IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed May 05 2022 09:28 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

NATASHA GALENN JACKSON, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s),

Case No: A-20-810845-W Docket No: 82727-COA

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME 2

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT NATASHA JACKSON #1188581, PROPER PERSON 4370 SMILEY RD. LAS VEGAS, NV 89115 ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

A-20-810845-W NATASHA JACKSON vs. STATE OF NEVADA

INDEX

VOLUME :	PAGE NUMBER:
1	1 - 122
2	123 - 156

A-20-810845-W NATASHA JACKSON vs. STATE OF NEVADA

INDEX

<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NUMBER:</u>
1	02/14/2020	AFFIDAVIT	27 - 30
1	07/22/2020	APPLICATION TO ORDER TRANSPORT AND PRODUCE INMATE FOR HEARING	72 - 75
1	02/14/2020	APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	31 - 47
1	04/01/2021	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	112 - 113
2	05/05/2022	CERTIFICATION OF COPY AND TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD	
1	04/26/2021	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES	116 - 122
1	03/04/2021	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	79 - 92
1	02/14/2020	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	25 - 26
1	03/31/2021	NOTICE OF APPEAL	108 - 111
1	04/06/2021	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE	114 - 115
1	06/23/2020	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF HEARING	70 - 71
1	08/04/2020	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF HEARING	77 - 78
1	03/10/2021	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	93 - 107
1	02/26/2020	NOTICE OF HEARING	54 - 54
1	02/26/2020	ORDER FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	53 - 53
1	05/04/2020	ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE NATASHA GALENN JACKSON, BAC #1188581	68 - 69
1	02/14/2020	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	1 - 22
1	02/14/2020	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION	23 - 24
1	03/25/2020	STATE'S RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	55 - 67
2	05/04/2022	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING HELD ON FEBRUARY 11, 2021	123 - 156
1	02/26/2020	UNFILED DOCUMENT(S) - DEFAULT REJECTION SLIP W/COPY OF UNSIGNED ORDER TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	50 - 52
1	02/14/2020	UNSIGNED DOCUMENT(S) - ORDER TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CONFIDENTIAL)	48 - 49

A-20-810845-W NATASHA JACKSON vs. STATE OF NEVADA INDEX

<u>VOL</u>	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NUMBER:</u>
1	07/22/2020	UNSIGNED DOCUMENT(S) - ORDER TO TRANSPORT AND PRODUCE INMATE FOR HEARING	76 - 76

Electronically Filed CLERK OF THE COURT

5/4/2022 1:13 PM Steven D. Grierson **RTRAN** 1 2 3 4 **DISTRICT COURT** 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 NATASHA JACKSON, CASE NO. A-20-810845-W 9 DEPT. NO. X Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIERRA JONES, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 15 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2021 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: 17 **ALL PENDING MOTIONS** APPEARANCES: 18 For the Plaintiff: NATASHA JACKSON, Pro Per 19 20 21 For the Defendant: MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ., Chief Deputy District Attorney 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: VICTORIA BOYD, COURT RECORDER 25

Las Vegas, Nevada; Thursday, February 11, 2021 [Proceeding commenced at 2:01 p.m.]

THE COURT: We are going to go on the record in A810845, Natasha Jackson versus The State of Nevada. Ms. Jackson is present. Ms. Jackson is representing herself. Ms. Fleck is here on behalf of the

Okay. So, Ms. Jackson, this is the date and time set to have the evidentiary hearing regarding your petition for writ of habeas corpus. The State has subpoenaed Ms. Craig and she is here as a witness. Are you prepared to go forward?

MS. JACKSON: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And would you like Ms. Craig to be called as your first witness?

MR. JACKSON: Ma'am, I have no idea what is going on right now, so sure.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, hold on. Before she gets called then, let's just have some discussions. Ms. Jackson, you're representing yourself --

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: -- so you're going to be your own lawyer because there has not been counsel appointed in this case. So this is a hearing because you filed a writ of habeas corpus saying that you received ineffective assistance of counsel when you were represented by Ms. Craig.

