

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CITY OF LAS VEGAS, A POLITICAL  
SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF  
NEVADA,

Appellant,

vs.

180 LAND CO., LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED-  
LIABILITY COMPANY; AND FORE STARS,  
LTD., A NEVADA LIMITED-LIABILITY  
COMPANY,

Respondents.

180 LAND CO., LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED-  
LIABILITY COMPANY; AND FORE STARS,  
LTD., A NEVADA LIMITED-LIABILITY  
COMPANY,

Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

vs.

CITY OF LAS VEGAS, A POLITICAL  
SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF  
NEVADA,

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

No. 84345

Electronically Filed  
Sep 30 2022 11:14 a.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

No. 84640

**AMENDED  
JOINT APPENDIX  
VOLUME 128, PART 6**

LAW OFFICES OF KERMITT L. WATERS

Kermitt L. Waters, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 2571

[kermitt@kermittwaters.com](mailto:kermitt@kermittwaters.com)

James J. Leavitt, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 6032

[jim@kermittwaters.com](mailto:jim@kermittwaters.com)

Michael A. Schneider, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 8887

[michael@kermittwaters.com](mailto:michael@kermittwaters.com)

Autumn L. Waters, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 8917

[autumn@kermittwaters.com](mailto:autumn@kermittwaters.com)

704 South Ninth Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Telephone: (702) 733-8877

*Attorneys for 180 Land Co., LLC and  
Fore Stars, Ltd.*

LAS VEGAS CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Bryan K. Scott, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 4381

[bscott@lasvegasnevada.gov](mailto:bscott@lasvegasnevada.gov)

Philip R. Byrnes, Esq.

[pbyrnes@lasvegasnevada.gov](mailto:pbyrnes@lasvegasnevada.gov)

Nevada Bar No. 166

Rebecca Wolfson, Esq.

[rwolfson@lasvegasnevada.gov](mailto:rwolfson@lasvegasnevada.gov)

Nevada Bar No. 14132

495 S. Main Street, 6th Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Telephone: (702) 229-6629

*Attorneys for City of Las Vegas*

CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM

Micah S. Echols, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 8437

[micah@claggettlaw.com](mailto:micah@claggettlaw.com)

4101 Meadows Lane, Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

(702) 655-2346 – Telephone

*Attorneys for 180 Land Co., LLC and  
Fore Stars, Ltd.*

McDONALD CARANO LLP

George F. Ogilvie III, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 3552

[gogilvie@mcdonaldcarano.com](mailto:gogilvie@mcdonaldcarano.com)

Amanda C. Yen, Esq.

[ayen@mcdonaldcarano.com](mailto:ayen@mcdonaldcarano.com)

Nevada Bar No. 9726

Christopher Molina, Esq.

[cmolina@mcdonaldcarano.com](mailto:cmolina@mcdonaldcarano.com)

Nevada Bar No. 14092

2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 1200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Telephone: (702) 873-4100

LEONARD LAW, PC

Debbie Leonard, Esq.

[debbie@leonardlawpc.com](mailto:debbie@leonardlawpc.com)

Nevada Bar No. 8260

955 S. Virginia Street Ste. 220

Reno, Nevada 89502

Telephone: (775) 964.4656

SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER, LLP

Andrew W. Schwartz, Esq.

[schwartz@smwlaw.com](mailto:schwartz@smwlaw.com)

California Bar No. 87699

(admitted pro hac vice)

Lauren M. Tarpey, Esq.

[ltarpey@smwlaw.com](mailto:ltarpey@smwlaw.com)

California Bar No. 321775

(admitted pro hac vice)

396 Hayes Street

San Francisco, California 94102

Telephone: (415) 552-7272

*Attorneys for City of Las Vegas*

and in addition to such Code requirements.

(i) Site Development Plan Review. Master Developer shall satisfy the requirements of Las Vegas Municipal Code Section 19.16.100 for the filing of an application for a Site Development Plan Review, except:

(1) No Site Development Plan Review will be required for any of the up to sixty-five (65) residential units in Development Area 4 because: a) the residential units are custom homes; and, b) the Design Guidelines attached as **Exhibit "C"**, together with the required Master Studies and the future tentative map(s) for the residential units in Development Area 4, satisfy the requirements of a Site Development Plan under the R-PD zoning district. Furthermore, Master Developer shall provide its written approval for each residential unit in Development Area 4, which written approval shall accompany each residence's submittal of plans for building permits. The conditions, covenants and restrictions for Development Area 4 shall be submitted to the City prior to the issuance of building permits, except grub and clear, demolition and grading permits, in Development Area 4.

(2) A Site Development Plan has already been approved in Development Area 1 pursuant to SDR-62393 for four hundred thirty-five (435) luxury multifamily units, which shall be amended administratively to lower a portion of the building adjacent to the One Queensridge Place swimming pool area from four (4) stories to three (3) stories in height.

(3) For Development Areas 2 and 3, all Site Development Plan Reviews shall acknowledge that: a) as stated in Recital N, the development of the Property is compatible with and complementary to the existing adjacent developments; b) the Property is subject to the Design Guidelines attached as **Exhibit "C"**; c) the Master Studies have been submitted and/or approved, subject to updates, to allow the Property to be developed as proposed herein; d) this Agreement meets the City's objective to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the City and its inhabitants; and, e) the Site Development Review requirements for the following have been met with the approval of this Development Agreement and its accompanying Design Guidelines:

- i) density,
- ii) building heights,
- iii) setbacks,

- iv) residential adjacency,
- v) approximate building locations,
- vi) approximate pad areas,
- vii) approximate pad finished floor elevations, including those for the two mid-rise towers,
- viii) street sections, and,
- ix) access and circulation.

The following elements shall be reviewed as part of Site Development Review(s) for Development Areas 2 and 3:

- x) landscaping,
- xi) elevations,
- xii) design characteristics, and,
- xiii) architectural and aesthetic features.

The above referenced elements have already been approved in Development Area 1. To the extent these elements are generally continued in Development Areas 2 and 3, they are hereby deemed compatible as part of any Site Development Plan Review in Development Areas 2 and 3.

(ii) Special Use Permits. Master Developer and/or Designated Builders shall satisfy all Code requirements for the filing of an application for a special use permit.

3.03. Dedicated Staff and the Processing of Applications.

(a) Processing Fees, Generally. All applications, Major Modification Requests and Major Deviation Requests and all other requests related to the development of the Community shall pay the fees as provided by the UDC.

(b) Inspection Fees. Construction documents and plans that are prepared on behalf of Master Developer for water facilities that are reviewed by City for approval shall not require payment of inspection fees to City unless the water service provider will not provide those inspection services.

(c) Dedicated Inspection Staff. Upon written request from Master Developer to City, City shall provide within thirty (30) days from written notice, if staff is available, and Master Developer shall pay for a full-time building inspector dedicated only to the development of the Community.

3.04. Modifications of Design Guidelines. Modifications are changes to the Design Guidelines



that apply permanently to all development in the Community. The Parties agree that modifications of the Design Guidelines are generally not in the best interests of the effective and consistent development of the Community, as the Parties spent a considerable amount of time and effort negotiating at arms-length to provide for the Community as provided by the Design Guidelines. However, the Parties do acknowledge that there are special circumstances which may necessitate the modification of certain provisions of the Design Guidelines to accommodate unique situations which are presented to the Master Developer upon the actual development of the Community. Further, the Parties agree that modifications of the Design Guidelines can change the look, feel and construction of the Community in such a way that the original intent of the Parties is not demonstrated by the developed product. Notwithstanding, the Parties recognize that modifications and deviations are a reality as a result of changes in trends, technology, building materials and techniques. To that end, the Parties also agree that the only proper entity to request a modification or deviation of the Design Guidelines is the Master Developer entity itself. A request for a modification or deviation to the Design Guidelines shall not be permitted from: any other purchaser of real property within the Community, the Master HOA or a similar entity.

(a) Applicant. Requests for all modifications of the Design Guidelines may be made only by Master Developer.

(b) Minor Modifications. Minor Modifications are changes to the Design Guidelines that include:

- (i) changes in architectural styles, color palettes and detail elements.
- (ii) the addition of similar and complementary architectural styles, color palettes and detail elements to residential or commercial uses.
- (iii) changes in building materials.
- (iv) changes in landscaping materials, plant palettes, and landscaping detail elements.

(c) Submittal, Review, Decision, and Appeal.

(i) An application for Minor Modification of the Design Guidelines may be made to the Director of the Department of Planning for its consideration. The Planning Department shall coordinate the City's review of the application and shall perform all administrative actions related to the

application.

(ii) The Planning Department may, in their discretion, approve a Minor Modification or impose any reasonable condition upon such approval. The Planning Department shall issue a written decision within thirty (30) business days of receipt of the application. The decision is final unless it is appealed by the Master Developer pursuant to Section (iii) below. Applications for which no written decision is issued within thirty (30) business days shall be deemed approved. If the Planning Department rejects a request for a Minor Modification, the request shall automatically be deemed a Major Modification, and at the option of the Master Developer, the decision of the Planning Department may be appealed to the Planning Commission.

(iii) Master Developer may appeal any decision of the Planning Department to the Planning Commission by providing a written request for an appeal within 10 business days of receiving notice of the decision. Such appeal shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available Planning Commission meeting.

(iv) Master Developer may appeal any action of the Planning Commission by providing a written request for an appeal within ten (10) business days of the Planning Commission action. Such appeal shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available City Council meeting.

(d) Major Modifications.

(i) Any application for a modification to the Design Guidelines that does not qualify as a Minor Modification is a Major Modification. All applications for Major Modifications shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available Planning Commission meeting after the City's receipt of the application or its receipt of the appeal provided for in Section (c) above, whichever is applicable.

(ii) All actions by the Planning Commission on Major Modifications shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available City Council meeting.

3.05. Deviation to Design Guidelines. A deviation is an adjustment to a particular requirement of the Design Guidelines for a particular Development Parcel or lot.

(a) Minor Deviation. A Minor Deviation must not have a material and adverse impact on the overall development of the Community and may not exceed ten percent (10%) of a particular requirement

delineated by the Design Guidelines. An application for a Minor Deviation may only be made under the following circumstances:

1) A request for deviation from any particular requirement delineated by the Design Guidelines on ten percent (10%) or less of the lots in a Development Parcel; or

2) A request for deviation from the following particular requirements on greater than 10% of the lots in a Development Parcel or the entire Community:

- a) Changes in architectural styles, color palettes and detail elements.
- b) The addition of similar and complementary architectural styles, color palettes and detail elements.
- c) Changes in building materials.
- d) Changes in landscaping materials, plant palettes, and landscaping detail elements.
- e) Setback encroachments for courtyards, porches, miradors, casitas, architectural projections as defined by the Design Guidelines, garages and carriage units.
- f) Height of courtyard walls.

(i) Administrative Review Permitted. An application for a Minor Deviation may be filed by the Master Developer or an authorized designee as provided herein. Any application by an authorized designee of Master Developer must include a written statement from the Master Developer that it either approves or has no objection to the request.

(ii) Submittal, Review and Appeal

(1) An application for a Minor Deviation from the Design Guidelines may be made to the Planning Department for their consideration. The Department of Planning shall coordinate the City's review of the application and shall perform all administrative actions related to the application.

(2) The Department of Planning may, in their discretion, approve a Minor Deviation or impose any reasonable condition upon such approval. The Department of Planning shall issue a written decision within thirty (30) business days of receipt of the application. The decision is final unless it is appealed by the Master Developer pursuant to Section (3) below. Applications for which no written decision is issued within thirty (30) days shall be deemed approved.

(3) Master Developer or an authorized designee may appeal any decision of the Department of Planning to the Planning Commission by providing a written request for an appeal within ten (10) business days of receiving notice of the decision. Such appeal shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available Planning Commission meeting.

(4) Master Developer or an authorized designee may appeal any action of the Planning Commission by providing a written request for an appeal within ten (10) business days of the Planning Commission action. Such appeal shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available City Council meeting.

(b) Major Deviation. A Major Deviation must not have a material and adverse impact on the overall development of the Community and may exceed ten percent (10%) of any particular requirement delineated by the Design Guidelines.

(i) City Council Approval Required. An application for a Major Deviation may be filed by the Master Developer or an authorized designee as provided herein. Any application by an authorized designee must include a written statement from the Master Developer that it either approves or has no objection to the request. Major Deviations shall be submitted to the Planning Commission for recommendation to the City Council, wherein the City Council shall have final action on all Major Deviations.

(ii) Submittal, Review and Approval.

(1) All applications for Major Deviations shall be scheduled for a hearing at the next available Planning Commission meeting after the City's receipt of the application.

(2) All actions by the Planning Commission on Major Deviations shall be scheduled for a hearing by the City Council within thirty (30) days of such action.

(c) If Master Developer or an authorized designee requests a deviation from adopted City Infrastructure Improvement Standards, an application for said deviation shall be submitted to the Land Development Section of the Department of Building and Safety and related fees paid for consideration by the City Engineer pursuant to the Applicable Rules.

(d) Any request for deviation other than those specifically provided shall be processed pursuant to Section 3.04 (Modifications of Design Guidelines).

