IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, Supreme Court Case 26.04.2622 01:53 a.m.

Electronically Filed

Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court Case No.: C268285-I

Appellant,

VS.

RENEE BAKER, WARDEN, Lovelock Correctional Center

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume I

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER. ESQ Nevada Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 411 E. Bonneville Avenue Suite 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 979-9941 Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1565 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Respondent

Renteria-Novoa v. Warden Case No. 84656

INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Document	Page
Amended Information	18-32
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order 5-27-2015	1104-1108
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order 4-27-2022	1419-1435
Information	1-17
Judgment of Conviction	1046-1053
Notice of Appeal 10-5-2012	1054-1057
Notice of Appeal 4-29-2022	1436-1438
Notice of Entry	1418
Order of Affirmance	1069-1076
Order of Reversal and Remand	1109-1116
Pro Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	1078-1092
Remittitur 10-21-2014	1077
Remittitu 4-24-2017	1117
Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Memorandum	1376-1391
Second Amended Information	33-42
State's Answering Brief (Direct Appeal)	1439-1520
State's Response to Pro Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	1093-1103
State's Response to Supplemental Memorandum	1356-1375
Supplemental Memorandum	1118-1355

Transcript/Minutes	Page
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	1392-1417
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1	44-378
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2	379-559
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3	560-832
Transcript of Jury Trial Day.4	833-1045
Transcript of Sentencing	1058-1068

Electronically Filed 10/27/2010 07:57:38 AM

1	INFO Alum & Lamm	
2	Clark County District Attorney	
3	Nevada Bar #002781 STACY KOLLINS	
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391	
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7	I.A. 10/28/2010 DISTRICT COURT CHARK COUNTY NEVADA	
8	9:00 A.M. CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA PUBLIC DEFENDER	
9		
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
11	Plaintiff, Case No: C268285-1	
12	-vs- Dept No: XIV	
13	GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA,	
14	#2755564 INFORMATION Defendant.	
15)	
16	STATE OF NEVADA)	
17	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss.	
18	DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of	f
19	Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:	
20	That GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, the Defendant(s) above named, having	g
21	committed the crimes of SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN	1
22	YEARS OF AGE (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366), ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT	Γ
23	WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE (Felony - NRS 200.364	
24	200.366, 193.330), LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14 (Felony	-
25	NRS 201.230), SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF	F
26	AGE (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366), OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS (gross	
27	misdemeanor - NRS 201.220) and SEXUAL ASSAULT (Felony - NRS 200.364	٠,
28	200.366) and in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or between	n

	l	
	•	
_		
,)	

4

6

9

10 11

12

14

13

16 17

15

18

19

20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

//

February 1, 2005 and December 31, 2009, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 2 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 3 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or breast(s) and/or body of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 4</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

<u>COUNT 5</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

<u>COUNT 6</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

//

COUNT 7 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 8 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his mouth and/or tongue to touch and/or kiss and/or lick the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 9 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or breast(s) and/or body of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 10</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable

of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

4 5

6

9 10

11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

26

27

28

COUNT 11 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 12 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 13 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

> C:\PROGRAM FILES\NEEVIA.COM\DOCUMENT CONVERTER\TEMP\1296919 15056 AA 000005

//

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

COUNT 14- SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 15 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 16 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

```
25
       //
       //
26
       //
27
```

5

6

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

//

//

//

27

28

COUNT 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 18 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 19 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 20 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS

3

by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant.

4

5

COUNT 21 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

10

11

13

15

17

19

22

24

26

27

6

9

12

14

16

18

20

21

23

25

28

lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or buttock(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child. COUNT 22 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF

did, then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or

AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 23 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 24 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

3 4 5

6

9

11 12

10

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 25 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 26 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 27 - ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: fellatio, by said Defendant attempting to place his penis on and/or into

the tongue and/or mouth of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 28 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 29 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF **AGE**

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 30 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of

resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

<u>COUNT 31</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 32 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 33 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 34 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA

PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 35 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

<u>COUNT 36</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

<u>COUNT 37</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 38 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA

PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 39 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 40 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 41 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 42 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the

4

3

5 6

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

//

genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 43 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE

did, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 44 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 45 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did, then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 46 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS

did, then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant.

COUNT 47 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 48 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 49 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 50 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 51 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant placing his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ,

against her will. 1 **COUNT 52 - SEXUAL ASSAULT** did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject 3 ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by 4 said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, 5 against her will. 6 **COUNT 53 - SEXUAL ASSAULT** did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by 9 10 said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will. 11 **COUNT 54 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS** 12 did, then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness 13 by said Defendant masturbate his penis in view of ROXANA PEREZ. 14 15 DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY 16 Nevada Bar #002781 17 BY /s/ STACY KOLLINS 18 STACY KOLLINS Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005391 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this
2	Information are as follows:
3	GIBSON, CHRISTOPHER; LVMPD#14009
4	JAEGER, RYAN; LVMPD#05587
5	PAGE, LELAND or Designee; COURT INTERPRETER
6	PEREZ, ROXANA; 3937 SPENCER ST. #75, LVN 89119
7	RODRIGUEZ, ROSA; 3937 SPENCER ST. #75, LVN 89119
8	RODRIGUEZ-RUIZ, JANET; 500 MILLER AVE. #18, NLV 89030
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	DA#10F09697X/hjc/SVU LVMPD EV#0912174008
28	(TK11)

Electronically Filed 01/26/2011 12:36:58 PM

1	INFO	Alm to Column
2	DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar #002781 CHRISTOPHER PANDELIS	
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009143	
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUNT	TY, NEVADA
9		
.0	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
. 1	Plaintiff,	Case No: C268285
2	-VS-	Dept No: XIV
.3	GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA,	AMENDED
4	#2755564	INFORMATION
.5	Defendant.	
.6	STATE OF NEVADA)	
7	COUNTY OF CLARK)	
.8	DAVID ROGER, District Attorney	within and for the County of Clark, State of
9	Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the	he State of Nevada, informs the Court:
20	That GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NO	VOA, the Defendant(s) above named, having
21	committed the crimes of SEXUAL ASSAUL	T WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF
22	14 (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366), LEWI	ONESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE
23	OF 14 (Felony - NRS 201.230), SEXUAL	ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE
24	AGE OF 16 (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.3	66), OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS (gross
25	misdemeanor - NRS 201.220) and SEX	TUAL ASSAULT (Felony - NRS 200.364,
26	200.366) and in the manner following, to-v	wit: That the said Defendant, on or between
27	February 1, 2005 and December 31, 2009,	at and within the County of Clark, State of
28	Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effective	ct of statutes in such cases made and provided,

and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 2 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 3 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or breast(s) and/or body of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 4 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew,

or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 5 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 6 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 7 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 8 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant

using his mouth and/or tongue to touch and/or kiss and/or lick the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 9 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or breast(s) and/or body of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 10 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 11 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 12 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the

genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 13 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 14- SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 15 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

28 //

//

COUNT 16 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

3 4 5

6

9

10

11 12 13

15

16

14

17 18 19

20 21

23

22

25

27

28

24 26

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 18 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 19 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 20 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS

3 4

by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant.

COUNT 21 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or buttock(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

did then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness

COUNT 22 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 23 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

27

//

28

<u>CO</u>

COUNT 24 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 25 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 26 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 27 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

4

6

8

9

10

111213

1415

1617

1819

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

COUNT 28 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 29 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 30 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 31 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew,

or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 32 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 33 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 34 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 35 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of

the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 36 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 37 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 38 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 39 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA

PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 40 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 41 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 42 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

27 //

//

COUNT 43 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 44 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 45 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS

did then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant.

COUNT 46 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

27 //

//

COUNT 47 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 48 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 49 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 50 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant placing his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

COUNT 51 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by said Defendant inserting his penis into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

27 | //

1	COUNT 52 - SEXUAL ASSAULT
2	did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject
3	ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by
4	said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ,
5	against her will.
6	COUNT 53 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS
7	did then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness
8	by said Defendant masturbate his penis in view of ROXANA PEREZ.
9	DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY
10	Nevada Bar #002781
11	
12	BY /s//CHRISTOPHER PANDELIS CHRISTOPHER PANDELIS
13	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009143
14	110 Vada 15a1 1/10051 15
15	
16	
17	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this
18	Information are as follows:
19	GIBSON, CHRISTOPHER; LVMPD#14009
20	JAEGER, RYAN; LVMPD#05587
21	PAGE, LELAND or Designee; COURT INTERPRETER
22	PEREZ, ROXANA; 3937 SPENCER ST. #75, LVN 89119
23	RODRIGUEZ, ROSA; 3937 SPENCER ST. #75, LVN 89119
24	RODRIGUEZ-RUIZ, JANET; 500 MILLER AVE. #18, NLV 89030
25	
26	
27	DA#10F09697X/mmw/SVU LVMPD EV#0912174008
28	(TK11)

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **INFO** STEVEN B. WOLFSON ORIGINAL MAY 2 2-2012 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 MICHELLE FLECK Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #010040 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 5 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 C-10-268285-1 AINF Amended Information DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 C268285 Case No: THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 XX Dept No: Plaintiff. 11 12 -VS-SECOND AMENDED 13 GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, #2755564 INFORMATION 14 Defendant. 15 16 STATE OF NEVADA SS. **COUNTY OF CLARK** 17 DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of 18 Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court: 19 That GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, the Defendant(s) above named, having 20 committed the crimes of SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 21 14 (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366), LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD 22 UNDER THE AGE OF 14 (Category A Felony - NRS 201.230), SEXUAL ASSAULT 23 WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16 (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 24 200.366), OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS (Gross Misdemeanor - NRS 201.220) and 25 SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366) and in the manner 26 following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or between February 1, 2005 and December 27 31, 2009, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and

28

C.\DOCUMENTS AND SETTINGS\EVERETTI\LOCAL 600033 TEMPORAR

effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 2 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 3 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or breast(s) and/or body of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

<u>COUNT 4</u> - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of

.22

the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 5 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 6 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 7 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 8 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit:

ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his mouth and/or tongue to touch and/or kiss and/or lick the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 9 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 10 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 11 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS

did then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness by said Defendant masturbating his penis in view of ROXANA PEREZ.

COUNT 12 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 13 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 14 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 15 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 16 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the genital area and/or buttock(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or

gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 17 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 18 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 19 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant using his hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or fondle the breast(s) of the said ROXANA PEREZ, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 20 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or

should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 21 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, towit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANNA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 22 - LEWDNESS WITH A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 14

did then and there willfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body, or any part or member thereof, a child, to-wit: ROXANNA PEREZ, said child being under the age of fourteen years, by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child.

COUNT 23 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 24 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital

opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 25 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 26 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 27 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 28 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA

PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 29 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16 did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit:

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 30 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER THE AGE OF 16

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANNA PEREZ, a female child under sixteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said ROXANA PEREZ was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 31 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS

did then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant.

COUNT 32 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by said Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against her will.

27 ///

28 ///

COUNT 33 - SEXUAL ASSAULT 1 did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject 2 ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: cunnilingus, by said 3 Defendant placing his mouth and/or tongue on and/or into the genital opening of the said 4 5 ROXANA PEREZ, against her will. 6 COUNT 34 - SEXUAL ASSAULT 7 did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by 8 9 said Defendant placing his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, 10 against her will. COUNT 35 - SEXUAL ASSAULT 11 did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject 12 13 ROXANA PEREZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by said Defendant inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said ROXANA PEREZ, against 14 15 her will. 16 COUNT 36 - OPEN OR GROSS LEWDNESS did then and there willfully and unlawfully commit an act of open or gross lewdness 17 18 by said Defendant causing and/or directing the said ROXANA PEREZ to use her hand(s) and/or finger(s) to touch and/or rub and/or masturbate the penis of said Defendant. 19 20 STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY 21 Nevada Bar #001565 22 BY23 MICHELLE FLECK Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010040 24 25 26 27 DA#10F09697X/jm/SVU LVMPD EV#0912174008

28

(TK11)

TRAN

Alun D. Column **CLERK OF THE COURT**

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, CASE NO. C268285-1 DEPT NO. XX VS. GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEROME TAO, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, MAY 21, 2012

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ.

> NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant: MIKE FELICIANO, ESQ.

AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

Interpreters: Lorena Pike

Maria Peralta de Gomez

Michael Barry Yul Haasman Sylvia Page

Mario Maldorado

RECORDED BY SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, MAY 21, 2012, 9:01 A.M.	
2	* * * *	
3	(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)	
4	THE COURT: This is State versus Guillermo	
5	Renteria-Novoa, C268285. This is the time set for the jury	
6	trial. Can everybody state their appearances for the record.	
7	MS. FLECK: Michelle Fleck for the State.	
8	MR. GRAHAM: Nick Graham for the State.	
9	MR. FELICIANO: Mike Feliciano for the defense.	
10	MS. FELICIANO: Amy Feliciano for the defense.	
11	THE COURT: All right. And I'll note that the	
12	defendant is present in custody with the assistance of a	
13	Spanish interpreter. For the record, Madam Interpreter, what	
14	is your name?	
15	THE INTERPRETER: Lorena Pike, L-o-r-e-n-a, P-i-k-e.	
16	THE COURT: Can you hear her, Sara? Okay.	
17	All right. We are outside the presence of the jury.	
18	Was there anything that either side wanted to address before	
19	we start rounding up the jury?	
20	MR. FELICIANO: Not from us, Judge.	
21	MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, it's my understanding now	
22	that the defense intends to bring in the fact that the victim	
23	in this case was pregnant at the time that the police found	
24	out and she disclosed to the police what had been happening	

25 since 2004, or 2005.

Mike Feliciano and I had discussed it before, and he had said — I said, you know, I'm going to obviously object to that information coming in. He said, I don't see how it would come in or what basis I would have to bring it in, so I haven't done any motions to exclude it. And now it's my understanding that they'd like to bring that in.

I don't see how her being pregnant is relevant. Furthermore, I think that we would have had to have some sort of hearing if they wanted to get into any kind of other sexual conduct of the victim, so.

THE COURT: Mike Feliciano, your response.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we're not offering — first of all, we would submit that rape shield doesn't apply in this case. We're not offering it to challenge her credibility. We're offering it as a motive for her to make the disclosure. When she disclosed to the — to her cousin, I believe, then to her aunt she was pregnant at the time and that along with it looks like an inappropriate relationship with her cousin.

So based on those two things, we would be bringing them in not to challenge her credibility, but to show the purpose of why she disclosed and her motive for possibly fabricating.

MS. FELICIANO: And she also tells the detective, the lead detective on the case, Jaeger, that the disclosure to her mom comes out because she has to tell her mom she's pregnant.

She then tells her mom she's pregnant. After that she tells her mom that she's had a sexual relationship with her cousin, and then about the conduct with Mr. Renteria.

So part of her disclosure to her mom is because she has to tell her mom she is pregnant. Therefore we're not introducing it for one of the inadmissible purposes under NRS 50.090, the rape shield law. It goes toward her motive in this case to disclose.

THE COURT: Well, all right. Explain to me how that goes to motive. She's not saying I'm pregnant and he's the father, so what — she's already identified the father, and also saying that Mr. Renteria—Novoa supposedly did what he did. So what exactly — I don't understand your argument factually.

MS. FLECK: And furthermore, we have to clear that — clear it up even factually, because that's not at all how it happens. The way that it happens is defendant is repeatedly calling and texting the victim, threatening her, sending text messages that say, If you don't call me back I'm going to tell your family what you did with your cousin back in 2004. If you don't pick up the phone I'm going to ruin your life.

He ends up calling a cousin, Maritza, at her work and says, If Roxana doesn't call me, I'm going to tell everyone hurtful things about her. I'm going to ruin her life. The cousin says, What are you talking about. They have absolutely

,

no idea. So it's because of what he says to the cousin that the whole thing blows up.

They obviously confront Roxana. She breaks down and says he was — he's been harassing me, goes to the aunt. Aunt comes and says, What's going on. She says, He's been harassing me, and then starts to disclose a little bit about what's been going on. But it had absolutely nothing to do with her being pregnant.

Mom finds out about the relationship with the defendant, and then some weeks later finds out about her being pregnant with her — from her boyfriend, teenage boyfriend. They're — they were completely separate issues. And what she says to the police basically is, I feel so — such a relief like, you know, the reason that I've been doing this for five years is because he's been threatening me.

He's been holding this over my head, and now finally it's all out in the open; the fact that I'm pregnant, the fact that I had this relationship with my cousin in 2004, and the fact that I've been forced to, you know, be the defendant's sex toy for the last four years.

So that's kind of the course of events with the disclosure. So factually that's — that's actually not correct.

MS. FELICIANO: That's not what's contained in the declaration of warrant. In the declaration of warrant the

officer says Roxana had just told her mother she was pregnant.

Once she started to talk to her mother about being pregnant,

she told everything she did with her cousin in 2004, and

everything about Guillermo. So that gives her a motive to

fabricate.

A 16-year-old teenager who's pregnant, who has to disclose to her mother that she's pregnant gives her a motive to fabricate an allegation of sexual abuse. It goes to our defense theory. We're not introducing it for an inadmissible purpose, and we're entitled to present evidence of her motive.

THE COURT: Well, okay. Explain to me again factually why this is evidence of motive. I mean, the typical case is, all right, the girl ends up pregnant. She can no longer hide it from parents, so she arguably makes up, oh, I was raped by this guy and that's why I'm pregnant. I'm not engaging in sexual acts, I'm not, you know, doing those kind of things, I was raped by such and such person.

But in this case, I mean, how was this evidence of motive when she says I am pregnant by this other guy and by the way, this other guy, Guillermo Renteria-Novoa, who did not make me pregnant, also engaged in — I'll use the word loosely, but sexual acts with me. So how exactly is that even arguably motive?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, because if she is going to be in trouble with her mother for being pregnant --

1	
2	l ti
3	s.
4	
5	0.
6	a
7	
8	a
9	
10	M
11	
12	h
13	 e.
14	t t
15	I
16	t.
17	g
18	
19	 1.
20	
21	S.
22	1

24

25

THE COURT: But now she's going to be in more trouble. So how -- isn't the opposite of motive, because now she has two people that she's had sex with.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, not if -- not if she's a victim of a crime. If she's a victim of a crime, that would cut against her being in trouble.

THE COURT: Is she saying that the person who's actually the father of this child raped her?

MR. FELICIANO: No. No. We're talking about Mr. Novoa here.

MS. FELICIANO: She's blaming Mr. Novoa for raping her to get her out of trouble for being pregnant by somebody else. If she tells her mom that she's pregnant, she's going to get in trouble. But if she says at the same time, oh, and I've been sexually abused by your ex-boyfriend for years, that's going to minimize any amount of trouble she would have gotten in for being pregnant in the first place.

MS. FLECK: Well, again, if the disclosure happened like that. But the disclosure doesn't happen like that.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, this really should have been the subject of a motion, so I could have looked at all this stuff before today. Because now you're arguing the facts about what's in the police report, what's in her statement, which none of which I actually even have.

I'm not even sure I have a copy of the -- well, I

1	have a copy of the police report, but I don't have a copy of	
2	anything else in here. I have a copy of the transcript of the	
3	prelim. But I'm assuming this didn't come up in the prelim,	
4	or did it? I don't even know.	
5	MS. FLECK: No, it didn't.	
6	MR. FELICIANO: It did not.	
7	THE COURT: So how is it that Mike Feliciano, that	
8	you intend to even get this into evidence? Are you just going	
9	to ask the victim when she takes the stand, or do you have	
10	other evidence that you wish to introduce?	
11	MR. FELICIANO: We would ask her or we could ask her	
12	mother. It's going to be and the detective that she	
13	actually told this to.	
14	MS. FELICIANO: If she denies making the statement on	
15	the stand. Because it is contained in the declaration of	
16	warrant. She told that to the police.	
17	MS. FLECK: Well, but just because that's how he	
18	summarized it doesn't mean that that's how the events went	
19	down. I mean, her saying I feel so much better because I've	
20	gotten all of this off my chest	
21	THE COURT: Right. I mean, here's the question, is	
22	you can't I mean, you're not going to cross her on the	

MS. FELICIANO: We can cross her on the statements

She may not have ever seen the police report.