MR. JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: The State responded to that that they disagree with that and we set it down for this evidentiary hearing, okay.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: I believe Judge Herndon did that, so now we are here. Judge Herndon wanted an evidentiary hearing in this case. Judge Herndon is not here anymore and I took over all of his cases. So this is the time set for the evidentiary hearing where I will make a -- I'm going to make a decision as to whether or not you received ineffective assistance of counsel.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: Does that -- does that bring you up to speed?

MR. JACKSON: Yes, ma'am. That makes sense.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Craig is here, but because you are your own lawyer, you're going to have to ask your own questions. I can't give you any legal advice about what to ask her or anything like that, but the State did subpoena her and they have her here.

MR. JACKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Craig, if you can come to the witness stand.

THE COURT CLERK: Please remain standing. Please raise your right hand.

CHRISTY CRAIG

[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

_

THE COURT CLERK: Please be seated.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT CLERK: Please state your name and spell it for the record.

THE WITNESS: Christy Craig, C-H-R-I-S-T-Y, C-R-A-I-G.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Okay. Ms. -- Ms. Jackson, what would you like to ask Ms.

Craig?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. JACKSON:

Q Ms. Craig, when it came it to the -- the investigation with the witnesses, was there anyone who conducted a thorough investigation and asked questions through witnesses?

A I don't recall off hand. I think Mr. Silverstein did that part of the case. As you recall, Mr. Silverstein was your original attorney. I joined the case a couple of years in. I can look at the notes and see, but we often don't reach out to the alleged victims. But I -- it's just not something that I participated in.

Q Okay. So when -- when it comes to gaining the discovery, when those forensic evidence to be looked at and the witness statements, should it be kind of corroborated if -- if they're saying one thing and then the forensic evidence says something different? Should it kind of be investigated by you?

A Well, we review the discovery, we review the forensic evidence and we review the witness statements and compare them to

one another. If there are issues, we pursue those issues. I do not recall finding any significant concerns with witness statements versus forensic evidence.

Q Okay. Well I recall for one instance one of the witnesses stated that I quote, beat her in the head with a large object 20 times, but the lady had no marks or bruises on her and the -- and the object that she stated was a large object bowl [sic] cutter, so do you believe as -- as a lawyer that she would have been able to stand there and say that statement after I beat her in the head with it 20 times?

A So how that works and I know we discussed because I have notes about that is that that would be the subject of cross examination. So you would get them to say all of those things and then argue to the jury that it's not believable.

Q Okay. So when I asked you many times to go to trial with this because I didn't do those things, why did you tell me it wasn't a good thing?

A Well there were a lot of reasons why I didn't think it was a good thing. One of the reasons is because you were there, because you participated in the crime along with Cody. So it wasn't as if we could say you weren't there. I did not think that we could get away with successfully saying that you were coerced because of the letters and the other documents that described your relationship with Cody. They have enough witnesses to put you at the scene and participating that I didn't think any of that would be successful.

Q Okay, ma'am. There's a difference between participating and

being with someone while they make a decision and you're there.

A So --

Q The whole time I never participated and I stated that many, many times.

A -- we also had --

Q Okay. So --

A -- many, many discussions about the problem with being charged as a co-conspirator. We talked about it. I've got lots of notes where I explained to you and I sent you the jury instructions so that you could see what this jury would be instructed as to the law on conspiracy. It was my belief and it's still my belief that if you had gone to trial, you would have been convicted as a co-conspirator.

MS. FLECK: Judge, if I could and I'm not sure if Ms. Jackson remembers this, so if the Court is aware either, I just wanted to remind everybody that the -- the Judge had previously ruled that there would only be two issues that were relevant for today's purposes. And those are whether or not Ms. Craig has properly instructed Ms. Jackson --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

MS. FLECK: -- as to her guilty plea.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: And then the other was regarding her desire to file a direct appeal that Ms. Jackson somehow feels she was unable to do or not successful and because of Ms. Craig. So I wanted to remind Ms. Jackson also that those were really the two issues --

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: -- that we're going to be focused on. I'm not really objecting. I would imagine -- you know, I'll just submit it to the Court as to her leeway on that, but those are really the only two issues that are relevant.

