11/14/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 63.68 | \$461.53 | \$0.15 |
| 14961 | 11/14/2014 | 28249 | Bunns | Tommy | 7/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 52.88 | \$383.58 | \$0.00 |
| 14962 | 11/14/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 74.61 | \$826.82 | \$0.00 |
| 14963 | 11/14/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 74.16 | \$813.63 | \$0.00 |
| 14964 | 11/14/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 108.39 | \$785.44 | \$0.39 |
| 14894 | 11/14/2014 | 23673 | Castro | Willer | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 46.25 | \$335.34 | \$0.00 |
| 14965 | 11/14/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 60.93 | \$563.53 | \$0.00 |
| 14966 | 11/14/2014 | 108716 | Collins | Steven | 1/1/2015 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 95.38 | \$692.84 | \$0.00 |
| 14967 | 11/14/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 89.82 | \$931.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14968 | 11/14/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 106.50 | \$771.60 | \$0.52 |
| 14969 | 11/14/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 103.99 | \$928.49 | \$0.00 |
| 14970 | 11/14/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 37.88 | \$274.69 | \$0.00 |
| 14971 | 11/14/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 6.43 | \$76.79 | \$0.00 |
| 14972 | 11/14/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 87.79 | \$661.12 | \$0.00 |
| 14973 | 11/14/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 27.26 | \$266.26 | \$0.00 |
| 14974 | 11/14/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 69.45 | \$622.62 | \$0.00 |
| 14975 | 11/14/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 105.00 | \$1,491.14 | \$0.00 |
| 14976 | 11/14/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 125.41 | \$1,213.11 | \$0.00 |
| 14977 | 11/14/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 99.17 | \$772.32 | \$0.00 |
| 14978 | 11/14/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 92.59 | \$925.26 | \$0.00 |
| 14979 | 11/14/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.38 | \$571.42 | \$0.00 |
| 14980 | 11/14/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 128.00 | \$1,621.54 | \$0.00 |
| 14898 | 11/14/2014 | 111077 | Dominguez | Carlos | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 9.40 | \$68.15 | \$0.00 |
| 14981 | 11/14/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 48.28 | \$349.92 | \$0.11 |
| 14982 | 11/14/2014 | 113030 | Dubaniewicz | Anna | 1/1/2015 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 85.94 | \$623.08 | \$0.00 |
| 14984 | 11/14/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 123.00 | \$1,400.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14985 | 11/14/2014 | 105512 | Eckersley | Richard | 12/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 80.32 | \$625.90 | \$0.00 |
| 14986 | 11/14/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 80.72 | \$614.40 | \$0.00 |
| 14987 | 11/14/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 56.44 | \$493.61 | \$0.00 |
| 14988 | 11/14/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 84.42 | \$771.18 | \$0.00 |
| 14989 | 11/14/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 48.58 | \$638.34 | \$0.00 |
| 14990 | 11/14/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 85.13 | \$689.45 | \$0.00 |
| 14991 | 11/14/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 101.30 | \$810.55 | \$0.00 |
| 14992 | 11/14/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 112.64 | \$945.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14993 | 11/14/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 99.17 | \$719.90 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period <br> End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14995 | 11/14/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 55.96 | \$542.28 | \$0.00 |
| 14996 | 11/14/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 97.41 | \$777.22 | \$0.00 |
| 14997 | 11/14/2014 | 107680 | Gbajumo | Osawonyi | 6/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 104.16 | \$880.81 | \$0.00 |
| 14998 | 11/14/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 73.07 | \$642.57 | \$0.00 |
| 14999 | 11/14/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 61.46 | \$521.89 | \$0.00 |
| 15000 | 11/14/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 52.91 | \$383.83 | \$0.00 |
| 15001 | 11/14/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 96.90 | \$1,003.77 | \$0.00 |
| 15002 | 11/14/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 66.72 | \$510.77 | \$0.00 |
| 15003 | 11/14/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 92.78 | \$672.66 | \$0.00 |
| 15004 | 11/14/2014 | 112337 | Gutierrez | Carlos | 12/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 87.59 | \$634.95 | \$0.08 |
| 15005 | 11/14/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 81.64 | \$711.19 | \$0.00 |
| 15006 | 11/14/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 106.23 | \$1,159.50 | \$0.00 |
| 15007 | 11/14/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 96.90 | \$851.24 | \$0.00 |
| 15008 | 11/14/2014 | 112912 | Hassanzadeh | Davoud | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 94.03 | \$728.97 | \$0.00 |
| 15009 | 11/14/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 67.87 | \$579.96 | \$0.00 |
| 15010 | 11/14/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 96.97 | \$765.37 | \$0.00 |
| 15011 | 11/14/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 68.73 | \$591.82 | \$0.00 |
| 15013 | 11/14/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.14 | \$515.53 | \$0.24 |
| 15014 | 11/14/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 67.84 | \$822.57 | \$0.00 |
| 15016 | 11/14/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 78.34 | \$568.07 | \$0.00 |
| 15017 | 11/14/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 73.98 | \$579.10 | \$0.00 |
| 15018 | 11/14/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 46.95 | \$606.77 | \$0.00 |
| 15019 | 11/14/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 95.61 | \$1,233.60 | \$0.00 |
| 15020 | 11/14/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 63.48 | \$468.36 | \$0.00 |
