**Electronically Filed** 7/19/2021 3:40 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT NOAS** 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 Email: Daniel.Schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 3 KIM D. PRICE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007873 Electronically Filed Email: Kim.Price@lewisbrisbois.com 4 Jul 23 2021 04:14 p.m. JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Elizabeth A. Brown Nevada Bar No. 013231 Clerk of Supreme Court Email: joel.reeves@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc 10 11 12 DISTRICT COURT 13 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 14 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE CASE NO.: A-20-821892-J DEPARTMENT; and CANNON COCHRAN 15 MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., **DEPT. NO.: 15** 16 Petitioners, 17 у. STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE 18 ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT 19 INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS, 20 Respondents 21 22 NOTICE OF APPEAL 23 STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE TO: SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS, Respondent 24 TO: DONALD J. BORDELOVE, ESQ., Respondent's Attorney 25 TO: DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, Respondent 26 TO: CHRISTOPHER A. ECCLES, ESQ, Respondent's Attorney 27 28 4819-8633-5474.1 / 33307-775

Docket 83262 Document 2021-21382

ewis BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioners, LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT; and CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC, (hereinafter referred to as "Petitioners"), in the above-entitled action, hereby appeal to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the attached "Order" entered in this action on or about June 21, 2021 which denied Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review and the "Notice of Entry of Order" filed on or about June 22, 2021.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of July 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By

DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.

KIM D, PRICE, ESQ.

JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 900, Box 28

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners

1	CERTIFICAT	FE OF MAILING		
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
2	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the day of			
3	July 2021, service of the foregoing <b>NOTICE O</b>	F APPEAL was made this date by depositing a true		
4	copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows:			
5				
6	Jeff Roch	Attorney General Aaron Ford		
7	Director of Risk Management 400 South MLK Blvd.	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701		
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Petitioner	Attorneys for Respondent		
9	CCMSI	Industrial Relations (DIR) Christopher Eccles, Esq.		
200	Dusty Marshall	3360 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 250		
10	Claims Supervisor PO Box 35350	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102		
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89133 Petitioner	Industrial Relations (DIR) Division Headquarters		
12	Donald J. Bordelove	400 West King Street, Suite 400 Carson City, Nevada 89703		
13		Department of Business and Industry		
14	555 East Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Director Terry Reynolds		
15	Attorneys for Respondent	1830 College Parkway, Suite 100 Carson City, Nevada 897064		
16				
17				
18	Fliph	anie de		
19	An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP			
20				
21				
22				
23		160		
24				
25				
26				
27				

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 28

4819-8633-5474.1 / 33307-775

# 1 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

3	AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030			
4	The un	dersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document,		
5		NOTICE OF APPEAL		
6 7	filed in case number: A-20-821892-J			
3.0				
8	Document does not contain the Social Security number of any person.			
10		- OR -		
11	□ Docum	ent contains the Social Security number of a person as required by:		
12		A specific state or federal law, to wit:		
13				
14		- or -		
15		For the administration of a public program		
16		- or -		
17	☐ For an application for a federal or state grant			
18		- or -		
19	Confidential Family Court Information Sheet (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS 125B.055)			
20	/			
21	Date:	21		
22	/ /	(Signature)		
23		DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. (Print Name)		
24		PETITIONERS (Attornoy, for)		
25		(Attorney for)		
26				



27

#### ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 6/22/2021 4:29 PM

Electronically Filed

6/22/2021 2:50 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **NEOJ** Donald C. Smith, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No.: 000413 Jennifer J. Leonescu Nevada Bar No.: 006036 4 Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 009798 State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry Division of Industrial Relations 6 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Phone: (702) 486-9070 8 donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov ileonescu@dir.nv.gov 9 ceccles@dir.nv.gov Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 13 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE STATE OF NEVADA Case No.: A-20-821892-J DEPARTMENT, and CANNON Dept. No.: 15 14 COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 15 16 Petitioners, VS. 17 STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE 18 ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED 19 EMPLOYERS. 20 Respondents. 21 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an "Order" was entered in the above-captioned matter 22 on June 21, 2021, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto. 23 DATED this 22 Moday of June, 2021. 24 25 111 26 III27 28 I

Case Number: A-20-821892-J

STATE OF NEVADA

Division of Industrial Relations - Division Counsel
3360 West Sahara Ave., Suito 250
Las Vegas, Nevads 89102

Respectfully su	ıbmitted,
-----------------	-----------

DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

By:

Donald C. Smith, Esq. Jennifer J. Leonescu, Esq. Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, Division of Industrial Relations, and that on this 22 no day of Johe , 2021, I caused the foregoing document entitled Notice of Entry of Order to be served upon those persons designated by the parties in the E-Service Master List for the above-referenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District Court eFiling System in accordance with the mandatory electronic service requirements of Administrative Order 14-02 and the NEFCR.

An employee of the State of Nevada Division of Industrial Relations

Electronically Filed 06/21/2021.4:00 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDG

Donald C. Smith, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 000413 Jennifer J. Leonescu Nevada Bar No.: 006036

Nevada Bar No.: 006036 Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 009798

State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry

Division of Industrial Relations 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250

Las Vegas, NV 89102
Phone: (702) 486-9070
donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov
ileonescu@dir.nv.gov
ceccles@dir.nv.gov

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, and CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.

Petitioners.

VS.