3.06. Anti-Moratorium. The Parties agree that no moratorium or future ordinance, resolution or other land use rule or regulation imposing a limitation on the construction, rate, timing or sequencing of the development of property including those that affect parcel or subdivision maps, building permits, occupancy permits or other entitlements to use land, that are issued or granted by City, shall apply to the development of the Community or portion thereof. Notwithstanding the foregoing, City may adopt ordinances, resolutions or rules or regulations that are necessary to:

(a) comply with any state or federal laws or regulations as provided by Section 2.04, above;

(b) alleviate or otherwise contain a legitimate, bona fide harmful and/or noxious use of the Property, except for construction-related operations contemplated herein, in which event the ordinance shall contain the most minimal and least intrusive alternative possible, and shall not, in any event, be imposed arbitrarily; or

(c) maintain City's compliance with non-City and state sewerage, water system and utility regulations. However, the City as the provider of wastewater collection and treatment for this development shall make all reasonable best efforts to insure that the wastewater facilities are adequately sized and of the proper technology so as to avoid any sewage caused moratorium.

In the event of any such moratorium, future ordinance, resolution, rule or regulation, unless taken pursuant to the three exceptions contained above, Master Developer shall continue to be entitled to apply for and receive consideration of applications contemplated in Section 3 in accordance with the Applicable Rules.

3.07. Property Dedications to City. Except as provided herein, any real property (and fixtures thereupon) transferred or dedicated to City or any other public entity shall be free and clear of any mortgages, deeds of trust, liens or encumbrances (except for any encumbrances that existed on the patent, at the time the Property was delivered to Master Developer, from the United States of America).

3.08. Additional Improvements.

(a) Development Areas 1, 2 and 3. Should Master Developer enter into a separate written agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District to a) utilize the Paved Golf Course Maintenance Access Roadway (described in recorded document 199602090000567), and, b) enhance it

for purposes of extending Clubhouse Drive for additional ingress and egress to Development Areas 1, 2 and 3 as contemplated on the Conceptual Site Plan in **Exhibit "C"**, then Master Developer shall provide the following additional improvements related to One Queensridge Place:

(i) Master Developer shall construct a controlled access point to public walkways that lead to those portions of The Seventy Open Space, which may include a dog park. The controlled access point will be maintained by the One Queensridge Place HOA.

(ii) Master Developer shall construct thirty-five (35) parking spaces along the property line of Development Area 1 and One Queensridge Place. The parking spaces will be maintained by the One Queensridge Place HOA.

(iii) Master Developer will work with the One Queensridge Place HOA to design and construct an enhancement to the existing One Queensridge Place south side property line wall to enhance security on the southerly boundary of One Queensridge Place. The enhancement will be maintained by the One Queensridge Place HOA.

(iv) The multifamily project, approved under SDR-62393, with four hundred thirty-five (435) luxury multifamily units, shall be amended administratively to lower a portion of the building adjacent to the One Queensridge Place swimming pool area from four (4) stories to three (3) stories in height.

(b) Development Area 4. Should Master Developer 1) enter into a separate written agreement with Queensridge HOA with respect to Development Area 4 taking access to both the Queensridge North and Queensridge South gates, and utilizing the existing Queensridge roads, and 2) enter into a separate written agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District to a) utilize the Paved Golf Course Maintenance Access Roadway (described in recorded document 199602090000567), and, b) enhance it for purposes of extending Clubhouse Drive for additional ingress and egress to Development Areas 1, 2 and 3 as contemplated on the Conceptual Site Plan in **Exhibit "C"**, then Master Developer shall provide the following additional improvements.

(i) Master Developer shall construct the following in Queensridge South to be maintained by the Queensridge HOA:

(a) a new entry access way;

- (b) new entry gates;
- (c) a new entry gate house; and,
- (d) an approximate four (4) acre park with a vineyard component located near the Queensridge South entrance.

(ii) Master Developer shall construct the following for Queensridge North to be maintained by the Queensridge HOA:

- (a) an approximate one and one-half (1.5) acre park located near the Queensridge North entrance; and,
- (b) new entry gates.

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, neither the One Queensridge Place HOA nor the Queensridge HOA shall be deemed to be third party beneficiaries of this Agreement. This Agreement does not confer any rights or remedies upon either the One Queensridge Place HOA or the Queensridge HOA. Specifically, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, neither shall have any right of enforcement of any provision of this Agreement against the Master Developer (inclusive of its successors and assigns in interest) or City, nor any right or cause of action for any alleged breach of any obligation hereunder under any legal theory of any kind.

## SECTION FOUR

### MAINTENANCE OF THE COMMUNITY

#### 4.01. Maintenance of Public and Common Areas.

(a) Community HOAs. Master Developer shall establish Master HOAs, Sub-HOAs or Similar Entities to manage and maintain sidewalk, common landscape areas, any landscaping within the street rights-of-way including median islands, private sewer facilities, private drainage facilities located within common elements, including but not limited to, grassed and/or rip-rap lined channels and natural arroyos as determined by the Master Drainage Study or applicable Technical Drainage Studies, but excluding public streets, curbs, gutters, and streetlights upon City-dedicated public streets, City owned traffic control devices and traffic control signage and permanent flood control facilities.

(b) Maintenance Obligations of the Master HOAs and Sub-HOAs. The Master HOAs or Similar Entities and the Sub-HOAs (which hereinafter may be referred to collectively as the "HOAs") shall be responsible to maintain in good condition and repair all common areas that are transferred to them for repair and maintenance (the "Maintained Facilities"), including, but not limited to sidewalks, walkways, private streets, private alleys, private drives, landscape areas, signage and water features, parks and park facilities, trails, amenity zones, flood control facilities not meeting the criteria for public maintained facilities as defined in Title 20 of the Code, and any landscaping in, on and around medians and public rights-of-way. Maintenance of the drainage facilities, which do not meet the criteria for public maintained facilities as defined in Title 20 of the Code, shall be the responsibility of an HOA or Similar Entity that encompasses a sufficient number of properties subject to this Agreement to financially support such maintenance, which may include such HOAs or Similar Entities posting a maintenance bond in an amount to be mutually agreed upon by the Director of Public Works and Master Developer prior to the City's issuance of any grading or building permits within Development Area 4, excluding any grub and clear permits outside of FEMA designated flood areas and/or demolition permits.

Master Developer acknowledges and agrees that the HOAs are common-interest communities created and governed by declarations ("Declarations") as such term is defined in NRS 116.037. The Declarations will be recorded by Master Developer or Designated Builders as an encumbrance against the property to be governed by the appropriate HOA. In each case, the HOA shall have the power to assess the encumbered property to pay the cost of such maintenance and repair and to create and enforce liens in the event of the nonpayment of such assessments. Such HOAs will be Nevada not-for-profit corporations with a board of directors elected by the subject owners, provided, however, that Master Developer may control the board of directors of such HOA for as long as permitted by applicable law.

(c) The Declaration for the HOAs, when it has been fully executed and recorded with the office of the Clark County Recorder, shall contain (or effectively contain) the following provisions:

- (i) that the governing board of the HOAs must have the power to maintain the Maintained Facilities;
- (ii) that the plan described in Section 4.02 can only be materially amended by the HOAs;



(iii) that the powers under the Declaration cannot be exercised in a manner that would defeat or materially and adversely affect the implementation of the Maintenance Plan defined below; and

(iv) that in the event the HOAs fail to maintain the Maintained Facilities in accordance with the provisions of the plan described in Section 4.02, City may exercise its rights under the Declaration, including the right of City to levy assessments on the property owners for costs incurred by City in maintaining the Maintained Facilities, which assessments shall constitute liens against the land and the individual lots within the subdivision which may be executed upon. Upon request, City shall have the right to review the Declaration for the sole purpose of determining compliance with the provisions of this Section.

4.02. Maintenance Plan. For Maintained Facilities maintained by the HOAs, the corresponding Declaration pursuant to this Section shall provide for a plan of maintenance. In Development Area 4, there will be a landscape maintenance plan with reasonable sensitivities for fire prevention provided to the City Fire Department for review.

4.03. Release of Master Developer. Following Master Developer's creation of HOAs to maintain the Maintained Facilities, and approval of the maintenance plan with respect to each HOA, each HOA shall be responsible for the maintenance of the Maintained Facilities in each particular development covered by each Declaration and Master Developer shall have no further liability in connection with the maintenance and operation of such particular Maintained Facilities. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, Master Developer shall be responsible for the plants, trees, grass, irrigation systems, and any other botanicals or mechanical appurtenances related in any way to the Maintained Facilities pursuant to any and all express or implied warranties provided by Master Developer to the HOA under NRS Chapter 116.

4.04. City Maintenance Obligation Acknowledged. City acknowledges and agrees that all of the following will be maintained by City in good condition and repair at the City's sole cost and expense: (i) permanent flood control facilities meeting the criteria for public maintenance defined in Title 20 of the Code as identified in the Master Drainage Study or applicable Technical Drainage Studies and (ii) all City dedicated public streets (excluding any landscape within the right-of-way), associated curbs, gutters, City-

owned traffic control devices, signage, and streetlights upon City-dedicated right-of-ways within the Community and accepted by the City. City reserves the rights to modify existing sidewalks and the installation of sidewalk ramps and install or modify traffic control devices on common lots abutting public streets at the discretion of the Director of Public Works.

Master Developer will maintain all temporary detention basins or interim facilities identified in the Master Drainage Study or applicable Technical Drainage Studies. The City agrees to cooperate with the Master Developer and will diligently work with Master Developer to obtain acceptance of all permanent drainage facilities.

## SECTION FIVE

### PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

5.01. Conformance to Master Studies. Master Developer agrees to construct and dedicate to City or other governmental or quasi-governmental entity or appropriate utility company, all infrastructure to be publicly maintained that is necessary for the development of the Community as required by the Master Studies and this Agreement.

5.02 Sanitary Sewer.

(a) Design and Construction of Sanitary Sewer Facilities Shall Conform to the Master Sanitary Sewer Study. Master Developer shall design, using City's sewer planning criteria, and construct all sanitary sewer main facilities that are identified as Master Developer's responsibility in the Master Sanitary Sewer Study. Master Developer acknowledges and agrees that this obligation shall not be delegated or transferred to any other party.

(b) Off-Property Sewer Capacity. The Master Developer and the City will analyze the effect of the build out of the Community on Off-Property sewer pipelines. Master Developer and the City agree that the analysis may need to be revised as exact development patterns in the Community become known. All future offsite sewer analysis for the Community will consider a pipe to be at full capacity if it reaches a d/D ratio of 0.90 or greater. The sizing of new On-Property and Off-Property sewer pipe will be based on peak dry-weather flow d/D ratio of 0.50 for pipes between eight (8) and twelve (12) inches in diameter, and 0.60 for pipes larger than fifteen (15) inches in diameter.

(c) Updates. The Director of Public Works may require an update to the Master Sanitary Sewer Study as a condition of approval of the following land use applications: tentative map; Site Development Plan Review; or special use permit, but only if the applications propose land use, density, or entrances that substantially deviate from the approved Master Study or the development differs substantially in the opinion of the City from the assumptions of the approved Master Study.

5.03. Traffic Improvements.

(a) Legal Access. As a condition of approval to the Master Traffic Study and any updates thereto, Master Developer shall establish legal access to all public and private rights-of-way within the Community.

(b) Additional Right Turn Lane on Rampart Boulevard Northbound at Summerlin Parkway. At such time as City awards a bid for the construction of a second right turn lane on Rampart Boulevard northbound and the related Summerlin Parkway eastbound on-ramp, Master Developer will contribute twenty eight and three-tenths percent (28.3%) of the awarded bid amount, unless this percentage is amended in a future update to the Master Traffic Study ("Right Turn Lane Contribution"). The Right Turn Land Contribution is calculated based on a numerator of the number of AM peak trips from the Property, making a second right turn lane on Rampart Boulevard northbound and the related Summerlin Parkway eastbound on-ramp necessary, divided by a denominator of the total number of AM peak trips that changes the traffic count from a D level of service to an E level of service necessitating a second right turn lane on Rampart Boulevard northbound and the related Summerlin Parkway eastbound on-ramp. If the building permits for less than eight hundred (800) residential units have been issued, by way of a building permit issuance or group of building permit issuance that would encapsulate the construction of the eight hundredth (800<sup>th</sup>) residential unit, on the Property at the time the City awards a bid for this second right turn lane, the Right Turn Lane Contribution may be deferred until the issuance of the building permit for the eight hundredth (800<sup>th</sup>) residential unit, by way of a building permit issuance or group of building permit issuance that would encapsulate the construction of the eight hundredth (800<sup>th</sup>) residential unit, or a date mutually agreed upon by the Parties. If the City has not awarded a bid for the construction of the second right turn lane by the issuance of the building permit for the sixteen hundred and ninety ninth (1699<sup>th</sup>) residential unit, a dollar amount based on the approved percentage in the

updated Master Traffic Study shall be paid prior to the issuance of the seventeen hundredth (1,700<sup>th</sup>) residential unit, by way of a building permit issuance or group of building permit issuance that would encapsulate the construction of the seventeen hundredth (1,700<sup>th</sup>) residential unit, based on the preliminary cost estimate. At the time the work is bid, if the bid amount is less than the preliminary cost estimate, Master Developer shall be refunded proportionately. At the time the work is bid, if the bid amount is more than the preliminary cost estimate, Master Developer shall contribute up to a maximum of ten percent (10%) more than the cost estimate already paid to the City.