| 15021 | 11/14/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 50.23 | \$509.09 | \$0.00 |
| 15022 | 11/14/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 91.78 | \$694.30 | \$0.00 |
| 15024 | 11/14/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 87.46 | \$766.42 | \$0.00 |
| 15025 | 11/14/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 58.58 | \$497.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15026 | 11/14/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 74.17 | \$644.40 | \$0.00 |
| 15027 | 11/14/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 79.33 | \$916.86 | \$0.00 |
| 15028 | 11/14/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 78.49 | \$793.74 | \$0.00 |
| 15030 | 11/14/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 98.61 | \$894.76 | \$0.00 |
| 15031 | 11/14/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 63.26 | \$598.81 | \$0.00 |
| 15032 | 11/14/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 73.81 | \$601.43 | \$0.00 |
| 15033 | 11/14/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 113.55 | \$957.70 | \$0.00 |
| 15035 | 11/14/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.37 | \$538.55 | \$0.00 |
| 15036 | 11/14/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 97.02 | \$990.07 | \$0.00 |
| 15037 | 11/14/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 79.43 | \$576.17 | \$0.00 |
| 15038 | 11/14/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 84.97 | \$660.71 | \$0.00 |
| 15039 | 11/14/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 81.39 | \$591.46 | \$0.00 |
| 15040 | 11/14/2014 | 111443 | McDonald | Mary | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 59.27 | \$429.81 | \$0.00 |
| 15041 | 11/14/2014 | 107915 | McLaren | Russell | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 91.46 | \$698.11 | \$0.00 |
| 15042 | 11/14/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.41 | \$625.26 | \$0.00 |
| 15043 | 11/14/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 96.27 | \$905.78 | \$0.00 |
| 15044 | 11/14/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 60.66 | \$516.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15045 | 11/14/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 85.40 | \$619.34 | \$0.00 |
| 15046 | 11/14/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 104.03 | \$1,312.73 | \$0.00 |
| 15047 | 11/14/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 63.62 | \$497.89 | \$0.00 |
| 15048 | 11/14/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 105.85 | \$935.88 | \$0.00 |
| 15049 | 11/14/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 84.89 | \$678.96 | \$0.00 |
| 15050 | 11/14/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 86.88 | \$926.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15051 | 11/14/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 106.51 | \$955.88 | \$0.00 |
| 15052 | 11/14/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 92.43 | \$670.13 | \$0.00 |
| 15053 | 11/14/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 64.70 | \$892.03 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15054 | 11/14/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 112.12 | \$1,155.81 | \$0.00 |
| 15055 | 11/14/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 74.50 | \$847.18 | \$0.00 |
| 15056 | 11/14/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 110.27 | \$881.13 | \$0.00 |
| 15057 | 11/14/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 83.89 | \$608.35 | \$0.00 |
| 15058 | 11/14/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 75.45 | \$778.76 | \$0.00 |
| 15059 | 11/14/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 81.20 | \$686.22 | \$0.00 |
| 15060 | 11/14/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 86.16 | \$625.08 | \$0.00 |
| 15061 | 11/14/2014 | 110625 | Patricio | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 95.31 | \$691.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15062 | 11/14/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 97.25 | \$911.49 | \$0.00 |
| 15064 | 11/14/2014 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | 1/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 113.53 | \$1,230.12 | \$0.00 |
| 15065 | 11/14/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 106.21 | \$1,009.46 | \$0.00 |
| 15066 | 11/14/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 91.35 | \$686.06 | \$0.00 |
| 15067 | 11/14/2014 | 3523 | Pilkington | Margaret | 6/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 33.02 | \$324.93 | \$0.00 |
| 15068 | 11/14/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 62.54 | \$871.17 | \$0.00 |
| 15069 | 11/14/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 50.04 | \$528.73 | \$0.00 |
| 15070 | 11/14/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 100.42 | \$728.34 | \$0.00 |
| 15071 | 11/14/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 117.24 | \$1,155.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15072 | 11/14/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.66 | \$519.74 | \$0.00 |
| 15073 | 11/14/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 77.78 | \$945.53 | \$0.00 |
| 15075 | 11/14/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 80.05 | \$678.88 | \$0.00 |
| 15076 | 11/14/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 81.26 | \$636.45 | \$0.00 |
| 15077 | 11/14/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 117.34 | \$891.26 | \$0.00 |
| 15078 | 11/14/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 82.55 | \$648.14 | \$0.00 |
| 15079 | 11/14/2014 | 111648 | Robinson | Jeffrey | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 72.53 | \$525.88 | \$0.00 |
| 15080 | 11/14/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.90 | \$537.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15081 | 11/14/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 93.10 | \$791.46 | \$0.00 |
| 15082 | 11/14/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 77.43 | \$674.03 | \$0.00 |
| 15083 | 11/14/2014 | 111882 | Rojas-Perez | Jose | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 84.50 | \$612.74 | \$0.00 |
| 15085 | 11/14/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 93.71 | \$984.70 | \$0.00 |