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

**25**|

26

27

28

STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS,

Respondents.

Case No.: A-20-821892-J

Dept. No.: 15

ORDER GRANTING
RESPONDENT DIVISION OF
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS'
MOTION TO DISMISS
PETITIONERS' PETITION
FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The matters before the Court are Respondent Nevada Division of Industrial Relations' ("Division") Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review, and Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers' ("Board") Joinder thereto. The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file in this matter and having heard the oral arguments of counsel on June 7, 2021, and good cause appearing, hereby rules as follows:

STATE OF NEWADA

Division of Industrial Relations - Division Counse
3340 West Statem Avv., Seits 250

Lei Vegn., Noveth \$9102

(702) 486-989

İ

#### I. FINDINGS

to

- 1. Respondent Division moved to dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review on two bases: first, Petitioners failed to transmit to the reviewing court an original or certified copy of the transcript of the evidence resulting in the final decision of the agency as required by NRS 233B.131(1)(a), and second, Petitioners failed to timely file their Memorandum of Points and Authorities as required by NRS 233B.133(1).
- 2. NRS 233B.131(1)(a) provides that "Within 45 days after the service of the petition for judicial review or such time as is allowed by the court: (a) The party who filed the petition for judicial review shall transmit to the reviewing court an original or certified copy of the transcript of the evidence resulting in the final decision of the agency." (Emphasis added).
- 3. NRS 233B.131(1)(b) provides that "Within 45 days after the service of the petition for judicial review or such time as is allowed by the court: (b) The agency that rendered the decision which is the subject of the petition shall transmit to the reviewing court the original or a certified copy of the remainder of the record of the proceeding under review." (Emphasis added).
- 4. Petitioners filed their Petition for Judicial Review on September 24, 2020. Thus, pursuant to the controlling statute, NRS 233B.131(1)(a), Petitioners' deadline to transmit the transcript to the Court was November 9, 2020.
  - 5. It is undisputed that the Petitioners never transmitted the transcript to the Court.
- 6. It is undisputed that the Petitioners filed their Opening Brief 105 days late and that said Brief lacks citations to the transcript of the administrative proceeding under review.
- 7. The record of the underlying administrative proceeding is incomplete due to Petitioners' failure to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 8. As a result of the incomplete record, and of Petitioners' failure to cite to the transcript in their late-filed Opening Brief, this Court cannot conduct a judicial review based upon the whole record as required by NRS 233B.135.

remainder:	of the record pursuant to NRS 233B.131(1)(b).
10.	The requirements of NRS 233B.131(1)(a) and (b) are mandatory because the
statute emp	loys the word "shall." Thus, the Petitioners' failure to transmit the transcript to the
court rende	rs their Petition for Judicial Review subject to dismissal.
11.	NRS 233B.131(1)(a) is plain and unambiguous, yet Petitioners failed to comply
with their 4	5-day statutory deadline. Moreover, Petitioners position, in their written Opposition

9.

with their 45-day statutory deadline. Moreover, Petitioners position, in their written Opposition to the Division's Motion to Dismiss, and during the oral argument—that they are not required to transmit the transcript to the court—is contradicted by the plain and unambiguous language of the statute. As of June 7, 2021—the date of the hearing on the Division's Motion to Dismiss—Petitioners were 211 days past their statutory deadline to transmit the transcript to the Court.

On November 9, 2020, the Respondents timely transmitted to the court the

- 12. Good cause for a delay in transmitting the transcript, however, may be shown pursuant to NRS 233B.131 because the statute allows the court to alter the 45-day deadline. Thus, the 45-day deadline is not jurisdictional.
- 13. Petitioners' argument that Respondents were statutorily required to file the complete record of the underlying administrative proceeding is contradicted by the structure and plain and unambiguous language of NRS 233B.131, the controlling statute. Petitioners' position is erroneous as a matter of law. Indeed, the legislative history of the 2015 amendment to NRS 233B.131 shows that the underlying policy for requiring petitioners to transmit the transcript to the court was to decrease the burden on taxpayers.
- 14. Petitioners have not met their burden to show good cause for their ongoing delay to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 15. Mr. Price did not provide the Court with an affidavit or declaration specifying how his medical condition affected his ability to comply with statutory requirements during the intervening 211 days. The Court assumes that he had a serious medical condition but finds the effects of the condition vague.

16.	Moreover, two other attorneys from Mr. Price's law firm are listed on the Court's
electronic ser	vice list for this case.

- Petitioners bear the burden to show good cause, but they have not met their 17. burden under the Scrimer factors. Scrimer v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 116 Nev. 507, 516-17, 998 P.2d 1190, 1195-96 (2000).
- Furthermore, Petitioners' extensive unexcused delay is mooted by their position 18. that they are not statutorily required to transmit the transcript to the Court,

#### II. **ORDER**

6

8

9

10

IJ

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

The Respondent Division's Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review and the Board's Joinder thereto are GRANTED.