(c) Dedication of Additional Lane on Rampart Boulevard.

(i) Prior to the issuance of the 1<sup>st</sup> building permit for a residential unit in Development Areas 1, 2 or 3, Master Developer shall dedicate a maximum of 16 feet of a right-of-way for an auxiliary lane with right-of-way in accordance with Standard Drawing #201.1 on Rampart Boulevard along the Property's Rampart Boulevard frontage which extends from Alta Drive south to the Property's southern boundary on Rampart Boulevard. City shall pursue funding for construction of this additional lane as part of a larger traffic capacity public improvement project, however no guarantee can be made as to when and if such a project occurs.

(ii) On the aforementioned dedicated right-of-way, from the Property's first Rampart Boulevard entry north two hundred fifty (250) feet, Master Developer will construct a right hand turn lane into the Property in conjunction with Development Area 1's site improvements.

(d) Traffic Signal Improvements.

(i) Master Developer shall comply with Ordinance 5644 (Bill 2003-94), as amended from time to time by the City. The Master Developer shall construct or re-construct any traffic signal that is identified in the Master Traffic Study as the Master Developer's responsibility and shall provide appropriate easements and/or additional rights-of-way, as necessary.

(ii) The Master Traffic Study proposes the installation of a new traffic signal located on Rampart Boulevard at the first driveway located south of Alta Drive to Development Area 1. The Master Traffic Study indicates that this proposed signalized driveway on Rampart Boulevard operates at an acceptable level of service without a signal at this time. The installation of this proposed traffic signal is not approved by the City at this time. The City agrees to accept in the future an update to

the Master Traffic Study to re-evaluate the proposed traffic signal. Any such updated Master Traffic Study shall be submitted six (6) months after the issuance of the last building permit for Development Area 1 and/or at such earlier or subsequent times as mutually agreed to by the City and Master Developer. If construction of a traffic signal is approved at Rampart Boulevard at this first driveway to Development Area 1, the Master Developer shall, concurrently with such traffic signal, construct that portion of the additional lane dedicated pursuant to Section 5.03(c)(i) to the extent determined by the updated Master Traffic Study, unless such construction has already been performed as part of a public improvement project.

(e) Updates. The Director of Public Works may require an update to the Master Traffic Study as a condition of approval of the following land use applications: tentative map; site development plan review; or special use permit, but only if the applications propose land use, density, or entrances that substantially deviate from the approved Master Study or the development differs substantially in the opinion of the City Traffic Engineer from the assumptions of the approved Master Traffic Study. Additional public right-of-way may be required to accommodate any changes.

(f) Development Phasing. See Development Phasing plan attached hereto as **Exhibit "D"**.

5.04. Flood Control.

(a) Prior to the issuance of any permits in portions of the Property which do not overlie the regional drainage facilities on the Property, Master Developer shall maintain the existing \$125,000 flood maintenance bond for the existing public drainage ways on the Property at \$125,000. Prior to the issuance of any permits in portions of the Property which overlie the regional drainage facilities on the Property, Master Developer shall increase this bond amount to \$250,000.

(b) Obligation to Construct Flood Control Facilities solely on Master Developer. Master Developer shall design and construct flood control facilities that are identified as Master Developer's responsibility in the Master Drainage Study or applicable Technical Drainage Studies. Except as provided for herein, Master Developer acknowledges and agrees that this obligation shall not be delegated to or transferred to any other party.

(c) Other Governmental Approvals. The Clark County Regional Flood Control and

any other state or federal agencies, as required, shall approve the Master Drainage Study prior to final approval from City.

(d) Updates. The Director of Public Works may require an update to the Master Drainage Study or Master Technical Study as a condition of approval of the following land use applications if deemed necessary: tentative map (residential or commercial); or site development plan review (multifamily or commercial); or parcel map if those applications are not in substantial conformance with the approved Master Land Use Plan or Master Drainage Study. The update must be approved prior to the approval of any construction drawings and the issuance of any final grading permits, excluding any grub and clear permits outside of FEMA designated flood areas and/or demolition permits. An update to the exhibit in the approved Master Drainage Study depicting proposed development phasing in accordance with the Development Agreement shall be submitted for approval by the Flood Control Section.

(e) Regional Flood Control Facility Construction by Master Developer. The Master Developer agrees to design and substantially complete the respective portions of the Clark County Regional Flood Control District facilities, as defined in the Master Drainage Study pursuant to an amendment to the Regional Flood Control District 2008 Master Plan Update, prior to the issuance of any permits for units located on those land areas that currently are within the flood zone, on which permits are requested. Notwithstanding the above, building permit issuance is governed by section 3.01(f).

(f) Construction Phasing. Master Developer shall submit a phasing and sequencing plan for all drainage improvements within the Community as a part of the Master Drainage Study. The phasing plan and schedule must clearly identify drainage facilities (interim or permanent) necessary prior to permitting any downstream units for construction. Notwithstanding the above, building permit issuance is governed by section 3.01(f).

## SECTION SIX

### DEFAULT

6.01. Opportunity to Cure: Default. In the event of any noncompliance with any provision of

this Agreement, the Party alleging such noncompliance shall deliver to the other by certified mail a ten (10) day notice of default and opportunity to cure. The time of notice shall be measured from the date of receipt of the certified mailing. The notice of noncompliance shall specify the nature of the alleged noncompliance and the manner in which it may be satisfactorily corrected, during which ten (10) day period the party alleged to be in noncompliance shall not be considered in default for the purposes of termination or institution of legal proceedings.

If the noncompliance cannot reasonably be cured within the ten (10) day cure period, the non-compliant Party may timely cure the noncompliance for purposes of this Section 6 if it commences the appropriate remedial action with the ten (10) day cure period and thereafter diligently prosecutes such action to completion within a period of time acceptable to the non-breaching Party. If no agreement between the Parties is reached regarding the appropriate timeframe for remedial action, the cure period shall not be longer than ninety (90) days from the date the ten (10) day notice of noncompliance and opportunity to cure was mailed to the non-compliant Party.

If the noncompliance is corrected, then no default shall exist and the noticing Party shall take no further action. If the noncompliance is not corrected within the relevant cure period, the non-complaint Party is in default, and the Party alleging non-compliance may declare the breaching Party in default and elect any one or more of the following courses.

(a) Option to Terminate. After proper notice and the expiration of the above-referenced period for correcting the alleged noncompliance, the Party alleging the default may give notice of intent to amend or terminate this Agreement as authorized by NRS Chapter 278. Following any such notice of intent to amend or terminate, the matter shall be scheduled and noticed as required by law for consideration and review solely by the City Council.

(b) Amendment or Termination by City. Following consideration of the evidence presented before the City Council and a finding that a substantial default has occurred by Master Developer and remains uncorrected, City may amend or terminate this Agreement pursuant to NRS 278. Termination shall not in any manner rescind, modify, or terminate any vested right in favor of Master Developer, as determined under the Applicable Rules, existing or received as of the date of the termination. Master Developer shall have twenty-five (25) days after receipt of written notice of

termination to institute legal action pursuant to this Section to determine whether a default existed and whether City was entitled to terminate this Agreement.

(c) Termination by Master Developer. In the event City substantially defaults under this Agreement, Master Developer shall have the right to terminate this Agreement after the hearing set forth in this Section. Master Developer shall have the option, in its discretion, to maintain this Agreement in effect, and seek to enforce all of City's obligations by pursuing an action pursuant to this Section 6.01(c).

6.02. Unavoidable Delay; Extension of Time. Neither party hereunder shall be deemed to be in default, and performance shall be excused, where delays or defaults are caused by war, national disasters, terrorist attacks, insurrection, strikes, walkouts, riots, floods, earthquakes, fires, casualties, third-party lawsuits, or acts of God. If written notice of any such delay is given to one Party or the other within thirty (30) days after the commencement thereof, an automatic extension of time, unless otherwise objected to by the party in receipt of the notice within thirty (30) days of such written notice, shall be granted coextensive with the period of the enforced delay, or longer as may be required by circumstances or as may be subsequently agreed to between City and Master Developer.

6.03. Limitation on Monetary Damages. City and the Master Developer agree that they would not have entered into this Agreement if either were to be liable for monetary damages based upon a breach of this Agreement or any other allegation or cause of action based upon or with respect to this Agreement. Accordingly, City and Master Developer (or its permitted assigns) may pursue any course of action at law or in equity available for breach of contract, except that neither Party shall be liable to the other or to any other person for any monetary damages based upon a breach of this Agreement.

6.04. Venue. Jurisdiction for judicial review under this Agreement shall rest exclusively with the Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark, State of Nevada or the United States District Court, District of Nevada. The parties agree to mediate any and all disputes prior to filing of an action in the Eighth Judicial District Court unless seeking specific performance or injunctive relief.

6.05. Waiver. Failure or delay in giving notice of default shall not constitute a waiver of any default. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, any failure or delay by any party in asserting any of its rights or remedies in respect of any default shall not operate as a waiver of any



default or any such rights or remedies, or deprive such party of its right to institute and maintain any actions or proceedings that it may deem necessary to protect, assert, or enforce any of its rights or remedies.

6.06. Applicable Laws; Attorneys' Fees. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and court costs in connection with any legal proceeding hereunder.

## **SECTION SEVEN**

### **GENERAL PROVISIONS**

7.01. Duration of Agreement. The Term of this Agreement shall commence upon the Effective Date and shall expire on the thirtieth (30) anniversary of the Effective Date, unless terminated earlier pursuant to the terms hereof. City agrees that the Master Developer shall have the right to request extension of the Term of this Agreement for an additional five (5) years upon the following conditions:

(a) Master Developer provides written notice of such extension to City at least one hundred-eighty (180) days prior to the expiration of the original Term of this Agreement; and

(b) Master Developer is not then in default of this Agreement;

Upon such extension, Master Developer and City shall enter into an amendment to this Agreement memorializing the extension of the Term.

7.02. Assignment. The Parties acknowledge that the intent of this Agreement is that there is a Master Developer responsible for all of the obligations in this Agreement throughout the Term of this Agreement.

(a) At any time during the Term, Master Developer and its successors-in-interest shall have the right to sell, assign or transfer all of its rights, title and interests to this Agreement (a "Transfer") to any person or entity (a "Transferee"). Except in regard to Transfers to Pre-Approved Transferees (which does not require any consent by the City as provided in Section 5.02(b) below), prior to consummating any Transfer, Master Developer shall obtain from the City written consent to the Transfer as provided for in this Agreement, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or

conditioned. Master Developer's written request shall provide reasonably sufficient detail and any non-confidential, non-proprietary supporting evidence necessary for the City to consider and respond to Master Developer's request. Master Developer shall provide information to the City that Transferee, its employees, consultants and agents (collectively "Transferee Team") has: (i) the financial resources necessary to develop the Community, in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, or (ii) experience and expertise in developing projects similar in scope to the Community. The Master Developer's request, including approval of the Assignment and Assumption Agreement reasonably acceptable to the City, shall be promptly considered by the City Council for their approval or denial within forty-five (45) days from the date the City receives Master Developer's written request. Upon City's approval and the full execution of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement by City, Master Developer and Transferee, the Transferee shall thenceforth be deemed to be the Master Developer and responsible for all of the obligations in this Agreement and Master Developer shall be fully released from the obligations in this Agreement.

(b) Pre-Approved Transferees. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, the following Transferees constitute "Pre-Approved Transferees," for which no City consent shall be required provided that such Pre-Approved Transferees shall assume in writing all obligations of the Master Developer hereunder by way of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement. The Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be approved by the City Manager, whose approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned. The Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be executed by the Master Developer and Pre-Approved Transferee and acknowledged by the City Manager. The Pre-Approved Transferee shall thenceforth be deemed to be the Master Developer and be responsible for all of the obligations in this Agreement and Master Developer shall be fully released from the obligations in this Agreement.

- 1) An entity owned or controlled by Master Developer or its Affiliates;
- 2) Any Investment Firm that does not plan to develop the Property. If Investment Firm desires to: (i) develop the Property, or (ii) Transfer the Property to a subsequent Transferee that intends to develop the Property, the Investment Firm shall obtain from the City written consent to: (i) commence development, or (ii) Transfer the Property to a subsequent Transferee that

intends to develop the Property, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned. Investment Firm's written request shall provide reasonably sufficient detail and any non-confidential, non-proprietary supporting evidence necessary for the City Council to consider. Investment Firm shall provide information to the City that Investment Firm or Transferee and their employees, consultants and agents (collectively "Investment Firm Team" and "Transferee Team", respectively) that intends to develop the Property has: (i) the financial resources necessary to develop the Community, in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, or (ii) experience and expertise in developing projects similar in scope to the Community. The Investment Firm's request, including approval of the Assignment and Assumption Agreement reasonably acceptable to the City, shall be promptly considered by the City Council for their approval or denial within forty-five (45) days from the date the City receives Master Developer's written request. Upon City's approval and full execution of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement by City, Investment Firm and Transferee, the Transferee shall thenceforth be deemed to be the Master Developer and responsible for the all of the obligations in this Agreement.

(c) In Connection with Financing Transactions. Master Developer has full and sole discretion and authority to encumber the Property or portions thereof, or any improvements thereon, in connection with financing transactions, without limitation to the size or nature of any such transaction, the amount of land involved or the use of the proceeds therefrom, and may enter into such transactions at any time and from time to time without permission of or notice to City. All such financing transactions shall be subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Should such transaction require parcel mapping, City shall process such maps.