| 15086 | 11/14/2014 | 112826 | Sameh | Abdul | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 99.09 | \$1,021.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15087 | 11/14/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 81.39 | \$610.72 | \$0.00 |
| 15088 | 11/14/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 46.28 | \$386.59 | \$0.00 |
| 14916 | 11/14/2014 | 109349 | Sanchez-Ram | Natasha | 7/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 29.41 | \$213.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15089 | 11/14/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 92.02 | \$832.74 | \$0.00 |
| 15091 | 11/14/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 85.09 | \$919.30 | \$0.00 |
| 15092 | 11/14/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 83.30 | \$1,006.54 | \$0.00 |
| 15093 | 11/14/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 114.58 | \$1,105.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15094 | 11/14/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 68.80 | \$599.13 | \$0.00 |
| 15096 | 11/14/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 90.03 | \$657.85 | \$0.00 |
| 15097 | 11/14/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 95.90 | \$695.23 | \$0.05 |
| 15098 | 11/14/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 90.49 | \$723.26 | \$0.00 |
| 15099 | 11/14/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 58.02 | \$782.22 | \$0.00 |
| 15100 | 11/14/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 53.68 | \$514.76 | \$0.00 |
| 15101 | 11/14/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 54.70 | \$396.73 | \$0.00 |
| 15102 | 11/14/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 71.82 | \$520.89 | \$0.00 |
| 15103 | 11/14/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 76.96 | \$558.12 | \$0.00 |
| 15104 | 11/14/2014 | 20386 | Tucker | Carl | 6/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 59.66 | \$432.71 | \$0.00 |
| 15105 | 11/14/2014 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | 8/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 26.67 | \$196.78 | \$0.00 |
| 15106 | 11/14/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 106.38 | \$1,005.47 | \$0.00 |
| 15107 | 11/14/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 117.57 | \$1,119.48 | \$0.00 |
| 15108 | 11/14/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 98.28 | \$729.33 | \$0.00 |
| 15109 | 11/14/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 35.51 | \$360.18 | \$0.00 |
| 15110 | 11/14/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 74.62 | \$540.75 | \$0.25 |
| 15111 | 11/14/2014 | 105823 | Ward | Robert | 1/1/2015 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 46.60 | \$337.93 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15112 | 11/14/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 79.55 | \$634.44 | \$0.00 |
| 15113 | 11/14/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 67.67 | \$685.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15114 | 11/14/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 64.31 | \$548.86 | \$0.00 |
| 15115 | 11/14/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 50.56 | \$470.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15116 | 11/14/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 66.41 | \$578.02 | \$0.00 |
| 15118 | 11/14/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 107.75 | \$949.18 | \$0.00 |
| 15119 | 11/14/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 87.42 | \$661.99 | \$0.00 |
| 15120 | 11/14/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 111.43 | \$808.39 | \$0.00 |
| 15121 | 11/14/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 99.68 | \$1,353.11 | \$0.00 |
| 15122 | 11/14/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 10/25/2014 | 11/7/2014 | 102.80 | \$988.92 | \$0.00 |
| 15186 | 11/28/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 72.63 | \$832.34 | \$0.00 |
| 15187 | 11/28/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 65.30 | \$572.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15188 | 11/28/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 98.46 | \$714.19 | \$0.00 |
| 15189 | 11/28/2014 | 100662 | Alizadeh | Farid | 1/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 66.40 | \$581.66 | \$0.00 |
| 15190 | 11/28/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 85.88 | \$943.14 | \$0.00 |
| 15191 | 11/28/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 43.71 | \$316.72 | \$0.18 |
| 15192 | 11/28/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 88.66 | \$836.90 | \$0.00 |
| 15193 | 11/28/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 75.58 | \$584.59 | \$0.00 |
| 15194 | 11/28/2014 | 8812 | Arnold | Peter | 12/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 9.80 | \$71.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15195 | 11/28/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 87.40 | \$703.94 | \$0.00 |
| 15196 | 11/28/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 11/15/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 102.07 | \$740.22 | \$0.00 |
| 15197 | 11/28/2014 | 112197 | Bachelor | Mickieal | 12/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 70.81 | \$694.02 | \$0.00 |
| 15198 | 11/28/2014 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | 6/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 95.92 | \$695.28 | \$0.14 |
| 15199 | 11/28/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 99.14 | \$811.58 | \$0.00 |
| 15200 | 11/28/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 78.30 | \$568.01 | \$0.00 |
| 15201 | 11/28/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 71.20 | \$515.87 | \$0.33 |
| 15202 | 11/28/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 80.22 | \$1,035.69 | \$0.00 |
| 15203 | 11/28/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 66.42 | \$547.81 | \$0.00 |
| 15204 | 11/28/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.06 | \$581.16 | \$0.00 |
| 15149 | 11/28/2014 | 110126 | Bones | Brian | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 31.11 | \$225.63 | \$0.00 |
| 15205 | 11/28/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 40.03 | \$470.88 | \$0.00 |
| 15208 | 11/28/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 81.96 | \$593.80 | \$0.41 |