DATED this day of	, 20 <u>Da</u> ted this 21st day of June, 20	21
	1 Jack and a	/
	- agetalay	
	HON. JUDGE JOE HARDY, JR.	
	V	

Respectfully submitted by: DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS F18 060 65D6 31EC Joe Hardy District Court Judge

Donald C. Smith, Esq. Jennifer J. Leonescu, Esq. Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. Division of Industrial Relations 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

Approved to form and content by LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGA

By: Kim D. Price, Edg. Nevada Bar No. 7873

2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 300, Box 28

27 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Petitioners LVMPD and CCMSI

**CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police 6 Department, Petitioner(s) 7 VS. 8 State of Nevada Department of 9 Business & Industry, Respondent(s) 10 11 12 13 14 15 Service Date: 6/21/2021 16 Michele Caro 17 Donald Bordelove 18 19 Daniel Schwartz 20. **Donald Smith** 21 Christopher Eccles 22 Joel Reeves 23 Donald Bordelove 24 Dawn Bateman 25

# CASE NO: A-20-821892-J

DEPT. NO. Department 15

#### AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

mcaro@ag.nv.gov

dbordelove@ag.nv.gov

daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com

donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov

ceccles@dir.nv.gov

joel.reeves@lewisbrisbois.com

dbordelove@ag.nv.gov

dawn.bateman@lewisbrisbois.com

Hilton Platt hilton.platt@lewisbrisbois.com

Kim Price kim.price@lewisbrisbois.com

28

26

7/19/2021 3:40 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT** ASTA 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 Email: Daniel.Schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com KIM D. PRICE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007873 4 | Email: Kim.Price@lewisbrisbois.com JOEL P. REEVES, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 013231 Email: joel reeves@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 8 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. 10 DISTRICT COURT 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 13 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT; and CANNON COCHRAN CASE NO.: A-20-821892-J MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., **DEPT. NO.: 15** 15 Petitioners, у. 16 STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE 17 ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED 18 EMPLOYERS, 19 Respondents. 20 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 21 Name of Petitioners filing this case appeal statement: 1. 22 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and Cannon Cochran Management 23 Services, Inc 24 25 2. Identify the Judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: 26 Hon. Joe Hardy, District Court Judge 27 28 4817-2465-0226.1 / 33307-775

**Electronically Filed** 

Case Number: A-20-821892-J

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

3. Identify all parties to the proceedings in the district court (the use of et al. to denote 1 2 parties is prohibited): 3 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Cannon Cochran Management Services, 4 Inc, Division of Industrial Relations, and State Of Nevada Board For The Administration Of 5 The Subsequent Injury Account For Self-Insured Employers 6 4. Identify all parties involved in this appeal (the use of et al. to denote parties is 7 prohibited): 8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Cannon Cochran Management 9 Services, Inc., Division of Industrial Relations, and State Of Nevada Board For The 10 Administration Of The Subsequent Injury Account For Self-Insured Employers 11 5. Set forth the name, law firm, address, and telephone number of all counsel on 12 appeal and identify the party or parties whom they represent: 13 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 14 KIM D. PRICE, ESQ. JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 15 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 900, Box 28 16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102-4375 Attorneys for Petitioners 17 DONALD C. SMITH, ESQ. 18 JENNIFER J. LEONESCU, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER A. ECCLES, ESQ. 19 Division of Industrial Relations (DIR) 3360 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 250 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Respondent 21 Division of Industrial Relations (DIR) 22 AARON D. FORD, ESQ. DONALD J. BORDELOVE, ESO. 23 State of Nevada Officer of the Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., #3900 24. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Respondent 25 State Of Nevada Board For The Administration Of The Subsequent Injury Account For Self-Insured Employers 26 27 28

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

6. Indicate whether Petitioners were represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

#### Petitioners were represented by retained counsel in the District Court.

7. Indicate whether Respondent was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

#### Respondent was represented by retained counsel in the District Court.

8. Indicate whether Petitioners are represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

#### Petitioners are represented by retained counsel on appeal.

9. Indicate whether Respondent is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

#### Respondent is represented by retained counsel on appeal.

10. Indicate whether Petitioners were granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave;

#### Petitioners were not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

11. Indicate whether Respondent was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

#### Respondent was not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

12. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

The Petition for Judicial Review of the Board for Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers' (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") August 19, 2020, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Determination for Claim Number 12D34C229979, was filed on September 24, 2020.

13. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

This is a workers' compensation case. The present appeal stems from the Board for Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers' (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") August 19, 2020, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Determination for Claim Number 12D34C229979.

On September 21, 2020, the handling attorney for the subject case for Petitioners underwent radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Follow-up care impeded counsel's ability to practice law full-time for several weeks following the procedure.

On September 24, 2020, Petitioners timely filed the subject Petition for Judicial Review contesting the August 19, 2020 Decision of the Board.

On November 9, 2020, the Record on Appeal was filed. On the front page of the Record it states as follows:

Pursuant to NRS 233B.131, the STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF INSURED EMPLOYERS (SIA) now files the entire record of the proceedings under review by this Court as a result of the Petition for Judicial Review pursuant to NRS 233B.130 filed by LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT and CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., Respondents

#### (emphasis added)

On April 5, 2021, Petitioners filed their Opening Brief. Petitioners' Brief contained citations to relevant records in the Record on Appeal.

On May 5, 2021, Respondent Division of Industrial Relations filed a Motion to Dismiss and Strike. Petitioners opposed the same.

On June 7, 2021, Respondent's Motion came on for hearing and the District Court granted the same based on the assertion that *Petitioners* had failed to file the entire record on appeal, Petitioners' Opening Brief was late, and Petitioners' Opening Brief did not contain any citations to the Record on Appeal. On June 21, 2021, the Order commemorating this Court's ruling was filed. The Notice of Entry was filed on June 22, 2021.