7.03. Sale or Other Transfer Not to Relieve the Master Developer of its Obligation. Except as expressly provided herein in this Agreement, no sale or other transfer of the Property or any subdivided development parcel shall relieve Master Developer of its obligations hereunder, and such assignment or transfer shall be subject to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, provided, however, that no such purchaser shall be deemed to be the Master Developer hereunder. This Section shall have no effect upon the validity of obligations recorded as covenants, conditions, restrictions or liens against parcels of real property.

7.04. Indemnity; Hold Harmless. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, the Master Developer shall hold City, its officers, agents, employees, and representatives harmless from liability for damage for personal injury, including death and claims for property damage which may arise from the direct or indirect development operations or activities of Master Developer, or those of its contractors, subcontractors, agents, employees, or other persons acting on Master Developer's behalf. Master Developer agrees to and shall defend City and its officers, agents, employees, and representatives from actions for damages caused by reason of Master Developer's activities in connection with the development of the Community other than any challenges to the validity of this Agreement or City's approval of related entitlements or City's issuance of permits on the Property. The provisions of this Section shall not apply to the extent such damage, liability, or claim is proximately caused by the intentional or negligent act of City, its officers, agent, employees, or representatives. This section shall survive any termination of this Agreement.

7.05. Binding Effect of Agreement. Subject to this Agreement, the burdens of this Agreement bind, and the benefits of this Agreement inure to, the Parties' respective assigns and successors-in-interest and the property which is the subject of this Agreement.

7.06. Relationship of Parties. It is understood that the contractual relationship between City and Master Developer is such that Master Developer is not an agent of City for any purpose and City is not an agent of Master Developer for any capacity.

7.07. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed at different times and in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. Any signature page of this Agreement may be detached from any counterpart without impairing the legal effect to any signatures thereon, and may be attached to another counterpart, identical in form thereto, but having attached to it one or more additional signature pages. Delivery of a counterpart by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) through electronic mail transmission shall be as binding an execution and delivery of this Agreement by such Party as if the Party had delivered an actual physical original of this Agreement with an ink signature from such Party. Any Party delivering by facsimile or electronic mail transmission shall promptly thereafter deliver an executed counterpart original hereof to the other Party.

7.08. Notices. All notices, demands and correspondence required or provided for under this Agreement shall be in writing. Delivery may be accomplished in person, by certified mail (postage prepaid return receipt requested), or via electronic mail transmission. Mail notices shall be addressed as follows:

To City:	City of Las Vegas 495 South Main Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attention: City Manager Attention: Director of the Department of Planning
To Master Developer:	180 LAND CO LLC 1215 Fort Apache Road, Suite 120 Las Vegas, NV 89117
Copy to:	Chris Kaempfer Kaempfer Crowell 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Either Party may change its address by giving notice in writing to the other and thereafter notices, demands and other correspondence shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address. Notices given in the manner described shall be deemed delivered on the day of personal delivery or the date delivery of mail is first attempted.

7.09. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the Parties. This Agreement integrates all of the terms and conditions mentioned herein or incidental hereto and supersedes all negotiations or previous agreements between the Parties with respect to all of any part of the subject matter hereof.

7.10. Waivers. All waivers of the provisions of this Agreement shall be in writing and signed by the appropriate officers of Master Developer or approved by the City Council, as the case may be.

7.11. Recording; Amendments. Promptly after execution hereof, an executed original of this Agreement shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. All amendments hereto must be in writing signed by the appropriate officers of City and Master Developer in a form suitable for recordation in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. Upon completion of the performance of this Agreement, a statement evidencing said completion, shall be signed by the appropriate officers of the

City and Master Developer and shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. A revocation or termination shall be signed by the appropriate officers of the City and/or Master Developer and shall be recorded in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada.

7.12. Headings; Exhibits; Cross References. The recitals, headings and captions used in this Agreement are for convenience and ease of reference only and shall not be used to construe, interpret, expand or limit the terms of this Agreement. All exhibits attached to this Agreement are incorporated herein by the references contained herein. Any term used in an exhibit hereto shall have the same meaning as in this Agreement unless otherwise defined in such exhibit. All references in this Agreement to sections and exhibits shall be to sections and exhibits to this Agreement, unless otherwise specified.

7.13. Release. Each residential lot or condominium lot shown on a recorded subdivision map within the Community shall be automatically released from the encumbrance of this Agreement without the necessity of executing or recording any instrument of release upon the issuance of a building permit for the construction of a residence thereon.

7.14. Severability of Terms. If any term or other provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced by any rule of law or public policy, all other conditions and provisions of this Agreement shall nevertheless remain in full force and effect, provided that the invalidity, illegality or unenforceability of such terms does not materially impair the Parties' ability to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby. If any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the Parties hereto shall, if possible, amend this Agreement so as to affect the original intention of the Parties.

7.15. Exercise of Discretion. Wherever a Party to this Agreement has discretion to make a decision, it shall be required that such discretion be exercised reasonably unless otherwise explicitly provided in the particular instance that such decision may be made in the Party's "sole" or "absolute" discretion or where otherwise allowed by applicable law.

7.16. No Third Party Beneficiary. This Agreement is intended to be for the exclusive benefit of the Parties hereto and their permitted assignees. No third party beneficiary to this Agreement is contemplated and none shall be construed or inferred from the terms hereof. In particular, no person purchasing or acquiring title to land within the Community, residing in the Community, or residing, doing

business or owning adjacent land outside the Community shall, as a result of such purchase, acquisition, business operation, ownership in adjacent land or residence, have any right to enforce any obligation of Master Developer or City nor any right or cause of action for any alleged breach of any obligation hereunder by either party hereto.

7.17. Gender Neutral. In this Agreement (unless the context requires otherwise), the masculine, feminine and neutral genders and the singular and the plural include one another.

## **SECTION EIGHT**

### **REVIEW OF DEVELOPMENT**

8.01. Frequency of Reviews. As provided by NRS Chapter 278, Master Developer shall appear before the City Council to review the development of the Community. The Parties agree that the first review occur no later than twenty-four (24) months after the Effective Date of this Agreement, and again every twenty-four (24) months on the anniversary date of that first review thereafter or as otherwise requested by City upon fourteen (14) days written notice to Master Developer. For any such review, Master Developer shall provide, and City shall review, a report submitted by Master Developer documenting the extent of Master Developer's and City's material compliance with the terms of this Agreement during the preceding period.

**[Signatures on following pages]**

In Witness Whereof, this Agreement has been executed by the Parties on the day and year first  
above written.

CITY:

CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF LAS VEGAS

By:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

Approved as to Form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney

Attest:

City Clerk

By:

\_\_\_\_\_  
LuAnn Holmes, City Clerk

45

PRJ-70542  
06/06/17

**DIR-70539 - REVISED**

ROR021875

**23565**



**MASTER DEVELOPER**

**180 LAND CO LLC,**

a Nevada limited liability company

By:

\_\_\_\_\_

Name:

\_\_\_\_\_

Title:

\_\_\_\_\_

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me

on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_,

2017.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public in and for said County and State

46

PRJ-70542  
05/24/17

**DIR-70539**

ROR021876

**23566**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 21, 2017**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING**

**DIRECTOR: TOM PERRIGO**

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

**SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 3:00 P.M. - GPA-68385 - ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: 180 LAND COMPANY, LLC - For possible action on a request for a General Plan Amendment FROM: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) on 166.99 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (APN 138-31-702-002), Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184]. Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a supermajority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**47**

**City Council Meeting**

**74**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**14**

**City Council Meeting**

**10**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a supermajority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location and Aerial Maps
2. Staff Report - GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184]
3. Supporting Documentation - GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184]
4. Photo(s) - GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184]
5. Justification Letter
6. Protest Postcards
7. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting
8. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Transmittal Sheet and CD for Queensridge Parcel 1 at 180 for SDR-68481, WVR-68480, GPA-68385 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184] by Doug Rankin
9. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Binder for Everything You Wanted To Know About R-PD7 But Were Afraid To Ask and Presentation Binder for Queensridge Parcel 1 at The 180 and CD for SDR-68481, WVR-68480, GPA-68385 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184] by Michael Buckley - NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was determined that the backup named Presentation Binder for Queensridge Parcel 1 at The 180 and CD for SDR-68481, WVR-68480, GPA-68385 and TMP-6882 [PRJ-67184] should be reflected as Presentation Binder Prepared by George Garcia Regarding the Zoning History of Peccole Ranch

ROR022140

**23567**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 21, 2017**

10. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Declaration of Clyde O. Spitze for SDR-68481, WVR-68480, GPA-68385 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184] by Clyde Spitze
11. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Planning & Zoning 101 Information Packet by George Garcia
12. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Photographs of Golf Course for SDR-68481, WVR-68480, GPA-68385 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184] by Eva Thomas
13. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Brief of Cases and Maps by Pat Spilotro
14. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - Documents Submitted for the Record by Attorney Jimmy Jimmerson
15. Backup Submitted from the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission Meeting - City Attorney Opinion by Todd Moody for SDR-68481, WVR-68480, GPA-68385 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184]
16. Backup Submitted from the March 15, 2017 City Council Meeting
17. Backup Submitted from the May 17, 2017 City Council Meeting
18. Submitted at Meeting - Documents Submitted for the Record by Ngai Pidell, Doug Rankin, George Garcia, Michael Buckley, Bob Peccole and Jimmy Jimmerson for GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 [PRJ-67184]
19. Combined Verbatim Transcript for Items 82 and 130-134

Motion made by BOB COFFIN to Deny

Passed For: 5; Against: 2; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

BOB COFFIN, RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, STAVROS S. ANTHONY; (Against-STEVEN D. ROSS, BOB BEERS); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

NOTE: An initial motion by BEERS for Approval passed with TARKANIAN, GOODMAN and ANTHONY voting No; subsequent to the vote, COFFIN announced that he voted incorrectly. Per CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC'S advice, the Council voted again on the motion for Approval which failed with COFFIN, TARKANIAN, GOODMAN and ANTHONY voting No. A subsequent motion by COFFIN for Denial passed with ROSS and BEERS voting No.

Minutes:

A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 82 and 130-134 is made part of the Final Minutes.

Appearance List:

CAROLYN GOODMAN, Mayor

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

BOB COFFIN, Councilman

TODD BICE, Legal Counsel for the Queensridge Homeowners

STEPHANIE ALLEN, Legal Counsel for the Applicant


ROR022141

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 21, 2017**

FRANK SCHRECK, Queensridge resident  
CHRIS KAEMPFER, Legal Counsel for the Applicant  
TOM PERRIGO, Planning Director  
GEORGE C. SCOTT WALLACE  
LILIAN MANDEL, Fairway Pointe resident  
DAN OMERZA, Queensridge resident  
TRESSA STEVENS HADDOCK, Queensridge resident  
NGAI PINDELL, William S. Boyd School of Law  
DOUG RANKIN, 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive  
LOIS TARKANIAN, Councilwoman  
GEORGE GARCIA, 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive  
MICHAEL BUCKLEY, on behalf of Frank and Jill Fertitta Family Trust  
STAVROS ANTHONY, Councilman  
SHAUNA HUGHES, on behalf of the Queensridge homeowners  
HERMAN AHLERS, Queensridge resident  
BOB PECCOLE, on behalf of Appellants in the Nevada Supreme Court  
DALE ROESSNER, Queensridge resident  
ANNE SMITH, Queensridge resident  
KARA KELLEY, Queensridge resident  
PAUL LARSEN, Queensridge resident  
LARRY SADOFF, Queensridge resident  
LUCILLE MONGELLI, Queensridge resident  
RICK KOSS, St. Michelle resident  
HOWARD PEARLMAN  
SALLY JOHNSON-BIGLER, Queensridge resident  
DAVID MASON, Queensridge resident  
TERRY MURPHY, on behalf of the Frank and Jill Fertitta Trust  
ELAINE WENGER-ROESSNER  
TALI LOWIE, Queensridge resident  
JAMES JIMMERSON, Legal Counsel for the Applicant  
YOHAN LOWIE, Applicant/Owner  
RICKI BARLOW, Councilman  
BOB BEERS, Councilman

ROR022142

**23569**



**CASE: GPA-68385 (PRJ-67184)**

**RADIUS: 1000 FEET**

**GENERAL PLAN OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE)**


**PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL)**



ROR022143

**23570**






**CASE: GPA-68385 (PRJ-67184)**

**RADIUS: 1000 FEET**

**GENERAL PLAN OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE)**

**PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL)**



ROR022144

**23571**

*City of Las Vegas***AGENDA MEMO - PLANNING**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: JUNE 21, 2017

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING

ITEM DESCRIPTION: - APPLICANT/OWNER: 180 LAND COMPANY, LLC

**\*\* STAFF RECOMMENDATION(S) \*\***

<b>CASE NUMBER</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>REQUIRED FOR APPROVAL</b>
<b>GPA-68385</b>	Staff recommends APPROVAL.	
<b>WVR-68480</b>	Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions:	GPA-68385
<b>SDR-68481</b>	Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions:	GPA-68385 WVR-68480
<b>TMP-68482</b>	Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions:	GPA-68385 WVR-68480 SDR-68481

**\*\* NOTIFICATION \*\*****NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS NOTIFIED** 32

**NOTICES MAILED**

1,025 - GPA-68385 (By City Clerk)  
 255 - WVR-68480 and SDR-68481 (By City Clerk)  
 255 - TMP-68482 (By City Clerk)

**APPROVALS**

24 - GPA-68385  
 0 - WVR-68480 and SDR-68481  
 0 - TMP-68482

**PROTESTS**

121 - GPA-68385  
 67 - WVR-68480 and SDR-68481  
 60 - TMP-68482

SS

ROR022145

**23572**

**\*\* CONDITIONS \*\***

---

## **WVR-68480 CONDITIONS**

---

**Planning**

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) and approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) and Tentative Map (TMP-68482) shall be required, if approved.
2. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless exercised pursuant to the provisions of LVMC Title 19.16. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied, except as modified herein.