| 15209 | 11/28/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 98.56 | \$714.29 | \$0.27 |
| 15210 | 11/28/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 81.63 | \$591.87 | \$0.00 |
| 15211 | 11/28/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 84.36 | \$798.60 | \$0.00 |
| 15212 | 11/28/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 61.19 | \$605.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15213 | 11/28/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 102.96 | \$746.32 | \$0.14 |
| 15214 | 11/28/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 71.39 | \$534.71 | \$0.00 |
| 15215 | 11/28/2014 | 108716 | Collins | Steven | 1/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 88.24 | \$723.82 | \$0.00 |
| 15216 | 11/28/2014 | 21803 | Coloma-Guer | Danilo | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 26.85 | \$197.54 | \$0.00 |
| 15217 | 11/28/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 80.66 | \$584.62 | \$0.16 |
| 15218 | 11/28/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 95.39 | \$691.58 | \$0.00 |
| 15219 | 11/28/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 80.73 | \$646.72 | \$0.00 |
| 15220 | 11/28/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 47.63 | \$405.72 | \$0.00 |
| 15221 | 11/28/2014 | 109796 | Curtin | Ronald | 7/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 76.23 | \$559.34 | \$0.00 |
| 15222 | 11/28/2014 | 109130 | Dacayanan | Liza | 9/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 34.26 | \$248.28 | \$0.10 |
| 15223 | 11/28/2014 | 23948 | Daffron | Daniel | 6/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.80 | \$578.63 | \$0.00 |
| 15224 | 11/28/2014 | 110936 | Daniels | James | 7/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 98.11 | \$1,197.38 | \$0.00 |
| 15225 | 11/28/2014 | 103226 | Dash | Eric | 2/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 59.04 | \$427.79 | \$0.25 |
| 15226 | 11/28/2014 | 111137 | Dejacto | Giovanna | 10/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 106.25 | \$805.96 | \$0.00 |
| 15227 | 11/28/2014 | 25935 | Delgado | Carlos | 7/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 90.55 | \$656.07 | \$0.42 |
| 15228 | 11/28/2014 | 3936 | Dial | Donald | 3/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 88.52 | \$804.90 | \$0.00 |
| 15229 | 11/28/2014 | 3756 | Disbrow | Ronald | 1/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.47 | \$603.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15230 | 11/28/2014 | 3395 | Dixon | Julius | 11/1/2010 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 125.94 | \$1,409.20 | \$0.00 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15231 | 11/28/2014 | 113058 | Douzat | Michael | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 37.53 | \$286.56 | \$0.00 |
| 15167 | 11/28/2014 | 113030 | Dubaniewicz | Anna | 1/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 51.45 | \$390.50 | \$0.00 |
| 15233 | 11/28/2014 | 2006 | Durtschi | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 112.31 | \$1,247.12 | \$0.00 |
| 15234 | 11/28/2014 | 105512 | Eckersley | Richard | 12/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 86.60 | \$627.73 | \$0.12 |
| 15235 | 11/28/2014 | 2637 | Edwards | Jeffrey | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 71.99 | \$602.79 | \$0.00 |
| 15236 | 11/28/2014 | 3381 | Egan | Joseph | 10/1/2010 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 69.95 | \$560.73 | \$0.00 |
| 15237 | 11/28/2014 | 3595 | Ekoue | Ayi | 10/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 92.07 | \$860.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15238 | 11/28/2014 | 109641 | Emling | Paul | 8/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 44.07 | \$549.59 | \$0.00 |
| 15239 | 11/28/2014 | 3549 | Fesehazion | Teabe | 7/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.05 | \$575.87 | \$0.00 |
| 15240 | 11/28/2014 | 109381 | Fitzsimmons | Marc | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 78.93 | \$599.37 | \$0.00 |
| 15241 | 11/28/2014 | 30616 | Flores | Abner | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 110.62 | \$802.03 | \$0.00 |
| 15242 | 11/28/2014 | 3939 | Ford | Todd | 4/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 95.42 | \$756.47 | \$0.00 |
| 15244 | 11/28/2014 | 24791 | Garcia | Anthony | 6/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.63 | \$757.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15245 | 11/28/2014 | 2782 | Garcia | John | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 96.26 | \$781.82 | \$0.00 |
| 15246 | 11/28/2014 | 107680 | Gbajumo | Osawonyi | 6/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 83.55 | \$634.58 | \$0.00 |
| 15247 | 11/28/2014 | 29297 | Gebremichea | Yohannes | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 42.10 | \$305.17 | \$0.06 |
| 15248 | 11/28/2014 | 3696 | Gillett | David | 5/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 55.05 | \$399.13 | \$0.00 |
| 15249 | 11/28/2014 | 3121 | Gleason | John | 8/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 43.67 | \$316.44 | \$0.17 |
| 15250 | 11/28/2014 | 106897 | Goettsche | Dale | 6/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 93.91 | \$941.31 | \$0.00 |
| 15150 | 11/28/2014 | 24757 | Granchelle | Andrew | 4/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 18.29 | \$132.67 | \$0.00 |
| 15251 | 11/28/2014 | 18964 | Guerrero | Daniel | 2/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 95.08 | \$689.53 | \$0.00 |
| 15252 | 11/28/2014 | 112337 | Gutierrez | Carlos | 12/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 93.55 | \$678.59 | \$0.00 |
| 15253 | 11/28/2014 | 21446 | Handlon | Michael | 6/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.24 | \$646.35 | \$0.00 |
| 15254 | 11/28/2014 | 3402 | Hansen | Jordan | 11/1/2010 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 115.30 | \$1,124.53 | \$0.00 |
| 15255 | 11/28/2014 | 3855 | Harris | Dennis | 6/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 96.78 | \$701.47 | \$0.18 |
| 15256 | 11/28/2014 | 112912 | Hassanzadeh | Davoud | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 85.59 | \$703.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15257 | 11/28/2014 | 2097 | Hinks | Dana | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 76.52 | \$617.81 | \$0.00 |
