

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY; AND MICHAEL
RENO, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON
BEHALF OF OTHERS SIMILARLY
SITUATED,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
CARLI LYNN KIERNY, DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB
SERIES LLC, F/K/A A CAB, LLC; AND
CREIGHTON J. NADY,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 84456

FILED

APR 26 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Youn
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DIRECTING SUPPLEMENTATION OF APPENDIX WITH
WRITTEN ORDER AND DIRECTING ANSWER*


This original petition for a writ of mandamus arises out of an action for unpaid minimum wages and seeks a writ directing the district court to: (1) terminate its order staying the enforcement of judgment; (2) modify the final judgment as directed by this court's December 10, 2021 order; (3) consider on the merits petitioners' request for a receiver, as directed by this court's February 17, 2022 order; and (5) act promptly to enforce the final judgment.

Although petitioners provided this court with transcripts from the March 9, 2022, hearing, they failed to provide a written copy of the challenged district court order. This court normally will not consider a

petition for extraordinary relief in the absence of the written order being challenged. *See Rust v. Clark Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987); *see also* NRAP 21(a)(4) (explaining that it is the petitioner's obligation to provide an appendix that includes all records that may be essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition).

Accordingly, petitioners should file and serve an appendix containing a copy of the district court's written order and all other materials that are essential to understanding the petition, within 14 days from the date of this order. In the event petitioners timely file an appendix in accordance with this order, real parties in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 28 days from the date petitioner serves an appendix to file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners shall have 14 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply.

It is so ORDERED.


_____, C.J.

cc: Hon. Carli Lynn Kierny, District Judge
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation
Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.
Cory Reade Dows & Shafer
Eighth District Court Clerk