23

24

25

police report, right? She didn't write the police report.

that she made to the officer --

THE COURT: Right. But --

MS. FELICIANO: — and if she denies making them, then we can raise it with the detective as a prior inconsistent statement. We're entitled under our defense to be able to cross—examine on this information.

We're not introducing this — rape shield only prevents us from raising this type of issue to challenge her character, or her credibility as a witness. We're not saying that. This goes directly to the heart of her motive to fabricate.

MS. FLECK: But how is there a motive to fabricate when the defendant himself has admitted that he was in a sexual relationship with her? So where's the fabrication, number one? I mean, you can't just say that she's fabricating it and — as a conclusory statement. He admits that he had a sexual relationship with her since he walked in on her with her cousin. So —

THE COURT: All right. Hang on. I'm looking at the police report. What page are you talking about?

MS. FELICIANO: It's the declaration of warrant.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm looking at it. Where is it?

MS. FELICIANO: It's on page 2, at the very bottom.

The last paragraph beginning with, "While I was photographing Roxana's phone."

THE COURT: How does the police officer get here?
How was he even doing this interview?

MR. FELICIANO: He — first it looks like patrol comes out and then later on he does this statement. He does a statement with — he does a voluntary statement with Roxana, the complaining witness in the case.

MS. FLECK: But it's — the thing is, is the family finds out about what's going on with the defendant. He calls the cousin in — on November 29, he calls the cousin and says, Make sure Roxana calls me or she's going to — or she's going to regret it. That's — then it starts to go through the family of like, you know, what's he talking about, you know, what was the extent of this relationship.

It isn't — she doesn't talk to the detective until the beginning of January. So it's like a month later that she has that conversation with the detective. It's within that month that she's able to disclose then what happened, or the fact that she's pregnant. So the two didn't have anything to do with each other.

Mom finds out first about defendant. And the only reason that it was disclosed, the only reason it came to light is because of the defendant and his actions.

THE COURT: All right. So here's the situation.

Ms. Fleck is at least saying that you provided, one of you provided her assurances before today that you weren't going to

go into this. Did that happen?

MR. FELICIANO: We discussed it. I didn't say that we absolutely were not going to get into it. But I said — we discussed it in passing and I said I wasn't sure if that was something we're going to get into.

After looking at it and talking — and speaking with Ms. Porray [phonetic] and preparing our case, it's actually a very important part of it and a very important part of the disclosure. And it's part of our theory of defense and we're entitled to get into it.

MS. FLECK: Well, then I would just ask, because it — and I'm not going to hold them to an assurance if he — they have changed something thus far. That's no problem. He specifically said, I don't see any possible way I can get into it. But that being said, if we're going to have to litigate it, then I would just ask that we put it all in writing, because I need to prepare an opposition.

THE COURT: So Ms. Fleck, this statement that you were referring to that you say is factually different than what's in the declaration [unintelligible], what statement is that, that you're talking about? Is that just from questioning the victim, or —

MS. FLECK: What are you — which one are you referring to?

THE COURT: Well, you said that -- initially I asked

factually how did this statement of the pregnancy come into light, and they said that --

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, that's from — that's from all of the witnesses. So we know from Maritza, who is the victim's cousin. The whole family kind of works at Subway. The defendant calls Maritza and says, Make Roxana call me. We have phone records showing that through the months of November and December the defendant incessantly calls the victim.

Only twice, I think, through those entire months does she return any phone calls or call him, any calls are made from her phone to his phone. So he's — there's evidence that he's calling her incessantly. There's text messages showing him threatening and saying, If you don't call me, I'm going to tell the family you were with Yahir. Call me or you'll regret it.

Call me, call me, call me, call me. Call me now.

The texts and the calls together. He finally gets ahold of

Maritza on November 29th of 2009, says to her, Tell Roxana to

call me or she'll regret it. That is what spurs an

investigation of the family into what had been going on.

Maritza said — has a conversation with the defendant saying, Why are you threatening her, what's going on, I don't understand this. He continues in that conversation. Maritza then confronts the victim.

THE COURT: Well, how do you -- Ms. Fleck, let me ask

you this. This is not a legal question, it's a practical question. I mean, I wish I'd had a chance to study this before we came in today. But how does it even hurt you?

Because it seems like the way the police report is written, and I don't know what the witnesses say obviously, the whole — the leverage that Guillermo says that he has over her is that he knows about her relationship with her cousin. And he even says right here, And answer the phone or I'll tell everyone what you did with your cousin.

So once it's out that she tells her mom — in fact, it says here right after that statement on page 2, Now that her mother knew about what she did with the cousin back in 2004, she felt like Guillermo didn't have anymore leverage over her. So how does that even hurt you, that she is pregnant?

MS. FLECK: She's not pregnant by the cousin. She has a relationship with the cousin back in 2004, when she's 11 years old and he's a teenager, and they're like, you know, experimenting around under a blanket kissy face. Okay. The cousin goes on to get married. The cousin goes on to have a baby and has a whole nother life.

She now as a teenage girl at 16 years old has a boyfriend. She gets pregnant by that boyfriend as a teenager. So now they want to come in and — I mean, there's no other reason to bring that information up but to make her look like

she's having a relationship with the cousin --

THE COURT: So okay. Wait. All along I thought that her cousin was the father of this child.

MS. FLECK: No.

THE COURT: You're saying that it's not?

MR. FELICIANO: No.

MS. FLECK: Completely different.

MR. FELICIANO: No. It's somebody else.

THE COURT: So how exactly — okay. So now you really need to explain this to me, Mike Feliciano, because then how does this have anything to do with anything if — because the two things that from the police report appear to be linked is the relationship with her cousin and this whole thing with the defendant, and one being leverage for the other.

So what does her pregnancy by someone else have to do with anything other than just to make her look like she's engaging in sexual relations with lots of different people?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, it — what we're trying to get at here is if she is pregnant, and when she discloses her pregnancy she can also disclose if she is a victim of a crime, she is going to be treated less harshly by her family and by her mother. That's what we're getting at.

It doesn't really matter who she's pregnant from.

It's just the fact that she's pregnant and she's scared and

1	S
2	S
3	a
4	h
5	f
6	
7	f
8	t
9	S
10	W
11	S
12	S
13	
14	g
15	
16	
17	
18	S
19	e
20	

22

23

24

25

she has to tell her mother. That's one of the factors that she says she was so stressed out about was her being pregnant and the fact that — her allegations about Mr. Novoa harassing her. So based on that, that would give her a motive to fabricate certain allegations.

MS. FLECK: But again, she — the only reason anyone finds out is because the defendant is obsessing on her and texting her and harassing her. That's the — she doesn't — she doesn't get caught doing anything and then have to come up with something. It has nothing to do with her. She's stressed out because he's been basically harassing her for a solid five years.

THE COURT: Is she pregnant now? I mean, is she going to walk on the stand and --

MS. FLECK: She's had the child now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FELICIANO: And we're not going to get up and say she's pregnant by some other guy or anything like that. It's exactly as Mike Feliciano said, she's pregnant and she's scared. We're not — we're not trying to —

MS. FLECK: But where's the --

MS. FELICIANO: -- darken her character.

MS. FLECK: Where's the fabrication when the defendant has admitted it? I don't understand that. The defendant has given a statement and he has admitted to

having -- he's admitted to numerous lewdnesses at the very least.

So where is it — and he — factually it's that he walks in on the cousin, that he then uses that. He is protecting her from, you know, the family finding out, and they start a sexual relationship. His excuse is that it's consensual. So where is the fabrication?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we don't need to divulge our entire case strategy to Ms. Fleck before we start trial. I think what I've explained is sufficient to show why we should be able to get into the fact that she's pregnant.

Like I said, we're not — we're not going to say
who — we don't really care who she's pregnant by. She can be
pregnant by her cousin or somebody else. It doesn't matter.
The fact is she was scared, she was stressed out, and that's a
motive for her to fabricate.

MS. FLECK: Well, you don't have to disclose — they don't have to disclose their defense strategy. However, they have to have a factual basis that comports with the law under what their rationale is and reasoning is for getting this information in, and it doesn't compute.

They can't just again, make a conclusory statement that it's to show that she has a motive to fabricate, yet there's proof she isn't fabricating, and the timeline shows that she — the disclosure of the pregnancy has absolutely

nothing to do with the disclosure of the abuse. 1 Wait. When was she pregnant relative to 2 THE COURT: when this conversation with the detective occurred? 3 MR. FELICIANO: 4 She was pregnant, it looked like --Then. MS. FELICIANO: MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. She looked like she had 6 7 probably just found out. No. She was like four or five -- four --MS. FLECK: 9 about four months pregnant. MS. FELICIANO: She would have found out she was 10 pregnant shortly before the allegation surfaced. 11 12 MR. FELICIANO: So that would have been sometime 13 before December 17. 14 THE COURT: Well, all right. What you're going to 15 need to do is this. I mean, I'm still not sure I really... 16 I'm still not sure I really understand why factually 17 her pregnancy by someone who's completely unconnected with the case gives her motive to lie about Guillermo. But what you 18 19 guys need to do is -- I mean, it doesn't sound like we're going to get very far today anyway, is I mean, brief it up and 20 explain it for me. 21 22 Because I mean, it's -- you're putting me in a difficult position where you're throwing it out at me 23 verbally, and I'm sitting here rifling through the file trying 24 25 to find all these factual statements, and trying to put it

together while you guys are just kind of talking at me.

So whose motion should this be? Is it the State's motion to strike it, or is it the defense's motion to admit it? I don't care either way, because we're kind of, you know, we're — I mean, frankly, this should have been, you know, like I said, done before today so I could have read this stuff and specifically looked at this stuff before I came in here.

But so who wants to be the person to file the motion and who wants to be the person responding? And then that way what I need is I need time to go through this thing tonight specifically with this in mind. I mean, I went through the file, but I didn't specifically look at this issue. It didn't seem like it was a big issue at the time.

MS. FLECK: The thing is that I don't mind doing my — the frustration is, is that this is something that I contemplated and I spoke with Mr. Feliciano specifically about it and said this is an issue I'm going to have. I would — I would have absolutely done a motion in limine to preclude it. He specifically said, I don't see any basis that that would come in anyway. Otherwise I would have already done my motion in limine.

THE COURT: And when did this conversation occur?

MS. FLECK: At least a month ago. So it would be my preference to have the defense do it, and I would be happy to do an opposition. Or we can just submit, both of us submit

some kind of a brief to you as our --

THE COURT: Yeah. It doesn't have to be a lot. I mean, like I said, my handicap right now is you guys are giving me facts, making factual representations and I'm sitting here rifling through the file while you guys say things, to see, you know, what other witnesses and what the police report says, and that's not a very good way of doing things.

So what I need is just something short, you know, where you explain exactly what your position is, the relevance of it, and maybe a couple citations. It doesn't have to be this whole, you know, give me a thousand cases kind of a thing.

So why don't we do this. Why don't we make it a defense motion to admit when I know that you guys are both here. I don't know when you guys can file this motion. I mean, obviously we need to resolve this before — who would be the first witness that this might come in through?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, we need to resolve it before opening.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FELICIANO: Before opening statements.

THE COURT: And we might get to opening this afternoon.

MS. FELICIANO: This is our defense theory. This is

KARR REPORTING, INC.

how we're preparing our case.

MS. FLECK: I just — I don't understand how we're just hearing about this if it's your — if it's the defense theory and we've had a whole conversation about it coming in or not coming in, and then to find out right before we pick the jury that the defense theory rests on something that we have agreed would not come in.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, we never agreed that it would not come in.

MS. FLECK: But we did, because that was the conversation.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, whether or not there was an agreement, I guess what I'm a little bit concerned, if you're making an affirmative representation that oh, I don't see any basis for getting this in, you're kind of misleading Ms. Fleck.

MR. FELICIANO: I told her this morning that we did intend to get into it and that we could address that with the Court this morning. That's why we came in and told her before and we didn't just start off in our opening talking about it.

MS. FLECK: Oh, well, that would have been interesting.

THE COURT: All right. So is any of this discussed in the prelim transcript? I honestly just don't remember.

MR. FELICIANO: The fact that she's pregnant?

THE COURT: Right.

2

MS. FLECK: I believe that it was -- I think that

3

they tried to get into it and it was --

4

MR. FELICIANO: I was shut down at the prelim.

5

MS. FLECK: -- ruled inadmissible.

6

THE COURT: Okay. And by the way, one other thing.

MS. FLECK: I have. I prepared it this morning and

7

Ms. Fleck, last time we were here at calendar call, you

8

indicated that you were going to file an amended Information

(

that sort of streamlined the charges. Has that been done, or

10

do you plan on doing that still?

11

it was down to, I think, 37 counts. I had changed the body of

13

12

two of the opening grosses. The defense has objected to that.

14

And it's no problem, I'll go back over lunch and switch them

15

back to the way that they originally were. But we're at 37

counts now and but for that objection which I will change, I

17

16

think that the defense was -- it has accepted that, the second

18

amended.

this?

THE COURT: Okay. And then while we're discussing

20

19

housekeeping matters, I have a proposed set of jury

21

instructions from the State. Have you guys received a copy of

22

23

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah.

24

THE COURT: And do you have any objections or do you

25

have any other proposed instructions on the way, or what's the

status?

MR. FELICIANO: We will have instructions.

MS. FLECK: And clearly I have not changed. The instructions are as they are, but I didn't — I have not changed the Information within those instructions or the verdict form.

THE COURT: Right. Obviously they need to be done to match. Right. All right. Well, I mean, the question right now is what are we going to do about the pregnancy issue, because as I said, I spent some time this weekend going through the file, but I didn't realize this was going to be an issue, so I didn't specifically look for anything related to this.

So your theory is that in order to lessen the severity of the punishment that she knows is coming because she's pregnant, she just starts launching allegations against other people; that's the idea?

MR. FELICIANO: That she makes allegations against our client.

THE COURT: Why? Why him in particular? He's not the person who got her pregnant, right?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, she has the text messages. She has the phone calls. She does have some type of proof of some type of harassment that she can show her family, so he's the perfect person to implicate.

1	
2	re.
3	wi [.]
4	De
5	ot:
6	wh
7	
8	sh.
9	
10	
11	ea
12	
13	ne
14	
15	on
16	
17	"T
18	CO:
19	cr

22

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: So where does the admission part become relevant? He has admitted that he had a sexual relationship with her from the time he caught her with her cousin until December of 2009. He admits it. How can the defense admit otherwise inadmissible evidence to show a motive to fabricate when by the defendant's own admissions it is true?

THE COURT: Does anyone have a copy of the rape shield statute with them?

MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

MS. FELICIANO: I do. That's what I was citing to earlier. It's 50.090.

MS. FLECK: And I think it's Miller/State we need to...

MR. FELICIANO: I do have some of my own handwriting on it, but there's the statute.

"The accused may not present evidence of any previous sexual conduct of the victim of the crime to challenge the victim's credibility — unless the prosecutor has presented evidence or the victim has testified concerning such conduct, or the absence of — conduct, in which case the scope of the — cross-examination — must be limited to the evidence presented by the prosecutor or victim."

MS. FLECK: So it's clear rape shield.

THE COURT: I mean, presumably, Ms. Fleck, I don't

know if you're planning on getting into the pregnancy, but I guess what I'm doing is I'm starting backwards with this statute. The last phrase is, In which case the scope of the cross-examination must be limited to the evidence presented by the prosecutor or the victim.

So if you're not introducing the pregnancy, how do you get to introduce it? Isn't that beyond the scope of what they've introduced?

MS. FELICIANO: No, it's not. They're not introducing the pregnancy, and it doesn't fit under rape shield. This goes toward the motive. We're not attacking her credibility and saying you sleep with a lot of men therefore, you know, you must have slept with him or something. That's what rape shield is designed to protect against.

We're introducing it under motive. And that's Davis v. Alaska. And the court has also addressed other exceptions to the rape shield. For example, Summitt, which is 101 Nev. 159, deals with allowing information for children of previous sexual encounters to show knowledge of sexual activity, that it could have come from another source. There is Miller vs. State, which is 105 Nev. 497, which allows prior false allegations.

There's Drake vs. State, which is 108 Nev. 523, where the Court allowed previous prostitution arrests to show criminal dishonesty and sexual crimes. It went directly to

the defendant — I'm sorry, the alleged victim's motive. And there's Cox vs. State, which is 102 Nev. 253, where the court allowed the fact that the victim applied for an escort license to rebut the victim's good character evidence and go toward the defendant's theory of defense that the victim offered sex for money.

So when it goes toward the defense's theory of the case and the alleged victim's motive to fabricate, it doesn't fall under rape shield. We're not introducing this to show consent with Mr. Renteria or anything like that.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Judge — okay. A Miller hearing, that's a prior false allegation. You have — Miller v. State, it's a prior false allegation. The defense has to be able to come in and show that a prior false allegation was made if their theory of the defense is this particular victim lies, has lied in the past, and has falsely accused.

First they have to be able to show in that specific hearing that it was a false allegation. Completely and totally different than what we have here, not on point even remotely close. So Miller, that's not about challenging credibility. That's affirmatively saying somebody has made a false allegation of sexual abuse in the past. Nothing to do with what we have here.

Drake and Cox, Drake is that prior sexual conduct of someone who has been charged with soliciting or has been a

hooker, that that can come in, in order to show, you know, the course of conduct in a case. Obviously has nothing to do with here. That's not just challenging the victim's credibility. That is very specific as to past soliciting for purposes of prostitution.

And Summitt, that's not on point either at all. That goes to in that case it was a six-year-old victim. The relevance was prior sexual knowledge, to show that the victim had had prior sexual conduct and that that was a basis of knowledge for why she would testify the way that she did, or have the sexual knowledge that she had in that particular case.

So none of those cases are on point at all. Rape shield absolutely applies here. It's textbook. They want to get in prior sexual conduct of a victim for no other purpose but to show that she's had prior sexual conduct and that she was sexually active at that time.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, what's the —— explain to me what's the difference between motive to lie and challenging the credibility of the witness. Aren't they the same thing?

MS. FELICIANO: No. We're allowed under the law to present a motive to lie. That's our defense theory.

THE COURT: How is that any different than challenging the victim's credibility as a witness?

MS. FELICIANO: What the State is saying is that

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're challenging credibility by we're introducing this to say that she's sexually active. And we have said specifically we're not introducing this to say that she's sexually active. We're introducing this to show why she would fabricate an allegation to get herself out of trouble.

MS. FLECK: But they have to have a factual basis with which to make that statement and that argument. There is no factual basis. Even then they can't. That's not what the statute says. The statute specifically says they don't get into prior conduct unless I get into it first, and then they get to rebut it.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, here's my question. You keep saying motive to lie. You're not -- I mean, the statute specifically says you can't use it to challenge the victim's credibility, and your response is, well, it's a motive to lie.

> MS. FELICIANO: Right.

THE COURT: How are they two different things? A motive to lie, is that not challenging her credibility as a witness?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, motive is something that we're -- a motive to lie, yes, in the sense of the grand --THE COURT: A motive to not be a credible witness --MS. FELICIANO: -- the grand scheme of things.

THE COURT: -- how is that not challenging the victim's credibility?

MS. FELICIANO: The statute is designed to protect against attacks against credibility based on sexual conduct; for example, that she's promiscuous or something like that, attack credibility in that way.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FELICIANO: It's not designed to say that it prevents us from getting into a motive to lie or a motive to fabricate. And furthermore, under Davis v. Alaska, we're entitled to present that. That's a United States Supreme Court case that says that we're entitled to get into this. It would supersede any statute that says that we're prevented from introducing this based on credibility.

We have a due process right to present our theory of defense. No statute can supersede that.

MS. FLECK: Well, you don't get a theory of defense — I mean, that's like coming into the Court and saying that you want the voluntary manslaughter instructions when there's absolutely no evidence of voluntary manslaughter. You want self-defense instructions when there's absolutely no evidence of self-defense.