| 15258 | 11/28/2014 | 2464 | Hodge | Lee | 12/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 105.47 | \$764.14 | \$0.52 |
| 15259 | 11/28/2014 | 111071 | Horton | Charles | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.44 | \$750.55 | \$0.00 |
| 15261 | 11/28/2014 | 2751 | Hurtado | Hubert | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 70.08 | \$508.43 | \$0.00 |
| 15262 | 11/28/2014 | 3187 | Isaac | Edsel | 10/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 71.61 | \$789.58 | \$0.00 |
| 15264 | 11/28/2014 | 108839 | Jackson | Frederick | 2/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 65.10 | \$481.80 | \$0.00 |
| 15265 | 11/28/2014 | 107992 | Jacobi | Donald | 8/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 75.26 | \$546.00 | \$0.00 |
| 15266 | 11/28/2014 | 20466 | Jafarian | Moharram | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 104.73 | \$1,014.62 | \$0.00 |
| 15267 | 11/28/2014 | 3020 | Jarmosco | John | 3/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 93.52 | \$1,045.93 | \$0.00 |
| 15268 | 11/28/2014 | 106153 | Keller | Roger | 7/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 82.86 | \$601.10 | \$0.00 |
| 15269 | 11/28/2014 | 2736 | Kenary | Brian | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 51.26 | \$458.99 | \$0.00 |
| 15270 | 11/28/2014 | 3484 | Kern | Gary | 3/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 82.82 | \$600.49 | \$0.00 |
| 15272 | 11/28/2014 | 3893 | Klein | Phillip | 11/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 84.05 | \$609.29 | \$0.07 |
| 15273 | 11/28/2014 | 3630 | Kogan | Martin | 1/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 52.52 | \$413.84 | \$0.00 |
| 15274 | 11/28/2014 | 103826 | Kull Jr. | William | 6/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 78.07 | \$658.49 | \$0.00 |
| 15275 | 11/28/2014 | 107625 | Lafarge | Jeannine | 7/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 87.20 | \$1,114.48 | \$0.00 |
| 15276 | 11/28/2014 | 111290 | Lay | Gilbert | 7/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 78.23 | \$781.09 | \$0.00 |
| 15278 | 11/28/2014 | 3685 | Leal | Jill | 5/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 97.75 | \$849.29 | \$0.00 |
| 15279 | 11/28/2014 | 18960 | Lee | Melvin | 12/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 60.93 | \$522.38 | \$0.00 |
| 15280 | 11/28/2014 | 15804 | Little | Dennis | 12/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 72.65 | \$662.36 | \$0.00 |
| 15281 | 11/28/2014 | 3778 | Macato | Jaime | 1/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 81.20 | \$588.48 | \$0.22 |
| 15283 | 11/28/2014 | 2757 | Majors | John | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 72.32 | \$524.48 | \$0.00 |
| 15284 | 11/28/2014 | 3583 | Maras | Maria | 10/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 98.40 | \$904.92 | \$0.00 |
| 15285 | 11/28/2014 | 110053 | Martinez | Francisco | 8/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 96.97 | \$702.94 | \$0.09 |
| 15286 | 11/28/2014 | 110618 | Mastrio | Pamela | 5/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 80.84 | \$669.70 | \$0.00 |
| 15287 | 11/28/2014 | 2587 | McCarter | Patrick | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 75.90 | \$550.17 | \$0.11 |
| 15288 | 11/28/2014 | 107915 | McLaren | Russell | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 69.16 | \$542.59 | \$0.00 |
| 15289 | 11/28/2014 | 25641 | McSkimming | John | 5/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 83.30 | \$603.88 | \$0.05 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15290 | 11/28/2014 | 29265 | Micu | Emilio | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 94.48 | \$726.44 | \$0.00 |
| 15291 | 11/28/2014 | 30196 | Miller | Jason | 11/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 67.61 | \$544.39 | \$0.00 |
| 15292 | 11/28/2014 | 112009 | Mock | Karen | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 70.39 | \$537.97 | \$0.00 |
| 15293 | 11/28/2014 | 101935 | Mohamed | Hamza | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 94.92 | \$1,142.10 | \$0.00 |
| 15294 | 11/28/2014 | 3664 | Moreno | James | 3/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 71.06 | \$550.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15295 | 11/28/2014 | 112561 | Morgan | Sherryl | 2/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 54.00 | \$391.73 | \$0.00 |
| 15296 | 11/28/2014 | 8321 | Morris | Thomas | 1/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 97.30 | \$773.33 | \$0.00 |
| 15297 | 11/28/2014 | 107704 | Muhtari | Abdulrahmar | 2/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 70.97 | \$630.51 | \$0.00 |
| 15298 | 11/28/2014 | 3847 | Murawski | Richard | 6/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 78.44 | \$735.04 | \$0.00 |
| 15299 | 11/28/2014 | 107440 | Nantista | Peter | 3/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 98.64 | \$717.65 | \$0.00 |
| 15300 | 11/28/2014 | 111494 | Nemeth | Zoltan | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 81.17 | \$588.24 | \$0.24 |
| 15301 | 11/28/2014 | 30295 | Ogbazghi | Dawit | 11/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 75.57 | \$876.68 | \$0.00 |
| 15302 | 11/28/2014 | 3868 | Olson | Eric | 8/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 114.62 | \$1,095.24 | \$0.00 |
| 15303 | 11/28/2014 | 25832 | Osterman | Victor | 2/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 63.02 | \$688.35 | \$0.00 |
| 15304 | 11/28/2014 | 3717 | Ozgulgec | Tunc | 10/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 106.50 | \$866.86 | \$0.00 |
| 15305 | 11/28/2014 | 111204 | Papania | George | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 68.24 | \$494.76 | \$0.00 |
| 15306 | 11/28/2014 | 109637 | Park | Danny | 4/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 52.69 | \$490.04 | \$0.00 |
| 15307 | 11/28/2014 | 112670 | Parry | Keith | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.70 | \$599.06 | \$0.00 |
| 15308 | 11/28/2014 | 112644 | Partipilo | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 86.02 | \$623.26 | \$0.38 |
| 15309 | 11/28/2014 | 110625 | Patricio | Joseph | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 91.63 | \$663.92 | \$0.40 |