THE COURT: Right. And I know that Judge Herndon said that those are the two issues that we're going to discuss. However, I believe Ms. Jackson is getting to that and I'm going to allow Ms. Jackson some leeway because she's not a lawyer. But I do believe that she's getting to that with -- because Ms. Jackson's issue with this guilty plea agreement is that she did not believe that the case had been investigated thoroughly so it was because of that that she took the deal where as she felt like she should have gone to trial; is that correct, Ms. Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: That is absolutely correct, Your Honor. And I do apologize for my inexperience. Obviously, I'm not a lawyer and --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JACKSON: -- I did not know what to expect today.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. JACKSON: So I apologize to everyone, you know, for my inexperience. But I am getting to that, yes.

THE COURT: No. And that's -- and that's why I believe that you're headed, but I do want to remind you, Ms. Jackson, that those are the two issues that we're visiting today is the issue of you -- the advice you received entering into that guilty plea agreement and whether or not you were denied the right to file a direct appeal.

1 MS. JACKSON: Okay. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. JACKSON: Yes, ma'am. May I continue now? THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 4 5 MR. JACKSON: Okay. So, when I -- when I reached the prison after signing the deal, 6 7 okay, yes, Ms. Craig did -- she showed me certain things that she 8 believed I should have known --9 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Jackson --10 MS. JACKSON: -- but one thing she --THE COURT: -- Ms. Jackson, I'm going to stop you, okay. 11 12 You're going to get an opportunity to make an argument, but right now is 13 the opportunity if you want to ask Ms. Craig any questions because Ms. Craig is actually here taking a break from a jury trial to do this today, so 14 15 can you just ask her questions and then you will get an opportunity after 16 you finish questioning her and the State has finished questioning her, 17 you will absolutely have an opportunity to make an argument on behalf 18 of yourself. But right now you're just making statements. Right now this 19 is the time for you to ask Ms. Craig any questions you have of her. 20 MS. JACKSON: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. 21 MS. JACKSON: Okay, ma'am. Okay. 22 23 Ms. Craig? THE WITNESS: Yes. 24

BY MR. JACKSON:

25

Q Okay. So when I -- when I reached prison and I wrote you letters about my direct appeal, about how you can help me, about -- about the discovery, about anything, while I was writing to you, why did you never respond?

A Because I didn't receive a letter.

Q Okay. So after I spoke with all of -- all four of your supervisors and I received statements from them, they all received my letters, how come I still never received anything from you?

A I wrote you I think twice after you were at the prison. I have copies of the letters where we were sending you the documents that you requested. We sent a variety of documents. I had to pull the boxes and scan them. That's what I recall the discussion with the other people in my office about you were concerned that you weren't getting all of the discovery that you requested. That's what the letters were about that I -- that I received.

Q Yes, ma'am. I -- I wrote to you, but I never received anything back. However, the prison has documents of everything that comes in and you stated that they were going to be five boxes. I only received three. I have -- I have proof of those three from the prison, but I never received anything from you still to this day. And I would just like to ask, why?

A Well, the boxes that you received were from me. So you did receive things from me. If I overestimated the number of boxes, I apologize. As we copy the documents, we place them in containers and sent them to you. Those all came from me.

 Q Why did I have to go through four different supervisors to receive them after a two-year period, Ms. Craig?

A I don't know.

Q Okay. Ms. Craig, do you believe in your heart that you were an adequate representation for me?

A As I recall, when -- and my notes indicate that when we met and when I got your case in August of 2016, I had a very frank discussion with you about what I thought would happen in your case. And I was gravely concerned that you could be sentenced to life without the possibility of parole. You were a young woman and I did not think you deserved life without the possibility of parole. It was my opinion that the primary instigator in your case was Cody, but he's dead. And he died at the time of the incident. And I was afraid that if you went to trial as the only defendant that it would be held against you and you would end up with a life without the possibility of parole.

The facts in your case were -- were -- were extraordinarily difficult. You know, a family lost their father who did nothing but open the door to someone who was knocking on his door. People were going to be very sympathetic to the family who lost a father for no particular reason. And I was worried, gravely worried that the jury would hold that against you.

