IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BARTHOLOMEW M. MAHONEY, JR., Appellant,

VS.

BONNIE M. MAHONEY.

Respondent.

BARTHOLOMEW M. MAHONEY, JR.,

Appellant, vs.

BONNIE M. MAHONEY,

Respondent.

No. 82412

NOV 05 202

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
No. 82413

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary and compelling circumstances having been demonstrated, respondent's motion for a second extension to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B). Respondent shall have until February 2, 2022, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions, including resolution of these consolidated appeals without an answering brief. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

_______, C.J.

cc: The Grigsby Law Group Radford J. Smith, Chartered

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A