| 15310 | 11/28/2014 | 3806 | Pearson | Jon | 4/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 85.88 | \$751.16 | \$0.00 |
| 15312 | 11/28/2014 | 15968 | Peterson | Kenneth | 1/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 99.04 | \$996.83 | \$0.00 |
| 15313 | 11/28/2014 | 1076 | Peterson | Steven | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 95.56 | \$740.95 | \$0.00 |
| 15314 | 11/28/2014 | 106089 | Phillips | Larry | 11/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 93.07 | \$675.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15315 | 11/28/2014 | 2826 | Pitts | Amir | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 60.71 | \$751.51 | \$0.00 |
| 15316 | 11/28/2014 | 26679 | Polchinski | Paul | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 55.79 | \$566.18 | \$0.00 |
| 15317 | 11/28/2014 | 109600 | Prince | Gregory | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 97.53 | \$706.96 | \$0.13 |
| 15318 | 11/28/2014 | 23178 | Raffensparge | Jeffrey | 5/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 115.93 | \$1,119.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15319 | 11/28/2014 | 103060 | Ramos | David | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.74 | \$577.79 | \$0.33 |
| 15320 | 11/28/2014 | 3812 | Ray | William | 4/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 77.28 | \$836.17 | \$0.00 |
| 15322 | 11/28/2014 | 2237 | Relopez | Craig | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.51 | \$544.41 | \$0.00 |
| 15323 | 11/28/2014 | 109604 | Richards | John | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.06 | \$766.20 | \$0.00 |
| 15324 | 11/28/2014 | 111456 | Riek | Roger | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 101.21 | \$733.45 | \$0.32 |
| 15325 | 11/28/2014 | 14261 | Riipi | Karl | 12/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 76.73 | \$595.96 | \$0.00 |
| 15326 | 11/28/2014 | 111648 | Robinson | Jeffrey | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 65.67 | \$486.16 | \$0.00 |
| 15327 | 11/28/2014 | 104171 | Robinson | Mikalani | 5/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 45.18 | \$327.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15328 | 11/28/2014 | 31847 | Rodriguez | Armando | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 94.40 | \$684.07 | \$0.33 |
| 15329 | 11/28/2014 | 3814 | Rohlas | Polly | 4/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 51.69 | \$494.37 | \$0.00 |
| 15330 | 11/28/2014 | 111882 | Rojas-Perez | Jose | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.12 | \$537.35 | \$0.02 |
| 15332 | 11/28/2014 | 3477 | Ruiz | Travis | 3/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 74.13 | \$707.93 | \$0.00 |
| 15333 | 11/28/2014 | 112826 | Sameh | Abdul | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 62.76 | \$594.07 | \$0.00 |
| 15334 | 11/28/2014 | 29249 | Sameni | Abbas | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 82.61 | \$598.80 | \$0.12 |
| 15335 | 11/28/2014 | 100128 | Sampson | James | 12/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 63.57 | \$477.86 | \$0.00 |
| 15336 | 11/28/2014 | 25981 | Schroeder | William | 11/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 92.67 | \$705.85 | \$0.00 |
| 15338 | 11/28/2014 | 3359 | Sevillet | Otto | 8/1/2010 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 65.28 | \$602.19 | \$0.00 |
| 15339 | 11/28/2014 | 105416 | Sharma | Mahesh | 11/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 97.63 | \$924.95 | \$0.00 |
| 15340 | 11/28/2014 | 112766 | Sibre | Christopher | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 89.04 | \$740.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15341 | 11/28/2014 | 23388 | Simmons | John | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 76.16 | \$552.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15343 | 11/28/2014 | 2638 | Soto | Jacob | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 106.14 | \$867.61 | \$0.00 |
| 15344 | 11/28/2014 | 3757 | Steck | Gregory | 1/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 47.48 | \$344.00 | \$0.23 |
| 15345 | 11/28/2014 | 3872 | Stockton | Clarence | 8/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 90.18 | \$683.91 | \$0.00 |
| 15346 | 11/28/2014 | 102400 | Talley | George | 6/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 70.61 | \$816.22 | \$0.00 |
| 15347 | 11/28/2014 | 109745 | Taylor | David | 12/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 77.19 | \$674.00 | \$0.00 |
| 15348 | 11/28/2014 | 102232 | Thetprasit | Lou | 2/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 20.11 | \$145.72 | \$0.08 |


| Check <br> Number | Payroll Check Date | Payroll <br> Records <br> Employee <br> Account <br> Number | Last Name | First Name | Date <br> Became <br> Qualified for Health Insurance | Pay Period <br> Start Date | Pay Period End Date | Hours for Pay Period From Payroll Records | Total Wages Paid | Minimum Wages Owed at $\$ 7.25$ an Hour for all Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15349 | 11/28/2014 | 3867 | Thompson | Glen | 8/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 73.40 | \$535.22 | \$0.00 |
| 15350 | 11/28/2014 | 27963 | Thompson | Michael | 11/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 80.47 | \$583.22 | \$0.19 |
| 15351 | 11/28/2014 | 104747 | Trumpp | Robert | 9/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 83.32 | \$603.69 | \$0.38 |
| 15352 | 11/28/2014 | 20386 | Tucker | Carl | 6/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 48.36 | \$355.42 | \$0.00 |
| 15353 | 11/28/2014 | 110836 | Uba | Chima | 8/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 84.92 | \$683.30 | \$0.00 |
| 15354 | 11/28/2014 | 18577 | Vaghefi | Alex | 2/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 19.51 | \$142.77 | \$0.00 |
| 15355 | 11/28/2014 | 3721 | Viado | Ramon | 10/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 80.54 | \$661.39 | \$0.00 |
| 15356 | 11/28/2014 | 3796 | Vongthep | Christopher | 3/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 97.33 | \$857.87 | \$0.00 |
| 15357 | 11/28/2014 | 31413 | Wainwright | Gilbert | 10/1/2014 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 85.89 | \$623.05 | \$0.00 |