You don't get just to — get to just come in and say, well, that's our theory of the case even though it's based on absolutely nothing. And in fact all of the evidence shows otherwise. Then — I mean, it's protected by rape shield as it is, but with the defense, quote/unquote, theory, it's

blatantly for no other reason but to disparage the victim.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, it seems like at this point we seem to be going in circles. What — do you want us to brief it, or how do you want — how would you like to —

THE COURT: You know, the problem is if you guys are going to mention it in the openings, which in theory could happen this afternoon, we have a problem with timing. I mean, I'm kind of concerned about how this whole thing got presented here, where there's at least an allegation that you'd made an assurance that you weren't going to bring this issue up, and now here we are at 9:30 on the morning of trial, and you guys want to mention it this afternoon.

I mean, unless you guys can put together a brief in the next couple hours and they can respond in the next couple hours. And then you come in here and just start throwing facts at me and then you throw cases at me. It would have been nice — I mean, the whole purpose of briefs is that I can read the cases before I come in here knowing that it's an issue, be prepared to address what you guys are talking about.

MS. FLECK: And this Davis v. Alaska is basically them, the defense — it's right of confrontation. It has nothing to do with this.

THE COURT: All right. So what do you propose to do procedurally, given that you guys want to mention this in your opening which could happen this afternoon?

MS. FLECK: I think that based upon the fact that we only have eight witnesses in our case, I believe that jury — picking the jury will take — it's already 10:00. I think that picking the jury will take most of the day. Openings should not be very long.

So I don't see why we couldn't pick our jury today and then open first thing — even if we want to pick — if we get the jury picked, we can pick the jury, you can instruct them. We can even read the Information and get everything done.

THE COURT: Well, except that they want to mention this during their opening.

MS. FLECK: But we can still read the Information and instruct the jury, and then tomorrow morning we'll litigate it right before we do the opening. But everything will be set to open before we come in, including the jury will be instructed and the Information will be read, if we even get that far.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, and if we were ruled against, and there's one issue — if we were ruled against in this issue, if that's something we wanted to take up, that's another concern for the Court, I think. Because we would be taking it up if that's something that we were ruled — something that ruled against us.

THE COURT: Okay. And if it's something that you would have taken up, it didn't occur to you to make this an

issue before we were here with the jury sitting downstairs waiting?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, and this issue, at least in my mind, it seems fairly straightforward. I think we're entitled to get into it. I know the State disagrees. After looking at the case and formulating our theory of defense, this is our theory of defense, so this is something that we have to go with.

And if there was something said a month or so ago before I actually started prepping the case completely for trial and formulated my theory, then I apologize. But this is something that we do have to get into.

MS. FLECK: Well, given that the law is completely against the defense position in this case, I would feel fine with you denying it and...

THE COURT: Well, I mean, as I said, I'm concerned about a couple things. I mean, I know that we're talking about an informal conversation between Mr. Feliciano and Ms. Fleck. But I mean, the way things work as a practical matter, you guys have conversations, everybody has conversations about cases which are designed to sort of narrow the issues.

And in this case it sounds like, Ms. Fleck, you relied on them to not file a motion which you might otherwise have filed, and so I'm a little bit concerned about there being a possible misrepresentation in this case that —

especially if the conversation happened a month ago.

I'm presuming you didn't decide this morning that you were going to try to get this in here. I don't know why you couldn't have had a conversation with her last week, considering we had a two-hour hearing here last week and everybody was in the same room. And now you're saying it's an issue important enough that you want to take it up with the jury sitting downstairs.

I mean, it sounds like if you planned to take it up, you should have done it in a motion, and then you could have gotten a ruling and you could have taken it up — we could have continued the trial. You could have taken it up and seen if this Court would be willing to accept it. But now you want to do it on the fly in the middle of a trial. Suddenly it's that important an issue to you.

On the other hand, I mean, if you're saying this goes to the heart of your defense — and again, I'm kind of unprepared because as I said, this whole issue surprised me. When I went through the file, I didn't think this was going to be an issue at all. In fact, I know so little about the facts of her pregnancy, you know, I'm literally hearing it and reading it for the first time now.

I mean, this is really procedurally not a good way of doing things. You're saying that this is the heart of your case. Well, did it become the heart of your case this

morning, or did you know about it before today, is my question.

MR. FELICIANO: No. I knew about it. I knew about it before today, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, the issue is I'm not — you know, the issue is you've kind of handicapped me. You've obviously — Ms. Fleck obviously feels that you've blindsided her. Whether or not you did is, you know, depends on conversations that I wasn't a part of.

But I certainly feel a little bit blindsided coming in here and, you know, having the jury sitting downstairs and getting ready to go, and suddenly you're throwing this whole issue at me and you're citing cases at me that you didn't bother to bring in a brief anywhere.

And you say you want to do it — you want to mention it in your opening, which, you know, Ms. Fletch is proposing that we do it tomorrow, but still, you know, depending on what ruling you get, I'm assuming that that affects your cross—examination of whatever witnesses are going to come tomorrow or the next day.

Where is the section of the prelim? Does anyone have the page number where you say you tried to get into this?

Does anyone have the page number? It's a 94-page transcript here.

MR. FELICIANO: Page 60. And this isn't really

1	talking about the pregnancy. This is talking about this is
2	where I try to question about the actual conduct that
3	Mr. Renteria would have observed between the complaining
4	witness and
5	THE COURT: Wait. Page 60?
6	MR. FELICIANO: Yes.
7	MS. FLECK: That's about the cousin.
8	THE COURT: Are you sure you have the right page
9	number? I don't see anything in here about her being
10	pregnant.
11	MS. FLECK: No. That's about the cousin.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Well, that's that's the only part
13	where that I remember getting being disallowed to ask
14	questions. I don't think the pregnancy even came up.
15	MS. FLECK: Maybe he's right actually, because it's
16	not even in the
17	THE COURT: No. Because this is about the cousin who
18	you're saying is not the father of the child, right?
19	MR. FELICIANO: Correct. And that's from my now
20	that I've reviewed it, that's where I was disallowed to ask
21	certain questions. The pregnancy never came up. I looked in
22	the index and it doesn't look like the word "pregnant" or
23	pregnancy even appears in the transcript.
24	THE COURT: Well, I mean, the reason I asked is if it
_	

came up at the prelim, if there was -- if you were -- if there

was some attempt at cross-examination, then you would be able 1 2 to make the argument that the State is on notice if that's an issue in the case. But it doesn't seem like that's in the 3 transcript here. 4 MS. FLECK: It's not. MR. FELICIANO: It doesn't appear to be. 6 7 THE COURT: And it's not in the second half of the transcript either? It looks like there's two parts of the 8 transcript here. One is 94 page, one -- oh, no. It looks like the second half is just the argument, right? 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. The considering the amount of counts we needed [inaudible].

THE COURT: Right. Well, the problem with taking Ms. Fleck's suggestion, if we just pick the jury and then we rule on this tomorrow, is depending on what happens tomorrow, I mean, now we've impaneled the jury and now double jeopardy attaches.

MR. FELICIANO: And if — and this would affect our voir dire as well, if we ask questions about pregnancy and things like that. That would definitely affect the questions that we ask in voir dire.

MS. FLECK: I mean, I just — I do not understand how they think that it gets into it — they can get into this, this much through jury selection and everything with the rape shield statute. I mean, it specifically says no prior conduct

comes in to challenge the credibility. None. Zero.

None of the caselaw that they have cited to, not Miller, not Drake, not Summitt, not Cox, not Davis, nothing is even remotely close to being on point to this. So they have no legal basis. And what are they going to do, go up to the supremes with what, with not one case in their favor?

THE COURT: Well, all right. Explain to me again,
Mr. Feliciano or Ms. Feliciano, you're saying that the rape
shield law doesn't apply to this at all, or are you saying
it's an exception to the rape shield law? I want to make sure
I understand your legal argument.

MR. FELICIANO: The rape — in this, what we're trying to get into, her — her using this as a motive to get out of trouble, that is not rape shield. Like Ms. Feliciano said, rape shield is basically to keep us from coming in here and saying that a person is promiscuous, they sleep around, and to undermine their credibility that way, and that's not what we're doing here.

We're just showing this as a reason why the disclosure came about. She was pregnant. She was having the relationship with the cousin, and this was a way for her to minimize the punishment she was going to receive from her mom, or whoever was going to punish her, her family. That's how this comes in.

MS. FLECK: And again, where is the -- where is the

AA 000078

factual basis that that's even how it happened? Legally they have — there is nothing that they can cite to. Nothing.

I mean, even if you go through the cases under rape shield regarding cross-examination, it's, you know, that — there's nothing. There's not one case that they can cite to that's on point. It's a conclusory statement that's not based on law and/or fact. Not one case.

MR. FELICIANO: And Judge, it's not — I mean, I've explained our theory. I've explained why we want to get it in. It's not conclusory. We've made an offer of proof as to why, why we're offering it, so I don't see any reason why it would not come in.

MS. FLECK: Because there's the rape shield law and that's exactly what this prohibits. I mean, the defense could come up with any reason that they want to say that somebody has a motive or a bias or something, and that that's how they overcome the rape shield.

THE COURT: Right. Which is why I asked that question earlier, which is what's the difference between challenging the victim's credibility as defined in the statute and saying she's got a motive to lie. As a practical matter, what's the difference between the two?

MR. FELICIANO: Because we're not up here calling — we're not up here and calling her promiscuous and calling her names and trying to undermine her credibility because she's

promiscuous.

THE COURT: But I mean, as a practical matter, let me ask you a hypothetical. I mean, can't the defense do that in any case to get around rape shield; oh, you slept with 500 men, doesn't that give you a motive to lie? I mean, if you —

MR. FELICIANO: That's not what --

THE COURT: Just by rephrasing it that way --

MR. FELICIANO: Well, no.

THE COURT: -- you can get around the rape shield statute in any case; right or wrong?

MR. FELICIANO: That's -- no. That's not what we're doing. We're not saying you've slept with 500 men and therefore it affects your credibility.

THE COURT: Well, I know we're not saying that in this case. What I'm saying is if you're saying a motive to lie is not the same as — technically as the victim's credibility as defined by the statute, what I'm saying is tell me a case in which I can't make that argument. Tell me a case in which the rape shield law would apply.

Is there any factual circumstance in which the rape shield law prevents sexual conduct if you can just say, well, that's a motive for her to lie?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, in the — in the scenario that you just raised; you've slept with 500 men, therefore you're a liar. But that's not what we're doing. It's not the fact

that she slept with someone and became pregnant. It's the situation that she's in. She is in a scary situation for a 16-year-old where she is going to get in trouble. She's going to get in trouble because — because it just happens to be because she's pregnant.

Maybe she had crashed her mom's car the night before and that's why she was going to get in trouble. That's what we're saying. It's because of this reason she's going to get in trouble. And because she's going to get in trouble for something, then she accuses Mr. Renteria-Novoa of sexually abusing her to get herself out of trouble.

It just so happens that the things she's in trouble for is being pregnant and not crashing her mom's car. But that's what we're saying. It's a drastically different situation than if we were to say to her, well, you have a boyfriend who you sleep with and therefore you're a liar. We're not saying that at all. That would be rape shield.

What we're saying is you're in trouble for something and again, we can't help it just happens she's in trouble for being pregnant, and that gives you then a reason to fabricate an allegation of sexual abuse.

THE COURT: Right. But that — again, that goes back to my question, which is — all right. I mean, you're trying to draw a line here. But my question is, if that's — if I permit this, if I say okay, you're not saying she's a liar

because she slept with somebody and got pregnant; you're saying that because she slept with somebody and got pregnant and was going to be in trouble, that gives her a motive to lie?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, we didn't say — we're not going to say slept with somebody. We're just going to say she's in — she's pregnant and she's scared. She's pregnant and she's scared. She's in trouble. She has to tell her mom.

MS. FLECK: But she's not in trouble. The only reason that — the only reason she tells is because the defendant is harassing her, sending her text messages, showing up at her school, showing up at the bus. She's not in trouble. When the disclosure comes out to the cousins, to the aunts about the defendant having a sexual relationship with her for years, she's not in trouble.

So what do you mean she's telling people this because she's in trouble? The only reason she's telling people this is because the defendant is so obsessed with her and acting so crazy that people start asking questions.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. All right. Let's say for a second — all right. You're saying that you don't need the — that the fact that she slept with somebody per se is not really relevant to your argument, right? So if that's the case, why can't you just get in, well, you were about to be in trouble for something with your mom —

	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

23

24

25

1

I don't know. Let me ask you this as a factual matter. What did the mom do as a consequence? Was she grounded? Was she removed from school? Was there actually any punishment?

MS. FELICIANO: She — everything then switched to being focused on the abuse.

THE COURT: Well, here's my question. All right.

Let's just play with this hypothetical for a second. If

you're saying that this is not rape shield because we're not

talking about her sexual conduct, what if we took the sex out

of it and you just say, well, you were about to be in trouble

for doing something with your cousin, or with —

MS. FLECK: Because she wasn't.

MS. FELICIANO: And just so that this is clear, Judge, the State is going to introduce the conduct with the cousin because it works for their theory. They're going to get into that.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. With the boyfriend then, with the boyfriend.

MS. FELICIANO: We're not talking about -- we're not even going to say it's her boyfriend. We're just going to say one word, pregnant.

MS. FLECK: Because they want to show that at the time she's pregnant. I mean, that's — how is that — she's not in trouble. How — where are they getting from the record

that they have right now, from any of the statements, from anything that she is actually in trouble? They know the timeline of the disclosure. It has nothing to do with her being pregnant.

So they — Summitt v. State, "A defendant in a prosecution in which rape victim shield is applicable must be given an opportunity upon motion to demonstrate that due process requires the admission of evidence concerning the victim's past sexual conduct, because its probative value and the context of the case outweighs its prejudicial effect on the victim."

So they have to be able to show somehow that they overcome this, because it's pure rape shield. And in order to show that they overcome it and that the probative value is more than the prejudice, it has to be based on some sort of fact.

THE COURT: Okay. What I'm trying to do is I'm trying to get into if — I'm trying to flush out your argument here. If you're saying that the sex isn't part of your argument, that's not the point —

MS. FELICIANO: Right.

THE COURT: Then can you ask this question without any reference to the sexual pregnancy?

MS. FELICIANO: If we could --

THE COURT: Because if you can't, that sort of

suggests that the sex is part of your argument.

MS. FELICIANO: No. And if there was another way that we could convey to the jury that she had something really big going on for a teenager that she was scared to tell her mom about, that conveys the emotion of the word pregnant for a 16-year-old, yeah, we could use any other word. That's why I brought up the crashing the car example.

If we could craft something that would say, you know, you're — you have something really big going on in your life that you are scared of. Because, you know, and at the point that the State is saying, well, she's not in trouble, we're getting into arguing our case. You know, that's a decision for the jury.

This is our theory, and which we're allowed to present and we're allowed to argue. You know, the State says, oh, she's not in trouble, that's the State's take on it and what the State will argue. We get to argue our side.

I don't know — I mean, yeah, if we can come up with a word or something that would evoke the connotation of how much fear, I guess, stress. Because the alleged victim says, I was pressured. I was so pressured. I was so stressed out. I was telling my mom that I was pregnant.

MS. FLECK: No. That isn't what she says. What she says is she feels better now that she's gotten everything off of her chest, that her mom knows about what happened with the

cousin, that she doesn't have to --

THE COURT: No, I know. Ms. Fleck, I know what she said. But what I — I guess what I'm trying to flesh out is here's the problem. The problem is you want to use the word "pregnancy" because it's explosive, because it's the only thing that a 16-year-old —

MS. FELICIANO: No. No, no.

THE COURT: -- would feel that much pressure for, right?

MS. FELICIANO: We're using the word because that's what best describes the situation. Not because it's explosive. Not to inflame the jurors. But I can't think of another word that --

THE COURT: Why don't we just say she had a medical condition then? Let me ask you that.

MS. FELICIANO: Well, I mean --

THE COURT: If you're saying you don't want the explosive value of the word pregnancy, why can't we just say you just — you had a medical condition and you didn't want to tell your mom?

MS. FELICIANO: You had a medical condition you didn't want to tell your mom. I would say that as far as presenting that to the jury, that vastly undercuts the defense. A medical condition that you didn't want to tell your mom about doesn't convey to the jury accurately what our

theory is and what's going on in her head. 1 THE COURT: Right. Which raises my question, because you want -- because pregnancy's more explosive than medical 3 condition; right or wrong? 4 MS. FELICIANO: Well, pregnancy is more -- kids don't get in trouble for medical conditions. Kids get in trouble 6 for being teenaged and pregnant. Medical conditions don't 7 last a lifetime of responsibility. Medical conditions don't 8 require, you know, family members to help raise children. It's a big deal. I can't think of another word that conveys 10 the situation. 11 12 THE COURT: Well, the problem is -- I understand you're saying you can't think of another word that conveys the 13 condition. The problem is that word also conveys sexual 14 conduct. 15 16 MS. FELICIANO: Well, the State is already going to 17 introduce that she was engaged in conduct with her cousin. 18 MS. FLECK: Not that -- no. 19 MS. FELICIANO: So I mean --20 What -- I'm not going to say that she was MS. FLECK: engaged in sex with her cousin, because according to her she's 21 22 never had sex with her cousin. What happens is defendant 23 walks in, she's under a blanket with her cousin, and it's his

KARR REPORTING, INC. AA 000087

That's his leverage, that they're

Right.

perception --

THE COURT:

24

25

supposedly --

MS. FLECK: Right. And it's what he believes --

THE COURT: Why he forces her to get -- right.

MS. FLECK: -- was happening.

Can we just somehow address the fact that he's admitted to this relationship?

THE COURT: Well, this is the thing. Let's construe the argument, because they're talking about their defense. I'm sure she's going to say that that's — he's — I don't know. He has some motive to lie or something. I'm just sort of playing with this sort of almost from a civil motion to dismiss point of view, which is let's take all the facts in the light most favorable to the defense and see —

I'm trying to flesh out what it is. You know, they keep saying the rape shield law doesn't apply. I'm trying to figure out why they say it doesn't apply when really you're talking about something that [unintelligible] apply to sexual conduct to attack the credibility of the victim, and I'm — although you say there's a motive —

This is what I'm trying to get at, is if what you're saying is true, you're not trying to rely on the sexual conduct per se in order to challenge her credibility, then what is it that you're doing? Which is why I'm playing with these hypotheticals, because I'm not sure I — maybe I'm not grasping it. Maybe I'm not, you know.

That's what I'm -- because it seems like it's very close to the rape shield law, but you're telling me it's not, and I'm trying to flesh out whether or not -- I'm trying to flesh out what you mean.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, Judge, we're not — like we said, purpose of the rape shield is to keep us from coming up here and saying that she's promiscuous.

THE COURT: And my question was: If you can always get around that by saying, oh, we're not saying she's promiscuous therefore she lies, we're saying that she engaged in some kind of sexual conduct and that's her motive to lie; I'm saying when does the rape shield law ever apply if you can just make that argument then?

Oh, I was — it's not that I had sex with 500 men. I was going to be in trouble for having sex with 500 men and that's why there's a motive to lie; why can't everybody say that in every case and now the rape shield law doesn't mean anything, is my question.

MS. FELICIANO: Because in every case there's not a statement and a declaration of warrant where the alleged victim says I felt so pressured, I told my mom I was pregnant, when we were talking about being pregnant I told her about my cousin, and then I told her about Guillermo. Because in every case there's not a statement that supports our theory.

I read that into the record from the declaration of

warrant, what we're relying on, and because of that then we have a basis to say this is her motive to lie. Other cases there might not be that statement in there. There might not be anything supporting it, and then it wouldn't be admissible.

about — okay. We're kind of mixing up two things. I'm not asking you what factual support you have for your argument. I'm saying as, you know, your argument on its face as a legal matter, you keep saying that's not within the rape shield law. And my question is, if that's not within the rape shield law, what is within the rape shield law?

