

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GRAVADY NEVADA, LLC, A NEVADA  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND  
CIRCUSTRIX, LLC, A UTAH LIMITED  
LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellants,

vs.

JESUS MEJIA, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondent.

No. 84515

**FILED**

JUL 01 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE*

Appellants propose that this is an “appeal for a writ of mandamus” and to direct the district court to vacate its order denying summary judgment. The notice of appeal presents two jurisdictional issues.

A petition for an extraordinary writ is not an appeal and must be filed pursuant to NRS 34.150, *et seq.* and NRAP 21. While appellants state in their docketing statement that they are filing a writ petition, the documents before this court do not comply with the procedural rules governing such petitions. To the extent appellants challenge the order denying summary judgment, this court has held that a district court's order denying summary judgment is an interlocutory decision and is not independently appealable. *GES, Inc. v. Corbitt*, 117 Nev. 265, 268, 21 P.3d 11, 13 (2001).

Accordingly, appellants shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in this court's dismissal of this appeal. The preparation of transcripts and the briefing schedule in this appeal shall be

suspended pending further order of this court. Respondent may file any reply within 14 days from the date that appellant's response is served.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman, LLP/Las Vegas  
Bighorn Law/Las Vegas