| 15358 | 11/28/2014 | 3058 | Wallace | James | 5/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 43.79 | \$331.07 | \$0.00 |
| 15359 | 11/28/2014 | 3820 | Wallace | Roy | 5/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 70.10 | \$508.42 | \$0.00 |
| 15360 | 11/28/2014 | 105823 | Ward | Robert | 1/1/2015 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.45 | \$576.30 | \$0.00 |
| 15361 | 11/28/2014 | 3496 | Weaver | Gerie | 4/1/2011 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 66.06 | \$478.77 | \$0.17 |
| 15362 | 11/28/2014 | 2785 | Welborn | Paul | 5/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 56.32 | \$521.50 | \$0.00 |
| 15363 | 11/28/2014 | 110866 | Wolfe | Thomas | 8/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 64.74 | \$560.21 | \$0.00 |
| 15364 | 11/28/2014 | 3910 | Wong | Jorge | 1/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 68.45 | \$558.41 | \$0.00 |
| 15365 | 11/28/2014 | 28160 | Wong | Wanjin | 8/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 75.96 | \$665.95 | \$0.00 |
| 15367 | 11/28/2014 | 3092 | Yabut | Gerry | 6/1/2009 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 117.99 | \$1,006.06 | \$0.00 |
| 15368 | 11/28/2014 | 108389 | Yamaguchi | Alicia | 12/1/2012 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 79.28 | \$700.77 | \$0.00 |
| 15369 | 11/28/2014 | 17259 | Yurckonis | Hilbert | 5/1/2013 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 82.92 | \$600.78 | \$0.39 |
| 15370 | 11/28/2014 | 30374 | Zafar | John | 6/1/2010 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 98.45 | \$1,218.28 | \$0.00 |
| 15371 | 11/28/2014 | 2273 | Zawoudie | Masfen | 7/1/2008 | 11/8/2014 | 11/21/2014 | 51.86 | \$572.35 | \$0.00 |
| 15443 | 12/12/2014 | 2640 | Abuel | Alan | 7/1/2008 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 90.11 | \$1,033.66 | \$0.00 |
| 15444 | 12/12/2014 | 100221 | Ackman | Charles | 4/1/2013 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 71.05 | \$753.94 | \$0.00 |
| 15445 | 12/12/2014 | 100821 | Agostino | Nicholas | 1/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 87.12 | \$631.35 | \$0.27 |
| 15446 | 12/12/2014 | 100662 | Alizadeh | Farid | 1/1/2015 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 48.87 | \$354.13 | \$0.18 |
| 15447 | 12/12/2014 | 24802 | Altamirano | Keith | 10/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 85.90 | \$922.68 | \$0.00 |
| 15448 | 12/12/2014 | 106828 | Anderson | Calvin | 2/1/2013 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 32.17 | \$400.48 | \$0.00 |
| 15449 | 12/12/2014 | 3650 | Anif | Janeid | 3/1/2012 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 55.99 | \$730.14 | \$0.00 |
| 15450 | 12/12/2014 | 3730 | Arar | Isam | 10/1/2011 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 79.85 | \$737.28 | \$0.00 |
| 15451 | 12/12/2014 | 8812 | Arnold | Peter | 12/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 18.96 | \$137.53 | \$0.00 |
| 15452 | 12/12/2014 | 26553 | Arnwine | Howard | 4/1/2012 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 76.40 | \$689.55 | \$0.00 |
| 15453 | 12/12/2014 | 20210 | Ba | Awa | 9/1/2009 | 11/29/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 100.43 | \$810.31 | \$0.00 |
| 15454 | 12/12/2014 | 112197 | Bachelor | Mickieal | 12/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 80.14 | \$629.75 | \$0.00 |
| 15455 | 12/12/2014 | 27315 | Bakhtiari | Marco | 6/1/2009 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 74.90 | \$543.02 | \$0.01 |
| 15456 | 12/12/2014 | 112015 | Bambenek | Matthew | 3/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 107.69 | \$1,069.32 | \$0.00 |
| 15457 | 12/12/2014 | 3909 | Barbu | Ion | 1/1/2013 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 45.31 | \$376.93 | \$0.00 |
| 15458 | 12/12/2014 | 100158 | Barnes | Benjamin | 9/1/2011 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 60.43 | \$553.95 | \$0.00 |
| 15459 | 12/12/2014 | 2454 | Batista | Eugenio | 7/1/2008 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 70.89 | \$955.25 | \$0.00 |
| 15460 | 12/12/2014 | 110687 | Berger | James | 5/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 77.17 | \$770.61 | \$0.00 |
| 15461 | 12/12/2014 | 23373 | Bey | Ronald | 4/1/2009 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 47.99 | \$347.99 | \$0.00 |
| 15462 | 12/12/2014 | 3581 | Borges | Antonio | 9/1/2011 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 47.24 | \$730.18 | \$0.00 |
| 15465 | 12/12/2014 | 3949 | Brown | Daniel | 4/1/2013 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 65.20 | \$605.35 | \$0.00 |
| 15466 | 12/12/2014 | 107492 | Brown | Jimmy | 9/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 73.04 | \$529.49 | \$0.05 |
| 15467 | 12/12/2014 | 106299 | Brown | Michael | 11/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 69.86 | \$506.49 | \$0.00 |
| 15468 | 12/12/2014 | 2660 | Carracedo | Sonny | 7/1/2008 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 57.21 | \$628.53 | \$0.00 |
| 15469 | 12/12/2014 | 3899 | Casiello | Anthony | 12/1/2012 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 36.39 | \$479.81 | \$0.00 |
| 15470 | 12/12/2014 | 102334 | Castellanos | Joaquin | 8/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 9.82 | \$146.55 | \$0.00 |
| 15471 | 12/12/2014 | 104310 | Chana | Chen | 9/1/2013 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 53.76 | \$547.47 | \$0.00 |
| 15472 | 12/12/2014 | 108716 | Collins | Steven | 1/1/2015 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 80.35 | \$600.56 | \$0.00 |
| 15473 | 12/12/2014 | 112398 | Corona | Fernando | 6/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 77.41 | \$561.20 | \$0.02 |
| 15474 | 12/12/2014 | 2051 | Costello | Brad | 7/1/2008 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 96.29 | \$944.55 | \$0.00 |
| 15475 | 12/12/2014 | 3935 | Craffey | Richard | 3/1/2013 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 52.19 | \$555.54 | \$0.00 |
| 15476 | 12/12/2014 | 21457 | Crawford | Maximillian | 3/1/2014 | 11/22/2014 | 12/5/2014 | 46.33 | \$394.78 | \$0.00 |

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 29, 2022, I served a copy of the foregoing
PETITIONERS' APPENDIX VOLUME IV of VI upon all counsel of record by the Court's ECF system which served all parties electronically.