---

## **SDR-68481 CONDITIONS**

---

**Planning**

1. The single family residential subdivision shall be limited to no more than 61 residential lots.
2. The residential subdivision shall be gated.
3. A separate HOA from that of the Queensridge HOA shall be created.
4. Sidewalks shall be installed on one side of each street within the residential subdivision.
5. Landscaping within the community shall meet or exceed City standards. Palm trees are a permitted plant material within common lots and buildable lots.
6. Development within the community shall be limited to single-family residential homes only.
7. Building heights shall not exceed 46 feet.

SS
----

ROR022146



Conditions Page Two

June 21, 2017 - City Council Meeting

8. A minimum home size of 3,000 square feet on lots less than or equal to 20,000 square feet in size shall be required.
9. A minimum home size of 3,500 square feet on lots over 20,000 square feet in size shall be required.
10. Perimeter and interior walls shall be composed of decorative block wall, wrought iron fencing or a combination of both. Perimeter decorative block walls are to comply with Title 19 requirements.
11. No construction shall occur during the hours of 8:00 pm and 6:00 am.
12. The subdivision's associated CC&Rs are to include design guidelines generally compatible with the Queensridge design guidelines.
13. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) and approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for a Waiver (WVR-68480) and Tentative Map (TMP-68482) shall be required, if approved.
14. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless exercised pursuant to the provisions of LVMC Title 19.16. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.
15. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, date stamped 01/25/17 and landscape plan, date stamped 01/26/17, except as amended by conditions herein.
16. All necessary building permits shall be obtained and final inspections shall be completed in compliance with Title 19 and all codes as required by the Department of Building and Safety.
17. These Conditions of Approval shall be affixed to the cover sheet of any plan set submitted for building permit.

SS

ROR022147

## Conditions Page Three

June 21, 2017 - City Council Meeting

18. The standards for this development shall include the following:

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Minimum Lot Size	10,000 sf	20,000 sf
Building Setbacks:		
• Front yard to private street or access easement	30 feet	35 feet
• Side yard	5 feet	7.5 feet
• Corner side yard	12.5 feet	15 feet
• Rear yard	25 feet	30 feet

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Accessory structure setbacks:		
• Porte cochere to private street	15 feet	15 feet
• Side loaded garage to side yard property line	15 feet	15 feet
• Patio covers and/or 2 <sup>nd</sup> story decks	20 feet	20 feet
• Separation from principal dwelling	6 feet	6 feet
• Side yard	5 feet	5 feet
• Corner side yard	5 feet	5 feet
• Rear yard	5 feet	5 feet
Building Heights:		
• Principal dwelling	46 feet	46 feet
• Accessory structures	25 feet	30 feet
• Floors	2 stories on slab or over basement	3 stories on lots greater than 35,000 sf; otherwise 2 stories
Permitted uses	Single family residence and accessory structures**	Single family residence and accessory structures**

\*Includes Lots 1, 2 and 24.

\*\*Accessory structures may have a trellis or canopy attached to the principal dwelling.

19. A technical landscape plan, signed and sealed by a Registered Architect, Landscape Architect, Residential Designer or Civil Engineer, must be submitted prior to or at the same time as Final Map submittal. A permanent underground sprinkler system is required, and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner; the landscape plan shall include irrigation specifications. Installed landscaping shall not impede visibility of any traffic control device.

SS
----

ROR022148

Conditions Page Four

June 21, 2017 - City Council Meeting

20. No turf shall be permitted in the non-recreational common areas, such as medians and amenity zones in this development.
21. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
22. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied, except as modified herein.

**Public Works**

23. Correct all Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) deficiencies on the public sidewalks adjacent to this site in accordance with code requirements of Title 13.56.040, if any, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
24. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services to discuss fire requirements for the proposed subdivision. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services. Curbing on one side of the 32-foot private streets shall be constructed of red concrete and shall be in accordance with the adopted Fire Code (Ordinance #6325). The required curb coloring, painting, and signage shall be privately maintained in perpetuity by the Homeowner's Association.
25. All landscaping and private improvements installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
26. Coordinate with the Sewer Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine the appropriate location and depth of public sewer lines servicing this site prior to approval of construction drawings for this site. Provide appropriate Public Sewer Easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way. Construct paved vehicular access to all new Public Sewer Manholes proposed east of this site concurrent with on-site development activities. No structures, and no trees or vegetation taller than three feet shall be allowed within any Public Sewer Easements.

SS

ROR022149

27. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. The Drainage Study required by TMP-68482 may be used to satisfy this condition.
28. Site Development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for TMP-68482 and any other site related actions.

---

## **TMP-68482 CONDITIONS**

---

### **Planning**

1. Approval of the Tentative Map shall be for no more than four (4) years. If a Final Map is not recorded on all or a portion of the area embraced by the Tentative Map within four (4) years of the approval of the Tentative Map, this action is void.
2. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) and approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Waiver (WVR-68480) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) shall be required, if approved.
3. Street names must be provided in accordance with the City's Street Naming Regulations.
4. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
5. In conjunction with creation, declaration and recordation of the subject common-interest community, and prior to recordation of the Covenants, Codes and Restrictions ("CC&R"), or conveyance of any unit within the community, the Developer is required to record a Declaration of Private Maintenance Requirements ("DPMR") as a covenant on all associated properties, and on behalf of all current and future property owners. The DPMR is to include a listing of all privately owned and/or maintained infrastructure improvements, along with assignment of maintenance responsibility for each to the common interest community or the respective individual property owners, and is to provide a brief

SS

ROR022150

description of the required level of maintenance for privately maintained components. The DPMR must be reviewed and approved by the City of Las Vegas Department of Field Operations prior to recordation, and must include a statement that all properties within the community are subject to assessment for all associated costs should private maintenance obligations not be met, and the City of Las Vegas be required to provide for said maintenance. Also, the CC&R are to include a statement of obligation of compliance with the DPMR. Following recordation, the Developer is to submit copies of the recorded DPMR and CC&R documents to the City of Las Vegas Department of Field Operations.

6. All development is subject to the conditions of City Departments and State Subdivision Statutes.

**Public Works**

7. Grant all required public easements (sewer, drainage, fire, etc.) that are outside the boundaries of this site prior to or concurrent with the recordation of a Final Map for this site.
8. Correct all Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) deficiencies on the public sidewalks adjacent to this site in accordance with code requirements of Title 13.56.040, if any, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
9. Private streets must be granted and labeled on the Final Map for this site as Public Utility Easements (P.U.E.), Public Sewer Easements, and Public Drainage Easements to be privately maintained by the Homeowner's Association.
10. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services to discuss fire requirements for the proposed subdivision. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services. Curbing on one side of the 32-foot private streets shall be constructed of red concrete and shall be in accordance with the adopted Fire Code (Ordinance #6325). The required curb coloring, painting, and signage shall be privately maintained in perpetuity by the Homeowner's Association.
11. All landscaping and private improvements installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

SS

ROR022151

12. Coordinate with the Sewer Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine the appropriate location and depth of public sewer lines servicing this site prior to approval of construction drawings for this site. Provide appropriate Public Sewer Easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way. Construct paved vehicular access to all new Public Sewer Manholes proposed east of this site concurrent with on-site development activities. No structures, and no trees or vegetation taller than three feet, shall be allowed within any Public Sewer Easements.
13. A working sanitary sewer connection shall be in place prior to final inspection of any units within this development. Full permanent improvements on all major access streets, including all required landscaped areas between the perimeter wall and adjacent public street, shall be constructed and accepted by the City prior to issuance of any building permits beyond 50% of all units within this development. All off-site improvements adjacent to this site, including all required landscaped areas between the perimeter walls and adjacent public streets, shall be constructed and accepted prior to issuance of building permits beyond 75%. The above thresholds notwithstanding, all required improvements shall be constructed in accordance with the Title 19.
14. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site.
15. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Tentative Map is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to approval of the construction plans by the City. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the recordation of a Final Map or the approval of subdivision-related construction plans, whichever may occur first. Approval of this Tentative Map does not constitute approval of any deviations. If such approval cannot be obtained, a revised Tentative Map must be submitted showing elimination of such deviations. We note that curved sewers are not allowed and do not comply with City Standards.

SS

ROR022152

**\*\* STAFF REPORT \*\***

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The applicant is proposing a 61-lot gated single-family residential development on a portion of a large lot currently developed as a golf course generally located at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way. The development would feature custom homes and contain small open space and park areas.

**ISSUES**

- A General Plan Amendment is requested from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to L (Low Density Residential) on the primary parcel (that makes up the Badlands Golf Course).
- A Waiver of Title 19.02 is requested to allow 32-foot wide private streets with a private sidewalk and landscape easement on one side and another landscape easement on the other side where 47-foot wide streets including sidewalks on both sides are required within a proposed gated development. Staff supports this request.
- A Site Development Plan Review for a single-family residential development on this site is required for all planned developments zoned R-PD (Residential Planned Development). The proposal includes developer-proposed standards for development of the site.
- A Tentative Map is requested for a 61-lot single-family residential subdivision on a 34.07-acre parcel, which is a portion of the primary golf course parcel that is the subject of the proposed General Plan Amendment.
- A Parcel Map (PMP-64285) dividing the majority of the Badlands Golf Course into four separate lots, including a 34.07-acre lot at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way that defines the extent of the proposed residential development, was recorded on 01/24/17. Although Assessor's Parcel Numbers have not yet been assigned, recordation of the Parcel Map has created four legal lots with valid legal descriptions.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject parent parcel (APN 138-31-702-002) is a significant portion of a developed golf course that is located within the Peccole Ranch Master Plan. The parcel is zoned R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre), allowing up to 7.49 dwelling units per acre spread out across the zoning district. The proposed L (Low Density Residential) General Plan designation allows density up to 5.49 dwelling units per acre, which is consistent with the density permitted by the existing R-PD7

SS

ROR022153

**Staff Report Page Two**

**June 21, 2017 - City Council Meeting**

zoning across the Peccole Ranch Master Plan area. The approved 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan indicates that the subject area is planned for both single family residential and golf course/open space/drainage uses. Over time, the development pattern in this area did not follow the master plan as approved.

Title 19.16.110 states that “except as otherwise authorized by this Title, approval of all Maps, Vacations, Rezonings, Site Development Plan Reviews, Special Use Permits, Variances, Waivers, Exceptions, Deviations and Development Agreements shall be consistent with the spirit and intent of the General Plan.” Within the area known as the Peccole Ranch Master Plan, the 1992 General Plan for the City of Las Vegas designated the proposed golf course area P (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) and the various residential areas around the proposed golf course as ML (Medium Low Density Residential). As other uses within the Peccole Ranch Master Plan were proposed that deviated from the established General Plan or zoning, a General Plan Amendment or Rezoning was required for consistency with the General Plan. As the proposed land area is no longer intended for a golf course or open space, but instead for residential development, an amendment to the General Plan is necessary and appropriate.

As a Residential Planned Development, density may be concentrated in some areas while other areas remain less dense, as long as the overall density for this site does not exceed 7.49 dwelling units per acre. Therefore, portions of the subject area can be restricted in density by various General Plan designations. A closer examination of the existing development reveals that single-family lots adjacent to the golf course average 12,261 square feet and a density of 3.55 units per acre along Queen Charlotte Drive west of Regents Park Road, an average of 11,844 square feet and a density of 3.68 units per acre along Verlaine Court and an average of 42,806 square feet and a density of 1.02 units per acre along Orient Express Court west of Regents Park Road. Each of these adjacent developments are designated ML (Medium Low Density Residential) with a density cap of 8.49 dwelling units per acre. The proposed development would have a density of 1.79 dwelling units per acre, with an average lot size of 19,871 square feet. In addition, open space and planned park areas are included as required for all new R-PD developments. Compared with the densities and General Plan designations of the adjacent residential development, the proposed L (Low Density Residential) designation is less dense and therefore appropriate for this area, capped at 5.49 units per acre.

Open space is provided in the form of three small park areas totaling approximately 62,000 square feet. Approximately 44,000 square feet or 1.01 acres of the development must consist of usable open space, which this proposal meets. An eight-foot buffer and six-foot wrought iron fence would separate the proposed “D” Avenue from Orient Express Court to the south. These areas are all common lots to be privately maintained.