I did not think that we could win and that I thought if you were convicted, it would be a life without the possibility of parole and I didn't want that for you. That was our discussion in August of 2016. We talked about post-conviction relief. We talked about appellate issues. I

1	but you never did. And you never you may have copies of the letters,		
2	Ms. Crai	g, but I never received them.	
3	A	Okay.	
4	Q	And I would have had I would have had confirmation that	
5	they can	ne through the prison had you really really mailed them.	
6	A	Okay.	
7	Q	Thank you, Ms. Craig.	
8	A	You're welcome.	
9		THE COURT: You have any further questions, Ms. Jackson?	
10		MR. JACKSON: No, ma'am. I do not.	
11		THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Fleck.	
12		MS. FLECK: Thank you.	
13		CROSS EXAMINATION	
14	BY MS.	FLECK:	
15	Q	Okay. Ms. Craig, you were not Ms. Jackson's first attorney; is	
16	that corre	ect?	
17	A	I was not.	
18	Q	Who was his first attorney?	
19	A	Dan Silverstein.	
20	Q	So I think you said it was August of 2016 then that you came	
21	on to Ms	. Jackson's case; is that fair?	
22	A	It was somewhere in that timeframe.	
23	Q	And in the first meeting that you had with her, had you	
24	reviewed all of her discovery?		
	1		

Q Read the statements that were available and any police reports that were available, any forensic reports that were available; fair?

A Yes. As well as a writ that Mr. Silverstein had taken all the way to the Nevada Supreme Court, so I had all those documents.

Q Okay. Fair. This was in litigation before you even got on the case?

A That's accurate.

Q Now when you first meet a client, say -- let's just say generally, will you start to discuss what you think the plusses and minuses are for them in that case?

A Not in a murder case when they're first arrested. I don't do that for a significant chunk of time because we're still getting the documents, that sort of thing, and I'm establishing a relationship, and we're reviewing. But her case had been around for a couple of hears at that point. So she had already had a couple of years with Mr. Silverstein. She had had talks with him about her case. So it wasn't like she had just been arrested the night before and was still under the trauma of the arrest.

Q By --

A So we had a very frank discussion in August of 2016.

Q Okay. So by the time you meet with her, you're already comfortable discussing what you believe to be the strengths and weaknesses of her case?

A That's accurate.

Q And were you also able to discuss with her what you believed to be a range of punishment that she would likely face?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- A I was very specific.
- Q Okay.

A I -- I thought the best possible scenario and my notes indicate that -- that the best possible outcome for her that I thought we could get would be a 35-year minimum sentence. And that's only if it -- everything went smoothly and the DA's agreed and I wasn't sure I could do it. But that I was gravely concerned about the life without the possibility of parole.

Q So before really you get into the case, you have already come up with a number based upon your experience with how many years -- at that point how many years have you been a defense attorney?

- A Probably around 20.
- Q So you had already come up with a number in your mind that you thought would be maybe a starting point of -- of, you know, talking to Ms. Jackson about, hey, this might be a realistic here?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And did you also have an opportunity at that first
 August meeting to discuss with her possible plea, meaning not
 necessarily an offer that had come from the State, but what it means to
 plead --
 - A Yes.

Q -- what a plea negotiation looks like, things like that?

A Yes. In fact, I prepared a blank guilty plea agreement so that she could look at it. And I told her that there is no deal on the table, they haven't offered you a single thing, but I don't want you to feel pressured. Because sometimes it can happen at the last minute, so I want you to have plenty of time to read this guilty plea agreement, ask me questions, think about it. And so I gave her a blank guilty -- so that, you know, the first page usually has the negotiations in it. That part was just blank. Everything else was a standard guilty plea agreement language and we -- we talked about that.

I also always talk about post-conviction relief and appellate issues at that time. And I explain to them that how post-conviction works and I recommend that they take notes. That it does not hurt my feelings if they want to say later that I didn't do something that they wanted, but they needed to have specifics and be able to point to it. So I recommended that time that she start keeping notes for post-conviction relief.

Q Okay. So her -- Ms. Jackson's plea was ultimately filed on September 12th of 2017. So for approximately a year, she had the standard language within a guilty plea agreement explaining what that document means?