1	14. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original			
2	writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of			
3	the prior proc	eeding:		
4	No.			
5	15.	15. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:		
6	No.			
7 8	16.	If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of		
9	settlement:	if this is a civil case, indicate whether this appear involves the possionity of		
10				
11	No.	DATED 41: 10 1 2021		
12		DATED this day of July 2021.		
5640 100		Respectfully submitted,		
13 14		LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
15				
16		By:		
17	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. KIM D, PRICE, ESQ. JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLF			
18				
19		2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 900, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

5

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4817-2465-0226.1 / 33307-775

# <u>DISTRICT COURT</u> CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

# **AFFIRMATION**

3	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030			
4				
5				
6	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document,			
7	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT			
8	filed in case number: A-20-821892-J			
9				
10	Document does not contain the social security number of any person.			
11	OD			
12	☐ Document contains the Social Security number of a person as required by:			
13	☐ A specific state or federal law, to wit:			
14				
15	- or -			
16	☐ For the administration of a public program			
17	- or -			
18	☐ For an application for a federal or state grant			
19	- or -			
20	Confidential Family Court Information Sheet (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS 125B.055)			
21	2/10/11			
22	Date: (Signature)			
23	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.			
24	(Print Name)			
25	PETITIONERS (Attorney for)			
26				

28 **BISGAARD** & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

27

1

2

4817-2465-0226.1 / 33307-775

### **CASE SUMMARY**

CASE NO. A-20-821892-J

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Petitioner(s)

State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry,

Respondent(s)

Location: Department 15 Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe § Filed on: 09/24/2020 §

Case Number History:

Cross-Reference Case A821892

Number:

**CASE INFORMATION** 

§

**Statistical Closures** 

06/21/2021 Motion to Dismiss by the Defendant(s)

Worker's Compensation Case Type:

Appeal

Case 06/21/2021 Dismissed Status:

DATE **CASE ASSIGNMENT** 

**Current Case Assignment** 

Case Number A-20-821892-J Court Department 15 Date Assigned 09/30/2020 Hardy, Joe Judicial Officer

PARTY INFORMATION

Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc.

Schwartz, Daniel L Retained 702-893-3383(W)

Lead Attorneys

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Schwartz, Daniel L

Retained 702-893-3383(W)

Respondent **Division of Industrial Relations** 

Smith, Donald C. Removed: 06/21/2021 Retained Dismissed 702-486-9070(W)

**Division of Industrial Relations** 

Leonescu, Jennifer J Removed: 06/21/2021 Retained Dismissed 702-486-9072(W)

State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury

**Account for Self-Insured Employers** 

Bordelove, Donald J. Removed: 06/21/2021 Retained Dismissed

State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry

Bordelove, Donald J. Retained

DATE INDEX **EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT** 

**EVENTS** 

09/24/2020

Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc.

[1] INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE DISCLOSURE

09/24/2020

Petition for Judicial Review

#### CASE SUMMARY CASE No. A-20-821892-J

Filed by: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. [2] PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW 09/30/2020 Peremptory Challenge Filed by: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. [3] Peremptory Challenge of Judge 09/30/2020 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. [4] Peremptory Challenge Filing Fee Disclosure 09/30/2020 Notice of Department Reassignment [5] Notice of Department Reassignment 10/02/2020 Filing Fee Remittance Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. [6] Filing Fee Remittance 10/13/2020 Notice of Intent to Participate Filed By: Respondent Division of Industrial Relations [7] Respondent Division of Industrial Relations' Notice and Statement of Intent to Participate 10/14/2020 Statement of Intent to Participate in Petition for Judicial Filed By: Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers [8] Statement of Intent to Participate 11/09/2020 Transcript of Proceedings Party: Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers [9] Transmittal of Record on Appeal 11/10/2020 Notice of Submission of Record Filed By: Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers [10] Notice of Transmittal of Administrative Record on Appeal 04/05/2021 Brief Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. [11] Petitioner's Opening Brief 05/05/2021 Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Respondent Division of Industrial Relations [12] Respondent Division of Industrial Relations' Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review, or in the Alternative Motion to Strike "Petitioners Opening Brief" and Motion to Extend Time to File Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities 05/05/2021 Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document [13] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document 05/06/2021

#### CASE SUMMARY CASE No. A-20-821892-J

	CASE NO. A-20-821892-J
	Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document and Curative Action  [14] Clerk's Notice of Curative Action
05/06/2021	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [15] Notice of Hearing
05/11/2021	Joinder Filed By: Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers [16] Joinder to Motions to Dismiss and Strike
05/19/2021	Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc.  [17] Petitioners' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review, or in the Alternative, Motion to Strike "Petitioner's Opening Brief" and Motion to Extend Time to File Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities
05/25/2021	Reply Filed by: Respondent Division of Industrial Relations [18] Respondent Division of Industrial Relations Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities In Support of Its Motion to Dismiss Petitioners Petition for Judicial Review, Or In The Alternative, Motion to Strike Petitioners Opening Brief and Motion to Extend Time to File Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities
06/01/2021	Joinder Filed By: Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers [19] Joinder to Reply in Support of Motions to Dismiss and Strike
06/21/2021	Order Granting [20] Order Granting Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Judicial Review
06/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Respondent State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry [21] NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
07/13/2021	Order Shortening Time  Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department  [23] Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Motion to Dismiss on Order Shortening  Time
07/19/2021	Notice of Appeal  Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc.  [24] Notice of Appeal
07/19/2021	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Petitioner Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc. [25] Case Appeal Statement
07/19/2021	Motion to Dismiss  Filed By: Respondent State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry [26] Division's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Opposition to Petitioners' Motion for Reconsideration on OST, 7.19.21