I'm not asking what the factual support for this statement is. I'm asking as a conceptual matter what does the rape shield cover if it doesn't cover, well, it's not that I'm a slut and that's why I'm lying, it's that I was going to be in trouble for being a slut and that was my motive to lie?

MS. FELICIANO: It covers if we get up and say, jury, you can't believe a word she said and you know why, because she slept with ten guys. You can't believe anything that she said about what happened with him because she slept with three other people. That's what rape shield protects against, saying you can't believe an alleged victim's allegations against a defendant because of any sexual conduct that she's engaged in.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Then we get into Summitt,

probative value versus prejudicial effect. Where on earth is the probative value of this, when all of the evidence in the case shows that all of the disclosures and everything that — every conversation that she had with her mom about the defendant came long before she told her that she was pregnant?

There's no probative value to this based upon all of the evidence in this case. And that's not even — that's being generous to the defense. Because they don't meet any — there's no caselaw, none that tells them that they can get into this and that they override 50.090. Nothing. I mean, what are they going to take to the supremes? There's nothing. They've not cited one case that's relevant.

THE COURT: All right. Well, here's what I'm going to do. In this case, based on what I've heard, I mean, unless there's another way that you can phrase it that doesn't connote sexual contact, my concern is, as I've asked a couple times, is that this — that this is covered by the rape shield law. If you want to get into pregnancy of a teenage girl, you're suggesting that she engaged in sexual conduct.

I know that you want to get out of the rape shield law by saying, well, no, no, it's not the sexual conduct per se, it's the fact that she was going to be in trouble for it, and that's her motive to lie. That just strikes me as — and if that's a loophole that's recognized under the law, then we've basically gutted the rape shield law, because every

everybody can say that.

Oh, it's not the sexual act that we're impeaching her for, it's the fact that there are social consequences to the sexual act, which is kind of what the rape shield law is — that's the whole point of the rape shield law.

And that's my concern, is that the rape shield law says what it says and I'm not convinced that your argument gets you out of the rape shield law just by phrasing it as, well, it's not the sexual conduct, it's the consequences of the sexual conduct that provides her a motive to lie.

And so what I'm going to do is, I guess it depends on — I guess what we're doing is we're saying that this is an oral motion, but is it an oral motion by the State to exclude this, or is it an oral motion by the defense to admit it? I guess it doesn't really matter.

Either way, my — as I indicated, for all the reasons that I've indicated and also the questions that I've asked, I'm concerned that this is covered by the rape shield law and therefore I'm not going to allow reference to the pregnancy.

But if there's another way that you can think of to phrase it, that it's not the sexual conduct, there's some consequence, she's going to be in trouble for something. I don't know if there's some other way to play with that language that doesn't connote that she's had sexual conduct as a minor.

MR. FELICIANO: Here's — I mean, the problem that we run into now is if we're not allowed to get into the pregnancy, we basically are left without a theory of defense. At that point —

THE COURT: Well, this is why I'm asking you --

MR. FELICIANO: -- considering --

THE COURT: No, no. Hang on. This is why I'm asking you if there's another way to get this in, that she was in some kind of considerable trouble, there were going to be serious consequences and that's why she made up this accusation, without referring to the potential explosive issue of we have a minor who's engaging in sexual conduct here.

That's — if there's another way to phrase it, then you still have a chance. I'm just trying to sit here think — thinking, you know, I've only lived with this case for, you know, not very long. You've lived with it for a while. But have you not thought about some alternative way to phrase this?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I don't think any — there's nothing else that really conveys the message of what we're talking about. Just like Ms. Feliciano just mentioned, that a medical condition or something like that really wouldn't convey —

THE COURT: I don't know. Some kind of -- I'm trying to think of --

MR. FELICIANO: Here's our issue. If this is cut out from under us and we're basic — I mean, I don't — I don't see how we're effective, how we can be effective if we don't have our theory of defense.

THE COURT: Well, so what you're say — I just want to make — I want the record to be clear, although I think it might be clear. You're saying that there is no alternative way that you can even think of to phrase that what you're after is not the pregnancy, but the concept that she's going to be in trouble for something and that's her motive to lie. You're saying that there's no other way that you can think of?

Can you think of a way, Ms. Fleck? I don't know.

I'm trying to find some --

MS. FLECK: No. Because then — then the jury, who, you know, is sitting there going, well, what's going on and then they're speculating even more. So I completely —

You know, Judge, it's like me being able to come in here right now and say, well, you know what, I want to get out the fact that a defendant has raped someone in the past. I want to get that out when I've already been precluded to on a bad act. Or I want to get out the fact that — you know, something prejudicial that is inadmissible. And I just come in and say, well, that's the State's theory, so I can't go forward today because that's the State's theory.

The defense doesn't just get to come up with some

1	inadmissible theory of defense and then come in and say, well,
2	then otherwise we're not going to be effective. No.
3	Absolutely not. You don't those are like the buzz words
4	now that are being thrown around, we're going to be
5	ineffective if we can't use an absolutely inadmissible theory
6	of defense.
7	THE COURT: So what is it that you're asking for,
8	Mr. Feliciano, as a practical matter? I mean, you're making a
9	record that you don't believe you can be effective, but what
10	is it that you're asking for?
11	MR. FELICIANO: The Court's indulgence.
12	(Pause in proceedings)
13	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, what we would request is to be
14	able to take this up before we proceed, and ask for a stay.
15	THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Ms. Fleck is making
16	the allegation that about a month ago you had a conversation

stay. ls making rsation and you said that you didn't see any other way of getting this

pregnancy in, or any way to get this pregnancy in, right?

We had --MR. FELICIANO:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did you not have a theory of defense at that point?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we had -- I mean, the theory of defense is not something that we just have in concrete. I had all these facts in front of me. That was a casual conversation.

I didn't say a hundred percent that that's not something we were going to get into. And I — that's why I told her this morning that's something that we plan to get into. That's after discussing it with my co-counsel and flushing the case out more we decided that's what our theory was. So that's why we're here.

MS. FLECK: So again, I get to come in and say that, oh, I just would like to raise the issue that I would like to get into the fact that the defendant has committed a crime in the past. And then I obviously would get ruled against and then I would say, well, I just — I don't think I can go forward. How does the defense get to come in today and say —

THE COURT: Well, because the Sixth Amendment doesn't apply to you, that's why. That's a legal difference.

But anyway. All right. So you're asking to stay the case so you can take this up. The problem is, I mean, as you guys know, the Supreme Court rarely takes up interlocutory appeals. This isn't a final order. And frankly, one of the reasons they rarely take it up is because if we have the trial, if your client's acquitted, there's nothing to take up, right?

So the question is — all right. Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to deny the State, because it's not a final order and we haven't even had the trial yet.

Your client hasn't technically even suffered any

prejudice until the moment he's acquitted — or the moment

he's convicted. He could be acquitted, in which case there's

no prejudice. So that's denied.

So you've made this record about being ineffective. What is it — I mean, are you ready to go forward with the trial or not?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, we were ready to take this up and get a decision from the Supreme Court.

MS. FELICIANO: And just so the record is clear, the prejudice is that we have been utterly gutted of our defense. We have no defense theory to proceed on.

THE COURT: No. I'm not saying — first of all, let me be clear. I'm not saying the Supreme Court won't take it up. They do what they want to do. What I'm saying is as a practical matter, the reason why they so rarely take up these issues on an interlocutory basis is because your client could very well be acquitted at trial, in which case his rights have not been prejudiced in any real way.

MS. FELICIANO: What they take up on — interlocutory appeals on is if there is no remedy that can be solved on appeal after trial. And if we are gutted of our defense now and he is convicted, that remedy is not solved after trial. Not being able —

THE COURT: The remedy is they send it back for a new trial.

MS. FELICIANO: Well, and he's already then suffered extreme prejudice in having to go through another trial when, if they send it back for a new trial, it's obvious we should have been able to present that defense, he has then suffered the prejudice of being convicted and the time for appeal. So that's what the prejudice is.

This is the type of interlocutory appeal that they would consider, being denied the ability to present your defense theory based on what we believe is a legally admissible defense. I know the State disagrees, but that's the purpose of asking to take this up and asking for the stay. There is no remedy at this point.

THE COURT: Ms. Fleck, your response.

MS. FLECK: It's not just me that disagrees. It's the law that disagrees. They're going to take it up on what law? They have not cited to one case. Not one case. Every single case that Ms. Feliciano just spewed out, Miller, Drake, Summitt, Cox, Davis, none of them are on point as to this. And it is...

THE COURT: All right. Here's what I'm going to do.

As I indicated, I'm not sure the Supreme Court's going to take it up for all the reasons I've indicated. I'm not speaking for the Supreme Court. Those are just my observations.

But your motion to stay the case is denied. If you want to try to get a stay from the Supreme Court, that's

1	certainly an option you can pursue. That's out of my hands.
2	But the question is, what are we going to do right now?
3	MS. FLECK: Proceed to trial.
4	(Pause in proceedings)
5	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, can we take a break for a
6	little bit, so we can talk?
7	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. Let's go off the
8	record for a couple minutes.
9	(Court recessed at 10:16 a.m. until 10:25 a.m.)
10	(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)
11	THE COURT: State vs. Guillermo Renteria is it
12	Renteria or Renterio?
13	MR. FELICIANO: Renteria.
14	THE COURT: You know what. I'm on the document that
15	it's spelled two different ways and I don't know why, now that
16	I look at it. All right. Renteria-Novoa. The defendant is
17	present with the assistance of the Spanish interpreter.
18	For the record, Madam Interpreter, since a new
19	interpreter has come in, what is your name?
20	THE INTERPRETER: Maria Peralta de Gomez, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. So what is going on in this
22	case?
23	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, what we would ask for in this
24	case is to give us today to file our motion for an emergency
25	stay up in the Supreme Court. If we don't file that we would

be ineffective, and if that is denied, then we would proceed.

THE COURT: Is there anyone else in your office who can file this for you?

MS. FELICIANO: No.

THE COURT: Ms. Fleck, your response.

MS. FLECK: Where — so on what grounds are they going up on the — where's the law that says that that's — this is even something that the supremes will hear? Because my reading is that it's a final judgment. I mean, here's — this is a complete — this is clearly an attempt to continue this trial. It's just —

MR. FELICIANO: We'll be ready if it's denied.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is --

MS. FLECK: But where — what law are they going up on?

THE COURT: Hang on. I mean, that doesn't matter.

That's for the Supreme Court. But the point is if we don't start today, I mean, it's Memorial Day weekend coming up. I'm already concerned, as I indicated last week, about Friday, you know, having troubles picking juries the day before a three-day weekend.

And so if we're not even starting until tomorrow, then I'm not even sure we can get this trial done by Friday, and then we have a three-day weekend and then, you know all those logistical issues that come into play.

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: How can no one at the PD's office be able

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, can't someone in your appellate division do this?

MS. FLECK: Have they asked?

MS. FELICIANO: We just spoke to our office and we were told to ask for this time to prepare. It's our case. We have made the record in court. We're responsible for this. We're just asking for a temporary -- for some time temporarily to file the emergency motion for a stay.

Well --

MS. FELICIANO: We'd be ineffective otherwise.

MS. FLECK: And I'm happy to deal with the ineffective claim at another date.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, that's -- that's all for the Supreme Court. They want to, you know, make whatever arguments they can make at the Supreme Court. What we're talking about right now is scheduling. And my concern, as I indicated, is we're coming up on a three-day weekend. We're already going to have some scheduling problems.

I imagine when I ask the jury, hey, this trial's going to go into Friday, is there anyone here for whom serving on the jury would be an undue burden, half the room is going to say, oh, we're -- we got plans, we got plane tickets, we got whatever.

So here's what I'm going to do. I'm we're I'm
denying the motion. We're just going to get started. You
guys can we're going to take a 90-minute lunch break
anyway. You guys can work on it during lunch break. If one
of you wants to, you know, maybe lose someone in the case or,
you know, I don't know why both of you need to be here to
question the jury. But, you know, my concern is all we're
T 1 V 1 V 1 V 1 V 1 C V 1 C V 1

I don't know what the merit of your appeal is.

That's not my business. I'm not, you know, I'm just — that's between you and the Supreme Court. But in terms of scheduling, I just — if we don't start today, I just don't see any way of actually doing this trial this week.

MS. FELICIANO: The only thing — the only record that I'll make is that we are unable to open today. We've just had our defense completely gutted. We have to re-prepare opening, re-prepare all of our cross-examinations. We actually have to re-prepare some of our voir dire. And so there's no way we'd be able to open today.

MS. FLECK: And I just want to make for the record that that is — it is inexplicable to me how the defense can come in today and say that their defense has entirely been gutted when number one, it is based on absolutely obvious clearly inadmissible evidence, number one.

Number two, that the State and the defense had an explicit conversation about this exact topic. Then for them

to come in today and say that they're going to be ineffective if they cannot use a defense that they already had contemplated could not come in...

So the fact that now they say they hadn't come up with an alternate defense, with as long as this case has been going on and we had a clear explicit conversation that this evidence would not come in, to base the entire defense on that is nothing but an attempt to continue a trial, throw out these words that they're going to be ineffective, that it's completely gutted their defense, it's impossible.

It's impossible that that is the only theory that they had contemplated when a month ago Mr. Feliciano specifically said, I do not see how that comes in. Then to come in today and just assume that it's going to come in and then base the entire defense on that...

MR. FELICIANO: Are we ready to go?

THE COURT: I think we're ready. Let's — Randy, let's bring them up.

MR. FELICIANO: So we're not going to be opening today?

THE COURT: What's that?

MR. FELICIANO: We're not going to be opening today, is that --

THE COURT: Well, it's already 10:30. I don't know how far we're going to get in jury selection. We have a

bigger -- I mean, the anticipation was that we're going to open today. So we'll get as far as we can and if we -- if we have a jury picked, then frankly, we're going to open today.

I mean, I'm a little concerned about a couple things, about this conversation you had with Ms. Fleck which apparently is not corrected. Number two, we spent two hours in here last Tuesday or Thursday on that other motion, and it didn't occur to anybody to mention it while we're all here, you know, hearing motions that, oh, there's another motion that ought to be addressed.

And instead, this is all just kind of sprung on me at 9:00 o'clock on the first day of trial, when last week I specifically mentioned to all of you that I was a little bit concerned about getting an early start and, in fact, you know, moving my things around so that we can get this trial done without having to go over into Friday, because of jury selection problems that I've experienced on many occasions with picking the juries on trials that go before three-day weekends. And then suddenly you guys come in here and spring all this on me.

Basically, when I talked about scheduling last week, I was inviting you guys to, you know, if there's anything that might affect scheduling, let's talk about it, and nobody mentioned a thing. And now here we are Monday morning, it's already 10:30, and now you guys are talking about delaying

this even more. That's my concern. 1 Well, Judge, I think it's going to 2 MR. FELICIANO: 3 take pretty much most of the day to pick a jury. So I don't think it's going to be that burdensome if we can open tomorrow 4 5 based on the amount of time it's going to take to pick a jury. MS. FLECK: I agree, and I would -- if anything, then 6 7 let's at least get the jury picked, and then the defense can do what they need to do tonight or tomorrow morning in order 8 to get ready for openings. But I don't anticipate that we'll get a jury anytime before the end of the day anyway. 10 Okay. So basically, Ms. Fleck is saying 11 THE COURT: 12 that she's not going to push for openings today, so we'll just 13 do openings tomorrow then. We'll just pick the jury and 14 whenever we finish with that, we'll just call it a day then. 15 All right? 16 MR. FELICIANO: Okay. 17 MS. FELICIANO: Okay. THE COURT: 18 All right. Randy, let's bring them up. 19 Do we have the names, the jury packets? MS. FLECK: 20 He brings them up. THE COURT: 21 It's going to be about 15 minutes. THE CLERK: 22 Yeah. He's got to get them from the jury THE COURT: 23 commissioner, yeah. 24 Let's stay on the record for one second. One thing 25 that I always offer before we start bringing the jury in is I

start in with my standard questions, but is there anything that either side wanted me to ask the jury? Sometimes one side or another prefers that I ask a certain question rather than they ask it so they don't know who requested it. I always make that offer. It's up to you guys.

MS. FLECK: Do you ask — you ask, I think, if they know anyone, obviously the four of us, and then I think you ask —

THE COURT: I introduce my staff members and --

MS. FLECK: -- do you know anyone --

THE COURT: -- then I ask you guys to go through your witness list.

MS. FLECK: And then you ask do you know anyone in -sometimes they ask do you know anyone in the district
attorney's office.

THE COURT: I don't ask specifically the DA's office.

Is there anyone — are you — are you or anyone close to you — hang on. Let me get the exact question. I ask generally law enforcement. I assume they know DAs, but I can specify DAs if you want.

MS. FLECK: Well, sometimes judges ask DAs. And then I just would also, if you're going to ask DAs, then also ask do you know anyone in the district attorney's office. Also do you know anyone — any criminal defense attorneys or anyone, you know, in law — in the legal community here.

1	THE COURT: Yeah. The question I ask is, has anyone
2	on the panel ever been engaged in law enforcement work or have
3	a spouse or close relative who was engaged in law enforcement
4	work. If you want me to specify DA and criminal defense, I
5	can do that.
6	MS. FLECK: Not PD's office obviously.
7	MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. We would ask not. Not PDs,
8	just criminal defense.
9	THE COURT: Right. Obviously. Do you want me to be
10	more specific then?
11	MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. We would just ask criminal
12	defense and not the PD's office. We prefer to be
13	MS. FLECK: And I would ask the DA's office, yeah,
14	and criminal defense.
15	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we'd also ask that you
16	you're going to I presume you're going to ask them if
17	they've been a victim of a crime?
18	THE COURT: Right.
19	MR. FELICIANO: If they know anyone, or if them or
20	anyone close to them has been a victim of a sexual a crime
21	sexual in nature due to the type of case we're dealing with.
22	MS. FLECK: All right. And same if you've been
23	accused of a crime, generic question, have you ever been
24	have you or anyone close to you been accused of a crime.

25

THE COURT: Of a sexual nature; is that the word that

1 you want me to use, or what specifically do you want me to
2 say?
3 MR. FELICIANO: I think that's -- I think that works.

MS. FLECK: But I think general, have you or anyone close to you been accused of a crime. I mean, I guess if that's general enough, because I want any crime. The same with the victim, if you've been a victim of any crime.

THE COURT: So but you want me to have specific wording in there or a subject question? Clarify. Because I — here are the questions I ask. Have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or friend ever been the victim of a crime; have you or anyone close to you ever been accused or arrested of a crime whether or not there was a conviction. Do you want me to be more specific than that?

MS. FLECK: Maybe — maybe we could ask one question that's, you know, specifically — you know, you've heard the charges in this case, has anyone been the victim or — they probably will already disclose that, but maybe just one other catchall. The victim —

THE COURT: Give me the wording.

MS. FLECK: -- of a sexual crime, or accused of a sexual crime.

MR. FELICIANO: So just so we're clear, you're going to ask them if they've been a victim of a crime, and then another question specifically a sexual crime?

1	THE COURT: Have you ever been accused or arrested of
2	a crime whether or not there was a conviction, and do you want
3	a separate question then?
4	MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. Because a lot of people
5	don't tend not to disclose that type of information,
6	unfortunately.
7	THE COURT: Well, give me the wording then. Have
8	you
9	MR. FELICIANO: Have you or anyone close to you ever
10	been a victim of a crime that was sexual in nature.
11	THE COURT: Been the victim of a crime of a sexual
12	nature?
13	MR. FELICIANO: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions?
15	MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State. Thank you.
16	MR. FELICIANO: That's it, Judge. Thanks.
17	THE COURT: And then the other thing that I do, what
18	I do is when I ask the undue burdens questions, I don't kick
19	people in response to the questions.
20	What I do is I go through the entire panel, everybody
21	makes a record of what their undue burden supposedly is. Then
22	I call you guys up at a sidebar, or we can step out in the
23	hallway, it doesn't matter, either way, and we talk about, you
24	know, who we're going to kick and who we're going to not.