SS

ROR022154



Title 19.04 requires private streets to be developed to public street standards, which require 47-foot wide streets with sidewalks on both sides of the street, as well as either a three-foot amenity zone with street trees or a five-foot planting zone on the adjacent private properties. This is to allow adequate space for vehicular travel in both directions, as well as a safe environment for pedestrians, bicycles and other modes of transportation. In the existing adjacent residential developments, the streets range in size from 36 feet to 40 feet in width with wide roll curbs. In addition, the San Michelle North development abutting this site to the north also contains a four-foot sidewalk, six-foot amenity zone and three-foot landscape strip within a common element on the north side of Queen Charlotte Drive. The side streets in that development contain the 36-foot private roadway with a four-foot sidewalk and five-foot amenity zone on one side contained in a private easement for a total sectional width of 45 feet.

The applicant is requesting a street section comparable to San Michelle North, with proposed 32-foot private streets with 30-inch roll curbs, a four-foot sidewalk and three-foot private landscape easement on one side and a five-foot private landscape easement on the other side for a total sectional width of 44 feet. A 32-foot wide street will allow for emergency vehicle access while still permitting parking on one side. Red colored concrete and signage will be required to clearly mark the side of the street with no parking. This design is comparable to the private streets in the adjacent gated subdivisions along the golf course. Staff can support the Waiver request with conditions that include a requirement for the applicant to coordinate with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services to discuss the design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives to meet current fire codes.

The Site Development Plan Review describes two lot types with different development standards; those that contain 20,000 square feet or less and those containing greater than 20,000 square feet. However, three lots (Lots 1, 2 and 24) are included with the "20,000 square feet or less" classification for consistency of development. Development standards for lots that are 20,000 square feet or less are generally consistent with R-D zoned properties, while those in the category greater than 20,000 square feet are generally consistent with R-E zoned properties. Some exceptions include building height, which is proposed to be 40-50 feet where 35 feet is the requirement in the standard zoning districts, and patio covers, which are treated the same as second story decks unlike in the Unified Development Code. The additional height is comparable to existing residential dwellings in the R-PD7 zoning district. It is noted that no building height restriction was conditioned for the existing residential development surrounding the subject property.

The submitted Tentative Map contains the elements necessary for a complete submittal. The natural slope from west to east across the site is approximately 2.5 percent. Per Title 19, a development having a natural slope of greater than two percent is allowed to contain up to six-foot retaining walls and eight-foot screen walls on the perimeter, with a maximum height of 12 feet. A 10-foot combined perimeter wall consisting of no more

SS
----

ROR022155

than six feet of retaining is proposed along Hualapai Way, set back 20 feet from the property line. Only the screen wall would be visible from Hualapai Way. A six-foot screen wall or fence is proposed on the east perimeter at Regents Park Road.

The submitted north-south cross section depicts maximum natural grade at two percent across this site. Per Title 19, a development with natural slope of two percent or greater is allowed to contain up to six-foot retaining walls and eight-foot screen walls on the perimeter, with a maximum height of 12 feet. The retaining walls along the northern property line are shown as maximum six-foot retaining walls, with a maximum of 10 feet of both retaining and screening. From the adjacent properties, no more than 10 feet of wall or wrought iron fencing would be visible.

Per Title 19.04.040, the Connectivity Ratio requirement does not apply for R-PD developments. In addition, per Title 19.04.010, where a proposed development is adjacent to existing improvements, the Director of Public Works has the right to determine the appropriateness of implementing Complete Streets standards, including connectivity. In this case, Public Works has determined that it would be inappropriate to implement the connectivity standards, given the design of the existing residential development and configuration of available land for development.

#### **FINDINGS (GPA-68385)**

Section 19.16.030(l) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code requires that the following conditions be met in order to justify a General Plan Amendment:

- 1. The density and intensity of the proposed General Plan Amendment is compatible with the existing adjacent land use designations,**

The density of the proposed General Plan Amendment is compatible with the existing adjacent land use designations, which include ML (Medium Low Density Residential), MLA (Medium Low Attached Density Residential) and PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space); the L (Low Density Residential) designation is less dense than any of these residential land use designations. However, as a Residential Planned Development, density may be concentrated in some areas while other areas remain less dense.

SS

ROR022156

2. **The zoning designations allowed by the proposed amendment will be compatible with the existing adjacent land uses or zoning districts,**

The overall residential development, including the proposed site and surrounding adjacent residential development, is zoned R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre), which is allowed by the proposed amendment. Additionally, the zoning districts allowed by the proposed L (Low Density Residential) designation would be less dense than the existing R-PD7 zoning district.

3. **There are adequate transportation, recreation, utility, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed General Plan Amendment; and**

Additional streets, utilities and open space amenities would be constructed or extended to support the residential uses permitted by the proposed General Plan Amendment to L (Low Density Residential).

4. **The proposed amendment conforms to other applicable adopted plans and policies that include approved neighborhood plans.**

The proposed General Plan Amendment is consistent with the Peccole Ranch Master Plan, which designates the subject area for single family residential uses.

#### **FINDINGS (WVR-68480)**

Staff supports Title 19 requirements for streets within the city, which require private streets to be developed to public street standards. The Unified Development Code requires 47-foot wide private streets that contain sidewalks on both sides. However, none of the existing residential developments with private streets in this area adhere to this standard. The applicant is proposing streets that provide similar amenities and widths to the adjacent private streets, once private easements are granted. This configuration would be more compatible with the surrounding development than the required 47-foot streets. Build-out of the proposed streets will not cause an undue hardship to the surrounding properties and will allow for fire access and limited on-street parking. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested waiver, with conditions.

#### **FINDINGS (SDR-68481)**

In order to approve a Site Development Plan Review application, per Title 19.16.100(E) the Planning Commission and/or City Council must affirm the following:

SS

ROR022157

1. **The proposed development is compatible with adjacent development and development in the area;**

The proposed residential lots throughout the subject site are comparable in size to the existing residential lots directly adjacent to the proposed lots. The development standards proposed are compatible with those imposed on the adjacent lots. Several small park and open space amenities are provided for the benefit of residents.

2. **The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan, this Title, the Design Standards Manual, the Landscape, Wall and Buffer Standards, and other duly-adopted city plans, policies and standards;**

The proposed development would be consistent with the General Plan if the plan is concurrently amended to L (Low Density Residential) or a lower density designation. The proposal for single-family residential and accessory uses is consistent with the approved 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan, which designates the subject area for single family uses. The proposed R-PD development is consistent with Title 19 requirements for residential planned developments prior to the adoption of the Unified Development Code. However, streets are not designed to public street standards as required by the Unified Development Code Title 19.04, for which a waiver is necessary.

3. **Site access and circulation do not negatively impact adjacent roadways or neighborhood traffic;**

Site access is proposed from Hualapai Way through a gate that meets Uniform Standard Drawing specifications. The street system does not connect to any existing streets and therefore should not negatively affect traffic within the existing residential areas.

4. **Building and landscape materials are appropriate for the area and for the City;**

Custom homes are proposed on the subject lots, which will be subject to future permit review. Landscape materials are drought tolerant and appropriate for this area.

5. **Building elevations, design characteristics and other architectural and aesthetic features are not unsightly, undesirable, or obnoxious in appearance; create an orderly and aesthetically pleasing environment; and are harmonious and compatible with development in the area;**

SS

ROR022158

Custom homes are proposed on the subject lots, which will be subject to future permit review against the proposed development standards.

**6. Appropriate measures are taken to secure and protect the public health, safety and general welfare.**

Development of this site will be subject to building permit review and inspection, thereby protecting the public health, safety and general welfare.

**FINDINGS (TMP-68482)**

The submitted Tentative Map is in conformance with all Title 19 and NRS requirements for tentative maps.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

<b><i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i></b>	
12/17/80	The Board of City Commissioners approved the Annexation (A-0018-80) of 2,243 acres bounded by Sahara Avenue on the south, Hualapai Way on the west, Ducharme Avenue on the north and Durango Drive on the east. The annexation became effective on 12/26/80.
04/15/81	The Board of City Commissioners approved a General Plan Amendment (Agenda Item IX.B) to expand the Suburban Residential Land Use category and add the Rural Density Residential category generally located north of Sahara Avenue, west of Durango Drive.
	The Board of City Commissioners approved a Generalized Land Use Plan (Agenda Item IX.C) for residential, commercial and public facility uses on the Peccole property and the south portion of Angel Park lying within city limits. The maximum density of this plan was 24 dwelling units per acre.
05/20/81	The Board of City Commissioners approved a Rezoning (Z-0034-81) from N-U (Non-Urban) to R-1 (Single Family Residence), R-2 (Two Family Residence), R-3 (Limited Multiple Residence), R-MHP (Residential Mobile Home Park), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development), R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development), P-R (Professional Offices and Parking), C-1 (Limited Commercial), C-2 (General Commercial) and C-V (Civic) generally located north of Sahara Avenue, south of Westcliff Drive and extending two miles west of Durango Drive. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.

SS

ROR022159

<b><i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i></b>	
05/07/86	The City Council approved the Master Development Plan for Venetian Foothills on 1,923 acres generally located north of Sahara Avenue between Durango Drive and Hualapai Way. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. This plan included two 18-hole golf courses and a 106-acre regional shopping center. [Venetian Foothills Master Development Plan]
	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0030-86) to reclassify property from N-U (Non-Urban) (under Resolution of Intent) to R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development), P-R (Professional Offices and Parking), C-1 (Limited Commercial), and C-V (Civic) on 585.00 acres generally located north of Sahara Avenue between Durango Drive and Hualapai Way. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. [Venetian Foothills Phase One]
02/15/89	The City Council considered and approved a revised master development plan for the subject site and renamed it Peccole Ranch to include 1,716.30 acres. Phase One of the Plan is generally located south of Charleston Boulevard, west of Fort Apache Road. Phase Two of the Plan is generally located north of Charleston Boulevard, west of Durango Drive, and south of Charleston Boulevard, east of Hualapai Way. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. A condition of approval limited the maximum number of dwelling units in Phase One to 3,150. [Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan]
02/15/89	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0139-88) on 448.80 acres from N-U (Non-Urban) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD4, P-R, C-1 and C-V to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre), R-3 (Limited Multiple Residence) and C-1 (Limited Commercial). [Peccole Ranch Phase One]
04/04/90	The City Council approved an amendment to the Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan to make changes related to Phase Two of the Plan and to reduce the overall acreage to 1,569.60 acres. Approximately 212 acres of land in Phase Two was planned for a golf course. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. [Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan]
	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0017-90) from N-U (Non-Urban) (under Resolution of Intent to multiple zoning districts) to R-3 (Limited Multiple Residence), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) and C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 996.40 acres on the east side of Hualapai Way, west of Durango Drive, between the south boundary of Angel Park and Sahara Avenue. A condition of approval limited the maximum number of dwelling units for Phase Two of the Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan to 4,247 units. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. [Peccole Ranch Phase Two]

SS

ROR022160

<b><i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i></b>	
12/05/96	A (Parent) Final Map (FM-0008-96) for a 16-lot subdivision (Peccole West) on 570.47 acres at the northeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 77 Page 23 of Plats]. The golf course was located on Lot 5 of this map.
08/14/97	The Planning Commission approved a request for a Site Development Plan Review [Z-0017-90(20)] for a proposed 76-lot single family residential development on 36.30 acres south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way. Staff recommended approval.
03/30/98	A Final Map (FM-0190-96) for a four-lot subdivision (Peccole West Lot 10) on 184.01 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 83 Page 61 of Plats].
03/30/98	A Final Map [FM-0008-96(1)] to amend portions of Lots 5 and 10 of the Peccole West Subdivision Map on 368.81 acres at the northeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 83 Page 57 of Plats].
10/19/98	A Final Map (FM-0027-98) for a 45-lot single family residential subdivision (San Michelle North) on 17.41 acres generally located south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 86 Page 74 of Plats].
12/17/98	A Final Map (FM-0158-97) for a 21-lot single family residential subdivision (Peccole West – Parcel 20) on 20.65 acres generally located south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 87 Page 54 of Plats].
09/23/99	A Final Map (FM-0157-97) for a 41-lot single family residential subdivision (Peccole West – Parcel 19) on 15.10 acres generally located south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 91 Page 47 of Plats].
06/18/15	A four-lot Parcel Map (PMP-59572) on 250.92 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard was recorded [Book 120 Page 49 of Parcel Maps].
11/30/15	A two-lot Parcel Map (PMP-62257) on 70.52 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard was recorded [Book 120 Page 91 of Parcel Maps].
01/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [6-0] to hold requests for a General Plan Amendment (GPA-62387) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to H (High Density Residential), a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-4 (High Density Residential) and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-62393) for a proposed 720-unit multi-family residential development in abeyance to the March 8, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.

SS

ROR022161

<b><i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i></b>	
03/08/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the April 12, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
03/15/16	A two-lot Parcel Map (PMP-63468) on 53.03 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard was recorded [Book 121 Page 12 of Parcel Maps].
04/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the May 10, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
04/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold requests for a Major Modification (MOD-63600) of the 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan; a Development Agreement (DIR-63602) between 180 Land Co., LLC, et al. and the City of Las Vegas; a General Plan Amendment (GPA-63599) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and H (High Density Residential); and a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-E (Residence Estates) and R-4 (High Density Residential) on 250.92 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard in abeyance to the May 10, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
05/10/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the July 12, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of City staff.
	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold MOD-63600, GPA-63599, ZON-63601 and DIR-63602 in abeyance to the July 12, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of City staff.
07/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [5-2] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting.
	The Planning Commission voted [5-2] to hold MOD-63600, GPA-63599, ZON-63601 and DIR-63602 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting.
08/09/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to rescind the action taken on 07/12/16 to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. Action was then taken to reschedule the hearing of these items at a special Planning Commission meeting on 10/18/16.
08/09/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to rescind the action taken on 07/12/16 to hold MOD-63600, GPA-63599, ZON-63601 and DIR-63602 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. Action was then taken to reschedule the hearing of these items at a special Planning Commission meeting on 10/18/16, at which they were recommended for denial.