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-20-821892-J

06/21/2021 **Order of Dismissal** (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

Debtors: Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Petitioner), Cannon Cochran Management

Services, Inc. (Petitioner)

Creditors: State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry (Respondent), Division of

Industrial Relations (Respondent), Division of Industrial Relations (Respondent)

Judgment: 06/21/2021, Docketed: 06/22/2021

#### **HEARINGS**

06/07/2021 **Motion to Dismiss** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

Respondent Division of Industrial Relations' Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review, or in the Alternative Motion to Strike "Petitioners Opening Brief" and Motion to Extend Time to File Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities

Granted;

06/07/2021 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

Joinder to Motions to Dismiss and Strike

Granted;

06/07/2021 All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

RESPONDENT DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO STRIKE "PETITIONERS OPENING BRIEF" AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES... JOINDER TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND STRIKE Arguments by counsel regarding the compliance of submitting the transcript due by 11/09/2020 needed for the judicial review. COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED. Mr. Eccles to prepare the order, circulate it to opposing counsel and submit it to the department in box.;

07/13/2021

Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

Minute Order: Striking the Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Respondent Division of Industrial Relations' Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review; and Request for Order Shortening Time

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

FINDING that said document was erroneously filed, COURT ORDERED the Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Respondent Division of Industrial Relations' Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review; and Request for Order Shortening Time, filed on July 12, 2021, was hereby STRICKEN.;

07/28/2021

Motion For Reconsideration (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Hardy, Joe)

Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Motion to Dismiss on Order Shortening Time

DATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Petitioner Cannon Cochran Management Services, Inc.Total Charges324.00Total Payments and Credits324.00Balance Due as of 7/20/20210.00

**Petitioner** Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Total Charges

 Total Charges
 450.00

 Total Payments and Credits
 450.00

 Balance Due as of 7/20/2021
 0.00

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET Clark County, Nevada

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information			CASE NO: A-20-82189
Petitioner(s) (name/address/phone): LVMPD; 400 S. MARTIN L. KING BLVI LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 CCMSI; P.O. BOX 35350 LAS VEGAS, I Attorney (name/address/phone): DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. and JOEL P. I Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Ste. 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Tel.: (702) 893-3383 – Fax: (702) 366-95	NV 89133 REEVES, ESQ.	Respondent (s) (name/address/phone): STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF- INSURED EMPLOYERS Attorney (name/address/phone): DONALD J. BONDELOVE, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101	
II. Nature of Controversy (Please che applicable subcategory, if appropriate)	eck applicable bold	category and	☐ Arbitration Requested
	Civi	il Cases	
Real Property			orts
□ Landlord/Tenant □ Unlawful Detainer □ Title to Property □ Foreclosure □ Liens □ Quiet Title □ Specific Performance □ Condemnation/Eminent Domain □ Other Real Property □ Partition □ Planning/Zoning			□ Product Liability □ Product Liability/Motor Vehicle □ Other Torts/Product Liability □ Intentional Misconduct □ Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander) □ Interfere with Contract Rights □ Employment Torts (Wrongful termination) □ Other Torts □ Anti-trust □ Fraud/Misrepresentation □ Insurance □ Legal Tort □ Unfair Competition
Probate			Other Civil Filing Types
Estimated Estate Value:  Summary Administration General Administration Special Administration Set Aside Estates Trust/Conservatorships Individual Trustee Corporate Trustee Other Probate	☐ Insurance of Commerci. ☐ Commerci. ☐ Other Com ☐ Collection ☐ Employme ☐ Guarantee ☐ Sale Contr ☐ Uniform C ☐ Civil Petition for ☐ Foreclosure ☐ Other Admi ☐ Department	act Construction Carrier al Instrument tracts/Acct/Judgment of Actions ent Contract act commercial Code r Judicial Review	□ Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box) □ Transfer from Justice Court □ Justice Court Civil Appeal □ Civil Writ □ Other Special Proceeding □ Compromise of Minor's Claim □ Conversion of Property □ Damage to Property □ Employment Security □ Enforcement of Judgment □ Foreign Judgment – Civil □ Other Personal Property □ Recovery of Property □ Stockholder Suit □ Other Civil Matters
III. Business Court Requested (Plea	ase check applicable ca	tegory; for Clark or Wash	oe Counties only.)
□ NRS Chapters 78-88 □ Commodities (NRS 90) □ Securities (NRS 90)	☐ Investments (NR☐ Deceptive Trade☐ Trademarks (NR☐	Practices (NRS 598)	☐ Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business☐ Other Business Court Matters
09/24/2020			5
Date		Signature of	initiating party or representative
	See other side for f	amily-related case filings	

4849-3918-1004.1 Nevada AOC - Research and Statistics Unit

Electronically Filed 06/21/2021 4:00 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

Ш

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

**ORDG** 

Donald C. Smith, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 000413 Jennifer J. Leonescu Nevada Bar No.: 006036 Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 009798

State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry

Division of Industrial Relations 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250

Las Vegas, NV 89102
Phone: (702) 486-9070
donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov
jleonescu@dir.nv.gov
ceccles@dir.nv.gov

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, and CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.