25

The idea being if I ask, you know, is there anybody

for whom serving on this jury would be an undue burden, and say three people raised their hands, then it almost doesn't matter what the burdens are, we might as well just kick them rather than wasting time. But if 20 people raise their hands, then we can discuss, you know, what do you guys want, do you want to, you know, is an employment issue enough, is travel plans, that kind of thing.

But basically, I don't kick them one at a time, because then the concern is they start hearing, oh, I'll just say I have plane tickets, then the next guy says, oh, I'll just have plane — you know, and they'll know what to say to get them off the jury.

So that's kind of what I do is I go through everybody, I ask them a quick question, what is your, you know, what is your handicap, what is your burden, and then we will have a sidebar on it.

MR. FELICIANO: So as people — as people get kicked, do they move up, or how does that —

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, no. We plug people in from the back into their seats as they get kicked.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. And then do they get the general voir dire, or they already had that? The whole room gets it first?

THE COURT: I ask the whole room the first series of questions.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay.

THE COURT: In this case what I might do is I might start off by saying that I know that this is kind of a three-day weekend, is there anybody here who has travel plans on Friday that cannot be changed. And basically anybody who raises their hands, rather than waste time, I just may move them to the back.

And that way we're not spending time with what time does your flight leave, all that kind of stuff. Just sort of get rid of them — well, not getting rid of them. Move them to the back, and that way we're just not spending an hour talking about people's travel plans.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay.

MS. FLECK: And then when we make our motions, do you want us to do it right there, just say, Judge, we have a motion, like if we have a motion to kick for cause?

THE COURT: Yeah. What I would ask you to do is just say, Judge, can we approach, and then we'll do it at a sidebar, and then at the next break you can make whatever record you want. What you say at the sidebar is — we talked about this earlier. It's in theory recorded, but when we're whispering, we never know how clearly it comes out. So I give you the opportunity to make another record at the next break.

MS. FLECK: Okay. And we have two alternates, right?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you. 1 And I think because we don't have enough THE COURT: chairs we'll probably -- the panel technically will be, I 3 think it's -- how many chairs here, three, six, nine. It'll 4 5 be -- yeah. It'll be this group plus the front row back there that's part of the box. 6 7 MS. FLECK: So the top starts at one? THE COURT: Yeah, top left. And then just remember the first row behind you is also technically part of the box. 9 10 MS. FLECK: Okay. How do you pick your alternates? Did you say that, or did I miss it? 11 12 THE COURT: You know what. I forgot to mention that. 13 It's been a while since I've done a trial. I haven't done a trial this calendar year actually. It's up to you guys. I've 14 15 done it all different ways. We can say it's Numbers 13 and 16 14. We can pick two chairs. We can -- you know, what do you 17 guys prefer? It doesn't really matter to me. Last two in. It doesn't really matter. 18 19 MR. FELICIANO: Last two. 20 Last two in, you guys okay with that? THE COURT: 21 Thirteen, 14, that's fine. MS. FLECK: 22 All right. It's 13, 14 then. THE COURT: 23 So wait. We're missing -- it goes 25, MS. FLECK: and then it goes to 27. So 25, 26, 27, 28 -- wait. 24 25 It's the first row behind you. THE COURT:

KARR REPORTING, INC.

_	
1	MS. FLECK: Okay. So there's no blanks then on that
2	row. Right.
3	THE COURT: Yeah. Unfortunately, we just don't have
4	enough chairs to actually have everybody in the same area
5	here, so.
6	MS. FLECK: That's okay. I thought it was just
7	mis-numbered, but not a problem. Thank you.
8	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, how do we do the perempts? Do
9	we thank and excuse? Do we have a paper that we pass back and
10	forth?
11	THE COURT: We have a paper, yep.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Has there been any media in this case or
14	not? Does anybody know?
15	MR. FELICIANO: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And did you say you're still
17	your office Ms. Fleck, your office is still working on the
18	clean copy of the amended Information?
19	MS. FLECK: Yes. I just need to change the body. I
20	changed the body and it was wrong. So I need to change it
21	back in Counts 11 and 37.
22	THE COURT: Well, we probably won't get to that until
23	the end of the day anyway, or sometime this afternoon.
24	MS. FLECK: Right. And actually the order will then
25	change a little, because I'm going to move those. I'm going

1	to move 11 back to like the end-ish. Like maybe I'll just
2	make 11, 37, and then the opening grosses will be 37 and 38.
3	THE COURT: And you know what. The other question I
4	have for you guys is how do you guys want to do the perempts?
5	And I generally go with what you guys agree on. But some
6	people want a separate perempt for the alternates, and some
7	people just want to use them kind of as a batch. You know
8	what I mean? Do you know what I mean by that, or is everybody
9	confused by that?
10	MS. FLECK: You mean like we would get nine total, we
11	can use it whenever we want.
12	THE COURT: Or do you want to reserve ones especially
13	for the alternates? You know, eight plus one, or nine
14	basically, if you know what I mean by that.
15	MR. FELICIANO: We prefer to just use nine.
16	MS. FLECK: That's fine with me too.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MS. FLECK: What time are we thinking we'll start
19	tomorrow?
20	THE COURT: How big is the calendar?
21	THE CLERK: [Inaudible.]
22	THE COURT: We can probably start at 10:30 tomorrow.
23	And you said you're down to how many witnesses, eight?
24	MS. FLECK: Eight. Well, nine. Actually nine.
25	(Pause in proceedings)

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MS. FLECK: Is there is there something in
2	evidence from the prelim?
3	THE CLERK: There is in the vault, and I'll get it
4	when you start evidence.
5	MS. FLECK: Okay.
6	THE CLERK: I believe that there is a notice that you
7	guys [inaudible]. I don't know what it is, but I know that
8	you guys [inaudible].
9	MS. FLECK: How accessible is it?
10	THE CLERK: Right now?
11	MS. FLECK: No. But today.
12	THE CLERK: I can get it at lunch.
13	MS. FLECK: Okay. Can we?
14	THE CLERK: Mm-hmm.
15	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
16	THE CLERK: Not a problem.
17	(Pause in proceeding.)
18	THE COURT: Everyone's got a copy of the list of
19	prospective jurors?
20	MS. FLECK: Yes, Your Honor.
21	MR. FELICIANO: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Let's everybody take a quick look and see
23	if there's any names that anyone recognizes as potentially
24	being an issue.
25	MS. FLECK: There's a Leavitt.

1	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Right. Number 37?
2	MS. FLECK: Mm-hmm. [Inaudible.]
3	THE COURT: Number 92 is Mark Hutchison. I don't
4	know if that's the same as
5	MS. FELICIANO: I noticed that. But it says manager,
6	and I would think that if it was Mark Hutchison of Hutchison &
7	Steffen, then he would have said like managing partner or
8	something.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. I saw that too.
11	THE COURT: We'll notice when he comes in here.
12	We'll see if it's him or not.
13	MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. I used to work with him, so
14	I'd know if it was him.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anyone see any names
16	that they have any concerns about?
17	MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to bring
19	them in?
20	All right. Randy, let's bring them in.
21	(Pause in proceeding.)
22	(Prospective jurors enter at 11:06 a.m.)
23	THE MARSHAL: Is there going to be anybody that needs
24	the assistance of hearing mike — the earphones? If you do, just let me know. We have earphones for hearing impaired. So
25	just let me know. We have earphones for hearing impaired. So

KARR REPORTING, INC.

74

AA 000116

if you're having a hard time hearing, just let me know. You can remove your sunglasses at this time. I need everybody to turn off their cellphones so that they're completely off, not on vibrate. I need them completely off, please.

For those of you that were the last ones in, if you have a cellphone, please turn it completely off at this time. We'll be passing the microphone around to you. You need to speak directly into the top of it like I am doing. When you're asked a question and you're going to respond, wait until the microphone gets to you. We need the last four numbers on your badge, and then your name.

It's all yours, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Proceed. Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the prospective jury?

MS. FLECK: The State does. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Welcome to Department 20 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. You have been summoned here today to serve as prospective jurors in a criminal case. I know that when you received your jury summons there were probably a few of you who were excited to serve, but probably for the vast majority it was not something that you asked for.

I know that jury service has the perception of being kind of annoying. There's a lot of hurry up and wait and you

don't know what's going on. And I know that in many ways that's the reality of the system. I apologize for that.

I want to thank all of you for your willingness to serve. Without the willingness of members of this community to serve on juries in cases, whether civil or criminal, the criminal justice system would grind to a halt. You are probably the most important part of the system, and I want you all to know that and understand that I know that and appreciate it.

Ultimately, 14 of you will be serving as jurors on this case, 12 jurors plus two alternates. Obviously there are more than 14 of you in this room right now, so what we're going to do for the next couple of hours is kind of weed out who can and cannot serve as jurors in this case. If you are not selected to serve as a juror on this case and if you wanted to serve, please don't take it personally.

There's all kinds of reasons why people can't serve. It could be that you know something about this case. It could be that you have some experience with some subject matter that has something to do with this case, or it could just be a numbers issue. So the majority of you will be going home or at least not be on this jury, and only 14 of you will be. So I want to let you know in advance, please don't take that personally.

One thing that I'll let you know that hopefully will

make you feel a little bit better about potentially serving as jurors on this case is in any given week in this courthouse there are probably anywhere between 10 and 20 trials going. This is a case that is expected to last four, possibly five days, and so in a way that's the best case for you.

Because I know that today for example, one of the departments is starting a six week medical malpractice trial. And if you were on that case, I'm sure that would be a much more — a much greater inconvenience on you than serving on this relatively small case would be. So that's something that you should be grateful about, and hopefully that would make it a lot easier for you guys as we go through the process over the next couple hours.

Jury service — jury selection is done under oath.

I'm going to give you a couple preliminary instructions.

What's going to happen is all of you have that white badge that you have on. As I ask the questions over the next couple of hours, anyone who has an affirmative response to any question, please raise your hand, or if there's something that you need repeated, maybe something I said wasn't clear to you or you can't hear me, please raise your hand and let me know.

What will happen is the marshal will bring the microphone to you. When you get the microphone, please tell me the last three digits of the juror number on your badge. Everything that's done in this courtroom is recorded. There

are microphones, as you can see, around the courthouse. But for the future, we need to know who is speaking. So please give us the last three digits of your badge number before you ask your question, and that's how we'll do things logistically.

Now, before we start, I have a couple questions for everybody here before we start the formal process. Has anyone here ever been convicted of a felony in Nevada or in any other state of the United States?

All right. Is anyone here not a United States citizen?

All right. At this time then, with no hands being up, I will ask the clerk to swear the members of the prospective jury in.

(Prospective jurors sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. First question. Does anyone here have any language or hearing or serious medical issues that might prevent you from serving as a juror in this case? Any hands? If anybody needs any assistance with hearing, we do have microphones that will amplify anything that's said in court.

All right. At this time what I'm going to do is ask the attorneys in this case to stand up and introduce themselves, tell you a little bit about their case, and tell you the witnesses that they might call in this case. Please

listen carefully to the names that are being read to you. If you can't hear a name or if you need a name spelled, please raise your hand.

And what I'm going to ask you, after they've introduced themselves and told you a little about this case, is if any of those names are familiar to you, if they're friends of yours, neighbors, anything you might have heard about them, if you have an opinion about any of these people. So please listen to the names carefully.

On behalf of the State.

MS. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Michelle Fleck. This is Nick Graham. We are the deputy district attorneys assigned to handle the case of State of Nevada versus Guillermo Renteria-Novoa.

The defendant in this case has been charged with 37 criminal counts. All 37 counts arise from events which occurred here in Las Vegas between February of 2005 and December of 2009. While there are 37 counts, there really are only three different kinds of criminal conduct, the first being sexual assault that would include sexual assault, sexual assault on a minor under the age of 16, and sexual assault on a minor under the age of 14.

Additionally, we have charged numerous counts of lewdness with a minor and open and gross lewdness. The victim

in this case, Roxana Perez, the same victim in all of the counts, roughly 12 years old when the allegations began going up until 16 years old in December of 2009.

As the judge told you, I'll tell you the witnesses that we intend to call, and you'll have an opportunity then to tell the Court if any of these names are familiar to you. The victim in this case is Roxana Perez; her mother, Rosa Perez; her aunt, Janet Rodriguez; a cousin, Maritza Rodriguez; and another cousin, Jamie Leon.

Additionally we intend on calling a representative from AT&T, a custodian of record. From the court interpreter's office we will be calling Jeffrey Hanks. And from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Officer Christopher Gibson and sexual assault detective, Ryan Jaeger. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: All right. On behalf of Mr. Renteria-Novoa.

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, afternoon. This is Guillermo
Renteria-Novoa. This is my client. This is my co-counsel,
Amy Feliciano, and my name is Mike Feliciano, and we are going
to show you that Mr. Renteria is not guilty of any crime. He
has entered the plea of not guilty.

To do this we may call some of the State's witnesses. We have reserved the right to call those names you just heard.

Additionally, we may call an additional witness by the name of Bruce McAllister. At the end of the case we're confident that you'll see that Mr. Renteria is not guilty of any crime. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Is anybody here familiar with any of the names that were just read to you? Nobody.

All right. Let me give you some other names. My name is Jerome Tao. I sometimes go by Jerry Tao. I also have some court staff. Randy Hawkes, the marshal who you met down on the third floor and out in the hallway. To my right is my court clerk, Tia Everett. To her right is my court recorder, Sara Richardson. My executive assistant is named Paula Walsh, and my law clerk is Amy Yonesawa. Does anybody know any of these people or have any impressions about them?

All right. As I indicated a minute ago, this case is expected to last four to five days. We're going to shoot to have the trial ended by Thursday, but there is a chance that it could go into Friday.

The hours of this trial will be as follows: Today we'll go until about 5:00 o'clock with a lunch break; tomorrow we'll start at 10:30 and go until 5:00 o'clock, also with a lunch break; on Wednesday it will be about 10:30 to 5:00; Thursday 10:30 to 5:00; and Friday we can start at 9:00 in the morning, if we need to go into Friday.

Now, I know -- I'm very aware that we're coming up on

a three-day weekend and some of you may have travel plans. So
let me ask this question immediately. Is there anybody here
who has travel plans on Friday that they cannot change? And
when I say cannot change, does anyone have plane tickets,
hotel reservations that they can't change?
And I don't mean let me just finish for a second.
You know, if you're planning on driving to L.A. but you were
going to leave at 12:00 but, you know, if you talk to your
family maybe you can leave at 5:00, that doesn't count as a
plan that you can't change.
So I did see two hands. Anyone here have plans that
they can't change on Friday? Let's use the microphone. Let's
start in the back there. Ma'am, what is your badge number?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: 0066, Zanone-Pucci.
THE COURT: Hang on. I'm sorry. What's the badge
number again?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: 00066. The last name's
Zanone-Pucci.
THE COURT: Six, six?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Oh, six. I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Oh, six.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Six. Just one six.
THE COURT: Okay. Yes, ma'am. What is your
situation?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: The situation is I fly

KARR REPORTING, INC.

AA 000124

1	out Thursday and I don't return until Monday. And I brought
2	my flight and also my the car arrangement that's picking me
3	up, if you'd like to see that.
4	THE COURT: No. That's all right.
5	Let me do this. How many other people had their
6	hands up? Can everybody raise their hands. Only a couple or
7	three
8	THE MARSHAL: Two more, three in the back.
9	THE COURT: more people?
10	All right. Let me quickly go through those other
11	three people and let me get back to you. All right. Can you
12	hand the microphone back to Randy.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: It's 0045, Peggy Barth.
14	THE COURT: What are the last three digits?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: 045.
16	THE COURT: 045, Ms. Barth?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What's your situation?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I just have plans to go
20	out of town.
21	THE COURT: When?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: On Friday.
23	THE COURT: What time on Friday?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Friday morning.
25	THE COURT: Are you flying or driving?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Flying.
2	THE COURT: All right. And then who else had their
3	hand up?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: It's 0068.
5	THE COURT: Six, five?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. 0068.
7	THE COURT: Oh, six, eight. Mr. Aguilar?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Aguilar. Yeah.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I thought I need excused
11	because my English is not better for this place.
12	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in the
13	United States?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I have lived here in Las
15	Vegas for about ten years.
16	THE COURT: Can you understand everything that I've
17	said today?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. I don't know. I
19	need more English [unintelligible] not for this service.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'll make a note of that. Let me
21	get back to you in a second. All right. Let me finish up
22	with the other people.
23	Who else had their hand up?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: 0084.
25	THE COURT: Eight, four. Mr is it

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Makhathini.
2	THE COURT: Makhathini. All right. What's your
3	situation?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'm driving part of the
5	soccer team for the tournament over the weekend, and we have
6	to check in by noon on Friday.
7	THE COURT: All right. Let me do this. Ms is it
8	Zanone-Pucci; is that how you pronounce it? Let's see.
9	Ms. Barth and Mr. Makhathini, let me get the three of you to
LO	stand up and sit kind of in that section over there for a
L1	couple minutes. What we're going to do is we're going to go
L2	through a couple more questions, and then let me get back to
L3	you guys. All right.
L4	And then, Randy, we need to move somebody else up
L5	here.
L6	THE CLERK: In Seat No. 4, it's going to be Badge
L7	No. 053, Antonio Correa.
L8	THE COURT: All right. In the vacant seat up here.
L9	Thanks.
20	THE CLERK: In Seat 28, it's now going to be Badge
21	No. 055, Nicole Quince.
22	THE COURT: All right. Now, the next question
23	THE CLERK: Oh, 29. I'm sorry. That she goes to
	Seat 29 in the top row.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, other than travel

1	plans on Friday, is there anybody here for whom serving as a
2	juror in this case with the hours that I just mentioned be an
3	undue burden? And when I say undue burden, I don't mean just
4	you don't want to be here, you're going to miss work. We're
5	all going to have to, you know, miss some work to be here.
6	But is there anybody for whom it would be a serious
7	financial hardship or another serious conflict, child care or
8	something like that, that you can't get someone else to cover
9	for you, anybody?
10	Randy, where's the microphone?
11	What's your badge number, ma'am?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: 010.
13	THE COURT: Zero, one, zero. Ms. Kincaid?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I have a CAT scan
17	scheduled for Friday morning at 9:00.
18	THE COURT: Oh.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I've also got an invalid
20	husband at home that I'm basically providing care for.
21	THE COURT: All right. Are you currently under
22	continuing medical treatment in connection with this CAT scan?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I just started it. It's
24	for kidney problems.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

AA 000128

THE COURT: Okay. Are you under medication right

1	now?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No.
3	THE COURT: So your CAT scan is Monday morning at
4	what time, at 9:00 o'clock?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: The CAT scan is Friday
6	morning at 9:00 a.m.
7	THE COURT: And what's the situation with your
8	husband? You said he's an invalid. Are you the only
9	caretaker?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes, I am.
11	THE COURT: Is there anybody else who can fill in for
12	a few hours a day?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: There is not.
14	THE COURT: All right. Let me get you to pass the
15	microphone.
16	Who else had their hand up in response to that
17	question? Badge number, please.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: 024.
19	THE COURT: Ms is it Mashas?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Mashas.
21	THE COURT: Mashas. What's your situation, ma'am?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: My husband travels 75
23	percent of the time for his business. He is deliberately home
24	today so I can be here today. But he can be called away to
2.5	travel at any time, so I don't know if he will be home all

1	week. But right now he's home today and he could be called
2	away tonight to travel tomorrow.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I'm can you explain why does
4	somebody have to be at home?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Oh. I have a 13-year-old
6	son. I'm sorry.
7	THE COURT: Oh. And he's out of school right now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: He is in school. He is
9	in school until 2:50 in the afternoon, then someone must pick
10	him up and then take him to practice every day and be home
11	with him in the evenings.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anybody else who can pick
13	him up for practice for three or four days?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Not currently.
15	THE COURT: And when you say your husband could be
16	called away, how much advance notice does he get when he's
17	called away?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Generally it's anywhere
19	from four to six hours.
20	THE COURT: Oh, hours. Okay. What does he do?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: He is a consultant for a
22	security firm.
23	THE COURT: And is there anybody else who can fill in
24	for him for a couple days if he has to be called in on something?
25	something?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't know the answer
2	to that question.
3	THE COURT: So your son's practice starts at he
4	leaves school at 2:15 and he has to go to practice. And then
5	what time does practice end?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Practice ends at 6:00
7	p.m.
8	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Let me get
9	you to pass the microphone.
10	Who else had their hand up? Hi. What is your badge
11	number, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: 032.
13	THE COURT: Thirty-two, Mr. Bean?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: My wife just had thyroid
17	surgery, and literally waiting on results whether it's
18	cancerous or not. They might have to re-open her and take it
19	back out. So she's pretty shook up.
20	THE COURT: Okay. When did she have surgery?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Friday.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And have they indicated a time
23	frame when the results might be back?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: They said anywhere
25	from 10 to 14 days.

