FILED

JAN 10 2022

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Marcus Campbell #1014221

Appellant,

vs.

Fight Sidicial District Court of Newards,

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

District Court No. C.232549

## APPELLANT'S INFORMAL BRIEF

INSTRUCTIONS: If you are an appellant proceeding pro se (without an attorney) in the Nevada Supreme Court, you must file either (1) a brief that complies with Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) 28(a), or (2) a completed copy of this informal brief form, see NRAP 28(k), with the Nevada Supreme Court on or before the due date, see NRAP 31. In civil appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court may dismiss your appeal. In postconviction criminal appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court or Nevada Court of Appeals may decide your appeal on the record without briefing.

HOW TO FILL OUT THIS FORM: This form must be typed, unless you are incarcerated, in which case it must be clearly handwritten. You do not need to refer to legal authority or the district court record. If you are completing your brief on this form, write only in the space allowed on the form. Additional pages and attachments are not allowed. If typing an informal brief, you may either use the lined paper contained in this form or an equivalent number of pages of your own paper. Your brief will be stricken if you fail to follow the directions in this form and the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHERE TO FILE THE BRIEF: You may submit your brief for filing in person or by mail.

To file your brief in person: Briefs may be submitted for filing Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Carson City: Bring the brief to the Clerk's Office at the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, 89701.

Las Vegas: Place your brief in the Clerk's Office Drop Box at the Las Vegas courthouse for the Nevada Appellate Courts, 408 East Clark Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101.

Informal Brief Form October 2017

1

22-00940

To file your brief by mail: Mail the brief to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701. Your brief must be postmarked on or before the due date.

You must file the original brief and 1 copy with the clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court. If you want the clerk to return a file-stamped copy of your brief, you must file the original form and 2 copies and include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Documents cannot be faxed or emailed to the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

Copies of the brief must be mailed or delivered to the other parties to this appeal or to the parties' attorneys, if they have attorneys. You must also include a proper certificate of service or complete the certificate that is attached to the informal brief form.

<u>CAUTION</u>: Pro se parties are prohibited from representing other parties. A pro se party may not complete a brief on behalf of other parties. Pro se parties may collaborate on their briefs, however, provided that if one brief is submitted on behalf of multiple pro se parties, each party must sign and date the brief to confirm that he or she has participated in the preparation of the brief and, by his or her signature, joins in the arguments and representations confained therein.

Judgment or Order You Are Appealing. List the judgment or order that you are appealing from and the date that the judgment or order was filed in the district court.

Filed Date	Name of Judgment or Order
8/13/21	Petition For Writ of Mandanus
4/27 /21	Kethowais Petition for Writ of Misaidamus (order)

Notice of Appeal. Give the date you filed your notice of appeal in the district court: October 4, 2021

Related Cases. List all other court cases related to this case. Provide the case number, title of the case and name of the court where the case was filed.

Case No.	Case Title	 	Name of Court
<u></u>		 	
<del></del>			

Pro Bono Counsel. Would you be interested in having pro bono counsel assigned to represent you in this appeal?

☐ Yes ☐ No

\*NOTE: If the court determines that your case may be appropriate for having pro bono counsel assigned, an appropriate order will be entered. Assignment of pro bono counsel is not automatic.

Statement of Facts. Explain the facts of your case. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)

Out Klovember 15,2007, Petitioner was consisted of 3 counts all stemming from a single incident that occurred when Petitioner was a Juvenila.

The offense resulted in the death of only once victem Petitioner was
sentence to 54 years to life. MRS. 113. 12135 was enacted years after
Petitioner Logun serving his sentence.

