- 1 Nevada, in and for the County of Elko, Case No. DC-CR-21-248.
- Name of judge issuing decision, judgment, or order appealed from:
 Honorable Mason E. Simons.
 - 6. This action did not proceed to trial.
- 7. Conviction appealed from: Conspiracy to Commit Battery, a
 6 Gross Misdemeanor as defined by NRS 199.480 and NRS 200.481.
 - 8. Sentence for each count: 364 days in the Elko County Jail, credit for zero (-0-) days previously served, and a \$2,000 fine.
 - 9. Date district court announced decision, sentence, or order appealed from: December 10, 2021.
 - 10. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from: December 13, 2021.
 - 11. N/A.
 - 12. N/A.
 - 13. Date notice of appeal filed: December 16, 2021.
 - 14. N.R.A.P. 4(b) governs the time limit for filing the Notice of

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

COMMIT BATTERY, A GROSS MISDEMEANOR AS DEFINED BY NRS

1 199.480 AND NRS 200.481 was filed on September 8, 2021. A.A., p. 6. A
2 Memorandum of Plea Agreement was filed on September 15, 2021, and
3 Appellant entered a plea of no contest on October 8, 2021. A.A., pp. 9, 30.
4 Appellant was sentenced on December 10, 2021. A.A., p. 30.

21. Statement of Facts.

At the sentencing hearing, both parties indicated there were no errors or omissions in the presentence investigation report. A.A., pp. 30-31. The State asked for the maximum sentence of 364 days in jail and a \$2,000 fine. A.A., p. 31. In justifying its recommendation, the State argued that another person had seen defendant propositioning "another underage child." A.A., p. 32. The victim in the case was in fact 19 years of age. A.A., p. 18. The State also argued that, even though Appellant had no prior criminal history, he was a predator who simply had not been previously caught. A.A., p. 32. Finally the State suggested Appellant had already received leniency in the case since he wasn't convicted of a felony. A.A., pp. 32-33.

16 ///

Defense counsel argued there was zero evidence before the court of Appellant being involved in prior criminal conduct. A.A., p. 33. Counsel also pointed out that the original charge was not a felony, but rather a gross misdemeanor. *Id.* Ultimately the court sentenced Appellant to the maximum sentence. A.A., pp. 43-44.

- 22. Issues on Appeal.
- A. Did the trial court err in relying on highly suspect or impalpable information in sentencing Appellant.
 - 23. Legal Argument.
 - A. The case should be remanded because the trial court relied on highly suspect or impalpable information in sentencing Appellant.

Normally a sentence will not be disturbed on appeal unless the lower court relies on highly suspect or impalpable information. Smith v. State, 112 Nev. 871, 873 (1996). In the instant case, the State based its recommendation on just such information. First it suggested to the trial court that Appellant

1 pr
2 ma
3 ca
4 co
5 the
6 su

8

11

12

13

14

15

preyed on underage children, even though the victim was over the age of majority. Further, that he was a predator who simply had not been previously caught. This argument was made even though Appellant had no prior adverse contact with the criminal justice system. The lower court, prior to imposing the sentence, did state, "I'm really not putting much weight, if any, on any such comments." A.A., p. 42. Presumably the court was referencing the suggestion that Appellant was a predator.

However, the ultimate sentence clearly indicates the lower court did rely on the State's highly suspect information. Although Appellant had previously never run afoul of the criminal justice system, the court still imposed the maximum sentence allowed by law.

24. Preservation of Issues:

The issue in this case was properly preserved by defendant's timely filing of notice of appeal.

25. Issues of First Impression.

This appeal does not present legal issues of first impression in this

17

jurisdiction. 1 26. Routing Statement: 2 Pursuant to Rule 17(b)(1) this matter should be presumptively 3 assigned to the Court of Appeals as it involves a direct appeal from a 4 judgment of conviction based on a plea of nolo contendere. 5 DATED this \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\left} day of February, 2022. 6 LOCKIE & MACFARLAN, LTD. 7 8 9 SHERBURNE M. MACFARLAN, III Nevada Bar # 3999 10 919 Idaho Street Elko, Nevada 89801 11 (775) 738-8084 12 13 14 15 16 17

VERIFICATION

I recognize that pursuant to NRAP 3C, I am responsible for filing a timely Fast Track Statement and that the Supreme court of Nevada may sanction an attorney for failing to file a timely Fast Track Statement, or failing to raise material issues or arguments in the Fast Track Statement, or failing to cooperate fully with appellate counsel during the course of an appeal. I therefore certify that the information provided in this Fast Track Statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

DATED this \(\(\)\(\) day of February, 2022.

LOCKIE & MACFARLAN, LTD.

12

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

SHERBURNE M. MACFARLAN, III Nevada Bar # 3999 919 Idaho Street Elko, Nevada 89801

(775) 738-8084

2

4

3

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:

This brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Word Perfect X5 in 14 point Times New Roman Regular; triple spaced.

I further certify that this brief complies with the page- or type-volume limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it is:

Proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more, and Does not exceed the page limit as set forth in NRAP 32(a)(7)(D).

3. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the

	.1
1	record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any,
2	of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I
3	understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event
4	that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the
5	Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.
6	DATED this day of February, 2022.
7	
8	
9	SHERBURNE (M. MACFARLAN, III Nevada Bar No. 3999
10	LOCKIE & MACFARLAN, LTD. 919 IDAHO STREET
11	ELKO, NEVADA 89801 (775) 738-8084
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1 I certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada 2 Supreme Court on the ______day of February, 2022. Electronic service of the 3 foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List 4 as follows: 5 Nevada Attorney General 6 Sherburne M. Macfarlan, III 7 Elko County District Attorney 8 I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true 9

and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid to the following address(es):

Raj N. Duggal 2682 Outlook Ct. Elko NV 89801

Georgia Xordan

15

10

11

12

13

14

16