SS

ROR022162



<b>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</b>	
11/16/16	<p>At the applicant's request, the City Council voted to Withdraw Without Prejudice requests for a Major Modification (MOD-63600) of the 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan; a Development Agreement (DIR-63602) between 180 Land Co., LLC, et al. and the City of Las Vegas; a General Plan Amendment (GPA-63599) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and H (High Density Residential); and a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-E (Residence Estates) and R-4 (High Density Residential) on 250.92 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard. The Planning Commission recommended denial; staff recommended approval.</p> <p>The Planning Commission voted to hold in abeyance to the January 18, 2017 City Council meeting a General Plan Amendment (GPA-62387) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to H (High Density Residential), a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-4 (High Density Residential) and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-62393) for a proposed 720-unit multi-family residential development on 17.49 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.</p>
01/10/17	The Planning Commission voted to hold in abeyance to the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission meeting GPA-68385 [PRJ-67184].
01/18/17	The City Council voted to hold in abeyance to the February 15, 2017 City Council meeting GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 at the applicant's request.
01/24/17	A four-lot Parcel Map (PMP-64285) on 166.99 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way was recorded [File 121 Page 100 of Parcel Maps].
02/14/17	<p>The Planning Commission voted to recommend APPROVAL on the following requests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waiver (WVR-68480) TO ALLOW 32-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH A SIDEWALK ON ONE SIDE WHERE 47-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES ARE REQUIRED WITHIN A PROPOSED GATED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) FOR A PROPOSED 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Tentative Map (TMP-68482) FOR A 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 34.07 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (Lot 1 in File 121, Page 100 of Parcel Maps on file at the Clark County Recorder's Office; formerly a portion of APN 138-31-702-002), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184]</li> </ul>

SS

ROR022163

<b><i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i></b>	
02/14/17	The Planning Commission vote resulted in a TIE which is tantamount to DENIAL on a request for a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) which is a FROM: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) on 166.99 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (APN 138-31-702-002), Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184].
03/15/17	<p>The City Council voted to hold the following four related items in abeyance to the April 19, 2017 City Council meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) which is a FROM: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL)</li> <li>• Waiver (WVR-68480) TO ALLOW 32-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH A SIDEWALK ON ONE SIDE WHERE 47-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES ARE REQUIRED WITHIN A PROPOSED GATED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) FOR A PROPOSED 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Tentative Map (TMP-68482) FOR A 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 34.07 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (Lot 1 in File 121, Page 100 of Parcel Maps on file at the Clark County Recorder's Office; formerly a portion of APN 138-31-702-002), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184]</li> </ul>
04/19/17	<p>The City Council voted to hold the following four related items in abeyance to the May 17, 2017 City Council meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) which is a FROM: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL)</li> <li>• Waiver (WVR-68480) TO ALLOW 32-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH A SIDEWALK ON ONE SIDE WHERE 47-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES ARE REQUIRED WITHIN A PROPOSED GATED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) FOR A PROPOSED 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Tentative Map (TMP-68482) FOR A 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 34.07 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (Lot 1 in File 121, Page 100 of Parcel Maps on file at the Clark County Recorder's Office; formerly a portion of APN 138-31-702-002), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184]</li> </ul>

SS

ROR022164

<b>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</b>	
05/17/17	<p>The City Council voted to hold the following four related items in abeyance to the June 21, 2017 City Council meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) which is a FROM: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL)</li> <li>• Waiver (WVR-68480) TO ALLOW 32-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH A SIDEWALK ON ONE SIDE WHERE 47-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES ARE REQUIRED WITHIN A PROPOSED GATED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) FOR A PROPOSED 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>• Tentative Map (TMP-68482) FOR A 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 34.07 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (Lot 1 in File 121, Page 100 of Parcel Maps on file at the Clark County Recorder's Office; formerly a portion of APN 138-31-702-002), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184]</li> </ul>

<b>Most Recent Change of Ownership</b>	
11/16/15	A deed was recorded for a change in ownership on APN 138-31-702-002.

<b>Related Building Permits/Business Licenses</b>	
There are no building permits or business licenses relevant to these requests.	

<b>Pre-Application Meeting</b>	
09/29/16	A pre-application meeting was held to discuss submittal requirements for Site Development Plan Review and Tentative Map applications. The applicant proposed 30-foot wide private streets with 30-inch roll curbs. Staff indicated that a Waiver would be necessary to deviate from public street standards. There was concern that the long and narrow streets would come into conflict with fire codes and that the applicant should work with staff to address these issues. In addition, the applicant was advised that a parcel map currently in review would need to be recorded prior to these items being notified for hearing.
12/06/16	The requirement for a General Plan Amendment and neighborhood meeting was added to the original submittal checklist.

SS

ROR022165

<b>Neighborhood Meeting</b>	
01/09/17	<p>A neighborhood meeting was held at the Badlands Golf Course Clubhouse at 9119 Alta Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada. Approximately 50 members of the public were in attendance, as well as seven members of the development team, one City Council Ward staff member and one Department of Planning staff member.</p> <p>The applicant set up display boards showing the proposed General Plan Amendment. At sign in, neighbors were given a handout describing the request, which noted that the item had been requested to be abeyed to the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission meeting. No formal presentation was given; instead, members of the public were invited to examine the request and approach development team members with any questions.</p>

<b>Field Check</b>	
01/05/17	The site contains a well-maintained golf course surrounded by existing single-family residential dwellings.

<b>Details of Application Request</b>	
<b>Site Area</b>	
Net Acres (GPA)	166.99
Net Acres (WVR/SDR/TMP)	34.07

<b>Surrounding Property</b>	<b>Existing Land Use Per Title 19.12</b>	<b>Planned or Special Land Use Designation</b>	<b>Existing Zoning District</b>
Subject Property	Commercial Recreation/Amusement (Outdoor) – Golf Course	PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space)	R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre)
North	Multi-Family Residential (Condominiums) / Club House	GTC (General Tourist Commercial)	PD (Planned Development)
	Hotel/Casino	SC (Service Commercial)	C-1 (Limited Commercial)
	Office, Medical or Dental		

SS

ROR022166

<b>Surrounding Property</b>	<b>Existing Land Use Per Title 19.12</b>	<b>Planned or Special Land Use Designation</b>	<b>Existing Zoning District</b>
North	Single Family, Detached	ML (Medium Low Density Residential)	R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre)
		MLA (Medium Low Attached Density Residential)	R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units per Acre)
South	Office, Other Than Listed	SC (Service Commercial)	C-1 (Limited Commercial)
	Single Family, Detached	ML (Medium Low Density Residential)	R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre)
	Single Family, Attached	M (Medium Density Residential)	R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units per Acre)
	Multi-Family Residential		R-3 (Medium Density Residential)
East	Shopping Center	SC (Service Commercial)	PD (Planned Development)
	Office, Other Than Listed		C-1 (Limited Commercial)
	Mixed Use	GC (General Commercial)	C-2 (General Commercial)
	Utility Installation	PF (Public Facilities)	C-V (Civic)
	Single Family, Attached	M (Medium Density Residential)	R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units per Acre)
West	Single Family, Detached	SF2 (Single Family Detached – 6 Units per Acre)	P-C (Planned Community)
	Golf Course	P (Parks/Open Space)	

SS

ROR022167

<b>Surrounding Property</b>	<b>Existing Land Use Per Title 19.12</b>	<b>Planned or Special Land Use Designation</b>	<b>Existing Zoning District</b>
West	Multi-Family Residential	MF2 (Medium Density Multi-family – 21 Units per Acre)	

<b>Master Plan Areas</b>	<b>Compliance</b>
Peccole Ranch	Y
<b>Special Purpose and Overlay Districts</b>	<b>Compliance</b>
R-PD (Residential Planned Development) District	Y
<b>Other Plans or Special Requirements</b>	<b>Compliance</b>
Trails	N/A
Las Vegas Redevelopment Plan Area	N/A
Project of Significant Impact (Development Impact Notification Assessment)	N/A
Project of Regional Significance	N/A

## DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Pursuant to Las Vegas Zoning Code Title 19.06.040 prior to Ordinance 6135 (March 2011), the Development Standards within an R-PD District are established by the Site Development Plan. The following standards are proposed by the applicant:

<b>Standard</b>	<b>Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*</b>	<b>Lots greater than 20,000 sf</b>
Minimum Lot Size	10,000 sf	20,000 sf
Building Setbacks:		
• Front yard to private street or access easement	30 feet	35 feet
• Side yard	5 feet	7.5 feet
• Corner side yard	12.5 feet	15 feet
• Rear yard	25 feet	30 feet
Accessory structure setbacks:		
• Porte cochere to private street	15 feet	15 feet
• Side loaded garage to side yard property line	15 feet	15 feet
• Patio covers and/or 2 <sup>nd</sup> story decks	20 feet	20 feet
• Separation from principal dwelling	6 feet	6 feet
• Side yard	5 feet	5 feet
• Corner side yard	5 feet	5 feet
• Rear yard	5 feet	5 feet

SS

ROR022168

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Building Heights: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Principal dwelling</li> <li>Accessory structures</li> <li>Floors</li> </ul>	40 feet 25 feet 2 stories on slab or over basement	50 feet 30 feet 3 stories on lots greater than 35,000 sf; otherwise 2 stories
Permitted uses	Single family residence and accessory structures**	Single family residence and accessory structures**
Lot Coverage	Bound by setbacks	Bound by setbacks

\*Includes Lots 1, 2 and 24.

\*\*Accessory structures may have a trellis or canopy attached to the principal dwelling.

<b>Existing Zoning</b>	<b>Permitted Density</b>	<b>Units Allowed</b>
R-PD7	7.49 du/ac	1,250 (based on 166.99 acres)
<b>Proposed Zoning</b>	<b>Permitted Density</b>	<b>Units Allowed</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>General Plan</b>	<b>Permitted Density</b>	<b>Units Allowed</b>
PR-OS	N/A	N/A
<b>Proposed General Plan</b>	<b>Permitted Density</b>	<b>Units Allowed</b>
L	5.49 du/ac	916 (based on 166.99 acres)

*Pursuant to Title 19.06.040, the following standards apply:*

<b>Landscaping and Open Space Standards</b>				
<b>Standards</b>	<b>Required</b>		<b>Provided</b>	<b>Compliance</b>
	<b>Ratio</b>	<b>Trees</b>		
Buffer Trees:				
• North	1 Tree / 20 Linear Feet	10 Trees	15 Trees	Y
• South	N/A	N/A	81 Trees	N/A
• East	N/A	N/A	0 Trees	N/A
• West	1 Tree / 20 Linear Feet	43 Trees	47 Trees	Y

SS

ROR022169

*Pursuant to Title 19.06.040, the following standards apply:*

Landscaping and Open Space Standards					
Standards	Required		Provided	Compliance	
	Ratio	Trees			
TOTAL PERIMETER TREES			53 Trees	143 Trees	Y
LANDSCAPE BUFFER WIDTHS					
Min. Zone Width					
• North	6 Feet			20 Feet	Y
• South	0 Feet			0 Feet	Y
• East	0 Feet			0 Feet	Y
• West	6 Feet			20 Feet	Y
Wall Height	Not required	6' wrought iron or CMU adjacent to Orient Express Ct.  Stepped retaining/screen wall not exceeding 10' adjacent to Verlaine Ct. and existing lots to the north  10' retaining/screen wall adjacent to Hualapai Way			Y

<b>Open Space – R-PD only</b>							
<b>Total Acreage</b>	<b>Density</b>	<b>Required</b>			<b>Provided</b>		<b>Compliance</b>
		<b>Ratio</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Area</b>	
34.07 ac	1.8	1.65	2.97%	1.01 ac	6.22%	2.12 ac	Y

<b>Street Name</b>	<b>Functional Classification of Street(s)</b>	<b>Governing Document</b>	<b>Actual Street Width (Feet)</b>	<b>Compliance with Street Section</b>
Alta Drive	Major Collector	Master Plan of Streets and Highways Map	84	Y
Hualapai Way	Primary Arterial	Master Plan of Streets and Highways Map	98	N

SS

ROR022170



<b>19.04.040 Connectivity</b>		
<b>Transportation Network Element</b>	<b># Links</b>	<b># Nodes</b>
Internal Street	9	0
Intersection – Internal	0	5
Cul-de-sac Terminus	0	3
Intersection – External Street or Stub Terminus	0	0
Intersection – Stub Terminus w/ Temporary Turn Around Easements	0	0
Non-Vehicular Path - Unrestricted	0	0
Total	9	8

	<b>Required</b>	<b>Provided</b>
<b>Connectivity Ratio (Links / Nodes):</b>	N/A	1.13

**Pursuant to Title 19.08 and 19.12, the following parking standards apply:**

Parking Requirement							
Use	Gross Floor Area or Number of Units	Required			Provided		Compliance
		Parking Ratio	Parking		Parking		
			Regular	Handi-capped	Regular	Handi-capped	
Single Family, Detached	61 units	2 spaces per unit	122				
Accessory Structure (Class I) [Casita]	61 casitas	1 additional space per lot	61				
TOTAL SPACES REQUIRED			183				
Regular and Handicap Spaces Required			183	0	183	0	Y

<b>Waivers</b>		
<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Staff Recommendation</b>
Private streets must meet public street standards unless waived (47' minimum with L-curbs and sidewalks on both sides of the street)	To allow 32' wide private streets with 30" roll curbs with sidewalk on one side (easement) in a gated community	Approval

SS

ROR022171



## DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

### STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INTEREST

Case Number: **GPA-68385** APN: 138-31-702-002

Name of Property Owner: 180 Land Co. LLC

Name of Applicant: 180 Land Co. LLC

Name of Representative: Yohan Lowie

To the best of your knowledge, does the Mayor or any member of the City Council or Planning Commission have any financial interest in this or any other property with the property owner, applicant, the property owner or applicant's general or limited partners, or an officer of their corporation or limited liability company?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please indicate the member of the City Council or Planning Commission who is involved and list the name(s) of the person or persons with whom the City Official holds an interest. Also list the Assessor's Parcel Number if the property in which the interest is held is different from the case parcel.