Petitioners,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS.

Respondents.

Case No.: A-20-821892-J Dept. No.: 15

ORDER GRANTING
RESPONDENT DIVISION OF
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS'
MOTION TO DISMISS
PETITIONERS' PETITION
FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The matters before the Court are Respondent Nevada Division of Industrial Relations' ("Division") Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review, and Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers' ("Board") Joinder thereto. The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file in this matter and having heard the oral arguments of counsel on June 7, 2021, and good cause appearing, hereby rules as follows:

# STATE OF NEVADA Division of Industrial Relations - Division Counsel 3360 West Sahara Ave., Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 486-9080

#### I. FINDINGS

- 1. Respondent Division moved to dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review on two bases: first, Petitioners failed to transmit to the reviewing court an original or certified copy of the transcript of the evidence resulting in the final decision of the agency as required by NRS 233B.131(1)(a), and second, Petitioners failed to timely file their Memorandum of Points and Authorities as required by NRS 233B.133(1).
- 2. NRS 233B.131(1)(a) provides that "Within 45 days after the service of the petition for judicial review or such time as is allowed by the court: (a) The party who filed the petition for judicial review shall transmit to the reviewing court an original or certified copy of the transcript of the evidence resulting in the final decision of the agency." (Emphasis added).
- 3. NRS 233B.131(1)(b) provides that "Within 45 days after the service of the petition for judicial review or such time as is allowed by the court: (b) The agency that rendered the decision which is the subject of the petition shall transmit to the reviewing court the original or a certified copy of the **remainder** of the record of the proceeding under review." (Emphasis added).
- 4. Petitioners filed their Petition for Judicial Review on September 24, 2020. Thus, pursuant to the controlling statute, NRS 233B.131(1)(a), Petitioners' deadline to transmit the transcript to the Court was November 9, 2020.
  - 5. It is undisputed that the Petitioners never transmitted the transcript to the Court.
- 6. It is undisputed that the Petitioners filed their Opening Brief 105 days late and that said Brief lacks citations to the transcript of the administrative proceeding under review.
- 7. The record of the underlying administrative proceeding is incomplete due to Petitioners' failure to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 8. As a result of the incomplete record, and of Petitioners' failure to cite to the transcript in their late-filed Opening Brief, this Court cannot conduct a judicial review based upon the whole record as required by NRS 233B.135.

|| · ·

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 9. On November 9, 2020, the Respondents timely transmitted to the court the remainder of the record pursuant to NRS 233B.131(1)(b).
- 10. The requirements of NRS 233B.131(1)(a) and (b) are mandatory because the statute employs the word "shall." Thus, the Petitioners' failure to transmit the transcript to the court renders their Petition for Judicial Review subject to dismissal.
- 11. NRS 233B.131(1)(a) is plain and unambiguous, yet Petitioners failed to comply with their 45-day statutory deadline. Moreover, Petitioners position, in their written Opposition to the Division's Motion to Dismiss, and during the oral argument—that they are not required to transmit the transcript to the court—is contradicted by the plain and unambiguous language of the statute. As of June 7, 2021—the date of the hearing on the Division's Motion to Dismiss—Petitioners were 211 days past their statutory deadline to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 12. Good cause for a delay in transmitting the transcript, however, may be shown pursuant to NRS 233B.131 because the statute allows the court to alter the 45-day deadline. Thus, the 45-day deadline is not jurisdictional.
- 13. Petitioners' argument that Respondents were statutorily required to file the complete record of the underlying administrative proceeding is contradicted by the structure and plain and unambiguous language of NRS 233B.131, the controlling statute. Petitioners' position is erroneous as a matter of law. Indeed, the legislative history of the 2015 amendment to NRS 233B.131 shows that the underlying policy for requiring petitioners to transmit the transcript to the court was to decrease the burden on taxpayers.
- 14. Petitioners have not met their burden to show good cause for their ongoing delay to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 15. Mr. Price did not provide the Court with an affidavit or declaration specifying how his medical condition affected his ability to comply with statutory requirements during the intervening 211 days. The Court assumes that he had a serious medical condition but finds the effects of the condition vague.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16. Moreover, two other attorneys from Mr. Price's law firm are listed on the Court's		
electronic service list for this case.		
17. Petitioners bear the burden to show good cause, but they have not met their		
burden under the Scrimer factors. Scrimer v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 116 Nev. 507, 516-		
17, 998 P.2d 1190, 1195-96 (2000).		
18. Furthermore, Petitioners' extensive unexcused delay is mooted by their position		
that they are not statutorily required to transmit the transcript to the Court.		
II. ORDER		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:		
1. The Respondent Division's Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial		
Review and the Board's Joinder thereto are GRANTED.		