- A That's accurate.
- Q And what is it that you told her about a direct appeal, about what her responsibilities would be if she wanted to file a direct appeal?
 - A Well back in -- in August of 2016, I probably would not have

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

been very specific about the timeframes. I would have told her that there are very specific timeframes for both post-conviction relief and for direct appeals. I explained -- I don't -- I don't really -- I mean my notes indicated that I talk about PCR and appellate issues. So typically what I do is I explain what post-conviction relief is. I explain what appeals are. And I tell them that they have a duty to do this, but if they're going to blame -- if they're going to say that their lawyer was ineffective, they have to make those arguments and there's a timeframe within which to do it.

Q Okay. And, of course, one of the arguments that they can make for post-conviction is that you didn't explain their plea to them properly?

Α That's accurate.

Q And another topic that they could bring up is that you didn't explain their -- the appellate process well enough?

Yes. Α

Q But during that particular meeting, you discussed both -- both of those things?

Α That's what my notes indicate. And I typically have a fairly in depth discussions specifically about PCR almost always just tell them to take notes and keep track.

Q What is a defendant's responsibility with just regards to direct appeal?

- Α Well they have to -- they have to say they want it and --
- Q And what's their timeframe that they have to do that in?

 mean -- I'm sorry -- hoping to resolve the case?

A Yes.

Q I note on February 7th -- 15th of 2017, do you recall on that date being in Court and saying, requesting more time and saying, these are fairly -- it's a fairly complicated offer, you need to discuss it further with the defendant?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And then on March 28th of 2017, did you, in fact, devise a memo where you made some notations about your relationship with Ms. Jackson and what your feelings were about where she was in the negotiation process?

A I actually went to see her in the jail and the memo was a reflection of our discussions in the jail in March of 2017.

Q So what was your goal in meeting with her at that March meeting?

A Well she had sent me a letter complaining about my representation. She complained that she had -- there had been no motions, writs or motions in limine filed, no Brady motion had been filed. She was concerned that -- about the -- the conspiracy liability and what that meant to her because she didn't think she had any responsibility. She didn't hurt anybody. So she shouldn't have been found guilty. She had raised those questions in a letter. And I had been trial most of March. So this was my first opportunity to go and see her.

I sent her a copy of every motion, writ, everything that had been filed in her case. I printed out a set of jury instructions that cover

conspiracy, liability, case law and conspiracy, minutes from the discovery motion hearing because discovery motion had been filed, a copy of the writ, a copy of the indictment, the Nevada Supreme Court denials. And I -- I don't remember if I mailed the documents to her at the jail or if I hand carried them over with the letter attached. But then I went to see her at the jail and we had a very long discussion.

Q And was one of the things that you discussed hope -- her having hope versus no hope?

A I think those were my words. That was -- that referenced back to one of the earlier talks that she and I had had and I think I noted it in my August notes, the August of 2016 that -- that that's how I saw her case. It was either going to be a life with or a life without. It was going to be hope versus no hope. And my goal was to make sure that she had some hope in her future. So I think those -- those -- that was my way of phrasing our discussion.

Q So again, lots of talk during that meeting about potential negotiations again with your goal being to help her to see the importance of a potential negotiation?

- A Are you talking about March or August of 2016?
- Q March.

A March of 2017 was more answering questions that she had, making sure she had the answers that she needed, providing her with the underlying information to help her make a decision. She still had the guilty plea all that time and it was -- it was a lot. It was like going through the entire trial process in a meeting.

9

11

25

Q	Okay.	But at	well you	were there?
---	-------	--------	----------	-------------

- At any point did she indicate to you privately something we may not have seen on the transcript that she didn't understand the plea?
- Okay. And certainly in the transcript and what she told Judge Herndon is that she understood everything that she was pleading to and that she didn't have any questions?
- MS. FLECK: And, Your Honor, I know you have a copy of that transcript, but if not, I can bring it to you if you'd like.
- THE COURT: I was -- I don't see it in Odyssey; when was it
- MS. FLECK: It was -- the -- it was filed on March -- well, sorry. Actually, that's the date that it was -- yeah, March 12th, 2020.
- THE COURT: Oh, okay. They titled it regarding -- the hearing regarding the murder team assigned, that's why.
 - MS. FLECK: Oh, yeah. That's what it is.
 - THE COURT: Okay. So that's --
 - THE COURT: -- okay. I see it. I have it.
 - MS. FLECK: Thank you.
- Okay. And then after the fact in the next day, week, few weeks before Ms. Jackson is transported up to prison, did you get any correspondence from her or calls from her wanting to talk to you about

her plea?