Affirmed this 29th Day of March, 2022
/s/Leon Greenberg
Leon Greenberg, Esq. (Bar \# 8094)
A Professional Corporation 2965 S. Jones Blvd., Suite E-3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
(702) 383-6085


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As discussed in plaintiffs' other pending motion, that judgment was affirmed for $\$ 686,770(66.48 \%)$ of its original amount of $\$ 1,033,027$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ At this time, a different judge had been assigned to preside over the case.
    ${ }^{3}$ Notably, the district court's finding that appellants' prior request for a receiver had been denied squarely conflicts with this court's prior order concluding that the district court had not denied appellants' request. See Murray v. A Cab Taxi Serv. LLC, No. 81641, 2020 WL 6585946, at *2 (Nev. Nov. 9, 2020) (Order Dismissing Appeal).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The judgment for damages predating October 8, 2010, was reversed, leaving approximately $\$ 675,000$ of the original damages judgment. See, Murray, Case No. 77050 at Respondents' Appendix at 1015-1033 and Appellant's Appendix at 8178-8189.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ The judgment for damages predating October 8, 2010, was reversed, leaving approximately $\$ 675,000$ of the original damages judgment. See, Murray, Case No. 77050 at Respondents' Appendix at 1015-1033 and Appellant's Appendix at 8178-8189.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ This Court's resolution of the Murray final judgment appeal, affirming most of that judgment, is now law of the case and the affirmed determinations made in Murray cannot be modified or vacated by the district court. See, Hsu v. County of Clark, 173 P.3d, 724728 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2007)

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ This Court has adopted the Ninth Circuit's jurisprudence on other class action issues. See, Marcuse v. Del Webb Communities, Inc., 163 P.3d 462, 466-67 (Nev. Sup. Ct. 2007).
    ${ }^{6}$ These eight factors are: (1) the strength of the plaintiff's case; (2) the risk, expense, complexity, and likely duration of further litigation; (3) the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial; (4) the amount offered in settlement; (5) the extent of discovery completed and the stage of the proceedings; (6) the experience and views of counsel; (7) the presence of a governmental participant; and (8) the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement. 361 F.3d at 575.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ The USDOL elected to settle with A Cab for only $6.86 \%$ of what it found A Cab actually owed its taxi drivers in unpaid minimum wages. AA 210. What it elected to settle for is irrelevant to the sufficiency of the settlement in this case.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ That order "....orders the Class Counsel to disburse the Settlement Fund to the Class Members pursuant to Section 11 of the Settlement Agreement, which provides that Ms. Nichole Omps, CPA of Beta Consulting shall determine the amounts owed to each class member based on the number of workweeks for each Class Member." AA 1953. Because Section 11 of the Settlement Agreement (AA 119-120) does not explain how the number of workweeks of each class member shall be determined the district court is granting Ms. Omps unlimited discretion to make that determination however she wishes.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ The parties made no effort to determine or estimate the unpaid minimum wages owed or the Taxi Drivers owed those wages based upon an examination of relevant information. This Court in the appeal of the Murray judgment found such relevant information existed and was used properly in Murray to make such an estimate and grant summary judgment for the Taxi Drivers.

[^9]:    ${ }^{10}$ The only evidence heard by the district court on the alleged fairness of the settlement (except for Omps reiteration of her nonsensical report's conclusions) was from A Cab. AA 689-754.

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ The contradictory and improperly defined scope of the class and the class claims subject to the settlement is discussed at I.(A).
    ${ }^{12}$ Newberg describes the term: "In a normal auction, the seller accepts the highest bid. In a reverse auction, the seller looks for the lowest bid. As applied to class actions, the defendant is conceptualized as "selling" a settlement and is looking to do so for the lowest amount of money possible.... ....the hitch that enables a reverse auction is that, generally speaking, only one set of plaintiffs' attorneys-those that settle-will get any fees, and attorneys pursuing all the parallel cases will get nothing. Therefore, the defendant can play the plaintiffs' attorneys off against one another, bargaining down the price of the settlement in exchange for ensuring the lowest selling attorneys that they will be the ones to get

[^11]:    a fee out of the case. The problem in the reverse auction situation is that the class's interests have been sold out, and class members will get less than the full value of their claims."

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ To the extent A Cab's motion presented other facts indicating misconduct by Dubric's counsel it concerned the in pari delicto misconduct of A Cab itself: an agreement to a class settlement A Cab knew was improper and for class claims that A Cab had never provided any relevant information on to Dubric's counsel.

[^13]:    ${ }^{14}$ That could include an instruction for an award of attorney's fees under NRS 7.085 against respondents' counsel for their pursuit of a class action settlement that included the claims adjudicated in Murray after the Murray final judgment. Such conduct was unreasonable and vexatious.