1	THE COURT: And if she got notice let's say she
2	gets notice today, how soon would she need to have the
3	surgery? How much advance notice
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: They want to do it right
5	away if it's cancerous.
6	THE COURT: Okay. You said it's they think 10
7	to 14 days and the surgery was last Friday, so she might not
8	get the results this week though, right? Is she under a
9	treatment right now, a medication follow-up?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. She's under all
11	that, plus she has stitches still in her.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Does she need at least for the
13	next couple days does she need you to be there to do specific
14	things for her? Is she bedridden, is she
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
17	Who else had their hand up?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Badge No. 0058.
19	THE COURT: Five, eight, Ms. Cory?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
21	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I have to move out of
23	state on June 14, so I'm really pressed for time.
24	THE COURT: You have to what? I'm sorry.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm moving out of state

1	on June 14.
2	THE COURT: Moving June 14?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Mm-hmm. And my days off
4	are Thursday, Friday, so.
5	THE COURT: So what is it that you need to do this
6	week?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I have a lot of packing
8	to do.
9	THE COURT: Can you pack in the evenings? Can you
10	pack in the mornings when we don't start trial until 10:30?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I suppose.
12	THE COURT: Is there anyone else who can help you
13	pack?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Not really.
15	THE COURT: Where are you moving to?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Texas.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
18	Who else had their hand up? Anyone else over there?
19	Hi. What's your badge number?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: 095.
21	THE COURT: Ms. Leiker?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Mm-hmm.
23	THE COURT: What is your situation?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: My daughter's 12, and I
25	have a court order to pick her up by 5:00 every day. I'm a

single mom, and I work for a one-man company who does not pay 1 me if I miss work. So four or five days with no pay and a child to raise and a mortgage is a little tough for me. 3 THE COURT: 4 What are your work hours? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: My work hours are 8:30 to about ten minutes to 5:00. 6 THE COURT: Is there any way that you can talk with 7 your supervisor about changing shifts, you know, maybe 8 changing days around, that kind of thing? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: No. Because it's just 10 one man. He owns a company. He's a CPA and he wants me there 11 during the work hours to answer phones. And he made it clear 12 13 to me that if I'm picked that I'm not going to get paid. THE COURT: So are you paid by the hour, is that how 14 15 it works, or are you just paid a salary? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: I'm on salary, yeah. THE COURT: So you're on a salary, but he said he's 17 18 just not going to pay you for the time that you're here. is he going to do, make you take vacation time or --19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Mm-hmm. And I'm very limited on my vacation time. I get two weeks vacation, which 21 22 I plan on using some of it during the summer to help with my 23 child care. 24 What's the name of the organization? THE COURT: 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Aaron Tveter, CPA, or

1	LTD.
2	THE COURT: All right. So you have to leave by about
3	ten minutes to 5:00 to pick up your child also, pursuant to
4	court order?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Yes. For my divorce
6	decree, I have to pick her up no later than 5:20.
7	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it.
8	Did anyone else have their hand up in response to
9	that question?
10	All right. Will counsel approach very quickly.
11	THE MARSHAL: One more, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Oh, there was more? All right. I'm
13	sorry. Did I miss oh, okay. All right. What is your
14	badge number?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Badge 069.
16	THE COURT: So you're Ms. Martinez. Okay. What is
17	your situation?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I don't my problem is
19	that I don't speak much and understand much in English.
20	THE COURT: What is your native language?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I'm Filipino.
22	THE COURT: So it's Tagalog is your native language?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: [No audible response.]
24	THE COURT: How long have you been in the United
25	States?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Twelve.
2	THE COURT: Can you understand everything that I am
3	saying?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Just a little.
5	THE COURT: Thanks.
6	Can I get counsel to approach very quickly.
7	(Bench conference.)
8	THE COURT: First of all, what do you guys want to do
9	with the two language people?
10	MS. FLECK: Out.
11	THE COURT: Do you want to keep them, or do you want
12	to give them interpreters, or do you just want to kick them?
13	[Inaudible] agreement. I'd go along with whatever you guys
14	agreed.
15	MS. FLECK: I say kick them.
16	MS. FELICIANO: [Inaudible] interpreters.
17	THE COURT: Okay. We'll have to get more
18	interpreters then, and I think we'll need a Tagalog
19	interpreter.
20	All right. What about the undue burdens people?
21	There aren't that many of them. First of all, the plane
22	ticket people, those three people, do you want to just kick
23	them now?
24	(No audible response.)
25	THE COURT: And then the undue burdens people

[inaudible], and so the CAT scan. There's only one, two --1 I would say I think that --MS. FLECK: 3 -- three and four, that's five people. THE COURT: I think that we should just [inaudible]. MS. FLECK: 4 I'm sorry? THE COURT: I think we should let [inaudible] leave 6 MS. FLECK: 7 if she's got an invalid husband. The CAT scan. Yeah. That's the CAT scan 8 THE COURT: on Friday. 9 MS. FLECK: I say let her go. 10 MS. FELICIANO: Oh, yeah. 11 That's fine. 12 Okay. And then what about Ms. Mashas, THE COURT: 13 that her husband can get called away. She's got a 13-year-old 14 son. 15 MS. FELICIANO: I don't think so. 16 THE COURT: What we're doing now, by the way, just so you guys know, because I know you've never been in here 17 18 before, if you guys have an agreement on any of these people 19 we'll just kick them. If you don't agree, we'll keep them and you guys can question them further. So we're just looking for 20 agreements for people [inaudible]. So how about [inaudible] 21 22 for Ms. Mashas? 23 MS. FELICIANO: I think she should stay. I think that's stupid. What do you think? That the lady with the 24

25

kid --

1	THE COURT: Yeah. That her 13-year-old kid who has
2	to be out of school at 2:15, and her husband's a security
3	consultant.
4	MS. FELICIANO: I mean, that just [inaudible] that
5	she's clearly like not struggling. I'm sure she's got people,
6	child care stuff [inaudible]. I just don't think that's a
7	good enough excuse to.
8	THE COURT: If you want to keep her and ask
9	questions, we can do that. Just if we keep her, just keeping
10	her, we're just not [inaudible].
11	(Inaudible responses.)
12	THE COURT: What about [inaudible] wife who just had
13	thyroid surgery? [Inaudible] whether it's cancerous or not,
14	32.
15	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: The only thing is that he said that
16	they were getting the results in like 10 to 14 days.
17	THE COURT: Yeah, I know.
18	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: And that she didn't need
19	[inaudible].
20	THE COURT: Yeah. So
21	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: [Inaudible.]
22	THE COURT: How about okay. [Inaudible] leaving
23	on Friday. What about the woman who needs to pack, 58?
24	(Inaudible responses.)
25	(Remainder of bench conference inaudible, not transcribed.)

THE COURT: All right. Based on the responses so far, the following people are free to go. Please report back to jury services on the third floor. Juror No. 6,

Ms. Zanone-Pucci; Juror No. 10, Ms. Kincaid; Juror No. 45,

Ms. Barth; Juror No. 84, Mr. Makhathini, I apologize if I'm mispronouncing that; and Juror No. 95, Ms. Leiker.

With the remaining people, it doesn't necessarily mean you're on the jury. It just means that we have additional questions to ask.

Now, as to Mr. Aguilar, No. 68, and Ms. Martinez, No. 69, the way it works is under the law, if you qualify to serve as a juror, what we have to do is we can't excuse you just because you have a language ability. We're going to get some interpreters for you. It's just going to take us a couple of minutes. Okay.

What I'm going to do is I'm going to go ahead with a couple other questions, and after every question I'm going to come back to you and make sure that you guys understand.

We'll see if — because it's going to take a couple minutes for the interpreter to get up here. We'll see if we can get any further without the interpreter present.

If you can't even understand what I'm talking about now or in my next question, then we may have to wait for the interpreter to get here. Do you guys understand what I just said?

1	(Inaudible response.)
2	THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Martinez, do you
3	understand what I just said?
4	(Inaudible response.)
5	THE COURT: All right. So both Mr. Aguilar and
6	Ms. Martinez have indicated that they've understood what I've
7	said so far. So when the interpreter gets here, then we'll
8	set you up with a headphone or something like that so you can
9	understand everything. All right.
10	All right. Now, other than the questions that I have
11	just asked, is
12	THE MARSHAL: Judge, we need to fill the seat.
13	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I'm getting ahead
14	of myself. Let's go ahead and call the next person.
15	THE MARSHAL: Seat No. 5.
16	THE CLERK: Oh, Seat No. 5. I apologize. Next is
17	Badge No. 057, Dylan Anderson.
18	THE COURT: Can one of them help out Mr. Aguilar, or
19	do they need to go somewhere else?
20	THE MARSHAL: They're with the defendant. We have to
21	get somebody else for them.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Other than the
23	questions that I have just asked, is there anyone here who
24	believes that for any other reason you would be unable to
25	serve as a juror in this case? All right. We have a couple

1	hands up.
2	Randy, where's the microphone?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Badge No. 041, Danielle
4	Zeihen.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Zeihen. All right. Yes. My marshal
6	did indicate that you had had a short conversation with him.
7	I understand that what you're about to say is kind of a
8	sensitive matter for you. But you believe that because of
9	something that happened to you as a child you'll be unable to
10	serve as a juror in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I would not have a fair
12	opinion, no.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry. You would not have a fair
14	opinion?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Correct.
16	THE COURT: In what way?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: To me it's kind of
18	because I've been in that situation. Anyone accused of it is
19	more likely guilty than not.
20	THE COURT: Even if you don't know I mean, as you
21	sit here right now you haven't heard any testimony. All
22	you've heard is sort of the attorneys talk about what the case
23	is about. You don't know what the evidence is. You haven't
24	heard from a single witness.
25	Even sitting here today without knowing anything

person might be guilty based on nothing at all because of what 2 happened to you; is that what you're saying? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes. 4 Okay. Is that -- if you were asked to THE COURT: serve as a juror in this case, you would be taking an oath to 6 follow the law. Are you saying that you would not be able to 7 do that because of your experiences? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I'm saying I probably 10 would not. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Fleck or Mr. Feliciano, any 11 12 questions that you want to ask --Is it Zion [phonetic], is that how you pronounce it? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: 15 MS. FLECK: Judge, can we approach? 16 THE COURT: Sure. (Bench conference.) 17 MS. FLECK: I just think that we need to flush out 18 19 the essay questions on their own, and if everybody -- if we excuse her right now, everybody's going to put their hands up 20 and say it's too hard of a subject matter for me to sit to. 21 22 And there's so many questions that need to be asked from both 23 sides about that, that just to make [inaudible] say I can't be 24 fair because I had an experience with it, that's just -- wipes

1

25

out our whole panel.

about this case, you believe that you -- you believe that this

1	So I think we keep her, and then we go through she
2	has to be questioned like everybody else regarding why she
3	can't be fair to this particular person because it happened to
4	her.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I'll just keep her for the time
6	being.
7	(End bench conference.)
8	THE COURT: All right. Who was the other person that
9	had their hand up in response to that last question?
10	THE MARSHAL: There's one in the back row.
11	THE COURT: All right. Hi. What is your badge
12	number?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: 077.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right. What is your situation?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I just have to be very
18	honest. I've had a very close family member deal with this
19	topic. It's a very sensitive topic for me. I feel I'll be
20	very biased. I have very strong opinions against these kind
21	of defendants, and I just think I would not be a fair juror in
22	this situation.
23	I understand that there's evidence and the law of
24	procedure, but I'm just being honest letting you know that my my thought process and my feelings versus this type of
25	my my thought process and my feelings versus this type of

defendant would probably be a problem in this kind of case. 1 THE COURT: Okay. What relationship is this close 2 3 family member to you? You don't need to name names, but is it your brother, is it your -- what's the relationship? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: My mother. THE COURT: Your mother. And was she accused of 6 something like this, or was she the victim of something like 7 this? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: She was a victim in her 9 childhood, in her teenage years. 10 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your letting me 11 12 know. Let me kind of get through -- the way this works is let 13 me get through some other questions and we'll come back to you. All right. 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Has anyone here ever been 16 17 engaged in law enforcement work or have a spouse or a close relative who has ever been engaged in law enforcement work, 18 19 specifically including as a police officer here or in any other state, with the DA's office, or working with or have a 20 close relationship with any criminal defense attorneys in Las 21 22 Anybody? We have a couple people. Vegas? 23 What is your badge number? Hi.

24

25

I'm sorry. 016.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: My badge number is 006.

1	THE COURT: Zero, one
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I mean, 013. I'm sorry.
3	Zero, one, three. My name is Roshelle Barrow.
4	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Barrow. Got you. 013.
5	What's your situation?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I do have family members
7	in law enforcement, however they are retired and
8	THE COURT: Okay. Who's this family member?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: My father was a
10	corrections officer, and my brother was a police officer, and
11	my step-mother was also a corrections officer.
12	THE COURT: Here in town or somewhere else?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Here in Las Vegas. And
14	none of them work anymore for the department.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with your brother
16	you said your father was a corrections officer?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And he retired when?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I want to say probably
20	2006.
21	THE COURT: And then your brother was also a police
22	officer?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
24	THE COURT: With which organization, Metro or
25	somebody else?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. He was with Metro.
2	THE COURT: And is he still there?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.
4	THE COURT: When did he leave?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He left the department
6	probably back in, I want to say 1998.
7	THE COURT: Was he ever assigned to any detail I
8	don't know how it was organized [unintelligible], but any unit
9	that had jurisdiction over the kinds of allegations we have
10	here today?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: As far as what I know, he
12	worked narcotics and was worked down on Fremont Street for
13	a while.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And your step-father was also a CO
15	or a police officer?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He was a CO. And I know
17	he was a bailiff at one point too, I think.
18	THE COURT: Oh. A courtroom bailiff?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes, years and years ago.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know when he did both of
21	those things?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He probably worked in the
23	
24	'80s, early '90s, until his retirement.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there during their

J

work, or even after they retired, have they talked with you, told you stories about cases they worked on, things they saw, their experiences, things like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Well, my father's passed away, but he would talk about inmates every once in a while, but no specifics of anything.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about anything that they may have told you, or anything that you might have known from their work for law enforcement that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in a case like this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I don't think -- I don't believe so.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this: It sounds like they worked for the different branches of law enforcement some time ago. It's entirely possible that procedures that were in place back then may have changed since then. Maybe Metro has completely different procedures for narcotics or whatever.

I don't know if any of the — your father, your step-father, your brother ever told you specifically about procedures, things that they're supposed to do, investigative techniques, anything like that.

But if they did, if you have testimony in this case about certain things that officers may or may not have done in

1	this case, could you put aside what you think you may have
2	known based on stories that your family members told you and
3	just evaluate the evidence based on what you hear in the
4	courtroom?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah. I think so.
6	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it.
7	Who else had their hand up kind of in this area?
8	THE MARSHAL: Pass the mike down, please.
9	THE COURT: Hi. What is your badge number?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Hi. 029.
11	THE COURT: Zero, two, nine. Ms. McClure?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I'm actually marrying a
15	Metro officer in three weeks.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: And
18	THE COURT: What's his name?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Angelo Colucci
20	[phonetic].
21	THE COURT: Do you know what he do you know what
22	he's assigned to now?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Convention Center Area
24	Command.
25	THE COURT: Do you know what units he's previously

been assigned to? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I -- just always area of 3 command convention center. THE COURT: Has he ever been a detective, a sexual 4 assault detective, anything like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: One of the -- our friends 6 in the wedding -- or coming to the wedding, excuse me, is a 7 detective in sexual assaults. 8 THE COURT: What's his name? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Walter Detweiller. He 10 goes by Rob. 11 12 THE COURT: Is he connected with this case in any 13 way? 14 MS. FLECK: He's not, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about being engaged and about to marry a Metro police officer that might 16 cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in 17 18 this case? In other words -- I guess there's a couple different ways to ask this. 19 But if Metro police officers were to come in here and 20 testify, and knowing that this case may have been investigated 21 22 by Metro police officers who may or may not know your 23 husband -- it's a big organization, I'm sure he doesn't know 24 everybody -- but would you find their testimony or their work

25

in this case to be more believable simply because they work in

the same organization as your fiance/husband? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes. THE COURT: You would. Even if they are officers 3 that -- I mean, just because they're Metro officers; is that 4 5 why? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Pretty much. That's the 6 7 point of their job, so yes. THE COURT: Okay. So it's not a possibility for you that certain officers in the organization, and I think there's over 2,000 sworn officers, may -- in a particular case may not 10 have done a thorough job or may not remember things? You 11 would just -- is that a possibility for you? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: It's possible, but you always lean to the -- what the point of their job is and the 14 15 thoroughness, so. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And what about your friend, 17 Detective Detweiller, the fact that he works in sexual assault, does that cause you to have feelings one way or the 18 other about serving as a juror in this case? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Well, I don't like what he tells me when he tells me things, so it's not anything 21 22 positive. And I'm a teacher, so it affects me in terms of the 23 childhood aspect of it. 24 THE COURT: Okay. But as we -- if you are asked to

KARR REPORTING, INC.

serve as a juror in this case, you will be taking an oath to

25

follow the law. And one of the principles of our legal system is anybody accused of a crime is innocent until proven guilty. You have to actually base a decision on evidence and testimony and everything else that's going to occur in court.

So based on your relationship with your fiance and with your friend, the detective, as you sit here today, do you have any feelings about whether this particular gentleman is guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I don't really know anything about the case, but I don't know. I guess I would just have to listen to the facts kind of thing.

THE COURT: Okay. So could you keep an open mind in this case and be fair and impartial until you've heard the facts and the testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I would try.

THE COURT: You would try. Okay. And I should have asked this in the beginning. None of the names of the witnesses that were read to you in this case, did any of them ring a bell?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No. Sometimes when I hear about cases they tell me, I don't necessarily remember the names as opposed to the events kind of thing, so.