Respectfully submitted by:
DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

F18 060 65D6 31EC Joe Hardy District Court Judge

Donald C. Smith, Esq.
Jennifer J. Leonescu, Esq.
Christopher A. Eccles, Esq.
Division of Industrial Relations
3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250
Las Vegas, NV 89102
Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

Approved at to form and content by: LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGLARD & SMITH

By: Kim D. Price, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7873

2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89102
Attorneys for Petitioners LVMPD and CCMSI

ys for Pennoners LVMPD and C

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police CASE NO: A-20-821892-J 6 Department, Petitioner(s) DEPT. NO. Department 15 7 VS. 8 State of Nevada Department of 9 Business & Industry, Respondent(s) 10 11 12 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 13 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 15 Service Date: 6/21/2021 16 Michele Caro mcaro@ag.nv.gov 17 Donald Bordelove dbordelove@ag.nv.gov 18 19 Daniel Schwartz daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 20 **Donald Smith** donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov 21 Christopher Eccles ceccles@dir.nv.gov 22 Joel Reeves joel.reeves@lewisbrisbois.com 23 Donald Bordelove dbordelove@ag.nv.gov 24 dawn.bateman@lewisbrisbois.com Dawn Bateman 25 Hilton Platt hilton.platt@lewisbrisbois.com 26 27 Kim Price kim.price@lewisbrisbois.com

6/22/2021 2:50 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **NEOJ** Donald C. Smith, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 000413 Jennifer J. Leonescu Nevada Bar No.: 006036 4 Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 009798 5 State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry Division of Industrial Relations 6 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Phone: (702) 486-9070 donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov ileonescu@dir.nv.gov 9 ceccles@dir.nv.gov Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations 10 **DISTRICT COURT** 11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 12 13 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE Case No.: A-20-821892-J DEPARTMENT, and CANNON Dept. No.: 15 14 COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 15 Petitioners, 16 VS. 17 STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE 18 ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED 19 EMPLOYERS, 20 Respondents. 21 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an "Order" was entered in the above-captioned matter 22 on June 21, 2021, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto. 23 DATED this 22 md day of June, 2021. 24 25 111 26 27 28 1

**Electronically Filed** 

Case Number: A-20-821892-J

Respectfully submitted,

DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

By:

Donald C. Smith, Esq. Jennifer J. Leonescu, Esq. Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, Division of Industrial Relations, and that on this 22 \*\* day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20 21 \_\_\_\_, I caused the foregoing document entitled Notice of Entry of Order to be served upon those persons designated by the parties in the E-Service Master List for the above-referenced matter in the Eighth Judicial District Court eFiling System in accordance with the mandatory electronic service requirements of Administrative Order 14-02 and the NEFCR.

An employee of the State of Nevada Division of Industrial Relations

Electronically Filed 06/21/2021 4:00 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

#### **ORDG**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Donald C. Smith, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 000413 Jennifer J. Leonescu Nevada Bar No.: 006036 Christopher A. Eccles, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 009798

State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry

Division of Industrial Relations 3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250

Las Vegas, NV 89102
Phone: (702) 486-9070
donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov
jleonescu@dir.nv.gov
ceccles@dir.nv.gov

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, and CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.

Petitioners,

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS,

Respondents.

Case No.: A-20-821892-J Dept. No.: 15

ORDER GRANTING
RESPONDENT DIVISION OF
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS'
MOTION TO DISMISS
PETITIONERS' PETITION
FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

STATE OF NEVADA
Division of Industrial Relations - Divinion
3360 West Sahara Ave., Suito 25
Las Vogas, Novela 89102

21

23 24

22

26

27

25

28

The matters before the Court are Respondent Nevada Division of Industrial Relations' ("Division") Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review, and Respondent State of Nevada Board for the Administration of the Subsequent Injury Account for Self-Insured Employers' ("Board") Joinder thereto. The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file in this matter and having heard the oral arguments of counsel on June 7, 2021, and good cause appearing, hereby rules as follows:

#### I. FINDINGS

- 1. Respondent Division moved to dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review on two bases: first, Petitioners failed to transmit to the reviewing court an original or certified copy of the transcript of the evidence resulting in the final decision of the agency as required by NRS 233B.131(1)(a), and second, Petitioners failed to timely file their Memorandum of Points and Authorities as required by NRS 233B.133(1).
- 2. NRS 233B.131(1)(a) provides that "Within 45 days after the service of the petition for judicial review or such time as is allowed by the court: (a) The party who filed the petition for judicial review shall transmit to the reviewing court an original or certified copy of the transcript of the evidence resulting in the final decision of the agency." (Emphasis added).
- 3. NRS 233B.131(1)(b) provides that "Within 45 days after the service of the petition for judicial review or such time as is allowed by the court: (b) The agency that rendered the decision which is the subject of the petition shall transmit to the reviewing court the original or a certified copy of the **remainder** of the record of the proceeding under review." (Emphasis added).
- 4. Petitioners filed their Petition for Judicial Review on September 24, 2020. Thus, pursuant to the controlling statute, NRS 233B.131(1)(a), Petitioners' deadline to transmit the transcript to the Court was November 9, 2020.
  - 5. It is undisputed that the Petitioners never transmitted the transcript to the Court.
- 6. It is undisputed that the Petitioners filed their Opening Brief 105 days late and that said Brief lacks citations to the transcript of the administrative proceeding under review.
- 7. The record of the underlying administrative proceeding is incomplete due to Petitioners' failure to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 8. As a result of the incomplete record, and of Petitioners' failure to cite to the transcript in their late-filed Opening Brief, this Court cannot conduct a judicial review based upon the whole record as required by NRS 233B.135.