THE WITNESS: Not about the plea, but I knew that she wanted her discovery. And I think we sent the first couple of boxes in December of 2017.

BY MS. FLECK:

- Q Okay. Would that have been still down here in CCDC or would that have been up to NSP?
- A I believe they went to Florence McClure. But I'm not sure whether we sent them to the jail first and then they went over or -- that's just not clear to me.
- Q Okay. Now talking then about post-conviction, you do recall that she was -- she contacted your office requesting documents; fair?
 - A Yes.
- Q And first you thought five, then you remember three, but regardless, everything that you had access to, did you make a copy of for Ms. Jackson?
- A I believe we did it multiple times because she called back and said that she hadn't gotten somethings, so I had all the boxes brought back out again and did it again.
 - Q And you think that that was in -- that that was in December?
- A Well originally we sent some documents in November of 2018. Then we sent some more November 27th of 2018. And then it looks like I did it again in 2019.
 - Q And that was at her request?
 - A At her request, yeah.

 Q Okay.

I have nothing further.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. I mean -- sorry.

THE COURT: It's been a long week.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Ms. Jackson, do you have redirect for Ms.

Craig?

MS. JACKSON: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. What would you like to ask?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. JACKSON:

Q Regarding the letter that you said that I -- I sent to you, I have the letter here and it states that I said that as a result I have no appeals because you did not inform me of any plans of action. Because when I went to -- when I got to prison, I have to check out in the law library about my appeals. So what I'm saying is as a lawyer, as an attorney, we as laymen don't understand all that law jargon and all that stuff, so I don't believe that you adequately explained it to me because had you -- had you done that, I would have been more adamant to go to trial, Ms. -- Ms. Craig. You made me believe that I had no hope that it was -- excuse me -- you made me believe that I had no choice.

And when it came to negotiations, you only told me that they were going to offer me the 35 years and that never changed over a three-year time period. So won't the negotiation be two people coming to an agreement on a certain amount of time as opposed to you just

 telling me this is what they're going to offer me and then me take it?

A So, Ms. Jackson, when we first met in August and we had that discussion, I told you what I hoped would happen with regard to the negotiations. It took me a long time of talking to the District Attorney to get them to agree because they were opposed. They said let's go to trial because they thought they could very easily get a life without and I was afraid that that was true.

I pressed them and pressed them and pressed them for a very long time to get them to consider allowing you the right to have the possibility of parole in your future. So --

- Q But you say ultimately -- excuse me -- you say ultimately it is my decision; correct?
 - A That's true.
 - Q I didn't feel that you gave me that choice.
 - A I'm sorry --
 - Q You forced me.
 - A -- you felt that way. I'm sorry you felt that way.
 - Q You forced me to do it. It was not my choice.

A Ms. Jackson, as I recall, I said to you have a choice between awful and really awful. And I understand it and I know it's a terrible place to be, but that's the place that you are. And you had to choose between two very, very bad things. I couldn't make that better.

And I can't change the fact that you feel like you were under that pressure because you were under that pressure, but that's not my doing.

Q It was your decision though is what I'm saying. You decided that for me.

- A Okay.
- Q If it's ultimately --

THE COURT: Ms. Jackson.

MS. JACKSON: -- my decision --

THE COURT: Ms. Jackson, you can ask her a question. When you get ready to argue your case, you can argue your case. But you can't stand here and yell at Ms. Craig. You can ask her any questions that you feel like you want to ask her and then when it's your turn to argue, I'll let you argue.

MS. JACKSON: I apologize, Judge. Your Honor, I apologize. I'm very emotional right now and I do apologize.