City Official: \_\_\_\_\_

Partner(s): \_\_\_\_\_

APN: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Property Owner: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Name: Yohan Lowie

Subscribed and sworn before me

This 28 day of December, 2016

LeeAnn Stewart-Schlenker  
Notary Public in and for said County and State



Revised 03/28/16

PRJ-67184  
12/28/16

ROR022172

23599



# DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

## APPLICATION / PETITION FORM

Application/Petition For: GPA  
 Project Address (Location) Alta Drive and Hualapai Way  
 Project Name Parcel 1 @ the 180 Proposed Use R-PD7  
 Assessor's Parcel #(s) 138-31-702-002 Ward # 2  
 General Plan: existing PROS proposed L Zoning: existing R-PD7 proposed         
 Commercial Square Footage        Floor Area Ratio         
 Gross Acres 166.99 Lots/Units 1 Density 1.79  
 Additional Information       

PROPERTY OWNER 180 Land Co. LLC Contact Yohan Lowie  
 Address 1215 South Fort Apache Road #120 Phone: (702) 940-6930 Fax: (702) 940-6931  
 City Las Vegas State NV Zip 89117  
 E-mail Address yohan@ehbcompanies.com

APPLICANT 180 Land Co. LLC Contact Yohan Lowie  
 Address 1215 South Fort Apache Road #120 Phone: (702) 940-6930 Fax: (702) 940-6931  
 City Las Vegas State NV Zip 89117  
 E-mail Address yohan@ehbcompanies.com

REPRESENTATIVE GCW, Inc. Contact Cindie Gee  
 Address 1555 South Rainbow Blvd Phone: (702) 804-2107 Fax: (702) 804-2280  
 City Las Vegas State NV Zip 89146  
 E-mail Address cgee@gcwengineering.com

I certify that I am the applicant and that the information submitted with this application is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that the City is not responsible for inaccuracies in information presented, and that inaccuracies, false information or incomplete applications may cause the application to be rejected. I further certify that I am the owner or purchaser (or option holder) of the property involved in this application, or the owner or agent duly authorized by the owner to make this submission, as indicated by the owner's signature below.

Property Owner Signature\* [Signature]  
 \*An authorized agent may sign in lieu of the property owner for Final Maps, Tentative Maps, and Platted Maps.  
 Print Name Yohan Lowie

Subscribed and sworn before me  
 This 28<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2016.  
LeeAnn Stewart-Schwencke

Notary Public in and for said County and State

Revised 03/28/16



### FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

Case # **GPA-68385**  
 Meeting Date:         
 Total Fee:         
 Date Received:         
 Received By:       

\*The application will not be deemed complete until the submitted materials have been reviewed by the Department of Planning for consistency with applicable sections of the Zoning Ordinance.

PRJ-67184  
 12/29/16


ROR022173

23600

180 Land Co LLC  
1215 S. Fort Apache Rd., Suite #120  
Las Vegas, NV 89117

180 Land Co. LLC  
Nevada limited liability company

By: EHB Companies LLC  
a Nevada limited liability company.  
Its: Manager

By:   
Name: Yohann Lowie  
Its: Manager  
Date: 12/28/16


PRJ-67184  
12/28/16

**GPA-68385**

ROR022174

**23601**

GPA-68385



PRJ-67184  
12/29/16

FROM PR-OS TO L

General Plan Amendment

RNP - Rural Neighborhood Preservation	MLA - Medium - Low Attached	GTC - Tourist Commercial	PF - Public Facility
RE - Rural Estate	M - Medium	LVMD - Las Vegas Medical District	PF - Public Facility
DR - Desert Rural	H - High	LI - Light Industrial / Research	IC - Town Center
R - Rural	O - Office	PCD - Planned Community Development	RC - Resource Conservation
L - Low	SC - Service Commercial	PR-OS - Public Recreation Open Space	C - Downtown - Commercial
ML - Medium - Low	GC - General Commercial	PF - Public Facility	MD - Downtown - Mixed Use
			TND - Traditional Neighborhood Development

- 1000' Buffer
- Subject Property
- City Limits
- Not City



Date 2/14/17

ROR022175

23602



# DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

BUILDING SETBACK TABLE (BPD7)		
MIN. STRUCTURE SETBACKS (MINIMUM)	LOTS ≤ 20,000 SF	LOTS > 20,000 SF
MINIMUM LOT SIZE	10,000 SF	20,000 SF
MINIMUM SETBACK TO PRIVATE STREET	30'	30'
MINIMUM SETBACK TO PUBLIC STREET	5'	7.5'
SIDE YARD	12.5'	15'
REAR YARD	25'	30'
LOT COVERAGE	DICTATED BY ZONING	

BUILDING HEIGHTS TABLE (BPD7)		
MIN. STRUCTURE SETBACKS (MINIMUM)	LOTS ≤ 20,000 SF	LOTS > 20,000 SF
MINIMUM LOT SIZE	10,000 SF	20,000 SF
MINIMUM SETBACK TO PRIVATE STREET	30'	30'
MINIMUM SETBACK TO PUBLIC STREET	5'	7.5'
SIDE YARD	12.5'	15'
REAR YARD	25'	30'
LOT COVERAGE	DICTATED BY ZONING	




BUILDING HEIGHTS TABLE (BPD7)		
MIN. STRUCTURE SETBACKS (MINIMUM)	LOTS ≤ 20,000 SF	LOTS > 20,000 SF
MINIMUM LOT SIZE	10,000 SF	20,000 SF
MINIMUM SETBACK TO PRIVATE STREET	30'	30'
MINIMUM SETBACK TO PUBLIC STREET	5'	7.5'
SIDE YARD	12.5'	15'
REAR YARD	25'	30'
LOT COVERAGE	DICTATED BY ZONING	

NOTES - SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES AND ACCESSORY STRUCTURES

INDIVIDUAL COMMON LOT AREAS	PRIVATE PARKING/DRIVEWAYS	LANDSCAPE AREAS
CL-A	0.15 AC.	0.15 AC.
CL-B	0.30 AC.	0.30 AC.
CL-C	0.60 AC.	0.60 AC.
CL-D	0.90 AC.	0.90 AC.
CL-E	0.03 AC.	0.03 AC.
CL-F	0.08 AC.	0.08 AC.
CL-G	0.08 AC.	0.08 AC.
CL-H	0.24 AC.	0.24 AC.
CL-I	0.04 AC.	0.04 AC.
CL-J	4.08 AC.	4.08 AC.
CL-K	0.08 AC.	0.08 AC.
CL-L	0.04 AC.	0.04 AC.
TOTAL	6.33 AC.	6.33 AC.



# SITE PLAN FOR SITE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PARCEL 1 @ THE 180 A PORTION OF APN 138-31-702-002

**BUILDING ELEVATIONS AND FLOOR PLANS**  
IF EXISTING AND FLOOR PLANS ARE NOT PROVIDED



ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR  
1555 S. RAINBOW BOULEVARD  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89146  
PHONE: 702-804-2299  
FAX: 702-804-2299  
GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 - REVISED

DATE	REVISION
11/11/2011	1. INITIAL SETBACKS
11/11/2011	2. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	3. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	4. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	5. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	6. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	7. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	8. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	9. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	10. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	11. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	12. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	13. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	14. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	15. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	16. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	17. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	18. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	19. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	20. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	21. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	22. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	23. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	24. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	25. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	26. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	27. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	28. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	29. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	30. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	31. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	32. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	33. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	34. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	35. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	36. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	37. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	38. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	39. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	40. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	41. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	42. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	43. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	44. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	45. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	46. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	47. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	48. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	49. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	50. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	51. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	52. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	53. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	54. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	55. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	56. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	57. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	58. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	59. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	60. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	61. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	62. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	63. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	64. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	65. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	66. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	67. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	68. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	69. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	70. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	71. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	72. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	73. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	74. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	75. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	76. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	77. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	78. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	79. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	80. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	81. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	82. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	83. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	84. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	85. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	86. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	87. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	88. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	89. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	90. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	91. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	92. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	93. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	94. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	95. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	96. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	97. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	98. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	99. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS
11/11/2011	100. REVISIONS TO SETBACKS



LAND USE		
EXISTING	APN # 138-31-702-002	
TOTAL ACRES	2.07	
COMMON LOT ACRES	1.13	
TOTAL PRIVATE STREET ACRES	0.94	
TOTAL LANDSCAPE ACRES	0.94	
NUMBER OF COMMON LOTS	12	
NUMBER OF BUILDING UNITS	61	
DENSITY (61 DU/24.07 AC)	1.79 DU/ACRES	
AVERAGE: 61 EDOS X 2,000 SQ/EDU = 122,000 SQ		
PEAK FLOW: 15,250 GPD X 3.4 (GPD)		
		51,850 GPD

LEGAL DESCRIPTION		
EXISTING	APN # 138-31-702-002	
TOTAL ACRES	2.07	
COMMON LOT ACRES	1.13	
TOTAL PRIVATE STREET ACRES	0.94	
TOTAL LANDSCAPE ACRES	0.94	
NUMBER OF COMMON LOTS	12	
NUMBER OF BUILDING UNITS	61	
DENSITY (61 DU/24.07 AC)	1.79 DU/ACRES	
AVERAGE: 61 EDOS X 2,000 SQ/EDU = 122,000 SQ		
PEAK FLOW: 15,250 GPD X 3.4 (GPD)		
		51,850 GPD

PARKING TABLE		
EXISTING	APN # 138-31-702-002	
TOTAL ACRES	2.07	
COMMON LOT ACRES	1.13	
TOTAL PRIVATE STREET ACRES	0.94	
TOTAL LANDSCAPE ACRES	0.94	
NUMBER OF COMMON LOTS	12	
NUMBER OF BUILDING UNITS	61	
DENSITY (61 DU/24.07 AC)	1.79 DU/ACRES	
AVERAGE: 61 EDOS X 2,000 SQ/EDU = 122,000 SQ		
PEAK FLOW: 15,250 GPD X 3.4 (GPD)		
		51,850 GPD

PARKING TABLE		
EXISTING	APN # 138-31-702-002	
TOTAL ACRES	2.07	
COMMON LOT ACRES	1.13	
TOTAL PRIVATE STREET ACRES	0.94	
TOTAL LANDSCAPE ACRES	0.94	
NUMBER OF COMMON LOTS	12	
NUMBER OF BUILDING UNITS	61	
DENSITY (61 DU/24.07 AC)	1.79 DU/ACRES	
AVERAGE: 61 EDOS X 2,000 SQ/EDU = 122,000 SQ		
PEAK FLOW: 15,250 GPD X 3.4 (GPD)		
		51,850 GPD

PARKING TABLE		
EXISTING	APN # 138-31-702-002	
TOTAL ACRES	2.07	
COMMON LOT ACRES	1.13	
TOTAL PRIVATE STREET ACRES	0.94	
TOTAL LANDSCAPE ACRES	0.94	
NUMBER OF COMMON LOTS	12	
NUMBER OF BUILDING UNITS	61	
DENSITY (61 DU/24.07 AC)	1.79 DU/ACRES	
AVERAGE: 61 EDOS X 2,000 SQ/EDU = 122,000 SQ		
PEAK FLOW: 15,250 GPD X 3.4 (GPD)		
		51,850 GPD

ROR022176

23603







GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 - REVISED

ROR022178

23605








ROR022179

23606

**GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 - REVISED**





# GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 - REVISED

ROR022181

23608



EJB COMPANY

215 E FORT AVENUE RD  
P.O. BOX 4000  
MAY 10001-12

PARCEL 1 @ THE 180

180 LAND COMPANY

PROJECT NAME  
PARCEL 1 @ THE 180

PROJECT NUMBER  
10031

ISSUE DATE  
07/24/17












SCALE  
As Indicated

PROJECT NAME  
PARCEL 1 @ THE 180

PROJECT NUMBER  
10031

ISSUE DATE  
07/24/17

SCALE  
As Indicated



GPA-68385, WVR-68480, SDR-68481 and TMP-68482 - REVISED

ROR022182

23609