Out January 10,20/1 Petitioner filed a Motion to Correct
ans Illegal Sentencing requesting the District Court to impose a

Sentence with a twenty year maximum parole eligibilty in compliance
with NRS. 213.12.135. The District Court devied Motion on grounds
that it was wrong vehicle to raise claim and instructioning Petitioner
to raise this claim in a Petitioner for Writ of Habeas Corpus instead.
On August 13, 2019, following the courts instruction Petitioner
filed a Petitioners for IBrit of Habeas Corpus requesting his maximum
pardle de eligibilty be reduce from 54 years to twenty years in
compliance with NRS. 213.12.135. The District Court devied Petitioner's
Habeas Corpus on grounds that he had "already raised this issue in
Motion to Correct Illegal Sentence.
Meanwhile on December 13,2019, Actitioner filed a motion different
Petition for Write Halacus Corpus requesting credits against the 54 years
minimum parole eligibilty based on NRS 209. 4465 (7) District Court Jesche Joe
Hardy devied Petitioner relief under NRS 209.4465 but stated: "Howaver,
because Petitioner was Myears when he committed his offense, he has eligibilly
for Parole is govern by NKS. 218.12135 Will which limits his aligibilty for parole
to a maximum of 20 years for offence that resulted in the cleath of only one
victein. Thus, Nogating the minimum sentence for use of deadly weapon and
those other pending consecutive counts.
<u> </u>
<del></del>

The same of the sa	
	-
	· · · ·
	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Statement of District Court Error. Explain why you believe the dis	trict
court was wrong. Also state what action you want the Nevada Supreme C	ourt
to take. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Without a madification of sentence MRS-113.12135 is forcelass as	He
law could be changed or rescinded before Appellant serves twenty cate	endar
years in prison.	
NRS 213.12135 mandates that a defendant serving a sentence	
for an offense or offenses committed as a juvenile that resulted in the	<u>e</u>
death of only one person, shall be eligible for parole after serving twenty	<del>/</del>
years 110 prisoru.	
to incarcinated offenders in the state of Newada see State v. Bostons.	aw
to incarcerated offenders in the state of Newada see State v. Boston.	٠.

However in District Court there have been inconsistent decisions
concerning the modification of sentences to comply with this law.
For Instance in defendant who Malone v. State, Dist. Ct. Care No
219943-1 (2019), the defendant who was sentenced to Life without parole
for a single humicide offense committed at the age of 14 had his sentence
corrected I modified to De years to Life in compliance with NRS 213.12-
135. However, on Motion of the Nevada Department of Corrections
[NISOC], Malone sentence was "corrected" to Legears to Life to reflect
the guiv-enhancement.
In the instance case, Appellant filed the some motion as Malane,
yet was ultimately devied as the wrong vehicle. Appellant then filed a
Habeas Potition under a different law, and though it was devied under that
law, the court held that Appellant qualified for medification under NRS213. 12-
135.
These conflicting decisions forced Appellant to file a
Mandamus with this court, whom ultimately sent it back to the District
Court.
The NIDOC is Not complying with this law. While on
Mandamus in District Court, the state agreed incorrectly that the NIBOC
was taking it upon itself to comply with NRS 213.12135. This claim is
belied by the actions taken by the MDOC against Malone to add time
beyond the twenty years eligibility period. This claim is also belied by
Baston and the Numerous other defendants that were force to file Past
Conviction petitions which were opposed by the state and decisional
in Nistrict Court, only to be granted on appeal, despite having served
well beyond 2 years in prison for crimes committed as a juvenile.

Without guidance from thes court the District Court simply deferred
to the state despite there being no authority on this matter.
For the foregoing reasons Appellant requests this court to direct
the correction/modifications of Appellant's rentence in accord with
NRS 213.12135
7410 707017435
<u> </u>

*	* *
:0	
*	2000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00
	× *
WAS IN THE RESEARCH OF THE STATE OF THE STAT	
	*
	AT I REFERENCE
*	K. S.
DAMED 11: 17 1 C A.	1- 0071
DATED this 23 day of Dece	mber , 2021.
	k k
	. 0
	Mes lendel
	The following
	Signature of Appellant
	Digitature of rippetiant
	1.1 0 11
	Worcus (amphell
	Marcus Campbell Print Name of Appellant
	Frint Name of Appenant
**	
	* *
	14

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the date indicated below, I served a copy of this
completed informal brief form upon all parties to the appeal as follows:
☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or
mailing it by first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to
the following address(es) (list names and address(es) of parties served):
*
77
DATED this 23 day of Socember, 2021.
mar Cylal
Signature of Appellant
Marcus Campbell
Print Name of Appellant
P.O. Box 650 Address
_ivelian Springs/NV/89070 City/State/Zip
Telephone