THE COURT: Okay. I know you don't know much about this case, but I think during the presentation the DA mentioned the dates and the location of the offense. Did that

ring any bells for you? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No location I was hearing 3 that... THE COURT: All right. And the name 4 Mr. Renteria-Novoa doesn't ring any bells as a story 5 that Mr. -- that Detective Detweiller may have mentioned? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Not that I can think of, 7 but it's not an uncommon name in [inaudible]. 8 THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right. 9 understanding that because, you know, obviously you're engaged 10 to a Metro police officer and you kind of know a little bit 11 12 about what he does and, you know, maybe you find police 13 officers more believable to begin with, but do you think that 14 you could keep an open mind if and -- I don't even know if any 15 police officers are going to testify in this case. But let's 16 say they do. Do you think that you could put aside any 17 preconceptions that you have and listen to their testimony objectively and impartially? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes. 20 All right. I appreciate your honesty. THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone. 21 22 Who else had their hand up? I think there was 23 someone, yeah, right next to you. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Badge 030. 25 Zero, three, zero. Mr. Meckley? THE COURT:

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Correct.
2	THE COURT: What's your situation?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I just have a first
4	cousin that was a police officer for 20 years and is currently
5	a marshal back in Pennsylvania.
6	THE COURT: In Pennsylvania. When you say he's a
7	marshal, are there I don't know you know, every state
8	has different definitions for the office. Is he a courtroom
9	marshal or is he a marshal out, you know, fugitive detail
10	or
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Courtroom marshal.
12	THE COURT: Courtroom marshal. Are you close with
13	this cousin?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes.
15	THE COURT: It's a he or she?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: He.
17	THE COURT: Does he tell you stories about his work,
18	things he sees?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [No audible response.]
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about your relationship
21	with him or anything that he may have told you that might
22	cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in
23	this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [No audible response.]
25	THE COURT: All right. And again, same question that

111

1	I've asked a couple times. Knowing that especially, you know,
2	Pennsylvania may have different procedures than in this case,
3	if a detective were to come in here and talk about what they
4	did in this case, it may or may not comply with Pennsylvania
5	procedure. Metro may have completely different procedures.
6	Could you put aside what you think you may know about police
7	procedures and evaluate the testimony fairly and impartially?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [No audible response.]
9	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. And I think
10	the gentleman two seats down had their hand up also.
11	Hi. And again, what is your badge number?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: 032.
13	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bean, what is your
14	situation?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Son-in-law with Henderson
16	police.
17	THE COURT: All right. And your son-in-law
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Soon to be ex-son-in-law.
19	THE COURT: Okay. He's currently employed with
20	Henderson?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Excuse me?
22	THE COURT: Is he currently employed with the
23	Henderson police?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And what's his name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Joshua Epperson 1 [phonetic]. 2 THE COURT: All right. Has he -- I mean, you've 3 heard the questions [inaudible]. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah. None of it will 5 6 affect my --7 THE COURT: Has he told you stories about his cases, anything like that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: It won't affect 9 [inaudible]. 10 THE COURT: Anything about your relationship with him 11 12 that might cause you to be something other than fair and 13 impartial in this case? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: [No audible response.] 15 THE COURT: All right. And especially since this case is -- it's investigated by a different department than 16 the one that he works for, let me ask you this: Since he's 17 18 your soon-to-be ex-son-in-law, I don't need to know all the 19 gory details about what's going on with his -- I'm assuming it's a divorce coming up. Do you have any animosity toward 20 him that might cause you to be something other than fair and 21 22 impartial toward other police officers or other law 23 enforcement in this case? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. I have other friends

that are Metro police officers.

25

1	THE COURT: Okay. Really good friends, or
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone on the sex assault detail?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
5	THE COURT: Anything about your friendship with those
6	people, anything they might have told you, inside information,
7	anything like that, that might cause you to be something other
8	than fair and impartial?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
10	THE COURT: I appreciate it.
11	Who else had their hand up just in this area? And
12	you were Number 41, right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes. I pretty much had a
14	question. My mother is Metro dispatch. Does that count?
15	THE COURT: What is your mother's name?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Donna Caddess.
17	THE COURT: How do you spell the last name?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: C-a-d-d-e-s-s. Her P
19	number is 7882.
20	THE COURT: All right. Was she involved in this case
21	in any way? I don't know if there was a 911 call or not,
22	Metro dispatch. Does that ring any bells for anybody here?
23	MS. FLECK: There is a 911 call, but I would have to
24	check the I don't know who the dispatch was.
25	THE COURT: Do you guys remember? Does that name

_	
1	ring a bell with the P number, anything like that?
2	MR. FELICIANO: I don't remember, Judge.
3	THE COURT: All right. What we'll do is maybe during
4	the lunch break we'll check on that. But let's even suppose,
5	you know, worst case scenario for a second, that she took the
6	call in this case. I don't know what the situation is. We
7	haven't heard anything. But would that affect you in any way
8	in this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Pretty much just my
10	personal experiences, she when she tells me about some of
11	her calls, she purposely leaves out sexual assault cases.
12	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your honesty.
13	Who else had their hand up? Let's start in this area
14	there before we go over there.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Number 0042.
16	THE COURT: Is it 42, is it Ms. Trotchie?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
18	THE COURT: What is your situation?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I just got done interning
20	with the parole and probation in the sex offender unit.
21	THE COURT: You're currently interning with parole
22	and probation?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I just got done. And I
24	have a family friend who works in the sex offender unit.
25	THE COURT: Who's the family friend?

-	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Officer Purdue, Norma
2	Purdue [phonetic].
3	THE COURT: All right. Did any of the names that
4	were read here in court a few minutes ago ring any bells for
5	you?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
7	THE COURT: You said you were interning for P and P.
8	What are you doing for them specifically?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I don't intern with them
10	no more. At the time when I did
11	THE COURT: When did you intern with them?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I just got I just got
13	done in May of 2011, so it's probably been a year now.
14	THE COURT: Okay. You finished in May of 2011. Were
15	you a student at the time?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: [No audible response.]
17	THE COURT: Was this a summer job type thing, or a
18	school year type
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. It was for school
20	credits. It was an internship.
21	THE COURT: What did you specifically do for them?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I would go out in the
23	field with them. I was basically in the general supervision
24	and sex offender unit. I was able to read the stories, like documentation of these offenders just to see, you know, as in
25	documentation of these offenders just to see, you know, as in

1	to what they did.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So anything about that experience
3	that might cause you to be something other than fair and
4	impartial in this case? Obviously the people you dealt with
5	had they were on parole or on probation, right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Mm-hmm.
7	THE COURT: So they'd already been convicted of
8	something, that's why they're there.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Mm-hmm.
10	THE COURT: Would that affect your ability to serve
11	as a juror in this case when you have to decide whether
12	someone is or is not guilty of the crimes that they're charged
13	with?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
17	THE COURT: I can't hear you. I'm sorry.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Oh, sorry. Yes. I would
19	have a problem just with
20	THE COURT: How so?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just with just
22	descriptions and everything.
23	THE COURT: What do you mean by that, you have a
24	problem?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just from parole and

1	probation, just reading the descriptions, the stories of the
2	victims and their statements of getting into detail of what
3	happened and everything, I would
4	THE COURT: Well, I mean, are you saying that you
5	would be uncomfortable with it, or are you saying that you
6	would find you might find somebody to be more credible or
7	less credible or more likely to be guilty or less guilty just
8	based on the facts of what you heard, or are you just saying
9	that you would be uncomfortable hearing about it?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I think I would have more
11	of a biased opinion, and I would be uncomfortable.
12	THE COURT: So what do you mean by you would have a
13	biased opinion? Again, I'm trying to just kind of hone in on
14	what specifically you mean.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: In a case like this I'm
16	probably going to rule him rule out guilty.
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you. Can you
18	speak up a little bit?
19	THE MARSHAL: You've got to speak directly into the
20	microphone.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Oh, my God. I would
22	probably rule it out guilty, like if I was put on the jury.
23	THE COURT: Even as you sit here right now, you
24	haven't heard anything about this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: It doesn't matter to me.

Just from my experience with parole and probation, reading all the information that I have been exposed to, I -- I'm not going to be up for it.

THE COURT: Okay. So I mean, as I mentioned before, obviously everyone who's dealing with parole and probation has already been convicted. But you understand that not everybody who's accused of something necessarily is actually guilty? You were just seeing the people who were convicted or pled guilty for whatever reason and they're on supervision right now, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: True. I've also seen people who are actually going through a PSI, their presentence investigation before they're even convicted, where they still stand trial with the possibility of being assigned to a parole officer.

THE COURT: Okay. What did you do with PSIs? You just saw them? Did you write them? Did you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I got to do everything an officer would do.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you participate in actually writing PSIs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I got to help type everything, like questions, everything.

THE COURT: Okay. So the fact that you know -- I mean, I guess let me put it to you this way. I just want to

1	make sure I understand. I mean, you're saying you've seen a
2	lot of people who are guilty and who are up for sentencing or
3	who are on probation and parole already.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Mm-hmm.
5	THE COURT: That makes you more likely to believe
6	that someone else who is not currently on probation and parole
7	who has been accused of a crime is also guilty?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Just because he's been accused of a
10	similar crime that you've seen before?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
12	THE COURT: What if it were your someone in your
13	family who is accused of a crime, would you automatically then
14	assume that they were guilty
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I don't know. It's
16	family.
17	THE COURT: just because they were accused?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Hmm?
19	THE COURT: Just because if someone in your family
20	were just accused of a crime, would you then automatically
21	assume that they were guilty?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
23	THE COURT: Why not? Why what's the difference
24	between somebody in your family versus someone who you don't
25	know?

-	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Because that's family.
2	Regardless of what my family does, you know, good or bad, you
3	know, I'm always going to have my family's side.
4	THE COURT: All right. Let me get you to thank
5	you very much. Let me get you to pass the microphone.
6	Who else had their hand up in that area? Anyone
7	else?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Badge 044.
9	THE COURT: Zero, four, four. All right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah. Just to let you
11	know, my brother's a retired deputy sheriff from Kingman,
12	Arizona.
13	THE COURT: Your brother's a retired deputy sheriff
14	in what state?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Kingman, Arizona.
16	THE COURT: How long ago did he retire?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It's been about three
18	years, three or four maybe.
19	THE COURT: Retired three years ago. All right. So
20	anything about your relationship with him, stories he might
21	have told you, things like that, that might cause you to be
22	something other than fair and impartial in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
24	THE COURT: And same question that I've asked a
25	couple times. Knowing that the procedures that the police

1	follow in Kingman may or may not be different than the
2	procedures that are followed in this case, could you if a
3	police officer were to come in here and testify about what he
4	did to investigate this case, would you put aside would you
5	be able to put aside what you think you know what they do in
6	Kingman and evaluate what he or she did in this case based on
7	what you hear in court?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I believe so, yes.
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that.
12	Who else had their hand up in response to that
13	question? Anybody? What is your badge number?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: 027.
15	THE COURT: Oh, two, seven. Mr. Parry, right?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: What's your situation?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I have two nephews that
19	work for Metro. One's a bailiff here and the other one's on
20	the force.
21	THE COURT: What are their names?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Tyler Leavitt's a
23	bailiff, and Chad Leavitt is a Metro officer.
24	THE COURT: What unit are they is the officer

assigned to right now, do you know?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I don't. He's some
2	special unit. I don't know.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: He roams around the city.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Are you close with them? Do you
6	talk with them frequently about their jobs?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: When we get together we
8	talk a little bit.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your relationship
10	with them or anything they may have told you that might cause
11	you to be something other than fair and impartial in this
12	case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
14	THE COURT: Do you know if either one of them ever
15	had any involvement in any cases that are similar to the case
16	that we're here for today?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I don't know.
18	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it.
19	Who else had their hand up? We might jump back there
20	now.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Badge No. 071.
22	THE COURT: Zero, seven, one. Ms. Rivera?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
24	THE COURT: What is your situation?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: My brother-in-law is a

123

1	customs agent at the Long Beach port.
2	THE COURT: A customs agent in Long Beach. How long
3	has he done that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Maybe six years.
5	THE COURT: Are you this is your brother-in-law.
6	Are you close with him?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Now, obviously customs is a completely
9	different thing than what we're here for today.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Correct.
11	THE COURT: Anything about his job, your relationship
12	with him, or anything that he's told you that might cause you
13	to be something other than fair and impartial in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
15	THE COURT: Now, obviously I've asked this
16	question before. But obviously customs has completely
17	different different jurisdiction, but they also have
18	completely different investigative procedures. So would you
19	be able to put aside anything that he might have told you
20	about what customs does and listen to the evidence and
21	testimony about this case on its own merits?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Now, you also asked a
25	question with regards to attorneys.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I'm a vocational and
3	rehabilitation counselor, and a lot of my clients are
4	represented by attorneys. I don't have close relationships
5	with them, but I do conversate [sic] with them and we
6	communicate via written documents as well.
7	THE COURT: Have you do either of the any of
8	the attorneys here in court today look familiar to you, or are
9	their names familiar?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you done that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Here in Nevada, for 13
13	years.
14	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate the information.
15	Who else had their hand up?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Badge 080.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Priest?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. What is your situation?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I live with my parents,
21	and my father's retired homicide with Metro for about six
22	years, and my mother is currently with domestic violence.
23	THE COURT: Your mother's currently an officer right
24	now with domestic violence?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No, not an officer. She

1	just works for domestic violence.
2	THE COURT: She works for who?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Metro.
4	THE COURT: For Metro. Okay. She's a civilian
5	employee?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What's her job title?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I do not know that. I
9	just know she calls the victims.
10	THE COURT: Can you speak into the microphone?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I'm sorry. She calls the
12	victims.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Got you. Right. When did your
14	father retire?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: About 2006.
16	THE COURT: What was your father's name?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Priest. He was also a
18	volunteer [inaudible].
19	THE COURT: All right. Anything about the fact that
20	your mother
21	THE COURT RECORDER: [Inaudible.]
22	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. One of the attorneys is
23	saying they couldn't hear you. Can you repeat your last
24	answer?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: His first name is Eber,

1	E-b-e-r, Priest.
2	MS. FLECK: And I'm sorry. Was he a detective, or
3	did she say civilian?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Also a volunteer.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: A volunteer.
7	THE COURT: He was a volunteer, it sounds like.
8	Do you know what he did for them?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I know that he talked to
10	all of the victims' families.
11	MS. FLECK: And that was with homicide?
12	THE COURT: Yeah. That's what I heard. So it sounds
13	like they both worked for, I guess, Metro's equivalent of
14	VWAC, I'm guessing? I don't know.
15	How long did he do that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Five years.
17	THE COURT: And your mom is still currently employed
18	with Metro?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.
20	THE COURT: Anything about the fact that your mother
21	works for the organization that investigated this case, even
22	though she's not a sworn officer, that might cause you to be
23	anything other than fair and impartial if you were asked to
24	serve as a juror in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.

1	THE COURT: The fact that she works with the same
2	organization, and I have no idea if she's ever worked with any
3	of the officers involved in this case, but let's
4	hypothetically even say that she did, or at least maybe
5	crossed paths with them, would that make you more likely to
6	find an officer credible or less likely, or would it have no
7	effect?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No effect.
9	THE COURT: And how about the fact that your father
10	again, may or may not, I have no idea, have dealt with any of
11	the officers involved in this case, would that change your
12	opinion about the credibility of any officers in this case or
13	any other witness?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
15	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it.
16	Who else had their hand up in response to that
17	question?
18	All right. Before we move on, let me ask you a
19	question. It is now ten minutes after 12:00 o'clock.
20	Oh, we do have a hand up. All right. Let's get
21	through this real quickly. What's your number?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 017, Mr. Helbert.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Helbert. All right. What's your
24	situation?

25

AA 000170

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: With attorneys, my

1	brother's an attorney and my daughter's an attorney, and
2	actually, she has an interview Thursday with the city attorney
3	here.
4	THE COURT: All right. Is your brother a criminal
5	defense attorney, or what does he do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: He was, but he's family
7	law now.
8	THE COURT: What's his name?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Daniel. Daniel Helbert,
10	San Luis Obispo, California.
11	THE COURT: Oh, he's in California. And your
12	daughter lives in which state, here?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: She lives in California.
14	She has an interview Thursday here.
15	THE COURT: Here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you know who with?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I do not.
19	THE COURT: And so is she an attorney now, or is she
20	just graduating from law school?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes. She's an attorney.
22	She graduated from here though.
23	THE COURT: Okay. But she has been practicing so far
24	in California?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yeah. She practiced one

129

year here and then she went to California and worked for my brother.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your relationship with them, or anything they may have told you about the work that they did that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that. Anyone else have their hands up in response to that question?

All right. It's now about a quarter after 12:00. Here's my question for everybody here, and maybe let me see a show of hands. I don't know when before you guys were brought up here if you were allowed to have a snack break or not. We can either break for lunch now and resume after lunch, or we can sort of push ahead and maybe let some of you go before the lunch break. Does anyone have a preference?

Who wants to keep going — let's do this. Who wants to break for lunch right now? Is anybody really, really hungry? All right. Then there — no hands went up, so I'm assuming you guys want to push ahead for at least a little while and see if we can get maybe some of you can go home and not have to come back.

All right. Is there anyone here who may not be able to follow all instructions of the Court on the law, even if the instructions differ from your personal conception of what

the law ought to be?

In other words, I don't write the law. At the end of this case what will happen is I will read you what the law of this state is that's relevant to this case. I don't write it. The legislature and the governor write it and there's a whole process for doing that. And as I'm sure you all know, there may be some laws that you may or may not disagree with. They may be dumb laws, they may make no sense to you.

But even if it is a law that you don't agree with, would all of you be able to follow that law and apply it to the facts of this case as you find those facts to be? Is there anyone here who would not be able to do that?

All right. Under our system, certain principles of law apply in every criminal trial. Some of these principles are that the Information in this case — and the Information, just so you guys know, is what we call a charging document. The word that's more — in Nevada there are two ways to charge someone with a crime. One is by Information. The word that you've probably heard before is by indictment. They're just two alternative ways to charge somebody.

But the principle is that the Information filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not itself evidence of --

MR. FELICIANO: Can we have a moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Oh, are we having a technical issue?

(Pause in proceeding.)

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. That the Information filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not itself evidence of guilt. The second principle is that the defendant is presumed innocent. And the third principle is the State must prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anybody here who —

Are we having another tech -- okay.

MR. FELICIANO: Sorry. We're having another problem.

THE COURT: You already swapped it out and it's still got the same problem?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you, I mean, maybe sit next to him and whisper to him? Would that work? Do you want to give that a shot for a couple minutes and see? And let's see if it's — I guess the other thing we'll have to see is if it's distracting for everybody else in here, especially the people that are kind of sitting behind you.

THE INTERPRETER: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: And maybe during — when we do take a lunch break, we'll see if we can work it out. But do you guys want to give that a shot or what? Do you guys have an objection or any preference either way?

(No audible response.)

KARR REPORTING, INC.

THE COURT: All right. Let's try it that way. And we'll try that for a couple minutes. If anybody here, especially those people back there, if this is going to be a distraction, if you can't hear what I'm saying, please raise your hand and let me know. We're just going to do a little experiment right now and see if we can do it this way. Okay.

All right. So the question was the three principles that I just read to you, is there anyone who doesn't understand them or anyone who doesn't believe in them or think that they can't apply them to this case?

All right. The next question is as you guys have obviously just noticed, the defendant, Mr. Renteria-Novoa, is here with the assistance of an interpreter. Does the fact that he does not speak English as his native language and needs the assistance of an interpreter have any bearing for you one way or the other?

Is there anyone here who has any issue with that or anyone who thinks that they may not be able to be fair and impartial in this case because of the defendant's ethnic background or his language ability?

Does anybody here know anything about this case or think they might know something about this case other than what has been stated in court here today?

Does anyone think they — I don't know if there's been any media coverage in this case, but does anyone think

any local television or radio news story about this case? 2 Are you guys okay with the way the interpreter's set 3 up here? 4 5 MR. FELICIANO: Yes. Fine, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Let's -- can I have counsel 6 7 approach just for 15 seconds. (Bench conference.) 8 What I'm going to do now is I'm going to THE COURT: go one by one in the box and have them give me background 10 [inaudible], but in terms of scheduling, this next stage, I 11 12 mean, since we're doing it [inaudible], it's going to take a 13 little while. Do you guys want to just keep going? The 14 jurors seem like they're okay with it. Do you guys just want 15 to keep pushing through? 16 MS. FLECK: So you're going to ask individually if they've been victims or accused --17 18 Yeah. THE COURT: 19 Okay. That's fine. MS. FLECK: 20 You know, what do you do, what does your THE COURT: spouse do, any kids, ever served on a jury before, ever been a 21 22 victim of a crime, ever been accused of a crime. And I'm just going to do the questions [inaudible] a crime that was sexual 23

they might have stumbled across a quote in the newspaper or on

1

24

25

can.