- 9. On November 9, 2020, the Respondents timely transmitted to the court the remainder of the record pursuant to NRS 233B.131(1)(b).
- 10. The requirements of NRS 233B.131(1)(a) and (b) are mandatory because the statute employs the word "shall." Thus, the Petitioners' failure to transmit the transcript to the court renders their Petition for Judicial Review subject to dismissal.
- 11. NRS 233B.131(1)(a) is plain and unambiguous, yet Petitioners failed to comply with their 45-day statutory deadline. Moreover, Petitioners position, in their written Opposition to the Division's Motion to Dismiss, and during the oral argument—that they are not required to transmit the transcript to the court—is contradicted by the plain and unambiguous language of the statute. As of June 7, 2021—the date of the hearing on the Division's Motion to Dismiss—Petitioners were 211 days past their statutory deadline to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 12. Good cause for a delay in transmitting the transcript, however, may be shown pursuant to NRS 233B.131 because the statute allows the court to alter the 45-day deadline. Thus, the 45-day deadline is not jurisdictional.
- 13. Petitioners' argument that Respondents were statutorily required to file the complete record of the underlying administrative proceeding is contradicted by the structure and plain and unambiguous language of NRS 233B.131, the controlling statute. Petitioners' position is erroneous as a matter of law. Indeed, the legislative history of the 2015 amendment to NRS 233B.131 shows that the underlying policy for requiring petitioners to transmit the transcript to the court was to decrease the burden on taxpayers.
- 14. Petitioners have not met their burden to show good cause for their ongoing delay to transmit the transcript to the Court.
- 15. Mr. Price did not provide the Court with an affidavit or declaration specifying how his medical condition affected his ability to comply with statutory requirements during the intervening 211 days. The Court assumes that he had a serious medical condition but finds the effects of the condition vague.

- 16. Moreover, two other attorneys from Mr. Price's law firm are listed on the Court's electronic service list for this case.
- 17. Petitioners bear the burden to show good cause, but they have not met their burden under the *Scrimer* factors. *Scrimer v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 116 Nev. 507, 516-17, 998 P.2d 1190, 1195-96 (2000).
- 18. Furthermore, Petitioners' extensive unexcused delay is mooted by their position that they are not statutorily required to transmit the transcript to the Court.

#### II. ORDER

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

1. The Respondent Division's Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review and the Board's Joinder thereto are GRANTED.

HON. JUDGE JOE HARDY, JR

Respectfully submitted by:

DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

F18 060 65D6 31EC Joe Hardy District Court Judge

Donald C. Smith, Esq.

Jennifer J. Leonescu, Esq.

Christopher A. Eccles, Esq.

Division of Industrial Relations

3360 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 250

21 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Respondent Division of Industrial Relations

Approved at to form and content by:

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGRARD & SMITH

By: My Allen

Jim D. Price, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 7873 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Petitioners LVMPD and CCMSI

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police CASE NO: A-20-821892-J 6 Department, Petitioner(s) DEPT. NO. Department 15 7 VS. 8 State of Nevada Department of 9 Business & Industry, Respondent(s) 10 11 12 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 13 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 15 Service Date: 6/21/2021 16 Michele Caro mcaro@ag.nv.gov 17 **Donald Bordelove** dbordelove@ag.nv.gov 18 19 Daniel Schwartz daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 20 **Donald Smith** donaldcsmith@dir.nv.gov 21 Christopher Eccles ceccles@dir.nv.gov 22 Joel Reeves joel.reeves@lewisbrisbois.com 23 **Donald Bordelove** dbordelove@ag.nv.gov 24 Dawn Bateman dawn.bateman@lewisbrisbois.com 25 Hilton Platt hilton.platt@lewisbrisbois.com 26

kim.price@lewisbrisbois.com

27

28

Kim Price

#### DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**COURT MINUTES** Worker's Compensation June 07, 2021 Appeal A-20-821892-J Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Petitioner(s) vs. State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry, Respondent(s)

June 07, 2021 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

**COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 11D **HEARD BY:** Hardy, Joe

**COURT CLERK:** Kathryn Hansen-McDowell

**RECORDER:** Matt Yarbrough

**REPORTER:** 

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT: Eccles, Christopher Attorney

Price, Kim D. Attorney

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- RESPONDENT DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO STRIKE "PETITIONERS OPENING BRIEF" AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE REPLY MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES . . . JOINDER TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND STRIKE

Arguments by counsel regarding the compliance of submitting the transcript due by 11/09/2020 needed for the judicial review. COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED. Mr. Eccles to prepare the order, circulate it to opposing counsel and submit it to the department in box.

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2021 Page 1 of 2 June 07, 2021 Minutes Date:

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compen Appeal	sation COURT MINUTES	July 13, 2021
A-20-821892-J	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Petitioner(s) vs.	
	State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry, Respon	ndent(s)

July 13, 2021 3:00 AM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Hardy, Joe COURTROOM: Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kristin Duncan

**RECORDER:** 

**REPORTER:** 

PARTIES PRESENT:

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- FINDING that said document was erroneously filed, COURT ORDERED the Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting Respondent Division of Industrial Relations' Motion to Dismiss Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review; and Request for Order Shortening Time, filed on July 12, 2021, was hereby STRICKEN.

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2021 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: June 07, 2021

## **Certification of Copy**

State of Nevada
County of Clark

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS' MOTION TO DISMISS PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT; CANNON COCHRAN MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.,

Petitioner(s),

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA BOARD FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SUBSEQUENT INJURY ACCOUNT FOR SELF-INSURED EMPLOYERS.

Respondent(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-20-821892-J

Dept No: XV

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 20 day of July 2021.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk