| 1 | IN THE SUPREME C | COURT C | F THE STAT | E OF NEVADA |
|----------|--|--------------|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | | _ |
| 3 | MARIO TREJO, |) | No. 84724 | Electronically Ellect |
| 4 | Appellant, |) | | Electronically Filed Feb 16 2023 01:38 PM |
| 5 | v. |) | | Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court |
| 6 | |) | | |
| 7 | THE STATE OF NEVADA, |) | | |
| 8 | Respondent. | Ú | | |
| 9 | APPELLANT'S APPE |) NDIX VO | LUME VIII P | AGES 1689-1925 |
| 10 | <u> </u> | (12111) | | 1018 1009 1720 |
| 11 | DARIN F. IMLAY | | STEVE WOI | LFSON District Attornov |
| 12 | Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 | | 200 Lewis Av | District Attorney venue, 3 rd Floor evada 89155 |
| 13 | Attorney for Appellant | | AARON FOI | |
| 14 15 | Trueiney for ripponant | | Attorney Gen 100 North Ca | eral rson Street |
| 16 | | | Carson City, (702) 687-35. | Nevada 89701-4717 38 |
| 17 | | | Counsel for F | Respondent |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |

INDEX MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO Case No. 84724

1

2

| 3 | PAGE NO |
|----------|---|
| 4 | Defendant's Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with House Arrest Based on the Changed Circumstances Brought About by the COVID-19 Crisis filed 03/31/20 Date of Hrg: 04//06/20 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 04/06/22 Date of Hrg: 04/11/22738-758 |
| 7 | Defendant's Response to State's Motion to Preclude Irrelevant Argument filed 04/13/22 |
| 8 | Date of Hrg: 04/11/22 |
| 9 | District Court Minutes from 10/04/18 through 06/15/22 |
| | Indictment filed 10/04/18 |
| 10 | Indictment Warrant filed 10/04/18 |
| 11 | Indictment Warrant Return filed 10/05/18 |
| 12 | Instructions to the Jury filed 05/03/22 845-885 |
| 13 | Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty) filed 06/16/22 |
| 14 15 | Jury List filed 04/21/22 |
| | Jury List filed 05/04/22 |
| 16 | Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access filed 10/10/18 |
| 17 18 | Motion for Medical O.R. or to set Reasonable Bail (Pro Se) filed 04/20/21 Date of Hrg: 04/26/21 |
| 19 | Motion for Pro Per In-Court Disability Adjustment filed 09/23/21 |
| 20 | Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail filed 11/28/18 Date of Hrg: 12/05/18 |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with Electronic Monitoring filed 01/14/19 Date of Hrg: 01/23/19 |
| 23 | Motion to Appeal Decision on May 10 th , 2021 filed 06/17/21 |
| 24 | Motion to Appeal to the Jan. 3 rd 2022 Decision on Dismissal of Case filed 01/21/22 490-536 |
| 25 | Motion to Dismiss Case/Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury filed 12/13/22 Date of Hrg: 01/03/22 432-449 |
| 26 | |
| 27 | Motion to Dismiss Counsel filed 03/02/21 Date of Hrg: 03/24/21 |
| 28 | |

| 1 | Motion to Dismiss Standby Counsel filed 09/23/21 Date of Hrg: 10/18/21 | 240-242 |
|----------|--|---------|
| 2 3 | Motion to Dismiss State's Motion of Opposition filed 05/11/21 Date of Hrg: 06/02/21 | 178-184 |
| 4 | Motion to Suppress Digital Evidence filed 05/06/21 Date of Hrg: 06/02/21 | 171-177 |
| 5 | Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/01/22 | 538-549 |
| 6 7 | Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment of Witnesses filed 11/19/21 Date of Hrg: 12/13/21 | 258-269 |
| 8 | Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed 03/15/21 Date of Hrg: 03/24/21 | 121-128 |
| 9 | Notice of Appeal filed 05/12/22 | 892-894 |
| 10 | Notice of Appeal filed 06/28/22 | 904-908 |
| 11 | Notice of Hearing filed 10/01/19 | 80 |
| 12 | Notice of Hearing filed 04/01/20 | 101 |
| 13 14 | Notice of Hearing filed 03/15/21 | 129 |
| | Notice of Hearing filed 03/31/22 | 684 |
| 15 | Notice of Hearing filed 04/07/22 | 159 |
| 16 | Notice of Rescheduling Hearing filed 01/12/22 | 487-489 |
| 17 | Notice of Vacated Hearing filed 04/07/22 | 760-761 |
| 18 19 | Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Case/Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury filed 01/04/22 Date of Hrg: 01/03/22 | 481-483 |
| 20 21 | Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment of Witnesses filed 01/04/22 | |
| 22 | Date of Hrg: 12/13/21 | 484-486 |
| 23 | Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/29/22 Date of Hrg: 03/23/22 | 667-669 |
| 24 25 | Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 06/09/22 Date of Hrg: 04/18/22 | 895-897 |
| 26 | Order Granting Defendant's Expenditure Request for Expenditure Allowance filed 04/15/22 | 839-841 |
| 27 | Order to File Ex Parte Application for Order Under Seal filed 06/17/20 | 115 |
| 28 | Order to File Ex Parte Order under Seal filed 06/17/20 | 116 |

| 1 | Order to Show Cause: Contempt filed 04/19/22 | 842-843 |
|----------------|--|---------|
| 2 | Pre-Trial Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/18/22 | 652-662 |
| 3 | Receipt of Copy filed 07/08/21 | 225 |
| 4 | Receipt of Copy filed 08/05/21 | 226 |
| 5 | Receipt of Copy filed 10/19/21 | 253 |
| 6 | Receipt of Copy filed 02/03/21 | 537 |
| 7 8 | State's Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/05/22 | 733-738 |
| 9 | State's Notice of Exhibits for Opposition to Defendant's Fifth Motion for Own Recognizance Release filed 04/22/21 Date of Hrg: 04/22/21 | 170 |
| 11 | State's Notice of Exhibits for Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/07/22 | 622-627 |
| 12 | State's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 03/06/19 | 30-38 |
| 13 14 | State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Preclude Defendant from Making Irrelevant Arguments filed 03/30/22 | 670-683 |
| 15 | State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Audiovisual Testimony at Trial on an Order Shortening Time filed 03/16/22 Date of Hrg: 03/21/22 | 641-651 |
| 16 17 18 | State's Opposition to Defendant's Fifth Motion for Own Recognizance Release filed 04/22/21 Date of Hrg: 04/26/21 | 151-169 |
| 19 | State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with House Arrest filed 04/02/20 Date of Hrg: 04/06/20 | 102-114 |
| 20 21 | State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress filed 04/01/22 Date of Hrg: 04/11/22 | 685-732 |
| 22 23 | State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/07/22 Date of Hrg: 03/23/22 | 556-621 |
| 24 | State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 04/07/22 Date of Hrg: 04/27/22 | 762-830 |
| 25 26 | State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Motion for Setting Reasonable Bail with House Arrest filed 10/07/19 Date of Hrg: 10/09/19 | 81-89 |
| 27 28 | State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Case/Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury filed 12/21/21 Date of Hrg: 01/03/21 | 450-480 |

| 1 | State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment of Witnesses filed 12/02/21 | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2 | Date of Hrg: 12/13/21 | . 270-431 |
| 3 | State's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/07/21 | . 248-252 |
| 5 | State's Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 09/15/21 | . 227-239 |
| 6 | State's Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/15/22 | . 628-640 |
| 7 | Supplemental Motion for Setting Reasonable Bail with House Arrest filed 10/01/19 Date of Hrg: 10/09/19 | 40-79 |
| 8 | Supreme Court Judgment filed 10/21/21 | . 254-257 |
| 9 | Supreme Court Judgment filed 03/23/22 | . 663-666 |
| 10 11 | Verdict filed 05/04/22 | . 887-891 |
| 12 | Widdis/Expenditure Allowance Motion filed 03/01/21 Date of Hrg: 03/23/21 | . 550-555 |
| 13 | TD ANGCOLOTG | |
| 14 | <u>TRANSCRIPTS</u> | |
| 15 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 1 Description of the control of the contro | 205 1452 |
| 16 | Date of Hrg: 04/18/22 | 283-1432 |
| 17 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 Date of Hrg: 04/19/22 | 453-1688 |
| 18 19 | Recorder's Transcript | |
| 20 | Jury Trial Day 3 Date of Hrg: 04/20/22 10 | 689-1925 |
| 21 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 4 | |
| 22 | Date of Hrg: 04/21/22 | 926-2036 |
| 23 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 5 | |
| 24 | Date of Hrg: 04/22/22 | 037-2234 |
| 25 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 6 | |
| 26 | Date of Hrg: 04/25/22 | 235-2345 |
| 27 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 7 | |
| 28 | Date of Hrg: 04/26/22 | 346-2502 |
| | | |

| 1 2 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 Date of Hrg: 04/27/22 |
|-----|--|
| 3 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 4 | Jury Trial Day 9 Date of Hrg: 04/28/22 |
| 5 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 6 | Jury Trial Day 10 Date of Hrg: 04/29/22 |
| 7 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 8 | Jury Trial Day 11 Date of Hrg: 05/02/22 2919-3029 |
| 9 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 12 |
| 10 | Date of Hrg: 05/03/22 3030-3142 |
| 11 | Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 13 |
| 12 | Date of Hrg: 05/04/22 |
| 13 | Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions |
| 14 | Date of Hrg: 03/23/22 |
| 15 | Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions |
| 16 | Date of Hrg: 04/11/22 |
| 17 | Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions |
| 18 | Date of Hrg: 04/18/22 |
| 19 | Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call |
| 20 | Date of Hrg: 01/20/21 |
| 21 | Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call |
| 22 | Date of Hrg: 05/17/21 |
| 23 | Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call |
| 24 | Date of Hrg: 01/12/22 |
| 25 | Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call |
| 26 | Date of Hrg: 01/19/22 |
| 27 | Recorder's Transcript Central Calendar Call |
| 28 | Date of Hrg: 04/13/22 |
| | |

| 1 | Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with Electronic Monitoring |
|----|---|
| 2 | Date of Hrg: 01/23/19 |
| 3 | Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary Hearing |
| 4 | Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 02/03/22 1203-1233 |
| 5 | Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary Hearing |
| 6 | Date of Hrg: 02/04/22 1234-1247 |
| 7 | Recorder's Transcript Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail |
| 8 | Date of Hrg: 04/26/21 |
| 9 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 10 | Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 04/28/21 |
| 11 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 12 | Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 05/05/21 |
| 13 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 14 | Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 05/10/21 |
| 15 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 16 | Motion to Dismiss Counsel Date of Hrg: 03/24/21 |
| 17 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 18 | Motion to Dismiss Standby Counsel; Motion for Proper in Court Disability; Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 10/11/21 |
| 19 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 20 | Motion to Dismiss State's Motion of Opposition Date of Hrg: 06/02/211171-1173 |
| 21 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 22 | Motion to Dismiss the Case for Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury Date of Hrg: 01/03/221187-1191 |
| 23 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 24 | Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment Date of Hrg: 12/13/211180-1186 |
| 25 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 26 | Motion to Withdraw as Counsel Date of Hrg: 03/31/211109-1139 |
| 27 | Recorder's Transcript |
| 28 | Order to Show Cause Date of Hrg: 05/10/22 |
| | |

| 1 | Recorder's Transcript Sentencing Date of Hrg: 06/15/22 |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Admit Audiovisual Testimony at Trial on OST; Calendar Call |
| 4 | State's Motion to Admit Audiovisual Testimony at Trial on OST; Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 03/21/22 |
| 5 | Reporter's Transcript Preliminary Hearing |
| 6 | Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 10/03/18 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |

RTRAN

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * * CASE NO. C-18-335315-1 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, DEPT NO. XXIV

MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO,

Defendant.

VS.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIKA BALLOU, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DISTRICT COURT

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2022

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING: JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: HILARY L. HEAP, ESQ.

MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO,

Pro Se

ALEXANDER C. HENRY, ESQ.

Standby Counsel

ALSO PRESENT:

Marie Bacquerie Spanish Interpreter

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2022

(Case called at 11:11 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)

THE MARSHAL: All right. Your Honor, we have your jury pool.

(In the presence of the prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: Great. Thank you.

Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the potential jurors?

MR. TREJO: Stipulated.

MR. SCHWARTZER: The State does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Everybody can have a seat.

So, hi, everybody. This is the date and time set for the trial in Case Number C-18-335315-1, State of Nevada versus Mario Trejo. The record will reflect the presence of Mr. Trejo and his standby counsel, the Deputy District Attorneys, and all of the officers of the Court. And the parties have already stipulated.

And so, ladies and gentlemen, you are in Department 24 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. My name is Erika Ballou. I'm the presiding Judge in this Department.

You've been called today to serve as potential jurors on this trial. This trial is going to be a long one for a criminal. The parties anticipate three weeks. We've

already been in for three days, so that's a little less for you all. Our cases generally start Monday through Wednesday about 10:30 or 11:00. Tuesday and Thursday, we will start around 9:00 o'clock unless something happens.

And then we don't go any later than 5:00 o'clock, like right at 5:00. On Thursdays we will be getting out a little bit earlier because of childcare issues of one of the parties.

And ultimately, there are going to be 16 people who are seated. You're already the second set of people we've -- or the third set now, of people we've brought in. We told the other people to wait a little bit longer so that I can have this discussion with you and they didn't have to listen to all of this again. We're -- we try and be mindful of people's time.

So ultimately, there are going to be 16 people seated in the jury panel. There will be 12 regular jurors who get to deliberate and 4 alternates.

We place a lot of faith in our citizens to act as jurors in the United States of America and hope that they all are able to reach fair and objective decisions. Part of what you're doing here today is being a good citizen, just like, you know, obeying laws, voting, paying taxes. This is all part of being a citizen here. And we appreciate the fact that you responded to the jury summons and showed up.

It's important what you're doing here. It's import to the State of Nevada. It's important to Mr. Trejo. It's important for the entire, you know, faith in the justice system to have people, everyday citizens, come in and do this job, this really important job of determining whether or not the State has proved that Mr. Trejo is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

I know a lot of you are sitting here thinking, I'm going to answer these questions in ways that will get me off. It's not convenient for anybody.

However, the first thing you need to think about is if, you know, you were a party in a lawsuit or if you were accused of a crime, what kind of people would you want to have on a jury. Would you want someone who thinks like you or do you want people who are all -- you know, all for one side or the other.

And second, even if you get out of this jury, I know it's long for a criminal jury, you're not just going to get to go home and go on with your life. You might be put on a civil trial which, I was a criminal defense attorney for a long time before I became a Judge.

Being a Judge is all I've been doing with civil.

And it's so boring. You don't even understand how boring it is to have to listen to people fight over money. So -- and also those cases can last -- I know, it feels like forever,

but some of them do last like months.

So I do want to take an opportunity to introduce you to everybody who's here. You've already met the Marshal, who is Bill. Bill is here to maintain order and security in the court. He's also my representative to the jury. Anything you have questions about or anything like that, you need to ask Bill, because you can't talk to any of the parties.

Keep in mind that Bill can't talk to you about the case, or answer questions about the case. Also, he doesn't have the power to excuse you from the jury.

If there is a reason you can't serve, you need to have that reason stated in court and the parties and I will all discuss it. And it all needs to be on the record. So Bill can't excuse you.

To my far, you know, left kind of, is Susie -because Bill's to the far right -- Susie Schofield. She is
the court recorder. She takes down everything that happens.
She records everything that happens in court so that there is
an accurate legal record of what happens during this trial.

To my immediate left is Ro'Shell Hurtado. She's the Court Clerk. She swears in witnesses, marks exhibits, keeps track of the evidence and prepares Minutes of the Proceedings so that there is a court record.

In the back behind us, you may from time to time see my Executive Assistant, Chapri. She will take charge of the

alternate jurors. She also comes in sometimes, hands me notes.

Same with my Law Clerk. Her name is JerMara. And I've also got a -- an extern this semester. He name is Azra. So they may be sitting in during the trial sometimes. And they all make everything run behind the scenes.

And the State's now going to introduce themselves to you, read a list of witnesses, and give you a synopsis of the case. Please pay attention to the list of witnesses. We are going to later at some point ask if you know any of those people. So we do need to make sure you're paying attention.

So, Mr. Schwartzer or Ms. Heap?

MS. HEAP: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning. Thank you for being here today. My name is Hilary Heap. This is Michael Schwartzer. We are both Chief Deputy District Attorneys. We are assigned to prosecute this case.

The events giving rise to this case occurred on August 3rd -- I'm sorry, August 4th of 2018, and September 4th of 2018. Okay. Both of the events occurred at 1150 South Rainbow Boulevard at the SuperPawn. It's located near Charleston and Rainbow, or Oakey and Rainbow, if you know the area, by Bonanza High School.

It's alleged on August 4th, that the defendant, with an unknown person, attempted to rob the SuperPawn that day.

He was in possession of a firearm as well. A month later he actually robbed the SuperPawn. It's alleged that he actually robbed the SuperPawn. He was alone at that time. He had multiple firearms.

The SuperPawn obviously had workers in it, customers, as well. He took one of the workers hostage, walked out of the building and was ultimately shot by police officers that day.

I'm going to read a long list of names here, so just bear with me. Sometimes my pronunciation's a little bad too. So we'll start here. Listen carefully. You may not hear from all of these people, but there is a possibility. So if you recognize any name, try to keep that in mind, and we will discuss it with the Judge in a few minutes, okay?

So we have Trevor Alsup. He is a FIT Detective with LVMPD. That's the Force Investigation Team. Giovanni Andino. He was a worker at the SuperPawn. Jeddah Balgame. She is a -- an employee at the Clark County District Attorney's Office.

Zachary Baughman. He is with the Air Unit of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. So, the helicopters. Claire Browning. She is a crime scene analyst with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Thomas Carrigy. He is a patrol officer with LVMPD. Jeff Clark. He is a robbery detective with LVMPD. Mark

Colon. He is also a FIT Detective with the LVMPD. Brian Farrington is a patrol officer with LVMPD. Maria Fulwiler. She is now a detective, but at the time she was a patrol officer with LVMPD.

Keenan Graham, is another patrol officer with LVMPD. Tim Hiner. He is the regional investigator and custodian of records for the SuperPawn, specifically, the location at 1150 South Rainbow Boulevard.

We have Melani Howard. She was an employee of that SuperPawn. Jennifer Incerra, was an employee of the SuperPawn. Ivan Jaquez. He was an employee at the SuperPawn. Jason Leavitt. He was a FIT Detective with LVMPD. Dwayne Melvin. He was a customer of that SuperPawn.

Scott Mendoza, he's a detective with LVMPD. Matthew Mongeau was a -- or is a friend of the defendant who loaned him the -- his car that day. Natacha Montoban was a customer at the SuperPawn. Tabatha Paine is a crime scene analyst with LVMPD. Joseph Patton. He is a currently a sergeant. He was with the FIT team as well.

Blake Penny, he's a detective with the FIT team of LVMPD. Marco Rafalovich. He is a investigator at the District Attorney's Office. Jonathan Sandoval was a employee that day at the SuperPawn. Julilana Saldana was also another employee at the SuperPawn. Adriane Serrano-Bojorquez, manager at the SuperPawn.

Jeff Smith is a CSA with LVMPD. Giselle Tapay, another CSA or crime scene analyst with LVMPD. Leah Groveman is a DNA analyst with LVMPD. Barry Jones is a digital investigator with LVMPD, so he handles phones and records. And Christine Whittle, she is a DNA analyst with LVMPD, as well.

I know that was a long list. Thank you for bearing with me.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And so, ladies and gentlemen of the potential jury, it is a constitutional right for a defendant in a criminal case to represent themselves, or to have an attorney appointed if they cannot afford one, or to hire an attorney, obviously. Mr. Trejo has decided he wanted to represent himself, and so he is going to be representing himself.

He is also disabled. And so we have someone here.

He writes things down and speaks -- and the interpreter will read them. And he also has a standby counsel who is appointed to help him during the trial.

So, Mr. Trejo, can you please give a list of your witnesses and a short introduction?

MR. TREJO: (Through interpreter) Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for being here and giving your time to this civic duty. My name is Mario Bladimir Trejo. I am a defendant representing himself.

In this trial, my only witnesses will be Allison McNickle (phonetic) and Officer Octavio Verduzco (phonetic).

I look forward to speaking with you all and hopefully having you on this jury. Thank you for your patience and undivided attention.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Trejo.

Madam Clerk, will you please call the roll of the panel of prospective jurors? When your name is called, please answer present or here.

I'm also going to let you know, and I'm going to have to keep reminding people, because this isn't the way that we talk. The recorder is taking everything down, so please don't say uh-huh or huh-uh, because that doesn't convey what you need for it to convey.

We'll have to probably stop you and say, is that a yes, so just remember we are taking everything down. Thank you.

(CLERK CALLS ROLL OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anyone who's present whose name was not called?

There being no answers.

So we're about to begin the selection process, the jury selection process. This is the part of the case where the parties and their lawyers have the opportunity to get to know a little bit about you in order to help them come to

their own conclusions about your ability to be fair and impartial in this case so that they can decide who they think should stay on as jurors.

This process is done under oath, so will you all please stand and raise your right hand so the Clerk can swear you?

(PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL SWORN.)

THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat.

Thank you. So the process is going to go like this. I'm going to ask some general questions. These questions are going to be directed to everybody.

And so then once we get you merged in with the other group, we're going to continue with what was called voir dire. If anybody is sitting in the box, then we'll be asking questions to people who are sitting. And so that's what I call the box, is over there, the jury box, in front of it.

And then there are people who are also going to be qualified who are going to be sitting on that side of the room. And those people are going to be asked more specific questions by me and by the parties.

And so all of the questions that you're going to be asked during this whole selection process are not intended to pry or to embarrass anybody or anything like that. Bu it's important that everybody knows enough about you to make the decision of whether or not you can be fair in this particular

case. There are no right or wrong answers. We just want you to give complete answers and honest answers.

So you all just took that oath to answer all questions truthfully and you have to do that. Remaining silent when you have information that you should disclose is also a violation of that oath. And if a juror violates its oath, it isn't just going to result in possibly having to retry the case, but it could also result in penalties to the juror personally.

I just want to let you know there was a woman who was a potential juror who came in the morning on Monday and didn't come back after lunch. I had to issue an order to show cause. She could end up having to pay fines for doing that.

I never want to order to have to pay people -- order people to have to pay money or, you know, get them in trouble in any way, but I have to, because we do need people.

This case is going to take awhile, and so there are people who have a lot of, you know, issues, and they can't do that. And so we need to make sure we have the -- enough people so that both the State and Mr. Trejo get a fair trial. So again, it's very important that you be as honest as you -- and complete as you possibly can on your answers.

If you don't understand a question, please ask for an explanation. Don't just guess as to what it means.

Also, at some point during the jury selection

process, the attorneys are going to have -- or sorry, the parties, because Mr. Trejo is representing himself, the parties are going to have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. That's called a challenge.

There are two types of challenges. The first type is a challenge for cause. And a for cause challenge is a request to excuse a juror because that juror might have a difficult time being fair and impartial in this particular case.

The second type of challenge is called a peremptory challenge. A peremptory challenge is a kind of a challenge where the juror can be excused from jury duty without counsel having to give any sort of a reason for the excusal.

In this case each side has ten peremptory challenge because we are seating so many jurors. So please don't be offended if you're excused by any of the challenge procedures. They are simply a part of the process designed to assist the parties in their -- and get a fair and impartial jury.

Once all the challenges are exercised we're going to have, like I said, 16 qualified jurors. Four of the 16 are going to be alternates, and the 12 remaining are going to deliberate in the case.

I'm now going to ask some questions, so if you have an answer to this, please raise your hand and we'll get you a microphone. One of the things I need you to do is give your

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | name and badge number before you answer any of the questions. |
| 2 | So as soon as you get handed the mic, please get your name and |
| 3 | the badge number. Have your badge number out so that you can |
| 4 | just get it really quickly. |
| 5 | So the first question I need to ask, is there anyone |
| 6 | who has a disability or a medical issue that might impact |
| 7 | their ability to serve on a jury in this case? And this is |
| 8 | just medical or a disability. |
| 9 | There being no there yes, a hand? |
| 10 | Okay. So there's a hand on this one. So Bill, if |
| 11 | you can get the mic to that person. |
| 12 | THE MARSHAL: Well, she said she |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: I can't hear. |
| 14 | THE MARSHAL: couldn't hear, Judge. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. Does she need the headphones? |
| 16 | THE MARSHAL: I can provide those. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Is it working? Can you hear? |
| 18 | Can you hear now? |
| 19 | Okay. Great. What what ma'am can you hand |
| 20 | her the microphone? |
| 21 | Bill, can you hand her the microphone? |
| 22 | Ma'am, what's your name and your badge number? |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: 04 or 0544, Theresa |
| 24 | Gillogly. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Ms. Gillogly, have you been able to hear |
| | Page 14 |
| | l ago it |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | everything else I've said, and just not the question, or did |
| 2 | you not hear anything? |
| 3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: I heard some of it. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to go through |
| 5 | everything again. |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: I understand, ma'am. |
| 7 | THE COURT: So is there anyone who has a disability |
| 8 | or a medical issue that might impact their ability to serve as |
| 9 | a juror on this case? |
| 10 | And Ms. Gillogly has her hand up. |
| 11 | So, Ms. Gillogly, again, if you can just state your |
| 12 | name and your badge number. |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: 0544, Theresa Gillogly. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. So |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: My disability is severe |
| 16 | PTSD. |
| 17 | THE COURT: And how would that affect your ability |
| 18 | to sit as a juror? |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: Ma'am, it's in high |
| 20 | it's high right now. And most of it is because my youngest |
| 21 | son was killed in September when he was hit by a car. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Can the parties approach? |
| 23 | (Bench conference) |
| 24 | THE COURT: She appears to be shaking and crying |
| 25 | right now as we speak. I'm going to excuse her right now |
| | Page 15 |

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022 1 unless anybody objects. 2 MS. HEAP: No objection. MR. TREJO: Of course. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And for the record, Mr. Trejo 4 5 said "of course". 6 (End of bench conference) 7 THE COURT: Ms. Gillogly, we're going to go ahead 8 and excuse you from jury duty right now. Thank you very much for your time. I am so sorry for your loss. 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0544: Thank you for your 11 time. 12 THE COURT: So is there anyone else who has a 13 disability or medical issue that might impact their ability to 14 serve as a juror in this case? 15 There being no additional hands. 16 Is there anyone here who's been convicted of a felony? 17 18 There being no hands on this one, as well. 19 Like I said, we anticipate this case is going to 20 last three weeks. It's already been going on for a couple 21 days. So still most of the rest of the next three weeks. 22 I recognize that serving as -- on a jury is almost 23 always a personal or financial hardship. So for that reason, 24 it's generally not considered an excuse to -- from serving as 25 a juror. But is there anyone who has unique -- a unique

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | inconvenience or a hardship that would impact your ability to |
| 2 | serve on this particular jury at this particular time? |
| 3 | So there's several hands. So let's start in the |
| 4 | front row. And it looks like the entire front row. |
| 5 | Ma'am, state your name and your badge number. |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: My name is Tonia |
| 7 | Ibister. And my badge number is do I do the whole thing? |
| 8 | THE COURT: I'm sorry? |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: 10 10533 |
| 10 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: was it okay. |
| 12 | THE COURT: So what's your |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: I mean, I have a |
| 14 | daughter who is autistic. So it's kind of hard to have child |
| 15 | care for her after school. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Do you work? |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: No. |
| 18 | THE COURT: And is your main job being her her |
| 19 | care provider? |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: Yes. I'm her care |
| 21 | provider. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Ibister. |
| 23 | Who was next? |
| 24 | MS. HEAP: Your Honor, I just want to correct the |
| 25 | record. Her badge number we have down is 14-0437. |
| | Page 17 |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: And that is what I have as well. |
| 2 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: Sorry, guys. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 4 | Say your name and badge number. |
| 5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0430: Jose Espinoza. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0430: 14-0430. |
| 8 | I'm currently working out of the state. I just came |
| 9 | in for the last week for Easter, and this, and my daughter's |
| 10 | birthday. And I have a ticket already going out Monday at |
| 11 | 6:00. So |
| 12 | THE COURT: Where are you currently working? What |
| 13 | state? |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0430: Tennessee. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 16 | Who was next? |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: My name is Marites |
| 18 | Knoerzer, Badge Number 14-0423. |
| 19 | I don't know if it's because I'm I'm foreigner. |
| 20 | So I have it's hard for me to understand everything. I |
| 21 | have to process it in my mind before I can |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. That's actually going to be a |
| 23 | question a little bit later. So is there anyone else who has |
| 24 | a particular inconvenience or hardship? |
| 25 | So can you pass the mic down? |
| | Page 18 |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | City at the Veterans Cemetery. |
| 2 | THE COURT: And the weekend you said? |
| 3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0449: Yes. |
| 4 | THE COURT: That's the |
| 5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0449: Friday, Saturday and |
| 6 | Sunday in Ontario, California. But I'll be back Sunday |
| 7 | evening. |
| 8 | THE COURT: But you're gone Friday? |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0449: Yes. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 11 | Who was next? |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: My badge number is 14- |
| 13 | 0531. And my name is Martha Alvarez. |
| 14 | And my issue is not as big and not understand very |
| 15 | well English. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Okay. Again, that's going to be a |
| 17 | question a little bit later. |
| 18 | So is there anybody else who has a particular |
| 19 | hardship or inconvenience that could not |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: My name is Layla |
| 21 | Catabay. My Badge Number is 14-0481. |
| 22 | So I'm I'm the head of the household. So three |
| 23 | weeks is a little bit longer for me. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Where do you work? |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: I work in St. Rose |
| | Page 20 |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Hospital. I'm a first responder. So basically, I like I |
| 2 | said earlier, I have a COVID patient yesterday for two days. |
| 3 | So, I mean, my husband only work for Door Dash, so I basically |
| 4 | support the whole family. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Does your job pay for because most |
| 6 | large employers pay. |
| 7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: I know they do. But I |
| 8 | don't know if they do for the eight hour or how long that's |
| 9 | here. I don't know how much they do. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Again, that that's generally they |
| 11 | generally pay you your salary. |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Okay. |
| 13 | THE COURT: So it is and you said you're St. |
| 14 | Rose Hospital? |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes. |
| 16 | THE COURT: And what department? |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Med Surg |
| 18 | (indiscernible). So I basically, if you guys have any problem |
| 19 | in being exposed I'm always exposed. And I don't know |
| 20 | when, because they always pop up. |
| 21 | THE COURT: No, but what what is the name of the |
| 22 | department so that we can |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: It's Med Surg. Medical |
| 24 | Surgical Unit. |
| 25 | THE COURT: We will try and find out if your job |
| | Page 21 |
| | · |

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
   pays. But I can't -- I can't tell you for sure.
 1
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Okay.
                         But most large employers do pay --
 3
              THE COURT:
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Okay.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: -- for jury duty. Because it's a civic
 6
    duty.
 7
              Anybody else?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0517: Badge Number 14-0517.
 9
   My name is Diego Arias. I have a funeral on Friday in
    Whittier, California.
10
11
              And then I will also be traveling from May 1st to
12
   May 8th to California.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              And was that it for everybody and their hardship?
              Thank you.
15
16
              Now, the next question that a couple of people have
    tried to answer already, is there anyone who doesn't speak
17
18
    English fluently?
19
              Okay. So if you can get the microphone to the first
20
    -- in the front row. In the front row. Let's start in the
21
    front row. Front row. Front row.
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: My name is Marites
    Knoerzer, Badge Number 14-0423. Like I say, since I'm
23
24
    foreigner, I have -- I have to --
25
              THE COURT: What's --
                                 Page 22
```

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: process |
| 2 | THE COURT: What's your primary language? |
| 3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: Tagalog. I have to |
| 4 | process everything and then I would it's not that quick. |
| 5 | THE COURT: How long have you lived in the United |
| 6 | States? |
| 7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: 15 years. |
| 8 | THE COURT: What do you do for a living? |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: Sales. |
| 10 | THE COURT: How much of your job entails you |
| 11 | speaking English? |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: Since I have more |
| 13 | Filipinos. And then in my house we don't speak English too. |
| 14 | THE COURT: How much of what has happened in court |
| 15 | today have you understood? |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: I have to really kind |
| 17 | of listen to you. And then like translate it in my mind what |
| 18 | you're saying. |
| 19 | THE COURT: But what percentage have you been able |
| 20 | to understand? |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: Probably 70 percent. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 23 | And then there was someone who was behind you. |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: Badge Number 14-0391, |
| 25 | Santos Ramirez. |
| | |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: Mr. Ramirez, what's your primary |
| 2 | language? |
| 3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: Spanish. |
| 4 | THE COURT: How long have you lived in the United |
| 5 | States? |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: I've been living for |
| 7 | about 40 years. |
| 8 | THE COURT: And what do you do for a living? |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: Actually, I'm living in |
| 10 | Mexico right now. So I'm kind of living in Mexico for a half |
| 11 | a year, and a half over here in United States. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, what do you do for a living? What |
| 13 | is your job? |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: I don't have a job |
| 15 | right now. |
| 16 | THE COURT: What did you do for a living? |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: I did truck driver, |
| 18 | truck driver. Yes. |
| 19 | THE COURT: How much of when you were working, |
| 20 | how much of that entailed speaking English? |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: Basically, I say about |
| 22 | 50 percent of my time when I was driving. |
| 23 | THE COURT: And how much of what's happened in court |
| 24 | today have you understood? |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: I've been understanding |
| | Page 24 |
| | 1 490 27 |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | like 75 percent or 80. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 3 | And then there was another woman in the further |
| 4 | back. |
| 5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: My Badge Number is 14- |
| 6 | 0531, and my name is Martha Alvarez. |
| 7 | THE COURT: What's your primary language? |
| 8 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: Spanish. |
| 9 | THE COURT: How long have you lived in the United |
| 10 | States? |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: 19 years. Almost 20. |
| 12 | THE COURT: What do you do for a living? |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: Pardon me? |
| 14 | THE COURT: What do you do for a living? |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: I'm working casino |
| 16 | porter in Bellagio. |
| 17 | THE COURT: And how much of your work entails you |
| 18 | speaking English, or understanding English? |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: 70 percent. 65, 70 |
| 20 | percent. |
| 21 | THE COURT: And how much of what has happened in |
| 22 | court today have you been able to understand? |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0531: 60, 65 percent. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 25 | Is that it for the people who doesn't speak English |
| | Page 25 |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | fluently, or were there anymore? |
| 2 | Okay. There being no additional hands. |
| 3 | Are any of you acquainted with me or any of the |
| 4 | court staff? |
| 5 | Do any of you know each other? |
| 6 | There being no hands to either of the last two |
| 7 | questions. |
| 8 | Are either of you acquainted with Mr. Trejo, or his |
| 9 | standby counsel, or the interpreter? |
| 10 | There being no answer to that. |
| 11 | Are any of you acquainted with Mr. Schwartzer or Ms. |
| 12 | Heap from the Deputy from District Attorney's Office? |
| 13 | There being no hands on that one. |
| 14 | Are any of you acquainted with Steve Wolfson or any |
| 15 | other person in the District Attorney's Office? |
| 16 | There being no hands to that. |
| 17 | Are any of you acquainted with any of the witnesses |
| 18 | whose names were read by the State or by the Defense? |
| 19 | There being no hands on that. |
| 20 | Does anybody know anything about this case other |
| 21 | than what's been stated in the court today? |
| 22 | There being no hands to that. |
| 23 | Does anyone have any philosophical, religious or |
| 24 | other belief that would prevent you from serving as a fair and |
| 25 | impartial juror? |
| | |

There being no answers to that.

So under our system of criminal justice certain principles apply in every criminal trial. They are, number one, that the charging document filed in this case is merely an accusation and is not any evidence of guilt.

Number two is that the defendant is presumed innocent. And number three is that the State must prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Does anyone not understand or not believe these concepts?

There being no answers to that.

Is there anyone who would have trouble following the instructions on the law I give you regardless of whether you think the law is good or bad?

There being no answers to that one.

And so, I actually have a meeting at 12:00 o'clock so I am probably just going to go ahead, unless you all go right now, so that I can confer with the other attorneys and make it to my meeting.

During the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, of any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected |
| 2 | with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. |
| 3 | You guys will need to come back at 1:15. Thank you. |
| 4 | (Prospective jurors recessed at 11:51 a.m.) |
| 5 | (Outside the presence of the prospective jury) |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. So let's talk about these people. |
| 7 | Pretty much everybody had an excuse on this one. |
| 8 | I'm sorry. We're outside the presence. I forgot to say that |
| 9 | for the record. |
| 10 | So Mr. Schwartzer, where do you want to start? |
| 11 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Let's go down the list. |
| 12 | Badge Number 14-0389, doesn't have childcare on |
| 13 | Monday and Friday. Obviously, that doesn't work for our |
| 14 | schedule. I would ask to kick her. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Mr. Trejo? |
| 16 | MR. TREJO: I concur. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. She's got a two-year-old. |
| 18 | That's why I asked the age. So she will be excused. |
| 19 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Badge Number 14-391. I guess we |
| 20 | can lump that in with Badge Number 14-423. And 14-531, I feel |
| 21 | like we've done this one, again, it's multiple times during |
| 22 | this trial this jury selection. |
| 23 | All three have stated that they can't understand |
| 24 | everything that's been |
| 25 | THE COURT: And just and just for the record, can |
| | |

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
 1
    you please give me the names? I'm trying to --
 2
              MR. SCHWARTZER:
                               Sure.
              THE COURT: -- get the badge numbers.
 3
              MR. SCHWARTZER: 14-391 would be Santos Ramirez.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZER: 14-423, would be Marites Knoerzer,
 7
    K-n-o-e-r-z-e-r.
 8
              And Badge Number 531, is Martha Alvarez.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: All three have stated that they
10
11
    didn't understand everything that was going on in this
12
                I didn't catch what Alvarez said regarding a
    courtroom.
    percentage, but at least with Mr. Ramirez it was 75 to 80
13
14
    percent.
15
              THE COURT: Alvarez said 60 to 65 percent.
16
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, so she was even less.
17
              And then Ms. Knoerzer said 70 percent.
18
              So if you're not understanding -- if you're all --
19
    if they're understanding less than 80 percent of what's being
20
    said in the courtroom, that's obviously no good for anybody,
21
    so I'd ask to excuse those three.
22
              THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?
23
                          I think Ramirez, 0391, and Martha
              MR. TREJO:
24
    Alvarez, weren't too bad in their English. I do agree with
25
    Ms. Marites --
```

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022 1 THE COURT: I -- her name was, yeah, Marites ---- Marites --2 MR. TREJO: THE COURT: -- Knoerzer, I think is how she 3 pronounced it. 4 5 MR. TREJO: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Okay. So we will for sure kick out 7 Marites Knoerzer. 8 And then Mr. -- Mr. Trejo, I'm sorry, but they did give a really low percentage on Santos Ramirez. He said 75 to 80 percent of what's happening. He also didn't -- he drove 10 11 trucks so he didn't have to use English a lot in his job. 12 And Ms. Alvarez said only 60 to 65 percent is what 13 she understood. 14 So I am also, over defendant's noted objection, I am 15 going to excuse both of those as well. 16 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And the next one I have is Badge Number 14-408, Ms. Hunter, who has preplanned out of 17 18 state travel across the country. I would ask to excuse her 19 based on that. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Trejo? MR. TREJO: I concur. 21 22 THE COURT: We will excuse Hunter. 23 MR. SCHWARTZER: Same reason for Badge Number 14-24 430, Mr. Espinoza, who has a plane ticket for Tennessee on 25 Monday.

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022 1 MR. TREJO: I was going to suggest the same. Okay. Mr. Espinoza will be kicked. 2 THE COURT: 3 We are going to get like maybe three people out of this panel. 4 5 MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. I agree. 6 Badge Number 437, Ms. Ibister, I am very sympathetic 7 to a -- someone dealing with an autistic child and the trouble 8 it is to get childcare there. I would kick her just because of that. I'm -- again, I don't -- I don't think she -- I mean, there's no like real for cause reason. But that's a 10 11 major issue. 12 THE COURT: That's not a for cause reason. That's a 13 -- she's a --14 MR. SCHWARTZER: Hardship. 15 THE COURT: -- hardship. 16 MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MR. SCHWARTZER: So I would excuse her based on 18 19 that, just with the knowledge of how hard that is. So I would 20 move for that. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Trejo? 22 MR. TREJO: I agree with that. 23 Okay. So we will kick out Ibister. THE COURT: 24 MR. SCHWARTZER: And then 14-449, Mr. Gautier, has 25 out of state travel for Friday, I believe, and a funeral.

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: The funeral is tomorrow. The out of |
| 2 | state travel is Friday. |
| 3 | MR. SCHWARTZER: So it has to obviously, that |
| 4 | doesn't either doesn't work for our schedule, so I'd ask to |
| 5 | kick him. |
| 6 | MR. TREJO: I agree. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Yep. Okay. |
| 8 | MR. SCHWARTZER: The next one I have on my list is |
| 9 | 14-517, Mr. Arias. He also has out of state travel, as well |
| 10 | he does he have a funeral too? And he has a funeral as |
| 11 | well. So I would ask to kick him. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yeah. He's got a funeral on Friday in |
| 13 | California and then he's also going to be out of town May 1st |
| 14 | through May 8th, which clearly doesn't work with our schedule. |
| 15 | Mr. Trejo is nodding in agreement. |
| 16 | Do you want to say anything? |
| 17 | MR. TREJO: I agree. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 19 | MR. SCHWARTZER: That's that's my list, Your |
| 20 | Honor. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Mr. Trejo, anything? |
| 22 | MR. TREJO: That pretty much covers it. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. |
| 24 | So I need to get out of here for this 12:00 o'clock |
| 25 | meeting. When we get back we will kick all those people and |
| | Page 32 |

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022

then we'll have to deal with the one, two, three, four, five remaining out of the 15.

THE MARSHAL: Did you want to say something?

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo, did you have anything you needed to say?

No? Okay. Thank you. Yes. Okay.

(Court recessed at 11:58 a.m., until 1:05 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury).

THE COURT: Okay. We are back on the record on State of Nevada versus Mario Trejo, Case Number C-18-335315-1. We have two, three -- oh, and these are very nice handwriting -- writing.

Okay. So Badge Number 12-0962, so that would have been from the first day. Morgan Arias. He has an ID Number and a Badge Number, "I am requesting excusal for extreme financial hardship and impacting many students' grades by missing work. I am a tutor and will not be compensated for missed work days. I cannot be a juror on this trial without possibly going into severe debt or becoming homeless. I have bills to pay and do not have people to rely on financially. In addition, I tutor at a low income high school where students struggle as it is. Me missing work will greatly impact eight classes of students at Desert Pines High School. Their finals, as well as mine, are coming up. I need to be there for them, and myself, financially. Thank you. Morgan

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022 1 Arias." 2 I don't even remember where this person is. MS. HEAP: He's in seat 35. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And this is a Court Exhibit. 4 5 So he has got financial -- I mean, I don't 6 understand why people aren't telling us this in the beginning, 7 but whatever. 8 Then we've got Joseph Munninger, Badge Number 1066. He is in Seat 4. His note says, "Your Honor, I talked to my 9 10 employer about the trial being about three weeks and they 11 wanted to know if I could be excused because I am the only one 12 who can do my station which I dip motors in resin. The only other person who could do it is gone for medical issues and it 13 14 takes at least a month to train another person to do it." 15 I'm going to say no to that one just off the bat. 16 Dipping motors. I -- that's not -- I mean, he didn't say 17 financial or anything like that. He just said his job doesn't 18 want him to be here. 19 So does anybody have an issue with me denying Joseph 20 Munninger's? 21 MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And Mr. Trejo doesn't have an interpreter yet, but he wasn't shaking his head no. 23 So Alex 24 -- sorry, Mr. Henry, can you just act as his interpreter for 25 now?

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. HENRY: No issue. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 3 | THE CLERK: All right. So Arias, we |
| 4 | THE COURT: Arias, we're going to talk about. |
| 5 | THE CLERK: Okay. |
| 6 | THE COURT: But Munninger, I'm just denying off the |
| 7 | bat. |
| 8 | Fabio Kahara, Badge Number 12-0846, is in Seat 20. |
| 9 | And his note says, "Hi, Your Honor. I am a single parent and |
| 10 | the only income for my family. I really don't have anybody to |
| 11 | pick them up at school every day. My ex-wife live in a |
| 12 | different state, make even harder for me. I own a trucking |
| 13 | business. My driver depends on me to get loads so other |
| 14 | families depend on my performance to earn money. Thanks. |
| 15 | Fabio Kohara." |
| 16 | We'll talk about that one at the end. That's a |
| 17 | Court's Exhibit. |
| 18 | Then the three or the two I'm sorry, I'm just |
| 19 | trying to get steps for the hour. Especially, I ate a whole |
| 20 | huge piece of cheesecake or cake. |
| 21 | Oh, this one's all typed. |
| 22 | Angela Ahmad, Badge Number 12-0794. She is |
| 23 | THE CLERK: She's 12. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Where? |
| 25 | THE CLERK: 12. |
| | Page 35 |

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022

THE COURT: Okay. She is in Seat 12.

"I am requesting an excusal from jury duty because of my pregnancy."

Did she tell us she was pregnant? No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: She did not.

THE COURT: No. "Because of my pregnancy. I would love to be a part of this process but at this time I am starting to find it hard on myself. I am dealing with morning sickness. The very first day I felt well, so I did not think much of it. But yesterday I had to vomit during my lunch and it really upset me for the rest of the day. At my job, I have the ability to run to the restroom to vomit but here I was — it was a battle to hold it in.

"The sitting down on the chair all day is starting to hurt my lower back and I get really sleeping" -- I'm sure she meant sleepy. "I am also worried about the timeline affecting my doctor appointments as well. I have my next appointment with my OB/GYN next Friday, April 29th, at 9:45 a.m.

"And I am stressing not knowing the timeline with this case, how to reschedule it. I don't know my options if I can either be moved to another case or postpone for next year. It is just the length of this case that worries me on how it will affect me with stress, body aches, dealing with morning sickness and doctor appointments."

We can talk to her separately. I'm making that a court exhibit.

And then the final one is also typed up.

This one doesn't have his Badge Number, but it is

Lance Banks. "Re jury service concerns, to Honorable Erika D.

Ballou. Dear Judge Ballou, I am writing this correspondence
to express my concerns with serving as a juror in the instant
matter.

"As my background as an attorney has become more widespread, I am regularly being asked questions by my fellow potential jurors. While the questions are benign at this point, my fear is that Mr. Trejo's constitutional rights to a trial by a jury of his peers will degrade into a trial by a jury of his peer.

"I fear that my background and education will result in the other jurors following my thoughts or opinions during deliberation rather than taking the time or effort to properly formulate their own. I truly abhor the possibility that my perceived knowledge would unnaturally upset the balance of the collective decision-making process.

"Additionally, on a personal note, I have been at my current employment for only three months and being away from my work for three more -- three or more weeks puts me in an extreme hardship as I am still relatively new. I will perform my duties to the best of my ability should it be determined

that it is still appropriate for me to serve as a juror.

However, I must satisfy the dictates of my conscience by informing the Court of my concerns with my prospective service. Yours truly, Lance D. Banks."

I'm going to not do anything about that one because he's just saying basically that he thinks that people are going to rely on him, and that could be the case anytime there's an attorney on the --

MR. SCHWARTZER: I agree. I agree with your thoughts there, Your Honor. I guess, my worry -- and maybe I just misheard what was being said.

I guess my worry is that are people already asking him? Because that's a violation of their admonishment.

THE COURT: So we can bring him in for sure and ask him what has been asked. But yeah, no, you didn't mishear that.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: So we'll bring in Ms. Ahmad and ask her about her -- her pregnancy. We'll bring in mr. Kahara and Mr. Arias about their work and the financial hardship. And we'll bring in Mr. Banks and ask him about the other -- the other jurors asking him.

So before we bring in the others, let's bring in one at a time, if you can bring in the four that I have -- five, I don't know, however -- one --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Oh, okay. And is -- has there been anything that raised concerns to you about the case itself?

Nobody's asked you anything?

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0773: No. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Schwartzer, do you want to |
| 3 | follow up on that? |
| 4 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Based on those answers, I don't |
| 5 | have any follow-up. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Mr. Trejo, based on those answers, do |
| 7 | you have any follow-up? |
| 8 | MR. TREJO: I have no follow-up. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 10 | Thank you, Mr. Banks. |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0773: No problem. Thank you. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Just leave that there. We'll the |
| 13 | next person we bring in will need it too. |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0773: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 16 | (Prospective Juror No. 0773 is not present.) |
| 17 | THE MARSHAL: All right. Judge, who would you like |
| 18 | next? |
| 19 | COURT: It doesn't any of the any of the mix. |
| 20 | THE MARSHAL: Any? Angela Ahmad? |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 22 | (Prospective Juror No. 0794 is present) |
| 23 | THE COURT: Ms. Ahmad, can you please just state |
| 24 | your name and badge number? |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Angela Ahmad, Badge |
| | Page 40 |

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022 1 794. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your note. 3 We were not aware that you're pregnant. How far along are you? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: It should be nine weeks 6 tomorrow. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And so you said -- (to the clerk) wait, can I see the notes? 8 9 And you you said that -- so the thing about your 10 lower back, we can always just take breaks and you don't have 11 to sit the whole time. You can actually even just stand up 12 like in -- in court. But your doctor's appointment is on Friday, the 29th 13 14 at 9:45. When would you be able to -- to reschedule it until? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Well, that's where I 16 was -- I wasn't sure what the timeline is. Like are we doing three weeks starting now? 17 18 THE COURT: It was three weeks starting Monday. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Oh, starting Monday? 20 THE COURT: Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Because it's --21 22 THE COURT: But I'm not 100 percent sure because we have so many people who are not able to serve for that long. 23 24 But we're going through lots and lots of panels. 25 So if you had to reschedule -- and I'm sorry, and

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | also if you are feeling sick, please just let us know and |
| 2 | we'll take a break for you. But would you be able to I |
| 3 | mean, how like I don't I've never had a child and so |
| 4 | and everybody else in here there's a lot of men so |
| 5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: This is my first. |
| 6 | THE COURT: okay. Oh, okay. |
| 7 | So I don't know like is that a particular timing |
| 8 | that you need to have the the |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: She sees me every four |
| 10 | weeks right now in the beginning |
| 11 | THE COURT: Um-hum. |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: so this is my second |
| 13 | appointment. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. And if it's at 9:45, if we |
| 15 | started late, how late on Friday would you be able to |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: I don't think they take |
| 17 | long. Like if we came in at this time, I think that would |
| 18 | probably be fine. |
| 19 | THE COURT: So if we come in on Friday at 1:15? |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Yeah. |
| 21 | THE COURT: We would be able to do it? |
| 22 | Okay. And is that your only concern? |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Yeah. Just that I have |
| 24 | nausea. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. And the nausea, like I said, if |
| | Page 42 |

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | you if if we're like where we're not talking to |
| 2 | everybody and you just need us to take a break, or if we have |
| 3 | a witness on the stand, you if you're on the jury, raise |
| 4 | your hand and I will remember that we need a break. |
| 5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Okay. |
| 6 | THE COURT: I have to go to the bathroom all the |
| 7 | time. So we're probably going to need a break anyway. |
| 8 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Okay. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. So yeah, if we start late on |
| 10 | Friday, then we can accomplish that one. |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Okay. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Thank you. |
| 14 | THE COURT: You can just it in the chair. |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Oh. |
| 16 | THE COURT: The next person's going to come. |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Thank you. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 19 | (Prospective Juror No. 0794 is not present) |
| 20 | THE COURT: If you can get Morgan Arias. |
| 21 | (Prospective Juror No. 0962 is present) |
| 22 | THE COURT: Mr. Arias, can you please just state you |
| 23 | name and your badge number? |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Morgan Arias, 0962. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| | Page 43 |

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Yeah.
 1
              THE COURT: And so you're -- you live alone?
 2
 3
    mean, I'm just trying to figure out --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Yeah. Yeah.
 4
                                                          I do live
 5
    alone.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: And that's my only job
 8
    right now.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. And so -- and do they pay while
10
    you're on jury duty?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: No.
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Yeah.
14
              THE COURT: Any questions for Mr. Arias?
15
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Just I guess, Mr. Arias, if -- from
16
   based on your letter you're saying if you don't work for the
    next three weeks you wouldn't be able to pay your bills?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Yes.
18
19
              MR. SCHWARTZER: And you --
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Correct.
21
              MR. SCHWARTZER: And you wouldn't be able -- so
    there's a chance you'd be evicted?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Yes.
23
24
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
25
              I have nothing further, Your Honor.
```

25

brother, sometime my friends.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

If you can just hand the mic to -- to Bill and we will talk about all this and then we'll bring the jury in. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0846: Thank you, Your Honor. (Prospective Juror No. 0846 is not present)

THE COURT: So we did not bring in Mr. Munninger, who just -- I don't even remember what his letter said.

MR. SCHWARTZER: It was the dipping engines.

THE COURT: Oh, yeah. That his employer just wanted him to come back.

We -- there's -- there were -- oh, we did bring in Mr. Banks. I don't think there's any issues related to Mr. Banks. They are not asking him any questions that are inappropriate at this time. So I don't see a cause challenge for Mr. Banks.

But does anybody have any issues with Mr. Banks at this time based on what his note said?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Not for the State, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: No. No issues.

THE COURT: Okay. Angela Ahmad, I think we can just work around her schedule. On Friday, we'd be able to just start later in the day. It's at 9:45. If we started maybe even at noon instead of at -- at 9:00 on Friday which -- and I mean and that's only one day that we'd have to do this.

So I think we can work around that. Any issues from the State?

MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: Nothing from the defense.

THE COURT: Okay.

As to Mr. Arias, he would be an extreme financial difficulties and would not be able to pay his bills. In addition, he is helping low income students get ready for college which I think is a worthy -- a worthy, you know, outlook. But the biggest issue to me is his financial difficulty and I think that that would be a problem for him.

And even if, you know, we kept him, he wouldn't be paying attention to this. He would be paying attention to, oh my God, what should I be doing to pay my bills. So I would be inclined to release Mr. Arias.

MR. SCHWARTZER: We have the same thoughts, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: I would move to excuse Mr. Morgan Arias. This case will cause him financial turmoil.

THE COURT: Yes. So he is on the -- he is on the kick out list.

And then Fabio Kohara, I didn't follow up with him too much. When he said how young his children were, I assumed

that, you know, it would be a difficulty for him to -- to have someone pick up his kids. So then when Mr. Schwartzer asked further questions about who's picking them up and what the financial cost is, that made my mind even more made up that I think that Mr. Kohara should be released because both of the difficulty of his childcare and the difficulty with his financial hardship. So I'd be inclined to release Mr. Kohara.

Mr. Schwartzer or Ms. Heap?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Same thoughts, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: Mr. Kohara is self-employed. This duty would affect his business. I move to excuse him.

THE COURT: Okay.

So here is what we've got. We've got one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine people from the 15 that we're going to be releasing. That would be Tashinia Batt, Marites Knoerzer, Santos Ramirez, Martha Alvarez, Kimberly Hunter. Jose Espinoza. Tonia Ibister. Richard Gautier. Diego Arias. And then two from the panel that had been existing, that would be Morgan Arias and Fabio Kohara.

Does everybody have the same list of people we are going to be releasing?

MR. TREJO: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. HEAP: You mean 1027, right?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Which one?

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
1
    Judge, you'll have 7 extra over our 36.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. Fabio Kohara, what page is he on.
 3
    And Morgan Arias.
                         How many did you say, Bill?
 4
              THE CLERK:
 5
              THE MARSHAL:
                            Seven.
 6
              THE CLERK: Okay. Yeah.
 7
              THE COURT: Kohara is on page one.
              THE CLERK: In Seat 20 --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Hold on for just a second. I'm still
    trying to find Morgan Arias. I don't know where he is.
10
11
    he is.
            Okay. Page two.
12
                         Page two, halfway down?
              THE CLERK:
13
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah. Yeah.
14
              So, sorry.
15
              THE CLERK:
                          Ready?
16
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
17
              THE CLERK:
                          Okay. In Seat 20, will be Anthony Tam-
18
    Sing, Badge 1033. And then --
19
              THE COURT:
                          From what day? I'm so --
20
              THE CLERK:
                          Two.
21
              THE COURT:
                          I'm -- why can I not find these people?
22
    Where is this? Okay. Anthony Tam-Sing.
23
              THE CLERK: Yeah. That would be from day two.
24
              THE COURT:
                          What happened to April Recking?
25
              THE CLERK:
                          She's the one in 35.
```

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Oh, okay.
 2
              MR. SCHWARTZER: It's like a word search puzzle at
 3
    this point.
 4
              THE CLERK: And then in 35 will be April Reckling,
 5
    1028.
 6
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 7
              So just to try and keep this a little bit less
 8
    confusing, I'm just going to -- if we can bring in the 11
   people that we're going to excuse right now. Do you have all
10
    the names, Bill?
11
              THE MARSHAL:
                            Yes.
12
              THE COURT: I mean, it really is like a word puzzle.
13
              So Seat 1, I've still got Athena Chapela. 2, is
14
    Corey Cunnington. Isabella Will. Joseph Munninger. Ariel
15
    Lealao.
             Tanner Dickens. Lance Banks. Kyle Shenett.
16
              Wait. I'm -- I'm -- this is all very confusing.
   Never mind.
17
18
              THE MARSHAL:
                            Okay.
19
              THE CLERK: Give me one second, though. I'll give
20
    you this.
21
              THE MARSHAL:
                            Oh, I'm good. I'm good.
22
              THE CLERK: Huh? Have you got it all?
23
              THE MARSHAL:
                            I've got it.
24
              THE CLERK: Okay.
25
                            Where would you like them when I bring
              THE MARSHAL:
```

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
 1
    them in?
 2
              THE COURT: Just anywhere. We're just going to
 3
    excuse them, so.
 4
              THE MARSHAL:
                            Okay.
 5
              THE CLERK: 20 is Anthony Tam-Sing, and 35 is April
 6
    Reckling.
 7
                      (Pause in the proceedings.)
 8
                 (All prospective jurors are present.)
 9
              THE MARSHAL: If you can just try to gather right
          And you don't even have to sit down. Just come on in.
10
   here.
              You're fine just standing right there.
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
              THE MARSHAL: So if you wanted to -- did you want to
14
   maybe read --
15
              THE COURT: Yeah.
16
              THE MARSHAL: -- the names to verify?
17
              THE COURT: I am absolutely reading the names,
   because I don't know who all these people are because
18
19
    somebody's standing in the doorway who I don't think was one
20
    of the ones.
21
              THE COURT: Tashinia Batt?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0389: Present.
22
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Marites Knoerzer?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0423: Present.
25
              THE COURT: Santos Ramirez.
```

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0391: Present. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Martha Alvarez. |
| 3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0591: Present. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Kimberly Hunter. |
| 5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0408: Present. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Jose Espinoza. |
| 7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0430: Here. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Tonia Ibister. |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0437: Ibister. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Ibister. |
| 11 | Richard Gautier. |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0449: Present. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Diego Arias. |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0517: Present. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Morgan Arias. |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0962: Present. |
| 17 | THE COURT: And Fabio Kohara. |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0846: Present. |
| 19 | THE COURT: And is there anybody whose name I didn't |
| 20 | read? |
| 21 | Okay. So all of you, we are going to thank you all |
| 22 | and excuse you all from this jury. Thank you so much. |
| 23 | UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Thank you. |
| 24 | THE COURT: So before we get started, I just want to |
| 25 | make sure that I've got everybody in the right order. |

1 So Ro, would you just read the names and seat 2 numbers where they're supposed to be? 3 THE CLERK: 1, is Athena Chapela. 2, Corey Pennington. 3, Isabella Will. 4, Joseph Munninger. 5, is 4 5 Ariel Lealao. 6, Tanner Dickens. 7, Lance Banks. 8, Kyle 6 Shenett. 9, Jennifer Vaca. 10, Evelyn Izaquirre. 11, Marina 7 Valerio. 12, Angela Ahmad. 13, Manolo Siazon. 14, Billy 8 Kelley. 15, Michael Ricci -- Ricci. 16, Sophia Miller. 17, 9 Donavan Alva. 18, Michael Duszak. 19, Dean Silvett. 20, Anthony Tam-Sing. 21, Shadam Broderick. 22 --10 11 THE COURT: Anela Medeiros-Smith. 12 THE CLERK: -- Anela -- yeah. Smith. 23, Jenny Gong. 24, Siclaly Federico. 25, Carolina 13 14

Calumpit. 26, Shane Haycock. 27, Alexander Burdick. 28, Juan Garcia. 29, Adriel Keith Go. 30, Jeffrey Guinn. 31, Matthew Ang. 32, Juan Loera. 33, Christina Carrasco. 34, Dina Cochran. 35, April Reckling. 36, William Tillery.

THE COURT: Okay. So I have the same. And I guess they were kind of spread out on my paper.

Okay. And so, now, does everybody have every -- all of these people in the same places?

MR. SCHWARTZER: The State does.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: Defense does.

THE COURT: Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, we are -- I asked them all the general questions. We're -- did we need to bring in -- no, we didn't need to bring in those people for -- they're still out in the gallery so we don't need them in for the individual where -- have you -- so we can bring in everybody, I think.

MR. SCHWARTZER: We can. And there's -- there's two people that you're going to have to ask the --

THE CLERK: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- individual questions.

THE CLERK: 35 and 20.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah.

THE CLERK: So April Reckling and Anthony Tam-Sing.

13 | We will need to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right. But I'm -- but I was just making sure we can ask -- we can bring everybody in.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes.

THE CLERK: Yep.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to take a real quick restroom break.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury)

THE COURT: And before we bring them all in, does anybody have anything outside the presence?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Not by the State, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: Not by the defense.

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Six.
                                Wait. So are we missing somebody?
              MR. HENRY: We removed 7 into --
 2
 3
              MR. SCHWARTZER:
                               Yes.
              MS. HEAP: We removed --
 4
 5
              MR. SCHWARTZER: So we should have Troy Rivas,
 6
    Orozco --
 7
              MS. HEAP: Troy Rivas, Orozco --
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. I'll just --
 9
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Erik Orozco.
10
              MS. HEAP: And then all these people, right?
11
              THE COURT: I have that we should still have Troy
12
    Rivas, Erik Orozco, Garrett Prentice, Yolanda Mattison, Layla
    Catabay, Mariya Veleva, and Barbara Lundberg.
13
14
              Were those the seven that you're --
15
              MS. HEAP: Well, after --
16
              MR. SCHWARTZER: But I only see one of the two
17
    there.
18
              THE COURT: Right. That's what I'm saying. So I'm
19
    just going to do a roll call of those seven.
20
              MS. HEAP: Okay.
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
22
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                       (End of bench conference)
24
              THE COURT: So it appears we may be missing
25
    somebody. So I'm just going to check.
```

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Do we have Troy Rivas? Can you say present when |
| 2 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Present. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Erik Orozco? |
| 4 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1039: Here. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Garrett Prentice? |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Present. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Yolanda Mattison. |
| 8 | Okay. |
| 9 | THE MARSHAL: I'll check the hall, Judge. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 11 | And Layla Catabay? |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Here. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Mariya Veleva. |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Veleva. |
| 15 | THE COURT: And Barbara Lundberg. |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0543: Present. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. So we're possibly missing Yolanda |
| 18 | Mattison. Not possibly. We are missing Yolanda Mattison. |
| 19 | Sorry. We were just counting. There's still a lot |
| 20 | of you guys. Sorry about that. |
| 21 | Thank you for bringing that to my attention. |
| 22 | THE MARSHAL: Lots of jurors in the hallway, Judge. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 24 | THE MARSHAL: We found her. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Mattison. |
| | |

THE COURT: -- or I'm sorry, was the jury able to reach a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes.

THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: No.

THE COURT: Is there anything about the nature of this case -- did I read what the charges are to the new people? I don't think I did. It wasn't in my book. I don't remember. I skipped it.

So, the charges in this case are burglary while in possession of a firearm, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, assault on a protected person with use of a deadly weapon, conspiracy to commit robbery, and attempt robbery with use of a deadly weapon.

Mr. Schwartzer also gave you a little bit of an overview of the case. So is there anything about the nature of this case or anything that you've heard here today that would make it difficult for you to sit as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Well, saying that, you know, yesterday we heard that he was shot when Metro arrived and they shot the defendant, already that tells me in my mind that he's the guilty party. You know, they're not going to shoot the -- an innocent person.

25

April Reckling.

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 2 | And Ms. Reckling, how long have you lived in Clark |
| 3 | County? |
| 4 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: 37 years. |
| 5 | THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Some college. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Did you earn any sort of a degree or |
| 8 | certificate? |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Multiple certificates. |
| 10 | THE COURT: In what area? |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Mostly in marketing and |
| 12 | crisis management. |
| 13 | THE COURT: And are you currently employed? |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Yes. |
| 15 | THE COURT: What do you do? |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: I'm an administrative |
| 17 | assistant, UNLV. |
| 18 | THE COURT: And are you married or have a |
| 19 | significant other? |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Married. |
| 21 | THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living? |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: He's a massage |
| 23 | therapist. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Do you have any kids? |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No. |
| | |

THE COURT: And is there anything about that experience that would cause you to not be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Have any of your family members or anyone close to you ever been accused of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No.

THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever worked in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: My brother is a vice president of security at Resorts World. So he deals with police all the time. And we live in the same household.

THE COURT: Is there anything about that relationship that would make it hard for you to explain yourself if you came back with a not guilty verdict, for example?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No.

THE COURT: And have you ever served as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Yes.

THE COURT: How many times?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: One.

THE COURT: And when was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: About ten years ago.

THE COURT: Was that here or someplace else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Here.

went back and thought about it overnight, there's no issue regarding following the jury instructions as the Judge reads them at the end. Is that fair?

Does anyone have any issues following jury instructions as instructed by the Judge? Raise your hand if you have an issue.

Seeing no hands.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to go into now kind of some single -- talk to single jurors about some things that you might have said in your questionnaire, or you might have said while you were being examined by the Judge.

I am going to try to keep this to less than an hour.

I know it's been a long three days for some of the people

here. Not so much for some of the people over here. But I'm

going to try to keep this to under an hour.

So just bear with me, answer to your best of your ability and hopefully I'll be done with my portion pretty soon, okay?

So I know there's a lot of people that didn't do a questionnaire, but there are -- we do have a decent amount. So I'm just going to go in order right here.

Going to my cute list over here.

Ms. Chapela?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1016: Chapela.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Chapela. You did not do a

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1020: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And then you say that based on that, you cannot be a fair and impartial juror, is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1020: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So you believe based on either your own prejudice or the prejudice of other people upon you, you don't believe you can be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1020: Correct.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And, in fact, they say that you cannot -- is there a reason why you can't serve as a juror, and you specifically say you're -- you're racist?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1020: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Specifically, for what group?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1020: All depending on scenario.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So if I had somewhat of a -of a different racial background here, someone who's AfricanAmerican, someone who's Hispanic, in which most of our
witnesses are, you would hold a prejudice against them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1020: Yes. Depending on the scenario they may be speaking about.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And Mr. Trejo, I don't know
Mr. Trejo's nationality. But Mr. Trejo -- would you hold that

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
 1
    right?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: Right. That's it.
 3
    Yeah.
 4
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And what -- what do you do
 5
    for a living?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: I work in a shop to --
 7
    for remanufacturing motors.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I imagine you feel there's
 8
   people that are good at their job and people that are bad at
10
    their job?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: Right.
12
              MR. SCHWARTZER: And everywhere in between?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: Yes.
14
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you're not able to
15
    really tell one way or another if you don't know that person;
16
    right?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: Yes.
17
18
              MR. SCHWARTZER: You would have to watch their work
19
    or listen to them in order to tell; right?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: Yes.
21
              MR. SCHWARTZER: So does that -- would that -- would
22
    you apply that same thing to officers?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1066: Yes.
23
24
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So you didn't know any of
25
    those names I said on that witness list; right?
```

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you -- if during these three weeks that you're here, are -- is your grades going to suffer because you're here, versus if you were at school and doing your normal routine?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0978: I'm doing my best.

I've reached out to most of my professors about this situation. It's really day-by-day right now with if I'm on this jury or not.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Would you -- do you have finals coming up or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0978: Yeah. In four weeks.

MR. SCHWARTZER: You have finals in four weeks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0978: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So you're the one that has to tell us, because, you know, as I talked about yesterday, we're not mind readers.

Are you going to be able to do, you know, all -- you're juggling a lot of -- a lot of things right now.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0978: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Are you going to be able to do that and pay the proper amount of attention to what's going on in this trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0978: I don't believe I can, because we don't have babysitters for our kids. And my husband works from home. I can't have him at home with a

25

for you.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Like -- like what, was it someone 1 2 like yourself that was a victim of crime or someone you're close to? 3

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: No. Not myself. Like 4 5 just traffic tickets.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. It's not accused, it's been 6 a victim of a crime.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Oh, victim? I mean, a house broken in. But I don't really -- I was little then. don't really feel serious.

11 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. How old were you like, you 12 were living with your parents type little?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 14

7

8

10

13

15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: So little things like 16 that.

17 MR. SCHWARTZER: Because, I mean, some people would 18 feel a break-in is -- is serious, I mean, and --

19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Yeah. But I was little 20 so it's like --

21 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: Or like you have a car 23 crash or something.

24 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0794: So, I don't -- 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So those thoughts you have about law enforcement, are they so great that you would hold officers in a different light on the stand than you would other witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0831: No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You would still treat them like any other witness on the stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0831: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: All right. So I don't have to worry about you discounting everything an officer says simply just because he happens to have a badge?

Sir, we don't have one -- oh, I'm sorry, we need your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0836: Michael Duszak, 836.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Same. I don't have a questionnaire from you. Did you fill one out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0836: I did not.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. In general, do you feel the criminal justice system is effective?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0836: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you feel the criminal justice system is fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0836: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you have positive thoughts about law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0836: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And I don't know why I'm looking at this questionnaire like it's yours.

Okay. And we've talked about the issues yesterday about your -- your childcare. Do you think that will distract you, where you wouldn't be attention, so much that you wouldn't be paying attention, or could you pay attention to the trial if you happen to be selected of one of the 16 people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0836: It's distracting. Just worrying, you know, make -- not knowing whether I have

| 1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: No. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So you don't you wouldn't |
| 3 | hold you wouldn't you don't see law enforcement in a |
| 4 | negative light based on your interactions in a recruit as |
| 5 | being a recruit? |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: No. |
| 7 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You still would treat |
| 8 | officers the same way you would treat any other witnesses? |
| 9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. |
| 10 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Now, you said you could be |
| 11 | fair and impartial, but you also said that you have some |
| 12 | initial beliefs; right? |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. |
| 14 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You probably heard me talk |
| 15 | about this yesterday. I know it was super late. But whatever |
| 16 | I say, question-wise, or argument-wise, is not fact; right? |
| 17 | It's not evidence that comes from the witness stand; correct? |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. |
| 19 | MR. SCHWARTZER: I still have to prove this case |
| 20 | beyond a reasonable doubt, myself and Ms. Heap? |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. |
| 22 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And right now, there's been |
| 23 | no evidence shown at all, so right now Mr. Trejo is innocent? |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. |
| 25 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you believe that? |

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: To an extent. 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Well, you either believe it 3 or you don't. It's a -- it's a basic principle of obviously the criminal justice system that as Mr. Trejo sits right there 4 5 he is innocent until, unless I prove him guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. 6 7 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You -- do you believe in 8 that concept? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: To a point. 9 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: You keep hedging. So you either believe it or not. I have to -- I mean, it's a yes or no. 11 12 have to ask you in a yes or no way. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. 13 14 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And do you -- can you give Mr. Trejo -- and answer truthfully -- do you believe you can 15 16 give Mr. Trejo that presumption of innocence? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: I have to, I guess. 18 MR. SCHWARTZER: You don't have -- it's whatever 19 your thoughts are. Like, I don't -- like I want to make it 20 very clear to everyone here. I don't want people sitting here

Will you hold the State to its burden of beyond a reasonable doubt?

quilty no matter what. We want people that will hold us to

right now saying, I'm going to go back in there and vote

21

22

23

24

25

our burden.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And if we don't prove this 3 case beyond a reasonable doubt, will you be okay coming back and saying, you know what, Mr. Schwartzer, you know what Ms. 4 5 Heap, you didn't do it. I mean, you didn't do your job. He's 6 not -- not guilty. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1033: Yes. 8 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Thank you, sir. I'll take that mic. 9 10 Mr. Broderick? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Shadam Broderick, Badge 12 1055. 13 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. We do have a questionnaire So that will move it up a little bit. 14 for you. 15 I do want to ask you specifically about you were -you -- there's a lot of accused and victims of crime. 16 17 specifically, you were accused of a crime that you said 18 happened between Mesquite and Vegas? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes. 20 MR. SCHWARTZER: Are you comfortable share the --21 like the general nature of the crime? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: No problem at all. 23 What was it? MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Armed robbery. 25 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Kidnapping with a 1 2 deadly weapon. Basically, everything that the defendant has been accused --3 MR. SCHWARTZER: Accused of? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: -- of, I have as well. MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you were arrested? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes, I was. 8 MR. SCHWARTZER: Were you charged by the District Attorney's Office? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes. 11 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And the District Attorney's Office, between Vegas and Mesquite, would the Clark County 12 13 District Attorney's Office. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes. 14 15 MR. SCHWARTZER: So what year was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: 16 2009. 17 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you don't -- so I assume I'm not the person that was prosecuting that case. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Correct. You were not. 20 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Obviously, I could not have 21 been actually. 22 Now, you said at some point the case was dropped. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes. MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Was that -- so was that a 24 25 decision made by the prosecution or did the Court dismiss it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Prosecution. 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And how far in the process 3 did you get to? Did you get to a Preliminary Hearing? Did you get to -- up here into District Court? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: I believe just the 6 preliminary, like it was -- it was my first court appearance. 7 MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, that quick. So initial 8 arraignment, Justice Court. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Um-hum. Maybe not the 9 10 initial arraignment because I'm not super familiar with all 11 the terminology. 12 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: But I had gone to jail. 14 MR. SCHWARTZER: Um-hum. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: I'd been released from 16 jail and it was my first court appearance after. 17 MR. SCHWARTZER: And did the State agree not to proceed in charges? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Correct. 20 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So you were initially 21 charged, you were held, but then when you in, the initial arraignment, there was not formal Complaint filed against you. 22 23 Does that sound accurate? 24 If it doesn't --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: I -- yeah, I'm going to say no, it doesn't sound familiar like --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: -- the DA took one look at me and said, seriously? There's no way it was this guy.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: And she was the one who talked to the Judge and said we want to drop all charges.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Is that based on like photographic evidence or video evidence or something like that?

11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Okay. So based on from your understanding of what happened, based on the review of evidence by the District Attorney's Office, they dropped the charges against you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So you're obviously -police officers arrested you. Mesquite has their own police
force. Did Mesquite arrest you or did Las -- LVMPD arrest
you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1055: Mesquite.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, obviously, no Mesquite officers are testifying in this case -- or testifying in this case, but LVMPD officers are. Would you hold what the Mesquite officers did against LVMPD officers?

Okay. Your name and badge number?

25

| 1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0852: Anela Medeiros-Smith, |
|----|--|
| 2 | Badge Number 852. |
| 3 | MR. SCHWARTZER: And I guess, Ms. Mederios, you |
| 4 | don't want to be in the hot seat? Okay. |
| 5 | You do have a questionnaire, so I'm not going to ask |
| 6 | you all the questions again. But you do say you generally |
| 7 | don't have positive thoughts about law enforcement, which is |
| 8 | fine. |
| 9 | But I want to make sure that those thoughts won't |
| 10 | interfere with how you treat them as witnesses. |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0852: I don't think it will. |
| 12 | I think I'll be pretty impartial and fair. |
| 13 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You've now had a night to |
| 14 | think about it, your initial thoughts regarding, you know, not |
| 15 | wanting to like judge people and stuff like that. |
| 16 | If you are selected to be a member of this jury, are |
| 17 | you going to be able to make those judgment calls? |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0852: Yes. |
| 19 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And again, understanding |
| 20 | that you're the trier of fact, You're just determining |
| 21 | you're not determining legal issues, you're determining |
| 22 | factual issues. |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0852: Yes. |
| 24 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Do you understand that? |

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0852: Yes. I understand.

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So no one's going to ask you 1 2 like on the law should this be granted or not. It's whether these facts occurred or not. Does that make it feel easier? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0852: Yes. 4 5 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. All right. Pass it to Ms. Gong. 6 7 Ms. Gong, we talked to you quite a bit. I need your badge number. 8 Yeah. Thank you. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Jenny Gong, 0854. 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You -- you have not filled out a form? Or did you fill out a form? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: I haven't. 12 13 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I'm going to just ask those 14 three questions again. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Yeah. 16 MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you feel the 17 criminal justice system is effective? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Yes. 19 MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you feel the 20 criminal justice system is fair? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: In general, yes. 22 MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, would you say you have 23 positive thoughts about law enforcement? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: It really depends. 25 MR. SCHWARTZER: That's a fair answer.

And obviously, a lot of our conversation has 1 Okay. 2 been about -- and I appreciate you raising your hand when you don't understand things -- is how much you're -- you 3 understand about this process. 4 5 Now, that you've had some time to reflect, do you believe you're understanding the majority of what's occurring? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: I think so. Just the 7 8 particular, you know, questions with the words. So it's -sometimes I didn't understand, so. 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Yeah. 12 MR. SCHWARTZER: It's like the legal terminology 13 like --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: 14 Yes. 15 MR. SCHWARTZER: -- burden? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Yes. And like I said, 17 you know, my vocabulary is limited. Sometimes even though it's not like law terms and so I probably wouldn't understand, 18 19 you know, everything. 20 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Yeah. 21 22 MR. SCHWARTZER: How much do you -- how --23 percentage-wise, if you were going on like between zero and a 24 hundred percent, how much do you believe you've understood 25 during these last three days?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Well, I think I would 1 2 believe the evidence will, presented during the trial so, you 3 know, not to just person subjective opinion. MR. SCHWARTZER: That's fantastic. That's all we --4 5 that's exactly what we want from the jury is just consider the evidence. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: Okay. 8 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0854: No problem. 9 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Ms. Federico? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: Yes. 12 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You filled out a form? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: Um-hum. 13 MR. SCHWARTZER: Which makes this much easier. 14 15 THE CLERK: I'm sorry. Ms. Federico --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: I'm sorry. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: Siclary Federico, Badge 19 Number 1008. 20 THE COURT: And also, I was going to tell you, uh-21 huh and huh-uh, they are not --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: Oh, sorry. It's just -- I just 23 THE COURT: -- no, no problem. 24 need to remind people every once in a while. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Tell me about that. Remind me about that again.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: I didn't really speak about it.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Is it something that you're comfortable talking about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Is it something that we should probably talk to you outside the presence of other jurors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: I don't think it would affect anything.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Okay. Is it anything in a fact pattern similar to what you heard in the introduction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So nothing from that prior incident would affect you being fair and impartial with this type of crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1008: Correct.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0993: Carolina Calumpit,
Badge 13-0993.

23 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Ma'am, we don't have a questionnaire for you. Did you fill one out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0993: Yeah, I did.

didn't -- and that happens -- as you can see, it happens a
lot. I'm going to read you those same three questions.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1002: Yes, yes, yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. For the record, it's -- for the record, it's in general, do you have -- the criminal justice system is effective, in general, do you feel the criminal justice system is fair, in general, would you say you have positive thoughts about law enforcement, and all three, you said yes.

All right. Sir, you want to pass to Mr. Burdick?

Am I saying your name correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. NO. 1041: Yep. Alexander Burdick, 1041.

MR. SCHWARTZER: All right. Now, sir, you -- we do have a questionnaire for you. You -- there's a couple things -- and you've kind of brought it up, too, during your -- you know, when the Judge was talking to you as well, that I want to kind of discuss regarding both people being accused of a crime and being victim of a crime.

So specifically, in your questionnaire on Question 10, you wrote that -- so -- whether you or someone close to you has ever been a victim of crime. You said, yes. What you told us as well.

It -- was it properly handled by law enforcement, you said, no, which you've told us as well. And then here's

the -- where I want to really get into it. Can you still be a fair and impartial juror based on that experience and you said you could not be. Do you remember that answer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So that negative interaction you had with law enforcement based on a -- I believe it was a friend, right, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Father.

MR. SCHWARTZER: It was what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Dad.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your father. Was a victim of crime? Okay. So based on the way law enforcement handled your father's being a victim, you don't believe you can be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And I don't -- and it looks like -- I don't mean to pry too much. So I'll just move on to the next thing where it says, has anyone been charged, or arrested, or convicted of a criminal offense, and you -- that's close to you, and you said yes, which you told us.

And again, you told us that you believe you could not be fair and impartial based on that experience.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Does that stand correct as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And then specifically you 1 2 said to us, when the Judge was asking you questions, if you 3 found out Mr. Trejo had kids, you don't believe that you could be fair and impartial for the State; is that correct? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Yes. 6 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you still stand by all of 7 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Of course. 8 9 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So maybe this isn't the right type of trial for you? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Probably not. 12 MR. SCHWARTZER: Because you believe -- on three 13 different occasions you said you don't believe you can be fair and impartial? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1041: Yes. 16 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Thank you, sir. 17 Mr. Garcia, we don't have a questionnaire for you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0984: Juan Garcia, 13-0984. 18 19 MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you feel the 20 criminal justice system is effective? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0984: Yes. 21 22 MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you feel the 23 criminal justice system is fair? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0984: It can be. 25 MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, would you say you have

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Despite the fact that your -- your son's working for Mr. Wolfson's reelection campaign, can you still be -- you can be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0928: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Now, he's on the reelection part, he's not working actually in the District Attorney's Office's office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0928: I'm not sure.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. What's his name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0928: Colton (phonetic).

MR. SCHWARTZER: And he has the same last name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0928: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Has he ever talked to you about anything at work regarding the criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0928: Just in the past you

24 | mean?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah, in the -- during the last

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. And you were okay with that, Mr. Trejo? 3 MR. TREJO: 4 Yes. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. (End of bench conference) 6 7 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we are just going to take a short recess, because as I told you, I 8 need to go to the bathroom all the time -- at all times. 10 And so during the recess, you are admonished not to 11 talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any 12 subject connected with this trial, or read, watch or listen to 13 any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person 14 connected with this trial, by any medium of information, 15 including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the 16 Internet and radio, or form or express any opinions on any 17 subject connected with this trial until the case is finally 18 submitted to you. It is 2:50. We will come back at 3:00 o'clock. 19 20 (Outside the presence of the prospective jury) 21 THE COURT: Outside the presence of the jury now. 22 I need to take a bathroom break, but if we can come 23 back in five minutes so that we can discuss some of the people 24 who we can maybe let go as soon as we get back, but I

literally cannot hold it any -- for one second longer, so.

25

1 MS. HEAP: 27.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: 27.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. 12-1041. Obviously, I asked for him to be excused beforehand. I did some more follow-up on -- and I understand Your Honor was leaning to excusing him but wanted some further questioning.

I did the further questioning. He said that he could not -- going on his questionnaire he said he couldn't be fair and impartial. He said in court, at least three times now, that he couldn't be fair or impartial.

So I think he's one for cause, based on everything he said.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: No argument.

THE COURT: Okay. We will excuse Mr. Burdick who was on page 3 of the first page. Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: And the last one I have is 12-815, Mr. Ricci. He's given a lot of weird answers. But he's -- where is he? What seat is he?

20 MS. HEAP: 15.

THE CLERK: 15.

MR. SCHWARTZER: But he -- maybe I missed it when you asked him questions, but I don't remember him saying that his cousin was involved in an officer-involved shooting when you were asking questions. Obviously --

THE COURT: He did not. 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZER: -- that's -- I figured that would 3 be a note I would write to myself. The fact that he didn't say that when you -- when you asked questions regarding if 4 5 someone's ever been a victim or accused of a crime. And that 6 wasn't brought up in some way or form. 7 And then the fact that he said that he couldn't be fair and impartial to the State. Considering the nature of 8 this case, I think this is not the best case for him and he 10 should be excused. 11 I would also note in his questionnaire he said that 12 he -- would -- could not be fair and impartial as well. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Trejo? 14 MR. TREJO: No argument. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We will excuse Mr. Ricci. 16 looking for -- I know he was on the first --17 THE CLERK: Page 1, a little more than halfway down. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I found him. Thank you. 19 And that was it for you? Because there were some 20 others that I thought --21 MR. SCHWARTZER: I guess Ms. Gong. She's -- I mean, 22 I kind of have the same -- what's her badge number, I'm sorry, 23 before I get to --24 MS. HEAP: She is --

THE CLERK: 854.

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.

I actually kind of agree with Mr. Trejo, that every -- she seems to be very responsive to every answer -- when I asked her questions. When you asked her questions, when I asked her questions, she seems to respond in a proper manner.

Obviously, we excuse people for saying that they only understood 70 to 80 percent. So, you know, I try to be consistent when I'm asking for excuse for cause. And so I think I have to ask for her to be -- based on her answer that she's only understanding between 70 and 80 percent of what's going on, I think I have to ask for her to be removed based on the fact that I've asked for everyone else to be removed for the same reason.

But I would note that she does seem to be responsive to my questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: I disagree. As a person whose English is not their first language, I noticed she is actually understanding very well. I feel she might just want to skip jury duty if possible.

THE COURT: So that's basically what he was saying is that he thinks that she's responsive, but in order to be consistent, he's asking for her to be excused.

However, I also agree, she's been super, super responsive to all of the answers -- all the questions that

both parties have asked and I don't think -- I know that she 1 2 said that she's only understood 70 to 80 percent of what's 3 been going on, but she's been way more responsive than the other people who've said that they understood 70 to 80 4 5 percent. 6 So you said that was your last one, Mr. --7 MR. SCHWARTZER: Ms. Heap brought up another one which I agree we should talk about. 8 9 I'm sorry, I don't -- because we have three 10 different lists --11 MS. HEAP: Here. 12 MR. SCHWARTZER: -- I have them all over --13 THE COURT: I know. MR. SCHWARTZER: 13-978, Ms. Lealao. 14 15 THE COURT: Yeah. That's who I was waiting for 16 somebody to bring up. MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. I mean, she has a lot on her 17 plate. And she said she probably can't fully focus on this 18 trial and I don't blame her with finals in four weeks and a 19 20 newborn baby. So I would ask to excuse her for cause -- or 21 not for cause, for hardship.

THE COURT: For hardship?

Mr. Trejo?

22

23

24

25

MR. TREJO: I was going to bring up her up too.

THE COURT: So you're -- you're okay with us

1 fair and impartial.

THE COURT: I'm going to have to agree with Mr.

Trejo on this one, Mr. Schwartzer. Mr. Tam-Sing, when he was being rehabilitated by you, his -- his voice and mannerisms were a lot more hesitant than when he initially stated that he would not be fair and impartial, that he believed that because the police shot him, what did -- I had it written down, if I could read my handwriting.

He was in -- yes, it is because I write tiny. He believed in the back of his mind that he thinks that Mr. Trejo is guilty and he thought he was guilty because he had been shot. And he seemed a lot more sure of himself. I don't know if -- if the record is going to reflect that, so I want to make sure I'm saying it. He was a lot more confident in his answers at that point and was a lot more hesitant when he was being rehabilitated by Mr. Schwartzer on that one.

So based on that, I am going to excuse Mr. Tam-Sing who is Badge Number 13-1033.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything else, Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: Siclaly Federico. Would like to ask Ms. Federico some questions.

THE COURT: Oh, sure.

MR. TREJO: I believe we worked together in the

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
                         Seat 27, 13-1039, Erik Orozco.
              THE CLERK:
 1
 2
              THE COURT: And that's going to be between Shane
 3
    Haycock and Juan Garcia?
              THE CLERK: Yes.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
              THE CLERK: Seat 15, 14-440, Garrett Prentice.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Wait. Seat --
              THE CLERK:
                         That's between Billy Kelley --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Miller and Kelley.
                          -- and Miller.
10
              THE CLERK:
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
12
              THE CLERK:
                         Seat 5, 14-445, Yolanda Mattison.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Mattison, I think.
                         And then Seat 20, 14-481, Layla Catabay.
14
              THE CLERK:
15
              THE COURT: And that was next to Silvett? Yeah.
   think so.
16
17
              THE CLERK: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.
19
              MS. HEAP: Did you say Catabay?
20
              THE COURT: Yeah.
21
              THE CLERK: Yes.
22
              THE COURT: And that's it, right?
23
              So I've got Seat 2 is Rivas. Seat 5, is Mattison.
24
   Seat 14, is Prentice.
25
              THE CLERK: Seat 15.
```

THE COURT: -- what are -- what specifically is

25

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, everybody. Have a seat.

So this is going to be in no particular order. But the parties would like to thank and excuse Corey Cunnington, Alexander Burdick, Michael Ricci, Ariel Lealao, Anthony Tam-Sing and Siclaly Federico. I hope I pronounced everybody's names right. Thank you all very much for your willingness to be here and for your service. So, thank you.

THE CLERK: Badge 13-1034, Troy Rivas, you're going to go to Seat 2 in the box.

13-1039, Erik Orozco, you're going to go to Seat 27.

It's going to be around that third side --

THE COURT: It will be --

THE CLERK: Up in the front row.

THE COURT: -- in the front row between -- yeah.

THE CLERK: 14-440 Garrett Prentice. You're going to go to Seat 15.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: The other side?

THE CLERK: It is going to be in the box right next to the lady in the flowery shirt.

14-445, Yolanda Mattison, you're going to go to Seat 5. It will be back row in the box.

14-481, Layla Catabay, you're going to go to Seat 20. It's going to be up front, outside of the box, back row at the end. Thank you.

| | C-18-335 | 315-1 STATE v. | . MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|------------|------------------|---|
| 1 | | THE COURT: | In what? |
| 2 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: Urban planning. |
| 3 | | THE COURT: | Oh, that's cool. |
| 4 | | And are you | currently employed? |
| 5 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: I am. Yes. |
| 6 | | THE COURT: | What do you do? |
| 7 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: I am an insurance |
| 8 | broker. | | |
| 9 | | THE COURT: | So clearly nothing to do with your |
| 10 | degree. | | |
| 11 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: That's the way it goes. |
| 12 | | THE COURT: | Are you married or have a significant |
| 13 | other? | | |
| 14 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: Married. |
| 15 | | THE COURT: | What does your spouse do for a living? |
| 16 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: Same thing. Same |
| 17 | thing. | | |
| 18 | | THE COURT: | Okay. Do you have kids? |
| 19 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: I do. |
| 20 | | THE COURT: | How old? |
| 21 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: Two months. |
| 22 | | THE COURT: | Oh, so brand new. Not working, clearly. |
| 23 | | And are you | getting enough sleep? |
| 24 | | PROSPECTIVE | JUROR NO. 1034: I guess. For having a |
| 25 | two-month- | -old. | |
| | | | |

THE COURT: And was that here or was that in California?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: California.

THE COURT: So it clearly was not this District Attorney's Office. Were you satisfied with how those two separate cases were handled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Yes.

THE COURT: And is there anything about those experiences that would cause you not to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: I don't think so.

THE COURT: You sound hesitant. Can you just tell me what you're feeling? We just need to know so that we can make sure people --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: No. I think criminals need to go do their time.

THE COURT: And you understand that as we sit here today Mr. Trejo is not a criminal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay And you're here to determine whether or not he can -- why is -- brain --

22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: I understand. Yes.

23 THE COURT: That he -- his -- whether or not he's committed any crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Yes.

THE COURT: And so is there anything about that that 1 2 would make you either unfair or -- or partial in -- in Mr. 3 Trejo's case --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: No. 4 5 THE COURT: -- either to the State or to Mr. Trejo? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: No. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever worked in law enforcement? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: I have a lot of friends 9 10 that are cops. 11 THE COURT: And is there anything about any of those 12 relationships that would make it hard for you to discuss this 13 case if you came back with a not guilty verdict? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: I don't think so. 14 15 THE COURT: And have you ever served as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: No. 16 17 THE COURT: Is there anything about the nature of this case or anything that you've heard here today that would 18 make it difficult for you to sit as a juror in this case? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: No. 21 THE COURT: Can you base your verdict solely on the 22 evidence presented at the trial and wait to form an opinion until we've heard all of the evidence? 23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides?

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Yes. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 3 | Can you pass that along to Ms. Mattison? |
| 4 | Can you please state your name and your badge |
| 5 | number? |
| 6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Sure. Yolanda Mattison |
| 7 | 14-0445. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in |
| 9 | Clark County? |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Since, 2014. |
| 11 | THE COURT: So almost ten years, give or take. So |
| 12 | about eight years. My math is terrible. That's why I'm a |
| 13 | lawyer and not a doctor. |
| 14 | And where were you where'd you live before? |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Michigan. |
| 16 | THE COURT: How far did you go in school? |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Some college. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Are you employed? |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: I am. |
| 20 | THE COURT: I'm sorry, I should have asked. Did you |
| 21 | earn any sort of degree or certificate? |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: No. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. And you said you were employed. |
| | |
| 24 | What do you do? |

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
              THE COURT: Are you married or have a significant
 1
 2
    other?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: I have a significant
 4
    other.
 5
              THE COURT: What does your significant other do for
 6
   a living?
7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: He's finance-
 8
    accounting.
              THE COURT: Do you have any kids?
 9
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: One.
                                                 One.
              THE COURT: How old?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: He is 26.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay. What does he do for a living?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: He's a student.
14
15
              THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
    serious crime?
16
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: No.
18
              THE COURT: Have you ever been accused of a serious
19
   crime?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: No.
21
              THE COURT: Can you answer out loud?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: No.
22
23
              THE COURT: I just didn't -- thank you.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Um-hum.
25
              THE COURT: Have any of your family members or
```

THE COURT: Have any of your family members or 1 anyone close to you ever been accused of a serious crime? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: No. 3 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever 4 5 worked in law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: No. 6 7 THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: 8 THE COURT: Is there anything about the nature of 9 10 this case or anything that you've heard that would make it 11 difficult for you to sit as a juror in this case? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: No. THE COURT: Can you base your verdict solely on the 13 14 evidence presented at the trial and wait to form an opinion 15 until you've heard all of the evidence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Can you pass it -- the next one would be Ms. 21 Catabay, right in front of you, I think. 22 Can you please state your name and your badge 2.3 number? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Layla Catabay, my Badge 25 Number is 14-0481.

| | C-18-335 | 315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|-----------|--|
| 1 | | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 2 | | How long have you lived in Clark County? |
| 3 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: 21 years. |
| 4 | | THE COURT: And how far did you go in school? |
| 5 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: I had vocational |
| 6 | training. | |
| 7 | | THE COURT: Okay. And are you currently employed? |
| 8 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes. |
| 9 | | THE COURT: What do you do? |
| 10 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Nursing assistant. |
| 11 | | THE COURT: Are you married or have a significant |
| 12 | other? | |
| 13 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Married. |
| 14 | | THE COURT: And what does your spouse do for a |
| 15 | living? | |
| 16 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Door Dash. |
| 17 | | THE COURT: Do you have any kids? |
| 18 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes. |
| 19 | | THE COURT: And what do how old are they? |
| 20 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: 13 years old. |
| 21 | | THE COURT: So clearly, not working. |
| 22 | | Have you ever been the victim of a serious crime? |
| 23 | | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: No. |
| 24 | | THE COURT: Have you ever been accused of a serious |
| 25 | crime? | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And were you satisfied with how that case was handled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: I don't know the case went a long so I don't really know, so I can't really say.

THE COURT: Basically, what we're trying to get at is if you would hold anything about that case against the State or against Mr. Trejo.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: No, not really.

THE COURT: Okay. And have any of your family members or anyone close to you ever been accused of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: No.

That confirms what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: -- consent.

2.3

24

25

would not --

THE COURT:

THE COURT: Is there anything about the nature of 1 2 this case or anything you've heard about this case that would 3 make it difficult for you to sit as a juror in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1039: No. 4 5 THE COURT: Can you base your verdict solely on the 6 evidence presented at the trial and wait to form an opinion 7 until you've heard all of the evidence? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1039: Yes. THE COURT: Can you be fair to both sides? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1039: Yes. 10 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 And Mr. Schwartzer, go ahead with the new people. 13 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And you probably want to grab the 15 microphone from him because you're probably going to start --16 unless you wanted to go backwards. That's up to you. 17 MR. SCHWARTZER: No, I'll -- I'll go in order. 18 Thank you, Your Honor. 19 All right. So people from the fourth panel? 20 that where we're at now? 21 THE COURT: Third. 22 MR. SCHWARTZER: Third panel. Okay. 23 THE COURT: Third.

panel didn't hear the questions from yesterday. So I'm going

24

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. So people from the third

to ask general questions. If you have a response to it, raise your hand.

One of the core principles of the American Justice System is the presumption of innocence. It is, at this point in the process, Mr. Trejo has to be presumed innocent.

Does anyone have an issue regarding that? Raise your hand. Seeing no hands.

If the State asks you to go back to the -- to the jury deliberation room right now, you would have to find Mr. Trejo innocent, because no evidence has been presented. Does anyone have an issue with that?

Seeing no hands.

And I'm -- I understand that people have been here for two days have heard these questions before. But because the people who came here today, I have to reask the questions.

Mr. Trejo has the constitutional right as interpreted by our Supreme Court to represent himself. Will anyone from this new day, hold that against Mr. Trejo exercising his constitutional right to do so?

Seeing no hands.

Will anyone here hold a police officer to a higher or a lower standard simply because he's an officer?

And to better explain that, if an officer is testifying and for the people who came here at 11:00 o'clock, you heard a long list of officers that are going to testify in

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 |
|----|--|
| 1 | civil litigation right now. |
| 2 | MR. SCHWARTZER: In a what? |
| 3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Civil lawsuit right |
| 4 | now. |
| 5 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Can you tell me what the nature of |
| 6 | that lawsuit is? |
| 7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Personal injury. |
| 8 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Are you the defendant or the |
| 9 | plaintiff? |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Plaintiff. |
| 11 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Have you undergone |
| 12 | depositions? |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: I'm scheduled to on |
| 14 | Monday. |
| 15 | MR. SCHWARTZER: On Monday? |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Yes. |
| 17 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. But that can be changed if |
| 18 | you end up on this jury? |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Okay. |
| 20 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Have you sat in on any depositions? |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No. |
| 22 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Has any depositions actually |
| 23 | occurred in your case yet? |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No. |
| 25 | MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Is there anything about that |
| | |

1 process that might influence you regarding this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: You understand that there's a lower standard in civil than there is in a criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So whatever standard your attorney might have advised you on would not be the same standard here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: Correct.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you have no issue regarding that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1028: No issue.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Good. Thank you.

Okay. One of the things we ask you to do as a jury is to abide by your oath to follow the jury instructions as presented by the Judge. I know you haven't seen them, and you won't see them unless you're one of the 16 that are picked to be on this jury. But you're going to be asked to follow those instructions.

And in fact, you're going to take an oath to do so regarding the State's burden, regarding witness credibility, regarding elements of the crime, and so on.

Now, in some cases, people don't believe there should be a crime. You might have heard me talk about, you know, cocaine sales when I was talking to Mr. Ricci.

There's some people who believe that that should not be prosecuted. That's not the case here, but it's a good example. If there is something in those instructions that you 5

disagree with, you know, a philosophical reason, such as if you don't believe drug sales or drug possession should be a felony, would you not follow the instruction, even though you've taken an oath to do so?

Raise your hand if you would not do so.

All right. Seeing no hands.

So everyone here, raise your hand if you disagree, everyone here will follow the jury instructions as given by the Judge?

Seeing no hands.

All right. Let's go back into individual voir dire.

Mr. Rivas? Can I have your badge?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Troy Rivas, Badge Number 13-1034.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Did you fill out a questionnaire?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: I did.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Online?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: Yes. Was there another

23 way?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 MR. SCHWARTZER: I don't know. Because I don't have

25 your questionnaire. So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1034: I have the only car.

25

arrest people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Yeah. Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. If we have that here in Clark County, I just don't know about it. But this was in Michigan?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Correct.

THE COURT: We don't have it here.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Okay. But nothing about what your father, you know, what your father did would affect being fair and impartial here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Um-hum. No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: No. Okay.

During the questionnaire you answered on Number 10 that the question was have you or anyone close to you ever been a victim of a crime. And I understand the Judge said serious crime, so it's a different question.

You said no, but in your questionnaire, you said yes. So is it like a crime that you just didn't consider serious?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0445: Well, like yeah, not serious. But yeah, we've had -- been victim of a crime, but no, nothing serious.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Then there's another question over here, Question 11, where it says, have you or has anyone close to you ever been charged with, arrested, or

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And what do you hope to do 1 with your degree? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Pursue a masters in 3 4 cyber security and then go on to be a penetration tester. 5 MR. SCHWARTZER: That sounds interesting. What does 6 that entail? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: It -- it's kind of like 8 a legal way to break into people's things and make sure the security's up to par. 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Okay. And what kind of 11 companies would you work for? What's --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: As far as the company that I -- I wouldn't know right now. I haven't done research 13

that I -- I wouldn't know right now. I haven't done research on that. I'm still just pursuing my degree. The most I can give you is I'm pursuing a certification through a company called INE (phonetic).

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I guess, is it something that would be government work, like with like --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: It --

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- NSA or something like that or would it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: No, I don't believe so.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- or is it like private?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: It would be a private business, yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Like a security network or a 1 2 security --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Yeah. Yeah. 3 4 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You wrote in your 5 questionnaire on Question 10, have you or has anyone close to 6 you ever been a victim of a crime. You said no just now. 7 And I understand -- again, I understand that it's a 8 different question when the Judge asked, and you says it wasn't serious, but you wrote yes in your questionnaire. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Just simple robbery. 11 My mother's car was broken into. And then my grandmother's 12 house was broken into as well. 13 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: I don't know if they 15 were -- if the perpetrator was caught or not. 16 MR. SCHWARTZER: And that was here in Las Vegas? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: It was. 18 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. We call those burglaries. 19 Robberies is with the use of force. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: Okay. Gotcha. 21 MR. SCHWARTZER: So there was no one present when 22 the house was broken into or the --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: As -- as far as --24 MR. SCHWARTZER: -- car was broken into? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0440: -- I know, they were

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- on panels and some say they

can't judge people at all, and then others say that they can

be on the jury.

So I guess my question is, if we ask you to convict

Mr. Trejo of, you know, robbery with use, kidnapping with use,

burglary with use, the charges that you heard, by the Judge,

if we prove that beyond a reasonable doubt, would you have any

issue based on your faith in convicting him?

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: It would not, because it's according to the Bible principles. They used to do that in Israelites. They have to base with the evidence.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yeah. So I don't have any problem with that.

MR. SCHWARTZER: So you would have no problem with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: It's just that we don't have any considering with politics, anything, we -- why we don't --

MR. SCHWARTZER: I can't -- I'm sorry. I don't -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Oh. We don't involve ourselves with politics or anything.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Understood. But, obviously, this isn't a political --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yeah. So --

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
    thing is murder. I would not --
1
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure.
 2
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: -- yeah. I mean, but
 4
    that --
 5
              MR. SCHWARTZER: That -- that, I get.
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: -- point is okay.
 7
    if --
 8
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, and --
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: -- conviction, it's
10
    okay with me as long as it's the -- like the evidence is okay
11
   with me.
12
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So let me get through some
13
    stuff. So would you be able to consider video surveillance
    evidence?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes.
15
16
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Would you be able to consider DNA
17
    evidence?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes.
19
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Would you be able to consider eye
20
    witness testimony?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes.
22
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Would you be able to --
23
    other technology -- other technology evidence?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0481: Yes.
25
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Okay.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0964: Yes, I do.

MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, do you feel the criminal justice system is fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0964: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: In general, would you say you have positive thoughts about law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0964: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

Ladies and gentlemen, I appreciate your patience. I know it's been a very long three days.

I must -- I'll end with this, a general question, two parts, one you've heard me say multiple times.

You are taking an oath to follow the law. And we do that a lot, because obviously we have Mr. Trejo's constitutional rights, and we also have to respect the victims as well.

Now, one of the things you notice, I'm sure, and that's been brought to your attention, is that Mr. Trejo has a speech disability. One of the things you're going to be told is sympathy should not be played into your decision.

Will anyone have an issue regarding that instruction? That you have to take sympathy out of it and you just have to look at it -- the elements of the crime were committed and that he's -- Mr. Trejo is the person who committed the crime.

Do you have a -- if you have a problem with that, please raise your hand right now.

All right. Seeing no hands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you for your time. Thank you for your patience. The State will pass.

THE COURT: And the State's passing for cause.

It's almost 4:30, and we're going to end at 5:00 every day. So I am probably just going to ask them if we can just end this part today, because Mr. Trejo is going to need to do all of his questioning.

So can we end with them today, for now, Mr. Trejo?

And then you just start fresh tomorrow?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Can we -- we approach on that?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Bench conference)

MR. SCHWARTZER: My only issue is -- and if Mr.

Trejo is okay with stipulating to this, I have that one
witness that has -- I mean, I would normally need about four
witnesses to lay the -- the framework, foundation.

THE COURT: Foundation.

MR. SCHWARTZER: And it's been a long day.

THE COURT: It has been a long day.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I -- which is Barry Jones, who's the forensic analysis for the phone.

Page 217

THE COURT: Okay.

all for today. And we're going to bring you back at 10:00 o'clock in the morning.

So during the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves, or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll see you tomorrow morning at 10:00 o'clock and you will just hang out outside until we come back.

Thank you.

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury)

THE COURT: Okay. Outside the presence of the jury.

Mr. Schwartzer passed the panel for cause.

Was there anyone based on his questions that Mr.

19 | Trejo you want to challenge for cause?

MR. TREJO: Not at the moment.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

And so now let's talk about scheduling issues.

I just didn't want to do that, you know, with them still just sitting here, at the Bench. It's harder to hear while we're at the Bench and also just kind of generally not

necessarily good for their time.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So go ahead, Mr. Schwartzer, and start making your record.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure. Here's my issue regarding the timing, is we have one individual that I've been saying, I think for a couple days now, Detective Barry Jones. He is the forensic phone analysis individual.

Mr. Jones won't be available -- or Detective Jones will not be available after this week because he is in Florida for two weeks in a mandatory training for his field, his specialty field for those two weeks.

Typically, in order to lay the foundation to bring in the phone, I would need to bring in a few -- obviously, a few people, including a person who obtained the phone, the person who impounded the phone, and then we can get into Mr. Jones.

But obviously, there needs to be some type of background to where the phone came from as well which would require another one or two witnesses.

So we actually anticipating that we probably wouldn't get started with witnesses until tomorrow we're -- we really narrowed our list to about five witnesses before we got to Detective Jones.

At this point, if we're starting with voir dire

Thursday at 10:00 a.m. with Mr. Trejo, I'm worried that we might not even get to witnesses until Friday which will make it really hard to get Detective Jones in the proper order.

With that said, if the Court would allow us leave to get -- take Detective Jones out of order and we can lay the -- I can proffer what the foundation will be after Detective Jones testifies, and obviously, if we don't do so, there would be a mistrial and it would be the State's fault.

But obviously, I don't -- I don't anticipate that happening because it's going to be pretty easy to lay the foundation, then the timing shouldn't be an issue.

But that's obviously my great concern, because it is a -- according to -- you know, obviously, we have a high burden, beyond a reasonable doubt as Your Honor is well aware. Detective Jones's investigation has brought up what we think is very pertinent evidence to this case.

And the inability to get him on the stand based on the fact that this has taken this long, and it's no one's fault, it's just unfortunately the panel. And the, you know, the problems we're having, we would just ask to, if it comes to it, to take Detective Jones out of order, with a proffering being beforehand about what the foundation would be, that would be laid after he testifies.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

Before -- Mr. Trejo, you can continue writing. I

just have a couple questions for you, Mr. Schwartzer, or Ms. Heap.

So would there be any way for him testify via BlueJeans from Florida? I mean, they're three hours ahead, so he'd be done with his day before we're finished with ours.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I can talk to him about it.

Honestly, I've not approached him about it. Obviously, the problem is, as I'm sure Your Honor has dealt with phone evidence before, he's going to be up there on the stand with a computer with the digital evidence. So --

THE COURT: That's -- yeah, that's actually true.

MR. SCHWARTZER: So --

THE COURT: Yeah. You're right. I didn't -- that's a harder issue because he needs to show -- yeah, the phone stuff. So, okay.

MR. TREJO: So wouldn't Detective Jones testifying out of order affect the chain of custody needed to prove how the phone was obtained off the -- obtained.

Off the top of my head, I do not feel comfortable stipulating this. I need to look at my files and other info regarding this.

THE COURT: So that's -- I mean, it would affect the chain of custody. So what the State is offering to do is, outside the presence of the jury, proffer -- so they'd be stating what the foundation they're going to lay for Detective

Jones to be, so that he could testify out of order.

And then if they didn't put, you know, put the proper foundation in, then obviously there would be a mistrial. And so that's what they're saying. They're offering it, just because they need to get him out of order.

So that's what a proffer means, and that's what they're saying that they'd do, out of the presence of the jury, so that they're not biased by that. And just because they need this one witness out of order, is what they're saying.

So does that change your mind as to anything -- and I'm not trying to influence you in any way. I'm just asking you if that clarifies it for you so that if you have anything different to say.

And did I explain that correctly?

MR. SCHWARTZER: You did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Go ahead.

MR. TREJO: As you know, Your Honor, I am not a trained attorney. I will look into this -- I will look -- I would look into how this will affect my case today and I will have to bring this up again tomorrow.

As far as now, I need more data to make an informed decision.

THE COURT: Okay. So can we come back at 8:30 in

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 3 | 4-20-2022
   belt in the courtroom?
1
              THE COURT: Yeah.
 2
 3
              MR. HENRY:
                          Okay.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah, we don't have a calendar tomorrow.
 5
              MR. HENRY:
                          Okay.
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZER: And Your Honor as he's writing, can
 7
    I just make the suggestion that Mr. Trejo write out his jury
 8
    questions -- I think he has been -- jury questions ahead of
    time?
              THE COURT: Sure.
10
11
              Mr. Trejo, did you -- are you doing that?
12
              MR. HENRY: Yes, Judge.
13
              THE COURT: And -- okay.
              MR. HENRY: He's writing out a lot of stuff
14
   beforehand.
15
16
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
17
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              Thank you, Mr. Henry.
              THE COURT: I read them the recess admonishment
19
20
   before they left, right?
21
              THE MARSHAL: Yes.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. All of these things are running
23
    into one another, so.
24
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
25
              THE COURT: Oh, he's ready.
```

Go ahead.

MR. TREJO: Okay. Yes, Your Honor. I also have a concern regarding my medical condition and the timing. I need to constantly have access to my neck for wound care and management.

Yesterday, I spent four hours in chains which caused trouble and significant -- significant pain due to measures -- due to the measures I must take to take care of myself.

And hours -- and an hour -- I'm sorry -- an hour or two is manageable. But after four hours, my wrists and trach were killing me. And I was mentally strained. I'm just asking if the regular timing will be 10:30 or 11:00.

Any -- oh, any court time before 11:00 means I've got to spend hours in chains.

THE COURT: So I have some questions about that and maybe the officers can give me a little bit more answers.

So why was it that you spent so much time in the belly -- and he -- just for the record he indicated the belly chains when -- when the -- the interpreter was reading his answer.

So what -- why did you spend so much time in -- If you can just maybe say it to her and she can -- CORRECTIONS OFFICER: As far as today?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TREJO: Yesterday.

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: Yesterday. | | | |
| 2 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Today he was picked up at | | | |
| 3 | 10:15. | | | |
| 4 | MR. TREJO: Yesterday. | | | |
| 5 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: And brought straight to court. | | | |
| 6 | MR. TREJO: Yesterday. | | | |
| 7 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yesterday, I don't know. I | | | |
| 8 | didn't pick him up yesterday so I'm not sure. | | | |
| 9 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: So it basically depends on | | | |
| 10 | what time they transport him. | | | |
| 11 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yes. | | | |
| 12 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: But, you know, it depends | | | |
| 13 | on | | | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Hold on for a second. | | | |
| 15 | Susie, are you able to hear what he's saying? | | | |
| 16 | THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah. | | | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | | | |
| 18 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: So it depends on what time | | | |
| 19 | they transport him and then whether or not they have to be | | | |
| 20 | down in court holding. So if they're down in court holding | | | |
| 21 | they have to be secured. | | | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. | | | |
| 23 | CORRECTIONS OFFICER: (Indiscernible) of what they | | | |
| 24 | (indiscernible). | | | |
| 25 | THE COURT: What time would it be easier for us to | | | |
| | | | | |

have court so he spends less time in chains?

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: I mean, there's no way to say that. It's just depending on when everything (indiscernible).

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yeah, it's a logistical issue.

And depending on manpower and -- and who's available to pick

him up at what time.

THE COURT: Okay.

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: We just have to work with what we have.

THE COURT: Because I want to have him spend as little time in chains as possible --

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- because of his medical issue. If there's any way -- I mean, I can fashion an order. I just don't know what to say. I would like to -- I mean, if he has to come up here and sit, you know, in court, we can have somebody come and sit in court with him, or however, so that he doesn't spend significant amount of time in chains because he does need to clean his trach tube and -- and things like that.

So how can we -- how can we accomplish this? What's the best way to accomplish this so he spends --

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Well, I think tomorrow, just going with tomorrow, it's 8:45, correct?

THE COURT: Yes.

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: So I would say at the very 1 minimum, he's going to be in chains probably from 7:00 o'clock 2 3 until -- until he comes up to court. So that's less than two 4 hours right there. 5 THE COURT: Okay. And he said that that was fine. CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yeah. 6 7 MR. TREJO: That's fine. 8 THE COURT: And then what about on Friday, I was planning on starting at 9:00 o'clock and that would probably 9 be 7:00 to 9:00? 10 11 CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Most likely, yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So that's two hours. 13 MR. TREJO: That's manageable. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 (Speaking inaudibly, indicating) MR. TREJO: 16 THE COURT: Right. Does anyone know why he spent so 17 much time in -- in the chains yesterday? Yesterday, we 18 started at --10:30. 19 MR. TREJO: 20 THE COURT: What time did we start? 21 MR. TREJO: 10:30. 22 MR. HENRY: 10:30. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. 24 CORRECTIONS OFFICER: That's about -- roughly about 25 three-and-a-half hours, which it's just due to (indiscernible)

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: We're not allowed per our policy to house them in these holding tanks.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hum.

THE COURT: So if there's any way anybody can tell me who to contact, I can try and figure out who to contact. I just don't know who to contact. But I don't want -- I mean, he does have -- he absolutely has a medical condition where he can't be restrained for that long because he needs to clean the trach tube. And I don't know who to contact. Do you either of you have any suggestions on who to contact so that we can try --

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: I would call the Section

Bureau Commander which is going to be Lieutenant Williams --

THE COURT: Lieutenant Williams.

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: -- for Field Services. Yes.

THE COURT: Lieutenant Williams in what services?

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Field Services.

THE COURT: Field Services.

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Section. Yep.

THE COURT: Chapri, were you listening? Can you hear that? Can you find the number for Lieutenant Williams in Field Services and see if there's a way that I can call him?

MR. TREJO: Just for the record, I wanted to, you know, just say that it was the officers, you know, it's just -- they're -- they're being very professional.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I'm sorry. I'm -- I mean, I think we should bring it up just because it goes into the Barry Jones issue. If Mr. Trejo -- I understand Mr. Trejo doesn't believe the statement should come in. Obviously, his motion was denied.

I would ask him to go through his statement given to Mr. Clark -- to Detective Clark, the one on September 6th, 2018. And if there's things that he thinks should be taken out, let us know at that -- at -- you know, let us know, because Detective Clark might be one of our first witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Though I only noted one thing that may or may not be excluded which is he mentions there might be a little marijuana in his apartment because his wife smokes a little weed. That's legal, so I don't think it's a bad act or anything like that.

That's the only thing of note in that specific statement that I thought was even slightly hinky. But, again, I would ask Mr. Trejo to review the statement and then he can present what he doesn't think should be in the statement as it comes into the court.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And he's writing now, so we're just going to --

And I'm going to walk so I don't miss my steps for the hour.

(Pause in the proceedings)

MR. TREJO: There was an issue I didn't get to argue on Monday. Clark and Rafferty originally didn't begin to record or mirandize at the outset of our contact. I found on Sunday when doing research this is called question first, interrogation tactic.

As it occurred in <u>Seibert v. -- oh, versus Missouri</u>, the detectives wanted to know about access to my phone, weapons at my house or truck. But that wasn't on record. They even bring up a broken hunting shotgun, they found -- they found out about prior to mirandizing --

THE COURT: Mirandizing.

MR. TREJO: -- mirandizing --

THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ TREJO: -- and recording. I will look at my copy of the recordings.

THE COURT: Okay. So we've already addressed the statements and so I'm -- I'm going to note that for the record. I'm putting it in my notes and everything. But we've already addressed the statements and the reasons that I think that they should be coming in, so.

Thank you, Mr. Trejo.

Anything further?

MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

| | C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 3 4-20-2022 | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | MR. TREJO: Thank you. | | |
| 2 | (Court recessed for the day at 4:56 p.m.) | | |
| 3 | * * * * | | |
| 4 | ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly | | |
| 5 | transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled | | |
| 6 | case to the best of my ability. | | |
| 7 | Julie Hond | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| J | | | |

| 1 | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | | N. 94724 | |
| 3 | MARIO TREJO, |) No. 84724 | |
| 4 | Appellant, |) | |
| 5 | V. |) | |
| 6 | , |) | |
| 7 | THE STATE OF NEVADA, |) | |
| 8 | Respondent. | | |
| 9 | APPELLANT'S APPENI | DIX VOLUME VIII PAGES 1689-1925 | |
| 10 | DARIN F. IMLAY | STEVE WOLFSON | |
| 11 | Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street | Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor | |
| 12 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 | |
| 13 | Attorney for Appellant | AARON FORD | |
| 14 | | Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 | |
| 15 | | Counsel for Respondent | |
| 16 | | Counsel for Respondent | |
| 17 | CERTIF | TICATE OF SERVICE | |
| 18 | I hereby certify that this | document was filed electronically with the Nevada | |
| 19 | | February 2023. Electronic Service of the | |
| 20 | foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: | | |
| 21 | AARON FORD | WILLIAM M. WATERS | |
| 22 | ALEXANDER CHEN | 1 (4: 1 41 3: 4 1 | |
| 23 | I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and | | |
| 24 | correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: | | |
| 25 | MARIO TREJO, #1258166 HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON | | |
| 26 | P.O. BOX 650 | | |
| | INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070 | | |
| 27 | BY | <u>/s/ Rachel Howard</u> | |
| 28 | Emp | ployee, Clark County Public Defender's Office | |