All right?

in nature. I'm going to cycle through them as quickly as I

1	MS. FLECK: Okay.
2	MS. FELICIANO: Okay.
3	(End bench conference.)
4	THE COURT: All right. Randy, can we get the
5	microphone? Who's got the microphone right now?
6	All right. Here's what we're going to do. We're
7	going to start and hopefully this will be quick. We're
8	going to start here with juror the juror in Seat No. 1.
9	He's going to give you the microphone, and we're going to pass
10	the microphone down and I'm going to ask you all the same
11	question.
12	All right. Here's the question. What do you do for
13	a living; if you're married, what does your spouse do for a
14	living; have you ever served as a juror before; have you or
15	anyone close to you ever been the victim of a crime; have you
16	or anyone close to you ever been accused or arrested for a
17	crime, whether or not there was a conviction; and specifically
18	have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim or
19	accused of a crime that was sexual in nature. That's a quick
20	preview, but I'm happy to ask them one by one.
21	So juror number your badge number's one, correct?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: [Inaudible.]
23	THE COURT: And you are Mr. Brahmer?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: [No audible response.]
25	THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: HVAC mechanic.
2	THE COURT: How long have you been in Vegas?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Eight years.
4	THE COURT: All right. Are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Substitute teacher.
8	THE COURT: With the Clark County School District?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Correct.
10	THE COURT: All right. Any adult kids?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
12	THE COURT: Ever served as a juror before?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
15	the victim of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
17	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
18	accused or arrested of a crime, whether or not there was a
19	conviction?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yeah. I was arrested
21	when I was 18 years old.
22	THE COURT: Arrested, and what were you accused of
23	doing?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Theft.
25	THE COURT: All right. Did you go to trial?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Just a quick court case.
2	A \$100 fine, I believe, was the
3	THE COURT: Oh, it was a misdemeanor?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
5	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Twenty years 21 years
7	ago, when I was 18. I'm 39 now.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
9	that might cause you to be something other than fair and
10	impartial?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
12	THE COURT: Did that happen here in Las Vegas, or
13	somewhere else?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. That was Colorado.
15	THE COURT: Oh, Colorado. Okay. So you wouldn't
16	necessarily hold it against the Clark County District
17	Attorney's Office if that happened in another state?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
20	accused of or been the victim of a crime that was sexual in
21	nature?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Let me get
24	you to pass the microphone.
25	And what is your badge number?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 002.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Richard, what do you do for a living?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Truck driver for the
4	Clark County School District.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Hang on one second.
6	(Pause in proceedings)
7	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone
8	back to, I'm sorry, to Mr. Brahmer for a second.
9	Mr. Brahmer, do you have any kids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No kids.
11	THE COURT: No kids. All right. Let's go back to
12	Mr. Richard.
13	I'm sorry, Mr. Richard. What do you do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Truck driver for the
15	Clark County School District.
16	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Nine years a bus driver
18	and nine years as a truck driver now.
19	THE COURT: Are you married?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Single.
21	THE COURT: Have any kids?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: One.
23	THE COURT: How old?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Eight.
25	THE COURT: A boy or girl?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: A boy.
2	THE COURT: All right. Ever served on a jury before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Where and when?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Here, probably about 18
6	years ago.
7	THE COURT: Eighteen years ago. Was it a criminal or
8	a civil case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Civil.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Were you actually on the group of
11	jurors that deliberated?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was,
14	did you reach a verdict, was there a hung jury, was there a
15	mistrial?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: We reached a verdict.
17	THE COURT: Reached a verdict. Were you the
18	foreperson?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. What was the subject matter of the
21	case? Was it medical malpractice, construction defect, breach
22	of contract, generally?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Let's see.
24	THE COURT: I know it's been a long time.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yeah. It was accused of,

KARR REPORTING, INC.

139

1	I guess I don't know. I guess it was insurance fraud.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your experience as
3	a juror that might cause you to be something other than fair
4	and impartial in this case, or might cause you to hesitate
5	about serving as a juror again possibly?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you
8	ever been the victim of a crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
LO	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
L1	accused or arrested for a crime whether or not there was a
L2	conviction?
L3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I had a CCW 18 years ago.
L4	THE COURT: Eighteen years ago here, or somewhere
L5	else?
L6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Here.
L7	THE COURT: And were you convicted of it, or what
L8	happened?
L9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Just a misdemeanor.
20	THE COURT: Okay. A misdemeanor. Anything about
21	that experience that might cause you to have any feelings
22	about serving as a juror in a criminal case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
25	accused of or been the victim of a crime that was sexual in

1	nature?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
3	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let me ask you to pass
4	the microphone.
5	What is your badge number?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 005.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt, what do you do for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I'm retired.
9	THE COURT: What did you use to do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Spent 30-some years in
11	retail management.
12	THE COURT: Here or somewhere else?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Here.
14	THE COURT: Married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What does your wife do or what did
17	she do?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: She's an accountant,
19	bookkeeper, presently unemployed.
20	THE COURT: For what kind of organization did she
21	work?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: She used to work for IGT.
23	THE COURT: IGT. All right. Any kids?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I have one son.
25	THE COURT: How old?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

141

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: He is 30.
2	THE COURT: Any grandkids?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Not yet.
4	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.
6	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
7	the victim of a crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah. I was robbed years
9	and years ago, 40 years ago, when I first moved out here.
10	THE COURT: Oh, 40 years ago. Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: My apartment was broken
12	into.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Was that I mean, I know it's
14	been a while. But was that investigated by Metro or by
15	another agency?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: It was by the police
17	department prior to Metro actually.
18	THE COURT: Oh, before they merged with the sheriff,
19	you mean?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Oh, yeah.
21	THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: At least 40 years ago,
23	when I first moved out here in the late '60s.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
25	that might cause you to hesitate about serving as a juror in a

criminal case? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. 3 THE COURT: Any feelings one way or the other about law enforcement? Granted that was a long time ago, but I'm 4 doubting that the same people are still employed by the 5 agency, but you never know. But anything, any feelings one 6 way or the other? 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been 9 accused or arrested for a crime whether or not there was a 10 conviction? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 13 THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of or accused of a crime that was 14 15 sexual in nature? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. 17 THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let me get you to pass the microphone. 18 19 THE MARSHAL: Folks, I'm sorry to harp on this, but you really need to speak into the top of the microphone. 20 She's having trouble hearing. It doesn't get recorded if you 21 don't speak directly into the top of it. 22 23 THE COURT: Thanks, Randy. 24 What is your badge number? Hi. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: 053.

1	THE COURT: Zero, five, three?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Correa.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
5	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I'm a physician at Nellis
7	Air Force Base hospital.
8	THE COURT: Oh. Okay. And what's your do you
9	have a field, a specialized area of practice?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Radiology.
11	THE COURT: Radiology. Are you married?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
13	THE COURT: Any kids?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: One.
15	THE COURT: A boy, a girl, how old?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: A boy, 30 years old.
17	THE COURT: Grandkids?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
19	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
21	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
22	the victim of a crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
25	accused of a crime whether or not there was a conviction?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

144

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:

THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of a crime or accused of a crime that was sexual in nature?

No

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: In your job as a physician, do you deal very often with people who have been victims of crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Here and there we get some assault cases.

THE COURT: Anything about dealing with them or your experiences as a physician that might cause you to have any hesitation about serving as a juror or that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. I have no idea whether or not there will be any testimony regarding medical evidence or not. Sometimes there is, sometimes there isn't in criminal cases. You may or may not hear testimony from doctors, from pathologists, from crime scene analysts that may or may not be scientific in nature. Do you think that you could evaluate their testimony fairly and impartially?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.

THE COURT: And you said you're a radiologist, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
2	Hi. What is your badge number?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 057.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Anderson.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Work at Whole Foods.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: At a grocery store.
10	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been in Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My whole life, 21 years.
12	THE COURT: Are you married?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
14	THE COURT: Any kids?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
16	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
19	the victim of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
21	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
22	accused of a crime whether or not there was a conviction?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: A minor, consumption of
24	alcohol, and
25	THE COURT: How long ago was that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Like a year ago.
2	THE COURT: And that was here in Las Vegas?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: In Henderson.
4	THE COURT: In Henderson.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: And then obstruction of a
6	peace officer like two years ago.
7	THE COURT: So those were two separate cases?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: And they both occurred when you were a
10	juvenile, or were you an adult?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. I think one when I
12	was 19 and one when I was 20.
13	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Nineteen and 20. So were you
14	ultimately convicted of those offenses?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yep.
16	THE COURT: And they were both misdemeanors, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Are you still doing anything with those
19	cases, or are the cases closed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: They're closed.
21	THE COURT: They're closed. And they were both
22	investigated by Henderson?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
24	MR. FELICIANO: Excuse me, Judge. We have a I
25	think we're getting it fixed here.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, she needs to use the restroom real quick.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Let's do this. It's now 12:30. I know you guys prefer to push through, but we're having technical issues and some people need to use the restroom. Let's call a break right now. It's now 12:30. We have to take at least an hour break. So let's reconvene at about — well, let me ask all of you this. Do you guys want a longer lunch break?

Because I don't know how familiar you guys are with downtown. There's not that many food options down here. Do you want a little extra time, or is an hour going to be enough time? Who wants more than an hour; is there a show of hands? All right. Let's keep it an hour. Let's see you guys back here at 1:30 then.

During this break -- hang on. I got some instructions for you. During this break -- now that you know a little bit about this case. You haven't heard any testimony or evidence, but you've heard a description about what this case is about. During this break, do not talk with each other about this case. Do not speculate what this case may not be or may be about. Don't get on your phones and Google what this case may be about and see if there's any news reports or anything like that.

When you come back in the afternoon, there's a possibility that there may be some witnesses or other people associated with the case, maybe somebody from their office or their office lingering out in the hallway. Don't talk with anybody about this case. What I'd recommend that you do is during the entire lunch break wear your juror badge. That way everybody in the courthouse knows that you're a juror and everybody knows not to talk with you.

If you see me or my staff or anyone associated with this case in the hallway, by law we're not required — we're not permitted to even talk with you, not even socially. We can't even ask you, hey, how is it going. All right. So if you see people who sort of turn and walk away when they see you coming, don't take it personally. It's they're just following what — complying with the law.

And for that reason, anytime you're close to the courthouse, please have your badge on. That way we know that you're a juror and if we're, you know, riding up in the elevator and we just strike up a conversation, we know there's not going to be a screw-up if you have your badge on.

In fact, what I would recommend that you do is during lunch break please only talk with people who have a badge on. That way you know that they're not going to be a witness in this case, they don't work for the DA, they don't work for their office, you know, anything like that. All right.

This wouldn't happen in the next hour, but it's a standard instruction. Don't go to the crime scene and visit it. Don't do any investigation of this case, anything like that. Other than that, I will see you guys in an hour and we'll get started hopefully right on time and push through. All right.

THE MARSHAL: And folks, please remember who you're sitting by, because we have changed out some people in the seats. So you're not going to line up in numerical order out front. You're going to line up in the order that you are presently seated in. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. See you guys in an hour. Thank you.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 12:28 p.m.)

THE COURT: Do we have the issue fixed or not? Can you stand by the defendant really quickly.

All right. We're now outside the presence of the jury. Is there anything that either side wanted to address or put on the record before we take a lunch break?

MR. FELICIANO: No.

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. See you guys at 1:30, and we'll try to get started on time and at least let some of the people go. I'm on Mr. Anderson, right? Yeah. Okay. All right. See you guys in an hour. If there's anything that you

guys wanted to put on the record, let's try to show up --1 Well, no, but you guys need an hour. You'll be 2 overtime if you don't, right? 3 4 (Inaudible response.) Let's try to reconvene like maybe 1:25. THE COURT: Let's just see if there's anything that you guys want to put 6 on the record, all right? 7 MS. FLECK: Okay. THE COURT: And hopefully that technical issue will be fixed. I don't know if it's going to be or not. 10 (Court recessed at 12:30 p.m. until 1:31 p.m.) 11 12 (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.) 13 THE COURT: Do we have a second Spanish interpreter for that other juror also, or do we just have the one? Okay. 14 Excellent. 15 Good. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: And then the other two ladies 16 should be back for him. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. Here's what we're going to -- hey 19 guys, here's what we're going to do. We now have some extra interpreters. We have -- she's a Tagalog interpreter and he's 20 an extra Spanish interpreter for the two jurors. What we're 21 22 going to do is I think we're going to need to rearrange them 23 and have them sit like maybe over there, so the interpreters can sit next to them. 24

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

(Pause in proceedings)

THE CLERK: Can I get your name, ma'am? 1 THE INTERPRETER: It's Josephina. Last name spells D 3 as in David, o-o-l-e-y. THE COURT: Then apparently we don't have a second 4 interpreter for that other juror then. I don't know why we 5 don't. 6 7 (Pause in proceedings) All right. Here's what we're going to THE COURT: have to do, I think. Should we wait for Michelle? 9 MR. GRAHAM: She's on her way. 10 We have -- here's what happened. We have 11 THE COURT: the Tagalog interpreter for that one juror. We don't have 12 13 a -- there apparently was a mix-up. We don't have the second 14 Spanish interpreter for the other juror, but I think what we 15 might have to do is, because we're just getting even the one 16 interpreter somewhat late, if it ends up they're in the box or get close to the box, we might have to just, you know, with a 17 Spanish interpreter sort of re-canvass those two jurors. 18 19 They're pretty far away right now. They're 68 and 69. But without a second Spanish interpreter, rather than 20 hold up everything for a second interpreter to get here, let's 21 22 just move on, and if they get too close to the box, I'll just 23 start over again with them. Is that all right with you guys? 24 (Ms. Fleck enters the courtroom.)

25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

That's all right.

THE COURT:

1	Amy, is that all right with you if we do it that way?
2	MS. FELICIANO: I'm sorry. I was
3	THE COURT: Here's the situation. Apparently we now
4	have a Tagalog interpreter for that juror, but not a second
5	Spanish interpreter. They didn't even assign one because
6	apparently there was a mix-up in the communication. Right now
7	they're pretty far away. They're at 68 and 69. I don't know
8	if they're going to get close to the box.
9	But if it turns out one of them or both of them get
10	close to the box, I think what we might have to do is when we
11	get the interpreter here, like start over again just
12	individually with those two. But I don't want to have
13	everybody waiting just while we wait for another interpreter.
14	You know what I mean. Is that all right with you if we do it
15	that way?
16	MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. I think that's fine.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want me to put that on the
18	record? Does it matter to you?
19	MS. FELICIANO: The Court's indulgence.
20	MR. FELICIANO: I'm sorry.
21	(Pause in proceedings)
22	THE COURT: Actually, they're not that far away.
23	They're only like six away, it looks like, five or six away.
24	MS. FELICIANO: See, that's what it was looking to
25	me, like they were getting kind of close.

THE COURT: Yeah. Actually, now that I took it back. 1 They're somewhat close now, but at least being in the box. 2 3 MS. FELICIANO: Right. Because they're just sitting like right back there and we're --4 5 The problem is we don't have a second THE COURT: Spanish interpreter even now. At least we have a Tagalog one, 6 but we don't have a second one. So and apparently they're not 7 sending one because there was some mix-up. So what do we want 8 to do then? THE INTERPRETER: Well, he's going to find somebody 10 for the juror. He's going to find somebody and send them 11 12 over. 13 THE COURT: Well, I mean, they've been finding someone for ... 14 15 MS. FELICIANO: The only issue is that if that person 16 were to get put into the panel, then everything would come to a grinding halt. We can't skip them. 17 THE COURT: Yeah. And that's -- actually, he's only 18 19 five away, Mr. Aguilar. I don't know. I mean, it depends on how quickly we can get the second interpreter up here. 20 21 Jeff's saying that it may be a THE INTERPRETER: 22 while for a second interpreter, but there's an extra headset. 23 He wanted to know if he can interpret for the both of them, 24 and then when you're asking the juror specifically a question,

if he can interpret for them until they find somebody.

25

THE COURT: What do you guys think?

(Pause in proceedings)

THE COURT: See, that's the thing is I mean, it might work, except the one thing that you won't be able to do is you won't be able to talk to your client while the interpreter's interpreting for him is the problem. And not only that, but if you do talk to your client and he's got the headset on, he can hear what you guys are talking about.

MS. FELICIANO: That's what I'm worried about is compromising the integrity of the proceedings by having the interpreters interpreting for multiple people. That's why they have to keep them separate.

THE COURT: But apparently it's going to be a while for a second interpreter to get here.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. We're short on one. I was pulled off a trial downstairs — or upstairs, so we should have two.

THE COURT: So I mean, what do we — what do you guys want to do? Do you want — you know, I don't know if you — the problem is they're five away, so we could be getting to them relatively quickly, and then the whole thing grinds to a halt. Unless we want to push them back further in line until an interpreter gets here. I don't know.

MS. FLECK: I don't know if they're not under -- I mean, they've answered the questions thus far. I don't know

if they're saying we don't feel comfortable sitting on a sexual assault trial because there's going to be complicated topics that we might not understand.

But, you know, if one has lived here for 10 years and one for 12 years, and they've understood some things thus far, I think it seems though they can at least answer the basic questions that are being posed.

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, I mean, they seem like they understand — I mean, every time I've asked them a question it seemed like they can understand. I don't know if there's questions that they don't understand that they're just not raising their hand for.

MS. FELICIANO: Well, the problem is that both of them sought you out personally, the Mr. Aguilar fairly early in. The very first question that you asked he sought you out and said, Judge, I don't understand the English language, I have difficulty. Both of them said that they didn't think they could sit on this trial because of their language issue. So now we're correcting that issue.

I'm just concerned about proceeding forward with them. Maybe they understand, maybe they don't, when they both said I don't think I can sit because I don't understand what's going on. Mr. Aguilar was the first question you asked —

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FELICIANO: -- is anybody going out of town, and

KARR REPORTING, INC.

he said, Hey, I don't understand, I don't speak English.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, so what's the solution, because we don't have a second interpreter here.

MS. FELICIANO: I guess to wait for an interpreter.

I mean, I hate to slow it down, but I mean --

MS. FLECK: Why don't we pull him in and see. I mean, is it something that he can — he's answering your questions. So is it — I mean, somebody saying that they don't feel comfortable sitting in a trial where they have to determine guilt beyond a reasonable doubt on a sexual assault case, that's entirely different than someone not being able to under — you know, understanding the basic where you're from, all that kind of — are you the victim of a crime.

Those are two different levels of comprehension of the English language, I would imagine. And a lot of times they say they don't understand and yet, you know, they understand perfectly fine, they just don't feel comfortable enough to engage in deliberations or to listen to expert testimony, that kind of thing.

THE COURT: Well, let's bring him in. I can ask him. At least we can get through — well, let me ask him. Let's bring them all in, Randy.

Let's go back on the record first. Are you ready?

THE CLERK: We're ready.

THE COURT: All right. State vs. Guillermo

1	Renteria-Novoa, C268285. Can everybody state their
2	appearances for the record.
3	MS. FLECK: Michelle Fleck for the State.
4	MR. GRAHAM: Nick Graham with Michelle Fleck for the
5	State.
6	MR. FELICIANO: Mike Feliciano for the defense.
7	MS. FELICIANO: Amy Feliciano for the defense.
8	THE COURT: All right. The defendant's present in
9	custody with the assistance of a Spanish interpreter.
10	Mr. Interpreter, for the record, what is your name?
11	THE INTERPRETER: Michael Barry.
12	THE COURT: All right. We also have present with us
13	a Tagalog interpreter. For the record, what is your name?
14	THE INTERPRETER: Josephina Dooley.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. And she is going to assist
16	Prospective Juror No. 69, Ms. Martinez. We do not have a
17	second Spanish interpreter. Apparently the interpreter's
18	office is short an interpreter today, so they don't have
19	enough to bring us a second Spanish interpreter right now. We
20	just called down, and the interpreter's office indicated that
21	it's going to be, quote, a while, close quote, until we get a
22	second one here.
23	So what we're going to do is and that would be for
24	the record, for Prospective Juror No. 68, Mr. Aguilar, who
\sim –	

previously indicated that English is not his native language.