So, Ms. Craig, you're stating that you told me all about post-conviction prior to being convicted?

THE WITNESS: In August 5th of 2016 we had that discussion. It wasn't the only discussion we had that day, but it was one of them. BY MS. JACKSON:

Q So if -- if your -- if my best interest was in your heart, why didn't you after -- after the conviction, why didn't you answer my letters or respond to any of my phone calls to help me?

A I did not get a letter asking for help on direct appeal. I got letters asking for your documents which we sent out to you.

Q Well the Court has the letters, the Clerk of Courts has the letter that I sent to you, the documents, everything. Thank you.

And -- and it -- and that's what the State did, that our goal is to

25

Q

 at least leave an argument open, but we will allow the possibility that the defense argues also and that we'll give the Judge the discretion then to make the final ruling.

A And the facts in this case were very compelling and could have -- I think they would likely have exposed her to a life without the possibility of parole.

Q Okay. Thank you. I have nothing further.

A Sure.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, Ms. Craig. Thank you for your testimony here today.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you.

THE COURT: State, do you have any more witnesses?

MS. FLECK: No. I don't, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Ms. Jackson, now the time has come for you to make your argument; what would you like to say?

MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, Ms. Craig was the second chair with Mr. Silverstein the entire time, from the first time I met him. So she had -- she had knowledge of everything that was going on. And I just -- and then once he left, you know, he -- he was definitely the primary lawyer in it, but she was still there. And once he left, I feel that she just dropped the ball on me and didn't do anything.

And when it came to an investigation, there just simply wasn't one. She said she doesn't remember anybody doing one because there wasn't one. Nobody did it.

And another thing about the -- the plea agreement, you know,

 these lawyers or these Public Defenders, they just have the knack for showing you what they want you to see instead of going through it all. And granted, you know, she may have believed that she was just pointing out things that I should have known or she thought I should have known, but ultimately it wasn't my decision. She made a decision for me. And she made it -- she made me feel like I had no choice.

And all the letters I wrote her, I have those letters right here. I have all the letters to her supervisors and all -- all the phone calls and everything. That lady never -- never called my mom, never once replied to me at all. She left me to die in that prison.

And now I have to go through hell, excuse me, to just try to get someone to hear me and -- and go through the paperwork because there's so many discrepancies in there that just don't add up and I'm not a lawyer, but somebody should have seen him, Your Honor.

And I apologize for being emotional, but unfortunately I waited so long for this day and I was -- obviously I'm unprepared because I didn't know what to expect and, you know, the prison they don't know. I have to get someone to -- to sign on what I should -- what I can and cannot bring with me, so I honestly didn't know what I could bring. So I brought what I thought I could. So I'm unprepared, Your Honor.

And all I can tell you is that I'm -- I'm happy to be here right now to say my side, but I just -- I'm unprepared, Your Honor. And all I can do is tell you the truth that I -- I was not -- I was blindsided and I was under a lot of stress. I was under the influence of many drugs that was given to me by the facility. I've been off of those for two years now. And

I'm clear headed and I'm ready to fight this no matter what I have to do because I don't believe I deserve it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JACKSON: And that's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Jackson. Ms. Fleck.

MS. FLECK: Thank you. So, Judge, I'm just going to focus in on the two issues that are at hand and one is the plea. As you know, the defendant's decision to plead is ultimately her choice and that's what Ms. Jackson did. I understand and I don't discredit how she's feeling emotionally in terms of being sad about it, but it doesn't mean that it wasn't free and voluntary negotiation --

MS. JACKSON: Ma'am --

MS. FLECK: -- and that it wasn't --

MS. JACKSON: [indiscernible]

MS. FLECK: -- an intelligent --

THE COURT: Ms. Jackson. Hold on just one second. Ms. Jackson, she didn't interrupt you. You don't interrupt her. You be quiet -

MS. JACKSON: I'm sorry. I didn't --

THE COURT: -- while she's speaking.

MR. JACKSON: -- I didn't realize it was loud.

