



**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
CLERK OF THE COURT**

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER  
200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3<sup>rd</sup> FL.  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160  
(702) 671-4554

Electronically Filed  
May 20 2022 03:16 p.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

Steven D. Grierson  
Clerk of the Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller  
Court Division Administrator

May 20, 2022

Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of the Court  
201 South Carson Street, Suite 201  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: MILTON J. WOODS; CIRRUS AVIATION SERVICES, INC. vs. EAGLE JET AVIATION, INC.;  
ALEX PENLY; STUART M. WARREN; PRIVATE JET SERVICES, INC.; MILT'S EAGLE, LLC  
**S.C. CASE: 84710**  
D.C. CASE: 07A546250

Dear Ms. Brown:

On May 12, 2022 our office submitted a Notice of Appeal packet for the above referenced case noting that the minutes from March 17, 2022 were not included. The minutes have now been completed and are enclosed. Please contact our office at (702) 671-0512 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Heather Ungermann  
Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

## Business Court

## COURT MINUTES

**March 17, 2022**

07A546250 Milton Woods, Cirrus Aviation Services Inc  
vs  
Eagle Jet Aviation Inc, Alex Penley, et al

March 17, 2022	09:30 AM	Defendant Motion to Strike Affidavit(s) of Renewal of Judgment and Untimely Reply in Support of Affidavit
----------------	----------	---

**HEARD BY:** Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 16A

**COURT CLERK:** Jones, Michelle

**RECORDER:** White, Brynn

**REPORTER:**

**PARTIES PRESENT:**

**Mark J Connot** **Attorney for Counter Defendant, Plaintiff**

## JOURNAL ENTRIES

Also present: J. Benson, Esq. on behalf of the Defendant.

Mr. Benson argued strict compliance was not followed through as service did not take place until the fourth day and based upon that one day delay, the judgments are void and should be stricken from the record regarding renewal. Mr. Benson requested the Court to enforce the strict timing requirements and hold the judgment as void for its failure to strictly comply with the statute. Opposing argument by Mr. Connot argued that it was mailed within the three day period required by statute. Further, the Judgement Affidavit of Renewal was properly and timely renewed, the mailing was timely done within the three day period and he received the Affidavit of Service which is the notice requirement. Mr. Connot argued that for these reasons the motion should be denied and the judgment should stand. Mr. Connot stated counsel failed to comply with statutory requirements and the certificate of mailing by the certified mail shows it was untimely. Further, if the Court has any question about whether to adopt the certified mail, he would suggest an Evidentiary Hearing. Following argument and statements by counsel COURT ORDERED the motion will be denied for the following reasons: the Complaint goes back to 2007 to enforce an arbitration award that was confirmed in 2015, a Judgement was entered on January 20, 2016 and the Plaintiff complied with the responsibilities under the statute when they filed, recorded and served the Affidavit of Renewal within the three day time frame mandated by the statute. Further, the Court does not find that the motion is appropriate because Court finds that they complied with the NRS. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Connot to prepare the order and Mr. Benson to approve the form of the simple order and if there are any objections to the order, Mr. Benson can file them to preserve his record. Upon the inquiry of Mr. Benson, the Court clarified its findings that the Certificate of Service was the governing proof of service versus the certified mail.