MS. FLECK: But it doesn't mean that it wasn't a valid plea. She was thoroughly canvassed by Judge Herndon. She answered that she understood that she did not have any questions. She didn't indicate to Ms. Craig during the canvassing that she had any questions or directly thereafter. Even in a couple of weeks after indicate that she had

any issues with her plea.

She was given many, many opportunities. This case was continued close to like I think a dozen times in order to be able to answer all of Ms. Jackson's questions in order to put her issues at rest in order to give her time to speak with her family. And I think that, you know, all parties did honor her in that way in order to get to the point that when she pled, she was comfortable doing so.

So I don't think that she's met her burden today in any way of proving that this case -- I'm sorry -- that the plea was in some way invalid, same with the post-conviction. You heard the testimony from Ms. Craig that she did indicate to her not only that it was going to be her responsibility, but went so far as to tell her, listen, this will be your responsibility, so please take notes.

And when she got up to prison, she started contacting the PD's office immediately before she, you know, started lodging her complaints about Ms. Craig. She even was contacting in order to get documents, in order to get her discovery, and this went all the way through years until she was able to get full boxes. But I think that the record is clear that she understood what her responsibilities were and that does not fall on the shoulders of Ms. Craig.

With that, I'll submit it to your discretion.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Jackson, you have the last word; anything else you want to add?

MS. JACKSON: Your Honor, the truth of the matter is I didn't receive any information about what I should do from Ms. Craig or -- or

the Public Defender's Officer or anybody of legal status whatsoever. I learned it on my own through the law library and through quote on quote, prison lawyers, you know. So I -- I -- yes, I filed my first motion within 90 days of being in prison because I was under a time restraint which was one year from my JOC and I'm not a procrastinator, so I like to just get it started.

And, you know, that's it. I didn't learn anything from Ms. Craig. I learned it all on my own.

THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just one second.

[Pause in the proceedings]

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, this is the situation we're facing. Ms. Jackson, this is the situation where you filed a writ, so you have the burden in this case. And I'm here to determine what evidence was presented to support the two charge -- the two allegations for which Judge Herndon determined that there needed to be an evidentiary hearing.

In the first allegation as well, whether or not you were forced into entering this guilty plea. And I have to say I absolutely agree with the State. I don't doubt for one second that this is very emotional. I don't doubt for one second in getting prepared for this hearing and reading about this case that everything that has led you up to this point has been very emotional.

However, with the evidence that's before me, the evidence before me is you had more time to consider your guilty plea than probably anybody you could even ask inside the prison. It is a very rare

 occasion that someone has six months or possibly up to that time with a guilty plea agreement to contemplate an offer. In my experience, most DA's put an offer on the table for a week or two and if that offer is not accepted, that offer is rejected. So you had a substantial period of time to consider this plea.

I also reviewed the plea canvass between you and Judge Herndon and every question that was asked by you of Judge Herndon you indicated that you understood what was happening and that you did not have any questions for him. So Judge Herndon was left with the idea that you knew what was happening and that's the evidence that is before the Court.

So in regards to the claim that you did not freely and willfully and lawfully enter into this guilty plea agreement, that claim is denied.

In regards to the claim that Ms. Craig did not give you the opportunity to do a direct appeal, the evidence that is before the Court today is that you were advised that that was completely your responsibility. I also show that by February 27th of 2018 which was approximately three months after you were sentenced, the PD was not even your attorney of record anymore. You were completely on your own and you had received boxes of discovery in your case that was presented to you.

So those claims are -- that claim is also belied by the record and because of that that claim is also denied.

So the petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

State, you are to prepare a finding of facts, conclusion of law

İ	1
1	that is consistent with the Court's ruling.
2	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
4	[Proceeding concluded at 2:47 p.m.]
5	
6	
7	
8	****
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	ATTECT: I do haraby cortify that I have truly and correctly transcribed
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my
21	ability.
22	middle Dunsell
23	Michelle Ramsey
24	Michelle Ramsey Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	

Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record

State of Nevada County of Clark SS

Pursuant to the Court of Appeals order dated April 12, 2022, I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the supplemental trial court record for the case referenced below. The record comprises volume two with pages numbered 123 through 156.

NATASHA G. JACKSON,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-20-810845-W

Dept. No: X

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 5 day of May 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk